Welcome to Advances in Sepsis: Protecting Patients Throughout the Lifespan

The audio for today's conference will be coming through your computer speakers. Please ensure your speakers are turned on and the volume up.





Continuing Education Information

ACCREDITATION STATEMENTS:

CME: The Centers for Disease Control and Prevention is accredited by the Accreditation Council for Continuing Medical Education (ACCME[®]) to provide continuing medical education for physicians.

 The Centers for Disease Control and Prevention designates this enduring activity for a maximum of (1) AMA PRA Category 1 Credits[™]. Physicians should claim only the credit commensurate with the extent of their participation in the activity.

CNE: The Centers for Disease Control and Prevention is accredited as a provider of Continuing Nursing Education by the American Nurses Credentialing Center's Commission on Accreditation.

• This activity provides (1) contact hours.

CEU: The Centers for Disease Control and Prevention is authorized by IACET to offer (**0.1**) CEU's for this program.

CECH: Sponsored by the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, a designated provider of continuing education contact hours (CECH) in health education by the National Commission for Health Education Credentialing, Inc. This program is designated for Certified Health Education Specialists (CHES) and/or Master Certified Health Education Specialists (MCHES) to receive up to (1) total Category I continuing education contact hours. Maximum advanced level continuing education contact hours available are 0. CDC provider number **98614**.

Continuing Education Information

ACCREDITATION STATEMENTS:



- **CPE**: The Centers for Disease Control and Prevention is accredited by the Accreditation Council for Pharmacy Education as a provider of continuing pharmacy education.
- This program is a designated event for pharmacists to receive (1) Contact Hours in pharmacy education.
 The Universal Activity Number is 0387-0000-16-193-L05-P.
- Category: This activity has been designated as Knowledge-Based.
- Once credit is claimed, an unofficial statement of credit is immediately available on TCEOnline. Official credit will be uploaded within 60 days on the NABP/CPE Monitor.

For Certified Public Health Professionals (CPH)

- The Centers for Disease Control and Prevention is a pre-approved provider of Certified in Public Health (CPH) recertification credits and is authorized to offer (1) CPH recertification credits for this program.
- CDC is an approved provider of CPH Recertification Credits by the National Board of Public Health Examiners. Effective October 1, 2013, the National Board of Public Health Examiners (NBPHE) accepts continuing education units (CEU) for CPH recertification credits from CDC. Please select CEU as your choice for continuing education when registering for a course on TCEOnline. Learners seeking CPH should use the guidelines provided by the NBPHE for calculating recertification credits. For assistance please contact NBPHE at <u>http://www.NBPHE.org</u>.

Continuing Education Disclosure Statement

DISCLOSURE: In compliance with continuing education requirements, all presenters must disclose any financial or other associations with the manufacturers of commercial products, suppliers of commercial services, or commercial supporters as well as any use of unlabeled product(s) or product(s) under investigational use.

CDC, our planners, presenters, and their spouses/partners wish to disclose they have no financial interests or other relationships with the manufacturers of commercial products, suppliers of commercial services, or commercial supporters. Planners have reviewed content to ensure there is no bias.

Content will not include any discussion of the unlabeled use of a product or a product under investigational use.

CDC did not accept commercial support for this continuing education activity.

Continuing Education Information

ACTIVITY DESCRIPTION:

This webinar will discuss sepsis in acute settings, septic shock guidelines for pediatrics, as well as sepsis in post-acute and long-term care settings.

OBJECTIVES:

- Describe infection control techniques that reduce the risk and spread of healthcare- associated infections (HAI).
- Identify unsafe practices that place patients at risk for HAIs.
- Describe best practices for infection control and prevention in daily practice in healthcare settings.
- Apply standards, guidelines, best practices, and established processes related to safe and effective medication use.



Advances in Sepsis: Protecting Patients Throughout the Lifespan

Abbigail Tumpey, MPH, CHES Associate Director for Communications Science, Division of Healthcare Quality Promotion

September 13, 2016



Featured Speakers

Anthony Fiore, MD, MPH

Chief, Epidemiology Research And Innovations Branch, CDC's Division of Healthcare Quality Promotion

Overview of CDC's sepsis Vital Signs report

Mitchell Levy, MD, MCCM, FCCP

Professor of Medicine and Chief, Division of Critical Care, Pulmonary, and Sleep Medicine, Brown University

Founding member, Surviving Sepsis Campaign

Sepsis in acute care settings

The findings and conclusions in this report are those of the author and do not necessarily represent the official position of the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention.





Featured Speakers

Joseph A. Carcillo, MD

Assistant Professor, Department of Critical Care Medicine & Pediatrics, Children's Hospital of Pittsburg

• Septic shock guidelines for pediatrics

Susan M. Levy, MD, CMD

President, AMDA - The Society for Post-Acute and Long Term Care Medicine

Sepsis in post-acute and long-term care settings

The findings and conclusions in this report are those of the author and do not necessarily represent the official position of the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention.





Before We Get Started...

To submit a question:

- Use the "Chat" window, located on the lower left-hand side of the webinar screen.
- Questions will be addressed at the end of the webinar, as time allows.

To ask for help:

• Please press the "Raise Hand" button, located on the top lefthand side of the screen.

To hear the audio:

 Please ensure your speakers are turned on and the volume up - the audio for today's conference should be coming through your computer speakers.



Making Health Care Safer

Think sepsis. Time matters.

Vit[™]lsigns[™]

Anthony Fiore, MD MPH

Chief, Epidemiology Research And Innovations Branch Division of Healthcare Quality Promotion



National Center for Emerging and Zoonotic Infectious Diseases Division of Healthcare Quality Promotion

CDC Vital Signs Report

- Vital Signs report found that:
 - Sepsis begins outside of the hospital for nearly 80% of patients.
 - 7 in 10 patients with sepsis had recently interacted with healthcare providers or had chronic diseases requiring frequent medical care.
- Vital Signs report demonstrates that there are opportunities to better prevent infections and recognize sepsis early to save lives.
 - Providers should talk to their patients about infections and sepsis, how infections that can lead to sepsis can be prevented or recognized early, and what to do when an infection is not getting better.

Epidemiology of Sepsis

- Sepsis most often occurs in people:
 - Over the age of 65, or infants less than one year of age.
 - With chronic diseases (such as diabetes) or weakened immune systems.
- Sepsis is most often associated with infections of the lung, urinary tract, skin, or gut.
- Common germs that cause sepsis are Staphylococcus aureus,
 E. coli, and some types of Streptococcus.
- Even healthy people can develop sepsis from an infection, especially if it is not treated properly.

What Can Healthcare Providers do?

Sepsis Prevention

Healthcare providers are key to preventing infections and illnesses that can lead to sepsis.

EDUCATE patients and their families about the early symptoms of severe infection and sepsis, and when to seek care for an infection, especially those at higher risk. **REMIND** patients that taking care of chronic illnesses helps prevent infections. **ENCOURAGE** infection prevention measures, such as hand hygiene and vaccination against infections.

Sepsis Recognition and Treatment

- Think sepsis by knowing sepsis signs and symptoms to identify and treat patients early.
- Act fast if sepsis is suspected.
- Reassess patient management and antibiotic therapy.



Thank You

Contact Information

Anthony Fiore, MD, MPH

Branch Chief, Epidemiology Research and Innovations Branch Division of Healthcare Quality Promotion Email: abf4@cdc.gov

For more information, please contact Centers for Disease Control and Prevention

1600 Clifton Road NE, Atlanta, GA 30333 Telephone: 1-800-CDC-INFO (232-4636)/TTY: 1-888-232-6348 Visit: www.cdc.gov | Contact CDC at: 1-800-CDC-INFO or www.cdc.gov/info

The findings and conclusions in this report are those of the authors and do not necessarily represent the official position of the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention.



National Center for Emerging and Zoonotic Infectious Diseases Division of Healthcare Quality Promotion

Sepsis in Acute Care

Mitchell M. Levy MD, FCCM Professor of Medicine Chief, Division of Pulmonary, Sleep, and Critical Care Warren Alpert Medical School of Brown University Providence, RI



Surviving Sepsis · . Campaign •



None







- Where have we been?
- Where are we now?
- Where are we going?





Where Have We Been?







Surviving Sepsis Campaign: International Guidelines for Management of Severe Sepsis and Septic Shock: 2012

R Phillip Delllinger MD¹; Mitchell M. Levy MD², Andrew Rhodes MD BS³; Djillali Annane MD⁴; Herwig Gerlach MD PhD⁵; Steven M. Opal MD⁶; Jonathan E. Sevransky MD⁷; Charles L. Sprung MD⁸; Ivor S. Douglas MD⁹; Roman Jaeschke MD¹⁰; Tiffany M. Osborn MD MPH¹¹; Mark E. Nunnally MD¹²; Sean R. Townsend MD¹³; Konrad Reinhart MD¹⁴; Ruth M. Kleinpell PhD RN-CS¹⁵; Derek C. Angus MD MPH¹⁶, Clifford S. Deutschman MD MS¹⁷; Flavia R. Machado MD PhD¹⁸, Gordon Dr. Rubenfeld MD¹⁹; Steven A. Webb MB BS PhD²⁰; Richard J. Beale MB BS²¹; Jean-Louis Vincent MD PhD²²; Rui Moreno MD PhD²³; and the Surviving Sepsis Campaign Guidelines Committee including the Pediatric Subgroup*

> Critical Care Med. 2013 Feb;41(2):580-637 Intensive Care Med. 2013 Feb;39(2):165-228





The Impact of Sepsis Performance Measures

Data





ORIGINAL

Intensive Care Med DOI 10.1007/s00134-014-3496-0

Mitchell M. Levy Andrew Rhodes Gary S. Phillips Sean R. Townsend Christa A. Schorr Richard Beale Tiffany Osborn Stanley Lemeshow Jean-Daniel Chiche Antonio Artigas R. Phillip Dellinger Surviving Sepsis Campaign: association between performance metrics and outcomes in a 7.5-year study

Critical Care Medicine

www.ccmjournal.org

Surviving Sepsis Campaign: Association Between Performance Metrics and Outcomes in a 7.5-Year Study

Mitchell M. Levy, MD, FCCM¹; Andrew Rhodes, MB BS, MD (Res)²; Gary S. Phillips, MAS³; Sean R. Townsend, MD⁴; Christa A. Schorr, RN, MSN⁵; Richard Beale, MB BS⁶; Tiffany Osborn, MD, MPH⁷; Stanley Lemeshow, PhD⁸; Jean-Daniel Chiche, MD⁹; Antonio Artigas MD, PhD¹⁰; R. Phillip Dellinger, MD, FCCM¹¹

<u>SSC Final Report of Phase III</u> <u>7.5 year analysis</u>

Effects: Participation Duration Dose



Levy MM et al; CCM 2015;43:3-12



SSC Mortality: Participation effect



Surviving Sepsis ·· . Campaign •

Levy MM et al; CCM 2015;43:3-12



SSC Duration Effect



- The adjusted odds of hospital mortality is decreasing 1% per site quarter (p = 0.005)
- The <u>longer</u> a site participated, the <u>greater the</u> <u>associated</u> <u>mortality reduction</u>

Department of Medicine

<u>**Dose</u>** Effect: High vs. Low Compliance</u>

Characteristic	Low resuscitation compliance			High resuscitation compliance			Total			D -
	Total	Died	Percen t	Total	Died	Percent	Total	Died	Percent	value ¹
Overall	11,609	4,475	38.6	17,861	5,185	29.0	29,47 0	9,660	32.8	< 0.001
Location of severe sepsis identification										< 0.001
ED	5,984	1,850	30.9	10,465	2,421	23.1	16,44 9	4,271	26.0	
Ward	3,970	1,800	45.3	5,532	2,032	36.7	9,502	3,832	40.3	
ICU	1,655	825	49.8	1,864	732	39.3	3,519	1,557	44.2	
Site duration										< 0.001
< 2 years	4,960	1,896	38.2	3,352	992	29.6	8,312	2,888	34.7	
2 to < 3 years	1,611	600	37.2	6,557	1,895	28.9	8,168	2,495	30.5	
≥ 3 years	5,038	1,979	39.3	7,952	2,298	28.9	12,99 0	4,277	32.9	

Surviving Sepsis · . Campaign •

Levy MM et al; CCM 2015;43:3-12



Multicenter Implementation of a Severe Sepsis and Septic Shock Treatment Bundle



Am J Respir Crit Care Med Vol 188, Iss. 1, pp 77-82, Jul 1, 2013

Surviving Sepsis ...

Campaign •





Hospital Mortality

All-or-none total bundle compliance

Am J Respir Crit Care Med Vol 188, Iss. 1, pp 77-82, Jul 1, 2013

A. Among **all subjects**, mortality (circles) decreased while all-or-none total bundle compliance (squares) *increased* over time. 95% statistical process control limits are represented by dashed lines.

B. Among only subjects with **septic shock**, mortality (circles) *decreased* while all-or-none total bundle compliance (squares) *increased* over time.

95% statistical process control limits are represented by dashed lines.

2004	2010
21.2%	8.7%
4.9%	73.4%

Published Data from SSC: 2006-2015

- All prospective cohort studies
- 60 published reports in peer-reviewed journals
- All demonstrated:
 - Increased compliance
 - Associated decreased mortality

- United States (25)
- Spain (1)
- France (1)
- Germany (1)
- Portugal (1)
- Netherlands (2)
- UK (2)
- China (3)
- Iceland (1)
- Korea (1)
- Pan-Asia (1)
- Latin America (1)





Systematic Review of Sepsis Bundles



S

col of

Where are We Now?





The Increased Focus on Sepsis

- Sepsis
 - 1,665,000 cases of sepsis diagnosed in US in 2009
 - Principal diagnosis in 2% of all admissions
 - Single most expensive condition treated in the US. (\$20.3B in 2011)
 - The inpatient mortality rate from sepsis is 8 times higher than the overall US inpatient mortality rate





Table 2. Ten conditions with the most all-cause, 30-day readmissions for Medicare patients (aged 65 years and older), listed by total number of readmissions in descending order, 2011

	Number of r	readmissions	Cost of read		
Principal diagnosis for index hospital stay*	Number of all-cause, 30-day readmissions	Readmissions as a percentage of total Medicare readmissions	Total cost of all-cause, 30-day readmissions (in millions), \$	Readmission total cost as a percentage of total costs of Medicare readmissions	Readmission rate (per 100 admissions)
Congestive heart failure; nonhypertensive	134,500	7.3	1,747	7.3	24.5
Septicemia (except in labor)	92,900	5.1	1,410	5.9	21.3
Pneumonia (except that caused by tuberculosis or sexually transmitted disease)	88,800	4.8	1,148	4.8	17.9
Chronic obstructive pulmonary disease and bronchiectasis	77,900	4.2	924	3.8	21.5
Cardiac dysrhythmias	69,400	3.8	835	3.5	16.2
Urinary tract infections	56,900	3.1	621	2.6	18.1
Acute and unspecified renal failure	53,500	2.9	683	2.8	21.8
Acute myocardial infarction	51,300	2.8	693	2.9	19.8
Complication of device; implant or graft	47,200	2.6	742	3.1	19.0
Acute cerebrovascular disease	45,800	2.5	568	2.4	14.5
Total	718,100	39.1	9,371	39.0	19.6

* Clinical Classifications Software (CCS) label

Surviving Sepsis · . Campaign •

HCUP (AHRQ) April 2014

The Warren Alpert Medical School of Brown University Department of Medicine

https://www.hcup-us.ahrq.gov/reports/statbriefs/sb172-Conditions-Readmissions-Payer.pdf

Where is Sepsis Diagnosed in Acute Care Settings?





Outcomes of the Surviving Sepsis Campaign in intensive care units in the USA and Europe: a prospective cohort study

Mitchell M Levy, Antonio Artigas, Gary S Phillips, Andrew Rhodes, Richard Beale, Tiffany Osborn, Jean-Louis Vincent, Sean Townsend, Stanley Lemeshow, R Phillip Dellinger

	USA	Europe	p value*
Count	18766 (74.0%)	6609 (26.0%)	
Origin			<0.0001
Emergency department	12 218 (65·1%)	2159 (32·7%)	
Ward	4763 (25·4%)	3405 (51·5%)	
ICU	1785 (9.5%)	1045 (15.8%)	



Lancet Infect Dis 2012; 12: 919–24



Outcomes of the Surviving Sepsis Campaign in intensive care units in the USA and Europe: a prospective cohort study

Mitchell M Levy, Antonio Artigas, Gary S Phillips, Andrew Rhodes, Richard Beale, Tiffany Osborn, Jean-Louis Vincent, Sean Townsend, Stanley Lemeshow, R Phillip Dellinger

	USA	Europe	p value*
Count	18766 (74·0%)	6609 (26.0%)	
Hospital mortality if origin is emergency department	3008 (24·6%)	736 (34·1%)	<0.0001
Hospital mortality if origin is ward	1661 (34·9%)	1481 (43.5%)	<0.0001
Hospital mortality if origin is ICU	644 (36·1%)	502 (48.0%)	<0.0001



Lancet Infect Dis 2012; 12: 919–24



SSC Wards Collaborative (n = 1749)



The odds of mortality decrease 4% per month (OR = 0.96, 95% CI: 0.94 – 0.98, *p*-value = 0.002)

The odds of ICU admission decrease 3% per month (OR = 0.97, 95% CI: 0.95 - 0.99, p-value = 0.004)

Surviving Sepsis ·· Campaign


Compliance with 3 hr Bundle Over Time



The odds of compliance with the 3-hour bundle increase 9% per month (OR = 1.09, 95% CI: 1.07 – 1.12, p-value < 0.001)

Surviving Sepsis

Campaign •



Sepsis: Where are We Going?





Where are we Going?

- Increased attention to sepsis:
 - -Public
 - -Governments
- Are we Doing enough?





The New York Times

ABOUT NEW YORK An Infection, Unnoticed, Turns Unstoppable



Rory Staunton taking his first flying lesson in 2011.

By JIM DWYER Published: July 11, 2012 | 📮 1659 Comments

For a moment, an emergency room doctor stepped away from the common fixed process and species to his







New York State Regulation: Mandated Reporting

- Amendments to existing hospital regulations
- Apply to acute care hospitals only
- Required hospitals to:
 - implement a sepsis protocol
 - train staff in its use
 - report data to the NYS DOH (adherence and RA mortality)
- Reporting began April 2014
- Data Analysis: Nov 2016





Percentile Benchmarks for 3 & 6-Hour Bundle











SEP-1: First National Core Measure on Sepsis Care





SEP-1

TO BE COMPLETED WITHIN 3 HOURS OF TIME OF PRESENTATION † :

- 1. Measure lactate level
- 2. Obtain blood cultures prior to administration of antibiotics
- 3. Administer broad spectrum antibiotics
- Administer 30ml/kg crystalloid for hypotension or lactate ≥4mmol/L
- "time of presentation" is defined as the time of earliest chart annotation consistent with all elements severe sepsis or septic shock ascertained through chart review.

Surviving Sepsis · . Campaign •



SEP-1

TO BE COMPLETED WITHIN 6 HOURS OF TIME OF PRESENTATION:

- Apply vasopressors (for hypotension that does not respond to initial fluid resuscitation) to maintain a mean arterial pressure (MAP) ≥65mmHg
- In the event of persistent hypotension after initial fluid administration (MAP < 65 mm Hg) or if initial lactate was ≥4 mmol/L, re-assess volume status and tissue perfusion and document findings according to table 1.
- 7. Re-measure lactate if initial lactate elevated.





SEP-1

TABLE 1

DOCUMENT REASSESSMENT OF VOLUME STATUS AND TISSUE PERFUSION WITH:

- Either
 - Repeat focused exam (after initial fluid resuscitation) by licensed independent practitioner including vital signs, cardiopulmonary, capillary refill, pulse and skin findings

• Or two of the following:

- Measure CVP
- Measure ScvO2
- Bedside cardiovascular ultrasound
- Dynamic assessment of fluid responsiveness with passive leg raise or fluid challenge





So, Where are We Now?

- Published studies demonstrate wide practice variation
 - Poor compliance with known quality indicators
 - Clinicians benefit from being reminded
 - There is benefit from standardization
- It is feasible to use data to audit and change clinical behavior
 - Performance metrics can change clinical practice
- Increased compliance with performance metrics is associated with improved survival





So, Where are We Now?

- Patients with severe sepsis or septic shock on the medical wards have a higher rate of mortality than their counterparts identified in the ER, likely due to delays in recognition and treatment.
 - Action is required
- Its is possible to institute nursing driven, every-shift screening on the medical wards
- Early identification and management on the wards is associated with improved survival





Conclusions: Managing Sepsis in Acute Care

- Early identification
 - Use lactate to risk assess
- Aggressive source control
- Blood cultures
- Rapid administration of appropriate antibiotics
- Early, aggressive resuscitation
 - Utilize some monitoring technique as target





Thank You





Pediatric Sepsis Guidelines

Centers for Disease Control and Prevention Webinar Dr. Joseph A. Carcillo M.D. University of Pittsburgh with some slides provided by CHA-AAP IPSO Initiative



Infection with Fever

scarlet fever strep throat Many 1000s of children per year with infection that gets better in a few days but

DO NOT FORGET THE POWER OF EDUCATING CHILDREN AND PARENTS



INFECTION

- Hippocrates:
 - Breakdown of living tissaes: "pepsis" and "sepsis"
- Celsus:
 - Rubor - Dolor
- Peripheral vasodilatation
- Altered mental status
- Calor
- Fever, hypothermin
 Oedema
- Tumor
- Galen:
 - Functio Inesa

SEPSIS

DO NOT FORGET THE POWER OF EDUCATING CHILDREN AND PARENTS



Prolonged Capillary Refill Time Painful Legs



Sepsis is Serious





Sometimes Lethal



DON'T FORGET THE POWER OF EDUCATING TEAMUSA.ORG **CHILDREN** PARENTS COACHES FIRST LINE CAREGIVERS

ROAD TO RIO

Haxton's Paralympic Dreams OSU Law Student Chasing Rowing Goals



Antibiotics are the CURE!

Pathogens Doubling Time is less than 30 minutes!!!!!!!

GIVE ANTIBIOTICS



Figure 1. Previously unpublished pilot data for the relationship of time to antimicrobial and mortality in septic shock among emergency department (ED) patients (n = 192). In this pilot analysis, the index time represents the first documented hypotension in ED, but does not include pre-ED arrival data. The subsequent full study (4) utilized pre-ED data whenever available inclusive of ambulance and nursing home records. CATSS = **Cooperative Antimicrobial** Therapy of Septic Shock.

Systematic Bias in Meta-Analyses of Time to Antimicrobial in Sepsis Studies. Kumar, Anand; MD, FCCM Critical Care Medicine. 44(4):e234-e235, April 2016. DOI: 10.1097/CCM.0000000000



2

01512



FIGURE 1. Time to correct parenteral antibiotic initiation and clinical outcomes for all study subjects (n = 45) and those without acute comorbidities (n = 23). For the cohort as a whole, longer time to correct parenteral antibiotic initiation was not significantly associated with duration of mechanical ventilation (A), though it was significantly associated with longer durations of ICU (B) and hospital (C) lengths of stay by simple linear regression. In children without acute comorbidities, longer time to correct antibiotic initiation was significantly associated with longer durations of mechanical ventilation (D), ICU (E), and hospital (F) lengths of stay by simple linear regression. Children with complex chronic conditions are identified by solid symbols.

Timing of Correct Parenteral Antibiotic Initiation and Outcomes From Severe Bacterial Community-acquired Pneumonia in Children. Muszynski, Jennifer; Knatz, Nina; Sargel, Cheryl; Fernandez, Soledad; Marquardt, David; Hall, Mark

Pediatric Infectious Disease Journal. 30(4):295-301, April 2011. DOI: 10.1097/INF.0b013e3181ff 64ec





Weiss, Scott; Fitzgerald, Julie; MD, PhD; Balamuth, Fran; MD, PhD; Alpern, Elizabeth; MD, MSCE; Lavelle, Jane; Chilutti, Marianne; Grundmeier, Robert; Nadkarni, Vinay; MD, MS; Thomas, Neal; MD, MSc

Critical Care Medicine. 42(11):2409-2417, November 2014. DOI: 10.1097/CCM.000000000000509 Delayed Antimicrobial Therapy Increases Mortality and Organ Dysfunction Duration in Pediatric Sepsis

Outcome	Time to Initial Admini	Antimicrobial stration	Unadjusted p	Adjusted p*
	≤ 3 Hr	> 3 Hr		
Vasoactive-free days	26 (24-28)	26 (23-28)	0.28	0.054
Ventilator-free days	21 (7-28)	18 (2-25)	0.08	0.11
Organ failure-free days	20 (6-26)	16 (1-23)	0.03	0.04
PICU length of stay, days	8 (3-19)	10 (5-17)	0.42	0.58



© 2014 by the Society of Critical Care Medicine and Lippincott Williams & Wilkins. Published by Lippincott Williams & Wilkins, Inc.



Figure 1. Pediatric intensive care unit empiric antibiotic pathway including risk factors for infection due to healthcare-associated bacteria. *a*Minimum 7 days in previous 6 weeks. *b*Malignancy, chemotherapy, chronic steroid/immunosuppressants, organ transplant, immunodeficiency, or acute steroids >5 days in the past month. *c*Piperacillin-tazobactam, cefepime, and meropenem. *d*Gentamicin, tobramycin, and amikacin.

Annals ATS, 2014 http://www.atsjournals.org/doi/abs/10.1513/AnnalsATS.201408-389OC

Published in: Todd J. Karsies; Cheryl L. Sargel; David J. Marquardt; Nadeem Khan; Mark W. Hall; *Annals ATS* 11, 1569-1575. DOI: 10.1513/AnnalsATS.201408-389OC Copyright © 2014 by the American Thoracic Society

Recognition Trigger Tools and Emergency Department Sepsis Initiatives

PEDIATRIC SEPTIC SHOCK COLLABORATIVE SEPTIC SHOCK IDENTIFICATION TOOL



	Table 1. High Risk Conditions
•	Malignancy
•	Asplenia (including SCD)
•	Bone marrow transplant
•	Central or indwelling line/catheter
•	Solid organ transplant
•	Severe MR/CP
•	Immunodeficiency, immunocompromise or immunosuppression

Table 2. Vital Signs (PALS)				
Age	Heart Rate	Resp Rate	Systolic BP	Temp (°C)
0 d – 1 m	> 205	> 60	< 60	<36 or >38
≥1 m - 3 m	> 205	> 60	< 70	<36 or >38
≥ 3 m - 1 r	> 190	> 60	< 70	<36 or >38.5
≥ 1 y - 2 y	> 190	> 40	< 70 + (age in yr × 2)	<36 or >38.5
≥ 2 y - 4 y	> 140	> 40	< 70 + (age in yr × 2)	<36 or >38.5
≥4 y - 6 y	> 140	> 34	< 70 + (age in yr × 2)	<36 or >38.5
≥6 y- 10 y	> 140	> 30	< 70 + (age in yr × 2)	<36 or >38.5
≥ 10 y - 13 y	> 100	> 30	< 90	<36 or >38.5
> 13 y	> 100	>16	< 90	<36 or >38.5

Table 3. Exam Abnormalities			
	Cold Shock	Warm Shock	Non-specific
Pulses (central vs. peripheral)	Decreased or weak	Bounding	
Capillary refill (central vs. peripheral)	≥ 3 sec	Flash (< 1 sec)	
Skin	Mottled, cool	Flushed, ruddy, erythroderma (other than face)	Petechiae below the nipple, any purpura
Mental status			Decreased, irritability, confusion, inappropriate_crying or drowsiness, poor interaction with parents, lethargy, diminished arousability, obtunded

Early care of a child presenting in septic shock.



A, Statistical process control charts of time to first bolus for children identified at triage.



Mortality reduction from 4% to 2.4% at TCH

Andrea T. Cruz et al. Pediatrics 2011;127:e758-e766



©2011 by American Academy of Pediatrics

Resuscitation Bundle in Pediatric Shock Decreases Acute Kidney Injury and Improves Outcomes

Portions of this study were presented orally at the meeting of the European Society of Intensive Care Medicine, Paris, France, October 5-9, 2013. <u>Ayse Akcan Arikan</u>, MD^{1, 2}, <u>Eric A. Williams</u>, MD, MS¹, <u>Jeanine M. Graf</u>, MD¹, <u>Curtis E.</u> <u>Kennedy</u>, MD, PhD¹, <u>Binita Patel</u>, MD³, <u>Andrea T. Cruz</u>, MD, MPH^{3, 4}

Multivariate analyses of risk factors for the development of AKI

Variables	aOR (95% CI)	P value
Shock protocol	0.27 (0.13-0.56)	<.001
PELOD	1.08 (1.03-1.12)	.002
Age	1.01 (0.96-1.07)	.47
Sex	0.99 (0.54-1.84)	.99

The Journal of Pediatrics Volume 167, Issue 6, December 2015, Pages 1301–1305.e1

Variable	Baseline	Before Randomization	6 Hr After Randomization	At the End of Resuscitation
Heart rate (beats/min)				
Dopamine	159±25 (108-204)	154±23 (96-206)	145±27 (98-207)	142±26(81-201)
Epinephrine	149±31 (76-205)	143±28 (74-190)	142±25 (81-188)	140±23 (86-185)
P	0.047*	0.02*	0.50*	0.67*
Systolic blood pressure (r	mmHg)			
Dopamine	85±22 (40-135)	85±18 (43-144)	92±19 (55-161)	96±18 (53-143)
Epinephrine	87±19 (56-143)	80±15 (52-120)	99±17 (52-150)	104±19 (53~169)
p	0.59*	0.13ª	0.03b	0.015
Shock index				
Dopamine	1.9±0.6 (1-4.3)	1.9±0.6 (0.9-3.6)	1.7±0.6 (0.9-3.4)	1.5±0.4 (0.7-2.6)
Epinephrine	1.7±0.5 (0.7–3)	1.8±0.6 (0.7-4.t5)	1.5±0.4 (0.6-2.4)	1.3±0.4 (0.6–2.9)
p	0.125	0.87 ^b	0.02*	0.07*
Mean arterial pressure ar	d central venous pressure	(cm H,0)		
Dopamine	47±10 (33-56)	54±13 (35-75)	55±14 (25-87)	57±11 (26-76)
Epinephrine	49±19 (35-77)	53±10 (35-77)	66±10 (46-88)	68±13(41-93)
p	0.99	0.86ª	0.003*	0.007*
Svco ₂ (%)				
Dopamine	72±8(59-81)	67±8 (54-80)	74±10 (38-91)	76±8(42-89)
Epinephrine	67±3 (64-74)	66±8(50-80)	77±5 (64-89)	79±5 (69-89)
p	0.24*	0.70*	0.31*	0.18



Ventura, Andrea; Shieh, Huei; Bousso, Albert; Goes, Patricia; Fernandes, Iracema; de Souza, Daniela; Paulo, Rodrigo; Chagas, Fabiana; Gilio, Alfredo **Double-Blind Prospective Randomized Controlled Trial of Dopamine Versus Epinephrine as First-Line Vasoactive Drugs in Pediatric Septic Shock.** Critical Care Medicine. 43(11):2292-2302, November 2015.



7

THE TEAM APPROACH

Five time points evaluated for adherence from 2006 PALS algorithm.



Percent adherence to 5 algorithm time points and median time to intervention (with goal time displayed). *Error bars represent IQRs for median times.



Raina Paul et al. Pediatrics 2012;130:e273-e280



©2012 by American Academy of Pediatrics

Ishikawa fishbone diagram for fluid delivery.



Statistical process control charts for outcome measures.



©2014 by American Academy of Pediatrics
THE INSTITUTIONAL APPROACH

Pediatric Septic Shock Collaborative





Time to First Fluid Bolus (wi 20 min) P2A. Fluid bolus administration - 1st bolus



Timely Antibiotic Administration (1 hr)





ON ADVOCACY, GOVERNOR CUOMO, AND THE CENTERS FOR DISEASE CONTROL AND PREVENTION

RESULTS OF ALL LABORATORY TESTS MUST NOW BE DISCUSSED WITH PARENTS BEFORE A CHILD IS SENT HOME FROM EMERGENCY DEPARTMENT OR THE HOSPITAL



ALL HOSPITALS MUST NOW HAVE PROTOCOLS/POLICY FOR SEPSIS RECOGNITION AND MANAGEMENT

SEPSIS IS A MEDICAL EMERGENCY!

Think Sepsis. Time Matters.

Know the signs and symptoms of sepsis. Prevention and early recognition save lives.

Vitälsigns www.cdc.gov/vitalsigns/sepsis



#VitalSigns

HOSPITAL STANDARDS FOR SEPSIS SHOULD BE AS STRINGENT AS FOR STROKE, ACUTE MYOCARDIAL INFARCTION, STATUS EPILEPTICUS, TRAUMA, STATUS ASTHMATICUS, AND DIABETIC KETOACIDOSIS

Recognition Bundle Example

- Screen patient for septic shock
- Clinician assessment within 15 minutes for any patient who screens positive
- Initiate Resuscitation Bundle within 15 minutes for patient identified by the trigger tool whom the assessing clinician confirms suspicion of septic shock

PEDIATRIC SEPTIC SHOCK COLLABORATIVE SEPTIC SHOCK IDENTIFICATION TOOL



	Table 1. High Risk Conditions
•	Malignancy
•	Asplenia (including SCD)
•	Bone marrow transplant
•	Central or indwelling line/catheter
•	Solid organ transplant
•	Severe MR/CP
•	Immunodeficiency, immunocompromise or immunosuppression

Table 2. Vital Signs (PALS)							
Age	Heart Rate	Resp Rate	Systolic BP	Temp (°C)			
0 d – 1 m	> 205	> 60	< 60	<36 or >38			
≥1 m - 3 m	> 205	> 60	< 70	<36 or >38			
≥ 3 m - 1 r	> 190	> 60	< 70	<36 or >38.5			
≥ 1 y - 2 y	> 190	> 40	< 70 + (age in yr × 2)	<36 or >38.5			
≥ 2 y - 4 y	> 140	> 40	< 70 + (age in yr × 2)	<36 or >38.5			
≥4 y - 6 y	> 140	> 34	< 70 + (age in yr × 2)	<36 or >38.5			
≥6 y- 10 y	> 140	> 30	< 70 + (age in yr × 2)	<36 or >38.5			
≥ 10 y - 13 y	> 100	> 30	< 90	<36 or >38.5			
> 13 y	> 100	>16	< 90	<36 or >38.5			

Table 3. Exam Abnormalities						
	Cold Shock	Warm Shock	Non-specific			
Pulses (central vs. peripheral)	Decreased or weak	Bounding				
Capillary refill (central vs. peripheral)	≥ 3 sec	Flash (< 1 sec)				
Skin	Mottled, cool	Flushed, ruddy, erythroderma (other than face)	Petechiae below the nipple, any purpura			
Mental status			Decreased, irritability, confusion, inappropriate_crying or drowsiness, poor interaction with parents, lethargy, diminished arousability, obtunded			

Resuscitation Bundle

- Attain IV/IO access within 5 minutes
- Appropriate fluid resuscitation begun within 30 minutes
- Initiation of broad spectrum antibiotics within 60 minutes
- Begin peripheral (adrenaline) or central inotrope infusion therapy for fluid refractory shock within 60 minutes.

Five time points evaluated for adherence from 2006 PALS algorithm.



Stabilization Bundle Example

- Use multimodal monitoring to optimize fluid, hormonal, and cardiovascular therapies to attain hemodynamic goals.
- Confirm administration of appropriate antimicrobial therapy and source control

Performance Bundle Example

- Measure adherence to Trigger, Resuscitation, and Stabilization Bundles
- Perform root cause analysis to identify barriers to adherence
- Provide an action plan to address identified barriers



Improving Pediatric Sepsis Outcomes

A collaboration of children's hospitals to prevent severe sepsis and sepsis deaths

Prior work is foundational to IPSO

- CHA Rapid Cycle Collaborative
 - **2012-2013**
 - 15 hospitals
- Pediatric Septic Shock Collaborative
 - 2013-2016
 - 25 hospitals (ED Focused)



Collaborative Timeline



Sepsis in the Post-acute and Long Term Care World

Susan M. Levy, MD, CMD,

President

AMDA The Society for Post-acute and Long Term Care Medicine

www.paltc.org



Susan M. Levy, MD Disclosures

- CMS consultant
- VHQC consultant
- CMO Linked Senior
- Five Star Physician services
- Legal Case reviews

Sepsis: Definition

• Life-threatening organ dysfunction caused by a dysregulated host response to infection 2016 Society of Critical Care Medicine and the European Society of Critical Care Medicine

Sepsis and Aging

• Higher incidence

• Greater severity

• Greater mortality

Risk Factors for Sepsis in the Elderly

- Multiple co-morbidities
- Pre-morbid functional status (frailty)
- Medications (steroids, antibiotics)
- Instrumentation/procedures
- Recurrent hospitalization (exposure)
- Endocrine disorders
- "Normal" aging changes
 - Immune senescence
 - Host defenses

Post-Acute and Long-Term Care Continuum



Sepsis in the Nursing Home: Population

- Over 15,000 facilities
- •1.4 million beds
- Short stay vs. long stay populations
- Younger vs. older population

Sepsis in Nursing Homes: Common Sources of Infection

- Genitourinary
- Pulmonary
- Skin and Soft Tissue
- Gastrointestinal

Sepsis in Nursing Homes: Barriers to Recognition

- Classic signs and symptoms may be absent, blunted, or have a noninfectious cause
 - Fever
 - Altered mental status
 - Elevated respiratory rate
 - Elevated heart rate
- Access to diagnostic testing and turn around times
- Frequent colonization
- Staff education and training in early signs and symptoms
- Lack of on site practitioners
- Advance Care Planning (goals of therapy unclear)

Sepsis: Advance Care Planning

- Pneumonia may well be called the friend of the aged. Taken off by it in an acute, short, not often painful illness, the old man escapes those 'cold gradations of decay' so distressing to himself and his friends. *Sir William Osler (1898)*
- INFECTION AND SEPSIS ARE NOT UNCOMMON TERMINAL EVENTS AT THE END OF LIFE

Sepsis in Nursing Homes: Key Interventions

- Infection prevention
 - Immunizations (resident, visitors, staff)
 - Handwashing and other appropriate precautions
 - Environmental cleaning
- Early recognition tools/triggers
 - qSOFA as a trigger
 - Need to Know
 - INTERACT
- Early empiric treatment when the risk is high
- Access to oral and parenteral antibiotics
- Antibiotic stewardship

qSOFA

- Developed as an adjunct to the SOFA score
- "quick" SOFA score
- SOFA = Sequential (sepsis-related) Organ Failure Assessment used in ICUs
- qSOFA helps identify patients with early sepsis OUTSIDE the ICU



Multiple Initiatives in Nursing Homes



Department of Health and Human Services

OFFICE OF INSPECTOR GENERAL

MEDICARE NURSING HOME RESIDENT HOSPITALIZATION RATES MERIT ADDITIONAL MONITORING



Daniel R. Levinson Inspector General

November 2013 OEI-06-11-00040

Table 1: Primary Diagnoses on Claims of All Hospitalized MedicareNursing Home Residents in FY 2011

CCS Primary Diagnosis Category	Percentage of Hospitalizations
Fifteen Most Frequent CCS Categories	60.9%
Septicemia	13.4%
Pneumonia	7.0%
Congestive heart failure, nonhypertensive	5.8%
Urinary tract infections	5.3%
Aspiration pneumonitis, food/vomitus	4.0%
Acute renal failure	3.9%
Complication of device, implant, or graft	3.3%
Respiratory failure, insufficiency, or arrest	2.7%
Gastrointestinal hemorrhage	2.4%
Complications of surgical procedures or medical care	2.4%
Chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD) and bronchiectasis	2.4%
Delirium, dementia, and amnestic and other cognitive disorders	2.2%
Acute cerebrovascular disease	2.1%
Fluid and electrolyte disorders	2.0%
Fracture of neck of femur (hip)	2.0%
Remaining 221 CCS Categories on Nursing Home Claims	39.1%
All CCS Diagnosis Categories on Nursing Home Claims	100%

Source: OIG analysis of data on FY 2011 hospitalizations of nursing home residents.

Sepsis: Causes of Re-admissions



Jencks SF, et al, N Engl J Med 2009;360:1418-1428

Why Post-Acute and Long -Term Care Patients May be at Higher Risk for Re-Hospitalizations

- Post-Hospital Syndrome An Acquired, Transient Condition of Generalized Risk: Harlan M. Krumholz, M.D.N Engl J Med 2013; 368:100-102 January 10, 2013
- Possible Underlying Causes
 - Sleep deprivation
 - Malnutrition
 - Pain
 - Emotional stress
 - Physical deconditioning
 - Multiple medications



Americans are admitted to or reside in nursing homes during a year¹



UP TO **70%** of nursing home residents received antibiotics during a year²³

UP TO **75%** of antibiotics are prescribed incorrectly*¹³



0

CDC recommends **7 CORE ELEMENTS** for antibiotic stewardship in nursing homes

Leadership Commitment Accountability Drug Expertise Action Tracking Reporting Education

*incorrectly = prescribing the wrong drug, dose, duration or reason 1 AHCA Quality Report 2013.
2im CJ, Kong DCM, Stuart RL. Reducing inappropriate antibiotic prescribing in the residential care setting: current perspectives. Clin Interven Aging. 2014; 9: 165-177.
*Nicolle LE, Bentley D, Garibaldi R, et al. Antimicrobial use in long-term care facilities. Infect Control Heep Epidemiol 2000; 21:537–45.



Centers for Disease Control and Prevention National Center for Emerging and Zoonotic Infectious Diseases

Reducing Sepsis in Nursing Homes: Role of the Medical Director and Practitioners

- Medical Director (CMD-Certified Medical Director)
 - Policies and procedures (incorporate best practices)
 - Oversight of the medical staff (monitor performance)
 - Clinical champion/QAPI (Quality Assurance and Performance Improvement)
- Practitioners
 - Physicians, nurse practitioners, physicians assistants
 - Site specific education and training in nursing home care
 - Need to know when they are called



Summary

- Sepsis is difficult to recognize and to manage in PA/LTC
- Sepsis is a common cause for hospitalization of nursing home residents and is expensive
- It is important to recognize and appropriately treat the common infections that can lead to sepsis and tools are available
- Many of the current infection related initiatives have similar goals
- We need to engage our medical directors and practitioners in these initiatives
THANK YOU

Susan M. Levy, MD, CMD

susan@susanlevymd.com

