FDA Update

(CLIAC, February 16, 2005)

Jean Cooper, MS,DVM Director Division of Chemistry & Toxicology Devices HHS/FDA/CDRH/OIVD

Office of In Vitro Diagnostics

- One organizational unit to regulate all IVDs through their Total Product Life Cycle using Knowledge Management
- One stop shopping
- Regulation from common technical base

Office of In Vitro Diagnostics

- Goal to better connect
 - Premarket review
 - Compliance/Enforcement actions
 - Postmarket monitoring

Office of In Vitro Diagnostics

- Three divisions support all technical decision making
- Compliance in matrix form
- MDR analysts are embedded in divisions
- Cross hires with postmarketing and research groups
- Total regulatory staff now about 85 FTE

Multi-Tasking Work Force

- Premarket Review -- 650 actions/ year
- Compliance Actions 130 to 150/ year (range from recalls to enforcement letters to seizures)
- MDR surveillance 10,000 reports/ year

CLIA Initiatives

- Waiver guidance
 - Expected summer 2005
 - Tri-agency effort
 - Build off of CLIAC recommendations

CLIA Initiatives

- Re-delegation of authority
- Tri-agency agreement
- SOPs in place

Current CLIA

- 2000 classifications per year
- Waived 8 %
- Moderate 80%
- High 12%

Current CLIA -- good news

- New tracking system
- Personnel well trained in process

Current CLIA -- bad news

- Lack of guidance produces regulatory uncertainty for both sponsors and FDA
- Lack of guidance produces problem reviews for FDA and sponsors

Other Initiatives -- the OIVD Web Page

- Primary goal is transparency
- Standardized review template posted
- Public compliance actions posted
- Laboratory safety information posted

Other Initiatives -- the OIVD Web Page

- Recent face lift -- new tranquil blue look
- Try it, you may like it

www.fda.gov/cdrh/oivd

Guidance Document Development

- Son (daughter) of Multiplex
- Joint Drug and Diagnostic
- Future documents in area of genomics/genetics
- Current revision of older documents

Turbo 510(k)

- First three submissions
- Others in pipeline
- Move toward paperless and streamlined future

Refinement of Review Tools

- Promotion of Pre-IDEs (protocol reviews)
- Use of expedited reviews for new technologies
- Use of de novos for some cutting edge technologies (allows automatic downclassification of devices which by default would be class III)

Fruit of This Labor

Rapid introduction of new technologies such as

- West Nile antibody testing
- Tandem Mass Spec for Inborn Errors of Metabolism
- Affymetrix Reader/ Roche P450 AmpliChip

Loose Ends -- ASRs/Home brews

- Awkward product specific queries
- Ongoing compliance evaluation, issues, and actions
- Issues of non-parity and non-congruity between CLIA and FDA processes unresolved

ASRs/Home brews

- FDA commitment to work toward clarity
- AdvaMed Developed Q and A's now being shared with professional groups; basis for possible future guidance

Loose Ends -- Informed Consent

- Discussion of issue is both hierarchial and broad
- Multiple players within and outside CDRH
- Increased appreciation of non-congruence between HHS (common) rule and FDA requirements

Loose Ends -- Informed Consent

- Clear work plan
- Move toward guidance or changes in regulation if appropriate
- Unclear time line
- High level HHS interest in harmonization

Future Goals

- Continue to re-balance programs to reflect Total Product Life Cycle regulation
- Better coordination of patient safety efforts
- Clarify or develop clearer regulatory positions

Critical Path

- Generated out of the Office of Commissioner
- Available on web page
- Focused on improving flow of new technology from research bench to clinical bed side

Critical Path

- Not IVD specific (more drug focused)
- Does refer explicitly to Biomarkers
- As valuable diagnostic tools
- As valuable tools to assist in drug development

Critical Path

- Does resonate with IVD industry
- Weighed in with comments to docket
- Weighed in at last IVD Round Table
- Model for IVDs may be somewhat different than for therapeutic products

Critical Path for Medical Product Development* Concept Model for IVD Roundtable Discussion

Model Presented in FDA Report

Basic Prototype Design, Discovery Preclinical Studies Phase 1 Phase 2 Phase 3 FDA Filing Approval Launch

Basic Research fundamental understanding of the biology and disease

Prototype/Design & Discovery - creates or selects molecules

<u>Preclinical/translational</u>
<u>Research</u> - drives discovery to clinical evaluation, pre-IND

<u>Clinical Development/Critical Path</u> - proves safety/utility/ effectiveness, improves R&D process, establish tools, scale-up, IND

FDA Filing - final application review, approval postmarket activities

^{*} From: Innovation/Stagnation, FDA report on Challenge and Opportunity on the Critical Path to New Medical Products. March 2004

Regulation May Not Be Only or Predominant Obstacle

- Science nuanced and complex; methods and materials poorly standardized
- Economic competing choices, disincentives, patents, and conflicting cultures
- Legal and social issues

OIVD Goals

- Wisely use existing regulatory tool box
- Ensure review transparency and clear labeling
- Meet the letter and spirit of the law to have a "least burdensome" review threshold
- Proactively partner in translational phase of product development

OIVD

- Unique product line
- Unique organizational program
- Unique policy challenges

OIVD

- Government partners (NIH, CDC, CMS, HRSA)
- Professional partners
- Industry partners

OIVD

- Right resources
- Right regulatory support
- Right communication
- Potential for success or interesting failures

Concerns

- Translating work products into reality
- Finding the right balance
- Keeping our eye on the ball

Winning Hand

- Passionate cadre of innovative scientists
- Regulate an industry with imagination, energy, verve and healthy competitive spirit
- Clear public health vision
- Public commitment to good science