THE U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES PUBLIC HEALTH SERVICE

CENTERS FOR DISEASE CONTROL AND PREVENTION NATIONAL INSTITUTE FOR OCCUPATIONAL SAFETY AND HEALTH

convenes

WORKING GROUP MEETING

ADVISORY BOARD ON

RADIATION AND WORKER HEALTH

WORKER OUTREACH

The verbatim transcript of the Work Group

Meeting of the Advisory Board on Radiation and

Worker Health held at the Marriott Airport Hotel,

Cincinnati, Ohio, on Mar. 13, 2008.

STEVEN RAY GREEN AND ASSOCIATES NATIONALLY CERTIFIED COURT REPORTERS 404/733-6070

CONTENTS

Mar. 13, 2008

| WELCOME AND OPENING COMMENTS DR. CHRISTINE BRANCHE, DFO | 6 |
|---|----|
| INTRODUCTION BY CHAIR MR. MIKE GIBSON, ABRWH | 9 |
| OCAS UPDATE MR. LARRY ELLIOTT, NIOSH | 11 |
| WORKERS, WORKER REPRESENTATIVES/ADVOCATE COMMENTS | 21 |
| COURT REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE | 30 |

TRANSCRIPT LEGEND

The following transcript contains quoted material. Such material is reproduced as read or spoken.

In the following transcript: a dash (--) indicates an unintentional or purposeful interruption of a sentence. An ellipsis (. . .) indicates halting speech or an unfinished sentence in dialogue or omission(s) of word(s) when reading written material.

- -- (sic) denotes an incorrect usage or pronunciation of a word which is transcribed in its original form as reported.
- -- (phonetically) indicates a phonetic spelling of the word if no confirmation of the correct spelling is available.
- -- "uh-huh" represents an affirmative response, and "uh-uh" represents a negative response.
- -- "*" denotes a spelling based on phonetics, without reference available.
- -- ^/(inaudible)/ (unintelligible) signifies speaker failure, usually failure to use a microphone.

PARTICIPANTS

(By Group, in Alphabetical Order)

DESIGNATED FEDERAL OFFICIAL

BRANCHE, Christine, Ph.D.
Principal Associate Director
National Institute for Occupational Safety and Health
Centers for Disease Control and Prevention
Washington, DC

BOARD MEMBERS

GIBSON, Michael H.
President
Paper, Allied-Industrial, Chemical, and Energy Union
Local 5-4200
Miamisburg, Ohio

MUNN, Wanda I. Senior Nuclear Engineer (Retired) Richland, Washington

PARTICIPANTS

ADAMS, NANCY, NIOSH
BREYER, LAURIE, NIOSH
BURGOS, ZAIDA, NIOSH
ELLIOTT, LARRY, NIOSH
HINNEFELD, STUART, NIOSH
HOMOKI-TITUS, LIZ, HHS
HOWELL, EMILY, HHS
KOTSCH, JEFF, U.S. DOL
LEWIS, MARK, ATL
MCKEEL, DAN, SINEW

PROCEEDINGS

(3:00 p.m.)

WELCOME AND OPENING COMMENTS DR. CHRISTINE BRANCHE, DFO

| 1 | DR. BRANCHE: I'm Dr. Christine Branche, and |
|----|--|
| 2 | I'm from NIOSH. And we're about to begin now |
| 3 | the Outreach Working Group meeting of the |
| 4 | Advisory Board on Radiation and Worker Health. |
| 5 | Mr. Michael Gibson is the Chair, and I'd like |
| 6 | to begin by having each of the Board members |
| 7 | on the phone announce themselves, please. |
| 8 | MR. GIBSON (by Telephone): This is Mike, |
| 9 | Dr. Branche. I'm here. |
| 10 | DR. BRANCHE: In the room, please. |
| 11 | MS. MUNN: Wanda Munn, here. |
| 12 | DR. BRANCHE: We'll go on to the other |
| 13 | information here before we check on the other |
| 14 | two Advisory Board members. NIOSH staff in |
| 15 | the room, would you please announce |
| 16 | yourselves? |
| 17 | MR. ELLIOTT: Larry Elliott, Director of |
| 18 | OCAS. |

| 1 | MR. HINNEFELD: Stu Hinnefeld, Technical |
|----|---|
| 2 | Program Manager for OCAS. |
| 3 | MS. ADAMS: Nancy Adams, Office of the |
| 4 | Director. |
| 5 | DR. BRANCHE: NIOSH staff who are |
| 6 | participating by phone, would you please |
| 7 | announce yourselves? |
| 8 | MS. BURGOS (by Telephone): Zaida Burgos. |
| 9 | MS. BREYER (by Telephone): This is Laurie |
| 10 | Breyer. |
| 11 | DR. BRANCHE: ORAU staff who are on by |
| 12 | phone, please announce yourselves. |
| 13 | MR. ELLIOTT: Maybe ATL staff. |
| 14 | DR. BRANCHE: Okay, ATL staff who are |
| 15 | participating by phone would you please |
| 16 | announce yourselves? |
| 17 | MR. LEWIS (by Telephone): This is Mark |
| 18 | Lewis with ATL. |
| 19 | DR. BRANCHE: SC&A staff who are |
| 20 | participating by phone please announce |
| 21 | yourselves. |
| 22 | (no response) |
| | |

| 1 | DR. BRANCHE: Other federal agency staff who |
|----------------------------------|---|
| 2 | are in the room, please. |
| 3 | MS. HOWELL: This is Emily Howell with HHS. |
| 4 | DR. BRANCHE: And those by phone, please. |
| 5 | MS. HOMOKI-TITUS (by Telephone): Liz |
| 6 | Homoki-Titus with HHS. |
| 7 | MR. KOTSCH (by Telephone): Jeff Kotsch with |
| 8 | Labor. |
| 9 | DR. BRANCHE: Petitioners or their |
| 10 | representatives who would like to announce |
| 11 | their names please do so now. |
| | |
| 12 | DR. McKEEL (by Telephone): This is Dan |
| 12 13 | DR. McKEEL (by Telephone): This is Dan McKeel. |
| | |
| 13 | McKeel. |
| 13 14 | McKeel. DR. BRANCHE: Workers or their |
| 13 14 15 | McKeel. DR. BRANCHE: Workers or their representatives who would like to announce |
| 13 14 15 16 | McKeel. DR. BRANCHE: Workers or their representatives who would like to announce themselves please do so now. |
| 13 14 15 16 17 | McKeel. DR. BRANCHE: Workers or their representatives who would like to announce themselves please do so now. (no response) |
| 13 14 15 16 17 | DR. BRANCHE: Workers or their representatives who would like to announce themselves please do so now. (no response) DR. BRANCHE: Members of Congress or their |
| 13 14 15 16 17 18 | McKeel. DR. BRANCHE: Workers or their representatives who would like to announce themselves please do so now. (no response) DR. BRANCHE: Members of Congress or their representatives would you please indicate your |

| 1 | their names please do so now. |
|----|--|
| 2 | (no response) |
| 3 | DR. BRANCHE: Are either Josie Beach or |
| 4 | Philip Schofield on the line? |
| 5 | (no response) |
| 6 | DR. BRANCHE: Zaida, would you please call |
| 7 | them? |
| 8 | MS. BURGOS (by Telephone): I will. |
| 9 | DR. BRANCHE: Thank you. |
| 10 | All right, for those of you |
| 11 | participating by phone, if you would please |
| 12 | mute your phones now. If you do not have a |
| 13 | mute button, then please use star six to mute |
| 14 | your phones, and then when you're ready to |
| 15 | speak, please use the same star six that will |
| 16 | allow us to hear you. I appreciate everyone's |
| 17 | participation in muting their lines so that we |
| 18 | can all enhance the quality of the call. |
| 19 | Mr. Gibson, I turn the microphone over |
| 20 | to you. |
| 21 | INTRODUCTION BY CHAIR |
| 22 | MR. GIBSON (by Telephone): Okay, thank you, |

Dr. Branche.

I'd like to welcome everyone to the second meeting of the work group on Worker Outreach. I don't anticipate this being a very long meeting. It's basically just a little bit more of a status check. What I think we had in mind here was no formal presentations but OCAS is going to give us an informal update on their new procedure they're developing as a database. And then we're going to hear from SC&A if they had any further comments or updates from the last meeting.

any additional comments or concerns from workers or their representatives or their advocates, and then we can hear from the members of the work group if they've attended any outreach meetings and how that went. So if there's no other questions, we'll get started. I'll turn it over to Larry or one of his staff and they can give us an informal

1 update on the new procedure database they're 2 preparing.

DR. BRANCHE: Again, this is Dr. Christine
Branche from NIOSH. If you are not speaking
and you are on the phone, if you could please
mute your phone. If you do not have a mute
button, then please use star six to mute your
phone. I'm saying this again because there's
a person that's breathing rather heavily on
the line that's distorting the phone.

Mr. Elliott.

OCAS UPDATE

MR. ELLIOTT: Thank you.

Thanks, Mike.

Yes, our two action items from your last working group meeting were to report progress on our revision of our outreach procedure protocol, if you will, and a database that is being developed in support of that. J.J. Johnson, who is the OCAS staff member who's leading this effort, couldn't be with us today, and Laurie, if you have details

1 beyond what I have, feel free to add them 2 here. 3 But it's my understanding from J.J. 4 that work is proceeding on both of these, the revision and the database development. 5 6 don't have much more to say than that, just 7 that work is proceeding. I think our intent 8 is still to have this put in some final form 9 for the working group's examination by the --10 I don't know -- did we say the June meeting? 11 I don't recall. 12 MR. HINNEFELD: I don't recall if he gave, 13 he gave us --14 DR. BRANCHE: Does Laurie know? 15 MR. ELLIOTT: Do you know, Laurie? 16 MS. BREYER (by Telephone): I don't think 17 that we had a specific timeframe that I 18 remember. I know we talked about having an 19 update for this meeting, but I'll look through 20 my notes though. 21 MR. ELLIOTT: I will look into that, Mr. 22 Gibson, so that I can get back to you and the

working group members with a little bit

clearer understanding of our timeline on this

effort.

The other thing that we were asked to report progress on, or report back about, were a calendar of events, outreach events, that we understood were scheduled. And at this point in time there's only one event that we are actively involved in scheduling and that is a training workshop that organized labor reps have asked for a variety of sites. And labor representatives from sites across the complex have asked for us to give them a training on SEC procedures and dose reconstruction probability of causation calculation.

We've done similar kinds of these
workshops in the past where we brought in
interested parties, workers, labor
representatives, activists and advocates and
sat down in a one-and-a-half day session. And
that's kind of what we're planning here except
we're, through the good works of Vern

McDougall and ATL and Mark Lewis, we're asking these labor folks to help set the agenda for that day and a half or whatever it turns out to be.

That is coming up, I believe we had it targeted for the $28^{\rm th}$ and $29^{\rm th}$?

MR. HINNEFELD: I think it's the 29^{th} and 30^{th} .

MR. ELLIOTT: Twenty-ninth and 30th. And then the only other thing that I would say is tentatively being scheduled right now is SEC outreach for Savannah River.

And in that I'll remind this working group and members of the public that are on the line that we have a variety of outreach forums from town hall meetings to focus groups, and for an SEC interaction we will probably be looking at a far more likely focus group where we take in a series of questions that come out of the petition and try to gain some insight from former or current workers about the operations at that site that are

relevant to the petition. I don't know when that's going to be planned. That's just in the conceptualization right now stage.

I guess that's all I have to say unless Laurie has something else to offer.

MS. BREYER (by Telephone): The only thing that I have to offer is I did look in my notes. I don't see where we gave a specific month where we would try to have these new procedures done other than J.J. commenting that it would probably take several months to get it done.

And just a little clarification on

J.J.'s progress on getting those procedures

written was delayed some because he was

waiting for our technical support team to get

that database up and running which will help

us out writing the procedures. And one of the

guys working on that was out for awhile

because of an illness in his family.

So both of those, the database and the procedures, are like Larry said, up and

running, and we'll be working on those. And the only other future outreach is NIOSH staff are going to be attending some DOL outreach meetings in support of a couple of outreach meetings they're doing in areas where a newly added SEC class is now in effect. And other than that I don't have any other comments.

MR. ELLIOTT: I guess that raises a question in my mind with regard to the calendar of events. Mr. Gibson, do you, or Ms. Munn, do you feel that we should include those kinds of, there are DOL-sponsored meetings, and we typically are invited to participate to explain our role. Sometimes we may not be. Sometimes we are invited, and we choose not to go. So is that something you want to see on the calendar, what Laurie just described as DOL-sponsored event?

MR. GIBSON (by Telephone): I would say I think we might see them on the calendar although while we are interested in how these meetings take place I don't know that we'd

1 have any input since the Department of Labor 2 is just initiating the meeting. 3 MR. ELLIOTT: Okay, well, we'll make sure we then speak and add those types of events to 4 5 the calendar if that's, you think that would 6 be helpful. 7 I think it's probably helpful to know 8 that the ones Laurie just mentioned are 9 Lawrence-Livermore --10 MR. HINNEFELD: Lawrence-Livermore and Los 11 Alamos. Los Alamos is the week before the 12 next Board meeting, and Lawrence-Livermore is 13 the week of April 21st. 14 MS. MUNN: This is Wanda. It's always 15 informative for me to see how these meetings 16 are conducted and the kind of material that is 17 covered. Specifically, it's of great interest 18 to see where the workers' concerns lie most 19 clearly and which of the worker groups express 20 the most concern and the most desire for 21 further information. 22 It's sometimes difficult to go to

these, but sometimes well worth the effort. I found it, the few that I've attended personally, have been very helpful for me especially when we as a full Board begin to discuss that particular site.

MR. GIBSON (by Telephone): Yeah I'll just add on to that. I was able to attend the worker outreach SEC meeting at Pinellas in February that Laurie Breyer and Denise Brock put on and had Pete Darnelle there with them to answer health physics questions. And for the SEC-type meeting like that, it was very well conducted and for the most part very well received by the people, very informative to them. And I think those type of meetings seem to be going fairly well as opposed to some of the others that we've seen, now there's more worker concerns as Wanda said.

MR. ELLIOTT: We appreciate hearing the
Board members' thoughts and reflections about
their attendance at these meetings and
certainly welcome any comments or thoughts you

1 may have as toward where we can improve, how 2 we can do these things better. 3 MR. GIBSON (by Telephone): So is there any 4 other comments or anything on the OCAS 5 procedures or database at this time? 6 (no response) 7 MR. GIBSON (by Telephone): We'll move on to 8 SC&A. Does SC&A have any further comments or 9 updates they'd like to share at this point? 10 DR. BRANCHE: Has anyone from SC&A joined 11 the line? 12 (no response) 13 This is Wanda. In the absence of MS. MUNN: 14 SC&A, I can comment that I did go to Argonne 15 East with Kathy Robertson-DeMers and Chick 16 Phillips when they went down to conduct worker 17 interviews in an effort to obtain 18 clarification and more detailed information on 19 the site profile and to check the records that 20 are there which were voluminous by the way and 21 extremely interesting for anyone who has any 22 interest at all in the history of nuclear

technology.

It's hard not to be fascinated by what goes on at Argonne East and what they have in their record systems there. We were there for three full days, and although I did very little other than observe and comment with some of the workers from time to time, found it extremely interesting and I think it was very productive for Kathy and Chick.

MR. ELLIOTT: I was just reminded that there was one other interaction I will say with a group of workers. It's at their request. I'm not sure if it falls under our outreach rubric, but certainly we will be, Jim Neton and I, will be going down to the Portsmouth, Ohio, area and talking to a group of guards down there that have some questions and issues that they have raised up about the Portsmouth Gaseous Diffusion Plant's site profile. And I believe we communicated those to the Board to Dr. Ziemer. So we will be visiting with those folks onsite.

WORKERS, WORKER REPRESENTATIVES/ADVOCATE COMMENTS

MR. GIBSON (by Telephone): Moving right along here, we had some comments by Dr. McKeel and [Identifying Information Redacted] and one of the gentlemen from the security force at Fernald in the last meeting. If any of those are on the line, and they still have concerns or anything they want to bring up at this point or any other workers who are out there.

DR. McKEEL (by Telephone): This is Dan

McKeel. I just had some further input on one

of my concerns last time that I found

something and maybe you all could benefit from
this.

As you know part of the problem with outreach is to try to -- and I would say this is not exclusively directed at outreach -- but one of the problems to try to get records to verify testimony that the workers give at their meetings with NIOSH and with SC&A. And that necessarily involves getting information from the CDC Atlanta FOIA Office.

I have been concerned for a long time at the long delays experienced. I had a conversation yesterday with Brenda Schumer, and it was very illuminating because it highly clarified for me that that office is operating under what they call the first-in first-out principle. And the principle derives from a case called Open America versus the Watergate -- and I can't remember the last part -- Special Prosecution Force is what it's called. That's the court name of the case.

And in that case I think the committee from Watergate, the Congressional committee, was deluged with information requests under FOIA. And the Court granted to them a special exemption whereby they didn't have to answer requests within 20 days like the law stipulates for everybody else because there were extraordinary volumes of material submitted. And so that ruling which was very narrowing for that situation where an agency suddenly gets overwhelmed with requests and

cannot meet the 20-day response time ^ to catch up on the backlog and to take 20 days to give the requestor a response ^ handle the request. And so basically the 20-day delivery requirement is waived.

So I went yesterday to ask what the FOIA office in Atlanta has been operating under for quite some time, and I was directed to where that document is. And I certainly could furnish that to the committee if they're at all interested. But it gives us a reason and explanation as to why it's taking so long for Atlanta FOIA to process materials that were related to the issues that workers were very interested in, and that we only have access to really through the FOIA process.

My reading of that ruling -- and I'm no lawyer -- but it seems very clear to me that the Court intended that only for situations which were truly exceptional increases in FOIA requests, and I frankly don't understand where that has occurred for

HHS in the CDC FOIA office unless it's some extraordinary bump up that they've gotten from just the whole program itself. But I would imagine EEOICPA is a very small part of their overall task.

The other thing that the Court said was that that exception is granted for first-in first-out only if the agency can show that they are drawing down the backlog and dealing with it. And I don't know if that's true at all or not. So anyway, that was my insight that I thought I'd pass along to everybody and if at least a possible explanation for why a request made December the 14th for three documents has not been delivered here on March the 13th.

I guess that's all I have to say. I'm happy to be participating and have a chance to have input into these outreach meetings of the work group which I think are very important. Thank you.

MR. GIBSON (by Telephone): Thank you, Dan.

1 Larry, could we possibly maybe at our 2 next meeting have someone from CDC's Legal 3 Department answer this in some more detail as 4 far as do they think this first-in first-out 5 policy is applicable to NIOSH in this program 6 or --7 MS. HOMOKI-TITUS (by Telephone): We don't 8 do public presentations from the Legal 9 Department. As you know we haven't done them 10 in the past, and we won't do them now. If we 11 need to have a closed session or some other 12 type of session to address this issue for you, 13 we will. But you really should be asking for 14 somebody from the FOIA office to come and 15 explain their policy. 16 MR. GIBSON (by Telephone): Okay, I'll 17 revise my request and ask someone from FOIA to 18 give us their policy and their position on 19 this. 20 MR. ELLIOTT: You'd like that to the working 21 group or to the full Board? 22 MR. GIBSON (by Telephone): It's probably a

| 1 | question for the whole Board. |
|----|---|
| 2 | MR. ELLIOTT: I think it would be. |
| 3 | DR. BRANCHE: This is Christine. I'll make |
| 4 | the request. It wouldn't be able to happen |
| 5 | until the June meeting at the earliest. |
| 6 | MR. GIBSON (by Telephone): That's fine. |
| 7 | MS. HOWELL: And, Mike, you may want to |
| 8 | convey this concern to Dr. Ziemer if the full |
| 9 | Board presentation will be needed. |
| 10 | MR. GIBSON (by Telephone): Okay. I just |
| 11 | feel like this is an ongoing issue and the |
| 12 | more information we can get on it I think the |
| 13 | better we can try to deal with it. |
| 14 | DR. BRANCHE: Or at least understand it. |
| 15 | MR. GIBSON (by Telephone): Right. |
| 16 | Are there any other workers that are - |
| 17 | [Identifying Information Redacted] or anyone |
| 18 | else on the line? |
| 19 | (no response) |
| 20 | MR. GIBSON (by Telephone): Any other |
| 21 | workers or their advocates that would like to |
| 22 | make a comment? |

| 1 | (no response) |
|----|---|
| 2 | MR. GIBSON (by Telephone): If not, Josie or |
| 3 | Phil joined us? |
| 4 | DR. BRANCHE: Mr. Gibson, Zaida would have |
| 5 | called, and they would have joined the line |
| 6 | based on her having prompted them. Given that |
| 7 | they have not joined the call I suspect that |
| 8 | she was unable to reach them. And I don't |
| 9 | have an e-mail indicating that either of them |
| 10 | |
| 11 | MS. BURGOS (by Telephone): Dr. Branche, |
| 12 | this is Zaida. Yes, I was unable to get a |
| 13 | hold of them. I left messages. |
| 14 | DR. BRANCHE: Okay, thanks so much for |
| 15 | verifying that. |
| 16 | MR. GIBSON (by Telephone): Thank you, |
| 17 | Zaida. |
| 18 | Wanda, do you have any other comments |
| 19 | as far as this working group or any of the |
| 20 | meetings that we've attended? |
| 21 | MS. MUNN: I don't believe so, Mike. You |
| 22 | heard my report from Argonne, and that's the |
| | |

1 only personal interaction that I had. 2 MR. GIBSON (by Telephone): Well, with that 3 being said I don't see a whole lot more to do 4 here today, and I don't really see any 5 concrete issues that we can set another 6 meeting of this work group yet. 7 MR. ELLIOTT: I think you need to have our 8 revised procedure and database before we can 9 really have something to chew on. 10 MR. GIBSON (by Telephone): Correct, yeah. 11 So maybe we can, members of the work group get 12 together sometime during our Tampa meeting and 13 maybe discuss another little informal phone 14 call. But other than that I think we're 15 waiting on this new procedure and database. 16 MS. MUNN: Perhaps at the Tampa meeting we 17 can identify when our next phone call needs to 18 happen. 19 MR. GIBSON (by Telephone): Right. 20 other than that, is there any other comments 21 from Board members or NIOSH or Dr. Branche? 22 DR. BRANCHE: No other comments from me.

| 1 | What I've done, if Mr. Gibson, if you would |
|----------|--|
| 2 | want to inquire of Dr. Ziemer about wanting to |
| 3 | have this on the June agenda, if you want to |
| 4 | do that by e-mail you can feel free to copy |
| 5 | me, and then I'll pursue that formally based |
| 6 | on his response. |
| 7 | MR. GIBSON (by Telephone): Okay, I will do |
| 8 | that. |
| 9 | DR. BRANCHE: Thank you very much. |
| 10 | MR. GIBSON (by Telephone): Other than that |
| | |
| 11 | as far as I'm concerned I think this meeting's |
| 11 12 | as far as I'm concerned I think this meeting's adjourned. I'd like to thank everyone for |
| | |
| 12 | adjourned. I'd like to thank everyone for |
| 12 13 | adjourned. I'd like to thank everyone for their participation. |

CERTIFICATE OF COURT REPORTER STATE OF GEORGIA COUNTY OF FULTON

I, Steven Ray Green, Certified Merit Court Reporter, do hereby certify that I reported the above and foregoing on the day of March 13, 2008; and it is a true and accurate transcript of the testimony captioned herein.

I further certify that I am neither kin nor counsel to any of the parties herein, nor have any interest in the cause named herein.

WITNESS my hand and official seal this the 9th day of Dec., 2008.

STEVEN RAY GREEN, CCR, CVR-CM, PNSC
CERTIFIED MERIT COURT REPORTER
CERTIFICATE NUMBER: A-2102