

NIOSH MANUSCRIPT REVIEW FORM

Reviewer: Dr. Morton Lippman Affiliation: New York University

Please review the attached manuscript and return the comments, in the areas checked below, to the author:

Technical Statistical Editorial Policy DUE DATE: May 15, 2006

TITLE: Laboratory and Field Performance of a Respirable Personal Dust Monitor

AUTHOR(S): J. Volkwein, R. Vinson, S. Page, L. McWilliams, G Joy S. Mischler, D. Tuchman

ANTICIPATED JOURNAL: NIOSH Report of Investigation

Does the abstract include the specific objective of the work, the techniques employed, and the significant results?	YES <input type="checkbox"/>	NO (explain below) <input type="checkbox"/>
Is the abstract clear and concise?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Does the introduction explain the problem, outline earlier or concurrent work, and explain the author's approach?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Are the methods adequately described?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Are the conclusions supported by the data?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
In general, is the organization of the manuscript satisfactory?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Are the tables clear and appropriate?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Are the figures clear and understandable?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Are there any technical errors in this manuscript? <input type="checkbox"/> No errors <input type="checkbox"/> Minor technical errors <input type="checkbox"/> Major technical errors (please describe)		
Is the experimental design adequately described?	YES <input type="checkbox"/>	NO (explain below) <input type="checkbox"/>
Are the statistical methods appropriate?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Are the conclusions consistent with the statistical analysis?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Is the manuscript organized appropriately?	YES <input type="checkbox"/>	NO (explain below) <input type="checkbox"/>
Is the language of the manuscript acceptable as written? If not, is the paper wordy? If not, are the editorial errors: <input type="checkbox"/> Major <input type="checkbox"/> Minor If the errors are major, should the paper be removed from the clearance process?	<input type="checkbox"/> <input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/> <input type="checkbox"/>

Does the manuscript contain policy issues?

If yes, does the manuscript suggest a change in NIOSH policy?

If yes, does the manuscript recommend new NIOSH policy?

Should the manuscript be reviewed by the Director, NIOSH for policy issues?

YES NO (explain below)

What is your recommendation for this manuscript?

Approve

Approve after modification

Not Approved

REVIEWER: _____

Signature: _____

DATE: _____

Λ Check here if you want to receive a copy of the final manuscript:

Check here if a separate sheet of comments is attached: