# **Infant Mortality Rates :** Socioeconomic Factors

# **United States**

Statistics on infant mortality rates according to race, sex, family income, education of mother, and education of father. Based on data collected by a questionnaire mailed to mothers of legitimate births and to medical care facilities and mothers of legitimate infant deaths. Samples selected from records of births and infant deaths in 1964, 1965, and 1966 which were filed with the National Center for Health Statistics.

> PROPERTY OF THE PUBLICATIONS BRANCH EDITORIAL LIBRARY

DHEW Publication No. (HSM) 72-1045

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH, EDUCATION, AND WELFARE Public Health Service

> Health Services and Mental Health Administration National Center for Health Statistics

Rockville, Md.

March 1972



ersentin gradin ۍ4

Vital and Health Statistics-Series 22-No. 14

#### NATIONAL CENTER FOR HEALTH STATISTICS

THEODORE D. WOOLSEY, Director

PHILIP S. LAWRENCE, Sc.D., Associate Director OSWALD K. SAGEN, Ph.D., Assistant Director for Health Statistics Development WALT R. SIMMONS, M.A., Assistant Director for Research and Scientific Development JAMES E. KELLY, D.D.S., Dental Advisor EDWARD E. MINTY, Executive Officer ALICE HAYWOOD, Information Officer

#### DIVISION OF VITAL STATISTICS

ROBERT A. ISRAEL, M.S., Director JOHN E. PATTERSON, Assistant Director for Demographic Affairs ARNE B. NELSON, M.A., Chief, Vital Records Survey Branch

Vital and Health Statistics-Series 22-No. 14

DHEW Publication No. (HSM) 72-1045 Library of Congress Catalog Card Number 74-169290

### CONTENTS

Pa	age
Introduction	1
Sources and Limitations of Data	1
Findings	3
Comparison of Socioeconomic Indicators	3
Age of Mother	4
Region and Urbanization	5
Age at Death	6
Cause of Death	6
Birth Weight	7
•	
Comment	8
References	9
List of Detailed Tables	10
Appendix I. Sources and Limitations of Data	10
	43 43
Sources of Data	43
	43
The Death Certificate, the Birth Certificate, the Questionnaires, and	43
the Hospital Form	
	44
	45
Nonresponse and Imputation for Missing Data	46
	50
Reliability of Estimates	51
Rounding of Numbers	53
Appendix II. Definitions of Terms Used in This Report	55
Appendix III. Source Forms	57
	57
	57 58
National Natality Survey Quartiannoine 1064 66	
	59
National Infant Mortality Survey Questionnaire, 1964-66	63
National Infant Mortality Survey Hospital Form, 1964-65	67

# SYMBOLSData not available...Category not applicable...Quantity zero...Quantity more than 0 but less than 0.050.0Figure does not meet standards of<br/>reliability or precision\*

# INFANT MORTALITY RATES: SOCIOECONOMIC FACTOR

Brian MacMahon, M.D., Department of Epidemiology, Harvard School of Public Health, Mary Grace Kovar, Division of Vital Statistics National Center for Health Statistics, and Jacob J. Feldman, Ph.D., Department of Biostatistics, Harvard School of Public Health

#### INTRODUCTION

In this report information is presented for the United States on the relationship between risk of death in infancy and socioeconomic status of the parents.

The data presented in this report derive from the National Natality and National Infant Mortality Surveys of 1964-66. These surveys were designed to obtain, for samples representative of all U.S. births and infant deaths, kinds of information not available from routine sources such as certificates of birth or death. The use of very similar instruments for collecting and recording data in the two surveys enabled national estimates to be made of the numbers both of births and of infant deaths distributed with respect to the same kinds of variables. From these, estimates of infant mortality rates could be computed. National data have not previously been available on the variation in rates of infant mortality associated with many of the variables on which data were collected in these surveysincluding the socioeconomic indicators considered in this report.

Three indexes of socioeconomic status were examined—education of father, education of mother, and family income in the year prior to the birth or the infant death. All three indexes showed a strong association with risk of infant death, this risk being between 50 and 100 percent higher in the lowest socioeconomic class than in the middle and upper classes. The high infant mortality rates in the lowest socioeconomic groups were relatively most marked for deaths occurring after the first week of life; for deaths due to respiratory disease, digestive disease, or accident; and among infants in the normal birth weight range (3,001-4,000 grams).

The infant deaths in the lowest socioeconomic group that are in excess of the number expected on the basis of rates in the highest socioeconomic group may be considered, in a broad sense, preventable. On this assumption it can be estimated that almost 50 percent of infant deaths in the lowest socioeconomic group are preventable. Among the total population of births, approximately one-quarter of all infant deaths are preventable in this sense. Among white infants of normal birth weight (3,001-4,000 grams), this proportion is almost 50 percent.

#### SOURCES AND LIMITATIONS OF DATA

Sources and limitations of data used in this report are described in appendix I and will be reviewed here only briefly.

The National Natality Survey of 1964-66 (NNS) was based on a probability sample of the file of microfilm copies of birth certificates received by the National Center for Health Statistics from 54 registration areas which comprise the United States. The NNS sample of 11,331 births was a 0.1-percent sample of the births registered in each area during the 3-year period. The National Infant Mortality Survey (NIMS) was based on a probability sample of 1 out of every 11 deaths under 1 year of age included in the Current Mortality Sample (CMS) in the same years. The CMS was itself a systematic 10-percent sample of death certificates received each month by the 54 registration areas and forwarded to the National Center for Health Statistics on a monthly basis. The NIMS sample contained 2,490 infant deaths and was a sample of 1 out of every 110 infant deaths in the United States in 1964-66. As will be noted, members of either sample who were illegitimate have been excluded from analyses presented in this report.

Information on the members of these two samples was obtained from several sources, including birth certificates (NNS), death certificates (NIMS), and hospital records (NIMS). However, the primary source of the information on the socioeconomic variables used in this report was a mail questionnaire. The questionnaire was usually completed by the mother, but in rare instances it was completed by some other family member. The form in which the information was solicited is shown in appendix III. Usable questionnaires were received for 89 percent of the legitimate births and 88 percent of the deaths of legitimate infants. Appendix tables IV and V show that response rates were somewhat lower in young mothers, in racial groups other than white, in the West Region, and in nonmetropolitan counties.

For births in the NNS sample, legitimacy status was known or could be inferred either from answers to a direct question which appears on the birth certificate for 36 registration areas or, in other areas, on the basis of rules listed in appendix II. Questionnaires were not sent to the mothers of the 936 infants known or inferred to be illegitimate. Since legitimacy status is not recorded on death certificates, questionnaires were sent to the mothers of illegitimate infants in the NIMS sample. However, using rules similar to those applied to the NNS births (appendix II), it was possible to infer illegitimacy from the questionnaire and/or information on the death certificate for 330 members of the NIMS sample. These were excluded from the analyses. The data in this report were therefore based on 10,395 legitimate births and 2,160 deaths of legitimate infants.

To improve the estimates of the distribution of all U.S. births and infant deaths made from the data available from these samples, two procedures were followed. The first of these was imputation of information that was not available for individual sample members, using information from similar sample members for whom the relevant data were available. The method is described in detail in appendix I. The second procedure was the assignment of weights to individual sample members based on the representation of the sample within categories created on the basis of certain variables for which information is available from the certificates on all U.S. births or infant deaths. Again, detailed methods are described in appendix I.

The basic analytic procedure was to use these samples to derive national estimates of the distribution of births and deaths with respect to a particular variable or variables and to use these estimates as denominators and numerators, respectively, of estimates of infant mortality rates. Estimates of numbers of births are shown in the tables as annual averages over the 3-year period and are rounded to the nearest thousand. However, the infant mortality rates shown were computed on the unrounded estimates.

These estimates-whether of numbers or births or of infant mortality rates-were of course subject to sampling error. Approximate sampling errors are given in tables VIII through XI of appendix I. In the tables, estimates of the number of births are not shown for cells with less than 5,000 annual births, and estimates of infant mortality rates are not given for cells in which the average annual number of births was less than 25,000. The restrictions imposed by sampling variation are particularly limiting in respect to the conclusions that can be drawn from the estimates for the racial groups other than white. For black infants, comprising approximately 12 percent of the population of births, infant mortality rates reflecting variation in a single variable are generally reasonably reliable, but few meaningful cross-tabulations can be made. For infants other than black or white, comprising altogether only 1.5 percent of the births, even marginal rates are unreliable, and no separate estimates are given.

Data on birth weight were not collected for the NIMS sample in 1966. Tabulations involving this variable are therefore based on births and deaths in the 1964 and 1965 samples. Standard errors of estimates based on 2 years of data are also given in the tables of appendix I.

#### FINDINGS

#### **Comparison of Socioeconomic Indicators**

As already noted, information was obtained on three separate but highly correlated indicators of socioeconomic status—family income, education of father, and education of mother. Estimates of the distribution of legitimate births and of the relationship of infant mortality rates to these three variables according to race and sex of infant are given in table 1.

Before turning to the data on infant mortality, the marked difference between white and black infants in the distributions by all three variables should be noted. With respect to family income, for example, exactly one-half of the black but only one-sixth of the white births were in the lowest income category (figure 1).




Figure 1. Percent of legitimate live births, by family income and race,

The difference was not so marked for parental education, but substantial differences in the same direction as those for income distribution were present. Since infant mortality rates were substantially higher for black than for white infants at all levels of each of the three variables, any examination of the role of socioeconomic status per se must evaluate the data for white and black infants separately.

Similar trends in infant mortality were seen for white infants in relation to all three variables and for both sexes. As illustrated in figure 2 from the data on education of father, the rates decreased regularly and substantially from the lowest to the central class. There was, however, no further decline in rates as socioeconomic status increased above that of the central class. That is to say, rates were quite similar in the three highest socioeconomic classes, and this was true whichever of the three variables was used to define the classes (table 1).




Figure 2. Estimated number of infant deaths per 1,000 white legitimate live births, by sex of child and education of father.

The trends for black infants were less regular, at least in part, because of the smaller numbers. In addition there were too few births in the two highest socioeconomic groups to examine mortality rates in them. Nevertheless, mortality rates for both sexes were lower in the central class defined in terms of any of the three variables than in either of the two lowest socioeconomic classes.

In table 1, the range of infant mortality rates between the lowest and highest socioeconomic classes is quite similar, whichever of the three variables is used to define the classes. The cross-tabulations in tables 2-4 can be used to compare the three variables as predictors of mortality. In view of the similarity of the trends for males and females seen in table 1, these and other analyses to be presented have been carried out for both sexes combined.

For white infants, the interrelationships between infant mortality risk and the three socioeconomic variables were complex. It appears that all three variables had effects that were, to some extent, independent. These independent effects were seen particularly in the lowest socioeconomic groups. For example, within each of the three lowest income groups, mortality rates declined substantially with increasing educational level of father (table 2) or mother (table 3). The same tables show that within the lowest categories of parental education there was a decline in mortality with increasing family income.

In table 4, which cross-tabulates infant mortality rates by education of mother and education of father, mortality rates are highest for infants born to parents who both had an 8th grade education or less. The mortality rate for white infants in this category (37.5 per 1,000) was almost double the rate for all white infants (20.8 per 1,000). Increasing educational level of either parent was associated with a decrease in infant mortality. Thus for white infants of mothers with an 8th grade education or less, the mortality rate fell from 37.5 when the father was of the same educational level to 18.1 when the father had a 12th grade education. For white infants of fathers with an 8th grade education or less, mortality fell from 37.5 when the mother was of the same educational level to 18.4 when the mother had a 12th grade education.

It appears, therefore, that within the lower categories of socioeconomic status, family income, education of mother, and education of father have independent and approximately equal predictive values in relation to infant mortality. The relationship may be characterized by the generalization that infant mortality rates were highest in families which measured low on all three socioeconomic variables; increase in socioeconomic status as measured by any one of the variables was associated with decrease in infant mortality rates, and the rates manifested in the highest categories of any single variable were not further reduced by taking cognizance of variation in the other two variables.

In the cross-tabulations of tables 2 and 3, the range of variation in infant mortality rates for white infants was slightly greater in association with parental education of either parent than with family income. It should be noted, however, that family income as measured in this study had certain intrinsic disadvantages as a measure of socioeconomic status. For example, the fact that the information related to the calendar year prior to the event-when the family income may have been changed by the circumstances of pregnancy itself-means that the income as reported may not represent the usual economic status of the family. It is quite conceivable, also, that there may be differences in accuracy of reporting of income and of parental education. The fact that item nonresponse was higher for family income than for any other variable is worth recalling (appendix I). It is possible, therefore, that the association of infant mortality with family income would be stronger if more accurate indexes of income were available.

Numbers of black infants in the surveys were too small to allow comparable analyses of the interrelationships between associations of mortality and the three measures of socioeconomic status.

#### Age of Mother

Tables 5-7 show numbers of births and infant-mortality rates according to the three socioeconomic variables and age of mother. The overall relationship of infant mortality to age of mother was similar to that which has been

observed many times in the past-i.e., high mortality rates among infants of the youngest mothers and also among those of the older mothers. There was a strong correlation between socioeconomic status and age of mother: births to parents with more education and/or higher family income tended to occur to substantially older mothers. However, this correlation does not account for any significant part of the relationship between infant mortality and socioeconomic status. In each category of maternal age-at least in the data for white infants and in those for "all races"-mortality rates generally declined from the lowest to the central socioeconomic class. The extent of this decline was of the same magnitude as that seen in the totals for all maternal ages.

#### **Region and Urbanization**

Tables 8-10 show mortality rates by the three socioeconomic variables for each of the four geographic regions of the United States and for metropolitan and nonmetropolitan counties within each region. Overall differences in infant mortality between regions were relatively small, particularly when differences resulting from differing proportions of births in racial minority groups were taken into account. Thus for white infants, the range was from 19.1 per 1,000 in the Northeast to 21.7 in the South and North Central Regions. Differences between metropolitan and nonmetropolitan counties were also quite small, except in the West Region. These relationships can, of course, be explored more accurately by means of routinely published statistics on all births.

With respect to the relationship between infant mortality and socioeconomic status within geographic regions, we should pay particular attention to the data for white infants since the proportion of births to other races differed markedly among regions. The associations of infant mortality with education of father in the four regions are compared in figure 3, using the rates for white infants and combining the three highest socioeconomic classes among which there was little variation in infant mortality rates. The differential in mortality rates with increasing education of father was most marked




Figure 3. Estimated number of infant deaths per 1,000 white legitimate live births, by education of father and geographic region.

in the South and least marked in the Northeast and West. The same relatively low gradient of the trends with socioeconomic status in the Northeast and West was seen when education of mother (table 9) and family income (table 10) were used as indexes, but in these two tables the gradient in the North Central Region was quite as steep as that in the South.

The only region with sufficient black infants for separate analysis was in the South. The association of infant mortality with socioeconomic status appeared to be somewhat stronger in the South than in the data for all black infants, but the numbers of such infants in regions other than the South were too small for reliable, direct comparisons. For white infants, the relationship between socioeconomic status and infant mortality appeared to be similar in metropolitan and nonmetropolitan counties. The particularly strong socioeconomic differential seen in the South and North Central Regions was observed both in the metropolitan and in the nonmetropolitan areas and was not consistently more pronounced in one than in the other. For black infants in the lowest socioeconomic groups, the rates tended to be higher—although not markedly so—in the nonmetropolitan than in the metropolitan counties.

#### Age at Death

Infant mortality rates by age at death and socioeconomic status are given in tables 11-13. A decline in mortality with increasing socioeconomic status was seen in all age-at-death categories, but was considerably more pronounced for deaths after the first week of life than for those in the more immediate postnatal period. The data on education of father for white infants are illustrated in figure 4, the three highest educational classes again being combined. After the first week of life, the infant mortality rates showed a threefold differential between the highest and the lowest education classes, whereas during the first week rates in the lowest class were only about 50 percent higher than those in the highest educational class. Examination of the detailed rates in table 11 shows about the same relative decline with increasing education of father for deaths occurring between 7 and 27 days as for those occurring between 6 and 11 months. They also reveal little evidence of further decline in rates between the central and the higher educational classes-even among deaths after the first week of life. The same trends by education of mother and family income are seen in tables 12 and 13, respectively.

The data for black infants were compatible with the same differential relationships by age at death, but were too few to draw definite conclusions.

#### **Cause of Death**

The relationships of 10 selected causes of death to socioeconomic status are given in




Figure 4. Estimated number of infant deaths per 1,000 white legitimate live births, by education of father and age of infant at death.

tables 14-16, and the data on the eight major causes of death for white infants by education of father are illustrated in figure 5. Again, the number of deaths of black infants was too small for effective examination by cause of death and socioeconomic status simultaneously.

In the data for white infants, three groups of causes of death showed particularly strong declines in rates with increasing level of education of father—accidents, respiratory diseases, and digestive diseases. Less marked, but nevertheless clear, relationships to paternal education were also seen for deaths due to congenital malformation and to birth injury. The three cause categories showing the strongest relationship were all comprised of conditions which have their greatest impact after the first week of life, and the strong relationships between these




Figure 5. Estimated number of infant deaths per 1,000 white legitimate live births, by education of father, from selected underlying causes of death.

causes and socioeconomic status were consistent with the fact that the relationship of infant mortality to socioeconomic status was stronger for deaths after the first week of life, as noted in the section "Age at Death." Similar trends are seen using education of mother (table 15) and family income (table 16) as the indicators of socioeconomic status.

#### **Birth Weight**

Birth weight is one of the most important predictors of risk of death in infancy. Tables 17-19 show the distribution of births by birth weight and socioeconomic characteristics. Again, birth weight and race were strongly correlated. To examine the relationship with socioeconomic status per se, we must confine attention to the white births although quite similar trends were seen in the data for all races combined.

Among white infants, the percentage of births of low birth weight declined regularly with increasing socioeconomic status, whatever measure of the latter was used. For example, the percentage of births of 2,500 grams or less declined from 8.9 percent of births to fathers with an 8th grade education or less to 5.0 percent of births to fathers who had completed college. There was also a tendency toward a higher percentage of births over 3,501 grams in the upper socioeconomic classes—seen particularly in the tables on education of mother (table 18) and family income (table 19).

Mortality rates specific for birth weight and socioeconomic status are given in tables 20-22, and the data on education of father are illustrated in figure 6. For births that would satisfy the usual arbitrary definition of prematurity (2,500 grams or less), there was no consistent relationship between mortality and socioeconomic status. The absence of such a trend in these tables should not, of course, be interpreted as evidence that there is no association between low socioeconomic status and infant death due to prematurity, since it has already been shown that the proportion of infants of low birth weight was highest in the low socioeconomic groups. The low birth weight of prematurely born infants is an intrinsic component of the syndrome that leads to their death.

However, the most striking relationship between infant mortality and socioeconomic class was evidenced by births falling within the boundaries of what could be considered the




Figure 6. Estimated number of infant deaths per 1,000 white legitimate live births, by education of father and birth weight of infant.

most normal weight range-3,001 through 4,000 grams. For births in these weight groups, the range of mortality associated with variation in education of either parent was almost fourfold; in association with family income (table 22) the range was somewhat less. It is of further interest that for births within these weight groups a decline in mortality from the central to the socioeconomic class seen-highest was particularly in the data by education of father. This decline between the central and highest socioeconomic classes was not seen in the total population or in any other group of births examined. For infants weighing 3,001-4,000 grams at birth, the infant mortality rate was 40 percent lower for those whose fathers were college graduates than for those whose father's education terminated at the 12th grade.

#### COMMENT

The purpose of this study was not so much to uncover new relationships between risk of death and demographic characteristics as it was to identify and quantify such relationships for the United States—to determine to what extent the relationships that have been observed in other countries and in studies of limited populations in this country have relevance to this country as a whole.

In general, the relationships observed were similar to those that might have been expected on the basis of other work. There was a negative association between socioeconomic status and infant mortality, and this association was strongest for deaths occurring after the first week of life and was particularly marked for the largely infectious, respiratory and digestive diseases, and for accidents. There were, however, some findings which deserve comment.

Of some methodologic interest is the strong predictive value, with respect to infant mortality, exhibited by education of either parent, even within subcategories of family income. Some of the problems that may have attended the valid ascertainment of family income in this study have already been mentioned. However, it seems unlikely that these problems are limited to the methodology of this survey, and the data in this report confirm the advisability of seeking information on the education of both parents, as proposed in the 1968 Standard Certificate of Live Birth.<sup>1</sup>

The absence of a significant difference in overall mortality between infants in the three upper socioeconomic groups is of interest. This flattening of the trend has not been observed in British data, in which infant mortality continues to fall with socioeconomic status, from the highest to the lowest class.<sup>2</sup> A continuing decline of this type is seen in one group of births in the U.S. data—these are the births of normal (3,001-4,000 grams) birth weight (figure 6). However, deaths of infants in this birth weight group accounted for only 20 percent of all infant deaths—too small a proportion for the continuing decline to be reflected in the data for all deaths.

Does this flattening of the trend imply that, to the extent that infant mortality is preventable in this country, the medical and other resources available to persons with a high school education and/or reported family income levels of \$6,000 have attained the minimal rates achievable with existing knowledge? Consideration of the causes of death that make up the rate of about 19 per 1,000 in this group (table 14, 15, or 16) suggests that this point may indeed be close. However, two limitations of the present data must be kept in mind. First is the restriction to legitimate births; the effect of this restriction is problematic. Second is the restriction to deaths among liveborn infants. It is conceivable, for example, that superior medical care or some other concomitance of high socioeconomic status leads to a higher proportion of nonviable infants surviving the birth process than would be the case in less favorable circumstances. Such a situation would reduce the apparent slope of the relationship between mortality and socioeconomic status if only liveborn infants were considered. Data on late fetal deaths, comparable to those on postnatal deaths reported in this study, would assist in the interpretation of the present findings.

On the assumption that the number of deaths of infants in the lowest socioeconomic groups in excess of those expected on the basis of mortality rates in the highest socioeconomic group are, in a broad sense, preventable, estimates can be made of the proportion of infant deaths that would be prevented if all infants experienced the risks of the most favored socioeconomic groups.

For example, using education of father as the measure of socioeconomic status and considering as the minimum attainable the mortality rate of 17.4 per 1,000 observed among the infants of fathers in the highest educational class (table 2), it can be estimated that 47 percent of the deaths in the lowest socioeconomic group (with a rate of 33.0 per 1,000) were in excess of this minimum and were therefore, in a broad sense, preventable. While this is a large proportion of the deaths in this group, the group constituted only 16 percent of all births. Taking the population of births as a whole, about 24 percent of all infant deaths were in excess of those expected on the basis of rates in the most favored socioeconomic class.

Similar estimates can be made for deaths separated according to age at death or according to birth weight. Because of the problem of confounding racial and other variables referred to earlier, these estimates will be made only for white infants. From the rates given in table 11, it is estimated that only 10 percent of the deaths under 7 days of age but 36 percent of deaths between 7 days and 1 year in white infants were in excess of the rates in the most favored educational group. From the data for white infants in table 20, it is estimated that only 6 percent of the deaths of infants weighing 3,000 grams or less but 47 percent of those weighing 3,001-4,000 grams were in excess of the number expected on the basis of rates in the highest educational group.

#### REFERENCES

<sup>1</sup>National Center for Health Statistics: The 1968 revision of the standard certificates. *Vital and Health Statistics*. PHS Pub. 1000-Series 4-No. 8. Public Health Service. Washington. U.S. Government Printing Office, June 1968.

<sup>2</sup>Heady, J. A., and Heasman, M. A.: Social and Biological Factors in Infant Mortality. General Register Office. Studies in Medical and Population Subjects, No. 15. London. Her Majesty's Stationery Office. 1959.

<sup>3</sup>National Center for Health Statistics: Vital Statistics of the United States, 1964, 1965, 1966, Vol. II. Public Health Service. Washington. U.S. Government Printing Office. 1966, 1967, 1968. <sup>4</sup>National Center for Health Statistics: Vital Statistics of the United States, 1964, 1965, 1966, Vol. I. Public Health Service. Washington. U.S. Government Printing Office. 1966, 1967, 1968.

<sup>5</sup>National Center for Health Statistics: Replication—an approach to the analysis of data from complex surveys. *Vital and Health Statistics.* PHS Pub. 1000-Series 2-No. 14. Public Health Service. Washington. U.S. Government Printing Office, Apr. 1966.

#### LIST OF DETAILED TABLES

Page		
12	Estimated average annual number of legitimate live births and of infant deaths per 1,000 live births, by race, sex, family income, and parental education: United States, 1964-66	le 1.
13	Estimated average annual number of legitimate live births and of infant deaths per 1,000 live births, by education of father, race, and family income: United States, 1964-66	2.
14	Estimated average annual number of legitimate live births and of infant deaths per 1,000 live births, by education of mother, race, and family income: United States, 1964-66	3.
15	Estimated average annual number of legitimate live births and of infant deaths per 1,000 live births, by education of father, and race and education of mother: United States, 1964-66	4.
16	Estimated average annual number of legitimate live births and of infant deaths per 1,000 live births, by education of father, race and age of mother: United States, 1964-66	5.
17	Estimated average annual number of legitimate live births and of infant deaths per 1,000 live births, by education, race, and age of mother: United States, 1964-66	6.
18	Estimated average annual number of legitimate live births and of infant deaths per 1,000 live births, by family income, race and age of mother: United States, 1964-66	7.
19	Estimated average annual number of legitimate live births and of infant deaths per 1,000 live births, by education of father, race of infant, geographic region, and metropolitan or nonmetropolitan county: United States, 1964-66 .	8.
23	Estimated average annual number of legitimate live births and of infant deaths per 1,000 live births, by education of mother, race of infant, geographic region, and metropolitan or nonmetropolitan county: United States, 1964-66	9.
27	Estimated average annual number of legitimate live births and of infant deaths per 1,000 live births, by family income, race of infant, geographic region, and metropolitan or nonmetropolitan county: United States, 1964-66 .	10.
31	Estimated infant deaths per 1,000 legitimate live births, by education of father and infant's race and age at death: United States, 1964-66	11.
32	Estimated infant deaths per 1,000 legitimate live births, by education of mother and infant's race and age at death: United States, 1964-66	12.
33	Estimated infant deaths per 1,000 legitimate live births, by family income and infant's race and age at death: United States, 1964-66	13.
34	Estimated infant deaths per 1,000 legitimate live births, by education of father, race, and cause of infant's death: United States, 1964-66	14.
35	Estimated infant deaths per 1,000 legitimate live births, by education of mother, race, and cause of infant's death: United States, 1964-66	15.
36	Estimated infant deaths per 1,000 legitimate live births, by family income, race, and cause of infant's death: United States, 1964-66	16.

#### LIST OF DETAILED TABLES-Con.

Tabl <sup>,</sup> 17.	Estimated average annual number of legitimate live births and percent distribution by race and birth weight, according to education of father: United States, 1964-65	37
18.	Estimated average annual number of legitimate live births and percent distribution by race and birth weight, according to education of mother: United States, 1964-65	38
19.	Estimated average annual number of legitimate live births and percent distribution by race and birth weight, according to family income: United States, 1964-65	39
20.	Estimated infant deaths per 1,000 legitimate live births, by education of father and race and birth weight of infant: United States, 1964-65	40
21.	Estimated infant deaths per 1,000 legitimate live births, by education of mother and race and birth weight of infant: United States, 1964-65	41
22.	Estimated infant deaths per 1,000 legitimate live births, by family income and race and birth weight of infant: United States, 1964-65	42

Page

 Table 1. Estimated average annual number of legitimate live births and infant deaths per 1,000 live births, by race, sex, family income, and parental education: United States, 1964-66

Family income and	All	races	White		Blac	:k	
parental education	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female	
	Number of live births in thousands						
All incomes and levels			4	4.450	010		
of education	1,794	1,688	1,557	1,459	212	200	
Family income							
Under \$3,000	352	339	239	228	106	100	
\$3,000-\$4,999	408	373	348	322	52	45	
\$5,000-\$6,999	446	444	410	401	32	37	
\$7,000-\$9,999	382	333	362	317	17	11	
\$10,000 and over	206	199	197	191	6	7	
Father's education							
8 years or less	287	283	216	217	64	60	
9-11 years	376	358	315	290	57	62	
12 years	664	599	592	535	65	56	
13-15 years	216	206	197	187	18	15	
16 years or more	251	242	237	231	9	8	
Mother's education							
8 years or less	212	211	163	157	44	49	
9-11 years	451	417	366	340	79	70	
12 years	794	731	715	660	70	64	
13-15 years	218	206	201	189	14	11	
16 years or more	119	122	112	112	5	7	
		Dea	aths per 1,000	live births			
All incomes	25.6	20.3	23.1	18.2	43.5	35.1	
Family income							
Under \$3,000	36.2	27.9	32.0	22.4	44.3	40.7	
\$3,000-\$4,999	28.1	21.9	24.7	19.2	53.7	38.9	
\$5,000-\$6,999	20.3	15.9	19.5	16.1	29.8	15.1	
\$7,000-\$9,999	21.3	18.2	20.8	17.4	*	*	
\$10,000 and over	22.1	17.6	21.4	17.4	*		
Father's education							
8 years or less	36.3	29.7	33.8	26.8	44.7	39.9	
9-11 years	29.6	25.1	24.8	22.8	55.3	35.3	
12 years	21.6	16.2	20.1	14.8	34.9	28.9	
13-15 years	23.4	17.8	22.1	15.8	*		
16 years or more	20.2	14.5	19.7	14.2	*	1 1	
Mother's education							
8 years or less	37.6	32.9	34.8	29.2	48.0	44.0	
9-11 years	31.0	24.2	27.2	21.8	47.2	35.6	
12 years	22.6	16.1	20.8	14. <del>9</del>	41.1	27.2	
13-15 years	14.9	16.9	14.6	15.3		!	
16 years or more	24.0	16.0	23.2	16.0	•	1	

 Table 2. Estimated average annual number of legitimate live births and of infant deaths per 1,000 live births, by education of father, race, and family income: United States, 1964-66

		Education of father					
Race of infant and family income	Ali levels	8 years or less	9-11 years	12 years	13-15 years	16 years or more	
All races	Number of live births in thousands						
All incomes	3,482	570	734	1,263	422	493	
Under \$3,000	691 780 890 716 406	250 152 104 46 18	203 211 181 106 33	167 305 389 297 105	44 73 126 117 62	26 39 90 150 187	
All incomes	3,016	433	604	1,127	384	468	
Under \$3,000	467 671 811 679 388	156 128 92 40 16	132 183 158 100 32	125 261 358 284 100	33 63 117 111 59	22 36 85 145 180	
Black							
All incomes	413	124	119	121	32	16	
Under \$3,000	206 97 69 28 12	87 21 10 *	66 25 22 6 *	39 41 26 10 *	10 9 6 5 *	*	
All races		Dea	aths per 1,000	live births			
All incomes	23.0	33.0	27.4	19.0	20.6	17.4	
Under \$3,000	32.1 25.1 18.1 19.9 19.9	36.2 33.5 26.4 29.3 *	34.3 27.6 22.4 22.4 26.9	28.3 21.1 15.4 17.8 15.3	26.8 20.5 16.2 22.7 21.4	9.9 19.7 14.5 17.1 19.5	
White							
All incomes	20.8 27.3 22.1 17.8 19.2 19.4	30.3 34.0 30.3 25.9 24.8 *	23.9 25.6 24.2 23.3 22.1 23.1	17.6 25.1 18.4 15.6 16.8 15.3	19.0 23.3 18.1 14.6 22.9 19.2	17.0 * 15.3 13.0 17.4 19.8	
Black							
All incomes         Under \$3,000         \$3,000-\$4,999         \$5,000-\$6,999         \$7,000-\$9,999         \$10,000 and over	39.5 42.5 46.8 22.0 37.6 31.5	42.4 40.5 * *	44.8 52.1 51.6 *	32.2 35.0 40.3 15.0 *	37.6 • • •	*	

 Table 3. Estimated average annual number of legitimate live births and of infant deaths per 1,000 live births, by education of mother, race, and family income: United States, 1964-66

Race of infant and family income <u>All races</u> All incomes	All levels	8 years or less	9-11 years	12	13-15	16 years
		L	-	years	years	or more
All incomes		Numbe	r of live birth	s in thousands		ł
	3,482	423	868	1,525	425	241
Under \$3,000	691 780 890 716 406	194 118 68 35 9	260 249 208 113 37	192 328 464 375 166	34 66 110 118 98	11 20 40 75 96
White						
All incomes	3,016	320	706	1,375	391	224
Under \$3,000	467 671 811 679 388	121 101 59 32 7	172 211 183 105 35	138 286 432 360 159	28 57 101 111 95	9 17 36 71 92
Black						
All incomes	413	93	148	134	26	12
Under \$3,000	206 97 69 28 12	67 15 7 *	83 34 23 6 *	48 39 29 11 5	5 7 6 5 *	* * *
All races		" Dea	aths per 1,000	live births		
All incomes	23.0	35.2	27.7	19.5	15.9	20.0
Under \$3,000	32.1 25.1 18.1 19.9 19.9	37.3 38.4 29.9 23.3 *	37.2 26.6 21.7 21.4 21.9	24.5 19.6 16.9 20.2 18.8	14.3 23.4 10.4 16.1 17.2	* 14.0 20.0 22.5
<u>White</u> All incomes	20.8	32.0	24.6	18.0	15.0	19.6
All incomes	27.3 22.1 17.8 19.2 19.4	34.8 31.9 31.5 20.8 *	30.4 24.8 21.6 20.8 21.9	19.8 17.5 16.4 19.8 17.4	17.4 20.2 9.8 14.3 17.3	* * 15.6 20.5 22.4
Black						
All incomes	39.5	45.9	41.7	34.5	32.1	*
Under \$3,000	42.5 46.8 22.0 37.6	42.3 * * *	49.9 39.5 * *	36.5 35.4 25.1 *	* * * *	*

Table 4. Estimated average annual number of legitimate live births and of infant deaths per 1,000 live births, by education of father,race, and education of mother:United States, 1964-66

	Education of father						
Race of infant and education of mother	All levels	8 years or less	9-11 years	12 years	13-15 years	16 years or more	
All races		Numbe	er of live birth	s in thousands	•	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
All levels	3,482	570	734	1,263	422	493	
8 years or less	423 868 1,525 425 241	245 181 132 11 *	92 332 282 27 *	75 289 761 113 26	10 50 216 110 36	* 16 135 163 177	
White							
All levels	3,016	433	604	1,127	384	468	
8 years or less	320 706 1,375 391 224	176 134 111 10 *	71 269 240 23 *	63 248 695 101 21	8 43 200 101 33	* 14 129 156 168	
Black							
All levels	413	124	119	121	32	16	
8 years or less	93 148 134 26 12	61 44 17 *	19 58 39 * *	11 37 60 10 *	* 7 14 7 *	* * 5 6	
All races		 Dea	aths per 1,000	live births	•		
All levels	23.0	33.0	27.4	19.0	20.6	17.4	
8 years or less	35.2 27.7 19.5 15.9 20.0	39.8 32.7 21.1 * *	39.4 27.1 25.0 15.5 *	18.2 25.7 17.4 14.2 16.4	* 31.1 19.7 19.9 15.3	*. 17.4 13.7 21.2	
Ail levels	20.8	30.3	23.9	17.6	19.0	17.0	
8 years or less	32.0 24.6 18.0 15.0 19.6	37.5 31.1 18.4 *	33.1 23.0 23.0 *	18.1 23.8 16.1 12.5 *	* 24.2 19.1 18.1 15.6	* 16.5 14.1 20.7	
Black	<b></b>	•			<b>A-</b> -		
	39.5	42.4	44.8 *	32.2	37.6	<u> </u>	
8 years or less	45.9 41.7 34.5 32.1	46.2 36.6 * *	45.6 37.8 *	34.8 31.9 *	* * *	*	
16 years or more	*	*	*	*	*	*	

 Table 5. Estimated average annual number of legitimate live births and of infant deaths per 1,000 live births, by education of father, race, and age of mother: United States, 1964-66

	Education of father						
Race of infant and age of mother	All levels	8 years or less	9-11 years	12 years	13-15 years	16 years or more	
All races	Number of live births in thousands						
All ages	3,482	570	734	1,263	422	493	
Under 20 years	475 1,258 893 507 350	81 142 133 114 99	160 267 165 84 59	186 519 303 156 100	42 189 105 47 39	6 141 188 105 53	
White							
All ages	3,016	433	604	1,127	384	468	
Under 20 years	398 1,099 784 436 298	69 109 100 83 72	127 225 131 71 51	162 455 276 142 92	36 174 98 43 34	* 135 180 99 50	
Black							
All ages	413	124	119	121	32	16	
Under 20 years	72 140 93 61 47	11 31 29 28 25	31 37 31 13 8	23 56 23 12 6	6 11 6 * 5	* 5	
All races		" Dea	' aths per 1,000	live births	•	•	
All ages	23.0	33.0	27.4	19.0	20.6	17.4	
Under 20 years       .	29.8 20.5 21.5 22.9 27.0	41.4 34.0 29.3 27.4 36.2	31.3 22.9 27.5 26.5 38.1	25.1 18.5 18.6 16.3 16.2	25.0 17.8 21.3 26.4 21.1	* 13.5 15.4 23.5 22.2	
White							
All ages	20.8 25.2 18.9 19.1 21.4 24.9	30.3 35.4 34.2 25.5 23.7 33.8	23.9 23.2 20.4 26.7 25.0 31.8	17.6 22.9 17.5 16.1 15.7 16.0	19.0 24.2 16.3 19.1 23.2 22.1	17.0 * 11.9 14.9 24.4 23.6	
Black							
All ages	39.5 55.0 34.1 41.5 31.8 37.5	42.4 * 34.7 44.4 34.6 41.0	44.8 63.5 38.8 31.7 *	32.2 * 27.1 * *	37.6 * * * *	*	

# Table 6. Estimated average annual number of legitimate live births and of infant deaths per 1,000 live births, by education, race, andage of mother: United States, 1964-66

Race of infant	Education of mother						
and age of mother	All leveis	8 years or less	9-11 years	12 years	13-15 years	16 years or more	
All races	Number of live births in thousands						
All ages	3,482	423	868	1,525	425	241	
Under 20 years	475 1,258 893 507 350	69 101 97 84 72	237 288 172 98 73	154 640 394 198 139	15 171 128 72 39	* 58 102 54 27	
All ages	3,016	320	706	1,375	391	224	
Under 20 years	398 1,099 784 436 298	55 78 70 62 55	195 234 141 79 57	136 577 356 178 127	11 157 119 68 35	* 53 98 49 24	
Black							
All ages	413	93	148	134	26	12	
Under 20 years	72 140 93 61 47	14 21 23 20 15	39 48 28 18 15	17 54 34 17 12	* 12 6 *	* * *	
All races		ll Dea	ths per 1,000	l live births	1	1	
All ages	23.0	35.2	27.7	19.5	15.9	20.0	
Under 20 years	29.8 20.5 21.5 22.9 27.0	37.9 37.1 31.6 26.0 45.6	31.8 24.3 28.6 25.3 29.3	24.0 18.2 19.1 20.9 19.2	* 15.2 14.2 17.9 18.1	* 14.4 18.0 27.7 24.3	
White							
All ages       .<	20.8 25.2 18.9 19.1 21.4 24.9	32.0 29.1 36.3 28.9 26.8 38.9	24.6 25.3 23.7 24.7 21.8 29.6	18.0 23.7 16.6 17.4 19.3 18.0	15.0 * 14.1 13.0 16.6 18.9	19.6 * 11.1 18.0 28.4 *	
Black		47					
All ages	39.5 55.0 34.1 41.5 31.8 37.5	45.9 * * * *	41.7 63.7 28.7 46.2 *	34.5 * 34.5 37.8 *	32.1 * * * *	*	

# Table 7. Estimated average annual number of legitimate live births and of infant deaths per 1,000 live births, by family income, race, and age of mother: United States, 1964-66

	Family income							
Race of infant and age of mother	All incomes	Under \$3,000	\$3,000- \$4,999	\$5,000- \$6,999	\$7,000- \$9,999	\$10,000 and over		
All races	Number of live births in thousands							
All ages	3,482	690	780	890	715	406		
Under 20 years	475	220	142	80	25	9		
20-24 years	1,258	243	324	379	227	85		
25-29 years	893	113	166	233	250	131		
30-34 years	507	65	95	116 82	129 85	102		
35 years and over	350	49	65	82	65	19		
White								
All ages	3,016	467	671	811	679	388		
Under 20 years	398	166	129	73	22	7		
20-24 years	1,099	169	282	350	216	81		
25-29 years	784	69	139	214	238	125		
30-34 years	436	34	77	104	122	100		
35 years and over	298	29	43	71	81	74		
Black								
A11 ages	413	206	97	69	28	12		
Under 20 years	72	51	12	6	*	*		
20-24 years	140	68	38	25	8	.		
25-29 years	93	40	23	17	95			
<b>30-34</b> years	61	28 19	14 11	11	, 5 *			
35 years and over	47		eaths per 1,000		1	I		
	23.0	32.1	25.1	18.1	19.9	19.9		
All ages				22.4	49.9	+		
Under 20 years	29.8	31.7 28.9	24.8 25.2	14.9	49.9	17.2		
20-24 years	20.5 21.5	32.4	25.2	19.6	18.3	16.6		
25-29 years	21.5	35.3	19.6	21.1	21.9	21.4		
30-34 years	27.0	45.4	34.7	20.6	24.1	20.1		
White	1							
 All ages	20.8	27.3	22.1	17.8	19.2	19.4		
Under 20 years	25.2	24.4	21.0	22.5	+	+		
20-24 years	18.9	26.8	22.5	15.0	14.9	17.1		
25-29 years	19.1	23.5	21.7	19.5	17.5	16.4		
30-34 years	21.4	38.2	18.1	18.5	22.5	20.1		
35 years and over	24.9	43.8	30.4	21.3	23.3	19.7		
Black				-				
All ages	39.5	42.5	46.8	22.0	37.6			
Under 20 years	55.0	55.0	*	*	*			
20-24 years	34.1	33.8	47.5	14.6	•	. 1		
25-29 years	41.5	46.0	•	•	•	'		
30-34 years	31.8	31.5	•	•		'		
35 years and over	37.5	I •	•	1 *	*	1 1		

 Table 8. Estimated average annual number of legitimate live births and of infant deaths per 1,000 live births, by education of father, race of infant, geographic region, and metropolitan or nonmetropolitan county: United States, 1964-66

Race, region, and	Education of father							
metropolitan or nonmetropolitan county	Ali levels	8 years or less	9-11 years	12 years	13-15 years	16 years or more		
All races	Number of live births in thousands							
All regions	3,482	570	734	1,263	422	493		
Metropolitan	2,241 1,241	290 280	489 246	798 465	299 123	366 127		
Northeast	816	106	184	294	92	141		
Metropolitan	654 162	81 25	150 34	230 64	77 15	117 24		
North Central	992	130	203	408	120	132		
Metropolitan	614 378	70 60	140 63	231 177	82 37	91 41		
South	1,092	260	227	357	112	136		
Metropolitan	548 544	91 169	110 117	193 164	65 48	90 46		
West	581	73	121	204	98	84		
Metropolitan	424 157	48 26	89 32	144 60	76 23	68 16		
White								
All regions	3,016	433	604	1,127	384	468		
Metropolitan	1,920 1,096	217 215	397 208	693 434	268 115	345 123		
Northeast	735	91	156	266	87	135		
Metropolitan	577 158	67 24	124 32	202 64	72 15	112 23		
North Central	912	112	175	384	112	129		
Metropolitan	540 372	52 59	115 61	210 174	75 37	88 41		

.

 Table 8. Estimated average annual number of legitimate live births and of infant deaths per 1,000 live births, by education of father, race of infant, geographic region, and metropolitan or nonmetropolitan county: United States, 1964-66–Con.

Race, region, and	Education of father							
metropolitan or nonmetropolitan county	All levels	8 years or less	9-11 years	12 years	13-15 years	16 years or more		
White-Con.	Number of live births in thousands							
South	857	171	167	297	97	125		
Metropolitan	432 425	61 111	79 88	156 142	56 41	82 44		
West	511	58	107	180	88	79		
Metropolitan	371 141	37 21	79 28	125 55	66 22	64 15		
Black								
All regions	413	124	119	121	32	16		
Metropolitan	285 128	64 60	87 32	94 27	26 6	14		
Northeast	74	13	27	26	*	*		
Metropolitan	71 *	12 *	25 *	26 *	*	*		
North Central	75	18	26	22	7	*		
Metropolitan	71 *	17 *	25 *	20 *	7 *			
South	230	87	60	59	15	10		
Metropolitan	113 117	30 57	31 29	37 22	9	8		
West	33	6	7	13	6			
Metropolitan	30 *	6 *	6 *	11 *	6 *			

r

 Table 8. Estimated average annual number of legitimate live births and of infant deaths per 1,000 live births, by education of father, race of infant, geographic region, and metropolitan or nonmetropolitan county: United States, 1964-66–Con.

Race, region, and	Education of father							
metropolitan or nonmetropolitan county	All levels	8 years or less	9-11 years	12 years	13-15 years	16 years or more		
All races		De	aths per 1,000	) live births				
All regions	23.0	33.0	27.4	19.0	20.6	17.4		
Metropolitan	22.0 24.9	32.0 34.1	25.0 32.0	19.4 18.4	21.0 19.7	16.7 19.1		
Northeast	20.4	25.3	25.3	18.2	18.3	16.3		
Metropolitan	20.0 22.0	27.3 18.8	22.9 36.0	17.9 18.9	18.1 *	16.4		
North Central	23.3	32.1	29.0	18.8	24.3	19.0		
Metropolitan	24.1 22.1	37.4 25.8	26.5 34.7	20.4 16.6	26.5 19.6	17.1 23.4		
South	25.7	38.8	31.0	19.3	15.7	16.8		
Metropolitan	24.3 27.0	38.0 39.2	30.4 31.6	19.8 18.6	17.7 13.1	17.6 15.3		
West	21.3	25.4	21.1	20.3	24.0	17.5		
Metropolitan	19.3 26.9	20.4 34.8	20.0 24.4	19.3 22.8	21.0 *	15.8 *		
White								
All regions	20.8	30.3	23.9	17.6	19.0	17.0		
Metropolitan	20.0 22.0	30.7 29.9	21.7 27.9	17.8 17.3	19.3 18.4	16.4 18.5		
Northeast	19.1	23.7	23.0	17.6	16.0	16.4		
Metropolitan ,	18.5 21.3	26.2 *	19.4 37.0	17.4 18.5	15.4 *	16.9 *		
North Central	21.7	29.7	27.6	17.4	22.7	18.3		
Metropolitan	21.8 21.5	36.4 23.7	23.9 34.5	18.3 16.4	24.3 19.6	16.3 22.6		

 Table 8. Estimated average annual number of legitimate live births and of infant deaths per 1,000 live births, by education of father, race of infant, geographic region, and metropolitan or nonmetropolitan county: United States, 1964-66--Con.

.

Race, region, and	Education of father							
metropolitan or nonmetropolitan county	Ali levels	8 years or less	9-11 years	12 years	13-15 years	16 years or more		
White-Con.		De	aths per 1,000	live births	•			
South	21.7	36.0	25.0	17.0	13.5	15.1		
Metropolitan	21.3 22.1	35.7 36.1	28.2 22.2	17.1 16.8	15.7 10.6	15.5 14.3		
West	19.9	25.2	17.2	18.8	23.4	18.6		
Metropolitan	18.4 24.0	22.7 *	15.7 21.3	<sup>•</sup> 18.2 20.1	21.1 *	16.8		
Black								
All regions	39.5	42.4	44.8	32.2	37.6	*		
Metropolitan	36.9 45.1	39.0 46.0	40.5 56.3	32.0 32.7	39.3 *	*		
Northeast	33.8	*	38.0	24.5	*	*		
Metropolitan	33.2 *	*	39.5 *	23.4 *	*	*		
North Central	<sup>·</sup> 43.8	· *	38.8	*	*	*		
Metropolitan	42.8 *	*	38.2 *	*	*	*		
South	40.5	44.3	47.1	31.2	*	*		
Metropolitan	35.8 45.0	41.7 45.7	36.1 58.7	31.8 *	*	*		
West	35.2	· *	*	*	*	*		
Metropolitan	35.9 *	*	*	*	*	*		

 Table 9. Estimated average annual number of legitimate live births and of infant deaths per 1,000 live births, by education of mother, race of infant, geographic region, and metropolitan or nonmetropolitan county: United States, 1964-66

Race, region, and		<del>llelle in an in miner a ciùele, al</del>	Education of	mother		
metropolitan or nonmetropolitan county	All levels	8 years or less	9-11 years	12 years	13-15 years	16 years or more
All races		Numbe	er of live birth	s in thousands		·
All regions	3,482	423	868	1,525	425	241
Metropolitan	2,241 1,241	219 204	561 307	991 534	294 130	176 65
Northeast	816	77	192	394	88	66
Metropolitan	654 162	62 15	155 37	317 76	67 21	117 12
North Central	992	80	234	492	120	66
Metropolitan	614 378	45 35	155 79	291 201	79 41	44 22
South	1,092	209	307	387	118	68
Metropolitan	548 544	74 136	152 156	207 182	72 46	44 24
West	581	57	135	250	98	41
Metropolitan	424 157	39 18	100 35	176 75	76 22	34 7
White						
All regions	3,016	320	706	1,375	391	224
Metropolitan	1,920 1,096	167 152	452 254	874 500	265 126	162 63
Northeast	735	65	163	361	83	64
Metropolitan	577 158	50 15	128 35	285 76	63 20	51 12
North Central	912	. 68	201	466	112	64
Metropolitan	540 372	35 32	124 77	267 200	71 41	43 22

•

 Table 9. Estimated average annual number of legitimate live births and of infant deaths per 1,000 live births, by education of mother, race of infant, geographic region, and metropolitan or nonmetropolitan county: United States, 1964-66–Con.

Race, region, and	Education of mother							
metropolitan or nonmetropolitan county	All levels	8 years or less	9-11 years	12 years	13-15 years	16 years or more		
White-Con,	Number of live births in thousands							
South	857	142	225	323	107	60		
Metropolitan	432 425	52 90	114 111	166 157	63 43	· 37 22		
West	511	45	117	224	88	37		
Metropolitan	371 141	30 15	86 31	156 68	67 21	30 6		
Black								
All regions	413	93	148	134	26	12		
Metropolitan	285 128	46 47	101 47	105 29	22 *	11		
Northeast	74		27	31	*	*		
Metropolitan	71	10 *	25 *	31 *	*	*		
North Central	75	10	31	25	7	*		
Metropolitan	71 *	9 *	30 *	24 *	7 *	*		
South	230	66	81	64	11	8		
Metropolitan	113 117	22 44	38 44	40 25	8 *	6 *		
West	33	. 5	9	14	*	*		
Metropolitan	30 *	5, *	8 *	11 *	*	. *		

. .

.

 Table 9. Estimated average annual number of legitimate live births and of infant deaths per 1,000 live births, by education of mother, race of infant, geographic region, and metropolitan or nonmetropolitan county: United States, 1964-66—Con.

Race, region, and	Education of mother							
metropolitan or nonmetropolitan county	All levels	8 years or less	9-11 years	12 years	13-15 years	16 years or more		
All races		Dea	aths per 1,000	) live births	<u>.</u>			
All regions	23.0	35.2	27.7	19.5	15.9	20.0		
Metropolitan	22.0 24.9	32.0 38.7	25.2 32.3	20.0 18.5	16.0 15.7	21.1 17.0		
Northeast	- 20.4	23.8	24.9	18.9	13.0	21.7		
Metropolitan	20.0 22.0	23.2 *	22.7 33.8	19.0 18.6	13.7 *	21.8		
North Central	23.3	38.2	28.2	20.3	18.2	19.5		
Metropolitán	24.1 22.1	33.5 44.2	29.1 26.7	21.8 18.2	18.3 17.9	22.4		
South	25.7	40.9	29.9	19.5	12.9	17.4		
Metropolitan	24.3 27.0	44.6 38.9	25.5 34.2	20.8 18.1	14.5 10.4	19.2 *		
West	21.3	25.5	25.9	18.5	19.2	22.4		
Metropolitan	19.3 26.9	· 20.2 *	22.8 34.7	17.8 20.2	16.9 *	20.7		
White								
All regions	20.8	32.0	24.6	18.0	15.0	19.6		
Metropolitan	20.0 22.0	30.0 34.2	22.2 28.9	18.4 17.3	14.8 15.3	21.1 15.8		
Northeast	19.1	21.6	23.7	17.7	12.8	21.3		
Metropolitan	18.5 21.3	20.7 *	20.9 34.0	17.5 18.2	13.4 *	22.0		
North Central	21.7	39.1	25.9	18.8	17.0	18.9		
Metropolitan	21.8 21.5	34.1 44.6	26.1 25.7	19.6 17.7	16.5 17.9	21.5 *		

 Table 9. Estimated average annual number of legitimate live births and of infant deaths per 1,000 live births, by education of mother, race of infant, geographic region, and metropolitan or nonmetropolitan county: United States, 1964-66–Con.

.

Race, region, and		<u>'''''''''''''''''''''''''''''''''''''</u>	Education of	mother		
metropolitan or nonmetropolitan county	All leveis	8 years or less	9-11 years	12 years	13-15 years	16 years or more
White-Con.		De	aths per 1,00	0 live births		
South	21.7	35.8	25.2	17.5	11.6	15.3
Metropolitan	21.3 22.1	40.4 33.2	21.4 29.0	19.3 15.6	12.6 10.1	17.6
West	19.9	24.6	22.6	17.5	18.4	25.0
Metropolitan	18.4 24.0	23.1 *	19.5 31.1	16.9 18.8	16.4 *	23.0
Black						
All regions	39.5	45.9	41.7	34.5	32.1	*
Metropolitan	36.9 45.1	42.0 49.7	38.7 48.1	34.2 35.6	*	*
Northeast	33.8	*	32.5	34.3	*	+
Metropolitan	33.2 *	*	32.6 *	33.8 *	*	*
North Central	43.8	*	44.7	48.8	*	*
Metropolitan	42.8 *	*	42.0 *	<del>*</del> *	*	*
South	40.5	51.0	43.3	29.1	*	•
Metropolitan	35.8 45.0	* 50.1	38.0 48.0	26.2 33.6	*	*
West	35.2	*	*	*	*	*
Metropolitan	35.9 *	*	*	*	*	*

Table 10. Estimated average annual number of legitimate live births and of infant deaths per 1,000 live births, by family income, race of infant, geographic region, and metropolitan or nonmetropolitan county: United States, 1964-66

•

Race, region, and			Family inc	come		<u>, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , </u>
metropolitan or nonmetropolitan county	All incomes	Under \$3,000	\$3,000- \$4,999	\$5,000- \$6,999	\$7,000- \$9,999	\$10,000 and over
All races		Numl	per of live birth	is in thousands	<u>.</u>	•
All regions	3,482	691	780	890	716	406
Metropolitan	2,241 1,241	371 320	458 323	585 305	515 200	313 93
Northeast	. 816	109	173	232	185	117
Metropolitan	654 162	83 26	134 39	187 45	151 35	99 18
North Central	992	139	203	280	255	115
Metropolitan	614 378	79 60	103 100	175 105	176 80	82 33
South	1,092	332	286	228	155	90
Metropolitan	548 544	132 200	140 146	118 109	95 60	63 28
West	581	111	118	149	120	84
Metropolitan	424 157	77 33	80 38	104 46	94 26	69 15
White						
All regions	3,016	467	671	811	679	388
Metropolitan	1,920 1,096	246 222	376 294	518 292	483 197	297 91
Northeast	735	78	153	214	178	113
Metropolitan	577 158	55 23	115 38	169 44	143 35	96 18
North Central	912	111	185	261	243	111
Metropolitan	540 372	54 57	86 99	156 104	164 79	79 33

 Table 10.
 Estimated average annual number of legitimate live births and of infant deaths per 1,000 live births, by family income, race of infant, geographic region, and metropolitan or nonmetropolitan county: United States, 1964-66–Con.

,

Race, region, and		·····	Family inc	ome		
metropolitan or nonmetropolitan county	All incomes	Under \$3,000	\$3,000- \$4,999	\$5,000- \$6,999	\$7,000- \$9,999	\$10,000 and over
White-Con.		Numb	er of live birth	is in thousands		
South	857	189	235	202	147	85
Metropolitan	432 425	73 116	110 125	103 99	88 58	58 26
West	511	88	98	135	112	79
Metropolitan	371 141	64 25	65 33	90 44	87 25	65 14
Black						
All regions	413	206	97	69	28	12
Metropolitan	285 128	119 87	72 25	57 12	26 *	11 *
Northeast	74	29	19	17	6	*
Metropolitan	71 *	27 *	18 *	16 *	6 *	*
North Central	75	25	18	17	12	*
Metropolitan	71 *	23 *	17 *	17 *	11 *	*
South	230	140	51	26	8	5
Metropolitan	113 117	58 82	29 21	16 10	6 *	*
West	33	11	10	9	*	*
Metropolitan	30 *	10 *	8 *	8 *	*	*

 Table 10. Estimated average annual number of legitimate live births and of infant deaths per 1,000 live births, by family income, race of infant, geographic region, and metropolitan or nonmetropolitan county: United States, 1964-66–Con.

Race, region, and		<del> </del>	Family inc	come		<u>,</u>
metropolitan or nonmetropolitan county	All incomes	Under \$3,000	\$3,000- \$4,999	\$5,000- \$6,999	\$7,000- \$9,999	\$10,000 and over
All races		D	eaths per 1,000	) live births	·	·
All regions	23.0	32.1	25.1	18.1	19.9	19.9
Metropolitan	22.0 24.9	29.8 34.8	25.8 24.2	17.5 19.3	20.2 19.0	18.8 23.7
Northeast	20.4	23.2	21.2	16.5	22.5	20.8
Metropolitan	20.0 22.0	23.7 21.6	20.6 23.6	15.8 19.5	22.0 24.3	20.8 20.7
North Central	23.3	32.5	26.0	20.5	19.5	22.7
Metropolitan	24.1 22.1	36.2 27.6	30.2 21.8	19.1 22.7	20.9 16.6	22.0 24.4
South	25.7	36.9	28.0	15.7	18.3	15.0
Metropolitan	24.3 27.0	35.4 37.8	30.6 25.5	16.2 15.2	16.9 20.5	13.4 18.5
West	21.3	26.3	22.4	19.8	18.5	20.1
Metropolitan	19.3 26.9	20.2 40.2	20.5 26.5	19.3 21.1	19.3 15.6	16.9 35.5
White						
All regions	20.8	27.3	22.1	17.8	19.2	19.4
Metropolitan	20.0 22.0	25.1 29.8	22.5 21.5	17.1 19.0	19.6 18.2	18.4 22.6
Northeast	19.1	23.1	18.3	15.8	21.7	19.8
Metropolitan	18.5 21.3	* 22.9 *	16.9 22.3	15.0 18.9	21.3 23.2	20.0 *
North Central	21.7	29.2	22.8	19.9	19.1	21.9
Metropolitan	21.8 21.5	30.0 28.4	26.9 19.2	18.2 22.4	20.2 16.8	20.8 24.6

ï

 Table 10. Estimated average annual number of legitimate live births and of infant deaths per 1,000 live births, by family income, race of infant, geographic region, and metropolitan or nonmetropolitan county: United States, 1964-66–Con.

Race, region, and			Family inc	ome		
metropolitan or nonmetropolitan county	All incomes	Under \$3,000	\$3,000- \$4,999	\$5,000- \$6,999	\$7,000- \$9,999	\$10,000 and over
White-Con.		D	eaths per 1,000	) live births		
South	21.7	30.7	24.0	16.5	17.3	15.0
Metropolitan	21.3 22.1	30,5 30.8	26.3 22.1	17.5 15.5	16.5 18.5	14.4 16.5
West	19.9	21.6	21.8	19.0	18.0	20.1
Metropolitan	18.4 24.0	16.7 34.1	19.9 25.6	19.0 19.1	18.9 14.7	17.0
Black						
All regions	39.5	42.5	46.8	22.0	37.6	*
Metropolitan	36.9 45.1	39.5 46.7	46.0 49.1	23.0 *	33.5 *	*
Northeast	33.8	24.5	*	*	*	*
Metropolitan	33.2 *	26.2 *	*	*	*	*
North Central	43.8	50.2	*	*	×	*
Metropolitan	42.8 *	*	*	* *	*	*
South	40.5	45.0	46.8	9.7	*	*
Metropolitan	35.8 45.0	40.7 48.1	47.8 *	*	*	*
West	35.2	*	*	*	*	*
Metropolitan	35.9 *	*	* *	*	*	*

đ,

.

## Table 11. Estimated infant deaths per 1,000 legitimate live births, by education of father and infant's race and age at death: United States, 1964-66

		····	Education of	father		······
Race and age at death	All levels	8 years or less	9-11 years	12 years	13-15 years	16 years or more
All races		Dea	aths per 1,000	live births		·
Less than 1 year	23.0	33.0	27.4	19.0	20.6	17.4
Less than 1 day	9.8	12.0	10.5	8.5	10.5	8.6
1-6 days	5.6	6.6	6.7	4.9	5.4	4.8
7-27 days	1.7	3.2	2.1	1.2	1.1	0.8
1-5 months	4.3	8.0	5.3	3.4	2.5	2.5
6-11 months	1.7	3.2	2.8	1.1	1.2	0.7
White						
Less than 1 year	20.8	30.3	23.9	17.6	19.0	17.0
Less than 1 day	9.1	11.0	10.2	8.0	9.9	8.1
1-6 days	5.3	6.8	5.7	4.7	4.9	4.8
7-27 days	1.4	2.8	1.7	1.0	1.1	0.9
1-5 months	3.5	6.9	4.0	3.0	2.0	2.4
6-11 months	1.5	2.8	2.3	0.9	1.1	0.8
Black						
Less than 1 year	39.5	42.4	44.8		33.5	
Less than 1 day	14.7	15.7	12.1		15.8	
1-6 days	8.3	6.6	12.0		7.0	
7-27 days	3.7	4.8	4.5		2.3	
1-5 months	9.9	11.9	11.7		7.1	
6-11 months	2.9	3.5	4.6		1.3	

# Table 12. Estimated infant deaths per 1,000 legitimate live births, by education of mother and infant's race and age at death: United States, 1964-66

			Education of	mother		<u> </u>
Race and age at death	All levels	8 years or less	9-11 years	12 years	13-15 years	16 years or more
All races		Dea	aths per 1,000	live births		
Less than 1 year	23.0	35.2	27.7	19.5	15.9	20.0
Less than 1 day	9.8	12.4	11.2	8.9	7.0	10.3
1-6 days	5.6	7.0	6.3	5.1	4.8	5.1
7-27 days	1.7	3.6	2.2	1.2	0.5	1.1
1-5 months	4.3	8.1	6.0	2.9	2.7	2.6
6-11 months	1.7	4.1	2.1	1.3	0.9	0.9
White						
Less than 1 year	20.8	32.0	24.6	18.0	15.0	19.6
Less than 1 day	9.1	11.4	10.6	8.5	6.2	10.3
1-6 days	5.3	6.7	5.9	4.8	5.0	4.7
7-27 days	1.4	3.2	1.8	1.1	0.5	1.1
1-5 months	3.5	7.1	4.5	2.6	2.5	2.5
6-11 months	1.5	3.6	1.8	1.1	0.8	1.0
Black						
Less than 1 year	39.5	45.9	41.7		34.0	
Less than 1 day	14.7	16.9	13.1		14.9	
1-6 days	8.3	8.6	8.1		8.3	
7-27 days	3.7	4.9	4.4		2.4	
1-5 months	9.9	11.7	13.3		5.9	
6-11 months	2.9	3.8	2.8		2.5	

¥.

# Table 13. Estimated infant deaths per 1,000 legitimate live births, by family income and infant's race and age at death: United States, 1964-66

			Family inc	come		
Race and age at death	All incomes	Under \$3,000	\$3,000- \$4,999	\$5,000- \$6,999	\$7,000- \$9,999	\$10,000 and over
All races		D	eaths per 1,000	) live births	· <u> </u>	• · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
Less than 1 year	23.0	32.1	25.1	18.1	19.9	19.9
Less than 1 day	9.8	11.3	11.0	8.2	8.7	10.1
1-6 days	5.6	7.0	5.7	4.6	5.3	5.7
7-27 days	1.7	3.0	1.5	1.1	1.5	1.1
1-5 months	4,3	8.1	4.6	3.1	3.1	2.0
6-11 months	1.7	2.8	2.3	1.2	1.3	1.0
White						
Less than 1 year	20.8	27.3	22.1	17.8	19.2	19.4
Less than 1 day	9.1	10.6	9.6	8.1	8.6	9.7
1-6 days	5.3	5.9	5.5	4.5	5.2	5.8
7-27 days	1.4	2.6	1.0	1.0	1.6	1.2
1-5 months	3.5	6.1	4.1	3.0	2.6	2.0
6-11 months	1.5	2.2	1.9	1.2	1.2	0.8
Black						
Less than 1 year	39.5	42.5	46.8		27.1	
Less than 1 day	14.7	13.4	21.7		10.9	
1-6 days	8.3	9.3	8.3		6.5	
7-27 days	3.7	4.2	5.4		1.1	
1-5 months	9.9	12.6	8.0		6.4	
6-11 months	2.9	3.0	3.5		2.1	

Table 14. Estimated infant deaths per 1,000 legitimate live births, by education of father, race, and cause of infant's death: United States, 1964-66

					Race of	infant and	educatio	on of father	,			
Cause of death (Seventh Revision of		All	races		[	w	hite			, BI	ack	
International Lists, 1955)	All levels	8 years or less	9-11 years	12 years or more	All levels	8 years or less	9-11 years	12 years or more	All levets	8 years or less	9-11 years	12 years or more
			<u></u>	<u> </u>	Dear	ths per 1,0	00 live bi	rths	·			
All causes	23.0	33.0	27.4	19.0	20.8	30.3	23.9	17.7	39.5	42.4	44.8	33.5
Infective and parasitic diseases	0.2	0.3	0.4	0.1	0.1	0.3	0.3	0.1	0.3	0.3	0.7	0.0
Influenza, pneumonia, and all other diseases of respiratory system 470-475, 480-493, 500-527, 763	3.0	5.3	3.8	2.1	2.4	4.3	3.2	1.8	7.1	9.2	6,9	5.7
Gastritis, duodenitis, and all other diseases of digestive system	0.7	1.8	0.7	0.4	0.5	1.3	0.4	0,4	1.6	3.0	1.6	0.7
Congenital malformations	3.7	4.8	4.6	3.0	3.8	5,5	4.7	3.1	2.9	1.5	4.7	2.6
Birth injuries	1.9	2.7	2.3	1.6	1.9	3.0	2.3	1.5	2.4	1.9	2,3	2.7
Postnatal asphyxia and atelectasis	3.8	5.1	4.1	3.4	3.5	4.7	3.5	3.2	6.5	6.9	7.5	5.5
Hemolytic disease of newborn (erythroblastosis) ,	0.4	0.3	0.3	0.4	0.4	0.3	0.4	0.4	0.2	0.0	0.0	0.5
Immaturity, unqualified ,	3.6	4.2	4.8	3.1	3.1	3,4	4.1	2.7	6.9	6.9	7.8	6.4
Certain diseases of early infancy <sup>1</sup>	3.6	4.7	3.4	3.3	3.2	4.1	2.9	3.2	6.1	7.0	6.2	5.4
Accidents	0.8	1.5	1.2	0.5	0.7	1.5	0.8	0.4	1.7	1.0	2.9	1.5
Residual	1.5	2.4	1.8	1.1	1.2	1.9	1.3	1.0	` 3 <i>.</i> 8	4.7	4.3	2.7

Ń

<sup>1</sup> Includes neonatal disorders arising from certain diseases of the mother during pregnancy; ill-defined diseases peculiar to early infancy; immaturity with mention of other subsidiary condition; and other diseases peculiar to early infancy not already shown. Ill-defined diseases peculiar to early infancy account for about 60 percent of these deaths.

# Table 15. Estimated infant deaths per 1,000 legitimate live births, by education of mother, race, and cause of infant's death: United States, 1964-66

				Race	of inf	ant and	educatio	n of mot	her			
Cause of death (Seventh Revision of		All	races			w	nite			Bł	ack	
International Lists, 1955)	All levels	8 years or less	9-11 years	12 years or more	All levels	8 years or less	9-11 years	12 years or more	All levels	8 years or less	9-11 years	12 years or more
					Deat	hs per 1,0	00 live bi	rths				
All causes	23.0	35.2	27.7	18.8	20.8	32.0	24.6	17.6	39.5	45.9	41.7	34,0
Infective and parasitic diseases	0.2	0.3	0.3	0.1	0.1	0.3	0.3	0.1	0.3	0.0	0.5	0.2
Influenza, pneumonia, and all other diseases of respiratory system 470-475, 480-493, 500-527, 763	3.0	5.8	4.4	1.9	2.4	5,0	3.5	1.6	7.1	8.8	8.7	4.8
Gastritis, duodenitis, and all other diseases of digestive system	0.7	2.5	0,7	0.3	0.5	1.9	0.4	0.3	1.6	3.4	2.0	0.5
Congenital malformations	3.7	4.6	3.9	3.4	3.8	4.9	4.2	3.4	2.9	2.8	2.5	3.2
Birth injuries	1.9	2.2	2.5	1.6	1.9	2.4	2.5	1.6	2.4	1.7	2.3	2.7
Postnatal asphyxia and atelectasis 762	3.8	6.3	4.3	3.2	3.5	5.7	3.9	3.0	6.5	8.8	6.3	5.3
Hemolytic disease of newborn (erythroblastosis)	0.4	0.3	0.5	0.4	0.4	0.4	0.5	0.4	0.2	0.0	0.2	0.3
Immaturity, unqualified	3.6	4.5	4.4	3.1	3.1	3.0	4.0	2.8	6.9	9.6	5.9	6.4
Certain diseases of early infancy <sup>1</sup>	3.6	5.1	4.1	3.1	3.2	4.9	3.7	2.8	6.1	6.4	5.8	6.2
Accidents	0.8	1.5	1.0	0.6	0.7	1.7	0.7	0.5	1.7	0,4	2.1	2,1
Residual	1.5	2.3	1.8	1.2	1.2	1.8	1.1	1.1	3.8	4.2	5.3	2.2

ï

<sup>1</sup> Includes neonatal disorders arising from certain diseases of the mother during pregnancy; ill-defined diseases peculiar to early infancy; immaturity with mention of other subsidiary condition; and other diseases peculiar to early infancy not already shown. Ill-defined diseases peculiar to early infancy account for about 60 percent of these deaths.

#### Table 16. Estimated infant deaths per 1,000 legitimate live births, by family income, race, and cause of infant's death: United States, 1964-66

					Race o	f infant an	d family in	come					
Cause of death (Seventh Revision of		All	races			White				Black			
International Lists, 1955)	All incomes	Under \$3,000	\$3,000- \$4,999	\$5,000 and over	All incomes	Under \$3,000	\$3,000- \$4,999	\$5,000 and over	All incomes	Under \$3,000	\$3,000- \$4,999	\$5,000 and over	
		Deaths per 1,000 live births											
All causes	23.0	32.1	25.1	19,1	20.8	27.3	22.1	18.7	39.5	42.5	46.8	27.1	
Infective and parasitic diseases 001-138	0.2	0.5	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.6	0.1	0.1	0.3	0.4	0.4	0.0	
Influenza, pneumonia, and all other diseases of respiratory system 470-475, 480-493, 500-527, 763	3.0	5.5	3.4	2.0	2.4	4.3	3.0	1.8	7.1	8.0	7.1	5.5	
Gastritis, duodenitis, and all other diseases of digestive system	0.7	1.5	0.8	0.4	0.5	1.2	0.4	0.4	1.6	1.6	2.7	0.7	
Congenital malformations	3.7	3.9	3.9	3.5	3.8	4.4	3.8	3.6	2.9	2.8	4.4	1.7	
Birth injuries	1.9	1.7	2.7	1.7	1.9	1.5	2.3	1.8	2.4	1.9	5,5	0.4	
Postnatal asphyxia and atelectasis 762	3.8	4.6	3.5	3.7	3,5	3.6	3.2	3.6	6,5	7.2	6.1	5.4	
Hemolytic disease of newborn (erythroblastosis)	0.4	0.2	0.4	0.4	0.4	0.3	0.4	0.4	0.2	0.0	0.4	0.4	
Immaturity, unqualified	3.6	5.2	4.3	2.8	3.1	4.5	3.3	2.7	6.9	6.7	10.4	4.4	
Certain diseases of early infancy <sup>1</sup>	3.6	5.0	3.7	3.1	3.2	4.1	3.5	2,9	6.1	7.1	5.2	5.2	
Accidents E800-E962	0.8	1.5	0.9	0.6	0.7	1.0	0.8	0.5	1.7	2.3	0,9	1.4	
Residual	1.5	2.6	1.5	1.0	1.2	1.9	1.2	1.0	3.8	4.6	4.0	,2.1	

¢

<sup>1</sup> Includes neonatal disorders arising from certain diseases of the mother during pregnancy; ill-defined diseases peculiar to early infancy; immaturity with mention of other subsidiary condition; and other diseases peculiar to early infancy account for about 60 percent of these deaths.

 Table 17. Estimated average annual number of legitimate live births and percent distribution by race and birth weight, according to education of father: United States, 1964-65

			Education of	father		
Race and birth weight in grams	All levels	8 years or less	9-11 years	12 years	13-15 years	16 years or more
<u>All races</u>		Numbe	r of live births	s in thousands		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •
All birth weights	3,572	588	767	1,282	416	518
2,500 grams or less	281 645 1,397 993 317	58 110 216 143 61	72 157 293 190 55	98 223 518 330 114	26 75 162 114 40	28 79 208 157 47
White						
All birth weights	3,094	448	632	1,141	380	493
2,500 grams or less	217 528 1,205 855 289	40 76 163 121 48	50 120 245 167 50	82 190 455 308 106	21 67 145 107 39	25 74 197 152 46
Black						
All birth weights	421	128	124	124	31	15
2,500 grams or less	59 108 161 69 24	16 33 47 20 12	22 36 41 20 *	15 29 53 20 7	* 7 14 5 *	* 6 * *
All races		Percent	distribution b	y birth weigh	t	,
All birth weights	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
2,500 grams or less	7.9 18.1 39.1 26.1 8.9	9.9 18.8 36.7 24.2 10.4	9.4 20.5 38.2 24.7 7.2	7.6 17.4 40.4 25.8 8.9	6.2 18.1 38.9 27.3 9.5	5.3 15.2 40.2 30.2 9.1
White						
All birth weights	100.0 7.0 17.1 38.9 27.6 9.3	100.0 8.9 17.0 36.4 26.9 10.8	100.0 7.9 19.0 38.8 26.4 7.9	100.0 7.2 16.7 39.8 27.0 9.3	100.0 5.5 17.8 38.3 28.2 10.3	100.0 5.0 15.0 39.9 30.7 9.3
Black						
All birth weights	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
2,500 grams or less	14.0 25.7 38.2 16.4 5.8	12.4 25.9 36.6 15.8 9.3	17.7 29.1 33.2 16.5 *	12.1 23.3 42.6 16.4 5.7	* 22.5 45.6 17.2 *	* 41.0 *

 Table 18. Estimated average annual number of legitimate live births and percent distribution by race and birth weight, according to education of mother: United States, 1964-65

4

.

			Education of	mother		
Race and birth weight in grams	Ali levels	8 years or less	9-11 years	12 years	13-15 years	16 years or more
All races		Numbe	r of live birth	s in thousands	<u> </u>	
All birth weights	3,572	445	888	1,560	429	249
2,500 grams or less	281 645 1,397 933 317	47 87 168 102 41	84 174 344 213 73	110 270 615 432 132	26 77 165 120 41	14 37 105 66 29
White						
All birth weights	3,094	342	724	1,403	393	232
2,500 grams or less	217 528 1,205 855 289	32 58 132 88 32	63 134 278 184 65	88 232 549 408 126	23 70 148 113 39	11 33 99 61 28
Black				-		
All birth weights	421	93	151	138	26	12
2,500 grams or less	59 108 161 69 24	14 27 32 13 7	21 38 59 25 8	20 36 54 22 7	* 5 11 5 *	* * * * *
All races		Percent	distribution t	y birth weight	t	
All birth weights	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
2,500 grams or less	7.9 18.1 39.1 26.1 8.9	10.6 19.4 37.8 23.0 9.2	9.4 19.6 38.7 23.9 8.3	7,1 17.3 39.4 27.7 8.5	6.0 17.9 38.5 28.0 9.6	5.5 14.7 42.0 26.4 11.4
White						
All birth weights	100.0 7.0 17.1 38.9 27.6 9.3	100.0 9.3 17.0 38.5 25.8 9.4	100.0 8.7 18.5 38.4 25.5 9.0	100.0 6.3 16.6 39.1 29.1 9.0	100.0 5.9 17.8 37.7 28.8 9.8	100.0 4.9 14.4 42.5 26.2 12.0
Black						
All birth weights	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
2,500 grams or less	14.0 25.7 38.2 16.4 5.8	14.7 28.8 34.8 14.0 7.7	13.7 25.1 39.2 16.7 5.4	14.2 26.0 39.4 15.7 4.8	* 20.8 41.5 19.9 *	* * * *

 Table 19. Estimated average annual number of legitimate live births and percent distribution by race and birth weight, according to family income: United States, 1964-65

			Family inc	ome		
Race and birth weight in grams	All incomes	Under \$3,000	\$3,000- \$4,999	\$5,000- \$6,999	\$7,000- \$9,999	\$10,000 and over
All races		Numb	er of live birth	s in thousands		
All birth weights	3,572	755	845	914	689	368
2,500 grams or less	281	76	66	76	40	23
2,501-3,000 grams	645	156	161	150	123	55
3,001-3,500 grams	1,397	300	331	355	266	146
3,501-4,000 grams	933	170	214	243	198	108
4,001 grams and over	317	53	73	90	62	38
White						
All birth weights	3,094	509	735	837	659	354
2,500 grams or less	217	43	52	65	35	22
2,501-3,000 grams	528	95	129	133	117	53
3,001-3,500 grams	1,205	200	288	324	256	137
3,501-4,000 grams	855	131	200	228	189	105
4,001 grams and over	289	39	65	88	61	30
Black						
All birth weights	421	229	97	64	22	9
2,500 grams or less	<b>59</b>	30	13	11	*	+
2,501-3,000 grams	108	57	30	16	*	*
3,001-3,500 grams	161	90	36	24	6	]
3,501-4,000 grams	69	38	11 7	12 *	6 *	
4,001 grams and over	24	13				
All races		Percen	t distribution <b>k</b> I	i i		1
All birth weights	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
2,500 grams or less	7.9	10.0	7.8	8.3	5.9	6.2
2,501-3,000 grams	18.1	20.7	19.1	16.4	17.8	14.8
3,001-3,500 grams	39.1	39.7	39.2	38.8	38.6	39.5
3,501-4,000 grams	26.1	22.6 7.1	25.3 8.6	26.6 9.9	28.7 9.0	29.2
4,001 grams and over	8.9	7.1	0.0	5.5	5.0	10.2
<u>White</u>			100.0		400.0	100.0
All birth weights	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
2,500 grams or less	7.0	8.5	7.1	7.7	5.3	6.3
2,501-3,000 grams	17.1	18.7	17.6	15.9	17.8	15.0
3,001-3,500 grams	38.9 27.6	39.3 25.8	39.2 27.3	38.7 27.3	38.9 28.7	29.7
3,501-4,000 grams	9.3	25.8 7.6	8.8	10.5	9.3	10.3
	5.5	7.0		10.5	0.0	
Black						
All birth weights	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
2,500 grams or less	14.0	13.2	13.2	17.1	*	*
2,501-3,000 grams	25.7	24.9	30.5	24.3	*	*
3,001-3,500 grams	38.2	39.4	37.6	38.0	26.0	
3,501-4,000 grams	16.4	16.7 5.7	11.1 7.6	18.0	29.9 *	
4,001 grams and over	5.8	5./	0.1			

# Table 20. Estimated infant deaths per 1,000 legitimate live births, by education of father and race and birth weight of infant: UnitedStates, 1964-65

Race and birth			Education of	father		······
weight in grams	All levels	8 years or less	9-11 years	12 years	13-15 years	16 years or more
All races		. De	aths per 1,000	) live births		
All birth weights	23.5	33.8	29.4	19.1	20.8	16.1
2,500 grams or less	185.5	205.7	197.0	153.6	217.1	196.3
2,501-3,000 grams	19.4	24.4	20.6	17.4	17.1	17.7
3,001-3,500 grams	7.3	13.3	9.6	6.1	4.5	3.5
3,501-4,000 grams	6.6	11.9	8.0	5.4	5.9	3.2
4,001 grams or more	9.2	10.9	14.2	6.9	8.5	7.2
White						
All bìrth weights	21.0	31.1	25.6	17.7	18.7	15.5
2,500 grams or less	184.0	202.2	203.0	150.0	221.4	197.1
2,501-3,000 grams	18.2	25.8	20.0	15.6	14.9	17.3
3,001-3,500 gramš	6.8	13.3	8.0	6.0	4.2	3.4
3,501-4,000 grams	5.9	10.7	6.7	5.1	5.2	3.3
4,001 grams or more	7.7	8.0	11.1	6.3	7.2	7.4
Black						
All birth weights	40.7	42.6	48.1	30.6	42.9	•
2,500 grams or less	188.7	+	+	*	*	*
2,501-3,000 grams	24.6	20.5	23.5	27.4	*	+
3,001-3,500 grams	11.3	12.1	19.2	5.4	*	•
3,501-4,000 grams	14.0	*	*	*	*	+
4,001 grams or more	*	*	*	*	*	+

# Table 21. Estimated infant deaths per 1,000 legitimate live births, by education of mother and race and birth weight of infant: United States, 1964-65

.

			Education of	mother		
Race and birth weight in grams	All levels	8 γears or less	9-11 years	12 years	13-15 years	16 years or more
All races		De	aths per 1,000	live births		
All birth weights	23.5	36.6	30.0	18.6	16.5	19.7
2,500 grams or less	185.5	205.9	197.5	167.5	171.8	*
2,501-3,000 grams	19.4	23.4	24.8	14.1	19.7	22.9
3,001-3,500 grams	7.3	13.7	9.5	5.8	3.0	5.9
3,501-4,000 grams	6.6	15.4	8.4	5.1	3.3	3.4
4,001 grams or more	9.2	16.3	9.1	7.6	5.5	11.8
White						
All birth weights	21.0	32.8	26.0	17.5	15.7	19.0
2,500 grams or less	184.0	198.3	183.7	177.3	*	*
2,501-3,000 grams	18.2	28.9	21.3	13.0	19.1	21.7
3,001-3,500 grams	6.8	11.5	9.1	5.8	3.0	5,1
3,501-4,000 grams	5.9	14.0	7.6	4.5	2.9	3.7
4,001 grams or more	7.7	13.9	7.7	6.2	4.4	12.0
Black						
All birth weights	40.7	48.9	47.7	29.4	32.7	+
2,500 grams or less	188.7	+	*	+	*	+
2,501-3,000 grams	24.6	10.7	35.8	20.3	*	-
3,001-3,500 grams	11.3	20.8	11.7	5.1	• •	-
3,501-4,000 grams	14.0	+	15.7	+	*	-
4,001 grams or more	+	+	+	•	•	•

41

۰ı-

# Table 22. Estimated infant deaths per 1,000 legitimate live births, by family income and race and birth weight of infant: United States, 1964-65

			Family inc	come		
Race and birth weight in grams	All incomes	Under \$3,000	\$3,000- \$4,999	\$5,000- \$6,999	\$7,000- \$9,999	\$10,000 and over
All races		De	eaths per 1,000	) live births		-d
All birth weights	23.5	34.0	25.0	17.6	19.7	20.3
2,500 grams or less	185.5	205.1	205.9	135.1	203.8	*
2,501-3,000 grams	19.4	25.9	17.0	14.1	19.2	22.4
3,001-3,500 grams	7.3	10.5	8.5	5.4	6.1	5.4
3,501-4,000 grams	6.6	12.3	7.1	4.6	4.8	4.7
4,001 grams or more	9.2	16.6	6.9	6.8	7.2	12.0
White						
All birth weights	21.0	30.2	21.2	17.3	19.0	19.9
2,500 grams or less	184.0	205.5	186.6	143.3	221.4	*
2,501-3,000 grams	18.2	27.0	16.0	14.7	16.6	20.0
3,001-3,500 grams	6.8	10.6	7.6	5.2	5.7	5.3
3,501-4,000 grams	5.9	9.8	6.4	4.7	4.7	4.8
4,001 grams or more	7.7	12.9	5.2	6.3	7.4	10.8
Black						
All birth weights	40.7	41.3	53.0	20.4	*	*
2,500 grams or less	188.7	201.8	*	*	*	*
2,501-3,000 grams	24.6	23.8	22.9	*	*	*
3,001-3,500 grams	11.3	10.1	12.4	*	*	*
3,501-4,000 grams	14.0	19.3	*	*	*	*
4,001 grams or more	*	*	*	*	*	. *

i.

# APPENDIX I

# SOURCES AND LIMITATIONS OF DATA

#### **Background of This Report**

This report presents data on infant mortality rates for 1964-66 for legitimate infants. Ordinarily, infant mortality rates based on all births and infant deaths registered in the United States are published in Vital Statistics of the United States.<sup>3</sup> These regularly published statistics are limited to the amount of information recorded and coded on the birth and death certificates. This report presents data on infant deaths classified by family income and completed education of the mother and father-variables not available in the regularly published statistics. The data were collected as part of the 1964-66 National Infant Mortality Survey (NIMS) and the 1964-66 National Natality Survey (NNS). The survey design of the latter precluded obtaining information on illegitimate births.

### Sources of Data

The first sources of data for the survey were the death certificates and the birth certificates of infants. From the death certificate, information such as age of deceased, sex, race, place of death, usual place of residence, and cause of death was obtained. From the birth certificate, information such as sex of child, residence of father and mother, age of father and mother, and race of father and mother was obtained.

The second sources of data were mail questionnaires. For infant deaths, questionnaires were mailed to the persons who provided the funeral director with personal information about the deceased infant for recording on the death certificate. This was usually the mother. For births, the questionnaires were mailed to the mothers.

In the NIMS, for those deaths occurring in 1964 and 1965, a form was also sent to hospitals and institutions in which infants died, to hospitals where infants were born, and to any other hospitals or institutions at which the infants received medical care. If infants died in hospitals or institutions, the name of the hospital or institution in which death occurred was recorded on the death certificate. The name of the hospital where an infant was born and the names of hospitals or institutions where an infant received medical care were derived from responses on the informant questionnaire. Hospitals or institutions to which a form had been sent also provided the names of other hospitals or institutions in which the infant had received medical care in some instances.

### Sample Design

The sampling frame for the 1964-66 NIMS was the Current Mortality Sample (CMS)-a 10-percent systematic sample of death certificates received each month by the National Center for Health Statistics from the 54 registration areas in the United States. The sample for the 1964-66 NIMS was a probability sample of 1 out of every 11 deaths under 1 year of age included in the CMS in 1964, 1965, and 1966. This procedure yielded an overall selection rate of approximately 1 out of every 110 infant deaths registered in the United States. Of a total of 2,490 infant deaths in the 1964-66 NIMS, 2,160 were inferred to be legitimate. In the case of infant deaths, legitimacy status is not recorded on the death certificate; legitimacy status was inferred from information on the death certificate and on the questionnaire. The

method of making such inferences, as it pertains to infant deaths, is further defined and explained in appendix II. Table I shows the number of deaths of all infants and the number of deaths of legitimate infants included in the 1964-66 NIMS.

The sampling frame for the 1964-66 NNS was the file of microfilm birth certificates received each month by the National Center for Health Statistics from the 54 registration areas in the United States. As a general rule, each registration area assigns a number to each certificate prior to or during the filming of the birth record. The certificates are numbered consecutively from the first to the last birth occurring during the year.

The sampling for the survey was based on a probability design which made use of these numbers on the birth records. Each 1,000 records constituted a primary sampling unit. Within each 1,000 records, one record was chosen at random. Thus, a sample of 1 out of every 1,000 births was selected from the records for each registration area.

The national sample included a total of 11,331 births. Of these, 647 were reported as illegitimate in the 36 registration areas which record legitimacy status, and 289 others in the 19 areas which do not record legitimacy status were inferred to be illegitimate. The mothers of these 936 illegitimate births were not sent questionnaires. A total of 10,395 legitimate

Table I. Total number of infant deaths in the United States and the number of infant deaths in the National Infant Mortality Survey, 1964-66

Number of			Year	
infant deaths	Total	1964	1965	1966
Total count of infant deaths in the United States <sup>1</sup>	278,165	99,783	92,866	85,516
Number of infant deaths selected in the sample	2,490	888	830	772
Number of deaths of legitimate infants	2,160	764	733	633

<sup>1</sup>See reference 3,

44

births were therefore included in the survey. Questionnaires were not sent to 70 additional mothers because the birth was registered in the State of New Mexico which did not participate in the survey, to 9 mothers because the birth was registered in the State of California and they were already in the sample of a State survey, and to 10 mothers either because their residence was outside the United States or because no mailing address was obtainable. Thus, a final sample of mothers to whom questionnaires were mailed numbered 10,306.

Table II shows the total number of births registered in the United States and the number in the 1964-66 NNS.

# The Death Certificate, the Birth Certificate, the Questionnaire, and the Hospital Form

As mentioned previously, the death certificate and the birth certificate were the first sources of data for this report. Although not all States use the exact Standard Certificate of Death or the Standard Certificate of Live Birth, both of which are shown in appendix III, all States do include on their certificates items requesting the basic information used in this report. There were no sample cases for which information was missing for the items on the death certificates which were used in this report. In most cases, all items on the birth certificates were answered adequately. There were, however, some birth certificates chosen for the 1964-66 NNS for which information was missing for certain items. Table III shows the number and percentage of birth certificates on which certain items were not answered.

As already noted, in addition to data derived from the death certificates and from the birth certificates, data used in this report were derived from questionnaires sent to persons who provided the funeral director with personal information about the deceased infant (the death certificate informant) and from questionnaires sent to mothers.

The questionnaire sent to the death certificate informant asked for information about the infant who died, information about other children born to the mother, a listing of other members of the household who usually lived with the mother at the time of birth of the deceased infant, employment of mother during

Table II.	Total number of births in the United States and the number of births in the National Natality Survey,							
1964-66								

			Year		
Number of births	Total	1964	1965	1966	
Total count of births in the United States	11,393,000	4,027,000	3,760,000	3,606,000	
Number of births selected in the sample	11,331	4,025	3,702	3,604	
Number of illegitimate births excluded from survey	936	282	345	309	
Number of legitimate births in survey	10,395	3,743	3,357	3,295	
Number of births from New Mexico and California	79	26	22	31	
Other	10		3	7	
Number of births for which question- naire was mailed	10,306	3,717	3,332	3,257	

Table III. Number and percentage of birth certificates on which certain items were not answered in the National Natality Survey, 1964-66

Item	Number	Percentage
Age of mother	3	0,
Race of mother	7	.1
Race of father	49	.5
Race of child	9	.1
Sex of child	1	.0
Number of children born alive:		
Now living	43	.4
Now dead	199	1.9
Previous fetal deaths	310	3.0
Completed weeks of pregnancy	678	6.5
Birth weight	25	.2

NOTE-Base: 10,395 legitimate live births.

pregnancy, family income during the previous calendar year, education of mother and of father, and information on health insurance coverage for maternity care. The questionnaire sent to the mother of a legitimate birth asked questions identical to those on the NIMS, so that comparable data on these items were obtained from both surveys. In addition, information was sought on the mother's expected future fertility.

For the NIMS, the form sent to the hospitals and institutions in 1964 and 1965 asked for information on length of pregnancy and weight of baby at birth, specific details regarding episodes of care provided by that hospital or institution for the infant (such as cause and duration of illness), and for the names and addresses of any other hospitals in which the infant might have been a patient.

## **Collection of Data**

For both the 1964-66 NIMS and the 1964-66 NNS, the principal method of data collection was a mail survey.

For the 1964-66 NIMS, the primary source of information was the person who provided the funeral director with the personal information about the deceased for recording on the death certificate (the death certificate informant). The mailing address of the death record informant is usually reported on the death certificate. For infant deaths, the informant is usually the mother; however, information was accepted from the father, maternal grandmother, and paternal grandmother (in that order) if the mother was not available to complete the questionnaire. For those cases where the name or address of the informant was not available on the death certificate or additional sources of information were required, a letter was sent to the funeral director requesting the address of the informant and/or names and addresses of other relatives of the deceased infant to whom a questionnaire might be sent.

For the 1964-66 NNS, questionnaires were mailed to the mothers of legitimate infants, using the address of the mother recorded as her usual place of residence. Information was accepted from other respondents only if there was no possibility of obtaining it from the mother.

For both surveys, there were followup procedures when there was no response to the original queries. If after 2 to 3 weeks no response was received from a death certificate informant, a funeral director, or a mother of a legitimate live birth, the first followup mailing was sent by certified mail. If no response was received to the first followup mailing within 3 weeks, a second followup occurred by regular mail; however, no second followup mailing was made to funeral directors. If no response was received from the second followup mailing, there was additional provision for collecting information by use of telephone or by personal interview carried out by the U.S. Bureau of the Census if the person resided in one of the primary sampling units designated by the Bureau of the Census.

For the 2,160 legitimate infant deaths in the 1964-66 NIMS, the response rate was 88 percent. For the 10,395 legitimate births in the 1964-66 NNS, the response rate was 89 percent.

Table IV shows the number and percent of respondents to the questionnaires sent to death

certificate informants by selected characteristics of legitimate infants who died in 1964-65. Response rates by characteristics of deceased infants could not be calculated for 1966 because the information was not coded.

Table V shows the number of mothers of legitimate births in the survey and the percent responding to the questionnaire by selected characteristics of the mothers of legitimate births.

# Nonresponse and Imputation for Missing Data

A "nonresponse" represents a major problem in any survey. Nonresponse in the 1964-66 NIMS was defined to include those cases for which an informant was not identified from the death certificate and the funeral director was unable to provide names and addresses of relatives of the deceased infant to whom a questionnaire might be sent, those cases for which questionnaires were returned but were uncodable, those cases for which there was no response at all by mail or by interview, those cases for which the informant was not queried for other reasons, and those cases for which there was a refusal to answer the questionnaire.

Nonresponse in the 1964-66 NNS was defined to include those cases for which no questionnaire was mailed if the birth certificate was filed in New Mexico, those cases for which no questionnaires were mailed because no usable mailing address was obtained, the mothers resided outside the United States or were included in the California survey, those cases for which no questionnaire was returned after all followup procedures had been completed, and those cases for which questionnaires were returned but were not usable.

All of the above cases for which no information from the questionnaires was available or usable are referred to as "unit nonresponses." Imputation was carried out for "unit nonresponses" according to the following specifications.

Data in the 1964-66 NIMS were adjusted for unit nonresponse by imputing for a decedent for whom no questionnaire was returned the data for a decedent for whom a questionnaire was returned. The imputation was carried out in the following manner. Four subgroups were defined:

Characteristics of deceased infants	Total number of legitimate infants, 1964-66	Total number of legitimate infants, 1964-65	Percent of 1964-65 infants on which response was received
Total	2,160	1,497	87.9
Race			
White       Black       Other races	1,707 418 35	1,164 302 31	88.7 86.4 71.0
Region			
Northeast	450 626 749 335	302 439 515 241	90.7 89.5 89.3 78.4
Metropolitan status			
Metropolitan	1,330 830	907 590	88.9 86.4
Cause of death			
Infective and parasitic diseases	15	10	90.0
Influenza and pneumonia, except pneumonia of newborn	230	173	87.3
Other diseases of respiratory system	48	33	97.0
and colitis, except diarrhea of newborn	45	35	80.0
Other diseases of digestive system	20 346 180 358	13 232 135 244	92.3 92.7 92.6 84.8
Hemolytic disease of newborn (erythroblastosis)	35 337	22 231	81.8 89.2
Certain diseases of early infancy	335	224	84.8
Symptoms and ill-defined conditions	53 75 83	36 52 57	83.3 78.8 91.2
Age at death			
Under 1 day	917 525 155 400 163	613 361 105 293 125	88.3 89.5 85.7 87.4 84.8

Table IV. Number and percent responding to informant questionnaire by selected characteristics of deceased legiti-<br/>mate infants in the National Infant Mortality Survey, 1964-66

Table V. Number and percent responding by selected characteristics of mothers in the National Natality Survey, 1964-66

Characteristic of mother	Number in survey	Percent responding
Total	10,395	88.8
Age		
Under 20 years	1,466 3,698 2,617 1,562 1,052	82.5 88.7 90.7 90.7 90.5
Color		
White	9,096 1,299	89.5 84.0
Live-birth order		
First	3,009 2,596 1,852 1,208 1,730	88.7 89.4 89.4 89.1 87.2
Region of residence		
Northeast	2,445 2,968 3,246 1,736	92.8 91.4 87.1 82.0
Metropolitan status		
Inside SMSA	6,682 3,713	90.4 85.9

white males, white females, all other males, and all other females. The data required to assign a case to one of these four groups were complete on all death certificates selected for the 1964-66 NIMS, regardless of whether there was a response to the mail questionnaire. After the close of the survey, the complete file of records of infant deaths was put in random order. This file included those records which were unit responses as well as those records which were unit nonresponses. Imputation was carried out by imputing to a nonresponse record the values found for the last previous record for which there was a response and which fell into the same one of the four imputation groups. For the 1964-66 NNS, imputation of information in instances of unit nonresponse was carried out through a similar procedure, except that (1) only legitimate infants were included, and (2) there were 24 imputation classes based on age of mother, live-birth order, and color of mother. These characteristics are recorded on the birth certificate and were therefore available for all sample cases whether a questionnaire was returned or not. The 24 imputation classes were defined as follows:

Group	Color and age	Live-birth order
	White	
1	Under 20 years Under 20 years	1 2+
3	20-24 years 20-24 years 20-24 years	1 2 3+
6	25-29 years 25-29 years 25-29 years 25-29 years	1 2 3-4 5+
10 11 12	30-34 years 30-34 years 30-34 years	1-2 3-4 5+
13 14	35 years and over 35 years and over	1-4 5+
	All other	
15 16	Under 20 years Under 20 years	1 2+
17 18	20-24 years 20-24 years	1-2 3+
19	25-29 years 25-29 years 25-29 years	1-2 3-4 5+
22	30-34 years 30-34 years	1-4 5+
24	35 years and over	All

Besides those cases referred to as "unit nonresponses," there were cases for which questionnaires were returned but certain information was missing. The missing information is referred to as "item nonresponse."

For the 1964-66 NIMS, there were several possible actions when item nonresponse occurred. These included editing-in the information on the missing items if it could be obtained from another part of the questionnaire, other forms, letters accompanying forms, or the death certificate; sending a special letter to the person who answered the questionnaire asking for the missing information; or referring the case to the study director for review, after which either a special letter was sent asking for the missing information, a phone call or personal interview was carried out by the Bureau of the Census, a form was sent to the funeral director asking for the names and addresses of relatives of the deceased infant to whom informant questionnaires might be sent, or the case was closed.

If a special letter was sent asking for the missing information and it was not returned or was returned but the information asked for was not provided, the case was also referred to the study director for review, whereupon either a phone call or personal interview was carried out by the Bureau of the Census, a form was sent to the funeral director asking for the names and addresses of relatives of the deceased so new informants could be queried, or the case was closed.

For the 1964-66 NNS, actions taken when item nonresponse occurred included editing-in the missing information if it could be supplied from another part of the questionnaire or the birth certificate; sending a special letter to the person who answered the questionnaire asking for the missing information; or referring the case to the study director for review after which either a special letter was sent asking for the missing information, a phone call or personal interview was carried out by the Bureau of the Census, or the case was closed.

Data in the 1964-66 NIMS were adjusted for item nonresponse in a manner different from that applied to unit nonresponse. Imputation for item nonresponse was carried out by taking into consideration the information provided for other items on the questionnaire which was pertinent to the missing information. For example, if there was missing information for the question on family income in the last calendar year previous to the year of death, information given by the informant on the household listing and information provided on education of the father would be considered if it were available. In such a case, the last previous questionnaire for which the responses for household listings and for education of father were coded in the same categories as those on the questionnaire with the missing information was chosen. The value for the item on which there was missing information was then taken from this last previous record and imputed to the item where there was missing information. This method of imputation was carried out for each case of item nonresponse. It should be emphasized that household listing and education of father were not the only items considered when imputation was carried out for a missing item nor were they the only items used to impute family income for all cases for which information was missing on family income. Rather, for item nonresponse each item of each case for which there was missing information was considered individually. Possible bias in selecting the last previous record was avoided by the random ordering of the records which was done between each step of the imputation procedure.

Table VI shows the nonresponse rates for some items from the 1964-65 NIMS questionnaire. Nonresponse rates are for 1964-65 only because, as mentioned previously, in 1966 whether there was or was not a response to the questionnaire for each individual case was not coded. As can be seen in table VI, the item for which nonresponse rates were highest was family income (information not obtained for 7.3 percent of the respondents to the questionnaire).

Table VI. Item nonresponse rates for selected items on the National Infant Mortality Survey, 1964-65

Item	Number	Percent
Family income	96	7.3
Year of birth of mother	45	3.4
Educational attainment of father	29	2.2
Year of first marriage	26	2.0
Educational attainment of mother	9	0.7
Previous fetal deaths	9	0.7
Employment during pregnancy	5	0.4
Total children ever born alive	1	0.1
Total children not now alive	2	0.2

NOTE.-Base: 1,316 unit responses, legitimate births only.

For the 1964-66 NNS, item nonresponse rates were generally low-usually less than 1 percent. Most of the item nonresponses were imputed on the basis of information available elsewhere on the birth certificate or questionnaire. For example, mother's age as recorded on the birth certificate was used to impute her year of birth when she had not completed that questionnaire item. Other items with very low nonresponse rates (less than 0.5 percent) were imputed arbitrarily. Five items with fairly high nonresponse rates were imputed in the computer by procedures similar to those used for unit imputation on the basis of matrices designed specifically for each item. For example, education of father was imputed by using age of father and education of mother; family income was imputed by using age of father and education of father.

Table VII shows the nonresponse rates for some items from the 1964-66 NNS questionnaire.

## Weighting Procedures for National Estimates

Statistics on infant deaths and births in this report are national estimates prepared by use of a postsurvey, stratified ratio estimation procedure. This estimation procedure, which takes into account the total number of registered infant deaths for the 1964-66 NIMS and the total number of registered births estimated from a 50-percent sample for the 1964-66 NNS, reduces the sampling error by making the sample more representative of the population of all infant deaths or of all births than would be expected to occur by random sampling alone.

Table VII. Item nonresponse rates for selected items on the National Natality Survey, 1964-66

Item	Number	Percent
Age of father	61	.7
Educational attainment of father	78	.8
Educational attainment of mother	15	.2
Year of first marriage	35	.4
Employment during pregnancy	13	.1
Family income	231	2.5

NOTE.-Base: 9,232 unit responses, legitimate births only.

For the 1964-66 NIMS, for each of the four groups that were used for imputation, the national count of all registered infant deaths for the appropriate year was obtained from Vital Statistics of the United States.<sup>3</sup> A weight for each group was then calculated by dividing the number of sample deaths in each group into the number of registered deaths in each group for each year of the survey. The product of the weight and the sample count equals the national total of infant deaths for that group.

For the 1964-66 NNS, for each of the 24 groups that were used for imputation, the national count of registered births estimated from a 50-percent sample was obtained from *Vital Statistics of the United States*.<sup>4</sup> A weight for each group was then calculated by dividing the number of sample births in each group into the number of registered births in each group for each year of the survey. The product of the weight and the sample count equals the national total of births for that group.

The effect of these weighting procedures is to make the estimates from the 1964-66 NIMS sample more consistent with the estimates of the total number of registered infant deaths and to make the estimates from the 1964-66 NNS more consistent with the estimates of births based on the 50-percent sample, for each of the groups used in the estimation procedure. However, since data in this report refer only to deaths and births of legitimate infants, the estimates in this report are not comparable to the total numbers of births and infant deaths reported in Vital Statistics of the United States, since the latter include all deaths and births, legitimate and illegitimate.

Estimates of characteristics are produced from a sample using the following formulas:

1964-66 National Infant Mortality Survey

$$X_i' = \sum_{i=1}^{4} \frac{x_i}{y_i} Y_i$$

1964-66 National Natality Survey

$$X_i' = \sum_{i=1}^{24} \frac{x_i}{y_i} Y_i$$

where

- $X'_i$  is the estimate of the number of deaths or births with a particular characteristic in group i,
- $x_i$  is the count of sample deaths or births with the characteristic in group i,
- $y_i$  is the count of all sample deaths or births in group *i*, and
- $Y_i$  is the total number of registered deaths in group *i*, or the total number of registered births in group *i* based on the 50-percent sample.

### **Reliability of Estimates**

Since the statistics derived from a survey are estimates based on a sample, they may differ from the figures that would have been obtained had a total count been made using the same questionnaire and procedures.

The probability design of the sample for these surveys makes possible the calculation of sampling errors. The standard error is a measure of the sampling variation that occurs by chance because only a sample rather than entire population is surveyed. The chances are about 68 out of 100 that an estimate from the sample differs from the value for the entire population by less than the standard error. The chances are about 95 out of 100 that the difference is less than twice the standard error and about 99 out of 100 that the difference is less than three times the standard error.

Estimates of sampling variability for the statistics derived from each survey were based on 20 random half-sample replications. This technique yields overall variability through observation of variability among random subsamples of the total sample. It reflects both the error that arises from sampling and a part of the measurement error, but it does not measure any systematic biases in the data. A general discussion of the development and evaluation of a replication technique for estimating variance has been published elsewhere.<sup>5</sup> However, the procedures and computations required to estimate

variances by this method are briefly described below.

For both surveys, each record from the entire file of records in the survey was assigned systematically to a random group between 1 and 40. Twenty pairs of random groups were created from these groups. A half sample was formed by randomly selecting one group from each of the 20 pairs. This process was repeated until 20 "replicate half samples" were formed from which variance estimates were derived. The composition of the 20 half samples was determined by an orthogonal plan.

After the composition of each of the half samples was determined, all the estimation procedures used to produce the final estimates for the entire sample were applied separately to each of the resulting half samples.

An estimated variance  $S_{x'}^2$  of an estimated statistic x' of the parameter X is obtained by applying the following formula:

$$S_{x'}^2 = \frac{1}{20} \sum_{i=1}^{20} (x_i'' - x')^2$$

where

- x' is the estimate of X based on the entire sample, and
- x'' is the estimate of X based on half sample i.

Rules to determine the approximate standard errors for aggregates and for rates presented in this report are as follows:

1. Estimates of aggregates: Approximate standard errors for estimates of aggregates which are not derived from the groups used in ratio estimation, such as the number of infant deaths or births to families where the father was a high school graduate, are given in table VIII if the estimate refers to deaths and in table IX if the estimate refers to births. There are no standard errors for estimates of aggregates if the estimates are derived from the groups used in ratio estimation.

	1964	1-65	1964-66	
Size of estimate	Standard error	Relative standard error	Standard error	Relative standard error
250	110	44.0	98	39.2
500	165	33.0	142	28.4
1,000	230	23.0	181	18.1
1,500	270	18.0	233	15.5
2,000	310	15.5	260	13.0
3,000	385	12.8	320	10.7
4,000	455	11.4	380	9.5
5,000	485	9.7	405	8.1
10,000	630	6.3	466	4.7
15,000	700	4.7	533	3.6
20,000	800	4.0	600	3.0
30,000	960	3.2	767	2.6

Table VIII. Approximate standard errors for estimated numbers shown in this report, 1964-66 National Infant Mortality Survey

# Table IX. Approximate standard errors for estimated numbers shown in this report, 1964-66 National Natality Survey

	1964	-65	1964-66		
Size of estimate	Standard error	Relative standard error	Standard error	Relative standard error	
5,000	1,800	36.0	1,490	<sup>1</sup> 29.8	
10,000	2,368	23.7	1,960	19.6	
15,000	2,682	17.9	2,220	14.8	
20,000	2,948	14.7	2,440	12.2	
25,000	3,293	13.2	2,725	10.9	
50,000	4,531	9.1	3,750	7.5	
75,000	5,437	7.2	4,500	6.0	
100,000	5,933	5.9	4,910	4.9	
150,000	7,069	4.7	5,850	3.9	
200,000	7,975	4.0	6,600	3.3	
250,000	8,670	3.5	7,175	2.9	
300,000	9,171	3.1	7,590	2.5	
500,000	12,204	2 <i>.</i> 4	10,100 <sup>.</sup>	2.0	
700,000	15,309	2.2	12,670	1.8	
1,000,000	15,950	1.6	13,200	1.3	

- 2. Estimates of rates: Approximate standard errors for estimated rates, such as the number of infant deaths to the number of births, are determined in the following way. When the rate is an estimate which was not derived from the classes used in ratio estimation, such as the infant mortality rate for families with incomes of under \$3,000, the approximate standard errors are given in table X when the rate was based on 2 years of data, and in table XI when the rate was based on 3 years of data. When the rate is an estimate which was derived from the classes used in ratio estimation, such as the infant mortality rate for white infants, there are no standard errors.
- 3. Difference between two sample estimates: The standard error of a difference between two sample estimates is approximately the square root of the sum of the squares of the standard error of the two estimates. This formula will represent the actual standard error quite accurately for the difference between separate and uncorrelated characteristics, although it is only a

rough approximation in cases where the characteristics are correlated.

In addition to sampling errors, survey results are subject to errors in conceptual formulation, ambiguities and misinterpretations arising from the wording of the questions, biases due to nonresponse or incomplete response, and errors in coding, editing, and tabulation. There is no way of computing the magnitude of these errors.

Errors in conceptual formulation and ambiguities of the 1964-66 NNS were reduced by pretesting the questionnaire before initiating the survey. The steps taken to reduce biases due to nonresponse in each survey were discussed in the section of this appendix, "Nonresponse and Imputation for Missing Data." Errors in tabulation were reduced, if not eliminated, by crosschecking the tabulations and by comparing data from each survey with data from other sources when available.

## **Rounding of Numbers**

In this report, estimates of aggregates are rounded to the nearest thousand. The original

Average annual number of live births		Infant mortality rate per 1,000 live births									
		10	20	30	40	50	60	70	80	90	100
				Sta	ndard er	ror expr	ressed as	rate		<u> </u>	<u> </u>
10,000							1		27.3	29.6	32.5
15,000				11.6	14.8	15.6	18.1	20.3	21.8	24.0	25.8
25,000		4.9	7.3	8.6	10.5	12.0	13.6	15.3	16.7	18.1	19.6
50,000	2.3	3.6	4.9	6.1	7.3	8.5	9.6	10.7	11.9	12.2	13.6
100,000	1.7	2.3	3.4	4.3	5.3	5.8	6.2	6.7	7.2	7.7	8.6
150,000	1.2	1.8	2.8	3.4	3.9	4.3	4.7	5.0	5.5	5.9	6.9
250,000	1.0	1.5	2.1	2.4	2.7	2.9	3.3	3.7	4.0	4.5	5.0
500,000	.9	1.0	1.2	1.5	2.2	2.4	2.4	2.7	3.1		
1,000,000	.5	.6	.9	1.1	1.5						

Table X. Approximate standard errors of infant mortality rates based on 2 years of data

NOTE.-Numerator: 1964-65 National Infant Mortality Survey; Denominator: 1964-65 National Natality Survey.

Average annual	Infant mortality rate per 1,000 live births										
number of live births	5	10	20	30	40	50	60	70	80	90	100
	Standard error expressed as rate										
10,000									22.3	24.2	26.5
15,000				9.5	12.1	12.7	14.8	16.6	17.8	19.6	21.1
25,000		4.0	6.0	7.0	8.6	9.8	11.1	12.5	13.6	14.8	16.0
50,000	1.9	2.9	4.0	5.0	6.0	6.9	7.8	8.7	9.7	10.0	11.1
100,000	1.4	1.9	2.8	3.5	4.3	4.7	5.1	5.5	5.9	6.3	7.0
150,000	1.0	1.5	2.3	2.8	3.2	3.5	3.8	4.1	4.5	4.8	5.6
250,000	0.8	1.2	1.7	2.0	2.2	2.4	2.7	3.0	3.3	3.7	4.1
500,000	0.7	0.8	1.0	1.2	1.8	2.0	2.0	2.2	2.5		
1,000,000	0.4	0.5	0.7	0.9	1.2						

## Table XI. Approximate standard errors of infant mortality rates based on 3 years of data

NOTE.--Numerator: 1964-66 National Infant Mortality Survey; Denominator: 1964-66 National Natality Survey.

tabulations on which this report is based, however, show figures to the nearest whole unit and all totals, percentages, ratios, and averages in this report were computed using these unrounded figures. The reader should be cautioned that in recomputing these totals, percentages, ratios, and averages by use of the rounded figures, exactly the same result may not occur.

# APPENDIX II

# DEFINITIONS OF TERMS USED IN THIS REPORT

Education of father.-Education of father refers to the highest grade of regular school completed. Regular school consists of elementary, high school, and college or university, but does not include trade or business schools. In both surveys, data on education of father were derived from responses to the questionnaire which asked for the highest grade of school attended and whether or not that grade was completed.

Education of mother.—Education of mother refers to the highest grade of regular school completed. Data on education of mother were derived in the same manner as were data on education of father.

Family income.—In both surveys, family income refers to the total of all income received during the calendar year prior to the year during which the birth or the infant death occurred. Family income was defined to include all income received by the mother and by all persons related to the mother by blood, marriage, or adoption, and living in the same household at the time of birth. Income from all sources such as wages, salaries, unemployment compensation, rent, interest, dividends, help from relatives, profits and fees from own business or farm, welfare payments, social security payments, and insurance proceeds was asked for.

Geographic region.—In both surveys State of residence, as reported on the certificates, was classified according to four regions which correspond to the regions used by the U.S. Bureau of the Census. These regions and the States which are included in each region are as follows:

#### Region

#### States Included

Northeast ..... Connecticut, Maine, Massachusetts, New Hampshire,

New Jersey,	New	York,
Pennsylvan		
Island, Vermo	nt	

- North Central.... Illinois, Indiana, Iowa, Kansas, Michigan, Minnesota, Missouri, Nebraska, North Dakota, Ohio, South Dakota, Wisconsin
- South ...... Alabama, Arkansas, Delaware, District of Columbia, Florida, Georgia, Kentucky, Louisiana, Maryland, Mississippi, North Carolina, Oklahoma, South Carolina, Tennessee, Texas, Virginia, West Virginia
   West ..... Alaska, Arizona, California, Colorado, Hawaii, Idaho, Montana, Nevada, New Mexico, Oregon, Utah, Washington, Wyo-

ming

Metropolitan or nonmetropolitan county.-In both surveys usual residence, as reported on the certificates, was classified by location inside or outside counties or cities falling in standard metropolitan statistical areas (SMSA's) as defined by the Office of Management and Budget and used by the Bureau of the Census. In New England, metropolitan State economic areas (MSEA's) are used in place of SMSA's. Residence inside counties or cities falling in either SMSA's or MSEA's was termed metropolitan county of residence. If residence was not in a metropolitan county, it was in a nonmetropolitan county. Age of mother.—In the National Natality Survey, age of mother was recorded or derived from entries on the birth certificate. In the National Infant Mortality Survey, age of mother was derived from responses to the infant mortality survey questionnaire which asked for the date of birth of the mother. Age in this report refers to age at last birthday.

Birth weight.—In the National Natality Survey, birth weight was recorded or derived from the birth certificate. In almost all cases, birth weight was recorded in pounds and ounces. It was converted into grams by taking 1 pound equal to 454 grams. In the National Infant Mortality Survey, birth weight was derived from forms sent to hospitals which had provided care to the deceased infant. This included the hospital at which birth occurred, other hospitals at which the infant had a period of care, and the hospital at which death occurred, if the death occurred in a hospital. Data on birth weight of deceased infants was available only for infants who died during 1964 and 1965.

Legitimacy status (National Infant Mortality Survey).-In the National Infant Mortality Survey, legitimacy of the infant was inferred by using information on the death certificate and on the questionnaire. If mother, father, and child all had the same last name, and if mother's maiden name was different from the child's on the death certificate, the child was inferred to be legitimate. Legitimacy was also inferred if on a returned questionnaire the mother was listed as being married, the date of marriage was before or equal to the date of birth of the child, and the father was accounted for in the questionnaire on household listing. On the other hand, if the child had the same last name as the mother and if the father's name was different or not given on the death certificate, illegitimacy was inferred. The child was also inferred as being illegitimate if the mother reported her marital status as single and if no date of marriage was given on the returned questionnaire.

Legitimacy status (National Natality Survey).—In the National Natality Survey, for the 36 areas reporting legitimacy on the birth record, legitimacy of the infant was recorded or derived from the entry on the birth certificate.

For areas not reporting legitimacy on the birth record, it was inferred by using the same rules as were used for the National Infant Mortality Survey. In a few cases, a recorded legitimate birth was changed to an inferred illegitimate birth when the mother stated on a questionnaire that she had never been married.

Live birth.—A live birth, according to the World Health Organization, is the complete expulsion or extraction from its mother of a product of conception, irrespective of the duration of pregnancy, which after such separation, breathes or shows any other evidence of life such as beating of heart, pulsation of the umbilical cord, or definite movement of voluntary muscles, whether or not the umbilical cord has been cut or the placenta is attached; each product of such a birth is considered liveborn and a certificate of live birth should be filed.

Infant death.—An infant death is the death of an infant under 1 year of age.

Age at death.—The age of the infant at the time of death was recorded or derived from the death certificate.

Cause of death.—Cause of death was recorded or derived from entries on the death certificate. The coding of cause of death from the entry on the death certificate was in accordance with the specifications of the Manual of the International Statistical Classification of Diseases, Injuries, and Causes of Death, World Health Organization, Vol. I, 1957 (Seventh Revision).

Sex.—Sex of infant was recorded or derived from entries on the birth certificate or death certificate.

Race and color.—In the National Infant Mortality Survey, race was recorded or derived from entries on the death certificate. The category "white" includes those decedents reported as white, Mexican, or Puerto Rican. The category "black" includes only those decedents reported as Negro. The category "other races" includes decedents reported as Japanese, Aleut, Eskimo, Hawaiian, or Part-Hawaiian. In the National Natality Survey, race was recorded or derived from entries of the race of the parents on the birth certificate and then classified into the same categories as in the National Infant Mortality Survey.

# APPENDIX III

# SOURCE FORMS

# Standard Certificate of Live Birth

Form approved. Budget Bureau No. 58-R374.2.

.

ST	ATE OF			CER	TIFICATE O	s. ru	/E	BIRTH	BIRT	h No.				
	PLACE OF BIRT	H				.2. USU a. ST	AL	RESIDENCE	OF MO	THER (1	Where does COUNTY	mothe	er live?)	
b. CITY, TOWN, OR LOCATION						c. Ci	TY.	TOWN, OR LOC	ATION					
	C. NAME OF HOSPITAL OR INSTITUTION	If not in höspital	, give street address)			d. 5°	RE	T ADDRESS						
F	d, IS PLACE OF BI	TH INSIDE CITY L	IMITS?			e, 15	RES	IDENCE INSIDE		IMITS?		1. IS	RESIDENCE O	N A FARM?
	YES							S NO	_				YES	NO 🗌
P	3. NAME (Type of print)	First	<u></u>	Л	liddle			Last	<del></del>	********	<u></u>	1		
CHILD	4. SEX 5a.	THIS BIRTH		56	. IF TWIN OR TRIP	LET. WA	S CH	ILD BORN		6. DAT	E M	onth	Day	Year
	SI			. 1	ist 🗌	2D [				OF BIRTI	4			
	7. NAME	First		N	liddle		_	Last		<u>.</u>	8. COLOF		ACE.	<u> </u>
ER														
Bit Name       First       Lasr       8. COLOR OR RACE         9. AGE (At fime of this birth)       10. BIRTHPLACE (State or foreign country)       11a. USUAL OCCUPATION       11b. KIND OF BUSINESS         9. AGE (At fime of this birth)       10. BIRTHPLACE (State or foreign country)       11a. USUAL OCCUPATION       11b. KIND OF BUSINESS         110       12. MAIDEN NAME       First       Middle       Last       13. COLOR OR RACE         114       AGE (At time of this birth)       15. BIRTHPLACE (State or foreign country)       16. PREVIOUS DELIVERIES TO MOTHER (Do NOT include         114       AGE (At time of this birth)       15. BIRTHPLACE (State or foreign country)       16. PREVIOUS DELIVERIES TO MOTHER (Do NOT include         114       AGE (At time of this birth)       15. BIRTHPLACE (State or foreign country)       16. PREVIOUS DELIVERIES TO MOTHER (Do NOT include         115       YEARS       Information of this birth)       15. BIRTHPLACE (State or foreign country)       16. PREVIOUS DELIVERIES TO MOTHER (DO NOT include					JSINESS OR IA	IDUSTRY								
HER	12. MAIDEN NAMI	First		Л	Liddle			Last			13. COLO	RORR	ACE	
Image: Second			or foreign country	1	16. PREVIOUS DELIVERIES TO MOTHER (Do NOT include this birth) a. How many b. How many OTHER chil- c. How many fetal de			fetal deaths						
17.	INFORMANT			<u> </u>			a. How many b. How many OTHER chile c. How many feta OTHER children are now livingt now dead			ead at ANY				
18,	MOTHER'S MAILI	IG ADDRESS	<u> </u>	· .			ł		L					
		18a. SIGNAT	URE					186. ATTENDA	ANT AT E	IRTH				
	I hereby certify that this child													
	was born alise on the date stated above.	18c. ADDRESS					4			ATE SIGN			2.1 (0)10()	
19.	DATE RECD. BY L	CAL REG.	20. REGISTRAR'S SIGN	ATURE					21. DA	TE ON WI	ICH GIVE		ADDED	
											BY		(	Registrar)
				FOR	MEDICAL AND I									
220	LENGTH OF PREC		226. WEIGHT AT BIRT	'n	23. LEGITIMATE		Τ							
		COMPLETED WEEKS	L8.	oz.	YES 🗌									
			(SPACE FOR AD	DITION	OF MEDICAL AND	HEALTH	ITE	MS BY INDIVID	UAL STA	TES)				
										<del></del>				

.

L

# Standard Certificate of Death

.

.

		CERTIF	'ICATE	OF DEATH		Forn	approved	No. 68-R375.2.
BIRTH NO.	STATE OF			STATE	FILE NO.	Budi	tet Bureau	No. 08-R3/3.2.
1. PLACE OF DEATH a. COUNTY				2. USUAL RESIDENC a. STATE	E (Where deceased lived. 0	If institution: R. COUNTY	esidence bej	(ore admission)
b. CITY. TOWN, OR LO	CATION	C. LENGTH OF STA	AY IN 15	c. CITY, TOWN, OR	LOCATION	<u> </u>		
d. NAME OF (If HOSPITAL OR INSTITUTION	not in hospital, give str	eet address)		d. STREET ADDRES	s			
e. IS PLACE OF DEATH	INSIDE CITY LIMITS?			e. IS RESIDENCE IN	SIDE CITY LIMITS?	<i>f.</i> IS	RESIDENC	E ON A FARM?
	]						YES	
3. NAME OF DECEASED (Type or print)	First	Middle		Last	4. DATE OF DEAT		Day	Year
5. SEX 6	. COLOR OR RACE	7 MARRIED NEVER MAR		8. DATE OF BIRTH	9. AGE ( last b	In years IF UNI irthday) Month		IF UNDER 24 HRS. Hours Min.
10a. USUAL OCCUPATION ( during most of worki	Give kind of work done ng life, even if retired)	106. KIND OF BUSINESS OR IN		1. BIRTHPLACE (State	or foreign country)	12. cr	FIZEN OF WI	HAT COUNTRY?
13. FATHER'S NAME			1	4. MOTHER'S MAIDEN	NAME	l		
15. WAS DECEASED EVER (Yes, no. or unknown) (11			RITY NO. 1	7. INFORMANT		Address		
Conditions, if a which gave ris above cause stating the un	e to (a), der-	•·····						
PART II. OTHER	use. )	CONTRIBUTING TO DEATH BUT NO	OT RELATED	TO THE TERMINAL DISEAS	e condition given in P	ART I(a)	PE	AS AUTOPSY RFORMED?
PART II. OTHER		205. DESCRIBE HOW INJURY	OCCURRE	D. (Enter nature of i	njury in Part I or Pa	rt 11 of item 18.		
20c. TIME OF Hour INJURY a. m. p. m. 20d INJURY OCCURRENT								
WHILE AT NOT		E OF INJURY (e. g., in or abo , factory, street, office bldg., e		20/. CITY, TOWN, OF		COUNTY		STATE
1					and last saw			
Death occurre 22a. SIGNATURE	dat	(Degree or title)	rne date	stated above; and 22b. ADDRESS	to the dest of my	knowieage, fi		CAUSOS STATO
23a. BURIAL, CREMATION, REMOVAL (Specify)	236 DATE	23c. NAME OF CEMET	ERY OR CR	EMATORY	23d. LOCATION (City	, town. or count	<u> </u>	(State)
24. FUNERAL DIRECTOR	AD	DRESS	25. DA	TE RECD. BY LOCAL RE	G. 26. REGISTRAF	'S SIGNATURE		

## 1964-1966 National Natality Survey Questionnaire



DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH, EDUCATION, AND WELFARE PUBLIC HEALTH SERVICE WASHINGTON, D.C. 20201

NATIONAL CENTER FOR HEALTH STATISTICS

F

L

The U. S. Public Health Service is conducting a national study of families having babies during 1966. In this study, we are particularly interested in learning about the size and types of these families, as well as about other family characteristics. This information is needed in order to better understand the growth and changes taking place in our population. Detailed and accurate information of this type is essential for intelligent planning of programs to improve the Nation's health and welfare.

This national study will be based on information obtained from families which were selected as a sample from among the 4 million families having a baby during 1966. Your family was one of those selected. Please answer the questions on the following pages and return this form in the enclosed postage\_free envelope.

As you might expect, statistical accuracy requires that we receive your reply and those of all of the other families in the study. You may be assured that all information which you report about yourself and your family will be kept completely confidential, in accordance with regulations of the U. S. Public Health Service. Your cooperation in this study, which seeks information of importance for the general welfare, is appreciated.

Sincerely yours 11 mine J.

Monroe G. Sirken, Ph. D. Chief, Divition of Health Records Statistics

Name of Child	
Date of Birth	File Number

66M

CONFIDENTIALITY has been assured the individual as published in the Federal Register May 20, 1959

Form Approved Budget Bureau No.68-R823

.

# NATIONAL BIRTH SURVEY

	PART I.	INFO	RMATION	ABOUT	YOUR	CHILDREN	
In this part, we are interested in knowing about all of the children which have ever been born to you, even if they were by a previous marriage.							
-	. How many babies have you ever had? (Count all those that were born alive to you at any time.)			4. Have you ever had any babies that were born dead?			at were born
	<b>7</b>	🗌 10 or	more		NO		
2 5	8	Nur	t nber		YES <b>→</b> Ho	w many have you	ever had?
3 6	<b>9</b>						Number
						· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
2. Have you ever had an (Do not count miscari	-			5. Have	you ever l	had a miscarriag	e?
born dead.)					NO		
					YES <b>→</b> Ho	w many have you	ever had?
$\Box YES \rightarrow Please list of birth, a$	st below th and date of						Number
such child		actual of	- Cuch				
Name of child	Sex	Date of Birth	Date of Death	6. After each birth, some couples feel that their families are completed, while others expect children. In your case, do you expect to have more children?			hers expect more
					Definitely		w many <u>more</u>
3. Were any of your ch						ldren do you nk you will	
when your last baby in the Armed Force					bably have?		
П NO					Definitely	no	Number
1	☐ YES→Please list below the name, sex, and date of birth of each such child.					Ĺ	
Name of chi	ild	Sex	Date of Birth				
PHS-4425-19 (Page 2)						(00.0)	

REV. 3/66

(GO ON TO PART II)

# PART II. INFORMATION ABOUT YOUR FAMILY

In this part, information is asked about the members of the family who lived with you when your last baby was born.

1. List below everyone who <u>usually lived</u> in your household at the time your last baby was born. Be sure to list yourself, your husband (if he lived at home) and your newborn baby, as well as other children and relatives living with you. Unrelated persons who lived with you, and children who were away at school or college, should be listed. <u>Do not</u> include persons who lived away. (For example, persons in the Armed Forces). Also, <u>do not</u> include persons who were only visiting temporarily at the time your baby was born.

Γ	Enter your name on the first line;		For each person, provide the information requested below.					
	enter the names of every other		Relationship to you		Marital Status (for			
	person who lived with you, includi		(husband, daughter,	Date of birth	persons 14 years			
	your newborn baby, on the following	ng	son, father-in-law,		and older).			
	lines.		nephew, stepson,	Month-Day-Year	Married Divorced Widowed Separated			
	First name) (Last name)		adopted daughter, etc.)	Monui-Day-1cai	Single (never married)			
4	First name) (Last name)				· · · ·			
			Yourself					
ſ								
ſ								
-								
ŀ			······································					
-								
F								
1-	(If more spac	e is ne	eded, please continue	on separate sheet)	• · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
2.	Who was the head of your household?	(ľ if	they were not living t	ll members of the fa ogether during 1965	uring 1965? amily listed above even 5. Include income from ployment compensation.			
	Your husband	he		ofits and fees from	own business or farm,			
	Another person	- - 	None None	<b>\$4000</b> -	\$4999			
	•		Under \$1000	<b>[]</b> \$5000 -	\$6999			
	Name of head		<b>\$1000 - \$1999</b>	<b>[]</b> \$7000 -	\$9999			
	L		<b>\$2000 - \$2999</b>	[] \$10,000	- \$14,999			
			<b>\$3000 - \$3999</b>		or over.			
HS-4 EV.	425-19 (Page 3) 5/66			(GC	) ON TO PART III) 🛶			

	AATION ABOUT YOURSELF	F PART III. Con.		
you an	rmation is requested about d your husband.	4. What was the highest grade (or year) of regular school that your husband ever attended?		
1. Is this your <u>first</u>	marriage?	(Circle highest grade attended)		
U YES	Please give the date of your marriage.	None0 Elementary0 High School1234 College123456+		
	Month Day Year	4a. Did he <u>finish</u> this grade?		
<u>סא [</u>	Please give the date of	PART IV. INFORMATION ON HEALTH INSURANCE		
	your <u>first</u> marriage. Month Day Year	In this part, we are interested in finding out whether you were covered by health insurance at any time dur- ing your recent pregnancy. Please report on each kind of health insurance protection which you had, whether or not the insurance was used.		
	Please give the date of present marriage.	1. During your recent pregnancy, did you have health insurance to pay for doctor's bills for office visits or home calls?		
	Month Day Year	🗌 yes 🗌 no		
, 		2. Did you have health insurance to pay for hospital care at the time of delivery?		
	ed outside your home at any recent pregnancy?	🗌 yes 🔲 no		
	en did you stop working before ir baby was born?	3. Did you have health insurance to pay for the doctor's bill for delivery of your baby?		
🗌 NO	Month Day Year			
3. What was the high school that you e	nest grade (or year) of regular	PART V. PERSON COMPLETING THIS FORM		
	est grade attended)	Name of person completing this form		
None0 Elementary0 High School1234 College123456+		Address		
3a. Did you <u>finish</u> th COMMENTS:	nis grade? 🗌 YES 🗌 NO	Telephone Number		
COMMENTS:				
PHS-4425-19 (Page 4) REV. 3/66		GP3 905-486		

## 1964-1966 National Infant Mortality Survey Questionnaire



DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH, EDUCATION, AND WELFARE PUBLIC HEALTH SERVICE WASHINGTON. D.C. 20201

NATIONAL CENTER FOR HEALTH STATISTICS

L

The U. S. Public Health Service is conducting a survey to obtain information about infants who died during 1965. We realize that this is a difficult time; however, your help is needed in dealing with an important problem.

Loss of life among infants, especially in the first few hours or days of life has become a matter of increasing concern among public health workers in the United States. The purpose of this survey is to collect information about the childbearing experiences of mothers who have lost their babies, about the medical facts related to these deaths, and about the personal circumstances of the parents of these infants. This information is being obtained for one out of every 110 infant deaths occurring throughout the country.

This survey is designed to provide facts urgently needed in medical and public health research, the results of which may contribute to saving the lives of babies being born in your own community.

Please complete this form and return it within the next five days. A selfaddressed envelope which requires no postage has been provided for your convenience. If you do not have the exact answer to a question, please give your best estimate.

The information you provide will be given confidential treatment and will be used for statistical purposes only. Any published summary will be presented in such a manner that no individual person or family can be identified.

Thank you for your cooperation.

Sincerely yours 11 ANC arce G./Sirken, Ph. D. Chief, Division of Health Records Statistics

File Number Name of Deceased Infant \_\_\_\_

13\_1\_5

63

Confidentiality has been assured the individual as published in the Federal Register May 20, 1959

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH, EDUCATION, AND WELFARE Public Health Service - NCHS Washington, D.C. 20201

Form Approved B.B. No. 68-R783

### 1965 INFANT MORTALITY SAMPLE SURVEY

PART I. INFORMATION A	PART I. INFORMATION ABOUT THE INFANT WHO DIED						
1. Was the baby in a hospital at the time of death?	3. Please list each hospital in which the baby received care, even if only for a brief period. (Write in name and						
Yes No	location of each place; include hospitals in which birth and death took place.)						
2. Was the baby born in a hospital?							
Tes No	Name of Hospital City and State						
PART II. INFORMATION ABOUT OTHER CHILDREN							
In this part, we are interested in knowing about all of the children which have ever been born to you, including the infant who died.							
1. How many babies have you ever had, including the baby who died? (Count all those that were born alive to you at any time.)	3. Have you ever had any babies that were born dead?						
	How many have you ever had?						
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	4. Have you ever had a miscarriage?						
2. Were any of your children living away from you at the time of birth of the baby who died? (For example, living with relatives, etc.)	How many have you ever had?						
	5. Have you ever had any other children who have died? (Do <u>not</u> count miscarriages or babies that were born dead.)						
Please list below the name, sex, and date of birth of each child living away from you.	□ No □ Yes						
	Please list below the name, sex, date of birth and date of death of						
Name of Child Sex Date of Birth Month-Day-Year	each such child.						
Month-Day-Year	Name of Child Sex Date of Birth Month-Day-Year Month-Day-Year						

PHS-4670-15 (page 2) Rev. 2-65

#### PART III. INFORMATION ABOUT YOUR FAMILY

#### In this part, information is asked about the members of the family who lived with you at the time of birth of the baby who died.

1. List below everyone who usually lived in your household at the time your baby was born. Be sure to list yourself, your husband (if he lived at home) as well as other children and relatives living with you. Unrelated persons who lived with you, and children who were temporarily away at school or college, should be listed. Do not include persons who lived away. (For example, do not include persons in the Armed Forces.) Also, do not include persons who were only visiting temporarily at the time your baby was born.

	For each	person, provide the in	formation requested	below
Enter your name on the second line; enter the name of each person who lived with you on the following lines	Relationship to the dead child (father, sister, aunt, cousin, grandfather, lodger, etc.)	Date of Birth	Marital Status (for persons 14 years and older) Married Divorced Widowed Separated Single (never	Date of first marriage for each person who was ever married,
(Last Name) (First Name	)	Month-Day-Year	married)	Month-Day-Year
	Deceased Infant		·	
	MOTHER			
(IF MORE SPACE IS NEEDED, PLEAS	SE CONTINUE ON BACK P.	AGE)	-	
2. Who was the head of this household The child's father Another person	?	unemployment comp	income of your fami income such as wag ensation, help from r mbers of the family	es, salaries, elatives, etc.,
	Name of head	None None	<b>\$4,000 - \$</b>	54,999
		🗆 Under \$1,000	<b>\$5,000 - \$</b>	6,999
3. Have you been married more than o		🗆 \$1,000 - \$1,999	□ \$7,000 - \$	9,999
□ No □ Yes → Write in date present mark		<b>\$2,000 - \$2,999</b>	🗍 \$10,000 -	
Month	Day Year	□ \$3,000 - \$3,999	□\$15,000 c	

PLEASE CONTINUE ON BACK PAGE

PHS-4670-7 (page 3) Rev. 2-65

PART IV. INFORMATION ABOUT THE	PART V. INFORMATION ON HEALTH INSURANCE		
INFANT'S MOTHER AND FATHER	In this part, we are interested in finding out whether you were covered by health insurance at any time dur- ing your recent pregnancy. Please report on each kind of health insurance protection which you had, whether or not the insurance was used.		
1. Were you employed outside your home at any time during your recent pregnancy?			
YES When did you stop working before your baby was born?	1. During your recent pregnancy, did you have health insurance to pay for doctor's bills for office visits or home calls?		
Month Day Year	🗌 yes 🗌 no		
2. What was the highest grade (or year) of regular school that you ever attended?	2. Did you have health insurance to pay for hospital care at the time of delivery?		
(Circle highest grade attended)			
None0         Elementary1         1       2         High School1       2         College1       2         4       5         6+	<ul> <li>3. Did you have health insurance to pay for the doctor's bill for delivery of your baby?</li> <li>YES NO</li> </ul>		
2a. Did you <u>finish</u> this grade?	PART VI. PERSON COMPLETING THIS FORM		
3. What was the highest grade (or year) of regular school that the child's father ever attended?			
	Name of person completing this form		
(Circle highest grade attended) None0	Address		
Elementary1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 High School1 2 3 4 College1 2 3 4 5 6+	Telephone Number		
3a. Did he finish this grade? 🗌 YES 🗌 NO			
Сомм	ENTS		

PHS-4670-15 (page 4) Rev. 2-65

1964-1965 National Infant Mortality Survey Hospital Fo	rm
--	----

CONFIDENTIALITY has been assured the individual as published in the Federal Register May 20, 1959

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH, EDUCATION, AND WELFARE Public Health Service - NCHS Washington, D. C. 20201

Form Approved Budget Bureau No. 68-R783

#### NATIONAL MORTALITY SAMPLE SURVEY - 1965

Hospital Report on Infant Death

Name of deceas	ed infant		F	File Number
Date of birth		Da	te of death	
Name of infant'	s mother			
Residence of m	other	<u></u>		<u></u>
Hospital or inst	itution to			
which request d	lirected	(Name)	······································	(Location)
			INFANT FROM HOSPITAL WHICH :	
		-		in available from your records.
			s (OR: Date of last menses	Month, Day, Year
2. Weigh	it at birth	lboz. (Of	R:Grams)	
-		-	ion below for each episode of care	-
		SPITAL, CHECK HER	E and begin with episode II,	1
Periods of Care	Admitted on	Discharged on	Final Diagnoses	Operations Performed
		CHECK IF dis- charge by death; OR		
I (Birth	Birth date	Specify date: Month Day		·
(Bir bi Episode)				
		Year		
			CHECK IF newborn without	
			immaturity, birth injury, defect, or disease:	Check if none
	Month	Month		
п	Day	Day		
	Year	Year		
				Check if none
	Month	Month		
ш	Day Year	Day		
	Year	Year		
PHS-4670-23			EASE TURN PAGE	Check if none ID

PHS-4670-23 Rev. 2-65

	PART II. CARE	OF THE DECEASED INF.	ANT IN OTHER HOSPITALS OR MEDICAL FACILITIES
			was the deceased infant a patient in any other hospital or medical f particular importance, if this did not occur at your hospital.)
	□ YES ↓	🗌 No	Unknown
Please lis	t below each other hos	spital or institution in wh	hich the deceased infant received care,
			R MEDICAL FACILITIES IN WHICH ED CHILD WAS A PATIENT
l. Name o	of Hospital or Institution	1	
Street	Address		
Approx	imate Discharge Date		
2. Name	of Hospital or Instituti	on	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
Approx	imate Discharge Date_	Ne - Michael - States for a formation	
REMARKS:		······································	

Signature of person completing this form\_\_\_\_\_

Name of this hospital or institution\_

Your position in this hospital or institution\_\_\_\_\_

PHS-4670-23 (Page 2)

### VITAL AND HEALTH STATISTICS PUBLICATION SERIES

#### Formerly Public Health Service Publication No. 1000

- Series 1. Programs and collection procedures.—Reports which describe the general programs of the National Center for Health Statistics and its offices and divisions, data collection methods used, definitions, and other material necessary for understanding the data.
- Series 2. Data evaluation and methods research.—Studies of new statistical methodology including: experimental tests of new survey methods, studies of vital statistics collection methods, new analytical techniques, objective evaluations of reliability of collected data, contributions to statistical theory.
- Series 3. Analytical studies.—Reports presenting analytical or interpretive studies based on vital and health statistics, carrying the analysis further than the expository types of reports in the other series.
- Series 4. Documents and committee reports.—Final reports of major committees concerned with vital and health statistics, and documents such as recommended model vital registration laws and revised birth and death certificates.
- Series 10. Data from the Health Interview Survey.-Statistics on illness, accidental injuries, disability, use of hospital, medical, dental, and other services, and other health-related topics, based on data collected in a continuing national household interview survey.
- Series 11. Data from the Health Examination Survey.—Data from direct examination, testing, and measurement of national samples of the civilian, noninstitutional population provide the basis for two types of reports: (1) estimates of the medically defined prevalence of specific diseases in the United States and the distributions of the population with respect to physical, physiological, and psychological characteristics; and (2) analysis of relationships among the various measurements without reference to an explicit finite universe of persons.
- Series 12. Data from the Institutional Population Surveys —Statistics relating to the health characteristics of persons in institutions, and their medical, nursing, and personal care received, based on national samples of establishments providing these services and samples of the residents or patients.
- Series 13. Data from the Hospital Discharge Survey.—Statistics relating to discharged patients in short-stay hospitals, based on a sample of patient records in a national sample of hospitals.
- Series 14. Data on health resources: manpower and facilities.—Statistics on the numbers, geographic distribution, and characteristics of health resources including physicians, dentists, nurses, other health occupations, hospitals, nursing homes, and outpatient facilities.
- Series 20. Data on mortality.—Various statistics on mortality other than as included in regular annual or monthly reports—special analyses by cause of death, age, and other demographic variables, also geographic and time series analyses.
- Series 21. Data on natality, marriage, and divorce.—Various statistics on natality, marriage, and divorce other than as included in regular annual or monthly reports—special analyses by demographic variables, also geographic and time series analyses, studies of fertility.
- Series 22. Data from the National Natality and Mortality Surveys.—Statistics on characteristics of births and deaths not available from the vital records, based on sample surveys stemming from these records, including such topics as mortality by socioeconomic class, hospital experience in the last year of life, medical care during pregnancy, health insurance coverage, etc.

For a list of titles of reports published in these series, write to:

Office of Information National Center for Health Statistics Public Health Service, HSMHA Rockville, Md. 20852