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Goal of SEC Class Definition

- Identify workers who were potentially exposed to plutonium and other transuranics at CPP for 250 days.
  - To ensure we don’t miss anyone, we cast the net wide
    - Single badge at CPP and 250 days employment

- Why single badge?
  - Potential for some administrative personnel to be on annual dosimetry starting in 1966, thus 250 days might only have a single badge.
    - Administrative personnel had low potential for transuranic exposure especially from process cells or labs.
Goal of SEC Class Definition

- SEC Class definition identifies routine CPP workers with some potential for exposure to plutonium and transuranics but eliminates non-CPP workers with zero potential for transuranic exposure. For example:
  - Workers 30 miles away in Test Area North
  - Workers from Central Facilities, TRA, ARA

- Simplified definition does include some workers who may not have 250 days of exposure at CPP.
  - Temporary Workers – most for few days up to one week
Goal of SEC Class Definition

- Benefits of simplified single badge definition
  - Routine workers have multiple badges (monthly)
  - Mitigates misidentification issues due to incorrect name spellings on temporary badges
  - Eases need to identify all temporary badges (illegibility)

- Low probability of missing 52 badges, thus low probability of missing a worker truly exposed to transuranics for 250 days.
SEC Class Definition

- Potential concern of single badge class definition being too broad
  - Again includes workers that did not routinely work at CPP and who may not have 250 days of potential exposure
  - Difficult to sort out actual dates by dosimetry
    - Not impossible but requires more stringent criteria on finding and reading all handwritten badges
SC&A Evaluation

- 17/18 (94.4%) of CPP Temporary Workers identified and would be entered in the class
- 1/18 (5.6%) Case K misidentified by DOE and under current review would have been determined to be not part of the class and thus would be referred to NIOSH for Dose Reconstruction
  - During dose reconstruction NOCTS file indicates CPP work thus during dose reconstruction, inquiry would be sent to DOL about Class inclusion with documentation from NOCTS file.
  - Thus due to follow-up (check and balance) individual would likely be re-evaluated and included in SEC class.
Case K

- Having said all of this DOE erred on case K
  - DOE should have found at least 1 badge
  - SCA found 31 badges, NIOSH found 32 badges
  - Based on 32 badges, Case K has a total of 95 work days at CPP over 4 years
  - Temporary worker is part of current class definition, but actually does not have 250 days of potential exposure.
Cases K

- Contacted DOE to figure out why?
  - Initial response in October 2017 file had 296 pages
  - June 2018 response had 281
    - (more than just temporary badges missing in 2nd response)

- DOE recognizes there is an issue with this case but is not certain as to the reason the temporary badges for CPP were missed and why the response was short 15 additional pages of dosimetry.
  - Committed to resend the full response for Case K
  - Currently in process
Case F

- Although Case F would be part of the SEC Class, only 6 of 48 badges were found
- For Case F DOE only sent a partial response
  - Temp badges - not the full dosimetry files as requested
  - Claim was only 16 pages. October 2017 claim was 531 pages
- DOE Committed to redo Case F and resend the full dosimetry file as they would for a new claim
# Results of 18 Claims V&V’d for CPP Temporary Badges

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>SC&amp;A Case ID</th>
<th>Expected # Badges</th>
<th>Actual # Badges</th>
<th>Percentage of Badges</th>
<th>Included in SEC</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>K</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>12.5</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>78</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>94.1</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>94.3</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>92</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H</td>
<td>68</td>
<td>66</td>
<td>97.1</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>J</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Summary

- NIOSH demonstrated completeness of dosimetry badges
  - Routine Dosimetry Badges
  - Construction Dosimetry Badges
  - Temporary Badges
- SC&A’s V&V is of the Temporary Badges (group with highest vulnerability) to verify process
  - 94.4% of temporary workers accurately placed by DOE in SEC with current definition
- DOE notified of missed badges and is reviewing process to improve accuracy to 100%