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#### Abstract

Objectives-This report presents preliminary data for 2000 on births in the United States. U.S. data on births are shown by age, race, and Hispanic origin of mother. Data on marital status, prenatal care, cesarean delivery, and low birthweight are also presented.

Methods-Data in this report are based on more than 96 percent of births for 2000. The records are weighted to independent control counts of births received in State vital statistics offices in 2000. Comparisons are made with 1999 final data.

Results-The number of births rose 3 percent between 1999 and 2000. The crude birth rate increased to 14.8 per 1,000 population in 2000, 2 percent higher than the 1999 rate. The fertility rate rose 3 percent to 67.6 per 1,000 women aged 15-44 years between 1999 and 2000. The birth rate for teenagers, which has been falling since 1991, declined 2 percent in 2000 to 48.7 births per 1,000 females aged 15-19 years, another historic low. The rate for teenagers 15-17 years fell 4 percent, and the rate for 18-19 year olds was down 1 percent. Since 1991, rates have fallen 29 percent for teenagers 15-17 years and 16 percent for teenagers 18-19 years. Birth rates for all of the older age groups increased for 1999-2000: 1 percent among women aged 20-24 years, 3 percent for women aged $25-29$ years, and 5 percent for women in their thirties. Rates for women aged 40-54 years were also up for 2000. The birth rate for unmarried women increased 2 percent to 45.2 births per 1,000 unmarried women aged 15-44 years in 2000, but was still lower than the peak reached in 1994. The number of births to unmarried women was up 3 percent, the highest number ever reported in the United States. However, the number of births to unmarried teenagers declined. The proportion of women who began prenatal care in the first trimester of pregnancy ( 83.2 percent) did not improve for 2000, nor did the rate of low birthweight ( 7.6 percent). The total cesarean rate rose for the fourth consecutive year to 22.9 percent, the result of both a rise in the rate of primary cesarean deliveries and a decline in the rate of vaginal births after previous cesarean delivery.
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## Introduction

This report presents preliminary data on births based on a substantial proportion of vital records for births occurring in 2000. For


Figure 1. Birth rates by age of mother: United States, 1970-2000
data years 1995-98, reports in the preliminary series included data for both births and deaths. Beginning with data year 1999, birth and death data are published separately. The preliminary report series includes detailed tabulations from the preliminary natality file. For most measures, trends shown in the preliminary reports for 1995-99 births were confirmed by the final statistics for each year (1-4).

## Sources and methods

The preliminary data in this series are based on records of births that occurred during 2000 and were received and had undergone quality control by the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention's National Center for Health Statistics as of April 11, 2001. This represents over 96 percent of the births that occurred in the United States during this 12 -month period.

To produce the preliminary estimates shown in this report, records in the file were weighted using independent control counts of births by State of occurrence. Preliminary estimates are subject to sampling variation as well as random variation.

In addition to national and State estimates of total births and birth and fertility rates, this report includes preliminary statistics on births by maternal age, marital status, race, Hispanic origin, live-birth order, and selected maternal and infant health characteristics, including receipt of prenatal care, cesarean delivery, and low birthweight.

Race and Hispanic origin are reported as separate items on the birth certificate. Therefore, births shown by race may be of Hispanic or non-Hispanic origin, and births of Hispanic origin may be of any race. All tabulations in this report show data separately for the non-Hispanic white population as well as for the white population as a whole. Although the overwhelming majority of Hispanic-origin births (approximately 97 percent) are to white women, there are notable differences in childbearing patterns between Hispanic and non-Hispanic white women. About one in five white births is to Hispanic women. For this preliminary report, data are not shown separately for non-Hispanic black persons because the great majority (more than 95 percent) of black births are to non-Hispanic persons and, thus, the difference in the statistics for the two groups are minimal (1). The report, "Births: Final Data for 1999," shows data for these groups separately.

State-specific preliminary data are shown only for those States and areas for which at least 75 percent of the records for 2000 were received and had undergone quality control by April 11, 2001 (i.e., were processed). (See Technical notes.) The number of birth records processed for Ohio was below the 75 percent criterion. Therefore, birth data for Ohio are not shown in the State-specific tabulations but are included in the U.S. totals. The lower proportion of birth records for Ohio should have only minimal impact on U.S. totals. The proportion of records processed is shown by State in table I in the Technical notes. Preliminary data for 2000 are not available for American Samoa and the Northern Marianas; data for 1999 for these territories are available and shown in the State-specific tables. Data for the territories are shown
separately but are not included in the data for the United States, which includes information for the 50 States and the District of Columbia. Detailed information on the nature, sources, and qualifications of the preliminary data is given in the Technical notes.

All population denominators for this report are estimates projected from the 1990 census. When population estimates from the 2000 census and intercensal estimates become available, population-based rates for the 1990s and 2000 will be recalculated and presented in an upcoming report. Because of differences in projections and counts, it is expected that rates based on the 2000 census will differ from those based on the 1990 census.

## Results

## Trends in numbers and rates

The number of births (preliminary) in the United States was 4,064,948 in 2000, a 3-percent increase over the final number for $1999(3,959,417)$ (tables A and 1). The number of births for all racial and ethnic groups increased between 1999 and 2000. The crude birth rate in 2000 was 14.8, an increase of 2 percent over the rate for 1999 (14.5), and a return to the level observed in 1995. The fertility rate relates births to the population at risk of giving birth (women aged 15-44 years) and is thus more indicative of changes in fertility behavior than is the crude birth rate. The rate was 67.6 in 2000, an increase of 3 percent over the rate for 1999 (65.9). This was the third consecutive increase in the fertility rate following declines from 1990 to 1997 (see tables 1-4 for number of births, birth rates, and fertility rates). The majority of States (41) and the District of Columbia had increases in their crude birth rates between 1999 and 2000, 4 States had decreases, and 4 were unchanged. Fertility rates increased for 46 States and the District of Columbia and decreased for 3 States. (Reliable data for Ohio were not available.)

The fertility rate in 2000 for Hispanic women (105.9) was 80 percent higher than for non-Hispanic white women (58.7), the group with

Table A. Total births and percent of births with selected demographic and health characteristics, by race and Hispanic origin of mother: United States, final 1999 and preliminary 2000
[Figures for 2000 are based on weighted data rounded to the nearest individual]

| Characteristic | All races ${ }^{1}$ |  | White, total ${ }^{2}$ |  | White, non-Hispanic |  | Black ${ }^{2}$ |  | Hispanic ${ }^{3}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2000 | 1999 | 2000 | 1999 | 2000 | 1999 | 2000 | 1999 | 2000 | 1999 |
| Births | Number |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 4,064,948 | 3,959,417 | 3,202,932 | 3,132,501 | 2,370,778 | 2,346,450 | 619,970 | 605,970 | 815,778 | 764,339 |
|  | Percent |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Births to mothers under 20 years. . . | 11.8 | 12.3 | 10.6 | 10.9 | 8.8 | 9.2 | 19.8 | 20.7 | 16.2 | 16.7 |
| Births to unmarried mothers . . . . . . . | 33.1 | 33.0 | 27.1 | 26.8 | 22.1 | 22.1 | 68.5 | 68.9 | 42.5 | 42.2 |
| Low birthweight ${ }^{4}$. . | 7.6 | 7.6 | 6.5 | 6.6 | 6.6 | 6.6 | 12.9 | 13.1 | 6.4 | 6.4 |
| Very low birthweight ${ }^{5}$. | 1.42 | 1.45 | 1.13 | 1.15 | 1.13 | 1.15 | 3.05 | 3.14 | 1.14 | 1.14 |
| Births delivered by cesarean . . . . . . | 22.9 | 22.0 | 22.8 | 21.9 | 23.0 | 22.1 | 24.3 | 23.2 | 22.1 | 21.2 |
| Prenatal care beginning in first trimester | 83.2 | 83.2 | 85.0 | 85.1 | 88.5 | 88.4 | 74.2 | 74.1 | 74.4 | 74.4 |
| Prenatal care beginning in third trimester or no care | 3.9 | 3.8 | 3.3 | 3.2 | 2.3 | 2.3 | 6.7 | 6.6 | 6.4 | 6.3 |

${ }^{1}$ Includes races other than white and black.
${ }^{2}$ Race and Hispanic origin are reported separately on the birth certificate. Data for persons of Hispanic origin are included in the data for each race group according to the mother's reported race; see Technical notes.
${ }^{3}$ Includes all persons of Hispanic origin of any race; see Technical notes.
${ }^{4}$ Birthweight of less than 2,500 grams ( 5 pounds 8 ounces).
${ }^{5}$ Birthweight of less than 1,500 grams ( 3 pounds 4 ounces).
the lowest rate. Rates for black women (71.4), American Indian women (71.3), and Asian or Pacific Islander women (70.7) were much lower than for Hispanic women but moderately higher than for non-Hispanic white women. Fertility rates for non-Hispanic white, black, and American Indian women increased in 2000 by an average of 2 percent. The rate for Asian and Pacific Islander women jumped 8 percent to the highest level observed since 1988. For Hispanic women, the fertility rate was 4 percent higher in 2000. Hispanic fertility had declined between 1992 and 1998; the current rate is still lower than the high reported for 1992 (1). Births to Hispanic women comprised 20 percent of all births in the United States in 2000, compared with 14 percent in 1989 when national data became available for this group.

The birth rate for teenagers in 2000 was 48.7 births per 1,000 females aged 15-19 years, a 2 percent decline from 1999 (49.6), and 22 percent lower than the recent high for 1991 (62.1) (table B, table 1, and figure 1). The 2000 rate for teenagers is another historic low (1). The rate for the youngest teenage group, 10-14 years, remained steady between 1999 and 2000 at 0.9 births per 1,000 women. However, the number of births to women aged 10-14 years fell 5 percent from 9,054 to 8,561 (preliminary), the lowest number in 30 years. Rates for teenagers 15-17 and 18-19 years continued their steady decline. Compared with 1999, the 2000 rate for teenagers 15-17 years (27.5) declined 4 percent whereas the rate for teenagers 18-19 years (79.5) declined 1 percent. Between 1991 and 2000, there was a 29 percent drop in the birth rate for teenagers 15-17 years and a 16 percent drop in the rate for teenagers 18-19 years.

Birth rates for women aged 15-19 years by race and Hispanic origin show that most groups continued to decline into 2000. The largest declines between 1999 and 2000 were for non-Hispanic white teenagers (4 percent, with a 2000 rate of 32.8 per 1,000), followed by a 2 percent drop among Asian or Pacific Islander (21.8) and black
teenagers (79.2). The birth rate for American Indian teenagers was essentially unchanged at 67.9, whereas that for Hispanic teens increased slightly to 94.4. The rate for Hispanic teenagers continues to be substantially higher than that of other groups. Between 1991 and 2000, rates dropped most steeply for black teenagers (31 percent) with the smallest decline observed for Hispanic teenagers (12 percent).

Birth rates for women in their twenties, the ages at which rates are historically the highest, were 112.5 per 1,000 for women aged $20-24$ years and 121.7 for women 25-29 years in 2000 (figure 1, table 1). The rate for women aged 20-24 years increased 1 percent for 2000, from 111.0, and the rate for women aged 25-29 years increased 3 percent, from 117.8. The rate rose 3 percent for Asian or Pacific Islander and Hispanic women aged 20-24 years and 1 percent for black women. In contrast, the rate for non-Hispanic white women aged 20-24 years was essentially unchanged and that for American Indian mothers aged 20-24 years declined 1 percent. All racial and ethnic groups experienced increases of at least 2 percent in birth rates for women aged 25-29 years between 1999 and 2000. The rate of increase was greatest for Asian or Pacific Islander women (8 percent), followed by Hispanic women (5 percent), and American Indian women (4 percent).

Birth rates for women 30 years of age and over continued to increase in 2000. The rate rose 5 percent for women aged 30-34 years (from 89.6 to 94.2 ) and for women 35-39 years (from 38.3 to 40.3). The birth rate for women aged 40-44 years also increased between 1999 and 2000 (from 7.4 to 7.9 per 1,000 ) as did the birth rate for women aged 45-54 years (from 0.4 to 0.5 ). Birth rates for women 30 years of age and over were the highest in 30 years (figure 1).

As a result of the continued decline in teenage birth rates and increases in the birth rate for most groups aged 20 years and over, the proportion of all births occurring to women under the age of 20 years declined from 12.3 to 11.8 between 1999 and 2000 (table A).

Table B. Birth rates for women aged 15-19 years, by age, race, and Hispanic origin: United States, final 1990-99 and preliminary 2000, and percent change in rates, 1990-2000
[Rates per 1,000 women in specified group]

| Age and race and Hispanic origin of mother | 2000 | 1999 | 1998 | 1997 | 1996 | 1995 | 1994 | 1993 | 1992 | 1991 | 1990 | Percent change 1991-2000 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 15-19 years |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| All races ${ }^{1}$. | 48.7 | 49.6 | 51.1 | 52.3 | 54.4 | 56.8 | 58.9 | 59.6 | 60.7 | 62.1 | 59.9 | -21.6 |
| White, total ${ }^{2}$ | 43.9 | 44.6 | 45.4 | 46.3 | 48.1 | 50.1 | 51.1 | 51.1 | 51.8 | 52.8 | 50.8 | -16.9 |
| White, non-Hispanic | 32.8 | 34.0 | 35.2 | 36.0 | 37.6 | 39.3 | 40.4 | 40.7 | 41.7 | 43.4 | 42.5 | -24.4 |
| Black, total ${ }^{2}$ | 79.2 | 81.0 | 85.4 | 88.2 | 91.4 | 96.1 | 104.5 | 108.6 | 112.4 | 115.5 | 112.8 | -31.4 |
| Hispanic ${ }^{3}$. | 94.4 | 93.4 | 93.6 | 97.4 | 101.8 | 106.7 | 107.7 | 106.8 | 107.1 | 106.7 | 100.3 | -11.5 |
| 15-17 years |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| All races ${ }^{1}$. | 27.5 | 28.7 | 30.4 | 32.1 | 33.8 | 36.0 | 37.6 | 37.8 | 37.8 | 38.7 | 37.5 | -28.9 |
| White, total ${ }^{2}$ | 23.8 | 24.8 | 25.9 | 27.1 | 28.4 | 30.0 | 30.7 | 30.3 | 30.1 | 30.7 | 29.5 | -22.5 |
| White, non-Hispanic | 15.9 | 17.1 | 18.4 | 19.4 | 20.6 | 22.0 | 22.8 | 22.7 | 22.7 | 23.6 | 23.2 | -32.6 |
| Black, total ${ }^{2}$. . . . . | 50.2 | 52.0 | 56.8 | 60.8 | 64.7 | 69.7 | 76.3 | 79.8 | 81.3 | 84.1 | 82.3 | -40.3 |
| Hispanic ${ }^{3}$ | 60.0 | 61.3 | 62.3 | 66.3 | 69.0 | 72.9 | 74.0 | 71.7 | 71.4 | 70.6 | 65.9 | -15.0 |
| 18-19 years |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| All races ${ }^{1}$. | 79.5 | 80.3 | 82.0 | 83.6 | 86.0 | 89.1 | 91.5 | 92.1 | 94.5 | 94.4 | 88.6 | -15.8 |
| White, total ${ }^{2}$ | 73.0 | 73.5 | 74.6 | 75.9 | 78.4 | 81.2 | 82.1 | 82.1 | 83.8 | 83.5 | 78.0 | -12.6 |
| White, non-Hispanic | 57.3 | 58.9 | 60.6 | 61.9 | 63.7 | 66.1 | 67.4 | 67.7 | 69.8 | 70.5 | 66.6 | -18.7 |
| Black, total ${ }^{2}$ | 121.1 | 122.8 | 126.9 | 130.1 | 132.5 | 137.1 | 148.3 | 151.9 | 157.9 | 158.6 | 152.9 | -23.6 |
| Hispanic ${ }^{3}$. | 143.5 | 139.4 | 140.1 | 144.3 | 151.1 | 157.9 | 158.0 | 159.1 | 159.7 | 158.5 | 147.7 | -9.5 |

${ }^{1}$ Includes races other than white and black.
${ }^{2}$ Race and Hispanic origin are reported separately on the birth certificate. Data for persons of Hispanic origin are included in the data for each race group according to the mother's reported race; see Technical notes.
${ }^{3}$ Includes all persons of Hispanic origin of any race; see Technical notes.

The first birth rate increased between 1999 (26.6 first births per 1,000 women aged 15-44 years) and 2000 (27.1) (table 3). The first birth rate fell between 1990 and 1998 but was up slightly for 1999 (5). The first birth rate for teenagers, however, continued to decline to the lowest level recorded since 1986. The first birth rate for teenagers was 38.2 in 2000, 2 percent lower than 1999 (38.9).

The total fertility rate (TFR) indicates the number of births that a hypothetical group of 1,000 women would have if they experienced throughout their childbearing years the age-specific birth rates observed in a given year. The TFR for 2000 was $2,133.5$, a 3 percent increase over $1999(2,075.0)$ and the highest TFR since 1971. TFRs increased between 1999 and 2000 for all racial and ethnic groups-from 1,850.0 to 1,887.0 for non-Hispanic white, from 2,146.5 to 2,183.5 for black, from 2,056.5 to 2,098.5 for American Indian, from $1,927.0$ to 2,072.0 for Asian or Pacific Islander, and from 2,985.0 to 3,107.5 for Hispanic women (tabular data not shown).

The number of births to unmarried women (preliminary) increased 3 percent in 2000, to 1,345,917, compared with 1,308,560 in 1999. The total for 2000 was the highest number ever reported for the United States. The increase from 1999 to 2000 is largely due to the 2 percent rise in the birth rate for unmarried women. The preliminary rate for 2000 was 45.2 births per 1,000 unmarried women aged 15-44 years. The rate rose from 44.4 in 1999, but it is still below the peak reached in 1994 (46.9). The other factor that determines, statistically, the number of births to unmarried women is the number of unmarried women in the childbearing ages (15-44 years); this population rose less than 1 percent in 2000.

The proportion of births to unmarried women rose modestly in 2000, to 33.1 percent, compared with 33.0 percent in 1999. The proportion has changed very little between 1994 and 2000, ranging from 32.2 to 33.1 percent. Among population groups, the proportion increased from 42.2 to 42.5 percent for Hispanic births, was unchanged for non-Hispanic white births at 22.1 percent, and declined for black births from 68.9 to 68.5 percent (tables A and 5).

The number of nonmarital births to teenagers declined again in 2000, as it did in 1999. The declines were limited to teenagers under 15 years (down 6 percent) and aged 15-17 years (down 4 percent). The number of births to unmarried 18-19-year-olds rose very slightly (table C).

Despite the reductions in the number of nonmarital births to teenagers under 18 years, the proportions of nonmarital births among teenagers were essentially unchanged in 2000 compared with 1999 because total births to teenagers declined even more than births to unmarried teenagers (see table 1). Birth rates for unmarried teenagers for 2000 are not yet available; see Technical notes.

The proportions of births to unmarried women by race and Hispanic origin are shown by State in table 5 for 1999 and 2000. The proportions increased in 31 States, declined in 15 States and the District of Columbia, and were unchanged in 3 States (data are not available for Ohio). The changes from 1999 to 2000 were small in most cases.

The percent of low birthweight (LBW) (infants born at less than 2,500 grams) was 7.6 percent for 2000, unchanged from the previous 2 years. Following declines in the 1970s and early 1980s, the LBW rate has risen slowly since the mid-1980s (from 6.8 percent); current levels equal those reported for the early 1970s (1). (See tables A and 6 for 1999 and 2000 data.) The percent of infants born at very low

Table C. Number and percent of births to unmarried women, all ages and women under 20 years: United States, final 1999 and preliminary 2000
[Figures for 2000 are based on weighted data rounded to the nearest individual]

| Age of mother | Number |  | Percent |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2000 | 1999 | 2000 | 1999 |
| All ages. | 1,345,917 | 1,308,560 | 33.1 | 33.0 |
| Under 20 years | 378,585 | 383,222 | 79.0 | 79.0 |
| Under 15 years . | 8,255 | 8,737 | 96.4 | 96.5 |
| 15-19 years. | 370,330 | 374,485 | 78.7 | 78.7 |
| 15-17 years | 138,174 | 143,391 | 87.6 | 87.7 |
| 18-19 years | 232,157 | 231,094 | 74.2 | 74.0 |

birthweight (VLBW) was 1.4, essentially the same as that for 1999. The current rate of VLBW is slightly higher than that reported for the early 1970s ( 1.2 percent).

The LBW levels were unchanged among non-Hispanic white ( 6.6 percent) and Hispanic births ( 6.4 percent) between 1999 and 2000, but declined slightly among births to black women (13.1 to 12.9 percent). LBW among black births has improved somewhat after peaking at 13.6 percent in the early 1990s. LBW among non-Hispanic white births worsened during the 1990s, in part as the result of increases in the rate of multiple births; about one-half of all multiple births are born LBW (1).

The cesarean delivery rate rose for the fourth consecutive year to 22.9 percent for 2000, an increase of 4 percent over 1999. The cesarean rate declined steadily between 1989 and 1996 (tables A and 7) (figure 2) but has risen 11 percent since. The current level is the highest reported since 1989, when these data first became available from birth certificates.

The rise in the overall rate was the result of both an increase in the primary cesarean rate and a decrease in the rate of vaginal births after previous cesarean (VBAC) delivery. The rate of primary cesarean delivery (births to women with no previous cesarean) increased from 15.5 to 16.0 percent for 1999-2000. The primary cesarean rate has risen 10 percent since 1997. The VBAC rate dropped 12 percent for the current year, from 23.4 per 100 women with a previous cesarean delivery to 20.7, and has fallen 27 percent since 1996 (from 28.3 percent). Between 1989 and 1996, the VBAC rate had risen 50 percent (1).

Overall cesarean rates rose 4 to 5 percent among each of the three major race and ethnic groups for 1999-2000; non-Hispanic white (from 22.1 to 23.0 percent), black (from 23.2 to 24.3 percent), and Hispanic (from 21.2 to 22.1 percent) (table 7). A forthcoming report shows that over the period 1996-99, rates rose for all racial, ethnic, and age groups (6).

Elevated rates were reported for 1999-2000 by nearly all States and the District of Columbia-slight declines were reported for only two States (Louisiana and Wyoming). All areas have reported increases for the 2-year period 1998-2000.

The percent of women who began prenatal care in their first trimester of pregnancy was 83.2 percent for 2000, the same as the level reported for 1999. The proportion of women with timely prenatal care had improved steadily between 1989 and 1999, rising from


Figure 2. Total and primary cesarean rate and vaginal birth after previous cesarean (VBAC) rate: United States, 1989-2000
75.5 percent (1). The percent of women with late (care beginning in the third trimester of pregnancy) or no care was essentially unchanged at 3.9 percent between 1999 and 2000. The proportion of late or no care has dropped from 6.4 percent for 1989-2000. (See tables A and 8 for 1999 and 2000 data.)

For 1999-2000, very small increases in first trimester care were observed for non-Hispanic white ( 88.4 to 88.5 percent), and black women ( 74.1 to 74.2 percent), but no change was reported for Hispanic women ( 74.4 percent). Although still substantially lower than that for non-Hispanic white women, timely prenatal care for black and Hispanic women rose by more than 20 percent during the 1990s.
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Table 1. Births and birth rates, by age, race and Hispanic origin of mother: United States, final 1999 and preliminary 2000
[Data for 2000 are based on a continuous file of records received from the States. Figures for 2000 are based on weighted data rounded to the nearest individual, so categories may not add to totals]

| Age and race/Hispanic origin | 2000 |  | 1999 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Number | Rate | Number | Rate |

All races

| Total ${ }^{1}$ | 4,064,948 |
| :---: | :---: |
| 10-14 years | 8,561 |
| 15-19 years | 470,506 |
| 15-17 years | 157,661 |
| 18-19 years | 312,845 |
| 20-24 years | 1,020,229 |
| 25-29 years | 1,090,430 |
| 30-34 years | 929,572 |
| 35-39 years | 451,398 |
| 40-44 years | 89,687 |
| $45-54$ years ${ }^{2}$............................ | 4,565 |


| 67.6 | $3,959,417$ | 65.9 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 0.9 | 9,054 | 0.9 |
| 48.7 | 476,050 | 49.6 |
| 27.5 | 163,588 | 28.7 |
| 79.5 | 312,462 | 80.3 |
| 112.5 | 981,929 | 111.0 |
| 121.7 | $1,078,252$ | 117.8 |
| 94.2 | 892,400 | 89.6 |
| 40.3 | 434,294 | 38.3 |
| 7.9 | 83,090 | 7.4 |
| 0.5 | 4,348 | 0.4 |

White, total ${ }^{3}$

| Total ${ }^{1}$ | 3,202,932 | 66.7 | 3,132,501 | 65.1 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 10-14 years ................................. | 4,451 | 0.6 | 4,739 | 0.6 |
| 15-19 years ................................. | 334,751 | 43.9 | 337,888 | 44.6 |
| 15-17 years .............................. | 107,373 | 23.8 | 111,624 | 24.8 |
| 18-19 years ............................... | 227,378 | 73.0 | 226,264 | 73.5 |
| 20-24 years | 775,854 | 108.3 | 748,371 | 107.0 |
| 25-29 years ................................. | 877,915 | 124.9 | 873,654 | 121.1 |
| 30-34 years ................................. | 765,723 | 97.6 | 739,948 | 93.2 |
| 35-39 years ................................ | 368,363 | 40.7 | 356,959 | 38.8 |
| 40-44 years | 72,176 | 7.7 | 67,419 | 7.3 |
| 45-54 years ${ }^{2}$............................. | 3,698 | 0.4 | 3,523 | 0.4 |

White, non-Hispanic

| Total ${ }^{1}$ | 2,370,778 | 58.7 | 2,346,450 | 57.8 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 10-14 years ................................. | 1,845 | 0.3 | 2,048 | 0.3 |
| 15-19 years ................................. | 205,729 | 32.8 | 212,923 | 34.0 |
| 15-17 years ............................... | 59,325 | 15.9 | 63,520 | 17.1 |
| 18-19 years ............................... | 146,404 | 57.3 | 149,403 | 58.9 |
| 20-24 years ................................. | 526,728 | 90.1 | 514,386 | 89.9 |
| 25-29 years ................................. | 654,745 | 113.4 | 663,569 | 111.0 |
| 30-34 years ................................ | 618,070 | 94.2 | 600,830 | 90.3 |
| 35-39 years ................................. | 302,224 | 39.0 | 294,590 | 37.3 |
| 40-44 years ................................. | 58,387 | 7.2 | 55,175 | 6.8 |
| 45-54 years ${ }^{2}$.............................. | 3,051 | 0.4 | 2,929 | 0.4 |

Black, total ${ }^{3}$

| Total ${ }^{1}$......................................... | 619,970 | 71.4 | 605,970 | 70.1 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 10-14 years ................................. | 3,833 | 2.5 | 3,977 | 2.6 |
| 15-19 years | 118,642 | 79.2 | 121,166 | 81.0 |
| 15-17 years ............................... | 44,453 | 50.2 | 45,919 | 52.0 |
| 18-19 years ............................... | 74,188 | 121.1 | 75,247 | 122.8 |
| 20-24 years ................................ | 201,907 | 143.7 | 193,211 | 141.7 |
| 25-29 years ................................. | 141,204 | 104.8 | 138,868 | 101.9 |
| 30-34 years ................................. | 94,233 | 67.0 | 91,486 | 64.5 |
| 35-39 years ................................. | 49,054 | 32.0 | 47,277 | 30.8 |
| 40-44 years ................................. | 10,633 | 7.1 | 9,564 | 6.5 |
| 45-54 years ${ }^{2}$............................... | 464 | 0.4 | 421 | 0.3 |
| American Indian, total 3,4 |  |  |  |  |
| Total ${ }^{1}$ | 41,621 | 71.3 | 40,170 | 69.7 |
| 10-14 years ................................. | 161 | 1.3 | 198 | 1.6 |
| 15-19 years ................................ | 8,061 | 67.9 | 7,915 | 67.8 |
| 15-17 years .............................. | 2,890 | 39.5 | 2,984 | 41.4 |
| 18-19 years ............................... | 5,171 | 113.4 | 4,931 | 110.6 |
| 20-24 years ................................ | 13,614 | 135.4 | 13,225 | 137.1 |
| 25-29 years | 10,032 | 106.7 | 9,641 | 102.4 |
| 30-34 years ................................. | 6,067 | 68.0 | 5,701 | 64.3 |
| 35-39 years ................................ | 3,001 | 32.7 | 2,844 | 30.7 |
| 40-44 years ................................. | 659 | 7.4 | 621 | 7.1 |
| 45-54 years ${ }^{2}$.............................. | 26 | 0.3 | 25 | 0.3 |

[^0]Table 1. Births and birth rates, by age, race and Hispanic origin of mother: United States, final 1999 and preliminary 2000 - Continued
[Data for 2000 are based on a continuous file of records received from the States. Figures for 2000 are based on weighted data rounded to the nearest individual, so categories may not add to totals]

| Age and race/Hispanic origin | 2000 |  | 1999 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Number | Rate | Number | Rate |

Asian or Pacific Islander, total ${ }^{3}$

| Total ${ }^{1}$ | 200,426 | 70.7 | 180,776 | 65.6 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 10-14 years ................................. | 117 | 0.3 | 140 | 0.3 |
| 15-19 years ................................ | 9,052 | 21.8 | 9,081 | 22.3 |
| 15-17 years .............................. | 2,945 | 11.7 | 3,061 | 12.3 |
| 18-19 years .............................. | 6,107 | 37.3 | 6,020 | 38.0 |
| 20-24 years | 28,854 | 72.2 | 27,122 | 70.0 |
| 25-29 years | 61,279 | 125.7 | 56,089 | 116.4 |
| 30-34 years ................................ | 63,549 | 120.6 | 55,265 | 109.3 |
| 35-39 years ............................... | 30,979 | 60.2 | 27,214 | 54.6 |
| 40-44 years | 6,219 | 12.7 | 5,486 | 11.6 |
| $45-54$ years ${ }^{2}$ | 377 | 0.9 | 379 | 0.9 |
| Hispanic ${ }^{5}$ |  |  |  |  |
| Total ${ }^{1}$ | 815,778 | 105.9 | 764,339 | 102.0 |
| 10-14 years | 2,648 | 1.9 | 2,725 | 2.0 |
| 15-19 years | 129,398 | 94.4 | 124,677 | 93.4 |
| 15-17 years | 48,413 | 60.0 | 48,229 | 61.3 |
| 18-19 years | 80,984 | 143.5 | 76,448 | 139.4 |
| 20-24 years. | 247,462 | 184.6 | 231,475 | 178.7 |
| 25-29 years ................................. | 218,246 | 170.8 | 203,985 | 163.1 |
| 30-34 years | 141,545 | 109.0 | 131,369 | 102.2 |
| 35-39 years | 62,905 | 48.6 | 58,146 | 46.3 |
| 40-44 years ................................ | 13,004 | 11.6 | 11,440 | 10.7 |
| 45-54 years ${ }^{2}$.............................. | 571 | 0.6 | 522 | 0.6 |

1 The total number includes births to women of all ages, 10-54 years. The rate shown for all ages is the fertility rate, which is defined as the total number of births, regardless of age of mother, per 1,000 women aged 15-44 years.
2 The number of births shown is the total for women aged $45-54$ years. The birth rate is computed by relating the number of births to women aged $45-54$ years to women aged 45-49 years, because most of the births in this group are to women aged 45-49.
3 Race and Hispanic origin are reported separately on the birth certificate. Data for persons of Hispanic origin are also included in the data for each race group, according to the mother's reported race; see Technical notes.
4 Includes births to Aleuts and Eskimos.
5 Includes all persons of Hispanic origin of any race; see Technical notes.
NOTE: Data are subject to sampling and/or random variation. For information on the relative standard errors of the data and further discussion, see Technical notes.

Table 2. Live births by age of mother, live-birth order, and race and Hispanic origin of mother: United States, preliminary 2000
[Data are based on a continuous file of records received from the States. Figures are based on weighted data rounded to the nearest individual, so categories may not add to totals]

| Live-birth order and race/Hispanic origin of mother | All ages | Age of mother |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Under 15 years | $15-19$ years | $20-24$ years | $\begin{aligned} & 25-29 \\ & \text { years } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 30-34 \\ & \text { years } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 35-39 \\ & \text { years } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 40-44 \\ & \text { years } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 45-54 \\ & \text { years } \end{aligned}$ |
| All races | 4,064,948 | 8,561 | 470,506 | 1,020,229 | 1,090,430 | 929,572 | 451,398 | 89,687 | 4,565 |
| 1st child | 1,625,342 | 8,349 | 367,779 | 467,064 | 394,487 | 267,414 | 100,234 | 18,885 | 1,130 |
| 2d child | 1,315,842 | 149 | 84,310 | 350,691 | 374,642 | 334,210 | 146,232 | 24,531 | 1,077 |
| 3d child | 677,179 | 6 | 14,057 | 143,845 | 200,684 | 193,045 | 106,111 | 18,673 | 758 |
| 4th child and over | 428,558 | 3 | 2,004 | 54,614 | 116,103 | 130,656 | 96,555 | 27,056 | 1,566 |
| Not stated | 18,028 | 54 | 2,355 | 4,016 | 4,514 | 4,248 | 2,266 | 542 | 33 |
| White, total ${ }^{1}$ | 3,202,932 | 4,451 | 334,751 | 775,854 | 877,915 | 765,723 | 368,363 | 72,176 | 3,698 |
| 1st child | 1,286,395 | 4,338 | 266,761 | 369,582 | 325,017 | 221,742 | 82,481 | 15,507 | 967 |
| 2d child | 1,052,562 | 64 | 56,766 | 269,507 | 308,176 | 278,166 | 119,204 | 19,793 | 886 |
| 3d child | 534,774 | 3 | 8,281 | 101,720 | 159,981 | 161,296 | 87,942 | 14,952 | 600 |
| 4th child and over | 314,355 | 3 | 1,036 | 31,827 | 81,063 | 100,924 | 76,816 | 21,470 | 1,215 |
| Not stated | 14,845 | 43 | 1,908 | 3,218 | 3,679 | 3,595 | 1,919 | 453 | 30 |
| White, non-Hispanic | 2,370,778 | 1,845 | 205,729 | 526,728 | 654,745 | 618,070 | 302,224 | 58,387 | 3,051 |
| 1st child | 978,218 | 1,823 | 169,087 | 261,983 | 265,335 | 193,045 | 72,459 | 13,626 | 860 |
| 2d child | 799,564 | 12 | 31,420 | 181,128 | 231,970 | 234,251 | 102,937 | 17,063 | 782 |
| 3d child | 380,099 | 1 | 4,042 | 64,048 | 106,334 | 122,126 | 71,002 | 12,040 | 505 |
| 4th child and over | 203,731 | 1 | 449 | 17,947 | 48,766 | 65,992 | 54,363 | 15,333 | 880 |
| Not stated | 9,167 | 7 | 729 | 1,622 | 2,341 | 2,655 | 1,462 | 325 | 25 |
| Black, total ${ }^{1}$ | 619,970 | 3,833 | 118,642 | 201,907 | 141,204 | 94,233 | 49,054 | 10,633 | 464 |
| 1st child | 231,392 | 3,742 | 87,644 | 75,161 | 34,237 | 19,854 | 8,899 | 1,782 | 72 |
| 2d child | 183,622 | 78 | 24,495 | 68,290 | 45,003 | 29,307 | 13,810 | 2,539 | 100 |
| 3d child | 110,401 | 2 | 5,238 | 37,260 | 32,239 | 21,814 | 11,453 | 2,303 | 94 |
| 4th child and over | 92,426 | - | 885 | 20,588 | 29,215 | 22,898 | 14,686 | 3,956 | 196 |
| Not stated | 2,129 | 10 | 380 | 609 | 509 | 360 | 206 | 52 | 2 |
| American Indian, total 1,2 | 41,621 | 161 | 8,061 | 13,614 | 10,032 | 6,067 | 3,001 | 659 | 26 |
| 1st child | 14,532 | 155 | 6,126 | 4,982 | 1,962 | 872 | 358 | 76 | 2 |
| 2d child | 11,648 | 4 | 1,612 | 5,007 | 2,899 | 1,433 | 586 | 101 | 6 |
| 3d child | 7,356 | 1 | 266 | 2,489 | 2,507 | 1,378 | 609 | 102 | 5 |
| 4th child and over | 7,919 | - | 29 | 1,077 | 2,623 | 2,358 | 1,442 | 377 | 13 |
| Not stated | 166 | 1 | 29 | 58 | 41 | 27 | 6 | 4 | - |
| Asian or Pacific Islander, total ${ }^{1}$ | 200,426 | 117 | 9,052 | 28,854 | 61,279 | 63,549 | 30,979 | 6,219 | 377 |
| 1st child | 93,024 | 115 | 7,249 | 17,340 | 33,270 | 24,946 | 8,495 | 1,520 | 89 |
| 2d child | 68,010 | 2 | 1,437 | 7,886 | 18,565 | 25,304 | 12,632 | 2,098 | 85 |
| 3d child | 24,647 | - | 273 | 2,375 | 5,958 | 8,557 | 6,107 | 1,316 | 60 |
| 4th child and over | 13,857 | - | 54 | 1,122 | 3,201 | 4,476 | 3,610 | 1,252 | 142 |
| Not stated | 888 | - | 39 | 130 | 284 | 266 | 135 | 33 | 1 |
| Hispanic ${ }^{3}$............................ | 815,778 | 2,648 | 129,398 | 247,462 | 218,246 | 141,545 | 62,905 | 13,004 | 571 |
| 1st child | 302,581 | 2,555 | 98,028 | 106,965 | 57,498 | 26,558 | 9,221 | 1,667 | 89 |
| 2d child | 247,560 | 55 | 25,454 | 87,770 | 74,705 | 41,722 | 15,236 | 2,530 | 88 |
| 3d child | 152,405 | 2 | 4,246 | 37,506 | 53,092 | 38,329 | 16,338 | 2,809 | 83 |
| 4th child and over | 108,947 | 2 | 586 | 13,872 | 31,962 | 34,382 | 21,890 | 5,947 | 307 |
| Not stated ..... | 4,284 | 34 | 1,084 | 1,349 | 988 | 555 | 220 | 51 | 3 |

[^1]Table 3. Birth rates by age of mother, live-birth order, and race and Hispanic origin of mother: United States, preliminary 2000
[Data are based on a continuous file of records received from the States. Rates per 1,000 women in specified age and racial group]

| Live-birth order and race/Hispanic origin of mother | 15-44 years ${ }^{1}$ | Age of mother |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 10-14 years | 15-19 years | $\begin{aligned} & 20-24 \\ & \text { years } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 25-29 \\ & \text { years } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 30-34 \\ & \text { years } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 35-39 \\ & \text { years } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 40-44 \\ & \text { years } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 45-49 \\ & \text { years }^{2} \end{aligned}$ |
| All races ................................... | 67.6 | 0.9 | 48.7 | 112.5 | 121.7 | 94.2 | 40.3 | 7.9 | 0.5 |
| 1st child | 27.1 | 0.9 | 38.2 | 51.7 | 44.2 | 27.2 | 9.0 | 1.7 | 0.1 |
| 2d child | 22.0 | 0.0 | 8.8 | 38.8 | 42.0 | 34.0 | 13.1 | 2.2 | 0.1 |
| 3d child .................................... | 11.3 | * | 1.5 | 15.9 | 22.5 | 19.6 | 9.5 | 1.6 | 0.1 |
| 4th child and over ...................... | 7.2 | * | 0.2 | 6.0 | 13.0 | 13.3 | 8.7 | 2.4 | 0.2 |
| White, total ${ }^{3}$............................. | 66.7 | 0.6 | 43.9 | 108.3 | 124.9 | 97.6 | 40.7 | 7.7 | 0.4 |
| 1st child .................................... | 26.9 | 0.6 | 35.2 | 51.8 | 46.4 | 28.4 | 9.2 | 1.7 | 0.1 |
| 2d child ................................... | 22.0 | 0.0 | 7.5 | 37.8 | 44.0 | 35.6 | 13.2 | 2.1 | 0.1 |
| 3d child | 11.2 | * | 1.1 | 14.3 | 22.9 | 20.6 | 9.8 | 1.6 | 0.1 |
| 4th child and over ..................... | 6.6 | * | 0.1 | 4.5 | 11.6 | 12.9 | 8.5 | 2.3 | 0.1 |
| White, non-Hispanic ................... | 58.7 | 0.3 | 32.8 | 90.1 | 113.4 | 94.2 | 39.0 | 7.2 | 0.4 |
| 1st child .................................... | 24.3 | 0.3 | 27.0 | 44.9 | 46.1 | 29.5 | 9.4 | 1.7 | 0.1 |
| 2d child | 19.9 | * | 5.0 | 31.1 | 40.3 | 35.8 | 13.3 | 2.1 | 0.1 |
| 3d child ................................... | 9.5 | * | 0.6 | 11.0 | 18.5 | 18.7 | 9.2 | 1.5 | 0.1 |
| 4th child and over ..................... | 5.1 | * | 0.1 | 3.1 | 8.5 | 10.1 | 7.1 | 1.9 | 0.1 |
| Black, total ${ }^{3}$............................. | 71.4 | 2.5 | 79.2 | 143.7 | 104.8 | 67.0 | 32.0 | 7.1 | 0.4 |
| 1st child | 26.7 | 2.4 | 58.7 | 53.7 | 25.5 | 14.2 | 5.8 | 1.2 | 0.1 |
| 2d child | 21.2 | 0.1 | 16.4 | 48.7 | 33.5 | 20.9 | 9.1 | 1.7 | 0.1 |
| 3d child | 12.8 | * | 3.5 | 26.6 | 24.0 | 15.6 | 7.5 | 1.6 | 0.1 |
| 4th child and over ...................... | 10.7 | * | 0.6 | 14.7 | 21.8 | 16.4 | 9.6 | 2.7 | 0.2 |
| American Indian, total $3,4 \ldots . . . . . . .$. | 71.3 | 1.3 | 67.9 | 135.4 | 106.7 | 68.0 | 32.7 | 7.4 | 0.3 |
| 1st child | 25.0 | 1.3 | 51.8 | 49.8 | 21.0 | 9.8 | 3.9 | 0.9 | * |
| 2d child ................................... | 20.0 | * | 13.6 | 50.0 | 31.0 | 16.1 | 6.4 | 1.1 | * |
| 3d child ................................... | 12.6 | * | 2.2 | 24.9 | 26.8 | 15.5 | 6.6 | 1.1 | * |
| 4th child and over ...................... | 13.6 | * | 0.2 | 10.8 | 28.0 | 26.5 | 15.7 | 4.2 | * |
| Asian or Pacific Islander, total ${ }^{3}$... | 70.7 | 0.3 | 21.8 | 72.2 | 125.7 | 120.6 | 60.2 | 12.7 | 0.9 |
| 1st child .................................... | 33.0 | 0.3 | 17.5 | 43.6 | 68.5 | 47.5 | 16.6 | 3.1 | 0.2 |
| 2d child ................................... | 24.1 | * | 3.5 | 19.8 | 38.2 | 48.2 | 24.7 | 4.3 | 0.2 |
| 3d child ................................... | 8.7 | * | 0.7 | 6.0 | 12.3 | 16.3 | 11.9 | 2.7 | 0.1 |
| 4th child and over ..................... | 4.9 | * | 0.1 | 2.8 | 6.6 | 8.5 | 7.1 | 2.6 | 0.3 |
| Hispanic ${ }^{5}$................................ | 105.9 | 1.9 | 94.4 | 184.6 | 170.8 | 109.0 | 48.6 | 11.6 | 0.6 |
| 1st child .................................... | 39.5 | 1.8 | 72.1 | 80.2 | 45.2 | 20.5 | 7.2 | 1.5 | 0.1 |
| 2d child ..................................... | 32.3 | 0.0 | 18.7 | 65.8 | 58.7 | 32.3 | 11.8 | 2.3 | 0.1 |
| 3d child .................................... | 19.9 | * | 3.1 | 28.1 | 41.7 | 29.6 | 12.7 | 2.5 | 0.1 |
| 4th child and over ...................... | 14.2 | * | 0.4 | 10.4 | 25.1 | 26.6 | 17.0 | 5.3 | 0.3 |

0.0 Quantity more than zero but less than 0.05 .
$*$ Figure does not meet standards of reliability or precision; based on fewer than 20 births in the numerator.

1 The rate shown is the fertility rate, which is defined as the total number of births, regardless of age of mother, per 1,000 women aged 15-44 years.
2 The birth rate for ages 45-49 years is computed by relating births to women aged 45-54 years to women aged 45-49 years, because most of the births in this group are to women aged 45-49.
3 Race and Hispanic origin are reported separately on the birth certificate. Data for persons of Hispanic origin are included in the data for each race group according to the mother's reported race; see Technical notes.
4 Includes births to Aleuts and Eskimos.
5 Includes all persons of Hispanic origin of any race; see Technical notes.
NOTE: Data are subject to sampling and/or random variation. For information on the relative standard errors of the data and further discussion, see Technical notes.

Table 4. Live births by race and Hispanic origin of mother: United States, each State and territory, preliminary 2000, and birth and fertility rates, final 1999 and preliminary 2000
[By place of residence. Data are based on a continuous file of records received from the States. Birth rates are total births per 1,000 total population; fertility rates are total births per 1,000 women aged 15-44 years. Figures for 1999 are based on weighted data rounded to the nearest individual, so categories may not add to totals]

|  | Number |  |  |  |  |  |  | Birth rate |  | Fertility rate |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Area | $\begin{aligned} & \text { All } \\ & \text { races } \end{aligned}$ | White, total ${ }^{1}$ | White, non-Hispanic | Black ${ }^{1}$ | American Indian 1,2 | Asian or Pacific Islander ${ }^{1}$ | Hispanic ${ }^{3}$ | 2000 | 1999 | 2000 | 1999 |
| United States ${ }^{4}$................ | 4,064,948 | 3,202,932 | 2,370,778 | 619,970 | 41,621 | 200,426 | 815,778 | 14.8 | 14.5 | 67.6 | 65.9 |
| Alabama | 63,317 | 42,075 | 40,182 | 20,515 | 182 | 544 | 1,883 | 14.4 | 14.2 | 65.0 | 63.3 |
| Alaska ........................... | 10,026 | 6,394 | 5,797 | 466 | 2,523 | 642 | 598 | 16.1 | 16.1 | 75.0 | 74.3 |
| Arizona .......................... | 85,274 | 74,765 | 39,906 | 2,789 | 5,668 | 2,052 | 34,696 | 17.5 | 17.0 | 84.4 | 81.1 |
| Arkansas ........................ | 38,031 | 29,249 | 26,817 | 8,031 | 247 | 505 | 2,362 | 14.8 | 14.4 | 69.5 | 67.7 |
| California ....................... | 531,832 | 429,516 | 172,337 | 34,856 | 3,037 | 64,423 | 257,202 | 15.8 | 15.6 | 70.6 | 69.5 |
| Colorado ........................ | 65,434 | 59,679 | 41,818 | 3,032 | 644 | 2,078 | 18,238 | 15.8 | 15.3 | 73.1 | 69.8 |
| Connecticut .................... | 43,154 | 36,118 | 29,073 | 5,143 | 126 | 1,766 | 6,502 | 13.1 | 13.2 | 61.3 | 61.9 |
| Delaware ....................... | 11,050 | 8,010 | 6,998 | 2,633 | 39 | 368 | 1,021 | 14.5 | 14.2 | 63.5 | 61.7 |
| District of Columbia .......... | 7,666 | 2,325 | 1,464 | 5,155 | 9 | 177 | 876 | 14.8 | 14.5 | 63.0 | 60.0 |
| Florida ........................... | 204,152 | 150,614 | 106,199 | 47,390 | 1,129 | 5,019 | 45,867 | 13.3 | 13.0 | 66.9 | 65.1 |
| Georgia ......................... | 132,711 | 84,813 | 70,682 | 44,066 | 299 | 3,533 | 13,369 | 16.7 | 16.3 | 71.4 | 68.8 |
| Hawaii ........................... | 17,544 | 4,018 | 3,280 | 472 | 189 | 12,865 | 2,302 | 14.9 | 14.4 | 72.3 | 68.7 |
| Idaho | 20,371 | 19,710 | 17,029 | 75 | 288 | 298 | 2,596 | 16.0 | 15.9 | 74.8 | 73.2 |
| Illinois ............................ | 185,066 | 142,729 | 103,681 | 34,243 | 268 | 7,827 | 39,242 | 15.2 | 15.0 | 69.5 | 68.0 |
| Indiana .......................... | 87,111 | 76,279 | 70,684 | 9,500 | 144 | 1,188 | 5,421 | 14.6 | 14.5 | 66.3 | 65.2 |
| Iowa .............................. | 38,545 | 36,153 | 33,855 | 1,243 | 227 | 923 | 2,152 | 13.4 | 13.1 | 64.5 | 62.3 |
| Kansas | 39,690 | 35,320 | 30,199 | 2,869 | 434 | 1,067 | 4,765 | 14.9 | 14.6 | 69.3 | 67.5 |
| Kentucky | 56,197 | 50,351 | 49,297 | 5,155 | 80 | 610 | 1,065 | 14.1 | 13.7 | 63.8 | 61.5 |
| Louisiana ....................... | 67,964 | 38,169 | 36,663 | 28,375 | 390 | 1,030 | 1,531 | 15.5 | 15.4 | 69.2 | 67.7 |
| Maine ............................ | 13,603 | 13,185 | 13,017 | 112 | 118 | 188 | 143 | 10.8 | 10.9 | 49.5 | 49.4 |
| Maryland ........................ | 74,341 | 45,569 | 41,026 | 24,918 | 237 | 3,617 | 4,815 | 14.2 | 13.9 | 62.0 | 60.4 |
| Massachusetts ............... | 81,650 | 68,571 | 60,463 | 8,101 | 164 | 4,814 | 9,268 | 13.2 | 13.1 | 59.2 | 58.5 |
| Michigan ......................... | 136,273 | 107,433 | 92,602 | 24,346 | 679 | 3,815 | 6,962 | 13.7 | 13.5 | 62.0 | 60.7 |
| Minnesota ....................... | 67,393 | 58,738 | 52,431 | 3,935 | 1,245 | 3,475 | 3,723 | 14.0 | 13.8 | 63.6 | 62.6 |
| Mississippi ...................... | 44,106 | 23,558 | 22,861 | 19,906 | 248 | 393 | 622 | 15.8 | 15.4 | 70.3 | 67.9 |
| Missouri . | 76,456 | 63,169 | 60,506 | 11,465 | 344 | 1,478 | 2,663 | 13.9 | 13.8 | 64.0 | 63.0 |
| Montana | 10,964 | 9,476 | 8,840 | 46 | 1,327 | 114 | 331 | 12.3 | 12.2 | 61.3 | 59.8 |
| Nebraska | 24,650 | 22,293 | 19,224 | 1,376 | 431 | 550 | 2,591 | 14.8 | 14.3 | 68.9 | 66.6 |
| Nevada .......................... | 30,910 | 26,095 | 15,744 | 2,383 | 432 | 2,000 | 10,236 | 16.4 | 16.2 | 80.0 | 78.3 |
| New Hampshire ............... | 14,613 | 14,077 | 13,145 | 182 | 28 | 326 | 374 | 12.0 | 11.7 | 52.3 | 50.8 |
| New Jersey .................... | 115,071 | 84,254 | 63,827 | 21,003 | 182 | 9,632 | 22,348 | 14.0 | 14.0 | 65.5 | 64.5 |
| New Mexico ....................... | 27,238 | 22,902 | 9,047 | 499 | 3,436 | 401 | 13,961 | 15.6 | 15.6 | 72.7 | 72.2 |
| New York ... | 258,036 | 183,010 | 124,869 | 54,729 | 702 | 19,594 | 53,778 | 14.1 | 14.0 | 64.8 | 63.6 |
| North Carolina ................. | 120,249 | 86,393 | 73,933 | 29,342 | 1,739 | 2,775 | 12,563 | 15.5 | 14.9 | 71.5 | 67.6 |
| North Dakota | 7,696 | 6,729 | 6,414 | 82 | 789 | 97 | 133 | 12.2 | 12.1 | 58.8 | 57.3 |
| Ohio ${ }^{5}$...... | 7,60 | 6,7* | * * | * | * | * | * | * | 13.6 | * | 61.4 |
| Oklahoma ....................... | 49,905 | 38,899 | 34,219 | 4,829 | 5,158 | 1,019 | 4,364 | 14.8 | 14.6 | 70.1 | 68.9 |
| Oregon .......................... | 45,800 | 41,694 | 33,000 | 1,023 | 738 | 2,345 | 7,367 | 13.7 | 13.6 | 65.8 | 64.8 |
| Pennsylvania .................. | 146,243 | 121,249 | 113,564 | 20,646 | 384 | 3,964 | 7,532 | 12.2 | 12.1 | 58.2 | 57.4 |
| Rhode Island ................... | 12,493 | 10,803 | 7,873 | 1,112 | 147 | 431 | 2,070 | 12.5 | 12.5 | 58.0 | 57.2 |
| South Carolina ................ | 56,116 | 35,338 | 33,177 | 19,741 | 188 | 849 | 2,258 | 14.3 | 14.1 | 63.3 | 62.1 |
| South Dakota ................. | 10,354 | 8,431 | 8,231 | 106 | 1,684 | 132 | 223 | 14.0 | 14.4 | 66.8 | 67.7 |
| Tennessee ..................... | 79,630 | 61,237 | 58,036 | 16,916 | 154 | 1,323 | 3,227 | 14.4 | 14.2 | 65.2 | 63.5 |
| Texas ............................ | 366,841 | 313,424 | 144,384 | 40,969 | 831 | 11,617 | 168,573 | 18.0 | 17.4 | 80.8 | 77.6 |
| Utah . | 47,368 | 44,912 | 38,826 | 332 | 690 | 1,435 | 5,938 | 21.9 | 21.7 | 94.5 | 93.1 |
| Vermont .......................... | 6,497 | 6,363 | 6,172 | 32 | 19 | 83 | 33 | 10.9 | 11.1 | 48.8 | 49.2 |
| Virginia .......................... | 98,907 | 71,173 | 63,521 | 22,507 | 109 | 5,118 | 7,716 | 14.2 | 13.9 | 61.2 | 59.6 |
| Washington .................... | 81,033 | 68,679 | 55,787 | 3,496 | 1,969 | 6,889 | 11,320 | 13.9 | 13.8 | 63.2 | 62.1 |
| West Virginia .................. | 20,912 | 20,017 | 19,917 | 775 | 12 | 107 | 49 | 11.6 | 11.5 | 56.0 | 54.7 |
| Wisconsin ....................... | 69,428 | 59,899 | 55,529 | 6,494 | 937 | 2,097 | 4,493 | 13.1 | 13.0 | 60.5 | 59.3 |
| Wyoming ....................... | 6,247 | 5,865 | 5,304 | 57 | 266 | 59 | 568 | 13.0 | 12.8 | 62.7 | 60.8 |
| Puerto Rico .................... | 59,333 | 54,595 | --- | 4,738 | --- | --- | --- | 15.2 | 15.3 | 64.9 | 65.3 |
| Virgin Islands .................. | 1,568 | 314 | 66 | 1,196 | 56 | 3 | 344 | 13.0 | 14.0 | 60.0 | 64.3 |
| Guam ........................... | 3,764 | 295 | 262 | 45 | 4 | 3,420 | 39 | 24.3 | 26.4 | 120.8 | 129.1 |
| American Samoa ............. | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | 27.2 | --- | 125.1 |
| Northern Marianas .......... | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | 20.0 | --- | 59.0 |

[^2]NOTE: Data are subject to sampling and/or random variation. For information on the relative standard errors of the data and further discussion, see Technical notes.

Table 5. Percent of live births to unmarried mothers by race and Hispanic origin of mother: United States, each State and territory, final 1999 and preliminary 2000
[By place of residence. Data are based on a continuous file of records received from the States]

| Area | All races ${ }^{1}$ |  | White, total ${ }^{2}$ |  | White, non-Hispanic |  | Black, total ${ }^{2}$ |  | Hispanic ${ }^{3}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2000 | 1999 | 2000 | 1999 | 2000 | 1999 | 2000 | 1999 | 2000 | 1999 |
| United States ${ }^{4}$.......... | 33.1 | 33.0 | 27.1 | 26.8 | 22.1 | 22.1 | 68.5 | 68.9 | 42.5 | 42.2 |
| Alabama | 34.3 | 33.3 | 18.1 | 17.2 | 17.7 | 16.9 | 68.1 | 67.8 | 24.7 | 26.4 |
| Alaska | 33.0 | 33.2 | 23.4 | 23.1 | 22.8 | 22.7 | 45.6 | 46.5 | 34.8 | 31.8 |
| Arizona .................... | 39.3 | 38.8 | 37.0 | 36.0 | 24.7 | 24.6 | 61.9 | 63.6 | 51.4 | 50.2 |
| Arkansas ................. | 35.7 | 35.2 | 25.2 | 24.7 | 24.5 | 24.0 | 74.8 | 74.6 | 34.6 | 35.0 |
| California ................. | 32.6 | 32.9 | 32.9 | 32.7 | 19.8 | 20.7 | 62.5 | 62.6 | 42.0 | 41.3 |
| Colorado ................. | 25.0 | 25.4 | 23.9 | 24.1 | 17.4 | 18.4 | 51.6 | 54.5 | 39.1 | 38.8 |
| Connecticut .............. | 29.1 | 29.0 | 24.6 | 24.2 | 16.2 | 16.4 | 66.8 | 67.3 | 63.1 | 62.6 |
| Delaware ................. | 38.0 | 38.8 | 28.4 | 28.1 | 25.2 | 25.4 | 70.9 | 72.9 | 51.6 | 51.3 |
| District of Columbia ... | 60.3 | 61.7 | 25.0 | 24.5 | 8.7 | 8.2 | 77.8 | 78.9 | 53.9 | 54.1 |
| Florida ..................... | 38.3 | 37.5 | 29.7 | 29.0 | 26.5 | 26.1 | 67.5 | 67.2 | 38.3 | 37.3 |
| Georgia ................... | 37.0 | 36.6 | 22.8 | 21.9 | 20.1 | 20.0 | 66.5 | 66.7 | 38.0 | 35.4 |
| Hawaii ..................... | 32.2 | 32.8 | 17.1 | 17.8 | 15.2 | 16.7 | 23.9 | 25.0 | 45.6 | 45.4 |
| Idaho | 21.6 | 21.6 | 21.0 | 21.1 | 19.1 | 19.7 | 48.0 | 41.0 | 32.9 | 31.3 |
| Illinois | 34.5 | 34.1 | 25.9 | 25.0 | 19.8 | 19.4 | 76.3 | 77.2 | 42.3 | 40.8 |
| Indiana | 34.8 | 34.5 | 30.0 | 29.5 | 28.7 | 28.5 | 76.0 | 77.1 | 47.3 | 45.3 |
| lowa | 28.0 | 27.5 | 26.4 | 26.0 | 25.5 | 25.2 | 74.0 | 71.3 | 41.7 | 40.2 |
| Kansas | 29.0 | 28.6 | 25.9 | 25.4 | 23.6 | 23.7 | 69.3 | 69.2 | 41.7 | 38.8 |
| Kentucky | 30.9 | 30.4 | 26.7 | 26.2 | 26.5 | 26.1 | 73.2 | 73.0 | 37.4 | 31.8 |
| Louisiana | 45.7 | 44.8 | 25.4 | 25.1 | 25.2 | 24.9 | 73.8 | 73.7 | 33.5 | 30.8 |
| Maine ..... | 31.1 | 31.3 | 30.8 | 31.1 | 30.8 | 30.5 | 43.8 | 49.1 | 33.6 | 36.3 |
| Maryland | 34.6 | 34.9 | 22.4 | 21.8 | 20.2 | 19.9 | 60.7 | 62.1 | 42.2 | 40.5 |
| Massachusetts ......... | 26.5 | 26.5 | 23.4 | 23.2 | 18.5 | 18.7 | 58.9 | 59.2 | 62.4 | 61.4 |
| Michigan .................. | 33.4 | 33.1 | 25.2 | 24.7 | 23.3 | 22.9 | 72.8 | 72.4 | 40.9 | 41.0 |
| Minnesota ............... | 25.8 | 25.9 | 22.5 | 22.4 | 21.4 | 21.5 | 60.6 | 61.9 | 46.8 | 47.1 |
| Mississippi ............... | 46.0 | 45.9 | 21.7 | 21.0 | 21.2 | 20.7 | 75.1 | 75.4 | 40.3 | 33.3 |
| Missouri ................... | 34.6 | 34.1 | 27.1 | 26.7 | 26.5 | 26.3 | 77.2 | 76.5 | 43.0 | 39.6 |
| Montana .................. | 30.8 | 30.0 | 25.4 | 24.5 | 24.4 | 23.9 | 43.5 | * | 38.8 | 38.4 |
| Nebraska ................. | 27.2 | 25.9 | 24.1 | 22.7 | 21.6 | 20.4 | 67.3 | 69.6 | 41.8 | 41.1 |
| Nevada | 36.0 | 35.7 | 33.6 | 33.3 | 27.7 | 28.5 | 67.4 | 68.1 | 42.8 | 41.4 |
| New Hampshire ........ | 24.7 | 24.2 | 24.8 | 24.3 | 24.2 | 23.5 | 38.5 | 37.4 | 37.4 | 40.9 |
| New Jersey .............. | 28.9 | 28.5 | 22.5 | 21.6 | 13.2 | 13.0 | 64.8 | 65.0 | 52.4 | 50.9 |
| New Mexico ............. | 45.5 | 45.1 | 41.8 | 41.3 | 26.4 | 26.7 | 59.5 | 63.2 | 51.9 | 51.2 |
| New York | 36.3 | 36.6 | 29.1 | 29.5 | 18.5 | 19.1 | 67.5 | 67.9 | 60.6 | 60.0 |
| North Carolina .......... | 33.3 | 33.2 | 22.5 | 21.8 | 19.1 | 19.1 | 65.8 | 66.4 | 42.7 | 41.7 |
| North Dakota ............ | 28.3 | 27.5 | 23.4 | 22.9 | 22.9 | 22.6 | * | 26.4 | 33.3 | 33.9 |
| Ohio ${ }^{5}$. | * | 34.1 | * | 27.3 | * | 26.8 | * | 76.1 | * | 47.5 |
| Oklahoma | 33.8 | 33.2 | 28.1 | 27.3 | 26.9 | 26.3 | 69.6 | 70.8 | 38.5 | 36.9 |
| Oregon ..... | 30.1 | 30.4 | 29.6 | 29.9 | 27.1 | 28.0 | 64.5 | 62.9 | 40.3 | 39.6 |
| Pennsylvania ............ | 32.7 | 32.9 | 25.9 | 26.0 | 23.5 | 23.7 | 76.0 | 77.4 | 61.6 | 61.3 |
| Rhode Island ............ | 34.3 | 34.3 | 30.9 | 30.8 | 24.1 | 25.6 | 62.6 | 65.8 | 59.2 | 56.4 |
| South Carolina ......... | 39.8 | 39.0 | 23.0 | 22.3 | 22.0 | 21.5 | 70.9 | 70.6 | 37.6 | 37.2 |
| South Dakota ........... | 33.5 | 31.8 | 25.0 | 23.6 | 24.6 | 23.4 | 34.0 | 36.0 | 48.0 | 34.6 |
| Tennessee | 34.5 | 34.7 | 24.5 | 24.3 | 23.7 | 23.8 | 72.3 | 73.4 | 39.6 | 38.0 |
| Texas | 30.2 | 31.3 | 27.0 | 27.8 | 19.5 | 20.1 | 61.3 | 62.4 | 33.4 | 34.8 |
| Utah | 17.2 | 16.7 | 16.4 | 16.0 | 13.0 | 12.9 | 52.9 | 51.3 | 39.2 | 37.7 |
| Vermont | 28.2 | 28.9 | 28.2 | 28.8 | 28.0 | 28.6 | * | 55.0 | * | * |
| Virginia | 29.9 | 29.7 | 21.0 | 20.4 | 18.8 | 18.6 | 62.8 | 62.7 | 40.2 | 37.5 |
| Washington .............. | 28.2 | 28.1 | 26.8 | 26.5 | 24.2 | 24.0 | 53.5 | 55.6 | 40.8 | 39.6 |
| West Virginia ............ | 31.8 | 31.7 | 30.2 | 30.2 | 30.1 | 30.1 | 75.5 | 77.8 | + | 39.6 |
| Wisconsin ................ | 29.3 | 29.2 | 23.6 | 23.2 | 22.0 | 21.8 | 82.1 | 83.5 | 45.1 | 43.8 |
| Wyoming ................. | 28.8 | 29.0 | 27.3 | 27.1 | 25.4 | 25.9 | 38.6 | 54.2 | 45.4 | 40.2 |
| Puerto Rico .............. | 49.6 | 48.1 | 48.2 | 46.8 | --- | -- | 66.5 | 62.1 | --- | --- |
| Virgin Islands ........... | 65.3 | 67.1 | 55.0 | 51.4 | 28.9 | 27.6 | 70.6 | 73.6 | 63.6 | 66.7 |
| Guam ...................... | 53.9 | 55.9 | 21.4 | 21.5 | 21.7 | 20.1 | * | * | * | * |
| American Samoa ...... | --- | 35.5 | --- | * | --- | --- | --- | * | --- | --- |
| Northern Marianas .... | --- | 47.4 | --- | * | --- | --- | --- | * | --- | --- |

[^3]NOTE: Data are subject to sampling and/or random variation. For information on the relative standard errors of the data and further discussion, see Technical notes.

Table 6. Percent low birthweight by race and Hispanic origin of mother: United States, each State and territory, final 1999 and preliminary 2000
[By place of residence. Data are based on a continuous file of records received from the States. Low birthweight is less than 2,500 grams]

| Area | All races ${ }^{1}$ |  | White, total ${ }^{2}$ |  | White, non-Hispanic |  | Black, total ${ }^{2}$ |  | Hispanic ${ }^{3}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2000 | 1999 | 2000 | 1999 | 2000 | 1999 | 2000 | 1999 | 2000 | 1999 |
| United States ${ }^{4}$......... | 7.6 | 7.6 | 6.5 | 6.6 | 6.6 | 6.6 | 12.9 | 13.1 | 6.4 | 6.4 |
| Alabama .................. | 9.8 | 9.3 | 7.8 | 7.3 | 7.9 | 7.3 | 14.2 | 13.6 | 6.5 | 6.6 |
| Alaska ..................... | 5.6 | 5.8 | 4.9 | 5.3 | 4.8 | 5.2 | 11.9 | 10.5 | 5.4 | 6.6 |
| Arizona .................... | 7.0 | 6.9 | 6.8 | 6.6 | 7.0 | 6.5 | 12.8 | 12.1 | 6.7 | 6.7 |
| Arkansas ................. | 8.6 | 8.6 | 7.1 | 7.4 | 7.3 | 7.5 | 13.7 | 13.0 | 5.9 | 5.9 |
| California ................. | 6.2 | 6.1 | 5.6 | 5.5 | 5.7 | 5.6 | 11.5 | 11.7 | 5.6 | 5.5 |
| Colorado ................. | 8.4 | 8.3 | 8.0 | 8.0 | 8.0 | 8.0 | 14.8 | 13.8 | 8.1 | 8.2 |
| Connecticut .............. | 7.4 | 7.6 | 6.8 | 6.8 | 6.4 | 6.3 | 11.8 | 13.1 | 8.6 | 9.1 |
| Delaware .................. | 8.6 | 8.6 | 7.1 | 6.8 | 7.2 | 6.8 | 13.2 | 13.8 | 6.5 | 7.0 |
| District of Columbia ... | 11.8 | 13.1 | 7.4 | 6.4 | 6.8 | 6.7 | 13.9 | 16.1 | 8.2 | 6.1 |
| Florida ..................... | 8.0 | 8.2 | 6.6 | 6.9 | 6.6 | 7.1 | 12.3 | 12.2 | 6.5 | 6.4 |
| Georgia ................... | 8.6 | 8.7 | 6.6 | 6.7 | 6.7 | 6.8 | 12.7 | 12.7 | 5.6 | 5.8 |
| Hawaii | 7.5 | 7.6 | 5.3 | 5.4 | 4.9 | 5.2 | 10.4 | 9.8 | 7.3 | 8.0 |
| Idaho | 6.7 | 6.2 | 6.7 | 6.1 | 6.5 | 6.1 | * | * | 7.4 | 6.0 |
| Illinois | 7.9 | 8.0 | 6.4 | 6.5 | 6.5 | 6.5 | 14.1 | 14.2 | 6.2 | 6.4 |
| Indiana | 7.4 | 7.9 | 6.7 | 7.2 | 6.9 | 7.3 | 12.5 | 12.9 | 5.3 | 6.5 |
| Iowa | 6.1 | 6.2 | 5.9 | 5.9 | 5.9 | 5.9 | 11.7 | 12.6 | 5.5 | 5.7 |
| Kansas | 6.9 | 7.1 | 6.5 | 6.7 | 6.6 | 6.7 | 12.2 | 12.2 | 5.9 | 6.2 |
| Kentucky | 8.4 | 8.2 | 7.8 | 7.6 | 7.8 | 7.7 | 13.7 | 14.0 | 7.4 | 6.3 |
| Louisiana | 10.3 | 10.0 | 7.4 | 6.9 | 7.4 | 7.0 | 14.3 | 14.5 | 7.3 | 6.2 |
| Maine ...................... | 6.0 | 6.0 | 6.0 | 6.0 | 6.0 | 6.1 | * | * | * | * |
| Maryland ................. | 8.6 | 9.0 | 6.4 | 6.7 | 6.4 | 6.7 | 12.8 | 13.5 | 6.4 | 7.2 |
| Massachusetts ......... | 7.1 | 7.1 | 6.7 | 6.6 | 6.4 | 6.4 | 10.7 | 10.9 | 8.3 | 8.2 |
| Michigan | 7.9 | 8.0 | 6.4 | 6.5 | 6.3 | 6.4 | 14.5 | 14.6 | 6.4 | 6.7 |
| Minnesota | 5.8 | 6.1 | 5.5 | 5.6 | 5.6 | 5.7 | 10.8 | 11.0 | 5.7 | 6.0 |
| Mississippi ............... | 10.6 | 10.3 | 7.9 | 7.4 | 8.0 | 7.4 | 14.0 | 13.8 | 7.2 | 6.2 |
| Missouri .................... | 7.6 | 7.7 | 6.6 | 6.7 | 6.6 | 6.7 | 13.2 | 13.7 | 6.4 | 5.8 |
| Montana .................. | 6.2 | 6.8 | 6.1 | 6.8 | 6.1 | 6.9 | * | * | 7.9 | * |
| Nebraska | 6.8 | 6.7 | 6.4 | 6.4 | 6.4 | 6.4 | 13.0 | 12.9 | 6.7 | 6.6 |
| Nevada | 7.2 | 7.6 | 6.7 | 7.0 | 7.1 | 7.6 | 12.9 | 12.4 | 6.1 | 6.1 |
| New Hampshire ........ | 6.3 | 6.2 | 6.3 | 6.2 | 6.1 | 5.9 | * | * | * | 7.3 |
| New Jersey .............. | 7.7 | 8.2 | 6.5 | 6.9 | 6.2 | 6.7 | 12.7 | 13.4 | 7.3 | 7.2 |
| New Mexico ............. | 8.0 | 7.7 | 8.2 | 7.6 | 8.1 | 7.7 | 13.0 | 12.3 | 8.2 | 7.6 |
| New York | 7.7 | 7.8 | 6.7 | 6.8 | 6.5 | 6.5 | 11.4 | 11.7 | 7.3 | 7.6 |
| North Carolina | 8.8 | 8.9 | 7.1 | 7.2 | 7.3 | 7.3 | 13.6 | 13.7 | 6.1 | 6.4 |
| North Dakota . | 6.4 | 6.2 | 6.4 | 6.2 | 6.3 | 6.3 | * | * | * | * |
| Ohio ${ }^{5}$ | * | 7.9 | * | 6.9 | * | 6.9 | * | 13.7 | * | 7.5 |
| Oklahoma | 7.4 | 7.4 | 6.9 | 7.0 | 7.0 | 7.2 | 12.9 | 11.9 | 6.2 | 5.9 |
| Oregon .................... | 5.6 | 5.4 | 5.4 | 5.3 | 5.5 | 5.3 | 10.9 | 10.7 | 5.7 | 5.2 |
| Pennsylvania ............ | 7.7 | 7.9 | 6.7 | 6.8 | 6.6 | 6.7 | 13.5 | 14.3 | 8.9 | 9.1 |
| Rhode Island ............ | 7.2 | 7.3 | 6.5 | 6.8 | 6.4 | 6.7 | 13.1 | 11.3 | 6.5 | 7.1 |
| South Carolina ......... | 9.7 | 9.8 | 7.2 | 7.2 | 7.2 | 7.3 | 14.2 | 14.7 | 7.4 | 5.5 |
| South Dakota ........... | 6.2 | 5.9 | 5.9 | 5.9 | 5.9 | 5.9 | * | * | * | * |
| Tennessee .............. | 9.2 | 9.2 | 7.8 | 7.9 | 7.8 | 7.9 | 14.6 | 14.2 | 6.7 | 6.6 |
| Texas ..... | 7.3 | 7.4 | 6.6 | 6.6 | 6.5 | 6.7 | 12.7 | 12.6 | 6.8 | 6.6 |
| Utah | 6.6 | 6.8 | 6.6 | 6.7 | 6.4 | 6.7 | 12.5 | 13.6 | 7.8 | 6.7 |
| Vermont | 6.1 | 5.7 | 6.0 | 5.7 | 5.9 | 5.6 | * | * | * | * |
| Virginia .................... | 7.9 | 7.8 | 6.5 | 6.4 | 6.5 | 6.5 | 12.5 | 12.0 | 6.3 | 5.8 |
| Washington ............. | 5.6 | 5.8 | 5.3 | 5.5 | 5.2 | 5.4 | 10.7 | 10.4 | 5.4 | 5.3 |
| West Virginia ............ | 8.3 | 8.0 | 8.0 | 7.9 | 8.0 | 7.9 | 15.5 | 12.3 | * | * |
| Wisconsin ................ | 6.5 | 6.7 | 5.8 | 5.9 | 5.7 | 5.9 | 13.3 | 13.4 | 6.6 | 6.1 |
| Wyoming ................. | 8.3 | 8.4 | 8.3 | 8.1 | 8.2 | 8.4 | * | * | 8.6 | 5.7 |
| Puerto Rico .............. | 10.8 | 11.4 | 10.7 | 11.4 | --- | --- | 12.1 | 11.4 | --- | --- |
| Virgin Islands ........... | 9.5 | 10.1 | 8.5 | 10.3 | * | * | 9.7 | 10.3 | 10.2 | 13.3 |
| Guam ...................... | 7.9 | 7.8 | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | , |
| American Samoa ...... | --- | 3.6 | --- | * | -- | --- | --- | * | --- | --- |
| Northern Marianas .... | --- | 8.2 | --- | * | --- | --- | --- | * | --- | --- |

[^4]NOTE: Data are subject to sampling and/or random variation. For information on the relative standard errors of the data and further discussion, see Technical notes.

Table 7. Percent of live births by cesarean delivery by race and Hispanic origin of mother: United States, each State and territory, final 1999 and preliminary 2000
[By place of residence. Data are based on a continuous file of records received from the States]

| Area | All races ${ }^{1}$ |  | White, total ${ }^{2}$ |  | White, non-Hispanic |  | Black, total ${ }^{2}$ |  | Hispanic ${ }^{3}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2000 | 1999 | 2000 | 1999 | 2000 | 1999 | 2000 | 1999 | 2000 | 1999 |
| United States ${ }^{4} \ldots \ldots . .$. | 22.9 | 22.0 | 22.8 | 21.9 | 23.0 | 22.1 | 24.3 | 23.2 | 22.1 | 21.2 |
| Alabama .................. | 26.4 | 24.8 | 26.8 | 25.4 | 27.0 | 25.6 | 25.5 | 23.5 | 22.2 | 20.4 |
| Alaska ..................... | 17.1 | 14.8 | 19.1 | 16.9 | 19.4 | 16.7 | 23.4 | 16.0 | 16.3 | 17.1 |
| Arizona .................... | 18.6 | 17.8 | 18.8 | 17.9 | 20.2 | 19.4 | 20.3 | 18.9 | 17.1 | 16.0 |
| Arkansas ................. | 26.5 | 25.4 | 26.1 | 24.9 | 26.5 | 25.3 | 28.3 | 27.5 | 20.7 | 19.3 |
| California ................. | 23.4 | 22.7 | 23.3 | 22.5 | 24.2 | 23.5 | 26.6 | 25.9 | 22.7 | 21.9 |
| Colorado .................. | 18.3 | 17.3 | 18.3 | 17.3 | 18.9 | 17.9 | 20.2 | 19.2 | 16.9 | 15.8 |
| Connecticut .............. | 21.8 | 21.0 | 21.8 | 21.0 | 22.3 | 21.1 | 22.4 | 21.4 | 19.8 | 19.0 |
| Delaware .................. | 24.9 | 23.0 | 24.7 | 23.2 | 25.3 | 23.5 | 25.6 | 23.0 | 20.6 | 21.0 |
| District of Columbia ... | 22.6 | 22.2 | 21.7 | 19.9 | 25.2 | 23.9 | 22.9 | 23.2 | 15.7 | 12.9 |
| Florida ..................... | 25.0 | 23.8 | 25.3 | 24.2 | 24.3 | 23.4 | 24.5 | 22.5 | 27.6 | 26.4 |
| Georgia ................... | 22.6 | 21.7 | 22.5 | 21.5 | 23.6 | 22.2 | 23.0 | 22.3 | 16.5 | 16.6 |
| Hawaii ..................... | 14.6 | 13.8 | 16.9 | 17.2 | 17.1 | 17.0 | 16.2 | 16.6 | 14.2 | 16.0 |
| Idaho | 18.3 | 17.3 | 18.3 | 17.1 | 18.1 | 17.0 | * | * | 19.5 | 18.0 |
| Illinois | 21.0 | 20.1 | 20.9 | 20.1 | 21.7 | 20.9 | 21.4 | 20.2 | 18.6 | 17.8 |
| Indiana | 21.8 | 20.5 | 21.8 | 20.5 | 21.9 | 20.5 | 22.0 | 20.5 | 20.0 | 19.5 |
| Iowa | 20.9 | 19.9 | 21.0 | 20.0 | 21.1 | 20.0 | 20.6 | 18.7 | 20.1 | 19.6 |
| Kansas | 22.3 | 21.2 | 22.2 | 21.3 | 22.6 | 21.4 | 25.4 | 21.4 | 19.5 | 20.2 |
| Kentucky | 24.6 | 23.3 | 24.6 | 23.4 | 24.7 | 23.4 | 25.0 | 23.2 | 21.7 | 21.6 |
| Louisiana .................. | 26.6 | 26.8 | 27.2 | 27.4 | 27.2 | 27.4 | 26.0 | 26.0 | 28.2 | 28.9 |
| Maine ...................... | 22.9 | 21.5 | 22.9 | 21.5 | 22.9 | 21.5 | 23.2 | 28.3 | 27.9 | 28.9 |
| Maryland | 24.1 | 23.2 | 23.2 | 22.5 | 23.5 | 22.8 | 26.0 | 24.8 | 19.9 | 19.7 |
| Massachusetts .......... | 23.7 | 22.4 | 24.0 | 22.4 | 24.5 | 22.8 | 23.5 | 24.0 | 20.5 | 20.4 |
| Michigan .................. | 22.0 | 21.0 | 22.2 | 21.1 | 22.3 | 21.3 | 21.4 | 20.4 | 20.5 | 18.5 |
| Minnesota ............... | 19.9 | 18.9 | 20.5 | 19.4 | 20.5 | 19.5 | 18.6 | 18.8 | 19.3 | 17.6 |
| Mississippi | 28.3 | 27.3 | 29.4 | 28.1 | 29.6 | 28.3 | 27.1 | 26.4 | 22.8 | 21.4 |
| Missouri ................... | 22.5 | 21.7 | 22.8 | 22.1 | 22.8 | 22.2 | 21.0 | 19.9 | 21.4 | 18.2 |
| Montana | 19.1 | 18.8 | 18.7 | 18.3 | 18.9 | 18.3 | * | * | 18.0 | 21.1 |
| Nebraska | 22.6 | 22.0 | 22.7 | 22.1 | 22.9 | 22.4 | 23.2 | 20.6 | 21.6 | 19.6 |
| Nevada | 21.9 | 21.8 | 21.5 | 21.3 | 22.9 | 22.5 | 26.0 | 27.1 | 19.4 | 19.2 |
| New Hampshire ....... | 21.1 | 19.9 | 21.1 | 19.9 | 21.1 | 20.0 | 23.6 | 25.4 | 22.3 | 21.1 |
| New Jersey .............. | 27.5 | 26.3 | 27.5 | 26.3 | 27.7 | 26.4 | 28.4 | 26.6 | 27.2 | 26.3 |
| New Mexico ............. | 17.2 | 16.4 | 17.6 | 16.8 | 18.5 | 17.4 | 18.4 | 18.8 | 17.0 | 16.4 |
| New York ................. | 24.7 | 23.6 | 24.7 | 23.6 | 25.3 | 24.3 | 25.7 | 24.3 | 23.9 | 22.7 |
| North Carolina .......... | 23.1 | 22.7 | 22.7 | 22.3 | 23.6 | 22.9 | 24.4 | 23.9 | 17.5 | 18.1 |
| North Dakota | 20.9 | 19.5 | 20.4 | 19.5 | 20.4 | 19.8 | 28.0 | * | 19.2 | * |
| Ohio ${ }^{5}$ | * | 19.4 | * | 19.4 | * | 19.4 | * | 19.2 | * | 18.8 |
| Oklahoma ................. | 24.2 | 24.1 | 24.0 | 24.0 | 24.3 | 24.4 | 26.1 | 24.6 | 22.0 | 21.5 |
| Oregon .................... | 19.5 | 18.4 | 19.3 | 18.3 | 19.5 | 18.5 | 21.5 | 18.4 | 18.3 | 17.7 |
| Pennsylvania ............ | 21.7 | 20.9 | 21.8 | 21.2 | 21.9 | 21.4 | 21.5 | 20.1 | 20.2 | 18.4 |
| Rhode Island ............ | 21.9 | 20.5 | 21.9 | 20.7 | 22.9 | 21.1 | 23.2 | 20.7 | 18.7 | 20.1 |
| South Carolina ......... | 25.3 | 24.2 | 25.6 | 24.3 | 25.8 | 24.6 | 25.0 | 24.1 | 21.9 | 18.8 |
| South Dakota ........... | 22.8 | 22.3 | 22.9 | 22.6 | 22.9 | 22.7 | 28.3 | * | 22.0 | 19.0 |
| Tennessee ............... | 24.9 | 24.0 | 24.9 | 24.1 | 25.1 | 24.3 | 24.9 | 23.9 | 21.7 | 20.4 |
| Texas ...................... | 24.9 | 23.8 | 24.7 | 23.6 | 25.7 | 24.9 | 27.0 | 25.5 | 23.9 | 22.5 |
| Utah ....................... | 16.8 | 16.0 | 16.8 | 15.9 | 16.5 | 15.7 | 21.0 | 20.4 | 18.8 | 17.7 |
| Vermont .................. | 17.3 | 16.4 | 17.3 | 16.4 | 17.4 | 16.4 | * | * | * | * |
| Virginia .................... | 23.2 | 21.7 | 22.9 | 21.6 | 23.2 | 21.8 | 23.8 | 22.1 | 20.6 | 19.2 |
| Washington .............. | 20.7 | 18.9 | 20.6 | 18.7 | 20.8 | 18.8 | 24.0 | 22.5 | 19.6 | 17.7 |
| West Virginia ............ | 25.5 | 24.8 | 25.4 | 24.6 | 25.4 | 24.6 | 27.2 | 27.3 | * | 25.5 |
| Wisconsin ................. | 17.5 | 17.0 | 18.0 | 17.5 | 18.1 | 17.6 | 15.1 | 13.7 | 16.7 | 16.2 |
| Wyoming ................. | 19.3 | 19.6 | 19.1 | 19.5 | 18.9 | 19.6 | * | * | 21.1 | 18.8 |
| Puerto Rico .............. | 38.9 | 37.8 | 39.1 | 38.0 | --- | --- | 37.0 | 35.8 | --- | --- |
| Virgin Islands ........... | 22.3 | 22.7 | 28.4 | 29.5 | 38.9 | 26.6 | 20.6 | 21.1 | 23.3 | 27.8 |
| Guam ...................... | 17.1 | 16.6 | 22.2 | 18.5 | 21.9 | 18.4 | * | * | * | * |
| American Samoa ...... | --- | --- | --- | --- | -- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Northern Marianas .... | --- | 14.9 | --- | * | -- | --- | --- | * | --- | --- |

[^5]Table 8. Percent of mothers receiving prenatal care in first trimester of pregnancy by race and Hispanic origin of mother: United States, each State and territory, final 1999 and preliminary 2000
[By place of residence. Data are based on a continuous file of records received from the States]

| Area | All races ${ }^{1}$ |  | White, total ${ }^{2}$ |  | White, non-Hispanic |  | Black, total ${ }^{2}$ |  | Hispanic ${ }^{3}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2000 | 1999 | 2000 | 1999 | 2000 | 1999 | 2000 | 1999 | 2000 | 1999 |
| United States ${ }^{4} \ldots \ldots . .$. | 83.2 | 83.2 | 85.0 | 85.1 | 88.5 | 88.4 | 74.2 | 74.1 | 74.4 | 74.4 |
| Alabama | 82.8 | 83.2 | 88.1 | 88.9 | 89.6 | 90.0 | 72.0 | 71.4 | 56.1 | 60.5 |
| Alaska | 80.1 | 79.4 | 84.2 | 82.2 | 84.4 | 82.2 | 81.4 | 83.6 | 80.6 | 79.6 |
| Arizona .................... | 76.5 | 75.9 | 77.0 | 76.6 | 87.1 | 86.1 | 74.0 | 74.5 | 65.4 | 65.0 |
| Arkansas | 79.7 | 79.0 | 82.7 | 81.7 | 84.0 | 83.1 | 69.1 | 69.4 | 67.2 | 63.5 |
| California | 84.5 | 83.6 | 84.4 | 83.6 | 89.9 | 89.2 | 81.9 | 81.0 | 80.7 | 79.7 |
| Colorado | 80.7 | 81.7 | 81.0 | 82.0 | 87.8 | 88.4 | 75.2 | 75.4 | 65.4 | 66.0 |
| Connecticut .............. | 89.5 | 89.3 | 90.6 | 90.6 | 93.0 | 93.1 | 82.1 | 81.0 | 79.6 | 78.3 |
| Delaware | 85.3 | 83.7 | 87.8 | 86.4 | 90.0 | 88.2 | 77.4 | 75.5 | 72.1 | 71.8 |
| District of Columbia ... | 75.3 | 71.9 | 85.5 | 82.7 | 90.4 | 90.9 | 70.2 | 67.1 | 76.0 | 64.2 |
| Florida .................... | 83.7 | 83.9 | 86.8 | 87.1 | 89.1 | 89.1 | 73.6 | 73.6 | 81.0 | 81.3 |
| Georgia ................... | 86.9 | 87.3 | 89.8 | 90.4 | 91.8 | 91.9 | 81.1 | 81.1 | 77.9 | 79.7 |
| Hawaii ..................... | 85.5 | 85.7 | 89.6 | 91.0 | 90.0 | 91.7 | 89.3 | 91.2 | 83.9 | 83.8 |
| Idaho | 80.9 | 80.5 | 81.2 | 80.7 | 83.6 | 82.9 | 74.0 | 73.7 | 66.1 | 64.8 |
| Illinois | 82.4 | 82.5 | 85.0 | 85.4 | 89.5 | 89.9 | 71.3 | 70.0 | 72.7 | 72.4 |
| Indiana | 81.1 | 80.6 | 82.7 | 82.2 | 84.2 | 83.3 | 68.3 | 67.3 | 62.0 | 64.4 |
| Iowa | 88.2 | 87.7 | 88.7 | 88.3 | 89.7 | 89.1 | 77.4 | 74.8 | 73.6 | 71.2 |
| Kansas | 86.9 | 85.8 | 87.6 | 86.7 | 90.6 | 89.2 | 79.1 | 76.9 | 68.7 | 68.1 |
| Kentucky | 86.8 | 86.6 | 87.6 | 87.4 | 88.1 | 87.7 | 78.7 | 78.3 | 67.8 | 71.2 |
| Louisiana | 83.3 | 82.9 | 90.5 | 89.7 | 90.7 | 89.8 | 73.6 | 73.2 | 85.4 | 85.6 |
| Maine .... | 88.7 | 89.2 | 88.9 | 89.5 | 89.0 | 89.7 | 75.9 | 83.0 | 79.5 | 84.4 |
| Maryland | 86.4 | 87.0 | 90.8 | 91.4 | 92.1 | 92.4 | 77.7 | 78.0 | 79.1 | 81.4 |
| Massachusetts ......... | 89.3 | 89.4 | 90.9 | 90.8 | 92.3 | 92.2 | 79.4 | 80.0 | 79.7 | 79.0 |
| Michigan | 84.2 | 84.0 | 87.2 | 87.0 | 88.8 | 88.5 | 70.1 | 69.9 | 71.7 | 72.0 |
| Minnesota | 85.2 | 84.5 | 87.6 | 87.0 | 88.7 | 88.1 | 68.8 | 66.4 | 66.9 | 62.1 |
| Mississippi | 81.3 | 81.5 | 88.8 | 89.1 | 89.2 | 89.3 | 72.4 | 72.7 | 75.5 | 74.5 |
| Missouri .. | 87.8 | 87.1 | 89.4 | 89.0 | 89.9 | 89.5 | 79.0 | 76.4 | 79.1 | 77.5 |
| Montana | 83.3 | 83.8 | 86.1 | 85.8 | 86.6 | 86.1 | 86.7 | 85.7 | 81.6 | 76.4 |
| Nebraska | 83.2 | 84.4 | 84.5 | 85.4 | 86.8 | 87.4 | 68.0 | 73.8 | 67.2 | 68.8 |
| Nevada | 74.5 | 75.2 | 75.1 | 75.5 | 84.4 | 83.2 | 66.0 | 69.6 | 60.6 | 62.0 |
| New Hampshire ....... | 91.1 | 90.7 | 91.4 | 91.0 | 91.9 | 91.4 | 76.7 | 72.9 | 79.0 | 80.6 |
| New Jersey .............. | 80.7 | 81.3 | 84.5 | 85.1 | 89.4 | 89.7 | 64.1 | 64.8 | 68.6 | 69.9 |
| New Mexico ............. | 68.6 | 66.8 | 70.1 | 68.1 | 76.7 | 73.6 | 65.9 | 62.6 | 65.7 | 64.4 |
| New York | 80.9 | 81.0 | 84.2 | 84.2 | 88.3 | 88.1 | 71.3 | 71.0 | 72.9 | 71.8 |
| North Carolina | 84.6 | 85.0 | 87.7 | 88.4 | 91.0 | 91.1 | 75.9 | 76.1 | 68.4 | 68.7 |
| North Dakota ............ | 86.3 | 86.3 | 88.8 | 88.3 | 89.3 | 88.6 | 78.0 | 72.1 | 72.8 | 81.7 |
| Ohio ${ }^{5}$ | * | 86.6 | * | 88.4 | * | 88.6 | * | 76.3 | * | 77.9 |
| Oklahoma ................. | 79.3 | 80.5 | 81.6 | 82.6 | 83.1 | 83.8 | 70.7 | 73.1 | 67.5 | 68.7 |
| Oregon .................... | 81.3 | 80.9 | 81.6 | 81.2 | 84.4 | 83.8 | 76.3 | 76.0 | 69.0 | 68.6 |
| Pennsylvania ............ | 85.4 | 85.2 | 87.6 | 87.6 | 88.6 | 88.5 | 72.7 | 71.6 | 73.5 | 73.9 |
| Rhode Island ............ | 91.0 | 91.3 | 91.9 | 92.4 | 93.2 | 93.6 | 86.0 | 83.2 | 86.9 | 86.1 |
| South Carolina ......... | 79.4 | 80.7 | 84.1 | 85.9 | 85.8 | 87.2 | 70.9 | 70.9 | 59.1 | 61.1 |
| South Dakota | 78.7 | 83.4 | 82.6 | 87.0 | 82.8 | 87.4 | 70.5 | 74.2 | 70.9 | 68.5 |
| Tennessee ............... | 83.1 | 84.3 | 86.0 | 87.0 | 87.6 | 87.9 | 72.2 | 74.6 | 56.1 | 64.0 |
| Texas ...................... | 78.8 | 79.3 | 78.8 | 79.3 | 87.6 | 87.3 | 76.1 | 76.7 | 71.1 | 72.2 |
| Utah ........................ | 79.5 | 80.5 | 80.5 | 81.5 | 83.6 | 84.0 | 56.0 | 63.7 | 60.5 | 63.0 |
| Vermont .................. | 88.4 | 87.9 | 88.6 | 88.0 | 88.8 | 88.0 | 74.2 | 81.6 | 84.4 | 80.6 |
| Virginia .................... | 85.2 | 85.3 | 88.2 | 88.6 | 90.1 | 90.2 | 76.0 | 74.5 | 71.8 | 74.0 |
| Washington .............. | 82.7 | 82.8 | 83.4 | 83.6 | 85.9 | 86.0 | 74.9 | 75.7 | 71.0 | 71.1 |
| West Virginia ............ | 86.1 | 85.1 | 86.7 | 85.6 | 86.7 | 85.7 | 70.3 | 70.7 | 71.1 | 68.8 |
| Wisconsin ................. | 84.2 | 84.1 | 86.6 | 86.6 | 88.0 | 87.7 | 69.9 | 69.1 | 68.7 | 70.6 |
| Wyoming ................. | 82.7 | 83.0 | 83.5 | 83.4 | 84.5 | 84.3 | 73.7 | 76.4 | 74.1 | 74.8 |
| Puerto Rico .............. | 78.1 | 77.7 | 79.0 | 78.5 | --- | --- | 67.9 | 69.0 | --- | --- |
| Virgin Islands ........... | 63.7 | 59.6 | 62.5 | 63.1 | 84.2 | 73.5 | 63.3 | 58.2 | 59.9 | 57.5 |
| Guam ...................... | 60.9 | 61.8 | 88.1 | 86.4 | 89.8 | 87.6 | 77.3 | 77.6 | 66.7 | 73.3 |
| American Samoa ...... | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Northern Marianas .... | --- | 30.2 | --- | * | --- | --- | --- | * | --- | --- |

* Figure does not meet standards of reliability or precision; see Technical notes.
- -- Data not available.

2 Race and Hispanic origin are reported separately on the birth certificate. Data for persons of Hispanic origin are included in the data for each race group according to the mother's reported race; see Technical notes.
3 Includes all persons of Hispanic origin of any race; see Technical notes
4 Excludes data for the territories.
5 Due to processing problems, Ohio data are not shown separately but are included in U.S. totals; see Technical notes

## Technical notes

## Nature and sources of data

Preliminary data for 2000 are based on a substantial proportion of vital records for that year. The data for 2000 are based on a continuous receipt and processing of statistical records through April 11, 2001, by the National Center for Health Statistics (NCHS). NCHS receives the data from the States' vital registration systems through the Vital Statistics Cooperative Program. In this report, U.S. totals include only events occurring within the 50 States and the District of Columbia. Data for Puerto Rico, the Virgin Islands, and Guam are included in tables showing data by State, but are not included in U.S. totals. Tables by State generally show entries for American Samoa and the Northern Marianas, but preliminary data for these areas were not available by April 11, 2001, and are not presented in this report. Final data for 1999 for these areas are presented where available.

For 2000, individual records of births are weighted to independent counts of vital events occurring in each State. These State-specific counts serve as control totals and are the basis for the record weights in the preliminary file. If the number of records in the preliminary file is greater than the count received from the State, the State-specific number of records in the preliminary file is used instead and the weight is set at 1.0.

Each birth record has one weight specific to the State where the birth occurred. Table I shows the percent of completeness of the preliminary file for each event by place of occurrence. The percent of completeness is obtained by dividing the number of records in the preliminary file by the control total and multiplying by 100 . Although data by place of occurrence are used to compute the weights, all data in this report are tabulated by place of residence.

For selected variables in the natality file, unknown or not-stated values are imputed. Detailed information on reporting completeness and imputation procedures may be found in Technical Appendix of the Vital Statistics of the United States: Natality (7).

Race and Hispanic origin are reported separately on the birth certificate. Therefore, data shown by race include persons of Hispanic or non-Hispanic origin, and data for Hispanic origin include persons of any race. In this report, births of Hispanic origin are included in the totals for each race group-white, black, American Indian, and Asian or Pacific Islander-according to the mother's race as reported on the birth certificate. Data shown for Hispanic persons include all persons of Hispanic origin of any race. In 1999, approximately 97 percent of Hispanic-origin births were to white women. Data are shown separately for non-Hispanic white women because there are substantial differences in childbearing patterns between Hispanic and non-Hispanic white women. More than 1 in 5 white births were to Hispanic women in 2000.

From 1964 to 1996, mother's age was edited for ages 10-49 years: births reported to occur to mothers younger than age 10 or older than 49 years had age imputed according to the same race and total birth order (total of live births and fetal deaths). Beginning in 1997, age of mother is edited for ages 10-54 years. A review and verification of unedited birth data for 1996 showed that the vast majority of births reported as occurring to women aged 50 years and over were to women aged 50-54 years. The numbers of births to women aged 50-54 years
are too small for computing age-specific birth rates and have been included with births to women aged 45-49 years for computing birth rates.

National estimates of births to unmarried women are based on two methods of determining marital status. For 1999 and 2000, birth certificates in 48 States and the District of Columbia included a direct question about mother's marital status; in California and Nevada, the direct question is part of the electronic birth registration process but does not appear on certified or paper copies of the birth certificate. The question in most States is: "Mother married? (At birth, conception, or any time between) (Yes or no)".

Marital status is inferred in Michigan and New York. A birth is inferred as nonmarital if the father's name is missing from the birth certificate or if a paternity acknowledgment was filed.

The birth rate for unmarried women for 2000 is estimated on the basis of population distributions by marital status provided by the U.S. Census Bureau as of March 2000 (8) applied to the national population estimates as of July 1 (9). (Both sets of estimates are projected from the 1990 census.) The nonmarital birth rate shown here for 2000 thus differs from those published by NCHS in the annual final reports, which are based on populations estimated from 3-year averages of the marital status distributions, rather than a single year as shown here $(10,11)$. Because of variability, population estimates for a single year are not an adequate basis for computing age-specific birth rates for unmarried women-these rates are available only in the final reports.

## Computing rates and percents

Rates are on an annual basis per 1,000 estimated population residing in the specified area as of July 1, 2000, and July 1, 1999 (projected from the 1990 census) and are based on populations furnished by the U.S. Census Bureau $(9,12)$. Rates by State and the territories are computed on the basis of populations on July 1, 2000, and July 1, 1999 (13-15).

For calculating birth rates, age and race of mother are imputed if they are not stated ( 0.02 percent and 0.1 percent, respectively, for 2000). In computing birth rates by live-birth order, births with live-birth order not stated are distributed in proportion to stated data. Births with marital status not reported ( 0.04 percent for 2000) are included with births to married mothers. Percents were computed using only events for which the characteristic is reported. The "Not stated" category is subtracted from the total before the percent is computed for birthweight, prenatal care, and method of delivery. Birth rates for the Hispanic population are based only on events to persons reported as Hispanic. Rates for non-Hispanic white persons are based on the sum of all white events reported as non-Hispanic and white events with origin not stated. Hispanic origin is not imputed if it is not reported.

An asterisk indicates that the figure does not meet standards of reliability or precision. In this report, three sets of criteria determine whether a figure meets these standards:

- The State-specific sample is complete enough to provide reliable estimates. For example, a criterion of at least 75 percent of a State's records for the 12-month period is used as a basis for providing State-specific estimates. The Ohio file contained only 41.4 percent of all records (see table I) for 2000. Therefore, data for Ohio are included in U.S. totals, but are not shown separately.

Table I. Total count of records and percent completeness of preliminary file of live births: United States, each State and territory, preliminary 2000
[By place of occurrence]

| Area | Live births |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Count of records | Percent completeness |
| United States ${ }^{1}$ | 4,070,343 | 96.3 |
| Alabama | 62,561 | 100.0 |
| Alaska ........................................... | 9,909 | 99.8 |
| Arizona | 85,434 | 100.0 |
| Arkansas | 37,042 | 99.5 |
| California | 532,500 | 98.1 |
| Colorado | 65,675 | 100.0 |
| Connecticut | 43,595 | 95.3 |
| Delaware | 11,638 | 100.0 |
| District of Columbia .......................... | 15,156 | 100.0 |
| Florida ........................................... | 204,349 | 100.0 |
| Georgia | 133,570 | 100.0 |
| Hawaii . | 17,636 | 100.0 |
| Idaho | 19,872 | 99.9 |
| Illinois | 182,053 | 99.4 |
| Indiana | 87,170 | 96.2 |
| Iowa | 38,692 | 99.3 |
| Kansas | 39,248 | 100.0 |
| Kentucky | 54,445 | 89.5 |
| Louisiana ........................................................... | 68,325 | 99.9 |
| Maine ............................................ | 13,461 | 100.0 |
| Maryland | 69,604 | 100.0 |
| Massachusetts | 82,701 | 100.0 |
| Michigan | 134,978 | 100.0 |
| Minnesota | 67,500 | 89.6 |
| Mississippi | 43,010 | 99.9 |
| Missouri .... | 78,300 | 99.8 |
| Montana | 10,922 | 99.6 |
| Nebraska | 24,958 | 100.0 |
| Nevada | 30,460 | 99.2 |
| New Hampshire ............................... | 13,998 | 100.0 |
| New Jersey .................................... | 111,999 | 100.0 |
| New Mexico .................................... | 26,792 | 99.7 |
| New York ........................................ | 259,228 | 99.2 |
| New York excluding New York City ... | 133,675 | 98.4 |
| New York City ............................... | 125,553 | 100.0 |
| North Carolina ................................. | 121,288 | 99.9 |
| North Dakota | 8,847 | 100.0 |
| Ohio . | 159,547 | 41.4 |
| Oklahoma | 48,755 | 95.5 |
| Oregon ......................................... | 46,793 | 100.0 |
| Pennsylvania ................................... | 146,859 | 99.7 |
| Rhode Island .......................................................... | 13,171 | 89.7 |
| South Carolina | 53,570 | 100.0 |
| South Dakota .................................. | 10,590 | 100.0 |
| Tennessee | 84,858 | 100.0 |
| Texas ............................................ | 371,676 | 95.0 |
| Utah ............................................... | 48,446 | 99.3 |
| Vermont | 6,275 | 99.9 |
| Virginia .......................................... | 96,727 | 99.7 |
| Washington .................................... | 80,449 | 100.0 |
| West Virginia ................................... | 21,641 | 100.0 |
| Wisconsin ....................................... | 68,224 | 100.0 |
| Wyoming ........................................ | 5,846 | 100.0 |
| Puerto Rico ..................................... | 59,458 | 90.8 |
| Virgin Islands .................................. | 1,684 | 57.5 |
| Guam | 3,790 | 49.2 |
| American Samoa ............................. | --- | -- - |
| Northern Marianas .......................... | -- - | --- |

[^6]NOTE: Percent completeness $=\underline{\text { Number of records in preliminary file * } 100}$
Count of records

- Reporting for any particular variable is at least 80 percent complete. In this report, no data were suppressed based on this criterion.
- A rate or percent is based on at least 20 births in the numerator.

Rates based on fewer than 20 births have a relative standard error (RSE) of about 23 percent or more and, therefore, are considered highly variable. However, some birth rates (based on data files that are less than 100 percent complete and based on 20-31 births) may have RSEs of 23 percent or more but are still shown instead of asterisks. As a result, caution should be exercised in analyzing rates based on 20-31 events. Additional information on random variation in numbers of events, rates, ratios, and percents may be found in "Reliability of estimates."

## Reliability of estimates

Because the preliminary estimates of births in this report are based on files that may not be complete, they are subject to sampling variability. The notion of the sample is reflected in the record weights that are used to adjust record counts to independent control totals. The lack of completeness of the vital statistics files is due to delays in receiving and processing the live-birth records.

In addition, the natality file is subject to nonsampling errors or biases. Records that were delayed and were not included in this report are assumed to have the same characteristics as the records that were included in this report. Seasonal bias may occur because file completeness is greater during the early part than during the later part of the 12-month period for which the data are processed and tabulated.

Even if the number of vital events in this report were 100 percent complete and not subject to sampling variability, it might be affected by random variation. Thus, when the number of events is small and the probability of such an event is small, considerable caution must be observed in interpreting the data. Such infrequent events may be assumed to follow a Poisson probability distribution. The first column of table II shows the estimated RSEs of a file that is nearly 100 percent complete. The estimated RSEs of the 1999 final data, the preliminary 2000 control totals, and the preliminary 2000 data (based on nearly 100 percent of a file) are shown in the first column of table II.

Data based on a sample, that is, where the file is less than 100 percent complete, are affected by sampling variation as well as by random variation. The estimated RSEs in columns 2-6 of table II for various levels of file completeness are measures of the sampling errors and the random errors of the estimates. The estimated RSEs in table II were computed using this formula:

$$
\text { RSE }=100 \sqrt{\bar{X}+\frac{(1-f)(N-X)}{f X\left(N-\frac{1}{f}\right)}}
$$

where
$f=$ the sampling fraction or the percent of file completeness/100 from table I.
$X=$ the estimated number of live births.
$N=$ the total count of live births for the United States or any State. (NOTE: The RSEs shown in table II are based on $N$ $=4,000,000$. If $N$ is smaller, the RSEs may be slightly smaller than those shown.)

Table II. Relative standard errors for preliminary number of live births by percent of file completeness
[Relative standard errors are expressed as a percent of the estimate]
Percent of file completeness

| Estimated <br> number <br> of live births |  |  |  |  |  |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |

RSEs may be used to compute 95 percent confidence intervals for the number of events $(X)$, for a rate $(R)$, or for a percent $(P)$ and to compute statistical tests concerning the equality of two rates $\left(R_{1}\right.$ and $R_{2}$ ) or two percents ( $P_{1}$ and $P_{2}$ ).

For the number of live births, the 95 percent confidence interval may be computed as follows:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Lower limit: } X_{1}-1.96 \cdot X_{1} \cdot \frac{\operatorname{RSE}\left(X_{1}\right)}{100} \\
& \text { Upper limit: } X_{1}+1.96 \cdot X_{1} \cdot \frac{\operatorname{RSE}\left(X_{1}\right)}{100}
\end{aligned}
$$

As a hypothetical example, assume the number of births, $X_{1}$, is 70 from a file with 80 percent completeness. Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Lower limit: } 70-1.96 \cdot 70 \cdot \frac{13.4}{100}=51.6 \\
& \text { Upper limit: } 70+1.96 \cdot 70 \cdot \frac{13.4}{100}=88.4
\end{aligned}
$$

This means that the chances are 95 times out of 100 that the confidence interval (51.6-88.4) will cover the "true" number of births.

For rates based on population estimates in the denominator, the 95 percent confidence interval may be computed as follows:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Lower limit: } R_{1}-1.96 \cdot R_{1} \cdot \frac{\operatorname{RSE}\left(R_{1}\right)}{100} \\
& \text { Upper limit: } R_{1}+1.96 \cdot R_{1} \cdot \frac{\operatorname{RSE}\left(R_{1}\right)}{100}
\end{aligned}
$$

As a hypothetical example, assume the birth rate, $R_{1}$, is 20.0, which is based on 70 births from a file with 80 percent completeness.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Lower limit: } 20.0-1.96 \cdot 20.0 \cdot \frac{13.4}{100}=14.7 \\
& \text { Upper limit: } 20.0+1.96 \cdot 20.0 \cdot \frac{13.4}{100}=25.3
\end{aligned}
$$

This means that the chances are 95 times out of 100 that the confidence interval (14.7-25.3) will cover the "true" rate.

For testing the equality of two rates, $R_{1}$ and $R_{2}$, the following $z$-test may be used to define a significance test statistic:

$$
z=\frac{R_{1}-R_{2}}{\sqrt{R_{1}^{2}\left(\frac{\operatorname{RSE}\left(R_{1}\right)}{100}\right)^{2}+R_{2}^{2}\left(\frac{\operatorname{RSE}\left(R_{2}\right)}{100}\right)^{2}}}
$$

The two-tailed 0.95 critical value for a $z$ statistic is 1.96 . Therefore, if $|z| \geq 1.96$, the difference is significant at the 0.05 level. If $|z|<1.96$, then the difference would be considered not statistically significant at the 0.05 level.

As a hypothetical example, assume $R_{1}$ is the same as the above example for the current 12 -month period and that $R_{2}, 15.0$, is based on 50 births occurring in the prior 12-month period (which implies that the file is approximately 100 percent complete for $R_{2}$ ). The z-test may be determined as follows:

$$
z=\frac{20.0-15.0}{\sqrt{(20.0)^{2}\left(\frac{13.4}{100}\right)^{2}+(15.0)^{2}\left(\frac{14.1}{100}\right)^{2}}}=1.46
$$

Because $|z|<1.96$, there is not a statistically significant difference between the two rates at the 0.05 level of significance.
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[^0]:    See footnotes at end of table.

[^1]:    - Quantity zero.

    Race and Hispanic origin are reported separately on the birth certificate. Data for persons of Hispanic origin are included in the data for each race group according to the mother's reported race; see Technical notes.
    2 Includes births to Aleuts and Eskimos.
    3 Includes all persons of Hispanic origin of any race; see Technical notes.
    NOTE: Data are subject to sampling and/or random variation. For information on the relative standard errors of the data and further discussion, see Technical notes.

[^2]:    * Figure does not meet standards of reliability or precision; see Technical notes.
    --- Data not available.
    1 Race and Hispanic origin are reported separately on the birth certificate. Data for persons of Hispanic origin are included in the data for each race group according to the mother's reported race; see Technical notes.
    3 Includes births to Aleuts and Eskimos.
    3 Includes all persons of Hispanic origin of any race; see Technical notes.
    4 Excludes data for the territories.
    Due to processing problems, Ohio data for 2000 are not shown separately but are included in U.S. totals; see Technical notes.

[^3]:    * Figure does not meet standards of reliability or precision; see Technical notes. --- Data not available.
    1 Includes races other than white and black.
    2 Race and Hispanic origin are reported separately on the birth certificate. Data for persons of Hispanic origin are included in the data for each race group according to the mother's reported race; see Technical notes.
    3 Includes all persons of Hispanic origin of any race; see Technical notes.
    4 Excludes data for the territories.
    5 Due to processing problems, Ohio data for 2000 are not shown separately but are included in U.S. totals; see Technical notes.

[^4]:    *igure does not meet standards of reliability or precision; see Technical notes. -- Data not available.
    1 Includes races other than white and black.
    2 Race and Hispanic origin are reported separately on the birth certificate. Data for persons of Hispanic origin are included in the data for each race group according to the mother's reported race; see Technical notes.
    3 Includes all persons of Hispanic origin of any race; see Technical notes.
    4 Excludes data for the territories.
    5 Due to processing problems, Ohio data for 2000 are not shown separately but are included in U.S. totals; see Technical notes.

[^5]:    * Figure does not meet standards of reliability or precision; see Technical notes. --- Data not available.
    1 Includes races other than white and black.
    2 Race and Hispanic origin are reported separately on the birth certificate. Data for persons of Hispanic origin are included in the data for each race group according to the mother's reported race; see Technical notes.
    3 Includes all persons of Hispanic origin of any race; see Technical notes.
    4 Excludes data for the territories.
    5 Due to processing problems, Ohio data for 2000 are not shown separately but are included in U.S. totals; see Technical notes.

[^6]:    1 -- Data not available
    Excludes data for Puerto Rico, Virgin Islands, Guam, American Samoa, and Northern Marianas.

