

Academic Detailing: Frequently Asked Questions

Q: What is academic detailing?

A: *Academic detailing* is defined as structured visits by trained personnel to health care practices for the purpose of delivering tailored training and technical assistance to health care providers to help them use best practices.^{2,3} It is sometimes called educational outreach, educational detailing, or educational visiting.¹ Academic detailing has typically been delivered face-to-face, but Web-based and other technologies are being explored as alternative channels. Although this document focuses on the use of academic detailing in clinic or hospital settings, it can also be used with health insurers, pharmacies, quality improvement staff, dental practices, mental health and substance abuse providers, and other health care organizations.

Academic detailing has been used across many preventive, acute, and chronic disease care settings to provide education and improve best practices, clinical service delivery, and quality of care.⁴⁻⁷ The frequency of academic detailing visits may vary from one visit to multiple visits with additional feedback sessions.⁸ Academic detailing is typically conducted by trusted, highly trained experts who are often health care providers themselves (e.g., nurses, health educators, respiratory therapists).^{7,9,10} These experts often build a relationship over time with health systems, helping health care providers and administrators understand how the education and technical assistance provided can address a specific clinical or quality issue. Although they may have trouble “getting in the door” at clinics and hospitals at first, access typically improves once they demonstrate over time that they can provide reliable, evidence-based information that aligns with the goals or objectives of the providers or health systems.

Q: What are the goals of academic detailing in tobacco control?

A: Academic detailing for tobacco control is used to provide education and technical assistance to promote the use of evidence-based best practices to increase screening and treatment for tobacco dependence. These best practices are recommended in the Public Health Service’s Clinical Practice Guideline, *Treating Tobacco Use and Dependence: 2008 Update*.¹⁰⁻¹² Academic detailing for tobacco control may include making tobacco interventions part of routine clinical practice. Examples of these interventions include the “5 A’s” (Ask, Advise, Assess, Assist, Arrange) or a briefer version such as the 2 A’s + 1 R (Ask, Advise, Refer).^{11,13}

Education or technical assistance can be provided to:

- **Change the system of care** to promote routine use of evidence-based interventions for tobacco use identification and cessation so these interventions become the standard of care. Examples of these changes include integrating tobacco dependence treatment into the clinical workflow and adding decision support prompts and messages related to tobacco use identification, intervention, and referral to electronic health records. For more information on system changes related to tobacco cessation, see the following resources:
 - *A Practical Guide to Working with Health-Care Systems on Tobacco-Use Treatment.*
 - *Healthcare Provider Reminder Systems, Provider Education, and Patient Education.*
 - *Public Health Service Clinical Practice Guideline, Treating Tobacco Use and Dependence: 2008 Update*
 - *Addressing Tobacco Use through Healthcare Systems.*
- **Increase clinician referrals to state tobacco quitlines, health systems’ own tobacco treatment services, or local tobacco cessation resources** by setting up routine referral systems to cessation resources (e.g., systems that use faxes or electronic health records).^{9,14-16} Specific

education or technical assistance may be needed to help practitioners modify electronic health records or the clinical workflow.

- **Increase the appropriate use of cessation medications.**^{1, 17}

Q: How is academic detailing integrated with other tobacco cessation efforts?

A: In practice, academic detailing is typically part of a broader effort to improve tobacco dependence treatment in health systems and to support community-based efforts to increase cessation.^{10, 12, 18, 19} This approach includes changing policies within the health system, such as making all facilities and grounds tobacco-free or providing comprehensive health insurance coverage for tobacco cessation treatments. It also includes the use of effective community-based strategies, such as mass media campaigns, higher prices for tobacco products, smoke-free environments, support for quitlines, and support for interventions delivered by cell phone.¹⁹

Q: What evidence exists to support the use of academic detailing in tobacco control?

A: Academic detailing has not been formally recommended by the Public Health Service's Clinical Practice Guideline, *Treating Tobacco Use and Dependence: 2008 Update*, or *The Guide to Community Preventive Services*. However, this approach has been tested and evaluated in several studies, and the results showed

- Increased adoption and use of the "5 A's."²⁰⁻²²
- Increased frequency of tobacco cessation counseling.^{17, 23-25}
- Increased use of cessation medications when appropriate.¹⁷
- Increased use of fax and electronic referrals to quitlines.^{9, 10, 12, 14-16 25, 26}

Q: What are the next steps for a state that is interested in implementing academic detailing?

A: State officials who are interested in using this approach can

1. Find out who in their state is already doing academic detailing. Are other public health programs in the state using this approach? Are there people in the state using academic detailing for public health that they could consult and learn from to build a model for tobacco control? What organization(s) are these people connecting with to provide academic detailing? What strategies are they using (e.g., using contractors or state public health nurses)?
2. Reach out to other states that have used this approach for tobacco control to discuss options for getting started.
3. Contact their CDC Project Officer to discuss additional approaches.

References:

1. O'Brien MA, Rogers S, Jamtvedt G, Oxman AD, Odgaard-Jensen J, Kristoffersen DT, et al. Educational outreach visits: effects on professional practice and health care outcomes. *The Cochrane database of systematic reviews*. 2007(4):CD000409.
2. Thomson O'Brien MA, Oxman AD, Davis DA, Haynes RB, Freemantle N, Harvey EL. Educational outreach visits: effects on professional practice and health care outcomes. *The Cochrane database of systematic reviews*. 2000(2):CD000409.
3. Mazmanian PE, Davis DA. Continuing medical education and the physician as a learner: guide to the evidence. *JAMA*. 2002;288(9):1057-1060.
4. Soumerai SB, Avorn J. Principles of educational outreach ('academic detailing') to improve clinical decision making. *JAMA*. 1990;263(4):549-556.
5. Meehan TP, Van Hoof TJ, Giannotti TE, Tate JP, Elwell A, Curry M, et al. A descriptive study of educational outreach to promote use of quality improvement tools in primary care private practice. *American journal of medical quality*. 2009;24(2):90-98.
6. Van Hoof TJ, Miller NE, Meehan TP. Do Published Studies of Educational Outreach Provide Documentation of Potentially Important Characteristics? *American journal of medical quality*. 2013.
7. Avorn J, Fischer M. 'Bench to behavior': translating comparative effectiveness research into improved clinical practice. *Health affairs*. 2010;29(10):1891-1900.
8. Ranney L, Melvin C, Lux L, McClain E, Morgan L, Lohr KN. Tobacco use: prevention, cessation, and control. *Evidence report/technology assessment*. 2006(140):1-120.
9. Sheffer MA, Baker TB, Fraser DL, Adsit RT, McAfee TA, Fiore MC. Fax referrals, academic detailing, and tobacco quitline use: a randomized trial. *American journal of preventive medicine*. 2012;42(1):21-28.
10. Schauer GL, Thompson JR, Zbikowski SM. Results from an outreach program for health systems change in tobacco cessation. *Health promotion practice*. 2012;13(5):657-665.
11. Fiore MC, Jaén CR, Baker TB, Bailey WC, Benowitz NL, Curry SJ, et al. Treating Tobacco Use and Dependence: 2008 Update. Clinical Practice Guideline. Rockville, MD: U.S. Department of Health and Human Services. Public Health Service. 2008.
12. Redmond LA, Adsit R, Kobinsky KH, Theobald W, Fiore MC. A decade of experience promoting the clinical treatment of tobacco dependence in Wisconsin. *WMJ*. 2010;109(2):71-78.
13. Schroeder SA. What to do with a patient who smokes. *JAMA*. 2005;294(4):482-487.
14. Warner DD, Land TG, Rodgers AB, Keithly L. Integrating tobacco cessation quitlines into health care: Massachusetts, 2002-2011. *Preventing chronic disease*. 2012;9:E133.
15. Bernstein SL, Jearld S, Prasad D, Bax P, Bauer U. Rapid implementation of a smokers' quitline fax referral service in an urban area. *J Health Care Poor Underserved*. 2009;20(1):55-63.
16. Kirst M, Schwartz R. Promoting a smokers' quitline in Ontario, Canada: an evaluation of an academic detailing approach. *Health promotion international*. 2013.
17. Kisuule F, Necochea A, Howe EE, Wright S. Utilizing audit and feedback to improve hospitalists' performance in tobacco dependence counseling. *Nicotine & tobacco research*. 2010;12(8):797-800.
18. Adsit R, Fraser D, Redmond L, Smith S, Fiore M. Changing clinical practice, helping people quit: the Wisconsin Cessation Outreach model. *WMJ*. 2005;104(4):32-36.
19. CDC. Guide to Community Preventive Services. Increasing Tobacco Use Cessation: Quitline Interventions. . <http://www.thecommunityguide.org/tobacco/cessation/quitlines.html>. Published 2012. Updated August, 2012.

20. Katz DA, Holman J, Johnson S, Hillis SL, Ono S, Stewart K, et al. Implementing Smoking Cessation Guidelines for Hospitalized Veterans: Effects on Nurse Attitudes and Performance. *J Gen Intern Med*. 2013.
21. Katz DA, Holman JE, Nugent AS, Baker LJ, Johnson SR, Hillis SL, et al. The emergency department action in smoking cessation (EDASC) trial: impact on cessation outcomes. *Nicotine & tobacco research*. 2013;15(6):1032-1043.
22. Joseph AM, Arikian NJ, An LC, Nugent SM, Sloan RJ, Pieper CF. Results of a randomized controlled trial of intervention to implement smoking guidelines in Veterans Affairs medical centers: increased use of medications without cessation benefit. *Medical care*. 2004;42(11):1100-1110.
23. Peterson GM, Thompson A, Pulver LK, Robertson MB, Brieger D, Wai A, et al. Management of acute coronary syndromes at hospital discharge: do targeted educational interventions improve practice quality? *Journal for healthcare quality*. 2012;34(1):26-34.
24. Klein JD, Sesselberg TS, Gawronski B, Handwerker L, Gesten F, Schettine A. Improving adolescent preventive services through state, managed care, and community partnerships. *The Journal of adolescent health*. 2003;32(6 Suppl):91-97.
25. Pbert L, Ockene JK, Zapka J, Ma Y, Goins KV, Oncken C, et al. A community health center smoking-cessation intervention for pregnant and postpartum women. *American journal of preventive medicine*. 2004;26(5):377-385.