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## SYMBOLS AND NOTES

Data not available (three dashes)----------------
Category not applicable (three dots)-------.-.-- ...
Quantity is zero ( 1 dash)------------------------
Magnitude greater than zero but less than

NOTE: Due to rounding, detailed figures within tables may not add to totals


## HERNIAS

This report from the U. S. National Health Survey contains estimates of the number of persons with hernias and the disability resulting from this condition. Earlier reports from the survey have given estimates of chronic respiratory conditions, heart disease and high blood pressure, peptic ulcers, arthritis and rheumatism, and diabetes. Descriptions of these publications dealing with chronic conditions as well as other publications from the Survey are shown on the inside back cover of this report.

It should be noted that the estimate of the national prevalence of hernias shown in this report, 14.9 cases per 1,000 population, is based on cases reported in household interviews. Thus, it includes only cases which the respondents are aware of, and which have given them some concern. In general, these are likely to be cases in which the hernia is visible, has caused discomfort, or has been detected by a physician on physical examination. No doubt a higher prevalence rate would be obtained if physical examinations for hernia were carried out on a representative sample of the National population. A general idea of the magnitude of a prevalence rate for hernia based on clinical data can be obtained from the evaluation phase of studies conducted by the Commission on Chronic Illness in the City of Baltimore ${ }^{1}$ and in Hunterdon County ${ }^{2}$ during 1952-53. From a clinical follow-up examination of 809 persons interviewed in Baltimore during the study, an estimate of 36.6 cases of hernia per 1,000 persons evaluated was obtained. A similar evaluation of 846 persons residing in rural areas of Hunterdon County produced a rate of 38.0 cases of hernia per 1,000 persons evaluated. While these studies were restricted to particular areas and were not necessarily representative of the total population of the country, the rates indicate roughly the difference in prevalence rates of hernias that might be obtained from data based on clinical examinations as compared with data from household interviews.

[^0]Another point worth noting is that the accuracy of diagnostic information obtained from household respondents for certain kinds of illnesses may be questionable. This is especially true for conditions which have not been medically attended and consequently have not been diagnosed by a physician. However, since about 90 percent of the respondents reported that they had received medical attention for their hernias, it is likely that the respondents were able to report accurately the general term 'hernia" or "rupture." No effort was made to determine the specific type of hernia, since it was believed that most respondents would not be able to provide such information. Hence, in this report all forms of hernia are grouped together.

## SOURCE OF DATA

The material presented in this report is derived from health data obtained for approximately 235,000 persons who were included in the 73,000 household interviews conducted by the U. S. National Health Survey during the period July 1, 1957-June 28, 1959. The data are obtained from 2 years of continuous interviewing of a sample of the civilian noninstitutional population of the United States. The sampling procedures are such that the estimates shown represent the average prevalence of reported hernias for the population and period covered.

A description of the statistical design of the survey, the methods used in estimation, and the general qualifications of data obtained from surveys is presented in Appendix 1. Since all estimates presented in this report are based on a sample of approximately $1 / 750$ th of the population rather than on the entire population, they are subject to sampling errors and particular attention should be paid to the section entitled "Reliability of Estimates" which includes tables of sampling errors and instructions for their use.

Definitions of certain terms used in this report are given in Appendix II. Many of the terms have specialized meanings for the purposes of the survey; thus, familiarity with these defini-
tions will assist the reader in interpreting the material.

The questionnaire which was used during the year July 1958-June 1959 is reproduced as Appendix III. Those sections which apply to this report include questions 11-16 and table I. The interviewer was instructed to ask these questions of each adult who was home at the time of her call. For adults not at home and for children under the age of 18 , the wife, parent, or other responsible member of the family, living in the same household, was an eligible respondent. Lodgers and similar unrelated members of the household were asked to answer all questions for themselves, even if this involved additional calls for the interviewer.

## PREVALENCE OF HERNIAS

Conditions codable to numbers 560 and 561 of the International Classification of Diseases, 1955 Revision are included as hernias in this report. It is recognized that for specific types of hernias the rate of occurrence among age and sex groups as well as the amount of disability would show large variations according to the type. However, since most household respondents cannot report in terms of a specific type of hernia (i.e., inguinal, femoral, umbilical, diaphragmatic, etc.), it was necessary to group together all types of hernias in this report.

In the National Health Survey, prevalence estimates for the various chronic conditions are based on all cases reported to be present at any time during the 12 -month period prior to interview. This assumes that a chronic condition present at some time during the past year still exists at time of interview. For most chronic conditions, such as diabetes, heart disease, and arthritis, this procedure does not result in any appreciable overestimation of the prevalence. However, for remediable conditions, such as hernia, diseases of the gallbladder, and hemorrhoids, the inclusion of cases which had been present during the year, but had been corrected by surgery or other treatment prior to the time of interview, may inflate the average prevalence of the condition to some degree.

The over-all prevalence rate for hernias is shown here as 14.9 per 1,000 population. It is interesting to note that in two other household surveys conducted in recent years similar rates were obtained. In the Kansas City Metropolitan Area Health Survey ${ }^{3}$ (1953-54) the rate was 16.5 per 1,000 population. The rate obtained in the California Health Survey ${ }^{4}$ (1954-55) was 14.9 per 1,000 population.

About 75 percent of all reported hernias occurred among males, and the rates for males
were higher than those for females in every age group as shown by the data presented in table 1 and figure 1. The data also show that for both males and females the prevalence rates increase for successively older age groups.


Figure 1. Prevalence of hernias per 1,000 population by sex and age.

Of the totaI number of hernia cases $(2,539,000)$ about 90 percent were reported as having been attended by a physician at some time. The remaining 10 percent may include some persons who were erroneously reported as not having been attended by a physician, because of lack of knowledge by the respondent. However, a portion of the 10 percent may also be persons who, without the benefit of medical consultation, merely believe that they have hernias. Among the $2,272,000$ cases reported as medically attended 870,000 , or approximately 38 percent, were reported as still being under care (i.e., 'taking treatment or following advice given by a physician"). These proportions were about the same for both of the sexes (tables 1 and A).

A rough measure of the severity of the reported hernia cases may be obtained from table 2 , which shows that the number of persons who had one or more days of bed disability during the year because of the hernia condition amounted to 22 percent of all persons with hernias. A later section will deal with hospitalized cases of her-

Table A. Average prevalence of hernias and percent distribution by medical care status according to sex: United States, July 1957-June 1959

| Sex | Total <br> cases Medically attended <br> cases |  |  | Percent of cases that were medically attended | Percent of medically attended cases that were under care |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | hernias | Total | Under care ${ }^{\prime}$ |  |  |
|  | (Average number in thousands) |  |  |  |  |
| Both sexes--------- | 2,539 | 2,272 | 870 | 89.5 | 38.3 |
| Male <br> Femal | 1,916 | 1,693 | 673 | 88.4 | 39.8 |
|  | 623 | 579 | 197 | 92.9 | 34.0 |

nias. However, it may be of interest to point out here that the data in table 2 show that 549,000 persons had one or more days of bed disability during the year; other survey data indicate that about 450,000 persons a year were hospitalized for a hernia condition. Since by definition a hospital day is also a bed-day, it appears on the basis of these data that a high proportion of the persons who reported one or more days of bed disability were persons hospitalized for their condition. The inference that may be drawn is that relatively few of the nonhospitalized hernia cases were severe enough to cause bed disability.

## DISABILITY DUE TO HERNIAS

Data on two basic types of disability associated with hernias are mentioned in this report. One type refers to long-term disability and is described as chronic limitation of activity. The other type of disability refers to short-term disability, and is described in terms of the number of restricted-activity days, bed-days, and workloss days.

## Limitation of Activity

In answer to questions about limitation of activity (see Appendix III, cards C-F) 79 percent of the persons with hernias reported that they were not limited in any of the specified ways because of the condition.

The percentage of males with hernia who had no limitation of activity due to the condition was not significantly different from that for females.

Approximately 95 percent of the children under 15 years of age reported as having a her-
nia had no limitation of activity due to the condition. This high proportion may be due in part to the high prevalence of umbilical hernia among children, particularly among infants for whom the concept of activity limitation has little meaning.

## Disability Days

As indicated earlier, three types of disability days are used in this report to describe the impact of hernia on a person's ability to carry out his normal activities. The most inclusive of these is a restricted-activity day-by definition, a day on which a person had to reduce his usual activities for the entire day. A day of bed disability, or simply a bed-day, is a day on which a person had to stay in bed all or most of the day because of illness. Days spent in a hospital as an inpatient (whether the person was actually in bed or not), are also counted as bed-days. A work-loss da, is a day on which a person would have been at work if he had not been ill. Work-loss days are counted only for persons 17 years of age and over. Bed-days and work-loss days are of course also included as restricted-activity days.

## Restricted-Activity Days

Approximately 40 million days of restricted activity per year were attributed to hernias. On the average each person with a hernia had 15.6 days of restricted activity due to the condition. Although females accounted for only 25 percent of the hernia cases, they accounted for 35 percent of the restricted-activity days. This is reflected in the average number of restricted-activity days per person with a hernia; for females this rate was 22.3 days while males with hernias were restricted on the average of 13.4 days (table 4 and fig. 2).


Figure 2. Average number of disability days per year per person with hernia by sex.

## Bed-Days

About 35 percent ( 13.8 million) of the total of 40 million restricted-activity days were beddays. This represents an average of 5.4 days per person with a hernia per year. Again the average number of bed-days per case for females (7.7 days) was higher than that for males ( 4.7 days) (table 4 and fig. 2).

Another measure of the impact of hernias can be obtained from the average number of beddays due to hernias for persons who had one or more days of bed disability. This is shown in table $B$ and the average of 25.1 days indicates that when a hernia condition causes any bed disability at all it causes an extensive period of disability.

Ťable B. Average number of bed-days per year per person with a hernia and per person with one or more bed-days attributable to a hernia by sex: United States, July 1957-June 1959

| Sex | Bed-days per year |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Per person with a hernia | Per person with one or more bed-days due to a hernia |
| Both sexes-- | 5.4 | 25.1 |
| Male----------- | 4.7 | 22.1 |
| Female--------- | 7.7 | 33.2 |

## Work-Loss Days

The total number of work-loss days attributable to hernias is shown in table 5 to be approximately 10 million days. Persons who reported their major activity as "usually working" accounted for about 9 of the 10 million days. Most of the work-loss days occurred among males. Also shown are the average number of work-loss days per year for each person with a hernia and a similar average is shown for "usually working" persons with hernias. These averages were 4.7 and 7.7 days, respectively.

## HOSPITALIZATION

The importance of hernias as a cause for hospitalization is indicated by the fact that about 3.4 percent of all persons discharged from shortstay nospitals had been hospitalized for a hernia condition. For males the proportion of hernia patients was 6.1 percent of all hospital patients. These estimates, as well as those that follow on hospitalization for hernias, were taken from the National Health Survey report entitled Hospitalization, Series B-No. 7.

According to data collected by the National Health Survey, 453,000 persons who had been hospitalized for a hernia condition were discharged from short-stay hospitals during the year July 1957-June 1958. These persons spent approximately 4 million days in the hospital. During the same period, about 450,000 operations were performed for repair of hernias. These operations accounted for about 7 percent of all the nonobstetrical surgery performed. Among males, operations for repair of hernias accounted for 12 percent of all operations, and this was the second most frequent type of operation; only: tonsillectomies, which are usually performed on children, occurred more frequently.
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Table 1. Average prevalence of hernias and the average prevalence per 1,000 population as reported in interviews by sex, age, and medical attention: United States, July 1957-June 1959
[Data are based on household interviews of the civilian noninstitutional population. The survey design, general qualifications, and information on the reliability of the estimates are given in Appendix 1. Definitions of terms are given in Appendix II. Detailed figures may not add to totals due to rounding]

| Sex and age | Total | Medically attended cases |  | Total | Medically attended cases |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Total | Under care |  | Total | Under care |
|  | Average number in thousands |  |  | Average number per 1,000 population |  |  |
|  | 2,539 | 2,272 | 870 | 14.9 | 13.4 | 5.1 |
|  | 301 | 292 | 54 | 5.6 | 5.5 | 1.0 |
|  | 88 | 80 | 19 | 4.1 | 3.7 | 0.9 |
| 25-34- | 213 | 195 | 63 | 9.4 | 8.6 | 2.8 |
| 35-44- | 279 | 265 | 96 | 12.1 | 11.5 | 4.2 |
| 45-54- | 376 | 338 | 124 | 19.0 | 17.0 | 6.3 |
| 55-64- | 481 | 417 | 174 | 32.2 | 27.9. | 11.7 |
| 65-74- | 480 | 421 | 195 | 49.5 | 43.4 | 20.1 |
| 75+-- | 321 | 263 | 145 | 64.6 | 52.9 | 29.2 |
| Male |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 1,916 | 1,693 | 673 | 23.2 | 20.5 | 8.1 |
|  | 222 | 216 | 40 | 8.2 | 7.9 | 1.5 |
| 15-24- | 64 | 57 | 14 | 6.4 | 5.7 | 1.4 |
| 25-34--- | 134 | 118 | 43 | 12.4 | 10.9 | 4.0 |
| 35-44- | 196 | 185 | 63 | 17.7 | 16.7 | 5.7 |
| 45-54- | 272 | 240 | 95 | 28.1 | 24.8 | 9.8 |
| 55-64- | 372 | 319 | 135 | 51.8 | 44.4 | 18.8 |
| 65-74- | 392 | 339 | 158 | 86.5 | 74.8 | 34.9 |
| 75+--- | 265 | 219 | 125 | 122.9 | 101.5 | 58.0 |
| Female |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| All ages | 623 | 579 | 197 | 7.1 | 6.6 | 2.3 |
| 0-14- | 79 | 76 | 14 | 3.0 | 2.9 | 0.5 |
| 15-24- | 24 | 23 | 5 | 2.1 | 2.0 | 0.4 |
| 25-34- | 79 | 77 | 20 | 6.7 | 6.5 | 1.7 |
| 35-44- | 83 | 80 | 33 | 6.9 | 6.7 | 2.8 |
| 45-54- | 104 | 98 | 29 | 10.2 | 9.6 | 2.9 |
| 55-64- | 109 | 98 | 39. | 14.1 | 12.7 | 5.0 |
| 65-74- | 88 | 82 | 37 | 17.0 | 15.9 | 7.2 |
| 75+--- | 56 | 44 | 20 | 19.9 | 15.6 | 7.1 |

Table 2. Average number and percent distribution of persons with hernias according to bed disability as reported in interviews by sex and age: United States, July 1957-June 1959
[Data are based on household interviews of the civilian noninstitutional population. The survey design, general qualifications, and information on the reliability of the estimates are given in Appendix l. Definitions of terms are given in Appendix 11. Detailed figures may not add to totals due to rounding]

| Sex and age | TotalWith no bed- <br> disability <br> days in year | With l+ bed- <br> disability <br> days in year | TotalWith no bed- <br> disability <br> days in year | With l+ bed- <br> disability <br> days in year |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |


| Both sexes | in thousands |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Al1 ages----------- | 2,539 | 1,990 | 549 | 100.0 | 78.4 | 21.6 |
| 0-14--------------------- | 301 | 176 | 125 | 100.0 | 58.5 | 41.5 |
| 15-24--------------------- | 88 | 64 | 24 | 100.0 | 72.7 | 27.3 |
| 25-34--------------------- | 213 | 168 | 45 | 100.0 | 78.9 | 21.1 |
| 35-44 | 279 | 202 | 77 | 100.0 | 72.4 | 27.6 |
| 45-54-------------------- | 376 | 288 | 88 | 100.0 | 76.6 | 23.4 |
| 55-64-------------------- | 481 | 387 | 94 | 100.0 | 80.5 | 19.5 |
| 65-74-------------------- | 480 | 411 | 68 | 100.0 | 85.6 | 14.2 |
| 75+ | 321 | 294 | 27 | 100.0 | 91.6 | 8.4 |
| Male |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| All ages-- | 1,916 | 1,512 | 405 | 100.0 | 78.9 | 21.1 |
| 0-14-------------------- | 222 | 114 | 108 | 100.0 | 51.4 | 48.6 |
| 15-24--------------------- | 64 | 45 | 19 | 100.0 | 70.3 | 29.7 |
| 25-34 | 134 | 106 | 28 | 100.0 | 79.1 | 20.9 |
| 35-44-------------------- | 196 | 146 | 50 | 100.0 | 74.5 | 25.5 |
| 45-54--------------------- | 272 | 210 | 62 | 100.0 | 77.2 | 22.8 |
| 55-64--------------------- | 372 | 301 | 71 | 100.0 | 80.9 | 19.1 |
| 65-74------------------- | 392 | 346 | 47 | 100.0 | 88.3 | 12.0 |
| 75+- | 265 | 245 | 20 | 100.0 | 92.5 | 7.5 |
| Female ${ }^{*}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| A11 ages------...- | 623 | 478 | 145 | 100.0 | 76.7 | 23.3 |

*The magnitide of the sampling error is too great to permit showirg separate estimates by age for females.

Table 3. Average number of persons with hernias and number and percent with no activity limitation due to hernias as reported in interviews by sex and age: United States, July 1957-June 1959
[Data are based on household interviews of the civilian noninstitutional population. The survey design, general qualifications, and information on the reliability of the estimates are given in Appendix l. Definitions of terms are given in Appendix ll. Detailed figures may not add to totals due to rounding]

| Sex and age | Number of persons with hernias |  | Percent with no activity limitation |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total | With no activity limitation |  |
|  |  |  | Percent |
|  |  |  | 78.9 |
| 0-14- | 301 | 287 | 95.3 |
| 15-24 | 88 | 64 | 72.7 |
| 25-34-- | 213 | 175 | 82.2 |
| 35-44- | 279 | 227 | 81.4 |
| 45-54---- | 376 | 297 | 79.0 |
| 55-64- | 481 | 372 | 77.3 |
| 65-74- | 480 | 344 | 71.7 |
| 75+--- | 321 | 238 | 74.1 |
| Male |  |  |  |
| All ages- | 1,916 | 1,530 | 79.9 |
| 0-14- | 222 | 212 | 95.5 |
| 15-24- | 64 | 46 | 71.9 |
| 25-34- | 134 | 109 | 81.3 |
| 35-44- | 196 | 161 | 82.1 |
| 45-54- | 272 | 215 | 79.0 |
| 55-64- | 372 | 295 | 79.3 |
| 65-74- | 392 | 287 | 73.2 |
| 75+--- | 265 | 204 | 77.0 |
| - Female* |  |  |  |
| All ages----- | 623 | 474 | 76.1 |

* The ragnitude of the samplirgerrer is too great to perrit slowirg separate estimates by age for females.

Table 4. Average number of persons with hernias, average annual number of disability days associated with hernias, and number of disability days per person with a hernia per year as reported in interviews by sex and age: United States, Julv 1957-June 1959
[Data are based on household interviews of the civilian noninstitutional population. The survey design, general qualifications, and information on the reliability of the estimates are given in Appendix I. Definitions of terms are given in Appendix 11 . Detailed figures may not add to totals due to rounding]

| Sex and age | Disability days associated with hernias |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Number of persons with hernias (in thousands) | Restricted-activity days |  | Bed-disability days |  |
|  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Number } \\ \text { (in } \\ \text { thousands) } \end{gathered}$ | Per person with a hernia per year | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Number } \\ & \text { (in } \\ & \text { thousands) } \end{aligned}$ | Per person with a hernia per year |
| Both sexes <br> All ages |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 2,539 | 39,612 | 15.6 | 13,776 | , 5.4 |
| $\begin{aligned} & 0-14- \\ & 15-24- \end{aligned}$ | 301 | 1,958 | 6.5 | 567 | 1.9 |
|  | 88 | 1,399 | 15.9 | 522 | 5.9 |
| $\begin{aligned} & 25-34 \\ & 35-44 \end{aligned}$ | 213 | 2,445 | 11.5 | 447 | 2.1 |
|  | 279 | 4,506 | 16.2 | 2,443 | 8.8 |
| $\begin{aligned} & 45-54- \\ & 55-64 \end{aligned}$ | 376 | 6,020 | 16.0 | 2,309 | 6.1 |
|  | 481 | 7,612 | 15.8 | 1,313 | 2.7 |
| $65-74$ $\qquad$ <br> Ma1e <br> All ages | 480 | 8,970 | 18.7 | 2,427 | 5.1 |
|  | 321 | 6,701 | 20.9 | 3,749 | 11.7 |
|  |  | * |  |  |  |
|  | 1,916 | 25,748 | 13.4 | 8,967 | 4.7 |
| 0-14--------------------- | 222 | 1,584 | 7.1 | 430 | 1.9 |
| 15-24------------------- | 64 | 978 | 15.3 | 477 | 7.5 |
| $\begin{aligned} & 25-34- \\ & 35-44 \end{aligned}$ | '134 | 725 | 5.4 | 263 | 2.0 |
|  | 196 | 3,116 | 15.9 | 1,706 | 8.7 |
| $\begin{aligned} & 45-54- \\ & 55-64- \end{aligned}$ | 272 | 2,922 | 10.7 | 1,445 | 5.3 |
|  | 372 | 5,447 | 14.6 | 893 | 2.4 |
|  | 392 | 5,787 | 14.8 | 1,013 | 2.6 |
|  | 265 | 5,189 | 19.6 | 2,740 | 10.3 |
| All ${ }^{\text {Female* }}$ * |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 623 | 13,864 | 22.3 | 4,809 | 7.7 |

[^1]Table 5. Average number of persons with hernias, average annual numper of work-loss days associated with hernias, and number of work-loss days cer person with a hernia per year for all persons and "ustally working" persons 17 years of age and over as reported in interviews by sex and age: United States July 1957-june 1959
[Data are based on household interviews of the civilian noninstitutional population. The survey design, general qualifications, and information on the reliability of the estimates are given in Appendix l. Definitions of terms are given in Appendix 11 . Detailed figures may not add to totals due to rounding]


* The thagitude of the samplirig error is too great to permit showing separate estimates by age for females.

Table 6. Average population used in obtaining rates shown in this publication by sex and age: United States, July 1957-June 1959
[Data are based on household interviews of the civilian noninstitutional population. The survey design, general qualifications, and information on the reliability of the estimates are given in Appendix 1 . Definitions of terms are given in Appendix li. Detailed figures may not add to totals due to rounding]

| Age | Both sexes |  | Male |  | Female |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | All persons | "Usually working!" persons ${ }^{1}$ | All persons | "Usually working ${ }^{\prime \prime}$ persons ${ }^{1}$ | Al1 persons | "Usually working" persons ${ }^{1}$ |
| All ages--------------------- | Population in thousands |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 169,835 | 59,393 | 82,633 | 41,672 | 87,202 | 17,721 |
| 0-14- | 53,303 |  | 27,181 | . $\cdot$. | 26,122 | . $\cdot$ |
| 15-24 | 21,523 | 6,975 | 10,052 | 3,950 | 11,471 | 3,025 |
| 25-34 | 22,558 | 13,422 | 10,783 | 9,974 | 11,776 | 3,448 |
| 35-44 | 23,021 | 14,833 | 11,072 | 10,570 | 11,949 | 4,263 |
| 45-54- | 19,833 | 13,083 | 9,675 | 9,036 | 10,157 | 4,047 |
| 55-64 | 14,930 | 8,369 | 7,183 | 6,047 | 7,747 | 2,322 |
| 65-74 | 9,698 | 2,312 | 4,530 | 1,774 | 5,167 | 538 |
| 75+- | 4,969 | 399 | 2,157 | 321 | 2,812 | 79 |

[^2]
## APPENDIX I

## TECHNICAL NOTES ON METHODS

## Background of This Report

This report on Hernias is one of a series of statistical reports covering separate health-related topics which are prepared by the U.S. National Health Survey. The report is based on information collected in the continuing nationwide sample of households in the Health Interview Survey, which is a major part of the program.

The Health Interview Survey uses a questionnaire which, in addition to personal and demographic characteristics, elicits information on illnesses, injuries, chronic conditions, medical care, dental care, and hospitalization. As interview data relating to each of these various broad subject areas are tabulated and analyzed, separate reports are issued covering one or more specific topics. The present report contains data for 104 weeks of interviewing ending June 28, 1959.

The population covered by the sample for the Health Interview Survey is the civilian population living in the United States at the time of the household interview. Although the sample collection covers persons who are inmates of institutions, data for these persons are not included in the figures given in these reports. Also the sample does not include members of the Armed Forces, United States nationals living in foreign countries, and crews of vessels.

## Statistical Design of the:

 Health Interview SurveyGeneral plan. - The sampling plan of the survey follows a multistage probability design which permits a continuous sampling of the civilian population of the United States. This plan utilizes the 1,900 Primary Sampling Units consisting of counties, groups of contiguous counties, and Standard Metropolitan Statistical Areas into which the country has been divided. The first stage of the design consists of drawing a sample from these Primary Sampling Units (PSU's). During the first 18 months of the Health Interview Survey the sample size was 372 PSU's. This was increased to 500 PSU's in January 1959. However, the basic sampling design and methods of estimating remained unchanged during the 2 -year period covered by this report. The number of ratio estimating classes shown subsequently in this Appendix are those which applied to the first 18 months of the survey.

With no loss in general understanding, the remaining stages of the sampling can be telescoped and treated in this discussion as an ultimate stage. Within PSU's, then, ultimate stage units called segments are defined, also geographically, in such a manner that each segment contains an expected six households. Each week a random sample of about 120 segments is drawn. In the approximately 700 households in those segments, persons are interviewed concerning illnesses, injuries, chronic conditions, disability, and other factors related to health.

The household members interviewed each week are a representative sample of the population so that samples for successive weeks can be combined into larger samples for, say, a calendar quarter, a year, or more. Thus the design permits both continuous measurement of characteristics of high incidence or prevalence in the population and, through the larger consolidated samples, more detailed analysis of less common characteristics and smaller categories. The continuous collection has administrative and operational advantages as well as technical assets, since it permits field work to be handled with an experienced, stable staff.

Sample size and geographic detail. - The national sample plan during the 24 -month period ending June 1959 included approximately 235,000 persons from 73,000 households in 12,200 segments. The over-all sample was designed in such a fashion that tabulations can be provided for various geographic sections of the United States and for urban and rural sectors of the Nation.

Collection of data. -The field operations for the household survey are performed by the Bureau of the Census under specifications established by the Public Health Service. In accordance with these specifications the Bureau of the Census designs and selects the sample, conducts the field interviewing, and edits and codes the questionnaires. Tabulations are prepared by the Public Health Service using the Bureau of the Census electronic computers.

Estimating methods.-Each statistic produced by the survey is the result of two stages of ratio estimation. In the first of these, the factor is the ratio of the 1950 decennial U. S. total population count to the estimated population in 1950 of the U. S. National Health Survey's first-stage sample of PSU's. This factor is applied separately for more than 50 color-residence classes.

Later, ratios of sample-produced estimates of the population to official Bureau of the Census figures for current population in about 60 age-sex-color classes are computed, and serve as second-stage factors for ratio estimating.

The effect of the ratio estimating process is to make the sample more closely representative of the population by age, sex, color, and residence, thus reducing sampling variance.

As noted, each week's sample represents the population living during that week and characteristics of that population. Consolidation of samples over a time period such as a calendar quarter produces estimates of average characteristics of the United States population for that calendar quarter.

For prevalence statistics based on two years of data collection, figures are first calculated for each calendar quarter by averaging estimates for all weeks of interviewing in that quarter. Prevalence data based on eight quarters of interviewing are then obtained by averaging the eight quarterly figures.

For statistics measuring the number of occurrences during a specified time period, a similar computational procedure is used, but the statistics have a different interpretation. For the disability-day items, the interviewer asks for the respondent's experience over the two calendar weeks prior to the week of interview. Then, the estimated quarterly total for a statistic is simply 6.5 times the average two-week estimate produced by the 13 successive samples taken during the period. Thus, the experience of persons interviewed during a year-experience which actually occurred for each person in a two-calendar-week interval prior to week of interview-is treated in analysis as though it measured the total of such experience occurring in the year. For most statistics such interpretation leads to no significant bias. As noted earlier, the interviewing and estimation procedures are designed to reproduce the experience during the reference period of the questionnaire only for the population still living at the time of interview.

## General Qualifications

Nonresponse. - Data are adjusted for nonresponse by a procedure which imputes to persons in a household not interviewed the characteristics of persons in households which were interviewed in the same segment. The total noninterview rate is 5 percent; l percent is refusal, and the remainder is accounted for by other reasons, such as failure to find any household respondent after repeated trials.

The interview process. - The statistics presented in this report are based on replies secured by interviewing members of the sampled households. Each person, 18 years and over, available at the time of interview, is interviewed individually. Proxy respondents within the household are employed for children and for adults who are not available at the time of the interview, provided the respondent is closely related to the person about whom information is being obtained.

There are limitations to the accuracy of diagnostic and other information collected in household interviews. For diagnostic information the household respondent can, at best, pass on to the interviewer only the information the physician has given to the family. For conditions not medically attended, diagnostic information is often no more than a description of symptoms. However, other types of facts such as the number of disability days caused by the condition can be obtained more accurately from household members than from any other source.

Rounding of numbers. - The original tabulations on which data in this report are based show all estimates to the nearest whole unit. All consolidations are made from these original tabulations before the numbers are rounded to the nearest thousand for the published tables. Derived statistics such as rates and percent distributions are computed after the estimates have been rounded. Rounding to thousands has been done throughout this report even though, because of sampling error, the estimates may not be accurate to that detail.

Population figures. - Some of the published tables include population figures for specified categories. Except for certain over-all totals by age and sex (which are independently estimated), these figures are based on the sample of households in the U. S. National Health Survey. They are given primarily for the purpose of providing denominators for rate computation, and for this purpose are more appropriate for use with the accompanying measures of health characteristics than other population data which may be available. In some
instances they will permit users to recombine published data into classes more suitable to their specific needs. With the exception of the over-all totals by age and sex mentioned above, the population figures may in some cases differ from corresponding figures (which are derived from different sample surveys) published in reports of the Bureau of the Census. For population data for general use, see the official estimates presented in Bureau of the Census reports in the P-20, P-25, P-50, $P-57$, and P-60 series.

## Reliability of Estimates

Since the estimates are based on a sample, they may differ somewhat from the figures that would have been obtained if a complete census had been taken using the same schedules, instructions, and interviewing personnel and procedures. As in any survey, the results are also subject to measurement error.

The standard error is primarily a measure of sampling variability, that is, the variations that might occur by chance because only a sample of the population is surveyed. As calculated for this report, the standard error also reflects part of the variation which arises in the measurement process. lt does not include estimates of any biases which might lie in the data. The chances are about 68 out of 100 that an estimatefrom the sample differs from the value obtained from a complete census by less than the standard error. The chances are about 95 out of 100 that the difference is less than twice the standard error and about 99 out of 100 that it is less than $2 \%$ times as large.

In order to derive standard errors which are applicable to a wide variety of health statistics and which can be prepared at a moderate cost, a number of approximations are required. As a result, tables l through III, included in this Appendix should be interpreted as providing an estimate of the standard error rather than as the precise standard error for any specific statistic.

The following guides will enable the reader to determine sampling errors for the statistics presented in this report:

1. Approximate standard errors for estimates of the number of cases of a chronic condition, the number of disability days associated with a chronic condition, and the number of persons in a population group ${ }^{1}$ are obtained from the appropriate columns of table I .
2. Approximate standard errors for percentage distributions of a chronic condition according to the number of bed-disability days or the extent of activity or mobility limitation associated with it are given in table 11 .
3. Approximate standard errors for prevalence estimates of a chronic condition per 1,000 persons in an age, sex, or color group or per 1,000 total population are obtained from table II. Since table II is set up for the estimation of the standard error of a rate per 100 , the prevalence per 1,000 must first be converted to a percentage; table II is then entered with this percentage and the number of persons in the category (base of the percentage). The entry in the body of the table must then be multiplied by 10 to apply to the rate per 1,000 persons.

[^3]4. Approximate standard errors of percentage distributions of disability days associated with a chronic condition (not computed in this report) are given in table III.
5. A rough approximation of the standard errors for rates showing the average number of disability days per "persons with the condition ${ }^{2}$ per year" is obtained by taking the square root of the sum of the square of the standard error of the numerator used in obtaining the rate divided by the numerator itself and the square of the standard error of the denominator used divided by the denominator itself, and then multiplying by the rate. This computation will normally give an overestimate of the true sampling error. Example:

It is estimated that each "usually working" person with a hernia loses an average of 7.7 days from work during the year because of the hernia. The numerator of $8,955,000$ days lost has a standard error of $1,150,000$. The denominator of $1,158,000$ persons has a standard error of 63,000 . Using these numbers as shown below yields an answer of 1.1 , the standard error of the estimated rate.

$$
7.7 \sqrt{\left(\frac{1,150,000}{8,955,000}\right)^{2}+\left(\frac{63.000}{1,158,000}\right)^{2}}=1.1
$$

[^4]Table I. Standard errors of estimates of aggregates

| Size of estimate | Persons with hernias | $\begin{gathered} \text { Disability } \\ \text { days } \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 100------------- | 18 |  |
| 500-------------- | 40 | - |
| 1,000-------------- | 60 | 400 |
| 2,000-------------- | 80 | 560 |
| 3,000-------------- | 100 | 720 |
| 5,000-------------- | 130 | 960 |
| 10,000--------------- | 180 | 1,200 |
| 20,000-------------- | 240 | 1,760 |
| 30,000-------------- | 260 | 2,160 |
| 50,000-------------- | 280 | 2,800 |
| 100,000---------.-..- | 320 | 4,400 |
| 200,000-------------- | - | 6,400 |
| 500,000--------------- | - | 12,000 |
| 750,000-------------- | - | 16,800 |
|  | - | 25,600 |

[^5]Table II. Standard errors of percentages based on persons with a hernia

| When the base of the percentage is: (in thousands) | For estimated percentages of |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{array}{r} 2 \\ \text { or } \\ 98 \end{array}$ | 5 or 95 | 10 or 90 | 25 or 75 | 50 |
|  | The approximate standard error (expressed in percentage points) is: |  |  |  |  |
| 100 | 2.9 | 4.5 | 5.4 | 7.8 | 10.3 |
| 500 | 1.3 | 2.0 | 2.4 | 3.5 | 4.6 |
| 1,000---------- | 0.9 | 1.4 | 1.7 | 2.5 | 3.3 |
| 2,000---------- | 0.6 | 1.0 | 1.2 | 1.8 | 2.3 |
| 3,000---------- | 0.6 | 0.8 | 1.0 | 1.4 | 1.9 |
|  | 0.4 | 0.6 | 0.8 | 1.1 | 1.4 |
| 10,000---------- | 0.3 | 0.5 | 0.6 | 0.8 | 1.0 |
| 20,000---------- | 0.2 | 0.3 | 0.4 | 0.6 | 0.7 |

11lustration of use of table 11. -Of the 2,539,000 persons reported as having a hernia, 21.6 percent had one or more days of bed disability in the year. Since neither of these values can be read directlyfrom the table, interpolation may be carried out as follows: for a base of $2,000,000$ a statistic of 10 percent has a standard error of 1.2 percentage points and a statistic of 25 percent has a standard error of l. 8 percentage points. Interpolating, with a base of $2,000,000$ an estimate of 21.6 percent would have a standard error of 1.7 percentage points. Corresponding calculations with a base of 3.000 .000 produce a standard error of 1.3 percentage points. A final interpolation between these two results yields an estimate of 1.5 percentage points for a statistic of 21.6 percent with a base of $2,539,000$. (Although interpolation has been carried out in two dimensions here to illustrate the use of the table, a simple scanning of the table will provide an approximate answer which will usually be sufficient.l

Table III. Standard errors of percentages based on disability days

| When the base of the percentage is: <br> (in thousands) | For estimated percentages of |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2 | 5 | - 10 | 25 |  |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { or } \\ & 08 \end{aligned}$ | or | or 90 | or | 50 |
|  | The approximate standard error (expressed in percentage points) is: |  |  |  |  |
| 2,500-------- | 3.4 | 5.2 | 7.2 | 10.4 | 12.0 |
| 12,500-------- | 1.5 | 2.3 | 3.2 | 4.6 | 5.4 |
| 25,000-------- | 1.0 | 1.7 | 2.2 | 3.3 | 3.8 |
| 50,000-------- | 0.7 | 1.2 | 1.6 | 2.3 | 2.7 |
| 75,000-------- | 0.6 | 1.0 | 1.3 | 1.9 | 2.2 |
| 125,000-------- | 0.5 | 0.7 | 1.0 | 1.4 | 1.7 |
| 250,000-------- | 0.3 | 0.6 | 0.7 | 1.0 | 1.2 |
| 500,000-------- | 0.2 | 0.4 | 0.5 | 0.7 | 0.9 |

Hllustration of use of table lll. -Of the 39,612.000 restricted-activity days due to hernias, 15.2 percent were for persons 45-54 years of age. Since neither of these values can be read directly from the table, interpolation may be carried out as follows: with a base of 25,000,000 a statistic of 10 percent has a standard error of 2.2 percentage points and a statistic of 25 percent has a standard error of 3.3 percentage points. Interpolating, a statistic of 15.2 percent with a base of $25.000,000$ would have a standard error of 2.6 percentage points. Corresponding calculations with a base of $50,000,000$ produce a standard error of 1.8 percentage points. A final interpolation between these two results yields a standard error of 2.1 percentage points for a statistic of 15.2 percent with a base of $\overline{39}, 612,000$. (Although interpolation has been carried out in two dimensions here to illustrate the use of the table, a simple scanning of the table will provide an approximate answer which will be sufficient for most purposes.l

## APPENDIX II

## definitions of certain terms used in this report

## Terms Relating to Chronic Conditions

Condition.-A condition is defined by an entry on the questionnaire which describes a departure from a state of physicial or mental well-being. In the coding and tabulating process, conditions are first classified according to the type of disease, injury or impairment, or symptom and then according to a number of other criteria such as whether they were medically attended, whether they resulted in disability, and whether they were acute or chronic. For the purposes of each published report or set of tables, only those conditions recorded on the questionnaire which satisfy certain stated criteria are included.

Conditions, except impairments, are coded by type according to the International Classification of Diseases, 1955 Revision, with certain modifications adopied to make the coding procedure more suitable for a household interview survey. Impairments, defined as chronic or permanent defects resulting from disease, injury, or congenital malformation, are coded according to a special supplementary classification which permits the classification of impairments by type of functional disability and etiology.

Chronic condition. - A condition is considered to be chronic if it is described by the respondent ( 1 ) in terms of one of the conditions on the "Check List of Chronic Conditions" or in terms of one of the impairments on the "Check List of Impairments" (Cards A and B, Appendix 11I), or (2) as having been first noticed more than three months before the interview. For this purpose, first noticed is defined as the time at which the person first felt sick or when he or his family was first told by a physician that he had a disease of which he was previously unaware. For a condition which is episodic in nature, the onset is always considered to be the original onset rather than the onset of the most recent episode.

Prevalence of a condition.-ln general, the prevalence of a condition is the estimated number of cases existing in a population at a specific point in time or the average number existing during a specified period of time.

In the National Health Survey, the prevalence of a chronic condition is the number of cases reported to be present at the time of the interview or at any time during the 12 months prior to the interview. Estimates of the prevalence of chronic conditions may be restricted to cases which satisfy certain additional criteria. For example, only cases involving a day or more in bed during the past year or cases under care may be included.

Medically attended condition.-A condition is considered to be medically attended if a physician has been consulted about it either at its onset or at any time thereafter. Medical attention incIudes consultation either in person or by telephone for treatment or advice. Advice from the physician transmitted to the patient through the nurse is counted as well as visits to physicians in clinics or hospitals. If during the course of a single visit the physician is consulted about more than one
conditionfor each of several patients, each condition of each patient is counted as medically attended.

Discussions of a child's condition by the physician and a responsible member of the household are considered as medical attention even if the child was not seen at that time.

For the purpose of this definition, the term 'physician" includes doctors of medicine and osteopathic physicians.

Condition under care. - By under care is meant one or more of the following: (1) currently taking medicine or treatment prescribed by. a physician, (2) observing. a systematic course of diet or activity prescribed by a physician, (3) visiting the physician regularly for checking on the condition, or (4) under instruction from the physician to return if some particular thing happens.

Physician is again defined as a doctor of medicine or an osteopathic physician.

## Terms Relating to Disability

Disability. - Disability is the general term used to describe a long-term or a temporary reduction of a person's activity as a result of a chronic condition.

## Long-Term Disability

Chronic activity limitation.-Chronic activity limitation is ascertained for all persons with one or more chronic conditions. These persons are divided into 4 categories according to the extent to which their activities are limited as a result of the conditions (Cards $C, D, E$, and $F$, Appendix III). For the purpose of this report, categories 2 and 3 have been combined.

Since the major activities of preschool children, school-age children, housewives, and workers and other persons differ, a different set of criteria is used to determine the amount of reduction of major activities for each group. However, there is a general similarity between the criteria as will be seen in the descriptions of the categories below.

Major limitation of activity. -Inability to carry on major activity of the group:

Preschool children: inability to take part in ordinary play with other children
School-age children: inability to go to school Housewives: inability to do any housework
Workers and all other persons:
inability to work at a job or business
Partial limitation of activity. - Limitation of amount or kind of participation in major activity of the group: Preschool children: limited in the amount or kind of play with other children

School-age children: limited to certain types of schools or in school attendance; limited in participation in athletics or other extracurricular activities
Housewives: limited in amount or kind of housework or limited in recreational or community activities
Workers and all other persons:
limited in amount of work or kind of employment or limited in recreational or community activities
No limitation of activity.-No limitation as cescribed above.

## Temporary Disability

Disability days.-Disability days are classified according to whether they are days of restricted activity, days in bed, days in the hospital, days lost from work, or days lost from school. All hospital days are, by definition, days of bed disability; all days of bed disability are, by definition, days of restricted activity. The converse form of these statements is, of course, not true. Days lost from work and days lost from school are also days of restricted activity for the working and school-age populations. Hence, restricted activity is the most inclusive term used in describing disability days.

Restricted-activity day.-A day on which because of a specific illness or injury a person substantially reduces the amount of activity normal for that day. The type of reduction will vary with the age and occupation of the individual as well as with the day of the week or the season. Restricted activity thus covers a range from substantial reduction of normal activity to complete inactivity.

Bed-disability day.-A day on which more than half the daylight hours were spent in bed because of a specific illness or injury. All hospital days for inpatients are considered to be days of bed disability even if the patient was not actually in bed at the hospital.

Work-loss day. - A normal working day on which a person did not work at his job or business because of a specific illness or injury. The number of days lost from work is determined only for persons 17 years of age or older.

## Demographic Terms

Age. -The age of the person on his last birthday recorded on the questionnaire in single years. Ages are then grouped in intervals suitable for the topic under discussion.

Usually working.-A term applied to an individual 17 years of age or older who was gainfully employed as a paid employee, a self-employed person, or as a worker in a family business for more than half of the 12 months prior to the interview. A person who does only volunteer or unpaid work - such as work in his own home or work for the church or community-is not considered to be gainfully employed.

## APPENDIX III:

## QUESTIONNAIRE

The items below show the exact content and wording of the questionnaire used in the household survey. The actual questionnaire is designed for a household as a unit and includes additional spaces for reports on more than one person.




Table II - HOSPITALIZATION DURING PAST 12 MONTHS

| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 发 } \\ & \frac{1}{0} \\ & 0 \\ & \frac{0}{-4} \end{aligned}$ | Col. <br> No. <br> of <br> per- <br> 8 | Question No. | When did 7oo enter the hespitol? (Moath, Year) | How many daye were you in the hosplial. nat counting the day you left? | To lnterviewer |  |  | What did they ecy et the heepital the cendition was did they une ony madieal terme? <br> (If "they" did't say, ent): <br> What did the last dector you tolled ta say it mas? <br> (Show enmpe decail an in cols. (d-1)-(d-S) of T.1) <br> (If cordition from accideat ox injury, fill Tahle A) | Wers any operations parforned on you during this stay at the henplital? <br> If "Yea" <br> (a) What wan the mame of the aperction? <br> (b) Any other opertitiona? |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  | How <br> many <br> of these <br> - day* were ia the past 12 months? | How many of these - dayn were in the paat 2 weeka? | ㅋan bia person exill in the hoepital on Sarodey nighr? |  |  |
|  | (0) | (b) | (c) | (d) | (e) | (1) | (a) |  | (i) |
| 1 |  |  | Mo: $\qquad$ <br> Yr: $\qquad$ | Dapi | $\begin{gathered} \square \text { All } \\ \propto \\ \hline \text { Day} \end{gathered}$ | Day* | $\square$ Yea <br> No |  | $\square \mathrm{Yea}^{\square} \mathrm{\square No}$ |

TABLE A (Aceidents and Irjuries)

| TABLE A (Accidents and Iajuries) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | I. What port of tho bady woe hurit What tind of injury was ity Anything alze? |  |  |  |  | $\square$ Accident happened during pant 2 week |
| 2. Whan did it happon? Year___ (Enter man |  | oo if the | 957 or 1988) | Month |  | $\square$ Aceident happened duriag past 2 weets |
| 3. Where did the accident hoppen? |  | $\square$ At hoine (ioside or outside the bouse) |  |  | ClWite in Armed Services | [].] Some orther place |
| 4. Was a cap, truck, bun or athem moter webtele Involved in the accident in miy wey? |  | $\square \mathrm{Yes} \quad \square \mathrm{No}$ |  |  |  |  |
| 5. Whre you of work at your foh or buaimen when the occident happened? |  | $\square$ Yes $\square$ No $\square$ Under 14 yeare es time of eccident |  |  |  |  |


| 16. Hes anyone In the femily - yow, your-, ete. had ony of thenes conditiona DURING THE DAST 12 MONTHS? <br> (Resd Card A, condition by condition; record my cooditiona mearioned in the columu lor the person) | $\square$ Yes $\quad \square \mathrm{No}$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| 17. Dowe anyone in the family have any of thase condiflens? <br> (Read Card B, condition by condition; record any conditiona meationed in the column for the perzon) | $\square$ Yeer $\square$ No |
| 18. (a) LAST WEEK OR THE WEEK BEFORE did wryone in the family - you, yeur-, we.-talk to a dector or to to o doctor's offien or elinici Anyone eliai? <br> (f "Yes" <br> (b) How many tlmes during the past 2 woeks? | $\qquad$ |
| (c) Whowe did you roik to the dector? <br> (d) Howe andy thene ot - (heme, office, clinic, atc.)? (Recard coral oumber of times for each type of place) <br> ("Hoapital clisic" excloden overnigbi stays) |  |
| 19. (o) Lant woek or the weak before did anyone In the fomily go to a dentist? Anyone also? (f "Yen" <br> (b) Haw many thases durlog the past 2 veek s? | $\qquad$ |
| 20. How mury timea oltogether in the past 12 monthe did you go to a dentist? | $\square$ One $\quad \square$ Three $\square$ Iwo $\quad \square$ Four oc more $\square$ |
| 21. (a) DURING THE PAST 12 MONTHS hat anyome in the farmily been a pationt in a hospital overnighte or longer? <br> 4f "Yes" <br> (b) How amany times were you in the hespital? |  |
| 22. (a) During the past 12 months bes anyone in the family been a patient in a nureisag hame or canitratium? <br> If "Yes" <br> (b) Mow many tlmes were you in a nursing home or anaitorlump | $\square$ Yee (Table II) $\square$ No $\qquad$ No. of times |

25. During the past 12 monthe in:which, group did the retel liecome of youn femily foll, that he,




Table II - HOSPITALIZATION DURING PAST 12 MONTHS


FOQTNOTES AND COMMENTS



[^0]:    This report was prepared by Augustine Gentile of the U.S. National Health Survey staff.

[^1]:    * The magnitude of the sampling error is too great to permit showirg sefarate estimates by age for females.

[^2]:    "'Usually working" persons are by definition limited to persons 17 years of age and over.
    NOTE: For official population estimates for more general use, see Bureau of the Census reports on the civilian population of the United States, in Current Population Reports: Series P-20, P-25, P-50, P-57, and P-60.

[^3]:    $\mathbf{1}_{\text {The }}$ number of persons in an age, sex, or colcr group, or the total number of persons in the population is not subject to sampling error.

[^4]:    ${ }^{2}$ Note that where the rate refers to persons in a disease category, rule 5 applies, even if the group is further subdivided by age, sex, or color

[^5]:    lllustration of use of table l: -The estimated number of persons under care for a hernia is 870,000. Since this is not given in the table, it is necessary to interpolate for the standard error. The standard error for an estimate of $1,000,000$ is 60,000 and the standard error for an estimate of 500,000 is 40,000. .Interpolation gives 55,000 as the standard error for 870,000 .

