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The National Public Health Performance Standards Program

INTRODUCTION

The National Public Health Performance Standards Program (NPHPSP) is a partnership initiative established in 1998 to improve the practice of public health, the performance of public health systems, and the infrastructure supporting public health actions. To accomplish this mission, performance standards for public health systems have been collaboratively developed. These standards represent an optimal level of performance that needs to exist to deliver essential public health services within a public health system.

The NPHPSP is intended to improve the quality of public health practice and the performance of public health systems by:

1. Providing performance standards for public health systems and encouraging their widespread use;
2. Engaging and leveraging national, state, and local partnerships to build a stronger foundation for public health preparedness;
3. Promoting continuous quality improvement of public health systems; and
4. Strengthening the science base for public health practice improvement.

The NPHPSP is led by the Office of Chief of Public Health Practice, Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC). Collaborative partners in establishing and supporting the NPHPSP are the: American Public Health Association (APHA), Association of State and Territorial Health Officials (ASTHO), National Association of County and City Health Officials (NACCHO), National Association of Local Boards of Health (NALBOH), National Network of Public Health Institutes (NNPHI), and the Public Health Foundation (PHF). In addition, eight state public health agencies (Florida, Hawaii, Minnesota, Mississippi, Missouri, Ohio, New York and Texas) and hundreds of local public health agencies within these states worked with their system partners to assist in testing and developing the assessment instruments.

The NPHPSP includes three instruments:

1. The State Public Health System Performance Assessment Instrument (State Instrument) focuses on the “state public health system.” This system includes state public health agencies and other partners that contribute to public health services at the state level. The instrument was developed under the leadership of ASTHO and CDC.

2. The Local Public Health System Performance Assessment Instrument (Local Instrument) focuses on the “local public health system” or all entities that contribute to the delivery of public health services within a community. This system includes all public, private, and voluntary entities, as well as individuals and informal associations. The local instrument was developed under the leadership of NACCHO and CDC.
3. The Local Public Health Governance Performance Assessment Instrument (Governance Instrument) focuses on the governing body ultimately accountable for public health at the local level. Such governing bodies may include boards of health or county commissioners. The governance instrument was developed under the leadership of NALBOH and CDC.

CONCEPTS GUIDING PERFORMANCE STANDARDS DEVELOPMENT AND USE

Four concepts have helped to frame the National Public Health Performance Standards into their current format:

1. The standards are designed around the ten Essential Public Health Services (EPHS). These ten services are the foundation of any public health action and describe the full range of public health responsibilities. The EPHS were first articulated in 1994 in the Public Health in America statement. The use of the EPHS provides a way to describe and examine the breadth of public health practice, system performance, and infrastructure capability needed for both the state and local public health system levels.

2. The standards focus on the overall public health system, rather than a single organization. A public health system includes all public, private, and voluntary entities that contribute to public health activities within a given area. By focusing on the public health system, the contributions of all entities are recognized in assessing the provision of EPHS. Entities within a public health system can include hospitals, physicians, managed care organizations, environmental agencies, social service organizations, educational systems, community-based organizations, religious institutions and many others. All of these organizations play a role in working to improve the public’s health.

3. The standards describe an optimal level of performance, rather than provide minimum expectations. This assures that the standards can be used for continuous quality improvement. The standards will stimulate performance and infrastructure improvement in public health systems.

4. The standards are explicitly intended to support a process of quality improvement. System partners should use the assessment process and results as a guide for learning about public health activities throughout the system and determining how to improve services. The standards can also be used to advocate for improvements to better serve populations within a public health system.

ASSESSMENT INSTRUMENT STRUCTURE

The NPHPSP assessment instruments are constructed using the Essential Public Health Services as a framework. Within the State Instrument, the same four indicators are found within each Essential
Service. The developers of the state instrument believed that core state level public health practices are well articulated within four key indicator categories. These indicators are:
- Planning and Implementation,
- Technical Assistance and Support
- Evaluation and Quality Improvement
- Resources

Each indicator is illustrated by a model standard that describes aspects of an optimal performing public health system. The model standards articulated in these instruments represent expert public health opinion and best practice concepts. Each model standard is addressed by assessment questions that serve as measures of performance.

The measures elicit information on how well the model standard is being met. There are four response options associated with each measure. The spectrum of activity associated with each response option is:

- **Yes** Greater than 75% of the activity described within the question is met within the state public health system.
- **High Partially** Greater than 50%, but no more than 75% of the activity described within the question is met within the state public health system.
- **Low Partially** Greater than 25%, but no more than 50% of the activity described within the question is met within the state public health system.
- **No** No more than 25% of the activity described within the question is met within the state public health system.

Summary questions are found at the end of each indicator section of the assessment instrument. The summary questions use a four-point scale to assess the percentage of the model standard that 1) is achieved by the state public health system collectively, and 2) is the direct contribution of the state public health agency. The four responses are 1) 0-25%, 2) 26-50%, 3) 51-75%, and 4) 76-100%.

**DATA LIMITATIONS**

Performance scores are based on somewhat unique processes and system participant groups. Assessment methods are not yet fully standardized and these differences in survey administration can introduce measurement error. Additionally, differences in knowledge can create interpretation issues for some questions and this can introduce a degree of random non-sampling error. Results and recommendations associated with these reported data should be used for quality improvement within an overall public health infrastructure and performance improvement process for public health systems. These data represent the collective performance of all organizational participants in
USING RESULTS FOR QUALITY IMPROVEMENT

The NPHPSP assessment instruments are intended to promote and stimulate quality improvement. As a result of the assessment process, the respondents will be able to identify strengths and weaknesses within the state public health system. This information can pinpoint areas that need improvement. To achieve a higher performing public health system, system improvement plans then must be developed and implemented as described in the User Guide. Suggested steps in developing such improvement plans are listed below:

1. Organize Participation for Performance Improvement
2. Prioritize Areas for Action
3. Explore “Root Causes” of Performance Problems
4. Develop and Implement Improvement Plans
5. Regularly Monitor and Report Progress

Refer to the User Guide section, "Now That We Have Completed the Assessment, What Next?" for details on the above steps.

Assessment results represent the collective performance of all entities in the state public health system and not any one organization. To assure that this information is appropriately used, system partners should be involved in the discussion of results and improvement strategies. The assessment results can drive improvement planning within each organization as well as system-wide.

Resources are available to assist in quality improvement activities. In addition to the NPHPSP User Guide, the following can be found on or are linked to the NPHPSP website at http://www.cdc.gov/od/ocphp/nphpsp:

- Performance Improvement Resource Guides (state and local)
- Mobilizing for Action through Planning and Partnerships (MAPP)
- Sample performance improvement plans
- Quality improvement tools and guidebooks
- Other technical assistance documents

CONCLUSION

The challenge of preventing illness and improving health is ongoing. The ability to meet this challenge rests on the capacity and performance of public health systems. Through well equipped,
high-performing public health systems, this challenge can be addressed. Public health performance standards are intended to guide the development of stronger public health systems capable of improving the health of populations. High-performing public health systems will increase the likelihood that all citizens have access to a defined optimal level of public health services. Through continuous assessment guided by model performance standards, public health leaders can improve collaboration and integration among the many components of a public health system, more effectively and efficiently use resources, and improve health intervention services.