

IWAW

AW: Table 1					CAS		RTECS: Table 2		
METHOD: 73	METHOD: 7306, Issue 1			EVALUATION: FULL				Issue 1: 10 September 2015	
OSHA PELs: NIOSH RELs: OTHER OELs		PROPERTIES: Table 1							
ELEMENTS:	aluminum antimony arsenic barium beryllium	calcium chromium cobalt	indium iron lanthanum lead lithium	magnes mangai molybd nickel phosph	nese lenum	potassium selenium silver strontium tin	thallium titanium	yttrium zirconium zinc	
		SAMPLING					MEASUR	EMENT	
SAMPLER:	inlet openir	Internal capsule, cellulose acetate dome with inlet opening, attached to 0.8-µm pore size mixed cellulose ester (MCE) membrane filter				••••		VELY COUPLED PLASMA – EMISSION SPECTROMETRY	
	and housed within a 2-p		-		ANALYTES: SAMPLE DISSOLUTION:		Elements above		
FLOWRATE:	DWRATE: 1 to 4 L/min						Hotplate digestion (NIOSH 7300 or 7301) microwave digestion (NIOSH 7302) or		
VOL-MIN: -MAX:	Table 1 Table 1						hot block extraction (NIOSH 7303)		
SHIPMENT:	ENT: Routine			SOLU		SOLUTION: Dependen method		ent upon sample preparation	
SAMPLE STABILITY:	AMPLE TABILITY: Stable				WAVELENGTH:		Depends upon element; See Table 3		
BLANKS:						BACKGROUND CORRECTION: Spectral w		vavelength shift	
	ACCURACY						Elements in acid matrix-matched to the		
RANGES STU	RANGES STUDIED: Tables 3 a		nd 4	id 4			sample; varies depending on sample preparation method		
BIAS:		Table 4			RANG	iE:	Varies with e	element	
OVERALL PR	OVERALL PRECISION (Ŝ _r) Table 4					ESTIMATED LOD: Table 3			
ACCURACY:		Table 4			PRECISION ($\overline{S_r}$): Table 4				

APPLICABILITY: The working range of this method is $4 \times 10^{-5} \text{ mg/m}^3$ to 10 mg/m^3 for each element in a 500-L air sample. This is simultaneous elemental analysis, not compound specific. Verify that the types of compounds in the samples are soluble with the dissolution procedure selected. Some compounds of these elements require special sample treatment.

INTERFERNCES: Spectral interferences are the primary interferences encountered in ICP-AES analysis. These are minimized by judicious wavelength selection, interelement correction factors and background correction [3,4].

OTHER METHODS: The internal capsule sampler used in this method is a recommended alternative to filter-only sampling [5] of NIOSH methods 7300 [6], 7301 [7], 7302 [8] and 7303 [9]. Use of an internal capsule sampler is an efficient means to account for sampler wall deposits that would otherwise be excluded by filter-only sampling. Unless other means are used to account for non-filter deposits inside the cassettes (e.g. within-cassette extraction, rinsing or wiping), internal capsule samplers should be used. OSHA method ID-125G [10] describes ICP-AES multielement analysis after hotplate digestion using nitric acid, sulfuric acid and hydrogen peroxide. ASTM D7035 [11] and ISO 15202 [12] are related voluntary consensus standard ICP-AES methods for multielement sampling and analysis of workplace atmospheres.

REAGENTS:

- 1. Nitric acid (HNO₃)*, concentrated, Trace metal grade
- 2. Hydrochloric acid (HCl)*, conc., Trace metal grade (only required if hydrochloric acid digestion is to be carried out)
- Perchloric acid (HClO₄)*, conc., optima (only required if perchloric acid digestion is to be carried out)
- Calibration stock solutions, 1000 μg/L: Commercially available; or prepared per instrument manufacturer's recommendation
- Dilution acid: 20% nitric acid* (7302);
 4% nitric acid:1% perchloric acid* (7300);
 5% aqua regia (7301)*; or 5% nitric acid:
 5% hydrochloric acid* (7303) [dilution acid is dependent upon sample preparation method used]
- 6. Argon, as specified by ICP-AES manufacturer
- 7. Deionized water, ASTM Type II [13] or equivalent
- * See SPECIAL PRECAUTIONS

EQUIPMENT:

- Sampler: cellulose acetate internal capsule attached to mixed cellulose ester membrane filter, 0.8-µm pore size; 37-mm diameter, in 2-piece, closed-face cassette filter holder
- 2. Personal sampling pump, 1 to 4 L/min, with flexible connecting tubing, capable of maintaining constant flow
- 3. Inductively coupled plasma-atomic emission spectrometer, equipped as specified by the manufacturer for analysis of elements of interest
- 4. Regulator, two-stage, for argon
- 5. Beakers, Phillips, 125-mL, or Griffin, 50-mL, with watch glass covers**
- 6. Volumetric flasks, 10-, 25-, 100-mL, and 1-L**
- 7. Assorted volumetric pipets, as needed**
- 8. Forceps, plastic or plastic-tipped
- 9. Hotplate (NIOSH 7300 or 7301), microwave oven (NIOSH 7302) or hot block (NIOSH 7303) [6-9].
- 10. Centrifuge tubes, 50-mL or sized appropriately for hot block apparatus.
- ** Clean all glassware with conc. nitric acid and rinse thoroughly in deionized water before use

SPECIAL PRECAUTIONS: All perchloric acid digestions must be carried out in a perchloric acid fume hood. When working with concentrated acids, wear protective clothing, safety goggles and gloves. All work should be performed with adequate ventilation for personnel and equipment. It is imperative that acid be added to water in order to avoid a violent exothermic reaction.

SAMPLING:

- 1. Calibrate each personal sampling pump with a representative sampler in line. NOTE: See NMAM guidance chapters for discussion on sampling.
- 2. Sample at an accurately known flow rate between 1 and 4 L/min (\pm 5%) for a total sample size of <1 to 2000 L (see Table 1) for TWA measurements. Do not exceed a sampler loading of approximately 5 mg total dust.

NOTE: Filter overloading can be assessed by periodic visual checks. See NMAM guidance chapters for additional discussion on filter capacity.

SAMPLE PREPARATION:

- 3. Open the cassette filter holders and, using nonmetal forceps, transfer the samples and blanks to clean digestion vessels.
 - NOTE: Samples may not easily fit into the digestion vessels. Care must be taken to ensure no sample is lost during the placement of the samples in the digestion vessels.

- Carry out sample dissolution in accordance with one of the sample preparation procedures described in NIOSH 7300, 7301, 7302, or 7303 [6-9].
 NOTE The dissolution and lowely within the usereal should example a model.
 - NOTE: The dissolution acid level within the vessel should cover the internal capsule.
- 5. After allowing to cool to room temperature, transfer the solutions quantitatively to 25-mL volumetric flasks.
- 6. Dilute to volume. NOTE: If greater sensitivity is required, the final sample volume may be held to 10 mL.

CALIBRATION AND QUALITY CONTROL:

- Calibrate the spectrometer according to the manufacturer recommendations. NOTE: Typically, an acid blank and 1.0 μg/mL multielement calibration standards are used. The following multielement combinations are chemically compatible in 5% HNO₃:
- a. Al, As, Ba, Be, Ca, Cd, Co, Cr, Cu, Fe, La, In, Na
- b. Ag, K, Li, Mg, Mn, Ni, P, Pb, Se, Sr, Tl, V, Y, Zn, Sc
- c. Mo, Sb, Sn, Te, Ti, W, Zr
- 8. Analyze at least one calibration standard per ten samples.
- 9. Check recoveries with at least one media blank and two spiked media blanks per twenty samples. Media should be spiked with analytes of interest.
 - NOTE: Whenever possible, QA/QC samples should be prepared from certified reference materials in a matrix similar to the bulk material sampled. Liquid spiked filters are only surrogates for real world samples and QC data based upon certified samples are preferred.

MEASUREMENT:

- 10. Set ICP-AES spectrometer to conditions specified by manufacturer.
- 11. Analyze standards and samples by ICP-AES in accordance with manufacturer recommendations. NOTE: If the values for the samples are above the range of the standards, dilute the solutions (ensuring the samples remain acid matrix-matched to the calibration standards), reanalyze and apply the appropriate dilution factor in the calculations.

CALCULATIONS:

- 12. Obtain the solution concentrations for the sample, C_s (μ g/mL), and the average media blank , C_b (μ g/mL), from the instrument.
- 13. Using the solution volumes of sample, V_s (mL), and media blank, V_b (mL), calculate the concentration, C mg/m³), of each element in the air volume sampled, V (L):

$$C = \frac{(C_s V_s) - (C_b V_b)}{V} , mg/m^3$$

NOTE: µg/L is approximately equal to mg/m³

EVALUATION OF METHOD:

A previous interlaboratory investigation of aerosol-loaded cellulosic capsules (provided by SKC, Inc., Eighty-Four, PA) provided the background information for the development of this method [14]. That investigation yielded data for Cd, Cr, Co, Cu, Fe, Mn, Ni and Pb [14]. Recoveries for these elements were quantitative and values of overall relative standard deviation were <0.20 [14], which compare favorably with the variability typically observed in interlaboratory multielement analysis of air samples [15,16].

To obtain performance data for additional elements, interlaboratory performance data were obtained using 37-mm diameter cellulose acetate internal capsules attached to mixed-cellulose ester filters (Solu-sert™ from

Zefon International, Ocala, FL). Solu-sert[™] capsules were dosed with 33 elements at three different spiking levels (spike levels certified by High-Purity Standards, North Charleston, SC), listed in Table 3. Sets of the spiked samples were conveyed to participating volunteer laboratories and analyzed by ICP-AES after sample dissolution. A variety of sample preparation procedures were used by the labs including NIOSH 7300, 7301, 7302, 7303, hot plate digestion utilizing nitric acid, sulfuric acid and hydrogen peroxide (modified NIOSH 7300), and microwave assisted digestion using nitric acid and hydrogen peroxide (modified NIOSH 7302). Results were received from 9 laboratories; however, not every spiked element was reported by each laboratory. Individual sample results may be found in the backup data report [17]. Statistical calculations were performed using SAS Software (version 9.2, SAS Institute Inc., Cary, NC). For each data subset, Grubbs' test at 1% confidence level was used to identify outliers, which if identified, were removed prior to further statistical calculations. No statistically significant differences were found between the laboratory results for the spiked samples; therefore, all reported data (regardless of the sample preparation method) are included in Tables 3 & 4. Recoveries were quantitative (as defined in Kennedy, et al. [18]) and interlaboratory variability was <0.20 for most elements and loading levels (Table 3). Mean overall recoveries below 90% were found only for Cr, K, and W at low loadings and for Ag at medium and high spike levels and for In at the high spike level. RSD values > 0.20 were found only for Sn at the low spike level, Ag at medium and high spike levels, and In and K at all loadings. Results for precision, bias and accuracy are summarized in Table 4.

With the domed top, the internal capsule can be difficult to fit into standard sample digestion vessels. One way to achieve this is to place the samplers into the vessels by bending them slightly inward (using coated forceps) and to push them into the bottom of the vessel to ensure they are covered with the digestion acids. Care must be taken to ensure that sample is not lost in this process. Additional guidance is available from the internal capsule manufacturer [19].

While no statistically significant differences were found based upon the sample preparation, it is important to note that interlaboratory variation is included in those calculations. Some differences in the sample preparation methods may have been statistically significant without that variability. Of particular importance are the less than quantitative recoveries for Sb, Sn, and Ti using NIOSH 7300. This sample preparation (as written) may not be amenable to the analysis of those elements. While this method lists several options for sample preparation, it is imperative that the suitability of the particular sample preparation method for the analytes of interest be considered.

Appreciable (>0.5 μ g) media background levels were reported by Certified Reference Material (CRM) provider and/or the participating laboratories for several elements, notably Al, Ca, Cr, Fe, In, K, Mg, P, Sb, Se, and Tl. Trace media background levels of a few other elements, i.e., Ba, Cu and Zn, were also obtained. This background was effectively corrected for, as evidenced by the quantitative recoveries obtained for the vast majority of the elements and loading levels. Where the background levels may pose a greater influence is in the calculation of the method LOD. Method LODs (calculated using the standard deviation of blank responses) for internal capsule samples were greater than those calculated for MCE filters alone for many of the elements [17]. Care should be taken in choosing the appropriate media in concert with the expected sample concentrations.

REFERENCES:

- [1] ACGIH [2014]. TLVs and BEIs based on the documentation of the Threshold Limit Values for chemical substances and physical agents and biological exposure indices. Cincinnati, Ohio: American Conference of Governmental Industrial Hygienists [www.acgih.org]. Date accessed May 2015.
- [2] Institut fur Arbeitsschutz der Deutschen Gesetzlichen Unfallversicherung [2013]. GESTIS database on hazardous substances (German Social Accident Insurance). Sankt Augustin, FRG: [http://www.dguv.de/ifa/GESTIS]. Date accessed May 2015.

- [3] Dean JA [1995]. Atomic spectroscopy. In: Analytical Chemistry Handbook; Section 7. New York, NY: McGraw-Hill.
- [4] Montaser A, Golightly DW, eds. [1992]. Inductively coupled plasmas in analytical atomic spectrometry. 2nd ed. Hoboken, NJ: John Wiley & Sons, Inc.
- [5] Ashley K, Harper M [2013]. Closed-face filter cassette (CFC) sampling Guidance on procedures for inclusion of material adhering to internal sampler surfaces. J Occup Environ Hyg 10:D29-D33.
- [6] NIOSH [1994]. Elements by ICP (Nitric/Perchloric Acid Ashing): Method 7300. In: Schlecht PC, O'Connor PF, eds. NIOSH manual of analytical methods. 4th ed. Cincinnati, OH: U.S. Department of Health and Human Services, Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, National Institute for Occupational Safety and Health, DHHS (NIOSH) Publication No. 94-113 [www.cdc.gov/niosh/ nmam/].
- [7] NIOSH [1994]. Elements by ICP: Method 7301. In: Schlecht PC, O'Connor PF, eds. NIOSH manual of analytical methods. 4th ed. Cincinnati, OH: U.S. Department of Health and Human Services, Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, National Institute for Occupational Safety and Health, DHHS (NIOSH) Publication No. 94-113 [www.cdc.gov/niosh/nmam/].
- [8] NIOSH [2014]. Elements by ICP (Microwave Digestion): Method 7302. In: Ashley K, O'Connor PF, eds. NIOSH manual of analytical methods. 5th ed. Cincinnati, OH: U.S. Department of Health and Human Services, Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, National Institute for Occupational Safety and Health, DHHS (NIOSH) Publication No. 2014-151 [www.cdc.gov/niosh/nmam/].
- [9] NIOSH [1994]. Elements by ICP (Hot Block/HCI/HNO₃ Digestion): Method 7303. In: Schlecht PC, O'Connor PF, eds. NIOSH manual of analytical methods. 4th ed. Cincinnati, OH: U.S. Department of Health and Human Services, Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, National Institute for Occupational Safety and Health, DHHS (NIOSH) Publication No. 94-113 [www.cdc.gov/niosh/ nmam/].
- [10] OSHA [2002]. Metal and metalloid particulates in workplace atmospheres (ICP analysis). In: OSHA Sampling and Analytical Methods. Sandy, UT: Occupational Safety and Health Administration.
- [11] ASTM International [2010]. ASTM D7035, Standard test method for the determination of metals and metalloids in workplace air by inductively coupled plasma atomic emission spectrometry. West Conshohocken, PA: ASTM International.
- [12] ISO [2011]. ISO 15202, Workplace air Determination of metals and metalloids in airborne particulate matter by inductively coupled plasma atomic emission spectrometry (3 parts). Geneva, Switzerland: International Organization for Standardization.
- [13] ASTM [2011]. ASTM D1193, Standard specification for reagent water. West Conshohocken, PA: ASTM International.
- [14] Harper M, Ashley K [2013]. Acid-soluble internal capsules for closed-face cassette elemental sampling and analysis of workplace air. J Occup Environ Hyg *10*:297-306.
- [15] Ashley K, Shulman SA, Brisson MJ, Howe AM [2012]. Interlaboratory evaluation of trace element determination in workplace air samples by inductively coupled plasma mass spectrometry. J Environ Monit *14*:360-367.
- [16] Stacey P, Butler OT [2008]. Performance of laboratories analysing welding fume on filter samples Results from the WASP Proficiency Testing Scheme. Ann Occup Hyg *52*:287-295.
- [17] Ashley K, Andrews RN, Feng HA [2014]. Elements by cellulosic internal sampler and ICP-AES, NIOSH 7306, Backup Data Report. Cincinnati, OH, Unpublished.
- [18] NIOSH [1995]. Guidelines for air sampling and analytical method development and evaluation. By Kennedy ER, Fischbach TJ, Song R, Eller PM, Shulman SA. Cincinnati, OH: U.S. Department of Health and Human Services, Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, National Institute for Occupational Safety and Health, DHHS (NIOSH) Publication No. 95–117.
- [19] Zefon International. Operating instructions Solu-Sert[™] soluble filter capsule. Ocala, FL: Zefon International. [http://www.zefon.com/analytical/download/Solu-Sert_IFU.pdf] Date accessed: May 2015.

- [20] NIOSH [1994]. Measurement uncertainty and NIOSH method accuracy: Chapter P. In: Schlecht PC, O'Connor PF, eds. NIOSH manual of analytical methods. 4th ed. Cincinnati, OH: U.S. Department of Health and Human Services, Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, National Institute for Occupational Safety and Health, DHHS (NIOSH) Publication No. 94-113 [www.cdc. gov/niosh/nmam/].
- [21] Lide DR [2014]. Handbook of Chemistry and Physics, 94th ed. Boca Raton: FL, CRC Press
- [22] NIOSH [2005]. NIOSH pocket guide to chemical hazards. Barsan ME, ed. Cincinnati, OH: US Department of Health and Human Services, Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, National Institute for Occupational Safety and Health, DHHS (NIOSH) Publication No. 2005-149. [www.cdc. gov/niosh/npg].

METHOD WRITTEN BY:

Kevin Ashley, Ph.D., Ronnee N. Andrews, Ph.D., and H. Amy Feng, NIOSH/DART.

Disclaimer: Mention of any company or product does not constitute endorsement by NIOSH. In addition, citations to websites external to NIOSH do not constitute NIOSH endorsement of the sponsoring organizations or their programs or products. Furthermore, NIOSH is not responsible for the content of these websites. All web addresses referenced in this document were accessible as of the publication date.

	Atomic Weight		Volume, L @ OSHA PEL [#]		
Element (Symbol)	(AW)	MP, °C	MIN ^β	MAX ^c	
Silver (Ag)	107.87	961	6	>2000 ^D	
Aluminum (Al)	26.98	660	<1	330	
Arsenic (As)	74.92	817	32	>2000	
Barium (Ba)	137.34	727	3	>2000	
Beryllium (Be)	9.01	1287	10	>2000	
Calcium (Ca)	40.08	842			
Cadmium (Cd)	112.41	321	3	>2000	
Cobalt (Co)	58.93	1495	<1	>2000	
Chromium (Cr)	52.00	1907	1	>2000	
Copper (Cu)	63.54	1083	<1	>2000	
Iron (Fe)	55.85	1538	2	500	
Indium (In)	114.82	156	8	>2000	
Potassium (K)	39.10	64			
Lanthanum (La)	138.91	920			
Lithium (Li)	6.94	181			
Magnesium (Mg)	24.31	651	<1	330	
Manganese (Mn)	54.94	1246	<1	1000	
Molybdenum (Mo)	95.94	2623	<1	330	
Nickel (Ni)	58.71	1455	2	>2000	
Phosphorus (P)	30.97	44	9	>2000	
Lead (Pb)	207.19	328	4	>2000	
Antimony (Sb)	121.75	631	1	>2000	
Selenium (Se)	78.96	221	2	>2000	
Tin (Sn)	118.69	232	<1	>2000	
Strontium (Sr)	87.62	777			
Tellurium (Te)	127.60	450	7	>2000	
Titanium (Ti)	47.87	1668			
Thallium (Tl)	204.37	304	1	>2000	
Vanadium (V)	50.94	1910			
Tungsten (W)	183.85	3422			
Yttrium (Y)	88.91	1522	<1	>2000	
Zinc (Zn)	65.37	419			
Zirconium (Zr)	91.22	1855	<1	1000	

^A Exposure limits listed in Table 2.

^B Min calculated using the method quantitation limits following NIOSH 7302 sample preparation.

^c Max calculated using 5 mg of sample collected.

^D Indicates that the calculated maximum volume is greater than the volume collected at the maximum flow rate (4 L/min) of the method for an 8-hour shift.

Element			Exposure Limits				
(Symbol)	CAS #	RTECS #	OSHA PEL (mg/m ³)	NIOSH REL (mg/m ³)			
Silver (Ag)	7440-22-4	VW3500000	0.01 (dust, fume, metal)	0.01 (metal, soluble)			
Aluminum (Al)	7429-90-5	BD0330000	15 (total dust) 5 (respirable)	10 (total dust) 5 (respirable fume) 2 (soluble)			
Arsenic (As)	7440-38-2	CG0525000	0.010	C ^E 0.002 (15 min), Ca ^F 0.5			
Barium (Ba)	7440-39-3	CQ8370000	0.5				
Beryllium (Be)	7440-41-7	DS1750000	0.002, C 0.005	C 0.0005, Ca			
Calcium (Ca)	7440-70-2						
Cadmium (Cd)	7440-43-9	EU9800000	0.005	lowest feasible, Ca			
Cobalt (Co)	7440-48-4	GF8750000	0.1 (dust, fume)	0.05 (dust, fume)			
Chromium (Cr)	7440-47-3	GB4200000	1 (metals, insoluble salts) 0.5 (Cr II & Cr III)	0.5			
Copper (Cu)	7440-50-8	GL5325000	1 (dust, mists) 0.1 (fume)	1 (dust, mists) 0.1 (fume)			
Iron (Fe)	7439-89-6	NO4565500	10 (fume) as oxide	5 (dust, fume) oxide as Fe			
Indium (In)	7440-74-6	NL1050000	0.1	0.1			
Potassium (K)	7440-09-7	TS6460000					
Lanthanum	7439-91-0		-	-			
Lithium (Li)	7439-93-2	OJ5540000					
Magnesium (Mg)	7439-95-4	OM2100000	15 (dust) as oxide				
Manganese (Mn)	7439-96-5	009275000	C 5	C 1; STEL ^G 3			
Molybdenum (Mo)	7439-98-7	QA4680000	5 (soluble) 15 (total insoluble dust)				
Nickel (Ni)	7440-02-0	QR5950000	1	0.015, Ca			
Phosphorus (P)	7723-14-0	TH3500000	0.1	0.1			
Lead (Pb)	7439-92-1	OF7525000	0.05	0.05			
Antimony (Sb)	7440-36-0	CC4025000	0.5	0.5			
Selenium (Se)	7782-49-2	VS7700000	0.2	0.2			
Tin (Sn)	7440-31-5	XP7320000	2	2			
Strontium (Sr)	7440-24-6	WK7700000	-	-			
Tellurium (Te)	13494-80-9	WY2625000	0.1	0.1			
Titanium (Ti)	7440-32-6	XR1700000	15 as dioxide	Ca as the oxide			
Thallium (Tl)	7440-28-0	XG3425000	0.1 (skin) (soluble)	0.1 (skin) (soluble)			
Vanadium (V)	7440-62-2	YW1355000	C 0.5 (respirable) as pentoxide	C 0.05 as pentoxide			
Tungsten (W)	7440-33-7	YO7175000		5; STEL 10			
Yttrium (Y)	7440-65-5	ZG2980000	1	1			
Zinc (Zn)	7440-66-6	ZG8600000	5 (fume, respirable dust) as oxide	5 (dust, fume) as oxide C 15 (dust) as oxide STEL 10 (fume) as oxide			
Zirconium (Zr)	7440-67-7	ZH7070000	15 (total dust) as oxide 5	5; STEL 10 (lume) as oxide			

^c C: ceiling

^F Ca: carcinogen

^G STEL: short-term exposure limit

ELEMENTS by Cellulosic Internal Capsule Sampler: METHOD 7306, Issue 1, dated 10 September 2015 - Page 9 of 11

	Wavelength [⊬]	LOD	Low Level	% Recovery	Medium	% Recovery	High Level	% Recovery
Element	(λ), nm	(ug/sample)	(ug/sample)	(%RSD; N [,])	level	(%RSD; N ^J)	(ug/ sample)	(%RSD; N [,])
					(ug/ sample)			
Ag	328.068	0.020	5.0	93.2 (17; 7)	10.1	83.5 (26; 7)	20.1	68.2 (52; 7)
Al	396.152	0.38	10.6	95.7 (6.1; 7)	30.9	95.7 (3.1; 7)	60.8	96.2 (2.4; 7)
As	189.042	0.099	5.0	99.4 (7.3; 7)	20.2	103 (5.3; 8)	40.1	102 (5.8; 8)
Ва	455.404	0.55	2.21	97.0 (8.4; 8)	7.3	96.9 (2.5; 7 ^ĸ)	15.2	99.9 (5.0; 8)
Be	313.042	0.0064	2.01	101 (4.4; 9)	7.0	101 (6.8; 9)	14.9	100 (5.1; 9)
Ca	315.887	3.9	114	95.8 (16; 8)	165	97.4 (15; 8)	215	95.8 (13; 8)
Cd	226.502	0.0052	2.01	101 (3.6; 9)	7.0	101 (4.0; 9)	14.9	102 (1.9; 8)
Со	228.616	0.0090	2.01	103 (6.8; 9)	7.0	102 (5.7; 9)	14.9	102 (5.8; 9)
Cr	267.716	0.28	2.91	80.2 (16; 8)	7.9	96.3 (7.2; 9)	15.8	97.2 (3.5; 9)
Cu	324.754	0.15	3.16	100 (4.5; 8 ^к)	15.1	101 (4.0; 9)	29.9	100 (3.2; 9)
Fe	259.941	5.3	21.3	107 (15; 9)	41.0	104 (5.5; 9)	80.5	103 (5.4; 9)
In	230.606	0.26	5.0	92.1 (33; 5)	14.9	90.8 (27; 5 ^ĸ)	39.7	86.2 (32; 5)
К	766.491	0.70	10.6	89.0 (36; 5)	15.7	98.0 (24; 6)	20.7	106 (20; 6)
La	408.672	0.026	3.01	101 (8.1; 4)	10.1	102 (7.2; 4)	20.1	99.9 (7.9; 4)
Li	670.780	0.010	2.01	93.0 (8.5; 7)	7.0	94.9 (7.0; 7)	14.9	98.6 (5.2; 7)
Mg	279.079	1.1	12.7	93.9 (16; 7)	27.9	98.7 (9.1; 7)	103	101 (7.2; 7)
Mn	294.921	0.031	2.01	99.8 (7.6; 9)	7.0	100 (6.1; 9)	14.9	100 (5.7; 9)
Мо	202.095	0.021	2.01	101 (6.2; 9)	7.1	102 (4.8; 9)	15.0	102 (5.2; 9)
Ni	231.604	0.56	2.01	108 (9.6; 9)	7.0	104 (7.4; 9)	14.9	103 (7.0; 9)
Р	178.287	0.27	10.1	104 (9.0; 5)	24.9	103 (8.9; 6)	99	102 (1.7; 5 ^ĸ)
Pb	220.353	0.062	10.0	101 (6.2; 9)	25.2	100 (5.3; 9)	100	100 (7.3; 9)

^H Commonly used wavelength; choose wavelength appropriate for your instrument settings [3,4]

¹ LOD values calculated using the responses of 7 media blanks, prepared following the microwave sample preparation in NIOSH 7302

^J Mean recovery & relative standard deviation for N = number of laboratories reporting results for each element

^K Excludes outlier(s) (Grubbs' test)

TABLE 3. ME	ABLE 3. MEASUREMENT WAVELENGTHS (λ), DETECTION LIMITS (LOD) AND RECOVERY DATA FROM INTERLABORATORY RESULTS									
	Wavelength [⊬]	LOD	Low Level	% Recovery	Medium	% Recovery	High Level	% Recovery		
Element	(λ), nm	(ug/sample)	(ug/sample)	(%RSD; N ^J)	level	(%RSD; N ^J)	(ug/ sample)	(%RSD; N ^J)		
					(ug/ sample)					
Sb	206.833	0.11	5.0	99.1 (6.7; 8)	25.1	99.0 (5.7; 9)	40.2	100 (3.6; 8 ^ĸ)		
Se	196.090	0.14	3.0	112 (15; 6)	15.1	109 (8.7; 8)	30.1	104 (10; 8)		
Sn	189.991	0.065	2.01	98.7 (26; 4)	7.0	96.9 (18; 6)	14.9	103 (5.0; 5 ^ĸ)		
Sr	407.771	0.014	2.01	100 (1.9; б ^к)	7.1	102 (7.5; 7)	15.0	101 (6.1; 7)		
Te	214.281	0.22	3.0	104 (18; 5)	12.6	103 (7.7; 5)	20.1	101 (12; 5)		
Ti	334.941	0.042	2.01	105 (5.7; 7)	7.0	101 (10; 7)	14.9	103 (3.4; 6 ^ĸ)		
TI	190.864	0.046	3.0	102 (7.1; 6)	10.1	99.6 (5.8; 7)	20.1	98.3 (7.5; 7)		
V	311.071	0.0091	3.02	101 (5.7; 9)	7.0	102 (6.2; 9)	14.9	102 (5.8; 9)		
W	207.911	0.055	10.1	83.0 (12; 5)	25.1	97.6 (12; 5)	40.2	96.4 (16; 5)		
Y	371.030	0.0039	2.01	101 (3.8; 5)	7.1	102 (5.9; 5)	15.0	101 (4.8; 5)		
Zn	213.856	0.69	5.2	101 (6.0; 9)	25.1	101 (4.9; 9)	59.7	101 (4.8; 9)		
Zr	339.198	0.0099	2.01	101 (6.2; 5)	7.0	99.5 (9.2; 6)	14.9	93.1 (19; 6)		

Table 3 continued from page 9

^H Commonly used wavelength; choose wavelength appropriate for your instrument settings [3,4]

¹ LOD values calculated using the responses of 7 media blanks, prepared following the microwave sample preparation in NIOSH 7302

^J Mean recovery & relative standard deviation for N = number of laboratories reporting results for each element

^K Excludes outlier(s) (Grubbs' test)

Element	nL	Range, ug/sample	Bias	\overline{S}_{r}^{M}	Ŝ _{rT} ^N	Accuracy (%)
Ag	21	5.0 to 20.1	-0.184	0.041	0.065	29.0
Al	21	10.6 to 60.8	-0.0414	0.006	0.050	12.4
As	23	5.0 to 40.1	0.0141	0.016	0.052	10.7
Ва	23	2.21 to 15.2	-0.0206	0.036	0.062	12.8
Be	27	2.01 to 14.9	0.00536	0.025	0.056	11.0
Ca	24	114 to 215	-0.0367	0.001	0.050	11.9
Cd	26	2.01 to 14.9	0.0133	0.022	0.055	11.1
Co	27	2.01 to 14.9	0.0238	0.036	0.062	12.9
Cr	26	2.91 to 15.8	-0.0281	0.046	0.068	14.4
Cu	26	3.16 to 29.9	0.00347	0.017	0.053	10.4
Fe	27	21.3 to 80.5	0.0476	0.011	0.051	13.2
In	15	5.0 to 39.7	-0.103	0.056	0.075	22.6
К	17	10.6 to 20.7	-0.0239	0.029	0.058	12.3
La	12	3.01 to 20.1	0.0119	0.025	0.056	11.2
Li	21	2.01 to 14.9	-0.0447	0.039	0.064	14.9
Mg	21	12.7 to 103	-0.0219	0.012	0.051	10.9
Mn	27	2.01 to 14.9	0.00127	0.039	0.063	12.4
Мо	27	2.01 to 15.0	0.0169	0.032	0.060	12.1
Ni	27	2.01 to 14.9	0.0498	0.055	0.074	17.2
Р	16	10.1 to 99	0.0310	0.010	0.051	11.5
Pb	27	10.0 to 100	0.00439	0.006	0.050	9.9
Sb	25	5.0 to 40.2	-0.00631	0.013	0.052	10.2
Se	22	3.0 to 30.1	0.0864	0.055	0.075	20.9
Sn	15	2.01 to 14.9	-0.00541	0.117	0.128	25.0
Sr	20	2.01 to 15.0	0.00930	0.011	0.051	10.2
Те	15	3.0 to 20.1	0.0256	0.063	0.081	16.6
Ti	20	2.01 to 14.9	0.0305	0.032	0.059	13.1
TI	20	3.0 to 20.1	0.00128	0.030	0.058	11.4
V	27	3.02 to 14.9	0.0143	0.020	0.054	10.9
W	15	10.1 to 40.2	-0.0240	0.010	0.051	11.0
Y	15	2.01 to 15.0	0.00971	0.020	0.054	10.7
Zn	27	5.2 to 59.7	0.00873	0.013	0.052	10.3
Zr	17	2.01 to 14.9	-0.0206	0.033	0.060	12.4

^M \overline{S}_r = precision [17]

^N \hat{S}_{rT} = overall precision = $\sqrt{\overline{S}_r^2 + (0.05)^2}$; same as total precision as defined in [18,20]