CDC FoodCORE Year Six Cumulative Metrics Data
Norovirus, Other Etiology, and Unknown Etiology (NOU)
Report Period: [January 1, 2016] to [December 31, 2016]

Performance Metrics: (See associated document for complete language and definitions)	Norovirus Mean (Range)	Other Etiology Mean (Range)	Unknown Etiology Mean (Range)
1a. Number of investigations	86.7 (14–185) n=10	23 (1–82) n=10	29.4 (1–112) n=10
1b. Number of foodborne or point-source investigations	17.1 (3–48) n=10	12.1 (1–42) n=10	6.5 (0–31) n=10
1c. Number of person-to-person investigations	63.6 (0–185) n=10	9.5 (0–40) n=10	19.1 (0–107) n=10
2a.1 Number; Percent of investigations with clinical specimens submitted to any lab	59 (4–160) 69.5% (27%–100%) n=10	19.8 (1–76) 85.4% (54%–100%) n=10	9.1 (0–32) 37.3% (0%–100%) n=10
2a.2 Number; Percent of investigations with clinical specimens submitted to PHL	42.1 (4–115) 52% (5%–93%) n=10	14.5 (1–49) 59.4% (18%–100%) n=10	6.9 (0–24) 33.1% (0%–100%) n=10
2b. Number; Percent of investigations where clinical specimens were tested for GI viruses at PHL	41.9 (4–115) 99.1% (93%–100%) n=10	4.6 (0–13) 53.7% (0%–100%) n=10	7.4 (1–24) 84.7% (22%–100%) n=9
2b.1 Number; Percent of investigations where specimens were tested for norovirus by RT-PCR at PHL	45.9 (4–115) 98.4% (93%–100%) n=9	3.9 (0–13) 70.1% (0%–100%) n=10	6.6 (0–24) 66.7% (0%–100%) n=9
2b.1.1 Number; Percent of norovirus investigations with positive specimens sequenced & uploaded to CaliciNet	41.7 (17–86) 81.3% (51%–100%) n=6	n/a	n/a
2b.1.1.1 Median days from first norovirus detection via RT-PCR to upload to CaliciNet	3.5 (2–5) n=6	n/a	n/a

CDC FoodCORE Year Six Cumulative Metrics Data
Norovirus, Other Etiology, and Unknown Etiology (NOU)
Report Period: [January 1, 2016] to [December 31, 2016]

Performance Metrics: (See associated document for complete language and definitions)	Norovirus Mean (Range)	Other Etiology Mean (Range)	Unknown Etiology Mean (Range)
2b.2 Number; Percent of investigations where specimens were tested for other viruses at PHL	4.2 (0–17) 20.7% (0%–100%) n=10	2 (0–8) 41% (0%–100%) n=10	1.7 (0–7) 33.8% (0%–100%) n=9
2c. Number; Percent of investigations where specimens were tested for pathogenic bacteria or their toxins, antigens, or antibodies at PHL	17.7 (0–66) 51.2% (0%–100%) n=10	10.3 (0–41) 59.5% (0%–100%) n=10	2.6 (0–8) 68% (0%–100%) n=9
2c.1 Number; Percent of investigations where specimens were tested using culture-based diagnostics at PHL	12.7 (0–57) 56.5% (0%–100%) n=9	9.2 (0–41) 74.8% (0%–100%) n=9	2.6 (0–8) 66.3% (0%–100%) n=8
2c.2 Number; Percent of investigations where clinical specimens were tested using non-culture-based diagnostics at PHL	12.8 (0–52) 49.3% (0%–100%) n=8	9.4 (0–41) 48.9% (0%–100%) n=8	1 (0–4) 37.5% (0%–100%) n=7
2d. Number; Percent of investigations where clinical specimens were tested for parasites at PHL	4 (0–17) 20.4% (0%–100%) n=10	3.5 (0–10) 36.5% (0%–100%) n=10	0.3 (0–1) 17.8% (0%–100%) n=9
3a. Number; Percent of foodborne or point-source investigations with exposure assessments conducted	12.3 (2–39) 80.4% (29%–100%) n=10	9 (1–19) 76.3% (13%–100%) n=10	3.6 (1–7) 77.7% (23%–100%) n=9
3b. Number; Percent of foodborne or point-source investigations where an analytic epidemiologic study was conducted	6.9 (0–23) 44% (0%–75%) n=10	3.6 (0–11) 35.3% (0%–100%) n=10	1.4 (0–3) 30.7% (0%–67%) n=9
4. Number; Percent of foodborne or point-source investigations with suspect vehicle/source identified	2.8 (0–10) 17.1% (0%–60%) n=10	4 (0–17) 34.1% (0%–100%) n=10	0.9 (0–4) 13.2% (0%–40%) n=9
5. Number; Percent of foodborne or point-source investigations with confirmed vehicle/source identified	3.2 (0–11) 22.5% (0%–64%) n=10	3 (0–12) 34.2% (0%–100%) n=10	0.6 (0–3) 10% (0%–43%) n=9

CDC FoodCORE Year Six Cumulative Metrics Data
Norovirus, Other Etiology, and Unknown Etiology (NOU)
Report Period: [January 1, 2016] to [December 31, 2016]

Performance Metrics: (See associated document for complete language and definitions)	Norovirus Mean (Range)	Other Etiology Mean (Range)	Unknown Etiology Mean (Range)
6. Number; Percent of foodborne or point-source investigations with identified source with:			
a. Exclusion of ill person(s)	5 (0–18) 17.9% (0%–48%) n=8	3.1 (0–16) 20.5% (0-100%) n=8	0.6 (0–3) 6.1% (0%–25%) n=5
b. Remediation or closure	4.6 (0–27) 20.4% (0%–69%) n=8	3 (0–14) 22.4% (0%–100%) n=8	0.6 (0–2) 10.9% (0%–67%) n=5
c. Educational campaign	2.5 (0–12) 15.7% (0%–75%) n=8	2.1 (0–8) 10.7% (0%–43%) n=8	1.1 (0–7) 9.1% (0%–50%) n=5
d. Media/public messaging	1 (0–4) 3.3% (0%–9%) n=8	1.4 (0–8) 6.3% (0%–19%) n=8	0.4 (0–3) 1.3% (0%–10%) n=5
e. Regulatory action (recall, hold)	0.8 (0–2) 6.7% (0%–20%) n=8	1 (0–2) 11.3% (0%–33%) n=8	0.3 (0–1) 4.5% (0%–33%) n=5
7. Number; Percent of foodborne or point-source investigations linked to a common location where an on-site EHA was conducted	16.1 (3-45) 94.1% (75%–100%) n=10	9.5 (1–37) 76.3% (13%–100%) n=10	6 (1–26) 87.6% (29%–100%) n=9
8. Number; Percent of foodborne or point-source investigations where food or environmental sample(s) were collected for testing	2.2 (0–11) 11.2% (0%–23%) n=10	2.2 (1–4) 34.2% (7%–100%) n=10	0.6 (0–5) 1.8% (0%–16%) n=9
9. Number; Percent of foodborne or point-source investigations where environmental health partners were contacted	15 (2–39) 83.3% (36%–100%) n=9	7.6 (1–18) 73.9% (38%–100%) n=9	5.8 (1–22) 83.1% (14%–100%) n=8
10. Number; Percent of outbreaks with NORS forms completed	68.6 (13-160) 98.5% (92%–100%) n=10	21.2 (1–79) 89.7% (38%–100%) n=10	24.5 (1–112) 92.7% (78%–100%) n=10