

Data table for Figure 33. Depression among adults 20 years of age and over, by age and percent of poverty level: United States, 2005–2010

Excel and PowerPoint: <http://www.cdc.gov/nchs/hus/contents2011.htm#fig33>

Age and percent of poverty level	2005–2010	
	Percent	SE
20 years and over	7.2	0.4
Below 100%	16.8	0.9
100%–199%	10.3	0.7
200%–399%	5.2	0.4
400% or more	3.7	0.4
20–44 years	7.0	0.4
Below 100%	15.2	1.0
100%–199%	9.6	1.0
200%–399%	4.9	0.6
400% or more	3.1	0.5
45–64 years	8.8	0.6
Below 100%	23.5	1.8
100%–199%	14.8	1.4
200%–399%	7.4	1.1
400% or more	4.6	0.6
65 years and over	4.4	0.4
Below 100%	10.6	1.9
100%–199%	6.8	0.9
200%–399%	2.7	0.5
400% or more	*2.1	0.6

* Estimates are considered unreliable. Data preceded by an asterisk have a relative standard error (RSE) of 20%–30%.

NOTES: SE is standard error. Data are for the civilian noninstitutionalized population. Totals include those with unknown poverty level. The nine-item Patient Health Questionnaire was used to identify persons with depression. Respondents were asked a series of questions about the frequency of symptoms of depression over the past 2 weeks. Response categories were given a score and summed across questions, yielding a total score ranging from 0 to 27. Respondents with a total score of 10 or higher were classified as having depression. For more information, see: Pratt LA, Brody DJ. Depression in the United States household population, 2005–2006. NCHS data brief no 7, Hyattsville, MD: NCHS. 2008. See Appendix II, Family income; Poverty.

SOURCE: CDC/NCHS, National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey. See Appendix I, National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey (NHANES).

References (continued from Figure 33 text)

- World Health Organization. Depression. 2009. Available from: http://www.who.int/mental_health/management/depression/definition/en/.
- Wells KB, Stewart A, Hays RD, Burnam MA, Rogers W, Daniels M, et al. The functioning and well-being of depressed patients: Results from the Medical Outcomes Study. *JAMA* 1989;262(7):914–9.
- Ebmeier KP, Donaghey C, Steele JD. Recent developments and current controversies in depression. *Lancet* 2006;367(9505):153–67.
- Keller MB. Past, present, and future directions for defining optimal treatment outcome in depression: Remission and beyond. *JAMA* 2003;289(23):3152–60.
- Wang PS, Lane M, Olfson M, Pincus HA, Wells KB, Kessler RC. Twelve-month use of mental health services in the United States: Results from the National Comorbidity Survey Replication. *Arch Gen Psychiatry* 2005;62(6):629–40.
- Kroenke K, Spitzer RL. The PHQ–9: A new depression diagnostic and severity measure. *Psychiatr Ann* 2002;32(9):1–7.
- Pratt LA, Brody DJ. Depression in the United States household population, 2005–2006. NCHS data brief, no 7. Hyattsville, MD: NCHS; 2008. Available from: <http://www.cdc.gov/nchs/data/databriefs/db07.htm>.
- Lorant V, Deliège D, Eaton W, Robert A, Philippot P, Ansseau M. Socioeconomic inequalities in depression: A meta-analysis. *Am J Epidemiol* 2003;157(2):98–112.
- Miech RA, Shanahan MJ, Elder GH Jr. Socioeconomic status and depression in life course perspective. CDE Working Paper 98–24. Madison, WI; University of Wisconsin–Madison, Center for Demography and Ecology; 1998. Available from: <http://www.ssc.wisc.edu/cde/cdewp/98-24.pdf>.