Chronic fatigue syndrome (CFS) is a complex and serious illness that is often misunderstood. Experts have noted that the terminology “chronic fatigue syndrome” can trivialize this illness and stigmatize persons who experience its symptoms (1). The name was coined by a group of clinicians convened by CDC in the late 1980s to develop a research case definition for the illness, which, at the time, was called chronic Epstein-Barr virus syndrome. The name CFS was suggested because of the characteristic persistent fatigue experienced by all those affected and the evidence that acute or reactivated Epstein-Barr virus infection was not associated with many cases (2). However, the fatigue in this illness is striking and quite distinct from the common fatigue everyone experiences. A variety of other names have been used, including myalgic encephalomyelitis (ME), ME/CFS, chronic fatigue immune dysfunction, and most recently, systemic exertion intolerance disease (3). The lack of agreement about nomenclature need not be an impediment for advancing critically needed research and education. The term ME/CFS will be used in this article.

**ME/CFS is a Significant Public Health Problem**

Extrapolating from the three U.S. population-based studies, it is estimated that at least one million persons in the United States suffer from ME/CFS (4–6). These studies indicate that ME/CFS is three to four times more common in women than in men. Persons of all racial and ethnic backgrounds are affected; however, the illness is more prevalent in minority and socioeconomically disadvantaged groups. The highest prevalence of illness is in persons aged 40–50 years, but the age range is broad and includes children and adolescents.

ME/CFS patients, their families, and society all bear significant costs associated with this illness. These include direct medical costs for provider visits and medications and indirect costs of lost productivity. In the United States, the estimated annual cost of lost productivity ranges from 9–37 billion dollars, and for direct medical costs, ranges from 9–14 billion dollars, with nearly one quarter of direct medical expenses paid directly by patients and their families (7–9). When ME/CFS occurs in patients aged <25 years, these patients might not achieve their full educational potential, resulting in a life-long impact on their earnings (7).

ME/CFS patients have significant functional impairment as illustrated by findings from CDC’s ongoing study of patients in seven clinics of ME/CFS specialists (Figure). Functioning of ME/CFS patients, as measured by subscale scores on the 36-Item Short Form Survey (SF-36), were well below those of healthy persons except for the two subscales reflecting mental and emotional functioning. Despite the severity of their illness, ME/CFS patients face significant barriers to receiving appropriate health care. A population-based study in Georgia found that 55% of persons with ME/CFS reported at least one barrier to health care; for example, 10% had financial barriers to seeking needed health care (10). Most persons with ME/CFS identified in population surveys have been ill >5 years and only approximately half continue to seek medical care (4–6). Further, only approximately 20% received a diagnosis, emphasizing the need for more physician education about this illness.

**Clinical Approach to ME/CFS**

There is no “typical” case, but a patient history can be useful in educating physicians about ME/CFS (Box 1). This composite case history illustrates the key features of ME/CFS: significant reduction in ability to perform usual activities accompanied by profound fatigue; significant worsening of symptoms after minimal physical or mental exertion (termed postexertional malaise); unrefreshing sleep; cognitive difficulties; and orthostatic intolerance (such as dizziness and lightheadedness upon standing up). In addition, this patient experienced widespread muscle pain, joint pain, and unpredictable waxing and waning of symptoms. Persons with ME/CFS might be misunderstood because they appear healthy and often have no abnormalities on routine laboratory testing. Clinicians need to be alert to this difficulty and take the time to elicit a good history of the illness, which is critical in the differential diagnosis and can provide evidence of ME/CFS.

Clinical evaluation includes a thorough medical history, psychosocial history, complete physical examination, mental health assessment, and basic laboratory tests to screen for...
conditions that could cause symptoms similar to ME/CFS and that should be treated before attributing the illness to ME/CFS. The screening laboratory tests can include complete blood count with differential white blood cell count, sodium, potassium, glucose, blood urea nitrogen, creatinine, lactate dehydrogenase, aspartate transaminase, alanine transaminase, alkaline phosphatase, total protein, albumin, calcium, phosphorus, magnesium, thyroid stimulating hormone, free thyroxine, sedimentation rate, C-reactive protein, antinuclear antibodies, rheumatoid factor, and urinalysis (11). Patients might also have comorbid conditions such as fibromyalgia, irritable bowel and bladder, Sjögren’s syndrome, chemical sensitivities, and allergies (11). Additional tests might be clinically indicated.

**Cause or Causes of ME/CFS**

The cause or causes of ME/CFS remain unknown. Patients often report an acute onset after a flu-like illness that does not go away, and some patients have a history of frequent infections before their illness. This suggests that an infection can trigger...
the illness, though it is less clear that the ongoing chronic illness is perpetuated by an infection. Investigators have looked for, and failed to find, a single etiologic agent. However, chronic fatiguing illnesses have long been described in the medical literature following infection with several different agents. For example, a syndrome with similarities to ME/CFS occurs in approximately 10% of patients with a variety of viral and nonviral pathogens, such as Epstein-Barr Virus, Ross River Virus, Coxiella burnetti (Q fever), or Giardia (12). The severity of the acute infection was most predictive of subsequent illness, and there is no evidence of unusual persistence of infections in those who remain ill; baseline psychological profile and socioeconomic status did not predict who would become chronically ill (12). Other studies have found that, compared with healthy controls, persons with ME/CFS have had exposure to significantly more stressors (trauma and other adverse life events) and are more likely to have metabolic syndrome, as well as higher physiologic measures of neuroendocrine response to stress (allostatic load) (13). These associations are not specific to ME/CFS, because stress is a factor in many chronic illnesses. Twin and family studies support the contribution of both genetic and environmental factors in CFS (14). No single mutation or polymorphism has been found that explains most cases of the illness, and a polygenic explanation for increased susceptibility is most likely.

Treatment of ME/CFS

At this time, there are no treatments (pharmacologic or nonpharmacologic) that have been proven effective in large randomized trials and replicated by other investigators in other groups of patients with ME/CFS. Recommendations are based on expert clinical opinion and the standard clinical approach to symptom management (15). Sleep disruption and pain are the symptoms usually addressed first, and consultation with sleep or pain management specialists might be helpful. Nonpharmacologic approaches might include Epsom salt soaks, massage, acupuncture, and, most importantly, activity management. Patients should be encouraged to stay active but not too active. They need to start with very low levels of activity and escalate the levels slowly. Brief intervals of activity should be followed by adequate rest to avoid triggering relapse or flare of symptoms, a manifestation of postexertional malaise. Finally, living with a chronic illness is extremely challenging, so attention should be given to addressing depression, anxiety, and improving coping skills.

Addressing ME/CFS

Recently, three important reports about ME/CFS have been published by authoritative agencies (1). The Institute of Medicine (IOM) issued a 300-page report in which a panel of physicians and scientists reviewed nearly 9,000 published articles (3). They concluded that ME/CFS is a biologically based illness and proposed a new case definition and name (systemic exertion intolerance). The National Institutes of Health (NIH) held a Pathways to Prevention workshop, drawing similar conclusions about the biology of ME/CFS, and the Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality prepared a review of published literature on diagnosis and treatment (16,17). The IOM panel concluded that “ME/CFS is a serious, chronic, complex systemic disease that often can profoundly affect the lives of patients.” Both the IOM and NIH reports conclude that ME/CFS is not primarily a psychological illness, although it might lead to a reactive depression in some patients.
Although none of the biologic abnormalities identified in ME/CFS patients are sufficiently sensitive or specific to be used as a diagnostic test, the neurologic and immunologic abnormalities documented emphasize that patients’ symptoms are real.

In the absence of a diagnostic test, the IOM report proposes use of a new clinical case definition (Box 2). The new case definition is shorter, easier to apply consistently, and emphasizes that ME/CFS is a diagnosis to be actively made, not simply a diagnosis of exclusion. The IOM report also recommended a new name be considered for the condition: systemic exertion intolerance disease.

It is clear that more basic science research is needed. In September 2015, the NIH intramural program began developing a research protocol to study ME/CFS. The overall hypothesis is that ME/CFS is attributable to an infection that results from immune-mediated brain dysfunction in some patients with acute onset illness. Aim 1 will define the clinical phenotype based on history and physical examination, neurologic assessment, neurocognitive testing, psychiatric evaluation, infectious disease, rheumatologic and neuroendocrine evaluations, and exercise testing. Aim 2 will define the physiologic basis of postexercise fatigue and malaise using functional magnetic resonance imaging, detailed metabolic studies, transcranial magnetic stimulation, and detailed autonomic testing before and after exercise challenge. Aim 3 will determine if there are abnormal immune parameters in the blood and spinal fluid and changes in microbiome profiles. Aim 4 will determine if features of the illness can be reproduced in ex vivo studies using cells or serum from patients and a variety of novel approaches such as induced pluripotent stem cell-derived neurons. Patients will be recruited primarily from well-studied cohorts under the care of clinicians with expertise in diagnosis and management of ME/CFS.

CDC is continuing its efforts to provide evidence-based information about ME/CFS to health care professionals. In 2012 and 2013, CDC partnered with Medscape to present two roundtable discussions that were targeted to primary care physicians. These reached more than 22,000 physicians and more than 6,000 CME credits were issued. CDC provided free online courses about ME/CFS accredited for both physicians, nurses, and other health care professionals. Because the topic of ME/CFS is rarely covered in medical school courses, CDC initiated a project to develop content for the MedEd Portal, a free online service of peer-reviewed content provided by the Association of American Medical Colleges to medical school faculty. To continue communication with the general public and advocacy community, CDC introduced patient-centered outreach and communication calls. These are 1-hour teleconferences held twice a year that are available toll-free in the United States. CDC uses the first 10 minutes to give an update on current activities of the ME/CFS program, and then an outside expert or group of experts presents information on a topic of interest to the community. These are followed by answers to questions submitted to the patient-centered outreach and communication email. Topics have included exercise, infection, and immunity in ME/CFS, ME/CFS and cognitive function, sleep research and ME/CFS, Stanford’s research program, and self-management strategies in ME/CFS.
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Most recently, CDC has begun a new initiative to include broad stakeholder collaboration into developing educational materials. Including the viewpoints of patients, medical professional organizations, medical educators, expert clinicians, and government agencies will help assure the quality and usefulness of these products and facilitate broader dissemination in the medical community. With its demonstrated burden on individual patients and public health, ME/CFS should continue to be an area of active basic science and epidemiologic research, enhanced clinical diagnostic attention and training, and continued outreach, communication, and education.

**BOX 2. Institute of Medicine criteria for diagnosis of myalgic encephalomyelitis/chronic fatigue syndrome**

**Patient has each of the following three symptoms at least half of the time, to at least a moderately severe degree:**

- A substantial reduction or impairment in the ability to engage in preillness levels of occupational, educational, social, or personal activities that persists for >6 months and is accompanied by fatigue, which is often profound, is of new or definite onset (not lifelong), is not the result of ongoing excessive exertion, and is not substantially alleviated by rest.
- Postexertional malaise*
- Unrefreshing sleep*

**Plus at least one of the two following manifestations (chronic, severe):**

- Cognitive impairment*
- Orthostatic intolerance

*Frequency and severity of symptoms should be assessed. The diagnosis of myalgic encephalomyelitis/chronic fatigue syndrome should be questioned if patients do not have these symptoms at least half of the time with moderate, substantial, or severe intensity.
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