PPVs were similar. A relatively high difference explains why F14.1158H was not detected by several PCRs designed for detecting PPV, which should be considered when designing diagnostic protocols. These phylogenetic results and sequence identities, together with the high guanine and cytosine content and disease characteristics, indicate that F14.1158H represents a novel PPV, designated equine parapoxvirus (EqPPV). The final taxonomic position and the possible differences of human and equine-derived variants (6) will require more data.

Most known PPVs are zoonotic, and any novel virus detected in animals should be treated with concern (6). Thus, considering the tendency of PPVs to cause diseases in humans, EqPPV has a zoonotic potential. It is therefore important to sample humans and other animals in contact with infected horses. It is also critical to establish diagnostic protocols due to low specificity and sensitivity of pan-PPV PCR for EqPPV (Appendix). In terms of veterinary importance, this virus poses a threat for horses that could translate to financial losses for owners. The information provided here will inform development of proper diagnostic tools and also enable establishment of prevention measures.

Acknowledgments

We thank Niina Airas for her expert support regarding equine medicine. We also thank Mira Utriainen for technical assistance.

This study was financially supported by the Niemi Foundation, Finnish Foundation of Veterinary Research, and the Erkki Rajakoski Fund of Hippos Finland.

About the Author

Ms. Virtanen is a postdoctoral researcher at the University of Helsinki in the field of clinical microbiology. Her research interests include zoonotic viruses and pathogens in human–animal interface.

References

- Essbauer S, Pfeffer M, Meyer H. Zoonotic poxviruses. Vet Microbiol. 2010;140:229–36. https://doi.org/10.1016/ j.vetmic.2009.08.026
- McInnes CJ, Damon IK, Smith GL, McFadden G, Isaacs SN, Roper RL, et al. ICTV virus taxonomy profile: *Poxviridae* 2023. J Gen Virol. 2023;104:00184. https://doi.org/10.1099/ jgv.0.001849
- Capobianchi MR, Di Caro A, Piubelli C, Mori A, Bisoffi Z, Castilletti C. Monkeypox 2022 outbreak in non-endemic countries: Open questions relevant for public health, nonpharmacological intervention and literature review. Front Cell Infect Microbiol. 2022;12:1005955.

https://doi.org/10.3389/fcimb.2022.1005955

- Ehmann R, Brandes K, Antwerpen M, Walter M, V Schlippenbach K, Stegmaier E, et al. Molecular and genomic characterization of a novel equine molluscum contagiosum-like virus. J Gen Virol. 2021;102:001357. https://doi.org/10.1099/jgv.0.001357
- Airas N, Hautaniemi M, Syrjä P, Knuuttila A, Putkuri N, Coulter L, et al. Infection with possible novel parapoxvirus in horse, Finland, 2013. Emerg Infect Dis. 2016;22:1242–5. https://doi.org/10.3201/eid2207.151636
- Osadebe LU, Manthiram K, McCollum AM, Li Y, Emerson GL, Gallardo-Romero NF, et al. Novel poxvirus infection in 2 patients from the United States. Clin Infect Dis. 2015;60:195–202. https://doi.org/10.1093/ cid/ciu790
- Inoshima Y, Morooka A, Sentsui H. Detection and diagnosis of parapoxvirus by the polymerase chain reaction. J Virol Methods. 2000;84:201–8. https://doi.org/10.1016/ S0166-0934(99)00144-5
- Günther T, Haas L, Alawi M, Wohlsein P, Marks J, Grundhoff A, et al. Recovery of the first full-length genome sequence of a parapoxvirus directly from a clinical sample. Sci Rep. 2017;7:3734. https://doi.org/10.1038/ s41598-017-03997-y
- Yu Z, Zhang W, Fu H, Zou X, Zhao M, Liang S, et al. Genomic analysis of Poxviridae and exploring qualified gene sequences for phylogenetics. Comput Struct Biotechnol J. 2021;19:5479–86. https://doi.org/10.1016/ j.csbj.2021.09.031
- Hautaniemi M, Ueda N, Tuimala J, Mercer AA, Lahdenperä J, McInnes CJ. The genome of pseudocowpoxvirus: comparison of a reindeer isolate and a reference strain. J Gen Virol. 2010;91:1560–76. https://doi.org/10.1099/vir.0.018374-0

Address for correspondence: Jenni Virtanen, Department of Veterinary Biosciences, Faculty of Veterinary Medicine, PO Box 66 00014 University of Helsinki, Helsinki, Finland; email: jenni.me.virtanen@helsinki.fi

Rickettsial Disease Outbreak, Mexico, 2022

Ricardo J. Estrada-Mendizabal, Oscar Tamez-Rivera, Emelina Hinojosa Vela, Paulina Blanco-Murillo, Cordelia Alanis-Garza, Jaime Flores-Gouyonnet, Jessica Suhail Sauceda Garza, Gloria Yolanda Carranza Medina, Lilia Elida García Rodriguez, Alma Rosa Marroquin Escamilla Author affiliations: Tecnologico de Monterrey, Escuela de Medicina y Ciencias de la Salud, Monterrey, Mexico (R.J. Estrada-Mendizabal, O. Tamez-Rivera, P. Blanco-Murillo, C. Alanis-Garza); Secretary of Health of Nuevo Leon, Monterrey (E. Hinojosa Vela, J.S. Sauceda Garza, G.Y. Carranza Medina, L.E. Garcia Rodriguez, A.R. Marroquin Escamilla); Autonomous University of San Luis Potosi, San Luis Potosi, Mexico (J. Flores-Gouyonnet)

DOI: http://doi.org/10.3201/eid2909.230344

Beginning in 2022, Nuevo Leon, Mexico, experienced an outbreak of rickettsioses that is still ongoing despite multidisciplinary control efforts. A total of 57 cases have been confirmed, particularly affecting children. We report a high mortality rate among hospitalized persons in Nuevo Leon. Continuing efforts are required to control the outbreak.

Rickettsioses are life-threatening vectorborne infections transmitted by several arthropods, such as ticks, lice, fleas, and mites (1,2). Rickettsial diseases are an emerging threat in Mexico, particularly in the northern regions, where previous outbreaks have been reported (3). In 2022, the local epidemiologic surveillance department reported 57 confirmed and >500 probable rickettsial disease cases in Nuevo Leon, a semiarid state in northeast Mexico. This unprecedented and alarming increase represents the highest number of rickettsial disease cases in a single year in this region, showing significant contrast with 2021, when only 13 confirmed cases were reported. Although surveillance and preventive measures are continuously in place, additional multidisciplinary strategies were established after the outbreak was declared in May 2022.

The Mexican Institute of Epidemiology defines a probable case of rickettsiosis as a patient with fever and ≥ 2 compatible clinical and laboratory signs. Technicians at the State Laboratory of Public Health of Nuevo Leon perform real-time PCR targeting the *gltA* gene on all probable cases identified <7 days after symptom onset. A positive PCR result requires the presence of a well-defined sigmoid curve, where the 3 PCR-reaction phases are distinguished, plus a quantification cycle value \leq 38. State laboratory staff use an indirect immunofluorescence antibody assay to analyze all samples collected 7–14 days after symptom onset and confirm cases through real-time PCR or, retrospectively, with seroconversion by immunofluorescence

•	•	No. (%) patients							
Characteristic	Total, n = 57	Cured, n = 21	Died, n = 36	p value†					
Patient age, y				0.106					
<4	8	1 (1.7)	7 (12.2)						
4–12	28	12 (21)	16 (28)						
13–18	8	1 (1.7)	7 (12.2)						
>18	13	7 (12.2)	6 (10.5)						
Patient sex		, <i>r</i>		0.768					
F	34	12 (21)	22 (38.5)						
Μ	23	9 (15.7)	14 (24.5)						
Clinical signs‡	n = 48	n = 14	n = 34						
Anemia				0.012					
Yes	32	11 (22.9)	21 (43.7)						
No	16	3 (6.2)	13 (27)						
Thrombocytopenia				0.007					
Yes	47	13 (27)	34 (70.8)						
No	1	1 (2)	Û						
Leukocytosis				0.01					
Yes	17	3 (6.2)	14 (29.1)						
No	31	11 (22.9)	20 (41.6)						
Leukopenia		· · /	· · ·	0.014					
Yes	4	2 (4.1)	2 (4.1)						
No	44	12 (25)	32 (66.6)						
Treatment with doxycycline				0.074					
Yes	52	21 (36.8)	31 (54.3)						
No§	5	0	5 (8.7)						
Time to treatment initiation, h	n = 52	n = 21	n = 31	0.007					
≤24	4	4 (7.6)	0						
>24	48	17 (32.6)	31 (59.6)						

*n values within columns indicate number of patients in category.

†By χ^2 test. Bold indicates statistical significance.

 \pm Anemia: female, hemoglobin <11.6 g/dL; male, hemoglobin <13.2 g/dL). Thrombocytopenia: female, platelets <157 × 10⁹/L; male, platelets <135 × 10⁹/L. Leukocytosis: leukocytes >9.6 × 10⁹ cells/L. Leukopenia: leukocytes <3.4 × 10⁹ cells/L.

§Five patients died before treatment and had their diagnosis confirmed by autopsy.

antibody analysis (4). The data discussed in this report comprise all 57 confirmed cases in 2022.

Compared with results from 2021, the incidence rate of rickettsioses in Nuevo Leon in 2022 rose from 0.2 to 0.9 cases/100,000 inhabitants. Most cases occurred in October (n = 14) and December (n = 9). The median patient age was 10 years (range 1-61 years); 59.6% of case-patients were female and 40.4% male. The pediatric population (≤18 years of age) represented 77% of all cases (Appendix Table, https:// wwwnc.cdc.gov/EID/article/29/9/23-0344-App1. pdf). Most patients required hospitalization (n = 50), and all had a positive history of tick exposure within 2 weeks before symptom onset. More than half of cases (54%) originated in 2 remote municipalities of Nuevo Leon, where most patients had a positive contact history with stray dogs or cats. The most frequent clinical signs were fever (100%), petechial rash (56%), and tachycardia (40%) (Table). Predominant symptoms were headache (75%), abdominal pain (75%), myalgia (74%), and arthralgia (58%). Laboratory findings at hospital admission included anemia in 66% of case-patients, thrombocytopenia in 98% (median platelet count $25 \times 10^{3}/\mu$ L), leukocytosis in 35%, and leukopenia in 8%.

Of the 57 case-patients, 52 were treated with doxycycline; the remaining 5 died before treatment and had their infections diagnosed through autopsy. The



Figure. Brown dog ticks collected by the vector control department of Nuevo Leon, Mexico, in a hard-to-reach municipality.

median time-to-treatment initiation from symptom onset was 4 days, and only 8% of the patients received prompt antibiotic therapy within the first 24 hours of symptom onset. More than half (63%) of the total case-patient population died, and median time from symptom onset to death was 5 days (range 2–17); median length of hospital stay was 1 day (range 0–41). The annual rickettsiosis mortality rate for the region was 0.6 deaths/100,000 inhabitants.

To determine clinical, laboratory, and demographic associations with mortality, we performed χ^2 testing by using SPSS Statistics software (IBM, https://www.ibm.com). We found statistically significant associations with mortality in patients with anemia (p = 0.012), thrombocytopenia (p = 0.007), leukocytosis (p = 0.01), and leukopenia (p = 0.014) at hospital admission. Likewise, a time-to-treatment initiation of 24 hours was associated with survival (p = 0.007). Among the 57 cases, 4 were confirmed as spotted fever group rickettsiosis because of seroconversion to Rickettsia rickettsii antigens, 5 seroconverted to R. typhi and were confirmed as typhus group rickettsiosis, and the remaining 48 cases were tested by molecular analysis and were confirmed as simply rickettsiosis (Rickettsia sp.) - that is, PCR did not discriminate between spotted fever group and typhus group rickettsiae.

An alarming feature of this ongoing outbreak is its high fatality rate (63%). The most recent outbreaks of rickettsiosis in Mexico reported fatality rates of 40% in Sonora and 29% Baja California (5,6). In northeastern Mexico, the brown dog tick (*Rhipicephalus sanguineus*) is highly prevalent (Figure), posing a high risk for rickettsioses (7). Social determinants of health in hard-to-reach municipalities are thought to contribute to the rise in rickettsial disease cases. The abundance of stray animals, lack of healthcare accessibility, and poor disease knowledge may play a significant role in this outbreak.

To date, the local epidemiologic surveillance department has led various interventions in an attempt to control the outbreak by implementing vector control strategies, educating healthcare personnel of high-risk municipalities, designating community champions against rickettsioses, and raising public awareness through media. Clinicians on the Mexico-United States border should have a high index of suspicion of rickettsiosis among febrile patients and consider early empiric antibiotic treatment to reduce mortality risk.

The work on which this report is based was carried out at the Secretary of Health of Nuevo Leon.

About the Author

Mr. Estrada-Mendizabal is a senior medical student at Tecnologico de Monterrey, Escuela de Medicina y Ciencias de la Salud Nuevo Leon, Mexico. His research interests are in public health and infectious diseases, and he is currently undergoing a social service year at the healthcare jurisdictions management department of Nuevo Leon.

References

EMERGING

FECTIOUS DISEASES

Originally published

in June 2014

- 1. Zhang YY, Sun YQ, Chen JJ, Teng AY, Wang T, Li H, et al. Mapping the global distribution of spotted fever group rickettsiae: a systematic review with modelling analysis. Lancet Digit Health. 2023;5:e5-15. https://doi.org/10.1016/ S2589-7500(22)00212-6
- 2. Fang R, Houhamdi L, Raoult D. Detection of Rickettsia prowazekii in body lice and their feces by using monoclonal antibodies. J Clin Microbiol. 2002;40:3358-63. https://doi.org/ 10.1128/JCM.40.9.3358-3363.2002
- Álvarez-Hernández G, Roldán JFG, Milan NSH, Lash RR, 3. Behravesh CB, Paddock CD. Rocky Mountain spotted fever in Mexico: past, present, and future. Lancet

CDC

Infect Dis. 2017;17:e189-96. https://doi.org/10.1016/ S1473-3099(17)30173-1

- 4. General de Epidemiologia D. Lineamientos para la Vigilancia por Laboratorio de las Rickettsiosis (2022). [cited 2023 April 08] https://www.gob.mx/cms/uploads/attachment/ file/694561/LVL_Rickettsiosis_200122.pdf
- 5. Drexler NA, Yaglom H, Casal M, Fierro M, Kriner P, Murphy B, et al. Fatal Rocky Mountain spotted fever along the United States-Mexico border, 2013-2016. Emerg Infect Dis. 2017;23:1621-6. https://doi.org/10.3201/eid2310.170309
- 6. Straily A, Drexler N, Cruz-Loustaunau D, Paddock CD, Alvarez-Hernandez G. Notes from the field: communitybased prevention of Rocky Mountain spotted fever-Sonora, Mexico, 2016. MMWR Morb Mortal Wkly Rep. 2016;65:1302-3. https://doi.org/10.15585/mmwr.mm6546a6
- 7. Salomon J, Fernandez Santos NA, Zecca IB, Estrada-Franco JG, Davila E, Hamer GL, et al. Brown dog tick (Rhipicephalus sanguineus Sensu Lato) infection with endosymbiont and human pathogenic Rickettsia spp., in northeastern México. Int J Environ Res Public Health. 2022;19:6249. https://doi.org/ 10.3390/ijerph19106249

Address for correspondence: Oscar Tamez-Rivera, Av. Batallon de San Patricio 112, Real San Agustin, 66278 San Pedro Garza Garcia, Neuvo Leon, Mexico; email: oscar.tamez@tec.mx

etymologia revisited Zika [zēkə] Virus

Tika virus is a mosquito-borne positive-sense, single-stranded RNA viurus in the family *Flaviviridae*, genus *Flavivirus* that causes a mild, acute febrile illness similar to dengue. In 1947, scientists researching yellow fever placed a rhesus macaque in a cage in the Zika Forest (zika meaning "overgrown" in the Luganda language), near the East African Virus Research Institute in Entebbe, Uganda. A fever developed in the monkey, and researchers isolated from its serum a transmissible agent that was first described as Zika virus in 1952. It was subsequently isolated from a human in Nigeria in 1954. From its discovery until 2007, confirmed cases of Zika virus infection from Africa and Southeast Asia were rare. In 2007, however, a major epidemic occurred in Yap Island, Micronesia. More recently, epidemics have occurred in Polynesia, Easter Island, the Cook Islands, and New Caledonia.

References

- 1. Dick GW, Kitchen SF, Haddow AJ. Zika virus. I. Isolations and serological specificity. Trans R Soc Trop Med Hyg. 1952;46:509-20. http://dx.doi.org/ 10.1016/0035-9203 (52)90042-4
- 2. Hayes EB. Zika virus outside Africa. Emerg Infect Dis. 2009; 15:1347-50. http://dx.doi.org/10.3201/eid1509.090442
- 3. MacNamara FN. Zika virus: a report on three cases of human infection during an epidemic of jaundice in Nigeria. Trans R Soc Trop Med Hyg. 1954;48:139-45. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0035-9203(54)90006-1
- 4. Murphy JD. Luganda-English dictionary. Washington (DC): The Catholic University of America Press; 1972.

https://wwwnc.cdc.gov/eid/article/20/6/et-2014 article

Article DOI: <u>http://doi.org/10.3201/eid2909.230344</u>

EID cannot ensure accessibility for supplementary materials supplied by authors. Readers who have difficulty accessing supplementary content should contact the authors for assistance.

Rickettsial Disease Outbreak, Mexico, 2022

Appendix

Appendix Table. Demographic, clinical, and paraclinical characteristics of confirmed cases of rickettsiosis in Nuevo Leon, 2022.

		`	Main clinical signs								_	Test	IFA	A titers (IgG)	_		
	Age									Abdominal			(PCR [†] or				Final
Case	(y)	Sex	Fever	Headache	Myalgia	Arthralgia	Rash	Nausea	Vomiting	pain	Tachycardia	Sample	IFA)	Acute	Convalescence	Bacteria	Outcome
1	61	F	*	*	*	*	Pet	*	*	*		Serum	IFA	1:64	1:32,768	R. rickettsii	Cured
2	15	F	*	*	*	*	Pet/Pu	*	*	*	*	Whole blood	PCR			R. species	Death
3	37	F	*	*	*	*	Pet/Pu				*	Whole blood	PCR			R. species	Death
4	25	М	*	*	*				*	*		Spleen	PCR			R. species	Death
4	25	IVI										biopsy	PCR				Death
5	10	F	*	*	*	*				*		Whole blood	PCR			R. species	Death
6	8	Μ	*	*	*	*	Pet	*	*	*	*	Whole blood	PCR			R. species	Death
7	9	E	*	*	*	*			*	*		Spleen	PCR			R. species	Death
1	9	Г										biopsy	FUR				Death
8	40	Μ	*		*	*	Mac/Pet	*	*			Serum	IFA	1:2,048	1:8,192	R. typhi	Cured
9	11	F	*	*	*	*						Serum	IFA	<1:64	1:2,048	R. typhi	Cured
10	6	F	*	*	*	*	Mac					Serum	IFA	1:512	1:8,192	R. typhi	Cured
11	56	F	*	*	*	*		*	*	*		Serum	IFA	1:4,096	1:32,768	R. typhi	Cured
12	13	Μ	*	*	*			*	*	*		Serum	IFA	1:64	1:16,384	R. typhi	Cured
13	9	Μ	*		*	*	Mac	*	*			Whole blood	PCR			R. species	Death
14	10	F	*				Pet	*	*	*	*	Whole blood	PCR			R. species	Death
15	5	Μ	*	*			Pet			*		Whole blood	PCR			R. species	Death
16	7	F	*	*			Mac/Pet			*		Whole blood	PCR			R. species	Cured
17	10	Μ	*	*	*			*		*		Whole blood	PCR			R. species	Cured
18	46	Μ	*	*						*		Whole blood	PCR			R. species	Cured
19	37	Μ	*		*	*	Mac/Pet				*	Whole blood	PCR			R. species	Death
20	3	Μ	*				Mac/Pet			*	*	Whole blood	PCR			R. species	Death
21	13	F	*	*	*	*	Pu	*	*	*	*	Whole blood	PCR			R. species	Death
22	17	F	*	*				*	*	*		Whole blood	PCR			R. species	Death
23	6	Μ	*	*						*		Whole blood	PCR			R. species	Cured
24	7	F	*				Mac					Whole blood	PCR			R. species	Cured
25	23	F	*	*	*	*	Mac/Pet					Whole blood	PCR			R. species	Cured
26	2	_	*				Mac/Pu/P		*	*	*	Whole blood	PCR			R. species	Death
20	2	Г					et										
27	6	Μ	*		*	*	Mac/Pet	*		*	*	Whole blood	PCR			R. species	Death
28	12	F	*	*	*	*			*	*	*	Whole blood	PCR			R. species	Death

			Main clinical signs									=	Test	IFA titers (IgG)		_	
	Age		_							Abdominal			(PCR [†] or				Final
Case	(y)	Sex	Fever	Headache	Myalgia	Arthralgia	Rash	Nausea	Vomiting	pain	Tachycardia	Sample	IFA)	Acute	Convalescence	Bacteria	Outcome
29	14	М	*	*	*	*	Mac/Pu/P et	*	*	*		Whole blood	PCR			R. species	Death
30	3	F	*	*	*	*		*		*	*	Whole blood	PCR			R. species	Death
31	12	F	*	*	*		Mac/Pet					Whole blood	PCR			R. species	Death
32	4	F	*	*	*	*	Pet			*		Whole blood	PCR			R. species	Cured
33	3	F	*	*	*	*	Mac/Pet	*	*	*		Whole blood	PCR			R. species	Cured
34	5	М	*	*			Mac/Pu/P et				*	Whole blood	PCR			R. species	Cured
35	61	F	*	*	*	*	Mac	*	*	*		Whole blood	PCR			R. species	Death
36	1	M	*				Pet	*	*	*	*	Whole blood	PCR			R. species	Death
37	16	M	*	*	*	*	Mac/Pet/P	*	*	*	*	Whole blood	PCR			R. species	Death
38	8	F	*		*	*	u Mac/Pet/P u			*	*	Whole blood	PCR			R. species	Cured
39	3	М	*		*		Pet	*	*	*		Whole blood	PCR			R. species	Death
10	18	F	*	*	*	*	1.01				*	Whole blood	PCR			R. species	Death
1	7	F	*	*	*		Mac/Pet		*	*		Whole blood	PCR			R. species	Death
42	5	F	*				Mac/Pet			*		Serum	IFA	<1:64	1:32,768	R. rickettsii	Cured
13	10	F	*	*			Mac/Pu	*	*	*	*	Whole blood	PCR	1.01	1.02,700	R. species	Death
14	31	F	*	*			mao/r a		*	*	*	Whole blood	PCR			R. species	Death
45	6	M	*	*	*	*	Mac/Pet	*	*	*	*	Whole blood	PCR			R. species	Death
6	3	F	*		*	*	Mac/Pet			*		Whole blood	PCR			R. species	Death
.7	50	F	*	*	*	*				*		Whole blood	IFA	1:64	1:256	R. rickettsii	Cured
8	37	M	*	*	*	*			*	*		Serum	IFA	1:64	1:256	R. rickettsii	Cured
.9	12	М	*	*	*		Pet	*	*			Whole blood	PCR			R. species	Death
50	8	М	*	*	*		Pet	*	*	*		Whole blood	PCR			R. species	Death
51	1	F	*				Pet	*	*	*		Whole blood	PCR			R. species	Death
52	7	М	*	*	*	*	Mac	*	*		*	Whole blood	PCR			R. species	Cured
3	41	F	*	*	*	*	Mac/Pet		*	*	*	Whole blood	PCR			R. species	Death
54	13	F	*	*	*		Mac/Pet/P u				*	Whole blood	PCR			R. species	Death
55	10	М	*	*	*	*	5	*		*		Whole blood	PCR			R. species	Cured
56	8	F	*	*	*	*	Mac		*	*		Whole blood	PCR			R. species	Death
57	7	F	*	*	*	*	Mac/Pet	*	*	*	*	Whole blood	PCR			R. species	Death

[†]Whole genome sequence (WGS) was performed on all patients with a positive RT-PCR. * = present; blank = absent; Mac = macular; Pu = purpuric; Pet = petechial; PCR = polymerase chain reaction; IFA = immunofluorescence assay; IgG = immunoglobulin G