

Modeling Hepatitis A Transmission in the United States

T Van Effelterre¹ T Zink² B Hoet¹ P Rosenthal³

¹GlaxoSmithKline Biologicals, Rixensart, Belgium

²Clinical Excellence Group, West Chester, PA, USA

³University of California, San Francisco, CA, USA

National Viral Hepatitis Prevention Conference 2005



Objective of the model

Evaluate the impact of different immunization strategies on the evolution of Hepatitis A (HAV) infection over time in the U.S., using a dynamic mathematical model.

The model accounts for


- herd protection induced by vaccination
- HAV importation

Roadmap


- The model
- Project the impact of age of immunization on herd protection effects
- Project the impact of nationwide versus regional (ACIP 1999) immunization strategies
- Project the impact of immunization at age 2 versus age 12 years
- Potential for spread and elimination of HAV
- Conclusions

The model

- Dynamic “compartmental” model describing passage of hosts through the different “infectivity stages” of HAV:
 - Susceptible
 - Infected not yet infectious
 - infectious
 - Recovered - Immune
 - Vaccinated
- Stratified by age and geographic regions
 - Six age classes:
0-1, 2-5, 6-11, 12-19, 20-39, 40+ (years)
 - Regions: as defined in
ACIP 1999 recommendations
based on HAV incidence rates
at that time



The model



Infectivity stages:

S: Susceptible **i:** Infected & not yet infectious **I:** Infectious
R: Recovered-Immune **V:** Vaccinated


Assumptions and Sources of Key Parameters

- “Force of infection” (F.O.I) = per-susceptible rate of acquisition of the infection:
- Age-dependent
 - Based on incidence pre-vaccination, adjusted for
 - susceptibility [*NHANES III seroprevalence*]
 - under-reporting
 - asymptomatic infection
 - FOI changes with time as prevalence of infectious hosts changes (to reflect herd protection)

Assumptions and Sources of Key Parameters (continued)

- Vaccine coverage
 - In 2001 (cumulative coverage for 2-18 yr olds):
ACIP regions 1, 2, 3= 30%, 20%, 1%
[*Samandari, Bell, Armstrong (2004)*]
 - After 2001 (for every vaccinated cohort):
70% assuming 100% efficacy
or 78% assuming 90% efficacy
- Vaccine efficacy assumed to last at least 25 years

A static model underestimates the benefits of immunization: need for a dynamic model




US reported incidence rates are in line with

Predictions of dynamic model: - 2001: 3.8 / 100,000
- 2003: 2.7 / 100,000



Herd protection effects of immunization more important with vaccination at age 2 than age 12 years


Regional immunization strategy (ACIP 1999)




Not accounting for
herd protection
(static model)

Accounting for
herd protection
(dynamic model)

Incidence rates for the whole US Immunization at 2 years of age with different immunization strategies



Incidence rates for the whole US with different immunization strategies



National Viral Hepatitis Prevention Conference
2005

HAV cases predicted by the model with the different immunization strategies

Period	Predicted cases		
	Regional strategy (ACIP 1999) at 2 years of age	Nationwide immunization at 2 years of age (% reduction)	Nationwide Immunization at 12 years of age (% reduction)
2002-2009	181,000	158,000 (-13%)	182,000 (+ 1%)
2002-2019	261,000	188,000 (- 28%)	296,000 (+ 13%)
2002-2029	351,000	212,000 (- 40%)	416,000 (+ 19%)

Potential for spread and Elimination of HAV

- Evaluated by estimating the *basic reproduction number* “ R_0 ”
- R_0 = mean number of secondary infectious cases generated by 1 primary infectious case introduced into a totally susceptible population.

- **Estimate of Hepatitis A R_0 from the model: 1.1 – 1.6**

- compares with R_0 estimates of 5 for smallpox, 4 – 7 for Mumps, 6 – 7 for Diphtheria and Rubella and 12 - 18 for Measles.

Low R_0 for HAV very conducive to disease elimination.

- To achieve HAV ELIMINATION in the USA...
 - A minimum of **40%** of 2 year olds require immunization in the absence of any HAV importation!
 - A higher coverage is needed to avoid outbreaks due to HAV importation
 - 70% coverage would bring incidence to low levels

Conclusions

- Herd protection is a crucial aspect of transmission
- Immunization at 2 years of age provides more herd protection than immunization at 12 years of age
- Regional strategy (ACIP 1999) may lead to plateauing and even a slow rise in HAV disease
- Nationwide routine immunization at 2 years of age would be most beneficial compared to regional “ACIP 1999” strategy
- A nationwide routine program at 12 years of age is predicted to result in more HAV disease than the regional “ACIP 1999” strategy at 2 years of age.
- The model predicts that national immunization at 2 years of age leads to 40% decrease in incidence by 2029 compared to regional strategy