Estimated Effect of Inactivated Poliovirus Vaccine Campaigns, Nigeria and Pakistan, January 2014–April 2016

Technical Appendix

Technical Appendix Table 1. Incidence of poliomyelitis associated with cVDPV2 and prevalence of this virus in environmental samples in Nigeria in the 90-d period before and after campaigns with different vaccine types*

Vaccino		Poliomyelitis incidence				Virus	Virus prevalence in environment, %			
type(s) and	No	No Before After p					Before After n			
type(s) and	nu.	compoign	Antei		P Voluo	compoign	Antei	DD (05% CI)8	p voluo	
	campaigns	campaign	campaign	IKK (95 % CI)§	value	campaign	campaign	FK (95% CI)3	value	
	66	0.00	0.14	0.17	0 000	10 0 (7/50)	10(1/52)	0.16	0.00	
All	55	0.82	0.14	0.17	0.023	13.2 (7/53)	1.9 (1/53)	0.16	0.09	
A .1	0	(12/14.59)	(2/14.59)	(0.039–0.783)				(0.019–1.334)		
Adamawa	0	-	-			-	-			
Borno	27	1.94 (11/5.67)	0.18 (1/5.67)			25.0 (3/12)	0.0 (0/10)			
FCT, Abuja	0	-	-			-	-			
Jigawa	0	-	-			-	-			
Kaduna	2	0.00	0.00			12.5 (1/8)	0.0 (0/8)			
		(0/1.01)	(0/1.01)			. ,				
Kano	8	0.00	0.00			0.0 (0/13)	0.0 (0/13)			
		(0/4.22)	(0/4.22)			()	· · · ·			
Katsina	0	-	-			-	-			
Kebbi	Õ	-	-			-	-			
Lagos	Õ	-	-			-	-			
Sokoto	3 3	0.00	0.00			15.8 (3/20)	0.0 (0/19)			
Conoto	0	(0/0.90)	(0/0 90)			10.0 (0/20)	0.0 (0/10)			
Vohe	15	0.36	0.36			_	33 3 (1/3)			
TODE	15	(1/2 70)	(1/2 70)			-	55.5 (1/5)			
		(1/2.73)	(1/2.73)							
	2750	0.01	0.01	0.50	0 201	4 5	2 5 (0/252)	0.45	0.026	
All	2759	0.01 (7/620.95)	0.01		0.391	4.0	2.5 (9/353)		0.036	
A ala an anna	07	(7/639.65)	(4/555.62)	(0.16 - 1.97)		(10/397)	O(O(4 4))	(0.21–0.95)		
Adamawa	97	0.00	0.00			0 (0/20)	0 (0/14)			
		(0/17.84)	(0/14.66)			0 (0 (0 0)	0.4.440			
Borno	90	0.00	0.00			0 (0/33)	2.4 (1/42)			
		(0/16.60)	(0/15.42)							
FCT, Abuja	24	0.00	0.16			0 (0/20)	0 (0/19)			
		(0/6.73)	(1/6.29)							
Jigawa	108	0.09	0.00			0 (0/27)	4 (1/25)			
		(2/21.96)	(0/18.83)							
Kaduna	96	0.00	0.00			18.2 (6/33)	9.1 (3/33)			
		(0/29.77)	(0/26.56)							
Kano	176	0.09	0.05			21.9 (7/32)	7.1 (2/28)			
		(4/45.29)	(2/39.97)							
Katsina	136	0.03	0.00			0 (0/39)	0 (0/25)			
		(1/29.61)	(0/25.47)			. ,	. ,			
Kebbi	84	`0.00 ´	`0.00 ´			0 (0/39)	0 (0/29)			
		(0/16.87)	(0/14.42)			()	()			
Lados	54	0.00	0.00			0 (0/53)	0 (0/42)			
Lagoo	0.	(0/38.46)	(0/32.64)			0 (0,00)	0 (0, 12)			
Sokoto	102	0.00	0.00			6 4 (5/78)	27(2/73)			
CONOLO	102	(0/18 88)	(0/16 61)			0.4 (0/70)	2.1 (2/10)			
Vohe	56	0.00	0.12			0 (0/23)	0 (0/23)			
1000	50	(0/8 66)	(1/8 16)			0 (0/23)	0 (0/23)			
		(0/0.00)	(1/0.10)							
	020	0.04	0.04	1 1 /	0 868	12 5 (0/72)	25.4	1 76	0.146	
All	030	0.04 (2/24 02)	(2/71 00)	1.14	0.000	12.5 (8/12)	20.4 (16/62)	1./U /0.0.0.70\	0.145	
		(3/04.02)	(3/71.09)	(0.23–3.02)			(10/03)	(0.02-3.78)		

		Poliomyelitis incidence				Virus	prevalence i	n environment, %	, 0
Vaccine		(no.	cases/100,0	000 child-years)‡	(no. positive samples/no. tested)				
type(s) and	No.	Before	After		р	Before	After		р
state	campaigns†	campaign	campaign	IRR (95% CI)§	value	campaign	campaign	PR (95% CI)§	value
Adamawa	21	0.00	-			0 (0/1)	-		
		(0/0.05)							
Borno	51	0.00	0.47			60 (3/5)	78 (7/9)		
		(0/4.99)	(2/4.25)						
FCT, Abuja	0	-	-			-	-		
Jigawa	79	0.00	0.00			-	-		
		(0/7.26)	(0/6.91)						
Kaduna	72	0.00	0.00			20 (3/15)	0.0 (0/8)		
		(0/11.36)	(0/8.94)						
Kano	133	0.20	0.07			18 (2/11)	30 (3/10)		
		(3/14.93)	(1/14.37)						
Katsina	107	0.00	0.00			13 (1/8)	14 (1/7)		
		(0/10.97)	(0/7.73)						
Kebbi	66	0.00	0.00			0 (0/13)	0.0 (0/4)		
		(0/5.39)	(0/3.94)						
Lagos	0	-	-			-	-		
Sokoto	61	0.00	0.00			0 (0/19)	20 (5/25)		
		(0/4.53)	(0/5.23)			· · · ·	· · · ·		
Yobe	49	`0.00 ´	`0.00 ´			-	-		
		(0/3.64)	(0/3.25)						

*bOPV, bivalent oral poliovirus vaccine; FCT, Federal Capital Territory; IPV, inactivated poliovirus vaccine; IRR, incidence rate ratio; PR, prevelance ratio; tOPV, trivalent oral poliovirus vaccine; -, indicate no district-campaign observations for that vaccine type and state.

†Campaigns in each district were counted separately.

‡Children 0–14 y of age, corresponding to the age range for acute flaccid paralysis surveillance.

§By mixed-effects regression.

Technical Appendix Table 2. Sensitivity analysis of incidence rate and prevalence ratios estimated from clinical and environmental data from Nigeria to the period of time considered before and after each campaign*

Ratio and			Time period		
vaccine type	30 d	60 d	90 d	120 d	150 d
IRR (95% CI)					
IPV+tOPV	0.85 (0.13–5.64)	0.27 (0.056–1.28)	0.17 (0.039–0.78)	0.16 (0.036–0.72)	0.22 (0.063-0.770)
tOPV	2.18 (0.41–11.6)	1.40 (0.42–4.69)	0.59 (0.18–1.97)	1.11 (0.40–3.11)	0.55 (0.17–1.82)
bOPV	1.86 (0.78-4.47)	4.12 (0.96-17.70)	1.14 (0.23-5.62)	12.3 (0.57-265)	NA
PR (95% CI)					
IPV+tOPV	1.29 (0.13–12.5)	0.38 (0.046–3.19)	0.16 (0.019–1.33)	NA	0.052 (0.006-0.424)
tOPV	0.73 (0.32-1.69)	0.47 (0.22–1.00)	0.45 (0.21–0.95)	NA	0.37 (0.18–0.76)
bOPV	1.36 (0.75-2.46)	1.59 (0.83-3.02)	1.76 (0.82–3.78)	NA	NA

*bOPV, bivalent oral poliovirus vaccine; IPV, inactivated poliovirus vaccine; IRR, incidence rate ratio; NA, regression estimate did not converge; PR, prevalence ratio; tOPV, trivalent oral poliovirus vaccine.

Technical Appendix Table 3. Incidence of poliomyelitis associated with serotype-1 wild-type poliovirus and prevalence of this virus in environmental samples before and after vaccination campaigns with different poliovirus vaccine, Pakistan, January 2014–April 2016*

						Envi	ronmental pro	evalence, %,	
		No. cases/100,000 child-years‡				(no. pos	itive samples	/total no. teste	ed)
				IRR					
Vaccine type(s),	No.	Before	After	(95%	р	Before	After	PR	р
Pakistan state	campaigns†	campaign	campaign	CI)§	value	campaign	campaign	(95% CI)§	value
IPV+tOPV									
All	133	0.15	0.16	1.01	0.979	23.0	21.2	0.88	0.574
		(19/122.65)	(14/87.44)	(0.50-2.02)		(34/148)	(22/104)	(0.56-1.38)	
		. ,	. ,	,		. ,	. ,	,	
Balochistan	11	1.44	0.48			33.3	31.3		
		(10/6.96)	(3/6.21)			(12/36)	(10/32)		
FATA	4	0.48	` 3.07 ´			_	· – ´		
		(1/2.10)	(6/1.95)						
Islamabad	0	· – ´	` — ´			_	_		
Khyber	59	0.15	0.20			23.5 (8/34)	11.8 (2/17)		
Pakhtunkhwa		(7/48.06)	(5/25.46)			. ,	. ,		
Punjab	8	0.00	`0.00 ´			6.3 (1/16)	21.4 (3/14)		
•		(0/22.34)	(0/22.34)			. ,	. ,		
Sindh	51	0.02	0.00			21.0	17.1 (7/41)		
		(1/43.20)	(0/31.48)			(13/62)	· · · ·		

				Environmental prevalence, %,					
		No. cases/100,000 child-years‡			(no. pos	itive samples	/total no. teste	ed)	
				IRR					
Vaccine type(s),	No.	Before	After	(95%	р	Before	After	PR	р
Pakistan state	campaigns†	campaign	campaign	CI)§	value	campaign	campaign	(95% CI)§	value
tOPV									
All	590	0.31	0.24	0.79	0.039	23.6	22.8	0.93	0.586
		(173/553.00	(134/547.1	(0.63-0.99)		(70/296)	(73/320)	(0.71 - 1.22)	
)	6)	. ,		. ,	. ,	. ,	
Balochistan	117	0.28	0.41			40.0 (6/15)	28.0 (7/25)		
		(7/24.79)	(10/24.31)			· · ·	· · ·		
FATA	61	6.69	4.42			_	_		
		(115/17.19)	(69/15.63)						
Islamabad	10	0.00	0.00			18.2 (2/11)	0.0 (0/12)		
		(0/7.10)	(0/7.41)			. ,	. ,		
Khyber	67	0.58	0.54			27.6 (8/29)	27.1		
Pakhtunkhwa		(32/55.31)	(36/66.66)			· · ·	(13/48)		
Punjab	141	0.01	0.00			13.4	15.0		
		(3/330.27)	(1/314.73)			(21/157)	(22/147)		
Sindh	126	0.15	0.17			39.3	35.2		
		(16/105.38)	(18/106.04			(33/84)	(31/88)		
)						
bOPV									
All	421	0.07	0.08	1.02	0.963	29.3	27.7	0.98	0.954
		(12/174.80)	(7/85.10)	(0.41–2.58)		(17/58)	(13/47)	(0.58–1.67)	
Balochistan	71	0.28	0.37			40.0 (4/10)	20.0 (1/5)		
		(2/7.26)	(1/2.73)						
FATA	21	0.00	0.00			_	-		
		(0/0.49)	(0/0.03)						
Islamabad	2	0.00	-			_	-		
		(0/0.02)							
Khyber	66	0.20	0.06			16.7 (2/12)	15.4 (2/13)		
Pakhtunkhwa		(5/24.39)	(1/17.27)						
Punjab	92	0.01	0.02			0.0 (0/16)	7.7 (1/13)		
		(1/100.50)	(1/42.76)						
Sindh	125	0.11	0.19			55.0	56.3 (9/16)		
		(4/36.73)	(4/21.10)			(11/20)			

*Data are for the 90-d period before and after the campaigns. bOPV, bivalent oral poliovirus vaccine; FATA, Federally Administered Tribal Areas; IPV, inactivated poliovirus vaccine; IRR, incidence rate ratio; PR, prevalence ratio; tOPV, trivalent oral poliovirus vaccine; ---, indicate no districtcampaign observations for that vaccine type and state.

†Campaigns in each district were counted separately.

‡Children 0–14 y of age, corresponding to the age range for acute flaccid paralysis surveillance.

§By mixed-effects regression.

Technical Appendix Table 4. Sensitivity analysis of incidence rate and prevalence ratios estimated from clinical and environmental data from Pakistan to the period of time considered before and after each poliovirus vaccination campaign*

Ratio and			Time period		
vaccine type	30 d	60 d	90 d	120 d	150 d
IRR (95% CI)					
IPV+tOPV	2.12 (0.64,7.10)	0.82 (0.34,1.97)	1.01 (0.50,2.02)	0.75 (0.39,1.42)	0.56 (0.33,0.95)
tOPV	0.75 (0.52,1.06)	0.88 (0.69,1.14)	0.79 (0.63,0.99)	0.80 (0.64,1.00)	0.67 (0.53,0.86)
bOPV	0.56 (0.38,0.84)	0.91 (0.51,1.63)	1.02 (0.41,2.58)	NA	0.49 (0.039,6.293)
PR (95% CI)					
IPV+tOPV	0.50 (0.21,1.20)	0.75 (0.44,1.28)	0.88 (0.56,1.38)	0.71 (0.46,1.10)	0.85 (0.59,1.23)
tOPV	1.00 (0.67,1.48)	0.94 (0.71,1.26)	0.93 (0.71,1.22)	1.01 (0.78,1.32)	1.00 (0.76,1.30)
bOPV	0.77 (0.55,1.08)	0.93 (0.59,1.48)	0.98 (0.58,1.67)	1.00 (0.41,2.43)	0.61 (0.087,4.332)

*bOPV, bivalent oral poliovirus vaccine; IPV, inactivated poliovirus vaccine; IRR, incidence rate ratio; NA, regression estimate did not converge; PR, prevalence ratio; tOPV, trivalent oral poliovirus vaccine.