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1.0 Introduction

Technical basis documents and site profile documents are not official determinations made by the National Institute for Occupational Safety and Health (NIOSH) but are rather general working documents that provide historical background information and guidance to assist in the preparation of dose reconstructions at particular Department of Energy (DOE) or Atomic Weapons Employer (AWE) facilities or categories of DOE or AWE facilities. They will be revised in the event additional relevant information is obtained about the affected DOE or AWE facility(ies). These documents may be used to assist NIOSH staff in the evaluation of Special Exposure Cohort (SEC) petitions and the completion of the individual work required for each dose reconstruction.

In this document the word “facility” is used to refer to an area, building, or group of buildings that served a specific purpose at a DOE or AWE facility. It does not mean, nor should it be equated to, an “AWE facility” or a “DOE facility.” The terms AWE and DOE facility are defined in sections 7384l(5) and (12) of the Energy Employees Occupational Illness Compensation Program Act of 2000 (EEOICPA), respectively. A DOE facility is defined as “any building, structure, or premise, including the grounds upon which such building, structure, or premise is located … in which operations are, or have been, conducted by, or on behalf of, the [DOE] (except for buildings, structures, premises, grounds, or operations … pertaining to the Naval Nuclear Propulsion Program);” and with regard to which the DOE has or had a proprietary interest, or “entered into a contract with an entity to provide management and operation, management and integration, environmental remediation services, construction, or maintenance services.” 42 U.S.C. § 7384l(12). On the other hand, an AWE facility means “a facility, owned by an atomic weapons employer, that is or was used to process or produce, for use by the United States, material that emitted radiation and was used in the production of an atomic weapon, excluding uranium mining or milling.” 42 U.S.C. § 7384l(5). The Department of Energy (DOE) determines whether a site meets the statutory definition of an AWE facility and the Department of Labor (DOL) determines if a site is a DOE facility and, if it is, designates it as such.

Accordingly, a Part B claim for benefits must be based on an energy employee’s eligible employment and occupational radiation exposure at a DOE or AWE facility during the facility’s designated time period and location (i.e., covered employment). After DOL determines that a claim meets the eligibility requirements under EEOICPA, DOL transmits the claim to NIOSH for a dose reconstruction. EEOICPA provides, among other things, guidance on eligible employment and types of radiation exposure to be included in an individual dose reconstruction. Under EEOICPA, eligible employment at an AWE facility is categorized as employment either (1) during “a period when the employer was processing or producing, for the use by the United States, material that emitted radiation and was used in the production of an atomic weapon, excluding uranium mining and milling,” (i.e., the operational period); or (2) during a period that NIOSH has determined that “there is a potential for significant residual contamination outside of the period in which weapons-related production occurred,” (i.e., the residual contamination period). 42 U.S.C. § 7384l(3).

Based on the abovementioned definition for eligible employment during an AWE facility’s operational period, NIOSH includes radiation exposures incurred in the performance of duty,
such as medical X-rays received as a condition of employment for participating in DOE projects, at an AWE facility in dose reconstructions. This may include radiation exposure related to the Naval Nuclear Propulsion Program and any radiation exposure received from the production of commercial radioactive products that were concurrently manufactured by the AWE facility during the operational period. In contrast, only two categories of radiation exposure as defined in 42 U.S.C. § 7384n(c)(4) should be included in dose reconstructions for claims involving employment during the residual contamination period. First, NIOSH must include exposures to radiological contaminants resulting from activities that had a nuclear-weapon nexus or conducted by or on behalf of the DOE (with an exclusion of activities related to, among other things, the Naval Nuclear Propulsion Program) that took place during the operational period. 42 U.S.C. § 7384n(c)(4)(A). Second, radiation doses from sources not included in the first category but which cannot be distinguished through reliable documentation should also be included in dose reconstructions. 42 U.S.C. § 7384n(c)(4)(B). Furthermore, because all DOE-related activities have ceased during the residual contamination period, NIOSH does not include doses from medical X-rays performed during the residual contamination period (NIOSH 2007) in dose reconstructions.

Likewise, NIOSH does not consider the following types of exposure as those incurred in the performance of duty as a condition of employment for DOE-related activities at an AWE facility. Therefore these exposures are not included in dose reconstructions for either the operational or residual contamination period (NIOSH 2010):

- Background radiation, including radiation from naturally occurring radon present in conventional structures
- Radiation from X-rays received in the diagnosis of injuries or illnesses or for therapeutic reasons

The following information from the Department of Energy’s Office of Health, Safety and Security EEOICPA Find Facilities webpage defines the EEOICPA covered periods for Texas City Chemicals.

Site: Texas City Chemicals, Inc.
Location: Texas City, Texas
Covered Period: AWE: October 5, 1953 through September 1955
Residual Contamination: October 1955 through 1977

This document contains a summary description of the site, as well as the Atomic Energy Commission activities performed there, and provides the technical basis to be used to evaluate the occupational radiation doses for EEOICPA claims.

2.0 Site Description and Operational History

In 1952 Texas City Chemicals, Inc. (TCC) started construction of a plant in Texas City, Texas, to produce animal feed and fertilizer from phosphate rock. They contracted with the AEC to simultaneously construct, at TCC’s expense, a Uranium Recovery Plant to be used to extract uranium as a byproduct from the phosphates. The contract specified terms for sale of the
uranium to the AEC. Shake-down operations of the new fertilizer plant and Uranium Recovery Plant began on October 5, 1953 (AEC 1952, 1953; Johnson 1953). TCC also had a development contract with the AEC (DOE 1986).

The TCC plant encountered numerous problems during start-up of the plant, and it produced a small amount of uranium for the AEC in the first few months of operation. Full-scale uranium production was never realized due to equipment problems. TCC was operating at a loss and it ceased operations and filed for bankruptcy in U.S. District Court sometime in 1956. The court allowed the Smith-Douglass Corporation to acquire and reorganize TCC and reopen the plant later that year. Smith-Douglas did not pursue uranium work with the AEC. Smith-Douglass, later acquired by Borden Chemical, operated the phosphate plant until its closure in 1977 (AEC 1953; Greenleaf 1955; Powers 1979; Corporate Profile 1958).

Section 3 provides a summary of the AEC work at TCC. Additional details of the plant and operations are provided in the NIOSH Texas City Chemicals SEC Petition Evaluation Report, Petition SEC-00088, Rev. 1 (NIOSH 2010), referred to as the TCC ER in this document.

3.0 Process Description

TCC was a plant built to process phosphate rock into phosphoric acid by the wet process. The plant had a design capacity to process 100,000 tons of phosphate rock per year. The phosphoric acid was subsequently used to produce animal feed and phosphate fertilizers. The phosphate rock ore was received from sources in Florida and contained small concentrations of natural uranium, nominally 0.012%. The uranium recovery plant was built to recover the uranium as a byproduct from the phosphoric acid.

3.1 Uranium Recovery Plant

The Uranium Recovery Plant was designed to extract uranium from phosphoric acid using a solvent extraction process. Operations began in December 1953, but TCC encountered equipment problems upon startup. They produced a total of 400 pounds of uranium (as U₃O₈) from intermittent operations of the Uranium Recovery Plant from December 1953 through March 1954, at which time the Uranium Recovery Plant was permanently shut down.

3.2 Developmental Work

TCC also had an AEC contract to study methods to process leached zone phosphate ores. The leached zone is a layer of the phosphate ores that has similar uranium concentrations as the phosphate rock layer that was being utilized by industry; however the leached zone layer had characteristics that made it uneconomical for commercial use. The developmental work involved experiments to separate P₂O₅, Al₂O₃, and U. The AEC was involved with the study because the trace amounts of uranium in the leached zone phosphate ores could be recovered as a byproduct if the leached zone phosphates were processed by industry for commercial use.

TCC received a drum of phosphates for the research, followed by receipt of subsequent smaller quantities. All the work was laboratory scale research. The research was intermittent. The AEC
concluded their work on leached zone materials in 1955. The TCC contract ended in September 1955.

4.0 Internal Dose

Workers at TCC had the potential to receive internal dose from phosphate plant operations, uranium recovery operations for the AEC, and the developmental work for the AEC. Intakes from the AEC research and development work are presumed lower than from work in the production areas; therefore, intakes from the development work are not assessed.

Workers were potentially exposed to uranium and decay progeny. Natural thorium is also presumed at trace quantities. Favorable assumptions were made to assess intakes of the various radionuclides. The relative ratios of the radionuclides of interest are provided in the Table 1, reproduced from the TCC ER Table 5-3.

Table 1: Radionuclide Ratios for Uranium Recovery

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Radionuclide</th>
<th>Relative Ratio¹</th>
<th>Notes</th>
<th>Normalized to U-238¹</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>U-238</td>
<td>85</td>
<td>Progeny in equilibrium through Th-230</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>U-235</td>
<td>3.87</td>
<td>Progeny in equilibrium</td>
<td>0.0455</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ra-226²</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>Progeny in equilibrium</td>
<td>0.047 or 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pb-210</td>
<td>85</td>
<td>Equal to U-238</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bi-210</td>
<td>85</td>
<td>Equal to U-238</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Po-210</td>
<td>85</td>
<td>Equal to U-238</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Th-232</td>
<td>2.8</td>
<td>Progeny in equilibrium</td>
<td>0.033</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Notes: The data and information contained in this table are from Table 1 in OCAS-TKBS-0002.
1. Ratios given are for progeny without consideration of branching ratios, where applicable.
2. For Ra-226, 0.047 is assumed for the uranium recovery plant, but a factor of 1 is assumed for the phosphate plant.

The AWE operational period is October 5, 1953, through September 1955. Internal dose from phosphate plant intakes are covered only through September 1955.

Intakes from operation of the Uranium Recovery Plant are only applicable through March 1954, after which it was permanently shut down. Intakes from residual contamination are covered through 1977, the end of the Residual Contamination period.

Radon exposures were possible from the radium in the phosphate ores and in the phosphogypsum waste produced from phosphoric acid production. A class of TCC workers has been added to the Special Exposure Cohort (SEC). NIOSH has concluded, and the Secretary of Health and Human Services has concurred, that radon exposures cannot be estimated with sufficient accuracy from October 5, 1953, through September 30, 1955 (Sebelius 2011). Radon exposure estimates are provided below in Section 4.4 for the period of October 1955 through 1977.
4.1 Intakes from Phosphate Plant Operation

There are no monitoring data from TCC for the AEC covered period; therefore, NIOSH considered data from other phosphate plants to assess potential worker intakes. The references and the assumptions made are summarized below and are discussed in more detail in the TCC ER.

The intakes for phosphate plant workers at TCC will be based on a limiting phosphate dust concentration of 50.4 mg/m$^3$. That concentration provides an upper bound average particulate concentration to which a worker would have been exposed. The total dust concentration is converted to individual radionuclide concentrations in the phosphate materials according to Table 2. The Table 2 values are considered bounding for the various phosphate products.

Table 2: Bounding Radionuclide Concentrations in Phosphate Plant Materials

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Radionuclides</th>
<th>Concentration (pCi/g)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>U-238, Th-230, U-234, Ra-226, Pb-210, Po-210</td>
<td>95</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pa-231, Ac-227*</td>
<td>4.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Th-232, Ra-228, Th-228</td>
<td>3.2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1. U-235 progeny assumed in natural abundance relative to U-238. The U-238 and U-234 concentrations allow for dose from U-235.
2. Note: Short lived progeny not listed in this table are also assumed to be in equilibrium.
3. Reproduced from the TCC ER, Table 5.6.

A 2,500-hour work-year is assumed to bound exposure. Exposure to 50.4 mg/m$^3$, at a breathing rate of 1.2 m$^3$/hour, results in an annual inhalation intake of 151.2 g of dust per year. The annual total dust inhalation intake is multiplied by the 95 pCi/g value for U-238 in Table 2 to determination an annual U-238 intake of $1.44 \times 10^4$ pCi. Conversion of the result into a calendar-day intake results in a 39 pCi/day chronic inhalation of U-238. Intakes of the other radionuclides were calculated similarly.

A chronic ingestion mode of intake is assumed to have occurred as a function of the airborne radioactivity, based on the methods provided in OCAS-TIB-009 (NIOSH 2004).

The bounding intakes for ingestion and inhalation in the phosphate plant are listed in Table 3.

Table 3: Intakes from Operation of the Phosphate Plant

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Radionuclides</th>
<th>Inhalation pCi/day</th>
<th>Ingestion pCi/day</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>U-238, Th-230, U-234, Ra-226, Pb-210, Po-210</td>
<td>39.4</td>
<td>0.73</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pa-231, Ac-227</td>
<td>1.79</td>
<td>0.033</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Th-232, Ra-228, Th-228</td>
<td>1.30</td>
<td>0.024</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The intakes in Tables 2 and 3 are applicable only to April 1, 1954 through September 30, 1955. See Section 4.5 for dose reconstruction summary instructions.

4.2 Intakes from Uranium Recovery

TCC extracted 400 pounds of uranium for the AEC from December 1953 through March 1954. The methods to estimate intakes for the uranium recovery operation are taken from the TCC ER Section 7.2.2.

For the intake evaluation, a 100-pound per month production is assumed for October 1953 through March 1954. This rate and time span provides a total 600 pounds uranium concentrate produced. Although this is more than the actual production total, it provides a reasonable assurance that the timeframe of production is accounted for and that reconstructed doses from uranium recovery are favorable.

During the wet chemical processes used to concentrate uranium, contamination and dust exposures are minimal. The greatest potential for exposure to radioactive materials associated with the uranium recovery process arises in the final packing areas. Here the essentially-pure uranium compound is dried and barreled for shipping, resulting in a potentially dusty operation. The AEC (1953) contract specified that TCC was to produce uranium concentrates containing at least 50% U3O8.

The intake rate is modeled based on one 25-pound batch processed per week from October 5, 1953 through March 1954. Based on a description of how uranium concentrates were handled at Blockson, a batch of material may have been in-process over a two-day period to allow for filtering, drying and packaging, with the latter two steps being significant for potential inhalation. The total uranium alpha air concentration is assumed to be 190 dpm/m³, as discussed in the TCC ER, section 7.2.2.

Applying a 1.2 m³/hour inhalation rate, a 10-hour work day, and a 2-day exposure to the 190 dpm/m³ uranium concentration results in an inhalation intake of 4,560 dpm, or 2,054 pCi, in the Uranium Recovery Building. Assuming the uranium is one half U-238 and one half U-234, results in a 1,027 pCi U-238 inhalation intake for the 2-day period. U-235 is not explicitly estimated, but the total uranium assigned accounts for the U-235 in the material.

If workers extracted uranium 2 days per week, they would have been exposed to lower levels of airborne uranium in the Uranium Recovery Building for the remainder of the work week, or they would have been exposed to airborne radioactivity from phosphates in other buildings or areas. The 39 pCi/day U-238 intake rate from phosphate plant operations (see Table 3) is higher than the 6.84 pCi/day U-238 residual intake estimated for the Uranium Recover Plant (see Section 4.3). Therefore, intakes during uranium production periods will be modeled as two 10-hour days in the Uranium Recovery Building and three 10-hour days exposed to phosphate products.

U-238 is used as the basis for intake calculations. The relative ratios of other radionuclides for the uranium recovery work are provided in Table 1, and the relative ratios for the phosphate
plant work are provided in Table 2. The only difference is the Ra-226 ratio, which is presumed substantially removed from the phosphoric acid product used to extract uranium.

Table 3 provides a bounding U-238 inhalation intake of 39 pCi/calendar day from phosphate plant work, which is based on a U-238 air concentration of 4.798 pCi/m³ (derived from the dust concentration presented in Section 4.1). Applying a 1.2 m³/hour inhalation rate, a 10-hour work day, and a 3-day exposure to the 4.798 pCi/m³ dpm/m³ U-238 concentration, results in an inhalation intake of 172.7 pCi for the three days.

The weekly total U-238 inhalation intake is 1,200 pCi (1,027 pCi from uranium recovery and 173 pCi from phosphates).

Ingestion intakes are estimated as a function of the inhalation intakes using methods in OCAS-TIB-009 (NIOSH 2004). That method applies a factor of 0.2 to the air concentration to estimate daily ingestions; it is based on an 8-hour workday. Adjustments to the methods to allow for a 10-hour workday result in a factor of 0.223 applied to the air concentration. The work-week average air concentration was estimated from the total weekly intakes described above.

Intakes were normalized to calendar day intake rates, and the results are presented in Table 4. These intakes are similar to those in the TCC ER Table 7-4; however, adjustments were made to more accurately account for the assumed 2,500 hours worked per year.

Table 4: Intakes during Period of Uranium Recovery Plant Operation

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Radionuclides</th>
<th>Uranium Plant Inhalation (pCi/week)</th>
<th>Fertilizer Plant Inhalation (pCi/week)</th>
<th>Combined Inhalation (pCi/cal-day)*</th>
<th>Combined Ingestion (pCi/cal-day)*</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>U-238, Th-230, U-234, Pb-210, Po-210</td>
<td>1,027</td>
<td>173</td>
<td>171</td>
<td>3.19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pa-231, Ac-227</td>
<td>46.7</td>
<td>7.86</td>
<td>7.80</td>
<td>0.14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ra-226</td>
<td>48.3</td>
<td>173</td>
<td>31.6</td>
<td>0.59</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Th-232, Ra-228, Th-228</td>
<td>33.9</td>
<td>5.70</td>
<td>5.66</td>
<td>0.11</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*The calendar day intake rates in Table 4 are applicable from October 5, 1953, through March 31, 1954.

4.3 Intakes from Residual Contamination

The calculations presented in this section have been updated from the residual contamination estimates provided in the TCC ER. Updated depletion factors have been applied based on the most recent revision to ORAUT-0TIB-070 (ORAUT 2012). Also, the resuspension factor was changed from 1 x 10⁻⁶/m to 1 x 10⁻⁵/m.

The Uranium Recovery Building operated intermittently for a few months and never reached full operational status. The potential contamination levels would have been low initially and increased as more uranium concentrates were produced.

Intakes from residual contamination are based on settling and resuspension of particulates and depletion of source term as described in TBD-6000 (NIOSH 2011) and ORAUT-TKBS-070 (ORAUT 2012). An estimate was made of the surface contamination resulting from the settling
of 190 dpm/m^3 airborne uranium, the bounding concentration assumed for uranium recovery. The suspended particulates are assumed to have settled out of the air for 30 days with a settling velocity of 7.5 x 10^{-4} m/s. Assuming 190 dpm/m^3 for 30 days results in a total uranium contamination of 3.69 x 10^5 dpm/m^2. A resuspension factor of 1 x 10^{-5}/m was applied to the contamination level to determine a total uranium airborne concentration of 3.69 dpm/m^3. Half of the uranium intake is assigned to U-238. A 2,500 hour work-year and a 1.2 m^3/hour breathing rate is assumed.

The resulting U-238 intake rate is 6.84 pCi per calendar day starting April 1, 1954; however, during the AWE Operational Period (through September 1955) intakes provided for phosphate plant work are higher. Thus residual intakes from uranium recovery work are assigned starting at the beginning of the Residual Contamination Period, October 1, 1955, with application of depletion factors.

Depletion factors from ORAUT-OTIB-070 are provided at one year intervals based on a given rate of source depletion, starting with an initial factor of 1.0 for the first year. For this TCC calculation, the 1.0 factor is applied for April 1, 1954 through the end of 1955. The factors applicable to each year are provided in Table 5.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Depletion Factor</th>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Depletion Factor</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1955</td>
<td>1.00E+00</td>
<td>1967</td>
<td>5.32E-02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1956</td>
<td>7.83E-01</td>
<td>1968</td>
<td>4.16E-02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1957</td>
<td>6.13E-01</td>
<td>1969</td>
<td>3.26E-02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1958</td>
<td>4.80E-01</td>
<td>1970</td>
<td>2.55E-02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1959</td>
<td>3.76E-01</td>
<td>1971</td>
<td>2.00E-02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1960</td>
<td>2.94E-01</td>
<td>1972</td>
<td>1.56E-02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1961</td>
<td>2.31E-01</td>
<td>1973</td>
<td>1.23E-02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1962</td>
<td>1.81E-01</td>
<td>1974</td>
<td>9.60E-03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1963</td>
<td>1.41E-01</td>
<td>1975</td>
<td>7.51E-03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1964</td>
<td>1.11E-01</td>
<td>1976</td>
<td>5.88E-03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1965</td>
<td>8.67E-02</td>
<td>1977</td>
<td>4.61E-03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1966</td>
<td>6.79E-02</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Annual intakes from residual contamination were calculated by applying the depletion factors to the 6.84 pCi/calendar day U-238 initial intake rate, and multiplying by the number of calendar days. A chronic ingestion mode of intake is assumed to have occurred as a function of the airborne radioactivity using the same methods described in Section 4.2.

Section 4.5 provides dose reconstruction instructions and summary tables of intakes to be used for dose reconstructions. Intake rates from residual contamination for inhalation and ingestion are provided in Tables 8 and 9, respectively.
4.4 Radon Exposures

A class of TCC workers has been added to the Special Exposure Cohort (SEC). NIOSH has concluded, and the Secretary of Health and Human Services has concurred, that radon exposures cannot be estimated with sufficient accuracy from October 5, 1953, through September 30, 1955 (Sebelius 2011). Radon exposure estimates are assessed for the period of October 1955 through 1977. Estimates of radon exposure from residual contamination after October 1955 are provided below.

The wet process used to make phosphoric acid removes most Ra-226 from the phosphate stream in the plant. The radium resides in the phosphogypsum waste stack (pile) on the TCC property. The amount of phosphates used in the AEC uranium recovery work was small in comparison to the many tons TCC processed in subsequent years producing fertilizer. Although the waste piles are not typically occupied, it is assumed a worker worked routinely in the vicinity of the piles, which should provide a bounding radon exposure estimate during the residual period for radon exposures attributable to materials used for the AEC uranium recovery work.

Annual exposure to radon are provided in Table 6. The values were reproduced from the TCC ER, Table 7-6. Section 7.2.5.2 of the ER provides a detailed explanation of the derivation of the radon values.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>AEC radon, pCi/L</th>
<th>AEC WLM</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1955</td>
<td>0.38</td>
<td>*0.0056</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1956</td>
<td>0.38</td>
<td>0.022</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1957</td>
<td>0.38</td>
<td>0.022</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1958</td>
<td>0.091</td>
<td>0.0054</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1959</td>
<td>0.052</td>
<td>0.0031</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1960</td>
<td>0.036</td>
<td>0.0021</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1961</td>
<td>0.028</td>
<td>0.0016</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1962</td>
<td>0.023</td>
<td>0.0013</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1963</td>
<td>0.019</td>
<td>0.0011</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1964</td>
<td>0.016</td>
<td>0.0010</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1965</td>
<td>0.014</td>
<td>0.0009</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1966</td>
<td>0.013</td>
<td>0.0008</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1967</td>
<td>0.012</td>
<td>0.00069</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1968</td>
<td>0.011</td>
<td>0.00063</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1969</td>
<td>0.010</td>
<td>0.00057</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1970</td>
<td>0.0090</td>
<td>0.00053</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1971-1977</td>
<td>0.0084</td>
<td>0.00049</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*WLM values for 1955 only include exposures from October 1, 1955, through December 31, 1955. For other years, WLM calculations assume a 2,500 hour exposure for a full work year at the given concentration and a 0.4 equilibrium factor.
4.5 Internal Dose Reconstruction Summary

The intakes and radon exposure values provided are based on bounding assumptions. The dose distributions are to be applied as constant values.

Table 7 lists the intake rates for the various radionuclides for the AWE Operation period.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Area/Time Period</th>
<th>Radionuclides</th>
<th>Inhalation (pCi/d)</th>
<th>Ingestion (pCi/d)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Uranium Recovery/Phosphates</strong></td>
<td>U-238, Th-230, U-234, Pb-210, Po-210</td>
<td>171</td>
<td>3.19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>October 5, 1953, through</td>
<td>Pa-231, Ac-227</td>
<td>7.80</td>
<td>0.14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>March 31, 1954</td>
<td>Ra-226</td>
<td>31.6</td>
<td>0.59</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Th-232, Ra-228, Th-228</td>
<td>5.66</td>
<td>0.11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Phosphates</strong></td>
<td>U-238, Th-230, U-234, Ra-226, Pb-210, Po-210</td>
<td>39.4</td>
<td>0.73</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>April 1, 1954, through September 30,</td>
<td>Pa-231, Ac-227</td>
<td>1.79</td>
<td>0.033</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1955</td>
<td>Th-232, Ra-228, Th-228</td>
<td>1.30</td>
<td>0.021</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1. All intake values are normalized to calendar day intake rates.

For whole years of continuous employment see Tables 8 and 9, which have average daily inhalation and ingestion intake rates, respectively, by year, for both the AWE Operational and Residual Periods, assuming continuous full years of employment from 1953 through 1977.

Exposure to radon during the Residual Contamination Period is assigned according to Table 6.
### Table 8: Annual Inhalation Intakes

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>U-238, Th-230, U-234, Pb-210, Po-210</th>
<th>Pa-231, Ac-227</th>
<th>Ra-226</th>
<th>Th-232, Ra-228, Th-228</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>pCi/cal. day(^1)</td>
<td>pCi/cal. day(^1)</td>
<td>pCi/cal. day(^1)</td>
<td>pCi/cal. day(^1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1953(^2)</td>
<td>4.13E+01</td>
<td>1.88E+00</td>
<td>7.61E+00</td>
<td>1.36E+00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1954(^2)</td>
<td>7.20E+01</td>
<td>3.27E+00</td>
<td>3.75E+01</td>
<td>2.38E+00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1955(^2)</td>
<td>3.12E+01</td>
<td>1.42E+00</td>
<td>2.96E+01</td>
<td>1.03E+00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1956</td>
<td>5.35E+00</td>
<td>2.44E-01</td>
<td>2.52E-01</td>
<td>1.77E-01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1957</td>
<td>4.19E+00</td>
<td>1.91E-01</td>
<td>1.97E-01</td>
<td>1.38E-01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1958</td>
<td>3.28E+00</td>
<td>1.49E-01</td>
<td>1.54E-01</td>
<td>1.08E-01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1959</td>
<td>2.57E+00</td>
<td>1.17E-01</td>
<td>1.21E-01</td>
<td>8.48E-02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1960</td>
<td>2.01E+00</td>
<td>9.15E-02</td>
<td>9.45E-02</td>
<td>6.63E-02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1961</td>
<td>1.58E+00</td>
<td>7.19E-02</td>
<td>7.42E-02</td>
<td>5.21E-02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1962</td>
<td>1.24E+00</td>
<td>5.63E-02</td>
<td>5.82E-02</td>
<td>4.08E-02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1963</td>
<td>9.64E-01</td>
<td>4.39E-02</td>
<td>4.53E-02</td>
<td>3.18E-02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1964</td>
<td>7.59E-01</td>
<td>3.45E-02</td>
<td>3.57E-02</td>
<td>2.50E-02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1965</td>
<td>5.93E-01</td>
<td>2.70E-02</td>
<td>2.79E-02</td>
<td>1.96E-02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1966</td>
<td>4.64E-01</td>
<td>2.11E-02</td>
<td>2.18E-02</td>
<td>1.53E-02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1967</td>
<td>3.64E-01</td>
<td>1.66E-02</td>
<td>1.71E-02</td>
<td>1.20E-02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1968</td>
<td>2.84E-01</td>
<td>1.29E-02</td>
<td>1.34E-02</td>
<td>9.39E-03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1969</td>
<td>2.23E-01</td>
<td>1.01E-02</td>
<td>1.05E-02</td>
<td>7.36E-03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1970</td>
<td>1.74E-01</td>
<td>7.94E-03</td>
<td>8.20E-03</td>
<td>5.76E-03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1971</td>
<td>1.37E-01</td>
<td>6.22E-03</td>
<td>6.42E-03</td>
<td>4.51E-03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1972</td>
<td>1.07E-01</td>
<td>4.87E-03</td>
<td>5.03E-03</td>
<td>3.53E-03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1973</td>
<td>8.38E-02</td>
<td>3.81E-03</td>
<td>3.94E-03</td>
<td>2.76E-03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1974</td>
<td>6.56E-02</td>
<td>2.99E-03</td>
<td>3.08E-03</td>
<td>2.17E-03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1975</td>
<td>5.14E-02</td>
<td>2.34E-03</td>
<td>2.41E-03</td>
<td>1.70E-03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1976</td>
<td>4.02E-02</td>
<td>1.83E-03</td>
<td>1.89E-03</td>
<td>1.33E-03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1977</td>
<td>3.15E-02</td>
<td>1.43E-03</td>
<td>1.48E-03</td>
<td>1.04E-03</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1. All intake rates in Table 8 are normalized to calendar day intake rates of continuous exposure from January 1 through December 31 of each year. Assign intakes for the entire year, or see notes 2 and 3 below if partial year intake calculations are needed. For example, for full employment in all years the various intake rates are applied from January 1, 1953, through December 31, 1977.

2. The 1953, 1954, and 1955, intake rates are derived from the varying intake rates in Table 7. For partial years of employment in 1953 through 1955, use Table 7 for specific intake rates and dates from the start of the AWE Operational Period on October 5, 1953 through September 1955. Note: the daily intake rates for October 1955 through December 1955 are the same as the 1956 intake rate.
Table 9: Annual Ingestion Intakes

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>U-238, Th-230, U-234, Pb-210, Po-210</th>
<th>Pa-231, Ac-227</th>
<th>Ra-226</th>
<th>Th-232, Ra-228, Th-228</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>pCi/cal. day¹</td>
<td>pCi/cal. day¹</td>
<td>pCi/cal. day¹</td>
<td>pCi/cal. day¹</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1953</td>
<td>7.68E-01</td>
<td>3.49E-02</td>
<td>1.41E-01</td>
<td>2.53E-02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1954</td>
<td>1.34E+00</td>
<td>6.09E-02</td>
<td>6.97E-01</td>
<td>4.41E-02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1955</td>
<td>5.80E-01</td>
<td>2.64E-02</td>
<td>5.50E-01</td>
<td>1.91E-02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1956</td>
<td>9.95E-02</td>
<td>4.53E-03</td>
<td>4.68E-03</td>
<td>3.28E-03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1957</td>
<td>7.79E-02</td>
<td>3.54E-03</td>
<td>3.66E-03</td>
<td>2.57E-03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1958</td>
<td>6.10E-02</td>
<td>2.78E-03</td>
<td>2.87E-03</td>
<td>2.01E-03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1959</td>
<td>4.78E-02</td>
<td>2.17E-03</td>
<td>2.25E-03</td>
<td>1.58E-03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1960</td>
<td>3.74E-02</td>
<td>1.70E-03</td>
<td>1.76E-03</td>
<td>1.23E-03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1961</td>
<td>2.94E-02</td>
<td>1.34E-03</td>
<td>1.38E-03</td>
<td>9.69E-04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1962</td>
<td>2.30E-02</td>
<td>1.05E-03</td>
<td>1.08E-03</td>
<td>7.59E-04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1963</td>
<td>1.79E-02</td>
<td>8.15E-04</td>
<td>8.42E-04</td>
<td>5.91E-04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1964</td>
<td>1.41E-02</td>
<td>6.42E-04</td>
<td>6.63E-04</td>
<td>4.65E-04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1965</td>
<td>1.10E-02</td>
<td>5.01E-04</td>
<td>5.18E-04</td>
<td>3.64E-04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1966</td>
<td>8.63E-03</td>
<td>3.93E-04</td>
<td>4.05E-04</td>
<td>2.85E-04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1967</td>
<td>6.76E-03</td>
<td>3.08E-04</td>
<td>3.18E-04</td>
<td>2.23E-04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1968</td>
<td>5.29E-03</td>
<td>2.41E-04</td>
<td>2.48E-04</td>
<td>1.74E-04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1969</td>
<td>4.14E-03</td>
<td>1.88E-04</td>
<td>1.95E-04</td>
<td>1.37E-04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1970</td>
<td>3.24E-03</td>
<td>1.48E-04</td>
<td>1.52E-04</td>
<td>1.07E-04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1971</td>
<td>2.54E-03</td>
<td>1.16E-04</td>
<td>1.19E-04</td>
<td>8.38E-05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1972</td>
<td>1.99E-03</td>
<td>9.05E-05</td>
<td>9.35E-05</td>
<td>6.56E-05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1973</td>
<td>1.56E-03</td>
<td>7.08E-05</td>
<td>7.32E-05</td>
<td>5.14E-05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1974</td>
<td>1.22E-03</td>
<td>5.55E-05</td>
<td>5.73E-05</td>
<td>4.02E-05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1975</td>
<td>9.55E-04</td>
<td>4.34E-05</td>
<td>4.49E-05</td>
<td>3.15E-05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1976</td>
<td>7.48E-04</td>
<td>3.40E-05</td>
<td>3.51E-05</td>
<td>2.47E-05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1977</td>
<td>5.85E-04</td>
<td>2.66E-05</td>
<td>2.75E-05</td>
<td>1.93E-05</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1. All intake rates in Table 9 are normalized to calendar day intake rates of continuous exposure from January 1 through December 31 of each year. Assign intakes for the entire year, or see notes 2 and 3 below if partial year intake calculations are needed.

2. The 1953, 1954, and 1955, intake rates are derived from the varying intake rates in Table 7. For partial years of employment in 1953 through 1955, use Table 7 for specific intake rates and dates from the start of the AWE Operational Period on October 5, 1953 through September 1955. Note: the daily intake rates for October 1955 through December 1955 are the same as the 1956 intake rate.
5.0  External Dose

Workers at TCC had the potential to receive external dose from phosphate plant operations, uranium recovery operations for the AEC, and the developmental work for the AEC. Doses from the AEC research with small quantities of phosphate ores are presumed lower than from work in the production areas; therefore, dose from the development work is not assessed.

There are no dosimetry data or radiation measurements available for TCC. Therefore, NIOSH considered worker doses using source-term information and measured doses of phosphate plant workers according to OCAS-IG-004 (NIOSH 2008).

5.1  Penetrating Dose

5.1.1  Penetrating Dose from the Phosphate Plant

There have been numerous reports and studies on doses received by workers at phosphate plants. The most extensively published works are reports from the Florida Institute of Phosphate Research (FIPR), although the U. S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA), the National Council on Radiation Protection and Measurements (NCRP), and others have also published data on worker doses. A FIPR (1998) report contains a summary of some of the data that had been previously reported in various publications. Published data indicate average annual external doses received by workers at phosphoric acid and fertilizer plants are relatively low. The data considered were from various phosphate plants that used similar phosphate rock to produce phosphoric acid by the wet process.

Section 7.3.1 of the TCC ER discusses various data on external dose to phosphate plant workers. As recommended in the ER, a 220 mrem/year bounding external whole-body photon dose rate is assumed for work in the TCC phosphate plant. The annualized dose is equivalent to a dose of 0.00060 rem/calendar day, which is applicable during the AWE Operational Period; however, dose estimates for workers in the uranium recovery area are higher and are applied through March 1954. The 0.00060 rem/calendar day is applicable from April 1, 1954, through September 30, 1955.

5.1.2  Penetrating Dose from Uranium Recovery

The external doses were modeled based on the assumption that the uranium concentrates had the isotopic ratios listed in Table 1, which assumes dose contribution from natural uranium and progeny and contaminants in the natural thorium decay series.

MCNPX (version 2.7b) was used to determine the dose rate per curie of $^{238}$U regardless of the actual activity in the drum. This was later adjusted for actual source activity, and all radionuclides were considered as a ratio with respect to $^{238}$U to determine the number of photons and electrons per decay of $^{238}$U.

The number and energy of photons emitted per unit decay of $^{238}$U and the number of beta particle emissions per unit decay of $^{238}$U were compiled from Evaluated Nuclear Structure Data Files.
(NSDF) from the National Nuclear Data Center (BNL, no date). The emissions were binned by
emission probability. The resulting total photon emission probability per decay of 238U was
1.0434 for the energy range of 0.001 to 3.1 MeV. The resulting total beta emission probability
per decay of 238U was 4.19.

A density of 2 g/cm³ was chosen to provide a claimant-favorable geometry. Based on previous
evaluations for Blockson Chemical Company (NIOSH 2014), the density is nominally a self-
correcting factor for uranium in that it adds more shielding as it adds more activity. Since the
total quantity was fixed at 400 lbs., the exposure geometry was allowed to be higher in the drum
by using a lower density. The drum was assumed to have the same physical specifications as
listed in ORNL No. 100-1A2-0006. This model results in a drum approximately 75% full.

Photon flux was evaluated at 30 cm and 100 cm from the edge of the drum at a height of 77.9 cm
above the floor, the approximate height of the testes. Factors from ICRP (1996) were used to
convert the photon flux to units of air kerma. Results are provided in Table 10.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Distance from drum</th>
<th>Activity of 238U in drum (Ci)</th>
<th>Photon emission dose (rad/hr)</th>
<th>Bremsstrahlung dose (rad/hr)</th>
<th>Total dose rate (rad/hr)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>30 cm</td>
<td>0.05134</td>
<td>1.89E-3</td>
<td>2.02E-4</td>
<td>2.09E-3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100 cm</td>
<td>0.05134</td>
<td>6.16E-4</td>
<td>6.64E-5</td>
<td>6.82E-4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The exposure time for external dose is based on the assumptions of intermittent work as
described in Section 4.2. During the period of operation of the Uranium Recovery Plant, it is
assumed the intermittent uranium extraction work was a two-day-per-week process and that a
worker spent 20% of the time over those two days at a distance of 30 cm (one foot) from a drum
of product. For an assumed 10-hour work day, a worker would spend 4 hours per week at a
distance of 30 cm from the drum. This exposure scenario also allows for work in close
proximity to uranium concentrates in other locations in the building, such as working with filter
presses or manually transferring the material. If a 50 hour work-week is assumed, a worker
could have been working in the building for an additional 46 hours at a lower exposure rate
doing tasks that did not involve direct handling of uranium concentrate. Exposure during that
time is modeled by assuming a general area dose rate that is equivalent to the dose rate at 100 cm
from the drum of material. Thus the weekly external whole-body photon dose is calculated by
assuming 4 hours exposure at 30 cm and 46 hours exposure at 100 cm from the drum of material.

Applying the dose rates from Table 10 to the assumed hours, results in a weekly dose of 0.0397
rad (air kerma), or 0.0057 rad per calendar day. The dose is applied as 50% 30-250 keV photons
and 50% >250 keV photons. The dose is consider bounding and applied as a constant
distribution. The applicable dates are October 5, 1953, through March 31, 1954.
5.2 Non-Penetrating Dose

5.2.1 Non-Penetrating Dose from the Phosphate Plant

Non-penetrating beta dose from phosphate materials was estimated from available dose rates at 30 cm from the surface of yellowcake, adjusted for additional radionuclides and uranium concentration, as specified in the TCC ER, Section 7.3.4.1. The resulting dose rate is 0.028 mrem/hour. A 2,500 hour work-year is assumed, resulting in an annual beta dose of 0.070 rem, or a dose of 0.00020 rem per calendar day, which is applicable from April 1, 1954, through September 30, 1955. Dose is applied as electrons >15 keV as a constant distribution. See Section 5.2.2 for dose rates prior to April 1, 1954.

5.2.2 Non-Penetrating Dose from Uranium Recovery

Non-penetrating beta dose from the recovered uranium concentrates at TCC was estimated from available dose rates at 30 cm from the surface of yellowcake, adjusted for additional radionuclides, as specified in the TCC ER, Section 7.3.4.2. The resulting dose rate is 3.6 mrem/hour. Dose is modeled based on a 50 hour work-week. It is assumed workers were exposed to uranium concentrates for 20 hours per week at 3.6 mrem/hour during the period of intermittent uranium recovery work, resulting in 72 mrem/week. For the remaining 30 hours per week, beta exposure from phosphates is assumed at a rate of 0.028 mrem per hour is assumed (see Section 5.2.1), resulting in an additional 0.84 mrem. This results in a weekly beta dose of 0.073 rem, or 0.010 rem/calendar day, applicable from October 5, 1953, through March 31, 1954. Doses are applied as electrons >15 keV with a constant distribution.

Beta Dose from Contaminated Clothing

Skin dose from contamination of the skin, and from contact with contaminated work clothing was estimated from Mallinckrodt Chemical Company data of contaminated clothing. Average dose rates from contaminated clothing at Mallinckrodt indicate a level of 1.5 mrem/hour (AEC 1958). The Mallinckrodt dose rate is used as a bounding condition for TCC because Mallinckrodt handled materials of similar radiological constituents, but in larger quantities and with a higher radioactive material content. During operation of the Uranium Recovery Plant at TCC it is assumed that the workers were exposed to that level for 10 hours per week, which is considered an upper-bound condition. This results in a dose to the skin of 15 mrem/week, or 0.0021 rem/calendar day. Doses are applied as electrons > 15keV and a constant distribution. This dose is applicable for October 5, 1953, through March 31, 1954.

Electron dose from work clothing in the phosphate plant is considered insignificant due to the relatively low concentration of radionuclides.
5.2.3 Extremity Dose

Extremity dose is considered for operators and maintenance workers who may have had direct contact with the uranium concentrates in the Uranium Recovery Plant and direct contact with phosphate materials in other buildings.

Surface dose rates on yellowcake have been reported to be about 203 mrad per hour (DOE, 2000). The reported dose rates were modified for TCC uranium recovery and phosphate plant operations as described in the TCC ER section 7.3.4.3. The results are summarized below.

For the Uranium Recovery Plant, an estimate was made of shallow dose to the hands and forearms based on direct contact with yellowcake. A factor of 1.8 was applied to the 203 mrad/hour dose rate from yellowcake to allow for additional radionuclides assumed to be present in the uranium concentrates at TCC, resulting in a beta dose rate of 365 mrad/hour.

For the phosphate plant, the 203 mrad/hour dose rate was multiplied by a factor of 4.3 to allow for radionuclides associated with the uranium at TCC, then divided by 312 to adjust for the much lower concentration of uranium. The resulting dose rate is 2.8 mrad/hour.

Extremity dose modeling during the period of intermittent operation of the Uranium Recovery Plant is based on one hour of contact with the recovered uranium concentrate per week (1 hour*365 mrad/hour), and an additional five hours per week of contact with phosphates in other buildings (5 hours*2.8 mrad/hour=14 mrad). This results in a weekly extremity dose of 379 mrad, or 0.054 mrem/calendar day. This dose will be applied to the hands and forearms of operators and maintenance worker as electrons >15 keV for work from October 5, 1953, through March 31, 1954. Doses are applied as constant distributions.

Extremity dose is estimated for the period of April 1, 1954, through September 30, 1955, for exposure to phosphates. Six hours per week of direct contact at the rate of 2.8 mrad/hour is assumed, resulting in a weekly dose of 17 mrad, or 0.0024 rem/calendar day. This dose will be applied to the hands and forearms (operators and maintenance workers) as electrons >15 keV from April 1, 1954 through September 30, 1955. Doses are applied as constant distributions.

5.3 External Dose from Residual Contamination

External dose from residual contamination in the Uranium Recovery Building is considered. Measured dose rates from contamination in the Blockson Chemical Company uranium recovery facility are used to bound dose from residual contamination at TCC. Blockson’s uranium recovery plant was in production for over seven years with essentially the same source term. Dose rates on surfaces in that facility should provide a bounding estimate for TCC during the residual period. Based on information in Section 5.0 of the Blockson Technical Basis Document (NIOSH 2014), the dose from residual contamination at TCC will be assumed to be 0.06 R/year, or 0.00016 R/calendar day.

For TCC dose reconstructions, the dose from residual contamination will be applied as a constant because the TCC uranium plant produced less than 1% of the total uranium produced at
Blockson. The dose estimates from residual contamination in the Uranium Recovery Plant will be assigned starting September 1, 1955.

Beta dose from residual contamination is not considered separately because the 0.060 R/year dose rate estimates provided for Blockson was based on dose rate measurements taken with an instrument that was sensitive to both photon and beta radiation (DOE 1983).

### 5.4 External Dose from X-ray Examinations

There is no specific information available on required medical X-rays during the AWE Operational Period. The contract between the AEC and TCC had a provision requiring TCC “to conform to all minimum health and safety regulations and requirements of the Commission” (AEC 1953). An annual chest X-ray examination is presumed to have been required during the AEC covered period of 1953 through 1955. Doses should be assigned according to the recommendations in ORAU-OTIB-006 (ORAUT 2011).

### 5.5 External Dose Reconstruction Summary

Table 11 provides a summary of the dose estimates for dose reconstruction purposes.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Time Period</th>
<th>Dose1</th>
<th>Radiation Type</th>
<th>Dose quantity</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>October 5, 1953, through March 31, 1954</td>
<td>0.0057 rad/day</td>
<td>Photons 50% 30 - 250 keV 50% &gt; 250 keV</td>
<td>Air kerma</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.010 rem/day</td>
<td>Electrons &gt;15 keV</td>
<td>Shallow dose from yellowcake</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.0021 rem/day</td>
<td>Electrons &gt;15 keV</td>
<td>Shallow dose from contaminated clothing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.054 rem/day (operators and maintenance workers)</td>
<td>Electrons &gt;15 keV</td>
<td>Shallow dose to skin of hands and forearms</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>April 1, 1954, through September 30, 1955</td>
<td>0.00060 rem/day</td>
<td>Photons 50% 30 - 250 keV 50% &gt; 250 keV</td>
<td>Deep dose equivalent</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.00020 rem/day</td>
<td>Electrons &gt;15 keV</td>
<td>Shallow dose from phosphates</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.0024 rem/day (operators and maintenance workers)</td>
<td>Electrons &gt;15 keV</td>
<td>Shallow dose to skin of hands and forearms</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>October 1, 1955, through the end of covered employment</td>
<td>0.00016 R/day</td>
<td>Photons 50% 30 - 250 keV 50% &gt; 250 keV</td>
<td>Roentgen</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1 External doses are normalized to dose per calendar day. All values are considered constants.

Medical X-ray dose is assigned as described in Section 5.4.
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