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## Allison et al, 1999

- Allison, JAMA 1999 calculated deaths attributable to overweight and obesity in 1991,
- using relative risks from six cohort studies
- combined with overweight and obesity prevalence from NHANES III
- and with mortality statistics for 1991.


## Actual causes of death paper, 2004

- Actual causes of death, JAMA 2004 calculated deaths attributable to overweight and obesity in 2000
- using the same relative risks from the same six cohort studies as Allison
- combined with overweight and obesity prevalence from NHANES 1999-2000
- and with mortality statistics for 2000.


## Calculation errors in Actual Causes of Death paper

- For five of the six cohorts, the number of deaths in 1991 was used instead of the number of deaths in 2000
- For five of the six cohorts, the prevalence of BMI < 25 was taken from NHANES III but the prevalence of higher BMI categories was taken from NHANES 99-00


## Published and recalculated numbers of overweight-attributable deaths



## Mean overweight-attributable deaths over six cohorts



## Issues - 2

- Allison 1999 used a method of calculating attributable fractions - the "partially adjusted" method - that does not fully account for confounding or effect modification
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## Population Attributable Fraction (PAF)

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{P(E) *(R R-1)}{1+(P(E) *(R R-1))} \\
& P(E)=\text { prevalence of obesity } \\
& R R=\text { unadjusted relative risk of } \\
& \text { mortality associated with obesity }
\end{aligned}
$$

## Calculating PAF when there is confounding of the exposure-outcome relation

- Weighted sum method
- "Partially-adjusted" method


## Weighted sum method

| Group | N | P(E) | RR | No. of <br> deaths | PAF | Excess <br> deaths |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| A | 1000 | .5 | 2 | 150 | .333 | 50 |
| B | 500 | .1 | 2 | 165 | .0909 | 15 |
| Sum |  |  |  |  |  | 65 |

## "Partially adjusted" method

| Group | N | P(E) | RR | No. of <br> deaths | PAF | Excess <br> deaths |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| A | 1000 | .5 | 2 | 150 | .333 | 50 |
| B | 500 | .1 | 2 | 165 | .0909 | 15 |
| Sum |  |  |  |  |  | 65 |
| Total | 1500 | .37 | 2 | 315 | .2683 | 84.5 |

## Rockhill et al, 1998

- 1998, Rockhill B, Newman B, Weinberg C. Use and misuse of population attributable fractions, Am J Pub HIth
"..Errors in estimation are common. Probably the most common error is the use of adjusted relative risks in formula 3 [formula for unadjusted RR]. The magnitude of the bias resulting from this error will depend on the degree of confounding." P. 16


## "Partially-adjusted" method

- Annual deaths attributable to obesity in the United States. JAMA. 1999; 282:1530-8.
- A simple estimate of mortality attributable to excess weight in the European Union. Eur J Clin Nutr. 2003;57:201-8.
- Actual causes of death in the United States, 2000. JAMA. 2004;291:1238-45
- Overweight, obesity, and mortality from cancer in a prospectively studied cohort of U.S. adults. N Engl J Med. 2003;348:1625-38.


## Benichou, 2001

- 2001, Benichou J, , A review of adjusted estimators of attributable risk, Stat Med
"Another natural approach based on using equation (2) [formula for unadjusted RR] and plugging in a common adjusted relative risk estimate...has been advocated but it too has been shown to yield inconsistent estimates. and accordingly, severe bias was exhibited in simulations..." p. 200


## "Partially-adjusted" method

- Calculate adjusted relative risks
- Use a PAF formula appropriate only for unadjusted relative risks
- Treat the population as a single group (no stratification)
- In general, when there is confounding, gives biased results, but degree of bias not often quantified


## Methods of Calculating Deaths Attributable to Obesity
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## Bias arising from ignoring confounding by age and sex

- Partially adjusted method overestimated excess deaths due to obesity by $17 \%$ in this hypothetical example using published relative risks, NHANES III prevalence estimates and 1991 mortality data



## Relative risks of mortality associated with obesity decrease with age

- 30-64 y $\quad$ 65-74 y $\quad$ 75+ y


Men
Women
Source: Calle et al NEJM, 1999

Target population the US:

Derivation Cohort: e.g. Framingham


Target population the US:

## Prevalence of obesity

Population attributable fraction

## Number of deaths

 attributable to obesity in target population
## Bias arising from ignoring confounding and effect modification

- Partially adjusted method overestimated excess deaths by $42 \%$ in this example when the derivation cohort had 0.4\% elderly (80+ y) and the target population had 3.4\% elderly



## Derivation cohort and the target population

- If there is effect modification, additional bias may result from using the 'partially adjusted method' when the derivation cohort differs from the target population in:
- Relative proportion of subgroups
- Probability of mortality in the non-obese
- Prevalence of obesity


## The "partially adjusted" method

- Commonly used and intuitively appealing
- Statistical literature has already documented that the partially adjusted method gives rise to bias
- Our hypothetical examples suggest bias upwards for deaths associated with obesity
- Even when this method shows little bias in a derivation cohort, the results may be biased when applied to a different population


## Why not just use the weighted sum method?

- Age and sex are not the only confounders.
- The weighted sum method requires information on the number of deaths within each subgroup information not generally available.
- An alternative PAF approach when there is confounding would require knowledge of the proportion of decedents who were obese - also information not generally available


## The "partially adjusted" method

- Attempts to solve the problem of having relative risks from one cohort combined with exposure data from a different source
- This method has already been shown in the statistical literature to lead to bias
- A different approach is needed to account for confounding and for effect modification
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