

## 2016-2017 Influenza Season Week 44 ending November 5, 2016

All data are preliminary and may change as more reports are received.

**Synopsis:** During week 44 (October 30-November 5, 2016), influenza activity was low in the United States.

- **Viral Surveillance:** The most frequently identified influenza virus subtype reported by public health laboratories during week 44 was influenza A (H3). The percentage of respiratory specimens testing positive for influenza in clinical laboratories increased slightly but remained low.
- **Pneumonia and Influenza Mortality:** The proportion of deaths attributed to pneumonia and influenza (P&I) was below the system-specific epidemic threshold in the National Center for Health Statistics (NCHS) Mortality Surveillance System.
- **Influenza-associated Pediatric Deaths:** No influenza-associated pediatric deaths were reported.
- **Outpatient Illness Surveillance:** The proportion of outpatient visits for influenza-like illness (ILI) was 1.4%, which is below the national baseline of 2.2%. All 10 regions reported ILI below region-specific baseline levels. Puerto Rico experienced moderate ILI activity, one state experienced low ILI activity, New York City and 49 states experienced minimal ILI activity, and the District of Columbia had insufficient data.
- **Geographic Spread of Influenza:** The geographic spread of influenza in Guam, Puerto Rico, and one state was reported as regional; six states reported local activity; the District of Columbia, the U.S. Virgin Islands and 39 states reported sporadic activity; and four states reported no activity.

### National and Regional Summary of Select Surveillance Components

HHS Surveillance Regions*	Data for current week			Data cumulative since October 2, 2016 (week 40)						
	Out-patient ILI†	Number of jurisdictions reporting regional or widespread activity	% respiratory specimens positive for flu in clinical laboratories‡	A(H1N1) pdm09	A (H3)	A (Subtyping not performed)	B Victoria lineage	B Yamagata lineage	B lineage not performed	Pediatric Deaths
				Influenza test results from public health laboratories only						
<b>Nation</b>	Normal	3 of 54	2.2%	36	267	17	6	10	15	0
<b>Region 1</b>	Normal	1 of 6	0.5%	0	19	0	0	0	0	0
<b>Region 2</b>	Normal	1 of 4	0.9%	0	8	0	1	0	2	0
<b>Region 3</b>	Normal	0 of 6	0.3%	3	11	1	0	1	0	0
<b>Region 4</b>	Normal	0 of 8	4.1%	1	31	2	1	2	6	0
<b>Region 5</b>	Normal	0 of 6	0.8%	1	34	7	1	1	1	0
<b>Region 6</b>	Normal	0 of 5	1.3%	3	3	0	2	5	0	0
<b>Region 7</b>	Normal	0 of 4	0.2%	0	7	1	1	0	1	0
<b>Region 8</b>	Normal	0 of 6	1.1%	23	31	0	0	0	0	0
<b>Region 9</b>	Normal	1 of 5	1.4%	4	58	6	0	1	2	0
<b>Region 10</b>	Normal	0 of 4	4.2%	1	65	0	0	0	3	0

\*<http://www.hhs.gov/about/agencies/staff-divisions/iea/regional-offices/index.html>

† Elevated means the % of visits for ILI is at or above the national or region-specific baseline.

§ Includes all 50 states, the District of Columbia, Guam, Puerto Rico, and the U.S. Virgin Islands

‡ National data are for current week; regional data are for the most recent three weeks.


**U.S. Virologic Surveillance:** WHO and NREVSS collaborating laboratories, which include both public health and clinical laboratories located in all 50 states, Puerto Rico, and the District of Columbia, report to CDC the total number of respiratory specimens tested for influenza and the number positive for influenza by virus type. In addition, public health laboratories also report the influenza A subtype (H1 or H3) and influenza B lineage information for the viruses they test and the age or age group of the persons from whom the specimens were collected.

Additional virologic data can be found at: <http://gis.cdc.gov/grasp/fluview/fluportaldashboard.html> and [http://gis.cdc.gov/grasp/fluview/flu\\_by\\_age\\_virus.html](http://gis.cdc.gov/grasp/fluview/flu_by_age_virus.html).

The results of tests performed by clinical laboratories are summarized below.

	<b>Week 44</b>	<b>Data Cumulative since October 2, 2016 (week 40)</b>
<b>No. of specimens tested</b>	12,410	64,421
<b>No. of positive specimens (%)</b>	268 (2.2%)	1,060 (1.6%)
<b>Positive specimens by type</b>		
<b>Influenza A</b>	163 (60.8%)	626 (59.1%)
<b>Influenza B</b>	105 (39.2%)	434 (40.9%)

**Influenza Positive Tests Reported to CDC by U.S. Clinical Laboratories, National Summary, October 4, 2015 – November 5, 2016**




The results of tests performed by public health laboratories, as well as the age group distribution of influenza positive tests, are summarized below.


	Week 44	Data Cumulative since October 2, 2016 (week 40)
<b>No. of specimens tested</b>	572	3,874
<b>No. of positive specimens*</b>	34	351
<b>Positive specimens by type/subtype</b>		
<b>Influenza A</b>	31 (91.2%)	320 (91.2%)
<b>A(H1N1)pmd09</b>	5 (16.1%)	36 (11.3%)
<b>H3</b>	18 (58.1%)	267 (83.4%)
<b>Subtyping not performed</b>	8 (25.8%)	17 (5.3%)
<b>Influenza B</b>	3 (8.8%)	31 (8.8%)
<b>Yamagata lineage</b>	1 (33.3%)	10 (32.3%)
<b>Victoria lineage</b>	0 (0%)	6 (19.4%)
<b>Lineage not performed</b>	2 (66.7%)	15 (48.4%)

\*The percent of specimens testing positive for influenza is not reported because public health laboratories often receive samples that have already tested positive for influenza at a clinical laboratory and therefore percent positive would not be a valid indicator of influenza activity. Additional information is available at <http://www.cdc.gov/flu/weekly/overview.htm>

### Influenza Positive Tests Reported to CDC by U.S. Public Health Laboratories, National Summary, October 4, 2015 – November 5, 2016



## Age Group Proportions and Total by Influenza Subtype Reported by Public Health Laboratories, 2016-2017 Season



**Influenza Virus Characterization:** CDC characterizes influenza viruses through one or more tests including [genomic sequencing](#), [hemagglutination inhibition \(HI\)](#) and/or neutralization assays. These data are used to compare how similar currently circulating influenza viruses are to the reference viruses used for developing influenza vaccines, and to monitor for changes in circulating influenza viruses. Historically, HI data have been used most commonly to assess the similarity between reference viruses and circulating viruses to suggest how well the vaccine may work until such a time as [vaccine effectiveness estimates](#) are available.


For nearly all virus positive surveillance samples received at CDC, next-generation sequencing is performed to ascertain genomic data of circulating influenza viruses. Viruses can be classified into genetic groups/clades based on analysis of their HA gene segments using phylogenetics and key amino acid changes ([Klimov Vaccine 2012](#)).

A proportion of influenza A (H3N2) viruses don't yield sufficient hemagglutination titers for antigenic characterization using the hemagglutination inhibition test. Therefore, CDC selects a subset of influenza A (H3N2) viruses to test using a focus reduction assay for supplementary antigenic characterization

## Genetic Characterization

During May 22 – November 5, 2016, 1,527 influenza positive specimens were collected and reported by public health laboratories in the United States (figure, left). CDC genetically characterized 301 influenza viruses [24 influenza A (H1N1)pdm09, 192 influenza A (H3N2), and 85 influenza B viruses] collected by U.S. laboratories. The HA gene segment of all influenza A (H1N1)pdm09 viruses analyzed belonged to genetic group 6B.1. Influenza A (H3N2) virus HA gene segments analyzed belonged to genetic groups 3C.2a or 3C.3a. Genetic group 3C.2a includes a newly emerging subgroup known as 3C.2a1. The HA of influenza B/Victoria-lineage viruses all belonged to genetic group V1A. The HA of influenza B/Yamagata-lineage viruses analyzed all belonged to genetic group Y3.

The majority of U.S. viruses submitted for characterization come from state and local public health laboratories. Specimen submission guidance issued to the laboratories request that, if available, 2 influenza A (H1N1), 2 A influenza (H3N2), and 2 influenza B viruses be submitted every other week. [Because of this](#), the number of each virus type/subtype characterized should be approximately equal. In the figure below, the results of tests performed by public health labs are presented on the left and sequence results by genetic group of specimens submitted to CDC are presented on the right.



**Antigenic Characterization:** During May 22 – November 5, 2016, CDC has antigenically characterized 182 influenza viruses [19 influenza A (H1N1)pdm09, 88 influenza A (H3N2), and 75 influenza B viruses] collected by U.S. laboratories.

### **Influenza A Virus [107]**

**A (H1N1)pdm09 [19]:** All 19 (100%) influenza A (H1N1)pdm09 viruses were antigenically characterized using ferret post-infection antisera as A/California/7/2009-like, the influenza A (H1N1) component of the 2016-2017 Northern Hemisphere vaccine.

**A (H3N2) [88]:** 75 (85.2%) of 88 influenza A (H3N2) viruses were antigenically characterized as A/Hong Kong/4801/2014-like, a virus that belongs in genetic group 3C.2a and is the influenza A (H3N2) component of the 2016-2017 Northern Hemisphere vaccine, by HI testing or neutralization testing. Among the viruses which reacted poorly with ferret antisera raised against A/Hong Kong/4801/2014-like viruses, 12 of 13 (92.3%) are more closely related to A/Switzerland/9715293/2013, a virus belonging to genetic group 3C.3a.

### **Influenza B Virus [75]**

**Victoria Lineage [36]:** All 36 (100%) B/Victoria-lineage viruses were antigenically characterized using ferret post-infection antisera as B/Brisbane/60/2008-like, which is included as an influenza B component of the 2016-2017 Northern Hemisphere trivalent and quadrivalent influenza vaccines.

**Yamagata Lineage [39]:** All 39 (100%) B/Yamagata-lineage viruses were antigenically characterized using ferret post-infection antisera as B/Phuket/3073/2013-like, which is included as an influenza B component of the 2016-2017 Northern Hemisphere quadrivalent influenza vaccines.

**Antiviral Resistance:** Testing of influenza A (H1N1)pdm09, influenza A (H3N2), and influenza B virus isolates for resistance to neuraminidase inhibitors (oseltamivir, zanamivir, and peramivir) is performed at CDC using a functional assay. Additional influenza A (H1N1)pdm09 and influenza A (H3N2) clinical samples are tested for mutations of the virus known to confer oseltamivir resistance. The data summarized below combine the results of both testing methods. These samples are routinely obtained for surveillance purposes rather than for diagnostic testing of patients suspected to be infected with antiviral-resistant virus.

High levels of resistance to the adamantanes (amantadine and rimantadine) persist among influenza A (H1N1)pdm09 and influenza A (H3N2) viruses (the adamantanes are not effective against influenza B viruses). Therefore, data from adamantane resistance testing are not presented below.

## Neuraminidase Inhibitor Resistance Testing Results on Samples Collected Since October 1, 2016

	Oseltamivir		Zanamivir		Peramivir	
	Virus Samples tested (n)	Resistant Viruses, Number (%)	Virus Samples tested (n)	Resistant Viruses, Number (%)	Virus Samples tested (n)	Resistant Viruses, Number (%)
<b>Influenza A (H1N1)pdm09</b>	0	0 (0.0)	0	0 (0.0)	0	0 (0.0)
<b>Influenza A (H3N2)</b>	16	0 (0.0)	16	0 (0.0)	16	0 (0.0)
<b>Influenza B</b>	10	0 (0.0)	10	0 (0.0)	10	0 (0.0)


The majority of recently circulating influenza viruses are susceptible to the neuraminidase inhibitor antiviral medications, oseltamivir, zanamivir, and peramivir; however, rare sporadic instances of oseltamivir-resistant and peramivir-resistant influenza A (H1N1)pdm09 viruses and oseltamivir-resistant influenza A (H3N2) viruses have been detected worldwide. Antiviral treatment as early as possible is recommended for patients with confirmed or suspected influenza who have severe, complicated, or progressive illness; who require hospitalization; or who are at [high risk](#) for serious influenza-related complications. Additional information on recommendations for treatment and chemoprophylaxis of influenza virus infection with antiviral agents is available at <http://www.cdc.gov/flu/antivirals/index.htm>.

**[Pneumonia and Influenza \(P&I\) Mortality Surveillance](#)**: Based on National Center for Health Statistics (NCHS) mortality surveillance data available on November 9, 2016, 5.6% of the deaths occurring during the week ending October 22, 2016 (week 42) were due to P&I. This percentage is below the epidemic threshold of 6.6% for week 42.

P&I percentages for recent weeks may be artificially low due to a backlog of records requiring manual processing. Percentages will likely increase to levels more similar to the baseline as more data becomes available.

Region and state-specific data are available at <http://gis.cdc.gov/grasp/fluview/mortality.html>.


Pneumonia and Influenza Mortality from  
the National Center for Health Statistics Mortality Surveillance System  
Data through the week ending October 22, 2016, as of November 9, 2016



**Influenza-Associated Pediatric Mortality:** No influenza-associated pediatric deaths were reported to CDC during week 44.

Additional data can be found at: <http://gis.cdc.gov/GRASP/Fluview/PedFluDeath.html>.

### Number of Influenza-Associated Pediatric Deaths by Week of Death: 2013-2014 season to present




**Influenza-Associated Hospitalizations:** The Influenza Hospitalization Surveillance Network (FluSurv-NET) conducts population-based surveillance for laboratory-confirmed influenza-related hospitalizations in select counties in the Emerging Infections Program (EIP) states and Influenza Hospitalization Surveillance Project (IHSP) states. FluSurv-NET estimated hospitalization rates will be updated weekly starting later this season. Additional FluSurv-NET data can be found at: <http://gis.cdc.gov/GRASP/Fluview/FluHospRates.html> and <http://gis.cdc.gov/grasp/fluview/FluHospChars.html>.











**Outpatient Illness Surveillance:** Nationwide during week 44, 1.4% of patient visits reported through the U.S. Outpatient Influenza-like Illness Surveillance Network (ILINet) were due to influenza-like illness (ILI). This percentage is below the national baseline of 2.2%. (ILI is defined as fever (temperature of 100°F [37.8°C] or greater) and cough and/or sore throat.)

Additional data are available at <http://gis.cdc.gov/grasp/fluview/fluportaldashboard.html>.

### Percentage of Visits for Influenza-like Illness (ILI) Reported by the U.S. Outpatient Influenza-like Illness Surveillance Network (ILINet), Weekly National Summary, 2016-2017 and Selected Previous Seasons



On a regional level, the percentage of outpatient visits for ILI ranged from 0.5% to 2.4% during week 44. All 10 regions reported a proportion of outpatient visits for ILI below their region-specific baseline levels.



NOTE: Scales differ between regions. Regional baselines are calculated each influenza season and may differ from season to season. \*Use of the regional baselines for state data is not appropriate.

— % ILI      ..... Baseline\*




**ILINet State Activity Indicator Map:** Data collected in ILINet are used to produce a measure of ILI activity\* by state. Activity levels are based on the percent of outpatient visits in a state due to ILI and are compared to the average percent of ILI visits that occur during weeks with little or no influenza virus circulation. Activity levels range from minimal, which would correspond to ILI activity from outpatient clinics being below, or only slightly above, the average, to high, which would correspond to ILI activity from outpatient clinics being much higher than average.

During week 44, the following ILI activity levels were experienced:

- Puerto Rico experienced moderate ILI activity.
- One state (Georgia) experienced low ILI activity.
- New York City and 49 states (Alabama, Alaska, Arizona, Arkansas, California, Colorado, Connecticut, Delaware, Florida, Hawaii, Idaho, Illinois, Indiana, Iowa, Kansas, Kentucky, Louisiana, Maine, Maryland, Massachusetts, Michigan, Minnesota, Mississippi, Missouri, Montana, Nebraska, Nevada, New Hampshire, New Jersey, New Mexico, New York, North Carolina, North Dakota, Ohio, Oklahoma, Oregon, Pennsylvania, Rhode Island, South Carolina, South Dakota, Tennessee, Texas, Utah, Vermont, Virginia, Washington, West Virginia, Wisconsin, and Wyoming) experienced minimal ILI activity.
- Data were insufficient to calculate an ILI activity level from the District of Columbia.

**Influenza-Like Illness (ILI) Activity Level Indicator Determined by Data Reported to ILINet  
2016-17 Influenza Season Week 44 ending Nov 5, 2016**



\*This map uses the proportion of outpatient visits to health care providers for influenza-like illness to measure the ILI activity level within a state. It does not, however, measure the extent of geographic spread of flu within a state. Therefore, outbreaks occurring in a single city could cause the state to display high activity levels.

Data collected in ILINet may disproportionately represent certain populations within a state, and therefore, may not accurately depict the full picture of influenza activity for the whole state.


Data displayed in this map are based on data collected in ILINet, whereas the State and Territorial flu activity map is based on reports from state and territorial epidemiologists. The data presented in this map is preliminary and may change as more data are received.

Differences in the data presented here by CDC and independently by some state health departments likely represent differing levels of data completeness with data presented by the state likely being the more complete.

**Geographic Spread of Influenza as Assessed by State and Territorial Epidemiologists:** The influenza activity reported by state and territorial epidemiologists indicates geographic spread of influenza viruses, but does not measure the severity of influenza activity.

During week 44, the following influenza activity was reported:

- Regional influenza activity was reported by Guam, Puerto Rico, and one state (New Hampshire).
- Local influenza activity was reported by six states (California, Hawaii, Maine, Massachusetts, Oklahoma, and Oregon).
- Sporadic influenza activity was reported by the District of Columbia, the U.S. Virgin Islands and 39 states (Alabama, Alaska, Arizona, Arkansas, Colorado, Connecticut, Delaware, Florida, Georgia, Idaho, Indiana, Iowa, Kansas, Kentucky, Louisiana, Maryland, Michigan, Minnesota, Mississippi, Missouri, Montana, Nevada, New Jersey, New Mexico, New York, North Carolina, North Dakota, Ohio, Pennsylvania, South Carolina, South Dakota, Tennessee, Texas, Utah, Virginia, Washington, West Virginia, Wisconsin, and Wyoming).
- No activity was reported by four states (Illinois, Nebraska, Rhode Island, and Vermont).



\* This map indicates geographic spread & does not measure the severity of influenza activity

## Additional National and International Influenza Surveillance Information

**FluView Interactive:** FluView includes enhanced web-based interactive applications that can provide dynamic visuals of the influenza data collected and analyzed by CDC. These FluView Interactive applications allow people to create customized, visual interpretations of influenza data, as well as make comparisons across flu seasons, regions, age groups and a variety of other demographics. To access these tools, visit <http://www.cdc.gov/flu/weekly/fluviewinteractive.htm>.

**U.S. State, territorial, and local influenza surveillance:** Click on a jurisdiction below to access the latest local influenza information.

<a href="#">Alabama</a>	<a href="#">Alaska</a>	<a href="#">Arizona</a>	<a href="#">Arkansas</a>	<a href="#">California</a>
<a href="#">Colorado</a>	<a href="#">Connecticut</a>	<a href="#">Delaware</a>	<a href="#">District of Columbia</a>	<a href="#">Florida</a>
<a href="#">Georgia</a>	<a href="#">Hawaii</a>	<a href="#">Idaho</a>	<a href="#">Illinois</a>	<a href="#">Indiana</a>
<a href="#">Iowa</a>	<a href="#">Kansas</a>	<a href="#">Kentucky</a>	<a href="#">Louisiana</a>	<a href="#">Maine</a>
<a href="#">Maryland</a>	<a href="#">Massachusetts</a>	<a href="#">Michigan</a>	<a href="#">Minnesota</a>	<a href="#">Mississippi</a>
<a href="#">Missouri</a>	<a href="#">Montana</a>	<a href="#">Nebraska</a>	<a href="#">Nevada</a>	<a href="#">New Hampshire</a>
<a href="#">New Jersey</a>	<a href="#">New Mexico</a>	<a href="#">New York</a>	<a href="#">North Carolina</a>	<a href="#">North Dakota</a>
<a href="#">Ohio</a>	<a href="#">Oklahoma</a>	<a href="#">Oregon</a>	<a href="#">Pennsylvania</a>	<a href="#">Rhode Island</a>
<a href="#">South Carolina</a>	<a href="#">South Dakota</a>	<a href="#">Tennessee</a>	<a href="#">Texas</a>	<a href="#">Utah</a>
<a href="#">Vermont</a>	<a href="#">Virginia</a>	<a href="#">Washington</a>	<a href="#">West Virginia</a>	<a href="#">Wisconsin</a>
<a href="#">Wyoming</a>	<a href="#">New York City</a>	<a href="#">Puerto Rico</a>	<a href="#">U.S. Virgin Islands</a>	

**World Health Organization:** Additional influenza surveillance information from participating WHO member nations is available through [FluNet](#) and the [Global Epidemiology Reports](#).

**WHO Collaborating Centers for Influenza** located in [Australia](#), [China](#), [Japan](#), the [United Kingdom](#), and the [United States](#) (CDC in Atlanta, Georgia).

**Europe:** For the most recent influenza surveillance information from Europe, please see WHO/Europe and the European Centre for Disease Prevention and Control at <http://www.flunewseurope.org/>

**Public Health Agency of Canada:** The most up-to-date influenza information from Canada is available at <http://www.phac-aspc.gc.ca/fluwatch/>.

**Public Health England:** The most up-to-date influenza information from the United Kingdom is available at <https://www.gov.uk/government/statistics/weekly-national-flu-reports>.

**Any links provided to non-Federal organizations are provided solely as a service to our users. These links do not constitute an endorsement of these organizations or their programs by CDC or the Federal Government, and none should be inferred. CDC is not responsible for the content of the individual organization web pages found at these links.**

An overview of the CDC influenza surveillance system, including methodology and detailed descriptions of each data component, is available at: <http://www.cdc.gov/flu/weekly/overview.htm>.

Report prepared: November 10, 2016.