



NHANES Genetics Program Status and BSC Assistance



U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES
Centers for Disease Control and Prevention
National Center for Health Statistics

Presentation Objectives

- Recap NHANES Genetics Program Issues
- Updates
 - NHANES Genetics Program Changes - ERB approval received
 - Program Timelines for Issues to Address
 - Binning Milestones/Framework for Moving Forward
- Recap Charge to BSC from September 2011

NHANES Genetic Consent 2009-2010

- **The NHANES program** will not contact you or your family with results from these future studies. **We will describe the completed studies on our website. If you are interested in your results from any of these studies, you may call our toll-free number to request your specific results as they become available.**

- **Check a box:**
 - I agree that my blood may be kept for future studies using my genes to help understand genetic links to medical conditions, and that I will not be contacted with the results from these studies.

 - I disagree

Summary of NHANES Genetic Consent Parameters

NHANES consent for collection of DNA specimens varied slightly between surveys

	Age	Separate DNA consent	Opt-out later	Notice of DNA studies	Plan to contact with results
NH III	12+	no	no	none	—
99-02	20+	yes	yes	Newsletter phone	no
07-08	20+	yes	yes	website	no
09-10	20+	yes	yes	website	no
11-12	20+	yes	yes	website	no

All consent forms state

All health data will be kept strictly private

No identifying information may be released

Under penalty of law [Section 308(d) of the Public Health Service Act (42USC242m) and the Privacy Act of 1974]

Relevant Advances in Genetics

- With genetic technology advances, and analytic changes from candidate gene approaches to multiple SNP arrays, there is an increased potential for identifying incidental clinically relevant findings.
- These advances have led to changes in medical ethics guidance on reporting results of genetic tests on bio-banked specimens (blanket non-disclosure is not appropriate).

NHANES Genetics Program Main Issues

- ETHICAL considerations (Report of Findings) linked to
- CONSENT and stored specimens

NHANES must now address Report of Findings from genetic testing in the context of NHANES genetic consents (previously stating no plan to re-contact with genetic results), and stored specimens going back 20 years

May 2011 NHANES Genetics Program Workshop Highlights

- **Panel of experts**
 - intra/extra mural experts
 - geneticists/bioethicists
- **What** results should be reported back – are standards or guidelines available?
- **How** to determine and operationalize criteria for clinically relevant genetic findings with a dire duty to warn threshold?
- **Who** determines ROF threshold?
- **How/When** to report back?

What results should be reported back

Dire duty to warn =

clinical utility (clinically valid (relevant) + actionable)

+ serious condition ('significant implications'; 'very important to health'; 'substantial')

Supported by several current genetics research best practices and publications

How to determine and operationalize criteria for clinically relevant genetic findings with a dire duty to warn threshold?

Categorizing Potential Genetic Results

Binning by Loci - Berg. *Genetics in Medicine*
(2011)

Bin 3 - genes of unknown clinical implication

Bin 2 - variants within genes that are clinically valid but not directly actionable

Bin 1 - variants within genes that have direct clinical utility based on professional organization diagnosis and treatment guidelines

Only Bin 1 variants should be considered for reporting

Who Makes the Call on Binning the Genome?

Proposed mechanism - the Evaluation of Genomic Applications in Practice and Prevention (EGAPP)

www.egappreviews.org

Independent, nonfederal multidisciplinary expert panel charged with developing systematic, evidence-based processes for evaluating genetic tests and other applications of genomic technology

Iterative, centralized, consensus driven process

Unclear whether all Bin 1 will be reportable in NHANES direct duty to warn context

Who Makes the Call on Dire Duty to Warn?

- Medically actionable Bin1 variants that rise to the level of dire duty to warn
- Proposed Advisory Board Composition
 - Genetic clinicians
 - Research scientists
 - Bioethicists
 - Genetic epidemiologists

How/When to Disclose

One-time re-contact to inform of consent changes
re: reporting back results

- anticipate low likelihood of need to report back

- Opt-out option for future re-contact
- Opt-in participants
 - encouraged to keep NHANES informed of their current contact info

Presentation Objectives

- Recap NHANES Genetics Program Issues
- Updates
 - NHANES Genetics Program Changes - ERB approval received
 - Program Timelines for Issues to Address
 - Binning Milestones/Framework for Moving Forward
- Recap Charge to BSC from September 2011

NHANES Genetics Program Updates

NHANES Genetics Program protocol changes made incorporating these options to address report of findings (ROF) issues

- ERB approval received December 2011
- Genetics Program protocol 'unsuspended',

However, until **Binning and Dire Duty to Warn** plan implemented

- New multiple SNP array proposals cannot be accepted (Fed Register Notice through 12/2012), AND
- Analyses using Affymetrix Genome–Wide Human SNP Array 6.0 chip on hold

Program Timelines for Addressing ROF Issues

Spring 2012

- One-time re-contact to inform participants of consent changes re: reporting back results
- QA/QC of Affymetrix Genome –Wide Human SNP Array 6.0 chip data

June 2012

- 2013 Genetics Consent changes finalized

Then, dependent on implementation of Binning and Dire Duty to Warn plan:

September 2012

- Develop 2013 Genetics Program Federal Register Notice allowing clinically relevant research on genetic specimens
- NHANES runs initial Bin 1 list against Affymetrix Genome –Wide Human SNP Array 6.0 chip data

Binning Milestones/Framework for Moving Forward

December 2011

- NHGRI U01 grants awarded based on *Binning by Loci*: Berg. *Genetics in Medicine* (2011)

May 2012

- Need BSC Recommendations for any 2013 NHANES Genetics Consent changes
- EGAPP draft report describing methods for binning

Summer 2012

- BSC subcommittee review of initial Bin 1 list

Recap Charge to BSC from 9/2011

- Moving forward, should DHANES change genetics consent to report back genetics results?
- Is binning the genome a good response for NHANES re: initial guidelines for what to report back?
- Who should make the determination of which Bin 1 findings meet dire duty to warn criteria for NHANES setting
 - ? subcommittee of BSC as FACA;
 - ? NCHS technical working group (cannot serve in advisory capacity);
 - ? other
- Can we apply this model to all surplus biologic specimen projects (re: reporting back dire duty to warn findings)?

Thank You



Centers for Disease Control and Prevention
National Center for Health Statistics

SAFER • HEALTHIER • PEOPLE™

