

---

**National Health Interview Survey (NHIS)  
Early Release Program**



**Preliminary Evaluation of the Impact of the  
2019 National Health Interview Survey  
Questionnaire Redesign and  
Weighting Adjustments on  
Early Release Program Estimates**

**Division of Health Interview Statistics  
National Center for Health Statistics  
Hyattsville, Maryland**

**Centers for Disease Control and Prevention  
U.S. Department of Health and Human Services**

**May 2020**

## Table of Contents

Introduction.....	3
2019 NHIS Questionnaire Redesign.....	4
Weighting Adjustments.....	5
NHIS Early Release Program.....	6
Analytic Approach.....	8
Results.....	12
Diagnosed Hypertension.....	12
Asthma Episode.....	12
Regularly Experienced Chronic Pain.....	13
Regularly Had Feelings of Worry, Nervousness, or Anxiety.....	13
Regularly Had Feelings of Depression.....	14
Disability Status.....	14
Current Cigarette Smoking.....	15
Current Electronic Cigarette Use.....	15
Did Not Get Needed Medical Care Due to Cost.....	16
Did Not Take Medication as Prescribed to Reduce Costs.....	16
Did Not Get Needed Mental Health Care Due to Cost.....	17
Doctor Visit.....	17
Hospital Emergency Department Visit.....	18
Influenza Vaccination.....	18
Blood Pressure Check.....	19
Health Insurance Coverage.....	19
Adults Living in Wireless-Only Households.....	20
Summary.....	21
References.....	22

### Suggested citation:

National Center for Health Statistics. Preliminary evaluation of the impact of the 2019 National Health Interview Survey questionnaire redesign and weighting adjustments on Early Release Program estimates. Hyattsville, Maryland. May 2020.

---

## Introduction

The National Center for Health Statistics' (NCHS) National Health Interview Survey (NHIS) provides information on the health of the civilian noninstitutionalized population of the United States through confidential interviews conducted in households. NHIS is the nation's oldest ongoing household-based health survey. Since 1957, it has provided data for analyzing health trends and tracking progress toward achieving national health objectives. In 2019, for the first time in over 20 years, the NHIS questionnaire was redesigned to increase relevance, enhance data quality, and minimize respondent burden. The wording of some questions and answer choices was revised to improve quality of measurement. Some questions were dropped, and others were added, changing the context within which some questions were asked. And some questions are now answered directly by the adult to which they refer, rather than a family member responding on behalf of all family members. Perhaps as a result of these changes, response rates increased and the characteristics of nonrespondents may have changed, so a revised and updated method of adjusting sampling weights to account for nonresponse was implemented concurrent with the questionnaire design changes.

Any differences observed between estimates for 2018 and 2019 may be due to real change in the population or partly attributable to the 2019 NHIS questionnaire redesign and/or the updated weighting approach. This working paper, the first that will examine the effect of methods changes on the comparability of estimates over time, takes a preliminary look at estimates for the key indicators that are reported as part of the NHIS Early Release Program. It examines whether the design changes appeared to impact these key estimates by comparing differences from October-December 2018 when both the prior design and a field test for the redesign were in the field simultaneously. Moreover, it explores the impact of the updated weighting approach by examining differences in estimates derived with the two different weighting approaches for the same data set (January-June 2019). The potential impact of weighting and design effects will be further evaluated when final data become available.

This working paper is organized in the following manner. It starts with an overview of the questionnaire redesign and how the structure of the questionnaire differs from the prior questionnaire design. Next, it briefly describes the changes to the weighting approach. Then, it provides a description of the NHIS Early Release Program. Following this background information, additional details are provided about the methods used to examine whether the NHIS questionnaire redesign and updated weighting approach impacted the key estimates from the Early Release Program. Results are then presented for each indicator and summarized at the end.

Preliminary conclusions are included in the results section regarding whether or not the 2019 NHIS questionnaire redesign and the updated weighting approach were likely to have had an impact on each indicator. These conclusions are based on the magnitude of the observed effect and its statistical significance. When an impact is likely, it may not be appropriate to conclude that any observed difference or lack of difference reflects actual change or no change over time. The conclusions presented here are preliminary and will be revisited when this working paper is updated and in future NCHS reports.

---

## 2019 NHIS Questionnaire Redesign

The content and structure of the NHIS were updated in the redesign to better meet the needs of data users. Aims of the redesign were to improve the measurement of covered health topics, reduce respondent burden by shortening the length of the questionnaire, harmonize overlapping content with other federal health surveys, establish a long-term structure of ongoing and periodic topics, and incorporate advances in survey methodology and measurement.

One “sample adult” aged 18 years or older and one “sample child” aged 17 years or younger (if any children live in the household) are randomly selected from each household following a brief initial interview that identifies everyone who usually lives or stays in the household. Information about the sample adult is collected from the sample adult herself or himself unless she or he is physically or mentally unable to do so, in which case a knowledgeable proxy can answer for the sample adult. Information about the sample child is collected from a parent or adult who is knowledgeable about and responsible for the health care of the sample child. This respondent may or may not also be the sample adult.

From 1997-2018, the NHIS also included a family questionnaire. Questions from the family questionnaire, including questions on health insurance and unmet needs for medical care, were answered by a member of the family on behalf of all members of the family. In the redesigned survey, much of the content that was collected about the sample adult and sample child in the family section is now collected within the sample adult and sample child questionnaires, from the sample adult or sample child’s respondent rather than another family member. Sample adults may give different answers about themselves than would a family member responding for them, and this could impact survey estimates.

The elimination of the family questionnaire was one part of an effort to shorten the length of the questionnaire. In addition, questions on rare conditions or service utilization (those with less than a 2% prevalence), questions on topics that were no longer priorities, and questions that were rarely used in analysis were dropped. A structure of annual and rotating content was also implemented. The annual core contains the same questions from year to year, and these questions focus on demographic characteristics, health insurance, chronic conditions, health care access and use, health-related behaviors, and functioning and disability. The rotating core consists of questions that will be included in the interview periodically and include expanded content on mental health, service utilization, preventive services, health-related behaviors, injuries, and chronic pain. The periodicity of questions in the rotating core varies by question and may be every-other year, once-every-three years, or two-out-of-three years.

As a result of dropping questions and putting others on a rotating schedule, along with the addition of new questions reflecting new priorities, the question order and the context of most questions (that is, the questions that come immediately before) changed with the questionnaire redesign. These changes can affect how subsequent questions are interpreted and responded to, and these effects could impact survey estimates.

For more details about the NHIS questionnaires, go to: <https://www.cdc.gov/nchs/nhis/data-questionnaires-documentation.htm>.

---

## Weighting Adjustments

NHIS is a sample survey. That is, only a sample (subset) of the civilian noninstitutionalized population is selected to participate in the survey. Additionally, not everyone selected to participate agrees to participate, which affects the representativeness of the sample. In order to account for these two factors, sampling weights are created. These sampling weights are used to produce representative national estimates. The weights reflect several steps of adjustments starting with a base weight, which is inverse to the probability of selection. Households or persons that are more likely to be selected are given lower weights so that the final estimates are not biased by their increased likelihood of being selected.

These base weights are then adjusted for nonresponse patterns, that is, the different response rates among different household and person-level subgroups. The 2019 questionnaire redesign provided an opportunity to evaluate the adjustment approach that had been in place since 1997. At that time and continuing through 2018, the adjustment approach was based on geography; the weights for households and persons in geographic areas with lower response rates were increased more than for those in areas with higher response rates. That way, final estimates were not biased by the latter group's increased likelihood of participation. More sophisticated methods are now available (1, 2), and based on the evaluation, the weighting process for 2019 was updated. The updated approach for nonresponse adjustment uses multilevel regression models that consider numerous variables that are predictive of both survey response and selected key health outcomes, the key criteria for effective bias reduction.

Finally, the nonresponse adjusted weights are calibrated to U.S. Census Bureau population projections and American Community Survey (ACS) one-year estimates for age, sex, race and ethnicity, educational attainment, Census division, and Metropolitan Statistical Area status. Prior to 2019, calibration was only to age, sex, and race and ethnicity population projections. These changes to the nonresponse adjustment approach and the calibration methods have the potential to impact the weighted survey estimates.

## NHIS Early Release Program

Since its inception in 2001, the NHIS Early Release Program provides the public with estimates, analytic reports, and preliminary microdata files on an expedited schedule. NHIS data users can have access to these timely estimates, reports, and preliminary microdata files on selected key health indicators without having to wait for the release of the final annual NCHS microdata files. Table 1 summarizes the 19 indicators that were included in the Early Release Program prior to the 2019 NHIS questionnaire redesign and the calendar year that they were added to the Early Release Program.

**Table 1. Key indicators included in the NHIS Early Release Program prior to the 2019 questionnaire redesign, by topic subgroup and calendar year introduced**

Indicators	Calendar Year
Health Status	
General health status	2001
Needing help with personal care from other persons	2002
Obesity	2001
Serious psychological distress	2003
Diagnosed diabetes	2003
Current asthma	2004
Asthma episode	2003
Health Behaviors	
Excessive alcohol consumption	2001
Current smoking	2001
Leisure-time physical activity	2001
Health Care Access	
Usual source of medical care	2001
Unable to obtain needed medical care due to cost	2001
Health Care Service Utilization	
HIV testing	2001
Influenza vaccination	2001
Pneumococcal vaccination	2001
Health Insurance Coverage	
Lack of health insurance	2001
Public health plan coverage	2002
Private health insurance coverage	2002
Telephone Ownership	
Adults living in wireless-only households	2007

These estimates were released as a series of three products. On a quarterly basis, cumulative preliminary estimates of health insurance coverage and selected key health indicators were published. On a bi-annual basis, six-month estimates on the prevalence of adults living in households with only wireless telephone service were published.

As part of the 2019 NHIS questionnaire redesign, the Early Release Program content was reviewed. With input from the NCHS Board of Scientific Counselors and NCHS leadership, 18 key health indicators were selected from the redesigned questionnaire to include in the Early Release Program in addition to the established content on health insurance coverage and telephone ownership. Table 2 summarizes these 22 indicators.

**Table 2. Key indicators included in the redesigned NHIS Early Release Program, by topic subgroup and calendar year introduced**

Indicators	Calendar Year
Health Status	
Diagnosed hypertension in the past 12 months	2019
Asthma episode in the past 12 months	2003
Six or more workdays missed due to illness, injury or disability in the past 12 months	2019
Regularly experienced chronic pain	2019
Regularly had feelings of worry, nervousness, or anxiety	2019
Regularly had feelings of depression	2019
Disability status	2019
Health Behaviors	
Current cigarette smoking	2001
Current electronic cigarette use	2019
Health Care Access	
Did not get needed medical care due to cost in the past 12 months	2001
Did not take medication as prescribed to reduce costs in the past 12 months	2019
Did not get needed mental health care due to cost in the past 12 months	2019
Health Care Service Utilization	
Doctor visit in the past 12 months	2019
Hospital emergency department visit in the past 12 months	2019
Receipt of influenza vaccination in the past 12 months	2001
Blood pressure check in the past 12 months	2019
Counseled by a mental health professional in the past 12 months	2019
Dental exam or cleaning in the past 12 months	2019
Health Insurance Coverage	
Lack of health insurance	2001
Public health plan coverage	2002
Private health insurance coverage	2002
Telephone Ownership	
Adults living in wireless-only households	2007

Eight (42.1%) of the original 19 Early Release indicators were retained as part of the Early Release Program (asthma episode, cigarette smoking, did not get needed medical care due to cost, influenza vaccination, lack of health insurance, public health plan coverage, private health insurance coverage, and adults living in wireless-only households). Fourteen new measures complemented these topics while also addressing timely and important aspects of health and well-being, such as functioning and disability, mental health, electronic cigarette use, and strategies used to reduce prescription drug costs. The selected measures generally reflect current or recent health status or experiences with the health care system, may be sensitive to policy changes, and are representative of important issues such as preventive care and cost-related barriers to care. Timely data on the prevalence of adults living in wireless-only households is important for health survey researchers using telephone surveys, to ensure that they adequately represent this population in their samples.

While data on each of these 22 measures were available prior to the 2019 questionnaire redesign, changes to the wording of the questions and response options, changes to the location and context of the questions, and changes in who answered the questions could affect the estimates and explain (at least in part) any observed differences between 2018 and 2019. For three of these new Early Release indicators, “workdays missed”, “dental exam or cleaning” and “counseled by a mental health professional,” the changes to both the location and wording of the questions were so substantial that no comparison between 2018 and 2019 is recommended. However, for the remaining 19 indicators, the changes to the location, wording, or respondent were relatively less minor. Nevertheless, for these indicators, a preliminary evaluation was conducted to assess whether the

questionnaire redesign, the weighting process, or both had an observable impact on the overall national estimates.

## Analytic Approach

As described earlier, the goal of this analysis is to determine how the comparability of 2018 and January-June 2019 estimates may have been affected by the questionnaire redesign and the change in the weighting approach. These effects can be evaluated by examining differences among prevalence estimates that vary by questionnaire design or weighting approach. Table 3 presents a series of five prevalence estimates (and 90% confidence intervals) for 19 Early Release indicators:

- A. **2018 Production Estimates (Old Weight)** – These are the official estimates for the key indicators based on 2018 data. They were derived using the former (2018) weighting approach. Data from 25,417 sample adults were used for these estimates.
- B. **2018 Quarter 4 Production Estimates (Old Weight)** – These estimates are derived in a manner similar to the 2018 Production estimates, but they are based only on data from 4,074 sample adults that were interviewed from October-December 2018.
- C. **2018 Quarter 4 Bridge Estimates (Old Weight)** – From October-December 2018, NCHS conducted a small-scale test of the redesigned NHIS questionnaire to evaluate procedures and identify potential problems. This test was conducted nationally; data were collected from a nationally representative sample of 4,428 sample adults. The overlap in the data collection period of the field test with the 2018 data collection serves as a “bridge” between the two designs, and the two production periods (2018 and 2019). Estimates from this test, derived using the former (2018) weighting approach, are heretofore referred to as bridge estimates.
- D. **2019 January-June Estimates (Old Weight)** – These estimates are derived in a manner similar to the official 2019 estimates, but they are based on application of the former (2018) weighting approach to the data. Data from 17,067 sample adults were used for these estimates.
- E. **2019 January-June Estimates (New Weight)** – These are the official estimates for the key indicators based on data from the first two quarters of 2019. They are derived using the updated weighting approach. Data from 17,067 sample adults were used for these estimates.



**Table 3. Key estimates (and 90% confidence intervals) for adults by time period, questionnaire design, and weighting approach**

Indicator	(A) 2018 Full-year Production	(B) 2018 Quarter 4 Production	(C) 2018 Quarter 4 Bridge	(D) January- June 2019, Old weight	(E) January- June 2019, New weight	Within 2018 Q4 Difference (C - B)	Weighting Difference (E - D)
<b>Health Status</b>							
Diagnosed hypertension	27.0 (26.4 - 27.6)	27.4 (26.0 - 28.7)	25.6 (24.4 - 26.9)	26.2 (25.5 - 26.9)	26.8 (26.1 - 27.5)	-1.8	0.6
Asthma episode	3.5 (3.3 - 3.8)	3.8 (3.2 - 4.5)	3.5 (3.1 - 4.0)	3.5 (3.2 - 3.8)	3.4 (3.2 - 3.7)	-0.3	-0.1
Regularly experienced chronic pain	20.7 (20.2 - 21.3)	21.6 (20.3 - 22.9)	19.7 (18.6 - 20.8)	20.1 (19.5 - 20.8)	20.6 (20.0 - 21.3)	-1.9‡	0.5
Regularly had feelings of worry, nervousness, or anxiety	8.6 (8.2 - 9.0)	9.0 (8.0 - 10.0)	11.1 (10.2 - 12.0)	10.8 (10.2 - 11.4)	11.0 (10.5 - 11.6)	2.1*	0.2
Regularly had feelings of depression	4.4 (4.2 - 4.7)	4.7 (4.1 - 5.4)	4.2 (3.7 - 4.8)	4.6 (4.3 - 4.9)	4.7 (4.4 - 5.0)	-0.5	0.1
Disability status	10.2 (9.8 - 10.6)	9.9 (9.0 - 10.8)	8.9 (8.1 - 9.7)	8.7 (8.3 - 9.2)	9.2 (8.8 - 9.7)	-1.0	0.5
<b>Health Behaviors</b>							
Current cigarette smoking	13.7 (13.3 - 14.2)	13.3 (12.3 - 14.4)	12.8 (11.8 - 13.8)	13.5 (12.9 - 14.0)	14.4 (13.8 - 14.9)	-0.5	0.9‡
Current electronic cigarette use	3.2 (3.0 - 3.5)	3.3 (2.7 - 3.9)	4.3 (3.7 - 5.0)	4.5 (4.1 - 4.8)	4.4 (4.1 - 4.7)	1.0‡	-0.1
<b>Health Care Access</b>							
Did not get needed medical care due to cost	6.4 (6.1 - 6.7)	6.3 (5.6 - 7.0)	8.2 (7.2 - 9.3)	7.3 (6.8 - 7.7)	7.6 (7.2 - 8.1)	1.9*	0.3
Did not take medication as prescribed to reduce costs	9.2 (8.8 - 9.7)	9.2 (8.1 - 10.3)	9.1 (8.2 - 10.2)	9.1 (8.6 - 9.7)	9.5 (9.0 - 10.1)	-0.1	0.4
Did not get needed mental health care due to cost	2.6 (2.4 - 2.9)	3.4 (2.8 - 4.0)	4.9 (4.3 - 5.6)	4.3 (4.0 - 4.6)	4.2 (3.9 - 4.6)	1.5**	-0.1
<b>Health Care Service Utilization</b>							
Doctor visit	84.3 (83.8 - 84.9)	85.2 (83.9 - 86.4)	84.2 (82.9 - 85.4)	85.3 (84.7 - 85.9)	85.0 (84.8 - 85.6)	-1.0	-0.3
Hospital emergency department visit	21.3 (20.8 - 21.9)	21.3 (20.0 - 22.6)	21.8 (20.6 - 23.0)	21.5 (20.8 - 22.2)	22.0 (21.4 - 22.7)	0.5	0.5
Influenza vaccination	44.6 (43.9 - 45.3)	44.2 (42.6 - 45.9)	45.0 (43.4 - 46.5)	48.3 (47.6 - 49.0)	47.2 (46.6 - 47.9)	0.8	-1.1‡
Blood pressure check	84.6 (84.1 - 85.1)	85.8 (84.6 - 87.0)	86.6 (85.4 - 87.7)	88.4 (87.9 - 88.9)	88.1 (87.5 - 88.6)	0.8	-0.3

See footnotes at end of table.

**Table 3. Key estimates (and 90% confidence intervals) for adults by time period, questionnaire design, and weighting approach, cont.**

Indicator	(A) 2018 Full-year Production	(B) 2018 Quarter 4 Production	(C) 2018 Quarter 4 Bridge	(D) January- June 2019, Old weight	(E) January- June 2019, New weight	Within 2018 Q4 Difference (C - B)	Weighting Difference (E - D)
Health Insurance Coverage (18-64 years) <sup>1</sup>							
Lack of health insurance	13.3 (12.6 - 13.9)	14.0 (13.0 - 15.1)	13.8 (12.1 - 15.5)	13.1 (12.4 - 13.7)	13.7 (13.0 - 14.5)	-0.2	0.6
Public health plan coverage	19.4 (18.9 - 20.0)	18.6 (17.5 - 19.7)	17.5 (16.2 - 18.8)	19.1 (18.4 - 19.8)	20.4 (19.5 - 21.2)	-1.1	1.3‡
Private health insurance coverage	68.9 (68.2 - 69.7)	68.7 (67.3 - 70.1)	71.0 (69.2 - 72.7)	69.6 (68.6 - 70.5)	67.7 (66.7 - 68.8)	2.3‡	-1.9*
Telephone Ownership							
Adults living in wireless-only households	56.0 (55.2 - 56.8)	57.0 (55.5 - 58.6)	58.0 (56.5 - 59.5)	59.0 (58.0 - 60.0)	59.2 (58.2 - 60.2)	1.0	0.2

‡p < 0.10; \*p < 0.05; \*\*p < 0.01

<sup>1</sup>For the health insurance coverage indicators, the 2018 full-year production and 2018 quarter 4 production estimates are preliminary estimates from the National Health Interview Survey Early Release Program, rather than final estimates. See text for further information.

SOURCES: NCHS, National Health Interview Survey, 2018, 2018 Quarter 4 Test, and January-June 2019

Health policy analysts and other public health professionals are generally interested in whether there are changes over time in the official estimates for the key indicators. That is, they will want to know whether the 2019 estimates are higher, lower, or statistically similar to the 2018 estimates. However, as noted earlier, any differences observed between estimates for 2018 (Column A) and 2019 (Column E) may be partly attributable to the 2019 NHIS questionnaire redesign, the updated weighting approach, or both, or they may reflect an actual change over time. If questionnaire design effects or weighting effects are observable, it may not be appropriate to compare the 2018 and 2019 estimates and conclude that the observed difference reflects an actual change over time.

Table 3 highlights two comparisons of interest in assessing the potential effect of the questionnaire redesign and updated weighting approach on the official estimates. First, for each indicator, the potential effect of the questionnaire design was examined by comparing estimates from October-December 2018, a time when both the prior design and the field test of the redesign were in the field simultaneously. That is, the 2018 Quarter 4 Production estimate (Column B) was compared to the 2018 Quarter 4 Bridge estimate (Column C), and the statistical significance was tested. The same weighting approach was used for both data sets.

Second, for each indicator, the potential impact of the updated weighting approach was examined by comparing estimates derived with the two different weighting approaches for the same data set. That is, the 2019 January-June (Old Weight) estimate (Column D) was compared to the 2019 January-June (New Weight) estimate (Column E), and the statistical significance was tested. In this analysis, both estimates are derived from the same questionnaire design.

All statistical tests used a two-sided alpha level of 0.10 rather than the conventional 0.05 level to determine statistical significance. This decision leads to identification of more significant differences and more conservative conclusions. That is, one is more likely to conclude that questionnaire design effects and weighting effects exist for a greater number of variables. This approach is a reasonably cautious one, suitable for this initial look at preliminary data and the relatively small sample sizes available for the 2018 Quarter 4 comparisons. Readers who wish to use an alternative approach will find the actual *p*-values identified in the text and footnotes for alpha levels of 0.05 and 0.01 in the tables.

Reports from the Early Release Program for key health indicators have generally presented both unadjusted (crude) and age-adjusted estimates in tables, but only unadjusted estimates when examining trends over time. Similarly, the estimates and comparisons presented here are based on unadjusted estimates only.

## Results

### Diagnosed Hypertension

**Questionnaire changes between 2018 and 2019:** This indicator is based on a series of questions that ask sample adult respondents if they have a) ever been told by a doctor or health professional that they had hypertension, b) been told on two or more different visits that they had hypertension, c) had hypertension during the past 12 months, or d) are now taking any medication prescribed by a doctor for their high blood pressure. In 2018, there was an additional question that asked whether any medicine was ever prescribed by a doctor for their high blood pressure. This additional question preceded the current medication question, and the current medication question was only asked among those that responded yes to the preceding question. The 2019 NHIS did not ask about whether medicine was ever prescribed by a doctor but included the other four questions as in 2018.

This indicator is new to the Early Release Program and reflects sample adults who either a) had been told they had hypertension on two or more doctor visits and had hypertension during the past 12 months, or b) had ever had hypertension and are now taking medication for their high blood pressure.

#### Preliminary analysis:

- In 2018, the percentage of adults who had diagnosed hypertension in the past 12 months or were taking medication to control it was 27.0%. The January-June 2019 estimate with the new weight was 26.8%.
- During the fourth quarter of 2018, the production estimate (27.4%) was 1.8 percentage points higher than the bridge estimate (25.6%). This difference suggests a possible questionnaire design effect, but it did not reach statistical significance ( $p = 0.11$ ).
- The January-June 2019 estimate with the old weight (26.2%) was lower than, but not significantly different from, the January-June 2019 estimate with the new weight (26.8%). This result ( $p = 0.31$ ) suggests that the weighting adjustment did not substantially affect estimates for this indicator.

### Asthma Episode

**Questionnaire changes between 2018 and 2019:** The two questions used for this indicator were unchanged from 2018 to 2019. Sample adult respondents were asked, “Have you ever been told by a doctor or other health professional that you had asthma?” If yes, they were asked “During the past 12 months, have you had an episode of asthma or an asthma attack?”

This indicator is one of eight from the original Early Release Program and reflects sample adults who had an asthma episode or attack in the past 12 months.

#### Preliminary analysis:

- In 2018, the percentage of adults who had an asthma episode or attack in the past 12 months was 3.5%. The January-June 2019 estimate with the new weight was 3.4%.
- During the fourth quarter of 2018, the production and bridge estimates for this indicator were similar (3.8% and 3.5%, respectively). This result ( $p = 0.53$ ) suggests that a questionnaire design effect is unlikely.
- The January-June 2019 estimates using the old and new weights were similar (3.5% and 3.4%, respectively). This result ( $p = 0.69$ ) suggests that the weighting adjustment did not substantially affect estimates for this indicator.

## Regularly Experienced Chronic Pain

**Questionnaire changes between 2018 and 2019:** While the question text for this indicator was unchanged from 2018 to 2019, the context surrounding this question changed. Sample adult respondents were asked, “In the past three months, how often did you have pain? Would you say never, some days, most days, or every day?” In 2018, this question appeared in the section entitled Adult Functioning and Disability (AFD) and was at the end of the sample adult interview. In 2019, this question was the first in a series of questions on chronic pain, and the chronic pain section was a little more than halfway through the sample adult interview.

This indicator is new to the Early Release Program and reflects sample adults who experienced pain either ‘every day’ or ‘most days’.

### Preliminary analysis:

- In 2018, the percentage of adults who regularly experienced chronic pain was 20.7%. The January-June 2019 estimate with the new weight was 20.6%.
- During the fourth quarter of 2018, the production estimate (21.6%) was 1.9 percentage points higher than the bridge estimate (19.7%). This difference was statistically significant ( $p = 0.07$ ); a questionnaire design effect is likely.
- The January-June 2019 estimate with the old weight (20.1%) was lower than, but not significantly different from, the January-June 2019 estimate with the new weight (20.6%). This result ( $p = 0.36$ ) suggests that the weighting adjustment did not substantially affect estimates for this indicator

## Regularly Had Feelings of Worry, Nervousness, or Anxiety

**Questionnaire changes between 2018 and 2019:** This indicator is based on responses to two questions: a) “How often do you feel worried, nervous, or anxious? Would you say daily, weekly, monthly, a few times a year, or never?”; and b) “Thinking about the last time you felt worried, nervous, or anxious, how would you describe the level of these feelings? Would you say a little, a lot, or somewhere in between?” While the text of the questions for this indicator was unchanged from 2018 to 2019, the context surrounding these questions changed. In 2018, these questions were included in the AFD section, a section at the end of the sample adult interview. In 2019, these questions were included at the beginning of a series of questions on mental health and towards the middle of the sample adult interview.

This indicator is new to the Early Release Program and reflects sample adults who had feelings of worry, nervousness, or anxiety a) daily and report the level as ‘a lot’ or ‘somewhere in between’, or b) weekly and report the level as ‘a lot’.

### Preliminary analysis:

- In 2018, the percentage of adults who regularly had feelings of worry, nervousness, or anxiety was 8.6%. The January-June 2019 estimate with the new weight was 11.0%.
- During the fourth quarter of 2018, the production estimate (9.0%) was 2.1 percentage points lower than the bridge estimate (11.1%). This difference was statistically significant ( $p = 0.01$ ); a questionnaire design effect is likely.
- The January-June 2019 estimates using the old and new weights were similar (10.8% and 11.0%, respectively). This result ( $p = 0.68$ ) suggests that the weighting adjustment did not substantially affect estimates for this indicator.

## Regularly Had Feelings of Depression

**Questionnaire changes between 2018 and 2019:** This indicator is based on responses to two questions: a) “How often do you feel depressed? Would you say daily, weekly, monthly, a few times a year, or never?”; and b) “Thinking about the last time you felt depressed, how depressed did you feel? Would you say a little, a lot, or somewhere in between?” While the text of the questions for this indicator was unchanged from 2018 to 2019, the context surrounding these questions changed. In 2018, these questions were included in the AFD section, a section at the end of the sample adult interview. In 2019, these questions were included early in a series of questions on mental health and towards the middle of the sample adult interview. One matter of context did not change: These questions have always followed the questions on feeling worried, nervous, or anxious.

This indicator is new to the Early Release Program and reflects sample adults who had feelings of depression a) daily and report the level as ‘a lot’ or ‘somewhere in between’, or b) weekly and report the level as ‘a lot’.

### Preliminary analysis:

- In 2018, the percentage of adults who regularly had feelings of depression was 4.4%. The January-June 2019 estimate with the new weight was 4.7%.
- During the fourth quarter of 2018, the production estimate (4.7%) was higher than, but not significantly different from, the bridge estimate (4.2%). This result ( $p = 0.33$ ) suggests that a questionnaire design effect is unlikely.
- The January-June 2019 estimates using the old and new weights were similar (4.6% and 4.7%, respectively). This result ( $p = 0.69$ ) suggests that the weighting adjustment did not substantially affect estimates for this indicator.

## Disability Status

**Questionnaire changes between 2018 and 2019:** While the questions regarding disability did not change between 2018 and 2019, their location in the interview changed. Similar to the questions on chronic pain, feelings of worry, nervousness, or anxiety, and feelings of depression, the questions that form the disability composite variable were included in the AFD section and at the end of the sample adult interview in 2018. Starting in 2019, these questions moved into the first half of the sample adult interview.

This indicator is new to the Early Release Program. It is defined by the reported level of difficulty (no difficulty, some difficulty, a lot of difficulty, or cannot do at all) in six functioning domains: seeing (even if wearing glasses), hearing (even if wearing hearing aids), mobility (walking and climbing stairs), communication (understanding or being understood by others), cognition (remembering or concentrating), and self-care (such as washing all over or dressing). Sample adults who responded “a lot of difficulty” or “cannot do at all” to at least one question were considered to have a disability.

### Preliminary analysis:

- In 2018, the percentage of adults with disability was 10.2%. The January-June 2019 estimate with the new weight was 9.2%.
- During the fourth quarter of 2018, the production estimate (9.9%) was 1.0 percentage points higher than the bridge estimate (8.9%). This difference suggests a possible questionnaire design effect, but it did not reach statistical significance ( $p = 0.16$ ).
- The January-June 2019 estimate with the old weight (8.7%) was lower than, but not significantly different from, the January-June 2019 estimate with the new weight (9.2%). This result ( $p = 0.21$ ) suggests that the weighting adjustment did not substantially affect estimates for this indicator.

## Current Cigarette Smoking

**Questionnaire changes between 2018 and 2019:** The two questions used for this indicator were unchanged from 2018 to 2019. Sample adult respondents were asked, “Have you smoked at least 100 cigarettes in your entire life?” If yes, they were asked “Do you now smoke cigarettes every day, some days, or not at all?”

This indicator is one of eight from the original Early Release Program and reflects sample adults who smoke cigarettes ‘every day’ or ‘some days’.

### Preliminary analysis:

- In 2018, the percentage of adults who were current cigarette smokers was 13.7%. The January-June 2019 estimate with the new weight was 14.4%.
- During the fourth quarter of 2018, the production estimate (13.3%) was higher than, but not significantly different from, the bridge estimate (12.8%). This result ( $p = 0.57$ ) suggests that a questionnaire design effect is unlikely.
- The January-June 2019 estimate using the old weight (13.5%) was 0.9 percentage points lower than the January-June 2019 estimate using the new weight (14.4%). This difference was statistically significant ( $p = 0.06$ ); estimates for this indicator were likely affected by the change in the weighting approach.

## Current Electronic Cigarette Use

**Questionnaire changes between 2018 and 2019:** The two questions used for this indicator changed slightly from 2018 to 2019 (see underlined text for additions). In 2019, sample adult respondents were asked “Have you ever used an e-cigarette or other electronic vaping product, even just one time, in your entire life?” If yes, they were asked “Do you now use e-cigarettes or other electronic vaping products every day, some days, or not at all?” In 2018, the question was preceded with a lengthy definition of the term ‘e-cigarettes.’ In 2019, that introduction was not present.

This indicator is new to the Early Release Program and reflects sample adults who said that they use electronic cigarettes ‘some days’ or ‘every day’.

### Preliminary analysis:

- In 2018, the percentage of adults who were current electronic cigarette users was 3.2%. The January-June 2019 estimate with the new weight was 4.4%.
- During the fourth quarter of 2018, the production estimate (3.3%) was 1.0 percentage points lower than the bridge estimate (4.3%). This difference was statistically significant ( $p = 0.06$ ); a questionnaire design effect is likely.
- The January-June 2019 estimates using the old and new weights were similar (4.5% and 4.4%, respectively). This result ( $p = 0.72$ ) suggests that the weighting adjustment did not substantially affect estimates for this indicator.

## Did Not Get Needed Medical Care Due to Cost

**Questionnaire changes between 2018 and 2019:** Prior to 2019, this question was asked of the family respondent during the family core interview. As part of the questionnaire redesign, this question is now directly asked of the sample adult, but the question itself did not change. The sample adult is asked “During the past 12 months, was there any time when you needed medical care but did not get it because of the cost?”

This indicator is one of eight from the original Early Release Program and reflects sample adults who needed medical care in the past 12 months but did not get it because of cost.

### Preliminary analysis:

- In 2018, the percentage of adults who did not get needed medical care due to cost in the past 12 months was 6.4%. The January-June 2019 estimate with the new weight was 7.6%.
- During the fourth quarter of 2018, the production estimate (6.3%) was 1.9 percentage points lower than the bridge estimate (8.2%). This difference was statistically significant ( $p = 0.01$ ); a questionnaire design effect is likely.
- The January-June 2019 estimates using the old and new weights were similar (7.3% and 7.6%, respectively). This result ( $p = 0.45$ ) suggests that the weighting adjustment did not substantially affect estimates for this indicator.

## Did Not Take Medication as Prescribed to Reduce Costs

**Questionnaire changes between 2018 and 2019:** The initial question in the series of questions for this indicator was modified slightly during the questionnaire redesign. Prior to 2019, sample adult respondents were asked “During the past 12 months, were you prescribed medication by a doctor or other health professional?” As part of the questionnaire redesign, sample adults were asked “At any time in the past 12 months, did you take prescription medication?” Sample adults who answered yes were then asked the following series of yes-or-no questions: “During the past 12 months, were any of the following true for you? 1) You skipped medication doses to save money; 2) You took less medication to save money; 3) You delayed filling a prescription to save money.”

This indicator is new to the Early Release Program and reflects sample adults who gave an affirmative response to at least one of these latter three questions.

### Preliminary analysis:

- In 2018, the percentage of adults who did not take medication as prescribed to reduce costs in the past 12 months was 9.2%. The January-June 2019 estimate with the new weight was 9.5%.
- During the fourth quarter of 2018, the production and bridge estimates for this indicator were similar (9.2% and 9.1%, respectively). This result suggests ( $p = 0.91$ ) that a questionnaire design effect is unlikely.
- The January-June 2019 estimates using the old and new weights were similar (9.1% and 9.5%, respectively). This result ( $p = 0.41$ ) suggests that the weighting adjustment did not substantially affect estimates for this indicator.



## Did Not Get Needed Mental Health Care Due to Cost

**Questionnaire changes between 2018 and 2019:** The question text changed slightly from 2018 to 2019. Prior to 2019, sample adult respondents were asked “During the past 12 months, was there any time when you needed any of the following, but didn’t get it because you couldn’t afford it?” Mental health care or counseling was included in a list, and the respondent answered yes or no to each item. Beginning in 2019, sample adult respondents were asked specifically “During the past 12 months, was there any time when you needed counseling or therapy from a mental health professional but did not get it because of the cost?”.

This indicator is new to the Early Release Program and reflects an affirmative response to this question.

### Preliminary analysis:

- In 2018, the percentage of adults who did not get needed mental health care in the past 12 months due to cost was 2.6%. The January-June 2019 estimate with the new weight was 4.2%.
- During the fourth quarter of 2018, the production estimate (3.4%) was 1.5 percentage points lower than the bridge estimate (4.9%). This difference was statistically significant ( $p = 0.004$ ); a questionnaire design effect is likely.
- The January-June 2019 estimates using the old and new weights were similar (4.3% and 4.2%, respectively). This result ( $p = 0.72$ ) suggests that the weighting adjustment did not substantially affect estimates for this indicator.

## Doctor Visit

**Questionnaire changes between 2018 and 2019:** Both the question text and the response categories were modified slightly between 2018 and 2019. In 2019, sample adult respondents were asked “About how long has it been since you last saw or talked to a doctor or other health care professional about your own health?” The underlined text was not included in the question text from 2018. The response categories changed with the redesign, merging two response categories (6 months or less, more than 6 months but not more than 1 year ago) into one response category in 2019 (within the past year (anytime less than 12 months ago)).

This indicator is new to the Early Release Program and reflects sample adults who had at least one doctor visit in the past 12 months.

### Preliminary analysis:

- In 2018, the percentage of adults who had at least one doctor visit in the past 12 months was 84.3%. The January-June 2019 estimate with the new weight was 85.0%.
- During the fourth quarter of 2018, the production estimate (85.2%) was 1.0 percentage points higher than the bridge estimate (84.2%). This comparison suggests a possible questionnaire design effect, but it was not statistically significant ( $p = 0.35$ ).
- The January-June 2019 estimates using the old and new weights were similar (85.3% and 85.0%, respectively). This result ( $p = 0.56$ ) suggests that the weighting adjustment did not substantially affect estimates for this indicator.

## Hospital Emergency Department Visit

**Questionnaire changes between 2018 and 2019:** In 2019, sample adult respondents were asked “During the past 12 months, how many times have you gone to a hospital emergency room about your own health?” The underlined text was not included in the question text from 2018. In addition to this minor change to the question text, the response options also changed. Prior to 2019, response options had to fit within predetermined categories. Beginning in 2019, the respondent’s numerical response was entered.

This indicator is new to the Early Release Program and reflects sample adults who had at least one hospital emergency department visit in the past 12 months.

### Preliminary analysis:

- In 2018, the percentage of adults who had at least one hospital emergency department visit in the past 12 months was 21.3%. The January-June 2019 estimate with the new weight was 22.0%.
- During the fourth quarter of 2018, the production estimate (21.3%) was lower than, but not significantly different from, the bridge estimate (21.8%). This result ( $p = 0.63$ ) suggests that a questionnaire design effect is unlikely.
- The January-June 2019 estimate with the old weight (21.5%) was lower than, but not significantly different from, the January-June 2019 estimate with the new weight (22.0%). This result ( $p = 0.39$ ) suggests that the weighting adjustment did not substantially affect estimates for this indicator.

## Influenza Vaccination

**Questionnaire changes between 2018 and 2019:** The question text did not change between 2018 and 2019. Sample adult respondents were asked “During the past 12 months, have you had a flu vaccination?” In 2018, this question was preceded by questions about having a usual place of care. In 2019, this question was preceded by questions about cancer screening.

This indicator is one of eight from the original Early Release Program and reflects sample adults who had an influenza vaccination in the past 12 months.

### Preliminary analysis:

- In 2018, the percentage of adults who had an influenza vaccination in the past year was 44.6%. The January-June 2019 estimate with the new weight was 47.2%.
- During the fourth quarter of 2018, the production estimate (44.2%) was lower than, but not significantly different from, the bridge estimate (45.0%). This result ( $p = 0.56$ ) suggests that a questionnaire design effect is unlikely.
- The January-June 2019 estimate using the old weight (48.3%) was 1.1 percentage points higher than the January-June 2019 estimate using the new weight (47.2%). This difference was statistically significant ( $p = 0.06$ ); estimates for this indicator were likely affected by the change in the weighting approach.

## Blood Pressure Check

**Questionnaire changes between 2018 and 2019:** Both the question text and responses changed from 2018 to 2019. In 2018, sample adult respondents were asked “During the past 12 months, have you had your blood pressure checked by a doctor, nurse, or other health professional?” The response was a yes or no answer. In 2019, sample adult respondents were asked “When was the last time you had your blood pressure checked by a doctor, nurse, or other health professional?” The response categories changed from dichotomous to categorical, and the “within the past year (anytime less than 12 months ago)” was used for this indicator.

This indicator is new to the Early Release Program and reflects sample adults who had their blood pressure checked in the past 12 months.

### Preliminary analysis:

- In 2018, the percentage of adults who had their blood pressure checked in the past 12 months was 84.6%. The January-June 2019 estimate with the new weight was 88.1%.
- During the fourth quarter of 2018, the production estimate (85.8%) was lower than, but not significantly different from, the bridge estimate (86.6%). This result ( $p = 0.41$ ) suggests that a questionnaire design effect is unlikely.
- The January-June 2019 estimates using the old and new weights were similar (88.4% and 88.1%, respectively). This result ( $p = 0.51$ ) suggests that the weighting adjustment did not substantially affect estimates for this indicator.

## Health Insurance Coverage

**Questionnaire changes between 2018 and 2019:** While the process for generating estimates of health insurance coverage did not change between 2018 and 2019, the questions themselves moved from the family core to the sample adult and sample child interviews as part of the questionnaire redesign. Prior to 2019, the questions were answered by a family respondent who may or may not have been the sample adult or sample child respondent. Starting in 2019, health insurance is asked directly of the sample adult for himself or herself and of the sample child respondent on behalf of only the sample child.

These three indicators— lack of health insurance, public health plan coverage, and private health insurance coverage—were among the eight from the original Early Release Program.

For the purposes of this working paper, analyses were limited to adults aged 18-64. In addition, the analyses used preliminary estimates from the Early Release Program for the 2018 Production and 2018 Quarter 4 Production estimates, rather than final “official” estimates. To produce the preliminary quarterly estimates that are included in the Early Release reports, NCHS developed a streamlined version of the usual final editing process for health insurance data. Thus, it is more appropriate to compare the preliminary January-June 2019 estimates to 2018 estimates that used the same streamlined editing process. The editing process for other indicators in this working paper do not differ for preliminary and final estimates.

### *Lack of Health Insurance*

- In 2018, the percentage of adults aged 18-64 who lacked health insurance at the time of interview was 13.3%. The January-June 2019 estimate with the new weight was 13.7%.
- During the fourth quarter of 2018, the production and bridge estimates for this indicator were similar (14.0% and 13.8%, respectively). This result ( $p = 0.87$ ) suggests that a questionnaire design effect is unlikely.
- The January-June 2019 estimate with the old weight (13.1%) was lower than, but not significantly different from, the January-June 2019 estimate with the new weight (13.7%). This result ( $p = 0.31$ ) suggests that the weighting adjustment did not substantially affect estimates for this indicator.

### *Public Health Plan Coverage*

- In 2018, the percentage of adults aged 18-64 who had public health plan coverage at the time of interview was 19.4%. The January-June 2019 estimate with the new weight was 20.4%.
- During the fourth quarter of 2018, the production estimate (18.6%) was higher than, but not significantly different from, the bridge estimate (17.5%). This result ( $p = 0.27$ ) suggests that a questionnaire design effect is unlikely.
- The January-June 2019 estimate using the old weight (19.1%) was 1.3 percentage points lower than the January-June 2019 estimate using the new weight (20.4%). This difference was statistically significant ( $p = 0.05$ ); estimates for this indicator were likely affected by the change in the weighting approach.

### *Private Health Insurance Coverage*

- In 2018, the percentage of adults aged 18-64 who had private health insurance coverage was 68.9%. The January-June 2019 estimate with the new weight was 67.7%.
- During the fourth quarter of 2018, the production estimate (68.7%) was 2.3 percentage points lower than the bridge estimate (71.0%). This difference was statistically significant ( $p = 0.09$ ); a questionnaire design effect is likely.
- The January-June 2019 estimate using the old weight (69.6%) was 1.9 percentage points higher than the January-June 2019 estimate using the new weight (67.7%). This difference was statistically significant ( $p = 0.03$ ); estimates for this indicator were likely affected by the change in the weighting approach.

## **Adults Living in Wireless-Only Households**

**Questionnaire changes between 2018 and 2019:** The indicator is based on responses to questions asking separately about landline and wireless telephone service. The question on landline service did not change between 2018 and 2019; in both years, respondents were asked if there was “at least one phone inside your home that is currently working and is not a cell phone.” The question on wireless service did change. In 2018, respondents were asked whether “anyone in your family has a working cellular telephone.” In 2019, the NHIS asks respondents whether they “have a working cell phone,” and if not, whether they “live with anyone who has a working cell phone.” Moreover, these questions moved from the family core to the sample adult interview. In 2018, these questions were answered by a family respondent who may or may not have been also the sample adult. As part of the questionnaire redesign, these questions are now asked in the sample adult interview. The location on the survey also changed from early in the interview to near the end of the sample adult interview.

This indicator is one of eight from the original Early Release Program and reflects sample adults who did not have a landline telephone in their home but did either have their own working cell phone or lived with someone who had a working cell phone.

**Preliminary analysis:**

- In 2018, the percentage of adults living in wireless-only households was 56.0%. The January-June 2019 estimate with the new weight was 59.2%.
- During the fourth quarter of 2018, the production estimate (57.0%) was 1.0 percentage points lower than the bridge estimate (58.0%). This comparison suggests a possible questionnaire design effect, but it was not statistically significant ( $p = 0.45$ ).
- The January-June 2019 estimates using the old and new weights were similar (59.0% and 59.2%, respectively). This result ( $p = 0.82$ ) suggests that the weighting adjustment did not substantially affect estimates for this indicator.

It should be noted that other categories of telephone ownership (e.g., landline-only, dual users, phoneless) have not yet been evaluated for possible questionnaire design effects. Significant questionnaire design effects for any of these categories could suggest related effects on the wireless-only estimate when examined as part of a percent distribution.

## Summary

As noted earlier, health policy analysts and other public health professionals are generally interested in whether there are changes over time in the official estimates for the key indicators from NHIS. That is, they will want to know whether the 2019 January-June (New Weight) estimates are higher, lower, or statistically similar to the 2018 Production estimates. Table 4 presents the magnitude of such differences for 19 of the key indicators in the NHIS Early Release Program.

However, any observed difference could be affected by the 2019 NHIS questionnaire redesign, the updated weighting approach, or both. When this occurs, it would not be appropriate to conclude that any observed difference or lack of difference reflects actual change or no change over time.

In this working paper, NCHS took a preliminary look at estimates for the key indicators that are reported as part of the NHIS Early Release Program. The extent to which the design changes impacted these key estimates was evaluated by comparing differences for the same time period (October-December 2018) when both the prior design and the test for the redesign were in the field simultaneously. Moreover, the impact of the updated weighting approach was explored by examining differences in estimates derived with the two different weighting approaches for the same data set (January-June 2019). A summary of the results of these preliminary analyses is included in Table 4.

Based on this preliminary evaluation, for five of 19 indicators, differences observed between estimates for 2018 and 2019 may be partially attributable to the NHIS questionnaire redesign: regularly experienced chronic pain; regularly had feelings of worry, nervousness, or anxiety; current electronic cigarette use; did not get needed medical care due to cost; and did not get needed mental health care due to cost.

For three of the 19 indicators, differences observed between estimates for 2018 and 2019 may be partially attributable to the updated weighting approach: public health plan coverage, current cigarette smoking, and influenza vaccination.

For one of the 19 indicators, differences observed between estimates for 2018 and 2019 may be partially attributable to both the NHIS questionnaire design and the updated weighting approach: private health insurance coverage.

For six out of the 19 indicators, neither the questionnaire redesign nor the updated weighting approach appears to have an impact: lack of health insurance, asthma episode, regularly had feelings of depression, did not take medication as prescribed to reduce costs, hospital emergency department visit, and blood pressure check.

For an additional four indicators (diagnosed hypertension, disability status, doctor visit, and adults living wireless-only households), neither the questionnaire redesign nor the updated weighting approach had a statistically significant impact, but the size of the observed differences in October-December 2018 was 1.0 percentage point or greater. The smaller sample size of the fourth-quarter estimates may have limited the ability to detect statistically significant questionnaire design effects, even with a 0.10 alpha level. Questionnaire design effects may have slightly (but not significantly) attenuated or enhanced the observed differences.

The results in this working paper are preliminary. NCHS will continue to monitor these Early Release estimates as additional data from July-December 2019 become available for quality review. In particular, with additional 2019 data, there will be increased statistical power to examine the impact of the updated weighting approach. An update to this report will be released alongside the release of the July-December 2019 NHIS Early Release estimates.

The NHIS Early Release Program releases quarterly and semiannual estimates for a small set of key health indicators. The expedited processing, analysis, and dissemination is intended to provide timely tracking of trends that may be important for programmatic and policy decisions. Some users may be less interested in the impact of the questionnaire redesign and updated weighting approach on the level of the 2019 estimates (as presented here); rather, they may be more interested in whether the shape and slope of the trend lines differ before and after the questionnaire redesign or weighting changes. NCHS intends to examine this question in future reports, especially as additional data are collected to inform the post-2019 trends.

## References

1. Olson K. Paradata for nonresponse adjustment. *The Annals of the American Academy of Political and Social Science* 645(1):142-170. 2013.
2. Valliant R, Dever JA, Kreuter F. *Practical tools for designing and weighting survey samples*. New York: Springer. 2018.

**Table 4. Change in estimates from 2018 to January-June 2019 for key indicators and summary of results from the preliminary evaluation of the impact of the 2019 National Health Interview Survey questionnaire redesign and weighting adjustments**

Indicator	2018	January-June 2019 (preliminary)	Percentage point difference	Possible questionnaire design effect?	Possible weighting effect?
<b>Health Status</b>					
Diagnosed hypertension	27.0	26.8	-0.2	Maybe	No
Asthma episode	3.5	3.4	-0.1	No	No
Regularly experienced chronic pain	20.7	20.6	-0.1	Yes	No
Regularly had feelings of worry, nervousness, or anxiety	8.6	11.0	2.4**	Yes	No
Regularly had feelings of depression	4.4	4.7	0.3	No	No
Disability status	10.2	9.2	-1.0**	Maybe	No
<b>Health Behaviors</b>					
Current cigarette smoking	13.7	14.4	0.7	No	Yes
Current electronic cigarette use	3.2	4.4	1.2**	Yes	No
<b>Health Care Access</b>					
Did not get needed medical care due to cost	6.4	7.6	1.2**	Yes	No
Did not take medication as prescribed to reduce costs	9.2	9.5	0.3	No	No
Did not get needed mental health care due to cost	2.6	4.2	1.6**	Yes	No
<b>Health Care Service Utilization</b>					
Doctor visit	84.3	85.0	0.7	Maybe	No
Hospital emergency department visit	21.3	22.0	0.7	No	No
Influenza vaccination	44.6	47.2	2.6**	No	Yes
Blood pressure check	84.6	88.1	3.5**	No	No
<b>Health Insurance Coverage (18-64 years)<sup>1</sup></b>					
Lack of health insurance	13.3	13.7	0.4	No	No
Public health plan coverage	19.4	20.4	1.0‡	No	Yes
Private health insurance coverage	68.9	67.7	-1.2	Yes	Yes
<b>Telephone Ownership</b>					
Adults living in wireless-only households	56.0	59.2	3.2**	Maybe	No

‡ $p < 0.10$ ; \* $p < 0.05$ ; \*\* $p < 0.01$

NOTES: Confidence intervals for the 2018 and January-June 2019 estimates presented in this table are available in Table 3, columns A and E. Indicators classified as “maybe” having a questionnaire design effect are those where the difference in the October-December 2018 estimates from the prior design and the redesign was 1.0 percentage point or greater, but the difference was not statistically significant.

<sup>1</sup>For the health insurance coverage indicators, the 2018 full-year estimates are preliminary estimates from the National Health Interview Survey Early Release Program, rather than final estimates. See text for further information.

SOURCE: NCHS, National Health Interview Survey, 2018 and January-June 2019