#### Industry and Occupation Coding of Cancer Records The Good, The Bad and The Ugly

Nancy Weiss, PhD, Sharon Cooper, PhD, Anne Hakenewerth, PhD, Christina Socias, DrPH(c), Ron Weiss, Melanie Williams, PhD Weiss Consulting, UT School of Public Health, Texas Cancer Registry

#### Background

- Usual industry (I) & occupation (O) are National Program of Cancer Registries required reportable data items.
- Information is collected in text form.
- Few cancer registries have examined/ coded these text fields, limiting usefulness for research.
- Newly released software is available for auto-coding I & O text.

#### Background (continued) NIOCCS Software

- National Institute for Occupational Safety and Health (NIOSH) Industry and Occupation Computerized Coding System (NIOCCS) - released December 2012
- Capable of automatic or computerassisted coding; single record or batch records for auto-coding; crosswalk coding
- Has online Census I/O Alphabetical Index lookup capability

Background (continued) NIOCCS Software

- Web-based
- Codes to 2000 or 2002 US Census Bureau classification scheme
- Three confidence levels for automatic coding assignments :
  - high90% or greater accuracymedium70% or greater accuracylow30% or greater accuracy

#### Background (continued) NIOCCS Software

- Records meeting user selected confidence levels automatically coded
- Records falling below selected level made available for manual processing in computer-assisted coding module
- Higher the level setting, higher the accuracy of coding results but lower the percent of records coded



#### **Project Purpose**

- Assess the functionality of NIOCCS for coding industry & occupation text in cancer records reported to the Texas Cancer Registry (TCR)
- Make recommendations for implementing NIOCCS coding method into ongoing registry operations
- Project period August 1, 2012 to August 1, 2013

#### Methods

- Obtained IRB approval from UTHSC-Houston
- Using WebPlus, downloaded de-identified TCR records for 1995-2011 (N=1,554,163)
- Data elements included unique id, diagnosis year, age, usual industry text, usual occupation text, county at diagnosis, & vital status
- Removed records with both missing I & O
- Split files into <1 MB files (N< 17,000 recs.)</li>

#### Methods (continued)

- Coded to 2000 US Census Bureau scheme
- Prior to software release identified & globally coded unknown, retired & common industry/occupation text (e.g. school, teacher; home, housewife)
- After software release, pilot tested 2000 diagnosis year cases (N=8,460) at high, medium & low level runs
- Ran subset of 1995-2009 records (not globally coded; N= 174,937) at high level

#### Methods (continued)

- Ran all 2010-2011 records (N= 146,993) at high level
- Manually coded records not coded at NIOCCS high level
- To assess coding agreement, compared random sample of 1,000 NIOCCS high-level coded 2010 records with manual coding
- Coded files were returned to the TCR via WebPlus

#### Results

- A total of 35 NIOCCS runs were made (31 high level, 3 medium level, 1 low level).
- NIOCCS run time varied by confidence level:
  - high 86 records/minute
  - medium 14 records/minute
  - low 54 records/minute
  - (Data runs completed in Jan/Feb 2013)
- File also may have wait time in run queue.

#### **NIOCCS High Level Coding**

# Industry Occupation 1995-1999 35.0 35.8 2000-2004 28.3 28.9 2005-2009 24.8 29.3 2010-2011 34.7 39.6

#### **NIOCCS High Level Coding**

#### Records with both I/O coded Number Percent 4,804 6,596

- 1995-1999
- 2000-2004
- 2005-2009
- 2010
- 2011

32,326 31,801 15,044

1.2 1.4 6.2 30.8 22.8

#### **Staff-Assisted Coding Methods**

 Includes use of NIOCCS auto-assist coding module, project staff coding algorithms and manual code assignments:

	Number	Percent
• 1995-1999	388,122	97.2
• 2000-2004	442,735	95.9
• 2005-2009	410,255	78.3
• 2010-2011	Coding still	underway
Note: Records with b	oth I/O coded	

#### NIOCCS High-Level Coding Agreement (2010 Record Sample)

	<u>No.</u>	P <u>ercent</u>
<ul> <li>Industry only (N=8)</li> </ul>	3	37.5
<ul> <li>Occupation only (N=97)</li> </ul>	62	63.9
<ul> <li>Both I/O coded (N=895)</li> </ul>		
Both I/O agree	841	94.0
Industry agrees	890	99.4
Occupation agrees	882	98.5
Note:		

46% of sample contains "unknown" or "retired" in text

#### **Data Quality**

- Large percent of records missing industry & occupation- 64.8% for 1995-2011
- Percent of records with missing text improving
   1005 1000
   02 4%

 1995-1999
 9

 2000-2004
 8

 2005-2009
 4

 2010-2011

92.4%85.2%42.5%13.2%

### The Good



#### The Good

- NIOCCS available free of charge
- Web-based and user friendly
- Online industry/occupation look-up with Census Alphabetical Index
- Crosswalk for coding schemes
- NIOSH staff very responsive to feedback & continue to improve NIOCCS (e.g. coding efficiency/accuracy, run times & file handling)
- Free training in I/O coding & use of NIOCCS





#### The Bad

- Some wrong code assignments by NIOCCS at high level requiring manual correction
- Removal of records with blank O/I text, file splitting and remerging tedious
- Low percentages of auto-coding
- NIOCCS unable to recognize company names
- Manual review of records needed for medium and low -level code assignments

# The Ugly



## The Ugly (Really Ugly)

- Many records with missing I/O text
- "Unknown"/"NA" also in many records
- Poor quality of text provided misspellings, abbreviations, acronyms, transpositions of I/O fields
- Poor data quality limited auto-coding
- Labor intensive to manually review/code
- Missing/insufficient data limit research use

#### Recommendations

- Have designated & trained staff in I/O coding even if only use NIOCCS autocoding function
- Know the data quality of your existing records
- Know the industries in your state
- Include county of diagnosis to assist with industry searches (via the internet)

#### **Recommendations (continued)**

- Don't try coding 17 years of data in one year
- Start with more recent years and assess data quality before coding retrospectively
- Audit cancer reporters to see if I/O info is truly missing/unknown in medical record
- Monitor quality of incoming I/O text
- Provide on-going training of reporters on collection of I/O information

#### **Recommendations (continued)**

- With more NIOCCS users and data exposure, the better the software becomes – "purification through utilization"
- Go through IRB if release individual cancer records with I/O text for coding

#### Conclusions

- NIOCCS is a helpful tool for coding I & O text & continues to improve but other registry resources are required.
- Improvement in data quality of reported text information is needed to maximize the efficiency of NIOCCS & improve the availability of coded specific I & O information for occupational cancer research.

### **Future Thoughts**

- For deceased patients, compare Vital Statistics death records' industry/ occupation coding with cancer records'
- Consider sharing coding resources/ expertise across programs
- Gain researcher and other support in improving the collection and reporting of I/O by the medical community

#### Acknowledgements

- CDC/NPCR & ICF International
- Pam Schumaker pks1@cdc.gov Sue Nowlin-sxn1@cdc.gov **Jeff Purdin** Surveillance Branch, NIOSH **Team Contact Information:** NIOCCS@CDC.GOV NIOCCS website: http://wwwn.cdc.gov/nioshnioccs/

#### The End Happy Trails

