Template for State Healthcare-associated Infection Plan

In response to the increasing concerns about the public health impact of healthcare-associated infections (HAIs), the US Department of Health and Human Services (HHS) has developed an Action Plan to help prevent Healthcare-associated Infections. The HHS Action Plan includes recommendations for surveillance, research, communication, and metrics for measuring progress toward national goals. Three overarching priorities have been identified:

- Progress toward 5-year national prevention targets (e.g., 50-70% reduction in bloodstream infections);
- Improve use and quality of the metrics and supporting systems needed to assess progress towards meeting the targets; and
- Prioritization and broad implementation of current evidence-based prevention recommendations

Background: The 2009 Omnibus bill required states who received Preventive Health and Health Services (PHHS) Block Grant funds to certify that they would submit a plan to reduce HAIs to the Secretary of Health and Human Services not later than January 1, 2010. In order to assist states in responding within the short timeline required by that language and to facilitate coordination with national HAI prevention efforts, the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) created a template to assist state planning efforts.

This template helps to ensure progress toward national prevention targets as described in the HHS Action Plan. CDC is leading the implementation of recommendations on national prevention targets and metrics and states should tailor the plan to their state-specific needs.

Initial emphasis for HAI prevention focused on acute care, inpatient settings, and then expanded to outpatient settings. The public health model of population-based healthcare delivery places health departments in a unique and important role in this area, particularly given shifts in healthcare delivery from acute care settings to ambulatory and long term care settings. In non-hospital settings, infection control and oversight have been lacking which have resulted in outbreaks which can have a wide-ranging and substantial impact on affected communities. At the same time, trends toward mandatory reporting of HAIs from hospitals reflect increased demand for accountability from the public.

The State HAI Action Plan template targets the following areas:
1. Enhance HAI Program Infrastructure
2. Surveillance, Detection, Reporting, and Response
3. Prevention
4. Evaluation, Oversight, and Communication

With new Ebola-related, infection control activities, the following two tables have been added to reflect those activities:
5. Infection Control Assessment and Response (Ebola-associated activity from FOA Supplement, CK14-1401PPHFSUPP15, Project A)
6. Targeted Healthcare Infection Prevention Programs (Ebola-associated activity from FOA Supplement, CK14-1401PPHFSUPP15, Project B)

Framework and Funding for Prevention of HAIs

CDC's framework for the prevention of HAIs builds on a coordinated effort of federal, state, and partner organizations and is based on a collaborative public health approach that includes surveillance, outbreak response, infection control, research, training, education, and systematic implementation of prevention practices. Legislation in support of HAI prevention provides a unique opportunity to strengthen existing state capacity for prevention efforts.

Template for developing HAI plan

The following template provides choices for enhancing state HAI prevention activities in the six areas identified above. For each section, please choose elements which best support current activities or planned activities. Current activities are those in which the state is presently engaged and includes activities that are scheduled to begin using currently available resources. Planned activities represent future directions the state would like to move in to meet currently unmet needs, contingent on available resources and competing priorities. A section for additional activities is included to accommodate plans beyond the principal categories.

1. Enhance HAI program infrastructure

Successful HAI prevention requires close integration and collaboration with state and local infection prevention activities and systems. Consistency and compatibility of HAI data collected across facilities will allow for greater success in reaching state and national goals. Please select areas for development or enhancement of state HAI surveillance, prevention, and control efforts.

Table 1: State infrastructure planning for HAI surveillance, prevention, and control.

Check Items Underway	Check Items Planned	Items Planned for Implementation (or currently underway)	Target Dates for Implementation
☒	☐	1. Establish statewide HAI prevention leadership through the formation of multidisciplinary group or state HAI advisory council i. Collaborate with local and regional partners (e.g., state hospital associations, professional societies for infection control and healthcare epidemiology, academic organizations, laboratorians, and networks of acute care hospitals and long term care facilities).	November 1, 2009 - ongoing

Check Items Underway	Check Items Planned	Items Planned for Implementation (or currently underway)	Target Dates for Implementation
☒	☐	ii. ==NEW:== Include hospital preparedness partners (e.g., hospital/healthcare coalitions funded through the ASPR Hospital Preparedness Program). Additional representation from accrediting and/or licensing agency with surveyor authority is ideal. iii. ==NEW:== Engage HAI advisory committee in potential roles and activities to improve antibiotic use in the state (antibiotic stewardship) iv. ==NEW:== Engage HAI advisory committee in activities to increase health department's access to data and subsequently use those data in prevention efforts	September 16, 2015 (committee meetings initiated)
☒	☐	iv. Identify specific HAI prevention targets consistent with HHS priorities	
		Other activities or descriptions: *The multidisciplinary HAI Advisory Committee was held on September 16, 2015. The committee reviewed the new draft state HAI Plan at that time. Members of the HAI Advisory Committee include representatives from the Mississippi Hospital Association (MHA), Information and Quality Healthcare (IQH), University of Mississippi Medical Center (UMMC), North, Central, and South Mississippi APIC Chapters, MS State Department of Health (MSDH) Epidemiology Medical Director and Staff, Mississippi State Office of Licensure and Certification, MS Ambulatory Surgery Center Association, Office of Emergency Planning and Response, Network 8, Inc., Public Health Laboratory and representatives from ASPR. The MSDH will continue to expand membership of the committee as needed. The committee recommended the addition of a pharmacy representative with experience with antibiotic stewardship.*	

Check Items Underway	Check Items Planned	Items Planned for Implementation (or currently underway)	Target Dates for Implementation
☒	☐	2. Establish an HAI surveillance prevention and control program i. Designate a State HAI Prevention Coordinator	October 1, 2009 - ongoing
☒	☐	ii. Develop dedicated, trained HAI staff with at least one FTE (or contracted equivalent) to oversee HAI activities areas (Integration, Collaboration, and Capacity Building; Reporting, Detection, Response, and Surveillance; Prevention; Evaluation, Oversight, Communication, and Infection Control)	
		Other activities or descriptions: ***The MSDH hired an HAI Coordinator October 2009 to be responsible for the overall development and implementation of all project activities. In October of 2014, the position became vacant and on February, 2016, the HAI Nurse Consultant was contracted to assist with this function. The HAI Nurse Consultant has many years of experience in infection prevention at an acute care facility, has completed the CDC Training Course and is certified in infection control. In September 2015, MSDH hired a HAI epidemiologist and HAI nurse consultant to expand on the activities currently performed by the existing HAI nurse consultant.***	
☒	☐	3. Integrate laboratory activities with HAI surveillance, prevention, and control efforts. i. Improve laboratory capacity to confirm emerging resistance in HAI pathogens and perform typing where appropriate (e.g., outbreak investigation support, HL7	December, 31, 2010 - ongoing

Check Items Underway	Check Items Planned	Items Planned for Implementation (or currently underway)	Target Dates for Implementation
		messaging of laboratory results)	
		Other activities or description: **The Mississippi Public Health Laboratory (MPHL) has limited capacity to characterize various HAI pathogens using molecular methods such as sequencing and/or pulse field gel electrophoresis (PFGE). The MPHL has limited capacity to confirm drug resistance in HAI pathogens using E-tests or automated broth-based susceptibility tests. The MPHL's current Clinical Laboratory Information System is being upgraded to a web-based system that will include HL7 capabilities.** **The MSDH Public Health Laboratory will expand laboratory capacity based on available staff/financial resources and/or competing priorities.**	
☒	☐	4. Improve coordination among government agencies or organizations that share responsibility for assuring or overseeing HAI surveillance, prevention, and control (e.g., State Survey agencies, Communicable Disease Control, state licensing boards)	December, 31, 2010 - ongoing
		Other activities or descriptions: **Program staff will continue to enhance infrastructure efforts through ongoing coordination with the Mississippi Hospital Association (MHA), Information and Quality Healthcare (IQH), Mississippi Medical Association (MMA), Mississippi APIC Chapters, and other stakeholders.**	
☐	☒	5. Facilitate use of standards-based formats (e.g., Clinical Document Architecture, electronic messages) by healthcare facilities for purposes of electronic reporting of HAI data. Providing technical assistance or other incentives for implementations of standards-based reporting can help develop capacity for HAI surveillance and other types of public health surveillance, such as for conditions deemed reportable to state and local health agencies using electronic laboratory reporting (ELR). Facilitating	December, 31, 2010 - ongoing

Check Items Underway	Check Items Planned	Items Planned for Implementation (or currently underway)	Target Dates for Implementation
		use of standards-based solutions for external reporting also can strengthen relationships between healthcare facilities and regional nodes of healthcare information, such as Regional Health Information Organizations. (RHIOs) and Health Information Exchanges (HIEs). These relationships, in turn, can yield broader benefits for public health by consolidating electronic reporting through regional nodes.	
		Other activities or descriptions: **The MSDH, in coordination with the state's health information exchange (HIE), Mississippi Health Information Network (MS-HIN), will coordinate electronic laboratory reporting (ELR) with each eligible hospital in the state. The ELR feed will contain reportable diseases and conditions, including HAI data. The ELR data will be based on HL7 version 2.5.1 standards.**	

2. Surveillance, Detection, Reporting, and Response

Timely and accurate monitoring remains necessary to gauge progress towards HAI elimination. Public health surveillance has been defined as the ongoing, systematic collection, analysis, and interpretation of data essential to the planning, implementation, and evaluation of public health practice, and timely dissemination to those responsible for prevention and control.[1] Increased participation in systems such as the National Healthcare Safety Network (NHSN) has been demonstrated to promote HAI reduction. This, combined with improvements to simplify and enhance data collection, and improve dissemination of results to healthcare providers and the public are essential steps toward increasing HAI prevention capacity.

The HHS Action Plan identifies targets and metrics for five categories of HAIs and identified Ventilator-associated Pneumonia as an HAI under development for metrics and targets (Appendix 1):

- Central Line-associated Blood Stream Infections (CLABSI)
- *Clostridium difficile* Infections (CDI)
- Catheter-associated Urinary Tract Infections (CAUTI)
- Methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* (MRSA) Infections
- Surgical Site Infections (SSI)
- Ventilator-associated Pneumonia (VAP)

State capacity for investigating and responding to outbreaks and emerging infections among patients and healthcare providers is central to HAI prevention. Investigation of outbreaks helps identify preventable causes of infections including issues with the improper use or handling of medical devices; contamination of medical products; and unsafe clinical practices.

---

[1] Thacker SB, Berkelman RL. Public health surveillance in the United States. Epidemiol Rev 1988;10:164-90.

Table 2: State planning for surveillance, detection, reporting, and response for HAIs

Check Items Underway	Check Items Planned	Items Planned for Implementation (or currently underway)	Target Dates for Implementation
		1. Improve HAI outbreak detection and investigation	
☒	☐	i. Work with partners including CSTE, CDC, state legislatures, and providers across the healthcare continuum to improve outbreak reporting to state health departments	January, 2014 – ongoing
☒	☐	ii. Establish protocols and provide training for health department staff to investigate outbreaks, clusters, or unusual cases of HAIs.	June, 2015 – ongoing
☒	☐	iii. Develop mechanisms to protect facility/provider/patient identity when investigating incidents and potential outbreaks during the initial evaluation phase, where possible, to promote reporting of outbreaks	December, 31, 2010 – ongoing
☒	☐	iv. Improve overall use of surveillance data to identify and prevent HAI outbreaks or transmission in HC settings (e.g., hepatitis B, hepatitis C, multi-drug resistant organisms (MDRO), and other reportable HAIs)	April, 2015 – ongoing
		Other activities or descriptions: • *HAIs reportable via NHSN January 2014* • *February, 2015 hired HAI Nurse Consultant* • *July, 2015, added CRE as a reportable condition* • *September, 2015, hired HAI Nurse* • *September, 2015, hired HAI Epidemiologist*	
☐	☒	2. Enhance laboratory capacity for state and local detection and response to new and emerging HAI issues.	December, 31, 2010 – ongoing

Check Items Underway	Check Items Planned	Items Planned for Implementation (or currently underway)	Target Dates for Implementation
		Other activities or descriptions: **The MPHL has limited capacity to characterize various HAI pathogens using molecular methods such as sequencing and/or PFGE. While the MPHL does possess the equipment required for molecular characterization, the MPHL does not currently possess a genetic sequence database to allow effective data analysis. The MPHL will need to have access to HAI PFGE pattern and genetic sequences databases that are compiled at a national and regional level.** **The MPHL possesses various real-time PCR platforms that could be utilized for the molecular identification of drug resistance. The MPHL will need adequate assay creation and validation support for implementation.** **The MPHL currently has access to an automated detection system that can identify certain pathogens, as well as, perform drug susceptibility tests on request. The MPHL can perform additional drug susceptibility tests using more manual methods as required. Accordingly, the MPHL can assist local hospitals or clinics in the confirmation of emerging drug resistance in HAI pathogens.** **The MSDH Public Health Laboratory will enhance capacity for detection and response based on available staff /financial resources and/or competing priorities.**	

☒ ☒	☐ ☐	3. Improve communication of HAI outbreaks and infection control breaches
		    i. Develop standard reporting criteria including, number, size, and type of HAI outbreak for health departments and CDC
		    ii. Establish mechanisms or protocols for exchanging information about outbreaks or breaches among state and local
			September, 2015 – on going

Check Items Underway	Check Items Planned	Items Planned for Implementation (or currently underway)	Target Dates for Implementation
		governmental partners (e.g., State Survey agencies, Communicable Disease Control, state licensing boards)	
		Other activities or descriptions: • **Updated reportable diseases list August 2015** • **Established protocols for communication nursing home outbreaks with licensure** • **Partnered with UMMC on survey on CRE prevalence in Mississippi**	

☒ ☐ ☒ ☐ ☐ ☐	☐ ☒ ☐ ☒ ☒ ☒	4. Identify at least 2 priority prevention targets for surveillance in support of the HHS HAI Action Plan
		  i. Central Line-associated Bloodstream Infections (CLABSI)
		  ii. *Clostridium difficile* Infections (CDI)
		  iii. Catheter-associated Urinary Tract Infections (CAUTI)
		  iv. Methicillin-resistant Staphylococcus aureus (MRSA) Infections
		  v. Surgical Site Infections (SSI)
		  vi. Ventilator-associated Pneumonia (VAP)
			September, 2015 – on going

		Other activities or descriptions: **HAI Advisory Committee selected CLABSI and CAUTI's**	

☒
 	
☒
	☐
	
	☐
		5. Adopt national standards for data and technology to track HAIs (e.g., NHSN).
		  i. Develop metrics to measure progress towards national goals (align with targeted state goals). (See Appendix 1).
		  ii. Establish baseline measurements for prevention targets
			January, 2014 – on going

Check Items Underway	Check Items Planned	Items Planned for Implementation (or currently underway)	Target Dates for Implementation
		Other activities or descriptions: **HAIs reportable to MSDH via NHSN**	
☒	☐	6. Develop state surveillance training competencies i. Conduct local training for appropriate use of surveillance systems (e.g., NHSN) including facility and group enrollment, data collection, management, and analysis	November, 2014 - ongoing
		Other activities or descriptions: • **LTC Training June 2015** • **CLABSI NHSN reporting validation and training** • **November 2014, CLABSI Training provided to a facility with high SIR for CLABSI.** • **Plan to conduct statewide NHSN training in the Spring of 2016, after NHSN completes updates/revisions to surveillance definitions & manuals. Seminar will include facility and group enrollment, data collection, management and analysis.**	
☒	☐	7. Develop tailored reports of data analyses for state or region prepared by state personnel	September, 2015 – on going
		Other activities or descriptions: **Hired HAI Epidemiologist to produce HAI reports**	
☒	☐	8. Validate data entered into HAI surveillance (e.g., through healthcare records review, parallel database comparison) to measure accuracy and reliability of HAI data collection	May 19, 2015 - ongoing
☒	☐	i. Develop a validation plan	

Check Items Underway	Check Items Planned	Items Planned for Implementation (or currently underway)	Target Dates for Implementation
☒	☐	ii. Pilot test validation methods in a sample of healthcare facilities	
☒	☐	iii. Modify validation plan and methods in accordance with findings from pilot project	
☒	☐	iv. Implement validation plan and methods in all healthcare facilities participating in HAI surveillance	
☒	☐	v. Analyze and report validation findings	
☒	☐	vi. Use validation findings to provide operational guidance for healthcare facilities that targets any data shortcomings detected	
		Other activities or descriptions: ***HAI Staff plan to perform NHSN data validation in at least 4-6 acute care hospitals per year utilizing CDC's NHSN validation methodologies. CLABSI data validation in acute care facilities began on May 19, 2015. A total of six acute care facilities have been validated thus far and feedback/education was provided to the IP at each validate facility. Plans are to begin CAUTI validation of acute care facilities in October 2015. Facilities are selected using NHSN validation methodology. This includes facilities with high SIRs, medium SIRs and zero SIRs. Findings during the validation such as discrepancy trends noted in applying the NHSN definitions will be shared at the state's NHSN training which is tentatively planned for the spring of 2016. No facility identifiers will be used.***	
☐	☒	9. Develop preparedness plans for improved response to HAI i. Define processes and tiered response criteria to handle increased reports of serious infection control breaches (e.g., syringe reuse), suspect cases/clusters, and outbreaks	September, 2015 – on going
		Other activities or descriptions:	

Check Items Underway	Check Items Planned	Items Planned for Implementation (or currently underway)	Target Dates for Implementation
		Outbreak response protocols will be updated in the Epidemiology Manual.	
☐	☒	10. Collaborate with professional licensing organizations to identify and investigate complaints related to provider infection control practice in non-hospital settings and set standards for continuing education and training	August, 2015 - on going
		Other activities or descriptions: *Blood borne outbreaks at Outpatient Infusion Centers indicate a need for greater collaboration with Licensure Board. This was reviewed as a priority area with the HAI Advisory Committee.*	
☐	☒	11. Adopt integration and interoperability standards for HAI information systems and data sources i. Improve overall use of surveillance data to identify and prevent HAI outbreaks or transmission in HC settings (e.g., hepatitis B, hepatitis C, multi-drug resistant organisms (MDRO), and other reportable HAIs) across the spectrum of inpatient and outpatient healthcare settings	October, 2015
☐	☒	ii. Promote definitional alignment and data element standardization needed to link HAI data across the nation.	
		Other activities or descriptions: *The MSDH, in coordination with the state's health information exchange (HIE), Mississippi Health Information Network (MS-HIN), will coordinate electronic laboratory reporting (ELR) with each eligible hospital in the state. The ELR feed will contain reportable diseases and conditions, including HAI data. The ELR data will be*	

Check Items Underway	Check Items Planned	Items Planned for Implementation (or currently underway)	Target Dates for Implementation
		based on HL7 version 2.5.1 standards. The ELR data will be received in near-real time which will facilitate a more rapid public health response in the event of HAI outbreaks.	
☐	☒	12. Enhance electronic reporting and information technology for healthcare facilities to reduce reporting burden and increase timeliness, efficiency, comprehensiveness, and reliability of the data i. Report HAI data to the public	October, 2015
		Other activities or descriptions: **The MSDH, in coordination with the state's health information exchange (HIE), Mississippi Health Information Network (MS-HIN), will coordinate electronic laboratory reporting (ELR) with each eligible hospital in the state. The ELR feed will contain reportable diseases and conditions, including HAI data. The ELR data will be based on HL7 version 2.5.1 standards. The ELR data will replace manual reporting of Class 2 and 3 diseases and conditions, thereby reducing the burden on the hospital to report and increasing the timeliness of the receipt of the data by receiving the data in near-real time. The data elements contained within the ELR data will be more comprehensive than the manual reports and will be standardized across all reporting facilities leading to improved data quality for analysis.**	
☐	☒	13. Make available risk-adjusted HAI data that enable state agencies to make comparisons between hospitals.	September, 2015 – on going
		Other activities or descriptions: **Hired a HAI Epidemiologist**	

Check Items Underway	Check Items Planned	Items Planned for Implementation (or currently underway)	Target Dates for Implementation
☐	☒	14. Enhance surveillance and detection of HAIs in nonhospital settings	September, 2015 – on going
		Other activities or descriptions: **Hired a HAI Epidemiologist**	

3. Prevention

State implementation of HHS Healthcare Infection Control Practices Advisory Committee (HICPAC) recommendations is a critical step toward the elimination of HAIs. CDC and HICPAC have developed evidence-based HAI prevention guidelines cited in the HHS Action Plan for implementation. These guidelines are translated into practice and implemented by multiple groups in hospital settings for the prevention of HAIs. CDC guidelines have also served as the basis for the Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services (CMS) Surgical Care Improvement Project. These evidence-based recommendations have also been incorporated into Joint Commission standards for accreditation of U.S. hospitals and have been endorsed by the National Quality Forum. Please select areas for development or enhancement of state HAI prevention efforts.

Table 3: State planning for HAI prevention activities

Check Items Underway	Check Items Planned	Items Planned for Implementation (or currently underway)	Target Dates for Implementation
☒	☐	1. Implement HICPAC recommendations i. Develop strategies for implementation of HICPAC recommendations for at least 2 prevention targets specified by the state multidisciplinary group.	September 2015 – on going
		Other activities or descriptions: ***The MSDH will coordinate with the HAI Advisory Committee to address HICPAC recommendations based on the reduction targets selected by the working group. Two priority areas identified by the committee are CLABSIs and CAUTIs.***	
☒	☐	2. Establish prevention working group under the state HAI advisory council to coordinate state HAI collaboratives i. Assemble expertise to consult, advise, and coach inpatient healthcare facilities involved in HAI prevention collaboratives	September 2015 – on going
		Other activities or descriptions: ***The MSDH will coordinate with the HAI Advisory Committee partners to formalize a prevention workgroup to provide technical assistance based***	

Check Items Underway	Check Items Planned	Items Planned for Implementation (or currently underway)	Target Dates for Implementation
		on available staff/financial resources and competing priorities.	

☐ ☐ ☐	☒ ☒ ☒	3. Establish HAI collaboratives with at least 10 hospitals (this may require a multi-state or regional collaborative in low population density regions)
		   i. Identify staff trained in project coordination, infection control, and collaborative coordination
		   ii. Develop a communication strategy to facilitate peer-to-peer learning and sharing of best practices
		   iii. Establish and adhere to feedback from standardized outcome data to track progress
			December, 31, 2010 – ongoing

		Other activities or descriptions: **MSDH will evaluate the role of collaborative and identify existing collaboratives as it relates to ongoing HAI activities. MSDH will assemble a list of actively participating facilities.**	
☒	☐	4. Develop state HAI prevention training competencies i. Consider establishing requirements for education and training of healthcare professionals in HAI prevention (e.g., certification requirements, public education campaigns, and targeted provider education) or work with healthcare partners to establish best practices for training and certification	June, 2015 – on going
		Other activities or descriptions: • **Have developed website for LTCF HAI training modules** • **Partnered with UMMC to provide HAI training** • **HAI Advisory Committee plans to advance mentorship opportunities in collaboration with APIC**	

Check Items Underway	Check Items Planned	Items Planned for Implementation (or currently underway)	Target Dates for Implementation
		• *HAI Advisory Committee members are exploring best practices identified from other states* • *Disseminate existing patient transfer guidelines for MDROs*	
☐	☒	5. Implement strategies for compliance to promote adherence to HICPAC recommendations i. Consider developing statutory or regulatory standards for healthcare infection control and prevention or work with healthcare partners to establish best practices to ensure adherence	October, 2015
☐	☒	ii. Coordinate/liaise with regulation and oversight activities such as inpatient or outpatient facility licensing/accrediting bodies and professional licensing organizations to prevent HAIs	
☐	☒	iii. Improve regulatory oversight of hospitals, enhance surveyor training and tools, and add sources and uses of infection control data	
☐	☒	iv. Consider expanding regulation and oversight activities to currently unregulated settings where healthcare is delivered and work with healthcare partners to establish best practices to ensure adherence	
		Other activities or descriptions: **Work with licensure to expand over site with outpatient infusion centers**	
☐	☒	6. Enhance prevention infrastructure by increasing joint collaboratives with at least 20 hospitals (i.e. this may require a multi-state or	October, 2015

Check Items Underway	Check Items Planned	Items Planned for Implementation (or currently underway)	Target Dates for Implementation
		regional collaborative in low population density regions)	
		Other activities or descriptions: **MSDH will evaluate the role of collaboratives and identify existing collaboratives as it relates to ongoing HAI activities.**	
☐	☒	7. Establish collaborative(s) to prevent HAIs in nonhospital settings (e.g., long term care, dialysis)	Sept, 2015 – on going
		Other activities or descriptions: **Discussed at HAI Advisory Committee September 16, 2015. MS will work with HAI Advisory Committee to establish collaborative with nonhospital settings such as long term care and dialysis center.**	

4. Evaluation and Communication

Program evaluation is an essential organizational practice in public health. Continuous evaluation and communication of findings integrates science as a basis for decision-making and action for the prevention of HAIs. Evaluation and communication allows for learning and ongoing improvement. Routine, practical evaluations can inform strategies for the prevention and control of HAIs. Please select areas for development or enhancement of state HAI prevention efforts.

Table 4: State HAI communication and evaluation planning

Check Items Underway	Check Items Planned	Items Planned for Implementation (or currently underway)	Target Dates for Implementation

☐
 	
☐
	☒
	
	☒
		1. Conduct needs assessment and/or evaluation of the state HAI program to learn how to increase impact
		      i. Establish evaluation activity to measure progress toward targets and
		      ii. Establish systems for refining approaches based on data gathered
			January, 2016

		Other activities or descriptions (not required):	
☒	☐	2. Develop and implement a communication plan about the state's HAI program and about progress to meet public and private stakeholders needs i. Disseminate state priorities for HAI prevention to healthcare organizations, professional provider organizations, governmental agencies, non-profit public health organizations, and the public	October, 2015
		Other activities or descriptions: ***The MSDH will coordinate with the agency's Office of Communications to develop an HAI Prevention Webpage for ongoing dissemination of HAI prevention information/updates. Program staff will utilize the***	

		MSDH Monthly and Morbidity Report for dissemination of HAI Prevention information and updates. *The MSDH will coordinate with the HAI Advisory Committee, the CDC, HHS, and other partners/stakeholders to develop/utilize communication strategies for sharing best practices and standards of care with Mississippi's hospitals, facilities, and stakeholders. The MSDH will coordinate with the CDC, HHS, and other partners to utilize all available outreach resources and training opportunities.*	
☒	☐	3. Provide consumers access to useful healthcare quality measures i. Disseminate HAI data to the public	August, 2015 – on going
		Other activities or descriptions: **HAI Website is developed to disseminate HAI data to the public.**	
☒	☐	4. Guide patient safety initiatives i. Identify priorities and provide input to partners to help guide patient safety initiatives and research aimed at reducing HAIs	September, 2015 – on going
		Other activities or descriptions: **Reviewed with HAI Advisory Committee.**	

Healthcare Infection Control and Response (Ebola-associated activities)

The techniques and practice on which infection control protocols are based form the backbone of infectious disease containment for pathogens that are otherwise amplified and accelerated in healthcare settings. Investments in a more robust infection control infrastructure will prevent many HAIs transmitted to, and among, patients and health care workers.

Check Items Underway	Check Items Planned	Items Planned for Implementation (or currently underway)	Target Dates for Implementation
☒	☐	1. Create an inventory of all healthcare settings in state. List must include at least one infection control point of contact at the facility	October 1, 2015
☒	☐	2. Identify current regulatory/licensing oversight authorities for each healthcare facility and explore ways to expand oversight	
		Other activities or descriptions: ***HAI Epidemiologist has created and will maintain comprehensive list of healthcare settings and will coordinate list with Licensure and HAN Coordinator.***	

☐
☐
☐
	☒
	☒
	☒
		3. Assess readiness of Ebola-designated facilities within the state
		
		  i. Use CDC readiness assessment tool and determine gaps in infection control
		  ii. Address gaps (mitigate gaps)
		  iii. Conduct follow-up assessments
			October 1, 2015

		Other activities or descriptions: ***MSDH and UMMC representatives attended hospital Ebola Training in***	

Check Items Underway	Check Items Planned		Target Dates for Implementation
		Omaha, Nebraska.	

☐ ☐ ☐	☒ ☒ ☒	4. Assess outbreak reporting and response in healthcare facilities
		    i. Use standard assessment tool and determine gaps in outbreak reporting and response
		    ii. Address gaps (mitigate gaps)
		    iii. Track HAI outbreak response and outcome
			October 1, 2015

		Other activities or descriptions: **Outbreak response protocols in development.**	

Table 6: Targeted Healthcare Infection Prevention Programs

Check Items Underway	Check Items Planned	Items Planned for Implementation (or currently underway)	Target Dates for Implementation

☐ ☐ ☐	☒ ☒ ☒	1. Expand infection control assessments
		    i. Expand assessments to other additional facilities and other healthcare settings and determine gaps in infection control
		    ii. Address gaps (mitigate gaps)
		    iii. Conduct follow-up assessments
			October 1, 2015

		Other activities or descriptions: **To conduct assessments as outlined in the ELC Grant.**	
		2. Increase infection control competency and practice in all healthcare settings through training	October 1, 2015

☐	☒	i. Incorporate general infection control knowledge and practice assessments of competency into state licensing board requirements, credentialing, and continuing education requirements for clinical care providers (e.g., medical license, admitting privileges) and/or licensing/accreditation requirements for healthcare facilities.	
☐	☒	ii. Develop a sustainable training program based on CDC guidance and technical assistance to perform training, prioritizing on-site train-the-trainer programs in key domains of infection control, including the incorporation of hands on evaluations and competency assessments of best practices and a system to monitor ongoing compliance and competency.	
		Other activities or descriptions: ***Training collaborative planned with UMMC. Mentorship program proposed by HAI Advisory Committee.***	
☒	☐	3. Enhance surveillance capacity to improve situational awareness, describe emerging threats, and target onsite assessments to implement prevention programs i. Build capacity to analyze data reported by facilities in a defined region to allow for a comprehensive assessment of potential healthcare-associated infection threats, and communicate results with healthcare facilities.	October 1, 2015
☐	☒	ii. Work with CDC to guide analytic direction and identify facilities for prioritized assessments/response	
☐	☒	iii. Improve outbreak reporting capacity by developing an infrastructure that includes clear definitions of infectious threats of epidemiologic importance that are communicated to facilities	
☐	☒	iv. Implement a response plan to address potential emerging threats identified by using enhanced surveillance	
		Other activities or descriptions: ***Hired HAI Epidemiologist***	

Appendix 1

The HHS Action plan identifies metrics and 5-year national prevention targets. These metrics and prevention targets were developed by representatives from various federal agencies, the Healthcare Infection Control Practices Advisory Committee (HICPAC), professional and scientific organizations, researchers, and other stakeholders. The group of experts was charged with identifying potential targets and metrics for six categories of healthcare-associated infections:

- Central Line-associated Bloodstream Infections (CLABSI)
- Clostridium difficile Infections (CDI)
- Catheter-associated Urinary Tract Infections (CAUTI)
- Methicillin-resistant Staphylococcus aureus (MRSA) Infections
- Surgical Site Infections (SSI)
- Ventilator-associated Pneumonia (VAP)

Following the development of draft metrics as part of the HHS Action Plan in January 2009, HHS solicited comments from stakeholders for review.

Stakeholder feedback and revisions to the original draft Metrics

Comments on the initial draft metrics published as part of the HHS Action Plan in January 2009 were reviewed and incorporated into revised metrics. While comments ranged from high level strategic observations to technical measurement details, commenters encouraged established baselines, both at the national and local level, use of standardized definitions and methods, engagement with the National Quality Forum, raised concerns regarding the use of a national targets for payment or accreditation purposes and of the validity of proposed measures, and would like to have both a target rate and a percent reduction for all metrics. Furthermore, commenters emphasized the need for flexibility in the metrics, to accommodate advances in electronic reporting and information technology and for advances in prevention of HAIs, in particular ventilator-associated pneumonia.

To address comments received on the Action Plan Metrics and Targets, proposed metrics have been updated to include source of metric data, baselines, and which agency would coordinate the measure. To respond to the requests for percentage reduction in HAIs in addition to HAI rates, a new type of metric, the standardized infection ratio (SIR), is being proposed. Below is a detailed technical description of the SIR.

Below is a table of the revised metrics described in the HHS Action plan. Please select items or add additional items for state planning efforts.

Metric Number and Label	Original HAI Elimination Metric	HAI Comparison Metric	Measurement System	National Baseline Established (State Baselines Established)	National 5-Year Prevention Target	Coordinator of Measurement System	Is the metric NQF endorsed?
1. CLABSI 1	CLABSIs per 1000 device days by ICU and other locations	CLABSI SIR	CDC NHSN Device-Associated Module	2006-2008 (proposed 2009, in consultation with states)	Reduce the CLABSI SIR by at least 50% from baseline or to zero in ICU and other locations	CDC	Yes[*]
2. CLIP 1 (formerly CLABSI 4)	Central line bundle compliance	CLIP Adherence percentage	CDC NHSN CLIP in Device-Associated Module	2009 (proposed 2009, in consultation with states)	100% adherence with central line bundle	CDC	Yes[†]
3a. C diff 1	Case rate per patient days; administrative/discharge data for ICD-9 CM coded *Clostridium difficile* Infections	Hospitalizations with *C. difficile* per 1000 patient discharges	Hospital discharge data	2008 (proposed 2008, in consultation with states)	At least 30% reduction in hospitalizations with *C. difficile* per 1000 patient discharges	AHRQ	No
3b. C diff 2 (new)		*C. difficile* SIR	CDC NHSN MDRO/CDAD Module LabID[‡]	2009-2010	Reduce the facility-wide healthcare facility-onset *C. difficile* LabID event SIR by at least 30% from baseline or to zero	CDC	No
4. CAUTI 2	# of symptomatic UTI per 1,000 urinary catheter days	CAUTI SIR	CDC NHSN Device-Associated Module	2009 for ICUs and other locations 2009 for other hospital units (proposed 2009, in consultation with states)	Reduce the CAUTI SIR by at least 25% from baseline or to zero in ICU and other locations	CDC	Yes[*]

Metric Number and Label	Original HAI Elimination Metric	HAI Comparison Metric	Measurement System	National Baseline Established (State Baselines Established)	National 5-Year Prevention Target	Coordinator of Measurement System	Is the metric NQF endorsed?
5a. MRSA 1	Incidence rate (number per 100,000 persons) of invasive MRSA infections	MRSA Incidence rate	CDC EIP/ABCs	2007-2008 (for non-EIP states, MRSA metric to be developed in collaboration with EIP states)	At least a 50% reduction in incidence of healthcare-associated invasive MRSA infections	CDC	No
5b. MRSA 2 (new)		MRSA bacteremia SIR	CDC NHSN MDRO/CDAD Module LabID‡	2009-2010	Reduce the facility-wide healthcare facility-onset MRSA bacteremia LabID event SIR by at least 25% from baseline or to zero	CDC	No
6. SSI 1	Deep incision and organ space infection rates using NHSN definitions (SCIP procedures)	SSI SIR	CDC NHSN Procedure-Associated Module	2006-2008 (proposed 2009, in consultation with states)	Reduce the admission and readmission SSI§ SIR by at least 25% from baseline or to zero	CDC	Yes¶
7. SCIP 1 (formerly SSI 2)	Adherence to SCIP/NQF infection process measures	SCIP Adherence percentage	CMS SCIP	To be determined by CMS	At least 95% adherence to process measures to prevent surgical site infections	CMS	Yes

* NHSN SIR metric is derived from NQF-endorsed metric data

† NHSN does not collect information on daily review of line necessity, which is part of the NQF

‡ LabID, events reported through laboratory detection methods that produce proxy measures for infection surveillance

§ Inclusion of SSI events detected on admission and readmission reduces potential bias introduced by variability in post-discharge surveillance efforts

¶ The NQF-endorsed metric includes deep wound and organ space SSIs only which are included the target.

Understanding the Relationship between HAI Rate and SIR Comparison Metrics

The Original HAI Elimination Metrics listed above are very useful for performing evaluations. Several of these metrics are based on the science employed in the NHSN. For example, metric #1 (CLABSI 1) for CLABSI events measures the number of CLABSI events per 1000 device (central line) days by ICU and other locations. While national aggregate CLABSI data are published in the annual NHSN Reports these rates must be stratified by types of locations to be risk-adjusted. This scientifically sound risk-adjustment strategy creates a practical challenge to summarizing this information nationally, regionally or even for an individual healthcare facility. For instance, when comparing CLABSI rates, there may be quite a number of different types of locations for which a CLABSI rate could be reported. Given CLABSI rates among 15 different types of locations, one may observe many different combinations of patterns of temporal changes. This raises the need for a way to combine CLABSI rate data across location types.

A standardized infection ratio (SIR) is identical in concept to a standardized mortality ratio and can be used as an indirect standardization method for summarizing HAI experience across any number of stratified groups of data. To illustrate the method for calculating an SIR and understand how it could be used as an HAI comparison metric, the following example data are displayed below:

Risk Group Stratifier	Observed CLABSI Rates			NHSN CLABSI Rates for 2008 (Standard Population)		
Location Type	#CLABSI	#Central line-days	CLABSI rate*	#CLABSI	#Central line-days	CLABSI rate*
ICU	170	100,000	1.7	1200	600,000	2.0
WARD	58	58,000	1.0	600	400,000	1.5

$$\text{SIR} = \frac{\text{observed}}{\text{expected}} = \frac{170+58}{100000\times\left(\frac{2}{1000}\right)+58,000\times\left(\frac{1.5}{1000}\right)} = \frac{228}{200+87} = \frac{228}{287} = 0.79 \qquad 95\%\text{CI} = (0.628, 0.989)$$

*defined as the number of CLABSIs per 1000 central line-days

In the table above, there are two strata to illustrate risk-adjustment by location type for which national data exist from NHSN. The SIR calculation is based on dividing the total number of observed CLABSI events by an "expected" number using the CLABSI rates from the standard population. This "expected" number is calculated by multiplying the national CLABSI rate from the standard population by the observed number of central line-days for each stratum

which can also be understood as a prediction or projection. If the observed data represented a follow-up period such as 2009 one would state that an SIR of 0.79 implies that there was a 21% reduction in CLABSIs overall for the nation, region or facility.

The SIR concept and calculation is completely based on the underlying CLABSI rate data that exist across a potentially large group of strata. Thus, the SIR provides a single metric for performing comparisons rather than attempting to perform multiple comparisons across many strata which makes the task cumbersome. Given the underlying CLABSI rate data, one retains the option to perform comparisons within a particular set of strata where observed rates may differ significantly from the standard populations. These types of more detailed comparisons could be very useful and necessary for identifying areas for more focused prevention efforts.

The National 5-year prevention target for metric #1 could be implemented using the concept of an SIR equal to 0.25 as the goal. That is, an SIR value based on the observed CLABSI rate data at the 5-year mark could be calculated using NHSN CLABSI rate data stratified by location type as the baseline to assess whether the 75% reduction goal was met. There are statistical methods that allow for calculation of confidence intervals, hypothesis testing and graphical presentation using this HAI summary comparison metric called the SIR.

The SIR concept and calculation can be applied equitably to other HAI metrics list above. This is especially true for HAI metrics for which national data are available and reasonably precise using a measurement system such as the NHSN. The SIR calculation methods differ in the risk group stratification only. To better understand metric #6 (SSI 1) see the following example data and SIR calculation:

Risk Group Stratifiers		Observed SSI Rates			NHSN SSI Rates for 2008 (Standard Population)		
Procedure Code	Risk Index Category	#SSI[†]	#procedures	SSI rate[*]	#SSI[†]	#procedures	SSI rate[*]
CBGB	1	315	12,600	2.5	2100	70,000	3.0
CBGB	2,3	210	7000	3.0	1000	20,000	5.0
HPRO	1	111	7400	1.5	1020	60,000	1.7

$$\text{SIR} = \frac{\text{observed}}{\text{expected}} = \frac{315 + 210 + 111}{12600 \times \left(\frac{3.0}{100}\right) + 7000 \times \left(\frac{5.0}{100}\right) + 7400\left(\frac{1.7}{100}\right)} = \frac{636}{378 + 350 + 125.8} = \frac{636}{853.8} = 0.74 \qquad 95\%\text{CI} = (0.649, 0.851)$$

† SSI, surgical site infection
* defined as the number of deep incision or organ space SSIs per 100 procedures

This example uses SSI rate data stratified by procedure and risk index category. Nevertheless, an SIR can be calculated using the same calculation process as for CLABSI data except using different risk group stratifiers for these example data. The SIR for this set of observed data is 0.74 which indicates there's a 26% reduction in the number of SSI events based on the baseline NHSN SSI rates as representing the standard population. Once again, these data can reflect the national picture at the 5-year mark and the SIR can serve as metric that summarizes the SSI experience into a single comparison.

There are clear advantages to reporting and comparing a single number for prevention assessment. However, since the SIR calculations are based on standard HAI rates among individual risk groups there is the ability to perform more detailed comparisons within any individual risk group should the need arise. Furthermore, the process for determining the best risk-adjustment for any HAI rate data is flexible and always based on more detailed risk factor analyses that provide ample scientific rigor supporting any SIR calculations. The extent to which any HAI rate data can be risk-adjusted is obviously related to the detail and volume of data that exist in a given measurement system.

In addition to the simplicity of the SIR concept and the advantages listed above, it's important to note another benefit of using an SIR comparison metric for HAI data. If there was need at any level of aggregation (national, regional, facility-wide, etc.) to combine the SIR values across mutually-exclusive data one could do so. The below table demonstrates how the example data from the previous two metric settings could be summarized.

HAI Metric	Observed HAIs			Expected HAIs		
	#CLABSI	#SSI†	#Combined HAI	#CLABSI	#SSI†	#Combined HAI
CLABSI 1	228			287		
SSI 1		636			853.8	
Combined HAI			228 + 636 = 864			287+853.8 = 1140.8
SIR = $\dfrac{\text{observed}}{\text{expected}} = \dfrac{228+636}{287+853.8} = \dfrac{864}{1140.8} = 0.76$ 95%CI = (0.673,0.849)						

† SSI (surgical site infection)