

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31

**IMMEDIATELY DANGEROUS TO LIFE OR HEALTH (IDLH) VALUE PROFILE**

**FOR**

**ETHYLENE DIBROMIDE**

**[CAS No. 106-93-4]**

**Department of Health and Human Services**  
Centers for Disease Control and Prevention  
National Institute for Occupational Safety and Health

**External Review Draft  
May 2018**

1 This document is in the public domain and may be freely copied or reprinted.

2

3 **DISCLAIMER**

4 Mention of any company or product does not constitute endorsement by the National Institute for Occupational  
5 Safety and Health (NIOSH). In addition, citations of Web sites external to NIOSH do not constitute NIOSH  
6 endorsement of the sponsoring organizations or their programs or products. Furthermore, NIOSH is not  
7 responsible for the content of these Web sites.

8

9 **ORDERING INFORMATION**

10 To receive NIOSH documents or other information about occupational safety and health topics, contact NIOSH:

11 **Telephone: 1-800-CDC-INFO (1-800-232-4636)**

12 TTY: 1-888-232-6348

13 E-mail: [cdcinfo@cdc.gov](mailto:cdcinfo@cdc.gov)

14 or visit the NIOSH Website at: [www.cdc.gov/niosh](http://www.cdc.gov/niosh)

15 For a monthly update on news at NIOSH, subscribe to *NIOSH eNews* by visiting [www.cdc.gov/niosh/eNews](http://www.cdc.gov/niosh/eNews).

16

17 **SUGGESTED CITATION**

18

19 NIOSH [2018]. Immediately dangerous to life or health (IDLH) value profile: Ethylene dibromide. By Niemeier  
20 RT, Dotson GS, Maier A, Parker A, Haber L. Cincinnati, OH: US Department of Health and Human Services,  
21 Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, National Institute for Occupational Safety and Health, DHHS  
22 (NIOSH) Publication 2018—XXX.

23

24 DHHS (NIOSH) Publication No. 2018—XXX

25

26 DATE

27

**External Review Draft  
May 2018**

**1 Foreword**

2 Chemicals are a ubiquitous component of the modern workplace. Occupational exposures to chemicals have the  
3 potential to adversely affect the health and lives of workers. Acute or short-term exposures to high concentrations  
4 of some airborne chemicals have the ability to quickly overwhelm workers, resulting in a spectrum of undesirable  
5 health outcomes that may inhibit the ability to escape from the exposure environment (e.g., irritation of the eyes  
6 and respiratory tract or cognitive impairment), cause severe irreversible effects (e.g., damage to the respiratory  
7 tract or reproductive toxicity), and in extreme cases, cause death. Airborne concentrations of chemicals capable of  
8 causing such adverse health effects or of impeding escape from high-risk conditions may arise from a variety of  
9 non-routine workplace situations, including special work procedures (e.g., in confined spaces), industrial  
10 accidents (e.g., chemical spills or explosions), and chemical releases into the community (e.g., during  
11 transportation incidents or other uncontrolled-release scenarios).

12  
13 The immediately dangerous to life or health (IDLH) air concentration values developed by the National Institute  
14 for Occupational Safety and Health (NIOSH) characterize these high-risk exposure concentrations and conditions  
15 [NIOSH 2013]. IDLH values are based on a 30-minute exposure duration and have traditionally served as a key  
16 component of the decision logic for the selection of respiratory protection devices [NIOSH 2004].

17  
18 Occupational health professionals have employed these values beyond their initial purpose as a component of the  
19 *NIOSH Respirator Selection Logic* to assist in developing risk management plans for non-routine work practices  
20 governing operations in high-risk environments (e.g., confined spaces) and the development of emergency  
21 preparedness plans.

22  
23 The approach used to derive IDLH values for high priority chemicals is outlined in the *NIOSH Current*  
24 *Intelligence Bulletin (CIB) 66: Derivation of Immediately Dangerous to Life or Health Values* [NIOSH 2013].  
25 CIB 66 provides (1) an update on the scientific basis and risk assessment methodology used to derive IDLH  
26 values, (2) the rationale and derivation process for IDLH values, and (3) a demonstration of the derivation of  
27 scientifically credible IDLH values using available data resources.

28

**External Review Draft  
May 2018**

1 The purpose of this technical report is to present the IDLH value for Ethylene Dibromide (CAS<sup>®</sup> No. 106-93-4).  
2 The scientific basis, toxicologic data, and risk assessment approach used to derive the IDLH value are  
3 summarized to ensure transparency and scientific credibility.

4

5 John Howard, M.D.  
6 Director  
7 National Institute for Occupational Safety and Health  
8 Centers for Disease Control and Prevention

9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34

DRAFT

**External Review Draft  
May 2018**

1	<b>Table of Contents</b>	
2	<b>FOREWORD</b> .....	<b>III</b>
3	<b>ABBREVIATIONS</b> .....	<b>VI</b>
4	<b>GLOSSARY</b> .....	<b>VIII</b>
5	<b>ACKNOWLEDGMENTS</b> .....	<b>XII</b>
6	<b>1.0 INTRODUCTION</b> .....	<b>1</b>
7	1.1 OVERVIEW OF THE IDLH VALUE FOR ETHYLENE DIBROMIDE.....	1
8	1.2 PURPOSE .....	1
9	1.3 GENERAL SUBSTANCE INFORMATION .....	1
10	<b>2.0 HUMAN DATA</b> .....	<b>4</b>
11	<b>3.0 ANIMAL TOXICITY DATA</b> .....	<b>4</b>
12	<b>4.0 SUMMARY</b> .....	<b>8</b>
13	<b>REFERENCES</b> .....	<b>9</b>

14  
15  
16  
17  
18

DRAFT

**External Review Draft  
May 2018**

**1 Abbreviations**

2		
3	ACGIH®	American Conference of Governmental Industrial Hygienists
4	AEGLs	Acute Exposure Guideline Levels
5	AIHA®	American Industrial Hygiene Association
6	BMC	benchmark concentration
7	BMD	benchmark dose
8	BMCL	benchmark concentration lower confidence limit
9	C	ceiling value
10	°C	degrees Celsius
11	Ca	Carcinogen
12	CAS®	Chemical Abstracts Service, a division of the American Chemical Society
13	CIB	Current Intelligence Bulletin
14	ERPGs™	Emergency Response Planning Guidelines
15	°F	degrees Fahrenheit
16	g	grams
17	IDLH	immediately dangerous to life or health
18	IFA	Institut für Arbeitsschutz der Deutschen Gesetzlichen Unfallversicherung (Institute for Occupational Safety and Health of the German Social Accident Insurance)
19		
20	L	Liter
21	LC	lethal concentration
22	LC <sub>50</sub>	median lethal concentration
23	LCt <sub>50</sub>	median lethal time
24	LC <sub>LO</sub>	lowest concentration that caused death in humans or animals
25	LEL	lower explosive limit
26	LOAEL	lowest observed adverse effect level
27	mg	milligrams
28	mg/m <sup>3</sup>	milligram(s) per cubic meter
29	min	minutes
30	ml	milliliters
31	mmHg	millimeter(s) of mercury
32	NAS	National Academy of Sciences
33	NIOSH	National Institute for Occupational Safety and Health
34	NLM	National Library of Medicine
35	NOAEL	no observed adverse effect level
36	OSHA	Occupational Safety and Health Administration
37	PEL	permissible exposure limit
38	ppm	parts per million
39	RD <sub>50</sub>	concentration of a chemical in the air that is estimated to cause a 50% decrease in the respiratory rate
40		
41	REL	recommended exposure limit
42	STEL	short-term exposure limit
43	TLV®	Threshold Limit Value
44	TWA	time-weighted average

**External Review Draft  
May 2018**

- 1 UEL upper explosive limit
- 2 WEELs® Workplace Environmental Exposure Levels
- 3
- 4
- 5
- 6
- 7

DRAFT

**External Review Draft  
May 2018**

1 **Glossary**

2  
3 **Acute exposure:** Exposure by the oral, dermal, or inhalation route for 24 hours or less.

4 **Acute Exposure Guideline Levels (AEGLs):** Threshold exposure limits for the general public, applicable to  
5 emergency exposure periods ranging from 10 minutes to 8 hours. AEGL-1, AEGL 2, and AEGL-3 are  
6 developed for five exposure periods (10 and 30 minutes, 1 hour, 4 hours, and 8 hours) and are distinguished  
7 by varying degrees of severity of toxic effects, ranging from transient, reversible effects to life-threatening  
8 effects [NAS 2001]. AEGLs are intended to be guideline levels used during rare events or single once-in-a-  
9 lifetime exposures to airborne concentrations of acutely toxic, high-priority chemicals [NAS 2001]. The  
10 threshold exposure limits are designed to protect the general population, including the elderly, children, and  
11 other potentially sensitive groups that are generally not considered in the development of workplace exposure  
12 recommendations (additional information available at <http://www.epa.gov/oppt/aegl/>).

13 **Acute reference concentration (Acute RfC):** An estimate (with uncertainty spanning perhaps an order of  
14 magnitude) of a continuous inhalation exposure for an acute duration (24 hours or less) of the human  
15 population (including sensitive subgroups) that is likely to be without an appreciable risk of deleterious  
16 effects during a lifetime. It can be derived from a NOAEL, LOAEL, or benchmark concentration, with  
17 uncertainty factors (UFs) generally applied to reflect limitations of the data used. Generally used in U.S. EPA  
18 noncancer health assessments [U.S. EPA 2018].

19 **Acute toxicity:** Any poisonous effect produced within a short period of time following an exposure, usually 24 to  
20 96 hours [U.S. EPA 2018].

21 **Adverse effect:** A substance-related biochemical change, functional impairment, or pathologic lesion that affects  
22 the performance of an organ or system or alters the ability to respond to additional environmental challenges.

23 **Benchmark dose/concentration (BMD/BMC):** A dose or concentration that produces a predetermined change in  
24 response rate of an effect (called the benchmark response, or BMR) compared to background [U.S. EPA  
25 2018] (additional information available at <http://www.epa.gov/ncea/bmds/>).

26 **Benchmark response (BMR):** An adverse effect, used to define a benchmark dose from which a reference dose  
27 or concentration can be developed. The change in response rate over background of the BMR is usually in the  
28 range of 5-10%, which is the limit of responses typically observed in well-conducted animal experiments  
29 [EPA 2018].

30 **BMCL:** A statistical lower confidence limit on the concentration at the BMC [U.S. EPA 2018].

31 **Bolus exposure:** A single, relatively large dose.

32 **Ceiling value (“C”):** U.S. term in occupational exposure indicating the airborne concentration of a potentially  
33 toxic substance that should never be exceeded in a worker’s breathing zone.

34 **Chronic exposure:** Repeated exposure for an extended period of time. Typically exposures are more than  
35 approximately 10% of life span for humans and >90 days to 2 years for laboratory species.

36 **Critical study:** The study that contributes most significantly to the qualitative and quantitative assessment of risk  
37 [U.S. EPA 2018].

**External Review Draft  
May 2018**

- 1  
2 **Dose:** The amount of a substance available for interactions with metabolic processes or biologically significant  
3 receptors after crossing the outer boundary of an organism [U.S. EPA 2018].
- 4 **EC<sub>50</sub>:** A combination of the effective concentration of a substance in the air and the exposure duration that is  
5 predicted to cause an effect in 50% (one half) of the experimental test subjects.
- 6 **Emergency Response Planning Guidelines (ERPGs<sup>TM</sup>):** Maximum airborne concentrations below which nearly  
7 all individuals can be exposed without experiencing health effects for 1-hour exposure. ERPGs are presented  
8 in a tiered fashion, with health effects ranging from mild or transient to serious, irreversible, or life  
9 threatening (depending on the tier). ERPGs are developed by the American Industrial Hygiene Association  
10 [AIHA 2016].
- 11 **Endpoint:** An observable or measurable biological event or sign of toxicity, ranging from biomarkers of initial  
12 response to gross manifestations of clinical toxicity.
- 13 **Exposure:** Contact made between a chemical, physical, or biological agent and the outer boundary of an  
14 organism. Exposure is quantified as the amount of an agent available at the exchange boundaries of the  
15 organism (e.g., skin, lungs, gut).
- 16 **Extrapolation:** An estimate of the response at a point outside the range of the experimental data, generally  
17 through the use of a mathematical model, although qualitative extrapolation may also be conducted. The  
18 model may then be used to extrapolate to response levels that cannot be directly observed.
- 19 **Hazard:** A potential source of harm. Hazard is distinguished from risk, which is the probability of harm under  
20 specific exposure conditions.
- 21 **Immediately dangerous to life or health (IDLH) condition:** A condition that poses a threat of exposure to  
22 airborne contaminants when that exposure is likely to cause death or immediate or delayed permanent adverse  
23 health effects or prevent escape from such an environment [NIOSH 2004, 2013].
- 24 **IDLH value:** A maximum (airborne concentration) level above which only a highly reliable breathing apparatus  
25 providing maximum worker protection is permitted [NIOSH 2004, 2013]. IDLH values are based on a 30-  
26 minute exposure duration.
- 27 **LC<sub>01</sub>:** The statistically determined concentration of a substance in the air that is estimated to cause death in 1% of  
28 the test animals.
- 29 **LC<sub>50</sub>:** The statistically determined concentration of a substance in the air that is estimated to cause death in 50%  
30 (one half) of the test animals; median lethal concentration.
- 31 **LC<sub>LO</sub>:** The lowest lethal concentration of a substance in the air reported to cause death, usually for a small  
32 percentage of the test animals.
- 33  
34 **LD<sub>50</sub>:** The statistically determined lethal dose of a substance that is estimated to cause death in 50% (one half) of  
35 the test animals; median lethal concentration.
- 36 **LD<sub>LO</sub>:** The lowest dose of a substance that causes death, usually for a small percentage of the test animals.

**External Review Draft  
May 2018**

- 1 **LEL:** The minimum concentration of a gas or vapor in air, below which propagation of a flame does not occur in  
2 the presence of an ignition source.
- 3 **Lethality:** Pertaining to or causing death; fatal; referring to the deaths resulting from acute toxicity studies. May  
4 also be used in lethality threshold to describe the point of sufficient substance concentration to begin to cause  
5 death.
- 6 **Lowest observed adverse effect level (LOAEL):** The lowest tested dose or concentration of a substance that has  
7 been reported to cause harmful (adverse) health effects in people or animals.
- 8 **Mode of action:** The sequence of significant events and processes that describes how a substance causes a toxic  
9 outcome. By contrast, the term *mechanism of action* implies a more detailed understanding on a molecular  
10 level.
- 11 **No observed adverse effect level (NOAEL):** The highest tested dose or concentration of a substance that has  
12 been reported to cause no harmful (adverse) health effects in people or animals.
- 13 **Occupational exposure limit (OEL):** Workplace exposure recommendations developed by governmental  
14 agencies and nongovernmental organizations. OELs are intended to represent the maximum airborne  
15 concentrations of a chemical substance below which workplace exposures should not cause adverse health  
16 effects. OELs may apply to ceiling limits, STELs, or TWA limits.
- 17 **Peak concentration:** Highest concentration of a substance recorded during a certain period of observation.
- 18 **Permissible exposure limits (PELs):** Occupational exposure limits developed by OSHA (29 CFR 1910.1000) or  
19 MSHA (30 CFR 57.5001) for allowable occupational airborne exposure concentrations. PELs are legally  
20 enforceable and may be designated as ceiling limits, STELs, or TWA limits.
- 21
- 22 **Point of departure (POD):** The point on the dose–response curve from which dose extrapolation is initiated. This  
23 point can be the lower bound on dose for an estimated incidence or a change in response level from a  
24 concentration–response model (BMC), or it can be a NOAEL or LOAEL for an observed effect selected from  
25 a dose evaluated in a health effects or toxicology study.
- 26 **RD<sub>50</sub>:** The statistically determined concentration of a substance in the air that is estimated to cause a 50% (one  
27 half) decrease in the respiratory rate.
- 28 **Recommended exposure limit (REL):** Recommended maximum exposure limit to prevent adverse health  
29 effects, based on human and animal studies and established for occupational (up to 10-hour shift, 40-hour  
30 week) inhalation exposure by NIOSH. RELs may be designated as ceiling limits, STELs, or TWA limits.
- 31 **Short-term exposure limit (STEL):** A worker’s 15-minute time-weighted average exposure concentration that  
32 shall not be exceeded at any time during a work day.
- 33 **Target organ:** Organ in which the toxic injury manifests in terms of dysfunction or overt disease.
- 34 **Threshold Limit Values (TLVs®):** Recommended guidelines for occupational exposure to airborne  
35 contaminants, published by the American Conference of Governmental Industrial Hygienists (ACGIH®).  
36 TLVs refer to airborne concentrations of chemical substances and represent conditions under which it is

**External Review Draft  
May 2018**

1 believed that nearly all workers may be repeatedly exposed, day after day, over a working lifetime, without  
2 adverse effects. TLVs may be designated as ceiling limits, STELs, or 8-hr TWA limits.

3 **Time-weighted average (TWA):** A worker's 8-hour (or up to 10-hour) time-weighted average exposure  
4 concentration that shall not be exceeded during an 8-hour (or up to 10-hour) work shift of a 40-hour week.  
5 The average concentration is weighted to take into account the duration of different exposure concentrations.

6 **Toxicity:** The degree to which a substance is able to cause an adverse effect on an exposed organism.  
7

8 **Uncertainty factors (UFs):** Mathematical adjustments applied to the POD when developing IDLH values. The  
9 UFs for IDLH value derivation are determined by considering the study and effect used for the POD, with  
10 further modification based on the overall database.

11 **Workplace Environmental Exposure Levels (WEELs<sup>®</sup>):** Exposure levels developed by the American  
12 Industrial Hygiene Association (AIHA<sup>®</sup>) that provide guidance for protecting most workers from adverse  
13 health effects related to occupational chemical exposures, expressed as TWA or ceiling limits.

DRAFT

**External Review Draft  
May 2018**

1 **Acknowledgments**

2  
3 This document was developed by the Education and Information Division, Paul Schulte, Ph.D., Director. R. Todd  
4 Niemeier, MS, CIH, was the project officer and lead NIOSH author, assisted in great part by G. Scott Dotson,  
5 Ph.D.. The basis for this document was a report contracted by NIOSH and prepared by Andrew Maier, Ph.D., Ann  
6 Parker, and Lynn Haber, Ph.D. (Toxicology Excellence for Risk Assessment [TERA]).

7  
8 The following NIOSH staff are acknowledged for providing technical and editorial review of the report:

9 **Education and Information Division**

- 10 Devin Baker, M.Ed.
- 11 Charles L. Geraci, Ph.D.
- 12 Thomas J. Lentz, Ph.D.
- 13 Richard W. Niemeier, Ph.D. (retired)
- 14 Pranav Rane, M.P.H.
- 15 Chris Whittaker, Ph.D.

16  
17  
18 NIOSH acknowledges the following subject matter experts for their critical technical reviews:

19  
20 Michael S. Bisesi, Ph.D., R.E.H.S., C.I.H., Senior Associate Dean for Academic Affairs; Director, Center  
21 for Public Health Practice; Interim Chair and Associate Professor, Division of Environmental Health  
22 Science, College of Public Health, Ohio State University, Columbus, OH

23  
24 Mary A. Fox, Ph.D., Assistant Professor; Co-Director, Risk Sciences and Public Policy Institute;  
25 Department of Health Policy and Management, Bloomberg School of Public Health, Johns Hopkins  
26 University, Baltimore, MD

27  
28 Bill Luttrell, Ph.D., Chair and Professor, Department of Chemistry and Physics, College of Natural and  
29 Health Sciences, Oklahoma Christian University, Edmond, OK

30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40

**External Review Draft  
May 2018**

This page intentionally left blank.

DRAFT

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37

## 1.0 Introduction

### 1.1 Overview of the IDLH Value for Ethylene Dibromide

**IDLH Value:** 36 ppm (277 mg/m<sup>3</sup>)

**Basis for IDLH Value:** The IDLH value for ethylene dibromide is based on a 45-minute LC<sub>50</sub> value of 800 ppm in rats [Rowe et al. 1952]. The duration adjusted LC<sub>50</sub> value for a 30 minute exposure is 1069 ppm. Applying an uncertainty factor of 30 to account for extrapolation from a concentration that is lethal to animals, animal to human differences, and human variability results in an IDLH value of 35.6 ppm (rounded to 36 ppm).

### 1.2 Purpose

This *IDLH Value Profile* presents (1) a brief summary of technical data associated with acute inhalation exposures to ethylene dibromide and (2) the rationale behind the immediately dangerous to life or health (IDLH) value for ethylene dibromide. IDLH values are developed on the basis of scientific rationale and logic outlined in the *NIOSH Current Intelligence Bulletin (CIB) 66: Derivation of Immediately Dangerous to Life or Health (IDLH) Values* [NIOSH 2013]. As described in CIB 66, NIOSH performs in-depth literature searches to ensure that all relevant data from human and animal studies with acute exposures to the substance are identified. Information included in CIB 66 on the literature search includes pertinent databases, key terms, and guides for evaluating data quality and relevance for the establishment of an IDLH value. The information that is identified in the in-depth literature search is evaluated with general considerations that include description of studies (i.e., species, study protocol, exposure concentration and duration), health endpoint evaluated, and critical effect levels (e.g., NOAELs, LOAELs, and LC<sub>50</sub> values). For ethylene dibromide, the in-depth literature search was conducted through January 2018.

### 1.3 General Substance Information

**Chemical:** Ethylene dibromide

**CAS No:** 106-93-4

**Synonyms\*†:** 1,2-Dibromoethane; Dibrom ethylene; dibromoethane; EDB\*

**Chemical category\*†:** Aliphatic, saturated halogenated hydrocarbons; Organic bromine compounds

External Review Draft  
May 2018

1 Structural formula‡:



6 **References:** \* NAS [2008], † IFA [2018];‡ NLM [2018]

7

8 Table 1 highlights selected physiochemical properties of ethylene dibromide relevant to IDLH conditions. Table 2

9 provides alternative exposure guidelines for ethylene dibromide. Table 3 summarizes the Acute Exposure

10 Guidelines Level (AEGL) values for ethylene dibromide.

11

12 **Table 1: Physiochemical Properties of Ethylene Dibromide\***

Property	Value
Molecular weight	187.86
Chemical formula	BrCH <sub>2</sub> CH <sub>2</sub> Br
Description	Heavy liquid or colorless liquid
Odor	Sweetish, chloroform like, foul-smelling, pungent
Odor Threshold	Low: 76.8 mg/m <sup>3</sup>
UEL	Not flammable
LEL	Not flammable
Vapor pressure	11mmHg@25°C; 17.4 mmHG@30°C
Flash point	Not flammable
Ignition temperature	Not flammable
Solubility	0.43 g/100 ml water; soluble in ethanol and ethyl ether; miscible with most solvents and thinners

13 \*NAS [2008]

14

15

16 **Table 2: Alternative Exposure Values for Ethylene Dibromide**

17

Organization	Value
Revised (1994) IDLH value	100 ppm
NIOSH REL[2018]	0.045 ppm, TWA, [Ca]; 0.13 ppm, 15-minute ceil
OSHA PEL [2018a]	20 ppm, TWA; 30 ppm, ceiling; 50 ppm, 5-minute maximum peak
ACGIH® TLV [2017]	Skin; A3 (Not classifiable as human carcinogen)
AIHA® ERPGs™ [2016]	Not available
AIHA® WEELs® [2014]	Not available

18

This information is distributed solely for the purpose of pre dissemination peer review under applicable information quality guidelines. It has not been formally disseminated by the National Institute for Occupational Safety and Health. It does not represent and should not be construed to represent any agency determination or policy.

External Review Draft  
May 2018

1 **Table 3: Interim AEGL Values for Ethylene Dibromide\***  
2

Classification	10-min	30-min	1-hour	4-hour	8-hour	Endpoint/ Reference
AEGL-1	52 ppm 400 mg/m <sup>3</sup>	26 ppm 200 mg/m <sup>3</sup>	17 ppm 131 mg/m <sup>3</sup>	7.1 ppm 55 mg/m <sup>3</sup>	4.6 ppm 35 mg/m <sup>3</sup>	NOAEL for liver toxicity [Rowe 1952]
AEGL-2	73 ppm 562 mg/m <sup>3</sup>	37 ppm 285 mg/m <sup>3</sup>	24 ppm 185 mg/m <sup>3</sup>	10 ppm 77 mg/m <sup>3</sup>	6.5 ppm 50 mg/m <sup>3</sup>	Slight histopathological changes in the liver; no effect-level for irreversible toxicity [Rowe 1952]
AEGL-3	170 ppm 1,308 mg/m <sup>3</sup>	76 ppm 585 mg/m <sup>3</sup>	46 ppm 354 mg/m <sup>3</sup>	17 ppm 131 mg/m <sup>3</sup>	10 ppm 77 mg/m <sup>3</sup>	No effect level for lethality [Rowe 1952]

3 \*Reference: NAS [2008]  
4

## 2.0 Human Data

There were two limited reports available regarding human lethality from exposure to ethylene dibromide. Letz et al. [1984] reported death from acute liver and renal failure in two workers exposed via inhalation and dermal contact while working in a tank used to store fertilizer mixtures containing 0.1–0.3% ethylene dibromide. Air samples collected 20 hours after the incident contained 15–41 ppm (average = 28 ppm) in air. The first worker was exposed for approximately 45 minutes and the second worker was exposed for 20-30 minutes, while attempting to rescue the first worker. The first worker collapsed within the first 5 minutes of exposure. Other effects included vomiting, eye and respiratory irritation, central nervous system effects, and diarrhea, resulting in an intermittent comatose state.

Ott et al. [1980] reported that a strong odor and respiratory irritation occurs when ethylene dibromide concentrations reach 75 ppm, and that gastrointestinal discomfort and vomiting may also occur during acute exposure. No other details were reported.

A few studies have evaluated the potential effects of ethylene dibromide on male fertility following occupational exposure [Wong et al. 1979; Ratcliffe et al. 1987; Schrader et al. 1987, 1988 ]. Though all three of these studies suggested reproductive effects following exposure to ethylene dibromide, it is unclear if these effects would occur as a result of an acute exposure. In addition, these studies reported confounding factors that may have contributed to the reproductive effects observed [Ratcliffe et al. 1987; Schrader et al. 1987, 1988]. These data were not adequate for the derivation of an IDLH value.

## 3.0 Animal Toxicity Data

Lethality studies on ethylene dibromide are very limited. In a dog study [Merzbach 1929 (as cited in NAS 2008)], one dog for each concentration was exposed to 1, 2, or 5 mL of vaporized ethylene dibromide in a 100 L bell jar for 1 hour. All exposed dogs died, although the one exposed to the lowest concentration died 3 weeks post-exposure. Effects seen at the lowest concentration included signs of restlessness, eye irritation, labored

**External Review Draft  
May 2018**

1 respiration, and increased respiration rate during exposure. More severe effects, such as lung, heart and liver  
2 damage, occurred at higher concentrations.

3  
4 In the only available acute study conducted according to modern methods, Rowe et al. [1952] exposed groups of  
5 four to 30 rats to 100, 200, 400, 800, 1000, 3000, 5000, or 10,000 ppm of ethylene dibromide vapor. Exposure  
6 durations ranged from 1.2 minutes to 16 hours. Based on the duration response at each concentration, NIOSH  
7 [1977] calculated LC<sub>50</sub> values at each concentration. These values can also be interpreted as the LC<sub>50</sub> at each  
8 respective lethal time. The most relevant rat LC<sub>50</sub> value reported was 800 ppm for a 45-minute exposure. The  
9 lowest LC<sub>50</sub> value was seen in rats exposed to 200 ppm for 12 hours. No rats died after exposure to 400 ppm for  
10 36 minutes. Deaths at the high concentrations were attributed to cardiac and respiratory failure. Deaths seen in  
11 groups with <50% mortality occurred several days post-exposure, and were caused by pneumonia secondary to  
12 pulmonary damage. Prior to death, effects included weight loss, rough and unkempt appearance, irritability, and  
13 bloody discharge from the nose. Increased liver weight and slight histopathologic changes in the liver (not further  
14 described) were estimated to be associated with the following concentration/time combinations: 800 ppm for 9  
15 minutes, 200 ppm for 1 hour, and 100 ppm for 4 hours. These liver effects are not escape-impairing or severe,  
16 and appear to be reversible; although there is some uncertainty in the absence of additional description of the  
17 effects. However, as noted in the next paragraph, there were no liver lesions at 75 ppm in a subchronic study  
18 [NTP 1982]. In a repeated exposure study, Nitschke et al. [1980, 1981] reported signs of nose and eye irritation  
19 during the first 6-hour exposure to 40 ppm but the severity of these effects were not described. Irritation was not  
20 observed at lower concentrations or after repeated exposures. These data were not appropriate for derivation of an  
21 IDLH value.

22  
23 Groups of guinea pigs were also exposed to ethylene dibromide including 20 animals exposed to vapors at 400  
24 ppm for 7 hours, 5 hours, or 2 hours, 10 animals exposed to 400 ppm for 3 hours, and 15 animals exposed to 200  
25 ppm for 7 hours [Rowe 1952]. For the animals exposed to 400 ppm, 20/20 died in the in the 7 hour exposure  
26 group, 18/20 died in the 5 hour exposure group, 5/10 died in the 3 hour exposure group. No animals died at the  
27 400 ppm exposure for 2 hours or 200 ppm exposure for 7 hours. Descriptions of clinical signs or necropsy results  
28 were not provided [Rowe 1952].

29

**External Review Draft  
May 2018**

1 Nasal lesions were reported in rats exposed subchronically to non-lethal concentrations of ethylene dibromide in  
2 two studies at concentrations of 15 ppm and higher [Reznik et al. 1980; Nitschke et al. 1980, 1981]. Kidney and  
3 liver lesions were not observed in rats or mice exposed to concentrations up to 75 ppm for 6 hours/day, 5  
4 days/week, for 13 weeks [NTP 1982]. NTP [1982] conducted a carcinogenesis assay of ethylene dibromide in rats  
5 and mice; neoplasms were reported in the nasal cavity and lungs, blood vessels, adrenal gland, and mammary  
6 gland of both species, and the tunica vaginalis in rats. Ethylene dibromide is metabolized to reactive metabolites  
7 that bind to DNA, and cause gene mutations and other genotoxicity [NAS 2008]. These data were not appropriate  
8 for derivation of an IDLH value.

9  
10 Table 4 summarizes the most relevant LC data identified in animal studies and provides 30-minute equivalent  
11 derived values for ethylene dibromide. Information in this table includes species of test animals, toxicological  
12 metrics (i.e., LC, BMCL, NOAEL, LOAEL), adjusted 30-minute concentration, and the justification for the  
13 composite uncertainty factors applied to calculate the derived values.

DRAFT

External Review Draft  
May 2018

**Table 4: Lethal Concentration Data for Ethylene Dibromide**

Reference	Species	LC <sub>50</sub> (ppm)	LC <sub>Lo</sub> (ppm)	Time (min)	Adjusted 30-min Concentration* (ppm)	Composite Uncertainty Factor‡	30-min Equivalent Derived Value (ppm)†	Final Value (ppm)
Rowe et al. [1952]	Rat	3000	--	10.8	1446	30	48.2	48
Rowe et al. [1952]	Rat	1600	--	18	1111	30	37.0	37
<b>Rowe et al. [1952]§</b>	<b>Rat</b>	<b>800</b>	--	<b>45</b>	<b>1069</b>	<b>30</b>	<b>35.6</b>	<b>36</b>
Rowe et al. [1952]	Rat	400	--	120	1077	30	35.9	36
Rowe et al. [1952]	Guinea pig	400	--	180	727	30	24.2	24

\* For exposures other than 30 minutes the ten Berge et al. [1986] relationship is used for duration adjustment ( $C^n \times t = k$ ); NAS [2008] provided an empirically estimated n of 1.4 for all time-scaling. Additional information on the calculation of duration adjusted concentrations can be found in NIOSH [2013].

† The derived value is the result of the adjusted 30-minute concentration divided by the composite uncertainty factor.

‡ Composite uncertainty factor to account for adjustment of LC<sub>50</sub> values to LC<sub>01</sub> values, use of lethal concentration threshold in animals, interspecies differences and human variability.

§ Identified study is the primary basis of the IDLH value for ethylene dibromide.

1       **4.0 Summary**  
2

3       The available data support the conclusion that the IDLH value should fall in the range of 24-48 ppm (see Table 4).  
4       The lowest LC<sub>50</sub> of 200 ppm was reported in rats exposed for 12 hours [Rowe et al. 1952], however, further  
5       analysis of data from this study provided a calculation of an LC<sub>t50</sub> for a 45-minute exposure resulting in a  
6       calculated LC<sub>50</sub> of 800 ppm. This 45-minute LC<sub>50</sub> of 800 ppm is the most appropriate IDLH value because it  
7       reduces the uncertainty associated with the duration adjustment to a 30-minute exposure. The LC<sub>50</sub> value adjusted  
8       for a 30 minute exposure duration is 1069 ppm. Applying an uncertainty factor of 30 to account for extrapolation  
9       from a concentration that is lethal to animals, animal to human differences, and human variability results in an  
10      IDLH value of 36 ppm. Limited human data indicate that potentially escape-impairing effects (vomiting and  
11      respiratory discomfort) occur following exposure to 75 ppm ethylene dibromide during acute exposures [Ott  
12      1980]. The derived IDLH value should also be protective of these severe and non-lethal, escape-impairing effects.  
13      .

**External Review Draft  
May 2018**

**References**

- 1  
2  
3 ACGIH [2017]. Annual TLVs® (Threshold Limit Values) and BEIs® (Biological Exposure Indices) booklet.  
4 Cincinnati, OH: ACGIH Signature Publications.  
5  
6 AIHA (American Industrial Hygiene Association) [2009]. AIHA Emergency Response Planning (ERP)  
7 Committee procedures and responsibilities. Fairfax, VA: American Industrial Hygiene Association.  
8  
9 AIHA (American Industrial Hygiene Association) [2016]. Emergency response planning guidelines (ERPG) and  
10 workplace environmental exposure levels (WEEL) handbook. Fairfax, VA: American Industrial Hygiene  
11 Association Press.  
12  
13 EPA [2018]. Integrated Risk Information System (IRIS): glossary  
14 [[https://ofmpub.epa.gov/sor\\_internet/registry/termreg/searchandretrieve/glossariesandkeywordlists/search.do?details=&glossaryName=IRIS%20Glossary#formTop](https://ofmpub.epa.gov/sor_internet/registry/termreg/searchandretrieve/glossariesandkeywordlists/search.do?details=&glossaryName=IRIS%20Glossary#formTop)]. Date accessed: February 1, 2018.  
15  
16  
17 IFA (Institut für Arbeitsschutz der Deutschen Gesetzlichen Unfallversicherung) [2018]. GESTIS: database on  
18 hazardous substances, [http://gestis-](http://gestis-en.itrust.de/nxt/gateway.dll?f=templates&fn=default.htm&vid=gestiseng:sdbeng)  
19 [en.itrust.de/nxt/gateway.dll?f=templates&fn=default.htm&vid=gestiseng:sdbeng](http://gestis-en.itrust.de/nxt/gateway.dll?f=templates&fn=default.htm&vid=gestiseng:sdbeng).  
20  
21 Letz GA, Pond SM, Osterloh JD, Wade RL, Becker CE [1984]. Two fatalities after acute occupational exposure  
22 to ethylene dibromide. *J Am Med Assoc* 252:2428–2431.  
23  
24 Merzbach L [1929]. [The pharmacology of methyl bromide and related compounds (in German)]. *Z Gesamte Exp*  
25 *Med* 63:383–392.  
26  
27 NAS [2001]. Standing operating procedures for developing Acute Exposure Guideline Levels for hazardous  
28 chemicals. National Academy of Sciences, National Research Council (NRC), Committee on Toxicology,  
29 Subcommittee on Acute Exposure Guide-line Levels. Washington, DC: National Academy Press, ISBN: 0-309-  
30 07553-X [[http://www.epa.gov/sites/production/files/2015-09/documents/sop\\_final\\_stand-](http://www.epa.gov/sites/production/files/2015-09/documents/sop_final_standing_operating_procedures_2001.pdf)  
31 [ing\\_operating\\_procedures\\_2001.pdf](http://www.epa.gov/sites/production/files/2015-09/documents/sop_final_standing_operating_procedures_2001.pdf)]. Date accessed: January 10, 2018  
32  
33 NAS (National Academy of Sciences) [2008]. Interim Acute Exposure Guideline Levels (AEGLs) for selected  
34 airborne chemicals. Volume I: Appendix 4 (ethylene dibromide, CAS No. 106-93-4). Washington, DC: National  
35 Research Council, Commission of Life Sciences.  
36  
37 NIOSH [1977]. NIOSH criteria for a recommended standard: occupational exposure to ethylene dibromide. By  
38 Debbs G, Chandler JLR. Cincinnati, OH: U.S. Department of Health, Education, and Welfare, Center for Disease  
39 Control, National Institute for Occupational Health, DHEW (NIOSH) Publication No. 77-221.  
40  
41 NIOSH [2004]. NIOSH respirator selection logic. By Bollinger N. Cincinnati, OH: U.S. Department of Health  
42 and Human Services, Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, National Institute for Occupational Safety and  
43 Health, DHHS (NIOSH) Publication No. 2005-100.  
44

**External Review Draft  
May 2018**

- 1 NIOSH [2005]. NIOSH pocket guide to chemical hazards. Barsan ME, ed. Cincinnati, OH: U.S. Department of  
2 Health and Human Services, Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, National Institute for Occupational  
3 Safety and Health, DHHS (NIOSH) Publication No. 2005-149.  
4
- 5 NIOSH [2013]. Current Intelligence Bulletin #66: Derivation of Immediately Dangerous to Life or Health  
6 (IDLH) Values. Cincinnati, OH: U.S. Department of Health and Human Services, Centers for Disease Control  
7 and Prevention, National Institute for Occupational Safety and Health, DHHS (NIOSH) Publication No. 2014-  
8 100.  
9
- 10 Nitschke KD, Kociba RJ, Keys DG, McKenna MJ [1981]. A thirteen week repeated inhalation study of ethylene  
11 dibromide in rats. *Fund Appl Toxicol* 1:437-442.  
12
- 13 Nitschke KD, Kociba RJ, Keyes DG, Childs RC, McKenna MJ [1980]. Initial submission: 13 week repeated  
14 inhalation study on ethylene dibromide in male and female rats with cover letter dated 050692. EPA Doc. # 88-  
15 920002716.  
16
- 17 NLM (National Library of Medicine) [2018]. ChemIDplus lite [<https://chem.nlm.nih.gov/chemidplus/rn/106-93-4>].  
18 Date accessed: January 18, 2018.  
19
- 20 NTP (National Toxicology Program) [1982]. Carcinogenesis bioassay of 1,2-dibromoethane (CAS No. 106-93-4)  
21 in F344 Rats and B6C3F1 Mice (Inhalation Study). Research Triangle Park, NC: National Institutes of Health.  
22 NIH Publ. No. 82-1766.  
23
- 24 OSHA (Occupational Safety and Health Administration) [2018]. Occupational Safety and Health Standards. 29  
25 CFR 1910. Subpart Z -- Toxic and Hazardous Substances. OSHA; Washington, DC  
26 [[http://www.osha.gov/pls/oshaweb/owadisp.show\\_document?p\\_table=standards&p\\_id=9992](http://www.osha.gov/pls/oshaweb/owadisp.show_document?p_table=standards&p_id=9992)]. Date accessed:  
27 January 10, 2018.  
28
- 29 Ott MG, Scharnweber HC, Langner RR [1980]. Mortality experience of 161 employees exposed to ethylene  
30 dibromide in two production units. *Br J Ind Med* 37:163-168.  
31
- 32 Ratcliffe JM, Schrader SM, Steenland K, Clapp DE, Turner T, Hornung RW [1987]. Semen quality in papaya  
33 workers with long term exposure to ethylene dibromide. *Br J Ind Med* 44:317-326.  
34
- 35 Reznik G, Stinson SF, Ward JM [1980]. Respiratory pathology in rats and mice after inhalation of 1,2-dibromo-3-  
36 chloropropane or 1,2-dibromoethane for 13 weeks. *Arch Toxicol* 46:233-240.  
37
- 38 Rowe VK, Spencer HC, McCollister DD, Hollingsworth RL, Adams EM [1952]. Toxicity of ethylene dibromide  
39 determined on experimental animals. *Arch Ind Hyg Occup Med* 6:158-173.  
40
- 41 Schrader SM, Ratcliffe JM, Turner TW, Hornung RW [1987]. The use of new field methods of semen analysis in  
42 the study of occupational hazards to reproduction: the example of ethylene dibromide. *J Occup Med* 29:963-966.  
43

**External Review Draft  
May 2018**

- 1 Schrader SM, Turner TW, Ratcliffe JM [1988]. The effects of ethylene dibromide on semen quality: a comparison  
2 of short-term and chronic exposure. *Reprod Toxicol* 2:191–198.  
3  
4 ten Berge WF, Zwart A, Appelman LM [1986]. Concentration-time mortality response relationship of irritant and  
5 systematically acting vapors and gases. *J Haz Mat* 13:301–309.  
6  
7 Wong O, Utidjian HM, Karten VS [1979]. Retrospective evaluation of reproductive performance of workers  
8 exposed to ethylene dibromide (EDB). *J Occup Med* 21:98–102.

DRAFT