

The Prevention Status Reports (PSRs) highlight—for all 50 states and the District of Columbia—the status of public health policies and practices designed to prevent or reduce important health problems. This report focuses on teen pregnancy and briefly describes why it is a public health problem, both for Kentucky and the United States as a whole. It also provides an overview of solutions (i.e., evidence-based or expert-recommended policy and practice options) for preventing or reducing teen pregnancy and reports the status of these solutions in Kentucky.

PSR Framework

The PSRs follow a simple framework:

- Describe the public health **problem** using public health data
- Identify potential **solutions** to the problem drawn from research and expert recommendations
- Report the **status** of those solutions for each state and the District of Columbia

Criteria for Selection of Policies and Practices

The policies and practices included in the PSRs were selected because they

- Can be monitored using state-level data that are readily available for most states and the District of Columbia
- Meet one or more of the following criteria:
 - Supported by systematic review(s) of scientific evidence of effectiveness (e.g., *The Guide to Community Preventive Services*)
 - Explicitly cited in a national strategy or national action plan (e.g., *Healthy People 2020*)
 - Recommended by a recognized expert body, panel, organization, study, or report with an evidence-based focus (e.g., Institute of Medicine)

Ratings

The PSRs use a simple, three-level rating scale to provide a practical assessment of the status of policies and practices in each state and the District of Columbia. It is important to note that the ratings reflect the *status of policies and practices* and do not reflect the *status of efforts* by state health departments, other state agencies, or other organizations to establish or strengthen those policies and practices. Strategies for improving public health vary by individual state needs, resources, and public health priorities.

More Information

For more information about public health activities in Kentucky, visit the Kentucky Cabinet for Health and Family Services website (<http://chfs.ky.gov/dph/>). For additional resources and to view reports for other health topics, visit the CDC website (<http://www.cdc.gov/stltpublichealth/psr/>).

Suggested Citation


Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. *Prevention Status Reports 2013: Teen Pregnancy—Kentucky*. Atlanta, GA: US Department of Health and Human Services; 2014.


PSR | 2013

www.cdc.gov/stltpublichealth/psr




Public Health Problem


 Each year in the United States, about 750,000 women under age 20 become pregnant (1). In 2011 in Kentucky, 6,111 teens aged 15–19 years gave birth (2).

 In 2011, young women of color—particularly Hispanic and African-American females aged 15–19 years—were disproportionately likely to give birth, with national birth rates of 49.6 and 47.3 per 1,000 population, respectively (3).

Teen mothers are more likely to experience negative social outcomes, including lower rates of school completion and reduced earnings, than teens who do not have children. The children of teenaged mothers are more likely to achieve less in school, experience abuse or neglect, have more health problems, be incarcerated at some time during adolescence, and give birth as a teenager (4).


 The annual costs of teen childbearing in 2008 were \$10.9 billion in the United States and \$177 million in Kentucky (5).

Birth rate among females aged 15–19 years (per 1,000 population)




Source: National Vital Statistics System—Births (6)

Proportion of high school students who ever had sexual intercourse




Source: Youth Risk Behavior Surveillance System (7)

Proportion of currently sexually active female high school students who used birth control pills, any injectable birth control, any birth control ring or implant, or intrauterine device before last sexual intercourse



Source: Youth Risk Behavior Surveillance System (7)

Proportion of currently sexually active high school students who used a condom during last sexual intercourse



Source: Youth Risk Behavior Surveillance System (7)

Policy and Practice Solutions

This report focuses on expanding eligibility for Medicaid family planning services to the income eligibility level for pregnancy-related services and to include women younger than age 18 years, either by amending the Medicaid waiver or by converting to the State Plan Amendment available through the Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services, or by expanding the full Medicaid program (8–12). This policy is consistent with the US Department of Health and Human Services' National Prevention Strategy recommendations to expand access to contraceptive services and with a *Healthy People 2020* objective to “increase the number of states that set the income eligibility level for Medicaid-covered family planning services to at least the same level used to determine eligibility for Medicaid-covered, pregnancy-related care” (13,14).

Other strategies supported by scientific evidence include providing comprehensive sexual health education for adolescents, using positive youth development approaches, and improving parent-child communication and parental monitoring of youth behavior (15–17). For information about why Medicaid family planning expansion was selected as an indicator, and for links to additional data and resources, visit the CDC website (<http://www.cdc.gov/stltpublichealth/psr/teenpregnancy/>).

Status of Policy and Practice Solutions in Kentucky

Expansion of state Medicaid family planning eligibility

As of August 2013, Kentucky had not expanded Medicaid coverage of family planning services (18,19).

Healthy People 2020 target: Increase the number of states that set the income eligibility level for Medicaid coverage of family planning services to at least the same level used to determine eligibility for Medicaid coverage of pregnancy-related care (14,18,19).

Rating	State Medicaid family planning eligibility
Green	Was income-based, met the income eligibility level for pregnancy-related care, and covered all women, including teens
Yellow	Was limited, was not income-based, did not meet the eligibility level for pregnancy-related services, and/or excluded some teens
Red	Had not been expanded



Simplified Rating System

A more detailed explanation of the rating system for teen pregnancy is available at <http://www.cdc.gov/stltpublichealth/psr/teenpregnancy/>.

Green

The policy or practice is established in accordance with supporting evidence and/or expert recommendations.

Yellow

The policy or practice is established in partial accordance with supporting evidence and/or expert recommendations.

Red

The policy or practice is either absent or not established in accordance with supporting evidence and/or expert recommendations.

Indicator Definitions

Expansion of state Medicaid family planning eligibility (waiver or state plan amendment): State expansion of eligibility for Medicaid coverage of family planning services to include teens under age 18 and to be set at the eligibility level for pregnancy care (this level varies by state and the District of Columbia). This expansion is achieved by 1) securing approval (officially known as a “waiver” of federal policy) from the Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services, 2) amending the state Medicaid plan with a State Plan Amendment (i.e., a permanent change to the state’s Medicaid program), or 3) expanding the full state Medicaid program.

References

1. Ventura SJ, Curtin SC, Abma JC, et al. Estimated pregnancy rates and rates of pregnancy outcomes for the United States, 1990–2008. *National Vital Statistics Reports*: 2012;60(7).
2. CDC. National Vital Statistics System [database]. Accessed Aug 5, 2013.
3. Martin JA, Hamilton BE, Ventura SJ, et al. Births: final data for 2011. *National Vital Statistics Report* 2013;62(1).
4. Hoffman S, Maynard R, eds. *Kids Having Kids: Economic Costs and Social Consequences of Teen Pregnancy*. Washington, DC: The Urban Institute Press; 2008.
5. National Campaign to Prevent Teen and Unplanned Pregnancy. *Counting It Up: The Public Costs of Teen Childbearing*. Washington, DC: National Campaign to Prevent Teen and Unplanned Pregnancy; Jun 2011.
6. CDC. VitalStats [database]. Accessed Aug 5, 2013.
7. CDC. Youth Risk Behavior Surveillance System [database]. Accessed Aug 5, 2013.
8. Foster DG, Biggs MA, Rostovtseva D, et al. Estimating the fertility effect of expansions of publicly funded family planning services in California. *Women’s Health Issues* 2011;21:418–24.
9. Yang Z, Gaydos LM. Reasons for and challenges of recent increases in teen birth rates: a study of family planning service policies and demographic changes at the state level. *Journal of Adolescent Health* 2010;46:517–24.
10. Kearney MS, Levine PB. Subsidized contraception, fertility, and sexual behavior. *The Review of Economics and Statistics* 2009;91(1):137–51.
11. Lindrooth RC, McCullough JS. The effect of Medicaid family planning expansions on unplanned births. *Women’s Health Issues* 2007;17:66–74.
12. Edwards J, Bronstein J, Adams K. *Evaluation of Medicaid Family Planning Demonstrations*. The CNA Corporation, CMS Contract No 752-2-415921:22; Nov 2003.
13. US Department of Health and Human Services. *National Prevention Strategy: America’s Plan for Better Health and Wellness*. Rockville, MD: US Department of Health and Human Services; 2011.
14. US Department of Health and Human Services. *Family Planning*. In: *Healthy People 2020*. Updated Sep 6, 2012.
15. US Department of Health and Human Services. *Teen Pregnancy Prevention: Evidence-Based Programs* [database]. Accessed Dec 10, 2012.
16. Community Preventive Services Task Force. *Prevention of HIV/AIDS, other STIs and pregnancy: interventions for adolescents*. In: *Guide to Community Preventive Services*. Updated Nov 30, 2010.
17. Oringanje C, Meremikwu MM, Eko H, et al. Interventions for preventing unintended pregnancies among adolescents. *Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews* 2009;4:CD005215.
18. Guttmacher Institute. *State Policies in Brief (as of August 2013): Medicaid Family Planning Eligibility Expansions*. New York, NY: Guttmacher Institute; 2013.
19. Kaiser Family Foundation. *Adult Income Eligibility Limits at Application as a Percent of the FPL by Coverage Authority Parents (Table 4)*. In: *Performing Under Pressure: Annual Findings of a 50-State Survey of Eligibility, Enrollment, Renewal, and Cost-Sharing Policies in Medicaid and CHIP, 2011–2012*. Washington, DC: Kaiser Family Foundation; 2012.