# Utilization of <br> Family Planning Services by Currently Married Women 15-44 Years of Age 

United States, 1973

Statistics, based on data collected in 1973 and 1974, are presented on the use of family planning services by currently married women. The percentage of women using such services and the location of the services are distributed by race and age of the respondent and by various socioeconomic variables.
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# UTILIZATION OF FAMILY PLANNING SERVICES BY CURRENTLY MARRIED WOMEN 15-44 YEARS OF AGE 

Francis Notzon, Division of Vital Statistics

## INTRODUCTION

The National Survey of Family Growth (NSFG), a periodic survey conducted by the National Center for Health Statistics, was designed to provide information on fertility, family planning, and those aspects of maternal and child health that are closely related to childbearing. This report presents statistics on the use of family planning services by currently married women, based on data collected in Cycle I of the NSFG. The percent of currently-married women using family planning services and the location of the services are presented according to race and age of the respondent, and according to various socio-economic variables.

The NSFG findings were based on personal interviews with about 9,800 women aged 15-44 who were either ever-married or single with their own children living in the household. The statistics in this report refer to the 7,566 women who were currently married at the time of the interview. Respondents were selected for participation in the survey on the basis of a multistage probability sample representing the noninstitutional population of the conterminous United States. The interviews were carried out in the 8 -month period centering on September 13, 1973. Additional information concerning survey design, sampling variability, and definition of terms will be found in the Technical Notes at the end of this report.

## SELECTED FINDINGS

Fifty-four percent of currently married women aged $15-44$, approximately 14.4 million women, talked at least once with a physician or other trained person about family planning methods in the 5 years before being interviewed in 1973. Of this number, 84 percent talked with their own physician in his or her office or in a hospital, and 16 percent relied on all other sources, including general clinics, family planning clinics, and hospitals and other places, for their most recent family planning visit.

No significant change took place between 1970 and 1973 in the proportion of currently married women with a family planning visit during the year prior to the survey. Based on data from the 1970 National Fertility Survey, 31 percent of currently married women were considered to have made a family planning visit in the year before the survey. ${ }^{1}$

Using data from Cycle I of the National Survey of Family Growth, the comparable figure for 1973 was 30 percent. Even when contraceptively sterile couples were eliminated, the

[^0]Table A. Number and percent distribution of currently married women 15-44 years of age currently using the pill and reporting no family planning visit in the last 5 years by whether or not they had medical visits, pelvic exams, and pap smears: United States, 1973

| Type of medical care | Number in thousands | Percent distribution |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| All women using the pill | 1,540 | 100.0 |
| Medical visit | 1,491 | 96.8 |
| No medical visit | 49 | 3.2 |
| Pelvic exam | 1,429 | 92.8 |
| No pelvic exam | 111 | 7.2 |
| Pap smear | 1,436 | 93.2 |
| No pap smear | 104 | 6.8 |

difference between the 1970 and 1973 figures remained nonsignificant.

In the National Survey of Family Growth, two criteria were used to determine if women had made a family planning visit in the last 5 years. The first criterion was whether or not they answered affirmatively to the question "During the last 5 years, has a doctor or other trained person prescribed or talked with you about a method for delaying or preventing pregnancy?" The second criterion was whether or not their reported date of the most recent family planning visit occurred within the 5 years prior to the interview.

These criteria may have failed to identify a significant number of women with a family planning visit. Since the question on date of family planning visit referred to the last time the respondent spoke with a physician or trained person about family planning methods, the reliability of the responses depended on the importance the respondent attached to the family planning aspect of a medical visit. Thus some respondents probably considered only the last time they discussed a choice of a family planning method as the "last time," while others counted the last time they had their prescription for pills (oral contraceptives) renewed, had their IUD checked, or mentioned in passing the subject of family planning during a medical visit which was primarily for another purpose.

This problem appears to be reflected in the findings shown in table A. For the 1.5 million

Table B. Number and percent distribution of currently married women $15-44$ years of age reporting sterilization as current method of contraception by time since sterilizing operation: United States, 1973

| Time since operation | Number | Percent distribution |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| All women | 4,365,181 | 100.0 |
| Less than 5 years | 2,885,693 | 66.1 |
| More than 5 years | 1,479,488 | 33.9 |

women using the pill at the time of interview but reporting no family planning visit in the past 5 years, 93 percent had a pelvic exam and a similar percentage had a pap smear in the same time period. The pelvic exam and pap smear are widely recommended parts of a medical checkup for renewal of a prescription for the pill, and in many cases probably constituted a family planning visit within the intent of the questions. It appears that the wording and sequence of the questions missed some family planning visits of this type. Despite the probable undercount of women on the pill who had a family planning visit in the last 5 years, 77 percent of the women using the pill reported making such a visit in the last 5 years.

It should also be noted that statistics on family planning visits cited in this report do not exclude women reporting sterilizing operations for themselves or their husbands. Among women reporting a sterilizing operation as their current method of contraception, a significant proportion ( 33.9 percent) of these women or their husbands had their operation more than 5 years before the date of interview, and thus would not have required a family planning visit during the period under study (table B). This varies by age, with fewer younger women (15-29 years of age) having been sterilized and most of those who were, having had their operation within the 5 -year period. Among older women (30-44 years of age), the proportion with family planning visits is undoubtedly significantly higher among the still-fecund women than among itotal women.

## FAMILY PLANNING VISITS IN THE LAST 5 YEARS

## Age, Race, and Ethnic Origin

A majority of currently married women (54 percent) made at least one family planning visit in the last 5 years (table 1 and figure 1). For currently married women over the age of 20 , the percentage with a family planning visit in the last 5 years declined significantly with each successively older age group. A maximum proportion of almost 82 percent was attained in the age group 20-24, and the minimum figure of 21 percent was recorded for the age group 40-44.

Decreases with age in the proportion of women with a family planning visit can be partially attributed to the differences in contraceptive methods used by women of different age groups. An earlier report ${ }^{2}$ states that in 1973
the pill and the intrauterine device (IUD) were the most popular contraceptive methods used by women aged 15-29. Although the pill remained a popular method of contraception among women aged $30-44$, the majority of them preferred sterilization and more traditional methods of contraception such as the condom, the diaphragm, foam, rhythm, withdrawal, and the douche, which requare fewer medical visits. Table 7 shows that women using the pill and the IUD reported a higher proportion of family planning visits in the past 5 years than did women using sterilization

[^1]

Pigure' 1. Percent of currently married women $15-44$ years of age with and without a family planning visit in the last 5 years, by age: United States, 1973
or the more traditional methods of contraception. This difference was probably due to the more frequent medical visits for checkups, prescription renewal, and the like, associated with the use of the pill or IUD.

There was a significant difference between the percentage of white women and of Negro women with a visit in the last 5 years -55 percent for white women and 46 percent for Negro women (table 1 and figure 2). Both white and Negro wives with visits reached a maximum percentage in the age group $20-24$ ( 83 percent for white women, 68 percent for Negro women), and a minimum in the age group 40-44 (22 percent for white and 16 percent for Negro women).

Among women of Spanish origin, 51 percent reported at least one family planning visit in the


Figure 2. Percent of currently married women 15-44 years of age with and without a family planning visit in the last 5 years, by race: United States, 1973
last 5 years. This placed them between the percentage of white and of Negro women with a visit. The percentage of women of Spanish origin with a visit also varied significantly with age, declining from 65 percent for the age group 15-29 to 38 percent for the age group 30-44.

## Other Characteristics

The percentage of both white and Negro women with a family planning visit in the last 5 years did not vary significantly with total family income (table 2). Family income is presented in this table as the ratio of total family income to poverty level income, as defined by the U.S. Bureau of the Census. Within each income category, in both racial groups, the proportion of women with a visit declined with age.

The distribution of currently married women with and without a family planning visit in the last 5 years by region, race, and age is shown in table 3. Among the four major regions of the United States, the highest percentage (58 percent) of women with a visit was in the West and the lowest ( 52 percent) was in the South. For white wives, there was no significant difference among the four regions. For Negroes, the percentage varied from 60 percent in the West to 43 percent in the South.

The proportion of currently married women with a family planning visit declined with increases in parity. Table 4 shows that 62 percent with $0-1$ live births had a family planning visit, while only 40 percent of the women with 5 or more live births had a family planning visit. Much of this decrease probably can be accounted for by the older ages of women with larger numbers of live births. As explained earlier, these women tend to use contraceptive methods that require less frequent medical visits.

Both white and Negro women currently out of the labor force were more likely to have had a family planning visit in the last 5 years than were those currently in the labor force (table 5). Unlike the statistics on live births, this difference could not be accounted for by differences in age distribution, or use of contraceptive methods.

There was not a significant difference in the percent of women with a family planning visit between Catholic women ( 52.9 percent) and

Protestant women (53.5 percent) (table 6). When white women only were considered, the difference between the two religious groups remained not statistically significant. However, Negro Catholics were much more likely to have had a family planning visit in the last 5 years than were Negro Protestants (62.1 percent for Negro Catholics and 43.8 percent for Negro Protestants).

The distribution of currently married women with and without a family planning visit, by current method of contraception, is shown in table 7. Those women reporting the IUD as their current method of contraception were most likely to have had a family planning visit in the last 5 years ( 84 percent). Women reporting no current method of contraception were least likely to have had a visit ( 37 percent). Fortynine percent of the women reporting female or male sterilization as their method of contraception had a visit in the last 5 years, in part because many of the sterilizing operations took place more than 5 years before the date of interview.

## THE MOST RECENT VISIT

In Cycle I of the National Survey of Family Growth, data were also collected on characteristics of each woman's most recent family planning visit. This included information on the place of the visit, interval since last visit, and who initiated the conversation.

## Location of Visit

Women who reported having talked to a physician or other trained person about methods of family planning in the 5 -year period prior to the date of interview were asked for the location of the most recent visit. Their responses were classified by the interviewers into the following categories: own physician's office, own physician in hospital, general clinic, family planning clinic, hospital, and other places.

These data are useful in identifying medical services obtained from the respondent's own physician and those obtained from all other sources. For convenience, sources of family planning services other than the respondent's
own physician are referred to in this report as organized medical services. Own-physician services are considered to be those obtained in the office of the respondent's physician or with the respondent's own physician in a hospital. Organized services include the balance of the place-of-visit categories listed above.

Over 11.5 million currently married women, or 80.3 percent, went to their own physician's office for their most recent visit (table 8 and figure 3). In the other categories, 3.3 percent of the women with a visit saw their own physician in a hospital, 8.3 percent went to a general clinic, 4.6 percent went to a family planning clinic, 1.3 percent saw someone other than their own physician in a hospital, and 2.2 percent went to other places. Using the distinction discussed above, 84 percent ( 12 million) of the women with a family planning visit relied on their own physician, while 16 percent ( 2.4 million) of the women used organized medical services for their most recent visit.

## Race, Age, and Ethnic Origin

Currently married Negro women were more likely to have used organized services for their most recent family planning visit than were currently married white women. Of those with a visit in the last 5 years, 41 percent of the Negro women and 14 percent of the white women received their family planning information from organized services. Eighteen percent of the Negro and 8 percent of the white women relied on general clinics; 17 percent of the Negro and 4 percent of the white women went to family planning clinics; and 4 percent of the Negro and 1 percent of the white women utilized nonpersonal physicians in hospitals for their most recent family planning visit.

For Negro wives with a visit in the last 5 years, the percentage using organized services was highest for the age group 15-19 ( 66 percent) and lowest for the age group 25-29 ( 32 percent). The percentage of white women using organized services also peaked at ages 15-19 (24 percent) but was lowest for the age group 30-34 (11 percent).

Fr,r women of Spanish origin, the proportion using organized services ( 35 percent) fell between the Negro and the white figures. The


Figure 3. Source of family planning services utilized in the last 5 years by currently married women $15-44$ years of age, by race: United States, 1973
percentage of Spanish-origin women relying on organized services did not vary significantly with age.

## Other Characteristics

There is a negative relationship between total family income and the use of organized services for family planning visits, for both women of all races and white women (table 9). For women with a visit in the past 5 years, the percentage using organized services on their most recent visit ranged from 35 percent for those with incomes below the poverty level to 11 percent for those with incomes more than twice the poverty level. For Negro women there was a significant difference in the use of organized services between those below the poverty level ( 58 percent) and those with incomes more than twice the poverty level (31 percent), but the relationship was not consistent for all four income categories.

For currently married women with a family planning visit in the last 5 years, the percentage relying on organized services was highest in the West Region ( 22 percent) and lowest in the North Central Region (11 percent) (table 10). For white women the highest percentage was in the West ( 21 percent), and the lowest was in the Northeast ( 9 percent). There were no significant differences among regions for Negro women who used organized services for family planning information.

For all racial groups, wives with five or more live births were most likely to have used organized services (28 percent); significantly smaller proportions of women in the other birth-order categories utilized organized services (table 11). Regardless of the number of live births, the proportion using organized services was significantly higher for Negroes than for white women.

For white wives with a family planning visit in the last 5 years, there was no significant
difference between women in the labor force and women out of the labor force in the use of public services (table 12). However, Negro wives out of the labor force were more likely to utilize organized services than were those in the labor force.

In table 13, the distribution of currently married women by place of visit is shown for Catholic and Protestant women. There was no significant difference in the use of organized services between these two groups. When white women only or Negro women only were considered, the difference in the use of organized services remained not statistically significant.

Table 14 shows the distribution of women with a visit in the last 5 years by place of visit and method of contraception used at the time of the interview. The pill, IUD, and male or female sterilization are listed separately in the table. For convenience, these methods are referred to in this report as modern methods of contraception since they have been known or widely accepted as methods of family planning only since 1960. The more traditional methodsdiaphragm, condom, foam, rhythm, withdrawal, douche, and other methods-are combined into a single category because the number of women using the individual methods was insufficient to permit reliable comparisons.

Seventeen percent of the users of modern contraceptives who had a family planning visit in the last 5 years utilized organized medical services, while only 13 percent of the users of traditional contraceptives used these services. Among the users of modern contraceptives who had a family planning visit, those using the IUD were most likely to have utilized organized services ( 23 percent); those using the pill were least likely to utilize organized services ( 16 percent).

## Interval Since Latest Visit

The majority of women who had a family planning visit in the past 5 years had made their latest visit in the 3 years before the survey (table 15). Fifty-five percent of them had their most recent visit in the year preceding the survey, 74 percent had their most recent visit in the 2 years before the date of interview, and 86 percent had their most recent visit in the 3 years preceding the survey.

For both own-physician and organized services, more than half of the most recent visits took place in the year prior to the survey-54 percent for own-physician services and 61 percent for organized services. For both Negro and white women with a family planning visit in the last 5 years, 55 percent had their most recent visit in the last year.

Table 16 shows the distribution of women by place of visit, income level, and interval since last visit. For all four income levels, the majority of women had made their most recent visit in the year preceding the survey.

## Initiator of Discussion

The discussion of family planning methods was initiated most often by the respondent rather than by the physician or other trained person (table 17). Almost 62 percent of the respondents indicated that they started the conversation, while 36 percent stated that the discussion was begun by the physician or trained person. However, those respondents using ownphysician services initiated the family planning discussion more often than did the women relying on organized services ( 63 percent and 53 percent, respectively).
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Table 1. Number and percent distribution of currently married women 15-44 years of age with or without a family planning visit in the last 5 years, according to race or Spanish origin and age: United States, 1973

| Race or Spanish origin and age | $\begin{aligned} & \text { All } \\ & \text { women } \end{aligned}$ | Visit | $\begin{aligned} & \text { No } \\ & \text { visit } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Al1 } \\ \text { women } \end{gathered}$ | Visit | $\begin{aligned} & \text { No } \\ & \text { visit } \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A11 races | Number in thousands |  |  | Percent distribution |  |  |
| 15-44' years--------------------------- | 26,646 | 14,380 | 12,267 | 100.0 | 54.0 | 46.0 |
| 15-19 years------------------------------- | 1,028 | 728 | 300 | 100.0 | 70.8 | 29.2 |
| 20-24 years | 4,949 | 4,043 | 907 | 100.0 | 81.7 | 18.3 |
| 25-29 years | 6,063 | 4,248 | 1,815 | 100.0 | 70.1 | 29.9 |
| 30-34 years | 5,248 | 2,805 | 2,443 | 100.0 | 53.5 | 46.5 |
| $35-39$ $40-44$ years | 4,632 4,726 | 1,561 | 3,071 3,731 | 100.0 100.0 | 33.7 | 66.3 |
| White |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15-44 years | 24,249 | 13,273 | 10,976 | 100.0 | 54.7 | 45.3 |
| 15-19 years--------------------------------- | 915 | 669 | 246 | 100.0 | 73.1 | 26.9 |
| 20-24 years | 4,469 | 3,715 | 754 | 100.0 | 83.1 | 16.9 |
| 25-29 years | 5,579 | 3,949 | 1,630 | 100.0 | 70.8 | 29.2 |
| 30-34 years | 4,768 | 2,592 | 2,175 | 100.0 | 54.4 | 45.6 |
| 35-39 years | 4,199 | 1,416 | 2,782 | 100.0 | 33.7 | 66.3 |
| 40-44 years | 4,320 | 931 | 3,389 | 100.0 | 21.6 | 78.4 |
| Negro |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15-44 years--------------------------- | 2,081 | 953 | 1,127 | 100.0 | 45.8 | 54.2 |
| 15-19 years---------------------------------- | 96 | 45 | 50 | 100.0 | 47.4 | 52.6 |
| 20-24 years--------------------------------- | 451 | 308 | 144 | 100.0 | 68.2 | 31.8 |
|  | 417 | 253 | 164 | 100.0 | 60.7 | 39.3 |
| 30-34 years | 402 | 187 | 215 | 100.0 | 46.5 | 53.5 |
| 35-39 years | 347 | 101 | 246 | 100.0 | 29.0 | 71.0 |
|  | 367 | 60 | 308 | 100.0 | 16.2 | 83.8 |
| Spanish origin ${ }^{1}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15-44 years----------------------------- | 1,676 | 849 | 827 | 100.0 | 50.7 | 49.3 |
| 15-29 years | 770 | 502 | 268 | 100.0 | 65.2 | 34.8 |
| 30-44 years--------------------------------1-2- | 906 | 347 | 559 | 100.0 | 38.3 | 61.7 |

${ }^{1}$ The Spanish origin classification was made independently of racial classification and includes women from both racial groups.

Table 2. Number and percent distribution of currently married women 15-44 years of age with or without a family planning visit in the last 5 years, according to income leve1, race, and age: United States, 1973

| Income level, race, and age | $\begin{gathered} \text { A11 } \\ \text { women } \end{gathered}$ | Visit | $\begin{aligned} & \text { No } \\ & \text { visit } \end{aligned}$ | A11 women | Visit | $\begin{aligned} & \text { No } \\ & \text { visit } \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| BELOW POVERTY INCOME | Number in thousands |  |  | Percent distribution |  |  |
|  | 2,033 | 1,045 | 988 | 100.0 | 51.4 | 48.6 |
|  | 993 1,040 | 706 339 | 286 | 100.0 100.0 | 71.1 32.6 | 28.9 67.4 |
| 15-44 years--------------- | 1,681 | 880 | 800 | 100.0 | 52.4 | 47.6 |
| 15-29 years <br>  | 857 824 | 632 249 | 225 575 | 100.0 100.0 | 73.7 30.2 | 26.3 69.8 |
| 15-44 years-----m---------- | 326 | 153 | 174 | 100.0 | 46.8 | 53.2 |
|  | 131 195 | 75 78 | 56 118 | 100.0 100.0 | 57.2 39.8 | 42.8 60.2 |
| $\frac{100-149 \text { PERCENT OF }}{\text { POVERTY INCOME }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15-44 years--------------- | 2,108 | 1,214 | 893 | 100.0 | 57.6 | 42.4 |
| 15-29 years <br> 30-44 years | 1,028 1,079 | 783 432 | $\begin{aligned} & 246 \\ & 648 \end{aligned}$ | 100.0 100.0 | 76.1 40.0 | 23.9 60.0 |
| 15-44 years- | 1,717 | 1,033 | 684 | 100.0 | 60.2 | 39.8 |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & 851 \\ & 867 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 667 \\ & 366 \end{aligned}$ | 183 500 | 100.0 100.0 | 78.5 42.2 | 21.5 57.8 |
| 15-44 years--------------- | 358 | 172 | 186 | 100.0 | 48.0 | 52.0 |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & 158 \\ & 200 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 106 \\ 65 \end{array}$ | 52 | 100.0 | 67.2 | 32.8 |
|  |  |  | 134 | 100.0 | 32.8 | 67.2 |

Table 2. Number and percent distribution of currently married women 15-44 years of age with or without a family planning visit in the last 5 years, according to income level, race, and age: United States, 1973-Con.

| Income level, race, and age | $\begin{gathered} \text { All } \\ \text { women } \end{gathered}$ | Visit | $\begin{aligned} & \text { No } \\ & \text { visit } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { All } \\ \text { women } \end{gathered}$ | Visit | $\begin{aligned} & \text { No } \\ & \text { Visit } \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\frac{\text { 150-199 PERCENT OF }}{\text { POVERTY INCOME }}$ | Number in thousands |  |  | Percent distribution |  |  |
| A11 races |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15-44 years | 3,053 | 1,740 | 1,313 | 100.0 | 57.0 | 43.0 |
|  | 1,487 1,566 | 1,102 638 | 385 928 | 100.0 100.0 | 74.1 40.7 | 25.9 59.3 |
| 15-44 years- | 2,742 | 1,590 | 1,152 | 100.0 | 58.0 | 42.0 |
| $\begin{aligned} & 15-29 \text { years- } \\ & 30-44 \\ & \text { years- } \end{aligned}$ | 1,361 1,380 | 1,022 568 | 340 812 | 100.0 100.0 | 75.0 41.2 | 25.0 58.8 |
| 15-44 years- | 273 | 132 | 141 | 100.0 | 48.2 | 51.8 |
|  | 107 | 67 65 | 40 101 | 100.0 100.0 | 62.4 39.0 | 37.6 61.0 |
| $\frac{200 \text { PERCENT OF POVERTY INCOME }}{\text { AND ABOVE }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15-44 years- | 19,452 | 10,380 | 9,072 | 100.0 | 53.4 | 46.6 |
|  | 8,531 10,921 | 6,427 3,953 | 2,104 6,968 | 100.0 100.0 | 75.3 36.2 | 24.7 63.8 |
|  | 18,109 | 9,770 | 8,340 | 100.0 | 53.9 | 46.1 |
|  | $\begin{array}{r} 7,894 \\ 10,215 \end{array}$ | 6,013 3,757 | 1,881 6,459 | 100.0 100.0 | 76.2 36.8 | 23.8 63.2 |
| 15-44 years--------------- | 1,124 | 497 | 626 | 100.0 | 44.3 | 55.7 |
|  | 567 | 358 | 210 | 100.0 | 63.1 | 36.9 |
|  | 556 | 140 | 417 | 100.0 | 25.1 | 74.9 |

Table 3. Number and percent distribution of currently married women 15-44 years of age with or without a family planning visit in the last 5 years, according to geographic region, race, and age: United States, 1973


Table 3. Number and percent distribution of currently married women 15-44 years of age with or without a family planning visit in the last 5 years, according to geographic region, race, and age: United States, 1973-Con.

| Race and age | A11 women | Visit | $\begin{aligned} & \text { No } \\ & \text { visit } \end{aligned}$ | A11 women | Visit | $\begin{gathered} \text { No } \\ \text { visit } \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| SOUTH | Number in thousands |  |  | Percent distribution |  |  |
| 15-44 years--------------- | 8,924 | 4,661 | 4,263 | 100.0 | 52.2 | 47.8 |
|  | 4,286 4,638 | 3,114 1,548 | 1,172 3,090 | 100.0 100.0 | 72.6 33.4 | $\begin{aligned} & 27.4 \\ & 66.6 \end{aligned}$ |
| 15-44 years | 7,703 | 4,149 | 3,554 | 100.0 | 53.9 | 46.1 |
| 15-29 years <br> 30-44 years | $\begin{aligned} & 3,722 \\ & 3,981 \\ & 1,142 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2,778 \\ & 1,370 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 943 \\ 2,611 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 100.0 \\ & 100.0 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 74.7 \\ & 34.4 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 25.3 \\ & 65.6 \end{aligned}$ |
| 15-44 years--------------- |  | 485 | 656 | 100.0 | 42.5 | 57.5 |
| ```15-29 years \\ 30-44 years``` | $\begin{aligned} & 541 \\ & 601 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 322 \\ & 164 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 219 \\ & 437 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 100.0 \\ & 100.0 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 59.5 \\ & 27.2 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 40.5 \\ & 72.8 \end{aligned}$ |
| WEST |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15-44 years | 5,335 | 3,070 | 2,265 | 100.0 | 57.5 | 42.5 |
| $\begin{aligned} & 15-29 y \\ & 30-44 y \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2,488 \\ & 2,847 \end{aligned}$ | 1,973 1,097 | $\begin{array}{r} 515 \\ 1,751 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 100.0 \\ & 100.0 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 79.3 \\ & 38.5 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 20.7 \\ & 61.5 \end{aligned}$ |
| 15-44 years | 5,070 | 2,913 | 2,157 | 100.0 | 57.5 | 42.5 |
| $15-29$ $30-44$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2,382 \\ & 2,688 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1,889 \\ & 1,024 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 493 \\ 1,664 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 100.0 \\ & 100.0 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 79.3 \\ & 38.1 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 20.7 \\ & 61.9 \end{aligned}$ |
| 15-44 years---------------1 | 123 | 74 | 49 | 100.0 | 60.3 | 39.7 |
| 15-29 years | 5865 | 4529 | $\begin{array}{r} * 13 \\ 36 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 100.0 \\ & 100.0 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 78.2 \\ & 44.4 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} * 21.8 \\ 55.6 \end{array}$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Table 4. Number and percent distribution of currently married women 15-44 years of age with or without a family planning visit in the last 5 years, according to parity, race, and age: United States, 1973


Table 5. Number and percent distribution of currently married women 15-44 years of age with or without a family planning visit in the last 5 years, according to labor force status, race, and age: United States, 1973

| Labor force status, race, and age | A11 women | Visit | $\begin{aligned} & \text { No } \\ & \text { visit } \end{aligned}$ | A11 women | Visit | $\begin{aligned} & \text { No } \\ & \text { visit } \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| IN LABOR FORCE | Number in thousands |  |  | Percent distribution |  |  |
| 15-44 years--------------------------- | 11,084 | 5,737 | 5,347 | 100.0 | 51.8 | 48.2 |
| 15-29 years <br> 30-44 years- <br> White <br> 15-44 years | 4,950 6,134 | 3,754 1,983 | 1,196 | 100.0 100.0 | 75.8 32.3 | 24.2 67.7 |
|  | 9,829 | 5,210 | 4,620 | 100.0 | 53.0 | 47.0 |
|  | 4,443 5,387 | 3,426 1,784 | 1,017 3,603 | 100.0 100.0 | 77.1 33.1 | 22.9 66.9 |
| ```15-44 years- 15-29 years 30-44 years-------------------------------------------- NOT IN LABOR FORCE All races 15-44 years-``` | I,128 | 472 | 656 | 100.0 | 41.9 | 58.1 |
|  | 482 | 308 165 | 175 481 | 100.0 100.0 | 63.8 25.5 | 36.2 74.5 |
|  | 15,562 | 8,642 | 6,919 | 100.0 | 55.5 | 44.5 |
| $\qquad$ | 7,089 8,472 | 5,265 3,378 | 1,825 5,095 | 100.0 100.0 | 74.3 39.9 | 25.7 60.1 |
| 15-44 years------ | 14,419 | 8,064 | 6,356 | 100.0 | 55.9 | 44.1 |
|  | 6,520 7,899 | 4,908 3,156 | 1,613 4,743 | 100.0 100.0 | 75.3 40.0 | 24.7 60.0 |
| 15-44 years------ | 953 | 481 | 472 | 100.0 | 50.5 | 49.5 |
| 15-29 years <br> 30-44 years | 482 | 298 183 | 183 <br> 288 | 100.0 100.0 | 61.9 38.8 | 38.1 61.2 |

Table 6. Number and percent distribution of currently married women 15-44 years of age with or without a family planning visit in the last 5 years, according to religion, race, and age: United States, 1973


Table 7. Number and percent distribution of currently married women 15-44 years of age with or without a family planning visit in the last 5 years, according to current contraceptive status: United States, 1973

| Current contraceptive status | All women | Visit | $\begin{aligned} & \text { No } \\ & \text { visit } \end{aligned}$ | A11 women | Visit | $\begin{aligned} & \text { No } \\ & \text { visit } \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Number in thousands <br> 26,646\|| $14,380 \mid 12,267$ |  |  | Percent distribution |  |  |
| All women |  |  |  | 100.0 | 54.0 | 46.0 |
| Noncontraceptors- | 8,104 | 2,977 | 5,127 | 100.0 | 36.7 | 63.3 |
| Contraceptors- | 18,542 | 11,403 | 7,141 | 100.0 | 61.5 | 38.5 |
| Sterilization- | 4,365 | 2,133 | 2,232 | 100.0 | 48.9 | 51.1 |
| Pill- | 6,690 | 5,163 | 1,527 | 100.0 | 77.2 | 22.8 |
| IUD | 1,781 | 1,494 | 288 | 100.0 | 83.9 | 16.1 |
| Traditional methods-------- | 5,706 | 2,613 | 3,094 | 100.0 | 45.8 | 54.2 |

Table 8. Number and percent distribution of currently married women $15-44$ years of age by place of most recent family planning visit in the last 5 years, according to race or Spanish origin and age: United States, 1973


Table 8. Number and percent distribution of currently married women 15-44 years of age by place of most recent family planning visit in the last 5 years, according to race or Spanish origin and age: United States, 1973-Con.

| Race or Spanish origin and age | $\begin{gathered} \text { All } \\ \text { visits } \end{gathered}$ | Own physician |  |  | Organized medical services |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Total | Office | Hospital | Total | General c1inic | Family <br> plan- <br> ning <br> clinic | Hospital | Other <br> places |
| All races | Percent distribution |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15-44 years---- | 100.0 | 83.6 | 80.3 | 3.3 | 16.4 | 8.3 | 4.6 | 1.3 | 2.2 |
| 15-19 years-------- | 100.0 | 73.0 | 70.6 | *2.4 | 27.0 | 13.3 | *9. 1 | *2.8 | *1.8 |
| 20-24 ycars-------- | 100.0 | 79.0 | 75.9 | 3.1 | 21.0 | 10.6 | 6.4 | *1.6 |  |
| 25-29 yearsm------- | 100.0 | 86.4 | 82.6 | 3.8 | 13.6 | 7.2 | 3.7 |  | *1.5 |
| 30-34 years-------- | 100.0 | 86.9 | 83.7 | 3.2 | 13.1 | 6.8 | 3.3 | *0.6 |  |
| 35-39 years-------- | 100.0 | 82.4 | 78.6 | +3.8 | $\begin{array}{r} 17.6 \\ 9.1 \end{array}$ | 9.2 | +3.2 | *1. 8 | $\begin{array}{r} * 2.5 \\ * 3.4 \end{array}$ |
| 40-44 years-n------ | 100.0 | 90.9 | 89.0 | *1.9 |  | +3.1 | *3.4 | *0.6 | +2.0 |
| White |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15-44 years---- | 100.0 | 85.5 | 82.4 | 3.1 | 14.5 | 7.6 | 3.6 | 1.1 | 2.1 |
| 15-19 years-------- | 100.0 | 75.880.7 | 73.4 | *2.4 | 24.2 | 12.1 | *7.7 | *2.5 | *1.9 |
| 20-24 years-------- | 100.0 |  |  | 3.1 | 19.3 | 10.5 | 5.3 | *1.1 |  |
| 25-29 years--.------ | 100.0 | 87.689.2 | 83.986.3 | 3.6 | 12.4 | 6.5 | 3.3 |  | +1.5 |
| 30-34 years-------- | 100.0 |  |  | 2.9 | 10.8 | 5.8 | *2.2 | *0.6 | $* 2.3$$* 2.7$ |
| 35-39 years-------- | 100.0 | 85.3 | 81.9 | *3.4 | 14.7 | 7.8 | *2.6 | *1.6 |  |
| 40-44 years-m------ | 100.0 | 93.6 | 91.6 | *1.9 | *6. 5 | *2. 5 | *1.3 | *0.5 | *2.1 |
| Negro |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15-44 years---- | 100.0 | 58.8 | 55.0 | 3.8 | 41.2 | 17.9 | 16.9 | 4.4 | *2.0 |
| 15-19 years-------- | 100.0 | $\begin{array}{r} * 33.9 \\ 57.0 \\ 68.5 \\ 62.1 \\ 50.8 \\ 49.0 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} * 31.2 \\ 56.1 \\ 64.8 \\ 53.9 \\ 44.8 \\ 46.7 \end{array}$ | $* 2.7$$* 0.9$ | 66.1 | *35.7 | *22.7 | *7.8 | - |
| 20-24 years-------- | 100.0 |  |  |  | 43.031.5 | 13.0 | $\begin{aligned} & 20.1 \\ & 10.6 \end{aligned}$ | *8.1 | *1.9 |
| 25-29 years-------- | 100.0 |  |  | $* 0.9$ $* 3.7$ |  | 16.9 |  | *1.3 |  |
| 30-34 years-------- | 100.0 |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & * 8.1 \\ & \times 5.9 \end{aligned}$ | 37.949.2 | 18.729.8 | $\begin{array}{r} 16.7 \\ \times 9.5 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & * 1.9 \\ & * 4.7 \end{aligned}$ | *0. 5 |
| 35-39 years-------- | 100.0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | +5.2 |
| 40-44 years-------- | 100.0 |  |  | *2.2 | 51.0 | *11.9 | *36.2 | *3.0 | - |
| Spanish origin ${ }^{1}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15-44 years---- | 100.0 | 65.1 | 62.1 | *3.0 | 34.9 | 13.0 | 14.0 | *4.7 | *3.1 |
| 15-29 years-------- | 100.0 | $\begin{aligned} & 63.2 \\ & 67.9 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 60.5 \\ & 64.3 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} * 2.7 \\ * 3.5 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 36.8 \\ & 32.1 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} * 12.8 \\ * 13.3 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 14.7 \\ * 13.1 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} * 4.9 \\ * 4.3 \end{array}$ | $* 4.3$$* 1.4$ |
| 30-44 years-------- | 100.0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

${ }^{1}$ The Spanish origin classification was made independently of racial classification and includes women from both racial groups.

Table 9. Number of curcently married women 15-44 years of age with a family planning visit in the last 5 years and percent distribution by place of most recent family planning visit, according to the ratio of family income to poverty level income, race, and age: United States, 1973


Table 9. Number of currently married women $15-44$ years of age with a family planning visit in the last 5 years and percent distribution by place of most recent family planning visit, according to the ratio of family income to poverty level income, race, and age: United States, 1973-Con.

| Income level, race, and age | With family planning visit in last 5 years |  | Own physician |  |  | Organized medical services |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Number in thousands | Percent | Total | Office | Hospital | Total | Gen- <br> clinic | planning clinic | Hospital | Other <br> places |
| $\frac{150-199 \text { PERCENT }}{\frac{\text { OF POVERTY }}{\text { INCOME }}}$ |  |  | Percent distribution |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15-44 years-- | 1,740 | 100.0 | 74.2 | 70.5 | *3.7 | 25.8 | 13.6 | 5.6 | $\therefore 2.7$ | *3.9 |
| 15-29 $30-44$ years------ | 1,102 638 | $\begin{aligned} & 100.0 \\ & 100.0 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 71.5 \\ & 78.8 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 68.5 \\ & 73.8 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & * 3.0 \\ & * 4.9 \end{aligned}$ | 28.5 21.2 | 15.2 $* 10.9$ | 6.7 $\times 3.6$ | $\begin{aligned} & * 3.6 \\ & * 1.3 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & * 3.0 \\ & * 5.4 \end{aligned}$ |
| 15-44 years-- | 1,590 | 100.0 | 77.1 | 73.6 | *3.4 | 22.9 | 12.9 | *4.5 | *2.2 | *3.4 |
| $\begin{aligned} & 15-29 \text { years----- } \\ & 30-44 \text { years- } \end{aligned}$ | 1,022 568 | $\begin{aligned} & 100.0 \\ & 100.0 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 74.2 \\ & 82.3 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 71.6 \\ & 77.3 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & * 2.6 \\ & * 4.9 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 25.8 \\ & 17.7 \end{aligned}$ | 14.3 +10.4 | +5.8 +2.2 | $\begin{aligned} & * 3.0 \\ & * 0.7 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & * 2.8 \\ & * 4.5 \end{aligned}$ |
| 15-44 years-- | 132 | 100.0 | 46.3 | 42.0 | *4.3 | 53.7 | 21.4 | *15.9 | *9.8 | *6. 5 |
| $\begin{aligned} & 15-29 \text { years--.-- } \\ & 30-44 \text { years- } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 67 \\ & 65 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 100.0 \\ & 100.0 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} * 38.6 \\ 54.3 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} * 35.4 \\ 48.8 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & * 3.1 \\ & * 5.5 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 61.4 \\ & 45.7 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & * 26.4 \\ & * 16.2 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & * 15.2 \\ & * 16.7 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} * 13.4 \\ * 6.0 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & * 6.4 \\ & * 6.7 \end{aligned}$ |
| $\frac{\text { 200 PERCENT AND }}{\frac{\text { ABOVE OF POVERTY }}{}} \frac{\text { INCOME }}{}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| All races |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15-44 years-- | 10,380 | 100.0 | 88.7 | 85.8 | 2.9 | 11.3 | 5.8 | 3.1 | 0.7 | 1.7 |
| $\begin{aligned} & 15-29 \text { years----- } \\ & 30-44 \text { years- } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 6,427 \\ & 3,953 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 100.0 \\ & 100.0 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 87.0 \\ & 91.4 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 83.9 \\ & 88.8 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 3.1 \\ & 2.6 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 13.0 \\ 8.6 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 6.8 \\ & 4.1 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 4.0 \\ * 1.6 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & * 0.8 \\ & * 0.7 \end{aligned}$ | 1.4 2.2 |
| White |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15-44 years-- | 9,770 | 100.0 | 89.8 | 86.9 | 2.8 | 10.2 | 5.5 | 2.4 | *0.6 | 1.7 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 15-29 years------ } \\ & 30-44 \text { years---- } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 6,013 \\ & 3,757 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 100.0 \\ & 100.0 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 88.2 \\ & 92.3 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 85.0 \\ & 90.1 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 3.2 \\ & 2.2 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 11.8 \\ 7.7 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 6.5 \\ & 3.9 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 3.3 \\ * 1.1 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & * 0.7 \\ & * 0.6 \end{aligned}$ | 1.4 2.1 |
| Negro |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15-44 years-- | 497 | 100.0 | 68.7 | 64.8 | *3.9 | 31.3 | 11.5 | 15.3 | *3.0 | *1.6 |
| $\begin{aligned} & 15-29 \text { years------ } \\ & 30-44 \text { years--- } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 358 \\ & 140 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 100.0 \\ & 100.0 \end{aligned}$ | $76.1$ | $\begin{aligned} & 64.4 \\ & 65.9 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} * 1.5 \\ * 10.2 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 34.2 \\ & 23.9 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 12.3 \\ & * 9.2 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 17.1 \\ * 10.7 \end{array}$ |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $* 2.7$ | *1.4 |

Table 10, Number of currently married women $15-44$ years of age with a family planning visit in the last 5 years and percent distribution by place of most recent visit, according to geographic region, race, and age: United States, 1973


Table 10. Number of currently married women $15-44$ years of age with a family planning visit in the last 5 years and percent distribution by place of most recent visit, according to geographic region, race, and age: United States, 1973-Con.


Table 11. Number of currently married women $15-44$ years of age with a family planning visit in the last 5 years and percent distribution by place of most recent family planning visit, according to parity, race, and age: United States, 1973


Table 12. Number of currently married women $15-44$ years of age with a family planning visit in the last 5 years and percent distribution by place of most recent family planning visit, according to labor force status, race, and age: United States, 1973

| Labor force status, race, and age | With family planning visit in last 5 years |  | Own physician |  |  | Organized medical services |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Total | Office | Hospita1 | Total | General clini | Family planclinic | Hospital | Other <br> places |
|  | Number in thousands | Percent |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| IN LABOR FORCE |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| All races $\quad$ Percent distribution |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15-44 years-- | 5,737 | 100.0 | 84.9 | 82.9 | 2.0 | 15.1 | 7.7 | 4.4 | *1.0 | 2.0 |
| $\begin{aligned} & 15-29 \text { years }- \text {----- } \\ & 30-44 \text { years } \end{aligned}$ | 3,754 1,983 | 100.0 100.0 | 84.6 85.5 | 83.0 82.7 | $\begin{aligned} & * 1.6 \\ & * 2.8 \end{aligned}$ | 15.4 | 7.8 7.3 | 5.1 $* 3.1$ | $* 0.9$ $* 1.2$ | 1.5 $* 2.9$ |
| 15-44 years-- | 5,210 | 100.0 | 87.0 | 85.2 | 1.9 | 13.0 | 6.8 | 3.4 | *0.9 | 1.9 |
|  | 3,426 1,784 | $\begin{aligned} & 100.0 \\ & 100.0 \end{aligned}$ | 86.3 88.4 | 84.7 86.1 | $* 1.6$ $* 2.4$ | 13.7 11.6 | 7.0 6.5 | 4.4 $* 1.5$ | $* 0.8$ $* 1.0$ | $* 1.5$ $* 2.5$ |
| 15-44 years-- | 472 | 100.0 | 64.5 | 61.6 | *2.9 | 35.5 | 16.6 | 14.4 | *2.9 | *1.6 |
| 15-29 years---------- | 308 165 | 100.0 100.0 | 64.5 64.4 | 63.0 58.9 | *1.6 | 35.5 35.6 | 17.4 $* 15.2$ | $\begin{array}{r} 13.8 \\ * 15.6 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & * 2.6 \\ & * 3.4 \end{aligned}$ | *1.7 |
| NOT IN LABOR FORCE |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 8,642 | 100.0 | 82.7 | 78.6 | 4.1 | 17.3 | 8.8 | 4.7 | 1.5 | 2.3 |
| 15-29 years--------- | 5,265 3,378 | 100.0 100.0 | 80.1 86.8 | 75.5 83.4 | 4.6 3.3 | 19.9 13.2 | 10.3 6.5 | 5.5 3.4 | 1.9 $* 0.8$ | 2.2 |
| White |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15-44 years-- | 8,064 | 100.0 | 84.6 | 80.6 | 3.9 | 15.4 | 8.1 | 3.8 | 1.3 | 2.3 |
| 15-29 years---------- | 4,908 3,156 | 100.0 100.0 | $\begin{aligned} & 81.6 \\ & 89.2 \end{aligned}$ | 77.1 86.0 | 4.5 3.1 | 18.4 10.8 | 9.9 5.3 | 4.7 2.5 | 1.6 $* 0.7$ | 2.2 2.3 |
| Negro |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15-44 years-- | $481 \quad 100.0$ |  | 53.2 | 48.6 | *4.6 | 46.8 | 19.2 | 19.4 | 5.9 | *2.4 |
| 15-29 years--------30-44 years--------- | $\begin{aligned} & 298 \\ & 183 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 100.0 \\ & 100.0 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 55.4 \\ & 49.5 \end{aligned}$ | 52.642.1 | $\begin{aligned} & * 2.8 \\ & * 7.4 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 44.6 \\ & 50.5 \end{aligned}$ | 15.225.8 | 18.920.1 | $* 8.0$$* 2.5$ | *2.5 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Table 13. Number of currently married women $15-44$ years of age with a family planning visit in the last 5 years and percent distribution by place of most recent family planning visit, according to religion, race, and age: United States, 1973


Table 14. Number of currently married women $15-44$ years of age with a family planning visit in the last 5 years and percent distribution by place of most recent family planning visit, according to current contraceptive status: United States, 1973

| Current contraceptive status | With family planning visit in last 5 years |  | Own physician |  |  | Organized medical services |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Total | Office | Hospital | Total | General clinic | Family planning clinic | Hospital | Other <br> places |
|  | Number in thousands | Percent |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | Percen | distr | ution |  |  |  |
| A11 women---- | 14,380 | 100.0 | 83.6 | 80.3 | 3.3 | 16.4 | 8.3 | 4.6 | 1.3 | 2.2 |
| Noncontraceptors--- | 2,977 | 100.0 | 83.5 | 79.2 | 4.3 | 16.5 | 7.5 | 3.6 | 2.4 | 3.0 |
| Contraceptors------ | 11,403 | 100.0 | 83.6 | 80.6 | 3.0 | 16.4 | 8.6 | 4.8 | 1.0 | 2.0 |
| Sterilization---- | 2,133 | 100.0 | 82.4 | 76.0 | 6.4 | 17.6 | 9.7 | *2.2 | *3.0 | *2.7 |
| Pill-------------- | 5,163 | 100.0 | 84.4 | 82.0 | 2.4 | 15.6 | 8.1 | 5.1 | *0.7 | 1.7 |
| IUD-------------- | 1,494 | 100.0 | 76.9 | 74.9 | *2.0 | 23.1 | 10.1 | 10.4 | *0.4 | *2.2 |
| Traditional methods- | 2,613 | 100.0 | 86.9 | 84.8 | *2.1 | 13.1 | 7.7 | 3.1 | *0.5 | *1.9 |

Table 15. Number of currently married women $15-44$ years of age with a family planning visit in the last 5 years by race and percent distributions by interval since last visit, according to place of most recent visit: United States, 1973

| Race and interval since last visit | $\begin{aligned} & \text { All } \\ & \text { visits } \end{aligned}$ | Own physician |  |  | Organized medical services |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Total | Office | Hospi- | Total | Gen- eral clinic | Family planning <br> clinic | Hospital | Other <br> places |
| All races | Number in thousands |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Number of women ${ }^{1}$ - | 14,380 | 12,019 | 11,548 | 470 | 2,361 | 1,198 | 657 | 189 | 316 |
| All intervals---- | Percent distribution |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| Within 12 months------- | 55.1 | 53.9 | 54.3 | 43.2 | 60.9 | 59.4 | 71.3 | 44.0 | 55.3 |
| 13-24 months----------- | 18.9 | 18.8 | 18.7 | 20.3 | 19.4 | 17.8 | 17.3 | *22.2 | 28.6 |
| 25-36 months------------ | 12.1 | 12.4 | 12.1 | 18.2 | 10.6 | 13.3 | *6.1 | *19.7 | *4.4 |
| 37-60 months------------ | 13.0 | 14.0 | 13.8 | 17.1 | 8.1 | 8.4 | *3.9 | *14.1 | *11.7 |
| White | Number in thousands |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Number of } \\ & \text { women } 1 \text {------- } \end{aligned}$ | 13,273 | 11,354 | 10,937 | 416 | 1,920 | 1,010 | 483 | 147 | 279 |
| Al1 intervals---- | Percent distribution |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| Within 12 months------- | 55.1 | 54.0 | 54.3 | 45.7 | 61.6 | 60.7 | 71.7 | *44.8 | 56.2 |
| 13-24 months----------- | 18.9 | 18.6 | 18.6 | 18.5 | 20.6 | 18.412.4 | 19.5+4.7 | $\begin{array}{r} * 24.0 \\ * 16.8 \end{array}$ | 28.9$\times 4.7$ |
| 25-36 months----------- | 12.0 | 12.4 | 12.1 | $\begin{array}{r} 18.8 \\ \times 15.9 \end{array}$ | 9.67.5 |  |  |  |  |
| 37-60 months----------- | 13.1 | 14.0 | 14.0 |  |  | 7.7 | *3.2 | *14.8 | *10.2 |
| Negro | Number in thousands |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Number of women ${ }^{1}$ | 9531 | 560\| | 525 | 36 | 3931 | 171 | 161 | 42 | *19 |
|  |  |  | Percent distribution |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| All intervals---- | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | *100.0 |
| Within 12 months------- | 55.5 | 52.9 | 54.0 | *35.5 | 59.3 | 55.1 | 70.3 | * 41.0 | * 43.4 |
| 13-24 months----------- | 17.7 | 20.2 | 19.9 | $\approx 25.6$ | 14.1 | 16.0 | *9.7 | +17.2 | \%27.0 |
| 25-36 months----------- | 14.6 | 13.9 | 13.4 | *20.0 | 15.6 | 17.6 | *11.0 | *29.8 | *5.3 |
| 37-60 months----------- | 10.7 | 12.2 | 12.1 | *14.3 | 8.7 | *8.2 | *6.4 | *11.9 | *24.3 |

[^2]Table 16. Number of currently married women $15-44$ years of age with a family planning visit in the last 5 years by income level and percent distributions by interval since last visit, according to place of most recent visit: United States, 1973

| Income level and interval since last visit | $\begin{gathered} \text { All } \\ \text { visits } \end{gathered}$ | Own physician |  |  | Organized medical services |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Total | Office | $\underset{\text { tal }}{\text { Hospi- }}$ | Total | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Gen- } \\ & \text { eral } \\ & \text { clinic } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Family } \\ & \text { plan- } \\ & \text { ning } \\ & \text { clinic } \end{aligned}$ | Hospital | Other places |
| Below poverty income | Number in thousands |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Number of women ${ }^{1}-$------- | 1,045 | 681 | 635 | *45 | 365 | 172 | 121 | *25 | *47 |
|  | Percent distribution |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| All intervals-------------- | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | *100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | *100.0 | *100.0 |
| Within 12 months--------------- | 60.6 | 59.9 | 59.8 | *60.1 | 62.1 | 57.9 | 72.4 | *63.2 | *50.0 |
|  | 14.9 | 16.7 | 16.3 | *22.6 | *11.5 | *14.3 | $\times 9.2$ | *5.2 | *10.4 |
| 25-36 months- | 12.4 | 10.7 | *10.9 | *8.4 | *15.6 | *18.3 | *10.2 | *31.6 | *10.7 |
| 37-60 months- | 11.4 | 12.1 | 12.3 | *8.9 | *10.3 | *8.7 | $\pm 7.4$ |  | *28.8 |
| $\frac{100-149 \text { percent of }}{\text { poverty income }}$ | Number in thousands |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Number of women ${ }^{1}-$------- | 1,214 | 841 | 785 | *56 | 374 | 189 | 119 | *40 | *27 |
|  | Percent distribution |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| All intervals------------- | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | *100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | *100.0 | *100.0 |
| Within 12 months--------------- | 56.6 | 51.7 | 51.1 | *59.2 | 67.7 | 64.6 | 82.7 | *28.4 | *82.2 |
| 13-24 months- | 19.0 | 21.8 | 21.1 | *32.5 | *12.6 | *12.3 | *1.3 | *44.0 | *17.8 |
|  | 10.2 | 10.9 13.0 | 11.7 | *8.3 | $* 8.6$ $* 9.7$ | $* 13.9$ $* 9.2$ | *4.6 | $* 1.3$ $* 26.3$ | - - |
| $\frac{\text { 150-199 percent of }}{\text { poverty income }}$ | Number in thousands |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Number of women ${ }^{1}---\cdots-{ }^{-}$ | 1,740 \|| | 1,290\| | 1,226 | *64 | 4501 | 237 | 971 | *48 | *67 |
|  | Percent distribution |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| All intervals------------- | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | *100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | *100.0 | *100.0 |
| Within 12 months---- | 54.9 | 50.0 | 49.9 | *51.3 | 69.1 | 69.5 | *69.8 | *58.7 | *74.2 |
| 13-24 months-- | 21.5 | 22.6 | 23.3 | *7.6 | 18.4 | *12.0 | *28.5 | *19.0 | *25.8 |
| 25-36 months- | 10.9 | 11.9 | 11.7 |  | *8.1 | *11.1 | *0.5 | *19.9 |  |
| 37-60 months-- | 12.3 | 15.1 | 14.6 | *24.7 | *4.31 | *7.4 | *0.6 | *2.4 |  |
| 200 percent and above of poverty income |  |  |  | Numbe | in tho | sands |  |  |  |
| Number of women ${ }^{1}-$-------- | 10,380 \| | 9,207\| | 8,902 | 3051 | 1,173\| | 600 | 320 | 77 | 176 |
|  |  |  |  | Percen | distr | ation |  |  |  |
| All intervals- | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| Within 12 months-------------- | 54.3 | 54.2 | 54.8 | 36.0 | 55.2 | 54.2 | 67.1 | *36.6 | 45.3 |
| 13-24 months | 18.9 | 18.1 | 18.1 | *20.4 | 24.5 | 22.7 | 22.9 | *18.5 | *36.2 |
| 25-36 months- | 12.4 | 12.7 | 12.3 | *23.5 | 10.7 | 12.5 | *6.9 | *25.3 | *5.1 |
| 37-60 months--------------------- | 13.4 | 14.0 | 13.9 | *18.3 | 8.3 | *8.5 | *2.4 | *19.7 | *13.4 |

[^3]Table 17. Number of currently married women 15-44 years of age with a family planning visit in the last 5 years and percent distribution by person who initiated family planning conversation, according to place of most recent visit: United States, 1973

|  |  | Own physician |  |  | Organized medical services |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Person who initiated conversation | $\begin{gathered} \text { All } \\ \text { visits } \end{gathered}$ | Total | Office | Hospital | Total | $\begin{gathered} \text { Gen- } \\ \text { eral } \\ \text { clinic } \end{gathered}$ |  | Hospital | Other <br> places |
| Number of women in thousands---- | 14,380 | ,12,019 | 11,548 | 470 Percent | 2,361 distrib | $1,198$ <br> ution | 657 | 189 | 316 |
| A11 <br> conversations | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| Respondent------------- | 61.5 | 63.2 | 64.0 | 42.4 | 53.0 | 53.8 | 55.4 | *29.1 | 59.5 |
| Trained person--------- | 35.9 | 34.1 | 33.2 | 54.0 | 45.5 | 44.6 | 43.2 | 70.6 | 38.9 |
| ```Dor:'t remember don't know not ascertained``` | 2.5 | 2.7 | 2.7 | *3.6 | *1.5 | *1.6 | *1.5 | *0.3 | *1.5 |
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## APPENDIX I

## TECHNICAL NOTES

## Design of the Survey

The National Survey of Family Growth (NSFG), initiated in 1971, is designed to provide data on fertility, family planning, and related aspects of maternal and child health. Field work for Cycle I was carried out by the National Opinion Research Center in 1973 and early 1974 with September 13, 1973, as the midpoint of the interviewing.

A multistage probability sample of women in the noninstitutional population of the conterminous United States was used. Approximately 33,000 households were screened to identify the sample of women who would be eligible for the NSFG, i.e., women between the ages of 15 to 44 years, inclusive, who were currently married or previously married or who were never married but had natural children presently living in the household. In households with more than one eligible woman, a random procedure was used to select only one to be interviewed. Since the interviews were always conducted with the sample person, the term "respondent" is used throughout this report as synonymous with sample person. Interviews were completed for 3,856 Negro women and for 5,941 women of other races. A detailed description of the sample design will be presented in a forthcoming report "Sample Design, Estimation Procedures, and Variance Estimation for a National Survey of Family Growth."

The interview was highly focused on the respondents' marital and pregnancy histories, on their use of contraception and the planning status of each pregnancy, on the respondents'
intentions regarding the number and spacing of future births, on maternity and family planning services, and on a broad range of social and economic characteristics. While the interviews varied greatly in the time required for their completion, they averaged about 70 minutes. Quality control procedures were applied at all stages of the survey. This included a verification of listing completeness with unlisted dwelling units being brought into the sample, a preliminary field review of completed questionnaires for possible missing data or inaccurate administration, a 10 -percent sample recheck of all households to be screened in the survey, observation of interviews in the field, and an independent recoding of a 5-percent subsample of completed interviews.

## Reliability of Estimates

Since the statistics presented in this report are based on a sample, they may differ somewhat from the figures that would have been obtained if a complete census had been taken, using the same questionnaires, instructions, interviewing personnel, and field procedures. This chance difference between sample results and a complete count is referred to as sampling error. In addition, the results are also subject to nonsampling error due to respondent misreporting, data processing mistakes, and nonresponse. It is very difficult, if not impossible, to obtain accurate measures of nonsampling errors. These types of errors were kept to a minimum by the quality control procedures and other'
methods incorporated into the survey design and administration.

Sampling error, or the extent to which samples may differ by chance from a complete count, is measured by a statistic called the standard error of estimate. Approximate standard errors for estimated numbers and percentages from this survey are shown in tables I and II for the total and white populations and in tables III and IV for the Negro population.

Table I. Approximate standard errors for estimated numbers for white and total women: 1973 National Survey of Family Growth

| Size of estimate | Relative standard error | Standard error |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 50,000 | 30.0 | 15,000 |
| 100,000 | 21.2 | 21,000 |
| 200,000 | 15.0 | 30,000 |
| 500,000 | 9.5 | 47,000 |
| 1,000,000 | 6.7 | 67,000 |
| 2,000,000 | 4.8 | 95,000 |
| 5,000,000 | 3.0 | 151,000 |
| 10,000,000 | 2.2 | 216,000 |
| 20,000,000 | 1.5 | 311,000 |

The chances are about 68 out of 100 that an estimate from the sample would differ from a complete census by less than the standard error. The chances are about 95 out of 100 that the differences between the sample estimate and a complete count would be less than twice the standard error. The relative standard error is the ratio of the standard error to the statistic being estimated. In this report, numbers and percentages which have a standard error that is more

Table III. Approximate standard errors for estimated numbers for Negro women: 1973 National Survey of Family Growth

| Size of estimate | Relative standard error | Standard error |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 25,000 | 25.3 | 6,000 |
| 50,000 | 17.9 | 9,000 |
| 100,000 | 12.7 | 13,000 |
| 150,000 | 10.3 | 16,000 |
| 250,000 | 8.0 | 20,000 |
| 350,000 | 6.8 | 24,000 |
| 500,000 | 5.7 | 28,000 |
| 750,000 | 4.7 | 35,000 |
| 1,000,000 | 4.0 | 40,000 |

than 25 percent of the estimate itself are considered "unreliable." They are marked with an asterisk to caution the user but may be combined to make other types of comparisons of greater precision.

In this report, terms such as "similar" and "the same" mean that any observed difference between two estimates being compared is not statistically significant. Similarly, terms such as "greater," "less," "larger," "smaller," etc., indicate that the observed differences are statistically significant. The normal deviate test with a . 05 level of significance was used to test all comparisons which are discussed in the text. A statistically significant difference is one large enough that in repeated samples of the same size and type as this one such a large difference would be expected to be found in less than 5 percent of the samples. Lack of comment in the text between any two statistics does not mean the difference was tested and found not to be significant.

Table II. Approximate standard errors for estimated percentages expressed in percentage points for white and total women: 1973 National Survey of Family Growth

| Base of percentage | Estimated percentage |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{gathered} 2 \text { or } \\ 98 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 5 \text { or } \\ 95 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 10 \text { or } \\ 90 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 20 \text { or } \\ 80 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 30 \text { or } \\ 70 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 40 \text { or } \\ 60 \end{gathered}$ | 50 |
| 100,000 | 3.0 | 4.6 | 6.4 | 8.5 | 9.7 | 10.4 | 10.6 |
| 500,000 | 1.3 | 2.1 | 2.8 | 3.8 | 4.3 | 4.6 | 4.7 |
| 1,000,000 | 0.9 | 1.5 | 2.0 | 2.7 | 3.1 | 3.3 | 3.3 |
| 3,000,000 | 0.5 | 0.8 | 1.2 | 1.5 | 1.8 | 1.9 | 1.9 |
| 5,000,000 | 0.4 | 0.6 | 0.9 | 1.2 | 1.4 | 1.5 | 1.5 |
| 7,000,000 | 0.3 | 0.5 | 0.8 | 1.0 | 1.2 | 1.2 | 1.3 |
| 10,000,000 | 0.3 | 0.5 | 0.6 | 0.8 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.1 |

Table IV. Approximate standard errors for estimated percentages expressed in percentage points for Negro women: 1973 National Survey of Family Growth

| Base of percentage | Estimated percentage |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{gathered} 2 \text { or } \\ 98 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 5 \text { or } \\ 95 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 10 \text { or } \\ 90 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 20 \text { or } \\ & 80 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 30 \text { or } \\ 70 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 40 \text { or } \\ 60 \end{gathered}$ | 50 |
| 5,000 | 7.9 | 12.3 | 17.0 | 22.6 | 25.9 | 27.7 | 28.3 |
| 10,000 | 5.6 | 8.7 | 12.0 | 16.0 | 18.3 | 19.6 | 20.0 |
| 50,000 | 2.5 | 3.9 | 5.4 | 7.1 | 8.2 | 8.8 | 8.9 |
| 100,000 | 1.8 | 2.7 | 3.8 | 5.1 | 5.8 | 6.2 | 6.3 |
| 300,000 | 1.0 | 1.6 | 2.2 | 2.9 | 3.3 | 3.6 | 3.6 |
| 500,000 | 0.8 | 1.2 | 1.7 | 2.3 | 2.6 | 2.8 | 2.8 |
| 700,000 | 0.7 | 1.0 | 1.4 | 1.9 | 2.2 | 2.3 | 2.4 |
| 1,000,000 | 0.6 | 0.9 | 1.2 | 1.6 | 1.8 | 2.0 | 2.0 |

000
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## APPENDIX II

## DEFINITION OF TERMS

Family planning visit in last 5 years.Women were considered to have made a family planning visit in the last 5 years if they answered affirmatively to the question "During the last 5 years, has a doctor or other trained person prescribed or talked with you about a method for delaying or preventing pregnancy?" In a few cases those answering "yes" to this question reported a date more than 5 years ago in response to the question "When was the last time you talked about methods of family planning with a doctor or trained person?" Such women were not counted among those with a family planning visit in the last 5 years.

Place of family planning visit.-Women with a family planning visit in the last 5 years were asked where the last (most recent) visit took place. The answers were classified in the following categories:

Own doctor's office or group of doctors.
General medical clinic, hospital outpatient clinic, or public health clinic.
Family planning clinic or office.
Hospital (inpatient).
Somewhere else.
Family planning visits that took place in a hospital were divided into subcategories as follows:

With respondent's regular physician,
With an assigned physician,
With someone else.
Source of services.-Based on the information about "place of family planning visit" and
"the attendant providing service in a hospital," two types or sources of service were identified. Own-physician services are defined as medical services obtained by the respondent from her own physician, whether in the physician's office or in a hospital. "Own physician" includes group practices and prepaid medical organizations. Medical services obtained from all other sources are defined as organized medical services. These are services obtained by the respondent from someone other than her own doctor at general clinics, family planning clinics, hospitals, or elsewhere.

Contraceptive status.-Classification of contraceptive status was based on replies to a series of questions concerning use or nonuse of contraception. Nonusers are those women who are classified as currently pregnant, seeking pregnancy, post partum, sterile for reasons other than limitation of family size, and those not using contraception for other reasons. Users are classified according to the specific methods they employ. If more than one method was being used, the most effective method was coded. Users include the contraceptively sterile, i.e., those women who had a sterilizing operation or whose husbands had such an operation at least partly for contraceptive reasons. For convenience, the specific methods are grouped into two broad categories. Modern contraceptive methods include the pill, the IUD, and male and female sterilization for contraceptive reasons. Traditional methods include the diaphragm, the condom, foam, rhythm, withdrawal, douche, and other less common methods. ${ }^{3}$

[^4]Age.-In this report, age is classified by the age of the respondent at her last birthday before the date of interview.

Race.-Classification by race, based on interviewer observation, was reported as Negro, white, or other. Race refers to the race of the woman interviewed.

Spanish origin.-A respondent was classified as being of Spanish origin if she reported her origin or descent as Mexican, Chicano, MexicanAmerican, Puerto Rican, Cuban, or other Spanish.

Labor force status. - A woman is categorized as being in the labor force if she was working full-time or part-time, had a job but was not at work because of temporary illness, vacation, or a strike, or if she was unemployed, laid off, or looking for work.

Poverty level.-The poverty index ratio was calculated by dividing the total family income by the weighted average threshold income of nonfarm residence, head under 65, based on the poverty levels shown in the U.S. Bureau of the Census, Current Population Reports, Series P-60, No. 98, "Characteristics of the Low-Income Population, 1973," table A-3. This definition takes into account the sex of the family head and the number of persons in the family. Total family income includes income from all sources for all members of the respondent's family.

Region.-Region refers to the part of the country where the respondent was living at the time of the survey according to the definition of the U.S. Bureau of the Census.

Parity.-Parity refers to the number of live births the respondent has had.

Marital status.-Persons are classified by marital status as married, widowed, divorced, separated, or never married. Married persons include those who report themselves as married or as informally married, such as living with a partner or common-law spouse. Persons who are temporarily separated for reasons other than marital discord, such as vacation, illness, or Armed Forces, are classified as married. Divorced persons are those whose most recent marriage was legally dissolved and who are free to remarry. The annulled, while having the legal status of never having been married, are classified together with the divorced. The category "separated" includes those who are legally or informally separated from their most recent spouse due to marital discord. The "never married" include those who have never had a formal marriage and do not consider themselves in any of the preceding categories. However, in the NSFG, single women with children of their own in the household were included and are separately classified. All tables in this report are based upon currently married women or those whose marital status at the time of the interview was "married."

Religion.-Women were asked whether they were Protestant, Catholic, Jewish or something else. Protestant includes most of the Christian groups other than Roman Catholic. The "other" category includes non-Christian religions and no religion.
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[^5]
[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ The directors of the 1970 National Fertility Study are Norman B. Ryder and Charles F. Westoff, Office of Population Research, Princeton University. The figure cited above was computed from the computer data tape for this survey, obtained from the Data and Program Library service at the University of Wisconsin at Madison.

[^1]:    ${ }^{2}$ National Center for Health Statistics: Contraceptive utilization among currently married women $15-44$ years of age, United States, 1973. Monthly Vital Statistics Report. Vol. 25, No. 7, Supp. (HRA) 76-1120. Health Resources Administration, Rockville, Maryland, Oct. 4, 1976. p. 4.

[^2]:    ${ }^{1}$ Includes women with undetermined date of last visit.

[^3]:    ${ }^{1}$ Includes women with undetermined date of last visit.

[^4]:    $3^{3}$ For more detail, see pp. 22-23 of reference cited in footnote 2.

[^5]:    For publications in the
    Vital and Ilcalth Statistics
    Series call 301-436-NCIS.

