

# DIRECTLY ADMINISTERED ANTIRETROVIRAL THERAPY (DAART) FOR DRUG USERS

## Good Evidence – Medication Adherence

### INTERVENTION DESCRIPTION

#### Target Population

- HIV-positive drug-using clinic patients who are antiretroviral treatment-experienced or -naïve

#### Goals of Intervention

- Improve adherence to antiretroviral therapy
- Reduce HIV viral load
- Increase CD4 cell counts

#### Brief Description

*DAART for Drug Users* is an individual-level intervention. Participants are provided with a pager that is programmed to remind them to report to a mobile Community Health Care Van (CHCV) during weekdays to take their medications. In the CHCV, a DAART specialist observes the patients taking their medications and also provides social support and case management services. Weekend doses are provided on Fridays, and 1-3 days of back-up medication is also provided in case a participant is unable to appear for a DAART visit. Participants are reminded to take evening doses through the pager. Reminders for other scheduled activities, such as medical appointments, are also programmed into the pager. Each patient receives a medication bottle with a MEMS cap to monitor non-observed doses. DAART is provided for 6 consecutive months, and patients are trained to package and self-administer their medications the month before transferring to complete self-administration of their therapy for an additional 6 months of monitoring.

#### Theoretical Basis

- None specified

#### Intervention Duration

- Every week day over 6 months

#### Intervention Setting

- Mobile community health care van

#### Deliverer

- DAART specialist, who is an outreach worker trained to supervise DAART

#### Delivery Methods

- Directly observed medication administration
- Pager reminder

**INTERVENTION PACKAGE INFORMATION**

**An intervention package is not available at this time.** Please contact **Frederick L. Altice**, Yale University, Sterling Hall of Medicine, PO Box 208022, New Haven, CT 06520-8022.

**Email:** [frederick.altice@yale.edu](mailto:frederick.altice@yale.edu) for details on intervention materials.

**EVALUATION STUDY AND RESULTS**

**The original evaluation was conducted in New Haven, CT between 2001 and 2006.**

**Key Intervention Effect**

- Reduced viral load

**Study Sample**

The baseline study sample of 141 drug users is characterized by the following:

- *58% black or African American, 22% white, 19% Hispanic/Latino, 1% other*
- *69% male, 31% female*
- *Mean age of 44 years*
- *63% completed high school or GED*
- *88% treatment-experienced > 3 years*
- *25% participants with > 75% self-reported medication adherence*
- *36% participants with undetectable viral load ( $\leq 400$  copies/mL)*

**Recruitment Settings**

Community health centers and hospital-based HIV clinics

**Eligibility Criteria**

Men and women were eligible if they were HIV-positive, eligible for and/or currently prescribed HAART, living in city of New Haven, history of heroin or cocaine use within the previous 6 months, and receiving a treatment regimen of  $\leq 2$  doses per day.

**Assignment Method**

Participants (N = 141) were randomly assigned 2:1 to one of two groups: DAART intervention (n = 88) or standard of care comparison (n = 53).

**Comparison Group**

Comparison participants received self-administered therapy (SAT) which included HIV treatment and medications through community-based physicians, with follow-up visits at routinely scheduled intervals.

**Relevant Outcomes Measured and Follow-up Time**

- Medication adherence behavior was measured using 3-day AIDS Clinical Trials Group (ACTG) self-reported adherence, was categorized as  $< 80\%$  or  $\geq 80\%$  adherence, and was assessed at 1, 3, and 6 months post-initiation of intervention.

- Viral load was measured at 1, 3 and 6 months post-initiation of intervention. Virologic success was defined as undetectable (< 400 copies/mL) or achieving at least a 1-log<sub>10</sub> drop in viral load.

### Participant Retention

- DAART Intervention
  - Information not available for 1 month and 3 months post-initiation of intervention
  - 95% retained at 6 months post-initiation of intervention
- SAT Comparison
  - Information not available for 1 month and 3 months post-initiation of intervention
  - 98% retained at 6 months post-initiation of intervention

### Significant Findings

- At 6 months post-initiation of intervention, a significantly greater proportion of intervention participants achieved virologic success (i.e., a viral load reduction of  $\geq 1.0$  log copies/mL or undetectable viral load of < 400 copies/mL) than comparison participants (70.5% vs. 54.7%,  $p = .017$ ; OR = 2.6, 95% CI = 1.2 to 5.5,  $p = .017$ ; missing data imputed).\*
- Intervention participants achieved a significantly greater mean reduction in viral load from baseline to 6 months than comparison participants (-1.16 vs. -.29 log copies/mL,  $p = .03$ ; missing data imputed).

### Considerations

- This study did not meet the best-evidence criteria because the study did not find a significant positive intervention effect on medication adherence behavior.
- Several significant findings reported in the publication did not meet all the efficacy criteria:
  - A significantly greater proportion of intervention participants achieved undetectable viral load (< 400 copies/mL) across the 1-, 3- and 6-month assessment time points than comparison participants (OR = 2.3, 95% CI = 1.1 to 4.5,  $p = .02$ ; missing data not imputed). This finding included data from the 1-month assessment, which does not meet the minimum assessment time point requirement.
  - Across the 1-, 3, and 6-month assessments, a significantly greater decline in viral load levels was observed for the intervention participants compared to comparison participants (-.53, 95% CI = -.88 to -.18,  $p = .003$ ; missing data not imputed). This finding included data from the 1-month assessment, which does not meet the minimum assessment time point requirement.
  - In subset analyses (among patients with undetectable viral load at baseline), intervention participants were more likely to maintain virologic success (OR = 2.7, 95% CI = 1.1 to 6.9,  $p = .04$ ) and reported a significantly greater mean viral load reduction (-1.6 vs. -.83 log<sub>10</sub> copies/mL,  $p = .04$ ) than comparison participants. These findings did not meet the minimum analytic sample size requirement of > 40 participants per arm.
- The mean change in CD4 count from baseline to the 6-month assessment was significantly higher in the intervention arm than in the comparison arm (+58.8 vs. -24.7 cells/uL,  $p = .002$ ).

### \*Information obtained from author

## REFERENCES AND CONTACT INFORMATION

Altice, F. L., Maru, D. S., Bruce, R. D., Springer, S. A., & Friedland, G. H. (2007). [Superiority of directly administered antiretroviral therapy over self-administered therapy among HIV-infected drug users: A prospective, randomized, controlled trial](#). *Clinical Infectious Diseases*, 45, 770-778.

**Researcher: Frederick Altice, MD**

Yale University

Sterling Hall of Medicine

PO Box 208022

New Haven, CT 06520-8022

**Email: [frederick.altice@yale.edu](mailto:frederick.altice@yale.edu)**

