

Miller, Diane M. (CDC/NIOSH/EID)

From: WBESCwhudak@aol.com
Sent: Friday, May 18, 2007 9:21 AM
To: TWILBER@binghamt.gannett.com
Subject: Re: Endicott Worker Study

It would have been nice if Pinkerton had indicated that flexibility to me when she notified me of the new deadline they decided. It was a cut and dried response from NIOSH to WBESC and that's the response they got back. We haven't asked for much as a volunteer group and have given the officials of NIOSH and IBM plenty of patience.
Wanda

See what's free at <http://www.aol.com>.

Miller, Diane M. (CDC/NIOSH/EID)

From: Wilber, Tom [TWILBER@binghamt.gannett.com]
Sent: Friday, May 18, 2007 9:15 AM
To: WBESCwhudak@aol.com; [redacted]@aol.com; TOXICSPILLENDNY@aol.com; Block.Arthur@epamail.epa.gov; jab25@health.state.ny.us; jhd01@health.state.ny.us; Rdenz@co.broome.ny.us; rcknizek@gw.dec.state.ny.us
Cc: NIOSH Docket Office (CDC); Pinkerton, Lynne (CDC/NIOSH/DSHEFS)
Subject: RE: Endicott Worker Study

Wanda,

You might know that, as I understood, it, June 27 was not an absolute deadline. I think, as explained to me, NIOSH officials would be open to adjust or re-evaluate that in another month to take into account circumstances that might arise.

Regardless, I agree it is important to make your concerns heard sooner, rather than later.

Regards,

Tom Wilber
Reporter, Press & Sun-Bulletin
798-1177

From: WBESCwhudak@aol.com [mailto:WBESCwhudak@aol.com]
Sent: Friday, May 18, 2007 8:47 AM
To: [redacted]

[redacted]@aol.com; TOXICSPILLENDNY@aol.com; Block.Arthur@epamail.epa.gov; jab25@health.state.ny.us; jhd01@health.state.ny.us; Rdenz@co.broome.ny.us; rcknizek@gw.dec.state.ny.us
Cc: niocindocket@cdc.gov; LEP5@cdc.gov
Subject: Fwd: Endicott Worker Study

WBESC Members:

I find this response from Dr. Pinkerton unacceptable and restrictive.

It is amazing that the new date for commentary is June 27th coming hours after Dr. Clapp's presentation on the 26th giving us no time to review his remarks.

This is indicative of how the officials feel about public advocacy on issues that affect the community. Considering it took over 4 years for NIOSH to come to a decision on even doing a feasibility study and are now denying the WBESC adequate time to review and research from outside sources is very telling. Why is that? Are we only to be given information that officials decide is pertinent to the issues at hand?

If you, as individual members agree with my remarks feel free to immediately e-mail your responses to Dr. Pinkerton at niocindocket@cdc.gov or lep5@cdc.gov

Wanda Hudak, Chair
WBESC
607-754-4264

See what's free at <http://www.aol.com>.