


Appendix A. PRC Program Logic Model



Appendix B. Collaborative Evaluation Design Team (CEDT) Members

Geri Dino, PhD

Director
West Virginia University
Prevention Research Center

Katie Barnes

Staff, Migrant Benevolent Association
Member, Community Advisory Committee
University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill
Center for Health Promotion and Disease
Prevention

Cynthia Boddie-Willis, MD, MPH

Director
Division of Health Promotion and Disease
Prevention
Massachusetts Department of Public Health
Member, National Association of Chronic
Disease Directors

David L. Collins (2004–2005 only)

Associate Director of Community
Development/Liaison
Morehouse School of Medicine
Prevention Research Center

Josefina Olarita-Dhungana

Director, Carson Family Resource Center
Healthy Start Program
Member, Community Advisory Board
University of California Los Angeles/RAND
Prevention Research Center

Michelle C. Kegler, DrPH, MPH

Deputy Director
Emory University
Prevention Research Center

Kenneth McLeroy, PhD

Co-Principal Investigator
Texas A&M Health Science Center
Center for Community Health Development

Delores Pluto, PhD

Associate Director
University of South Carolina
Prevention Research Center

Thomas M. Reischl, PhD

Associate Research Scientist and
Evaluation Director
University of Michigan
Prevention Research Center

Appendix C. PRC Program Indicators

Inputs	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Number of PRC community committee members, by constituency, organization, and perspective. 2. Number and full-time equivalents (FTEs) of PRC faculty and staff who are supported by CDC funds. 3. Number and type of resources provided by the academic institution to support PRC activities. 4. Amount of PRC annual funding, by number of projects and funding source. 5. Number of PRC partnerships, by constituency, organization, or perspective; existence of written inter-organizational agreements; and funding status.
Activities	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Number and types of PRC activities in which partners or the community committee are involved. 2. Number of PRC core, special interest, and PRC-affiliated projects, by level of prevention; content, population, and setting focus areas; and (for research projects) research type, design, and study population. 3. Number of PRC trainings, by topic, audience, format, and duration.
Outputs	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Number of PRC-tested interventions, by level of effectiveness (promising, effective, or adoptable). 2. Number of PRC publications, by peer-reviewed status; journal; content, population, and setting focus areas; and intended audience. 3. Number of PRC presentations, by peer-reviewed status; content, population, and setting focus areas; and intended audience. 4. Number and types of PRC interventions that are recommended for use by national agencies or organizations. 5. Number of other PRC-produced products, by product type, peer-reviewed status, content focus areas, and intended audience. 6. Number of students working with PRCs, by type of work. 7. Number of people trained by PRCs, by audience type.
Outcomes	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Number of PRC-tested interventions that are available for dissemination, by method of dissemination, level of effectiveness, and number and types of groups to whom it was disseminated. 2. Number of PRC-tested interventions that have been adopted, by number and types of groups that adopted the intervention. 3. Number of policy and environmental changes made derived from PRC research, by topic area, level of change, and type of PRC involvement. 4. Number of PRC-produced products distributed. 5. Number of new prevention grants or contracts awarded to partners or community that were facilitated by the PRC partnership, by purpose of grant, type of PRC involvement, and amount. 6. Number of PRC-related recognition awards received, by awarding organization, type of awardee, and purpose of award. 7. Number of PRC-related media reports, by type of media, media distribution, and focus of report. 8. Number of publications citing PRC work, by journal characteristic.

Appendix D. Prevention Research Centers Funded During 2004–2009

University of Alabama at Birmingham

University of Albany, SUNY

University of Arizona

Boston University

University of California at Berkeley

University of California at Los Angeles

University of Colorado Denver

Columbia University

Emory University

Harvard University

University of Illinois at Chicago

University of Iowa

Johns Hopkins University

University of Kentucky

University of Michigan

University of Minnesota

Morehouse School of Medicine

University of New Mexico

University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill

University of Oklahoma

Oregon Health and Science University

University of Pittsburgh

University of Rochester

Saint Louis University
(with Washington University)

San Diego State University
(with University of California at San Diego)

University of South Carolina

University of South Florida

Texas A&M Health Science Center

University of Texas Health Science Center
at Houston

Tulane University

University of Washington

West Virginia University

Yale University

Appendix E. PRC Information System Modules and Sections

Center Information

- Center staff
- Community committees
- National community committee representatives
- Partners
- Partner grants and contracts
- Evaluation plan
- Recognition awards
- Media highlights
- Students trained or mentored

Projects

- Research design
- Contacts
- Funding
- Focus areas
- Population studied
- Key words
- Community committees
- Partners
- Intervention output and outcomes
- Environmental or policy outcomes
- Related products and training programs

Products

- First author and contact
- Focus areas
- Population and intended audience
- Languages
- Keywords
- Sample

Training Programs

- Primary contact
- Focus areas
- Implementation
- Related products