Adjusting Neonatal Mortality Rates for Birth Weight

This report describes how vital statistics can be used to compare birth weight distributions and neonatal mortality among small geographic areas. In particular, the characteristics of the Standardized Mortality Ratio obtained by applying indirect standardization to 1974-1974-77 neonatal mortality and birth weight data are emphasized. Summary tables are presented on geographic distribution of several measures, as well as detailed results for each of 202 Health Service Areas.

Data Evaluation and Methods Research Series 2, No. 94

DHHS Publication No. (PHS) 82-1368

U.S. Department of Health and Human Services Public Health Service National Center for Health Statistics Hyattsville, Md. August 1982

COPYRIGHT INFORMATION

All material appearing in this report is in the public domain and may be reproduced or copied without permission; citation as to source, however, is appreciated.

SUGGESTED CITATION

National Center for Health Statistics, J. E. Foster and J. C. Kleinman: Adjusting neonatal mortality rates for birth weight. Vital and Health Statistics. Series 2-No. 94. DHHS Pub. No. 82-1368. Public Health Service. Washington. U.S. Government Printing Office, September 1982.

Library of Congress Cataloging in Publication Data

Foster, Jean.

Adjusting neonatal mortality rates for birth weight.

(Vital and health statistics. Series 2, Data evaluation and methods research ; no. 94) (DHHS publication ; no. (PHS) 82-1368)

Report prepared by Jean E. Foster and Joel C. Kleinman. Includes bibliographical references.

1. Infants-Mortality. 2. Birth weight. 3. Infants-United States-Mortality. 4. Birth weight-United States-Statistics. I. Kleinman, Joel C. II. National Center for Health Statistics (U.S.) III. Title. IV. Series. V. Series: DHHS publication ; no. (PHS) 82-1368. [DNLM: 1. Infant mortality-United States. 2. Birth weight. W2 A N148vb no. 94]

RA409.U45 no. 94	312'.0723s [618.92'01]	82-8169 [RJ59]
ISBN 0-8406-0254-5		

National Center for Health Statistics

ROBERT A. ISRAEL, Acting Director

JACOB J. FELDMAN, Ph.D., Associate Director for Analysis and Epidemiology

GAIL F. FISHER, Ph.D., Associate Director for the Cooperative Health Statistics System

GARRIE J. LOSEE, Associate Director for Data Processing and Services

ALVAN O. ZARATE, Ph.D., Assistant Director for International Statistics

E. EARL BRYANT, Associate Director for Interview and Examination Statistics

ROBERT L. QUAVE, Associate Director for Management

MONROE G. SIRKEN, Ph.D., Associate Director for Research and Methodology

PETER L. HURLEY, Associate Director for Vital and Health Care Statistics

ALICE HAYWOOD, Information Officer

Division of Analysis

JOEL C. KLEINMAN, Ph.D., Director

Cooperation of the U.S. Bureau of the Census

Under the legislation establishing the National Health Survey, the Public Health Service is authorized to use, insofar as possible, the services or facilities of other Federal, State, or private agencies.

In accordance with specifications established by the National Center for Health Statistics, the U.S. Bureau of the Census participated in the design and selection of the sample and carried out the household interview stage of the data collection and certain parts of the statistical processing.

Contents

Introduction	1
Relationship between birth weight and mortality	2
Causes of low birth weight	6
Components of neonatal mortality rates	7
Definition of measures	8 9
Distribution of measures. 1 Relationship among measures. 1	1 1
Examples	4 4 4
References	6
List of detailed tables	7
Appendixes	
Contents	2

List	of	text	figures
------	----	------	---------

ł,

1.	Neonatal mortality rates and post-neonatal probabilities of dying, by birth weight: United States, 1960	3
2.	Neonatal mortality by birth weight and sex: United States, 1960	4
3.	Neonatal mortality by birth weight and color: United States, 1960	5

List of text tables

Α.	Sampling fraction for States providing a 50-percent sample of birth certificates to the National Center for Health Statis-	
	tics by race: United States, 1974-77	10
в.	Percentile distributions of selected measures of birth weight and neonatal mortality, by race: U.S. Health Service Areas,	
	1974-77	11
C.	Correlations between white and black measures of birth weight and and neonatal mortality in U.S. Health Service Areas:	
	1974-77	12
D.	Correlations among selected measures of birth weight and neonatal mortality in U.S. Health Service Areas, by race:	
	1974-77	12
E.	Health Service Areas with neonatal mortality rate in highest quintile, by race: 1974-77	13

33

37

Symbols used in tables

- --- Data not available
- ... Category not applicable
- Quantity zero
- 0.0 Quantity more than zero but less than 0.05
- Z Quantity more than zero but less than 500 where numbers are rounded to thousands
- Figure does not meet standards of reliability or precision (more than 30 percent relative standard error)
- # Figure suppressed to comply with confidentiality requirements

Adjusting Neonatal Mortality Rates for Birth Weight

by Jean E. Foster and Joel C. Kleinman, Ph.D., Division of Analysis

Introduction

As in other industrialized countries where infectious diseases have been controlled, prematurity is the most important factor in determining infant health and survival in the United States.¹ Premature infants include infants born too early (i.e., gestational age 37 weeks or less) as well as those infants born too small as a result of poor intrauterine development. These two groups—preterm and low birth weight—are closely related but do not always coincide. As a result of not being fully developed, premature infants are highly susceptible to a variety of life-threatening conditions such as birth injuries, infections, low blood sugar, and lung problems. The risk of neonatal death is about 20 times greater for premature infants than for full-term newborns.²

Although a combined measure of gestational age and birth weight would be the most appropriate indicator of prematurity, there is considerable rationale for focusing on birth weight as a single outcome measure. Analysis of linked birth and death records indicates that mortality is more strongly influenced by birth weight than by gestational age. That is, although infants with shorter gestations generally experience greater mortality at a given birth weight, mortality varies more strongly by birth weight when gestational age is controlled.³ In their review of the literature on prematurity, Hemminski and Starfield presented additional evidence that birth weight alone explains the variance in perinatal mortality almost as well as a combined index of gestational age and birth weight.⁴ Furthermore, the vital statistics data on gestational age (that is derived from date of mother's last menstrual period) has limited reliability, and nonresponse is quite high (20 percent in 1977).⁵

Recent advances in perinatal medicine have led to an unprecedented decline in mortality among low birth weight infants. These advances, together with the proliferation of regionalized perinatal networks, have increased the need for surveillance and monitoring of trends and variations in birth weight-specific perinatal mortality rates. Ideally, this type of monitoring requires a national system of linked birth and death certificates. Although several States do have such systems available, there is no national data base in the United States which can be used to develop estimates of weight-specific mortality rates.

The purpose of this report is to show how vital statistics can be used to compare birth weight distributions and neonatal mortality among small geographic areas within the United States. The Health Service Areas defined under the National Health Planning and Resource Development Act of 1974 are used to illustrate small geographic areas designed for health planning purposes (see appendix I). In particular, several measures that reflect the potential impact of the birth weight distribution on neonatal mortality are presented by Health Service Area for 1974-77. A measure that summarizes the relative level of neonatal mortality, once the effect of differences in the birth weight distribution is eliminated, is also presented. Examples are provided to show how these data can be used to assess infant mortality at the small-area level.

Relationship between birth weight and mortality

Analysis of linked birth and death records from the 1960 live birth cohort (the most recent year that linked records are available nationally) shows that mortality rates were highest for infants 1,000 grams or less and then declined steadily with increasing birth weight up to 4,000 grams. There is a slight upturn in mortality for the heaviest births.^{3,6} This relationship between birth weight and mortality is also observed for neonatal and postneonatal mortality separately, although birth weight is more closely associated with the risk of dying within the first month of life. Figure 1 illustrates the steeper curve associated with neonatal mortality, compared with postneonatal mortality among survivors of the neonatal period.

The relationship between birth weight and mortality varies by sex and race of the infant. Although female infants tend to be lighter than male infants, figure 2 (based on 1960 live-birth cohort data) shows that neonatal mortality was greater for males at every birth-weight level except the heaviest (4,501 grams or more). In regard to race, figure 3 shows that in the weight group less than 2,500 grams, all other infants experienced lower neonatal mortality than white infants. However, at higher birth weights, the chances of survival during the neonatal period were considerably better for white than for all other infants.³ Unfortunately, the 1960 birth cohort data did not consider black births separately. However, these data for "all other" reflect primarily the experience of black infants. Whenever possible, it is preferable to analyze black births separately.

It should be emphasized that the survival advantage associated with black births at low birth weights is not reflected in the overall neonatal mortality for these births. Black infants tended to be lighter on average than white infants, e.g., 12.8 percent of black infants were under 2,500 grams in 1977 compared with 5.9 percent of white infants. Consequently, a birth-weight distribution with a greater proportion of high-risk births, combined with greater mortality at higher birth weights, results in excess neonatal mortality among blacks.



Figure 1. Neonatal mortality rates and post-neonatal probabilities of dying, by birth weight: United States, 1960



Figure 2. Neonatal mortality by birth weight and sex: United States, 1960



Figure 3. Neonatal mortality by birth weight and race: United States, 1960

Causes of low birth weight

Many of the factors that have been associated with infant mortality operate through their effect on the birth weight of infants. Previous reports identified a number of maternal characteristics that are associated with greater risk of infant death.^{2,3,6} These maternal risk factors—race, age-birth order, previous fetal or infant loss, legitimacy status, educational attainment, interval between consecutive births, and the timing and frequency of prenatal care—are also associated with increased risk of low birth weight.^{7,8}

Other maternal characteristics that have been

shown to influence the incidence of low birth weight include maternal stature, pre-pregnant weight, weight gain, smoking, poor nutrition during pregnancy, and various maternal diseases such as anemia.^{4,7} It should also be noted that characteristics attributable to the infant are also associated with low birth weight. For example, male infants are generally heavier than female infants, and plural deliveries are more likely to result in lighter infants compared with single deliveries.

Components of neonatal mortality rates

Since birth weight serves as an intermediate outcome for many variables associated with infant mortality, birth weight can be viewed as a summary measure of the effect of these social and demographic risk factors. To the extent that the risk factors can be ameliorated through health intervention and educational programs, the birth-weight distribution should become more favorable.

However, neonatal mortality rates in an area are a function not only of the birth weight distribution, but also of survival within a birth weight category. Thus when mortality rates are adjusted for differences in birth weight, observed variations are usually ascribed to differences in the perinatal care that infants receive. Several authors have examined perinatal mortality rates in terms of these complementary parts: the "risk" component that affects the composition of the birth weight distribution and the "care" component that affects mortality at given birth weight levels.⁹⁻¹²

This partitioning approach is a useful tool in the analysis of neonatal mortality, but a strict division into a risk versus care component is not possible because weight-specific mortality reflects other factors as well as perinatal care.¹³ For example, as mentioned earlier, the probabilities of infant death at a given birth weight are also affected by sex, plurality, and gestational age.³

٩

Other variables, which are not available on the birth certificate, may also affect birth-weight-specific survival. Madans et al. caution that given two infants with identical birth weights, the chances that one of these infants might die would be higher for "the mother who has had no prenatal care, who is in poor health herself, and who might have drug or alcohol related problems than it would be for the mother whose pregnancy has been monitored since the first trimester and whose health habits are good."¹²

Efforts to improve the birth-weight distribution in an area could also have an effect on weight-specific mortality. Quick et al. recently compared the role of prenatal care on pregnancy outcome in a Health Maintenance Organization and a general population. In addition to documenting a positive relationship between prenatal care and birth weight, they concluded that the impact of prenatal care must also be examined in terms of the medical conditions that are discovered during prenatal care examinations and behavioral changes related to the mother's diet, smoking, and alcohol consumption.¹⁴ These "spinoff" effects of prenatal care are likely to improve the survival chances of infants at any given birth weight. In addition to the 1974-77 neonatal mortality rate (the NMR is the number of deaths to infants under 28 days of age divided by the number of live births times 1,000), table 1 contains several measures based on the birth-weight distribution for Health Service Areas (HSA's). Measures are provided for white and black births separately.

The measure of low birth weight (LBW) refers to the percent of all live births in which the infant weighed 2,500 grams or less. Similarly, the measure of very low birth weight (VLBW) is the percent of all live births in which the infant weighed 1,500 grams or less.

The potential impact of the entire birth-weight distribution of an area is summarized in the third measure in table 1-the expected neonatal mortality rate (ENMR). Expected mortality rates are usually used to adjust observed rates for differences in age composition.¹⁵ However, the concept can be extended to adjust for any characteristic (or groups of characteristics) affecting mortality, i.e., birth weight. In particular, the ENMR is a useful summary indicator of the entire birth-weight distribution, rather than just the proportion below a given weight.

The expected neonatal mortality rate is derived by applying a standard set of infant mortality rates to the birth-weight distribution of an area's births:

ENMR =
$$\frac{\sum b_i M_i}{b} = \sum \hat{p}_i M_i$$

where

 b_i = births in weight category i

 $b = \sum b_i$

- M_i = standard neonatal mortality rate for birth weight category i per 1,000 live births
- \hat{p}_i = proportion of area's births in birth weight category i

The standard set of rates is usually chosen as the combined mortality experience of all areas being compared, but this is not always possible. Linked birth and death records have not been available nationally since 1960, so the standard mortality rates for the ENMR's presented here are based on linked records obtained from a special study of North Carolina data for 1973-74. (The weight-specific mortality rates were adjusted slightly to account for incomplete matching of birth and death records.) Since infant mortality rates were relatively high in North Carolina compared with the United States as a whole during 1973-74, the ENMR's based on this standard will be higher than if the standard was based on the United States' mortality experience during 1974-77. However, the relative magnitude of ENMR's which have been similarly computed for all the HSA's is not greatly affected by the actual level of the standard rates.¹³ Table 2 gives the standard rates used to calculate the ENMR's.

To illustrate the interpretation of an expected neonatal mortality rate, the ENMR for white births in ALA 01 is the neonatal mortality rate that would be "expected" if that area experienced the same birth-weight-specific mortality as 1973-74 North Carolina white births. Thus this ENMR "translates" the entire birth-weight distribution for ALA 01 into a single risk measure that can be compared with the ENMR's of other HSA's to assess the relative importance of the birth-weight distribution on mortality. As will be seen, however, the ENMR is highly correlated with VLBW.

The fourth measure in table 1-the standardized mortality ratio (SMR)-relates an area's observed mortality rate to its expected mortality rate:

$$SMR = \frac{NMR}{ENMR} \times 100$$

The SMR is a comparative index that provides a summary measure of mortality after taking account of the "risk" composition of the population that affects the observed rate of mortality. Thus the SMR permits the mortality experience of different areas (or time periods) to be compared, controlling for differences in birth-weight distribution.

Turning the previous equation around to bring the NMR term to the left of the equal sign, it can be seen that:

NMR = (ENMR \times SMR)/100

Thus neonatal mortality for a hospital or geographic area can be evaluated in terms of its ENMR and SMR components. The ENMR can be used to compare the birth weight distributions of different populations, while the SMR compares the weight specific mortality rates, holding the birth weight distribution constant.

In this Note, the SMR was modified to include only those infants weighing at least 500 grams. The probability of dying for infants below 500 grams is virtually certain, particularly during the 1974-77 period under consideration here. The SMR was then calculated as:

$$SMR = \frac{d - b_1}{\sum b_i M_i - b_1} \times 100$$

where

d =all infant deaths

 b_1 = births under 500 grams

Kleinman found that the exclusion of the under 500 grams infants yields a measure of neonatal mortality that is more sensitive to preventable deaths.¹³

If the observed rate and the expected rate are the same, the SMR will be 100.0. In the case of white births for ALA 01, the SMR was 93.7, which indicates that the white neonatal mortality rate is 6.3 percent less than what would be expected if that HSA experienced the birth-weight-specific mortality rates of the standard. On the other hand, the SMR of 104.1 for black births in ALA 01 shows that neonatal mortality is 4.1 percent greater than what would be expected if the birth-weight-specific mortality rates of the standard applied.

Since the 1973-74 North Carolina mortality schedule tended to produce somewhat inflated ENMR's, the SMR's presented here will generally be less than 100.0. Again, it is the relative values of the SMR's that are of primary interest, not the actual value of this measure for a particular HSA, and analysis should be directed at the comparison of SMR's among areas. Since the denominator is a weighted average in which the mortality schedule associated with the birth weight distribution is the same for all HSA's, a comparison of SMR's reflects the relative influence of factors that determine infant survival at given birth weights.

There are several assumptions underlying the SMR that require it be used with caution. While controlling for birth weight does control for a large portion of the variation in the risk level of the population, it is important to note that there are several variables that are not available on the birth certificate but could affect weight-specific mortality. A concrete example of a variable which could not be considered in the indirect adjustment is altitude. Women who live at high altitudes have lighter babies.¹ The effect of this phenomenon on the SMR is illustrated clearly by white births in the Denver. Colorado, HSA. This HSA had one of the highest ENMR's and one of the lowest SMR's among all HSA's. The explanation is that Denver's high altitude results in women having babies whose low birth weights are not indicative of subsequent health problems.

Confidence intervals

In order to assess the stability of a statistic such as the neonatal mortality rate, confidence intervals should be calculated. The formula for calculating the standard error (SE) will vary for the types of statistics shown. An approximate formula for the standard error of the NMR is

SE (NMR) =
$$\sqrt{\frac{1,000r}{B}}$$
 = 31.623 $\sqrt{\frac{r}{B}}$

where

r = rate per 1,000B = number of births

The 95-percent confidence limits are calculated as follows:

Lower limit:
$$r - 1.96 \times SE(r)$$

Upper limit: $r + 1.96 \times SE(r)$

The formula for the approximate standard error of a proportion based on natality data (e.g., LBW, VLBW) will vary depending on the source of data. Most States provide the National Center for Health Statistics with 100 percent of their birth certificates, while the remaining States supply only a 50 percent sample. The number of States that provide a 50 percent sample varies from year to year, with an increasing number of States providing information for all birth certificates. Table A shows the appropriate sampling fraction for each State based on the annual number of births and number of years sample data were provided from 1974 through 1977. (Note that if 100 percent natality data is provided for all 4 years,

Table A. Sampling fraction for States providing a 50-percent sample of birth certificates to the National Center for Health Statistics by race: United States, 1974-77

0	Sampling	fraction	2: 4:	Sampling	fraction
State	White	Black	State	White	Black
Alabama	.75	.75	Montana	1.00	1.00
Alaska	.64	.66	Nebraska	1.00	1.00
Arizona	.50	.50	Nevada	.76	.76
Arkansas	.50	.50	New Hampshire	1.00	1.00
California	.50	.50	New Jersey	.50	.50
Colorado	1.00	1.00	New Mexico	.50	.50
Connecticut	.50	.50	New York ¹	1.00	1.00
Delaware	.50	.50	North Carolina	.87	.87
District of Columbia	.50	.50	*North Dakota	.50	.50
Florida	1.00	1.00	Ohio	.63	.60
Georgia	.50	.50	Oklahoma	.88	.88
Hawaii	.50	.50	Oregon	1.00	1.00
ldaho	.64	.63	Pennsylvania	.50	.50
Illinois	1.00	1.00	Rhode Island	1.00	1.00
Indiana	.50	.50	South Carolina	1.00	1.00
lowa	1.00	1.00	South Dakota	.50	.50
Kansas	1.00	1.00	Tennessee	.87	.88
Kentucky	.76	.76	Texas	.76	.75
Louisiana	.88	.88	Utah	.50	.50
Maine	1.00	1.00	Vermont	1.00	1.00
Maryland	.87	.88	Virginia	.87	.88
Massachusetts	.62	.62	Washington	.50	.50
Michigan	1.00	1.00	West Virginia	.76	.75
Minnesota	.75	.77	Wisconsin	.88	.87
Mississippi	.50	.50	Wyoming	.50	.50
Missouri	1.00	1.00			

¹New York City provided sample data in 1974, 1975, and 1976.

there is no sampling fraction and f = 1.00.) The formula for the standard error is approximated by

SE
$$(p) = \sqrt{\frac{pq}{Bf}}$$

where

p = proportion

$$q = 1 - p$$

B = number of live births

f = sampling fraction

The 95 percent confidence intervals are:

Lower limit: $p \ge 1.96 \times SE(p)$

Upper limit: $p + 1.96 \times SE(p)$

The approximate lower and upper confidence intervals for the ENMR and SMR are provided in table 1. See appendix I for a more complete explanation of the methodology used. The percentile distributions of the LBW, VLBW, ENMR, and SMR measures are provided in table B. Only HSA's with at least 1,000 births in the specified race group were included in these distributions. The percentile values are the values below which a given proportion of the HSA's will be included. For example, in table B, the 90th percentile value for the percent LBW for white births is 6.87, which indicates that 90 percent of the HSA's had LBW below 6.87 percent for white births during 1974-77.

Table B shows that there is substantial variation in all the measures, although the greater variation among black measures is due in part to their larger standard errors (i.e., smaller number of births upon which measures are based). There is a 51 percent spread between the 5th and 95th percentiles for neonatal mortality among white births and a 97 percent spread among black births. The corresponding spreads for SMR's are somewhat smaller (43 percent among white births and 87 percent among black births) but those for ENMR's considerably smaller (30 percent among white births and 46 percent among black births). These data suggest that geographic variation in neonatal mortality among HSA's is due in larger part to variations in weight-specific mortality than to variations in birth weight distribution. When ln(SMR) and ln(ENMR) are used as independent variables in a multiple regression with ln(NMR) as the dependent variable, the standardized regression coefficient for ln(SMR) is greater than that for ln(ENMR) (0.90 versus 0.67 among white births, 0.75 versus 0.65 among black births).

Relationship among measures

The Pearson product moment correlation coefficients between selected measures for white and black births are shown in table C. The moderate correlations indicate that HSA's with high levels for white births do not necessarily have high levels for black births. The correlations were highest for the SMR (.54) and lowest for the percent VLBW (.35). These findings suggest that race-specific rates should be used to identify HSA's with unusual values.

Table D shows, by race, the correlation among

Percentile and			White			Black						
Health Service Areas	NMR ¹	LBW ¹	VLBW ¹	ENMR ¹	SMR ¹	NMR ¹	LBW ¹	VLBW ¹	ENMR ¹	SMR ¹		
5	8.1	5.13	0.73	10.7	67.2	11.5	9.68	1.65	17.1	55.3		
10	8.5	5.34	0.78	11.1	70.0	12.3	11.01	1.86	17.7	62.4		
20	9.1	5.63	0.83	11.6	72.6	14.6	11.77	1.97	18.6	69.7		
30	9.5	5.86	0.86	11.9	75.5	15.7	12.23	2.13	19.5	72.8		
40	9.9	6,01	0.88	12.2	76.9	16.5	12.52	2.22	20.4	76.8		
50	10.1	6.17	0.91	12.4	79.5	17.4	12.75	2.32	21.2	79.6		
60	10.4	6.27	0.94	12.6	81.5	18.2	12.99	2.40	21.7	81.5		
70	10.6	6.36	0.96	12.9	84.6	18.8	13.46	2.49	22.2	84.3		
80	11.0	6.51	0.99	13.1	87.8	19.9	13.78	2.58	23.0	90.0		
90	11.6	6.87	1.03	13.5	92.7	21.3	14.07	2.73	24.1	97.5		
95	12.2	7.11	1.07	13.9	96.1	22.7	14.61	2.89	24.9	103.6		
Number of HSA's	202	202	202	202	202	156	156	156	156	156		

Table B. Percentile distributions of selected measures of birth weight and neonatal mortality, by race: U.S. Health Service Areas 1974

¹NMR ≈ neonatal mortality rate; LBW = low birth weight; VLBW = very low birth weight; ENMR = expected neonatal mortality rate; SMR = standardized mortality ratio.

Table C. Correlations between white and black measures of birth weight and neonatal mortality in U.S. Health Service Areas:¹ 1974-77

	Measure										Correlation coefficient ²												
NMR ³ LBW ³ VLBW ³	•	•		•	•	•	•	•	:			•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	.51 .48 .35
SMR ³	•	:	:	:	:	•	:	•	•	•	•	:		:	:	:	:	:	:	:	:	•	.54

¹Based on 156 Health Service Areas with 1,000 or more black births. ²Pearson product-moment correlation coefficient (r).

3NMR = neonatal mortality rate; LBW = low birth weight; VLBW = very low birth weight; ENMR = expected neonatal mortality rate; SMR = standardized mortality ratio.

Table neon	D. Correlations am natal mortality in U.S	ong select . Health S	ed measure: ervice Area	s of birth we s, by race: 1	eight and 974-77
Rad	ce and measure	LBW ¹	VLBW ¹	ENMR ¹	SMR ¹
	White				
		.402	.418	.466	.759

LBW ¹ VLBW ¹ ENMR ¹	•				•	• • •		•	• • •			.415	.649 .933	006 223 216	
	Black														
NMR ¹											.493	.541	.630	.735	
LBW ¹												.638	.659	.093	
VLBW ¹									•				.941	100	
ENMR ¹									•	•				048	

1NMR = neonatal mortality rate; LBW = low birth weight; VLBW = very low birth weight; ENMR = expected neonatal mortality rate; SMR = standardized mortality ratio.

selected measures of infant mortality in HSA's. The percent of VLBW births was very highly correlated with the ENMR for both races; the correlations were not as high for LBW. The relationship between LBW and VLBW was only moderate for white births (.42) but stronger for black births (.64). The correlations between LBW and the SMR show no relationship. Most of the other correlations involving LBW and VLBW were below .50. These results support other suggestions that VLBW is a better indicator of infant risk than the usual LBW measure.¹⁰

Table E lists HSA's with the NMR in the highest quintile and indicates whether the ENMR or SMR was also in the highest quintile. Only 3 of the 42 HSA's listed for white births and 4 of the 32 HSA's listed for black births had both the ENMR and SMR in the highest quintiles. In these situations the entire spectrum of prenatal and perinatal care appears to need improvement.

When only the ENMR is high this suggests that health interventions should be directed at ways to improve the birth weight distribution in the area. For example, a high proportion of births to very young mothers may indicate that sex education or access to family planning services is inadequate for school-age women; programs that reduce the number of teenage pregnancies might, therefore, lower the incidence of low birth weight, which in turn would lower the infant mortality rate.

The situation where only the SMR is high shows that the HSA has relatively poor survival within birth weight groups compared with other HSA's. Here the focus for intervention lies in hospital-based care for newborns. Improvement may be needed in the level of technological innovation, such as perinatal care units, as well as in the efficiency and training of hospital staff. Reference 12 illustrates, using District of Columbia data, how hospital-specific SMR's can be examined to determine possible sources of excess mortality. When differences in the level of risk among hospitals are controlled through birth weight adjustment, variation in hospital rates may be attributable in large part to variation in hospital care.

Note that a substantial portion of the HSA's with ENMR's or SMR's in the highest quintile do not have NMR's in the highest quintile. This suggests that although the crude rate for an area is not particularly high there may be substantial room for improvement in terms of one of the components of the rate. Thus resources and further research can be directed toward the area where intervention is most likely to have an effect.

The list in Table E was generated without reference to the stability of the measures. Before concluding that the measures are indeed at an unusually high or low level, confidence intervals should be calculated to assure that this is not due to chance variation. In addition, the interventions suggested above are meant to be illustrative. Further study of the particular area's social and environmental characteristics and its health system is required before appropriate interventions can be identified.

	Wh	ite		Blac	 ck
Health Service Area	ENMR ¹	SMR ²	Health Service Area	ENMR ¹	SMR ²
ALA 01		x	ALA 04		X
ALA 04	x	х	CON 01	х	х
ALA 05		х	CON 02	x	
ARK 04		x	CON 04	Ŷ	
CON 05	×	~	DC 11	÷	v
DC 02	Ŷ			~	÷
GA 07	^	v			~
		X		X	
		х		х	
ILL 09		Х	ILL 09	x	х
KAN 01		×	MIC 02	x	
KY 02			NJ 03		х
MO 02		х	NM 01	x	
MO 05		х	NY 01	X	
MON 01		x	NC 01		×
NEV 01	×	~			÷
NLO3	Ŷ		04.02		$\hat{\mathbf{x}}$
NC 01	~	v	01103		X
	^	^		X	
			ОН 10		X
NC 05		X	PA 01	х	
NC 06		х	PA 02		х
ND 01		х	PA 06	х	
ОН 06			BI04	x	
PA 01			SC 03	••	Y
PA 03	x		TEN 05		÷
ΡΔ 05	Ŷ		TEX 01		<u>.</u>
PA 06	÷				X
PA 00	~	.,		X	X
PA 07		X	TEX 07		x
PA 09	х		TEX 10		х
SC 01	х		TEX 12		х
SC 03		х	VA 05	х	
SD 01		х	INT 05		х
TEN 05			INT 12		~
TEX 01		×			
TEX 02		Ň			
TEX 02		~			
TEX 04		X			
IEA IU		X			
IEX 12		х			
VA 03		х			
WV 01	х	x			
WIS 05		х			
INT 09		X			
INT 15		x			
		~			

Table E. Health Service Areas with neonatal mortality rate in highest quintile, by race: 1974-77

 $^1\rm X$ indicates expected neonatal mortality rate in highest quintile. $^2\rm X$ indicates standardized mortality ratio in highest quintile.

Examples

The following examples illustrate how the data presented in this report can be used to assess neonatal mortality in an area.

ALA 01

In 1974-77, the level of neonatal mortality in ALA 01 appeared to be relatively high for both white and black births. Although the white NMR of 11.2 was in the highest quintile the lower confidence limit extends down to the 50th percentile. This interval was even broader for black births: the observed NMR of 18.8 falls at the 70th percentile; however, the confidence interval ranges from below the 30th to above the 90th percentile.

Turning to measures based on the birth distribution itself, the percent LBW and VLBW, and the ENMR may provide insight into the causes of potentially problematic neonatal rates : The SMR's for both white and black births fall above the 90th percentile, with lower confidence limits dropping down only to the 70th percentile. Based on the information presented here, the relatively high NMR in ALA 01 may be attributed to poor weightspecific survival. Further detailed investigation of birth-weight-specific survival in ALA 01 is indicated. As mentioned earlier, reference 12 provides an illustrative example of an investigation of interhospital variation in neonatal mortality as a possible explanation of excess mortality among black infants in the District of Columbia.

MD 05

A look at the data for black births in MD 05 also illustrates the usefulness of exploring a variety of measures to minimize mistaken or misleading conclu-

	LBW	Lower limit	Upper limit	VLBW	Lower limit	Upper limit	ENMR	Lower limit	Upper limit
White	6.56	6.26	6.86	.83	.72	.94	11.9	11.2	12.7
	12.37	11.41	13.33	1.93	1.54	2.32	18.2	15.8	20.9

Among white births, it can be concluded that the LBW measure was higher than average (the lower confidence limit was at the 60th percentile). For black births, a somewhat different pattern emerges for the LBW measure. Although the confidence interval covers a larger interval than for white births, it reflects a fair to average rank relative to other HSA's. The VLBW and ENMR measures were at the low end of their distributions for both white and black births in ALA 01.

The SMR is next examined:

	SMR	Lower limit	Upper limit
White Black	93.7	85.7	102.3
	104.1	88.4	122.7

sions. The NMR of 15.6 appears relatively low, but given the small number of black births, this estimate was very unstable. The SMR of 62.4 was in the lowest quintile, which suggests that given the area's risk composition as reflected in the birth-weight distribution, neonatal mortality fares relatively well compared to other HSA's. Again, the confidence interval is broad, but the upper limit lies below the median value. If analysis stopped at this point, the data for MD 05 would not indicate much cause for concern.

Turning to the ENMR, however, it is evident that the birth-weight distribution among black births is unfavorable. The ENMR of 24.1 lies above the 90th percentile, but its confidence interval extends from below the 50th to well above the 95th percentile; hence, this wide interval weakens the force of the conclusions that may be drawn. Another perspective can be obtained by focusing on the proportion of births at the low end of the distribution:

LBW	Lower limit	Upper limit	VLBW	Lower limit	Upper limit
15.47	14.24	16.70	3.04	2.46	3.62

The LBW measure falls above the 95th percentile, with a lower confidence limit above the 90th percen-

tile. The VLBW measure covers a wider interval but also points to an extremely unfavorable birth weight distribution. Until additional years of data can be aggregated to produce more reliable mortality estimates, the measures based on the birth distribution, as well as other maternal risk factors derived from natality data, can be used to monitor and evaluate changes in the risk dimension of black neonatal mortality in MD 05. ¹Reed, D. M. and Stanley, F., eds.: *The Epidemiology of Prematurity*. Baltimore. Urban and Schwarzenberg, Inc., 1977.

²The Rand Corporation: Algorithms for Health Planners: Vol. 2, Infant Mortality, by L. J. Harris, E. Keeler, and M. E. Michnich. R-2215/2HEW. Santa Monica, Calif. The Rand Corporation, Aug. 1977.

³National Center for Health Statistics: A study of infant mortality from linked records by birth weight, period of gestation, and other variables, United States, 1960 live-birth cohort, by R. J. Armstrong. *Vital and Health Statistics*. Series 20-No. 12. DHEW Pub. No. (PHS) 79-1055. Public Health Service. Washington. U.S. Government Printing Office, May 1972.

⁴Hemminski, E. and Starfield, B.: Prevention of low birth weight and pre-term birth. Milbank Memorial Fund Quarterly/ Health and Society. 56(3):339-361, 1978.

⁵National Center for Health Statistics: Comparability of reporting between birth certificate and the National Natality Survey, by L. J. Querec. Series 2-No. 83. DHEW Pub. No. (PHS) 80-1357. Public Health Service. Washington. U.S. Government Printing Office, Apr. 1980.

⁶Shapiro, S., McCormick, M., Starfield, B., et al.: Relevance of correlates of infant deaths for significant morbidity at 1 year of age. *Am. J. Public Health.* 136(3):363-373, Feb. 1980.

⁷National Center for Health Statistics: Trends in low birth weight ratios, United States and each State, 1950-68, by H. C. Chase. DHEW Pub. No. (HSM) 73-5117. Health Service and Mental Health Administration. Washington. U.S. Government Printing Office, June 1973.

⁸Eisner, V. et al.: The risk of low birth weight. Am. J. Public Health. 69(9):887-893, Sept. 1979.

⁹Williams, R. et al.: Monitoring perinatal mortality rates: California, 1970 to 1976. *Am. J. Obstet. Gynecol.* 136(5):559-568, March 1980.

¹⁰Lee, K. et al.: Neonatal mortality: An analysis of the recent improvement in the United States. *Am. J. Public Health.* 70(1):15-21, Jan. 1980.

¹¹Chalmers, M., Newcombe, R., West, R., et al.: Adjusted perinatal mortality rates in administrative areas of England and Wales. *Health Trends.* 10:24-29, 1978.

¹²Madans, J., Kleinman, J., and Machlin, S.: Differentials among hospitals as a source of excess neonatal mortality: District of Columbia, 1970-78. *J. Community Health.* Winter 1981.

¹³Kleinman, J.: Indirect Standardization of Neonatal Mortality for Birth Weight. To appear in *Int. J. Epidemiol.*, 1982.

¹⁴Quick, J., Greenlick, M., and Roghmann, K.: Prenatal care and pregnancy outcome in an HMO and general population: A multivariate cohort analysis. *Am. J. Public Health.* 71(4):381-390, Apr. 1981.

¹⁵U.S. Bureau of the Census: The Methods and Materials of Demography, by Henry S. Shrock, Jacob S. Siegal, and Associates. Washington. U.S. Government Printing Office, 1981.

¹⁶Bureau of Health Planning: Health Service Areas. DHEW Pub. No. (HRA) 79-14014. Health Resources Administration. 1979.

¹⁷Bureau of Health Professions: The Area Resource File. DHHS Pub. No. (HRA) 81-9. Washington. U.S. Government Printing Office, May 1981.

List of detailed tables

1.	Birth weight and neonatal mortality measures, standardized mortality ratio, and number of live births by Health Service	
	Area and race: United States, 1974-77	18
2.	Neonatal mortality rates by race and birth weight: North Carolina, 1973-74	31

ł

٠

		LOW	VERY LOW	NEONATAL	EXPECTED	NEONATAL M	ORTALITY	STANDARDIZED	MORTALITY	RATIO	NUMBER
HSA	RACE	WEIGHT	WEIGHT	RATE	RATE	LIWER	LIMIT	RATIO	LIMIT	LIMIT	BIRTHS
		PER	CENT		-PER 1000 L1	VE BIRTHS-					
INT 06	WHITE	5.90	0.93	10.7	12.6	11.9	13.3	84•4	78.1	91.1	40728
Int 06	BLACK	12.08	2.23	18.3	18.3	15.8	21.1	100•2	83.4	120.4	3823
INT 07	WHITE	5.68	0.98	10.5	12.3	11.5	13.2	83•5	75.4	92.4	25720
INT 07	BLACK	12.16	2.18	18.9	21.1	16.7	26.6	85•6	61.2	119.9	1743
INT 08	WHITE	6.21	0.89	9•6	12.2	11.7	12.8	76•8	72.1	81.8	90028
INT 08	Black	13.59	2.30	13•6	22.0	19.9	24.3	55•3	48.1	63.5	13851
INT 09	WHITE	5.08	0.78	11.9	10.8	9.6	12.2	111.4	96.9	128.0	18187
INT 09	Black	14.78	3.94	19.7	30.6	12.9	72.7	47.5	14.3	157.3	203
INT 10 INT 10	WHITE Black	5.23 4.31	0.65	10.2	10.3 4.7	9.5	11.2	99 . 3 -	88.8	111.0	24760 116
INT 11 INT 11	WHITE BLACK	4.97 10.26	0.89 5.13	9.9	11.5 48.5	10.3	12.8	85•2 -	74.4	97 . 4	22031 39
INT 12	WHITE	6.23	0.90	9.6	12.4	11.8	12.9	76.1	71.3	81.3	65439
INT 12	BLACK	13.74	2.49	20.1	23.0	21.3	24.8	86.2	78.7	94.4	14403
[NT 13	WHITE	5.91	0.87	9.5	12.0	11.6	12.5	76•8	72.8	81.0	102957
INT 13	Black	14.12	2.52	18.9	22.8	21.7	23.9	80•7	75.9	85.7	36054
INT 14	WHITE	6.59	1.12	10.2	14.0	12.7	15.4	71.0	62.8	80.3	24398
INT 14	BLACK	8.09	2.02	11.5	19.6	9.2	42.1	51.6	19.1	139.4	347
INT 15	WHITE	6.24	0.80	11.3	11.5	10.7	12.3	98.0	88.4	108.6	24585
INT 15	BLACK	10.93	2.61	16.3	24.6	16.3	37.1	63.8	40.8		614

e

	TABLE 1	• BIRTH WEI	GHT AND NE	ONATAL MORTAL BY HEALTH SER	ITY MEASURE VICE AREA A	S, STANDA ND RACE:	RDIZED MORTAL UNITED STATES	ITY RATIO, AND , 1974-77	NUMBER OF	LIVE BIRTHS	5
HSA	RACE	LOW BIRTH WEIGHT	VERY LOW BIRTH WEIGHT	NEONATAL Mortality Rate	EXPECTED RATE	NEONATAL LOWER LIMIT	MORTALITY UPPER LIMIT	STANDARDIZED RATIO	MORTALITY LOWER LIMIT	RATIO UPPER LIMIT	NUMBER OF LIVE BIRTHS
		PER	CENT		-PER 1000 L	IVE BIRTH	s				
WAS 01	WHITE	5.50	0.83	9.3	11.3	10.8	11.8	81.8	77.0	86.9	108253
WAS 01	BLACK	10.07	2.22	13.8	21.1	17.9	25.0	63.5	52.4	76.9	6643
WAS 02 WAS 02	WHITE Black	4.99 6.59	0.77 0.55	8.8	10.8 10.6	9.9 •••	11.9	80.2	71.3	90.2 •••	30248 182
WAS 03	WHITE	5.50	0.83	10.1	11.6	10.5	12.8	86•2	76.4	97.2	26330
Was 03	Black	10.70	0.54	13.3	11.7	5.4	25.6	113•4	46.5	276.6	376
WAS 04	WHITE	5.63	0.98	10.1	12.6	11.5	13.9	78•7	69.9	88.7	26482
Was 04	Black	10.64	1.77	13.3	20.1	10.4	38.9	66•2	32.3	135.9	451
W V 01	WHITE	7.06	0.98	12.4	13.3	12.8	13.8	92 • 7	88.4	97.2	109720
W V 01	Black	11.62	2.25	19.8	20.9	17.7	24.6	94 • 4	78.7	113.3	4493
WIS O1	WHITE	5.14	0.79	8.3	11.2	10.6	11.9	72.5	66.3	79.3	43687
WIS O1	Black	7.93	1.36	11.2	13.9	9.3	20.8	78.1	44.2	137.9	807
WIS 02	WHITE	5.76	0.87	7.8	12.2	11.7	12.7	61.3	57.4	65.4	85383
WIS 02	Black	12.66	2.34	13.2	21.3	19.6	23.2	58.5	52.1	65.7	14469
WIS 03	WHITE	5.46	1.08	9•8	13.0	12.1	14.0	73.2	66.0	81.2	26156
WIS 03	Black	4.35	4.35	43•5	47.1	7.1	311.5		96.7	103.4	23
WIS 04	WHITE	4.90	0.72	9.2	10.5	9.8	11.3	86.3	77.7	95.8	28653
WIS 04	Black	6.67	2.22	22.2	25.3	5.2	122.9	87.8	24.8	310.3	45
WIS 05 WIS 05	WHITE Black	5.35 14.58	0.89	11.0	11.9 6.2	11.1	12.8	91.8	84.0 •••	100.3	31798 48
WIS 06 WIS 06	WHITE Black	5.28 3.45	0.93	9 . 3	12.0 4.7	11.1	13.1	76.3	67.9 	85.6	21 742 29
WYO 01	WHITE	8.55	0.92	10.9	13.3	12.3	14.4	80•8	72.3	90.3	27919
WYO 01	Black	13.92	1.90	12.5	16.9	8.3	34.5	74•0	29.4	186.6	320
INT 02	WHITE	6.65	0.95	9.7	12.9	11_8	14.0	73.7	65.8	82.4	30684
INT 02	Black	13.47	2.41	18.0	22.2	18.4	26.7	79.6	64.4	98.4	4672
INT 03	WHITE	5.82	0.85	9.9	11.6	10.5	12.8	84.6	74.4	96.3	23006
INT 03	Black	12.61	2.04	13.6	17.8	16.1	19.7	75.2	66.1	85.4	16275
INT 04	WHITE	6.34	0.98	10.3	12.9	11.8	14.1	79.1	70.7	88.6	28247
INT 04	Black	12.88	2.20	15.2	20.3	18.6	22.0	73.4	66.3	81.2	233 5 7
INT 05	WHITE	5.52	0.91	10.2	12.1	11.7	12.5	83.1	79.7	86.7	141950
INT 05	Black	11.40	2.20	23.1	21.2	17.7	25.5	110.0	90.8	133.2	2774

L

HSA	RACE	LOW BIRTH WEIGHT	VERY LOW BIRTH WEIGHT	NEONATAL Mortality Rate	EXPECTED RATE	NEONATAL LOWER LIMIT	MORTALITY UPPER LIMIT	STANDARDIZI RATIO	ED MORTALIT LOWER LIMIT	Y RATIO UPPER LIMIT	NUMBER OF LIVE BIRTHS
		PER	CENT		-PER 1000 L	IVE BIRTHS	δ 				
TEX 04	WHITE	6.69	0,92	12.9	12.8	12.0	13-6	100-8	92.9	109-4	35274
TEX 04	BLACK	15.64	2.36	18.9	21.4	17.5	26.1	86.5	66.5	112.6	2542
TEX 05	WHITE	6.43	0.96	10.3	12.7	12.3	13.1	79.6	76.1	83.2	144675
TEX 05	BLACK	13.68	2.44	17.2	21.4	20.2	22.6	79.9	74.7	85.4	34979
TEX 06	WHITE	6.39	0.87	9.5	12.3	11.7	12.9	76.0	70.8	81.4	65017
TEX 06	BLACK	12.29	2.27	15.7	19.9	18.2	21.9	77.0	68.4	86.7	13260
TEX 07	WHITE	6.27	0.88	10.8	12.3	11.4	13.2	87.4	79.4	96.1	30925
TEX 07	BLACK	13.71	2.44	20.9	22.1	20.0	24•4	93.9	83.8	105.2	11342
TEX 08	WHITE	6.23	0.85	10.6	11.9	11.5	12.4	87.9	83.3	92.8	101018
TEX 08	BLACK	11.93	1.73	14.6	18.0	14.2	22.8	80.8	60.9	107.4	2199
TEX 09	WHITE	6.47	0.86	10.0	12.3	11.8	12.8	80.7	76.1	85.7	84618
TEX 09	BLACK	12.60	2.33	16.7	22.5	19.2	26.3	70.0	57.7	84.9	4862
TEX 10	WHITE	6.29	0.90	12.9	12.5	11.5	13.5	103.5	94.0	113.9	25337
TEX 10	BLACK	12-88	2.19	20.5	21.0	18.9	23.4	97.5	86.9	109.2	10143
TEX 11	WHITE	6.52	0.92	9.6	12.5	12.1	12.9	76.2	72.8	79.8	145645
TEX 11	BLACK	13.45	2.54	17.1	23.1	22.0	24.3	71.2	67.0	75.7	43721
TEX 12	WHITE	7.00	0.87	14.7	12.6	11.6	13.7	117.5	106.7	129.4	22474
TEX 12	BLACK	13.65	1.70	24.4	17.7	13.3	23.5	139.6	102.6	190.0	1355
UTH 01	WHITE	5.36	0.64	8.1	10.3	9.8	10.7	78.3	73.7	83.1	130438
UTH 01	BLACK	16.26	2.42	12.8	21.4	12.9	35.3	52.9	25.7	109.2	625
VT 01	WHITE	£.58	1.07	9.4	13.7	12.8	14.6	66.7	60.3	73.9	27197
VT 01	BLACK	13.04	1.45	-	20.1	• • •	•••	-	•••	•••	70
VA 01	WHITE	6.27	0.91	9.8	12.5	11.7	13.4	76.7	69.3	84.7	28789
VA 01	BLACK	11.75	2.20	15.1	19.2	16.6	22.2	77.4	63.9	93.7	4646
VA 02	WHITE	5.34	0.83	8.1	11.5	10.9	12.2	68.3	62.7	74.5	47990
VA 02	BLACK	12.38	2.56	18.5	• 23.7	20.8	27.0	73.6	62.8	86.3	5946
VA 03	WHI TE	6.92	0.96	12.2	13.1	12.5	13.8	93.0	86.9	99.4	50305
VA 03	BLACK	12.54	2.35	18.8	20.8	18.7	23.1	89.1	78.4	101.3	8832
VA 04	WHITE	5.92	0.89	10.9	11.9	11.1	12.7	91.3	83.2	100.2	30313
VA 04	BLACK	12.47	2.35	19.1	21.0	19.5	22.6	90.0	82.6	98.1	18955
VA 05	WHITE	6.01	1.02	10.5	13.2	12.6	13.9	77.3	72.0	83.0	53534
VA 05	BLACK	12.37	2.56	20.3	23.3	21.9	24.7	84.6	78.7	90.9	27006

•

TABLE 1. BIRTH WEIGHT AND NEONATAL MORTALITY MEASURES, STANDARDIZED MORTALITY RATIO, AND NUMBER OF LIVE BIRTHS BY HEALTH SERVICE AREA AND RACE: UNITED STATES, 1974-77

				. <u> </u>	BY HEALTH SER	VICE AREA A	ND RACE: U	INITED STATES	, 1974-77	<u> </u>		
н	SA	RACE	LOW BIRTH Weight	VERY LOW BIRTH WEIGHT	NEONATAL Mortality Rate	EX PECTED Rate	NEONATAL LOWER LIMIT	MORTALITY UPPER LIMIT	STANDARDIZE RATIO	ED MORTALIT LOWER LIMIT	Y RATIO UPPER LIMIT	NUMBER OF LIVE BIRTHS
			PER	CENT	·····	-PER 1000 L	IVE BIRTHS					
PA	06	WHITE	6.40	1.02	11.0	13.5	12.9	14.1	80.1	75.9	84.5	123757
PA	06	Black	13.64	3.03	21.4	26.7	23.9	29.9	75.2	66.3	85.3	12965
PA	07	WHITE	6.17	0.95	11.6	12.8	11.9	13.9	89•2	81.3	97.8	41131
PA	07	Black	11.89	2.35	11.2	18.8	14.0	25.4	51•7	33.1	80.8	1960
PA	09	WHITE	6.66	1.01	11.2	13.2	12.0	14.4	84•1	75 . 1	94.1	27090
PA	09	Black	13.20	0.98	38.9	11.6	7.6	17.6	336•2	194 . 7	580.7	411
R I	04	WHITE	6.41	1.10	9•9	14.0	13.3	14-8	67.8	62.5	73.6	41465
R I	04	Black	12.99	2.75	20•5	25.1	20.9	30-1	79.4	64.3	98.1	2437
s c	01	WHITE	6.83	1.02	11.8	13.5	12.8	14.3	86.6	80.1	93.7	34916
s c	01	Black	14.02	2.32	17-0	21.4	19.6	23.4	76.9	68.5	86.4	10727
s c	02	WHITE	6.11	0.88	10.0	11.9	11.2	12.7	83.1	75.6	91.4	29959
s c	02	Black	12.86	1.95	15.5	18.8	17.6	20.1	81.5	74.6	89.1	19692
s c	03	WHITE	6.47	0.84	12.3	12.4	11.5	13.4	98.7	89.4	109.0	21441
s c	03	Black	13.17	2.33	21.9	21.3	20.1	22.7	103.1	96.2	110.5	22540
s c	04	WHITE	5.84	0.99	9.9	12.8	12.0	13.7	75.3	68.0	83.5	25459
s c	04	Black	12.89	2.17	16.0	20.3	19.1	21.7	77.4	71.2	84.1	20571
S D	01	WHITE	5.65	0.90	11.6	12.2	11.3	13.2	95.3	86.8	104.5	39932
S D	01	Black	9.81	1.87	18.7	17.7	6.0	51.9	112.4	28.0	451.2	214
T EN	02	WHITE	6.87	1.00	10.6	13.2	12.5	14.0	79.2	73.0	86•1	39820
T EN	02	Black	13.89	1.89	16.1	19.5	16.0	23.7	81.4	63.1	105•0	2543
T EN	04	WHITE	6.34	0.87	9.3	12.1	11.6	12.6	75•5	70.6	80.7	69936
T EN	04	Black	12.48	2.35	16.8	20.9	19.1	22.9	77•4	68.9	87.0	12419
TEN	05	WHITE	6.08	1.01	11.1	12.7	11.7	13.8	86.9	77.2	97.8	18324
Ten	05	BLACK	12.51	2.40	23.4	21.5	19.0	24.3	109.9	96.1	125.7	6336
T EN	06	WHITE	6.70	0.95	10.5	12.9	12.1	13.9	80.0	72.4	88.3	26848
T EN	06	BLACK	13.17	2.23	16.6	20.7	19.5	21.9	79.0	73.4	85.0	28419
TEX	01	WHITE	7.24	0.87	13.9	12.7	11.7	13.7	110.4	99.9	122.0	22800
TEX	01	Black	16.85	1.94	26.1	21.1	15.7	28.3	125.9	91.7	173.0	1187
TEX	02	WHITE	6.95	0.87	13.5	12.2	11.3	13.2	110•9	100.3	122.5	23189
TEX	02	Black	14.57	2.62	21.8	23.5	18.9	29.3	92•2	73.4	116.0	2295
TEX	03	WHITE	6.66	0.89	9.9	12.6	11.9	13.5	77.1	70.4	84.4	37200
TEX	03	Black	12.83		16.2	19.5	14.3	26.5	80.9	55.2	118.7	1295

•

T T

-		TABLE 1	. BIRTH WEI	GHT AND NE	ONATAL MORTAL By Health Ser	ITY MEASURE VICE AREA A	S, STANDA ND RACE:	RDIZED MORTAL	ITY RATIO, AND , 1974-77	NUMBER OF	LIVE BIRTHS	5
	ISA	RACE	LOW BIRTH WEIGHT	VERY LOW BIRTH WEIGHT	NEONATAL Mortality Rate	EXPECTED Rate	NEONATAL LOWER LIMIT	MORTALITY UPPER LIMIT	STANDARDIZED RATIO	MORTALITY LOWER LIMIT	RATIO UPPER LIMIT	NUMBER OF LIVE BIRTHS
			PER	CENT		-PER 1000 L	IVE BIRTH	3				<u></u>
OH	04	WHITE	5.82	0.89	9.7	12.2	11.5	12.9	77.9	72.0	84.3	57343
OH	04	BLACK	12.87	2.25	18.7	22.2	19.1	25.7	82.3	69.7	97.2	6681
OH	05	WHITE	6.38	0.93	10.6	12.8	12.2	13.4	81•4	76.5	86.6	84340
OH	05	Black	13.02	2.48	16.6	21.4	19.1	23.9	74•1	64.3	85.3	11239
0H	06	WHITE	6.44	0.97	11.4	13.1	12.3	14.1	85•9	78.8	93.6	39606
0H	06	Black	10.46	1.65	11.5	14.6	10.5	20.4	78•7	48.7	127.3	1214
OH	07	WHITE	6.18	0.96	10.9	12.7	11.9	13.5	85•5	78.9	92.7	47250
OH	07	Black	14.88	2.39	17.6	21.2	17.2	26.2	80•8	62.4	104.8	3014
OH	08	WHITE	5.85	0.80	8•7	11.6	10.7	12.5	73∙2	65.5	81.9	31565
OH	08	Black	13.91	2.65	20•3	23.5	19.9	27.7	84•3	69.5	102.1	4829
OH	09	WHITE	6.37	0.99	10.3	13.1	12.5	13.7	77.1	72.7	81.7	96232
OH	09	Black	12.89	2.44	17.6	22.1	20.6	23.8	77.2	70.8	84.1	26823
OH	10	WHITE	6.09	0.90	10.4	12.6	11.7	13.5	81.1	73.9	89.1	38241
OH	10	BLACK	12.75	2.07	20.3	20.8	17.6	24.7	97.0	80.6	116.7	5322
ΟΚΙ	01	WHITE	6.90	0.95	10.7	13.0	12.6	13.3	81•8	78.4	85.4	141720
ΟΚΙ	01	BLACK	13.78	2.52	17.3	22.1	20.5	23.8	76•7	69.9	84.1	17086
OR(; 01	WHITE	5.23	0.82	8.8	11.4	10.9	12.0	76.0	70.5	81•8	55286
OR(; 01	Black	11.96	1.74	12.8	17.4	14.5	20.9	71.8	54.6	94•5	2654
OR(6 02	WHITE	5.13	0.82	9.0	11.2	10.6	11.7	79•3	73.7	85.3	56799
OR(6 02	Black	11.57	3.31	21.9	24.7	16.0	38.2	87•4	49.3	155.0	365
OR(03	WHITE	5.95	0.66	9.0	10.3	9•6	11.2	86.6	76.1	98.5	18775
OR(03	BLACK	9.86		14.1	6.2	4•2	9.3	225.5	32.6	1562.3	71
P A	01	WHITE	6.21	C.98	11.2	13.0	12.5	13.6	84•8	80.6	89.3	138921
P A	01	BLACK	14.40	2.67	20.0	24.0	22.7	25.3	81•1	76.3	86.2	55221
PA	02	WHITE	5.85	1.07	10.5	13.5	12.5	14.6	74•7	67.8	82.3	40954
Pa	02	Black	10.56	2.09	22.1	18.5	13.0	26.4	122•6	84.7	177.6	1538
PA	03	WHITE	6.39	1.01	11.1	13.3	12.3	14.5	81.9	74.2	90•4	36736
Pa	03	Black	11.91	1.88	40.5	20.1	9.3	43.3	201.5	104.0	390•7	321
PA	04	WHITE	5.75	0.91	9.1	12.3	11.6	13.0	72.6	67.1	78.5	68348
PA	04	Black	12.56	2.49	18.0	21.7	17.8	26.5	81.2	65.0	101.6	4288
РА	05	WHITE	6.27	1.12	12.1	14.2	13.1	15.4	83.7	76.1	92.0	35631
Р А	05	Black	10.85	3.88	22.9	31.4	15.0	65.7	73.0	35.5	150.0	262

		1.0.2	VERY	ΝΕΩΝΑΤΑΙ	FYPECTED						NIMBER
		BIRTH	BIRTH	MORTALITY	EXPECTED	LOWER	UPPER	STANDARDIZE	LOWER	UPPER	OF LIVE
HSA	RACE	WEIGHT	WEIGHT	RATE	RATE	LIMIT	LIMIT	RATIO	LIMIT	LIMIT	BIRTHS
		PER	CENT		-PER 1000 L	IVE BIRTHS					
N Y 01	WHITE	6.56	1.08	10.7	13.9	13.3	14.4	74.9	70.8	79.3	78031
N Y 01	BLACK	14.78	2.91	23.8	27.3	24.9	30.0	83.6	74.9	93.2	9299
N Y 02	WHITE	6.35	0.94	9.0	12.8	12.2	13.4	67.4	62.6	72.7	56341
N Y 02	BLACK	14.61	2.89	16.6	24.7	22.3	27.4	64.9	56.6	74.4	7150
N Y 03	WHITE	6.26	0.98	10.6	12.9	12.4	13.5	80.3	75.7	85.2	72723
N Y 03	BLACK	11.60	2.32	16.5	20.6	17.8	23.8	77 • 7	64.3	94.0	4187
N Y 05	WHITE	6.48	1.00	10.0	13.2	12.6	13.7	73.7	69.1	78.7	65878
N Y 05	BLACK	13.46	2.43	19.6	22.9	19.2	27.3	82.9	66.2	103.9	2709
N Y 06	WHITE	6.22	0.88	9.2	12.2	11.8	12.7	72.9	68.5	77.7	77980
N Y 06	BLACK	12.38	2.54	18.3	22.7	20.7	24.8	77.3	68.8	86.8	10605
N Y 07	WHITE	7.29	1.02	10.9	13.7	13.3	14.0	78.2	75.6	81.0	264246
N Y 07	BLACK	13.26	2.42	17.7	21.7	21.1	22.4	79.4	76.6	82.3	145969
N Y 08	WHITE	5.94	0.79	8.4	11.6	11.2	12.0	70.2	66.4	74.2	109568
N Y 08	BLACK	13.43	2.49	19.3	23.1	21.1	25.2	80.4	71.7	90.1	10760
N C 01	WHITE	7.11	0.97	12.0	13.3	12.7	14.1	89.1	83.0	95.7	45858
N C 01	BLACK	14.03	2.51	21.0	21.6	18.9	24.7	97.1	83.0	113.7	5143
N C 02	WHITE	6.50	0.99	11.0	12.9	12.2	13.6	84.3	77.9	91.2	41277
N C 02	BLACK	12.86	2.32	17.7	21.3	19.6	23.2	80.7	72.6	89-8	13827
N C 03	WHITE	6.29	C.98	10.0	12.8	12.1	13.6	76.5	70.2	83.4	37964
N C 03	BLACK	13.59	2.36	19.5	21.5	19.7	23.3	89.6	81.2	99.0	13979
N C 04	WHITE	6.07	0.81	8.9	11.7	10.9	12.6	75.0	67.2	83.8	25692
N C 04	BLACK	12.97	2.13	16.5	19.8	18.2	21.4	82.3	74.3	91.2	14893
N C 05	WHITE	5.98	0.96	11.6	12.6	11.8	13.4	91.8	84.5	99.7	35098
N C 05	BLACK	12.75	2.26	15.1	20.3	19.0	21.8	70.8	64.3	78.0	20528
N C 06	WHITE	6.18	0.94	11.5	12.6	11.9	13.4	91.0	84-2	98.3	39601
N C 06	BLACK	12.62	2.27	18.1	20.2	19.0	21-4	88.7	82.4	95.5	28097
N D 01	WHITE	5.40	0.69	11.1	10.9	9.6	12.2	102.5	89.2	117.7	18351
N D 01	BLACK	6.99	1.08	16-1	15.1	4.5	50.3	106.8	34.0	335.2	186
ОН 02	WHITE	6.05	1.03	10.5	13.0	12.3	13.8	79.0	73.3	85.2	55821
OH 02	BLACK	13.73	2.45	19.9	22.0	19.4	24.8	90.2	78.7	103.4	8794
OH 03	WHITE	5.63	0.84	10.5	11.6	10.7	12.7	89.2	79.6	99.9	25354
OH 03	BLACK	11.67	2.41	25.7	22.8	16.2	32.1	114.9	81.2	162.4	1245

	DAGE	LOW BIRTH	VERY LOW BIRTH	NEONATAL MORTALITY	EXPECTED	NEONATAL MO	DRTAL ITY UPPER	STANDARDIZ	ED MORTALIT	Y RATIO UPPER	NUMBER OF LIVE
HSA	RALE	WEIGHI	WEIGHI	RATE	RATE	LIMIT		RATIO	LIMIT	LIMIT	BIRTHS
		PER	CENI	وجارد شور شرو الله والم المدوري وجر الكروبية.	-PER 1000 LI	VE BIRTHS					
MIS 01	WHITE	6.22	0.88	10.9	11.9	11.3	12.5	91.1	85.6	96.9	91951
MIS OI	BLACK	12.23	2.04	19.0	18.6	17.8	19.5	102.4	97.3	107.8	83205
MO 02	WHITE	6.00	0.86	11.3	11.8	11.3	12.3	95.4	89.5	101.8	57622
MU 02	BLACK	12.12	2.16	16.0	. 18.8	15.6	22.7	83.5	64.7	107.7	2504
MO 04	WHITE	6.06	0.86	10.9	11.8	11.1	12.5	92.4	84.6	100.8	31616
MO 04	BLACK	11.95	3.07	27.3	23.9	14.0	40.8	116.8	68.2	200.2	293
MO 05	WHITE	6.35	0.82	11.3	12.0	11.2	12.8	93.6	85.3	102.7	27248
MO 05	BLACK	13.65	1.86	18.2	17.6	14.7	20.9	103.6	82.2	130.4	2588
MON 01	WHITE	6.56	0.91	11.3	12.7	12.0	13.3	88.6	82.5	95.2	45042
MON 01	BL ACK	11.25	1.25	12.5	15.2	7.7	30.0	82.4	35.7	190.1	240
NEB 01	WHITE	5.57	0.80	10.6	11.3	10.7	12.0	92.7	85.4	100.6	38721
NEB 01	BLACK	5.63	1.41	14.1	16.9	4.1	68.7	83.6	25.4	274.7	71
NEB 02	WHITE	4.95	0.70	7.9	10.4	9.6	11.2	75.1	65.8	85.7	20158
NEB 02	BLACK	9.54	2.83	17.7	20.5	11.8	35.7	86.3	46.0	161.7	283
NEV 01	WHITE	7.45	0,95	11.8	14.2	12.9	15.7	82.3	72.0	94.0	13865
NEV 01	BLACK	11.63	2.71	19.4	22.5	11.7	43.3	83.2	36.8	187.9	258
NEV 02	WHITE	6.51	0.85	9.4	12.1	11.0	13.3	76.6	67.1	87.3	18209
NEV 02	BLACK	14.04	2.78	17.4	24.3	20.6	28.8	70.0	57.4	85.5	3669
N H 01	WHITE	6.31	0.97	9.4	12.8	12.2	13.5	71.0	65.6	76.9	45406
N H 01	BLACK	8.66	1.44	14.4	12.9	7.3	22.7	112.3	49.9	252.9	277
N J 01	WHITE	6.06	0.83	8.6	11.9	11.1	12.7	70.5	64.3	77.3	51863
N J 01	BLACK	13.91	2.59	14.9	23.0	19.7	26.8	61.8	51.2	74.6	6722
N J 02	WHITE	6.08	0.87	10.6	12.2	11.5	12.9	85.3	79.2	91.9	70249
N J 02	BLACK	13.40	2.26	15.4	21.0	19.4	22.7	69.7	63.5	76.6	29209
N J 03	WHITE	7.61	0.95	11.5	13.4	12.3	14.7	84.4	75.1	94.9	25311
N J 03	BLACK	15.15	2.58	20.7	22.6	19.4	26.4	90.1	75.5	107.6	6703
N J 04	WHITE	6.20	0.85	8.9	12.3	11.6	13.0	70.4	65.5	75.6	83189
N J 04	BLACK	13.63	2.34	18.7	21.8	19.4	24.6	83.4	72.9	95•4	12158
N J 05	WHITE	6.22	C.95	10-4	13.0	12.2	13.8	78.4	72.8	84.5	66575
N J 05	BLACK	14.07	2.47	19.7	22.9	. 20.7	25.5	83.8	74.4	94.2	14952
N M 01	WHITE	8.56	0.91	10.6	13.5	12.8	14.2	77.7	72.5	83.4	73095
N M 01	BLACK	12.34	2.59	20.4	24.0	18.2	31.6	83.3	62.1	111.8	2209

٠

.

TABLE 1. BIRTH WEIGHT AND NEONATAL MORTALITY MEASURES, STANDARDIZED MORTALITY RATID, AND NUMBER OF LIVE BIRTHS BY HEALTH SERVICE AREA AND RACE: UNITED STATES, 1974-77

	TABLE 1	• BIRTH WEI	GHT AND NE	ONATAL MORTAL By Health Ser	ITY MEASURE Vice Area A	S, STANDAI ND RACE: U	RDIZED MORTAL JNITED STATES	ITY RATIO, AND • 1974-77	NUMBER OF	LIVE BIRTHS	5
HSA	RACE	LOW BIRTH WEIGHT	VERY LOW BIRTH WEIGHT	NEONATAL MORTALITY RATE	EXPECTED RATE	NEONATAL LOWER LIMIT	MORTALITY UPPER LIMIT	STANDARDIZE RATID	D MORTALIT LOWER LIMIT	Y RATIO UPPER LIMIT	NUMBER DF LIVE BIRTHS
		PER	CENT		-PER 1000 L	IVE BIRTHS	5				
MAG 02	WHITE	6 92	1 02	0 0	14.2	12 1	15 3	66 8	60.3	74 1	31614
MAS 02	BLACK	11.25	2.47	9.9	21.9	14.4	33.4	45.1	26.2	77.6	809
MAS 03	WHITE	6.02	0.86	9.1	12.0	11.5	12.6	74.1	69.5	79.1	89123
MAS 03	BLACK	9.68	1.33	11.9	16.3	12.4	21.6	68.6	47.7	98.8	2270
MAS 04	WHITE	6.28	1.03	10.5	13.4	12.6	14.3	76.1	70.0	82.8	45256
MAS 04	BLACK	11.27	2.18	14.5	19.7	17.5	22.1	70.7	61.0	81.8	10826
MAS 05	WHITE	6.42	1.03	9.5	13.3	12.5	14.1	69.9	64.4	75.9	50717
MAS 05	BLACK	12.59	1.54	18.4	14.2	10.0	20.2	132.0	83.2	209.5	978
MIC 01	WHITE	6.36	0.98	10.0	13.1	12.8	13.4	74.0	71.3	76.8	197946
MIC OI	BLACK	13,69	2.58	18.8	23.0	22.2	23.8	79•4	76.0	82.9	68506
MIC 02	WHITE	5.98	0-94	9.9	12.7	12.0	13.4	76.7	70.7	83.3	37946
MIC UZ	BLACK	12.89	3.34	21.5	21.5	22.9	52.0	12.5	57.5	91.7	2391
MIC 03	WHITE	6.25	1.05	9.7	13.4	12.7	14.2	71.0	65.5	77.0	39828
MIC 03	BLACK	12.04	2.01	11.5	23.0	20+4	25.8	12.0	01.5	84•1	2991
MIC 04	WHITE	5.49	0.92	8.8	12.0	11.5	12.6	71.1	66.2	76.5	59552
110 04	DLAUK	13,00	2.30	17.5	22.01	19.9	29.0	12+0	01•1	00+0	4910
MIC 05	WHITE	6.10	1.03	10.4	13.3	12.5	14.2	76.6	70.0	83-8	30558
MIC UD	DLACK	12.00	2.05	1/-/	23.4	20.9	20.2	11.5	01.4	02.00	6512
MIC 06	WHITE	5.94	1.04	10.8	13.2	12.5	13.9	80.4	74.5	86.7	42602
110 00	DEACK	13440	2.50	12+2	21.0	10.1	2.00	20 • 1	59.0	04.0	5522
MIC 07	WHITE	5.88	0•94	8.8	12.2	11.3	13.3	70.5	61.8	80.4	17032
MIC UT	DLACK	-	-	-	2.4	•••	•••		•••	•••	1.
MIC 08	WHITE	5,54	0-94	10.7	12.4	11.4	13.4	84.8	75.4	95.5	18762
	DEACK				10.7	0.5	11.05	++••5		20001	211
MIN 04	WHITE BLACK	5.17	0.74	9.7	10.7	9.9	11.6	90.4	81.0	100.9	26387
	DENOR		5425		1545				•••		
MIN 05	WHITE	5.66 12.47	0.87 1.97	9.2 16.1	11.8	11.3	12.3	76.9 81.2	72.7	81.4 100.0	102023
	DEADK	12441	1	1001	17.2	10.1	22.00			10000	
MIN 06	WHI TE BL ACK	4.43 19.05	0-80	9.6	10.6	9.8	11.4	90+2	81.6	99.7	30821
				_							
MIN 07 MIN 07	WHITE BLACK	4.95 9.09	0.90	9.8	11.9 8.8	10.9	13.0	81.1	(2.1	AT•3	22773

			LOW BIRTH	VERY LOW BIRTH	NEONATAL Mortality	EXPECTED	NEONATAL M	ORTALITY UPPER	STANDARDIZE	D MORTALIT	Y RATIO UPPER	NUMBER OF LIVE
H:	SA	RACE	WEIGHT	WEIGHT	RATE	RATE	LIMIT	LIMIT	RATIO	LIMIT	LIMIT	BIRTHS
			PER	CENT		-PER 1000 L	VE BIRTHS-					
IND	03	WHITE	5.91	0.93	10.1	12.4	11.7	13.1	79.9	74.2	85.9	71942
IND	03	BLACK	9.56	1.93	18.8	18.6	13.8	25.0	101.2	74.4	137.7	2236
KAN	01	WHITE	6.02	0.94	12.0	12.5	11.7	13.4	95.8	87.5	104.9	25482
KAN	01	BLACK	12.91	2.47	24.7	20.0	13.4	30.0	123.5	72.4	210.9	364
KAN	02	WHITE	5.34	0.91	10.0	11.9	11.2	12.7	82.7	75.7	90.4	32340
KAN	02	BLACK	11.81	1.91	12.7	17.5	14.5	21.2	68.1	49.8	93.2	2517
KAN	03	WHITE	6.36	0.98	10.5	12.9	12.2	13.5	80.6	74.8	86.9	44613
KAN	03	BLACK	13-88	2.48	19.0	21.5	18.5	24.9	87.1	71.0	106.9	3466
КΥ	01	WHITE	6.45	0.95	10.0	12.7	12.2	13.2	78.0	73.7	82.5	95104
KΥ	01	BLACK	12.37	1.86	15.3	18.8	17.2	20.6	80•4	71.9	89.9	14676
КΥ	02	WHITE	7.01	0.95	11.3	13.1	12.6	13.6	85.6	81.0	90.4	90171
KΥ	02	BLACK	12.15	2.00	14.2	18.0	15.2	21.2	76.8	61.0	96.7	4155
LA	01	WHITE	6.66	0.84	10.1	12.2	11.6	12.8	81.9	76.3	87.8	57699
LA	01	BLACK	12.75	2.11	18.7	19.6	18.7	20.6	95.2	89.9	100.9	41343
LA	02	WHITE	6.48	0.89	10.5	12.4	11.8	12.9	83.6	78.2	89.3	64685
LA	02	BLACK	13.05	2.25	18.6	20-2	19-2	21.3	91.3	85•7	97.2	35210
LA	03	WHITE	6.13	0.82	10.4	11.8	11.2	12.5	87.1	80.5	94.2	-45185
LA	03	BLACK	13.07	2.29	18.1	20.3	19-2	21.4	88.1	82.3	94.2	32467
ME	01	WHITE	5.93	0.88	8.8	12.2	11.7	12.8	70.3	65.5	75.5	60729
ME	01	BLACK	7.02	1.32	4.3	16.9	7.9	35.9	25.7	7.4	89.8	230
MD	01	WHITE	6.06	0.97	10.0	12.6	11.4	13.9	77.6	67.4	89.3	14954
MD	01	BLACK	13.40	2.39	17.5	23.4	15.8	34.8	70.8	43.3	115.7	628
MD	02	WHITE	5.35	0.85	9.6	11.7	10.8	12.7	80-6	71.8	90.5	22451
MD	02	BLACK	9.44	1.89	12.9	18.8	15.4	22.9	66.1	51.8	84.5	3031
MD	03	WHITE	6.05	1.06	10.5	13.4	12.6	14.3	76.5	69.9	83.8	31639
MD	03	BL A CK	11.01	2.20	16.8	20.4	18.7	22.1	79.5	71.6	88.3	15162
MÐ	04	WHITE	6.19	1.00	10.6	13.1	12.5	13.7	79.7	75.0	84.6	73180
MD	04	BLACK	14.04	2.67	18.2	23.7	22.6	25.0	74•4	69.8	79.2	35181
MD	05	WHITE	6.34	C.96	10.0	13.4	12.0	15.0	72.5	61.6	85.4	10523
MD	05	BLACK	15.47	3.04	15.6	24.1	20.8	28.0	62.4	50.9	76.5	3793
MAS	01	WHITE	6.26	1.00	9.2	13.4	12.4	14.4	65.6	59.2	72.7	35151
MAS	01	BLACK	10.65	1.53	15.8	17.6	13.4	23.1	88 • 8	65.3	120.8	2281

					DI NEALIN SEK	VICE AREA A	ND RACE: OR	TIED STATES	1914-11			
н	ISA	RACE	LOW BIRTH WEIGHT	VERY LOW BIRTH WEIGHT	NEONATAL Mortality Rate	EXPECTED RATE	NEONATAL M LOWER LIMIT	MORTALITY UPPER LIMIT	STANDARDIZ RATIO	ED MORTALIT LOWER LIMIT	Y RATIO UPPER LIMIT	NUMBER OF LIVE BIRTHS
			PER	CENT		-PER 1000 L	IVE BIRTHS-				· · · ·	
~ •	~~			• • • •	10.0	12 (13.0	94 7	75 0	<u>0/</u> 9	29324
GA GA	02	BLACK	14.31	2.56	10.8	23.8	19.3	29.4	46.5	35.3	61.2	3511
GΑ	03	WHITE	6.50	0.90	8.5	12.5	11.9	13.1	67.0	62.5	71.8	86444
GA	03	BLACK	13.78	2.75	18.1	24.2	22.7	25.8	71.9	66.9	77.2	40892
GA	06	WHITE	5.99	0.89	10.4	11.4	10.3	12.6	91.5	79.9	104.7	20019
GA	06	BLACK	12.22	2.03	12.5	19.1	17.4	21.1	63.1	55.9	71.2	19242
GA	07	WHITE	6.35	0.86	11.1	12.2	11.0	13.4	90.9	80.5	102.7	23733
GA	07	BLACK	12.36	1.83	12.3	18.2	16.2	20.4	63.6	54.8	73.8	14465
HAN	03	WHITE	5.86	1.06	8.9	13.0	11.6	14.6	67.2	57.8	78.2	17190
HAN	03	BLACK	8.61	1.29	9.3	13.1	9.0	19.2	67.4	38.5	118.1	1396
IDA	01	WHITE	5.71	0.75	8.8	10.9	10.4	11.5	79.6	73.8	85.8	66519
ID4	01	BLACK	6.25	-	8.8	4.9	4.3	5.6	178.5	44.8	711.5	228
ILL	. 01	WHITE	5.55	0.82	10.2	11.7	11.0	12.4	86.5	79.0	94.7	31401
ILL	01	BLACK	11.79	1.90	16.5	19.0	15.5	23.2	84.5	64-8	110.3	2367
ILL	. 02	WHITE	6.13	1.05	10.2	13.4	12.7	14.2	73.5	67.7	79.9	39776
ILL	. 02	BLACK	12.43	2.42	19.1	22.5	18.7	26.9	83.9	67.7	103.9	2559
ILL	. 03	WHITE	6.02	0.94	10.2	12.4	11.7	13.2	81.0	73.9	88.8	31542
ILL	. 03	BLACK	14.19	3.19	26.0	31.2	24.6	39.5	73.9	53.5	102.0	1383
ILL	. 04	WHITE	6.29	C.99	10.3	12.9	12.2	13.6	78.4	72.4	84.9	42360
ILL	. 04	BLACK	13.71	2.83	19.0	24.9	21.6	28.7	71.3	58.8	86.4	3899
ILI	. 05	WHITE	6.05	0.88	11.6	12.1	11.4	12.8	96.1	88.4	104.5	31722
ILL	. 05	BLACK	12.05	1.87	15.0	19.8	15.6	25.3	74•2	55.4	99.4	1663
ILL	. 07	WHITE	5.95	0.97	10.9	12,8	12.5	13.1	83.2	80.5	85.9	240153
ILL	. 07	BLACK	13.98	2.80	20.9	24.4	23.8	25.1	83.6	81.0	86.2	115586
ILL	. 08	WHITE	5.45	0.86	10.3	11.7	11.1	12.3	87.8	81.5	94.6	46232
ILL	. 08	BLACK	11.77	2.37	16.6	21.6	18.6	25.2	71-8	58.1	88.8	3800
ILI	. 09	WHITE	5.89	0.95	11.4	12.6	11.8	13.5	89.3	81.1	98.3	26794
ILI	. 09	BLACK	13.41	2.61	24.2	24.1	20.9	27.9	100.2	85.2	117.8	3807
IN	0 01	WHITE	5.74	0.91	10.7	12.3	11.7	12.9	86.5	81.6	91.6	109235
IN	0 01	BLACK	11.46	2.32	18.7	21.9	19.8	24.3	82.9	74.1	92.8	17779
IN	0 02	WHITE	5.95	0.92	9.1	12.4	11.9	13.0	70.7	66.5	75.1	113724
IN	02	BLACK	12.69	2.26	15.8	20.8	18.7	23.2	73.3	64.5	83.3	14961

				BY HEALTH SERV	ICE AREA AN	ID RACE: UN	ITED STATES.	1974-77			
	DAGE.	LOW BIRTH	VERY LOW BIRTH	NEONATAL MORTALITY	EXPECTED	NEONATAL M LOWER	ORTALITY UPPER	STANDARDIZE	D MORTALIT	Y RATIO UPPER	NUMBER OF LIVE
HSA 	KALE	WEIGHI	WEIGHI	KATE		LIMII	L1011	KAT10			DIKINS
		PER	CENT		-PER 1000 LJ	IVE BIRTHS-					
CON 01	WHITE	5.95	0.95	9.9	12.6	11.5	13.8	75.5	67.1	84.9	30434
CON 01	BLACK	12.58	2.80	22.6	24.1	20.2	28.9	92.6	76.4	112.3	5218
CON 02	WHITE	6.36	0.84	10.3	12.4	11.3	13.5	81.8	73.0	91.5	30296
CON 02	BL ACK	13.82	3.35	20.8	28.9	24.4	34.4	66.9	55.4	80.9	5200
CON 03	WHITE	5.76	0.89	10.2	12.6	11.3	14.0	78.5	68.7	89.8	22422
CON 03	BL ACK	14.71	2.15	15.7	22.1	15.1	32.5	65.8	41.5	104-4	1210
CON 04	WHITE	6.39	1.06	10-1	13.6	12.6	14.8	71.2	64.2	78.9	37223
CON 04	BL ACK	14.58	3.16	22.4	28.1	23.6	33.4	74.8	61.4	91.2	5048
CON 05	WHITE	6.70	1.40	14.0	16.8	13.8	20.3	79.8	63.6	100.0	6287
CON 05	BLACK	6.25	-	~	4.7	•••	•••	-	•••	•••	64
DEL 01	WHITE	6.27	0.96	9.3	12.9	11.7	14.2	70.0	61.8	79.4	25617
DEL 01	BLACK	13.89	2.48	15.2	21.4	18.4	24.8	68.4	56.9	82.2	7285
D C 02	WHITE	6.90	1.40	13.8	15.8	12.9	19.2	86.3	68.9	108.2	5719
D C 02	BLACK	14.01	2.73	22.7	24.3	22.6	26.0	92.8	86.2	99.9	33382
FLA 01	WHITE	5.86	6.90	10.3	12.0	11.4	12.7	84.3	77.5	91.7	37423
FLA OI	BLACK	12.23	1.97	17.5	18.6	17.2	20.2	93.8	84.9	103.7	13752
FLA 02	WHITE	6.24	0.97	5. 7	12.7	11.8	13.7	75.9	68.2	84.4	22668
FLA 02	BLACK	11.59	1.89	15.8	17.7	15.9	19.6	88.6	77•7	101.1	8881
FLA 03	WHITE	6.40	1.13	9.5	14.3	13.6	15.1	65.7	60.8	70.9	39236
FLA 03	BLACK	12.70	2.64	17.8	23.5	21.8	25.3	73.8	67.5	80.7	15101
FLA 04	WHITE	6.58	1.04	9.7	13.3	12.7	13.9	71.6	66.7	76.9	53051
FLA 04	BLACK	13.83	2.58	19.6	23.3	21.5	25-2	82.2	74.5	90+7	13142
FLA 05	WHITE	6.18	0.83	8.7	11.8	11.1	12.5	72•4	65.8	79.5	33731
FLA 05	BLACK	12.07	2.02	13.8	18.9	17.2	20.8	71.2	62.3	81.4	9666
FLA 06	WHITE	6.58	1.01	10.5	12.9	12.1	13.6	80.8	73.9	88.4	31851
FLA 06	BLACK	13.09	2.40	21.9	22.1	20.0	24.4	99.3	89.0	110.8	8707
FLA 07	WHITE	6.91	1.00	10.4	13.4	12.5	14.4	75.6	67.6	84.5	21406
FLA 07	BLACK	13.20	2.50	18.8	21.9	20.0	24.0	85.0	76.1	94.9	9817
FLA 08	WHITE	6.41	0.88	9.2	12.6	11.8	13.5	70.3	63.2	78.2	26796
FLA 08	BLACK	13.61	2.62	18.7	22.1	20.2	24.2	82.8	73.5	93.4	9500
FLA 09	WHITE	5.96	0.96	8.9	12.7	12.1	13.4	68.0	63.0	73.3	49980
FLA 09	BLACK	12.51	2.52	16.0	22.2	20.9	23.6	70.0	64.6	75.8	22561

	TABLE 1	. BIRTH WEI	GHT AND NE	ONATAL MORTAL By Health Ser	ITY MEASURE Vice Area A	S, STANDA ND RACE:	RDIZED MORTAL UNITED STATES	ITY RATIO, AND , 1974-77	NUMBER OF	LIVE BIRTHS	5
HSA	RACE	LOW BIRTH Weight	VERY LOW BIRTH WEIGHT	NEONATAL Mortality Rate	EXPECTED Rate	NEONATAL Lower Limit	MORTALITY UPPER LIMIT	STANDARDIZE RATIO	D MORTALIT LOWER LIMIT	Y RATIO UPPER LIMIT	NUMBER OF LIVE BIRTHS
		PER	CENT		-PER 1000 L	IVE BIRTH	S				
CAL 02	WHITE	5.65	0.75	8.3	11.1	10.4	11.9	73.6	67.3	80.6	54937
CAL 02	Black	10.71	1.99	12.1	17.2	14.2	20.8	68.9	54.1	87.7	5044
CAL 03	WHITE	5.33	0.74	8.1	10.9	9.9	12.1	73.2	64.5	83.1	27549
Cal 03	BLACK	9.40	1.63	12.6	17.3	12.8	23.5	73.0	51.8	102.8	2215
CAL 04	WHITE	5.77	1.04	8•1	14.0	12.9	15 .1	46.7	41.5	52.6	44366
CAL 04	BLACK	11.68	2.15	12•2	20.4	18.0	23 . 1	55.1	46.9	64.7	11717
CAL 05	WHI TE	5.42	0.81	8.0	11.2	10.5	11.9	69.8	64.1	75.9	64737
CAL 05	BLACK	12.67	2.18	10.8	19.6	17.8	21.6	52.8	46.4	60.1	18475
CAL 06	WHITE	5.55	0.73	7•8	10.7	9.9	11.5	72.3	65.1	80.2	42481
CAL 06	Black	12.67	1.99	9•9	17.1	13.3	22.0	58.0	40.2	83.7	2420
CAL 07	WHITE	5.72	0.93	8•2	12.3	11.5	13.1	65.1	59.8	70.9	60664
CAL 07	Black	9.13	1.31	9•5	14.0	11.0	17.8	66.7	48.6	91.6	3678
CAL 08	WHITE	4.88	0.87	8.5	11.1	10.2	12.1	75.2	67.1	84.3	33589
CAL 08	Black	11.77	2.55	17.2	23.0	16.8	31.6	66.5	44.8	98.6	1806
CAL 09	WHITE	5.67	0.80	9.9	11.5	10.9	12.2	85.8	80.0	92.0	77291
CAL 09	Black	11.84	2.31	16.6	21.2	17.4	25.8	77.1	62.2	95.7	4688
CAL 10	WHITE	5.14	0.70	7.8	10.6	9.8	11.4	72•2	64.8	80.4	41313
CAL 10	Black	8.53	1.38	13.2	13.9	9.6	20.1	94•5	62.1	143.9	1596
CAL 11	WHITE	5.40	0.78	8.4	11.0	10.7	11.3	75.8	73.2	78•4	361052
CAL 11	Black	11.69	1.95	15.3	18.3	17.4	19.2	82.6	77.8	87•7	69102
CAL 12	WHITE	5.74	0.78	8.5	11.2	10.6	11.9	74.8	69.3	80.7	74372
Cal 12	Black	11.99	1.99	11.5	18.0	15.1	21.5	61.0	48.1	77.4	5641
CAL 13	WHITE	5.31	0.82	8.6	11.3	10.7	11.9	74.9	70.0	80.1	95655
Cal 13	BLACK	9.18	1.76	7.8	16.7	12.2	23.0	35.2	20.5	60.6	2055
CAL 14	WHITE	5.41	0.87	8•2	11.6	11.0	12.3	68.4	63.7	73.4	90070
CAL 14	Black	11.69	1.98	14•2	19.5	16.7	22.7	70.2	58.5	84.3	7891
COL 01	WHITE	8.32	1.03	8.5	14.2	13.8	14.6	57.0	53.8	60.4	989 75
COL 01	Black	14.87	2.38	14.2	22.0	19.4	25.0	61.4	51.6	73.2	5359
COL 02	WHITE	8.91	1.07	10.3	14.5	13.8	15.2	69•6	64.1	75.6	39022
COL 02	BLACK	14.16	2.73	14.7	22.9	18.6	28.1	59•6	43.4	81.9	1833
COL 03 COL 03	WHITE BLACK	8.57 9.09	0.95	10.4	13.6 7.4	12.5	14.7	76.4	67.0	87.2	14515 33

•

				BY HEALTH SER	VICE AREA A	ND RACE: U	NITED STATES	, 1974-77			
	0.1.05	LOW BIRTH	VERY LOW BIRTH	NEONATAL MORTALITY	EXPECTED	NEONATAL I LOWER	MORTALITY UPPER	STANDARDIZE	D MORTALIT	Y RATIO UPPER	NUMBER OF LIVE
HŞA	RACE	WEIGHT	WEIGHT	RATE	RATE	LIMIT		RATIO	LIMIT	LIMIT	BIRTHS
		PER	CENT		-PER 1000 L	IVE BIRTHS					
ALA 01	WHITE	6.56	0.83	11.2	11.9	11.2	12.7	93.7	85•7	102.3	35460
ALA 01	BLACK	12.37	1.93	18.8	18.2	15.8	20.9	104.1	88•4	122.7	6050
ALA 02	WHITE	6.32	1.01	10.2	12.4	10.9	14.3	81.5	67.2	98.9	7837
ALA 02	BLACK	11.52	1.92	16.0	18.0	15.6	20.8	88.2	74.2	104.9	6180
ALA 03	WHITE	6.27	0.93	10.9	12.3	11.6	13.2	87.8	80.5	95•9	35391
ALA 03	BLACK	12.96	2.12	15.4	18.8	17.4	20.4	80.5	72.5	89•4	17813
ALA 04	WHITE	6.61	1.10	13.1	14.1	13.0	15.3	92.9	83.9	102.8	21903
ALA 04	BLACK	12.69	2.55	20.8	21.7	19.3	24.3	95.9	84.2	109.3	8059
ALA 05	WHITE	5.61	0.77	11.1	11.0	10.2	12.0	100.1	90.3	110.9	25412
ALA 05	BLACK	11.75	2.01	19.7	18.8	17.4	20.3	105.2	96.2	114.9	19398
ALA 06	WHITE	5.86	0.78	9.1	11.3	10.5	12.2	79.5	71 .1	89.0	26806
ALA 06	BLACK	11.66	1.89	17.6	17.7	16.4	19.0	99.9	91.8	108.7	23311
ALK O1	WHITE	4.98	0.68	8•4	10.4	9.4	11.4	79.8	69.8	91.1	22112
ALK O1	BLACK	9.49	1.82	24•2	14.1	9.6	20.7	183.1	121.2	276.5	993
ARI 01	WHITE	6.01	0.89	10.0	12.1	11.5	12.8	81.7	76.3	87.5	82423
ARI 01	Black	12.55	2.70	18.8	21.7	18.0	26.2	85.9	69.5	106.2	4517
ARI 02	WHITE	6.25	0.81	8.0	11.6	10.7	12.5	67.9	60.7	76.0	36326
ARI 02	BLACK	9.93	1.55	7.1	13.6	10.0	18.3	52.1	30.0	90.3	1557
ARI 03	WHITE	7.27	0.85	9.1	12.5	10.7	14.5	72.6	59.2	89.1	9218
ARI 03	BLACK	11.46	2.08	15.5	21.1	7.7	57.6	48.3	7.3	319.2	194
ARI 05	WHITE	6.82	0.64	9.6	10.3	8.9	11.9	93•9	75.2	117.2	7890
ARI 05	BLACK	10.17	-	4.2	8.5	5.8	12.4	49•9	7.2	344.6	236
ARK 01	WHITE	6.88	0.92	9.8	12.6	11.6	13.6	77 . 1	69.6	85.5	35295
ARK 01	Black	12.82	3.38	16.9	25.7	19.6	33.7	65.6	48.5	88.7	2017
ARK 02	WHITE	6.26	0.85	10.6	11.9	10.9	13.0	88.0	78.4	98.8	27282
ARK 02	BLACK	12.52	1.89	14.8	18.1	15.8	20.6	80.4	68.7	94.1	10424
ARK 03	WHITE	6.26	0.79	9.4	11.7	10.6	12.9	79.5	69.7	90.6	23071
ARK 03	Black	13.00	2.08	15.9	18.7	16.3	21.3	83.9	71.4	98.6	9547
ARK 04	WHITE	6.35	0.89	11.3	12.7	11.3	14.3	88.0	76.2	101.6	17557
ARK 04	Black	12.62	2.13	15.1	19.5	17.4	21.9	76.5	66.7	87.8	12687
CAL 01	WHITE	6.02	0.73	7.8	10.9	10.0	11.9	70.2	61.9	79.6	30248
CAL 01	BLACK	9.30	1.03	10.3	10.4	6.5	16.7	99.1	37.4	262.5	387

î

Table 2. Neonatal mortality rates by race and birth weight:North Carolina, 1973-74

		1	B.	ir	tł	1	Ne	ig	h	:		 	 	 		White	Black
							Rate per 1,000 live births										
500 grams or	le	ess														1,000.00	1,000.00
501-1,000 .																897.46	868.04
1,001-1,500																464.66	323.46
1,501-2,000																151.83	90.63
2,001-2,500																31.91	17.08
2,501-3,000			,													5.95	5.10
3,001-3,500																3.24	3.43
3,501-4,000																2.53	3.13
4,001-4,500																2.47	5.86
Above 4,500																5.17	23.34

\$

Appendixes

Contents

I.	Health Service Area codes	33
11.	Variance formulas	37 37 38

List of appendix figures

I. Health service areas in the Eastern United States		34
II. Health service areas in the Midwestern United States		35
III. Health service areas in the Western United States	•••••	36

.

Appendix I. Health Service Area codes

Health Service Areas (HSAs) designated under the National Health Planning and Resources Development Act of 1974 are defined in reference 16. Figures I-III illustrate these areas. The HSA codes used in this report have been modified to be consistent with county boundaries. The codes in table 1 are the same as those used by the Health Resources Administration to produce the Area Resource File (Version 12).¹⁷ As a result of the redefinition, there are a total of 202 HSA's for which 1974-77 low birth weight and neonatal mortality statistics have been calculated. This excludes Puerto Rico and other areas that are comprised of small parts of counties that cannot be defined.

The exceptions to the official HSA designations are as follows:

(a) The States requesting exemption from designating HSA's are redefined as follows:

Official HSA code	Redefined HSA code
-------------------	--------------------

None																											DC 02
None	•							•							•										•		.HAW 03
None	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	·	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	RI 04

(b) Interstate HSA's are listed with State name as INT (for interstate) on the last page of the printout. The 14 interstate HSA's are defined as follows:

Official HSA code	Redefined HSA code
GA 01, TN 03	INT 02
GA 04. SC 05	

GA 05, AL	. 07	•	 	•					•					•					INT 04
IA 01, NE	04																		INT 05
NE 03, IA	02	•								•									INT 06
IA 03, IL 1	0.	•										•						•	INT 07
OH 01, KY	′ 03	•	 			•			•	•			•	•	•	•		•	INT 08
ND 02, MN	J 01	•							•			•	•					•	INT 09
WI 07, MN	02	•			•			•		•									INT 10
ND 03, MN	1 03	• •										•				•			INT 11
MO 01, KS	04																	•	INT 12
MO 03, IL	11	• •					•							•	•				INT 13
NY 04, PA	80	• •		•															INT 14
TN 01, VA	06																		INT 15

(c) HSA's officially listed as including parts of counties are redefined to include the follow-ing complete counties:

Official HSA code	Counties included
AK 01	l divisions in Alaska Sila, Maricopa, Pinal Santa Cruz, Graham ne, Navajo (includes
AZ 05	Mohave, Yuma
CT 01	Fairfield
СТ 02	New Haven
CT 03 Middlesex, New	/ London, Windham
CT 04	. Hartford, Tolland
СТ 05	Litchfield
IL 06 Area is not defined. Chicago	is included in 1L 07.
IL 07	Cook, Du Page
MA 01 Berkshire, Franklin, H	ampden, Hampshire
MA 02	
	Essex, who lesex
	NORTOIK, SUTTOIK
MA 05 Barnstable, Bristol, Dukes, N	antucket, Plymouth
counties are included in N	and Widdlesex
NM 01 All counties in New Mexic	o (includes NM 02).
UT 01 All counties in Uta	ah (includes UT 02).



Figure I. Health service areas in the Eastern United States



Figure II. Health service areas in the Midwestern United States



Figure III. Health service areas in the Western United States

Appendix II. Variance formulas

This appendix derives the variance formulas for the expected neonatal mortality rate (ENMR) and the standardized mortality ratio (SMR). All terms in formulas should be interpreted as decimals, not rates (e.g., .012, not 12 per 1,000).

Let

 B_i = number of births in weight i during year

$$B = \sum B_i$$
$$p_i = B_i/B$$

Since the ENMR reflects the birth weight distribution its variance is derived under a model which assumes that the B_i are the realization of a random process with underlying probability Π_i of a birth having weight i. Since birth certificates are sometimes sampled, we further allow that a simple random sample of b births are selected from the B births.

The variance of the SMR is derived conditionally upon the B_i since the SMR is used to compare weight-specific mortality given the birth weight distribution.

ENMR

1

Consider the following model. The number of births in a given year (for a particular population) is B with B_i births in each birth weight category $(\sum B_i = B)$. We view the B_i 's as the realization of an underlying process with probability Π_i of a birth having weight i. Thus, B_1, B_2, \ldots, B_k has a multinomial distribution with parameters B, Π_1, \ldots, Π_k .

Now suppose we take a simple random sample (without replacement) of the *B* births. Then b_1, b_2, \ldots, b_k has a multivariate hypergeometric distribution with parameters b, p_1, \ldots, p_k . It follows that conditional on B_1, \ldots, B_k ,

$$E(b_i|B_i) = bp_i$$

Var $(b_i|B_i) = \frac{B-b}{B-1}bp_iq_i$ $(q_i = 1 - p_i)$

$$\operatorname{cov}(b_{i}, b_{j}|B_{i}) = \frac{B-b}{B-1}p_{i}p_{j}$$

Suppose we wish to summarize the weight distribution by estimating the expected neonatal death rate that would occur given a standard set of weight-specific mortality rates M_1, \ldots, M_k . This can be written as

$$ENMR = \frac{1}{b} \sum b_{i}M_{i}$$
(1)

Conditionally on the B_i , the expected value of ENMR is

$$E(\text{ENMR}|B_i) = \sum p_i M_i$$
 (2)

and it follows that (unconditionally)

$$E(\text{ENMR}) = \sum \Pi_{i} \mathcal{M}_{i}$$
 (3)

The conditional variance of M is

Var (ENMR |B_i) =
$$\frac{B-b}{b(B-1)} \left\{ \sum M_i^2 p_i q_i - 2 \sum M_i M_i p_i p_i \right\}$$
 (4)

A general formula for the unconditional variance is

$$Var(ENMR) = E(Var(ENMR|B_i)) + Var(E(ENMR|B_i))$$
(5)

The last term on the right is obtained from (2) as

$$\operatorname{Var}(E(\operatorname{ENMR}|B_{i})) = \frac{1}{B} \left\{ \sum M_{i}^{2} \Pi_{i} (1 - \Pi_{i}) - 2 \sum_{i < j} M_{i} M_{j} \Pi_{i} \Pi_{j} \right\}$$
(6)

37

In order to obtain the expected value of (4) note that

$$E(p_{i}q_{i}) = E(p_{i} - p_{i}^{2}) = \Pi_{i}(1 - \Pi_{i})\left(1 - \frac{1}{B}\right)$$
$$E(p_{i}p_{j}) = -\frac{\Pi_{i}\Pi_{j}}{B} + \Pi_{i}\Pi_{j} = \Pi_{i}\Pi_{j}\left(1 - \frac{1}{B}\right)$$

Then

$$E(\text{Var}(\text{ENMR}|B_{i})) = \frac{\vec{B} - b}{b(\vec{B} - 1)} \frac{\vec{B} - 1}{b} \left\{ \sum M_{i}^{2} \Pi_{i} (\vec{1} - \Pi_{i}) - 2 \sum_{i < j} M_{i} M_{j} \Pi_{i} \Pi_{j} \right\}$$
(7)

Combining (6) and (7) gives

$$\operatorname{Var}(\operatorname{ENMR}) = \frac{1}{b} \left\{ \sum M_{i}^{2} \Pi_{i} (\hat{\mathbf{I}} - \Pi_{i}) -2 \sum_{i < j} M_{i} M_{j} \Pi_{i} \Pi_{j} \right\}$$

A convenient method of calculating Var(ENMR) is obtained from the following identity. If $a = \sum W_i C_i$, then

$$a(1-a) = \left(\sum W_i C_i\right) \left(1 - \sum W_i C_i\right) = \sum W_i C_i$$
$$- \left(\sum W_i C_i\right)^2 = \sum W_i C_i - \left\{\sum W_i^2 C_i^2\right\}$$
$$+ 2 \sum_{i < j} W_i W_j C_i C_j = \sum W_i C_i - \sum W_i C_i^2$$
$$+ \sum W_i C_i^2 - \sum W_i^2 C_i^2 - 2 \sum_{i < j} W_i W_j C_i C_j$$
$$= \sum W_i C_i (1 - C_i) + \sum W_i (1 - W_i) C_i^2$$
$$- 2 \sum_{i < j} W_i W_j C_i C_j$$
(8)

Thus,

$$\operatorname{Var}(\operatorname{ENMR}) = \frac{1}{b} \left\{ \left(\sum \Pi_{i} M_{i} \right) \left(1 - \sum \Pi_{i} M_{i} \right) - \sum \Pi_{i} M_{i} (1 - M_{i}) \right\}$$
(9)

To estimate Var(ENMR) we substitute b_i/b for Π_i in (9):

$$\hat{\mathcal{V}}(\text{ENMR}) = \frac{1}{b} \left\{ \text{ENMR}(1 - \text{ENMR}) - \frac{1}{b} \sum b_i M_i (1 - M_i) \right\}$$
(10)

Since relative values of ENMR's and SMR's are of primary interest, it is convenient to transform the ENMR to its logarithm. The use of a logarithmic transformation allows the ratio of two ENMR's or SMR's to be expressed as the difference in their logarithms. The variance of the difference between two independent measures is then the sum of the two variances. Confidence intervals or significance tests based on the logarithmic transformation also have the advantage of being independent of which area is chosen for the denominator of the ratio.

An approximate formula for the variance of the logarithm of a random variable X is

$$\operatorname{Var}\left(\ln X\right) = \frac{\operatorname{Var}(X)}{(E(X))^2} \tag{11}$$

Apply this to (9) and simplifying gives

$$\hat{V}(\ln \text{ENMR}) = \frac{1}{b} \left(\frac{Q - \text{ENMR}}{\text{ENMR}} \right)$$
(12)

where

$$Q = \frac{\sum b_i M_i^2}{\sum b_i M_i}$$

SMR

All variance formulas derived here are conditional upon B_i . Let m_i denote the underlying mortality rate for weight i and let D be the observed number of neonatal deaths. Then D/B is the estimated NMR, and NMR/ENMR is the estimated SMR.

Let

$$L = \ln SMR = \ln NMR - \ln ENMR$$
(13)

Then

$$Var(L|B_i) = Var(\ln NMR|B_i) + Var(\ln ENMR|B_i)$$
(14)

Since these variances are conditional upon B_i the (conditional) covariance between NMR and ENMR is zero.

Note that

$$NMR = \frac{D}{B} = \frac{1}{B} \sum D_i$$
 (15)

where D_i is the number of deaths which occurred in weight i. Now

$$E(\text{NMR}|B_{i}) = \frac{1}{B} \sum m_{i}B_{i}$$

Var(NMR|B_{i}) = $\frac{1}{B^{2}} \sum m_{i}(1 - m_{i})B_{i}$ (16)

and using (11) we obtain

$$Var(\ln NMR |B_{i}) = \frac{\sum m_{i}(1 - m_{i})B_{i}}{\left(\sum m_{i}B_{i}\right)^{2}} = \frac{1}{\sum m_{i}B_{i}} \left(1 - \frac{\sum B_{i}m_{i}^{2}}{\sum B_{i}m_{i}}\right) (17)$$

Since the D_i are unknown when linked birth and death records are unavailable, there is no way to estimate the m_i .

It has been shown, however, that substitution of the standard set of rates for m_i in the expression

$$\frac{\sum B_{i}m_{i}^{2}}{\sum B_{i}m_{i}}$$

is a reasonable approximation.¹³ Thus an estimate of the variance is:

$$\widehat{V}(\ln \text{NMR}|B_i) = \frac{1}{D} \left(1 - \frac{\sum b_i M_i^2}{\sum b_i M_i} \right)$$
$$= \frac{1}{D} (1 - Q)$$
(18)

Using equation (4) and the identity (8) we obtain (conditional on B_i)

1

$$\operatorname{Var}(\operatorname{ENMR}|B_{i}) = \frac{B-b}{b(B-1)} \left\{ \left(\sum_{p_{i}} M_{i} \right) \left(1 - \sum_{p_{i}} M_{i} \right) - \sum_{p_{i}} M_{i} (1 - M_{i}) \right\}$$
(19)

To estimate this quantity we substitute b_i/b for p_i :

$$\hat{V}(\text{ENMR}|B_i) = \frac{B-b}{b(B-1)} \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^{b_i} M_i^2 - \text{ENMR}^2 \right\}$$
(20)

and

$$\widehat{V}(\ln \text{ENMR}|B_{i}) = \frac{B-b}{b(B-1)} \left(\frac{Q-\text{ENMR}}{\text{ENMR}}\right) \quad (21)$$

Finally,

$$\widehat{V}(L|B_{i}) = \frac{1}{D} (1 - Q) + \frac{\dot{B} - b}{b(\dot{B} - 1)} \left(\frac{\dot{Q} - \text{ENMR}}{\text{ENMR}}\right)$$
(22)

If the birth records are not sampled, b = B and the last term becomes zero. Furthermore, the last term is closely related to the estimate of the unconditional variance of the ENMR. If, for example, 50 percent of birth records are sampled, then the last term in (22) is half of (12) (since B - 1 is very close to B).

When the SMR is modified to exclude births and deaths with birth weight below 500 grams, the formulas given above are still applicable as long as this category is excluded from D, Q, B, b, and ENMR.

12 U.S. GOVERNMENT PRINTING OFFICE: 1982-381-161:519

Vital and Health Statistics series descriptions

- SERIES 1. Programs and Collection Procedures.—Reports describing the general programs of the National Center for Health Statistics and its offices and divisions and the data collection methods used. They also include definitions and other material necessary for understanding the data.
- SERIES 2. Data Evaluation and Methods Research.—Studies of new statistical methodology including experimental tests of new survey methods, studies of vital statistics collection methods, new analytical techniques, objective evaluations of reliability of collected data, and contributions to statistical theory.
- SERIES 3. Analytical and Epidemiological Studies.—Reports presenting analytical or interpretive studies based on vital and health statistics, carrying the analysis further than the expository types of reports in the other series.
- SERIES 4. Documents and Committee Reports.—Final reports of major committees concerned with vital and health statistics and documents such as recommended model vital registration laws and revised birth and death certificates.
- SERIES 10. Data from the National Health Interview Survey.--Statistics on illness, accidental injuries, disability, use of hospital, medical, dental, and other services, and other health-related topics, all based on data collected in the continuing national household interview survey.
- SERIES 11. Data From the National Health Examination Survey and the National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey.— Data from direct examination, testing, and measurement of national samples of the civilian noninstitutionalized population provide the basis for (1) estimates of the medically defined prevalence of specific diseases in the United States and the distributions of the population with respect to physical, physiological, and psychological characteristics and (2) analysis of relationships among the various measurements without reference to an explicit finite universe of persons.
- SERIES 12. Data From the Institutionalized Population Surveys.-Discontinued in 1975. Reports from these surveys are included in Series 13.
- SERIES 13. Data on Health Resources Utilization.-Statistics on the utilization of health manpower and facilities providing

long-term care, ambulatory care, hospital care, and family planning services.

- SERIES 14. Data on Health Resources: Manpower and Facilities.-Statistics on the numbers, geographic distribution, and characteristics of health resources including physicians, dentists, nurses, other health occupations, hospitals, nursing homes, and outpatient facilities.
- SERIES 15. Data From Special Surveys.-Statistics on health and health-related topics collected in special surveys that are not a part of the continuing data systems of the National Center for Health Statistics.
- SERIES 20. Data on Mortality.-Various statistics on mortality other than as included in regular annual or monthly reports. Special analyses by cause of death, age, and other demographic variables; geographic and time series analyses; and statistics on characteristics of deaths not available from the vital records based on sample surveys of those records.
- SERIES 21. Data on Natality, Marriage, and Divorce.-Various statistics on natality, marriage, and divorce other than as included in regular annual or monthly reports. Special analyses by demographic variables; geographic and time series analyses; studies of fertility; and statistics on characteristics of births not available from the vital records based on sample surveys of those records.
- SERIES 22. Data From the National Mortality and Natality Surveys.— Discontinued in 1975. Reports from these sample surveys based on vital records are included in Series 20 and 21, respectively.
- SERIES 23. Data From the National Survey of Family Growth.-Statistics on fertility, family formation and dissolution, family planning, and related maternal and infant health topics derived from a periodic survey of a nationwide probability sample of ever-married women 15-44 years of age.
- For a list of titles of reports published in these series, write to:

Scientific and Technical Information Branch National Center for Health Statistics Public Health Service Hyattsville, Md. 20782 U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES Public Health Service National Center for Health Statistics 3700 East-West Highway Hyattsville, Maryland 20782

OFFICIAL BUSINESS PENALTY FOR PRIVATE USE, \$300 POSTAGE AND FEES PAID U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HHS HHS 396

THIRD CLASS



١