UNITED STATES OF AMERICA
DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES
CENTERS FOR DISEASE CONTROL AND PREVENTION

+ + + + +

NATIONAL INSTITUTE FOR OCCUPATIONAL SAFETY
AND HEALTH
ADVISORY BOARD ON RADIATION
AND WORKER HEALTH

+ + + + +

BOARD SECURITY PLAN DEVELOPMENT WORK GROUP

+ + + + +

TUESDAY, APRIL 21, 2009

+ + + + +

The workgroup convened in the Zurich Room of the Cincinnati Airport Marriot, Hebron, Kentucky at 9:30 a.m., Bradley P. Clawson, Chairman, presiding.

PRESENT:

BRADLEY P. CLAWSON, Chairman

JOSIE M. BEACH, Member

ROBERT W. PRESLEY, Member

THEODORE M. KATZ, Acting Designated Federal Official

## IDENTIFIED PARTICIPANTS:

TED KATZ, Designated Federal Official
GRADY CALHOUN, NIOSH ORAU\*
MARK ROLFES, NIOSH ORAU\*

LARRY ELLIOTT, NIOSH ORAU

JOHN MAURO, SC&A

JOE FITZGERALD, SC&A

ARJUN MAKHIJANI, SC&A

KATHY ROBERTSON-DEMERS, SC&A\*

NANCY JOHNSON SC&A\*

STEVE OSTROW, SC&A\*

NANCY ADAMS, NIOSH Contractor\*

ROY LLOYD, HHS\*

EMILY HOWELL, HHS

TERRIE BARRIE, ANWAG\*

<sup>\*</sup>Participating via telephone

- 1 PROCEEDINGS
- 9:30 a.m.
- 3 MR. KATZ: Good morning. This is
- 4 the Board of Security Plan Development Work
- 5 Group of the Advisory Board on Radiation
- 6 Worker Health. This is the initial and
- 7 possibly the only meeting of this working
- 8 group, which will be developing Board
- 9 procedures for compliance with the DOE
- 10 security plan for access to records and for
- 11 review of documents, for classification review
- 12 of documents by DOE.
- 13 First off, let's just check on the
- 14 phone line. Can you hear us, somebody -- on
- 15 the phone line?
- MS. JOHNSON: Yes, we can hear you.
- 17 MR. KATZ: All right, great. I can
- 18 hear you. Let's do roll call first. We'll
- 19 start with the Board members in the room.
- 20 CHAIRMAN CLAWSON: Brad Clawson,
- 21 working group chair.
- MEMBER BEACH: Josie Beach, member.

- 1 MEMBER PRESLEY: Robert Presley,
- 2 member.
- MR. KATZ: And on the phone line,
- 4 do we have any Board members? Okay, I think
- 5 we're expecting -- Phil Schofield is on this
- 6 working group to call in shortly. And then for
- 7 the NIOSH ORAU team in the room?
- 8 MR. CALHOUN: Grady Calhoun.
- 9 MR. KATZ: And on the line, do we
- 10 have anyone from NIOSH ORAU team?
- 11 MR. ROLFES: Mark Rolfes.
- 12 MR. KATZ: Welcome, Mark. I think
- 13 we're expecting Larry Elliot to join us later
- 14 here in the room later today. And then now,
- 15 for SC&A, in the room?
- DR. MAURO: John Mauro, SC&A.
- 17 MR. FITZGERALD: Joe Fitzgerald,
- 18 SC&A.
- DR. MAKHIJANI: Arjun Makhijani,
- 20 SC&A.
- 21 MR. KATZ: And on the line, do we
- 22 have anyone from SC&A?

- 1 MS. ROBERTSON-DEMERS: Yes. Kathy
- 2 Robertson-Demers, SC&A.
- 3 MR. KATZ: Hi, Kathy.
- 4 MS. JOHNSON: Nancy Johnson, SC&A.
- 5 MR. OSTROW: Steve Ostrow, SC&A.
- 6 MR. KATZ: Okay, and was there a
- 7 fourth?
- 8 Kathy, Steve, Nancy -- was there a
- 9 fourth from SC&A? Okay.
- 10 And then, do we have any federal
- 11 employees on the line? There are none in the
- 12 room at this point, other federal employees.
- MR. LLOYD: Roy Lloyd, HHS.
- MR. KATZ: Welcome, Roy.
- Okay, I think we're expecting
- 16 Emily Howell to join us in the room. We'll
- 17 make note when she comes too. Are there any
- 18 members of the public on the line who want to
- 19 identify themselves?
- 20 MS. BARRIE: This is Terrie Barrie
- 21 with ANWAG.
- MR. KATZ: Welcome, Terrie.

- 1 MS. BARRIE: Good morning.
- 2 MR. KATZ: That does it for roll
- 3 call. Let me remind -- I can hear someone
- 4 breathing on the line. Let me remind everyone
- 5 on the line to please mute your phones except
- 6 when you have to speak. If you don't have a
- 7 mute button, \*6 will work, \*6.
- 8 If you disconnect -- if you need
- 9 to stop listening for awhile, disconnect.
- 10 Please don't use your hold button. Just hang
- 11 up and call back in because the hold will
- 12 disrupt the line.
- MS. ADAMS: Ted, it's Nancy Adams,
- 14 NIOSH contractor.
- MR. KATZ: Thank you, Nancy.
- 16 Welcome. And then, Brad, it's -- I'll turn it
- 17 over to you.
- 18 CHAIRMAN CLAWSON: Well, first of
- 19 all, we'll kind of go into a little bit of
- 20 background. Last year, NIOSH developed some
- 21 procedures for data retrieval and for dealing
- 22 with classified issues for the Department of

- 1 Energy and so forth.
- 2 Further aboard, they felt that it
- 3 influences sometimes the Board's independence,
- 4 so we were asked to be able to sit down and
- 5 write our own procedures for the Board to be
- 6 able to try to maintain that independence that
- 7 we have required to be able to do this
- 8 process, which, with the help of SC&A, we've
- 9 sat down and basically mirror-imaged what
- 10 NIOSH's procedures have been, but with just
- 11 slight changes to them in the data retrieval
- 12 and so forth. That's what started this.
- We've had one phone call since
- 14 then to discuss what we were going to go over
- 15 and so forth.
- 16 Since that time, Joe Fitzgerald
- 17 has helped us with putting together two
- 18 procedures to be able to do this. They've been
- 19 sent out to the Board members, but that's
- 20 about it until we get done with this.
- 21 I've got some here. I guess I'll
- 22 turn it kind of over to Joe so that he kind of

- 1 knows -- he went through the steps of where
- 2 we're at into this and put some very good
- 3 explanations at the bottom of the page of what
- 4 he's doing and why he did that.
- 5 MR. FITZGERALD: Does everyone have
- 6 a reference?
- 7 MEMBER PRESLEY: I've got this one.
- 8 MR. KATZ: Are these what were
- 9 distributed to us?
- 10 MR. FITZGERALD: Yes. This is it.
- 11 MEMBER BEACH: I've got two right
- 12 here that I brought. I don't know if you need
- 13 --
- MR. CALHOUN: Thank you.
- 15 MR. FITZGERALD: For
- 16 clarification's sake -- all right, this is Joe
- 17 Fitzgerald. For clarification's sake, what
- 18 we're talking about is a draft that's dated
- 19 March 9. It's data access interview
- 20 procedures. It's what we would call the
- 21 Board's equivalent to PROC-010, which was the
- 22 data access interview procedures that NIOSH

- 1 issued January 23, 2009.
- In support of the Board, what we
- 3 did essentially was to start with the PROC-010
- 4 procedure and just review what would be the
- 5 appropriate corresponding procedure for the
- 6 Board in support of the work group.
- 7 So what I want to do -- unless
- 8 there's any questions. Anyone have any
- 9 questions about the background of this thing?
- 10 What I want to do is just sort of walk through
- 11 the draft that the work group generated and
- 12 compare it as we go along to some extent with
- 13 the original PROC-010.
- 14 The intent was not to re-invent
- 15 the wheel in this case. I think there was no
- 16 argument that the procedures that were listed
- in PROC-010 were certainly appropriate in a
- 18 lot of cases for the data access of the sites.
- 19 What we were concerned about, from
- 20 the standpoint of the workgroup, was the
- 21 independence of the Board, the Board's
- 22 function within that procedure. So we focused

- 1 on that primarily.
- 2 I'm going to walk through this.
- 3 For the benefit of the folks on the phone, I'm
- 4 going to be probably a little bit more
- 5 explicit than I would be if everybody was just
- 6 simply looking over the paper here, so you can
- 7 follow what we're doing.
- 8 CHAIRMAN CLAWSON: Joe, one thing
- 9 that I would like to bring up is that during
- 10 this process, when this was sent out to the
- 11 Board and so forth, we asked for input into
- 12 the procedure and so forth, and we did get two
- 13 responses back. One was from Jim Melius, which
- 14 I have a copy of his request and some
- 15 punctuation errors from Dr. Ziemer.
- 16 But what we wanted to do is start
- 17 out with the basic procedure that we have. We
- 18 wanted to do -- in public -- show what the
- 19 changes were going to be that Dr. Melius
- 20 wanted and have this on the record, so this
- 21 will kind of come forth a little bit later.
- I wanted to let everybody know

- 1 that it had been out to the Board, that we had
- 2 requested comments, and we've only received --
- 3 any issues was with Dr. Melius.
- 4 MR. KATZ: Just to note, Emily
- 5 Howell has joined us from HHS general counsel.
- 6 Also, just to note that this is currently a
- 7 draft we're discussing that SC&A has drafted.
- 8 The working group has not yet worked on this
- 9 draft.
- 10 MR. FITZGERALD: They have
- 11 commented on it.
- MR. KATZ: Yes.
- MR. FITZGERALD: Okay. Let me just
- 14 begin with the purpose. Again, this is the
- 15 March 9 draft of data access interview
- 16 procedures draft of the working group.
- 17 What we wanted to focus in on the
- 18 purpose was to clearly state that it was the
- 19 procedure for the advisory Board, in terms of
- 20 its functions, statutory function, to
- 21 implement the sites in terms of what
- 22 information we would collect and how we would

- 1 collect it.
- 2 So this distinguishes, I think,
- 3 from the original NIOSH procedure 10, where it
- 4 was an overarching procedure that covered not
- 5 only NIOSH and its contractors, but the Board
- 6 and the Board's technical support contractors.
- 7 So this applies exclusively to the Board and
- 8 to the Board's technical support contractor.
- 9 What we say in the purpose section
- 10 is this procedure corresponds to and is
- 11 consistent with the objectives and tenets of
- 12 the NIOSH procedures contained in OCAS PROC-
- 13 010, which is the data access interview
- 14 procedures and PROC-011, which is the
- 15 classification review procedures.
- 16 So I think the intent there was to
- 17 indicate that while we were trying to
- 18 emphasize the Board's unique responsibilities
- 19 and role and how technical support contractors
- 20 would support that role, we were, in fact,
- 21 trying to make this as consistent with PROC-
- 22 010 as possible just to assure a coherent

- 1 uniform approach on the DOE site. I think
- 2 that's what DOE would want to see. I think
- 3 that's sufficient as well.
- 4 Actually, in practice, I think
- 5 things have been going reasonably well in
- 6 terms of the coordination, so the intent here
- 7 was to stipulate how the Board and its
- 8 technical support contractor would function
- 9 independently, but to point to how that would
- 10 be consistent with PROC-010.
- 11 This procedure differs in some
- 12 respects. It does not get into, necessarily,
- 13 all the steps. For example, with classified
- 14 information, and we can talk about that a
- 15 little later. It does point to the DOE
- 16 security plan. It does point to PROC-011. It
- does not repeat a number of those steps. I
- 18 think there were some sections in the PROC-010
- 19 that, in fact, did that. So to some extent,
- 20 there is some modification in that area.
- 21 In addition to data access, it
- 22 also gets into the steps to conduct interviews

- 1 at the sites -- it says on-site as well as
- 2 off-site -- and how the information collected
- 3 from that interview would be handled. So
- 4 again, that's pretty consistent with what I
- 5 think was in the original PROC-010. In terms
- 6 of scope --
- 7 MR. KATZ: Joe, before we go, there
- 8 is what seems like a substantial difference
- 9 under your purpose. I don't know if we want to
- 10 go through these piece by piece --
- 11 MR. FITZGERALD: Would that be
- 12 helpful?
- 13 CHAIRMAN CLAWSON: That would be
- 14 fine. MR. FITZGERALD: Okay.
- 15 MR. KATZ: But under purpose, you
- 16 have sort of broken out that it's -- the steps
- in this procedure are only recommended for
- data requests for all of the DOE and AWE
- 19 sites. But that they're required only for
- 20 certain sites that you list under appendix A,
- 21 named applicable weapons and former weapons
- 22 facilities, which is a real deviation, I

- 1 think, from the OCAS procedure, which requires
- 2 this procedure for all sites without any
- 3 qualification.
- 4 MR. FITZGERALD: Okay.
- 5 MR. KATZ: Maybe you could discuss
- 6 that.
- 7 MR. FITZGERALD: Well, I think that
- 8 was something that was not intended, so if the
- 9 wording is recommended -- this should be
- 10 required for the DOE sites.
- 11 MR. KATZ: Does everybody follow
- 12 where I'm leading here? It's in the purpose,
- 13 the second paragraph. It begins the steps
- 14 outlined in this procedure are recommended for
- 15 data requests for certain sites that are
- 16 listed in appendix A, but that they're only
- 17 required -- they're recommended for certain
- 18 sites, but they're only required for the sites
- 19 in appendix A.
- DR. MAKHIJANI: Joe, it seems to me
- 21 that in appendix A, you were listing all the
- 22 DOE sites that you were recommending for AWE.

- 1 Is that --?
- 2 MR. FITZGERALD: No. Appendix A is
- 3 a list that was derived -- I believe it was
- 4 for PROC-011.
- 5 CHAIRMAN CLAWSON: These are the
- 6 ones that have security issues?
- 7 MR. FITZGERALD: Right.
- 8 MR. KATZ: It's applicable weapons
- 9 and former weapons facilities. It's the
- 10 Lawrence Livermore -- there may be about 15 of
- 11 them, major sites.
- MR. CALHOUN: I'm not sure that
- 13 list is even necessary because they won't see
- 14 them all.
- DR. MAURO: Yes, I was just going
- 16 to say that.
- MR. CALHOUN: Not every one.
- 18 DR. MAURO: Is there any intent or
- 19 discussion on making distinctions between
- 20 these different kinds of sites?
- 21 MR. CALHOUN: That's how we started
- 22 and then DOE says no. I mean, just for an

- 1 example, we've all heard of the Residual
- 2 Contamination Report, mostly AWE facilities --
- 3 they want to see that?
- 4 MR. FITZGERALD: Okay, I don't see
- 5 any issue with making that required and apply
- 6 to all sites.
- 7 MEMBER BEACH: So you're just
- 8 saying slash out the recommended and --
- 9 MR. FITZGERALD: We don't have to
- 10 wordsmith it here. I would say required for
- 11 all sites and no list. I agree with Grady. I
- 12 think we kind of departed from being specific
- 13 unless -- I think that was an original
- 14 construct that the community was considering
- 15 back in the early fall last year, but I think
- 16 they've kind of diverged from that and want to
- 17 see everything now.
- 18 MR. KATZ: Brad, could I raise just
- 19 a procedural question?
- 20 CHAIRMAN CLAWSON: Sure.
- 21 MR. KATZ: Just in this example
- 22 that Joe noted not to do copy-editing here. Is

- 1 it fine with you -- throughout the document I
- 2 would suggest, it's very hard to do copy-
- 3 editing in real time, but if we just indicate
- 4 where there are issues and the substance of
- 5 the issue, could we then just provide comments
- 6 to SC&A and the working group and the working
- 7 group members, you all. Those copy edits then
- 8 can be done?
- 9 CHAIRMAN CLAWSON: Yes, that will
- 10 be fine, but I thought this was kind of what
- 11 this was for so we could go through this step
- 12 by step.
- MR. KATZ: I'm agreeing with that
- 14 totally. I'm just saying actual copy-editing,
- 15 writing it out as it -- to speak -- is
- 16 difficult to do.
- 17 CHAIRMAN CLAWSON: We'll have to
- 18 sit down afterwards and get it exact.
- 19 MR. FITZGERALD: Just to clarify,
- 20 you're saying to submit sort of more formally
- 21 after the meeting?
- MR. KATZ: Exactly.

- 1 MR. FITZGERALD: That's fine.
- 2 MR. KATZ: Just so that we don't
- 3 spend a lot of time trying to get the words
- 4 exactly right.
- DR. MAURO: Before we move on with
- 6 this, the fact that we're discussing --
- 7 distinctions may or may not emerge from
- 8 handling highly secure sites to just, let's
- 9 say, not such secure DOE sites, then the
- 10 different categories of AWE facilities --
- 11 there's a continuum here of interest. I
- 12 haven't been privy to lots of the discussions
- 13 related to when there may be treat-handling of
- 14 those interviews, data capture, or on the
- 15 back-end, review of work products.
- In my mind, I guess one of the
- 17 things I'd like to hear as we move through all
- 18 this processes, is where distinctions are made
- 19 where we may get a little relief -- because I
- 20 have been living in the trenches with the
- 21 procedures, as many of us have, and I know
- 22 that sometimes that can be burdensome.

- 1 On face value, some of them seem
- 2 to be burdening the system and perhaps,
- 3 sometimes it doesn't need to be burdened. But
- 4 sometimes you have no choice and I understand
- 5 that, and so as we move through this, those
- 6 places where distinctions are made, where
- 7 maybe some relief is granted not only to us
- 8 but also to OCAS, I'd like to hear a little
- 9 bit more about that and make sure if there is
- 10 room for some relief that we capture that
- 11 language in our procedures.
- MS. HOWELL: Ted, Nancy has said
- 13 they're having no sound.
- MR. KATZ: Oh no, there's no line,
- 15 as a matter of fact. That's what that beeping
- 16 was.
- 17 MR. FITZGERALD: I thought somebody
- 18 was coming in or something.
- 19 MR. KATZ: So let's break for a
- 20 second and figure out what happened with the
- 21 line.
- 22 (Whereupon, the above-entitled

1 matter went off the record at 9:48 a.m. and

- 2 resumed at 9:51 a.m.)
- 3 MR. FITZGERALD: This is Joe
- 4 Fitzgerald again. I hope everybody can hear
- 5 me. Quick recap -- I was going through the
- 6 purpose section, which is section 1 of the
- 7 proposed -- or I should say the strawman
- 8 version of the data access and interview
- 9 procedures for the Board.
- 10 I think a comment was raised when
- 11 we got to the applicability of this procedure
- 12 to the DOE sites. We had recommended with a
- 13 list of DOE National Security sites, which I
- 14 think was rightfully pointed out that it's an
- outmoded construct. It was something that was
- 16 a framework that we looked at, probably in
- 17 early fall last year and DOE is looking at all
- 18 documents coming from all their sites,
- 19 including AWE sites.
- 20 So what was commented on was the
- 21 need to reword that to indicate that this
- 22 procedure is required for all DOE, including

- 1 AWE sites. I think it was Grady's comment that
- 2 frankly, on that basis, the list of facilities
- 3 could be struck as well, which I agree with
- 4 because it is just not relevant at this point
- 5 given what the actual practice is in terms or
- 6 reviews at DOE sites.
- 7 So that's pretty much what we had
- 8 covered before the interruption and that's
- 9 where we are. We're in section 2.0, which is
- 10 scope.
- 11 MR. KATZ: Wait. Hold on one
- 12 second. Can someone on the phone let me know -
- 13 can you still hear us?
- MS. JOHNSON: Yes.
- MR. KATZ: Okay, okay. Thank you
- 16 very much.
- 17 MR. FITZGERALD: Okay, we are
- 18 moving on. That was section 1, in terms of
- 19 purpose. We're going to section 2, which is
- 20 the scope of the procedure.
- 21 The difference there is simply the
- 22 scope in the, I think, the original NIOSH

- 1 procedure was to obviously cover NIOSH's
- 2 contractors and the Board and its technical
- 3 safety, technical support contractors under
- 4 one umbrella. This particular scope applies to
- 5 the Board and its technical support contractor
- 6 alone. That's the difference in the scope.
- 7 References -- we are referencing
- 8 both PROC-010 and PROC-011, the NIOSH data
- 9 access interview procedures, the DOE
- 10 classification review of documents procedure,
- 11 the Title 42, part 82, Title 43, part 83,
- 12 which are the two key NIOSH reconstruction
- 13 regulations.
- DR. MAURO: Joe, I think it is 42.
- MR. FITZGERALD: I'm sorry. Did I
- 16 say 42?
- DR. MAURO: This is a typo.
- 18 MR. FITZGERALD: It's a typo? Okay.
- 19 We're passing typos as we go too. Again, this
- 20 is the strawman, so we are probably going to
- 21 do some editing as we go.
- 22 And the DOE security plan for the

- 1 EEOICPA program, which was issued on January
- 2 23, 2009 by memorandum from DOE.
- MEMBER PRESLEY: Before we go on.
- 4 MR. FITZGERALD: Yes?
- 5 MEMBER PRESLEY: Do you not need to
- 6 reference the Atomic Energy Act of 1954
- 7 because that's what this is all about?
- 8 MR. FITZGERALD: Well, I think we
- 9 wanted to -- again, NIOSH reference three
- 10 documents. We're referencing which we thought
- 11 were the most direct documents that bore on
- 12 this one. We kind of cut it off at the five or
- 13 six.
- I mean, clearly, there might be a
- 15 lot more than this, but I think these are the
- 16 five or six. But that's open -- I guess
- 17 whatever you want to do.
- MS. HOWELL: I was just going to
- 19 say that I'm pretty sure that 10 CFR 1017, the
- 20 DOE UCNI regulation incorporates that and some
- 21 other laws by reference.
- MR. KATZ: Okay.

- 1 MR. FITZGERALD: I mean, if there's
- 2 anything that --
- 3 MR. KATZ: And that reference is in
- 4 the OCAS document too.
- 5 MR. FITZGERALD: We actually added
- 6 a few more from OCAS, but understandably, we
- 7 listed two of the OCAS procedures, so clearly
- 8 that would have been listed, but we're here,
- 9 so --
- 10 MR. KATZ: On references, I just
- 11 suggest -- I mean, OCAS -- the PR-011, which
- 12 has to do with review of classified documents
- and so on, that's really a separate document
- 14 now with you. It wasn't really ever covered in
- 15 this document, so it probably can come out of
- 16 this as a reference.
- 17 It's not actually discussed in
- 18 this procedure except for in the front end
- 19 where it promises that it will be covered but
- 20 it's not covered, so you could just remove
- 21 that.
- MR. FITZGERALD: Or maybe the

- 1 question is whether it should be cited when we
- 2 get to the issue of classification or not.
- We can go to the DOE security
- 4 policy or plan as a reference in the back as
- 5 well as PROC-011. I'm not sure.
- 6 MR. KATZ: So just while I'm
- 7 explaining this, you have now a comparable
- 8 PROC-011 to the OCAS procedure, whereas I
- 9 think when you started writing this document,
- 10 you were thinking about covering PROC-011 and
- 11 this and that, but you never did.
- MR. FITZGERALD: Okay, I see what
- 13 you mean. I see what you mean, yes.
- MR. KATZ: It's not in here.
- MR. FITZGERALD: Yes, okay.
- 16 Certainly, the notion was that there wasn't
- 17 going to be an equivalent document to PROC-
- 18 011, but that has since been developed so this
- 19 would come out.
- MR. KATZ: Exactly.
- 21 MR. FITZGERALD: I got you.
- DR. MAURO: Joe, I've got another

- 1 question. I notice that there is an OCAS
- 2 policy 1 called handling and controlled
- 3 unclassified information.
- I've been not quite sure of the
- 5 distinction between -- where that policy fits
- 6 in with 10 and 11. There's distinctions that
- 7 are between policy and the PRs.
- 8 MR. CALHOUN: That policy was in a
- 9 higher level document that we wrote to comply
- 10 with the DOE security plan. We actually had
- 11 that document reviewed by DOE and then PROC-
- 12 010 and 011 are kind of implementing
- 13 procedures for the policy.
- DR. MAURO: Okay, so this is
- 15 hierarchy as here and though you've crossed to
- 16 make reference to this, it's captured by the
- 17 lower tier documents? Okay, thank you.
- 18 MR. FITZGERALD: You are saying
- 19 that it should not be listed?
- DR. MAURO: That's what I'm
- 21 hearing, yes.
- MR. FITZGERALD: Yes, I don't know

- 1 if there's a particular presumption on these
- 2 references, but certainly we can play with
- 3 that a little bit.
- 4 Again, a little bit more to the
- 5 meat of the procedure, getting into the
- 6 responsibilities. We spent some time,
- 7 obviously given the length of the treatment --
- 8 a couple pages worth on the responsibilities
- 9 just trying to clarify -- again, we haven't
- 10 had a -- I don't think -- a Board procedure
- 11 quite like this, so this is kind of cutting a
- 12 new cloth as far as who does what to whom.
- So to some extent, this truly is a
- 14 strawman that the work group would have to
- 15 consider as far as what makes sense and what
- 16 options are available. We chose one path just
- 17 to have, again, a strawman of how it might be
- 18 able to work.
- 19 Now, just for the benefit of the
- 20 folks on the phone, what we're trying to
- 21 contrast this with is in PROC-010, which is
- 22 the NIOSH data access procedures. It's a

- 1 system whereby we have NIOSH site points of
- 2 contact that the Board and its technical
- 3 support contractor would have to coordinate
- 4 with, work through, in terms of interactions
- 5 with DOE.
- 6 What we have in terms of
- 7 responsibilities for the Board -- in this
- 8 Board document is that the Chairman -- in this
- 9 case, Paul Ziemer -- would appoint POCs for
- 10 the various sites and presumably, those POCs
- 11 for sites that have work groups would be the
- 12 work group chairs. But nonetheless, those that
- 13 would be sort of the equivalent of what is in
- 14 PROC-010 is NIOSH POCs for the sites.
- 15 Getting into some of the details
- of what might be an approach for the Advisory
- 17 Board, POC would be responsible for the
- 18 implementation of this procedure at the site.
- 19 Advisory Board POC may delegate all or part of
- 20 his functions to the technical support
- 21 contractor doing work at that particular
- 22 site. Now, the reason this

- 1 framework is laid out because essentially
- 2 that's the MO or the modus operandi for how we
- 3 function at the DOE sites right now. We have
- 4 an individual or individuals that work with
- 5 DOE, identify information, make arrangements
- 6 for documents.
- 7 They keep the Board work group
- 8 chair informed of what's going on, status-wise
- 9 and whatnot. They coordinate with the NIOSH
- 10 POC. They coordinate with the DOE site POC. If
- 11 necessary, they go back and certainly do the
- 12 same with the headquarters POC. So that's kind
- 13 of the status-quo.
- Now, let me make it very clear.
- 15 We're following the issued PROC-010, which
- 16 requires a lot of those communications to be
- done through the NIOSH POC, certainly in he
- 18 beginning in particular, but through different
- 19 steps as prescribed by PROC-010.
- 20 This would provide certainly a
- 21 similar system, but that would be done through
- 22 the Advisory Board POC or as it is done now,

- 1 through SC&A, if in fact, the work group chair
- 2 looks to SC&A to do that.
- We have a couple things in here
- 4 that I want to just touch on. The chairman of
- 5 the Advisory Board would certainly provide the
- 6 DOE with the pertinent information on who's
- 7 who. Certainly, one of the challenges we have
- 8 is that a lot of times the site may not know
- 9 who we are and what function we're playing.
- 10 So to some extent, this helps in
- 11 terms of identifying people, identifying what
- 12 contracts we're operating under and whatnot.
- 13 It's sort of a station identification.
- 14 From there, we would coordinate
- 15 first time visits. This would still, in fact,
- 16 be very carefully coordinated with NIOSH. A
- 17 lot of times we're at the site at the same
- 18 time. From the DOE's standpoint, there's a
- 19 real advantage to making sure that they're not
- 20 getting inundated. So in terms of site visits,
- 21 in terms of document requests, that would
- 22 remain to be coordinated.

```
DR. MAURO: I'm sorry, Joe. You
```

- 2 left the first item under responsibilities on
- 3 the chairman --
- 4 MR. FITZGERALD: Yes. I am under
- 5 the Advisory Board point of contact. I think
- 6 the one item we had for the chair was to, in
- 7 fact, designate who the site POCs would be.
- B DR. MAURO: One of the things you
- 9 said that struck me that is very important is
- 10 that we know the trigger is for -- it says,
- 11 okay, we want an SEC review --
- 12 MR. FITZGERALD: Or a site.
- DR. MAURO: Some trigger, a
- 14 trigger. Now, at that point, that means that
- 15 there are procedures -- we, of course, SC&A,
- 16 have the Board approved procedures to, in
- 17 effect, implement. In fact, we trigger a
- 18 procedure that we already have in place and
- 19 has been approved.
- 20 MR. FITZGERALD: Right, right.
- 21 DR. MAURO: What you had mentioned
- 22 earlier was something that I hadn't thought

- 1 about. That is, once that trigger is pulled,
- 2 someone needs to inform DOE that this has
- 3 occurred.
- 4 Now, what I heard you say was that
- 5 someone is the chairman of the Advisory Board.
- 6 Is that right?
- 7 MR. FITZGERALD: No. No, what I
- 8 think -- on an annual basis is what we're
- 9 indicating here. DOE headquarters --
- 10 basically, Gina's office -- just simply wants
- 11 to know who is going to the sites.
- 12 This is service station
- identification that we would update every year
- 14 and that would be provided to DOE so that they
- 15 can be apprised of who they can expect to see
- 16 and who is cleared and who is not.
- 17 It's really kind of what we
- 18 provide as we go now. This will be something
- 19 that would be provided by the Board.
- DR. MAURO: Okay. That was my
- 21 question.
- MR. FITZGERALD: It's ad hoc.

- DR. MAURO: Right now, it's ad hoc,
- 2 okay. So once that process is started by the
- 3 Board, the way in which we -- I know one of
- 4 the things that we've agreed to is this
- 5 baseline in that aspect.
- 6 But that clearly establishes
- 7 obligations that SC&A has to interface
- 8 directly with the NIOSH point of contact when
- 9 they're about to begin this process. I know
- 10 that's a little later, but before that process
- 11 begins, it's almost like there's another --
- 12 beside plugging into the NIOSH world, which
- 13 may have been ongoing for some time, it seems
- 14 that once the trigger is pulled, we have to
- 15 plug into the DOE world.
- 16 It seems a little unclear who is
- 17 going to have the obligation and
- 18 responsibility to alert the appropriate --
- 19 MR. FITZGERALD: Let me back up. I
- think we're getting hung up on something that
- 21 was identified for the chair.
- 22 Really, the process is on an on-

- 1 going basis, the chair of the Advisory Board
- 2 would certainly designate a POC for a
- 3 particular site.
- 4 Now, I say POC because clearly,
- 5 all the DOE sites, AWEs, have work group
- 6 chairs. It's not work groups necessarily.
- 7 DR. MAURO: Right.
- 8 MR. FITZGERALD: It doesn't mean we
- 9 wouldn't be necessarily collecting the
- 10 information at that site.
- 11 So in this case, there would be a
- 12 Board individual, Board member, who would have
- 13 cognizance of that particular site, who, if in
- 14 fact, either SC&A is supporting that
- 15 individual or is in fact, delegating
- 16 responsibilities to go ahead and do the data
- 17 access, there would be a Board individual that
- 18 would be sort of the Board's representative
- 19 for that particular site.
- DR. MAURO: Okay.
- 21 MR. FITZGERALD: That's pretty much
- 22 the essence of what the chair would do.

- Now, this other item, when we got
- 2 into the POCs is simply housekeeping. That's
- 3 why I don't want to spend too much time on
- 4 that because really, we're sort of doing on
- 5 the fly, keeping DOE apprised of who is on-
- 6 site, who's clear, who's not, who's working
- 7 for whom, and that kind of thing.
- I think this was just something
- 9 that based on experience should be helpful to
- 10 have the Board provide DOE rather than sort of
- 11 have it kind of be piecemeal as we went.
- 12 So this not something, I think, is
- in PROC-010. It's not something that's in our
- 14 procedures, but it was felt to be a useful
- 15 adjunct to how we operate, rather than have it
- 16 be sort of ad hoc as to what SC&A is doing in
- 17 terms of individuals and what their clearance
- 18 status is and that kind of thing. So anyway,
- 19 that's all that is.
- 20 Moving beyond that, really, the
- 21 responsibilities for the Advisory Board POC
- 22 would be to do some of the up-front work that

- 1 the NIOSH POC would do in PROC-010, which is
- 2 basically to be the interface with DOE.
- Now, we do have that sort of
- 4 parenthetical mention of delegating this to
- 5 this technical support contractor. The reason
- 6 that's there is, again, the practice, as it
- 7 has evolved over the last five or six years is
- 8 in fact -- in this case, SC&A -- does handle
- 9 all the hands-on kind of interactions in terms
- 10 of data access.
- 11 So the question is whether or not
- 12 the Board work group would want to see that
- 13 pretty much be enabling through this or not.
- 14 I think that Certainly is one question that --
- 15 really, we have work group chairs. We have
- 16 POCs from the Board standpoint, but if SC&A is
- 17 day to day, that would be almost full time
- 18 collecting data interacting. It might be
- 19 something that makes sense.
- 20 CHAIRMAN CLAWSON: Joe, if I could
- 21 interrupt. This is -- and I don't mean -- I
- 22 think this would be the best Board to bring it

- 1 in.
- 2 Dr. Melius raised these issues of
- 3 the point of contact not being the Advisory
- 4 Board. It being a point of contact would be
- 5 SC&A.
- 6 The reason that I initially put
- 7 this in here is because as an Advisory Board
- 8 member, we are really ultimately responsible
- 9 for knowing what our contractor is doing and
- 10 going so forth and so that's why we kind of
- 11 wrote it in this way.
- But in listening to what Dr.
- 13 Melius has said -- he is correct that the
- 14 point of contact should not be the Advisory
- 15 Board. It should be the SC&A point of contact
- 16 reporting back to the Advisory Board member
- 17 because he agreed with me that we need to
- 18 know, as Advisory Board members, what is going
- on, where they are going, what they're looking
- 20 for.
- 21 The SC&A people, with their
- 22 responsibilities should meet certain

- 1 requirements in terms of familiarity with DOE
- 2 procedures, appropriate training, et cetera --
- 3 I'm reading from his notes -- breach
- 4 designated site, the work group chair will be
- 5 with the designated SC&A point of contact for
- 6 the site. The designated SC&A point of contact
- 7 should work with NIOSH POC and appropriate DOE
- 8 site and headquarter staff on records
- 9 requirements, et cetera.
- 10 His number one was the SC&A
- 11 project office works with the Advisory Board
- 12 chair to designate an SC&A point of contact to
- 13 assist for this program.
- 14 After discussing it on a phone
- 15 call, we all agreed with it, that what Jim was
- 16 saying was really right because as Advisory
- 17 Board members, we really don't have the time
- 18 to do that.
- 19 But what I was trying to be able
- 20 to say is we could delegate it too, but we
- 21 feel that it would be much cleaner if we
- 22 initially just put the responsibility onto our

- 1 technical contractor.
- 2 That's going to come out, but in
- 3 this first draft, we wanted to be able to do
- 4 this on public and on record of the changes
- 5 that we wanted to do just with all our whole
- 6 transparency and so forth. But this is what
- 7 we're ultimately going for.
- 8 MR. KATZ: And while we're on this
- 9 point, let me just also note, since the
- 10 designated federal official is in effect,
- 11 really responsible for things that go wrong
- 12 and so on in terms of this whole world of
- 13 work, I think it would be useful -- I totally
- 14 agree about having SC&A serve as point of
- 15 contacts. I completely agree with that.
- 16 Certainly, there can be
- 17 communication with working group chairs where
- 18 there are working group chairs to keep them
- 19 apprised without that bogging it down in any
- 20 way.
- 21 But the designated federal
- 22 official, I think, needs to be informed of the

- 1 assignment of an SC&A point of contact and
- 2 then the designated federal official can
- 3 communicate those to DOE. That way you have
- 4 that formal communication with DOE, keeping
- 5 apprised of who is coming on as a point of
- 6 contact for a particular site.
- 7 We can do that on an annual basis
- 8 or whatever makes sense in terms of keeping
- 9 that information fresh for the duration of
- 10 SC&A activities at a particular facility.
- 11 DR. MAURO: And I think it's
- 12 important to keep a record of -- one thing I
- 13 notice is very often, I'll find myself saying,
- 14 who is the NIOSH point of contact that I
- 15 should make sure that I put on copy on some
- 16 correspondence related to some activity for
- 17 whatever reason.
- It's not always -- now, they're
- 19 entering this process in the same way, I
- 20 think, SC&A needs to maintain a record that's
- 21 available to all interested parties. Who is
- 22 the designated point it contact at SC&A for

- 1 Santa Susana? For Fernald, et cetera, et
- 2 cetera, so that everyone on the Board,
- 3 everyone at NIOSH, and perhaps even members of
- 4 the public -- I don't know whether they've
- 5 been given --
- 6 CHAIRMAN CLAWSON: I think NIOSH
- 7 and SC&A have been doing --
- B DR. MAURO: We've been trying to do
- 9 that.
- 10 CHAIRMAN CLAWSON: The only thing
- 11 that has happened is as the work load has
- 12 gotten bigger, some people have been switched
- 13 from one side. Just like you and Hans and so
- 14 forth. When we do do something like that, we
- 15 need to just remember to be able to make
- 16 everybody aware of it.
- DR. MAURO: Is this something that
- 18 we want to put up on the website? Right now,
- 19 there's none. The website is very complete.
- 20 That is, we have lots and lots of information
- 21 on work groups, who's on them. Is this
- 22 something that we feel -- certainly, there's

- 1 nothing wrong with the procedure, but while
- 2 we're talking about it, is this something we
- 3 want to memorialize and keep current so that
- 4 everyone can look it up, if someone is
- 5 interested in getting information or getting
- 6 a status report? Any feelings regarding that?
- 7 MS. HOWELL: The list? I'm sorry?
- 8 DR. MAURO: The list of the
- 9 designated points. Once an SC&A person is
- 10 designated as the point of contact on a
- 11 particular SEC petition review or site profile
- 12 review, is that something you want to
- 13 officially put on the website so that people
- 14 want contact information and there's never any
- 15 question by a Board member because sometimes
- 16 it's not known.
- 17 Maybe there will be NIOSH people
- 18 who want to communicate. It goes both ways. I
- 19 know there are times when I say who's the lead
- 20 on Hanford because I may be putting a memo out
- 21 and I want to make sure that -- usually, what
- 22 I end up doing right now is sending to Jim

- 1 Neton and then he makes sure the right person
- 2 gets it.
- 3 MEMBER BEACH: So you're talking
- 4 about adding that to the current list that's
- 5 already on the web, the points of contact?
- DR. MAURO: Yes. Right now.
- 7 MEMBER BEACH: I believe that's
- 8 already done.
- 9 DR. MAURO: Not SC&A people.
- 10 MEMBER BEACH: Or is it just what
- 11 we did?
- DR. MAURO: Not SC&A people.
- MS. HOWELL: There's some on -- I
- 14 mean, I think -- on the work group list,
- 15 there's an SC&A contact.
- DR. MAURO: Is that right?
- 17 MS. HOWELL: But I don't know that
- 18 that's the same thing.
- DR. MAURO: I'm wrong.
- MS. HOWELL: It may not be the same
- 21 thing, though.
- 22 CHAIRMAN CLAWSON: We've got it on

- 1 our work group list, but I don't know if
- 2 that's on the Board or not.
- 3 MEMBER BEACH: So this is an older
- 4 one, but that's what I have seen.
- 5 DR. MAKHIJANI: Are we -- is the
- 6 task manager for a particular site profile
- 7 going to be also the same point of contact for
- 8 DOE? Is that what we're talking about or is
- 9 there one SC&A point of contact for accessing
- 10 documents?
- 11 MR. FITZGERALD: Yes. I think the
- 12 intent of --
- DR. MAKHIJANI: I'm not clear.
- MR. FITZGERALD: Yes, the intent of
- 15 the provision was really to hone in on a Board
- 16 point of contact because I think the SC&A
- 17 point may actually shift around as we go from
- 18 site profiles to SECs or whatever.
- More than likely, not, because
- 20 we've been trying to keep people consistent.
- 21 But nonetheless, I think that's part of the
- 22 reason for wanting to do this real-time

- 1 listing of who's who and who's where because
- 2 it does get confusing for almost all parties,
- 3 really, as to who the point of contact might
- 4 be.
- I know we had the same question of
- 6 NIOSH. Who's the point of contact for NIOSH?
- 7 DR. MAURO: You know what's
- 8 interesting? In our situation, we do have an
- 9 individual -- for example, I'm the lead on
- 10 Fernald, but when it comes to -- whenever we
- 11 do need to have a site visit, data capture,
- 12 that responsibility, quite frankly, I think
- 13 for all sites, has fallen on Kathy Biers.
- In other words, she has been the
- 15 person that has been making all the
- 16 arrangements, has developed a relationship
- 17 with all the contact points. It seems to be
- 18 working well.
- 19 So in a funny sort of way, though
- 20 I might be the lead, I look to Kathy to
- 21 implement and schedule all of the myriad
- 22 things that need to be done to make

- 1 arrangements for these kinds of things and to
- 2 communicate with the lead point of contact.
- 3 So I think, Arjun, your question
- 4 is very well taken. One person might very well
- 5 be the lead for the particular site profile
- 6 review, but in terms of the point of contact
- 7 and the actual day to day interaction between
- 8 whether it's NIOSH and DOE, it's usually Kathy
- 9 unless --
- MR. FITZGERALD: That's why --
- DR. MAURO: It's a little bit of ad
- 12 hoc.
- MR. FITZGERALD: It has been.
- 14 That's why I think it's important that there
- is a designated Board point of contact who is
- 16 aware of who's who and who's doing what at
- 17 their particular site and if there's some way
- 18 to convey that information, whether it's the
- 19 DFO or whomever, so that they know who to call
- 20 or contact.
- 21 Now, you're right. I think it has
- 22 been ad hoc and I think it's one of these

- 1 where familiarity -- Gina knows to call Kathy
- 2 if they say interview question and knows to
- 3 call me if it's a security issue or knows to
- 4 call you if it's a Fernald work group issue
- 5 from the SC&A standpoint. So it's sort of
- 6 tribal knowledge, but it does get confusing at
- 7 times when you have so many sites.
- I think we've kind of got to the
- 9 point where we have a lot of parties, a lot of
- 10 sites, a lot of POCs. I was sort of writing
- 11 this up and I sort of got tangled up in all
- 12 the POCs.
- DR. MAURO: Well, maybe we can
- 14 regiment it. Maybe the reality is there are
- 15 certain process and I guess it's up to you,
- 16 Ted, sort of processes that we do have to
- 17 leave a little bit of wiggle room to allow the
- 18 process to breathe. I don't know.
- 19 MR. KATZ: I mean, I think I would
- 20 prefer -- and we can add this to the
- 21 procedures as an initial step, since the
- 22 procedure sort of starts with the assumption

- 1 that there's a point of contact-- but that
- 2 there be an assignment of a point of contact.
- 3 That can change. There's no time requirement
- 4 on that, but that there be -- SC&A would
- 5 assign a point of contact and inform me and
- 6 inform also if there's a relevant working
- 7 group chair, inform that person too of that.
- 8 Anyway, I can inform NIOSH and DOE
- 9 who that point of contact is and keep that
- 10 information fresh just as long as I'm apprised
- 11 by SC&A. Emily?
- MS. HOWELL: And I just want to
- interject before we get away from this point
- 14 of contact discussion that I'd like to see --
- 15 and I think you've already made a note about
- 16 this, Joe -- but I'd like to see the role of
- 17 the DFO as both the contracting officer and
- 18 the designated federal official for the Board.
- 19 It is necessary that he or she be
- 20 included on all of these communications, not
- 21 just initially, but it bears ongoing things
- 22 happening between the technical support

- 1 contractor point of contact, the Board point
- 2 of contact.
- 3 Ted or whomever is serving as the
- 4 DFO needs to be cc'ed on all of those e-mails
- 5 because otherwise we have no ability to really
- 6 track. Since we're working with different
- 7 Board members, different SC&A or other
- 8 technical support staff, the agency and the
- 9 Board has to have somebody who's actually able
- 10 to track what's going on and that's necessary
- 11 from a contracting perspective. It's necessary
- 12 from a FACA perspective, so that needs to be
- 13 clarified in this paragraph.
- 14 The one mention of the DFO near
- 15 the bottom really makes it sound like the only
- 16 purpose of notifying the DFO is to coordinate
- 17 with NIOSH to prevent duplication of work and
- 18 that's not really it.
- MR. FITZGERALD: No, that's a -- I
- 20 did make note of that, by the way, but I think
- 21 you're evoking something even more relevant.
- 22 I think it's a discussion because

- 1 as the Board is, I think, understandably
- 2 reluctant to get into the day to day because
- 3 of the amount of interaction that goes on --
- 4 I mean, typical DOE site data access interview
- 5 process is just almost a daily interaction. I
- 6 think the NIOSH folks appreciate that too,
- 7 having been on-site doing the same thing.
- 8 We don't and I don't know if you
- 9 want to have the 100's of thousands of
- 10 communications cc'ed. I think, though, if I
- 11 can make a distinction -- what you're
- 12 referring to is the sort of formal, here's a
- data capture plan. Here's a site visit that
- 14 we're scheduling, things that would be
- 15 milestones rather than this sort of minutiae
- 16 of what happened to this document, can we
- 17 coordinate these documents?
- MS. HOWELL: Right.
- 19 MR. FITZGERALD: I think you're
- 20 talking about those milestones. That's a
- 21 subjective thing, but I think I understand
- 22 what you're saying.

- 1 MS. HOWELL: I think you're going
- 2 to have to use some discretion, obviously, but
- 3 I mean, when in doubt --
- 4 MR. FITZGERALD: Right.
- 5 MR. KATZ: I concur completely with
- 6 what you said, Joe. The major milestones are
- 7 what I would be cc'ed on. Then if there are
- 8 problems that arise from the SC&A's
- 9 perspective or DOE's, that's when I would --
- 10 MR. FITZGERALD: That's helpful
- 11 because I think that's the way it operates now
- 12 and we'll certainly put something in here
- 13 that's more distinct about that need and
- 14 requirement that DFO be copied if not included
- in some of these things in terms of actual
- 16 actions.
- 17 These are actions or milestones
- 18 where the process is moving forward, for
- 19 example, and commitments are being made. Some
- 20 of these have implications on DOE for
- 21 resources, which I appreciate that is a key
- 22 aspect of where NIOSH has to be involved

- 1 because again, from an inter-agency
- 2 standpoint, if a request goes on and it
- 3 involves their making documents available or
- 4 doing things for us, then that will need some
- 5 kind of account commitment, which I understand
- 6 -- I'm not sure all the backroom machinations,
- 7 but they'll have to make sure they get paid
- 8 for that.
- 9 MR. KATZ: So I think some of that
- 10 back, in particular, can be reflected in the
- 11 procedures themselves. But in this section
- 12 that we're in right now, responsibilities, I
- 13 think the DFO role can just be elaborated a
- 14 little to explained the general role.
- MR. FITZGERALD: Maybe even a
- 16 separate --
- 17 MR. KATZ: It doesn't -- we
- 18 shouldn't have procedures in the roles, per
- 19 se.
- MR. FITZGERALD: Right.
- 21 MR. KATZ: We just want to explain
- 22 the role.

- 1 MR. FITZGERALD: Right, right. I
- 2 think this is probably fairly exhaustive as it
- 3 stands. But I think the intent was to provide
- 4 enough details to give some sense about what
- 5 these individuals would be doing.
- 6 CHAIRMAN CLAWSON: Joe, can I bring
- 7 up one thing? John brought up that on the
- 8 NIOSH web page that the SC&A contact and
- 9 actually even the NIOSH contact -- where it
- 10 shows the different working groups, it just
- 11 has the Advisory Board members. It does not
- 12 have SC&A or the NIOSH point of contact on
- 13 that.
- 14 That would be for Larry if they
- 15 want to put that. But right now, all there is
- 16 is the working groups and the Advisory Board
- 17 members are on.
- 18 MEMBER BEACH: Ours must come out.
- 19 MR. KATZ: Yes, there are two
- 20 versions. There's a version that gets sent to
- 21 the Board that has -- because the Board needs
- 22 to know the SC&A contacts. But then there's

- 1 the version that's -- he's absolutely right --
- 2 the version that's on the website just lists
- 3 the Board membership.
- 4 MR. FITZGERALD: Okay. Just picking
- 5 up where we left off on the -- this is, again,
- 6 still with the Advisory Board point of contact
- 7 or its designee. Again, that possibility.
- 8 I think Brad made the comment that
- 9 we're sort of in a dual mode. I mean, the
- 10 draft reflects the Advisory Board point of
- 11 contact being in this role of doing sort of
- 12 first hand interface with DOE.
- 13 A comment from Jim Melius was this
- 14 should be pre-delegated, and as it's done now,
- 15 you may have the technical support contractor,
- 16 SC&A, do this work and keep the Board
- 17 informed.
- 18 I think -- I've worked with them
- 19 in discussion, but I guess that's -- you
- 20 accept that approach?
- 21 CHAIRMAN CLAWSON: Yes. I accept
- 22 that approach. My main thing that I was trying

- 1 to get by the Advisory Board working group
- 2 chair would be involved so that he knew what
- 3 was going on at the sites, what was being
- 4 requested, and where they were going at. I
- 5 just wanted to have a little bit more input of
- 6 what was going on.
- 7 We'd still be able to have with
- 8 what Dr. Melius says, but then there would be
- 9 no question as to a Board member taking on
- 10 that kind of responsibility because
- 11 truthfully, that's a full time job.
- 12 MR. KATZ: To affect that -- I
- 13 mean, to get what Brad's asking for -- if
- 14 you're going to be copying me anyway under
- 15 major milestones and making requests to decide
- 16 who's going to be a visit, et cetera, you can
- 17 copy the working group chair on these
- 18 communications and that way they're completely
- 19 informed as well.
- 20 Then if Board members want to
- 21 participate in a visit, et cetera, that will
- 22 be their information to be able to act on. I

- 1 think that will work very easily.
- 2 MR. FITZGERALD: And I think that
- 3 would also tighten up a system, which I think
- 4 we're operating under anyway, but would make
- 5 it much more systemic that everybody would
- 6 know each step of the way how things are going
- 7 on-site and could choose to participate, raise
- 8 questions, and what not.
- 9 There was an argument here I just
- 10 want to -- again, we're still on the top part
- 11 of page 3 under the Advisory Board point of
- 12 contact where we indicate that again, we'll
- 13 coordinate first time visits and this is
- 14 pretty much the current practice.
- 15 Again, this doesn't get into a
- 16 flow diagram, but I think it touches on the
- 17 things that we would raise questions or
- 18 requests of DOE on which are arrangements for
- 19 site visits, describing the activities, who we
- 20 are, what we are intending to do, and
- 21 requesting assistance from the site of DOE
- 22 headquarters and meeting DOE security

- 1 classification review obligations.
- The next one, where we coordinate,
- 3 submit all data requests to DOE, which is of
- 4 course the data capture plan, and then this is
- 5 something that -- what we say next, is insures
- 6 that the information capture is properly
- 7 protected and is provided for uploading in the
- 8 site research database.
- 9 This is in PROC-010. This is not
- 10 something that we do routinely. I think it's
- 11 been moving that way, in terms of under PROC-
- 12 010 and even before that, trying to make sure
- 13 what we collect is shared fully with NIOSH and
- 14 ORAU and then can be put up on SRDB.
- I think it's a great idea. I think
- 16 it's something that we want to see happen as
- 17 well and we, in fact, have been doing that, I
- 18 think, since probably early fall. I think,
- 19 with Mound, in fact, we've been it since last
- 20 summer.
- 21 But the -- and we'll get to this
- 22 detail a little later -- the logistics of

- 1 scanning, which is something that we don't
- 2 routinely do, I think is a challenge. I think
- 3 what we've done in the past and what I did at
- 4 Mound was I was there with ORAU and ORAU
- 5 scanned while we collected, which was very
- 6 convenient. I'm not sure that's going to
- 7 happen at all the sites.
- 8 So in terms of a loose end, I
- 9 think it's a good idea to make sure that
- 10 everything that we collect gets on the SRDB as
- 11 available, but the mechanics of getting it
- 12 scanned and doing that, I think, we'll have to
- 13 pick up on later.
- I think what we say in the
- 15 corresponding piece that is in PROC-010 about
- 16 how that's done, I think we just indicate that
- 17 either NIOSH or DOE would do that. Now, again,
- 18 that's a strawman. I have no idea what either
- one would be willing to do. But I think that's
- 20 a need that has to be addressed.
- 21 How? We don't have scanning
- 22 equipment. Certainly, we don't necessarily

- 1 have access to either agency and it's not
- 2 clear either agency would be willing to do
- 3 that, but to make that happen, I think that's
- 4 an open question. I don't know if you want to
- 5 talk about that now or talk about it later.
- 6 DR. MAURO: But when that happens -
- 7 let's say our phones are out of site and we
- 8 go through the limited bibliographic databases
- 9 at the site --
- 10 MR. FITZGERALD: We go through
- 11 boxes of records. We pull records, right.
- DR. MAURO: And if we have them in
- 13 front of us, we say, here's what we need.
- 14 MR. FITZGERALD: You want that.
- 15 Right.
- DR. MAURO: Now, it's at that -- so
- 17 we're physically actually at the DOE site now
- 18 when we identify documents that we think are
- 19 important?
- MR. FITZGERALD: Right.
- 21 DR. MAURO: And we want to bring --
- 22 we want them cleared?

- 1 MR. FITZGERALD: What we do --
- 2 well, clearance is another issue.
- 3 DR. MAURO: Okay.
- 4 MR. FITZGERALD: But in terms of --
- 5 assuming that it's already been reviewed,
- 6 screened, and what we're looking at is
- 7 cleared, we want a copy. We copy it and we
- 8 bring it back. That's pretty much the way it's
- 9 been.
- DR. MAURO: Well, now we share. I
- 11 ask, how do we share?
- MR. FITZGERALD: Well, the question
- is how do we go from having a copy of a
- 14 relevant document that we'll reference in our
- 15 review to one which is not only shared with
- 16 NIOSH and ORAU, which we do, and they, in this
- 17 case, have scanned our copy or scanned the
- 18 original -- at Mound, they were scanning
- 19 originals because we were doing it at the same
- 20 time -- but how do we go into an electronic
- 21 form.
- Now, there's a number of reasons

- 1 why -- I don't know if we need to spend time
- 2 today trying to figure out the mechanics of
- 3 how you do it. I'm just saying that I think
- 4 the intent is there, the need is there. It's
- 5 not clear how that could happen. We could
- 6 certainly shift copies of what we find to one
- 7 of two agencies. We can work with the DFO in
- 8 terms of capabilities for scanning, portable
- 9 scanning.
- 10 I'm just saying that that -- even
- 11 though it's in the procedures, the ability to
- 12 do that is not there right now.
- DR. MAURO: Is it something that
- 14 would be different depending on the site and
- 15 the degree to which the site --
- MR. FITZGERALD: Yes, and I think
- 17 that's covered. That's covered in the NIOSH
- 18 procedure.
- DR. MAURO: Maybe we should be
- 20 looking -- in other words, we're going to do
- 21 it. How it's going to be done has to be dealt
- 22 with on a case by case basis? Would that be --

- 1 MEMBER PRESLEY: Jim, you know DOE
- 2 is not going to let you do your own scanning.
- 3 MR. FITZGERALD: No, but I'm just
- 4 tracking with, I think, the approach that was
- 5 mentioned in a NIOSH document, which said that
- 6 some sites, I guess it was capable to make
- 7 arrangements and that was one of the options.
- 8 Another of the options was to do scanning on-
- 9 site.
- 10 In our case, a third option was we
- 11 just would send it back to SC&A and they would
- 12 do the scanning. I'm just raising that because
- 13 I don't think that was within the scope of
- 14 work originally and having been there
- 15 firsthand, it's not a trivial thing.
- 16 It's actually a lot of work to go
- 17 through and do page by page. I was
- 18 relieved that Mounds and actually ORAU was
- 19 willing to scan our documents too. I'm just
- 20 saying that that needs to be addressed as a
- 21 loose end, but it's a big loose end in terms
- 22 of making that happen.

- 1 MEMBER PRESLEY: Don't the
- 2 documents have to b DC'ed, number one before
- 3 they go off site.
- 4 MR. FITZGERALD: Yes, this is not
- 5 DOE -- this is after they've been DC'ed, but
- 6 before we take them and start using them. The
- 7 question is how do we get them, both NIOSH and
- 8 ORAU, and also get them into an electronic
- 9 form. Maybe that's -- maybe they go over hard
- 10 copy and is scanned once it gets over to NIOSH
- 11 or ORAU.
- MR. KATZ: Another current OCAS
- 13 procedures is 5.1.5, just as long as we've
- 14 jumped ahead and we're discussing the scanning
- 15 question. OCAS has it at simply two
- 16 alternatives -- NIOSH or its contractors
- 17 conduct the scanning.
- 18 It says when NIOSH contractors
- 19 conduct scanning, the DOE site POC is
- 20 responsible for providing adequate space to
- 21 conduct scanning and for ensuring that all
- 22 documentation is appropriately marked before

- 1 the scanning is conducted.
- 2 The alternative is DOE conducts
- 3 the scanning. It says when DOE agrees to
- 4 conduct the scanning, NIOSH site POC and the
- 5 DOE site POC will coordinate an appropriate
- 6 schedule to ensure scanning conducting in the
- 7 time frame that meets NIOSH's needs and duty.
- 8 I think SC&A can have just the
- 9 parallel structure there. Maybe I'm naive, but
- in scanning equipment, I think, to the extent
- 11 that you do your own scanning, this isn't
- 12 major purchases of very expensive equipment
- 13 and so on, is it? I mean, it's --
- 14 MEMBER BEACH: It could be
- 15 resources and equipment taken to the site.
- 16 MR. FITZGERALD: It's going to be
- 17 time and equipment. That's basically it.
- 18 MR. KATZ: Right. But it's an
- 19 appropriate expenditure of time because the
- 20 purpose of this is important to everybody.
- 21 MR. ELLIOTT: If it helps to
- 22 streamline it, if you want to give us hard

- 1 copies, we could get it scanned. We could put
- 2 it in PDF and put it in whatever folders you
- 3 want us to put it in.
- 4 MR. FITZGERALD: I think what we're
- 5 trying to do is avoid an extra step where if
- 6 you have receipt requirements, meaning that I
- 7 think I've seen the screening requirements for
- 8 what goes on in the SRDB, and there's a number
- 9 of thresholds that have to be met before it
- 10 gets put on.
- I wouldn't want to try to have
- 12 them do the scanning, have to go back and
- 13 forth, if it's easier just to send the hard
- 14 copies over.
- But I didn't want to presume, in
- 16 this part piece, that this would be picked up
- one way or the other at this point.
- 18 MR. KATZ: I think it's near
- 19 procedure of you just provide for it either
- 20 being done by SC&A in a case where DOE is not
- 21 going to do it or as Larry just offered,
- 22 providing the materials to NIOSH to do the

- 1 scanning. That will work?
- 2 MR. FITZGERALD: Okay, we'll then
- 3 take another look at the provision that you
- 4 just mentioned in there and use the same
- 5 language. But again, that's just cited as one
- 6 of the roles.
- 7 Just to complete what we had for
- 8 the Advisory POC, before we lose that thread,
- 9 the Advisory Board POC or, I guess, where we
- 10 are now, is SC&A is responsible for notifying
- 11 NIOSH, the DFO -- and again, I think the point
- is well taken that we're going to be much
- 13 clearer that there's more with the DFO than we
- 14 have here -- and working group members,
- 15 concerning site visits when we're going to the
- 16 sites for the first time and also on-site
- 17 visits and coordinating data capture requests
- 18 as we're doing now, the idea there is to avoid
- 19 unnecessary duplication with previous and
- 20 ongoing NIOSH data requests.
- 21 I think that's been actually, in
- 22 practice, working much better. The issue there

- 1 is essentially full disclosure on both sites
- 2 so that when we go to DOE, who is the
- 3 recipient of both levels of request, they're
- 4 not getting the same request and we're
- 5 avoiding that duplication.
- 6 We'll get into, maybe, some of
- 7 that a little later. I think what may be
- 8 lacking is, to some extent -- we call it
- 9 baseline, but the disclosure is somewhat ad
- 10 hoc in a sense that I think we're sending e-
- 11 mails back and forth requesting information.
- 12 I think one advantage and one
- 13 improvement might just to be make that a
- 14 little bit more automatic as we go into
- 15 different sites to -- and this goes for us as
- 16 well -- to just pretty much put all the
- 17 information on the table that's been collected
- 18 to date and also anything that's in progress
- 19 so that when we go to DOE, they're not going
- 20 to come back and say well, NIOSH just got that
- 21 or the same people have been interviewed
- 22 already.

- DR. MAURO: Let's talk about a
- 2 little bit of a practical side. The real world
- 3 work we've seen, like, for example, I believe
- 4 there was a Brookhaven action and there were
- 5 reports for March.
- 6 As always, we wanted to --
- 7 sometimes, you know, you're not available and
- 8 the question we then find ourselves, all
- 9 right, well, lest sit tight for awhile. Wait
- 10 till we get a chance and I think eventually
- 11 everything got cleared up.
- 12 One of the things I did, for
- 13 better or worse, is I said, well, listen. We
- 14 do it the best we can to coordinate,
- 15 communicate baseline, but I don't want to hold
- 16 up the train. So I said let it rip. That's
- 17 what I did.
- 18 Now, I realize that that breaks
- 19 the rules because we're supposed to hold off.
- 20 But maybe there's a -- because in the real
- 21 world, everyone is not always available when
- 22 you'd like to have them available. That's just

- 1 the way it is and there's nothing wrong with
- 2 that.
- Well, I guess I'd like to talk a
- 4 little bit about when we -- let's say we e-
- 5 mail, make a couple of phone calls, give a
- 6 reasonable amount of time, whatever that
- 7 means, to try to get some feedback, maybe even
- 8 contact General Contact or contact Ted for
- 9 this and in the process of trying to baseline
- 10 and launch, at some point though, I guess, we
- 11 want to move. I mean, we've got our horses
- 12 ready to go.
- 13 I've got a little feel from what
- 14 the sense is. When we run into these types of
- obstacles, which happen regularly and it's
- 16 okay, should we just move and just keep you
- 17 apprised so that you know we've started to
- 18 move and eventually, we re-connect or should
- 19 we just sit tight and wait until -- and not
- 20 launch?
- 21 MR. CALHOUN: I don't think we
- 22 should hold you up. I mean, in that one

- 1 particular case, I thought I had sent it and
- 2 I didn't.
- I think that I would be okay with
- 4 saying hey, I've asked this a couple times. I
- 5 haven't got it, so I'm going to be moving
- 6 forward. That's what I do and it worked for
- 7 me.
- B DR. MAURO: It will catch up. It
- 9 catches up. It's all well-intended. It just
- 10 happens.
- 11 MR. KATZ: But the situation is
- 12 much easier now because now you don't have --
- 13 you're not going through a NIOSH point of
- 14 contact for making requests and so on. I mean,
- 15 the only thing you need to do with the OCAS
- 16 staff is baseline.
- DR. MAURO: This is what I want
- 18 because right now --
- 19 MR. KATZ: In this instance, but in
- 20 general, this is going to --
- 21 MR. CALHOUN: We want to keep it as
- 22 efficient as we can with DOE too.

- 1 MR. KATZ: Absolutely.
- 2 MR. CALHOUN: The harder we make it
- 3 for them, the harder they make it for us.
- 4 MR. KATZ: Absolutely.
- 5 DR. MAURO: When you step back on
- 6 the history of this, the real trigger, or one
- 7 of the big triggers of the problem, was going
- 8 back to DOE, asking is this the document that
- 9 you folks you already have and that's
- 10 embarrassing.
- 11 So we really, really give a great
- 12 deal of importance to baseline.
- MR. KATZ: Okay, just to recap.
- 14 What we have for the Advisory Board POC, based
- 15 on Jim Melius's comments -- a lot of that
- 16 would evolve to SC&A, but essentially, it's to
- 17 make arrangements with the site.
- This is with the DOE site, in
- 19 terms of data capture, planning interviews,
- 20 how to deal with classified information, how
- 21 to coordinate the actual on-site work, and
- 22 what we indicate was those specific milestones

- 1 would be certainly coordinated, copied with
- 2 the DFO as we progressed.
- 3 But we would act on behalf of the
- 4 Advisory Board POC, typically the work group
- 5 chair in most cases, and would keep that work
- 6 group chair or Advisory Board person apprised
- 7 the same way the DFO is apprised, but pretty
- 8 much as we progress through the major
- 9 milestones of the process of getting
- 10 information and doing interviews.
- We would keep everybody informed
- 12 as we went. We would not necessarily,
- obviously, day to day, try to deluge anybody
- 14 with everything that we're doing, but
- 15 certainly the milestones we would keep you
- 16 apprised of.
- 17 CHAIRMAN CLAWSON: And when will
- 18 you copy that? You said the DFO.
- 19 MR. FITZGERALD: Yes, and again, I
- 20 think the major milestones would be the
- 21 initial contact when we do send a letter to
- 22 the site that kind of lays out who we are,

- 1 what we're doing, the purpose, and some key
- 2 word searches, that kind of thing.
- We have, over the last year, come
- 4 up with a data capture plan approach -- I
- 5 think we prototyped it at Mound -- where we
- 6 mirrored pretty much what NIOSH and ORAU does
- 7 in the way of coming up with a formal plan
- 8 that lays this out -- who we want to talk to,
- 9 what information we want.
- 10 That data capture plan would be
- 11 baselined against anything that NIOSH has done
- 12 at the site, NIOSH and ORAU, to make sure
- 13 there's not any duplication. That would be
- 14 something that would happen very early in the
- 15 process.
- 16 I think one difference that would
- 17 be in the procedure would -- we'd want that to
- 18 be sort of a baseline to start with. It
- 19 wouldn't be something we'd have to go through
- 20 a lot of requests for. It would be an
- 21 understanding that essentially what we want is
- 22 what documents, classified and unclassified,

- 1 to happen collective -- in terms of classified
- 2 documents, where they reside since obviously
- 3 they can't be easily forwarded.
- 4 In terms of the unclassified
- 5 documents, the ones that are generally
- 6 available -- what's on the site research
- 7 database, the O: drive, what is not -- perhaps
- 8 they haven't been scanned yet -- and what is
- 9 in process of being collected, which is the
- 10 tougher part. That's the one where the request
- 11 has been made.
- Maybe the documents are coming,
- 13 but they're not available yet. But yet, we
- 14 probably don't want to ding DOE again to ask
- 15 the same stuff. We know what's in the
- 16 pipeline. We just won't ask for those
- 17 documents. We'll wait for them to arrive and
- 18 be scanned in and then go forward. That would
- 19 be a big benefit.
- 20 Looking at things like Brookhaven
- 21 and other sites, I think we probably can
- 22 reduce the number of documents duplications by

- 1 50, 75 percent. It's a large number of
- 2 documents that we would have asked for. We're
- 3 not going to ask for because it's already been
- 4 requested and obtained. So this is a major,
- 5 major saving, in terms of the efficiency of
- 6 the process.
- 7 Once we go through the baseline,
- 8 however, and find what may be left that we're
- 9 going to go through and submit those to DOE
- 10 and collect those documents and from there, I
- 11 think, go ahead and do the interviews and
- 12 write the report.
- But those milestones would be
- 14 copied to both Ted, DFO, and to the
- 15 appropriate Advisory Board POC. So that's kind
- 16 of the summary of that responsibility.
- DR. MAURO: Joe, once you get by
- 18 the baseline process in the raw and running --
- 19 we've done due diligence and we've made sure
- 20 that we reviewed and now we have our plan and
- 21 we know what we want to do, we've put together
- 22 our data capture plan and wrote the DOE, how

- 1 closely do we coordinate and communicate with
- 2 the NIOSH point of contact once we're off and
- 3 running on the whole. When I say on the whole,
- 4 we've finished the baseline.
- 5 How closely knit -- I know you
- 6 were very close with Brant throughout the
- 7 process on Mound. You guys were connected at
- 8 the hip during the process, watching the e-
- 9 mail exchanges.
- 10 Once we're off and running, is
- 11 this something that takes its own form as a
- 12 self organizing process?
- 13 MR. FITZGERALD: I think it's both.
- 14 I think -- it's all fact finding, so if I find
- 15 facts that NIOSH doesn't have access to, I'm
- 16 going to make those facts available and
- 17 documents and interview notes, that kind of
- 18 thing, so once we capture what we capture in
- 19 the way of documents and whatnot, they would
- 20 and should be available.
- Now, obviously, the research and
- 22 analysis and figuring out what it means from

- 1 the standpoint of the independent review, that
- 2 would be propriety and is something that we
- 3 would, obviously, keep to ourselves. But the
- 4 actual documents and the facts collected at
- 5 the site is something that should be shared
- 6 and we've been sharing that.
- 7 So really, that's not going to
- 8 change. I think interaction after we've been
- 9 on-site is one of making documents and facts
- 10 available to everybody, no matter who collects
- 11 it and then engaging back and forth.
- I think with Mound, if I'd come
- 13 across documents that Brant wasn't aware of,
- 14 I'd just send them over and he did the same.
- 15 So I think the fact finding part, I think, is
- 16 pretty straightforward,
- MR. KATZ: So even, I mean, part of
- 18 this is already -- since you're going to be
- 19 notifying the DFO on major milestones like
- 20 captures and so on, and I'm sure you'll be
- 21 speaking to the OCAS point of contact anyway
- 22 because you're checking up on whether they're

- 1 in the process of getting something anyway,
- 2 but I get copied as well, just as another
- 3 failsafe. So I can copy the OCAS point of
- 4 contact with that information so they know as
- 5 well that that next step is coming online.
- 6 MR. FITZGERALD: Yes, the
- 7 interaction would be matter of coordinating
- 8 milestone and any resource issues because
- 9 again, resource issues would be on -- we have
- 10 a site that may not have the resources, and
- 11 this is very common, or can't get to it
- 12 because they have other priorities.
- We would both inform the DOE
- 14 counterparts and inform you that we have a
- 15 problem. Wednesday have to wait for some
- 16 resolution of the problem from that
- 17 standpoint.
- 18 DR. MAURO: So there are really
- 19 several hubs. In other words, when you're
- 20 working and communicating -- you've provided
- 21 the information that's critical. You've
- 22 provided the Board chairperson, Brad, with the

- 1 same information, so there are more than one
- 2 hub where information goes out and from there,
- 3 it goes out further.
- 4 For example, when you get your
- 5 information, I guess you communicate and
- 6 coordinate with an array of individuals that
- 7 you feel are essential and need to be kept
- 8 informed of these matters.
- 9 Same thing goes with the Board
- 10 members. Is it true that with -- I don't think
- 11 we're dealing with a linear process. That's
- 12 what I'm getting at.
- MR. FITZGERALD: No, no, no.
- 14 Absolutely not because, take Brookhaven, for
- 15 example. We're doing a site -- we're halfway
- 16 through a site profile review while Grady is
- 17 finishing up probably ER and we'll finish that
- 18 up just as something is going to happen with
- 19 his product and then we may very well turn
- 20 around and let the Board authorize to do
- 21 additional work, so it's going to be a very
- 22 organic process.

1 The only thing I think we can do

- 2 is make sure everybody knows who's on first,
- 3 who's on second, that we're in fact, on-site,
- 4 doing this so if he or his folks are going on-
- 5 site as well, they know we're there doing data
- 6 capture for a site profile review.
- 7 If DOE, say Brookhaven, is maybe
- 8 balking because they feel like they've been
- 9 inundated -- they don't really easily make the
- 10 distinction. This is SC&A and this is NIOSH.
- 11 They just see external folks coming in.
- So just having that information
- 13 out, I think, is important. The rest of it is
- 14 simply something that we would do in practice,
- 15 which is to make sure that there's full
- 16 disclosure of all factual documents,
- 17 information that's been collected so that
- 18 everybody has the same facts.
- 19 What we do with the facts is
- 20 another issue, but the facts are there and
- 21 there's no surprises as we go along if there's
- 22 a document or something that somebody wasn't

- 1 aware of. There's always some gaffes.
- 2 Something comes up. But we typically take care
- 3 of it pretty fast.
- 4 Okay, I think -- unless there's
- 5 some questions capsules what we have looked at
- 6 or the Advisory Board slash technical support
- 7 contractor role and how that plays against the
- 8 DOE and NIOSH.
- 9 Now, the DOE site point of
- 10 contact, I think we -- I'll have to go back
- 11 and double-check, but I think we kind of
- 12 mirrored much of what was in PROC-010 on what
- 13 DOE's role would be.
- 14 This kind of reflects current
- 15 practice in respecting we still have to work
- 16 through the Greg Lewises and whatnot to make
- introductions to sites. Then once we get on-
- 18 site, we have to deal with the site point of
- 19 contact and their security people. I've been
- 20 doing this for five years so in a sense,
- 21 there's some native understanding of how that
- 22 works. Each site has a different records

- 1 process and different faces that touch, so
- 2 that has to be tailored.
- We do rely on folks like Kathy
- 4 Robertson-Demers because this tends to be an
- 5 experience-based process where you sort of
- 6 have to navigate the site in terms of what's
- 7 required.
- But the DOE point of contact, that
- 9 responsibility is essentially, once the
- 10 coordination is done, to provide the records
- 11 to gather information and make arrangements
- 12 for interviews to -- whatever site-specific
- 13 requirements there are for records and
- 14 security. That's pretty much laid out in this
- 15 provision.
- 16 MR. KATZ: I just have a comment
- 17 about this. This is in partners of this, but
- 18 it goes right on this and I just would make
- 19 the suggestion -- so OCAS basically just says
- 20 this is DOE point of site, site POC's role and
- 21 leaves it at that.
- 22 But this goes beyond that and sort

- 1 of instructs DOE about how to conduct this
- 2 role. I would suggest that that's really not
- 3 appropriate for your procedure.
- 4 MR. FITZGERALD: Can you be
- 5 specific?
- 6 MR. KATZ: So beginning after
- 7 directives here, it says that -- it goes on to
- 8 say -- so it tells you what the DOE POC will
- 9 do -- provide access, et cetera -- and then it
- 10 says the DOE site POC will develop and
- 11 administer a process to ensure that DOE
- 12 documents undergo thorough and timely
- 13 classification review, blah, blah, blah.
- I'm just saying that's really --
- 15 that's DOE's responsibility to handle their
- 16 business well. I really think probably your
- document shouldn't be instructing DOE on how
- 18 to do their work because at least, the OCAS
- 19 document doesn't instruct DOE on how it's to
- 20 do its work in parallel, and I think you have
- 21 to -- certainly, if there are issues because
- 22 DOE is not doing its work in a way that's

- 1 timely and so on, that's again, when I would
- 2 want to know about that and then we can have
- 3 discussions with DOE about the problems.
- 4 But really, to sort of lay out in
- 5 this document --
- 6 MR. FITZGERALD: Well, maybe it's
- 7 the --
- 8 MR. KATZ: There's performance
- 9 expectation --
- 10 MR. FITZGERALD: I don't think it's
- 11 performance expectations. I think it was more
- 12 reflecting actual experience with what role
- 13 they assume.
- 14 This is strictly a section on
- 15 roles, so if the will -- the use of the will
- 16 is -- noting some -- then I would just say
- 17 strike --
- 18 MR. KATZ: If you're striking the
- 19 wills, I think maybe it's just the --
- 20 MR. FITZGERALD: I mean, I think
- 21 you could lose it completely just because I
- 22 don't think really it's necessary, but that's

- 1 again --
- 2 MR. KATZ: Also, John, I guess
- 3 maybe the issue of -- DOE, at then end of the
- 4 day, DOE is going to have to be happy with
- 5 your procedure before you can implement it.
- 6 I'm trying to save you the problem
- 7 of going to the Board with a document that has
- 8 components in it that Doe is unhappy with and
- 9 then you won't come out of, necessarily, the
- 10 Board meeting.
- 11 MR. FITZGERALD: No, we certainly
- 12 don't want to make DOE unhappy.
- MR. KATZ: I'm trying to just --
- 14 I'd love to see the procedures in effect as
- 15 quickly as possible so that SC&A can be
- 16 running under its own rules -- I mean, under
- 17 the Board's rules. So I wouldn't gratuitously
- 18 add anything to these documents that might
- 19 cause a hiccup.
- MR. FITZGERALD: That's probably a
- 21 point well taken. I don't think there was any
- 22 intent.

```
1 CHAIRMAN CLAWSON: The part about
```

- 2 the very last statement here though, that DOE
- 3 site -- DOE will determine any training
- 4 requirements -- see, that's part of the thing
- 5 we got into some.
- 6 Besides, when we get in there, all
- 7 of a sudden, they do get to us, but
- 8 communicate these to the Advisory Board so
- 9 that we know what we're needing to be able to
- 10 have because -- like rad worker or any of
- 11 these other things that we need to be able to
- 12 do any of these.
- I was just -- the very last line
- 14 that DOE is -- site POC will determine any
- 15 training requirements, communicate these to
- 16 the Advisory Board and their technical site
- 17 designated -- available --
- MR. KATZ: Well, is that not
- 19 actually covered in the procedures themselves?
- 20 If not -- I mean, having that -- that's just
- 21 a role. I think that's okay. It probably
- 22 belongs in the appropriate place in the

- 1 procedure itself.
- 2 CHAIRMAN CLAWSON: Okay, but --
- 3 MR. KATZ: But that's not --
- 4 again, I'm not concerned about that, about
- 5 actual role information. It's just not
- 6 instructing them on how to do their work.
- 7 MR. FITZGERALD: Well, I think some
- 8 of this is essentially the role that they
- 9 play. I do agree that the will part -- the use
- 10 of the word will -- probably gives it the
- 11 connotation that wasn't intended.
- But I also appreciate what you're
- 13 saying is that, if we outline a DOE role, even
- 14 if it's what was experienced in practice,
- 15 certainly, you would have to have the Board or
- 16 the Board would need to run it past DOE to see
- 17 if they would agree.
- 18 That is an issue since I would
- 19 imagine that the DOE site point of contact
- 20 role that's in PROC-010 was probably based on
- 21 something that's been reviewed by DOE.
- DR. MAURO: One of the things that

- 1 I think you tried to do here is that, in
- 2 effect, what's being said is it is the Board's
- 3 desire or understanding that certain good
- 4 things will happen.
- 5 See, you're not really writing
- 6 their procedures. We can't do that. I mean,
- 7 you're absolutely right. We can't say they
- 8 will do this or shall do that.
- 9 But the idea that -- and maybe
- 10 that's not the place for it -- but the
- 11 importance of the interaction and the kinds of
- 12 actions that are going to be taken by DOE.
- 13 There's certain things that are going to be
- 14 very important for us to get our job done. In
- 15 effect, that's what you're really doing.
- MR. FITZGERALD: Let me just read
- 17 this for a second. I'll drop the will out
- 18 because that, I think, is a grammatical -- let
- 19 me read this without the wills.
- 20 Again, I don't disagree that
- 21 certainly I would want Jean or somebody to
- 22 say, well, that doesn't cause me heartburn

- 1 because that's what we do. But let me just
- 2 read it without the will.
- 3 The DOE site point of contact --
- 4 this is DOE again -- develops and administers
- 5 a process to ensure that DOE documents undergo
- 6 thorough and timely classification control
- 7 reviews prior to release. The DOE provides
- 8 timely classification control reviews of
- 9 notes, drafts, and reports generated to
- 10 fulfill requirements contained and reviews
- 11 conducted at the site level.
- 12 They may also designate an
- 13 authorized representative to act in their
- 14 place for all responsibilities outlined below,
- including coordination of all aspects with the
- 16 Advisory Board or their designee, technical
- 17 support contractor designee.
- 18 Information reviewed and
- 19 requested, I guess, would be provide to the
- 20 Advisory Board or their technical support
- 21 contractor. DOE site point of contact
- 22 determines any training requirements,

- 1 communicates these to the Advisory Board or
- 2 SC&A and arranges for that required training.
- 3 This, again, is based on practice, but --
- 4 MR. KATZ: If you want to -- I
- 5 mean, if you want commentary on what DOE does,
- 6 I think that's okay. I don't think that -- I
- 7 know they wouldn't expect to have heartburn
- 8 over that.
- 9 MR. FITZGERALD: But I think the
- 10 point is well taken. I think the way it was
- 11 crafted, with the three wills, I would object
- 12 to as well, so that wasn't intended, but I
- 13 think that does -- I think we interpreted it
- 14 that way.
- 15 MEMBER BEACH: I would like to see
- 16 it tacked in without the wills personally.
- MS. HOWELL: And also, if you're
- 18 going to keep it in, then the language about
- 19 providing information should also probably
- 20 list the DFO at the end.
- 21 MR. FITZGERALD: At the end?
- 22 Relative to the training or --

```
1 MS. HOWELL: Just that they're
```

- 2 going to communicate things to the DFO in
- 3 addition to the Advisory Board and technical
- 4 support contractor.
- 5 MR. FITZGERALD: Yes.
- DR. MAURO: In the last sentence.
- 7 MR. KATZ: Actually, not to the --
- 8 it wouldn't be to the Advisory Board. I don't
- 9 think they would be directly communicating to
- 10 the Advisory Board about these, right?
- 11 MR. FITZGERALD: Well, in the
- 12 current construct, it would be the SC&A.
- MR. KATZ: Right. That's what I'm
- 14 saying. That's all.
- MR. FITZGERALD: And Emily, what
- 16 you're saying is that with any interagency
- 17 communications, the DFO would be on cc?
- MS. HOWELL: Yes.
- 19 MR. FITZGERALD: Okay, DOE
- 20 headquarters point of contact -- I think,
- 21 again, the context of this was to reflect
- 22 what's been the practice, so this does go a

- 1 little further than the statement in the
- 2 equivalent, PROC-010.
- I'm just going to read through
- 4 this and if there's any wills, you can whack
- 5 them out. Maybe I'll whack them out.
- 6 MR. KATZ: Just whack them as you
- 7 read them.
- 8 MR. FITZGERALD: DOE headquarters
- 9 point of contact is responsible for
- 10 coordinating and providing funding to the DOE
- 11 site POC, support Advisory Board or technical
- 12 support contractor requests for information.
- 13 MR. KATZ: Just about the wills
- 14 before you get into it, the first half of this
- 15 paragraph comes right out of OCAS in this
- 16 line, I think. I think it mirrors the OCAS.
- 17 MR. FITZGERALD: DOE headquarters
- 18 point of contact.
- MR. KATZ: Really, once you get to,
- 20 for documents submitted that you've gone
- 21 beyond what OCAS has.
- MR. FITZGERALD: Okay. Let me just

- 1 make sure I make another line here. Again,
- 2 this is just pretty much mirroring what's in
- 3 PROC-010, so DOE headquarters would be
- 4 responsible for coordinating, providing
- 5 funding to the DOE site point of contact. They
- 6 will facilitate necessary approvals for the
- 7 Advisory Board, to enter DOE facilities,
- 8 review records, gather information, conduct
- 9 interviews, and perform other related
- 10 activities. This would include establishing
- 11 Advisory Board or support contractor, need to
- 12 know, press appropriate signal clearances, and
- 13 coordinate and transmit clearance information
- 14 to enable Advisory Board members and
- 15 contractor to access sensitive information.
- So this is right out of PROC-010.
- 17 This would be the additional language which we
- 18 have proposed. For documents submitted to
- 19 headquarters for review -- and I'll take out
- 20 the will -- they would develop and administer
- 21 a process to ensure that DOE documents undergo
- 22 thorough and timely classification control

- 1 reviews prior to their release.
- 2 This is similar to what was
- 3 written before. The DOE headquarters will
- 4 assist the Advisory Board and their contractor
- 5 in locating facilities where
- 6 classified/controlled unclassified information
- 7 will store process transmitter discussed in a
- 8 secure manner.
- 9 That's another practice that
- 10 they've been doing. They have set up secure
- 11 locations for us at certain sites and have
- 12 arranged for storage of UCNI.
- 13 The DOE headquarters will
- 14 designate an experienced security advisor to
- 15 assist the Advisory Board and its support
- 16 contractor in resolving security issues.
- 17 They have done that. We do have a
- 18 couple folks at headquarters who act in that
- 19 role, that they've asked us to more or less
- 20 coordinate with those individuals when we have
- 21 questions or issues.
- 22 Again, the will part, I think,

- 1 obviously needs to come out so the context is
- 2 easier. This is a descriptor of roles, not a
- 3 functional statement.
- 4 CHAIRMAN CLAWSON: All right.
- 5 MR. FITZGERALD: Okay, moving on,
- 6 if -- I mean, that's the addition to what was
- 7 in PROC-010 and that reflects practice that
- 8 we've been undergoing.
- 9 Site classification officer -- I
- 10 don't know if --
- MR. KATZ: It's not the OCAS one.
- MR. FITZGERALD: Again, this is by
- 13 experience. Again, some of this is just coming
- 14 from the -- I guess, going on six years of
- 15 experience with the sites. We have to deal
- 16 with a site classification officer who is
- 17 designated by the site with coordination by
- 18 Greq Lewis, who pretty much tells us what we
- 19 can and cannot do and what the site specific
- 20 process it. That's just reflecting that. And
- 21 this, for those -- following the DOE site
- 22 classification officer will assist the team by

1 providing guidance on how to submit notes for

- 2 review and when possible, how to present the
- 3 controlled information in a manner that allows
- 4 it to be unclassified or uncontrolled, which
- 5 I think is essentially --
- 6 MEMBER BEACH: So to keep it
- 7 consistent, if you get rid of the will and
- 8 just say assist, then it just describes what
- 9 they do.
- 10 MR. FITZGERALD: Right. In terms of
- 11 the NIOSH site point of contact, I'm quickly
- 12 seeing whether there -- let me just read
- 13 through this and others can compare it with
- 14 PROC-010, but it should be close with maybe a
- 15 few minor additions.
- 16 The NIOSH site point of contact is
- 17 responsible for coordination with the Advisory
- 18 Board or SC&A depending on the situation and
- 19 the DOE site point of contact regarding
- 20 resources needed for initial site information
- 21 request and ensuring same is necessary with
- the DOE headquarter's POC.

- I think what we're saying there
- 2 basically is the triumvirate when we go on-
- 3 site that we can ask, but DOE doesn't
- 4 necessarily provide unless NIOSH engages with
- 5 DOE and authorizes resources to respond.
- 6 So again, we're sensitive to the
- 7 issue of -- we can come up with data capture
- 8 plans and whatnot, but in all cases at the
- 9 sites, they're looking for an account number.
- 10 They're looking for a way to actually support
- 11 the requests and that takes resources. So that
- 12 coordination is reflected there.
- DR. MAURO: Joe, I'm sorry to
- 14 interrupt. Is that a concurrent baselining or
- is that a real time as we're interacting?
- MR. FITZGERALD: No, that's a real
- 17 time. Greg Lewis and Gina oversee the EEOICPA
- 18 program and there's a budget for requests at
- 19 the sites. But that wires in the DFO and the
- 20 agency on the other side, meaning that we, as
- 21 contractors, can't enjoin an agency to spend
- 22 resources by ourselves.

- 1 DR. MAURO: So there are
- 2 implications here in terms of -- the model I
- 3 had in my head is that once we are
- 4 independent, so to speak, in terms of our
- 5 interaction with the DOE point of contact and
- 6 we're workable and we identify -- let's say
- 7 we're now with the electronic database. I
- 8 remember there was a -- I forget the acronym
- 9 that was being used at Savannah River and we
- 10 identified documents and lists, things we'd
- 11 like to look at.
- Now, all of those eventually, when
- 13 communicated to the DOE point of contact, had
- 14 cost implications for them that were not
- 15 anticipated.
- 16 At this point, does the process
- 17 stop until NIOSH point of contact is informed
- 18 of this data capture, these 30 or 40 boxes
- 19 that we'd like to get our hands on, and needs
- 20 to assure or make provisions for funding? The
- 21 DOE? I mean, I quess that's what I just heard.
- MR. FITZGERALD: No, no. I think in

- 1 practice, what happens is when we go initially
- 2 on-site and with all the data capture, that is
- 3 coordinated with DOE headquarters and the DFO
- 4 relative to NIOSH. That goes in Gina's shop.
- 5 DOE headquarters' program that has the
- 6 responsibility for the budget on the DOE side
- 7 has to work with the site and the site has to
- 8 have an account that they can charge against
- 9 all this.
- Now, once you get beyond the
- 11 initial point, plus there's a major
- 12 perturbation, meaning that something major
- 13 comes up that the site cannot support. In
- 14 other words, they have a certain amount of
- 15 resources but that goes well beyond that. They
- 16 go back to Gina's shop, headquarters, and then
- 17 maybe headquarters gets a hold of Ted and says
- 18 SC&A is asking for the sun and the moon. We
- 19 don't have resources. We need some relief on
- 20 that.
- DR. MAURO: So that's something
- 22 that -- while Kathy is interacting with her

- 1 counterpart at DOE point of contact trying to
- 2 get the job done, if something unfolds in a
- 3 way that is clearly, oh my goodness, DOE will,
- 4 at that point, make it known we have problems?
- 5 MR. FITZGERALD: There's no
- 6 question. What happens is basically -- up
- 7 front, there's a sizing of the effort, meaning
- 8 that by virtue of the data capture, the amount
- 9 of work that we want to do at the site, the
- 10 site figures out what this is going to take.
- 11 There's an interaction we don't see between
- 12 the site and headquarters, DOE headquarters,
- 13 and that's when they figure out, okay, this is
- 14 what can happen.
- We don't see that, nor would we
- 16 see anything come out of that unless something
- 17 comes up where it goes so long that we've
- 18 outstripped the resources they thought they
- 19 had there or we come up with something that is
- 20 not unexpected.
- 21 That's when I think Ted would get
- 22 the call. Otherwise, Ted would never get a

- 1 call because I think the initial sizing of
- 2 this thing through the data capture and what
- 3 have you would give them a sense and they
- 4 would set things up at the site and everything
- 5 that goes along. It's within this sphere of
- 6 expectations.
- We're on a roll here. This is it.
- 8 This is where we get into the role of the
- 9 NIOSH point of contacts that we discussed
- 10 earlier about baselining, that there would be
- 11 disclosure of all documents either collected
- 12 or being collected, classified or
- 13 unclassified, even if they're in the pipeline
- 14 so that when we come up with our data capture
- 15 request, it's going to reflect pretty much
- 16 what's been requested already.
- 17 MR. CALHOUN: I don't understand
- 18 that sentence completely because it says that
- 19 we confirm that our collection of documents in
- 20 the SRDB is complete.
- They're never complete. I mean,
- 22 with Brookhaven, if there wasn't a funding

- 1 issue right now, we are still collecting
- 2 documents, so I mean, we could certainly let
- 3 you know what the status is
- 4 MR. FITZGERALD: I think that's a
- 5 better word. That's good. We'll make that
- 6 change. I think, as I said, just a minute ago,
- 7 just looking for what is in fact, requested or
- 8 on hand -- that's all -- so that our request
- 9 coming on the heels of your request isn't
- 10 going to be seen by DOE as overlapping. There
- 11 might be some, but hopefully not very much.
- 12 The NIOSH site POC will provide
- 13 necessary coordination with DOE headquarters
- 14 and the DOE site POC, when resources require -
- 15 we did discuss that. That would be when it
- 16 would be required.
- We did put the notion that --
- 18 maybe it's going to be written a little
- 19 differently. This coordination would not
- 20 impeded routine interactions. In other words,
- 21 you wouldn't have to be -- I think this goes
- 22 to your comment, John. It wouldn't have to be

- 1 for every request, like we want to interview
- 2 Joe Schmo. It wouldn't be at that level, need
- 3 to go back for resource check. I mean, this is
- 4 the macro resources, not the micro resources.
- 5 That's the intent there that we would still be
- 6 able to proceed on interactions at the site
- 7 while doing resource checks.
- 8 MEMBER BEACH: Joe, at the start of
- 9 that, are you going to go ahead and take the
- 10 will out again for NIOSH -- it says will
- 11 provide -- and just make it a POC --
- 12 MR. FITZGERALD: Yes. I think the
- 13 will is not appropriate for roles and
- 14 responsibilities, so all the wills would come
- out throughout the roles and responsibilities.
- MR. KATZ: Well, there are some
- 17 wills that were appropriate -- Don't take,
- 18 globally, wills out.
- 19 MR. FITZGERALD: We'll be very
- 20 careful on that.
- 21 To close out, NIOSH site POC is
- 22 responsible under OCAS PROC-011 for submitting

- 1 final documents to DOE for review.
- 2 This would be that final loop
- 3 where we send something to PA review and
- 4 that's it. It goes -- because DOE doesn't want
- 5 any -- once it goes on the website, they want
- 6 the final, final, final. Nancy, are you still
- 7 on the phone?
- 8 MS. ADAMS: Yes, I am.
- 9 MR. FITZGERALD: Is that correct? I
- 10 want to make sure. As far as what is put up on
- 11 the OCAS website, DOE reviews that as the
- 12 final pass for clearance purposes. That's
- 13 after PA review?
- MS. ADAMS: Yes.
- 15 MR. FITZGERALD: And I quess, from
- 16 the standpoint of whose fingers touched it
- 17 last, I thought it went directly from --
- 18 people are shaking their head no, so maybe I
- 19 got that wrong -- directly from NIOSH to DOE
- 20 for that final review.
- MS. ADAMS: No.
- 22 MR. FITZGERALD: So it comes back

- 1 to us. Then it goes to -- okay.
- MS. ADAMS: No, no. we are
- 3 requested to have a document PA reviewed. We
- 4 address the comments and then if there have
- 5 been changes from a previously cleared
- 6 document, then it has to go back for a final.
- 7 MR. FITZGERALD: Okay, I need to
- 8 change that then because --
- 9 DR. MAURO: That's important. So
- 10 there's a certain degree of discretion? What
- 11 I'm getting out of this is -- the document is
- 12 cleared by DOE?
- MS. ADAMS: We have been sending
- 14 documents to DOE prior to PA review.
- DR. MAURO: Yes.
- MS. ADAMS: They clear them. We
- 17 send them to the Board of Restrictive
- 18 Documents.
- DR. MAURO: Yes.
- MS. ADAMS: Many of them, we send
- 21 to PA clearance at the same time. If there are
- 22 no changes in the document and nothing has

- 1 been altered at all, we can simply send it
- 2 back as a PA-cleared document without going
- 3 through DOE again.
- 4 If there have been changes that
- 5 may affect classification -- and I can't
- 6 determine that -- so if there have been
- 7 changes in the text, then we have to send it
- 8 for another clearance from DOE before it can
- 9 be posted on the website.
- DR. MAURO: That's what I mean by
- 11 degree of discretion and judgment. In other
- 12 words, there is a degree of judgement made by
- 13 the PA folks and yourself in terms of what are
- 14 the kinds of PA changes that might be made.
- 15 Or if there's anything changed, does that
- 16 mean, nope, it's got to go back to DOE again?
- 17 MS. ADAMS: I defer to Joseph
- 18 Gerald for that. I run everything by him and
- 19 ask him whether it has to go back.
- MR. FITZGERALD: Yes. DOE wants to
- 21 have the -- there's been some minor
- 22 exceptions. I tell them, well, you've deleted

- 1 a proper name and put brackets saying deleted.
- 2 They said, no. We don't want to see that. But
- 3 they're looking for any content changes, any
- 4 rewording of sentences beyond simply dropping
- 5 formal names out and things like that.
- 6 So a PA review, if it's just,
- 7 typically, drops out formal names and it just
- 8 puts deleted or a blank. They don't care to
- 9 see that again.
- DR. MAURO: But we let them know
- 11 that, though. They do want to know that. In
- 12 other words, it's not just a matter of --
- MR. FITZGERALD: I call them up and
- 14 just tell them --
- MR. CALHOUN: We get e-mail and we
- 16 say in between the initial draft that you've
- 17 cleared and the final that's approved --
- 18 signed off, done -- there have been no
- 19 technical changes made to the document.
- MR. FITZGERALD: Right.
- MR. CALHOUN: And they say, don't -
- 22 -

- 1 MS. ADAMS: I need some quidance on
- 2 that. Again, I defer to Joe and I ask Joe
- 3 specifically about the one I just sent out,
- 4 whether I have to notify DOE and he had told
- 5 me no. So if I need to advise them --
- 6 MR. FITZGERALD: Those aren't
- 7 technical changes. They were just names being
- 8 dropped out.
- 9 MS. ADAMS: But no, it was just
- 10 numbers that we put redacted number.
- MR. FITZGERALD: Right.
- MS. ADAMS: The bottom line is, we
- 13 made changes. We didn't tell them and NIOSH is
- 14 saying that they do.
- MR. CALHOUN: We just tell them
- 16 that -- we just say, hey, we've made no
- 17 technical changes to this document and we
- 18 don't think it needs to be reviewed. Is that
- 19 cool with you? And then we get something back
- 20 from them: an e-mail from Gina that says okay.
- 21 MR. FITZGERALD: Why don't with we
- 22 hold that for PROC-011?

```
1 MR. KATZ: Let me just say, on this
```

- 2 note, that I sent an e-mail to Gina just
- 3 yesterday, I believe, in anticipation of this
- 4 meeting and knowing that this was a concern of
- 5 John's that there are times where we do --
- 6 where there's an SC&A document and there's no
- 7 real technical changes made and it just goes
- 8 to PA review and just names were redacted and
- 9 maybe other information that's equivalent to
- 10 giving a name or could lead someone to a name.
- 11 So I posed the question to Gina
- 12 and I asked -- I actually didn't know OCAS's
- 13 procedure, but I actually proposed exactly
- 14 what I just heard from Grady to Gina. Would
- 15 that be adequate in those cases if you get
- 16 certification from SC&A that there have been
- 17 no changes other than that, would that be okay
- 18 to not have to send it back? So I haven't had
- 19 a response yet, but I just wanted to let you
- 20 know I did actually communicate that.
- 21 MR. CALHOUN: We asked that twice.
- MR. KATZ: So we'll get feedback

- 1 from Gina and I'll forward it --
- 2 MR. FITZGERALD: I think it was
- 3 the certification.
- 4 MR. CALHOUN: If they want a
- 5 written certification, we can do that. I think
- 6 that would be a good way to do it.
- 7 MR. KATZ: And then there's a role
- 8 for DFO -- I don't know if --
- 9 MR. FITZGERALD: I think we can
- 10 elaborate that without -- we talked about that
- 11 already without having to dwell on it. We can
- 12 just elaborate that description as we go.
- 13 MR. KATZ: Okay, I think that point
- 14 was already made.
- MR. FITZGERALD: That the end of
- 16 the roles and responsibilities. Before we
- 17 break, I guess, does anyone --
- 18 MEMBER BEACH: So there's no
- 19 question on the NIOSH rule? I'm just curious.
- 20 MR. KATZ: So I have a question
- 21 with, -- actually, I had it and then I lost it
- 22 in this discussion, but this last sentence so

- 1 is -- we just discussed it. It's coming out.
- 2 It's not correct. So that's all.
- 3 MR. FITZGERALD: Right.
- 4 MR. KATZ: I just wanted to make
- 5 sure we documented it.
- 6 MEMBER BEACH: So the last sentence
- 7 is coming out?
- 8 MR. FITZGERALD: Yes. It's coming
- 9 out, right.
- 10 MEMBER BEACH: And I guess I'm
- 11 curious. We do have NIOSH in the room. Is
- 12 there any problems that you guys see?
- 13 MR. CALHOUN: I didn't see anything
- 14 that was different than what we had.
- MR. KATZ: Grady would have
- 16 commented about the status versus SRDB being
- 17 complete.
- 18 MR. FITZGERALD: We can change
- 19 that, yes. And I think you were commenting
- 20 earlier that after the fact, you can provide
- 21 more specific -- the suggested changes,
- 22 including that one.

- 1 MR. CALHOUN: Yes.
- 2 MR. FITZGERALD: Okay. I'm just
- 3 writing status. I'm not sure that will --
- 4 MR. KATZ: I will certainly, and I
- 5 guess everybody will provide you and Brad with
- 6 -- the whole working group -- each other and
- 7 you with any suggestions for particular copy
- 8 edits.
- 9 Okay, Brad, do you want to?
- 10 CHAIRMAN CLAWSON: Let's break.
- 11 MR. KATZ: Folks on the phone,
- 12 we're going to take a 10 minute break, so
- we'll be starting up about half past 11 by my
- 14 watch.
- 15 (Whereupon, the above-entitled
- 16 matter went off the record at 11:19 a.m. and
- 17 resumed at 11:33 a.m.)
- 18 MR. KATZ: Folks on the phone, this
- 19 is the Advisory Board on Radiation Worker
- 20 Health, the working group on developing
- 21 Board's security plan and we're starting back
- 22 up again.

- 1 Someone on the phone, just let me
- 2 know if you can hear us?
- 3 MS. ADAMS: Yes. We hear you.
- 4 MR. KATZ: Thank you. I guess I
- 5 should check with two. Phil Schofield, did
- 6 you, per chance, join us again?
- 7 CHAIRMAN CLAWSON: He's probably at
- 8 the airport.
- 9 MR. KATZ: No, he's going a little
- 10 later. He's going at 2.
- 11 CHAIRMAN CLAWSON: Let's just
- 12 continue.
- MR. KATZ: Okay.
- MR. FITZGERALD: All right. This is
- 15 Joe Fitzgerald again, SC&A.
- 16 We left off of what was section
- 17 4.0 on roles and responsibilities and we're
- 18 starting now into the actual procedures. That
- 19 was all a preface to the actual procedures
- 20 themselves.
- 21 As an approach, what we did was we
- 22 started with the PROC-010 procedures and

- 1 amplified in some cases with what I would call
- 2 actual practice that we do on sites.
- 3 So some of this is more in the
- 4 vein of explaining what processes we follow
- 5 while on site, just to put it on paper. I
- 6 think it's good to do that. I think some of
- 7 this has been proceduralized, but some of it
- 8 has not. It has evolved over the last four or
- 9 five years and I think, memorializing, how we
- 10 do that is useful in this particular
- 11 procedure. So some of this is actually in
- 12 writing for the first time, as far as a set
- 13 procedure.
- 14 For the benefit of the folks on
- 15 the phone, I'm going to outline, but not
- 16 necessarily read, what's in these procedures.
- 17 The first point in the procedures is 5.1,
- 18 which is the process of requesting
- 19 information. I believe this is a verbatim
- 20 repeat of what was in PROC-010, but during the
- 21 site profile development and review in support
- 22 of DOSHA reconstruction or through SEC

- 1 petition evaluations, additional information
- 2 from DOE is generally necessary to address the
- 3 scientific issues related to estimation of
- 4 radiation dose.
- In these instances, it's necessary
- 6 for the Advisory Board or its technical
- 7 support contractor to formally request the
- 8 additional information from DOE.
- 9 Before a request is submitted,
- 10 what we do is the respective POCs, the
- 11 Advisory Board, and SC&A in this case, are
- 12 responsible for checking the site research
- 13 databases -- the O: drive, I think some of you
- 14 have heard about.
- 15 Again, this is part of the
- 16 baselining of the process and making sure that
- 17 as we put our request together, that we're
- 18 reflecting what's available through that
- 19 means. We also list OSTI, which has a number
- 20 of DOE holdings as well. That's, again, a
- 21 preface for the specific procedures.
- 22 Initial contact, in terms of

- 1 process -- what we're talking about, is the --
- 2 and I'm going to just say SC&A just as
- 3 shorthand. We had Advisory Board and/or the
- 4 TSC, technical support contractor. I'm just
- 5 going to SC&A just to keep it simpler.
- 6 SC&A shall notify the
- 7 corresponding DOE site POC and headquarters
- 8 POC of intent to conduct data retrieval, on
- 9 site interviews, and writing. As Emily pointed
- 10 out and as seconded by the DFO, this would be
- 11 copied to DFO, in terms of that initial
- 12 request.
- 13 The SC&A will open a dialogue with
- 14 DOE headquarters. I think that's been the way
- it's been going on. We coordinate with Greg
- 16 Lewis, who has been a POC for that part of HSS
- 17 and DOE headquarters, when we're getting ready
- 18 to make a request at a site. But we want to
- 19 inform them in an introductory way of the
- 20 nature of the information that we're looking
- 21 for, the types of individuals -- health
- 22 physicists, managers, workers, former workers

- 1 -- who we want to interview, and how that
- 2 relates to on-going requests by NIOSH.
- 3 The notion there is we're
- 4 coordinating with the NIOSH POC in terms of
- 5 how to make the most effective and efficient
- 6 request of DOE in terms of information at the
- 7 site.
- 8 What we find out from the site is
- 9 what the records database and systems are
- 10 like, how they keep their information and how
- 11 we access that in the most effective way.
- 12 Usually we get a point of contact identified
- 13 for that purpose and we typically identify key
- 14 word searches and we're told how those key
- 15 word searches would be handled. We would
- 16 obviously baseline, as we indicated before,
- 17 with any other request that NIOSH has
- 18 conducted in the past.
- 19 So all of this is going on as
- 20 preparatory to coming up with what we would
- 21 call a site data capture plan, meaning what
- 22 we're going to actually request, what key word

- 1 searches we're going to do, and what
- 2 individuals, both on and off site, we would
- 3 want to -- who we would want to interview --
- 4 so that would all be preparatory to that.
- We would coordinate those requests
- 6 with the NIOSH POC. We would want a disclosure
- 7 of what's been collected, a status of what's
- 8 on the O: drive perhaps and a status of,
- 9 perhaps documents requested but not yet
- 10 received. We're calling that baseline, but I
- 11 think that's become familiar enough.
- In turn, and this is a two way
- 13 street, if we happen to have documents already
- 14 and documents that we would collect and
- 15 process, we would disclose those to the NIOSH
- 16 POC as well. So it's a two way street as we go
- 17 along.
- 18 Again, the purpose of this initial
- 19 contact is to give the appropriate parties at
- 20 DOE advance notice, to make sure the NIOSH POC
- 21 knows what we're doing, the DFO is aware of
- 22 plans, and to just start the coordination

- 1 process through headquarters to the site in
- 2 terms of their responding to requests and
- 3 having the resources to do so.
- 4 So that is more or less the kick-
- 5 off. One key thing that would be part of that
- 6 is simply -- a key part of this also, and this
- 7 is one that has been emphasized in the past,
- 8 is to clarify for the site how we play into
- 9 the picture -- that we're reviewing
- 10 documentation for the purposes of evaluating
- 11 a site profile or perhaps an evaluation report
- 12 for an SEC -- just so they know who we are,
- 13 what we're doing, and what the context of the
- 14 visit is. So that's the advance process for
- 15 visiting a site and doing initial contact.
- 16 As far as a formal request -- this
- is all preparatory for a formal request. We
- 18 would prepare and submit a request letter and
- 19 that letter essentially identifies what we're
- 20 doing, who we are, the time frame, the scope
- 21 of the request, and everything that we kind of
- 22 learned from the initial interaction and have

- 1 established as far as status with NIOSH, we
- 2 kind of reflect in this letter.
- 3 This is really the vehicle which
- 4 DOE would use to establish what the site would
- 5 need to do to respond and the level resources
- 6 for that response.
- 7 This site data capture plan would
- 8 be provided prior to even going on site. That
- 9 would be sort of the going in game plan for
- 10 the visit and would be the basis for the site
- 11 to decide how they could best respond, how
- 12 they would make arrangements.
- 13 That piece is a pretty important
- 14 piece. It corresponds with pretty much NIOSH
- 15 and ORAU practice. We have started submitting
- 16 these site data capture plans starting, I
- 17 think, with Mound. Maybe with a couple of
- 18 other sites. This is a process that has
- 19 evolved.
- We did not do it as formally as
- 21 that prior to the middle of last year, but I
- 22 think that since the middle of last year,

- 1 we've gone through that process and it has
- 2 helped, I think, to communicate the scope of
- 3 what we're doing for all the parties
- 4 concerned. I think that's turned out to be a
- 5 pretty good thing.
- 6 MEMBER PRESLEY: Joe, I have a
- 7 comment on this. Nowhere in this particular
- 8 item do I read that HHS/CDC gets a copy of
- 9 this plan prior to whenever it goes to the
- 10 site.
- MR. FITZGERALD: Yes.
- 12 MEMBER PRESLEY: Would that not be
- 13 applicable for --
- MR. FITZGERALD: Yes, in practice,
- 15 we are -- in fact, we run the plan right now
- 16 by the POC pretty much to confirm that what
- 17 we're requesting isn't necessarily something
- 18 that's already been requested. So I think
- 19 that's a good point.
- 20 MR. KATZ: That's the NIOSH POC.
- 21 So the other addition would be that you'd copy
- 22 me before or in the process of submitting the

- formal request, not before? It doesn't need to
- 2 be a prerequisite?
- 3 MR. FITZGERALD: Yes. The first
- 4 part is where, I think, we interact up front
- 5 to try to make sure that there isn't
- 6 duplication and to make sure that all the
- 7 parties understand the scope.
- 8 This part is where we have taken
- 9 all that preparation and reflected in its
- 10 survey starting point document that is the
- 11 data capture plan. That would be, in our
- 12 estimation, something that everybody would
- 13 have a copy of. I mean, you would have a copy.
- 14 NIOSH would have a copy. The DOE would
- obviously have a copy, and that would be the
- 16 starting point for the on site interactions.
- 17 So beyond that point, we would be
- 18 day to day. But at that point, that's pretty
- 19 much the understood proposition that we're
- 20 going on site with. So I agree. I think we can
- 21 make that explicit that that data capture plan
- 22 would be something that both agencies would

- 1 have, the Board would have, and we would use
- 2 as the starting point.
- 3 MEMBER PRESLEY: Somebody would
- 4 have the last --
- 5 MR. FITZGERALD: Kathy? Do you have
- 6 a comment?
- 7 MS. ROBERTSON-DEMERS: Yes. I think
- 8 that we're going to have to do with the data
- 9 capture plan is to make it a two step process
- 10 because if I wait to give them the key words -
- 11 the authors -- until I've chosen the
- 12 documents, it's after the fact. So we're going
- 13 to have to break it up into actually two data
- 14 requests.
- DR. MAKHIJANI: I didn't get that,
- 16 Kathy.
- 17 MS. ROBERTSON-DEMERS: Okay, right
- 18 now, when you make a data request, it includes
- 19 who you want to interview, what key words you
- 20 want searched, what authors you want searched,
- 21 what databases you want searched, and the
- 22 records that you want retrieved.

1 In practice, that document gets

- 2 provided at the end. However, the key words
- 3 and the authors have to be provided before you
- 4 select the document.
- 5 So what I'm saying is that it's
- 6 probably going to be two data capture plans --
- 7 one for the front end work and one for the
- 8 back end work.
- 9 MR. FITZGERALD: Yes. Just to
- 10 reiterate, to identify the documents that
- 11 would be listed as ones we would want them to
- 12 retrieve, we almost have to do a search to
- 13 find out what they are.
- So the first part, which I think
- is more under preparatory and we can maybe
- 16 make that a little clearer in that preparatory
- 17 stage, we would look at what's been collected
- 18 and based on what's been collected -- we
- 19 actually have access to -- am I right, Kathy -
- 20 to key word searches that have been done by
- 21 NIOSH or no?
- MS. ROBERTSON-DEMERS: We have

- 1 requested them. We don't have access to them
- 2 in all cases.
- 3 MR. FITZGERALD: Okay. In some
- 4 cases, we've been asking before we run our key
- 5 word search, can you tell us what key words
- 6 you use and maybe if there's one that you
- 7 haven't used, we would use them.
- 8 But it's kind of an organic
- 9 process in the beginning to figure out what
- 10 key words to use. I think that's more of the
- 11 preparatory stage. Unless we actually
- 12 establish that these are the documents that
- 13 we're requesting -- the scope of the documents
- 14 were requested -- that would be in the site
- 15 data capture. So it would be a two phase
- 16 process, but I think that first part really
- isn't the plan so much as part of the
- 18 preparation for the plan.
- 19 MEMBER PRESLEY: There needs to be
- 20 something in there to work back and forth that
- 21 says you will work with NIOSH on this first
- 22 thing to make sure that you all are not doing

- 1 the same thing twice.
- 2 MR. FITZGERALD: Yes. We'd
- 3 emphasize that throughout, that the intent is
- 4 not to have -- put DOE in double jeopardy as
- 5 far as having dual requests.
- 6 Kathy, just to confirm, if I put
- 7 that initial phase of key word searches that
- 8 need to be done as part of the preparatory
- 9 stage and indicate that the results of that
- 10 search will be reflected in the formal data
- 11 capture plan that everybody would get, would
- 12 that take care of the issue you're talking
- 13 about?
- MS. ROBERTSON-DEMERS: I think so.
- MR. FITZGERALD: Okay.
- 16 MEMBER PRESLEY: You know, down at
- 17 the bottom where you have -- is it J down here
- 18 on the explanation of that for the one up
- 19 there?
- MR. FITZGERALD: Yes.
- 21 MEMBER PRESLEY: That thing's
- 22 pretty much to that.

```
1 MR. FITZGERALD: Yes. I mean, the
```

- 2 idea in the preparatory stage is for everybody
- 3 to compare notes to make sure that DOE is
- 4 getting a non-duplicative request to the
- 5 extent possible and that we're benefitting
- 6 from whatever has been done before. That's the
- 7 first stage.
- 8 The second stage is reflecting
- 9 what we see as the scope of the request that
- 10 DOE would be asked to respond to. That's where
- 11 resources come into, that's where we would
- 12 need to make sure everybody was aware of this
- 13 plan -- sort of like making sausage. We don't
- 14 want to get you involved in the process, but
- 15 you want to see the results so that you know
- 16 what the resource implications will be and DOE
- is fully aware of it as well so everybody is
- 18 on board.
- 19 So the notion here would be the
- 20 plan would be made available before we would
- 21 go on site and everybody -- and we might go on
- 22 site to just kind of figure out who's who and

- 1 sort of setting things up. But as far as the
- 2 actual getting the documents in our hands and
- 3 doing interviews, we want to have that plan
- 4 ahead of that point and everybody would have
- 5 that plan.
- 6 That would be a chance to raise a
- 7 hand and say well, we have that document.
- 8 Somehow in the process you weren't aware of
- 9 that we have that document and cross it off,
- 10 not send that in.
- 11 MR. KATZ: So probably in both of
- 12 these, you want to reflect that you are
- 13 communicating the formal request to the DFO?
- MR. FITZGERALD: Right.
- 15 MR. KATZ: And also to the Advisory
- 16 Board contact, as well as the initial sort of
- 17 investigatory visit at the DOE site.
- 18 MR. FITZGERALD: They'll probably
- 19 want to incorporate that into this.
- DR. MAURO: Joe, one of the things
- 21 that we do is log books. See this? This is
- 22 invaluable. Who does that bring this up vis a

- 1 vis? The process really is a continuum, that
- 2 is the prep work evolves slowly, maturely, and
- 3 at some point, the process -- I think we're at
- 4 the point where we are ready to submit our
- 5 formal request.
- In other words, we've reached the
- 7 critical mass. We understand. We can put it
- 8 out. It's a milestone. But the process just
- 9 keeps grinding along.
- 10 MR. FITZGERALD: Yes, it keeps
- 11 going.
- DR. MAURO: And this continual
- 13 communication and I think that it's important
- 14 that everyone, when they're involved with such
- 15 a process, keep a daily log of communications,
- 16 coordinations, who we spoke to. It doesn't
- 17 have to be lengthy.
- 18 And then of course, at some point,
- 19 the outcome of this would be some official
- 20 document that's distributed.
- 21 But those logbooks, in my mind,
- 22 become really the record of the program. This

- 1 is almost like each person working on the
- 2 project -- when they make phone calls, when
- 3 they receive phone calls -- I know I try to
- 4 keep in the habit of doing that.
- 5 I agree that this doesn't have to
- 6 be in this procedure. But I would just like to
- 7 alert everyone this is good practice.
- 8 MR. FITZGERALD: Okay, anyway, I
- 9 think in a sort of a overview -- certainly, on
- 10 the preparatory part of this thing, there may
- 11 be an investigatory trip, an initial
- 12 arrangements trip, certain interactions with
- 13 all the parties involved just to get to the
- 14 point, including key word searches, get to the
- 15 point where you have a snapshot.
- 16 DR. MAURO: I think that's a good
- 17 point -- a snapshot of what we see as the
- 18 scope of the request and what we need from
- 19 DOE. That snapshot, at that point would be
- 20 delivered -- everybody would have a copy.
- 21 MR. FITZGERALD: I don't disagree.
- 22 I think as we get on site and as we do

- 1 interviews and take documents -- no question
- 2 there's going to be additional documents that
- 3 will come up and we may be looking at a box
- 4 and the document we're looking for might lead
- 5 us to something more relevant.
- 6 I think, as I said earlier, the
- 7 notion there -- that's not going to change the
- 8 scope dramatically for DOE. If it does, then
- 9 we need to work with DOE and the DFO. But if
- 10 we find additional documents, then we do
- 11 acquire them and go through the security
- 12 process, of course, but would then -- if NIOSH
- 13 doesn't have it -- we would make it available.
- I think that keeps going as we
- 15 come up with new issues, new avenues of
- 16 inquiry, we might actually go back and do two
- or three rounds of document review. That's
- 18 been the practice and as long as we stay
- 19 within this broad scope of the expectation of
- 20 the site resources they have, that hasn't been
- 21 a problem.
- 22 But the Rocky Flats and other

- 1 sites, I think DOE does come back after awhile
- 2 and if there's resource questions or some
- 3 other issues, certainly they'll come back to
- 4 the DFO and we hear about it. But I think that
- 5 feedback has been working pretty well.
- 6 Just moving on, then, on the
- 7 submittal of the formal request, I think we
- 8 talked about large request necessitating
- 9 coordination between the Advisory Board and,
- 10 I think this is another place where DFO would
- 11 need to be reflected as a co-party on that.
- We do acknowledge that in terms of
- on-site reviews -- we say typically by Q-
- 14 cleared individual -- I think it's turned out
- 15 because of the uncertainty at most of the
- 16 sites, we do use Q-cleared personnel to do the
- 17 records retrieval.
- But at other sites, we use some
- 19 discretion when it's pretty much a non-
- 20 classified site. But we treat all documents
- 21 collected as if they might be classified.
- 22 I raise that because I think

- 1 that's a little bit of a gray area in the
- 2 sense that if there's a potential for some of
- 3 this to be inadvertently classified, not
- 4 labeled, and we use unclassified people, that
- 5 presents another issue, but so far it's been
- 6 handled fairly well. With weapons and
- 7 sensitive sites, we've used Q-cleared people.
- 8 At AWEs and sites that aren't sensitive, we
- 9 have used non-cleared people. Again, I think
- 10 that's what that means.
- I think that's pretty much it on
- 12 the submittal of the formal requests. I don't
- 13 know. Are there any questions on -- I think we
- 14 went through the first two pieces, the
- 15 preparatory piece, initial contact, and the
- 16 submittal of the site data capture plan.
- 17 MR. KATZ: If there are no
- 18 questions from the Board, I just note that --
- 19 I didn't want to interrupt the start of this,
- 20 but I think you need a step before all of this
- 21 requesting information, very brief and easy,
- 22 but about the assignment of SC&A point of

- 1 contact and informing the DFO of who that is
- 2 so that that communication can be made to DOE
- 3 and so on and to the Board.
- 4 CHAIRMAN CLAWSON: For the initial
- 5 contact?
- 6 MR. KATZ: Yes. So the very
- 7 beginning, before requesting information -- I
- 8 mean, the first step in this process is SC&A
- 9 establishing who its point of contact is for
- 10 a site.
- 11 MR. FITZGERALD: Okay, moving on to
- 12 actual site access, the two other pieces for
- 13 preparing for the site visit and then the
- 14 submittal of the plan to retrieve information
- 15 -- this one would be the actual process on
- 16 site.
- 17 I think this probably parallels
- 18 some of the process, if not a lot of the
- 19 process in PROC-010, but a law that's pretty
- 20 common sense and would establish the time
- 21 frame, make sure that that works with the site
- 22 -- who's the individuals involved in terms of

- 1 names, clearance status, and whatnot.
- 2 If there's any Board members, that
- 3 would be included. So it's just really so they
- 4 need who would be involved with the site
- 5 visit.
- 6 Site badging and training --
- 7 clearly the coordination of what's necessary
- 8 logistically to have the people operate at the
- 9 site in terms of the badging requirements or
- 10 training requirements.
- 11 Any questions on some of that? I
- 12 guess I would consider that the certain
- 13 logistics of getting on site.
- Moving on to 5.3, which is the
- 15 actual data review. I think the steps in PROC-
- 16 010 were pretty straightforward. I think we
- 17 reiterated that and made a few minor changes.
- 18 MR. KATZ: I'm sorry. Let me just
- 19 go back a second. I just have one question
- 20 because this is a difference between OCAS. I
- 21 didn't know whether it's necessary or not, but
- 22 OCAS cites particular forms that they use DOE

- 1 for 5631.2 and so on. You don't address that.
- 2 It's for participating individuals. You'll see
- 3 OCAS has this --
- 4 MR. FITZGERALD: Right.
- 5 MR. KATZ: You must be familiar
- 6 with the form probably since you work in that
- 7 milieu.
- 8 MR. FITZGERALD: Right.
- 9 MR. KATZ: But is that necessary to
- 10 be cited here?
- 11 MR. FITZGERALD: I'm going to --
- 12 what's that? A lifeline. Kathy? The question
- 13 was, there was a reference in here to a DOE
- 14 form 5631.20, which is a request for a visit
- or access approval, which is cited in PROC-010
- 16 as being required to be completed prior to
- 17 site visiting.
- 18 We don't mention it explicitly in
- 19 here. Would there be a reason for that or is
- 20 it just --
- 21 MS. ROBERTSON-DEMERS: Well, access
- 22 to each site is dependant upon what

- 1 information is going to be accessed. So we've
- 2 been doing it independently with the sites.
- For example, when we need Q-
- 4 cleared people and whether they need to verify
- 5 clearance, whether we need to --
- 6 MR. KATZ: Kathy, this is Ted. The
- 7 OCAS procedure just says when necessary -- I
- 8 think this sort of takes into account what you
- 9 were just saying. It says when necessary, the
- 10 NIOSH site POC will provide this forum.
- 11 So all I'd suggest is OCAS says
- 12 that and if it's not a problem to have it in
- 13 here, since DOE is happy with the OCAS
- 14 procedure, that just might buy you something,
- 15 unless there's some reason not to have it.
- 16 MS. ROBERTSON-DEMERS: Yes, I think
- 17 we'd have to go back and look at it and see if
- 18 it's going to meet the need of the site.
- 19 I know that some of the forms
- 20 mentioned in PROC-010, we intentionally did
- 21 not implement because we have other ways of
- 22 doing it.

- 1 MR. KATZ: Okay, but this gives you
- 2 the caveat --
- 3 MS. ROBERTSON-DEMERS: I'll have to
- 4 go back and look at it because --
- 5 MR. KATZ: Kathy, you need the
- 6 caveat. It's when necessary, so it wouldn't
- 7 ever come into effect if it weren't necessary.
- 8 I'm not sure it gets you into any trouble. I
- 9 think it just avoids the situation if DOE
- 10 wants this actually cited as a procedure and
- 11 you don't have it in here, it goes to the
- 12 Board.
- 13 I'm just trying to avoid the
- 14 possibility that DOE will be unhappy with the
- 15 Board what the Board approves and then we'll
- 16 have to have a whole other round before we get
- 17 things up and running.
- DR. MAURO: Kathy, this is John.
- 19 This difference that exists between different
- 20 sites, some of them wanting the form and some
- 21 of them not wanting the form -- could you tell
- 22 me a little bit about the role this form plays

- 1 and why is it that some sites feel it's not
- 2 necessary and others feel it is?
- 3 MS. ROBERTSON-DEMERS: Well, we've
- 4 never used the 10 form. It's always been
- 5 saying, we need your -- the names of the
- 6 people who are coming, their Social Security
- 7 numbers, their birthdates, their citizenship,
- 8 et cetera.
- 9 It kind of depends upon the sites
- 10 and there's an interaction here between me and
- 11 DOE in that if during the preparatory process,
- 12 we discover that we are going to be pulling
- 13 classified documents that require signets,
- 14 then we're going to have to go through the
- 15 process of getting signets before we can look
- 16 at those.
- 17 DR. MAURO: Is that when the form
- 18 is triggered?
- 19 MS. ROBERTSON-DEMERS: Like I said,
- 20 we haven't used --
- 21 DR. MAURO: So it's never really --
- 22 so apparently, our interactions at the site --

- 1 whether it's classified or not classified
- 2 interactions -- we've been moving through a
- 3 process without the form nevertheless, but
- 4 NIOSH has a form. So there is a difference
- 5 here besides the --
- 6 MR. KATZ: It's a DOE form.
- 7 MR. CALHOUN: I don't recall being
- 8 asked for it either. It's just one of those
- 9 things, I believe, we probably pulled from the
- 10 DOE security policy and they said when
- 11 necessary, so we said when necessary.
- MR. FITZGERALD: And it may be very
- 13 possible that they're filling it out based on
- 14 the information that we're providing.
- MR. ELLIOTT: Headquarters is the
- 16 one that negotiated with us on our documents
- 17 and so what their field and sites do are most
- 18 generally different things.
- DR. MAURO: Put it in.
- MR. FITZGERALD: Yes, I don't see
- 21 any --
- 22 CHAIRMAN CLAWSON: Just with the

- 1 caveat of when necessary.
- 2 MR. KATZ: It's just -- You can
- 3 just verbatim take it from the OCAS because
- 4 that's the way they do it.
- 5 MR. FITZGERALD: Yes, and it sounds
- 6 like in practice, it doesn't sound like NIOSH
- 7 or OCAS is doing anything too differently.
- 8 They're still doing the same thing dealing
- 9 with sites and giving the information they
- 10 need.
- 11 MS. HOWELL: Can I interject before
- 12 we move on? At least in the establishing date
- and participating individuals category, you
- 14 probably should add some DFO language to those
- 15 two as well because especially if we have
- 16 Board members in attendance for any meetings,
- 17 we have to be careful about that sort of thing
- 18 as well.
- MR. FITZGERALD: Is it possible --
- 20 I guess, in terms of format -- I don't
- 21 disagree. In fact, I think we're going to say
- 22 it in a number of places, but this gets back

```
1 to maybe the roles and responsibilities for
```

- 2 DFO listed up front -- if we could capture --
- MS. HOWELL: Put it up there.
- 4 MR. FITZGERALD: Put it up there
- 5 and -- otherwise then we'd be repeating it and
- 6 every milestone, when I think if we can just
- 7 indicate the milestones up front, when we're
- 8 going on site because the date the individuals
- 9 -- all of that should be something you all
- 10 know about -- who, when, and I think I'd like
- 11 to play with that a little.
- 12 I think in the DFO roles and
- 13 responsibilities, I think we can lay that out
- 14 up-front as an exception, a requirement that
- 15 that would happen on the milestones. So I like
- 16 the idea of the milestones. We can stipulate
- 17 that and that seems satisfactory then.
- 18 MS. HOWELL: Yes. I mean, we can
- 19 look at another version of this where you
- 20 intended to do it as long as it's somehow in
- 21 there and it's not going to be confusing if
- 22 somebody else were to try to apply this

- 1 policy.
- 2 MR. FITZGERALD: Right.
- 3 MS. HOWELL: That's fine.
- 4 MEMBER BEACH: Have we talked about
- 5 the site badging and training? I have a
- 6 question on that. We haven't got to that yet.
- 7 MR. FITZGERALD: Well, no,
- 8 actually, it's part of this paragraph here.
- 9 MEMBER BEACH: Well, one of the
- 10 things I was looking at is it says under that,
- 11 site badging and training DOE site POC will
- 12 coordinate with headquarters. It talks about
- the appropriate badging, but Nowhere in our
- 14 first section does it talk about badging. I
- 15 was just curious if we needed to add that?
- In DOE point of contact, it talks
- 17 about the sigmas under the headquarters point
- 18 of contact, but neither one of those sections
- 19 talks about that again. It talks about
- 20 training.
- I just wondered if we needed to
- 22 add that in the DOE point of contact?

- 1 MR. FITZGERALD: Roles and
- 2 responsibilities?
- 3 MEMBER BEACH: Just something to
- 4 look at, Joe, at some point.
- 5 MR. FITZGERALD: That's kind of --
- 6 headquarters has a role relative to badging
- 7 where they submit the sigmas to the site and
- 8 the site actually handles our badging
- 9 directly.
- 10 So there's a bit of a handoff from
- 11 headquarters, but really the site typically
- 12 handles the actual badging.
- 13 MEMBER BEACH: Right. Badging is
- 14 just not mentioned in either one of those two
- 15 roles.
- 16 MR. FITZGERALD: Okay. Yes, it
- 17 certainly is a role to arrange for the
- 18 badging.
- 19 MEMBER BEACH: I thought that
- 20 needed to be added somewhere.
- MR. FITZGERALD: Well, we have
- 22 sigmas under DOE headquarters, which I think

1 is appropriate, but the coordination of the

- 2 badging is something that headquarters would -
- 3 –
- 4 MEMBER BEACH: It kind of falls
- 5 under the site.
- 6 MR. FITZGERALD: Well, yes, but the
- 7 coordination starts at headquarters.
- 8 CHAIRMAN CLAWSON: It starts at
- 9 headquarters because they're the ones that
- 10 have to initiate like you guys are Q'd, so --
- 11 MEMBER BEACH: Right. I just
- 12 thought it bore mentioning in there somewhere.
- 13 MR. FITZGERALD: Yes. I think it
- 14 would be helpful to clarify that and also to
- 15 point out that there's a handoff that goes to
- 16 the local level to do the actual badging.
- 17 And that has been an issue on
- 18 occasion because if that doesn't work, you
- 19 don't get the appropriate level of sigmas that
- 20 enable you to get the information that you're
- 21 looking for. So the site will not do it unless
- 22 they get the authorization from headquarters.

- 1 So that has to work right.
- DR. MAURO: Is there a -- prior to
- 3 making the visit, it sounds like this is a
- 4 critical path. That is, you don't want to show
- 5 up at a site and find out the badging hasn't
- 6 been issued.
- 7 MR. FITZGERALD: Oh, no. You won't
- 8 go very far.
- 9 DR. MAURO: So is there a step in
- 10 the process where before everyone takes off,
- 11 that there's confirmation that all of the
- 12 necessary paperwork is in place so that we can
- 13 leave now?
- MR. FITZGERALD: Yes. I mean,
- 15 that's part of the pre-arrangements for a site
- 16 visit. You have to get clearance from the
- 17 site. That's one of the very first things that
- 18 the site wants to understand is the level of
- 19 clearance for the individuals you're
- 20 proposing. They want to know their names,
- 21 Social Security number, what you want to look
- 22 at, because that determines access

- 1 requirements and sigmas.
- 2 That has to be pre-approved by a
- 3 sponsoring part of DOE headquarters that owns
- 4 that facility. So if it's like Pantex, the
- 5 NNSA has to give -- it's not HSS. The NNSA has
- 6 to give approval that you can have access to
- 7 that level of information.
- B DR. MAURO: And that flows from DOE
- 9 headquarters to the site to our point of
- 10 contact before your trip is made?
- 11 CHAIRMAN CLAWSON: There is usually
- 12 a courtesy copy to the site, a courtesy copy
- 13 to SC&A's point of contact, of these people,
- 14 and so forth, from what I've seen. Savannah
- 15 River is an example. Also Pantex and so forth.
- 16 MR. FITZGERALD: Right. Kathy, does
- 17 that ring true? All right, well, that's my
- 18 understanding and really, the only time we'd
- 19 have issues with that linkage is when the
- 20 headquarters doesn't pre-approve to the site,
- 21 which could really be a problem. So that's a
- 22 major step.

1 Anymore questions on the first two

- 2 sections?
- 3 CHAIRMAN CLAWSON: John, just to
- 4 iterate on what you said. Before we can -- I
- 5 know Pantex was one. Before we could even go
- 6 to the site and stuff, there was a letter from
- 7 DOE headquarters assuring that these people --
- 8 and there was a list of everybody -- had
- 9 access, that everything was set up and that up
- 10 they would be taken care of by Pantex security
- 11 and blah, blah, blah.
- DR. MAURO: So when you show up at
- 13 the door and you have that piece of paper,
- 14 they let you in?
- 15 CHAIRMAN CLAWSON: They already
- 16 have it, yes. I believe it was -- Robin was
- 17 her name. She was one contact and so forth.
- 18 MR. FITZGERALD: But to elaborate a
- 19 little further and we can get into this with
- 20 the PROC-011 discussion, those connections are
- 21 very critical because we have arrived on site
- 22 to find that the point of contact for the DOE

- 1 site hasn't engaged the Security side of that
- 2 site to the degree that arrangements have been
- 3 pre-arranged for us.
- 4 So even though we had the
- 5 clearances, the badging, we still couldn't get
- 6 to the classified documentation. So there's a
- 7 number of connections that have to be made to
- 8 make that work. It's not an easy process to
- 9 make sure they're all aligned. They all have
- 10 to be aligned in order to have access and
- 11 that's the classified information.
- 12 That was site access or Advisory
- 13 Board members. Moving on to data review itself
- 14 -- the first item is site classification, an
- 15 overview and introduction.
- 16 Again, I think we even touched
- 17 upon that already that SC&A will meet with the
- 18 site classification office or officer for a
- 19 brief overview of site sensitivities.
- This is akin to something we've
- 21 been doing of late in a more formal way, which
- is to make sure we understand the boundary

- 1 conditions for what would be sensitive and not
- 2 sensitive and to give some guidance from the
- 3 local security office if not headquarters on
- 4 how to handle a particular site. Of course,
- 5 we've done that in more detail with Pantex and
- 6 more recent sites.
- 7 Also, it gives us some feel for
- 8 how to do key word searches and sensitivities
- 9 that might be attended to that.
- 10 In terms of retrieval information,
- 11 the DOE site folks will coordinate with their
- 12 records people -- usually, sort of a left
- 13 hand, right hand, the site POC will work with
- 14 the records people to provide a means to do
- 15 the searches, to get the documents, to have
- 16 places to look through the documents and
- 17 whatnot. I think that's pretty similar to what
- 18 NIOSH is experiencing. They have the same
- 19 words.
- 20 Communication of the information -
- 21 we will coordinate and communicate with the
- 22 Advisory Board.

- 1 MR. KATZ: Can I make a suggestion.
- 2 I know where this came from and it's a little
- 3 bit -- it's just a little bit bungled here.
- 4 MR. FITZGERALD: Okay.
- 5 MR. KATZ: OCAS has this same
- 6 provision here and it's really for the OCAS
- 7 lead to be communicating with the team that
- 8 does the data capture for OCAS.
- 9 MR. FITZGERALD: Right.
- 10 MR. KATZ: And I think you just
- 11 want -- the analogous situation here is that
- 12 it copies the POC, then it's coordination with
- 13 whoever else would be working with working
- 14 with --
- MR. FITZGERALD: On the team.
- MR. KATZ: Yes, yes.
- MR. FITZGERALD: Okay. We'll re-
- 18 word that. Okay, thank you for that.
- 19 MR. KATZ: Sure.
- 20 MR. FITZGERALD: The next one is
- 21 documentation of the review. Here, I think, we
- 22 might get into a question of form. We have the

- 1 same words, but we do not cite the OCAS form
- 2 03 tracking of the log sheet.
- I think the notion there is not
- 4 that we don't think that logging the contents
- of a box aren't important, but from our
- 6 standpoint in our sampling review -- we're
- 7 doing a sampling review. We're going after
- 8 specific pieces of documents -- maybe one
- 9 document in a box that would inform our review
- 10 -- so we're not looking at the other
- 11 documents.
- So from a resource standpoint, we
- 13 would take a copy of that document, but would
- 14 not go through and inventory the entire box if
- 15 we didn't think it was relevant.
- 16 So I think in the original PROC-
- 17 010, the notion was that it's a good idea. I
- 18 think it's a good idea in terms of data
- 19 capture as a baseline. I think I could argue
- 20 the other way if we're doing a sampling review
- 21 with a relatively short period of time and
- 22 doing sort of a QA check. It would be pretty

- 1 burdensome if we had to inventory the entire
- 2 box if we're after one piece of paper.
- 3 So that's the reason we did not
- 4 mirror, I think, PROC-010 in that regard --
- 5 that the practice we're going through right
- 6 now would be to retrieve the document of
- 7 interest and to capture that, but not to
- 8 inventory --
- 9 MR. CALHOUN: Yes. You don't record
- 10 what you didn't capture or what you didn't
- 11 review.
- 12 MR. FITZGERALD: Yes, and I think
- 13 that's kind of where we're at right now. If we
- 14 do see items in the rest of the box that are
- of interest, we would go ahead and capture
- 16 them, but again, we wouldn't log in the rest
- 17 of the stuff.
- 18 MR. CALHOUN: As long as we can go
- 19 back and see what box you captured the
- 20 document you captured from, I think --
- MR. FITZGERALD: Yes, and that's
- 22 kind of what we would do. We would record the

- 1 box number, what we took out of that box and
- 2 that would be pretty much what we would have.
- 3 MR. KATZ: You may want to -- I
- 4 mean, I don't know if you're leaving it as
- 5 this -- the reviewer will fill out or an
- 6 equivalent form. You have it as that right
- 7 now, right? They are actually filling out that
- 8 or an equivalent form.
- 9 MR. FITZGERALD: No, I was talking
- 10 about the log sheet.
- 11 MR. KATZ: Maybe -- talking about
- 12 14?
- 13 MR. FITZGERALD: The one before
- 14 that.
- MR. KATZ: Oh, I see. I'm on the
- 16 next one.
- 17 MR. FITZGERALD: It actually leaves
- 18 out the form 003 log sheet. I just had to
- 19 explain why that was left out. The next one,
- 20 you're talking about?
- MR. KATZ: Yes.
- MR. FITZGERALD: We've seen,

- 1 certainly, a corollary reason to fill out that
- 2 form. I have filled out many, many of those
- 3 forms at Mound and I think it's a good thing
- 4 to do. I think it basically identifies the
- 5 document and provides a summary that would go
- 6 in the file. That's what we would do.
- 7 DR. MAURO: Joe, is there any
- 8 benefit to having a foot note on 5.3.1.4
- 9 explaining what you -- because that's
- 10 important because the people who are doing
- 11 this work -- now, certainly, Kathy is aware of
- 12 it -- but to know that in this particular
- instance, it's not necessary to fill in form
- 14 3.
- MR. FITZGERALD: Right.
- 16 DR. MAURO: I wouldn't want anyone
- 17 to think that maybe that was an oversight. I
- 18 don't know if -- I'll leave it up to you
- 19 whether you think a footnote -- not necessary
- 20 to fill in form 3. The Board and its
- 21 contractor did not require the filling of Form
- 22 3. MR. FITZGERALD: Well, I think

- 1 mention here is that either it's that specific
- 2 form or it's the same information so I'm not
- 3 sure.
- 4 What I would kind of default to
- 5 just filling out the form -- because I've
- 6 filled out the form. I think it's fine. But
- 7 this is, I think, a necessary prelude to
- 8 putting it up on the O: drive.
- 9 DR. MAURO: I don't want to leave
- 10 our people with the --
- MR. FITZGERALD: You mean the log
- 12 sheet?
- DR. MAURO: I went back. I went
- 14 back. That's why I said three. Just to let
- 15 people know that this is something where we
- 16 are parting ways. There was no need for us to
- 17 do this unlike NIOSH that does have to do
- 18 this.
- MR. CALHOUN: It helps us out.
- MR. FITZGERALD: We can put a foot
- 21 note or something like that, a parenthetical
- 22 thing why.

- 1 MEMBER BEACH: So we are aware
- 2 there's a form, but not necessarily --
- 3 DR. MAURO: We don't have to fill
- 4 this one out.
- 5 MR. CALHOUN: Yes, because we can
- 6 look back that form and say, oh, this was in
- 7 this box. We didn't capture it. Let's go look.
- 8 And you guys don't have to --
- 9 DR. MAURO: We don't have to do
- 10 that. Right.
- 11 MR. KATZ: Okay. For the next
- 12 step, what I was saying for documentation, for
- 13 capture and coding, for that form, I was just
- 14 going to suggest you actually just as OCAS
- 15 did, add an appendix to this so that you
- 16 complete procedure. You said you're going to
- 17 leave it. I would just add this in the
- 18 appendix. It's just useful to have that whole
- 19 procedure with all its bits and pieces
- 20 together.
- 21 MR. FITZGERALD: Okay, and the
- 22 intent there, again, is uniform entry to the

- 1 site research database, so whatever makes or
- 2 enables that, certainly we would want to do
- 3 that.
- 4 Next one, classification review of
- 5 identified information -- once the information
- 6 to be captured has been identified, the DOE
- 7 site folks would coordinate with the site
- 8 classification officer to review the
- 9 information by necessary security markings.
- 10 As necessary, each document data
- 11 set for it is captured, so we know that we're
- 12 dealing with classified documents. We shift to
- 13 fielding unclassified documents. We shift
- 14 directly to SC&A. I think that's pretty much
- 15 a mirror.
- 16 MEMBER PRESLEY: Can I -- are we
- 17 through with that section?
- 18 MR. FITZGERALD: No.
- 19 MEMBER PRESLEY: Before we go
- 20 forward, I would like to back up to 5.3.1.1,
- 21 please.
- MR. FITZGERALD: Okay.

```
1 MEMBER PRESLEY: In your initial
```

- 2 thing -- I'll let you go through this because
- 3 I don't see it anywhere -- it says that the
- 4 Advisory Board point of contact or their TSC
- 5 designee will meet with the NNSA/DOE site
- 6 classification office.
- 7 I would like that the contractor
- 8 classification office be added to that. I'll
- 9 tell you why. A lot of times, your site
- 10 classification office, your DOE -- and Joe, I
- 11 think, will back me up on this --that your
- 12 people that are your contractor office are the
- 13 people that know more about the documents.
- 14 They know where they might be found. They know
- 15 the different names, a whole lot more than
- 16 NNSA/DOE contract classification officer will.
- 17 MR. FITZGERALD: Yes.
- 18 MEMBER PRESLEY: And they certainly
- 19 will know a lot of times more than that person
- 20 who's working in your document accountability
- 21 group that knows how to put a box on a shelf,
- 22 but he or she doesn't know what's in that box.

- 1 So it might be to everybody's
- 2 advantage if they work also with site
- 3 contractor there.
- 4 MR. FITZGERALD: Yes. I think what
- 5 we could do is just say NNSA/DOE/contractor.
- 6 Depending on the site, you would go down
- 7 through that hierarchy and -- I agree. I mean,
- 8 it would be useful to talk to the contractor
- 9 or laboratory.
- 10 MEMBER PRESLEY: As you know, it's
- 11 a whole lot easier to talk to the staff
- 12 classification officer than it is to the one
- 13 over at DOE.
- MR. FITZGERALD: Oh, yes. In fact,
- 15 that's who we interacted with when we were at
- 16 Y12 last month.
- 17 CHAIRMAN CLAWSON: We still have to
- 18 go through DOE.
- 19 MEMBER PRESLEY: Right. You still
- 20 have to do it. It's still a chain of command.
- 21 MR. FITZGERALD: That's why I say -
- 22 I sort of put the chain of command down. I

- 1 just added slash contractor because to get to
- 2 the contractor, we had to touch those bases
- 3 anyway.
- 4 But I agree. I think the
- 5 contractor should be listed after a hashmark,
- 6 as another -- Actually, as the end point.
- 7 Thank you, Bob. And we had very good support
- 8 from the contractor security guy at Y12.
- 9 5.4. on data capture -- now, this
- 10 gets to be more explanatory of practice and
- 11 just bear with me here. This gets into a
- 12 little more detail because we wanted to
- 13 memorialize how we were doing things. This is
- 14 an area where comments are welcome, of course.
- 15 This is what we're doing.
- 16 Site data capture -- some
- 17 coordination between the Advisory Board POC --
- 18 in this case, it's SC&A -- and the DOE site
- 19 POC is necessary as is advanced coordination
- 20 with NIOSH. This is, again, data capture.
- 21 As with the initial request, there
- 22 are two basic methods for which the review

- 1 data can be captured. First is that DOE
- 2 provides either a hard copy of the
- 3 appropriately marked information and SC&A
- 4 scans or captures that information.
- 5 The second is that the site itself
- 6 -- this is the DOE site personnel -- scan the
- 7 information and provide an electronic copy.
- 8 This is where I had said earlier that there's
- 9 an issue of how we go about doing that. This
- 10 lays out the options.
- 11 Where scanning equipment and/or
- 12 resources are not available to the Advisory
- 13 Board SC&A -- and again, that's if in fact we
- 14 don't have capabilities -- documents would be
- 15 forwarded to either NIOSH or DOE Depending on
- 16 who might be able to scan those.
- 17 With Larry's comment, I guess we
- 18 could indicate that if we weren't able to do
- 19 it locally, we could forward hard copies to
- 20 NIOSH.
- I think the number of documents
- 22 would be, hopefully, smaller than one would

- 1 expect just because if we had done the
- 2 baselining right, these would be documents, in
- 3 addition to those already collected by NIOSH
- 4 and ORAU and would presumably be valuable to
- 5 go ahead and put on the O: drive. So these
- 6 would be the delta, the additional documents.
- 7 Original documents would be
- 8 returned. Obviously, we would keep the
- 9 originals and they'd be put up in electronic
- 10 form.
- Now, we don't have scanning
- 12 equipment, but I think this is solvable one
- 13 way or the other.
- MR. KATZ: My only suggestion is
- 15 that you may want to just look at the OCAS
- 16 procedure again, which was very clear about
- 17 the scanning situation and see if you want to
- 18 adapt that just to -- or if you want to stay
- 19 with us and just sort of stream the narrative
- 20 a little.
- 21 MR. FITZGERALD: I think the
- 22 narrative is fine. I think maybe as an

- 1 appendix or something just so the SC&A folks
- 2 understand what the scanning process is and
- 3 what the OCAS requirements are to receive
- 4 documents because I think there was something
- 5 in there about providing the assurance that --
- 6 for example, if the interviewee gave us
- 7 documents, they would have to be screened by
- 8 DOE prior to submitting them to OCAS for
- 9 scanning, just simply because you wanted to
- 10 make sure that they were ruled unclassified,
- 11 not UCNI. So there are some of those steps
- 12 that I think have to be clarified, which would
- 13 be clarified in the appendix.
- 14 MEMBER PRESLEY: You all know this
- is a problem if you got to take it to the DOE
- 16 site. If you take the scanner in -- that thing
- 17 sitting right there -- you're opening a can of
- 18 worms.
- 19 MR. FITZGERALD: I think in those
- 20 cases, DOE probably wouldn't let you. You'd
- 21 have to use their scanning equipment.
- 22 MEMBER PRESLEY: Yes. Make sure

- 1 that they've got the equipment up front and
- 2 have people to do it each time you visit.
- 3 MR. KATZ: Joe, my point is just
- 4 that if you look at the OCAS procedure, it's
- 5 actually not elaborate. It's very brief. I
- 6 mean, I wouldn't put an appendix to this sort
- 7 of thing. There's so little to append, but if
- 8 you want to incorporate that, you could --
- 9 MR. FITZGERALD: Well, there is
- 10 actually -- it's very simple, but I think it's
- 11 easier to follow than just the narrative.
- MR. KATZ: Okay.
- MR. FITZGERALD: No, but certainly,
- 14 with the discussion we've had today on -- and
- 15 this is more of a logistics issue. We agree
- 16 they need to be scanned. To the extent that
- 17 NIOSH is going to take hard copy, then we can
- 18 lay out the procedures and follow the OCAS
- 19 procedure for submitting documents for
- 20 scanning.
- 21 CHAIRMAN CLAWSON: How about if we
- 22 stop for lunch?

- 1 MR. FITZGERALD: Actually, I was
- 2 going to suggest that when we get to
- 3 interviews, that's a good place.
- 4 CHAIRMAN CLAWSON: Okay.
- 5 MR. FITZGERALD: And interviews is
- 6 next. Any other questions on the actual data
- 7 capture, the document capture at the site?
- 8 We're done with that now. We're going on to
- 9 interviews, the next set of paragraphs.
- 10 So just for the benefit of the
- 11 people on the phone, there's two parts to this
- 12 procedure. One deals with data access,
- information at the site, records, what have
- 14 you. The second piece deals with how
- interviews would be conducted. We're at the
- 16 juncture between those two parts now.
- So I guess your suggestion is to
- 18 break now.
- 19 CHAIRMAN CLAWSON: Yes. Why don't
- 20 we break for lunch?
- 21 MR. KATZ: So probably we are going
- 22 to break for lunch?

```
1 CHAIRMAN CLAWSON: Yes, we are.
```

- 2 MR. KATZ: So for everyone on the
- 3 phone, it's almost 12:30, so I think we'll
- 4 break till 1:30 and then re-establish the
- 5 line. Thank you, everybody.
- 6 (Whereupon, the above-entitled
- 7 matter went off the record at 12:27 p.m. and
- 8 resumed at 1:30 p.m.)
- 9 MR. KATZ: So this is the Advisory
- 10 Board on Radiation Worker Health and we're re-
- 11 convening after lunch. It's the working group
- 12 developing the Board's security plans so we're
- 13 compliant with DOE security plan.
- Joe, you can just pick up where
- 15 you left off.
- 16 MR. FITZGERALD: Okay. Yes, just
- 17 again, we left off with the heart of the
- 18 procedure that dealt with data access at the
- 19 sites and we're picking up now on the latter
- 20 portion that deals with conduct of interviews.
- 21 I'm just going to, again, walk
- 22 through this, particularly for the benefit of

- 1 the folks on the phone that don't have the
- 2 written procedures in front of them.
- In support of site profiles or SEC
- 4 reviews, we have interviewed -- and this is
- 5 pretty much in practice -- current and former
- 6 workers who have information that would be of
- 7 benefit to the review.
- 8 It's been a fundamental part of
- 9 what we do. We do it very much as a foundation
- 10 for the later analysis.
- 11 The only difference between the
- 12 conduct and the interview of a former worker
- 13 and a current worker is the current worker
- 14 typically requests the interview be conducted
- 15 during the work hours and we do have to make
- 16 arrangements. They, in fact, are compensated
- 17 by the contractor they work for so there are
- 18 some implications there.
- 19 So we pretty much deal with the
- 20 DOE site POC to establish where we would have
- 21 that interview and how they would participate.
- 22 Of course, participation of those interviews

- is voluntary and there's no requirement for
- 2 them to sit down with us, but typically, we do
- 3 make the invitation and go from there.
- Anyway, we go through the process
- 5 -- and this, again, tracks what I think was in
- 6 PROC-010 with maybe a couple of differences.
- 7 Again, these differences are more for the
- 8 elaboration based on our experience at the
- 9 site.
- 10 Kathy has done a lion's share of
- 11 our interviews, so she contributed a lot to
- 12 laying this out.
- 13 I think there's some new issues we
- 14 might want to get into as well. When
- 15 conducting the interviews with workers at the
- 16 DOE sites, one issue is that we have to be
- 17 very careful about the sensitivity of the
- 18 information that's conveyed to us.
- 19 Whether it's a former worker or a
- 20 current worker, that they work at a site with
- 21 sensitive information -- we treat the
- 22 information we receive as potentially

- 1 sensitive and without condition. Those notes
- 2 are given to the classification reviewers
- 3 immediately before we leave the site. They
- 4 don't leave the site with us. They are left
- 5 with the security people who screen the notes
- 6 and if necessary, do redactions before we
- 7 would get the notes back.
- 8 So I want to make sure that's
- 9 clear that that's part of the process that we
- 10 go through and certainly, the same process
- 11 that NIOSH and ORAU go through.
- We notify the workers when we
- interview them that that's the case -- that in
- 14 fact, because of the classification
- procedures, those notes, even though they're
- 16 supporting our review, do cycle through the
- 17 classification review process at the DOE site
- 18 in question.
- 19 DR. MAKHIJANI: Now, are you
- 20 talking about classified reviews in this case?
- 21 MR. FITZGERALD: No. Anything that
- 22 we would get, regardless of the site, if it's

- 1 an interview, we treat everything we receive
- 2 in terms of information and what we record as
- 3 notes as potentially classified.
- DR. MAKHIJANI: We send everything
- 5 for review, but we send it later on when we
- 6 actually compile the notes.
- 7 MR. FITZGERALD: No, any -- well,
- 8 let me put it this way. Any notes that are
- 9 recorded at the site, when you're doing the
- 10 interview at the site --
- DR. MAKHIJANI: At the site, okay.
- MR. FITZGERALD: At the site, they
- 13 stay at the site. In fact, the site has a
- 14 means to review.
- 15 Some sites do not. In fact, they
- 16 don't exist anymore, in which case, we would
- 17 send it to whoever DOE indicates we should
- 18 send it to.
- DR. MAKHIJANI: Right.
- 20 MR. FITZGERALD: There's procedures
- 21 for how you package that and send it. I think
- 22 the SOP is that everything we collect in the

- 1 way of notes and record in the way of notes is
- 2 directed to DOE before we would use those
- 3 notes further.
- DR. MAKHIJANI: Right.
- 5 MR. FITZGERALD: Yes. And there's
- 6 certainly other advance notifications that we
- 7 lay out. Certainly, there's the Privacy Act
- 8 requirements that we have to abide by and if,
- 9 in fact, there's the potential -- I mean,
- 10 other than the presumed potential but actual
- 11 potential for classified information, there's
- 12 always the option to do the interview at a
- 13 secure site.
- Now, for a weapons facility or
- 15 NNSA site, we would take a step further and
- 16 just do all the interviews in a secure
- 17 location just because the workers, in a lot of
- 18 cases, held few clearances or certainly the
- 19 site itself had classified information
- 20 associated with it. So we would just take a
- 21 step of having the interviews in the first
- 22 place in a secure area.

```
1 One issue that has come up and
```

- 2 actually, what we have in the procedure pre-
- 3 dates a lot of the dialogue on this question
- 4 of anonymity. In the past, we have been open
- 5 to a worker who really didn't want his name
- 6 associated with the information that they were
- 7 conveying to us and we would both leave the
- 8 name out as well as summarize the overall
- 9 interview so that really, there wasn't even
- 10 any specific information from that interview
- 11 itself. It was all sort of conglomerated into
- 12 a summary.
- 13 However, with more thinking about
- 14 this, clearly -- and we have done both
- 15 classified, unclassified sites -- clearly,
- 16 with the advent of submission of all interview
- 17 notes to DOE for review, there is that
- 18 potential that there may be some sensitive
- 19 information identified in one of the sets of
- 20 interview notes.
- 21 Of course, DOE always would follow
- 22 up and want to know the source of that

- 1 information, so there is a sort of a qualifier
- on that. Certainly, we could indicate, to the
- 3 extent we could try to keep this anonymous,
- 4 but there is that factor where if, in fact,
- 5 there's some sensitive information, DOE may
- 6 follow up and want to establish where it came
- 7 from.
- 8 So I think what we do in the front
- 9 part of this, and this is what I would add to
- 10 what's in this procedure, is we need to make
- 11 clear to the interviewee that in addition to
- 12 these things routinely going to DOE for
- 13 clearance, that there's a potential if they
- 14 want anonymity, that if there is any
- 15 classification issues, that DOE may want to
- 16 backtrack and find out the source and that may
- involve identifying the source of information.
- 18 So I think with further -- and
- 19 that hasn't happened, but with further
- 20 thinking, that certainly is one possibility
- 21 that we want to make clear to the interviewee
- 22 before doing that.

```
1 So I think that aspect of it is
```

- 2 something and I opened it up a little bit
- 3 because I think that's something we've talked
- 4 about, but haven't really firmed up as far as
- 5 procedurally how we would do it.
- 6 MR. KATZ: Let me just add some
- 7 more information to this since you had
- 8 interactions, Joe and John, on this very
- 9 topic. It goes beyond even DOE having the
- 10 classification issue because there are other
- 11 scenarios in which the identity of the
- 12 individual would have to be divulged as well,
- including sort of judicial situations where
- 14 HHS would have to know the identity of the
- 15 person.
- 16 So where the discussion stands
- 17 right now is really, you shouldn't be making
- 18 any offer for anonymity to an interviewee. I
- 19 mean, I think you can -- I mean, you might be
- 20 able to offer that their name wouldn't be on
- 21 the documents at the outset, but really,
- 22 making any kind of promise of anonymity would

- 1 be a problem.
- 2 Having a sort of a waiver, which
- 3 you would normally require, that you would
- 4 provide the individual for informed consent,
- 5 I think would be difficult to craft. So this
- 6 really is kind of a sticky issue and maybe
- 7 there's a way that SC&A can offer -- so, a low
- 8 profile to an individual, but I don't know
- 9 that you can make any kind of implication of
- 10 anonymity whatsoever.
- 11 MR. FITZGERALD: Yes, and I think
- 12 that's kind of the concern that we've had.
- 13 Again, this is something that's been discussed
- 14 of late.
- 15 One tool that we have is
- 16 typically, for what we do, which is to do a
- 17 number of interviews and those collected
- 18 interviews inform a site profile review or
- 19 inform an SEC, we can summarize -- and we have
- 20 done that in the past -- summarize these
- 21 individual interviews so that the information
- 22 itself, which is vital for the review is

- 1 provided, but it does not identify any
- 2 particular source among several sources.
- 3 It also obviates a problem that
- 4 we've gotten into with Privacy Act because
- 5 again, sometimes it's difficult to avoid
- 6 Privacy Act issues when you have descriptors
- 7 that are clearly unique to whoever you
- 8 interviewed and they can be identified by
- 9 descriptors.
- 10 So this is something that's become
- 11 more and more apparent over time. The reason
- 12 it's come up, I think, lately is because
- 13 clearly, as the DOE security plan is coming
- into place in PROC-011, we've looked at some
- 15 of these implications and realized that even
- 16 though in the past, people have come forward
- 17 and wanted their names not associated with a
- 18 specific piece of information, we could see
- 19 scenarios where you couldn't avoid it.
- 20 So it seems that we would have to
- 21 be up-front about that when we interviewed the
- 22 individual and had to make clear that that

- 1 might, in fact, happen.
- DR. MAKHIJANI: Kathy, are you on
- 3 the line?
- 4 MS. ROBERTSON-DEMERS: Yes, I am.
- DR. MAKHIJANI: Okay. We have a --
- 6 Kathy has certainly done more interviews than
- 7 me, but I've done my fair share and we have a
- 8 kind of a script that we go through. We don't
- 9 have a formal script, but we have scripts.
- Basically, we tell them that it's
- 11 an unclassified interview. It's their
- 12 responsibility to protect classified
- information. Nowadays, since the things are
- 14 going to the DOE, that we send the draft to
- 15 the DOE for review. I've done off site
- 16 interviews, essentially. So we send the draft
- 17 to the DOE for review.
- 18 But I think if you have a blanket
- 19 statement that there's no anonymity possible,
- 20 even those who may not want anonymity may
- 21 shrink from giving you the interview.
- The possibility that it can be

- 1 anonymous actually encourages more open -- in
- 2 my experience, that's from the atmosphere of
- 3 the interview. No one I've ever interviewed
- 4 has elected to be anonymous.
- 5 I understand that statement that
- 6 you've made and the concerns. Obviously, I'm
- 7 not qualified to talk about when something has
- 8 to be made public and I accept what you say at
- 9 face value. But some kind of disclosure about
- 10 some conditional anonymity disclosure
- 11 provision could perhaps be crafted that you
- 12 could offer then that so that it's not that
- 13 you have to give your name and it will be --
- 14 MR. KATZ: I think you could sort
- 15 of, in the low profile scenario I just
- 16 suggested and Joe just sort of described, I
- 17 think you could offer to someone that their
- 18 information is going to be summarized and not
- 19 identified with them. If that's your plan, I
- 20 think you could describe that sort of scenario
- 21 to them and explain that that's how their
- 22 information would be handled.

- 1 But you could not -- you shouldn't
- 2 even imply that under any situation, they
- 3 would have anonymity because it's not
- 4 supportable legally.
- 5 So I think you can get to where
- 6 you want, Arjun, by explaining to the
- 7 individual again that their information is not
- 8 going to be provided with their name in the
- 9 way it's presented. It's intended to be
- 10 presented through the system, but they would
- 11 have to understand that there are a number of
- 12 scenarios under which their individual
- identity would have to be divulged to either
- 14 DOE or to HHS. They'd have to understand that
- 15 that was a possibility.
- 16 MR. FITZGERALD: Okay, but I
- 17 understand Arjun's pt too because given the
- 18 breadth of experience we've had, these are
- 19 rather exceptional instances where that would
- 20 occur. We just identify these possible
- 21 scenarios.
- 22 I think the notion we have to

- 1 avoid is this iron clad, under any
- 2 circumstances, you will be anonymous with the
- 3 information -- we can't do that given the fact
- 4 that --
- DR. MAKHIJANI: I understand that.
- 6 MR. FITZGERALD: But I think what
- 7 Ted is saying is that in this up front
- 8 disclaimer -- we go through a lot of things,
- 9 cautionary notes and everything -- I think in
- 10 that one, we have to be clear that we will
- 11 strive to protect the identity by removing the
- 12 name but keying it in.
- In other words -- I know Kathy can
- 14 say interview A, B, C, D, and she knows who A,
- 15 B, C, and D are. She has the key and that
- 16 would be submitted that way and then it would
- 17 be summarized. So there's a lot of ways --
- 18 DR. MAKHIJANI: We can do that?
- MR. FITZGERALD: Yes.
- DR. MAKHIJANI: What goes to the
- 21 DOE would just be A, B, C, D?
- MR. FITZGERALD: Yes. Now, the

- 1 problem we're talking about is if interview C
- 2 turns out to have sensitive information and
- 3 they want to know the source, we have to go
- 4 back to interview C. If they independently
- 5 want to interview that person or talk to that
- 6 person, they'll know who that person is.
- 7 DR. MAKHIJANI: Can you tell them
- 8 that?
- 9 MR. KATZ: I think you can. I
- 10 think you can tell them this is my game plan.
- 11 Absolutely, I think you could do that.
- I just wouldn't want you to ever
- 13 even utter the words that this is an anonymous
- 14 interview. I mean, you can explain to them
- 15 that this is the approach you're taking with
- 16 the information and so on.
- 17 But I think they should understand
- 18 that there are scenarios under which their
- 19 name would have to be identified by one or
- 20 another in the agency's office.
- 21 MR. FITZGERALD: Right, and that's
- 22 the same context of either cautioning that

- 1 we're going to stay away from sensitive areas.
- We certainly want to keep this unclassified --
- 3 do this, do that -- however, this is going to
- 4 be screened.
- 5 I think it should be very clear
- 6 that DOE will be looking at this and in the
- 7 unlikely -- I'm trying to remember all the
- 8 words -- in the unlikely event that something
- 9 is found, there might be a follow up
- 10 investigation by which they'll want to know
- 11 the source.
- 12 MEMBER BEACH: And if you divulge
- 13 the source and DOE or NIOSH wants to interview
- 14 that individual, that individual has that
- 15 opportunity to decline a further interview or
- 16 --
- 17 MR. FITZGERALD: Well, not from
- 18 DOE. That's national security.
- 19 MEMBER BEACH: Okay, so they would
- 20 have -- I was just curious if their
- 21 information could be withdrawn in that
- 22 interview?

- 1 MR. FITZGERALD: No.
- 2 MR. KATZ: There may be other
- 3 scenarios too, outside of DOE, where they
- 4 would not be able to decline further
- 5 participation.
- 6 DR. MAURO: Could you tell me a
- 7 little bit more about that -- about those
- 8 other situations? What other circumstances?
- 9 MR. KATZ: I don't understand it
- 10 very well, but in judicial issues -- if there
- 11 are legal issues. It may not relate directly
- 12 to what SC&A is doing whatsoever, but where.
- 13 MEMBER PRESLEY: Wait. Let me tell
- 14 you more, okay. If that person was involved in
- 15 an accident and had something to say about
- 16 that accident and that accident was an
- 17 accident involving death or something like
- 18 that --
- DR. MAURO: Litigation?
- 20 MEMBER PRESLEY: Litigation. Then
- 21 that person -- once it comes up and it's
- 22 public, it's on the record.

- 1 DR. MAURO: I see.
- 2 MR. KATZ: A criminal matter, I
- 3 think, probably would be another instance.
- 4 Give me an example where there would be no
- 5 protection of their identity.
- 6 MS. HOWELL: There are legal
- 7 concerns, but for uses within the program and
- 8 for uses outside the program.
- 9 DR. MAURO: You know what's ironic
- 10 about this? In my experience -- and I haven't
- 11 done anywhere as many interviews -- but the
- 12 people at the AWE facilities that I was in --
- 13 they want their name in the document. They cry
- 14 out for it and we can't put it in.
- MR. ELLIOTT: They even want to
- 16 become authors.
- 17 MS. ROBERTSON-DEMERS: Can I bring
- 18 up another issue that recently cropped up with
- 19 interviews?
- MR. KATZ: Yes, Kathy.
- MS. ROBERTSON-DEMERS: It may be
- 22 that when we submit interviews to the DOE for

- 1 review in review summary, we are going to have
- 2 to remove the names because if we don't, some
- 3 of the sites are marking them officially use
- 4 only, which then gets us into a problem with
- 5 can we release to the public or not.
- 6 MR. KATZ: I mean, that just,
- 7 again, fits right in there with you telling
- 8 them what their strategy is in terms of
- 9 divulging information.
- 10 MR. FITZGERALD: I think one thing
- 11 that might be useful to add here -- I know in
- 12 the PROC-010, there's actually a very formal -
- 13 some would call disclaimer -- sort of a text
- 14 to open the int. It seems like it would be
- 15 helpful to outline some of these cautionary
- 16 notes.
- 17 CHAIRMAN CLAWSON: Don't
- 18 disclaimers have one because the interviews
- 19 that I've seen --
- MR. FITZGERALD: No, we have one,
- 21 but it's not in the procedure. I'm just saying
- 22 it sounds like it would be very helpful to

- 1 make sure we itemize the bases that have to be
- 2 touched.
- 3 DR. MAKHIJANI: I think that would
- 4 be good. There are a lot of people doing
- 5 interviews and I think it would be good to
- 6 have some uniformity to this.
- 7 CHAIRMAN CLAWSON: Would it be
- 8 wrong to have a signature where they are
- 9 acknowledging that this was read to them?
- DR. MAURO: Is it -- mirandizing?
- 11 CHAIRMAN CLAWSON: No, actually
- 12 what I've seen is -- you've got to remember
- 13 that with the interviews I'm involved with
- 14 there, that they went through this step by
- 15 step by process and explained it. I just want
- 16 to make sure that people --
- 17 MR. FITZGERALD: I think there's
- 18 probably a number of things in that front end
- 19 that would be helpful to be more specific and
- 20 offer a flow.
- 21 DR. MAKHIJANI: Instead of a
- 22 signature making it a little bit more kind of

- 1 off-putting, we might hand them a sheet and
- 2 say, here's the procedure and then just go
- 3 through it, walk them. I don't know.
- 4 MS. HOWELL: We would definitely
- 5 have to review anything like that. But if
- 6 there's a sigmas issue --
- 7 DR. MAKHIJANI: It would be part of
- 8 this procedure.
- 9 MR. KATZ: Joe, you're discussing
- 10 doing something along the lines of what OCAS
- 11 has, right?
- MR. FITZGERALD: I'm not sure it's
- 13 a statement you would read, but it would be
- 14 something that -- yes, I wasn't certain
- 15 whether we would read a statement, but I think
- 16 whether we hand something or use a systemic --
- 17 sort of like a checklist -- I think that would
- 18 fulfill some of what's in this statement, but
- 19 also serve the process well.
- 20 So I think that's something we'd
- 21 want to do and we would certainly have to send
- 22 that over to counsel for review. But it would

- 1 capture, I think, what you're talking about,
- 2 which is a cautionary note as well as -- not
- 3 sort of disclaimer, but sort of here's what we
- 4 can do, but it's not a guarantee.
- DR. MAKHIJANI: And here is the
- 6 process and here are the options.
- 7 MR. FITZGERALD: Right.
- DR. MAKHIJANI: I think a checklist
- 9 might work.
- 10 MR. FITZGERALD: Well, I think
- 11 we've got to play with it. That's one option,
- 12 a checklist.
- 13 MEMBER BEACH: I think as simple as
- 14 possible, though. Signatures require us
- 15 keeping track of that stuff and we probably
- 16 don't want to get into that.
- 17 CHAIRMAN CLAWSON: You're going to
- 18 have to have one for charity and like on-site
- 19 interviews because you're going to be covering
- 20 two different things. Classified issues are a
- 21 totally different issue.
- As I've seen, when it's off site,

- 1 they make very sure that we cannot discuss any
- 2 kind of classified information.
- 3 MR. FITZGERALD: Any more on that?
- 4 Any more on that part, Kathy?
- 5 MS. ROBERTSON-DEMERS: No.
- 6 DR. MAURO: I'm sorry. I do have a
- 7 question. I know I've see the end of the
- 8 process where after some protracted period of
- 9 time, an appendix shows up to one of our site
- 10 profile residency reviews -- a 40 or 50 page
- 11 summary of the interview.
- 12 It goes through the whole formal
- 13 process and is eventually inserted as appendix
- 14 B or whatever into one of our work products.
- 15 What I don't know really -- and I
- 16 know there's been some discussion -- the
- 17 actual notes being pertinent, when you sit
- down and talk to the person, there are the
- 19 person's name, as I understand it -- tell me
- 20 if I'm wrong -- and what the discussion was.
- 21 You're basically keeping a log talking to this
- 22 person.

- 1 MR. FITZGERALD: Essentially, yes.
- DR. MAURO: The date you're talking
- 3 to this person and there are notes. Now, those
- 4 notes, I understand, are also a part of the
- 5 process where DOE wants them back too.
- 6 MR. FITZGERALD: No, that's the
- 7 first thing they look at.
- DR. MAURO: That's the first thing,
- 9 good. Now, but it's not made it into this
- 10 program. In other words, our notes -- I know
- 11 haven't seen them.
- 12 MR. FITZGERALD: That's right.
- DR. MAURO: Our notes are not
- 14 published in any way, whether closed within
- 15 the work group or open, for certain. But they
- 16 do exist.
- 17 MR. FITZGERALD: That's right.
- DR. MAURO: Is there anything about
- 19 that that we should be talking about, about
- 20 those particular notes and where they fit into
- 21 this program in terms of accessibility to
- 22 other parties involvement in this program.

```
1 MR. FITZGERALD: Well, it's sort of
```

- 2 the same character because if I go on site and
- 3 look at documents, I'm writing notes about the
- 4 what the relevance to those documents and how
- 5 they might fit into analysis. But that's not
- 6 going to be the review that would be subject
- 7 to the Board or provide the Board. Those are
- 8 just sort of ongoing notes.
- 9 This is the fodder for the
- 10 summaries that will become part of the
- 11 documents, the input. I think they're
- 12 maintained just because if there's any
- 13 questions on the summaries, you can go back to
- 14 the original notes that one takes.
- But those original notes go
- 16 through DOE, make sure they're unclassified.
- 17 They then go back to the interviewee to
- 18 validate that what we recorded, in fact, is
- 19 what the interviewee believes they've said. So
- 20 there's a validation step involved.
- 21 If there's any major corrections,
- 22 guess what? They go back to DOE to make sure

- 1 that those suggestions don't embody anymore
- 2 classified information.
- 3 After they come back or when they
- 4 come back to the interviewee, then someone
- 5 like Kathy sits down and summarizes those
- 6 individual interviews into the section that
- 7 you see.
- 8 So really, the drafting of that
- 9 section is the first time this becomes even a
- 10 draft version of what would end up in our
- 11 analysis. Everything before that is sort of
- 12 pre-decisional note taking.
- 13 MS. ROBERTSON-DEMERS: Can I back
- 14 up here a minute?
- MR. FITZGERALD: Yes, go ahead.
- 16 MS. ROBERTSON-DEMERS: First of
- 17 all, you have the very raw note that we take
- 18 during the int. I think Arjun can tell you
- 19 that a lot of the time, these things don't
- 20 make sense to any body else but the author.
- 21 They go through review and then we
- 22 do an individual interview summary of those

- 1 notes. They also go through review by DOE. At
- 2 that point, they're released to the
- 3 interviewee for comment.
- 4 MR. FITZGERALD: Okay, so none are
- 5 cycled.
- 6 MS. ROBERTSON-DEMERS: To me,
- 7 they're pretty decisional at a targeted stance
- 8 from the interviewee, but yes, that's what I
- 9 said.
- 10 MR. KATZ: This is to address what
- 11 you're discussing in these procedures already?
- MR. FITZGERALD: Yes, in a general
- 13 way.
- MS. HOWELL: I would clarify that
- 15 while certainly those documents would be
- 16 predecisional and protectable from FOIA and
- 17 other forms of release in multiple ways,
- 18 there's still documents that you've created on
- 19 your contract with the government and their
- 20 government contract documents.
- DR. MAURO: Where do they reside
- 22 now? Where are they now?

- 1 MR. FITZGERALD: Well, they reside
- 2 with Kathy, I would think, for the interviews.
- 3 Right, Kathy?
- 4 MS. ROBERTSON-DEMERS: A lot of
- 5 them do. They actually reside with the
- 6 interviewee.
- 7 DR. MAKHIJANI: They reside with
- 8 the interviewer.
- 9 MS. ROBERTSON-DEMERS: The
- 10 interviewer, sorry. I haven't done 100 percent
- 11 of the interviews. Some of them reside in
- 12 files at the DOE.
- 13 MEMBER BEACH: I was going to say,
- 14 aren't those also available to -- if they're
- 15 SC&A's notes, aren't they available to NIOSH
- 16 and NIOSH's notes are also available to SC&A?
- 17 MR. FITZGERALD: I think the point
- 18 she was making though, until they come back
- 19 from the interviewee as validated, and at that
- 20 point -- am I right, Kathy? At that point,
- 21 there are documents that would be available?
- MS. ROBERTSON-DEMERS: Right.

```
1 MR. FITZGERALD: Now, whether
```

- 2 they've been systematically made available is
- 3 part of what we've been treating in this that
- 4 in terms of all documents, that would include
- 5 interview notes. That would be made available
- 6 at that point. Right?
- 7 MS. ROBERTSON-DEMERS: Like I --
- 8 MR. FITZGERALD: Even before
- 9 summarization, it would be made available.
- 10 MS. ROBERTSON-DEMERS: Some of
- 11 these raw notes are sitting at DOE sites
- 12 because they have to be maintained there.
- DR. MAURO: No, my question is a
- 14 little different. My question is, at some
- point in the process, the notes with people's
- 16 names on it and your notes, after they've gone
- 17 through whatever number of cycles they need to
- 18 go through, including the interviewee and DOE,
- 19 eventually they have a home sitting on your
- 20 file and you have the document. Of course,
- 21 that becomes the source material from which
- 22 you make your summary level documents.

```
1 That material that's sitting there
```

- 2 is certainly a work product that was prepared
- 3 under contract, under our contract. It belongs
- 4 to the government, and the question is, what
- 5 do we do with those notes right now? Where are
- 6 they sitting? Who has ownership? Is it part of
- 7 our process to make them available to the
- 8 record -- not the public record, but the
- 9 project record -- available to NIOSH and its
- 10 folks. Or do we wait until someone asks for
- 11 them before we distribute them?
- MS. HOWELL: Well, I would -- the
- 13 original notes -- the ones that are just
- 14 scribbles -- those are government also.
- Now, we are not in the habit of
- 16 requesting those. I don't foresee us
- 17 requesting those, but if we were to request
- 18 them, we would receive them.
- DR. MAURO: I got you.
- MS. HOWELL: Now, when you're
- 21 talking about the later summaries and whatnot,
- 22 I mean, typically, I thought that those were

- 1 being turned over to the Board as being
- 2 responsive to a contract task request.
- 3 MR. FITZGERALD: Yes, summaries go
- 4 with the report.
- 5 MS. HOWELL: So Ted at least, is
- 6 getting them.
- 7 DR. MAKHIJANI: Let me just kind of
- 8 explain. The scribbles in the computer
- 9 typically stay with us. Of course, you can
- 10 request them. Normally, until now, the draft
- 11 goes through DOE.
- 12 Its cleaned up, spot checked. It
- 13 goes through DOE and then it goes back to the
- 14 worker. If we do not hear from the
- interviewee, we don't use any of that material
- 16 in our analysis because it's considered
- 17 unverified.
- 18 And so, we actually just set that
- 19 aside and it sits there. MPS is a good
- 20 example. I mean, we didn't get a few of the
- 21 interviews back and we did not use them. They
- 22 don't appear in the summary because we don't

- 1 he said, she said -- oh, I didn't mean that
- 2 kind of situation appearing in any of our
- 3 analyses.
- 4 And that's the whole part of the
- 5 procedure -- sending it back to the worker and
- 6 getting an affirmative response. And so, yes,
- 7 there are a lot of raw notes and yes, in
- 8 principle, they could be requested and become
- 9 part of some public record eventually. Well,
- 10 not public record, but project record.
- 11 But there are interviews that are
- 12 unverified and normally, nowadays -- at first,
- 13 we did not actually need the individual
- 14 interview part of what we submitted to the
- 15 Board. This discussion arose two or three
- 16 years ago and the Board said well, why aren't
- 17 we seeing the individual interviews because at
- 18 first, we were only publishing the summaries.
- 19 And then we began the practice of
- 20 when the individual interviews were final,
- 21 along with the summaries, we also provide the
- 22 individual interview records to the Board.

```
1 So there are two kinds of things
```

- 2 that go through NIOSH and the Board -- the
- 3 final verified interview record with all the
- 4 names. This corresponds with the other stuff
- 5 about Privacy Act. The Board said we want to
- 6 see everything and they can see everything.
- 7 That applies to the interviews and then the
- 8 summaries, which becomes part of the public
- 9 record.
- 10 MR. FITZGERALD: I think the only
- 11 comment I would have -- I don't think there's
- 12 been a systematic -- and this has been an
- 13 evolving process over the last eight or nine
- 14 months -- and I think that's what we're
- 15 looking at here. It's more or less automatic
- 16 full disclosure transfer of any information,
- 17 including interview notes, over as soon as
- 18 they're past the validation phase.
- 19 Kathy, did you have a comment?
- 20 MS. ROBERTSON-DEMERS: Just --
- 21 okay, when you're talking about the
- 22 handwritten notes -- John says where are they?

- 1 They're with the interviewer or they're in a
- 2 safe at DOE, depending upon the classification
- 3 level.
- 4 There are some SC&A interviews
- 5 that have to be maintained that way at DOE.
- 6 MR. KATZ: So my only question with
- 7 this is, for those ones that stay with the
- 8 interviewer --obviously, if the DOE is
- 9 holding, that's a different issue -- but does
- 10 SC&A actually gather those up so that they're
- 11 sort of corporate property as opposed to just
- 12 with individuals who may or may not be with
- 13 SC&A three years from now?
- DR. MAURO: The answer is no.
- MR. KATZ: But I think that
- 16 probably needs to be -- I'm not sure whether
- 17 that needs to be reflected here, but it does
- 18 need to be --
- DR. MAURO: No, this is an
- 20 important conversation because the way I see
- 21 it is, everything that we do, everything we
- 22 do, we're billing time against this contract,

- 1 including writing e-mails, whatever it is we
- 2 do.
- 3 This is part of the project and
- 4 you have access to it. As a project officer,
- 5 you have that. That's how I see it. There's
- 6 nothing we can retain.
- 7 DR. MAKHIJANI: That is true, first
- 8 of all, because it's contractual. Typically,
- 9 these are conversations that are going on . We
- 10 do not tape these.
- DR. MAURO: No.
- DR. MAKHIJANI: The reason we do
- 13 not tape this is a lot of people say a lot of
- 14 things and sometimes they're ill-advised -- a
- 15 personal point of view, allegations, things.
- 16 We've always felt that it's better to make an
- 17 accurate record with some discretion, in terms
- 18 of not actually making a tape recording and
- 19 then adding that to become an issue.
- DR. MAURO: Absolutely.
- DR. MAKHIJANI: But because,
- 22 essentially, we can't transcribe. We're not

- 1 court reporters. And so we try to make an
- 2 accurate record, but these interviews could
- 3 contain errors. I mean, there are places where
- 4 I know I write I didn't get this or is this
- 5 clear or I don't know if this is right.
- 6 I'm a little uncomfortable about
- 7 erroneous -- no, no. I don't understand the
- 8 legal side of it, so if CDC requests this and
- 9 it goes into CDC, then you have -- and the
- 10 interview is never verified by the
- interviewer, so you don't have a corrected
- 12 record -- then you have something that's
- incomplete and may contain errors that could
- 14 become part of the public record.
- MS. HOWELL: And that's why you put
- 16 some sort of language on it stating that.
- 17 MR. KATZ: I mean, the government
- 18 is full of these kind of programs. From its
- 19 own doings, it's full of these kinds of
- 20 records. They are government records. They
- 21 belong to the government, but they're not
- 22 final products. They're not reviewed, et

- 1 cetera.
- I mean, the government is replete
- 3 with this kind of information. It doesn't ever
- 4 -- 99.9 percent of it never sees the light of
- 5 day, in terms of going beyond the government
- 6 to anyone else. It stays in the files because
- 7 it's part of the file.
- 8 MS. HOWELL: You have to have work
- 9 products to get to that final point where you
- 10 do assume some verification in the document
- 11 and everything, but the problem is that
- 12 everything up to that point is still a record
- 13 that needs to be maintained.
- 14 DR. MAKHIJANI: It would be useful
- 15 to have some kind of boilerplate language that
- 16 you could stick on top of these things because
- 17 certainly, we have not been doing this -- if
- 18 we could stick on this because if it's going
- 19 to be corrected in a corporate format, then
- 20 they should all have some kind of a uniform
- 21 disclaimer not only that it's predecisonal but
- 22 that it could be incomplete and may contain

- 1 errors.
- 2 MR. KATZ: I mean, for example,
- 3 with your interviews, you could state across
- 4 there, this information has not yet been
- 5 verified.
- DR. MAKHIJANI: Yes.
- 7 MR. KATZ: That's a simple
- 8 statement to make. It makes it very clear that
- 9 this is just the person's notes, but not
- 10 necessarily accurate notes. It can be shown in
- 11 that context.
- DR. MAKHIJANI: And the way we have
- 13 been doing that, of course, is we -- say a
- 14 draft has been reviewed and then we send I off
- 15 and then when it comes back, we finalize it.
- 16 So I have kind of a three step
- 17 process, but the control of it generally
- 18 belongs to the interviewer until it becomes a
- 19 final product.
- MS. HOWELL: The other thing too,
- 21 just as a contracting concern, while the real
- 22 award of this particular contract may have

- 1 already gone through, if we were to ever
- 2 switch technical support contractors, there
- 3 were additional questions from a site -- you
- 4 know, there needs to be that continuity of
- 5 information there so that we don't duplicate
- 6 efforts.
- 7 That's just another reason for
- 8 insuring that somewhere there is a repository
- 9 that -- it can be passed along.
- DR. MAURO: Arjun's point is
- 11 especially relevant now that we're about to
- 12 move into what I call losing the government's
- 13 computers. We're soon going to be receiving
- 14 30 computers. All our work that's infected --
- 15 right now, it's like watching sausage being
- 16 made.
- 17 I know what reports look like.
- 18 Some times the writing is very poor, plenty of
- 19 errors in this, and there's a process of
- 20 getting a quality product out, which is
- 21 protracted.
- 22 All of this now, I believe -- tell

- 1 me if I'm wrong -- is going to go on, on these
- 2 government computers, every step of the way.
- 3 MS. ROBERTSON-DEMERS: That's
- 4 actually not true.
- DR. MAURO: Okay, yes? Then I need
- 6 a little help with this because I'm not sure
- 7 what's going to happen when that thing
- 8 happens.
- 9 MS. ROBERTSON-DEMERS: When you do
- 10 on site interviews, most of them are hand-
- 11 written or you do them on a DOE computer if
- 12 you're lucky. You don't bring your own
- 13 computer on site, especially at places like
- 14 Pantex.
- DR. MAURO: Well, eventually, what
- 16 you do -- let me ask you this -- eventually,
- 17 you take your log book with handwritten notes
- 18 and you key it into something. I'm assuming
- 19 what you'll be doing now is keying it in to
- 20 your new government computer.
- 21 So that's the place where it
- 22 enters behind the cone of silence, so to

- 1 speak. It's going to enter there in its
- 2 crudest form. It's going to be there. And that
- 3 work product is now going to be -- we're going
- 4 to work on. All that.
- Now, as we move through that
- 6 process, that's going to evolve. That document
- 7 is itself going to evolve. It's going to
- 8 disappear and be replaced. It's going to be
- 9 edited, just like we do now, only it's all
- 10 going to be going on on your computer.
- 11 So I'm assuming that every stage
- in the maturation of a document is not going
- 13 to be archived. Is that correct? In other
- 14 words, we're going to be allowed to work our
- 15 product and not show every step because the
- 16 steps are numerable.
- 17 MS. HOWELL: Certainly, that is
- 18 true. Generally, I'll offer only a lawyerly
- 19 comment, which is to say that you will be
- 20 working on government computers and every key
- 21 stroke that you make on a computer, whether
- 22 it's government or not, never really goes

- 1 away.
- 2 And not that we are going to be
- 3 running tests on these computers -- we're
- 4 certainly not -- but in the event that we are
- 5 involved in litigation, one of the things that
- 6 can be discovered now under the federal rules
- 7 of evidence is metadata. So if you have some
- 8 version of your document on there and it was
- 9 properly requested, which would require a
- 10 great deal of knowledge about how this Board
- 11 and the contractor and the program works, but
- 12 if it were properly requested, it is possible
- 13 that some previous predecisional draft version
- 14 that was never actually sent to anybody could
- 15 come out.
- 16 But that is not how we typically
- 17 work. That is not what I'm trying to say where
- 18 we talk about what needs to be maintained.
- DR. MAKHIJANI: I've actually come
- 20 across this since I've worked in the legal
- 21 arena quite a bit. Only once in my own work
- 22 outside this arena, -- I'm in the habit of

- 1 keeping my various drafts -- was everything
- 2 requested. We supplied huge numbers of boxes
- 3 with the same print, slight differences
- 4 between one draft or another, but we did send
- 5 that.
- 6 MR. KATZ: And just to be clear,
- 7 I'm not saying I want -- I don't want SC&A to
- 8 submit all this information to the agency. All
- 9 I'm saying is that SC&A, as the contractor,
- 10 has to have its organizational files with
- 11 these records in them. I'm not saying that
- 12 they need to be turned over to us.
- DR. MAURO: So the single most
- 14 important thing that I got out of this
- 15 conversation is that there are handwritten
- 16 records that exist right now by the
- 17 interviewers that are not in our central file.
- 18 It sounds to me that we have an
- 19 obligation to keep that in our central file.
- 20 Is that what I'm hearing? Legally,
- 21 contractually.
- MS. HOWELL: Also, it sounded to me

- 1 like you have formal type written things that
- 2 are not being centrally maintained.
- 3 DR. MAKHIJANI: They are, they are
- 4 not. There's also a question associated with
- 5 that because now when we prepare -- I'm
- 6 looking at these notes -- these went from me
- 7 to Kathy so she could put all the interviews
- 8 that we did during that trip together, cleaned
- 9 them up and sent them to the DOE.
- 10 These are the draft interviews.
- 11 I'm presuming that's what should be in the
- 12 central record. Now, what I send to Kathy
- 13 sometimes -- here's how the sausage is made
- 14 and when you advise us.
- So typically, there are a number
- 16 of us who would be -- typically, there are at
- 17 least two people making notes to make sure
- 18 that you don't miss stuff because stuff goes
- 19 fast. Very often, we're interviewing two or
- 20 three or four workers at the same time.
- 21 There's a lot that can be happening and a lot
- 22 being said.

```
1 So typically, when Kathy and I
```

- 2 together, I will send my notes to Kathy
- 3 because they will have gaps. They will have
- 4 things that I missed or questions that I have
- 5 and she will compare to her notes and she'll
- 6 compile a draft record. And that's a draft
- 7 record. That's the raw record.
- 8 But then there's the thing that I
- 9 sent her and in my mind, the thing that I'm
- 10 understanding that should go into the
- 11 corporate records would be what Kathy sends to
- 12 the DOE as a draft interview and then whatever
- 13 comes back from the DOE -- I'm not sure what
- 14 all is being asked to be part of the corporate
- 15 records and what all can be maintained simply
- 16 by the record?
- 17 MS. HOWELL: I mean, I guess I
- 18 would say that you probably need to keep
- 19 copies of the records before and after the DOE
- 20 review. Although, there would be certain
- 21 people in NIOSH who would at least be able to
- 22 see the version prior to DOE release.

- 1 So therefore, it would seem to me
- 2 you would want to maintain that version as
- 3 well as the cleared version.
- 4 DR. MAKHIJANI: Okay.
- 5 MS. HOWELL: Prior to that, the
- 6 handwritten notes or the rough --
- 7 MR. ELLIOTT: Field notes, we would
- 8 call them.
- 9 MS. HOWELL: Field notes, right.
- 10 Which I guess would also include your initial
- 11 write up of them and sending them to Kathy.
- 12 Those would need to be maintained, but I'm not
- 13 sure that they need to become part of the
- 14 corporate record.
- DR. MAKHIJANI: They are
- 16 maintained. I mean, they're maintained.
- MS. HOWELL: Right.
- 18 DR. MAKHIJANI: They're maintained
- 19 with the interviewer.
- MS. HOWELL: But I mean, if you
- 21 were to have someone on staff or a sub
- 22 contractor that is hired through SC&A and

- 1 who's been doing this work and they were to
- leave, you would want to ask them for even
- 3 those materials.
- 4 As long as they continue to be in
- 5 your employ, one way or another, it's fine for
- 6 them to maintain them as long as you're in
- 7 keeping with all the security requirements and
- 8 everything else. But if that person were to
- 9 sever their ties with you, you would want to
- 10 get that from them.
- MR. CALHOUN: And Emily, let's just
- 12 say, hypothetically speaking, we go to the
- 13 site and meet some people at a library and we
- 14 take some notes down and interview, we submit
- 15 those interview notes to DOE -- because we're
- 16 not at a DOE site -- and something bad and
- 17 nasty is in there, we're not going to keep
- 18 those notes. They're gone.
- MS. HOWELL: Right.
- MR. CALHOUN: So you said to try to
- 21 maintain a copy before and after DOE? Those
- 22 notes are gone.

- 1 MS. HOWELL: Assuming you had any
- 2 notes. I guess we would at least know that
- 3 there had been something else there that was
- 4 then not.
- 5 MR. CALHOUN: And then let me ask
- 6 one more question. If you go to a site -- it
- 7 doesn't matter of it's classified or not --
- 8 and you go to interview Grady Calhoun about
- 9 the Fernald site and then you write your
- 10 handwritten notes.
- 11 Then ultimately, you come up with
- 12 a written document that is submitted to DOE,
- 13 presumably cleared by DOE hopefully, that this
- 14 is an interview with Grady Calhoun about
- 15 Fernald site, 1995. That document, you send to
- 16 the SRDB, correct?
- DR. MAURO: Once it's cleared?
- 18 MR. CALHOUN: Once it cleared. So
- 19 that I've got a documented interview with
- 20 Grady Calhoun that you guys did that's in
- 21 SRDB.
- 22 MR. FITZGERALD: That's what I was

- 1 referring to before.
- MR. CALHOUN: Because we do that.
- 3 MR. FITZGERALD: Yes. The SRDB
- 4 input, making that systemic -- meaning across
- 5 the board for anything that's collected,
- 6 including interview notes -- has not happened.
- 7 MR. CALHOUN: Not the notes. I'm
- 8 talking about the interview itself.
- 9 MR. FITZGERALD: the interview
- 10 itself after it's been validated by the DOE?
- MR. CALHOUN: Yes.
- MR. FITZGERALD: Yes, I was trying
- 13 to circle back because Arjun had some
- 14 comments. That was the gap, I think, that I
- 15 picked up on that the summaries and stuff, of
- 16 course, go into the document.
- 17 But the actual individual
- interviewee write up that has been cleared by
- 19 DOE, validated by the interviewee feeds into
- 20 the summaries but doesn't go anywhere by
- 21 itself.
- 22 MS. ROBERTSON-DEMERS: That's not

- 1 true.
- 2 DR. MAURO: It does.
- 3 MR. FITZGERALD: It does, okay.
- 4 MS. ROBERTSON-DEMERS: Yes. That's
- 5 not true. What we've been doing since we
- 6 started doing individual summaries is that
- 7 that goes to the working group.
- 8 MR. FITZGERALD: That goes to the
- 9 working group, but not to NIOSH for inclusion
- 10 in the S30B.
- 11 MEMBER BEACH: Their part in NIOSH
- 12 is art of the working group.
- MS. ROBERTSON-DEMERS: Well, I
- 14 would assume that because it was going to the
- 15 working group, it was going to NIOSH.
- MR. CALHOUN: I'm just saying that
- once we've got that summary, it needs to go
- 18 into SRDB.
- 19 MR. FITZGERALD: Yes, I think
- 20 there's a -- we haven't got to it yet, but
- 21 there's a facet of this thing that deals with
- 22 input to SRDB. It's in PROC-010.

- We don't have it explicit here,
- 2 but I think in an earlier discussion, we were
- 3 indicating that it would probably be good to
- 4 have an appendix or something that would be
- 5 very explicit about what stuff and how it goes
- 6 into the SRDB.
- 7 I think that would take care of
- 8 identifying the individual notes as well as
- 9 whatever else that goes in there. Right now,
- 10 it isn't. I mean, it goes to the work group.
- 11 I don't think it goes to the SRDB.
- MS. HOWELL: Shouldn't the SRDB
- 13 also have the full version and not just the
- 14 summary?
- DR. MAKHIJANI: Kathy is calling
- 16 the summaries, really, the cleaned up notes.
- 17 It's not truly a compressed --
- MR. ELLIOTT: Yes, this is the raw
- 19 launcher, raw field notes. But if you turn
- 20 your raw field notes into an individual
- 21 summary that then is melded into a composite
- 22 of the interviews themselves, I think we want

- 1 both of those.
- DR. MAKHIJANI: Now, the working
- 3 group, as well as NIOSH, get both of those,
- 4 except as Grady is saying, it's not in the SEC
- 5 reviews.
- 6 But many of our reports are not in
- 7 the SRDB either. I mean, I know many reports
- 8 that we do for boards and the working group,
- 9 white papers. Even SEC reviews, CC reviews,
- 10 you know, when they come out in rapid fire
- 11 once a month, many of them are not on the
- 12 NIOSH website or on the SRDB. They're not all
- 13 on the NIOSH website.
- 14 MR. ELLIOTT: So what is it that is
- 15 not on the NIOSH website that you think should
- 16 be? White papers are not -- I don't think
- 17 they're fodder for the website.
- DR. MAKHIJANI: I can give you a
- 19 couple of examples.
- 20 MR. ELLIOTT: All right.
- 21 MS. ROBERTSON-DEMERS: Well, I've
- 22 got an example off the top of my head. It

- 1 would be the K25 site profile review, unless
- 2 it's been loaded in the last --
- 3 DR. MAURO: I'm sorry. That was an
- 4 oversight. This is something -- yes, the K25
- 5 site profile review took awhile to get up
- 6 there.
- 7 MR. ELLIOTT: So that should be on
- 8 the website.
- 9 DR. MAURO: Yes, of course.
- 10 MR. ELLIOTT: It wasn't, but it is
- 11 now, I believe.
- DR. MAURO: We're talking about
- 13 something else. Like for example --
- 14 DR. MAKHIJANI: We've got a number
- of old reports that are not on the NIOSH
- 16 website.
- 17 DR. MAURO: At least a dozen white
- 18 papers have been produced in the last month
- 19 related to Mound, the Nevada test site.
- 20 MR. ELLIOTT: But the white papers
- 21 won't go on the website.
- MR. KATZ: They can't go on the

- 1 website before the Privacy Act review.
- DR. MAURO: Are they going -- they
- 3 are going through the Privacy Act review?
- 4 MR. ELLIOTT: White papers don't go
- 5 on the website. Only the official products
- 6 given to the Advisory Board as a review from
- 7 SC&A go on the website.
- 8 The other documents that you're
- 9 talking about should be placed in that site
- 10 research database or the board's whatever
- 11 they're called -- share drive -- that the
- 12 board has. There should be a set of files for
- 13 all that Mound SRS.
- 14 DR. MAURO: we have a little bit if
- 15 a dilemma. One of the things that we did
- 16 starting a few years ago was, if you recall,
- 17 we have a very formal process that we prepare
- 18 site profiles.
- 19 MR. ELLIOTT: Yes.
- 20 DR. MAURO: And the product comes
- 21 out as a stand alone work product and goes
- 22 off. It's not kept in the closets.

- 1 We used to do that with SEC
- 2 petition evaluation report reviews. It became
- 3 a large effort. What happened was along the
- 4 way, we realized that no, what we're going to
- 5 do is we're going to make every SEC process
- 6 more of a focused review where we transition
- 7 from a site profile review into -- it usually
- 8 goes this way -- into an SEC process. The
- 9 workgroup decides what are the issues that
- 10 they'd like their contractor, SC&A, to focus
- in on and prepare special investigations. Then
- 12 SC&A moves down that road. In the same exact
- 13 way, so does NIOSH and its contractor.
- 14 So all of a sudden what we have is
- 15 a series of targeted lines of inquiry related
- 16 to the SEC process, all of which take a form,
- 17 most of the time, of a white paper. There are
- 18 lots of them.
- 19 The work products that are
- 20 actually being prepared in support of the
- 21 Board's decision making related to SEC are
- 22 really white papers. We don't really have

- 1 these big tomes coming out anymore on SEC
- 2 related matters.
- 3 MS. HOWELL: But aren't you rolling
- 4 those white papers into SEC documents later
- 5 on? I thought --
- DR. MAURO: Maybe eventually. We've
- 7 been in the middle of a lot of tests right now
- 8 for a couple of years.
- 9 MR. ELLIOTT: But some of the white
- 10 papers just go away too. Just -- there is a
- 11 fraction or whatever and --
- DR. MAURO: We both we are able
- 13 to go down a road and at someplace along the
- 14 way, we realize, you know, we're going to
- 15 change direction now.
- MS. HOWELL: So we're getting into
- 17 an area of discussion that is really not
- 18 germane to the security.
- 19 MEMBER BEACH: I was wondering if
- 20 we were kind of drifting.
- 21 MS. HOWELL: And it's certainly
- 22 important, but --

```
1 MR. ELLIOTT: It is important
```

- 2 though. If there are documents that you feel
- 3 should be on the website from SC&A that are
- 4 not there, Ted should let me know. We should
- 5 get them put up if there are documents that
- 6 need to be up there.
- 7 DR. MAURO: I think this is worthy
- 8 of --
- 9 MR. FITZGERALD: This is a separate
- 10 discussion. I'm sure the standing of these
- intermediate documents that are actually very
- 12 crucial to the SEC process and how one -- what
- 13 their standing is and how they're logged in --
- 14 they need to go into the SRDB automatically.
- Right now, there isn't any real
- 16 quidance. They just sort of exist and some
- 17 things peter out.
- 18 You really need predecisional
- 19 support documents of the workgroup to make a
- 20 decision on a particular SEC issue, but just
- 21 memorializing the documents used for that
- 22 decision and putting them somewhere where ten

- 1 years from now, they can be located would
- 2 probably be a good idea.
- 3 DR. MAURO: A very good test case
- 4 would be what happened to all of the white
- 5 papers that emerged from the Blockson process.
- 6 The Blockson process generated a
- 7 large number of very focused investigations
- 8 that led us to where we are now. The Board, of
- 9 course, on occasion, has voted on that
- 10 particular issue, as you know.
- 11 But behind that vote are all of
- 12 these white papers. I've got to tell you, I'm
- 13 not sure -- I know I have them on my -- I have
- 14 a box. Is that it? I mean, there's got to be
- 15 a better way of doing it?
- MS. HOWELL: There's a way for a
- 17 distinction to be made between what is on the
- 18 website and what is maintained by the agency.
- 19 I don't think that we have lost track of a
- 20 single white paper.
- 21 MR. ELLIOTT: No. They all are in
- 22 our file. They have permeated everywhere.

- 1 MS. HOWELL: But there's a major
- 2 difference between looking up --
- 3 MR. ELLIOTT: But that is the
- 4 problem. Because we pick one up and we don't
- 5 know -- should this one go anywhere or was
- 6 this one morphed into something else. Was this
- 7 finalized?
- 8 MS. HOWELL: I thought the agency
- 9 database was supposed to be tracking that.
- DR. MAURO: We've got to talk about
- 11 this.
- 12 MR. ELLIOTT: There is a new
- 13 document control system that we are preparing
- 14 to roll out and with the advent of the issue
- of the computers for you folks and the Board
- 16 members.
- 17 It has a feature that you all can
- 18 engage with and essentially task others to
- 19 review your documents without us seeing who
- 20 you're asking to review. You then place them
- into this system so they're appropriately
- 22 archived and housed.

```
1 So I don't think we've lost any of
```

- 2 these documents. I think all we've managed to
- 3 do is create a big mess of documents that
- 4 we're having trouble sorting out and figuring
- 5 out what's the life of the document, where did
- 6 it impact, and what change did it result in.
- 7 DR. MAURO: What I find myself
- 8 doing -- every white paper that we write, we
- 9 always have an introductory paragraph on its
- 10 genesis and where it sits within the sequence
- of events, how did it come about, why are we
- 12 doing it.
- So it's there, but I have to tell
- 14 you that after a few years of this, if someone
- 15 wanted to go back and recreate what happened,
- 16 it's no small task.
- 17 MR. ELLIOTT: No, it's not. It's
- 18 not. But I would commend you guys. SC&A --
- 19 Nancy has done an excellent job lately of
- 20 sending out documents and providing very clear
- 21 explicit explanations of what the document is,
- 22 whether it's been Privacy Act reviewed,

- 1 whether it's been DOE reviewed, what the
- 2 actions the person who's receiving it should
- 3 take.
- 4 And I've asked my folks to look at
- 5 what you guys have done and say I want to be
- 6 as good, if not better. So get it up to speed.
- 7 Because, you know, Brad sent one out last week
- 8 and just said here's a white paper. Well, I
- 9 don't know what it's on. Even I'm asking
- 10 what's it on? What's on Mound? Well, big deal.
- 11 Show, tell me it's on Mound. Tell me it's
- 12 Privacy Act controlled or it's Privacy Act
- 13 released. Tell me it's DOE reviewed or tell me
- 14 it's being DOE reviewed, but let's get with
- 15 it.
- 16 So I think we've got some work to
- do on our side and I just commend you guys for
- 18 the steps you've taken.
- 19 If there's documents you need up
- 20 on the website --
- 21 MR. FITZGERALD: I'm being prodded
- 22 by the work group chairman --

```
1 CHAIRMAN CLAWSON: I wasn't going
```

- 2 to tell you -- let me tell you a little story.
- 3 Let me write this story here. Just like, we
- 4 have -- these are important questions and I'm
- 5 not downplaying them at all, but these should
- 6 be taken care of at another place, in other
- 7 times and other meetings.
- 8 MR. FITZGERALD: Actually, we're at
- 9 the last major paragraph. I'm going to tell
- 10 you what this paragraph provides and then tell
- 11 you what is here relative to PROC-010 and why.
- 12 That would probably be a good way to cap it.
- 13 This basically lays out, by virtue
- 14 of practice, what we do in terms of how we
- 15 scope the site, how we work with the DOE site
- 16 POC to set up and arrange interviews.
- We set up off site interviews with
- 18 former workers, advocacy group petitioners,
- 19 what have you. We do on site interviews where
- 20 it's pretty clear there may be the potential
- 21 for classified information or we're dealing
- 22 with active workers and these, again, it's

- 1 coordinated with the DOE at the site. I think
- 2 part of the issue is giving the interviewee
- 3 some context of who we are and what we're
- 4 after.
- 5 Beyond that, in terms of the
- 6 classification process, I think we already
- 7 covered that. Everything that we produced,
- 8 that's generated in the way of notes and what
- 9 have you, any documents that we receive would
- 10 have to go back to DOE just to have that
- 11 screening done.
- We go through a process of
- 13 summarization. We covered all of that so I
- 14 won't go through that in too much detail, but
- 15 there is a process by which we take those
- 16 notes and we produce a first cut, which is
- 17 sent back to the worker for validation. And
- 18 then that's re-typed and as I was corrected,
- 19 it's evolved to a process where we make that
- 20 available to the work group. Once we clarify
- 21 the way this is input into the SRDB -- it
- 22 would also be provided for inclusion in the

- 1 SRDB, the first cut.
- 2 Of course the summary goes into
- 3 the draft report so that, of course, by that
- 4 nature, gets in there anyway. And then there's
- 5 a master summary, as we just said.
- 6 Now, what's not here in that
- 7 process I just outlined quickly is we don't
- 8 get into the inputting to the SRDB in any
- 9 detail, which is laid out, perhaps in more
- 10 detail, in PROC-010. I think we said earlier
- 11 that would be a good idea whether it
- 12 references within the text, with an appendix
- or something because we fully intend to submit
- 14 documents we identified as well as interview
- 15 notes to the SRDB. So I think that would be
- 16 something we would add.
- 17 Another thing that we didn't cover
- 18 explicitly but is covered explicitly in some
- 19 detail is the process that we would follow if
- 20 provided documents by the interviewee in terms
- 21 of having those documents screened and made
- 22 ready for the SRDB.

- 1 Kathy, you do this all the time.
- 2 In terms of documents which are volunteered by
- 3 interviewees, what's the process by virtue of
- 4 practice right now?
- 5 MS. ROBERTSON-DEMERS: At the
- 6 moment, we don't usually put them through DOE
- 7 review because they're usually public sources.
- 8 MR. FITZGERALD: Public documents?
- 9 MS. ROBERTSON-DEMERS: But if
- 10 there's a reason to believe that they need to
- 11 review -- for example, two documents recently
- 12 given to me at San Dia, I will submit them to
- 13 DOE even for a second review if I'm not sure.
- MR. FITZGERALD: Now, you have a
- 15 copy of PROC-010 in front of you? What I'm
- 16 getting to is, is there any reason we couldn't
- 17 go through due process as laid out in PROC-010
- 18 as we're required right now to submit
- 19 documents pretty much along that regime? I'm
- 20 talking about 5.2.3, page 10.
- 21 MS. ROBERTSON-DEMERS: We can. It's
- 22 just a matter of to what degree. If somebody

- 1 gives you a website, for example, you want to
- 2 go ahead and print that out and submit it.
- 3 MR. FITZGERALD: Well, no. I think
- 4 we're talking about unmarked documents as
- 5 documents which are clearly not public
- 6 documents, just documents that --
- 7 MS. ROBERTSON-DEMERS: You mean
- 8 company documents?
- 9 MR. FITZGERALD: Well, yes. If you
- 10 -- typically, a former worker may have
- 11 something he kept when he retired and it's
- 12 unlabeled. That would be certainly something
- 13 that would fall in this regime where you
- 14 certainly don't know -- if it weren't labeled
- 15 back when, it might now be considered
- 16 sensitive and you wouldn't want to deal with
- 17 the ambiguity.
- 18 MS. ROBERTSON-DEMERS: Yes, and a
- 19 lot of times, for example, when we were doing
- 20 interviews with Mound workers, we just
- 21 included what we were given in with the
- 22 interview notes.

```
1 MR. FITZGERALD: Right. I recall
```

- 2 that. That's what I'm saying. I'm trying -- it
- 3 doesn't sound like it would be any problem
- 4 that either we would submit it with the
- 5 interview notes or we would submit them
- 6 separately, but anything that's not clearly a
- 7 public document would be submitted.
- 8 MS. ROBERTSON-DEMERS: Right.
- 9 MR. FITZGERALD: Now, I would think
- 10 the one that would make us most nervous is
- 11 something that was clearly a company document.
- 12 A lot of times those would be dicey, so we
- 13 would certainly want to control that.
- I think what we're going to do on
- 15 this one is just go ahead and mirror, to the
- 16 extent that it's relevant -- I think all this
- 17 is relevant -- just put much of this in here
- in terms of documents just to make it clear
- 19 that the documents collected would be handled
- 20 that way.
- 21 The other thing that we don't, as
- 22 far as contrasting PROC-010 with this

- 1 document, we don't go into a lot of the
- 2 classification process here. I think what we
- 3 were doing was simplifying and just saying
- 4 that we would adhere to the DOE security
- 5 policy and the requirements that -- they do
- 6 stipulate how those kinds of interview notes
- 7 and documents would be handled.
- 8 I don't believe there's anything
- 9 here that would not be covered by that policy.
- 10 By reference, we're applying those
- 11 requirements to UCNI and ECI and classified
- 12 information. I think some of this speaks to
- 13 that.
- I don't know, Grady, if there's
- 15 anything here that would be not covered by the
- 16 security policy.
- 17 MR. CALHOUN: I'd have to look. I
- 18 don't have it in front of me.
- 19 MR. KATZ: I just would suggest
- 20 that it's much more clear to include it then
- 21 to just refer to this vague thing called the
- 22 DOE security plan that someone would have to

- 1 go and look at and figure out where in that
- 2 security plan --
- 3 MR. FITZGERALD: With the proviso
- 4 that some of the forms at issue, we might have
- 5 to change, but other than that, I don't have
- 6 any problem with that. I think that would make
- 7 it cleaner.
- 8 CHAIRMAN CLAWSON: So you would
- 9 attach the whole PROC-011?
- 10 MR. FITZGERALD: No, just retain
- 11 the language that's in PROC-010. Assuming that
- 12 really even though we simplified by
- 13 referencing the security policy, it doesn't do
- 14 any harm and probably clarifies better if we
- 15 track with that.
- 16 CHAIRMAN CLAWSON: Why? There's an
- 17 appendix in the back?
- MR. FITZGERALD: Well, no. It's
- 19 actually part of the section. Just write it
- 20 in. Take the provisions in the security policy
- 21 and go ahead and leave it in the actual
- 22 procedure.

- 1 What we did was basically said,
- 2 well, the procedure, the policy, covers these
- 3 actions so we're not going to include them.
- 4 But on the other hand, any difference that's
- 5 going to make it confusing to anybody that
- 6 looks at these two documents.
- 7 I think that accounts for the
- 8 differences that I saw. The entry to SRDB, the
- 9 documents provided by the interviewee, and
- 10 referencing the security policy rather than
- 11 being explicit in the text.
- I think you can remedy all three
- 13 of those. I don't see that it -- it wouldn't
- 14 change materially how we would do business on
- 15 those.
- 16 As I said before, I think, given
- 17 the conversation earlier, we would delete
- 18 appendix A. It's outmoded. That was an early
- 19 DOE idea that they're not using.
- 20 That's it for that piece. For that
- 21 one..
- MR. CALHOUN: For that one...

- 1 MR. KATZ: That's the book because
- 2 the evidence is very new.
- 3 MR. FITZGERALD: We did indicate we
- 4 would include the OCAS-4 form, which is not
- 5 there now. It's in the appendix.
- 6 Any final comments on this version
- 7 of the proposed Board site access procedures?
- 8 CHAIRMAN CLAWSON: Do we want to
- 9 take a quick 10 minute break?
- 10 MR. KATZ: Sure. A 10 minute break
- 11 for the folks on the phone. So it's almost 20
- 12 to, so about 10 to 3, we'll get back in.
- 13 (Whereupon, the above-entitled
- 14 matter went off the record at 2:38 p.m. and
- 15 resumed at 2:52 p.m.)
- 16 MR. KATZ: So we are getting back
- 17 going again and this is the working group
- 18 developing the Board and its contractors
- 19 security plan. We're starting into the next
- 20 procedure, which has to do with controlled
- 21 documents. Joe?
- MR. FITZGERALD: Right. This is the

- 1 working draft dated April 6, 2009. It is the
- 2 equivalent document for classification
- 3 procedures to OCAS PROC-011.
- Both these documents, frankly,
- 5 reflect the multi-month rigorous interaction
- 6 between NIOSH and DOE on what would be
- 7 acceptable as far as classification procedures
- 8 from a Department of Energy standpoint. So
- 9 really, in a sense, there's not anything that
- 10 we're in a position to want to change or can
- 11 change. This is pretty much where DOE is
- 12 coming from relative to how they're going to
- 13 review the EEOICPA documents and
- 14 classification.
- So what we did with the working
- 16 group is pretty much take PROC-011 and
- 17 materially did not change anything other than
- 18 to refer to the Board and its technical
- 19 support contractor as having this procedure
- 20 applied to it, which is a change that we made
- in the scope of the document. That's section
- 22 2.0 on page 1.

```
1 The purpose is the same. You
```

- 2 outline steps required to coordinate review of
- 3 documents to DOE's classification office for
- 4 review. The scope is the same. It covers all
- 5 the pertinent documents that we deal with --
- 6 site profiles, TBDs, SEC documents.
- 7 MR. KATZ: Let me suggest though,
- 8 the scope is different, actually, because in
- 9 your documents, those TBDs aren't in your
- 10 documents. I mean, some of this will apply to
- 11 SC&A documents and Board documents, but not to
- 12 the OCAS documents. Theirs are covered by
- 13 their docket.
- MR. FITZGERALD: Okay.
- MR. KATZ: Just a minor point.
- 16 MR. FITZGERALD: Thank you, yes.
- 17 With that exception then, the list is the same
- 18 list. Again, we don't want to change the
- 19 scope, but clearly, that's a NIOSH document.
- 20 References -- we used a longer
- 21 list of references -- the same ones, I think,
- 22 we had for the other procedure.

```
1 MEMBER BEACH: You just need to
```

- 2 change that title.
- 3 MR. FITZGERALD: Right. That should
- 4 be title 42. That's a typo.
- 5 I'm just looking at the two PROC
- 6 references. We took 11 out of the last one. Do
- 7 we take 10 out of this one?
- Again, those are the references.
- 9 Going to the actual procedures -- I'm sorry.
- 10 This is, I guess this is the preface to the
- 11 general provisions.
- The only thing we added to what
- 13 was in -- for the benefit of the people on the
- 14 phone -- this is the preface, which goes into
- 15 the documents being the subject of this
- 16 procedure that generated from assumed
- 17 unclassified documents or generated from
- 18 official use only export control information,
- 19 ECI, or unclassified controlled nuclear
- 20 information source material.
- 21 It goes into the flow diagram of
- 22 this procedure, which is appendix B to PROC-

- 1 011, which we include as well, which was
- 2 included in the original DOE security plan, as
- 3 I recall. So that pretty much summarizes the
- 4 process we go through.
- 5 The only change we really made was
- 6 on the last statement, which says on the rare
- 7 occasion the documents created on DOE site
- 8 directly from unclassified documents must be
- 9 reviewed and marked prior to leaving that DOE
- 10 site.
- 11 A lot of times, we'll also send a
- 12 document to whomever is responsible for that
- 13 site. If the site is closed or unable to do a
- 14 classification review, we will send it by
- 15 courier or other appropriate means that DOE
- 16 stipulates. That's just a little bit of an
- 17 add-on there.
- 18 Procedure -- I believe that's
- 19 identical in terms of the process by which we
- 20 submit and DOE would review documents except
- 21 I think we got into -- no, I guess that's the
- 22 same.

- One thing that we've been talking
- 2 to DOE about is this question of submission of
- 3 electronic records. They have generally come
- 4 back and said yes, you've been giving us CDs,
- 5 but frankly, we'd rather have the hard copy.
- 6 So we've kind of stopped sending CDs and are
- 7 sending hard copies for that reason. So that's
- 8 the only thing I would comment, but I think
- 9 that wording is probably appropriate.
- MR. ELLIOTT: They are treating
- 11 this the same way?
- 12 MR. FITZGERALD: Yes. Yes, I think
- 13 it's just easier for them, rather than having
- 14 to handle electronic versions.
- 15 I've changed the reference from
- 16 OCAS to the Advisory Board technical support
- 17 contractor in that reference on what is 52.4
- 18 on each of the documents --
- 19 MEMBER BEACH: When you added 52.1,
- 20 you added something in that paragraph too.
- 21 MR. FITZGERALD: Let me go back.
- MEMBER BEACH: The export control

- 1 information, ECI -- it's not in the OCAS
- 2 notes.
- 3 MS. ROBERTSON-DEMERS: That was
- 4 something that NIOSH had left out of their
- 5 procedure that we have encountered at Hanford,
- 6 which needs to be handled in a special way.
- 7 MEMBER BEACH: I just didn't want
- 8 to go past it.
- 9 MR. FITZGERALD: Okay, thank you,
- 10 Kath. With that change to the Advisory Board
- 11 technical support contractor, the only thing
- 12 else that I added was something that would be
- 13 a routine communication to the Board.
- I mean, I think to some extent,
- 15 all that is going to be is Nancy copying a
- 16 Board member, whoever the POC might be. That's
- 17 an option, meaning that I'm not sure if the
- 18 Board is aware of these transactions or not or
- 19 whether it needs to be, but I wanted to at
- 20 least provide -- since everyone else is
- 21 getting routinely informed of the status of
- 22 documents and issues.

- 1 It seems like, certainly the Board
- 2 -- and it may just be somebody who calls a few
- 3 clearance who is familiar with DOE security
- 4 that might be the repository of these status
- 5 reports. It wouldn't create any extra work. We
- 6 do that now, sending things to Grady and other
- 7 wise keeping each other informed of what's in
- 8 the pipeline. It might be something that we
- 9 would give the Board as well. That's what that
- 10 addition is.
- 11 MR. KATZ: The DFO, I believe -- if
- 12 it's not secret information, the status, then
- 13 it looks like it would be good to let the DFO
- 14 know there's things in the pipeline that are
- 15 sitting there waiting.
- DR. MAURO: The only thing I was
- 17 talking to Nancy about recently was with
- 18 regard to configuration control of the
- 19 documents. I think you folks in the institute
- 20 are putting the document number tracking
- 21 number when you move things out or to DOE?
- MR. CALHOUN: Yes. It's so helpful.

- 1 I was just -- as a recommendation to her.
- 2 That's all.
- 3 DR. MAURO: She told me she was
- 4 talking to you about that and I think it's a
- 5 great idea. I wish they would institute it
- 6 because very often there is confusion by DOE
- 7 of which particular document you're referring
- 8 to.
- 9 The title doesn't always help, but
- 10 a tracking number that Nancy would assign is
- 11 something that I think would really make life
- 12 easier. If you could work that into the
- 13 procedures, saying that a tracking number will
- 14 be assigned to all the documents that enter
- 15 the system. A unique tracking number would be
- 16 great.
- 17 MR. CALHOUN: You may have the same
- 18 document reviewed twice.
- DR. MAURO: Oh, absolutely.
- MR. ELLIOTT: Does your number note
- 21 that -- the second review, third review?
- MR. CALHOUN: Well, I tried that.

- 1 No, I've got an individual number from
- 2 everything -- every document that goes
- 3 through.
- 4 MR. ELLIOTT: So if it's re-
- 5 reviewed, that's a different tracking number.
- 6 MR. CALHOUN: Yes.
- 7 DR. MAURO: That's correct, yes.
- 8 MR. FITZGERALD: Okay, section 5.3,
- 9 review of documents of head DOE site office or
- 10 headquarters review, I believe that's
- 11 identical.
- MEMBER BEACH: Did you notice 5.3.1
- isn't identical to that note, so you either
- 14 left out this document can be electronically
- 15 distributed for technical review? It was left
- 16 out and at first this section of 5 was just --
- 17 MR. KATZ: You copied the wrong
- 18 text into 5.3.1. You repeated the text above
- 19 instead of saying these documents can be
- 20 electronically distributed for technical
- 21 review and approval. That's what should be in
- 22 that procedures step.

- 1 MR. FITZGERALD: In 5.3.1.
- 2 MR. KATZ: 5.3.1.
- 3 MEMBER BEACH: Just a cut and paste
- 4 mistake.
- 5 MR. FITZGERALD: Yes, okay. Looks
- 6 like. Well, but there was no intent to really
- 7 change any of that process so we'll make sure
- 8 the wording matches.
- 9 MR. KATZ: The one issue that I
- 10 don't know whether this would be reflected in
- 11 here that Grady talked about being slightly
- 12 different than seems to show here, and then
- 13 I said that I raised with DOE is the issue of
- 14 documents that are sent to Privacy Act review
- 15 but are unchanged after Privacy Act review
- 16 except for redactions.
- 17 It's not reflected here. It's not
- 18 reflected in the OCAS procedure right now, but
- 19 it sounds like it's a practice with OCAS right
- 20 now and somewhat a practice by you.
- 21 MR. ELLIOTT: Our agreement with
- 22 DOE is to try to work with them on document

- 1 specific circumstances and whether or not we
- 2 can avoid a review or not.
- 3 Hopefully, they'll let down at
- 4 some point in time and day they've seen enough
- 5 of this stuff. They got a satisfaction that
- 6 they only need to see this category of stuff.
- 7 MR. CALHOUN: And the out exists in
- 8 their clause.
- 9 MR. ELLIOTT: Yes, the out exists
- 10 in their clause.
- 11 MR. KATZ: To decline a review, you
- 12 mean?
- MR. CALHOUN: Yes. There's either
- 14 an as necessary or something in there.
- MR. FITZGERALD: We've been dealing
- 16 with it case by case. The difference, I think,
- 17 is that we haven't memorialized it in a
- 18 declaration, e-mail declaration, that there's
- 19 no technical changes -- certification, which
- 20 I think is a good idea.
- 21 But the intent was the same. In
- 22 other words, we dropped out two formal names,

- 1 put redact in there. Is that okay? I would
- 2 contact the security person or talk to Gina.
- 3 But I think that should be pinned down in
- 4 writing. I guess you have a --
- 5 MR. KATZ: So I have a question in
- 6 to her and depending on how DOE responds, it
- 7 may or may not be able to be reflected in the
- 8 procedure itself as opposed to simply being a
- 9 sort of side agreement operating both for OCAS
- 10 and for SEC.
- 11 MR. FITZGERALD: Yes, I think that
- 12 is an ambiguity.
- MR. ELLIOTT: We didn't try to
- 14 force the issue in language going into ours,
- 15 but we had a discussion about it.
- 16 MR. KATZ: I wasn't sensitized.
- 17 This was completely my own doing, so I didn't
- 18 even realize it was --
- 19 MR. FITZGERALD: Yes, it's been
- 20 working so we've left it alone. But Nancy has
- 21 been very good about saying we just went
- 22 through PA review and we dropped off two

- 1 formal names. Is that okay?
- I would check and they would say
- 3 yes. I mean, as long as you're not adding to
- 4 technical content.
- 5 MEMBER BEACH: I've got a question.
- 6 This OCAS form 006. Is that just -- what type
- 7 of a form is that? Is it a one page -- I was
- 8 just wondering if we could make that an
- 9 appendix.
- 10 MR. KATZ: What step is it?
- 11 MEMBER BEACH: It's the 7.1. It
- just references the OCAS form 006.
- 13 MR. FITZGERALD: That's the cover
- 14 sheet that would go over to DOE for retouching
- 15 and revision.
- 16 MEMBER BEACH: I just wanted to
- 17 know what that was. Put something in ours as
- 18 an appendix or --
- 19 MR. FITZGERALD: Yes, I think we
- 20 use a cover sheet, but there's no problem --
- 21 that certainly is no problem, that cover
- 22 sheet.

- 1 MEMBER BEACH: So is that something
- 2 we would take out of ours? Because we've got
- 3 it listed in our procedure though because --
- 4 MEMBER PRESLEY: Didn't we agree to
- 5 do that though with DOE?
- 6 MR. FITZGERALD: Yes. What Nancy
- 7 does is puts a cover sheet over it. I'm just
- 8 saying that whether it's --
- 9 MR. CALHOUN: It doesn't have to be
- 10 art work.
- 11 MR. FITZGERALD: It's just a cover
- 12 sheet.
- 13 MEMBER PRESLEY: But I think it's
- one that we agreed on before. We said that
- 15 we'd go ahead and --
- MR. FITZGERALD: Yes, it's a DOE --
- 17 they crafted it. It has check boxes and
- 18 everything and they just sent it over.
- 19 MEMBER PRESLEY: They were familiar
- 20 with that.
- MR. FITZGERALD: Right, and that's
- 22 what --

1 MEMBER BEACH: So that's the name

- 2 of --
- 3 MR. FITZGERALD: Well, it's been
- 4 given that number by NIOSH but it's form that
- 5 DOE provided. I think it's even in their
- 6 security policy. It's included in that.
- 7 MEMBER BEACH: I just wasn't
- 8 familiar with what it looked like.
- 9 MR. FITZGERALD: So we would stay
- 10 with that.
- 11 CHAIRMAN CLAWSON: Are your issues
- 12 taken care of?
- 13 MR. KATZ: I'm sure I'll get a
- 14 response from DOE and then I'll communicate
- 15 accordingly with the working group as to
- 16 whether there is language we can include that
- 17 would be acceptable to DOE or not, to make
- 18 that adjustment. I did. I gave them a concrete
- 19 proposal, more or less.
- 20 CHAIRMAN CLAWSON: Okay, so both of
- 21 these are finalized then?
- MR. FITZGERALD: Well, subject to

- 1 comments that --
- 2 CHAIRMAN CLAWSON: Subject to our
- 3 copy edits and everything else like that.
- 4 We've made it through both of them.
- John, you had some issues that you
- 6 wanted to bring up at this meeting?
- 7 MR. KATZ: Before we put the button
- 8 down -- process for this first.
- 9 CHAIRMAN CLAWSON: Sure.
- 10 MR. KATZ: Because since we are now
- 11 on April 21 and this week is slaughtered with
- 12 working group meetings.
- 13 But thinking about the Board
- 14 meeting ahead, if we want to have to a product
- 15 that everybody has given their individual
- 16 input to and SC&A has been able to
- incorporate that and so on, that means
- 18 everybody getting their input to SC&A lickety-
- 19 split because we really only have a couple of
- 20 weeks of work time in between now and then.
- 21 So you may want to set up a date
- 22 by which SC&A needs its input from all of us

- 1 and then a turn around time because we would
- 2 like to get a document to DOE to see that they
- 3 don't have any major issues or at least to see
- 4 that they'd be prepared at the Board meeting
- 5 to make any recommendations or requests that
- 6 they would want at that Board meeting so that
- 7 we can close the issue at the Board meeting
- 8 and have a final product after that Board
- 9 meeting.
- 10 MEMBER BEACH: Well, how soon, Joe,
- 11 will you be able to get a document to us based
- on what we've talked about today?
- 13 MR. FITZGERALD: Well, I certainly
- 14 want these specific text changes that are
- 15 being offered up. Those can get to me by COB
- 16 Tuesday, a week from today. I could turn this
- 17 around by that Friday.
- So at the end of next week, you
- 19 would have the next version of this, which
- 20 should be enough time to confirm or offer any
- 21 final questions. So this would be sort of full
- 22 Board, I would think. Are you going to keep a

- 1 work group or --
- 2 CHAIRMAN CLAWSON: Well, the way I
- 3 saw it was it was going to come back to us as
- 4 a work group and then we would be able to take
- 5 and send it out from there to the rest of the
- 6 body.
- 7 I guess my thing would be just to
- 8 review, do it to make sure that we haven't
- 9 missed something or some of the edit reviews
- 10 have a weekend or something like that to look
- 11 at, and then send it out to the full Board and
- 12 request any of their input into it and so
- 13 forth.
- 14 MEMBER PRESLEY: That is the first.
- MR. KATZ: So if we get -- let's
- 16 see-
- 17 MR. FITZGERALD: This is this
- 18 Friday, so you want the next.
- 19 MR. KATZ: So on the 28th is --
- 20 28th, does everybody want to mark this down?
- 21 Does that work for everyone?
- The only caveat is whether I get

- 1 the response from DOE for that little piece or
- 2 not in that time frame. I can't be certain of
- 3 that, but for every else, absolutely, I will
- 4 get you.
- 5 CHAIRMAN CLAWSON: Along that one
- 6 little caveat, if we have to insert something
- 7 later on, I was just thinking we could do
- 8 that. But be able to go over the procedure and
- 9 so forth like that, you're feeling that you
- 10 could --
- MR. FITZGERALD: That aspect is not
- 12 proceduralized anyway, so in a way, it's an
- interpretation that we don't have to put in.
- 14 It would be nice to put in.
- MR. KATZ: It would be nice to put
- 16 in if we can.
- 17 CHAIRMAN CLAWSON: Right.
- MR. KATZ: So the 28th, by the
- 19 close of business, everybody will get comments
- 20 to Joe. And then that gives us -- Joe?
- 21 MR. FITZGERALD: Well, I think that
- 22 by COB Friday, I would hope to be able to turn

- 1 it around and get it back to, maybe earlier,
- 2 depending on how long it takes.
- 3 MR. KATZ: That's May 1?
- 4 MR. FITZGERALD: Yes.
- 5 MR. KATZ: So May 1?
- 6 MR. FITZGERALD: Right. Back to the
- 7 workgroup for QA checks and just make sure
- 8 comments were reflected. I would send them
- 9 back to you as well, Ted, just to coordinate
- 10 and make sure that it came in right.
- 11 MEMBER PRESLEY: After May 1, don't
- 12 count --
- MR. FITZGERALD: Don't count on
- 14 you?
- 15 MEMBER PRESLEY: Well, if you don't
- 16 want any comments from me, then don't worry
- 17 about it. That means I can't get to them. I
- 18 will be home until 6:00 in the morning on May
- 19 1.
- 20 CHAIRMAN CLAWSON: Part of the
- 21 thing is that classes of workgroup have agreed
- 22 on things that need to be changed. We just

- 1 need to make sure it's put into the final
- 2 version the way we discussed, kind of a QA
- 3 check there or whatever you want to say.
- 4 MR. KATZ: So then, are you
- 5 thinking, Brad -- so that's Monday, May 4 --
- 6 say, you'd have the weekend and you have May
- 7 4. On May 5, is that when you're thinking
- 8 about sending it to the full Board?
- 9 CHAIRMAN CLAWSON: What's May 5?
- 10 MR. KATZ: That gives you one whole
- 11 day basically.
- 12 CHAIRMAN CLAWSON: There's not that
- 13 much to it besides the wills.
- 14 MR. KATZ: So then what I would do
- 15 too then is, at that point, that's when I
- 16 would be sending the document to DOE so that
- 17 they can get clear on what's in here.
- 18 CHAIRMAN CLAWSON: You know, I'm
- 19 wondering if when Joe sends it to us, would it
- 20 be wrong to send it out to the whole Board
- 21 right then?
- DR. MAURO: I have to say there's

- been enough -- in my perspective, there's
- 2 enough wordsmithing and insertion that leads
- 3 to changes -- new material, as we discussed
- 4 around the table, that it's probably a good
- 5 idea, once Joe takes his best cut at doing
- 6 that, that everyone has a chance to read
- 7 through.
- 8 MR. KATZ: One day to read through
- 9 it.
- 10 DR. MAURO: One day because I think
- 11 everyone has taken notes and, Ted, I know
- 12 you've contributed a lot too.
- 13 That will only take a day or two
- 14 and at least we'll know that this is what we
- 15 agreed on and then it moves out from there.
- 16 And from there, if you want to
- 17 send it in parallel to DOE and the Board at
- 18 the same time, I don't know --
- 19 CHAIRMAN CLAWSON: I might actually
- 20 do that through Ted.
- DR. MAURO: Okay.
- 22 CHAIRMAN CLAWSON: To make sure

- 1 that that's handled in that way right there.
- 2 I'll take care of the working group and
- 3 whatever else you could forward it on to NIOSH
- 4 or whatever else needs to.
- 5 MR. KATZ: Sure. Well, so May 4
- 6 we'll be able to review this and get any final
- 7 comments to Joe for anything that might have
- 8 been missed or whatever is different than
- 9 we've anticipated.
- 10 And then we'll be looking at May 5
- 11 to distribute this to the Board, to DOE, and
- 12 anyone else. So May 4, for example, we would
- 13 certainly get this to NIOSH and OCAS too.
- 14 And then DOE should be well
- 15 prepared for the Board meeting. They'll have
- 16 plenty of time to read it.
- DR. MAURO: So in principle then,
- 18 the next time we all are together again will
- 19 be at the May meeting. The Board itself will
- 20 have had a chance, a week or two --
- 21 CHAIRMAN CLAWSON: Yes, we'll mark
- 22 it up.

- DR. MAURO: And it's at that
- 2 meeting where, in theory, we could finalize
- 3 it.
- 4 CHAIRMAN CLAWSON: Right.
- DR. MAURO: And it could be voted
- 6 on and approved. The reason I'm pushing for
- 7 that is I'm especially interested in getting
- 8 to the point where we could start to interact
- 9 directly with DOE. That's why I'm doing that.
- 10 CHAIRMAN CLAWSON: That's what
- 11 we're pushing for.
- DR. MAURO: Right, and that's
- 13 great.
- 14 CHAIRMAN CLAWSON: Actually, to
- 15 tell you the truth, I'm going to expect to
- 16 have the Board comment back in to us actually
- 17 before then.
- DR. MAURO: Yes, if they can
- 19 provide feedback, that's even better.
- 20 MR. KATZ: And if I can get
- 21 feedback back from DOE before the Board
- 22 meeting, certainly we would get that too.

- DR. MAURO: That would be great.
- 2 CHAIRMAN CLAWSON: Then that way,
- 3 if we have a comma in the wrong spot or wrong
- 4 hyphen in there, we can take care of that.
- 5 MR. FITZGERALD: Too many wills --
- 6 CHAIRMAN CLAWSON: Yes.
- 7 DR. MAURO: What we want to avoid
- 8 is, let's say there's a major issue that the
- 9 Board has with the document. For it to be
- 10 engaged during the meeting, you know they're
- 11 not going to vote on it at that time. They'll
- 12 want to kick it back down to us and have us
- 13 look at this issue again.
- 14 CHAIRMAN CLAWSON: Right.
- DR. MAURO: If we can get to a
- 16 point where by and large, everybody agrees in
- 17 principle, this is the document, the concept,
- 18 the approach, and then I'm certain there will
- 19 be some wordsmithing during the Board meeting.
- 20 But if we can get to that point,
- 21 that's why -- the extent to which we do get
- 22 feedback on wait a minute, we've got a fatal

- 1 flaw here -- if some Board member sees it that
- 2 way. If we can get them to let us know and fix
- 3 that before the meeting, that would be great.
- 4 CHAIRMAN CLAWSON: Right, and we
- 5 will try as best to our abilities. But if
- 6 something unforeseen comes up that we'll be
- 7 able to deal with. But I have not heard
- 8 anything -- the only one was Dr. Melius.
- 9 MR. FITZGERALD: This is the second
- 10 pass so actually --
- 11 CHAIRMAN CLAWSON: We've already
- 12 got them, and the reason I didn't bring that
- 13 procedure out is because I wanted everything
- 14 to be on record as we were going through the
- 15 process.
- 16 What we're doing is turning it
- over to the SC&A point of contact, but the
- 18 working chair of each group is going to be
- 19 contacted because that was my main issue. I
- 20 wanted to know where things were going and
- 21 what was happening with it. I think that we
- 22 kind of did a pretty good draft here and these

- 1 dates, we'll send them out as soon as we can.
- 2 MR. FITZGERALD: In terms of
- 3 distribution, I am basically providing the
- 4 material to the workgroup. Ted has to handle
- 5 the distribution. There's a lot of different
- 6 parties. I'm not going to -- I know Larry and
- 7 Grady are involved. I'm just saying to you --
- 8 CHAIRMAN CLAWSON: The way I kind
- 9 of foresee it -- and if I'm wrong, correct me,
- 10 Ted -- but Ted will take care of all the
- 11 government entities and so forth and I will
- 12 look at it strictly from the workgroup.
- 13 MR. FITZGERALD: All right.
- 14 CHAIRMAN CLAWSON: And I'll pass it
- 15 on.
- 16 MR. KATZ: And I can send it to the
- 17 full Board too.
- 18 CHAIRMAN CLAWSON: Yes, that would
- 19 be fine. I just don't want to have any PA
- 20 problems with it or anything. I keep getting
- 21 into, I don't know whether --
- 22 MR. KATZ: There's not an iota of

- 1 PA in this.
- 2 CHAIRMAN CLAWSON: Okay.
- 3 MR. KATZ: It's strictly an
- 4 administrative document.
- 5 CHAIRMAN CLAWSON: Okay, so we'll
- 6 head out onto that. We're looking at the 28th.
- 7 We'll review it before. Should be out by May.
- 8 MR. FITZGERALD: May 5, COB, we
- 9 would hope to be able to send it to the Board
- 10 and to DOE.
- 11 MR. KATZ: That makes things tight
- 12 for Joe.
- 13 CHAIRMAN CLAWSON: Emily, do you
- 14 want to be sent a copy on all these things?
- MS. HOWELL: Yes, either from you
- 16 or from Ted.
- 17 CHAIRMAN CLAWSON: Probably from
- 18 Ted. I've got your e-mail, I just -- I've
- 19 tried to attach a copy on a lot of things, but
- 20 it seems like you get maybe four or five
- 21 copies of the same thing. I just wanted to
- 22 make sure.

```
1 MR. KATZ: I'll certainly --
```

- 2 absolutely, I'll take care of the whole
- 3 distribution issue.
- 4 MEMBER PRESLEY: With the 5th
- 5 being a Monday, I'll be there and ready to go
- 6 at that time.
- 7 MS. HOWELL: That's a Tuesday.
- 8 DR. MAURO: One of the areas that
- 9 we were talking about, and I think you'd
- 10 probably agree that we leave alone for now,
- 11 and that is this business about talking to
- 12 DOE. During the meeting now or at the meeting,
- 13 we talked about this idea of the PA end of the
- 14 process, the very back end of the process, PA
- 15 has redacted and made certain changes that it
- 16 hasn't done. Right now, the way we're doing it
- 17 is -- are we going to be looking to discuss
- 18 this issue?
- 19 In other words, how do we get
- 20 closure on that with DOE? In other words, if
- 21 you and Nancy work on a document, you edit it,
- 22 and it's your judgement and Nancy's judgement

- 1 that listen, we just took out a few names.
- 2 Right now, do we communicate this
- 3 to DOE before we put it up on the web, to make
- 4 sure that it's okay with them? I mean, I think
- 5 we left that a little bit ambiguous.
- 6 MR. KATZ: I think that's the issue
- 7 that I addressed.
- DR. MAURO: And what is the answer,
- 9 sir?
- 10 MR. KATZ: I sent a communication
- 11 to DOE.
- DR. MAURO: Okay, so that's the way
- 13 we're going.
- 14 MR. KATZ: I will await their
- 15 response. If they respond in a timely fashion
- 16 that we can work it into these procedures and
- if they are amenable to working it into these
- 18 procedures, then we will work it into these
- 19 procedures.
- 20 If not, we'll be silent on this
- 21 issue just as the documents are silent right
- 22 now on this issue.

- DR. MAURO: Okay, I missed that.
- 2 MR. KATZ: We'll work with DOE on
- 3 this issue going forward, but on sort of a
- 4 parallel path.
- DR. MAURO: Okay.
- 6 CHAIRMAN CLAWSON: This brings to
- 7 close these procedures until we can address
- 8 them again. But John, you sent me an e-mail in
- 9 there this week that you want to discuss Q
- 10 clearances and stuff or is that still on?
- DR. MAURO: We're okay.
- 12 CHAIRMAN CLAWSON: We're good with
- 13 that?
- DR. MAURO: Yes. Actions are being
- 15 taken to -- just real quickly, there were
- 16 three areas that are important. One is the re-
- investigation of yourself, Kathy, and Abe. Is
- 18 that what you were referring to?
- 19 MR. KATZ: I'm just saying I
- 20 wouldn't really discuss it.
- DR. MAURO: You wouldn't talk of
- 22 that here?

- 1 MR. KATZ: Well, because particular
- 2 individuals --
- DR. MAURO: Oh, I'm sorry. There's
- 4 a re-investigation. There are the new q's and
- 5 that there are the people that need to get
- 6 through the process so that they can get their
- 7 computer and the key fob.
- I was concerned that -- SC&A, on
- 9 the receiving end of this, we're not sure
- 10 whether all three aspects of this was -- were
- 11 moving forward in a timely way.
- 12 I've been assured that everything
- is moving forward in a timely way, so the e-
- 14 mail I sent out recently regarding this matter
- is well in hand. So I have no concerns.
- 16 CHAIRMAN CLAWSON: Okay. I just
- 17 wanted to make sure because you had said that
- 18 you wanted to have this on there, so I'll
- 19 delete that out.
- 20 Is there any further witness that
- 21 needs to come before this workgroup? Anybody
- 22 on the phone have anything that they would

Page 272 like to say? If there's silence, I'll take it. We're complete then. MR. KATZ: Good work, everybody. Thank you, for everybody on the phone. (Whereupon, the above-entitled matter went off the record at 3:21 p.m.) 

A	account 53:5 98:9	142:14 144:15,22	33:5 35:1 36:21	253:15 255:14
<b>Abe</b> 270:17	100:8 138:8	158:15,17 175:9	38:3,7,14,16,18	<b>airport</b> 1:13 114:8
<b>abide</b> 173:8	accountability	176:6 187:11	39:11,16 54:11,16	<b>akin</b> 150:20
abilities 265:5	160:20	232:16	55:6,10 56:1	<b>alert</b> 34:18 131:7
ability 50:5 62:11	accounts 238:7	added 25:5 145:20	57:11 67:8,9	<b>aligned</b> 150:9,10
<b>able</b> 7:4,6,7,18	accurate 203:17	160:8 162:1	72:14 73:4,6	allegations 203:15
28:18 39:19 40:3	204:2 206:10	242:12 244:19,20	76:15 82:6 87:8	<b>allow</b> 48:17
42:15 50:9 56:7	acknowledge	245:12	87:16 90:16,20	<b>allowed</b> 209:14
56:22 87:9,11	133:12	adding 44:4 203:19	91:1 92:3,8,10	allows 97:3
104:6 163:16,18	acknowledging	252:3	93:11 94:7,11,14	altered 107:1
176:20 185:4	188:9	<b>addition</b> 13:21 92:3	95:4,15 97:17	alternative 65:2
213:21 224:12	acquire 132:11	96:6 122:21 164:3	113:19 116:6,11	alternatives 64:16
251:7 255:16	acronym 99:8	175:11 246:10	117:3 129:15	ambiguity 234:17
256:11 257:4	act 24:6 56:22 73:3	additional 80:21	133:9 150:12	251:12
258:8,22 262:6	90:13 95:18 173:7	94:17 116:1,8	151:22 160:4	ambiguous 269:5
265:7 267:9	178:4,6 201:5	132:2,10 164:6	162:17 163:12	amenable 269:17
aboard 7:2	222:1,3 228:22	207:3	168:9 222:6	AMERICA 1:1
above-entitled	229:12,12 249:14	additions 97:15	244:16 245:10	<b>amount</b> 51:3 70:6
20:22 113:15	249:15	address 106:4	advocacy 230:18	100:14 101:8
168:6 239:13	Acting 1:21	116:2 137:1	affect 56:12 107:5	amplified 115:1
272:5	action 69:4	195:10 270:7	affirmative 200:6	analogous 152:11
absolutely 55:1	actions 52:16,17	addressed 59:20	agencies 62:7	analyses 200:3
72:1,4 80:14 89:7	89:12 229:2 238:3	63:20 269:7	123:22	analysis 77:22
183:11 203:20	270:14	add-on 243:17	agency 50:8 60:1,2	169:10 193:5
247:19 258:3	active 230:22	adequate 64:20	98:20,21 211:8	194:11 199:16
268:2	activities 41:10	110:15	226:18 227:8	and/or 117:3
accept 55:20,21	57:19 94:10	adhere 236:4	agency's 183:20	163:11
180:8	activity 41:16	adjunct 36:15	<b>ago</b> 103:6 200:16	annual 33:8 41:7
acceptable 240:7	actual 18:14 22:5	adjustment 254:18	222:16	anonymity 174:4
254:17	47:7 52:15 72:21	administer 84:11	agree 17:11 22:3	175:14 176:18,22
access 3:10 8:19,22	78:4 85:12 88:5	94:20	40:14,15 88:9,17	177:10 179:19,20
9:18 11:15 12:13	114:18,19 115:2	administers 90:4	123:20 131:5	180:10 181:3
13:21 21:8 23:9	129:2 135:12,15	administrative	161:7 162:4	anonymous 175:3
28:22 35:17 37:10	136:15 145:12	267:4	166:15 253:4	180:1,4 182:2
51:4 60:1 77:15	146:16 167:6	advance 119:20	268:10	183:13
84:9 94:15 118:11	173:10 191:17	120:14 173:6	agreed 34:4 38:17	answer 202:14
125:19 126:1	217:17 237:21	advanced 162:19	39:15 253:14	269:8
135:12 137:15,21	242:9	advantage 31:19	259:21 261:15	anticipated 99:15
147:22 148:6	ad 33:22 34:1 36:16	68:12 161:2	agreeing 18:13	262:9
149:9 150:10,12	47:11,22 68:9	advent 174:16	agreement 249:21	anticipation 110:3
167:12 168:18	Adams 2:16 6:13	227:14	251:9	ANWAG 2:19 5:21
203:4 239:7	6:13 105:8,14,21	advise 109:5 212:14	agrees 65:3 264:16	anybody 73:13
accessed 138:1	106:2,13,16,20		<b>ahead</b> 35:16 64:14 76:11 104:9 129:4	210:14 238:5 271:21
accessibility 192:21	107:17 109:1,9,12	advisor 95:14		
accessing 45:9	114:3	<b>advisory</b> 1:5 3:5 11:19 29:16,19	154:15 164:5	<b>anymore</b> 149:1 172:16 194:1
accident 185:15,16	adapt 164:18 add 48:20 86:18	30:22 31:5 32:5	194:15 234:2 235:15 237:21	224:1
185:16,17	auu 40.20 00.10	30.44 31.3 34.3	433.13 431.41	<i>∠∠</i> 4.1
	<u> </u>			<u> </u>

onveyov 26.19 40.9	227:21	227:20 229:9	69:7,21,22 75:6	142:22 154:19
anyway 36:18 49:8 56:14 57:4 78:21				
	approval 137:15	asks 198:10	75:13 77:16,20	157:13,14 158:6
79:1 131:8 162:3	148:6 248:21	aspect 34:5 52:22	78:10 87:17	159:20 160:11
170:4 232:4	approvals 94:6	176:1 258:11	116:18 128:20	171:7 183:4 192:5
258:12	approved 32:16,19	aspects 90:15	132:13 163:12	193:13,17,22
apparent 178:11	108:17 263:6	271:10	196:14,15,16,21	194:3,4,13 196:18
apparently 140:22	approves 139:15	assign 49:5 247:10	197:2,5,9 198:7,9	199:13,21 200:5
appear 199:22	<b>April</b> 1:10 240:1	assigned 247:14	231:20	206:15 213:13
appearing 200:2	255:11	assignment 41:1	avenues 132:15	217:13 228:15
append 166:7	archived 209:13	49:2 134:22	avoid 66:5 67:18	231:10,17 234:15
appendix 14:20	227:22	assist 39:13 95:4,15	139:13 178:5,19	237:17 239:12,16
15:16,19,21 16:2	area 13:20 134:1	96:22 97:8	182:1 250:2 264:7	244:4,21 257:3
158:15,18 165:1	162:14 173:22	assistance 57:21	avoiding 68:5	259:1,6,9 263:16
165:13 166:6	224:17	associated 173:20	avoids 139:9	263:21 264:12
191:9,13 219:4	areas 184:1 268:8	174:6 178:17	await 269:14	268:14
232:12 237:17	270:16	212:4	award 206:22	background 6:20
238:18 239:5	arena 210:21,22	assume 85:13	aware 42:16 47:16	9:9
242:22 252:9,18	argue 153:19	205:10 218:14	78:13 82:1 119:21	backroom 53:6
applicability 21:11	argument 9:16	assumed 242:16	128:12,17 129:8	backtrack 175:16
applicable 14:21	57:9	assuming 61:5	156:11 158:1	back-end 19:15
16:8 122:13	<b>Arjun</b> 2:11 4:19	208:18 209:11	245:18	bad 215:16
applied 240:20	47:3 181:6 194:18	216:1 237:11	<b>AWE</b> 14:18 15:22	<b>badging</b> 136:6,9
applies 12:7 23:4	217:13	assumption 48:22	17:2 19:10 21:19	144:5,11,13,14
201:7	<b>Arjun's</b> 181:17	assurance 165:5	22:1 186:12	145:6,8,12,13,18
<b>apply</b> 17:5 143:22	207:10	assure 12:22 99:20	<b>AWEs</b> 35:5 134:8	146:2,16 147:5
241:10	arose 200:15	assured 271:12	awhile 6:9 69:9	150:5
applying 236:10	arrange 145:17	assuring 149:7	133:1 221:5	balking 81:8
appoint 29:9	230:16	atmosphere 180:2	<b>a.m</b> 1:14 3:2 21:1,2	<b>Barrie</b> 2:19 5:20,20
appreciate 51:6	arranged 95:12	Atomic 24:6	113:16,17	6:1
52:21 88:12	arrangements 30:5	attach 237:9	B	<b>based</b> 36:9 72:14
apprised 33:15	46:16 47:1 57:18	267:19	-	88:20 91:3 125:18
36:5 40:19 41:5	63:7 72:17 83:11	attendance 142:16	<b>b</b> 64:2 182:14,15,21	141:13 170:8
49:10 70:17 73:6	121:12 131:12	attended 151:9	191:14 242:22	256:11
73:7,16	150:2 169:16	<b>author</b> 194:20	back 6:11 10:13	<b>baseline</b> 34:5 68:9
approach 13:1	arranges 91:2	authorization	17:15 26:4 30:11	69:15 70:9 71:16
29:16 55:20,22	array 80:6	146:22	34:19 38:16 53:10	72:12 74:18 76:7
63:4 74:4 114:21	arrive 75:17	authorize 80:20	61:8 63:11 66:12	76:18 77:4 118:16
183:15 264:18	arrived 149:21	authorized 90:13	68:11,20 72:5,8	119:10 153:19
appropriate 9:5,17	art 218:12 253:10	authorizes 98:5	78:11 82:10	baselined 74:11
34:18 39:2,7 65:5	aside 199:19	authors 124:11,20	100:16 104:3	baselining 98:14
65:19 76:15 84:3	asked 7:4 10:11	125:3 186:16	105:22 106:6	102:10 116:16
87:22 94:12	71:4 76:2 95:19	automatic 68:14	107:2,16,19	164:2
104:13,17 119:19	110:12,21 128:10	201:15	109:19 110:18	bases 162:2 188:1
144:13 146:1,19	141:8 213:14	automatically	113:21 125:8	basic 10:17 162:22
243:15 244:9	229:4	225:14	126:20 132:16	<b>basically</b> 7:9 33:10
appropriately	asking 56:13 72:8	available 28:16	133:1,3 136:19	37:2 65:17 83:19
64:22 163:3	100:18 126:4	41:21 53:3 59:11	138:17 139:4	98:2 101:6 156:4

179:10 191:21	121:11 261:5	43:15 45:2,15	<b>body</b> 194:20 257:6	<b>budget</b> 98:18 100:6
230:13 238:1	265:5	47:15 49:18 50:1	bogging 40:19	<b>bungled</b> 152:3
260:11 266:3	<b>better</b> 67:22 69:13	50:7,9 51:1 54:11	boilerplate 205:15	burdened 20:3
basis 22:2 33:8	103:5 203:16	54:16,21,21 55:3	book 208:17 239:1	burdening 20:2
35:1 41:7 62:22	226:15 229:6	55:6,10,16 56:1,9	books 129:21	burdensome 19:22
121:10	237:14 263:19	56:20 57:11 67:9	bore 24:11 146:12	154:1
<b>Beach</b> 1:18 3:22,22	beyond 36:20	72:14 73:4,6	<b>bottom</b> 8:3 50:15	business 84:16
8:11 17:7 44:3,7	83:22 93:21	76:15 79:22 80:9	109:12 127:17	238:14 258:19
44:10 45:3 54:18	100:10,15 108:4	80:20 82:6 86:7	boundary 150:22	268:11
65:14 91:15 97:6	123:17 176:9	86:10 87:8,16	box 132:3 153:5,9	<b>button</b> 6:7,10 255:7
104:8 111:18	205:5 231:5	88:15,16 90:16,20	153:14 154:2,14	buy 138:14
112:6,10 144:4,9	bibliographic 60:8	91:1 92:3,8,10	153.14 154.2,14	Duy 136.14
145:3,13,19 146:4	<b>Biers</b> 46:13	93:11 94:7,11,14	154.19 155.1,1	
146:11 158:1	big 63:21 72:7	95:4,15 97:18	226:14	C 3:1 182:14,15,21
184:12,19 190:13	75:19 224:1 228:3	106:17 113:19	boxes 60:11 99:18	183:1,4
196:13 218:11	229:10	116:6,11 117:3	211:2 253:17	<b>Calhoun</b> 2:5 4:8,8
224:19 242:1	bigger 42:12	124:1 128:18	brackets 108:1	8:14 16:12,17,21
244:19,22 245:7	billing 202:22	124.1 128.18	Brad 3:20 6:16	27:8 70:21 71:21
248:12 249:3	birthdates 140:7	134:18 135:3	17:18 55:8 79:22	72:2 102:17
252:5,11,16 253:1	bit 6:19 10:4,21	134:18 133:3	113:5,9 229:7	108:15,21 109:15
254:1,7 256:10	20:9 28:3,4 47:11	139:15 142:16	260:5	110:21 111:4
bear 162:11	48:17 56:5 68:14	150:13 151:22	<b>Bradley</b> 1:14,17	112:13 113:1
bears 49:21	69:2 70:4 134:1	156:20 160:4	Brad's 56:13	141:7 154:9,18
beeping 20:15	139:22 145:10	162:17 163:13	<b>Brant</b> 77:6 78:13	157:19 158:5
began 200:19	152:3,3 176:2	168:10 193:7,7	breach 39:3	215:11,20 216:5,8
beginning 30:18	185:7 188:22	199:1 200:15,16	breadth 181:18	216:14,18,20
84:6 126:9 135:7	210:21 222:14	200:22 201:2,5	break 20:19 111:17	217:2,7,11 218:16
begins 15:13 34:11	243:16 269:5	210:10 217:5	113:10,12 124:13	236:17 238:22
behalf 73:3	bits 158:19	222:6,12 226:8	167:18,20,22	246:22 247:17,22
<b>believe</b> 16:3 44:7	blah 84:13,13,13	227:15 239:7,18	168:4 239:9,10	248:6 250:7,13
69:3 110:3 115:19	149:11,11,11	240:18 241:11	breaks 69:18	253:9
141:9 149:16	blank 108:8	244:16 245:10,13	breathe 48:18	<b>call</b> 3:18 4:6 6:3,11
207:22 221:11	<b>blanket</b> 179:18	245:16,18 246:1,9	breathing 6:4	7:13 8:20 39:15
233:10 236:8	<b>Blockson</b> 226:5,6	255:13 256:4,6,7	<b>brief</b> 134:21 150:19	47:19 48:1,3,4
243:18 246:11	<b>board</b> 1:5,8 3:4,5,8	256:8,22 257:11	166:5	68:8 101:22 102:1
248:10	3:19 4:4 7:5,19	260:8,20 261:17	<b>bring</b> 10:9 37:22	108:13 115:1
<b>believes</b> 193:19	9:2,6,21 10:11	262:11,15,19	54:6 60:21 61:8	118:21 187:13
belong 204:21	11:1,19 12:5,7	263:16,21 264:9	129:22 186:17	207:12 214:8
belongs 87:22	13:7 21:9 23:2,5	264:19 265:1	208:12 255:6	called 27:2 222:11
198:3 206:18	28:10 29:2,7,8,17	266:17 267:9	265:12	236:21
<b>benefit</b> 10:3 28:19	29:19 30:7,22	boards 220:8	brings 270:6	<b>calling</b> 119:10
75:19 115:14	31:5 32:5,16 33:5	board's 7:3 8:21	<b>broad</b> 132:19	219:15
156:8 167:10	33:19 34:3 35:1	9:21 12:6,8,18	<b>broken</b> 14:16	calls 70:5 131:2,3
168:22 169:7	35:12,12,17 36:10	35:18 86:17 89:2	Brookhaven 69:4	246:2
242:13	36:21 37:12,16,22	113:21 168:12	75:20 80:14 81:7	cap 230:12
benefitting 128:5	38:4,7,15,16,18	222:10 223:21	102:22	capabilities 62:8
best 37:22 69:14	39:11,17 42:2	<b>Bob</b> 162:7	brought 8:12 54:7	163:14
~ 550 57.22 07.11		200102.7	~100git 0.11 0 117	
			I	<u> </u>

11 62 6	1	2 62 22 2 60 1	120 (24) (	1
capable 63:6	category 142:13	263:22 268:1	129:6 261:6	<b>clad</b> 182:1
capsules 82:5	250:6	certification	262:20	clarification's 8:16
capture 19:14	cause 86:19 89:22	110:16 111:3,5	<b>change</b> 49:3 78:8	8:17
20:10 46:11 51:13	cautionary 182:9	250:19	103:6 106:8	clarified 50:13
58:4,6 67:17	187:15 190:2	<b>cetera</b> 39:2,9 42:1	112:18 132:7	165:12,13
72:19 74:4,10	cautioning 183:22	42:2 56:16,21	224:15 228:6	clarifies 237:14
76:22 77:18,18	caveat 139:2,6	84:9 140:8 205:1	237:5 238:14	<b>clarify</b> 18:19 28:9
81:6 98:7 99:18	142:1 257:22	<b>CFR</b> 24:19	240:10,11,17,20	120:8 146:14
100:2 101:8 102:2	258:6	<b>chain</b> 161:20,22	241:18 242:2	195:14 231:20
102:14 118:21	cc 92:17 220:9	<b>chair</b> 3:21 30:8	243:5 245:10	<b>classes</b> 259:21
121:7,16 123:11	<b>cc'ed</b> 50:4 51:10	31:1 32:6 34:21	249:7	classification 3:11
123:21 124:9	52:7	35:1,22 39:4,12	changed 107:15	12:15 23:10 26:2
125:6 126:15	<b>CDC</b> 204:8,9	49:7 56:2,17 73:5	244:15 259:22	58:1 84:13 90:6,8
127:11 134:16	<b>CDs</b> 244:4,6	73:6 265:18	<b>changes</b> 7:11 10:19	94:22 96:9,16,22
143:2 152:8	CENTERS 1:2	<b>chairman</b> 1:14,17	40:4 106:5,22	107:5 150:14,18
153:19 154:7,10	central 211:17,19	3:20 6:18 10:8	107:4,7,14 108:3	159:4,8 160:6,8
154:15 158:7,13	212:12	14:13 16:5 17:20	108:19 109:7,13	160:10,16 161:12
162:9,16,20 167:7	centrally 212:2	18:9,17 29:8 31:4	109:17 110:7,17	171:2,14,17
167:7 190:1	certain 14:20 15:15	32:3 33:5 37:20	112:21 136:17	175:15 176:10
captured 27:16	15:17 38:22 48:15	42:6,10 44:22	250:19 256:14	202:2 231:6 236:2
154:19,20 159:6	89:3,13 95:11	54:6 55:21 73:17	261:3 268:15	240:2,7,14 241:3
159:11 163:1	100:14 106:10	87:1 88:2 96:4	character 193:2	243:14
captures 78:20	131:12 136:12	113:10 114:7,11	charge 100:8	classified 6:22
163:4	189:14 192:15	135:4 141:22	charity 190:18	13:13 25:12 72:20
care 82:2 108:8	213:20 258:2	146:8 148:11	<b>check</b> 3:13 104:3	74:22 75:1 102:12
127:12 149:10	264:18 268:15	149:3,15 161:17	114:5 153:22	133:20,21 134:3
219:7 230:6	certainly 9:17	166:21 167:4,19	252:2 253:17	140:13 141:1,1
254:12 262:2	26:16 28:2 30:11	168:1 187:17	260:3	150:6,11 159:12
264:4 266:10	30:17,20 31:5,7	188:7,11 190:17	<b>checked</b> 199:12	171:20 172:3
268:2	35:2 37:14 40:16	229:22 230:1	checking 78:22	173:11,19 174:15
careful 104:20	42:22 52:12 59:22	237:8,16 239:8	116:12	179:12 190:20
142:17 170:17	62:6 73:1,15	254:11,20 255:2,9	checklist 189:17	191:2 194:2 216:7
carefully 31:16	84:21 86:11 88:15	257:2 258:5,17	190:8,12	230:21 236:11
case 9:15 29:9	89:21 103:2 113:4	259:20 260:9,12	checks 104:7 259:7	classified/control
35:11 37:8 61:17	131:9 133:3	260:18 261:19,22	choice 20:4	95:6
62:22,22 63:10	145:17 156:1,11	262:21 263:4,10	choose 57:7	clause 250:8,10
66:20 71:1 116:11	159:2 160:18	263:14 264:2,6,14	<b>chose</b> 28:16	<b>Clawson</b> 1:14,17
162:18 171:13,20	166:13 171:10	265:4,11 266:8,14	<b>chosen</b> 124:11	3:20,20 6:18 10:8
172:16 226:3	173:6,7,18 175:2	266:18 267:2,5,13	Cincinnati 1:13	14:13 16:5 17:20
250:16,16	175:20 179:6	267:17 270:6,12	<b>circle</b> 217:13	18:9,17 37:20
cases 9:18 73:5	184:2 189:21	271:16	circumstances	42:6,10 44:22
98:8 110:15 115:1	195:15 198:2	chairperson 79:22	182:2 185:8 250:1	54:6 55:21 73:17
126:2,4 165:20	205:17 209:17	chairs 29:12 35:6	cite 153:1	87:1 88:2 96:4
173:18	210:4 224:21	37:15 40:17,18	cited 26:1 67:5	113:10 114:7,11
catch 71:8	234:12,14 235:13	challenge 59:2	137:10,15 139:10	135:4 141:22
catches 71:9	246:1 252:21	challenges 31:7	cites 136:22	146:8 148:11
categories 19:10	256:13 262:13	<b>chance</b> 69:10 114:6	citizenship 140:7	149:3,15 161:17

166:21 167:4,19	174:14,15 178:7	101:17 105:22	communicates 91:1	88:4 122:4 271:8
168:1 187:17	178:13 234:5	185:21 206:15	communicating	concerning 67:15
188:7,11 190:17	235:6,11 241:19	213:13 222:20	79:20 92:9 129:13	concerns 180:6
230:1 237:8,16	close 77:6 97:14	265:6	152:7	186:7 271:15
239:8 254:11,20	104:21 256:7	<b>coming</b> 20:18	communication	concrete 254:18
255:2,9 257:2	258:19 270:7	21:18 41:5 74:7	40:17 41:4 130:13	concur 52:5
258:5,17 259:20	<b>closed</b> 192:14	75:12 79:5 81:11	135:2 151:20	concurrent 98:14
260:9,12,18	243:13	96:13 103:9 112:1	245:13 269:10	condition 171:1
261:19,22 262:21	<b>closely</b> 77:1,5	112:7,8 118:20	communications	conditional 180:10
263:4,10,14 264:2	closets 222:22	140:6 178:13	30:16 49:20 51:10	conditions 151:1
264:6,14 265:4,11	<b>closure</b> 268:20	224:1 240:12	56:18 92:17	conduct 13:22
266:8,14,18 267:2	<b>cloth</b> 28:12	comma 264:3	130:15	64:17,19,21 65:4
267:5,13,17 270:6	<b>COB</b> 256:15	<b>command</b> 161:20	community 17:14	84:1 94:8 117:8
270:12 271:16	258:22 267:8	161:22	company 234:8	168:20 169:12
cleaned 199:12	<b>coding</b> 158:13	commend 228:18	235:11	conducted 65:1
212:8 219:16	cognizance 35:13	229:17	comparable 26:7	90:11 118:18
cleaner 39:21	coherent 12:22	comment 21:10	compare 9:12	167:15 169:14
237:7	<b>collect</b> 11:22 12:1	22:1 55:8,13	97:13 128:3 213:5	conducting 65:6
<b>clear</b> 30:14 36:6	58:13 59:10 76:10	83:16 103:22	compensated	170:15
45:13 60:2 62:5	119:14 172:22	122:7 124:6	169:16	conducts 65:2
106:16 164:16	<b>collected</b> 14:2 59:5	163:17 195:3	compile 172:6	cone 208:22
171:9 175:11,21	68:17 75:9 78:4	201:11,19 209:19	213:6	configuration
178:22 182:10	81:17 102:11,12	244:8 263:16	complete 42:19	246:18
184:5 204:5 206:8	119:7 125:17,18	commentary 91:5	67:7 102:20,21	<b>confirm</b> 102:19
211:6 228:20	133:21 164:3	commented 11:11	112:17 158:16	122:16 127:6
230:20 235:18	177:17 217:5	21:20 112:16	272:2	256:20
236:20 260:17	235:19	commenting	completed 137:16	confirmation
clearance 36:17	collecting 35:9	112:19	completely 40:15	147:11
61:2 94:13 105:12	37:18 103:1	comments 11:2	52:5 56:18 85:21	confusing 46:2
106:21 107:8	collection 102:19	18:5 72:15 106:4	102:18 251:17	48:6 143:21 238:5
136:1 138:5	collective 75:1	162:14 217:14	compliance 3:9	confusion 247:6
147:16,19 175:13	collects 78:10	239:6 255:1	compliant 168:13	conglomerated
246:3	come 10:21 25:15	258:19 259:8,16	comply 27:9	174:11
clearances 94:12	26:19 40:2 54:18	262:7	components 86:8	connected 77:7
150:5 173:18	68:20 74:3 78:12	commitment 53:5	composite 219:21	connections 149:20
270:10	86:9 96:1 98:7	commitments	compressed 219:17	150:7
cleared 33:16	101:16,19 102:14	52:19	computer 199:8	connotation 88:11
60:22 61:7 69:11	104:14 128:11	<b>common</b> 79:11	208:11,13,20	consent 177:4
106:5,12 108:17	132:3,15 133:1,3	135:20	209:10,21 271:7	consider 28:15
133:14 138:4	139:7 174:1	communicate 41:3	computers 207:13	136:12
214:3 216:13,17	178:12,16 194:3,4	43:18 47:2 69:15	207:14 208:2	considered 199:16
216:18 217:18	196:18 210:15,19	77:1 80:5 87:8,15	209:20 210:3	234:15
clearer 67:13	216:11 220:10	92:2 110:20 122:2	227:15	considering 17:14
125:16	228:11 244:3	151:21 254:14	<b>concept</b> 264:17	consistent 12:11,21
clearly 11:18 24:14	257:3 271:21	269:2	concern 110:4	13:10 14:4 45:20
25:7 34:6 35:4	comes 5:17 46:10	communicated	177:12 206:21	97:7
101:3 136:7	82:2 93:15 100:13	99:13	concerned 9:19	construct 17:14
	ı		ı	ı

21.15.02.12	acomtwo at 160-16		255.2 267.14 10	22.4 22.9
21:15 92:12	contract 160:16	conversations	255:3 267:14,19	covered 12:4 22:8
contact 29:2 32:5	195:19,20 198:3,3	203:9	<b>copying</b> 56:14	25:14,19,20 62:17
34:8 38:3,4,14,15	199:2 202:22	convey 47:18	245:15	62:17 87:19 231:7
39:5,6,12 41:1,6	206:22	conveyed 170:18	copy-editing 17:22	231:13 232:18
41:14,22 43:10,14	contracting 49:17	conveying 174:7	18:14	236:9,15 241:12
44:5,15 45:7,9,16	50:11 206:21	<b>cool</b> 109:19	corollary 156:1	covering 26:10
46:3,6,17 47:2,6	contractor 2:16	coordinate 29:3	corporate 202:11	190:19
47:15,20 49:1,2,5	6:14 12:8 13:8	30:9,10 31:14	205:19 213:11,14	covers 238:2 241:4
49:9,14 50:1,2	23:5 29:3,21 37:5	50:16 51:17 57:13	214:14	<b>co-party</b> 133:11
54:8,9,12 55:6,11	38:9 40:1 50:1	58:2 65:5 69:14	correct 38:13 105:9	<b>craft</b> 177:5
57:12 70:8,8,8	55:15 82:7 90:17	72:21 77:1 80:6	112:2 209:13	crafted 91:11
71:14 73:21 77:2	90:21 92:4 93:12	94:13 95:20	216:16 248:7	180:11 253:17
78:21 79:4 82:10	94:11,15 95:4,16	117:15 119:5	266:9	create 228:3 246:5
82:19 83:8 88:19	116:7 117:4	144:12 151:11,21	corrected 204:11	created 195:18
90:3,21 92:20	156:21 160:7,12	159:7 241:2 259:9	205:19 231:18	243:7
93:9,18 94:5	161:3,8 162:1,2,5	coordinated 31:16	corrections 193:21	criminal 186:2
97:11,16,19 99:5	162:8 169:17	31:22 73:1 100:3	correspondence	<b>critical</b> 79:21 130:7
99:13,17 101:1	210:11 211:9	231:1	41:16	147:4 149:21
116:22 118:12	214:22 223:10,13	coordinating 67:17	corresponding 9:5	cropped 186:18
119:19 120:15	240:19 244:17	79:7 93:10 94:4	59:15 117:7	cross 129:9
129:16 134:15	245:11	118:4	corresponds 12:10	crossed 27:15
135:1,5,9 144:16	contractors 12:5,6	coordination 13:6	121:14 201:4	crucial 225:12
144:18,22 148:10	12:19 23:2,3	83:10 90:15 96:17	<b>cost</b> 99:14	crudest 209:2
148:13 149:17,22	64:16,18 98:21	97:17 98:12	counsel 11:5	<b>cry</b> 186:13
160:4 251:2	207:2 239:18	103:13,19 119:22	189:22	<b>curious</b> 111:19
265:17	contracts 31:12	133:9 136:7 146:1	<b>count</b> 259:12,13	112:11 144:15
contacted 265:19	contractual 203:8	146:7 152:12	counterpart 101:1	184:20
contacts 40:15	contractually	162:17,19	counterparts 79:14	<b>current</b> 43:3 44:4
54:22 102:9	211:21	coordinations	<b>couple</b> 28:8 31:3	57:14 64:12 82:14
<b>contain</b> 204:3,13	contrast 28:21	130:16	70:5 71:4 95:18	92:12 169:5,13,13
205:22	contrasting 235:22	<b>copied</b> 52:14 73:1	121:17 170:6	170:20
contained 12:12	contributed 170:11	76:14 79:2 117:11	220:19 224:8	currently 11:6
90:10	261:12	248:17	255:19	<b>cut</b> 24:12 231:16
Contamination	<b>control</b> 1:2 90:6,8	<b>copies</b> 62:6 66:1,14	courier 243:15	232:1 249:3 261:5
17:2	94:22 206:17	152:12 163:19	<b>course</b> 32:15 58:4	cutting 28:11
content 108:3	227:13 235:13	213:19 244:7	130:18 132:12	<b>cycle</b> 171:16
252:4	242:18 244:22	267:21	151:4 162:14	cycled 195:5
contents 153:4	246:18	<b>copy</b> 10:14 18:2,7	169:22 174:21	<b>cycles</b> 197:17
context 92:21 96:1	controlled 27:2	41:15 56:17 61:7	197:20 199:9	
120:13 183:22	97:3 229:12	61:7,13,17 64:10	206:13 217:16	D
206:11 231:3	239:20 242:19	73:18 79:3 113:7	221:9 226:9 232:2	<b>D</b> 3:1 182:14,15,21
continual 130:12	convened 1:13	122:8,21 123:13	232:3	daily 51:5 130:15
continue 114:12	convenient 59:6	123:13,14,15	<b>court</b> 204:1	<b>data</b> 6:21 7:11 8:19
215:4	convening 168:11	131:20 148:12,12	<b>courtesy</b> 148:12,12	8:22 9:18 11:15
continuity 207:4	conversation	153:13 163:2,7	cover 23:1 232:17	12:13 13:21 14:18
continuum 19:11	202:20 211:15	166:17 215:21	252:13,20,21	15:15 19:14 21:8
130:1	238:17	233:15 244:5	253:7,11	23:8 28:22 35:16
		•	•	•

27.10.10.46.11	1:1 222.0	47.15 40.10 07.17	250.16	1:7.14.15.5
37:10,18 46:11	decides 223:9	47:15 49:18 87:17	250:16	discuss 7:14 15:5
51:4,13 58:3,4	decision 223:21	96:17	<b>differences</b> 170:6,7	103:15 191:1
67:17,20 72:19	225:20,22	designee 55:7	211:3 238:8	268:17 270:9,20
74:4,10 76:22	decisional 195:7	90:16,17 160:5	<b>different</b> 16:20	discussed 25:17
81:5 98:7 99:18	declaration 250:18	desire 89:3	19:10 30:18 50:6	95:7 102:9 112:1
100:2 101:8 102:2	250:18	detail 58:22 151:5	50:7 54:10 62:14	177:13 260:2
102:14 117:8	decline 184:15	162:12 231:14	68:15 82:22 83:1	261:3
118:21 121:7,16	185:4 250:11	232:9,10,19	112:14 139:19	discussing 11:7
123:11,21 124:8	default 157:4	<b>details</b> 29:15 54:4	141:18 160:15	19:6 39:14 64:14
124:13,18 125:6	<b>defer</b> 107:17 109:2	determine 87:3,14	190:20,21 197:14	189:9 195:11
126:15 127:10	definitely 189:4	107:6	202:9 241:8 248:5	discussion 16:19
134:16 136:15	degree 62:15	determines 90:22	249:12 262:8	49:14 50:22 55:19
150:13 152:8	106:10 107:11,12	147:22	266:5	111:22 149:20
153:18 159:10	150:2 233:22	develop 84:10	differently 103:19	166:14 176:16
162:9,16,20 163:1	delegate 29:19	94:20	142:7	191:16,20 200:15
167:6,12 168:18	39:20	developed 6:20	differs 13:11	219:2 224:17
<b>database</b> 58:8 75:7	delegating 35:15	26:18 46:16	difficult 18:16	225:10 251:15
99:7 118:9 159:1	37:4	developing 3:8	177:5 178:5	discussions 19:12
222:10 227:9	<b>delete</b> 238:17	113:20 168:12	dilemma 222:15	85:3
databases 60:8	271:19	239:18	diligence 76:19	DISEASE 1:2
116:13 124:21	deleted 107:22	development 1:8	ding 75:14	disrupt 6:12
date 68:18 142:12	108:1,8	3:4 115:21	direct 24:11	distinct 52:13
143:8 192:2	delivered 131:20	develops 90:4	directed 173:2	distinction 27:5
255:21	<b>delta</b> 164:6	deviation 14:22	direction 224:15	51:11 81:10
dated 8:18 240:1	<b>deluge</b> 73:13	<b>DFO</b> 47:19 49:17	directives 84:7	226:17
dates 174:3 266:1	departed 17:12	50:4,14,16 52:14	directly 34:8 92:9	distinctions 16:19
day 37:17,17 47:7,7	Department 1:1	53:13 62:7 67:11	105:17,19 145:9	19:7,18 20:6 27:6
51:2,2 73:13,13	6:22 240:8	67:13 73:2,7,18	159:14 185:11	distinguishes 12:2
86:4 123:18,18	dependant 137:22	76:14 78:19 91:20	243:8 263:9	distribute 198:11
205:5 250:4	depending 62:14	92:2,17 98:19	disagree 89:20	262:11
260:11 261:8,10	97:18 161:6	100:3 111:8	131:21 142:21	distributed 8:9
261:13	163:15 202:2	117:10,11 119:21	disappear 209:8	130:20 248:15,20
<b>DC'ed</b> 64:2,5	251:6 259:2	129:13 132:9	disclaimer 182:8	distribution 266:3
deal 72:12,20 82:18	depends 140:9	133:4,10 135:1	187:13 190:3	266:5 268:3
96:15 169:19	derived 16:3	142:14 143:2,12	205:21	diverged 17:16
210:10 229:10	describe 180:20	246:11,13	disclaimers 187:18	divulge 184:12
234:16 241:5	described 180:16	<b>Dia</b> 233:12	disclose 119:15	divulged 176:12
265:7	describes 97:8	diagram 57:16	disclosure 68:1,9	181:13
<b>dealing</b> 6:21 80:11	describing 57:19	242:21	81:16 102:11	divulging 187:9
142:8 159:12	description 111:12	dialogue 117:13	119:6 180:9,10	docket 241:13
230:21 250:15	descriptor 96:2	174:3	201:16	document 18:1
deals 167:12,14	descriptors 178:6,9	dicey 235:12	disconnect 6:8,9	25:4,13,15 26:9
168:20 218:21	designate 32:7 35:2	difference 14:8	discover 140:12	26:17 27:9,11
dealt 62:21 168:18	39:12 90:12 95:14	22:21 23:6 74:16	discovered 210:6	29:8 31:21 51:16
death 185:17	designated 1:21 2:4	136:20 139:19	discretion 52:2	61:14 63:5 72:8
decide 56:15	39:4,5,6 40:10,21	141:4 169:11	106:10 107:11	81:22 84:17,19
121:11	41:2,22 43:9,10	227:2 238:4	133:19 203:17	85:5 86:7 106:3,6
121.11	41.4,44 43.7,10	<u> </u>	133.17 403.17	05.5 00.7 100.5,0
			<u> </u>	<u> </u>

106 11 22 107 2	162 14 21 164 2 6	00 2 4 5 7 21 01 5	220 15 221 1 10	1. 11. 107.4
106:11,22 107:2	163:14,21 164:2,6	90:3,4,5,7,21 91:5	230:15 231:1,10	double 127:4
108:19 109:17	164:7 165:4,7	92:19 93:8,10,17	233:6,13 236:4,22	double-check
110:6 123:10	166:19 176:21	94:3,5,7,21 95:3	238:19 240:6,11	82:11
125:1,4 129:7,9	193:3,4,11 195:15	95:13 96:21 97:19	243:2,7,9,15,20	doubt 52:3
130:20 132:4,17	195:18,20 196:21	97:22 98:3,5 99:5	244:2 246:3,21	downplaying 230:5
153:9,13 154:6,20	197:4,22 222:8	99:13,21 100:3,5	247:6 248:9	dozen 221:17
156:5 159:10	224:4 225:2,5,11	100:6 101:1,3,12	249:13,22 251:6	<b>Dr</b> 4:16,19 10:15
160:20 167:7	225:19,21 227:19	103:10,13,14	252:14 253:5,16	10:19 11:3 15:20
186:13 197:20	228:2,3,20 229:19	105:1,4,11,19	254:5,14,17 256:2	16:15,18 19:5
205:10 209:6,12	231:9 232:14,20	106:12,14 107:3,8	258:1 260:16	23:14,17 26:22
210:8 216:12,15	232:21 233:2,8,11	107:16,20 109:4	261:17 262:11,14	27:14,20 32:1,8
217:16 227:13	233:19 234:4,5,6	116:2,8,20 117:7	263:9,21 267:10	32:13,21 33:20
228:5,21 235:7,11	234:6,8 235:18,19	117:14,17 118:6	268:12,20 269:3	34:1 35:7,20 38:2
236:1 240:2,21	236:7 238:6,9	119:20 121:4	269:11 270:2	38:12 41:11 42:8
241:19 243:12	239:21 240:4,13	123:14 127:4	<b>DOE's</b> 31:18 52:9	42:17 43:8 44:6,9
246:20 247:7,18	241:3,5,6,9,10,11	128:3,10,16	82:13 84:15 241:3	44:12,16,19 45:5
248:2,14 249:22	241:11,12 242:15	129:17 131:19	<b>doing</b> 8:4 10:7	45:13 46:7 47:11
256:2,11 260:16	242:17 243:7,8,20	132:8,9 133:1	29:21 36:4,16	48:13 56:8 60:6
264:9,17 267:4	244:18 245:22	135:2 136:22	38:9 42:7 43:22	60:12,16,21 61:3
268:21	246:19 247:14	137:13 138:13	47:16 51:7 53:4	61:10 62:13,19
documentation	248:9,19 249:14	139:9,14 140:11	54:5 55:11 58:17	69:1 71:8,17 72:5
64:22 120:10	269:21	141:6,10 144:11	59:12 61:19 67:18	76:17 79:18 88:22
150:6 152:21	<b>Doe</b> 3:9,12 13:1,2	144:16,22 145:22	73:10,14 74:1	92:6 98:13 99:1
158:12	13:15 14:18 15:10	148:3,8 149:7,22	80:15 81:4,5	100:21 106:9,15
documented 112:5	15:22 16:22 19:9	151:11 159:6	82:20 84:22 89:15	106:19 107:10
216:19	21:12,13,17,22	160:10 161:13,18	95:10 104:7	108:10 124:15
documents 3:11,12	22:6 23:9,22 24:2	162:18 163:1,6,15	119:21 120:13,15	129:20 130:12
21:18 23:10 24:10	24:20 26:3 27:10	165:8,15,20	120:20 122:3	131:16 139:18
24:11 25:12 27:17	27:11 29:5 30:3,5	168:13 169:20	126:22 129:3	140:17,21 141:19
30:6 45:10 51:17	30:10 31:6 33:2,9	170:16 171:17	131:4 138:2,22	147:2,9 148:8
53:3 60:18 63:19	33:14 34:15 35:5	172:17 173:2	142:7,8 150:21	149:12 156:7,16
64:2 74:22 75:2,5	36:5,10 37:2 39:1	174:17,21 175:5	153:7,20,22	157:9,13 158:3,9
75:12,17,22 76:2	39:7 41:3,4 45:8	175:12,15 176:9	156:10 162:13,15	171:19 172:4,11
76:10 77:17,19	47:8 49:8 51:4	178:13 179:14,15	163:9 172:9	172:19 173:4
78:4,9,13 81:16	52:20 55:12 57:18	179:17 181:14	175:22 185:12	179:2,5 182:5,18
84:12 86:18 90:5	57:21,22 58:3	182:21 184:6,13	188:4 189:10	182:20 183:7
93:20 94:18,21	59:17 60:17 63:1	184:18 185:3	205:17 206:13	185:6,19 186:1,9
99:10 102:11,19	64:5,19 65:2,3,5	186:22 192:5	208:19 215:1	188:3,10,21 189:7
103:2 105:1	66:20 68:2,19	193:16,22 195:1	218:5,6 226:15	190:5,8 191:6
106:14,18 119:9	71:22 72:8,18	196:12 197:11,18	228:8,12 234:19	192:2,8,13,18
119:13,14 124:12	75:14 76:9,22	199:11,13 202:2,5	236:3 251:17	195:21 196:7
125:10 126:12,13	79:13 81:7 82:8,9	202:8 208:11	261:5 263:9	197:13 198:19
129:2 132:1,2,10	83:8,20 84:1,8,10	212:9 213:12,13	265:16 268:16	199:7 202:14,19
133:20 140:13	84:11,17,19,22	213:19,22 215:15	<b>doings</b> 204:19	203:7,11,12,20,21
141:16 151:15,16	85:3 86:3,4,8,12	215:16,21 216:12	<b>door</b> 149:13	205:14 206:6,12
153:8,11 159:12	87:2,3,14 88:13	216:13 217:10,19	<b>dose</b> 116:4	207:10 208:5,15
159:13 160:13	88:16,19,21 89:12	229:1,13,14	<b>DOSHA</b> 115:22	210:19 211:13
	<u> </u>		•	

212.2 214.4 15 10		111.12 140.10	ongogog 09.4	at 20.2 0 42.1 1
212:3 214:4,15,18 216:17 218:2	<u>E</u>	111:12 149:18 166:5	engages 98:4	et 39:2,9 42:1,1 56:16,21 84:9
	<b>e</b> 3:1,1 68:10 70:4		engaging 78:11	,
219:15 220:2,18	77:8 271:13	elaborated 53:13	enjoin 98:21	140:8 204:22
221:3,9,12,14,17	earlier 32:22	elaboration 170:8	ensure 65:6 84:11	evaluating 120:10
222:2,14,20 224:6	102:10 112:20	elected 180:4	90:5 94:21	evaluation 120:11
224:12 225:7	132:6 163:8 219:2	<b>electronic</b> 61:20	ensuring 64:21	223:2
226:3 227:10	232:10 238:17	64:8 99:7 163:7	97:21	evaluations 116:1
228:7 246:16	259:1	164:9 244:3,14	enter 94:7 209:1	event 184:8 210:4
247:3,19 248:7	early 17:15 21:17	electronically	247:14	events 228:11
260:22 261:10,21	58:18 74:14	248:14,20	<b>entering</b> 41:19	eventually 69:10
262:17 263:1,5,12	238:18	Elliot 4:13	enters 208:22	70:18 99:12
263:18 264:1,7,15	easier 66:13 71:12	<b>ELLIOTT</b> 2:8	entire 153:14 154:1	191:13 197:19
265:8 268:8 269:8	96:2 161:11	65:21 141:15	entities 266:11	200:9 208:15,16
269:12 270:1,5,11	166:11 244:13	186:15 214:7	entry 158:22 238:8	224:6
270:14,21 271:3	247:12	219:18 220:14,20	equipment 59:22	everybody 10:5,22
draft 8:18 9:11	easily 57:1 75:3	221:7,10,20 222:4	65:10,12,15,17	15:11 21:4 42:16
11:7,9,15,16 40:3	81:9	222:19 224:9	163:11 164:12	57:5 65:20 73:11
55:10 108:16	easy 134:21 150:8	225:1 226:21	165:21 166:1	78:10 81:2,18
179:14,16 194:10	<b>ECI</b> 236:11 242:19	227:3,12 228:17	equivalent 8:21	113:5 123:12
199:10 206:14	245:1	244:10 247:20	26:17 29:13 93:2	127:11 128:2,12
210:13 211:4	edit 257:9 268:21	248:4 249:21	110:9 155:6,8	128:17,21 129:4
212:10 213:6,6,12	<b>edited</b> 209:9	250:9 251:13	240:2	131:20 149:8
232:3 240:1	<b>editing</b> 18:3 23:21	embarrassing	ER 80:17	168:5 255:15,18
265:22	edits 18:7 113:8	72:10	erroneous 204:7	257:20 258:19
drafted 11:7	255:3	<b>embody</b> 194:1	errors 10:15 204:3	264:16 272:3,4
drafting 194:8	EEOICPA 24:1	emerge 19:7	204:13 206:1	everybody's 161:1
drafts 90:9 211:1	98:17 240:13	emerged 226:5	207:19	evidence 210:7
dramatically 132:8	<b>effect</b> 32:17 40:10	Emily 2:18 5:16	especially 142:15	239:2
drifting 224:20	86:14 89:2,15	11:4 49:11 92:15	207:11 208:13	evoking 50:21
<b>drive</b> 75:7 116:13	139:7	117:9 215:11	263:7	evolve 72:16 209:6
119:8 157:8 164:5	<b>effective</b> 118:5,11	267:13	essence 35:22	209:7
222:11	efficiency 76:5	emphasize 12:18	essential 80:7	evolved 37:7 115:8
<b>drop</b> 89:17	efficient 71:22	127:3	essentially 9:3 30:1	121:19 231:19
dropped 109:8	118:5	emphasized 120:7	68:1 72:16 74:21	evolves 130:2
250:22 251:22	<b>effort</b> 101:7 223:3	employ 215:5	83:9 88:8 97:5	evolving 201:13
dropping 108:4	efforts 207:6	employees 5:11,12	120:19 179:16	exact 18:18 223:12
drops 108:7	eight 201:13	<b>enable</b> 94:14	192:1 203:22	exactly 18:22 19:4
dual 55:9 127:5	either 35:14 59:17	146:20	227:18	26:20 110:13
due 76:19 233:17	59:18 60:1,2	enables 159:2	establish 121:4	<b>example</b> 13:13 17:1
duplicate 207:5	66:19 102:11	enabling 37:13	126:12 135:20	17:21 46:9 52:19
duplication 50:17	141:8 145:14	encountered 245:5	169:20 175:6	69:3 80:4,15
67:19 68:5 74:13	157:1 163:2,15	encourages 180:1	established 121:1	138:3 148:15
123:6	181:13 183:22	<b>Energy</b> 7:1 24:6	establishes 34:6	165:6 186:4
duplications 75:22	220:7 235:4	240:8	establishing 94:10	199:20 206:2
duration 41:9	248:13 250:13	engage 227:18	135:9 142:12	220:22 221:13
<b>duty</b> 65:7	267:15	engaged 150:1	estimation 116:3	233:11 234:1,19
dwell 111:11	elaborate 111:10	264:10	123:12	262:12

examples 220:19	explicitly 137:18	<b>fairly</b> 54:2 134:6	226:22	194:9,16 200:12
examples 220.19 excellent 228:19	232:18,18	fall 17:15 21:17	files 196:12 205:6	200:18 203:7
exception 143:14	export 242:18	58:18 234:13	211:10 222:12	231:16 232:1
241:17	244:22	fallen 46:13	<b>fill</b> 155:5 156:1,13	248:16 255:8
exceptional 181:19	extent 9:12 13:19	falls 146:4	156:20 158:3	257:14
_	28:13 31:10 65:10	familiar 119:11	filled 156:2 157:6	firsthand 63:15
exceptions 107:22				fit 192:20 193:5
exchanges 77:9	68:8 128:5 166:16	137:5 246:3	<b>filling</b> 141:13 155:7 156:21 157:5	fits 27:5 187:7
exclusively 12:7 exhaustive 54:2	175:3 235:16	253:19 254:8	final 105:1,2,6,6,6	
	245:14 264:21	familiarity 39:1		Fitzgerald 2:10 4:17,17 7:16 8:5
exist 172:16 192:16	external 81:11	48:1	105:12,20 106:6	*
211:16 225:16	extra 66:5 246:5	far 28:12,15 105:10	108:17 200:20	8:10,15,17 11:10
exists 139:19 250:7	e-mail 108:15	115:12 120:16	201:3 204:22	11:13 14:11,14
250:9	109:20 110:2	121:1 127:5 129:1	205:9 206:19	15:4,7 16:2,7 17:4
expect 33:15 91:7	250:18 267:18	134:5 147:8 176:4	239:6 256:8,21	17:9 18:19 19:1
164:1 263:15	270:8	235:22 240:7	260:1 262:6	20:17 21:3,4
expectation 85:9	e-mails 50:4 203:1	<b>fashion</b> 269:15	<b>finalize</b> 206:15	22:17 23:15,18
132:19	$oxed{\mathbf{F}}$	fast 82:3 212:19	263:2	24:4,8 25:1,5,22
expectations 85:11	FACA 50:12	fatal 264:22	finalized 227:7	26:12,15,21 27:18
102:6	face 20:1 180:9	<b>feature</b> 227:17	254:21	27:22 32:4,12,20
expecting 4:5,13	faces 83:1	federal 1:21 2:4	<b>find</b> 41:13 62:6	33:7,22 34:19
5:15		5:10,12 40:10,21	69:8 76:8 77:14	35:8,21 45:11,14
expenditure 65:19	facet 218:21	41:2 49:18 210:6	118:8 125:13	47:10,13 50:19
expensive 65:12	facilitate 94:6	feedback 70:7	132:10 147:5	51:19 52:4,10
experience 36:9	facilities 14:22 16:9	110:22 133:5	149:22 175:16	53:15,20 54:1
85:12 96:13,15	17:2 19:10 22:2	263:19,21 264:22	228:7	55:4 57:2 60:10
170:8 180:2	94:7 95:5 186:12	<b>feeds</b> 217:19	<b>finding</b> 77:14 78:15	60:14,20 61:1,4
181:18 186:10	facility 41:10 148:4	feel 39:21 42:22	<b>fine</b> 14:14 18:1,10	61:12 62:16 63:3
experienced 88:14	173:14	70:13 80:7 81:8	19:1 144:3 157:6	64:4 65:16 66:4
95:14	fact 12:20 13:19	140:1,2 151:7	164:22 215:5	67:2 73:19 77:13
experience-based	19:6 20:15 31:1	225:2	266:19	79:6 80:13 84:4
83:5	31:15 32:7,17	feeling 258:9	<b>fingers</b> 105:16	85:6,10,20 86:11
experiencing	35:14,15 37:8	feelings 43:6	<b>finish</b> 80:17	86:20 88:7 89:16
151:18	58:17,19 77:14	<b>felt</b> 7:2 36:14	finished 77:4	91:9,21 92:5,11
explain 53:21	78:15 81:3 103:7	203:16	finishing 80:17	92:15,19 93:8,17
155:19 180:21	112:20 122:15	<b>Fernald</b> 42:1 46:10	<b>fire</b> 220:10	93:22 96:5,12
183:14 199:8	124:12 142:21	48:4 216:9,15	<b>firmed</b> 176:4	97:10 98:16 99:22
explained 53:14	161:14 163:13	<b>field</b> 141:17 214:7	<b>first</b> 3:13,18 6:18	101:5 103:4
188:15	169:16 171:14	214:9 219:19,20	31:15 32:2 40:3	104:12,19 105:9
explaining 26:7	172:13,15 173:9	<b>fielding</b> 159:13	55:12 57:13 67:16	105:15,22 106:7
115:4 156:9 181:6	175:4 179:1 182:3	<b>figure</b> 20:20 62:2	81:2 93:14 115:12	107:20 108:13,20
explanation 127:18	193:18	101:13 126:9	115:17 123:3	109:6,11,21 111:2
explanations 8:3	factor 175:4	128:22 237:1	125:14 126:16,21	111:9,15 112:3,8
228:21	facts 77:15,16 78:4	<b>figures</b> 101:10	128:7 134:14	112:18 113:2
explanatory 162:10	78:9 81:18,19,20	figuring 77:22	135:8 144:14	114:14,15 122:11
explicit 10:5	factual 81:16	228:4	147:17 149:1	122:14 123:3
123:21 219:1,5	failsafe 79:3	<b>file</b> 156:6 197:20	150:14 163:1	124:5 125:9 126:3
228:21 238:11	<b>fair</b> 179:7	205:7 211:17,19	173:21 192:7,8	127:2,15,20 128:1
L			-	

100.14 10 120 10	<b>6</b> 24.12.16.27.7	150.12 164 10	125,21,259,2	242.11
129:14,18 130:10	five 24:12,16 37:7	158:13 164:10	135:21 258:2	242:11
131:8,21 135:11	82:20 115:9	209:2 223:16	framework 21:16	generally 75:5
137:4,8,11 141:12	267:20	239:4 252:6,7,12	30:1	116:2 141:18
141:20 142:5,19	fix 265:2	254:4	frankly 22:2 46:12	206:17 209:18
143:4 144:2,7	Flats 132:22	formal 41:4 51:12	240:4 244:5	244:3
145:1,5,16,21	flaw 265:1	74:7 108:5,7	fresh 41:9 49:10	generated 9:11
146:6,13 147:7,14	flow 57:16 188:20	120:16,17 123:1	Friday 256:17	90:9 226:6 231:8
148:16 149:18	242:21	127:10 129:13	257:18 258:22	242:16,17
152:4,9,15,17,20	flows 148:8	130:5 133:7	front 25:18 60:13	genesis 228:10
154:12,21 155:9	fly 36:5	134:12 150:21	101:7 123:4 125:7	Gerald 107:18
155:13,17,22	<b>fob</b> 271:7	179:9 187:12	143:2,7 166:1	germane 224:18
156:15,22 157:11	focus 11:17 223:10	191:12 212:1	169:2 175:8 182:7	getting 28:5 29:15
157:20 158:21	focused 9:22 223:6	222:17 250:22	188:18 233:15	31:20 34:20 43:5
159:18,22 160:17	226:7	252:1	236:18	43:5 59:11 68:4
161:4,14,21	<b>fodder</b> 193:9	formally 18:20	<b>fulfill</b> 90:10 189:18	73:9 79:1 80:12
164:21 165:19	220:17	116:7 121:20	<b>full</b> 37:17 56:11	106:11 117:17
166:9,13 167:1,5	<b>FOIA</b> 195:16	format 142:20	68:1 81:15 201:16	128:4 129:2
168:16 171:21	folders 66:2	205:19	204:18,19 219:13	136:13 140:15
172:7,12,20 173:5	folks 10:3 28:20	former 14:21 16:9	256:21 257:11	199:6 200:6
177:11 181:16	51:6 72:9 81:4,11	117:22 169:5,12	260:8 266:17	207:20 224:16
182:6,19,22	83:3 95:18 107:13	170:19 230:18	fully 58:13 128:17	233:16 239:16
183:21 184:17	113:11,18 115:14	234:10	232:13	245:21 255:18
185:1 187:10,20	151:11 159:7	forms 136:22	<b>function</b> 9:22 11:20	263:7 266:20
188:17 189:12	165:1 169:1	138:19 156:3	13:8 30:3 31:9	Gina 48:1 98:17
190:7,10 191:3	198:10 227:15	195:17 237:4	functional 96:3	109:20 110:2,11
192:1,6,12,17	229:4 239:11	<b>forth</b> 7:1,12,15	functions 11:20	110:14 111:1
193:1 194:15	246:19	10:11,12,21 38:10	29:20	251:2
195:4,12 196:1,17	<b>follow</b> 10:7 15:11	40:6 42:14 66:13	fundamental 169:8	Gina's 33:10 100:4
197:1,8 199:3	115:4 166:11,18	68:11 78:11	<b>funding</b> 93:10 94:5	100:16
201:10 216:22	174:21 175:6	126:20 148:14,15	99:20 102:22	give 54:4 65:22
217:3,9,12 218:3	184:9 232:19	149:17 257:13	<b>funny</b> 46:19	70:5 72:11 102:3
218:8,19 225:9	following 30:15	258:9 266:11	<b>further</b> 7:2 80:3	119:19 124:10
229:21 230:8	96:21	<b>forum</b> 138:10	93:1 149:19 173:3	148:5,6 151:2
233:8,14 234:3,9	<b>foot</b> 156:8 157:20	<b>forward</b> 52:18 71:6	173:15 175:18,19	180:13 186:4
235:1,9 237:3,10	footnote 156:19	75:18 111:1	184:15 185:4	220:18 246:9
237:18 239:3,22	force 251:14	159:20 163:19	271:20	given 22:5 28:7
241:14,16 242:3	<b>foresee</b> 198:16	178:16 262:3	G	42:5 171:2 181:17
244:12,21 245:9	266:9	270:3 271:11,13		182:3 222:6
248:8 249:1,5	forget 99:8	forwarded 75:3	G 3:1	233:12 234:21
250:15 251:11,19	<b>form</b> 61:21 64:9	163:15	gaffes 82:1	238:16 254:4
252:13,19 253:6	77:11 137:6,14	<b>found</b> 160:14 184:9	game 121:9 183:10	255:15
253:11,16,21	139:20,21,22	foundation 169:9	gap 217:14	gives 88:10 139:1
254:3,9,22 256:13	140:4,17 141:3,4	four 115:8 212:20	gaps 213:3	151:7 234:1
257:17 258:11,21	141:6 152:22	267:20	gather 83:11 94:8	258:20 260:10
259:4,6,13 264:5	153:1 155:6,8,18	<b>fourth</b> 5:7,9	202:10	<b>giving</b> 110:10
265:9 266:2,13	156:2,13,20,21	fraction 224:11	general 11:5 53:14	142:9 179:21
267:8	157:2,5,6 158:2,6	frame 65:7 120:20	70:8 71:20 195:12	231:2 244:4

11 11 104 10	04.5100.415	105 1 100 15 10	l	07.1
globally 104:18	84:7 100:4,15	187:1 190:17,19	Grady's 22:1	<b>guidance</b> 97:1
<b>go</b> 6:19 7:14 9:12	101:17 102:5	193:6 196:13	grammatical 89:18	109:1 151:2
14:7,10 18:11	103:21 105:4,5	203:9 205:5,18	granted 20:7	225:16
23:19,21 24:3	106:1 110:7 122:9	207:13 208:1,7	gratuitously 86:17	<b>guy</b> 162:8
26:3 30:11 33:18	139:11 146:15	209:1,2,3,3,6,7,7	<b>gray</b> 134:1	guys 77:7 112:12
35:16 40:11 45:17	176:9 182:20	209:8,10,10,12,14	<b>great</b> 3:17 58:15	146:10 158:8
60:8,10 61:13,20	191:12 199:11,13	210:2 215:17	72:11 210:10	216:20 228:18
63:16 64:3,9	199:13 204:9	218:14,15 222:2,3	247:5,16 263:13	229:5,17
66:12 68:2,14,19	209:22 212:18	223:4,5 224:14	264:1 265:3	
70:12 74:19 75:18	218:7,8 219:5,9	230:1,9 235:14	<b>Greg</b> 82:16 96:18	<u>H</u>
76:7,9,11 81:21	219:10,11 222:21	238:3,5 239:17	98:17 117:15	habit 131:4 198:15
82:10 92:22 98:2	223:8 232:2	240:12 242:9	grinding 130:9	210:22
100:1,16 104:3,9	242:14,21 248:2	245:15 251:14	<b>group</b> 1:8 3:5,8,21	half 93:14 113:13
106:6 107:16,19	going 7:14 10:2,4	256:22 257:3	4:6 9:6,11 11:8,16	halfway 80:15
111:12 119:16	10:19 13:5 16:15	263:15 264:11	18:6,7 28:14	hand 55:12 103:8
128:21,21 132:11	21:5 22:19 23:20	265:14,18,20	29:12 30:7 31:1	129:7 151:13,13
132:16 136:19	24:18 26:17 30:8	266:6 268:17	35:5 37:12,15	189:1,16 208:10
138:17 139:4	33:11 34:17 35:1	269:13 270:3	39:4 40:17,18	238:4 271:15
140:14 147:8	38:10,18,19 40:2	<b>good</b> 3:3 6:1 8:2	44:14 45:1 48:4	<b>handle</b> 37:8 84:15
149:5 153:14	40:7 45:7 50:10	59:9 89:3 103:5	49:7 56:1,17	151:4 244:14
154:15,18 156:5	52:1 56:3,4,6,14	111:6 115:6 122:5	67:14 73:4,6	266:4
158:7 159:19	56:16 57:6 59:6	122:19 131:7,16	113:6,20 160:21	handled 14:3
160:2 161:6,18	62:20,21 63:2	153:17,18 156:3	168:11 192:15	118:15 134:6
163:9 164:5 170:3	65:16 66:21 67:12	162:7 167:3 188:4	218:7,9,12,15	180:22 235:19
170:4 171:10,11	67:15 68:19 71:5	188:5 192:9	219:10 220:3,8	236:7 245:6 262:1
179:8 182:8 183:3	71:13,20 72:7	199:19 219:3	229:22 230:18	handles 145:8,12
189:2 193:2,13,15	76:3,9 77:16 78:7	226:2,3 229:6	231:20 239:17	<b>handling</b> 19:8 27:2
193:17,22 194:15	78:18 80:18,21	230:12 232:11	240:16 254:15	handoff 145:10
194:21 195:1	81:4 86:4,7 89:12	246:13 250:20	255:12 257:1,4	146:15
197:18 199:3	89:13 91:18 92:2	251:21 261:4	262:2 265:18	hands 99:19 129:2
201:2 208:1	93:3 96:14 101:10	265:22 270:12	groups 29:11 35:6	hands-on 37:9
213:10 215:12	102:15 103:10,18	272:3	42:21 54:10,16	handwritten
216:6,8 217:16,20	102:13 103:10,18	goodness 101:3	guarantee 190:4	201:22 208:17
218:17 221:21,22	113:12 114:9,10	gotten 42:12 178:4	guess 7:21 19:16	211:15 214:6
222:4,7 224:10,13	115:15 117:2,5,15	government 195:19	24:16 48:15 55:19	216:10
225:14 227:5	, ,	O	63:6 67:9 70:3,10	Hanford 43:20
	118:19,22 119:1	195:20 198:4,14	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	245:5
228:15 231:10,12	121:8,9 123:20	204:17,20,21	80:5 86:2 90:19	hang 6:10
231:14 233:17	124:8,12 125:6	205:2,5 208:2,20	96:14 99:21	Hans 42:13
234:2 235:15	130:11 132:2,7,14	209:20,22 266:11	105:15 111:17	happen 58:16 59:7
236:1 237:1,21	137:11 138:1,18	government's	112:10 113:5	60:3 62:5 63:22
243:4 244:21	140:12,14 142:21	207:12	114:4 136:12	
245:8 252:14	143:8,21 153:7	Grady 2:5 4:8	142:20 163:17	70:15 74:14 75:1
253:15 258:8	154:5 158:14,16	17:11 80:16	167:17 193:22	80:18 89:4 101:14
268:5	166:17 167:2,8,21	110:14 112:15	213:17 214:10	119:13 143:15
goes 43:18 51:3	168:21 175:12	216:8,14,20 220:4	216:2 242:10	179:1 208:7
53:2 66:8 68:15	179:14 180:18	236:14 246:6	243:21 251:4	happened 20:20
80:2,3,9 83:18,22	181:8 184:1,3	249:11 266:7	257:7	42:11 51:16

175:19 217:6	heartburn 89:22	housekaaning 36:2	<b>identify</b> 5:19 30:5	included 49:20
223:3 226:4	91:7	housekeeping 36:2 Howell 2:18 5:16	60:18 99:6 118:13	52:14 136:3
228:15	Hebron 1:14	11:5 20:12 24:18	125:10 178:1	234:21 243:2
happening 49:22	heels 103:9	43:7 44:13,17,20	181:20	254.21 243.2
212:21 265:21	held 173:18	49:12 51:18 52:1	identifying 31:11	includes 124:18
happens 60:6 71:10	help 7:8 208:6	91:17 92:1,18	31:11 175:17	
100:1 101:6 208:8	247:9	142:11 143:3,18	219:8	including 21:19,22 90:15 112:22
happy 86:4 138:13		144:3 186:6 189:4		131:14 176:13
hard 18:2 64:9	<b>helped</b> 7:17 122:2 <b>helpful</b> 14:12 36:9	195:14 198:12,20	identity 176:11,14 181:13 182:11	197:18 201:17
65:22 66:13 163:2	52:10 146:14	199:5 204:15	186:5	203:1 217:6
163:19 166:17			ill-advised 203:14	inclusion 218:9
244:5,7	187:15,22 188:19 246:22	205:8 206:20 209:17 211:22		231:22
harder 72:2,3			imagine 88:19	
harm 237:14	<b>helps</b> 31:10 65:21 157:19	213:17 214:5,9,17 214:20 215:19	immediately 171:3	incomplete 204:13 205:22
hashmark 162:5		214.20 213.19	<b>impact</b> 228:6 <b>impeded</b> 103:20	
	hey 71:4 109:16		_	incorporate 129:19 166:8 255:17
head 99:3 105:18 220:22 248:9	<b>HHS</b> 2:17,18 5:13 11:5 176:14	224:3,16,21 226:16 227:1,8	<b>implement</b> 11:21 32:17 46:21 86:5	incorporates 24:20
267:6	181:14	267:15 268:7	138:21	_
	HHS/CDC 122:8	HSS 117:16 148:5		independence 7:3,6 9:21
headquarter 39:8	Hi 5:3		implementation 29:18	
headquarters 30:12 33:9 57:22		<b>hub</b> 80:2 <b>hubs</b> 79:19		<b>independent</b> 78:1 99:4
	hiccup 86:19		implementing 27:12	
92:20 93:8,17	hierarchy 27:15 161:7	huge 211:2 HUMAN 1:1		independently 13:9 138:2 183:4
94:3,19 95:3,13			implication 177:9	
95:18 100:3,5,16	<b>higher</b> 27:9	hung 34:20	implications 52:20	indicate 12:17 18:3
100:17 101:12,12	highly 19:8	hyphen 264:4	99:2,14 128:16 169:18 178:15	21:21 57:12 59:16
103:13 117:7,14	hip 77:8 hired 214:22	hypothetically		72:22 127:9 143:7
117:17 120:1		215:12	imply 181:2	163:18 175:2 239:3
141:15 144:12,17	history 72:6 hoc 33:22 34:1	I	<b>importance</b> 72:12 89:11	
145:6,11,22 146:2 146:7,9,22 148:3	36:16 47:12,22	idea 58:15 59:9,18	important 32:9	indicated 118:16 indicates 172:17
′ ′	68:10	67:18 89:9 128:2	41:12 47:14 60:19	indicating 33:9
148:9,20 149:7 151:3 248:10		143:16 153:17,18	65:20 81:13 89:14	219:3
	<b>hold</b> 6:10,11 22:11 69:15,19 70:22	226:2 232:11	106:9 121:13	individual 30:4
headquarter's 97:22	100:17 109:22	238:19 247:5	130:13 153:5	35:12,15,17 46:9
· · ·	holding 202:9	250:20 261:5	156:10 202:20	133:14 176:12
health 1:1,5,6 3:6 113:20 117:21	holdings 116:20	268:13	211:14 224:22	177:4,8,21 178:22
168:10	home 197:19	identical 243:19	225:1 230:4	181:7,12 184:14
hear 3:14,16,18 6:3	259:18	248:11,13	270:16	184:14 194:6,22
19:17 20:8 21:4	hone 45:15	identification	improvement	200:13,17,20,22
		31:13 33:13	68:13	217:17 218:6
22:13 114:2,3 133:4 199:14	<b>hope</b> 21:4 258:22 267:9	identified 2:2 34:21	inadvertently	217:17 218:0
heard 17:1 33:4	hopefully 103:11	99:10 118:12	134:3	255:15
99:21 110:14	163:22 216:13	159:5,6 174:19	include 94:10	individuals 30:4
116:14 265:7	250:3	178:8 180:19	197:4 214:10	36:17 54:5 80:6
hearing 27:21	horses 70:11	183:19 232:14	236:20 238:3	95:20 117:21
211:20	hours 169:15	identifies 120:19	239:4 243:1	119:2 135:22
heart 168:17	housed 227:22	156:4	254:16	137:2 142:13
Heart 100.1/	110u3cu 221.22	120	∠J <del>1</del> .1U	131.4 144.13
	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>

	I	I	I	I
143:8 147:19	73:11 80:8 99:17	13:6 16:18 45:12	172:1,10 173:12	201:7 202:4 204:2
202:12 271:2	177:4 245:21	45:14 54:3 62:4	174:9,10,16,20	206:3 208:10
<b>infected</b> 207:14	246:7	86:22 104:5 117:8	179:11,21 180:3	212:7,10 219:22
influences 7:3	informing 135:1	127:3 158:22	182:14 183:1,4,5	230:16,17,19
<b>inform</b> 33:2 49:5,6	<b>initial</b> 3:6 48:21	249:6 250:21	183:14 184:13,15	234:20
49:7,8 79:13,14	73:21 97:20	intentionally	184:22 191:11	inter-agency 53:1
117:19 153:9	100:11 102:1	138:20	194:22 197:5	introduction
177:18,19	108:16 116:22	interact 123:4	200:14,22 201:3	150:15
information 11:22	117:11 119:18	263:8	201:17 204:10	introductions
13:14 14:2 27:3	120:15,22 127:7	interacted 161:15	213:12 215:14,15	82:17
30:5 31:6 35:10	129:16 131:11	interacting 37:18	216:8,14,19 217:6	introductory
41:9 42:20 43:5	134:15 135:4	98:15 100:22	217:8,9 232:14	117:19 228:9
43:14 47:18 49:10	160:1 162:21	interaction 47:7	234:22 235:5	inundated 31:20
56:22 58:6 68:11	214:10	51:3,5 78:8 79:7	236:6	81:9
68:17 72:20 73:10	<b>initially</b> 38:6 39:22	89:11 99:5 101:11	interviewed 68:21	invaluable 129:22
74:9 79:4,21 80:1	49:21 100:1	120:22 140:10	169:4 178:8,21	inventory 153:14
80:2,5 81:12,17	initiate 146:10	240:5	180:3	154:1,8
83:11 88:5 90:18	<b>input</b> 10:11 56:5	interactions 29:4	interviewee 165:6	investigation
91:19 93:12 94:8	193:11 217:4	37:9 103:20 104:6	175:11,21 176:18	184:10 270:17
94:13,15 95:6	218:22 231:21	123:16 131:12	193:17,19 194:4	investigations
97:3,20 110:9	255:16,18,22	140:22 141:2	195:3,8 196:6,19	223:11 226:7
115:19 116:1,8	257:12	176:8	197:18 199:15	investigatory
117:20 118:6,10	inputting 232:8	interagency 92:16	217:18,19 231:2	129:17 131:11
134:21 135:7,14	inquiry 132:16	interest 19:11	232:20 238:9	invitation 170:3
138:1 141:14	223:15	154:7,15	interviewees 233:3	<b>involve</b> 175:17
142:9 146:20	<b>insert</b> 258:6	interested 41:21	interviewer 196:8	involved 52:22
148:7 150:11	inserted 191:13	43:5 263:7	196:10 202:1,8	56:2 128:14
151:10,20 157:2	insertion 261:2	interesting 46:8	204:11 206:18	130:14 131:13
159:5,5,9 163:3,4	instance 71:19	<b>interface</b> 34:7 37:2	214:19	135:22 136:4
163:7 167:13	156:13 186:3	55:12	interviewers	185:14 188:13
169:6 170:18,21	instances 116:5	interject 49:13	211:17	193:20 210:5
170:22 172:2	181:19	142:11	interviewing	266:7
173:11,19 174:6	<b>institute</b> 1:4 246:19	intermediate	212:19	involvement
174:10,19 175:1,5	247:5	225:11	interviews 13:22	192:22
175:17 176:7	instruct 84:19	interpretation	19:14 72:19 73:10	involves 53:3
177:21 178:18	instructing 84:17	258:13	76:11 83:12 94:9	involving 185:17
179:13 180:18,22	88:6	interpreted 91:13	117:9 129:3 132:1	iota 266:22
181:7 182:3 183:2	instructs 84:1	interrupt 37:21	167:3,5,9,15	iron 182:1
183:16 184:21	insures 58:5	98:14 134:19	168:20 169:22	<b>ironic</b> 186:9
187:9 191:2 194:2	insuring 207:8	interruption 22:8	170:11,15 173:16	issue 17:5 18:5 26:2
201:16 205:3	int 187:14 194:18	interview 8:19,22	173:21 177:17,18	48:3,4 61:2 67:22
206:4 207:5 211:8	<b>intend</b> 232:13	11:15 12:13 14:3	177:21 179:6,16	81:20 86:3 88:18
230:21 236:12	intended 15:8	21:8 23:9 48:2	186:11,19,22	98:7 103:1 127:12
242:18,20 245:1	88:11 91:12	51:4 77:17 104:1	187:18 188:5,13	134:5 146:17
246:12	143:20 181:9	118:1 119:3	190:19 194:6	163:9 166:15
informed 30:8	intending 57:20	124:19 169:12,14	196:2,11 199:21	170:16 174:1
40:22 55:17 56:19	<b>intent</b> 9:14 12:16	169:21 171:13	200:11,17,20	176:10 177:6
-	<b>.</b>	•	•	•

	l i			1
186:18 189:6	145:4 156:7	3:17 4:3,9,12,21	260:4,10,14 261:8	128:22 140:9
190:21 202:9	160:10 166:3	5:3,6,14,22 6:2,15	262:5 263:20	145:5 146:4
203:19 225:20	168:14 176:8	8:8 11:4,12 14:7	266:16,22 267:3	154:13,22 157:4
226:10 227:14	180:16 189:9	14:15 15:5,11	267:11 268:1	176:22 177:6,9,12
231:2 237:4 249:9	239:21 256:10	16:8 17:18,21	269:6,10,14 270:2	179:8 180:9
249:13 251:14	258:20,20 260:19	18:13,22 19:2	270:19 271:1	188:22 191:2
256:7 264:8,13	261:5 262:7	20:14,19 22:11,15	272:3	199:7 200:2
265:19 268:3,18	267:12	24:22 25:3,10	<b>keep</b> 30:7 40:18	204:18 205:3,15
269:6,21,22 270:3	<b>John</b> 2:9 4:16 54:7	26:6,14,20 40:8	41:12 43:3 45:20	205:20 206:16
<b>issued</b> 9:1 24:1	86:2 103:22	48:19 52:5 53:9	49:9 55:16 70:16	224:20 244:6
30:15 147:6	139:18 149:3	53:17,21 54:19	71:21 73:5,11,15	260:2 265:22
issues 6:22 11:3	176:8 201:22	56:12 64:12 65:18	78:3 91:18 97:6	266:8
16:6 18:4 38:2	255:5 270:8	66:18 71:11,19	117:5 118:10	kinds 16:20 47:1
79:8,9 84:21	<b>Johnson</b> 2:13 3:16	72:1,4,13 78:17	130:15 131:4	89:11 107:14
95:16,21 116:3	5:4,4 22:14	83:16 84:6 85:8	164:8 175:3 184:2	201:1 204:19
132:15 133:3	<b>John's</b> 110:5	85:18 86:2,13	211:19 213:18	236:6
148:19 170:13	<b>join</b> 4:13 5:16	87:18 88:3 91:4	215:17 256:22	knew 56:2
175:15 178:6	114:6	92:7,13 93:6,13	266:20	<b>knit</b> 77:5
185:10,11 190:20	joined 11:5	93:19 96:11	<b>keeping</b> 36:5 41:4,8	know 8:12 10:22
223:9 245:22	<b>Joseph</b> 107:17	104:16 110:1,22	190:15 191:21	14:9 19:21 22:12
254:11 255:5	<b>Josie</b> 1:18 3:22	111:7,13,20 112:4	211:1 215:7 246:7	27:22 31:8 32:10
256:3	judgement 107:12	112:15 113:4,11	keeps 130:9,10	33:11 34:3,9
item 32:2,6 36:1	268:22,22	113:18 114:4,9,13	132:14	38:18 42:4 43:19
122:8 150:14	judgment 107:11	122:20 129:11,15	Kentucky 1:14	44:17 45:1 46:5,7
itemize 188:1	judicial 176:13	134:17 135:6	kept 80:7 222:22	47:19 48:18 51:8
items 154:14	185:10	136:18 137:5,9	234:11	54:22 57:6 60:4
iterate 149:4	<b>jumped</b> 64:14	138:6 139:1,5	key 23:12 52:21	62:1 63:1 69:7
	juncture 167:16	141:6 142:2 152:1	74:1 118:13,14,22	70:17 75:15 76:21
J		152:5,10,16,19	120:5,6 124:10,19	77:5 79:4 81:5
<b>J</b> 127:17	K	155:3,11,15,21	125:2,20 126:4,5	85:2 87:9 91:7
<b>January</b> 9:1 24:1	<b>Kath</b> 245:10	158:11 164:14	126:10 127:7	94:12 96:10 103:3
<b>Jean</b> 89:21	<b>Kathy</b> 2:12 5:1,3,8	166:3,12 167:21	131:14 151:8	108:10,11 110:12
jeopardy 127:4	46:13,20 47:8	168:2,9 176:6	182:15 208:18	110:20 111:8
<b>Jim</b> 10:13 39:15	48:1 83:3 100:22	180:14 183:9	209:20 271:7	114:2 120:12
43:22 55:13 63:1	124:5,16 125:19	185:2,9 186:2,20	<b>keying</b> 182:12	127:16 128:15
72:15	127:6 137:12	187:6 189:9	208:19	131:3 134:13
<b>job</b> 56:11 89:14	138:6 139:5,18	195:10 202:6,15	kick 120:4 264:12	136:21 138:19
101:2 228:19	148:16 156:11	204:17 206:2,7	<b>kind</b> 6:19 7:22,22	143:10 147:20
<b>Joe</b> 2:10 4:17 7:16	170:10 179:2,6	211:6 221:22	10:21 17:12,16	149:5 152:2 155:4
7:22 8:16 10:8	182:13 186:20	236:19 239:1,10	18:10 24:12 27:12	156:12,18 157:15
14:7 15:20 17:22	191:4 194:5 196:2	239:16 241:7,15	28:11 30:12 33:17	159:11 160:13,14
21:3 23:14 26:22	196:3,20 201:19	246:11 248:17	36:7,11,18 37:9	160:14,19,22
32:1 37:20 49:16	212:7,12 213:1,2	249:2,9 250:11	38:10 48:8 53:5	161:10 165:14
52:6 54:6 76:17	213:11 214:11	251:5,16 252:10	56:10 73:22 74:2	174:22 176:14
98:13 104:2,8	219:15 233:1	254:13 255:7,10	76:15 77:17 82:11	177:8 182:13
109:2,2 114:15	270:17	257:15,19 258:15	82:14 120:21	183:3,6 184:10
122:6 129:20	<b>KATZ</b> 1:21 2:4 3:3	250.10 250.2 5	121:2 126:8	186:9 187:11
	KA12 1.21 2.7 3.3	258:18 259:3,5	121.2 120.6	100.9 107.11
	<b>KATZ</b> 1.21 2.7 3.3	238:18 239:3,3	121.2 120.6	160.9 167.11

190.2 101.7 15 16	laws 24:21	202.2	152.2.2.162.12	looked 21.16 92.5
189:3 191:7,15,16		202:3	152:2,3 162:12	looked 21:16 82:5
192:10 204:4,5	lawyerly 209:18	levels 68:3	164:20 166:7	178:14 254:8
207:4,17 216:2	lay 85:4 143:13	Lewis 96:18 98:17	176:2 185:7	looking 10:6 21:17
220:7,10 224:14	166:18 173:7	117:16	188:22 197:14	38:19 61:6 62:20
225:4 226:10,13	laying 170:12	Lewises 82:16	204:6 208:6	75:20 98:9,10
227:5 229:7,9	lays 73:22 74:8	library 215:13	222:14 230:2	103:7 108:3
234:14 236:14	163:10 230:13	lickety 255:18	243:16 258:1,6	117:20 132:3,4
246:14 249:10	lead 43:19 46:9,20	life 228:5 247:11	269:5	144:10 146:21
252:17 260:18	47:2,5 110:10	lifeline 137:12	Livermore 16:10	153:10 184:6
261:11,14,18	132:4 152:7	light 205:4	living 19:20	201:15 212:6
264:10 265:2,20	leading 15:12	limited 60:8	Lloyd 2:17 5:13,13	227:2 242:5
266:6,21	leads 261:2	line 3:14,15 4:3,9	load 42:11	262:10 267:6
knowing 38:9	learned 120:22	4:21 5:11,18 6:4,5	loaded 221:2	268:17
110:4	leave 48:17 147:13	6:12 20:14,21	local 146:16 151:3	looks 31:2 238:6
knowledge 48:6	156:18 157:9	87:13 93:16 94:1	locally 163:19	246:13 249:5
210:10	158:17 171:3,4	109:12 168:5	located 226:1	loop 105:2
known 43:16 101:4	174:7 215:2	179:3	locating 95:5	loose 59:8 63:21,21
knows 8:1 48:1,2,3	237:21 268:10	linear 80:11	location 173:17	lose 67:8 85:21
81:2 119:21	leaves 83:21 155:17	lines 189:10 223:15	locations 95:11	losing 207:12
160:21 182:14	leaving 155:4 243:9	linkage 148:19	log 129:21 130:15	lost 111:21 226:19
<b>K25</b> 221:1,4	led 226:8	lion's 170:10	153:2 154:16	228:1
L	<b>left</b> 32:2 55:5 76:8	<b>list</b> 14:20 16:3,13	155:10,18 157:11	<b>lot</b> 9:18 19:3 24:15
	114:16 151:12	17:11 21:13 22:2	191:21 208:17	30:16 31:8,17
labeled 134:4	155:19 168:15,17	43:7,8 44:4,14	logbooks 130:21	48:9,9,10 63:16
234:14	171:4 245:4	45:1 91:20 116:19	logged 225:13	72:15 74:20
laboratory 161:9	248:14,15 251:20	149:8 241:17,18	logging 153:4	135:18 160:9,15
lacking 68:8	269:5	241:21	logistically 136:8	160:19 161:11
laid 30:1 83:14	legal 185:11 186:6	<b>listed</b> 9:16 15:16	logistics 58:22	170:11 173:17
232:9 233:17	204:8 210:20	25:7,8 27:19	136:13 166:15	174:3 182:8,17
language 20:11	legally 181:4	125:11 143:2	long 49:10 64:13	188:4 194:19
67:5 91:18 94:17	211:20	162:5 253:3	101:17 132:18	196:4 200:7
142:14 204:16	length 28:7	<b>listen</b> 69:13 269:1	143:20 154:18	203:13,13 212:21
205:15 237:11	<b>lengthy</b> 130:17	<b>listening</b> 6:9 38:12	215:4,6 252:3	212:21 224:7
251:14 254:16	<b>lest</b> 69:9	<b>listing</b> 15:21 46:1	259:2	234:19 235:12
large 76:1 133:8	<b>letter</b> 73:21 120:18	lists 55:2 99:10	longer 241:20	236:1 243:11
223:3 226:7	120:19 121:2	<b>litigation</b> 185:19,20	look 43:4 46:20	261:12 266:5
264:16	149:6	210:5	67:3 99:11 125:17	267:19
Larry 2:8 4:13	let's 3:13,18 19:8	<b>little</b> 6:19 10:4,21	138:17 139:4	lots 19:12 42:20,20
54:14 66:21 266:6	20:19 60:7 69:1	13:15 19:19 20:8	140:15 143:19	223:18
Larry's 163:17	70:4 99:6 113:10	28:3,4 34:10,16	145:4 147:21	love 86:14
late 150:21 177:14	114:11 158:7	47:11 48:17 53:14	151:16 158:6,7	<b>low</b> 177:7 180:15
lately 178:12	215:11 229:14	56:5 58:22 68:7	164:15 166:4	<b>lower</b> 27:17
228:19	257:15 264:8	68:14 69:2 70:4	192:7 193:3	lucky 208:12
launch 70:10,20	level 27:9 90:11	70:13 93:1 103:18	207:17 229:4	lunch 166:22
launcher 219:19	104:2 121:5	114:9 125:16	236:17 237:1	167:20,22 168:11
law 135:19	146:16,19 147:18	134:1 139:22	257:10 264:13	3.5
Lawrence 16:10	148:7 197:22	143:11 149:19	266:12	M
	-	-	-	-

		I	I	
<b>M</b> 1:18,21	manager 45:6	100:21 106:9,15	204:3,17 205:2	126:19 127:16,21
machinations 53:6	managers 117:22	106:19 107:10	206:2 213:17	144:4,9 145:3,13
<b>macro</b> 104:4	manner 95:8 97:3	108:10 129:20	214:16,20 219:10	145:19 146:4,11
mail 70:5 77:9	<b>March</b> 8:19 11:15	130:12 131:16	220:7 226:14	158:1 159:16,19
271:14	69:5	139:18 140:17,21	234:7 241:10	160:1,18 161:10
mails 68:11	mark 2:6 4:11,12	141:19 147:2,9	245:14 250:12	161:19 165:14,22
main 55:22 265:19	257:20 262:21	148:8 149:12	252:3 269:4	184:12,19 185:13
maintain 7:6 41:20	marked 64:22	156:7,16 157:9,13	meaning 66:6	185:20 190:13
214:2 215:6,21	163:3 243:9	158:3,9 185:6,19	98:20 100:12	196:13 218:11
maintained 193:12	marking 187:3	186:1,9 188:10	101:7 118:21	224:19 242:1
197:12 202:5	markings 159:9	191:6 192:2,8,13	217:4 245:17	244:19,22 245:7
205:13 210:18	Marriot 1:13	192:18 195:21	means 32:14 70:7	245:16 248:12
212:2 213:15	mass 130:7	197:13 198:19	77:22 116:19	249:3 252:5,11,16
214:12,16,16,18	master 232:5	202:14,19 203:11	134:10 151:14	253:1,4,13,19
226:18	matches 249:8	203:20 207:10	172:14 243:15	254:1,7 256:10
<b>major</b> 16:11 52:6	material 197:21	208:5,15 211:13	255:17 259:17	257:14 259:11,15
56:15 65:12 73:8	198:1 199:15	216:17 218:2	meat 28:5	265:1 268:4
73:20 76:4,5	242:20 261:3	221:3,9,12,17	mechanics 59:11	members 3:19 4:4
78:19 100:11,12	266:4	222:2,14,20 224:6	62:2	5:18 7:19 18:7
148:22 193:21	materially 238:14	224:12 225:7	meet 38:22 138:18	38:18 39:17 42:3
227:1 230:9 256:3	240:17	226:3 227:10	150:17 160:5	50:7 54:11,17
264:8	materials 66:22	228:7 246:16	215:13	56:20 67:14 80:10
Makhijani 2:11	215:3	247:3,19 248:7	meeting 3:7 18:21	94:14 136:2
4:19,19 15:20	matter 20:15 21:1	260:22 261:10,21	57:22 86:10 110:4	142:16 150:13
45:5,13 124:15	78:10 79:7 108:12	262:17 263:1,5,12	255:6,14 256:4,6	227:16
171:19 172:4,11	113:16 168:7	263:18 264:1,7,15	256:7,9 262:15,19	membership 55:3
172:19 173:4	186:2 216:7	268:8 269:8,12	263:2,22 264:10	memo 43:20
179:2,5 182:5,18	233:22 239:14	270:1,5,11,14,21	264:19 265:3	memorandum 24:2
182:20 183:7	271:14 272:6	271:3	268:12,12	memorialize 43:3
188:3,21 189:7	matters 80:8 224:2	mean 16:22 24:14	meetings 142:16	162:13
190:5,8 196:7	maturation 209:12	25:1,11 26:13,13	230:7 255:12	memorialized
199:7 203:7,12,21	maturely 130:2	35:8 37:21 44:14	meets 65:7	250:17
205:14 206:6,12	<b>Mauro</b> 2:9 4:16,16	48:19 51:4 52:3	melded 219:21	memorializing
210:19 212:3	16:15,18 19:5	55:9 56:13 65:13	<b>Melius</b> 10:13,19	115:9 225:21
214:4,15,18	23:14,17 26:22	70:11,22 71:14	11:3 38:2,13	mention 37:4 50:14
219:15 220:2,18	27:14,20 32:1,8	78:17 85:20 86:16	55:13 56:8 265:8	137:18 157:1
221:14	32:13,21 33:20	87:20 89:6 91:5	<b>Melius's</b> 72:15	mentioned 32:21
<b>making</b> 16:19 17:5	34:1 35:7,20	96:6 99:21 102:21	member 1:18,19	63:5 67:4 138:20
31:19 46:15 53:3	41:11 42:8,17	103:2 104:3	3:22,22 4:1,2 8:7	145:14
56:15 63:22 71:14	43:8 44:6,9,12,16	107:10,16 123:13	8:11 17:7 24:3,5	mentioning 146:12
78:9 116:16	44:19 46:7 47:11	128:1 135:8	35:12 38:8,16	mess 228:3
128:13 147:3	48:13 60:6,12,16	143:18 147:14	43:15 44:3,7,10	met 66:9
176:17,22 188:22	60:21 61:3,10	155:4 157:11	45:3 54:18 56:9	metadata 210:7
196:18 203:18	62:13,19 69:1	161:7 166:6 173:9	63:1 64:1 65:14	methods 162:22
212:17 217:4	71:8,17 72:5	176:19,19 183:14	91:15 97:6 104:8	micro 104:4
223:21	76:17 79:18 88:22	187:6 198:22	111:18 112:6,10	middle 121:21,22
managed 228:2	92:6 98:13 99:1	199:20 200:1	122:6,12 124:3	224:7

milester e 70.0	156.2 221.10		211.12 212.19	50.17.61.16.62.17
milestone 79:8	156:3 221:19	narrative 164:19	211:12 213:18	59:17 61:16 62:17
130:8 143:6	222:13 229:10,11	164:22 166:11	214:12,13 225:6	63:5 64:7,10,16
milestones 51:15	234:20	nasty 215:17	225:14,18 229:19	64:18 65:4 66:22
51:20 52:6,17	Mounds 63:18	national 1:4 21:13	233:10 242:1	67:11,20 68:20
56:15 72:22 73:9	move 19:5,17 20:5	184:18	250:6 259:22	71:13 74:6,11,12
73:15,20 76:13	70:11,16,18	native 82:21	260:1 271:5	77:2,15 81:10
78:19 143:7,15,16	142:12 207:12	nature 117:20	needed 97:20	82:8 97:11,16
milieu 137:7	209:5 246:21	232:4	144:15,21 145:20	98:4 99:17 100:4
mind 19:16 130:21	moves 223:12	navigate 83:6	needing 87:9	102:9 103:12
213:9	261:15	near 50:14 66:18	needs 33:2 40:22	104:10,21 105:19
minor 97:15	moving 22:18	necessarily 13:12	41:20 50:4,12	109:13 111:19
107:21 136:17	36:20 52:18 58:11	35:6,9 59:22	54:21 63:20 65:7	112:11 118:2,4,17
241:15	71:5 96:5 133:6	73:12 86:9 98:4	96:1 99:19 109:18	119:6,15,20 121:1
minute 103:6	135:11 136:14	115:16 122:17	126:19 202:16,17	121:14 122:20
113:12 194:14	141:2 150:13	158:2 206:10	205:13 207:4	123:14 125:21
239:9,10 264:22	271:11,13	necessary 16:13	210:18 218:17	126:21 132:12
minutiae 51:15	MPS 199:19	30:11 49:19 50:10	245:6,19 255:22	138:10 141:4
mirandizing	multiple 195:17	50:11 85:22 94:6	262:4 271:21	142:6 151:18
188:10	multi-month 240:5	97:21 103:13	negotiated 141:16	157:17 162:20
mirror 154:4	mute 6:5,7	116:2,5 136:7,21	neither 144:18	163:15,20 164:3
159:15 235:15	myriad 46:21	137:9 138:7,9	nervous 235:10	166:17 171:11
mirrored 74:6		139:6,7 140:2	<b>Neton</b> 44:1	184:13 196:15
82:12		141:11,11 142:1	Nevada 221:19	198:9 201:2
mirroring 94:2	N 3:1	147:12 156:13,19	never 26:11 43:14	213:21 218:9,11
mirrors 93:16	naive 65:9	157:7 159:9,10	101:22 102:21	218:15 220:3,12
mirror-imaged 7:9	name 108:1 110:10	162:19 171:6	140:4,21 204:10	220:13,15 221:15
missed 213:4 257:9	110:10 149:17	250:14	205:4 209:22	223:13 240:6
262:8 270:1	174:5,8 176:20	necessitating 133:8	210:14	241:19 245:4
mistake 249:4	180:13 181:8	need 6:8 8:12 20:3	nevertheless 141:3	254:4 262:3,13
MO 30:2	182:12 183:19	21:21 24:5 38:17	new 28:12 132:15	NIOSH's 7:10 23:1
mode 55:9	186:13 191:19	42:15 46:11,22	132:15 170:13	65:7 196:16
model 99:2	254:1	52:13 53:4 59:20	208:20 227:12	NNSA 148:5,5
modification 13.20	named 14:21	60:13 62:1,4	239:2 261:3 271:4	173:15
<b>modus</b> 30:2	names 108:5,7	71:15 80:7 87:11	nice 258:14,15	NNSA/DOE 160:5
moment 233:6	109:7 110:8 136:1	88:16 94:11	nine 201:13	160:16
<b>Monday</b> 260:5	140:5 147:20	100:19 104:2	NIOSH 2:5,6,8,16	NNSA/DOE/cont
268:5	160:15 178:17	106:7 109:1,5	4:7,10 6:14,20	161:5
month 161:16	187:2 197:16	121:5 123:1 127:8	8:22 12:3,5,12	non 133:19
220:11 221:18	201:4 250:22	128:12 131:18	22:22 23:8,12	non-cleared 134:9
months 201:14	252:1 269:1	132:9 133:11	24:9 28:22 29:1	non-duplicative
moon 100:18	Nancy 2:13,16 5:4	134:20 136:4	29:14 30:9,17	128:4
morning 3:3 6:1	5:8 6:13,15 20:12	138:3,4,5,18	31:16 34:8,12	nope 107:16
259:18	105:6 228:19	139:5 140:5	37:1 39:7 41:14	normally 177:3
morphed 227:6	245:15 246:17	142:10 157:16	42:3,6 43:17 46:6	199:10 200:12
<b>Mound</b> 58:19 59:4	247:10 251:20	166:16 175:10	46:6 47:8 49:8	note 5:17 11:4,6
61:18 74:5 77:7	253:6 268:21	197:17 200:13	50:17 51:6 52:22	40:9 49:15 50:20
78:12 121:17	Nancy's 268:22	202:18 208:5	54:8,9,12 58:13	110:2 134:18

156.0 157.01	147.01 150 7	0048 4220 4	100.20 110 17	
156:8 157:21	147:21 150:7	OCAS-4 239:4	109:20 110:17	opened 176:2
190:2 194:12,17	155:1 163:21	occasion 146:18	111:13 113:2,9	opening 165:17
247:20 248:13	177:17 181:11	226:9 243:7	114:13 124:17	operandi 30:2
noted 17:22	188:18 197:17	OCCUPATION	126:3 127:15	operate 36:15
notes 39:3 77:17	212:15 221:14	1:4	131:8 135:11	136:8
90:9 97:1 128:3	226:7 246:20,21	occur 181:20	139:1 145:16	operates 52:11
171:1,5,7,15	247:10,13,15,20	occurred 33:3	152:4,17,18	operating 31:12
172:3,6,8 173:1,1	248:1,5 254:4	<b>offer</b> 176:18,20	158:11,21 159:22	57:4 251:9
173:3 174:17,20	<b>numbers</b> 109:10	177:7 180:12,17	166:12 167:4	opportunity 184:15
182:9 187:16	140:7 211:2	188:20 209:18	168:16 172:11	<b>opposed</b> 202:11
191:17 192:3,4,10	numerable 209:16	256:20	179:5 181:16	251:8
192:13,20 193:3,8		offered 66:21	184:19 185:14	<b>option</b> 63:10
193:14,15 195:1	$\frac{0}{0.2175711612}$	256:15	195:4 201:21	173:12 190:11
196:15,16 197:5	O 3:1 75:7 116:13	<b>office</b> 33:10 39:11	208:5 214:4 218:3	245:17
197:11,15,16	119:8 157:8 164:5	150:18 151:3	241:14 245:9	<b>options</b> 28:16 63:7
198:5,13 200:7	<b>object</b> 91:11	160:6,8,10,12	248:8 249:5 251:1	63:8 163:10 190:6
201:17,22 206:9	objectives 12:11	183:20 241:3	252:1 254:20	<b>ORAU</b> 2:5,6,8 4:7
206:10 208:17	obligation 34:17	248:9	261:21 267:2,5	4:10 58:14 59:4,4
212:6,17 213:2,5	211:19	<b>officer</b> 49:17 96:9	269:4,12 270:1,5	61:16 63:18 64:8
214:6,7,9 215:14	obligations 34:7	96:16,22 150:18	270:11 271:16	64:11 74:6,12
215:15,18,22	58:1	159:8 160:16	<b>old</b> 221:15	121:15 164:4
216:2,10 217:6,7	obstacles 70:15	161:12 203:4	<b>older</b> 45:3	171:11
219:8,16,19,20	obtained 76:4	official 1:22 2:4	once 33:1 34:2,14	<b>order</b> 150:10
231:8,16 232:15	obviates 178:3	40:10,22 41:2	43:9 64:10 76:7	organic 80:22
234:22 235:5	<b>obviously</b> 23:1 28:7	49:18 130:19	76:17 77:2,10,18	126:8
236:6 245:2	52:2 73:13 75:2	222:5 242:18	82:17 83:9 93:19	organizational
261:11	77:21 78:3 96:1	officially 43:13	99:3 100:10 105:5	211:10
<b>notice</b> 27:1 41:13	118:16 123:15	187:3	159:5 185:21	organizing 77:12
119:20 248:12	164:8 180:6 202:8	off-putting 189:1	210:21 216:17,18	original 9:13 12:3
notifications 173:6	OCAS 12:12 15:1	off-site 14:2	218:17 220:11	14:5 17:13 22:22
<b>notify</b> 109:4 117:6	20:8 25:4,6,7,11	<b>oh</b> 20:14 101:3	231:20 261:5	61:18 153:16
171:12	26:8 27:1 64:12	147:7 155:15	ones 16:6 75:5	164:7 193:14,15
notifying 50:16	64:15 71:15 78:21	158:6 161:14	125:11 146:9	198:13 243:2
67:10 78:19	79:3 83:19 84:18	200:1 247:19	198:13 202:7	originally 63:14
<b>noting</b> 85:16	93:15,16,21 96:11	271:3	241:21	originals 61:19
<b>notion</b> 26:16	104:22 105:11	okay 4:4 5:6,9,15	ongoing 34:13	164:9
103:17 118:3	136:20,22 137:3	11:13 14:14 15:4	49:21 67:20 193:8	<b>OSTI</b> 116:19
128:19 132:7	138:7,11,13 142:3	17:4 22:15,15,17	online 79:5	Ostrow 2:14 5:5,5
153:3,17 181:22	142:7 152:5,6,8	23:18 24:22 26:12	<b>on-going</b> 118:2	<b>outcome</b> 130:19
nowadays 179:13	153:1 158:14	26:15 27:14,17	on-site 14:1 51:7	outline 88:13
200:12	164:15 165:3,8	32:11 33:20 34:2	57:7 67:16 72:21	115:15 187:15
nuclear 242:19	166:4,18 189:10	35:20 55:4 61:3	78:9 81:3 100:2	241:2
number 13:17	240:3 241:12	67:2 70:16 71:3	133:13 190:18	outlined 15:14
39:10 61:22 64:2	244:16 245:1	72:13 82:4 87:21	open 24:16 60:4	90:14 232:7
66:8 75:22 76:1	249:18,19 251:9	88:2 91:6 92:19	117:13 174:4	outmoded 21:15
98:9 109:10	252:6,12 262:13	92:19 93:22 96:5	180:1 187:14	238:18
116:19 142:22	OCAS's 110:12	101:13 106:1,7	192:15	outset 176:21
		,		
	ı	ı	ı	1

outside 185:3 186:8	261:17 270:4	192:22 266:6	personally 91:16	<b>pinned</b> 251:3
210:22	parallels 135:17	<b>parting</b> 157:16	personnel 133:16	pipeline 75:16
outstripped 101:18	parenthetical 37:4	partners 83:17	163:6	102:13 246:8,14
overall 174:8	157:21	parts 167:11,16	<b>person's</b> 191:19	<b>place</b> 32:18 87:22
overarching 12:4	part 23:11,11 29:19	pass 105:12 265:10	206:9	89:10 90:14
overlapping 103:10	45:21 57:10 66:16	266:14	perspective 50:11	133:10 147:12
oversee 98:17	75:10 78:15,17	<b>passed</b> 207:9	50:12 52:9 261:1	167:3 173:22
oversight 156:17	87:1,4 88:9 95:22	passing 23:19	pertinent 31:6	178:14 208:21
221:4	116:15 117:16	<b>paste</b> 249:3	191:17 241:5	227:20 230:6
overview 131:9	120:5,6 123:4,8	<b>path</b> 28:16 147:4	perturbation	<b>placed</b> 222:9
150:15,19	125:14 126:16,17	270:4	100:12	places 20:6 142:22
ownership 198:6	127:8 131:10	<b>Paul</b> 29:9	peter 225:17	151:16 204:3
owns 148:3	144:8 147:15	PA-cleared 107:2	petition 43:11	208:13
	148:3 169:8 171:9	<b>PDF</b> 66:2	116:1 223:2	<b>plan</b> 1:8 3:4,10
P	175:9 189:7 191:4	<b>people</b> 31:11 38:21	petitioners 230:18	13:16 23:22 26:4
<b>P</b> 1:14,17 3:1	192:4 193:10	42:12 43:13,17	phase 126:15 127:7	27:10 51:13 58:4
<b>PA</b> 105:3,13 106:3	197:3 198:6 200:4	44:9,12 45:20	201:18	74:4,7,10 76:20
106:14,21 107:13	200:9,14 201:8	68:21 82:19	<b>Phil</b> 4:5 114:5	76:22 113:21
107:14 108:6	203:3 204:14	105:18 134:4,7,9	<b>phone</b> 3:14,15 4:3	118:21 121:7,9
110:8 251:22	205:7 213:14	136:8 138:4 140:6	7:13 10:3 22:12	122:9,15 123:11
266:19 267:1	214:13 218:11	148:13 149:7	28:20 39:14 70:5	123:21 124:9
268:13,14	231:2 237:19	151:12,14 156:10	105:7 113:11,18	126:17,18 127:11
package 172:21	259:20	157:10,15 160:12	114:1 115:15	128:13,20 129:3,5
page 8:3 54:8 57:11	PARTICIPANTS	160:13 166:2	131:2,3 167:11	134:16 135:14
63:17,17,17	2:2	167:11 171:5	168:3 169:1	168:13 178:13
191:10 233:20	participate 56:21	178:16 186:12	239:11 242:14	180:19 183:10
240:22 252:7	57:7 169:21	188:4,16 203:13	271:22 272:4	236:22 237:2
pages 28:8	participating 2:22	212:17 213:21	phones 6:5 60:7	239:19 243:2
paid 53:7	137:2 142:13	215:13 242:13	physically 60:17	planning 72:19
<b>Pantex</b> 148:4,15	participation	271:5	physicists 117:22	plans 98:8 119:22
149:5,10 151:5	169:22 185:5	people's 197:15	pick 59:13 168:14	121:16 125:6
208:14	particular 23:4	percent 76:1	227:4	168:12
paper 10:6 115:5	28:1 29:21 30:18	196:10 205:4	picked 66:16	play 28:2 88:9
149:13 154:2	35:3,13,19 41:6	perform 94:9	217:15	120:8 143:11
223:17 226:20	41:10 43:11 45:6	perform 94.9 performance 85:8	picking 55:4	190:11
228:8 229:8	47:5,17 53:10	85:11	168:19	playing 31:9
papers 220:9,16	71:1 113:7 115:10	period 153:21	picture 120:9	playing 31.9 plays 82:7 139:22
221:18,20 222:4	122:7 136:22	191:8	piece 14:10,10	please 6:5,10
223:22 224:4,10			59:15 66:16	159:21
226:5,12	151:4 156:12	permeated 226:22		
paperwork 147:12	178:2 192:20	<b>person</b> 43:9 44:1	121:13,14 134:15	plenty 207:18
paragraph 15:13	206:22 225:20 226:10 247:7	46:15 47:4 49:7	149:13 154:2	262:16
50:13 93:15 144:8	271:1	73:6 131:1 160:19	167:14 178:18	plug 34:15
228:9 230:9,10		176:15 183:5,6,6	238:20 258:1	<b>plugging</b> 34:12
244:20	particularly 168:22	185:14,21 191:18	piecemeal 36:11	plus 100:11
paragraphs 167:9	parties 41:21 46:2	191:22 192:3	pieces 134:14	<b>POC</b> 29:17,19
parallel 65:9 84:20	48:9 119:19 122:3	215:8 229:2 251:2	135:12 153:8	30:10,10,12,17,22
paranci 03.7 04.20	123:7 131:13	personal 203:15	158:19	35:2,4 36:21 37:1
			l	l

20.7.4.40.45.4.5	1.011.01.1.0	171710	2.004	10514121021
39:7 64:19 65:4,5	160:4 162:6 166:3	154:5 162:10	268:4	106:14 121:8,21
67:8,9 72:14 73:4	195:2 196:17,20	169:5 200:19	press 94:12	122:9 137:16
76:15 84:8,10	196:20 197:6,15	230:14 233:4	presumably 29:10	147:2 165:8
87:14 93:11 97:22	203:15 205:9,12	249:19,20	164:4 216:13	213:22 214:5
103:12,14 104:11	207:10 241:15	pre 174:2	<b>presume</b> 66:15	243:9
104:21 117:7,8,16	250:4 260:15	predecisional	presumed 173:10	priorities 79:12
118:4 119:6,16,20	263:8 264:16,20	195:16 210:13	presuming 212:11	Privacy 173:7
122:16,20 138:10	265:17	225:18	presumption 28:1	178:4,6 201:5
144:11 151:13	pointed 21:14	predecisonal	pretty 14:4 22:7	222:1,3 228:22
152:12 162:17,19	117:9	205:21	24:19 35:21 37:13	229:12,12 249:14
169:20 230:16	points 29:1 43:9	preface 114:19	57:14 61:8 68:16	249:15
245:16	44:5 46:17	116:21 242:10,14	73:7 74:6 78:16	<b>privy</b> 19:12
POCs 29:9,10,14	policy 26:4 27:2,5,7	prefer 48:20	82:3 83:14 94:2	probably 10:4
32:7 36:2 37:16	27:8,13 141:10	prelude 157:7	96:18 102:15	21:16 23:20 25:15
48:10,12 116:10	144:1 236:5,9,16	prep 130:2	121:13,14 122:5	54:2 58:18 75:14
POC's 83:20	237:13,20 238:2	preparation 123:9	122:16 123:18	75:21 80:17 84:16
point 5:12 13:9,15	238:10 254:6	126:18	127:22 133:5,19	86:20 87:21 88:10
13:16 22:4 32:5	poor 207:18	preparatory	134:11 135:19	88:20 91:19 114:7
32:14 34:8 38:3,4	portable 62:8	118:20 119:4	136:16 151:17	125:6 129:11,18
38:14,15 39:5,6	portion 168:20	120:17 125:15,16	153:22 155:2	135:17 137:6
39:12 40:9,14	posed 110:11	126:11 127:8	159:14 169:5,19	141:9 142:14
41:1,5,14,22	position 240:10	128:2 131:10	195:7 230:20	165:20 167:21
43:10 45:7,9,16	possibility 55:7	134:15 140:11	233:19 240:11,16	186:3 188:18
45:17 46:3,6 47:2	139:14 175:20	prepare 120:18	243:3 265:22	190:15 202:16
47:6,15 48:9 49:1	179:22 181:15	212:5 222:17	prevent 50:17	213:18 219:3
49:2,5,9,13 50:1,1	possible 12:22	223:11	PREVENTION	226:2 230:12
54:12 55:6,10	86:15 97:2 128:5	prepared 198:2	1:2	237:14 244:9
57:11 66:17 67:11	141:13 142:19	223:20 256:4	<b>previous</b> 67:19	261:4 267:17
70:10 71:13 77:2	179:19 181:20	262:15	210:13	268:10
78:21 79:3 82:9	190:14 210:12	preparing 135:13	previously 106:5	<b>problem</b> 72:7
82:18 83:8,20	possibly 3:7	227:13	pre-approve	79:15,16 86:6
86:21 88:19 90:3	posted 107:9	prerequisite 123:2	148:20	132:21 138:12
90:21 91:10 92:20	potential 134:2	prescribed 30:19	pre-approved	148:21 165:15
93:9,18 94:5	173:9,10,11	present 1:15 97:2	148:2	177:1 178:3 183:1
97:11,16,19 99:5	174:18 175:13	presented 181:9,10	pre-arranged	187:4 205:11
99:13,16,17	230:20	presents 134:5	150:3	227:4 235:3 237:6
100:11 101:1,4	potentially 170:22	presiding 1:14	pre-arrangements	252:20,21
102:9 111:13	172:3	<b>Presley</b> 1:19 4:1,1	147:15	problems 52:8 85:3
115:17 118:12	practical 69:2	8:7 24:3,5 63:1	pre-decisional	101:4 112:12
122:19 123:10,16	practice 13:4 22:5	64:1 122:6,12	194:12	266:20
123:17,18 124:2	37:6 57:14 67:22	124:3 126:19	pre-delegated	PROC 12:12,21
129:4 130:3,4,18	81:14 82:15 88:14	127:16,21 159:16	55:14	26:17 27:11 58:11
131:14,15,17,19	91:3 92:22 95:9	159:19 160:1,18	primarily 10:1	136:15 153:16
134:22 135:9	96:7 100:1 115:2	161:10,19 165:14	principle 200:8	242:5,22
144:16,17,22	121:15 122:14	165:22 185:13,20	262:17 264:17	procedural 17:19
145:4 146:15	125:1 131:7	253:4,13,19	print 211:3 234:2	proceduralized
148:9,13 149:22	132:18 142:6	257:14 259:11,15	<b>prior</b> 90:7 95:1	115:7 258:12
			l	l

procedurally 176:5	34:2,9,10,22	170:6 187:12	properly 58:6	proviso 237:3
procedure 9:4,5,22	41:19 48:15,18	218:22 230:11	210:9,12	PRs 27:7
10:12,17 11:19	51:5 52:18 70:9	232:10 233:15,17	property 202:11	PR-011 25:11
12:3,4,10 13:11	73:9 74:15 75:9	235:22 237:11	proposal 254:19	pt 181:17
14:17 15:1,2,14	76:6,18 77:7,8,12	PROC-011 12:14	proposed 21:7	public 5:18 10:18
21:11,22 22:20	79:1 80:11,22	13:16 16:4 23:8	94:18 110:13	40:4 42:4 180:8
23:1,10 25:18	83:1,5 84:11 90:5	26:5,8,10 104:22	239:7	185:22 187:5
26:8 28:5,10	94:21 95:7 96:20	109:22 149:20	proposing 147:20	198:8 200:9,10
29:18 32:18 43:1	99:16 115:18	178:14 237:9	proposition 123:19	201:8 204:14
48:22 62:18 66:19	116:16 117:1	240:3,16	proposition 123.17 propriety 78:2	233:7,8 234:5
74:17 84:3 86:5	119:15 120:1,14	prodded 229:21	protect 179:12	235:7
88:1 110:13	121:18 122:1,22	produce 231:16	182:11	<b>published</b> 192:14
115:11,13 131:6	124:9 126:9,16	produced 221:18	protectable 195:16	publishing 200:18
138:7,14 139:10	124.9 120.9,10	231:7	protected 58:7	<b>pull</b> 60:11
158:16,19 164:16	130:1,3,8,15	<b>product</b> 80:19	protection 186:5	pulled 33:1 34:14
166:4,19 167:12	130:1,3,8,13	198:2 206:19	prototyped 74:5	141:9
168:18 174:2	135:18,19 140:11	207:20 209:3,15	protracted 191:8	pulling 140:12
175:10 187:21	140:15 141:3	222:20,21 255:14	207:21	punctuation 10:15
189:2,8 200:5	147:10 150:8	256:8	<b>provide</b> 18:5 30:20	purchases 65:12
237:22 238:2	165:2 170:4 171:9	products 19:15	31:5 33:18 36:10	purpose 11:14,18
239:20 240:19	171:10,17 188:15	191:14 204:22	54:3 66:19 83:10	12:9 14:9,15
241:22 242:16,22	189:19 190:6	205:9 222:5	84:9 90:19 98:4	15:12 21:6 22:19
243:18 245:5	191:8,13 192:5	223:19	103:12 104:11	50:16 65:20 74:1
249:18 251:8	197:15 198:7	<b>profile</b> 43:11 45:6	112:20 113:5	118:13 119:18
253:3 258:8	201:13 206:17	47:5 80:16 81:6	138:10 151:14	241:1
265:13	207:19 209:6	115:21 120:11	163:7 177:4 193:7	purposes 105:12
procedures 3:9	222:17 223:5,8,16	177:8,18 180:15	200:21 245:20	120:10
6:21 7:5,10,18	225:12 226:5,6	191:10 221:1,5	263:19	pushing 263:6,11
8:20,22 9:16	231:6,12,15,19	223:7	<b>provided</b> 33:14,19	put 8:2 38:6 39:22
11:16 12:12,14,15	232:7,19 233:3,17	profiles 45:18	58:7 79:20,22	41:15 42:18 43:13
19:21 20:11 21:9	236:2 243:4,19	169:3 222:18	121:8 125:2,3	52:12 54:15 58:14
23:9 25:7 27:13	249:7 255:8	241:6	178:1 181:8	66:1,2,3,10 68:16
28:22 32:15,16	265:15 268:14,14	program 24:1	231:22 232:20	76:21 103:17
36:14 39:2 48:21	271:6	39:13 98:18 100:5	238:9 254:5	105:10 108:1
53:11,18 62:11	processes 19:18	130:22 186:7,8	provides 90:7	109:10 115:5
64:13 86:14 87:19	48:16 115:4	192:10,21,22	156:5 163:2	116:17 127:4,6
89:6 114:18,19,22	PROC-010 8:21	210:11	230:10	130:7 141:19
115:16,17 116:21	9:3,13,17 13:10	programs 204:18	providing 64:20	143:3,4 157:20
166:18 169:2	13:18 14:5 23:8	progress 68:18	66:22 91:19 93:10	160:21 161:22
171:15 172:20	28:21 29:14 30:15	73:8	94:4 97:1 141:14	164:5,9 166:6
195:11 239:7	30:19 36:13 37:1	progressed 73:2	165:5 228:20	172:8 186:14
240:3,7 242:9	58:9 59:15 82:12	<b>project</b> 39:11 131:2	266:3	204:15 212:7
247:13 248:22	88:20 93:2 94:3	198:9 200:10	provision 45:15	225:5 233:6
269:16,18,19	94:16 96:7 97:14	203:3,4	67:3 83:15 152:6	235:17 251:1
270:7	114:22 115:20	<b>promise</b> 176:22	180:11	252:17 255:7
proceed 104:6	135:19 137:15	promises 25:19	provisions 99:20	258:13,14,15
<b>process</b> 7:8 10:10	138:20 154:4	<b>proper</b> 108:1	237:20 242:11	260:1 269:3
		_		
		•	•	•

<b>puts</b> 108:8 253:7	R	126:16 130:1,22	record 10:20 21:1	217:1 247:7
putting 7:17 43:20	$\frac{\mathbf{R}}{\mathbf{R}3:1}$	136:3 140:21	40:4 41:12,20	270:18
157:8 225:22	rad 87:10	145:11 148:18,21	113:16 130:22	reflect 92:21
246:20	radiation 1:5 3:5	152:6 174:5,9	154:9,22 168:7	102:15 121:2
<b>p.m</b> 168:7,8 239:14	113:19 116:4	176:4,17,21 177:6	172:2 173:1	129:12 240:5
239:15 272:6	168:10	191:15 194:8	185:22 198:8,8,9	reflected 53:10
	raise 17:18 57:7,17	209:22 219:16	200:9,10,10 201:3	98:12 123:9
Q	129:6 133:22	223:22,22 224:17	201:9 203:17	127:10 133:11
<b>QA</b> 153:22 259:7	raised 21:10 38:2	225:18 237:12	204:2,12,14	202:17 249:10,17
260:2	249:13	240:9 243:5	205:12 212:12	249:18 251:7
qualification 15:3	raising 63:12	247:11 249:6	213:6,7,7,16	259:8
qualified 180:7	rapid 220:10	255:19 270:20	214:14 239:14	reflecting 85:12
qualifier 175:1	rare 243:6	real-time 45:22	265:14 272:6	96:20 116:18
quality 207:20	raw 76:18 194:17	reason 29:22 37:5	recorded 172:9	128:8
question 17:19	197:11 200:7	38:6 41:17 45:22	193:18	reflects 55:10
26:1 27:1 33:21	213:7 219:18,19	137:19 138:15	recording 203:18	82:14 96:7
37:11,14 43:15	219:18,19	154:3 156:1	records 3:10 39:8	regard 154:4
46:5 47:3 48:2	reached 130:6	178:11 203:12	60:11,11 82:22	246:18
56:9 60:4 61:12	read 89:16,19 90:2	207:7 233:10,16	83:10,13 94:8	regarding 43:6
64:7,15 69:8	93:3,7 97:12	244:7 263:6	118:9 124:22	97:19 271:14
101:6 110:11	115:16 122:8	265:12	133:17 151:12,14	regardless 171:22
111:19,20 132:1	188:9 189:13,15	reasonable 70:6	167:13 200:22	<b>regime</b> 233:19
136:19 137:12	261:6,8 262:16	reasonably 13:5	204:20,20 211:11	234:13
144:6 152:22	reading 39:3	reasons 61:22	211:16 213:11,15	regiment 48:14
171:18 174:3	ready 70:12 117:17	recall 141:7 222:16	213:19 244:3	regularly 70:15
191:7 197:13,14	130:4 232:22	235:1 243:3	recreate 228:15	regulation 24:20
198:4 202:6 212:4	268:5	recap 21:5 72:13	redact 251:1	regulations 23:13
216:6 244:2 251:5	real 14:22 18:3	receipt 66:6	redacted 109:10	reiterate 125:10
252:5	31:19 69:2,20	receive 131:3 165:3	110:8 268:15	reiterated 136:17
questions 9:8,9	72:6 98:15,16	170:22 172:1	redactions 171:6	relate 185:11
57:8,17 82:5	110:7 206:21	198:18 231:9	249:16	<b>related</b> 19:13 41:16
95:21 133:2	225:15 270:15	received 11:2	<b>reduce</b> 75:22	94:9 116:3 221:19
134:13,18 136:11	reality 48:14	119:10	refer 236:21	223:15,21 224:2
149:1 167:6	realize 69:18	receiving 207:13	240:18	relates 118:2
193:13 207:3	224:14 251:18	229:2 271:9	reference 8:6 24:6	relationship 46:16
213:4 230:4	realized 178:15	recipient 68:3	24:9,21 25:3,16	relative 91:22
256:21	223:4	recommendation	26:4 27:16 61:14	100:4 145:6
quick 21:5 239:9	really 25:13,14	247:1	137:13 236:10	230:11 240:12
quickly 86:15	33:17 34:22 36:4	recommendations	244:15,17	relatively 153:21
97:11 232:7	36:20 37:15 38:8	256:5	references 23:7	<b>release</b> 90:7 95:1
270:15	39:16,17 40:11	recommended	25:10 28:2 232:12	187:5 195:17
quite 27:4 28:11	45:15 46:3 50:5	14:17 15:9,14,17	241:20,21 242:6,8	213:22
46:12 210:21	50:15,18 72:11,11	17:8 21:12	252:12	released 195:2
<b>Q'd</b> 146:10	78:7 79:18 81:9	recommending	referencing 23:7	229:13
<b>q's</b> 271:4	84:2,14,16 85:4	15:22	24:10 237:13	relevance 193:4
<b>Q-cleared</b> 133:16	85:22 89:5,15	reconstruction	238:10	relevant 22:4 49:6
134:7	93:19 121:3	23:12 115:22	referring 51:12	50:21 61:14 132:5
	-	-		

	ı	1	ı	1
153:15 207:11	requested 11:2	Residual 17:1	Restrictive 106:17	257:8 262:6 267:7
235:16,17	56:4 76:4 90:19	resolution 79:16	result 228:6	reviewed 27:11
<b>relief</b> 19:19 20:7,10	102:16 103:7	resolving 95:16	results 127:9	61:5 76:20 88:21
100:19	106:3 119:9	resource 79:8,9	128:15	90:18 106:3
relieved 63:18	122:18 126:1,14	104:3,7 128:16	resumed 21:2	109:18 204:22
reluctant 51:2	200:8 210:9,12	133:2 153:12	113:17 168:8	206:14 228:22
rely 83:3	211:2	resources 52:21	239:15	229:1,13,14 243:9
remain 31:22	requesting 57:21	65:15 79:10 97:20	retain 203:6 237:10	247:18 248:5
remedy 238:12	68:11 115:18	98:5,11,22 100:15	<b>retired</b> 234:11	reviewer 155:5
remember 42:15	122:17 126:13	100:19 101:18	retouching 252:14	reviewers 171:2
99:8 184:7 188:12	134:21 135:7	103:14 104:4,4	retrieval 6:21 7:11	reviewing 120:9
<b>remind</b> 6:3,4	198:16,17	120:3 121:5	117:8 133:17	reviews 22:6 90:7,8
remove 25:20	requests 14:18	128:11 132:20	151:10	90:10 95:1 105:11
187:2	15:15 31:21 56:15	163:12	retrieve 125:12	133:13 169:4
removing 182:11	57:18 58:3 67:17	respecting 82:15	135:14 154:6	171:20 191:10
repeat 13:17	67:20 71:14 74:20	respective 116:10	retrieved 124:22	220:5,9,9 223:2
115:20	93:12 98:11,18	respects 13:12	returned 164:8	257:9
repeated 248:18	118:2 119:5 120:2	respond 98:5 121:5	review 3:11,11 9:4	revision 252:15
repeating 143:5	124:14 127:5	121:11 128:10	12:15 19:15 23:10	reword 21:21
replaced 209:8	134:12 169:14	269:15	25:12 32:11 43:11	rewording 108:4
replete 205:2	204:8 256:5	responding 120:2	43:12 47:6 58:1	re-connect 70:18
<b>report</b> 17:2 43:6	require 103:14	responds 251:6	61:15 78:1 80:16	re-establish 168:4
76:12 120:11	140:13 156:21	response 110:19	81:6 84:13 94:8	re-invent 9:14
199:4 223:2 232:3	177:3 190:14	121:6 200:6	94:19 97:2 105:1	re-investigation
reporters 204:1	210:9	254:14 258:1	105:3,13,20	271:4
reporting 38:16	<b>required</b> 7:7 14:19	269:15	106:14 108:6	re-typed 231:18
reports 69:5 90:9	15:10,17,18 17:5	responses 10:13	110:8 115:21	<b>rid</b> 97:7
207:17 220:6,7	17:10 21:22 83:7	responsibilities	132:17 136:15	<b>right</b> 3:17 8:11,16
221:15 246:5	91:2 103:16	12:18 28:6,8 29:7	150:13 152:21	16:7 19:4 30:3
repository 207:8	137:16 233:18	32:2 35:16 36:21	153:6,7,9,20	32:20,20 33:6
246:4	241:2	38:22 53:12 90:14	154:11 159:4,8	34:1 35:7 39:16
representative	requirement 49:3	104:14,15 111:16	162:22 169:7	42:18 43:22 44:1
35:18 90:13	52:14 143:14	114:17 143:1,13	171:16,17 172:5	44:6,16 47:21
request 10:14 53:2	170:1	145:2	172:14 174:17	51:18 52:4 53:12
68:3,4 75:10	requirements 39:1	responsibility	177:18,22 179:15	53:20 54:1,1,15
97:21 102:15	39:9 66:6,7 83:13	34:18 39:22 46:12	179:17 187:1,1	55:1 60:11,15,20
103:8,9 104:1	87:4,15 90:10,22	56:10 76:16 83:9	189:5,22 193:6	62:12 65:18 69:9
116:7,9,17 117:12	136:9,10 148:1	84:15 100:6	194:21 195:1	71:18 83:18 89:7
117:18 118:6,17	165:3 173:8 215:7	179:12	213:20 221:1,5	92:10,13 93:15
118:22 120:16,17	236:5,11	responsible 29:17	222:1,3,6 223:6,7	94:16 96:4 97:10
120:18,21 123:1	requires 15:1 30:16	38:8 40:11 64:20	227:19,20 233:7	103:1 108:20
124:18 128:4,9	research 58:8 75:6	67:10 93:9 94:4	233:11,13 240:13	109:11 112:3,9
129:13 130:5	77:21 116:12	97:17 104:22	241:2,4 243:14,20	114:14 122:15
131:18 133:7,8	159:1 222:10	116:12 243:12	247:21,21 248:9	124:17 125:19
137:14 162:21	reside 75:2 195:21	responsive 199:2	248:10,15,21	129:14 137:4,8
198:17 199:2,10	196:1,5,7,11	rest 81:13 154:14	249:14,15 250:2	144:2 145:13
257:12	residency 191:10	154:16 257:5	250:11 251:22	146:11 147:1

140.16 17 151.12	1- 12:10:20:40:16	G 222-12	C5.C 10.11.CC.12	62.11.65.9.66.20
148:16,17 151:13	role 12:19,20 49:16	San 233:12	65:6,10,11 66:12	63:11 65:8 66:20
152:9 154:5,13	53:13,14,22 55:11	Santa 42:1	67:1 163:11	67:10 72:16 81:10
155:6,7 156:15	82:7,13 83:20	sat 7:9	164:11,17 165:2,9	86:15 91:2 92:12
158:10 161:19	84:2 85:12 87:21	satisfaction 250:5	165:21 166:20	97:18 100:18
164:2 165:17	88:5,8,13,20	satisfactory 143:17	scans 163:4	110:6,16 114:15
172:19 173:4	95:19 102:8 111:7	sausage 128:13	scenario 180:15,20	116:11 117:2,5,6
176:17 183:21	139:22 145:6,17	207:15 212:13	scenarios 176:11	117:13 134:22
187:7 189:11	roles 53:18 67:6	Savannah 99:9	178:19 181:12,21	135:8 150:17
190:7 192:12,17	85:15 96:2 104:13	148:14	183:18 185:3	159:14 162:18
196:3,20,22 197:6	104:15 111:16	save 86:6	schedule 46:21	163:3,13 165:1
198:5 204:5	114:17 143:1,12	saving 76:5	65:6	177:7 185:12
207:15 211:16	145:1,15	saw 238:8 257:3	scheduling 51:14	196:16 202:4,10
214:9,17 215:19	<b>Rolfes</b> 2:6 4:11,11	<b>saying</b> 17:8 18:14	<b>Schmo</b> 104:2	202:13 211:7,9
219:9 220:20	roll 3:18 6:2 102:7	18:20 27:18 39:16	<b>Schofield</b> 4:5 114:5	214:22 222:7
224:7 225:15	227:14	41:13 51:22 62:3	scientific 116:3	223:10,12 225:3
233:4,18 235:1,8	rolling 224:3	62:10 63:20 71:4	<b>scope</b> 14:6 22:10,20	228:18 241:11
239:22 242:3	<b>room</b> 1:13 3:19 4:7	84:14 88:13 92:14	22:22 23:4,6	255:16,18,22
249:18,19 253:21	4:14,15 5:12,16	92:16 98:1 108:1	63:13 120:20	265:17 271:8
258:17 259:6,10	20:10 48:17	109:14 125:5	122:2 123:7	SC&A's 52:8
260:21 262:1	112:11	138:9 140:5	126:13 128:9	148:13 196:15
263:4,12 264:14	rough 214:6	158:12 182:7	131:18 132:8,19	<b>se</b> 53:19
265:4 266:13	round 139:16	187:21 211:7,9,11	230:15 240:21	search 125:12
268:16 269:2,21	rounds 132:17	218:16 220:4	241:4,8,19	126:5 127:10
rightfully 21:14	routine 103:20	235:2 236:3	screen 171:5	<b>searched</b> 124:20,20
rigorous 240:5	245:13	247:13 248:19	screened 61:6	124:21
ring 148:17	routinely 58:10	251:21 253:8	165:7 184:4	searches 74:2
<b>rip</b> 69:16	59:2 175:12	266:7 270:19	232:21	118:14,15 119:1
<b>River</b> 99:9 148:15	245:21	says 14:1 16:22	screening 66:7	125:20 127:7
road 223:12 224:13	<b>Roy</b> 2:17 5:13,14	32:10 56:8 64:18	231:11	131:14 151:8,15
<b>Robert</b> 1:19 4:1	<b>rule</b> 111:19	65:3 83:19 84:7	scribbles 198:14	<b>SEC</b> 32:11 43:11
<b>Robertson-Demers</b>	ruled 165:10	84:10 100:17	199:8	115:22 120:12
2:12 5:1,2 83:4	rules 69:19 86:16	102:18 104:10	<b>script</b> 179:8,9	169:3 177:19
124:7,17 125:22	86:17 210:6	109:20 126:21	scripts 179:9	220:4,9 223:1,5,8
127:14 137:21	run 70:14 88:16	138:7,9,11 144:10	SC&A 2:9,10,11,12	223:16,21 224:1,4
138:16 139:3	107:18 122:15	160:3 201:22	2:13,14 4:15,16	225:12,20 241:6
140:3,19 179:4	126:4	243:6	4:18,20,22 5:2,4,5	251:10
186:17,21 191:5	running 76:18 77:3	scan 63:19 163:6	5:9 7:8 11:7 18:6	second 15:13 20:20
194:13,16 195:6	77:10 86:16	163:16	31:1,2 32:15 34:7	22:12 81:3 89:17
196:4,9,22 197:7	139:17 210:3	scanned 59:5,12	35:14 36:16 37:8	128:8 136:19
197:10 201:20		61:17,17 64:10	37:16 38:5,15,21	163:5 167:14
208:3,9 217:22	S	66:1 75:8,18	39:5,6,10,12	233:13 247:21
218:4,13 220:21	<b>S</b> 3:1	166:16	40:14 41:1,10,20	265:9
233:5,9,21 234:7	safe 202:2	scanner 165:16	41:22 42:7 43:9	seconded 117:10
234:18 235:8	safety 1:4 23:3	scanning 59:1,21	44:9,12,15 45:9	secret 246:12
245:3	sake 8:16,17	61:18 62:8,9 63:2	45:16 48:5 49:4	SECs 45:18
<b>Robin</b> 149:16	sampling 153:6,7	63:8,12 64:14,17	49:11 50:7 54:8	section 12:9 21:6,6
Rocky 132:22	153:20	64:19,21 65:1,3,4	54:12,22 55:16	22:9,18,19 53:11
10011, 102.22		02,21 03.1,3,4	32,22 33.10	22.7,10,17 33.11
	ı	<u> </u>	ı	I

0.5.1.1.1.1.5	1.5 4 5 5 5 5 5			50 00 54 10 55 c
85:14 114:16	seen 45:4 66:7 69:3	253:18 267:14	shows 54:10 191:9	73:22 74:12 75:6
144:14 159:17	103:10 148:14	269:10 270:8	shrink 179:21	78:5 79:10 80:15
194:6,9 237:19	155:22 187:19	271:14	side 42:13 69:2	80:16 81:5,6 82:9
240:21 248:8,16	188:12 190:22	sentence 92:6	98:20 100:6 150:1	82:18,18,22 83:6
sections 13:18	192:11 250:4	102:18 111:22	204:8 229:17	83:20,20 84:10
144:18 149:2	sees 205:4 265:1	112:6	251:9	87:3,14,16 88:19
<b>secure</b> 19:8,9 95:8	select 125:4	sentences 108:4	<b>sigmas</b> 144:17	90:3,11,21 93:11
95:10 173:13,16	self 77:12	separate 25:13	145:7,22 146:19	94:5 96:9,16,17
173:22	send 63:11 66:13	53:16 225:9	148:1 189:6	96:19,21 97:11,16
<b>security</b> 1:8 3:4,10	73:21 78:14 105:3	separately 235:6	signal 94:12	97:19,20 98:3
13:16 16:6 21:13	106:17,20 107:1,7	sequence 228:10	signature 188:8,22	100:7,7,13 101:9
23:22 26:3 27:10	110:18 129:10	<b>series</b> 223:15	Signatures 190:14	101:10,12 102:4
48:3 57:22 82:19	172:4,5,17,18,21	serve 40:14 189:19	<b>signed</b> 108:18	103:12,14 104:6
83:14 95:14,16	179:14,16 189:21	service 33:12	<b>signets</b> 140:13,15	104:21 115:5,21
113:21 132:11	206:14 211:4	SERVICES 1:1	<b>silence</b> 208:22	116:12 117:7,9,18
140:6 141:10	212:12 213:2	serving 50:3	272:1	118:7,8,21 119:2
147:21 149:10	216:15 243:11,14	set 95:10 102:4	silent 269:20,21	120:1,8,11,15
150:1 151:3 159:9	257:5,11 259:8	115:12 149:9	similar 30:21 95:2	121:4,7,8,10,16
162:8 168:12,13	260:20 261:17	159:11 167:9	151:17	122:10 123:16,20
171:5 178:13	266:1,16 267:9	199:18 222:12	<b>simple</b> 166:10	126:14 128:21,22
184:18 215:7	sending 43:22	230:16,17 255:21	190:13 206:7	129:17 131:22
224:18 236:4,16	68:10 106:13	sets 174:19	simpler 117:5	132:20 133:20
236:22 237:2,13	200:5 214:11	setting 129:1	simplified 237:12	134:16 135:10,12
237:20 238:10	228:20 244:6,7	sever 215:9	simplifying 236:3	135:13,16,21
239:19 243:2	246:6 260:8,16	shaking 105:18	simply 10:6 22:21	136:4,6,9,13
246:3 251:2 254:6	sends 213:11	<b>share</b> 61:10,11	33:10 36:2 64:15	137:17,22 138:10
see 13:2 16:13 17:3	260:19	170:10 179:7	81:14 107:1 108:4	138:18 140:22
17:4,17 26:12,13	sense 28:15 37:19	222:11	120:6 165:9	143:8 144:5,11,11
33:15 37:12 49:14	41:8 54:4 68:10	<b>shared</b> 58:13 61:15	213:15 251:8	145:7,8,11 146:5
49:16 58:16 81:11	70:14 82:20 102:3	78:5	single 211:13	146:21 147:5,15
86:14 87:4 88:16	134:2 135:20	sharing 78:6	226:20	147:17,18 148:9
89:5 91:15 101:11	194:20 240:9	<b>sheet</b> 153:2 155:10	sir 269:9	148:12,20 149:6
101:15,16 108:2,9	sensitive 94:15	155:18 157:12	sit 7:4 18:18 69:9	149:21 150:1,2,12
112:12,13 128:9	98:6 134:7,8	189:1 252:14,20	70:19 170:2	150:14,18,19
128:15 129:21	151:1,2 170:21	252:22 253:7,12	191:17	151:4,11,13 159:1
131:17 137:2	171:1 174:18	<b>shelf</b> 160:21	site 13:1 29:1,18,22	159:7,7 160:5,9
138:17 141:20	175:5 183:2 184:1	she'll 213:5	30:10 31:8,17,20	161:2,6 162:16,18
154:14,19 155:15	234:16	<b>shift</b> 45:17 62:6	32:7,12 35:3,10	163:5,6 165:16
160:3 164:17	sensitivities 150:19	159:12,13	35:13,19 36:6	167:7,13 169:3,20
178:18 186:1	151:8	<b>shop</b> 100:4,16	39:4,6,8 41:6	170:9,20 171:3,4
191:7 194:7 201:6	sensitivity 170:17	<b>short</b> 153:21	43:11 45:6,18	171:17,22 172:9
201:6 202:20	sensitized 251:16	shorthand 117:3	46:11 47:5,17	172:10,11,12,13
203:5 213:22	sent 7:19 10:10	shortly 4:6	51:4,13 57:19,21	172:13 173:13,15
238:13 250:6	54:20 71:1 109:3	<b>show</b> 10:18 147:4	58:8 60:7,9,17	173:19 177:18
256:2,3 257:16	110:2 210:14	149:12 209:15	62:14,15 63:9	179:15 190:22
<b>seeing</b> 97:12	212:9 213:9 229:7	229:11 249:12	64:3,19 65:4,5,15	191:9 193:2 207:3
200:17 227:19	231:17 249:14	<b>shown</b> 206:10	67:15 72:17,18	208:10,13 215:13
			,	
	•	•		

215:16 216:6,9,15	slightly 249:11	<b>sounded</b> 211:22	stage 125:17	step 18:11,12 48:21
221:1,5,19 222:9	slowly 130:2	sounds 142:5 147:3	126:11 127:9	57:6 66:5 72:5
222:18 223:7	snall 228:16	187:22 211:18	128:2,7,8 209:11	79:5 124:9 134:20
230:15,15,17,19	smaller 163:22	249:19	stance 195:7	135:8 147:9
231:1 239:7 241:6	snapshot 131:15,17	source 174:22	stance 193.7 stand 222:21	148:22 158:12
243:7,10,13,13	131:19	175:16,17 178:2	standing 225:10,13	173:15,21 188:14
248:9	Social 140:6 147:21	183:3 184:11,13	standing 223.10,13 standpoint 9:20	188:15 193:20
sites 9:18 11:21	solvable 164:12	197:21 242:20	31:18 37:16 48:5	206:16 208:2
14:1,19,20 15:2	somebody 3:14	sources 178:2	53:2 78:1 79:17	200:16 208:2
15:10,15,18,18,22	20:17 50:9 81:22	233:7	105:16 153:6,12	252:10
16:11,20 17:6,11	89:21 124:3	space 64:20	240:8	steps 8:1 13:13,17
19:8,9 21:12,13	143:22 233:22	speak 6:6 18:15	stands 54:3 176:16	13:22 14:16 15:13
21:18,19 22:1,6	246:2	99:4 209:1	start 3:19 9:3 10:16	30:19 136:15
29:10,11,14 30:3	someplace 224:13		64:6 74:18 104:8	165:11 209:16
33:11 35:5 46:13	somewhat 68:9	speaking 78:21 215:12	119:22 134:19	229:18 241:2
48:7,10 56:3 59:7	249:20	speaks 236:12	263:8	Steve 2:14 5:5,8
63:6 67:16 68:1	soon 201:17 207:13	special 223:11	started 7:12 16:21	stick 205:16,18
68:15 75:21 82:17	256:10 266:1	245:6	26:9 34:2 70:17	sticky 177:6
95:11 96:15 98:9	SOP 172:22	specific 17:12	114:22 121:15	stipulate 13:7
98:19 115:2	sorry 23:15 32:1	72:22 84:5 96:19	218:6	143:16 236:6
121:18 133:1,16	43:7 98:13 136:18	112:21 116:21	starting 113:13,21	stipulates 243:16
133:18 134:7,8	191:6 196:10	153:8 157:1	114:18 121:16	supulates 243.10 stop 6:9 99:17
138:2 139:20	221:3 242:9 271:3	174:10 178:18		166:22
140:1,9 141:17	sort 9:10 14:16	188:19 250:1	123:10,16 124:2 222:16 239:19	
140.1,9 141.17	18:20 29:13 31:13	256:14		stopped 244:6 storage 95:12
			starts 48:22 146:7 146:8	storage 95:12 store 95:7
168:19 170:16	35:18 36:4,10,16	specifically 109:3		
172:15 174:15	37:3 46:19 48:5	speed 229:6	state 11:18 206:3	story 230:2,3
187:3 197:11	48:10,11,16,22	<b>spend</b> 19:3 36:3	statement 87:2	straightforward
site-specific 83:12 sits 194:5 199:19	51:12,15 55:9,11	62:1 98:21	93:1 96:3 179:19	78:16 136:16
228:10	74:18 83:5,22	spent 28:6	180:5 189:13,15	strategy 187:8
	85:4 121:9 128:13	sphere 102:5	189:18 206:8	strawman 21:7
sitting 165:17 197:11,19 198:1,6	129:1,16 131:9 138:8 142:17	split 255:19	243:6 <b>STATES</b> 1:1	23:20 28:14,17 59:18
246:15		<b>spoke</b> 130:16		
situation 46:8	151:12 153:22	<b>sponsoring</b> 148:3 <b>spot</b> 199:12 264:3	stating 204:16 station 31:13 33:12	stream 164:19 streamline 65:22
71:11 97:18 139:9	161:22 164:19	<b>SRDB</b> 58:14 59:10	status 36:18 43:6	
152:11 164:17	166:6 174:11		103:3 112:16	street 119:13,16
	175:1 176:13	66:8 102:20		strictly 85:14 266:12 267:3
181:2 200:2 situations 176:13	177:2 180:14,16	112:16 216:16,21	113:3 119:7,8	strike 85:17
	180:20 187:13	217:3 218:18,22	121:1 136:1	
185:8	189:17 190:3,3 193:1,8 194:11	219:6,11,12 220:7 220:12 225:14	245:21 246:4,12	striking 85:18 strive 182:11
six 24:13,16 37:7	202:11 204:16		status-quo 30:13 status-wise 30:8	
96:14 sizing 101:7 102:1		231:21 232:1,8,15 232:22 238:8		stroke 209:21 struck 22:3 32:9
slash 17:8 82:6	225:16 251:9 256:21 270:3	SRS 222:13	statutory 11:20 stay 132:18 164:18	structure 65:9
162:1	sorting 228:4	staff 39:8 50:8	172:13 184:1	stuff 75:15 149:6
= '	sorting 228:4 sound 20:13 50:15	71:16 161:11	172:13 184:1	154:17 190:15
slaughtered 255:11	142:6 235:3	214:21		
<b>slight</b> 7:11 211:3	142.0 233.3	∠14,∠1	stays 205:6	201:4 212:18,18
	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>

	I			
217:15 219:5	summarize 174:8	171:8 188:1,16	264:4 266:10	13:8 23:2,3,5 29:2
250:5,6 270:10	177:19,20	189:12 191:1	268:2 272:1	29:20 37:5 40:1
sub 214:21	summarized	193:16,22 202:16	taken 47:4 65:15	49:22 50:8 55:15
subject 193:6	180:18 182:17	208:6 212:17	67:12 86:21 89:12	82:6 87:16 90:16
242:15 254:22	summarizes 194:5	213:13 214:13	91:10 123:8	90:20 92:3 93:11
255:2	243:3	225:10 226:13	149:10 229:18	108:19 109:7,17
subjective 51:21	summary 76:16	233:13 239:10	230:6 254:12	110:7 116:6 117:4
submission 174:16	156:5 174:12	245:17 249:7	261:11 270:15	207:2 240:18
244:2	187:1 191:11	254:13 255:9	takes 77:11 98:11	244:16 245:11
<b>submit</b> 18:20 58:3	194:22 197:22	257:8 259:7,10	138:8 147:10	248:15,20 250:19
76:9 97:1 120:18	199:22 218:17	260:1 261:22	193:14 259:2	252:4
130:4 145:7	219:14,21 232:2,5	262:5 267:22	261:5	<b>Ted</b> 2:4 6:13 20:12
186:22 211:8	<b>summer</b> 58:20	269:4 271:9,17	talk 13:14 60:5,5	48:16 50:3 70:8
215:14 232:13	sun 100:18	surprises 81:21	69:1 70:3 74:8	76:14 100:17
233:12,18 234:2	supplied 211:2	survey 123:10	144:14 161:8,11	101:21,22 138:6
235:4,5 243:20	<b>support</b> 9:2,6 12:6	Susana 42:1	180:7 183:5	182:7 199:5 225:4
submittal 133:7	12:8,19,20 13:8	<b>switch</b> 207:2	191:18 210:18	259:9 261:11,20
134:12,16 135:14	23:3,5 29:3,20	switched 42:12	227:10 251:2	266:4,10,10
submitted 93:20	37:5 49:22 50:8	system 20:2 29:1	270:21	267:16,18
94:18 116:9	55:15 82:6 90:17	30:21 57:3 181:10	talked 111:10	telephone 2:22
182:16 200:14	90:20 92:4 93:11	227:13,21 247:15	133:8 144:4 176:3	tell 107:22 108:14
216:12 235:7	93:12 94:11 95:15	systematic 201:12	249:11 256:12	109:13,15 126:5
submitting 104:22	98:10 100:13	systematically	268:13	139:21 160:9
121:15 122:22	115:21 116:7	197:2	talking 8:18 43:2	179:10 183:7,10
165:8 166:19	117:4 162:7 169:3	systemic 57:5	44:3 45:8 51:20	185:6,13 191:19
substance 18:4	207:2 223:20	189:16 217:4	117:1 127:12	194:18 207:22
substantial 14:8	225:19 240:19	systems 118:9	155:9,11,20	226:12 228:13
<b>sudden</b> 87:7 223:14	244:16 245:11	<b>S30B</b> 218:10	171:20 183:1	229:11,11,13,13
sufficient 13:3	supportable 181:4		190:1 191:21	230:2,2,9,10
suggest 18:2 25:11	supporting 35:14	T	192:2,19 198:21	263:15
84:2 138:11	171:16	table 68:17 261:4	201:21 217:8	telling 187:7
158:14 167:2	supposed 69:19	<b>tacked</b> 91:16	221:12 222:9	tells 84:8 96:18
236:19 241:7	227:9	tailored 83:2	233:20 234:4	ten 225:22
suggested 112:21	sure 16:12 17:20	<b>take</b> 64:6 67:3	244:1 246:17	tends 83:4
180:16	20:9 24:19 26:5	80:14 82:2 94:19	247:4 268:9,11	tenets 12:11
suggestion 83:19	27:4 31:19 41:15	101:10 104:9,17	talks 144:12,16,19	terms 11:19,21
152:1 164:14	43:21 44:1 53:6,7	113:12 127:12	144:19	13:6 14:5 22:5,18
167:17	58:12 59:6,9	132:1 142:3	tangled 48:11	29:4,6 31:11,20
suggestions 113:7	74:12 76:19 78:20	153:13 165:15,16	tape 203:10,13,18	31:21 36:17 37:9
194:1	81:2,15 94:1	166:17 173:15,20	targeted 195:7	39:1 40:12 41:8
summaries 193:10	105:10 112:5	194:17 208:17	223:15	47:6 52:15 58:11
193:13 198:21	113:3 116:16	215:14 219:7	task 45:6 199:2	59:8 61:4 62:8
199:3 200:18,21	119:20 123:5,6	223:16 229:3	227:18 228:16	63:21 72:19 75:1
201:8 217:15,20	126:22 128:3,12	231:15 237:20	<b>TBDs</b> 241:6,9	75:4 76:5 83:6
218:6 219:16	135:21 139:8	239:9 240:16	team 4:7,10 96:22	97:10 99:2,4
summarization	150:9,22 152:19	242:7 253:2 257:4	152:7,15	107:13 116:22
197:9 231:13	157:3 165:10,22	261:13 262:2	<b>technical</b> 12:6,8,19	117:11 118:4,6
	<u> </u>		, ,	
	<u>.                                      </u>	•		

120:2 133:12	things 13:5 19:17	68:12 69:10 70:21	179:18 180:14,17	238:12 270:16
135:22 136:9	31:3 32:8 34:4	71:3 73:20 74:5	180:20 181:5,22	271:10
142:20 151:10	40:11 46:22 47:1	74:16 75:21 76:11	182:6,9 183:9,10	thresholds 66:9
153:18 172:2	49:21 51:14 52:15	77:13,14 78:8,12	183:11,17 184:5	tier 27:17
187:8 192:21	53:4 57:6,17	78:15,15 80:10	186:3 187:10	ties 215:9
197:4 203:17	69:12 75:20 87:11	81:1,13 82:4,10	188:3,5,17 189:15	tight 69:9 70:19
205:5 230:14	88:22 89:4,13	82:11 84:16,20	189:17,20 190:1,8	267:11
231:5 232:20	92:2 99:10 102:4	85:10,11,19,20,22	190:10,13 193:11	tighten 57:3
233:2 235:18	108:5 129:1,20	86:21 87:21 88:7	194:18 196:2,17	till 69:10 168:4
243:19 266:2	139:17 141:9,18	89:1,18 91:6,6,9	201:10,11,14	time 7:16 18:3 19:3
<b>Terrie</b> 2:19 5:20,22	144:10 147:17	91:10,13,13 92:9	202:15 217:14	28:6 31:15,18
test 221:19 226:3	162:13 175:12	92:20 93:16,16	218:19 219:2,7,11	34:13 36:3 37:17
tests 210:3 224:7	179:13 182:8	95:22 97:5 98:1	219:22 220:15,16	39:17 49:3 56:11
text 107:7 187:13	188:18 190:20	99:22 101:21	225:7 226:19	57:13 61:20 62:1
232:12 238:11	194:19 201:1	102:1 103:4,6,21	228:1,2 229:16	65:7,17,19 67:16
248:18,18 256:14	203:14,15 205:16	104:12 109:18	231:1,6 232:10,15	70:6 98:15,17
thank 6:15 8:14	210:5 212:1 213:4	111:2,5,9,13	234:3 235:9,14,16	106:21 115:12
22:15 27:17 114:4	222:15 225:17	112:19 115:6,6,9	236:2,12 237:6	120:20 135:20
152:18 162:7	246:6,14,21	116:13 117:14	238:7,12,16	148:18 153:21
168:5 241:16	259:22 265:20	119:11 121:17,22	241:21 243:21	166:2 178:11
245:9 272:4	267:11,14,19	122:2,4,18 123:4	244:8,12 245:14	191:9 194:9,19
<b>Theirs</b> 241:12	thing's 127:21	123:20 124:7	246:19 247:4,11	202:22 212:20
THEODORE 1:21	think 4:4,12 5:15	125:14 126:10,16	250:16,20 251:3	223:17 233:1
theory 263:2	9:15 12:2,16 13:1	127:14 130:3,13	251:11 252:19	250:4 255:20
<b>they'd</b> 164:9	13:2,4,18 14:5	131:9,16,22 132:6	253:13 254:5	256:1,20 258:2
181:14 223:10	15:1,7 17:12,13	132:14 133:1,4,7	256:22 258:21	261:18 262:16,18
256:4	17:15 21:10,14	133:10,14,22	261:10 265:21	264:11 268:6
<b>thing</b> 9:9 10:8 36:7	22:1,22 23:14	134:9,11,13,20	268:9 269:4,6	timely 84:12 85:1
36:18 41:12 42:10	24:8,15 26:9	135:17 136:15,16	thinking 26:10	90:6,8 94:22
44:18,21 51:7,21	28:10 32:5 33:8	138:8,16 139:9	174:13 175:20	269:15 271:11,13
54:7 55:22 63:15	34:20 36:8,12	142:21 143:6,10	255:13 258:7	times 31:8,17 43:19
71:15 74:2 77:18	37:14,22 40:13,22	143:12,13 145:22	260:5,7	48:7 71:4 110:5
80:9 81:1 87:4	41:11,20 42:6	146:13 150:16	<b>third</b> 63:10 247:21	160:9,19 207:18
102:2 120:5 122:5	44:14 45:11,16,21	151:17 152:10,21	thorough 84:12	230:7 234:19
126:22 127:1	46:12 47:3,14,21	153:3,4,15,16,18	90:6 94:22	235:12 243:11
131:10 142:8,17	47:22 48:8,19	153:19 154:4,12	thought 18:10	title 23:11,11 242:2
156:3 157:22	49:15 50:20,22	154:20 156:3,4,17	20:17 24:10 32:22	242:4 247:9
160:2 165:16	51:1,6,10,19,21	156:19,22 157:6,7	71:1 101:18	today 4:14 62:2
166:7 187:10	52:1,11 53:9,13	159:14 160:11	105:17 145:19	166:14 256:12,16
192:7,8 206:20	54:2,3 55:8,18	161:4 162:4	146:12 198:22	told 109:4 118:14
208:7 211:14	57:1,2,3,16 58:10	163:21 164:12,21	224:5 227:8	247:3
213:8,9 218:21	58:15,15,18,18	164:22 165:4,12	thousands 51:9	tomes 224:1
232:17 235:21	59:2,2,9,12,14,16	165:19 166:10	thread 67:8	tool 177:15
236:21 242:12	59:19 60:3,18	168:3 170:5,13	three 24:9 91:11	top 57:10 205:16
244:1,8 245:11	62:3,16 63:4,13	172:21 175:8,18	132:17 157:14	220:22
246:16 257:7	65:8,10 66:4,7,18	176:1,3,19 177:5	200:15 202:13	topic 176:9
259:21 267:21	67:11,21 68:7,10	177:11 178:12	206:16 212:20	totally 18:14 40:13
	l l			l

190:21	trivial 63:15	200:15 201:1	165:2 180:5	233:6,7
touch 31:4 83:1	trouble 139:8	212:17,19 233:11	181:11,14,17	utter 183:13
162:2	228:4	238:6 242:5	182:5 183:17	utter 165.15
touched 105:16	true 80:10 148:17	250:22 251:22	185:9 191:19	$\overline{\mathbf{v}}$
150:16 188:2	203:7 208:4	261:13 262:20	192:4 204:7	vague 236:21
touches 57:16	209:18 218:1,5	type 212:1 252:6	understandably	validate 193:18
tougher 75:10	truly 28:13 219:17	types 70:14 117:21	25:6 51:1	validated 196:19
track 50:6,10	truth 263:15	typical 51:4	understanding	217:10,19
190:15 226:19	truthfully 56:11	typically 73:4 82:2	74:21 82:21 89:3	validation 193:20
237:15	try 7:6 66:11 70:7	108:7 118:13	148:18 213:10	201:18 231:17
	73:13 123:5 131:3	133:13 145:11	understood 123:19	<b>valuable</b> 164:4
tracking 63:4 153:2 227:9	143:22 175:3	169:14 170:2		value 20:1 180:9
			unexpected 101:20 unfolds 101:2	various 29:10
246:20 247:10,13	204:1 215:20	177:16 198:22	unforeseen 265:6	211:1
247:15 248:5	249:22 251:13	199:9 203:8		vehicle 121:3
tracks 170:5	265:5	210:16 212:15,16	<b>unhappy</b> 86:8,12 139:14	vein 115:4
train 69:16	trying 12:17,21	213:1 234:10 <b>typo</b> 23:17,18	139:14 <b>uniform</b> 13:1	verbatim 115:19
training 39:2 87:3	19:3 28:9,20	· ·		142:3
87:15 90:22 91:2	39:19 42:8 45:20	242:4	158:22 205:20	verification 205:10
91:22 136:6,10	55:22 58:12 62:2	<b>typos</b> 23:19	uniformity 188:6	verified 201:3
144:5,11,20	66:5 70:9 86:6,13	U	unique 12:18 178:7	204:10 206:5
transactions	101:1 139:13	UCNI 24:20 95:12	247:15	verify 138:4
245:18	184:7 210:17	165:11 236:11	UNITED 1:1	version 21:8 54:20
transcribe 203:22	217:12 235:2	ultimately 38:8	unlabeled 234:12	55:1,2 143:19
transfer 201:16	TSC 117:4 160:4	40:7 216:11	unmarked 234:4	194:10 210:8,13
transition 223:6	Tuesday 1:10	umbrella 23:4	unnecessary 67:19	213:22 214:2,3
transmit 94:13	256:16 268:7	unable 243:13	unverified 199:17	219:13 239:6
transmitter 95:7	turn 6:16 7:22	uncertainty 133:15	200:12	256:19 260:2
transparency 40:6	80:19 119:12	unchanged 249:15	update 33:13	versions 54:20
treat 133:20 170:21	219:19 256:1,16	unclassified 27:3	uploading 58:7	244:14
172:1	258:22	74:22 75:4 95:6	up-front 36:22	versus 112:16
treating 197:3	turned 122:4	97:4 102:13 134:4	143:14 178:21	view 203:15
244:10	133:14 199:1	159:13 165:10	use 6:10 52:2 67:4	virtue 101:8 230:13
treatment 28:7	211:12	174:15 179:11	85:15 88:9 121:4	233:3
treat-handling	turning 265:16	184:2 193:16	124:1 126:6,7,10	vis 129:22 130:1
19:13	turns 183:2	242:17,19 243:8	133:16,18 134:4	visit 46:11 51:13
trenches 19:20	twice 110:21 127:1	unclear 34:16	136:22 165:21	56:16,21 120:14
tribal 48:6	247:18		173:2 187:3	· ·
tried 89:1 247:22	two 7:17 8:11 10:12	uncomfortable 204:6	189:16 199:15,21	121:10 129:17 135:13 136:5
267:19	23:12 25:7 54:19		242:18 252:20	
trigger 32:10,13,14	62:7 64:15 114:5	uncontrolled 97:4	useful 36:14 40:13	137:14 147:3,16
32:17 33:1 34:14	119:12,16 124:9	undergo 84:12 90:5	115:10 158:18	166:2
72:6	124:13 125:6	94:21	161:8 187:11	visiting 120:15
triggered 140:18	126:15 132:16	undergoing 96:8	205:14	137:17
triggers 72:7	134:14 135:12	understand 20:4	uses 186:7,8	visits 31:15,20
trip 131:11,12	142:15 145:14	51:21 53:5 102:17	usually 43:21 47:8	57:13,19 67:15,17
148:10 212:8	149:1 162:22	123:7 130:7	118:12 148:11	vital 177:22
triumvirate 98:2	167:11,16 190:20	147:18 150:22	151:12 223:7	voluntary 170:1

volunteered 233:2	186:15 188:15	142:4 150:21	239:14 251:21	154.2 5 12 150.11
vote 226:11 264:11		153:20 164:13	272:6	154:2,5,13 159:11
	189:21 190:16			162:15 167:8,8,15
voted 226:9 263:5	201:5 211:7,7	172:8 173:1,1	weren't 129:8	168:10,12,19
W	214:2 215:2,9	177:7 181:9 182:16 192:14	139:7 163:18	183:1 184:1 201:14 202:22
${\mathbf{W}}$ 1:19	219:22 229:5		234:14	
wait 22:11 69:9	234:1,16 235:13	195:13 202:5,20	we'll 3:18 5:16 6:19	203:22 207:11,13
70:19 75:17 79:15	239:8 240:10 241:18 245:7	206:12 208:2	18:17 52:12 57:12	209:3,14 210:3 212:19 215:15,17
124:10 185:13		215:5 223:4,8,13	58:21 59:12 61:14	,
198:10 264:22	255:14,21 256:6	224:14 226:15,16	67:2 68:6 75:17	221:12 223:4,5
waiting 246:15	256:14 257:18,20	230:12 231:8,21	80:17 103:5	224:14,16 228:4 230:8,21 231:3
waiver 177:2	259:16 260:3	235:20 244:11	104:19 110:22	230:8,21 231:3
walk 9:10 10:2	261:16 264:7,12	245:6 257:2	113:13 139:15	
168:21 189:3	266:19 267:14	258:12 260:2	152:17 168:3	235:14 236:10
want 5:18 9:7,10	270:9	262:1 264:2 265:2	239:12 243:11	238:3 239:19
13:2 14:9 17:3,16	wanted 10:16,18,20	266:8 268:16	249:7 261:14	240:10 263:11
24:17 31:4 32:11	10:22 11:17 24:9	269:12 271:11,13	262:6,10,21 265:6	265:16 267:6
36:3 37:12 42:18	40:3,5 56:5 69:6	ways 43:18 138:21	266:1 267:5,7	268:16 269:13
43:3,12,14,18,21	110:19 112:4	157:16 182:17	269:20 270:2	270:11,12 271:9
49:12 51:9 53:21	162:12 165:9	195:17	we're 4:5,13 5:15	272:2
54:15 56:20 57:10	178:17 228:15	weapons 14:21,21	8:2,18 10:7 11:7	we've 7:8,13 11:2
58:16 60:4,14,21	245:19 252:16	16:8,9 134:6	19:6 22:9,19	17:1 34:4 42:8
60:22 61:7 65:22	255:6 265:13,20	173:14	23:19 24:10 25:8	44:22 45:20 48:8
66:3,11,15 69:15	267:21 271:17,18	web 44:5 54:8	28:20 30:15 31:9	58:19 59:3 64:13
70:11 71:17,21	wanting 45:22	269:3	31:12,17 33:8	69:3 70:11,17
74:8,9,17,21	139:20,21	website 42:18,19	34:20 36:4 40:7,8	76:19,19,21 77:4
75:14 76:21 85:2	wants 33:10 107:20	43:13 55:2 105:5	43:2 45:8 50:6	78:6,8 96:8
86:12 89:21 91:4	139:10 147:18	105:11 107:9	51:14 53:12 55:9	101:17 109:16
91:5 101:9 104:1	184:13 192:5	220:12,13,15,17	57:4,10 60:17	122:1 126:4 130:6
105:4,5,10 108:2	wasn't 25:14 26:16	221:8,16,21 222:1	61:6 62:20 64:14	134:7 138:1 140:3
103.4,3,10 108.2	78:13 81:22 88:11	222:5,7 225:3	66:4 67:12,15,18	141:2 150:20
113:9 117:18	91:12 102:22	226:18 229:20	68:4,10 69:19	151:5 155:22
118:1 119:3,3,6	174:9 189:14	234:1	73:14 74:1 76:2,8	166:14 176:3
124:19,20,20,21	221:10 230:1	Wednesday 79:15	77:2,10 80:11,15	177:12 178:4,14
124:19,20,20,21	251:16 254:7	week 229:7 255:11	80:15 81:3,5 87:9	181:18 190:11
128:14,15 129:3	watch 113:14	256:16,18 262:20	98:1,6,15 99:6,7	197:3 203:16
129:12,19 134:19	watching 77:8	270:9	102:7 113:12,21	218:5,17 221:14
147:4,20,21	207:15	weekend 257:10	114:17 116:17	224:6 227:10
152:11 155:3	way 34:3 38:11	260:6	117:1,17,20 118:3	228:1,2 229:16
156:16 157:9	40:20 41:3,19	weeks 255:20	118:14,22 119:1	244:1,6 250:15
159:2 164:15,17	46:19 47:17 50:20	welcome 4:12 5:14	119:10,21 120:9	251:20 253:2
164:18 166:8	52:11 56:18 57:6	5:22 6:16 162:14	120:13,19 122:3	255:4 256:12
170:14 171:8	58:11 61:8 66:17	well-intended 71:9	122:17 123:19	262:9 264:22
170.14 171.8	70:1 73:7 74:7	went 8:1 21:1 36:11	124:8,12 126:13	265:11
174.3,22 173.0,14	77:19 84:22 91:10	73:12 105:17	128:5 130:3 132:4	whack 93:4,5,6
181:6 183:3,5,12	91:14 98:10 101:3	113:16 134:14	140:14 141:14	whatnot 30:9 31:12
184:2,10 186:13	111:6 117:14,19	157:13,13 168:7	142:21 143:7	77:19 82:16 98:8
104.4,10 100.13	118:11 119:12,16	188:14 212:6	153:6,7,10,20	136:1 151:17

100.21	0.6.11.10.15	1 1 12	207 10 251 4	220 12 242 7
198:21	9:6,11 19:15	workgroup 1:13	207:18 251:4	239:12 242:7
whatsoever 177:10	28:14,18 29:4,11	9:20 223:9 225:19	written 95:3	<b>100</b> 196:10
185:12	29:12,21 30:4,7	259:7,21 266:4,12	103:18 111:5	<b>100's</b> 51:9
wheel 9:15	31:1 35:5,6 36:22	271:21	169:2 208:11	<b>1017</b> 24:19
white 220:9,16	37:12,15 39:4,7	working 3:7,21 4:6	212:1 216:12	<b>11</b> 27:6 113:13
221:17,20 222:4	40:13 42:11,21	11:8,16 18:6,6	wrong 40:11 43:1	242:6
223:17,22 224:4,9	44:14 45:1 48:4	36:6 40:17,18	44:19 70:1 105:19	<b>11:19</b> 113:16
226:4,12,20 228:8	50:17 55:16 57:1	46:18 49:6 50:6	188:8 191:20	<b>11:33</b> 113:17
229:8	62:7 63:14,16	54:10,16 56:1,17	208:1 248:17	<b>12:27</b> 168:7
wiggle 48:17	67:1 69:3 72:21	67:14,22 79:20	260:20 264:3,3	<b>12:30</b> 168:3
willing 59:19 60:2	73:4,5 80:21	113:6,20 131:1	266:9	<b>14</b> 155:12
63:19	82:15 84:18,20,22	133:5 152:13,13	wrote 27:9 38:11	<b>15</b> 16:10
wills 85:19 89:19	88:6 100:7 101:9	160:20 168:11	76:22	<b>1954</b> 24:6
91:11,16 93:4,13	125:7,8 126:20,21	209:20 218:7,9,12	Y	<b>1995</b> 216:15
104:14,17,18	130:2 132:9 137:6	218:15 220:2,8		2
260:13 264:5	146:18 147:1	239:17 240:1,15	year 6:20 17:15	
wires 98:19	150:8 151:13	251:20 254:15	21:17 33:13 74:3	<b>2</b> 22:19 114:10
wise 246:7	156:11 161:2	255:12 262:2	121:21,22	<b>2.0</b> 22:9 240:22
wish 247:5	169:15,17 170:20	265:18 269:17	years 37:7 82:20	<b>2:38</b> 239:14
withdrawn 184:21	190:9 191:14	works 39:11 82:22	96:14 115:9	<b>2:52</b> 239:15
witness 271:20	192:15 198:2	135:21 210:11	200:16 202:13	<b>20</b> 239:11
wondered 144:21	205:8 207:14	world 34:12,15	222:16 224:8	<b>2009</b> 1:10 9:1 24:2
wondering 224:19	209:3,4,14 210:17	40:12 69:2,21	226:1 228:14	240:1
252:8 260:19	210:21 215:1	worms 165:18	yesterday 110:3	<b>21</b> 1:10 255:11
word 74:2 88:10	219:10 222:21	worry 259:16	<b>Y12</b> 161:16 162:8	<b>23</b> 9:1 24:2
103:5 118:14,15	223:19 229:16,22	worse 69:13	$\overline{\mathbf{z}}$	<b>28th</b> 257:19,20
118:22 125:20	230:15 231:20	worth 28:8		258:18 267:6
126:5 127:7	246:5 247:12	worthy 225:7	<b>Ziemer</b> 10:15 29:9	3
131:14 151:8	249:22 253:10	wouldn't 35:9	<b>Zurich</b> 1:13	
152:18	255:20 257:1,4,21	66:11 74:19 86:17	0	<b>3</b> 57:11 156:14,20
<b>wording</b> 15:9 244:9	268:21 269:16,18	91:7 92:8 103:21	003 155:18	156:22 239:12
249:8	270:2 272:3	103:22 104:2	<b>006</b> 252:6,12	<b>3:21</b> 272:6
words 19:3 46:14	workable 99:6	139:6 154:16	<b>010</b> 12:13,22 27:12	<b>30</b> 99:18 207:14
62:20 79:19	worked 11:8 55:18	156:16 165:20	58:12 136:16	4
100:14 103:20	71:6 210:20	166:6 176:20	153:17	4 260:5,7 262:5,12
107:12 108:12	worker 1:6 3:6	183:12 234:16	<b>011</b> 26:18 27:12	<b>4.0</b> 114:17
124:10,19 125:2	87:10 113:19	238:13 246:5	243:1	<b>4.0</b> 114:17 <b>40</b> 99:18 191:10
126:5,10 130:6	168:10 169:12,13	270:20,21	<b>03</b> 153:2	<b>40</b> 99:18 191:10 <b>42</b> 23:11,14,16
151:19 153:1	169:13 170:19,20	write 7:5 76:12	US 155.4	242:4
182:13 183:13	174:5 199:14	204:4 214:11	1	<b>43</b> 23:11
184:8 192:10	200:5 231:17	216:9 217:18	1 21:6 22:18 27:2	<b>43</b> 43.11
209:14 250:22	234:10	228:8 230:3	240:22 259:3,5,11	5
268:19,20	workers 117:22,22	237:19	259:19	<b>5</b> 248:16 260:7,9
wordsmith 17:10	169:6 170:15	writing 18:15 26:9	<b>1:30</b> 168:4,8	262:10 267:8
wordsmithing	171:12 173:17	48:10 89:5 113:3	<b>10</b> 12:3 24:19 27:6	5th 268:4
261:2 264:19	212:20 230:18,22	115:12 117:9	113:12 140:4	<b>5.1</b> 115:17
work 1:8 3:4 6:7	234:20	193:3 203:1	233:20 239:9,10	<b>5.1.5</b> 64:13
			233.20 237.7,10	U-1.1J
	•		•	•

		Page 303
5.2.3 233:20 5.3 136:14 248:8 5.3.1 248:12,18 249:1,2 5.3.1.1 159:20 5.3.1.4 156:8 5.4 162:9 50 76:1 191:10 52.1 244:19 52.4 244:17 5631.2 137:1 5631.20 137:14  6 6 66:7,7 240:1 6:00 259:18  7 7.1 252:11 75 76:1  8 82 23:11 83 23:11  9 9 8:19 11:15 9:30 1:14 3:2 9:48 21:1 9:51 21:2 99.9 205:4		rage 303