Newborn Screening Quality Assurance Program

PROFICIENCY TESTING

Toxoplasma Quarterly Report

Volume 6, No. 2

May 2010

INTRODUCTION

This report is the quarterly summary of all data reported within the specified data-reporting period for Quarter 2, 2010. The attached tables provide the certification profiles for the distributed specimens, the verification of your reported data, the statistical analysis of the quantitative data, and the frequency distribution summaries for expected interpretations. We distribute this PT report to all participants, state laboratory directors, and program colleagues by request.

On April 5, 2010, a panel of five unknown dried-blood-spot (DBS) specimens prepared from human serum positive for exposure to *Toxoplasma gondii* was distributed to two laboratories in the United States and ten laboratories in other countries.

PARTICIPANTS' RESULTS

We processed data from eleven participants. Laboratories were asked to report IgM screening results in IU/mL blood or Absorbance (OD). In the statistical summary analysis, we did not include data that were outside the 99% confidence interval.

Five laboratories reported using AutoDelfia to measure anti-*Toxoplasma* IgM: one used Delfia and five reported using "other". Two of those in the "other" category used an enzyme immunoassay method; one used a fluorescent enzyme immunoassay method; and two used a multiplexed platform. The expected anti-*Toxoplasma* IgM values were based on CDC assayed values. Overall statistics from the AutoDelfia and Delfia methods were combined (Table 1).

Results from the enzyme immunoassay methods are summarized in Table 2. The frequency distribution of participants' interpretations for screening results is shown in Table 3 and the frequency distribution of participants' interpretations for confirmatory results is shown in Table 4.

Expected interpretations (qualitative assessments) may differ by participant because of specific assessment practices. When the reported clinical assessment differs from our expected clinical assessment, the grading algorithm is used to evaluate test performance. An explanation of the grading algorithm can be found on the NSQAP data-reporting Web site or in the annual summary report. Overall, participants reported six false-negative interpretations and no false-positive interpretations. Laboratory results were evaluated on the basis of the final answers provided (screening only or confirmatory results). The median and mode cutoffs for AutoDelfia participants were 13.2 and 11.0 IU/mL blood, respectively. The mean cutoff for the enzyme immunoassay methods was 0.225, with a range from 0.100 to 0.350 OD.

Participants were asked to confirm specimens that screened above their cutoff for sorting test results that were *Toxoplasma*-antibody reactive from those that were *Toxoplasma*-antibody non-reactive. Two laboratories provided confirmatory results using EIAs for IgG or IgM.❖

The Newborn Screening Quality Assurance Program will ship next quarter's Anti-*Toxoplamsa* antibodies PT specimens on July 12, 2010.❖

CDC/APHL

This program is cosponsored by the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) and the Association of Public Health Laboratories (APHL).

Direct inquiries to:
Centers for Disease Control and Preventtion (CDC)
4770 Buford Highway, NE, MS/F43
Atlanta, GA 30341-3724

Phone : 770-488-7945
FAX: 770-488-4255
E-mail: JMei@cdc.gov

Editor : Joanne Mei
Production: Connie Singleton

CDC
CENTERS FOR DISEASE CONTROL AND PREVENTION

NEWBORN SCREENING QUALITY ASSURANCE PROGRAM

ANTI-*TOXOPLASMA* ANTIBODIES

QUARTER 2 – MAY 2010

LAB XXX

SPECIMEN CERTIFICATION - IgM

CDC ASSAYED LEVELS

Analyte	Specimen 20T1	Specimen 20T2	Specimen 20T3	Specimen 20T4	Specimen 20T5
Anti-*Toxoplasma* Immunoglobulin M CDC Mean Assayed Value (IU/mL blood)	116.1 ± 13.9	195.0 ± 16.1	45.5 ± 7.4	23.1 ± 5.3	0.0 ± 0.0

EXPECTED INTERPRETATIONS

Interpretation	Specimen 20T1	Specimen 20T2	Specimen 20T3	Specimen 20T4	Specimen 20T5
Toxoplasma Antibodies	2	2	2	2	1

1 = *Toxoplasma* antibody non-reactive 2 = *Toxoplasma* antibody reactive NE = clinical assessment not evaluated

SCREENING RESULTS - IgM DATA VERIFICATION

Analyte	Specimen 20T1		Specimen 20T2		Specimen 20T3		Specimen 20T4		Specimen 20T5	
	Result	Code	Result	Code	Result	Code	Result	Code	Result	Code
Anti-*Toxoplasma* antibodies (IU/mL blood)										

Reviewer's Comments

EVALUATION:

NEWBORN SCREENING QUALITY ASSURANCE PROGRAM

ANTI-TOXOPLASMA Antibodies

QUARTER 2 – MAY 2010

OVERALL STATISTICS – IgM

Table 1. Screening Results – Delfia Methods

Specimen	N	Outliers**	Mean (IU/mL blood)	UL (95%)	LL (95%)
20T1	6	0	121.3	142.8	99.8
20T2	6	0	190.5	233.1	147.9
20T3	6	0	54.8	62.1	47.5
20T4	6	0	24.3	34.1	14.6
20T5	6	0	0.0	0.0	0.0

** Outliers are not included in N. UL = upper limit LL = lower limit

Table 2. Screening Results – Enzyme Immunoassay Methods

Specimen	N	Outliers**	Mean (OD)	UL (95%)	LL (95%)
20T1	2	0	0.261	0.502	0.020
20T2	2	0	0.674	1.187	0.161
20T3	2	0	0.260	0.349	0.171
20T4	2	0	0.196	0.297	0.094
20T5	2	0	0.123	0.357	0.000

** Outliers are not included in N. UL = upper limit LL = lower limit

Table 3. Frequency Distribution of Participants' Interpretations*
SCREENING RESULTS

Specimen	*Toxoplasma* antibody non-reactive	*Toxoplasma* antibody reactive
20T1	3	8
20T2	2	9
20T3	1	10
20T4	3	8
20T5	11	0

*All Methods

Table 4. Frequency Distribution of Participants' Interpretations
CONFIRMATORY RESULTS

Specimen	*Toxoplasma* antibody non-reactive	*Toxoplasma* antibody reactive
20T1	0	2
20T2	0	2
20T3	0	2
20T4	0	2
20T5	2	0

This ***NEWBORN SCREENING QUALITY ASSURANCE PROGRAM*** report is an internal publication distributed to program participants and selected program colleagues. The laboratory quality assurance program is a project cosponsored by the **Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) and the Association of Public Health Laboratories.**

CENTERS FOR DISEASE CONTROL AND PREVENTION (CDC)
ATLANTA, GA 30341

Director
Thomas R. Frieden, M.D., M.P.H.
Acting Director
National Center for Environmental Health
Henry Falk, M.D., M.P.H.
Director
Division of Laboratory Sciences
Eric J. Sampson, Ph.D.
Chief
Newborn Screening and Molecular Biology Branch
Carla Cuthbert, Ph.D.
Chief Emeritus
Newborn Screening and Molecular Biology Branch
W. Harry Hannon, Ph.D.

Contributors:
Barbara W. Adam
Carol Bell
Dana Chafin
Paul Dantonio
Victor R. De Jesus, Ph.D.
Marie C. Earley, Ph.D.
Elizabeth M. Hall
L. Omar Henderson, Ph.D.
Sharon Kerr
Francis Lee, Ph.D.
Lixia Li, Ph.D.
Timothy Lim, Ph.D.
Zuzheng (Roy) Luo
Joanne Mei, Ph.D.
Nancy Meredith
Hien Nguyen
Shannon O'Brien
David Simms
Sherri Stevens
Robert Vogt, Ph.D.
Golriz Yazdanpanah
Hui Zhou, Ph.D.

Production:
Sarah Brown
Felicia Manning
Teresa Moore
Connie Singleton

ASSOCIATION OF PUBLIC HEALTH LABORATORIES
SILVER SPRING, MD 20910

President
Susan U. Neill, Ph.D., M.B.A.
Chairman, Newborn Screening and Genetics in Public Health Committee
Cheryl Hermerath, M.B.A., DLM(ASCP), RM(NRCM)
Chairman, Newborn Screening Quality Assurance Quality Control Subcommittee
Gary Hoffman, B.S.

INQUIRIES TO:

Carol Bell, Editor • Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC)
Newborn Screening Quality Assurance Program • Mailstop F-43
4770 Buford Highway, N.E. • Atlanta, GA 30341-3724
Phone (770) 488-4582 • FAX (770) 488-4255 • E-mail: CBell@cdc.gov