



2011-2012

Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System

Asthma Call-Back Survey

**History
and
Analysis Guidance**



**National
Asthma
Control
Program**

Version 1.0.0
1/15/2014

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

The Asthma call-back Survey (ACBS) is funded by the National Asthma Control Program (NACP) in the Air Pollution and Respiratory Health Branch of the National Center for Environmental Health (NCEH). The ACBS is jointly administered with the Division of Population Health, National Center for Chronic Disease Prevention and Health Promotion (NCCDPHP).

NCEH and NCCDPHP greatly appreciate the efforts of the BRFSS staff in each ACBS participating state.

Paul Garbe, DVM, MPH
Chief, Air Pollution and Respiratory Health Branch
Division of Environmental Hazards and Health Effects
National Center for Environmental Health, CDC
MS F-60
4770 Buford Highway
Atlanta GA 30341
770-488-3700

Carol A. Gotway Crawford, PhD
Chief, Population Health Surveillance Branch
Division of Population Health
National Center for Chronic Disease Prevention and Health Promotion
Centers for Disease Control and Prevention
1600 Clifton Road NE Mail Stop E97
Atlanta, GA 30333 USA

Phone: (404) 498-6023
Fax: (404) 498-0595
E-mail: cdg7@cdc.gov

Asthma Call-Back Survey History

What is health surveillance?

The cornerstone of CDC's work has always been surveillance. Public health surveillance is the ongoing, systematic collection, analysis, interpretation, and dissemination of data regarding a health-related event for use in planning and delivering public health action to reduce morbidity (disease) and mortality (death) and to improve health. Data disseminated by a public health surveillance system can be used for immediate public health action, program planning and evaluation, and formulating research hypotheses. Examples of ways surveillance data are used include:

- guiding immediate action in a public health emergency;
- measuring the prevalence of a disease;
- identifying populations at high risk for disease;
- monitoring disease outbreaks;
- planning, implementing, and evaluating programs to prevent and control disease, injury, and adverse exposure
- monitoring behavior that increases health risk.

Why do we need asthma surveillance?

Asthma is one of the nation's most-common and costly chronic conditions, affecting over 38 million Americans at some time in their lives. An estimated 8.6 million adults and 4.1 million children had an asthma attack in the past twelve months (2008 NHIS). The cost of asthma is estimated to be over \$30 billion a year. Asthma can also be life threatening; over 3,600 people die from asthma each year.

CDC's National Asthma Control Program plays a critical role in addressing the health risk. The program funds states, cities, and school programs to help them improve surveillance of asthma, train health professionals, educate individuals with asthma and their families, and explain asthma to the public. The National Asthma Control Program is a function of the Air Pollution and Respiratory Health Branch (APRHB) of the Division of Environmental Hazards and Health Effects in the National Center for Environmental Health.

Although much has been learned in recent years about asthma management and control, the information still needs to be put into sound public health practice. Managing asthma requires a long-term, multifaceted approach, including patient education, behavior changes, asthma trigger avoidance, pharmacological therapy, and frequent medical follow-up. Asthma data need to be available at the state and local level to direct and evaluate interventions undertaken by asthma control programs located in state health departments. Improved tracking for asthma is critical for planning and evaluating efforts to reduce the health burden from this disease.

In addition, Healthy People 2020 (HP2020) goals include many objectives that require asthma surveillance data: <http://healthypeople.gov/2020/topicsobjectives2020/objectiveslist.aspx?topicId=36>

What is the history of asthma surveys at CDC?

The National Center for Health Statistics surveys collect data on asthma prevalence, asthma-related deaths (mortality), and several indirect indicators of asthma-related illness (morbidity), such as hospitalizations. These data provide a good basis for analyzing national trends, but not all can be analyzed by state, and they do not become publicly available until 2 to 3 years after collection.

State health agencies have the primary role of targeting resources to reduce behavioral health risks and the diseases that may result from those behaviors. To make asthma data more useful, APRHB saw the need to expand existing data systems and develop new systems in order to make data available at a state or local level, make data available more quickly, and provide more detailed asthma data.

In 1984, CDC established the Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System (BRFSS), a state-based system of health surveys administered and supported by the Division of Community Health, National Center for Chronic Disease Prevention and Health Promotion (NCCDPHP). Beginning with 15 states in 1984, the BRFSS is now conducted in all states, the District of Columbia, and three participating US territories. BRFSS is currently a function of CDC's Population Health Surveillance Branch, within NCCDPHP's Division of Population Health. The BRFSS is a telephone survey that obtains information on health risk behaviors, clinical preventive health practices, and health care access, primarily related to chronic disease and injury, from a random, representative sample of adults in each state. States use the data to identify emerging health problems, establish and track health objectives and develop and evaluate public health policies and programs. Many states also use BRFSS data to support health-related legislative efforts.

In 2000, APRHB added questions about current and lifetime asthma prevalence to the core BRFSS survey. Since 2001, states have had the option of adding an adult asthma history module to their survey, and in 2005 a child asthma prevalence module was added (which requires the use of the child selection module as well). However, many states do not choose to add these modules because of cost or the more pressing need for other health-related data.

Using the BRFSS for collection of additional information on asthma met two of APRHB's three objectives to improve asthma surveillance:

- BRFSS is local, providing data for state and metropolitan areas in 50 states, the District of Columbia and 3 territories.
- It is timely; data are available within 6 months from the end of the calendar year of data collection.

The third APRHB surveillance objective is to increase the content detail for asthma surveillance data. Efforts to meet this objective began in 1998 when APRHB began creating a new survey with very detailed asthma content, called the National Asthma Survey (NAS). A number of pilot tests of the survey were conducted in 2001 and 2002. The first survey used the State and Local Area Integrated Telephone Survey, an independent survey mechanism that is an off-shoot of the National Immunization Program survey at CDC. The NAS survey complemented and extended survey work from the National Health Interview Survey, National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey and the BRFSS. It added depth to the existing body of asthma data, helped to address critical questions surrounding the health and experiences of persons with asthma, and in addition, could provide data at the state and local level.

In 2003 and early 2004, data were collected by the NAS in a national sample and in 4 state samples, but this proved to be a complex and costly process. So, in 2004, APRHB considered using the BRFSS as a way to identify respondents with asthma for further interviewing on a call-back basis. The BRFSS includes a much larger sample size in each area than the NAS did. Respondents who answered yes to

questions about current or lifetime asthma during the BRFSS interview would be eligible for the subsequent asthma survey.

In 2005, the original NAS questionnaire was modified to eliminate items already on the BRFSS and to add some content requested by the individual states. The BRFSS provided respondents for the call-back survey in three asthma grantee states (Minnesota, Michigan, and Oregon) for the call-back pilot. APRHB increased the size of each state's BRFSS sample to 10,000 respondents, hoping to obtain at least 1,000 respondents with asthma to call back. However, this increase in sample size was very expensive, costing an additional \$500,000 per state. Consequently, since 2006 the state BRFSS sample has not been increased for the Asthma Call-back Survey (ACBS).

States that plan to conduct the ACBS among adults with asthma identified during the BRFSS no longer need to add the Adult Asthma Module to the BRFSS, since the questions on the call-back survey provide even more detailed answers. However, if states wish to include children in the call-back survey, they must also include both BRFSS child modules: the child selection module and the child prevalence module.

The ACBS has been implemented through BRFSS every year since 2006. The number of states or territories participating in the ACBS has increased each year. For the 2011 survey, the weighting methodology for the BRFSS was changed significantly and cell phone samples were added to the traditional landline phone samples. Due to these two methodological changes, data from years 2010 and earlier are not considered strictly comparable to data from year 2011 and later. Since the ACBS is methodologically linked to the BRFSS survey, data from the ACBS is also subject to the two methodological changes. Consequently, ACBS data from 2010 and earlier should not be compared or combined with ACBS data from 2011 and later.

In addition, while the BRFSS initiated cell phone samples in 2011, not all ACBS participating states include the cell phone sample in the ACBS. In 2011 only 6 of the 40 states included the cell phone sample in the ACBS. Because the majority of states doing the ACBS used only the landline samples, the landline sample weight was used to produce the ACBS weight and only landline data was included in the 2011 public release file. The cell phone sample data from the six states that included cell phone samples in the 2011 ACBS were not released publicly. In 2012, however, 24 areas used both Landline and Cell Phone samples for the ACBS while 16 areas used only Landline samples. Consequently, for 2012, separate public release data files were produced for LL only data and also for LLCP data. The 2012 Landline (LL) only public release data files include data from the Landline interviews in all the areas that met data quality standards (adult data from 37 areas and child data from 10 areas). The 2012 Landline Cell Phone (LLCP) public release data files include landline and cell phone data that met data quality standards from the subset of areas that did both (adult data from 22 areas and child data from 10 areas). State estimates from the ACBS 2011 LL only file are methodologically comparable to state estimates from the ACBS 2012 LL only file, but are not comparable to the ACBS 2012 LLCP file.

Questionnaires, tables, data files and documentation for the ACBS can be accessed here:
<http://www.cdc.gov/brfss/acbs/index.htm>

Funds are available for the ACBS from APRHB yearly through the BRFSS cooperative agreement. Any state or territory can apply for funds to implement the ACBS. States must include both child modules to include children in the call-back survey. The BRFSS sample size will not be increased for the ACBS. In order to produce a sufficient number of respondents for detailed analysis, it is recommended that a state conduct the ACBS for two consecutive years at the very least. States participating each year beginning with 2011 are shown in the following table.

Participating States by Year

States	2011 LL	2011 CP	2012 LL	2012 LLCP	2013 LL	2013 CP
	Adult (A) Child (C)	No file	Adult (A) Child (C)	Adult (A) Child (C)	Expected	Expected
Alabama	2011 AC*		2012 AC*		2013 AC	
Alaska						
Arizona	2011 AC*		2012 AC*		2013 AC	
Arkansas						
California	2011 AC		2012 AC*	2012 AC	2013 AC	2013 AC
Colorado						
Connecticut	2011 AC		2012 AC		2013 AC	
Delaware						
District of Columbia	2011 AC*		2012 AC*		2013 AC	
Florida	2011 A		2012 A		2013 A	
Georgia	2011 AC*		2012 AC*		2013 AC	
Hawaii	2011 AC*		2012 AC*	2012 AC	2013 AC	2013 AC
Idaho						
Illinois	2011 AC*		2012 AC*	2012 AC*	2013 AC	2013 AC
Indiana	2011 AC		2012 A	2012 A	2013 A	2013 A
Iowa	2011 AC*		2012 A	2012 A	2013 A	2013 A
Kansas	2011 AC		2012 AC		2013 AC	
Kentucky	2011 A*		2012 C		2013 AC	
Louisiana	2011 AC*		2012 AC*			
Maine	2011 AC*		2012 AC*		2013 AC	
Maryland	2011 AC		2012 A*C		2013 AC	
Massachusetts	2011 AC*		2012 AC*		2013 AC	
Michigan	2011 AC	2011 AC	2012 AC	2012 AC	2013 AC	2013 AC
Minnesota						
Mississippi	2011 AC*		2012 AC*	2012 AC	2013 AC	2013 AC
Missouri	2011 AC*		2012 AC*	2012 AC*	2013 AC	2013 AC
Montana	2011 AC*		2012 AC*	2012 AC*	2013 AC	2013 AC
Nebraska	2011 AC		2012 AC	2012 AC	2013 AC	2013 AC
Nevada	2011 A		2012 A	2012 A	2013 A	2013 A
New Hampshire	2011 A		2012 AC*	2012 AC*	2013 AC	2013 AC
New Jersey	2011 AC		2012 AC		2013 AC	
New Mexico	2011 AC		2012 AC*	2012 AC	2013 AC	2013 AC
New York	2011 AC*		2012 AC*	2012 AC*	2013 AC	2013 AC
North Carolina	2011 A		2012 A		2013 A	
North Dakota	2011 AC*		2012 AC*			
Ohio	2011 AC		2012 AC	2012 AC	2013 AC	2013 AC
Oklahoma	2011 AC*		2012 AC*	2012 AC*	2013 AC	2013 AC
Oregon	2011 AC*	2011 AC	2012 AC*	2012 AC*	2013 AC	2013 AC
Pennsylvania	2011 AC	2011 AC	2012 AC	2012 AC	2013 AC	2013 AC
Rhode Island	2011 AC*		2012 AC*		2013 AC	
South Carolina						
South Dakota						

Tennessee						
Texas	2011 AC	2011 AC	2012 AC*	2012 AC	2013 AC	2013 AC
Utah	2011 AC	2011 AC	2012 A*	2012 A*	2013 A	2013 A
Vermont	2011 AC*		2012 A	2012 A	2013 A	2013 A
Virginia						
Washington	2011 AC		2012 AC	2012 AC	2013 AC	2013 AC
West Virginia	2011 AC*		2012 AC*		2013 AC	2013 AC
Wisconsin	2011 AC*	2011 AC	2012 AC*	2012 AC*	2013 AC	2013 AC
Wyoming						
Guam						
Puerto Rico	2011 AC*		2012 A*C*	2012 A*C*	2013 AC	2013 AC
U.S. Virgin Islands						

LL Landline sample; LLCP Landline Cell Phone sample.

Adult/Child: "A" indicates the state collected adult data; "C" indicates the state collected child data.

** Adult/Child data are not included in the public use file for technical reasons. See Data Anomalies.

Asthma Call-back Survey Analysis Guidelines

The Asthma Call-back Survey is conducted approximately 2 weeks after the Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance Survey (BRFSS). BRFSS respondents who report ever being diagnosed with asthma are eligible for the asthma call-back. If a state includes children in the BRFSS and the randomly selected child has ever been diagnosed with asthma, then the child is eligible for the asthma call-back survey. If both the selected child and the BRFSS adult in a household have asthma, then one or the other is eligible for the ACBS (50/50 split).

The BRFSS is a cross-sectional surveillance survey currently involving 54 reporting areas.^{1,2} BRFSS questionnaires, data, and reports are available at www.cdc.gov/brfss.

From the parent survey (BRFSS), the ACBS inherits a complex sample design and multiple reporting areas. These factors complicate the analysis of the ACBS. Some states vary from both BRFSS and ACBS protocol. These variations should be considered prior to analysis of these data. Information on the BRFSS deviations can be found in the document titled **Comparability of Data** which can be accessed at: http://www.cdc.gov/brfss/annual_data/annual_data.htm when selecting an individual survey year.

A. Data anomalies and deviations from sampling frame and weighting protocols

Several states did not collect ACBS data for all 12 months of the year. This may be an issue when investigating seasonal patterns in the data. States with more than 3 months with no collected interviews are noted below. States missing 6 or more months of ACBS interviews are excluded from the public use data file.

- 2012
 - Maryland collected only 5 complete months of adult interviews. Because asthma outcomes have seasonal variation, the data do not represent the same time period (spread over 12 months) as does the data for the other states. Data from Maryland are not included in the adult response rate tables or in the adult public use files.
 - Puerto Rico collected only 3 complete months of both adult and child interviews. Because asthma outcomes have seasonal variation, the Puerto Rico data do not represent the same time period (spread over 12 months) as does the data for the other states. Data from Puerto Rico are not included in the adult or child response rate tables or in the adult or child public use files.
 - Utah collected only 3 complete months of adult interviews. Because asthma outcomes have seasonal variation, the Utah data do not represent the same time period (spread over 12 months) as does the data for the other states. Data from Utah are not included in the adult response rate tables or in the adult public use files.
 - California did not collect adult ACBS interviews in May, August, September, and January 2013.
 - Louisiana did not collect child ACBS interviews in February, March, September, and January 2013.
 - Missouri did not collect child ACBS interviews in February, March, April, and October.
 - New Mexico did not collect child ACBS interviews in February, March, April, May, October, and November.
 - Oklahoma did not collect adult ACBS interviews in August, November, December, and January 2013. Oklahoma did not collect child ACBS interviews in February, March, August, November, and December.
 - Washington did not collect adult ACBS interviews in February, November, December and January 2013. Washington did not collect child ACBS interviews in February, November, December, and January 2013.
 - West Virginia did not collect child ACBS interviews in February, March, October, and January 2013.

- 2011
 - Kentucky only collected Adult 2011 ACBS data in November 2011, December 2011 and January 2012 for BRFSS interviews conducted throughout the 2011 calendar year. Because asthma outcomes have seasonal variation, the data for Kentucky does not represent the same time period (spread over 12 months) as does the data for the other states. Data for Kentucky were not included in the public release file or in the response rate tables.
 - Nevada did not collect Adult ACBS data from January through April.
 - Puerto Rico did not collect Adult ACBS data from January through May.
 - Puerto Rico only collected Child 2011 ACBS data in October 2011, November 2011, December 2011, and January 2012. Because asthma outcomes have seasonal variation, the child data for Puerto Rico does not represent the same time period (spread over 12 months) as does the child data for the other states. Child data for Puerto Rico were not included in the public release file or in the response rate tables.
 - Louisiana did not collect Child ACBS data in January, February, March, August, and October.
 - Illinois did not collect Adult or Child ACBS data in January, February, May, and June.
 - Two child records in Indiana and one child record in Pennsylvania could not be weighted or included in the public use file because the child's age increased above age 18 years between the BRFSS interview and the ACBS interview.

Several states varied from ACBS protocol in ways that affected the weighting procedures.

- 2012
 - North Carolina (adults) and Massachusetts (adults and children) did the call-back for their version 1 sample only.
 - Maine (adults and children) did the call-back for their version 2 sample only.
 - Kentucky did not collect adult ACBS data but did collect child data.
 - Both landline and cellphone samples were used for both the BRFSS and the ACBS for adults in the following 24 areas: California, Hawaii, Illinois, Indiana, Iowa, Michigan, Mississippi, Missouri, Montana, Nebraska, Nevada, New Hampshire, New Mexico, New York, Ohio, Oklahoma, Oregon, Pennsylvania, Texas, Utah, Vermont, Washington, Wisconsin, and Puerto Rico. Data for 22 of these 24 areas are included in the Landline Cell Phone (LLCP) public use file. Data from just the Landline sample for 22 these 24 areas are also included in the Landline (LL) public use file. Data from Utah and Puerto Rico were not included in either file due to the limited number of months of data collection.
 - Combined Landline Cell Phone (LLCP) data for children from Illinois, Missouri, Montana, New Hampshire, New York, Oklahoma, Oregon, and Wisconsin are not included in the public release file because there were too few records (<75) to produce reliable weights. Child data from Puerto Rico are not included in the public release file due to the limited number of months of data collection. Indiana, Iowa, Nevada, Utah, and Vermont did not collect child data.
 - Combined Landline Cell Phone (LLCP) data: for adults in California, Iowa, New York, Nevada, Ohio, Oregon, Texas, and Wisconsin, and for children in New Mexico, Pennsylvania, Texas, and Washington, more than 10% of the BRFSS records for respondents with asthma had no recorded information about call-back participation. For these states, weighting was done using a Modified Adjustment Factor method.
 - While both Landline and Cell Phone samples were used for the BRFSS, only the Landline sample was used for ACBS in the following 16 areas: Alabama, Arizona, Connecticut, DC, Florida, Georgia, Kansas, Louisiana, Maine, Maryland, Massachusetts, New Jersey, North

- Carolina, North Dakota, Rhode Island, and West Virginia. Data for 15 of these 16 areas are included in the Landline (LL) public use file. Data from Maryland were not included due to the limited number of months of data collection.
- Landline (LL) data for children from Alabama, Arizona, California, DC, Georgia, Hawaii, Illinois, Louisiana, Maine, Massachusetts, Mississippi, Missouri, Montana, New Hampshire, New Mexico, New York, North Dakota, Oklahoma, Oregon, Rhode Island, Texas, West Virginia, and Wisconsin are not included in the Landline public release file because there were too few records (<75) to produce reliable weights. Child data from Puerto Rico are not included in the public release file due to the limited number of months of data collection. Florida, Indiana, Iowa, Nevada, North Carolina, Utah, and Vermont did not collect child data.
 - Landline (LL) only data: for adults in California, Georgia, Massachusetts, Ohio, Rhode Island, and Wisconsin and for children in Maryland and Pennsylvania, more than 10% of the BRFSS records for respondents with asthma had no recorded information about call-back participation. For these states, weighting was done using a Modified Adjustment Factor method.
- 2011
 - Georgia child ACBS data could not be weighted due to a processing error that did not allow weighting the BRFSS child data. Since no BRFSS child weight was produced the subsequent ACBS weight (which relies on the BRFSS weight) could not be calculated. Child data for Georgia were not included in the public release file or in the response rate tables.
 - Child data for Alabama, Arizona, DC, Hawaii, Illinois, Iowa, Louisiana, Maine, Massachusetts, Mississippi, Missouri, Montana, New York, North Dakota, Oklahoma, Oregon, Rhode Island, Vermont, West Virginia, and Wisconsin are not included in the public release file because there were too few records (<75) to produce reliable weights.
 - North Carolina (adults), Massachusetts (adults and children) and New York, (adults and children) only did the call-back for the version 1 sample.
 - Maine (adults and children) only did the call-back for the version 3 sample
 - For adults in Alabama, California, Florida, Georgia, Indiana, Nevada, New Jersey, and Wisconsin, for children in Indiana, New Mexico, Nebraska, and Texas, more than 10% of the BRFSS records for respondents with asthma had no recorded information about call-back participation. For these states, weighting was done using a Modified Adjustment Factor method.
 - Both Landline and cellphone samples were used for both the BRFSS and the ACBS in the following six states: Michigan, Oregon, Pennsylvania, Texas, Utah, and Wisconsin.
 - While both Landline and cell phone samples were used for the BRFSS, cell phone samples were not used for ACBS in the following 33 areas: Alabama, Arizona, California, Connecticut, DC, Florida, Georgia, Hawaii, Illinois, Indiana, Iowa, Kansas, Louisiana, Maine, Maryland, Massachusetts, Mississippi, Missouri, Montana, Nebraska, Nevada, New Hampshire, New Jersey, New Mexico, New York, North Dakota, Ohio, Oklahoma, Rhode Island, Vermont, Washington, West Virginia, and Puerto Rico.

For additional information on weighting the ACBS records, refer to the document “Asthma Call-back Weighting Methods,” which can be requested from NCEH/EHHE/APRHB (asthmacallbackinfo@cdc.gov).

B. Other limitations of the data

- The Institutional Review Board (IRB) in some states required that asthma be mentioned when the BRFSS respondent was asked to participate in the ACBS. Other states required that asthma **not** be mentioned. Some state IRBs required that BRFSS respondents be specifically asked if their BRFSS responses could be linked to their ACBS responses. Other state IRBs did not. If a state required active consent to link the responses from the two interviews, the PERMISS variable on the data file will be coded 1 for yes. If consent was denied, the ACBS was not conducted and there will be no record in the file. Wording for specific consent scripts can be obtained from each participating state.
- Several states ask the ACBS consent questions directly after the asthma questions in the core of the BRFSS survey. Other states ask the consent questions at the end of the BRFSS interview.
- Approximately 3% of the ACBS interviews are completed in the calendar year following the BRFSS interview. The Variable IYEAR_F identifies the year of the call-back interview.

Information about survey disposition codes, item non-response, complete and incomplete designation can be found in the ACBS Summary Data Quality Report. Similar information about the BRFSS can be found in the BRFSS Summary Data Quality Report, which can be found with the support documentation published with each year's data set.

C. Data file and record issues

Data file

- When the intent of an analysis is to compare those with asthma to those who do not have asthma, the appropriate file to use is the BRFSS file. The sample size is larger and the responses to BRFSS questions are available for all respondents with asthma and without asthma.
- When the intent of an analysis is to compare subpopulations of those with asthma, the appropriate file to use is the call-back file.

Data record

- The ACBS record for a respondent consists of the entire BRFSS interview record followed by the ACBS data. There is no need to merge the ACBS data with data from the BRFSS interview. The ACBS codebook, however, does not include the BRFSS portion of the data. BRFSS codebooks can be accessed at: http://www.cdc.gov/brfss/annual_data/annual_data.htm after selecting an individual survey year.

Skip patterns

- The Asthma Call-back questionnaire has multiple and complex skip patterns. Each of the skip patterns has been coded into subsequent questions using individual value codes to identify the source response that caused the question to be skipped. These additional codes do not appear in the questionnaire, but are in the codebook. This skip coding allows the analyst to clearly determine an existing skip pattern and easily decide the denominator appropriate for any given analysis or statement without tracing skip patterns in the questionnaire. For more information on coding skip patterns see the document "Coding Skip Patterns," which can be requested from NCEH/EHHE/APRHB (asthmacallbackinfo@cdc.gov).

Calculated variables

- Not all of the variables that appear on the public use data set are taken directly from the ACBS questionnaire. CDC prepares a large set of calculated variables which are added to the actual

questionnaire responses. The vast majority of the variables on the ACBS file are calculated variables. The calculated variables are created for the user's convenience. The procedures for the calculated variables vary in complexity; some only combine codes from one or two questions, while others require sorting and combining selected codes from multiple variables.

- At the time of the call-back interview, the respondent is asked to confirm the responses to the two asthma questions from the BRFSS interview. Not all respondents agree with the responses that were recorded from the initial interview.
 - The calculated combined call-back asthma variables `_CUR_ASTH_C` and `_EVER_ASTH_C` are not identical to the BRFSS asthma variables `ASTHNOW` and `ASTHMA3` (`CASTHNO2` and `CASTHDX2` for children) or the BRFSS adult calculated variables `_CASTHM1` and `_LTASTH1`.
 - The combined call-back variables `_CUR_ASTH_C` and `_EVER_ASTH_C` use the BRFSS responses when the respondent agreed with them and the responses at the time of the call-back interview when the respondent did not agree with the BRFSS responses.

When using call-back data, the combined variables (`_CUR_ASTH_C` and `_EVER_ASTH_C`) should be used and NOT the BRFSS interview variables.

- For further details regarding these and other calculated variables, refer to the document entitled “Calculated Variables for the Asthma Call-back Survey,” which can be requested from NCEH/EHHE/APRHB (asthmacallbackinfo@cdc.gov).

Questionnaire changes

- There were no changes to the ACBS questionnaire between 2010 and 2011.
- 2012 ACBS questionnaire changes included:
 - The name for `INH_MED 25` was changed to flexhaler
 - Three inhaler medication questions were deleted (`ILP01`, `ILP02`, and `ILP07`)
 - Response categories for inhaler medication question `ILP03` were changed
 - The question `PILLX` (how long taking a specific pill) was deleted and `PILL01` (on daily use) was added
 - Three questions on nebulizers were added
 - Some skip patterns and help screens were revised in the medication section
 - The content of the Work-related asthma section was completely revised
- 2013 ACBS questionnaire changes included:
 - Inhaler medications Brethaire, Intal, and Tilade were deleted since all have been discontinued
 - Inhaler medications Alvesco and Dulera were added
 - Nebulizer medications Combivent Inhalation Solution and Perforomist/Formoterol were added

D. Estimation procedures

Statistical issues

- **Record weights**

Unweighted data on the ACBS represent the actual responses of each respondent before any adjustment is made for variation in respondents' probability of selection, disproportionate selection of population subgroups relative to the state's population distribution, or nonresponse. To produce the ACBS final weight, the BRFSS final weight is adjusted for loss of sample between the BRFSS interview and the ACBS interview. Weighted ACBS data represent results that have

been adjusted to compensate for nonresponse at the BRFSS interview and at the ACBS interview. For further details regarding the ACBS final weight, refer to the document entitled “Asthma Call Back Weighting Method,” which can be requested from NCEH/EHHE/APRHB (asthmacallbackinfo@cdc.gov).

Use of the ACBS final weight is essential when analyzing these data. If weights are not used, the estimates produced will be biased.

2012

In 2012, the majority of states implementing the ACBS included both the BRFSS landline sample (LL) and the BRFSS cell phone (CP) sample. However, a significant minority included only the BRFSS landline (LL) sample. Therefore public use files were released for Landline only (LL) samples and for combined Landline Cell Phone (LLCP) samples. The LL only file includes landline data from all participating states that met data quality standards. The LLCP file includes landline and cell phone data from the subset of states that included both the landline and the cell phone samples and met data quality standards.

ACBS Landline (LL) file

In the 2012 ACBS LL only file, the ACBS final weight was produced using the BRFSS landline only weight (_LANDWT for adults and _CLANDWT for children). The 2012 ACBS LL only final weight variables are:

- **LANDWT_F** for adults
- **CLANDWT_F** for children

ACBS Landline Cell Phone (LLCP) file

In the 2012 ACBS LLCP file, the ACBS final weight was produced using the BRFSS landline cell phone weight (_LLCPWT for adults and _CLLCPWT for children). The 2012 ACBS LLCP final weight variables are:

- **LLCPWT_F** for adults
- **CLLCPWT_F** for children

2011

In 2011, the majority of states implementing the ACBS only included the BRFSS landline sample, therefore the ACBS final weight was produced using the BRFSS landline only weight (_LANDWT for adults and _CLANDWT for children).

In the 2011 ACBS file, the final weight variables are:

- **LANDWT_F** for adults
- **CLANDWT_F** for children.

In 2011, six states (Michigan, Oregon, Pennsylvania, Texas, Utah, and Wisconsin) implemented the ACBS using both the BRFSS landline sample and the BRFSS cellphone sample. ACBS data for these six states were also weighted using the combined landline and cellphone samples and the BRFSS final weight for the combined landline cellphone samples. These data were not released publicly. The six states can be contacted for access to the weighted data using both landline and cellphone samples. State-specific rules regarding data release may allow or prevent access to these data.

• Variances

The procedures for estimating variances described in most statistical texts and used in most statistical software packages are based on the assumption of simple random sampling (SRS).

However, the data collected in the ACBS are obtained through a complex sample design; therefore, the direct application of standard statistical analysis methods for variance estimation (including standard errors and confidence intervals) and hypothesis testing (p-values) may yield misleading results.

Computer programs that take such complex sample designs into account are available. SAS, SUDAAN, Epi Info, SPSS and STATA are among those suitable for analyzing these data.

- SAS SURVEYMEANS, SURVEYFREQ, SURVEYLOGISTIC, and SURVEYREG can be used for tabular and regression analyses.³
- SUDAAN can be used for tabular and regression analyses and also has additional options.⁴
- Epi Info's C-sample can be used to calculate simple frequencies and two-way cross-tabulations.⁵
- SPSS Complex Samples can be used to produce frequencies, descriptives, cross-tabulations, and ratios as well as estimate general linear, logistic, ordinal, and Cox regression models.⁶
- STATA can produce cross-tabulations, means, logit and general linear regression models.⁷

When using these software products, users must specify that the sample design is “With Replacement” and also specify the stratum variable (_STSTR), the primary sampling unit (_PSU), and the record weight (LLCPWT_F, CLLCPWT_F LANDWT_F or CLANDWT_F) -- all of which are on the public use data file.

For more information on calculating variance estimations using SAS, see the *SAS/STAT Users Guide, Version 8*.³ For information about SUDAAN, see the *SUDAAN Users Manual, Release 7.5*.⁴ For information about Epi Info, see *Epi Info, Version 6.0*.⁵ For information about SPSS see the *SPSS Complex Samples Manual*.⁶ For information about STATA see the *Survey Data Reference Manual*.⁷

Analytic issues

• Sample size

Although the overall number of respondents in the ACBS is more than sufficiently large for statistical inference purposes, subgroup analyses (including state level analysis) can lead to estimates that are unreliable. Consequently, users need to pay particular attention to the subgroup sample when analyzing subgroup data, especially within a single data year or geographic area. Small sample sizes may produce unstable estimates. Reliability of an estimate depends on the actual unweighted number of respondents in a category, not on the weighted number. Interpreting and reporting weighted numbers that are based on a small, unweighted number of respondents can mislead the reader into believing that a given finding is much more precise than it actually is.

ACBS follows a rule of not reporting or interpreting point estimates based on fewer than 50 unweighted respondents (e.g. percentages based upon a **denominator** of < 50) or for which the Relative Standard Error is greater than 30%. For this reason, and to protect confidentiality of these data, the FIPS County code is not included on the ACBS public use data record.

• Aggregating data over Time

- When data from one time period are insufficient, data from multiple periods can be combined as long as the prevalence of the factor of interest did not substantially change during one of the periods. One method that can be used to assess the stability of the prevalence estimates is as follows⁸:

1. Compute the prevalence for the risk factor for each period.
 2. Rank the estimates from low to high.
 3. Identify a statistical test appropriate for comparing the lowest and the highest estimates at the 5% level of significance. For example, depending on the type of data, a t-test, or the sign test might be appropriate.
 4. Test the hypothesis that prevalence is not changing by using a two-sided test in which the null hypothesis is that the prevalences are equal.
 5. Determine whether the resulting difference could be expected to occur by chance alone less than 5% of the time (i.e., test at the 95% confidence level).
- When combining multiple years of ACBS data for the purpose of subgroup analysis, the final weight will need adjusting and the file year will need to be added as an additional stratum on the complex design specification. When combining multiple years of data for the purpose of examining trends, however, reweighting is not appropriate. For more information on reweighting combined years see the document "Reweight Combined Files," which can be requested from NCEH/EHHE/APRHB (asthmacallbackinfo@cdc.gov).

• Analyzing subgroups

- Provided that the prevalence of risk factors did not change rapidly over time, data combined for two or more years may provide a sufficient number of respondents for additional estimates for population subgroups (such as age/sex/race subgroups or state populations). Before combining data years for subgroup analysis, it is necessary to determine whether the total number of respondents will yield the precision needed, which depends upon the intended use of the estimate. For example, greater precision would be required to justify implementing expensive programs than that needed for general information only.

The table below shows the sample size required for each of several levels of precision, based on a calculation in which the estimated risk factor prevalence is 50% and the design effect is 1.5.

<u>Precision desired</u>	<u>Sample size needed</u>
2%	3600
4%	900
6%	400
8%	225
10%	144
15%	64
20%	36

Precision is indicated by the width of the 95% confidence interval around the prevalence estimate. For example, precision of 2% indicates that the 95% confidence interval is plus (+) or minus (-) 2% of 50%, or 48% to 52%. As shown in the table, to yield this high a level of precision, the sample size required is about 3,600 persons. When a lower level of precision is acceptable, the sample size can be considerably smaller.

- The **design effect** is a measure of the complexity of the sampling design that indicates how the design differs from simple random sampling. It is defined as the variance for the actual sampling design divided by the variance for a simple random sample of the same size.^{8,9} For most risk factors in most states, the design effect is less than 1.5. If it is more than 1.5, however, sample sizes may need to be larger than those shown in the table above.
- The standard error of a percentage is largest at 50% and decreases as a percentage approaches 0% or 100%. From this perspective, the required sample sizes listed in the table

above are conservative estimates. They should be reasonably valid for percentages between 20% and 80%, but may significantly overstate the required sample sizes for smaller or larger percentages.

E. Advantages and disadvantages of telephone surveys

- Compared with face-to-face interviewing techniques, telephone interviews are easy to conduct and monitor and are cost efficient. However, telephone interviews have limitations. Telephone surveys may have higher levels of non-coverage than face-to-face interviews because some US households cannot be reached by telephone. While approximately 94.1% of households in the United States have telephones, a number of studies have shown that the telephone and non-telephone populations are different with respect to demographic, economic, and health characteristics.^{10,11,12} Although the estimates of characteristics for the total population are unlikely to be substantially affected by the omission of the households without telephones, some of the subpopulation estimates could be biased. Telephone coverage is lower for population subgroups such as blacks in the South, people with low incomes, people in rural areas, people with less than 12 years of education, people in poor health, and heads of households under 25 years of age.¹³ However, poststratification adjustments for age, race, and sex, and other weighting adjustments used for the BRFSS and ACBS data minimize the impact of differences in noncoverage, undercoverage, and nonresponse at the state level.

Despite the above limitations, prevalence estimates from the BRFSS correspond well with findings from surveys based on face-to-face interviews, including studies conducted by the National Institute on Alcohol Abuse and Alcoholism, CDC's National Center for Health Statistics, and the American Heart Association.^{8,14} A summary of methodological studies of BRFSS can be found at: http://www.cdc.gov/brfss/publications/methodology/data_qvr.htm

Surveys based on self-reported information may be less accurate than those based on physical measurements. For example, respondents are known to underreport weight. Although this type of potential bias is an element of both telephone and face-to-face interviews, the underreporting should be taken into consideration when interpreting self-reported data. However, when measuring change over time, this type of bias is likely to be constant and is therefore not a factor in trend analysis.

- With ongoing changes in telephone technology, there are more and more households that have cellular telephones and no traditional telephone lines in their homes. Currently, these households are not in the sampling frame for the BRFSS, which may bias the survey results, especially as the percentage of cellular-telephone-only households continues to increase.^{15,16} The BRFSS is continuing to study the impact of cellular phones on survey response and the feasibility of various methods for data collection to complement present survey methods.¹

REFERENCES

1. Mokdad AH, Stroup DF, Giles WH. Public health surveillance for behavioral risk factors in a changing environment. Recommendations from the Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance Team. *MMWR Recomm Rep*. 2003;52:1-12.
2. Holtzman D. The Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System. In: Blumenthal DS, DiClemente RJ, editors. *Community-based Health Research: Issues and Methods*. New York: Springer Publishing Company Inc; 2004.p. 115-131.
3. SAS Institute Inc. *SAS/STAT User's Guide, Version 8*. Cary, NC: SAS Institute, Inc.; 1999.
4. Shah BV, Barnwell BG, Bieler GS. *SUDAAN User's Manual, Release 7.5*. Research Triangle Park, NC: Research Triangle Institute; 1997.

5. Dean AG, Dean JA, Coulombier D, Brendel KA, Smith DC, Burton AH, Dicker RC, Sullivan K, Fagan RF, Arner TG. *Epi Info, Version 6.0: A Word processing, Database, and Statistics Program for Public Health on IBM-compatible Microcomputers*. Atlanta: Centers for Disease Control and Prevention; 1995.
6. SPSS Inc. *SPSS Complex Samples 15.0*. Chicago, IL: SPSS Inc; 2006.
7. STATA Press Survey Data Reference Manual, 2009
8. Frazier EL, Franks AL, Sanderson LM. Behavioral Risk Factor Data. In: *Using Chronic Disease Data: A Handbook for Public Health Practitioners*. Atlanta: Centers for Disease Control and Prevention; 1992; 4.1-1.17..
9. Groves RM. *Survey Errors and Survey Costs*. New York: John Wiley and Sons; 1989.p. 265, 271-272.
10. Groves RM, Kahn RL. *Surveys by Telephone: A National Comparison with Personal Interviews*, New York: Academic Press; 1979.
11. Banks MJ. Comparing health and medical care estimates of the phone and nonphone populations. *Proceedings of the American Statistical Association, Survey Research Methods Section.*; 1983; p. 569-574.
12. Thornberry OT, Massey JT. Trends in United States Telephone Coverage Across Time and Subgroups. In: Groves, RM et al editors. *Telephone Survey Methodology*. New York: John Wiley & Sons; 1988; p. 25-49.
13. Massey JT, Botman SL. Weighting Adjustments for Random Digit Dialed Surveys. In: Groves, RM et al editors. *Telephone Survey Methodology*. New York: John Wiley & Sons; 1988; p.143-160.
14. Nelson DE, Powell-Griner E, Town M, Kovar MG. A comparison of national estimates from the National Health Interview Survey and the Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System. *Am J Public Health*. 2003; 93:1335-1341.
15. Link MW, Mokdad AH. Leaving answering machine messages: do they increase response rates for RDD surveys? *Int J Public Opin Res*. 2004;482.
16. Link MW, Mokdad AH, Town M, Roe D, Weiner J. Improving response rates for the Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance system: use of lead letters and answering machine messages. *Proceedings of the American Statistical Association, Survey Methodology Section [CD-ROM]*. Alexandria, VA: 2004; p. 141-148.