

Secure, Reliable Messaging Comparisons between PHINMS, SFTP, and SSH

Public Health Information Network Messaging System (PHINMS)

Version: 1.0

Prepared by: U.S. Department of Health & Human Services

Date: April 15, 2008



EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

Public health involves many organizations throughout the PHIN (Public Health Information Network), working together to protect and advance the public's health. These organizations need to use the Internet to securely exchange sensitive data between varieties of different public health information systems. The exchange of data, also known as "messaging" is enabled through messages created using special file formats and a standard vocabulary. The exchange uses a common approach to security and encryption, methods for dealing with a variety of firewalls, and Internet protection schemes. The system provides a standard way for addressing and routing content, a standard and consistent way for information systems to confirm an exchange.

The Centers for Disease Control and Prevention's (CDC) Public Health Information Network Messaging System (PHINMS) is the software which makes this work. The system securely sends and receives sensitive data over the Internet to the public health information systems using Electronic Business Extensible Markup Language (ebXML) technology.

This document provides a comparison of secure network protocols which provide file transfers over a reliable data stream.



REVISION HISTORY

VERSION #	IMPLEMENTER	DATE	EXPLANATION
1.0	Raja Kailar	03-20-08	Implemented Comparison of PHINMS, SSH and SFTP.
1.0	Wendy Fama	04-15-08	Edited and updated document.



TABLE OF CONTENTS

1.0	Con	iparison	.6
	1.1	Feature	.6
	1.2	Security	.6
	1.3	Reliability	.6
		Routing and Workflow Support	
		Discovery	
	1.6	Management	.7
		Implementation	
		•	



ACRONYM LIST

B2B	Business-to-Business
CDC CPA	Centers for Disease Control and Prevention Collaborate Protocol Agreement
DMZ	Demilitarized Zone
ebMS ebXML	ebXML Messaging Services extensible Markup Language
FTP	File Transfer Protocol
HTTPS	Hypertext Transfer Protocol over Secure Socket Layer
IETF	Internet Engineering Task Force
OASIS	Organization for the Advancement of Structured Information Standards
PHIN PHINMS PKI	Public Health Information Network Public Health Information Network Messaging System Public Key Infrastructure
SECSH SSH	Official Internet Engineering Task Force's (IETF) name Secure Shell
ТСР	Transmission Control Protocol



1.0 COMPARISON

This document provides a comparison of the following secure network protocols:

- Public Health Information Network Messaging System (PHINMS),
- Simple File Transfer Protocol (SFTP), and
- Secure Shell (SSH).

These protocols allow data to be exchanged between two or more computers over secure channels. They all encrypt the data and authenticate the origin.

1.1 Feature

FUNCTION	PHINMS	SSH	S-FTP
Primary Function	B2B Secure and	Secure remote	Secure file transfers
	Reliable	login shell	
	Messaging	-	
Open Standard	ebMS 2.0	SSH-1 (obsolete) and	Designed by IETF
	(OASIS	SSH-2 (current)	SECSH, but not yet
	ebXML)	(IETF SECSH)	an Internet standard

1.2 Security

FUNCTION	PHINMS	SSH	S-FTP
Use of PKI for Encrypting files	Yes	Yes	Yes
Use of PKI for Authenticating connections	Yes	Yes	Yes
Point-to-Point Communication Encryption	Yes	Yes	Yes
End-to-End (Payload level) Encryption	Yes	N/A (not a FTP)	No
DMZ Web-Server Proxy (Internet Best	Supports	Does not support	Does not support
Practice)			

1.3 Reliability

FUNCTION	PHINMS	SSH	S-FTP
Guaranteed delivery (once and only once)	Built-in	N/A (not a FTP)	Not supported
Automated sending, retries, delayed retries	Built-in	N/A (not a FTP)	Not included, needs to be scripted.
Chunking support for very large files	Built-in	N/A (not a FTP)	Not included, needs to be scripted

1.4 Routing and Workflow Support

FUNCTION	PHINMS	SSH	S-FTP
Support for synchronous message handling	Built-in	N/A (not a FTP)	Not part of standard
Collaboration agreement between trading	Built-in, and is	N/A (not a FTP)	Not part of standard
partners	part of ebMS		(need to be
	standard (CPA)		developed)
Metadata for sending to backend business	Built-in, and is	N/A (not a FTP)	Not part of standard
processes behind a receiving node	part of ebMS		(need to be



Comparisons of PHINMS, SSH and SFTP

FUNCTION	PHINMS	SSH	S-FTP
	standard		developed)
	(Service/Action)		
Route-not-Read Capability to support small	Yes	N/A (not a FTP)	Not built-in, needs
sites that can only receive by polling a server			to be scripted.
Metadata for routing via an Intermediary to a	Built-in	N/A (not a FTP)	Not part of standard
node that receives via polling the			(need to be
intermediary (Route-not-Read)			developed)

1.5 Discovery

FUNCTION	PHINMS	SSH	S-FTP
Support within Open Standard for Node	Part of ebXML	Not part of standard	Not part of standard
Discovery	Standard		
	(ebXML		
	Registry) but		
	not fully		
	implemented in		
	PHINMS.		

1.6 Management

FUNCTION	PHINMS	SSH	S-FTP
Queue management	Yes	No	No

1.7 Implementation

FUNCTION	PHINMS	SSH	S-FTP
Ports	Uses standard HTTPS ports (443),	Typically uses TCP Ports (22), hence needs port opening on	Typically uses TCP Ports (22), hence needs port opening
	supported by most organizational firewalls.	firewalls.	on firewalls.