PREVENTING CHRONIC DISEASE PUBLIC HEALTH RESEARCH, PRACTICE, AND POLICY

Volume 20, E10

MARCH 2023

GIS SNAPSHOTS

Using GIS to Identify Priority Sites for Colorectal Cancer Screening Programs in Texas Health Centers

F. Benjamin Zhan, PhD^{1,2,3}; Yanyan Liu, MS¹; Mei Yang, MS¹; Nicole Kluz, MPH⁴; Todd A. Olmstead, PhD⁵; Jenny Spencer, PhD^{3,4}; Navkiran K. Shokar, MD, MPH^{2,3}; Roxana L. Cruz, MD, FACP⁶; Michael P. Pignone, MD, MPH^{2,3,4}

Accessible Version: www.cdc.gov/pcd/issues/2023/22_0205.htm

Suggested citation for this article: Zhan FB, Liu Y, Yang M, Kluz N, Olmstead TA, Spencer J, et al. Using GIS to Identify Priority Sites for Colorectal Cancer Screening Programs in Texas Health Centers. Prev Chronic Dis 2023;20:220205. DOI: https://doi.org/10.5888/pcd20.220205.

PEER REVIEWED



The map shows priority FQHCs with the highest number of age-eligible patients (aged 50–74 y) without up-to-date screening in December 2020 and without CPRIT funding as of December 2021 (Roxana L. Cruz, PhD, personal communication, July 6, 2022), screening rates at the county level in 2018 (1), and FQHCs in Texas, including those that had not engaged in CPRIT projects as of December 2021. Circles are the county locations of administrative offices of the FQHCs, and sizes of circles represent the number of patients without up-to-date screening at the FQHCs. Yellow circles indicate FQHC patients without up-to-date screening and engaged in CPRIT projects, and green circles indicate FQHC patients without up-to-date screening at these patients in the 11 FQHC service areas was 40,163; the number of patients in each of the 11 FQHCs ranged from 2,086 to 5,383. Abbreviations: CPRIT, Cancer Prevention and Research Institute of Texas; CRC, colorectal cancer; FQHC, federally qualified health center.



The opinions expressed by authors contributing to this journal do not necessarily reflect the opinions of the U.S. Department of Health and Human Services, the Public Health Service, the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, or the authors' affiliated institutions.

www.cdc.gov/pcd/issues/2023/22_0205.htm • Centers for Disease Control and Prevention 1

This publication is in the public domain and is therefore without copyright. All text from this work may be reprinted freely. Use of these materials should be properly cited.

Background

Colorectal cancer (CRC) screening is effective in reducing CRC incidence and death, but it is underutilized, particularly in underresourced communities and populations (2-11). Federally qualified health centers (FQHCs) serve populations at high risk for being unscreened, including uninsured and rural populations, making them an important focus for efforts to improve CRC screening and reduce screening inequities (7-11). These efforts are challenging, particularly in states like Texas where 40% of patients seen in FOHCs are uninsured; the proportion of patients up to date with CRC screening in the 72 FQHCs in Texas was only 34.2% in 2020 and 36.2% in 2021 (1). Texas fell below the national FQHC screening rate of 42% in 2021 (12), the overall national screening rate of 65.2% from 2018, and the Healthy People 2030 national goal of 74.4% (13). Improving the use of preventive care like colorectal cancer screening is a strong priority for FQHC systems and the federal government.

The Cancer Prevention and Research Institute of Texas (CPRIT) funds CRC screening programs throughout Texas, with a focus on disproportionately affected populations, but its reach is incomplete (14). The vast area of the state creates geographic challenges, including lack of access to primary and specialty medical services. To increase CRC screening rates among age-eligible FQHC patients in Texas through the implementation of evidence-based CRC screening programs such as mailed fecal immunochemical testing kits (9–11), it is necessary to identify FQHC systems that may benefit from outreach and assistance in growing or building new programs.

We obtained data from multiple sources, conducted geospatial analysis, and identified and mapped a list of priority FQHCs in Texas where the number of FQHC patients without up-to-date CRC screening is high and participation of the FQHCs in CPRITfunded CRC screening projects is absent. In the next phase of the research, we will use the mapped information to contact and consult with the FQHCs and help them apply for CPRIT funding to enhance CRC screening in their systems. We sought to use data from multiple sources to conduct geospatial analysis with the goal of identifying and mapping a group of priority FQHC systems in Texas for outreach and consultation on efforts to increase CRC screening.

Data and Methods

We collected data from the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC), the US Census Bureau, the Uniform Data System eMapper, the Texas Department of State Health Services (Texas DSHS), and the Texas Association of Community Health Centers (TACHC). CDC data included the estimated 2018 CRC screening rates for people aged 50 to 74 years at the county and the Zip Code Tabulation Area (ZCTA) levels (Roxana L. Cruz, PhD, personal communication, July 6, 2022). Census data included population counts for people aged 50 to 74 at the county and ZCTA levels in 2020. Data from the Texas DSHS included boundaries of health service regions and trauma service areas in the state.

Data from TACHC included the 2020 CRC screening rates among patients served by each of the 72 FQHCs in Texas and information about whether an FQHC had participated in any CPRITfunded project as of December 2020, according to both CPRIT and TACHC. Geographic data about the FQHCs included the location of the administrative office and service area of each FQHC. The service area of an FQHC includes different ZCTAs across county boundaries, and patients living in the same ZCTA may be covered by different FQHCs. Because the service areas of different FQHCs may overlap, we used the locations of the FQHC system's administrative offices when mapping the information associated with each FQHC. Because the boundaries of the service areas of the FQHCs, ZCTAs, and counties do not necessarily line up well, analyses associated with these area units were performed separately.

We completed several geospatial analyses to identify priority FQHC systems for CRC screening outreach in Texas in 2022. First, we estimated the population aged 50 to 74 without up-todate CRC screening in each county and ZCTA in Texas in 2020 based on the 2018 CRC screening rates and the population counts of people aged 50 to 74 years in 2020 at both the county and ZCTA levels. Second, we produced lists of counties and ZCTAs with the highest number of age-eligible people without up-to-date screening. These lists provide information about the priority areas at the county and ZCTA levels for CRC screening improvement in the general population.

Third, we used data from the 72 FQHC systems in Texas to calculate the number of age-eligible patients (50 to 74 y) without up-todate screening in December of 2020. The data from TACHC contained data about the number of patients aged 50 to 74 seen at each FQHC during calendar year 2020 and the CRC screening rates of the patients seen at each FQHC. Fourth, we generated a list of priority FQHCs. Each FQHC on the list had at least 2,000 ageeligible patients without up-to-date screening in December 2020 and had not participated in any CPRIT-funded projects as of December 2020. The number 2,000 was selected because it was considered the minimum size necessary to justify a CPRIT program. We used ArcGIS Pro version 2.9.3 (Esri) to build the geodatabases, perform the analyses, and generate the maps. In this article, we discuss FQHC screening rates only; results at the ZCTA level are not presented.

The opinions expressed by authors contributing to this journal do not necessarily reflect the opinions of the U.S. Department of Health and Human Services, the Public Health Service, the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, or the authors' affiliated institutions.

Highlights

The geospatial analysis produced a list of 11 FQHCs (without current CPRIT funding) where improvements in CRC screening among age-eligible patients could have the largest impact (Table). The identified FOHCs are scattered throughout Texas and mainly located in east Texas, southwest Texas toward the Texas-Mexico border, and in the Texas Panhandle area. Mapping these FQHCs gives policy makers, researchers, and practitioners the needed information to develop and implement more effective CRC screening programs aimed at improving CRC screening uptake in communities that are underserved due to limited access to medical services, including patients served by FQHCs. The map helps readers clearly see the geographic distribution of the FQHCs where additional support could have the highest impact and demonstrates that the analytical power and mapping capabilities of geographic information systems can enhance CRC screening and other cancer prevention programs.

Action

Members of the research team will use the mapped information to approach the FQHCs on the priority list, offer consultation to leaders at these FQHCs, form partnerships with these FQHCs to improve CRC screening, and help a subset with the strongest interest to apply for program funding from CPRIT or other sources. Ultimately, we hope the mapped information will help focus efforts to increase CRC screening rates for patients served by FQHCs in Texas and help reduce the prevalence of CRC in the state.

Acknowledgments

The study was supported in part by a grant from CPRIT (no. PP210045). We thank Ana Sierra at TACHC for compiling TACHC patients' CRC screening data used in the analysis. The contents are solely the responsibility of the authors and do not necessarily represent the official views of CPRIT. The authors do not endorse the purchase of any commercial products or services mentioned in this article, and no financial disclosures are reported. No copyrighted materials or tools were used in this research.

Author Information

Corresponding Author: F. Benjamin Zhan, PhD, Texas Center for Geographic Information Science, Department of Geography and Environmental Studies, Texas State University, San Marcos, TX 78666 (zhan@txstate.edu).

Author Affiliations: ¹Texas Center for Geographic Information Science, Department of Geography and Environmental Studies,

Texas State University, San Marcos, Texas. ²Cancer Prevention and Control Program, LiveStrong Cancer Institutes, Dell Medical School, University of Texas at Austin. ³Department of Population Health, Dell Medical School, University of Texas at Austin. ⁴Department of Internal Medicine, Dell Medical School, University of Texas at Austin. ⁵University of Texas at Austin, LBJ School of Public Affairs, Austin, Texas. ⁶Texas Association of Community Health Centers, Austin, Texas.

References

- 1. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. PLACES: local data for better health. Accessed October 12, 2021. https://www.cdc.gov/places/index.html
- 2. White A, Thompson TD, White MC, Sabatino SA, de Moor J, Doria-Rose PV, et al. Cancer screening test use — United States, 2015. MMWR Morb Mortal Wkly Rep 2017;66(8): 201–6.
- 3. Joseph DA, King JB, Dowling NF, Thomas CC, Richardson LC. Vital signs: colorectal cancer screening test use United States, 2018. MMWR Morb Mortal Wkly Rep 2020;69(10): 253–9.
- 4. Gupta S, Coronado GD, Argenbright K, Brenner AT, Castañeda SF, Dominitz JA, et al. Mailed fecal immunochemical test outreach for colorectal cancer screening: summary of a Centers for Disease Control and Prevention-sponsored summit. CA Cancer J Clin 2020;70(4): 283-98.
- 5. Cusumano VT, May FP. Making FIT count: maximizing appropriate use of the fecal immunochemical test for colorectal cancer screening programs. J Gen Intern Med 2020;35(6): 1870–4.
- 6. Bibbins-Domingo K, Grossman DC, Curry SJ; US Preventive Services Task Force. Screening for colorectal cancer: US Preventive Services Task Force recommendation statement. JAMA 2016;315(23):2564–75.
- 7. Davis MM, Freeman M, Shannon J, Coronado GD, Stange KC, Guise JM, et al. A systematic review of clinic and community intervention to increase fecal testing for colorectal cancer in rural and low-income populations in the United States — how, what, and when? BMC Cancer 2018;18(1):40.
- 8. Ni K, O'Connell K, Anand S, Yakoubovitch SC, Kwon SC, de Latour RA, et al. Low colorectal cancer screening uptake and persistent disparities in an underserved urban population. Cancer Prev Res (Phila) 2020;13(4):395–402.
- 9. Zhan FB, Morshed N, Kluz N, Candelaria B, Baykal-Caglar E, Khurshid A, et al. Spatial insights for understanding colorectal cancer screening in disproportionately affected populations, Central Texas, 2019. Prev Chronic Dis 2021;18:E20.

The opinions expressed by authors contributing to this journal do not necessarily reflect the opinions of the U.S. Department of Health and Human Services, the Public Health Service, the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, or the authors' affiliated institutions.

- 10. Jager M, Demb J, Asghar A, Selby K, Mello EM, Heskett KM, et al. Mailed outreach is superior to usual care alone for colorectal cancer screening in the USA: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Dig Dis Sci 2019;64(9):2489–96.
- 11. Ramai D, Etienne D, Ayide G, Fields PJ, Reddy M. Individual and geospatial characteristics associated with use and nonuse of the fecal immunochemical test (FIT) for colorectal cancer screening in an urban minority population. J Clin Gastroenterol 2019;53(10):744–9.
- 12. Health Resources & Services Administration. Health center program. Accessed September 21, 2022. https://bphc.hrsa.gov/
- 13. US Department of Health and Human Services, Office of Disease Prevention and Health Promotion. Accessed September 21, 2022. https://health.gov/healthypeople/ objectives-and-data/browse-objectives/cancer/increaseproportion-adults-who-get-screened-colorectal-cancer-c-07
- 14. Cancer Prevention and Research Institute of Texas. Accessed June 21, 2022. https://www.cprit.state.tx.us/about-us

The opinions expressed by authors contributing to this journal do not necessarily reflect the opinions of the U.S. Department of Health and Human Services, the Public Health Service, the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, or the authors' affiliated institutions.

Table

Table. Priority Federally Qualified Health Centers for Colorectal Cancer Screening Improvement (N = 11), Texas, United States, 2022

Health center	County ^a	No. of patients aged 50-74 y	CRC screening rate, %	No. of patients aged 50–74 y without up-to-date screening
A	Harrison	8,120	33.7	5,383
В	Bexar	8,316	35.9	5,326
С	Maverick	8,510	39.2	5,175
D	Fort Bend	5,356	18.0	4,392
E	Gregg	4,446	5.6	4,197
F	Chambers	3,177	0.9	3,148
G	Harris	4,111	23.6	3,142
н	Lubbock	3,650	20.8	2,889
I	Harris	2,341	2.5	2,283
J	Harris	2,536	15.5	2,142
К	Uvalde	3,091	32.5	2,086

Abbreviation: CRC, colorectal cancer.

^a County in which the administrative office of an FQHC system is located. The number of patients and screening rate presented are associated with the FQHC system, not a county.

The opinions expressed by authors contributing to this journal do not necessarily reflect the opinions of the U.S. Department of Health and Human Services, the Public Health Service, the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, or the authors' affiliated institutions.