



NHANES Genetics Program Update

September 2012



U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES
Centers for Disease Control and Prevention
National Center for Health Statistics

Presentation Objectives

- Recap Feb 2012 BSC input to NHANES Genetics Program
- Updates since Feb 2012
 - Consent research
 - DNA collection 2013-14
 - Affymetrix Genome–Wide Human SNP Array 6.0 chip binning results
 - Published guidance re: genetic research for biobanks and archived datasets
 - Exploring outreach meeting for broader comment on NHANES Genetics Program

Feb 2012 BSC input to NHANES Program

- Pre-test genetics consent changes to include the option of receiving genetic results
- Report back genome binning progress
- Formulation of BSC workgroup to determine genes meeting NHANES 'dire duty to warn' criteria
- Outreach to other organizations for broader discussion of genetics program issues raised

Presentation Objectives

- Recap Feb 2012 BSC input to NHANES Genetics Program
- Updates since Feb 2012
 - Consent research
 - DNA collection 2013-14
 - Affymetrix Genome–Wide Human SNP Array 6.0 chip binning results
 - Published guidance re: genetic research for biobanks and archived datasets
 - Exploring outreach meeting for broader comment on NHANES Genetics Program

Pre-test genetics consent changes

- Staffing losses in ORM QDRL resulted in timeline shift to late summer 2012 for pilot test of genetic consent/re-contact letter changes
- This timeline would not allow changes to 2013-14 consent documents

Presentation Objectives

- Recap Feb 2012 BSC input to NHANES Genetics Program
- Updates since Feb 2012
 - Consent research
 - DNA collection 2013-14
 - Affymetrix Genome–Wide Human SNP Array 6.0 chip binning results
 - Published guidance re: genetic research for biobanks and archived datasets
 - Exploring outreach meeting for broader comment on NHANES Genetics Program

DNA collection 2013-14

- Funding for 2013-14 DNA collection
 - The genetic specimen processing is jointly funded by NCEH and NCHS
 - Budget considerations, combined with time delays to modify the genetic consent resulted in the joint NCHS and NCEH decision to discontinue DNA specimen collection for the 2013-14 data cycle

Presentation Objectives

- Recap Feb 2012 BSC input to NHANES Genetics Program
- Updates since Feb 2012
 - Consent research
 - DNA collection 2013-14
 - Affymetrix Genome–Wide Human SNP Array 6.0 chip binning results
 - Published guidance re: genetic research for biobanks and archived datasets
 - Exploring outreach meeting for broader comment on NHANES Genetics Program

Affymetrix 6.0 Chip binning

Affymetrix Genome–Wide Human SNP Array 6.0 chip binning results

- Binning analysis of SNPs on Affy 6.0 array according to Berg et al 2011 binning algorithm
- SNPs in Affy 6.0 array schema annotated with minor allele frequencies from 1000 Genomes Project
- SNPs with allele frequencies $< 5.3\%$ annotated using NCBI dbSNP

Categorizing Potential Genetic Results

Binning by Loci - Berg. *Genetics in Medicine*
(2011)

Bin 3 - genes of unknown clinical implication

Bin 2 - variants within genes that are clinically valid but not directly actionable

Bin 1 - variants within genes that have direct clinical utility based on professional organization diagnosis and treatment guidelines

Only Bin 1 variants should be considered for reporting

Affymetrix 6.0 Chip binning continued

SNPs then binned based on genes annotated in, and then noted reportable if <5% allele frequency and

- Annotated in HGMD as disease mutation, or
- Truncating (nonsense, frameshift, splice site

Results

- No clearly reportable Bin 1 SNPs
- 3 possibly reportable SNPs

Presentation Objectives

- Recap Feb 2012 BSC input to NHANES Genetics Program
- Updates since Feb 2012
 - Consent research
 - DNA collection 2013-14
 - Affymetrix Genome–Wide Human SNP Array 6.0 chip binning results
 - **Published guidance re: genetic research for biobanks and archived datasets**
 - Exploring outreach meeting for broader comment on NHANES Genetics Program

Recommendations for biobanks conducting genetic research

Managing incidental findings and research results in genomic research involving biobanks and archived data sets. Wolf et al, Genetics in Medicine 2012; 14:361-384.

- 2-year NIH funded project analyzing the responsibilities involved in managing ROR in a biobank research setting
- Multidisciplinary working group (genomic research, biobank management, medicine, law, bioethics)
- 4 meetings held, including representation from NIH funded researchers, CLIA, ISBER, and a public conference presenting draft recommendations

Recommendations for biobanks conducting genetic research, cont'd

1. Biobank system should fulfill IF/IRR responsibilities
2. Develop explicit policy on IF/IRR return
3. Biobank **CARR** responsibilities
 - Clarify criteria for evaluating returnable findings
 - Analyze individual findings in relation to this
 - Re-identify contributor
 - Re-contact contributor to offer the finding

Recommendations for biobanks conducting genetic research, cont'd

4. Findings that are analytically valid, CLIA-certified, with substantial risk of serious health condition, and clinically actionable should generally be offered to consenting contributors
5. n/a for archived data setting
6. Maintain capacity for re-identification of contributors over an extended time period

Recommendations for biobanks conducting genetic research, cont'd

7. Anticipate how to handle recontacting contributors with IF/IRR

- Reflect in consent
- Capacity and expertise for recontact and communication of findings
- Understandable to contributor, plus information on clinical referral (genetic counseling)

8. Conduct research on preferences, experiences and outcomes of participant populations with return of IF/IRRs

Recommendations for biobanks conducting genetic research, cont'd

9. Communicate aggregate results (journals and other media accessible by participants), and consider how to respond to follow-up inquiries about the importance of aggregate findings
10. Ensure biobank guidelines and budget adequately supports responsible management of IF/IRRs

May 2011 NHANES Genetics Program Workshop Highlights

- **Panel of experts**
 - intra/extra mural experts
 - geneticists/bioethicists
- **What** results should be reported back – are standards or guidelines available?
- **How** to determine and operationalize criteria for clinically relevant genetic findings with a dire duty to warn threshold?
- **Who** determines ROF threshold?
- **How/When** to report back?

Presentation Objectives

- Recap Feb 2012 BSC input to NHANES Genetics Program
- Updates since Feb 2012
 - Consent research
 - DNA collection 2013-14
 - Affymetrix Genome–Wide Human SNP Array 6.0 chip binning results
 - Published guidance re: genetic research for biobanks and archived datasets
 - Exploring outreach meeting for broader comment on NHANES Genetics Program

Outreach meeting for broader comment on NHANES Genetics Program

Objective

- To highlight and identify the challenges and options for returning individual results from genomic research using population-based banked specimens

Conduct under the auspices of NAS/IOM

Draft workshop proposal developed

Outreach meeting for broader comment on NHANES Genetics Program, cont'd

Participants

- Must include investigators from large studies with a population/epidemiology focus which collect specimens analyzed for genomic research
- Must include bioethicists and medical geneticists

Timeline

- Conduct workshop with publication of workshop summary by summer 2013

Outreach meeting for broader comment on NHANES Genetics Program, cont'd

Session 1 Focus - Overview

- Current practices and changing recommendations for reporting back results from genomic research in population-based research studies

Outreach meeting for broader comment on NHANES Genetics Program, cont'd

Session 2 Focus - What findings are returnable

- Acceptable criteria for determining which findings are returnable, e.g. 'binning the genome'
- Acceptable procedures for determining returnable findings for population research studies, e.g. BSC subcommittee vetting of returnable findings in NHANES context
- Should non clinically-actionable conditions with reproductive implications be considered for return to participants of population research studies?
- Should consideration be given for a 'statute of limitations' for return of genomic research findings on archived specimens?

Outreach meeting for broader comment on NHANES Genetics Program, cont'd

Session 3 Focus - How to implement the return of findings to participants

- What is acceptable consent language for participants being enrolled prospectively regarding IRR?
- Does this change for participants with banked specimens who were consented under a non-return policy?
- What are acceptable procedures for reporting results to participants (e.g. in writing, by phone, expertise, etc)?
- What are acceptable modes of communicating aggregate results of genetic findings (e.g. newsletters, website, etc)?
- What is an acceptable level of response to participant requests for genetic findings with no known health or reproductive importance?

Thank You



Centers for Disease Control and Prevention
National Center for Health Statistics

SAFER • HEALTHIER • PEOPLE™

