
Newborn Screening Quality Assurance Program

anti-HIV-1 Antibodies in Dried Blood Spots Proficiency Testing Program (HIVPT)

In co-sponsorship with Association of Public Health Laboratories (APHL)
Provided by the Newborn Screening and Molecular Biology Branch
Centers for Disease Control and Prevention
4770 Buford Highway NE, MS/F19
Atlanta, GA 30341-3724
Email: NSQAPDMT@cdc.gov

Quarterly Report
Issued: March 1, 2019

Report Authorization

This report has been reviewed and authorized by Dr. Joanne Mei, Laboratory Chief, Newborn Screening Quality Assurance Program.

Confidentiality Statement

NSQAP participant information and evaluations are strictly confidential and shared only with individual participants, unless written authorization for release is received.

Introduction

This report summarizes data collected within the specified period for the Quarter 1, 2019, anti-HIV-1 Antibodies in dried blood spots (DBS) PT event. It is distributed to all participants, state laboratory directors, and program colleagues by request. The tables within this report provide certification profiles and distribution information for the HIVPT specimen panel, reported screening methods, confirmatory methods, and final interpretations. An evaluation of your submitted data is attached to this summary.

Certification of PT Specimens

Method and laboratory performance are evaluated by challenging participants with DBS specimens representing HIV-negative and positive serostatuses. Anti-HIV-1 Antibody screening and confirmatory tests should identify all HIV-positive specimens, regardless of subtype. Expected screening and confirmatory results, and final clinical assessments, are provided in Table 1.

Table 1. Expected Results – EIA (OD), Western Blot (Band Detection) and Final Interpretation

EIA – Avioq HIV-1 Microelisa System; Western Blot—Genetic Systems HIV-1 WB (Bio-Rad)

Specimen	OD	gp160	gp120	p65	p55/51	gp41	p40	p31	p24	p18	Final Interpretation
11941	0.099	N	N	N	N	WP	N	N	N	N	Non-reactive
11942	0.096	N	N	N	N	WP	N	N	N	N	Non-reactive
11943	2.974	P	WP	P	WP	P	WP	P	P	WP	Reactive
11944	1.600	P	N	N	WP	WP	P	N	P	P	Reactive
11945	0.102	N	N	N	N	WP	N	N	N	N	Non-reactive

Western Blot Band Detection

N = Negative

WP = Weak positive

P = Positive

Distribution of PT Specimens

On January 15, 2019 a PT panel of five individual DBS specimens was distributed to 12 domestic laboratories and 16 international laboratories.

Participant Results

Screening Data

We received data from 22 of the 28 participating laboratories by the designated reporting deadline. Each participant was asked to analyze the specimens for anti-HIV-1 Antibodies with the assay schemes they routinely use. Data submission included screening results, any confirmatory results performed based on presumptive positive screening results, and the analytic methods used for all testing.

Table 2 shows the number of laboratories using enzyme immunoassay (EIA) screening methods/kits both for the primary and secondary methods. Table 3 provides the overall statistics for the screening EIA methods where $N \geq 3$.

Table 2. Number of EIA Screening Methods Reported; Includes Primary and Secondary Methods

Method	Kit Source	Primary*	Secondary
11	In House	3	-
40	Avioq HIV-1 Microelisa System	5	-
43	Murex® HIV-1.2.0 Diasorin	3	-
-	Other	8	6
-	<i>Total</i>	19	6

*Three laboratories did not report EIA data and reported final interpretations based on a Western Blot confirmatory test.

Table 3. Overall Statistics Screening Method (N≥3)

Method: Avioq HIV-1 Microelisa System (N=5)

Statistics	Specimen 11941	Specimen 11942	Specimen 11943	Specimen 11944	Specimen 11945
Mean	0.101	0.103	3.039	2.152	0.125
SD	0.011	0.019	0.432	0.335	0.023

Method: Murex® HIV-1.2.0. Diasorin (N=3)

Statistics	Specimen 11941	Specimen 11942	Specimen 11943	Specimen 11944	Specimen 11945
Mean	0.159	0.143	4.584	4.788	0.187
SD	0.070	0.086	3.728	3.586	0.079

Confirmatory Data

Thirteen laboratories reported using Western Blot (WB) antibody analysis as either a screening or confirmatory method in the detection of anti-HIV-1 antibodies. Table 4 shows the number of laboratories using each WB kit source. Table 5 shows the Reported Frequency of Bands by WB for each of the PT specimens that tested positive.

Table 4. Western Blot Confirmatory Methods Reported

Method	Kit Source	Secondary
16	Genetic Systems HIV-1 WB Kit (Bio-Rad)	6
36	New LAV Blot I (Bio-Rad)	3
-	Other	4
-	<i>Total</i>	13

Table 5. Frequency of Western Blot Bands for Reactive Specimens (All Methods)

Total Number of Laboratories Finding Reactive Bands (13) for Specimen 11943

Interpretation	gp160	gp120	gp66	gp55/51	gp41	p40	p31	p24	p18
Positive	13	12	12	10	12	3	12	12	0
Weak Positive	0	1	1	2	1	2	1	1	4
Negative	0	0	0	1	0	8	0	1	6
Indeterminate	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2

Total Number of Laboratories Finding Reactive Bands (13) for Specimen 11944

Interpretation	gp160	gp120	gp66	gp55/51	gp41	p40	p31	p24	p18
Positive	12	2	0	8	2	4	0	13	12
Weak Positive	1	7	3	2	7	4	1	0	1
Negative	0	4	9	3	2	5	10	0	0
Indeterminate	0	0	1	0	2	0	2	0	0

Final Interpretations

A final interpretation for each specimen must be submitted to receive an evaluation. Table 6 provides the frequency of all participant interpretations.

Table 6. Frequency Distribution of Final Interpretations (22 Laboratories)

Specimen Number	Expected Value	Non-reactive	Reactive	Indeterminate
11941	N	22	0	0
11942	N	21	0	1
11943	R	0	22	0
11944	R	1	21	0
11945	N	22	0	0

Evaluations

Overall, participants reported one False-negative result and no False-positive results.

Future Shipments

The Newborn Screening Quality Assurance Program will ship next quarter’s PT specimens on June 25, 2019.

The content of this report may also be located on our website at:

https://www.cdc.gov/labstandards/nsqap_reports.html

This *NEWBORN SCREENING QUALITY ASSURANCE PROGRAM* report is an internal publication distributed to program participants and selected program colleagues. The laboratory quality assurance program is a project cosponsored by the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) and the Association of Public Health Laboratories.

CENTERS FOR DISEASE CONTROL AND PREVENTION (CDC) ATLANTA, GA 30341

Director

Robert R. Redfield, M.D.

Director

National Center for Environmental Health

Patrick Breysse, Ph.D.

Director

Division of Laboratory Sciences

James L. Pirkle, M.D., Ph.D.

Chief

Newborn Screening and Molecular Biology Branch

Carla Cuthbert, Ph.D.

Contributors

Carter Asef, BS

Nicole Baird, Ph.D

John Bernstein, MS

Quan Bui, MS

Suzanne Cordovado, Ph.D

Paul Dantonio, MS

Katherine Duneman, MS

Sharon Flores, MS

Christopher Greene, Ph.D

Elizabeth Hall, BS

Laura Hancock, MS

Christopher Haynes, Ph.D

Jessica Hendricks, MS

Miyono Hendrix, MS

Laura C. Hildreth, BS

Deborah Koontz, Ph.D

Francis Lee, Ph.D

LiXia Li, Ph.D

Tim Lim, Ph.D

Daniel Mandel, Ph.D

Joanne Mei, Ph.D

Kristina Mercer, Ph.D

Stanimila Nikolova, Ph.D

Gyliann Pena, BS

Kostas Petritis, Ph.D

C. Austin Pickens, Ph.D

Blanche Temate, Ph.D

E. Shannon Torres, Ph.D

Robert Vogt, Ph.D

Irene Williams, MS

Sophia Winchester, BS

Golriz Yazdanpanah, MS

Sherri Zobel, BS

Production

Vinay Anumula, MS

Kizzy Stewart

Joy Pressley

ASSOCIATION OF PUBLIC HEALTH LABORATORIES SILVER SPRING, MD 20910

President

Joanne Bartkus, PhD

Chairman, Newborn Screening and Genetics in Public Health Committee

Michele Caggana, Sc.D., FACMG

Chairman, Newborn Screening Quality Assurance Quality Control Subcommittee

Patricia R. Hunt, B.A. and Joseph Orsini, Ph.D.

Chairman, Newborn Screening Molecular Subcommittee

Rachel Lee, Ph.D.

INQUIRIES TO:

Irene Williams, Editor

Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC), Newborn Screening Quality Assurance Program

Mailstop F-24, 4770 Buford Highway, N.E., Atlanta, GA 30341-3724

E-mail: NSQAPDMT@cdc.gov