



HEALTH IMPACT IN 5 YEARS


www.cdc.gov/hi5

Achieving lasting impact on health outcomes requires a focus not just on patient care, but on community-wide approaches aimed at improving population health.^[1-6] Programs that address the conditions in the places where we live, learn, work, and play have the greatest potential for keeping people healthy.^[7-11] By focusing on these “social determinants of health” (SDOH) and on “changing the context to make healthy choices easier,” we can help improve the health of everyone living in a community. The Health Impact in 5 Years (HI-5) initiative highlights non-clinical, community-wide approaches that have evidence reporting 1) positive health impacts, 2) results within 5 years, and 3) cost effectiveness or cost savings over the lifetime of the population or earlier. The public health impact pyramid shows the potential impact of different types of public health interventions.^[7] At the base of the pyramid are those interventions that have the greatest potential for impact on health because they reach entire populations of people at once and require less individual effort. The HI-5 Initiative maps directly to the two lowest tiers of the public health pyramid with the greatest potential for positive impact.

Health conditions that the HI-5 interventions address

Community-wide approaches can have broad health impact, often addressing several health conditions at once. Below is a partial list of the health outcomes that HI-5 interventions can prevent or reduce:

- Anxiety and Depression
- Asthma
- Blood Pressure
- Bronchitis
- Cancer
- Cardiovascular Disease
- Child Abuse and Neglect
- Cognitive Development
- Infant Mortality
- Liver Cirrhosis
- Motor Vehicle Injuries
- Obesity
- Dental Cavities
- Pneumonia
- Sexually Transmittable Infections
- Sexual Violence
- Teenage Pregnancy
- Traumatic Brain Injury
- Type II Diabetes
- Youth Violence



What are the HI-5 interventions?

Changing the Context



SCHOOL-BASED PROGRAMS TO INCREASE

PHYSICAL ACTIVITY: The goal of these school-based programs is to increase physical activity during the times children are on school grounds before, during, and after classes. The programs can expand and enhance existing physical education programs and incorporate physical activities into classroom learning activities. Evidence has shown that these programs are associated with increases in student physical activity and have positive effects on Body Mass Index (BMI) and obesity prevention.



SCHOOL-BASED VIOLENCE PREVENTION:

Universal school-based violence prevention programs provide students and school staff with information about violence, change how young people think and feel about violence, and enhance interpersonal and emotional skills such as communication and problem-solving, empathy, and conflict management. These approaches are typically delivered to all students in a particular grade or school. A systematic review found that universal school-based violence prevention programs were associated with less youth violence in all types of school environments, regardless of grade level, socioeconomic status, crime rate, and predominant race or ethnicity of students. The evidence also shows that specific programs have been associated with lower rates of delinquency and alcohol and substance abuse and improvements in academic performance.



SAFE ROUTES TO SCHOOL (SRTS):

SRTS is an overall approach that encourages students and their families to walk, bike, or use other forms of active transportation to get to and from school. It combines programmatic approaches like bicycle safety education, walking school buses, and increased traffic enforcement with infrastructure improvements such as better sidewalks, crosswalks, and lighting to ensure safe conditions for walking and biking. The evidence shows that SRTS is associated with increases in the number of students who walk and bike to and from school. There is also evidence that SRTS reduces the risk of injury from traffic collisions involving pedestrians and bicyclists.



MOTORCYCLE INJURY PREVENTION:

Universal motorcycle helmet laws require all motorcycle riders, both drivers and passengers, to wear a helmet when riding on public roads. States with universal laws consistently have higher rates of helmet use and lower rates of motorcycle-related deaths and injuries.



TOBACCO CONTROL INTERVENTIONS:

Effective tobacco control interventions include tobacco price increases, high-impact anti-tobacco mass media campaigns, and comprehensive smoke-free laws. Evidence has shown that a 20 percent increase in the unit price of tobacco can reduce the number of young people who start smoking, can increase quitting among young people and adults ages 30 and older, and can reduce tobacco use and demand. High-impact anti-tobacco mass-media campaigns, which target large audiences through television and radio broadcasts, print media (e.g., newspaper), and digital media to change knowledge, beliefs, attitudes, and behaviors regarding tobacco—have been shown to reduce adult tobacco use and promote tobacco cessation, as well as prevent tobacco use initiation among youth. Comprehensive smoke-free laws that prohibit smoking in all indoor areas of workplaces, bars, and restaurants are associated with reductions in exposure to secondhand smoke and improvements in short and long-term health outcomes, including reduced hospitalizations for asthma and heart attacks.



ACCESS TO CLEAN SYRINGES:

Policies that support access to clean needles and syringes let pharmacies sell them without prescriptions. They also allow public health departments to authorize and conduct programs that distribute clean needles and syringes and safely dispose of used ones. Evidence shows that these policies, laws, and regulations are associated with lower prevalence and incidence of the human immunodeficiency virus (HIV) and the hepatitis C virus (HCV) among persons who inject drugs.



PRICING STRATEGIES FOR ALCOHOL PRODUCTS:

Evidence shows that raising the price of alcohol products is associated with reductions in alcohol consumption and related harms, including sexual violence and motor vehicle crashes and fatalities.



MULTI-COMPONENT WORKSITE OBESITY

PREVENTION: Strategies at the workplace include offering information and education, behavioral- and social- change strategies, environmental components, and financial incentives. According to the results of a thorough review of a large number of studies, worksite obesity prevention programs are associated with reductions in BMI and help employees lose weight.

Interventions Addressing the Social Determinants of Health of Health



EARLY CHILDHOOD EDUCATION:

Early Childhood Education (ECE) programs foster socio-emotional, cognitive, and motor skills development for children ages 3 to 4 years. Some programs also include physical activity, nutritious meals, support for parents, healthcare screening and access, and social services. ECE programs may be delivered in a variety of ways and settings: state and district programs (available to all children), the federal Head Start program, and model programs (which focus on at-risk or economically disadvantaged children). In addition to improved cognitive development, the evidence shows that ECE programs are associated with reductions in obesity and BMI, child abuse and neglect, youth violence, and emergency department visits.



CLEAN DIESEL BUS FLEETS: Under these transition programs, fleets of diesel buses are retrofitted to operate using clean diesel technology. The body of scientific evidence shows these reductions are associated with fewer cardiovascular events and respiratory conditions, including asthma, and better lung function among children.



PUBLIC TRANSPORTATION SYSTEM INTRODUCTION OR EXPANSION:

The purpose of introducing or expanding public transportation systems is to increase both access to and use of public transit and to reduce traffic. The body of evidence shows this intervention is associated with reductions in traffic crash injuries, fatalities, traffic congestion and associated air pollution as well as higher levels of physical activity.



HOME IMPROVEMENT LOANS AND GRANTS:

These financial resources provide funding to low-income families to repair and improve their homes. For example, funds may cover weatherization to improve insulation, air quality, dampness, and energy conservation, as well as removal of health or safety hazards from homes. The evidence shows that these actions are associated with improving residents' general health and reducing asthma symptoms and non-asthma-related respiratory problems.



EARNED INCOME TAX CREDITS: Earned income tax credits are usually implemented as refundable income tax credits levied at the federal, state, or local levels that benefit low- and moderate-income working people and families. The EITC has been credited with keeping more families and children above the poverty line than any other federal, state, or local program. In addition, the evidence demonstrates that EITC is associated with reductions in infant deaths and preterm births, and improvements in birthweight and mother's mental health.



WATER FLUORIDATION: Community water fluoridation is the process of adjusting fluoride levels in order to improve oral health. Drinking fluoridated water keeps teeth strong and reduces tooth decay by approximately 25% among children and adults. By preventing tooth decay, community water fluoridation has been shown to save money, both for families and the healthcare system.

Select References

1. Auerbach, J., *The 3 Buckets of Prevention*. J Public Health Manag Pract, 2016. 22(3): p. 215–8. doi: 10.1097/PHH.0000000000000381. Available from: [The 3 Buckets of Prevention. J Public Health Manag Pract, 2016](#)
2. Robert Wood Johnson Foundation, P. Braveman, and S. Egerter, *Overcoming obstacles to health: report from the Robert Wood Johnson Foundation to the Commission to Build a Healthier America*. 2008: Robert Wood Johnson Foundation.
3. Dow, W.H., et al., *Evaluating the evidence base: Policies and interventions to address socioeconomic status gradients in health*. Annals of the New York Academy of Sciences, 2010. 1186(1): p. 240-251.
4. Stoto, M.A., *Population health in the Affordable Care Act era*. Vol. 1. 2013: AcademyHealth Washington, DC.
5. Williams, D.R., et al., *Moving upstream: how interventions that address the social determinants of health can improve health and reduce disparities*. Journal of public health management and practice: JPHMP, 2008. 14(Suppl): p. S8.
6. Braveman, P., S. Egerter, and D.R. Williams, *The social determinants of health: coming of age*. Annual Review of Public Health, 2011. 32: p. 381–398.
7. Frieden, T.R., *A framework for public health action: the health impact pyramid*. American Journal of Public Health, 2010. 100(4): p. 590-595.
8. Hood, C.M., et al., *County Health Rankings: Relationships Between Determinant Factors and Health Outcomes*. American Journal of Preventive Medicine, 2016. 50(2): p. 129–135.
9. Booske, B., et al., *County Health Rankings Working Paper: Different perspectives for assigning weights to determinants of health*. 2010, University of Wisconsin Population Health Institute (UWPHI). Retrieved from [County Health Rankings Working Paper: Different perspectives for assigning weights to determinants of health](#).
10. McGinnis, J.M., P. Williams-Russo, and J.R. Knickman, *The case for more active policy attention to health promotion*. Health Affairs, 2002. 21(2): p. 78–93.
11. Secretary's Advisory Committee on Health Promotion and Disease Prevention Objectives for 2020, *Healthy People 2020: An Opportunity to Address Societal Determinants of Health in the United States*, Office of Disease Prevention & Health Promotion, U.S. Department of Health and Human Services. 2010. Available: [Healthy People 2020: An Opportunity to Address Societal Determinants of Health in the United States](#)
12. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, *The 6/18 Initiative: Accelerating Evidence into Action*. February 26, 2016 [cited 2016 June 2]; Available from: <http://www.cdc.gov/sixteen/>.

