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# Dietary Intake and Cardiovascular Risk Factors, Part II. Serum <br> Urate, Serum Cholesterol, and Correlates 

by William R. Harlan, M.D.; Alan L. Hull, Ph.D.; Robert P. Schmouder, M.P.H.; Frances E. Thompson, M.P.H.; Frances A. Larkin, Ph.D.: and J. Richard Landis, Ph.D., School of Public Health, University of Michigan

## Introduction

Diet and nutritional status have been related to the development of arteriosclerotic disease, primarily ischemic heart disease, when international comparisons are made. ${ }^{1,2}$ However, the relationship between dietary patterns and ischemic heart disease becomes less robust or disappears when comparisons are made within countries. ${ }^{1-5}$ The existence of a relationship in the United States is particularly controversial with conflicting dietary advice being offered to the public. However, it is generally accepted that personal attributes relate to the risk of developing heart disease. These personal characteristics or risk factors include serum cholesterol, blood pressure, and cigarette smoking, although other variables including body weight, behavioral pattern, and serum urate have been implicated as well. ${ }^{1,6}$ What remains controversial is the influence of diet and nutritional status on these risk factors and on the development of disease. The personal and public health implications are important. Clear evidence for a linkage would provide a scientific rationale for public health action and a stronger basis for therapeutic intervention by health providers. ${ }^{3,4}$

The first National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey assessed the nutritional status and dietary intake of a representative sample of the U.S. civilian noninstitutionalized population, and data from this survey provide an opportunity to explore relationships among measures of nutrition, cardiovascular risk factors, and cardiovascular health. Although the primary intent of the survey was to ascertain the
nutritional and health status of the U.S. population and not to relate specific nutritional variables to cardiovascular risk factors and disease, the data are broad in scope and reflect "state-of-the-art" reliability and validity. The data may be used to test specific hypotheses regarding nutritional and cardiovascular relationships, but some limitations should be noted. The survey is cross-sectional and accurately reflects only current measures of nutritional and health status and does not disclose prior eating behavior or preexistent or future disease conditions. Thus, the surveyed population can be characterized only in terms of current associations.

The primary focus of analysis must, therefore, be directed to exploring relationships between nutritional patterns and cardiovascular risk factors rather than attempting to predict future disease outcome or explain past episodes. In this report, attention is directed to associations between nutritional variables and risk factors associated with development of coronary artery disease. The nutritional variables were derived from food frequency questionnaires, 24 -hour dietary recall histories, physical examination findings, and serum biochemical measurements on the examinees. Additionally, personal habits and attributes, such as cigarette smoking, use of oral contraceptives, psychological well-being, and socioeconomic status were examined in the analysis. Serum cholesterol and serum urate are the dependent variables in this report. Blood pressure and adiposity are also important risk factors but are addressed as dependent variables in a companion analysis of the survey data. ${ }^{7}$

## Highlights

Relative weight or body mass (weight/herght ${ }^{2}$ ) was found to be an important independent predictor of serum cholesterol and serum urate levels in U.S. adults. It was also an important predictor of blood pressure levels of U.S. adults as determined in the first National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey of 1971-75. This variable, age, and sex accounted for the majority of explained variance. No dietary variables from either the 24 -hour dietary recall or the food frequency questionnaire had important or consistent associations with serum cholesterol or serum urate levels except for reported use of alcohol, which was related directly to serum urate concentration. Other
attributes and behavioral patterns (such as smoking, psychological well-being, and oral contraceptive use) were not significantly or independently related to the dependent variables. Several unsuspected but provocative associations were found with serum biochemistries. Serum calcium and magnesium levels and serum glutamic oxalacetic transaminase were directly and independently related to serum cholesterol and serum urate, and these latter variables were interrelated. Cross-sectional dietary data from relatively homogeneous subgroup populations were not related to serum cholesterol and serum urate, although other nutritional biochemical measurements were related.

Data collection in the first National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey (NHANES I) was begun in April 1971, and the initial survey was completed in June 1974. The sample design, plan of operation, and details of data collection have been published, ${ }^{8,9}$ and only features of the study pertinent to the present analysis are described here. Teams of the National Center for Health Statistics traveled to 65 primary sampling units (PSU's). A PSU is typically a county or set of contiguous counties. The teams included professional and paraprofessional medical and dental examiners, along with technicians, interviewers, and other staff. The selected sample persons for whom appointments could be made were brought into specially constructed mobile examination centers that were moved into a central location in each PSU area.

Of the 28,043 sample persons selected to represent 194 million persons ages $1-74$ years in the U.S. population, the program examined 20,749 or 74 percent of the sample at the 65 locations visited between April 1971 and June 1974. This is an effective response rate of 75 percent when adjustment is made for the effect of oversampling among preschool children, women of childbearing age, the poor, and the elderly.

A subsample of approximately 20 percent $(3,854)$ of those ages $25-74$ years in the initial sample received a more detailed examination. An additional sample of 3,059 persons ages $25-74$ years was identified to augment the data collected during the detailed examination in April 1971-June 1974. This augmentation survey (NHANES IA) was conducted in 35 additional PSU's between July 1974 and September 1975. These additional groups are referred to as the "detailed" and "augmentation" components, respectively. Several additional measures were collected on persons included in the augmentation survey. ${ }^{10}$

Data presenting breakdowns by race are based on findings from a sample of 27,730 white and black persons, of whom 20,514 were examined. Estimates in
this report are based on weighted observations; that is, the data obtained for the examined persons are inflated to the level of the U.S. population from which the sample was drawn using the appropriate weights to account for both sampling fractions and response results. (See appendix I). Analyses included in this report utilized the largest number of persons on whom data were available. Some data were available only on the general and detailed components (20,749), some were available on the detailed and augmented components ( 6,913 ), others only on the augmented component ( 3,054 ), and some only on the detailed component $(3,859)$. The text and tables indicate the data sources used for each analysis.

## Dietary intake

Dietary intake was a primary data source for the relationships described in this report. The information for dietary intake was determined through 24-hour dietary recall and 3 -month food frequency recall. A dietary interview was conducted with each sample person to obtain information about his or her total food and drink consumption during the 24 hoursmidnight to midnight-preceding the interview. This was followed by questions about the frequency of food intake for the preceding 3 months. ${ }^{11,12}$ The parent or other adult responsible for a child's feeding provided information about preschool children. Usually both the parent and child were interviewed for subjects ages 6-12 years.

The dietary interview lasted approximately 20 minutes (with a maximum allowance of 30 minutes) and usually was administered in the mobile examination center. A small percent of the interviews took place in the subjects' homes.

Food portion models were used to assist the respondent in estimating amounts of foods consumed for the 24 -hour recall. Models developed for another survey were used with slight modifications. ${ }^{13}$ A computer program was used to determine nutrient values
of foods consumed. The computer program to process food recall data for nutrient contents was adapted from one developed and used in the Ten-State Nutrition Survey and was based on a program developed originally at Tulane University. ${ }^{14}$ The program uses the nutritive values of food items appearing in the U.S. Department of Agriculture Handbook No. 8 (1963), Table $1,{ }^{15}$ as well as information from other sources. Because of the constantly changing food supply, nutrient composition values for new food products were added or updated continually according to information provided by the U.S. Department of Agriculture, food processors, and manufacturers.

Dietary intake measurements considered in this report include the following:

1. Frequency of consumption of the following food groups: butter and margarine, dried beans and peas, breads and cereals, dairy foods (whole milk, eggs, and cheese and cheese dishes), meat and poultry, total fruits and vegetables, desserts and sweets, candy, sweetened beverages, coffee and tea, and snack foods.
2. Frequency of consumption of the following special food groups: complex carbohydrate and fibercontaining foods, high fat foods, meats, sweets, snack foods, coffee and tea, alcohol, proportion of calories as total fat, ratio of saturated to unsaturated fats, cholesterol intake, cholesterol plus saturated/unsaturated fats (linoleic acid only), and fat intake (calculation of dietary cholesterol scores using Keys et al. ${ }^{16}$ and Hegsted ${ }^{17}$ ).
3. Proportion of calories as carbohydrate or protein.
4. Relative dietary purine intake.

## Medical and laboratory examination

Complete descriptions of the clinical examination, body measurements, and laboratory assessments are available, $, 8,10,18,19$ and only aspects pertinent to the present analysis are described here. A medical history questionnaire was completed by participants ages 12-74 years. This instrument requested information on health habits and general medical status, as well as specific answers regarding known disease conditions and medical treatments. The medical history questionnaire was reviewed by the examining physician before the scheduled examination.

All examinees received a physical examination with emphasis on nutritional aspects. Blood pressure was recorded in the sitting position near the beginning of the examination for persons ages 6-74 years. The recommendations of the American Heart Association were followed, and a complete discussion of the measurement techniques and sources of variability and diagnostic error is available. ${ }^{7}$ After the examination, the physician used standard diagnostic $\operatorname{codes}^{20}$ to
classify the presence of disease as observed. Body measurements including height, weight, and skinfold thickness were made by specially trained technicians using equipment designed for the study and checked weekly and before each examination stand commenced. ${ }^{18,21,22}$

The detailed sample examinees and those in the augmentation survey also completed supplemental questionnaires related to arthritis, respiratory disease, and cardiovascular disease. These examinees also received a more detailed examination related to these conditions. A detailed history on tobacco use, including duration and amount of cigarette smoking, was obtained for this group. These examinees also completed the General Well-being Questionnaire. ${ }^{23}$

Laboratory assessments of adults in the general examination included hematologic examinations and nutritional biochemistries on serum and urine specimens. On the detailed and augmentation samples the following clinical biochemistries were performed on blood samples from nonfasting examinees: totall bilirubin, serum glutamic oxalacetic transaminase (SGOT), alkaline phosphatase, calcium, phosphorus, and uric acid. Details regarding examinee preparation, sample collection and standardization, and analytic procedures are described in detail elsewhere. ${ }^{19}$ Serum cholesterol determinations on blood samples from nonfasting examinees in the general, detailed, and augmentation national surveys were made by the Lipid Standardization Laboratory, Centers for Disease Control, Public Health Service, Atlanta, Georgia. The method of Abell et al. ${ }^{24}$ was modified for a semiautomated production line. ${ }^{25}$ SGOT, sodium, and potassium were analyzed individually, and calcium, phosphate, uric acid, and creatinine were analyzed on a Techicon Sequential Multiple Analyzer (SMA) 12/60. ${ }^{19}$

## Analysis

Statistical considerations and procedures for statistical analysis are detailed in appendix I. Definitions of selected terms, including those related to the statistical methods, are given in appendix II. The weighted sample and sample design factors were considered in all statistical analyses presented in this report. The general analytical approach was to screen initially for significant relationships among nonnutritional variables as a means of identifying interrelationships that could confound the further analyses. Relationships were then sought between nutritional and nonnutritional variables, and, where necessary, potentially confounding variables were controlled. For analysis, the population was divided into age, race, and sex groups. The category of "other" racial groups was not considered in further analyses because of the small numbers and the heterogeneity of the group. The following age ranges were used: 18-24, 25-34, 35-44,

45-54, 55-64, and 65-74 years. Each age, race, and sex group was examined separately.

In general, the independent variable was divided into strata bounded by appropriate percentile cut-off points, and means and standard errors were determined for the dependent variable within those strata. Because body mass (weight/height ${ }^{2}$ ) was consistently related to many of the dependent variables, quintile strata of this variable were used to control for this confounding influence. When significant and apparently important interrelationships between nutritional and nonnutritional variables were discovered, potentially confounding relationships were examined by controlling for the confounding variable and by use of multivariate analysis. Apparent relationships were
assessed in three ways before inferring biologic importance to the relationship. Tests of statistical significance were used to contrast values for the dependent variables within strata. A probability of 5 percent or less that the finding was the result of chance was taken as statistically significant. The relationships were examined for consistency within age, sex, and race groups. Finally, the quantitative differences were used to arrive at inferences regarding the biologic importance of relationships.

Each independent variable found to have a significant and consistent relationship to the dependent variable on univariate analysis was entered into a multiple regression analysis against the dependent variable.

## Findings

## Serum cholesterol

## Body mass index and skinfold thickness

Serum cholesterol levels for adults have been described by age, sex, and race in an earlier report. ${ }^{26}$ Serum cholesterol concentration was related to body mass index (Quetelet's index or weight/height ${ }^{2}$ ). In the present report, national estimates for the population were separated into quintile strata of body mass index (BMI), and the serum cholesterol levels were compared for those in each quintile strata (tables 1 and 2 and figures 1 and 2). For the total group of males and for white and black subgroups, successively greater quintile strata of BMI were associated with higher serum cholesterol levels. The progression of serum cholesterol levels with higher BMI was less consistent for black males, reflecting in part greater variances and smaller sample sizes. The mean differences in serum cholesterol between the highest and lowest quintile strata of BMI were 31 milligrams/deciliter ( $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{dl}$ ) for all males, 30 $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{dl}$ for white males, and $39 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{dl}$ for black males. Serum cholesterol is higher with age in men through 64 years and then lower at ages $65-74$ years. ${ }^{26}$ The influence of age on cholesterol was also apparent within each quintile strata of BMI (table 1). Within each age range, the relationship of serum cholesterol to BMI was not progressive, although statistically significant cholesterol differences were found between the lowest and highest quintile strata of BMI, and these differences were relatively consistent, ranging from 19 to $31 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{dl}$.

Similar relationships were found for women (table 2 and figure 1). Mean serum cholesterol was higher for successively greater quintile strata of body mass index for the total group and for white and black females. The differences in mean serum cholesterol between the lowest and highest quintile strata were $36 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{dl}, 36$ $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{dl}$, and $34 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{dl}$ for all females, white females, and black females, respectively. Within each quintile strata of body mass index the mean serum cholesterol
tended to be lower in black women than white. When cross-classified by age and BMI quintile strata, the independent effect of age and BMI on cholesterol concentration was apparent (figure 2). Within each quintile strata, serum cholesterol increased with age, although the differences were less consistent in the 55-64- and $65-74$-year age groups. The magnitude of BMI effect on cholesterol was slightly less for females than males when age was controlled, although the age effect within quintile strata of BMI was similar for both sexes. Therefore, for both men and women, body mass index has an influence on serum cholesterol concentration that is independent of age, sex, and race.

Skinfold thickness is an indirect measure of subcutaneous fat tissue that correlates well with body adiposity and, to a lesser degree, with body mass index. ${ }^{27,28}$ For analysis, the skinfold thicknesses from two sites, the triceps and subscapular areas, were combined. This combination of measurements affords assessment of limb (triceps) and truncal (subscapular) adiposity and provides a more representative sample of subcutaneous fat. The relationships between skinfold thickness and serum cholesterol levels were similar to those for BMI and serum cholesterol (tables 3 and 4). At progressively greater quintile strata of skinfold thickness, serum cholesterol concentration was higher. This effect was observed for males and females, white and black persons, and generally for each adult age range (figure 3). The magnitude of serum cholesterol differences between the highest and lowest quintile strata was similar when skinfold thickness was the independent variable to that found when BMI was the independent variable. The association between skinfold thickness and serum cholesterol was independent of sex, race, and age.

Because body mass has a pervasive influence on serum cholesterol concentration, weight/height ${ }^{2}$ was used in subsequent analyses to control for this possible effect. This parameter was selected because the effect is equivalent to that of skinfolds, and it is a readily available clinical assessment.


Figure 1. Mean serum cholesterol levels in quintile strata of body mass index for adults $18-74$ years of age by race and sex: United States, 1971-74


Figure 2. Mean serum cholesterol levels in selected strata of body mass index for white and black males and females by age: United States, 1971-74


Figure 3. Mean serum cholesterol levels in quintile strata of total skinfolds for adults $18-74$ years of age by race and sex: United States, 1971-74

## Blood pressure

Serum cholesterol levels were determined for four strata of systolic and diastolic blood pressure for males and females (tables 5-8). Cutoff points for the strata were the 15 th, 50 th, and 85 th percentiles. Because therapy for hypertension may raise plasma lipids, examinees reporting treatment for blood pressure were excluded from analysis. ${ }^{29}$ For systolic pressure (tables 5 and 6), there were statistically significant differences between mean serum cholesterol concentrations in the lower ( $0-15$ ) percentile stratum and upper ( $85-100$ ) percentile stratum of systolic pressure. The higher the pressure, the greater the serum cholesterol. This relationship was observed for both sexes and both races, and the mean difference between the lowest and highest percentile strata was $25 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{dl}$ for males and $46 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{dl}$ for females. When age was controlled, the relationship persisted but the differences were less and tended to be greater in younger adults and decreasing (and even reversing in females 65-74 years) in those over 35 years of age. The relationship persisted when body mass index was controlled. Mean differences between low and high strata ranged from 11 to 63 $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{dl}$ and were greatest in the lowest quartile stratum of BMI. When sex and age were controlled, similar trends were noted, but the differences were smaller or inconsistent. However, the numbers of examinees within the groups were small and the variability relatively large.

For diastolic blood pressure, the relationships were generally similar to those for systolic pressure (tables 7 and 8). Higher diastolic pressure was associated with higher mean serum cholesterol, and this relationship persisted when race, sex, and body mass index were controlled singly. However, in those ages 45 years and over, a positive relationship was no longer present. The magnitudes of mean serum cholesterol differences when stratified by diastolic pressure were similar to those when systolic pressure was used for stratification. However, controlling for age and sex together led to a dissipation of the relationship between diastolic pressure and serum cholesterol.

## Dietary intake

Reported dietary intake was separated into categories, and the relationships between the dietary intake categories and serum cholesterol concentration were determined. Particular attention was directed to dietary intake of total fat, saturated/unsaturated fats, and cholesterol because of the reported association between these nutrients and serum cholesterol levels. ${ }^{1,5}$ Frequency of food consumption and a detailed 24-hour food intake were analyzed separately. In general, there were no consistent or strong relationships.

The frequency of fatty food consumption was
determined from the food frequency questionnaire, which describes the number of times a particular food was consumed (table 9). For the total group and for both races and sexes, there was an inverse relationship between reported frequency of fatty food consumption and serum cholesterol. This trend persisted when body mass index was controlled. However, controlling for age or age and sex dissipated any consistent trends. This is not surprising because of conflicting trends for dietary intake and serum cholesterol in progressively older groups. Serum cholesterol is higher in older groups, while dietary fat intake is lower. ${ }^{11,26}$ Therefore, an inverse relationship would be expected when all ages are considered, but when age is controlled, the relationship might change. No consistent or important relationships were found between serum cholesterol concentration and the following reported food frequencies: complex carbohydrate (including fiber), fatty food/complex carbohydrate ratio, coffee and tea consumption, alcohol intake, and refined sugar intake.

Data from the 24 -hour dietary recall were used to explore relationships between specific proportions of nutrient intake and serum cholesterol levels. The 24hour dietary recall provides a quantitative assessment, but this is representative of only one brief period. However, one would expect a direct relationship between food frequency reports and 24 -hour recall at the extremes of ingestion of particular foods if the 24hour recall is representative. The energy consumed by male and female respondents for one 24 -hour period was divided at the 15th, 50th, and 85th percentiles (tables 10 and 11). For males, there was an inverse association between total dietary calories and serum cholesterol values when the total group and white males were considered. The same direction of association was present for black males and for quartile strata of BMI, but not all associations were statistically significant.

When age groups were contrasted, no clear patterns were present, and the mean cholesterol differences between high and low energy strata were not significant except for the youngest age group ( 18 - 24 years). Females (table 11) had a fairly consistent pattern between total caloric intake and mean serum cholesterol values. As noted for males, the pattern was inverse and persisted when sex, race, and age were controlled, although the level of association and the magnitude of cholesterol differences between the highest and lowest energy groups varied considerably.

Total dietary fat intake, as obtained from the 24hour dietary recall, tended to be inversely related to cholesterol concentration except when age was controlled (table 12). The pattern resembled that of total calories, which would be expected. The findings were similar for females (table 13), except that a weak but persistently inverse relationship was found when age was controlled.

The proportion of total calories contributed by fat was determined from the 24 -hour recall data, which provided total caloric and total fat intake (table 14). There were no statistically significant or consistent differences in serum cholesterol levels when proportion of fat calories was stratified.

Total polyunsaturated fatty acids were not available in the food composition tables, but linoleic acid, which constitutes approximately 90 percent of the total polyunsaturates in the U.S. diet, was available from the 24 -hour recall. A ratio of linoleic to total saturated fatty acids was developed and used as a surrogate measure of polyunsaturated/saturated fatty acids (table 15). When stratified by this measure, only small, inconsistent, and statistically insignificant differences were found for serum cholesterol values.

Dietary cholesterol represents a fraction of the total fat consumed, but intake does not necessarily parallel total fat intake. Cholesterol intake differed for males and females. No clear pattern of association was found for either males or females, and there were no statistically significant differences between serum cholesterol values at different levels of dietary cholesterol (tables 16 and 17).

Dietary sodium and potassium were calculated from the 24 -hour recall. The ratio of dietary sodium to potassium was found to be related to blood pressure ${ }^{7}$ and was, therefore, applied to serum cholesterol. An inverse pattern of association was found for serum cholesterol (table 18). When mean serum cholesterol values were compared in the low and high sodium/potassium strata, a statistically significant ( $p$ $<0.001$ ) difference was found for the total group and for females and white respondents. The trend was in the same direction but less strong for males and black respondents. When age was controlled, the association was no longer statistically significant but remained inverse.

Other nutrients from the 24 -hour dietary recall were analyzed, but no statistically significant, consistent associations were found.

## Behavioral and demographic variables

The recent use of oral contraceptive agents was associated with significantly higher serum cholesterol levels for some females (table 19). White females reporting current use of oral contraceptive agents had significantly higher serum cholesterol concentrations than those reporting no use within the past 6 months. The differences were most prominent for white females ages $18-24$ years (amounting to $20 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{dl}$ ) and were small for those ages $25-34$ and $35-44$ years ( $5 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{dl}$ ). Information on the use of oral contraceptive agents was limited to women ages 18-44 years.

For black females, higher serum cholesterol values were observed to be higher among users only for the

18-24-year age group, and the pattern was reversed in those ages 25-44 years, but the numbers of oral contraceptive users were relatively small in these older groups. When body mass index was controlled, the positive association between oral contraceptive use and serum cholesterol was strengthened for the younger group (ages 18-24 years) and tended to shift the observed association from negative to positive for females ages 25-44 years.

Socioeconomic status was scored using educational attainment and income levels. ${ }^{30}$ This scale uses measures of income and educational attainment rather than occupation and was created so that the five categories fit a Gaussian distribution with approximately 15 percent of the sample in the two extreme categories. The lowest category had income of $\$ 4,000$ or less and education of grade school or less, while the highest contained people with college education and income of $\$ 10,000$ or more. Serum cholesterol levels were significantly higher in the lowest socioeconomic class for the total group, for females, and for white persons (table 20), and the mean differences between the lowest and highest strata ranged from $15 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{dl}$ for the total group to $27 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{dl}$ for females and $17 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{dl}$ for white respondents. This inverse pattern persisted when body mass index was controlled. When age and sex were controlled, a significant inverse trend was found for females only, while males tended to have a direct relationship. However, the number of respondents categorized in the low category by age was small and the variability of serum cholesterol values was great.

When serum cholesterol was contrasted across the four regions of the contiguous United States, small but statistically significant differences were found among the regions (table 21). For males, cholesterol levels were higher in the Northeast; and for females, serum cholesterol levels were observed to be higher in the Northeast and lowest in the West. Levels were highest for white respondents living in the Northeast and were observed to be highest for black respondents living in the Midwest. The differences by region were small and rarely exceeded $5 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{dl}$. Controlling for age and BMI did not lead to a consistent pattern for males or females.

Respondents in the detailed and augmentation surveys completed a survey of General Well-Being (GWB). ${ }^{23}$ The higher the score, the greater the selfrated physical and psychological status. For the total group of males and for all white males the higher GWB scores were observed to be associated with higher serum cholesterol levels (table 22). The reverse pattern was found for black males, but the numbers were small. None of these differences was statistically significant. No consistent trends were found when age or body mass index were controlled, and no consistent trends were found for females (table 23).

Cigarette smoking was analyzed, but no relationship to serum cholesterol concentration was found.

## Clinical hematology and biochemistries

Hemoglobin concentration was related to serum cholesterol (tables 24 and 25). Significant mean differences in serum cholesterol levels were found between the lowest and highest strata for males and for white males, but the differences for black males were not significant. Within all age ranges except 55-64 years, a similar pattern was found (table 24). However, when body mass index was controlled the differences diminished. Similar relationships were found for females but the consistency and magnitude of differences were greater (and in general significant). The mean differences between the lowest and highest hemoglobin groups averaged $20 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{dl}$ for all females and 10 $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{dl}$ for all males.

Serum glutamic oxalacetic transaminase (SGOT) is often used as a test for subtle impairment of liver
function, although the main application is determination of major acute injury to tissues such as liver and cardiac muscle. For the total group and for both sexes and both races, higher strata of SGOT were associated with significantly higher mean serum cholesterol levels (table 26). The differences in cholesterol between the highest and lowest strata of SGOT in these groups ranged from 6 to 24 units/milliliter. The relationship was more prominent for females. When age was controlled, a clear positive relationship was apparent only for ages $25-54$ years. Controlling for body mass index did not change the positive relationship for females, but it was less consistent for males after body mass index was taken into account.

Serum calcium level distribution in the population was divided into strata at the 15 th, 50th, and 85th percentiles (table 27 and figure 4). A consistent and large difference in mean serum cholesterol was found


Figure 4. Mean serum cholesterol levels in percentile strata of serum calcium levels within BMI quartile strata for males and females 25-74 years of age: United States, 1971-75
when the population was stratified by serum calcium levels. This relationship was positive with higher serum cholesterol being associated with higher serum calcium and was generally progressive through all strata of serum calcium concentration. The relationship was not altered when sex, race, age, body mass index, or age and sex were controlled. The magnitude of mean differences in serum cholesterol between the lowest and highest strata of serum calcium was considerable, averaging $29 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{dl}$ for the group and ranging from -2 (females ages 65-74 years) to 47 $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{dl}$ (males ages $55-64$ years). Serum inorganic phosphate and the serum calcium/phosphate ratio were analyzed, but no consistent relationships were found.

Serum magnesium levels were stratified using
cutoff points of $1.555,1.685$, and $1.825 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{dl}$ which are at the 15 th, 50 th, and 85 th percentiles (table 28). There was a consistent, statistically significant positive relationship between mean serum cholesterol concentrations in the lowest and highest strata of serum magnesium. For the entire group the mean difference between lowest and highest strata amounted to 19 $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{dl}$ of serum cholesterol. This positive association was found for both sexes, both races, and after controlling for age, body mass index, and age and sex (figure 5). The relationship was generally progressive through the strata and ranged from 5 to $8 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{dl}$ after controlling for these factors.

Serum urate levels were stratified separately for males and females because of the considerably different levels for each sex. The cutoff points for adult males


Figure 5. Mean serum cholesterol levels in percentile strata of serum magnesium levels within BMI quartile strata for males and females $25-74$ years of age: United States, 1971-75
were $4.95,6.15$, and $7.55 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{dl}$; for females, 3.65 , 4.65 , and $5.95 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{dl}$. These are at the $15 \mathrm{th}, 50 \mathrm{th}$, and 85 th percentiles.

There was a positive association between serum urate and serum cholesterol (tables 29 and 30). The differences in mean serum cholesterol were 16 and 22 $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{dl}$ (males and females, respectively) between the highest and lowest strata of serum uric acid. This relationship persisted after controlling for race, age, and body mass index. However, for two subgroups, males in the highest quartile strata of body mass index and females ages 55-64 years, the mean differences were small or reversed, but for other groups the differences ranged from 4 to $45 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{dl}$.

## Multivariate analyses

Variables that were found to have consistent and important relationships to serum cholesterol were used as independent variables for regression on the dependent variable, serum cholesterol (table A). Males and females were analyzed separately, but race was entered as a dichotomous variable ( $1=$ white, $2=$ black). Because serum urate and calcium were available only on examinees in the detailed and augmentation surveys, the regression analysis was confined to this group, ages $25-74$ years, and comprising 3,134 males and 3,707 females. Relatively little variance of serum cholesterol was explained; for males the $R^{2}$ was 0.12 , and for females, 0.20 . The standard beta weights provide an indication of the relative influence of the independent variables. For males and females, age, body mass index, and serum calcium clearly had the most important effects. Lesser effects were found for serum urate and serum magnesium. There were some body mass index and socioeconomic status being less differential influences between males and females with important in females, although serum urate was slightly more influential in females.

Despite the lack of clear relationships between dietary fat variables and serum cholesterol, when the dietary variables were assessed individually, these variables were used in predictive models of serum cholesterol that were developed by Keys et al. ${ }^{16}$ and Hegsted. ${ }^{17}$

The formulas for the diet scores were as follows:
Keys $\quad 1.35(2 S-P)+1.52(C / 1,000 E)^{1 / 2}$
Hegsted $\quad 2.16 S-1.65 P+0.0677 C$

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\text { where } & \begin{array}{l}
S=\text { proportion of dietary calories from } \\
\text { saturated fat }
\end{array} \\
& P=\text { proportion of dietary calories } \\
\text { from polyunsaturated fat }
\end{array}
$$

For polyunsaturated fatty acids, only linoleic acid intake was available in the nutrient data of NHANES I. However, this fatty acid accounted for over 90 percent of all polyunsaturated fatty acids in the U.S. diet at the time of the survey. The value for linoleic acid was used in the analysis without correction. A score for each examinee was calculated using the two formulas and a correlation determined between these scores and the serum cholesterol values measured during the survey. For each model, only a small, statistically insignificant correlation of less than 0.05 was found between lipid intake scores and serum cholesterol. To compare the relative contribution of dietary lipid and physiologic variables to serum cholesterol concentration, the dietary score, body mass index, and age were regressed against the dependent variable, serum cholesterol (table B). When the beta weights for the independent variables were compared, it was apparent that the dietary score made small and

Table A. Standardized beta coefficients and standard errors for regression of serum cholesterol on selected variables, by sex for adults ages 25-74 years: United States, 1971-75

| Variable | Male |  | Female |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{gathered} (N=3,134) \\ \text { Multiple } R=0.34 \end{gathered}$ |  | $(N=3,707)$ <br> Multiple $R=0.45$ |  |
|  | Beta | Standard error of beta | Beta | Standard error of beta |
| Body mass index............................................................ | 0.12 | 0.03 | 0.05 | 0.03 |
| Age............................................................................... | 0.28 | 0.03 | 0.37 | 0.03 |
| Race ............................................................................ | 0.04 | 0.02 | -0.01 | 0.02 |
| Socioeconomic status..................................................... | 0.05 | 0.03 | -0.01 | 0.02 |
| Systolic blood pressure.................................................... | -0.04 | 0.03 | -0.01 | 0.03 |
| General well-being.......................................................... | 0.03 | 0.02 | 0.00 | 0.02 |
| Serum urate.................................................................... | 0.03 | 0.03 | 0.06 | 0.02 |
| Serum calcium ............................................................... | 0.24 | 0.02 | 0.14 | 0.02 |
| Serum glutamic oxalacetic transaminase............................ | -0.05 | 0.02 | 0.05 | 0.02 |
| Serum magnesium............................................................ | 0.08 | 0.02 | 0.05 | 0.02 |

NOTE: For race, 1 = white, 2 = black, other racial groups excluded.
$N=$ number of examinees.
$R=$ multiple correlation coefficient.

Table B. Bivariate correlation coefficients, standardized beta coefficients, and standard errors for regression of serum cholesterol on computed diet scores, age, and body mass index, by sex for adults ages $25-74$ years: United States, 1971-74

| Variable | Male ( $N=1,753$ ) |  |  |  | Female ( $N=3,424$ ) |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Mean | Standard error of mean | Correlation coefficient (r) | Standard error | Mean | Standard error of mean | Correlation coefficient (r) | Standard' error |
| Keys et al. diet score..................................... | 50.0 | 0.58 | 0.02 | 0.03 | 48.7 | 0.47 | 0.02 | 0.03 |
| Hegsted diet score ......................................... | 57.8 | 0.82 | -0.03 | 0.03 | 44.1 | 0.66 | 0.00 | 0.02 |
| Age............................................................... | 39.4 | 0.27 | 0.26 | 0.03 | 39.2 | 0.21 | 0.37 | 0.02 |
| Body mass index..................................................... | 25.9 | 0.11 | 0.14 | 0.03 | 24.9 | 0.12 | 0.16 | 0.02 |
|  | Multiple R $=0.29$ |  |  |  | Multiple $R=0.39$ |  |  |  |
| Regression of serum cholesterol on computed diet scores, age, and body mass index | Beta |  | Standard error of beta |  | Beta |  | Standard error of beta |  |
| Keys et al. diet score..................................... | 0.01 |  | 0.02 |  | 0.02 |  | 0.02 |  |
| Age ............................................................ | 0.25 |  | 0.02 |  | 0.36 |  | 0.02 |  |
| Body mass index ......................................... | 0.13 |  | 0.02 |  | 0.11 |  | 0.02 |  |
| Hegsted diet score .......................................... | -0.02 |  | 0.02 |  | 0.00 |  | 0.02 |  |
| Age .................................................................... | 0.25 |  | 0.02 |  | 0.36 |  | 0.02 |  |
| Body mass index ........................................... | 0.13 |  | 0.02 |  | 0.11 |  | 0.02 |  |
| Bivariate correlation between Keys, et al. and Hegsted diet scores | Correlation coefficient (r) |  | Standard error or $r$ |  | Correlation coefficient (r) |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Standard error } \\ \text { of } r \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |  |
|  | 0.83 |  | 0.01 |  | 0.88 |  | 0.01 |  |

NOTE: $N=$ number of examinees.
$R=$ multiple correlation coefficient.
$r=$ simple correlation coefficient.
not statistically significant contributions to explanation of variance. Compared with the major predictors of serum cholesterol levels, age and body mass index, the contribution of dietary lipid was not important.

These findings can be contrasted with those reported by Shekelle and others ${ }^{5}$ in a recent longitudinal study of men employed at the Western Electric Company, who were ages 40-55 years on entry into the study. The computed dietary scores were consistently higher in the study of Shekelle et al. ${ }^{5}$ Some of the difference may be attributable to the different nutrient data sources used to compute polyunsaturated fat content. In the Western Electric study, archadomic acid was estimated but not in NHANES I. The correlation between the initial dietary score and serum cholesterol was significant and slightly but not significantly higher in the Western Electric study than the national estimates from NHANES I shown in table B. When dietary scores and other relevant variables were regressed against serum cholesterol concentration, the beta weights for body mass index and age were higher in NHANES I than in the Western Electric study, and the beta weights for dietary score were lower. The differences may be attributed to a number of differences in the study, including selected population, age range, variability of dietary reporting, failure to include all polyunsaturated fatty acids in NHANES I, and possibly lack of comparability in the nutrient data bank used for the two studies.

## Serum urate

Age, sex, race, body mass index, and geographic region

Serum urate concentration was measured in examinees of the detailed and augmentation surveys. The levels of serum urate by sex and race are presented in table 31 together with selected percentiles in the distribution of these levels. Levels of serum uric acid were higher in males than in females at all ages (table 31 and figure 6). Mean serum urate concentration was not higher in older age groups in males, but there was an age-related difference in urate levels among females. For both males and females, uric acid concentration was slightly but significantly higher in black persons. This racial difference in mean urate concentration reflected higher levels in the upper distribution of urate for all ages.

Uric acid concentration was related to body mass index (BMI) in adult males (table 32 and figure 7). For the total male group, the serum urate difference was $1.2 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{dl}$ between the lowest and highest quintile strata of BMI. Differences of similar magnitude were found for white and black males. There was a graduated increase in serum urate for progressively greater quintile strata of BMI for males. A similar relationship was observed for adult females with a mean difference of $1.3 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{dl}$ in mean serum urate between the lowest and highest quintile strata of BMI


Figure 6. Mean serum urate levels in selected strata of body mass index for white and black males and females by age: United States, 1971-75
(table 33 and figure 7). This observed relationship was not altered by race and age.

Triceps and subscapular skinfold thicknesses were summed to provide a representative estimate of subcutaneous adiposity. When these combined skinfold measurements were divided into quintile strata, a graded positive relationship was found for skinfold thickness and serum urate (tables 34 and 35 and figure 8). Controlling for race and age did not impair this relationship. The differences in mean serum urate between the lowest and highest skinfold thickness strata were less than the differences observed with body mass index, but the differences were statistically significant. Because of the more pervasive influence of BMI in serum urate concentration, this confounding variable was controlled in subsequent analyses.

Mean serum urate concentration was determined for the four geographic regions into which the country was divided for this national study (table 36). There were no significant differences, although serum urate tended to be highest in the Midwest for the total group and for female examinees and highest in the Northeast for male examinees. No prominent confounding of this regional trend was present for race, age, or BMI.

## Dietary intake

The food frequency questionnaire provided information on customary dietary intake patterns. No consistent or significant associations were found between serum urate concentrations and the following food and beverage groups: fatty foods, complex carbo-


Figure 7. Mean serum urate levels in quintile strata of body mass index for adults 25-74 years of age by race and sex: United States, $1971-75$
hydrate/fiber, sugar-containing foods, and coffee-tea consumption.

Reported alcohol consumption was directly and strongly related to serum urate levels. The average weekly consumption of alcoholic beverages was converted to ounces of ethanol per week, ${ }^{7}$ and the following four groups were developed according to alcohol consumption: zero ounces / week (oz/wk), 0.001-0.999 $\mathrm{oz} / \mathrm{wk}, 1.000-6.999 \mathrm{oz} / \mathrm{wk}$, and $7.000 \mathrm{oz} / \mathrm{wk}$ or more (table 37 and appendix II). For the entire population and for each sex, race, age, and BMI-sex subgroup, abstainers had lower mean serum urate levels than respondents reporting ethanol intake of $7.000 \mathrm{oz} / \mathrm{wk}$ or more (figure 9). The differences were significant for all groups except when divided by age and sex where the numbers within each cell were small and the vari-
ability relatively great. Abstainers tended to have lower serum urate levels than those with the lowest level of alcohol consumption, but the differences were small and not always statistically significant. On the other hand, the differences in mean serum urate between abstainers and the heaviest consumers of alcohol were consistent, statistically significant, and sizable. The difference for the total group averaged $1.34 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{dl}$ with the heaviest ethanol consumers having serum urate levels 27 percent higher than abstainers. This magnitude of difference was observed for each sex, race, and age group, and after controlling for body mass index.

There are two sources of urate in body fluids, exogenous and endogenous. The exogenous source, diet, may contain sufficient purines to provide 30 to 60 millimoles ( 0.5 to 1.0 gram) of urate per day. ${ }^{31}$ About


Figure 8. Mean serum urate levels in quintile strata of total skinfolds for adults $25-74$ years of age by race and sex: United States, 1971-75

20 percent of dietary purines are destroyed in digestion, but the remainder form urate. Foods particularly rich in purine include glandular meats and meat extracts, and, to a lesser extent, meat, poultry, fish, and legumes. To test for an association between diet and serum urate, the following three food groups were combined: meats, fish, and beans from the food frequency record. It was assumed that individuals who frequently consume purine-rich foods, will ingest increased quantities, but no quantitative measurement was available. Serum urate levels were not significantly different in strata with high purine consumption than in those with lower levels of such consumption (table 38). Higher consumption tended to be associated with higher serum urate levels, although the differences were slight except in the $35-44$-year age group. Moreover, there was no consistent pattern when body mass index was controlled. Therefore, no consistent association was found between purine intake and serum urate levels.

The 24 -hour dietary recall was used to explore relationships between serum urate and the following
variables: total caloric intake; proportion of calories from alcohol; proportion of calories from fat, carbohydrate, and protein; saturated to polyunsaturated fatty acid ratio; and sodium content and sodium to potassium ratio. There were no consistent, statistically significant relationships between consumption of these nutrients and serum urate levels.

Systolic and diastolic blood pressure levels of examinees were stratified at the 15 th, 50 th, and 85 th percentiles, and mean serum urate was determined for those within each strata (tables 39-42). For progressively higher systolic pressure strata, the mean serum urate was greater (tables 39 and 40). The differences in serum urate averaged $0.8 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{dl}$ between the lowest and highest strata of systolic pressure. The significant differences between extremes of systolic blood pressure persisted for each sex, race, and age group. When body mass index was controlled, the relationship decreased and became inconsistent for males although generally persisted at the same level for females. A similar relationship was observed when diastolic blood pressure was used as the independent variable (tables 41


Figure 9. Mean serum urate levels in selected strata of weekly ethanol consumption within BMI quartile strata for males and females 25-74 years of age: United States, 1971-74
and 42). The magnitude of differences between the lowest and highest strata was less, averaging $0.5 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{dl}$ of serum urate. The differences in serum urate between the lowest and highest strata of disatolic pressure generally persisted across age, sex, and race groups. However, when body mass index was controlled there were no consistent differences among men or among women. The positive trends persisted but with considerably less quantitative differences. Therefore, serum urate is directly related to blood pressure, but the relationship is related partially to the confounding effect of body mass, particularly in males.

## Demographic and behavioral variables

Socioeconomic status, general well-being scores, and the reported use of oral contraceptive agents and tobacco were examined, but no relationship was found with serum urate levels.

## Clinical hematology and biochemistries

No significant or consistent relationship was found between serum urate and hemoglobin concentration.

For progressively higher levels of serum glutamic oxalacetic transaminase (SGOT), the serum urate concentrations were significantly higher (table 43, figure 10). The mean difference in serum urate was 1.4 $\mathrm{mag} / \mathrm{dl}$ between groups with the lowest and highest level of SGOT. This significant difference persisted through all sex, race, and age groups except females ages $65-74$ years. When body mass index was controlled, the differences in serum urate level between the highest and lowest SGOT strata were slightly less, averaging $0.76 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{dl}$ or half the difference when BMI was not controlled. Therefore, the relationship between SGOT and serum uric acid is consistent, although it is partially attributable to a confounding relationship to body mass index.


Figure 10. Mean serum urate levels in percentile strata of serum glutamic oxalacetic transaminase (SGOT) within BMI quartile strata for males and females 25-74 years of age: United States, 1971-75

Significant differences in mean serum urate levels were found between those in the lowest and highest strata of serum calcium concentration (table 44). The mean difference was $0.77 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{dl}$ for the total group. This relationship was present for both sexes and races, but the magnitude of difference was greater for females and black respondents. When age was controlled, a significant, positive relationship was observed except for those ages 55-64 years. When both age and sex were controlled, significant differences were present only for males ages $35-44$ and 65-74 years and for females ages $25-34$ and $65-74$ years. The relationship in females persisted after controlling for BMI but was not significant in males when BMI was considered. No relationship was found with serum inorganic phosphate.

## Multivariate analyses

Variables found to have consistent and important relationships to serum urate were entered as inde-
pendent variables in a regression on the dependent variable, serum urate (table C). Males and females were analyzed separately. Serum urate levels were available only on those examined in the detailed and augmentation surveys and, therefore, this analysis comprised data from 2,731 male and 3,038 female respondents. Relatively little variance was explained as indicated by $R^{2}=0.14$ for males and 0.20 for females. The independent variables with the greatest beta weights for males and females were body mass index, ethanol consumption, and SGOT. For females, age and serum calcium concentration also had relatively high beta weights, while serum calcium had a lesser, but significant beta weight in males. For both males and females, systolic blood pressure levels made a minor but statistically significant contribution, while socioeconomic status, serum cholesterol, and serum magnesium gave minor, statistically insignificant predictions for serum urate concentrations.

Table C. Standardized beta coefficients and standard errors for regression of serum urate on selected variables, by sex for adults ages 25-74 years: United States, 1971-75


NOTE: For race, $1=$ white, $2=$ black, other racial groups excluded.
$\mathrm{N}=$ number of examinees.
$R=$ multiple correlation coefficient.

## Discussion

Nutritional variables have important relationships to serum cholesterol and serum urate in a population representative of U.S. adults. Among these assessments of nutritional status and dietary intake, body mass and adiposity have the most pervasive and clearly demonstrable relationships.

Body mass index (weight/height ${ }^{2}$ ) and skinfold thickness, which indirectly measures subcutaneous adiposity, are directly related to serum cholesteroll and serum urate. This association is present at all ages in adults, for both sexes, and for white and black persons. The magnitude of the association indicates that the relationship has biologic importance. The mean difference in serum cholesterol between the lowest and highest quintile strata of body mass index (BMI) was 31.2 milligrams/deciliter which represents values 16 percent higher in the highest quintile stratum than in the lowest quintile stratum. Similarly, the difference in mean serum urate between the lowest and highest BMI quintile strata was $1.2 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{dl}$ or 22 percent higher. When combined skinfold thickness (skinfolds at the triceps and subscapular sites) was used as the independent variable, the relationship was similar in magnitude for serum cholesterol but somewhat less for serum urate.

The BMI reflects several tissues (e.g., bone, muscle, and adipose), while skinfold thickness represents primarily adipose tissue. Body mass index and skinfold thickness are intercorrelated, and both have similar relationships to serum cholesterol. Therefore, it is reasonable to infer that their common relationship to cholesterol levels is predicated primarily on a relationship to adiposity. On the other hand, skinfold thickness has a somewhat weaker relationship to serum urate than does BMI, and one might infer that another component measured by BMI-perhaps muscle mass or skeletal frame size-has a relationship to serum urate levels. This inference regarding muscle mass is compatible with the consistently higher levels in males, who have proportionately greater muscle and skeletal mass and less adiposity than females, but sex
differences in testosterone also provide a reasonable explanation. ${ }^{32}$

Dietary correlates with serum cholesterol levels were generally inconsistent and of relatively small magnitude. Dietary intake as determined by either the food frequency questionnaire or 24 -hour dietary recall did not have statistically significant or consistent relationships with serum cholesterol. Based on interpopulational or intercultural studies and clinical investigations carried out in controlled settings, a relationship would be expected between serum cholesterol and dietary fat, both the amount and type of lipid. ${ }^{33-35}$ This anticipated relationship is formalized in the Keys and Hegsted equations, which relate dietary cholesterol and saturated fat directly to serum cholesterol and polyunsaturated fat inversely. ${ }^{16,17}$ However, in this cross-sectional survey, no important relationships were found between serum cholesterol and these formulas or their component parameters, saturated fatty acids, polyunsaturated fatty acids, and cholesterol. A similar lack of relationship has been reported in other cross-sectional studies of homogeneous populations. ${ }^{36-40}$

On the other hand, longitudinal studies of populations and studies on metabolic units tend to corroborate a relationship between dietary lipids and serum cholesterol. $5,16,17$ The reasons for the disparate findings are unknown but deserve comment. A major problem in population studies is the relatively large withinindividual variability of reported dietary intake. This intraindividual variability often exceeds the variability between individuals, particularly in a population with relatively homogeneous eating patterns and food sources. When a single assessment is made in a crosssectional survey, this problem is magnified and the opportunity to find a relationship diminished. The use of multiple assessments of 24 -hour recall or diet diaries decreases the intraindividual variability and can improve the correlation between dietary intake and serum cholesterol. ${ }^{40,41}$ It is also apparent from this survey that use of a food frequency questionnaire that assesses customary eating patterns in the preceding 3
months affords no better relationship with serum cholesterol than does a single 24 -hour recall. Moreover, in developing predictive models, it should be appreciated that dietary variables will have less predictive power than other nutritional measurements (body mass index, serum biochemistries) that have less variability. In this survey and in a similar study by Shekelle et al., ${ }^{5}$ dietary lipid intake explained far less variance of serum cholesterol than did body mass index. Although the results from this survey do not support the hypothesis that dietary lipid relates to serum cholesterol, they also do not refute an association. Rather, it seems fair to conclude that a large cross-sectional survey of the U.S. population is unlikely to display a clear relationship between dietary intake and serum cholesterol unless the variability of dietary measurement can be decreased.

Several physiological measurements and clinical biochemistry parameters were related to serum cholesterol. Systolic and diastolic blood pressure were directly related to cholesterol levels, and this association obtained for each race, sex, and age group. Because the use of diuretic agents by hypertensive patients may spuriously elevate serum cholesterol, patients receiving antihypertensive medication were removed from analysis. ${ }^{29}$ Moreover, controlling for body mass index did not alter this relationship. This is important because body mass is a potentially confounding variable as it is related to both blood pressure and serum cholesterol. However, in multiple regression analysis, systolic blood pressure added little independent explanation of variance. A similar association between higher blood pressure levels and greater serum cholesterol has been found in children and adolescents. ${ }^{42-44}$

The health implications of this association are important. The clustering of two major risk factors for ischemic heart disease in the same individual would have a synergistic effect on atherogenesis and make identification and intervention in these individuals particularly important. Further, it is important to recognize the additive risk association because neither risk factor, blood pressure or serum cholesterol may be sufficiently elevated to attract notice, although the risk of ischemic heart disease would be increased when the synergistic effects are considered. ${ }^{45,46}$

In addition to diet, several other life patterns were explored for an association with serum cholesterol. Current use of oral contraceptives was associated with higher levels of total serum cholesterol, but this effect was noted only in younger women ages 18-24 years, although found for both white and black women, and the effect was independent of body mass index. Cigarette smoking was not related to total serum cholesterol levels, although other studies have indicated that it may be associated with lower levels of high density lipoproteins without producing a major change in total serum cholesterol. ${ }^{47}$ Lipoprotein fractions were
not measured in NHANES I; therefore, this possibility could not be tested.

Demographic factors were associated with serum cholesterol levels. Survey respondents classified in the lower socioeconomic group by income and educational attainment had significantly higher mean cholesterol values than those who were in the upper middle socioeconomic group. This relationship was observed for both sexes and white and black respondents, and it was independent of BMI but was confounded by age. The inverse relationship between socioeconomic class and serum cholesterol was observed in respondents ages 18-44 years, but a direct relationship was found for persons ages 55-74 years. This reversal of pattern suggests a cohort effect. The cohort of persons age 55 years and over and in the upper middle socioeconomic class may have experienced environmental influences that differ from younger individuals in the same socioeconomic class. For example, the older cohort may have had eating patterns and life styles linked to socioeconomic status that lead to higher serum cholesterol levels, while the reverse was true for the younger cohort. This speculation is compatible with the observed secular changes in eating patterns and mortality from ischemic heart disease that have characterized the past 20 years. ${ }^{48}$

Perceived health status, which reflects self-evaluated psychological well-being, was not related to serum cholesterol levels. This lack of association contrasts somewhat with the inverse relationship between blood pressure and self-assessed well-being that was found in NHANES I. ${ }^{7}$ Moreover, the lack of association provides no support for the concept that emotional or psychological tone affects serum cholesterol.

Several hematologic and clinical biochemistry measurements were related to serum cholesterol. Hemoglobin concentration was positively associated with serum cholesterol levels. This relationship was particularly prominent for females, in whom a mean cholesterol difference of $20 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{dl}$ was found between the lowest and highest 15 -percent strata of hemoglobin. The comparable difference in males was 10 $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{dl}$ when the same strata were used. Moreover, a positive association persisted in females after controlling for age and body mass, while the relationship became inconsistent in males with these factors controlled. There is no obvious explanation for the association. One might speculate that a dietary constituent, such as meat, might be related to hemoglobin and cholesterol levels, but in univariate analyses no association was found between serum cholesterol and protein intake.

Strong associations were found between serum cholesterol and levels of serum calcium, and, though unanticipated, the relationship was consistent and potentially important. Mean serum cholesterol differences between the highest ( $85-100$ ) percentile and lowest ( $0-15$ ) percentile strata of serum calcium and
magnesium were $29 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{dl}$ and $19 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{dl}$, respectively. Therefore, those in the highest strata for these elements had cholesterol values 9 to 17 percent higher than those in the lowest strata. The pattern was present for both sexes, white and black races, and all ages. When body mass index was controlled, the differences in serum cholesterol were increased. In multiple regression analysis, serum calcium concentration had a beta weight that was greater than that for other independent variables except age. This multivariate analysis corroborates the strong, independent relationship to serum cholesterol.

A similar though less robust relationship was found between serum magnesium and cholesterol concentration. Serum inorganic phosphate concentration, which varies reciprocally with serum calcium levels, did not have a relationship to serum cholesterol. The similarity of calcium and magnesium relationships to serum cholesterol is not surprising. Both ions have important roles in neuromuscular transmission, and they share many metabolic characteristics with respect to absorption, storage, and excretion. ${ }^{49,50}$ Additionally, nutrition deprivation and disease states associated with abnormalities in one are often accompanied by parallel changes in the other, and both are influenced by parathyroid hormones and renal function. However, no metabolic concept for these ions affords a biologic explanation for their association with levels of circulating cholesterol, and no disease states marked by excesses or deficiencies of calcium or magnesium are accompanied by striking changes in serum cholesterol. A possible explanation is the binding or chelation of calcium of phospholipid, the concentration of which is directly related to cholesterol levels. This provocative relationship deserves further investigation.

Among the other biochemical parameters, serum glutamic oxalacetic transaminase (SGOT) and serum urate had consistent and quantitatively important relationships with serum cholesterol concentration. Higher strata of SGOT were associated with higher values for serum cholesterol. There was a graduated increase in mean cholesterol across the strata of SGOT, with a mean difference of $13 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{dl}$ between the highest and lowest groups. This trend persisted after accounting for race and sex, but the differences in serum cholesterol were diminished or reversed in respondents ages $55-74$ years and in males when body mass index was controlled. SGOT is frequently used to screen for mild liver inflammation or impairment, and in a well population the most common liver toxin is alcohol. It seems likely, therefore, that this relationship reflects liver inflammation secondary to alcohol and a resultant modest increase in serum cholesterol. One might speculate further that the mechanism is an increase in very low density lipoproteins (VLDL), which can accompany altered hepatic metabolism. The VLDL fraction transports a portion of cholesterol, and elevation of this fraction would be associated with an
increase in total serum cholesterol. In NHANES I, serum cholesterol was the only lipid measured, and, therefore, this hypothesis cannot be explored further.

Serum cholesterol and serum urate levels were directly related, and adjustment for sex, race, and age did not change this relationship. When body mass was controlled, the same pattern obtained, but the quantitative differences were somewhat less, particularly in males, indicating that adiposity influences the relationship. Studies in other groups and populations have found a relationship between levels of serum urate and serum triglyceride or serum cholesterol. ${ }^{51,52}$ In some studies, these relationships dissipated when weight was controlled. ${ }^{51}$ The postulated mechanism is similar to that linking SGOT and cholesterol levels. Obesity and perhaps the metabolic pathways linked to production of uric acid are associated with increased production and secretion of VLDL, whose lipid fraction comprises primarily triglyceride and cholesterol. Thus, several metabolic situations including diabetes mellitus and alcohol ingestion would be accompanied by elevated VLDL, serum triglyceride, and, to a lesser degree, increased serum cholesterol. Obesity may coexist in these situations and further confound the relationship.

Serum urate measurements were available on the detailed and augmentation samples of respondents ages 25-74 years. Serum urate level means were 1.5 $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{dl}$ higher in males than in females, and a mean difference of $0.4 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{dl}$ was found between white and black persons. In successively older groups of men, there were slightly higher levels of serum urate through age 64 years for black men, but little difference for white men. However, in women, mean serum urate was considerably higher in successively older groups for both racial groups through age 64 years and the differences between ages 25 and 74 years averaged 0.8 to $1.0 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{dl}$. These sex differences in serum urate and the male and female trends after age 25 are similar to those reported in a population survey of Tecumseh, Michigan ${ }^{53}$ and in studies of representative populations in Japan ${ }^{54}$ and Israel. ${ }^{55}$ In NHANES I and in the Tecumseh study, the higher mean values with increasing age reflect skewing of the distribution to higher values. The finding of higher values in males persists in studies of even diverse populations as does the rise in serum urate levels in progressively older female groups, while male levels are not related to age after 25 years. This does not result from age-related increases in weight in females because the age trends in urate persist after adjusting for body mass index. Menopause may have an influence on this age-related increase in women, although the mechanism is unknown. ${ }^{54}$

The small but consistent difference between black and white examinees persists at all ages and after body mass is controlled. Ethnic variations have been noted in cross-cultural and interpopulational studies, ${ }^{32}$ including a small ( $0.5 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{dl}$ ) but consistently higher serum urate level in white adolescents. ${ }^{54,56}$ The explanation for
racial differences in the United States is not apparent, but it would not seem to be related to differences in dietary intake, particularly of purine-containing foods, as none of the estimates of food intake, except alcohol, were related to serum urate in this survey. Only small and inconsistent differences in serum urate were noted by geographic region, with levels being slightly but not significantly higher in the Northeast and Midwest, but the differences were $0.1 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{dl}$ or less.

Reported alcohol consumption was the only dietary variable having an important relationship to serum urate levels. Abstainers, who comprised 27 percent of the adults, had consistently lower levels of uric acid than those who consumed alcohol. At progressively greater levels of reported alcohol intake, serum urate was higher. This relationship held for each sex and race group and at all ages from 25 to 74 years. Interestingly, the differences between black and white persons with respect to serum urate levels were diminished when respondents were categorized by alcohol consumption. Controlling for body mass did not change the pattern of relationship to alcohol ingestion in any subgroup. Historically, excessive alcohol consumption has been related to gout, although the relationship was partially due to associated lead ingestion, which produces Saturnine gout. ${ }^{57}$ Excessive acute alcohol ingestion to the point of inebriation commonly promotes elevated uric acid levels, which lead to suppressed renal excretion of uric acid and result in hyperuricemia. On the other hand, only a relatively few reports indicate habitual alcohol intake in noninebriating amounts leads to higher mean serum urate levels. ${ }^{58,59}$ The postulated mechanisms for the effect of chronic intake of ethanol include changes in purine synthesis, extracellular fluid volume changes, and the increased ingestion of purines that are present in considerable quantities in beer. ${ }^{32}$

A direct relationship was also found between serum urate and SGOT. This association could be related to the confounding influence of chronic alcohol ingestion, which can produce an elevation of both serum urate and SGOT. However, in the multivariate analysis, both reported alcohol ingestion and SGOT level were independently related to serum urate levels. This suggests that each of these independent variables makes a unique contribution of the variance of serum urate. A possible explanation is that both alcohol ingestion and subtle hepatic inflammation relate to uric acid metabolism, perhaps through different mechanisms. For example, alcohol ingestion may affect renal excretion of urate and thereby lead to elevated serum levels. Alcohol, particularly with large or prolonged ingestion, may also result in subtle changes in hepatic metabolism that affect the rate of synthesis of uric acid. This speculation cannot be resolved by further population data but requires investigation of metabolic alterations.

Among the other clinical biochemistries, serum
calcium had a significant relationship to serum urate when the effects of age and body mass index were taken into account. At progressively greater serum calcium concentrations, serum urate levels were higher, and the difference was $0.77 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{dl}$ of serum urate between the lowest and highest 15 percentile strata of serum calcium. The relationship was consistent in both sexes and in white and black respondents, although it was not consistent over all ages. The association was significant in the multiple regression analysis, and the beta weight was similar to those for SGOT and ethanol consumption. Interestingly, serum magnesium had an inconsistent negative relationship with uric acid in the univariate analysis but a relatively robust negative beta weight in the multiple regression analysis for males. No biologic mechanism or explanation is apparent, but it is of interest that serum calcium and serum magnesium have contrasting rather than similar relationships, a situation not found for their associations with blood pressure ${ }^{7}$ or serum cholesterol relationships.

Two measures of social and psychological status were available, but neither had a clear or consistent relationship to serum urate concentration. The general well-being questionnaire, which assesses self-reported psychological and physical well-being, had no association with urate levels. Socioeconomic status, summarized from income level and educational attainment of head of household, also had no association. These observations contrast with reports that uric acid levels are higher in those with higher "social class" or educational attainment. ${ }^{60,61}$ Observations suggesting a relationship have been made in groups specifically selected to provide sharp contrasts or dichotomies in achievement. In a general population survey, the extremes of achievement are not so sharply drawn. For example, in a survey of Israeli men, educational attainment had a relatively weak though significant association. ${ }^{5 s}$ However, this does not detract from the interesting finding that economic and educational achievement are not related to serum urate in the general U.S. population.

Although the association between uric acid and blood pressure observed in this survey is discussed in a companion report, ${ }^{7}$ the relationship deserves comment. Serum urate was related to systolic and diastolic pressure in each sex, race, and age group, and adjusting for body mass index decreased but did not remove this association. Other population studies ${ }^{55,62}$ have found a similar relationship that persists after adjustment for weight or body mass. The reason for this association and the potential biologic mechanisms that might be responsible are not clear, but most speculation has been directed to the kidney and its role in excretion of uric acid and in control of blood pressure.

In multiple regression analysis, only four variables contributed independently to explanation of serum
urate levels. Body mass index offered the greatest explanation of variance, but alcohol intake, serum calcium, and SGOT also made significant and independent contributions. Other variables with relationships in univariate analysis but no independent relationship in the multivariate analysis include race, blood pressure, serum cholesterol, and serum magnesium. Therefore, the association for these variables was accounted for by the other significant variables.

The general caveats regarding inferences that may be drawn from a large survey were stated at the outset of this report and deserve comment here. It is apparent that a survey because of its cross-sectional nature cannot effectively describe variables that are associated with subsequent development of disease, that is, risk factors. Physiologic variables and behavior patterns measured at the time of the survey may be altered by prior disease manifestations or treatment, and this may bias or confound valid relationships. In analysis, it is possible to minimize this effect by removing individuals with a prior diagnosis of disease or who receive treatment. However, these individuals are usually important to a relationship, and their removal in analysis may weaken or blur a valid association.

A second reservation relates to the representativeness of variables measured on a single occasion and the variability of the measurement. The two problems are related and are particularly important considerations with respect to nutritional variables. The crosssectional data from this survey indicate that there are rather marked changes in dietary patterns with age. There may even be a cohort effect with some patterns changing within younger groups who may have responded to public advice regarding diet. Similarly, there are age-related changes in weight, blood pressure, and serum cholesterol that can enhance or negate relationships with other variables.

In addition to the problem of long-term representativeness of a single assessment of dietary patterns, there is considerable variability of that single measurement with respect to characterization of current dietary intake. This problem has been discussed elsewhere, and the conclusion from both theoretical
explorations and practical experiences, including that gained in NHANES I, would indicate that current methodology of dietary recall and food frequency questionnaires are unlikely to disclose strong relationships with other variables. In a population with relatively homogeneous dietary patterns, the variability of the measurement, both in terms of technologic measurement and day-to-day variability, exceeds the differences among individuals. The food frequency questionnaire probably affords a more representative assessment of customary dietary intake than does a single 24 -hour dietary recall, but the precision of measurement is worse and is semiquantitative at best. Food frequency questionnaires can supplement or confirm eating patterns determined by 24 -hour recall, but there is no feasible means of combining quantitative and nonquantitative assessments. When the variability of assessed dietary intake is relatively great, it follows that parameters having less variability (e.g., body mass index and serum biochemistries) will display stronger relationships than dietary variables. Therefore, body mass index and serum biochemistries, which have little variability and can be well-controlled measurements, will appear to have more robust relationships than dietary variables even if a relatively strong relationship were to exist with a dietary variable.

Data from NHANES I can be used as an epidemiologic tool to define interrelationships among cardiovascular variables and nutritional characteristics. The analyses presented here confirm and extend some recognized relationships and suggest others that could be profitably explored. Body mass and, more specifically, adiposity were related to serum cholesterol and urate. From the NHANES I data, it is clear that this relationship extended across all adult age groups and to both sexes and races. Among the unanticipated associations was a consistent, direct relationship between serum cholesterol and urate and serum calcium and magnesium. While the relationships may be an artifact related to binding of calcium by lipoprotein components, this provocative finding deserves further investigation.
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15. Serum cholesterol levels of adults ages 18-74 years within linoleic to saturated fatty acid intake ratio strata showing means and standard errors of means by sex, race, age and body mass index: United States, 1971-74
16. Serum cholesterol levels of adult males ages 18-74 years within dietary cholesterol strata showing means and standard errors of means by race, age and body mass index: United States, 1971-74
17. Serum cholesterol levels of adult females ages 18-74 years within dietary cholesterol strata showing means and standard errors of means by race, age and body mass index: United States, 1971-74
18. Serum cholesterol levels of adults ages 18-74 years within dietary sodium/potassium ratio strata showing means and standard errors of means by sex, race, age and body mass index: United States, 1971-74
19. Serum cholesterol levels of adult females ages 18-74 years by extent of use of oral contraceptive agents showing means and standard errors of means by race, age and body mass index: United States, 1971-74
20. Serum cholesterol levels of adults ages 18-74 years within socioeconomic class strata showing means and standard errors of means by race, age and body mass index: United States, 1971-74
21. Serum cholesterol levels of adults ages 18-74 years within geographic region showing means and standard errors of means by race, age and body mass index: United States, 1971-74
22. Serum cholesterol levels of adult males ages 25-74 years within total general well-being strata showing means and standard errors of means by race, age and body mass index: United States, 1971-7553
23. Serum cholesterol levels of adult females ages 25-74 years within total general well-being strata showing means and standard errors of means by race, age and body mass index: United States, 1971-75
24. Serum cholesterol levels of adult males ages 18-74 years within hemoglobin strata showing means and standard errors of means by race, age and body mass index: United States, 1971-74
25. Serum cholesterol levels of adult females ages 18-74 years within hemoglobin strata showing means and standard errors of means by race, age and body mass index: United States, 1971-74
26. Serum cholesterol levels of adults ages 25-74 years within SGOT strata showing means and standard errors of means by sex, race, age and body mass index: United States, 1971-75...
27. Serum cholesterol levels of adults ages 25-74 years within serum calcium strata showing means and standard errors of means by sex, race, age and body mass index: United States, 1971-75
28. Serum cholesterol levels of adults ages 25-74 years within serum magnesium strata showing means and standard errors of means by sex, race, age and body mass index: United States, 1971-75
29. Serum cholesterol levels of adult males ages 25-74 years within serum urate strata showing means and standard errors of means by race, age and body mass index: United States, 1971-75
30. Serum cholesterol levels of adult females ages 25-74 years within serum urate strata showing means and standard errors of means by race, age and body mass index: United States, 1971-75
31. Serum urate levels of adults ages $25-74$ years showing means, standard erros of means and selected percentiles by sex and race: United States, 1971-75
32. Serum urate levels of adult males ages $25-74$ years within body mass index strata showing means and standard errors of means by race and age: United States, 1971-75
33. Serum urate levels of adult females ages 25-74 years within body mass index strata showing means and standard errors of means by race and age: United States, 1971-75 $\qquad$
34. Serum urate levels of adult males ages 25-74 years within total skinfold (triceps and subscapular) thickness strata showing means and standard errors of means by race and age: United States, 1971-7565
35. Serum urate levels of adult females ages 25-74 years within total skinfold (triceps and subscapular) thickness strata showing means and standard errors of means by race and age: United States, 1971-75
36. Serum urate levels of adults ages 25-74 years within geographic region strata showing means and standard errors of means by race, age and body mass index: United States, 1971-75
37. Serum urate levels of adults ages 25-74 years within strata of weekly ethanol consumption showing means and standard errors of means by sex, race, age and body mass index: United States, 1971-74

$$
68
$$

38. Serum urate levels of adults ages 25-74 years within strata of dietary purine showing means and standard errors of means by sex, race, age and body mass index: United States, 1971-74

69
39. Serum urate levels of adult males ages 25-74 years within systolic blood pressure level strata showing means and standard errors of means by race, age and body mass index: United States, 1971-75

$$
\text { ... } 70
$$

40. Serum urate levels of adult female ages 25-74 years within systolic blood pressure level strata showing means and standard errors of means by race, age and body mass index: United States, 1971-75.
41. Serum urate levels of adult males ages 25-74 years within diastolic blood pressure level strata showing means and standard errors of means by race, age and body mass index: United States, 1971-75.
42. Serum urate levels of adult females ages 25-74 years within diastolic blood pressure level strata showing means and standard errors of means by race, age and body mass index: United States, 1971-75
43. Serum urate levels of adults ages $25-74$ years within SGOT strata showing means and standard errors of means by sex, race, age and body mass index: United States, 1971-75.
44. Serum urate levels of adults ages $25-74$ years within serum calcium strata showing means and standard errors of means by sex, race, age and body mass index: United States, 1971-75

Table 1. Serum cholestrol levels of adult males ages $18-74$ years within body mass index strata showing means and standard errors of means by race and age: United States,

${ }^{1}$ Excludes "other" racial groups.

Table 2. Serum cholesterol levels of adult females ages $18-74$ years within body mass index strata showing means and standard errors of means by race and age: United States,

| Race and age | Body mass index (kilograms/meters²) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Less than 20.6325 |  |  | 20.6325-22.8135 |  |  | 22.8136-25.3195 |  |  | 25.3196-29.3295 |  |  | 29.3296 or more |  |  |
|  | Mean | Standard error of mean | Number of examinees | Mean | Standard error of mean |  | Mean | Standard error of mean | $\begin{gathered} \text { Number } \\ \text { of } \\ \text { examinees } \end{gathered}$ | Mean | Standard error of mean | Number of examinees | Mean | Standard error of mean | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Number } \\ \text { of } \\ \text { examinees } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
|  | Milligrams/deciliter |  |  | Milligrams/deciliter |  | Milligrams/deciliter |  |  | Milligrams/deciliter |  |  | Milligrams/deciliter |  |  | 1,660 |
| Total' ...................... | 194.3 | 1.57 | 1,661 | 205.9 | 1.70 | 1,661 | 217.2 | 1.45 | 1,658 | 229.3 | 2.25 | 1,660 | 230.1 | 2.32 |  |
| Race | 194.3193.3 | $\begin{aligned} & 1.69 \\ & 3.05 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1,413 \\ 248 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 206.5 \\ & 197.5 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.85 \\ & 4.76 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1,468 \\ 193 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 217.9 \\ & 209.6 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.38 \\ & 4.90 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1,396 \\ 262 \end{array}$ | 230.0224.3 | 2.533.59 | $\begin{array}{r} 1,302 \\ 358 \end{array}$ | 230.7227.2 | 2.513.91 | $\begin{array}{r} 1,171 \\ 489 \end{array}$ |
| White....................... |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Black........................ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Age 18-24 years.......... |  |  |  | 182.4 | 2.24 |  |  |  | 282 | 192.4 | 5.09 | 175 | 200.0 | 4.77 | 133 |
| 18-24 years............. | 175.0 187.3 | 2.50 1.85 | 502 | 189.5 | 1.93 | 447 | 198.0 | 2.91 | 360 | 203.9 | 2.32 | 268 | 202.8 | 3.89 | 296 |
| 25-34 years..................... | 188.3 198.4 | 1.85 2.99 | 502 265 | 201.5 | 1.42 | 349 | 204.5 | 2.41 | 329 | 215.2 | 3.46 | 334 | 214.7 | 2.95 | 357 |
| 45-54 years.............. | 212.7 | 4.84 | 100 | 223.0 | 3.28 | 159 | 236.5 | 4.09 | 171 | 240.8 | 4.23 | 204 | 237.6 | 7.45 | 193 |
| 55-64 years............. | 238.6 | 8.03 | 73 | 250.3 | 5.48 | 80 | 238.9 | 4.85 | 144 | 247.7 | 4.13 | 171 | 249.4 | $\begin{array}{r}3.80 \\ \hline 293\end{array}$ | 199 |
| 65-74 years............. | 235.1 | 5.19 | 191 | 246.3 | 3.02 | 250 | 250.5 | 3.48 | 372 | 253.7 | 3.43 | 508 | 253.6 | 2.93 | 482 |

'Excludes "other" racial groups.

Table 3. Serum cholesterol levels of adult males ages 18-74 years within total skinfold (triceps and subscapular) thickness strata showing means and standard errors of means by race and age: United States, 1971-74

| Race and age | Total skinfold thickness (millimeters) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Less than 16.5 |  |  | 16.5-22.9 |  |  | 23.0-28.9 |  |  | 29.0-36.4 |  |  | 36.5 or more |  |  |
|  | Mean | Standard error of mean | Number of examinees | Mean | Standard error of mean | $\begin{gathered} \text { Number } \\ \text { of } \\ \text { examinees } \end{gathered}$ | Mean | Siandard error of mean |  | Mean | Standard error of mean |  | Mean | Standard error of mean | $\begin{gathered} \text { Number } \\ \text { of } \\ \text { examinees } \end{gathered}$ |
|  | Milligrams/deciliter |  |  | Milligrams/deciliter |  |  | Milligrams/deciliter |  |  | Milligrams/deciliter |  |  | Milligrams/deciliter |  |  |
| Total1 ........................ | 191.6 | 1.59 | 1,030 | 205.9 | 1.57 | 1,149 | 217.9 | 2.10 | 1,013 | 220.6 | 2.06 | 972 | 221.76 | 2.39 | 1,015 |
| Race |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| White........................ | 191.2 | 1.90 | 748 | 205.1 | 1.64 | 977 | 218.2 | 2.22 | 903 | 220.4 | 2.19 | 846 | 221.2 | 2.26 | 860 |
| Black........................ | 193.4 | 4.00 | 282 | 203.8 | 4.85 | 172 | 214.1 | 7.06 | 110 | 223.1 | 3.91 | 126 | 226.6 | 8.80 | 155 |
| Age |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 18-24 years............. | 169.1 | 3.10 | 260 | 170.0 | 2.79 | 180 | 183.6 | 4.38 | 116 | 194.3 | 4.14 | 88 | 191.9 | 4.82 | 112 |
| 25-34 years............. | 189.5 | 3.37 | 147 | 194.2 | 3.37 | 183 | 203.2 | 3.93 | 151 | 210.6 | 3.74 | 135 | 207.5 | 4.07 | 170 |
| 35-44 years .............. | 207.8 | 5.76 | 100 | 223.0 | 3.56 | 133 | 223.4 | 5.06 | 129 | 220.0 | 3.82 | 150 | 224.3 | 4.55 | 145 |
| 45-54 years .............. | 205.1 | 5.86 | 114 | 218.5 | 3.95 | 165 | 234.6 | 4.41 | 148 | 237.8 | 4.54 | 152 | 237.4 | 4.80 | 181 |
| 55-64 years .............. | 211.7 | 4.97 | 92 | 227.4 | 3.10 | 131 | 235.2 | 5.77 | 124 | 229.6 | 4.08 | 123 | 236.7 | 6.94 | 114 |
| 65-74 years .............. | 212.6 | 3.07 | 317 | 224.1 | 2.87 | 357 | 228.3 | 3.64 | 345 | 229.0 | 3.68 | 324 | 233.7 | 4.85 | 293 |

1Excludes "other" racial groups

Table 4. Serum cholesterol levels of adult females ages 18-74 years within total skinfold (triceps and subscapular) thickness strata showing means and standard errors of means by Table 4. Serum cholesterol levels of adult females ages 18-74 years within total skinfold (triceps and subscapula)
race and age: United States, 1971-74

| Race and age | Total skinfold thickness (millimeters) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Less than 26.5 |  |  | 26.5-34.9 |  |  | 35.0-44.4 |  |  | 44.5-56.9 |  |  | 57.0 or more |  |  |
|  | Mean | Standard error of mean | Number of examinees | Mean | Standand error of mean | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Number } \\ & \text { of } \\ & \text { examinees } \end{aligned}$ | Mean | Standard error of mean | $\begin{gathered} \text { Number } \\ \text { of } \\ \text { examinees } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Mean | Standard error of mean |  | Mean | Standard error of mean | $\begin{gathered} \text { Number } \\ \text { of } \\ \text { examinees } \end{gathered}$ |
|  | Milligrams/deciliter |  |  | Milligrams/deciliter |  |  | Milligrams/deciliter |  | $\underline{\text { Milligrams/deciliter }}$ |  |  |  | Milligrams/deciliter |  | 1,637 |
| Total ${ }^{1} . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . ~$ | 196.1 | 2.00 | 1,652 | 208.1 | 2.05 | 1,720 | 216.7 | 1.76 | 1,617 | 227.0 | 1.81 | 1,674 | 225.9 | 1.83 |  |
| Race |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2.21 |  |
| White........................ | 196.5 | 2.21 | 1,364 | 207.8 | 2.04 | 1,496 | 217.7 | 1.75 | 1,404 | 227.4 223.6 | 1.92 4.08 | $\begin{array}{r} 1,337 \\ 337 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 226.3 \\ & 224.2 \end{aligned}$ | 2.21 3.33 | 1,149 488 |
| Black........................ | 192.9 | 3.00 | 288 | 213.0 | 5.93 | 224 | 203.8 | 4.09 | 213 | 223.6 | 4.08 | 337 |  |  |  |
| Age |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2.57 | 262 | 189.1 | 4.33 | 197 | 202.2 | 4.20 | 150 |
| 18-24 years.............. | 174.1 | 2.42 | 508 | 183.7 | 3.02 2.37 | 379 | 184.4 | 2.07 | 336 | 199.4 | 2.81 | 319 | 201.2 | 2.88 | 320 |
| 25-34 years............. | 187.6 197.9 | 2.08 3.21 | 464 268 | 192.0 | 2.37 3.41 | 434 307 | 196.1 208.8 | 2.07 2.72 | 316 | 199.4 213.0 | 2.14 | 342 | 210.0 | 2.53 | 401 |
| 35-44 years.............. | 197.9 217.5 | 3.21 4.68 | 268 100 | 224.6 | 3.41 4.38 | 128 | 230.8 | 4.95 | 169 | 239.7 | 4.52 | 204 | 236.9 | 5.02 | 226 |
| 55-64 years.............. | 238.6 | 6.15 | 83 | 243.2 | 5.16 | 113 | 245.6 | 5.08 | 107 | 252.0 | 4.34 | 173 | 243.4 | 4.17 | 191 349 |
| 65-74 years.............. | 236.2 | 4.68 | 229 | 246.0 | 2.90 | 359 | 250.9 | 3.03 | 427 | 254.9 | 2.98 | 439 | 256.5 | 3.45 | 349 |

[^1] mass index: United States, 1971-74


Table 6. Serum cholesterol levels of adult females ages 18-74 years within systolic blood pressure level strata showing means and standard errors of means by race, age and body mass index: United States, 1971-74

| Race, age, and body mass index quartile strata | Systolic blood pressure (millimeters of mercury) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Less than 106 |  |  | 106-119 |  |  | 120-149 |  |  | 150 or more |  |  |
|  | Mean | Standard error of mean | Number of examinees | Mean | Standard error of mean | $\begin{gathered} \text { Number } \\ \text { of } \\ \text { examinees } \end{gathered}$ | Mean | Standard error of mean | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Number } \\ & \text { of } \\ & \text { examinees } \end{aligned}$ | Mean | Standard error of mean | Number of examinees |
|  | Milligrams/deciliter |  | 1,07 | Milligrams/deciliter |  | 2,650 | Milligrams/deciliter |  |  | Milligrams/deciliter |  | 924 |
| Total ${ }^{\text {...................................... }}$ | 192.2 | 1.83 |  | 203.6 | 1.31 |  | 219.3 | 1.73 | 2,678 | 237.7 | 2.80 |  |
| Race |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 192.4 | 1.95 | 883 | 203.7 | 1.37 | 2,211 | 219.4 | 1.86 | 2,251 | 239.7 | 3.11 | 692 |
| Black............................................................ | 189.9 | 5.41 | 187 | 202.9 | 3.31 | 439 | 217.6 | 3.40 | 427 | 226.9 | 3.59 | 232 |
| Age |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 18-24 years............................ | 175.0 | 2.16 | 363 | 185.4 | 2.04 | 741 | 185.0 | 3.31 | 367 556 | 177.9 213.4 | $\cdot$$\quad 9.02$ | 14 42 |
| 25-34 years......................................... | 188.3 | 2.08 | 381 | 194.8 | 1.90 | 860 | 195.6 | 1.49 | 556 | 213.4 | 6.82 | +42 |
| 35-44 years............................. | 201.5 | 2.74 | 209 | 202.5 | 1.88 | 619 | 209.5 | 2.39 | 589 | 218.2 | 4.38 5.99 | 106 |
| 45-54 years........................... | 226.0 | 8.22 | 62 | 231.1 | 3.70 | 222 | 227.5 | 3.47 3.93 | 328 259 | 241.9 | 5.99 6.24 | 143 |
| 55-64 years........................... | 228.2 255.3 | 9.04 16.97 | 26 29 | 242.4 246.3 | 5.96 5.61 | 85 123 | 248.7 | 3.93 2.83 | 259 579 | 241.9 248.2 | 6.24 3.08 | 501 |
| 65-74 years............................ | 255.3 | 16.97 | 29 | 246.3 | 5.61 | 123 | 252.3 | 2.83 | 579 | 240.2 | 3.08 | 501 |
| Body mass index | 178.9 | 3.15 | 303 | 191.7 | 2.43 | 480 | 202.5 | 4.13 | 262 | 241.5 | 15.18 | 55 179 |
| 2d quartile.......................................... | 193.9 | 2.69 | 491 | 197.9 | 1.84 | 1,063 | 210.5 | 2.38 | 811 | 234.3 | 4.59 | 179 |
| 3d quartile........................................... | 205.8 | 2.73 | 248 | 213.7 | 2.53 | 862 | 227.7 | 2.73 | 1,070 | 240.2 | 3.62 | 392 |
| 4th quartile ............................. | 197.4 | 12.86 | 28 | 221.2 | 6.49 | 245 | 225.0 | 3.04 | 535 | 236.1 | 4.90 | 298 |

[^2]Table 7. Serum cholesterol levels of adult males ages $18-74$ years within diastolic blood pressure level strata showing means and standard errors of means by race, age and body


| Race, age, and body mass index quartile strata | Diastolic blood pressure (millimeters of mercury) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Less than 68 |  |  | 68-77 |  |  | 78-89 |  |  | 90 or more |  |  |
|  | Mean | Standard error of mean | Number of examinees | Mean | Standard error of mean | Number of examinees | Mean | Standard error of mean | Number of examinees | Mean | Standard error of mean | Number of examinees |
|  | Milligrams/deciliter |  | 1,101 | Milligrams/deciliter |  | 2,576 | Milligrams/deciliter |  | Milligrams/deciliter |  |  |  |
| Total ${ }^{\text {..................................... }}$ | 192.0 | 1.46 |  | 205.5 | 1.79 |  | 218.9 | 1.57 | 2,718 | 231.4 | 2.86 | 927 |
| Race |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| White....................................... | 191.9 | 1.58 | 930 | 205.7 | 1.86 | 2,204 | 219.4 | 1.69 | 2,238 | 233.2 | 2.97 | 665 |
| Black...................................... | 192.4 | 4.69 | 171 | 203.3 | 4.16 | 372 | 213.8 | 4.41 | 480 | 223.0 | 4.97 | 262 |
| Age |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 18-24 years............................ | 177.1 | 2.45 | 440 | 183.9 | 2.24 | 639 | 185.4 | 2.68 | 365 | 209.5 | 16.84 | 41 |
| 25-34 years............................. | 188.7 | 2.31 | 355 | 193.8 | 1.68 | 764 | 196.7 | 1.75 | 621 | 200.5 | 3.90 | 99 |
| 35-44 years............................ | 195.3 | 2.16 | 161 | 201.8 | 1.88 | 527 | 210.9 | 2.48 | 649 | 212.3 | 2.98 | 186 |
| 45-54 years...................................... | 228.1 | 7.13 | 54 | 226.2 | 3.17 | 219 | 231.4 | 3.60 | 305 | 233.6 | 5.99 | 152 |
| 55-64 years............................ | 248.5 | 12.90 | 22 | 243.3 | 5.04 | 129 | 245.8 | 4.31 | 221 | 244.5 | 4.96 | 141 |
| 65-74 years........................... | 253.7 | 6.17 | 69 | 250.1 | 4.09 | 298 | 251.5 | 2.98 | 557 | 246.9 | 4.30 | 308 |
| Body mass index |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1st quartile | 177.1 | 2.78 | 314 | 189.1 | 2.42 | 447 | 208.7 | 5.35 | 286 | 239.4 | 10.27 | 53 |
| 2d quartile............................... | 192.4 | 2.56 | 489 | 200.9 | 2.30 | 1,071 | 208.0 | 2.09 | 820 | 230.5 | 5.32 | 164 |
| 3d quartile............................... | 208.9 | 3.29 | 260 | 217.0 | 2.77 | 874 | 226.9 | 2.34 | 1,086 | 233.0 | 4.14 | 352 |
| 4th quartile ............................. | 209.7 | 8.92 | 38 | 223.0 | 6.77 | 184 | 227.0 | 3.31 | 526 | 229.2 | 3.90 | 358 |

${ }^{1}$ Excludes "other" racial groups.

| Sex, race, age, and body mass index quartile strata | Frequency of fatty food consumption (times/week) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Less than 17.0 |  |  | 17.0-27.9 |  |  | 28.0-42.4 |  |  | 42.5 or more |  |  |
|  | Mean | Standard error of mean | $\begin{gathered} \text { Number } \\ \text { of } \\ \text { examinees } \end{gathered}$ | Mean | Standard error of mean | Number of examinees | Mean | Standard error of mean | Number of examinees | Mean | Standard error of mean | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Number } \\ & \text { of } \\ & \text { examinees } \end{aligned}$ |
|  | Milligrams/deciliter |  |  | Milligrams/deciliter |  |  | Milligrams/deciliter |  |  | Milligrams/deciliter |  |  |
| Sex |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Male ........................................ | 219.2 | 3.78 | 556 | 210.1 | 1.55 | 1,264 | 209.7 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Female..................................... | 214.7 | 2.06 | 1,047 | 210.3 | 1.59 | 1,264 | 209.7 | 1.81 1.47 | 1,525 $\mathbf{2 , 0 8 6}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 205.3 \\ & 204.3 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.91 \\ & 2.63 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 781 \\ & 750 \end{aligned}$ |
| Race 2.63 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| White | 217.0 | 1.78 | 1,132 | 210.2 | 1.14 | 2,954 | 210.5 | 1.33 | 3,144 | 205.0 | 1.54 |  |
| Black..................................... | 213.6 | 4.76 | 471 | 210.8 | 3.06 | 677 | 202.7 | 3.57 | - 467 | 203.9 | 6.81 | 1,395 136 |
| Age |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 18-24 years............................ | 182.7 | 3.60 | 287 | 178.6 | 1.97 | 631 | 181.4 | 1.86 |  |  |  |  |
| 25-34 years............................ | 201.3 | 4.80 | 303 | 196.2 | 1.70 | 830 | 197.5 | 1.81 | 716 886 | 180.3 | 2.21 2.59 | 425 |
| 35-44 years............................. | 217.9 | 4.20 | 280 | 208.3 | 2.08 | 739 | 214.5 | 2.70 | 686 | 198.4 | 2.59 3.21 | 337 |
| 45-54 years............................ | 232.1 | 4.62 | 192 | 229.5 | 2.93 | 444 | 228.6 | 2.51 | 436 | 222.7 | 3.23 | 170 |
| 55-64 years. | 236.0 240.8 | 4.40 3.48 | 153 | 235.8 | 3.69 | 288 | 240.6 | 4.01 | 263 | 238.7 | 5.15 | 115 |
| 65-74 years.......................... | 240.8 | 3.48 | 388 | 242.0 | 2.44 | 699 | 239.1 | 3.87 | 677 | 235.7 | 3.91 | 246 |
| Male $20.0{ }^{(1)}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 18-24 years............................ | 173.6 | 4.16 | 65 | 177.7 | 3.51 | 168 | 179.2 | 2.67 | 279 | 177.6 | 2.84 |  |
| 25-34 years........................... | 212.9 | 10.28 | 62 | 202.5 | 3.08 | 226 | 200.5 | 2.87 | 307 | 199.1 | 2.84 3.72 | 199 |
| 35-44 years............................. | 222.5 | 7.63 | 66 | 215.6 | 3.59 | 189 | 220.6 | 5.32 | 195 | 217.4 | 4.33 | 137 |
| 45-54 years............................ | 235.0 | 6.71 | 83 | 224.2 | 3.45 | 198 | 233.3 | 3.73 | 221 | 224.9 |  | 114 109 |
| 55-64 years............................ | 233.3 | 7.63 | 62 | 220.9 | 5.92 | 140 | 234.8 | 4.50 | 139 | 234.2 | 4.19 5.63 | 109 72 |
| 65-74 years........................... | 227.1 | 4.01 | 218 | 226.9 | 2.38 | 343 | 225.8 | 4.82 | 384 | 231.0 | 5.99 | 72 150 |
| Female 5.90 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 18-24 years............................ | 185.9 | 4.25 | 222 | 179.2 | 2.31 | 463 | 184.2 | 2.66 | 437 | 184.4 | 3.34 |  |
| 25-34 years............................. | 194.0 | 3.31 | 241 | 191.6 | 2.02 | 604 | 194.0 | 1.42 | 579 |  |  | 226 |
| 35-44 years............................ | 215.0 | 4.28 | 214 | 202.8 | 1.88 | 550 | 208.1 | 2.34 | 579 438 | 197.2 | 3.06 3.25 | 200 |
| 45-54 years............................ | 230.3 | 6.47 | 109 | 234.2 | 4.49 | 246 | 224.2 | 3.68 | 215 | 218.1 | 6.25 | 124 |
| 55-64 years............................ | 237.8 | 6.55 | 91 | 248.7 | 4.51 | 148 | 247.4 | 5.15 | 124 | 246.5 | 6.25 9.54 | 61 |
| 65-74 years............................ | 253.6 | 6.09 | 170 | 253.5 | 3.72 | 356 | 253.7 | 4.06 | 293 | 242.6 | 6.28 | 43 96 |
| Body mass index |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Male |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1st quartile | 197.1 | 5.55 | 145 | 190.4 | 2.64 | 314 | 189.8 | 2.48 | 412 |  |  |  |
| 2d quartile.............................. | 216.4 | 5.20 | 136 | 205.4 | 4.02 | 301 | 209.3 | 3.39 | 412 407 | 190.0 202.3 | 2.83 | 233 |
| 3d quartile.............................. | 227.1 | 4.92 | 121 | 220.7 | 3.50 | 318 | 216.9 | 3.16 | 407 371 | 202.3 218.8 | 5.11 3.22 | 216 193 |
| 4th quartile ............................. | 228.4 | 7.92 | 154 | 224.2 | 3.45 | 331 | 224.5 | 4.15 | 335 | 214.8 | 3.16 | 193 139 |
| Female 3.16 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1st quartile.............................. | 197.8 | 3.80 | 231 | 192.2 | 2.84 | 594 | 193.5 | 2.21 | 679 |  |  |  |
| 2d quartile.............................. | 207. 1 | 3.62 | 239 | 204.8 | 2.11 | 636 | 208.4 | 3.28 | 679 574 | 193.7 | 3.92 | 312 |
| 3d quartile.............................. | 222.7 | 5.49 | 274 | 221.7 | 2.24 | 589 | 224.5 | 2.39 | 489 | 218.1 | 4.65 6.02 | 201 135 |
| 4th quartile .............................. | 228.1 | 4.90 | 303 | 227.1 | 3.73 | 548 | 227.9 | 3.49 | 389 | 218.1 | 6.02 5.21 | 135 102 |


| Race, age, and body mass index quartile strata | Average total caloric intake per day (calories) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Less than 1,425.6 |  |  | 1,425.6-2,201.5 |  |  | 2,201.6-3,402.3 |  |  | 3,402.4 or more |  |  |
|  | Mean | Standard error of mean | $\begin{gathered} \text { Number } \\ \text { of } \\ \text { examinees } \end{gathered}$ | Mean | Standard error of mean | Number of examinees | Mean | Standard error of mean | Number of examinees | Mean | Standard error of mean | Number of examinees |
|  | Milligrams/deciliter |  | 517 | Milligrams/deciliter |  | 1,205 | Milligrams/deciliter |  | 1,205 | Milligrams/deciliter |  | 516 |
| Total | 219.1 | 2.35 |  | 216.8 | 1.60 |  | 209.8 | 2.35 |  | 197.5 | 1.87 |  |
| Race |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| White....................................... | 221.4 | 2.58 | 387 | 218.0 | 1.86 | 1,021 | 210.3 | 2.36 | 1,058 | 198.1 | 2.11 | 461 |
| Black...................................... | 206.0 | 5.72 | 130 | 207.5 | 4.56 | 184 | 204.3 | 6.52 | 147 | 189.0 | 8.46 | 55 |
| Age |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 18-24 years........................... | 190.1 | 6.71 | 28 | 183.3 | 4.36 | 93 | 181.4 | 2.77 | 234 | 174.5 | 3.18 | 171 |
| 25-34 years............................ | 193.4 | 6.27 | 34 | 199.9 | 4.35 | 140 | 206.2 | 2.92 | 258 | 196.9 | 4.27 | 133 |
| 35-44 years............................ | 216.3 | 8.61 | 38 | 220.5 | 3.61 | 131 | 218.5 | 4.16 | 207 | 219.9 | 7.60 | 75 |
| 45-54 years............................ | 230.3 | 6.27 | 69 | 227.8 | 3.79 | 208 | 227.1 | 3.75 | 188 | 219.4 | 4.79 | 62 |
| 55-64 years........................... | 229.3 | 5.71 | 68 | 226.8 | 3.74 | 154 | 225.4 | 5.62 | 107 | 223.5 | 10.14 | 38 |
| 65-74 years............................ | 223.9 | 3.77 | 280 | 230.6 | 4.17 | 479 | 220.7 | 3.04 | 211 | 237.0 | 10.43 | 37 |
| Body mass index |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1st quartile.............................. | 205.8 | 5.63 | 127 | 200.3 | 3.71 | 277 | 193.1 | 3.82 | 298 | 178.6 | 2.83 | 158 |
| 2d quartile.............................. | 217.5 | 4.94 | 117 | 215.5 | 4.55 | 263 | 207.6 | 3.12 | 336 | 192.4 | 3.79 | 145 |
| 3d quartile.............................. | 225.8 | 5.28 | 113 | 223.4 | 2.67 | 332 | 219.0 | 3.36 | 305 | 209.7 | 3.68 | 111 |
| 4th quartile .............................. | 224.6 | 4.73 | 159 | 222.3 | 2.70 | 333 | 220.6 | 4.03 | 266 | 219.8 | 4.68 | 102 |

[^3]Table 11. Serum cholesterol levels of adult females ages 18-74 years within total dietary calories strata showing means and standard errors of means by race, age and body mass

| Race, age, and body mass index quartile strata | Average total caloric intake per day (calories) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Less than 989.68 |  |  | 989.68-1,509.5 |  |  | 1,509.6-2,232.9 |  |  | 2,233.0 or more |  |  |
|  | Mean | Standard error of mean | $\begin{gathered} \text { Number } \\ \text { of } \\ \text { examinees } \end{gathered}$ | Mean | Standard error of mean | $\begin{gathered} \text { Number } \\ \text { of } \\ \text { examinees } \end{gathered}$ | Mean | Standard error of mean | $\begin{gathered} \text { Number } \\ \text { of } \\ \text { examinees } \end{gathered}$ | Mean | Standard error of mean | Number of examinees |
| Total ${ }^{1}$ $\qquad$ <br> Race <br> White. $\qquad$ $\qquad$ | Milligrams/deciliter |  | 760 | Milligrams/deciliter |  | 1,771 | Milligrams/deciliter |  | 1,772 | Milligrams/deciliter |  | 759 |
|  | 224.1 | 2.51 |  | 216.8 | 1.54 |  | 208.8 | 1.65 |  | 199.2 | 1.78 |  |
|  | 224.9 | 2.64 | 577 | 216.7 | 1.69 | 1,495 | 208.9 | 1.80 | 1,521 | 199.8 | 1.97 |  |
| Age | 219.6 | 5.66 | 183 | 217.0 | 4.85 | , 276 | 207.8 | 4.33 | 1,521 251 | 193.7 | 1.97 3.74 | 625 134 |
|  | 205.9 | 6.38 | 90 | 178.3 | 2.99 | 306 | 182.5 | 3.00 | 383 | 179.9 | 3.04 |  |
| 25-34 years............................ | 198.1 | 3.25 | 155 | 194.1 | 2.05 | 406 | 192.5 | 2.11 | 471 | 191.2 | 3.04 2.87 | 227 |
| 35-44 years........................... | 212.7 | 3.43 | 155 | 211.0 | 1.97 | 371 | 206.1 | 2.31 | 413 | 203.7 | 2.97 | 147 |
| 45-54 years............................. | 240.2 | 4.95 7.41 | 100 | 239.5 | 4.81 | 182 | 223.7 | 4.14 | 170 | 217.2 | 6.22 | 79 |
| 65-64 years............................ | 241.2 250.5 | 7.41 6.82 | 63 197 | 244.1 | 3.93 | 149 | 251.4 | 5.83 | 107 | 235.9 | 6.93 | 29 |
| Body mass index | 250.5 | 6.82 | 197 | 257.1 | 2.95 | 357 | 247.9 | 5.35 | 228 | 247.8 | 5.92 | 46 |
|  | 218.1 | 8.87 | 113 | 195.5 | 3.33 | 366 | 191.2 | 2.89 | 510 | 185.2 | 2.99 | 277 |
| 2d quartile | 213.4 | 5.03 | 145 | 209.3 | 2.30 | 457 | 203.7 | 3.16 | 475 | 200.8 | 3.52 | 190 |
| 3d quartile.............................. | 224.3 | 5.07 | 208 | 223.7 | 2.29 | 494 | 222.1 | 3.51 | 399 | 210.2 | 4.30 | 163 |
| 4th quartile ............................. | 233.3 | 2.88 | 294 | 234.6 | 3.78 | 454 | 227.6 | 2.66 | 388 | 212.0 | 4.32 | 129 |


| Race, age, and body mass index quartile strata | Total fat intake per day (grams) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Less than 51.12 |  |  | 51.12-90.04 |  |  | 90.05-150.01 |  |  | 150.02 or more |  |  |
|  | Mean | Standard error of mean | Number of examinees | Mean | Standard error of mean | Number of examinees | Mean | Standard error of mean | Number of examinees | Mean | Standard error of mean | Number of examinees |
|  | Milligrams/deciliter |  | 517 | Milligrams/deciliter |  | 1,205 | Milligrams/deciliter |  | Milligrams/deciliter |  |  |  |
| Total' ...................................... | 218.1 | 1.92 |  | 213.9 | 1.71 |  | 211.0 | 2.19 | 1,205 | 199.8 | 1.77 | 516 |
| Race |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| White....................................... | 220.2 | 2.15 | 389 | 215.6 | 1.92 | 1,032 | 210.5 | 2.21 | 1,050 | 200.8 | 1.95 | 456 |
| Black............................................................ | 204.4 | 5.05 | 128 | 198.6 | 4.22 | 173 | 216.7 | 6.31 | 155 | 186.8 | 8.41 | 60 |
| Age | 183.8 | 5.13 | 30 | 180.0 | 3.49 | 126 | 181.2 | 3.05 | 211 | 177.1 | 3.64 | 159 |
| 25-34 years...................................... | 197.8 | 5.56 | 37 | 200.2 | 3.90 | 148 | 203.7 | 3.75 | 255 | 200.3 | 4.37 | 125 |
| 35-44 years............................ | 217.3 | 6.62 | 46 | 219.5 | 3.91 | 133 | 219.9 | 3.62 | 199 | 217.5 | 7.94 5.35 | 73 |
| 45-54 years............................ | 232.2 | 5.64 | 71 | 227.4 | 4.00 | 195 | 227.7 | 4.74 | 196 | 217.1 | 5.35 | 45 |
| 55-64 years........................................ | 231.8 | 6.88 | 65 | 224.1 | 4.17 | 145 | 226.9 | 4.56 | 110 | 226.2 | 6.57 9.83 | 47 |
| 65-74 years............................ | 221.3 | 3.97 | 268 | 228.2 | 2.02 | 458 | 230.4 | 8.02 | 234 | 226.4 | 9.83 | 47 |
| Body mass index <br> 1st quartile. $\qquad$ | 200.5 | 5.40 | 125 | 196.6 | 3.10 | 292 | 192.6 | 3.25 | 285 | 185.1 | 2.93 | 158 |
| 2d quartile............................... | 215.5 | 5.26 | 115 | 212.8 | 2.95 | 283 | 208.0 | 3.65 | 327 | 194.2 | 4.59 | 136 |
| 3d quartile.............................. | 233.0 | 3.73 | 116 | 221.9 | 3.63 | 321 | 217.9 | 3.39 4.05 | 313 280 | 210.5 2187 | 3.07 4.98 | 111 |
| 4th quartile ............................. | 219.2 | 3.69 | 161 | 220.8 | 3.09 | 308 | 224.6 | 4.05 | 280 | 218.7 | 4.98 | 111 |

[^4]Table 13. Serum cholesterol levels of adult females ages 18-74 years within total dietary fat strata showing means and standard errors of means by race, age and body mass index:

| Race, age, and body mass index quartile strata | Total fat intake per day (grams) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Less than 35.00 |  |  | 35.00-60.01 |  |  | 60.02-96.25 |  |  | 96.26 or more |  |  |
|  | Mean | Standard error of mean | Number of examinees | Mean | Standard error of mean | Number of examinees | Mean | Standard error of mean | Number of examinees | Mean | Standard error of mean | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Number } \\ & \text { of } \\ & \text { examinees } \end{aligned}$ |
|  | Milligrams/deciliter |  | 761 | Milligrams/deciliter |  | 1,770 | Milligrams/deciliter |  | 1,772 | Milligrams/deciliter |  | 759 |
|  | 220.5 | 2.49 |  | 215.5 | 1.6 |  | 209.9 | 1.52 |  | 201.8 | 2.17 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Black...................................... | $221.1$ | 6.25 | 194 | 212.1 | 4.28 | $\begin{array}{r} 1,499 \\ 271 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 210.0 \\ & 208.8 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.58 \\ & 3.91 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1,521 \\ 251 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 202.2 \\ & 196.8 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2.36 \\ & 3.35 \end{aligned}$ | 128 |
| Age ${ }^{\text {A }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 18-24 years............................. | 193.6 | $\begin{aligned} & 5.43 \\ & 3.07 \end{aligned}$ | 110 | 180.8 | 2.81 | 311 | 182.3 | 2.50 | 380 | 181.1 | 3.88 | 205 |
| 25-34 years............................ | 197.2 |  | 155 | 192.6 | 2.34 | 411 | 192.8 | 1.95 | 463 | 193.6 | 2.71 |  |
| 35-44 years............................ | 232.4 | 3.54 | 14489 | 207.5 | $\begin{aligned} & 2.40 \\ & 2.80 \end{aligned}$ | $380$ | $\begin{aligned} & 206.9 \\ & 226.8 \end{aligned}$ | 2.21 | 399 | 209.4 | 3.14 | 163 |
| 45-54 years...................................................... |  |  |  | 238.8 |  | 191 |  | 5.27 | 177 | 217.5 | 5.88 | $\begin{array}{r}74 \\ \hline\end{array}$ |
| 65-74 years........................................ | $\begin{aligned} & 241.8 \\ & 248.9 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 7.59 \\ & 5.45 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 67 \\ 196 \end{array}$ | 244.0 258.3 | 4.12 4.52 | 148 329 | $\begin{aligned} & 250.4 \\ & 249.5 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 5.09 \\ & 5.29 \end{aligned}$ | 249 | $\begin{aligned} & 240.3 \\ & 243.6 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 7.10 \\ & 5.62 \end{aligned}$ | 2954 |
| Body mass index |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 5.2 |  |  |  |  |
| 1st quartile.............................. | 206.5 | 5.54 | 128 | 194.6 | 2.70 | 383 | 191.5 | 3.05 | 482 | 188.9 | 3.28 | 273 |
| 2d quartile.............................. | 214.0 | 5.544.11 | 207 | $\begin{aligned} & 207.0 \\ & 225.7 \end{aligned}$ | 2.83 | 438 | $\begin{aligned} & 203.8 \\ & 218.7 \end{aligned}$ | 3.08 | 475 | 204.1 |  |  |
| 3d quartile.............................. | 222.6 |  |  |  | $\begin{array}{r} 2.81 \\ 2.70 \\ \hline \end{array}$ |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & 213.9 \\ & 212.3 \end{aligned}$ | 3.70 | 199 |
| 4th quartile .............................. | 230.4 | 2.88 | 271 | 232.0 |  | $471$ | $231.3$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2.72 \\ & 4.50 \end{aligned}$ | $395$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & 3.64 \\ & 5.17 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 159 \\ & 128 \end{aligned}$ |


| Sex, race, age, and body mass index quartile strata | Calories from fat per day (percent) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Less than 28.15 |  |  | 28.15-36.73 |  |  | 36.74-45.26 |  |  | 45.27 or more |  |  |
|  | Mean | Standard error of mean | Number of examinees | Mean | Standard error of mean | Number of examinees | Mean | Standard error of mean | Number of examinees | Mean | Standard error of mean | Number of examinees |
|  | Milligrams/deciliter |  |  | Milligrams/deciliter |  |  | Milligrams/deciliter |  |  | Milligrams/deciliter |  |  |
|  | 214.2 | 1.50 | 1,276 | 209.8 | 1.54 | 2,978 | 211.3 | 1.36 | 2,976 | 212.5 | 1.87 | 1,275 |
| Sex |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Male. | 212.6 | 2.30 | 489 | 208.9 | 2.26 | 1,137 | 211.2 | 1.75 | 1,231 | 211.2 | 2.34 | 586 |
| Female.................................... | 215.6 | 2.19 | 787 | 210.5 | 1.58 | 1,841 | 211.3 | 1.93 | 1,745 | 214.0 | 2.19 | 689 |
| Race |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| White....................................... | 214.6 | 1.62 | 1,018 | 210.3 | 1.60 | 2,533 | 211.7 | 1.42 | 2,551 | 212.4 | 2.00 | 1,043 |
| Black...................................... | 211.1 | 4.49 | 258 | 203.8 | 3.52 | 445 | 206.7 | 4.16 | 425 | 212.7 | 5.20 | 232 |
| Age |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 18-24 years............................ | 179.0 | 2.60 | 224 | 180.7 | 1.96 | 551 | 182.3 | 2.43 | 554 | 182.9 | 2.05 | 203 |
| 25-34 years............................ | 195.5 | 3.43 | 236 | 198.0 | 2.69 | 662 | 197.0 | 1.71 | 645 | 198.7 | 3.22 | 285 |
| 35-44 years............................. | 216.3 | 3.38 | 210 | 209.7 | 2.64 | 551 | 215.5 | 2.22 | 538 | 214.8 | 3.41 | 238 |
| 45-54 years............................ | 236.0 | 2.83 | 160 | 226.2 | 3.10 | 345 | 226.9 | 3.68 | 386 | 230.8 | 3.86 | 167 |
| 55-64 years............................ | 238.9 | 6.01 | 116 | 234.0 | 3.28 | 244 | 237.3 | 4.33 | 249 | 233.0 | 5.33 | 106 |
| 65-74 years............................ | 239.6 | 3.61 | 330 | 241.0 | 2.83 | 625 | 236.0 | 2.01 | 604 | 246.7 | 7.06 | 276 |
| Male |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 18-24 years............................ | 175.4 | 4.44 | 62 | 178.0 | 2.43 | 191 | 183.3 | 3.79 | 192 | 179.3 | 4.27 | 81 |
| 25-34 years............................ | 197.2 | 5.17 | 72 | 204.2 | 4.08 | 195 | 201.0 | 3.29 | 194 | 201.5 | 4.98 | 104 |
| 35-44 years............................ | 224.8 | 6.39 | 60 | 216.3 | 5.19 | 155 | 220.4 | 3.48 | 165 | 217.1 | 5.92 | 71 |
| 45-54 years............................ | 234.5 | 3.91 | 71 | 223.3 | 4.79 | 171 | 227.4 | 4.55 | 186 | 226.5 | 4.51 | 99 |
| 55-64 years............................ | 231.3 | 8.13 | 55 | 226.2 | 4.55 | 106 | 223.1 | 4.58 | 140 | 229.8 | 6.20 | 66 |
| 65-74 years............................ | 220.5 | 3.69 | 169 | 227.7 | 2.70 | 319 | 224.9 | 2.43 | 354 | 238.2 | 11.52 | 165 |
| Female |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 18-24 years............................ | 181.4 | 3.77 | 162 | 183.2 | 3.31 | 360 | 181.3 | 2.54 | 362 | 187.5 | 4.01 | 122 |
| 25-34 years............................ | 193.7 | 3.55 | 164 | 192.6 | 2.42 | 467 | 193.3 | 2.02 | 451 | 195.2 | 2.88 | 181 |
| 35-44 years............................ | 208.2 | 3.95 | 150 | 204.0 | 2.06 | 396 | 210.7 | 2.80 | 373 | 212.7 | 3.36 | 167 |
| 45-54 years............................. | 237.2 | 4.43 | 89 | 229.0 | 3.86 | 174 | 226.4 | 5.18 | 200 | 236.2 | 6.09 | 68 |
| 55-64 years............................ | 245.5 | 7.82 | 61 | 239.9 | 4.50 | 138 | 254.8 | 5.07 | 109 | 239.0 | 7.15 | 40 |
| 65-74 years........................... | 255.0 | 5.63 | 161 | 252.5 | 4.45 | 306 | 249.2 | 3.30 | 250 | 256.5 | 5.14 | 111 |
| Body mass index |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Male |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1st quartile | 182.2 | 5.01 | 103 | 193.9 | 3.20 | 294 | 194.2 | 2.40 | 316 | 192.3 | 4.60 | 147 |
| 2d quartile............................... | 208.9 | 5.39 | 124 | 206.0 | 3.02 | 273 | 208.9 | 3.67 | 326 | 204.4 | 4.26 | 138 |
| 3 3 quartile. | 223.4 | 3.98 | 133 | 220.4 | 3.94 | 287 | 218.0 | 3.14 | 299 | 218.3 | 4.40 | 142 |
| 4th quartile ............................. | 222.5 | 3.68 | 129 | 214.7 | 3.87 | 283 | 224.8 | 2.92 | 289 | 227.7 | 5.42 | 159 |
| Female |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1st quartile.............................. | 192.0 | 5.13 | 163 | 192.1 | 3.33 | 468 | 193.3 | 2.47 | 460 | 197.2 | 3.46 | 175 |
| 2d quartile............................... | 207.2 | 4.52 | 197 | 207.0 | 2.53 | 453 | 203.3 | 2.99 | 443 | 210.5 | 4.65 | 174 |
| 3d quartile............................... | 227.5 | 3.42 | 204 | 219.4 | 2.72 | 472 | 220.8 | 3.26 | 418 | 221.4 | 4.52 | 170 |
| 4th quartile ............................. | 232.2 | 2.90 | 223 | 226.6 | 3.34 | 448 | 230.9 | 4.03 | 424 | 229.4 | 4.03 | 170 |

'Excludes "other" racial groups.

Table 15. Serum cholesterol levels of adults ages 18-74 years within linoleic to saturated fatty acid intake ratio strata showing means and standard errors of means by sex, race, age and body mass index: United States, 1971-74

| Sex, race, age, and body mass index quartile strata | Linoleic/saturated fatty acid ratio (daily intake) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Less than 0.1163 |  |  | 0.1163-0.2693 |  |  | 0.2694-0.5511 |  |  | 0.5512 or more |  |  |
|  | Mean | Standard error of mean | Number of examinees | Mean | Standard error of mean | Number of examinees | Mean | Standard error of mean |  | Mean | Standard error of mean | $\begin{gathered} \text { Number } \\ \text { of } \\ \text { examinees } \end{gathered}$ |
|  | Milligrams/deciliter |  |  | Milligrams/deciliter |  |  | Milligrams/deciliter |  | 2,962 | Milligrams/deciliter |  | 1,269 |
|  | 212.6 | 2.11 | 1,270 | 210.9 | 1.16 | 2,963 | 211.3 | 1.04 |  | 211.0 | 2.02 |  |
| Sex |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Male ....................................... | 210.8 | 2.31 | 564 | 210.6 | 1.45 | 1,279 | 211.0 | 1.82 |  | 1,142 | 209.6 | 2.62 | 428 |
| Female.................................... | 214.5 | 2.75 | 706 | 211.3 | 1.63 | 1,684 | 211.7 | 1.46 | 1,820 | 212.2 | 2.52 | 841 |
| Race |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| White...................................... | 212.7 | 2.32 | 1,123 | 211.4 | 1.27 | 2,447 | 211.4 | 1.13 | 2,483 | 212.0 | 2.18 | 1,060 |
| Black ...................................... | 210.1 | 4.10 | 147 | 206.5 | 2.75 | 516 | 210.4 | 3.96 | 479 | 203.1 | 3.85 | 209 |
| Age |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 18-24 years............................ | 184.0 | 3.13 | 230 | 177.5 | 1.80 | 483 | 181.2 | 2.21 | 567 | 186.8 | 4.17 | 243 |
| 25-34 years............................ | 193.8 | 2.31 | 232 | 197.9 | 2.01 | 607 | 197.7 | 2.14 | 658 | 196.5 | 2.88 | 320 |
| 35-44 years........................... | 213.2 | 3.18 | 220 | 214.5 | 2.28 | 550 | 214.1 | 2.80 | 540 | 210.0 | 3.56 | 217 |
| 45-54 years............................ | 228.0 | 5.72 | 141 | 226.7 | 2.91 | 389 | 232.0 | 2.45 | 362 | 226.9 | 4.79 | 161 |
| 55-64 years........................... | 245.9 | 6.32 | 115 | 232.1 | 3.93 | 251 | 233.9 | 3.67 | 249 | 238.0 | 5.56 | 97 |
| 65-74 years............................. | 239.2 | 5.92 | 332 | 237.5 | 1.69 | 683 | 240.9 | 3.98 | 586 | 245.4 | 3.60 | 231 |
| Male |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 18-24 years............................ | 183.9 | 4.77 | 80 | 174.3 | 2.17 | 166 | 180.8 | 3.26 | 106 | 184.9 | 5.01 | 76 |
| 25-34 years........................... | 196.4 | 3.39 | 79 | 198.6 | 3.34 | 197 | 203.0 | 3.24 | 201 | 205.0 | 5.81 | 81 |
| 35-44 years............................. | 216.0 | 4.81 | 68 | 222.5 | 3.63 | 184 | 220.2 | 5.41 | 144 | 210.7 | 6.95 | 50 |
| 45-54 years............................ | 226.0 | 7.77 | 80 | 226.9 | 3.02 | 195 | 230.9 | 4.02 | 180 | 218.9 | 6.47 | 67 |
| 55-64 years............................. | 232.1 | 8.67 | 60 | 223.2 | 4.66 | 139 | 226.2 | 3.74 | 118 | 230.1 | 7.74 | 48 |
| 65-74 years............................ | 230.6 | 7.97 | 197 | 226.1 | 2.43 | 398 | 222.5 | 3.48 | 303 | 236.2 | 4.86 | 106 |
| Female |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 18-24 years........................... | 184.2 | 4.47 | 150 | 180.4 | 2.78 | 317 | 181.6 | 2.64 | 371 | 188.4 | 4.64 | 167 |
| 25-34 years........................... | 191.1 | 3.95 | 153 | 197.3 | 1.87 | 410 | 192.8 | 2.11 | 457 | 190.1 | 2.64 2.44 | 239 |
| 35-44 years.......................... | 210.6 | 2.95 | 152 | 205.4 | 2.23 | 366 | 209.4 | 2.28 | 396 | 209.6 | 3.37 | 167 |
| 45-54 years............................ | 230.5 | 6.26 | 61 | 226.4 | 4.75 | 194 | 233.0 | 4.52 | 182 | 233.0 | 6.20 | 94 |
| 55-64 years............................ | 260.1 | 7.50 | 55 | 244.2 | 4.88 | 112 | 240.0 | 5.08 | 131 | 245.7 | 7.48 | 49 |
| 65-74 years............................ | 249.3 | 7.50 | 135 | 250.8 | 3.78 | 285 | 256.2 | 5.03 | 283 | 251.4 | 5.11 | 125 |
| Body mass index |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Male |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1st quartile.............................. | 190.2 | 5.38 | 145 | 193.4 | 2.18 | 330 | 191.9 | 3.12 | 287 | 197.9 | 5.72 | 89 |
| 2d quartile............................... | 203.8 | 3.79 | 133 | 207.2 | 3.56 | 306 | 209.3 | 2.95 | 310 | 203.6 | 6.23 | 101 |
| 3d quartile............................... | 222.0 | 4.42 | 132 | 222.2 | 3.22 | 334 | 216.5 | 3.63 | 276 | 216.7 | 4.86 | 113 |
| 4th quartile .............................. | 225.8 | 5.23 | 154 | 220.3 | 2.61 | 308 | 224.2 | 2.95 | 269 | 215.5 | 4.44 | 125 |
| Female |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1st quartile.............................. | 192.9 | 3.63 | 170 | 192.0 | 2.74 | 434 | 193.7 | 3.33 | 463 | 195.3 | 5.02 | 195 |
| 2d quartile............................... | 211.5 | 4.38 | 178 | 208.1 | 2.10 | 414 | 203.4 | 2.98 | 449 | 203.9 | 5.31 | $\underline{2} 2 \underline{2}$ |
| 3d quartile.............................. | 219.7 | 4.91 | 179 | 221.3 | 3.00 | 395 | 221.2 | 2.95 | 466 | 223.0 | 5.06 | 223 |
| 4th quartile ............................. | 234.9 | 6.39 | 179 | 227.2 | 3.80 | 441 | 230.8 | 2.75 | 442 | 226.2 | 4.12 | 201 |

'Excludes "other" racial groups.

Table 16. Serum cholesterol levels of adult males ages 18-74 years within dietary cholesterol strata showing means and standard errors of means by race, age and body mass index: United States, 1971-74

| Race, age, and body mass index quartile strata | Average daily cholesterol intake (milligrams) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Less than 177.945 |  |  | 177.945-412.874 |  |  | 412.875-835.584 |  |  | 835.585 or more |  |  |
|  | Mean | Standard error of mean |  | Mean | Standard error of mean | Number of examinees | Mean | Standard error of mean | Number of examinees | Mean | Standard error of mean | Number of examinees |
|  | Milligrams/deciliter |  | 512 | Milligrams/deciliter |  | 1,195 | Milligrams/deciliter |  | 1,195 | Milligrams/deciliter |  | 541 |
|  | 212.3 | 2.34 |  | 211.4 | 1.75 |  | 211.8 | 1.70 |  | 205.4 | 2.81 |  |
| Race |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| White....................................... | 213.7 | 2.42 | 425 | 211.7 | 1.81 | 1,036 | 212.4 | 1.87 | 1,017 | 206.5 | 2.90 | 449 |
| Black....................................... | 199.5 | 8.79 | 87 | 207.5 | 4.62 | 159 | 206.5 | 4.79 | 178 | 197.3 | 5.51 | 92 |
| Age |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 18-24 years............................ | 177.5 | 4.42 | 65 | 183.0 | 3.14 | 191 | 178.3 | 2.84 | 163 | 177.7 | 4.35 | 107 |
| 25-34 years............................ | 198.1 | 5.56 | 62 | 204.0 | 3.03 | 200 | 202.8 | 4.18 | 190 | 197.6 | 5.19 | 113 |
| 35-44 years............................ | 223.0 | 8.14 | 34 | 219.5 | 4.29 | 145 | 220.5 | 4.53 | 166 | 215.1 | 6.16 | 106 |
| 45-54 years............................ | 235.1 | 5.03 | 70 | 224.5 | 3.98 | 191 | 227.0 | 4.76 | 182 | 225.0 | 5.18 | 84 |
| 55-64 years............................ | 227.2 | 7.39 | 56 | 224.4 | 5.11 | 113 | 225.2 | 3.53 | 147 | 235.5 | 9.59 | 51 |
| 65-74 years............................ | 220.0 | 3.30 | 225 | 231.4 | 4.37 | 355 | 227.9 | 2.91 | 347 | 222.7 | 5.80 | 80 |
| Body mass index |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1st quartile.............................. | 192.7 | 5.05 | 136 | 190.0 | 3.15 | 266 | 197.7 | 3.08 | 301 | 188.2 | 4.84 | 157 |
| 2d quartile............................... | 214.9 | 5.62 | 110 | 208.7 | 2.80 | 326 | 207.6 | 3.49 | 301 | 199.1 | 4.83 | 124 |
| 3d quartile............................... | 227.9 | 5.28 | 126 | 220.3 | 2.60 | 305 | 217.1 | 4.05 | 297 | 218.0 | 4.20 | 133 |
| 4th quartile .............................. | 213.1 | 3.70 | 140 | 222.4 | 3.73 | 298 | 225.2 | 2.34 | 295 | 220.4 | 5.49 | 127 |

'Excludes "other" racial groups.

Table 17. Serum cholesterol levels of adult females ages 18-74 years within dietary cholesterol strata showing means and standard errors of means by race, age and body mass

' Excludes "other" racial groups.
 mass index: United States, 1971-74

| Sex, race, age, and body mass index quartile strata | Dietary sodium/potassium ratio |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Less than 0.5335 |  |  | 0.5335-0.9526 |  |  | 0.9527-1.6360 |  |  | 1.6361 or more |  |  |
|  | Mean | Standard error of mean | $\begin{gathered} \text { Number } \\ \text { of } \\ \text { examinees } \end{gathered}$ | Mean | Standard error of mean | $\begin{gathered} \text { Number } \\ \text { of } \\ \text { examinees } \end{gathered}$ | Mean | Standard error of mean | $\begin{gathered} \text { Number } \\ \text { of } \\ \text { examinees } \end{gathered}$ | Mean | Standard error of mean | Number of examinees |
|  | Milligrams/deciliter |  |  | Milligrams/deciliter |  |  | Milligrams/deciliter |  |  | Milligrams/deciliter |  |  |
|  | 216.7 | 1.37 | 1,276 | 211.9 | 1.22 | 2,977 | 210.1 | 1.33 | 2,977 | 207.4 | 2.37 | 1,275 |
| Sex |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Male.. | 215.3 | 2.75 | 408 | 211.2 | 1.49 | 1,212 | 210.2 | 1.70 | 1,275 | 207.1 | 3.46 | 548 |
| Female.................................... | 217.6 | 1.92 | 868 | 212.7 | 1.64 | 1,765 | 210.0 | 1.60 | 1,702 | 207.8 | 3.02 | 727 |
| Race |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| White... | 216.9 | 1.45 | 1,097 | 212.3 | 1.36 | 2,584 | 210.1 | 1.40 | 2,507 | 208.6 | 2.40 | 957 |
| Black...................................... | 214.2 | 4.60 | 179 | 208.0 | 3.10 | 393 | 209.7 | 4.19 | 470 | 200.7 | 3.85 | 318 |
| Age |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 18-24 years............................ | 184.2 | 3.03 | 208 | 180.5 | 2.04 | 492 | 180.7 | 1.83 | 532 | 181.8 | 4.03 | 300 |
| 25-34 years............................ | 198.2 | 3.28 | 256 | 196.1 | 2.01 | 666 | 199.2 | 2.41 | 625 | 196.2 | 3.66 | 281 |
| 35-44 years............................ | 214.5 | 3.63 | 235 | 212.6 | 2.02 | 525 | 213.5 | 2.85 | 572 | 214.7 | 4.12 | 205 |
| 45-54 years............................ | 236.0 | 3.03 | 169 | 232.8 | 2.43 | 382 | 221.8 | 2.41 | 353 | 223.9 | 5.69 | 154 |
| 55-64 years............................ | 239.9 | 5.35 | 120 | 233.8 | 3.84 | 238 | 234.4 | 3.59 | 270 | 240.4 | 8.39 | 87 |
| 65-74 years........................... | 245.6 | 3.52 | 288 | 243.6 | 3.91 | 674 | 234.8 | 2.99 | 625 | 233.3 | 5.19 | 248 |
| Male |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 18-24 years............................ | 181.4 | 5.48 | 58 | 180.6 | 2.91 | 178 | 179.8 | 2.02 | 193 | 177.3 | 6.03 | 97 |
| 25-34 years........................... | 203.1 | 5.76 | 64 | 200.3 | 3.15 | 227 | 205.4 | 3.51 | 189 | 196.7 | 5.50 | 85 |
| 35-44 years........................... | 228.0 | 6.60 | 53 | 216.6 | 3.34 | 150 | 217.4 | 4.40 | 179 | 222.3 | 6.42 | 69 |
| 45-54 years............................ | 235.6 | 5.02 | 55 | 234.4 | 3.23 | 190 | 219.8 | 3.60 | 196 | 218.2 | 8.47 | 86 |
| 55-64 years............................ | 228.5 | 6.89 | 50 | 220.4 | 3.88 | 113 | 229.0 | 3.99 | 146 | 230.2 | 10.20 | 58 |
| 65-74 years............................ | 227.9 | 4.77 | 128 | 230.8 | 4.94 | 354 | 222.6 | 2.55 | 372 | 226.8 | 5.45 | 153 |
| Female |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 18-24 years............................ | 186.0 | 3.75 | 150 | 180.4 | 2.47 | 314 | 181.6 | 3.03 | 339 | 185.9 | 4.72 | 203 |
| 25-34 years............................ | 195.2 | 2.54 | 192 | 191.0 | 2.37 | 439 | 193.8 | 2.55 | 436 | 195.6 | 3.77 | 196 |
| 35-44 years............................ | 207.3 | 4.12 | 182 | 209.1 | 1.86 | 375 | 209.6 | 2.59 | 393 | 203.4 | 3.25 | 136 |
| 45-54 years............................ | 236.2 | 3.29 | 114 | 231.1 | 3.85 | 192 | 224.5 | 3.77 | 157 | 230.9 | 5.12 | 68 |
| 55-64 years............................ | 248.8 | 7.58 | 70 | 245.6 | 4.27 | 125 | 240.4 | 5.01 | 124 | 259.4 | 12.49 | 29 |
| 65-74 years........................... | 257.5 | 5.28 | 160 | 256.0 | 4.75 | 320 | 248.3 | 4.95 | 253 | 242.1 | 8.15 | 95 |
| Body mass index |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Male |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1st quartile............................. | 204.2 | 6.59 | 85 | 182.9 | 3.15 | 293 | 192.5 | 2.38 | 343 | 186.2 | 5.45 | 139 |
| 2d quartile............................... | 204.5 | 5.79 | 105 | 210.7 | 2.58 | 314 | 207.0 | 3.18 | 301 | 203.1 | 5.14 | 141 |
| 3d quartile............................... | 222.8 | 4.45 | 105 | 219.6 | 3.31 | 304 | 219.5 | 3.46 | 314 | 217.6 | 4.34 | 138 |
| 4th quartile ............................. | 227.1 | 4.68 | 113 | 220.7 | 2.93 | 300 | 220.6 | 2.64 | 317 | 221.8 | 5.87 | 130 |
| Female |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1st quartile.............................. | 193.9 | 3.19 | 198 | 194.6 | 2.70 | 425 | 192.8 | 2.32 | 448 | 189.7 | 6.99 | 195 |
| 2d quartile............................... | 214.8 | 3.44 | 219 | 207.8 | 2.63 | 480 | 202.0 | 3.12 | 401 | 198.1 | 3.71 | 167 |
| 3d quartile.............................. | 226.4 | 5.07 | 222 | 219.4 | 2.87 | 447 | 220.2 | 2.75 | 434 | 221.8 | 4.09 | 161 |
| 4th quartile ............................. | 234.3 | 3.64 | 229 | 233.2 | 3.80 | 413 | 225.5 | 3.09 | 419 | 224.3 | 4.53 | 204 |

${ }^{1}$ Excludes "other" racial groups.
 body mass index: United States, 1971-74

| Race, ${ }^{1}$ age, and body mass index quartile strata | Oral contraceptive use |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Not used in past 6 months |  |  | Used in past 6 months but not now |  |  | Use now |  |  |
|  | Mean | Standard error of mean | Number of examinees | Mean | Standard error of mean | Number of examinees | Mean | Standard error of mean |  |
|  | Milligrams/deciliter |  |  | Milligrams/deciliter |  |  | Milligrams/deciliter |  |  |
| White |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| All ages: 18-44 years ................................................ | 191.8 | 0.98 | 2,829 | 194.6 | 3.33 | 213 | 198.0 | 1.87 | 884 |
| 18-24 years................................................................ | 175.6 | 2.18 | 714 | 186.8 | 4.53 | 76 | 195.3 | 3.20 | 373 |
| 25-34 years............................................................... | 192.3 | 1.74 | 1,038 | 195.8 | 5.24 | 107 | 197.0 | 2.04 | 379 |
| 35-44 years............................................................... | 205.1 | 1.42 | 1,077 | 209.7 | 7.68 | 30 | 210.1 | 4.18 | 132 |
| Black |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| All ages: 18-44 years ................................................. | 197.7 | 1.91 | 669 | 192.0 | 6.64 | 55 | 191.8 | 4.03 | 194 |
| 18-24 years................................................................. | 181.5 | 3.32 | 182 | 188.5 | 12.53 | 27 | 194.3 | 5.73 | 112 |
| 25-34 years.............................................................. | 199.3 | 4.29 | 231 | 194.2 | 6.05 | 24 | 189.9 | 7.72 | 60 |
| 35-44 years............................................................... | 211.1 | 3.15 | 256 | 208.0 | 18.79 | 4 | 185.7 | 8.55 | 22 |
| Body mass index |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| All ages: 18-44 years |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1st quartile.................................................................... | 178.3 | 1.50 | 788 | 183.8 | 4.45 | 86 | 196.3 | 3.31 | 355 |
| 2d quartile. | 189.3 | 1.97 | 869 | 192.4 | 5.31 | 69 | 193.1 | 2.34 | 300 |
| 3d quartile. $\qquad$ <br> 4th quartile | 198.2 | 1.33 | 910 | 196.8 | 5.09 | 68 | 197.9 | 2.04 | 254 |
| 4th quartile ................................................................ | 204.7 | 1.85 | 988 | 215.1 | 7.01 | 50 | 208.4 | 4.24 | 184 |
| 18-24 years |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1st tertile.................................................................... | 184.6 | 2.36 | 272 | 171.8 | 4.96 | 36 | 194.1 | 6.59 | 146 |
| 2d tertile.................................................................... | 177.2 | 2.64 | 337 | 192.2 | 6.34 | 37 | 191.4 | 2.71 | 185 |
| 3d tertile.................................................................... | 188.3 | 3.38 | 309 | 203.6 | 8.47 | 31 | 201.8 | 3.79 | 160 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1st tertile. | 182.0 | 2.33 | 330 | 190.1 | 6.26 | 42 | 194.5 | 3.43 | 188 |
| 2d tertile. $\qquad$ | 194.7 | 3.01 | 478 | 188.7 | 4.97 | 58 | 193.2 | 3.47 | 149 |
| 3d tertile..................................................................... | 200.1 | 2.32 | 474 | 212.2 | 9.06 | 35 | 204.6 | 4.59 | 107 |
| 35-44 years |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1st tertile..................................................................... | 197.0 | 1.70 | 388 | 202.5 | 10.51 | 16 | 212.4 | 7.58 | 60 |
| 2d tertile...................................................................... | 205.6 | 1.86 | 505 | 235.2 | 21.39 | 6 | 207.3 | 5.64 | 59 |
| 3d tertile..................................................................... | 214.8 | 2.43 | 461 | 207.8 | 9.45 | 12 | 200.1 | 8.12 | 39 |



[^5]| Sex, race, age, and body mass index quartile strata | Geographic region |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Northeast |  |  | Midwest |  |  | South |  |  | West |  |  |
|  | Mean | Standard error of mean | Number of examinees | Mean | Standard error of mean | $\begin{gathered} \text { Number } \\ \text { of } \\ \text { examinees } \end{gathered}$ | Mean | Standard error of mean | $\begin{gathered} \text { Number } \\ \text { of } \\ \text { examinees } \end{gathered}$ | Mean | Standard error of mean | Number of examinees |
|  | Milligrams/deciliter |  |  | Milligrams/deciliter |  |  | Milligrams/deciliter |  |  | Milligrams/deciliter |  |  |
| Total ${ }^{1}$..................................... | 216.4 | 1.26 | 2,901 | 212.1 | 1.18 | 3,215 | 212.1 | 1.99 | 3,759 | 212.2 | 1.74 | 3,604 |
| Sex |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Male .. | 215.8 | 2.35 | 1,111 | 209.2 | 1.67 | 1,245 | 209.6 | 2.31 | 1,420 | 212.2 | 1.62 | 1,403 |
| Female.................................... | 216.9 | 1.68 | 1,790 | 214.9 | 1.53 | 1,970 | 214.2 | 2.34 | 2,339 | 212.2 | 2.26 | 2,201 |
| Race |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| White...................................... | 216.9 | 1.42 | 2,480 | 211.9 | 1.31 | 2,728 | 212.2 | 2.12 | 2,706 | 212.3 | 1.65 | 3,170 |
| Black ...................................... | 210.3 | 1.97 | 421 | 214.4 | 4.17 | 487 | 211.7 | 2.94 | 1,053 | 210.8 | 5.65 | 434 |
| Age |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 18-24 years............................ | 180.2 | 1.36 | 453 | 179.8 | 3.28 | 532 | 181.1 | 3.15 | 658 | 181.2 | 2.46 | 609 |
| 25-34 years............................ | 200.1 | 2.08 | 607 | 195.8 | 1.74 | 698 | 199.4 | 3.89 | 657 | 195.3 | 2.47 | 697 |
| 35-44 years............................ | 216.8 | 2.65 | 519 | 213.4 | 2.23 | 574 | 209.7 | 3.69 | 570 | 213.3 | 3.62 | 628 |
| 45-54 years............................ | 235.0 | 4.25 | 359 | 231.3 | 2.19 | 396 | 227.5 | 2.97 | 412 | 228.1 | 2.11 | 420 |
| 55-64 years............................ | 239.0 | 3.64 | 272 | 236.2 | 4.99 | 283 | 237.6 | 5.58 | 350 | 238.1 | 4.37 | 346 |
| 65-74 years............................ | 240.4 | 2.64 | 691 | 235.7 | 2.39 | 732 | 237.0 | 1.49 | 1,112 | 245.1 | 3.36 | 904 |
| Male |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 18-24 years............................ | 180.2 | 2.23 | 156 | 176.5 | 4.44 | 187 | 177.6 | 4.60 | 218 | 179.0 | 2.56 | 195 |
| 25-34 years............................ | 205.4 | 3.88 | 180 | 196.4 | 2.09 | 213 | 202.5 | 6.29 | 196 | 200.9 | 3.39 | 197 |
| 35-44 years............................ | 228.5 | 5.44 | 156 | 217.7 | 3.92 | 167 | 215.2 | 8.12 | 143 | 220.4 | 5.35 | 191 |
| 45-54 years............................ | 234.5 | 6.89 | 163 | 226.7 | 3.43 | 199 | 226.7 | 5.41 | 191 | 228.1 | 2.52 | 207 |
| 55-64 years............................ | 230.7 | 4.92 | 124 | 229.6 | 6.10 | 133 | 228.5 | 6.03 | 161 | 227.5 | 7.03 | 166 |
| 65-74 years........................... | 221.7 | 3.80 | 332 | 221.3 | 1.61 | 346 | 223.1 | 2.27 | 511 | 237.0 | 4.88 | 447 |
| Female |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 18-24 years............................ | 180.2 | 2.87 | 297 | 183.2 | 3.74 | 345 | 184.1 | 3.70 | 440 | 183.0 | 3.35 | 414 |
| 25-34 years............................ | 195.0 | 2.34 | 427 | 195.2 | 2.48 | 485 | 196.7 | 2.61 | 461 | 190.5 | 1.97 | 500 |
| 35-44 years | 205.4 | 2.31 | 363 | 209.5 | 2.30 | 407 | 205.2 | 2.00 | 427 | 206.3 | 3.20 | 437 |
| 45-54 years............................ | 235.4 | 5.16 | 196 | 236.5 | 2.88 | 197 | 228.1 | 4.96 | 221 | 228.1 | 4.23 | 213 |
| 55-64 years | 245.8 | 3.69 | 148 | 243.0 | 4.60 | 150 | 246.0 | 5.89 | 189 | 246.8 | 4.28 | 180 |
| 65-74 years............................ | 254.8 | 2.64 | 359 | 246.2 | 2.78 | 386 | 247.1 | 3.26 | 601 | 252.0 | 4.45 | 457 |
| Body mass index |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Male |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1st quartile.............................. | 194.9 | 3.46 | 273 | 191.2 | 2.92 | 317 | 198.3 | 2.50 | 498 | 192.6 | 1.94 | 308 |
| 2d quartile............................... | 210.3 | 3.32 | 309 | 209.8 | 4.31 | 279 | 213.6 | 4.99 | 351 | 209.1 | 3.20 | 355 |
| 3d quartile | 228.7 | 4.24 | 239 | 215.1 | 2.80 | 319 | 213.6 | 3.89 | 271 | 223.9 | 3.53 | 356 |
| 4th quartile ............................. | 230.2 | 3.49 | 290 | 219.4 | 3.73 | 330 | 219.3 | 3.56 | 300 | 227.2 | 3.01 | 312 |
| Female |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1st quartile............................... | 198.2 | 2.22 | 476 | 196.7 | 3.23 | 546 | 199.2 | 3.42 | 678 | 195.1 | 1.98 | 651 |
| 2d quartile.............................. | 207.1 | 3.24 | 432 | 209.0 | 2.08 | 490 | 213.4 | 2.28 | 534 | 214.0 | 3.39 | 583 |
| 3d quartile.............................. | 228.7 | 3.56 | 460 | 227.4 | 1.96 | 448 | 223.5 | 3.92 | 555 | 225.7 | 5.09 | 488 |
| 4th quartile .............................. | 238.1 | 4.51 | 422 | 230.7 | 3.25 | 486 | 227.6 | 3.64 | 572 | 225.4 | 3.52 | 479 |

[^6]

[^7]Table 23. Serum cholesterol levels of adult females ages 25-74 years within total general well-being strata showing means and standard errors of means by race, age and body mass

| Race, age, and body mass index quartile strata | Total general well-being score |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Less than 60 |  |  | 60-79 |  |  | 80-95 |  |  | 96 or more |  |  |
|  | Mean | Standard error of mean | $\begin{gathered} \text { Number } \\ \text { of } \\ \text { examinees } \end{gathered}$ | Mean | Standard error of mean | Number of examinees | Mean | Standard error of mean | Number of examinees | Mean | Standard error of mean | Number of examinees |
|  | Milligrams/deciliter |  | 541 | Milligrams/deciliter |  | 1,275 | Milligrams/deciliter |  | 1,263 | Milligrams/deciliter |  | 531 |
| Total1 ................................... | 220.5 | 2.65 |  | 224.1 | 1.43 |  | 220.2 | 1.74 |  | 225.8 | 2.62 |  |
| Race |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| White.................................... | 221.8 | 2.92 | 417 | 225.2 | 1.65 | 1,093 | 220.0 | 1.78 | 1,143 | 225.0 | 2.84 | 484 |
| Black...................................... | 215.4 | 5.33 | 124 | 216.2 | 4.48 | 182 | 222.9 | 4.55 | 120 | 235.8 | 8.39 | 47 |
| Age |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 25-34 years.......................... | 194.6 | 4.70 | 124 | 201.9 | 2.36 | 313 | 192.5 | 2.72 | 316 | 190.3 | 4.36 | 101 |
| 35-44 years.......................... | 211.5 | 4.54 | 107 | 208.6 | 3.07 | 234 | 205.0 | 3.21 | 243 | 203.4 | 4.29 | 76 |
| 45-54 years........................... | 227.0 | 6.06 | 127 | 233.1 | 3.91 | 287 | 232.7 | 3.34 | 295 | 235.2 | 6.19 | 139 |
| 55-64 years........................... | 251.1 | 6.26 | 93 | 242.9 | 4.06 | 234 | 245.2 | 3.99 | 201 | 247.8 | 5.26 | 112 |
| 65-74 years........................... | 242.2 | 5.05 | 90 | 254.3 | 3.18 | 207 | 250.4 | 3.64 | 208 | 251.4 | 4.93 | 103 |
| Body mass index : |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1st quartile............................ | 213.1 | 4.49 | 112 | 211.7 | 2.71 | 315 | 205.5 | 3.03 | 331 | 203.6 | 4.04 | 137 |
| 2d quartile.............................. | 215.4 | 4.33 | 122 | 222.0 | 3.17 | 298 | 216.5 | 2.88 | 353 | 222.0 | 4.45 | 141 |
| 3d quartile............................ | 226.3 | 4.88 | 125 | 232.1 | 3.56 | 306 | 227.8 | 4.48 | 318 | 234.9 | 5.16 | 149 |
| 4th quartile .............................. | 227.0 | 6.31 | 181 | 231.6 | 2.51 | 353 | 236.4 | 3.58 | 261 | 246.7 | 6.31 | 104 |

+ Excludes "other" racial groups.

| Race, age, and body mass index quartile strata | Hemoglobin level (grams/deciliter) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Less than 14.35 |  |  | 14.35-15.54 |  |  | 15.55-16.74 |  |  | 16.75 or more |  |  |
|  | Mean | Standard error of mean | Number of examinees | Mean | Standard error of mean | Number of examinees | Mean | Standard error of mean | Number of examinees | Mean | Standard error of mean | Number of examinees |
|  | Milligrams/deciliter |  | 729 | Milligrams/deciliter |  | 1,647 | Milligrams/deciliter |  | Milligrams/deciliter |  |  | 634 |
|  | 208.6 | 2.60 |  | 208.2 | 1.29 |  | 210.9 | 1.80 | 1,443 | 218.4 | 2.76 |  |
| Race |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| White.. | 208.4 | 2.57 | 522 | 209.1 | 1.29 | 1,355 | 210.6 | 1.79 | 1,322 | 217.3 | 2.80 | 589 |
| Black....................................... | 209.8 | 7.61 | 207 | 201.6 | 3.82 | 292 | 215.9 | 10.22 | 121 | 244.9 | 20.02 | 45 |
| Age |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 18-24 years............................. | 173.6 | 4.75 | 60 | 173.4 | 2.08 | 255 | 180.9 | 3.05 | 282 | 185.0 | 4.98 | 112 |
| 25-34 years............................ | 194.8 | 4.84 | 88 | 197.8 | 3.08 | 256 | 203.4 | 3.18 | 278 | 207.4 | 4.90 | 118 |
| 35-44 years............................ | 213.3 | 6.18 | 66 | 216.6 | 3.47 | 254 | 224.0 | 3.55 | 195 | 232.8 | 5.36 | 84 |
| 45-54 years............................. | 214.7 | 5.92 | 101 | 223.9 | 2.93 | 237 | 232.3 | 3.38 | 219 | 240.2 | 4.82 | 110 |
| 55-64 years............................ | 229.6 | 6.64 | 89 | 226.8 | 3.60 | 186 | 230.8 | 5.33 | 136 | 229.7 | 10.55 | 63 |
| 65-74 years........................... | 215.1 | 2.75 | 325 | 231.0 | 4.19 | 459 | 226.2 | 3.84 | 333 | 233.6 | 4.69 | 147 |
| Body mass index |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1st quartile.............................. | 191.1 | 3.45 | 271 | 186.6 | 2.78 | 396 | 195.8 | 3.73 | 320 | 193.8 | 4.37 | 113 |
| 2d quartile.............................. | 208.3 | 5.14 | 179 | 205.7 | 3.20 | 421 | 206.7 | 3.77 | 359 | 214.3 | 5.22 | 162 |
| 3d quartile............................... | 212.0 | 3.00 | 145 | 219.2 | 2.62 | 440 | 220.3 | 3.04 | 357 | 226.0 | 5.22 | 173 |
| 4th quartile ............................. | 232.7 | 6.05 | 134 | 218.5 | 3.08 | 390 | 219.4 | 3.13 | 407 | 230.7 | 6.09 | 186 |

[^8]| Table 25. Serum cholesterol levels of adult females ages $18-74$ years within hemoglobin strata showing means and standard errors of means by race, age and body mass index: United States, 1971-74 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Race, age, and body mass index quartile strata | Hemoglobin level (grams/deciliter) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Less than 12.65 |  |  | 12.65-13.74 |  |  | 13.75-14.94 |  |  | 14.95 or more |  |  |
|  | Mean | Standard error of mean | Number of examinees | Mean | Standard error of mean | Number of examinees | Mean | Standard error of mean | Number of examinees | Mean | Standard error of mean |  |
|  | Milligrams/deciliter |  | 1,216 | Milligrams/deciliter |  | 2,327 | Milligrams/deciliter |  | Milligrams/deciliter |  |  |  |
| Total ${ }^{1}$ | 204.7 | 1.51 |  | 206.9 | 1.56 |  | 213.4 | 1.65 | 2,462 | 224.8 | 2.34 | 929 |
| Race |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| White...................................... | 205.5 | 2.08 | 797 | 206.5 | 1.72 | 1,936 | 213.1 | 1.70 | 2,175 | 224.9 | 2.37 | 863 |
| Black...................................... | 202.3 | 3.75 | 419 | 209.7 | 2.30 | 391 | 217.3 | 4.44 | 287 | 223.9 | 7.23 | 66 |
| Age |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 18-24 years............................ | 180.9 | 2.94 | 282 | 180.3 | 2.46 | 537 | 186.8 | 2.94 | 463 | 189.4 | 5.94 | 115 |
| 25-34 years............................ | 193.8 | 2.95 | 315 | 190.8 | 1.70 | 604 | 195.1 | 1.67 | 617 | 201.8 | 2.29 | 203 |
| 35-44 years............................ | 206.0 | 3.99 | 271 | 201.1 | 2.15 | 503 | 207.7 | 1.87 | 493 | 215.7 | 3.44 | 183 |
| 45-54 years............................. | 220.0 | 4.56 | 110 | 229.1 | 4.20 | 205 | 227.7 | 4.64 | 256 | 236.8 | 6.84 | 116 |
| 55-64 years........................... | 247.2 | 10.11 | 55 | 245.7 | 4.23 | 140 | 242.6 | 3.84 | 191 | 250.6 | 5.10 | 107 |
| 65-74 years........................... | 244.6 | 7.49 | 183 | 248.4 | 4.52 | 338 | 254.5 | 3.46 | 442 | 254.4 | 3.36 | 205 |
| Body mass index |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1st quartile................................ | 185.1 | 3.73 | 307 | 190.8 | 2.37 | 626 | 197.7 | 3.40 | 585 | 209.2 | 3.80 | 211 |
| 2d quartile............................... | 200.6 | 3.44 | 325 | 202.3 | 2.77 | 615 | 208.8 | 2.28 | 633 | 216.3 | 5.33 | 180 |
| 3d quartile............................... | 218.2 | 4.49 | 318 | 216.7 | 1.96 | 566 | 221.5 | 1.98 | 625 | 229.2 | 5.27 | 243 |
| 4th quartile ............................. | 219.4 | 5.05 | 266 | 224.0 | 3.10 | 520 | 225.5 | 4.29 | 619 | 239.9 | 4.57 | 295 |

'Excludes "other" racial groups.


[^9]| Sex, race, age, and body mass index quartile strata | Serum calcium level (milligrams/deciliter) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Less than 9.25 |  |  | 9.25-9.74 |  |  | 9.75-10.14 |  |  | 10.15 or more |  |  |
|  | Mean | Standard error of mean | Number of examinees | Mean | Standard error of mean | Number of examinees | Mean | Standard error of mean | Number of examinees | Mean | Standard error of mean | Number of examinees |
|  | Milligrams/deciliter |  | 812 | Milligrams/deciliter |  | 2,277 | Milligrams/deciliter |  | 1,553 | Miligrams/deciliter |  |  |
|  | 204.4 | 1.34 |  | 214.9 | 1.04 |  | 223.3 | 1.42 |  | 233.2 | 2.63 | 613 |
| Sex |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Male ...................................... | 205.0 | 1.88 | 323 | 213.2 | 1.63 | 1,052 | 221.7 | 1.94 | 773 | 232.1 | 3.38 | 341 |
| Female...................................... | 204.0 | 1.69 | 489 | 216.4 | 1.84 | 1,225 | 225.0 | 1.68 | 780 | 234.8 | 3.68 | 272 |
| Race |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| White...................................... | 204.9 | 1.44 | 730 | 215.4 | 1.17 | 2,042 | 223.3 | 1.65 | 1,353 | 232.7 | 2.83 | 526 |
| Black....................................... | 199.3 | 5.99 | 82 | 208.6 | 3.06 | 235 | 223.2 | 4.69 | 200 | 236.9 | 6.31 | 87 |
| Age |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 25-34 years............................ | 186.9 | 4.14 | 180 | 192.3 | 2.11 | 551 | 202.6 | 2.25 | 434 | 216.1 | 4.44 | 185 |
| 35-44 years............................. | 195.6 | 2.32 | 162 | 209.7 | 2.70 | 416 | 214.6 | 3.42 | 301 | 228.1 | 4.97 | 119 |
| 45-54 years............................ | 214.0 | 3.12 | 183 | 224.3 | 1.97 | 555 | 239.0 | 2.72 | 376 | 244.9 | 5.25 | 139 |
| 55-64 years............................ | 212.1 | 4.15 | 137 | 234.9 | 2.59 | 404 | 242.6 | 3.85 | 244 | 256.3 | 3.72 | 109 |
| 65-74 years............................ | 225.8 | 3.99 | 150 | 232.3 | 3.15 | 351 | 251.0 | 3.82 | 198 | 244.3 | 9.95 | 61 |
| Male |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 25-34 years............................ | 185.2 | 4.07 | 37 | 193.1 | 2.83 | 205 | 203.3 | 3.52 | 220 | 214.4 | 4.88 | 127 |
| 35-44 years............................ | 205.4 | 4.71 | 43 | 212.2 | 3.49 | 176 | 218.2 | 4.53 | 16i | 236.4 | 6.77 | 63 |
| 45-54 years........................... | 212.2 | 4.01 | 86 | 221.3 | 3.01 | 257 | 243.1 | 3.01 | 181 | 250.3 | 6.66 | 67 |
| 55-64 years............................. | 204.6 | 5.12 | 75 | 226.2 | 2.68 | 217 | 239.7 | 5.44 | 117 | 251.1 | 4.88 | 48 |
| 65-74 years........................... | 211.2 | 5.98 | 82 | 221.6 | 4.52 | 197 | 231.4 | 4.78 | 94 | 251.0 | 12.17 | 36 |
| Female |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 25-34 years............................ | 187.4 | 5.15 | 143 | 191.7 | 2.98 | 346 | 201.6 | 2.37 | 214 | 220.4 | 8.02 | 58 |
| 35-44 years............................. | 192.0 | 2.75 | 119 | 207.3 | 3.60 | 240 | 209.9 | 3.79 | 140 | 219.1 | 6.59 | 56 |
| 45-54 years............................ | 215.6 | 3.85 | 97 | 227.1 | 3.59 | 298 | 235.3 | 3.76 | 195 | 238.8 | 7.46 | 72 |
| 55-64 years............................ | 221.1 | 5.77 | 62 | 243.3 | 3.83 | 187 | 245.1 | 6.20 | 127 | 260.0 | 5.25 | 61 |
| 65-74 years............................ | 239.5 | 4.95 | 68 | 243.7 | 4.37 | 154 | 265.4 | 4.68 | 104 | 237.9 | 12.35 | 25 |
| Body mass index |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Male |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1st quartile.............................. | 190.9 | 4.19 | 86 | 201.3 | 3.46 | 270 | 204.8 | 4.48 | 165 | 217.8 | 5.13 | 95 |
| 2d quartile............................... | 208.6 | 4.86 | 72 | 212.3 | 3.33 | 248 | 222.5 | 4.14 | 221 | 235.9 | 5.99 | 91 |
| 3d quartile............................... | 210.3 | 2.40 | 91 | 219.0 | 3.32 | 265 | 222.9 | 3.01 | 189 | 235.1 | 6.68 | 72 |
| 4th quartile .............................. | 212.5 | 6.55 | 74 | 219.4 | 2.74 | 268 | 233.3 | 4.01 | 196 | 239.9 | 6.90 | 83 |
| Female |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1st quartile.............................. | 192.2 | 4.22 | 112 | 205.0 | 3.36 | 303 | 210.8 | 2.93 | 204 | 223.0 | 5.59 | 74 |
| 2d quartile.............................. | 203.2 | 3.83 | 153 | 211.9 | 3.08 | 288 | 219.3 | 4.42 | 194 | 224.8 | 6.38 | 64 |
| 3d quartile............................... | 214.4 | 4.84 | 106 | 222.2 | 3.28 | 322 | 235.5 | 4.11 | 187 | 242.4 | 8.72 | 61 |
| 4th quartile ............................. | 207.6 | 3.80 | 118 | 226.9 | 3.96 | 311 | 239.1 | 4.19 | 192 | 250.3 | 7.32 | 73 |

[^10] United States, 1971-75

${ }^{1}$ Excludes "other" racial groups.

'Excludes "other" racial groups.


Table 31. Serum urate levels of adults ages 25-74 years showing means, standard erros of means and selected percentiles by sex and race: United States, 1971-75

| Sex, race, and age | Mean | Standard error of the mean | Percentile |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Number } \\ \text { of } \\ \text { examinees } \end{gathered}$ | Estimated population in thousands |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | 5th | 10th | 25th | 50th | 75th | 90th | 95th |  |  |
|  |  |  | Milligrams/deciliter |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Male |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 6.3 | 0.02 | 4.3 | 4.7 | 5.5 | 6.2 | 7.2 | 8.1 | 8.7 | 3,171 | 48,857 |
| White...................................... | 6.2 | 0.02 | 4.3 | 4.7 | 5.4 | 6.2 | 7.1 | 8.1 | 8.5 | 2,744 | 43,903 |
| Age |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 25-34 years........................... | 6.2 | 0.05 | 4.6 | 4.9 | 5.5 | 6.2 | 7.0 | 7.8 | 8.4 | 592 | 11,846 |
| 35-44 years........................... | 6.2 | 0.06 | 4.5 | 4.7 | 5.4 | 6.2 | 7.0 | 7.9 | 8.5 | 466 | 9,219 |
| 45-54 years............................ | 6.3 | 0.05 | 4.1 | 4.6 | 5.4 | 6.3 | 7.3 | 8.2 | 8.7 | 647 | 9,886 |
| 55-64 years............................ | 6.2 | 0.06 | 3.7. | 4.4 | 5.4 | 6.2 | 7.2 | 8.1 | 8.6 | 538 | 8,006 |
| 65-74 years........................... | 6.3 | 0.06 | 4.5 | 4.7 | 5.4 | 6.3 | 7.2 | 8.2 | 8.9 | 501 | 4,946 |
| Black ....................................... | 6.6 | 0.07 | 4.5 | 4.8 | 5.6 | 6.5 | 7.7 | 8.7 | 9.5 | 390 | 44,114 |
| Age |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 25-34 years........................... | 6.3 | 0.15 | 4.5 | 4.9 | 5.7 | 6.3 | 7.2 | 8.2 | 8.6 | 72 | 1,220 |
| 35-44 years........................... | 6.7 | 0.21 | 4.6 | 4.8 | 5.6 | 6.5 | 7.6 | 9.5 | 10.0 | 53 | 1,005 |
| 45-54 years............................ | 6.8 | 0.15 | 4.7 | 4.9 | 5.6 | 6.5 | 7.8 | 9.1 | 9.8 | 99 | 994 |
| 55-64 years........................... | 6.9 | 0.17 | 4.4 | 4.9 | 5.8 | 7.0 | 8.0 | 8.6 | 9.2 | 76 | 724 |
| 65-74 years........................... | 6.6 | 0.17 | 3.7 | 4.1 | 5.6 | 6.7 | 7.8 | 8.5 | 9.6 | 90 | 471 |
| Female |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total ${ }^{1}$.................................... | 4.8 | 0.02 | 2.9 | 3.5 | 4.0 | 4.7 | 5.6 | 6.6 | 7.2 | 3,742 | 53,927 |
| White...................................... | 4.8 | 0.02 | 2.9 | 3.5 | 4.0 | 4.7 | 5.5 | 6.5 | 7.2 | 3,224 | 47,705 |
| Age |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 25-34 years........................... | 4.5 | 0.04 | 2.8 | 3.1 | 3.8 | 4.4 | 5.2 | 5.8 | 6.3 | 778 | 12,324 |
| 35-44 years............................ | 4.6 | 0.04 | 2.9 | 3.3 | 3.8 | 4.5 | 5.3 | 6.2 | 6.7 | 580 | 9,518 |
| 45-54 years........................... | 4.8 | 0.04 | 3.0 | 3.5 | 3.9 | 4.7 | 5.5 | 6.4 | 7.1 | 756 | 10,588 |
| 55-64 years........................... | 5.2 | 0.06 | 3.4 | 3.7 | 4.2 | 5.1 | 6.1 | 7.1 | 7.6 | 572 | 8,876 |
| 65-74 years........................... | 5.3 | 0.06 | 3.3 | 3.7 | 4.4 | 5.3 | 6.3 | 7.2 | 7.6 | 538 | 6,399 |
| Black...................................... | 5.1 | 0.06 | 2.9 | 3.5 | 4.0 | 4.9 | 6.1 | 7.2 | 7.9 | 483 | 5,733 |
| Age |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 25-34 years............................ | 4.6 | 0.12 | 2.8 | 3.1 | 3.8 | 4.5 | 5.5 | 6.3 | 6.9 | 104 | 1,658 |
| 35-44 years............................ | 4.7 | 0.13 | 2.9 | 3.5 | 3.9 | 4.5 | 5.4 | 6.6 | 7.2 | 97 | 1,348 |
| 45-54 years........................... | 5.3 | 0.13 | 3.5 | 3.7 | 4.3 | 5.2 | 6.3 | 7.3 | 7.9 | 107 | 1,253 |
| 55-64 years........................... | 5.8 | 0.17 | 2.9 | 3.5 | 4.5 | 5.6 | 7.3 | 8.1 | 8.4 | 85 | 845 |
| 65-74 years........................... | 5.6 | 0.18 | 3.3 | 3.7 | 4.3 | 5.6 | 6.9 | 7.8 | 8.4 | 90 | 629 |

[^11]| Race and age | Body mass index (kilograms/meters ${ }^{2}$ ) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Less than 22.270 |  |  | 22.270-24.449 |  |  | 24.450-26.353 |  |  | 26.354-28.482 |  |  | 28.483 or more |  |  |
|  | Mean | Standard error of mean | Number of examinees | Mean | Standard error of mean | Number of examinees | Mean | Standard error of mean |  | Mean | Standard error of mean | Number of examinees | Mean | Standard error of mean | Number of examinees |
|  | Milligrams/deciliter |  |  | Milligrams/deciliter |  |  | Milligrams/deciliter |  |  | Milligrams/deciliter |  |  | Milligrams/deciliter |  |  |
| Total ${ }^{1}$....................... | 5.7 | 0.05 | 523 | 5.9 | 0.07 | 530 | 6.2 | 0.06 | 534 | 6.3 | 0.06 | 532 | 6.9 | 0.08 | 534 |
| Race |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| White........................ | 5.7 | 0.06 | 454 | 5.9 | 0.07 | 479 | 6.2 | 0.06 | 476 | 6.3 | 0.07 | 489 | 6.8 | 0.08 | 466 |
| Black....................... | 5.7 | 0.23 | 69 | 6.1 | 0.17 | 51 | 6.6 | 0.19 | 58 | 6.3 | 0.23 | 43 | 7.4 | 0.22 | 68 |
| Age |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 25-34 years.............. | 5.9 | 0.11 | 143 | 5.9 | 0.10 | 141 | 6.2 | 0.11 | 116 | 6.3 | 0.13 | 110 | 7.0 | 0.18 | 114 |
| 35-44 years............. | 5.5 | 0.15 | 83 | 5.9 | 0.16 | 96 | 6.2 | 0.15 | 99 | 6.3 | 0.13 | 102 | 6.7 | 0.16 | 89 |
| 45-54 years............. | 5.6 | 0.17 | 105 | 6.0 | 0.11 | 118 | 6.2 | 0.12 | 132 | 6.4 | 0.12 | 138 | 6.9 | 0.14 | 139 |
| 55-64 years............. | 5.8 | 0.20 | 94 | 5.5 | 0.24 | 79 | 6.3 | 0.16 | 108 | 6.1 | 0.14 | 95 | 6.9 | 0.12 | 105 |
| 65-74 years............. | 5.7 | 0.16 | 98 | 6.0 | 0.14 | 96 | 6.3 | 0.16 | 79 | 6.3 | 0.19 | 87 | 6.7 | 0.18 | 87 |

Table 33. Serum urate levels of adult females ages 25-74 years within body mass index strata showing means and standard errors of means by race and age: United States, 1971-75

| Race and age | Body mass index (kilograms/meters ${ }^{\text {2 }}$ ) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Less than 20.706 |  |  | 20.706-22.843 |  |  | 22.844-25.203 |  |  | 25.204-28.907 |  |  | 28.908 or more |  |  |
|  | Mean | Standard error of mean | Number of examinees | Mean | Standard error of mean | Number of examinees | Mean | Standard error of mean | Number of examinees | Mean | Standard error of mean | Number of examinees | Mean | Standard error of mean | Number of examinees |
|  | Milligrams/deciliter |  |  | Milligrams/deciliter |  |  | Milligrams/deciliter |  |  | Milligrams/deciliter |  |  | Milligrams/deciliter |  |  |
| Total ${ }^{1}$........................ | 4.2 | 0.04 | 592 | 4.4 | 0.05 | 598 | 4.6 | 0.05 | 589 | 4.9 | 0.06 | 590 | 5.5 | 0.06 | 596 |
| Hace |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| White........................ | 4.2 | 0.05 | 549 | 4.4 | 0.06 | 565 | 4.6 | 0.05 | 536 | 4.9 | 0.07 | 501 | 5.5 | 0.07 | 472 |
| Black........................ | 4.3 | 0.15 | 43 | 4.5 | 0.17 | 33 | 4.5 | 0.22 | 53 | 4.9 | 0.11 | 89 | 5.4 | 0.14 | 124 |
| Age |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 25-34 years.............. | 4.2 | 0.08 | 258 | 4.4 | 0.07 | 205 | 4.4 | 0.11 | 136 | 4.5 | 0.14 | 101 | 5.3 | 0.13 | 116 |
| 35-44 years.............. | 4.1 | 0.08 | 131 | 4.3 | 0.13 | 137 | 4.6 | 0.09 | 111 | 4.7 | 0.10 | 115 | 5.5 | 0.12 | 95 |
| 45-54 years.............. | 4.2 | 0.08 | 108 | 4.3 | 0.10 | 142 | 4.6 | 0.10 | 145 | 5.0 | 0.11 | 166 | 5.3 | 0.11 | 151 |
| 55-64 years.............. | 4.3 | 0.17 | 53 | 4.7 | 0.18 | 70 | 4.8 | 0.13 | 109 | 5.0 | 0.10 | 111 | 5.5 | 0.14 | 128 |
| 65-74 years............. | 4.5 | 0.15 | 42 | 4.7 | 0.18 | 44 | 5.0 | 0.14 | 88 | 5.4 | 0.15 | 97 | 5.7 | 0.15 | 106 |

${ }^{1}$ Excludes "other" racial groups.

Table 34. Serum urate levels of adult males ages 25-74 years within total skinfold (triceps and subscapular) thickness strata showing means and standard errors of means by race and age: United States, 1971-75

| Race and age | Total skinfold thickness (millimeters) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Less than 17.0 |  |  | 17.0-22.9 |  |  | 23.0-28.9 |  |  | 29.0-36.5 |  |  | 36.6 or more |  |  |
|  | Mean | Standard error of mean | Number of examinees | Mean | Standard error of mean | Number of examinees | Mean | Standard error of mean | Number of examinees | Mean | Standard error of mean | $\begin{gathered} \text { Number } \\ \text { of } \\ \text { examinees } \end{gathered}$ | Mean | Standard error of mean | Number of examinees |
|  | Milligrams/deciliter |  |  | Milligrams/deciliter |  |  | Milligrams/deciliter |  |  | $\underline{\text { Milligrams/deciliter }}$ |  |  | Milligrams/deciliter |  | 580 |
| Total ${ }^{\text {. ..................... }}$ | 5.7 | 0.07 | 470 | 6.0 | 0.07 | 556 | 6.2 | 0.07 | 509 | 6.4 | 0.07 | 535 | 6.6 | 0.06 |  |
| Race |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| White...................... | 5.6 | 0.08 | 385 | 6.0 | 0.07 | 497 | 6.2 | 0.07 | 481 | 6.4 | 0.08 | 474 | 6.6 | 0.06 | 523 |
| Black....................... | 5.9 | 0.18 | 85 | 6.1 | 0.22 | 59 | 6.8 | 0.26 | 28 | 6.7 | 0.26 | 61 | 7.0 | 0.28 | 57 |
| Age |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 25-34 years............. | 5.9 | 0.10 | 115 | 6.0 | 0.10 | 143 | 6.2 | 0.10 | 118 | 6.4 | 0.19 | 109 | 6.7 | 0.13 | 138 |
| 35-44 years............. | 5.6 | 0.15 | 75 | 6.1 | 0.17 | 93 | 6.2 | 0.14 | 89 | 6.3 | 0.15 | 106 | 6.5 | 0.15 | 106 |
| 45-54 years............. | 5.6 | 0.15 | 105 | 6.1 | 0.12 | 123 | 6.4 | 0.14 | 120 | 6.4 | 0.13 | 117 | 6.6 | 0.10 | 165 |
| 55-64 years............. | 5.7 | 0.25 | 78 | 5.8 | 0.14 | 110 | 6.3 | 0.15 | 93 | 6.5 | 0.15 | 105 | 6.6 | 0.14 | 96 |
| 65-74 years.............. | 5.6 | 0.13 | 97 | 6.3 | 0.12 | 87 | 6.1 | 0.18 | 89 | 6.5 | 0.13 | 98 | 6.4 | 0.21 | 75 |

${ }^{1}$ Excludes "other" racial groups.
 and age: United States, 1971-75

| Race and age | Total skinfold thickness (millimeters) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Less than 28.0 |  |  | 28.0-36.4 |  |  | 36.5-45.9 |  |  | 46.0-58.0 |  |  | 58.1 or more |  |  |
|  | Mean | Standard error of mean | Number <br> of <br> examinees | Mean | Standard error of mean | Number <br> of <br> examinees | Mean | Standard error of mean | Number of examinees | Mean | Standard error of mean | Number of examinees | Mean | Standard error of mean | $\begin{gathered} \text { Number } \\ \text { of } \\ \text { examinees } \end{gathered}$ |
|  | Milligrams/deciliter |  |  | Milligrams/deciliter |  |  | Milligrams/deciliter |  |  | Milligrams/deciliter |  |  | Milligrams/deciliter |  |  |
| Total ${ }^{1} . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . ~$ | 4.2 | 0.06 | 608 | 4.4 | 0.05 | 605 | 4.7 | 0.07 | 601 | 5.0 | 0.06 | 580 | 5.3 | 0.07 | 553 |
| Race |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| White........................ | 4.2 | 0.06 | 551 | 4.4 | 0.05 | 566 | 4.6 | 0.07 | 561 | 5.0 | 0.06 | 489 | 5.4 | 0.07 | 440 |
| Black......................... | 4.5 | 0.14 | 57 | 4.6 | 0.26 | 39 | 5.2 | 0.30 | 40 | 4.8 | 0.18 | 91 | 5.0 | 0.13 | 113 |
| Age |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 25-34 years.............. | 4.2 | 0.07 | 238 | 4.3 | 0.09 | 190 | 4.4 | 0.14 | 143 | 4.7 | 0.12 | 109 | 5.1 | 0.13 | 125 |
| 35-44 years.............. | 4.1 | 0.08 | 129 | 4.3 | 0.10 | 124 | 4.5 | 0.14 | 111 | 4.9 | 0.09 | 116 | 5.3 | 0.10 | 107 |
| 45-54 years............. | 4.2 | 0.09 | 113 | 4.4 | 0.09 | 125 | 4.6 | 0.09 | 158 | 4.9 | 0.12 | 150 | 5.2 | 0.11 | 164 |
| 55-64 years.............. | 4.4 | 0.27 | 63 | 4.7 | 0.12 | 95 | 4.8 | 0.13 | 99 | 5.2 | 0.11 | 113 | 5.5 | 0.16 | 99 |
| 65-74 years............. | 4.6 | 0.14 | 65 | 4.7 | 0.14 | 71 | 5.3 | 0.15 | 90 | 5.4 | 0.19 | 92 | 5.8 | 0.18 | 58 |

[^12]

1Excludes "other" racial groups.
© Table 37. Serum urate levels of adults ages 25-74 years within strata of weekly ethanol consumption showing means and standard errors of means by sex, race, age and body mass

| Sex, race, age, and body mass index quartile strata | Ethanol consumption (ounces/week) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Abstainers (0) |  |  | Light (0.001-0.999) |  |  | Moderate (1.000-6.999) |  |  | Heavy (7,000 or more) |  |  |
|  | Mean | Standard error of mean | $\begin{gathered} \text { Number } \\ \text { of } \\ \text { examinees } \end{gathered}$ | Mean | Standard error of mean | Number of examinees | Mean | Standard error of mean | Number of examinees | Mean | Standard error of mean | Number of examinees |
|  | Milligrams/deciliter |  |  | Milligrams/deciliter |  |  | Milligrams/deciliter |  |  | Milligrams/deciliter |  | 228 |
|  | 5.01 | 0.070 | 668 | 5.18 | 0.048 | 786 | 5.71 | 0.057 | 750 | 6.35 | 0.088 |  |
| Sex |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Male ..................................... | 5.73 | 0.085 | 238 | 6.04 | 0.057 | 363 | 6.28 | 0.077 | 488 | 6.49 | 0.104 | 194 |
| Female.................................. | 4.64 | 0.077 | 430 | 4.49 | 0.056 | 423 | 4.75 | 0.094 | 262 | 5.44 | 0.201 | 34 |
| Race |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| White.................................... | 5.01 | 0.081 | 557 | 5.15 | 0.054 | 691 | 5.69 | 0.060 | 635 | 6.35 | 0.094 | 195 |
| Black...................................... | 4.98 | 0.181 | 111 | 5.49 | 0.124 | 95 | 5.88 | 0.263 | 115 | 6.31 | 0.255 | 33 |
| Age |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 25-34 years............................. | 4.93 | 0.174 | 83 | 5.01 | 0.097 | 227 | 5.75 | 0.137 | 186 | 6.05 | 0.128 | 49 |
| 35-44 years.......................... | 5.03 | 0.197 | 85 | 4.99 | 0.101 | 135 | 5.33 | 0.120 | 150 | 6.39 | 0.169 | 53 |
| 45-54 years.......................... | 4.89 | 0.119 | 165 | 5.28 | 0.105 | 187 | 5.88 | 0.114 | 211 | 6.26 | 0.138 | 62 |
| 55-64 years........................... | 5.08 | 0.118 | 155 | 5.50 | 0.182 | 124 | 5.81 | 0.178 | 112 | 6.88 | 0.295 | 35 |
| 65-74 years........................... | 5.15 | 0.090 | 180 | 5.77 | 0.165 | 113 | 6.09 | 0.164 | 91 | 6.83 | 0.284 | 29 |
| Male |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 25-34 years............................ | 6.03 | 0.273 | 20 | 5.90 | 0.112 | 97 | 6.44 | 0.153 | 122 | 6.18 | 0.157 | 40 |
| 35-44 years.......................... | 6.08 | 0.253 | 27 | 5.94 | 0.144 | 49 | 5.85 | 0.150 | 84 | 6.51 | 0.180 | 44 |
| 45-54 years............................ | 5.54 | 0.172 | 63 | 6.16 | 0.124 | 78 | 6.45 | 0.127 | 138 | 6.50 | 0.171 | 52 |
| 55-64 years.......................... | 5.69 | 0.186 | 53 | 6.21 | 0.162 | 69 | 6.26 | 0.235 | 75 | 6.93 | 0.324 | 31 |
| 65-74 years............................. | 5.42 | 0.135 | 75 | 6.25 | 0.176 | 70 | 6.33 | 0.188 | 69 | 6.85 | 0.265 | 27 |
| Female |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 25-34 years........................... | 4.47 | 0.109 | 63 | 4.34 | 0.081 | 130 | 4.46 | 0.185 | 64 | 5.11 | 0.432 | 9 |
| 35-44 years........................... | 4.48 | 0.229 | 58 | 4.33 | 0.083 | 86 | 4.72 | 0.185 | 66 | 5.47 | 0.421 | 9 |
| 45-54 years............................ | 4.54 | 0.142 | 102 | 4.67 | 0.116 | 109 | 4.71 | 0.114 | 73 | 5.19 | 0.284 | 10 |
| 55-64 years........................... | 4.76 | 0.127 | 102 | 4.66 | 0.181 | 55 | 5.17 | 0.220 | 37 | 6.49 | 0.484 | 4 |
| 65-74 years............................. | 5.00 | 0.102 | 105 | 5.14 | 0.176 | 43 | 5.49 | 0.194 | 22 | 6.62 | 0.865 | 2 |
| Body mass index |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Male |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1st quartile............................. | 4.54 | 0.118 | 190 | 4.57 | 0.084 | 267 | 5.01 | 0.108 | 235 | 5.80 | 0.117 | 75 |
| 2d quartile............................. | 4.82 | 0.088 | 153 | 5.19 | 0.097 | 187 | 5.67 | 0.095 | 187 | 6.37 | 0.189 | 50 |
| 3d quartile.............................. | 5.13 | 0.105 | 122 | 5.70 | 0.169 | 139 | 6.03 | 0.096 | 147 | 6.53 | 0.187 | 51 |
| 4th quartile ............................ | 5.60 | 0.108 | 203 | 5.76 | 0.090 | 193 | 6.53 | 0.149 | 181 | 6.77 | 0.227 | 52 |
| Female |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1st quartile............................... | 4.38 | 0.123 | 122 | 4.35 | 0.067 | 178 | 4.85 | 0.163 | 147 | 5.73 | 0.182 | 44 |
| 2d quartile............................ | 4.78 | 0.114 | 157 | 4.99 | 0.130 | 190 | 5.39 | 0.118 | 204 | 6.16 | 0.157 | 62 |
| 3d quartile............................. | 5.05 | 0.081 | 208 | 5.53 | 0.102 | 252 | 5.98 | 0.076 | 245 | 6.41 | 0.143 | 85 |
| 4th quartile ............................. | 5.63 | 0.120 | 181 | 5.82 | 0.105 | 166 | 6.68 | 0.166 | 154 | 7.07 | 0.215 | 37 |

[^13]| Table 38. Serum urate levels <br> Sex, race, age, and body mass index quartile strata | Purine-rich food intake (times/week) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Less than 8.00 |  |  | 8.00-11.99 |  |  | 12.00-16.99 |  |  | 17.00 or more |  |  |
|  | Mean | Standard error of mean | $\begin{gathered} \text { Number } \\ \text { of } \\ \text { examinees } \end{gathered}$ | Mean | Standard error of mean | Number of examinees | Mean | Standard error of mean | Number of examinees | Mean | Standard error of mean | Number of examinees |
|  | Milligrams/deciliter |  |  | Miligrams/deciliter |  |  | Milligrams/deciliter |  |  | Milligrams/deciliter |  |  |
|  | 5.3 | 0.08 | 377 | 5.3 | 0.04 | 915 | 5.4 | 0.06 | 1,023 | 5.6 | 0.09 | 415 |
| Sex |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Male ........................................ | 6.1 | 0.11 | 153 | 6.2 | 0.09 | 392 | 6.2 | 0.05 | 552 | 6.1 | 0.09 | 272 |
| Female.......................................................... | 4.8 | 0.10 | 224 | 4.6 | 0.06 | 523 | 4.6 | 0.07 | 471 | 4.6 | 0.11 | 143 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Black................................................................ | 5.4 | 0.23 | 67 | 5.7 | 0.26 | 134 | 5.3 | 0.16 | 108 | 5.8 | 0.21 | 70 |
| Age |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total 0.70 .10 - 0.17 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 25-34 years............................ | 5.7 | 0.17 | 64 | 5.2 | 0.13 | 181 | 5.3 | 0.11 | 259 | 5.5 | 0.12 | 117 |
| 35-44 years............................ | 4.7 | 0.14 | 34 | 5.1 | 0.13 | 150 | 5.2 | 0.10 | 208 | 5.8 | 0.21 | 85 |
| 45-54 years............................ | 5.3 | 0.15 | 79 | 5.4 | 0.11 | 230 | 5.4 | 0.08 | 271 | 5.6 | 0.21 | 121 |
| 55-64 years............................ | 5.5 | 0.17 | 87 | 5.4 | 0.13 | 171 | 5.7 | 0.17 | 166 | 5.4 | 0.34 | 49 |
| 65-74 years............................. | 5.6 | 0.10 | 113 | 5.7 | 0.15 | 183 | 5.6 | 0.13 | 119 | 5.9 | 0.21 | 43 |
| Male |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 25-34 years............................ | 6.0 | 0.19 | 22 | 6.5 | 0.26 | 65 | 6.1 | 0.10 | 137 | 6.0 | 0.10 | 75 |
| 35-44 years............................ | 5.5 | 0.34 | 9 | 5.8 | 0.20 | 58 | 6.1 | 0.11 | 99 | 6.4 | 0.23 | 54 |
| 45-54 years......................................... | 6.2 | 0.21 | 31 | 6.3 | 0.15 | 89 | 6.2 | 0.10 | 147 | 6.3 | 0.17 | 77 34 |
| 55-64 years........................................ | 6.3 | 0.22 | 35 | 6.1 | 0.20 | 78 | 6.4 | 0.16 | 96 | 5.7 | 0.38 | 34 |
| 65-74 years........................................ | 6.0 | 0.21 | 56 | 6.3 | 0.18 | 102 | 6.1 | 0.18 | 73 | 5.9 | 0.26 | 32 |
| Female |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 25-34 years............................ | 4.6 | 0.16 | 42 | 4.3 | 0.10 | 116 | 4.5 | 0.13 | 122 | 4.4 | 0.16 | 42 |
| 35-44 years............................ | 4.4 | 0.16 | 25 | 4.5 | 0.14 | 92 | 4.5 | 0.09 | 109 | 4.6 | 0.29 | 31 |
| 45-54 years............................ | 4.8 | 0.13 | 48 | 4.7 | 0.09 | 141 | 4.6 | 0.10 | 124 | 4.6 | 0.26 | 44 |
| 55-64 years............................ | 5.1 | 0.21 | 52 | 4.8 | 0.11 | 93 | 4.9 | 0.16 | 70 | 4.9 | 0.43 | 15 |
| 65-74 years............................ | 5.3 | 0.17 | 57 | 5.1 | 0.20 | 81 | 5.1 | 0.19 | 46 | 5.8 | 0.37 | 11 |
| Body mass index |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1st quartile.............................. | 5.4 | 0.33 | 50 | 5.8 | 0.14 | 116 | 5.7 | 0.10 | 130 | 5.6 | 0.13 | 86 |
| 2d quartile............................... | 6.0 | 0.17 | 50 | 5.9 | 0.15 | 106 | 6.1 | 0.09 | 163 | 6.2 | 0.16 | 75 |
| 3d quartile............................... | 5.8 | 0.16 | 44 | 6.3 | 0.12 | 131 | 6.1 | 0.10 | 148 | 6.2 | 0.18 | 61 |
| 4th quartile ............................. | 6.6 | 0.16 | 45 | 6.6 | 0.22 | 105 | 6.7 | 0.09 | 173 | 6.9 | 0.15 | 67 |
| Female |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1st quartile.............................. | 4.5 | 0.21 | 57 | 4.3 | 0.11 | 146 | 4.1 | 0.08 | 161 | 4.2 | 0.14 | 42 |
| 2d quartile............................... | 4.5 | 0.11 | 72 | 4.5 | 0.09 | 147 | 4.3 | 0.09 | 141 | 4.3 | 0.22 | 40 |
| 3d quartile............................................ | 4.8 | 0.10 | 76 | 4.6 | 0.13 | 157 | 5.0 | 0.11 | 131 | 4.7 | 0.20 | 38 |
| 4th quartile .............................. | 5.6 | 0.14 | 84 | 5.1 | 0.12 | 156 | 5.2 | 0.13 | 116 | 5.3 | 0.28 | 39 |

[^14] index: United States, 1971-75

| Race, age, and body mass index quartile strata | Systolic blood pressure (millimeters of mercury) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Less than 114 |  |  | 114-129 |  |  | 130-150 |  |  | 151 or more |  |  |
|  | Mean | Standard error of mean | $\begin{gathered} \text { Number } \\ \text { of } \\ \text { examinees } \end{gathered}$ | Mean | Standard error of mean | Number of examinees | Mean | Standard error of mean | Number of examinees | Mean | Standard error of mean |  |
|  | Milligrams/deciliter |  |  | Milligrams/deciliter |  |  | Milligrams/deciliter |  |  | $\underline{\text { Milligrams/deciliter }}$ |  |  |
| Total ${ }^{1}$...................................... | 6.0 | 0.07 | 351 | 6.0 | 0.04 | 1,042 | 6.4 | 0.06 | 894 | 6.7 | 0.08 | 369 |
| Race |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| White | 6.0 | 0.07 | 322 | 6.0 | 0.05 | 965 | 6.3 | 0.07 | 787 | 6.6 | 0.08 | 292 |
| Black...................................... | 6.0 | 0.26 | 29 | 6.1 | 0.17 | 77 | 6.6 | 0.19 | 107 | 6.9 | 0.18 | 77 |
| Age |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 25-34 years | 6.1 | 0.11 | 109 | 6.1 | 0.07 | 294 | 6.5 | 0.15 | 178 | 6.6 | 0.24 | 43 |
| 35-44 years............................ | 5.9 | 0.13 | 62 | 6.0 | 0.10 | 171 | 6.4 | 0.12 | 170 | 6.7 | 0.19 | 67 |
| 45-54 years............................ | 5.8 | 0.23 | 52 | 6.2 | 0.08 | 223 | 6.3 | 0.11 | 238 | 6.7 | 0.14 | 119 |
| 55-64 years........................... | 6.2 | 0.24 | 50 | 5.8 | 0.16 | 178 | 6.3 | 0.10 | 171 | 6.7 | 0.22 | 84 |
| 65-74 years............................ | 6.0 | 0.16 | 78 | 6.1 | 0.11 | 176 | 6.2 | 0.14 | 137 | 6.8 | 0.22 | 56 |
| Body mass index |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1st quartile.............................. | 5.8 | 0.09 | 151 | 5.7 | 0.09 | 280 | 5.8 | 0.10 | 174 | 5.8 | 0.20 | 50 |
| 2d quartile............................... | 6.0 | 0.12 | 100 | 6.0 | 0.07 | 297 | 6.1 | 0.10 | 201 | 6.4 | 0.21 | 71 |
| 3d quartile............................... | 6.2 | 0.17 | 66 | 6.1 | 0.08 | 273 | 6.3 | 0.12 | 238 | 6.6 | 0.16 | 86 |
| 4th quartile ............................... | 6.3 | 0.19 | 34 | 6.5 | 0.10 | 191 | 6.9 | 0.11 | 280 | 7.1 | 0.11 | 161 |

[^15]| Race, age, and body mass index quartile strata | Systolic blood pressure (milimeters of mercury) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Less than 108 |  |  | 109-121 |  |  | 122-150 |  |  | 151 or more |  |  |
|  | Mean | Standard error of mean | Number of examinees | Mean | Standard error of mean | Number of examinees | Mean | Standard error of mean | Number of examinees | Mean | Standard error of mean | Number of examinees |
|  | Milligrams/deciliter |  | 382 | Milligrams/deciliter |  | 1,356 | Milligrams/deciliter |  | Milligrams/deciliter |  |  | 382 |
| Total' ....................................... | 4.3 | 0.05 |  | 4.6 | 0.04 |  | 4.9 | 0.05 | 848 | 5.2 | 0.09 |  |
| Race $0.06{ }^{(1)}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| White...................................... | 4.3 | 0.06 | 358 | 4.6 | 0.05 | 1,221 | 4.9 | 0.06 | 757 | 5.2 | 0.09 | 290 |
| Black...................................... | 4.4 | 0.22 | 24 | 4.7 | 0.12 | 135 | 5.1 | 0.15 | 91 | 5.1 | 0.19 | 92 |
| Age |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 25-34 years............................ | 4.2 | 0.08 | 189 | 4.4 | 0.06 | 425 | 4.8 | 0.11 | 169 | 4.9 | 0.29 | 35 |
| 35-44 years............................ | 4.1 | 0.10 | 79 | 4.5 | 0.07 | 284 | 4.9 | 0.11 | 170 | 5.0 | 0.21 | 56 |
| 45-54 years............................ | 4.2 | 0.15 | 53 | 4.7 | 0.08 | 316 | 4.7 | 0.06 | 229 | 5.2 | 0.19 | 114 |
| 55-64 years............................. | 4.9 | 0.25 | 27 | 4.8 | 0.08 | 200 | 5.2 | 0.15 | 156 | 5.2 | 0.17 | 88 |
| 65-74 years........................... | 4.8 | 0.34 | 34 | 5.1 | 0.15 | 131 | 5.2 | 0.12 | 124 | 5.5 | 0.18 | 89 |
| Body mass index 0.07 0.05 0.10 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1st quartile | 4.2 | 0.07 | 161 | 4.2 | 0.05 | 386 | 4.3 | 0.10 | 158 | 4.8 | 0.18 | 39 |
| 2d quartile.............................. | 4.3 | 0.11 | 116 | 4.5 | 0.08 | 400 | 4.5 | 0.09 | 190 | 4.7 | 0.21 | 40 |
| 3d quartile.............................. | 4.3 | 0.13 | 77 | 4.7 | 0.08 | 333 | 4.9 | 0.08 | 230 | 5.1 | 0.22 | 95 |
| 4th quartile ...................................................... | 4.9 | 0.31 | 27 | 5.2 | 0.08 | 236 | 5.5 | 0.08 | 270 | 5.4 | 0.10 | 207 |

'Excludes "other" racial groups.
 index: United States, 1971-75

${ }^{1}$ Excludes "other" racial groups.


[^16]| Sex, race, age, and body mass index quartile strata | SGOT level (units/millifiter) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Less than 16.2 |  |  | 16.2-21.7 |  |  | 21.8-29.6 |  |  | 29.7 or more |  |  |
|  | Mean | Standard error of mean | Number of examinees | Mean | Standard error of mean | Number of examinees | Mean | Standard error of mean | Number of examinees | Mean | Standard error of mean | Number of examinees |
|  | Milligrams/deciliter |  |  | Milligrams/deciliter |  |  | Milligrams/deciliter |  |  | Milligrams/deciliter |  |  |
| Total ${ }^{1}$................................... | 4.8 | 0.05 | 778 | 5.3 | 0.04 | 1,863 | 5.7 | 0.04 | 1,823 | 6.2 | 0.07 | 792 |
| Sex |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Male .................................... | 5.8 | 0.10 | 199 | 6.1 | 0.06 | 719 | 6.3 | 0.05 | 997 | 6.6 | 0.08 | 557 |
| Female................................... | 4.4 | 0.04 | 579 | 4.7 | 0.04 | 1,144 | 4.9 | 0.06 | 826 | 5.3 | 0.09 | 235 |
| Race |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| White................................... | 4.8 | 0.05 | 680 | 5.3 | 0.04 | 1,693 | 5.6 | 0.04 | 1,634 | 6.2 | 0.08 | 675 |
| Black.................................... | 4.8 | 0.17 | 98 | 5.2 | 0.16 | 170 | 5.9 | 0.15 | 189 | 6.5 | 0.22 | 117 |
| Age |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 25-34 years.......................... | 4.6 | 0.09 | 252 | 5.1 | 0.06 | 553 | 5.7 | 0.08 | 379 | 6.4 | 0.17 | 174 |
| 35-44 years.......................... | 4.7 | 0.10 | 183 | 5.2 | 0.08 | 364 | 5.6 | 0.10 | 304 | 6.1 | 0.13 | 143 |
| 45-54 years........................... | 4.7 | 0.11 | 153 | 5.2 | 0.07 | 396 | 5.7 | 0.07 | 475 | 6.3 | 0.12 | 216 |
| 55-64 years........................... | 4.9 | 0.14 | 99 | 5.5 | 0.10 | 301 | 5.7 | 0.10 | 348 | 6.2 | 0.17 | 145 |
| 65-74 years.......................... | 5.7 | 0.21 | 91 | 5.7 | 0.10 | 249 | 5.6 | 0.09 | 317 | 6.1 | 0.17 | 114 |
| Male |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 25-34 years.......................... | 5.9 | 0.15 | 46 | 6.0 | 0.08 | 191 | 6.3 | 0.09 | 214 | 6.7 | 0.17 | 133 |
| 35-44 years.......................... | 4.3 | 0.06 | 206 | 4.5 | 0.05 | 362 | 4.6 | 0.12 | 165 | 4.9 | 0.29 | 41 |
| 45-54 years........................... | 5.8 | 0.25 | 37 | 6.2 | 0.15 | 124 | 6.2 | 0.11 | 174 | 6.4 | 0.14 | 102 |
| 55-64 years.......................... | 4.4 | 0.08 | 146 | 4.5 | 0.09 | 240 | 4.7 | 0.13 | 130 | 5.2 | 0.15 | 41 |
| 65-74 years........................... | 5.9 | 0.15 | 39 | 6.0 | 0.11 | 137 | 6.3 | 0.09 | 254 | 6.7 | 0.12 | 151 |
| Female |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 25-34 years.......................... | 4.3 | 0.11 | 114 | 4.7 | 0.08 | 259 | 4.9 | 0.09 | 221 | 5.4 | 0.18 | 65 |
| 35-44 years.......................... | 5.4 | 0.25 | 34 | 6.1 | 0.15 | 143 | 6.3 | 0.14 | 179 | 6.6 | 0.18 | 98 |
| 45-54 years........................... | 4.6 | 0.17 | 65 | 4.9 | 0.11 | 158 | 5.1 | 0.09 | 169 | 5.7 | 0.25 | 47 |
| 55-64 years........................... | 6.4 | 0.22 | 43 | 6.2 | 0.12 | 124 | 6.1 | 0.11 | 176 | 6.4 | 0.23 | 73 |
| 65-74 years........................... | 5.3 | 0.27 | 48 | 5.3 | 0.12 | 125 | 5.0 | 0.13 | 141 | 5.5 | 0.20 | 41 |
| Body mass index |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Male |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1st quartile............................ | 5.5 | 0.15 | 58 | 5.6 | 0.12 | 197 | 5.8 | 0.07 | 244 | 6.1 | 0.15 | 119 |
| 2d quartile................................ | 6.0 | 0.16 | 53 | 6.0 | 0.08 | 199 | 6.2 | 0.08 | 262 | 6.1 | 0.14 | 110 |
| 3d quartile............................... | 5.7 | 0.22 | 46 | 6.3 | 0.13 | 179 | 6.2 | 0.10 | 233 | 6.7 | 0.10 | 140 |
| 4th quartile .............................. | 6.1 | 0.18 | 42 | 6.5 | 0.10 | 143 | 6.8 | 0.09 | 256 | 7.1 | 0.16 | 188 |
| Female |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1st quartile............................ | 4.1 | 0.08 | 146 | 4.2 | 0.06 | 306 | 4.3 | 0.08 | 197 | 4.9 | 0.27 | 48 |
| 2d quartile.............................. | 4.4 | 0.07 | 175 | 4.5 | 0.08 | 275 | 4.6 | 0.11 | 209 | 4.7 | 0.21 | 48 |
| 3d quartile............................... | 4.5 | 0.12 | 136 | 4.8 | 0.07 | 292 | 4.9 | 0.13 | 203 | 5.6 | 0.18 | 60 |
| 4th quartile ............................. | 5.0 | 0.15 | 122 | 5.3 | 0.09 | 271 | 5.6 | 0.10 | 214 | 5.7 | 0.16 | 79 |

[^17]| Table 44. Serum urate leve <br> Sex, race, age, and body mass index quartile strata | Serum calcium level (milligrams/deciliter) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Less than 9.30 |  |  | 9.30-9.60 |  |  | 9.61-10.099 |  |  | 10.100 or more |  |  |
|  | Mean | Standard error of mean | ```Number of examinees``` | Mean | Standard error of mean | Number of examinees | Mean | Standard error of mean | Number of examinees | Mean | Standard error of mean | $\begin{gathered} \text { Number } \\ \text { of } \\ \text { examinees } \end{gathered}$ |
|  | Milligrams/deciliter |  |  | Milligrams/deciliter |  |  | $\underline{\text { Milligrams/deciliter }}$ |  |  | Milligrams/deciliter |  |  |
|  | 5.1 | 0.06 | 809 | 5.3 | 0.04 | 1,779 | 5.5 | 0.05 | 1,775 | 5.8 | 0.06 | 864 |
| Sex |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Male ........................................ | 6.1 | 0.09 | 323 | 6.2 | 0.06 | 797 | 6.2 | 0.06 | 869 | 6.4 | 0.07 | 482 |
| Female.................................... | 4.5 | 0.07 | 486 | 4.6 | 0.05 | 982 | 4.8 | 0.05 | 906 | 5.0 | 0.07 | 382 |
| Race |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| White...................................... | 5.1 | 0.06 | 729 | 5.3 | 0.05 | 1,593 | 5.5 | 0.05 | 1,576 | 5.8 | 0.06 | 736 |
| Black....................................... | 5.4 | 0.18 | 80 | 5.2 | 0.14 | 186 | 5.7 | 0.16 | 199 | 6.1 | 0.19 | 128 |
| Age |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 25-34 years............................ | 4.6 | 0.15 | 178 | 5.2 | 0.09 | 428 | 5.4 | 0.09 | 474 | 5.9 | 0.09 | 261 |
| 35-44 years............................ | 4.8 | 0.11 | 160 | 5.3 | 0.09 | 333 | 5.5 | 0.09 | 332 | 5.7 | 0.12 | 165 |
| 45-54 years............................ | 5.2 | 0.12 | 182 | 5.3 | 0.08 | 417 | 5.6 | 0.10 | 451 | 5.9 | 0.10 | 196 |
| 55-64 years.............................................. | 5.7 | 0.14 | 139 | 5.4 | 0.08 | 326 | 5.6 | 0.12 | 281 | 5.6 | 0.16 | 145 |
| 65-74 years............................................. | 5.4 | 0.15 | 150 | 5.7 | 0.10 | 275 | 5.7 | 0.10 | 237 | 6.0 | 0.16 | 97 |
| Male |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 25-34 years............................ | 6.0 | 0.18 | 37 | 6.2 | 0.11 | 152 | 6.2 | 0.12 | 222 | 6.4 | 0.10 | 172 |
| 35-44 years............................. | 4.2 | 0.13 | 141 | 4.5 | 0.08 | 276 | 4.5 | 0.07 | 252 | 4.7 | 0.11 | 89 |
| 45-54 years............................ | 6.1 | 0.19 | 43 | 6.1 | 0.13 | 130 | 6.1 | 0.11 | 174 | 6.5 | 0.14 | 92 |
| 55-64 years............................ | 4.4 | 0.12 | 117 | 4.6 | 0.10 | 203 | 4.6 | 0.10 | 158 | 4.8 | 0.18 | 73 |
| 65-74 years.................................................. | 6.0 | 0.17 | 85 | 6.1 | 0.10 | 188 | 6.5 | 0.09 | 218 | 6.5 | 0.15 | 94 |
| Female |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 25-34 years............................ | 4.5 | 0.13 | 97 | 4.6 | 0.08 | 229 | 4.7 | 0.09 | 233 | 5.2 | 0.15 | 102 |
| 35-44 years............................ | 6.2 | 0.21 | 76 | 6.1 | 0.10 | 169 | 6.0 | 0.17 | 144 | 6.4 | 0.27 | 67 |
| 45-54 years............................ | 5.1 | 0.15 | 63 | 4.8 | 0.12 | 157 | 5.1 | 0.13 | 137 | 5.1 | 0.15 | 78 |
| 55-64 years............................ | 5.9 | 0.18 | 82 | 6.3 | 0.12 | 158 | 6.3 | 0.17 | 111 | 6.3 | 0.17 | 57 |
| 65-74 years........................... | 5.0 | 0.18 | 68 | 5.1 | 0.11 | 117 | 5.2 | 0.12 | 126 | 5.7 | 0.27 | 40 |
| Body mass index |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Male |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1st quartile.............................. | 5.8 | 0.20 | 86 | 5.7 | 0.08 | 201 | 5.7 | 0.11 | 195 | 5.9 | 0.17 | 127 |
| 2d quartile. | 5.9 | 0.15 | 71 | 6.1 | 0.08 | 183 | 6.1 | 0.11 | 248 | 6.1 | 0.09 | 126 |
| 3d quartile.............................. | 6.0 | 0.18 | 92 | 6.2 | 0.10 | 206 | 6.2 | 0.10 | 204 | 6.7 | 0.15 | 112 |
| 4th quartile ............................. | 6.5 | 0.18 | 74 | 6.6 | 0.11 | 206 | 6.9 | 0.12 | 221 | 7.0 | 0.15 | 116 |
| Female |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1st quartile.............................. | 4.0 | 0.09 | 111 | 4.2 | 0.09 | 244 | 4.3 | 0.07 | 230 | 4.4 | 0.10 | 109 |
| 2d quartile | 4.3 | 0.11 | 151 | 4.5 | 0.08 | 237 | 4.5 | 0.09 | 225 | 4.6 | 0.11 | 87 |
| 3d quartile.............................. | 4.5 | 0.13 | 106 | 4.6 | 0.09 | 255 | 4.9 | 0.10 | 225 | 5.4 | 0.20 | 85 |
| 4th quartile ............................. | 5.2 | 0.14 | 118 | 5.2 | 0.10 | 246 | 5.5 | 0.11 | 223 | 5.7 | 0.11 | 101 |

[^18]
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## Appendix I. Statistical notes

## Survey design

The sample design for the first National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey (NHANES I) is basically a three-stage, stratified probability sample of loose clusters of persons in land-based segments. The sample was designed to be representative of the civilian noninstitutionalized population within designated age ranges in the coterminous United States, excluding persons residing on lands set aside for the use of American Indians. Successive elements dealt with in the process of sampling were the primary sampling unit (PSU), census enumeration district (ED), segment (a cluster of households), household, eligible person, and finally sample person.

For the period April 1971-June 1974, the design provided for selection of a representative sample of the target population 1-74 years of age to be given the nutrition-related health interview and examination. A subsample of adults 25-74 years of age would also receive a more detailed examination focused on other aspects of health and health care needs. To increase the size for this subsampling and consequently the
usefulness of the data obtained, the design further provided for the selection of an additional nationally representative sample of adults $25-74$ years of age between July 1974 and September 1975, to be given the more detailed examination. This extension of NHANES 1 is referred to as the "augmentation survey."

The estimated civilian noninstitutionalized U.S. population ages $1-74$ years is shown in table I by sex, race, and age at the time of examination. The estimates closely approximate the U.S. population as estimated by the U.S. Bureau of the Census as of the midpoint of the survey sample design. The figures in table I may differ slightly from the census estimates because the latter are based on the ages of sample persons at the time they were examined, whereas the poststratification was based on the ages at interview. Because certain analyses must be done on the basis of age at examination, the population estimates have also been based on age at examination for the sake of consistency.

The starting points in the first stage of this design were the 1960 decennial census lists of addresses and

| Age at examination | Estimated population |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total | Male |  |  | Female |  |  |
|  |  | All races | White | Black | All races | White | Black |
| Total.. | 193,976,381 | 94,239,866 | 82,740,899 | 10,413,986 | 99,736,515 | 86,867,546 | 11,999,935 |
| 1 year... | 3,313,458 | 1,693,074 | 1,401,508 | 280,212 | 1,620,384 | 1,327,657 | 257,289 |
| 2-3 years.................................................... | 6,963,162 | 3,553,765 | 2,997,107 | 479,362 | 3,409,397 | 2,872,581 | 505,442 |
| 4-5 years....................... | 6,672,346 | 3,378,503 | 2,866,374 | 485,872 | 3,293,843 | 2,755,016 | 511,134 |
| 6-7 years............................ | 7,193,663 | 3,652,322 | 3,060,8813 | 573,867 | 3,541,341 | 2,951,927 | 576,578 |
| 8-9 years........................................ | 7,696,597 | 3,880,396 | 3,279,649 | 586,419 | 3,816,201 | 3,257,936 | 539,855 |
| 10-11 years............................ | 8,465,793 | 4,381,730 | 3,732,593 | 563,823 | 4,084,063 | 3,424,070 | 617,793 |
| 12-14 years............................................ | 12,335,321 | 6,312,591 | 5,397,061 | 879,377 | 6,022,802 | 5,122,189 | 836,252 |
| 15-17 years.......................... | 12,318,434 | 6,312,519 | 5,311,596 | 812,321 | 6,111,265 | 5,233,091 | 853,294 |
| 18-19 years............................. | 7,352,200 | 3,673,321 | 3,206,467 | 404,045 | 3,678,879 | 3,158,930 | 504,417 |
| 20-24 years.......................... | 17,325,038 | 8,109,775 | 7,094,036 | 866,201 | 9,215,263 | 7,972,486 | 1,073,358 |
| 25-34 years.................................. | 26,936,001 | 13,002,514 | 11,594,115 | 1,231,793 | 13,933,487 | 12,160,578 | 1,646,337 |
| 35-44 years......................... | 22,268,477 | 10,675,731 | 9,515,530 | 1,004,953 | 11,592,746 | 10,111,458 | 1,318,050 |
| 45-54 years............................ | 23,313,316 | 11,150,110 | 10,039,124 | 1,056,837 | 12,163,206 | 10,879,167 | 1,237,459 |
| 55-64 years................................. | 19,049,001 | 9,072,586 | 8,274,948 | 702,647 | 9,976,415 | 9,037,157 | 871,098 |
| 65-74 years.......................... | 12,773,574 | 5,496,351 | 4,969,903 | 486,257 | 7,277,223 | 6,603,303 | 651,579 |

the nearly 1,900 primary sampling units (PSU's) into which the entire United States was divided. Each PSU is either a standard metropolitan statistical area (SMSA), a county, or two or three contiguous counties. The PSU's were grouped into 357 strata, as they were for use in the National Health Interview Survey during 1963-72, and subsequently collapsed into 40 superstrata for use in NHANES I.

During the April 1971-June 1974 period, 15 of the 40 superstrata that contained a single large metropoli$\tan$ area of more than 2 million population were chosen in the sample with certainty. The remaining 25 noncertainty strata were classified into 4 broad geographic regions of approximately equal population (when the large metropolitan areas selected with certainty were included) and cross-classified into 4 broad population density groups in each region. Then a modified Goodman-Kish controlled-selection technique was used to select 2 PSU's from each of the 25 noncertainty superstrata, with the probability of selection of a PSU proportionate to its 1960 population, and so that proportionate representation of specified State groups and rate of population change classes were maintained in the sample. In this manner a total firststage sample of 65 PSU's was selected. These 65 sample PSU's are the areas within which a cluster sample of persons was selected for examination at the particular examination location designated within each area. The mobile examination units were moved from one location to the next during this 39 -month period (1971-74) to permit administering those single-time examinations to the cross-sectional sample of the target population.

Although the 1970 census data were used as the frame for selecting the sample within the PSU when they became available, the calendar of operations required that the 1960 census data be used for the first 44 locations in the sample. The 1970 census data were then used for the final 21 stands of the sample and for the augmentation survey.

Beginning with the use of the 1970 census data, the segment size was changed from an expected 6 housing units selected from compact clusters of 18 housing units to an expected compact cluster of 8 housing units. This change was implemented because of operational advantages and results of research by the U.S. Bureau of the Census indicating that precision of estimates would not be appreciably affected by such a modification. For large enumeration districts the segments were clusters of addresses from the 1960 Census Listing Books (later the corresponding books for 1970). For other ED's area sampling was employed and consequently some variation in the segment size occurred. To make the sample representative of the then current population of the United States, the address or list segments were supplemented by a sample of housing units that had been constructed since 1960.

Within each PSU a systematic sample of segments was selected. The enumeration districts selected for the sample were coded into one of two economic classes. The first class, identified as the "poverty stratum," was composed of "current poverty areas" that had been identified by the Bureau of the Census in 1970 (pre-1970 Census), plus other ED's in the PSU with a mean income of less than $\$ 3,000$ in 1959 (based on 1960 Census). The second economic class, the "nonpoverty stratum," included all ED's not designated as belonging to the "poverty stratum." All sample segments classified as being in the poverty stratum were retained in the sample. For those sample segments in nonpoverty stratum ED's, the selected segments were divided into eight random subgroups and one of the subgroups was chosen to remain in the NHANES I sample. Continuing research indicated that efficiency of estimates could be increased (sampling variance decreased) by changing the ratio of poverty to nonpoverty segments from $8: 1$ to $2: 1$. Therefore, in the later stands (44-65) the selected segments in the nonpoverty-stratum ED's were divided into two random subgroups, and one of the subgroups was chosen to remain in the sample. This procedure permits separate analyses, with adequate reliability of those classified as being below the poverty level and those classified as being above the poverty level.

After identifying the sample segments, a list of all current addresses within the segment boundaries was made, and the households were interviewed to determine the age and sex of each household member, as well as other demographic and socioeconomic information required for the survey. If no one was at home after repeated calls or if the household members refused to be interviewed, the interviewer tried to determine the household composition from questioning neighbors.

To select the persons in the sample segments to be examined in NHANES I, all household members ages 1-74 years in each segment were listed on a sample selection worksheet, with each household in the segment listed serially. The number of household members in each of the six age-sex groups shown in table II were listed on the worksheet under the appropriate age-sex group column. The sample selection worksheets were then put in segment number order, and a systematic random sample of persons in each age-sex group was selected to be examined using the sampling rates displayed in table II. This sampling strategy in the 65 stands of the general sample of NHANES I resulted in the selection of 28,043 sample persons $1-74$ years of age, a sample that can be regarded as representative of the target population displayed in table I.

A subsample of those adults 25-74 years of age in the total or "nutrition" sample was then selected to also receive the detailed health examination at the first 65 stands of NHANES I. This "detailed" sample was
chosen systematically after a random start, using the sampling rates shown in table III. Consequently, adults 45-74 years of age in the first 65 PSU's were subsampled for the detailed examination at a somewhat higher rate than those 25-44 years of age.

During the augmentation period, July 1974 to September 1975, the sample of adults $25-74$ years of age selected for examination in locations 66-100 constituted a national probability sample of the target population. Also, when considered jointly with those selected for the NHANES I detailed examination in locations $1-65$, the entire $100-\mathrm{PSU}$ sample is also nationally representative of the target population at that time.

The starting point for the selection of the augmentation sample was the 1970 decennial census list of adddresses and PSU's. The sampling methods for establishing the sample frame were generally similar to those used in the first 65 PSU's. However, only 5 of the 15 superstrata composed of only one very large metropolitan area of more than 2 million population were drawn into the sample for locations 66-100 with certainty. The remaining 10 of these superstrata were collapsed into 5 groups of 2 each, only one of which was chosen for the augmentation survey with a probability of selection of 0.5 . When these latter 5 locations are considered a part of the $100-\mathrm{PSU}$ design, they are selected with certainty.

In this augmentation survey there was no economic axis of stratification and no oversampling among special groups. One of every two eligible persons within sample households (using a random start among those 25-74 years of age) was selected for participation in the survey.

## Nonresponse

In any health examination survey, after the sample is identified and the sample persons are requested to
\(\left.\begin{array}{cc}\hline Table II. Sampling rates by age-sex groups for the NHANES I <br>

general sample\end{array}\right]\)| Sampling |
| :---: |
| rate |

Table III. Subsampling rates by age-sex groups for the NHANES I detailed sample

| Age and sex | Subsampling rate |
| :---: | :---: |
| 25-44 years (men). | 2/5 |
| 25-44 years (women). | 1/5 |
| 45-64 years.................................................... | 3/5 |
| 65-74 years.................................................... | 1/4 |

participate in the examination, the survey meets one of its more severe problems. Usually a sizable number of sample persons who are willing to complete the household questionnaire and possibly some of the medical history will not participate in the examination. Individual participation is determined by many factors, some of them uncontrollable. Therefore, participation may be treated as a random event with a particular probability of occurrence.

In this situation, the effect of nonparticipation would only reduce the sample size, thereby increasing the sampling variability of the examination findings. In practice, however, a potential for bias due to nonresponse exists if nonparticipation is not a random event and if nonparticipants differ from participants. Because of the possibility of bias, intensive efforts were made in NHANES I to develop and implement procedures and inducements that would reduce the number of nonrespondents and thereby reduce the potential of bias due to nonresponse. These procedures are discussed elsewhere. ${ }^{8}$

Also during the early stages of NHANES I, when it became apparent that the response rate for the examinations was lower than in the preceding health examination surveys, a study of the effect of remuneration on response in NHANES I was undertaken. The findings ${ }^{63}$ were considered sufficient to include remuneration as a routine procedure in NHANES I starting with the 21st and 22d examination locations.

Despite response rates at the household interview stage of over 98 percent and these intensive efforts of persuasion, only 20,749 ( 74 percent) of the sample persons from the first 65 stands were examined. When adjustments are made for differential sampling for high-risk groups, the response rate becomes 75.2 percent. Consequently, the potential for a sizable bias does exist in the estimates in this publication. However, from what is known about the nonrespondents and the nature of nonresponse, the likelihood of sizable bias is believed to be small. For instance, only a small proportion of sample persons from the first 65 examination locations gave reasons for nonparticipation that would lead to the belief that they would never agree to participate in examination surveys and that they may differ from examined persons with respect to the characteristics under examination. Only 15 percent of nonrespondents gave the following reasons for nonparticipation: personal illness, physical inability, pregnancy , antidoctor feelings, or a fear of finding something wrong. Typical among the reasons given by the other nonrespondents were the following: inability to take time off from work, school, or household duties; suspicion or skepticism about the program; uninterested in participating; and considered their private medical care sufficient, or they had just visited a doctor.

An analysis of the medical history data obtained for most nonexaminees as well as examinees also
supports the belief that the likelihood of sizable bias due to nonresponse is small. No large differences were found between the examined group and the nonexamined group for the statistics compared. For example, the percent of persons examined who reported ever being told by a doctor that they had arthritis was 20 percent; the percent for high blood pressure was 18 percent; and for diabetes, 4 percent. The corresponding percents for nonexamined persons were arthritis, 17 percent; high blood pressure, 21 percent; and diabetes, 4 percent.

A procedure (similar to that used in previous National Health Examination Surveys) was used in which the reciprocal of the probability of selection of the sample persons is multiplied by a factor that brings estimates based on examined persons up to a level that would have been attained if all sample persons had been examined. This factor is the ratio of the sum of sample weights for all sample persons with a relatively homogeneous class defined by age, sex, and five income groups (under $\$ 3,000 ; \$ 3,000-\$ 6,999 ; \$ 7,000-\$ 9,999$; $\$ 10,000-\$ 14,999$ and $\$ 15,000$ or more) within each stand, to the sum of sampling weights for all responding sample persons within the same homogeneous class for the same stand. The poststratified ratio adjustment makes the final sample estimates of the population agree approximately with independent controls prepared by the U.S. Bureau of the Census for the noninstitutionalized population of the United States as of November 1, 1972 (approximately midsurvey point), by race, sex, and age as shown in table I.

To the degree that homogeneous groups can be defined that are also homogeneous with respect to the characteristics under study, this weighting procedure can be effective in reducing the potential bias from nonresponse. For the 65 -stand sample of NHANES I, the percent distribution of the nonresponse adjustment factors used for the 325 cells (determined by the crossclassification of the 5 income groups by the 65 stands) is shown in table IV. Overall, the extent of the adjustment for nonresponse among the detailed examinees was 1.45 during the 1971-74 period and 1.40 in the augmentation survey of 1974-75.

## Missing data and imputation

Examination surveys are subject to the loss of information not only through failure to examine all sample persons but also from the failure to obtain and record all items of information for examined persons. When data are found to be missing for some of the examinees, imputation for these values becomes necessary in order to minimize the effect on population estimates.

Among the 13,671 examinees ages $18-74$ years of age in the total or nutrition sample of 1971-74, there were 76 examinees ( 0.6 percent) missing the single measurement of systolic or diastolic blood pressure or

Table IV. Percent distribution of nonresponse adjustment factors: National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey, 1971-74

| Size of nonresponse adjustment factor | Number of cells | Percent distribution |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total (1.00-3.03)......................... | 325 | 100.0 |
| 1.00-1.24..................................... | 106 | 32.6 |
| 1.25-1.49..................................... | 125 | 38.4 |
| 1.50-1.74. | 59 | 18.2 |
| 1.75-1.99..................................... | 24 | 7.4 |
| 2.00-2.49.................................... | 9 | 2.8 |
| 2.50-2.99.. | 1 | 0.3 |
| 3.00-3.03..................................... | 1 | 0.3 |

both. Of the 6,913 examinees ages 25-74 years in the detailed and augmentation samples, only 28 ( 0.4 percent) were missing measurements of either systolic or diastolic blood pressure or both in the first sitting position. For the recumbent position, 59 ( 0.9 percent) were missing measurements of either systolic or diastolic blood pressure or both, while for the second sitting position, 64 ( 0.9 percent) were missing measurements of either or both blood pressures. In no case was a diastolic measurement present without an accompanying systolic measurement.

In the statistical analysis of the blood pressure variables reported in other Vital and Health Statistics publications, ${ }^{64,65}$ replacement values for the less than 2 percent with missing systolic and diastolic blood pressure were assigned on the basis of matched examinees of the same age, sex, and race, with similar arm girth, weight, and height. However, to simplify the analysis discussed in this report, examinees with such missing data were excluded since such exclusion was found not to seriously alter the findings with respect to the hypotheses being tested.

## Design considerations for examined persons

Although the sample design for this survey is described in extensive detail in the previous sections and in other documents, ${ }^{8,9}$ the aspects of the design pertaining to data analysis considerations are discussed further in this section. All 20,749 examined persons ages $1-74$ years received a specifically designed nutri-tion-related examination. In addition, approximately a 20-percent subsample ( 3,854 persons) of those ages $25-74$ years received a more detailed examination focused on other aspects of health and health care needs. An additional 3,059 persons ages $25-74$ years from the augmentation survey were examined to increase the size of the sample and, hence, the reliability of the estimates from the data collected during this detailed survey (including the augmentation portion). The data collection forms for the entire (nutrition) sample, together with the additional forms for the detailed and augmentation sample, are contained elsewhere. ${ }^{8,10}$

NOTE: A list of references follows the text.

Although the sample design for this survey was fairly complex, the essential feature is the selection of primary sampling units (PSU's) consisting of counties or groups of counties from each of the defined strata. In particular, the NHANES I design for the 1971-74 period involved the selection with certainty of the PSU's in the 15 large standard metropolitan statistical areas with more than 2 million population, referred to as "certainty strata" (each PSU consists of a large number of enumeration districts), and the selection of exactly 2 PSU's from each of the remaining 25 strata. The design was modified for the 1974-75 period by collapsing 10 of the certainty PSU's into 5 strata of 2 PSU's each, retaining the remaining strata, and then sampling one PSU per strata. The augmentation sample thus included 10 of the certainty PSU's from the original design and one additional PSU from each of the 25 noncertainty PSU's. The data tapes from the National Center for Health Statistics reflect the indexing of the certainty strata used in the augmentation sample. The number of PSU's and the corresponding number of examined persons in each of these strata are summarized in table V. Thus, for analytic purposes, this design can be characterized as having the following characteristics:

1. 10 (redefined) strata with multiple selection of PSU's.
2. 25 strata with paired selection of PSU's for the general and detailed samples and with a single PSU for the augmentation sample.

Another important aspect of the NHANES I design is the need to adjust for the oversampling of the following subgroups thought to be at high risk of malnutrition, as outlined in table II:

1. Persons with low income.
2. Preschool children.
3. Women of childbearing age.
4. Elderly persons.

Adjusted sampling weights that reflect the selection probabilities and poststratification adjustments were computed.

An additional design complication arises because at the first 65 sites of the nutrition survey a subset of the sample persons ages $25-74$ years received a more detailed health examination. No particular oversampling of subgroups of the population remained in this subsample; for example, women of childbearing age were not oversampled as they were for the major nutrition component of NHANES I. However, some slight oversampling remained among the elderly. The total number of persons given this detailed examination is 3,854 persons ages $25-74$ years, for which separate adjusted sampling weights were available.

Moreover, the augmentation survey (fully discussed elsewhere ${ }^{10}$ ) poses additional complications for analysis. The 3,059 examined persons selected for this survey represent a national probability sample of the target population when used as a separate 35 -stand as well as when combined with the 65 -stand detailed sample to form a 100 -stand (PSU) national probability sample, in which the combined number of examined persons is 6,913 . Ten of the PSU's were included in both the augmentation and initial surveys. There was no oversampling of specific groups in either the initial detailed sample group or the augmentation sample group.

Consequently, when computing estimates of analytic statistics and their estimated variance-covariance structure, the appropriate sampling weights need to be utilized in the weighted analyses. Thus, hypotheses involving variables from the initial detailed sample of persons ages $25-74$ years, in stands $1-65$ were investigated using the adjusted sampling weights associated with the detailed sample persons (sampling weight on

Table V. Number of primary sampling units (PSU's) and number of examined persons for the general, detailed, and augmentation surveys, by stratum number for the NHANES I design

| Stratum number | Number of PSU's |  | Number of examined persons |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | General and detailed | Augmentation | General and detailed | Detailed only | Augmentation |
| Total.................................................... | 1,263 | 236 | 20,749 | 3,854 | 3,059 |
| 1-10 ..................................................... | 1,213 | 211 | 4,514 | 853 | 701 |
| 1 ........................................................ | 169 | 21 | 621 | 112 | 55 |
| 2 ........................................................................ | 106 | 17 | 367 | 80 | 63 |
| 3 ......................................................... | 125 | 18 | 482 | 87 | 59 |
| 4 ......................................................... | 156 | 21 | 737 | 129 | 60 |
| 5 ......................................................... | 197 | 24 | 741 | 143 | 97 |
|  | 83 | 22 | 250 | 48 | 82 |
| 7 ......................................................... | 108 | 23 | 395 | 71 | 72 |
| 8 8............................................................................................ | 61 | 21 | 188 | 42 | 80 |
| $9$ | 89 | 21 | 304 | 57 | 64 |
|  | 119 | 23 | 429 | 84 | 69 |
| 11-35................................................... | 50 | 25 | 16,235 | 3,001 | 2,358 |

tape location 170-175). Analyses involving the augmentation detailed sample (stands 66-100) used the adjusted sampling weights for this group (tape location 182-187). When hypotheses were investigated across the combined detailed sample groups (stands $1-100$ ), adjusted sampling weights were used for the combined groups (tape location 188-193). Otherwise, hypotheses involving variables from the entire initial sample (stands 1-65) utilized the adjusted sampling weights for the entire initial sample (tape location 176-181).

## Analytical strategies

Because of the complexities of the sample design, each analysis could be performed one of three different ways depending on whether the sampling weights were included and/or whether the design structure was incorporated in the calculations. For simplicity, these options are as follows:

| Option | Inclusion of sampling |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Weights | Design |
| 1.............................. | No | No |
| 2.............................. | Yes | No |
| 3............................. | Yes | Yes |

Most hypotheses initially were investigated under option 1 to minimize cost and time. Relationships found to be statistically significant at this stage were then subjected to more definitive analyses under option 3 utilizing the sample weights and the survey design effects. Consequently, the estimated covariance structure for the sample estimators based on the complexities of the survey design was utilized in all final models and inferential conclusions.

In survey research, the design effect is commonly defined to be the ratio of the actual variance for a statistic from a complex sample to the corresponding variance from a simple random sample. Increasingly, design effects are being used to adjust estimates and statistics computed under simple random sampling assumptions for the effects of the complexities in the sample design on measures of precision. Given the importance of these effects to those who design and analyze surveys, simple but useful models have been sought for design effects. An extensive literature review of these design effect considerations and analytical strategies for survey data from complex sample designs is presented by Lepkowski. ${ }^{66}$ A comprehensive evaluation of the design effects and analytic strategies specifically for the NHANES I survey has been published. ${ }^{9}$

All analyses under option 1 were performed quite simply and inexpensively using standard statistical software. In this option sampling weights and design effects were totally ignored. Thus, the data were regarded as coming from a simple random sample with

[^19]equal representation and probability of selection. On the other hand, analyses under option 2 incorporated the adjusted sampling weights in estimating the analytic statistics, but simple random sampling computations were still utilized for the variance estimates. These calculations were performed within the OSIRIS IV software package. ${ }^{67}$ Finally, analyses under option 3 utilized both the adjusted sampling weights and the sampling design in calculating the estimated variancecovariance structure of analytic statistics. In particular, the computer program \&PSALMS was used for estimating ratio means and the program \&REPERR was utilized to fit regression models. Both of these routines are available within the OSIRIS IV library, and are described in more detail by Vinter. ${ }^{68}$ Briefly, for relatively simple statistics, such as ratio means, differences of such ratios, and totals, the \&PSALMS routine approximates the complex sample variance of these estimators using a linearized Taylor Series expansion. For more complex statistics, such as regression coefficients, several replicated variance estimation procedures are available. In particular, the balanced repeated replication (BRR) option within the \&REPERR routine was utilized to fit multiple regression models.

The estimation procedure to implement option 3 can be extremely time consuming and expensive, particularly in fitting regression models by the balanced half-sample approach, because of the multiple sampling error computing units within the certainty strata $1-10$. To alleviate some of these difficulties, the multiple sampling error computing unit identification codes were randomly allocated into 2 "pseudoreplicates" for each of these 10 strata. Consequently, the paired selection computations then could be utilized for all 35 strata. The effects of randomly assigning the multiple sampling error computing units to two paired pseudoreplicates was investigated by the comparative analysis of standard errors and design effects for systolic blood pressure and calories within the selected age groups shown in table VI. The means and standard errors were computed both under the multiple sampling error computing unit classification as well as under the paired sampling error computing unit groupings. At least for these variables, it is apparent that the random allocation of sampling error computing units in the certainty strata to form a complete paired design has not substantially altered the estimates of variances or the corresponding design effects.

As a result of this pairing for the 10 certainty strata, all variance-covariance computations could be obtained directly as appropriate sums of squares and cross-products of differences across the 35 strata, and thus, 70 sampling error computing units. Thus, all the analyses under option 3 for the data from the general and detailed surveys were performed assuming this paired selection design. On the other hand, the analyses under option 3 for the combined data from
the detailed and augmentation surveys required the multiple selection model because the design could not be paired for the 25 noncertainty strata.

## Continuous variable: Means

The relative effects of the sampling weights and the sampling design are displayed in table VII for three variables of primary interest in these analyses, namely, systolic blood pressure, calories and age. Note that for the total sample, the unweighted and weighted analyses (options 1 and 2) for these variables are quite similar, both for the means and variances. However, under option 3, the complex sample design introduces a considerable increase in the estimated variance of the mean. In particular, the ratio of the standard error of the mean under option 3 to that obtained under option 1 in the last column in table VII ranges from 1,498 to 2,937. Consequently, the design effects for these three variables range from 2.24 to 8.63 .

In view of the fact that age was a crucial variable in the oversampling aspects of the 1971-74 design, one might expect the design effects to be less important when stratifying by age. To investigate this possibility, means and standard deviations of these same variables were computed within age groups as shown in table VIII. Even though the design effects are somewhat reduced, they are certainly not negligible, ranging from 1.39 to 4.99 .

## Subgroup comparisons: Means

Most of the hypotheses tested in this report involve the comparison of two subgroup means. Because of the clustered design and the sampling weights, the difference between the mean response for each subgroup was computed as the difference between two weighted ratio means within the context of the \&PSALMS routine described in Vinter ${ }^{68}$ and other basic sampling texts.

In order to assess the effects of the sampling weights and the complex sample design on the magnitude of the $t$-statistics associated with the tests for these differences, a representative analysis was investigated in detail under options 1-3. In particular, the mean systolic blood pressure was compared for two subclasses determined by the lowest 15 th percentile and highest 15th percentile of skinfold thickness in selected age-race subgroups. These results are displayed in table IX under each of the three analysis options. In all subgroups, the simple random sample estimates for the unweighted and weighted analyses are quite similar, both for the means and variances. However, under option 3, the complex sample design introduces a considerable increase in the estimated variance of the difference in the means between the two subclasses. Specifically, the ratio of the standard error of the
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Table VI. Comparative analyses of standard errors and design effects for multiple and paired sampling error computing units (SECU's) within certainty strata for systolic blood pressure and calories, by age for NHANES I data, 1971-74

| Age |  | Number of <br> examined <br> persons | Mean |  | Multiple SECU's |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |

[^20]Table VII. Number of examined persons, estimated means, standard deviations, standard errors of the means, and design effects for systolic blood pressure, calories, and age, under analysis options 1-3 for NHANES I data: 1971-74

| Option number | Inclusion of sampling |  | Number of |  |  | Standard | Square root |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Weights | Design | examined persons | Mean | deviation | error of | of design effect |
|  | Systolic blood pressure |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 ......................................... | No | No | 17,658 | 126.91 | 24.585 | 0.185 | --- |
| 2 ......................................... | Yes | No | 17,658 | 123.95 | 22.262 | 0.168 | --- |
| 3 ......................................... | Yes | Yes | 17,658 | 123.95 | 54.347 | 0.409 | 2.211 |
|  | Calories |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 .......................................... | No | No | 20,749 | 1,827.5 | 877.00 | 6.088 | --- |
| 2 ......................................... | Yes | No | 20,749 | 2,000.0 | 944.91 | 6.560 | --- |
| 3 .......................................... | Yes | Yes | 20,749 | 2,000.0 | 2,575.9 | 17.883 | 2.937 |
|  | Age |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 .......................................... | No | No | 20,749 | 32.23 | 22.972 | 0.159 | --- |
| 2 ......................................... | Yes | No | 20,749 | 30.61 | 20.120 | 0.140 | --- |
| 3 ......................................... | Yes | Yes | 20,749 | 30.61 | 34.417 | 0.239 | 1.498 |

difference of the mean under option 3 to that obtained under option 1 in the last column in table IX ranges from 1.1 to 2.0 . Thus, the design effects for these $t$-statistics range from 1.2 to 4.0 .

## Continuous variables: Multiple regression models

One of the statistical models used for the analyses in this report is the following multiple regression model:

$$
\begin{gathered}
Y_{i}=B_{1}+B_{2} X_{2 i}+B_{3} X_{3 i}+\ldots+B_{k} I_{k i} \\
+E_{i}
\end{gathered}
$$

where $Y_{i}$ denotes the $i$ the observation of the dependent variable; $X_{i}$ denotes the $i$ th observation of each independent or explanatory variable; and $E_{i}$ is the random variation of the $i$ th observation of $Y$. The subscripts 1,2 $\ldots, k$ identify the specific explanatory variables. $B_{1}$ is the mean of $Y_{l}$ each of the explanatory variables is equal to zero; and $B_{k}$ is the change in the expected value of $Y_{k}$ corresponding to a unit change in the $k$ th explanatory variable, holding all other explanatory variables constant. $B_{2}, B_{3}, \ldots$, are often referred to as the regression slopes or (partial) regression coefficients.

Also presented in the regression results tables are beta coefficients. The beta coefficients are the result of linear regression in which each variable is "normalized" by subtracting its mean and dividing by its estimated standard deviation. In other words, the beta coefficient adjusts the estimated slope parameter by the ratio of the standard deviation of the independent variable to the standard deviation of the dependent variable. A beta coefficient of 0.3 may be interpreted to mean that a standard deviation change of 1.0 in the independent variable will lead to a 0.3 standard
deviation change in the dependent variable. Beta coefficients are also used to make statements about the relative importance of the $X$ variables in the model.

## Assumptions of the multiple regression model

The classical assumptions associated with the regression model are:

1. The model specification is correct.
2. The $X$ 's are nonstochastic. In addition, no exact linear relationship exists among two or more of the independent variables.
3. The random variation has zero expected value and constant variance for all observations.
4. Random variations corresponding to different observations are uncorrelated.
5. The random variation term is normally distributed.
Any set of real data is unlikely to meet all these assumptions, particularly one utilizing complex sample design such as that in the NHANES I survey. However, certain violations of these assumptions may not seriously affect statistical inferences. For example, under simple random sampling theory, it is straightforward to show that the least squares estimators of the regression coefficients retain their desirable asymptotic properties (unbiased, consistent, and efficient), provided that the explanatory variables are each distributed independently of the true errors in the model. See for example, Kmenta. ${ }^{69}$ More detailed discussions of the properties of the regression model estimates from complex sample surveys can be found in Holt et al..$^{70}$
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| Age | Number of examined persons | Option 1 |  |  | Option 2 |  |  | Option 3 |  |  | Square root of design effect |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Mean | Standard deviation | Standard error of mean | Mean | Standard deviation | Standard error of mean | Mean | Standard deviation | Standard error of mean |  |
|  | Systolic blood pressure |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total: 6-74 years ............. | 17,658 | 126.91 | 24.585 | 0.1850 | 123.95 | 22.262 | 0.1675 | 123.95 | 54.347 | 0.4090 | 2.211 |
| 6-17 years....................... | 4,005 | 108.67 | 14.245 | 0.2229 | 108.24 | 14.132 | 0.2211 | 108.24 | 31.829 | 0.4980 | 2.234 |
| 18-24 years...................... | 2,290 | 117.96 | 14.166 | 0.2960 | 118.89 | 13.794 | 0.2883 | 118.89 | 21.089 | 0.4407 | 1.489 |
| 25-34 years..................... | 2,675 | 119.90 | 15.006 | 0.2901 | 120.93 | 14.710 | 0.2844 | 120.93 | 22.739 | 0.4397 | 1.515 |
| 35-44 years..................... | 2,317 | 125.76 | 18.885 | 0.3923 | 125.64 | 17.665 | 0.3670 | 125.64 | 29.008 | 0.6026 | 1.536 |
| 45-54 years..................... | 1,509 | 135.10 | 23.176 | 0.5814 | 134.14 | 22.782 | 0.5715 | 134.14 | 41.317 | 1.0365 | 1.783 |
| 55-64 years..................... | 1,255 | 143.13 | 24.126 | 0.6810 | 142.11 | 23.453 | 0.6620 | 142.11 | 28.482 | 0.8040 | 1.181 |
| 65-74 years...................... | 3,447 | 151.02 | 25.580 | 0.4357 | 150.01 | 25.056 | 0.4268 | 150.01 | 46.027 | 0.7840 | 1.799 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | Calories |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total: 1-74 years ............. | 20,749 | 1,827.5 | 877.00 | 6.088 | 2,000.0 | 944.91 | 6.560 | 2,000.0 | 2,575.9 | 17.883 | 2.937 |
| 1-17 years | 7,104 | 1,880.4 | 830.42 | 9.852 | 2,011.0 | 874.24 | 10.372 | 2,011.0 | 1,688.5 | 20.033 | 2.033 |
| 18-24 years | 2,297 | 2,084.6 | 1,068.70 | 22.298 | 2,294.0 | 1,136.60 | 23.715 | 2,294.8 | 1,692.6 | 35.317 | 1.584 |
| 25-34 years $\qquad$ <br> 35-44 years | 2,694 2,327 | $1,954.5$ $1,829.0$ | 971.00 884.65 | 18.700 | 2,177.5 | 1,050.1 | 20.232 | 2,177.5 | 1,527.8 | 29.435 | 1.573 |
| 35-44 years..................... | 2,327 1,599 | 1,829.0 | 884.65 | 18.339 | 2,042.9 | 966.51 | 20.036 | 2,042.9 | 1,395.8 | 28.935 | 1.578 |
| 45-54 years <br> 55-64 years | 1,599 1,262 | 1,040.4 | 838.33 828.08 | 20.965 | 1,897.3 | 816.17 | 20.411 | 1,897.3 | 1,216.0 | 30.410 | 1.451 |
| 55-64 years $\qquad$ <br> 65-74 years $\qquad$ | 1,262 3,466 | $1,679.2$ $1,497.2$ | 828.08 651.06 | 23.310 11.059 | $1,723.2$ $1,518.9$ | 814.02 649.50 | 22.914 11.032 | 1,723.2 | 1,188.5 | 33.454 | 1.435 |
| 65-74 years...................... | 3,466 | 1,497.2 | 651.06 | 11.059 | 1,518.9 | 649.50 | 11.032 | 1,518.9 | 1,176.9 | 19.991 | 1.808 |

Table IX. Number of examined persons in subclasses determined by lowest 15th percentile and highest 15th percentile of skinfold thickness, means, standard errors, test statistics, and design effects for systolic blood pressure: NHANES I, 1971-74

| Option | Low skinfold percentile |  |  | High skinfold percentile |  |  | t-statistic | Square root of design effect |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Number of examined persons | Mean | Standard error | Number of examined persons | Mean | Standard error |  |  |
|  | All males |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 ................... | 1,025 | 130.6 | 0.70 | 1,008 | 141.7 | 0.73 | 11.0 | $\cdots$ |
|  | 1,025 | 126.0 | 0.58 | 1,008 | 138.0 | 0.65 | 13.6 | $\cdots$ |
| 3 ................... | 1,025 | 126.0 | 0.99 | 1,008 | 138.0 | 0.91 | 9.2 | 1.3 |
|  | Black males |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 ................... | 280 | 137.5 | 1.60 | 153 | 148.7 | 2.40 | 4.0 | . $\cdot$ |
| 2 .................... | 280 | 131.8 | 1.32 | 153 | 140.1 | 2.06 | 3.6 | \% |
| 3 ................... | 280 | 131.8 | 2.33 | 153 | 140.1 | 3.25 | 1.9 | 1.6 |
|  | White males |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 ................... | 745 | 127.9 | 0.73 | 855 | 140.4 | 0.73 | 12.0 | $\ldots$ |
| 2 .................... | 745 | 124.8 | 0.65 | 855 | 137.8 | 0.69 | 13.5 | $\cdots$ |
| 3 ................... | 745 | 124.8 | 1.02 | 855 | 137.8 | 0.88 | 9.2 | 1.4 |
|  | All females |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 ................... | 1,644 | 120.7 | 0.55 | 1,621 | 141.9 | 0.66 | 24.7 | $\cdots$ |
| 2 .................... | 1,644 | 118.9 | 0.50 | 1,621 | 140.9 | 0.65 | 27.1 | $\cdots$ |
| 3 ................... | 1,644 | 118.9 | 0.63 | 1,621 | . 140.9 | 1.05 | 19.7 | 1.3 |
|  | Black females |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 ................... | 285 | 121.2 | 1.48 | 482 | 145.3 | 1.35 | 11.5 | $\cdots$ |
| 2 ................... | 285 | 120.3 | 1.52 | 482 | 146.3 | 1.41 | 12.1 | $\cdots$ |
| 3 ................... | 285 | 120.3 | 2.59 | 482 | 146.3 | 3.08 | 6.2 | 2.0 |
|  | White females |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 ................... | 1,359 | 120.6 | 0.59 | 1,139 | 140.5 | 0.75 | 21.2 | $\cdots$ |
| 2 ................... | 1,359 | 118.7 | 1.16 | 1,139 | 139.7 | 0.74 | 23.7 | -. 1 |
| 3 ................... | 1,359 | 118.7 | 0.59 | 1,139 | 139.7 | 1.20 | 19.8 | 1.1 |

## Empirical results for regression models

In order to investigate predictive relationships among continuous variables, multiple regression models also can be fitted under either option 1, 2, or 3. Specifically, the affects of the sampling weights and complex design on the precision of regression coefficients was investigated under options 1-3 for systolic blood pressure and calories on age as summarized in table X. First, it can be observed in the corresponding entries under options 1 and 2 that the results are quite similar, particularly for systolic blood pressure on age, which has a significant linear relationship in all the race-sex subclasses. However, for calories on age, which has extremely small $E^{2}$ values for all subgroups, the estimate of the slope is quite different for some subclasses; in fact, for the "other males" category there is a 12 -fold increase in the slope under option 2 compared with option 1, and for the "other females" category it differs by a factor of nearly 3. Of course, in both of these subclasses the sample size is relatively small.

Otherwise, note in table $\mathbf{X}$ that the results under option 3 are only reported for the white subgroups, even though the number of black persons examined
appears to be reasonably large. This omission is due to the failure of the balanced half-sample routine in the weighted regression program in OSIRIS IV resulting from entire strata with no data for these subclasses as shown in table XI. Modification of this routine or use of another sampling error program could still be used to obtain these estimates for the other subclasses. This problem of missing sampling error computing units is even more pronounced within the more restrictive detailed examination as displayed in table XII. Consequently, due to the sparse design across strata, only the white and black race data were used in many of the analyses.

In addition to simple linear regression models, multiple regression models can also be fitted within this same framework. Table XIII summarizes the results of systolic blood pressure regressed jointly on age, race, sex and Quetelet's index for 13,573 cases ages $18-74$ years. Here again, the design effects for the regression coefficients range from 2.22 to 4.41.

These empirical results, as expressed in terms of estimated design effects, demonstrate the critical importance of incorporating the sampling weights and the survey design adjustments into all definitive subgroup comparisons and multiple regression models. .

| Sex, race, and age | $\begin{gathered} \text { Number } \\ \text { of } \\ \text { examinees } \end{gathered}$ | Unweighted design |  |  |  | Weighted design |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | (Option 1) |  |  |  | $R^{2}$ | Slope | (Option 2) |  | (Option 3) |  | Square root of design effect |
|  |  | $R^{2}$ | Slope | Standard error | t-statistic |  |  | Standard error | t-statistic | Standard error | t-statistic |  |
| Total | Systolic blood pressure on age |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 6-74 years........................... | 17,658 | 0.40 | 0.730 | 0.0060 | 107.45 | 0.35 | 0.696 | 0.0071 | 98.11 | 0.0131 | 53.14 | 1.93 |
| White males ......................... | 5,854 | 0.36 | 0.605 | 0.0106 | 57.24 | 0.33 | 0.610 | 0.0115 | 53.14 | 0.0113 | 54.06 | 1.07 |
| Black males......................... | 1,326 | 0.46 | 0.815 | 0.0240 | 33.91 | 0.43 | 0.848 | 0.0269 | 31.53 | --- | --- | --- |
| Other males......................... | 89 | 0.35 | 0.762 | 0.1118 | 6.81 | 0.14 | 0.401 | 0.1064 | 3.77 | --- | --- | --- |
| White females ...................... | 8,243 | 0.41 | 0.767 | 0.0102 | 75.57 | 0.38 | 0.734 | 0.0104 | 70.39 | 0.0188 | 39.03 | 1.85 |
| Black females ...................... | 2,037 | 0.47 | 0.979 | 0.0230 | 42.55 | 0.44 | 1.008 | 0.0252 | 40.05 | --- | --- | --- |
| Other females ...................... | 109 | 0.40 | 0.920 | 0.1086 | 8.47 | 0.37 | 0.818 | 0.1040 | 7.87 | --- | --- | --- |
| Total |  |  |  |  |  |  | ies on |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1-74 years........................... | 20,749 | 0.02 | -4.90 | 0.2629 | -18.64 | 0.01 | $-5.50$ | 0.3238 | -16.99 | 0.3171 | 17.35 | 1.21 |
| White males ......................... | 7,004 | 0.01 | -3.39 | 0.4873 | -6.95 | 0.00 | -3.52 | 0.6102 | -5.70 | 0.6314 | -5.58 | 1.30 |
| Black males......................... | 1,707 | 0.01 | -3.74 | 0.9217 | -4.05 | 0.00 | -1.08 | 1.212 | -0.89 | --- | --- | --- |
| Other males......................... | 109 | 0.00 | 1.00 | 3.598 | 0.28 | 0.05 | 12.50 | 51.01 | 2.45 | --- | --- | --- |
| White females ...................... | 9,347 | 0.04 | -5.89 | 0.3034 | -19.41 | 0.04 | -6.44 | 0.3315 | -19.43 | 0.4339 | -14.05 | 1.43 |
| Black females ...................... | 2,456 | 0.06 | -8.39 | 0.6578 | -12.75 | 0.06 | -9.45 | 0.7420 | -12.74 | --- | --- | --- |
| Other females ....................... | 126 | 0.00 | -1.23 | 3.474 | -0.35 | 0.01 | -3.35 | 3.899 | -0.86 | --- | --- | --- |


| Stratum number | Total | Number of examined persons by race and sex |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | White males | Black males | Other males | White females | Black females | Other females |
| Total..................... | 20,749 | 7,004 | 1,707 | 109 | 9,347 | 2,456 | 126 |
| 1 ........................... | 621 | 169 | 88 | 2 | 220 | 138 | 4 |
| 2 .......................... | 367 | 146 | 24 | 0 | 157 | 38 | 2 |
| 3 ......................... | 482 | 123 | 85 | 1 | 171 | 102 | 0 |
| 4 ......................... | 737 | 198 | 102 | 11 | 255 | 162 | 9 |
| $5 . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . ~$ | 741 | 232 | 65 | 13 | 328 | 88 | 15 |
| 6 .......................... | 580 | 67 | 35 | 2 | 85 | 57 | 4 |
| $7 . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . ~$ | 395 | 85 | 90 | 0 | 93 | 127 | 0 |
| 8 ......................... | 188 | 67 | 16 | 0 | 79 | 26 | 0 |
| 9......................... | 304 | 109 | 13 | 1 | 149 | 32 | 0 |
| $10 . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . ~$ | 429 | 138 | 32 | 13 | 190 | 37 | 19 |
| $11 . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . ~$ | 481 | 205 | 4 | 0 | 267 | 3 | 2 |
|  | 517 | 198 | 14 | 0 | 286 | 17 | 2 |
| $13 . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . ~$ | 531 | 232 | 2 | 2 | 290 | 4 | 1 |
|  | 701 | 273 | 15 | 2 | 396 | 14 | 1 |
| $15 . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . ~$ | 486 | 185 | 20 | 4 | 226 | 43 | 8 |
| 16 ........................ | 563 | 178 | 68 | 5 | 211 | 98 | 3 |
| $17 . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . ~$ | 594 | 235 | 6 | 0 | 346 | 6 | 1 |
| 18........................ | 505 | 176 | 39 | 2 | 224 | 62 | 2 |
| $19 . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . ~$ | 585 | 237 | 12 | 4 | 317 | 14 | 1 |
| $20 . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . ~$ | 446 | 171 | 13 | 1 | 246 | 14 | 1 |
| $21 . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . ~$ | 790 | 344 | 0 | 0 | 446 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 551 | 114 | 107 | 3 | 141 | 185 | 1 |
| 23....................... | 619 | 167 | 85 | 0 | 249 | 116 | 2 |
| 24 ....................... | 449 | 131 | 73 | 0 | 170 | 122 | 3 |
| $25 . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . ~$ | 728 | 225 | 73 | 0 | 311 | 119 | 0 |
| $26 . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . ~$ | 887 | 232 | 156 | 0 | 305 | 194 | 0 |
| 27 ........................ | 684 | 262 | 23 | 1 | 379 | 17 | 2 |
| $28 . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . ~$ | 1,001 | 259 | 174 | 0 | 327 | 241 | 0 |
| 29........................ | 634 | 222 | 51 | 1 | 292 | 68 | 0 |
| $30 . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . ~$ | 868 | 284 | 84 | 1 | 371 | 124 | 4 |
| 31 ......................... | 651 | 221 | 34 | 5 | 334 | 52 | 5 |
|  | 691 | 250 | 22 | 3 | 367 | 32 | 12 |
| $33 . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . ~$ | 619 | 222 | 3 | 21 | 345 | 10 | 18 |
| 34........................ | 545 | 236 | 5 | 5 | 295 | 1 | 3 |
| $35 . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . ~$ | 1,059 | 411 | 74 | 1 | 479 | 93 | 1 |


| Table XII. Number of examined persons ages 25-74 years, by race, sex, and stratum number in the NHANES I design for the detailed sample, 1971-74 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Number of examined persons by race and sex |  |  |  |  |  |
| Stratum number | Total | White males | Black males | Other males | White females | Black females | Other females |
| Total.................... | 3,854 | 1,541 | 277 | 2.1 | 1,667 | 335 | 13 |
| 1 .......................... | 112 | 37 | 13 | 1 | 34 | 27 | 0 |
| 2 .......................... | 80 | 38 | 4 | 0 | 27 | 11 | 0 |
| 3 .......................... | 87 | 23 | 18 | 0 | 29 | 17 | 0 |
| 4 ......................... | 129 | 46 | 15 | 1 | 43 | 23 | 1 |
| 5 ......................... | 143 | 60 | 11 | 4 | 55 | 12 | 1 |
| 6 .......................... | 48 | 17 | 7 | 1 | 12 | 11 | 0 |
| 7.......................... | 71 | 16 | 18 | 0 | 17 | 20 | 0 |
| 8 8......................... | 42 | 19 | 0 | 0 | 18 | 5 | 0 |
|  | 57 | 25 | 1 | 0 | 27 | 5 | 0 |
| $10 . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . ~$ | 84 | 34 | 8 | 4 | 30 | 4 | 3 |
| $11 . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . ~$ | 100 | 45 | 0 | 0 | 53 | 1 | 1 |
| $12 . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . ~$ | 93 | 40 | 3 | 0 | 49 | 0 | 1 |
| $13 . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . ~$ | 92 | 45 | 1 | 0 | 46 | 0 | 0 |
| 14........................ | 129 | 54 | 1 | 0 | 70 | 4 | 0 |
| $15 .$ | 78 | 43 | 2 | 1 | 27 | 5 | 0 |
| 16 ........................ | 101 | 29 | 13 | 0 | 41 | 18 | 0 |
| $17 . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . ~$ | 107 | 52 | 1 | 0 | 54 | 0 | 0 |
| 18........................ | 81 | 41 | 4 | 1 | 28 | 7 | 0 |
| 19........................ | 109 | 45 | 2 | 1 | 59 | 2 | 0 |
|  | 81 | 34 | 2 | 0 | 44 | 1 | 0 |
|  | 162 | 72 | 0 | 0 | 90 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 89 | 28 | 17 | 1 | 23 | 20 | 0 |
| $23 . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . .$. | 112 | 33 | 16 | 0 | 48 | 15 | 0 |
|  | 81 | 28 | 8 | 0 | 30 | 15 | 0 |
|  | 156 | 67 | 8 | 0 | 67 | 14 | 0 |
| $26 . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . ~$ | 150 | 45 | 22 | 0 | 65 | 18 | 0 |
| $27 . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . ~$ | 141 | 65 | 6 | 0 | 68 | 1 | 1 |
| $28 . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . ~$ | 182 | 57 | 26 | 0 | 64 | 35 | 0 |
| 29....................... | 126 | 50 | 10 | 0 | 58 | 8 | 0 |
| $30 . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . ~$ | 152 | 63 | 14 | 0 | 64 | 11 | 0 |
| $31 . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . ~$ | 113 | 49 | 3 | 1 | 51 | 8 | 1 |
| $32 . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . ~$ | 123 | 51 | 2 | 2 | 61 | 6 | 1 |
| $33 . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . ~$ | 119 | 45 | 0 | 2 | 69 | 0 | 3 |
|  | 100 | 46 | 2 | 0 | 52 | 0 | 0 |
| $35 \ldots . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . ~$ | 224 | 99 | 19 | 1 | 94 | 11 | 0 |

Table XIII. Summary of multiple regression models for systolic blood pressure on age, race, sex, and Quetelet's index for 13,573 examined persons ages 18-74 years, under analysis options 1-3: NHANES I, 1971-74

| Variable | Regression coefficient | Standard error of coefficient | $t$-statistic | Square root of design effect |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Unweighted SRS design (option 1) |  |  |  |
| Age............................................................................ | 0.677 | 0.0096 | 69.44 | --- |
| Race .......................................................................... | 3.896 | 0.3938 | 9.89 | --- |
| Sex........................................................................... | -1.135 | 0.0335 | 33.88 | --- |
| Quetelet's index........................................................... | 1.135 | 0.0335 | 33.88 | --- |
|  | Weighted SRS design (option 2) |  |  |  |
| Age........................................................................... | 0.584 | 0.0102 | 57.49 | --- |
| Race ......................................................................... | 2.908 | 0.4422 | 6.58 | --- |
| Sex........................................................................... | -2.871 | 0.3162 | -9.08 | --- |
| Quetelet's index.................................................................................................................. | 1.177 | 0.0331 | 35.56 | --- |
|  | Weighted complex sampling design (option 3) |  |  |  |
| Age............................................................................ | 0.584 | 0.0177 | 32.92 | 1.85 |
| Race .......................................................................... | 2.908 | 0.8266 | 3.52 | 2.10 |
| Sex........................................................................... | -2.871 | 0.5206 | -5.52 | 1.49 |
| Quetelet's index........................................................... | 1.177 | 0.0630 | 18.69 | 1.88 |

## Appendix II. Definitions of selected terms

## Demographic and socioeconomic terms

## Age

Two ages were recorded for each examinee: age at last birthday at the time of the examination and age at the time of the census interview. The age criterion for inclusion in the sample used in this survey was defined as age at the time of the census interview. The adjustment and weighting procedures used to produce national estimates were based on the age at interview. Data in the detailed tables and text of the report are shown by age at the time of the examination, except that those few who became 75 years of age by the time of the examination are included in the 65-74-year age group.

## Race

Race was recorded as "white," "Negro," or "other." "Other" includes Japanese, Chinese, American Indian, Korean, Eskimo, and all races other than white or black. Mexicans were included with "white" unless definitely known to be American Indian or of a race other than white. Black persons and those of mixed black and other parentage were recorded as "Negro." When a person of mixed racial background was uncertain about his or her race, the race of the father was recorded.

## Geographic region

The 48 contiguous States and the District of Columbia, excluding Alaska and Hawaii, were stratified into four broad geographic regions, each of about the same population size. With a few exceptions, the compositions of the regions are as follows:


|  | Delaware, Maryland, Virginia, West Virginia, Kentucky, Arkansas, Tennessee, North Carolina, South Carolina, Georgia, Florida, Alabama, Mississippi, Louisiana, District of Columbia |
| :---: | :---: |
| West | Washington, Oregon, Idaho, Montana, Wyom ing, Colorado, Utah Nevada, California, Arizo na, New Mexico, Texas, Oklahoma, Kansas Nebraska, South Dakota, North Dakota |

In a few instances the actual boundaries of the regions did not follow State lines. Some strata in the Midwest and South include primary sampling units that are actually located in the West. Similarly, some strata in the West contain primary sampling units located in the Midwest and South.

## Family income

The income recorded was the total income received by the head of the household and all other household members related to the head during the 12 months prior to the interview. This income was the gross cash income (excluding pay in kind) except in the case of a family with its own farm or business. In that instance net income was recorded. Also included was the income of a member of the Armed Forces who lived at home with the family (even though he or she was not considered a household member). If the person was not living at home, allotments and other money received by the family from him or her were included in the family income figure.

## Population density

The classification of urban-rural areas was that used in the 1960 census. According to the 1960 definition, those areas considered urban are: (1) places of 2,500 inhabitants or more that are incorporated as cities, boroughs, villages, and towns (except towns in New England, New York, and Wisconsin); (2) the densely settled urban fringe, whether incorporated or unincorporated, of urbanized areas; (3) towns in New England and townships in New Jersey and Pennsylvania that contain no incorporated municipalities as subdivisions and have either 2,500 inhabitants or
more, or a population of 2,500 to 25,000 and a density of 1,500 persons per square mile; (4) counties in States other than the New England States, New Jersey, and Pennsylvania that have no incorporated municipalities within their boundaries and have a density of 1,500 persons or more per square mile; and (5) unincorporated places of 2,500 inhabitants or more that are not included in any urban fringe. The remaining population is classified as rural.

By means of the first digit of the identification code on the household questionnaire, the urban and rural population was divided into the following categories according to population size: (1) urban, $3,000,000$ or more; (2) urban, $1,000,000-2,999,999$; (3) urban, 250,000-999,999; (4) urban, under 250,000; (5) urban, not in urbanized area, 25,000 or more; (6) urban, not in urbanized area, 10,000-24,999; (7) urban, not in urbanized area, 2,500-9,999; and (8) rural.

## Statistical terms

## Regression coefficient (B)

The estimated additive effect on the dependent variable for each unit of change in the independent variable within the multiple regression model for which all the other independent variables are held constant.

Sigma (B)
The model-based estimated standard error of the regression coefficient (B).

## Standardized coefficient (Beta)

The estimated additive effect on the dependent variable for each unit of change in the independent variable which has been standardized to have mean zero and variance unity, within the multiple regression model in which all the other independent variables have been standardized and held constant.

## Sigma (Beta)

The model-based estimated standard error of the standardized coefficient (Beta).

## Partial r

The estimated correlation coefficient between the dependent variable and the independent variable within the multiple regression model for which all the other independent variables are held constant.

## $t$-statistic

The test criterion obtained as the ratio of the regression coefficient ( $B$ ) to its estimated standard error, Sigma ( $B$ ), to test the hypothesis that B is zero.

## Dietary terms

## Caloric or total energy intake

Total caloric intake computation for food items listed in the 24-hour recall.

## Ethanol (alcohol) consumption

For each examinee, the average number of ethanol ounces per week was calculated in the following way:
(1.) Assigning a factor approximating the average amount of ethanol in a typical serving ( 0.48 for beer, 0.6 for wine, and 0.45 for liquor), based on the usual type of alcohol consumed by the individual.
(2) Assigning a factor to approximate the average number of drinking occasions per week for each individual as follows:

How often do you usually drink?

| Every day | 7.0 |
| :--- | :--- |
| Almost every day | 5.5 |
| 2 to 3 times per week | 2.5 |
| 1 to 4 times per month | 0.625 |
| 4 to 12 times per year | 0.163 |
| Never | 0.0 |

(3) Multiplying the alcohol content by the weekly frequency and then multiplying the result by usual number of drinks per drinking occasion.

Thus, ethanol ounces per week $=$ average alcohol content of usual alcoholic beverage consumed $\times$ frequency of individual drinks $\times$ number of drinks individual usually consumes per drinking occasion.

This continuous variable was categorized into abstainers (less than 0.0001 ethanol ounces per week), light drinkers ( 0.0001 to 0.9999 ethanol ounces per week), moderate drinkers, and heavy drinkers ( 7.000 ethanol ounces per week or more).

The second alcohol variable, calories from alcoholic beverages, was derived from the 24 -hour dietary recall by summing calories from all foods coded as "alcoholic beverages food group." This variable was categorized into: none (less than 1 calorie), light/moderate ( 1 to 250 calories), and heavy ( 250 calories or more). The two data sources agreed with respect to alcohol abstinence for 98.7 percent of the abstainers on the medical history questionnaire who reported no alcohol intake in the 24 -hour recall.

## Salt and salty food intake

The frequency of use of the table salt shaker and estimated sodium content in food items listed on the 24-hour recall, assuming a ratio of one gram of salt to 400 milligrams of sodium from grain products, milk and milk products, mixed protein dishes, soups, meats, fruit and vegetables, fats and oils and other foods. ${ }^{15}$

[^21]The sodium content of food is incomplete because the values cover only naturally occuring sodium in foods and sodium added by processors. Table salt used is not included in these data.

## Sodium intake, combined

A twelve-cell table was constructed from the table-salt-use responses and the dietary sodium content of food reported on the 24-hour recall as follows:

| Dietary sodium intake (24 hour recall) |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Frequency of salt shaker use | Under 982.6 milligrams | $\begin{gathered} 982.6- \\ \text { 1,883.9 } \\ \text { milligrams } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1,884.0- \\ & 3,436.7 \end{aligned}$ <br> milligrams | 3,436.8 milligrams and over |
|  | Cell number |  |  |  |
| Rarely ................ | ${ }^{2} 1$ | 2 | ${ }^{5} 3$ | ${ }^{5} 4$ |
| Occasionally........ | 25 | ${ }^{6}$ | ${ }^{6} 7$ | ${ }^{8} 8$ |
| Frequently .......... | b9 | ${ }^{1} 10$ | 611 | ${ }^{1} 12$ |

* Low salt users.
- Moderate salt users.
cheavy salt users.

In the analyses, individuals in cells 1,2 , and 5 were classified as having low salt intake; those in cells 3,4 , 6,7 , and 9 had moderate intake; and those in cells 8 , 11 , and 12 were considered to have heavy salt intake.

## Fat and complex carbohydrate intake

For the combined intake of fat and complex carbohydrates, the distribution of the examinees by their frequency of intake of foods high in fats (cheese, milk, eggs, butter/margarine, and meat/poultry) and their frequency of intake of complex carbohydrate foods (cereals, grains, fruits, vegetables, beans, and peas) were each divided into three groups using as cutoff points the 33d and 66th percentiles. The three levels of each of the two variables were arrayed in a three-by-three table yielding nine cells. The two extreme cells represented low fat/high complex carbohydrate and high fat/low complex carbohydrate intake, respectively. The remaining seven cells of the three-by-three table were pooled. The first level of the combined fat/complex carbohydrate varibable is the high complex carbohydrate/low fat extreme cell, which contains approximately 5 percent of the examinees. The second level is composed of the seven intermediate cells, representing 90 percent of the total. The third level, containing the remaining 5 percent of the total, is the extreme cell, representing the low complex carbohydrate/high fat intake.

## Coffee and tea consumption

Derived from the food frequency dietary history.

[^22]
## Linoleic fatty acid

Estimated content in foods (from the 24-hour recall) including fats and oils, salty snacks, fruits and vegetables, meats, desserts and sweets, grain products, poultry and other. ${ }^{15}$

## Oleic (unsaturated fat)

Estimated content in foods (from the 24-hour recall) including meats, milk and milk products, fats and oils, desserts and sweets, grain products, mixed protein dishes, and others. ${ }^{15}$

## Dietary cholesterol

Estimated content in foods (from the 24-hour recall) including eggs, meats, milk and milk products, desserts and sweets, fats and oils, and others. ${ }^{15}$

## Medical and biochemical terms

## Hypertensive status

Includes the following four categories:
Normotensive.-Systolic pressure less than 140 mm Hg and diastolic pressure less than 90 mm Hg .

Borderline.-Systolic pressure less than 160 mm Hg or diastolic less than 95 mm Hg but not both systolic less than 140 mm Hg and diastolic less than 90 mm Hg .

Hypertension (definite).-Systolic pressure greater than or equal to 160 mm Hg and/or diastolic pressure greater than or equal to 95 mm Hg .

Systolic hypertension.-Systolic pressure greater than or equal to 160 mm Hg and diastolic pressure less than 90 mm Hg .

## Hemoglobin concentration

As determined from the examinees' blood samples on the Coulter Hemoglobinometer in the mobile examination centers. ${ }^{19}$

## Serum cholesterol

As determined from the examinees' blood samples at the Lipid Standardization Laboratory of the Centers for Disease Control (Atlanta, Ga.) using a modified ferric-chloride technique. ${ }^{19}$

## Serum urate

As determined from the examinees' blood samples at the Centers for Disease Control, Bureau of Laboratories, using the Sobrinho-Simoes method. ${ }^{19}$

## Serum glutamic oxalacetic transaminase (SGOT)

As determined from the examinees' blood samples at the Centers for Disease Control, Bureau of Laboratories, using the method of Henry et al. ${ }^{19}$

## Serum calcium

As determined from the examinees' blood samples at the Centers for Disease Control, Bureau of Laboratories, using the method of Kessler and Wolfman. ${ }^{19}$

## Serum inorganic phosphate

As determined from the examinees' blood samples at the Centers for Disease Control, Bureau of Labora-
tories, using an adaptation of the methods of Hurst and Kraml. ${ }^{19}$

## Serum magnesium

As determined from the examinees' blood samples at the Centers for Disease Control, Bureau of Laboratories, using the method of Hansen and Freier. ${ }^{19}$

[^23]
## Vital and Health Statistics series descriptions

SERIES 1. Programs and Collection Procedures.-Reports describing the general programs of the National Center for Health Statistics and its offices and divisions and the data collection methods used. They also include definitions and other material necessary for understanding the data.

SERIES 2. Data Evaluation and Methods Research.-Studies of new statistical methodology including experimental tests of new survey methods, studies of vital statistics collection methods, new analytical techniques, objective evaluations of reliability of collected data, and contributions to statistical theory.
SERIES 3. Analytical and Epidemiological Studies.-Reports presenting analytical or interpretive studies based on vital and health statistics, carrying the analysis further than the expository types of reports in the other series.
SERIES 4. Documents and Committee Reports.-Final reports of major committees concerned with vital and health statistics and documents such as recommended model vital registration laws and revised birth and death certificates.
SERIES 10. Data from the National Health Interview Survey.-Statistics on illness, accidental injuries, disability, use of hospital, medical, dental, and other services, and other health-related topics, all based on data coliected in the continuing national household interview survey.

SERIES 11. Data From the National Health Examination Survey and the National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey.Data from direct examination, testing, and measurement of national samples of the civilian noninstitutionalized population provide the basis for (1) estimates of the medically defined prevalence of specific diseases in the United States and the distributions of the population with respect to physical, physiological, and psychological characteristics and (2) analysis of relationships among the various measurements without reference to an explicit finite universe of persons.
SERIES 12. Data From the Institutionalized Population Surveys.-Discontinued in 1975. Reports from these surveys are included in Series 13.

SERIES 13. Data on Health Resources Utilization.-Statistics on the utilization of health manpower and facilities providing
long-term care, ambulatory care, hospital care, and family planning services.
SERIES 14. Data on Health Resources: Manpower and Facilities.Statistics on the numbers, geographic distribution, and characteristics of health resources including physicians, dentists, nurses, other health occupations, hospitals, nursing homes, and outpatient facilities.

SERIES 15. Data From Special Surveys.-Statistics on health and health-related topics collected in special surveys that are not a part of the continuing data systems of the National Center for Health Statistics.

SERIES 20. Data on Mortality.-Various statistics on mortality other than as included in regular annual or monthly reports. Special analyses by cause of death, age, and other demographic variables; geographic and time series analyses; and statistics on characteristics of deaths not available from the vital records based on sample surveys of those records.
SERIES 21. Data on Natality, Marriage, and Divorce.-Various statistics on natality, marriage, and divorce other than as included in regular annual or monthly reports. Special analyses by demographic variables; geographic and time series analyses; studies of fertility; and statistics on characteristics of births not available from the vital records based on sample surveys of those records.

SERIES 22. Data From the National Mortality and Natality Surveys.Discontinued in 1975. Reports from these sample surveys based on vital records are included in Series 20 and 21, respectively.
SERIES 23. Data From the National Survey of Family Growth.Statistics on fertility, family formation and dissolution, family planning, and related maternal and infant health topics derived from a periodic survey of a nationwide probability sample of ever-married women $15-44$ years of age.

For a list of titles of reports published in these series, write to:
Scientific and Technical Information Branch National Center for Health Statistics
Public Health Service
Hyattsville, Md. 20782
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