



# ZINC OXIDE

7502

ZnO MW: 81.38 CAS: 1314-13-2 RTECS: ZH4810000

METHOD: 7502, Issue 3

EVALUATION: FULL

Issue 1: 15 February 1984  
Issue 3: 20 October 2015

OSHA: 5 mg/m<sup>3</sup> (fume, resp dust); 15 mg/m<sup>3</sup> (total dust)  
NIOSH: 5 mg/m<sup>3</sup>, C 15 mg/m<sup>3</sup> (15 min) (dust); 5 mg/m<sup>3</sup>, STEL  
10 mg/m<sup>3</sup> (fume)

PROPERTIES: Solid; d 5.61 g/cm<sup>3</sup> @ 25 °C; MP 1975 °C

SYNONYMS: China white; zinc white; zincite

SAMPLING	MEASUREMENT
<p><b>SAMPLER:</b> FILTER (0.8 µm PVC membrane, 25 mm diameter, in open-face cassette)</p> <p><b>FLOW RATE:</b> 1 L/min to 3 L/min</p> <p><b>VOL-MIN:</b> 10 L <b>-MAX:</b> 400 L</p> <p><b>SHIPMENT:</b> Routine</p> <p><b>SAMPLE STABILITY:</b> Stable</p> <p><b>BLANKS:</b> 2 to 10 field blanks per set</p> <p><b>BULK SAMPLE:</b> High-volume air sample required</p>	<p><b>TECHNIQUE:</b> X-RAY POWDER DIFFRACTION</p> <p><b>ANALYTE:</b> Crystalline zinc oxide; direct analysis on filter</p> <p><b>XRD:</b> Copper target X-ray tube; optimize for intensity; 1° slit; graphite monochromator; scintillation detector; slow step scan, 0.02 degrees per 10 seconds; integrated intensity with background subtraction</p> <p><b>CALIBRATION:</b> Suspensions of zinc oxide in 2-propanol</p> <p><b>RANGE:</b> 50 µg to 2000 µg per sample</p> <p><b>ESTIMATED LOD:</b> 5 µg per sample</p> <p><b>PRECISION (<math>\bar{S}_r</math>):</b> 0.15 @ 1 mg/m<sup>3</sup>; 0.05 for greater than 2 mg/m<sup>3</sup></p>
ACCURACY	
<p><b>RANGE STUDIED:</b> 0.1 mg/m<sup>3</sup> to 11 mg/m<sup>3</sup> [1,2] (180 L samples)</p> <p><b>BIAS:</b> 2.7% [2,3,4]</p> <p><b>OVERALL PRECISION (<math>\hat{S}_{rt}</math>):</b> 0.09 [2]</p> <p><b>ACCURACY:</b> ±21.6%</p>	

**APPLICABILITY:** The working range is 0.25 mg/m<sup>3</sup> to 10 mg/m<sup>3</sup> for a 200 L air sample. The method does not distinguish zinc oxide fume from zinc oxide dust.

**INTERFERENCES:** Major interferences include ferric oxide, zinc, diamminedichlorozinc, triammonium pentachlorozincate(3-), diammonium tetrachlorozincate(2-), and diammonium zinc disulfate hexahydrate; these are resolved by using alternate analyte peaks. Particle size affects intensity measurements.

**OTHER METHODS:** This method combines and replaces NIOSH methods P&CAM 222 [1] and S316 [5]. The criteria document contains an elemental analysis for zinc [6].

**REAGENTS:**

1. Zinc oxide, ACS reagent grade. Average particle size between 0.5  $\mu\text{m}$  and 10  $\mu\text{m}$ .
2. 2-Propanol.\*
3. Desiccant.
4. Glue or tape for securing filters to XRD holders.

\*See SPECIAL PRECAUTIONS.

**EQUIPMENT:**

1. Sampler: polyvinyl chloride (PVC) or PVC-acrylonitrile membrane filters, 25 mm diameter, 0.8  $\mu\text{m}$  pore size; three-piece filter cassette.  
NOTE: An extension cowl on the filter cassette is desirable to produce a more uniform deposit and to prevent contamination of the open-face filter during sampling.
2. Personal sampling pump, 1 L/min to 3 L/min, with flexible connecting tubing.
3. High-volume sampling pump, 10 L/min.
4. X-ray powder diffractometer equipped with copper target X-ray tube and scintillation detector.
5. Reference specimen (mica, Arkansas stone or other stable standard) for data normalization.
6. Filtration apparatus and side arm vacuum flask with 25 mm filter holders.
7. Analytical balance (0.01 mg), magnetic stirrer, ultrasonic bath or probe, volumetric pipettes and flasks, desiccator, reagent bottles with ground glass stoppers, drying oven, polyethylene wash bottle.

---

**SPECIAL PRECAUTIONS:** 2-Propanol is flammable.

---

**SAMPLING:**

1. Calibrate each personal sampling pump with a representative sampler in line.
2. Sample open-face at 1 L/min to 3 L/min for a total sample size of 10 L to 400 L. Do not exceed 2 mg total dust loading on the filter.
3. Take a high-volume (4000 L) air sample using a clean sampler and high-volume sampling pump in the same area as the personal sample(s) for qualitative identification.

**SAMPLE PREPARATION:**

4. Mount field samples and blanks on XRD sample holders using appropriate methods for securing the sample to the XRD holder.

**CALIBRATION AND QUALITY CONTROL:**

5. Prepare two suspensions of zinc oxide in 2-propanol by weighing 10 mg and 50 mg of the dry powder to the nearest 0.01 mg. Quantitatively transfer to a 1 L glass-stoppered bottle using 1 L 2-propanol.
6. Suspend the powder in 2-propanol by using an ultrasonic probe or bath for 20 min. Immediately move the flask to a magnetic stirrer with thermally-insulated top and add a stirring bar to the suspension. Cool the solution to room temperature before withdrawing aliquots.
7. Prepare a series of standard filters using the 10 mg/L and 50 mg/L suspensions. Using appropriate pipets, prepare a sufficient number of standards in triplicate to cover the analytical range (or sample range if known). Standards at 20  $\mu\text{g}$ , 30  $\mu\text{g}$ , 50  $\mu\text{g}$ , 100  $\mu\text{g}$ , 200  $\mu\text{g}$ , and 500  $\mu\text{g}$  are usually sufficient.

8. Mount a filter on the filtration apparatus. Place several mL 2-propanol on the filter surface. Turn off the stirrer and shake vigorously by hand. Within a few seconds of setting the bottle down, remove the lid and withdraw an aliquot from the center of the suspension. Do not adjust the volume in the pipet by expelling part of the suspension. If more than the desired aliquot is withdrawn, return all of the suspension to the bottle, rinse and dry the pipet. Transfer the aliquot from the pipet to the filter funnel, keeping the tip of the pipet near the surface of the liquid but not submerged.
9. Rinse the pipet with several mL of 2-propanol, draining the rinse into the funnel. Repeat the rinse several more times.
10. Apply vacuum and rapidly filter the suspension. Leave vacuum on until filter is dry. Do not wash down the sides of the funnel after the deposit is in place to avoid disturbing it. Transfer the filter to the XRD sample mount.
11. Perform step scans on the standards using the same diffraction peaks and instrumental conditions as for samples (step 16). The resulting intensities for standards,  $I_x^o$ , are normalized in the following procedure.
12. Determine the net count,  $I_r$ , of the reference specimen before or after each unknown, standard, or blank is scanned. Use a diffraction peak of high intensity that can be measured rapidly but reproducibly (less than 1%  $S_r$ ). Select a convenient normalization scale factor,  $N$ , which is approximately equivalent to the net count for the reference specimen peak. This factor never changes and should be used for all measurements made on a particular diffractometer. Calculate and record the normalized intensity,  $\hat{I}_x^o$ , for the analyte or silver peaks on each sample, field blank, media blank, and standard:

$$\hat{I}_x^o = \frac{I_x^o}{I_r} N.$$

NOTE: Normalizing to the reference specimen intensity compensates for long-term drift in X-ray tube intensity. If intensity measurements are stable, the reference specimen may be run less frequently. In this case, the net intensities of the analyte,  $I_x$ , should be normalized to the most recently measured reference intensity.

13. Prepare a calibration graph ( $\hat{I}_x^o$  vs.  $W$   $\mu\text{g}$  of analyte).
 

NOTE: Poor reproducibility at any given level indicates problems in the sample preparation technique and new standards should be made. The data should lie along a straight line. A weighted least squares ( $1/\sigma^2$  weighting) is preferable. Curvature can be eliminated with absorption corrections based on the mass absorption coefficient of the analyte [7,8,9].
14. Determine the initial slope,  $m$ , of the linear portion of the calibration graph in counts per microgram. The intercept,  $b$ , of the line with the  $\hat{I}_x^o$  axis should be approximately zero.
 

NOTE: A large negative intercept indicates an error in determining the background. This may arise from incorrectly measuring the baseline or from interference by another phase at the angle of background measurement. A large positive intercept indicates an error in determining the baseline or that an impurity is included in the measured peak.

#### MEASUREMENT:

15. Obtain a qualitative X-ray diffraction scan (broad 2-theta range) of the high-volume respirable sample to determine the presence of zinc oxide and any matrix interference. The expected diffraction peaks are as follows:

Zinc Oxide Peak (2-Theta Degrees)		
Primary	Secondary	Tertiary
36.26	31.75	34.44

16. Analyze the sample filters by step-scanning the most intense interference-free diffraction peak of zinc oxide and determine the integrated intensity. Measure the background on each side of the peak

for one half the time used for peak scanning and add the counts from each side for a total (average) background. Determine the 2-theta position of the background for each sample. The net count or intensity,  $I_x$ , is the difference between the peak integrated count and the total background count. The net intensity is normalized as in step 12 to obtain  $\hat{I}_x$ .

17. Scan each field blank over the same 2-theta range used for the analyte. These analyses serve only to verify that contamination of the filters has not occurred. The analyte peak should be absent.

#### CALCULATIONS:

18. The concentration,  $C$ , of zinc oxide in the air sample is:

$$C = \frac{(\hat{I}_x - b)}{mV}, \mu\text{g/L or mg/m}^3,$$

where:  $\hat{I}_x$  = normalized intensity for sample peak,  
 $b$  = intercept of calibration graph ( $\hat{I}_x$  vs.  $W$ ),  
 $m$  = initial slope of calibration graph (counts per microgram), and  
 $V$  = air volume sampled (L).

In heavily-loaded samples, particularly those rich in heavy elements, X-ray absorption may cause reduced intensities and underestimation of zinc oxide. If this is suspected, an absorption correction can be made [8]. The collection filters and blanks are mounted on a smooth metal plate (substrate) for XRD quantitation. The substrate should have a non-interfering diffraction peak which is measured at the same time as the zinc oxide peak. By measuring the substrate peak on both samples and blanks, an absorption correction like that in the silica method (NIOSH method 7500) can be made. The absorption correction factor must be calculated for each sample from the formula in NIOSH method 7500, which takes into account the diffraction angles for the particular substrate chosen. Atree-Williams used a silver filter under the collection filter [7].

#### EVALUATION OF METHOD:

In a comparison of this method with atomic absorption spectrophotometry [3,4], zinc was determined on 15 Gelman DM-800 filters containing added zinc oxide in the range 250  $\mu\text{g}$  to 1000  $\mu\text{g}$ . The average percent difference for the 15 pairs was 2.7%. NIOSH method S316 was validated with generated samples in the range of 2.4  $\text{mg/m}^3$  to 9.9  $\text{mg/m}^3$  [2,5,10]. A pooled  $\hat{S}_{\tau}$  of 0.088 was found for 18 samples of 180 L collected from the aerosol.

#### REFERENCES:

- [1] NIOSH [1977]. Zinc oxide in air: P&CAM 222. In: Taylor DG, ed. NIOSH manual of analytical methods. 2nd ed. Vol. 1. Cincinnati, OH: U.S. Department of Health, Education, and Welfare, Center for Disease Control, National Institute for Occupational Safety and Health, DHEW (NIOSH) Publication No. 77-157-A, available as accession No. PB274845 from NTIS [www.ntis.gov].
- [2] NIOSH [1977]. Backup data report: zinc oxide fume, No. S316. In: Ten NIOSH analytical methods, set 3. Cincinnati, OH: U.S. Department of Health, Education, and Welfare, Center for Disease Control, National Institute for Occupational Safety and Health, available as accession No. PB275834 from NTIS [www.ntis.gov]. Unpublished.
- [3] Haartz JC, Bolyard ML, Abell MT [1975]. Quantitation of zinc chloride and zinc oxide in airborne samples. Unpublished paper presented at the American Industrial Hygiene Conference, Minneapolis, MN, June 1–6.
- [4] Dollberg DD, Abell MT, Lange BA [1980]. Occupational health analytical chemistry: quantitation using x-ray powder diffraction. In: Dollberg DD, Verstuyft AW, eds. Analytical techniques in

- occupational health chemistry. Washington, DC: American Chemical Society, ACS Symposium Series No. 120, pp. 43–65.
- [5] NIOSH [1978]. Zinc oxide fume: Method S316. In: Taylor DG, ed. NIOSH manual of analytical methods. 2nd ed. Vol. 4. Cincinnati, OH: U.S. Department of Health, Education, and Welfare, Center for Disease Control, National Institute for Occupational Safety and Health, DHEW (NIOSH) Publication No. 78-175, available as accession No. PB83-105437 from NTIS [[www.ntis.gov](http://www.ntis.gov)].
- [6] NIOSH [1975]. Criteria for a recommended standard: occupational exposure to zinc oxide. Cincinnati, OH: U.S. Department of Health, Education, and Welfare, Center for Disease Control, National Institute for Occupational Safety and Health, DHEW (NIOSH) Publication No. 76-104 [[www.cdc.gov/niosh/docs/1970/76-104.html](http://www.cdc.gov/niosh/docs/1970/76-104.html)].
- [7] Atree-Williams S, Lee J, Mezin NV [1977]. Quantitative x-ray diffractometry on respirable dust collected on Nuclepore filters. *Ann Occup Hyg* 20(2):109–126.
- [8] Leroux J, Powers CA [1970]. Direct x-ray diffraction quantitative analysis of quartz in industrial dust films deposited on silver membrane filters. *Occup Health Rev* 21(1):26–34.
- [9] Williams PP [1959]. Direct quantitative diffractometric analysis. *Anal Chem* 31(11):1842–1844.
- [10] NIOSH [1980]. Development and validation of methods for sampling and analysis of workplace toxic substances. By Gunderson EC, Anderson CC, Smith RH, Doemeny LJ. Cincinnati, OH: U.S. Department of Health and Human Services, Centers for Disease Control, National Institute for Occupational Safety and Health, DHHS (NIOSH) Publication No. 80-133, available as accession No. PB89-182042 from NTIS [[www.ntis.gov](http://www.ntis.gov)].

**METHOD WRITTEN BY:**

D. D. Dollberg, Ph.D, and M. T. Abell, NIOSH/DPSE.

**DISCLAIMER:** Mention of any company or product does not constitute endorsement by NIOSH. In addition, citations to websites external to NIOSH do not constitute NIOSH endorsement of the sponsoring organizations or their programs or products. Furthermore, NIOSH is not responsible for the content of these websites. All web addresses referenced in this document were accessible as of the publication date.