#### U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH, EDUCATION, AND WELFARE CENTER FOR DISEASE CONTROL NATIONAL INSTITUTE FOR OCCUPATIONAL SAFETY AND HEALTH CINCINNATI, OHIO 45226

#### HEALTH HAZARD EVALUATION DETERMINATION REPORT NO. 75-180-311

#### THE FOXBORO COMPANY, HIGHLAND PLANT EAST BRIDGEWATER, MASSACHUSETTS

#### JULY 1976

#### I. TOXICITY DETERMINATION

A Health Hazard Evaluation was conducted by the National Institute for Occupational Safety and Health (NIOSH) on December 3-4, 1975, January 28-29, 1976, and February 10-11, 1976 at The Foxboro Company, Highland Plant, East Bridgewater, Massachusetts. It has been determined, on the basis of environmental sampling in the workplace on January 28-29, and February 11, 1976, and a review of the confidential health questionnaires, that a health hazard from exposure to butyl cellosolve acetate, ethyl cellosolve, methyl cellosolve, hydrochloric acid, sulfuric acid, heptane, toluene, butyl acetate, ethyl alcohol, isopropyl alcohol, ethyl acetate, xylene, toluene diisocyanate (TDI), tin oxide, lead fumes, methyl chloride, 1,1,2-trichloro 1,2,2-trifluoroethane, naphtha, fibrous glass dust, and ammonia did not exist within the worksite areas. However, potentially toxic levels of hydrochloric acid, and ammonia fumes were measured in the board plating room during cleaning of the Endura-etching machine. This isolated operation is performed by one operator, once a week for a period of five minutes.

#### II. DISTRIBUTION AND AVAILABILITY OF DETERMINATION REPORT

Copies of this Determination Report are available upon request from NIOSH, Division of Technical Services, Information Resources and Dissemination Section, 4676 Columbia Parkway, Cincinnati, Ohio 45226. Copies have been sent to:

- a) The Foxboro Company, Highland Plant,
- East Bridgewater, Massachusetts
- b) U.S. Department of Labor Region I
- c) NIOSH Region I

For the purpose of informing the approximately 30 "affected employees", the employer shall promptly "post" for a period of 30 calendar days the Determination Report in a prominent place(s) near where exposed employees work.

Page 2 - Health Hazard Evaluation Determination 75-180

#### III. INTRODUCTION

Section 20(a)(6) of the Occupational Safety and Health Act of 1970, 29 U.S.C. 669(a)(6), authorizes the Secretary of Health, Education, and Welfare, following a written request by an employer or authorized representative of employees, to determine whether any substance normally found in the place of employment has potentially toxic effects in such concentrations as used or found.

The National Institute for Occupational Safety and Health (NIOSH) received such a request from the employer regarding employee exposure to lead and tin fumes, fibrous glass dust, hydrochloric and sulfuric acid, toluene diisocyanate, and organic solvents in fabrication operations throughout the plant.

#### IV. HEALTH HAZARD EVALUATION

#### A. Plant Process - Conditions of Use

The Highland Plant of The Foxboro Company, East Bridgewater, Massachusetts is widely recognized as a world leader in the fabrication of electronic process control instrumentation. The facilities which house the plant consist of three connected buildings. Each building contains many departments, each responsible for conducting a particular phase of the process. The Highland Plant employs a total of 1100 employees - two shifts per day, five days per week. Approximately 900 employees work on the first shift (7:00 a.m. to 3:30 p.m.) and 120 employees work on the second shift (4:00 p.m. to 12:30 a.m.). Of the 1100 employees 25 to 30 employees worked in the areas where the alleged potential health hazards were present. A variety of process operations utilizing multiple potentially toxic substances were investigated.

#### B. Evaluation Design

An initial survey was conducted on December 3-4, 1975. This survey included obtaining background information, conducting a walk-through survey in those areas where the alleged hazards were present, and conducting confidential employee medical interviews. Of the areas observed during this initial walkthrough, three areas were eliminated; they were 1) Building #4 flammable storage shed, where solvents are stored and no employees work; 2) Building #2 soldering machine which is a recently installed new piece of equipment that is enclosed and ventilated; and 3) Building #3 blue print area which is monitored for ammonia fumes with detector tubes by the company, and found to be below the TLV.

Nine areas/operations were evaluated during follow-up surveys. A follow-up survey was conducted on January 28-29, 1976. This survey included collecting breathing zone and area samples. However, due to mechanical problems in the process equipment a second follow-up survey was conducted on February 11, 1976 to complete the evaluation.

#### C. Environmental Evaluation Methods

Exposure to lead and tin fumes was measured by collecting personal samples on AA filters at 1.5 liters per minute with an MSA pump. Samples were analyzed for lead and tin by atomic absorption spectrophotometry. Page 3 - Health Hazard Evaluation Determination 75-180

Fibrous Glass Dust - Personal respirable and total dust samples were collected in the breathing zones of the workers. The former was collected on a tared VM-1 filter contained in a 2-piece cassette mounted in a 10 mm nylon cyclonic separator. The latter was collected on a tared VM-1 filter contained in a closed faced 3-piece cassette. Both systems were operated at 1.7 lpm. The particulate concentration was determined by weight increase.

Hydrochloric Acid was determined by collecting personal samples in an impinger containing an absorbing solution at 1.0 liter per minute and analyzing the solution by a turbidimetric method.

Sulfuric Acid was determined by collecting personal samples on AA filters at 1.5 liter per minute with a MSA pump and analyzing the filter by a tritrametric method.

Toluene Diisocyanate (TDI) was determined by collecting personal and general area samples in an impinger containing absorbing solution at 1.0 liter per minute and analyzing the solution by a colorimetric method.

Personal organic solvent samples were collected on charcoal contained in glass sampling tubes and analyzed by gas chromatography.

Ammonia gas was measured by collecting personal samples in an impinger containing absorbing solution at 1.0 liter per minute and analyzing the solution by colorimetry. Ammonia gas was also measured by direct reading instrument (Drager).

D. Criteria for Assessing Health Effects of Exposure to Workroom Air Contaminants

To assess the effects of air contaminants found in the place of employment, three primary sources of criteria were used (1) NIOSH criteria for recommended standards for occupational exposure to substances (criteria documents); (2) recommended and proposed threshold limit values (TLV's) and their supporting documentation as set forth by the American Conference of Governmental Industrial Hygienists (ACGIH) 1975; and (3) Occupational Health Standards as promulgated by the U.S. Department of Labor (29 CFR Part 1910.1000).

In the following tabulation of criteria, appropriate values are presented with reference:

Substance	Permissible Exposures (8-hour time weighted average)
<sup>1</sup> 2 Butoxy Ethanol (Butyl Cellosolve)	240 mg/M <sup>3</sup> *
<sup>2</sup> 2 Ethoxyethanol (Ethyl Cellosolve)	370 mg/M <sup>3</sup>
Methyl Cellosolve	80 mg/M <sup>3</sup>
C-Hydrogen Chloride (Hydrochloric Acid)	- 7 mg/M <sup>3</sup>
<sup>3</sup> Sulfuric Acid	1 mg/M <sup>3</sup>
<sup>1</sup> Heptane (N-Heptane)	2,000 mg/M <sup>3</sup>
<sup>4</sup> Toluene	375 mg/M <sup>3</sup>

# Page 4 - Health Hazard Evaluation Determination 75-180

(0.029) <b>—</b> 104 — *1	Substance	Permissible Exposures (8-hour time weighted average)
tox		
Sec-Butyl A	cetate	950 mg/M <sup>3</sup>
-	ol (Ethanol)	1,900 mg/M <sup>3</sup>
Isopropyl A		980 mg/M <sup>3</sup>
<sup>1</sup> Ethyl Aceta	ite	1,400 mg/M <sup>3</sup>
<sup>5</sup> Xylene		435 mg/M <sup>3</sup>
°C-Toluene [	)iisocyanate (TDI)	0.036 mg/M <sup>3</sup>
<sup>7</sup> Tin Oxide		10 mg/M <sup>3</sup>
Lead, Inorg	ganic fumes and dusts	0.15 mg/M <sup>3</sup>
<sup>1</sup> Methyl Chlo	pride	210 mg/M <sup>3</sup>
	nloro 1,2,2, Trifluoroethane	
<sup>1</sup> Aromatic Na		400 mg/M <sup>3</sup> .
Fibrous Gl	ass Dust (total)	10 mg/M <sup>3</sup>
<sup>20</sup> Ammonia		18 mg/M <sup>3</sup>
	asured concentrations: ligrams of substance per cubi	ic meter of air
"C" = Ceilin	g concentration and should ne	ever be exceeded
1 Reference:	The 1975 ACGIH TLV and the	current OSHA standards.
<sup>2</sup> Reference:	The 1975 ACGIH TLV. The cur Health Administration (OSHA	rrent occupational safety and ) standard is 740 mg/M <sup>3</sup> .
<sup>3</sup> Reference:	The NIOSH 1975 criteria doc the current OSHA standard.	ument, the 1976 ACGIH TLV and
<sup>4</sup> Reference:	The NIOSH 1973 criteria doc The current OSHA standard 7	ument and the 1975 ACGIH TLV. 50 mg/M३.
<sup>5</sup> Reference:	The NIOSH 1975 criteria doc and the current OSHA standa	ument, and the 1975 ACGIH TLV rd.
<sup>6</sup> Reference:	The NIOSH 1973 criteria doc and the current OSHA standa	ument, and the 1975 ACGIH TLV rd.
<sup>7</sup> Reference:	The 1975 ACGIH TLV . The cu 15 mg/M <sup>3</sup> (nuisance dust).	urrent OSHA standard is
<sup>8</sup> Reference:	The NIOSH 1972 criteria doc The current (OSHA) standard	ument and the 1975 ACGIH TLV. is 0.2 mg/M <sup>3</sup> .
<sup>9</sup> Reference:	The 1975 ACGIH TLV. The cu 15 mg/M <sup>3</sup> (nuisance dust).	rrent (OSHA) standard is
<sup>10</sup> Reference:	The NIOSH 1974 criteria doc and the current (OSHA) stan	ument and the 1975 ACGIH TLV dard is 35 mg/M <sup>3</sup> .

Page 5 - Health Hazard Evaluation Determination 75-180

TLV's or occupational health standards for substances are usually established at levels designed to protect workers occupationally exposed on an 8-hour per day, 40-hour per week basis over a working lifetime. Because of a wide variation in individual susceptibility, some workers may experience ill effects at or below the designated levels. Thus, an evaluation of the work place cannot be based entirely upon comparisons made against such TLV's or standard, as various TLV's and standards do not represent absolute protection of all workers. Federal standards are the legal standards and enforcement is a responsibility of the U.S. Department of Labor, OSHA.

E. Evaluation Results and Discussion

Environmental

It has been determined on the basis of environmental sampling in the nine work areas covering twenty substances on January 28-29, and February 11, 1976, that none of the samples analyzed were above or substantially near the criteria used in this evaluation. For a detailed description of all environmental samples, process operations and locations please refer to Tables I through X.

Operator exposure to peak concentrations of hydrochloric acid (HCL) occurs during the cleaning cycle of the Endura-etching machine used to etch copper printed circuit boards. The frequency of the operation is once a week, involving one employee for approximately twenty minutes. The cycle involves the draining of the used solution into a tank beneath the machine to be reprocessed to recover the copper. The operator pumps approximately three gallons of HCL from a teflon container into a pail and then pours it into the etching machine and then leaves the room during the automatic cleaning cycle. The peak exposure levels occurring during the pumping and dumping periods were determined by a short term impinger sample (5-minute) collected in the operator's breathing zone. The measured level of 134 mg/M<sup>3</sup> is in excess of the 7 mg/M<sup>3</sup> ceiling value established by the NIOSH 1974 criteria document and the 1975 ACGIH TLV. A ceiling limit places a definite boundary which a concentration should not be permitted to exceed.

No symptoms of acute toxicity such as eye, nose or throat irritation which are characteristic of a toxic exposure to this substance, were elicited; most likely symptoms of the nose and throat irritation were not present due to the operator wearing a half-face chemical cartridge type respirator. Though eye irritation is expected from the exposure to this lacrimator at the measured concentration, no single explanation for its reported absence

is known. Based on the excessive level of the HCL measured the protective equipment presently in use should be continued. If such is consistent with the above data, proper respirator protection should be used until the levels of HCL are reduced by engineering controls below the NIOSH criteria standard of 7 mg/M<sup>3</sup>. Page 6 - Health Hazard Evaluation Determination 75-180

Ammonia is automatically pumped into the etching machine during the refilling operation. General area samples were collected at the refilling port with a Drager pump and detector tubes. The measured concentrations ranged from 5 to 50 ppm. The Endura-etch machine is enclosed and ventilated and during normal operation, presents no health problems to the operator.

2. Medical

Fifteen employees were interviewed using a non-directed questionnaire designed to elicit symptomatology possibly related to health problems arising from their work environment. The questionnaire revealed no symptomatology.

#### F. Conclusions

Based on the environmental sampling in the workplace, a review of the confidential health questionnaires and the current criteria outlined in Part D of this report, it was determined that a health hazard did not exist in the areas that were sampled on January 28-29 and February 11, 1976. However, there may be a potentially toxic exposure to hydrochloric acid and ammonia fumes in the board plating room when cleaning the Endura-etching machine. This isolated operation is performed by one operator, once a week for a period of five minutes.

#### V. RECOMMENDATION

Investigate the possibility of automatically pumping HCL into the Enduraetch machine when cleaning it.

#### VI. AUTHORSHIP AND ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

Report Prepared By:

Originating Office:

Raymond L. Ruhe Industrial Hygienist Cincinnati, Ohio

Jerome P. Flesch Acting Chief, Hazard Evaluation and Technical Assistance Branch Cincinnati, Ohio

#### Acknowledgments

Environmental Evaluation:

John R. Kominsky Industrial Hygienist

Analytical Laborator	y Services:	Western Area	Occupational	Safety and
	20 I FR	Health Labor	atory, Salt L	ake City, Utah

## TABLE I

### SILK SCREENING - BUILDING #3 APPLYING PHOTO RESIST TO ETCHED CIRCUIT BOARDS

## THE FOXBORO COMPANY EAST BRIDGEWATER, MASSACHUSETTS

## February 11, 1976

Job and/or Classification	Date	Sampling Period	Sample Volume (Liters)	Туре	Butyl Cellosolve Acetate (mg/m <sup>3</sup> )**
Silk Screening	2-11-76	0707-1123	11.0	*PBZ	0.01
Silk Screening	2-11-76	0711-1128	12.7	PBZ	0.01
Silk Screening	2-11-76	1233-1504	5.4	PBZ	0.01
Silk Screening	2-11-76	1234-1505	5.2	PBZ	0.01
The 1975 ACGIH T	240				

\*PBZ - Personal Breathing Zone

mg/m<sup>3</sup> - Milligrams of substance per cubic meter of air

Butyl Cellosolve - Limit of detection 0.01 mg/sample

#### TABLE II

#### DIAL ROOM - BUILDING #2 PAINTING OF ALUMINIUM DIALS USING WHITE AND BLACK LACQUER

1

Job and/or	THE FOXBORO COMPANY EAST BRIDGEWATER, MASSACHUSETTS January 29, 1976 Job and/or Sampling Sample Methyl Butyl Ethyl Isopropyl Ethyl											
Location	Date	Period	Volume (Liters)	Туре	Cellosolve (mg/m <sup>3</sup> )**	$\frac{\text{Heptane}}{(\text{mg/m}^3)}$	Cellosolve (mg/m <sup>3</sup> )	Toluene (mg/m <sup>3</sup> )	Acetate (mg/m <sup>3</sup> )	Alcohol (mg/m <sup>3</sup> )	Alcohol (mg/m <sup>3</sup> )	Acetate (mg/m <sup>3</sup> )
Dial Room	1-29-76	0720-1038	9.6	PBZ*	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.01
Dial Room	1-29-76	1205-1500	7.5	PBZ	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.01
The 1975 ACGIII TL	V standard	1		70	80	2,000	240	-	950	1,900	980	1,400
NIOSH criteria do	ocument sta	indard			æ	-		375	-	-	-	-

+

\*PBZ - Personal Breathing Zone

\*\*  $\rm mg/m^3$  - Milligrams of substance per cubic meter of air

Methyl Cellosolve	-	Limit	of	Detection	0.01	mg/sample
Heptane	-	Limit	of	Detection	0.01	mg/sample
Butyl Cellosolve	-	Limit	of	Detection	0.01	mg/sample
Toluene						mg/sample
Butyl Acetate						mg/sample
Ethyl Alcohol	-	Limit	of	Detection	0.01	mg/sample
Isopropyl Alcohol	-	Limit	of	Detection	0.01	mg/sample
Ethyl Acetate						mg/sample

#### TABLE III

#### CONAP APPLICATION - BUILDING #2 A BRUSH AND DIP METHOD TO PROTECT CIRCUIT BOARD ASSEMBLIES AGAINST MOISTURE AND FUNGUS

#### THE FOXBORO COMPANY EAST BRIDGEWATER, MASSACHUSETTS

#### January 28-29, 1976

	Job and/or Classification	Date	Sampling Period	Sampling Volume (Liters)	Туре	Xylene (mg/m <sup>3</sup> )	Butyl <u>Cellosolve</u> (mg/m <sup>3</sup> )	Toluene Diisocyanate(TDI) (mg/m <sup>3</sup> )			
	Conap Application	1-28-76	0844-1105	6.8	PBZ*	0.01	0.01	-			
ŝ.:	Conap Application	1-28-76	1219-1435	6.2	PBZ	5.0	0.01	. <del></del>			
	Conap Application	1-28-76	0840-1105	145	PBZ	æ	-	0.001			
	Conap Application	1-29-76	0714-1032	198	GA**	-	-	0.001			
	Conap Application	1-29-76	0714-1032	198	GA			0.001			
	Conap Application	1-29-76	1205-1500	175	GA	-	-	0.001			
	Conap Application	1-29-76	1205-1500	175	GA	Ξ.		0.001			
	NIOSH Criteria Doc	ument Star	ndard			435		0.036			
	The 1975 ACGIH TLV	and curre	ent OSHA standa	ird		-	240	-			
	* PBZ - Personal Breathing Zone **GA - General Area ***mg/m <sup>3</sup> - Milligrams of substance per cubic meter of air Yvlene - Limit of detection 0.01 mg/sample										

.

Xylene - Limit of detection 0.01 mg/sample Butyl Cellosolve - Limit of detection 0.01 mg/sample

Toluene Diisocyanate (TDI) - Limit of detection 0.001 mg/sample

# TABLE IV

## ROUTING ROOM - BUILDING #3 SHEAR AND ROUTING OF ETCHED CIRCUIT BOARDS

## THE FOXBORO COMPANY EAST BRIDGEWATER, MASSACHUSETTS

January 28, 1976									
Job and/or Location	Date	SamplingSampleDatePeriodVolume(Liters)		Туре	Fibrous Dus (mg/	t_**			
Shear & Routing	1-28-76	0719-1445	665	PBZ*	.06	Respirable			
Shear & Routing	1-28-76	0719-1445	665	PBZ	.22	Total			
Shear & Routing	1-28-76	0722-1445	660	PBZ	.02	Respirable			
Shear & Routing	1-28-76	0722-1445	660	PBZ	.03	Total			
Shear & Routing	1-28-76	0721-1451	728	GA***	.03	Total			
1975 ACGIH TLV					10	×			

\*Personal Breathing Zone
\*\*mg/m<sup>3</sup> - Milligrams of Substance per cubic meter of air
\*\*\*GA - General Area

TΛ	BI	г.	1/
1.6	DI	- E-	v

i.

# BOARD PLATING ROOM - BUILDING #3 LEAD AND TIN PLATING; ETCHED CIRCUIT MANUFACTURING - BUILDING #3

THE FOXBORO COMPANY EAST BRIDGEWATER, MASSACHUSETTS												
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		C	C	Janua		d February 11,		M. 41. 3			C. J. C	
Job and/or Location	Date	Sampling Period	Sample Volume	Type	Butyl Cellosolve		1,1,2 Trichlro 1,2,2 Trifuoroethane	Methyl Chloride	Tin _	Lead	Sulfuric Acid	Ammonia
Location	Date	reriou	(Liters)	Type	$\frac{\text{cerrosorve}}{(\text{mg/m}^3)}$	(mg/m <sup>3</sup> )	(mg/m <sup>3</sup> )	(mg/m <sup>3</sup> )	(mg/m <sup>3</sup> )	$\frac{1280}{(mg/m^3)}$	(mg/m <sup>3</sup> )	(mg/m <sup>3</sup> )
Degreasing Circuit Boards	1-28-76	1247 -1430	3.8	PBZ*	N.D.	87	5 E	÷		121	-	¥2
Circuit Boards	1-28-76	1252 - 1455	5.4	PBZ	N.D.	28. 28.	<b>H</b> 3	-	-	-	3 <del>4</del> 6	-
Circuit Boards	1-28-76	1250 - 1455	125	PBZ	-	0.48	÷.	8	-	-	÷	-
Circuit Boards	1-29-76	0810 - 1045	6.7	PBZ	-	3.	313	N.D		1.000	. <del></del>	
Circuit Boards	1-29-76	1046 - 1251	4.7	PBZ	2	12	43	N.D.	24	5 <del>44</del> 32		÷
Circuit Boards	1-28-76	0820 - 1456	396	GA***	~	0.15			-	-	-	19 19
Plater	1-28-76	0900 - 1455	524	PBZ	2	3120	4	14	N.D.	N.D.	-	2
Plater	2-11-76	0719 - 1506	701	PBZ		1.00	<del></del>	10	3 <del>1</del>	÷.	0.02	
Plater	2-11-76	0722 - 1508	699	PBZ	. <del></del>	1. There is a second	-	< <del>-</del>	-	.##15	0.26	~
Plater	2-11-76	0710 - 1125	255	PBZ	0 <b>=</b>	0.001	-	20 <b>6</b>	2 <del>-</del>	<b>W</b> 2	-	-
Plater	2-11-76	0712 - 1247	335	PBZ	-	0.46	-	-	-	-	-	÷.
Plater	2-11-76	1235 - 1507	152	PBZ	8 <b>7</b>	0.24	3 <b>15</b>	20 <del>0</del> 0	2 <del>75</del> 3	1 <del>75</del> 78		-
Stripping												
Etching	2-11-76	0718 - 1128	240	PBZ	3 <del></del>	. <del></del>	: <b></b>		17 <del>5</del> 0	7	55.1	1.0
Stripping Etching	2-11-76	0721 - 1130	249	PBZ		-	12	3 <b>4</b> 3	122	<u>~</u>	-	1.0
Stripping												
Etching	2-11-76	1242 - 1508	146	PBZ	3 <b></b> ()	-	5 <b>-</b>	-	3 <del></del> 5			2.0
NIOSH criteria o	document s	standard			÷	-	8 <b>9</b>		-	0.15	1.0	18
The 1975 ACGIH 1					240	7	7,600	210	10	-	-	-
*PBZPersonal				a 820 G								
**mg/m <sup>3</sup> - Millig		substance per	cubic met	er of air								
***GA - General												
N.D Not deter		2 10 10 202	8 12 E (12)									
Butyl Cellosolve												
Hydrochloric Act					0.01.	000400						
1,1,2 Trichlro ·					on 0.01 mg/s	ampie						
Mothyl Chlorida	- limit	of dotoction	() ()) ma/ca	mnia								

ж.

4

Methyl Chloride - Limit of detection 0.01 mg/sample Tin - Limit of detection 0.013 mg/sample

Lead - Limit of detection 0.001 mg/sample Sulfuric Acid - Limit of detection 0.02 mg/sample Ammonia - Limit of detection 0.01 ug/m1

#### TABLE VI

#### SOLDER REFLOW HEATING OF CIRCUIT BOARDS TO REFLOW PLATED LEAD AND TIN - BUILDING #3

(1,1,0,1)

### THE FOXBORO COMPANY EAST BRIDGEWATER, MASSACHUSETTS

## January 28-29, 1976

Job and/or Location	Date	Sampling Period	Sample Volume (Liters)	Type	Isopropyl <u>Alcohol</u> (mg/m3)					
Solder Reflow Operator	1-28-76	0745-1118	9.9	PBZ*	68					
Solder Reflow Operator	1-28-76	1224-1445	6.6	PBZ	40					
Solder Reflow Operator	1-29-76	1250-1430	3.4	PBZ	20					
1975 ACGIH TLV and	ě.	980								
*DR7 - Personal Bro	PR7 - Personal Breathing Zone									

\*PBZ - Personal Breathing Zone
\*\*mg/m<sup>3</sup> - Milligrams of substance per cubic meter of air
Isopropyl Alcohol - Limit of detection 0.01 mg/sample

----

## TABLE VII

### METAL WELDING AND BRAZING ASSEMBLING DEWCELLS AND CONDUCTIVITY CELLS - BUILDING #3

## THE FOXBORO COMPANY EAST BRIDGEWATER, MASSACHUSETTS

.

## January 28, 1976

Job and/or _Location_	Date	Sampling Period	Sampling Volume (Liters)	Туре	<u>Tin</u> (mg/m <sup>3</sup> )**	Lead (mg/m <sup>3</sup> )	Particulate (mg/m <sup>3</sup> )		
Metal Welding & Brazing	1-28-76	0853-1508	637	PBZ*	L.D	0.04	0.63		
NIOSH criteria document standard The 1975 ACGIH TLV									
LD - Less than Tin - Limit of	igrams of s detectable Detection	substance per cub					4		

## TABLE VIII

## WAVE SOLDER PROCESS TO SOLDER ETCHED CIRCUIT BOARD ASSEMBLIES, 63/37 LEAD/TIN WITH WATER SOLUABLE FLUX - BUILDING #2

## THE FOXBORO COMPANY EAST BRIDGEWATER, MASSACHUSETTS

## January 28, 1976

Job and/or Location	Date	Sampling Period	Sample <u>Volume</u> (Liters)	Туре	<u>Tin</u> (mg/m <sup>3</sup> )**	$\frac{\text{Lead}}{(\text{mg/m}^3)}$
Wave Solder Operator	1-28-76	0832-1500	660	PBZ*	0.03	0.08
NIOSH criteria The 1975 ACGIH	AND AND COMPANYARY AND A DEVELOPMENT OF	dard			10	0.15
*PBZPersonal	Breathing Zo	ne				

\*\*mg/m<sup>3</sup> - Milligrams of substance per cubic meter of air

## TABLE IX

#### POTTING ROOM POTTING OF TRANSFORMERS AND BUSS BARS - BUILDING #3

## THE FOXBORO COMPANY EAST BRIDGEWATER, MASSACHUSETTS

## January 29, 1976

Job and/or Location	Date	Sampling Period	Sample <u>Volume</u> (Liters)	Туре	<u>Toluene</u> (mg/m <sup>3</sup> )**	<u>Naphtha</u> (mg/m <sup>3</sup> )	Butyl <u>Alcohol</u> (mg/m <sup>3</sup> )	Ethyl <u>Cellosolve</u> (mg/m <sup>3</sup> )
Potting Room	1-29-76	0737-1255	14.1	GA*	0.01	29	0.01	0.01
Potting Room	1-29-76	1300-1500	6.2	GA	0.01	350	0.01	0.01
The NIOSH cri The 1975 ACGI		cument stand	ard		375	400	- 450	.370

\*GA - General Area \*\*mg/m<sup>3</sup> - Milligrams of substance per cubic meter of air Toluene - Limit of detection 0.01 mg/sample Butyl Alcohol - Limit of detection 0.01 mg/sample Naphtha - Limit of detection 0.1 mg/sample Ethyl Cellosolve - Limit of detection 0.01 mg/sample

## TABLE X

#### DRY FILM APPLICATION APPLYING PHOTO SENSITIVE FILM IN THE ETCHED CIRCUIT BOARD PROCESS - BUILDING #3

## THE FOXBORO COMPANY EAST BRIDGEWATER, MASSACHUSETTS

## January 28, 1976

Job and/or _Location	<u>Date</u>	Sampling Period	Sample Volume (Liters)	Туре	Butyl <u>Cellosolve</u> (mg/m <sup>3</sup> )**	
Film Applicati	on 1-28-76	0922-1457	19	PBZ*	0.01	
The 1975 ACGIH	I TLV				240	
*PBZ - Personal Breathing Zone **mg/m <sup>3</sup> - Milligrams of substance per cubic meter of air						

an (1) a

a con asses

\*\*mg/m<sup>3</sup> - Milligrams of substance per cubic meter of air Butyl Cellosolve - Limit of detection 0.01 mg/sample