The Honorable Alan J. Dixon
United States Senate
Washington, D.C. 20510-1301

Dear Senator Dixon:

Thank you for your letter of January 7 on behalf of Mr. Paul Bryant regarding the proposed regulations governing the certification of respirators.

The current regulations under which the Mine Health and Safety Administration and the National Institute for Occupational Safety and Health test and certify respirators (30 CFR Part 11) were originally promulgated in 1972. During the last several years, there has been a growing consensus among the respirator manufacturers and user community that these requirements need revision to reflect the technical advances in the field and the increased knowledge regarding environmental factors in the workplace. Some of the steps taken to develop the proposed rule are outlined in the enclosed preamble (52 FR 32402).

We are, of course, anxious to receive comments on both the technical and policy elements of this proposed rule. Toward that end, in October we extended our original comment period and announced two public hearings (52 FR 37639). The first took place in San Francisco on January 20, 1988, and the second was January 27-28, 1988, in Washington, D.C. We look forward to hearing from all parties concerned, and I assure you that all comments received will be placed into the record and will be carefully considered in any final rulemaking decision.

Sincerely yours,

James O. Mason, M.D., Dr.P.H.
Assistant Surgeon General
Director

Enclosure
United States Senate
WASHINGTON, DC 20510-1301

January 7, 1987

Mr. Ronald Docksai
Assistant Secretary for Legislation
Room 416 G
Hubert Humphrey Building
Department of Health and Human Services
200 Independence Avenue, S.W.
Washington, D.C. 20201

Dear Mr. Docksai:

Enclosed is a copy of an inquiry I received from Mr. Paul E. Bryant of the 3M Corporation of Chicago. Mr. Bryant is concerned about proposed regulations issued by the National Institute for Occupational Health and Safety.

Because of this office's desire to be responsive to all communications, your consideration of the attached is requested. Your findings and views will be appreciated.

Please direct correspondence to the attention of my assistant, Jim Schufreider.

Thank you for your assistance.

Kindest personal regards,

Sincerely,

Alan J. Dixon

Enclosure
December 15, 1987

Senator Alan J. Dixon  
331 Senate Hart Building  
Washington, DC 20515

Dear Senator Dixon:

The National Institute for Occupational Health and Safety (NIOSH) currently certifies respirators for use in general industry, mining and construction. On August 27, 1987, NIOSH proposed (42 CFR 84, Federal Register) regulations which will have a disastrous impact on worker safety and on our industry.

The Industrial Safety Equipment Association, of which 3M Company of St. Paul, Minnesota is a part, feels strongly that this proposal must be withdrawn.

The proposal provides no prototype, nor specific requirements, so it is impossible for us to exercise our right to comment on it in a meaningful way. This denies us due process.

While our industry recognizes the value of regulation and, by and large, has little problem with the concept of workplace testing, the fact of the matter is that the technology is not available to conform to the proposed change. This is not the way to improve a process; it will, in fact, destroy a process which works but needs tuning.

Even though ninety percent of the respirators in use today are used for non-mining (industrial and construction) purposes, the proposal requires that we test all respirators under mining conditions, thereby ignoring the safety interest of the majority of workers who use respirators.

Finally, the proposed changes will cost our industry up to $700,000,000, which will threaten the very viability of the industry, as well as worker safety.

The management and employees of 3M Company trust that we can count on your support in this matter of critical interest to labor and industry. We urge you to immediately contact Health and Human Services Secretary, Dr. Otis Bowen, to request that the proposed ruling be withdrawn. Enclosed is a fact sheet which outlines the proposal, our objections and recommendations.

I look forward to hearing from you once you have contacted Secretary Bowen, as we are very anxious about the resolution of this problem.

Thank you for your assistance on this matter.

Sincerely,

[Signature]