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THIS REPORT CONTAINS national estimates based on findings from the Health Examination Survey in 1963-65 on uncorrected monocular and binocular visual acuity levels of children 6-11 years of age.

For this part of the survey a nationwide probability sample of 7,417 children was selected to represent the roughly 24 million noninstitutionalized children 6-11 years of age in the United States. Of these, 7,119 or 96 percent were examined.

Monocular and binocular central visual acuity at distance and near were measured without cycloplegics for each examinee as part of the standardized examination. Testing with a commercial instrumentat 12 levels was done without glasses for all children who could read letters. Those who could not read letters were tested on approximately comparable Landolt ring charts.

Nearly three-fourths of these children have at least "normal" (20/20 or better vision in Snellen notation) binocular distance vision without correction. The proportion reaching similar levels at near is only slightly less.

The proportion with defective binocular distance vision is small but shows a remarkably consistent increase with age, year by year, for both boys and girls.

Boys were found to have slightly but significantly better binocular visual acuity at both distance and near than girls.

Binocular vision tends to exceed the better monocular vision which in turn generally tends to be better than that for either eye alone.

Closer agreement was found between binocular and better monocular acuity than between the acuities for the two eyes. The degree of association between distance and near acuity was found to be highly significant, but of a lower order than for the other measures at distance only.

| SYMBOLS |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| Data not available----- | --- |
| Category not applicable-------------------- | ... |
| Quantity zero------------------------------- | - |
| Quantity more than 0 but less than 0.05---- | 0.0 |
| Figure does not meet standards of reliability or precision | * |

# VISUAL ACUITY OF CHILDREN 

Jean Roberts and Kenneth R. Duyan, Division of Health Examination Statistics

## INTRODUCTION

This report presents uncorrected monocular and binocular visual acuity levels of children 6-11 years of age in the noninstitutional population of the United States as estimated from the Health Examination Survey findings of 1963-65.

The Health Examination Survey from which these data derive is one of the major programs of the National Center for Health Statistics, authorized under the National Health Survey Act of 1956 by the 84th Congress as a continuing Public Health Service activity to determine the health status of the population.

Three different programs are used incarrying out the National Health Survey. ${ }^{1}$ One of thesethe Health Interview Survey-is concerned principally with the impact of illness and disability upon the lives and actions of people. It collects information from samples of people by household interview. A second-the Health Records Surveyconsists of followback studies based on vital records, institutional surveys to establish sampling frames and provide data, and surveys based on hospital records. The Health ExaminationSurvey is the third major program.

Data are collected in the Health Examination Survey by direct physical examinations, tests, and measurements performed on the sample populations under study. This method provides the best way to obtain actual diagnostic data on the prevalence of certain medically defined illnesses. It is the only way to secure information on unrecognized and undiagnosed conditions and on a variety of physical, physiological, and psychological
measures within the population. It also provides demographic and socioeconomic data on the sample population under study.

The Health Examination Survey is conducted as a series of separate programs referred to as "cycles." Each cycle is limited to some specific segment of the U.S. population and to certain specified aspects of the health of that population. Data in the first cycle were obtained on the prevalence of certain chronic diseases and on the distribution of various physical and physiological measurements and other characteristics in a defined adult population, as previously described. ${ }^{2,3}$

For the second cycle, on which this report is based, a probability sample of the Nation's noninstitutionalized children 6-11 years of age were selected and examined. The examination focused primarily on health factors related to growth and development. It included examinations by a pediatrician and adentist, tests administered by a psychologist, and a variety of tests and measurements by a technician. This survey plan, sample design, examination content, and operation have been described previously. 4

Field collection operations for this cycle were started in July 1963 and completed in December 1965. Out of the 7,417 children selected in the sample, 7,119 or 96 percent were examined. This national sample is representative of the roughly 24 million noninstitutionalized children 6-11 years of age in the United States.

Each child, during a single visit, was given a standardized examination by the examining team in the mobile units specially designed for use in the survey. Prior to the examination, information
was obtained from the parent of the child consisting of demographic and socioeconomic data on household members as well as medical history, behavioral and related data on the child to be examined. Ancillary data for the child on grade placement, teacher's ratings of his behavior and adjustment, and health problems of the child known to the teacher were requested from the school he attended. Birth certificates were obtained for verification of the child's age and facts relating to the child at birth.

Statistical notes on the survey design, reliability of the data, and sampling and measurement error are shown in appendix I.

## VISION EXAMINATION

The vision examination consisted of tests to detect and classify color vision deficiencies, both monocular and binocular tests to measure the level of central visual acuity at distance and near, tests for lateral phoria at distance and near and for vertical phoria at distance, a test for bilateral accommodation at distance, and distance and near tests for binocularity. Except for color vision, tests were performed without glasses or other refractive lenses for those who normally wore them.

In addition, each child was given an eye examination by the survey staff pediatrician. This included a careful inspection of the eyes for evidence of styes, conjunctivitis, blepharitis, nystagmus, and ptosis as well as tests to detect the presence and type of strabismus.

This report is limited to the tests of monocular and binocular central visual acuity at distance and near. As indicated, all tests were done without correction.

## The Testing Instruments

The need for consistent uniformity in testing in addition to space and time limitations were determining factors in the selection of a commercial instrument, the Master Ortho-rater, for the testing of visual acuity of children who could read letters. Since no target was available for testing the near vision of children who could not read and since difficulties were encountered during the pretest in using the distance Landolt ring slide
in the enclosed instrument, special charts administered separately from the instrument at both distance and near were developed for use with illiterates to be tested in the survey.

Shown in figure 1, the Master Ortho-rater device consists of a viewing box and two illuminated slide holders with two sets of test slides mounted inside the metal case. Slides used to test distance vision are mounted on an illuminated drum located at the right side of the instrument; those used to test near vision, on an illuminated drum at the left. A spring switch holds each drum accurately at each possible position. Only the slide in focus is illuminated. Without changing the position of the head of the examinee, the viewing box is tipped up to a set position for distance viewing and down slightly into a set position for near. The instrument is also adjustable for differences in eye height (above the chinrest). Distance targets or slides are viewed at a distance of 26 feet simulated optically by means of convex lenses; near targets, at 13 inches.

This instrument permits rapid testing under controlled conditions of lighting and target distance from the examinee. The effective illumination on the target and the contrast between target letters and background were maintained within optimum limits for such tests. ${ }^{5}$

Selected targets developed by Dr. Louise Sloan of the Wilmer Eye Institute at Johns Hopkins University for the Armed Forces ${ }^{6}$ were used in the Master Ortho-rater during the survey. These targets on the slides in the instrument consisted of lines of optotypes which were letters appropriately graded in size from one line to the next and arranged in decreasing size from the top to the bottom of the slide to test at 12 levels from ones corresponding to $20 / 12$ to 20/400 (Snellen notation). These levels consisted of the equivalents of $20 / 12,20 / 15,20 / 17,20 / 20,20 / 25,20 / 30,20 / 40$, $20 / 50,20 / 70,20 / 100,20 / 200$, and $20 / 400$ at distance with the 12 corresponding equivalents at near. The 10 unserifed letters used were of nearly equal legibility and were arranged in random order-differing for each line, each eye, and for distance and near. As previously described, these letters met the recommendations of the Committee on Optics and Visual Physiology of the American Medical Association. ${ }^{7,8}$ The letters followed the Snellen principle with their height as well as


Figure 1. The vision testing.
their width being five times the width of the lines in the letters. The targets consisted of 10 letters per line arranged in groups of 5 each for testing from $20 / 12$ to $20 / 200$ and 3 letters at 20/400 (and their equivalents at near), as shown in appendix II.

The comparability between these letter slides and correspondingly sized slides of Landolt rings used in the Master Ortho-rater has been investigated. ${ }^{9}$

For testing nonreaders at distance, a special wall chart was developed for use in the survey. The target followed Landolt ring dimensions with appropriate sized optotypes, which were rings, and random symbol arrangement in five-symbol blocks from the corresponding Armed Forces plate. Contrast between background and symbols met the same specifications used in the letter targets. The chart was laminated with nonglare

Table A. Proportion of children tested on Landolt ring charts, by age: Health Examination Survey, 1963-65

| Age | Total | Boys | Girls |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Percent |  |  |
| Tota1, 6-11 years- | 18.9 | 19.7 | 18.0 |
| 6 years------------- | 75.9 | 78.9 | 72.6 |
| 7 years------------- | 27.6 | 29.7 | 25.3 |
| 8 years------------- | 7.3 | 6.9 | 7.7 |
| 9 years-------------- | 2.9 | 3.0 | 2.7 |
| 10 years------------------ | 1.9 | 1.2 | 2.6 |
| 11 years------------ | 1.1 | 1.1 | 1.1 |

plastic. Test symbols arranged five to a line were graded in size from one line to the next for testing at a distance of 10 feet from the child at levels of $10 / 5.35,10 / 7.15,10 / 8.95,10 / 10.7$, $10 / 12.5,10 / 14.3,10 / 19.65,10 / 25,10 / 35.7,10 / 50$, and $10 / 100$-corresponding approximately to distance levels of $20 / 10.7,20 / 14.3,20 / 17.9,20 / 21.4$, $20 / 25,20 / 28.6,20 / 39.3,20 / 50,20 / 71.4,20 / 100$, and $20 / 200$.

The near chart for use at 14 inches for children who could not read letters was similarly adapted from one developed at the Wilmer Eye Clinic of Johns Hopkins University. The fivesymbol lines on this chart were graded in size from one line to the next for testing at levels of $14 / 14,14 / 17.5,14 / 21,14 / 28,14 / 35,14 / 42,14 / 56$, $14 / 70,14 / 87.5,14 / 112$, and $14 / 140$.

In all, 81 percent of the children were tested on letter targets and 19 percent on Landolt ring charts or cards. The proportion tested with Landolt rings ranged from 76 percent among those 6 years of age to 1 percent for the 11-year-old group as shown in table A.

With completely comparable size optotypes, Sloan et al. ${ }^{9}$ have found a correlation of 0.90 at distance between letter targets of the type usedin this survey and comparable Landolt ring slides, both used in the Master Ortho-rater. They further indicate that since this correlation is of approximately the same magnitude as that obtained between test and retest scores on the same target, ${ }^{10}$ the two forms of acuity test may be considered essentially equivalent.

It will be noted that the optotype sizes, for either symbol or letter, differ slightly for 7 of the 11 levels existing on both distance targets-they are identical at $20 / 200,20 / 100,20 / 50$, and $20 / 25$. Somewhat closer agreement was available on the near tests where 7 of the levels were of identical size-the levels equivalent to $20 / 200,20 / 100$, $20 / 50,20 / 40,20 / 30,20 / 25$, and $20 / 20$.

When the distributions are combined as they are in tables 1-4 for distance, this will mean that the proportions may possibly be slightly higher than they would be if the targets had been completely comparable at $20 / 70,20 / 20$, and $20 / 17$ and slightly lower at $20 / 40,20 / 30,20 / 15$, and $20 / 12$.

## Testing Methods

The testing order of right eye, left eye, and binocular vision was maintained throughout the cycle. However, the sequence of near and distance tests was alternated for successive examinees. Testing order was randomized in this fashion to minimize any consistent bias for either test series due to fatigue, practice, or learning the target letters. Every effort was used to secure a maximum level of participation on the part of the child so that the measurements would be as accurate as possible. During the color test, which preceded the tests for visual acuity, it was possible to determine without embarrassing the child by asking whether or not he could read letters. For those who could not read, visual acuity was tested on the Landolt ring charts as previously indicated. For the very slow reader, it was also sometimes necessary to switch to the Landolt ring charts to lessen the impact of fatique and loss of interest on the child's performance, since with these charts the examiner had greater control over the reading pace.

For children who could read well enough to take the regular examination, the following test procedure was used. Each child was asked to read the line corresponding to an acuity level of $20 / 30$ (or the equivalent at near). If the child was unable to do this with no more than the allowable number of errors to "pass," he was presented the line corresponding to an acuity comparable to $20 / 50$. If the child again failed, he was started at the $20 / 400$ line. The child read the lines of pro-
gressively smaller letters until he failed or completed the test.

In testing nonreaders and slow readers on the Landolt ring chart or card, the examiner began at the line with the largest rings (20/200 at distance or the equivalent at near). The child was asked to point in the direction of the "bite" in the ring. The examiner continued this procedure for the first ring on each line until one was missed. At that point the examiner went back to the previous line and asked the child to read (point in the direction of the "bite") each symbol on the entire line. The same was done for the line or lines above and below it, to be sure the correct acuity level was determined.

To "pass" or to be able to read at a particular level, no errors were allowed if the line contained three letters, one in lines of five symbols, and three in lines of 10 letters. The visual acuity level or "score" for an examinee was that which corresponded to the smallest letters or symbols that the child was able to read with no more than the allowable number of errors.

## Quality Control

Vision tests were administered by the survey staff examining dentist because that member of the survey team had the time available for them. The effect of this was to have these tests done by a professional person who, once the necessary special training had been given, was highly adept at administering the tests. Each of the five dentists employed during the cycle was given training and practice in vision testing techniques to insure the consistency of test results. Further practice was obtained during the "dry runs" preceding the start of the regular examinations at each of the 40 areas in which the mobile Health Examination Centers were located.

A small-scale special study was conducted at District of Columbia Village, a home for dependent children, prior to the start of the cycle to determine the level of agreement between two of the examiners in the testing of children. A high level of agreement was found with more than threefourths of the test results being identical or differing by no more than one acuity level.

Midway during the cycle, at the Chicago location, replicate examinations were given to 95 of the 284 children examined there. The first test was given by a different examiner than the second. Here a very high level of agreement was found on retest with nearly 90 percent of the tests differing by no more than one acuity level.

Test results appeared to remain consistent for the various examiners throughout the cycle. The proportion of children rated as having normal or better vision showed essentially no differences which might be attributable to the testers when the age and sex differences among examinees at the various locations were removed.

Testing equipment and illumination were checked periodically throughout the cycle to be sure they were in good working order and met the required standards.

## FINDINGS

## Binocular Distance Acuity

Nearly three-fourths ( 74.8 percent), or 19.5 million, of the children 6-11 years of age in the noninstitutional population of the United States have at least "normal" or better than "normal" binocular vision at distance without correction, as estimated from Health Examination Survey findings in 1963-65. Nearly half are able to read at levels of $20 / 17$ through $20 / 12$ or better and about 85 percent test at least $20 / 25$ or better (table 1 and fig. 2).

The median unaided binocular acuity was at the 20/17.4 level. Hence, half of the children had better than "normal" vision since they were able


Figure 2. Percent of children, 6-1l years, reaching specified acuity levels for binocular and monocular distance vision.


Figure 3. Percent of children, 6-11 years, reaching levels of 20/20 or better for binocular and monocular distance acuity, by age.
to read, at 20 feet, letters of a size that persons with what is generally considered to be "normal" vision could be expected to read at 17.4 feet, nearly 3 feet closer to the target.

About 9 percent have moderately defective binocular vision, reading at best no further than the $20 / 30,20 / 40$, or $20 / 50$ levels, while an additional 6 percent would test at the 20/70 level or less. Roughly 4 percent ( 3.6 percent) have acuity no better than $20 / 100$ while less than 1 percent ( 0.8 percent) are unable to read at the $20 / 200$ level without correction.

The proportion of children unable to read at the $20 / 200$ level is too small to give a reliable estimate for this segment of the population. Yet it can be said with a fair degree of certainty that the actual proportion of children probably does not exceed 1 percent. This group will include the legally blind, as well as those whose vision could be corrected to normal or near normal with lenses. However, neither the testing nor the examination procedures in this cycle were sufficient to provide the basis for making more precise estimates of the prevalence of blindness since they did not include assessment of the limitation of visual fields or the degree of correctibility of visual acuity.

No consistent pattern by age was found among those with at least normal vision, 20/20 or better fig. 3 and table 1). The proportions were the lowest among the youngest- 6 and 7 year olds and the oldest age groups. It ranged from 72
percent for the 7 -year age group to 78 for the 9 year olds. However, the proportion with nearly normal or better vision (20/25 or better) showed a slight, consistent, downward trend with age, which was more pronounced among the older children, the proportions ranging from 88 percent at 6 years to 80 percent at 11 years.

At the lower end of the acuity scale a consistent increase in defective vision with age was found (fig. 4). For those testing no better than $20 / 70$, the proportion ranged from 1 percent at 6 years to nearly 12 percent at 11 years of age. Or if the more seriously defective acuities are considered, the proportion ranges from less than 1 percent at age 6 to nearly 8 percent at 11 years at $20 / 100$ or less and from less than 1 percent to nearly 6 percent at 20/200 or less.

Boys were found to have better binocular visual acuity at distance than girls. About 77 percent of the boys tested at least at the 20/20 level compared with 72 percent for girls. The difference in the proportion reaching at least the 20/25 level- 86 percent for boys for 84 percent for girls-was less, but still differed more than would have been expected by chance in a sample of the design and size of that used for the survey. At the lower extremes of the acuity scale, the proportion of boys was significantly less than of girls (figs. 5 and 6; table 1).


Figure 4. Percent of children, 6-11 years, testing no better than 20/70 for binocular and monocular distance acuity, by age.


Figure 5. Percent of children, 6-Il years, with "normal" or better distance visual acuity (20/20 or better), by age and sex.

No really consistent trend by age was found among boys or girls with at least nearly "normal" (20/25 or better) or "normal" (20/20 or better) acuity. Among those with at least "normal" vision, the proportion for boys increased from ages 7 to 9 years then dropped consistently from 9 through 11. years. Girls showed an increase to age 8 years
then a leveling off and drop only at 11 years (fig. 5).
At the lower end of the acuity scale a consistent increase with age in the proportion with unaided vision no better than $20 / 70$ or $20 / 100$, was found among girls. The trend with age also existed among boys except age $\dot{7}$ years (fig. 6).


Figure 6. Percent of children, 6-1l years, with distance visual acuity of $20 / 70$ or less, by age and sex.

## Binocular Near Acuity

The distribution of "normal" or almost "normal" near binocular acuity among children of all ages was found to be similar to that at distance. Slightly less than three-fourths ( 72.6 percent) tested at least $14 / 14$ or better, while 89.2 percent tested $14 / 17.5$ or better (table 5 and fig. 7). These proportions do not differ significantly from those for similar levels at distance- 74.8 percent reaching the $20 / 20$ level or better and 85.2 percent, the 20/25 level or better.

The proportion having moderately defective vision was about the same for near as for dis-
tance vision-9 percent. These are the percentages testing $14 / 21$ through $14 / 35$ at near and the similar levels of $20 / 30$ through $20 / 50$ at distance.

Relatively fewer children were found to have more severely defectivenear than distance vision, with 2 percent testing no better than 14/49 at near compared with 6 percent reading no better than 20/70 at distance.

By age there was a consistent increase in the proportion testing at least $14 / 14$ or better at near from age 7 years on (fig. 8 and table 5), a trend not found at the similar levels of $20 / 20$ or better for distance vision. At the $14 / 17.5$ or better levels, with a slight exception for the 7 -


Figure 7. Percent of children, 6-1l years, reaching specified acuity levels for binocular and monocular near vision.


Figure 8. Percent of children, 6-11 years, reaching levels of 14/14 or better for binocular and monocular near acuity, by age.
year-old group, the proportions at each age were nearly all the same, with no slight, downward trend as existed for the similar levels at distance.

At the poorer end of the acuity scale, 14/49 or less, no trend by age was found-the proportions ranged from 1.5 percent at 6 years to 3.5 at 11 (fig. 9). This is in sharp contrast to the consistent increase of poorer distance acuity with age. From age 9 years on, the proportion was significantly lower than for the similar levels at distance$20 / 70$ or less (fig. 4).

Boys were found to have slightly better binocular near visual acuity than girls, as was also found true for distance vision. The proportion of boys with "normal" or better vision reaching 14/14 or higher levels was significantly greater for boys ( 75.3 percent) than girls ( 69.8 percent).


Figure 9. Percent of children, 6-11 years, testing no better than 14/49 for binocular and monocular near acuity, by age.

At the nearly "normal" 14/17.5 level or better, the differences were less, but still significant. However, the proportions of boys and girls testing at the poorer end of the acuity scale were similar-2 percent. No consistent pattern with age was found among boys or girls with better or poorer acuity (figs. 10 and 11).

## Monocular Acuity

More than three-fifths ( 63 percent) of the children, or an estimated 16.4 million, had at least normal distance acuity in their better eye. Roughly 80 percent tested near normal or better at distance (20/25 or better). About 8 percent had poor acuities of $20 / 70$ or less (table 2 ).

With age no really consistent trend in the proportion of children with better acuities at distance is found although there was a marked increase from 7 through 9 years in the proportion of children with at least normal distance acuity in the better eye. At the other end of the acuity scale, there is a steady increase with age in the proportion having poorer acuity (20/70 or less)the rates range from 2.3 per 100 children at age 6 to 13.7 at age 11 years (figs. 3 and 4).

At near, as for distance, 63 percent have at least "normal" acuity in the better eye (14/14 or better) while a larger proportion than for dis-tance-87.1 percent compared with 79.4-reach
at least almost "normal" vision at near (14/17.5 or better). The proportion with poor near acui-ties-14/49 or less-is somewhat lower than for distance vision, 2.9 percent compared with 7.6 percent testing no higher than 20/70 in the better eye (tables 2 and 6).

By age the proportion testing 14/14 or higher in the better eye increases from 7 years on, but only from 7 through 9 years if those with near "normal" vision are also included. No trend with age for the proportion with poorer acuities is evident.

Binocular acuity tends to exceed the better monocular acuity which in turn generally exceeds the acuity for either eye. At distance about 12 percent more children test at least "normal" with their binocular acuity than with their better monocular acuity, while monocular acuity in either eye runs about 10 percent below the percentage for the better eye. If the near "normal" group is included, the differences drop to 5 percent between binocular and better monocular, and 6 percent between better monocular and either eye. The differences between these acuity measures is negligible at the lower end of the acuity range (tables 1-4).

At near the proportion testing at least "normal" is about 10 percent higher for binocular than for better monocular, which in turn is 10 percent above that for either eye. If the nearly "normal" group is also included, the difference in proportion reaching these levels is negligible between binocular and better monocular and drops to 6 percent between better monocular and that for either eye. Differences here are also negligible at the lower end of the acuity scale (tables 5-8).

The proportion reaching better and poorer acuity levels tends to be similar for the right and left eye (tables $3,4,7$, and 8 ).

## Agreement Between Acuity Measures

At both distance and near, a high order of agreement was found between the binocular and better monocular acuity scores of children (table B). The correlation of the scores at both distance and near was +0.94 . The extent of agreement for boys and girls was similar. Over 75 percent


Figure 10. Percent of children, 6-11 years, with "normal" or better near visual acuity (14/14 or better), by age and sex.


Figure 11. Percent of children, 6-11 years, with near acuity of $14 / 49$ or less, by age and sex.

Table B. Correlation between various measures of visual acuity used in this study, by sex: Health Examination Survey, 1963-65

| Acuity measures | Total | Boys | Girls |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Correlation coefficients |  |  |
| Right and left eye: Distance- | $+0.78 \\|+0.77 \mid+0.80$ |  |  |
| Near------------- | +0.57 | +0. 54 | +0. 59 |
| Binocular and better monocular: |  |  |  |
| Distance--------- | +0.94 | +0.94 | +0.93 |
| Near------------- | +0.94 | $\pm 0.95$ | +0.90 |
| Distance and near: |  |  |  |
| Binocular-------- | +0.57 | +0.57 | +0.57 |
| Better eye------- | +0.55+0.59 | +0.54+0.58 | +0.53+0.60+0.60 |
| Right eye-------- |  |  |  |
| Left eye--------- | +0.64 | +0.68 | +0.60 |

showed no difference in acuity level between better monocular and binocular vision.

The level of agreement between right and left eye acuities was also high, but of a markedly lower order of magnitude than that for binocular and better monocular vision. Better agreement was found at distance $(+0.78)$ than at near $(+0.57)$. No sex difference was found in this measure either.

Comparison of distance and near vision for the various measures of acuity shows a somewhat similar level of agreement for all four, ranging from +0.55 for better monocular acuity to +0.64 for the left eye. These levels are substantially lower than those for the right or left eye at distance but not near.

## Acuity as Measured by Two Methods

In the foregoing sections of this report it has been assumed that the test results on the letter targets and the Landolt rings are roughly comparable for the levels discussed.

However, a further inspection of the distributions for better and poorer acuities obtained
by both methods, as shown in tables $C$ and $9-12$, seems warranted.

It should be kept in mind that the optotypes at the level equivalent to $20 / 21.4$ are slightly larger than those at $20 / 20$ for a set distance but offsetting this is the fact that only one error was allowed on lines of five symbols compared with three errors allowed for passing lines of 10 letters. At the other levels the optotype sizes are equivalent on the corresponding targets.

Relatively more children were found to have at least normal acuity at distance on the Landolt rings ( $20 / 21.4$ ) than on the approximately corresponding letter targets $(20 / 20)$ at $6,7,8$, and 10 years of age while the reverse was found at 11 years. At near, children throughout the age range did better on the Landolt rings. The reasons for these differences are not readily apparent. To some extent they may reflect differences in the children tested or the differences in testing technique in addition to the lack of complete comparability in the targets. Only at 6 years and to a slight extent at 7 years will these differences affect the overall distributions of acuities.

## COMPARISON WITH OTHER STUDIES

Strictly comparable findings for visual acuity among children are not readily available from published reports. However, two large-scale studies among selected groups do give the proportion of children in this age range who have "normal" or better vision.

Slataper ${ }^{11}$ and Weymouth ${ }^{12}$ reported on the visual acuity of patients in Houston, Texas, based on refractive examinations which included more than 1,700 among children 6-11 years of age. Morgan et $a l^{13}$ gave the results of vision tests based on project-o-chart letters among some 400 children $7-111$ years, from two of the public schools of Toronto, Canada. The latter group found a high correlation between tests and the project-o-chart letters and the Snellen letters ( +0.96 ). Comparison of the findings from these three studies are shown in table D.

Table C. Proportion of children examined and found to have better and poorer acuities as determined by Landolt ring and letter test, by age: Health Examination Survey, 1963-65

| Leve1 | A11 ages, 6-11 years | $\begin{gathered} 6 \\ \text { years } \end{gathered}$ | $\stackrel{7}{\text { years }}$ | $\stackrel{8}{8}$ | $\stackrel{9}{\text { years }}$ | $\stackrel{10}{\text { years }}$ | $11$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Percent |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Testing 20/20 or better on: Letter targets- |  | $67.7$ |  | 75.382.6 | 78.079.7 | 77.783.6 | 73.260.5 |
| Landolt rings----------------- |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Testing 20/70 or poorer on: Letter targets | 6.91.4 | 2.2 | 4.6 | 4.6 | 5.7 | 9.20.0 | 11.411.8 |
| Landolt rings----------------- |  | 1.2 | 1.8 | 0.0 | 2.2 |  |  |
| Testing 14/14 or better on: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Letter targets--------------- | $\begin{aligned} & 69.1 \\ & 86.5 \end{aligned}$ | 42.586.3 | 51.085.6 | 66.687.2 | 73.992.7 | 77.993.3 | 78.885.8 |
| Landolt rings----------------- |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Testing 14/49 or poorer on: Letter targets Landolt rings- | 2.61.3 |  | 2.71.9 | 2.72.4 | 1.90.0 | 2.20.0 | 3.56.5 |
|  |  | 3.0 1.0 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Proportion examined with: <br> Letter targets <br> Landolt rings | 81.118.9 | 24.175.9 | 72.427.6 | 92.77.3 | 97.12.9 | 98.11.9 | 98.91.1 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Table D. Proportion of children reaching visual acuity levels of $20 / 20$ or better from selected studies, by age

| Age | United States, 1963-651 | Private <br> patients in <br> Houston, Texas $1950^{2}$ | School <br> children in <br> Toronto, Canada, $1952^{3}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Percentage |  |  |
| 6 years--- | 51 | 44 | - |
| 7 years--- | 46 | 52 | 72 |
| 8 years--- | 51 | 56 | 84 |
| 9 years--- | 58 | 61 | 83 |
| 10 years--- | 58 | 69 | 80 |
| 11 years--- | 56 | 75 | 78 |

${ }^{1}$ Study by the HES.
${ }^{2}$ Study by Slataper. ${ }^{11}$
${ }^{3}$ Study by Morgan et al. ${ }^{13}$

It might be expected that the findings of Morgan et al. ${ }^{13}$ if their methods were similar, would be more nearly comparable to the present findings than those of Slataper. However, the data would not suggest this. Sufficient information is not available to determine whether these substantial differences result from the disparities in testing methods, the characteristics of the children, or both.

Only one available study investigated the relationship of distance and near acuity measures among children. Kephart ${ }^{14}$ in his study of nearly 2,200 school children in grades three through 12 found a slightly, but not significantly, lower order of agreement than was found in the present study- +0.55 compared with +0.57 . A greater difference might have been expected since he used the checkerboard targets from the Ortho-rater rather than those used in the present study.

## SUMMARY

National estimates based on Health Examination Survey findings from a highly representative sample of children 6-11 years of age in the noninstitutional population of the United States show that:

1. Nearly three-fourths, or 19.5 million, of these children have at least "normal" or better than "normal" (20/20 or better) binocular distance vision without correction. The proportion reaching similar levels at near is only slightly less.
2. No consistent pattern of better visual acuity at distance was found with age; at near the proportion increased from 7 years on.
3. The proportion with defective binocular distance vision is small, but shows a remarkably consistent increase with age, year by year. At age 6, less than 1 percent tested no better than $20 / 100$, while by age 11, the percentage had increased to nearly 8 . This pattern prevails for both boys and girls and is seen at the various poorer acuity levels-no better than $20 / 70,20 / 100$, or $20 / 200$. A similar trend with age is not found for poorer near vision.
4. Boys were found to have slightly but significantly better binocular visual acuity at both distance and near than girls.
5. Relatively more children were found to have poorer acuity at distance than nearnearly 6 percent did not read above the 20/70 level at distance compared with 2 percent reaching just to the similar level at near.
6. Binocular vision tended to exceed better monocular vision at both distance and near. Slightly more than 60 percent had "normal" or better monocular vision compared with about three-fourths for binocular acuity.
7. The acuity in either eye tends in general to be similar but somewhat less good than that for the better eye. The proportion testing at least normal is about 10 percent below that for better monocular vision.
8. Closer agreement was found between binocular and better monocular acuity than between the acuities for the two eyes. The degree of association between distance and near acuity was found to be highly significant but of a lower order than for the other measures at distance only.
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Table 1. Percentage of children, 6-11 years, reaching or reaching and exceeding specified acuity levels for uncorrected binocular distance acuity, by sex and age: United States 1963-65


Table 2. Percentage of children, 6-11 years, reaching or reaching and exceeding specified acuity levels for uncorrected monocular distance acuity in the better eye, by sex and age: United States, 1963-65

| Sex and age | Acuity level |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{gathered} 20 / 12 \\ \text { or } \\ \text { better } \end{gathered}$ | 20/15. | 20/17 | 20/20 | 20/25 | 20/30 | 20/40 | 20/50 | 20/70 | 20/100 | 20/200 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Less } \\ & \text { than } \\ & 20 / 200 \end{aligned}$ |
| Both sexes | Percentage |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| A11 ages, 6-11 years- | 0.5 | 10.3 | 18.9 | 32.3 | 17.9 | 6.3 | 4.4 | 1.8 | 3.0 | 1.3 | 2.3 | 1.0 |
| 6 years-------------------- | 1.0 | 9.8 | 17.7 | 30.3 | 22.5 | 8.4 | 6.4 | 1.6 | 1.5 | 0.5 | 0.2 | 0.1 |
| 7 years | 0.4 | 5.9 | 14.1 | 34.7 | 24.6 | 8.0 | 5.5 | 1.4 | 2.8 | 1.0 | 1.5 | 0.1 |
| 8 years | 0.5 | 6.6 | 16.1 | 36.6 | 21.0 | 6.9 | 3.6 | 2.3 | 2.9 | 1.1 | 1.6 | 0.8 |
| ${ }_{10} 10$ years- | 0.2 | 10.9 14.1 | 20.9 21.1 | 35.8 31.5 | 14.2 13.2 | 5.4 4.1 | 3.6 2.5 | 1.8 | 2.7 3.9 | $\frac{1.1}{2.4}$ | 2.3 3.4 | 1.1 |
| 11 years- | 0.3 | 15.1 | 23.6 | 25.1 | 11.1 | 4.8 | 4.6 | 1.7 | 4.1 | 2.2 | 5.0 | 2.4 |
| Boys <br> All ages, 6-11 years- |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 0.7 | 11.7 | 20.3 | 31.8 | 16.7 | 6.0 | 4.0 | 1.7 | 2.8 | 1.3 | 2.2 | 0.8 |
| 6 years----------------- | 1.1 | 11.4 | 20.3 | 31.7 | 19.8 | 7.5 | 4.5 | 1.2 | 1.5 | 0.7 |  | 0.3 |
| 7 years | 0.5 | 6.4 | 15.5 | 35.5 | 21.4 | 7.9 | 6.3 | 1.1 | 1.6 | 1.6 | 2.1 | 0.1 |
| 8 years---------------------- | 0.8 | 7.1 | 18.4 | 34.5 | 19.9 | 6.9 | 3.7 | 2.6 | 3.1 | 1.1 | 1.6 | 0.3 |
| 9 years | 0.4 | 11.9 | 23.5 | 33.8 | 14.1 | 5.3 | 2.9 | 1.5 | 2.6 | 0.9 | 2.5 | 0.6 |
| 10 years------------------ | 1.1 | 16.8 | 22.5 | 30.3 | 12.4 | 3.6 | 2.2 | 1.8 | 4.1 | 1.6 | 2.4 |  |
| 11 years------------------ | 0.6 | 17.4 | 22.2 | 24.9 | 11.8 | 4.5 | 4.2 | 1.7 | 3.8 | 1.7 | 4.9 | 2.3 |
| Girls |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| All ages, 6-11 years- | 0.2 | 8.9 | 17.3 | 32.9 | 19.2 | 6.6 | 4.9 | 1.9 | 3.2 | 1.4 | 2.3 | 1.2 |
| 6 years--------------------- | 0.9 | 8.1 | 15.1 | 28.9 | 25.4 | 9.3 | 8.4 | 1.9 | 1.5 | 0.2 | 0.3 |  |
| 7 years | 0.3 | 5.5 | 12.5 | 34.0 | 27.8 | 8.0 | 4.7 | 1.8 | 4.1 | 0.4 | 0.8 | 0.1 |
| 8 years------------------ | 0.2 | 6.1 | 13.7 | 38.6 | 22.0 | 6.9 | 3.6 | 2.0 | 2.8 | 1.2 | 1.6 | 1.3 |
| 9 years |  | 10.0 | 18.3 | 37.8 | 14.2 | 5.6 | 4.4 | 2.0 | 2.8 | 1.2 | 2.1 | 1.6 |
|  | - | 11.3 | $\underline{19.7}$ | 32.5 | 14.1 | 4.5 | 2.9 | 1.9 | 3.8 | 3.2 | 4.4 | $\frac{1}{2} .7$ |
| 11 years---------------- | - | 12.6 | 25.0 | 25.2 | 10.5 | 5.2 | 4.9 | 1.8 | 4.4 | 2.7 | 5.1 | 2.6 |
| Both sexes | Cumulative percentage |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| All ages, 6-11 years- | 0.5 | 10.8 | 29.7 | 62.0 | 79.9 | 86.2 | 90.6 | 92.4 | 95.4 | 96.7 | 99.0 | 100.0 |
| 6 years------------------ | 1.0 | 10.8 | 28.5 | 58.8 | 81.3 | 89.7 | 96.1 | 97.7 | 99.2 | 99.7 | 99.9 | 100.0 |
|  | 0.4 | 6.3 | 20.4 | 55.1 | 79.7. | 87.7 | 93.2 | 94.6 | 97.4 | 98.4 | 99.9 | 100.0 |
| 8 years------------------- | 0.5 | 7.1 | 23.2 | 59.8 | 80.8 | 87.7 | 91.3 | 93.6 | 96.5 | 97.6 | 99.2 | 100.0 |
| 9 years | 0.2 | 11.1 | 32.0 | 67.8 | 82.0 | 87.4 | 91.0 | 92.8 | 95.5 | 96.6 | 98.9 | 100.0 |
| 10 years------------------ | 0.5 | 14.6 | 35.7 | 67.2 | 80.4 | 84.5 | 87.0 | 88.8 | 92.7 | 95.1 | 98.5 | 100.0 |
| 11 years------------------ | 0.3 | 15.4 | 39.0 | 64.1 | 75.2 | 80.0 | 84.6 | 86.3 | 90.4 | 92.6 | 97.6 | 100.0 |
| Boys <br> A11 ages, 6-11 years- |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 0.7 | 12.4 | 32.7 | 64.5 | 81.2 | 87.2 | 91.2 | 92.9 | 95.7 | 97.0 | 99.2 | 100.0 |
| 6 years------------------ | 1.1 | 12.5 | 32.822.4 | 64.557.9 | 84.379.3 |  | 96.3 | 94.6 | 96.2 | 97.8 |  | 100.0 |
|  | 0.5 | 6.9 |  |  |  | 87.2 |  |  |  |  | 99.9 |  |
| 8 years---------------------- | 0.8 | 7.9 | $\begin{aligned} & 26.3 \\ & 35.8 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 60.8 \\ & 69.6 \end{aligned}$ | 80.783.7 | 87.689.0 | 91.391.9 | 93.9 | 97.0 | 98.1 | 99.7 | 100.0 |
| 9 years | 0.4 | 12.3 |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & 93.4 \\ & 90.7 \end{aligned}$ | 96.0 | 96.9 | 99.4 | 100.0 |
| 10 years------------------ | 1.1 | 17.9 | 40.440.2 | 65.1 | 83.1 | 86.7 | 88.9 |  | 94.8 | 96.4 | 98.8 | 100.0 |
|  | 0.6 | 18.0 |  |  | 76.9 | 81.4 | 85.6 | 87.3 | 91.1 | 92.8 | 97.7 |  |
| Girls <br> A11 ages, 6-11 years- |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 0.2 | 9.1 | 26.4 | 59.3 | 78.5 | 85.1 | 90.0 | 91.9 | 95.1 | 96.5 | 98.8 | 100.0 |
| 6 years------------------- | 0.9 | 9.0 | 24.1 | 53.0 | 78.4 | 87.7 | 96.1 | 98.0 | 99.5 | 99.7 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
|  | 0.3 | 5.8 | 18.3 | 52.3 | 80.1 | 88.1 | 92.8 | 94.6 | 98.7 | 99.1 | 99.9 | 100.0 |
|  | 0.2 | 6.3 | 20.0 | 58.6 | 80.6 | 87.5 | 91.1 | 93.1 | 95.9 | 97.1 | 98.7 | 100.0 |
|  |  | 10.0 | 28.3 | 66.1 | 80.3 | 85.9 | 90.3 | 92.3 | 95.1 | 96.3 | 98.4 | 100.0 |
|  | - | 11.3 12.6 | 31.0 37.6 | 63.5 62.8 | 77.6 73.3 | 82.1 78.5 | 85.0 83.4 | 86.9 85.2 | 90.7 89.6 | 93.9 92.3 | 98.3 97.4 | 100.0 100.0 |

Table 3. Percentage of children, 6-11 years, reaching or reaching and exceeding specified acuity levels for uncorrected monocular distance acuity in the right eye, by sex and age: United States, 1963-65

| Sex and age | Acuity level |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & 20 / 12 \\ & \text { or } \\ & \text { orter } \end{aligned}$ | 20/15 | 20/17 | 20/20 | 20/25 | 20/30 | 20/40 | 20/50 | 20/70 | 20/100 | 20/200 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Less } \\ & \text { than } \\ & 20 / 200 \end{aligned}$ |
| Both sexes | Percentage |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| All ages, 6-11 years- | 0.3 | 5.6 | 14.9 | 32.1 | 20.8 | 7.8 | 5.9 | 3.11 | 3.4 | 1.8 | 2.7 | 1.6 |
| 6 years----n------------- | 0.7 | 6.9 | 15.9 | 27.4 | 24.9 | 9.4 | 8.1 | 3.1 | 1.9 | 0.6 | 0.4 | 0.7 |
| 7 years | 0.2 | 3.4 | 10.4 | 31.8 | 25.8 | 11.2 | 6.9 | 3.1 | 3.7 | 1.1 | 2.3 | 0.1 |
| 8 years | 0.4 | 3.4 | 11.0 | 35.3 | 24.9 | 7.5 | 6.1 | 3.3 | 3.5 | 1.2 | 2.2 | 1.2 |
| 9 years | 0.2 | 4.9 | 15.0 | 37.6 | 18.8 | 6.9 | 4.2 | 3.1 | 3.2 4.1 | 1.9 | 2.5 | 2.7 |
|  | 0.3 0.1 | 7.6 | 19.8 | 31.5 28.9 | 12.3 12.4 | 6.6 | 5.6 | 3.0 | 4.1 | 3.1 | 5.0 | 4.0 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| All ages, 6-11 years- | 0.5 | 7.0 | 16.0 | 32.2 | 20.2 | 7.3 | 5.3 | 2.9 | 2.9 | 1.6 | 2.8 | 1.3 |
| 6 years--------------------- | 0.8 | 8.2 | 17.5 | 28.2 | 24.4 | 9.0 | 6.6 | 1.8 | 1.5 | 0.8 | 0.3 | 0.9 |
|  | 0.2 | 4.4 | 11.5 | 33.6 | 23.6 | 9.5 | 6.1 | 3.3 | 2.6 | 1.7 | 3.4 | 0.1 |
| 8 years | 0.8 | 4.0 | 13.5 | 34.3 | 23.3 | 7.2 | 5.8 | 3.4 | 3.4 | 1.5 | 2.0 | 0.8 |
| 9 years | 0.5 | 6.0 | 16.2 | 38.9 31 | 18.1 | 6.8 | 3.5 | 3.2 | 2.6 3.6 | 1.4 | 2.7 3.4 |  |
| 10 years--------------------------1 | 0.7 | 9.5 9.9 | 18.7 | 31.8 26.4 | 17.3 13.4 | 4.8 6.3 | 3.6 6.4 | 3.1 | 3.9 | 2.4 | 4.9 | 3.4 |
| Girls <br> All ages, 6-11 years- |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 0.1 | 4.2 | 13.7 | 32.0 | 21.5 | 8.3 | 6.4 | 3.3 | 4.0 | 2.0 | 2.6 | 1.9 |
| 6 years | 0.7 | 5.5 | 14.4 | 26.7 | 25.3 | 9.8 | 9.6 | 4.4 | 2.4 | 0.3 | 0.5 | 0.4 |
|  | 0.1 | 2.2 | 9.3 | 30.0 | 28.0 | 12.8 | 7.8 | 3.0 | 4.9 | 0.6 | 1.1 | 0.2 |
| 8 years-..--------------- |  | 2.8 | 8.4 | 36.5 | 26.5 | 7.7 | 6.5 | 3.1 | 3.6 | 1.0 | 2.3 | 1.6 |
| 9 y yars | - | 3.8 | 13.9 | 36.2 31.2 | 19.7 | 7.0 | 4.9 | 3.9 | 3.8 4.7 | 2.3 4.6 | 2.2 4.8 |  |
|  |  | 5.6 | 16.7 19.9 | 31.2 31.4 | 11.3 11.3 | 6.4 5.9 | 4.9 | 3.0 | 4.6 | 3.7 | 5.2 | 4.5 |
| Both sexes | Cumulative percentage |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Al1 ages, 6-11 years- | 0.3 | 5.9 | 20.8 | 52.9 | 73.7 | 81.5 | 87.4 | 90.5 | 93.9 | 95.7 | 98.4 | 100.0 |
| 6 years-------------------- | 0.7 | 7.6 | 23.5 | 50.9 | 75.8 | 85.2 | 93.3 | 96.4 | 98.3 | 98.9 | 99.3 | 100.0 |
| 7 years-------------...-- | 0.2 | 3.6 | 14.0 | 45,8 | 71.6 | 82.8 | 89.7 | 92.8 | 96.5 | 97.6 | 99.9 | 100.0 |
|  | 0.4 | 3.8 | 14.8 | 50.1 | 75.0 | 82.5 | 88.6 | 91.9 | 95.4 | 96.6 | 98.8 | 100.0 |
| 9 years | 0.2 | 5.1 | 20.1 | 57.7 | 76.5 | 83.4 | 87.6 83.5 | 90.7 86.5 | 93.9 90.6 | 959 | 98.3 | 100.0 |
| 11 years----------------------- | 0.1 | 7.9 | 27.7 | 56.7 56.6 | 69.0 | 75.1 | 80.7 | 83.7 | 87.9 | 91.0 | 96.0 | 100.0 |
| Boys <br> All ages, 6-11 years- |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 0.5 | 7.5 | 23.5 | 55.7 | 75.9 | 83.2 | 88.5 | 91.4 | 94.3 | 95.9 | 98.7 | 100.0 |
| 6 years---------------------- | 0.8 | 9.0 | 26.5 | 54.7 | 79.1 | 88.1 | 94.7 | 96.5 | 98.0 | 98.8 | 99.1 | 100.0 |
| 7 years--------------------- | 0.2 | 4.6 | 16.1 | 49.7 | 73.3 | 82.8 | 88.9 | 92.2 | 94.8 | 96.5 | 99.9 | 100.0 100.0 |
| 8 years---------------------- | 0.8 | 4.8 | 18.3 | 52.6 | 75.9 79 | 83.1 | 88.9 | 92.3 | 95.7 | 96.2 | 98.9 | 100.0 |
|  | 0.5 | 6.5 10.2 | 28.7 28.2 | 61.6 60.0 | 777.3 | 86.5 82.1 | 85.7 | 89.4 | 93.0 | 94.9 | 98.3 | 100.0 |
|  | 0.2 | 10.1 | 29.8 | 56.2 | 69.6 | 75.9 | 82.3 | 85.4 | 89.3 | 91.7 | 96.6 | 100.0 |
| Girls |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| All ages, 6-11 years- | 0.1 | 4.3 | 18.0 | 50.0 | 71.5 | 79.8 | 86.2 | 89.5 | 93.5 | 95.5 | 98.1 | 100.0 |
| 6 years-------------------- | 0.7 | 6.2 | 20.6 | 47.3 | 72.6 | 82.4 | 92.0 | 96.4 | 98.8 | 99.1 | 99.6 | 100.0 |
|  | 0.1 | 2.3 | 11.6 | 41.6 | 69.6 | 82.4 | 80.2 | 93.2 91.5 | 98.1 | 98.7 | 99.8 | 100.0 100.0 |
|  | - | 2.8 3.8 | 11.2 | 47.7 53.9 | 74.2 73.6 | 81.9 80.6 | 88.4 85.5 | 91.5 89.4 | 93.2 | 95.5 | 97.7 | 100.0 |
| 10 years-n----------------- | - | 5.6 | 22.3 | 53.5 | 70.7 | 77.1 | 81.3 | 83.5 | 88.2 | 92.8 | 97.6 | 100.0 |
|  | - | 5.6 | -25.5 | 56.9 | 68.2 | 74.1 | 79.0 | 82.0 | 86.6 | 90.3 | 95.5 | 100.0 |

Table 4. Percentage of children, 6-11 years, reaching or reaching and exceeding specified acuity levels for unm corrected monocular distance acuity in the left eye, by sex and age: United States, 1963-65

| Sex and age | Acuity level |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & 20 / 12 \\ & \text { or } \\ & \text { better } \end{aligned}$ | 20/15 | 20/17 | 20/20 | 20/25 | 20/30 | 20/40 | 20/50 | 20/70 | 20/100 | 20/200 | $\begin{gathered} \text { Less } \\ \text { than } \\ 20 / 200 \end{gathered}$ |
| Both sexes | Percentage |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| All ages, 6-11 years- | 0.3 | 8.2 | 16.3 | 28.9 | 20.3 | 8.6 | 5.3 | 2.2 | 3.5 | 1.8 | 2.9 | 1.7 |
| 6 years--------------------- | 0.7 | 7.1 | 15.9 | 27.3 | 25.4 | 9.7 | 8.3 | 2.3 | 1.6 | 0.7 | 0.7 | 0.3 |
| 7 years----w-----m-------- | 0.3 | 4.4 | 12.1 | 30.4 | 25.6 | 12.0 | 7.0 | 1.6 | 3.1 | 1.3 | 1.8 | 0.4 |
| 8 years | 0.3 | 5.5 | 13.7 | 32.3 | 22.3 | 10.0 | 4.7 | 2.7 | 3.2 | 1.6 | 2.3 | 1.4 |
| 9 years | - | 9.3 | 18.2 | 31.1 | 17.9 | 7.6 | 4.1 | 2.4 | 3.6 | 1.4 | 2.9 | 1.5 |
| 10 years | 0.5 | 11.4 | 18.5 | 28.8 | 15.7 | 5.5 | 3.6 | 1.5 | 4.9 | 2.9 | 4.2 | 2.5 |
|  | 0.2 | 12.1 | 19.6 | 23.6 | 14.1 | 6.6 | 4.1 | 2.9 | 4.8 | 2.8 | 5.5 | 3.7 |
| Boys <br> Al1 ages, 6-11 years. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 0.5 | 9.2 | 17.9 | 29.0 | 19.0 | 8.6 | 4.6 | 2.2 | 3.3 | 1.5 | 2.9 | 1.3 |
| 6 years--------------n--m- | 0.7 | 7.7 | 18.5 | 30.9 | 22.7 | 8.7 | 6.1 | 1.5 | 1.5 | 1.1 | 0.3 | 0.3 |
| 7 years-----n-----m------- | 0.5 | 4.7 | 13.7 | 31.7 | 21.1 | 12.7 | 8.1 | 1.5 | 1.6 | 1.4 | 2.8 | 0.2 |
| 8 years | 0.3 | 6.0 | 15.1 | 30.6 | 21.6 | 10.7 | 4.1 | 2.9 | 3.1 | 1.3 | 2.9 | 1.4 |
| 9 years | - | 9.7 | 20.7 | 29.5 | 18.5 | 7.4 | 3.0 | 2.2 | 3.9 | 1.1 | 3.0 | 1.0 |
| 10 year | 0.9 | 13.7 | 20.2 | 28.8 | 14.0 | 5.3 | 3.0 | 1.4 | 5.7 | 1.9 | 3.3 | 1.8 |
|  | 0.5 | 13.8 | 19.5 | 22.2 | 15.6 | 6.3 | 3.1 | 3.6 | 4.2 | 2.1 | 5.6 | 3.5 |
| Girls |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| A11 ages, 6-11 years- | 0.2 | 7.2 | 14.6 | 28.8 | 21.6 | 8.7 | 6.1 | 2.3 | 3.7 | 2.1 | 2.8 | 1.9 |
|  | 0.6 | 6.5 | 13.1 | 23.7 | 28.1 | 10.8 | 10.6 | 3.2 | 1.7 | 0.4 | 1.1 | 0.2 |
| 7 years-n--m----mon---m--- | 0.1 | 4.1 | 10.5 | 28.9 | 30.4 | 11.3 | 5.8 | 1.7 | 4.6 | 1.3 | 0.7 | 0.6 |
| 8 years---mon--mmo--m-n-m | 0.2 | 4.9 | 12.2 | 33.9 | 23.0 | 9.4 | 5.4 | 2.4 | 3.3 | 2.0 | 1.8 | 1.5 |
|  | 0.2 | 8.8 | 15.7 | 32.8 | 17.3 | 7.7 | 5.2 | 2.6 | 3.3 | 1.7 | 2.9 | 2.0 |
|  | - | 9.0 | 16.8 | 28.8 |  | 5.7 | 4.1 | 1.7 | 4.1 | 3.9 | 5.3 | 3.1 |
|  | - | 10.2 | 19.7 | 24.9 | 12.6 | 6.9 | 5.2 | 2.3 | 5.4 | 3.5 | 5.4 | 3.9 |
| Both sexes | Cumulative percentage |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| A11 ages, 6-11 years- | 0.3 | 8.5 | 24.8 | 53.7 | 74.0 | 82.6 | 87.9 | 90.1 | 93.6 | 95.4 | 98.3 | 100.0 |
|  | 0.7 | 7.8 | 23.7 | 51.0 | 76.4 | 86.1 | 94.4 | 96.7 | 98.3 | 99.0 | 99.7 | 100.0 |
|  | 0.3 | 4.7 | 16.8 | 47.2 | 72.8 | 84.8 | 91.8 | 93.4 | 96.5 | 97.8 | 99.6 | 100.0 |
|  | 0.3 | 5.8 | 19.5 | 51.8 | 74.1 | 84.1 | 88.8 | 91.5 | 94.7 | 96.3 | 98.6 | 100.0 |
|  | - | 9.3 | 27.5 | 58.6 | 76.5 | 84.1 | 88.2 | 90.6 | 94.2 | 95.6 | 98.5 | 100.0 |
|  | 0.5 | 11.9 | 30.4 | 59.2 | 74.9 | 80.4 | 84.0 | 85.5 | 90.4 | 93.3 | 97.5 | 100.0 |
|  | 0.2 | 12.3 | 31.9 | 55.5 | 69.6 | 76.2 | 80.3 | 83.2 | 88.0 | 90.8 | 96.3 | 100,0 |
| Boys |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| A11 ages, 6-11 years- | 0.5 | 9.7 | 27.6 | 56.6 | 75.6 | 84.2 | 88.8 | 91.0 | 94.3 | 95.8 | 98.7 | 100.0 |
| 6 years-----------------m-m | 0.7 | 8.4 | 26.9 | 57.8 | 80.5 | 89.2 | 95.3 | 96.8 | 98.3 | 99.4 | 99.7 | 100.0100.0 |
| 7 yearsm-m--m------m-n--m | 0.5 | 5.2 | 18.9 | 50.6 | 71.7 | 84.4 | 92.5 | 94.0 | 95.6 | 97.0 | 99.8 |  |
|  | 0.3 | 6.3 | 21.4 | 52.0 | 73.6 | 84.3 | 88.4 | 91.3 | 94.4 | 95.7 | 98.6 100.0 |  |
|  | - | 9.7 | 30.434.8 | 59.963.6 | 78.477.6 | 85.8 | 88.8 | 91.087.3 | 94.993.0 | 96.0 | 99.0 100.0 |  |
| 10 years--m-n------------- | 0.9 | 14.6 |  |  |  | 82.9 | 85.9 |  |  | 94.9 | 98.2 | 100.0 |
| 11 years------------------ | 0.5 | 14.3 | 33.8 | 56.0 | 71.6 | 77.9 | 81.0 | 84.6 | 88.8 | 90.9 | 96.5 | 100.0 |
| Girls |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| A11 ages, 6-11 years- | 0.2 | 7.4 | 22.0 | 50.8 | 72.4 | 81.1 | 87.2 | 89.5 | 93.2 | 95.3 | 98.1 | 100.0 |
| 6 years------------------- | 0.6 | 7.1 | 20.2 | 43.9 | 72.0 | 82.8 | 93.4 | 96.6 | 98.3 | 98.7 | 99.8 | 100.0 |
|  | 0.1 |  | 14.7 | 43.6 | 74.0 | 85.3 | 91.1 | 92.8 | 97.4 | 98.7 | 99.4 100.0 |  |
|  | 0.2 | 5.1 | 17.3 | 51.2 | 74.2 | 83.6 | 89.0 | 91.4 | 94.7 | 96.7 | 98.5 100.0 <br> 98.0 100.0 |  |
| 9 years----------------m- |  | 8.8 | 24.5 | 57.3 | 72.1 | 82.3 | 87.581.9 | 90.183.6 | 93.4 | 95.1 |  |  |  |
|  | - | 9.0 | 25.8 | 54.6 |  | 77.8 |  |  | 87.7 | 91.6 | 96.9 100.n |  |
| 11 years--m-----------------1- |  | 10.2 | 29.9 | 54.8 | 67.4 | 74.3 | 79.5 | 81.8 | 87.2 | 90.7 | $\begin{array}{lll}96.9 & 100.0 \\ 96.1 & 100.0\end{array}$ |  |

Table 5. Percentage of children, $6-11$ years, reaching or reaching and exceeding specified acuity levels for uncorrected binocular near acuity, by sex and age: United States, 1963-65


Table 6. Percentage of children, 6-11 years, reaching or reaching and exceeding specified acuity levels for uncorrected monocular near acuity in the better eye, by sex and age: United States, 1963-65

| Sex and age | Acuity level |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & 14 / 14 \\ & \text { or } \\ & \text { better } \end{aligned}$ | 14/17.5 | 14/21 | 14/28 | 14/35 | $\begin{aligned} & 14 / 49 \\ & \text { and } \\ & 14 / 70 \end{aligned}$ | 14/140 | Less than 14/140 |
| Both sexes | Percentage |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 63.01 | 24.1 | 5.9 | 3.3 | 0.8 | 2.0 | 0.7 | 0.2 |
|  | 69.0 | 18.0 | 6.2 | 3.9 | 1.0 | 1.6 | 0.2 | 0.1 |
| 7 years- | 50.1 | 34.0 | 7.7 | 4.2 | 1.1 | 2.1 | 0.6 | 0.2 |
| 8 years- | 55.1 | 30.1 | 7.9 | 3.2 | 0.6 | 1.7 | 0.8 | 0.6 |
| 9 years- | 64.3 | 25.0 | 4.7 | 2.7 | 0.8 | 1.7 | 0.7 | 0.1 |
| 11 years- | 71.4 | 16.9 | 4.2 | 3.6 | 0.4 0.9 | 2.9 | 0.9 0.8 | 0.3 |
| Boys |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 65.3 | 22.8 | 5.6 | 2.9 | 0.7 | 1.8 | 0.7 | 0.2 |
|  | 72.4 51.8 | 15.7 <br> 32.4 | 5.58.1 | 3.6 | 0.7 | 1.6 | 0.4 | 0.1 |
|  | 51.8 55.1 | 32.4 31.0 |  |  |  |  | 0.7 | 0.10.20.2 |
| 9 years | 66.6 | 24.4 | 5.0 | 2.4 1.9 | 0.5 | 0.6 | 0.8 |  |
| 10 years- | 73.2 | 14.8 | 3.6 | 2.62.4 | 1.1 | 3.3 |  | 0.2 |
| 11 years- |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1.2 | 0.4 |
| Girls |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 60.6 | 25.5 | 6.2 | 3.8 | 0.9 | 2.1 | 0.6 | 0.3 |
|  | 65.5 | 20.5 | 6.9 | 4.2 | 1.3 | 1.6 | - | 0.3 |
| 7 years-- | 48.12 | 35.4 | 7.4 7.9 | 4.2 | 1.6 | 2.4 | 0.6 | 0.3 |
| 8 years- | 55.2 61.9 | 29.2 25.6 | 7.9 4.4 | 3.9 | 1.0 | 1.6 2.8 | 0.7 |  |
| 10 years | 63.8 | 22.8 | 5.8 | 4.0 | 0.5 | 1.8 | 1.3 |  |
| 11 years- | 69.7 | 19.0 | 4.8 | 2.7 | 0.7 | 2.5 | 0.5 | 0.1 |
| Both sexes | Cumulative percentage |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 63.0 | 87.1 | 93.0 | 96.3 | 97.1 | 99.1 | 99.8 | 100.0 |
|  | 69.0 | 87.084.1 | 93.291.8 | 97.196.0 | 98.1 | 99.7 | 99.9 | 100.0 |
|  | 50.155.1 |  |  |  | 97.1 | 99.2 | 99.8 | 100.0 |
| 8 years- |  | 85.2 | 93.1 | 96.396.7 | 96.997.5 | 98.6 | 99.4 100.0 |  |
| 9 years- | 64.368.7 | $\begin{aligned} & 89.3 \\ & 89: 1 \end{aligned}$ | 94.093.6 |  |  | 99.299.1 | 99.9 | 100.0 |
| 10 years- |  |  |  | 96.7 96.9 | 97.5 97.3 |  | 100.0 | 100.0 |
|  | 71.4 | 88.3 | 92.5 | 95.1 | 96.0 | 98.9 | 99.7 | 100.0 |
| Boys |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | 65.3 | 88.1 | 93.7 | 96.6 | 97.3 | 99.1 | 99.8 | 100.0 |
|  | 72.4 | 88.184.2 | 93.692.3 | 97.2 | 97.997.3 | 99.599.2 | 99.9 | 100.0 |
| 7 years- | 51.8 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 100.0 |
| 8 years- | 55.1 | 86.1 | 94.1 | 96.5 | 97.2 | 98.9 | 99.8 | 100.0 |
| 9 yearsm- | 66.6 | 91.0 | 96.0 | 97.9 | 98.4 | 99.0 | 99.8 | 100.0 |
| 10 years | 73.4 | 91.5 | 94.7 | 97.3 | 97.7 | 99.5 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
|  | 73.2 | 88.0 | 91.6 | 94.0 | 95.1 | 98.4 | 99.6 | 100.0 |
| Gir1s |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 60.6 | 86.1 | 92.3 | 96.1 | 97.0 | 99.1 | 99.7 | 100.0 |
| 6 years | 65.5 | 883.0 | 92.990.9 | 97.1 | 98.4 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| 7 years---- | 48.1 |  |  |  | 96.7 | 99.1 | 99.7 | 100.0 |
| 8 y years-- | 55.2 | 84.4 | 92.3 | 96.2 | 96.6 | 98.2 | 98.9 | 100.0 |
|  | 61.9 | 187.5 | 91.9 | 95.5 | 96.5 | 99.3 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
|  | 63.8 | 86.688.7 | 92.493.5 | 96.496.2 | 96.996.9 | 98.799.4 | 100.099.9 | $\begin{aligned} & 1000 \\ & 100.0 \end{aligned}$ |
|  | 69.7 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Table 7. Percentage of children, 6-11 years, reaching or reaching and exceeding specified acuity levels for uncorrected monocular near acuity in the right eye, by sex and age: United States, 1963-65


Table 8. Percentage of children, 6-11 years, reaching or reaching and exceeding specified acuity levels for uncorrected monocular near acuity in the left eye, by sex and age: United States, 1963-65

| Sex and age | Acuity level |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & 14 / 14 \\ & \text { or } \\ & \text { better } \end{aligned}$ | 14/17.5 | 14/21 | 14/28 | 14/35 | $\begin{gathered} 14 / 49 \\ \text { and } \\ 14 / 70 \end{gathered}$ | 14/140 | $\begin{gathered} \text { Less } \\ \text { than } \\ 14 / 140 \end{gathered}$ |
| Both sexes | Percentage |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| A11 ages, 6-11 years---------------------------- | 52.7 | 29.2 | 8.2 | 3.9 | 1.6 | 2.8 | 1.0 | 0.6 |
|  | 62.2 | 20.2 | 8.7 | 3.9 | 1.7 | 2.8 | - 0.4 | 0.1 |
|  | 41.0 | 37.2 | 10.7 | 5.2 | 1.7 | 2.9 | 0.9 | 0.4 |
|  | 43.7 | 36.6 | 9.5 | 4.2 | 1.3 | 2.6 | 0.9 | 1.2 |
|  | 51.8 | 31.4 | 7.6 | 3.9 | 1.0 | 2.9 | 1.1 | 0.3 |
|  | 57.4 | 26.8 | 6.0 | 3.4 | 1.6 | 2.7 | 1.4 | 0.7 |
|  | 60.4 | 21.4 | 6.7 | 4.2 | 1.5 | 3.5 | 1.2 | 1.1 |
| Boys |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| A11 ages, 6-11 years---------------------------- | 55.7 | 27.4 | 7.7 | 3.8 | 1.5 | 2.3 | 1.0 | 0.6 |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & 65.9 \\ & 43.3 \\ & 45.2 \\ & 54.3 \\ & 61.7 \\ & 64.3 \end{aligned}$ | 18.9 | 7.310.2 | 3.75.6 | 1.4 | 2.1 | 0.4 | 0.3 |
| 7 years- |  | 35.0 |  |  | 2.1 |  | 0.9 | 0.3 |
| 8 years |  | 35.6 | 9.3 | 3.4 | 1.7 | 2.8 | 0.9 | 1.1 |
| 9 years- |  | 29.5 | 8.7 | 3.6 | 1.0 | 1.7 | 0.9 | 0.3 |
| 10 years |  | 26.1 | 4.5 | 3.0 | 1.0 | 2.1 | 0.9 | 0.7 |
| 11 years- |  | 18.9 | 5.9 | 3.0 | 2.1 | 2.6 | 2.0 | 1.2 |
| Girls |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| A11 ages, 6-11 years---------------------------- | 49.7 | 31.1 | 8.6 | 4.1 | 1.6 | 3.3 | 1.1 | 0.5 |
|  | 58.5 | 21.5 | 10.1 | 4.0 | 2.1 | 3.5 | 0.3 | 0 |
| 7 years | 38.6 | 39.4 | 11.2 | 4.8 | 1.4 | 3.2 | 0.8 | 0.6 |
| 8 years | 42.2 | 37.5 | 9.8 | 5.0 | 1.0 | 2.4 | 0.8 | 1.3 |
| $9{ }_{10}$ years ${ }^{\text {years }}$ | 49.3 53.0 | 33.3 27.4 | 6.6 | 4.1 | $\frac{1}{2.1}$ | 4.1 3.3 | 1.4 | 0.2 0.8 |
| 11 years- | 56.8 | 27.2 | 6.4 | 2.5 | 2.2 | 3.3 | 1.2 | 0.4 |
| Both sexes | Cumulative percentage |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 52.7 | 81.9 | 90.1 | 94.0 | 95.6 | 98.4 | 99.4 | 100.0 |
|  | 62.2 | 82.4 | 91.1 | 95.0 | 96.7 | 99.5 | 99.9 | 100.0 |
|  | 41.0 | 78.2 | 88.9 | 94.1 | 95.8 | 98.7 | 99.6 | 100.0 |
| 8 years- | 43.7 | 80.3 | 89.8 | 94.0 | 95.3 | 97.9 | 98.8 | 100.0 |
| 9 years- | 51.8 | 83.2 | 90.8 | 94.7 | 95.7 | 98.6 | 99.7 | 100.0 |
| 10 years | 57.4 | 84.2 | 90.2 | 93.6 | 95.2 | 97.9 | 99.3 | 100.0 |
| 11 years- | 60.4 | 81.8 | 88.5 | 92.7 | 94.2 | 97.7 | 98.9 | 100.0 |
| Boys |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 55.7 | 83.1 | 90.8 | 94.6 | 96.1 | 98.4 | 99.4 | 100.0 |
|  | 65.9 | 84.8 | 92.1 | 95.8 | 97.2 | 99.3 | 99.7 | 100.0 |
| 7 years- | 43.3 | 78.3 | 88.5 | 94.1 | 96.2 | 98.8 | 99.7 | 100.0 |
| 8 years | 45.2 | 80.8 | 90.1 | 93.5 | 95.2 | 98.0 | 98.9 | 100.0 |
| 9 years- | 54.3 | 83.8 | 92.5 | 96.1 | 97.1 | 98.8 | 99.7 | 100.0 |
| 10 years- | 61.7 | 87.8 | 92.3 | 95.3 | 96.3 | 98.4 | 99.3 | 100.0 |
| 11 years------ | 64.3 | 83.2 | 89.1 | 92.1 | 94.2 | 96.8 | 98.8 | 100.0 |
| Gix1s |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 49.7 | 80.8 | 89.4 | 93.5 | 95.1 | 98.4 | 99.5 | 100.0 |
|  | 58.5 | 80.0 | 90.1 | 94.1 | 96.2 | 99.7 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| 7 years | 38.6 | 78.0 | 89.2 | 94.0 | 95.4 | 98.6 | 99.4 | 100.0 |
| 8 years | 42.2 | 79.7 | 89.5 | 94.5 | 95.5 | 97.9 | 98.7 | 100.0 |
| 9 years-- | 49.3 | 82.6 | 89.1 | 93.2 | 94.3 | 98.4 | 99.8 | 100.0 |
| 10 years | 53.0 | 80.4 | 88.0 | 91.9 | 94.0 | 97.3 | 99.2 | 100.0 |
|  | 56.8 | 84.0 | 90.4 | 92.9 | 95.1 | 98.4 | 99.6 | 100.0 |

Table 9. Percentage of children, 6-11 years, reaching or reaching and exceeding specified acuity levels for uncorrected binocular distance acuity on the Master Ortho-rater letter slides, by sex and age: United States, 1963-65

| Sex and age | Aculty level |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{gathered} 20 / 12 \\ \text { or } \\ \text { bettex } \end{gathered}$ | 20/15 | 20/17 | 20/20 | 20/25 | 20/30 | 20/40 | 20/50 | 20/70 | 20/100 | 20/200 | $\begin{gathered} \text { Less } \\ \text { than } \\ 20 / 200 \end{gathered}$ |
| Both sexes | Pexcentage |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| All ages, $6-11$ years- | 2.2 | 18.3 | 26.7 | 27.6 | 9.6 | 3.5 | 3.7 | 1.4 | 2.7 | 1.3 | 2.1 | 0.9 |
|  | - | 6.86.9 | 18.422.5 | 42.541.3 | 15.715.6 | 6.53.7 | 6.54.5 | 1.4 | 1.32.8 | 0.30.5 | 0.4 | 0.2 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0.9 |  |  | 1.0 | 0.3 |
|  | 1.3 | 14.2 | 27.0 | 32.9 | 10.8 | 4.4 | 3.1 | 1.7 | 1.7 | 0.9 | 1.1 | 0.9 |
|  | 1.83.4 | 21.0 | 32.2 | $\begin{aligned} & 23.0 \\ & 22.3 \end{aligned}$ |  | 3.32.1 | 3.52.9 | 1.1 | 1.9 | 1.2 | 1.9 | 0.7 |
|  |  | 24.3 | 27.7 |  | $\begin{aligned} & 8.4 \\ & 6.6 \\ & 6.3 \end{aligned}$ |  |  | 1.5 | 3.5 | 2.0 | 2.9 | 0.8 |
|  | 4.7 | 25.4 | 25.0 | 18.2 |  | 3.3 | $3.7$ | 2.0 | 3.7 | 2.1 | 3.6 |  |
| Boys |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| All ages, 6-11 years- | 3.3 | 20.5 | 26.7 | 26.6 | 8.9 | 3.0 | 3.5 | 2.5 | 2.3 | 1.1 | 2.0 | 0.6 |
|  | - | 7.8 | 21.0 | 47.1 | 10.7 | 6.5 | 3.7 | 1.0 | 0.9 | 0.6 | 1.4 | 0.70.2 |
|  | - | 7.4 | 24.8 | 38.7 | 15.610.5 | 3.6 | 4.0 | 0.7 | 2.9 | 0.7 |  |  |
|  | 1.92.4 | 17.2 | 26.3 | 31.0 |  | 3.7 | 3.8 | 2.2 | 1.2 | 0.3 | 1.5 | 0.2 |
| 9 yearsm-----m-n-m-n-m--- |  | 23.3 | 31.9 | 24.0 | 6.8 | 2.4 | 3.1 | 1.0 | 1.8 | 1.3 | 1.4 | 0.6 |
| 10 years | 5.47.0 | 27.726.2 | $\begin{aligned} & 26.7 \\ & 24.4 \end{aligned}$ | 20.2 |  | 1.72.7 | 3.0 | 1.6 | 2.7 | 1.6 | 2.3 | 0.5 |
| 11. years |  |  |  |  | 6.5 |  | 3.7 | 1.8 | 3.2 | 1.8 | 3.6 | 1.7 |
| Girls |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Al1 ages, 6-11 years- | 1.0 | 16.1 | 26.6 | 28.7 | 10.3 | 4.1 | 3.8 | 1.4 | 3.0 | 1.6 | 2.21 .2 |  |
| 6 years-------------------- | - | 6.0 | 16.3 | 38.8 | 19.6 | 6.5 | 8.7 | 1.8 | 1.7 | - | 0.6 | - |
| 7 years---------m-------- | - | 6.4 | 20.3 | 43.7 | 15.7 | 3.8 | 4.9 | 1.1 | 2.7 | 0.3 | 0.7 | 0.4 |
| 8 years---u--n------------ | 0.6 | 11.1 | 27.8 | 34.6 | 11.2 | 5.2 | 2.4 | 1.2 | 2.1 | 1.6 | 0.8 | 1.4 |
| 9 years | 1.1 | 18.8 | 32.4 | 21.8 | 10.1 | 4.3 | 3.9 | 1.1 | 2.0 | 1.2 | 2.5 | 0.8 |
| 10 years | 1.4 | 20.5 | 28.7 | 24.6 | 6.6 | 2.6 | 2.9 | 1.3 | 4.4 | 2.4 | 3.5 | 1.1 |
| 11 yearsm-m-n-m----------- | 2.3 | 24.6 | 25.6 | 18.9 | 6.2 | 3.9 | 3.7 | 2.2 | 4.2 | 2.5 | 3.6 | 2.3 |
| Both sexes |  |  |  |  | Cum | lative | perce | ge |  |  |  |  |
| All ages, 6-11 years- | 2.2 | 20.5 | 47.2 | 74.8 | 84.4 | 87.9 | 91.6 | 93.0 | 95.7 | 97.0 | 99.1 | 100.0 |
| 6 years----------m-------- | 0.0 | 6.8 | 25.2 | 67.7 | $\begin{aligned} & 83.4 \\ & 86.3 \\ & 86.2 \\ & 86.4 \\ & 84.3 \\ & 79.6 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 89.9 \\ & 90.0 \\ & 90.6 \\ & 89.7 \\ & 86.4 \\ & 82.9 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 96.4 \\ 94.5 \\ 93.7 \\ 93.2 \\ 89.3 \\ 86.6 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 97.8 \\ & 95.4 \\ & 95.4 \\ & 94.3 \\ & 90.8 \\ & 88.6 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 99.1 \\ & 98.2 \\ & 97.1 \\ & 96.2 \\ & 94.3 \\ & 92.3 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 99.4 \\ & 98.7 \\ & 98.0 \\ & 97.4 \\ & 96.3 \\ & 94.4 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 99.8 \\ & 99.7 \\ & 99.1 \\ & 99.3 \\ & 99.2 \\ & 98.0 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 100.0 \\ & 100.0 \\ & 100.0 \\ & 100.0 \\ & 100.0 \\ & 100.0 \end{aligned}$ |
| 7 years--w--------m------ | 0.0 | 6.9 | 29.4 | 70.7 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 8 years | 1.3 | 15.5 | 42.5 | 75.4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 1.8 | 22.8 | 55.0 | 78.0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 10 years | 3.4 | 27.7 | 55.4 | 77.7 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 11 years------------------10- | 4.7 | 30.1 | 55.1 | 73.3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Boys |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| A11 ages, 6-11 years- | 3.3 | 23.8 | 50.5 | 77.1 | 86.0 | 89.0 | 92.5 | 94.0 | 96.3 | 97.4 | 99.4 | 100.0 |
|  | 0.0 | 7.8 | 28.8 | 75.9 | 86.6 | 93.1 | 96.8 | 97.8 | 98.7 | 99.3 | 99.3 | 100.0 |
| 7 years------------------- | 0.0 | 7.4 | 32.2 | 70.9 | 86.5 | 90.1 | 94.1 | 94.8 | 97.7 | 98.4 | 99.8 | 100.0 |
|  | 1.9 | 19.1 | 45.4 | 76.4 | 86.9 | 90.6 | 94.4 | 96.6 | 97.8 | 98.1 | 99.6 | 100.0 |
|  | 2.4 | 25.7 | 57.6 | 81.6 | 88.4 | 90.8 | 93.9 | 94.9 | 96.7 | 98.0 | 99.4 | 100.0 |
|  | 5.4 | 33.1 | 59.8 | 80.0 | 86.6 | 88.3 | 91.3 | 92.9 | 95.6 | 97.2 | 99.5 | 100.0 |
|  | 7.0 | 33.2 | 57.6 | 75.0 | 81.5 | 84.2 | 87.9 | 89.7 | 92.9 | 94.7 | 98.3 | 100.0 |
| Girls |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| All ages, 6-11 years- | 1.0 | 17.1 | 43.7 | 72.4 | 82.7 | 86.8 | 90.6 | 92.0 | 95.0 | 96.6 | 98.8 | 100.0 |
| 6 year8--------m----------- | 0.0 | 6.0 | 22.3 | 61.1 | 80.7 | 87.2 | 95.9 | 97.7 | 99.4 | 99.4 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
|  | 0.0 | 6.4 | 26.7 | 70.4 | 86.1 | 89.9 | 94.8 | 95.9 | 98.6 | 98.9 | 99.6 | 100.0 |
|  | 0.6 | 11.7 | 39.5 | 74.1 | 85.3 | 90.5 | 92.9 | 94.1 | 96.2 | 97.8 | 98.6 | 100.0 |
| 9 years--------------------- | 1.1 | 19.9 | 52.3 | 74.1 | 84.2 | 88.5 | 92.4 | 93.5 | 95.5 | 96.7 | 99.2 | 100.0 |
|  | 1.4 | 21.9 | 50.6 | 75.2 | 81.8 | 84.4 | 87.3 | 88.6 | 93.0 | 95.4 | 98.9 | 100.0 |
|  | 2.3 | 26.9 | 52.5 | 71.4 | 77.6 | 81.5 | 85.2 | 87.4 | 91.6 | 94.1 | 97.7 | 100.0 |

Table 10. Percentage of children, 6-11 years, reaching or reaching and exceeding specified acuity levels for uncorrected binocular distance acuity on the Landolt rings chart, by sex and age: United States, 1963-65


Table 11. Percentage of children, 6-11 years, reaching specified acuity levels for uncorrected binocular near acuity on the Master Ortho-rater letter slides, by sex and age: United States, 1963-65


Table 12. Percentage of children, 6-11 years, reaching specified acuity levels for uncorrected binocular near acuity on the Landolt rings chart, by sex and age: United States 1963-65


## APPENDIX 1

## STATISTICAL NOTES

## The Survey Design

The sample design for the second cycle of the Health Examination Survey, similar to the one used for the first cycle, was that of a multistage, stratified probability sample of loose clusters of persons in land-based segments. Successive elements dealt with in the process of sampling are primary sampling unit (PSU), census enumeration district (ED), segment, household, eligible child (EC), and finally, the sample child (SC).

At the first stage, the nearly 2,000 PSU's into which the United States (including Hawaii and Alaska) has been divided and then grouped into 357 strata for use in the Current Population Survey and the Health Interview Survey were further grouped into 40 superstrata for use in Cycle II of the Health Examination Survey. The average size of each Cycle II stratum was 4.5 million persons, and all fell between the limits of 3.5 and 5.5 million. Grouping into 40 strata was done in a way that maximized homogeneity of the PSU's included in each stratum, particularly with regard to degree of urbanization, geographic proximity, and degree of industrialization. The 40 strata were classified into four broad geographic regions (each with 10 strata) of approximately equal population and cross-classified into four broad population density groups (each having 10 strata). Each of the 16 cells contained either two or three strata. A single stratum might include only one PSU (or only part of a PSU as for example New York City which represented two strata) or several score PSU's.

To take account of the possible effect that the rate of population change between the 1950 census and 1960 census might have had on health, the 10 strata within each region were further classified into four classes ranging from those with no increase to those with the greatest relative increase. Each such class contained either two or three strata.

One PSU was then selected from each of the 40 strata. A controlled selection technique was used in which the probability of selection of a particular PSU was proportional to its 1960 population. In the controlled selection an attempt was also made to maximize the spread of the PSU's among the States. While not every one of the 64 cells in the $4 \times 4 \times 4$ grid contributes a

PSU to the sample of 40 PSU's, the controlled selection technique ensured the sample's matching the marginal distributions in all three dimensions and being closely representative of all cross-classifications.

Generally, within a particular PSU, 20 ED's were selected with the probability of selection of a particular ED proportional to its population in the age group $5-9$ years in the 1960 census, which by 1963 roughly approximated the population in the target age group for Cycle II. A similar method was used for selecting one segment (cluster of households) in each ED. Each of the resultant 20 segments was either a bounded area or a cluster of households (or addresses). All of the children in the age range properly resident at the address visited were EC. Operational considerations made it necessary to reduce the number of prospective examinees at any one location to a maximum of 200 . The EC to be excluded for this reason from the SC group was determined by systematic subsampling.

The total sample included 7,417 children in the 6-11-year-age group with approximately 1,000 in each of the single years of age and from 25 different States.

## Reliability

Measurement processes employed in the survey were highly standarized and closely controlled. Of course this does not mean that the correspondence between the real world and the survey results is exact. Data from the survey are imperfect for three major reasons: (1) results are subject to sampling error, (2) the actual conduct of a survey never agrees perfectly with the design, and (3) the measurement processes themselves are inexact even though standardized and controlled.

The first report on Cycle II $^{4}$ describes in detail the faithfuilness with which the sampling design was carried out. It notes that out of the 7,417 sample children, the 7,119 who were examined-a response rate of 96 percent-gave evidence that they were a highly representative sample of children of this age in the noninstitutional population of the United States. The response levels for the various demographic subgroups, including those for age, sex, race, region, population density, parent's educational level, and family in.
come, show no marked differentials. Hence, it appears unlikely that nonresponse could bias the findings much in these respects.

Measures used to control the quality of data from this survey in general have been cited previously; ${ }^{4}$ those relating specifically to the vision tests are outlined in an earlier section of this report.

Data recorded for each sample child are inflated in the estimation process to characterize the larger universe of which the sample child is representative. The weights used in this inflation process are a product of the reciprocal of the probability of selecting the child, an adjustment for nonresponse cases, and a post-stratified ratio adjustment which increases precision by bringing survey results into closer alignment with known U.S. population figures by color and sex for single years of age, 6-11.

In the second cycle of the Health Examination Survey the sample was the result of three stages of selec-tion-the single PSU from each stratum, the 20 segments from each sample PSU, and the sample children from the eligible children. The probability of selecting an individual child is the product of the probability of selection at each stage.

Since the strata are roughly equal in population size and a nearly equal number of sample children were examined in each of the sample PSU's, the sample design is essentially self-weighting with respect to the target population, that is, each child 6-11 years of age had about the same probability of being drawn into the sample.

The adjustment upward for nonresponse is intended to minimize the impact of nonresponse on final estimates by imputing to nonrespondents the characteristics of "similar" respondents. Here "similar" respondents were judged to be examined children in a sample PSU having the same age (in years) and sex as children not examined in that sample PSU.

The post-stratified ratio adjustment used in the second cycle achieved most of the gains in precision which would have been attained if the sample had been drawn from a population stratified by age, color, and sex, and makes the final sample estimates of population agree exactly with independent controls prepared by the Bureau of the Census for the U.S. noninstitutional population as of August 1, 1964 (approximate midsurvey point) by color and sex for each single year of age 6-11. The weights of every responding sample child in each of the 24 age, color, and sex classes is adjusted upwards or downwards so that the weighted total within the class equals the independent population control.

In addition to children not examined at all, there were some whose examination was incomplete in one procedure or another. The extent of missing data for the visual acuity test is shown in table I.

## Sampling and Measurement Error

In the present report, reference has been made to efforts to minimize bias and variability of measurement techniques.

The probability design of the survey makes possible the calculation of sampling errors. The sampling error is used here to determine how imprecise the survey test results may be because they come from a sample rather than from the measurements of all elements in the universe.

The estimation of sampling errors for a study of the type of the Health Examination Survey is difficult for at least three reasons: (1) measurement error and "pure" sampling error are confounded in the data-itis not easy to find a procedure which will either completely include both or treat one or the other separately, (2) the survey design and estimation procedure are complex and accordingly require computationally involved techniques for the calculation of variances, and (3) from

Table I. Number of examinees and extent of missing visual acuity tests, by age: Health Examination Survey, 1963-65

| Item missing | Total | $\stackrel{6}{\text { years }}$ | $\stackrel{7}{\text { years }}$ | $\stackrel{8}{\text { years }}$ | $\stackrel{9}{\text { years }}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 10 \\ & \text { years } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 11 \\ & \text { years } \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Number of examinees |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| All examinee | 7,119 | 1,111 | 1,241 | 1,231 | 1,184 | 1,160 | 1,192 |
| Not given vision battery- | 36 | 12 | 10 | 4 | 4 | 5 | 1 |
| Parts only missing: Distance |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Right eye--- | 87 | 43 | 20 | 10 | 5 | 6 |  |
| Left eye- | 89 | 46 | 18 | 9 | 5 | 7 | 4 |
| Binocular- | 69 | 32 | 16 | 7 | 4 | 6 | 4 |
| Near |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Right eye | 70 | 32 | 18 | 9 | 4 | 5 |  |
| Left eye- | 72 | 35 | 18 | 7 | 3 | 6 | 3 |
| Binocular- | 60 | 27 | 15 | 7 | 3 | 5 |  |

the survey are coming thousands of statistics, many for subclasses of the population for which there are a small number of cases. Estimates of sampling error are obtained from the sample data and are themselves subject to sampling error when the number of cases in a cell is small or even occasionally when the number of cases is substantial.

Estimates of approximate sampling variability for selected statistics used in this report are presented in table II. These estimates have been prepared by a replication technique which yields overall variability through observation of variability among random subsamples of the total sample. The method reflects both "pure" sampling variance and a part of the measurement variance.

In accordance with usual practice, the interval estimate for any statistic may be considered the range within one standard error of the tabulated statistic, with 68 -percent confidence; or the range within two standard errors of the tabulated statistic, with 95 -percent confidence. The latter is used as the level of significance in this report.

An overestimate of the standard error of a difference $d=\underline{x}-\underline{y}$ of two statistics $\underline{x}$ and $\underline{y}$ is given by the formula $S_{d}=\left(S_{x}^{2}+S_{y}^{2}\right)^{1 / 2}$ where $S_{x}$ and $S_{y}$ are the sampling errors, respectively of $x$ and $y$, shown in table II.

## Small Categories

In some tables magnitudes are shown for cells for which the sample size is so small that the sampling error may be several times as great as the statistic itself. Obviously, in such instances the statistic has no meaning in itself except to indicate that the true quantity is small. Such numbers, if shown, have been included in the belief that they may help to convey an impression of the overall story of the table.

Table II. Standard error expressed in percentage for percent of persons with a specified acuity level: United States, 1963-55

| Test and age | Prevalence in percentage |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & 1 \\ & \text { or } \\ & 99 \end{aligned}$ | 5 or 95 | 10 or 90 | 25 or 75 | 50 |
| Both tests | Standard error in percentage points |  |  |  |  |
| Al1 ages, 6-11. years--- | $\begin{array}{l\|l\|l\|l\|l} 0.3 & 0.5 & 0.8 & 1.0 & 1.5 \end{array}$ |  |  |  |  |
| 6 years | 0.5 | 0.8 | 1.2 | 1.6 | 2.2 |
| 7 years | 0.5 | 0.8 | 1.2 | 1.6 | 2.2 |
| 8 years | 0.5 | 0.8 | 1.2 | 1.6 | 2.2 |
| 9 years | 0.5 | 0.8 | 1.2 | 1.6 | 2.2 |
| 10 years | 0.5 | 0.8 | 1.2 | 1.6 | 2.2 |
| 11 years | 0.5 | 0.8 | 1.2 | 1.6 | 2.2 |
| Letter test |  |  |  |  |  |
| All ages, 6-11 years..- | 0.3 | 0.5 | 0.8 | 1.0 | 1.5 |
| 6 years------------- | 0.9 | 2.0 | 3.0 | 4.0 | 5.0 |
| 7 years-------------- | 0.8 | 1.0 | 1.5 | 2.5 | 3.0 |
| 8 years------------- | 0.6 | 0.8 | 1.2 | 1.6 | 2.2 |
| 9 years------------- | 0.5 | 0.8 | 1.2 | 1.6 | 2.2 |
| 10 years------------- | 0.5 | 0.8 | 1.2 | 1.6 | 2.2 |
| 11 years------------ | 0.5 | 0.8 | 1.2 | 1.6 | 2.2 |
| Landolt ring |  |  |  |  |  |
| All ages, 6-11 years--- | 0.4 | 0.9 | 1.6 | 2.1 | 2.8 |
| 6 years----n-------- | 0.6 | 1.2 | 1.9 | 2.5 | 3.0 |
| 7 years-------------- | 0.9 | 1.7 | 3.5 | 4.5 | 6.0 |
| 8 years------------- | * | * | \# | * | * |
| 9 years | * | * | * | * | * |
| 10 years | * | * | $\stackrel{*}{*}$ | * | * |
| 11 years------------ | * | * | * | * | * |

## APPENDIX II

## TARGET SPECIFICATIONS FOR VISION TESTING

## Scoring Sheets Used for Master Ortho-Rater Test

## health examination survey-il <br> VISION-DISTANCE

VISION TESTS (without glasses) Check tests given first. $\square$ FarNear (Odd numbers far first; even numbers near first) DIAL

| 2. MONOCULAR DISTANCE-SMALL* |  |  |  | 3. MONOCULAR DISTANCE-LARGE* (Onit if aoore on Dielis) |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Line | Right eye | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Score } \\ & \text { (Cheek) } \end{aligned}$ | Left eye Score | Line | Right eye | Score | Left eyo | Score |
| 5 | VHDNS | OZKRC ...-... 50 | CDZNO KSRVH ...... 50 | 1 | SDK | ---.-. 400 | VNC | -----. 400 |
| 6 | DVZNC | SRHKO .---. 40 | CNRKH ZVSDO ...... 40 | 21 | RCszo [ |  | OZNKS $\}$ | 200 |
| 7 | KNZCO | SRDHV .---- 30 | DVHCK OZNSR .-.-.. 30 | 2 , | Knhov | .-200 | DRHCV |  |
| 8 | KNDRS | ZVCOH ----. 25 | CDKRO SZVNH ...... 25 | 3 | HNZOS | .---. 100 | RZOHC | KSNDV .-.. 100 |
| 9 | VZCHD | KNRSO ...-. 20 | CVHSZ ORKDN .-. 20 | 4 | ZHODC | ------ 70 | RKNCZ | HSDVO ...... 70 |
| 10 | -KZSVN | HCRDO | $\left.\begin{array}{\|cccc} \text { DNVHS } & \text { OKRCZ } & \ldots \ldots & 17 \\ \text { ZHODC } & \text { SVNKR } & \ldots \ldots & 15 \\ \text { KHOZD } & \text { CSNVR } & \ldots-\ldots & 12 \end{array} \right\rvert\,$ | CODE .------. |  |  |  | CODE ..--------- |
| 11 | RCSNV | KDHOZ -.... 15 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 12 | ROKHZ | NSCVD ...... 12 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3A: BINOCULAR DISTANCE-SMALL** |  |  |  | 4A. BINOCULAR DISTANCE-LARGE* (Omitif moore on Dhal SA) |  |  |  |  |
|  | Line |  | Score |  | Line |  |  | Score |
|  | 5 | OSDNH | VKZCR - $\quad .50$ |  | 1 | KDS |  | ---400 |
|  | 6 | RHZCD | OSVKN -...-. 40 |  | 2 | zSKco |  |  |
|  | 7 | SVNHO | KCRDZ ...... 30 |  | 2 , | VRHDN |  | .-.... 200 |
|  | 8 | RHSCK | OZDVN .-.. 25 |  | 3 | ZNSKH | VORĊO | --. 100 |
|  | 9 | OZRVN | HSCKD -..... 20 |  | 4 | OZCRH | NSKDV |  | DRHVN ZSKCO

$\ldots-17$
OSKCV RZHDN
SKHDN OCVRZ ....... 12

[^2]

HEALTH EXAMINATION SURVEY-II
VISION
LANDOLT RING VISUAL ACUITY TESTS

DISTANCE TESTS* (at 10 feet)

| LINE (Code) | RIGHT EYE | SCORE (Check) | Left eye | SCORE | binocular | SCORE |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | UDLUR | -----. 200 | UDLUR | --... 200 | UDLUR | ----. 200 |
| 2 | RURDL | -----. 100 | RURDL | -----. 100 | RURDL | --.-.-. 100 |
| 3 | DLDRL | ------ 71.4 | DLDRL | --.... 71.4 | DLDRL | ---- 71.4 |
| 4 | LRDLU | ...... 50 | LRDLU | .----- 50 | LRDLU | ..-... 50 |
| 5 | URUDL | .---.- 39.3 | URUDL | ------ 39.3 | URUDL | ----- 39.3 |
| 6 | DUDRL | .---.. 28.6 | DUDRL | ------ 28.6 | DUDRL | .-...- 28.6 |
| 7 | UDRLD | ------ 25 | UDRLD | ----.. 25 | UDRLD | .-.-.. 25 |
| 8 | RULDL | .-.-.. 21.4 | RULDL | -.... 21.4 | RULDL | ---.. 21.4 |
| 9 | LUDLR | ----. 17.9 | LUDLR | .---. 17.9 | LUDLR | ------ 17.9 |
| 10 | ULDRU | ------ 14.3 | ULDRU | ..--.. 14.3 | ULDRU | .-.-.- 14.3 |
| 11 | DURLU | .-.-. 10.7 | DURLU | --.-. 10.7 | DURLU | ----- 10.7 |
| CODE: |  |  | CODE: |  | CODE: |  |

NEAR TESTS* (at 14 inches)

| LINE (Code) | RIGHT EYE | SCORE (Check) | LEFT EYE | SCORE | BINOCULAR | SCORE |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | RUDLD | ---... 200 | RUDLD | ...... 200 | RUDLD | ------ 200 |
| 2 | DLRDR | ...... 160 | DLRDR | ----. 160 | DLRDR | ...... 160 |
| 3 | RDURU | ----- 125 | RDURU | -----. 125 | RDURU | --.-.. 125 |
| 4 | UDRUR | ------ 100 | UDRUR | ---. 100 | UDRUR | ----- 100 |
| 5 | RLDLU | ...... 80 | RLDLU | ...-. 80 | RLDLU | ------ 80 |
| 6 | URLUD | ----- 60 | URLUD | --.-- 60 | URLUD | ----.. 60 |
| 7 | LDURD | --...- 50 | LDURD | .-.... 50 | LDURD | ----- 50 |
| 8 | DRDUL | ------ 40 | DRDUL | -----. 40 | DRDUL | .-... 40 |
| 9 | ULUDR | ---- 30 | ULUDR | ...... 30 | ULUDR | .-.-. 30 |
| 10 | DRURL | ---.. 25 | DRURL | ----- 25 | DRURL | ------ 25 |
| 11 | LDRUD | ------ 20 | LDRUD | ---.. 20 | LDRUD | ----. 20 |
| CODE: |  |  | CODE: |  | CODE: |  |

 Binocular distance toat without regular at levoel only.

| PHS-4611-6 (PAGE 5) | SAMPLE NO. |
| :--- | :--- |

Specifications of Optotype Size, in Snellen Notation, and Number of Optotypes Per Line for Targets Used in the Survey

| Snellen ratios for optotype size |  |  |  | Number of optotypes per line |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Master Ortho-rater |  | Landolt rings |  | Master Ortho-rater |  | Landolt rings |  |
| Distance | Near | Distance | Near | Distance | Near | D © stance | Near |
| 20/400 | 13/260 | --- | - | 3 | 3 | --- | --- |
| 20/200 | 13/130 | 10/100 | 14/140 | 10 | 10 | 5 |  |
|  |  | 10/80 | 14/112 | --- | 10 | 5 | 5 |
|  |  | 10/62.5 | 14/87.5 | - | -- | 5 | 5 |
| 20/100 | 13/65 | 10/50 | $14 / 70^{\circ}$ | 10 | 10 | 5 | 5 |
| 20/70 |  |  | 14/56 | - | --7 | -- | 5 |
| 20/70 | 13/45.5 | 10/35.7 | 14/42-7 | 10 | 10 | 5 | -- |
| 20/50 | 13/32.5 | 10/25 | 14/35 | 10 | 10 | 5 | 5 |
| 20/40 | 13/26 | 10/19.65 | 14/28 | 10 | 10 | 5 | 5 |
| 20/30 | $13 / 19.5$ | 10/14.3 | 14/21 | 10 | 10 | 5 | 5 |
| 20/25 | 13/16.25 | 10/12. 5 | 14/17.5 | 10 | 10 | 5 | 5 |
| 20/20 | 13/13 | 10/10.7 | 14/14 | 10 | 10 | 5 | 5 |
| 20/17 | 13/11.05 | 10/8.95 | - --- | 10 | 10 | 5 | -- |
| $20 / 15$ $20 / 12$ | $13 / 9.75$ $13 / 7.8$ | $10 / 7.65$ $10 / 5.35$ | --- | 10 10 | 10 10 | 5 | --- |
|  |  |  |  |  | 10 | 5 | -- |

# OUTLINE OF REPORT SERIES FOR VITAL AND HEALTH STATISTICS 

Public Health Service Publication No. 1000

Series 1. Programs and collection procedures.-Reports which describe the general programs of the National Center for Health Statistics and its offices and divisions, data collection methods used, definitions, and other material necessary for understanding the data.

Series 2. Data evaluation and methods research. - Studies of new statistical methodology including: experimental tests of new survey methods, studies of vital statistics collection methods, new analytical techniques, objective evaluations of reliability of collected data, contributions to statistical theory.

Series 3. Analytical studies.-Reports presenting analytical or interpretive studies based on vital and health statistics, carrying the analysis further than the expository types of reports in the other series.

Series 4. Documents and committee reports.=Final reports of major committees concerned with vital and health statistics, and documents such as recommended model vital registration laws and revised birth and death certificates.

Series 10. Data from the Health Interview Survey. - Statistics on illness, accidental injuries, disability, use of hospital, medical, dental, and other services, and other health-related topics, based on data collected in a continuing national household interview survey.

Series 11. Data from the Health Examination Survey. - Data from direct examination, testing, and measurement of national samples of the population provide the basis for two types of reports: (1) estimates of the medically defined prevalence of specific diseases in the United States and the distributions of the population with respect to physical, physiological, and psychological characteristics; and (2) analysis of.relationships among the various measurements without reference to an explicit finite unïverse of persons.

Series 12. Data from the Institutional Population Surveys.-Statistics relating to the health characteristics of persons in institutions, and on medical, nursing, and personal care received, based on national samples of establishments providing these services and samples of the residents or patients.

Series 13. Data from the Hospital Discharge Survey.-Statistics relating to discharged patients in short-stay hospitals, based on a sample of patient records in a national sample of hospitals.

Series 14. Data on health resources: manpower and facilities. -Statistics on the numbers, geographic distribution, and characteristics of health resources including physicians, dentists, nurses, other health manpower occupations, hospitals, nursing homes, and outpatient and other inpatient facilities.

Series 20. Data on mortatity.-Various statistics on mortality other than as included in annual or monthly reports-special analyses by cause of death, age, and other demographic variables, also geographic and time series analyses.
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