2009 Assisted Reproductive Technology

Success Rates
National Summary and Fertility Clinic Reports

National Center for Chronic Disease Prevention and Health Promotion
Division of Reproductive Health

CS224310

Updates to this report will be posted on the CDC Web site at the following address:

http://www.cdc.gov/ART/ART2009

For additional information, send an e-mail to cdcinfo@cdc.gov (Subject: ART)
Or write to CDC, ATTN: ARTE Unit; 4770 Buford Highway, N.E.;
Mail Stop K-34; Atlanta, GA 30341-3717.

2009 Assisted Reproductive Technology

Success Rates
National Summary and Fertility Clinic Reports

November 2011

National Center for Chronic Disease Prevention and Health Promotion
Division of Reproductive Health

This publication was developed and produced by the National Center for Chronic Disease Prevention and Health Promotion of the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention in consultation with the American Society for Reproductive Medicine and the Society for Assisted Reproductive Technology.

Centers for Disease Control and Prevention

National Center for Chronic Disease Prevention and Health Promotion — Ursula E. Bauer, PhD, MPH, Director

Division of Reproductive Health — CAPT Wanda D. Barfield, MD, MPH, Director
Kelly Brumbaugh, MPH, CHES

Women's Health and Fertility Branch — Denise J. Jamieson, MD, MPH, Chief
Jeani Chang, MPH
Brenda D. Hayes, BS
Dmitry Kissin, MD, MPH
Aniket D. Kulkarni, MBBS, MPH
Glenda Sentelle, MA, MSHS
Mithi Sunderam, MA, PhD

American Society for Reproductive Medicine — Rogerio A. Lobo, MD, President

Society for Assisted Reproductive Technology — R. Stan Williams, MD, President
Kelley Jefferson

The data included in this report and publication support were provided by Westat under Contract No. 200-2004-06702 for the National Center for Chronic Disease Prevention and Health Promotion, Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, U.S. Department of Health and Human Services.

Suggested Citation: Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, American Society for Reproductive Medicine, Society for Assisted Reproductive Technology. *2009 Assisted Reproductive Technology Success Rates: National Summary and Fertility Clinic Reports.* Atlanta: U.S. Department of Health and Human Services; 2011.

Acknowledgments

The Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC), the Society for Assisted Reproductive Technology, and the American Society for Reproductive Medicine thank RESOLVE: The National Infertility Association and The American Fertility Association for their commitment to assisted reproductive technology (ART) surveillance. Their assistance in making this report informative and helpful to people considering an ART procedure is greatly appreciated. Appendix D has current contact information for these national consumer organizations.

Table of Contents

Preface . . . 1
Commonly Asked Questions About the U.S. ART Clinic Reporting System . . . 3
2009 National Report . . . 11
Introduction to the 2009 National Report . . . 13
Section 1: Overview . . . 15
Section 2: ART Cycles Using Fresh Nondonor Eggs or Embryos . . . 20
Section 3: ART Cycles Using Frozen Nondonor Embryos . . . 57
Section 4: ART Cycles Using Donor Eggs . . . 60
Section 5: ART Trends, 2000–2009 . . . 65
2009 Fertility Clinic Tables . . . 79
Introduction to Fertility Clinic Tables . . . 81
Important Factors to Consider When Using These Tables to Assess a Clinic . . . 81
How to Read a Fertility Clinic Table . . . 85
2009 National Summary . . . 91
Alabama . . . 93
Alaska . . . 99
Arizona . . . 100
Arkansas . . . 110
California . . . 111
Colorado . . . 172
Connecticut . . . 180
Delaware . . . 189
District of Columbia . . . 191
Florida . . . 195
Georgia . . . 223
Hawaii . . . 232
Idaho . . . 236
Illinois . . . 237
Indiana . . . 264
Iowa . . . 274
Kansas . . . 276
Kentucky . . . 281
Louisiana . . . 285
Maryland . . . 289
Massachusetts . . . 295

Michigan . . . 303
Minnesota . . . 314
Mississippi . . . 319
Missouri . . . 321
Nebraska . . . 328
Nevada . . . 330
New Hampshire . . . 334
New Jersey . . . 335
New Mexico . . . 357
New York . . . 358
North Carolina . . . 394
North Dakota . . . 404
Ohio . . . 405
Oklahoma . . . 417
Oregon . . . 420
Pennsylvania . . . 424
Puerto Rico . . . 443
Rhode Island . . . 446
South Carolina . . . 447
South Dakota . . . 451
Tennessee . . . 452
Texas . . . 461
Utah . . . 496
Vermont . . . 498
Virginia . . . 499
Washington . . . 512
West Virginia . . . 522
Wisconsin . . . 525
Appendix A: Technical Notes . . . 535
How to Interpret a Confidence Interval . . . 537
Findings from Validation Visits for 2009 ART Data . . . 539
Appendix B: Glossary of Terms . . . 541
Appendix C: ART Clinics . . . 547
Reporting ART Clinics for 2009, by State . . . 549
Nonreporting ART Clinics for 2009, by State . . . 586
Appendix D: National Consumer Organizations . . . 589

Preface

For many people who want to start a family, the dream of having a child is not easily realized; about 12% of women of childbearing age in the United States have used an infertility service. Assisted reproductive technology (ART) has been used in the United States since 1981 to help women become pregnant, most commonly through the transfer of fertilized human eggs into a woman's uterus. However, for many people, deciding whether to undergo this expensive and time-consuming treatment can be difficult.

The goal of this report is to help potential ART users make informed decisions about ART by providing some of the information needed to answer the following questions:

- What are my chances of having a child by using ART?
- Where can I go to get this treatment?

The Society for Assisted Reproductive Technology (SART), an organization of ART providers affiliated with the American Society for Reproductive Medicine (ASRM), has been collecting data and publishing annual reports of pregnancy success rates for fertility clinics in the United States and Canada since 1989. In 1992, the U.S. Congress passed the Fertility Clinic Success Rate and Certification Act. This law requires the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) to publish pregnancy success rates for ART in fertility clinics in the United States. Since 1995, CDC has worked in consultation with SART and ASRM to report ART success rates.

The 2009 report of pregnancy success rates is the fifteenth to be issued under the law. This report is based on the latest available data on the type, number, and outcome of ART cycles performed in U.S. clinics.

The 2009 ART report has four major sections:

- ***Commonly asked questions about the U.S. ART clinic reporting system.*** This section provides background information on infertility and ART and an explanation of the data collection, analysis, and publication processes.
- ***A national report.*** The national report section presents overall success rates and shows how they are affected by certain patient and treatment characteristics. Because the national report summarizes findings from all 441 fertility clinics that reported data, it can give people considering ART a good idea of the average chance of having a child by using ART.
- ***Fertility clinic tables.*** Success also is related to the expertise of a particular clinic's staff, the quality of its laboratory, and the characteristics of the patient population. The fertility clinic table section displays ART results and success rates for individual U.S. fertility clinics in 2009.
- ***Appendixes:***

  Appendix A contains technical notes on the interpretation of 95% confidence intervals and findings from the data validation visits to selected fertility clinics.

  Appendix B (Glossary) provides definitions for technical and medical terms used throughout the report.

Appendix C includes the current names and addresses of all reporting clinics along with a list of clinics known to be in operation in 2009 that did not report their success rates data to CDC as required by law.

Appendix D includes the names and addresses of national consumer organizations that offer support to people experiencing infertility.

Success rates can be reported in a variety of ways, and the statistical aspects of these rates can be difficult to interpret. As a result, presenting information about ART success rates is a complex task. This report is intended for the general public, and the emphasis is on presenting the information in an easily understandable form. CDC hopes that this report is informative and helpful to people considering an ART procedure. We welcome any suggestions for improving the report and making it easier to use. (See contact information, inside front cover.)

Commonly Asked Questions About the U.S. ART Clinic Reporting System

Background Information, Data Collection Methods, Content and Design of the Report, and Additional Information About ART in the United States

1. How many people in the United States have infertility problems?

The latest data on infertility available to the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) are from the 2002 National Survey of Family Growth.

- Of the approximately 62 million women of reproductive age in 2002, about 1.2 million, or 2%, had had an infertility-related medical appointment within the previous year and an additional 10% had received infertility services at some time in their lives. (Infertility services include medical tests to diagnose infertility, medical advice and treatments to help a woman become pregnant, and services other than routine prenatal care to prevent miscarriage.)
- Additionally, 7% of married couples in which the woman was of reproductive age (2.1 million couples) reported that they had not used contraception for 12 months and the woman had not become pregnant.

2. What is assisted reproductive technology (ART)?

Although various definitions have been used for ART, the definition used in this report is based on the 1992 law that requires CDC to publish this report. According to this definition, ART includes all fertility treatments in which both eggs and sperm are handled. In general, ART procedures involve surgically removing eggs from a woman's ovaries, combining them with sperm in the laboratory, and returning them to the woman's body or donating them to another woman. They do NOT include treatments in which only sperm are handled (i.e., intrauterine—or artificial—insemination) or procedures in which a woman takes drugs only to stimulate egg production without the intention of having eggs retrieved.

The types of ART include the following:

- ***IVF (in vitro fertilization).*** Involves extracting a woman's eggs, fertilizing the eggs in the laboratory, and then transferring the resulting embryos into the woman's uterus through the cervix. For some IVF procedures, fertilization involves a specialized technique known as intracytoplasmic sperm injection (ICSI). In ICSI, a single sperm is injected directly into the woman's egg.
- ***GIFT (gamete intrafallopian transfer).*** Involves using a fiber-optic instrument called a laparoscope to guide the transfer of unfertilized eggs and sperm (gametes) into the woman's fallopian tubes through small incisions in her abdomen.
- ***ZIFT (zygote intrafallopian transfer).*** Involves fertilizing a woman's eggs in the laboratory and then using a laparoscope to guide the transfer of the fertilized eggs (zygotes) into her fallopian tubes.

In addition, ART often is categorized according to whether the procedure used a woman's own eggs (nondonor) or eggs from another woman (donor) and according to whether the embryos used were newly fertilized (fresh) or previously fertilized, frozen, and then thawed (frozen). Because an ART procedure includes several steps, it is typically referred to as a cycle of treatment. (See **What is an ART cycle?** below.)

3. What is an ART cycle?

Because ART consists of several steps over an interval of approximately 2 weeks, an ART procedure is more appropriately considered a ***cycle*** of treatment rather than a procedure at a single point in time. The start of an ART cycle is considered to be when a woman begins taking drugs to stimulate egg production or starts ovarian monitoring with the intent of having embryos transferred. (See Figure 6, page 20, for a full description of the steps in an ART cycle.) For the purposes of this report, data on ***all cycles that were started,*** even those that were discontinued before all steps were undertaken, are submitted to CDC through a Web-based data collection system called the National ART Surveillance System (NASS) and are counted in the clinic's success rates.

4. How do U.S. ART clinics report data to CDC about their success rates?

CDC contracts with a statistical survey research organization, Westat, to obtain the data published in the ART success rates report. Westat maintains a list of all ART clinics known to be in operation and tracks clinic reorganizations and closings. This list includes clinics and individual providers that are members of the Society for Assisted Reproductive Technology (SART) as well as clinics and providers that are not SART members. Westat actively follows up reports of ART physicians or clinics not on its list to update the list as needed. Westat maintains NASS, the Web-based data collection system that all ART clinics use. Clinics either electronically enter or import data into NASS for each ART procedure they start in a given reporting year. The data collected include information on the client's medical history (such as infertility diagnoses), clinical information pertaining to the ART procedure, and information on resulting pregnancies and births.

See below **(Why is the report of 2009 success rates being published in 2011?)** for a complete description of the reporting process.

5. Why is the report of 2009 success rates being published in 2011?

Before success rates based on live births can be calculated, every ART pregnancy must be followed up to determine whether a birth occurred. Therefore, the earliest that clinics can report complete annual data is late in the year after ART treatment was initiated (about 9 months past year-end, when all the births have occurred). Accordingly, the results of all the cycles initiated in 2009 were not known until October 2010. After ART outcomes are known, the following occurs before the report is published:

- Clinics enter their data into NASS and verify the data's accuracy before sending the data to Westat.
- Westat compiles a national data set from the data submitted by individual clinics.
- CDC data analysts conduct comprehensive checks of the numbers reported for every clinic.
- Clinic tables, national figures, and accompanying text for both the printed and Internet versions of the report are compiled and laid out.

- CDC and Westat review the report.
- Necessary changes are incorporated and proofread.
- The report is submitted to the Government Printing Office to begin the printing and production process.

These steps are time-consuming but essential for ensuring that the report provides the public with correct information particularly regarding each clinic's success rates.

6. Which clinics are represented in this report?

The data in both the national report and the individual fertility clinic tables come from 441 fertility clinics that provided and verified information about the outcomes of the ART cycles started in their clinics in 2009.

Although we believe that almost all clinics that provided ART services in the United States throughout 2009 are represented in this report, data for a few clinics or practitioners are not included because they either were not in operation throughout 2009 or did not report as required. Clinics and practitioners known to have been in operation throughout 2009 that did not report and verify their data are listed in this report as nonreporters, as required by law (see Appendix C, Nonreporting ART Clinics for 2009, by State, on pages 586–588). We will continue to make every effort to include in future reports all clinics and practitioners providing ART services.

7. Why doesn't CDC rank the clinics?

Because the decision to undergo ART treatment is a very personal decision, this report may not contain all of the information that a woman or a couple needs to decide which ART clinic or procedure is best for their treatment. Many factors contribute to the success rate of an ART procedure in particular patients, and a difference in success rates between two ART programs may reflect differences in the groups of patients treated, the types of procedures used, or other factors. More explanations on how to use the success rates and other statistics published in this report are in the Introduction to Fertility Clinic Tables (pages 81–90). The report should be used to help people considering an ART procedure find clinics where they can meet personally with ART providers to discuss their specific medical situation and their likelihood of success using ART. Contacting a clinic also may provide additional information that could be helpful in deciding whether or not to use ART. Because ART offers several treatment options for infertility, there are many other factors that may affect the decision. Going through repeated ART cycles requires substantial commitments of time, effort, money, and emotional energy. Therefore, this report may be a helpful starting point for consumers to obtain information and consider their options.

8. Does this report include all ART cycles performed by the reporting clinics?

This report includes data for the 146,244 cycles performed in 2009 by the 441 clinics that reported their data as required. A small number of ART cycles are not included in either the national data or the individual fertility clinic tables. These were cycles in which a new treatment procedure was being evaluated. Only 12 ART cycles fell into this category in 2009.

9. How are the success rates determined?

This report presents several measures of success for ART (see Figure 8, page 22), including the percentage of ART cycles that result in a pregnancy. The pregnancies reported here were diagnosed using an ultrasound procedure. All live-birth deliveries were reported to the ART physician by either the patient or her obstetric provider. Because this report is geared toward patients, the focus is on the percentage of cycles resulting in live births. Singleton live births are presented as a separate measure of success because they have a much lower risk than multiple-infant births for adverse infant health outcomes, including prematurity, low birth weight, disability, and death. As noted throughout the report, success rates were additionally calculated at various steps of the ART cycle to provide a complete picture of the chances for success as the cycle progresses.

10. What are my chances of getting pregnant using ART?

Many women ask this question because they assume that the pregnancy will lead to a live birth. Unfortunately, not all ART procedures that result in a pregnancy lead to the delivery of a live infant. For example, in 2009, 102,478 fresh nondonor ART cycles were started. Of those, 37,780 (37%) led to a pregnancy, but only 30,787 (30%) resulted in a live birth. In other words, 19% of ART pregnancies did not result in a live birth. The percentage of cycles resulting in live births will give a more accurate answer to the question, "If I have an ART procedure, what is my chance that I will have a baby?"

It is important to note that multiple-fetus pregnancies and multiple-infant births are common with ART (see Figure 11, page 25). Multiple-infant births are associated with greater risk of adverse health outcomes for both the mother and the infants (see Figures 12 and 13 on preterm deliveries and low birth weight, pages 26 and 27). This report also includes singleton live births as a measure of success because they have a lower risk of adverse health outcomes.

11. If a woman has had more than one ART treatment cycle, how is the success rate calculated? Alternatively, how many cycles does a woman usually go through before getting pregnant?

As required by law, this report presents ART success rates in terms of how many cycles were started each year, rather than in terms of how many women were treated. (A cycle starts when a woman begins taking fertility drugs or having her ovaries monitored for follicle production.) Clinics do not report to CDC the number of women treated at each facility. Because clinics report information only on outcomes for each cycle started, it is not possible to compute the success rates on a "per woman" basis, or the number of cycles that an average woman may undergo before achieving success.

12. What factors that influence success rates are presented in this report?

The national report, which begins on page 13, presents a more in-depth picture of ART than can be shown for each individual clinic. Success rates are presented in the context of various patient and treatment characteristics that may influence success. These characteristics include age, infertility diagnosis, history of previous births, previous miscarriages, previous ART cycles, number of embryos transferred, type of ART procedure, use of techniques such as ICSI, and clinic size.

13. What quality control steps are used to ensure data accuracy?

To have their success rates published in this annual report, clinics have to submit their data in time for analysis and the clinics' medical directors have to verify by signature that the tabulated success rates are accurate. Then, Westat conducts an in-house review and contacts the clinics if corrections are necessary. After the data have been verified, a quality control process called validation begins. This year, 35 of the 441 reporting clinics were selected after taking into consideration the number of ART procedures performed at each clinic and whether the clinic had been selected before. Members of the Westat Validation Team visited these clinics and reviewed medical record data for a sample of the clinic's ART cycles. For each cycle, the validation team abstracted information from the patient's medical record. The abstracted information was then reviewed on-site and compared with the data submitted for the report. CDC staff members participated as observers in some of the visits. For each clinic, the sample of cycles validated included up to 50 cycles resulting in pregnancy and up to 75 additional cycles depending on the number and type of ART procedures performed at each clinic. In almost all cases, data available in the medical records on pregnancies and births were consistent with reported data. Validation primarily helps ensure that clinics are being careful to submit accurate data. It also serves to identify any systematic problems that could cause data collection to be inconsistent or incomplete.

The data validation process does not include any assessment of clinical practice or overall record keeping. See Appendix A, Technical Notes (pages 537– 540), for a more detailed presentation of sampling strategy and findings from the validation visits.

14. How does CDC use the variables/data collected but not reported in the annual *Assisted Reproductive Technology Success Rates National Summary and Fertility Clinic Reports?*

CDC uses the data collected and not reported in the annual ART report to evaluate emerging ART research questions and to monitor safety and efficacy issues related to ART treatment for improving maternal and child outcomes. Other data may not be released in order to protect the ART patient's confidentiality. A list of publications is available at http://www.cdc.gov/ART/pubs.htm.

15. How does CDC ensure the confidentiality of the ART data it collects?

CDC has an Assurance of Confidentiality for the ART database. An Assurance of Confidentiality is a formal confidentiality protection authorized under Section 308(d) of the Public Health Service Act (42 U.S.C. 242[m]). An assurance is used for projects conducted by CDC staff or contractors involving the collection or maintenance of sensitive identifiable or potentially identifiable information. The assurance allows CDC programs to assure individuals and institutions involved in research or nonresearch projects that those conducting the project will protect the confidentiality of the data collected. Under PHSA Section 308(d), no identifiable information may be used for any purpose other than the purpose for which it was supplied unless such institution or individual has consented to that disclosure. CDC's current Assurance of Confidentiality for this project is ongoing.

16. Why doesn't the report contain specific medical information about ART?

This report describes a woman's average chances of success using ART. Although the report provides some information about factors such as age and infertility diagnosis, individual couples face many unique medical situations. This population-based registry of ART procedures cannot capture detailed information about specific medical conditions associated with infertility. A physician in clinical practice should be consulted for the individual evaluation that will help a woman or couple understand their specific medical situation and their chances of success using ART.

17. Why are statistics in the Fertility Clinic Tables published by CDC different from statistics reported by SART's IVF Success Rate Reports?

During 1996–2009, the percentage of ART clinics reporting data to CDC with a SART membership ranged from approximately 85% to 95%. Annual summary statistics of ART treatments performed in each of these clinics are available online at www.sart.org. Although many of the same table items are used in both the CDC's Fertility Clinic Tables and SART's IVF Success Rate Reports, discrepancies in tabulated statistics between the SART and CDC tables may be due to (1) the inclusion, in the CDC Fertility Clinic Reports, of ART treatments performed at non-SART member clinics; (2) differences in the data submission deadlines between SART and CDC which may result in ART clinics being excluded from the CDC's annual Fertility Clinic Reports, and (3) differences in data processing procedures and statistical methods used to generate statistics.

18. Does CDC have any information on the women who donate eggs?

CDC collects only information on the age of egg donors, but does not present it in the individual clinic tables for this report. In 2009, the average age of egg donors was approximately 28 years old. Success rates for cycles using donor eggs or using embryos derived from donor eggs are presented separately based on the ART patient's age (see Figures 47 and 48, pages 61 and 62).

19. Are there any medical guidelines for ART performed in the United States?

The American Society for Reproductive Medicine (ASRM) and SART issue guidelines dealing with specific ART practice issues, such as the number of embryos to be transferred in an ART procedure. Further information can be obtained from ASRM or SART (both at telephone 205-978-5000 or Web sites www.asrm.org and www.sart.org).

20. Where can I get additional information on U.S. fertility clinics?

For further information on specific clinics, contact the clinic directly (see Appendix C for current contact information). In addition, SART can provide general information on its member clinics (telephone 205-978-5000, extension 109).

2009

National Report

INTRODUCTION TO THE 2009 NATIONAL REPORT

Data provided by U.S. clinics that use assisted reproductive technology (ART) to treat infertility are a rich source of information about the factors that contribute to a successful ART treatment—the delivery of a live-born infant. Pooling the data from all reporting clinics provides an overall national picture that could not be obtained by examining data from an individual clinic.

A woman's chances of having a pregnancy and a live birth by using ART are influenced by many factors, some of which are patient-related and outside a clinic's control (e.g., the woman's age, the cause of infertility). Because the national data set includes information on many of these factors, it can give potential ART users an idea of their average chances of success. Average chances, however, do not necessarily apply to a particular individual or couple. People considering ART should consult their physician to discuss all the factors that apply in their particular case.

The data for this national report come from the 441 fertility clinics in operation in 2009 that provided and verified data on the outcomes of all ART cycles started in their clinics. The 146,244 ART cycles performed at these reporting clinics in 2009 resulted in 45,870 live births (deliveries of one or more living infants) and 60,190 infants.

The national report consists of graphs and charts that use 2009 data to answer specific questions related to ART success rates. These figures are organized according to the type of ART procedure used. Some ART procedures use a woman's own eggs, and others use donated eggs or embryos. (Although sperm used to create an embryo also may be either from a woman's partner or from a sperm donor, information in this report is presented according to the source of the egg.) In some procedures, the embryos that develop are transferred back to the woman (fresh embryo transfer); in others, the embryos are frozen (cryopreserved) for transfer at a later date. This report includes data on frozen embryos that were thawed and transferred in 2009.

The national report has five sections:

- Section 1 (Figures 1 through 5) presents information from all ART procedures reported.
- Section 2 (Figures 6 through 42) presents information on the ART cycles that used only fresh nondonor eggs or embryos from nondonor eggs or, in a few cases, a mixture of fresh and frozen embryos from nondonor eggs (102,478 cycles resulting in 84,039 transfers).
- Section 3 (Figures 43 through 45) presents information on the ART cycles that used only frozen embryos from nondonor eggs (26,069 cycles resulting in 24,127 transfers).
- Section 4 (Figures 46 through 50) presents information on the ART cycles that used only donated eggs or embryos (17,697 cycles resulting in 16,225 transfers).
- Section 5 (Figures 51 through 63) presents trends in the number of ART procedures and success rates over the past 10 years, from 2000 through 2009.

The 2009 national summary table, which is based on data from all clinics included in this report, is on page 91, immediately preceding the individual clinic tables. An explanation of how to read these tables is on pages 85–90.

SECTION 1: OVERVIEW

Where are United States ART clinics located, how many ART cycles did they perform in 2009, and how many infants were born from these ART cycles?

Although ART clinics are located throughout the United States, generally in or near major cities, the greatest number of clinics is in the eastern United States. Figure 1 shows the locations of the 441 reporting clinics. The fertility clinic section of this report, arranged in alphabetical order by state, city, and clinic name, provides specific information on each of these clinics. The number of clinics, cycles performed, live-birth deliveries, and infants born as a result of ART all have increased steadily since CDC began collecting this information in 1995 (see Section 5, pages 65–77). Because in some cases more than one infant is born during a live-birth delivery (e.g., twins), the total number of infants born is greater than the number of live-birth deliveries. CDC estimates that ART accounts for slightly more than 1% of total U.S. births.

Figure 1
Locations of ART Clinics in the United States and Puerto Rico, 2009



Number of ART clinics in the United States in 2009	484
Number of ART clinics that submitted data in 2009	441
Number of ART cycles reported in 2009	146,244*
Number of live-birth deliveries resulting from ART cycles started in 2009	45,870
Number of infants born as a result of ART cycles performed in 2009	60,190

*Note: This number does not include 12 cycles in which a new treatment procedure was being evaluated (see Figure 2, page 16).

What types of ART cycles were performed in the United States in 2009?

Figure 2 shows the types of ART cycles performed in the United States in 2009. For approximately 70% of ART cycles performed in 2009, fresh nondonor eggs or embryos were used. ART cycles that used frozen nondonor embryos were the next most common type, accounting for approximately 18% of the total. In about 12% of cycles, eggs or embryos were donated by another woman. A very small number of cycles (less than 0.1%) involved the evaluation of a new treatment procedure. Cycles in which a new treatment procedure was being evaluated are not included in the total number of cycles reported in the national report or in the individual fertility clinic tables. Thus, data presented in subsequent figures in this report and in the individual fertility clinic tables are based on 146,244 ART cycles.

Figure 2
Types of ART Cycles—United States,* 2009



*Total does not equal 100% due to rounding.

How old were women who used ART in the United States in 2009?

Figure 3 presents ART cycles performed in the United States in 2009 according to the age of the woman who had the procedure. The average age of women using ART services in 2009 was 36. The largest group of women using ART services were women younger than 35, representing approximately 39% of all ART cycles performed in 2009. Approximately 20% of ART cycles were performed among women aged 35–37, 21% among women aged 38–40, 10% among women aged 41–42, 6% among women aged 43–44, and 5% among women older than 44.

Figure 3
ART Use by Age Group—United States,* 2009



*Total does not equal 100% due to rounding.

How did the types of ART cycles performed in the United States in 2009 differ among women of different ages?

Figure 4 shows that, in 2009, the type of ART cycles varied by the woman's age. The vast majority (97%) of women younger than 35 used their own eggs, whereas about 3% used donor eggs. In contrast, 36% of women aged 43–44 and 73% of women older than 44 used donor eggs. Across all age groups, more ART cycles using fresh eggs or embryos were performed than cycles using frozen embryos.

Figure 4
Types of ART Cycles by Age Group—United States, 2009



*Total does not equal 100% due to rounding.

How is clinic size related to percentages of ART cycles performed in the United States in 2009 that resulted in live births?

The number of ART procedures performed every year varies among fertility clinics in the United States. For Figure 5, clinics were divided equally into four groups (called quartiles) based on the size of the clinic as determined by the number of ART cycles it performed in 2009. The percentage for each quartile by type of ART represents the average percentage of ART cycles that resulted in live births for clinics in that quartile.

In 2009, percentages of ART cycles that resulted in live births using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos were similar for all 441 clinics regardless of the number of cycles performed. However, for fresh donor cycles, the percentage of cycles that resulted in live births increased as the clinic size increased. Among frozen nondonor and frozen donor cycles, the percentage of cycles that resulted in live births varied by clinic size.

Figure 5
Percentages of ART Cycles That Resulted in Live Births, by Type of ART and Clinic Size—United States, 2009



SECTION 2: ART CYCLES USING FRESH NONDONOR EGGS OR EMBRYOS

What are the steps for an ART cycle using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos?

Figure 6 presents the steps for an ART cycle using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos and shows how ART users in 2009 progressed through these stages toward pregnancy and live birth.

An ART **cycle is started** when a woman begins taking medication to stimulate the ovaries to develop eggs or, if no drugs are given, when the woman begins having her ovaries monitored (using ultrasound or blood tests) for natural egg production.

If eggs are produced, the cycle then progresses to **egg retrieval**, a surgical procedure in which eggs are collected from a woman's ovaries.

Once retrieved, eggs are combined with sperm in the laboratory. If fertilization is successful, one or more of the resulting embryos are selected for **transfer**, most often into a woman's uterus through the cervix (IVF), but sometimes into the fallopian tubes (GIFT or ZIFT) (see page 3 for descriptions of ART types).

If one or more of the transferred embryos implant within the woman's uterus, the cycle then may progress to clinical **pregnancy**.

Finally, the pregnancy may progress to a **live birth**, the delivery of one or more live-born infants. (The birth of twins, triplets, or more is counted as one live birth.)

A cycle may be discontinued at any step for specific medical reasons (e.g., no eggs are produced, the embryo transfer was not successful) or by patient choice.

Figure 6
Outcomes of ART Cycles Using Fresh Nondonor Eggs or Embryos, by Stage, 2009



Why are some ART cycles discontinued?

In 2009, 11,296 ART cycles (about 11% out of all 102,478 cycles using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos) were discontinued before the egg retrieval step (see Figure 6, page 20). Figure 7 shows reasons that the cycles were discontinued. For approximately 83% of these cycles, there was no or inadequate egg production. Other reasons included too high a response to ovarian stimulation medications (i.e., potential for ovarian hyperstimulation syndrome), concurrent medical illness, or a patient's personal reasons.

Figure 7
Reasons ART Cycles Using Fresh Nondonor Eggs or Embryos Were Discontinued,* 2009



*Based on 11,296 ART cycles.

How are success rates of ART measured?

Figure 8 shows ART success rates using six different measures, each providing slightly different information about this complex process. The vast majority of success rates have increased slightly each year since CDC began monitoring them in 1995 (see Section 5, pages 65–77).

- **Percentage of ART cycles started that produced a pregnancy:** This is higher than the percentage of cycles that resulted in a live birth because some pregnancies end in miscarriage, induced abortion, or stillbirth (see Figure 10, page 24).
- **Percentage of ART cycles started that resulted in a live birth (a delivery of one or more live-born infants):** This is the one many people are most interested in because it represents the average chance of having one or more live-born infants by using ART. *This is referred to as the basic live birth rate in the Fertility Clinic Success Rate and Certification Act of 1992.*
- **Percentage of ART cycles in which eggs were retrieved that resulted in a live birth:** This is generally higher than the percentage of cycles that resulted in a live birth because it excludes cycles that were canceled before eggs were retrieved. In 2009, about 11% of all cycles using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos were canceled for a variety of reasons (see Figure 7, page 21). *This is referred to as the live birth rate per successful oocyte (egg) retrieval in the Fertility Clinic Success Rate and Certification Act of 1992.*
- **Percentage of ART cycles in which an embryo or egg and sperm transfer occurred that resulted in a live birth:** This is one of the highest of these six measures of ART success.
- **Percentage of ART cycles started that resulted in a singleton live birth:** Overall, singleton live births have a much lower risk than multiple-infant births for adverse infant health outcomes, including prematurity, low birth weight, disability, and death.
- **Percentage of ART cycles in which an embryo or egg and sperm transfer occurred that resulted in a singleton live birth:** This is higher than the percentage of ART cycles started that resulted in a singleton live birth because not all ART cycles proceed to embryo transfer.

Figure 8
Measures of Success for ART Cycles Using Fresh Nondonor Eggs or Embryos, 2009



What percentage of ART cycles result in a pregnancy?

Figure 9 shows the results of ART cycles in 2009 that used fresh nondonor eggs or embryos. Most of these cycles (approximately 63%) did not produce a pregnancy; a very small proportion (less than 1%) resulted in an ectopic pregnancy (the embryo implanted outside the uterus), and about 37% resulted in clinical pregnancy. Clinical pregnancies, accounting for more than one-third of cycles, can be further subdivided as follows:

- Approximately 23% resulted in a single-fetus pregnancy.
- Approximately 12% resulted in a multiple-fetus pregnancy.
- Approximately 3% of pregnancies ended before the number of fetuses could be accurately determined.

Figure 9
Results of ART Cycles Using Fresh Nondonor Eggs or Embryos, 2009



Using ART, what percentage of pregnancies result in a live birth?

Figure 10 shows the outcomes of pregnancies resulting from ART cycles using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos in 2009. Approximately 82% of the pregnancies resulted in a live birth (about 57% in a singleton birth and 25% in a multiple-infant birth). About 18% of pregnancies resulted in miscarriage, stillbirth, induced abortion, or maternal death prior to birth. For less than 1% of pregnancies, the outcome was unknown.

Although the birth of more than one infant is counted as one live birth, multiple-infant births are presented here as a separate category because they often are associated with problems for both mothers and infants. Infant deaths and birth defects are not included as adverse outcomes because the available information for these outcomes is incomplete.

Figure 10
Outcomes of Pregnancies Resulting from ART Cycles Using Fresh Nondonor Eggs or Embryos,*† 2009



*Maternal deaths prior to birth are not displayed due to small number (n = 7).
†Total does not equal 100% due to rounding.

Using ART, what is the risk of having a multiple-fetus pregnancy or multiple-infant live birth?

Multiple-infant births are associated with greater problems for both mothers and infants, including higher rates of caesarean section, prematurity, low birth weight, and infant disability or death.

Part A of Figure 11 shows that among the 37,780 pregnancies that resulted from ART cycles using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos, approximately 62% were singleton pregnancies, 29% were twins, and 3% were triplets or more. Approximately 7% of pregnancies ended before the number of fetuses could be accurately determined. Therefore, the percentage of pregnancies with more than one fetus might have been higher than what was reported (about 32%).

In 2009, 6,837 pregnancies resulting from ART cycles ended in either miscarriage, stillbirth, induced abortion, or maternal death, and 156 pregnancy outcomes were not reported. The remaining 30,787 pregnancies resulted in live births. Part B of Figure 11 shows that approximately 31% of these live births produced more than one infant (29% twins and approximately 2% triplets or more). This compares with a multiple-infant birth rate of slightly more than 3% in the general U.S. population.

Although the total rates for multiples were similar between pregnancies and live births, there were more triplet-or-more pregnancies than births. Triplet-or-more pregnancies may be reduced to twins or singletons by the time of birth. This can happen naturally (e.g., fetal death), or a woman and her doctor may decide to reduce the number of fetuses using a procedure called multifetal pregnancy reduction. CDC does not collect information on multifetal pregnancy reductions.

Figure 11
Risks of Having Multiple-Fetus Pregnancies and Multiple-Infant Live Births from ART Cycles Using Fresh Nondonor Eggs or Embryos, 2009



Using ART, what is the risk of preterm birth?

Preterm birth occurs when a woman gives birth before 37 full weeks of pregnancy. Infants born preterm are at greater risk of death in the first few days of life, as well as other adverse health outcomes, including visual and hearing impairments, intellectual and learning disabilities, and behavioral and emotional problems throughout life. Preterm births also cause substantial emotional and economic burdens for families.

Figure 12 shows percentages of preterm births resulting from ART cycles that used fresh nondonor eggs or embryos in 2009, by number of infants born. For singletons, it shows separately the percentage of preterm birth among infants born from pregnancies that started with one fetus (single-fetus pregnancies) and with more than one fetus (multiple-fetus pregnancies).

Among singletons, the percentage of preterm births was higher for those from multiple-fetus pregnancies (19%) than those from single-fetus pregnancies (about 12%). In the general U.S. population, where singletons are almost always the result of a single-fetus pregnancy, 12% were born preterm in 2008 (most recent available data).

Among births resulting from ART cycles that used fresh nondonor eggs or embryos in 2009, 60% of twins and 98% of triplets or more were born preterm. A comparison of preterm births between ART's multiple-fetus pregnancies and that of the general population is not meaningful because a substantial proportion of twin births or triplet and higher order births are due to infertility treatments (both ART and non-ART).

These data indicate that the risk of preterm birth is higher among infants conceived through ART than for infants in the general population. This increase in risk is due, in large part, to the higher percentage of multiple-fetus pregnancies resulting from ART cycles.

Figure 12
Percentages of Preterm Births from ART Cycles Using Fresh Nondonor Eggs or Embryos, by Number of Infants Born, 2009



Using ART, what is the risk of having low-birth-weight infants?

Low-birth-weight infants (less than 2,500 grams, or 5 pounds, 9 ounces) are at increased risk of death and short- and long-term disabilities such as cerebral palsy, intellectual disabilities, and limitations in motor and cognitive skills.

Figure 13 shows percentages of low-birth-weight infants resulting from ART cycles that used fresh nondonor eggs or embryos in 2009, by number of infants born. For singletons, it shows separately the percentage of low birth weight among infants born from pregnancies that started with one fetus (single-fetus pregnancies) and with more than one fetus (multiple-fetus pregnancies).

Among singletons, the percentage of low-birth-weight infants was higher for those from multiple-fetus pregnancies (about 17%) than those from single-fetus pregnancies (about 9%). In the general U.S. population, where singletons are almost always the result of a single-fetus pregnancy, 8% of infants born in 2008 (most recent available data) had low birth weights.

Approximately 56% of twins and 92% of triplets or more resulting from ART cycles in 2009 had low birth weights. Comparing percentages of low birth weights between ART twins and triplets or more and the general population is not meaningful because the vast majority of twin births or triplets and higher order births are due to infertility treatments (both ART and non-ART).

These data indicate that the risk of low birth weight is higher for infants conceived through ART than for infants in the general population. The increase in risk is due, in large part, to the higher percentage of multiple-fetus pregnancies resulting from ART cycles.

Figure 13
Percentages of Low-Birth-Weight Infants from ART Cycles Using Fresh Nondonor Eggs or Embryos, by Number of Infants Born, 2009



What are the ages of women who use ART?

Figure 14 presents ART cycles using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos in 2009 according to the age of the woman who had the procedure. About 12% of these cycles were among women younger than age 30, about 66% were among women aged 30–39, and approximately 22% were among women aged 40 or older.

Figure 14
Age Distribution of Women Who Had ART Cycles Using Fresh Nondonor Eggs or Embryos, 2009



Do percentages of ART cycles that result in pregnancies, live births, and singleton live births differ among women of different ages?

A woman's age is the most important factor affecting the chances of a live birth when her own eggs are used. Figure 15 shows percentages of pregnancies, live births, and singleton live births among women of different ages who had ART procedures using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos in 2009. Percentages of ART cycles resulting in live births and singleton live births are different because of the high percentage of multiple-infant deliveries counted among the total live births. The percentage of multiple-infant births is particularly high among women younger than 35 (see Figure 36, page 50). Among women in their 20s, percentages of ART cycles resulting in pregnancies, live births, and singleton live births were relatively stable; however, percentages declined steadily from among women in their mid-30s onward. For additional detail on percentages of ART cycles that resulted in pregnancies, live births, and singleton live births among women aged 40 or older, see Figure 16 on page 30.

Figure 15
Percentages of ART Cycles Using Fresh Nondonor Eggs or Embryos That Resulted in Pregnancies, Live Births, and Singleton Live Births, by Age of Woman,* 2009



*For consistency, all percentages are based on cycles started.

How do percentages of ART cycles that result in pregnancies, live births, and singleton live births differ among women aged 40 or older?

Percentages of ART cycles that result in pregnancies, live births, and singleton live births decline with each year of age and are particularly low for women aged 40 or older. Figure 16 shows percentages of pregnancies, live births, and singleton live births among women aged 40 or older who used fresh nondonor eggs or embryos in 2009. The average chance for pregnancy was 27% among women age 40; the percentage of ART cycles resulting in live births for this age was about 19%, and the percentage of ART cycles resulting in singleton live births was about 15%. All percentages dropped steadily with each 1-year increase in age. Among women older than 44, percentages of live births and singleton live births were both less than 2%. Women aged 40 or older generally have much higher percentages of live births using donor eggs (see Figure 47, page 61).

Figure 16
Percentages of ART Cycles Using Fresh Nondonor Eggs or Embryos That Resulted in Pregnancies, Live Births, and Singleton Live Births Among Women Aged 40 or Older,* 2009



*For consistency, all percentages are based on cycles started.

How does the risk of miscarriage differ among women of different ages?

A woman's age not only affects the chance for pregnancy when her own eggs are used, but also affects her risk of miscarriage. Figure 17 shows percentages of ART cycles using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos in 2009 that resulted in miscarriage for women of different ages. Percentages of ART cycles that resulted in miscarriage were below 13% among women younger than 35. The percentage of ART cycles that resulted in miscarriages began to increase among women in their mid- to late 30s and continued to increase with age, reaching 28% at age 40 and almost 59% among women older than 44.

The risk of miscarriage among women undergoing ART procedures using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos appears to be similar to those reported in various studies of other pregnant women in the United States.

Figure 17
Percentages of ART Cycles Using Fresh Nondonor Eggs or Embryos That Resulted in Miscarriage, by Age of Woman, 2009



How does the risk of pregnancy loss through week 24 vary among women of different ages?

The risk of pregnancy loss (loss of an entire pregnancy or all fetuses in a multiple-fetus pregnancy, including stillbirths and miscarriages) is affected by the duration of a woman's pregnancy and her age. Figure 18 shows that 12% to 58% of clinical pregnancies (see Pregnancy [clinical] in Glossary of Terms, page 545) were lost through 24 weeks gestation, depending on the woman's age. Among women younger than 35, 12% of pregnancies were lost and 87% continued through week 24. In contrast, among women older than 44, 58% of pregnancies were lost and only 42% continued through week 24. In all age groups, most pregnancy losses occurred before week 14 (i.e., during the first trimester). The risk of pregnancy loss after 24 weeks was less than 1% for all age groups because most pregnancies that progress beyond week 24 lead to live births. Note that percentages of pregnancy loss and percentages of pregnancy continuation for each age group may not add up to 100% because some pregnancies resulted in outcomes other than pregnancy loss before week 24 (e.g., live births, induced abortions, or maternal death).

Figure 18
Percentages of Pregnancies That Were Lost Through Week 24 Among ART Cycles Using Fresh Nondonor Eggs or Embryos, by Age of Woman, 2009



How does a woman's age affect her chances of progressing through the various stages of ART?

In 2009, a total of 102,478 cycles using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos were started:

- 42,384 among women younger than age 35
- 21,860 among women aged 35–37 years
- 22,144 among women aged 38–40 years
- 9,845 among women aged 41–42 years
- 4,857 among women aged 43–44 years
- 1,388 among women older than age 44

Figure 19 shows that a woman's chance of progressing from the beginning of ART to pregnancy and live birth (using her own eggs) decreases at every stage of ART as her age increases.

- As women get older, the likelihood of a successful response to ovarian stimulation and progression to **egg retrieval** decreases.
- As women get older, cycles that have progressed to egg retrieval are less likely to reach **transfer**.
- The percentage of cycles that progress from transfer to **pregnancy** also decreases as women get older.
- As women get older, cycles that have progressed to pregnancy are less likely to result in a **live birth** because the risk of miscarriage is greater (see Figure 17, page 31).

Overall, 41% of cycles started in 2009 among women younger than age 35 resulted in live births. This percentage decreased to 32% among women aged 35–37 years, 22% among women aged 38–40 years, 12% among women aged 41–42 years, 5% among women aged 43–44 years, and 2% among women older than age 44.

Figure 19
Outcomes of ART Cycles Using Fresh Nondonor Eggs or Embryos, by Stage and Age Group, 2009



What are the causes of infertility among couples who use ART?

Figure 20 shows the infertility diagnoses reported among couples who had an ART procedure using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos in 2009. Diagnoses range from one infertility factor in one partner to multiple factors in either one or both partners. However, diagnostic procedures may vary from one clinic to another, so the categorization also may vary.

- **Tubal factor** means that the woman's fallopian tubes are blocked or damaged, making it difficult for the egg to be fertilized or for an embryo to travel to the uterus.
- **Ovulatory dysfunction** means that the ovaries are not producing eggs normally. Such dysfunctions include polycystic ovary syndrome and multiple ovarian cysts.
- **Diminished ovarian reserve** means that the ability of the ovary to produce eggs is reduced. Reasons include congenital, medical, or surgical causes or advanced age.
- **Endometriosis** involves the presence of tissue similar to the uterine lining in abnormal locations. This condition can affect both fertilization of the egg and embryo implantation.
- **Uterine factor** means a structural or functional disorder of the uterus that results in reduced fertility.
- **Male factor** refers to a low sperm count or problems with sperm function that make it difficult for a sperm to fertilize an egg under normal conditions.
- **Other causes** of infertility include immunological problems, chromosomal abnormalities, cancer chemotherapy, and serious illnesses.
- **Unexplained cause** means that no cause of infertility was found in either the woman or the man.
- **Multiple factors, female only,** means that more than one female cause was diagnosed.
- **Multiple factors, female and male,** means that one or more female causes and male factor infertility were diagnosed.

Figure 20
Diagnoses Among Couples Who Had ART Cycles Using Fresh Nondonor Eggs or Embryos, 2009



Does the cause of infertility affect the percentage of ART cycles that result in live births?

Figure 21 shows the percentage of ART cycles that resulted in live births according to the causes of infertility. (See Figure 20, page 34, or the Glossary of Terms in Appendix B for an explanation of the diagnoses.) Although the national average was 30% in 2009 (see Figure 8, page 22), the percentage of ART cycles that resulted in live births varied somewhat depending on the couple's diagnosis. In 2009, the percentage of ART cycles resulting in live births was higher than the national average for couples diagnosed with tubal factor, ovulatory dysfunction, endometriosis, male factor, or unexplained infertility; it was lower for couples diagnosed with diminished ovarian reserve, uterine factor, "other" causes, or multiple infertility factors. Please note, however, the definitions of infertility diagnoses may vary from clinic to clinic and that a review of select clinical records revealed that reporting of infertility causes may be incomplete. (See Findings from Validation Visits for 2009 ART Data in Appendix A for additional information.) Therefore, differences in success rates by causes of infertility should be interpreted with caution.

Figure 21
Percentages of ART Cycles Using Fresh Nondonor Eggs or Embryos That Resulted in Live Births, by Diagnosis, 2009



How many women who use ART have previously given birth?

Figure 22 shows the number of previous births among women who had ART procedures using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos in 2009. Most ART procedures (71%) were among women who had no previous births, although they may have had a pregnancy that resulted in a miscarriage or an induced abortion. About 21% of ART procedures were among women who reported one previous birth, and about 8% were among women who reported two or more previous births. However, we do not have information about how many of these were ART births and how many were not. These data nonetheless point out that women who have previously had children can still face infertility problems.

Figure 22
Numbers of Previous Births Among Women Who Had ART Cycles Using Fresh Nondonor Eggs or Embryos, 2009



Do women who have previously given birth have higher percentages of ART cycles that result in live births?

Figure 23 shows the relationship between the success of ART cycles performed in 2009 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos and a history of previous births. Previous live-born infants were conceived naturally in some cases and through ART in others. In all age groups, women who had a previous live birth were more or equally likely to have a successful ART procedure.

Figure 23
Percentages of ART Cycles Using Fresh Nondonor Eggs or Embryos That Resulted in Live Births, by Age of Woman and Number of Previous Live Births, 2009



Is there a difference in percentages of ART cycles that result in live births between women with previous miscarriages and women who have never been pregnant?

In 2009, 72,339 ART cycles using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos were performed among women who had not previously given birth. However, about 27% of those cycles were reported by women with one or more previous pregnancies that had ended in miscarriage—we do not have information on whether these pregnancies ending in miscarriage were the result of ART or were conceived naturally. Figure 24 shows the relationship between the success of an ART cycle and the history of previous miscarriage. In all age groups, women who had a previous miscarriage were about as likely to have a live birth as women who had never been pregnant. Thus, a history of unsuccessful pregnancy does not appear to be associated with lower chances for success using ART.

Figure 24
Percentages of ART Cycles Using Fresh Nondonor Eggs or Embryos That Resulted in Live Births, by Age of Woman and History of Miscarriage, Among Women with No Previous Births,* 2009



*Women reporting only previous ectopic pregnancies or pregnancies that ended in induced abortion are not included.

How many current ART users have undergone previous ART cycles?

Figure 25 presents ART cycles that used fresh nondonor eggs or embryos in 2009 according to whether previous ART cycles had been performed. For about 45%, one or more previous cycles were reported. (This percentage includes previous cycles using either fresh or frozen embryos.) This finding illustrates that it is not uncommon for women to undergo multiple ART cycles. We do not have information on when previous cycles were performed, nor do we have information on the outcomes of those previous cycles.

Figure 25
Numbers of Previous ART Cycles Among Women Undergoing ART with Fresh Nondonor Eggs or Embryos,* 2009



*Total does not equal 100% due to rounding.

Are percentages of ART cycles that result in live births different for women using ART for the first time and women who previously used ART but did not give birth?

Figure 26 shows the relationship between the success of ART cycles performed in 2009 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos and a history of previous ART cycles among women with no previous births. In most age groups, percentages of ART cycles that resulted in live births were lower for women who had previously undergone an unsuccessful ART cycle.

Figure 26
Percentages of ART Cycles Using Fresh Nondonor Eggs or Embryos That Resulted in Live Births, by Age of Woman and History of Previous ART Cycles, Among Women with No Previous Births, 2009



What is the percentage of ART cycles that result in live births for women who have had both previous ART and previous births?

Figure 27 shows the relationship between the success of ART cycles performed in 2009 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos and a history of both previous ART cycles and previous births. We do not have information on whether the previous births were the result of ART or were conceived naturally. However, among women with previous births, percentages of ART cycles that resulted in live births among women who did not undergo a previous ART procedure were comparable to percentages among women who had undergone previous ART cycles.

Although Figure 26 (see page 40) shows that having undergone previous ART cycles may be related to the success of the current ART cycle, it is also important to consider the outcomes of previous cycles and whether the woman has given birth in the past, as demonstrated in this figure.

Figure 27
Percentages of ART Cycles Using Fresh Nondonor Eggs or Embryos That Resulted in Live Births, by Age of Woman and History of Previous ART Cycles, Among Women with One or More Previous Births, 2009



What are the specific types of ART performed among women who use fresh nondonor eggs or embryos?

Figure 28 presents the types of ART procedures performed in 2009 among women using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos. For about 27% of ART procedures, standard IVF (in vitro fertilization) techniques were used: eggs and sperm were combined in the laboratory, the resulting embryos were cultured for 2 or more days, and one or more embryos were then transferred into the woman's uterus through the cervix.

For most of the remaining ART procedures (about 73%), fertilization was accomplished using intracytoplasmic sperm injection (ICSI). This technique involves injecting a single sperm directly into an egg; the embryos are then cultured and transferred as in standard IVF.

For a small proportion of ART procedures, unfertilized eggs and sperm (gametes) or early embryos (zygotes) were transferred into the woman's fallopian tubes. These procedures are known as gamete and zygote intrafallopian transfer (GIFT and ZIFT). Some women with tubal infertility are not suitable candidates for GIFT and ZIFT. GIFT and ZIFT are more invasive procedures than IVF because they involve inserting a laparoscope into a woman's abdomen to transfer the embryos or gametes into the fallopian tubes. In contrast, IVF involves transferring embryos or gametes into a woman's uterus through the cervix without surgery.

Figure 28
Types of ART Procedures Using Fresh Nondonor Eggs or Embryos,*† 2009



*Total does not equal 100% due to rounding.
† Combination of IVF with or without ICSI and either GIFT or ZIFT.

What is the percentage of egg retrievals that result in live births for different types of ART procedures?

Figure 29 shows the percentage of egg retrievals that resulted in live births by type of ART procedure. Percentages for the two predominant types of ART, IVF without ICSI and IVF with ICSI, were similar. Percentages of egg retrievals that resulted in live births for cycles that used GIFT, ZIFT, or a combination* of ART procedures were lower than for cycles that used other ART procedures. See Figures 30–32 (pages 44–46) and Figures 52–54 (pages 66–68) for further details on IVF procedures that used ICSI.

Figure 29
Percentages of Egg Retrievals That Resulted in Live Births, by Type of ART Procedure, 2009



* Combination of IVF with or without ICSI and either GIFT or ZIFT.

Is ICSI used only for couples diagnosed with male factor infertility?

ICSI was developed to overcome problems with fertilization that sometimes occur in couples diagnosed with male factor infertility. Figure 30 shows the percentage of fresh nondonor cycles using ICSI in 2009 among couples with and without diagnoses of male factor infertility. In 2009, 66,439 ICSI cycles were performed. Slightly less than half of the ICSI cycles were performed for couples with a diagnosis of male factor infertility. However, diagnostic procedures may vary from one clinic to another, so the categorization of causes of infertility may also vary.

Figure 30
Use of ICSI* in Fresh Nondonor Cycles Among Couples With and Without Diagnoses of Male Factor Infertility,† 2009



* Intracytoplasmic sperm injection.
† Based on 66,439 cycles that used IVF with ICSI.

What is the percentage of retrievals that result in live births for couples with male factor infertility when ICSI is used?

ICSI was developed to overcome problems with fertilization that sometimes occur among couples diagnosed with male factor infertility. In 2009, 83% of couples diagnosed with male factor infertility used IVF with ICSI. Because ICSI can only be performed when at least one egg has been retrieved, Figure 31 presents percentages of retrievals that resulted in live births for these ICSI procedures among couples diagnosed with male factor infertility. For comparison, these percentages are presented alongside percentages for ART cycles that used standard IVF without ICSI among couples with all diagnoses except male factor infertility.

For most age groups, when ICSI was used for couples diagnosed with male factor infertility, percentages of retrievals that resulted in live births were similar to those achieved by couples who used standard IVF without ICSI and were not diagnosed with male factor infertility. Please note, however, the definitions of infertility diagnoses may vary from clinic to clinic and that a review of select clinical records revealed that reporting of infertility causes may be incomplete. (See Findings from Validation Visits for 2009 ART Data in Appendix A for additional information.) Therefore, differences in success rates by causes of infertility should be interpreted with caution.

Figure 31
Percentages of Retrievals That Resulted in Live Births Among Couples Diagnosed with Male Factor Infertility Who Used IVF with ICSI,* Compared with Couples Not Diagnosed with Male Factor Infertility Who Used IVF Without ICSI, by Age of Woman,† 2009



* Intracytoplasmic sperm injection.
† Cycles using donor sperm and cycles using GIFT or ZIFT are excluded.

What is the percentage of retrievals that result in live births for couples without a diagnosis of male factor infertility when ICSI is used?

As shown in Figure 30 (page 44), a large number of ICSI procedures are now performed even when couples are not diagnosed with male factor infertility. Figure 32 presents percentages of egg retrievals that resulted in live births for those cycles compared with ART cycles among couples who used IVF without ICSI. For women younger than age 43, the ICSI procedures were less successful. Please note, however, the definitions of infertility diagnoses may vary from clinic to clinic and that a review of select clinical records revealed that reporting of infertility causes may be incomplete. (See Findings from Validation Visits for 2009 ART Data in Appendix A for additional information.) Additionally, information was not available to determine whether this finding was a direct effect of the ICSI procedure or whether the patients who used ICSI were somehow different from those who use IVF alone. Therefore, differences in success rates by causes of infertility should be interpreted with caution.

Figure 32
Percentages of Retrievals That Resulted in Live Births Among Couples Not Diagnosed with Male Factor Infertility, by Use of ICSI* and Age of Woman,† 2009



* Intracytoplasmic sperm injection.
† Cycles using donor sperm and cycles using GIFT or ZIFT are excluded.

How many embryos are transferred in an ART procedure?

Figure 33 shows that approximately 35% of ART cycles that used fresh nondonor eggs or embryos and progressed to the embryo transfer stage in 2009 involved the transfer of three or more embryos, about 12% of cycles involved the transfer of four or more, and approximately 4% of cycles involved the transfer of five or more embryos.

Figure 33
Numbers of Embryos Transferred During ART Cycles Using Fresh Nondonor Eggs or Embryos,* 2009



* Total does not equal 100% due to rounding.

How does the implantation percentage for fresh nondonor embryos differ among women of different ages?

Figure 34 presents the relationship between the implantation percentage (see Implantation rate in Glossary of Terms, page 544) for fresh nondonor embryos transferred and a woman's age. The percentage of embryos transferred that resulted in implantation was highest (35%) among women younger than 35. However, the implantation percentage decreased steadily as the age of the woman increased. Specifically, the implantation percentage was lowest (2%) among women older than 44 compared with the implantation percentage among women in each of the other age groups.

Figure 34
Percentages of Embryos Transferred That Resulted in Implantation Among Women Using Fresh Nondonor Eggs or Embryos, by Age Group, 2009



In general, is an ART cycle more likely to be successful if more embryos are transferred?

Figure 35 shows the relationship between the number of fresh nondonor eggs or embryos transferred during an ART procedure and the number of live births as a result of that procedure. In 2009, the percentage of transfers that resulted in live births increased when two or more embryos were transferred; however, transferring multiple embryos also poses a risk of having a multiple-infant birth. Multiple-infant births cause concern because of the additional health risks they create for both mothers and infants. Also, pregnancies with multiple fetuses are potentially subject to multifetal reduction. This can happen naturally (e.g., fetal death), or a woman and her doctor may decide to reduce the number of fetuses using a procedure called multifetal pregnancy reduction. CDC does not collect information on multifetal pregnancy reductions.

The relationship between number of embryos transferred, percentages of transfers resulting in live births, and multiple-infant births is complicated by several factors, such as the woman's age and embryo quality. See Figures 36 and 40 (pages 50 and 54) for more details on women using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos who are most at risk of multiple births.

Figure 35
Percentages of Transfers That Resulted in Live Births and Percentages of Multiple-Infant Live Births for ART Cycles Using Fresh Nondonor Eggs or Embryos, by Number of Embryos Transferred, 2009



* Percentages of live births that were singletons, twins, and triplets or more are in parentheses.
Note: In rare cases a single embryo may divide and thus produce twins. For this reason, a small percentage of twins resulted from a single embryo transfer, and a small percentage of triplets resulted when two embryos were transferred.
† Totals do not equal 100% due to rounding.

Are percentages of transfers that result in live births affected by the number of embryos transferred for women who have more embryos available than they choose to transfer?

Although, in general, transferring more than one embryo tends to improve the chance for a successful ART procedure (see Figure 35, page 49), other factors are also important. Previous research suggests that the number of embryos fertilized and thus available for ART is just as, if not more, important in predicting success as the number of embryos transferred. Additionally, younger women tend to have both higher percentages of live births and higher likelihood of multiple-infant births. Figure 36 shows the relationship between the number of fresh nondonor eggs or embryos transferred, percentages of transfers resulting in live births, and multiple-infant births for ART procedures in which the woman was younger than 35 and the couple chose to set aside some embryos for future cycles rather than transfer all available embryos at one time.

In 2009, for this group, the chance for a live birth using ART was about 50% when only one embryo was transferred. If one measures success as the percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births, the highest likelihood of live birth was observed with only one embryo transferred.

The proportion of live births that were multiple-infant births was about 42% with two embryos and 56% with five or more embryos transferred. In summary, as the number of embryos transferred increased, the proportion of live births that were multiple-infant births also increased.

Figure 36
Percentages of Transfers That Resulted in Live Births and Percentages of Multiple-Infant Live Births for ART Cycles Among Women Who Were Younger Than 35, Used Fresh Nondonor Eggs or Embryos, and Set Aside Extra Embryos for Future Use, by Number of Embryos Transferred, 2009



* Percentages of live births that were singletons, twins, and triplets or more are in parentheses.
Note: In rare cases a single embryo may divide and thus produce twins. For this reason, a small percentage of twins resulted from a single embryo transfer, and a small percentage of triplets resulted when two embryos were transferred.

How long after egg retrieval does embryo transfer occur?

Once an ART cycle has progressed from egg retrieval to fertilization, the embryo(s) can be transferred into the woman's uterus in the subsequent 1 to 6 days. Figure 37 shows that in 2009 approximately 55% of embryo transfers occurred on day 3. Day 5 embryo transfers were the next most common, accounting for about 36% of ART procedures that progressed to the embryo transfer stage.

Figure 37
Day of Embryo Transfer* Among ART Cycles Using Fresh Nondonor Eggs or Embryos,†‡ 2009



* Number of days following egg retrieval.
† Cycles using GIFT or ZIFT are excluded. Missing or implausible values for day of embryo transfer (i.e., 0 or >6) are not included.
‡ Total does not equal 100% due to rounding.

In general, is an ART cycle more likely to be successful if embryos are transferred on day 5?

As shown in Figure 37 (page 51), in the vast majority of ART procedures, embryos were transferred on day 3 (55%) or day 5 (36%). Figure 38 compares percentages of day 3 embryo transfers that resulted in live births with those for day 5 embryo transfers. In all age groups, percentages were higher for day 5 embryo transfers than for day 3 transfers. However, some cycles do not progress to the embryo transfer stage because of embryo arrest (interruption in embryo development) between day 3 and day 5. These cycles are not accounted for in percentages of day 5 transfers that resulted in live births. Therefore, differences in percentages of day 3 and day 5 transfers that result in live births should be interpreted with caution.

Figure 38
Percentages of Day 3 and Day 5 Embryo Transfers Using Fresh Nondonor Eggs or Embryos That Resulted in Live Births, by Age of Woman,* 2009



* Cycles using GIFT or ZIFT are excluded. This comparison is limited to transfers on day 3 and day 5. Embryo transfers performed on days 1, 2, 4, and 6 are not included because each of these accounted for a small proportion of procedures.

Does the number of embryos transferred differ for day 3 and day 5 embryo transfers?

Figure 39 shows the number of embryos transferred on day 3 and day 5. Overall, fewer embryos were transferred on day 5 than on day 3. Approximately 46% of day 3 embryo transfers and 18% of day 5 embryo transfers involved the transfer of three or more embryos. The decrease in the number of embryos transferred on day 5, however, did not translate into a lower risk of multiple-infant births. See Figure 40 (page 54) for more details on the relationship between multiple-infant birth risk and day of embryo transfer.

Figure 39
Numbers of Embryos Transferred During ART Cycles Using Fresh Nondonor Eggs or Embryos for Day 3 and Day 5 Embryo Transfers,* 2009



* Cycles using GIFT or ZIFT are excluded. This comparison is limited to transfers on day 3 and day 5. Embryo transfers performed on days 1, 2, 4, and 6 are not included because each of these accounted for a small proportion of procedures.

In general, how does the multiple-infant birth risk vary by the day of embryo transfer?

Multiple-infant births are associated with greater problems for both mothers and infants, including higher rates of caesarean section, prematurity, low birth weight, and infant disability or death.

Part A of Figure 40 shows that among the 14,201 live births that occurred following day 3 embryo transfer, about 73% were singletons, 25% were twins, and 2% were triplets or more. Thus, approximately 27% of these live births produced more than one infant.

In 2009, 14,396 live births occurred following day 5 embryo transfer. Part B of Figure 40 shows that approximately 35% of these live births produced more than one infant.

As shown in Figure 39 (page 53), fewer embryos were transferred on day 5 than on day 3. However, the proportion of live births resulting in twins is higher among transfer procedures performed on day 5 than on day 3. Thus, the risk of having a multiple-infant birth was higher for day 5 embryo transfers. The likelihood of multiple-infant births for both day 3 and day 5 embryo transfers is much higher overall than for multiple-infant births in the general U.S. population (about 3%).

Figure 40
Risks of Having Multiple-Infant Live Births for ART Cycles Using Fresh Nondonor Eggs or Embryos for Day 3 and Day 5 Embryo Transfers,* 2009



* Cycles using GIFT or ZIFT are excluded. This comparison is limited to transfers on day 3 and day 5. Embryo transfers performed on days 1, 2, 4, and 6 are not included because each of these accounted for a small proportion of procedures.

For day 5 embryo transfers, are percentages of transfers that result in live births affected by the number of embryos transferred for women who have more embryos available than they choose to transfer?

As shown in Figures 39 and 40 (pages 53–54), embryos transferred on day 5 result in more multiple-infant births compared with embryos transferred on day 3, despite the smaller number of embryos transferred on day 5. Figure 41 shows the relationship between the number of embryos transferred, the percentage of transfers resulting in live births, and the percentage of multiple-infant births for day 5 embryo transfer procedures in which the woman was younger than 35 and the couple decided to set aside some embryos for future cycles rather than transfer all available embryos at one time.

The percentage of transfers resulting in live births was the highest (about 61%) when two embryos were transferred; however, the proportion of live births that were multiples (twins or more)—which present a higher risk of poor health outcomes—was 48%. The percentage of live births that were higher-order multiples (triplets or more) was much higher when three or more embryos were transferred on day 5 (approximately 13%) than for those involving the transfer of just two embryos on day 5 (approximately 1%).

If one measures success as the percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births, the highest percentage (53%) was observed with the transfer of a single embryo on day 5.

Figure 41
Percentages of Transfers That Resulted in Live Births and Percentages of Multiple-Infant Live Births for Day 5 Embryo Transfers Among Women Who Were Younger Than 35, Used Fresh Nondonor Eggs or Embryos, and Set Aside Extra Embryos for Future Use, by Number of Embryos Transferred, 2009



*Percentages of live births that were singletons, twins, and triplets or more are in parentheses.
Note: In rare cases a single embryo may divide and thus produce twins. For this reason, a small percentage of twins resulted from a single embryo transfer, and a small percentage of triplets resulted when two embryos were transferred.
† Total does not equal 100% due to rounding.

How do percentages of transfers that result in live births for women who use gestational carriers compare with women who do not use gestational carriers?

In some cases a woman has trouble carrying a pregnancy. In such cases the couple may use ART with a gestational carrier, sometimes called a surrogate. A gestational carrier is a woman who agrees to carry the developing embryo for a couple with infertility problems. Gestational carriers were used in about 1% of ART cycles using fresh nondonor embryos in 2009 (883 cycles). Figure 42 compares percentages of transfers that resulted in live births for ART cycles that used a gestational carrier in 2009 with cycles that did not. In most age groups, percentages of transfers that resulted in live births for ART cycles that used gestational carriers were higher than for those cycles that did not.

Figure 42
Comparison of Percentages of Transfers Using Fresh Nondonor Eggs or Embryos That Resulted in Live Births Between ART Cycles That Used Gestational Carriers and Those That Did Not, by Age of ART Patient,* 2009



* Age categories reflect the age of the ART patient, not the age of the gestational carrier.
† There were no transfers resulting in live births among ART patients older than 44 who used gestational carriers.

SECTION 3: ART CYCLES USING FROZEN NONDONOR EMBRYOS

How does the implantation percentage for frozen nondonor embryos differ among women of different ages?

Among women using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos, the percentage of embryos transferred that resulted in implantation decreased as the age of the woman increased (see Figure 34, page 48). Figure 43 shows the same relationship between implantation percentage and the age of the woman when frozen nondonor embryos were transferred; the percentage of frozen nondonor embryos transferred that resulted in implantation decreased as the age of the woman increased (from about 25% among women younger than 35 to 11% among women older than 44).

The percentage of embryos transferred that resulted in implantation among women using frozen nondonor embryos was higher in age groups among women 38 years or older compared with the implantation percentage among women using fresh nondonor embryos (see Figure 34, page 48) in the same age groups as follows:

- 18% (frozen) vs. 17% (fresh) among women aged 38–40 years
- 15% (frozen) vs. 9% (fresh) among women aged 41–42 years
- 11% vs. 4% among women aged 43–44 years
- 11% vs. 2% among women older than age 44 years

Figure 43
Percentages of Embryos Transferred That Resulted in Implantation Among Women Using Frozen Nondonor Embryos, by Age Group, 2009



What is the percentage of transfers that result in live births and singleton live births for ART cycles using frozen nondonor embryos?

Frozen nondonor embryos were used in approximately 18% of all ART cycles performed in 2009 (26,069 cycles). Figure 44 compares percentages of transfers that resulted in live births and singleton live births for frozen nondonor embryos with those for fresh nondonor embryos among women using their own eggs. Because some embryos do not survive the thawing process, the percentage of thawed embryos that result in live births is usually lower than the percentage of transfers that result in live births. In 2009, percentages for frozen nondonor embryos were lower than for fresh nondonor embryos, and the average number of embryos transferred was similar for cycles using frozen nondonor embryos, or lower than for those using fresh nondonor embryos depending on the woman's age. (See the national summary table on page 91 for information on the average number of embryos transferred for these cycles.) It is important to note that cycles using frozen nondonor embryos are both less expensive and less invasive than those using fresh nondonor embryos because the woman does not have to go through the fertility drug stimulation and egg retrieval steps again.

Figure 44
Percentages of Transfers That Resulted in Live Births and Singleton Live Births for ART Cycles Using Frozen Nondonor Embryos and ART Cycles Using Fresh Nondonor Embryos, 2009



What is the risk of having a multiple-fetus pregnancy or multiple-infant live birth from an ART cycle using frozen nondonor embryos?

Multiple-infant births are associated with greater problems for both mothers and infants, including higher rates of caesarean section, prematurity, low birth weight, and infant disability or death.

Part A of Figure 45 shows that among the 9,487 pregnancies that resulted from ART cycles using frozen nondonor embryos, approximately 67% were singleton pregnancies, 21% were twins, and 2% were triplets or more. Almost 10% of pregnancies ended before the number of fetuses could be accurately determined. Therefore, the percentage of pregnancies with more than one fetus might have been higher than what was reported (approximately 23%).

In 2009, 7,424 pregnancies from ART cycles that used frozen nondonor embryos resulted in live births. Part B of Figure 45 shows that approximately 23% of these live births produced more than one infant. This compares with a multiple-infant birth rate of slightly more than 3% in the general U.S. population.

Although the total rates for multiples were similar for pregnancies and live births, there were more triplet-or-more pregnancies than births. Triplet-or-more pregnancies may be reduced to twins or singletons by the time of birth. This can happen naturally (e.g., fetal death), or a woman and her doctor may decide to reduce the number of fetuses using a procedure called multifetal pregnancy reduction. CDC does not collect information on multifetal pregnancy reductions.

Figure 45
Risks of Having Multiple-Fetus Pregnancies and Multiple-Infant Live Births from ART Cycles Using Frozen Nondonor Embryos, 2009



* Total does not equal 100% due to rounding.

SECTION 4: ART CYCLES USING DONOR EGGS

Are older women undergoing ART more likely to use donor eggs or embryos?

As shown in Figures 15–17 (pages 29–31), eggs produced by women in older age groups form embryos that are less likely to implant and more likely to result in miscarriage if they do implant. As a result, ART using donor eggs is much more common among older women than among younger women. Donor eggs or embryos were used in approximately 12% of all ART cycles performed in 2009 (17,697 cycles). Figure 46 shows the percentage of ART cycles using donor eggs in 2009 according to the woman's age. Few women younger than age 40 used donor eggs; however, the percentage of cycles performed with donor eggs increased sharply after age 40. Among women older than age 48, for example, almost 92% of all ART cycles used donor eggs.

Figure 46
Percentages of ART Cycles Using Donor Eggs, by Age of Woman, 2009



Do percentages of transfers that result in live births differ by age for women who used ART with donor eggs compared with women who used ART with their own eggs?

Figure 47 compares percentages of transfers that resulted in live births for ART cycles using fresh embryos from donor eggs with those for ART cycles using a woman's own eggs, among women of different ages. The likelihood of a fertilized egg implanting is related to the age of the woman who produced the egg. Thus, the percentage of transfers resulting in live births for cycles using embryos from women's own eggs declines as women get older. In contrast, since egg donors are typically in their 20s or early 30s, the percentage of transfers that resulted in live births for cycles using embryos from donor eggs remained consistently high at above 50% among most women of different ages.

Figure 47
Percentages of Transfers That Resulted in Live Births for ART Cycles Using Fresh Embryos from Own Eggs and ART Cycles Using Fresh Embryos from Donor Eggs, by Age of Woman, 2009



How successful is ART when donor eggs are used?

Figure 48 shows percentages of transfers that resulted in live births and singleton live births for ART cycles using fresh embryos from donor eggs among women of different ages. For all ages, the percentage of transfers that resulted in singleton live births (average 34%) was lower than the percentage of transfers that resulted in live births (average 55%). Singleton live births are an important measure of success because they have a much lower risk than multiple-infant births for adverse infant health outcomes, including prematurity, low birth weight, disability, and death.

Figure 48
Percentages of Transfers That Resulted in Live Births and Singleton Live Births for ART Cycles Using Fresh Embryos from Donor Eggs, by Age of Woman, 2009



What is the risk of having a multiple-fetus pregnancy or multiple-infant live birth from an ART cycle using donor eggs?

Multiple-infant births are associated with greater problems for both mothers and infants, including higher rates of caesarean section, prematurity, low birth weight, and infant disability or death.

Part A of Figure 49 shows that among the 6,553 pregnancies that resulted from ART cycles using fresh embryos from donor eggs, approximately 55% were singleton pregnancies, 37% were twins, and 3% were triplets or more. About 5% of pregnancies ended before the number of fetuses could be accurately determined. Therefore, the percentage of pregnancies with more than one fetus might have been higher than what was reported (approximately 40%).

In 2009, 5,595 pregnancies from ART cycles that used fresh embryos from donor eggs resulted in live births. Part B of Figure 49 shows that approximately 38% of these live births produced more than one infant. This compares with a multiple-infant birth rate of slightly more than 3% in the general U.S. population.

Although total percentages for multiples were similar for pregnancies and live births, there were more triplet-or-more pregnancies than births. Triplet-or-more pregnancies may be reduced to twins or singletons by the time of birth. This can happen naturally (e.g., fetal death), or a woman and her doctor may decide to reduce the number of fetuses using a procedure called multifetal pregnancy reduction. CDC does not collect information on multifetal pregnancy reductions.

Figure 49
Risks of Having Multiple-Fetus Pregnancies and Multiple-Infant Live Births from ART Cycles Using Fresh Embryos from Donor Eggs, 2009



* Totals do not equal 100% due to rounding.

How do percentages of transfers that result in live births differ for ART cycles between women who use frozen donor embryos and those who use fresh donor embryos?

Figure 50 shows that percentages of transfers that resulted in live births and singleton live births for ART cycles using frozen donor embryos were substantially lower than for ART cycles using fresh donor embryos. The average number of embryos transferred was similar for cycles using frozen donor embryos and those using fresh donor embryos. (See the national summary table on page 91 for information on the average number of embryos transferred for these cycles.)

Figure 50
Percentages of Transfers That Resulted in Live Births and Singleton Live Births for ART Cycles Using Frozen Donor Embryos and ART Cycles Using Fresh Donor Embryos, 2009



SECTION 5: ART TRENDS, 2000–2009

This report marks the fifteenth consecutive year that CDC has published an annual report detailing the success rates for ART clinics in the United States. Having several years of data provides us with the opportunity to examine trends in ART use and success rates over time. This report features an examination of trends for the most recent 10 years, 2000–2009. Statistics for earlier years are available in previous annual publications of the Assisted Reproductive Technology Success Rates: National Summary and Fertility Clinic Reports.

Is the use of ART increasing?

Figure 51 shows the number of ART cycles performed, live-birth deliveries, and infants born using ART from 2000 through 2009. The number of ART cycles performed in the United States has increased, from 99,629 cycles in 2000 to 146,244 in 2009. The number of live-birth deliveries in 2009 (45,870) was nearly two times higher than in 2000 (25,228). The number of infants born who were conceived using ART also increased between 2000 and 2009. In 2009, 60,190 infants were born, which was nearly two times higher than the 35,025 born in 2000. Because in some cases more than one infant is born during a live-birth delivery (e.g., twins), the total number of infants born is greater than the number of live-birth deliveries.

Figure 51
Numbers of ART Cycles Performed, Live-Birth Deliveries, and Infants Born Using ART, 2000–2009



Is the use of ICSI increasing?

Intracytoplasmic sperm injection (ICSI) was originally developed to use in ART cycles to improve fertilization rates when severe male factor infertility was the indication for using ART. Today, this procedure is widely used even among couples without a diagnosis of male factor infertility.

Figure 52 shows the number of ART cycles performed using ICSI from 2000 through 2009. Overall, the number of ART cycles with ICSI procedures continued to increase for all fresh cycles. During the past 10 years, the number of fresh nondonor cycles performed with ICSI nearly doubled, from 35,148 in 2000 to 66,490 in 2009. The number of fresh donor cycles with ICSI more than doubled, from 3,467 to 7,871 over the same period.

The number of frozen cycles (with or without ICSI) also nearly doubled, from 13,312 in 2000 to 26,069 in 2009 for frozen nondonor cycles and more than doubled from 2,882 to 6,659 for frozen donor cycles over the same period.

Note that the information on use of ICSI is not consistently collected across clinics for ART cycles using frozen embryos; therefore, these cycles are presented together as one group.

Figure 52
Numbers of ICSI* Procedures Performed, by Type of ART Cycle, 2000–2009



* Intracytoplasmic sperm injection.

Has the percentage of transfers that resulted in live births for ART cycles with or without ICSI changed?

Figure 53 presents percentages of transfers that resulted in live births for ART cycles with or without ICSI. Percentages of transfers that resulted in live births are presented rather than percentages of cycles that resulted in live births because this is the only way to directly compare cycles using fresh embryos with those using frozen embryos.

In general, with or without ICSI, fresh donor cycles had the highest success rates when compared with fresh nondonor cycles or frozen cycles. However, when comparing success rates within each type of ART cycle, the percentage of transfers that resulted in live births among cycles without ICSI remained slightly higher than cycles with ICSI from 2000–2009.

The percentage of transfers that resulted in live births for cycles using fresh donor embryos without ICSI increased from 45% in 2000 to 56% in 2009, while cycles using fresh donor embryos with ICSI increased from 41% to 55% over the same period. Similar to trends with cycles using fresh donor embryos, the percentage of transfers that resulted in live births for fresh nondonor cycles with ICSI increased from 30% in 2000 to 36% in 2009, which was generally lower than for the fresh nondonor cycles without ICSI (33% in 2000 to 37% in 2009).

Note that the information on use of ICSI is not consistently collected across clinics for ART cycles using frozen embryos; therefore, these cycles are presented together as one group.

Figure 53
Percentages of Transfers That Resulted in Live Births, by Type of ART Cycle and ICSI,* 2000–2009



* Intracytoplasmic sperm injection.

Has the percentage of transfers that resulted in singleton live births for ART cycles with or without ICSI changed?

Singleton live births are an important measure of success because they entail a much lower risk than multiple-infant births for adverse infant health outcomes, including prematurity, low birth weight, disability, and death. Figure 54 shows that the percentage of transfers that resulted in singleton live births increased over time for all ART cycles with or without ICSI.

Although the total number of nondonor cycles using ICSI increased over the past 10 years (see Figure 52, page 66), percentages of transfers that resulted in singleton live births from these cycles were not any higher than those without ICSI.

Overall, percentages of transfers that resulted in singleton live births were consistently higher for fresh donor cycles than for fresh nondonor cycles and frozen cycles. Fresh donor cycles without ICSI increased from 26% in 2000 to 35% in 2009; a similar increase was observed for fresh donor cycles with ICSI. Over the same period, the percentage of transfers that resulted in singleton live births increased from 21% to 26% for fresh nondonor cycles without ICSI and from 20% to 25% with ICSI.

Note that the information on use of ICSI is not consistently collected across clinics for ART cycles using frozen embryos; therefore, these cycles are presented together as one group.

Figure 54
Percentages of Transfers That Resulted in Singleton Live Births, by Type of ART Cycle and ICSI,* 2000–2009



* Intracytoplasmic sperm injection.

Has the percentage of transfers that resulted in live births for all ART patients changed or only for those in particular age groups?

Figure 55 presents percentages of transfers that resulted in live births, by the age of the woman, for ART cycles using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.

From 2000 through 2009, the percentage of transfers that resulted in live births for women younger than age 35 increased 23%, from 38% in 2000 to 47% in 2009. Over the same period, the percentage of transfers that resulted in live births increased 15% (from 33% to 38%) for women aged 35–37 years, 16% (from 24% to 28%) for women aged 38–40, and 17% (from 14% to 17%) for women aged 41–42. Please note that percentages of transfers that resulted in live births were rounded to the nearest whole number, while percent changes were calculated with raw data.

Figure 55
Percentages of Transfers That Resulted in Live Births for ART Cycles Using Fresh Nondonor Eggs or Embryos, by Age of Woman, 2000–2009



*2006 was the last year in which data were reported together for women older than 42.
†2007 was the first year in which data for women older than 42 were subdivided into ages 43–44 and >44.

Have there been changes in percentages of transfers that resulted in singleton live births for all ART patients or only for those in particular age groups?

Singleton live births are an important measure of success because they have a much lower risk than multiple-infant births for adverse infant health outcomes, including prematurity, low birth weight, disability, and death. Figure 56 presents percentages of transfers that resulted in singleton live births, by the age of the woman, for ART cycles using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.

From 2000 through 2009, the percentage of transfers that resulted in singleton live births for women younger than 35 increased 31%, from 24% in 2000 to 31% in 2009. Over the same period, the percentage of transfers that resulted in singleton live births increased 27% (from 21% to 27%) for women aged 35–37, 23% (from 18% to 22%) for women aged 38–40, and 19% (from 12% to 14%) for women aged 41–42. Please note that percentages of transfers that resulted in singleton live births were rounded to the nearest whole number, while percent changes were calculated with raw data.

Figure 56
Percentages of Transfers That Resulted in Singleton Live Births for ART Cycles Using Fresh Nondonor Eggs or Embryos, by Age of Woman, 2000–2009



*2006 was the last year in which data were reported together for women older than 42.
†2007 was the first year in which data for women older than 42 were subdivided into ages 43–44 and >44.

Has the number of embryos transferred changed in fresh nondonor cycles?

Figure 57 presents the trends for the number of embryos transferred in fresh nondonor cycles that progressed to the embryo transfer stage. From 2000 through 2009, cycles that involved the transfer of one embryo more than doubled, from 6% to 14%; cycles that involved the transfer of two embryos doubled, from 26% in 2000 to 52% in 2009. Cycles that involved the transfer of three embryos decreased from 35% in 2000 to 23% in 2009, and cycles that involved the transfer of four or more embryos decreased dramatically from 34% in 2000 to 12% in 2009.

Figure 57
Percentages of Fresh Nondonor Cycles That Involved the Transfer of One, Two, Three, or Four or More Embryos, 2000–2009



*Totals do not equal 100% due to rounding.

Has the number of embryos transferred changed in fresh nondonor cycles for women younger than 35 who have more embryos available than they choose to transfer?

As shown in Figure 57 (page 71), the number of embryos transferred in fresh nondonor cycles has decreased during the past 10 years. Figure 58 shows the change over time in the number of embryos transferred for ART procedures in which the woman was younger than 35 and the couple chose to set aside some embryos for future cycles rather than transfer all available embryos at one time. Previous research suggests that the number of embryos available for an ART cycle is important in predicting success. Younger women also tend to have higher percentages of ART cycles that result in pregnancies and live births (see Figure 15, page 29).

Overall, the number of embryos transferred decreased among couples who chose to transfer fewer embryos than were available. In 2000, approximately 15% of ART cycles involved the transfer of four or more embryos; 40%, three embryos; and 45%, two embryos. By 2009, four or more embryos were transferred in about 1% of cycles, three in 8% of cycles, two in 77% of cycles, and one in 14% of cycles.

Figure 58
Percentages of Fresh Nondonor Cycles That Involved the Transfer of One, Two, Three, or Four or More Embryos Among Women Who Were Younger Than 35 and Set Aside Extra Embryos for Future Use, 2000–2009



*Cycles involving the transfer of one embryo are not included because of the small number of cycles where one embryo was transferred and extra embryos were set aside for future use.
†Totals do not equal 100% due to rounding.

Have there been changes in percentages of transfers that resulted in live births, by number of embryos transferred?

Figure 59 presents percentages of transfers that resulted in live births, by the number of embryos transferred for ART cycles using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos from 2000 through 2009. The percentage of transfers that resulted in live births increased for ART cycles that involved the transfer of one or two embryos (10% to 25% and 35% to 44%, respectively). However, over the same period, there were no increases for ART cycles that involved the transfer of three or four or more embryos (35% to 33% and 30% to 25%, respectively).

The relationship between the number of embryos transferred and success rates is complicated by several factors, such as the woman's age and embryo quality. Trends over time may reflect changes in these factors.

Figure 59
Percentages of Transfers That Resulted in Live Births Using Fresh Nondonor Eggs or Embryos, by Number of Embryos Transferred, 2000–2009



Have there been changes in percentages of transfers that resulted in live births for women younger than 35 who have more embryos available than they choose to transfer?

Figure 60 shows changes over time in the number of embryos transferred and the percentage of transfers that resulted in live births for ART cycles in which the woman was younger than 35 and chose to set aside some embryos for future cycles rather than transfer all available embryos at one time. Previous research suggests that the number of embryos available for an ART cycle is an important predictor of success. Younger women also tend to have higher percentages of ART cycles that result in pregnancies and live births (see Figure 15, page 29).

For this group of women, the percentage of transfers that resulted in live births generally increased over time, regardless of the number of embryos transferred. The biggest increase was for cycles in which one embryo was transferred, from 30% in 2001 to 50% in 2009.

Percentages of transfers that resulted in live births for cycles involving the transfer of one embryo were comparable to those that involved two or three embryos. Elective single-embryo transfer minimizes the risk of multiple-fetus pregnancy and related adverse outcomes. In 2009, the Society for Assisted Reproductive Technology (SART) revised its embryo transfer guidelines to encourage single-embryo transfer among patients with good prognoses. (For more information, contact SART by telephone at 205-978-5000 or online at www.sart.org.)

Figure 60
Percentages of Transfers That Resulted in Live Births Among Women Who Were Younger Than 35 and Set Aside Extra Embryos for Future Use, by Number of Embryos Transferred, 2000–2009



*Cycles involving the transfer of one embryo are not included because of the small number of cycles where one embryo was transferred and extra embryos were set aside for future use.

Have percentages of multiple-infant live births changed?

Multiple-infant births are associated with greater problems for both mothers and infants, including higher rates of caesarean section, prematurity, low birth weight, and infant disability or death. Figure 61 shows percentages of multiple-infant live births for the four primary types of ART procedures.

For fresh nondonor cycles, the percentage of multiple-infant live births decreased 14% since 2000, from 35% of all live births in 2000 to 31% in 2009. Over the same period, the percentage of multiple-infant live births decreased 9% for frozen nondonor cycles, 17% for frozen donor cycles, and 6% for fresh donor cycles. Please note that percentages of cycles that resulted in multiple-infant live births were rounded to the nearest whole number, while percent changes were calculated with raw data.

Figure 61
Percentages of ART Cycles That Resulted in Multiple-Infant Live Births, by Type of ART Cycle, 2000–2009



Have percentages of multiple-infant live births for ART cycles using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos changed in particular age groups?

Figure 62 presents percentages of multiple-infant live births by the age of the woman, for ART cycles using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos. From 2000 through 2009, the percentage of multiple-infant live births decreased 10% (from 39% to 35%) for women younger than 35, 18% (from 35% to 29%) for women aged 35–37, 16% (from 27% to 23%) for women aged 38–40, and 8% (from 18% to 16%) for women aged 41–42. Among women aged 43–44 and older than 44, the percentage of multiple-infant live births decreased considerably from 2008 to 2009 (26% and 20% respectively). Please note that percentages of multiple-infant live births were rounded to the nearest whole number, while percent changes were calculated with raw data.

Figure 62
Percentages of Multiple-Infant Live Births for ART Cycles Using Fresh Nondonor Eggs or Embryos, by Age of Woman, 2000–2009



*2006 was the last year in which data were reported together for women older than 42.
†2007 was the first year in which data for women older than 42 were subdivided into ages 43–44 and >44.

Have percentages of singletons, twins, and triplets or more changed for ART cycles using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos?

Figure 63 presents the trends in percentages of transfers that resulted in live births and percentages of multiple-infant live births for ART cycles using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos. Overall, the percentage of transfers that resulted in live births increased from 32% in 2000 to approximately 37% in 2009. From 2000 through 2009, the percentage of singleton live births increased from 65% to 69%; the percentage of twin births remained stable, ranging from 29% to 32%; and the percentage of triplet-or-more births decreased considerably from 4% in 2000 to 2% in 2009.

It is important to note that twins, albeit to a lesser extent than triplets or more, are still at substantially greater risk of illness and death than singletons. These risks include low birth weight, preterm birth, and neurological impairments such as cerebral palsy. Both percentages of twin and triplet-or-more births remain significantly higher for ART births than for births resulting from natural conception.

Figure 63
Percentages of Transfers That Resulted in Live Births and Percentages of Multiple-Infant Live Births for ART Cycles Using Fresh Nondonor Eggs or Embryos, 2000–2009



*Percentages of live births that were singletons, twins, and triplets or more are in parentheses.
†Total does not equal 100% due to rounding.

2009

Fertility Clinic Tables

INTRODUCTION TO FERTILITY CLINIC TABLES

The first table in this section is the national summary of combined data from all clinics. Individual clinic tables follow, with each clinic's data presented in a one-page table that includes the types of assisted reproductive technology (ART) used, patient diagnoses, success rates that each clinic reported and verified for 2009, and individual program characteristics. Clinics are listed in alphabetical order by state, city, and clinic name.

Many people considering ART will want to use this report to find the "best" clinic. However, comparisons between clinics must be made with caution. Many factors contribute to the success of an ART procedure. Some factors are related to the training and experience of the ART clinic and laboratory professionals and the quality of services they provide. Other factors are related to the patients themselves, such as their age and the cause of their infertility. Some clinics may be more willing than others to accept patients with low chances of success or may specialize in various ART treatments that attract particular types of patients. These and other factors to consider when interpreting clinic data are discussed below.

Important Factors to Consider When Using These Tables to Assess a Clinic

- ***These statistics are for 2009.*** Data for cycles started in 2009 could not be published until 2011 because the final outcomes of pregnancies conceived in December 2009 were not known until October 2010. Additional time was then required to collect and analyze the data and prepare the report. Many factors that contribute to a clinic's success rate may have changed in the 2 years since these procedures were performed. Personnel may be different. Equipment and training may or may not have been updated. As a result, success rates for 2009 may differ from current rates.

- ***No reported success rate is absolute.*** A clinic's success rates vary from year to year even if all determining factors remain the same. The more cycles that a clinic carries out, the less the rate is likely to vary. Conversely, clinics that perform fewer cycles are likely to have more variability in success rates from year to year. As an extreme example, if a clinic reports only one ART cycle in a given category, as is sometimes the case in the data presented here, the clinic's success rate in that category would be either 0% or 100%. For further detail, see the explanation of confidence intervals on pages 537–538.

- ***Some clinics see more than the average number of patients with difficult infertility problems.*** Some clinics are willing to offer ART to most potential users, even those who have a low probability of success. Others discourage such patients or encourage them to use donor eggs, practices that result in higher success rates among older women. Clinics that accept a higher percentage of women who previously have had multiple unsuccessful ART cycles will generally have lower success rates. In contrast, clinics that offer ART procedures to patients who might have become pregnant with less technologically advanced treatment will have higher success rates.

A related issue is that success rates shown in this report are presented in terms of cycles, as required by law, rather than in terms of women. As a result, women who had more than one ART cycle in 2009 are represented in multiple cycles that cannot be linked. If a woman who underwent several ART cycles at a given clinic either never had a successful cycle or had a successful cycle only after numerous attempts, the clinic's success rates would be lowered.

- ***Cancellation percentages affect a clinic's success rate.*** Percentages of cancelled cycles using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos vary among clinics from less than 1% to, in a few cases, more than 30%. A high percentage of cancellations tends to lower the percentage of cycles resulting in live births but may increase the percentage of retrievals resulting in live births and the percentage of transfers resulting in live births.

- ***Percentages of unstimulated (or "natural") cycles are included with those for stimulated cycles.*** In an unstimulated cycle, the woman ovulates naturally rather than through the daily injections used in stimulated cycles. Unstimulated cycles are less expensive because they require no daily injections and fewer ultrasounds and blood tests. However, women who use natural or mild stimulation produce only one or two follicles, thus reducing the potential number of embryos for transfer. As a result, clinics that perform a relatively high percentage of unstimulated cycles may have lower success rates. Nationally, fewer than 1% of ART cycles using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos in 2009 were unstimulated. In a very few clinics, more than 2% of cycles were unstimulated.

- ***Success rates are calculated per cycle rather than per patient.*** Therefore, for patients who undergo both fresh and frozen cycles, success rates are calculated separately for each cycle. Clinics that have a very high percentage of cycles resulting in live births with frozen embryos would have higher ART success rates if these births were included as successes from the original stimulated cycle. Consumers should look at both rates (for cycles using fresh embryos and for those using frozen embryos) when assessing a clinic's success rates.

- ***The number of embryos transferred varies from clinic to clinic.*** In 2009, the average number of embryos that a clinic transferred to women younger than age 35 ranged from one to four for fresh nondonor cycles. The American Society for Reproductive Medicine and the Society for Assisted Reproductive Technology discourage the transfer of a large number of embryos because it increases the likelihood of multiple-fetus pregnancies. Multiple-fetus pregnancies, in turn, increase the probability of premature births and their related problems.

In addition, success rates can be affected by many other factors, including

- Quality of eggs.
- Quality of sperm (including motility and ability to penetrate the egg).
- Skill and competence of the treatment team.
- General health of the woman.
- Genetic factors.

We encourage consumers considering ART to contact clinics to discuss their specific medical situations and their potential for success using ART. Because clinics did not have the opportunity to provide narratives to explain their data, such conversations could provide additional information to help people decide whether to use ART.

Although ART offers important options for the treatment of infertility, the decision to use ART involves many factors in addition to success rates. Undergoing repeated ART cycles requires substantial commitments of time, effort, money, and emotional energy. Therefore, consumers should carefully examine all related financial, psychological, and medical issues before beginning treatment. They also will want to consider the location of the clinic, the counseling and support services available, and the rapport that staff members have with their patients.

An explanation of how to read a fertility clinic table begins on page 85.

SAMPLE CLINIC TABLE

A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 81–90.

2009 ART CYCLE PROFILE

1 Type of ART [a]

IVF	>99%	**Procedural Factors:**	
GIFT	<1%	With ICSI	53%
ZIFT	<1%	Unstimulated	<1%
Combination	<1%	Used gestational carrier	<1%
		Used PGD	5%
		With eSET	3%

2 Patient Diagnosis

Tubal factor	13%	Other factor	7%
Ovulatory dysfunction	6%	Unknown factor	10%
Diminished ovarian reserve	9%	*Multiple Factors:*	
Endometriosis	6%	Female factors only	13%
Uterine factor	1%	Female & male factors	18%
Male factor	17%		

4 2009 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

3 Data verified by X. Y. Zee, MD

Type of Cycle	5 Age of Woman <35	35–37	38–40	41–42	43–44[d]
4A Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of cycles	115	106	68	19	12
Percentage of embryos transferred resulting in implantation[b]	32.5	24.5	16.6	9.3	3 / 18
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies[b]	45.2	37.7	23.5	5 / 19	3 / 12
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births[b,c]	37.4	31.1	20.6	2 / 19	1 / 12
6 (Confidence Interval)	(28.5–46.2)	(22.3–39.9)	(11.0–30.2)		
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births[b,c]	42.6	33.3	23.7	2 / 17	1 / 10
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births[b,c]	52.4	34.7	24.1	2 / 15	1 / 7
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births[b]	29.3	29.5	19.0	2 / 15	0 / 7
Percentage of cancellations[b]	12.2	6.6	13.2	2 / 19	2 / 12
Average number of embryos transferred	2.0	2.5	3.8	2.9	2.7
Percentage of pregnancies with twins[b]	38.5	12.5	4 / 16	1 / 5	1 / 3
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more[b]	3.8	2.5	1 / 16	0 / 5	0 / 3
Percentage of live births having multiple infants[b,c]	44.2	15.2	3 / 14	0 / 2	1 / 1
4B Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of transfers	62	25	20	14	8
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births[b,c]	27.4	24.0	20.0	2 / 14	1 / 8
Average number of embryos transferred	2.1	2.0	2.7	3.1	2.9

4C Donor Eggs	All Ages Combined[e] Fresh Embryos	Frozen Embryos
Number of transfers	49	14
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births[b,c]	51.0	4 / 14
Average number of embryos transferred	2.1	3.4

7 CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

Current Name: California Fertility Partners

Donor egg?	Yes	Gestational carriers?	Yes	SART member?	Yes
Donor embryo?	Yes	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	Yes
Single women?	No			*(See Appendix C for details.)*	

[a] Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2009 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.
[b] When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.
[c] A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.
[d] Clinic-specific outcome rates for women older than 44 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs are not included because of small numbers. Readers are urged to review national outcomes for women of this age group (see pages 30, 48 & 57).
[e] All ages (including ages >44) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.

How to Read a Fertility Clinic Table

This section is provided to help consumers understand the information presented in the fertility clinic tables. The number before each heading refers to the number of the corresponding section in the sample clinic table on the opposite page. Technical terms are defined in the Glossary of Terms (Appendix B).

1. Type of ART performed

This section gives the breakdown of ART cycle types that each clinic performed using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos (IVF, GIFT, ZIFT, or combinations thereof). It also lists the percentage of procedures that involved intracytoplasmic sperm injection (ICSI); the percentage of cycles that were unstimulated; the percentage of cycles that used a gestational carrier; the percentage of cycles that used preimplantation genetic diagnosis (PGD); and the percentage of cycles with elective single-embryo transfer (eSET). (See Glossary of Terms in Appendix B for definitions of IVF, GIFT, ZIFT, ICSI, unstimulated cycle, gestational carrier, PGD, and eSET.)

2. ART patient diagnosis

Consumers may want to know what percentage of a particular clinic's patients have the same diagnosis as they do. (See Glossary of Terms in Appendix B for definitions of diagnoses.) In addition, patients' diagnoses may affect a clinic's success rates. However, the use of these diagnostic categories may vary somewhat from clinic to clinic.

3. Verification

To have success rates published in the annual report, a clinic's medical director must verify the accuracy of the tabulated success rates. The name of the individual who verified the clinic's data is shown.

4. Success rates by type of cycle

Success rates are given for the three categories of cycles described in 4A–C below: cycles using fresh embryos from nondonor eggs, cycles using frozen embryos from nondonor eggs, and cycles using donor eggs. The ART success rates shown were calculated based on data from all ART cycle types (IVF, both with and without ICSI; GIFT; and ZIFT). Data from these procedures were combined because there was little difference in success rates when we examined each type of ART procedure separately.

The success rates indicate the average chance of success for the given procedure at the clinic in 2009 for each of five age groups. Success rates are calculated as the percentage of cycles started, egg retrievals, or embryo transfers that resulted in either pregnancies or live births at the ART clinic in 2009. For example, if a clinic started a total of 50 cycles in 2009 and these resulted in 15 live births, the average success rate for cycles started at that clinic would be

15 (births) ÷ 50 (cycles) = 0.3 or 30%.

Thus, the success rate at that clinic in 2009 was 30%, meaning that 30% of cycles started that year resulted in a live birth.

Success rate calculations are very unstable if they are based on a small number of cycles. Therefore, when fewer than 20 cycles are reported in a given category, the rates are shown as fractions rather than percentages. For example, the sample clinic performed only 19 fresh embryo cycles using

nondonor eggs among women aged 41–42 years. Of these 19 cycles, 2—or 10%—were successful. However, because of the small number of cycles, 10% is not a statistically reliable success rate, so the success rate is presented as 2 / 19, meaning 2 out of 19.

4A. Cycles using fresh embryos from nondonor eggs

This section includes IVF, ICSI, GIFT, and ZIFT cycles that used a woman's own eggs. Cycles that used frozen embryos or donor eggs or embryos are not included here.

- **Percentage of embryos transferred resulting in implantation**

  (The larger of either the number of maximum fetal hearts or maximum infants born [live births + stillbirths] divided by the number of embryos transferred, expressed as a percentage of embryo transfers)

  This number represents the cycles that resulted in an intrauterine clinical pregnancy out of the total number of embryos transferred in which one or more embryos were transferred into the woman's uterus or fallopian tube in the case of GIFT and ZIFT cycles. Not all fetal hearts can be detected by ultrasound; for this reason, a positive intrauterine clinical pregnancy is defined as the larger of either the number of maximum fetal hearts detected by ultrasound or maximum infants born, including live births and stillbirths.

- **Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies**

  (Number of pregnancies divided by number of cycles started, expressed as a percentage of cycles)

  A stimulated cycle is started when a woman begins taking fertility drugs; an unstimulated cycle is started when egg production begins being monitored. The number of cycles that a clinic starts is not the same as the number of patients that it treats because some women start more than one cycle in a year. Because some pregnancies end in a miscarriage, induced abortion, or stillbirth, the percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies is usually higher than the percentage of cycles resulting in live births.

- **Percentage of cycles resulting in live births**

  (Number of live births divided by number of cycles started, expressed as a percentage of cycles)

  This number represents the cycles that resulted in a live birth out of all ART cycles started. One live birth may include one or more children born alive; that is, a multiple-infant birth (e.g., twins, triplets) is counted as one live birth.

- **Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births**

  (Number of live births divided by number of egg retrieval procedures, expressed as a percentage of retrievals)

  This number represents the cycles that resulted in a live birth out of all cycles in which an egg retrieval was performed. The number of egg retrievals a clinic performs often is smaller than the number of cycles started because some cycles are canceled before the woman has an egg retrieved. As a result, the percentage of retrievals resulting in live births is usually higher than the percentage of cycles resulting in live births. Cycles are canceled for many reasons: eggs may not develop, the patient may become ill, or the patient may choose to stop treatment (see Figure 7, page 21).

- **Percentage of transfers resulting in live births**

  (Number of live births divided by number of embryo transfer procedures, expressed as a percentage of transfers)

  This number represents the cycles that resulted in a live birth out of all cycles in which one or more embryos were transferred into the woman's uterus or, in the case of GIFT and ZIFT, egg and sperm or embryos were transferred into the woman's fallopian tubes. A clinic may perform more egg retrievals than embryo transfers because not every retrieval results in egg fertilization and embryo transfer. For this reason, the percentage of transfers resulting in live births generally will be higher than those reported for egg retrievals and for cycles started.

- **Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births**

  (Number of singleton live births divided by number of embryo transfer procedures, expressed as a percentage of transfers)

  This number represents the cycles that resulted in the birth of a single infant out of all cycles in which one or more embryos were transferred into the woman's uterus or, in the case of GIFT and ZIFT, egg and sperm or embryos were transferred into the woman's fallopian tubes. Singleton births have a much lower risk than multiple-infant births for adverse infant health outcomes, including prematurity, low birth weight, disability, and death.

- **Percentage of cancellations**

  (Number of cycles canceled divided by the total number of cycles, expressed as a percentage of cycles)

  This number refers to the cycles that were stopped before an egg was retrieved. A cycle may be canceled if a woman's ovaries do not respond to fertility medications and thus do not produce a sufficient number of follicles. Cycles also may be canceled because of illness or other medical or personal reasons (see Figure 7, page 21).

- **Average number of embryos transferred**

  (Average number of embryos per embryo transfer procedure)

  The average number of embryos transferred varies from clinic to clinic. The American Society for Reproductive Medicine (ASRM) and the Society for Assisted Reproductive Technology (SART) have practice guidelines that address this issue.

- **Percentage of pregnancies with twins**

  (Number of pregnancies with two fetuses divided by the total number of pregnancies, expressed as a percentage of pregnancies)

  A pregnancy with two fetuses is counted as one pregnancy.

- **Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more**

  (Number of pregnancies with three or more fetuses divided by the total number of pregnancies, expressed as a percentage of pregnancies)

  Pregnancies with multiple fetuses can be associated with increased risk of mothers and infants (e.g., higher rates of caesarean section, prematurity, low birth weight, infant death) and the possibility of multifetal pregnancy reduction.

  A pregnancy with three or more fetuses is counted as one pregnancy.

- **Percentage of live births having multiple infants**

  (Number of deliveries resulting in a birth of more than one infant divided by the number of live births, expressed as a percentage of live births)

  A delivery of one or more live-born infants is counted as one live birth.

4B. Cycles using frozen embryos from nondonor eggs

Frozen (cryopreserved) embryo cycles are those in which previously frozen embryos are thawed and then transferred. Because frozen embryo cycles use embryos formed from a previous stimulated cycle, no stimulation or retrieval is involved. As a result, these cycles usually are less expensive and less invasive than cycles using fresh embryos. In addition, freezing some of the embryos from a retrieval procedure may increase a woman's overall chances of having a child from a single retrieval.

4C. Cycles using donor eggs

Success rates are presented separately for cycles using fresh donor eggs or embryos and those using frozen donor embryos. Older women, women with premature ovarian failure (early menopause), women whose ovaries have been removed, and women with a genetic concern about using their own eggs may consider using eggs that are donated by a young, healthy woman. Embryos donated by couples who previously had ART also may be available. Many clinics provide services for donor egg and embryo cycles. For these cycle types, results from women in all age groups (including older than 44) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not affect success rates with donor eggs (see Figures 47 and 48 on pages 61 and 62).

5. Age of woman

Because a woman's fertility declines with age, clinics report lower success rates for older women attempting to become pregnant with their own eggs. For this reason, rates for women using nondonor eggs or embryos are reported separately for women younger than age 35, for women aged 35–37, for women aged 38–40, for women aged 41–42, and for women aged 43–44. Clinic-specific outcome rates are not shown for women older than age 44 who undergo ART using their own eggs because the number of women in this age group at each clinic is small; therefore, a calculation of the percentage of cycles resulting in live births in older age groups may not be meaningful. Readers are encouraged to review national outcomes for these age groups shown in Figures 16, 34 and 43 on pages 30, 48 and 57. The sample clinic table illustrates the decline in ART success rates among older women. For example, for cycles that used fresh embryos from nondonor eggs, the percentage of cycles resulting in live births among women younger than 35 was 37.4%, whereas the percentage of cycles resulting in live births among women aged 38–40 was 20.6%.

6. Confidence interval

The tables show a range, called the **95% confidence interval,** that conveys the reliability of a clinic's demonstrated success rate. This range is calculated only if 20 or more cycles are reported in an age category. (When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in a given category, success rates are shown as fractions rather than percentages; see paragraph 4, Success rates by type of cycle, page 85.) In general, the more cycles that a clinic performs, the narrower the range. A narrow range means we are more confident that a clinic would have a similar success rate if it treated other similar groups of patients under similar clinical conditions. On the other hand, a wide range tells us that a clinic's success rate is more likely to vary under similar circumstances because we had less information (fewer cycles) on which to base our estimates. Even though one clinic's success rate may appear higher than another's based on the confidence intervals, ***these confidence intervals are only one indication that the success rate may be better. Other factors also must be considered*** when comparing rates from two clinics. For example, some clinics see more than the average number of patients with difficult infertility problems, whereas others discourage patients with a low probability of success. For further information on important factors to consider when using the tables to assess a clinic, refer to pages 81–83.

For a more detailed explanation and examples of confidence intervals, see pages 537–538 in Appendix A.

7. Clinic services and profile

- **Current name.** This name reflects name changes that may have occurred since 2009, whereas the clinic name at the top of the table was the name of the ART clinic as it existed in 2009. Some clinics not only have changed their names but have reorganized as well. Reorganization is defined as a change in ownership or affiliation or a change in at least two of the three key staff positions (practice director, medical director, or laboratory director). In such cases, no current name will be listed, but a statement will be included that the clinic has undergone reorganization since 2009. Also, in such cases, no current clinic services or profile will be listed.

- **Donor egg program.** Some clinics have programs for ART using donor eggs. Donor eggs are eggs that have been retrieved from one woman (the donor) and then transferred to another woman who is unable to conceive with her own eggs (the recipient). Policies regarding sharing of donor eggs vary from clinic to clinic.

- **Donor embryo.** These are embryos that were donated by another couple who previously underwent ART treatment and had extra embryos available.

- **Single women.** Clinics have varying policies regarding ART services for single (unmarried) women.

- **Gestational carriers.** A gestational carrier is a woman who carries a child for another woman; sometimes such women are referred to as gestational surrogates. Policies regarding ART services using gestational carriers vary from clinic to clinic. Some states do not permit clinics to offer this service.

- **Cryopreservation.** This item refers to whether the clinic has a program for freezing extra embryos that may be available from a couple's ART cycle.

- **SART member.** In 2009, 374 of the 441 reporting clinics were SART members.
- **Verified lab accreditation.** If "yes" appears next to this item, the ART clinic uses an embryo laboratory accredited by one of the following organizations:
  - College of American Pathologists (CAP)/ASRM, Reproductive Laboratory Accreditation Program.
  - The Joint Commission (formerly the Joint Commission on Accreditation of Healthcare Organizations).
  - New York State Tissue Bank Program (NYSTB).

If "pending" appears here, it means that the clinic has submitted an application for accreditation to one of the above organizations and has provided proof of such application to Westat. "No" indicates that the embryo laboratory has not been accredited by any of these three organizations.

CDC provides this information as a public service. ***Please note that CDC does not oversee any of these accreditation programs.*** They are all nonfederal programs. To become certified, laboratories must have in place systems and processes that comply with the accrediting organization's standards. Depending on the organization, standards may include those for personnel, quality control and quality assurance, specimen tracking, results reporting, and the performance of technical procedures. Compliance with these standards is confirmed by documentation provided by the laboratory and by on-site inspections. For further information, consumers may contact the following accrediting organizations directly:

- CAP/ASRM, Reproductive Laboratory Accreditation Program: For a list of accredited laboratories, call 800-323-4040 and ask for Laboratory Accreditation.
- The Joint Commission: Call 630-792-5800 to inquire about the status of individual laboratories.
- New York State: Call 518-485-5341 to find out which laboratories are certified under the tissue bank regulations.

Further information on laboratory accreditation is provided in Appendix C.

2009 NATIONAL SUMMARY

A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 81–90.

2009 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART [a]

IVF	>99%	**Procedural Factors:**	
GIFT	<1%	With ICSI	65%
ZIFT	<1%	Unstimulated	<1%
Combination	<1%	Used gestational carrier	<1%
		Used PGD	4%
		With eSET	5%

Patient Diagnosis

Tubal factor	7%	Other factor	8%
Ovulatory dysfunction	7%	Unknown factor	12%
Diminished ovarian reserve	14%	*Multiple Factors:*	
Endometriosis	4%	Female factors only	11%
Uterine factor	1%	Female & male factors	18%
Male factor	17%		

2009 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman <35	35–37	38–40	41–42	43–44[c]
Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of cycles	42,384	21,860	22,144	9,845	4,857
Percentage of embryos transferred resulting in implantation	35.3	25.9	17.2	9.1	4.2
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies	47.4	38.7	30.1	20.3	10.7
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births[b]	41.2	31.6	22.3	12.4	4.9
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births[b]	44.3	35.3	25.8	14.9	6.2
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births[b]	47.2	38.1	28.2	16.7	7.2
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births	30.9	27.0	21.8	14.0	6.6
Percentage of cancellations	7.0	10.5	13.7	17.0	20.3
Average number of embryos transferred	2.1	2.3	2.7	3.1	3.2
Percentage of pregnancies with twins	33.4	27.4	21.5	13.4	7.5
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more	2.7	3.6	3.5	2.9	0.8
Percentage of live births having multiple infants[b]	34.6	29.0	22.9	16.2	8.4
Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of transfers	11,586	5,851	4,286	1,378	683
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births[b]	35.2	30.4	25.9	21.9	15.1
Average number of embryos transferred	2.1	2.0	2.1	2.3	2.3

Donor Eggs	All Ages Combined[d] Fresh Embryos	Frozen Embryos
Number of transfers	10,151	6,074
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births[b]	55.1	34.0
Average number of embryos transferred	2.0	2.1

CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

Total number of reporting clinics: 441

Percentage of clinics that offer the following services:

Donor egg	92%	Gestational carriers	83%
Donor embryo	68%	Cryopreservation	100%
Single women	95%		

Clinic profile:

SART member	85%
Verified lab accreditation	
Yes	93%
No	6%
Pending	1%

[a] Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2009 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.
[b] A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.
[c] See pages 30, 48 & 57 for national summary statistics for women older than 44.
[d] All ages (including ages >44) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.

ALABAMA FERTILITY SPECIALISTS
BIRMINGHAM, ALABAMA

A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 81–90.

2009 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART [a]

IVF	100%	**Procedural Factors:**	
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	33%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	0%
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	0%
		Used PGD	0%
		With eSET	0%

Patient Diagnosis

Tubal factor	21%	Other factor	0%
Ovulatory dysfunction	8%	Unknown factor	0%
Diminished ovarian reserve	4%	*Multiple Factors:*	
Endometriosis	6%	Female factors only	24%
Uterine factor	0%	Female & male factors	26%
Male factor	12%		

2009 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by Michael P. Steinkampf, MD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman <35	35–37	38–40	41–42	43–44 [d]
Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of cycles	41	7	4	0	0
Percentage of embryos transferred resulting in implantation [b]	45.3	2 / 16	2 / 11		
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies [b]	63.4	1 / 7	2 / 4		
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births [b,c]	58.5	1 / 7	2 / 4		
(Confidence Interval)	(42.1–73.7)				
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births [b,c]	64.9	1 / 6	2 / 4		
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	64.9	1 / 6	2 / 3		
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births [b]	29.7	0 / 6	2 / 3		
Percentage of cancellations [b]	9.8	1 / 7	0 / 4		
Average number of embryos transferred	2.3	2.7	3.7		
Percentage of pregnancies with twins [b]	46.2	1 / 1	0 / 2		
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more [b]	3.8	0 / 1	0 / 2		
Percentage of live births having multiple infants [b,c]	54.2	1 / 1	0 / 2		
Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of transfers	16	2	1	0	0
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	4 / 16	0 / 2	0 / 1		
Average number of embryos transferred	2.4	3.0	1.0		

Donor Eggs	All Ages Combined [e] Fresh Embryos	Frozen Embryos
Number of transfers	5	4
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	1 / 5	0 / 4
Average number of embryos transferred	2.4	2.0

CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

Current Name: Alabama Fertility Specialists

Donor egg?	Yes	Gestational carriers?	No	SART member?	Yes
Donor embryo?	Yes	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	Yes
Single women?	Yes			*(See Appendix C for details.)*	

[a] Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2009 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.
[b] When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.
[c] A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.
[d] Clinic-specific outcome rates for women older than 44 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs are not included because of small numbers. Readers are urged to review national outcomes for these age groups (see pages 30, 48 & 57).
[e] All ages (including ages >44) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.

ART FERTILITY PROGRAM OF ALABAMA
BIRMINGHAM, ALABAMA

A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 81–90.

2009 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART [a]

IVF	100%	**Procedural Factors:**	
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	85%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	0%
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	1%
		Used PGD	2%
		With eSET	9%

Patient Diagnosis

Tubal factor	2%	Other factor	2%
Ovulatory dysfunction	<1%	Unknown factor	1%
Diminished ovarian reserve	<1%	*Multiple Factors:*	
Endometriosis	1%	Female factors only	12%
Uterine factor	0%	Female & male factors	72%
Male factor	10%		

2009 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by Kathryn L. Honea, MD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman <35	35–37	38–40	41–42	43–44[d]
Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of cycles	176	38	37	9	0
Percentage of embryos transferred resulting in implantation [b]	36.6	36.2	12.5	2 / 18	
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies [b]	46.0	52.6	18.9	1 / 9	
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births [b,c]	36.4	42.1	18.9	1 / 9	
(Confidence Interval)	(29.3–43.9)	(26.3–59.2)	(8.0–35.2)		
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births [b,c]	40.8	45.7	22.6	1 / 8	
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	43.0	47.1	24.1	1 / 7	
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births [b]	32.2	29.4	17.2	0 / 7	
Percentage of cancellations [b]	10.8	7.9	16.2	1 / 9	
Average number of embryos transferred	1.9	2.0	2.8	2.6	
Percentage of pregnancies with twins [b]	27.2	35.0	1 / 7	1 / 1	
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more [b]	1.2	0.0	1 / 7	0 / 1	
Percentage of live births having multiple infants [b,c]	25.0	6 / 16	2 / 7	1 / 1	
Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of transfers	34	13	6	0	0
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	29.4	2 / 13	0 / 6		
Average number of embryos transferred	1.9	1.8	1.3		

Donor Eggs	All Ages Combined [e] Fresh Embryos	Frozen Embryos
Number of transfers	33	22
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	45.5	22.7
Average number of embryos transferred	2.0	2.1

CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

Current Name: ART Fertility Program of Alabama

Donor egg?	Yes	Gestational carriers?	Yes	SART member?	Yes
Donor embryo?	Yes	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	Yes
Single women?	Yes			*(See Appendix C for details.)*	

[a] Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2009 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.
[b] When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.
[c] A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.
[d] Clinic-specific outcome rates for women older than 44 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs are not included because of small numbers. Readers are urged to review national outcomes for these age groups (see pages 30, 48 & 57).
[e] All ages (including ages >44) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.

UNIVERSITY OF ALABAMA AT BIRMINGHAM
BIRMINGHAM, ALABAMA

A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 81–90.

2009 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART [a]

IVF	100%	**Procedural Factors:**	
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	61%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	0%
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	0%
		Used PGD	3%
		With eSET	0%

Patient Diagnosis

Tubal factor	12%	Other factor	3%
Ovulatory dysfunction	0%	Unknown factor	22%
Diminished ovarian reserve	9%	*Multiple Factors:*	
Endometriosis	5%	Female factors only	14%
Uterine factor	0%	Female & male factors	14%
Male factor	22%		

2009 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by Gordon W. Bates, MD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman <35	35–37	38–40	41–42	43–44 [d]
Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of cycles	32	16	10	3	0
Percentage of embryos transferred resulting in implantation [b]	21.4	8.3	6.7	0 / 5	
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies [b]	40.6	2 / 16	2 / 10	0 / 3	
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births [b,c]	34.4	2 / 16	1 / 10	0 / 3	
(Confidence Interval)	(18.6–53.2)				
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births [b,c]	34.4	2 / 16	1 / 10	0 / 2	
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	35.5	2 / 15	1 / 10	0 / 1	
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births [b]	29.0	2 / 15	1 / 10	0 / 1	
Percentage of cancellations [b]	0.0	0 / 16	0 / 10	1 / 3	
Average number of embryos transferred	2.3	2.4	3.0	5.0	
Percentage of pregnancies with twins [b]	3 / 13	1 / 2	1 / 2		
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more [b]	0 / 13	0 / 2	0 / 2		
Percentage of live births having multiple infants [b,c]	2 / 11	0 / 2	0 / 1		
Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of transfers	2	0	1	0	0
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	0 / 2		0 / 1		
Average number of embryos transferred	2.5		1.0		

Donor Eggs	All Ages Combined [e] Fresh Embryos	Frozen Embryos
Number of transfers	1	0
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	0 / 1	
Average number of embryos transferred	2.0	

CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

Current Name: University of Alabama at Birmingham

Donor egg?	Yes	Gestational carriers?	Yes	SART member?	Yes
Donor embryo?	No	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	Yes
Single women?	Yes			*(See Appendix C for details.)*	

[a] Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2009 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.
[b] When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.
[c] A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.
[d] Clinic-specific outcome rates for women older than 44 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs are not included because of small numbers. Readers are urged to review national outcomes for these age groups (see pages 30, 48 & 57).
[e] All ages (including ages >44) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.

HUNTSVILLE REPRODUCTIVE MEDICINE, PC
HUNTSVILLE, ALABAMA

A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 81–90.

2009 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART [a]

IVF	100%	**Procedural Factors:**	
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	79%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	0%
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	2%
		Used PGD	0%
		With eSET	3%

Patient Diagnosis

Tubal factor	9%	Other factor	4%
Ovulatory dysfunction	6%	Unknown factor	8%
Diminished ovarian reserve	7%	*Multiple Factors:*	
Endometriosis	6%	Female factors only	29%
Uterine factor	<1%	Female & male factors	21%
Male factor	9%		

2009 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by Andrew J. Harper, MD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman <35	35–37	38–40	41–42	43–44 [d]
Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of cycles	53	26	7	1	0
Percentage of embryos transferred resulting in implantation [b]	36.5	33.9	3 / 16	0 / 5	
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies [b]	47.2	53.8	3 / 7	0 / 1	
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births [b,c]	35.8	46.2	3 / 7	0 / 1	
(Confidence Interval)	(23.1–50.2)	(26.6–66.6)			
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births [b,c]	38.0	48.0	3 / 7	0 / 1	
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	39.6	50.0	3 / 6	0 / 1	
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births [b]	14.6	33.3	3 / 6	0 / 1	
Percentage of cancellations [b]	5.7	3.8	0 / 7	0 / 1	
Average number of embryos transferred	2.2	2.3	2.7	5.0	
Percentage of pregnancies with twins [b]	52.0	5 / 14	0 / 3		
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more [b]	4.0	0 / 14	0 / 3		
Percentage of live births having multiple infants [b,c]	12 / 19	4 / 12	0 / 3		
Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of transfers	19	10	7	0	0
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	3 / 19	4 / 10	5 / 7		
Average number of embryos transferred	1.8	1.3	2.1		

Donor Eggs	All Ages Combined [e] Fresh Embryos	Frozen Embryos
Number of transfers	10	5
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	8 / 10	3 / 5
Average number of embryos transferred	2.1	1.8

CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

Current Name: Huntsville Reproductive Medicine, PC

Donor egg?	Yes	Gestational carriers?	Yes	SART member?	No
Donor embryo?	Yes	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	No
Single women?	Yes			*(See Appendix C for details.)*	

[a] Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2009 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.
[b] When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.
[c] A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.
[d] Clinic-specific outcome rates for women older than 44 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs are not included because of small numbers. Readers are urged to review national outcomes for these age groups (see pages 30, 48 & 57).
[e] All ages (including ages >44) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.

CENTER FOR REPRODUCTIVE MEDICINE
MOBILE, ALABAMA

A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 81–90.

2009 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART [a]

IVF	100%	**Procedural Factors:**	
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	76%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	0%
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	2%
		Used PGD	<1%
		With eSET	2%

Patient Diagnosis

Tubal factor	18%	Other factor	13%
Ovulatory dysfunction	5%	Unknown factor	0%
Diminished ovarian reserve	6%	*Multiple Factors:*	
Endometriosis	14%	Female factors only	11%
Uterine factor	<1%	Female & male factors	21%
Male factor	12%		

2009 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by George T. Koulianos, MD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman <35	35–37	38–40	41–42	43–44[d]
Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of cycles	98	42	21	11	1
Percentage of embryos transferred resulting in implantation[b]	40.0	29.3	19.3	0.0	0 / 6
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies[b]	49.0	45.2	42.9	0 / 11	0 / 1
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births[b,c]	44.9	38.1	38.1	0 / 11	0 / 1
(Confidence Interval)	(34.8–55.3)	(23.6–54.4)	(18.1–61.6)		
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births[b,c]	55.0	45.7	8 / 19	0 / 8	0 / 1
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births[b,c]	57.1	45.7	8 / 19	0 / 7	0 / 1
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births[b]	40.3	42.9	7 / 19	0 / 7	0 / 1
Percentage of cancellations[b]	18.4	16.7	9.5	3 / 11	0 / 1
Average number of embryos transferred	2.0	2.3	3.0	3.6	6.0
Percentage of pregnancies with twins[b]	33.3	3 / 19	2 / 9		
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more[b]	0.0	1 / 19	0 / 9		
Percentage of live births having multiple infants[b,c]	29.5	1 / 16	1 / 8		
Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of transfers	4	4	3	0	0
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births[b,c]	1 / 4	0 / 4	0 / 3		
Average number of embryos transferred	2.0	2.0	2.0		

Donor Eggs	All Ages Combined[e] Fresh Embryos	Frozen Embryos
Number of transfers	20	4
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births[b,c]	55.0	2 / 4
Average number of embryos transferred	2.1	2.3

CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

Current Name: Center for Reproductive Medicine

Donor egg?	Yes	Gestational carriers?	Yes	SART member?	Yes
Donor embryo?	Yes	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	Yes
Single women?	No			*(See Appendix C for details.)*	

[a] Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2009 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.
[b] When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.
[c] A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.
[d] Clinic-specific outcome rates for women older than 44 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs are not included because of small numbers. Readers are urged to review national outcomes for these age groups (see pages 30, 48 & 57).
[e] All ages (including ages >44) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.

UNIVERSITY OF SOUTH ALABAMA IVF AND ART PROGRAM
MOBILE, ALABAMA

A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 81–90.

2009 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART [a]

IVF	100%	**Procedural Factors:**	
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	87%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	0%
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	0%
		Used PGD	0%
		With eSET	0%

Patient Diagnosis

Tubal factor	12%	Other factor	5%
Ovulatory dysfunction	12%	Unknown factor	0%
Diminished ovarian reserve	2%	*Multiple Factors:*	
Endometriosis	2%	Female factors only	48%
Uterine factor	0%	Female & male factors	17%
Male factor	2%		

2009 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by Botros M. Rizk, MD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman <35	35–37	38–40	41–42	43–44 [d]
Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of cycles	23	8	3	2	3
Percentage of embryos transferred resulting in implantation [b]	17.1	20.0	1 / 4	0 / 4	0 / 1
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies [b]	34.8	4 / 8	1 / 3	0 / 2	0 / 3
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births [b,c]	30.4	4 / 8	0 / 3	0 / 2	0 / 3
(Confidence Interval)	(13.2–52.9)				
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births [b,c]	33.3	4 / 8	0 / 3	0 / 2	0 / 3
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	33.3	4 / 8	0 / 2	0 / 2	0 / 1
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births [b]	33.3	4 / 8	0 / 2	0 / 2	0 / 1
Percentage of cancellations [b]	8.7	0 / 8	0 / 3	0 / 2	0 / 3
Average number of embryos transferred	2.0	2.5	2.0	2.0	1.0
Percentage of pregnancies with twins [b]	0 / 8	0 / 4	0 / 1		
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more [b]	0 / 8	0 / 4	0 / 1		
Percentage of live births having multiple infants [b,c]	0 / 7	0 / 4			
Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of transfers	1	1	0	1	0
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	0 / 1	0 / 1		0 / 1	
Average number of embryos transferred	2.0	3.0		3.0	

Donor Eggs	All Ages Combined [e] Fresh Embryos	Frozen Embryos
Number of transfers	0	0
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]		
Average number of embryos transferred		

CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

Current Name: University of South Alabama IVF and ART Program

Donor egg?	No	Gestational carriers?	No	SART member?	Yes
Donor embryo?	No	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	Yes
Single women?	No			*(See Appendix C for details.)*	

[a] Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2009 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.
[b] When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.
[c] A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.
[d] Clinic-specific outcome rates for women older than 44 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs are not included because of small numbers. Readers are urged to review national outcomes for these age groups (see pages 30, 48 & 57).
[e] All ages (including ages >44) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.

PENINSULA MEDICAL CENTER
JOHN NELS ANDERSON, MD
SOLDOTNA, ALASKA

A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 81–90.

2009 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART [a]

IVF	100%	**Procedural Factors:**	
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	37%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	0%
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	0%
		Used PGD	0%
		With eSET	0%

Patient Diagnosis

Tubal factor	11%	Other factor	13%
Ovulatory dysfunction	7%	Unknown factor	7%
Diminished ovarian reserve	7%	*Multiple Factors:*	
Endometriosis	3%	Female factors only	12%
Uterine factor	0%	Female & male factors	19%
Male factor	21%		

2009 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by John N. Anderson, MD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman <35	35–37	38–40	41–42	43–44 [d]
Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of cycles	35	17	9	9	5
Percentage of embryos transferred resulting in implantation [b]	13.9	34.2	0 / 19	0 / 17	0 / 14
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies [b]	34.3	10 / 17	0 / 9	0 / 9	2 / 5
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births [b,c]	25.7	6 / 17	0 / 9	0 / 9	0 / 5
(Confidence Interval)	(12.5–43.3)				
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births [b,c]	28.1	6 / 16	0 / 8	0 / 8	0 / 4
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	29.0	6 / 15	0 / 4	0 / 6	0 / 4
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births [b]	29.0	3 / 15	0 / 4	0 / 6	0 / 4
Percentage of cancellations [b]	8.6	1 / 17	1 / 9	1 / 9	1 / 5
Average number of embryos transferred	2.3	2.5	4.8	2.8	3.5
Percentage of pregnancies with twins [b]	1 / 12	4 / 10			0 / 2
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more [b]	0 / 12	0 / 10			0 / 2
Percentage of live births having multiple infants [b,c]	0 / 9	3 / 6			
Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of transfers	8	4	0	0	0
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	0 / 8	0 / 4			
Average number of embryos transferred	1.8	2.5			

Donor Eggs	All Ages Combined [e] Fresh Embryos	Frozen Embryos
Number of transfers	3	0
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	0 / 3	
Average number of embryos transferred	2.7	

CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

Current Name: Peninsula Medical Center, John Nels Anderson, MD

Donor egg?	No	Gestational carriers?	No	SART member?	No
Donor embryo?	No	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	No
Single women?	No			*(See Appendix C for details.)*	

[a] Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2009 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.
[b] When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.
[c] A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.
[d] Clinic-specific outcome rates for women older than 44 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs are not included because of small numbers. Readers are urged to review national outcomes for these age groups (see pages 30, 48 & 57).
[e] All ages (including ages >44) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.

WEST VALLEY FERTILITY CENTER
GLENDALE, ARIZONA

A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 81–90.

2009 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART [a]

IVF	100%	**Procedural Factors:**	
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	97%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	0%
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	2%
		Used PGD	4%
		With eSET	0%

Patient Diagnosis

Tubal factor	4%	Other factor	4%
Ovulatory dysfunction	0%	Unknown factor	2%
Diminished ovarian reserve	5%	*Multiple Factors:*	
Endometriosis	1%	Female factors only	9%
Uterine factor	1%	Female & male factors	35%
Male factor	39%		

2009 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by Vladimir Troche, MD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman <35	35–37	38–40	41–42	43–44[d]
Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of cycles	59	18	20	7	0
Percentage of embryos transferred resulting in implantation [b]	33.6	18.4	10.5	3 / 18	
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies [b]	49.2	8 / 18	30.0	3 / 7	
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births [b,c]	44.1	7 / 18	15.0	1 / 7	
(Confidence Interval)	(31.2–57.6)		(3.2–37.9)		
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births [b,c]	44.8	7 / 18	3 / 18	1 / 7	
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	46.4	7 / 16	3 / 18	1 / 7	
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births [b]	28.6	6 / 16	3 / 18	1 / 7	
Percentage of cancellations [b]	1.7	0 / 18	10.0	0 / 7	
Average number of embryos transferred	2.2	3.1	3.2	2.6	
Percentage of pregnancies with twins [b]	48.3	0 / 8	0 / 6	1 / 3	
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more [b]	0.0	1 / 8	0 / 6	0 / 3	
Percentage of live births having multiple infants [b,c]	38.5	1 / 7	0 / 3	0 / 1	
Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of transfers	19	7	4	2	0
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	8 / 19	2 / 7	0 / 4	0 / 2	
Average number of embryos transferred	2.6	2.7	3.3	4.5	

Donor Eggs	All Ages Combined [e] Fresh Embryos	Frozen Embryos
Number of transfers	17	13
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	9 / 17	2 / 13
Average number of embryos transferred	2.2	2.4

CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

Current Name: West Valley Fertility Center

Donor egg?	Yes	Gestational carriers?	Yes	SART member?	Yes
Donor embryo?	Yes	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	Yes
Single women?	Yes			*(See Appendix C for details.)*	

[a] Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2009 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.
[b] When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.
[c] A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.
[d] Clinic-specific outcome rates for women older than 44 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs are not included because of small numbers. Readers are urged to review national outcomes for these age groups (see pages 30, 48 & 57).
[e] All ages (including ages >44) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.

ARIZONA REPRODUCTIVE MEDICINE SPECIALISTS
PHOENIX, ARIZONA

A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 81–90.

2009 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART [a]

IVF	100%	**Procedural Factors:**	
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	61%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	0%
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	0%
		Used PGD	1%
		With eSET	7%

Patient Diagnosis

Tubal factor	5%	Other factor	2%
Ovulatory dysfunction	5%	Unknown factor	4%
Diminished ovarian reserve	14%	*Multiple Factors:*	
Endometriosis	2%	Female factors only	13%
Uterine factor	<1%	Female & male factors	37%
Male factor	21%		

2009 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by Drew V. Moffitt, MD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman <35	35–37	38–40	41–42	43–44 [d]
Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of cycles	116	64	47	8	4
Percentage of embryos transferred resulting in implantation [b]	33.6	17.2	18.1	2 / 14	0 / 5
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies [b]	47.4	25.0	25.5	2 / 8	0 / 4
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births [b,c]	40.5	21.9	14.9	2 / 8	0 / 4
(Confidence Interval)	(31.5–50.0)	(12.5–34.0)	(6.2–28.3)		
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births [b,c]	43.1	26.4	18.4	2 / 6	0 / 4
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	44.3	26.4	19.4	2 / 6	0 / 2
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births [b]	27.4	18.9	11.1	2 / 6	0 / 2
Percentage of cancellations [b]	6.0	17.2	19.1	2 / 8	0 / 4
Average number of embryos transferred	2.1	2.2	2.3	2.3	2.5
Percentage of pregnancies with twins [b]	34.5	5 / 16	4 / 12	0 / 2	
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more [b]	3.6	0 / 16	0 / 12	0 / 2	
Percentage of live births having multiple infants [b,c]	38.3	4 / 14	3 / 7	0 / 2	
Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of transfers	68	39	27	5	1
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	35.3	30.8	25.9	1 / 5	0 / 1
Average number of embryos transferred	1.8	1.8	1.8	2.0	2.0

Donor Eggs	All Ages Combined [e] Fresh Embryos	Frozen Embryos
Number of transfers	24	15
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	62.5	5 / 15
Average number of embryos transferred	1.9	2.0

CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

Current Name: Arizona Reproductive Medicine Specialists

Donor egg?	Yes	Gestational carriers?	No	SART member?	Yes
Donor embryo?	Yes	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	Yes
Single women?	Yes			*(See Appendix C for details.)*	

[a] Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2009 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.
[b] When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.
[c] A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.
[d] Clinic-specific outcome rates for women older than 44 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs are not included because of small numbers. Readers are urged to review national outcomes for these age groups (see pages 30, 48 & 57).
[e] All ages (including ages >44) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.

SOUTHWEST FERTILITY CENTER
PHOENIX, ARIZONA

A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 81–90.

2009 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART [a]

IVF	100%	**Procedural Factors:**	
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	75%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	0%
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	0%
		Used PGD	2%
		With eSET	5%

Patient Diagnosis

Tubal factor	4%	Other factor	0%
Ovulatory dysfunction	1%	Unknown factor	2%
Diminished ovarian reserve	9%	*Multiple Factors:*	
Endometriosis	0%	Female factors only	29%
Uterine factor	1%	Female & male factors	48%
Male factor	6%		

2009 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by Sujatha Gunnala, MD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman <35	35–37	38–40	41–42	43–44[d]
Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of cycles	42	7	10	5	0
Percentage of embryos transferred resulting in implantation [b]	22.1	2 / 15	11.5	1 / 17	
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies [b]	33.3	1 / 7	3 / 10	1 / 5	
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births [b,c]	26.2	1 / 7	3 / 10	1 / 5	
(Confidence Interval)	(13.9–42.0)				
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births [b,c]	26.8	1 / 7	3 / 10	1 / 5	
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	29.7	1 / 7	3 / 9	1 / 5	
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births [b]	18.9	0 / 7	3 / 9	1 / 5	
Percentage of cancellations [b]	2.4	0 / 7	0 / 10	0 / 5	
Average number of embryos transferred	2.3	2.1	2.9	3.4	
Percentage of pregnancies with twins [b]	5 / 14	1 / 1	0 / 3	0 / 1	
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more [b]	0 / 14	0 / 1	0 / 3	0 / 1	
Percentage of live births having multiple infants [b,c]	4 / 11	1 / 1	0 / 3	0 / 1	
Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of transfers	8	3	0	0	0
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	2 / 8	1 / 3			
Average number of embryos transferred	2.6	1.7			

Donor Eggs	All Ages Combined [e] Fresh Embryos	Frozen Embryos
Number of transfers	6	4
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	5 / 6	1 / 4
Average number of embryos transferred	2.3	2.0

CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

Current Name: Southwest Fertility Center

Donor egg?	Yes	Gestational carriers?	Yes	SART member?	Yes
Donor embryo?	Yes	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	Yes
Single women?	Yes			*(See Appendix C for details.)*	

[a] Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2009 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.
[b] When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.
[c] A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.
[d] Clinic-specific outcome rates for women older than 44 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs are not included because of small numbers. Readers are urged to review national outcomes for these age groups (see pages 30, 48 & 57).
[e] All ages (including ages >44) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.

ADVANCED FERTILITY CARE
SCOTTSDALE, ARIZONA

A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 81–90.

2009 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART [a]

IVF	100%	**Procedural Factors:**	
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	48%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	1%
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	0%
		Used PGD	1%
		With eSET	8%

Patient Diagnosis

Tubal factor	2%	Other factor	<1%
Ovulatory dysfunction	3%	Unknown factor	14%
Diminished ovarian reserve	27%	*Multiple Factors:*	
Endometriosis	4%	Female factors only	5%
Uterine factor	0%	Female & male factors	32%
Male factor	13%		

2009 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by Nathaniel Zoneraich, MD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman <35	35–37	38–40	41–42	43–44 [d]
Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of cycles	48	23	17	3	0
Percentage of embryos transferred resulting in implantation [b]	60.8	52.3	28.1	3 / 6	
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies [b]	64.6	60.9	7 / 17	2 / 3	
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births [b,c]	58.3	60.9	5 / 17	1 / 3	
(Confidence Interval)	(43.2–72.4)	(38.5–80.3)			
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births [b,c]	65.1	66.7	5 / 15	1 / 3	
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	66.7	66.7	5 / 15	1 / 3	
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births [b]	33.3	28.6	3 / 15	1 / 3	
Percentage of cancellations [b]	10.4	8.7	2 / 17	0 / 3	
Average number of embryos transferred	1.9	2.1	2.1	2.0	
Percentage of pregnancies with twins [b]	45.2	7 / 14	3 / 7	1 / 2	
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more [b]	6.5	1 / 14	0 / 7	0 / 2	
Percentage of live births having multiple infants [b,c]	50.0	8 / 14	2 / 5	0 / 1	
Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of transfers	8	3	1	0	0
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	3 / 8	0 / 3	0 / 1		
Average number of embryos transferred	1.9	2.0	2.0		

Donor Eggs	All Ages Combined [e] Fresh Embryos	Frozen Embryos
Number of transfers	17	1
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	8 / 17	0 / 1
Average number of embryos transferred	1.8	2.0

CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

Current Name: Advanced Fertility Care

Donor egg?	Yes	Gestational carriers?	Yes	SART member?	Yes
Donor embryo?	No	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	Yes
Single women?	Yes			*(See Appendix C for details.)*	

[a] Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2009 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.
[b] When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.
[c] A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.
[d] Clinic-specific outcome rates for women older than 44 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs are not included because of small numbers. Readers are urged to review national outcomes for these age groups (see pages 30, 48 & 57).
[e] All ages (including ages >44) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.

ARIZONA ASSOCIATES FOR REPRODUCTIVE HEALTH
SCOTTSDALE, ARIZONA

A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 81–90.

2009 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART [a]

IVF	100%	**Procedural Factors:**	
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	84%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	0%
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	<1%
		Used PGD	0%
		With eSET	0%

Patient Diagnosis

Tubal factor	3%	Other factor	<1%
Ovulatory dysfunction	3%	Unknown factor	11%
Diminished ovarian reserve	8%	*Multiple Factors:*	
Endometriosis	3%	Female factors only	9%
Uterine factor	2%	Female & male factors	29%
Male factor	32%		

2009 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by Ketan S. Patel, MD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman <35	35–37	38–40	41–42	43–44 [d]
Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of cycles	58	39	20	10	1
Percentage of embryos transferred resulting in implantation [b]	42.3	28.2	16.3	1 / 15	
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies [b]	58.6	51.3	50.0	1 / 10	0 / 1
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births [b,c]	46.6	41.0	35.0	1 / 10	0 / 1
(Confidence Interval)	(33.3–60.1)	(25.6–57.9)	(15.4–59.2)		
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births [b,c]	50.9	42.1	35.0	1 / 8	
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	52.9	43.2	7 / 19	1 / 6	
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births [b]	31.4	32.4	7 / 19	1 / 6	
Percentage of cancellations [b]	8.6	2.6	0.0	2 / 10	1 / 1
Average number of embryos transferred	2.0	2.1	2.6	2.5	
Percentage of pregnancies with twins [b]	35.3	20.0	0 / 10	0 / 1	
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more [b]	2.9	0.0	0 / 10	0 / 1	
Percentage of live births having multiple infants [b,c]	40.7	4 / 16	0 / 7	0 / 1	
Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of transfers	19	9	5	0	0
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	6 / 19	1 / 9	3 / 5		
Average number of embryos transferred	2.2	2.1	2.6		

Donor Eggs	All Ages Combined [e] Fresh Embryos	Frozen Embryos
Number of transfers	15	11
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	8 / 15	5 / 11
Average number of embryos transferred	2.0	2.1

CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

Current Name: Arizona Associates for Reproductive Health

Donor egg?	Yes	Gestational carriers?	Yes	SART member?	Yes
Donor embryo?	Yes	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	Yes
Single women?	Yes			*(See Appendix C for details.)*	

[a] Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2009 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.
[b] When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.
[c] A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.
[d] Clinic-specific outcome rates for women older than 44 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs are not included because of small numbers. Readers are urged to review national outcomes for these age groups (see pages 30, 48 & 57).
[e] All ages (including ages >44) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.

ARIZONA CENTER FOR FERTILITY STUDIES
SCOTTSDALE, ARIZONA

A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 81–90.

2009 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART [a]

IVF	100%	**Procedural Factors:**	
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	98%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	0%
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	2%
		Used PGD	27%
		With eSET	3%

Patient Diagnosis

Tubal factor	2%	Other factor	33%
Ovulatory dysfunction	<1%	Unknown factor	10%
Diminished ovarian reserve	18%	*Multiple Factors:*	
Endometriosis	0%	Female factors only	6%
Uterine factor	2%	Female & male factors	11%
Male factor	16%		

2009 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by Jay S. Nemiro, MD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman <35	35–37	38–40	41–42	43–44 [d]
Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of cycles	14	10	14	9	4
Percentage of embryos transferred resulting in implantation [b]	29.2	13.0	14.3	3.1	1 / 6
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies [b]	5 / 14	2 / 10	5 / 14	2 / 9	1 / 4
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births [b,c] (Confidence Interval)	5 / 14	2 / 10	3 / 14	1 / 9	1 / 4
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births [b,c]	5 / 14	2 / 10	3 / 14	1 / 9	1 / 3
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	5 / 11	2 / 8	3 / 11	1 / 8	1 / 2
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births [b]	3 / 11	1 / 8	3 / 11	1 / 8	1 / 2
Percentage of cancellations [b]	0 / 14	0 / 10	0 / 14	0 / 9	1 / 4
Average number of embryos transferred	2.2	2.9	2.5	4.0	3.0
Percentage of pregnancies with twins [b]	2 / 5	1 / 2	0 / 5	0 / 2	0 / 1
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more [b]	0 / 5	0 / 2	0 / 5	0 / 2	0 / 1
Percentage of live births having multiple infants [b,c]	2 / 5	1 / 2	0 / 3	0 / 1	0 / 1
Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of transfers	13	2	3	4	1
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	4 / 13	1 / 2	0 / 3	1 / 4	0 / 1
Average number of embryos transferred	2.6	3.0	3.7	3.5	3.0

Donor Eggs	All Ages Combined [e] Fresh Embryos	Frozen Embryos
Number of transfers	21	18
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	42.9	7 / 18
Average number of embryos transferred	2.0	2.6

CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

Current Name: Arizona Center for Fertility Studies

Donor egg?	Yes	Gestational carriers?	Yes	SART member?	Yes
Donor embryo?	Yes	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	Yes
Single women?	Yes			*(See Appendix C for details.)*	

[a] Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2009 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.
[b] When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.
[c] A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.
[d] Clinic-specific outcome rates for women older than 44 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs are not included because of small numbers. Readers are urged to review national outcomes for these age groups (see pages 30, 48 & 57).
[e] All ages (including ages >44) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.

IVF PHOENIX
SCOTTSDALE, ARIZONA

A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 81–90.

2009 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART [a]

IVF	100%	**Procedural Factors:**	
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	77%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	0%
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	0%
		Used PGD	0%
		With eSET	0%

Patient Diagnosis

Tubal factor	17%	Other factor	5%
Ovulatory dysfunction	2%	Unknown factor	12%
Diminished ovarian reserve	9%	*Multiple Factors:*	
Endometriosis	2%	Female factors only	15%
Uterine factor	2%	Female & male factors	5%
Male factor	32%		

2009 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by John L. Couvaras, MD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman <35	35–37	38–40	41–42	43–44 [d]
Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of cycles	17	5	12	1	0
Percentage of embryos transferred resulting in implantation [b]	32.3	2 / 11	12.0	0 / 1	
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies [b]	6 / 17	2 / 5	3 / 12	0 / 1	
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births [b,c] (Confidence Interval)	5 / 17	2 / 5	2 / 12	0 / 1	
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births [b,c]	5 / 15	2 / 5	2 / 12	0 / 1	
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	5 / 14	2 / 4	2 / 10	0 / 1	
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births [b]	2 / 14	2 / 4	2 / 10	0 / 1	
Percentage of cancellations [b]	2 / 17	0 / 5	0 / 12	0 / 1	
Average number of embryos transferred	2.2	2.8	2.5	1.0	
Percentage of pregnancies with twins [b]	2 / 6	0 / 2	0 / 3		
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more [b]	1 / 6	0 / 2	0 / 3		
Percentage of live births having multiple infants [b,c]	3 / 5	0 / 2	0 / 2		
Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of transfers	12	3	8	0	0
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	3 / 12	2 / 3	0 / 8		
Average number of embryos transferred	2.9	2.3	2.5		

Donor Eggs	All Ages Combined [e] Fresh Embryos	Frozen Embryos
Number of transfers	3	4
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	1 / 3	0 / 4
Average number of embryos transferred	2.0	2.8

CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

Current Name: IVF Phoenix

Donor egg?	Yes	Gestational carriers?	Yes	SART member?	Yes
Donor embryo?	Yes	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	Yes
Single women?	Yes			*(See Appendix C for details.)*	

[a] Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2009 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.
[b] When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.
[c] A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.
[d] Clinic-specific outcome rates for women older than 44 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs are not included because of small numbers. Readers are urged to review national outcomes for these age groups (see pages 30, 48 & 57).
[e] All ages (including ages >44) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.

FERTILITY TREATMENT CENTER
TEMPE, ARIZONA

A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 81–90.

2009 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART [a]

IVF	100%	**Procedural Factors:**	
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	97%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	4%
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	1%
		Used PGD	2%
		With eSET	0%

Patient Diagnosis

Tubal factor	<1%	Other factor	3%
Ovulatory dysfunction	62%	Unknown factor	<1%
Diminished ovarian reserve	23%	*Multiple Factors:*	
Endometriosis	2%	Female factors only	2%
Uterine factor	<1%	Female & male factors	2%
Male factor	5%		

2009 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by Randall Craig, MD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman <35	35–37	38–40	41–42	43–44 [d]
Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of cycles	60	33	27	10	10
Percentage of embryos transferred resulting in implantation [b]	33.1	18.9	15.7	9.1	2 / 16
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies [b]	46.7	42.4	33.3	3 / 10	2 / 10
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births [b,c]	43.3	36.4	18.5	2 / 10	2 / 10
(Confidence Interval)	(30.6–56.8)	(20.4–54.9)	(6.3–38.1)		
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births [b,c]	43.3	36.4	18.5	2 / 10	2 / 10
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	51.0	41.4	22.7	2 / 8	2 / 8
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births [b]	29.4	37.9	22.7	2 / 8	2 / 8
Percentage of cancellations [b]	0.0	0.0	0.0	0 / 10	0 / 10
Average number of embryos transferred	2.4	2.6	2.3	2.8	2.0
Percentage of pregnancies with twins [b]	32.1	1 / 14	1 / 9	0 / 3	0 / 2
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more [b]	7.1	0 / 14	0 / 9	0 / 3	0 / 2
Percentage of live births having multiple infants [b,c]	42.3	1 / 12	0 / 5	0 / 2	0 / 2
Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of transfers	68	22	17	7	4
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	54.4	27.3	6 / 17	2 / 7	2 / 4
Average number of embryos transferred	2.5	2.4	2.5	2.7	2.0

Donor Eggs	All Ages Combined [e] Fresh Embryos	Frozen Embryos
Number of transfers	36	43
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	41.7	37.2
Average number of embryos transferred	2.0	2.6

CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

Current Name: Fertility Treatment Center

Donor egg?	Yes	Gestational carriers?	Yes	SART member?	No
Donor embryo?	Yes	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	Yes
Single women?	Yes			*(See Appendix C for details.)*	

[a] Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2009 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.
[b] When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.
[c] A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.
[d] Clinic-specific outcome rates for women older than 44 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs are not included because of small numbers. Readers are urged to review national outcomes for these age groups (see pages 30, 48 & 57).
[e] All ages (including ages >44) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.

ARIZONA CENTER FOR REPRODUCTIVE ENDOCRINOLOGY AND INFERTILITY
TUCSON, ARIZONA

A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 81–90.

2009 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART [a]

IVF	100%	**Procedural Factors:**	
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	48%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	0%
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	<1%
		Used PGD	4%
		With eSET	<1%

Patient Diagnosis

Tubal factor	12%	Other factor	5%
Ovulatory dysfunction	8%	Unknown factor	<1%
Diminished ovarian reserve	13%	*Multiple Factors:*	
Endometriosis	28%	Female factors only	10%
Uterine factor	1%	Female & male factors	8%
Male factor	14%		

2009 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by Timothy J. Gelety, MD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman <35	35–37	38–40	41–42	43–44[d]
Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of cycles	121	71	54	15	8
Percentage of embryos transferred resulting in implantation[b]	29.5	22.5	12.8	7.3	0 / 17
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies[b]	45.5	50.7	31.5	2 / 15	0 / 8
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births[b,c]	38.8	40.8	20.4	2 / 15	0 / 8
(Confidence Interval)	(30.1–48.1)	(29.3–53.2)	(10.6–33.5)		
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births[b,c]	39.5	42.0	20.4	2 / 15	0 / 6
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births[b,c]	46.5	44.6	22.9	2 / 14	0 / 6
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births[b]	33.7	32.3	18.8	1 / 14	0 / 6
Percentage of cancellations[b]	1.7	2.8	0.0	0 / 15	2 / 8
Average number of embryos transferred	2.4	3.1	3.1	2.9	2.8
Percentage of pregnancies with twins[b]	21.8	19.4	3 / 17	1 / 2	
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more[b]	7.3	5.6	0 / 17	0 / 2	
Percentage of live births having multiple infants[b,c]	27.7	27.6	2 / 11	1 / 2	
Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of transfers	68	33	14	2	7
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births[b,c]	27.9	33.3	2 / 14	0 / 2	0 / 7
Average number of embryos transferred	3.6	3.7	3.6	1.0	2.4

Donor Eggs	All Ages Combined[e] Fresh Embryos	Frozen Embryos
Number of transfers	7	11
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births[b,c]	2 / 7	7 / 11
Average number of embryos transferred	2.9	3.6

CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

Current Name: Arizona Center for Reproductive Endocrinology and Infertility

Donor egg?	Yes	Gestational carriers?	Yes	SART member?	Yes
Donor embryo?	Yes	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	Yes
Single women?	Yes			*(See Appendix C for details.)*	

[a] Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2009 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.
[b] When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.
[c] A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.
[d] Clinic-specific outcome rates for women older than 44 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs are not included because of small numbers. Readers are urged to review national outcomes for these age groups (see pages 30, 48 & 57).
[e] All ages (including ages >44) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.

REPRODUCTIVE HEALTH CENTER
TUCSON, ARIZONA

A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 81–90.

2009 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART [a]

IVF	100%	**Procedural Factors:**	
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	92%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	0%
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	4%
		Used PGD	2%
		With eSET	24%

Patient Diagnosis

Tubal factor	9%	Other factor	5%
Ovulatory dysfunction	8%	Unknown factor	10%
Diminished ovarian reserve	13%	*Multiple Factors:*	
Endometriosis	5%	Female factors only	12%
Uterine factor	3%	Female & male factors	22%
Male factor	13%		

2009 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by Scot M. Hutchison, MD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman <35	35–37	38–40	41–42	43–44 [d]
Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of cycles	47	26	20	6	0
Percentage of embryos transferred resulting in implantation [b]	36.2	46.2	20.0	0 / 6	
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies [b]	42.6	57.7	20.0	0 / 6	
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births [b,c]	36.2	50.0	20.0	0 / 6	
(Confidence Interval)	(22.7–51.5)	(29.9–70.1)	(5.7–43.7)		
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births [b,c]	38.6	52.0	20.0	0 / 4	
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	45.9	61.9	4 / 14	0 / 4	
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births [b]	40.5	52.4	3 / 14	0 / 4	
Percentage of cancellations [b]	6.4	3.8	0.0	2 / 6	
Average number of embryos transferred	1.6	1.9	1.8	1.5	
Percentage of pregnancies with twins [b]	15.0	4 / 15	1 / 4		
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more [b]	0.0	0 / 15	0 / 4		
Percentage of live births having multiple infants [b,c]	2 / 17	2 / 13	1 / 4		
Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of transfers	20	7	10	6	1
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	40.0	1 / 7	1 / 10	0 / 6	0 / 1
Average number of embryos transferred	1.5	1.3	2.0	1.7	2.0

Donor Eggs	All Ages Combined [e] Fresh Embryos	Frozen Embryos
Number of transfers	19	17
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	11 / 19	3 / 17
Average number of embryos transferred	1.5	1.2

CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

Current Name: Reproductive Health Center

Donor egg?	Yes	Gestational carriers?	Yes	SART member?	Yes
Donor embryo?	Yes	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	Yes
Single women?	Yes			*(See Appendix C for details.)*	

[a] Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2009 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.
[b] When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.
[c] A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.
[d] Clinic-specific outcome rates for women older than 44 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs are not included because of small numbers. Readers are urged to review national outcomes for these age groups (see pages 30, 48 & 57).
[e] All ages (including ages >44) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.

ARKANSAS FERTILITY CENTER
LITTLE ROCK, ARKANSAS

A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 81–90.

2009 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART [a]

IVF	100%	**Procedural Factors:**	
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	33%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	0%
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	0%
		Used PGD	<1%
		With eSET	12%

Patient Diagnosis

Tubal factor	16%	Other factor	<1%
Ovulatory dysfunction	13%	Unknown factor	19%
Diminished ovarian reserve	6%	*Multiple Factors:*	
Endometriosis	8%	Female factors only	7%
Uterine factor	<1%	Female & male factors	13%
Male factor	18%		

2009 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by Dean M. Moutos, MD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman <35	35–37	38–40	41–42	43–44 [d]
Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of cycles	138	51	33	7	0
Percentage of embryos transferred resulting in implantation [b]	39.3	23.2	14.7	1 / 15	
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies [b]	57.2	35.3	30.3	1 / 7	
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births [b,c]	51.4	31.4	21.2	1 / 7	
(Confidence Interval)	(42.8–60.0)	(19.1–45.9)	(9.0–38.9)		
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births [b,c]	54.6	34.8	23.3	1 / 6	
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	55.5	39.0	25.0	1 / 5	
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births [b]	43.8	26.8	21.4	1 / 5	
Percentage of cancellations [b]	5.8	9.8	9.1	1 / 7	
Average number of embryos transferred	1.9	2.3	2.7	3.0	
Percentage of pregnancies with twins [b]	22.8	4 / 18	1 / 10	0 / 1	
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more [b]	0.0	1 / 18	0 / 10	0 / 1	
Percentage of live births having multiple infants [b,c]	21.1	5 / 16	1 / 7	0 / 1	
Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of transfers	46	12	16	6	0
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	28.3	2 / 12	2 / 16	0 / 6	
Average number of embryos transferred	1.9	1.8	1.8	2.3	

Donor Eggs	All Ages Combined [e] Fresh Embryos	Frozen Embryos
Number of transfers	20	11
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	75.0	2 / 11
Average number of embryos transferred	1.8	1.8

CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

Current Name: Arkansas Fertility Center

Donor egg?	Yes	Gestational carriers?	Yes	SART member?	Yes
Donor embryo?	Yes	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	Yes
Single women?	Yes			*(See Appendix C for details.)*	

[a] Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2009 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.
[b] When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.
[c] A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.
[d] Clinic-specific outcome rates for women older than 44 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs are not included because of small numbers. Readers are urged to review national outcomes for these age groups (see pages 30, 48 & 57).
[e] All ages (including ages >44) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.

LIFESTART FERTILITY CENTER
AGOURA HILLS, CALIFORNIA

A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 81–90.

2009 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART [a]

IVF	100%	**Procedural Factors:**	
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	43%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	0%
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	0%
		Used PGD	0%
		With eSET	0%

Patient Diagnosis

Tubal factor	0%	Other factor	0%
Ovulatory dysfunction	0%	Unknown factor	8%
Diminished ovarian reserve	0%	*Multiple Factors:*	
Endometriosis	0%	Female factors only	15%
Uterine factor	0%	Female & male factors	39%
Male factor	39%		

2009 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by Anita P. Singh, MD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman <35	35–37	38–40	41–42	43–44[d]
Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of cycles	2	3	2	0	0
Percentage of embryos transferred resulting in implantation[b]	4 / 6	0 / 10	4 / 5		
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies[b]	2 / 2	0 / 3	1 / 2		
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births[b,c] (Confidence Interval)	2 / 2	0 / 3	1 / 2		
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births[b,c]	2 / 2	0 / 3	1 / 2		
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births[b,c]	2 / 2	0 / 3	1 / 2		
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births[b]	0 / 2	0 / 3	1 / 2		
Percentage of cancellations[b]	0 / 2	0 / 3	0 / 2		
Average number of embryos transferred	3.0	3.3	2.5		
Percentage of pregnancies with twins[b]	2 / 2		0 / 1		
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more[b]	0 / 2		1 / 1		
Percentage of live births having multiple infants[b,c]	2 / 2		0 / 1		
Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of transfers	2	1	2	0	0
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births[b,c]	1 / 2	1 / 1	0 / 2		
Average number of embryos transferred	2.0	2.0	2.0		

Donor Eggs	All Ages Combined[e] Fresh Embryos	Frozen Embryos
Number of transfers	1	0
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births[b,c]	1 / 1	
Average number of embryos transferred	2.0	

CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

Current Name: LifeStart Fertility Center

Donor egg?	Yes	Gestational carriers?	Yes	SART member?	No
Donor embryo?	Yes	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	Yes
Single women?	Yes			*(See Appendix C for details.)*	

[a] Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2009 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.
[b] When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.
[c] A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.
[d] Clinic-specific outcome rates for women older than 44 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs are not included because of small numbers. Readers are urged to review national outcomes for these age groups (see pages 30, 48 & 57).
[e] All ages (including ages >44) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.

GARFIELD FERTILITY CENTER
ALHAMBRA, CALIFORNIA

A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 81–90.

2009 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART [a]

IVF	100%	**Procedural Factors:**	
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	69%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	0%
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	0%
		Used PGD	9%
		With eSET	0%

Patient Diagnosis

Tubal factor	0%	Other factor	2%
Ovulatory dysfunction	5%	Unknown factor	10%
Diminished ovarian reserve	10%	*Multiple Factors:*	
Endometriosis	7%	Female factors only	7%
Uterine factor	2%	Female & male factors	37%
Male factor	20%		

2009 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by Brian C. Su, MD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman <35	35–37	38–40	41–42	43–44 [d]
Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of cycles	9	10	8	5	2
Percentage of embryos transferred resulting in implantation [b]	6 / 19	34.6	12.5	13.6	0 / 5
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies [b]	5 / 9	5 / 10	3 / 8	2 / 5	0 / 2
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births [b,c] (Confidence Interval)	4 / 9	5 / 10	2 / 8	0 / 5	0 / 2
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births [b,c]	4 / 9	5 / 10	2 / 7	0 / 5	0 / 2
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	4 / 8	5 / 9	2 / 7	0 / 5	0 / 2
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births [b]	3 / 8	2 / 9	2 / 7	0 / 5	0 / 2
Percentage of cancellations [b]	0 / 9	0 / 10	1 / 8	0 / 5	0 / 2
Average number of embryos transferred	2.4	2.9	3.4	4.4	2.5
Percentage of pregnancies with twins [b]	1 / 5	2 / 5	0 / 3	1 / 2	
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more [b]	0 / 5	1 / 5	0 / 3	0 / 2	
Percentage of live births having multiple infants [b,c]	1 / 4	3 / 5	0 / 2		
Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of transfers	2	0	0	0	1
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	0 / 2				0 / 1
Average number of embryos transferred	2.5				1.0

Donor Eggs	All Ages Combined [e] Fresh Embryos	Frozen Embryos
Number of transfers	0	2
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]		0 / 2
Average number of embryos transferred		1.5

CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

Current Name: Garfield Fertility Center

Donor egg?	Yes	Gestational carriers?	Yes	SART member?	Yes
Donor embryo?	Yes	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	Yes
Single women?	Yes			*(See Appendix C for details.)*	

[a] Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2009 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.
[b] When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.
[c] A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.
[d] Clinic-specific outcome rates for women older than 44 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs are not included because of small numbers. Readers are urged to review national outcomes for these age groups (see pages 30, 48 & 57).
[e] All ages (including ages >44) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.

ALTA BATES IN VITRO FERTILIZATION PROGRAM
BERKELEY, CALIFORNIA

A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 81–90.

2009 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART [a]

IVF	100%	**Procedural Factors:**	
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	89%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	0%
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	0%
		Used PGD	0%
		With eSET	0%

Patient Diagnosis

Tubal factor	5%	Other factor	7%
Ovulatory dysfunction	2%	Unknown factor	2%
Diminished ovarian reserve	36%	*Multiple Factors:*	
Endometriosis	3%	Female factors only	12%
Uterine factor	1%	Female & male factors	12%
Male factor	21%		

2009 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by Ryszard J. Chetkowski, MD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman <35	35–37	38–40	41–42	43–44 [d]
Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of cycles	7	14	10	4	8
Percentage of embryos transferred resulting in implantation [b]	1 / 16	21.4	3 / 14	0 / 16	4.2
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies [b]	2 / 7	4 / 14	2 / 10	0 / 4	1 / 8
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births [b,c] (Confidence Interval)	1 / 7	4 / 14	2 / 10	0 / 4	1 / 8
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births [b,c]	1 / 6	4 / 13	2 / 8	0 / 4	1 / 8
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	1 / 6	4 / 10	2 / 6	0 / 4	1 / 7
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births [b]	1 / 6	3 / 10	1 / 6	0 / 4	1 / 7
Percentage of cancellations [b]	1 / 7	1 / 14	2 / 10	0 / 4	0 / 8
Average number of embryos transferred	2.7	2.8	2.3	4.0	3.4
Percentage of pregnancies with twins [b]	0 / 2	0 / 4	1 / 2		0 / 1
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more [b]	0 / 2	1 / 4	0 / 2		0 / 1
Percentage of live births having multiple infants [b,c]	0 / 1	1 / 4	1 / 2		0 / 1
Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of transfers	5	4	3	2	0
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	3 / 5	2 / 4	1 / 3	0 / 2	
Average number of embryos transferred	1.8	2.3	3.3	5.0	

Donor Eggs	All Ages Combined [e] Fresh Embryos	Frozen Embryos
Number of transfers	14	12
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	6 / 14	6 / 12
Average number of embryos transferred	2.3	2.0

CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

Current Name: Alta Bates In Vitro Fertilization Program

Donor egg?	Yes	Gestational carriers?	Yes	SART member?	Yes
Donor embryo?	Yes	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	Yes
Single women?	Yes			*(See Appendix C for details.)*	

[a] Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2009 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.
[b] When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.
[c] A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.
[d] Clinic-specific outcome rates for women older than 44 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs are not included because of small numbers. Readers are urged to review national outcomes for these age groups (see pages 30, 48 & 57).
[e] All ages (including ages >44) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.

CALIFORNIA CENTER FOR REPRODUCTIVE HEALTH
BEVERLY HILLS REPRODUCTIVE FERTILITY CENTER
BEVERLY HILLS, CALIFORNIA

A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 81–90.

2009 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART [a]

IVF	100%	**Procedural Factors:**	
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	90%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	<1%
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	<1%
		Used PGD	7%
		With eSET	<1%

Patient Diagnosis

Tubal factor	14%	Other factor	17%
Ovulatory dysfunction	2%	Unknown factor	6%
Diminished ovarian reserve	21%	*Multiple Factors:*	
Endometriosis	4%	Female factors only	7%
Uterine factor	2%	Female & male factors	6%
Male factor	21%		

2009 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by Peyman Saadat, MD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman <35	35–37	38–40	41–42	43–44 [d]
Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of cycles	49	32	35	13	14
Percentage of embryos transferred resulting in implantation [b]	30.0	17.6	16.0	10.5	6.3
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies [b]	53.1	53.1	48.6	5 / 13	1 / 14
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births [b,c]	38.8	31.3	40.0	3 / 13	1 / 14
(Confidence Interval)	(25.2–53.8)	(16.1–50.0)	(23.9–57.9)		
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births [b,c]	39.6	32.3	40.0	3 / 11	1 / 13
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	42.2	32.3	42.4	3 / 10	1 / 11
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births [b]	20.0	22.6	36.4	3 / 10	0 / 11
Percentage of cancellations [b]	2.0	3.1	0.0	2 / 13	1 / 14
Average number of embryos transferred	3.3	3.5	3.2	3.8	2.9
Percentage of pregnancies with twins [b]	38.5	3 / 17	2 / 17	0 / 5	1 / 1
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more [b]	15.4	1 / 17	0 / 17	0 / 5	0 / 1
Percentage of live births having multiple infants [b,c]	10 / 19	3 / 10	2 / 14	0 / 3	1 / 1
Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of transfers	5	0	3	1	2
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	0 / 5		1 / 3	1 / 1	0 / 2
Average number of embryos transferred	4.0		3.7	3.0	6.0

Donor Eggs	All Ages Combined [e] Fresh Embryos	Frozen Embryos
Number of transfers	10	1
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	5 / 10	0 / 1
Average number of embryos transferred	2.8	4.0

CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

Current Name: California Center for Reproductive Health, Beverly Hills Reproductive Fertility Center

Donor egg?	Yes	Gestational carriers?	Yes	SART member?	Yes
Donor embryo?	Yes	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	Yes
Single women?	Yes			*(See Appendix C for details.)*	

[a] Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2009 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.
[b] When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.
[c] A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.
[d] Clinic-specific outcome rates for women older than 44 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs are not included because of small numbers. Readers are urged to review national outcomes for these age groups (see pages 30, 48 & 57).
[e] All ages (including ages >44) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.

CENTER FOR REPRODUCTIVE HEALTH & GYNECOLOGY (CRH&G) BEVERLY HILLS, CALIFORNIA

A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 81–90.

2009 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART [a]

IVF	100%	**Procedural Factors:**	
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	63%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	0%
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	0%
		Used PGD	17%
		With eSET	0%

Patient Diagnosis

Tubal factor	6%	Other factor	<1%
Ovulatory dysfunction	2%	Unknown factor	3%
Diminished ovarian reserve	22%	*Multiple Factors:*	
Endometriosis	6%	Female factors only	21%
Uterine factor	3%	Female & male factors	23%
Male factor	13%		

2009 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by Sam Najmabadi, MD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman <35	35–37	38–40	41–42	43–44 [d]
Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of cycles	23	20	17	19	10
Percentage of embryos transferred resulting in implantation [b]	47.6	33.3	30.0	20.8	1 / 13
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies [b]	69.6	55.0	10 / 17	5 / 19	1 / 10
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births [b,c]	60.9	30.0	7 / 17	5 / 19	1 / 10
(Confidence Interval)	(38.5–80.3)	(11.9–54.3)			
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births [b,c]	63.6	6 / 19	7 / 16	5 / 17	1 / 9
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	63.6	6 / 19	7 / 16	5 / 13	1 / 8
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births [b]	50.0	4 / 19	7 / 16	5 / 13	1 / 8
Percentage of cancellations [b]	4.3	5.0	1 / 17	2 / 19	1 / 10
Average number of embryos transferred	1.9	1.9	1.9	1.8	1.6
Percentage of pregnancies with twins [b]	4 / 16	2 / 11	0 / 10	0 / 5	0 / 1
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more [b]	0 / 16	0 / 11	0 / 10	0 / 5	0 / 1
Percentage of live births having multiple infants [b,c]	3 / 14	2 / 6	0 / 7	0 / 5	0 / 1
Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of transfers	2	4	4	1	1
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	0 / 2	3 / 4	2 / 4	0 / 1	0 / 1
Average number of embryos transferred	1.5	1.8	2.8	4.0	3.0

Donor Eggs	All Ages Combined [e] Fresh Embryos	Frozen Embryos
Number of transfers	17	9
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	14 / 17	5 / 9
Average number of embryos transferred	2.0	2.3

CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

Current Name: Center for Reproductive Health & Gynecology, (CRH&G)

Donor egg?	Yes	Gestational carriers?	Yes	SART member?	Yes
Donor embryo?	No	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	Yes
Single women?	Yes			*(See Appendix C for details.)*	

[a] Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2009 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.
[b] When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.
[c] A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.
[d] Clinic-specific outcome rates for women older than 44 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs are not included because of small numbers. Readers are urged to review national outcomes for these age groups (see pages 30, 48 & 57).
[e] All ages (including ages >44) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.

SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA REPRODUCTIVE CENTER
BEVERLY HILLS, CALIFORNIA

A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 81–90.

2009 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART [a]

IVF	>99%	**Procedural Factors:**	
GIFT	<1%	With ICSI	52%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	0%
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	2%
		Used PGD	26%
		With eSET	7%

Patient Diagnosis

Tubal factor	4%	Other factor	18%
Ovulatory dysfunction	5%	Unknown factor	5%
Diminished ovarian reserve	30%	*Multiple Factors:*	
Endometriosis	1%	Female factors only	9%
Uterine factor	<1%	Female & male factors	14%
Male factor	13%		

2009 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by Hal C. Danzer, MD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman <35	35–37	38–40	41–42	43–44 [d]
Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of cycles	121	85	137	101	51
Percentage of embryos transferred resulting in implantation [b]	40.0	36.0	25.6	12.2	5.4
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies [b]	52.1	51.8	41.6	26.7	9.8
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births [b,c]	44.6	41.2	31.4	17.8	5.9
(Confidence Interval)	(35.6–53.9)	(30.6–52.4)	(23.7–39.9)	(10.9–26.7)	(1.2–16.2)
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births [b,c]	45.8	41.7	32.6	18.8	6.4
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	53.5	44.9	35.2	22.5	8.8
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births [b]	40.6	24.4	22.1	21.3	8.8
Percentage of cancellations [b]	2.5	1.2	3.6	5.0	7.8
Average number of embryos transferred	2.0	2.2	2.6	3.1	2.7
Percentage of pregnancies with twins [b]	30.2	45.5	22.8	11.1	1 / 5
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more [b]	1.6	2.3	10.5	0.0	0 / 5
Percentage of live births having multiple infants [b,c]	24.1	45.7	37.2	1 / 18	0 / 3
Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of transfers	32	22	18	6	1
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	43.8	36.4	3 / 18	3 / 6	0 / 1
Average number of embryos transferred	1.7	2.0	2.2	1.8	3.0

	All Ages Combined [e]	
Donor Eggs	**Fresh Embryos**	**Frozen Embryos**
Number of transfers	58	39
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	69.0	20.5
Average number of embryos transferred	1.8	2.2

CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

Current Name: Southern California Reproductive Center

Donor egg?	Yes	Gestational carriers?	Yes	SART member?	Yes
Donor embryo?	Yes	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	Yes
Single women?	Yes			*(See Appendix C for details.)*	

[a] Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2009 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.
[b] When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.
[c] A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.
[d] Clinic-specific outcome rates for women older than 44 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs are not included because of small numbers. Readers are urged to review national outcomes for these age groups (see pages 30, 48 & 57).
[e] All ages (including ages >44) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.

WEST COAST IVF CLINIC, INC.
BEVERLY HILLS, CALIFORNIA

A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 81–90.

2009 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART [a]

IVF	100%	**Procedural Factors:**	
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	60%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	0%
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	0%
		Used PGD	10%
		With eSET	0%

Patient Diagnosis

Tubal factor	13%	Other factor	6%
Ovulatory dysfunction	6%	Unknown factor	0%
Diminished ovarian reserve	38%	*Multiple Factors:*	
Endometriosis	0%	Female factors only	0%
Uterine factor	0%	Female & male factors	25%
Male factor	13%		

2009 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by Michael M. Kamrava, MD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman <35	35–37	38–40	41–42	43–44 [d]
Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of cycles	4	3	1	1	1
Percentage of embryos transferred resulting in implantation [b]	1 / 10	1 / 8	0 / 1	0 / 1	0 / 1
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies [b]	1 / 4	1 / 3	0 / 1	0 / 1	0 / 1
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births [b,c] (Confidence Interval)	0 / 4	0 / 3	0 / 1	0 / 1	0 / 1
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births [b,c]	0 / 3	0 / 2	0 / 1	0 / 1	0 / 1
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	0 / 3	0 / 2	0 / 1	0 / 1	0 / 1
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births [b]	0 / 3	0 / 2	0 / 1	0 / 1	0 / 1
Percentage of cancellations [b]	1 / 4	1 / 3	0 / 1	0 / 1	0 / 1
Average number of embryos transferred	3.3	4.0	1.0	1.0	1.0
Percentage of pregnancies with twins [b]	0 / 1	0 / 1			
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more [b]	0 / 1	0 / 1			
Percentage of live births having multiple infants [b,c]					
Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of transfers	0	0	0	0	0
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]					
Average number of embryos transferred					

Donor Eggs	All Ages Combined [e] Fresh Embryos	Frozen Embryos
Number of transfers	5	0
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	1 / 5	
Average number of embryos transferred	4.0	

CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

Current Name: West Coast IVF Clinic, Inc.

Donor egg?	Yes	Gestational carriers?	Yes	SART member?	No
Donor embryo?	Yes	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	No
Single women?	Yes			*(See Appendix C for details.)*	

[a] Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2009 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.
[b] When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.
[c] A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.
[d] Clinic-specific outcome rates for women older than 44 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs are not included because of small numbers. Readers are urged to review national outcomes for these age groups (see pages 30, 48 & 57).
[e] All ages (including ages >44) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.

FERTILITY CARE OF ORANGE COUNTY
BREA, CALIFORNIA

A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 81–90.

2009 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART [a]

IVF	100%	**Procedural Factors:**	
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	77%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	1%
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	0%
		Used PGD	3%
		With eSET	0%

Patient Diagnosis

Tubal factor	9%	Other factor	2%
Ovulatory dysfunction	9%	Unknown factor	23%
Diminished ovarian reserve	28%	*Multiple Factors:*	
Endometriosis	<1%	Female factors only	6%
Uterine factor	0%	Female & male factors	6%
Male factor	16%		

2009 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by C. Terence Lee, MD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman <35	35–37	38–40	41–42	43–44 [d]
Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of cycles	29	29	24	7	3
Percentage of embryos transferred resulting in implantation [b]	31.8	18.6	10.4	2 / 17	0 / 4
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies [b]	37.9	27.6	33.3	2 / 7	1 / 3
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births [b,c]	31.0	24.1	25.0	0 / 7	0 / 3
(Confidence Interval)	(15.3–50.8)	(10.3–43.5)	(9.8–46.7)		
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births [b,c]	40.9	30.4	30.0	0 / 7	0 / 2
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	9 / 19	33.3	6 / 19	0 / 6	0 / 2
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births [b]	6 / 19	23.8	6 / 19	0 / 6	0 / 2
Percentage of cancellations [b]	24.1	20.7	16.7	0 / 7	1 / 3
Average number of embryos transferred	2.3	2.8	3.5	2.8	2.0
Percentage of pregnancies with twins [b]	4 / 11	3 / 8	1 / 8	0 / 2	0 / 1
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more [b]	0 / 11	0 / 8	0 / 8	0 / 2	0 / 1
Percentage of live births having multiple infants [b,c]	3 / 9	2 / 7	0 / 6		
Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of transfers	12	8	3	0	1
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	8 / 12	4 / 8	0 / 3		0 / 1
Average number of embryos transferred	2.6	3.0	2.3		4.0

Donor Eggs	All Ages Combined [e] Fresh Embryos	Frozen Embryos
Number of transfers	5	14
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	3 / 5	3 / 14
Average number of embryos transferred	2.2	2.1

CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

Current Name: Fertility Care of Orange County

Donor egg?	Yes	Gestational carriers?	Yes	SART member?	No
Donor embryo?	Yes	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	Yes
Single women?	Yes			*(See Appendix C for details.)*	

[a] Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2009 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.
[b] When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.
[c] A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.
[d] Clinic-specific outcome rates for women older than 44 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs are not included because of small numbers. Readers are urged to review national outcomes for these age groups (see pages 30, 48 & 57).
[e] All ages (including ages >44) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.

CENTRAL CALIFORNIA IVF PROGRAM
WOMEN'S SPECIALTY AND FERTILITY CENTER
CLOVIS, CALIFORNIA

A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 81–90.

2009 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART [a]

IVF	100%	**Procedural Factors:**	
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	65%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	<1%
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	6%
		Used PGD	0%
		With eSET	0%

Patient Diagnosis

Tubal factor	9%	Other factor	4%
Ovulatory dysfunction	10%	Unknown factor	10%
Diminished ovarian reserve	19%	*Multiple Factors:*	
Endometriosis	3%	Female factors only	6%
Uterine factor	2%	Female & male factors	14%
Male factor	24%		

2009 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by H. Michael Synn, MD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman <35	35–37	38–40	41–42	43–44 [d]
Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of cycles	51	26	21	13	10
Percentage of embryos transferred resulting in implantation [b]	17.0	15.9	3.9	3.8	1 / 11
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies [b]	27.5	23.1	9.5	1 / 13	1 / 10
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births [b,c]	23.5	19.2	9.5	1 / 13	1 / 10
(Confidence Interval)	(12.8–37.5)	(6.6–39.4)	(1.2–30.4)		
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births [b,c]	24.5	20.0	2 / 19	1 / 11	1 / 5
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	25.5	20.0	2 / 17	1 / 11	1 / 5
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births [b]	21.3	8.0	2 / 17	1 / 11	1 / 5
Percentage of cancellations [b]	3.9	3.8	9.5	2 / 13	5 / 10
Average number of embryos transferred	2.3	2.5	3.0	2.4	2.2
Percentage of pregnancies with twins [b]	2 / 14	4 / 6	0 / 2	0 / 1	0 / 1
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more [b]	1 / 14	0 / 6	0 / 2	0 / 1	0 / 1
Percentage of live births having multiple infants [b,c]	2 / 12	3 / 5	0 / 2	0 / 1	0 / 1
Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of transfers	12	2	2	0	0
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	4 / 12	1 / 2	0 / 2		
Average number of embryos transferred	2.3	3.5	3.0		

Donor Eggs	All Ages Combined [e] Fresh Embryos	Frozen Embryos
Number of transfers	6	6
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	1 / 6	1 / 6
Average number of embryos transferred	2.3	2.8

CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

Current Name: Central California IVF Program, Women's Specialty and Fertility Center

Donor egg?	Yes	Gestational carriers?	Yes	SART member?	Yes
Donor embryo?	Yes	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	Yes
Single women?	Yes			*(See Appendix C for details.)*	

[a] Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2009 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.
[b] When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.
[c] A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.
[d] Clinic-specific outcome rates for women older than 44 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs are not included because of small numbers. Readers are urged to review national outcomes for these age groups (see pages 30, 48 & 57).
[e] All ages (including ages >44) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.

ZOUVES FERTILITY CENTER
DALY CITY, CALIFORNIA

A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 81–90.

2009 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART [a]

IVF	100%	**Procedural Factors:**	
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	97%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	0%
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	5%
		Used PGD	39%
		With eSET	<1%

Patient Diagnosis

Tubal factor	3%	Other factor	5%
Ovulatory dysfunction	9%	Unknown factor	11%
Diminished ovarian reserve	25%	*Multiple Factors:*	
Endometriosis	8%	Female factors only	9%
Uterine factor	2%	Female & male factors	16%
Male factor	12%		

2009 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by Christo Zouves, MD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman <35	35–37	38–40	41–42	43–44 [d]
Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of cycles	77	67	92	31	34
Percentage of embryos transferred resulting in implantation [b]	28.6	21.4	18.5	4.3	6.1
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies [b]	42.9	37.3	33.7	12.9	8.8
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births [b,c]	39.0	34.3	28.3	9.7	5.9
(Confidence Interval)	(28.0–50.8)	(23.2–46.9)	(19.4–38.6)	(2.0–25.8)	(0.7–19.7)
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births [b,c]	39.0	34.3	29.5	10.0	6.5
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	39.0	35.4	32.1	12.0	9.5
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births [b]	22.1	23.1	19.8	12.0	4.8
Percentage of cancellations [b]	0.0	0.0	4.3	3.2	8.8
Average number of embryos transferred	2.4	2.8	2.9	2.8	2.3
Percentage of pregnancies with twins [b]	24.2	36.0	35.5	0 / 4	1 / 3
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more [b]	18.2	12.0	3.2	0 / 4	0 / 3
Percentage of live births having multiple infants [b,c]	43.3	34.8	38.5	0 / 3	1 / 2
Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of transfers	37	15	11	2	1
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	27.0	5 / 15	1 / 11	0 / 2	0 / 1
Average number of embryos transferred	2.4	1.9	2.1	2.0	3.0

Donor Eggs	All Ages Combined [e] Fresh Embryos	Frozen Embryos
Number of transfers	77	60
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	46.8	30.0
Average number of embryos transferred	2.2	2.5

CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

Current Name: Zouves Fertility Center

Donor egg?	Yes	Gestational carriers?	Yes	SART member?	Yes
Donor embryo?	Yes	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	Yes
Single women?	Yes			*(See Appendix C for details.)*	

[a] Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2009 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.
[b] When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.
[c] A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.
[d] Clinic-specific outcome rates for women older than 44 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs are not included because of small numbers. Readers are urged to review national outcomes for these age groups (see pages 30, 48 & 57).
[e] All ages (including ages >44) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.

CALIFORNIA IVF: DAVIS FERTILITY CENTER, INC.
DAVIS, CALIFORNIA

A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 81–90.

2009 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART [a]

IVF	100%	**Procedural Factors:**	
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	54%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	<1%
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	1%
		Used PGD	12%
		With eSET	3%

Patient Diagnosis

Tubal factor	9%	Other factor	8%
Ovulatory dysfunction	4%	Unknown factor	8%
Diminished ovarian reserve	15%	*Multiple Factors:*	
Endometriosis	3%	Female factors only	14%
Uterine factor	1%	Female & male factors	22%
Male factor	17%		

2009 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by Ernest J. Zeringue, MD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman <35	35–37	38–40	41–42	43–44 [d]
Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of cycles	80	29	35	10	7
Percentage of embryos transferred resulting in implantation [b]	39.6	36.7	18.5	14.3	2 / 15
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies [b]	46.3	37.9	20.0	2 / 10	2 / 7
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births [b,c]	35.0	27.6	14.3	0 / 10	1 / 7
(Confidence Interval)	(24.7–46.5)	(12.7–47.2)	(4.8–30.3)		
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births [b,c]	36.8	30.8	15.2	0 / 9	1 / 7
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	40.6	38.1	20.0	0 / 8	1 / 6
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births [b]	20.3	14.3	8.0	0 / 8	1 / 6
Percentage of cancellations [b]	5.0	10.3	5.7	1 / 10	0 / 7
Average number of embryos transferred	1.9	2.3	2.2	2.6	2.5
Percentage of pregnancies with twins [b]	43.2	6 / 11	2 / 7	1 / 2	0 / 2
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more [b]	2.7	1 / 11	1 / 7	0 / 2	0 / 2
Percentage of live births having multiple infants [b,c]	50.0	5 / 8	3 / 5		0 / 1
Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of transfers	35	13	8	1	1
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	28.6	3 / 13	2 / 8	0 / 1	1 / 1
Average number of embryos transferred	1.9	1.7	2.0	2.0	3.0

Donor Eggs	All Ages Combined [e] Fresh Embryos	Frozen Embryos
Number of transfers	22	11
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	77.3	3 / 11
Average number of embryos transferred	2.0	1.8

CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

Current Name: California IVF: Davis Fertility Center, Inc.

Donor egg?	Yes	Gestational carriers?	Yes	SART member?	No
Donor embryo?	Yes	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	No
Single women?	Yes			*(See Appendix C for details.)*	

[a] Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2009 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.
[b] When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.
[c] A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.
[d] Clinic-specific outcome rates for women older than 44 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs are not included because of small numbers. Readers are urged to review national outcomes for these age groups (see pages 30, 48 & 57).
[e] All ages (including ages >44) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.

THE FERTILITY INSTITUTES-CALIFORNIA, NEW YORK
ENCINO, CALIFORNIA

A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 81–90.

2009 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART [a]

IVF	98%	**Procedural Factors:**	
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	94%
ZIFT	1%	Unstimulated	0%
Combination	<1%	Used gestational carrier	1%
		Used PGD	61%
		With eSET	4%

Patient Diagnosis

Tubal factor	5%	Other factor	59%
Ovulatory dysfunction	0%	Unknown factor	3%
Diminished ovarian reserve	4%	*Multiple Factors:*	
Endometriosis	<1%	Female factors only	11%
Uterine factor	<1%	Female & male factors	14%
Male factor	4%		

2009 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by Jeffrey Steinberg, MD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman <35	35–37	38–40	41–42	43–44 [d]
Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of cycles	97	36	29	5	2
Percentage of embryos transferred resulting in implantation [b]	42.2	24.6	29.8	1 / 6	
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies [b]	49.5	41.7	34.5	1 / 5	0 / 2
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births [b,c]	46.4	38.9	31.0	1 / 5	0 / 2
(Confidence Interval)	(36.2–56.8)	(23.1–56.5)	(15.3–50.8)		
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births [b,c]	47.9	40.0	36.0	1 / 5	0 / 2
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	55.6	46.7	40.9	1 / 3	
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births [b]	35.8	40.0	27.3	1 / 3	
Percentage of cancellations [b]	3.1	2.8	13.8	0 / 5	0 / 2
Average number of embryos transferred	2.0	2.3	2.1	2.0	
Percentage of pregnancies with twins [b]	35.4	2 / 15	2 / 10	0 / 1	
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more [b]	4.2	0 / 15	1 / 10	0 / 1	
Percentage of live births having multiple infants [b,c]	35.6	2 / 14	3 / 9	0 / 1	
Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of transfers	5	4	2	0	0
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	1 / 5	1 / 4	0 / 2		
Average number of embryos transferred	2.6	2.8	2.0		

Donor Eggs	All Ages Combined [e] Fresh Embryos	Frozen Embryos
Number of transfers	31	7
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	51.6	1 / 7
Average number of embryos transferred	2.2	1.9

CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

Current Name: The Fertility Institutes-California, New York

Donor egg?	Yes	Gestational carriers?	Yes	SART member?	Yes
Donor embryo?	Yes	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	Yes
Single women?	Yes			*(See Appendix C for details.)*	

[a] Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2009 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.
[b] When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.
[c] A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.
[d] Clinic-specific outcome rates for women older than 44 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs are not included because of small numbers. Readers are urged to review national outcomes for these age groups (see pages 30, 48 & 57).
[e] All ages (including ages >44) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.

WEST COAST FERTILITY CENTERS
FOUNTAIN VALLEY, CALIFORNIA

A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 81–90.

2009 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART [a]

IVF	100%	**Procedural Factors:**	
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	79%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	0%
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	<1%
		Used PGD	15%
		With eSET	1%

Patient Diagnosis

Tubal factor	14%	Other factor	3%
Ovulatory dysfunction	14%	Unknown factor	8%
Diminished ovarian reserve	9%	*Multiple Factors:*	
Endometriosis	4%	Female factors only	19%
Uterine factor	1%	Female & male factors	13%
Male factor	15%		

2009 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by David G. Diaz, MD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman <35	35–37	38–40	41–42	43–44 [d]
Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of cycles	66	31	29	4	2
Percentage of embryos transferred resulting in implantation [b]	33.7	35.4	15.5	0 / 11	
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies [b]	34.8	38.7	24.1	0 / 4	0 / 2
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births [b,c]	30.3	32.3	13.8	0 / 4	0 / 2
(Confidence Interval)	(19.6–42.9)	(16.7–51.4)	(3.9–31.7)		
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births [b,c]	33.3	35.7	14.8	0 / 4	0 / 2
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	42.6	50.0	19.0	0 / 4	
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births [b]	25.5	40.0	9.5	0 / 4	
Percentage of cancellations [b]	9.1	9.7	6.9	0 / 4	0 / 2
Average number of embryos transferred	2.1	2.4	2.8	2.8	
Percentage of pregnancies with twins [b]	43.5	5 / 12	2 / 7		
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more [b]	0.0	0 / 12	1 / 7		
Percentage of live births having multiple infants [b,c]	40.0	2 / 10	2 / 4		
Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of transfers	39	18	11	2	1
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	46.2	5 / 18	4 / 11	0 / 2	0 / 1
Average number of embryos transferred	2.8	3.0	2.6	3.0	4.0

Donor Eggs	All Ages Combined [e] Fresh Embryos	Frozen Embryos
Number of transfers	11	7
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	2 / 11	1 / 7
Average number of embryos transferred	2.5	3.0

CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

Current Name: West Coast Fertility Centers

Donor egg?	Yes	Gestational carriers?	Yes	SART member?	Yes
Donor embryo?	No	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	Yes
Single women?	Yes			*(See Appendix C for details.)*	

[a] Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2009 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.
[b] When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.
[c] A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.
[d] Clinic-specific outcome rates for women older than 44 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs are not included because of small numbers. Readers are urged to review national outcomes for these age groups (see pages 30, 48 & 57).
[e] All ages (including ages >44) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.

XPERT FERTILITY CARE OF CALIFORNIA
MINH N. HO, MD, FACOG
FOUNTAIN VALLEY, CALIFORNIA

A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 81–90.

2009 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART [a]

IVF	100%	**Procedural Factors:**	
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	52%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	0%
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	0%
		Used PGD	17%
		With eSET	0%

Patient Diagnosis

Tubal factor	3%	Other factor	3%
Ovulatory dysfunction	5%	Unknown factor	5%
Diminished ovarian reserve	23%	*Multiple Factors:*	
Endometriosis	3%	Female factors only	8%
Uterine factor	0%	Female & male factors	39%
Male factor	13%		

2009 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by Minh N. Ho, MD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman <35	35–37	38–40	41–42	43–44[d]
Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of cycles	7	6	4	5	0
Percentage of embryos transferred resulting in implantation [b]	17.4	23.8	2 / 16	10.0	
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies [b]	4 / 7	3 / 6	1 / 4	2 / 5	
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births [b,c] (Confidence Interval)	4 / 7	3 / 6	1 / 4	1 / 5	
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births [b,c]	4 / 7	3 / 6	1 / 4	1 / 5	
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	4 / 7	3 / 6	1 / 4	1 / 5	
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births [b]	4 / 7	1 / 6	0 / 4	1 / 5	
Percentage of cancellations [b]	0 / 7	0 / 6	0 / 4	0 / 5	
Average number of embryos transferred	3.3	3.5	4.0	4.0	
Percentage of pregnancies with twins [b]	0 / 4	2 / 3	1 / 1	0 / 2	
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more [b]	0 / 4	0 / 3	0 / 1	0 / 2	
Percentage of live births having multiple infants [b,c]	0 / 4	2 / 3	1 / 1	0 / 1	
Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of transfers	0	3	2	0	0
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]		1 / 3	0 / 2		
Average number of embryos transferred		3.0	3.0		

Donor Eggs	All Ages Combined [e] Fresh Embryos	Frozen Embryos
Number of transfers	9	2
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	6 / 9	0 / 2
Average number of embryos transferred	2.8	1.5

CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

Current Name: Xpert Fertility Care of California, Minh N. Ho, MD, FACOG

Donor egg?	Yes	Gestational carriers?	Yes	SART member?	No
Donor embryo?	No	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	Yes
Single women?	Yes			*(See Appendix C for details.)*	

[a] Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2009 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.
[b] When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.
[c] A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.
[d] Clinic-specific outcome rates for women older than 44 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs are not included because of small numbers. Readers are urged to review national outcomes for these age groups (see pages 30, 48 & 57).
[e] All ages (including ages >44) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.

KAISER PERMANENTE CENTER FOR REPRODUCTIVE HEALTH
FREMONT, CALIFORNIA

A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 81–90.

2009 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART [a]

IVF	100%	**Procedural Factors:**	
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	74%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	<1%
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	<1%
		Used PGD	<1%
		With eSET	5%

Patient Diagnosis

Tubal factor	7%	Other factor	4%
Ovulatory dysfunction	4%	Unknown factor	8%
Diminished ovarian reserve	26%	*Multiple Factors:*	
Endometriosis	2%	Female factors only	7%
Uterine factor	2%	Female & male factors	24%
Male factor	16%		

2009 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by Jon A. Proctor, MD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman <35	35–37	38–40	41–42	43–44 [d]
Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of cycles	146	91	102	55	23
Percentage of embryos transferred resulting in implantation [b]	34.6	30.9	22.8	10.4	8.9
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies [b]	48.6	47.3	32.4	25.5	17.4
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births [b,c]	42.5	39.6	23.5	21.8	13.0
(Confidence Interval)	(34.3–50.9)	(29.5–50.4)	(15.7–33.0)	(11.8–35.0)	(2.8–33.6)
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births [b,c]	46.6	43.9	27.0	25.0	15.0
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	52.5	46.2	32.9	26.1	3 / 19
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births [b]	36.4	29.5	17.8	17.4	3 / 19
Percentage of cancellations [b]	8.9	9.9	12.7	12.7	13.0
Average number of embryos transferred	2.2	2.4	3.1	4.2	4.2
Percentage of pregnancies with twins [b]	26.8	32.6	36.4	3 / 14	3 / 4
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more [b]	2.8	4.7	12.1	2 / 14	0 / 4
Percentage of live births having multiple infants [b,c]	30.6	36.1	45.8	4 / 12	0 / 3
Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of transfers	54	28	29	8	6
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	42.6	50.0	34.5	1 / 8	0 / 6
Average number of embryos transferred	1.9	2.0	2.4	1.9	3.3

Donor Eggs	All Ages Combined [e] Fresh Embryos	Frozen Embryos
Number of transfers	37	17
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	56.8	12 / 17
Average number of embryos transferred	2.1	1.9

CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

Current Name: Kaiser Permanente Center for Reproductive Health

Donor egg?	Yes	Gestational carriers?	Yes	SART member?	Yes
Donor embryo?	Yes	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	Yes
Single women?	Yes			*(See Appendix C for details.)*	

[a] Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2009 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.
[b] When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.
[c] A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.
[d] Clinic-specific outcome rates for women older than 44 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs are not included because of small numbers. Readers are urged to review national outcomes for these age groups (see pages 30, 48 & 57).
[e] All ages (including ages >44) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.

KATHLEEN KORNAFEL, MD, PhD
GLENDALE, CALIFORNIA

A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 81–90.

2009 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART [a]

IVF	100%	**Procedural Factors:**	
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	44%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	0%
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	0%
		Used PGD	26%
		With eSET	10%

Patient Diagnosis

Tubal factor	8%	Other factor	3%
Ovulatory dysfunction	3%	Unknown factor	15%
Diminished ovarian reserve	18%	*Multiple Factors:*	
Endometriosis	0%	Female factors only	5%
Uterine factor	0%	Female & male factors	10%
Male factor	40%		

2009 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by Kathleen Kornafel, MD, PhD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman <35	35–37	38–40	41–42	43–44 [d]
Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of cycles	12	2	1	6	2
Percentage of embryos transferred resulting in implantation [b]	47.8	2 / 5	0 / 4	2 / 16	0 / 5
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies [b]	6 / 12	1 / 2	0 / 1	2 / 6	0 / 2
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births [b,c] (Confidence Interval)	5 / 12	1 / 2	0 / 1	0 / 6	0 / 2
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births [b,c]	5 / 12	1 / 2	0 / 1	0 / 6	0 / 2
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	5 / 11	1 / 2	0 / 1	0 / 5	0 / 2
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births [b]	3 / 11	0 / 2	0 / 1	0 / 5	0 / 2
Percentage of cancellations [b]	0 / 12	0 / 2	0 / 1	0 / 6	0 / 2
Average number of embryos transferred	2.1	2.5	4.0	3.2	2.5
Percentage of pregnancies with twins [b]	3 / 6	1 / 1		0 / 2	
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more [b]	1 / 6	0 / 1		0 / 2	
Percentage of live births having multiple infants [b,c]	2 / 5	1 / 1			
Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of transfers	7	0	1	0	0
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	3 / 7		0 / 1		
Average number of embryos transferred	2.6		2.0		

Donor Eggs	All Ages Combined [e] Fresh Embryos	Frozen Embryos
Number of transfers	4	4
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	3 / 4	2 / 4
Average number of embryos transferred	2.5	1.8

CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

Current Name: Kathleen Kornafel, MD, PhD

Donor egg?	Yes	Gestational carriers?	Yes	SART member?	No
Donor embryo?	Yes	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	Yes
Single women?	Yes			*(See Appendix C for details.)*	

[a] Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2009 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.
[b] When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.
[c] A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.
[d] Clinic-specific outcome rates for women older than 44 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs are not included because of small numbers. Readers are urged to review national outcomes for these age groups (see pages 30, 48 & 57).
[e] All ages (including ages >44) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.

COASTAL FERTILITY MEDICAL CENTER, INC.
IRVINE, CALIFORNIA

A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 81–90.

2009 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART [a]

IVF	100%	**Procedural Factors:**	
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	92%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	0%
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	2%
		Used PGD	13%
		With eSET	0%

Patient Diagnosis

Tubal factor	4%	Other factor	9%
Ovulatory dysfunction	1%	Unknown factor	19%
Diminished ovarian reserve	17%	*Multiple Factors:*	
Endometriosis	3%	Female factors only	11%
Uterine factor	2%	Female & male factors	17%
Male factor	18%		

2009 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by Lawrence B. Werlin, MD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman <35	35–37	38–40	41–42	43–44 [d]
Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of cycles	95	60	55	18	8
Percentage of embryos transferred resulting in implantation [b]	17.9	16.1	14.5	3.1	1 / 14
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies [b]	32.6	33.3	32.7	1 / 18	1 / 8
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births [b,c]	31.6	25.0	20.0	1 / 18	1 / 8
(Confidence Interval)	(22.4–41.9)	(14.7–37.9)	(10.4–33.0)		
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births [b,c]	32.6	26.3	20.4	1 / 16	1 / 6
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	33.0	27.3	22.9	1 / 13	1 / 5
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births [b]	24.2	21.8	18.8	1 / 13	1 / 5
Percentage of cancellations [b]	3.2	5.0	1.8	2 / 18	2 / 8
Average number of embryos transferred	2.5	2.9	3.0	2.5	2.8
Percentage of pregnancies with twins [b]	22.6	15.0	3 / 18	0 / 1	0 / 1
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more [b]	3.2	10.0	0 / 18	0 / 1	0 / 1
Percentage of live births having multiple infants [b,c]	26.7	3 / 15	2 / 11	0 / 1	0 / 1
Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of transfers	35	18	15	2	1
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	34.3	3 / 18	2 / 15	0 / 2	0 / 1
Average number of embryos transferred	2.8	2.4	2.5	3.5	3.0

Donor Eggs	All Ages Combined [e] Fresh Embryos	Frozen Embryos
Number of transfers	26	20
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	30.8	10.0
Average number of embryos transferred	2.3	3.0

CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

Current Name: Coastal Fertility Medical Center, Inc.

Donor egg?	Yes	Gestational carriers?	Yes	SART member?	Yes
Donor embryo?	Yes	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	Yes
Single women?	Yes			*(See Appendix C for details.)*	

[a] Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2009 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.
[b] When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.
[c] A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.
[d] Clinic-specific outcome rates for women older than 44 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs are not included because of small numbers. Readers are urged to review national outcomes for these age groups (see pages 30, 48 & 57).
[e] All ages (including ages >44) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.

FERTILITY CENTER OF SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA
IRVINE, CALIFORNIA

A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 81–90.

2009 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART [a]

IVF	100%	**Procedural Factors:**	
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	99%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	0%
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	1%
		Used PGD	1%
		With eSET	1%

Patient Diagnosis

Tubal factor	6%	Other factor	28%
Ovulatory dysfunction	7%	Unknown factor	19%
Diminished ovarian reserve	4%	*Multiple Factors:*	
Endometriosis	7%	Female factors only	4%
Uterine factor	3%	Female & male factors	6%
Male factor	17%		

2009 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by Ilene E. Hatch, MD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman <35	35–37	38–40	41–42	43–44 [d]
Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of cycles	36	13	14	13	4
Percentage of embryos transferred resulting in implantation [b]	34.1	22.2	20.9	7.1	0 / 14
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies [b]	58.3	6 / 13	8 / 14	3 / 13	0 / 4
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births [b,c]	47.2	5 / 13	5 / 14	2 / 13	0 / 4
(Confidence Interval)	(30.4–64.5)				
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births [b,c]	48.6	5 / 13	5 / 14	2 / 13	0 / 4
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	48.6	5 / 13	5 / 12	2 / 12	0 / 4
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births [b]	28.6	3 / 13	4 / 12	2 / 12	0 / 4
Percentage of cancellations [b]	2.8	0 / 13	0 / 14	0 / 13	0 / 4
Average number of embryos transferred	2.6	3.5	3.6	3.5	3.5
Percentage of pregnancies with twins [b]	42.9	2 / 6	1 / 8	0 / 3	
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more [b]	4.8	1 / 6	0 / 8	0 / 3	
Percentage of live births having multiple infants [b,c]	7 / 17	2 / 5	1 / 5	0 / 2	
Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of transfers	11	6	4	2	2
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	4 / 11	2 / 6	0 / 4	1 / 2	0 / 2
Average number of embryos transferred	2.8	3.2	3.0	5.0	2.5

Donor Eggs	All Ages Combined [e] Fresh Embryos	Frozen Embryos
Number of transfers	20	10
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	85.0	3 / 10
Average number of embryos transferred	2.1	3.0

CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

Current Name: Fertility Center of Southern California

Donor egg?	Yes	Gestational carriers?	Yes	SART member?	Yes
Donor embryo?	Yes	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	Yes
Single women?	Yes			*(See Appendix C for details.)*	

[a] Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2009 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.
[b] When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.
[c] A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.
[d] Clinic-specific outcome rates for women older than 44 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs are not included because of small numbers. Readers are urged to review national outcomes for these age groups (see pages 30, 48 & 57).
[e] All ages (including ages >44) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.

REPRODUCTIVE FERTILITY CENTER
IRVINE, CALIFORNIA

A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 81–90.

2009 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART [a]

IVF	100%	**Procedural Factors:**	
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	92%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	0%
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	<1%
		Used PGD	19%
		With eSET	0%

Patient Diagnosis

Tubal factor	12%	Other factor	42%
Ovulatory dysfunction	2%	Unknown factor	10%
Diminished ovarian reserve	7%	*Multiple Factors:*	
Endometriosis	3%	Female factors only	6%
Uterine factor	1%	Female & male factors	6%
Male factor	13%		

2009 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by James P. Lin, MD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman <35	35–37	38–40	41–42	43–44 [d]
Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of cycles	77	48	56	19	7
Percentage of embryos transferred resulting in implantation [b]	42.3	32.8	21.1	6.5	0 / 4
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies [b]	63.6	56.3	41.1	3 / 19	0 / 7
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births [b,c]	61.0	45.8	35.7	3 / 19	0 / 7
(Confidence Interval)	(49.2–72.0)	(31.4–60.8)	(23.4–49.6)		
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births [b,c]	61.8	45.8	37.7	3 / 19	0 / 6
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	63.5	48.9	40.0	3 / 19	0 / 3
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births [b]	37.8	26.7	28.0	3 / 19	0 / 3
Percentage of cancellations [b]	1.3	0.0	5.4	0 / 19	1 / 7
Average number of embryos transferred	2.3	2.6	2.8	3.3	1.3
Percentage of pregnancies with twins [b]	40.8	29.6	39.1	1 / 3	
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more [b]	2.0	11.1	0.0	0 / 3	
Percentage of live births having multiple infants [b,c]	40.4	45.5	30.0	0 / 3	
Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of transfers	9	3	2	1	0
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	2 / 9	1 / 3	1 / 2	1 / 1	
Average number of embryos transferred	2.4	2.3	2.0	2.0	

Donor Eggs	All Ages Combined [e] Fresh Embryos	Frozen Embryos
Number of transfers	41	8
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	75.6	3 / 8
Average number of embryos transferred	2.1	2.5

CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

Current Name: Reproductive Fertility Center-OC

Donor egg?	Yes	Gestational carriers?	Yes	SART member?	No
Donor embryo?	No	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	Yes
Single women?	Yes			*(See Appendix C for details.)*	

[a] Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2009 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.
[b] When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.
[c] A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.
[d] Clinic-specific outcome rates for women older than 44 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs are not included because of small numbers. Readers are urged to review national outcomes for these age groups (see pages 30, 48 & 57).
[e] All ages (including ages >44) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.

REPRODUCTIVE PARTNERS-UCSD REGIONAL FERTILITY CENTER
LA JOLLA, CALIFORNIA

A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 81–90.

2009 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART [a]

IVF	100%	**Procedural Factors:**	
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	81%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	0%
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	3%
		Used PGD	4%
		With eSET	7%

Patient Diagnosis

Tubal factor	4%	Other factor	14%
Ovulatory dysfunction	7%	Unknown factor	6%
Diminished ovarian reserve	29%	*Multiple Factors:*	
Endometriosis	4%	Female factors only	7%
Uterine factor	6%	Female & male factors	10%
Male factor	13%		

2009 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by V. Gabriel Garzo, MD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman <35	35–37	38–40	41–42	43–44[d]
Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of cycles	100	72	76	46	17
Percentage of embryos transferred resulting in implantation[b]	50.0	36.0	35.6	23.4	10.8
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies[b]	68.0	56.9	40.8	39.1	4 / 17
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births[b,c]	58.0	33.3	25.0	17.4	3 / 17
(Confidence Interval)	(47.7–67.8)	(22.7–45.4)	(15.8–36.3)	(7.8–31.4)	
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births[b,c]	63.7	39.3	32.8	21.1	3 / 12
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births[b,c]	65.9	41.4	36.5	22.2	3 / 12
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births[b]	44.3	32.8	26.9	19.4	3 / 12
Percentage of cancellations[b]	9.0	15.3	23.7	17.4	5 / 17
Average number of embryos transferred	1.9	2.0	2.3	2.6	3.1
Percentage of pregnancies with twins[b]	29.4	17.1	12.9	7 / 18	0 / 4
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more[b]	1.5	2.4	16.1	0 / 18	0 / 4
Percentage of live births having multiple infants[b,c]	32.8	20.8	5 / 19	1 / 8	0 / 3
Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of transfers	19	22	14	3	2
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births[b,c]	10 / 19	27.3	7 / 14	0 / 3	0 / 2
Average number of embryos transferred	2.1	1.7	2.1	3.0	2.0

Donor Eggs	All Ages Combined[e] Fresh Embryos	Frozen Embryos
Number of transfers	29	30
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births[b,c]	65.5	26.7
Average number of embryos transferred	1.8	1.9

CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

Current Name: Reproductive Partners-UCSD Regional Fertility Center

Donor egg?	Yes	Gestational carriers?	Yes	SART member?	Yes
Donor embryo?	Yes	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	Yes
Single women?	Yes			*(See Appendix C for details.)*	

[a] Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2009 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.
[b] When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.
[c] A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.
[d] Clinic-specific outcome rates for women older than 44 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs are not included because of small numbers. Readers are urged to review national outcomes for these age groups (see pages 30, 48 & 57).
[e] All ages (including ages >44) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.

REPRODUCTIVE SCIENCES CENTER
LA JOLLA, CALIFORNIA

A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 81–90.

2009 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART [a]

IVF	100%	**Procedural Factors:**	
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	69%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	0%
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	11%
		Used PGD	34%
		With eSET	0%

Patient Diagnosis

Tubal factor	3%	Other factor	12%
Ovulatory dysfunction	4%	Unknown factor	6%
Diminished ovarian reserve	21%	*Multiple Factors:*	
Endometriosis	0%	Female factors only	17%
Uterine factor	<1%	Female & male factors	30%
Male factor	7%		

2009 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by Samuel H. Wood, MD, PhD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman <35	35–37	38–40	41–42	43–44 [d]
Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of cycles	9	9	5	4	7
Percentage of embryos transferred resulting in implantation [b]	23.8	4 / 15	2 / 4	0 / 6	1 / 3
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies [b]	5 / 9	3 / 9	2 / 5	0 / 4	1 / 7
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births [b,c] (Confidence Interval)	4 / 9	3 / 9	2 / 5	0 / 4	1 / 7
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births [b,c]	4 / 9	3 / 7	2 / 4	0 / 3	1 / 4
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	4 / 9	3 / 6	2 / 2	0 / 2	1 / 2
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births [b]	4 / 9	2 / 6	2 / 2	0 / 2	1 / 2
Percentage of cancellations [b]	0 / 9	2 / 9	1 / 5	1 / 4	3 / 7
Average number of embryos transferred	2.3	2.5	2.0	3.0	1.5
Percentage of pregnancies with twins [b]	0 / 5	1 / 3	0 / 2		0 / 1
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more [b]	0 / 5	0 / 3	0 / 2		0 / 1
Percentage of live births having multiple infants [b,c]	0 / 4	1 / 3	0 / 2		0 / 1
Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of transfers	5	9	4	1	1
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	1 / 5	3 / 9	1 / 4	0 / 1	0 / 1
Average number of embryos transferred	1.8	2.8	2.8	1.0	2.0

Donor Eggs	All Ages Combined [e] Fresh Embryos	Frozen Embryos
Number of transfers	22	36
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	63.6	38.9
Average number of embryos transferred	2.2	2.5

CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

Current Name: Reproductive Sciences Center

Donor egg?	Yes	Gestational carriers?	Yes	SART member?	Yes
Donor embryo?	Yes	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	Yes
Single women?	Yes			*(See Appendix C for details.)*	

[a] Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2009 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.
[b] When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.
[c] A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.
[d] Clinic-specific outcome rates for women older than 44 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs are not included because of small numbers. Readers are urged to review national outcomes for these age groups (see pages 30, 48 & 57).
[e] All ages (including ages >44) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.

ACACIO FERTILITY CENTER
LAGUNA NIGUEL, CALIFORNIA

A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 81–90.

2009 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART [a]

IVF	100%	**Procedural Factors:**	
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	88%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	5%
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	5%
		Used PGD	5%
		With eSET	0%

Patient Diagnosis

Tubal factor	5%	Other factor	10%
Ovulatory dysfunction	3%	Unknown factor	4%
Diminished ovarian reserve	24%	*Multiple Factors:*	
Endometriosis	2%	Female factors only	22%
Uterine factor	1%	Female & male factors	25%
Male factor	6%		

2009 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by Brian D. Acacio, MD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman <35	35–37	38–40	41–42	43–44 [d]
Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of cycles	59	39	56	39	18
Percentage of embryos transferred resulting in implantation [b]	32.6	27.6	11.5	8.3	5.9
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies [b]	59.3	43.6	25.0	25.6	3 / 18
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births [b,c]	47.5	41.0	21.4	12.8	2 / 18
(Confidence Interval)	(34.3–60.9)	(25.6–57.9)	(11.6–34.4)	(4.3–27.4)	
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births [b,c]	50.0	48.5	24.0	13.2	2 / 17
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	50.9	48.5	25.5	14.7	2 / 15
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births [b]	36.4	33.3	21.3	14.7	2 / 15
Percentage of cancellations [b]	5.1	15.4	10.7	2.6	1 / 18
Average number of embryos transferred	2.3	3.0	3.5	3.5	3.4
Percentage of pregnancies with twins [b]	28.6	3 / 17	3 / 14	0 / 10	0 / 3
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more [b]	0.0	3 / 17	1 / 14	0 / 10	0 / 3
Percentage of live births having multiple infants [b,c]	28.6	5 / 16	2 / 12	0 / 5	0 / 2
Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of transfers	11	8	2	2	7
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	5 / 11	0 / 8	0 / 2	0 / 2	4 / 7
Average number of embryos transferred	2.3	2.4	3.5	2.5	2.7

Donor Eggs	All Ages Combined [e] Fresh Embryos	Frozen Embryos
Number of transfers	20	2
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	85.0	1 / 2
Average number of embryos transferred	2.8	2.5

CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

Current Name: Acacio Fertility Center

Donor egg?	Yes	Gestational carriers?	Yes	SART member?	Yes
Donor embryo?	Yes	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	Yes
Single women?	Yes			*(See Appendix C for details.)*	

[a] Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2009 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.
[b] When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.
[c] A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.
[d] Clinic-specific outcome rates for women older than 44 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs are not included because of small numbers. Readers are urged to review national outcomes for these age groups (see pages 30, 48 & 57).
[e] All ages (including ages >44) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.

LOMA LINDA UNIVERSITY CENTER FOR FERTILITY AND IVF
LOMA LINDA, CALIFORNIA

A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 81–90.

2009 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART [a]

IVF	100%	**Procedural Factors:**	
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	89%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	0%
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	<1%
		Used PGD	6%
		With eSET	1%

Patient Diagnosis

Tubal factor	7%	Other factor	2%
Ovulatory dysfunction	7%	Unknown factor	7%
Diminished ovarian reserve	15%	*Multiple Factors:*	
Endometriosis	2%	Female factors only	17%
Uterine factor	1%	Female & male factors	29%
Male factor	15%		

2009 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by John D. Jacobson, MD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman <35	35–37	38–40	41–42	43–44 [d]
Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of cycles	45	40	18	12	3
Percentage of embryos transferred resulting in implantation [b]	34.6	26.6	27.8	9.1	0 / 8
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies [b]	46.7	42.5	8 / 18	5 / 12	0 / 3
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births [b,c]	37.8	30.0	8 / 18	3 / 12	0 / 3
(Confidence Interval)	(23.8–53.5)	(16.6–46.5)			
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births [b,c]	39.5	33.3	8 / 15	3 / 10	0 / 3
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	41.5	36.4	8 / 13	3 / 10	0 / 3
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births [b]	29.3	18.2	7 / 13	3 / 10	0 / 3
Percentage of cancellations [b]	4.4	10.0	3 / 18	2 / 12	0 / 3
Average number of embryos transferred	2.0	2.4	2.8	3.3	2.7
Percentage of pregnancies with twins [b]	38.1	5 / 17	2 / 8	0 / 5	
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more [b]	4.8	1 / 17	0 / 8	0 / 5	
Percentage of live births having multiple infants [b,c]	5 / 17	6 / 12	1 / 8	0 / 3	
Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of transfers	13	8	3	1	1
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	6 / 13	1 / 8	1 / 3	0 / 1	1 / 1
Average number of embryos transferred	2.6	2.8	3.7	2.0	4.0

Donor Eggs	All Ages Combined [e] Fresh Embryos	Frozen Embryos
Number of transfers	10	13
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	3 / 10	2 / 13
Average number of embryos transferred	2.1	2.7

CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

Current Name: Loma Linda University Center for Fertility and IVF

Donor egg?	Yes	Gestational carriers?	Yes	SART member?	Yes
Donor embryo?	Yes	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	Yes
Single women?	Yes			*(See Appendix C for details.)*	

[a] Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2009 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.
[b] When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.
[c] A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.
[d] Clinic-specific outcome rates for women older than 44 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs are not included because of small numbers. Readers are urged to review national outcomes for these age groups (see pages 30, 48 & 57).
[e] All ages (including ages >44) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.

CALIFORNIA FERTILITY PARTNERS
LOS ANGELES, CALIFORNIA

A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 81–90.

2009 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART [a]

IVF	100%	**Procedural Factors:**	
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	69%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	<1%
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	8%
		Used PGD	2%
		With eSET	2%

Patient Diagnosis

Tubal factor	4%	Other factor	13%
Ovulatory dysfunction	3%	Unknown factor	23%
Diminished ovarian reserve	12%	*Multiple Factors:*	
Endometriosis	4%	Female factors only	8%
Uterine factor	5%	Female & male factors	11%
Male factor	17%		

2009 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by Richard P. Marrs, MD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman <35	35–37	38–40	41–42	43–44 [d]
Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of cycles	70	50	100	77	56
Percentage of embryos transferred resulting in implantation [b]	35.6	18.6	17.0	8.7	3.0
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies [b]	54.3	26.0	33.0	14.3	10.7
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births [b,c]	47.1	20.0	24.0	13.0	3.6
(Confidence Interval)	(35.1–59.4)	(10.0–33.7)	(16.0–33.6)	(6.4–22.6)	(0.4–12.3)
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births [b,c]	51.6	25.6	32.0	19.2	4.8
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	51.6	25.6	32.9	20.8	5.6
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births [b]	34.4	15.4	26.0	16.7	5.6
Percentage of cancellations [b]	8.6	22.0	25.0	32.5	25.0
Average number of embryos transferred	2.3	2.6	3.1	3.4	3.7
Percentage of pregnancies with twins [b]	28.9	4 / 13	24.2	3 / 11	0 / 6
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more [b]	7.9	1 / 13	0.0	0 / 11	0 / 6
Percentage of live births having multiple infants [b,c]	33.3	4 / 10	20.8	2 / 10	0 / 2
Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of transfers	14	13	17	8	1
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	5 / 14	2 / 13	5 / 17	2 / 8	0 / 1
Average number of embryos transferred	2.4	2.1	2.5	3.3	1.0

Donor Eggs	All Ages Combined [e] Fresh Embryos	Frozen Embryos
Number of transfers	98	64
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	56.1	42.2
Average number of embryos transferred	2.1	2.3

CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

Current Name: California Fertility Partners

Donor egg?	Yes	Gestational carriers?	Yes	SART member?	Yes
Donor embryo?	No	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	Yes
Single women?	Yes			*(See Appendix C for details.)*	

[a] Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2009 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.
[b] When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.
[c] A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.
[d] Clinic-specific outcome rates for women older than 44 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs are not included because of small numbers. Readers are urged to review national outcomes for these age groups (see pages 30, 48 & 57).
[e] All ages (including ages >44) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.

CEDARS SINAI MEDICAL CENTER
CENTER FOR FERTILITY AND REPRODUCTIVE MEDICINE
LOS ANGELES, CALIFORNIA

A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 81–90.

2009 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART [a]

IVF	100%	**Procedural Factors:**	
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	52%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	0%
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	1%
		Used PGD	8%
		With eSET	5%

Patient Diagnosis

Tubal factor	9%	Other factor	16%
Ovulatory dysfunction	12%	Unknown factor	9%
Diminished ovarian reserve	13%	*Multiple Factors:*	
Endometriosis	2%	Female factors only	20%
Uterine factor	0%	Female & male factors	12%
Male factor	7%		

2009 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by Margareta D. Pisarska, MD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman <35	35–37	38–40	41–42	43–44[d]
Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of cycles	30	12	21	14	2
Percentage of embryos transferred resulting in implantation[b]	28.3	10.7	14.0	9.7	0 / 4
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies[b]	33.3	3 / 12	28.6	3 / 14	0 / 2
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births[b,c]	30.0	2 / 12	19.0	2 / 14	0 / 2
(Confidence Interval)	(14.7–49.4)		(5.4–41.9)		
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births[b,c]	36.0	2 / 10	4 / 16	2 / 10	0 / 1
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births[b,c]	36.0	2 / 10	4 / 14	2 / 10	0 / 1
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births[b]	28.0	2 / 10	3 / 14	1 / 10	0 / 1
Percentage of cancellations[b]	16.7	2 / 12	23.8	4 / 14	1 / 2
Average number of embryos transferred	2.4	2.8	3.6	3.1	4.0
Percentage of pregnancies with twins[b]	1 / 10	0 / 3	0 / 6	1 / 3	
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more[b]	3 / 10	0 / 3	1 / 6	0 / 3	
Percentage of live births having multiple infants[b,c]	2 / 9	0 / 2	1 / 4	1 / 2	
Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of transfers	8	0	1	1	1
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births[b,c]	1 / 8		0 / 1	0 / 1	0 / 1
Average number of embryos transferred	1.8		1.0	3.0	1.0

Donor Eggs	All Ages Combined[e] Fresh Embryos	Frozen Embryos
Number of transfers	4	3
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births[b,c]	3 / 4	0 / 3
Average number of embryos transferred	2.8	3.7

CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

Current Name: Cedars Sinai Medical Center, Center for Fertility and Reproductive Medicine

Donor egg?	Yes	Gestational carriers?	Yes	SART member?	Yes
Donor embryo?	No	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	Yes
Single women?	Yes			*(See Appendix C for details.)*	

[a] Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2009 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.
[b] When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.
[c] A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.
[d] Clinic-specific outcome rates for women older than 44 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs are not included because of small numbers. Readers are urged to review national outcomes for these age groups (see pages 30, 48 & 57).
[e] All ages (including ages >44) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.

CHA FERTILITY CENTER
LOS ANGELES, CALIFORNIA

A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 81–90.

2009 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART [a]

IVF	100%	**Procedural Factors:**	
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	94%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	0%
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	<1%
		Used PGD	4%
		With eSET	2%

Patient Diagnosis

Tubal factor	3%	Other factor	10%
Ovulatory dysfunction	2%	Unknown factor	10%
Diminished ovarian reserve	50%	*Multiple Factors:*	
Endometriosis	<1%	Female factors only	4%
Uterine factor	1%	Female & male factors	6%
Male factor	14%		

2009 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by Vicken P. Sepilian, MD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman <35	35–37	38–40	41–42	43–44[d]
Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of cycles	36	31	16	12	6
Percentage of embryos transferred resulting in implantation[b]	28.9	10.2	19.6	10.5	4.5
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies[b]	50.0	25.8	9 / 16	4 / 12	1 / 6
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births[b,c]	41.7	16.1	5 / 16	3 / 12	1 / 6
(Confidence Interval)	(25.5–59.2)	(5.5–33.7)			
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births[b,c]	42.9	16.7	5 / 16	3 / 12	1 / 6
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births[b,c]	42.9	16.7	5 / 16	3 / 12	1 / 6
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births[b]	20.0	13.3	4 / 16	3 / 12	1 / 6
Percentage of cancellations[b]	2.8	3.2	0 / 16	0 / 12	0 / 6
Average number of embryos transferred	2.6	2.9	3.5	3.2	3.7
Percentage of pregnancies with twins[b]	6 / 18	1 / 8	0 / 9	0 / 4	0 / 1
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more[b]	2 / 18	0 / 8	1 / 9	0 / 4	0 / 1
Percentage of live births having multiple infants[b,c]	8 / 15	1 / 5	1 / 5	0 / 3	0 / 1
Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of transfers	14	9	2	1	1
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births[b,c]	8 / 14	3 / 9	2 / 2	0 / 1	0 / 1
Average number of embryos transferred	2.4	2.4	2.5	3.0	1.0

Donor Eggs	All Ages Combined[e] Fresh Embryos	Frozen Embryos
Number of transfers	103	55
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births[b,c]	56.3	52.7
Average number of embryos transferred	2.0	2.1

CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

Current Name: CHA Fertility Center

Donor egg?	Yes	Gestational carriers?	Yes	SART member?	Yes
Donor embryo?	No	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	Yes
Single women?	Yes			*(See Appendix C for details.)*	

[a] Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2009 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.
[b] When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.
[c] A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.
[d] Clinic-specific outcome rates for women older than 44 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs are not included because of small numbers. Readers are urged to review national outcomes for these age groups (see pages 30, 48 & 57).
[e] All ages (including ages >44) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.

PACIFIC FERTILITY CENTER-LOS ANGELES
LOS ANGELES, CALIFORNIA

A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 81–90.

2009 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART [a]

IVF	100%	**Procedural Factors:**	
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	97%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	0%
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	0%
		Used PGD	1%
		With eSET	0%

Patient Diagnosis

Tubal factor	3%	Other factor	59%
Ovulatory dysfunction	1%	Unknown factor	11%
Diminished ovarian reserve	<1%	*Multiple Factors:*	
Endometriosis	4%	Female factors only	<1%
Uterine factor	0%	Female & male factors	2%
Male factor	19%		

2009 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by Vicken Sahakian, MD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman <35	35–37	38–40	41–42	43–44 [d]
Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of cycles	46	42	39	22	10
Percentage of embryos transferred resulting in implantation [b]	44.6	21.7	17.4	14.3	3 / 17
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies [b]	63.0	35.7	35.9	27.3	3 / 10
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births [b,c]	56.5	33.3	30.8	18.2	2 / 10
(Confidence Interval)	(41.1–71.1)	(19.6–49.5)	(17.0–47.6)	(5.2–40.3)	
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births [b,c]	56.5	35.0	31.6	19.0	2 / 10
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	59.1	36.8	32.4	4 / 18	2 / 8
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births [b]	29.5	26.3	29.7	3 / 18	2 / 8
Percentage of cancellations [b]	0.0	4.8	2.6	4.5	0 / 10
Average number of embryos transferred	2.3	2.4	2.5	2.7	2.1
Percentage of pregnancies with twins [b]	37.9	5 / 15	2 / 14	1 / 6	0 / 3
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more [b]	6.9	0 / 15	0 / 14	0 / 6	0 / 3
Percentage of live births having multiple infants [b,c]	50.0	4 / 14	1 / 12	1 / 4	0 / 2
Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of transfers	12	7	2	0	0
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	7 / 12	4 / 7	1 / 2		
Average number of embryos transferred	2.8	2.6	3.0		

Donor Eggs	All Ages Combined [e] Fresh Embryos	Frozen Embryos
Number of transfers	97	48
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	63.9	31.3
Average number of embryos transferred	2.0	2.3

CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

Current Name: Pacific Fertility Center-Los Angeles

Donor egg?	No	Gestational carriers?	Yes	SART member?	No
Donor embryo?	Yes	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	Yes
Single women?	Yes			*(See Appendix C for details.)*	

[a] Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2009 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.
[b] When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.
[c] A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.
[d] Clinic-specific outcome rates for women older than 44 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs are not included because of small numbers. Readers are urged to review national outcomes for these age groups (see pages 30, 48 & 57).
[e] All ages (including ages >44) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.

UCLA FERTILITY CENTER
LOS ANGELES, CALIFORNIA

A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 81–90.

2009 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART [a]

IVF	100%	**Procedural Factors:**	
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	54%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	0%
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	0%
		Used PGD	6%
		With eSET	6%

Patient Diagnosis

Tubal factor	3%	Other factor	27%
Ovulatory dysfunction	5%	Unknown factor	9%
Diminished ovarian reserve	9%	*Multiple Factors:*	
Endometriosis	6%	Female factors only	5%
Uterine factor	<1%	Female & male factors	13%
Male factor	21%		

2009 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by T.C. Jackson Wu, MD, PhD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman <35	35–37	38–40	41–42	43–44 [d]
Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of cycles	38	6	19	20	7
Percentage of embryos transferred resulting in implantation [b]	40.3	4 / 13	31.6	7.9	4.2
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies [b]	47.4	2 / 6	11 / 19	25.0	1 / 7
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births [b,c]	42.1	2 / 6	11 / 19	20.0	1 / 7
(Confidence Interval)	(26.3–59.2)			(5.7–43.7)	
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births [b,c]	43.2	2 / 6	11 / 18	20.0	1 / 7
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	50.0	2 / 6	11 / 17	4 / 19	1 / 7
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births [b]	28.1	1 / 6	10 / 17	4 / 19	1 / 7
Percentage of cancellations [b]	2.6	0 / 6	1 / 19	0.0	0 / 7
Average number of embryos transferred	1.9	2.2	2.2	3.3	3.4
Percentage of pregnancies with twins [b]	7 / 18	2 / 2	1 / 11	0 / 5	0 / 1
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more [b]	0 / 18	0 / 2	0 / 11	0 / 5	0 / 1
Percentage of live births having multiple infants [b,c]	7 / 16	1 / 2	1 / 11	0 / 4	0 / 1
Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of transfers	7	0	4	2	0
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	4 / 7		0 / 4	0 / 2	
Average number of embryos transferred	2.0		1.5	3.0	

Donor Eggs	All Ages Combined [e] Fresh Embryos	Frozen Embryos
Number of transfers	8	0
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	6 / 8	
Average number of embryos transferred	1.4	

CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

Current Name: UCLA Fertility Center

Donor egg?	Yes	Gestational carriers?	Yes	SART member?	Yes
Donor embryo?	Yes	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	Yes
Single women?	Yes			*(See Appendix C for details.)*	

[a] Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2009 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.
[b] When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.
[c] A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.
[d] Clinic-specific outcome rates for women older than 44 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs are not included because of small numbers. Readers are urged to review national outcomes for these age groups (see pages 30, 48 & 57).
[e] All ages (including ages >44) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.

USC REPRODUCTIVE ENDOCRINOLOGY AND INFERTILITY
LOS ANGELES, CALIFORNIA

A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 81–90.

2009 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART [a]

IVF	90%	**Procedural Factors:**	
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	77%
ZIFT	10%	Unstimulated	0%
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	3%
		Used PGD	2%
		With eSET	0%

Patient Diagnosis

Tubal factor	3%	Other factor	3%
Ovulatory dysfunction	4%	Unknown factor	7%
Diminished ovarian reserve	27%	*Multiple Factors:*	
Endometriosis	<1%	Female factors only	17%
Uterine factor	2%	Female & male factors	28%
Male factor	10%		

2009 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by Richard J. Paulson, MD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman <35	35–37	38–40	41–42	43–44 [d]
Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of cycles	37	33	51	37	23
Percentage of embryos transferred resulting in implantation [b]	29.5	11.3	12.4	9.6	0.0
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies [b]	54.1	39.4	45.1	35.1	4.3
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births [b,c]	51.4	33.3	29.4	16.2	0.0
(Confidence Interval)	(34.4–68.1)	(18.0–51.8)	(17.5–43.8)	(6.2–32.0)	(0.0–14.8)
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births [b,c]	54.3	34.4	30.0	17.1	0.0
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	54.3	34.4	30.0	17.1	0 / 17
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births [b]	37.1	34.4	22.0	8.6	0 / 17
Percentage of cancellations [b]	5.4	3.0	2.0	5.4	8.7
Average number of embryos transferred	2.7	4.2	3.9	4.5	4.6
Percentage of pregnancies with twins [b]	30.0	1 / 13	21.7	3 / 13	0 / 1
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more [b]	5.0	1 / 13	0.0	0 / 13	0 / 1
Percentage of live births having multiple infants [b,c]	6 / 19	0 / 11	4 / 15	3 / 6	
Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of transfers	15	14	16	10	3
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	5 / 15	2 / 14	3 / 16	3 / 10	1 / 3
Average number of embryos transferred	2.5	3.6	3.5	4.3	4.7

Donor Eggs	All Ages Combined [e] Fresh Embryos	Frozen Embryos
Number of transfers	31	39
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	51.6	33.3
Average number of embryos transferred	2.5	3.0

CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

Current Name: USC Reproductive Endocrinology and Infertility

Donor egg?	Yes	Gestational carriers?	Yes	SART member?	Yes
Donor embryo?	Yes	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	Yes
Single women?	Yes			*(See Appendix C for details.)*	

[a] Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2009 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.
[b] When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.
[c] A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.
[d] Clinic-specific outcome rates for women older than 44 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs are not included because of small numbers. Readers are urged to review national outcomes for these age groups (see pages 30, 48 & 57).
[e] All ages (including ages >44) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.

THE FERTILITY AND GYNECOLOGY CENTER
MONTEREY BAY IVF PROGRAM
MONTEREY, CALIFORNIA

A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 81–90.

2009 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART [a]

IVF	100%	**Procedural Factors:**	
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	69%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	0%
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	0%
		Used PGD	4%
		With eSET	2%

Patient Diagnosis

Tubal factor	5%	Other factor	15%
Ovulatory dysfunction	2%	Unknown factor	0%
Diminished ovarian reserve	0%	*Multiple Factors:*	
Endometriosis	0%	Female factors only	32%
Uterine factor	0%	Female & male factors	45%
Male factor	2%		

2009 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by Edward J. Ramirez, MD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman <35	35–37	38–40	41–42	43–44 [d]
Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of cycles	16	14	11	7	3
Percentage of embryos transferred resulting in implantation [b]	34.4	11.8	40.0	13.6	0 / 5
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies [b]	8 / 16	4 / 14	8 / 11	2 / 7	1 / 3
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births [b,c] (Confidence Interval)	6 / 16	4 / 14	6 / 11	1 / 7	0 / 3
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births [b,c]	6 / 15	4 / 14	6 / 11	1 / 7	0 / 2
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	6 / 15	4 / 14	6 / 10	1 / 6	0 / 1
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births [b]	4 / 15	4 / 14	5 / 10	0 / 6	0 / 1
Percentage of cancellations [b]	1 / 16	0 / 14	0 / 11	0 / 7	1 / 3
Average number of embryos transferred	2.1	2.4	3.0	3.7	5.0
Percentage of pregnancies with twins [b]	3 / 8	0 / 4	2 / 8	1 / 2	0 / 1
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more [b]	0 / 8	0 / 4	1 / 8	0 / 2	0 / 1
Percentage of live births having multiple infants [b,c]	2 / 6	0 / 4	1 / 6	1 / 1	
Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of transfers	3	0	0	0	0
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	2 / 3				
Average number of embryos transferred	2.3				

Donor Eggs	All Ages Combined [e] Fresh Embryos	Frozen Embryos
Number of transfers	3	3
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	0 / 3	0 / 3
Average number of embryos transferred	2.3	2.0

CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

Current Name: The Fertility and Gynecology Center, Monterey Bay IVF Program

Donor egg?	Yes	Gestational carriers?	Yes	SART member?	Yes
Donor embryo?	Yes	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	Yes
Single women?	Yes			*(See Appendix C for details.)*	

[a] Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2009 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.
[b] When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.
[c] A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.
[d] Clinic-specific outcome rates for women older than 44 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs are not included because of small numbers. Readers are urged to review national outcomes for these age groups (see pages 30, 48 & 57).
[e] All ages (including ages >44) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.

NEWPORT FERTILITY CENTER
NEWPORT BEACH, CALIFORNIA

A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 81–90.

2009 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART [a]

IVF	100%	**Procedural Factors:**	
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	93%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	0%
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	2%
		Used PGD	5%
		With eSET	0%

Patient Diagnosis

Tubal factor	4%	Other factor	5%
Ovulatory dysfunction	0%	Unknown factor	2%
Diminished ovarian reserve	58%	*Multiple Factors:*	
Endometriosis	6%	Female factors only	10%
Uterine factor	3%	Female & male factors	5%
Male factor	6%		

2009 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by Mark T. Kan, MD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman <35	35–37	38–40	41–42	43–44 [d]
Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of cycles	14	15	16	6	10
Percentage of embryos transferred resulting in implantation [b]	41.4	60.6	14.0	2 / 17	4.2
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies [b]	8 / 14	12 / 15	8 / 16	2 / 6	1 / 10
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births [b,c] (Confidence Interval)	6 / 14	11 / 15	6 / 16	1 / 6	0 / 10
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births [b,c]	6 / 14	11 / 15	6 / 15	1 / 6	0 / 9
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	6 / 13	11 / 14	6 / 14	1 / 6	0 / 8
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births [b]	2 / 13	4 / 14	6 / 14	1 / 6	0 / 8
Percentage of cancellations [b]	0 / 14	0 / 15	1 / 16	0 / 6	1 / 10
Average number of embryos transferred	2.2	2.4	3.1	2.8	3.0
Percentage of pregnancies with twins [b]	4 / 8	6 / 12	0 / 8	0 / 2	0 / 1
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more [b]	0 / 8	1 / 12	0 / 8	0 / 2	0 / 1
Percentage of live births having multiple infants [b,c]	4 / 6	7 / 11	0 / 6	0 / 1	
Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of transfers	9	1	5	3	2
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	1 / 9	0 / 1	0 / 5	2 / 3	0 / 2
Average number of embryos transferred	1.9	1.0	1.8	2.0	2.0

Donor Eggs	All Ages Combined [e] Fresh Embryos	Frozen Embryos
Number of transfers	8	2
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	4 / 8	2 / 2
Average number of embryos transferred	2.5	3.5

CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

Current Name: Newport Fertility Center

Donor egg?	Yes	Gestational carriers?	Yes	SART member?	Yes
Donor embryo?	No	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	Yes
Single women?	Yes			*(See Appendix C for details.)*	

[a] Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2009 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.
[b] When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.
[c] A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.
[d] Clinic-specific outcome rates for women older than 44 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs are not included because of small numbers. Readers are urged to review national outcomes for these age groups (see pages 30, 48 & 57).
[e] All ages (including ages >44) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.

REPRODUCTIVE SPECIALTY MEDICAL CENTER
NEWPORT BEACH, CALIFORNIA

A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 81–90.

2009 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART [a]

IVF	100%	**Procedural Factors:**	
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	54%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	0%
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	0%
		Used PGD	31%
		With eSET	0%

Patient Diagnosis

Tubal factor	3%	Other factor	4%
Ovulatory dysfunction	1%	Unknown factor	14%
Diminished ovarian reserve	39%	*Multiple Factors:*	
Endometriosis	1%	Female factors only	1%
Uterine factor	0%	Female & male factors	22%
Male factor	15%		

2009 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by Beth A. Ary, MD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman <35	35–37	38–40	41–42	43–44 [d]
Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of cycles	9	4	11	1	1
Percentage of embryos transferred resulting in implantation [b]	34.8	3 / 12	20.8		
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies [b]	5 / 9	1 / 4	3 / 11	0 / 1	0 / 1
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births [b,c] (Confidence Interval)	5 / 9	1 / 4	3 / 11	0 / 1	0 / 1
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births [b,c]	5 / 9	1 / 4	3 / 10		0 / 1
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	5 / 8	1 / 4	3 / 8		
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births [b]	4 / 8	0 / 4	1 / 8		
Percentage of cancellations [b]	0 / 9	0 / 4	1 / 11	1 / 1	0 / 1
Average number of embryos transferred	2.9	3.0	3.0		
Percentage of pregnancies with twins [b]	1 / 5	0 / 1	2 / 3		
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more [b]	1 / 5	1 / 1	0 / 3		
Percentage of live births having multiple infants [b,c]	1 / 5	1 / 1	2 / 3		
Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of transfers	4	3	6	0	0
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	1 / 4	0 / 3	0 / 6		
Average number of embryos transferred	3.3	1.7	2.7		

Donor Eggs	All Ages Combined [e] Fresh Embryos	Frozen Embryos
Number of transfers	26	6
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	73.1	2 / 6
Average number of embryos transferred	2.5	2.5

CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

Current Name: Reproductive Specialty Medical Center

Donor egg?	Yes	Gestational carriers?	Yes	SART member?	Yes
Donor embryo?	Yes	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	Yes
Single women?	Yes			*(See Appendix C for details.)*	

[a] Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2009 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.
[b] When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.
[c] A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.
[d] Clinic-specific outcome rates for women older than 44 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs are not included because of small numbers. Readers are urged to review national outcomes for these age groups (see pages 30, 48 & 57).
[e] All ages (including ages >44) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.

SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA CENTER FOR REPRODUCTIVE MEDICINE
NEWPORT BEACH, CALIFORNIA

A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 81–90.

2009 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART [a]

IVF	100%	**Procedural Factors:**	
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	89%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	0%
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	1%
		Used PGD	5%
		With eSET	2%

Patient Diagnosis

Tubal factor	5%	Other factor	9%
Ovulatory dysfunction	4%	Unknown factor	5%
Diminished ovarian reserve	9%	*Multiple Factors:*	
Endometriosis	5%	Female factors only	29%
Uterine factor	<1%	Female & male factors	16%
Male factor	17%		

2009 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by Robert E. Anderson, MD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman <35	35–37	38–40	41–42	43–44 [d]
Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of cycles	88	56	63	44	26
Percentage of embryos transferred resulting in implantation [b]	39.3	23.4	15.3	7.2	1.6
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies [b]	55.7	48.2	31.7	20.5	7.7
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births [b,c]	47.7	37.5	25.4	9.1	3.8
(Confidence Interval)	(37.0–58.6)	(24.9–51.5)	(15.3–37.9)	(2.5–21.7)	(0.1–19.6)
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births [b,c]	49.4	39.6	30.2	10.3	5.0
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	52.5	42.0	30.8	11.4	5.0
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births [b]	28.8	34.0	23.1	8.6	5.0
Percentage of cancellations [b]	3.4	5.4	15.9	11.4	23.1
Average number of embryos transferred	2.1	2.8	3.1	4.0	3.1
Percentage of pregnancies with twins [b]	40.8	18.5	10.0	1 / 9	0 / 2
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more [b]	0.0	7.4	10.0	1 / 9	0 / 2
Percentage of live births having multiple infants [b,c]	45.2	19.0	4 / 16	1 / 4	0 / 1
Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of transfers	30	20	14	4	2
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	46.7	35.0	5 / 14	1 / 4	0 / 2
Average number of embryos transferred	2.4	2.2	2.4	3.5	2.5

Donor Eggs	All Ages Combined [e] Fresh Embryos	Frozen Embryos
Number of transfers	15	21
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	9 / 15	28.6
Average number of embryos transferred	1.9	2.5

CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

Current Name: Southern California Center for Reproductive Medicine

Donor egg?	Yes	Gestational carriers?	Yes	SART member?	Yes
Donor embryo?	Yes	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	Yes
Single women?	Yes			*(See Appendix C for details.)*	

[a] Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2009 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.
[b] When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.
[c] A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.
[d] Clinic-specific outcome rates for women older than 44 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs are not included because of small numbers. Readers are urged to review national outcomes for these age groups (see pages 30, 48 & 57).
[e] All ages (including ages >44) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.

IVF-ORANGE SURGERY CENTER
ORANGE, CALIFORNIA

A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 81–90.

2009 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART [a]

IVF	100%	**Procedural Factors:**	
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	90%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	0%
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	10%
		Used PGD	0%
		With eSET	0%

Patient Diagnosis

Tubal factor	31%	Other factor	8%
Ovulatory dysfunction	0%	Unknown factor	39%
Diminished ovarian reserve	0%	*Multiple Factors:*	
Endometriosis	0%	Female factors only	0%
Uterine factor	8%	Female & male factors	8%
Male factor	8%		

2009 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by Darush L. Mohyi, MD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman <35	35–37	38–40	41–42	43–44 [d]
Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of cycles	4	1	4	1	0
Percentage of embryos transferred resulting in implantation [b]	2 / 12	0 / 1	0 / 15	0 / 6	
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies [b]	2 / 4	0 / 1	0 / 4	0 / 1	
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births [b,c] (Confidence Interval)	2 / 4	0 / 1	0 / 4	0 / 1	
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births [b,c]	2 / 4	0 / 1	0 / 4	0 / 1	
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	2 / 4	0 / 1	0 / 4	0 / 1	
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births [b]	2 / 4	0 / 1	0 / 4	0 / 1	
Percentage of cancellations [b]	0 / 4	0 / 1	0 / 4	0 / 1	
Average number of embryos transferred	3.0	1.0	3.8	6.0	
Percentage of pregnancies with twins [b]	0 / 2				
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more [b]	0 / 2				
Percentage of live births having multiple infants [b,c]	0 / 2				
Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of transfers	0	0	0	2	0
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]				0 / 2	
Average number of embryos transferred				4.5	

Donor Eggs	All Ages Combined [e] Fresh Embryos	Frozen Embryos
Number of transfers	1	0
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	0 / 1	
Average number of embryos transferred	3.0	

CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

Current Name: IVF-Orange Surgery Center

Donor egg?	Yes	Gestational carriers?	Yes	SART member?	No
Donor embryo?	Yes	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	No
Single women?	Yes			*(See Appendix C for details.)*	

[a] Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2009 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.
[b] When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.
[c] A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.
[d] Clinic-specific outcome rates for women older than 44 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs are not included because of small numbers. Readers are urged to review national outcomes for these age groups (see pages 30, 48 & 57).
[e] All ages (including ages >44) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.

NOVA IN VITRO FERTILIZATION
PALO ALTO, CALIFORNIA

A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 81–90.

2009 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART [a]

IVF	100%	**Procedural Factors:**	
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	48%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	0%
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	2%
		Used PGD	11%
		With eSET	4%

Patient Diagnosis

Tubal factor	6%	Other factor	10%
Ovulatory dysfunction	5%	Unknown factor	17%
Diminished ovarian reserve	19%	*Multiple Factors:*	
Endometriosis	3%	Female factors only	17%
Uterine factor	3%	Female & male factors	8%
Male factor	12%		

2009 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by Richard J. Schmidt, MD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman <35	35–37	38–40	41–42	43–44 [d]
Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of cycles	49	30	40	15	12
Percentage of embryos transferred resulting in implantation [b]	27.8	24.1	17.1	8.9	2.7
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies [b]	49.0	40.0	45.0	4 / 15	1 / 12
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births [b,c]	44.9	30.0	32.5	3 / 15	1 / 12
(Confidence Interval)	(30.7–59.8)	(14.7–49.4)	(18.6–49.1)		
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births [b,c]	44.9	30.0	37.1	3 / 15	1 / 8
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	44.9	30.0	38.2	3 / 15	1 / 7
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births [b]	22.4	6.7	26.5	3 / 15	1 / 7
Percentage of cancellations [b]	0.0	0.0	12.5	0 / 15	4 / 12
Average number of embryos transferred	2.6	2.9	3.6	3.0	5.3
Percentage of pregnancies with twins [b]	50.0	3 / 12	3 / 18	0 / 4	0 / 1
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more [b]	0.0	4 / 12	1 / 18	0 / 4	0 / 1
Percentage of live births having multiple infants [b,c]	50.0	7 / 9	4 / 13	0 / 3	0 / 1
Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of transfers	9	17	11	3	3
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	2 / 9	6 / 17	5 / 11	2 / 3	1 / 3
Average number of embryos transferred	2.6	2.7	3.2	3.0	5.7

Donor Eggs	All Ages Combined [e] Fresh Embryos	Frozen Embryos
Number of transfers	20	11
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	55.0	6 / 11
Average number of embryos transferred	2.5	2.5

CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

Current Name: Nova In Vitro Fertilization

Donor egg?	Yes	Gestational carriers?	Yes	SART member?	Yes
Donor embryo?	No	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	Yes
Single women?	Yes			*(See Appendix C for details.)*	

[a] Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2009 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.
[b] When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.
[c] A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.
[d] Clinic-specific outcome rates for women older than 44 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs are not included because of small numbers. Readers are urged to review national outcomes for these age groups (see pages 30, 48 & 57).
[e] All ages (including ages >44) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.

STANFORD FERTILITY AND REPRODUCTIVE MEDICINE CENTER
STANFORD UNIVERSITY DEPARTMENT OF GYNECOLOGY AND OBSTETRICS
PALO ALTO, CALIFORNIA

A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 81–90.

2009 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART [a]

IVF	100%	**Procedural Factors:**	
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	45%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	<1%
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	2%
		Used PGD	8%
		With eSET	10%

Patient Diagnosis

Tubal factor	3%	Other factor	8%
Ovulatory dysfunction	4%	Unknown factor	11%
Diminished ovarian reserve	16%	*Multiple Factors:*	
Endometriosis	5%	Female factors only	4%
Uterine factor	<1%	Female & male factors	42%
Male factor	6%		

2009 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by Valerie Baker, MD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman <35	35–37	38–40	41–42	43–44 [d]
Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of cycles	173	127	175	81	70
Percentage of embryos transferred resulting in implantation [b]	25.3	17.2	12.4	5.9	1.4
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies [b]	35.3	29.1	24.0	18.5	7.1
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births [b,c]	32.9	22.8	18.3	11.1	1.4
(Confidence Interval)	(26.0–40.5)	(15.9–31.1)	(12.9–24.8)	(5.2–20.0)	(0.0–7.7)
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births [b,c]	35.4	24.2	19.6	12.3	1.5
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	37.5	26.1	22.1	13.4	1.8
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births [b]	25.0	18.0	16.6	9.0	0.0
Percentage of cancellations [b]	6.9	5.5	6.9	9.9	7.1
Average number of embryos transferred	2.1	2.4	2.8	3.8	3.8
Percentage of pregnancies with twins [b]	34.4	21.6	23.8	4 / 15	1 / 5
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more [b]	0.0	5.4	0.0	0 / 15	0 / 5
Percentage of live births having multiple infants [b,c]	33.3	31.0	25.0	3 / 9	1 / 1
Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of transfers	68	56	40	14	8
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	33.8	30.4	25.0	1 / 14	0 / 8
Average number of embryos transferred	1.7	1.6	1.8	2.1	3.0

Donor Eggs	All Ages Combined [e] Fresh Embryos	Frozen Embryos
Number of transfers	38	28
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	55.3	17.9
Average number of embryos transferred	1.8	1.9

CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

Current Name: Stanford Fertility and Reproductive Medicine Center, Stanford University Department of Gynecology and Obstetrics

Donor egg?	Yes	Gestational carriers?	Yes	SART member?	Yes
Donor embryo?	Yes	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	Yes
Single women?	Yes			*(See Appendix C for details.)*	

[a] Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2009 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.
[b] When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.
[c] A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.
[d] Clinic-specific outcome rates for women older than 44 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs are not included because of small numbers. Readers are urged to review national outcomes for these age groups (see pages 30, 48 & 57).
[e] All ages (including ages >44) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.

HUNTINGTON REPRODUCTIVE CENTER
PASADENA, CALIFORNIA

A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 81–90.

2009 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART [a]

IVF	>99%	**Procedural Factors:**	
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	69%
ZIFT	<1%	Unstimulated	2%
Combination	<1%	Used gestational carrier	2%
		Used PGD	13%
		With eSET	3%

Patient Diagnosis

Tubal factor	3%	Other factor	40%
Ovulatory dysfunction	3%	Unknown factor	7%
Diminished ovarian reserve	3%	*Multiple Factors:*	
Endometriosis	2%	Female factors only	0%
Uterine factor	<1%	Female & male factors	8%
Male factor	34%		

2009 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by Michael A. Feinman, MD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman <35	35–37	38–40	41–42	43–44 [d]
Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of cycles	381	289	288	117	63
Percentage of embryos transferred resulting in implantation [b]	34.3	29.1	18.3	9.1	3.9
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies [b]	48.3	46.4	31.3	17.9	7.9
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births [b,c]	41.2	39.4	23.6	14.5	3.2
(Confidence Interval)	(36.2–46.3)	(33.8–45.3)	(18.8–28.9)	(8.7–22.2)	(0.4–11.0)
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births [b,c]	51.8	47.7	34.3	21.8	4.9
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	51.8	47.7	34.3	21.8	4.9
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births [b]	31.0	30.1	23.7	17.9	4.9
Percentage of cancellations [b]	20.5	17.3	31.3	33.3	34.9
Average number of embryos transferred	2.4	2.7	3.1	3.1	3.1
Percentage of pregnancies with twins [b]	34.8	27.6	21.1	9.5	1 / 5
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more [b]	3.8	7.5	5.6	4.8	0 / 5
Percentage of live births having multiple infants [b,c]	40.1	36.8	30.9	3 / 17	0 / 2
Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of transfers	94	73	59	29	28
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	50.0	45.2	30.5	27.6	39.3
Average number of embryos transferred	2.5	3.0	3.1	2.6	2.9

	All Ages Combined [e]	
Donor Eggs	**Fresh Embryos**	**Frozen Embryos**
Number of transfers	160	10
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	57.5	4 / 10
Average number of embryos transferred	2.4	3.2

CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

Current Name: Huntington Reproductive Center

Donor egg?	Yes	Gestational carriers?	Yes	SART member?	Yes
Donor embryo?	Yes	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	Yes
Single women?	Yes			*(See Appendix C for details.)*	

[a] Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2009 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.
[b] When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.
[c] A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.
[d] Clinic-specific outcome rates for women older than 44 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs are not included because of small numbers. Readers are urged to review national outcomes for these age groups (see pages 30, 48 & 57).
[e] All ages (including ages >44) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.

PALO ALTO MEDICAL FOUNDATION
REPRODUCTIVE ENDOCRINOLOGY & FERTILITY
PORTOLA VALLEY, CALIFORNIA

A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 81–90.

2009 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART [a]

IVF	100%	**Procedural Factors:**	
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	45%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	0%
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	0%
		Used PGD	5%
		With eSET	4%

Patient Diagnosis

Tubal factor	11%	Other factor	11%
Ovulatory dysfunction	9%	Unknown factor	20%
Diminished ovarian reserve	10%	*Multiple Factors:*	
Endometriosis	4%	Female factors only	6%
Uterine factor	2%	Female & male factors	10%
Male factor	19%		

2009 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by Lillian M. Swiersz, MD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman <35	35–37	38–40	41–42	43–44[d]
Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of cycles	37	42	29	14	6
Percentage of embryos transferred resulting in implantation[b]	33.3	23.9	11.1	0.0	0 / 6
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies[b]	43.2	35.7	17.2	1 / 14	0 / 6
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births[b,c]	35.1	26.2	10.3	0 / 14	0 / 6
(Confidence Interval)	(20.2–52.5)	(13.9–42.0)	(2.2–27.4)		
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births[b,c]	41.9	36.7	13.0	0 / 8	0 / 2
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births[b,c]	43.3	42.3	13.6	0 / 7	0 / 2
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births[b]	33.3	34.6	9.1	0 / 7	0 / 2
Percentage of cancellations[b]	16.2	28.6	20.7	6 / 14	4 / 6
Average number of embryos transferred	2.1	2.6	2.9	3.6	3.0
Percentage of pregnancies with twins[b]	3 / 16	1 / 15	2 / 5	0 / 1	
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more[b]	1 / 16	1 / 15	0 / 5	0 / 1	
Percentage of live births having multiple infants[b,c]	3 / 13	2 / 11	1 / 3		
Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of transfers	10	14	6	7	0
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births[b,c]	3 / 10	2 / 14	1 / 6	0 / 7	
Average number of embryos transferred	1.8	2.3	2.2	2.1	

Donor Eggs	All Ages Combined[e] Fresh Embryos	Frozen Embryos
Number of transfers	0	0
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births[b,c]		
Average number of embryos transferred		

CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

Current Name: Palo Alto Medical Foundation, Reproductive Endocrinology & Fertility

Donor egg?	No	Gestational carriers?	No	SART member?	Yes
Donor embryo?	No	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	Yes
Single women?	Yes			*(See Appendix C for details.)*	

[a] Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2009 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.
[b] When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.
[c] A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.
[d] Clinic-specific outcome rates for women older than 44 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs are not included because of small numbers. Readers are urged to review national outcomes for these age groups (see pages 30, 48 & 57).
[e] All ages (including ages >44) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.

REPRODUCTIVE PARTNERS-REDONDO BEACH
REDONDO BEACH, CALIFORNIA

A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 81–90.

2009 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART [a]

IVF	100%	**Procedural Factors:**	
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	67%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	0%
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	<1%
		Used PGD	2%
		With eSET	4%

Patient Diagnosis

Tubal factor	7%	Other factor	32%
Ovulatory dysfunction	3%	Unknown factor	23%
Diminished ovarian reserve	12%	*Multiple Factors:*	
Endometriosis	4%	Female factors only	5%
Uterine factor	1%	Female & male factors	3%
Male factor	12%		

2009 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by Bill Yee, MD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman <35	35–37	38–40	41–42	43–44 [d]
Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of cycles	69	87	101	62	17
Percentage of embryos transferred resulting in implantation [b]	42.5	33.3	23.9	10.7	11.6
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies [b]	49.3	39.1	37.6	27.4	6 / 17
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births [b,c]	42.0	34.5	30.7	17.7	2 / 17
(Confidence Interval)	(30.2–54.5)	(24.6–45.4)	(21.9–40.7)	(9.2–29.5)	
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births [b,c]	46.8	42.3	36.5	21.6	2 / 13
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	51.8	47.6	40.3	24.4	2 / 11
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births [b]	30.4	33.3	31.2	20.0	2 / 11
Percentage of cancellations [b]	10.1	18.4	15.8	17.7	4 / 17
Average number of embryos transferred	2.0	2.4	2.4	3.3	3.9
Percentage of pregnancies with twins [b]	41.2	29.4	21.1	2 / 17	0 / 6
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more [b]	2.9	11.8	2.6	0 / 17	0 / 6
Percentage of live births having multiple infants [b,c]	41.4	30.0	22.6	2 / 11	0 / 2
Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of transfers	21	18	25	7	4
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	42.9	8 / 18	32.0	1 / 7	0 / 4
Average number of embryos transferred	2.0	2.3	1.8	2.0	2.0

Donor Eggs	All Ages Combined [e] Fresh Embryos	Frozen Embryos
Number of transfers	31	33
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	54.8	27.3
Average number of embryos transferred	1.7	1.8

CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

Current Name: Reproductive Partners-Redondo Beach

Donor egg?	Yes	Gestational carriers?	Yes	SART member?	Yes
Donor embryo?	Yes	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	Yes
Single women?	Yes			*(See Appendix C for details.)*	

[a] Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2009 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.
[b] When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.
[c] A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.
[d] Clinic-specific outcome rates for women older than 44 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs are not included because of small numbers. Readers are urged to review national outcomes for these age groups (see pages 30, 48 & 57).
[e] All ages (including ages >44) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.

NORTHERN CALIFORNIA FERTILITY MEDICAL CENTER
ROSEVILLE, CALIFORNIA

A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 81–90.

2009 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART [a]

IVF	100%	**Procedural Factors:**	
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	53%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	<1%
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	2%
		Used PGD	2%
		With eSET	6%

Patient Diagnosis

Tubal factor	5%	Other factor	7%
Ovulatory dysfunction	5%	Unknown factor	3%
Diminished ovarian reserve	7%	*Multiple Factors:*	
Endometriosis	3%	Female factors only	25%
Uterine factor	1%	Female & male factors	35%
Male factor	10%		

2009 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by Carlos E. Soto-Albors, MD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman <35	35–37	38–40	41–42	43–44[d]
Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of cycles	134	71	81	28	9
Percentage of embryos transferred resulting in implantation[b]	40.5	30.3	21.9	20.7	2 / 19
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies[b]	57.5	50.7	42.0	39.3	3 / 9
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births[b,c]	44.8	46.5	28.4	32.1	1 / 9
(Confidence Interval)	(36.2–53.6)	(34.5–58.7)	(18.9–39.5)	(15.9–52.4)	
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births[b,c]	47.2	47.8	33.3	36.0	1 / 8
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births[b,c]	48.4	49.3	35.9	36.0	1 / 7
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births[b]	29.8	34.3	25.0	32.0	1 / 7
Percentage of cancellations[b]	5.2	2.8	14.8	10.7	1 / 9
Average number of embryos transferred	2.1	2.3	2.6	2.3	2.7
Percentage of pregnancies with twins[b]	37.7	25.0	23.5	1 / 11	0 / 3
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more[b]	2.6	5.6	0.0	0 / 11	0 / 3
Percentage of live births having multiple infants[b,c]	38.3	30.3	30.4	1 / 9	0 / 1
Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of transfers	53	34	27	13	1
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births[b,c]	32.1	23.5	29.6	1 / 13	0 / 1
Average number of embryos transferred	2.2	2.4	2.1	2.8	2.0

	All Ages Combined[e]	
Donor Eggs	**Fresh Embryos**	**Frozen Embryos**
Number of transfers	51	32
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births[b,c]	58.8	34.4
Average number of embryos transferred	1.8	2.0

CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

Current Name: Northern California Fertility Medical Center

Donor egg?	Yes	Gestational carriers?	Yes	SART member?	Yes
Donor embryo?	Yes	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	Yes
Single women?	Yes			*(See Appendix C for details.)*	

[a] Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2009 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.
[b] When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.
[c] A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.
[d] Clinic-specific outcome rates for women older than 44 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs are not included because of small numbers. Readers are urged to review national outcomes for these age groups (see pages 30, 48 & 57).
[e] All ages (including ages >44) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.

KAISER PERMANENTE CENTER FOR REPRODUCTIVE HEALTH-SACRAMENTO
SACRAMENTO, CALIFORNIA

A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 81–90.

2009 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART [a]

IVF	100%	**Procedural Factors:**	
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	61%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	0%
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	3%
		Used PGD	0%
		With eSET	3%

Patient Diagnosis

Tubal factor	8%	Other factor	<1%
Ovulatory dysfunction	8%	Unknown factor	7%
Diminished ovarian reserve	23%	*Multiple Factors:*	
Endometriosis	4%	Female factors only	6%
Uterine factor	4%	Female & male factors	21%
Male factor	20%		

2009 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by Kenneth Vu, MD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman <35	35–37	38–40	41–42	43–44 [d]
Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of cycles	71	45	27	11	2
Percentage of embryos transferred resulting in implantation [b]	43.2	28.2	17.1	3.4	0 / 1
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies [b]	54.9	53.3	33.3	2 / 11	0 / 2
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births [b,c]	47.9	40.0	22.2	0 / 11	0 / 2
(Confidence Interval)	(35.9–60.1)	(25.7–55.7)	(8.6–42.3)		
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births [b,c]	50.7	42.9	26.1	0 / 9	0 / 1
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	52.3	42.9	27.3	0 / 9	0 / 1
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births [b]	24.6	28.6	22.7	0 / 9	0 / 1
Percentage of cancellations [b]	5.6	6.7	14.8	2 / 11	1 / 2
Average number of embryos transferred	2.3	2.6	3.2	3.2	1.0
Percentage of pregnancies with twins [b]	56.4	33.3	3 / 9	0 / 2	
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more [b]	5.1	0.0	0 / 9	0 / 2	
Percentage of live births having multiple infants [b,c]	52.9	6 / 18	1 / 6		
Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of transfers	27	10	7	4	0
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	37.0	7 / 10	3 / 7	0 / 4	
Average number of embryos transferred	2.2	3.1	2.6	2.0	

Donor Eggs	All Ages Combined [e] Fresh Embryos	Frozen Embryos
Number of transfers	21	11
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	57.1	5 / 11
Average number of embryos transferred	2.2	2.7

CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

Current Name: Kaiser Permanente Center for Reproductive Health-Sacramento

Donor egg?	Yes	Gestational carriers?	Yes	SART member?	Yes
Donor embryo?	Yes	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	Yes
Single women?	Yes			*(See Appendix C for details.)*	

[a] Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2009 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.
[b] When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.
[c] A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.
[d] Clinic-specific outcome rates for women older than 44 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs are not included because of small numbers. Readers are urged to review national outcomes for these age groups (see pages 30, 48 & 57).
[e] All ages (including ages >44) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.

THE UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA-DAVIS ASSISTED REPRODUCTIVE TECHNOLOGY PROGRAM SACRAMENTO, CALIFORNIA

A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 81–90.

2009 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART [a]

IVF	100%	**Procedural Factors:**	
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	64%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	3%
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	0%
		Used PGD	0%
		With eSET	0%

Patient Diagnosis

Tubal factor	21%	Other factor	2%
Ovulatory dysfunction	7%	Unknown factor	17%
Diminished ovarian reserve	5%	*Multiple Factors:*	
Endometriosis	2%	Female factors only	5%
Uterine factor	0%	Female & male factors	16%
Male factor	26%		

2009 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by Albert K. Wei, MD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman <35	35–37	38–40	41–42	43–44 [d]
Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of cycles	14	14	6	2	0
Percentage of embryos transferred resulting in implantation [b]	24.2	21.6	0 / 12	0 / 6	
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies [b]	4 / 14	7 / 14	2 / 6	0 / 2	
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births [b,c] (Confidence Interval)	4 / 14	4 / 14	0 / 6	0 / 2	
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births [b,c]	4 / 14	4 / 14	0 / 4	0 / 2	
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	4 / 14	4 / 14	0 / 4	0 / 2	
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births [b]	2 / 14	4 / 14	0 / 4	0 / 2	
Percentage of cancellations [b]	0 / 14	0 / 14	2 / 6	0 / 2	
Average number of embryos transferred	2.4	2.6	3.0	3.0	
Percentage of pregnancies with twins [b]	2 / 4	2 / 7	0 / 2		
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more [b]	1 / 4	0 / 7	0 / 2		
Percentage of live births having multiple infants [b,c]	2 / 4	0 / 4			
Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of transfers	7	4	0	0	0
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	2 / 7	0 / 4			
Average number of embryos transferred	3.1	2.8			

Donor Eggs	All Ages Combined [e] Fresh Embryos	Frozen Embryos
Number of transfers	6	3
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	3 / 6	0 / 3
Average number of embryos transferred	2.3	3.0

CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

Current Name: The University of California-Davis, Assisted Reproductive Technology Program

Donor egg?	Yes	Gestational carriers?	No	SART member?	Yes
Donor embryo?	No	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	Yes
Single women?	Yes			*(See Appendix C for details.)*	

[a] Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2009 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.
[b] When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.
[c] A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.
[d] Clinic-specific outcome rates for women older than 44 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs are not included because of small numbers. Readers are urged to review national outcomes for these age groups (see pages 30, 48 & 57).
[e] All ages (including ages >44) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.

FERTILITY SPECIALISTS MEDICAL GROUP
SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 81–90.

2009 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART [a]

IVF	100%	**Procedural Factors:**	
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	85%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	0%
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	0%
		Used PGD	<1%
		With eSET	10%

Patient Diagnosis

Tubal factor	2%	Other factor	5%
Ovulatory dysfunction	3%	Unknown factor	<1%
Diminished ovarian reserve	23%	*Multiple Factors:*	
Endometriosis	0%	Female factors only	7%
Uterine factor	0%	Female & male factors	32%
Male factor	27%		

2009 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by Arlene J. Morales, MD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman <35	35–37	38–40	41–42	43–44 [d]
Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of cycles	42	28	33	16	4
Percentage of embryos transferred resulting in implantation [b]	41.5	29.1	15.3	0.0	1 / 6
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies [b]	42.9	46.4	33.3	0 / 16	1 / 4
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births [b,c]	35.7	32.1	21.2	0 / 16	0 / 4
(Confidence Interval)	(21.6–52.0)	(15.9–52.4)	(9.0–38.9)		
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births [b,c]	40.5	33.3	24.1	0 / 12	0 / 2
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	41.7	33.3	25.0	0 / 11	0 / 2
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births [b]	22.2	25.9	25.0	0 / 11	0 / 2
Percentage of cancellations [b]	11.9	3.6	12.1	4 / 16	2 / 4
Average number of embryos transferred	1.8	2.0	2.6	2.6	3.0
Percentage of pregnancies with twins [b]	9 / 18	3 / 13	0 / 11		0 / 1
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more [b]	0 / 18	0 / 13	0 / 11		0 / 1
Percentage of live births having multiple infants [b,c]	7 / 15	2 / 9	0 / 7		
Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of transfers	18	12	10	0	1
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	10 / 18	3 / 12	1 / 10		0 / 1
Average number of embryos transferred	2.3	2.1	2.5		1.0

Donor Eggs	All Ages Combined [e] Fresh Embryos	Frozen Embryos
Number of transfers	22	17
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	50.0	8 / 17
Average number of embryos transferred	1.8	2.1

CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

Current Name: Fertility Specialists Medical Group

Donor egg?	Yes	Gestational carriers?	Yes	SART member?	Yes
Donor embryo?	Yes	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	Yes
Single women?	Yes			*(See Appendix C for details.)*	

[a] Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2009 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.
[b] When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.
[c] A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.
[d] Clinic-specific outcome rates for women older than 44 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs are not included because of small numbers. Readers are urged to review national outcomes for these age groups (see pages 30, 48 & 57).
[e] All ages (including ages >44) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.

NTC INFERTILITY CLINIC
SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 81–90.

2009 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART [a]

IVF	100%	**Procedural Factors:**	
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	87%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	0%
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	0%
		Used PGD	1%
		With eSET	12%

Patient Diagnosis

Tubal factor	27%	Other factor	2%
Ovulatory dysfunction	8%	Unknown factor	17%
Diminished ovarian reserve	2%	*Multiple Factors:*	
Endometriosis	3%	Female factors only	4%
Uterine factor	0%	Female & male factors	11%
Male factor	26%		

2009 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by Larry Laufer, MD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman <35	35–37	38–40	41–42	43–44 [d]
Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of cycles	53	17	16	4	0
Percentage of embryos transferred resulting in implantation [b]	53.3	30.8	30.3	0 / 6	
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies [b]	52.8	7 / 17	6 / 16	0 / 4	
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births [b,c]	45.3	4 / 17	5 / 16	0 / 4	
(Confidence Interval)	(31.6–59.6)				
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births [b,c]	50.0	4 / 14	5 / 14	0 / 3	
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	60.0	4 / 13	5 / 14	0 / 2	
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births [b]	32.5	3 / 13	1 / 14	0 / 2	
Percentage of cancellations [b]	9.4	3 / 17	2 / 16	1 / 4	
Average number of embryos transferred	1.9	2.0	2.4	3.0	
Percentage of pregnancies with twins [b]	42.9	2 / 7	4 / 6		
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more [b]	3.6	0 / 7	0 / 6		
Percentage of live births having multiple infants [b,c]	45.8	1 / 4	4 / 5		
Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of transfers	15	11	6	3	0
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	8 / 15	2 / 11	1 / 6	0 / 3	
Average number of embryos transferred	1.9	2.3	1.8	2.7	

Donor Eggs	All Ages Combined [e] Fresh Embryos	Frozen Embryos
Number of transfers	0	2
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]		1 / 2
Average number of embryos transferred		2.5

CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

Current Name: NTC Infertility Clinic

Donor egg?	No	Gestational carriers?	No	SART member?	No
Donor embryo?	No	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	Yes
Single women?	Yes			*(See Appendix C for details.)*	

[a] Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2009 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.
[b] When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.
[c] A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.
[d] Clinic-specific outcome rates for women older than 44 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs are not included because of small numbers. Readers are urged to review national outcomes for these age groups (see pages 30, 48 & 57).
[e] All ages (including ages >44) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.

SAN DIEGO FERTILITY CENTER (SDFC) SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 81–90.

2009 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART [a]

IVF	100%	**Procedural Factors:**	
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	93%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	0%
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	<1%
		Used PGD	2%
		With eSET	3%

Patient Diagnosis

Tubal factor	4%	Other factor	<1%
Ovulatory dysfunction	4%	Unknown factor	3%
Diminished ovarian reserve	13%	*Multiple Factors:*	
Endometriosis	<1%	Female factors only	7%
Uterine factor	2%	Female & male factors	39%
Male factor	28%		

2009 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by William P. Hummel, MD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman <35	35–37	38–40	41–42	43–44 [d]
Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of cycles	80	41	61	23	6
Percentage of embryos transferred resulting in implantation [b]	42.9	28.3	18.2	6.3	0 / 14
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies [b]	55.0	53.7	36.1	17.4	1 / 6
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births [b,c]	51.3	41.5	32.8	13.0	0 / 6
(Confidence Interval)	(39.8–62.6)	(26.3–57.9)	(21.3–46.0)	(2.8–33.6)	
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births [b,c]	53.9	43.6	35.7	14.3	0 / 5
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	53.9	43.6	37.0	14.3	0 / 5
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births [b]	27.6	35.9	29.6	14.3	0 / 5
Percentage of cancellations [b]	5.0	4.9	8.2	8.7	1 / 6
Average number of embryos transferred	2.1	2.4	2.7	3.0	2.8
Percentage of pregnancies with twins [b]	45.5	22.7	22.7	0 / 4	0 / 1
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more [b]	6.8	0.0	0.0	0 / 4	0 / 1
Percentage of live births having multiple infants [b,c]	48.8	3 / 17	20.0	0 / 3	
Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of transfers	32	16	14	5	0
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	56.3	8 / 16	3 / 14	3 / 5	
Average number of embryos transferred	2.3	2.3	2.4	2.2	

Donor Eggs	All Ages Combined [e] Fresh Embryos	Frozen Embryos
Number of transfers	67	29
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	85.1	69.0
Average number of embryos transferred	2.0	2.3

CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

Current Name: San Diego Fertility Center, (SDFC)

Donor egg?	Yes	Gestational carriers?	Yes	SART member?	Yes
Donor embryo?	Yes	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	Yes
Single women?	Yes			*(See Appendix C for details.)*	

[a] Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2009 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.
[b] When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.
[c] A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.
[d] Clinic-specific outcome rates for women older than 44 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs are not included because of small numbers. Readers are urged to review national outcomes for these age groups (see pages 30, 48 & 57).
[e] All ages (including ages >44) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.

LAUREL FERTILITY CARE
SAN FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA

A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 81–90.

2009 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART [a]

IVF	100%	**Procedural Factors:**	
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	88%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	<1%
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	3%
		Used PGD	3%
		With eSET	2%

Patient Diagnosis

Tubal factor	5%	Other factor	5%
Ovulatory dysfunction	10%	Unknown factor	15%
Diminished ovarian reserve	23%	*Multiple Factors:*	
Endometriosis	0%	Female factors only	11%
Uterine factor	2%	Female & male factors	17%
Male factor	12%		

2009 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by Collin B. Smikle, MD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman <35	35–37	38–40	41–42	43–44 [d]
Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of cycles	36	18	29	15	12
Percentage of embryos transferred resulting in implantation [b]	29.4	26.4	14.1	10.3	4.2
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies [b]	38.9	9 / 18	27.6	3 / 15	1 / 12
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births [b,c]	36.1	6 / 18	24.1	1 / 15	1 / 12
(Confidence Interval)	(20.8–53.8)		(10.3–43.5)		
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births [b,c]	37.1	6 / 18	29.2	1 / 14	1 / 10
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	43.3	6 / 17	30.4	1 / 13	1 / 8
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births [b]	26.7	4 / 17	26.1	1 / 13	1 / 8
Percentage of cancellations [b]	2.8	0 / 18	17.2	1 / 15	2 / 12
Average number of embryos transferred	2.3	3.1	2.8	3.0	3.0
Percentage of pregnancies with twins [b]	6 / 14	3 / 9	1 / 8	1 / 3	0 / 1
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more [b]	0 / 14	1 / 9	0 / 8	0 / 3	0 / 1
Percentage of live births having multiple infants [b,c]	5 / 13	2 / 6	1 / 7	0 / 1	0 / 1
Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of transfers	11	4	6	7	1
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	4 / 11	1 / 4	2 / 6	2 / 7	1 / 1
Average number of embryos transferred	3.0	2.8	2.8	3.1	2.0

Donor Eggs	All Ages Combined [e] Fresh Embryos	Frozen Embryos
Number of transfers	18	3
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	7 / 18	1 / 3
Average number of embryos transferred	2.2	2.7

CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

Current Name: Laurel Fertility Care

Donor egg?	Yes	Gestational carriers?	Yes	SART member?	Yes
Donor embryo?	Yes	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	Yes
Single women?	Yes			*(See Appendix C for details.)*	

[a] Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2009 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.
[b] When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.
[c] A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.
[d] Clinic-specific outcome rates for women older than 44 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs are not included because of small numbers. Readers are urged to review national outcomes for these age groups (see pages 30, 48 & 57).
[e] All ages (including ages >44) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.

PACIFIC FERTILITY CENTER
SAN FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA

A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 81–90.

2009 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART [a]

IVF	100%	**Procedural Factors:**	
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	40%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	<1%
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	2%
		Used PGD	6%
		With eSET	13%

Patient Diagnosis

Tubal factor	3%	Other factor	15%
Ovulatory dysfunction	6%	Unknown factor	13%
Diminished ovarian reserve	26%	*Multiple Factors:*	
Endometriosis	4%	Female factors only	7%
Uterine factor	3%	Female & male factors	10%
Male factor	15%		

2009 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by Philip E. Chenette, MD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman <35	35–37	38–40	41–42	43–44 [d]
Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of cycles	185	166	199	109	45
Percentage of embryos transferred resulting in implantation [b]	31.8	23.6	11.6	7.3	2.8
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies [b]	41.1	36.1	24.1	14.7	11.1
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births [b,c]	35.7	28.9	17.6	9.2	2.2
(Confidence Interval)	(28.8–43.0)	(22.2–36.4)	(12.6–23.6)	(4.5–16.2)	(0.1–11.8)
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births [b,c]	38.6	33.1	20.0	11.5	2.6
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	40.7	35.6	22.2	12.5	3.0
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births [b]	32.7	25.2	17.1	8.8	3.0
Percentage of cancellations [b]	7.6	12.7	12.1	20.2	13.3
Average number of embryos transferred	1.8	2.3	3.1	3.3	3.2
Percentage of pregnancies with twins [b]	22.4	21.7	16.7	2 / 16	0 / 5
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more [b]	2.6	3.3	4.2	1 / 16	0 / 5
Percentage of live births having multiple infants [b,c]	19.7	29.2	22.9	3 / 10	0 / 1
Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of transfers	84	60	46	24	10
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	38.1	28.3	23.9	12.5	2 / 10
Average number of embryos transferred	1.7	1.8	2.1	2.0	2.3

Donor Eggs	All Ages Combined [e] Fresh Embryos	Frozen Embryos
Number of transfers	165	145
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	57.0	29.0
Average number of embryos transferred	1.4	1.8

CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

Current Name: Pacific Fertility Center

Donor egg?	Yes	Gestational carriers?	Yes	SART member?	Yes
Donor embryo?	Yes	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	Yes
Single women?	Yes			*(See Appendix C for details.)*	

[a] Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2009 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.
[b] When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.
[c] A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.
[d] Clinic-specific outcome rates for women older than 44 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs are not included because of small numbers. Readers are urged to review national outcomes for these age groups (see pages 30, 48 & 57).
[e] All ages (including ages >44) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.

UCSF CENTER FOR REPRODUCTIVE HEALTH
SAN FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA

A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 81–90.

2009 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART [a]

IVF	100%	**Procedural Factors:**	
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	65%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	0%
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	2%
		Used PGD	2%
		With eSET	6%

Patient Diagnosis

Tubal factor	4%	Other factor	5%
Ovulatory dysfunction	2%	Unknown factor	28%
Diminished ovarian reserve	20%	*Multiple Factors:*	
Endometriosis	1%	Female factors only	6%
Uterine factor	2%	Female & male factors	13%
Male factor	19%		

2009 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by Marcelle I. Cedars, MD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman <35	35–37	38–40	41–42	43–44 [d]
Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of cycles	134	183	292	132	63
Percentage of embryos transferred resulting in implantation [b]	41.6	25.6	17.5	8.0	7.1
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies [b]	45.5	33.3	32.5	26.5	14.3
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births [b,c]	43.3	25.7	20.5	13.6	9.5
(Confidence Interval)	(34.8–52.1)	(19.5–32.6)	(16.1–25.6)	(8.3–20.7)	(3.6–19.6)
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births [b,c]	47.5	30.3	26.4	17.5	13.0
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	53.2	33.1	28.7	19.4	14.3
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births [b]	33.9	23.9	21.1	16.1	11.9
Percentage of cancellations [b]	9.0	15.3	22.3	22.0	27.0
Average number of embryos transferred	1.9	2.1	2.7	3.5	3.7
Percentage of pregnancies with twins [b]	36.1	26.2	17.9	5.7	1 / 9
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more [b]	1.6	3.3	1.1	2.9	1 / 9
Percentage of live births having multiple infants [b,c]	36.2	27.7	26.7	3 / 18	1 / 6
Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of transfers	60	66	72	25	6
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	38.3	33.3	33.3	24.0	0 / 6
Average number of embryos transferred	2.0	2.1	2.5	3.3	3.8

	All Ages Combined [e]	
Donor Eggs	**Fresh Embryos**	**Frozen Embryos**
Number of transfers	79	75
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	63.3	33.3
Average number of embryos transferred	1.8	2.1

CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

Current Name: This clinic has closed or reorganized since 2009. Information on current clinic services and profile therefore is not provided here. Contact the NASS Help Desk for current information about this clinic.

[a] Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2009 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.
[b] When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.
[c] A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.
[d] Clinic-specific outcome rates for women older than 44 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs are not included because of small numbers. Readers are urged to review national outcomes for these age groups (see pages 30, 48 & 57).
[e] All ages (including ages >44) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.

FERTILITY PHYSICIANS OF NORTHERN CALIFORNIA
SAN JOSE, CALIFORNIA

A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 81–90.

2009 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART [a]

IVF	100%	**Procedural Factors:**	
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	68%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	0%
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	<1%
		Used PGD	1%
		With eSET	5%

Patient Diagnosis

Tubal factor	3%	Other factor	4%
Ovulatory dysfunction	5%	Unknown factor	4%
Diminished ovarian reserve	20%	*Multiple Factors:*	
Endometriosis	2%	Female factors only	11%
Uterine factor	<1%	Female & male factors	30%
Male factor	21%		

2009 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by G. David Adamson, MD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman <35	35–37	38–40	41–42	43–44 [d]
Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of cycles	148	93	112	35	16
Percentage of embryos transferred resulting in implantation [b]	27.2	19.6	9.5	8.6	2.0
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies [b]	39.9	31.2	22.3	28.6	2 / 16
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births [b,c]	35.1	25.8	17.0	17.1	0 / 16
(Confidence Interval)	(27.5–43.4)	(17.3–35.9)	(10.5–25.2)	(6.6–33.6)	
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births [b,c]	37.1	28.9	18.4	19.4	0 / 16
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	38.2	30.8	19.4	20.7	0 / 15
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births [b]	27.2	24.4	15.3	20.7	0 / 15
Percentage of cancellations [b]	5.4	10.8	8.0	11.4	0 / 16
Average number of embryos transferred	1.9	2.1	2.7	3.6	3.3
Percentage of pregnancies with twins [b]	28.8	13.8	12.0	0 / 10	0 / 2
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more [b]	0.0	3.4	4.0	0 / 10	0 / 2
Percentage of live births having multiple infants [b,c]	28.8	20.8	4 / 19	0 / 6	
Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of transfers	54	28	23	9	2
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	22.2	21.4	17.4	1 / 9	1 / 2
Average number of embryos transferred	1.9	2.1	2.3	1.9	2.0

Donor Eggs	All Ages Combined [e] Fresh Embryos	Frozen Embryos
Number of transfers	42	27
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	54.8	25.9
Average number of embryos transferred	1.9	2.2

CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

Current Name: Fertility Physicians of Northern California

Donor egg?	Yes	Gestational carriers?	Yes	SART member?	Yes
Donor embryo?	Yes	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	Yes
Single women?	Yes			*(See Appendix C for details.)*	

[a] Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2009 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.
[b] When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.
[c] A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.
[d] Clinic-specific outcome rates for women older than 44 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs are not included because of small numbers. Readers are urged to review national outcomes for these age groups (see pages 30, 48 & 57).
[e] All ages (including ages >44) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.

ALEX STEINLEITNER, MD
SAN LUIS OBISPO, CALIFORNIA

A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 81–90.

2009 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART [a]

IVF	100%	**Procedural Factors:**	
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	76%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	0%
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	1%
		Used PGD	1%
		With eSET	2%

Patient Diagnosis

Tubal factor	11%	Other factor	7%
Ovulatory dysfunction	<1%	Unknown factor	11%
Diminished ovarian reserve	8%	*Multiple Factors:*	
Endometriosis	8%	Female factors only	2%
Uterine factor	0%	Female & male factors	11%
Male factor	43%		

2009 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by Alex J. Steinleitner, MD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman <35	35–37	38–40	41–42	43–44 [d]
Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of cycles	33	8	15	8	6
Percentage of embryos transferred resulting in implantation [b]	56.3	5 / 13	24.0	0 / 17	1 / 14
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies [b]	54.5	4 / 8	5 / 15	0 / 8	1 / 6
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births [b,c]	48.5	2 / 8	4 / 15	0 / 8	0 / 6
(Confidence Interval)	(30.8–66.5)				
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births [b,c]	51.6	2 / 7	4 / 13	0 / 8	0 / 4
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	64.0	2 / 7	4 / 13	0 / 6	0 / 4
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births [b]	24.0	1 / 7	3 / 13	0 / 6	0 / 4
Percentage of cancellations [b]	6.1	1 / 8	2 / 15	0 / 8	2 / 6
Average number of embryos transferred	1.9	1.9	1.9	2.8	3.5
Percentage of pregnancies with twins [b]	10 / 18	1 / 4	1 / 5		0 / 1
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more [b]	0 / 18	0 / 4	0 / 5		0 / 1
Percentage of live births having multiple infants [b,c]	10 / 16	1 / 2	1 / 4		
Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of transfers	7	7	2	0	0
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	1 / 7	2 / 7	0 / 2		
Average number of embryos transferred	1.7	1.9	1.5		

Donor Eggs	All Ages Combined [e] Fresh Embryos	Frozen Embryos
Number of transfers	9	5
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	4 / 9	2 / 5
Average number of embryos transferred	2.0	2.0

CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

Current Name: Alex Steinleitner, MD

Donor egg?	Yes	Gestational carriers?	Yes	SART member?	Yes
Donor embryo?	Yes	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	Yes
Single women?	Yes			*(See Appendix C for details.)*	

[a] Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2009 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.
[b] When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.
[c] A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.
[d] Clinic-specific outcome rates for women older than 44 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs are not included because of small numbers. Readers are urged to review national outcomes for these age groups (see pages 30, 48 & 57).
[e] All ages (including ages >44) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.

REPRODUCTIVE SCIENCE CENTER OF THE SAN FRANCISCO BAY AREA
SAN RAMON, CALIFORNIA

A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 81–90.

2009 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART [a]

IVF	100%	**Procedural Factors:**	
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	46%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	0%
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	<1%
		Used PGD	4%
		With eSET	7%

Patient Diagnosis

Tubal factor	8%	Other factor	5%
Ovulatory dysfunction	7%	Unknown factor	16%
Diminished ovarian reserve	15%	*Multiple Factors:*	
Endometriosis	5%	Female factors only	12%
Uterine factor	2%	Female & male factors	15%
Male factor	15%		

2009 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by Louis N. Weckstein, MD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman <35	35–37	38–40	41–42	43–44 [d]
Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of cycles	241	161	156	58	30
Percentage of embryos transferred resulting in implantation [b]	35.6	24.0	16.3	15.1	4.6
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies [b]	44.4	36.0	22.4	32.8	13.3
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births [b,c]	38.6	27.3	17.9	19.0	0.0
(Confidence Interval)	(32.4–45.1)	(20.6–34.9)	(12.3–24.9)	(9.9–31.4)	(0.0–11.6)
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births [b,c]	41.7	32.1	21.7	27.5	0 / 18
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	42.7	33.8	23.7	27.5	0 / 17
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births [b]	26.6	25.4	17.8	25.0	0 / 17
Percentage of cancellations [b]	7.5	14.9	17.3	31.0	40.0
Average number of embryos transferred	1.9	2.2	2.3	3.2	3.8
Percentage of pregnancies with twins [b]	38.3	19.0	34.3	1 / 19	0 / 4
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more [b]	1.9	5.2	0.0	1 / 19	0 / 4
Percentage of live births having multiple infants [b,c]	37.6	25.0	25.0	1 / 11	
Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of transfers	100	68	58	23	9
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	45.0	27.9	29.3	34.8	2 / 9
Average number of embryos transferred	1.9	1.9	1.8	1.9	1.8

Donor Eggs	All Ages Combined [e] Fresh Embryos	Frozen Embryos
Number of transfers	65	57
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	60.0	36.8
Average number of embryos transferred	1.9	1.8

CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

Current Name: Reproductive Science Center of the San Francisco Bay Area

Donor egg?	Yes	Gestational carriers?	Yes	SART member?	Yes
Donor embryo?	Yes	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	Yes
Single women?	Yes			*(See Appendix C for details.)*	

[a] Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2009 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.
[b] When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.
[c] A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.
[d] Clinic-specific outcome rates for women older than 44 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs are not included because of small numbers. Readers are urged to review national outcomes for these age groups (see pages 30, 48 & 57).
[e] All ages (including ages >44) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.

SANTA BARBARA FERTILITY CENTER
DR. RENÉ B. ALLEN
SANTA BARBARA, CALIFORNIA

A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 81–90.

2009 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART [a]

IVF	89%	**Procedural Factors:**	
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	89%
ZIFT	11%	Unstimulated	0%
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	0%
		Used PGD	0%
		With eSET	0%

Patient Diagnosis

Tubal factor	7%	Other factor	0%
Ovulatory dysfunction	3%	Unknown factor	17%
Diminished ovarian reserve	23%	*Multiple Factors:*	
Endometriosis	3%	Female factors only	13%
Uterine factor	0%	Female & male factors	33%
Male factor	0%		

2009 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by René B. Allen, MD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman <35	35–37	38–40	41–42	43–44[d]
Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of cycles	6	3	10	5	3
Percentage of embryos transferred resulting in implantation[b]	7 / 14	3 / 9	6.5	2 / 18	0 / 5
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies[b]	5 / 6	2 / 3	2 / 10	1 / 5	0 / 3
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births[b,c] (Confidence Interval)	5 / 6	2 / 3	2 / 10	1 / 5	0 / 3
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births[b,c]	5 / 6	2 / 3	2 / 10	1 / 4	0 / 2
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births[b,c]	5 / 6	2 / 3	2 / 10	1 / 4	0 / 2
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births[b]	3 / 6	1 / 3	2 / 10	0 / 4	0 / 2
Percentage of cancellations[b]	0 / 6	0 / 3	0 / 10	1 / 5	1 / 3
Average number of embryos transferred	2.3	3.0	3.1	4.5	2.5
Percentage of pregnancies with twins[b]	2 / 5	1 / 2	0 / 2	1 / 1	
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more[b]	0 / 5	0 / 2	0 / 2	0 / 1	
Percentage of live births having multiple infants[b,c]	2 / 5	1 / 2	0 / 2	1 / 1	
Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of transfers	0	1	1	0	0
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births[b,c]		0 / 1	1 / 1		
Average number of embryos transferred		2.0	5.0		

Donor Eggs	All Ages Combined[e] Fresh Embryos	Frozen Embryos
Number of transfers	1	0
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births[b,c]	0 / 1	
Average number of embryos transferred	2.0	

CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

Current Name: Santa Barbara Fertility Center, Dr. René B. Allen

Donor egg?	Yes	Gestational carriers?	Yes	SART member?	No
Donor embryo?	No	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	Yes
Single women?	Yes			*(See Appendix C for details.)*	

[a] Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2009 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.
[b] When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.
[c] A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.
[d] Clinic-specific outcome rates for women older than 44 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs are not included because of small numbers. Readers are urged to review national outcomes for these age groups (see pages 30, 48 & 57).
[e] All ages (including ages >44) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.

PARKER-ROSENMAN-RODI GYNECOLOGY AND INFERTILITY MEDICAL GROUP
SANTA MONICA, CALIFORNIA

A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 81–90.

2009 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART [a]

IVF	100%	**Procedural Factors:**	
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	81%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	0%
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	0%
		Used PGD	2%
		With eSET	14%

Patient Diagnosis

Tubal factor	1%	Other factor	4%
Ovulatory dysfunction	6%	Unknown factor	1%
Diminished ovarian reserve	35%	*Multiple Factors:*	
Endometriosis	0%	Female factors only	6%
Uterine factor	0%	Female & male factors	32%
Male factor	14%		

2009 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by Ingrid A. Rodi, MD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman <35	35–37	38–40	41–42	43–44 [d]
Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of cycles	9	12	15	9	3
Percentage of embryos transferred resulting in implantation [b]	5 / 12	28.0	36.8	12.5	0 / 10
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies [b]	5 / 9	5 / 12	7 / 15	3 / 9	0 / 3
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births [b,c] (Confidence Interval)	3 / 9	4 / 12	5 / 15	3 / 9	0 / 3
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births [b,c]	3 / 9	4 / 12	5 / 13	3 / 6	0 / 3
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	3 / 7	4 / 11	5 / 13	3 / 5	0 / 3
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births [b]	3 / 7	3 / 11	4 / 13	3 / 5	0 / 3
Percentage of cancellations [b]	0 / 9	0 / 12	2 / 15	3 / 9	0 / 3
Average number of embryos transferred	1.7	2.3	2.9	4.8	3.3
Percentage of pregnancies with twins [b]	0 / 5	1 / 5	4 / 7	0 / 3	
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more [b]	0 / 5	1 / 5	1 / 7	0 / 3	
Percentage of live births having multiple infants [b,c]	0 / 3	1 / 4	1 / 5	0 / 3	
Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of transfers	5	2	2	1	1
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	1 / 5	0 / 2	0 / 2	0 / 1	1 / 1
Average number of embryos transferred	1.8	1.5	1.5	3.0	4.0

Donor Eggs	All Ages Combined [e] Fresh Embryos	Frozen Embryos
Number of transfers	5	6
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	3 / 5	2 / 6
Average number of embryos transferred	1.8	2.3

CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

Current Name: Parker-Rosenman-Rodi Gynecology and Infertility Medical Group

Donor egg?	Yes	Gestational carriers?	Yes	SART member?	Yes
Donor embryo?	Yes	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	Yes
Single women?	Yes			*(See Appendix C for details.)*	

[a] Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2009 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.
[b] When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.
[c] A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.
[d] Clinic-specific outcome rates for women older than 44 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs are not included because of small numbers. Readers are urged to review national outcomes for these age groups (see pages 30, 48 & 57).
[e] All ages (including ages >44) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.

ADVANCED FERTILITY ASSOCIATES MEDICAL GROUP, INC.
SANTA ROSA, CALIFORNIA

A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 81–90.

2009 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART [a]

IVF	100%	**Procedural Factors:**	
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	77%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	0%
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	2%
		Used PGD	0%
		With eSET	0%

Patient Diagnosis

Tubal factor	8%	Other factor	9%
Ovulatory dysfunction	3%	Unknown factor	20%
Diminished ovarian reserve	19%	*Multiple Factors:*	
Endometriosis	3%	Female factors only	10%
Uterine factor	1%	Female & male factors	9%
Male factor	17%		

2009 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by Jennfer V. Ratcliffe, MD, PhD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman <35	35–37	38–40	41–42	43–44[d]
Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of cycles	41	27	31	25	8
Percentage of embryos transferred resulting in implantation [b]	31.3	37.1	9.2	7.7	3.2
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies [b]	48.8	63.0	41.9	36.0	2 / 8
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births [b,c]	48.8	51.9	25.8	20.0	1 / 8
(Confidence Interval)	(32.9–64.9)	(31.9–71.3)	(11.9–44.6)	(6.8–40.7)	
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births [b,c]	51.3	56.0	28.6	20.0	1 / 7
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	51.3	56.0	29.6	20.0	1 / 7
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births [b]	30.8	24.0	25.9	16.0	1 / 7
Percentage of cancellations [b]	4.9	7.4	9.7	0.0	1 / 8
Average number of embryos transferred	2.5	2.8	3.6	3.6	4.4
Percentage of pregnancies with twins [b]	30.0	5 / 17	1 / 13	1 / 9	0 / 2
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more [b]	10.0	3 / 17	0 / 13	0 / 9	0 / 2
Percentage of live births having multiple infants [b,c]	40.0	8 / 14	1 / 8	1 / 5	0 / 1
Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of transfers	20	14	6	9	2
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	35.0	2 / 14	2 / 6	2 / 9	1 / 2
Average number of embryos transferred	2.8	2.6	3.3	3.2	5.0

Donor Eggs	All Ages Combined [e] Fresh Embryos	Frozen Embryos
Number of transfers	19	23
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	7 / 19	21.7
Average number of embryos transferred	2.4	3.5

CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

Current Name: Advanced Fertility Associates Medical Group, Inc.

Donor egg?	Yes	Gestational carriers?	Yes	SART member?	Yes
Donor embryo?	Yes	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	Yes
Single women?	Yes			*(See Appendix C for details.)*	

[a] Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2009 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.
[b] When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.
[c] A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.
[d] Clinic-specific outcome rates for women older than 44 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs are not included because of small numbers. Readers are urged to review national outcomes for these age groups (see pages 30, 48 & 57).
[e] All ages (including ages >44) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.

VALLEY CENTER FOR REPRODUCTIVE HEALTH
TINA KOOPERSMITH, MD
SHERMAN OAKS, CALIFORNIA

A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 81–90.

2009 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART [a]

IVF	100%	**Procedural Factors:**	
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	44%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	0%
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	0%
		Used PGD	7%
		With eSET	0%

Patient Diagnosis

Tubal factor	13%	Other factor	9%
Ovulatory dysfunction	3%	Unknown factor	4%
Diminished ovarian reserve	9%	*Multiple Factors:*	
Endometriosis	8%	Female factors only	20%
Uterine factor	1%	Female & male factors	22%
Male factor	13%		

2009 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by Tina B. Koopersmith, MD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman <35	35–37	38–40	41–42	43–44 [d]
Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of cycles	12	14	18	6	4
Percentage of embryos transferred resulting in implantation [b]	50.0	26.7	19.5	0 / 13	1 / 14
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies [b]	9 / 12	5 / 14	6 / 18	0 / 6	1 / 4
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births [b,c] (Confidence Interval)	7 / 12	4 / 14	5 / 18	0 / 6	1 / 4
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births [b,c]	7 / 11	4 / 12	5 / 16	0 / 4	1 / 4
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	7 / 11	4 / 12	5 / 14	0 / 3	1 / 4
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births [b]	5 / 11	2 / 12	3 / 14	0 / 3	1 / 4
Percentage of cancellations [b]	1 / 12	2 / 14	2 / 18	2 / 6	0 / 4
Average number of embryos transferred	2.4	2.5	2.9	4.3	3.5
Percentage of pregnancies with twins [b]	3 / 9	3 / 5	3 / 6		0 / 1
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more [b]	1 / 9	0 / 5	0 / 6		0 / 1
Percentage of live births having multiple infants [b,c]	2 / 7	2 / 4	2 / 5		0 / 1
Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of transfers	4	1	6	1	0
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	0 / 4	0 / 1	0 / 6	0 / 1	
Average number of embryos transferred	2.3	2.0	2.5	4.0	

Donor Eggs	All Ages Combined [e] Fresh Embryos	Frozen Embryos
Number of transfers	4	6
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	1 / 4	0 / 6
Average number of embryos transferred	2.3	2.5

CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

Current Name: Valley Center for Reproductive Health, Tina Koopersmith, MD

Donor egg?	Yes	Gestational carriers?	Yes	SART member?	Yes
Donor embryo?	Yes	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	Yes
Single women?	Yes			*(See Appendix C for details.)*	

[a] Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2009 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.
[b] When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.
[c] A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.
[d] Clinic-specific outcome rates for women older than 44 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs are not included because of small numbers. Readers are urged to review national outcomes for these age groups (see pages 30, 48 & 57).
[e] All ages (including ages >44) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.

THE CENTER FOR FERTILITY AND GYNECOLOGY
VERMESH CENTER FOR FERTILITY
TARZANA, CALIFORNIA

A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 81–90.

2009 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART [a]

IVF	90%	**Procedural Factors:**	
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	86%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	3%
Combination	10%	Used gestational carrier	2%
		Used PGD	7%
		With eSET	0%

Patient Diagnosis

Tubal factor	6%	Other factor	11%
Ovulatory dysfunction	0%	Unknown factor	15%
Diminished ovarian reserve	29%	*Multiple Factors:*	
Endometriosis	0%	Female factors only	11%
Uterine factor	2%	Female & male factors	13%
Male factor	14%		

2009 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by Michael Vermesh, MD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman <35	35–37	38–40	41–42	43–44 [d]
Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of cycles	38	26	44	35	27
Percentage of embryos transferred resulting in implantation [b]	34.0	15.2	12.7	9.0	3.0
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies [b]	50.0	46.2	40.9	34.3	14.8
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births [b,c]	42.1	30.8	34.1	14.3	3.7
(Confidence Interval)	(26.3–59.2)	(14.3–51.8)	(20.5–49.9)	(4.8–30.3)	(0.1–19.0)
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births [b,c]	43.2	32.0	34.9	14.3	3.7
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	43.2	32.0	34.9	14.3	3.7
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births [b]	18.9	28.0	32.6	11.4	3.7
Percentage of cancellations [b]	2.6	3.8	2.3	0.0	0.0
Average number of embryos transferred	2.5	3.2	3.7	3.8	3.7
Percentage of pregnancies with twins [b]	9 / 19	1 / 12	3 / 18	2 / 12	0 / 4
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more [b]	2 / 19	0 / 12	0 / 18	0 / 12	0 / 4
Percentage of live births having multiple infants [b,c]	9 / 16	1 / 8	1 / 15	1 / 5	0 / 1
Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of transfers	16	7	10	2	2
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	7 / 16	3 / 7	2 / 10	0 / 2	0 / 2
Average number of embryos transferred	2.6	2.9	3.1	3.5	2.5

Donor Eggs	All Ages Combined [e] Fresh Embryos	Frozen Embryos
Number of transfers	25	8
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	60.0	4 / 8
Average number of embryos transferred	2.5	2.6

CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

Current Name: The Center for Fertility and Gynecology, Vermesh Center for Fertility

Donor egg?	Yes	Gestational carriers?	Yes	SART member?	Yes
Donor embryo?	Yes	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	Yes
Single women?	Yes			*(See Appendix C for details.)*	

[a] Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2009 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.
[b] When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.
[c] A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.
[d] Clinic-specific outcome rates for women older than 44 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs are not included because of small numbers. Readers are urged to review national outcomes for these age groups (see pages 30, 48 & 57).
[e] All ages (including ages >44) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.

TREE OF LIFE CENTER FOR FERTILITY
SNUNIT BEN-OZER, MD, FACOG
TARZANA, CALIFORNIA

A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 81–90.

2009 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART [a]

IVF	100%	**Procedural Factors:**	
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	59%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	0%
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	3%
		Used PGD	0%
		With eSET	0%

Patient Diagnosis

Tubal factor	0%	Other factor	6%
Ovulatory dysfunction	4%	Unknown factor	10%
Diminished ovarian reserve	24%	*Multiple Factors:*	
Endometriosis	0%	Female factors only	26%
Uterine factor	0%	Female & male factors	26%
Male factor	4%		

2009 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by Snunit Ben-Ozer, MD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman <35	35–37	38–40	41–42	43–44 [d]
Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of cycles	7	5	4	5	10
Percentage of embryos transferred resulting in implantation [b]	6 / 12	4 / 8	3 / 8	0.0	3.4
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies [b]	4 / 7	4 / 5	2 / 4	1 / 5	1 / 10
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births [b,c] (Confidence Interval)	3 / 7	3 / 5	2 / 4	0 / 5	1 / 10
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births [b,c]	3 / 7	3 / 5	2 / 4	0 / 5	1 / 10
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	3 / 6	3 / 5	2 / 4	0 / 5	1 / 9
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births [b]	1 / 6	3 / 5	1 / 4	0 / 5	1 / 9
Percentage of cancellations [b]	0 / 7	0 / 5	0 / 4	0 / 5	0 / 10
Average number of embryos transferred	2.0	1.6	2.0	4.2	3.2
Percentage of pregnancies with twins [b]	2 / 4	0 / 4	1 / 2	0 / 1	0 / 1
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more [b]	0 / 4	0 / 4	0 / 2	0 / 1	0 / 1
Percentage of live births having multiple infants [b,c]	2 / 3	0 / 3	1 / 2		0 / 1
Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of transfers	3	0	4	0	1
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	2 / 3		1 / 4		0 / 1
Average number of embryos transferred	2.0		1.5		2.0

Donor Eggs	All Ages Combined [e] Fresh Embryos	Frozen Embryos
Number of transfers	3	7
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	3 / 3	3 / 7
Average number of embryos transferred	2.0	1.7

CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

Current Name: Tree of Life Center for Fertility, Snunit Ben-Ozer, MD, FACOG

Donor egg?	Yes	Gestational carriers?	Yes	SART member?	Yes
Donor embryo?	Yes	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	Yes
Single women?	Yes			*(See Appendix C for details.)*	

[a] Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2009 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.
[b] When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.
[c] A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.
[d] Clinic-specific outcome rates for women older than 44 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs are not included because of small numbers. Readers are urged to review national outcomes for these age groups (see pages 30, 48 & 57).
[e] All ages (including ages >44) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.

FERTILITY AND SURGICAL ASSOCIATES OF CALIFORNIA
THOUSAND OAKS, CALIFORNIA

A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 81–90.

2009 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART [a]

IVF	100%	**Procedural Factors:**	
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	82%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	2%
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	2%
		Used PGD	6%
		With eSET	2%

Patient Diagnosis

Tubal factor	7%	Other factor	15%
Ovulatory dysfunction	3%	Unknown factor	4%
Diminished ovarian reserve	7%	*Multiple Factors:*	
Endometriosis	2%	Female factors only	25%
Uterine factor	2%	Female & male factors	22%
Male factor	13%		

2009 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by Gary Hubert, MD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman <35	35–37	38–40	41–42	43–44 [d]
Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of cycles	143	74	98	53	26
Percentage of embryos transferred resulting in implantation [b]	27.8	19.7	12.8	7.1	4.6
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies [b]	45.5	39.2	30.6	28.3	11.5
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births [b,c]	37.8	33.8	22.4	11.3	11.5
(Confidence Interval)	(29.8–46.2)	(23.2–45.7)	(14.6–32.0)	(4.3–23.0)	(2.4–30.2)
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births [b,c]	38.3	34.7	23.9	11.5	14.3
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	38.8	35.2	25.0	11.5	14.3
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births [b]	23.0	28.2	19.3	9.6	9.5
Percentage of cancellations [b]	1.4	2.7	6.1	1.9	19.2
Average number of embryos transferred	2.4	2.5	3.2	3.8	4.1
Percentage of pregnancies with twins [b]	35.4	20.7	20.0	1 / 15	1 / 3
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more [b]	6.2	3.4	6.7	1 / 15	0 / 3
Percentage of live births having multiple infants [b,c]	40.7	20.0	22.7	1 / 6	1 / 3
Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of transfers	70	36	24	11	1
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	52.9	22.2	29.2	1 / 11	1 / 1
Average number of embryos transferred	2.5	2.6	2.7	2.6	4.0

	All Ages Combined [e]	
Donor Eggs	**Fresh Embryos**	**Frozen Embryos**
Number of transfers	85	75
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	57.6	33.3
Average number of embryos transferred	2.1	2.5

CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

Current Name: Fertility and Surgical Associates of California

Donor egg?	Yes	Gestational carriers?	Yes	SART member?	Yes
Donor embryo?	Yes	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	Yes
Single women?	Yes			*(See Appendix C for details.)*	

[a] Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2009 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.
[b] When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.
[c] A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.
[d] Clinic-specific outcome rates for women older than 44 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs are not included because of small numbers. Readers are urged to review national outcomes for these age groups (see pages 30, 48 & 57).
[e] All ages (including ages >44) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.

PACIFIC REPRODUCTIVE CENTER
TORRANCE, CALIFORNIA

A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 81–90.

2009 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART [a]

IVF	100%	**Procedural Factors:**	
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	99%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	0%
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	0%
		Used PGD	7%
		With eSET	<1%

Patient Diagnosis

Tubal factor	10%	Other factor	17%
Ovulatory dysfunction	7%	Unknown factor	2%
Diminished ovarian reserve	10%	*Multiple Factors:*	
Endometriosis	3%	Female factors only	8%
Uterine factor	3%	Female & male factors	12%
Male factor	28%		

2009 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by Rifaat Salem, MD, PhD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman <35	35–37	38–40	41–42	43–44[d]
Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of cycles	158	74	83	28	22
Percentage of embryos transferred resulting in implantation[b]	34.6	23.8	15.0	7.4	2.9
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies[b]	61.4	48.6	41.0	25.0	9.1
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births[b,c]	54.4	35.1	24.1	17.9	4.5
(Confidence Interval)	(46.3–62.4)	(24.4–47.1)	(15.4–34.7)	(6.1–36.9)	(0.1–22.8)
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births[b,c]	54.8	35.6	24.4	18.5	4.5
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births[b,c]	54.8	35.6	24.7	18.5	4.5
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births[b]	35.7	21.9	18.5	18.5	4.5
Percentage of cancellations[b]	0.6	1.4	1.2	3.6	0.0
Average number of embryos transferred	2.5	2.9	3.5	3.0	3.1
Percentage of pregnancies with twins[b]	33.0	33.3	14.7	0 / 7	0 / 2
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more[b]	5.2	5.6	8.8	0 / 7	0 / 2
Percentage of live births having multiple infants[b,c]	34.9	38.5	25.0	0 / 5	0 / 1
Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of transfers	12	3	3	1	2
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births[b,c]	3 / 12	2 / 3	1 / 3	1 / 1	1 / 2
Average number of embryos transferred	3.1	4.0	3.7	4.0	2.0

Donor Eggs	All Ages Combined[e] Fresh Embryos	Frozen Embryos
Number of transfers	32	2
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births[b,c]	53.1	0 / 2
Average number of embryos transferred	2.8	3.5

CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

Current Name: Pacific Reproductive Center

Donor egg?	Yes	Gestational carriers?	Yes	SART member?	Yes
Donor embryo?	No	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	Yes
Single women?	Yes			*(See Appendix C for details.)*	

[a] Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2009 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.
[b] When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.
[c] A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.
[d] Clinic-specific outcome rates for women older than 44 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs are not included because of small numbers. Readers are urged to review national outcomes for these age groups (see pages 30, 48 & 57).
[e] All ages (including ages >44) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.

UNIVERSITY FERTILITY CENTER
TORRANCE, CALIFORNIA

A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 81–90.

2009 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART [a]

IVF	100%	**Procedural Factors:**	
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	42%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	0%
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	<1%
		Used PGD	2%
		With eSET	0%

Patient Diagnosis

Tubal factor	27%	Other factor	17%
Ovulatory dysfunction	8%	Unknown factor	22%
Diminished ovarian reserve	2%	*Multiple Factors:*	
Endometriosis	<1%	Female factors only	3%
Uterine factor	<1%	Female & male factors	4%
Male factor	16%		

2009 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by Omid A. Khorram, MD, PhD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman <35	35–37	38–40	41–42	43–44 [d]
Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of cycles	54	24	34	15	6
Percentage of embryos transferred resulting in implantation [b]	17.7	18.5	11.0	1.8	0.0
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies [b]	35.2	33.3	26.5	1 / 15	0 / 6
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births [b,c]	29.6	29.2	14.7	1 / 15	0 / 6
(Confidence Interval)	(18.0–43.6)	(12.6–51.1)	(5.0–31.1)		
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births [b,c]	29.6	29.2	14.7	1 / 15	0 / 6
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	31.4	30.4	16.1	1 / 14	0 / 6
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births [b]	23.5	13.0	12.9	1 / 14	0 / 6
Percentage of cancellations [b]	0.0	0.0	0.0	0 / 15	0 / 6
Average number of embryos transferred	2.9	2.8	3.5	4.1	4.0
Percentage of pregnancies with twins [b]	3 / 19	4 / 8	3 / 9	0 / 1	
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more [b]	2 / 19	0 / 8	0 / 9	0 / 1	
Percentage of live births having multiple infants [b,c]	4 / 16	4 / 7	1 / 5	0 / 1	
Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of transfers	14	3	5	0	0
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	3 / 14	0 / 3	0 / 5		
Average number of embryos transferred	2.9	1.3	3.0		

Donor Eggs	All Ages Combined [e] Fresh Embryos	Frozen Embryos
Number of transfers	18	6
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	7 / 18	0 / 6
Average number of embryos transferred	2.7	4.0

CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

Current Name: University Fertility Center

Donor egg?	Yes	Gestational carriers?	Yes	SART member?	No
Donor embryo?	Yes	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	Yes
Single women?	Yes			*(See Appendix C for details.)*	

[a] Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2009 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.
[b] When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.
[c] A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.
[d] Clinic-specific outcome rates for women older than 44 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs are not included because of small numbers. Readers are urged to review national outcomes for these age groups (see pages 30, 48 & 57).
[e] All ages (including ages >44) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.

REPRODUCTIVE PARTNERS-WESTMINSTER
WESTMINSTER, CALIFORNIA

A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 81–90.

2009 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART [a]

IVF	100%	**Procedural Factors:**	
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	65%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	0%
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	1%
		Used PGD	0%
		With eSET	3%

Patient Diagnosis

Tubal factor	6%	Other factor	20%
Ovulatory dysfunction	4%	Unknown factor	15%
Diminished ovarian reserve	17%	*Multiple Factors:*	
Endometriosis	5%	Female factors only	5%
Uterine factor	4%	Female & male factors	7%
Male factor	17%		

2009 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by Bill Yee, MD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman <35	35–37	38–40	41–42	43–44 [d]
Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of cycles	45	33	41	30	8
Percentage of embryos transferred resulting in implantation [b]	33.0	27.0	19.4	10.3	3.8
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies [b]	44.4	45.5	26.8	16.7	1 / 8
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births [b,c]	35.6	36.4	24.4	13.3	1 / 8
(Confidence Interval)	(21.9–51.2)	(20.4–54.9)	(12.4–40.3)	(3.8–30.7)	
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births [b,c]	37.2	37.5	28.6	16.7	1 / 8
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	38.1	40.0	34.5	18.2	1 / 8
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births [b]	26.2	26.7	27.6	9.1	1 / 8
Percentage of cancellations [b]	4.4	3.0	14.6	20.0	0 / 8
Average number of embryos transferred	2.1	2.1	2.5	3.1	3.3
Percentage of pregnancies with twins [b]	40.0	4 / 15	3 / 11	2 / 5	0 / 1
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more [b]	5.0	0 / 15	0 / 11	0 / 5	0 / 1
Percentage of live births having multiple infants [b,c]	5 / 16	4 / 12	2 / 10	2 / 4	0 / 1
Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of transfers	15	28	14	4	1
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	4 / 15	25.0	2 / 14	1 / 4	0 / 1
Average number of embryos transferred	1.9	2.2	2.1	1.8	4.0

Donor Eggs	All Ages Combined [e] Fresh Embryos	Frozen Embryos
Number of transfers	7	15
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	4 / 7	7 / 15
Average number of embryos transferred	1.9	1.7

CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

Current Name: Reproductive Partners-Westminster

Donor egg?	Yes	Gestational carriers?	Yes	SART member?	Yes
Donor embryo?	Yes	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	Yes
Single women?	Yes			*(See Appendix C for details.)*	

[a] Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2009 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.
[b] When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.
[c] A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.
[d] Clinic-specific outcome rates for women older than 44 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs are not included because of small numbers. Readers are urged to review national outcomes for these age groups (see pages 30, 48 & 57).
[e] All ages (including ages >44) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.

ADVANCED REPRODUCTIVE MEDICINE
UNIVERSITY OF COLORADO
AURORA, COLORADO

A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 81–90.

2009 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART [a]

IVF	100%	**Procedural Factors:**	
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	54%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	0%
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	1%
		Used PGD	3%
		With eSET	0%

Patient Diagnosis

Tubal factor	8%	Other factor	5%
Ovulatory dysfunction	4%	Unknown factor	9%
Diminished ovarian reserve	20%	*Multiple Factors:*	
Endometriosis	0%	Female factors only	12%
Uterine factor	<1%	Female & male factors	23%
Male factor	20%		

2009 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by Ruben J. Alvero, MD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman <35	35–37	38–40	41–42	43–44 [d]
Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of cycles	43	23	10	4	0
Percentage of embryos transferred resulting in implantation [b]	25.6	34.0	25.0	3 / 9	
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies [b]	44.2	52.2	5 / 10	1 / 4	
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births [b,c]	41.9	47.8	4 / 10	1 / 4	
(Confidence Interval)	(27.0–57.9)	(26.8–69.4)			
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births [b,c]	46.2	55.0	4 / 10	1 / 2	
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	46.2	11 / 19	4 / 10	1 / 2	
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births [b]	38.5	9 / 19	3 / 10	0 / 2	
Percentage of cancellations [b]	9.3	13.0	0 / 10	2 / 4	
Average number of embryos transferred	2.3	2.6	2.4	4.5	
Percentage of pregnancies with twins [b]	3 / 19	3 / 12	1 / 5	0 / 1	
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more [b]	1 / 19	1 / 12	0 / 5	1 / 1	
Percentage of live births having multiple infants [b,c]	3 / 18	2 / 11	1 / 4	1 / 1	
Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of transfers	11	7	3	0	0
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	5 / 11	2 / 7	1 / 3		
Average number of embryos transferred	1.7	1.6	2.0		

Donor Eggs	All Ages Combined [e] Fresh Embryos	Frozen Embryos
Number of transfers	20	14
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	80.0	4 / 14
Average number of embryos transferred	2.1	2.2

CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

Current Name: Advanced Reproductive Medicine, University of Colorado

Donor egg?	Yes	Gestational carriers?	Yes	SART member?	Yes
Donor embryo?	Yes	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	Yes
Single women?	Yes			*(See Appendix C for details.)*	

[a] Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2009 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.
[b] When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.
[c] A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.
[d] Clinic-specific outcome rates for women older than 44 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs are not included because of small numbers. Readers are urged to review national outcomes for these age groups (see pages 30, 48 & 57).
[e] All ages (including ages >44) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.

REPRODUCTIVE MEDICINE & FERTILITY CENTER
COLORADO SPRINGS, COLORADO

A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 81–90.

2009 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART [a]

IVF	100%	**Procedural Factors:**	
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	91%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	0%
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	0%
		Used PGD	3%
		With eSET	1%

Patient Diagnosis

Tubal factor	2%	Other factor	4%
Ovulatory dysfunction	5%	Unknown factor	<1%
Diminished ovarian reserve	4%	*Multiple Factors:*	
Endometriosis	<1%	Female factors only	1%
Uterine factor	0%	Female & male factors	54%
Male factor	27%		

2009 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by Paul Magarelli, MD, PhD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman <35	35–37	38–40	41–42	43–44 [d]
Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of cycles	88	31	15	4	1
Percentage of embryos transferred resulting in implantation [b]	27.0	30.4	6.9	1 / 4	
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies [b]	28.4	32.3	2 / 15	1 / 4	0 / 1
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births [b,c]	25.0	25.8	1 / 15	0 / 4	0 / 1
(Confidence Interval)	(16.4–35.4)	(11.9–44.6)			
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births [b,c]	26.5	27.6	1 / 15	0 / 4	0 / 1
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	37.9	8 / 19	1 / 12	0 / 2	
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births [b]	20.7	4 / 19	1 / 12	0 / 2	
Percentage of cancellations [b]	5.7	6.5	0 / 15	0 / 4	0 / 1
Average number of embryos transferred	2.4	2.9	2.4	2.0	
Percentage of pregnancies with twins [b]	44.0	2 / 10	0 / 2	0 / 1	
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more [b]	4.0	3 / 10	0 / 2	0 / 1	
Percentage of live births having multiple infants [b,c]	45.5	4 / 8	0 / 1		
Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of transfers	23	12	1	1	0
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	26.1	2 / 12	1 / 1	0 / 1	
Average number of embryos transferred	2.8	3.1	4.0	1.0	

Donor Eggs	All Ages Combined [e] Fresh Embryos	Frozen Embryos
Number of transfers	14	7
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	7 / 14	1 / 7
Average number of embryos transferred	2.5	2.1

CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

Current Name: Reproductive Medicine & Fertility Center

Donor egg?	Yes	Gestational carriers?	Yes	SART member?	No
Donor embryo?	Yes	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	Yes
Single women?	Yes			*(See Appendix C for details.)*	

[a] Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2009 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.
[b] When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.
[c] A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.
[d] Clinic-specific outcome rates for women older than 44 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs are not included because of small numbers. Readers are urged to review national outcomes for these age groups (see pages 30, 48 & 57).
[e] All ages (including ages >44) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.

ERIC H. SILVERSTEIN, MD, PROFESSIONAL LLC DBA THE FERTILITY CENTER OF COLORADO COLORADO SPRINGS, COLORADO

A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 81–90.

2009 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART [a]

IVF	100%	**Procedural Factors:**	
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	89%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	0%
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	0%
		Used PGD	2%
		With eSET	17%

Patient Diagnosis

Tubal factor	4%	Other factor	1%
Ovulatory dysfunction	6%	Unknown factor	8%
Diminished ovarian reserve	10%	*Multiple Factors:*	
Endometriosis	9%	Female factors only	17%
Uterine factor	0%	Female & male factors	28%
Male factor	17%		

2009 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by Eric H. Silverstein, MD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman <35	35–37	38–40	41–42	43–44 [d]
Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of cycles	35	11	4	4	0
Percentage of embryos transferred resulting in implantation [b]	31.7	14.3	2 / 8	1 / 7	
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies [b]	48.6	4 / 11	2 / 4	1 / 4	
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births [b,c]	40.0	1 / 11	2 / 4	1 / 4	
(Confidence Interval)	(23.9–57.9)				
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births [b,c]	41.2	1 / 11	2 / 4	1 / 4	
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	41.2	1 / 10	2 / 3	1 / 4	
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births [b]	35.3	1 / 10	2 / 3	1 / 4	
Percentage of cancellations [b]	2.9	0 / 11	0 / 4	0 / 4	
Average number of embryos transferred	1.8	2.1	2.7	1.8	
Percentage of pregnancies with twins [b]	2 / 17	0 / 4	0 / 2	0 / 1	
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more [b]	0 / 17	0 / 4	0 / 2	0 / 1	
Percentage of live births having multiple infants [b,c]	2 / 14	0 / 1	0 / 2	0 / 1	
Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of transfers	6	2	6	1	0
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	2 / 6	1 / 2	0 / 6	0 / 1	
Average number of embryos transferred	2.3	3.0	1.5	2.0	

Donor Eggs	All Ages Combined [e] Fresh Embryos	Frozen Embryos
Number of transfers	7	2
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	6 / 7	0 / 2
Average number of embryos transferred	1.7	3.0

CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

Current Name: Eric H. Silverstein, MD, Professional LLC dba, The Fertility Center of Colorado

Donor egg?	Yes	Gestational carriers?	No	SART member?	Yes
Donor embryo?	No	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	Yes
Single women?	Yes			*(See Appendix C for details.)*	

[a] Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2009 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.
[b] When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.
[c] A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.
[d] Clinic-specific outcome rates for women older than 44 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs are not included because of small numbers. Readers are urged to review national outcomes for these age groups (see pages 30, 48 & 57).
[e] All ages (including ages >44) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.

COLORADO REPRODUCTIVE ENDOCRINOLOGY
DENVER, COLORADO

A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 81–90.

2009 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART [a]

IVF	100%	**Procedural Factors:**	
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	39%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	0%
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	1%
		Used PGD	2%
		With eSET	2%

Patient Diagnosis

Tubal factor	9%	Other factor	3%
Ovulatory dysfunction	19%	Unknown factor	12%
Diminished ovarian reserve	26%	*Multiple Factors:*	
Endometriosis	2%	Female factors only	9%
Uterine factor	3%	Female & male factors	8%
Male factor	9%		

2009 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by Susan W. Trout, MD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman <35	35–37	38–40	41–42	43–44[d]
Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of cycles	40	17	25	8	2
Percentage of embryos transferred resulting in implantation[b]	36.7	8.7	6.8	0 / 7	
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies[b]	40.0	2 / 17	12.0	0 / 8	0 / 2
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births[b,c]	32.5	1 / 17	8.0	0 / 8	0 / 2
(Confidence Interval)	(18.6–49.1)		(1.0–26.0)		
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births[b,c]	36.1	1 / 14	2 / 19	0 / 6	
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births[b,c]	52.0	1 / 10	2 / 16	0 / 2	
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births[b]	40.0	1 / 10	2 / 16	0 / 2	
Percentage of cancellations[b]	10.0	3 / 17	24.0	2 / 8	2 / 2
Average number of embryos transferred	2.0	2.3	2.8	3.5	
Percentage of pregnancies with twins[b]	3 / 16	0 / 2	1 / 3		
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more[b]	0 / 16	0 / 2	0 / 3		
Percentage of live births having multiple infants[b,c]	3 / 13	0 / 1	0 / 2		
Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of transfers	27	11	6	3	0
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births[b,c]	11.1	2 / 11	0 / 6	0 / 3	
Average number of embryos transferred	1.8	2.4	1.8	1.3	

Donor Eggs	All Ages Combined[e] Fresh Embryos	Frozen Embryos
Number of transfers	7	10
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births[b,c]	5 / 7	4 / 10
Average number of embryos transferred	2.0	2.4

CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

Current Name: Colorado Reproductive Endocrinology

Donor egg?	Yes	Gestational carriers?	Yes	SART member?	Yes
Donor embryo?	Yes	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	Yes
Single women?	Yes			*(See Appendix C for details.)*	

[a] Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2009 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.
[b] When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.
[c] A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.
[d] Clinic-specific outcome rates for women older than 44 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs are not included because of small numbers. Readers are urged to review national outcomes for these age groups (see pages 30, 48 & 57).
[e] All ages (including ages >44) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.

ROCKY MOUNTAIN CENTER FOR REPRODUCTIVE MEDICINE
FORT COLLINS, COLORADO

A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 81–90.

2009 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART [a]

IVF	100%	**Procedural Factors:**	
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	94%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	0%
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	0%
		Used PGD	0%
		With eSET	3%

Patient Diagnosis

Tubal factor	11%	Other factor	0%
Ovulatory dysfunction	6%	Unknown factor	10%
Diminished ovarian reserve	22%	*Multiple Factors:*	
Endometriosis	3%	Female factors only	6%
Uterine factor	3%	Female & male factors	19%
Male factor	19%		

2009 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by Kevin E. Bachus, MD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman <35	35–37	38–40	41–42	43–44 [d]
Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of cycles	14	10	6	2	0
Percentage of embryos transferred resulting in implantation [b]	48.3	32.0	6 / 16	1 / 8	
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies [b]	9 / 14	6 / 10	3 / 6	1 / 2	
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births [b,c] (Confidence Interval)	7 / 14	4 / 10	3 / 6	1 / 2	
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births [b,c]	7 / 14	4 / 10	3 / 6	1 / 2	
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	7 / 14	4 / 10	3 / 6	1 / 2	
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births [b]	4 / 14	4 / 10	1 / 6	1 / 2	
Percentage of cancellations [b]	0 / 14	0 / 10	0 / 6	0 / 2	
Average number of embryos transferred	2.1	2.5	2.7	4.0	
Percentage of pregnancies with twins [b]	5 / 9	2 / 6	3 / 3	0 / 1	
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more [b]	0 / 9	0 / 6	0 / 3	0 / 1	
Percentage of live births having multiple infants [b,c]	3 / 7	0 / 4	2 / 3	0 / 1	
Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of transfers	8	4	8	0	0
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	5 / 8	0 / 4	3 / 8		
Average number of embryos transferred	1.9	2.0	1.5		

Donor Eggs	All Ages Combined [e] Fresh Embryos	Frozen Embryos
Number of transfers	5	6
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	4 / 5	2 / 6
Average number of embryos transferred	2.0	2.2

CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

Current Name: Rocky Mountain Center for Reproductive Medicine

Donor egg?	Yes	Gestational carriers?	No	SART member?	Yes
Donor embryo?	No	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	Yes
Single women?	Yes			*(See Appendix C for details.)*	

[a] Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2009 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.
[b] When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.
[c] A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.
[d] Clinic-specific outcome rates for women older than 44 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs are not included because of small numbers. Readers are urged to review national outcomes for these age groups (see pages 30, 48 & 57).
[e] All ages (including ages >44) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.

CONCEPTIONS REPRODUCTIVE ASSOCIATES OF COLORADO
LITTLETON, COLORADO

A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 81–90.

2009 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART [a]

IVF	100%	**Procedural Factors:**	
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	86%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	0%
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	<1%
		Used PGD	11%
		With eSET	7%

Patient Diagnosis

Tubal factor	4%	Other factor	5%
Ovulatory dysfunction	5%	Unknown factor	13%
Diminished ovarian reserve	22%	*Multiple Factors:*	
Endometriosis	<1%	Female factors only	11%
Uterine factor	0%	Female & male factors	27%
Male factor	13%		

2009 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by Mark R. Bush, MD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman <35	35–37	38–40	41–42	43–44[d]
Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of cycles	124	60	65	27	14
Percentage of embryos transferred resulting in implantation[b]	47.8	26.9	29.5	16.4	17.4
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies[b]	69.4	41.7	43.1	33.3	5 / 14
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births[b,c]	54.0	31.7	35.4	22.2	1 / 14
(Confidence Interval)	(44.9–63.0)	(20.3–45.0)	(23.9–48.2)	(8.6–42.3)	
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births[b,c]	55.8	34.5	38.3	23.1	1 / 12
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births[b,c]	57.3	37.3	41.1	24.0	1 / 10
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births[b]	35.0	29.4	30.4	16.0	1 / 10
Percentage of cancellations[b]	3.2	8.3	7.7	3.7	2 / 14
Average number of embryos transferred	1.9	2.0	2.2	2.4	2.3
Percentage of pregnancies with twins[b]	32.6	20.0	21.4	3 / 9	0 / 5
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more[b]	2.3	4.0	7.1	0 / 9	0 / 5
Percentage of live births having multiple infants[b,c]	38.8	4 / 19	26.1	2 / 6	0 / 1
Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of transfers	22	20	16	6	1
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births[b,c]	31.8	35.0	4 / 16	1 / 6	0 / 1
Average number of embryos transferred	2.0	1.9	2.1	1.8	3.0

Donor Eggs	All Ages Combined[e] Fresh Embryos	Frozen Embryos
Number of transfers	38	27
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births[b,c]	55.3	22.2
Average number of embryos transferred	1.9	2.0

CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

Current Name: This clinic has closed or reorganized since 2009. Information on current clinic services and profile therefore is not provided here. Contact the NASS Help Desk for current information about this clinic.

[a] Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2009 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.
[b] When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.
[c] A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.
[d] Clinic-specific outcome rates for women older than 44 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs are not included because of small numbers. Readers are urged to review national outcomes for these age groups (see pages 30, 48 & 57).
[e] All ages (including ages >44) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.

COLORADO CENTER FOR REPRODUCTIVE MEDICINE
LONE TREE, COLORADO

A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 81–90.

2009 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART [a]

IVF	>99%	**Procedural Factors:**	
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	86%
ZIFT	<1%	Unstimulated	0%
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	1%
		Used PGD	1%
		With eSET	3%

Patient Diagnosis

Tubal factor	2%	Other factor	7%
Ovulatory dysfunction	4%	Unknown factor	12%
Diminished ovarian reserve	42%	*Multiple Factors:*	
Endometriosis	4%	Female factors only	7%
Uterine factor	<1%	Female & male factors	10%
Male factor	12%		

2009 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by William B. Schoolcraft, MD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman <35	35–37	38–40	41–42	43–44 [d]
Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of cycles	192	134	131	56	53
Percentage of embryos transferred resulting in implantation [b]	51.8	38.4	21.7	9.0	4.3
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies [b]	68.8	59.0	51.1	25.0	24.5
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births [b,c]	63.0	53.0	42.0	14.3	9.4
(Confidence Interval)	(55.8–69.9)	(44.2–61.7)	(33.4–50.9)	(6.4–26.2)	(3.1–20.7)
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births [b,c]	66.1	55.9	43.7	15.4	9.4
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	68.0	58.2	44.4	17.0	9.8
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births [b]	40.4	40.2	38.7	10.6	9.8
Percentage of cancellations [b]	4.7	5.2	3.8	7.1	0.0
Average number of embryos transferred	2.2	2.4	3.0	4.0	4.1
Percentage of pregnancies with twins [b]	41.7	35.4	16.4	3 / 14	0 / 13
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more [b]	6.1	5.1	6.0	0 / 14	0 / 13
Percentage of live births having multiple infants [b,c]	40.5	31.0	12.7	3 / 8	0 / 5
Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of transfers	109	106	134	57	26
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	63.3	60.4	46.3	38.6	19.2
Average number of embryos transferred	2.0	2.1	2.1	2.2	2.2

Donor Eggs	All Ages Combined [e] Fresh Embryos	Frozen Embryos
Number of transfers	204	93
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	70.6	53.8
Average number of embryos transferred	2.0	2.2

CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

Current Name: Colorado Center for Reproductive Medicine

Donor egg?	Yes	Gestational carriers?	Yes	SART member?	Yes
Donor embryo?	Yes	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	Yes
Single women?	Yes			*(See Appendix C for details.)*	

[a] Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2009 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.
[b] When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.
[c] A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.
[d] Clinic-specific outcome rates for women older than 44 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs are not included because of small numbers. Readers are urged to review national outcomes for these age groups (see pages 30, 48 & 57).
[e] All ages (including ages >44) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.

ROCKY MOUNTAIN FERTILITY CENTER, PC
PARKER, COLORADO

A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 81–90.

2009 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART [a]

IVF	100%	**Procedural Factors:**	
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	70%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	0%
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	0%
		Used PGD	0%
		With eSET	0%

Patient Diagnosis

Tubal factor	4%	Other factor	0%
Ovulatory dysfunction	4%	Unknown factor	0%
Diminished ovarian reserve	22%	*Multiple Factors:*	
Endometriosis	11%	Female factors only	15%
Uterine factor	0%	Female & male factors	26%
Male factor	19%		

2009 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by Deborah L. Smith, MD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman <35	35–37	38–40	41–42	43–44 [d]
Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of cycles	10	7	4	1	1
Percentage of embryos transferred resulting in implantation [b]	5 / 18	4 / 13	3 / 14		1 / 4
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies [b]	5 / 10	3 / 7	2 / 4	0 / 1	1 / 1
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births [b,c] (Confidence Interval)	4 / 10	3 / 7	2 / 4	0 / 1	0 / 1
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births [b,c]	4 / 9	3 / 6	2 / 4		0 / 1
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	4 / 8	3 / 5	2 / 4		0 / 1
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births [b]	4 / 8	2 / 5	1 / 4		0 / 1
Percentage of cancellations [b]	1 / 10	1 / 7	0 / 4	1 / 1	0 / 1
Average number of embryos transferred	2.3	2.6	3.5		4.0
Percentage of pregnancies with twins [b]	0 / 5	1 / 3	1 / 2		0 / 1
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more [b]	0 / 5	0 / 3	0 / 2		0 / 1
Percentage of live births having multiple infants [b,c]	0 / 4	1 / 3	1 / 2		
Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of transfers	0	0	0	0	0
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]					
Average number of embryos transferred					

Donor Eggs	All Ages Combined [e] Fresh Embryos	Frozen Embryos
Number of transfers	3	1
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	2 / 3	1 / 1
Average number of embryos transferred	2.0	2.0

CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

Current Name: Rocky Mountain Fertility Center, PC

Donor egg?	Yes	Gestational carriers?	Yes	SART member?	Yes
Donor embryo?	Yes	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	Yes
Single women?	Yes			*(See Appendix C for details.)*	

[a] Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2009 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.
[b] When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.
[c] A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.
[d] Clinic-specific outcome rates for women older than 44 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs are not included because of small numbers. Readers are urged to review national outcomes for these age groups (see pages 30, 48 & 57).
[e] All ages (including ages >44) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.

CONNECTICUT FERTILITY ASSOCIATES
BRIDGEPORT, CONNECTICUT

A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 81–90.

2009 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART [a]

IVF	100%	**Procedural Factors:**	
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	46%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	0%
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	1%
		Used PGD	8%
		With eSET	3%

Patient Diagnosis

Tubal factor	6%	Other factor	23%
Ovulatory dysfunction	3%	Unknown factor	15%
Diminished ovarian reserve	28%	*Multiple Factors:*	
Endometriosis	2%	Female factors only	9%
Uterine factor	1%	Female & male factors	7%
Male factor	6%		

2009 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by Michael B. Doyle, MD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman <35	35–37	38–40	41–42	43–44[d]
Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of cycles	37	28	55	18	14
Percentage of embryos transferred resulting in implantation[b]	31.3	21.4	13.4	11.1	5.6
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies[b]	48.6	42.9	23.6	5 / 18	2 / 14
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births[b,c]	35.1	28.6	21.8	3 / 18	1 / 14
(Confidence Interval)	(20.2–52.5)	(13.2–48.7)	(11.8–35.0)		
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births[b,c]	38.2	30.8	26.7	3 / 14	1 / 11
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births[b,c]	38.2	33.3	28.6	3 / 12	1 / 11
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births[b]	26.5	25.0	19.0	3 / 12	1 / 11
Percentage of cancellations[b]	8.1	7.1	18.2	4 / 18	3 / 14
Average number of embryos transferred	2.4	2.3	2.8	3.0	3.3
Percentage of pregnancies with twins[b]	6 / 18	2 / 12	4 / 13	0 / 5	0 / 2
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more[b]	1 / 18	0 / 12	0 / 13	0 / 5	0 / 2
Percentage of live births having multiple infants[b,c]	4 / 13	2 / 8	4 / 12	0 / 3	0 / 1
Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of transfers	21	14	10	0	0
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births[b,c]	19.0	5 / 14	2 / 10		
Average number of embryos transferred	2.0	2.4	3.2		

Donor Eggs	All Ages Combined[e] Fresh Embryos	Frozen Embryos
Number of transfers	82	47
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births[b,c]	59.8	29.8
Average number of embryos transferred	2.2	2.2

CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

Current Name: Connecticut Fertility Associates

Donor egg?	Yes	Gestational carriers?	Yes	SART member?	Yes
Donor embryo?	Yes	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	Yes
Single women?	Yes			*(See Appendix C for details.)*	

[a] Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2009 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.
[b] When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.
[c] A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.
[d] Clinic-specific outcome rates for women older than 44 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs are not included because of small numbers. Readers are urged to review national outcomes for these age groups (see pages 30, 48 & 57).
[e] All ages (including ages >44) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.

THE CENTER FOR ADVANCED REPRODUCTIVE SERVICES AT THE UNIVERSITY OF CONNECTICUT HEALTH CENTER FARMINGTON, CONNECTICUT

A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 81–90.

2009 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART [a]

IVF	100%	**Procedural Factors:**	
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	69%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	0%
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	<1%
		Used PGD	2%
		With eSET	9%

Patient Diagnosis

Tubal factor	10%	Other factor	14%
Ovulatory dysfunction	7%	Unknown factor	17%
Diminished ovarian reserve	2%	*Multiple Factors:*	
Endometriosis	7%	Female factors only	14%
Uterine factor	1%	Female & male factors	15%
Male factor	15%		

2009 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by John C. Nulsen, MD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman <35	35–37	38–40	41–42	43–44 [d]
Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of cycles	409	218	242	80	64
Percentage of embryos transferred resulting in implantation [b]	44.4	29.3	19.2	9.3	7.6
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies [b]	55.5	38.5	34.7	20.0	15.6
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births [b,c]	50.1	32.1	26.4	13.8	10.9
(Confidence Interval)	(45.2–55.1)	(26.0–38.7)	(21.0–32.5)	(7.1–23.3)	(4.5–21.2)
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births [b,c]	55.0	38.3	32.7	16.7	17.9
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	58.2	39.8	34.4	17.7	21.2
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births [b]	42.6	30.1	26.9	16.1	18.2
Percentage of cancellations [b]	8.8	16.1	19.0	17.5	39.1
Average number of embryos transferred	1.8	1.9	2.7	3.3	3.6
Percentage of pregnancies with twins [b]	27.8	19.0	19.0	2 / 16	1 / 10
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more [b]	0.9	1.2	2.4	1 / 16	0 / 10
Percentage of live births having multiple infants [b,c]	26.8	24.3	21.9	1 / 11	1 / 7
Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of transfers	103	47	44	10	2
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	35.0	46.8	25.0	1 / 10	0 / 2
Average number of embryos transferred	1.7	1.9	2.0	2.8	2.0

Donor Eggs	All Ages Combined [e] Fresh Embryos	Frozen Embryos
Number of transfers	34	27
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	44.1	51.9
Average number of embryos transferred	2.0	1.8

CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

Current Name: The Center for Advanced Reproductive Services at the University of Connecticut Health Center

Donor egg?	Yes	Gestational carriers?	Yes	SART member?	Yes
Donor embryo?	No	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	Yes
Single women?	Yes			*(See Appendix C for details.)*	

[a] Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2009 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.
[b] When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.
[c] A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.
[d] Clinic-specific outcome rates for women older than 44 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs are not included because of small numbers. Readers are urged to review national outcomes for these age groups (see pages 30, 48 & 57).
[e] All ages (including ages >44) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.

GREENWICH FERTILITY AND IVF CENTER, PC
GREENWICH, CONNECTICUT

A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 81–90.

2009 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART [a]

IVF	100%	**Procedural Factors:**	
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	23%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	0%
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	0%
		Used PGD	0%
		With eSET	0%

Patient Diagnosis

Tubal factor	7%	Other factor	2%
Ovulatory dysfunction	0%	Unknown factor	25%
Diminished ovarian reserve	34%	*Multiple Factors:*	
Endometriosis	4%	Female factors only	2%
Uterine factor	4%	Female & male factors	9%
Male factor	14%		

2009 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by Barry R. Witt, MD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman <35	35–37	38–40	41–42	43–44 [d]
Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of cycles	20	12	14	6	1
Percentage of embryos transferred resulting in implantation [b]	42.9	42.9	3.4	2 / 15	
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies [b]	60.0	7 / 12	1 / 14	2 / 6	0 / 1
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births [b,c]	55.0	7 / 12	1 / 14	2 / 6	0 / 1
(Confidence Interval)	(31.5–76.9)				
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births [b,c]	11 / 17	7 / 10	1 / 12	2 / 5	
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	11 / 17	7 / 10	1 / 12	2 / 5	
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births [b]	7 / 17	6 / 10	1 / 12	2 / 5	
Percentage of cancellations [b]	15.0	2 / 12	2 / 14	1 / 6	1 / 1
Average number of embryos transferred	2.1	2.1	2.4	3.0	
Percentage of pregnancies with twins [b]	4 / 12	2 / 7	0 / 1	0 / 2	
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more [b]	0 / 12	0 / 7	0 / 1	0 / 2	
Percentage of live births having multiple infants [b,c]	4 / 11	1 / 7	0 / 1	0 / 2	
Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of transfers	0	0	0	0	0
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]					
Average number of embryos transferred					

Donor Eggs	All Ages Combined [e] Fresh Embryos	Frozen Embryos
Number of transfers	0	0
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]		
Average number of embryos transferred		

CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

Current Name: Greenwich Fertility and IVF Center, PC

Donor egg?	No	Gestational carriers?	No	SART member?	Yes
Donor embryo?	No	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	No
Single women?	Yes			*(See Appendix C for details.)*	

[a] Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2009 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.
[b] When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.
[c] A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.
[d] Clinic-specific outcome rates for women older than 44 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs are not included because of small numbers. Readers are urged to review national outcomes for these age groups (see pages 30, 48 & 57).
[e] All ages (including ages >44) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.

YALE FERTILITY CENTER
NEW HAVEN, CONNECTICUT

A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 81–90.

2009 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART [a]

IVF	100%	**Procedural Factors:**	
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	55%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	0%
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	2%
		Used PGD	5%
		With eSET	2%

Patient Diagnosis

Tubal factor	8%	Other factor	32%
Ovulatory dysfunction	2%	Unknown factor	14%
Diminished ovarian reserve	10%	*Multiple Factors:*	
Endometriosis	3%	Female factors only	11%
Uterine factor	1%	Female & male factors	11%
Male factor	8%		

2009 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by Pasquale Patrizio, MD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman <35	35–37	38–40	41–42	43–44 [d]
Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of cycles	129	103	103	42	31
Percentage of embryos transferred resulting in implantation [b]	35.1	15.4	11.9	8.6	1.8
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies [b]	48.1	28.2	22.3	16.7	3.2
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births [b,c]	38.8	19.4	18.4	11.9	3.2
(Confidence Interval)	(30.3–47.7)	(12.3–28.4)	(11.5–27.3)	(4.0–25.6)	(0.1–16.7)
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births [b,c]	41.0	22.5	20.4	17.9	4.8
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	43.9	24.4	21.8	19.2	1 / 19
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births [b]	29.8	19.5	17.2	19.2	1 / 19
Percentage of cancellations [b]	5.4	13.6	9.7	33.3	32.3
Average number of embryos transferred	2.1	2.3	2.6	2.7	2.9
Percentage of pregnancies with twins [b]	29.0	13.8	13.0	0 / 7	0 / 1
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more [b]	6.5	0.0	4.3	0 / 7	0 / 1
Percentage of live births having multiple infants [b,c]	32.0	20.0	4 / 19	0 / 5	0 / 1
Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of transfers	18	16	13	2	2
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	4 / 18	4 / 16	4 / 13	0 / 2	0 / 2
Average number of embryos transferred	2.1	2.1	2.1	2.5	2.5

Donor Eggs	All Ages Combined [e] Fresh Embryos	Frozen Embryos
Number of transfers	38	15
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	63.2	4 / 15
Average number of embryos transferred	2.1	2.5

CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

Current Name: Yale Fertility Center

Donor egg?	Yes	Gestational carriers?	Yes	SART member?	Yes
Donor embryo?	Yes	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	Yes
Single women?	Yes			*(See Appendix C for details.)*	

[a] Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2009 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.
[b] When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.
[c] A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.
[d] Clinic-specific outcome rates for women older than 44 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs are not included because of small numbers. Readers are urged to review national outcomes for these age groups (see pages 30, 48 & 57).
[e] All ages (including ages >44) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.

REPRODUCTIVE MEDICINE ASSOCIATES OF CONNECTICUT
NORWALK, CONNECTICUT

A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 81–90.

2009 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART [a]

IVF	100%	**Procedural Factors:**	
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	58%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	0%
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	0%
		Used PGD	2%
		With eSET	4%

Patient Diagnosis

Tubal factor	4%	Other factor	10%
Ovulatory dysfunction	14%	Unknown factor	23%
Diminished ovarian reserve	12%	*Multiple Factors:*	
Endometriosis	3%	Female factors only	8%
Uterine factor	1%	Female & male factors	13%
Male factor	12%		

2009 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by Mark P. Leondires, MD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman <35	35–37	38–40	41–42	43–44[d]
Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of cycles	211	101	150	62	30
Percentage of embryos transferred resulting in implantation[b]	39.7	26.0	16.9	8.3	3.1
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies[b]	51.2	45.5	32.0	27.4	13.3
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births[b,c]	43.1	32.7	24.0	14.5	3.3
(Confidence Interval)	(36.3–50.1)	(23.7–42.7)	(17.4–31.6)	(6.9–25.8)	(0.1–17.2)
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births[b,c]	47.9	36.7	30.3	19.1	1 / 18
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births[b,c]	48.7	37.5	30.8	19.6	1 / 18
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births[b]	31.6	31.8	25.6	17.4	1 / 18
Percentage of cancellations[b]	10.0	10.9	20.7	24.2	40.0
Average number of embryos transferred	2.0	2.2	2.8	3.7	3.6
Percentage of pregnancies with twins[b]	38.9	19.6	14.6	2 / 17	0 / 4
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more[b]	1.9	2.2	4.2	0 / 17	0 / 4
Percentage of live births having multiple infants[b,c]	35.2	15.2	16.7	1 / 9	0 / 1
Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of transfers	24	8	11	2	0
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births[b,c]	37.5	1 / 8	3 / 11	0 / 2	
Average number of embryos transferred	2.0	2.1	2.5	1.5	

Donor Eggs	All Ages Combined[e] Fresh Embryos	Frozen Embryos
Number of transfers	27	32
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births[b,c]	44.4	50.0
Average number of embryos transferred	2.0	2.2

CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

Current Name: Reproductive Medicine Associates of Connecticut

Donor egg?	Yes	Gestational carriers?	Yes	SART member?	Yes
Donor embryo?	No	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	Yes
Single women?	Yes			*(See Appendix C for details.)*	

[a] Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2009 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.
[b] When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.
[c] A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.
[d] Clinic-specific outcome rates for women older than 44 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs are not included because of small numbers. Readers are urged to review national outcomes for these age groups (see pages 30, 48 & 57).
[e] All ages (including ages >44) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.

NEW ENGLAND FERTILITY INSTITUTE
STAMFORD, CONNECTICUT

A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 81–90.

2009 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART [a]

IVF	100%	**Procedural Factors:**	
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	77%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	<1%
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	6%
		Used PGD	7%
		With eSET	4%

Patient Diagnosis

Tubal factor	8%	Other factor	15%
Ovulatory dysfunction	7%	Unknown factor	18%
Diminished ovarian reserve	24%	*Multiple Factors:*	
Endometriosis	2%	Female factors only	2%
Uterine factor	1%	Female & male factors	3%
Male factor	20%		

2009 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by Gad Lavy, MD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman <35	35–37	38–40	41–42	43–44 [d]
Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of cycles	71	39	74	32	17
Percentage of embryos transferred resulting in implantation [b]	36.8	21.3	19.4	7.0	2.5
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies [b]	59.2	38.5	37.8	18.8	1 / 17
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births [b,c]	47.9	28.2	24.3	12.5	1 / 17
(Confidence Interval)	(35.9–60.1)	(15.0–44.9)	(15.1–35.7)	(3.5–29.0)	
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births [b,c]	50.0	31.4	27.3	15.4	1 / 14
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	50.0	34.4	31.6	16.0	1 / 14
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births [b]	26.5	21.9	22.8	16.0	1 / 14
Percentage of cancellations [b]	4.2	10.3	10.8	18.8	3 / 17
Average number of embryos transferred	2.4	2.5	2.8	2.8	2.9
Percentage of pregnancies with twins [b]	40.5	4 / 15	14.3	0 / 6	0 / 1
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more [b]	2.4	0 / 15	3.6	0 / 6	0 / 1
Percentage of live births having multiple infants [b,c]	47.1	4 / 11	5 / 18	0 / 4	0 / 1
Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of transfers	21	16	13	8	6
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	19.0	5 / 16	0 / 13	1 / 8	0 / 6
Average number of embryos transferred	2.8	2.2	2.5	2.6	2.8

Donor Eggs	All Ages Combined [e] Fresh Embryos	Frozen Embryos
Number of transfers	44	30
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	47.7	43.3
Average number of embryos transferred	2.4	2.5

CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

Current Name: New England Fertility Institute

Donor egg?	Yes	Gestational carriers?	Yes	SART member?	Yes
Donor embryo?	Yes	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	Yes
Single women?	Yes			*(See Appendix C for details.)*	

[a] Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2009 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.
[b] When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.
[c] A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.
[d] Clinic-specific outcome rates for women older than 44 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs are not included because of small numbers. Readers are urged to review national outcomes for these age groups (see pages 30, 48 & 57).
[e] All ages (including ages >44) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.

THE STAMFORD HOSPITAL
STAMFORD, CONNECTICUT

A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 81–90.

2009 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART [a]

IVF	100%	**Procedural Factors:**	
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	26%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	0%
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	0%
		Used PGD	0%
		With eSET	0%

Patient Diagnosis

Tubal factor	38%	Other factor	3%
Ovulatory dysfunction	7%	Unknown factor	17%
Diminished ovarian reserve	0%	*Multiple Factors:*	
Endometriosis	0%	Female factors only	14%
Uterine factor	0%	Female & male factors	10%
Male factor	10%		

2009 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by Frances W. Ginsburg, MD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman <35	35–37	38–40	41–42	43–44[d]
Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of cycles	7	3	7	2	0
Percentage of embryos transferred resulting in implantation[b]	4 / 13	2 / 6	2 / 11	0 / 2	
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies[b]	3 / 7	1 / 3	2 / 7	0 / 2	
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births[b,c] (Confidence Interval)	1 / 7	1 / 3	2 / 7	0 / 2	
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births[b,c]	1 / 6	1 / 2	2 / 5	0 / 1	
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births[b,c]	1 / 6	1 / 2	2 / 4	0 / 1	
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births[b]	1 / 6	0 / 2	2 / 4	0 / 1	
Percentage of cancellations[b]	1 / 7	1 / 3	2 / 7	1 / 2	
Average number of embryos transferred	2.2	3.0	2.8	2.0	
Percentage of pregnancies with twins[b]	1 / 3	1 / 1	0 / 2		
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more[b]	0 / 3	0 / 1	0 / 2		
Percentage of live births having multiple infants[b,c]	0 / 1	1 / 1	0 / 2		
Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of transfers	4	5	1	0	0
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births[b,c]	0 / 4	1 / 5	0 / 1		
Average number of embryos transferred	2.8	1.8	1.0		

Donor Eggs	All Ages Combined[e] Fresh Embryos	Frozen Embryos
Number of transfers	0	0
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births[b,c]		
Average number of embryos transferred		

CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

Current Name: The Stamford Hospital

Donor egg?	No	Gestational carriers?	No	SART member?	Yes
Donor embryo?	No	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	Yes
Single women?	Yes			*(See Appendix C for details.)*	

[a] Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2009 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.
[b] When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.
[c] A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.
[d] Clinic-specific outcome rates for women older than 44 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs are not included because of small numbers. Readers are urged to review national outcomes for these age groups (see pages 30, 48 & 57).
[e] All ages (including ages >44) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.

WOMEN'S FERTILITY CENTER
NORA R. MILLER, MD
STAMFORD, CONNECTICUT

A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 81–90.

2009 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART [a]

IVF	100%	**Procedural Factors:**	
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	74%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	0%
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	0%
		Used PGD	5%
		With eSET	0%

Patient Diagnosis

Tubal factor	17%	Other factor	0%
Ovulatory dysfunction	4%	Unknown factor	29%
Diminished ovarian reserve	13%	*Multiple Factors:*	
Endometriosis	0%	Female factors only	29%
Uterine factor	0%	Female & male factors	0%
Male factor	8%		

2009 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by Nora R. Miller, MD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman <35	35–37	38–40	41–42	43–44 [d]
Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of cycles	3	4	8	1	3
Percentage of embryos transferred resulting in implantation [b]	3 / 6	2 / 5	4 / 13		1 / 9
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies [b]	2 / 3	1 / 4	4 / 8	0 / 1	1 / 3
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births [b,c] (Confidence Interval)	2 / 3	0 / 4	4 / 8	0 / 1	0 / 3
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births [b,c]	2 / 3	0 / 3	4 / 8	0 / 1	0 / 3
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	2 / 3	0 / 2	4 / 7		0 / 3
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births [b]	1 / 3	0 / 2	4 / 7		0 / 3
Percentage of cancellations [b]	0 / 3	1 / 4	0 / 8	0 / 1	0 / 3
Average number of embryos transferred	2.0	2.5	1.9		3.0
Percentage of pregnancies with twins [b]	1 / 2	1 / 1	0 / 4		0 / 1
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more [b]	0 / 2	0 / 1	0 / 4		0 / 1
Percentage of live births having multiple infants [b,c]	1 / 2		0 / 4		
Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of transfers	1	0	2	0	0
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	0 / 1		0 / 2		
Average number of embryos transferred	1.0		2.5		

Donor Eggs	All Ages Combined [e] Fresh Embryos	Frozen Embryos
Number of transfers	0	2
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]		0 / 2
Average number of embryos transferred		1.5

CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

Current Name: Women's Fertility Center, Nora R. Miller, MD

Donor egg?	No	Gestational carriers?	No	SART member?	No
Donor embryo?	No	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	Yes
Single women?	Yes			*(See Appendix C for details.)*	

[a] Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2009 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.
[b] When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.
[c] A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.
[d] Clinic-specific outcome rates for women older than 44 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs are not included because of small numbers. Readers are urged to review national outcomes for these age groups (see pages 30, 48 & 57).
[e] All ages (including ages >44) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.

PARK AVENUE FERTILITY AND REPRODUCTIVE MEDICINE
TRUMBULL, CONNECTICUT

A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 81–90.

2009 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART [a]

IVF	100%	**Procedural Factors:**	
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	86%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	0%
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	0%
		Used PGD	1%
		With eSET	0%

Patient Diagnosis

Tubal factor	4%	Other factor	3%
Ovulatory dysfunction	6%	Unknown factor	48%
Diminished ovarian reserve	18%	*Multiple Factors:*	
Endometriosis	4%	Female factors only	0%
Uterine factor	0%	Female & male factors	4%
Male factor	15%		

2009 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by Andrew Levi, MD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman <35	35–37	38–40	41–42	43–44[d]
Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of cycles	56	36	50	12	12
Percentage of embryos transferred resulting in implantation[b]	35.8	26.2	10.6	2 / 18	0 / 14
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies[b]	51.8	41.7	20.0	2 / 12	1 / 12
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births[b,c]	48.2	38.9	16.0	2 / 12	0 / 12
(Confidence Interval)	(34.7–62.0)	(23.1–56.5)	(7.2–29.1)		
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births[b,c]	48.2	40.0	16.7	2 / 9	0 / 9
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births[b,c]	50.0	43.8	18.6	2 / 8	0 / 5
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births[b]	31.5	37.5	18.6	2 / 8	0 / 5
Percentage of cancellations[b]	0.0	2.8	4.0	3 / 12	3 / 12
Average number of embryos transferred	2.0	1.9	2.4	2.3	2.8
Percentage of pregnancies with twins[b]	34.5	2 / 15	0 / 10	0 / 2	0 / 1
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more[b]	0.0	0 / 15	1 / 10	0 / 2	0 / 1
Percentage of live births having multiple infants[b,c]	37.0	2 / 14	0 / 8	0 / 2	
Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of transfers	9	1	3	0	0
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births[b,c]	4 / 9	0 / 1	2 / 3		
Average number of embryos transferred	2.0	3.0	2.0		

Donor Eggs	All Ages Combined[e] Fresh Embryos	Frozen Embryos
Number of transfers	8	4
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births[b,c]	3 / 8	1 / 4
Average number of embryos transferred	2.0	1.8

CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

Current Name: Park Avenue Fertility and Reproductive Medicine

Donor egg?	Yes	Gestational carriers?	Yes	SART member?	No
Donor embryo?	No	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	No
Single women?	Yes			*(See Appendix C for details.)*	

[a] Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2009 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.
[b] When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.
[c] A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.
[d] Clinic-specific outcome rates for women older than 44 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs are not included because of small numbers. Readers are urged to review national outcomes for these age groups (see pages 30, 48 & 57).
[e] All ages (including ages >44) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.

THE DELAWARE INSTITUTE FOR REPRODUCTIVE MEDICINE
NEWARK, DELAWARE

A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 81–90.

2009 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART [a]

IVF	100%	**Procedural Factors:**	
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	89%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	3%
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	<1%
		Used PGD	3%
		With eSET	3%

Patient Diagnosis

Tubal factor	20%	Other factor	4%
Ovulatory dysfunction	4%	Unknown factor	24%
Diminished ovarian reserve	4%	*Multiple Factors:*	
Endometriosis	12%	Female factors only	17%
Uterine factor	2%	Female & male factors	<1%
Male factor	14%		

2009 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by Jeffrey B. Russell, MD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman <35	35–37	38–40	41–42	43–44 [d]
Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of cycles	78	24	49	22	10
Percentage of embryos transferred resulting in implantation [b]	19.0	8.6	6.7	0.0	2 / 12
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies [b]	28.2	20.8	12.2	0.0	3 / 10
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births [b,c]	17.9	20.8	0.0	0.0	1 / 10
(Confidence Interval)	(10.2–28.3)	(7.1–42.2)	(0.0–7.3)	(0.0–15.4)	
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births [b,c]	18.4	20.8	0.0	0.0	1 / 8
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	22.2	22.7	0.0	0 / 16	1 / 5
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births [b]	15.9	22.7	0.0	0 / 16	1 / 5
Percentage of cancellations [b]	2.6	0.0	4.1	9.1	2 / 10
Average number of embryos transferred	2.6	2.6	2.6	2.4	2.4
Percentage of pregnancies with twins [b]	22.7	0 / 5	0 / 6		0 / 3
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more [b]	9.1	0 / 5	0 / 6		0 / 3
Percentage of live births having multiple infants [b,c]	4 / 14	0 / 5			0 / 1
Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of transfers	6	2	2	2	1
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	1 / 6	1 / 2	0 / 2	0 / 2	0 / 1
Average number of embryos transferred	3.2	2.5	3.0	2.0	3.0

Donor Eggs	All Ages Combined [e] Fresh Embryos	Frozen Embryos
Number of transfers	10	0
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	3 / 10	
Average number of embryos transferred	2.9	

CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

Current Name: The Delaware Institute for Reproductive Medicine

Donor egg?	Yes	Gestational carriers?	Yes	SART member?	No
Donor embryo?	Yes	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	Yes
Single women?	Yes			*(See Appendix C for details.)*	

[a] Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2009 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.
[b] When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.
[c] A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.
[d] Clinic-specific outcome rates for women older than 44 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs are not included because of small numbers. Readers are urged to review national outcomes for these age groups (see pages 30, 48 & 57).
[e] All ages (including ages >44) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.

REPRODUCTIVE ASSOCIATES OF DELAWARE
NEWARK, DELAWARE

A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 81–90.

2009 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART [a]

IVF	100%	**Procedural Factors:**	
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	82%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	0%
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	0%
		Used PGD	4%
		With eSET	61%

Patient Diagnosis

Tubal factor	5%	Other factor	2%
Ovulatory dysfunction	4%	Unknown factor	2%
Diminished ovarian reserve	3%	*Multiple Factors:*	
Endometriosis	14%	Female factors only	30%
Uterine factor	2%	Female & male factors	26%
Male factor	10%		

2009 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by Ronald F. Feinberg, MD, PhD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman <35	35–37	38–40	41–42	43–44[d]
Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of cycles	124	49	43	12	6
Percentage of embryos transferred resulting in implantation[b]	49.6	46.3	23.1	4 / 13	1 / 7
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies[b]	45.2	38.8	25.6	3 / 12	1 / 6
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births[b,c]	41.1	28.6	20.9	2 / 12	0 / 6
(Confidence Interval)	(32.4–50.3)	(16.6–43.3)	(10.0–36.0)		
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births[b,c]	47.7	35.9	26.5	2 / 7	0 / 4
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births[b,c]	52.6	41.2	32.1	2 / 7	0 / 4
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births[b]	48.5	38.2	25.0	2 / 7	0 / 4
Percentage of cancellations[b]	13.7	20.4	20.9	5 / 12	2 / 6
Average number of embryos transferred	1.2	1.2	1.9	1.9	1.8
Percentage of pregnancies with twins[b]	8.9	1 / 19	2 / 11	1 / 3	0 / 1
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more[b]	1.8	0 / 19	0 / 11	0 / 3	0 / 1
Percentage of live births having multiple infants[b,c]	7.8	1 / 14	2 / 9	0 / 2	
Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of transfers	64	40	22	8	2
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births[b,c]	40.6	25.0	36.4	0 / 8	1 / 2
Average number of embryos transferred	1.4	1.4	1.5	1.4	1.5

Donor Eggs	All Ages Combined[e] Fresh Embryos	Frozen Embryos
Number of transfers	6	17
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births[b,c]	5 / 6	9 / 17
Average number of embryos transferred	1.0	1.7

CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

Current Name: Reproductive Associates of Delaware

Donor egg?	Yes	Gestational carriers?	Yes	SART member?	Yes
Donor embryo?	Yes	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	Yes
Single women?	Yes			*(See Appendix C for details.)*	

[a] Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2009 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.
[b] When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.
[c] A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.
[d] Clinic-specific outcome rates for women older than 44 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs are not included because of small numbers. Readers are urged to review national outcomes for these age groups (see pages 30, 48 & 57).
[e] All ages (including ages >44) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.

THE A.R.T. INSTITUTE OF WASHINGTON, INC.
WALTER REED ARMY MEDICAL CENTER
WASHINGTON, DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA

A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 81–90.

2009 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART [a]

IVF	100%	**Procedural Factors:**	
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	72%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	0%
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	0%
		Used PGD	<1%
		With eSET	2%

Patient Diagnosis

Tubal factor	16%	Other factor	<1%
Ovulatory dysfunction	6%	Unknown factor	12%
Diminished ovarian reserve	3%	*Multiple Factors:*	
Endometriosis	3%	Female factors only	9%
Uterine factor	0%	Female & male factors	20%
Male factor	32%		

2009 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by James Segars, MD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman <35	35–37	38–40	41–42	43–44 [d]
Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of cycles	204	79	97	24	1
Percentage of embryos transferred resulting in implantation [b]	38.3	30.2	19.2	17.9	0 / 1
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies [b]	51.5	48.1	29.9	33.3	0 / 1
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births [b,c]	44.6	32.9	19.6	29.2	0 / 1
(Confidence Interval)	(37.7–51.7)	(22.7–44.4)	(12.2–28.9)	(12.6–51.1)	
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births [b,c]	46.9	34.7	21.3	31.8	0 / 1
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	47.4	35.1	21.8	31.8	0 / 1
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births [b]	28.1	23.0	14.9	31.8	0 / 1
Percentage of cancellations [b]	4.9	5.1	8.2	8.3	0 / 1
Average number of embryos transferred	2.0	2.1	2.4	3.0	1.0
Percentage of pregnancies with twins [b]	37.1	28.9	31.0	2 / 8	
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more [b]	3.8	2.6	10.3	1 / 8	
Percentage of live births having multiple infants [b,c]	40.7	34.6	6 / 19	0 / 7	
Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of transfers	15	8	13	2	1
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	6 / 15	3 / 8	3 / 13	1 / 2	0 / 1
Average number of embryos transferred	1.7	1.5	1.9	2.0	2.0

Donor Eggs	All Ages Combined [e] Fresh Embryos	Frozen Embryos
Number of transfers	0	0
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]		
Average number of embryos transferred		

CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

Current Name: The A.R.T. Institute of Washington, Inc., Walter Reed Army Medical Center

Donor egg?	No	Gestational carriers?	No	SART member?	Yes
Donor embryo?	No	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	Yes
Single women?	Yes			*(See Appendix C for details.)*	

[a] Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2009 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.
[b] When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.
[c] A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.
[d] Clinic-specific outcome rates for women older than 44 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs are not included because of small numbers. Readers are urged to review national outcomes for these age groups (see pages 30, 48 & 57).
[e] All ages (including ages >44) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.

COLUMBIA FERTILITY ASSOCIATES
WASHINGTON, DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA

A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 81–90.

2009 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART [a]

IVF	100%	**Procedural Factors:**	
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	59%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	2%
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	<1%
		Used PGD	<1%
		With eSET	3%

Patient Diagnosis

Tubal factor	5%	Other factor	8%
Ovulatory dysfunction	4%	Unknown factor	9%
Diminished ovarian reserve	21%	*Multiple Factors:*	
Endometriosis	1%	Female factors only	14%
Uterine factor	2%	Female & male factors	21%
Male factor	15%		

2009 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by Safa Rifka, MD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman <35	35–37	38–40	41–42	43–44 [d]
Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of cycles	140	119	165	112	74
Percentage of embryos transferred resulting in implantation [b]	30.2	22.9	20.1	9.5	5.8
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies [b]	41.4	34.5	27.9	16.1	10.8
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births [b,c]	38.6	31.1	21.8	11.6	6.8
(Confidence Interval)	(30.5–47.2)	(22.9–40.2)	(15.8–28.9)	(6.3–19.0)	(2.2–15.1)
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births [b,c]	42.2	34.9	25.9	14.4	8.9
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	46.6	35.9	29.5	17.6	9.6
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births [b]	31.9	27.2	20.5	14.9	9.6
Percentage of cancellations [b]	8.6	10.9	15.8	19.6	24.3
Average number of embryos transferred	2.2	2.3	2.4	2.7	2.6
Percentage of pregnancies with twins [b]	31.0	31.7	26.1	2 / 18	0 / 8
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more [b]	0.0	0.0	0.0	0 / 18	0 / 8
Percentage of live births having multiple infants [b,c]	31.5	24.3	30.6	2 / 13	0 / 5
Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of transfers	25	19	20	10	2
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	40.0	8 / 19	20.0	3 / 10	0 / 2
Average number of embryos transferred	1.9	2.0	2.0	2.1	1.5

Donor Eggs	All Ages Combined [e] Fresh Embryos	Frozen Embryos
Number of transfers	32	21
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	53.1	42.9
Average number of embryos transferred	2.1	2.2

CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

Current Name: Columbia Fertility Associates

Donor egg?	Yes	Gestational carriers?	Yes	SART member?	Yes
Donor embryo?	Yes	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	Yes
Single women?	Yes			*(See Appendix C for details.)*	

[a] Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2009 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.
[b] When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.
[c] A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.
[d] Clinic-specific outcome rates for women older than 44 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs are not included because of small numbers. Readers are urged to review national outcomes for these age groups (see pages 30, 48 & 57).
[e] All ages (including ages >44) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.

THE GEORGE WASHINGTON UNIVERSITY MEDICAL FACULTY ASSOCIATES
WASHINGTON, DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA

A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 81–90.

2009 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART [a]

IVF	100%	**Procedural Factors:**	
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	81%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	0%
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	0%
		Used PGD	4%
		With eSET	5%

Patient Diagnosis

Tubal factor	1%	Other factor	2%
Ovulatory dysfunction	2%	Unknown factor	56%
Diminished ovarian reserve	5%	*Multiple Factors:*	
Endometriosis	<1%	Female factors only	0%
Uterine factor	0%	Female & male factors	3%
Male factor	31%		

2009 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by Paul R. Gindoff, MD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman <35	35–37	38–40	41–42	43–44 [d]
Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of cycles	67	62	70	42	27
Percentage of embryos transferred resulting in implantation [b]	33.1	21.7	12.5	8.8	6.3
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies [b]	47.8	35.5	25.7	23.8	3.7
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births [b,c]	40.3	29.0	18.6	14.3	3.7
(Confidence Interval)	(28.5–53.0)	(18.2–41.9)	(10.3–29.7)	(5.4–28.5)	(0.1–19.0)
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births [b,c]	43.5	31.6	22.0	17.1	1 / 17
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	45.8	33.3	24.5	20.7	1 / 12
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births [b]	37.3	18.5	20.8	20.7	1 / 12
Percentage of cancellations [b]	7.5	8.1	15.7	16.7	37.0
Average number of embryos transferred	2.0	2.6	2.9	2.8	2.7
Percentage of pregnancies with twins [b]	15.6	31.8	4 / 18	0 / 10	1 / 1
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more [b]	6.3	4.5	0 / 18	0 / 10	0 / 1
Percentage of live births having multiple infants [b,c]	18.5	8 / 18	2 / 13	0 / 6	0 / 1
Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of transfers	6	11	14	4	4
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	1 / 6	3 / 11	3 / 14	0 / 4	0 / 4
Average number of embryos transferred	2.2	2.2	2.6	2.8	2.3

Donor Eggs	All Ages Combined [e] Fresh Embryos	Frozen Embryos
Number of transfers	7	10
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	1 / 7	5 / 10
Average number of embryos transferred	2.0	2.1

CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

Current Name: The George Washington University Medical Faculty Associates

Donor egg?	Yes	Gestational carriers?	Yes	SART member?	Yes
Donor embryo?	No	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	Yes
Single women?	Yes			*(See Appendix C for details.)*	

[a] Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2009 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.
[b] When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.
[c] A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.
[d] Clinic-specific outcome rates for women older than 44 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs are not included because of small numbers. Readers are urged to review national outcomes for these age groups (see pages 30, 48 & 57).
[e] All ages (including ages >44) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.

JAMES A. SIMON, MD, PC
WASHINGTON, DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA

A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 81–90.

2009 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART [a]

IVF	100%	**Procedural Factors:**	
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	33%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	0%
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	0%
		Used PGD	0%
		With eSET	0%

Patient Diagnosis

Tubal factor	0%	Other factor	0%
Ovulatory dysfunction	0%	Unknown factor	50%
Diminished ovarian reserve	0%	*Multiple Factors:*	
Endometriosis	25%	Female factors only	0%
Uterine factor	0%	Female & male factors	0%
Male factor	25%		

2009 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by James A. Simon, MD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman <35	35–37	38–40	41–42	43–44 [d]
Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of cycles	1	0	2	0	0
Percentage of embryos transferred resulting in implantation [b]			2 / 6		
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies [b]	0 / 1		2 / 2		
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births [b,c] (Confidence Interval)	0 / 1		2 / 2		
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births [b,c]	0 / 1		2 / 2		
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]			2 / 2		
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births [b]			2 / 2		
Percentage of cancellations [b]	0 / 1		0 / 2		
Average number of embryos transferred			3.0		
Percentage of pregnancies with twins [b]			0 / 2		
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more [b]			0 / 2		
Percentage of live births having multiple infants [b,c]			0 / 2		
Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of transfers	1	0	0	0	0
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	1 / 1				
Average number of embryos transferred	1.0				

Donor Eggs	All Ages Combined [e] Fresh Embryos	Frozen Embryos
Number of transfers	0	0
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]		
Average number of embryos transferred		

CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

Current Name: James A. Simon, MD, PC

Donor egg?	Yes	Gestational carriers?	No	SART member?	Yes
Donor embryo?	Yes	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	Yes
Single women?	Yes			*(See Appendix C for details.)*	

[a] Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2009 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.
[b] When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.
[c] A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.
[d] Clinic-specific outcome rates for women older than 44 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs are not included because of small numbers. Readers are urged to review national outcomes for these age groups (see pages 30, 48 & 57).
[e] All ages (including ages >44) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.

BOCAFERTILITY
BOCA RATON, FLORIDA

A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 81–90.

2009 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART [a]

IVF	100%	**Procedural Factors:**	
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	46%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	0%
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	2%
		Used PGD	2%
		With eSET	0%

Patient Diagnosis

Tubal factor	8%	Other factor	6%
Ovulatory dysfunction	6%	Unknown factor	5%
Diminished ovarian reserve	28%	*Multiple Factors:*	
Endometriosis	0%	Female factors only	15%
Uterine factor	0%	Female & male factors	13%
Male factor	18%		

2009 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by Maurice (Moshe) R. Peress, MD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman <35	35–37	38–40	41–42	43–44 [d]
Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of cycles	23	7	16	13	1
Percentage of embryos transferred resulting in implantation [b]	21.1	6 / 16	7.7	3.4	0 / 1
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies [b]	34.8	5 / 7	4 / 16	2 / 13	0 / 1
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births [b,c]	17.4	3 / 7	3 / 16	1 / 13	0 / 1
(Confidence Interval)	(5.0–38.8)				
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births [b,c]	19.0	3 / 7	3 / 16	1 / 10	0 / 1
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	4 / 17	3 / 7	3 / 15	1 / 10	0 / 1
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births [b]	3 / 17	2 / 7	3 / 15	1 / 10	0 / 1
Percentage of cancellations [b]	8.7	0 / 7	0 / 16	3 / 13	0 / 1
Average number of embryos transferred	2.2	2.3	2.6	2.9	1.0
Percentage of pregnancies with twins [b]	2 / 8	1 / 5	0 / 4	0 / 2	
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more [b]	0 / 8	0 / 5	0 / 4	0 / 2	
Percentage of live births having multiple infants [b,c]	1 / 4	1 / 3	0 / 3	0 / 1	
Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of transfers	4	0	2	0	0
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	0 / 4		0 / 2		
Average number of embryos transferred	1.8		1.0		

Donor Eggs	All Ages Combined [e] Fresh Embryos	Frozen Embryos
Number of transfers	8	0
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	6 / 8	
Average number of embryos transferred	2.1	

CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

Current Name: BocaFertility

Donor egg?	Yes	Gestational carriers?	Yes	SART member?	Yes
Donor embryo?	Yes	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	Yes
Single women?	Yes			*(See Appendix C for details.)*	

[a] Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2009 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.
[b] When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.
[c] A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.
[d] Clinic-specific outcome rates for women older than 44 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs are not included because of small numbers. Readers are urged to review national outcomes for these age groups (see pages 30, 48 & 57).
[e] All ages (including ages >44) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.

PALM BEACH FERTILITY CENTER
BOCA RATON, FLORIDA

A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 81–90.

2009 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART [a]

IVF	100%	**Procedural Factors:**	
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	93%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	0%
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	3%
		Used PGD	20%
		With eSET	4%

Patient Diagnosis

Tubal factor	0%	Other factor	7%
Ovulatory dysfunction	3%	Unknown factor	2%
Diminished ovarian reserve	19%	*Multiple Factors:*	
Endometriosis	<1%	Female factors only	7%
Uterine factor	0%	Female & male factors	52%
Male factor	9%		

2009 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by Mark S. Denker, MD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman <35	35–37	38–40	41–42	43–44[d]
Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of cycles	25	26	22	7	0
Percentage of embryos transferred resulting in implantation [b]	25.0	19.5	9.1	3 / 14	
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies [b]	28.0	26.9	13.6	3 / 7	
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births [b,c]	24.0	19.2	13.6	1 / 7	
(Confidence Interval)	(9.4–45.1)	(6.6–39.4)	(2.9–34.9)		
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births [b,c]	26.1	20.8	13.6	1 / 5	
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	6 / 17	5 / 17	3 / 17	1 / 5	
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births [b]	5 / 17	4 / 17	3 / 17	1 / 5	
Percentage of cancellations [b]	8.0	7.7	0.0	2 / 7	
Average number of embryos transferred	1.9	2.4	1.9	2.8	
Percentage of pregnancies with twins [b]	1 / 7	1 / 7	0 / 3	0 / 3	
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more [b]	0 / 7	0 / 7	0 / 3	0 / 3	
Percentage of live births having multiple infants [b,c]	1 / 6	1 / 5	0 / 3	0 / 1	
Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of transfers	9	5	0	0	0
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	2 / 9	0 / 5			
Average number of embryos transferred	1.7	1.6			

Donor Eggs	All Ages Combined [e] Fresh Embryos	Frozen Embryos
Number of transfers	17	7
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	8 / 17	2 / 7
Average number of embryos transferred	2.0	1.9

CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

Current Name: Palm Beach Fertility Center

Donor egg?	Yes	Gestational carriers?	Yes	SART member?	Yes
Donor embryo?	Yes	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	Yes
Single women?	Yes			*(See Appendix C for details.)*	

[a] Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2009 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.
[b] When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.
[c] A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.
[d] Clinic-specific outcome rates for women older than 44 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs are not included because of small numbers. Readers are urged to review national outcomes for these age groups (see pages 30, 48 & 57).
[e] All ages (including ages >44) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.

ADVANCED REPRODUCTIVE CARE CENTER, PA
BOYNTON BEACH, FLORIDA

A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 81–90.

2009 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART [a]

IVF	100%	**Procedural Factors:**	
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	33%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	0%
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	0%
		Used PGD	0%
		With eSET	0%

Patient Diagnosis

Tubal factor	16%	Other factor	5%
Ovulatory dysfunction	22%	Unknown factor	11%
Diminished ovarian reserve	16%	*Multiple Factors:*	
Endometriosis	0%	Female factors only	5%
Uterine factor	0%	Female & male factors	16%
Male factor	8%		

2009 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by Tibor E. Polcz, MD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman <35	35–37	38–40	41–42	43–44[d]
Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of cycles	11	5	3	4	1
Percentage of embryos transferred resulting in implantation[b]	14.3	4 / 6	0 / 4	0 / 11	0 / 3
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies[b]	3 / 11	3 / 5	0 / 3	0 / 4	0 / 1
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births[b,c] (Confidence Interval)	2 / 11	3 / 5	0 / 3	0 / 4	0 / 1
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births[b,c]	2 / 11	3 / 3	0 / 2	0 / 4	0 / 1
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births[b,c]	2 / 11	3 / 3	0 / 2	0 / 4	0 / 1
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births[b]	2 / 11	2 / 3	0 / 2	0 / 4	0 / 1
Percentage of cancellations[b]	0 / 11	2 / 5	1 / 3	0 / 4	0 / 1
Average number of embryos transferred	1.9	2.0	2.0	2.8	3.0
Percentage of pregnancies with twins[b]	0 / 3	1 / 3			
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more[b]	0 / 3	0 / 3			
Percentage of live births having multiple infants[b,c]	0 / 2	1 / 3			
Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of transfers	1	4	6	0	0
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births[b,c]	0 / 1	2 / 4	0 / 6		
Average number of embryos transferred	2.0	2.3	2.7		

Donor Eggs	All Ages Combined[e] Fresh Embryos	Frozen Embryos
Number of transfers	1	0
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births[b,c]	1 / 1	
Average number of embryos transferred	2.0	

CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

Current Name: Advanced Reproductive Care Center, PA

Donor egg?	Yes	Gestational carriers?	Yes	SART member?	No
Donor embryo?	Yes	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	Yes
Single women?	Yes			*(See Appendix C for details.)*	

[a] Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2009 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.
[b] When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.
[c] A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.
[d] Clinic-specific outcome rates for women older than 44 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs are not included because of small numbers. Readers are urged to review national outcomes for these age groups (see pages 30, 48 & 57).
[e] All ages (including ages >44) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.

FLORIDA FERTILITY INSTITUTE
CLEARWATER, FLORIDA

A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 81–90.

2009 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART [a]

IVF	100%	**Procedural Factors:**	
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	84%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	0%
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	<1%
		Used PGD	2%
		With eSET	0%

Patient Diagnosis

Tubal factor	10%	Other factor	0%
Ovulatory dysfunction	5%	Unknown factor	8%
Diminished ovarian reserve	8%	*Multiple Factors:*	
Endometriosis	1%	Female factors only	20%
Uterine factor	0%	Female & male factors	32%
Male factor	16%		

2009 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by Mark D. Sanchez, MD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman <35	35–37	38–40	41–42	43–44 [d]
Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of cycles	66	29	35	8	1
Percentage of embryos transferred resulting in implantation [b]	30.4	39.0	20.3	0 / 15	
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies [b]	45.5	58.6	34.3	2 / 8	0 / 1
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births [b,c]	39.4	51.7	17.1	0 / 8	0 / 1
(Confidence Interval)	(27.6–52.2)	(32.5–70.6)	(6.6–33.6)		
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births [b,c]	40.6	51.7	19.4	0 / 7	
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	40.6	51.7	22.2	0 / 6	
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births [b]	20.3	17.2	14.8	0 / 6	
Percentage of cancellations [b]	3.0	0.0	11.4	1 / 8	1 / 1
Average number of embryos transferred	2.5	2.7	2.6	2.5	
Percentage of pregnancies with twins [b]	33.3	7 / 17	4 / 12	0 / 2	
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more [b]	16.7	3 / 17	0 / 12	0 / 2	
Percentage of live births having multiple infants [b,c]	50.0	10 / 15	2 / 6		
Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of transfers	6	1	0	0	0
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	2 / 6	0 / 1			
Average number of embryos transferred	2.3	1.0			

Donor Eggs	All Ages Combined [e] Fresh Embryos	Frozen Embryos
Number of transfers	10	3
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	5 / 10	0 / 3
Average number of embryos transferred	2.4	2.0

CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

Current Name: Florida Fertility Institute

Donor egg?	Yes	Gestational carriers?	Yes	SART member?	No
Donor embryo?	No	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	Yes
Single women?	Yes			*(See Appendix C for details.)*	

[a] Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2009 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.
[b] When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.
[c] A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.
[d] Clinic-specific outcome rates for women older than 44 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs are not included because of small numbers. Readers are urged to review national outcomes for these age groups (see pages 30, 48 & 57).
[e] All ages (including ages >44) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.

INFERTILITY & REPRODUCTIVE MEDICINE OF SOUTH BROWARD
KENNETH M. GELMAN, MD
COOPER CITY, FLORIDA

A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 81–90.

2009 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART [a]

IVF	100%	**Procedural Factors:**	
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	87%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	0%
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	0%
		Used PGD	18%
		With eSET	0%

Patient Diagnosis

Tubal factor	3%	Other factor	9%
Ovulatory dysfunction	23%	Unknown factor	6%
Diminished ovarian reserve	0%	*Multiple Factors:*	
Endometriosis	2%	Female factors only	25%
Uterine factor	0%	Female & male factors	31%
Male factor	0%		

2009 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by Kenneth M. Gelman, MD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman <35	35–37	38–40	41–42	43–44 [d]
Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of cycles	23	8	9	9	4
Percentage of embryos transferred resulting in implantation [b]	25.5	1 / 19	1 / 13	9.5	1 / 11
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies [b]	39.1	1 / 8	1 / 9	2 / 9	1 / 4
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births [b,c]	30.4	1 / 8	0 / 9	1 / 9	0 / 4
(Confidence Interval)	(13.2–52.9)				
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births [b,c]	30.4	1 / 7	0 / 7	1 / 9	0 / 4
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	33.3	1 / 6	0 / 5	1 / 8	0 / 4
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births [b]	19.0	1 / 6	0 / 5	1 / 8	0 / 4
Percentage of cancellations [b]	0.0	1 / 8	2 / 9	0 / 9	0 / 4
Average number of embryos transferred	2.6	3.2	2.6	2.6	2.8
Percentage of pregnancies with twins [b]	5 / 9	0 / 1	0 / 1	0 / 2	0 / 1
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more [b]	0 / 9	0 / 1	0 / 1	0 / 2	0 / 1
Percentage of live births having multiple infants [b,c]	3 / 7	0 / 1		0 / 1	
Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of transfers	3	1	0	0	0
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	1 / 3	0 / 1			
Average number of embryos transferred	1.7	1.0			

Donor Eggs	All Ages Combined [e] Fresh Embryos	Frozen Embryos
Number of transfers	0	0
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]		
Average number of embryos transferred		

CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

Current Name: Infertility & Reproductive Medicine of South Broward, Kenneth M. Gelman, MD

Donor egg?	No	Gestational carriers?	No	SART member?	No
Donor embryo?	No	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	No
Single women?	Yes			*(See Appendix C for details.)*	

[a] Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2009 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.
[b] When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.
[c] A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.
[d] Clinic-specific outcome rates for women older than 44 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs are not included because of small numbers. Readers are urged to review national outcomes for these age groups (see pages 30, 48 & 57).
[e] All ages (including ages >44) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.

SPECIALISTS IN REPRODUCTIVE MEDICINE & SURGERY, PA
CRAIG R. SWEET, MD
FORT MYERS, FLORIDA

A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 81–90.

2009 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART [a]

IVF	100%	**Procedural Factors:**	
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	93%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	0%
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	0%
		Used PGD	12%
		With eSET	2%

Patient Diagnosis

Tubal factor	17%	Other factor	8%
Ovulatory dysfunction	4%	Unknown factor	1%
Diminished ovarian reserve	31%	*Multiple Factors:*	
Endometriosis	7%	Female factors only	2%
Uterine factor	0%	Female & male factors	11%
Male factor	19%		

2009 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by Craig R. Sweet, MD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman <35	35–37	38–40	41–42	43–44 [d]
Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of cycles	23	17	13	3	2
Percentage of embryos transferred resulting in implantation [b]	21.7	32.3	24.0	1 / 5	0 / 2
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies [b]	39.1	6 / 17	6 / 13	1 / 3	0 / 2
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births [b,c]	34.8	6 / 17	2 / 13	1 / 3	0 / 2
(Confidence Interval)	(16.4–57.3)				
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births [b,c]	34.8	6 / 14	2 / 12	1 / 3	0 / 2
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	36.4	6 / 14	2 / 9	1 / 2	0 / 2
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births [b]	31.8	2 / 14	0 / 9	1 / 2	0 / 2
Percentage of cancellations [b]	0.0	3 / 17	1 / 13	0 / 3	0 / 2
Average number of embryos transferred	2.1	2.2	2.8	2.5	1.0
Percentage of pregnancies with twins [b]	1 / 9	4 / 6	2 / 6	0 / 1	
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more [b]	0 / 9	0 / 6	0 / 6	0 / 1	
Percentage of live births having multiple infants [b,c]	1 / 8	4 / 6	2 / 2	0 / 1	
Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of transfers	5	1	2	1	0
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	2 / 5	0 / 1	0 / 2	0 / 1	
Average number of embryos transferred	2.2	2.0	1.0	2.0	

Donor Eggs	All Ages Combined [e] Fresh Embryos	Frozen Embryos
Number of transfers	12	11
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	3 / 12	4 / 11
Average number of embryos transferred	1.6	2.2

CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

Current Name: Specialists in Reproductive Medicine & Surgery, PA, Craig R. Sweet, MD

Donor egg?	Yes	Gestational carriers?	Yes	SART member?	No
Donor embryo?	Yes	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	Yes
Single women?	Yes			*(See Appendix C for details.)*	

[a] Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2009 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.
[b] When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.
[c] A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.
[d] Clinic-specific outcome rates for women older than 44 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs are not included because of small numbers. Readers are urged to review national outcomes for these age groups (see pages 30, 48 & 57).
[e] All ages (including ages >44) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.

UNIVERSITY OF FLORIDA WOMEN'S HEALTH AT MAGNOLIA PARKE
GAINESVILLE, FLORIDA

A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 81–90.

2009 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART [a]

IVF	100%	**Procedural Factors:**	
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	57%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	0%
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	0%
		Used PGD	6%
		With eSET	0%

Patient Diagnosis

Tubal factor	10%	Other factor	20%
Ovulatory dysfunction	<1%	Unknown factor	10%
Diminished ovarian reserve	0%	*Multiple Factors:*	
Endometriosis	6%	Female factors only	20%
Uterine factor	0%	Female & male factors	19%
Male factor	15%		

2009 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by Orhan Bukulmez, MD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman <35	35–37	38–40	41–42	43–44 [d]
Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of cycles	46	19	17	8	1
Percentage of embryos transferred resulting in implantation [b]	44.6	37.5	44.0	0 / 10	1 / 2
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies [b]	52.2	8 / 19	7 / 17	0 / 8	1 / 1
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births [b,c]	50.0	8 / 19	6 / 17	0 / 8	1 / 1
(Confidence Interval)	(34.9–65.1)				
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births [b,c]	52.3	8 / 17	6 / 13	0 / 5	1 / 1
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	56.1	8 / 16	6 / 11	0 / 4	1 / 1
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births [b]	26.8	5 / 16	4 / 11	0 / 4	1 / 1
Percentage of cancellations [b]	4.3	2 / 19	4 / 17	3 / 8	0 / 1
Average number of embryos transferred	2.0	2.0	2.3	2.5	2.0
Percentage of pregnancies with twins [b]	54.2	4 / 8	2 / 7		0 / 1
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more [b]	0.0	0 / 8	1 / 7		0 / 1
Percentage of live births having multiple infants [b,c]	52.2	3 / 8	2 / 6		0 / 1
Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of transfers	4	4	1	1	0
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	1 / 4	0 / 4	0 / 1	0 / 1	
Average number of embryos transferred	2.0	1.5	1.0	2.0	

Donor Eggs	All Ages Combined [e] Fresh Embryos	Frozen Embryos
Number of transfers	9	0
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	5 / 9	
Average number of embryos transferred	2.0	

CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

Current Name: University of Florida Women's Health at Magnolia Parke

Donor egg?	Yes	Gestational carriers?	Yes	SART member?	Yes
Donor embryo?	Yes	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	Yes
Single women?	Yes			*(See Appendix C for details.)*	

[a] Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2009 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.
[b] When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.
[c] A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.
[d] Clinic-specific outcome rates for women older than 44 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs are not included because of small numbers. Readers are urged to review national outcomes for these age groups (see pages 30, 48 & 57).
[e] All ages (including ages >44) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.

ASSISTED FERTILITY PROGRAM OF NORTH FLORIDA
JACKSONVILLE, FLORIDA

A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 81–90.

2009 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART [a]

IVF	97%	**Procedural Factors:**	
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	34%
ZIFT	3%	Unstimulated	0%
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	0%
		Used PGD	0%
		With eSET	2%

Patient Diagnosis

Tubal factor	12%	Other factor	1%
Ovulatory dysfunction	11%	Unknown factor	18%
Diminished ovarian reserve	31%	*Multiple Factors:*	
Endometriosis	1%	Female factors only	3%
Uterine factor	8%	Female & male factors	2%
Male factor	12%		

2009 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by Marwan M. Shaykh, MD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman <35	35–37	38–40	41–42	43–44 [d]
Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of cycles	30	21	9	7	3
Percentage of embryos transferred resulting in implantation [b]	16.2	20.4	0.0	0.0	1 / 4
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies [b]	26.7	33.3	0 / 9	0 / 7	1 / 3
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births [b,c]	23.3	23.8	0 / 9	0 / 7	1 / 3
(Confidence Interval)	(9.9–42.3)	(8.2–47.2)			
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births [b,c]	24.1	5 / 18	0 / 9	0 / 7	1 / 2
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	25.0	5 / 17	0 / 9	0 / 6	1 / 2
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births [b]	21.4	3 / 17	0 / 9	0 / 6	1 / 2
Percentage of cancellations [b]	3.3	14.3	0 / 9	0 / 7	1 / 3
Average number of embryos transferred	2.6	2.9	2.4	4.0	2.0
Percentage of pregnancies with twins [b]	2 / 8	3 / 7			0 / 1
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more [b]	1 / 8	0 / 7			0 / 1
Percentage of live births having multiple infants [b,c]	1 / 7	2 / 5			0 / 1
Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of transfers	2	0	0	1	0
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	1 / 2			0 / 1	
Average number of embryos transferred	2.5			2.0	

Donor Eggs	All Ages Combined [e] Fresh Embryos	Frozen Embryos
Number of transfers	10	6
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	3 / 10	0 / 6
Average number of embryos transferred	2.9	2.2

CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

Current Name: Assisted Fertility Program of North Florida

Donor egg?	Yes	Gestational carriers?	Yes	SART member?	Yes
Donor embryo?	Yes	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	Yes
Single women?	Yes			*(See Appendix C for details.)*	

[a] Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2009 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.
[b] When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.
[c] A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.
[d] Clinic-specific outcome rates for women older than 44 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs are not included because of small numbers. Readers are urged to review national outcomes for these age groups (see pages 30, 48 & 57).
[e] All ages (including ages >44) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.

FLORIDA INSTITUTE FOR REPRODUCTIVE MEDICINE
JACKSONVILLE, FLORIDA

A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 81–90.

2009 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART [a]

IVF	100%	**Procedural Factors:**	
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	79%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	0%
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	<1%
		Used PGD	<1%
		With eSET	2%

Patient Diagnosis

Tubal factor	3%	Other factor	2%
Ovulatory dysfunction	3%	Unknown factor	2%
Diminished ovarian reserve	8%	*Multiple Factors:*	
Endometriosis	4%	Female factors only	5%
Uterine factor	<1%	Female & male factors	46%
Male factor	28%		

2009 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by Kevin L. Winslow, MD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman <35	35–37	38–40	41–42	43–44[d]
Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of cycles	210	104	80	21	9
Percentage of embryos transferred resulting in implantation[b]	36.5	22.6	18.3	5.9	3.8
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies[b]	41.0	33.7	31.3	4.8	1 / 9
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births[b,c]	39.5	29.8	25.0	4.8	0 / 9
(Confidence Interval)	(32.9–46.5)	(21.2–39.6)	(16.0–35.9)	(0.1–23.8)	
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births[b,c]	43.7	34.8	28.2	1 / 14	0 / 7
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births[b,c]	50.6	37.3	29.4	1 / 13	0 / 7
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births[b]	28.0	26.5	19.1	0 / 13	0 / 7
Percentage of cancellations[b]	9.5	14.4	11.3	33.3	2 / 9
Average number of embryos transferred	2.2	2.6	2.9	2.6	3.7
Percentage of pregnancies with twins[b]	48.8	40.0	28.0	1 / 1	0 / 1
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more[b]	3.5	0.0	8.0	0 / 1	0 / 1
Percentage of live births having multiple infants[b,c]	44.6	29.0	35.0	1 / 1	
Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of transfers	121	45	48	13	6
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births[b,c]	39.7	28.9	33.3	3 / 13	1 / 6
Average number of embryos transferred	2.2	2.0	2.2	2.2	2.3

Donor Eggs	All Ages Combined[e] Fresh Embryos	Frozen Embryos
Number of transfers	52	50
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births[b,c]	50.0	20.0
Average number of embryos transferred	2.1	2.1

CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

Current Name: Florida Institute for Reproductive Medicine

Donor egg?	Yes	Gestational carriers?	Yes	SART member?	Yes
Donor embryo?	Yes	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	Yes
Single women?	Yes			*(See Appendix C for details.)*	

[a] Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2009 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.
[b] When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.
[c] A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.
[d] Clinic-specific outcome rates for women older than 44 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs are not included because of small numbers. Readers are urged to review national outcomes for these age groups (see pages 30, 48 & 57).
[e] All ages (including ages >44) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.

JACKSONVILLE CENTER FOR REPRODUCTIVE MEDICINE
JACKSONVILLE, FLORIDA

A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 81–90.

2009 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART [a]

IVF	100%	**Procedural Factors:**	
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	30%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	0%
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	<1%
		Used PGD	<1%
		With eSET	2%

Patient Diagnosis

Tubal factor	6%	Other factor	7%
Ovulatory dysfunction	11%	Unknown factor	0%
Diminished ovarian reserve	16%	*Multiple Factors:*	
Endometriosis	2%	Female factors only	37%
Uterine factor	<1%	Female & male factors	15%
Male factor	6%		

2009 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by Michael D. Fox, MD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman <35	35–37	38–40	41–42	43–44 [d]
Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of cycles	88	24	25	10	1
Percentage of embryos transferred resulting in implantation [b]	33.9	24.4	16.3	8.0	0 / 2
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies [b]	43.2	37.5	20.0	2 / 10	0 / 1
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births [b,c]	37.5	20.8	4.0	0 / 10	0 / 1
(Confidence Interval)	(27.4–48.5)	(7.1–42.2)	(0.1–20.4)		
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births [b,c]	40.7	22.7	4.5	0 / 9	0 / 1
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	43.4	25.0	4.8	0 / 8	0 / 1
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births [b]	28.9	25.0	4.8	0 / 8	0 / 1
Percentage of cancellations [b]	8.0	8.3	12.0	1 / 10	0 / 1
Average number of embryos transferred	2.3	2.3	2.0	3.1	2.0
Percentage of pregnancies with twins [b]	42.1	2 / 9	2 / 5	0 / 2	
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more [b]	5.3	0 / 9	0 / 5	0 / 2	
Percentage of live births having multiple infants [b,c]	33.3	0 / 5	0 / 1		
Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of transfers	18	4	2	3	0
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	3 / 18	2 / 4	0 / 2	0 / 3	
Average number of embryos transferred	1.9	2.3	2.0	1.7	

Donor Eggs	All Ages Combined [e] Fresh Embryos	Frozen Embryos
Number of transfers	18	16
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	5 / 18	2 / 16
Average number of embryos transferred	1.9	1.9

CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

Current Name: Jacksonville Center for Reproductive Medicine

Donor egg?	Yes	Gestational carriers?	Yes	SART member?	Yes
Donor embryo?	Yes	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	Pending
Single women?	Yes			*(See Appendix C for details.)*	

[a] Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2009 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.
[b] When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.
[c] A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.
[d] Clinic-specific outcome rates for women older than 44 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs are not included because of small numbers. Readers are urged to review national outcomes for these age groups (see pages 30, 48 & 57).
[e] All ages (including ages >44) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.

GENE F. MANKO, MD, INC.
JUPITER, FLORIDA

A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 81–90.

2009 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART[a]

IVF	100%	**Procedural Factors:**	
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	58%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	0%
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	0%
		Used PGD	0%
		With eSET	0%

Patient Diagnosis

Tubal factor	31%	Other factor	0%
Ovulatory dysfunction	0%	Unknown factor	8%
Diminished ovarian reserve	0%	*Multiple Factors:*	
Endometriosis	0%	Female factors only	0%
Uterine factor	0%	Female & male factors	15%
Male factor	46%		

2009 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by Gene F. Manko, MD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman <35	35–37	38–40	41–42	43–44[d]
Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of cycles	7	3	2	0	0
Percentage of embryos transferred resulting in implantation[b]	8 / 13	3 / 3	1 / 1		
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies[b]	5 / 7	2 / 3	1 / 2		
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births[b,c] (Confidence Interval)	5 / 7	2 / 3	1 / 2		
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births[b,c]	5 / 7	2 / 2	1 / 2		
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births[b,c]	5 / 6	2 / 2	1 / 1		
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births[b]	4 / 6	1 / 2	1 / 1		
Percentage of cancellations[b]	0 / 7	1 / 3	0 / 2		
Average number of embryos transferred	2.2	1.5	1.0		
Percentage of pregnancies with twins[b]	1 / 5	1 / 2	0 / 1		
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more[b]	1 / 5	0 / 2	0 / 1		
Percentage of live births having multiple infants[b,c]	1 / 5	1 / 2	0 / 1		
Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of transfers	0	1	0	0	0
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births[b,c]		1 / 1			
Average number of embryos transferred		2.0			

Donor Eggs	All Ages Combined[e] Fresh Embryos	Frozen Embryos
Number of transfers	0	0
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births[b,c]		
Average number of embryos transferred		

CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

Current Name: This clinic has closed or reorganized since 2009. Information on current clinic services and profile therefore is not provided here. Contact the NASS Help Desk for current information about this clinic.

[a] Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2009 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.
[b] When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.
[c] A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.
[d] Clinic-specific outcome rates for women older than 44 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs are not included because of small numbers. Readers are urged to review national outcomes for these age groups (see pages 30, 48 & 57).
[e] All ages (including ages >44) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.

CENTER FOR REPRODUCTIVE MEDICINE
STEPHEN W. WELDEN, MD
LUTZ, FLORIDA

A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 81–90.

2009 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART [a]

IVF	100%	**Procedural Factors:**	
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	10%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	0%
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	2%
		Used PGD	0%
		With eSET	3%

Patient Diagnosis

Tubal factor	9%	Other factor	10%
Ovulatory dysfunction	0%	Unknown factor	43%
Diminished ovarian reserve	13%	*Multiple Factors:*	
Endometriosis	2%	Female factors only	0%
Uterine factor	2%	Female & male factors	2%
Male factor	19%		

2009 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by Stephen W. Welden, MD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman <35	35–37	38–40	41–42	43–44 [d]
Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of cycles	19	13	5	2	1
Percentage of embryos transferred resulting in implantation [b]	20.5	17.9	2 / 12	0 / 1	0 / 4
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies [b]	7 / 19	4 / 13	1 / 5	0 / 2	0 / 1
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births [b,c] (Confidence Interval)	5 / 19	4 / 13	1 / 5	0 / 2	0 / 1
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births [b,c]	5 / 18	4 / 13	1 / 5	0 / 1	0 / 1
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	5 / 18	4 / 13	1 / 5	0 / 1	0 / 1
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births [b]	4 / 18	4 / 13	0 / 5	0 / 1	0 / 1
Percentage of cancellations [b]	1 / 19	0 / 13	0 / 5	1 / 2	0 / 1
Average number of embryos transferred	2.2	2.2	2.4	1.0	4.0
Percentage of pregnancies with twins [b]	2 / 7	1 / 4	1 / 1		
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more [b]	0 / 7	0 / 4	0 / 1		
Percentage of live births having multiple infants [b,c]	1 / 5	0 / 4	1 / 1		
Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of transfers	4	2	1	0	0
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	0 / 4	0 / 2	0 / 1		
Average number of embryos transferred	2.5	3.0	3.0		

Donor Eggs	All Ages Combined [e] Fresh Embryos	Frozen Embryos
Number of transfers	13	6
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	6 / 13	0 / 6
Average number of embryos transferred	2.1	3.0

CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

Current Name: Center for Reproductive Medicine, Stephen W. Welden, MD

Donor egg?	Yes	Gestational carriers?	Yes	SART member?	No
Donor embryo?	Yes	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	No
Single women?	Yes			*(See Appendix C for details.)*	

[a] Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2009 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.
[b] When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.
[c] A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.
[d] Clinic-specific outcome rates for women older than 44 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs are not included because of small numbers. Readers are urged to review national outcomes for these age groups (see pages 30, 48 & 57).
[e] All ages (including ages >44) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.

IVF FLORIDA
MARGATE, FLORIDA

A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 81–90.

2009 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART [a]

IVF	100%	**Procedural Factors:**	
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	60%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	0%
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	2%
		Used PGD	<1%
		With eSET	7%

Patient Diagnosis

Tubal factor	11%	Other factor	7%
Ovulatory dysfunction	5%	Unknown factor	8%
Diminished ovarian reserve	21%	*Multiple Factors:*	
Endometriosis	8%	Female factors only	9%
Uterine factor	2%	Female & male factors	11%
Male factor	18%		

2009 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by David I. Hoffman, MD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman <35	35–37	38–40	41–42	43–44[d]
Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of cycles	263	166	165	71	24
Percentage of embryos transferred resulting in implantation[b]	36.7	28.7	10.4	4.2	8.3
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies[b]	46.4	42.8	21.8	9.9	16.7
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births[b,c]	40.3	32.5	15.2	7.0	12.5
(Confidence Interval)	(34.3–46.5)	(25.5–40.2)	(10.1–21.5)	(2.3–15.7)	(2.7–32.4)
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births[b,c]	47.1	37.8	18.8	10.2	3 / 15
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births[b,c]	48.8	40.0	21.0	10.6	3 / 14
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births[b]	35.5	27.4	17.6	8.5	3 / 14
Percentage of cancellations[b]	14.4	13.9	19.4	31.0	37.5
Average number of embryos transferred	1.9	2.2	2.8	3.6	3.4
Percentage of pregnancies with twins[b]	27.9	25.4	13.9	1 / 7	0 / 4
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more[b]	0.8	2.8	0.0	0 / 7	0 / 4
Percentage of live births having multiple infants[b,c]	27.4	31.5	16.0	1 / 5	0 / 3
Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of transfers	57	38	20	11	0
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births[b,c]	57.9	39.5	35.0	3 / 11	
Average number of embryos transferred	1.9	1.9	2.1	2.4	

Donor Eggs	All Ages Combined[e] Fresh Embryos	Frozen Embryos
Number of transfers	66	25
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births[b,c]	47.0	32.0
Average number of embryos transferred	1.8	1.9

CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

Current Name: IVF Florida

Donor egg?	Yes	Gestational carriers?	Yes	SART member?	Yes
Donor embryo?	No	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	Yes
Single women?	Yes			*(See Appendix C for details.)*	

[a] Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2009 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.
[b] When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.
[c] A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.
[d] Clinic-specific outcome rates for women older than 44 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs are not included because of small numbers. Readers are urged to review national outcomes for these age groups (see pages 30, 48 & 57).
[e] All ages (including ages >44) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.

FERTILITY & REPRODUCTIVE MEDICINE CENTER FOR WOMEN
MELBOURNE, FLORIDA

A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 81–90.

2009 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART [a]

IVF	100%	**Procedural Factors:**	
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	28%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	0%
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	5%
		Used PGD	0%
		With eSET	0%

Patient Diagnosis

Tubal factor	2%	Other factor	6%
Ovulatory dysfunction	4%	Unknown factor	10%
Diminished ovarian reserve	8%	*Multiple Factors:*	
Endometriosis	0%	Female factors only	27%
Uterine factor	2%	Female & male factors	33%
Male factor	10%		

2009 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by Diran Chamoun, MD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman <35	35–37	38–40	41–42	43–44 [d]
Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of cycles	13	11	6	6	3
Percentage of embryos transferred resulting in implantation [b]	11.5	33.3	3 / 13	1 / 14	0 / 4
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies [b]	3 / 13	5 / 11	3 / 6	2 / 6	0 / 3
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births [b,c] (Confidence Interval)	1 / 13	5 / 11	3 / 6	1 / 6	0 / 3
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births [b,c]	1 / 11	5 / 11	3 / 6	1 / 5	0 / 3
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	1 / 11	5 / 9	3 / 5	1 / 5	0 / 1
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births [b]	1 / 11	4 / 9	3 / 5	1 / 5	0 / 1
Percentage of cancellations [b]	2 / 13	0 / 11	0 / 6	1 / 6	0 / 3
Average number of embryos transferred	2.4	2.3	2.6	2.8	4.0
Percentage of pregnancies with twins [b]	1 / 3	0 / 5	0 / 3	0 / 2	
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more [b]	0 / 3	1 / 5	0 / 3	0 / 2	
Percentage of live births having multiple infants [b,c]	0 / 1	1 / 5	0 / 3	0 / 1	
Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of transfers	2	0	0	0	0
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	0 / 2				
Average number of embryos transferred	2.0				

Donor Eggs	All Ages Combined [e] Fresh Embryos	Frozen Embryos
Number of transfers	9	2
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	3 / 9	0 / 2
Average number of embryos transferred	2.6	2.0

CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

Current Name: Viera Fertility Center, Fertility & Reproductive Medicine Center for Women

Donor egg?	Yes	Gestational carriers?	Yes	SART member?	Yes
Donor embryo?	Yes	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	Yes
Single women?	Yes			*(See Appendix C for details.)*	

[a] Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2009 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.
[b] When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.
[c] A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.
[d] Clinic-specific outcome rates for women older than 44 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs are not included because of small numbers. Readers are urged to review national outcomes for these age groups (see pages 30, 48 & 57).
[e] All ages (including ages >44) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.

FERTILITY & IVF CENTER OF MIAMI, INC.
MIAMI, FLORIDA

A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 81–90.

2009 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART [a]

IVF	100%	**Procedural Factors:**	
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	88%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	<1%
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	<1%
		Used PGD	5%
		With eSET	2%

Patient Diagnosis

Tubal factor	4%	Other factor	5%
Ovulatory dysfunction	3%	Unknown factor	3%
Diminished ovarian reserve	6%	*Multiple Factors:*	
Endometriosis	2%	Female factors only	9%
Uterine factor	<1%	Female & male factors	48%
Male factor	19%		

2009 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by Michael H. Jacobs, MD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman <35	35–37	38–40	41–42	43–44[d]
Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of cycles	126	95	74	26	10
Percentage of embryos transferred resulting in implantation[b]	27.7	21.3	19.0	0.0	2 / 18
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies[b]	38.9	32.6	27.0	0.0	2 / 10
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births[b,c]	35.7	27.4	16.2	0.0	1 / 10
(Confidence Interval)	(27.4–44.7)	(18.7–37.5)	(8.7–26.6)	(0.0–13.2)	
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births[b,c]	38.1	31.3	18.2	0.0	1 / 9
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births[b,c]	40.5	32.5	19.0	0 / 17	1 / 7
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births[b]	25.2	26.3	12.7	0 / 17	1 / 7
Percentage of cancellations[b]	6.3	12.6	10.8	15.4	1 / 10
Average number of embryos transferred	2.3	2.3	2.4	2.6	2.6
Percentage of pregnancies with twins[b]	34.7	19.4	35.0		0 / 2
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more[b]	4.1	3.2	5.0		0 / 2
Percentage of live births having multiple infants[b,c]	37.8	19.2	4 / 12		0 / 1
Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of transfers	45	19	9	9	8
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births[b,c]	35.6	4 / 19	3 / 9	5 / 9	1 / 8
Average number of embryos transferred	1.8	2.0	1.9	2.0	2.1

Donor Eggs	All Ages Combined[e] Fresh Embryos	Frozen Embryos
Number of transfers	33	4
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births[b,c]	51.5	1 / 4
Average number of embryos transferred	2.1	2.3

CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

Current Name: Fertility & IVF Center of Miami, Inc.

Donor egg?	Yes	Gestational carriers?	Yes	SART member?	Yes
Donor embryo?	Yes	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	Yes
Single women?	Yes			*(See Appendix C for details.)*	

[a] Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2009 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.
[b] When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.
[c] A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.
[d] Clinic-specific outcome rates for women older than 44 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs are not included because of small numbers. Readers are urged to review national outcomes for these age groups (see pages 30, 48 & 57).
[e] All ages (including ages >44) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.

PALMETTO FERTILITY CENTER OF SOUTH FLORIDA
MIAMI, FLORIDA

A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 81–90.

2009 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART [a]

IVF	100%	**Procedural Factors:**	
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	84%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	<1%
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	2%
		Used PGD	2%
		With eSET	<1%

Patient Diagnosis

Tubal factor	11%	Other factor	4%
Ovulatory dysfunction	7%	Unknown factor	5%
Diminished ovarian reserve	10%	*Multiple Factors:*	
Endometriosis	<1%	Female factors only	8%
Uterine factor	1%	Female & male factors	41%
Male factor	13%		

2009 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by Michael D. Graubert, MD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman <35	35–37	38–40	41–42	43–44[d]
Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of cycles	57	34	21	15	3
Percentage of embryos transferred resulting in implantation[b]	32.2	30.8	14.0	3.1	1 / 14
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies[b]	54.4	52.9	28.6	1 / 15	1 / 3
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births[b,c]	40.4	38.2	23.8	1 / 15	0 / 3
(Confidence Interval)	(27.6–54.2)	(22.2–56.4)	(8.2–47.2)		
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births[b,c]	41.8	38.2	5 / 19	1 / 11	0 / 3
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births[b,c]	44.2	38.2	5 / 17	1 / 11	0 / 3
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births[b]	25.0	14.7	4 / 17	1 / 11	0 / 3
Percentage of cancellations[b]	3.5	0.0	9.5	4 / 15	0 / 3
Average number of embryos transferred	2.3	2.7	2.9	2.9	4.7
Percentage of pregnancies with twins[b]	35.5	7 / 18	1 / 6	0 / 1	0 / 1
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more[b]	0.0	2 / 18	0 / 6	0 / 1	0 / 1
Percentage of live births having multiple infants[b,c]	43.5	8 / 13	1 / 5	0 / 1	
Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of transfers	9	3	2	0	0
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births[b,c]	5 / 9	2 / 3	0 / 2		
Average number of embryos transferred	1.9	1.7	2.5		

Donor Eggs	All Ages Combined[e] Fresh Embryos	Frozen Embryos
Number of transfers	14	5
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births[b,c]	11 / 14	4 / 5
Average number of embryos transferred	1.9	1.8

CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

Current Name: Palmetto Fertility Center of South Florida

Donor egg?	Yes	Gestational carriers?	Yes	SART member?	Yes
Donor embryo?	Yes	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	Yes
Single women?	Yes			*(See Appendix C for details.)*	

[a] Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2009 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.
[b] When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.
[c] A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.
[d] Clinic-specific outcome rates for women older than 44 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs are not included because of small numbers. Readers are urged to review national outcomes for these age groups (see pages 30, 48 & 57).
[e] All ages (including ages >44) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.

UNIVERSITY OF MIAMI INFERTILITY CENTER
MIAMI, FLORIDA

A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 81–90.

2009 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART [a]

IVF	100%	**Procedural Factors:**	
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	58%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	0%
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	0%
		Used PGD	2%
		With eSET	0%

Patient Diagnosis

Tubal factor	26%	Other factor	10%
Ovulatory dysfunction	4%	Unknown factor	10%
Diminished ovarian reserve	7%	*Multiple Factors:*	
Endometriosis	8%	Female factors only	9%
Uterine factor	2%	Female & male factors	9%
Male factor	16%		

2009 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by George R. Attia, MD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman <35	35–37	38–40	41–42	43–44[d]
Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of cycles	41	25	25	6	8
Percentage of embryos transferred resulting in implantation[b]	36.7	14.7	19.4	2 / 10	0 / 10
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies[b]	48.8	16.0	24.0	1 / 6	0 / 8
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births[b,c]	48.8	12.0	20.0	0 / 6	0 / 8
(Confidence Interval)	(32.9–64.9)	(2.5–31.2)	(6.8–40.7)		
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births[b,c]	48.8	14.3	22.7	0 / 6	0 / 7
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births[b,c]	52.6	3 / 17	5 / 18	0 / 5	0 / 6
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births[b]	39.5	2 / 17	4 / 18	0 / 5	0 / 6
Percentage of cancellations[b]	0.0	16.0	12.0	0 / 6	1 / 8
Average number of embryos transferred	2.1	2.0	2.0	2.0	1.7
Percentage of pregnancies with twins[b]	35.0	1 / 4	1 / 6	1 / 1	
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more[b]	5.0	0 / 4	0 / 6	0 / 1	
Percentage of live births having multiple infants[b,c]	25.0	1 / 3	1 / 5		
Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of transfers	6	10	4	0	0
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births[b,c]	4 / 6	1 / 10	0 / 4		
Average number of embryos transferred	2.0	1.5	1.5		

Donor Eggs	All Ages Combined[e] Fresh Embryos	Frozen Embryos
Number of transfers	3	0
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births[b,c]	1 / 3	
Average number of embryos transferred	2.0	

CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

Current Name: University of Miami Infertility Center

Donor egg?	Yes	Gestational carriers?	Yes	SART member?	Yes
Donor embryo?	Yes	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	Yes
Single women?	No			*(See Appendix C for details.)*	

[a] Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2009 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.
[b] When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.
[c] A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.
[d] Clinic-specific outcome rates for women older than 44 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs are not included because of small numbers. Readers are urged to review national outcomes for these age groups (see pages 30, 48 & 57).
[e] All ages (including ages >44) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.

CENTER FOR REPRODUCTIVE MEDICINE, PA
ORLANDO, FLORIDA

A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 81–90.

2009 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART [a]

IVF	100%	**Procedural Factors:**	
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	51%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	<1%
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	1%
		Used PGD	1%
		With eSET	7%

Patient Diagnosis

Tubal factor	11%	Other factor	5%
Ovulatory dysfunction	19%	Unknown factor	2%
Diminished ovarian reserve	12%	*Multiple Factors:*	
Endometriosis	12%	Female factors only	14%
Uterine factor	1%	Female & male factors	12%
Male factor	13%		

2009 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by Randall A. Loy, MD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman <35	35–37	38–40	41–42	43–44 [d]
Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of cycles	190	94	84	22	7
Percentage of embryos transferred resulting in implantation [b]	42.5	28.1	21.1	7.0	0 / 8
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies [b]	48.9	45.7	32.1	18.2	0 / 7
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births [b,c]	43.2	35.1	23.8	0.0	0 / 7
(Confidence Interval)	(36.0–50.5)	(25.5–45.6)	(15.2–34.3)	(0.0–15.4)	
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births [b,c]	50.0	37.5	27.4	0.0	0 / 6
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	53.2	41.3	29.4	0 / 18	0 / 3
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births [b]	31.8	35.0	20.6	0 / 18	0 / 3
Percentage of cancellations [b]	13.7	6.4	13.1	9.1	1 / 7
Average number of embryos transferred	1.9	2.1	2.4	2.4	2.7
Percentage of pregnancies with twins [b]	40.9	20.9	29.6	0 / 4	
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more [b]	1.1	2.3	3.7	0 / 4	
Percentage of live births having multiple infants [b,c]	40.2	15.2	30.0		
Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of transfers	22	9	9	3	2
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	9.1	2 / 9	0 / 9	0 / 3	0 / 2
Average number of embryos transferred	1.9	2.3	1.8	2.0	2.0

Donor Eggs	All Ages Combined [e] Fresh Embryos	Frozen Embryos
Number of transfers	31	7
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	58.1	2 / 7
Average number of embryos transferred	1.9	1.9

CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

Current Name: Center for Reproductive Medicine, PA

Donor egg?	Yes	Gestational carriers?	Yes	SART member?	Yes
Donor embryo?	Yes	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	Yes
Single women?	Yes			*(See Appendix C for details.)*	

[a] Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2009 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.
[b] When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.
[c] A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.
[d] Clinic-specific outcome rates for women older than 44 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs are not included because of small numbers. Readers are urged to review national outcomes for these age groups (see pages 30, 48 & 57).
[e] All ages (including ages >44) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.

FRANK C. RIGGALL, MD, PA
ORLANDO, FLORIDA

A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 81–90.

2009 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART [a]

IVF	100%	**Procedural Factors:**	
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	19%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	0%
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	0%
		Used PGD	0%
		With eSET	0%

Patient Diagnosis

Tubal factor	26%	Other factor	3%
Ovulatory dysfunction	7%	Unknown factor	0%
Diminished ovarian reserve	10%	*Multiple Factors:*	
Endometriosis	7%	Female factors only	32%
Uterine factor	0%	Female & male factors	10%
Male factor	7%		

2009 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by Frank C. Riggall, MD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman <35	35–37	38–40	41–42	43–44[d]
Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of cycles	6	6	1	1	2
Percentage of embryos transferred resulting in implantation[b]	1 / 9	0 / 7	0 / 3	0 / 3	0 / 4
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies[b]	1 / 6	0 / 6	1 / 1	0 / 1	0 / 2
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births[b,c] (Confidence Interval)	1 / 6	0 / 6	0 / 1	0 / 1	0 / 2
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births[b,c]	1 / 4	0 / 4	0 / 1	0 / 1	0 / 1
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births[b,c]	1 / 4	0 / 3	0 / 1	0 / 1	0 / 1
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births[b]	1 / 4	0 / 3	0 / 1	0 / 1	0 / 1
Percentage of cancellations[b]	2 / 6	2 / 6	0 / 1	0 / 1	1 / 2
Average number of embryos transferred	2.3	2.3	3.0	3.0	4.0
Percentage of pregnancies with twins[b]	0 / 1		0 / 1		
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more[b]	0 / 1		0 / 1		
Percentage of live births having multiple infants[b,c]	0 / 1				
Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of transfers	2	3	3	0	0
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births[b,c]	0 / 2	0 / 3	0 / 3		
Average number of embryos transferred	2.0	3.0	2.0		

Donor Eggs	All Ages Combined[e] Fresh Embryos	Frozen Embryos
Number of transfers	3	3
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births[b,c]	2 / 3	1 / 3
Average number of embryos transferred	3.0	2.0

CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

Current Name: This clinic has closed or reorganized since 2009. Information on current clinic services and profile therefore is not provided here. Contact the NASS Help Desk for current information about this clinic.

[a] Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2009 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.
[b] When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.
[c] A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.
[d] Clinic-specific outcome rates for women older than 44 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs are not included because of small numbers. Readers are urged to review national outcomes for these age groups (see pages 30, 48 & 57).
[e] All ages (including ages >44) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.

NEW LEADERS IN INFERTILITY & ENDOCRINOLOGY, LLC
PENSACOLA, FLORIDA

A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 81–90.

2009 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART [a]

IVF	100%	**Procedural Factors:**	
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	84%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	0%
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	0%
		Used PGD	<1%
		With eSET	3%

Patient Diagnosis

Tubal factor	12%	Other factor	<1%
Ovulatory dysfunction	<1%	Unknown factor	3%
Diminished ovarian reserve	4%	*Multiple Factors:*	
Endometriosis	5%	Female factors only	24%
Uterine factor	2%	Female & male factors	24%
Male factor	25%		

2009 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by Barry A. Ripps, MD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman <35	35–37	38–40	41–42	43–44 [d]
Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of cycles	64	19	13	5	5
Percentage of embryos transferred resulting in implantation [b]	27.8	14.3	22.6	2 / 10	0 / 12
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies [b]	50.0	4 / 19	5 / 13	1 / 5	0 / 5
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births [b,c]	42.2	4 / 19	4 / 13	1 / 5	0 / 5
(Confidence Interval)	(29.9–55.2)				
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births [b,c]	42.9	4 / 16	4 / 13	1 / 4	0 / 5
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	50.0	4 / 12	4 / 13	1 / 4	0 / 5
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births [b]	38.9	4 / 12	2 / 13	0 / 4	0 / 5
Percentage of cancellations [b]	1.6	3 / 19	0 / 13	1 / 5	0 / 5
Average number of embryos transferred	2.5	2.3	2.4	2.5	2.4
Percentage of pregnancies with twins [b]	18.8	0 / 4	2 / 5	1 / 1	
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more [b]	3.1	0 / 4	0 / 5	0 / 1	
Percentage of live births having multiple infants [b,c]	22.2	0 / 4	2 / 4	1 / 1	
Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of transfers	10	0	0	0	0
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	1 / 10				
Average number of embryos transferred	2.2				

	All Ages Combined [e]	
Donor Eggs	**Fresh Embryos**	**Frozen Embryos**
Number of transfers	0	1
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]		1 / 1
Average number of embryos transferred		4.0

CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

Current Name: New Leaders in Infertility & Endocrinology, LLC

Donor egg?	No	Gestational carriers?	No	SART member?	Yes
Donor embryo?	Yes	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	Yes
Single women?	Yes			*(See Appendix C for details.)*	

[a] Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2009 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.
[b] When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.
[c] A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.
[d] Clinic-specific outcome rates for women older than 44 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs are not included because of small numbers. Readers are urged to review national outcomes for these age groups (see pages 30, 48 & 57).
[e] All ages (including ages >44) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.

FERTILITY & GENETICS
PLANTATION, FLORIDA

A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 81–90.

2009 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART [a]

IVF	100%	**Procedural Factors:**	
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	67%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	2%
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	0%
		Used PGD	2%
		With eSET	0%

Patient Diagnosis

Tubal factor	1%	Other factor	0%
Ovulatory dysfunction	0%	Unknown factor	0%
Diminished ovarian reserve	13%	*Multiple Factors:*	
Endometriosis	0%	Female factors only	21%
Uterine factor	0%	Female & male factors	53%
Male factor	13%		

2009 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by Mick Abaé, MD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman <35	35–37	38–40	41–42	43–44 [d]
Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of cycles	11	7	10	11	5
Percentage of embryos transferred resulting in implantation [b]	29.2	1 / 16	18.2	13.0	1 / 18
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies [b]	6 / 11	2 / 7	3 / 10	2 / 11	1 / 5
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births [b,c] (Confidence Interval)	6 / 11	1 / 7	1 / 10	2 / 11	1 / 5
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births [b,c]	6 / 11	1 / 6	1 / 10	2 / 11	1 / 5
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	6 / 11	1 / 6	1 / 8	2 / 8	1 / 5
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births [b]	5 / 11	1 / 6	1 / 8	2 / 8	1 / 5
Percentage of cancellations [b]	0 / 11	1 / 7	0 / 10	0 / 11	0 / 5
Average number of embryos transferred	2.2	2.7	2.8	2.9	3.6
Percentage of pregnancies with twins [b]	1 / 6	0 / 2	1 / 3	1 / 2	0 / 1
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more [b]	0 / 6	0 / 2	0 / 3	0 / 2	0 / 1
Percentage of live births having multiple infants [b,c]	1 / 6	0 / 1	0 / 1	0 / 2	0 / 1
Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of transfers	2	4	0	1	0
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	1 / 2	1 / 4		0 / 1	
Average number of embryos transferred	2.5	2.8		3.0	

Donor Eggs	All Ages Combined [e] Fresh Embryos	Frozen Embryos
Number of transfers	16	3
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	9 / 16	3 / 3
Average number of embryos transferred	1.9	2.3

CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

Current Name: Fertility & Genetics

Donor egg?	Yes	Gestational carriers?	Yes	SART member?	Yes
Donor embryo?	No	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	Yes
Single women?	Yes			*(See Appendix C for details.)*	

[a] Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2009 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.
[b] When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.
[c] A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.
[d] Clinic-specific outcome rates for women older than 44 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs are not included because of small numbers. Readers are urged to review national outcomes for these age groups (see pages 30, 48 & 57).
[e] All ages (including ages >44) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.

FERTILITY CENTER AND APPLIED GENETICS OF FLORIDA, INC.
SARASOTA, FLORIDA

A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 81–90.

2009 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART [a]

IVF	100%	**Procedural Factors:**	
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	53%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	0%
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	4%
		Used PGD	16%
		With eSET	3%

Patient Diagnosis

Tubal factor	15%	Other factor	14%
Ovulatory dysfunction	2%	Unknown factor	4%
Diminished ovarian reserve	28%	*Multiple Factors:*	
Endometriosis	2%	Female factors only	5%
Uterine factor	0%	Female & male factors	11%
Male factor	19%		

2009 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by Julio E. Pabon, MD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman <35	35–37	38–40	41–42	43–44[d]
Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of cycles	40	19	25	8	0
Percentage of embryos transferred resulting in implantation[b]	35.1	30.0	25.8	0 / 14	
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies[b]	35.0	6 / 19	52.0	1 / 8	
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births[b,c]	30.0	5 / 19	40.0	0 / 8	
(Confidence Interval)	(16.6–46.5)		(21.1–61.3)		
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births[b,c]	40.0	5 / 17	45.5	0 / 6	
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births[b,c]	42.9	5 / 15	47.6	0 / 6	
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births[b]	32.1	3 / 15	33.3	0 / 6	
Percentage of cancellations[b]	25.0	2 / 19	12.0	2 / 8	
Average number of embryos transferred	2.0	2.0	3.0	2.3	
Percentage of pregnancies with twins[b]	4 / 14	3 / 6	3 / 13	0 / 1	
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more[b]	1 / 14	0 / 6	0 / 13	0 / 1	
Percentage of live births having multiple infants[b,c]	3 / 12	2 / 5	3 / 10		
Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of transfers	1	3	2	0	1
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births[b,c]	1 / 1	0 / 3	0 / 2		0 / 1
Average number of embryos transferred	2.0	1.7	1.5		2.0

Donor Eggs	All Ages Combined[e] Fresh Embryos	Frozen Embryos
Number of transfers	16	8
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births[b,c]	12 / 16	5 / 8
Average number of embryos transferred	1.8	2.3

CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

Current Name: Fertility Center and Applied Genetics of Florida, Inc.

Donor egg?	Yes	Gestational carriers?	Yes	SART member?	No
Donor embryo?	Yes	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	No
Single women?	Yes			*(See Appendix C for details.)*	

[a] Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2009 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.
[b] When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.
[c] A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.
[d] Clinic-specific outcome rates for women older than 44 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs are not included because of small numbers. Readers are urged to review national outcomes for these age groups (see pages 30, 48 & 57).
[e] All ages (including ages >44) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.

SOUTH FLORIDA INSTITUTE FOR REPRODUCTIVE MEDICINE
SOUTH MIAMI, FLORIDA

A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 81–90.

2009 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART [a]

IVF	100%	**Procedural Factors:**	
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	70%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	<1%
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	2%
		Used PGD	3%
		With eSET	2%

Patient Diagnosis

Tubal factor	8%	Other factor	9%
Ovulatory dysfunction	<1%	Unknown factor	1%
Diminished ovarian reserve	2%	*Multiple Factors:*	
Endometriosis	2%	Female factors only	7%
Uterine factor	<1%	Female & male factors	37%
Male factor	33%		

2009 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by Juergen Eisermann, MD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman <35	35–37	38–40	41–42	43–44[d]
Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of cycles	163	105	112	36	7
Percentage of embryos transferred resulting in implantation[b]	44.3	43.6	25.5	27.3	1 / 4
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies[b]	51.5	46.7	29.5	30.6	1 / 7
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births[b,c]	46.6	41.0	19.6	27.8	0 / 7
(Confidence Interval)	(38.8–54.6)	(31.5–51.0)	(12.7–28.2)	(14.2–45.2)	
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births[b,c]	52.4	50.0	26.2	38.5	0 / 3
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births[b,c]	58.5	53.1	28.9	47.6	0 / 2
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births[b]	39.2	29.6	21.1	38.1	0 / 2
Percentage of cancellations[b]	11.0	18.1	25.0	27.8	4 / 7
Average number of embryos transferred	1.9	2.0	2.2	2.1	2.0
Percentage of pregnancies with twins[b]	33.3	46.9	27.3	2 / 11	0 / 1
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more[b]	0.0	0.0	3.0	0 / 11	0 / 1
Percentage of live births having multiple infants[b,c]	32.9	44.2	27.3	2 / 10	
Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of transfers	30	13	15	2	2
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births[b,c]	43.3	6 / 13	2 / 15	1 / 2	0 / 2
Average number of embryos transferred	1.9	2.3	1.9	2.0	2.0

Donor Eggs	All Ages Combined[e] Fresh Embryos	Frozen Embryos
Number of transfers	42	20
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births[b,c]	47.6	35.0
Average number of embryos transferred	1.9	1.9

CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

Current Name: South Florida Institute for Reproductive Medicine

Donor egg?	Yes	Gestational carriers?	Yes	SART member?	Yes
Donor embryo?	Yes	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	Yes
Single women?	Yes			*(See Appendix C for details.)*	

[a] Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2009 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.
[b] When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.
[c] A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.
[d] Clinic-specific outcome rates for women older than 44 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs are not included because of small numbers. Readers are urged to review national outcomes for these age groups (see pages 30, 48 & 57).
[e] All ages (including ages >44) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.

REPRODUCTIVE HEALTH ASSOCIATES, PA
TAMPA, FLORIDA

A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 81–90.

2009 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART [a]

IVF	100%	**Procedural Factors:**	
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	66%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	0%
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	0%
		Used PGD	0%
		With eSET	0%

Patient Diagnosis

Tubal factor	1%	Other factor	1%
Ovulatory dysfunction	1%	Unknown factor	3%
Diminished ovarian reserve	7%	*Multiple Factors:*	
Endometriosis	0%	Female factors only	6%
Uterine factor	1%	Female & male factors	66%
Male factor	13%		

2009 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by Catherine L. Cowart, MD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman <35	35–37	38–40	41–42	43–44 [d]
Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of cycles	20	15	16	10	0
Percentage of embryos transferred resulting in implantation [b]	9.1	19.4	10.3	3.4	
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies [b]	15.0	4 / 15	3 / 16	3 / 10	
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births [b,c]	15.0	4 / 15	3 / 16	1 / 10	
(Confidence Interval)	(3.2–37.9)				
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births [b,c]	3 / 16	4 / 12	3 / 9	1 / 8	
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	3 / 16	4 / 12	3 / 9	1 / 8	
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births [b]	3 / 16	2 / 12	3 / 9	1 / 8	
Percentage of cancellations [b]	20.0	3 / 15	7 / 16	2 / 10	
Average number of embryos transferred	2.1	2.6	3.2	3.6	
Percentage of pregnancies with twins [b]	0 / 3	2 / 4	0 / 3	0 / 3	
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more [b]	0 / 3	0 / 4	0 / 3	0 / 3	
Percentage of live births having multiple infants [b,c]	0 / 3	2 / 4	0 / 3	0 / 1	
Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of transfers	0	1	1	0	0
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]		0 / 1	0 / 1		
Average number of embryos transferred		2.0	1.0		

Donor Eggs	All Ages Combined [e] Fresh Embryos	Frozen Embryos
Number of transfers	6	0
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	1 / 6	
Average number of embryos transferred	2.3	

CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

Current Name: Reproductive Health Associates, PA

Donor egg?	Yes	Gestational carriers?	Yes	SART member?	Yes
Donor embryo?	No	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	No
Single women?	Yes			*(See Appendix C for details.)*	

[a] Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2009 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.
[b] When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.
[c] A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.
[d] Clinic-specific outcome rates for women older than 44 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs are not included because of small numbers. Readers are urged to review national outcomes for these age groups (see pages 30, 48 & 57).
[e] All ages (including ages >44) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.

THE REPRODUCTIVE MEDICINE GROUP
TAMPA, FLORIDA

A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 81–90.

2009 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART [a]

IVF	100%	**Procedural Factors:**	
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	48%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	0%
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	<1%
		Used PGD	2%
		With eSET	3%

Patient Diagnosis

Tubal factor	10%	Other factor	4%
Ovulatory dysfunction	4%	Unknown factor	22%
Diminished ovarian reserve	5%	*Multiple Factors:*	
Endometriosis	8%	Female factors only	9%
Uterine factor	0%	Female & male factors	10%
Male factor	27%		

2009 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by Marc Bernhisel, MD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman <35	35–37	38–40	41–42	43–44[d]
Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of cycles	205	127	100	36	7
Percentage of embryos transferred resulting in implantation[b]	44.2	30.0	15.8	12.1	0 / 19
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies[b]	52.2	40.9	27.0	30.6	0 / 7
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births[b,c]	45.9	33.9	22.0	13.9	0 / 7
(Confidence Interval)	(38.9–52.9)	(25.7–42.8)	(14.3–31.4)	(4.7–29.5)	
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births[b,c]	50.5	39.1	26.2	15.2	0 / 6
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births[b,c]	54.7	41.0	28.9	15.2	0 / 6
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births[b]	35.5	25.7	25.0	12.1	0 / 6
Percentage of cancellations[b]	9.3	13.4	16.0	8.3	1 / 7
Average number of embryos transferred	1.9	2.1	2.6	3.0	3.2
Percentage of pregnancies with twins[b]	30.8	32.7	18.5	2 / 11	
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more[b]	3.7	1.9	0.0	0 / 11	
Percentage of live births having multiple infants[b,c]	35.1	37.2	13.6	1 / 5	
Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of transfers	29	14	10	2	1
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births[b,c]	44.8	4 / 14	5 / 10	0 / 2	1 / 1
Average number of embryos transferred	2.1	1.7	2.2	2.5	2.0

Donor Eggs	All Ages Combined[e] Fresh Embryos	Frozen Embryos
Number of transfers	54	17
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births[b,c]	55.6	1 / 17
Average number of embryos transferred	1.9	2.1

CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

Current Name: The Reproductive Medicine Group

Donor egg?	Yes	Gestational carriers?	Yes	SART member?	Yes
Donor embryo?	Yes	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	Yes
Single women?	Yes			*(See Appendix C for details.)*	

[a] Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2009 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.
[b] When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.
[c] A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.
[d] Clinic-specific outcome rates for women older than 44 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs are not included because of small numbers. Readers are urged to review national outcomes for these age groups (see pages 30, 48 & 57).
[e] All ages (including ages >44) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.

UNIVERSITY OF SOUTH FLORIDA IVF
TAMPA, FLORIDA

A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 81–90.

2009 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART [a]

IVF	100%	**Procedural Factors:**	
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	43%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	<1%
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	8%
		Used PGD	4%
		With eSET	1%

Patient Diagnosis

Tubal factor	14%	Other factor	8%
Ovulatory dysfunction	5%	Unknown factor	14%
Diminished ovarian reserve	20%	*Multiple Factors:*	
Endometriosis	1%	Female factors only	6%
Uterine factor	3%	Female & male factors	10%
Male factor	20%		

2009 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by Shayne Plosker, MD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman <35	35–37	38–40	41–42	43–44 [d]
Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of cycles	36	37	29	5	1
Percentage of embryos transferred resulting in implantation [b]	31.0	29.3	18.7	0 / 13	
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies [b]	44.4	37.8	44.8	0 / 5	0 / 1
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births [b,c]	44.4	27.0	27.6	0 / 5	0 / 1
(Confidence Interval)	(27.9–61.9)	(13.8–44.1)	(12.7–47.2)		
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births [b,c]	48.5	28.6	28.6	0 / 5	
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	48.5	31.3	28.6	0 / 4	
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births [b]	33.3	21.9	17.9	0 / 4	
Percentage of cancellations [b]	8.3	5.4	3.4	0 / 5	1 / 1
Average number of embryos transferred	2.2	2.6	2.7	3.3	
Percentage of pregnancies with twins [b]	6 / 16	5 / 14	3 / 13		
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more [b]	0 / 16	3 / 14	1 / 13		
Percentage of live births having multiple infants [b,c]	5 / 16	3 / 10	3 / 8		
Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of transfers	6	4	6	1	0
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	3 / 6	1 / 4	2 / 6	0 / 1	
Average number of embryos transferred	1.8	2.3	2.2	4.0	

Donor Eggs	All Ages Combined [e] Fresh Embryos	Frozen Embryos
Number of transfers	20	7
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	45.0	6 / 7
Average number of embryos transferred	2.0	2.1

CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

Current Name: University of South Florida IVF

Donor egg?	Yes	Gestational carriers?	Yes	SART member?	Yes
Donor embryo?	Yes	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	No
Single women?	Yes			*(See Appendix C for details.)*	

[a] Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2009 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.
[b] When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.
[c] A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.
[d] Clinic-specific outcome rates for women older than 44 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs are not included because of small numbers. Readers are urged to review national outcomes for these age groups (see pages 30, 48 & 57).
[e] All ages (including ages >44) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.

F.I.R.S.T.
FLORIDA INSTITUTE FOR REPRODUCTIVE SCIENCES AND TECHNOLOGIES
WESTON, FLORIDA

A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 81–90.

2009 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART [a]

IVF	97%	**Procedural Factors:**	
GIFT	3%	With ICSI	54%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	0%
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	0%
		Used PGD	3%
		With eSET	0%

Patient Diagnosis

Tubal factor	5%	Other factor	1%
Ovulatory dysfunction	3%	Unknown factor	0%
Diminished ovarian reserve	51%	*Multiple Factors:*	
Endometriosis	3%	Female factors only	19%
Uterine factor	0%	Female & male factors	20%
Male factor	0%		

2009 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by Minna R. Selub, MD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman <35	35–37	38–40	41–42	43–44[d]
Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of cycles	14	14	6	0	1
Percentage of embryos transferred resulting in implantation[b]	2 / 19	17.2	0 / 15		
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies[b]	2 / 14	4 / 14	0 / 6		0 / 1
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births[b,c] (Confidence Interval)	2 / 14	4 / 14	0 / 6		0 / 1
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births[b,c]	2 / 14	4 / 13	0 / 6		0 / 1
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births[b,c]	2 / 9	4 / 12	0 / 6		
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births[b]	2 / 9	4 / 12	0 / 6		
Percentage of cancellations[b]	0 / 14	1 / 14	0 / 6		0 / 1
Average number of embryos transferred	2.1	2.4	2.5		
Percentage of pregnancies with twins[b]	0 / 2	1 / 4			
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more[b]	0 / 2	0 / 4			
Percentage of live births having multiple infants[b,c]	0 / 2	0 / 4			
Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of transfers	0	0	0	0	0
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births[b,c]					
Average number of embryos transferred					

Donor Eggs	All Ages Combined[e] Fresh Embryos	Frozen Embryos
Number of transfers	44	2
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births[b,c]	22.7	0 / 2
Average number of embryos transferred	2.2	5.5

CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

Current Name: F.I.R.S.T., Florida Institute for Reproductive Sciences and Technologies

Donor egg?	Yes	Gestational carriers?	Yes	SART member?	Yes
Donor embryo?	No	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	Yes
Single women?	Yes			*(See Appendix C for details.)*	

[a] Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2009 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.
[b] When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.
[c] A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.
[d] Clinic-specific outcome rates for women older than 44 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs are not included because of small numbers. Readers are urged to review national outcomes for these age groups (see pages 30, 48 & 57).
[e] All ages (including ages >44) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.

FERTILITY CENTER OF ASSISTED REPRODUCTION & ENDOCRINOLOGY
WINTER PARK, FLORIDA

A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 81–90.

2009 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART [a]

IVF	100%	**Procedural Factors:**	
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	64%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	0%
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	1%
		Used PGD	<1%
		With eSET	2%

Patient Diagnosis

Tubal factor	8%	Other factor	1%
Ovulatory dysfunction	15%	Unknown factor	4%
Diminished ovarian reserve	27%	*Multiple Factors:*	
Endometriosis	4%	Female factors only	9%
Uterine factor	<1%	Female & male factors	19%
Male factor	13%		

2009 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by Mark P. Trolice, MD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman <35	35–37	38–40	41–42	43–44[d]
Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of cycles	83	22	30	13	1
Percentage of embryos transferred resulting in implantation[b]	35.2	23.1	13.0	4.2	0 / 3
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies[b]	47.0	31.8	23.3	1 / 13	0 / 1
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births[b,c]	41.0	31.8	10.0	1 / 13	0 / 1
(Confidence Interval)	(30.3–52.3)	(13.9–54.9)	(2.1–26.5)		
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births[b,c]	43.0	7 / 19	12.0	1 / 10	0 / 1
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births[b,c]	45.9	7 / 18	13.0	1 / 9	0 / 1
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births[b]	27.0	6 / 18	13.0	1 / 9	0 / 1
Percentage of cancellations[b]	4.8	13.6	16.7	3 / 13	0 / 1
Average number of embryos transferred	2.0	2.2	2.3	2.7	3.0
Percentage of pregnancies with twins[b]	35.9	0 / 7	1 / 7	0 / 1	
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more[b]	2.6	1 / 7	0 / 7	0 / 1	
Percentage of live births having multiple infants[b,c]	41.2	1 / 7	0 / 3	0 / 1	
Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of transfers	10	3	3	0	0
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births[b,c]	3 / 10	0 / 3	0 / 3		
Average number of embryos transferred	2.0	1.7	2.0		

Donor Eggs	All Ages Combined[e] Fresh Embryos	Frozen Embryos
Number of transfers	21	2
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births[b,c]	52.4	0 / 2
Average number of embryos transferred	1.9	1.5

CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

Current Name: Fertility Center of Assisted Reproduction & Endocrinology

Donor egg?	Yes	Gestational carriers?	Yes	SART member?	Yes
Donor embryo?	Yes	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	Yes
Single women?	Yes			*(See Appendix C for details.)*	

[a] Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2009 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.
[b] When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.
[c] A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.
[d] Clinic-specific outcome rates for women older than 44 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs are not included because of small numbers. Readers are urged to review national outcomes for these age groups (see pages 30, 48 & 57).
[e] All ages (including ages >44) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.

ATLANTA CENTER FOR REPRODUCTIVE MEDICINE
ATLANTA, GEORGIA

A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 81–90.

2009 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART [a]

IVF	100%	**Procedural Factors:**	
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	74%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	<1%
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	<1%
		Used PGD	2%
		With eSET	3%

Patient Diagnosis

Tubal factor	9%	Other factor	3%
Ovulatory dysfunction	8%	Unknown factor	19%
Diminished ovarian reserve	19%	*Multiple Factors:*	
Endometriosis	3%	Female factors only	21%
Uterine factor	<1%	Female & male factors	10%
Male factor	9%		

2009 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by James P. Toner, MD, PhD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman <35	35–37	38–40	41–42	43–44 [d]
Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of cycles	253	116	110	25	12
Percentage of embryos transferred resulting in implantation [b]	35.4	24.3	14.3	10.8	2.8
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies [b]	44.3	42.2	23.6	24.0	2 / 12
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births [b,c]	43.1	33.6	20.0	16.0	1 / 12
(Confidence Interval)	(36.9–49.4)	(25.1–43.0)	(13.0–28.7)	(4.5–36.1)	
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births [b,c]	46.0	35.8	22.0	16.7	1 / 10
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	48.9	38.2	24.2	19.0	1 / 10
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births [b]	29.6	21.6	16.5	9.5	1 / 10
Percentage of cancellations [b]	6.3	6.0	9.1	4.0	2 / 12
Average number of embryos transferred	2.0	2.6	2.8	3.5	3.6
Percentage of pregnancies with twins [b]	41.1	36.7	26.9	2 / 6	0 / 2
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more [b]	1.8	2.0	7.7	0 / 6	0 / 2
Percentage of live births having multiple infants [b,c]	39.4	43.6	31.8	2 / 4	0 / 1
Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of transfers	47	22	13	1	0
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	31.9	27.3	3 / 13	0 / 1	
Average number of embryos transferred	1.6	2.2	2.1	1.0	

Donor Eggs	All Ages Combined [e] Fresh Embryos	Frozen Embryos
Number of transfers	67	40
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	53.7	27.5
Average number of embryos transferred	2.0	1.8

CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

Current Name: Atlanta Center for Reproductive Medicine

Donor egg?	Yes	Gestational carriers?	Yes	SART member?	Yes
Donor embryo?	No	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	Yes
Single women?	Yes			*(See Appendix C for details.)*	

[a] Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2009 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.
[b] When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.
[c] A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.
[d] Clinic-specific outcome rates for women older than 44 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs are not included because of small numbers. Readers are urged to review national outcomes for these age groups (see pages 30, 48 & 57).
[e] All ages (including ages >44) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.

EMORY REPRODUCTIVE CENTER
ATLANTA, GEORGIA

A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 81–90.

2009 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART [a]

IVF	100%	**Procedural Factors:**	
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	70%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	0%
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	0%
		Used PGD	<1%
		With eSET	3%

Patient Diagnosis

Tubal factor	8%	Other factor	3%
Ovulatory dysfunction	8%	Unknown factor	3%
Diminished ovarian reserve	9%	*Multiple Factors:*	
Endometriosis	2%	Female factors only	25%
Uterine factor	3%	Female & male factors	28%
Male factor	11%		

2009 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by Donna Session, MD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman <35	35–37	38–40	41–42	43–44[d]
Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of cycles	62	23	28	10	12
Percentage of embryos transferred resulting in implantation[b]	47.9	28.6	26.9	29.0	0.0
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies[b]	69.4	52.2	53.6	5 / 10	0 / 12
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births[b,c]	56.5	43.5	25.0	1 / 10	0 / 12
(Confidence Interval)	(43.3–69.0)	(23.2–65.5)	(10.7–44.9)		
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births[b,c]	60.3	45.5	33.3	1 / 8	0 / 8
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births[b,c]	60.3	10 / 19	33.3	1 / 8	0 / 7
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births[b]	43.1	10 / 19	28.6	0 / 8	0 / 7
Percentage of cancellations[b]	6.5	4.3	25.0	2 / 10	4 / 12
Average number of embryos transferred	2.0	2.6	3.2	3.9	3.3
Percentage of pregnancies with twins[b]	25.6	2 / 12	4 / 15	0 / 5	
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more[b]	4.7	0 / 12	0 / 15	1 / 5	
Percentage of live births having multiple infants[b,c]	28.6	0 / 10	1 / 7	1 / 1	
Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of transfers	20	10	5	3	2
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births[b,c]	25.0	3 / 10	2 / 5	1 / 3	0 / 2
Average number of embryos transferred	2.8	2.3	3.0	4.3	4.0

Donor Eggs	All Ages Combined[e] Fresh Embryos	Frozen Embryos
Number of transfers	5	6
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births[b,c]	3 / 5	5 / 6
Average number of embryos transferred	2.0	2.3

CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

Current Name: Emory Reproductive Center

Donor egg?	Yes	Gestational carriers?	Yes	SART member?	Yes
Donor embryo?	Yes	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	Yes
Single women?	Yes			*(See Appendix C for details.)*	

[a] Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2009 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.
[b] When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.
[c] A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.
[d] Clinic-specific outcome rates for women older than 44 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs are not included because of small numbers. Readers are urged to review national outcomes for these age groups (see pages 30, 48 & 57).
[e] All ages (including ages >44) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.

GEORGIA REPRODUCTIVE SPECIALISTS, LLC
ATLANTA, GEORGIA

A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 81–90.

2009 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART [a]

IVF	100%	**Procedural Factors:**	
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	58%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	0%
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	<1%
		Used PGD	8%
		With eSET	6%

Patient Diagnosis

Tubal factor	9%	Other factor	14%
Ovulatory dysfunction	5%	Unknown factor	20%
Diminished ovarian reserve	10%	*Multiple Factors:*	
Endometriosis	2%	Female factors only	15%
Uterine factor	0%	Female & male factors	14%
Male factor	11%		

2009 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by Mark Perloe, MD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman <35	35–37	38–40	41–42	43–44[d]
Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of cycles	106	60	53	18	3
Percentage of embryos transferred resulting in implantation[b]	31.2	19.5	13.7	0.0	0 / 9
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies[b]	44.3	30.0	35.8	0 / 18	1 / 3
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births[b,c]	37.7	23.3	24.5	0 / 18	0 / 3
(Confidence Interval)	(28.5–47.7)	(13.4–36.0)	(13.8–38.3)		
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births[b,c]	40.4	25.5	26.5	0 / 16	0 / 3
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births[b,c]	40.8	26.4	27.7	0 / 15	0 / 3
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births[b]	24.5	13.2	25.5	0 / 15	0 / 3
Percentage of cancellations[b]	6.6	8.3	7.5	2 / 18	0 / 3
Average number of embryos transferred	2.1	2.5	3.0	2.9	3.0
Percentage of pregnancies with twins[b]	36.2	9 / 18	2 / 19		0 / 1
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more[b]	4.3	0 / 18	0 / 19		0 / 1
Percentage of live births having multiple infants[b,c]	40.0	7 / 14	1 / 13		
Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of transfers	56	42	27	4	5
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births[b,c]	26.8	21.4	29.6	3 / 4	0 / 5
Average number of embryos transferred	1.9	1.8	1.8	2.3	1.4

Donor Eggs	All Ages Combined[e] Fresh Embryos	Frozen Embryos
Number of transfers	27	20
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births[b,c]	48.1	10.0
Average number of embryos transferred	1.9	1.8

CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

Current Name: Georgia Reproductive Specialists, LLC

Donor egg?	Yes	Gestational carriers?	Yes	SART member?	Yes
Donor embryo?	Yes	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	Yes
Single women?	Yes			*(See Appendix C for details.)*	

[a] Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2009 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.
[b] When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.
[c] A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.
[d] Clinic-specific outcome rates for women older than 44 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs are not included because of small numbers. Readers are urged to review national outcomes for these age groups (see pages 30, 48 & 57).
[e] All ages (including ages >44) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.

REPRODUCTIVE BIOLOGY ASSOCIATES
ATLANTA, GEORGIA

A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 81–90.

2009 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART [a]

IVF	100%	**Procedural Factors:**	
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	84%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	0%
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	<1%
		Used PGD	10%
		With eSET	7%

Patient Diagnosis

Tubal factor	5%	Other factor	4%
Ovulatory dysfunction	9%	Unknown factor	4%
Diminished ovarian reserve	25%	*Multiple Factors:*	
Endometriosis	4%	Female factors only	17%
Uterine factor	<1%	Female & male factors	21%
Male factor	10%		

2009 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by Andrew Toledo, MD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman <35	35–37	38–40	41–42	43–44 [d]
Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of cycles	266	160	204	68	28
Percentage of embryos transferred resulting in implantation [b]	36.9	28.9	15.9	7.5	3.4
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies [b]	47.0	40.0	27.0	17.6	14.3
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births [b,c]	41.4	30.6	20.6	10.3	3.6
(Confidence Interval)	(35.4–47.5)	(23.6–38.4)	(15.3–26.8)	(4.2–20.1)	(0.1–18.3)
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births [b,c]	46.4	34.3	24.7	12.7	1 / 19
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	50.2	38.6	28.2	14.3	1 / 15
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births [b]	32.0	26.8	20.1	8.2	1 / 15
Percentage of cancellations [b]	10.9	10.6	16.7	19.1	32.1
Average number of embryos transferred	2.1	2.3	3.0	3.5	3.9
Percentage of pregnancies with twins [b]	32.8	25.0	25.5	3 / 12	0 / 4
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more [b]	1.6	4.7	1.8	0 / 12	0 / 4
Percentage of live births having multiple infants [b,c]	36.4	30.6	28.6	3 / 7	0 / 1
Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of transfers	117	63	55	14	9
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	52.1	46.0	32.7	5 / 14	2 / 9
Average number of embryos transferred	2.1	2.2	2.5	2.4	3.0

	All Ages Combined [e]	
Donor Eggs	**Fresh Embryos**	**Frozen Embryos**
Number of transfers	28	73
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	50.0	54.8
Average number of embryos transferred	2.1	2.1

CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

Current Name: Reproductive Biology Associates

Donor egg?	Yes	Gestational carriers?	Yes	SART member?	Yes
Donor embryo?	Yes	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	Yes
Single women?	Yes			*(See Appendix C for details.)*	

[a] Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2009 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.
[b] When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.
[c] A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.
[d] Clinic-specific outcome rates for women older than 44 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs are not included because of small numbers. Readers are urged to review national outcomes for these age groups (see pages 30, 48 & 57).
[e] All ages (including ages >44) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.

REPRODUCTIVE MEDICINE AND INFERTILITY ASSOCIATES
AUGUSTA, GEORGIA

A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 81–90.

2009 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART [a]

IVF	100%	**Procedural Factors:**	
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	84%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	0%
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	0%
		Used PGD	0%
		With eSET	4%

Patient Diagnosis

Tubal factor	7%	Other factor	0%
Ovulatory dysfunction	13%	Unknown factor	20%
Diminished ovarian reserve	0%	*Multiple Factors:*	
Endometriosis	3%	Female factors only	0%
Uterine factor	3%	Female & male factors	7%
Male factor	47%		

2009 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by Adelina M. Emmi, MD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman <35	35–37	38–40	41–42	43–44 [d]
Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of cycles	12	7	4	2	0
Percentage of embryos transferred resulting in implantation [b]	33.3	3 / 15	1 / 11	1 / 4	
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies [b]	8 / 12	2 / 7	1 / 4	1 / 2	
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births [b,c] (Confidence Interval)	6 / 12	2 / 7	1 / 4	1 / 2	
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births [b,c]	6 / 12	2 / 7	1 / 4	1 / 2	
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	6 / 12	2 / 7	1 / 4	1 / 2	
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births [b]	5 / 12	1 / 7	1 / 4	1 / 2	
Percentage of cancellations [b]	0 / 12	0 / 7	0 / 4	0 / 2	
Average number of embryos transferred	2.0	2.1	2.8	2.0	
Percentage of pregnancies with twins [b]	1 / 8	1 / 2	0 / 1	0 / 1	
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more [b]	0 / 8	0 / 2	0 / 1	0 / 1	
Percentage of live births having multiple infants [b,c]	1 / 6	1 / 2	0 / 1	0 / 1	
Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of transfers	3	2	0	0	0
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	1 / 3	1 / 2			
Average number of embryos transferred	2.3	1.0			

Donor Eggs	All Ages Combined [e] Fresh Embryos	Frozen Embryos
Number of transfers	0	0
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]		
Average number of embryos transferred		

CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

Current Name: Reproductive Medicine and Infertility Associates

Donor egg?	Yes	Gestational carriers?	No	SART member?	Yes
Donor embryo?	No	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	Yes
Single women?	Yes			*(See Appendix C for details.)*	

[a] Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2009 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.
[b] When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.
[c] A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.
[d] Clinic-specific outcome rates for women older than 44 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs are not included because of small numbers. Readers are urged to review national outcomes for these age groups (see pages 30, 48 & 57).
[e] All ages (including ages >44) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.

SERVY INSTITUTE FOR REPRODUCTIVE ENDOCRINOLOGY
AUGUSTA, GEORGIA

A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 81–90.

2009 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART [a]

IVF	100%	**Procedural Factors:**	
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	67%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	0%
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	0%
		Used PGD	0%
		With eSET	6%

Patient Diagnosis

Tubal factor	13%	Other factor	0%
Ovulatory dysfunction	8%	Unknown factor	0%
Diminished ovarian reserve	0%	*Multiple Factors:*	
Endometriosis	4%	Female factors only	33%
Uterine factor	0%	Female & male factors	29%
Male factor	13%		

2009 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by Edouard Servy, MD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman <35	35–37	38–40	41–42	43–44 [d]
Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of cycles	10	3	3	2	0
Percentage of embryos transferred resulting in implantation [b]	52.4	0 / 5	2 / 6	1 / 5	
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies [b]	8 / 10	0 / 3	2 / 3	1 / 2	
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births [b,c] (Confidence Interval)	6 / 10	0 / 3	2 / 3	0 / 2	
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births [b,c]	6 / 10	0 / 2	2 / 3	0 / 2	
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	6 / 10	0 / 2	2 / 3	0 / 2	
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births [b]	3 / 10	0 / 2	2 / 3	0 / 2	
Percentage of cancellations [b]	0 / 10	1 / 3	0 / 3	0 / 2	
Average number of embryos transferred	2.1	2.5	2.0	2.5	
Percentage of pregnancies with twins [b]	4 / 8		0 / 2	0 / 1	
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more [b]	0 / 8		0 / 2	0 / 1	
Percentage of live births having multiple infants [b,c]	3 / 6		0 / 2		
Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of transfers	2	1	2	0	0
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	2 / 2	0 / 1	0 / 2		
Average number of embryos transferred	2.0	2.0	2.0		

Donor Eggs	All Ages Combined [e] Fresh Embryos	Frozen Embryos
Number of transfers	1	0
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	1 / 1	
Average number of embryos transferred	2.0	

CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

Current Name: Servy Institute for Reproductive Endocrinology

Donor egg?	Yes	Gestational carriers?	No	SART member?	Yes
Donor embryo?	No	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	Yes
Single women?	Yes			*(See Appendix C for details.)*	

[a] Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2009 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.
[b] When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.
[c] A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.
[d] Clinic-specific outcome rates for women older than 44 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs are not included because of small numbers. Readers are urged to review national outcomes for these age groups (see pages 30, 48 & 57).
[e] All ages (including ages >44) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.

COLUMBUS CENTER FOR REPRODUCTIVE ENDOCRINOLOGY & INFERTILITY, LLC
COLUMBUS, GEORGIA

A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 81–90.

2009 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART [a]

IVF	100%	**Procedural Factors:**	
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	78%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	0%
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	0%
		Used PGD	0%
		With eSET	0%

Patient Diagnosis

Tubal factor	16%	Other factor	0%
Ovulatory dysfunction	18%	Unknown factor	1%
Diminished ovarian reserve	5%	*Multiple Factors:*	
Endometriosis	2%	Female factors only	30%
Uterine factor	1%	Female & male factors	24%
Male factor	3%		

2009 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by Prakash J. Thiruppathi, MD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman <35	35–37	38–40	41–42	43–44 [d]
Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of cycles	47	9	9	2	0
Percentage of embryos transferred resulting in implantation [b]	38.9	4 / 16	21.7	0 / 3	
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies [b]	55.3	3 / 9	3 / 9	0 / 2	
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births [b,c]	53.2	2 / 9	3 / 9	0 / 2	
(Confidence Interval)	(38.1–67.9)				
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births [b,c]	58.1	2 / 8	3 / 9	0 / 2	
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	61.0	2 / 8	3 / 9	0 / 2	
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births [b]	41.5	2 / 8	3 / 9	0 / 2	
Percentage of cancellations [b]	8.5	1 / 9	0 / 9	0 / 2	
Average number of embryos transferred	2.2	2.0	2.6	1.5	
Percentage of pregnancies with twins [b]	34.6	1 / 3	2 / 3		
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more [b]	0.0	0 / 3	0 / 3		
Percentage of live births having multiple infants [b,c]	32.0	0 / 2	0 / 3		
Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of transfers	12	2	3	0	0
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	7 / 12	0 / 2	2 / 3		
Average number of embryos transferred	3.0	3.5	3.7		

Donor Eggs	All Ages Combined [e] Fresh Embryos	Frozen Embryos
Number of transfers	3	2
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	2 / 3	1 / 2
Average number of embryos transferred	2.3	2.5

CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

Current Name: Columbus Center for Reproductive Endocrinology & Infertility, LLC

Donor egg?	Yes	Gestational carriers?	Yes	SART member?	No
Donor embryo?	Yes	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	Yes
Single women?	Yes			*(See Appendix C for details.)*	

[a] Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2009 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.
[b] When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.
[c] A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.
[d] Clinic-specific outcome rates for women older than 44 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs are not included because of small numbers. Readers are urged to review national outcomes for these age groups (see pages 30, 48 & 57).
[e] All ages (including ages >44) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.

CENTRAL GEORGIA FERTILITY INSTITUTE
MACON, GEORGIA

A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 81–90.

2009 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART [a]

IVF	100%	**Procedural Factors:**	
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	54%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	0%
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	0%
		Used PGD	0%
		With eSET	3%

Patient Diagnosis

Tubal factor	26%	Other factor	0%
Ovulatory dysfunction	5%	Unknown factor	8%
Diminished ovarian reserve	0%	*Multiple Factors:*	
Endometriosis	13%	Female factors only	8%
Uterine factor	0%	Female & male factors	24%
Male factor	16%		

2009 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by William J. Butler, MD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman <35	35–37	38–40	41–42	43–44[d]
Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of cycles	16	7	14	0	0
Percentage of embryos transferred resulting in implantation[b]	48.0	6 / 14	23.3		
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies[b]	7 / 16	4 / 7	6 / 14		
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births[b,c] (Confidence Interval)	7 / 16	3 / 7	6 / 14		
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births[b,c]	7 / 13	3 / 7	6 / 13		
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births[b,c]	7 / 13	3 / 7	6 / 13		
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births[b]	4 / 13	1 / 7	5 / 13		
Percentage of cancellations[b]	3 / 16	0 / 7	1 / 14		
Average number of embryos transferred	1.9	2.0	2.3		
Percentage of pregnancies with twins[b]	3 / 7	2 / 4	1 / 6		
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more[b]	1 / 7	0 / 4	0 / 6		
Percentage of live births having multiple infants[b,c]	3 / 7	2 / 3	1 / 6		
Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of transfers	0	1	0	0	0
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births[b,c]		0 / 1			
Average number of embryos transferred		2.0			

Donor Eggs	All Ages Combined[e] Fresh Embryos	Frozen Embryos
Number of transfers	0	0
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births[b,c]		
Average number of embryos transferred		

CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

Current Name: Central Georgia Fertility Institute

Donor egg?	No	Gestational carriers?	No	SART member?	Yes
Donor embryo?	No	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	Yes
Single women?	Yes			*(See Appendix C for details.)*	

[a] Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2009 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.
[b] When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.
[c] A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.
[d] Clinic-specific outcome rates for women older than 44 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs are not included because of small numbers. Readers are urged to review national outcomes for these age groups (see pages 30, 48 & 57).
[e] All ages (including ages >44) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.

GEORGIA CENTER FOR REPRODUCTIVE MEDICINE
SAVANNAH, GEORGIA

A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 81–90.

2009 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART [a]

IVF	100%	**Procedural Factors:**	
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	56%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	0%
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	0%
		Used PGD	1%
		With eSET	0%

Patient Diagnosis

Tubal factor	8%	Other factor	3%
Ovulatory dysfunction	13%	Unknown factor	6%
Diminished ovarian reserve	11%	*Multiple Factors:*	
Endometriosis	6%	Female factors only	9%
Uterine factor	1%	Female & male factors	27%
Male factor	16%		

2009 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by Patrick L. Blohm, MD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman <35	35–37	38–40	41–42	43–44 [d]
Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of cycles	55	24	19	1	0
Percentage of embryos transferred resulting in implantation [b]	50.0	30.4	16.7	1 / 4	
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies [b]	63.6	45.8	7 / 19	1 / 1	
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births [b,c]	58.2	45.8	5 / 19	1 / 1	
(Confidence Interval)	(44.1–71.3)	(25.6–67.2)			
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births [b,c]	62.7	47.8	5 / 18	1 / 1	
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	65.3	50.0	5 / 17	1 / 1	
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births [b]	26.5	22.7	4 / 17	1 / 1	
Percentage of cancellations [b]	7.3	4.2	1 / 19	0 / 1	
Average number of embryos transferred	2.3	2.5	2.5	4.0	
Percentage of pregnancies with twins [b]	51.4	6 / 11	1 / 7	0 / 1	
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more [b]	5.7	0 / 11	0 / 7	0 / 1	
Percentage of live births having multiple infants [b,c]	59.4	6 / 11	1 / 5	0 / 1	
Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of transfers	24	10	9	1	0
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	25.0	1 / 10	3 / 9	0 / 1	
Average number of embryos transferred	2.3	2.0	2.2	2.0	

Donor Eggs	All Ages Combined [e] Fresh Embryos	Frozen Embryos
Number of transfers	6	6
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	3 / 6	0 / 6
Average number of embryos transferred	2.0	2.0

CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

Current Name: Georgia Center for Reproductive Medicine

Donor egg?	Yes	Gestational carriers?	Yes	SART member?	Yes
Donor embryo?	Yes	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	Yes
Single women?	Yes			*(See Appendix C for details.)*	

[a] Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2009 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.
[b] When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.
[c] A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.
[d] Clinic-specific outcome rates for women older than 44 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs are not included because of small numbers. Readers are urged to review national outcomes for these age groups (see pages 30, 48 & 57).
[e] All ages (including ages >44) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.

ADVANCED REPRODUCTIVE CENTER OF HAWAII
HONOLULU, HAWAII

A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 81–90.

2009 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART [a]

IVF	100%	**Procedural Factors:**	
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	61%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	<1%
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	<1%
		Used PGD	<1%
		With eSET	1%

Patient Diagnosis

Tubal factor	4%	Other factor	3%
Ovulatory dysfunction	<1%	Unknown factor	2%
Diminished ovarian reserve	5%	*Multiple Factors:*	
Endometriosis	3%	Female factors only	11%
Uterine factor	0%	Female & male factors	45%
Male factor	26%		

2009 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by Christopher T. Huang, MD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman <35	35–37	38–40	41–42	43–44 [d]
Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of cycles	38	21	27	20	15
Percentage of embryos transferred resulting in implantation [b]	22.7	21.7	8.8	3.9	11.8
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies [b]	36.8	38.1	25.9	15.0	2 / 15
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births [b,c]	34.2	23.8	14.8	5.0	2 / 15
(Confidence Interval)	(19.6–51.4)	(8.2–47.2)	(4.2–33.7)	(0.1–24.9)	
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births [b,c]	43.3	5 / 17	16.7	1 / 15	2 / 13
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	46.4	5 / 16	20.0	1 / 14	2 / 12
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births [b]	32.1	3 / 16	20.0	1 / 14	0 / 12
Percentage of cancellations [b]	21.1	19.0	11.1	25.0	2 / 15
Average number of embryos transferred	2.7	2.9	3.4	3.6	2.8
Percentage of pregnancies with twins [b]	4 / 14	3 / 8	1 / 7	0 / 3	2 / 2
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more [b]	0 / 14	0 / 8	0 / 7	0 / 3	0 / 2
Percentage of live births having multiple infants [b,c]	4 / 13	2 / 5	0 / 4	0 / 1	2 / 2
Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of transfers	4	3	0	0	0
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	2 / 4	2 / 3			
Average number of embryos transferred	2.3	2.3			

Donor Eggs	All Ages Combined [e] Fresh Embryos	Frozen Embryos
Number of transfers	5	7
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	2 / 5	4 / 7
Average number of embryos transferred	2.0	2.3

CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

Current Name: Advanced Reproductive Center of Hawaii

Donor egg?	Yes	Gestational carriers?	Yes	SART member?	Yes
Donor embryo?	Yes	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	Yes
Single women?	Yes			*(See Appendix C for details.)*	

[a] Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2009 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.
[b] When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.
[c] A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.
[d] Clinic-specific outcome rates for women older than 44 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs are not included because of small numbers. Readers are urged to review national outcomes for these age groups (see pages 30, 48 & 57).
[e] All ages (including ages >44) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.

IVF HAWAII
HONOLULU, HAWAII

A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 81–90.

2009 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART [a]

IVF	100%	**Procedural Factors:**	
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	88%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	0%
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	0%
		Used PGD	0%
		With eSET	0%

Patient Diagnosis

Tubal factor	3%	Other factor	<1%
Ovulatory dysfunction	0%	Unknown factor	0%
Diminished ovarian reserve	0%	*Multiple Factors:*	
Endometriosis	6%	Female factors only	38%
Uterine factor	0%	Female & male factors	47%
Male factor	6%		

2009 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by Benton Chun, MD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman <35	35–37	38–40	41–42	43–44 [d]
Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of cycles	20	23	35	7	4
Percentage of embryos transferred resulting in implantation [b]	50.0	32.1	15.0	1 / 14	0 / 14
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies [b]	65.0	52.2	31.4	1 / 7	0 / 4
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births [b,c]	55.0	39.1	22.9	0 / 7	0 / 4
(Confidence Interval)	(31.5–76.9)	(19.7–61.5)	(10.4–40.1)		
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births [b,c]	11 / 16	42.9	25.0	0 / 5	0 / 4
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	11 / 16	42.9	25.0	0 / 5	0 / 4
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births [b]	7 / 16	14.3	9.4	0 / 5	0 / 4
Percentage of cancellations [b]	20.0	8.7	8.6	2 / 7	0 / 4
Average number of embryos transferred	2.4	2.7	3.1	2.8	3.5
Percentage of pregnancies with twins [b]	6 / 13	5 / 12	5 / 11	0 / 1	
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more [b]	0 / 13	1 / 12	0 / 11	0 / 1	
Percentage of live births having multiple infants [b,c]	4 / 11	6 / 9	5 / 8		
Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of transfers	2	1	5	0	1
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	0 / 2	1 / 1	0 / 5		0 / 1
Average number of embryos transferred	3.0	3.0	2.2		2.0

Donor Eggs	All Ages Combined [e] Fresh Embryos	Frozen Embryos
Number of transfers	2	2
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	0 / 2	0 / 2
Average number of embryos transferred	2.0	2.5

CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

Current Name: IVF Hawaii

Donor egg?	Yes	Gestational carriers?	No	SART member?	No
Donor embryo?	No	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	Yes
Single women?	Yes			*(See Appendix C for details.)*	

[a] Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2009 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.
[b] When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.
[c] A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.
[d] Clinic-specific outcome rates for women older than 44 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs are not included because of small numbers. Readers are urged to review national outcomes for these age groups (see pages 30, 48 & 57).
[e] All ages (including ages >44) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.

PACIFIC IN VITRO FERTILIZATION INSTITUTE
HONOLULU, HAWAII

A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 81–90.

2009 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART [a]

IVF	100%	**Procedural Factors:**	
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	63%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	0%
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	0%
		Used PGD	<1%
		With eSET	<1%

Patient Diagnosis

Tubal factor	8%	Other factor	<1%
Ovulatory dysfunction	<1%	Unknown factor	<1%
Diminished ovarian reserve	12%	*Multiple Factors:*	
Endometriosis	8%	Female factors only	13%
Uterine factor	0%	Female & male factors	42%
Male factor	16%		

2009 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by Thomas S. Kosasa, MD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman <35	35–37	38–40	41–42	43–44[d]
Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of cycles	81	64	70	44	18
Percentage of embryos transferred resulting in implantation[b]	27.1	19.9	13.7	7.6	0.0
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies[b]	37.0	31.3	27.1	15.9	0 / 18
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births[b,c]	30.9	25.0	20.0	6.8	0 / 18
(Confidence Interval)	(21.1–42.1)	(15.0–37.4)	(11.4–31.3)	(1.4–18.7)	
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births[b,c]	33.8	28.1	23.7	8.8	0 / 11
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births[b,c]	37.9	30.8	25.5	9.4	0 / 10
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births[b]	28.8	25.0	16.4	6.3	0 / 10
Percentage of cancellations[b]	8.6	10.9	15.7	22.7	7 / 18
Average number of embryos transferred	2.2	2.6	3.5	3.7	3.8
Percentage of pregnancies with twins[b]	30.0	15.0	6 / 19	1 / 7	
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more[b]	0.0	10.0	1 / 19	1 / 7	
Percentage of live births having multiple infants[b,c]	24.0	3 / 16	5 / 14	1 / 3	
Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of transfers	29	13	13	4	1
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births[b,c]	37.9	6 / 13	4 / 13	2 / 4	0 / 1
Average number of embryos transferred	2.2	2.1	2.2	3.0	3.0

Donor Eggs	All Ages Combined[e] Fresh Embryos	Frozen Embryos
Number of transfers	40	19
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births[b,c]	37.5	3 / 19
Average number of embryos transferred	2.1	2.0

CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

Current Name: Pacific In Vitro Fertilization Institute

Donor egg?	Yes	Gestational carriers?	Yes	SART member?	Yes
Donor embryo?	Yes	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	Yes
Single women?	Yes			*(See Appendix C for details.)*	

[a] Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2009 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.
[b] When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.
[c] A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.
[d] Clinic-specific outcome rates for women older than 44 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs are not included because of small numbers. Readers are urged to review national outcomes for these age groups (see pages 30, 48 & 57).
[e] All ages (including ages >44) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.

TRIPLER ARMY MEDICAL CENTER IVF INSTITUTE
TRIPLER AMC, HAWAII

A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 81–90.

2009 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART [a]

IVF	100%	**Procedural Factors:**	
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	85%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	0%
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	0%
		Used PGD	0%
		With eSET	0%

Patient Diagnosis

Tubal factor	12%	Other factor	4%
Ovulatory dysfunction	19%	Unknown factor	23%
Diminished ovarian reserve	4%	*Multiple Factors:*	
Endometriosis	0%	Female factors only	0%
Uterine factor	0%	Female & male factors	8%
Male factor	31%		

2009 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by Nia Middleton, MD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman <35	35–37	38–40	41–42	43–44 [d]
Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of cycles	6	2	4	1	0
Percentage of embryos transferred resulting in implantation [b]	4 / 12	1 / 5	0 / 11	0 / 5	
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies [b]	2 / 6	1 / 2	1 / 4	0 / 1	
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births [b,c] (Confidence Interval)	1 / 6	0 / 2	0 / 4	0 / 1	
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births [b,c]	1 / 6	0 / 2	0 / 4	0 / 1	
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	1 / 5	0 / 2	0 / 4	0 / 1	
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births [b]	0 / 5	0 / 2	0 / 4	0 / 1	
Percentage of cancellations [b]	0 / 6	0 / 2	0 / 4	0 / 1	
Average number of embryos transferred	2.4	2.5	2.8	5.0	
Percentage of pregnancies with twins [b]	0 / 2	0 / 1	0 / 1		
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more [b]	1 / 2	0 / 1	0 / 1		
Percentage of live births having multiple infants [b,c]	1 / 1				
Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of transfers	7	2	3	1	0
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	2 / 7	1 / 2	1 / 3	0 / 1	
Average number of embryos transferred	2.1	2.0	2.3	4.0	

Donor Eggs	All Ages Combined [e] Fresh Embryos	Frozen Embryos
Number of transfers	0	0
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]		
Average number of embryos transferred		

CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

Current Name: This clinic has closed or reorganized since 2009. Information on current clinic services and profile therefore is not provided here. Contact the NASS Help Desk for current information about this clinic.

[a] Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2009 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.
[b] When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.
[c] A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.
[d] Clinic-specific outcome rates for women older than 44 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs are not included because of small numbers. Readers are urged to review national outcomes for these age groups (see pages 30, 48 & 57).
[e] All ages (including ages >44) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.

IDAHO CENTER FOR REPRODUCTIVE MEDICINE
BOISE, IDAHO

A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 81–90.

2009 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART [a]

IVF	100%	**Procedural Factors:**	
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	51%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	0%
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	4%
		Used PGD	3%
		With eSET	1%

Patient Diagnosis

Tubal factor	6%	Other factor	5%
Ovulatory dysfunction	9%	Unknown factor	4%
Diminished ovarian reserve	11%	*Multiple Factors:*	
Endometriosis	1%	Female factors only	19%
Uterine factor	1%	Female & male factors	30%
Male factor	15%		

2009 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by Cristin C. Slater, MD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman <35	35–37	38–40	41–42	43–44 [d]
Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of cycles	168	46	47	19	4
Percentage of embryos transferred resulting in implantation [b]	22.7	22.2	14.4	7.4	0 / 13
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies [b]	36.9	39.1	27.7	2 / 19	0 / 4
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births [b,c]	32.7	37.0	23.4	2 / 19	0 / 4
(Confidence Interval)	(25.7–40.4)	(23.2–52.5)	(12.3–38.0)		
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births [b,c]	34.4	40.5	26.2	2 / 17	0 / 4
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	34.8	41.5	27.5	2 / 15	0 / 4
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births [b]	19.0	29.3	17.5	0 / 15	0 / 4
Percentage of cancellations [b]	4.8	8.7	10.6	2 / 19	0 / 4
Average number of embryos transferred	2.5	2.9	3.0	3.6	3.3
Percentage of pregnancies with twins [b]	41.9	6 / 18	4 / 13	2 / 2	
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more [b]	3.2	1 / 18	0 / 13	0 / 2	
Percentage of live births having multiple infants [b,c]	45.5	5 / 17	4 / 11	2 / 2	
Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of transfers	72	27	17	4	0
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	31.9	33.3	5 / 17	0 / 4	
Average number of embryos transferred	2.6	2.3	2.7	2.3	

Donor Eggs	All Ages Combined [e] Fresh Embryos	Frozen Embryos
Number of transfers	35	42
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	51.4	42.9
Average number of embryos transferred	2.1	2.9

CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

Current Name: Idaho Center for Reproductive Medicine

Donor egg?	Yes	Gestational carriers?	Yes	SART member?	Yes
Donor embryo?	Yes	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	Yes
Single women?	Yes			*(See Appendix C for details.)*	

[a] Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2009 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.
[b] When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.
[c] A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.
[d] Clinic-specific outcome rates for women older than 44 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs are not included because of small numbers. Readers are urged to review national outcomes for these age groups (see pages 30, 48 & 57).
[e] All ages (including ages >44) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.

RUSH-COPLEY CENTER FOR REPRODUCTIVE HEALTH
AURORA, ILLINOIS

A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 81–90.

2009 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART [a]

IVF	98%	**Procedural Factors:**	
GIFT	1%	With ICSI	88%
ZIFT	1%	Unstimulated	0%
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	0%
		Used PGD	0%
		With eSET	0%

Patient Diagnosis

Tubal factor	9%	Other factor	21%
Ovulatory dysfunction	<1%	Unknown factor	2%
Diminished ovarian reserve	8%	*Multiple Factors:*	
Endometriosis	12%	Female factors only	6%
Uterine factor	<1%	Female & male factors	24%
Male factor	17%		

2009 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by Zvi Binor, MD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman <35	35–37	38–40	41–42	43–44[d]
Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of cycles	44	22	17	5	8
Percentage of embryos transferred resulting in implantation[b]	25.9	25.0	20.0	0 / 3	0 / 15
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies[b]	29.5	36.4	5 / 17	0 / 5	1 / 8
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births[b,c]	27.3	31.8	4 / 17	0 / 5	0 / 8
(Confidence Interval)	(15.0–42.8)	(13.9–54.9)			
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births[b,c]	30.0	33.3	4 / 14	0 / 4	0 / 8
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births[b,c]	32.4	7 / 18	4 / 12	0 / 2	0 / 8
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births[b]	18.9	4 / 18	4 / 12	0 / 2	0 / 8
Percentage of cancellations[b]	9.1	4.5	3 / 17	1 / 5	0 / 8
Average number of embryos transferred	2.2	2.2	2.5	1.5	1.9
Percentage of pregnancies with twins[b]	6 / 13	3 / 8	1 / 5		0 / 1
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more[b]	1 / 13	0 / 8	0 / 5		0 / 1
Percentage of live births having multiple infants[b,c]	5 / 12	3 / 7	0 / 4		
Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of transfers	4	4	0	1	0
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births[b,c]	1 / 4	0 / 4		0 / 1	
Average number of embryos transferred	2.0	2.5		3.0	

Donor Eggs	All Ages Combined[e] Fresh Embryos	Frozen Embryos
Number of transfers	4	2
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births[b,c]	0 / 4	0 / 2
Average number of embryos transferred	2.3	2.0

CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

Current Name: Rush-Copley Center for Reproductive Health

Donor egg?	Yes	Gestational carriers?	Yes	SART member?	Yes
Donor embryo?	No	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	Yes
Single women?	Yes			*(See Appendix C for details.)*	

[a] Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2009 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.
[b] When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.
[c] A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.
[d] Clinic-specific outcome rates for women older than 44 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs are not included because of small numbers. Readers are urged to review national outcomes for these age groups (see pages 30, 48 & 57).
[e] All ages (including ages >44) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.

MARTIN S. BALIN, MD, PhD
CHICAGO, ILLINOIS

A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 81–90.

2009 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART [a]

IVF	100%	**Procedural Factors:**	
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	93%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	0%
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	0%
		Used PGD	0%
		With eSET	0%

Patient Diagnosis

Tubal factor	4%	Other factor	0%
Ovulatory dysfunction	4%	Unknown factor	38%
Diminished ovarian reserve	13%	*Multiple Factors:*	
Endometriosis	0%	Female factors only	17%
Uterine factor	0%	Female & male factors	13%
Male factor	13%		

2009 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by Martin S. Balin, MD, PhD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman <35	35–37	38–40	41–42	43–44 [d]
Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of cycles	7	6	0	1	0
Percentage of embryos transferred resulting in implantation [b]	2 / 16	3 / 11			
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies [b]	2 / 7	2 / 6		0 / 1	
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births [b,c] (Confidence Interval)	2 / 7	2 / 6		0 / 1	
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births [b,c]	2 / 7	2 / 6		0 / 1	
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	2 / 7	2 / 6			
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births [b]	2 / 7	2 / 6			
Percentage of cancellations [b]	0 / 7	0 / 6		0 / 1	
Average number of embryos transferred	2.3	1.8			
Percentage of pregnancies with twins [b]	0 / 2	1 / 2			
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more [b]	0 / 2	0 / 2			
Percentage of live births having multiple infants [b,c]	0 / 2	0 / 2			
Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of transfers	6	1	1	0	0
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	1 / 6	0 / 1	0 / 1		
Average number of embryos transferred	2.2	1.0	2.0		

Donor Eggs	All Ages Combined [e] Fresh Embryos	Frozen Embryos
Number of transfers	2	0
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	0 / 2	
Average number of embryos transferred	2.0	

CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

Current Name: Martin S. Balin, MD, PhD

Donor egg?	Yes	Gestational carriers?	Yes	SART member?	No
Donor embryo?	Yes	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	Yes
Single women?	Yes			*(See Appendix C for details.)*	

[a] Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2009 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.
[b] When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.
[c] A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.
[d] Clinic-specific outcome rates for women older than 44 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs are not included because of small numbers. Readers are urged to review national outcomes for these age groups (see pages 30, 48 & 57).
[e] All ages (including ages >44) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.

CENTER FOR REPRODUCTIVE MEDICINE & FERTILITY
THE UNIVERSITY OF CHICAGO
CHICAGO, ILLINOIS

A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 81–90.

2009 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART [a]

IVF	100%	**Procedural Factors:**	
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	46%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	<1%
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	<1%
		Used PGD	2%
		With eSET	2%

Patient Diagnosis

Tubal factor	8%	Other factor	9%
Ovulatory dysfunction	9%	Unknown factor	24%
Diminished ovarian reserve	13%	*Multiple Factors:*	
Endometriosis	3%	Female factors only	11%
Uterine factor	3%	Female & male factors	11%
Male factor	10%		

2009 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by Helen Kim, MD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman <35	35–37	38–40	41–42	43–44[d]
Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of cycles	90	50	63	25	16
Percentage of embryos transferred resulting in implantation[b]	27.3	26.1	10.9	9.5	3.2
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies[b]	30.0	26.0	20.6	16.0	1 / 16
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births[b,c]	21.1	20.0	14.3	12.0	1 / 16
(Confidence Interval)	(13.2–31.0)	(10.0–33.7)	(6.7–25.4)	(2.5–31.2)	
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births[b,c]	25.3	28.6	19.6	3 / 19	1 / 13
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births[b,c]	29.7	32.3	19.6	3 / 16	1 / 12
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births[b]	10.9	16.1	17.4	3 / 16	1 / 12
Percentage of cancellations[b]	16.7	30.0	27.0	24.0	3 / 16
Average number of embryos transferred	2.2	2.2	2.6	2.6	2.6
Percentage of pregnancies with twins[b]	40.7	4 / 13	2 / 13	0 / 4	0 / 1
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more[b]	7.4	1 / 13	0 / 13	0 / 4	0 / 1
Percentage of live births having multiple infants[b,c]	12 / 19	5 / 10	1 / 9	0 / 3	0 / 1
Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of transfers	28	20	12	4	3
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births[b,c]	14.3	20.0	3 / 12	0 / 4	0 / 3
Average number of embryos transferred	2.6	3.0	2.7	4.0	3.3

Donor Eggs	All Ages Combined[e] Fresh Embryos	Frozen Embryos
Number of transfers	22	22
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births[b,c]	40.9	27.3
Average number of embryos transferred	2.0	2.8

CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

Current Name: Center for Reproductive Medicine & Fertility, The University of Chicago

Donor egg?	Yes	Gestational carriers?	Yes	SART member?	Yes
Donor embryo?	No	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	Yes
Single women?	Yes			*(See Appendix C for details.)*	

[a] Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2009 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.
[b] When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.
[c] A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.
[d] Clinic-specific outcome rates for women older than 44 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs are not included because of small numbers. Readers are urged to review national outcomes for these age groups (see pages 30, 48 & 57).
[e] All ages (including ages >44) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.

INSTITUTE FOR HUMAN REPRODUCTION (IHR)
CHICAGO, ILLINOIS

A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 81–90.

2009 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART [a]

IVF	100%	**Procedural Factors:**	
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	85%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	<1%
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	<1%
		Used PGD	36%
		With eSET	10%

Patient Diagnosis

Tubal factor	2%	Other factor	20%
Ovulatory dysfunction	4%	Unknown factor	1%
Diminished ovarian reserve	14%	*Multiple Factors:*	
Endometriosis	<1%	Female factors only	7%
Uterine factor	0%	Female & male factors	25%
Male factor	27%		

2009 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by Ilan Tur-Kaspa, MD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman <35	35–37	38–40	41–42	43–44 [d]
Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of cycles	117	44	40	18	9
Percentage of embryos transferred resulting in implantation [b]	30.3	38.6	28.2	14.3	1 / 14
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies [b]	40.2	47.7	40.0	2 / 18	1 / 9
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births [b,c]	34.2	40.9	32.5	1 / 18	1 / 9
(Confidence Interval)	(25.7–43.5)	(26.3–56.8)	(18.6–49.1)		
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births [b,c]	35.1	43.9	33.3	1 / 18	1 / 8
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	35.1	43.9	35.1	1 / 16	1 / 7
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births [b]	25.4	39.0	27.0	0 / 16	1 / 7
Percentage of cancellations [b]	2.6	6.8	2.5	0 / 18	1 / 9
Average number of embryos transferred	1.8	1.7	1.9	1.8	2.0
Percentage of pregnancies with twins [b]	29.8	23.8	4 / 16	0 / 2	0 / 1
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more [b]	4.3	4.8	1 / 16	1 / 2	0 / 1
Percentage of live births having multiple infants [b,c]	27.5	2 / 18	3 / 13	1 / 1	0 / 1
Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of transfers	32	5	5	7	3
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	18.8	0 / 5	0 / 5	0 / 7	1 / 3
Average number of embryos transferred	1.8	1.8	1.6	1.6	2.3

Donor Eggs	All Ages Combined [e] Fresh Embryos	Frozen Embryos
Number of transfers	18	3
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	10 / 18	1 / 3
Average number of embryos transferred	1.9	1.7

CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

Current Name: Institute for Human Reproduction (IHR)

Donor egg?	Yes	Gestational carriers?	Yes	SART member?	No
Donor embryo?	Yes	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	Yes
Single women?	Yes			*(See Appendix C for details.)*	

[a] Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2009 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.
[b] When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.
[c] A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.
[d] Clinic-specific outcome rates for women older than 44 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs are not included because of small numbers. Readers are urged to review national outcomes for these age groups (see pages 30, 48 & 57).
[e] All ages (including ages >44) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.

NORTHWESTERN UNIVERSITY
CHICAGO, ILLINOIS

A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 81–90.

2009 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART [a]

IVF	100%	**Procedural Factors:**	
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	72%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	<1%
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	2%
		Used PGD	0%
		With eSET	2%

Patient Diagnosis

Tubal factor	5%	Other factor	3%
Ovulatory dysfunction	5%	Unknown factor	28%
Diminished ovarian reserve	24%	*Multiple Factors:*	
Endometriosis	2%	Female factors only	4%
Uterine factor	2%	Female & male factors	10%
Male factor	19%		

2009 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by Edmond Confino, MD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman <35	35–37	38–40	41–42	43–44 [d]
Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of cycles	200	140	152	62	24
Percentage of embryos transferred resulting in implantation [b]	28.8	22.2	14.0	9.6	0.0
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies [b]	41.0	30.0	24.3	21.0	0.0
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births [b,c]	32.5	22.9	15.1	14.5	0.0
(Confidence Interval)	(26.1–39.5)	(16.2–30.7)	(9.8–21.8)	(6.9–25.8)	(0.0–14.2)
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births [b,c]	34.4	27.4	18.1	16.7	0 / 19
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	35.9	28.3	18.9	17.3	0 / 15
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births [b]	26.0	21.2	14.8	17.3	0 / 15
Percentage of cancellations [b]	5.5	16.4	16.4	12.9	20.8
Average number of embryos transferred	2.0	2.1	2.4	2.6	3.1
Percentage of pregnancies with twins [b]	25.6	23.8	18.9	1 / 13	
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more [b]	2.4	0.0	0.0	0 / 13	
Percentage of live births having multiple infants [b,c]	27.7	25.0	21.7	0 / 9	
Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of transfers	41	38	23	11	8
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	29.3	26.3	21.7	2 / 11	0 / 8
Average number of embryos transferred	2.2	1.9	2.3	2.1	2.3

Donor Eggs	All Ages Combined [e] Fresh Embryos	Frozen Embryos
Number of transfers	34	26
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	35.3	26.9
Average number of embryos transferred	2.0	2.0

CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

Current Name: Northwestern University

Donor egg?	Yes	Gestational carriers?	Yes	SART member?	Yes
Donor embryo?	Yes	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	Yes
Single women?	Yes			*(See Appendix C for details.)*	

[a] Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2009 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.
[b] When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.
[c] A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.
[d] Clinic-specific outcome rates for women older than 44 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs are not included because of small numbers. Readers are urged to review national outcomes for these age groups (see pages 30, 48 & 57).
[e] All ages (including ages >44) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.

RIVER NORTH IVF-FERTILITY CENTERS OF ILLINOIS
CHICAGO, ILLINOIS

A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 81–90.

2009 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART [a]

IVF	100%	**Procedural Factors:**	
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	84%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	0%
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	<1%
		Used PGD	3%
		With eSET	11%

Patient Diagnosis

Tubal factor	5%	Other factor	10%
Ovulatory dysfunction	15%	Unknown factor	20%
Diminished ovarian reserve	13%	*Multiple Factors:*	
Endometriosis	3%	Female factors only	8%
Uterine factor	1%	Female & male factors	10%
Male factor	14%		

2009 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by Angeline Beltsos, MD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman <35	35–37	38–40	41–42	43–44[d]
Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of cycles	706	350	381	167	82
Percentage of embryos transferred resulting in implantation[b]	39.2	28.3	20.1	8.7	5.2
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies[b]	47.0	37.1	25.7	13.2	7.3
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births[b,c]	41.2	29.7	19.4	9.0	2.4
(Confidence Interval)	(37.6–45.0)	(25.0–34.8)	(15.6–23.8)	(5.1–14.4)	(0.3–8.5)
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births[b,c]	45.1	34.3	24.0	12.5	3.3
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births[b,c]	47.9	38.4	27.6	15.5	4.5
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births[b]	33.7	28.0	19.4	11.3	4.5
Percentage of cancellations[b]	8.6	13.4	19.2	28.1	25.6
Average number of embryos transferred	1.9	2.1	2.2	2.7	2.6
Percentage of pregnancies with twins[b]	31.3	29.2	24.5	18.2	0 / 6
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more[b]	3.0	1.5	4.1	0.0	0 / 6
Percentage of live births having multiple infants[b,c]	29.6	26.9	29.7	4 / 15	0 / 2
Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of transfers	207	98	57	14	12
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births[b,c]	37.7	30.6	29.8	5 / 14	2 / 12
Average number of embryos transferred	1.9	1.7	1.8	2.2	1.6

Donor Eggs	All Ages Combined[e] Fresh Embryos	Frozen Embryos
Number of transfers	114	37
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births[b,c]	50.0	51.4
Average number of embryos transferred	2.0	1.8

CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

Current Name: River North IVF-Fertility Centers of Illinois

Donor egg?	Yes	Gestational carriers?	Yes	SART member?	Yes
Donor embryo?	No	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	Yes
Single women?	Yes			*(See Appendix C for details.)*	

[a] Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2009 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.
[b] When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.
[c] A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.
[d] Clinic-specific outcome rates for women older than 44 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs are not included because of small numbers. Readers are urged to review national outcomes for these age groups (see pages 30, 48 & 57).
[e] All ages (including ages >44) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.

UNIVERSITY OF ILLINOIS AT CHICAGO IVF PROGRAM
CHICAGO, ILLINOIS

A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 81–90.

2009 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART [a]

IVF	100%	**Procedural Factors:**	
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	52%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	0%
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	0%
		Used PGD	0%
		With eSET	11%

Patient Diagnosis

Tubal factor	13%	Other factor	5%
Ovulatory dysfunction	9%	Unknown factor	10%
Diminished ovarian reserve	7%	*Multiple Factors:*	
Endometriosis	3%	Female factors only	15%
Uterine factor	<1%	Female & male factors	13%
Male factor	25%		

2009 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by Humberto Scoccia, MD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman <35	35–37	38–40	41–42	43–44[d]
Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of cycles	39	43	23	9	5
Percentage of embryos transferred resulting in implantation[b]	35.2	28.1	15.8	2 / 17	0 / 16
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies[b]	46.2	32.6	30.4	1 / 9	0 / 5
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births[b,c]	41.0	23.3	26.1	1 / 9	0 / 5
(Confidence Interval)	(25.6–57.9)	(11.8–38.6)	(10.2–48.4)		
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births[b,c]	45.7	27.8	30.0	1 / 6	0 / 4
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births[b,c]	50.0	30.3	6 / 14	1 / 5	0 / 4
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births[b]	50.0	24.2	6 / 14	0 / 5	0 / 4
Percentage of cancellations[b]	10.3	16.3	13.0	3 / 9	1 / 5
Average number of embryos transferred	1.7	1.9	2.7	3.4	4.0
Percentage of pregnancies with twins[b]	0 / 18	2 / 14	0 / 7	1 / 1	
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more[b]	1 / 18	1 / 14	0 / 7	0 / 1	
Percentage of live births having multiple infants[b,c]	0 / 16	2 / 10	0 / 6	1 / 1	
Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of transfers	8	9	5	0	0
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births[b,c]	4 / 8	1 / 9	1 / 5		
Average number of embryos transferred	1.9	1.8	2.4		

Donor Eggs	All Ages Combined[e] Fresh Embryos	Frozen Embryos
Number of transfers	4	5
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births[b,c]	3 / 4	1 / 5
Average number of embryos transferred	1.8	1.6

CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

Current Name: University of Illinois at Chicago IVF Program

Donor egg?	Yes	Gestational carriers?	No	SART member?	Yes
Donor embryo?	No	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	Yes
Single women?	Yes			*(See Appendix C for details.)*	

[a] Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2009 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.
[b] When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.
[c] A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.
[d] Clinic-specific outcome rates for women older than 44 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs are not included because of small numbers. Readers are urged to review national outcomes for these age groups (see pages 30, 48 & 57).
[e] All ages (including ages >44) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.

WOMEN'S HEALTH CONSULTANTS
CHICAGO, ILLINOIS

A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 81–90.

2009 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART [a]

IVF	98%	**Procedural Factors:**	
GIFT	<1%	With ICSI	84%
ZIFT	2%	Unstimulated	0%
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	0%
		Used PGD	3%
		With eSET	6%

Patient Diagnosis

Tubal factor	1%	Other factor	2%
Ovulatory dysfunction	2%	Unknown factor	0%
Diminished ovarian reserve	2%	*Multiple Factors:*	
Endometriosis	2%	Female factors only	42%
Uterine factor	<1%	Female & male factors	45%
Male factor	3%		

2009 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by Mary W. Molo, MD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman <35	35–37	38–40	41–42	43–44 [d]
Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of cycles	43	29	24	13	10
Percentage of embryos transferred resulting in implantation [b]	32.1	14.0	23.9	3.8	7.7
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies [b]	44.2	20.7	29.2	1 / 13	2 / 10
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births [b,c]	39.5	10.3	16.7	0 / 13	1 / 10
(Confidence Interval)	(25.0–55.6)	(2.2–27.4)	(4.7–37.4)		
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births [b,c]	43.6	12.5	18.2	0 / 11	1 / 8
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	43.6	3 / 18	4 / 19	0 / 10	1 / 7
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births [b]	30.8	2 / 18	1 / 19	0 / 10	1 / 7
Percentage of cancellations [b]	9.3	17.2	8.3	2 / 13	2 / 10
Average number of embryos transferred	2.2	2.8	2.4	2.6	3.7
Percentage of pregnancies with twins [b]	6 / 19	1 / 6	4 / 7	0 / 1	0 / 2
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more [b]	1 / 19	0 / 6	0 / 7	0 / 1	0 / 2
Percentage of live births having multiple infants [b,c]	5 / 17	1 / 3	3 / 4		0 / 1
Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of transfers	10	1	2	2	2
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	2 / 10	0 / 1	1 / 2	0 / 2	0 / 2
Average number of embryos transferred	3.1	4.0	3.0	3.0	4.5

Donor Eggs	All Ages Combined [e] Fresh Embryos	Frozen Embryos
Number of transfers	1	0
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	1 / 1	
Average number of embryos transferred	2.0	

CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

Current Name: Women's Health Consultants

Donor egg?	No	Gestational carriers?	Yes	SART member?	No
Donor embryo?	Yes	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	Yes
Single women?	Yes			*(See Appendix C for details.)*	

[a] Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2009 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.
[b] When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.
[c] A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.
[d] Clinic-specific outcome rates for women older than 44 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs are not included because of small numbers. Readers are urged to review national outcomes for these age groups (see pages 30, 48 & 57).
[e] All ages (including ages >44) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.

CENTER FOR REPRODUCTIVE HEALTH/JOLIET IVF
CREST HILL, ILLINOIS

A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 81–90.

2009 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART [a]

IVF	100%	**Procedural Factors:**	
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	71%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	0%
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	0%
		Used PGD	3%
		With eSET	0%

Patient Diagnosis

Tubal factor	11%	Other factor	<1%
Ovulatory dysfunction	0%	Unknown factor	17%
Diminished ovarian reserve	33%	*Multiple Factors:*	
Endometriosis	10%	Female factors only	6%
Uterine factor	0%	Female & male factors	11%
Male factor	12%		

2009 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by R. Scott Springer, DO

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman <35	35–37	38–40	41–42	43–44[d]
Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of cycles	66	18	14	7	6
Percentage of embryos transferred resulting in implantation[b]	17.7	7.0	0.0	1 / 14	0 / 19
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies[b]	24.2	3 / 18	1 / 14	1 / 7	0 / 6
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births[b,c]	19.7	3 / 18	0 / 14	1 / 7	0 / 6
(Confidence Interval)	(10.9–31.3)				
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births[b,c]	23.2	3 / 17	0 / 12	1 / 7	0 / 6
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births[b,c]	24.5	3 / 16	0 / 12	1 / 6	0 / 6
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births[b]	13.2	3 / 16	0 / 12	1 / 6	0 / 6
Percentage of cancellations[b]	15.2	1 / 18	2 / 14	0 / 7	0 / 6
Average number of embryos transferred	2.1	2.7	3.3	2.3	3.2
Percentage of pregnancies with twins[b]	5 / 16	0 / 3	0 / 1	0 / 1	
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more[b]	1 / 16	0 / 3	0 / 1	0 / 1	
Percentage of live births having multiple infants[b,c]	6 / 13	0 / 3		0 / 1	
Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of transfers	10	1	1	1	0
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births[b,c]	1 / 10	0 / 1	0 / 1	0 / 1	
Average number of embryos transferred	2.2	2.0	1.0	1.0	

Donor Eggs	All Ages Combined[e] Fresh Embryos	Frozen Embryos
Number of transfers	8	3
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births[b,c]	5 / 8	0 / 3
Average number of embryos transferred	2.1	2.7

CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

Current Name: Center for Reproductive Health/Joliet IVF

Donor egg?	Yes	Gestational carriers?	Yes	SART member?	No
Donor embryo?	No	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	Yes
Single women?	Yes			*(See Appendix C for details.)*	

[a] Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2009 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.
[b] When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.
[c] A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.
[d] Clinic-specific outcome rates for women older than 44 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs are not included because of small numbers. Readers are urged to review national outcomes for these age groups (see pages 30, 48 & 57).
[e] All ages (including ages >44) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.

MIDWEST FERTILITY CENTER
DOWNERS GROVE, ILLINOIS

A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 81–90.

2009 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART [a]

IVF	98%	**Procedural Factors:**	
GIFT	2%	With ICSI	43%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	0%
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	0%
		Used PGD	9%
		With eSET	1%

Patient Diagnosis

Tubal factor	7%	Other factor	13%
Ovulatory dysfunction	7%	Unknown factor	7%
Diminished ovarian reserve	15%	*Multiple Factors:*	
Endometriosis	6%	Female factors only	19%
Uterine factor	0%	Female & male factors	17%
Male factor	10%		

2009 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by Amos E. Madanes, MD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman <35	35–37	38–40	41–42	43–44 [d]
Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of cycles	62	13	13	13	6
Percentage of embryos transferred resulting in implantation [b]	22.3	24.1	4 / 19	2.8	0 / 16
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies [b]	30.6	6 / 13	5 / 13	1 / 13	0 / 6
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births [b,c]	22.6	5 / 13	2 / 13	1 / 13	0 / 6
(Confidence Interval)	(12.9–35.0)				
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births [b,c]	25.5	5 / 12	2 / 11	1 / 13	0 / 5
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	26.9	5 / 11	2 / 9	1 / 12	0 / 5
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births [b]	17.3	4 / 11	2 / 9	1 / 12	0 / 5
Percentage of cancellations [b]	11.3	1 / 13	2 / 13	0 / 13	1 / 6
Average number of embryos transferred	2.2	2.6	2.1	3.0	3.2
Percentage of pregnancies with twins [b]	7 / 19	1 / 6	1 / 5	0 / 1	
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more [b]	0 / 19	0 / 6	0 / 5	0 / 1	
Percentage of live births having multiple infants [b,c]	5 / 14	1 / 5	0 / 2	0 / 1	
Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of transfers	14	2	3	0	1
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	3 / 14	0 / 2	1 / 3		0 / 1
Average number of embryos transferred	2.2	2.0	2.0		3.0

Donor Eggs	All Ages Combined [e] Fresh Embryos	Frozen Embryos
Number of transfers	7	0
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	4 / 7	
Average number of embryos transferred	2.3	

CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

Current Name: Midwest Fertility Center

Donor egg?	Yes	Gestational carriers?	Yes	SART member?	Yes
Donor embryo?	Yes	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	Yes
Single women?	Yes			*(See Appendix C for details.)*	

[a] Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2009 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.
[b] When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.
[c] A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.
[d] Clinic-specific outcome rates for women older than 44 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs are not included because of small numbers. Readers are urged to review national outcomes for these age groups (see pages 30, 48 & 57).
[e] All ages (including ages >44) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.

THE RINEHART CENTER FOR REPRODUCTIVE MEDICINE
EVANSTON, ILLINOIS

A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 81–90.

2009 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART [a]

IVF	100%	**Procedural Factors:**	
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	81%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	0%
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	<1%
		Used PGD	0%
		With eSET	1%

Patient Diagnosis

Tubal factor	4%	Other factor	3%
Ovulatory dysfunction	18%	Unknown factor	3%
Diminished ovarian reserve	24%	*Multiple Factors:*	
Endometriosis	<1%	Female factors only	11%
Uterine factor	<1%	Female & male factors	22%
Male factor	15%		

2009 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by John S. Rinehart, MD, PhD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman <35	35–37	38–40	41–42	43–44[d]
Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of cycles	146	71	62	25	10
Percentage of embryos transferred resulting in implantation[b]	28.3	26.1	17.2	9.4	1 / 15
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies[b]	28.1	25.4	25.8	12.0	1 / 10
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births[b,c]	21.9	21.1	19.4	8.0	1 / 10
(Confidence Interval)	(15.5–29.5)	(12.3–32.4)	(10.4–31.4)	(1.0–26.0)	
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births[b,c]	24.2	26.8	21.8	2 / 18	1 / 8
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births[b,c]	36.8	35.7	29.3	2 / 13	1 / 6
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births[b]	24.1	23.8	24.4	2 / 13	1 / 6
Percentage of cancellations[b]	9.6	21.1	11.3	28.0	2 / 10
Average number of embryos transferred	2.1	2.2	2.4	2.5	2.5
Percentage of pregnancies with twins[b]	39.0	6 / 18	2 / 16	0 / 3	0 / 1
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more[b]	0.0	0 / 18	1 / 16	0 / 3	0 / 1
Percentage of live births having multiple infants[b,c]	34.4	5 / 15	2 / 12	0 / 2	0 / 1
Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of transfers	49	14	7	1	2
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births[b,c]	26.5	4 / 14	2 / 7	1 / 1	0 / 2
Average number of embryos transferred	2.1	2.4	2.0	3.0	3.0

Donor Eggs	All Ages Combined[e] Fresh Embryos	Frozen Embryos
Number of transfers	25	12
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births[b,c]	48.0	4 / 12
Average number of embryos transferred	2.0	2.1

CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

Current Name: The Rinehart Center for Reproductive Medicine

Donor egg?	Yes	Gestational carriers?	Yes	SART member?	Yes
Donor embryo?	Yes	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	Yes
Single women?	Yes			*(See Appendix C for details.)*	

[a] Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2009 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.
[b] When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.
[c] A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.
[d] Clinic-specific outcome rates for women older than 44 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs are not included because of small numbers. Readers are urged to review national outcomes for these age groups (see pages 30, 48 & 57).
[e] All ages (including ages >44) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.

THE RINEHART-COULAM CENTER
EVANSTON, ILLINOIS

A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 81–90.

2009 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART [a]

IVF	100%	**Procedural Factors:**	
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	95%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	0%
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	2%
		Used PGD	2%
		With eSET	0%

Patient Diagnosis

Tubal factor	2%	Other factor	9%
Ovulatory dysfunction	16%	Unknown factor	2%
Diminished ovarian reserve	6%	*Multiple Factors:*	
Endometriosis	4%	Female factors only	25%
Uterine factor	9%	Female & male factors	19%
Male factor	9%		

2009 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by Carolyn B. Coulam, MD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman <35	35–37	38–40	41–42	43–44 [d]
Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of cycles	13	14	13	2	0
Percentage of embryos transferred resulting in implantation [b]	12.5	3.1	20.7	0 / 6	
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies [b]	3 / 13	2 / 14	3 / 13	0 / 2	
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births [b,c] (Confidence Interval)	2 / 13	0 / 14	2 / 13	0 / 2	
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births [b,c]	2 / 13	0 / 13	2 / 12	0 / 2	
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	2 / 10	0 / 11	2 / 11	0 / 2	
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births [b]	1 / 10	0 / 11	0 / 11	0 / 2	
Percentage of cancellations [b]	0 / 13	1 / 14	1 / 13	0 / 2	
Average number of embryos transferred	2.4	2.9	2.6	3.0	
Percentage of pregnancies with twins [b]	1 / 3	0 / 2	1 / 3		
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more [b]	0 / 3	0 / 2	1 / 3		
Percentage of live births having multiple infants [b,c]	1 / 2		2 / 2		
Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of transfers	10	3	2	0	0
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	1 / 10	2 / 3	0 / 2		
Average number of embryos transferred	1.9	2.0	2.0		

Donor Eggs	All Ages Combined [e] Fresh Embryos	Frozen Embryos
Number of transfers	6	4
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	2 / 6	1 / 4
Average number of embryos transferred	2.2	2.3

CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

Current Name: The Rinehart-Coulam Center

Donor egg?	Yes	Gestational carriers?	Yes	SART member?	Yes
Donor embryo?	Yes	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	Yes
Single women?	Yes			*(See Appendix C for details.)*	

[a] Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2009 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.
[b] When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.
[c] A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.
[d] Clinic-specific outcome rates for women older than 44 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs are not included because of small numbers. Readers are urged to review national outcomes for these age groups (see pages 30, 48 & 57).
[e] All ages (including ages >44) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.

ADVANCED FERTILITY CENTER OF CHICAGO
GURNEE, ILLINOIS

A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 81–90.

2009 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART [a]

IVF	100%	**Procedural Factors:**	
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	64%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	0%
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	<1%
		Used PGD	0%
		With eSET	2%

Patient Diagnosis

Tubal factor	5%	Other factor	2%
Ovulatory dysfunction	8%	Unknown factor	18%
Diminished ovarian reserve	19%	*Multiple Factors:*	
Endometriosis	6%	Female factors only	10%
Uterine factor	<1%	Female & male factors	14%
Male factor	18%		

2009 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by Richard P. Sherbahn, MD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman <35	35–37	38–40	41–42	43–44 [d]
Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of cycles	151	68	48	18	6
Percentage of embryos transferred resulting in implantation [b]	52.1	33.3	22.0	8.5	1 / 11
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies [b]	66.9	54.4	33.3	4 / 18	1 / 6
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births [b,c]	62.9	42.6	25.0	3 / 18	0 / 6
(Confidence Interval)	(54.7–70.6)	(30.7–55.2)	(13.6–39.6)		
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births [b,c]	62.9	43.9	26.7	3 / 14	0 / 5
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	65.1	44.6	27.9	3 / 14	0 / 4
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births [b]	33.6	30.8	16.3	2 / 14	0 / 4
Percentage of cancellations [b]	0.0	2.9	6.3	4 / 18	1 / 6
Average number of embryos transferred	2.0	2.1	2.3	3.4	2.8
Percentage of pregnancies with twins [b]	48.5	32.4	7 / 16	1 / 4	0 / 1
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more [b]	1.0	0.0	0 / 16	0 / 4	0 / 1
Percentage of live births having multiple infants [b,c]	48.4	31.0	5 / 12	1 / 3	
Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of transfers	18	18	4	1	0
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	8 / 18	3 / 18	0 / 4	0 / 1	
Average number of embryos transferred	1.6	1.3	1.3	1.0	

Donor Eggs	All Ages Combined [e] Fresh Embryos	Frozen Embryos
Number of transfers	50	13
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	74.0	1 / 13
Average number of embryos transferred	1.9	1.9

CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

Current Name: Advanced Fertility Center of Chicago

Donor egg?	Yes	Gestational carriers?	Yes	SART member?	Yes
Donor embryo?	Yes	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	Yes
Single women?	Yes			*(See Appendix C for details.)*	

[a] Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2009 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.
[b] When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.
[c] A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.
[d] Clinic-specific outcome rates for women older than 44 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs are not included because of small numbers. Readers are urged to review national outcomes for these age groups (see pages 30, 48 & 57).
[e] All ages (including ages >44) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.

CHICAGO INFERTILITY ASSOCIATES
HANOVER PARK, ILLINOIS

A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 81–90.

2009 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART [a]

IVF	100%	**Procedural Factors:**	
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	100%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	0%
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	0%
		Used PGD	0%
		With eSET	0%

Patient Diagnosis

Tubal factor	0%	Other factor	0%
Ovulatory dysfunction	43%	Unknown factor	0%
Diminished ovarian reserve	0%	*Multiple Factors:*	
Endometriosis	0%	Female factors only	43%
Uterine factor	0%	Female & male factors	14%
Male factor	0%		

2009 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by Ketan N. Jobanputra, MD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman <35	35–37	38–40	41–42	43–44 [d]
Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of cycles	2	0	0	0	1
Percentage of embryos transferred resulting in implantation [b]	1 / 4				0 / 4
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies [b]	1 / 2				0 / 1
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births [b,c] (Confidence Interval)	1 / 2				0 / 1
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births [b,c]	1 / 2				0 / 1
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	1 / 2				0 / 1
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births [b]	1 / 2				0 / 1
Percentage of cancellations [b]	0 / 2				0 / 1
Average number of embryos transferred	2.0				4.0
Percentage of pregnancies with twins [b]	0 / 1				
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more [b]	0 / 1				
Percentage of live births having multiple infants [b,c]	0 / 1				
Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of transfers	1	2	1	0	0
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	0 / 1	0 / 2	0 / 1		
Average number of embryos transferred	2.0	2.0	3.0		

Donor Eggs	All Ages Combined [e] Fresh Embryos	Frozen Embryos
Number of transfers	0	0
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]		
Average number of embryos transferred		

CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

Current Name: Chicago Infertility Associates

Donor egg?	Yes	Gestational carriers?	Yes	SART member?	No
Donor embryo?	Yes	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	Yes
Single women?	Yes			*(See Appendix C for details.)*	

[a] Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2009 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.
[b] When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.
[c] A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.
[d] Clinic-specific outcome rates for women older than 44 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs are not included because of small numbers. Readers are urged to review national outcomes for these age groups (see pages 30, 48 & 57).
[e] All ages (including ages >44) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.

HIGHLAND PARK IVF CENTER
HIGHLAND PARK, ILLINOIS

A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 81–90.

2009 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART [a]

IVF	100%	**Procedural Factors:**	
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	84%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	0%
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	3%
		Used PGD	10%
		With eSET	8%

Patient Diagnosis

Tubal factor	2%	Other factor	12%
Ovulatory dysfunction	8%	Unknown factor	23%
Diminished ovarian reserve	6%	*Multiple Factors:*	
Endometriosis	1%	Female factors only	31%
Uterine factor	1%	Female & male factors	8%
Male factor	8%		

2009 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by Edward L. Marut, MD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman <35	35–37	38–40	41–42	43–44[d]
Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of cycles	448	325	391	209	82
Percentage of embryos transferred resulting in implantation[b]	40.8	25.4	20.2	7.8	4.3
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies[b]	52.9	34.5	30.7	12.4	9.8
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births[b,c]	46.7	30.5	21.7	7.2	2.4
(Confidence Interval)	(42.0–51.4)	(25.5–35.8)	(17.8–26.2)	(4.1–11.6)	(0.3–8.5)
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births[b,c]	49.9	34.3	26.8	9.8	2.9
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births[b,c]	55.3	38.7	32.2	13.4	4.2
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births[b]	38.1	28.1	26.5	12.5	4.2
Percentage of cancellations[b]	6.5	11.1	18.9	26.8	17.1
Average number of embryos transferred	2.1	2.3	2.8	3.0	2.9
Percentage of pregnancies with twins[b]	32.9	29.5	19.2	7.7	0 / 8
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more[b]	3.8	2.7	6.7	3.8	0 / 8
Percentage of live births having multiple infants[b,c]	31.1	27.3	17.6	1 / 15	0 / 2
Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of transfers	121	93	62	21	13
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births[b,c]	38.0	36.6	21.0	42.9	1 / 13
Average number of embryos transferred	1.9	2.0	2.2	2.2	1.8

Donor Eggs	All Ages Combined[e] Fresh Embryos	Frozen Embryos
Number of transfers	151	110
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births[b,c]	51.7	40.0
Average number of embryos transferred	2.0	2.1

CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

Current Name: Highland Park IVF Center

Donor egg?	Yes	Gestational carriers?	Yes	SART member?	Yes
Donor embryo?	Yes	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	No
Single women?	Yes			*(See Appendix C for details.)*	

[a] Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2009 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.
[b] When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.
[c] A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.
[d] Clinic-specific outcome rates for women older than 44 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs are not included because of small numbers. Readers are urged to review national outcomes for these age groups (see pages 30, 48 & 57).
[e] All ages (including ages >44) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.

HINSDALE CENTER FOR REPRODUCTION
HINSDALE, ILLINOIS

A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 81–90.

2009 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART [a]

IVF	100%	**Procedural Factors:**	
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	95%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	0%
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	0%
		Used PGD	0%
		With eSET	0%

Patient Diagnosis

Tubal factor	3%	Other factor	9%
Ovulatory dysfunction	6%	Unknown factor	7%
Diminished ovarian reserve	12%	*Multiple Factors:*	
Endometriosis	6%	Female factors only	2%
Uterine factor	2%	Female & male factors	16%
Male factor	36%		

2009 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by Michael J. Hickey, MD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman <35	35–37	38–40	41–42	43–44 [d]
Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of cycles	31	12	10	2	0
Percentage of embryos transferred resulting in implantation [b]	20.0	2 / 17	30.0	0 / 5	
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies [b]	29.0	2 / 12	5 / 10	0 / 2	
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births [b,c]	25.8	2 / 12	5 / 10	0 / 2	
(Confidence Interval)	(11.9–44.6)				
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births [b,c]	26.7	2 / 12	5 / 10	0 / 2	
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	34.8	2 / 8	5 / 10	0 / 2	
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births [b]	30.4	2 / 8	3 / 10	0 / 2	
Percentage of cancellations [b]	3.2	0 / 12	0 / 10	0 / 2	
Average number of embryos transferred	2.2	2.1	3.0	2.5	
Percentage of pregnancies with twins [b]	1 / 9	0 / 2	0 / 5		
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more [b]	0 / 9	0 / 2	2 / 5		
Percentage of live births having multiple infants [b,c]	1 / 8	0 / 2	2 / 5		
Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of transfers	15	11	5	0	1
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	6 / 15	7 / 11	3 / 5		0 / 1
Average number of embryos transferred	2.1	2.5	2.2		3.0

Donor Eggs	All Ages Combined [e] Fresh Embryos	Frozen Embryos
Number of transfers	5	2
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	4 / 5	1 / 2
Average number of embryos transferred	2.0	2.5

CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

Current Name: Hinsdale Center for Reproduction

Donor egg?	Yes	Gestational carriers?	Yes	SART member?	Yes
Donor embryo?	Yes	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	Yes
Single women?	No			*(See Appendix C for details.)*	

[a] Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2009 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.
[b] When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.
[c] A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.
[d] Clinic-specific outcome rates for women older than 44 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs are not included because of small numbers. Readers are urged to review national outcomes for these age groups (see pages 30, 48 & 57).
[e] All ages (including ages >44) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.

REENA JABAMONI, MD, SC
HOFFMAN ESTATES, ILLINOIS

A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 81–90.

2009 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART [a]

IVF	100%	**Procedural Factors:**	
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	88%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	0%
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	0%
		Used PGD	2%
		With eSET	2%

Patient Diagnosis

Tubal factor	9%	Other factor	3%
Ovulatory dysfunction	62%	Unknown factor	0%
Diminished ovarian reserve	13%	*Multiple Factors:*	
Endometriosis	3%	Female factors only	1%
Uterine factor	1%	Female & male factors	8%
Male factor	0%		

2009 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by Reena Jabamoni, MD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman <35	35–37	38–40	41–42	43–44 [d]
Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of cycles	34	13	7	2	0
Percentage of embryos transferred resulting in implantation [b]	16.1	19.0	2 / 10	0 / 5	
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies [b]	20.6	3 / 13	2 / 7	0 / 2	
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births [b,c]	14.7	2 / 13	2 / 7	0 / 2	
(Confidence Interval)	(5.0–31.1)				
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births [b,c]	16.1	2 / 11	2 / 5	0 / 2	
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	16.7	2 / 10	2 / 5	0 / 2	
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births [b]	10.0	0 / 10	2 / 5	0 / 2	
Percentage of cancellations [b]	8.8	2 / 13	2 / 7	0 / 2	
Average number of embryos transferred	2.1	2.1	2.0	2.5	
Percentage of pregnancies with twins [b]	3 / 7	2 / 3	0 / 2		
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more [b]	0 / 7	0 / 3	0 / 2		
Percentage of live births having multiple infants [b,c]	2 / 5	2 / 2	0 / 2		
Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of transfers	15	3	1	1	0
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	1 / 15	0 / 3	0 / 1	0 / 1	
Average number of embryos transferred	2.3	2.0	2.0	2.0	

Donor Eggs	All Ages Combined [e] Fresh Embryos	Frozen Embryos
Number of transfers	0	0
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]		
Average number of embryos transferred		

CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

Current Name: Reena Jabamoni, MD, SC

Donor egg?	Yes	Gestational carriers?	Yes	SART member?	Yes
Donor embryo?	Yes	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	Yes
Single women?	Yes			*(See Appendix C for details.)*	

[a] Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2009 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.
[b] When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.
[c] A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.
[d] Clinic-specific outcome rates for women older than 44 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs are not included because of small numbers. Readers are urged to review national outcomes for these age groups (see pages 30, 48 & 57).
[e] All ages (including ages >44) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.

KARANDE AND ASSOCIATES, SC
HOFFMAN ESTATES, ILLINOIS

A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 81–90.

2009 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART [a]

IVF	100%	**Procedural Factors:**	
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	70%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	0%
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	0%
		Used PGD	4%
		With eSET	4%

Patient Diagnosis

Tubal factor	3%	Other factor	7%
Ovulatory dysfunction	7%	Unknown factor	26%
Diminished ovarian reserve	28%	*Multiple Factors:*	
Endometriosis	2%	Female factors only	2%
Uterine factor	2%	Female & male factors	5%
Male factor	20%		

2009 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by Vishvanath C. Karande, MD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman <35	35–37	38–40	41–42	43–44[d]
Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of cycles	177	92	56	22	11
Percentage of embryos transferred resulting in implantation[b]	36.1	25.5	17.9	5.3	3.1
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies[b]	46.9	37.0	32.1	18.2	1 / 11
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births[b,c]	44.1	33.7	32.1	13.6	1 / 11
(Confidence Interval)	(36.6–51.7)	(24.2–44.3)	(20.3–46.0)	(2.9–34.9)	
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births[b,c]	45.3	36.0	32.1	13.6	1 / 11
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births[b,c]	49.1	41.9	34.6	15.0	1 / 11
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births[b]	30.2	32.4	25.0	15.0	1 / 11
Percentage of cancellations[b]	2.8	6.5	0.0	0.0	0 / 11
Average number of embryos transferred	2.0	2.2	2.7	3.8	2.9
Percentage of pregnancies with twins[b]	33.7	17.6	3 / 18	0 / 4	0 / 1
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more[b]	3.6	2.9	2 / 18	0 / 4	0 / 1
Percentage of live births having multiple infants[b,c]	38.5	22.6	5 / 18	0 / 3	0 / 1
Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of transfers	26	14	6	7	1
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births[b,c]	53.8	5 / 14	2 / 6	1 / 7	0 / 1
Average number of embryos transferred	2.0	2.1	1.7	2.1	2.0

Donor Eggs	All Ages Combined[e] Fresh Embryos	Frozen Embryos
Number of transfers	36	23
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births[b,c]	55.6	43.5
Average number of embryos transferred	2.0	1.6

CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

Current Name: Karande and Associates, SC, dba InVia Fertility

Donor egg?	Yes	Gestational carriers?	Yes	SART member?	Yes
Donor embryo?	Yes	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	Yes
Single women?	Yes			*(See Appendix C for details.)*	

[a] Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2009 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.
[b] When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.
[c] A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.
[d] Clinic-specific outcome rates for women older than 44 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs are not included because of small numbers. Readers are urged to review national outcomes for these age groups (see pages 30, 48 & 57).
[e] All ages (including ages >44) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.

REPRODUCTIVE HEALTH SPECIALISTS, LTD.
JOLIET, ILLINOIS

A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 81–90.

2009 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART [a]

IVF	100%	**Procedural Factors:**	
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	89%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	0%
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	0%
		Used PGD	1%
		With eSET	0%

Patient Diagnosis

Tubal factor	4%	Other factor	0%
Ovulatory dysfunction	4%	Unknown factor	11%
Diminished ovarian reserve	8%	*Multiple Factors:*	
Endometriosis	4%	Female factors only	1%
Uterine factor	24%	Female & male factors	14%
Male factor	31%		

2009 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by Marek W. Piekos, MD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman <35	35–37	38–40	41–42	43–44[d]
Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of cycles	49	4	14	7	1
Percentage of embryos transferred resulting in implantation[b]	14.4	0 / 8	0.0	1 / 9	0 / 2
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies[b]	24.5	0 / 4	0 / 14	1 / 7	0 / 1
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births[b,c]	24.5	0 / 4	0 / 14	1 / 7	0 / 1
(Confidence Interval)	(13.3–38.9)				
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births[b,c]	24.5	0 / 3	0 / 14	1 / 7	0 / 1
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births[b,c]	25.0	0 / 3	0 / 14	1 / 5	0 / 1
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births[b]	16.7	0 / 3	0 / 14	1 / 5	0 / 1
Percentage of cancellations[b]	0.0	1 / 4	0 / 14	0 / 7	0 / 1
Average number of embryos transferred	2.6	2.7	2.7	1.8	2.0
Percentage of pregnancies with twins[b]	2 / 12			0 / 1	
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more[b]	2 / 12			0 / 1	
Percentage of live births having multiple infants[b,c]	4 / 12			0 / 1	
Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of transfers	2	2	2	1	0
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births[b,c]	0 / 2	1 / 2	0 / 2	0 / 1	
Average number of embryos transferred	2.5	2.0	3.5	2.0	

Donor Eggs	All Ages Combined[e] Fresh Embryos	Frozen Embryos
Number of transfers	4	0
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births[b,c]	2 / 4	
Average number of embryos transferred	2.5	

CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

Current Name: Reproductive Health Specialists, Ltd.

Donor egg?	Yes	Gestational carriers?	Yes	SART member?	Yes
Donor embryo?	Yes	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	Yes
Single women?	Yes			*(See Appendix C for details.)*	

[a] Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2009 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.
[b] When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.
[c] A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.
[d] Clinic-specific outcome rates for women older than 44 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs are not included because of small numbers. Readers are urged to review national outcomes for these age groups (see pages 30, 48 & 57).
[e] All ages (including ages >44) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.

THE ADVANCED IVF INSTITUTE
CHARLES E. MILLER, MD & ASSOCIATES
NAPERVILLE, ILLINOIS

A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 81–90.

2009 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART [a]

IVF	100%	**Procedural Factors:**	
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	79%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	0%
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	<1%
		Used PGD	3%
		With eSET	<1%

Patient Diagnosis

Tubal factor	3%	Other factor	5%
Ovulatory dysfunction	4%	Unknown factor	13%
Diminished ovarian reserve	19%	*Multiple Factors:*	
Endometriosis	4%	Female factors only	12%
Uterine factor	3%	Female & male factors	23%
Male factor	15%		

2009 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by Charles E. Miller, MD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman <35	35–37	38–40	41–42	43–44[d]
Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of cycles	199	69	77	38	16
Percentage of embryos transferred resulting in implantation[b]	29.3	19.8	14.9	12.2	3.2
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies[b]	36.2	30.4	19.5	18.4	1 / 16
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births[b,c]	32.2	24.6	15.6	13.2	0 / 16
(Confidence Interval)	(25.7–39.1)	(15.1–36.5)	(8.3–25.6)	(4.4–28.1)	
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births[b,c]	36.2	28.8	20.7	17.2	0 / 14
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births[b,c]	41.3	32.1	25.5	21.7	0 / 12
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births[b]	25.8	26.4	19.1	21.7	0 / 12
Percentage of cancellations[b]	11.1	14.5	24.7	23.7	2 / 16
Average number of embryos transferred	2.3	2.5	2.9	3.2	2.6
Percentage of pregnancies with twins[b]	38.9	14.3	2 / 15	0 / 7	0 / 1
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more[b]	5.6	9.5	2 / 15	1 / 7	0 / 1
Percentage of live births having multiple infants[b,c]	37.5	3 / 17	3 / 12	0 / 5	
Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of transfers	42	13	9	7	0
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births[b,c]	40.5	7 / 13	5 / 9	1 / 7	
Average number of embryos transferred	2.2	2.0	2.7	2.9	

Donor Eggs	All Ages Combined[e] Fresh Embryos	Frozen Embryos
Number of transfers	25	10
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births[b,c]	48.0	3 / 10
Average number of embryos transferred	2.4	2.2

CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

Current Name: The Advanced IVF Institute, Charles E. Miller, MD & Associates

Donor egg?	Yes	Gestational carriers?	Yes	SART member?	Yes
Donor embryo?	No	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	Yes
Single women?	Yes			*(See Appendix C for details.)*	

[a] Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2009 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.
[b] When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.
[c] A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.
[d] Clinic-specific outcome rates for women older than 44 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs are not included because of small numbers. Readers are urged to review national outcomes for these age groups (see pages 30, 48 & 57).
[e] All ages (including ages >44) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.

IVF1
NAPERVILLE, ILLINOIS

A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 81–90.

2009 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART [a]

IVF	100%	**Procedural Factors:**	
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	88%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	<1%
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	2%
		Used PGD	14%
		With eSET	1%

Patient Diagnosis

Tubal factor	7%	Other factor	12%
Ovulatory dysfunction	4%	Unknown factor	14%
Diminished ovarian reserve	16%	*Multiple Factors:*	
Endometriosis	2%	Female factors only	8%
Uterine factor	<1%	Female & male factors	17%
Male factor	20%		

2009 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by Randy S. Morris, MD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman <35	35–37	38–40	41–42	43–44 [d]
Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of cycles	151	42	51	22	5
Percentage of embryos transferred resulting in implantation [b]	39.0	37.7	15.1	10.8	0 / 11
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies [b]	53.6	42.9	29.4	18.2	1 / 5
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births [b,c]	49.0	40.5	23.5	13.6	0 / 5
(Confidence Interval)	(40.8–57.3)	(25.6–56.7)	(12.8–37.5)	(2.9–34.9)	
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births [b,c]	51.0	47.2	28.6	3 / 18	0 / 4
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	52.1	54.8	29.3	3 / 13	0 / 4
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births [b]	32.4	35.5	26.8	2 / 13	0 / 4
Percentage of cancellations [b]	4.0	14.3	17.6	18.2	1 / 5
Average number of embryos transferred	2.0	2.2	2.6	2.8	2.8
Percentage of pregnancies with twins [b]	38.3	5 / 18	2 / 15	1 / 4	0 / 1
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more [b]	1.2	2 / 18	0 / 15	0 / 4	0 / 1
Percentage of live births having multiple infants [b,c]	37.8	6 / 17	1 / 12	1 / 3	
Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of transfers	84	21	13	2	2
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	14.3	14.3	1 / 13	0 / 2	0 / 2
Average number of embryos transferred	1.9	1.9	2.3	2.5	2.0

Donor Eggs	All Ages Combined [e] Fresh Embryos	Frozen Embryos
Number of transfers	28	29
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	60.7	13.8
Average number of embryos transferred	1.9	1.8

CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

Current Name: IVF1

Donor egg?	Yes	Gestational carriers?	Yes	SART member?	Yes
Donor embryo?	Yes	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	Yes
Single women?	Yes			*(See Appendix C for details.)*	

[a] Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2009 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.
[b] When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.
[c] A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.
[d] Clinic-specific outcome rates for women older than 44 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs are not included because of small numbers. Readers are urged to review national outcomes for these age groups (see pages 30, 48 & 57).
[e] All ages (including ages >44) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.

OAK BROOK FERTILITY CENTER
OAK BROOK, ILLINOIS

A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 81–90.

2009 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART [a]

IVF	>99%	**Procedural Factors:**	
GIFT	<1%	With ICSI	75%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	0%
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	0%
		Used PGD	6%
		With eSET	5%

Patient Diagnosis

Tubal factor	10%	Other factor	9%
Ovulatory dysfunction	10%	Unknown factor	4%
Diminished ovarian reserve	16%	*Multiple Factors:*	
Endometriosis	14%	Female factors only	7%
Uterine factor	1%	Female & male factors	8%
Male factor	22%		

2009 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by W. Paul Dmowski, MD, PhD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman <35	35–37	38–40	41–42	43–44 [d]
Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of cycles	66	36	29	1	3
Percentage of embryos transferred resulting in implantation [b]	33.0	20.4	14.0	0 / 2	0 / 8
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies [b]	36.4	30.6	17.2	0 / 1	1 / 3
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births [b,c]	31.8	19.4	6.9	0 / 1	0 / 3
(Confidence Interval)	(20.9–44.4)	(8.2–36.0)	(0.8–22.8)		
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births [b,c]	33.3	20.0	7.1	0 / 1	0 / 3
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	40.4	25.9	2 / 18	0 / 1	0 / 3
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births [b]	23.1	18.5	1 / 18	0 / 1	0 / 3
Percentage of cancellations [b]	4.5	2.8	3.4	0 / 1	0 / 3
Average number of embryos transferred	2.0	2.0	2.4	2.0	2.7
Percentage of pregnancies with twins [b]	41.7	2 / 11	2 / 5		0 / 1
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more [b]	0.0	0 / 11	0 / 5		0 / 1
Percentage of live births having multiple infants [b,c]	42.9	2 / 7	1 / 2		
Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of transfers	26	6	11	3	1
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	42.3	3 / 6	2 / 11	0 / 3	1 / 1
Average number of embryos transferred	1.9	2.2	2.3	1.7	2.0

Donor Eggs	All Ages Combined [e] Fresh Embryos	Frozen Embryos
Number of transfers	3	8
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	1 / 3	4 / 8
Average number of embryos transferred	2.0	2.3

CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

Current Name: Oak Brook Fertility Center

Donor egg?	Yes	Gestational carriers?	Yes	SART member?	Yes
Donor embryo?	Yes	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	Yes
Single women?	Yes			*(See Appendix C for details.)*	

[a] Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2009 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.
[b] When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.
[c] A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.
[d] Clinic-specific outcome rates for women older than 44 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs are not included because of small numbers. Readers are urged to review national outcomes for these age groups (see pages 30, 48 & 57).
[e] All ages (including ages >44) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.

REPRODUCTIVE HEALTH AND FERTILITY CENTER
ROCKFORD, ILLINOIS

A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 81–90.

2009 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART [a]

IVF	96%	**Procedural Factors:**	
GIFT	4%	With ICSI	90%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	0%
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	1%
		Used PGD	1%
		With eSET	1%

Patient Diagnosis

Tubal factor	5%	Other factor	5%
Ovulatory dysfunction	6%	Unknown factor	2%
Diminished ovarian reserve	3%	*Multiple Factors:*	
Endometriosis	7%	Female factors only	27%
Uterine factor	1%	Female & male factors	27%
Male factor	18%		

2009 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by Chiravudh Sawetawan, MD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman <35	35–37	38–40	41–42	43–44 [d]
Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of cycles	48	24	15	3	0
Percentage of embryos transferred resulting in implantation [b]	35.6	20.4	10.8	0 / 8	
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies [b]	58.3	25.0	4 / 15	0 / 3	
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births [b,c]	47.9	25.0	3 / 15	0 / 3	
(Confidence Interval)	(33.3–62.8)	(9.8–46.7)			
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births [b,c]	48.9	28.6	3 / 15	0 / 3	
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	53.5	30.0	3 / 14	0 / 2	
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births [b]	32.6	10.0	3 / 14	0 / 2	
Percentage of cancellations [b]	2.1	12.5	0 / 15	0 / 3	
Average number of embryos transferred	2.3	2.7	2.6	4.0	
Percentage of pregnancies with twins [b]	32.1	3 / 6	0 / 4		
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more [b]	3.6	1 / 6	0 / 4		
Percentage of live births having multiple infants [b,c]	39.1	4 / 6	0 / 3		
Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of transfers	39	7	7	4	0
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	17.9	3 / 7	3 / 7	0 / 4	
Average number of embryos transferred	2.5	2.7	3.3	3.0	

Donor Eggs	All Ages Combined [e] Fresh Embryos	Frozen Embryos
Number of transfers	9	6
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	3 / 9	1 / 6
Average number of embryos transferred	2.3	2.2

CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

Current Name: Reproductive Health and Fertility Center

Donor egg?	Yes	Gestational carriers?	Yes	SART member?	Yes
Donor embryo?	Yes	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	Yes
Single women?	Yes			*(See Appendix C for details.)*	

[a] Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2009 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.
[b] When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.
[c] A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.
[d] Clinic-specific outcome rates for women older than 44 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs are not included because of small numbers. Readers are urged to review national outcomes for these age groups (see pages 30, 48 & 57).
[e] All ages (including ages >44) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.

NORTH SHORE FERTILITY, SC
SKOKIE, ILLINOIS

A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 81–90.

2009 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART [a]

IVF	100%	**Procedural Factors:**	
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	71%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	0%
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	<1%
		Used PGD	12%
		With eSET	2%

Patient Diagnosis

Tubal factor	4%	Other factor	12%
Ovulatory dysfunction	12%	Unknown factor	11%
Diminished ovarian reserve	35%	*Multiple Factors:*	
Endometriosis	2%	Female factors only	3%
Uterine factor	1%	Female & male factors	7%
Male factor	14%		

2009 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by Susan Davies, MD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman <35	35–37	38–40	41–42	43–44 [d]
Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of cycles	97	48	57	22	14
Percentage of embryos transferred resulting in implantation [b]	23.8	11.4	2.2	13.9	0 / 7
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies [b]	37.1	25.0	5.3	13.6	0 / 14
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births [b,c]	29.9	16.7	1.8	13.6	0 / 14
(Confidence Interval)	(21.0–40.0)	(7.5–30.2)	(0.0–9.4)	(2.9–34.9)	
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births [b,c]	31.5	19.0	2.0	15.0	0 / 10
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	34.9	21.1	2.6	3 / 15	0 / 3
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births [b]	21.7	18.4	0.0	2 / 15	0 / 3
Percentage of cancellations [b]	5.2	12.5	14.0	9.1	4 / 14
Average number of embryos transferred	2.2	2.3	2.4	2.4	2.3
Percentage of pregnancies with twins [b]	27.8	1 / 12	1 / 3	0 / 3	
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more [b]	5.6	0 / 12	0 / 3	1 / 3	
Percentage of live births having multiple infants [b,c]	37.9	1 / 8	1 / 1	1 / 3	
Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of transfers	16	12	3	1	0
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	3 / 16	2 / 12	0 / 3	0 / 1	
Average number of embryos transferred	2.3	2.1	1.7	1.0	

Donor Eggs	All Ages Combined [e] Fresh Embryos	Frozen Embryos
Number of transfers	10	5
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	4 / 10	0 / 5
Average number of embryos transferred	2.3	1.6

CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

Current Name: North Shore Fertility, SC

Donor egg?	Yes	Gestational carriers?	Yes	SART member?	Yes
Donor embryo?	Yes	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	Yes
Single women?	Yes			*(See Appendix C for details.)*	

[a] Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2009 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.
[b] When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.
[c] A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.
[d] Clinic-specific outcome rates for women older than 44 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs are not included because of small numbers. Readers are urged to review national outcomes for these age groups (see pages 30, 48 & 57).
[e] All ages (including ages >44) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.

REPRODUCTIVE ENDOCRINOLOGY ASSOCIATES, SC
SPRINGFIELD, ILLINOIS

A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 81–90.

2009 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART [a]

IVF	100%	**Procedural Factors:**	
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	77%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	0%
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	0%
		Used PGD	0%
		With eSET	0%

Patient Diagnosis

Tubal factor	15%	Other factor	13%
Ovulatory dysfunction	3%	Unknown factor	10%
Diminished ovarian reserve	1%	*Multiple Factors:*	
Endometriosis	3%	Female factors only	10%
Uterine factor	5%	Female & male factors	19%
Male factor	23%		

2009 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by Mary Ann McRae, MD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman <35	35–37	38–40	41–42	43–44[d]
Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of cycles	39	17	15	2	0
Percentage of embryos transferred resulting in implantation[b]	17.1	15.2	20.7	0 / 1	
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies[b]	28.2	6 / 17	4 / 15	0 / 2	
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births[b,c]	17.9	5 / 17	4 / 15	0 / 2	
(Confidence Interval)	(7.5–33.5)				
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births[b,c]	25.0	5 / 16	4 / 11	0 / 1	
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births[b,c]	25.0	5 / 15	4 / 11	0 / 1	
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births[b]	14.3	5 / 15	3 / 11	0 / 1	
Percentage of cancellations[b]	28.2	1 / 17	4 / 15	1 / 2	
Average number of embryos transferred	2.9	3.1	2.6	1.0	
Percentage of pregnancies with twins[b]	2 / 11	1 / 6	2 / 4		
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more[b]	1 / 11	0 / 6	0 / 4		
Percentage of live births having multiple infants[b,c]	3 / 7	0 / 5	1 / 4		
Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of transfers	3	1	2	0	0
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births[b,c]	0 / 3	0 / 1	0 / 2		
Average number of embryos transferred	3.7	2.0	2.0		

Donor Eggs	All Ages Combined[e] Fresh Embryos	Frozen Embryos
Number of transfers	0	0
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births[b,c]		
Average number of embryos transferred		

CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

Current Name: Reproductive Endocrinology Associates, SC

Donor egg?	No	Gestational carriers?	No	SART member?	Yes
Donor embryo?	No	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	Yes
Single women?	No			*(See Appendix C for details.)*	

[a] Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2009 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.
[b] When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.
[c] A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.
[d] Clinic-specific outcome rates for women older than 44 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs are not included because of small numbers. Readers are urged to review national outcomes for these age groups (see pages 30, 48 & 57).
[e] All ages (including ages >44) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.

SIU FERTILITY AND IVF CENTER
SOUTHERN ILLINOIS UNIVERSITY SCHOOL OF MEDICINE
SPRINGFIELD, ILLINOIS

A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 81–90.

2009 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART [a]

IVF	100%	**Procedural Factors:**	
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	48%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	0%
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	0%
		Used PGD	0%
		With eSET	3%

Patient Diagnosis

Tubal factor	7%	Other factor	0%
Ovulatory dysfunction	11%	Unknown factor	2%
Diminished ovarian reserve	7%	*Multiple Factors:*	
Endometriosis	9%	Female factors only	29%
Uterine factor	0%	Female & male factors	24%
Male factor	11%		

2009 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by J. Ricardo Loret de Mola, MD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman <35	35–37	38–40	41–42	43–44[d]
Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of cycles	21	9	6	1	3
Percentage of embryos transferred resulting in implantation[b]	46.9	23.8	1 / 14		0 / 7
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies[b]	52.4	3 / 9	1 / 6	0 / 1	0 / 3
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births[b,c]	47.6	3 / 9	0 / 6	0 / 1	0 / 3
(Confidence Interval)	(25.7–70.2)				
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births[b,c]	10 / 18	3 / 8	0 / 5	0 / 1	0 / 2
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births[b,c]	10 / 15	3 / 8	0 / 5		0 / 2
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births[b]	8 / 15	2 / 8	0 / 5		0 / 2
Percentage of cancellations[b]	14.3	1 / 9	1 / 6	0 / 1	1 / 3
Average number of embryos transferred	2.1	2.6	2.8		3.5
Percentage of pregnancies with twins[b]	4 / 11	2 / 3	0 / 1		
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more[b]	0 / 11	0 / 3	0 / 1		
Percentage of live births having multiple infants[b,c]	2 / 10	1 / 3			
Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of transfers	2	1	0	1	0
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births[b,c]	0 / 2	0 / 1		0 / 1	
Average number of embryos transferred	2.5	1.0		1.0	

Donor Eggs	All Ages Combined[e] Fresh Embryos	Frozen Embryos
Number of transfers	0	0
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births[b,c]		
Average number of embryos transferred		

CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

Current Name: SIU Fertility and IVF Center, Southern Illinois University School of Medicine

Donor egg?	No	Gestational carriers?	Yes	SART member?	No
Donor embryo?	No	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	No
Single women?	Yes			*(See Appendix C for details.)*	

[a] Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2009 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.
[b] When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.
[c] A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.
[d] Clinic-specific outcome rates for women older than 44 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs are not included because of small numbers. Readers are urged to review national outcomes for these age groups (see pages 30, 48 & 57).
[e] All ages (including ages >44) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.

SETH LEVRANT, MD, PC
PARTNERS IN REPRODUCTIVE HEALTH
TINLEY PARK, ILLINOIS

A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 81–90.

2009 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART [a]

IVF	100%	**Procedural Factors:**	
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	75%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	0%
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	0%
		Used PGD	0%
		With eSET	2%

Patient Diagnosis

Tubal factor	11%	Other factor	6%
Ovulatory dysfunction	11%	Unknown factor	7%
Diminished ovarian reserve	2%	*Multiple Factors:*	
Endometriosis	6%	Female factors only	12%
Uterine factor	0%	Female & male factors	27%
Male factor	19%		

2009 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by Seth G. Levrant, MD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman <35	35–37	38–40	41–42	43–44[d]
Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of cycles	37	17	11	4	2
Percentage of embryos transferred resulting in implantation[b]	39.0	13.5	17.2	0 / 13	0 / 6
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies[b]	56.8	5 / 17	4 / 11	0 / 4	0 / 2
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births[b,c]	48.6	4 / 17	2 / 11	0 / 4	0 / 2
(Confidence Interval)	(31.9–65.6)				
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births[b,c]	48.6	4 / 17	2 / 11	0 / 4	0 / 2
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births[b,c]	50.0	4 / 16	2 / 11	0 / 4	0 / 2
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births[b]	25.0	4 / 16	1 / 11	0 / 4	0 / 2
Percentage of cancellations[b]	0.0	0 / 17	0 / 11	0 / 4	0 / 2
Average number of embryos transferred	2.1	2.3	2.6	3.3	3.0
Percentage of pregnancies with twins[b]	42.9	0 / 5	1 / 4		
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more[b]	4.8	0 / 5	0 / 4		
Percentage of live births having multiple infants[b,c]	9 / 18	0 / 4	1 / 2		
Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of transfers	9	3	1	0	0
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births[b,c]	0 / 9	0 / 3	0 / 1		
Average number of embryos transferred	1.8	2.3	2.0		

Donor Eggs	All Ages Combined[e] Fresh Embryos	Frozen Embryos
Number of transfers	2	3
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births[b,c]	0 / 2	0 / 3
Average number of embryos transferred	2.0	2.3

CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

Current Name: Seth Levrant, MD, PC, Partners in Reproductive Health

Donor egg?	Yes	Gestational carriers?	Yes	SART member?	Yes
Donor embryo?	Yes	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	Yes
Single women?	Yes			*(See Appendix C for details.)*	

[a] Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2009 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.
[b] When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.
[c] A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.
[d] Clinic-specific outcome rates for women older than 44 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs are not included because of small numbers. Readers are urged to review national outcomes for these age groups (see pages 30, 48 & 57).
[e] All ages (including ages >44) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.

BONAVENTURA REPRODUCTIVE MEDICINE
CARMEL, INDIANA

A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 81–90.

2009 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART [a]

IVF	100%	**Procedural Factors:**	
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	83%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	0%
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	0%
		Used PGD	0%
		With eSET	0%

Patient Diagnosis

Tubal factor	6%	Other factor	9%
Ovulatory dysfunction	20%	Unknown factor	8%
Diminished ovarian reserve	18%	*Multiple Factors:*	
Endometriosis	19%	Female factors only	4%
Uterine factor	2%	Female & male factors	5%
Male factor	11%		

2009 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by Leo M. Bonaventura, MD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman <35	35–37	38–40	41–42	43–44 [d]
Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of cycles	44	16	14	3	1
Percentage of embryos transferred resulting in implantation [b]	40.3	13.0	9.5	0 / 8	
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies [b]	47.7	3 / 16	2 / 14	0 / 3	0 / 1
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births [b,c]	40.9	3 / 16	1 / 14	0 / 3	0 / 1
(Confidence Interval)	(26.3–56.8)				
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births [b,c]	46.2	3 / 13	1 / 11	0 / 3	0 / 1
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	51.4	3 / 11	1 / 11	0 / 3	
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births [b]	28.6	3 / 11	1 / 11	0 / 3	
Percentage of cancellations [b]	11.4	3 / 16	3 / 14	0 / 3	0 / 1
Average number of embryos transferred	2.1	2.1	1.9	2.7	
Percentage of pregnancies with twins [b]	38.1	0 / 3	0 / 2		
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more [b]	0.0	0 / 3	0 / 2		
Percentage of live births having multiple infants [b,c]	8 / 18	0 / 3	0 / 1		
Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of transfers	10	5	1	0	0
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	1 / 10	1 / 5	0 / 1		
Average number of embryos transferred	2.4	1.4	3.0		

Donor Eggs	All Ages Combined [e] Fresh Embryos	Frozen Embryos
Number of transfers	7	1
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	4 / 7	0 / 1
Average number of embryos transferred	2.0	1.0

CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

Current Name: Bonaventura Reproductive Medicine

Donor egg?	Yes	Gestational carriers?	Yes	SART member?	No
Donor embryo?	No	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	Yes
Single women?	Yes			*(See Appendix C for details.)*	

[a] Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2009 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.
[b] When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.
[c] A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.
[d] Clinic-specific outcome rates for women older than 44 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs are not included because of small numbers. Readers are urged to review national outcomes for these age groups (see pages 30, 48 & 57).
[e] All ages (including ages >44) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.

JARRETT FERTILITY GROUP
CARMEL, INDIANA

A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 81–90.

2009 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART [a]

IVF	>99%	**Procedural Factors:**	
GIFT	<1%	With ICSI	73%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	<1%
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	<1%
		Used PGD	<1%
		With eSET	2%

Patient Diagnosis

Tubal factor	5%	Other factor	12%
Ovulatory dysfunction	10%	Unknown factor	19%
Diminished ovarian reserve	8%	*Multiple Factors:*	
Endometriosis	7%	Female factors only	10%
Uterine factor	<1%	Female & male factors	15%
Male factor	13%		

2009 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by John C. Jarrett, II, MD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman <35	35–37	38–40	41–42	43–44 [d]
Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of cycles	245	86	73	10	3
Percentage of embryos transferred resulting in implantation [b]	40.3	29.1	21.4	19.2	1 / 5
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies [b]	51.4	38.4	31.5	4 / 10	1 / 3
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births [b,c]	44.9	30.2	24.7	4 / 10	1 / 3
(Confidence Interval)	(38.6–51.4)	(20.8–41.1)	(15.3–36.1)		
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births [b,c]	51.6	36.1	29.5	4 / 9	1 / 2
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	54.2	38.8	32.1	4 / 9	1 / 2
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births [b]	32.0	25.4	23.2	3 / 9	1 / 2
Percentage of cancellations [b]	13.1	16.3	16.4	1 / 10	1 / 3
Average number of embryos transferred	2.2	2.4	2.8	2.9	2.5
Percentage of pregnancies with twins [b]	36.5	39.4	13.0	1 / 4	0 / 1
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more [b]	3.2	0.0	21.7	0 / 4	0 / 1
Percentage of live births having multiple infants [b,c]	40.9	34.6	5 / 18	1 / 4	0 / 1
Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of transfers	41	10	14	3	0
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	29.3	1 / 10	1 / 14	1 / 3	
Average number of embryos transferred	2.3	1.8	2.3	3.0	

Donor Eggs	All Ages Combined [e] Fresh Embryos	Frozen Embryos
Number of transfers	45	8
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	48.9	2 / 8
Average number of embryos transferred	2.2	2.0

CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

Current Name: Jarrett Fertility Group

Donor egg?	Yes	Gestational carriers?	Yes	SART member?	Yes
Donor embryo?	Yes	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	Yes
Single women?	Yes			*(See Appendix C for details.)*	

[a] Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2009 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.
[b] When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.
[c] A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.
[d] Clinic-specific outcome rates for women older than 44 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs are not included because of small numbers. Readers are urged to review national outcomes for these age groups (see pages 30, 48 & 57).
[e] All ages (including ages >44) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.

MIDWEST FERTILITY SPECIALISTS
CARMEL, INDIANA

A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 81–90.

2009 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART [a]

IVF	100%	**Procedural Factors:**	
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	76%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	0%
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	<1%
		Used PGD	<1%
		With eSET	<1%

Patient Diagnosis

Tubal factor	7%	Other factor	9%
Ovulatory dysfunction	6%	Unknown factor	14%
Diminished ovarian reserve	12%	*Multiple Factors:*	
Endometriosis	7%	Female factors only	6%
Uterine factor	1%	Female & male factors	16%
Male factor	22%		

2009 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by Laura M. Reuter, MD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman <35	35–37	38–40	41–42	43–44 [d]
Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of cycles	197	62	44	14	4
Percentage of embryos transferred resulting in implantation [b]	26.5	23.4	12.8	6.1	1 / 4
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies [b]	38.1	32.3	15.9	2 / 14	1 / 4
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births [b,c]	34.0	22.6	6.8	1 / 14	1 / 4
(Confidence Interval)	(27.4–41.1)	(12.9–35.0)	(1.4–18.7)		
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births [b,c]	38.3	26.4	9.1	1 / 12	1 / 3
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	39.2	29.2	10.0	1 / 11	1 / 1
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births [b]	24.6	18.8	10.0	1 / 11	1 / 1
Percentage of cancellations [b]	11.2	14.5	25.0	2 / 14	1 / 4
Average number of embryos transferred	2.2	2.3	2.6	3.0	4.0
Percentage of pregnancies with twins [b]	33.3	35.0	2 / 7	0 / 2	0 / 1
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more [b]	4.0	0.0	1 / 7	0 / 2	0 / 1
Percentage of live births having multiple infants [b,c]	37.3	5 / 14	0 / 3	0 / 1	0 / 1
Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of transfers	72	29	8	2	1
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	20.8	20.7	3 / 8	0 / 2	0 / 1
Average number of embryos transferred	2.4	2.4	2.6	2.0	5.0

Donor Eggs	All Ages Combined [e] Fresh Embryos	Frozen Embryos
Number of transfers	56	31
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	51.8	29.0
Average number of embryos transferred	1.9	2.7

CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

Current Name: Midwest Fertility Specialists

Donor egg?	Yes	Gestational carriers?	Yes	SART member?	Yes
Donor embryo?	Yes	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	Yes
Single women?	Yes			*(See Appendix C for details.)*	

[a] Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2009 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.
[b] When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.
[c] A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.
[d] Clinic-specific outcome rates for women older than 44 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs are not included because of small numbers. Readers are urged to review national outcomes for these age groups (see pages 30, 48 & 57).
[e] All ages (including ages >44) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.

ADVANCED REPRODUCTION INSTITUTE, LLC
ADVANCED FERTILITY GROUP
EVANSVILLE, INDIANA

A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 81–90.

2009 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART [a]

IVF	100%	**Procedural Factors:**	
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	58%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	0%
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	<1%
		Used PGD	0%
		With eSET	0%

Patient Diagnosis

Tubal factor	13%	Other factor	4%
Ovulatory dysfunction	26%	Unknown factor	<1%
Diminished ovarian reserve	4%	*Multiple Factors:*	
Endometriosis	2%	Female factors only	14%
Uterine factor	1%	Female & male factors	31%
Male factor	6%		

2009 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by William L. Gentry, MD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman <35	35–37	38–40	41–42	43–44 [d]
Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of cycles	64	22	12	3	3
Percentage of embryos transferred resulting in implantation [b]	43.1	20.0	7 / 17	0 / 6	
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies [b]	50.0	27.3	3 / 12	0 / 3	0 / 3
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births [b,c]	46.9	22.7	3 / 12	0 / 3	0 / 3
(Confidence Interval)	(34.3–59.8)	(7.8–45.4)			
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births [b,c]	55.6	5 / 17	3 / 9	0 / 3	0 / 2
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	60.0	5 / 16	3 / 7	0 / 3	
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births [b]	34.0	4 / 16	2 / 7	0 / 3	
Percentage of cancellations [b]	15.6	22.7	3 / 12	0 / 3	1 / 3
Average number of embryos transferred	2.3	2.2	2.4	2.0	
Percentage of pregnancies with twins [b]	46.9	1 / 6	1 / 3		
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more [b]	6.3	0 / 6	1 / 3		
Percentage of live births having multiple infants [b,c]	43.3	1 / 5	1 / 3		
Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of transfers	20	8	1	0	0
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	35.0	2 / 8	0 / 1		
Average number of embryos transferred	2.3	2.3	1.0		

Donor Eggs	All Ages Combined [e] Fresh Embryos	Frozen Embryos
Number of transfers	16	12
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	5 / 16	4 / 12
Average number of embryos transferred	2.1	1.9

CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

Current Name: Advanced Reproduction Institute, LLC, Advanced Fertility Group

Donor egg?	Yes	Gestational carriers?	Yes	SART member?	Yes
Donor embryo?	Yes	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	Yes
Single women?	Yes			*(See Appendix C for details.)*	

[a] Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2009 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.
[b] When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.
[c] A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.
[d] Clinic-specific outcome rates for women older than 44 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs are not included because of small numbers. Readers are urged to review national outcomes for these age groups (see pages 30, 48 & 57).
[e] All ages (including ages >44) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.

ADVANCED FERTILITY GROUP
INDIANAPOLIS, INDIANA

A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 81–90.

2009 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART [a]

IVF	100%	**Procedural Factors:**	
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	52%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	0%
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	0%
		Used PGD	3%
		With eSET	0%

Patient Diagnosis

Tubal factor	4%	Other factor	6%
Ovulatory dysfunction	32%	Unknown factor	0%
Diminished ovarian reserve	3%	*Multiple Factors:*	
Endometriosis	7%	Female factors only	16%
Uterine factor	0%	Female & male factors	32%
Male factor	0%		

2009 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by William L. Gentry, MD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman <35	35–37	38–40	41–42	43–44[d]
Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of cycles	36	13	7	7	4
Percentage of embryos transferred resulting in implantation[b]	38.0	36.7	0 / 10	1 / 8	0 / 4
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies[b]	36.1	8 / 13	0 / 7	1 / 7	0 / 4
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births[b,c]	33.3	7 / 13	0 / 7	1 / 7	0 / 4
(Confidence Interval)	(18.6–51.0)				
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births[b,c]	44.4	7 / 12	0 / 4	1 / 4	0 / 3
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births[b,c]	54.5	7 / 12	0 / 4	1 / 3	0 / 2
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births[b]	36.4	4 / 12	0 / 4	1 / 3	0 / 2
Percentage of cancellations[b]	25.0	1 / 13	3 / 7	3 / 7	1 / 4
Average number of embryos transferred	2.3	2.5	2.5	2.7	2.0
Percentage of pregnancies with twins[b]	4 / 13	4 / 8		0 / 1	
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more[b]	1 / 13	0 / 8		0 / 1	
Percentage of live births having multiple infants[b,c]	4 / 12	3 / 7		0 / 1	
Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of transfers	10	4	7	0	0
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births[b,c]	6 / 10	1 / 4	2 / 7		
Average number of embryos transferred	2.9	2.5	2.4		

Donor Eggs	All Ages Combined[e] Fresh Embryos	Frozen Embryos
Number of transfers	0	1
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births[b,c]		1 / 1
Average number of embryos transferred		2.0

CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

Current Name: Advanced Fertility Group

Donor egg?	Yes	Gestational carriers?	Yes	SART member?	Yes
Donor embryo?	Yes	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	Yes
Single women?	Yes			*(See Appendix C for details.)*	

[a] Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2009 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.
[b] When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.
[c] A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.
[d] Clinic-specific outcome rates for women older than 44 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs are not included because of small numbers. Readers are urged to review national outcomes for these age groups (see pages 30, 48 & 57).
[e] All ages (including ages >44) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.

COMMUNITY REPRODUCTIVE ENDOCRINOLOGY
INDIANAPOLIS, INDIANA

A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 81–90.

2009 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART [a]

IVF	100%	**Procedural Factors:**	
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	83%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	0%
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	0%
		Used PGD	0%
		With eSET	33%

Patient Diagnosis

Tubal factor	11%	Other factor	0%
Ovulatory dysfunction	11%	Unknown factor	11%
Diminished ovarian reserve	0%	*Multiple Factors:*	
Endometriosis	22%	Female factors only	0%
Uterine factor	0%	Female & male factors	11%
Male factor	33%		

2009 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by David E. Carnovale, MD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman <35	35–37	38–40	41–42	43–44 [d]
Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of cycles	3	2	0	1	0
Percentage of embryos transferred resulting in implantation [b]	5 / 4	2 / 4		2 / 4	
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies [b]	3 / 3	1 / 2		1 / 1	
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births [b,c] (Confidence Interval)	2 / 3	1 / 2		1 / 1	
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births [b,c]	2 / 3	1 / 2		1 / 1	
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	2 / 3	1 / 2		1 / 1	
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births [b]	2 / 3	1 / 2		0 / 1	
Percentage of cancellations [b]	0 / 3	0 / 2		0 / 1	
Average number of embryos transferred	1.3	2.0		4.0	
Percentage of pregnancies with twins [b]	0 / 3	1 / 1		1 / 1	
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more [b]	1 / 3	0 / 1		0 / 1	
Percentage of live births having multiple infants [b,c]	0 / 2	0 / 1		1 / 1	
Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of transfers	1	0	0	0	0
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	0 / 1				
Average number of embryos transferred	2.0				

Donor Eggs	All Ages Combined [e] Fresh Embryos	Frozen Embryos
Number of transfers	0	2
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]		1 / 2
Average number of embryos transferred		2.5

CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

Current Name: Community Reproductive Endocrinology

Donor egg?	Yes	Gestational carriers?	No	SART member?	Yes
Donor embryo?	Yes	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	Yes
Single women?	Yes			*(See Appendix C for details.)*	

[a] Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2009 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.
[b] When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.
[c] A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.
[d] Clinic-specific outcome rates for women older than 44 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs are not included because of small numbers. Readers are urged to review national outcomes for these age groups (see pages 30, 48 & 57).
[e] All ages (including ages >44) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.

FAMILY BEGINNINGS, PC
INDIANAPOLIS, INDIANA

A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 81–90.

2009 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART [a]

IVF	100%	**Procedural Factors:**	
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	42%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	0%
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	<1%
		Used PGD	0%
		With eSET	2%

Patient Diagnosis

Tubal factor	22%	Other factor	<1%
Ovulatory dysfunction	10%	Unknown factor	10%
Diminished ovarian reserve	3%	*Multiple Factors:*	
Endometriosis	19%	Female factors only	3%
Uterine factor	<1%	Female & male factors	8%
Male factor	25%		

2009 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by James G. Donahue, MD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman <35	35–37	38–40	41–42	43–44 [d]
Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of cycles	72	23	19	4	4
Percentage of embryos transferred resulting in implantation [b]	31.8	20.6	10.3	0 / 2	0 / 4
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies [b]	34.7	26.1	2 / 19	0 / 4	0 / 4
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births [b,c]	27.8	26.1	1 / 19	0 / 4	0 / 4
(Confidence Interval)	(17.9–39.6)	(10.2–48.4)			
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births [b,c]	38.5	6 / 16	1 / 17	0 / 3	0 / 2
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	42.6	6 / 13	1 / 14	0 / 2	0 / 2
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births [b]	25.5	5 / 13	0 / 14	0 / 2	0 / 2
Percentage of cancellations [b]	27.8	30.4	2 / 19	1 / 4	2 / 4
Average number of embryos transferred	2.3	2.6	2.1	1.0	2.0
Percentage of pregnancies with twins [b]	32.0	1 / 6	1 / 2		
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more [b]	4.0	0 / 6	0 / 2		
Percentage of live births having multiple infants [b,c]	40.0	1 / 6	1 / 1		
Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of transfers	7	2	5	0	0
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	1 / 7	0 / 2	2 / 5		
Average number of embryos transferred	2.4	2.0	2.0		

Donor Eggs	All Ages Combined [e] Fresh Embryos	Frozen Embryos
Number of transfers	3	3
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	1 / 3	0 / 3
Average number of embryos transferred	2.3	3.0

CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

Current Name: Family Beginnings, PC

Donor egg?	Yes	Gestational carriers?	Yes	SART member?	Yes
Donor embryo?	Yes	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	Yes
Single women?	Yes			*(See Appendix C for details.)*	

[a] Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2009 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.
[b] When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.
[c] A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.
[d] Clinic-specific outcome rates for women older than 44 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs are not included because of small numbers. Readers are urged to review national outcomes for these age groups (see pages 30, 48 & 57).
[e] All ages (including ages >44) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.

INDIANA UNIVERSITY HOSPITAL
INDIANAPOLIS, INDIANA

A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 81–90.

2009 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART [a]

IVF	100%	**Procedural Factors:**	
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	29%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	0%
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	0%
		Used PGD	0%
		With eSET	0%

Patient Diagnosis

Tubal factor	8%	Other factor	17%
Ovulatory dysfunction	8%	Unknown factor	25%
Diminished ovarian reserve	0%	*Multiple Factors:*	
Endometriosis	8%	Female factors only	0%
Uterine factor	0%	Female & male factors	33%
Male factor	0%		

2009 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by Marguerite K. Shepard, MD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman <35	35–37	38–40	41–42	43–44[d]
Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of cycles	3	4	0	0	0
Percentage of embryos transferred resulting in implantation[b]	2 / 5	2 / 6			
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies[b]	1 / 3	2 / 4			
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births[b,c] (Confidence Interval)	1 / 3	1 / 4			
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births[b,c]	1 / 2	1 / 3			
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births[b,c]	1 / 2	1 / 3			
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births[b]	0 / 2	1 / 3			
Percentage of cancellations[b]	1 / 3	1 / 4			
Average number of embryos transferred	2.5	2.0			
Percentage of pregnancies with twins[b]	1 / 1	1 / 2			
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more[b]	0 / 1	0 / 2			
Percentage of live births having multiple infants[b,c]	1 / 1	0 / 1			
Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of transfers	5	0	0	0	0
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births[b,c]	2 / 5				
Average number of embryos transferred	2.0				

Donor Eggs	All Ages Combined[e] Fresh Embryos	Frozen Embryos
Number of transfers	0	0
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births[b,c]		
Average number of embryos transferred		

CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

Current Name: Indiana University Hospital

Donor egg?	No	Gestational carriers?	No	SART member?	Yes
Donor embryo?	No	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	Yes
Single women?	No			*(See Appendix C for details.)*	

[a] Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2009 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.
[b] When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.
[c] A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.
[d] Clinic-specific outcome rates for women older than 44 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs are not included because of small numbers. Readers are urged to review national outcomes for these age groups (see pages 30, 48 & 57).
[e] All ages (including ages >44) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.

REPRODUCTIVE CARE OF INDIANA
INDIANAPOLIS, INDIANA

A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 81–90.

2009 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART [a]

IVF	99%	**Procedural Factors:**	
GIFT	1%	With ICSI	63%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	0%
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	2%
		Used PGD	2%
		With eSET	0%

Patient Diagnosis

Tubal factor	<1%	Other factor	0%
Ovulatory dysfunction	0%	Unknown factor	98%
Diminished ovarian reserve	0%	*Multiple Factors:*	
Endometriosis	<1%	Female factors only	0%
Uterine factor	0%	Female & male factors	0%
Male factor	0%		

2009 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by Michael A. Henry, MD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman <35	35–37	38–40	41–42	43–44 [d]
Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of cycles	54	14	10	5	1
Percentage of embryos transferred resulting in implantation [b]	44.1	19.4	16.0	2 / 7	0 / 3
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies [b]	48.1	6 / 14	3 / 10	2 / 5	0 / 1
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births [b,c]	48.1	5 / 14	3 / 10	1 / 5	0 / 1
(Confidence Interval)	(34.3–62.2)				
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births [b,c]	59.1	5 / 13	3 / 8	1 / 4	0 / 1
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	66.7	5 / 13	3 / 8	1 / 2	0 / 1
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births [b]	30.8	4 / 13	2 / 8	1 / 2	0 / 1
Percentage of cancellations [b]	18.5	1 / 14	2 / 10	1 / 5	0 / 1
Average number of embryos transferred	2.4	2.8	3.1	3.5	3.0
Percentage of pregnancies with twins [b]	50.0	1 / 6	1 / 3	0 / 2	
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more [b]	3.8	0 / 6	0 / 3	0 / 2	
Percentage of live births having multiple infants [b,c]	53.8	1 / 5	1 / 3	0 / 1	
Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of transfers	10	1	2	0	0
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	2 / 10	0 / 1	0 / 2		
Average number of embryos transferred	3.5	0.0	2.5		

Donor Eggs	All Ages Combined [e] Fresh Embryos	Frozen Embryos
Number of transfers	5	6
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	3 / 5	1 / 6
Average number of embryos transferred	2.0	3.3

CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

Current Name: Reproductive Care of Indiana

Donor egg?	Yes	Gestational carriers?	Yes	SART member?	Yes
Donor embryo?	Yes	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	Yes
Single women?	Yes			*(See Appendix C for details.)*	

[a] Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2009 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.
[b] When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.
[c] A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.
[d] Clinic-specific outcome rates for women older than 44 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs are not included because of small numbers. Readers are urged to review national outcomes for these age groups (see pages 30, 48 & 57).
[e] All ages (including ages >44) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.

WOMEN'S SPECIALTY HEALTH CENTERS, PC
NOBLESVILLE, INDIANA

A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 81–90.

2009 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART [a]

IVF	100%	**Procedural Factors:**	
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	60%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	0%
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	0%
		Used PGD	4%
		With eSET	0%

Patient Diagnosis

Tubal factor	0%	Other factor	0%
Ovulatory dysfunction	6%	Unknown factor	0%
Diminished ovarian reserve	0%	*Multiple Factors:*	
Endometriosis	6%	Female factors only	50%
Uterine factor	0%	Female & male factors	38%
Male factor	0%		

2009 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by David S. McLaughlin, MD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman <35	35–37	38–40	41–42	43–44 [d]
Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of cycles	15	8	2	0	0
Percentage of embryos transferred resulting in implantation [b]	50.0	4 / 15	0 / 4		
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies [b]	7 / 15	3 / 8	1 / 2		
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births [b,c] (Confidence Interval)	6 / 15	3 / 8	0 / 2		
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births [b,c]	6 / 14	3 / 7	0 / 2		
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	6 / 10	3 / 7	0 / 2		
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births [b]	3 / 10	2 / 7	0 / 2		
Percentage of cancellations [b]	1 / 15	1 / 8	0 / 2		
Average number of embryos transferred	2.4	2.1	2.0		
Percentage of pregnancies with twins [b]	1 / 7	1 / 3	0 / 1		
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more [b]	2 / 7	0 / 3	0 / 1		
Percentage of live births having multiple infants [b,c]	3 / 6	1 / 3			
Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of transfers	1	3	0	0	0
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	1 / 1	0 / 3			
Average number of embryos transferred	1.0	1.7			

Donor Eggs	All Ages Combined [e] Fresh Embryos	Frozen Embryos
Number of transfers	2	1
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	1 / 2	0 / 1
Average number of embryos transferred	2.0	2.0

CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

Current Name: Women's Specialty Health Centers, PC

Donor egg?	Yes	Gestational carriers?	No	SART member?	Yes
Donor embryo?	Yes	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	Yes
Single women?	Yes			*(See Appendix C for details.)*	

[a] Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2009 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.
[b] When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.
[c] A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.
[d] Clinic-specific outcome rates for women older than 44 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs are not included because of small numbers. Readers are urged to review national outcomes for these age groups (see pages 30, 48 & 57).
[e] All ages (including ages >44) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.

MID-IOWA FERTILITY, PC
CLIVE, IOWA

A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 81–90.

2009 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART [a]

IVF	100%	**Procedural Factors:**	
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	83%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	0%
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	1%
		Used PGD	2%
		With eSET	2%

Patient Diagnosis

Tubal factor	9%	Other factor	14%
Ovulatory dysfunction	15%	Unknown factor	8%
Diminished ovarian reserve	13%	*Multiple Factors:*	
Endometriosis	10%	Female factors only	3%
Uterine factor	2%	Female & male factors	4%
Male factor	23%		

2009 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by Donald C. Young, DO

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman <35	35–37	38–40	41–42	43–44[d]
Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of cycles	206	68	42	15	2
Percentage of embryos transferred resulting in implantation [b]	48.3	40.4	27.4	23.1	0 / 1
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies [b]	55.8	36.8	45.2	4 / 15	0 / 2
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births [b,c]	48.1	33.8	28.6	3 / 15	0 / 2
(Confidence Interval)	(41.1–55.1)	(22.8–46.3)	(15.7–44.6)		
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births [b,c]	52.7	42.6	36.4	3 / 13	0 / 1
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	58.9	53.5	38.7	3 / 11	0 / 1
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births [b]	35.7	27.9	35.5	2 / 11	0 / 1
Percentage of cancellations [b]	8.7	20.6	21.4	2 / 15	1 / 2
Average number of embryos transferred	2.0	2.1	2.4	2.4	1.0
Percentage of pregnancies with twins [b]	40.0	48.0	3 / 19	1 / 4	
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more [b]	0.9	0.0	0 / 19	1 / 4	
Percentage of live births having multiple infants [b,c]	39.4	47.8	1 / 12	1 / 3	
Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of transfers	47	15	8	1	0
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	44.7	9 / 15	1 / 8	0 / 1	
Average number of embryos transferred	2.1	2.1	1.8	3.0	

Donor Eggs	All Ages Combined [e] Fresh Embryos	Frozen Embryos
Number of transfers	33	15
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	63.6	2 / 15
Average number of embryos transferred	2.0	2.1

CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

Current Name: Mid-Iowa Fertility, PC

Donor egg?	Yes	Gestational carriers?	Yes	SART member?	Yes
Donor embryo?	Yes	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	Yes
Single women?	Yes			*(See Appendix C for details.)*	

[a] Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2009 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.
[b] When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.
[c] A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.
[d] Clinic-specific outcome rates for women older than 44 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs are not included because of small numbers. Readers are urged to review national outcomes for these age groups (see pages 30, 48 & 57).
[e] All ages (including ages >44) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.

UNIVERSITY OF IOWA HOSPITALS AND CLINICS
CENTER FOR ADVANCED REPRODUCTIVE CARE
IOWA CITY, IOWA

A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 81–90.

2009 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART [a]

IVF	100%	**Procedural Factors:**	
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	49%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	0%
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	0%
		Used PGD	2%
		With eSET	36%

Patient Diagnosis

Tubal factor	8%	Other factor	7%
Ovulatory dysfunction	7%	Unknown factor	8%
Diminished ovarian reserve	1%	*Multiple Factors:*	
Endometriosis	4%	Female factors only	24%
Uterine factor	1%	Female & male factors	29%
Male factor	12%		

2009 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by Bradley J. Van Voorhis, MD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman <35	35–37	38–40	41–42	43–44 [d]
Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of cycles	209	67	56	26	7
Percentage of embryos transferred resulting in implantation [b]	51.6	44.2	29.0	16.3	14.3
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies [b]	57.9	44.8	41.1	26.9	3 / 7
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births [b,c]	51.2	41.8	30.4	15.4	2 / 7
(Confidence Interval)	(44.2–58.2)	(29.8–54.5)	(18.8–44.1)	(4.4–34.9)	
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births [b,c]	54.9	53.8	39.5	4 / 17	2 / 7
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	56.6	56.0	41.5	4 / 17	2 / 7
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births [b]	47.6	46.0	29.3	4 / 17	2 / 7
Percentage of cancellations [b]	6.7	22.4	23.2	34.6	0 / 7
Average number of embryos transferred	1.5	1.5	2.3	2.5	3.0
Percentage of pregnancies with twins [b]	19.8	20.0	26.1	1 / 7	0 / 3
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more [b]	1.7	0.0	4.3	0 / 7	0 / 3
Percentage of live births having multiple infants [b,c]	15.9	17.9	5 / 17	0 / 4	0 / 2
Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of transfers	87	28	21	4	0
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	52.9	46.4	23.8	2 / 4	
Average number of embryos transferred	1.6	1.7	1.4	1.3	

Donor Eggs	All Ages Combined [e] Fresh Embryos	Frozen Embryos
Number of transfers	20	18
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	65.0	8 / 18
Average number of embryos transferred	1.4	1.6

CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

Current Name: University of Iowa Hospitals and Clinics, Center for Advanced Reproductive Care

Donor egg?	Yes	Gestational carriers?	Yes	SART member?	Yes
Donor embryo?	Yes	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	Yes
Single women?	Yes			*(See Appendix C for details.)*	

[a] Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2009 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.
[b] When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.
[c] A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.
[d] Clinic-specific outcome rates for women older than 44 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs are not included because of small numbers. Readers are urged to review national outcomes for these age groups (see pages 30, 48 & 57).
[e] All ages (including ages >44) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.

MIDWEST REPRODUCTIVE CENTER, PA
OLATHE, KANSAS

A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 81–90.

2009 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART [a]

IVF	100%	**Procedural Factors:**	
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	73%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	0%
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	1%
		Used PGD	0%
		With eSET	0%

Patient Diagnosis

Tubal factor	7%	Other factor	4%
Ovulatory dysfunction	10%	Unknown factor	8%
Diminished ovarian reserve	3%	*Multiple Factors:*	
Endometriosis	6%	Female factors only	12%
Uterine factor	0%	Female & male factors	35%
Male factor	16%		

2009 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by Dan L. Gehlbach, MD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman <35	35–37	38–40	41–42	43–44 [d]
Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of cycles	59	29	5	4	2
Percentage of embryos transferred resulting in implantation [b]	37.4	20.6	1 / 6	0 / 5	0 / 6
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies [b]	49.2	44.8	1 / 5	0 / 4	0 / 2
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births [b,c]	44.1	37.9	0 / 5	0 / 4	0 / 2
(Confidence Interval)	(31.2–57.6)	(20.7–57.7)			
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births [b,c]	51.0	44.0	0 / 3	0 / 2	0 / 2
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	54.2	44.0	0 / 2	0 / 1	0 / 2
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births [b]	37.5	32.0	0 / 2	0 / 1	0 / 2
Percentage of cancellations [b]	13.6	13.8	2 / 5	2 / 4	0 / 2
Average number of embryos transferred	2.2	2.7	3.0	5.0	3.0
Percentage of pregnancies with twins [b]	31.0	3 / 13	0 / 1		
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more [b]	3.4	0 / 13	0 / 1		
Percentage of live births having multiple infants [b,c]	30.8	3 / 11			
Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of transfers	28	7	2	0	0
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	25.0	1 / 7	1 / 2		
Average number of embryos transferred	2.5	2.1	2.5		

Donor Eggs	All Ages Combined [e] Fresh Embryos	Frozen Embryos
Number of transfers	4	14
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	2 / 4	6 / 14
Average number of embryos transferred	2.0	2.6

CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

Current Name: Midwest Reproductive Center, PA

Donor egg?	Yes	Gestational carriers?	Yes	SART member?	Yes
Donor embryo?	Yes	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	Yes
Single women?	Yes			*(See Appendix C for details.)*	

[a] Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2009 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.
[b] When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.
[c] A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.
[d] Clinic-specific outcome rates for women older than 44 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs are not included because of small numbers. Readers are urged to review national outcomes for these age groups (see pages 30, 48 & 57).
[e] All ages (including ages >44) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.

CENTER FOR ADVANCED REPRODUCTIVE MEDICINE
UNIVERSITY OF KANSAS MEDICAL CENTER
OVERLAND PARK, KANSAS

A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 81–90.

2009 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART [a]

IVF	100%	**Procedural Factors:**	
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	78%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	0%
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	0%
		Used PGD	0%
		With eSET	0%

Patient Diagnosis

Tubal factor	15%	Other factor	2%
Ovulatory dysfunction	0%	Unknown factor	16%
Diminished ovarian reserve	6%	*Multiple Factors:*	
Endometriosis	2%	Female factors only	11%
Uterine factor	0%	Female & male factors	23%
Male factor	24%		

2009 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by S. Samuel Kim, MD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman <35	35–37	38–40	41–42	43–44 [d]
Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of cycles	36	10	9	2	3
Percentage of embryos transferred resulting in implantation [b]	26.6	2 / 17	4 / 15	1 / 8	0 / 1
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies [b]	38.9	2 / 10	3 / 9	1 / 2	0 / 3
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births [b,c]	33.3	2 / 10	3 / 9	0 / 2	0 / 3
(Confidence Interval)	(18.6–51.0)				
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births [b,c]	36.4	2 / 7	3 / 6	0 / 2	0 / 1
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	46.2	2 / 6	3 / 6	0 / 2	0 / 1
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births [b]	30.8	2 / 6	2 / 6	0 / 2	0 / 1
Percentage of cancellations [b]	8.3	3 / 10	3 / 9	0 / 2	2 / 3
Average number of embryos transferred	2.5	2.8	2.5	4.0	1.0
Percentage of pregnancies with twins [b]	4 / 14	0 / 2	1 / 3	0 / 1	
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more [b]	0 / 14	0 / 2	0 / 3	0 / 1	
Percentage of live births having multiple infants [b,c]	4 / 12	0 / 2	1 / 3		
Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of transfers	9	4	1	0	0
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	3 / 9	1 / 4	0 / 1		
Average number of embryos transferred	2.6	2.5	1.0		

Donor Eggs	All Ages Combined [e] Fresh Embryos	Frozen Embryos
Number of transfers	3	3
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	2 / 3	1 / 3
Average number of embryos transferred	2.7	2.3

CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

Current Name: Center for Advanced Reproductive Medicine, University of Kansas Medical Center

Donor egg?	Yes	Gestational carriers?	Yes	SART member?	Yes
Donor embryo?	Yes	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	Yes
Single women?	Yes			*(See Appendix C for details.)*	

[a] Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2009 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.
[b] When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.
[c] A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.
[d] Clinic-specific outcome rates for women older than 44 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs are not included because of small numbers. Readers are urged to review national outcomes for these age groups (see pages 30, 48 & 57).
[e] All ages (including ages >44) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.

REPRODUCTIVE RESOURCE CENTER OF GREATER KANSAS CITY
OVERLAND PARK, KANSAS

A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 81–90.

2009 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART [a]

IVF	100%	**Procedural Factors:**	
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	83%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	0%
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	<1%
		Used PGD	8%
		With eSET	5%

Patient Diagnosis

Tubal factor	5%	Other factor	<1%
Ovulatory dysfunction	9%	Unknown factor	8%
Diminished ovarian reserve	18%	*Multiple Factors:*	
Endometriosis	2%	Female factors only	11%
Uterine factor	0%	Female & male factors	33%
Male factor	13%		

2009 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by Rodney Lyles, MD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman <35	35–37	38–40	41–42	43–44 [d]
Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of cycles	171	65	24	7	0
Percentage of embryos transferred resulting in implantation [b]	44.5	28.9	13.8	2 / 4	
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies [b]	48.5	35.4	29.2	3 / 7	
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births [b,c]	44.4	32.3	16.7	2 / 7	
(Confidence Interval)	(36.9–52.2)	(21.2–45.1)	(4.7–37.4)		
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births [b,c]	49.4	36.8	4 / 18	2 / 5	
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	52.4	40.4	4 / 15	2 / 3	
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births [b]	27.6	34.6	4 / 15	2 / 3	
Percentage of cancellations [b]	9.9	12.3	25.0	2 / 7	
Average number of embryos transferred	1.9	1.7	1.9	1.3	
Percentage of pregnancies with twins [b]	41.0	17.4	0 / 7	0 / 3	
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more [b]	4.8	0.0	0 / 7	0 / 3	
Percentage of live births having multiple infants [b,c]	47.4	14.3	0 / 4	0 / 2	
Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of transfers	33	19	5	1	0
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	60.6	10 / 19	3 / 5	0 / 1	
Average number of embryos transferred	1.7	1.6	2.0	1.0	

Donor Eggs	All Ages Combined [e] Fresh Embryos	Frozen Embryos
Number of transfers	52	29
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	63.5	41.4
Average number of embryos transferred	1.8	1.7

CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

Current Name: Reproductive Resource Center of Greater Kansas City

Donor egg?	Yes	Gestational carriers?	Yes	SART member?	Yes
Donor embryo?	No	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	Yes
Single women?	Yes			*(See Appendix C for details.)*	

[a] Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2009 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.
[b] When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.
[c] A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.
[d] Clinic-specific outcome rates for women older than 44 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs are not included because of small numbers. Readers are urged to review national outcomes for these age groups (see pages 30, 48 & 57).
[e] All ages (including ages >44) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.

REPRODUCTIVE MEDICINE & INFERTILITY
SHAWNEE MISSION MEDICAL CENTER
SHAWNEE MISSION, KANSAS

A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 81–90.

2009 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART [a]

IVF	100%	**Procedural Factors:**	
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	46%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	0%
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	2%
		Used PGD	0%
		With eSET	1%

Patient Diagnosis

Tubal factor	16%	Other factor	3%
Ovulatory dysfunction	6%	Unknown factor	14%
Diminished ovarian reserve	6%	*Multiple Factors:*	
Endometriosis	15%	Female factors only	10%
Uterine factor	2%	Female & male factors	6%
Male factor	23%		

2009 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by Dan L. Stewart, MD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman <35	35–37	38–40	41–42	43–44 [d]
Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of cycles	61	11	22	0	1
Percentage of embryos transferred resulting in implantation [b]	42.2	4 / 13	17.9		
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies [b]	49.2	3 / 11	22.7		0 / 1
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births [b,c]	41.0	3 / 11	22.7		0 / 1
(Confidence Interval)	(28.6–54.3)		(7.8–45.4)		
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births [b,c]	47.2	3 / 6	5 / 17		0 / 1
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	49.0	3 / 6	5 / 16		
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births [b]	25.5	2 / 6	3 / 16		
Percentage of cancellations [b]	13.1	5 / 11	22.7		0 / 1
Average number of embryos transferred	2.0	2.2	2.4		
Percentage of pregnancies with twins [b]	43.3	1 / 3	2 / 5		
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more [b]	0.0	0 / 3	0 / 5		
Percentage of live births having multiple infants [b,c]	48.0	1 / 3	2 / 5		
Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of transfers	18	4	2	1	2
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	6 / 18	1 / 4	1 / 2	1 / 1	0 / 2
Average number of embryos transferred	1.4	1.5	1.5	1.0	1.0

Donor Eggs	All Ages Combined [e] Fresh Embryos	Frozen Embryos
Number of transfers	2	9
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	2 / 2	3 / 9
Average number of embryos transferred	2.0	2.0

CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

Current Name: Reproductive Medicine & Infertility, Shawnee Mission Medical Center

Donor egg?	Yes	Gestational carriers?	Yes	SART member?	Yes
Donor embryo?	Yes	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	Yes
Single women?	No			*(See Appendix C for details.)*	

[a] Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2009 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.
[b] When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.
[c] A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.
[d] Clinic-specific outcome rates for women older than 44 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs are not included because of small numbers. Readers are urged to review national outcomes for these age groups (see pages 30, 48 & 57).
[e] All ages (including ages >44) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.

THE CENTER FOR REPRODUCTIVE MEDICINE
WICHITA, KANSAS

A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 81–90.

2009 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART [a]

IVF	100%	**Procedural Factors:**	
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	66%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	0%
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	0%
		Used PGD	0%
		With eSET	10%

Patient Diagnosis

Tubal factor	12%	Other factor	4%
Ovulatory dysfunction	5%	Unknown factor	1%
Diminished ovarian reserve	10%	*Multiple Factors:*	
Endometriosis	8%	Female factors only	13%
Uterine factor	<1%	Female & male factors	30%
Male factor	17%		

2009 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by Bruce L. Tjaden, DO

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman <35	35–37	38–40	41–42	43–44 [d]
Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of cycles	76	18	21	10	2
Percentage of embryos transferred resulting in implantation [b]	49.2	20.6	41.9	2 / 19	0 / 7
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies [b]	55.3	7 / 18	38.1	1 / 10	0 / 2
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births [b,c]	50.0	5 / 18	28.6	0 / 10	0 / 2
(Confidence Interval)	(38.3–61.7)		(11.3–52.2)		
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births [b,c]	56.7	5 / 16	6 / 16	0 / 7	0 / 2
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	57.6	5 / 16	6 / 16	0 / 7	0 / 2
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births [b]	37.9	3 / 16	2 / 16	0 / 7	0 / 2
Percentage of cancellations [b]	11.8	2 / 18	23.8	3 / 10	0 / 2
Average number of embryos transferred	1.8	2.1	1.9	2.7	3.5
Percentage of pregnancies with twins [b]	40.5	2 / 7	5 / 8	1 / 1	
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more [b]	2.4	0 / 7	0 / 8	0 / 1	
Percentage of live births having multiple infants [b,c]	34.2	2 / 5	4 / 6		
Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of transfers	24	5	3	1	1
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	12.5	1 / 5	0 / 3	1 / 1	0 / 1
Average number of embryos transferred	1.9	1.2	1.0	2.0	2.0

Donor Eggs	All Ages Combined [e] Fresh Embryos	Frozen Embryos
Number of transfers	9	3
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	5 / 9	1 / 3
Average number of embryos transferred	1.7	2.0

CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

Current Name: The Center for Reproductive Medicine

Donor egg?	Yes	Gestational carriers?	Yes	SART member?	Yes
Donor embryo?	Yes	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	Yes
Single women?	Yes			*(See Appendix C for details.)*	

[a] Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2009 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.
[b] When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.
[c] A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.
[d] Clinic-specific outcome rates for women older than 44 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs are not included because of small numbers. Readers are urged to review national outcomes for these age groups (see pages 30, 48 & 57).
[e] All ages (including ages >44) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.

BLUEGRASS FERTILITY CENTER
LEXINGTON, KENTUCKY

A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 81–90.

2009 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART [a]

IVF	100%	**Procedural Factors:**	
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	73%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	0%
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	0%
		Used PGD	0%
		With eSET	1%

Patient Diagnosis

Tubal factor	15%	Other factor	5%
Ovulatory dysfunction	9%	Unknown factor	3%
Diminished ovarian reserve	7%	*Multiple Factors:*	
Endometriosis	15%	Female factors only	8%
Uterine factor	0%	Female & male factors	14%
Male factor	24%		

2009 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by James W. Akin, MD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman <35	35–37	38–40	41–42	43–44 [d]
Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of cycles	77	21	12	5	0
Percentage of embryos transferred resulting in implantation [b]	21.6	11.5	21.1	2 / 14	
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies [b]	33.8	33.3	7 / 12	2 / 5	
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births [b,c]	27.3	23.8	4 / 12	2 / 5	
(Confidence Interval)	(17.7–38.6)	(8.2–47.2)			
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births [b,c]	30.4	5 / 19	4 / 12	2 / 5	
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	31.8	5 / 19	4 / 11	2 / 5	
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births [b]	21.2	5 / 19	4 / 11	2 / 5	
Percentage of cancellations [b]	10.4	9.5	0 / 12	0 / 5	
Average number of embryos transferred	2.5	2.7	3.5	2.8	
Percentage of pregnancies with twins [b]	23.1	0 / 7	1 / 7	0 / 2	
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more [b]	11.5	0 / 7	0 / 7	0 / 2	
Percentage of live births having multiple infants [b,c]	33.3	0 / 5	0 / 4	0 / 2	
Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of transfers	18	2	5	0	1
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	7 / 18	1 / 2	1 / 5		0 / 1
Average number of embryos transferred	1.7	1.5	1.8		1.0

Donor Eggs	All Ages Combined [e] Fresh Embryos	Frozen Embryos
Number of transfers	2	5
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	2 / 2	4 / 5
Average number of embryos transferred	2.5	2.6

CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

Current Name: Bluegrass Fertility Center

Donor egg?	Yes	Gestational carriers?	No	SART member?	No
Donor embryo?	No	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	Yes
Single women?	Yes			*(See Appendix C for details.)*	

[a] Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2009 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.
[b] When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.
[c] A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.
[d] Clinic-specific outcome rates for women older than 44 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs are not included because of small numbers. Readers are urged to review national outcomes for these age groups (see pages 30, 48 & 57).
[e] All ages (including ages >44) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.

UNIVERSITY OF KENTUCKY
LEXINGTON, KENTUCKY

A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 81–90.

2009 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART [a]

IVF	100%	**Procedural Factors:**	
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	50%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	0%
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	0%
		Used PGD	0%
		With eSET	0%

Patient Diagnosis

Tubal factor	7%	Other factor	7%
Ovulatory dysfunction	0%	Unknown factor	21%
Diminished ovarian reserve	14%	*Multiple Factors:*	
Endometriosis	21%	Female factors only	14%
Uterine factor	0%	Female & male factors	0%
Male factor	14%		

2009 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by Kenneth N. Muse, MD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman <35	35–37	38–40	41–42	43–44 [d]
Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of cycles	6	1	4	0	1
Percentage of embryos transferred resulting in implantation [b]	2 / 9	1 / 1	0 / 6		
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies [b]	2 / 6	1 / 1	0 / 4		0 / 1
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births [b,c] (Confidence Interval)	2 / 6	1 / 1	0 / 4		0 / 1
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births [b,c]	2 / 6	1 / 1	0 / 2		
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	2 / 5	1 / 1	0 / 2		
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births [b]	2 / 5	1 / 1	0 / 2		
Percentage of cancellations [b]	0 / 6	0 / 1	2 / 4		1 / 1
Average number of embryos transferred	1.8	1.0	3.0		
Percentage of pregnancies with twins [b]	0 / 2	0 / 1			
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more [b]	0 / 2	0 / 1			
Percentage of live births having multiple infants [b,c]	0 / 2	0 / 1			
Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of transfers	0	1	0	0	0
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]		1 / 1			
Average number of embryos transferred		2.0			

Donor Eggs	All Ages Combined [e] Fresh Embryos	Frozen Embryos
Number of transfers	1	0
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	1 / 1	
Average number of embryos transferred	2.0	

CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

Current Name: University of Kentucky

Donor egg?	Yes	Gestational carriers?	No	SART member?	No
Donor embryo?	No	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	Yes
Single women?	Yes			*(See Appendix C for details.)*	

[a] Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2009 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.
[b] When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.
[c] A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.
[d] Clinic-specific outcome rates for women older than 44 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs are not included because of small numbers. Readers are urged to review national outcomes for these age groups (see pages 30, 48 & 57).
[e] All ages (including ages >44) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.

FERTILITY AND ENDOCRINE ASSOCIATES
LOUISVILLE REPRODUCTIVE CENTER
LOUISVILLE, KENTUCKY

A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 81–90.

2009 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART [a]

IVF	100%	**Procedural Factors:**	
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	83%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	0%
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	3%
		Used PGD	0%
		With eSET	3%

Patient Diagnosis

Tubal factor	5%	Other factor	1%
Ovulatory dysfunction	8%	Unknown factor	0%
Diminished ovarian reserve	6%	*Multiple Factors:*	
Endometriosis	1%	Female factors only	27%
Uterine factor	<1%	Female & male factors	45%
Male factor	5%		

2009 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by Robert J. Homm, MD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman <35	35–37	38–40	41–42	43–44 [d]
Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of cycles	45	14	15	3	1
Percentage of embryos transferred resulting in implantation [b]	23.3	24.2	5.7	0 / 6	0 / 3
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies [b]	35.6	6 / 14	2 / 15	0 / 3	0 / 1
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births [b,c]	31.1	5 / 14	2 / 15	0 / 3	0 / 1
(Confidence Interval)	(18.2–46.6)				
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births [b,c]	31.1	5 / 14	2 / 15	0 / 2	0 / 1
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	32.6	5 / 14	2 / 12	0 / 2	0 / 1
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births [b]	18.6	3 / 14	2 / 12	0 / 2	0 / 1
Percentage of cancellations [b]	0.0	0 / 14	0 / 15	1 / 3	0 / 1
Average number of embryos transferred	2.1	2.4	2.9	3.0	3.0
Percentage of pregnancies with twins [b]	6 / 16	3 / 6	0 / 2		
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more [b]	0 / 16	0 / 6	0 / 2		
Percentage of live births having multiple infants [b,c]	6 / 14	2 / 5	0 / 2		
Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of transfers	37	10	5	2	0
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	16.2	0 / 10	1 / 5	0 / 2	
Average number of embryos transferred	2.0	1.8	1.8	2.0	

Donor Eggs	All Ages Combined [e] Fresh Embryos	Frozen Embryos
Number of transfers	9	6
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	3 / 9	2 / 6
Average number of embryos transferred	2.2	2.3

CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

Current Name: Fertility and Endocrine Associates, Louisville Reproductive Center

Donor egg?	Yes	Gestational carriers?	Yes	SART member?	No
Donor embryo?	Yes	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	Yes
Single women?	Yes			*(See Appendix C for details.)*	

[a] Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2009 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.
[b] When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.
[c] A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.
[d] Clinic-specific outcome rates for women older than 44 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs are not included because of small numbers. Readers are urged to review national outcomes for these age groups (see pages 30, 48 & 57).
[e] All ages (including ages >44) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.

UNIVERSITY OB/GYN ASSOCIATES FERTILITY CENTER
LOUISVILLE, KENTUCKY

A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 81–90.

2009 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART [a]

IVF	100%	**Procedural Factors:**	
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	55%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	0%
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	<1%
		Used PGD	3%
		With eSET	3%

Patient Diagnosis

Tubal factor	2%	Other factor	3%
Ovulatory dysfunction	6%	Unknown factor	7%
Diminished ovarian reserve	12%	*Multiple Factors:*	
Endometriosis	7%	Female factors only	23%
Uterine factor	0%	Female & male factors	26%
Male factor	15%		

2009 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by Henry C. Bohler, MD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman <35	35–37	38–40	41–42	43–44 [d]
Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of cycles	101	23	15	7	5
Percentage of embryos transferred resulting in implantation [b]	35.3	19.4	19.4	2 / 9	0 / 8
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies [b]	50.5	21.7	4 / 15	2 / 7	0 / 5
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births [b,c]	38.6	17.4	2 / 15	1 / 7	0 / 5
(Confidence Interval)	(29.1–48.8)	(5.0–38.8)			
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births [b,c]	42.9	4 / 19	2 / 14	1 / 4	0 / 3
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	44.8	4 / 17	2 / 12	1 / 3	0 / 2
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births [b]	29.9	2 / 17	0 / 12	1 / 3	0 / 2
Percentage of cancellations [b]	9.9	17.4	1 / 15	3 / 7	2 / 5
Average number of embryos transferred	2.1	2.1	3.0	3.0	4.0
Percentage of pregnancies with twins [b]	35.3	2 / 5	3 / 4	0 / 2	
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more [b]	0.0	0 / 5	0 / 4	0 / 2	
Percentage of live births having multiple infants [b,c]	33.3	2 / 4	2 / 2	0 / 1	
Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of transfers	19	3	7	0	1
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	7 / 19	2 / 3	3 / 7		0 / 1
Average number of embryos transferred	1.8	2.3	2.6		4.0

Donor Eggs	All Ages Combined [e] Fresh Embryos	Frozen Embryos
Number of transfers	18	4
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	14 / 18	1 / 4
Average number of embryos transferred	2.1	1.5

CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

Current Name: University OB/GYN Associates Fertility Center

Donor egg?	Yes	Gestational carriers?	Yes	SART member?	Yes
Donor embryo?	Yes	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	Yes
Single women?	Yes			*(See Appendix C for details.)*	

[a] Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2009 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.
[b] When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.
[c] A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.
[d] Clinic-specific outcome rates for women older than 44 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs are not included because of small numbers. Readers are urged to review national outcomes for these age groups (see pages 30, 48 & 57).
[e] All ages (including ages >44) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.

A WOMAN'S CENTER FOR REPRODUCTIVE MEDICINE
BATON ROUGE, LOUISIANA

A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 81–90.

2009 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART [a]

IVF	100%	**Procedural Factors:**	
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	77%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	0%
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	2%
		Used PGD	<1%
		With eSET	4%

Patient Diagnosis

Tubal factor	10%	Other factor	6%
Ovulatory dysfunction	2%	Unknown factor	7%
Diminished ovarian reserve	6%	*Multiple Factors:*	
Endometriosis	6%	Female factors only	17%
Uterine factor	2%	Female & male factors	26%
Male factor	20%		

2009 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by Bobby W. Webster, MD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman <35	35–37	38–40	41–42	43–44 [d]
Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of cycles	63	31	14	2	0
Percentage of embryos transferred resulting in implantation [b]	31.8	15.7	3 / 18		
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies [b]	38.1	16.1	2 / 14	0 / 2	
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births [b,c]	31.7	12.9	2 / 14	0 / 2	
(Confidence Interval)	(20.6–44.7)	(3.6–29.8)			
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births [b,c]	36.4	17.4	2 / 8		
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	36.4	18.2	2 / 8		
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births [b]	20.0	9.1	2 / 8		
Percentage of cancellations [b]	12.7	25.8	6 / 14	2 / 2	
Average number of embryos transferred	2.0	2.3	2.3		
Percentage of pregnancies with twins [b]	45.8	3 / 5	1 / 2		
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more [b]	0.0	0 / 5	0 / 2		
Percentage of live births having multiple infants [b,c]	45.0	2 / 4	0 / 2		
Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of transfers	12	3	1	0	0
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	6 / 12	0 / 3	0 / 1		
Average number of embryos transferred	1.6	2.0	2.0		

Donor Eggs	All Ages Combined [e] Fresh Embryos	Frozen Embryos
Number of transfers	13	2
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	7 / 13	0 / 2
Average number of embryos transferred	2.1	1.5

CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

Current Name: A Woman's Center for Reproductive Medicine

Donor egg?	Yes	Gestational carriers?	Yes	SART member?	Yes
Donor embryo?	Yes	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	Yes
Single women?	Yes			*(See Appendix C for details.)*	

[a] Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2009 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.
[b] When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.
[c] A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.
[d] Clinic-specific outcome rates for women older than 44 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs are not included because of small numbers. Readers are urged to review national outcomes for these age groups (see pages 30, 48 & 57).
[e] All ages (including ages >44) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.

FERTILITY AND WOMEN'S HEALTH CENTER OF LOUISIANA
LAFAYETTE, LOUISIANA

A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 81–90.

2009 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART [a]

IVF	100%	**Procedural Factors:**	
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	65%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	0%
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	0%
		Used PGD	0%
		With eSET	0%

Patient Diagnosis

Tubal factor	32%	Other factor	0%
Ovulatory dysfunction	6%	Unknown factor	15%
Diminished ovarian reserve	7%	*Multiple Factors:*	
Endometriosis	5%	Female factors only	7%
Uterine factor	0%	Female & male factors	5%
Male factor	24%		

2009 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by John Storment, MD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman <35	35–37	38–40	41–42	43–44 [d]
Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of cycles	68	19	14	4	2
Percentage of embryos transferred resulting in implantation [b]	26.7	31.8	25.7	0 / 8	0 / 5
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies [b]	48.5	9 / 19	7 / 14	0 / 4	0 / 2
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births [b,c]	42.6	7 / 19	5 / 14	0 / 4	0 / 2
(Confidence Interval)	(30.7–55.2)				
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births [b,c]	43.9	7 / 17	5 / 13	0 / 3	0 / 2
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	45.3	7 / 17	5 / 12	0 / 3	0 / 2
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births [b]	34.4	4 / 17	3 / 12	0 / 3	0 / 2
Percentage of cancellations [b]	2.9	2 / 19	1 / 14	1 / 4	0 / 2
Average number of embryos transferred	2.3	2.6	2.9	2.7	2.5
Percentage of pregnancies with twins [b]	30.3	4 / 9	1 / 7		
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more [b]	0.0	1 / 9	1 / 7		
Percentage of live births having multiple infants [b,c]	24.1	3 / 7	2 / 5		
Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of transfers	10	2	2	0	0
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	0 / 10	0 / 2	0 / 2		
Average number of embryos transferred	2.6	3.0	3.0		

Donor Eggs	All Ages Combined [e] Fresh Embryos	Frozen Embryos
Number of transfers	2	0
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	2 / 2	
Average number of embryos transferred	3.0	

CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

Current Name: Fertility and Women's Health Center of Louisiana

Donor egg?	Yes	Gestational carriers?	Yes	SART member?	Yes
Donor embryo?	Yes	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	Yes
Single women?	Yes			*(See Appendix C for details.)*	

[a] Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2009 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.
[b] When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.
[c] A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.
[d] Clinic-specific outcome rates for women older than 44 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs are not included because of small numbers. Readers are urged to review national outcomes for these age groups (see pages 30, 48 & 57).
[e] All ages (including ages >44) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.

THE FERTILITY INSTITUTE OF NEW ORLEANS
METAIRIE, LOUISIANA

A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 81–90.

2009 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART [a]

IVF	100%	**Procedural Factors:**	
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	48%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	0%
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	2%
		Used PGD	9%
		With eSET	<1%

Patient Diagnosis

Tubal factor	16%	Other factor	14%
Ovulatory dysfunction	20%	Unknown factor	3%
Diminished ovarian reserve	3%	*Multiple Factors:*	
Endometriosis	13%	Female factors only	4%
Uterine factor	0%	Female & male factors	12%
Male factor	15%		

2009 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by Richard P. Dickey, MD, PhD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman <35	35–37	38–40	41–42	43–44[d]
Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of cycles	149	58	58	24	23
Percentage of embryos transferred resulting in implantation[b]	41.2	41.0	21.7	13.5	2.0
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies[b]	51.7	51.7	36.2	20.8	4.3
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births[b,c]	45.0	51.7	25.9	8.3	0.0
(Confidence Interval)	(36.8–53.3)	(38.2–65.0)	(15.3–39.0)	(1.0–27.0)	(0.0–14.8)
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births[b,c]	50.4	56.6	29.4	10.0	0 / 17
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births[b,c]	51.5	58.8	31.3	2 / 17	0 / 14
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births[b]	28.5	37.3	31.3	2 / 17	0 / 14
Percentage of cancellations[b]	10.7	8.6	12.1	16.7	26.1
Average number of embryos transferred	2.2	2.6	2.5	2.2	3.6
Percentage of pregnancies with twins[b]	40.3	13.3	23.8	0 / 5	0 / 1
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more[b]	7.8	33.3	0.0	0 / 5	0 / 1
Percentage of live births having multiple infants[b,c]	44.8	36.7	0 / 15	0 / 2	
Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of transfers	32	24	10	5	1
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births[b,c]	37.5	33.3	3 / 10	1 / 5	1 / 1
Average number of embryos transferred	2.2	1.9	1.6	1.6	3.0

Donor Eggs	All Ages Combined[e] Fresh Embryos	Frozen Embryos
Number of transfers	11	8
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births[b,c]	7 / 11	1 / 8
Average number of embryos transferred	2.3	1.6

CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

Current Name: The Fertility Institute of New Orleans

Donor egg?	Yes	Gestational carriers?	Yes	SART member?	Yes
Donor embryo?	Yes	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	Yes
Single women?	Yes			*(See Appendix C for details.)*	

[a] Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2009 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.
[b] When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.
[c] A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.
[d] Clinic-specific outcome rates for women older than 44 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs are not included because of small numbers. Readers are urged to review national outcomes for these age groups (see pages 30, 48 & 57).
[e] All ages (including ages >44) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.

CENTER FOR FERTILITY AND REPRODUCTIVE HEALTH
SHREVEPORT, LOUISIANA

A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 81–90.

2009 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART [a]

IVF	100%	**Procedural Factors:**	
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	62%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	0%
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	0%
		Used PGD	0%
		With eSET	4%

Patient Diagnosis

Tubal factor	24%	Other factor	0%
Ovulatory dysfunction	6%	Unknown factor	10%
Diminished ovarian reserve	7%	*Multiple Factors:*	
Endometriosis	10%	Female factors only	10%
Uterine factor	2%	Female & male factors	9%
Male factor	22%		

2009 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by David T. Vandermolen, MD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman <35	35–37	38–40	41–42	43–44[d]
Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of cycles	59	23	11	3	2
Percentage of embryos transferred resulting in implantation[b]	31.1	25.6	12.0	2 / 9	0 / 8
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies[b]	49.2	34.8	2 / 11	2 / 3	0 / 2
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births[b,c]	44.1	26.1	2 / 11	2 / 3	0 / 2
(Confidence Interval)	(31.2–57.6)	(10.2–48.4)			
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births[b,c]	47.3	6 / 16	2 / 10	2 / 3	0 / 2
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births[b,c]	48.1	6 / 16	2 / 10	2 / 3	0 / 2
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births[b]	37.0	4 / 16	1 / 10	2 / 3	0 / 2
Percentage of cancellations[b]	6.8	30.4	1 / 11	0 / 3	0 / 2
Average number of embryos transferred	2.3	2.4	2.5	3.0	4.0
Percentage of pregnancies with twins[b]	24.1	2 / 8	1 / 2	0 / 2	
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more[b]	3.4	0 / 8	0 / 2	0 / 2	
Percentage of live births having multiple infants[b,c]	23.1	2 / 6	1 / 2	0 / 2	
Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of transfers	15	3	2	1	0
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births[b,c]	3 / 15	0 / 3	1 / 2	1 / 1	
Average number of embryos transferred	2.2	2.0	1.5	4.0	

Donor Eggs	All Ages Combined[e] Fresh Embryos	Frozen Embryos
Number of transfers	4	1
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births[b,c]	3 / 4	1 / 1
Average number of embryos transferred	2.0	2.0

CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

Current Name: Center for Fertility and Reproductive Health

Donor egg?	Yes	Gestational carriers?	Yes	SART member?	Yes
Donor embryo?	Yes	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	Yes
Single women?	Yes			*(See Appendix C for details.)*	

[a] Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2009 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.
[b] When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.
[c] A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.
[d] Clinic-specific outcome rates for women older than 44 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs are not included because of small numbers. Readers are urged to review national outcomes for these age groups (see pages 30, 48 & 57).
[e] All ages (including ages >44) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.

CENTER FOR ART AT UNION MEMORIAL HOSPITAL
BALTIMORE, MARYLAND

A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 81–90.

2009 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART [a]

IVF	100%	**Procedural Factors:**	
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	74%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	0%
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	0%
		Used PGD	0%
		With eSET	0%

Patient Diagnosis

Tubal factor	7%	Other factor	3%
Ovulatory dysfunction	17%	Unknown factor	6%
Diminished ovarian reserve	19%	*Multiple Factors:*	
Endometriosis	8%	Female factors only	10%
Uterine factor	0%	Female & male factors	13%
Male factor	16%		

2009 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by Nathan G. Berger, MD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman <35	35–37	38–40	41–42	43–44 [d]
Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of cycles	35	25	16	4	1
Percentage of embryos transferred resulting in implantation [b]	21.3	8.5	5 / 19	2 / 10	
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies [b]	34.3	8.0	4 / 16	2 / 4	0 / 1
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births [b,c]	28.6	8.0	4 / 16	2 / 4	0 / 1
(Confidence Interval)	(14.6–46.3)	(1.0–26.0)			
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births [b,c]	33.3	10.0	4 / 12	2 / 4	
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	34.5	2 / 19	4 / 7	2 / 4	
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births [b]	31.0	0 / 19	4 / 7	2 / 4	
Percentage of cancellations [b]	14.3	20.0	4 / 16	0 / 4	1 / 1
Average number of embryos transferred	2.1	2.5	2.7	2.5	
Percentage of pregnancies with twins [b]	1 / 12	2 / 2	1 / 4	0 / 2	
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more [b]	0 / 12	0 / 2	0 / 4	0 / 2	
Percentage of live births having multiple infants [b,c]	1 / 10	2 / 2	0 / 4	0 / 2	
Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of transfers	1	3	0	0	1
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	0 / 1	1 / 3			0 / 1
Average number of embryos transferred	2.0	1.7			1.0

Donor Eggs	All Ages Combined [e] Fresh Embryos	Frozen Embryos
Number of transfers	3	0
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	0 / 3	
Average number of embryos transferred	2.0	

CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

Current Name: Center for ART at Union Memorial Hospital

Donor egg?	Yes	Gestational carriers?	Yes	SART member?	Yes
Donor embryo?	No	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	Yes
Single women?	Yes			*(See Appendix C for details.)*	

[a] Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2009 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.
[b] When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.
[c] A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.
[d] Clinic-specific outcome rates for women older than 44 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs are not included because of small numbers. Readers are urged to review national outcomes for these age groups (see pages 30, 48 & 57).
[e] All ages (including ages >44) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.

SHADY GROVE FERTILITY RSC AT GBMC
BALTIMORE, MARYLAND

A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 81–90.

2009 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART [a]

IVF	100%	**Procedural Factors:**	
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	53%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	0%
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	<1%
		Used PGD	0%
		With eSET	8%

Patient Diagnosis

Tubal factor	8%	Other factor	4%
Ovulatory dysfunction	9%	Unknown factor	23%
Diminished ovarian reserve	5%	*Multiple Factors:*	
Endometriosis	5%	Female factors only	7%
Uterine factor	1%	Female & male factors	12%
Male factor	26%		

2009 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by Eugene Katz, MD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman <35	35–37	38–40	41–42	43–44 [d]
Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of cycles	236	87	96	28	12
Percentage of embryos transferred resulting in implantation [b]	28.8	26.9	16.8	9.8	3.0
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies [b]	43.6	36.8	33.3	21.4	1 / 12
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births [b,c]	38.6	31.0	28.1	17.9	1 / 12
(Confidence Interval)	(32.3–45.1)	(21.5–41.9)	(19.4–38.2)	(6.1–36.9)	
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births [b,c]	40.3	32.9	32.5	20.0	1 / 9
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	41.6	35.5	33.8	22.7	1 / 9
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births [b]	33.3	22.4	27.5	13.6	1 / 9
Percentage of cancellations [b]	4.2	5.7	13.5	10.7	3 / 12
Average number of embryos transferred	1.9	2.1	2.8	3.7	3.7
Percentage of pregnancies with twins [b]	20.4	34.4	25.0	2 / 6	0 / 1
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more [b]	1.0	0.0	0.0	0 / 6	0 / 1
Percentage of live births having multiple infants [b,c]	19.8	37.0	18.5	2 / 5	0 / 1
Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of transfers	42	8	14	3	1
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	21.4	1 / 8	3 / 14	1 / 3	0 / 1
Average number of embryos transferred	2.2	1.9	2.0	4.3	2.0

Donor Eggs	All Ages Combined [e] Fresh Embryos	Frozen Embryos
Number of transfers	1	1
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	0 / 1	1 / 1
Average number of embryos transferred	2.0	1.0

CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

Current Name: Shady Grove Fertility RSC at GBMC

Donor egg?	Yes	Gestational carriers?	Yes	SART member?	Yes
Donor embryo?	Yes	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	Yes
Single women?	Yes			*(See Appendix C for details.)*	

[a] Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2009 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.
[b] When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.
[c] A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.
[d] Clinic-specific outcome rates for women older than 44 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs are not included because of small numbers. Readers are urged to review national outcomes for these age groups (see pages 30, 48 & 57).
[e] All ages (including ages >44) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.

ENDRIKA HINTON, MD
LUTHERVILLE, MARYLAND

A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 81–90.

2009 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART [a]

IVF	100%	**Procedural Factors:**	
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	44%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	0%
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	0%
		Used PGD	0%
		With eSET	0%

Patient Diagnosis

Tubal factor	0%	Other factor	0%
Ovulatory dysfunction	8%	Unknown factor	0%
Diminished ovarian reserve	3%	*Multiple Factors:*	
Endometriosis	13%	Female factors only	41%
Uterine factor	3%	Female & male factors	21%
Male factor	13%		

2009 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by Endrika L. Hinton, MD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman <35	35–37	38–40	41–42	43–44 [d]
Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of cycles	15	7	7	7	0
Percentage of embryos transferred resulting in implantation [b]	30.4	2 / 8	3 / 9	3 / 11	
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies [b]	6 / 15	2 / 7	3 / 7	3 / 7	
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births [b,c] (Confidence Interval)	5 / 15	2 / 7	2 / 7	2 / 7	
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births [b,c]	5 / 13	2 / 5	2 / 6	2 / 6	
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	5 / 11	2 / 4	2 / 5	2 / 5	
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births [b]	5 / 11	2 / 4	2 / 5	1 / 5	
Percentage of cancellations [b]	2 / 15	2 / 7	1 / 7	1 / 7	
Average number of embryos transferred	2.1	2.0	1.8	2.2	
Percentage of pregnancies with twins [b]	1 / 6	0 / 2	0 / 3	1 / 3	
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more [b]	0 / 6	0 / 2	0 / 3	0 / 3	
Percentage of live births having multiple infants [b,c]	0 / 5	0 / 2	0 / 2	1 / 2	
Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of transfers	2	0	1	0	0
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	0 / 2		1 / 1		
Average number of embryos transferred	2.0		2.0		

Donor Eggs	All Ages Combined [e] Fresh Embryos	Frozen Embryos
Number of transfers	0	0
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]		
Average number of embryos transferred		

CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

Current Name: Endrika Hinton, MD

Donor egg?	No	Gestational carriers?	No	SART member?	Yes
Donor embryo?	No	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	Yes
Single women?	Yes			*(See Appendix C for details.)*	

[a] Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2009 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.
[b] When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.
[c] A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.
[d] Clinic-specific outcome rates for women older than 44 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs are not included because of small numbers. Readers are urged to review national outcomes for these age groups (see pages 30, 48 & 57).
[e] All ages (including ages >44) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.

JOHNS HOPKINS FERTILITY CENTER
LUTHERVILLE, MARYLAND

A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 81–90.

2009 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART [a]

IVF	100%	**Procedural Factors:**	
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	33%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	1%
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	0%
		Used PGD	0%
		With eSET	3%

Patient Diagnosis

Tubal factor	6%	Other factor	10%
Ovulatory dysfunction	9%	Unknown factor	5%
Diminished ovarian reserve	27%	*Multiple Factors:*	
Endometriosis	8%	Female factors only	10%
Uterine factor	4%	Female & male factors	10%
Male factor	12%		

2009 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by Jairo E. Garcia, MD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman <35	35–37	38–40	41–42	43–44 [d]
Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of cycles	59	68	66	37	17
Percentage of embryos transferred resulting in implantation [b]	27.9	16.2	13.6	8.8	3 / 17
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies [b]	33.9	17.6	13.6	13.5	3 / 17
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births [b,c]	30.5	16.2	12.1	8.1	2 / 17
(Confidence Interval)	(19.2–43.9)	(8.4–27.1)	(5.4–22.5)	(1.7–21.9)	
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births [b,c]	37.5	18.6	15.7	9.7	2 / 11
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	40.0	23.9	19.5	12.5	2 / 7
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births [b]	31.1	15.2	12.2	8.3	2 / 7
Percentage of cancellations [b]	18.6	13.2	22.7	16.2	6 / 17
Average number of embryos transferred	1.9	2.2	2.1	2.4	2.4
Percentage of pregnancies with twins [b]	20.0	4 / 12	3 / 9	1 / 5	0 / 3
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more [b]	0.0	0 / 12	0 / 9	0 / 5	0 / 3
Percentage of live births having multiple infants [b,c]	4 / 18	4 / 11	3 / 8	1 / 3	0 / 2
Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of transfers	8	10	15	6	1
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	1 / 8	1 / 10	2 / 15	1 / 6	0 / 1
Average number of embryos transferred	1.9	2.7	2.3	2.7	2.0

Donor Eggs	All Ages Combined [e] Fresh Embryos	Frozen Embryos
Number of transfers	6	8
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	2 / 6	1 / 8
Average number of embryos transferred	2.2	2.4

CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

Current Name: Johns Hopkins Fertility Center

Donor egg?	Yes	Gestational carriers?	Yes	SART member?	Yes
Donor embryo?	No	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	Yes
Single women?	Yes			*(See Appendix C for details.)*	

[a] Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2009 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.
[b] When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.
[c] A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.
[d] Clinic-specific outcome rates for women older than 44 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs are not included because of small numbers. Readers are urged to review national outcomes for these age groups (see pages 30, 48 & 57).
[e] All ages (including ages >44) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.

SHADY GROVE FERTILITY REPRODUCTIVE SCIENCE CENTER
ROCKVILLE, MARYLAND

A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 81–90.

2009 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART [a]

IVF	100%	**Procedural Factors:**	
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	55%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	0%
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	1%
		Used PGD	3%
		With eSET	11%

Patient Diagnosis

Tubal factor	8%	Other factor	9%
Ovulatory dysfunction	8%	Unknown factor	22%
Diminished ovarian reserve	14%	*Multiple Factors:*	
Endometriosis	4%	Female factors only	7%
Uterine factor	3%	Female & male factors	8%
Male factor	18%		

2009 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by Michael J. Levy, MD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman <35	35–37	38–40	41–42	43–44 [d]
Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of cycles	1386	850	913	396	170
Percentage of embryos transferred resulting in implantation [b]	42.2	33.4	20.8	9.7	4.3
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies [b]	55.9	47.3	33.8	20.5	10.0
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births [b,c]	47.8	37.8	23.4	13.4	4.1
(Confidence Interval)	(45.1–50.4)	(34.5–41.1)	(20.7–26.3)	(10.2–17.1)	(1.7–8.3)
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births [b,c]	50.6	42.1	27.9	16.5	5.6
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	52.2	44.0	29.2	17.6	6.1
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births [b]	37.5	33.0	22.3	15.0	5.3
Percentage of cancellations [b]	5.6	10.4	16.1	18.9	27.1
Average number of embryos transferred	1.8	2.0	2.4	2.8	3.1
Percentage of pregnancies with twins [b]	27.0	24.6	25.2	9.9	1 / 17
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more [b]	1.5	2.0	1.3	3.7	0 / 17
Percentage of live births having multiple infants [b,c]	28.1	24.9	23.8	15.1	1 / 7
Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of transfers	257	163	109	48	16
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	37.7	28.8	25.7	25.0	5 / 16
Average number of embryos transferred	1.7	1.6	1.7	1.7	1.5

Donor Eggs	All Ages Combined [e] Fresh Embryos	Frozen Embryos
Number of transfers	549	201
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	51.2	23.4
Average number of embryos transferred	1.8	1.6

CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

Current Name: Shady Grove Fertility Reproductive Science Center

Donor egg?	Yes	Gestational carriers?	Yes	SART member?	Yes
Donor embryo?	No	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	Yes
Single women?	Yes			*(See Appendix C for details.)*	

[a] Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2009 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.
[b] When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.
[c] A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.
[d] Clinic-specific outcome rates for women older than 44 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs are not included because of small numbers. Readers are urged to review national outcomes for these age groups (see pages 30, 48 & 57).
[e] All ages (including ages >44) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.

FERTILITY CENTER OF MARYLAND
TOWSON, MARYLAND

A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 81–90.

2009 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART [a]

IVF	100%	**Procedural Factors:**	
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	35%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	<1%
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	0%
		Used PGD	0%
		With eSET	1%

Patient Diagnosis

Tubal factor	7%	Other factor	9%
Ovulatory dysfunction	12%	Unknown factor	3%
Diminished ovarian reserve	6%	*Multiple Factors:*	
Endometriosis	5%	Female factors only	17%
Uterine factor	<1%	Female & male factors	25%
Male factor	16%		

2009 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by Santiago L. Padilla, MD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman <35	35–37	38–40	41–42	43–44 [d]
Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of cycles	113	55	38	20	13
Percentage of embryos transferred resulting in implantation [b]	25.7	16.7	21.3	8.2	7.9
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies [b]	37.2	29.1	28.9	20.0	2 / 13
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births [b,c]	33.6	21.8	18.4	15.0	2 / 13
(Confidence Interval)	(25.0–43.1)	(11.8–35.0)	(7.7–34.3)	(3.2–37.9)	
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births [b,c]	34.9	23.5	25.0	3 / 13	2 / 8
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	35.8	23.5	26.9	3 / 13	2 / 8
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births [b]	25.5	19.6	26.9	3 / 13	2 / 8
Percentage of cancellations [b]	3.5	7.3	26.3	35.0	5 / 13
Average number of embryos transferred	2.0	2.1	2.3	3.8	4.8
Percentage of pregnancies with twins [b]	31.0	2 / 16	2 / 11	0 / 4	1 / 2
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more [b]	2.4	0 / 16	0 / 11	0 / 4	0 / 2
Percentage of live births having multiple infants [b,c]	28.9	2 / 12	0 / 7	0 / 3	0 / 2
Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of transfers	27	14	7	7	3
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	29.6	3 / 14	1 / 7	1 / 7	1 / 3
Average number of embryos transferred	2.0	1.9	1.9	2.4	2.0

Donor Eggs	All Ages Combined [e] Fresh Embryos	Frozen Embryos
Number of transfers	3	2
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	0 / 3	0 / 2
Average number of embryos transferred	2.0	2.0

CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

Current Name: Fertility Center of Maryland

Donor egg?	Yes	Gestational carriers?	Yes	SART member?	Yes
Donor embryo?	No	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	Yes
Single women?	Yes			*(See Appendix C for details.)*	

[a] Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2009 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.
[b] When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.
[c] A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.
[d] Clinic-specific outcome rates for women older than 44 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs are not included because of small numbers. Readers are urged to review national outcomes for these age groups (see pages 30, 48 & 57).
[e] All ages (including ages >44) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.

BRIGHAM AND WOMEN'S HOSPITAL CENTER FOR ASSISTED REPRODUCTIVE TECHNOLOGY BOSTON, MASSACHUSETTS

A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 81–90.

2009 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART [a]

IVF	>99%	**Procedural Factors:**	
GIFT	<1%	With ICSI	37%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	4%
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	<1%
		Used PGD	3%
		With eSET	8%

Patient Diagnosis

Tubal factor	7%	Other factor	36%
Ovulatory dysfunction	6%	Unknown factor	<1%
Diminished ovarian reserve	12%	*Multiple Factors:*	
Endometriosis	3%	Female factors only	5%
Uterine factor	2%	Female & male factors	9%
Male factor	20%		

2009 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by Elizabeth S. Ginsburg, MD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman				
	<35	35–37	38–40	41–42	43–44 [d]
Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of cycles	438	337	427	157	46
Percentage of embryos transferred resulting in implantation [b]	33.0	26.2	17.0	8.4	5.4
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies [b]	46.1	42.1	31.6	27.4	21.7
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births [b,c]	38.8	34.7	23.9	18.5	8.7
(Confidence Interval)	(34.2–43.6)	(29.6–40.1)	(19.9–28.2)	(12.7–25.4)	(2.4–20.8)
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births [b,c]	40.3	36.0	25.4	19.1	9.8
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	41.5	38.0	26.8	20.1	10.3
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births [b]	28.8	27.9	19.9	16.7	10.3
Percentage of cancellations [b]	3.7	3.6	5.9	3.2	10.9
Average number of embryos transferred	1.8	2.3	2.6	4.3	4.7
Percentage of pregnancies with twins [b]	29.2	29.6	22.2	14.0	2 / 10
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more [b]	1.0	4.2	4.4	9.3	0 / 10
Percentage of live births having multiple infants [b,c]	30.6	26.5	25.5	17.2	0 / 4
Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of transfers	108	77	43	11	4
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	33.3	27.3	32.6	2 / 11	0 / 4
Average number of embryos transferred	1.9	2.2	2.3	3.7	2.5

	All Ages Combined [e]	
Donor Eggs	**Fresh Embryos**	**Frozen Embryos**
Number of transfers	75	59
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	45.3	25.4
Average number of embryos transferred	2.0	2.1

CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

Current Name: Brigham and Women's Hospital Center for Assisted Reproductive Technology

Donor egg?	Yes	Gestational carriers?	Yes	SART member?	Yes
Donor embryo?	No	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	Yes
Single women?	Yes			*(See Appendix C for details.)*	

[a] Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2009 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.
[b] When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.
[c] A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.
[d] Clinic-specific outcome rates for women older than 44 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs are not included because of small numbers. Readers are urged to review national outcomes for these age groups (see pages 30, 48 & 57).
[e] All ages (including ages >44) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.

MASSACHUSETTS GENERAL HOSPITAL FERTILITY CENTER
BOSTON, MASSACHUSETTS

A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 81–90.

2009 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART [a]

IVF	100%	**Procedural Factors:**	
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	53%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	0%
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	<1%
		Used PGD	2%
		With eSET	5%

Patient Diagnosis

Tubal factor	7%	Other factor	2%
Ovulatory dysfunction	7%	Unknown factor	19%
Diminished ovarian reserve	10%	*Multiple Factors:*	
Endometriosis	3%	Female factors only	6%
Uterine factor	<1%	Female & male factors	21%
Male factor	24%		

2009 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by Thomas L. Toth, MD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman <35	35–37	38–40	41–42	43–44 [d]
Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of cycles	222	179	125	52	20
Percentage of embryos transferred resulting in implantation [b]	41.9	34.8	21.2	8.1	1.5
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies [b]	55.0	46.9	35.2	28.8	5.0
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births [b,c]	48.2	38.0	26.4	21.2	5.0
(Confidence Interval)	(41.5–55.0)	(30.9–45.5)	(18.9–35.0)	(11.1–34.7)	(0.1–24.9)
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births [b,c]	51.2	41.0	29.7	22.4	1 / 17
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	55.7	43.0	31.1	24.4	1 / 17
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births [b]	39.1	33.5	22.6	24.4	1 / 17
Percentage of cancellations [b]	5.9	7.3	11.2	5.8	15.0
Average number of embryos transferred	1.9	1.9	2.6	3.6	3.9
Percentage of pregnancies with twins [b]	30.3	29.8	36.4	1 / 15	0 / 1
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more [b]	0.0	0.0	0.0	0 / 15	0 / 1
Percentage of live births having multiple infants [b,c]	29.9	22.1	27.3	0 / 11	0 / 1
Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of transfers	31	32	14	4	4
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	22.6	31.3	3 / 14	1 / 4	0 / 4
Average number of embryos transferred	2.0	1.8	1.6	2.0	2.5

Donor Eggs	All Ages Combined [e] Fresh Embryos	Frozen Embryos
Number of transfers	37	15
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	64.9	2 / 15
Average number of embryos transferred	1.8	1.4

CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

Current Name: Massachusetts General Hospital Fertility Center

Donor egg?	Yes	Gestational carriers?	Yes	SART member?	Yes
Donor embryo?	No	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	Yes
Single women?	Yes			*(See Appendix C for details.)*	

[a] Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2009 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.
[b] When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.
[c] A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.
[d] Clinic-specific outcome rates for women older than 44 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs are not included because of small numbers. Readers are urged to review national outcomes for these age groups (see pages 30, 48 & 57).
[e] All ages (including ages >44) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.

REI DIVISION AT TUFTS MEDICAL CENTER
BOSTON, MASSACHUSETTS

A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 81–90.

2009 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART [a]

IVF	100%	**Procedural Factors:**	
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	38%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	0%
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	0%
		Used PGD	0%
		With eSET	5%

Patient Diagnosis

Tubal factor	21%	Other factor	4%
Ovulatory dysfunction	4%	Unknown factor	31%
Diminished ovarian reserve	0%	*Multiple Factors:*	
Endometriosis	0%	Female factors only	5%
Uterine factor	<1%	Female & male factors	6%
Male factor	30%		

2009 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by Sandra A. Carson, MD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman <35	35–37	38–40	41–42	43–44 [d]
Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of cycles	32	28	18	9	1
Percentage of embryos transferred resulting in implantation [b]	27.3	9.5	15.0	0.0	0 / 5
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies [b]	28.1	28.6	5 / 18	0 / 9	0 / 1
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births [b,c]	28.1	17.9	5 / 18	0 / 9	0 / 1
(Confidence Interval)	(13.7–46.7)	(6.1–36.9)			
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births [b,c]	33.3	19.2	5 / 18	0 / 9	0 / 1
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	33.3	20.0	5 / 17	0 / 7	0 / 1
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births [b]	11.1	20.0	4 / 17	0 / 7	0 / 1
Percentage of cancellations [b]	15.6	7.1	0 / 18	0 / 9	0 / 1
Average number of embryos transferred	2.0	2.5	2.4	3.7	5.0
Percentage of pregnancies with twins [b]	6 / 9	0 / 8	1 / 5		
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more [b]	0 / 9	0 / 8	0 / 5		
Percentage of live births having multiple infants [b,c]	6 / 9	0 / 5	1 / 5		
Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of transfers	7	6	6	1	0
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	1 / 7	0 / 6	0 / 6	0 / 1	
Average number of embryos transferred	1.4	2.0	1.8	3.0	

Donor Eggs	All Ages Combined [e] Fresh Embryos	Frozen Embryos
Number of transfers	0	0
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]		
Average number of embryos transferred		

CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

Current Name: REI Division at Tufts Medical Center

Donor egg?	No	Gestational carriers?	No	SART member?	No
Donor embryo?	No	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	Yes
Single women?	Yes			*(See Appendix C for details.)*	

[a] Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2009 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.
[b] When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.
[c] A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.
[d] Clinic-specific outcome rates for women older than 44 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs are not included because of small numbers. Readers are urged to review national outcomes for these age groups (see pages 30, 48 & 57).
[e] All ages (including ages >44) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.

REPRODUCTIVE SCIENCE CENTER
LEXINGTON, MASSACHUSETTS

A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 81–90.

2009 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART [a]

IVF	100%	**Procedural Factors:**	
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	40%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	<1%
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	<1%
		Used PGD	2%
		With eSET	13%

Patient Diagnosis

Tubal factor	8%	Other factor	4%
Ovulatory dysfunction	8%	Unknown factor	21%
Diminished ovarian reserve	9%	*Multiple Factors:*	
Endometriosis	4%	Female factors only	9%
Uterine factor	<1%	Female & male factors	15%
Male factor	23%		

2009 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by Samuel C. Pang, MD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman <35	35–37	38–40	41–42	43–44 [d]
Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of cycles	1061	568	566	239	51
Percentage of embryos transferred resulting in implantation [b]	34.9	24.3	15.2	8.5	1.8
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies [b]	41.4	32.0	23.3	17.6	13.7
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births [b,c]	37.0	25.4	18.0	10.9	2.0
(Confidence Interval)	(34.1–40.0)	(21.8–29.1)	(14.9–21.4)	(7.2–15.5)	(0.0–10.4)
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births [b,c]	38.8	27.7	20.4	12.4	2.3
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	42.5	31.9	23.2	14.7	2.9
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births [b]	33.2	23.7	19.1	13.6	2.9
Percentage of cancellations [b]	4.5	8.5	11.7	12.1	13.7
Average number of embryos transferred	1.6	1.9	2.2	2.7	3.1
Percentage of pregnancies with twins [b]	21.9	22.5	20.5	14.3	0 / 7
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more [b]	1.6	2.2	0.0	0.0	0 / 7
Percentage of live births having multiple infants [b,c]	21.9	25.7	17.6	7.7	0 / 1
Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of transfers	155	101	62	20	7
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	26.5	21.8	17.7	25.0	0 / 7
Average number of embryos transferred	1.6	1.6	1.7	2.0	2.1

Donor Eggs	All Ages Combined [e] Fresh Embryos	Frozen Embryos
Number of transfers	97	37
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	52.6	21.6
Average number of embryos transferred	1.6	1.3

CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

Current Name: Reproductive Science Center

Donor egg?	Yes	Gestational carriers?	Yes	SART member?	Yes
Donor embryo?	Yes	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	Yes
Single women?	Yes			*(See Appendix C for details.)*	

[a] Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2009 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.
[b] When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.
[c] A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.
[d] Clinic-specific outcome rates for women older than 44 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs are not included because of small numbers. Readers are urged to review national outcomes for these age groups (see pages 30, 48 & 57).
[e] All ages (including ages >44) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.

FERTILITY CENTERS OF NEW ENGLAND, INC.
NEW ENGLAND CLINICS OF REPRODUCTIVE MEDICINE, INC.
READING, MASSACHUSETTS

A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 81–90.

2009 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART [a]

IVF	100%	**Procedural Factors:**	
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	48%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	0%
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	<1%
		Used PGD	5%
		With eSET	11%

Patient Diagnosis

Tubal factor	7%	Other factor	10%
Ovulatory dysfunction	7%	Unknown factor	15%
Diminished ovarian reserve	6%	*Multiple Factors:*	
Endometriosis	3%	Female factors only	14%
Uterine factor	2%	Female & male factors	20%
Male factor	16%		

2009 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by R. Ian Hardy, MD, PhD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman <35	35–37	38–40	41–42	43–44 [d]
Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of cycles	350	166	153	52	10
Percentage of embryos transferred resulting in implantation [b]	34.8	27.7	17.7	8.8	0 / 7
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies [b]	45.1	33.7	27.5	17.3	0 / 10
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births [b,c]	37.7	25.9	20.9	11.5	0 / 10
(Confidence Interval)	(32.6–43.0)	(19.4–33.3)	(14.8–28.2)	(4.4–23.4)	
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births [b,c]	39.5	28.7	23.9	13.0	0 / 6
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	42.4	32.3	27.1	15.8	0 / 5
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births [b]	32.8	21.8	24.6	13.2	0 / 5
Percentage of cancellations [b]	4.6	9.6	12.4	11.5	4 / 10
Average number of embryos transferred	1.7	1.8	2.1	2.4	1.4
Percentage of pregnancies with twins [b]	23.4	26.8	9.5	1 / 9	
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more [b]	0.6	0.0	0.0	0 / 9	
Percentage of live births having multiple infants [b,c]	22.7	32.6	9.4	1 / 6	
Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of transfers	63	24	24	6	0
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	36.5	41.7	33.3	1 / 6	
Average number of embryos transferred	1.8	1.6	1.8	1.8	

Donor Eggs	All Ages Combined [e] Fresh Embryos	Frozen Embryos
Number of transfers	50	30
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	50.0	50.0
Average number of embryos transferred	1.9	1.7

CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

Current Name: Fertility Centers of New England, Inc., New England Clinics of Reproductive Medicine, Inc.

Donor egg?	Yes	Gestational carriers?	Yes	SART member?	Yes
Donor embryo?	Yes	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	Yes
Single women?	Yes			*(See Appendix C for details.)*	

[a] Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2009 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.
[b] When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.
[c] A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.
[d] Clinic-specific outcome rates for women older than 44 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs are not included because of small numbers. Readers are urged to review national outcomes for these age groups (see pages 30, 48 & 57).
[e] All ages (including ages >44) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.

BAYSTATE REPRODUCTIVE MEDICINE
SPRINGFIELD, MASSACHUSETTS

A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 81–90.

2009 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART [a]

IVF	100%	**Procedural Factors:**	
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	45%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	0%
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	0%
		Used PGD	0%
		With eSET	24%

Patient Diagnosis

Tubal factor	6%	Other factor	1%
Ovulatory dysfunction	12%	Unknown factor	29%
Diminished ovarian reserve	1%	*Multiple Factors:*	
Endometriosis	7%	Female factors only	8%
Uterine factor	2%	Female & male factors	6%
Male factor	29%		

2009 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by Kelly Lynch, MD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman <35	35–37	38–40	41–42	43–44 [d]
Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of cycles	179	70	47	34	6
Percentage of embryos transferred resulting in implantation [b]	51.4	35.8	25.8	11.5	21.4
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies [b]	58.7	45.7	51.1	29.4	2 / 6
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births [b,c]	48.0	42.9	34.0	23.5	2 / 6
(Confidence Interval)	(40.5–55.6)	(31.1–55.3)	(20.9–49.3)	(10.7–41.2)	
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births [b,c]	50.9	50.8	35.6	26.7	2 / 5
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	52.1	52.6	36.4	29.6	2 / 5
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births [b]	38.8	40.4	27.3	22.2	1 / 5
Percentage of cancellations [b]	5.6	15.7	4.3	11.8	1 / 6
Average number of embryos transferred	1.5	2.1	2.7	4.2	5.6
Percentage of pregnancies with twins [b]	25.7	21.9	16.7	3 / 10	0 / 2
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more [b]	1.0	6.3	8.3	1 / 10	1 / 2
Percentage of live births having multiple infants [b,c]	25.6	23.3	4 / 16	2 / 8	1 / 2
Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of transfers	52	19	11	2	3
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	26.9	5 / 19	2 / 11	0 / 2	0 / 3
Average number of embryos transferred	1.6	1.8	2.3	1.5	2.0

Donor Eggs	All Ages Combined [e] Fresh Embryos	Frozen Embryos
Number of transfers	30	22
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	56.7	31.8
Average number of embryos transferred	1.8	1.7

CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

Current Name: Baystate Reproductive Medicine

Donor egg?	Yes	Gestational carriers?	Yes	SART member?	Yes
Donor embryo?	No	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	Yes
Single women?	Yes			*(See Appendix C for details.)*	

[a] Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2009 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.
[b] When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.
[c] A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.
[d] Clinic-specific outcome rates for women older than 44 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs are not included because of small numbers. Readers are urged to review national outcomes for these age groups (see pages 30, 48 & 57).
[e] All ages (including ages >44) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.

CARDONE REPRODUCTIVE MEDICINE AND INFERTILITY
STONEHAM, MASSACHUSETTS

A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 81–90.

2009 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART [a]

IVF	100%	**Procedural Factors:**	
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	48%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	3%
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	4%
		Used PGD	6%
		With eSET	2%

Patient Diagnosis

Tubal factor	1%	Other factor	9%
Ovulatory dysfunction	5%	Unknown factor	4%
Diminished ovarian reserve	33%	*Multiple Factors:*	
Endometriosis	5%	Female factors only	12%
Uterine factor	2%	Female & male factors	17%
Male factor	12%		

2009 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by Vito Cardone, MD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman <35	35–37	38–40	41–42	43–44 [d]
Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of cycles	46	32	44	31	24
Percentage of embryos transferred resulting in implantation [b]	33.3	19.1	19.7	5.5	0.0
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies [b]	50.0	25.0	25.0	6.5	4.2
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births [b,c]	45.7	18.8	15.9	3.2	0.0
(Confidence Interval)	(30.9–61.0)	(7.2–36.4)	(6.6–30.1)	(0.1–16.7)	(0.0–14.2)
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births [b,c]	46.7	21.4	17.9	4.0	0.0
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	47.7	25.0	22.6	4.8	0 / 18
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births [b]	34.1	16.7	16.1	4.8	0 / 18
Percentage of cancellations [b]	2.2	12.5	11.4	19.4	4.2
Average number of embryos transferred	2.0	2.0	2.1	2.6	1.8
Percentage of pregnancies with twins [b]	30.4	2 / 8	3 / 11	1 / 2	0 / 1
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more [b]	0.0	0 / 8	0 / 11	0 / 2	0 / 1
Percentage of live births having multiple infants [b,c]	28.6	2 / 6	2 / 7	0 / 1	
Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of transfers	9	5	2	2	2
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	1 / 9	1 / 5	1 / 2	0 / 2	0 / 2
Average number of embryos transferred	1.8	2.4	4.0	1.5	2.0

Donor Eggs	All Ages Combined [e] Fresh Embryos	Frozen Embryos
Number of transfers	32	15
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	37.5	7 / 15
Average number of embryos transferred	1.9	2.2

CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

Current Name: Cardone Reproductive Medicine and Infertility

Donor egg?	Yes	Gestational carriers?	Yes	SART member?	Yes
Donor embryo?	No	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	Yes
Single women?	Yes			*(See Appendix C for details.)*	

[a] Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2009 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.
[b] When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.
[c] A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.
[d] Clinic-specific outcome rates for women older than 44 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs are not included because of small numbers. Readers are urged to review national outcomes for these age groups (see pages 30, 48 & 57).
[e] All ages (including ages >44) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.

BOSTON IVF
WALTHAM, MASSACHUSETTS

A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 81–90.

2009 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART [a]

IVF	>99%	**Procedural Factors:**	
GIFT	<1%	With ICSI	41%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	<1%
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	<1%
		Used PGD	5%
		With eSET	6%

Patient Diagnosis

Tubal factor	7%	Other factor	8%
Ovulatory dysfunction	8%	Unknown factor	35%
Diminished ovarian reserve	12%	*Multiple Factors:*	
Endometriosis	2%	Female factors only	2%
Uterine factor	<1%	Female & male factors	5%
Male factor	20%		

2009 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by Michael M. Alper, MD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman <35	35–37	38–40	41–42	43–44 [d]
Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of cycles	941	553	602	301	156
Percentage of embryos transferred resulting in implantation [b]	28.3	25.5	13.8	7.7	3.4
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies [b]	40.3	36.9	26.6	22.3	11.5
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births [b,c]	33.5	31.1	18.8	12.0	5.1
(Confidence Interval)	(30.5–36.6)	(27.3–35.1)	(15.7–22.1)	(8.5–16.2)	(2.2–9.9)
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births [b,c]	34.7	32.8	20.4	13.2	5.5
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	36.8	35.5	22.6	15.7	6.5
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births [b]	27.6	25.2	18.2	13.5	5.7
Percentage of cancellations [b]	3.5	5.1	7.8	9.6	7.1
Average number of embryos transferred	2.0	2.2	2.7	3.6	4.1
Percentage of pregnancies with twins [b]	26.9	27.0	18.1	13.4	2 / 18
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more [b]	2.1	3.9	5.6	3.0	0 / 18
Percentage of live births having multiple infants [b,c]	25.1	29.1	19.5	13.9	1 / 8
Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of transfers	150	81	55	16	6
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	21.3	23.5	21.8	1 / 16	1 / 6
Average number of embryos transferred	1.9	1.9	1.8	1.6	2.5

	All Ages Combined [e]	
Donor Eggs	**Fresh Embryos**	**Frozen Embryos**
Number of transfers	152	82
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	45.4	24.4
Average number of embryos transferred	1.9	1.8

CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

Current Name: Boston IVF

Donor egg?	Yes	Gestational carriers?	Yes	SART member?	Yes
Donor embryo?	No	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	Yes
Single women?	Yes			*(See Appendix C for details.)*	

[a] Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2009 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.
[b] When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.
[c] A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.
[d] Clinic-specific outcome rates for women older than 44 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs are not included because of small numbers. Readers are urged to review national outcomes for these age groups (see pages 30, 48 & 57).
[e] All ages (including ages >44) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.

CENTER FOR REPRODUCTIVE MEDICINE
UNIVERSITY OF MICHIGAN REPRODUCTIVE ENDOCRINOLOGY AND INFERTILITY
ANN ARBOR, MICHIGAN

A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 81–90.

2009 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART [a]

IVF	100%	**Procedural Factors:**	
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	51%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	0%
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	0%
		Used PGD	0%
		With eSET	3%

Patient Diagnosis

Tubal factor	2%	Other factor	4%
Ovulatory dysfunction	5%	Unknown factor	7%
Diminished ovarian reserve	9%	*Multiple Factors:*	
Endometriosis	2%	Female factors only	8%
Uterine factor	<1%	Female & male factors	45%
Male factor	18%		

2009 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by Senait Fisseha, MD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman <35	35–37	38–40	41–42	43–44[d]
Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of cycles	41	13	14	14	0
Percentage of embryos transferred resulting in implantation[b]	31.5	3 / 18	5.0	9.1	
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies[b]	48.8	2 / 13	1 / 14	4 / 14	
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births[b,c]	41.5	1 / 13	1 / 14	1 / 14	
(Confidence Interval)	(26.3–57.9)				
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births[b,c]	45.9	1 / 10	1 / 10	1 / 14	
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births[b,c]	47.2	1 / 9	1 / 8	1 / 14	
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births[b]	33.3	0 / 9	1 / 8	1 / 14	
Percentage of cancellations[b]	9.8	3 / 13	4 / 14	0 / 14	
Average number of embryos transferred	2.0	2.0	2.5	2.4	
Percentage of pregnancies with twins[b]	25.0	1 / 2	0 / 1	0 / 4	
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more[b]	0.0	0 / 2	0 / 1	0 / 4	
Percentage of live births having multiple infants[b,c]	5 / 17	1 / 1	0 / 1	0 / 1	
Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of transfers	15	8	5	5	3
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births[b,c]	1 / 15	1 / 8	3 / 5	0 / 5	1 / 3
Average number of embryos transferred	1.7	1.9	2.0	1.6	2.0

Donor Eggs	All Ages Combined[e] Fresh Embryos	Frozen Embryos
Number of transfers	4	2
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births[b,c]	3 / 4	0 / 2
Average number of embryos transferred	1.8	2.5

CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

Current Name: Center for Reproductive Medicine, University of Michigan Reproductive Endocrinology and Infertility

Donor egg?	Yes	Gestational carriers?	Yes	SART member?	Yes
Donor embryo?	No	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	Yes
Single women?	Yes			*(See Appendix C for details.)*	

[a] Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2009 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.
[b] When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.
[c] A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.
[d] Clinic-specific outcome rates for women older than 44 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs are not included because of small numbers. Readers are urged to review national outcomes for these age groups (see pages 30, 48 & 57).
[e] All ages (including ages >44) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.

CENTER FOR REPRODUCTIVE MEDICINE AND SURGERY, PC
BIRMINGHAM, MICHIGAN

A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 81–90.

2009 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART [a]

IVF	100%	**Procedural Factors:**	
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	94%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	0%
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	1%
		Used PGD	4%
		With eSET	20%

Patient Diagnosis

Tubal factor	4%	Other factor	2%
Ovulatory dysfunction	24%	Unknown factor	5%
Diminished ovarian reserve	32%	*Multiple Factors:*	
Endometriosis	2%	Female factors only	5%
Uterine factor	0%	Female & male factors	14%
Male factor	13%		

2009 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by Michael S. Mersol-Barg, MD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman <35	35–37	38–40	41–42	43–44[d]
Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of cycles	42	19	13	3	4
Percentage of embryos transferred resulting in implantation[b]	41.9	31.3	25.0	0 / 5	1 / 9
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies[b]	52.4	8 / 19	5 / 13	0 / 3	1 / 4
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births[b,c]	42.9	6 / 19	4 / 13	0 / 3	1 / 4
(Confidence Interval)	(27.7–59.0)				
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births[b,c]	42.9	6 / 19	4 / 13	0 / 3	1 / 4
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births[b,c]	47.4	6 / 18	4 / 12	0 / 3	1 / 4
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births[b]	36.8	4 / 18	3 / 12	0 / 3	1 / 4
Percentage of cancellations[b]	0.0	0 / 19	0 / 13	0 / 3	0 / 4
Average number of embryos transferred	1.6	1.8	1.7	1.7	2.3
Percentage of pregnancies with twins[b]	18.2	2 / 8	1 / 5		0 / 1
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more[b]	4.5	0 / 8	0 / 5		0 / 1
Percentage of live births having multiple infants[b,c]	4 / 18	2 / 6	1 / 4		0 / 1
Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of transfers	8	5	6	0	0
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births[b,c]	3 / 8	1 / 5	1 / 6		
Average number of embryos transferred	1.4	1.2	1.3		

Donor Eggs	All Ages Combined[e] Fresh Embryos	Frozen Embryos
Number of transfers	5	1
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births[b,c]	0 / 5	1 / 1
Average number of embryos transferred	2.0	1.0

CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

Current Name: Center for Reproductive Medicine and Surgery, PC

Donor egg?	Yes	Gestational carriers?	Yes	SART member?	Yes
Donor embryo?	Yes	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	Yes
Single women?	Yes			*(See Appendix C for details.)*	

[a] Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2009 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.
[b] When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.
[c] A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.
[d] Clinic-specific outcome rates for women older than 44 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs are not included because of small numbers. Readers are urged to review national outcomes for these age groups (see pages 30, 48 & 57).
[e] All ages (including ages >44) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.

ADVANCED REPRODUCTIVE MEDICINE AND SURGERY, PC
BLOOMFIELD HILLS, MICHIGAN

A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 81–90.

2009 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART [a]

IVF	100%	**Procedural Factors:**	
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	81%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	0%
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	0%
		Used PGD	9%
		With eSET	3%

Patient Diagnosis

Tubal factor	13%	Other factor	3%
Ovulatory dysfunction	3%	Unknown factor	16%
Diminished ovarian reserve	12%	*Multiple Factors:*	
Endometriosis	9%	Female factors only	5%
Uterine factor	0%	Female & male factors	17%
Male factor	22%		

2009 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by Carole L. Kowalczyk, MD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman <35	35–37	38–40	41–42	43–44 [d]
Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of cycles	14	15	19	8	0
Percentage of embryos transferred resulting in implantation [b]	38.7	30.0	18.2	3 / 11	
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies [b]	10 / 14	3 / 15	8 / 19	3 / 8	
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births [b,c] (Confidence Interval)	9 / 14	3 / 15	4 / 19	1 / 8	
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births [b,c]	9 / 13	3 / 11	4 / 16	1 / 6	
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	9 / 13	3 / 9	4 / 14	1 / 5	
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births [b]	6 / 13	1 / 9	3 / 14	1 / 5	
Percentage of cancellations [b]	1 / 14	4 / 15	3 / 19	2 / 8	
Average number of embryos transferred	2.4	2.2	2.4	2.2	
Percentage of pregnancies with twins [b]	3 / 10	1 / 3	1 / 8	0 / 3	
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more [b]	0 / 10	1 / 3	0 / 8	0 / 3	
Percentage of live births having multiple infants [b,c]	3 / 9	2 / 3	1 / 4	0 / 1	
Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of transfers	5	4	4	0	0
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	1 / 5	0 / 4	1 / 4		
Average number of embryos transferred	2.2	2.3	1.8		

Donor Eggs	All Ages Combined [e] Fresh Embryos	Frozen Embryos
Number of transfers	1	4
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	1 / 1	0 / 4
Average number of embryos transferred	2.0	2.0

CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

Current Name: Advanced Reproductive Medicine and Surgery, PC

Donor egg?	Yes	Gestational carriers?	Yes	SART member?	Yes
Donor embryo?	Yes	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	Yes
Single women?	Yes			*(See Appendix C for details.)*	

[a] Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2009 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.
[b] When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.
[c] A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.
[d] Clinic-specific outcome rates for women older than 44 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs are not included because of small numbers. Readers are urged to review national outcomes for these age groups (see pages 30, 48 & 57).
[e] All ages (including ages >44) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.

MICHIGAN COMPREHENSIVE FERTILITY CENTER
DEARBORN, MICHIGAN

A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 81–90.

2009 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART [a]

IVF	100%	**Procedural Factors:**	
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	58%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	0%
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	0%
		Used PGD	0%
		With eSET	0%

Patient Diagnosis

Tubal factor	7%	Other factor	2%
Ovulatory dysfunction	5%	Unknown factor	5%
Diminished ovarian reserve	7%	*Multiple Factors:*	
Endometriosis	5%	Female factors only	12%
Uterine factor	0%	Female & male factors	45%
Male factor	13%		

2009 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by David M. Magyar, DO

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman <35	35–37	38–40	41–42	43–44 [d]
Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of cycles	77	26	30	17	7
Percentage of embryos transferred resulting in implantation [b]	20.6	15.1	6.8	5.3	0 / 18
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies [b]	31.2	19.2	10.0	3 / 17	0 / 7
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births [b,c]	29.9	15.4	6.7	2 / 17	0 / 7
(Confidence Interval)	(20.0–41.4)	(4.4–34.9)	(0.8–22.1)		
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births [b,c]	39.7	4 / 16	2 / 18	2 / 12	0 / 4
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	41.1	4 / 15	2 / 16	2 / 11	0 / 4
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births [b]	19.6	2 / 15	0 / 16	2 / 11	0 / 4
Percentage of cancellations [b]	24.7	38.5	40.0	5 / 17	3 / 7
Average number of embryos transferred	3.2	3.5	4.6	3.5	4.5
Percentage of pregnancies with twins [b]	50.0	3 / 5	2 / 3	0 / 3	
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more [b]	4.2	0 / 5	0 / 3	0 / 3	
Percentage of live births having multiple infants [b,c]	52.2	2 / 4	2 / 2	0 / 2	
Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of transfers	19	3	1	0	0
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	3 / 19	0 / 3	1 / 1		
Average number of embryos transferred	2.9	3.0	3.0		

Donor Eggs	All Ages Combined [e] Fresh Embryos	Frozen Embryos
Number of transfers	15	14
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	3 / 15	1 / 14
Average number of embryos transferred	2.7	2.6

CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

Current Name: Michigan Comprehensive Fertility Center

Donor egg?	Yes	Gestational carriers?	Yes	SART member?	Yes
Donor embryo?	Yes	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	Yes
Single women?	Yes			*(See Appendix C for details.)*	

[a] Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2009 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.
[b] When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.
[c] A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.
[d] Clinic-specific outcome rates for women older than 44 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs are not included because of small numbers. Readers are urged to review national outcomes for these age groups (see pages 30, 48 & 57).
[e] All ages (including ages >44) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.

GRAND RAPIDS FERTILITY & IVF, PC
GRAND RAPIDS, MICHIGAN

A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 81–90.

2009 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART [a]

IVF	100%	**Procedural Factors:**	
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	84%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	0%
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	4%
		Used PGD	0%
		With eSET	4%

Patient Diagnosis

Tubal factor	6%	Other factor	8%
Ovulatory dysfunction	7%	Unknown factor	7%
Diminished ovarian reserve	7%	*Multiple Factors:*	
Endometriosis	<1%	Female factors only	4%
Uterine factor	1%	Female & male factors	25%
Male factor	34%		

2009 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by Douglas C. Daly, MD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman <35	35–37	38–40	41–42	43–44 [d]
Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of cycles	50	13	7	0	3
Percentage of embryos transferred resulting in implantation [b]	19.7	18.5	0 / 11		0 / 11
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies [b]	22.0	4 / 13	0 / 7		0 / 3
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births [b,c]	20.0	3 / 13	0 / 7		0 / 3
(Confidence Interval)	(10.0–33.7)				
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births [b,c]	22.7	3 / 11	0 / 4		0 / 3
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	30.3	3 / 10	0 / 4		0 / 3
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births [b]	21.2	2 / 10	0 / 4		0 / 3
Percentage of cancellations [b]	12.0	2 / 13	3 / 7		0 / 3
Average number of embryos transferred	2.3	2.7	2.8		3.7
Percentage of pregnancies with twins [b]	2 / 11	1 / 4			
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more [b]	1 / 11	0 / 4			
Percentage of live births having multiple infants [b,c]	3 / 10	1 / 3			
Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of transfers	42	7	3	0	0
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	16.7	2 / 7	1 / 3		
Average number of embryos transferred	2.2	2.3	2.0		

Donor Eggs	All Ages Combined [e] Fresh Embryos	Frozen Embryos
Number of transfers	4	19
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	0 / 4	9 / 19
Average number of embryos transferred	2.0	2.3

CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

Current Name: Grand Rapids Fertility & IVF, PC

Donor egg?	Yes	Gestational carriers?	Yes	SART member?	Yes
Donor embryo?	Yes	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	Yes
Single women?	No			*(See Appendix C for details.)*	

[a] Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2009 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.
[b] When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.
[c] A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.
[d] Clinic-specific outcome rates for women older than 44 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs are not included because of small numbers. Readers are urged to review national outcomes for these age groups (see pages 30, 48 & 57).
[e] All ages (including ages >44) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.

MICHIGAN REPRODUCTIVE & IVF CENTER, PC
GRAND RAPIDS, MICHIGAN

A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 81–90.

2009 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART [a]

IVF	>99%	**Procedural Factors:**	
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	86%
ZIFT	<1%	Unstimulated	0%
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	<1%
		Used PGD	0%
		With eSET	<1%

Patient Diagnosis

Tubal factor	6%	Other factor	<1%
Ovulatory dysfunction	3%	Unknown factor	2%
Diminished ovarian reserve	4%	*Multiple Factors:*	
Endometriosis	5%	Female factors only	6%
Uterine factor	<1%	Female & male factors	43%
Male factor	29%		

2009 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by William G. Dodds, MD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman <35	35–37	38–40	41–42	43–44 [d]
Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of cycles	209	93	57	17	3
Percentage of embryos transferred resulting in implantation [b]	34.9	23.2	12.5	9.4	0 / 6
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies [b]	50.7	37.6	21.1	3 / 17	0 / 3
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births [b,c]	45.5	31.2	15.8	2 / 17	0 / 3
(Confidence Interval)	(38.6–52.5)	(22.0–41.6)	(7.5–27.9)		
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births [b,c]	48.5	37.2	18.8	2 / 10	0 / 2
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	49.5	37.7	23.1	2 / 9	0 / 1
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births [b]	31.3	27.3	17.9	2 / 9	0 / 1
Percentage of cancellations [b]	6.2	16.1	15.8	7 / 17	1 / 3
Average number of embryos transferred	2.1	2.5	3.1	3.6	6.0
Percentage of pregnancies with twins [b]	35.8	31.4	3 / 12	0 / 3	
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more [b]	0.9	0.0	0 / 12	0 / 3	
Percentage of live births having multiple infants [b,c]	36.8	27.6	2 / 9	0 / 2	
Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of transfers	125	61	33	5	1
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	40.0	34.4	33.3	1 / 5	0 / 1
Average number of embryos transferred	2.6	2.8	3.1	3.2	3.0

Donor Eggs	All Ages Combined [e] Fresh Embryos	Frozen Embryos
Number of transfers	33	43
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	60.6	41.9
Average number of embryos transferred	1.9	2.6

CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

Current Name: Michigan Reproductive & IVF Center, PC

Donor egg?	Yes	Gestational carriers?	Yes	SART member?	Yes
Donor embryo?	Yes	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	Yes
Single women?	Yes			*(See Appendix C for details.)*	

[a] Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2009 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.
[b] When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.
[c] A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.
[d] Clinic-specific outcome rates for women older than 44 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs are not included because of small numbers. Readers are urged to review national outcomes for these age groups (see pages 30, 48 & 57).
[e] All ages (including ages >44) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.

IVF MICHIGAN
ROCHESTER HILLS, MICHIGAN

A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 81–90.

2009 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART [a]

IVF	>99%	**Procedural Factors:**	
GIFT	<1%	With ICSI	90%
ZIFT	<1%	Unstimulated	2%
Combination	<1%	Used gestational carrier	1%
		Used PGD	8%
		With eSET	5%

Patient Diagnosis

Tubal factor	6%	Other factor	6%
Ovulatory dysfunction	9%	Unknown factor	2%
Diminished ovarian reserve	15%	*Multiple Factors:*	
Endometriosis	3%	Female factors only	16%
Uterine factor	2%	Female & male factors	26%
Male factor	16%		

2009 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by Mostafa I. Abuzeid, MD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman <35	35–37	38–40	41–42	43–44 [d]
Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of cycles	396	175	159	47	29
Percentage of embryos transferred resulting in implantation [b]	41.3	27.2	18.6	22.9	3.7
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies [b]	53.8	44.0	30.2	29.8	6.9
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births [b,c]	47.7	34.9	19.5	17.0	6.9
(Confidence Interval)	(42.7–52.8)	(27.8–42.4)	(13.6–26.5)	(7.6–30.8)	(0.8–22.8)
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births [b,c]	50.3	36.7	23.1	18.2	7.7
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	53.2	40.1	25.6	24.2	2 / 18
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births [b]	31.0	30.9	17.4	21.2	2 / 18
Percentage of cancellations [b]	5.1	5.1	15.7	6.4	10.3
Average number of embryos transferred	2.1	2.2	2.4	2.5	3.0
Percentage of pregnancies with twins [b]	42.7	20.8	22.9	3 / 14	0 / 2
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more [b]	2.3	1.3	2.1	1 / 14	0 / 2
Percentage of live births having multiple infants [b,c]	41.8	23.0	32.3	1 / 8	0 / 2
Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of transfers	93	36	28	13	8
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	53.8	47.2	21.4	6 / 13	3 / 8
Average number of embryos transferred	2.1	2.0	2.2	2.2	2.3

Donor Eggs	All Ages Combined [e] Fresh Embryos	Frozen Embryos
Number of transfers	100	33
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	65.0	42.4
Average number of embryos transferred	2.2	2.2

CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

Current Name: IVF Michigan

Donor egg?	Yes	Gestational carriers?	Yes	SART member?	Yes
Donor embryo?	No	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	Yes
Single women?	Yes			*(See Appendix C for details.)*	

[a] Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2009 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.
[b] When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.
[c] A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.
[d] Clinic-specific outcome rates for women older than 44 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs are not included because of small numbers. Readers are urged to review national outcomes for these age groups (see pages 30, 48 & 57).
[e] All ages (including ages >44) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.

UNIVERSITY WOMEN'S CARE/WAYNE STATE UNIVERSITY
SOUTHFIELD, MICHIGAN

A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 81–90.

2009 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART [a]

IVF	100%	**Procedural Factors:**	
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	98%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	0%
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	0%
		Used PGD	0%
		With eSET	7%

Patient Diagnosis

Tubal factor	8%	Other factor	8%
Ovulatory dysfunction	9%	Unknown factor	12%
Diminished ovarian reserve	3%	*Multiple Factors:*	
Endometriosis	2%	Female factors only	12%
Uterine factor	0%	Female & male factors	24%
Male factor	22%		

2009 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by Elizabeth E. Puscheck, MD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman <35	35–37	38–40	41–42	43–44 [d]
Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of cycles	23	11	6	4	2
Percentage of embryos transferred resulting in implantation [b]	40.0	15.6	4 / 13	1 / 13	0 / 9
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies [b]	73.9	4 / 11	2 / 6	1 / 4	0 / 2
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births [b,c]	65.2	4 / 11	2 / 6	1 / 4	0 / 2
(Confidence Interval)	(42.7–83.6)				
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births [b,c]	65.2	4 / 11	2 / 5	1 / 4	0 / 2
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	65.2	4 / 11	2 / 5	1 / 3	0 / 2
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births [b]	43.5	3 / 11	0 / 5	1 / 3	0 / 2
Percentage of cancellations [b]	0.0	0 / 11	1 / 6	0 / 4	0 / 2
Average number of embryos transferred	2.2	2.9	2.6	4.3	4.5
Percentage of pregnancies with twins [b]	5 / 17	1 / 4	2 / 2	0 / 1	
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more [b]	0 / 17	0 / 4	0 / 2	0 / 1	
Percentage of live births having multiple infants [b,c]	5 / 15	1 / 4	2 / 2	0 / 1	
Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of transfers	16	8	7	1	1
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	4 / 16	6 / 8	4 / 7	0 / 1	0 / 1
Average number of embryos transferred	2.8	3.0	2.9	4.0	4.0

Donor Eggs	All Ages Combined [e] Fresh Embryos	Frozen Embryos
Number of transfers	3	2
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	3 / 3	0 / 2
Average number of embryos transferred	2.0	2.5

CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

Current Name: Wayne State University Physician Group

Donor egg?	Yes	Gestational carriers?	Yes	SART member?	Yes
Donor embryo?	Yes	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	Yes
Single women?	Yes			*(See Appendix C for details.)*	

[a] Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2009 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.
[b] When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.
[c] A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.
[d] Clinic-specific outcome rates for women older than 44 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs are not included because of small numbers. Readers are urged to review national outcomes for these age groups (see pages 30, 48 & 57).
[e] All ages (including ages >44) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.

HENRY FORD REPRODUCTIVE MEDICINE
TROY, MICHIGAN

A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 81–90.

2009 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART [a]

IVF	100%	**Procedural Factors:**	
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	37%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	0%
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	2%
		Used PGD	2%
		With eSET	10%

Patient Diagnosis

Tubal factor	24%	Other factor	9%
Ovulatory dysfunction	0%	Unknown factor	14%
Diminished ovarian reserve	1%	*Multiple Factors:*	
Endometriosis	1%	Female factors only	8%
Uterine factor	1%	Female & male factors	21%
Male factor	20%		

2009 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by Kenneth Ginsburg, MD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman <35	35–37	38–40	41–42	43–44 [d]
Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of cycles	17	20	10	5	2
Percentage of embryos transferred resulting in implantation [b]	12.5	25.0	0 / 12	1 / 11	
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies [b]	2 / 17	20.0	0 / 10	2 / 5	0 / 2
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births [b,c]	1 / 17	15.0	0 / 10	1 / 5	0 / 2
(Confidence Interval)		(3.2–37.9)			
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births [b,c]	1 / 16	3 / 13	0 / 6	1 / 5	0 / 1
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	1 / 13	3 / 9	0 / 6	1 / 5	
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births [b]	1 / 13	2 / 9	0 / 6	1 / 5	
Percentage of cancellations [b]	1 / 17	35.0	4 / 10	0 / 5	1 / 2
Average number of embryos transferred	1.8	2.2	2.0	2.2	
Percentage of pregnancies with twins [b]	1 / 2	1 / 4		0 / 2	
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more [b]	0 / 2	0 / 4		0 / 2	
Percentage of live births having multiple infants [b,c]	0 / 1	1 / 3		0 / 1	
Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of transfers	14	4	8	1	1
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	7 / 14	1 / 4	2 / 8	1 / 1	0 / 1
Average number of embryos transferred	2.1	1.5	2.4	2.0	2.0

Donor Eggs	All Ages Combined [e] Fresh Embryos	Frozen Embryos
Number of transfers	2	0
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	0 / 2	
Average number of embryos transferred	2.0	

CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

Current Name: This clinic has closed or reorganized since 2009. Information on current clinic services and profile therefore is not provided here. Contact the NASS Help Desk for current information about this clinic.

[a] Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2009 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.
[b] When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.
[c] A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.
[d] Clinic-specific outcome rates for women older than 44 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs are not included because of small numbers. Readers are urged to review national outcomes for these age groups (see pages 30, 48 & 57).
[e] All ages (including ages >44) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.

REPRODUCTIVE MEDICINE ASSOCIATES OF MICHIGAN
TROY, MICHIGAN

A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 81–90.

2009 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART [a]

IVF	100%	**Procedural Factors:**	
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	70%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	0%
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	<1%
		Used PGD	4%
		With eSET	3%

Patient Diagnosis

Tubal factor	6%	Other factor	10%
Ovulatory dysfunction	8%	Unknown factor	13%
Diminished ovarian reserve	6%	*Multiple Factors:*	
Endometriosis	7%	Female factors only	10%
Uterine factor	2%	Female & male factors	15%
Male factor	25%		

2009 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by Brad T. Miller, MD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman <35	35–37	38–40	41–42	43–44 [d]
Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of cycles	137	35	34	7	5
Percentage of embryos transferred resulting in implantation [b]	34.5	34.2	15.3	2 / 18	0 / 3
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies [b]	55.5	51.4	35.3	2 / 7	0 / 5
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births [b,c]	44.5	37.1	26.5	1 / 7	0 / 5
(Confidence Interval)	(36.0–53.3)	(21.5–55.1)	(12.9–44.4)		
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births [b,c]	44.9	38.2	26.5	1 / 6	0 / 3
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	46.2	41.9	29.0	1 / 6	0 / 2
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births [b]	29.5	29.0	29.0	1 / 6	0 / 2
Percentage of cancellations [b]	0.7	2.9	0.0	1 / 7	2 / 5
Average number of embryos transferred	2.2	2.4	2.3	3.0	1.5
Percentage of pregnancies with twins [b]	30.3	6 / 18	0 / 12	0 / 2	
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more [b]	3.9	1 / 18	0 / 12	0 / 2	
Percentage of live births having multiple infants [b,c]	36.1	4 / 13	0 / 9	0 / 1	
Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of transfers	23	6	2	0	1
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	21.7	3 / 6	0 / 2		0 / 1
Average number of embryos transferred	1.9	2.0	2.5		3.0

Donor Eggs	All Ages Combined [e] Fresh Embryos	Frozen Embryos
Number of transfers	32	13
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	43.8	3 / 13
Average number of embryos transferred	2.3	1.9

CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

Current Name: Reproductive Medicine Associates of Michigan

Donor egg?	Yes	Gestational carriers?	Yes	SART member?	Yes
Donor embryo?	Yes	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	Yes
Single women?	Yes			*(See Appendix C for details.)*	

[a] Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2009 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.
[b] When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.
[c] A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.
[d] Clinic-specific outcome rates for women older than 44 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs are not included because of small numbers. Readers are urged to review national outcomes for these age groups (see pages 30, 48 & 57).
[e] All ages (including ages >44) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.

MICHIGAN CENTER FOR FERTILITY AND WOMEN'S HEALTH, PLC
WARREN, MICHIGAN

A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 81–90.

2009 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART [a]

IVF	100%	**Procedural Factors:**	
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	96%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	0%
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	0%
		Used PGD	4%
		With eSET	3%

Patient Diagnosis

Tubal factor	7%	Other factor	2%
Ovulatory dysfunction	2%	Unknown factor	13%
Diminished ovarian reserve	18%	*Multiple Factors:*	
Endometriosis	5%	Female factors only	16%
Uterine factor	3%	Female & male factors	17%
Male factor	18%		

2009 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by Carole L. Kowalczyk, MD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman <35	35–37	38–40	41–42	43–44 [d]
Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of cycles	63	32	34	7	1
Percentage of embryos transferred resulting in implantation [b]	35.7	21.3	8.3	2 / 17	0 / 3
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies [b]	52.4	43.8	26.5	1 / 7	0 / 1
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births [b,c]	50.8	37.5	20.6	1 / 7	0 / 1
(Confidence Interval)	(37.9–63.6)	(21.1–56.3)	(8.7–37.9)		
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births [b,c]	53.3	37.5	20.6	1 / 7	0 / 1
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	53.3	40.0	20.6	1 / 7	0 / 1
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births [b]	35.0	33.3	20.6	0 / 7	0 / 1
Percentage of cancellations [b]	4.8	0.0	0.0	0 / 7	0 / 1
Average number of embryos transferred	2.1	2.5	2.8	2.4	3.0
Percentage of pregnancies with twins [b]	30.3	0 / 14	0 / 9	1 / 1	
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more [b]	3.0	2 / 14	0 / 9	0 / 1	
Percentage of live births having multiple infants [b,c]	34.4	2 / 12	0 / 7	1 / 1	
Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of transfers	9	6	5	1	2
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	4 / 9	3 / 6	0 / 5	0 / 1	0 / 2
Average number of embryos transferred	1.8	2.0	2.0	2.0	1.5

Donor Eggs	All Ages Combined [e] Fresh Embryos	Frozen Embryos
Number of transfers	17	8
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	8 / 17	3 / 8
Average number of embryos transferred	2.1	1.6

CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

Current Name: Michigan Center for Fertility and Women's Health, PLC

Donor egg?	Yes	Gestational carriers?	Yes	SART member?	Yes
Donor embryo?	Yes	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	Pending
Single women?	Yes			*(See Appendix C for details.)*	

[a] Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2009 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.
[b] When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.
[c] A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.
[d] Clinic-specific outcome rates for women older than 44 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs are not included because of small numbers. Readers are urged to review national outcomes for these age groups (see pages 30, 48 & 57).
[e] All ages (including ages >44) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.

THE MIDWEST CENTER FOR REPRODUCTIVE HEALTH, PA
MAPLE GROVE, MINNESOTA

A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 81–90.

2009 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART [a]

IVF	100%	**Procedural Factors:**	
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	39%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	0%
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	0%
		Used PGD	0%
		With eSET	0%

Patient Diagnosis

Tubal factor	6%	Other factor	1%
Ovulatory dysfunction	21%	Unknown factor	6%
Diminished ovarian reserve	5%	*Multiple Factors:*	
Endometriosis	5%	Female factors only	10%
Uterine factor	0%	Female & male factors	27%
Male factor	18%		

2009 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by Randle S. Corfman, MD, PhD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman <35	35–37	38–40	41–42	43–44[d]
Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of cycles	72	24	15	6	0
Percentage of embryos transferred resulting in implantation[b]	42.7	34.1	29.6	2 / 10	
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies[b]	55.6	50.0	6 / 15	2 / 6	
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births[b,c]	52.8	37.5	5 / 15	1 / 6	
(Confidence Interval)	(40.7–64.7)	(18.8–59.4)			
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births[b,c]	55.9	40.9	5 / 13	1 / 5	
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births[b,c]	55.9	40.9	5 / 13	1 / 5	
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births[b]	33.8	27.3	3 / 13	1 / 5	
Percentage of cancellations[b]	5.6	8.3	2 / 15	1 / 6	
Average number of embryos transferred	1.9	2.0	2.1	2.0	
Percentage of pregnancies with twins[b]	37.5	2 / 12	2 / 6	0 / 2	
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more[b]	2.5	1 / 12	0 / 6	0 / 2	
Percentage of live births having multiple infants[b,c]	39.5	3 / 9	2 / 5	0 / 1	
Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of transfers	38	10	8	3	0
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births[b,c]	26.3	4 / 10	2 / 8	3 / 3	
Average number of embryos transferred	1.7	1.7	1.8	1.7	

Donor Eggs	All Ages Combined[e] Fresh Embryos	Frozen Embryos
Number of transfers	17	17
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births[b,c]	12 / 17	1 / 17
Average number of embryos transferred	1.9	1.6

CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

Current Name: The Midwest Center for Reproductive Health, PA

Donor egg?	Yes	Gestational carriers?	Yes	SART member?	Yes
Donor embryo?	Yes	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	Yes
Single women?	Yes			*(See Appendix C for details.)*	

[a] Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2009 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.
[b] When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.
[c] A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.
[d] Clinic-specific outcome rates for women older than 44 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs are not included because of small numbers. Readers are urged to review national outcomes for these age groups (see pages 30, 48 & 57).
[e] All ages (including ages >44) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.

CENTER FOR REPRODUCTIVE MEDICINE
ADVANCED REPRODUCTIVE TECHNOLOGIES
MINNEAPOLIS, MINNESOTA

A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 81–90.

2009 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART [a]

IVF	100%	**Procedural Factors:**	
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	59%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	0%
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	<1%
		Used PGD	2%
		With eSET	7%

Patient Diagnosis

Tubal factor	8%	Other factor	2%
Ovulatory dysfunction	8%	Unknown factor	13%
Diminished ovarian reserve	18%	*Multiple Factors:*	
Endometriosis	6%	Female factors only	7%
Uterine factor	1%	Female & male factors	15%
Male factor	22%		

2009 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by Bruce F. Campbell, MD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman <35	35–37	38–40	41–42	43–44 [d]
Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of cycles	309	126	129	38	12
Percentage of embryos transferred resulting in implantation [b]	50.2	42.5	35.1	22.2	0.0
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies [b]	57.6	53.2	47.3	28.9	1 / 12
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births [b,c]	52.1	45.2	40.3	13.2	0 / 12
(Confidence Interval)	(46.4–57.8)	(36.4–54.3)	(31.8–49.3)	(4.4–28.1)	
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births [b,c]	56.5	47.5	46.8	18.5	0 / 12
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	58.8	48.7	48.1	20.8	0 / 9
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births [b]	33.2	33.3	33.3	16.7	0 / 9
Percentage of cancellations [b]	7.8	4.8	14.0	28.9	0 / 12
Average number of embryos transferred	1.8	1.8	2.1	2.6	2.8
Percentage of pregnancies with twins [b]	41.6	34.3	29.5	3 / 11	0 / 1
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more [b]	1.7	1.5	1.6	0 / 11	0 / 1
Percentage of live births having multiple infants [b,c]	43.5	31.6	30.8	1 / 5	
Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of transfers	36	17	16	3	1
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	41.7	7 / 17	2 / 16	0 / 3	0 / 1
Average number of embryos transferred	1.6	1.8	1.8	2.0	2.0

Donor Eggs	All Ages Combined [e] Fresh Embryos	Frozen Embryos
Number of transfers	90	30
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	64.4	53.3
Average number of embryos transferred	1.9	1.9

CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

Current Name: Center for Reproductive Medicine, Advanced Reproductive Technologies

Donor egg?	Yes	Gestational carriers?	Yes	SART member?	Yes
Donor embryo?	Yes	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	Yes
Single women?	Yes			*(See Appendix C for details.)*	

[a] Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2009 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.
[b] When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.
[c] A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.
[d] Clinic-specific outcome rates for women older than 44 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs are not included because of small numbers. Readers are urged to review national outcomes for these age groups (see pages 30, 48 & 57).
[e] All ages (including ages >44) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.

REPRODUCTIVE MEDICINE CENTER
MINNEAPOLIS, MINNESOTA

A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 81–90.

2009 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART [a]

IVF	100%	**Procedural Factors:**	
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	81%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	0%
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	0%
		Used PGD	0%
		With eSET	2%

Patient Diagnosis

Tubal factor	8%	Other factor	2%
Ovulatory dysfunction	7%	Unknown factor	6%
Diminished ovarian reserve	5%	*Multiple Factors:*	
Endometriosis	4%	Female factors only	10%
Uterine factor	2%	Female & male factors	32%
Male factor	25%		

2009 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by Mark A. Damario, MD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman <35	35–37	38–40	41–42	43–44[d]
Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of cycles	187	65	64	17	17
Percentage of embryos transferred resulting in implantation [b]	38.2	22.1	18.4	16.1	2.9
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies [b]	46.5	35.4	31.3	4 / 17	2 / 17
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births [b,c]	40.1	24.6	26.6	3 / 17	1 / 17
(Confidence Interval)	(33.0–47.5)	(14.8–36.9)	(16.3–39.1)		
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births [b,c]	44.4	27.6	29.8	3 / 14	1 / 14
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	46.3	29.1	30.9	3 / 12	1 / 13
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births [b]	30.2	21.8	25.5	2 / 12	1 / 13
Percentage of cancellations [b]	9.6	10.8	10.9	3 / 17	3 / 17
Average number of embryos transferred	1.9	2.2	2.6	2.6	2.6
Percentage of pregnancies with twins [b]	35.6	26.1	20.0	1 / 4	0 / 2
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more [b]	2.3	0.0	5.0	0 / 4	0 / 2
Percentage of live births having multiple infants [b,c]	34.7	4 / 16	3 / 17	1 / 3	0 / 1
Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of transfers	15	4	8	0	1
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	3 / 15	1 / 4	2 / 8		0 / 1
Average number of embryos transferred	1.7	2.0	2.0		1.0

Donor Eggs	All Ages Combined [e] Fresh Embryos	Frozen Embryos
Number of transfers	7	5
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	2 / 7	3 / 5
Average number of embryos transferred	2.0	1.8

CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

Current Name: Reproductive Medicine Center

Donor egg?	Yes	Gestational carriers?	Yes	SART member?	Yes
Donor embryo?	No	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	Yes
Single women?	Yes			*(See Appendix C for details.)*	

[a] Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2009 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.
[b] When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.
[c] A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.
[d] Clinic-specific outcome rates for women older than 44 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs are not included because of small numbers. Readers are urged to review national outcomes for these age groups (see pages 30, 48 & 57).
[e] All ages (including ages >44) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.

MAYO CLINIC ASSISTED REPRODUCTIVE TECHNOLOGIES
ROCHESTER, MINNESOTA

A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 81–90.

2009 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART [a]

IVF	100%	**Procedural Factors:**	
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	65%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	0%
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	0%
		Used PGD	<1%
		With eSET	4%

Patient Diagnosis

Tubal factor	6%	Other factor	4%
Ovulatory dysfunction	7%	Unknown factor	11%
Diminished ovarian reserve	12%	*Multiple Factors:*	
Endometriosis	1%	Female factors only	4%
Uterine factor	0%	Female & male factors	32%
Male factor	23%		

2009 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by Charles C. Coddington, MD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman <35	35–37	38–40	41–42	43–44 [d]
Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of cycles	84	24	26	10	8
Percentage of embryos transferred resulting in implantation [b]	28.1	25.0	8.0	0.0	0 / 15
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies [b]	38.1	41.7	23.1	0 / 10	0 / 8
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births [b,c]	32.1	25.0	15.4	0 / 10	0 / 8
(Confidence Interval)	(22.4–43.2)	(9.8–46.7)	(4.4–34.9)		
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births [b,c]	33.3	27.3	4 / 18	0 / 9	0 / 4
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	38.0	28.6	4 / 18	0 / 8	0 / 4
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births [b]	28.2	14.3	4 / 18	0 / 8	0 / 4
Percentage of cancellations [b]	3.6	8.3	30.8	1 / 10	4 / 8
Average number of embryos transferred	2.0	2.3	2.8	3.4	3.8
Percentage of pregnancies with twins [b]	28.1	2 / 10	0 / 6		
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more [b]	0.0	1 / 10	0 / 6		
Percentage of live births having multiple infants [b,c]	25.9	3 / 6	0 / 4		
Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of transfers	82	20	7	7	1
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	25.6	30.0	2 / 7	1 / 7	0 / 1
Average number of embryos transferred	2.0	1.9	2.6	2.3	4.0

Donor Eggs	All Ages Combined [e] Fresh Embryos	Frozen Embryos
Number of transfers	4	24
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	1 / 4	37.5
Average number of embryos transferred	2.0	2.0

CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

Current Name: Mayo Clinic Assisted Reproductive Technologies

Donor egg?	Yes	Gestational carriers?	Yes	SART member?	Yes
Donor embryo?	No	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	Yes
Single women?	Yes			*(See Appendix C for details.)*	

[a] Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2009 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.
[b] When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.
[c] A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.
[d] Clinic-specific outcome rates for women older than 44 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs are not included because of small numbers. Readers are urged to review national outcomes for these age groups (see pages 30, 48 & 57).
[e] All ages (including ages >44) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.

REPRODUCTIVE MEDICINE & INFERTILITY ASSOCIATES
WOODBURY, MINNESOTA

A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 81–90.

2009 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART [a]

IVF	100%	**Procedural Factors:**	
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	95%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	0%
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	2%
		Used PGD	1%
		With eSET	4%

Patient Diagnosis

Tubal factor	4%	Other factor	7%
Ovulatory dysfunction	5%	Unknown factor	3%
Diminished ovarian reserve	3%	*Multiple Factors:*	
Endometriosis	5%	Female factors only	8%
Uterine factor	<1%	Female & male factors	40%
Male factor	25%		

2009 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by Jacques P. Stassart, MD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman <35	35–37	38–40	41–42	43–44[d]
Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of cycles	193	73	63	19	0
Percentage of embryos transferred resulting in implantation[b]	39.3	30.4	12.1	8.1	
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies[b]	54.4	45.2	20.6	6 / 19	
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births[b,c]	50.3	37.0	19.0	2 / 19	
(Confidence Interval)	(43.0–57.5)	(26.0–49.1)	(10.2–30.9)		
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births[b,c]	50.5	39.1	19.7	2 / 16	
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births[b,c]	51.9	40.9	20.3	2 / 13	
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births[b]	33.7	30.3	15.3	2 / 13	
Percentage of cancellations[b]	0.5	5.5	3.2	3 / 19	
Average number of embryos transferred	1.9	2.1	2.5	2.8	
Percentage of pregnancies with twins[b]	35.2	27.3	2 / 13	0 / 6	
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more[b]	1.9	6.1	2 / 13	0 / 6	
Percentage of live births having multiple infants[b,c]	35.1	25.9	3 / 12	0 / 2	
Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of transfers	63	24	23	3	1
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births[b,c]	33.3	25.0	30.4	1 / 3	0 / 1
Average number of embryos transferred	1.6	1.5	2.0	1.7	2.0

Donor Eggs	All Ages Combined[e] Fresh Embryos	Frozen Embryos
Number of transfers	67	16
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births[b,c]	43.3	3 / 16
Average number of embryos transferred	2.0	1.8

CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

Current Name: Reproductive Medicine & Infertility Associates

Donor egg?	Yes	Gestational carriers?	Yes	SART member?	Yes
Donor embryo?	Yes	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	Yes
Single women?	Yes			*(See Appendix C for details.)*	

[a] Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2009 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.
[b] When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.
[c] A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.
[d] Clinic-specific outcome rates for women older than 44 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs are not included because of small numbers. Readers are urged to review national outcomes for these age groups (see pages 30, 48 & 57).
[e] All ages (including ages >44) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.

MISSISSIPPI FERTILITY INSTITUTE
JACKSON, MISSISSIPPI

A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 81–90.

2009 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART [a]

IVF	100%	**Procedural Factors:**	
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	71%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	0%
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	<1%
		Used PGD	0%
		With eSET	0%

Patient Diagnosis

Tubal factor	16%	Other factor	<1%
Ovulatory dysfunction	3%	Unknown factor	20%
Diminished ovarian reserve	6%	*Multiple Factors:*	
Endometriosis	16%	Female factors only	6%
Uterine factor	3%	Female & male factors	10%
Male factor	20%		

2009 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by John D. Isaacs, MD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman <35	35–37	38–40	41–42	43–44 [d]
Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of cycles	80	18	17	7	4
Percentage of embryos transferred resulting in implantation [b]	28.7	22.9	29.3	2 / 3	0 / 8
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies [b]	38.8	7 / 18	8 / 17	1 / 7	0 / 4
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births [b,c]	35.0	6 / 18	6 / 17	0 / 7	0 / 4
(Confidence Interval)	(24.7–46.5)				
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births [b,c]	38.9	6 / 17	6 / 17	0 / 4	0 / 3
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	43.1	6 / 16	6 / 15	0 / 3	0 / 3
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births [b]	29.2	5 / 16	4 / 15	0 / 3	0 / 3
Percentage of cancellations [b]	10.0	1 / 18	0 / 17	3 / 7	1 / 4
Average number of embryos transferred	2.2	2.2	2.7	1.0	2.7
Percentage of pregnancies with twins [b]	32.3	1 / 7	2 / 8	1 / 1	
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more [b]	0.0	0 / 7	1 / 8	0 / 1	
Percentage of live births having multiple infants [b,c]	32.1	1 / 6	2 / 6		
Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of transfers	16	4	2	1	0
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	6 / 16	1 / 4	1 / 2	0 / 1	
Average number of embryos transferred	1.7	1.3	1.5	2.0	

Donor Eggs	All Ages Combined [e] Fresh Embryos	Frozen Embryos
Number of transfers	6	4
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	4 / 6	1 / 4
Average number of embryos transferred	2.0	2.0

CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

Current Name: Mississippi Fertility Institute

Donor egg?	Yes	Gestational carriers?	Yes	SART member?	No
Donor embryo?	Yes	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	Yes
Single women?	No			*(See Appendix C for details.)*	

[a] Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2009 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.
[b] When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.
[c] A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.
[d] Clinic-specific outcome rates for women older than 44 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs are not included because of small numbers. Readers are urged to review national outcomes for these age groups (see pages 30, 48 & 57).
[e] All ages (including ages >44) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.

UNIVERSITY OF MISSISSIPPI MEDICAL CENTER
JACKSON, MISSISSIPPI

A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 81–90.

2009 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART [a]

IVF	100%	**Procedural Factors:**	
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	89%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	0%
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	0%
		Used PGD	0%
		With eSET	0%

Patient Diagnosis

Tubal factor	10%	Other factor	3%
Ovulatory dysfunction	10%	Unknown factor	4%
Diminished ovarian reserve	6%	*Multiple Factors:*	
Endometriosis	18%	Female factors only	22%
Uterine factor	3%	Female & male factors	16%
Male factor	9%		

2009 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by Randall S. Hines, MD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman <35	35–37	38–40	41–42	43–44 [d]
Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of cycles	53	13	8	1	0
Percentage of embryos transferred resulting in implantation [b]	10.0	5.0	1 / 14	0 / 1	
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies [b]	18.9	1 / 13	1 / 8	0 / 1	
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births [b,c]	9.4	1 / 13	1 / 8	0 / 1	
(Confidence Interval)	(3.1–20.7)				
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births [b,c]	10.2	1 / 12	1 / 7	0 / 1	
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	11.4	1 / 11	1 / 7	0 / 1	
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births [b]	4.5	1 / 11	1 / 7	0 / 1	
Percentage of cancellations [b]	7.5	1 / 13	1 / 8	0 / 1	
Average number of embryos transferred	2.0	1.8	2.0	1.0	
Percentage of pregnancies with twins [b]	3 / 10	0 / 1	0 / 1		
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more [b]	0 / 10	0 / 1	0 / 1		
Percentage of live births having multiple infants [b,c]	3 / 5	0 / 1	0 / 1		
Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of transfers	8	3	0	0	0
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	1 / 8	1 / 3			
Average number of embryos transferred	1.5	1.7			

Donor Eggs	All Ages Combined [e] Fresh Embryos	Frozen Embryos
Number of transfers	14	4
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	7 / 14	0 / 4
Average number of embryos transferred	1.9	1.8

CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

Current Name: This clinic has closed or reorganized since 2009. Information on current clinic services and profile therefore is not provided here. Contact the NASS Help Desk for current information about this clinic.

[a] Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2009 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.
[b] When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.
[c] A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.
[d] Clinic-specific outcome rates for women older than 44 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs are not included because of small numbers. Readers are urged to review national outcomes for these age groups (see pages 30, 48 & 57).
[e] All ages (including ages >44) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.

MID-MISSOURI REPRODUCTIVE MEDICINE AND SURGERY, INC.
COLUMBIA, MISSOURI

A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 81–90.

2009 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART [a]

IVF	100%	**Procedural Factors:**	
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	67%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	0%
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	1%
		Used PGD	0%
		With eSET	4%

Patient Diagnosis

Tubal factor	<1%	Other factor	3%
Ovulatory dysfunction	5%	Unknown factor	2%
Diminished ovarian reserve	4%	*Multiple Factors:*	
Endometriosis	2%	Female factors only	12%
Uterine factor	0%	Female & male factors	60%
Male factor	12%		

2009 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by Steven A. Brody, MD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman <35	35–37	38–40	41–42	43–44[d]
Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of cycles	48	12	7	5	3
Percentage of embryos transferred resulting in implantation[b]	36.6	35.0	5 / 9	3 / 18	4 / 13
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies[b]	52.1	5 / 12	4 / 7	2 / 5	1 / 3
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births[b,c]	47.9	5 / 12	4 / 7	1 / 5	1 / 3
(Confidence Interval)	(33.3–62.8)				
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births[b,c]	48.9	5 / 11	4 / 4	1 / 5	1 / 3
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births[b,c]	48.9	5 / 11	4 / 4	1 / 5	1 / 3
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births[b]	23.4	3 / 11	3 / 4	0 / 5	0 / 3
Percentage of cancellations[b]	2.1	1 / 12	3 / 7	0 / 5	0 / 3
Average number of embryos transferred	2.1	1.8	2.3	3.6	4.3
Percentage of pregnancies with twins[b]	44.0	2 / 5	1 / 4	1 / 2	0 / 1
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more[b]	4.0	0 / 5	0 / 4	0 / 2	1 / 1
Percentage of live births having multiple infants[b,c]	52.2	2 / 5	1 / 4	1 / 1	1 / 1
Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of transfers	14	7	4	2	0
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births[b,c]	2 / 14	2 / 7	0 / 4	0 / 2	
Average number of embryos transferred	2.4	2.6	2.0	1.0	

Donor Eggs	All Ages Combined[e] Fresh Embryos	Frozen Embryos
Number of transfers	10	2
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births[b,c]	6 / 10	0 / 2
Average number of embryos transferred	2.0	2.0

CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

Current Name: Mid-Missouri Reproductive Medicine and Surgery, Inc.

Donor egg?	Yes	Gestational carriers?	Yes	SART member?	Yes
Donor embryo?	Yes	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	Yes
Single women?	Yes			*(See Appendix C for details.)*	

[a] Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2009 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.
[b] When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.
[c] A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.
[d] Clinic-specific outcome rates for women older than 44 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs are not included because of small numbers. Readers are urged to review national outcomes for these age groups (see pages 30, 48 & 57).
[e] All ages (including ages >44) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.

MISSOURI CENTER FOR REPRODUCTIVE MEDICINE AND FERTILITY
UNIVERSITY OF MISSOURI
COLUMBIA, MISSOURI

A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 81–90.

2009 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART [a]

IVF	100%	**Procedural Factors:**	
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	24%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	0%
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	0%
		Used PGD	0%
		With eSET	0%

Patient Diagnosis

Tubal factor	26%	Other factor	0%
Ovulatory dysfunction	0%	Unknown factor	13%
Diminished ovarian reserve	0%	*Multiple Factors:*	
Endometriosis	0%	Female factors only	35%
Uterine factor	0%	Female & male factors	4%
Male factor	22%		

2009 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by Danny J. Schust, MD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman <35	35–37	38–40	41–42	43–44 [d]
Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of cycles	9	9	2	0	0
Percentage of embryos transferred resulting in implantation [b]	0 / 12	2 / 8			
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies [b]	0 / 9	1 / 9	0 / 2		
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births [b,c] (Confidence Interval)	0 / 9	1 / 9	0 / 2		
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births [b,c]	0 / 7	1 / 8	0 / 2		
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	0 / 5	1 / 6			
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births [b]	0 / 5	1 / 6			
Percentage of cancellations [b]	2 / 9	1 / 9	0 / 2		
Average number of embryos transferred	2.4	1.3			
Percentage of pregnancies with twins [b]		1 / 1			
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more [b]		0 / 1			
Percentage of live births having multiple infants [b,c]		0 / 1			
Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of transfers	2	0	0	0	0
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	0 / 2				
Average number of embryos transferred	2.0				

Donor Eggs	All Ages Combined [e] Fresh Embryos	Frozen Embryos
Number of transfers	0	0
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]		
Average number of embryos transferred		

CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

Current Name: Missouri Center for Reproductive Medicine and Fertility, University of Missouri

Donor egg?	No	Gestational carriers?	No	SART member?	Yes
Donor embryo?	Yes	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	Yes
Single women?	Yes			*(See Appendix C for details.)*	

[a] Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2009 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.
[b] When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.
[c] A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.
[d] Clinic-specific outcome rates for women older than 44 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs are not included because of small numbers. Readers are urged to review national outcomes for these age groups (see pages 30, 48 & 57).
[e] All ages (including ages >44) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.

MIDWEST WOMEN'S HEALTHCARE
KANSAS CITY, MISSOURI

A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 81–90.

2009 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART [a]

IVF	100%	**Procedural Factors:**	
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	79%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	0%
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	0%
		Used PGD	0%
		With eSET	3%

Patient Diagnosis

Tubal factor	4%	Other factor	0%
Ovulatory dysfunction	3%	Unknown factor	0%
Diminished ovarian reserve	4%	*Multiple Factors:*	
Endometriosis	9%	Female factors only	34%
Uterine factor	0%	Female & male factors	35%
Male factor	11%		

2009 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by Gregory C. Starks, MD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman <35	35–37	38–40	41–42	43–44 [d]
Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of cycles	40	7	6	0	0
Percentage of embryos transferred resulting in implantation [b]	50.8	3 / 10	2 / 9		
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies [b]	60.0	4 / 7	1 / 6		
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births [b,c]	55.0	3 / 7	1 / 6		
(Confidence Interval)	(38.5–70.7)				
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births [b,c]	68.8	3 / 5	1 / 5		
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	68.8	3 / 5	1 / 5		
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births [b]	43.8	3 / 5	0 / 5		
Percentage of cancellations [b]	20.0	2 / 7	1 / 6		
Average number of embryos transferred	1.9	2.0	1.8		
Percentage of pregnancies with twins [b]	33.3	0 / 4	1 / 1		
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more [b]	0.0	0 / 4	0 / 1		
Percentage of live births having multiple infants [b,c]	36.4	0 / 3	1 / 1		
Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of transfers	6	4	3	1	1
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	3 / 6	2 / 4	2 / 3	0 / 1	0 / 1
Average number of embryos transferred	1.8	1.8	1.7	2.0	2.0

Donor Eggs	All Ages Combined [e] Fresh Embryos	Frozen Embryos
Number of transfers	0	1
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]		0 / 1
Average number of embryos transferred		1.0

CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

Current Name: This clinic has closed or reorganized since 2009. Information on current clinic services and profile therefore is not provided here. Contact the NASS Help Desk for current information about this clinic.

[a] Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2009 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.
[b] When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.
[c] A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.
[d] Clinic-specific outcome rates for women older than 44 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs are not included because of small numbers. Readers are urged to review national outcomes for these age groups (see pages 30, 48 & 57).
[e] All ages (including ages >44) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.

FERTILITY PARTNERSHIP
SAINT PETERS, MISSOURI

A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 81–90.

2009 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART [a]

IVF	100%	**Procedural Factors:**	
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	100%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	0%
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	0%
		Used PGD	0%
		With eSET	0%

Patient Diagnosis

Tubal factor	0%	Other factor	0%
Ovulatory dysfunction	67%	Unknown factor	0%
Diminished ovarian reserve	33%	*Multiple Factors:*	
Endometriosis	0%	Female factors only	0%
Uterine factor	0%	Female & male factors	0%
Male factor	0%		

2009 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by David E. Simckes, MD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman <35	35–37	38–40	41–42	43–44 [d]
Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of cycles	1	0	1	0	0
Percentage of embryos transferred resulting in implantation [b]	1 / 2		0 / 3		
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies [b]	1 / 1		0 / 1		
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births [b,c] (Confidence Interval)	0 / 1		0 / 1		
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births [b,c]	0 / 1		0 / 1		
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	0 / 1		0 / 1		
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births [b]	0 / 1		0 / 1		
Percentage of cancellations [b]	0 / 1		0 / 1		
Average number of embryos transferred	2.0		3.0		
Percentage of pregnancies with twins [b]	0 / 1				
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more [b]	0 / 1				
Percentage of live births having multiple infants [b,c]					
Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of transfers	0	0	0	0	0
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]					
Average number of embryos transferred					

Donor Eggs	All Ages Combined [e] Fresh Embryos	Frozen Embryos
Number of transfers	1	0
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	0 / 1	
Average number of embryos transferred	2.0	

CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

Current Name: Fertility Partnership

Donor egg?	Yes	Gestational carriers?	Yes	SART member?	No
Donor embryo?	Yes	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	No
Single women?	Yes			*(See Appendix C for details.)*	

[a] Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2009 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.
[b] When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.
[c] A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.
[d] Clinic-specific outcome rates for women older than 44 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs are not included because of small numbers. Readers are urged to review national outcomes for these age groups (see pages 30, 48 & 57).
[e] All ages (including ages >44) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.

THE FERTILITY CENTER AT MISSOURI BAPTIST MEDICAL CENTER
ST. LOUIS, MISSOURI

A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 81–90.

2009 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART [a]

IVF	100%	**Procedural Factors:**	
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	76%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	0%
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	0%
		Used PGD	3%
		With eSET	1%

Patient Diagnosis

Tubal factor	2%	Other factor	0%
Ovulatory dysfunction	4%	Unknown factor	0%
Diminished ovarian reserve	20%	*Multiple Factors:*	
Endometriosis	<1%	Female factors only	15%
Uterine factor	0%	Female & male factors	46%
Male factor	13%		

2009 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by Anthony C. Pearlstone, MD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman <35	35–37	38–40	41–42	43–44 [d]
Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of cycles	43	27	13	8	3
Percentage of embryos transferred resulting in implantation [b]	54.2	34.0	14.3	4.2	0 / 11
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies [b]	60.5	44.4	5 / 13	1 / 8	0 / 3
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births [b,c]	46.5	29.6	4 / 13	1 / 8	0 / 3
(Confidence Interval)	(31.2–62.3)	(13.8–50.2)			
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births [b,c]	52.6	36.4	4 / 12	1 / 7	0 / 2
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	55.6	40.0	4 / 11	1 / 7	0 / 2
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births [b]	33.3	35.0	4 / 11	1 / 7	0 / 2
Percentage of cancellations [b]	11.6	18.5	1 / 13	1 / 8	1 / 3
Average number of embryos transferred	2.0	2.5	3.2	3.4	5.5
Percentage of pregnancies with twins [b]	46.2	3 / 12	0 / 5	0 / 1	
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more [b]	3.8	1 / 12	0 / 5	0 / 1	
Percentage of live births having multiple infants [b,c]	40.0	1 / 8	0 / 4	0 / 1	
Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of transfers	4	1	2	0	0
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	0 / 4	0 / 1	0 / 2		
Average number of embryos transferred	2.5	2.0	2.5		

Donor Eggs	All Ages Combined [e] Fresh Embryos	Frozen Embryos
Number of transfers	9	6
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	7 / 9	1 / 6
Average number of embryos transferred	2.0	2.3

CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

Current Name: The Fertility Center at Missouri Baptist Medical Center

Donor egg?	Yes	Gestational carriers?	No	SART member?	Yes
Donor embryo?	No	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	Yes
Single women?	Yes			*(See Appendix C for details.)*	

[a] Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2009 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.
[b] When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.
[c] A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.
[d] Clinic-specific outcome rates for women older than 44 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs are not included because of small numbers. Readers are urged to review national outcomes for these age groups (see pages 30, 48 & 57).
[e] All ages (including ages >44) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.

THE INFERTILITY AND REPRODUCTIVE MEDICINE CENTER AT WASHINGTON UNIVERSITY SCHOOL OF MEDICINE AND BARNES-JEWISH HOSPITAL ST. LOUIS, MISSOURI

A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 81–90.

2009 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART [a]

IVF	100%	**Procedural Factors:**	
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	56%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	0%
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	<1%
		Used PGD	2%
		With eSET	0%

Patient Diagnosis

Tubal factor	15%	Other factor	3%
Ovulatory dysfunction	9%	Unknown factor	13%
Diminished ovarian reserve	18%	*Multiple Factors:*	
Endometriosis	7%	Female factors only	9%
Uterine factor	<1%	Female & male factors	11%
Male factor	15%		

2009 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by Randall R. Odem, MD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman <35	35–37	38–40	41–42	43–44 [d]
Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of cycles	211	72	70	23	5
Percentage of embryos transferred resulting in implantation [b]	36.7	23.2	15.4	6.7	0 / 11
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies [b]	45.5	33.3	31.4	13.0	0 / 5
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births [b,c]	37.4	26.4	22.9	8.7	0 / 5
(Confidence Interval)	(30.9–44.3)	(16.7–38.1)	(13.7–34.4)	(1.1–28.0)	
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births [b,c]	43.6	33.3	28.1	2 / 14	0 / 3
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	46.7	33.9	28.6	2 / 13	0 / 2
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births [b]	30.8	21.4	19.6	2 / 13	0 / 2
Percentage of cancellations [b]	14.2	20.8	18.6	39.1	2 / 5
Average number of embryos transferred	2.1	2.7	3.0	3.5	5.5
Percentage of pregnancies with twins [b]	38.5	33.3	22.7	0 / 3	
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more [b]	2.1	8.3	4.5	0 / 3	
Percentage of live births having multiple infants [b,c]	34.2	7 / 19	5 / 16	0 / 2	
Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of transfers	25	6	5	2	0
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	28.0	2 / 6	2 / 5	1 / 2	
Average number of embryos transferred	2.0	2.7	1.8	2.5	

Donor Eggs	All Ages Combined [e] Fresh Embryos	Frozen Embryos
Number of transfers	24	4
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	62.5	0 / 4
Average number of embryos transferred	2.1	3.3

CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

Current Name: The Infertility and Reproductive Medicine Center at Washington University School of Medicine and Barnes-Jewish Hospital

Donor egg?	Yes	Gestational carriers?	Yes	SART member?	Yes
Donor embryo?	No	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	Yes
Single women?	Yes			*(See Appendix C for details.)*	

[a] Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2009 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.
[b] When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.
[c] A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.
[d] Clinic-specific outcome rates for women older than 44 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs are not included because of small numbers. Readers are urged to review national outcomes for these age groups (see pages 30, 48 & 57).
[e] All ages (including ages >44) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.

INFERTILITY CENTER OF ST. LOUIS
ST. LUKE'S HOSPITAL
ST. LOUIS, MISSOURI

A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 81–90.

2009 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART [a]

IVF	98%	**Procedural Factors:**	
GIFT	1%	With ICSI	92%
ZIFT	<1%	Unstimulated	0%
Combination	<1%	Used gestational carrier	1%
		Used PGD	3%
		With eSET	2%

Patient Diagnosis

Tubal factor	3%	Other factor	5%
Ovulatory dysfunction	12%	Unknown factor	9%
Diminished ovarian reserve	27%	*Multiple Factors:*	
Endometriosis	<1%	Female factors only	7%
Uterine factor	3%	Female & male factors	8%
Male factor	24%		

2009 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by Sherman J. Silber, MD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman <35	35–37	38–40	41–42	43–44 [d]
Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of cycles	97	35	24	7	1
Percentage of embryos transferred resulting in implantation [b]	22.0	12.5	7.8	0 / 8	
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies [b]	35.1	20.0	20.8	0 / 7	0 / 1
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births [b,c]	32.0	17.1	8.3	0 / 7	0 / 1
(Confidence Interval)	(22.9–42.2)	(6.6–33.6)	(1.0–27.0)		
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births [b,c]	33.7	17.6	9.1	0 / 7	0 / 1
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	34.4	20.0	10.0	0 / 3	
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births [b]	26.7	16.7	10.0	0 / 3	
Percentage of cancellations [b]	5.2	2.9	8.3	0 / 7	0 / 1
Average number of embryos transferred	2.1	2.1	2.6	2.7	
Percentage of pregnancies with twins [b]	23.5	1 / 7	0 / 5		
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more [b]	0.0	0 / 7	0 / 5		
Percentage of live births having multiple infants [b,c]	22.6	1 / 6	0 / 2		
Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of transfers	72	32	31	12	10
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	27.8	18.8	22.6	4 / 12	1 / 10
Average number of embryos transferred	1.9	2.0	2.3	2.6	2.6

Donor Eggs	All Ages Combined [e] Fresh Embryos	Frozen Embryos
Number of transfers	15	12
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	8 / 15	4 / 12
Average number of embryos transferred	2.1	1.8

CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

Current Name: Infertility Center of St. Louis, St. Luke's Hospital

Donor egg?	Yes	Gestational carriers?	Yes	SART member?	No
Donor embryo?	Yes	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	Yes
Single women?	Yes			*(See Appendix C for details.)*	

[a] Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2009 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.
[b] When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.
[c] A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.
[d] Clinic-specific outcome rates for women older than 44 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs are not included because of small numbers. Readers are urged to review national outcomes for these age groups (see pages 30, 48 & 57).
[e] All ages (including ages >44) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.

HEARTLAND CENTER FOR REPRODUCTIVE MEDICINE, PC
OMAHA, NEBRASKA

A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 81–90.

2009 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART [a]

IVF	100%	**Procedural Factors:**	
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	65%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	0%
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	<1%
		Used PGD	0%
		With eSET	3%

Patient Diagnosis

Tubal factor	5%	Other factor	3%
Ovulatory dysfunction	4%	Unknown factor	5%
Diminished ovarian reserve	12%	*Multiple Factors:*	
Endometriosis	5%	Female factors only	11%
Uterine factor	<1%	Female & male factors	24%
Male factor	31%		

2009 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by Victoria M. Maclin, MD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman <35	35–37	38–40	41–42	43–44[d]
Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of cycles	90	34	23	8	1
Percentage of embryos transferred resulting in implantation[b]	37.9	34.7	20.0	4 / 14	1 / 2
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies[b]	41.1	44.1	21.7	3 / 8	1 / 1
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births[b,c]	34.4	35.3	17.4	3 / 8	0 / 1
(Confidence Interval)	(24.7–45.2)	(19.7–53.5)	(5.0–38.8)		
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births[b,c]	40.3	42.9	20.0	3 / 7	0 / 1
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births[b,c]	48.4	50.0	4 / 15	3 / 5	0 / 1
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births[b]	34.4	37.5	4 / 15	2 / 5	0 / 1
Percentage of cancellations[b]	14.4	17.6	13.0	1 / 8	0 / 1
Average number of embryos transferred	1.9	2.0	2.0	2.8	2.0
Percentage of pregnancies with twins[b]	29.7	2 / 15	1 / 5	1 / 3	0 / 1
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more[b]	2.7	1 / 15	0 / 5	0 / 3	0 / 1
Percentage of live births having multiple infants[b,c]	29.0	3 / 12	0 / 4	1 / 3	
Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of transfers	16	6	3	0	1
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births[b,c]	2 / 16	3 / 6	0 / 3		0 / 1
Average number of embryos transferred	1.8	1.8	1.7		1.0

Donor Eggs	All Ages Combined[e] Fresh Embryos	Frozen Embryos
Number of transfers	25	20
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births[b,c]	64.0	25.0
Average number of embryos transferred	1.8	2.3

CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

Current Name: Heartland Center for Reproductive Medicine, PC

Donor egg?	Yes	Gestational carriers?	Yes	SART member?	Yes
Donor embryo?	Yes	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	Yes
Single women?	Yes			*(See Appendix C for details.)*	

[a] Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2009 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.
[b] When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.
[c] A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.
[d] Clinic-specific outcome rates for women older than 44 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs are not included because of small numbers. Readers are urged to review national outcomes for these age groups (see pages 30, 48 & 57).
[e] All ages (including ages >44) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.

NEBRASKA METHODIST HOSPITAL REI
OMAHA, NEBRASKA

A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 81–90.

2009 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART [a]

IVF	100%	**Procedural Factors:**	
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	85%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	<1%
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	0%
		Used PGD	0%
		With eSET	3%

Patient Diagnosis

Tubal factor	12%	Other factor	13%
Ovulatory dysfunction	6%	Unknown factor	9%
Diminished ovarian reserve	2%	*Multiple Factors:*	
Endometriosis	10%	Female factors only	10%
Uterine factor	<1%	Female & male factors	19%
Male factor	18%		

2009 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by Carolyn M. Doherty, MD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman <35	35–37	38–40	41–42	43–44 [d]
Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of cycles	131	55	31	8	0
Percentage of embryos transferred resulting in implantation [b]	31.3	15.7	11.8	20.0	
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies [b]	45.0	27.3	29.0	3 / 8	
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births [b,c]	38.2	20.0	16.1	3 / 8	
(Confidence Interval)	(29.8–47.1)	(10.4–33.0)	(5.5–33.7)		
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births [b,c]	41.3	23.9	25.0	3 / 6	
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	41.7	25.0	25.0	3 / 6	
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births [b]	25.0	20.5	25.0	1 / 6	
Percentage of cancellations [b]	7.6	16.4	35.5	2 / 8	
Average number of embryos transferred	2.2	2.8	3.4	4.2	
Percentage of pregnancies with twins [b]	32.2	2 / 15	0 / 9	2 / 3	
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more [b]	8.5	1 / 15	0 / 9	0 / 3	
Percentage of live births having multiple infants [b,c]	40.0	2 / 11	0 / 5	2 / 3	
Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of transfers	42	11	7	2	0
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	26.2	4 / 11	2 / 7	0 / 2	
Average number of embryos transferred	2.0	1.5	2.0	2.0	

Donor Eggs	All Ages Combined [e] Fresh Embryos	Frozen Embryos
Number of transfers	19	5
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	12 / 19	3 / 5
Average number of embryos transferred	2.2	1.8

CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

Current Name: Nebraska Methodist Hospital REI

Donor egg?	Yes	Gestational carriers?	Yes	SART member?	Yes
Donor embryo?	No	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	Yes
Single women?	Yes			*(See Appendix C for details.)*	

[a] Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2009 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.
[b] When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.
[c] A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.
[d] Clinic-specific outcome rates for women older than 44 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs are not included because of small numbers. Readers are urged to review national outcomes for these age groups (see pages 30, 48 & 57).
[e] All ages (including ages >44) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.

FERTILITY CENTER OF LAS VEGAS
LAS VEGAS, NEVADA

A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 81–90.

2009 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART [a]

IVF	100%	**Procedural Factors:**	
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	90%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	<1%
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	<1%
		Used PGD	2%
		With eSET	11%

Patient Diagnosis

Tubal factor	6%	Other factor	5%
Ovulatory dysfunction	3%	Unknown factor	4%
Diminished ovarian reserve	22%	*Multiple Factors:*	
Endometriosis	2%	Female factors only	13%
Uterine factor	0%	Female & male factors	28%
Male factor	17%		

2009 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by Bruce S. Shapiro, MD, PhD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman <35	35–37	38–40	41–42	43–44[d]
Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of cycles	83	35	35	15	11
Percentage of embryos transferred resulting in implantation[b]	53.8	32.7	3.4	3 / 10	2 / 10
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies[b]	49.4	45.7	8.6	2 / 15	1 / 11
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births[b,c]	45.8	34.3	0.0	1 / 15	1 / 11
(Confidence Interval)	(34.8–57.1)	(19.1–52.2)	(0.0–10.0)		
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births[b,c]	48.1	36.4	0.0	1 / 12	1 / 7
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births[b,c]	58.5	44.4	0 / 19	1 / 7	1 / 5
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births[b]	24.6	37.0	0 / 19	0 / 7	1 / 5
Percentage of cancellations[b]	4.8	5.7	5.7	3 / 15	4 / 11
Average number of embryos transferred	1.8	1.9	1.5	1.4	2.0
Percentage of pregnancies with twins[b]	56.1	3 / 16	0 / 3	1 / 2	1 / 1
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more[b]	0.0	0 / 16	0 / 3	0 / 2	0 / 1
Percentage of live births having multiple infants[b,c]	57.9	2 / 12		1 / 1	0 / 1
Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of transfers	112	47	30	7	1
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births[b,c]	58.9	68.1	36.7	4 / 7	0 / 1
Average number of embryos transferred	1.8	1.9	1.7	1.7	2.0

Donor Eggs	All Ages Combined[e] Fresh Embryos	Frozen Embryos
Number of transfers	20	20
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births[b,c]	80.0	40.0
Average number of embryos transferred	1.9	1.7

CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

Current Name: Fertility Center of Las Vegas

Donor egg?	Yes	Gestational carriers?	Yes	SART member?	Yes
Donor embryo?	Yes	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	Yes
Single women?	Yes			*(See Appendix C for details.)*	

[a] Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2009 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.
[b] When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.
[c] A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.
[d] Clinic-specific outcome rates for women older than 44 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs are not included because of small numbers. Readers are urged to review national outcomes for these age groups (see pages 30, 48 & 57).
[e] All ages (including ages >44) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.

NEVADA FERTILITY C.A.R.E.S.
LAS VEGAS, NEVADA

A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 81–90.

2009 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART [a]

IVF	100%	**Procedural Factors:**	
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	44%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	2%
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	0%
		Used PGD	13%
		With eSET	0%

Patient Diagnosis

Tubal factor	12%	Other factor	5%
Ovulatory dysfunction	12%	Unknown factor	9%
Diminished ovarian reserve	21%	*Multiple Factors:*	
Endometriosis	5%	Female factors only	7%
Uterine factor	0%	Female & male factors	20%
Male factor	10%		

2009 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by Rachel A. McConnell, MD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman <35	35–37	38–40	41–42	43–44 [d]
Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of cycles	68	16	38	11	4
Percentage of embryos transferred resulting in implantation [b]	41.2	26.1	21.7	3 / 16	0 / 3
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies [b]	52.9	6 / 16	28.9	2 / 11	0 / 4
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births [b,c]	41.2	3 / 16	23.7	1 / 11	0 / 4
(Confidence Interval)	(29.4–53.8)		(11.4–40.2)		
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births [b,c]	44.4	3 / 15	25.0	1 / 10	0 / 4
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	49.1	3 / 13	29.0	1 / 8	0 / 2
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births [b]	36.8	3 / 13	22.6	0 / 8	0 / 2
Percentage of cancellations [b]	7.4	1 / 16	5.3	1 / 11	0 / 4
Average number of embryos transferred	2.1	1.8	1.9	2.0	1.5
Percentage of pregnancies with twins [b]	27.8	0 / 6	2 / 11	1 / 2	
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more [b]	5.6	0 / 6	0 / 11	0 / 2	
Percentage of live births having multiple infants [b,c]	25.0	0 / 3	2 / 9	1 / 1	
Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of transfers	4	3	3	0	0
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	2 / 4	1 / 3	0 / 3		
Average number of embryos transferred	2.0	2.0	2.0		

Donor Eggs	All Ages Combined [e] Fresh Embryos	Frozen Embryos
Number of transfers	7	3
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	3 / 7	0 / 3
Average number of embryos transferred	2.3	2.3

CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

Current Name: Nevada Fertility C.A.R.E.S.

Donor egg?	Yes	Gestational carriers?	Yes	SART member?	Yes
Donor embryo?	Yes	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	Yes
Single women?	Yes			*(See Appendix C for details.)*	

[a] Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2009 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.
[b] When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.
[c] A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.
[d] Clinic-specific outcome rates for women older than 44 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs are not included because of small numbers. Readers are urged to review national outcomes for these age groups (see pages 30, 48 & 57).
[e] All ages (including ages >44) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.

RED ROCK FERTILITY CENTER
LAS VEGAS, NEVADA

A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 81–90.

2009 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART [a]

IVF	100%	**Procedural Factors:**	
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	65%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	0%
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	3%
		Used PGD	7%
		With eSET	4%

Patient Diagnosis

Tubal factor	12%	Other factor	4%
Ovulatory dysfunction	7%	Unknown factor	24%
Diminished ovarian reserve	21%	*Multiple Factors:*	
Endometriosis	1%	Female factors only	0%
Uterine factor	<1%	Female & male factors	9%
Male factor	22%		

2009 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by Eva D. Littman, MD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman <35	35–37	38–40	41–42	43–44 [d]
Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of cycles	46	26	26	6	3
Percentage of embryos transferred resulting in implantation [b]	15.4	9.3	5.5	1 / 17	0 / 8
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies [b]	32.6	26.9	11.5	1 / 6	0 / 3
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births [b,c]	30.4	26.9	7.7	1 / 6	0 / 3
(Confidence Interval)	(17.7–45.8)	(11.6–47.8)	(0.9–25.1)		
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births [b,c]	30.4	28.0	8.3	1 / 6	0 / 2
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	32.6	28.0	8.3	1 / 5	0 / 2
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births [b]	25.6	24.0	4.2	1 / 5	0 / 2
Percentage of cancellations [b]	0.0	3.8	7.7	0 / 6	1 / 3
Average number of embryos transferred	2.7	3.4	3.0	3.4	4.0
Percentage of pregnancies with twins [b]	3 / 15	1 / 7	0 / 3	0 / 1	
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more [b]	0 / 15	0 / 7	1 / 3	0 / 1	
Percentage of live births having multiple infants [b,c]	3 / 14	1 / 7	1 / 2	0 / 1	
Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of transfers	13	9	2	1	1
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	4 / 13	2 / 9	1 / 2	0 / 1	0 / 1
Average number of embryos transferred	2.0	2.1	2.5	4.0	1.0

Donor Eggs	All Ages Combined [e] Fresh Embryos	Frozen Embryos
Number of transfers	10	6
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	2 / 10	1 / 6
Average number of embryos transferred	2.3	2.3

CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

Current Name: Red Rock Fertility Center

Donor egg?	Yes	Gestational carriers?	Yes	SART member?	No
Donor embryo?	No	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	Yes
Single women?	Yes			*(See Appendix C for details.)*	

[a] Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2009 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.
[b] When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.
[c] A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.
[d] Clinic-specific outcome rates for women older than 44 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs are not included because of small numbers. Readers are urged to review national outcomes for these age groups (see pages 30, 48 & 57).
[e] All ages (including ages >44) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.

THE NEVADA CENTER FOR REPRODUCTIVE MEDICINE
RENO, NEVADA

A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 81–90.

2009 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART [a]

IVF	100%	**Procedural Factors:**	
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	60%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	0%
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	5%
		Used PGD	4%
		With eSET	7%

Patient Diagnosis

Tubal factor	6%	Other factor	5%
Ovulatory dysfunction	2%	Unknown factor	7%
Diminished ovarian reserve	14%	*Multiple Factors:*	
Endometriosis	2%	Female factors only	33%
Uterine factor	2%	Female & male factors	21%
Male factor	8%		

2009 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by Russell A. Foulk, MD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman <35	35–37	38–40	41–42	43–44 [d]
Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of cycles	64	21	37	6	2
Percentage of embryos transferred resulting in implantation [b]	40.0	16.1	11.4	0 / 14	0 / 7
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies [b]	57.8	38.1	29.7	1 / 6	0 / 2
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births [b,c]	51.6	28.6	21.6	0 / 6	0 / 2
(Confidence Interval)	(38.7–64.2)	(11.3–52.2)	(9.8–38.2)		
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births [b,c]	51.6	28.6	21.6	0 / 5	0 / 2
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	53.2	28.6	22.9	0 / 5	0 / 2
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births [b]	33.9	23.8	22.9	0 / 5	0 / 2
Percentage of cancellations [b]	0.0	0.0	0.0	1 / 6	0 / 2
Average number of embryos transferred	2.1	2.7	2.5	2.8	3.5
Percentage of pregnancies with twins [b]	35.1	2 / 8	0 / 11	0 / 1	
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more [b]	2.7	0 / 8	0 / 11	0 / 1	
Percentage of live births having multiple infants [b,c]	36.4	1 / 6	0 / 8		
Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of transfers	43	20	8	1	3
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	44.2	25.0	1 / 8	0 / 1	1 / 3
Average number of embryos transferred	2.4	2.3	2.6	3.0	2.0

Donor Eggs	All Ages Combined [e] Fresh Embryos	Frozen Embryos
Number of transfers	42	45
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	54.8	28.9
Average number of embryos transferred	2.1	2.4

CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

Current Name: The Nevada Center for Reproductive Medicine

Donor egg?	Yes	Gestational carriers?	Yes	SART member?	Yes
Donor embryo?	Yes	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	Yes
Single women?	Yes			*(See Appendix C for details.)*	

[a] Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2009 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.
[b] When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.
[c] A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.
[d] Clinic-specific outcome rates for women older than 44 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs are not included because of small numbers. Readers are urged to review national outcomes for these age groups (see pages 30, 48 & 57).
[e] All ages (including ages >44) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.

DARTMOUTH-HITCHCOCK MEDICAL CENTER
LEBANON, NEW HAMPSHIRE

A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 81–90.

2009 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART [a]

IVF	100%	**Procedural Factors:**	
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	40%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	0%
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	0%
		Used PGD	0%
		With eSET	2%

Patient Diagnosis

Tubal factor	9%	Other factor	2%
Ovulatory dysfunction	4%	Unknown factor	30%
Diminished ovarian reserve	14%	*Multiple Factors:*	
Endometriosis	2%	Female factors only	8%
Uterine factor	1%	Female & male factors	9%
Male factor	22%		

2009 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by Misty B. Porter, MD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman <35	35–37	38–40	41–42	43–44 [d]
Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of cycles	56	26	34	10	2
Percentage of embryos transferred resulting in implantation [b]	25.0	23.8	23.0	0.0	0 / 5
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies [b]	30.4	30.8	44.1	0 / 10	0 / 2
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births [b,c]	26.8	26.9	26.5	0 / 10	0 / 2
(Confidence Interval)	(15.8–40.3)	(11.6–47.8)	(12.9–44.4)		
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births [b,c]	27.8	30.4	30.0	0 / 7	0 / 2
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	28.8	33.3	33.3	0 / 6	0 / 2
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births [b]	13.5	28.6	29.6	0 / 6	0 / 2
Percentage of cancellations [b]	3.6	11.5	11.8	3 / 10	0 / 2
Average number of embryos transferred	1.8	2.0	2.7	3.8	2.5
Percentage of pregnancies with twins [b]	8 / 17	2 / 8	5 / 15		
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more [b]	0 / 17	0 / 8	0 / 15		
Percentage of live births having multiple infants [b,c]	8 / 15	1 / 7	1 / 9		
Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of transfers	27	9	4	3	1
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	33.3	3 / 9	0 / 4	2 / 3	0 / 1
Average number of embryos transferred	1.9	2.1	3.5	3.7	4.0

Donor Eggs	All Ages Combined [e] Fresh Embryos	Frozen Embryos
Number of transfers	7	9
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	2 / 7	2 / 9
Average number of embryos transferred	2.0	1.4

CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

Current Name: Dartmouth-Hitchcock Medical Center

Donor egg?	Yes	Gestational carriers?	Yes	SART member?	Yes
Donor embryo?	Yes	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	Yes
Single women?	Yes			*(See Appendix C for details.)*	

[a] Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2009 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.
[b] When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.
[c] A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.
[d] Clinic-specific outcome rates for women older than 44 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs are not included because of small numbers. Readers are urged to review national outcomes for these age groups (see pages 30, 48 & 57).
[e] All ages (including ages >44) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.

SHER INSTITUTE FOR REPRODUCTIVE MEDICINE-NEW JERSEY
BEDMINSTER, NEW JERSEY

A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 81–90.

2009 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART [a]

IVF	100%	**Procedural Factors:**	
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	81%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	<1%
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	0%
		Used PGD	12%
		With eSET	3%

Patient Diagnosis

Tubal factor	6%	Other factor	2%
Ovulatory dysfunction	4%	Unknown factor	34%
Diminished ovarian reserve	25%	*Multiple Factors:*	
Endometriosis	<1%	Female factors only	6%
Uterine factor	0%	Female & male factors	12%
Male factor	10%		

2009 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by Albert J. Peters, DO

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman <35	35–37	38–40	41–42	43–44 [d]
Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of cycles	76	55	58	29	15
Percentage of embryos transferred resulting in implantation [b]	35.5	16.7	16.2	14.3	4.3
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies [b]	48.7	23.6	20.7	17.2	4 / 15
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births [b,c]	39.5	18.2	13.8	10.3	0 / 15
(Confidence Interval)	(28.4–51.4)	(9.1–30.9)	(6.1–25.4)	(2.2–27.4)	
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births [b,c]	42.9	20.8	17.4	13.6	0 / 15
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	44.8	25.0	26.7	3 / 15	0 / 11
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births [b]	28.4	20.0	20.0	3 / 15	0 / 11
Percentage of cancellations [b]	7.9	12.7	20.7	24.1	0 / 15
Average number of embryos transferred	2.3	2.3	2.3	2.3	2.1
Percentage of pregnancies with twins [b]	29.7	4 / 13	3 / 12	0 / 5	0 / 4
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more [b]	8.1	0 / 13	0 / 12	0 / 5	0 / 4
Percentage of live births having multiple infants [b,c]	36.7	2 / 10	2 / 8	0 / 3	
Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of transfers	12	2	6	4	1
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	4 / 12	0 / 2	0 / 6	1 / 4	1 / 1
Average number of embryos transferred	2.4	1.5	1.8	2.8	2.0

Donor Eggs	All Ages Combined [e] Fresh Embryos	Frozen Embryos
Number of transfers	19	5
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	9 / 19	2 / 5
Average number of embryos transferred	2.1	2.6

CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

Current Name: Sher Institute for Reproductive Medicine-New Jersey

Donor egg?	Yes	Gestational carriers?	Yes	SART member?	No
Donor embryo?	No	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	Yes
Single women?	Yes			*(See Appendix C for details.)*	

[a] Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2009 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.
[b] When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.
[c] A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.
[d] Clinic-specific outcome rates for women older than 44 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs are not included because of small numbers. Readers are urged to review national outcomes for these age groups (see pages 30, 48 & 57).
[e] All ages (including ages >44) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.

CENTER FOR ADVANCED REPRODUCTIVE MEDICINE & FERTILITY
EDISON, NEW JERSEY

A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 81–90.

2009 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART [a]

IVF	100%	**Procedural Factors:**	
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	64%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	0%
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	0%
		Used PGD	2%
		With eSET	3%

Patient Diagnosis

Tubal factor	7%	Other factor	1%
Ovulatory dysfunction	10%	Unknown factor	16%
Diminished ovarian reserve	14%	*Multiple Factors:*	
Endometriosis	4%	Female factors only	3%
Uterine factor	<1%	Female & male factors	15%
Male factor	30%		

2009 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by Gregory H. Corsan, MD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman <35	35–37	38–40	41–42	43–44 [d]
Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of cycles	119	38	37	8	5
Percentage of embryos transferred resulting in implantation [b]	25.7	13.8	13.2	7.7	0 / 17
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies [b]	34.5	26.3	32.4	2 / 8	1 / 5
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births [b,c]	26.9	23.7	29.7	2 / 8	0 / 5
(Confidence Interval)	(19.2–35.8)	(11.4–40.2)	(15.9–47.0)		
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births [b,c]	27.6	24.3	29.7	2 / 8	0 / 4
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	29.1	25.7	31.4	2 / 8	0 / 4
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births [b]	16.4	20.0	28.6	2 / 8	0 / 4
Percentage of cancellations [b]	2.5	2.6	0.0	0 / 8	1 / 5
Average number of embryos transferred	2.1	2.5	3.0	3.3	4.3
Percentage of pregnancies with twins [b]	43.9	2 / 10	2 / 12	0 / 2	0 / 1
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more [b]	0.0	0 / 10	0 / 12	0 / 2	0 / 1
Percentage of live births having multiple infants [b,c]	43.8	2 / 9	1 / 11	0 / 2	
Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of transfers	29	8	12	2	0
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	27.6	2 / 8	3 / 12	1 / 2	
Average number of embryos transferred	1.9	2.1	2.6	2.5	

Donor Eggs	All Ages Combined [e] Fresh Embryos	Frozen Embryos
Number of transfers	14	0
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	8 / 14	
Average number of embryos transferred	2.0	

CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

Current Name: Center for Advanced Reproductive Medicine & Fertility

Donor egg?	Yes	Gestational carriers?	Yes	SART member?	Yes
Donor embryo?	Yes	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	Yes
Single women?	Yes			*(See Appendix C for details.)*	

[a] Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2009 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.
[b] When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.
[c] A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.
[d] Clinic-specific outcome rates for women older than 44 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs are not included because of small numbers. Readers are urged to review national outcomes for these age groups (see pages 30, 48 & 57).
[e] All ages (including ages >44) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.

WOMEN'S FERTILITY CENTER
ENGLEWOOD, NEW JERSEY

A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 81–90.

2009 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART [a]

IVF	100%	**Procedural Factors:**	
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	96%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	2%
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	0%
		Used PGD	0%
		With eSET	0%

Patient Diagnosis

Tubal factor	14%	Other factor	3%
Ovulatory dysfunction	3%	Unknown factor	2%
Diminished ovarian reserve	29%	*Multiple Factors:*	
Endometriosis	0%	Female factors only	11%
Uterine factor	0%	Female & male factors	20%
Male factor	20%		

2009 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by Philip R. Lesorgen, MD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman <35	35–37	38–40	41–42	43–44 [d]
Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of cycles	13	14	17	5	4
Percentage of embryos transferred resulting in implantation [b]	25.0	15.4	25.0	1 / 15	0 / 8
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies [b]	7 / 13	4 / 14	5 / 17	2 / 5	1 / 4
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births [b,c] (Confidence Interval)	4 / 13	3 / 14	4 / 17	0 / 5	0 / 4
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births [b,c]	4 / 13	3 / 14	4 / 16	0 / 5	0 / 4
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	4 / 13	3 / 13	4 / 12	0 / 5	0 / 4
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births [b]	3 / 13	3 / 13	4 / 12	0 / 5	0 / 4
Percentage of cancellations [b]	0 / 13	0 / 14	1 / 17	0 / 5	0 / 4
Average number of embryos transferred	2.5	2.0	2.0	3.0	2.0
Percentage of pregnancies with twins [b]	2 / 7	1 / 4	1 / 5	0 / 2	0 / 1
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more [b]	0 / 7	0 / 4	0 / 5	0 / 2	0 / 1
Percentage of live births having multiple infants [b,c]	1 / 4	0 / 3	0 / 4		
Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of transfers	3	4	2	0	0
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	2 / 3	2 / 4	0 / 2		
Average number of embryos transferred	2.3	2.0	2.0		

Donor Eggs	All Ages Combined [e] Fresh Embryos	Frozen Embryos
Number of transfers	0	1
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]		1 / 1
Average number of embryos transferred		3.0

CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

Current Name: Women's Fertility Center

Donor egg?	No	Gestational carriers?	No	SART member?	No
Donor embryo?	No	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	Yes
Single women?	Yes			*(See Appendix C for details.)*	

[a] Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2009 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.
[b] When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.
[c] A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.
[d] Clinic-specific outcome rates for women older than 44 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs are not included because of small numbers. Readers are urged to review national outcomes for these age groups (see pages 30, 48 & 57).
[e] All ages (including ages >44) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.

NORTH HUDSON I.V.F.
CENTER FOR FERTILITY AND GYNECOLOGY
ENGLEWOOD CLIFFS, NEW JERSEY

A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 81–90.

2009 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART [a]

IVF	100%	**Procedural Factors:**	
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	83%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	0%
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	11%
		Used PGD	0%
		With eSET	0%

Patient Diagnosis

Tubal factor	5%	Other factor	7%
Ovulatory dysfunction	0%	Unknown factor	2%
Diminished ovarian reserve	36%	*Multiple Factors:*	
Endometriosis	7%	Female factors only	16%
Uterine factor	2%	Female & male factors	11%
Male factor	14%		

2009 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by Jane E. Miller, MD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman <35	35–37	38–40	41–42	43–44 [d]
Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of cycles	5	4	7	1	1
Percentage of embryos transferred resulting in implantation [b]	3 / 11	7 / 9	4 / 8		1 / 2
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies [b]	2 / 5	4 / 4	4 / 7	0 / 1	1 / 1
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births [b,c] (Confidence Interval)	2 / 5	4 / 4	4 / 7	0 / 1	1 / 1
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births [b,c]	2 / 5	4 / 4	4 / 5		1 / 1
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	2 / 5	4 / 4	4 / 4		1 / 1
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births [b]	1 / 5	2 / 4	4 / 4		1 / 1
Percentage of cancellations [b]	0 / 5	0 / 4	2 / 7	1 / 1	0 / 1
Average number of embryos transferred	2.2	2.3	2.0		2.0
Percentage of pregnancies with twins [b]	1 / 2	3 / 4	0 / 4		0 / 1
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more [b]	0 / 2	0 / 4	0 / 4		0 / 1
Percentage of live births having multiple infants [b,c]	1 / 2	2 / 4	0 / 4		0 / 1
Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of transfers	1	0	0	0	0
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	1 / 1				
Average number of embryos transferred	2.0				

Donor Eggs	All Ages Combined [e] Fresh Embryos	Frozen Embryos
Number of transfers	13	7
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	8 / 13	5 / 7
Average number of embryos transferred	2.0	2.6

CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

Current Name: North Hudson I.V.F., Center for Fertility and Gynecology

Donor egg?	Yes	Gestational carriers?	Yes	SART member?	Yes
Donor embryo?	Yes	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	Yes
Single women?	Yes			*(See Appendix C for details.)*	

[a] Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2009 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.
[b] When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.
[c] A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.
[d] Clinic-specific outcome rates for women older than 44 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs are not included because of small numbers. Readers are urged to review national outcomes for these age groups (see pages 30, 48 & 57).
[e] All ages (including ages >44) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.

DOUGLAS S. RABIN, MD
FAIR LAWN, NEW JERSEY

A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 81–90.

2009 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART [a]

IVF	100%	**Procedural Factors:**	
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	100%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	6%
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	0%
		Used PGD	0%
		With eSET	39%

Patient Diagnosis

Tubal factor	10%	Other factor	0%
Ovulatory dysfunction	6%	Unknown factor	53%
Diminished ovarian reserve	2%	*Multiple Factors:*	
Endometriosis	2%	Female factors only	0%
Uterine factor	0%	Female & male factors	6%
Male factor	20%		

2009 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by Douglas S. Rabin, MD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman <35	35–37	38–40	41–42	43–44 [d]
Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of cycles	13	7	5	4	4
Percentage of embryos transferred resulting in implantation [b]	25.0	3 / 9	1 / 12	1 / 18	0 / 6
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies [b]	6 / 13	2 / 7	1 / 5	1 / 4	0 / 4
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births [b,c] (Confidence Interval)	5 / 13	1 / 7	1 / 5	1 / 4	0 / 4
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births [b,c]	5 / 13	1 / 7	1 / 5	1 / 4	0 / 4
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	5 / 13	1 / 6	1 / 5	1 / 4	0 / 4
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births [b]	5 / 13	0 / 6	1 / 5	1 / 4	0 / 4
Percentage of cancellations [b]	0 / 13	0 / 7	0 / 5	0 / 4	0 / 4
Average number of embryos transferred	1.5	1.5	2.4	4.5	1.5
Percentage of pregnancies with twins [b]	0 / 6	1 / 2	0 / 1	0 / 1	
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more [b]	0 / 6	0 / 2	0 / 1	0 / 1	
Percentage of live births having multiple infants [b,c]	0 / 5	1 / 1	0 / 1	0 / 1	
Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of transfers	3	6	4	1	1
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	1 / 3	1 / 6	2 / 4	1 / 1	0 / 1
Average number of embryos transferred	1.3	2.3	2.8	3.0	2.0

Donor Eggs	All Ages Combined [e] Fresh Embryos	Frozen Embryos
Number of transfers	0	0
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]		
Average number of embryos transferred		

CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

Current Name: Douglas S. Rabin, MD

Donor egg?	Yes	Gestational carriers?	Yes	SART member?	No
Donor embryo?	Yes	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	Yes
Single women?	Yes			*(See Appendix C for details.)*	

[a] Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2009 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.
[b] When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.
[c] A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.
[d] Clinic-specific outcome rates for women older than 44 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs are not included because of small numbers. Readers are urged to review national outcomes for these age groups (see pages 30, 48 & 57).
[e] All ages (including ages >44) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.

UNIVERSITY REPRODUCTIVE ASSOCIATES, PC
HASBROUCK HEIGHTS, NEW JERSEY

A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 81–90.

2009 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART [a]

IVF	100%	**Procedural Factors:**	
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	90%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	0%
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	<1%
		Used PGD	0%
		With eSET	0%

Patient Diagnosis

Tubal factor	3%	Other factor	2%
Ovulatory dysfunction	1%	Unknown factor	2%
Diminished ovarian reserve	4%	*Multiple Factors:*	
Endometriosis	2%	Female factors only	4%
Uterine factor	<1%	Female & male factors	50%
Male factor	31%		

2009 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by Peter G. McGovern, MD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman <35	35–37	38–40	41–42	43–44 [d]
Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of cycles	137	54	56	31	18
Percentage of embryos transferred resulting in implantation [b]	29.1	26.6	15.1	7.9	4.2
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies [b]	46.7	46.3	39.3	25.8	1 / 18
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births [b,c]	38.7	29.6	26.8	9.7	1 / 18
(Confidence Interval)	(30.5–47.4)	(18.0–43.6)	(15.8–40.3)	(2.0–25.8)	
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births [b,c]	40.5	31.4	27.3	10.3	1 / 16
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	41.4	31.4	27.3	10.3	1 / 16
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births [b]	28.1	19.6	25.5	10.3	1 / 16
Percentage of cancellations [b]	4.4	5.6	1.8	6.5	2 / 18
Average number of embryos transferred	2.1	2.1	2.5	2.6	3.0
Percentage of pregnancies with twins [b]	28.1	24.0	4.5	1 / 8	1 / 1
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more [b]	1.6	4.0	0.0	0 / 8	0 / 1
Percentage of live births having multiple infants [b,c]	32.1	6 / 16	1 / 15	0 / 3	0 / 1
Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of transfers	9	4	2	1	1
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	2 / 9	0 / 4	0 / 2	0 / 1	0 / 1
Average number of embryos transferred	2.9	2.0	2.5	3.0	4.0

	All Ages Combined [e]	
Donor Eggs	**Fresh Embryos**	**Frozen Embryos**
Number of transfers	12	3
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	5 / 12	1 / 3
Average number of embryos transferred	2.1	2.0

CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

Current Name: University Reproductive Associates, PC

Donor egg?	Yes	Gestational carriers?	Yes	SART member?	Yes
Donor embryo?	No	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	Yes
Single women?	Yes			*(See Appendix C for details.)*	

[a] Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2009 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.
[b] When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.
[c] A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.
[d] Clinic-specific outcome rates for women older than 44 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs are not included because of small numbers. Readers are urged to review national outcomes for these age groups (see pages 30, 48 & 57).
[e] All ages (including ages >44) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.

SHORE INSTITUTE FOR REPRODUCTIVE MEDICINE
LAKEWOOD, NEW JERSEY

A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 81–90.

2009 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART [a]

IVF	100%	**Procedural Factors:**	
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	26%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	0%
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	0%
		Used PGD	0%
		With eSET	0%

Patient Diagnosis

Tubal factor	14%	Other factor	3%
Ovulatory dysfunction	7%	Unknown factor	27%
Diminished ovarian reserve	4%	*Multiple Factors:*	
Endometriosis	3%	Female factors only	7%
Uterine factor	2%	Female & male factors	6%
Male factor	27%		

2009 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by Allen Morgan, MD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman <35	35–37	38–40	41–42	43–44 [d]
Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of cycles	35	17	16	4	1
Percentage of embryos transferred resulting in implantation [b]	24.4	23.5	10.5	0 / 7	0 / 3
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies [b]	37.1	5 / 17	4 / 16	0 / 4	0 / 1
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births [b,c]	34.3	5 / 17	3 / 16	0 / 4	0 / 1
(Confidence Interval)	(19.1–52.2)				
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births [b,c]	35.3	5 / 15	3 / 15	0 / 3	0 / 1
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	41.4	5 / 13	3 / 13	0 / 2	0 / 1
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births [b]	24.1	3 / 13	2 / 13	0 / 2	0 / 1
Percentage of cancellations [b]	2.9	2 / 17	1 / 16	1 / 4	0 / 1
Average number of embryos transferred	2.7	2.6	2.9	3.5	3.0
Percentage of pregnancies with twins [b]	4 / 13	1 / 5	1 / 4		
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more [b]	1 / 13	1 / 5	0 / 4		
Percentage of live births having multiple infants [b,c]	5 / 12	2 / 5	1 / 3		
Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of transfers	9	1	0	0	0
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	1 / 9	0 / 1			
Average number of embryos transferred	1.8	2.0			

Donor Eggs	All Ages Combined [e] Fresh Embryos	Frozen Embryos
Number of transfers	2	3
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	0 / 2	2 / 3
Average number of embryos transferred	3.0	2.3

CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

Current Name: Shore Institute for Reproductive Medicine

Donor egg?	Yes	Gestational carriers?	Yes	SART member?	Yes
Donor embryo?	Yes	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	Yes
Single women?	Yes			*(See Appendix C for details.)*	

[a] Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2009 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.
[b] When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.
[c] A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.
[d] Clinic-specific outcome rates for women older than 44 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs are not included because of small numbers. Readers are urged to review national outcomes for these age groups (see pages 30, 48 & 57).
[e] All ages (including ages >44) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.

DELAWARE VALLEY OBGYN AND INFERTILITY GROUP
PRINCETON IVF
LAWRENCEVILLE, NEW JERSEY

A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 81–90.

2009 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART [a]

IVF	100%	**Procedural Factors:**	
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	53%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	0%
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	0%
		Used PGD	0%
		With eSET	0%

Patient Diagnosis

Tubal factor	11%	Other factor	4%
Ovulatory dysfunction	11%	Unknown factor	5%
Diminished ovarian reserve	2%	*Multiple Factors:*	
Endometriosis	0%	Female factors only	24%
Uterine factor	<1%	Female & male factors	29%
Male factor	13%		

2009 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by Seth G. Derman, MD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman <35	35–37	38–40	41–42	43–44 [d]
Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of cycles	48	31	21	14	5
Percentage of embryos transferred resulting in implantation [b]	21.2	14.3	7.5	7.7	1 / 10
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies [b]	31.3	35.5	14.3	2 / 14	2 / 5
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births [b,c]	31.3	16.1	9.5	1 / 14	1 / 5
(Confidence Interval)	(18.7–46.3)	(5.5–33.7)	(1.2–30.4)		
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births [b,c]	31.3	17.9	2 / 19	1 / 14	1 / 5
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	34.9	17.9	2 / 18	1 / 13	1 / 4
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births [b]	20.9	17.9	2 / 18	0 / 13	1 / 4
Percentage of cancellations [b]	0.0	9.7	9.5	0 / 14	0 / 5
Average number of embryos transferred	2.4	2.8	2.9	3.0	2.5
Percentage of pregnancies with twins [b]	5 / 15	2 / 11	1 / 3	1 / 2	0 / 2
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more [b]	1 / 15	0 / 11	0 / 3	0 / 2	0 / 2
Percentage of live births having multiple infants [b,c]	6 / 15	0 / 5	0 / 2	1 / 1	0 / 1
Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of transfers	11	4	3	1	1
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	3 / 11	1 / 4	1 / 3	1 / 1	0 / 1
Average number of embryos transferred	2.7	1.5	2.3	2.0	4.0

Donor Eggs	All Ages Combined [e] Fresh Embryos	Frozen Embryos
Number of transfers	10	0
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	3 / 10	
Average number of embryos transferred	2.2	

CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

Current Name: Delaware Valley OBGYN and Infertility Group, Princeton IVF

Donor egg?	Yes	Gestational carriers?	Yes	SART member?	Yes
Donor embryo?	Yes	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	Yes
Single women?	Yes			*(See Appendix C for details.)*	

[a] Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2009 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.
[b] When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.
[c] A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.
[d] Clinic-specific outcome rates for women older than 44 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs are not included because of small numbers. Readers are urged to review national outcomes for these age groups (see pages 30, 48 & 57).
[e] All ages (including ages >44) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.

PRINCETON CENTER FOR INFERTILITY & REPRODUCTIVE MEDICINE
LAWRENCEVILLE, NEW JERSEY

A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 81–90.

2009 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART [a]

IVF	100%	**Procedural Factors:**	
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	58%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	0%
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	0%
		Used PGD	6%
		With eSET	0%

Patient Diagnosis

Tubal factor	9%	Other factor	2%
Ovulatory dysfunction	2%	Unknown factor	16%
Diminished ovarian reserve	11%	*Multiple Factors:*	
Endometriosis	2%	Female factors only	9%
Uterine factor	0%	Female & male factors	23%
Male factor	25%		

2009 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by Althea M. O'Shaughnessy, MD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman <35	35–37	38–40	41–42	43–44 [d]
Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of cycles	15	7	6	3	1
Percentage of embryos transferred resulting in implantation [b]	29.2	2 / 12	2 / 8	0 / 10	1 / 3
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies [b]	6 / 15	2 / 7	2 / 6	0 / 3	1 / 1
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births [b,c] (Confidence Interval)	6 / 15	2 / 7	1 / 6	0 / 3	1 / 1
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births [b,c]	6 / 15	2 / 6	1 / 5	0 / 3	1 / 1
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	6 / 11	2 / 4	1 / 4	0 / 3	1 / 1
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births [b]	5 / 11	2 / 4	1 / 4	0 / 3	1 / 1
Percentage of cancellations [b]	0 / 15	1 / 7	1 / 6	0 / 3	0 / 1
Average number of embryos transferred	2.2	3.0	2.0	3.3	3.0
Percentage of pregnancies with twins [b]	1 / 6	0 / 2	0 / 2		0 / 1
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more [b]	0 / 6	0 / 2	0 / 2		0 / 1
Percentage of live births having multiple infants [b,c]	1 / 6	0 / 2	0 / 1		0 / 1
Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of transfers	5	1	2	0	0
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	4 / 5	0 / 1	1 / 2		
Average number of embryos transferred	2.4	4.0	5.0		

Donor Eggs	All Ages Combined [e] Fresh Embryos	Frozen Embryos
Number of transfers	1	0
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	1 / 1	
Average number of embryos transferred	3.0	

CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

Current Name: Princeton Center for Infertility & Reproductive Medicine

Donor egg?	Yes	Gestational carriers?	Yes	SART member?	Yes
Donor embryo?	No	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	Yes
Single women?	Yes			*(See Appendix C for details.)*	

[a] Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2009 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.
[b] When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.
[c] A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.
[d] Clinic-specific outcome rates for women older than 44 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs are not included because of small numbers. Readers are urged to review national outcomes for these age groups (see pages 30, 48 & 57).
[e] All ages (including ages >44) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.

EAST COAST INFERTILITY AND IVF
LITTLE SILVER, NEW JERSEY

A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 81–90.

2009 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART [a]

IVF	100%	**Procedural Factors:**	
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	53%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	0%
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	0%
		Used PGD	8%
		With eSET	<1%

Patient Diagnosis

Tubal factor	2%	Other factor	8%
Ovulatory dysfunction	2%	Unknown factor	0%
Diminished ovarian reserve	7%	*Multiple Factors:*	
Endometriosis	17%	Female factors only	21%
Uterine factor	3%	Female & male factors	26%
Male factor	14%		

2009 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by Miguel Damien, MD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman <35	35–37	38–40	41–42	43–44 [d]
Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of cycles	52	30	46	16	5
Percentage of embryos transferred resulting in implantation [b]	38.5	16.7	12.4	10.6	0 / 6
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies [b]	57.7	36.7	21.7	4 / 16	0 / 5
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births [b,c]	50.0	33.3	19.6	2 / 16	0 / 5
(Confidence Interval)	(35.8–64.2)	(17.3–52.8)	(9.4–33.9)		
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births [b,c]	53.1	34.5	21.4	2 / 15	0 / 5
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	57.8	38.5	23.7	2 / 13	0 / 4
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births [b]	37.8	34.6	21.1	2 / 13	0 / 4
Percentage of cancellations [b]	5.8	3.3	8.7	1 / 16	0 / 5
Average number of embryos transferred	2.3	2.8	3.0	3.6	1.5
Percentage of pregnancies with twins [b]	30.0	2 / 11	1 / 10	1 / 4	
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more [b]	3.3	0 / 11	1 / 10	0 / 4	
Percentage of live births having multiple infants [b,c]	34.6	1 / 10	1 / 9	0 / 2	
Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of transfers	2	3	2	0	0
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	0 / 2	0 / 3	1 / 2		
Average number of embryos transferred	1.5	1.7	3.0		

Donor Eggs	All Ages Combined [e] Fresh Embryos	Frozen Embryos
Number of transfers	17	7
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	13 / 17	2 / 7
Average number of embryos transferred	2.1	1.9

CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

Current Name: East Coast Infertility and IVF

Donor egg?	Yes	Gestational carriers?	Yes	SART member?	Yes
Donor embryo?	Yes	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	Yes
Single women?	Yes			*(See Appendix C for details.)*	

[a] Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2009 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.
[b] When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.
[c] A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.
[d] Clinic-specific outcome rates for women older than 44 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs are not included because of small numbers. Readers are urged to review national outcomes for these age groups (see pages 30, 48 & 57).
[e] All ages (including ages >44) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.

INSTITUTE FOR REPRODUCTIVE MEDICINE AND SCIENCE
SAINT BARNABAS MEDICAL CENTER
LIVINGSTON, NEW JERSEY

A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 81–90.

2009 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART [a]

IVF	100%	**Procedural Factors:**	
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	52%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	15%
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	0%
		Used PGD	17%
		With eSET	<1%

Patient Diagnosis

Tubal factor	5%	Other factor	35%
Ovulatory dysfunction	2%	Unknown factor	28%
Diminished ovarian reserve	<1%	*Multiple Factors:*	
Endometriosis	<1%	Female factors only	18%
Uterine factor	0%	Female & male factors	9%
Male factor	3%		

2009 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by Margaret G. Garrisi, MD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman <35	35–37	38–40	41–42	43–44 [d]
Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of cycles	265	129	142	68	32
Percentage of embryos transferred resulting in implantation [b]	28.9	15.3	11.6	5.6	1.4
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies [b]	41.5	27.9	22.5	11.8	6.3
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births [b,c]	35.8	24.8	16.9	10.3	3.1
(Confidence Interval)	(30.1–41.9)	(17.6–33.2)	(11.1–24.1)	(4.2–20.1)	(0.1–16.2)
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births [b,c]	38.2	27.1	18.9	11.1	3.7
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	41.9	28.6	21.6	12.1	4.0
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births [b]	26.9	20.5	18.9	8.6	4.0
Percentage of cancellations [b]	6.0	8.5	10.6	7.4	15.6
Average number of embryos transferred	2.4	2.8	2.9	3.1	3.0
Percentage of pregnancies with twins [b]	38.2	33.3	12.5	3 / 8	0 / 2
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more [b]	3.6	0.0	6.3	0 / 8	0 / 2
Percentage of live births having multiple infants [b,c]	35.8	28.1	12.5	2 / 7	0 / 1
Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of transfers	39	20	15	5	3
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	43.6	25.0	6 / 15	0 / 5	0 / 3
Average number of embryos transferred	2.1	2.4	1.6	2.6	3.0

Donor Eggs	All Ages Combined [e] Fresh Embryos	Frozen Embryos
Number of transfers	43	19
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	53.5	5 / 19
Average number of embryos transferred	2.1	2.3

CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

Current Name: Institute for Reproductive Medicine and Science, Saint Barnabas Medical Center

Donor egg?	Yes	Gestational carriers?	Yes	SART member?	Yes
Donor embryo?	Yes	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	Yes
Single women?	Yes			*(See Appendix C for details.)*	

[a] Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2009 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.
[b] When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.
[c] A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.
[d] Clinic-specific outcome rates for women older than 44 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs are not included because of small numbers. Readers are urged to review national outcomes for these age groups (see pages 30, 48 & 57).
[e] All ages (including ages >44) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.

COOPER INSTITUTE FOR REPRODUCTIVE HORMONAL DISORDERS
MARLTON, NEW JERSEY

A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 81–90.

2009 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART [a]

IVF	100%	**Procedural Factors:**	
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	37%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	3%
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	<1%
		Used PGD	1%
		With eSET	3%

Patient Diagnosis

Tubal factor	11%	Other factor	4%
Ovulatory dysfunction	4%	Unknown factor	8%
Diminished ovarian reserve	34%	*Multiple Factors:*	
Endometriosis	2%	Female factors only	13%
Uterine factor	1%	Female & male factors	12%
Male factor	12%		

2009 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by Jerome H. Check, MD, PhD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman <35	35–37	38–40	41–42	43–44 [d]
Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of cycles	209	126	217	122	135
Percentage of embryos transferred resulting in implantation [b]	39.8	18.2	18.0	7.4	3.0
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies [b]	37.3	17.5	18.4	7.4	5.2
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births [b,c]	31.6	14.3	12.9	4.1	0.7
(Confidence Interval)	(25.3–38.3)	(8.7–21.6)	(8.7–18.1)	(1.3–9.3)	(0.0–4.1)
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births [b,c]	37.5	18.6	19.0	5.7	1.0
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	50.0	25.7	23.7	9.8	1.4
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births [b]	30.3	18.6	18.6	9.8	1.4
Percentage of cancellations [b]	15.8	23.0	32.3	27.9	23.0
Average number of embryos transferred	2.1	2.1	2.3	2.1	2.4
Percentage of pregnancies with twins [b]	35.9	22.7	15.0	0 / 9	0 / 7
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more [b]	5.1	4.5	5.0	0 / 9	0 / 7
Percentage of live births having multiple infants [b,c]	39.4	5 / 18	21.4	0 / 5	0 / 1
Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of transfers	81	36	25	19	8
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	53.1	30.6	28.0	2 / 19	1 / 8
Average number of embryos transferred	2.2	2.3	2.8	2.6	2.5

	All Ages Combined [e]	
Donor Eggs	**Fresh Embryos**	**Frozen Embryos**
Number of transfers	52	89
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	61.5	40.4
Average number of embryos transferred	2.2	2.4

CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

Current Name: Cooper Institute for Reproductive Hormonal Disorders

Donor egg?	Yes	Gestational carriers?	Yes	SART member?	Yes
Donor embryo?	Yes	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	Yes
Single women?	Yes			*(See Appendix C for details.)*	

[a] Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2009 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.
[b] When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.
[c] A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.
[d] Clinic-specific outcome rates for women older than 44 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs are not included because of small numbers. Readers are urged to review national outcomes for these age groups (see pages 30, 48 & 57).
[e] All ages (including ages >44) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.

DELAWARE VALLEY INSTITUTE OF FERTILITY AND GENETICS
MARLTON, NEW JERSEY

A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 81–90.

2009 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART [a]

IVF	100%	**Procedural Factors:**	
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	77%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	0%
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	0%
		Used PGD	<1%
		With eSET	2%

Patient Diagnosis

Tubal factor	<1%	Other factor	0%
Ovulatory dysfunction	5%	Unknown factor	0%
Diminished ovarian reserve	0%	*Multiple Factors:*	
Endometriosis	0%	Female factors only	12%
Uterine factor	<1%	Female & male factors	79%
Male factor	3%		

2009 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by George S. Taliadouros, MD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman <35	35–37	38–40	41–42	43–44 [d]
Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of cycles	49	37	25	6	0
Percentage of embryos transferred resulting in implantation [b]	27.6	30.4	19.2	0 / 14	
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies [b]	51.0	51.4	28.0	0 / 6	
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births [b,c]	42.9	35.1	20.0	0 / 6	
(Confidence Interval)	(28.8–57.8)	(20.2–52.5)	(6.8–40.7)		
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births [b,c]	45.7	37.1	21.7	0 / 5	
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	46.7	38.2	22.7	0 / 5	
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births [b]	44.4	35.3	22.7	0 / 5	
Percentage of cancellations [b]	6.1	5.4	8.0	1 / 6	
Average number of embryos transferred	2.3	2.3	2.4	2.8	
Percentage of pregnancies with twins [b]	12.0	6 / 19	3 / 7		
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more [b]	4.0	0 / 19	0 / 7		
Percentage of live births having multiple infants [b,c]	4.8	1 / 13	0 / 5		
Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of transfers	5	4	3	1	0
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	1 / 5	1 / 4	0 / 3	1 / 1	
Average number of embryos transferred	1.8	2.3	1.7	2.0	

Donor Eggs	All Ages Combined [e] Fresh Embryos	Frozen Embryos
Number of transfers	4	2
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	4 / 4	1 / 2
Average number of embryos transferred	2.0	1.5

CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

Current Name: Delaware Valley Institute of Fertility and Genetics

Donor egg?	Yes	Gestational carriers?	Yes	SART member?	Yes
Donor embryo?	No	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	Yes
Single women?	Yes			*(See Appendix C for details.)*	

[a] Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2009 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.
[b] When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.
[c] A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.
[d] Clinic-specific outcome rates for women older than 44 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs are not included because of small numbers. Readers are urged to review national outcomes for these age groups (see pages 30, 48 & 57).
[e] All ages (including ages >44) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.

SOUTH JERSEY FERTILITY CENTER
MARLTON, NEW JERSEY

A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 81–90.

2009 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART [a]

IVF	100%	**Procedural Factors:**	
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	64%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	0%
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	<1%
		Used PGD	3%
		With eSET	6%

Patient Diagnosis

Tubal factor	11%	Other factor	4%
Ovulatory dysfunction	6%	Unknown factor	8%
Diminished ovarian reserve	8%	*Multiple Factors:*	
Endometriosis	<1%	Female factors only	23%
Uterine factor	0%	Female & male factors	25%
Male factor	15%		

2009 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by Robert A. Skaf, MD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman <35	35–37	38–40	41–42	43–44 [d]
Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of cycles	168	92	80	29	11
Percentage of embryos transferred resulting in implantation [b]	30.8	24.7	12.0	9.3	3.7
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies [b]	45.8	39.1	22.5	31.0	1 / 11
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births [b,c]	38.1	30.4	17.5	10.3	1 / 11
(Confidence Interval)	(30.7–45.9)	(21.3–40.9)	(9.9–27.6)	(2.2–27.4)	
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births [b,c]	39.5	33.3	21.2	13.0	1 / 11
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	40.0	34.6	21.9	13.0	1 / 11
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births [b]	28.8	27.2	14.1	13.0	1 / 11
Percentage of cancellations [b]	3.6	8.7	17.5	20.7	0 / 11
Average number of embryos transferred	2.0	2.3	2.9	3.7	2.5
Percentage of pregnancies with twins [b]	28.6	27.8	5 / 18	1 / 9	0 / 1
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more [b]	1.3	0.0	1 / 18	0 / 9	0 / 1
Percentage of live births having multiple infants [b,c]	28.1	21.4	5 / 14	0 / 3	0 / 1
Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of transfers	44	19	14	2	0
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	18.2	5 / 19	1 / 14	0 / 2	
Average number of embryos transferred	2.0	2.0	2.1	1.0	

Donor Eggs	All Ages Combined [e] Fresh Embryos	Frozen Embryos
Number of transfers	27	15
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	40.7	6 / 15
Average number of embryos transferred	2.1	2.0

CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

Current Name: South Jersey Fertility Center

Donor egg?	Yes	Gestational carriers?	Yes	SART member?	Yes
Donor embryo?	Yes	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	Yes
Single women?	Yes			*(See Appendix C for details.)*	

[a] Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2009 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.
[b] When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.
[c] A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.
[d] Clinic-specific outcome rates for women older than 44 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs are not included because of small numbers. Readers are urged to review national outcomes for these age groups (see pages 30, 48 & 57).
[e] All ages (including ages >44) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.

DIAMOND INSTITUTE FOR INFERTILITY
MILLBURN, NEW JERSEY

A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 81–90.

2009 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART [a]

IVF	100%	**Procedural Factors:**	
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	63%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	0%
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	1%
		Used PGD	6%
		With eSET	4%

Patient Diagnosis

Tubal factor	11%	Other factor	0%
Ovulatory dysfunction	11%	Unknown factor	14%
Diminished ovarian reserve	41%	*Multiple Factors:*	
Endometriosis	3%	Female factors only	10%
Uterine factor	<1%	Female & male factors	5%
Male factor	4%		

2009 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by Arie Birkenfeld, MD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman <35	35–37	38–40	41–42	43–44 [d]
Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of cycles	114	61	64	15	9
Percentage of embryos transferred resulting in implantation [b]	38.5	19.4	12.9	21.4	3 / 9
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies [b]	46.5	26.2	21.9	4 / 15	2 / 9
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births [b,c]	38.6	23.0	14.1	1 / 15	1 / 9
(Confidence Interval)	(29.6–48.2)	(13.2–35.5)	(6.6–25.0)		
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births [b,c]	41.1	25.9	16.7	1 / 11	1 / 5
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	42.7	28.6	17.6	1 / 11	1 / 4
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births [b]	27.2	22.4	11.8	1 / 11	0 / 4
Percentage of cancellations [b]	6.1	11.5	15.6	4 / 15	4 / 9
Average number of embryos transferred	1.9	2.1	2.3	2.5	2.3
Percentage of pregnancies with twins [b]	41.5	2 / 16	2 / 14	0 / 4	1 / 2
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more [b]	3.8	1 / 16	1 / 14	1 / 4	0 / 2
Percentage of live births having multiple infants [b,c]	36.4	3 / 14	3 / 9	0 / 1	1 / 1
Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of transfers	48	18	17	1	4
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	54.2	5 / 18	6 / 17	0 / 1	1 / 4
Average number of embryos transferred	1.9	2.1	1.8	2.0	1.5

Donor Eggs	All Ages Combined [e] Fresh Embryos	Frozen Embryos
Number of transfers	24	14
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	37.5	11 / 14
Average number of embryos transferred	2.0	1.9

CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

Current Name: Diamond Institute for Infertility

Donor egg?	Yes	Gestational carriers?	Yes	SART member?	Yes
Donor embryo?	Yes	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	Yes
Single women?	Yes			*(See Appendix C for details.)*	

[a] Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2009 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.
[b] When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.
[c] A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.
[d] Clinic-specific outcome rates for women older than 44 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs are not included because of small numbers. Readers are urged to review national outcomes for these age groups (see pages 30, 48 & 57).
[e] All ages (including ages >44) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.

REPRODUCTIVE MEDICINE ASSOCIATES OF NEW JERSEY
MORRISTOWN, NEW JERSEY

A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 81–90.

2009 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART [a]

IVF	100%	**Procedural Factors:**	
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	75%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	0%
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	2%
		Used PGD	2%
		With eSET	3%

Patient Diagnosis

Tubal factor	4%	Other factor	17%
Ovulatory dysfunction	10%	Unknown factor	0%
Diminished ovarian reserve	3%	*Multiple Factors:*	
Endometriosis	1%	Female factors only	16%
Uterine factor	<1%	Female & male factors	31%
Male factor	18%		

2009 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by Michael R. Drews, MD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman <35	35–37	38–40	41–42	43–44 [d]
Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of cycles	606	311	307	137	98
Percentage of embryos transferred resulting in implantation [b]	43.7	35.7	23.0	11.5	2.8
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies [b]	61.7	53.1	42.7	29.9	10.2
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births [b,c]	51.5	44.4	30.9	16.1	3.1
(Confidence Interval)	(47.4–55.5)	(38.8–50.1)	(25.8–36.4)	(10.3–23.3)	(0.6–8.7)
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births [b,c]	53.6	48.3	37.0	20.2	4.4
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	55.6	50.2	38.0	21.2	4.6
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births [b]	34.2	32.0	28.0	17.3	4.6
Percentage of cancellations [b]	4.0	8.0	16.3	20.4	30.6
Average number of embryos transferred	2.1	2.4	2.7	3.4	3.3
Percentage of pregnancies with twins [b]	35.3	36.4	21.4	14.6	0 / 10
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more [b]	3.7	4.8	6.1	2.4	0 / 10
Percentage of live births having multiple infants [b,c]	38.5	36.2	26.3	18.2	0 / 3
Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of transfers	197	130	82	32	13
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	52.8	46.9	35.4	28.1	4 / 13
Average number of embryos transferred	1.9	1.8	1.8	2.0	1.9

Donor Eggs	All Ages Combined [e] Fresh Embryos	Frozen Embryos
Number of transfers	170	137
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	67.6	48.2
Average number of embryos transferred	2.0	1.9

CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

Current Name: Reproductive Medicine Associates of New Jersey

Donor egg?	Yes	Gestational carriers?	Yes	SART member?	Yes
Donor embryo?	Yes	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	Yes
Single women?	Yes			*(See Appendix C for details.)*	

[a] Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2009 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.
[b] When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.
[c] A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.
[d] Clinic-specific outcome rates for women older than 44 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs are not included because of small numbers. Readers are urged to review national outcomes for these age groups (see pages 30, 48 & 57).
[e] All ages (including ages >44) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.

VALLEY HOSPITAL FERTILITY CENTER
PARAMUS, NEW JERSEY

A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 81–90.

2009 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART [a]

IVF	100%	**Procedural Factors:**	
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	31%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	0%
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	4%
		Used PGD	5%
		With eSET	4%

Patient Diagnosis

Tubal factor	5%	Other factor	6%
Ovulatory dysfunction	16%	Unknown factor	10%
Diminished ovarian reserve	25%	*Multiple Factors:*	
Endometriosis	3%	Female factors only	11%
Uterine factor	4%	Female & male factors	13%
Male factor	8%		

2009 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by Ali Nasseri, MD, PhD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman <35	35–37	38–40	41–42	43–44 [d]
Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of cycles	103	58	63	29	12
Percentage of embryos transferred resulting in implantation [b]	44.0	40.9	26.5	12.3	0.0
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies [b]	58.3	46.6	39.7	27.6	1 / 12
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births [b,c]	49.5	36.2	25.4	10.3	0 / 12
(Confidence Interval)	(39.5–59.5)	(24.0–49.9)	(15.3–37.9)	(2.2–27.4)	
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births [b,c]	51.5	38.9	28.1	13.0	0 / 11
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	52.6	42.9	30.8	13.6	0 / 11
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births [b]	36.1	24.5	21.2	9.1	0 / 11
Percentage of cancellations [b]	3.9	6.9	9.5	20.7	1 / 12
Average number of embryos transferred	1.9	1.9	2.2	2.6	2.6
Percentage of pregnancies with twins [b]	26.7	40.7	32.0	0 / 8	0 / 1
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more [b]	5.0	3.7	0.0	1 / 8	0 / 1
Percentage of live births having multiple infants [b,c]	31.4	42.9	5 / 16	1 / 3	
Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of transfers	23	11	4	3	1
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	17.4	4 / 11	1 / 4	1 / 3	0 / 1
Average number of embryos transferred	2.2	2.2	2.8	2.0	2.0

Donor Eggs	All Ages Combined [e] Fresh Embryos	Frozen Embryos
Number of transfers	1	2
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	0 / 1	0 / 2
Average number of embryos transferred	2.0	2.0

CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

Current Name: Valley Hospital Fertility Center

Donor egg?	Yes	Gestational carriers?	Yes	SART member?	Yes
Donor embryo?	No	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	Yes
Single women?	Yes			*(See Appendix C for details.)*	

[a] Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2009 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.
[b] When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.
[c] A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.
[d] Clinic-specific outcome rates for women older than 44 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs are not included because of small numbers. Readers are urged to review national outcomes for these age groups (see pages 30, 48 & 57).
[e] All ages (including ages >44) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.

IVF NEW JERSEY
SOMERSET, NEW JERSEY

A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 81–90.

2009 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART [a]

IVF	100%	**Procedural Factors:**	
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	28%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	0%
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	3%
		Used PGD	1%
		With eSET	<1%

Patient Diagnosis

Tubal factor	3%	Other factor	5%
Ovulatory dysfunction	7%	Unknown factor	6%
Diminished ovarian reserve	9%	*Multiple Factors:*	
Endometriosis	1%	Female factors only	25%
Uterine factor	2%	Female & male factors	26%
Male factor	15%		

2009 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by Michael C. Darder, MD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman <35	35–37	38–40	41–42	43–44 [d]
Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of cycles	305	133	91	31	8
Percentage of embryos transferred resulting in implantation [b]	32.4	26.9	17.6	11.0	0.0
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies [b]	45.9	42.1	31.9	25.8	0 / 8
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births [b,c]	39.0	36.8	26.4	19.4	0 / 8
(Confidence Interval)	(33.5–44.7)	(28.6–45.6)	(17.7–36.7)	(7.5–37.5)	
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births [b,c]	41.5	39.8	27.6	21.4	0 / 7
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	43.6	43.4	29.3	25.0	0 / 6
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births [b]	24.9	32.7	22.0	25.0	0 / 6
Percentage of cancellations [b]	5.9	7.5	4.4	9.7	1 / 8
Average number of embryos transferred	2.3	2.4	2.8	3.4	3.5
Percentage of pregnancies with twins [b]	42.1	32.1	37.9	2 / 8	
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more [b]	4.3	1.8	3.4	0 / 8	
Percentage of live births having multiple infants [b,c]	42.9	24.5	25.0	0 / 6	
Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of transfers	37	19	6	1	0
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	45.9	9 / 19	4 / 6	0 / 1	
Average number of embryos transferred	1.8	2.1	2.2	2.0	

	All Ages Combined [e]	
Donor Eggs	**Fresh Embryos**	**Frozen Embryos**
Number of transfers	101	32
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	65.3	50.0
Average number of embryos transferred	1.9	2.0

CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

Current Name: IVF New Jersey

Donor egg?	Yes	Gestational carriers?	Yes	SART member?	Yes
Donor embryo?	Yes	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	Yes
Single women?	Yes			*(See Appendix C for details.)*	

[a] Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2009 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.
[b] When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.
[c] A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.
[d] Clinic-specific outcome rates for women older than 44 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs are not included because of small numbers. Readers are urged to review national outcomes for these age groups (see pages 30, 48 & 57).
[e] All ages (including ages >44) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.

REPRODUCTIVE SCIENCE CENTER OF NEW JERSEY
TINTON FALLS, NEW JERSEY

A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 81–90.

2009 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART [a]

IVF	100%	**Procedural Factors:**	
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	43%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	0%
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	<1%
		Used PGD	5%
		With eSET	0%

Patient Diagnosis

Tubal factor	7%	Other factor	2%
Ovulatory dysfunction	3%	Unknown factor	2%
Diminished ovarian reserve	14%	*Multiple Factors:*	
Endometriosis	7%	Female factors only	17%
Uterine factor	<1%	Female & male factors	31%
Male factor	16%		

2009 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by William Ziegler, DO

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman <35	35–37	38–40	41–42	43–44 [d]
Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of cycles	79	39	30	10	6
Percentage of embryos transferred resulting in implantation [b]	28.4	23.0	23.3	8.7	0 / 15
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies [b]	41.8	28.2	43.3	2 / 10	1 / 6
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births [b,c]	39.2	23.1	36.7	2 / 10	0 / 6
(Confidence Interval)	(28.4–50.9)	(11.1–39.3)	(19.9–56.1)		
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births [b,c]	40.3	25.7	39.3	2 / 7	0 / 6
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	41.9	30.0	42.3	2 / 7	0 / 5
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births [b]	29.7	16.7	38.5	2 / 7	0 / 5
Percentage of cancellations [b]	2.5	10.3	6.7	3 / 10	0 / 6
Average number of embryos transferred	2.1	2.0	2.8	3.3	3.0
Percentage of pregnancies with twins [b]	24.2	4 / 11	4 / 13	0 / 2	0 / 1
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more [b]	6.1	0 / 11	0 / 13	0 / 2	0 / 1
Percentage of live births having multiple infants [b,c]	29.0	4 / 9	1 / 11	0 / 2	
Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of transfers	7	4	2	0	0
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	3 / 7	2 / 4	1 / 2		
Average number of embryos transferred	2.3	2.8	2.5		

Donor Eggs	All Ages Combined [e] Fresh Embryos	Frozen Embryos
Number of transfers	22	3
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	59.1	0 / 3
Average number of embryos transferred	2.0	2.3

CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

Current Name: Reproductive Science Center of New Jersey

Donor egg?	Yes	Gestational carriers?	Yes	SART member?	Yes
Donor embryo?	Yes	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	Yes
Single women?	Yes			*(See Appendix C for details.)*	

[a] Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2009 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.
[b] When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.
[c] A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.
[d] Clinic-specific outcome rates for women older than 44 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs are not included because of small numbers. Readers are urged to review national outcomes for these age groups (see pages 30, 48 & 57).
[e] All ages (including ages >44) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.

DR. LOUIS R. MANARA
THE CENTER FOR REPRODUCTIVE MEDICINE AND FERTILITY
VOORHEES, NEW JERSEY

A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 81–90.

2009 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART [a]

IVF	100%	**Procedural Factors:**	
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	40%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	0%
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	0%
		Used PGD	0%
		With eSET	3%

Patient Diagnosis

Tubal factor	10%	Other factor	5%
Ovulatory dysfunction	13%	Unknown factor	26%
Diminished ovarian reserve	7%	*Multiple Factors:*	
Endometriosis	5%	Female factors only	6%
Uterine factor	0%	Female & male factors	17%
Male factor	11%		

2009 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by Louis R. Manara, DO

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman <35	35–37	38–40	41–42	43–44 [d]
Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of cycles	54	21	16	7	3
Percentage of embryos transferred resulting in implantation [b]	35.2	19.5	6.4	0 / 16	2 / 13
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies [b]	44.4	28.6	3 / 16	0 / 7	2 / 3
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births [b,c]	33.3	28.6	2 / 16	0 / 7	2 / 3
(Confidence Interval)	(21.1–47.5)	(11.3–52.2)			
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births [b,c]	38.3	6 / 18	2 / 14	0 / 7	2 / 3
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	40.9	6 / 17	2 / 14	0 / 6	2 / 3
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births [b]	20.5	5 / 17	2 / 14	0 / 6	2 / 3
Percentage of cancellations [b]	13.0	14.3	2 / 16	0 / 7	0 / 3
Average number of embryos transferred	2.1	2.4	3.4	2.7	4.3
Percentage of pregnancies with twins [b]	41.7	0 / 6	0 / 3		0 / 2
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more [b]	0.0	1 / 6	0 / 3		0 / 2
Percentage of live births having multiple infants [b,c]	9 / 18	1 / 6	0 / 2		0 / 2
Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of transfers	14	2	3	2	1
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	2 / 14	0 / 2	0 / 3	0 / 2	0 / 1
Average number of embryos transferred	2.0	2.0	1.3	1.0	2.0

Donor Eggs	All Ages Combined [e] Fresh Embryos	Frozen Embryos
Number of transfers	5	4
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	3 / 5	0 / 4
Average number of embryos transferred	2.0	2.0

CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

Current Name: Dr. Louis R. Manara, The Center for Reproductive Medicine & Fertility

Donor egg?	Yes	Gestational carriers?	Yes	SART member?	Yes
Donor embryo?	No	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	Yes
Single women?	Yes			*(See Appendix C for details.)*	

[a] Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2009 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.
[b] When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.
[c] A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.
[d] Clinic-specific outcome rates for women older than 44 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs are not included because of small numbers. Readers are urged to review national outcomes for these age groups (see pages 30, 48 & 57).
[e] All ages (including ages >44) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.

NORTH JERSEY FERTILITY ASSOCIATES, LLC
WAYNE, NEW JERSEY

A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 81–90.

2009 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART [a]

IVF	100%	**Procedural Factors:**	
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	69%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	0%
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	0%
		Used PGD	0%
		With eSET	0%

Patient Diagnosis

Tubal factor	15%	Other factor	11%
Ovulatory dysfunction	4%	Unknown factor	3%
Diminished ovarian reserve	14%	*Multiple Factors:*	
Endometriosis	0%	Female factors only	21%
Uterine factor	5%	Female & male factors	16%
Male factor	9%		

2009 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by Mark X. Ransom, MD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman <35	35–37	38–40	41–42	43–44 [d]
Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of cycles	32	22	15	10	9
Percentage of embryos transferred resulting in implantation [b]	24.1	15.8	4.2	1 / 13	2 / 16
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies [b]	28.1	18.2	2 / 15	1 / 10	4 / 9
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births [b,c]	21.9	13.6	1 / 15	0 / 10	1 / 9
(Confidence Interval)	(9.3–40.0)	(2.9–34.9)			
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births [b,c]	22.6	3 / 19	1 / 11	0 / 8	1 / 8
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	25.9	3 / 16	1 / 10	0 / 7	1 / 8
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births [b]	14.8	2 / 16	1 / 10	0 / 7	1 / 8
Percentage of cancellations [b]	3.1	13.6	4 / 15	2 / 10	1 / 9
Average number of embryos transferred	2.0	2.4	2.4	1.9	2.0
Percentage of pregnancies with twins [b]	4 / 9	2 / 4	0 / 2	0 / 1	0 / 4
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more [b]	0 / 9	0 / 4	0 / 2	0 / 1	0 / 4
Percentage of live births having multiple infants [b,c]	3 / 7	1 / 3	0 / 1		0 / 1
Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of transfers	1	2	0	0	1
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	0 / 1	0 / 2			0 / 1
Average number of embryos transferred	3.0	2.0			2.0

Donor Eggs	All Ages Combined [e] Fresh Embryos	Frozen Embryos
Number of transfers	3	0
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	2 / 3	
Average number of embryos transferred	3.0	

CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

Current Name: North Jersey Fertility Associates, LLC

Donor egg?	Yes	Gestational carriers?	No	SART member?	Yes
Donor embryo?	Yes	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	Yes
Single women?	Yes			*(See Appendix C for details.)*	

[a] Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2009 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.
[b] When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.
[c] A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.
[d] Clinic-specific outcome rates for women older than 44 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs are not included because of small numbers. Readers are urged to review national outcomes for these age groups (see pages 30, 48 & 57).
[e] All ages (including ages >44) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.

FERTILITY INSTITUTE OF NEW JERSEY AND NEW YORK
WESTWOOD, NEW JERSEY

A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 81–90.

2009 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART [a]

IVF	100%	**Procedural Factors:**	
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	93%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	0%
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	1%
		Used PGD	11%
		With eSET	<1%

Patient Diagnosis

Tubal factor	2%	Other factor	11%
Ovulatory dysfunction	5%	Unknown factor	1%
Diminished ovarian reserve	2%	*Multiple Factors:*	
Endometriosis	0%	Female factors only	36%
Uterine factor	<1%	Female & male factors	40%
Male factor	3%		

2009 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by Daniel Navot, MD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman <35	35–37	38–40	41–42	43–44[d]
Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of cycles	82	55	32	22	4
Percentage of embryos transferred resulting in implantation[b]	22.2	12.7	13.7	4.0	2 / 6
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies[b]	34.1	27.3	25.0	13.6	2 / 4
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births[b,c]	28.0	18.2	15.6	9.1	1 / 4
(Confidence Interval)	(18.7–39.1)	(9.1–30.9)	(5.3–32.8)	(1.1–29.2)	
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births[b,c]	28.8	18.9	16.1	9.1	1 / 4
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births[b,c]	31.1	24.4	18.5	9.1	1 / 2
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births[b]	18.9	19.5	11.1	4.5	1 / 2
Percentage of cancellations[b]	2.4	3.6	3.1	0.0	0 / 4
Average number of embryos transferred	2.3	2.7	2.7	3.4	3.0
Percentage of pregnancies with twins[b]	39.3	2 / 15	2 / 8	1 / 3	0 / 2
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more[b]	0.0	0 / 15	0 / 8	0 / 3	0 / 2
Percentage of live births having multiple infants[b,c]	39.1	2 / 10	2 / 5	1 / 2	0 / 1
Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of transfers	33	7	4	0	0
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births[b,c]	24.2	1 / 7	0 / 4		
Average number of embryos transferred	2.3	2.3	3.3		

Donor Eggs	All Ages Combined[e] Fresh Embryos	Frozen Embryos
Number of transfers	11	17
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births[b,c]	4 / 11	2 / 17
Average number of embryos transferred	2.5	2.5

CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

Current Name: Fertility Institute of New Jersey and New York

Donor egg?	Yes	Gestational carriers?	Yes	SART member?	Yes
Donor embryo?	Yes	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	Yes
Single women?	Yes			*(See Appendix C for details.)*	

[a] Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2009 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.
[b] When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.
[c] A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.
[d] Clinic-specific outcome rates for women older than 44 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs are not included because of small numbers. Readers are urged to review national outcomes for these age groups (see pages 30, 48 & 57).
[e] All ages (including ages >44) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.

CENTER FOR REPRODUCTIVE MEDICINE OF NEW MEXICO
ALBUQUERQUE, NEW MEXICO

A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 81–90.

2009 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART [a]

IVF	100%	**Procedural Factors:**	
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	83%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	0%
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	1%
		Used PGD	6%
		With eSET	2%

Patient Diagnosis

Tubal factor	6%	Other factor	3%
Ovulatory dysfunction	2%	Unknown factor	4%
Diminished ovarian reserve	9%	*Multiple Factors:*	
Endometriosis	4%	Female factors only	11%
Uterine factor	1%	Female & male factors	46%
Male factor	15%		

2009 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by Douglas J. Thompson, MD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman <35	35–37	38–40	41–42	43–44 [d]
Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of cycles	84	36	39	10	4
Percentage of embryos transferred resulting in implantation [b]	43.3	30.1	26.0	7.1	2 / 2
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies [b]	59.5	44.4	46.2	3 / 10	2 / 4
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births [b,c]	50.0	33.3	38.5	1 / 10	1 / 4
(Confidence Interval)	(38.9–61.1)	(18.6–51.0)	(23.4–55.4)		
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births [b,c]	52.5	37.5	45.5	1 / 8	1 / 2
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	54.5	38.7	45.5	1 / 8	1 / 2
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births [b]	35.1	22.6	36.4	1 / 8	1 / 2
Percentage of cancellations [b]	4.8	11.1	15.4	2 / 10	2 / 4
Average number of embryos transferred	2.0	2.4	2.9	3.5	1.0
Percentage of pregnancies with twins [b]	40.0	6 / 16	7 / 18	0 / 3	0 / 2
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more [b]	0.0	0 / 16	0 / 18	0 / 3	0 / 2
Percentage of live births having multiple infants [b,c]	35.7	5 / 12	3 / 15	0 / 1	0 / 1
Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of transfers	32	9	11	2	0
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	43.8	3 / 9	2 / 11	0 / 2	
Average number of embryos transferred	2.3	1.9	2.5	3.0	

Donor Eggs	All Ages Combined [e] Fresh Embryos	Frozen Embryos
Number of transfers	20	30
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	80.0	46.7
Average number of embryos transferred	1.9	2.5

CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

Current Name: Center for Reproductive Medicine of New Mexico

Donor egg?	Yes	Gestational carriers?	Yes	SART member?	Yes
Donor embryo?	Yes	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	Yes
Single women?	Yes			*(See Appendix C for details.)*	

[a] Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2009 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.
[b] When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.
[c] A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.
[d] Clinic-specific outcome rates for women older than 44 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs are not included because of small numbers. Readers are urged to review national outcomes for these age groups (see pages 30, 48 & 57).
[e] All ages (including ages >44) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.

ALBANY IVF FERTILITY
ALBANY, NEW YORK

A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 81–90.

2009 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART [a]

IVF	100%	**Procedural Factors:**	
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	80%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	2%
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	0%
		Used PGD	0%
		With eSET	<1%

Patient Diagnosis

Tubal factor	7%	Other factor	4%
Ovulatory dysfunction	6%	Unknown factor	7%
Diminished ovarian reserve	16%	*Multiple Factors:*	
Endometriosis	2%	Female factors only	18%
Uterine factor	0%	Female & male factors	33%
Male factor	9%		

2009 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by Peter M. Horvath, MD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman <35	35–37	38–40	41–42	43–44 [d]
Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of cycles	104	30	33	17	6
Percentage of embryos transferred resulting in implantation [b]	34.5	20.0	16.1	14.8	0 / 15
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies [b]	43.3	36.7	27.3	2 / 17	0 / 6
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births [b,c]	33.7	26.7	18.2	1 / 17	0 / 6
(Confidence Interval)	(24.7–43.6)	(12.3–45.9)	(7.0–35.5)		
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births [b,c]	38.0	34.8	20.0	1 / 16	0 / 4
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	46.1	38.1	6 / 19	1 / 11	0 / 4
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births [b]	28.9	23.8	5 / 19	1 / 11	0 / 4
Percentage of cancellations [b]	11.5	23.3	9.1	1 / 17	2 / 6
Average number of embryos transferred	2.3	2.9	3.3	2.5	3.8
Percentage of pregnancies with twins [b]	35.6	3 / 11	1 / 9	2 / 2	
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more [b]	4.4	0 / 11	1 / 9	0 / 2	
Percentage of live births having multiple infants [b,c]	37.1	3 / 8	1 / 6	0 / 1	
Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of transfers	15	1	4	3	0
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	2 / 15	0 / 1	0 / 4	0 / 3	
Average number of embryos transferred	2.5	4.0	2.3	1.7	

Donor Eggs	All Ages Combined [e] Fresh Embryos	Frozen Embryos
Number of transfers	12	4
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	8 / 12	1 / 4
Average number of embryos transferred	2.4	2.5

CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

Current Name: Albany IVF Fertility

Donor egg?	Yes	Gestational carriers?	No	SART member?	Yes
Donor embryo?	No	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	Yes
Single women?	Yes			*(See Appendix C for details.)*	

[a] Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2009 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.
[b] When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.
[c] A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.
[d] Clinic-specific outcome rates for women older than 44 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs are not included because of small numbers. Readers are urged to review national outcomes for these age groups (see pages 30, 48 & 57).
[e] All ages (including ages >44) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.

THE FERTILITY INSTITUTE AT NEW YORK METHODIST HOSPITAL
BROOKLYN, NEW YORK

A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 81–90.

2009 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART [a]

IVF	100%	**Procedural Factors:**	
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	65%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	0%
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	0%
		Used PGD	0%
		With eSET	2%

Patient Diagnosis

Tubal factor	3%	Other factor	4%
Ovulatory dysfunction	1%	Unknown factor	<1%
Diminished ovarian reserve	<1%	*Multiple Factors:*	
Endometriosis	2%	Female factors only	72%
Uterine factor	2%	Female & male factors	12%
Male factor	2%		

2009 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by George D. Kofinas, MD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman <35	35–37	38–40	41–42	43–44 [d]
Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of cycles	59	56	52	57	30
Percentage of embryos transferred resulting in implantation [b]	19.6	17.8	11.0	3.3	2.8
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies [b]	32.2	30.4	21.2	5.3	6.7
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births [b,c]	23.7	26.8	19.2	3.5	0.0
(Confidence Interval)	(13.6–36.6)	(15.8–40.3)	(9.6–32.5)	(0.4–12.1)	(0.0–11.6)
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births [b,c]	31.1	35.7	24.4	6.7	0.0
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	34.1	36.6	25.6	6.9	0.0
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births [b]	26.8	26.8	20.5	6.9	0.0
Percentage of cancellations [b]	23.7	25.0	21.2	47.4	23.3
Average number of embryos transferred	2.6	3.3	3.7	3.1	3.4
Percentage of pregnancies with twins [b]	4 / 19	3 / 17	3 / 11	0 / 3	0 / 2
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more [b]	0 / 19	2 / 17	1 / 11	0 / 3	0 / 2
Percentage of live births having multiple infants [b,c]	3 / 14	4 / 15	2 / 10	0 / 2	
Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of transfers	32	16	9	5	6
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	34.4	3 / 16	3 / 9	2 / 5	0 / 6
Average number of embryos transferred	2.4	3.0	2.6	4.0	4.0

Donor Eggs	All Ages Combined [e] Fresh Embryos	Frozen Embryos
Number of transfers	41	37
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	26.8	27.0
Average number of embryos transferred	2.8	2.6

CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

Current Name: The Fertility Institute at New York Methodist Hospital

Donor egg?	Yes	Gestational carriers?	No	SART member?	Yes
Donor embryo?	Yes	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	Yes
Single women?	Yes			*(See Appendix C for details.)*	

[a] Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2009 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.
[b] When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.
[c] A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.
[d] Clinic-specific outcome rates for women older than 44 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs are not included because of small numbers. Readers are urged to review national outcomes for these age groups (see pages 30, 48 & 57).
[e] All ages (including ages >44) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.

GENESIS FERTILITY & REPRODUCTIVE MEDICINE
BROOKLYN, NEW YORK

A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 81–90.

2009 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART [a]

IVF	100%	**Procedural Factors:**	
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	55%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	0%
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	0%
		Used PGD	6%
		With eSET	4%

Patient Diagnosis

Tubal factor	8%	Other factor	10%
Ovulatory dysfunction	3%	Unknown factor	12%
Diminished ovarian reserve	10%	*Multiple Factors:*	
Endometriosis	1%	Female factors only	9%
Uterine factor	0%	Female & male factors	20%
Male factor	27%		

2009 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by Richard V. Grazi, MD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman <35	35–37	38–40	41–42	43–44 [d]
Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of cycles	178	66	62	27	24
Percentage of embryos transferred resulting in implantation [b]	31.1	26.0	14.0	10.6	5.3
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies [b]	37.1	37.9	21.0	14.8	16.7
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births [b,c]	32.0	27.3	8.1	14.8	4.2
(Confidence Interval)	(25.2–39.4)	(17.0–39.6)	(2.7–17.8)	(4.2–33.7)	(0.1–21.1)
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births [b,c]	36.5	32.7	11.4	16.0	1 / 19
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	38.8	34.6	12.2	18.2	1 / 17
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births [b]	23.8	23.1	7.3	13.6	1 / 17
Percentage of cancellations [b]	12.4	16.7	29.0	7.4	20.8
Average number of embryos transferred	2.2	2.4	2.8	3.0	3.4
Percentage of pregnancies with twins [b]	42.4	36.0	4 / 13	1 / 4	0 / 4
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more [b]	6.1	0.0	0 / 13	1 / 4	0 / 4
Percentage of live births having multiple infants [b,c]	38.6	6 / 18	2 / 5	1 / 4	0 / 1
Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of transfers	44	11	5	4	2
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	22.7	2 / 11	1 / 5	2 / 4	0 / 2
Average number of embryos transferred	2.3	2.0	2.6	3.3	3.0

Donor Eggs	All Ages Combined [e] Fresh Embryos	Frozen Embryos
Number of transfers	42	12
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	42.9	1 / 12
Average number of embryos transferred	2.2	2.5

CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

Current Name: Genesis Fertility & Reproductive Medicine

Donor egg?	Yes	Gestational carriers?	No	SART member?	Yes
Donor embryo?	No	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	Yes
Single women?	Yes			*(See Appendix C for details.)*	

[a] Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2009 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.
[b] When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.
[c] A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.
[d] Clinic-specific outcome rates for women older than 44 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs are not included because of small numbers. Readers are urged to review national outcomes for these age groups (see pages 30, 48 & 57).
[e] All ages (including ages >44) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.

INFERTILITY & IVF MEDICAL ASSOCIATES OF WESTERN NEW YORK
BUFFALO, NEW YORK

A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 81–90.

2009 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART [a]

IVF	100%	**Procedural Factors:**	
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	73%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	0%
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	0%
		Used PGD	0%
		With eSET	0%

Patient Diagnosis

Tubal factor	17%	Other factor	0%
Ovulatory dysfunction	6%	Unknown factor	19%
Diminished ovarian reserve	5%	*Multiple Factors:*	
Endometriosis	10%	Female factors only	5%
Uterine factor	0%	Female & male factors	9%
Male factor	29%		

2009 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by Michael W. Sullivan, MD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman <35	35–37	38–40	41–42	43–44 [d]
Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of cycles	175	63	49	11	1
Percentage of embryos transferred resulting in implantation [b]	24.8	17.4	13.1	5.0	0 / 2
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies [b]	34.9	23.8	22.4	1 / 11	1 / 1
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births [b,c]	29.7	23.8	14.3	1 / 11	0 / 1
(Confidence Interval)	(23.1–37.1)	(14.0–36.2)	(5.9–27.2)		
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births [b,c]	33.3	28.8	17.5	1 / 9	0 / 1
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	35.9	30.6	18.9	1 / 7	0 / 1
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births [b]	26.2	22.4	16.2	1 / 7	0 / 1
Percentage of cancellations [b]	10.9	17.5	18.4	2 / 11	0 / 1
Average number of embryos transferred	2.1	2.3	2.9	2.9	2.0
Percentage of pregnancies with twins [b]	24.6	3 / 15	0 / 11	0 / 1	0 / 1
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more [b]	0.0	1 / 15	1 / 11	0 / 1	0 / 1
Percentage of live births having multiple infants [b,c]	26.9	4 / 15	1 / 7	0 / 1	
Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of transfers	15	3	5	1	0
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	5 / 15	0 / 3	3 / 5	0 / 1	
Average number of embryos transferred	2.0	1.7	1.6	2.0	

Donor Eggs	All Ages Combined [e] Fresh Embryos	Frozen Embryos
Number of transfers	8	3
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	0 / 8	1 / 3
Average number of embryos transferred	2.4	2.0

CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

Current Name: Infertility & IVF Medical Associates of Western New York

Donor egg?	Yes	Gestational carriers?	No	SART member?	Yes
Donor embryo?	No	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	Yes
Single women?	Yes			*(See Appendix C for details.)*	

[a] Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2009 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.
[b] When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.
[c] A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.
[d] Clinic-specific outcome rates for women older than 44 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs are not included because of small numbers. Readers are urged to review national outcomes for these age groups (see pages 30, 48 & 57).
[e] All ages (including ages >44) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.

THE NEW YORK FERTILITY CENTER
FLUSHING, NEW YORK

A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 81–90.

2009 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART [a]

IVF	100%	**Procedural Factors:**	
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	76%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	2%
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	0%
		Used PGD	<1%
		With eSET	0%

Patient Diagnosis

Tubal factor	14%	Other factor	8%
Ovulatory dysfunction	19%	Unknown factor	13%
Diminished ovarian reserve	14%	*Multiple Factors:*	
Endometriosis	5%	Female factors only	5%
Uterine factor	11%	Female & male factors	<1%
Male factor	12%		

2009 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by Tony Tsai, MD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman <35	35–37	38–40	41–42	43–44 [d]
Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of cycles	35	16	25	21	21
Percentage of embryos transferred resulting in implantation [b]	20.5	5.3	7.7	0.0	2.2
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies [b]	34.3	3 / 16	20.0	0.0	4.8
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births [b,c]	20.0	2 / 16	8.0	0.0	4.8
(Confidence Interval)	(8.4–36.9)		(1.0–26.0)	(0.0–16.1)	(0.1–23.8)
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births [b,c]	21.9	2 / 13	8.7	0 / 14	1 / 14
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	22.6	2 / 13	9.1	0 / 12	1 / 13
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births [b]	12.9	2 / 13	4.5	0 / 12	1 / 13
Percentage of cancellations [b]	8.6	3 / 16	8.0	33.3	33.3
Average number of embryos transferred	2.8	2.9	3.0	2.3	3.5
Percentage of pregnancies with twins [b]	7 / 12	0 / 3	1 / 5		0 / 1
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more [b]	0 / 12	0 / 3	0 / 5		0 / 1
Percentage of live births having multiple infants [b,c]	3 / 7	0 / 2	1 / 2		0 / 1
Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of transfers	4	1	1	0	0
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	1 / 4	0 / 1	0 / 1		
Average number of embryos transferred	2.0	2.0	2.0		

Donor Eggs	All Ages Combined [e] Fresh Embryos	Frozen Embryos
Number of transfers	0	0
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]		
Average number of embryos transferred		

CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

Current Name: The New York Fertility Center

Donor egg?	Yes	Gestational carriers?	No	SART member?	No
Donor embryo?	Yes	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	Yes
Single women?	Yes			*(See Appendix C for details.)*	

[a] Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2009 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.
[b] When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.
[c] A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.
[d] Clinic-specific outcome rates for women older than 44 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs are not included because of small numbers. Readers are urged to review national outcomes for these age groups (see pages 30, 48 & 57).
[e] All ages (including ages >44) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.

MONTEFIORE'S INSTITUTE FOR REPRODUCTIVE MEDICINE AND HEALTH
HARTSDALE, NEW YORK

A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 81–90.

2009 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART [a]

IVF	100%	**Procedural Factors:**	
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	64%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	0%
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	0%
		Used PGD	2%
		With eSET	3%

Patient Diagnosis

Tubal factor	16%	Other factor	3%
Ovulatory dysfunction	6%	Unknown factor	4%
Diminished ovarian reserve	13%	*Multiple Factors:*	
Endometriosis	1%	Female factors only	19%
Uterine factor	<1%	Female & male factors	18%
Male factor	18%		

2009 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by Harry J. Lieman, MD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman <35	35–37	38–40	41–42	43–44 [d]
Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of cycles	59	42	32	26	10
Percentage of embryos transferred resulting in implantation [b]	34.3	21.7	20.5	8.3	0 / 19
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies [b]	40.7	28.6	28.1	19.2	0 / 10
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births [b,c]	35.6	21.4	28.1	7.7	0 / 10
(Confidence Interval)	(23.6–49.1)	(10.3–36.8)	(13.7–46.7)	(0.9–25.1)	
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births [b,c]	40.4	28.1	33.3	8.7	0 / 8
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	46.7	29.0	36.0	9.5	0 / 7
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births [b]	28.9	12.9	16.0	4.8	0 / 7
Percentage of cancellations [b]	11.9	23.8	15.6	11.5	2 / 10
Average number of embryos transferred	2.3	2.2	2.9	3.4	2.7
Percentage of pregnancies with twins [b]	41.7	5 / 12	4 / 9	2 / 5	
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more [b]	4.2	0 / 12	1 / 9	0 / 5	
Percentage of live births having multiple infants [b,c]	38.1	5 / 9	5 / 9	1 / 2	
Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of transfers	8	5	4	0	0
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	2 / 8	2 / 5	2 / 4		
Average number of embryos transferred	2.4	1.8	2.3		

Donor Eggs	All Ages Combined [e] Fresh Embryos	Frozen Embryos
Number of transfers	5	9
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	0 / 5	2 / 9
Average number of embryos transferred	2.0	1.7

CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

Current Name: Montefiore's Institute for Reproductive Medicine and Health

Donor egg?	Yes	Gestational carriers?	Yes	SART member?	Yes
Donor embryo?	Yes	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	Yes
Single women?	Yes			*(See Appendix C for details.)*	

[a] Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2009 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.
[b] When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.
[c] A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.
[d] Clinic-specific outcome rates for women older than 44 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs are not included because of small numbers. Readers are urged to review national outcomes for these age groups (see pages 30, 48 & 57).
[e] All ages (including ages >44) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.

NORTH SHORE UNIVERSITY HOSPITAL
CENTER FOR HUMAN REPRODUCTION
MANHASSET, NEW YORK

A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 81–90.

2009 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART [a]

IVF	100%	**Procedural Factors:**	
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	92%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	0%
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	0%
		Used PGD	2%
		With eSET	<1%

Patient Diagnosis

Tubal factor	13%	Other factor	6%
Ovulatory dysfunction	7%	Unknown factor	20%
Diminished ovarian reserve	10%	*Multiple Factors:*	
Endometriosis	6%	Female factors only	3%
Uterine factor	2%	Female & male factors	10%
Male factor	24%		

2009 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by Avner Hershlag, MD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman <35	35–37	38–40	41–42	43–44[d]
Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of cycles	178	96	111	50	27
Percentage of embryos transferred resulting in implantation[b]	29.0	25.7	16.6	7.5	3.1
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies[b]	45.5	45.8	34.2	20.0	7.4
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births[b,c]	41.6	38.5	26.1	14.0	3.7
(Confidence Interval)	(34.2–49.2)	(28.8–49.0)	(18.2–35.3)	(5.8–26.7)	(0.1–19.0)
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births[b,c]	43.8	40.2	28.7	15.2	5.0
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births[b,c]	46.8	41.6	30.5	15.6	1 / 19
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births[b]	35.4	25.8	23.2	13.3	1 / 19
Percentage of cancellations[b]	5.1	4.2	9.0	8.0	25.9
Average number of embryos transferred	2.2	2.7	3.2	3.2	3.4
Percentage of pregnancies with twins[b]	27.2	15.9	34.2	1 / 10	0 / 2
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more[b]	0.0	18.2	2.6	0 / 10	0 / 2
Percentage of live births having multiple infants[b,c]	24.3	37.8	24.1	1 / 7	0 / 1
Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of transfers	83	45	43	9	11
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births[b,c]	30.1	31.1	9.3	2 / 9	1 / 11
Average number of embryos transferred	2.5	2.6	2.8	2.8	3.4

Donor Eggs	All Ages Combined[e] Fresh Embryos	Frozen Embryos
Number of transfers	19	12
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births[b,c]	9 / 19	2 / 12
Average number of embryos transferred	2.0	2.4

CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

Current Name: North Shore University Hospital, Center for Human Reproduction

Donor egg?	Yes	Gestational carriers?	Yes	SART member?	Yes
Donor embryo?	No	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	Yes
Single women?	Yes			*(See Appendix C for details.)*	

[a] Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2009 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.
[b] When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.
[c] A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.
[d] Clinic-specific outcome rates for women older than 44 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs are not included because of small numbers. Readers are urged to review national outcomes for these age groups (see pages 30, 48 & 57).
[e] All ages (including ages >44) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.

LONG ISLAND IVF
MELVILLE, NEW YORK

A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 81–90.

2009 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART [a]

IVF	100%	**Procedural Factors:**	
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	76%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	<1%
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	0%
		Used PGD	4%
		With eSET	<1%

Patient Diagnosis

Tubal factor	8%	Other factor	11%
Ovulatory dysfunction	7%	Unknown factor	13%
Diminished ovarian reserve	7%	*Multiple Factors:*	
Endometriosis	4%	Female factors only	14%
Uterine factor	2%	Female & male factors	13%
Male factor	21%		

2009 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by Daniel Kenigsberg, MD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman <35	35–37	38–40	41–42	43–44 [d]
Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of cycles	142	77	92	54	27
Percentage of embryos transferred resulting in implantation [b]	37.8	19.1	15.4	12.1	4.3
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies [b]	52.8	33.8	34.8	31.5	11.1
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births [b,c]	46.5	23.4	20.7	20.4	7.4
(Confidence Interval)	(38.1–55.0)	(14.5–34.4)	(12.9–30.4)	(10.6–33.5)	(0.9–24.3)
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births [b,c]	48.2	24.3	22.6	21.6	8.7
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	49.6	25.7	24.7	25.0	9.5
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births [b]	30.8	20.0	20.8	18.2	9.5
Percentage of cancellations [b]	3.5	3.9	8.7	5.6	14.8
Average number of embryos transferred	2.1	2.2	2.8	3.6	3.3
Percentage of pregnancies with twins [b]	40.0	23.1	15.6	4 / 17	0 / 3
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more [b]	1.3	0.0	0.0	0 / 17	0 / 3
Percentage of live births having multiple infants [b,c]	37.9	4 / 18	3 / 19	3 / 11	0 / 2
Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of transfers	81	56	59	13	6
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	30.9	39.3	33.9	5 / 13	2 / 6
Average number of embryos transferred	2.2	2.3	2.5	3.1	2.8

Donor Eggs	All Ages Combined [e] Fresh Embryos	Frozen Embryos
Number of transfers	40	48
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	52.5	33.3
Average number of embryos transferred	2.0	2.2

CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

Current Name: Long Island IVF

Donor egg?	Yes	Gestational carriers?	Yes	SART member?	Yes
Donor embryo?	Yes	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	Yes
Single women?	Yes			*(See Appendix C for details.)*	

[a] Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2009 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.
[b] When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.
[c] A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.
[d] Clinic-specific outcome rates for women older than 44 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs are not included because of small numbers. Readers are urged to review national outcomes for these age groups (see pages 30, 48 & 57).
[e] All ages (including ages >44) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.

REPRODUCTIVE SPECIALISTS OF NEW YORK
MINEOLA, NEW YORK

A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 81–90.

2009 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART [a]

IVF	100%	**Procedural Factors:**	
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	71%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	<1%
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	<1%
		Used PGD	3%
		With eSET	11%

Patient Diagnosis

Tubal factor	9%	Other factor	6%
Ovulatory dysfunction	9%	Unknown factor	14%
Diminished ovarian reserve	8%	*Multiple Factors:*	
Endometriosis	2%	Female factors only	10%
Uterine factor	3%	Female & male factors	18%
Male factor	23%		

2009 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by Gabriel A. San Roman, MD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman <35	35–37	38–40	41–42	43–44 [d]
Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of cycles	348	186	227	136	105
Percentage of embryos transferred resulting in implantation [b]	30.3	23.6	12.5	5.7	3.4
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies [b]	41.7	36.6	25.1	12.5	9.5
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births [b,c]	33.0	29.6	18.5	8.1	5.7
(Confidence Interval)	(28.1–38.3)	(23.1–36.7)	(13.7–24.2)	(4.1–14.0)	(2.1–12.0)
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births [b,c]	35.5	33.3	21.2	9.8	7.2
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	37.7	35.7	22.0	10.8	8.7
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births [b]	28.2	27.3	18.8	9.8	7.2
Percentage of cancellations [b]	6.9	11.3	12.8	17.6	21.0
Average number of embryos transferred	1.8	2.1	2.6	3.3	3.4
Percentage of pregnancies with twins [b]	22.1	19.1	19.3	1 / 17	1 / 10
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more [b]	2.8	1.5	3.5	2 / 17	0 / 10
Percentage of live births having multiple infants [b,c]	25.2	23.6	14.3	1 / 11	1 / 6
Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of transfers	171	69	68	10	4
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	22.8	23.2	10.3	2 / 10	0 / 4
Average number of embryos transferred	1.8	1.7	1.7	1.6	2.0

Donor Eggs	All Ages Combined [e] Fresh Embryos	Frozen Embryos
Number of transfers	29	26
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	41.4	19.2
Average number of embryos transferred	1.9	1.8

CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

Current Name: Reproductive Specialists of New York

Donor egg?	Yes	Gestational carriers?	Yes	SART member?	Yes
Donor embryo?	Yes	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	Yes
Single women?	Yes			*(See Appendix C for details.)*	

[a] Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2009 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.
[b] When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.
[c] A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.
[d] Clinic-specific outcome rates for women older than 44 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs are not included because of small numbers. Readers are urged to review national outcomes for these age groups (see pages 30, 48 & 57).
[e] All ages (including ages >44) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.

ADVANCED FERTILITY SERVICES
NEW YORK, NEW YORK

A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 81–90.

2009 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART [a]

IVF	100%	**Procedural Factors:**	
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	89%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	<1%
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	0%
		Used PGD	2%
		With eSET	1%

Patient Diagnosis

Tubal factor	17%	Other factor	12%
Ovulatory dysfunction	5%	Unknown factor	15%
Diminished ovarian reserve	18%	*Multiple Factors:*	
Endometriosis	2%	Female factors only	4%
Uterine factor	<1%	Female & male factors	10%
Male factor	17%		

2009 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by Hugh D. Melnick, MD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman <35	35–37	38–40	41–42	43–44 [d]
Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of cycles	74	66	82	38	26
Percentage of embryos transferred resulting in implantation [b]	24.2	16.0	8.0	2.9	0.0
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies [b]	39.2	28.8	13.4	7.9	0.0
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births [b,c]	32.4	24.2	8.5	5.3	0.0
(Confidence Interval)	(22.0–44.3)	(14.5–36.4)	(3.5–16.8)	(0.6–17.7)	(0.0–13.2)
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births [b,c]	33.8	25.8	9.3	5.6	0.0
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	38.1	28.1	11.3	5.9	0 / 19
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births [b]	22.2	19.3	6.5	5.9	0 / 19
Percentage of cancellations [b]	4.1	6.1	8.5	5.3	3.8
Average number of embryos transferred	2.8	3.2	3.2	3.0	2.1
Percentage of pregnancies with twins [b]	41.4	3 / 19	3 / 11	0 / 3	
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more [b]	3.4	3 / 19	1 / 11	0 / 3	
Percentage of live births having multiple infants [b,c]	41.7	5 / 16	3 / 7	0 / 2	
Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of transfers	15	14	10	0	1
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	6 / 15	4 / 14	0 / 10		0 / 1
Average number of embryos transferred	2.9	3.0	2.9		4.0

Donor Eggs	All Ages Combined [e] Fresh Embryos	Frozen Embryos
Number of transfers	19	22
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	9 / 19	18.2
Average number of embryos transferred	2.8	2.6

CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

Current Name: Advanced Fertility Services

Donor egg?	Yes	Gestational carriers?	Yes	SART member?	Yes
Donor embryo?	No	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	Yes
Single women?	Yes			*(See Appendix C for details.)*	

[a] Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2009 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.
[b] When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.
[c] A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.
[d] Clinic-specific outcome rates for women older than 44 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs are not included because of small numbers. Readers are urged to review national outcomes for these age groups (see pages 30, 48 & 57).
[e] All ages (including ages >44) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.

AMERICAN FERTILITY SERVICES, PC
NEW YORK, NEW YORK

A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 81–90.

2009 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART [a]

IVF	100%	**Procedural Factors:**	
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	86%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	1%
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	0%
		Used PGD	6%
		With eSET	1%

Patient Diagnosis

Tubal factor	8%	Other factor	12%
Ovulatory dysfunction	3%	Unknown factor	22%
Diminished ovarian reserve	32%	*Multiple Factors:*	
Endometriosis	3%	Female factors only	11%
Uterine factor	<1%	Female & male factors	4%
Male factor	5%		

2009 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by Andrew Loucopoulos, MD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman <35	35–37	38–40	41–42	43–44[d]
Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of cycles	100	73	97	56	35
Percentage of embryos transferred resulting in implantation[b]	16.2	13.1	9.9	4.4	1.9
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies[b]	25.0	21.9	14.4	7.1	2.9
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births[b,c]	19.0	15.1	9.3	5.4	0.0
(Confidence Interval)	(11.8–28.1)	(7.8–25.4)	(4.3–16.9)	(1.1–14.9)	(0.0–10.0)
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births[b,c]	19.8	15.9	10.3	6.1	0.0
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births[b,c]	23.8	18.3	12.2	7.9	0.0
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births[b]	18.8	15.0	8.1	7.9	0.0
Percentage of cancellations[b]	4.0	5.5	10.3	12.5	8.6
Average number of embryos transferred	2.3	2.3	2.4	2.4	2.2
Percentage of pregnancies with twins[b]	28.0	2 / 16	3 / 14	0 / 4	0 / 1
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more[b]	0.0	1 / 16	1 / 14	0 / 4	0 / 1
Percentage of live births having multiple infants[b,c]	4 / 19	2 / 11	3 / 9	0 / 3	
Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of transfers	17	21	10	1	0
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births[b,c]	2 / 17	9.5	1 / 10	0 / 1	
Average number of embryos transferred	2.4	2.0	2.3	1.0	

Donor Eggs	All Ages Combined[e] Fresh Embryos	Frozen Embryos
Number of transfers	18	13
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births[b,c]	5 / 18	3 / 13
Average number of embryos transferred	2.2	2.7

CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

Current Name: American Fertility Services, PC

Donor egg?	Yes	Gestational carriers?	No	SART member?	Yes
Donor embryo?	Yes	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	Yes
Single women?	Yes			*(See Appendix C for details.)*	

[a] Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2009 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.
[b] When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.
[c] A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.
[d] Clinic-specific outcome rates for women older than 44 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs are not included because of small numbers. Readers are urged to review national outcomes for these age groups (see pages 30, 48 & 57).
[e] All ages (including ages >44) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.

BATZOFIN FERTILITY SERVICES
NEW YORK, NEW YORK

A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 81–90.

2009 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART [a]

IVF	100%	**Procedural Factors:**	
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	91%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	2%
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	12%
		Used PGD	2%
		With eSET	1%

Patient Diagnosis

Tubal factor	<1%	Other factor	8%
Ovulatory dysfunction	10%	Unknown factor	23%
Diminished ovarian reserve	31%	*Multiple Factors:*	
Endometriosis	4%	Female factors only	10%
Uterine factor	5%	Female & male factors	3%
Male factor	4%		

2009 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by Joel Batzofin, MD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman <35	35–37	38–40	41–42	43–44[d]
Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of cycles	32	23	17	7	9
Percentage of embryos transferred resulting in implantation[b]	19.7	10.0	4.3	2 / 17	0.0
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies[b]	37.5	13.0	3 / 17	2 / 7	1 / 9
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births[b,c]	25.0	13.0	2 / 17	2 / 7	0 / 9
(Confidence Interval)	(11.5–43.4)	(2.8–33.6)			
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births[b,c]	25.8	14.3	2 / 16	2 / 7	0 / 9
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births[b,c]	27.6	15.0	2 / 14	2 / 6	0 / 7
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births[b]	13.8	10.0	2 / 14	2 / 6	0 / 7
Percentage of cancellations[b]	3.1	8.7	1 / 17	0 / 7	0 / 9
Average number of embryos transferred	2.4	2.5	3.4	2.8	3.6
Percentage of pregnancies with twins[b]	4 / 12	2 / 3	0 / 3	0 / 2	0 / 1
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more[b]	0 / 12	0 / 3	0 / 3	0 / 2	0 / 1
Percentage of live births having multiple infants[b,c]	4 / 8	1 / 3	0 / 2	0 / 2	
Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of transfers	3	5	0	0	2
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births[b,c]	1 / 3	0 / 5			0 / 2
Average number of embryos transferred	2.7	2.0			1.5

Donor Eggs	All Ages Combined[e] Fresh Embryos	Frozen Embryos
Number of transfers	26	2
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births[b,c]	50.0	0 / 2
Average number of embryos transferred	2.5	2.0

CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

Current Name: Batzofin Fertility Services

Donor egg?	Yes	Gestational carriers?	Yes	SART member?	Yes
Donor embryo?	Yes	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	Yes
Single women?	Yes			*(See Appendix C for details.)*	

[a] Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2009 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.
[b] When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.
[c] A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.
[d] Clinic-specific outcome rates for women older than 44 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs are not included because of small numbers. Readers are urged to review national outcomes for these age groups (see pages 30, 48 & 57).
[e] All ages (including ages >44) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.

BETH ISRAEL CENTER FOR INFERTILITY & REPRODUCTIVE HEALTH
NEW YORK, NEW YORK

A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 81–90.

2009 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART [a]

IVF	100%	**Procedural Factors:**	
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	71%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	0%
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	0%
		Used PGD	0%
		With eSET	2%

Patient Diagnosis

Tubal factor	14%	Other factor	0%
Ovulatory dysfunction	6%	Unknown factor	13%
Diminished ovarian reserve	15%	*Multiple Factors:*	
Endometriosis	1%	Female factors only	1%
Uterine factor	<1%	Female & male factors	22%
Male factor	27%		

2009 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by Peter Chang, MD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman <35	35–37	38–40	41–42	43–44 [d]
Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of cycles	36	15	40	8	5
Percentage of embryos transferred resulting in implantation [b]	22.8	33.3	11.5	0.0	0 / 16
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies [b]	30.6	3 / 15	25.0	1 / 8	0 / 5
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births [b,c]	25.0	3 / 15	22.5	0 / 8	0 / 5
(Confidence Interval)	(12.1–42.2)		(10.8–38.5)		
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births [b,c]	28.1	3 / 10	36.0	0 / 6	0 / 5
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	39.1	3 / 7	45.0	0 / 5	0 / 5
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births [b]	30.4	1 / 7	45.0	0 / 5	0 / 5
Percentage of cancellations [b]	11.1	5 / 15	37.5	2 / 8	0 / 5
Average number of embryos transferred	2.5	3.0	4.8	5.4	3.2
Percentage of pregnancies with twins [b]	1 / 11	1 / 3	1 / 10	0 / 1	
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more [b]	1 / 11	1 / 3	0 / 10	0 / 1	
Percentage of live births having multiple infants [b,c]	2 / 9	2 / 3	0 / 9		
Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of transfers	21	8	5	2	0
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	42.9	6 / 8	1 / 5	1 / 2	
Average number of embryos transferred	2.6	3.3	4.8	4.0	

Donor Eggs	All Ages Combined [e] Fresh Embryos	Frozen Embryos
Number of transfers	8	6
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	7 / 8	2 / 6
Average number of embryos transferred	2.4	3.2

CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

Current Name: Beth Israel Center for Infertility & Reproductive Health

Donor egg?	Yes	Gestational carriers?	No	SART member?	Yes
Donor embryo?	No	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	Yes
Single women?	Yes			*(See Appendix C for details.)*	

[a] Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2009 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.
[b] When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.
[c] A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.
[d] Clinic-specific outcome rates for women older than 44 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs are not included because of small numbers. Readers are urged to review national outcomes for these age groups (see pages 30, 48 & 57).
[e] All ages (including ages >44) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.

BROOKLYN/WESTSIDE FERTILITY CENTER
BROOKLYN FERTILITY CENTER
NEW YORK, NEW YORK

A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 81–90.

2009 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART [a]

IVF	100%	**Procedural Factors:**	
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	93%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	0%
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	0%
		Used PGD	4%
		With eSET	0%

Patient Diagnosis

Tubal factor	0%	Other factor	5%
Ovulatory dysfunction	0%	Unknown factor	0%
Diminished ovarian reserve	0%	*Multiple Factors:*	
Endometriosis	0%	Female factors only	22%
Uterine factor	3%	Female & male factors	68%
Male factor	3%		

2009 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by Dov B. Goldstein, MD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman <35	35–37	38–40	41–42	43–44 [d]
Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of cycles	8	5	6	4	3
Percentage of embryos transferred resulting in implantation [b]	4 / 14	2 / 10	1 / 15	1 / 12	0 / 5
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies [b]	3 / 8	2 / 5	1 / 6	1 / 4	0 / 3
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births [b,c] (Confidence Interval)	2 / 8	1 / 5	1 / 6	1 / 4	0 / 3
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births [b,c]	2 / 8	1 / 4	1 / 6	1 / 4	0 / 3
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	2 / 7	1 / 3	1 / 6	1 / 4	0 / 2
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births [b]	1 / 7	1 / 3	1 / 6	1 / 4	0 / 2
Percentage of cancellations [b]	0 / 8	1 / 5	0 / 6	0 / 4	0 / 3
Average number of embryos transferred	2.0	3.3	2.5	3.0	2.5
Percentage of pregnancies with twins [b]	1 / 3	0 / 2	0 / 1	0 / 1	
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more [b]	0 / 3	0 / 2	0 / 1	0 / 1	
Percentage of live births having multiple infants [b,c]	1 / 2	0 / 1	0 / 1	0 / 1	
Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of transfers	7	1	0	2	0
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	1 / 7	0 / 1		0 / 2	
Average number of embryos transferred	2.4	2.0		3.5	

Donor Eggs	All Ages Combined [e] Fresh Embryos	Frozen Embryos
Number of transfers	0	0
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]		
Average number of embryos transferred		

CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

Current Name: Brooklyn/Westside Fertility Center, Brooklyn Fertility Center

Donor egg?	Yes	Gestational carriers?	Yes	SART member?	Yes
Donor embryo?	No	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	Yes
Single women?	Yes			*(See Appendix C for details.)*	

[a] Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2009 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.
[b] When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.
[c] A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.
[d] Clinic-specific outcome rates for women older than 44 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs are not included because of small numbers. Readers are urged to review national outcomes for these age groups (see pages 30, 48 & 57).
[e] All ages (including ages >44) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.

COLUMBIA UNIVERSITY CENTER FOR WOMEN'S REPRODUCTIVE CARE
NEW YORK, NEW YORK

A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 81–90.

2009 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART [a]

IVF	100%	**Procedural Factors:**	
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	62%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	0%
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	0%
		Used PGD	<1%
		With eSET	<1%

Patient Diagnosis

Tubal factor	4%	Other factor	4%
Ovulatory dysfunction	4%	Unknown factor	8%
Diminished ovarian reserve	37%	*Multiple Factors:*	
Endometriosis	<1%	Female factors only	2%
Uterine factor	<1%	Female & male factors	17%
Male factor	24%		

2009 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by Michael M. Guarnaccia, MD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman <35	35–37	38–40	41–42	43–44 [d]
Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of cycles	423	313	422	243	174
Percentage of embryos transferred resulting in implantation [b]	37.2	24.7	18.2	8.2	2.0
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies [b]	43.7	34.2	27.5	19.8	5.7
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births [b,c]	36.6	30.0	21.1	9.5	2.3
(Confidence Interval)	(32.0–41.4)	(25.0–35.4)	(17.3–25.3)	(6.1–13.9)	(0.6–5.8)
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births [b,c]	40.7	34.9	26.7	12.4	3.2
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	46.7	40.3	32.6	15.3	4.2
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births [b]	25.9	31.3	24.5	11.3	4.2
Percentage of cancellations [b]	9.9	14.1	21.1	23.9	28.7
Average number of embryos transferred	2.1	2.3	2.7	3.2	3.7
Percentage of pregnancies with twins [b]	43.8	21.5	25.0	10.4	0 / 10
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more [b]	2.2	4.7	2.6	4.2	0 / 10
Percentage of live births having multiple infants [b,c]	44.5	22.3	24.7	26.1	0 / 4
Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of transfers	61	43	32	11	8
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	34.4	39.5	37.5	3 / 11	2 / 8
Average number of embryos transferred	2.1	1.9	2.1	2.1	1.9

	All Ages Combined [e]	
Donor Eggs	**Fresh Embryos**	**Frozen Embryos**
Number of transfers	109	27
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	47.7	11.1
Average number of embryos transferred	2.3	1.8

CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

Current Name: Columbia University Center for Women's Reproductive Care

Donor egg?	Yes	Gestational carriers?	Yes	SART member?	Yes
Donor embryo?	Yes	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	Yes
Single women?	Yes			*(See Appendix C for details.)*	

[a] Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2009 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.
[b] When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.
[c] A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.
[d] Clinic-specific outcome rates for women older than 44 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs are not included because of small numbers. Readers are urged to review national outcomes for these age groups (see pages 30, 48 & 57).
[e] All ages (including ages >44) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.

IVF NEW YORK
NEW YORK, NEW YORK

A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 81–90.

2009 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART [a]

IVF	100%	**Procedural Factors:**	
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	100%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	0%
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	0%
		Used PGD	0%
		With eSET	0%

Patient Diagnosis

Tubal factor	50%	Other factor	0%
Ovulatory dysfunction	25%	Unknown factor	17%
Diminished ovarian reserve	8%	*Multiple Factors:*	
Endometriosis	0%	Female factors only	0%
Uterine factor	0%	Female & male factors	0%
Male factor	0%		

2009 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by Satwant K. Dhamoon, MD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman <35	35–37	38–40	41–42	43–44[d]
Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of cycles	4	1	3	0	1
Percentage of embryos transferred resulting in implantation[b]	2 / 11	2 / 4	1 / 7		0 / 3
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies[b]	1 / 4	1 / 1	1 / 3		0 / 1
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births[b,c] (Confidence Interval)	1 / 4	1 / 1	1 / 3		0 / 1
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births[b,c]	1 / 4	1 / 1	1 / 3		0 / 1
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births[b,c]	1 / 4	1 / 1	1 / 3		0 / 1
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births[b]	1 / 4	1 / 1	1 / 3		0 / 1
Percentage of cancellations[b]	0 / 4	0 / 1	0 / 3		0 / 1
Average number of embryos transferred	2.8	4.0	2.3		3.0
Percentage of pregnancies with twins[b]	1 / 1	1 / 1	0 / 1		
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more[b]	0 / 1	0 / 1	0 / 1		
Percentage of live births having multiple infants[b,c]	0 / 1	0 / 1	0 / 1		
Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of transfers	2	0	0	0	0
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births[b,c]	0 / 2				
Average number of embryos transferred	2.5				

Donor Eggs	All Ages Combined[e] Fresh Embryos	Frozen Embryos
Number of transfers	1	0
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births[b,c]	0 / 1	
Average number of embryos transferred	2.0	

CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

Current Name: This clinic has closed or reorganized since 2009. Information on current clinic services and profile therefore is not provided here. Contact the NASS Help Desk for current information about this clinic.

[a] Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2009 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.
[b] When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.
[c] A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.
[d] Clinic-specific outcome rates for women older than 44 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs are not included because of small numbers. Readers are urged to review national outcomes for these age groups (see pages 30, 48 & 57).
[e] All ages (including ages >44) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.

MANHATTAN REPRODUCTIVE MEDICINE
NEW YORK, NEW YORK

A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 81–90.

2009 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART [a]

IVF	100%	**Procedural Factors:**	
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	95%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	0%
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	0%
		Used PGD	0%
		With eSET	0%

Patient Diagnosis

Tubal factor	3%	Other factor	7%
Ovulatory dysfunction	0%	Unknown factor	2%
Diminished ovarian reserve	17%	*Multiple Factors:*	
Endometriosis	0%	Female factors only	47%
Uterine factor	0%	Female & male factors	22%
Male factor	3%		

2009 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by Hanna Jesionowska, MD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman <35	35–37	38–40	41–42	43–44[d]
Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of cycles	18	2	5	8	4
Percentage of embryos transferred resulting in implantation[b]	25.0	2 / 6	2 / 11	0.0	0 / 12
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies[b]	9 / 18	1 / 2	2 / 5	0 / 8	0 / 4
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births[b,c] (Confidence Interval)	5 / 18	1 / 2	2 / 5	0 / 8	0 / 4
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births[b,c]	5 / 18	1 / 2	2 / 4	0 / 8	0 / 4
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births[b,c]	5 / 18	1 / 2	2 / 3	0 / 8	0 / 4
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births[b]	1 / 18	1 / 2	2 / 3	0 / 8	0 / 4
Percentage of cancellations[b]	0 / 18	0 / 2	1 / 5	0 / 8	0 / 4
Average number of embryos transferred	2.9	3.0	3.7	2.6	3.0
Percentage of pregnancies with twins[b]	4 / 9	1 / 1	0 / 2		
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more[b]	1 / 9	0 / 1	0 / 2		
Percentage of live births having multiple infants[b,c]	4 / 5	0 / 1	0 / 2		
Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of transfers	4	1	1	0	0
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births[b,c]	2 / 4	0 / 1	0 / 1		
Average number of embryos transferred	2.5	4.0	1.0		

Donor Eggs	All Ages Combined[e] Fresh Embryos	Frozen Embryos
Number of transfers	13	1
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births[b,c]	7 / 13	0 / 1
Average number of embryos transferred	3.7	1.0

CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

Current Name: Manhattan Reproductive Medicine

Donor egg?	Yes	Gestational carriers?	No	SART member?	Yes
Donor embryo?	Yes	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	Yes
Single women?	Yes			*(See Appendix C for details.)*	

[a] Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2009 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.
[b] When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.
[c] A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.
[d] Clinic-specific outcome rates for women older than 44 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs are not included because of small numbers. Readers are urged to review national outcomes for these age groups (see pages 30, 48 & 57).
[e] All ages (including ages >44) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.

MEDICAL OFFICES FOR HUMAN REPRODUCTION
CENTER FOR HUMAN REPRODUCTION (CHR)
NEW YORK, NEW YORK

A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 81–90.

2009 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART [a]

IVF	100%	**Procedural Factors:**	
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	81%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	<1%
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	0%
		Used PGD	7%
		With eSET	2%

Patient Diagnosis

Tubal factor	7%	Other factor	15%
Ovulatory dysfunction	2%	Unknown factor	2%
Diminished ovarian reserve	34%	*Multiple Factors:*	
Endometriosis	<1%	Female factors only	21%
Uterine factor	<1%	Female & male factors	16%
Male factor	3%		

2009 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by Norbert Gleicher, MD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman <35	35–37	38–40	41–42	43–44 [d]
Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of cycles	71	55	78	62	53
Percentage of embryos transferred resulting in implantation [b]	26.4	13.5	11.6	6.1	0.0
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies [b]	32.4	16.4	20.5	11.3	0.0
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births [b,c]	26.8	10.9	12.8	6.5	0.0
(Confidence Interval)	(16.9–38.6)	(4.1–22.2)	(6.3–22.3)	(1.8–15.7)	(0.0–6.7)
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births [b,c]	28.8	12.0	13.3	6.9	0.0
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	32.8	14.6	16.7	8.9	0.0
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births [b]	20.7	12.2	13.3	8.9	0.0
Percentage of cancellations [b]	7.0	9.1	3.8	6.5	22.6
Average number of embryos transferred	2.1	2.3	2.4	2.6	2.8
Percentage of pregnancies with twins [b]	43.5	2 / 9	3 / 16	1 / 7	
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more [b]	0.0	1 / 9	0 / 16	0 / 7	
Percentage of live births having multiple infants [b,c]	7 / 19	1 / 6	2 / 10	0 / 4	
Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of transfers	11	10	12	7	5
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	3 / 11	3 / 10	1 / 12	0 / 7	0 / 5
Average number of embryos transferred	2.9	2.2	2.9	2.6	2.0

Donor Eggs	All Ages Combined [e] Fresh Embryos	Frozen Embryos
Number of transfers	27	17
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	44.4	4 / 17
Average number of embryos transferred	2.1	2.6

CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

Current Name: Medical Offices for Human Reproduction, Center for Human Reproduction (CHR)

Donor egg?	Yes	Gestational carriers?	Yes	SART member?	Yes
Donor embryo?	Yes	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	Yes
Single women?	Yes			*(See Appendix C for details.)*	

[a] Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2009 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.
[b] When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.
[c] A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.
[d] Clinic-specific outcome rates for women older than 44 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs are not included because of small numbers. Readers are urged to review national outcomes for these age groups (see pages 30, 48 & 57).
[e] All ages (including ages >44) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.

METROPOLITAN REPRODUCTIVE MEDICINE, PC
NEW YORK, NEW YORK

A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 81–90.

2009 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART [a]

IVF	100%	**Procedural Factors:**	
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	93%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	0%
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	0%
		Used PGD	0%
		With eSET	0%

Patient Diagnosis

Tubal factor	0%	Other factor	5%
Ovulatory dysfunction	0%	Unknown factor	9%
Diminished ovarian reserve	27%	*Multiple Factors:*	
Endometriosis	0%	Female factors only	0%
Uterine factor	0%	Female & male factors	41%
Male factor	18%		

2009 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by Susan Lobel, MD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman <35	35–37	38–40	41–42	43–44 [d]
Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of cycles	6	1	4	1	2
Percentage of embryos transferred resulting in implantation [b]	3 / 13	1 / 3	1 / 18	2 / 6	0 / 10
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies [b]	2 / 6	1 / 1	1 / 4	1 / 1	0 / 2
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births [b,c] (Confidence Interval)	2 / 6	0 / 1	1 / 4	1 / 1	0 / 2
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births [b,c]	2 / 6	0 / 1	1 / 4	1 / 1	0 / 2
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	2 / 5	0 / 1	1 / 4	1 / 1	0 / 2
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births [b]	2 / 5	0 / 1	1 / 4	1 / 1	0 / 2
Percentage of cancellations [b]	0 / 6	0 / 1	0 / 4	0 / 1	0 / 2
Average number of embryos transferred	2.6	3.0	4.5	6.0	5.0
Percentage of pregnancies with twins [b]	1 / 2	0 / 1	0 / 1	1 / 1	
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more [b]	0 / 2	0 / 1	0 / 1	0 / 1	
Percentage of live births having multiple infants [b,c]	0 / 2		0 / 1	0 / 1	
Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of transfers	1	0	0	0	0
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	0 / 1				
Average number of embryos transferred	3.0				

Donor Eggs	All Ages Combined [e] Fresh Embryos	Frozen Embryos
Number of transfers	4	2
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	1 / 4	0 / 2
Average number of embryos transferred	2.5	1.5

CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

Current Name: Metropolitan Reproductive Medicine, PC

Donor egg?	Yes	Gestational carriers?	No	SART member?	Yes
Donor embryo?	No	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	Yes
Single women?	Yes			*(See Appendix C for details.)*	

[a] Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2009 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.
[b] When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.
[c] A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.
[d] Clinic-specific outcome rates for women older than 44 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs are not included because of small numbers. Readers are urged to review national outcomes for these age groups (see pages 30, 48 & 57).
[e] All ages (including ages >44) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.

NEW HOPE FERTILITY CENTER
NEW YORK, NEW YORK

A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 81–90.

2009 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART [a]

IVF	100%	**Procedural Factors:**	
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	90%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	27%
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	<1%
		Used PGD	2%
		With eSET	62%

Patient Diagnosis

Tubal factor	9%	Other factor	10%
Ovulatory dysfunction	4%	Unknown factor	1%
Diminished ovarian reserve	40%	*Multiple Factors:*	
Endometriosis	2%	Female factors only	15%
Uterine factor	<1%	Female & male factors	9%
Male factor	9%		

2009 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by John J. Zhang, MD, PhD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman <35	35–37	38–40	41–42	43–44 [d]
Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of cycles	115	80	80	68	91
Percentage of embryos transferred resulting in implantation [b]	25.0	21.9	11.1	10.7	2.7
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies [b]	27.8	23.8	13.8	13.2	2.2
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births [b,c]	23.5	18.8	10.0	4.4	2.2
(Confidence Interval)	(16.1–32.3)	(10.9–29.0)	(4.4–18.8)	(0.9–12.4)	(0.3–7.7)
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births [b,c]	23.7	19.2	10.4	4.8	2.5
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	26.5	22.7	12.5	6.3	3.2
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births [b]	25.5	21.2	12.5	6.3	3.2
Percentage of cancellations [b]	0.9	2.5	3.8	7.4	12.1
Average number of embryos transferred	1.2	1.1	1.1	1.2	1.2
Percentage of pregnancies with twins [b]	9.4	1 / 19	0 / 11	0 / 9	0 / 2
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more [b]	0.0	0 / 19	0 / 11	0 / 9	0 / 2
Percentage of live births having multiple infants [b,c]	3.7	1 / 15	0 / 8	0 / 3	0 / 2
Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of transfers	246	170	141	73	64
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	41.5	30.6	26.2	16.4	7.8
Average number of embryos transferred	1.1	1.1	1.1	1.1	1.2

Donor Eggs	All Ages Combined [e] Fresh Embryos	Frozen Embryos
Number of transfers	8	45
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	3 / 8	53.3
Average number of embryos transferred	1.3	1.2

CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

Current Name: New Hope Fertility Center

Donor egg?	Yes	Gestational carriers?	Yes	SART member?	Yes
Donor embryo?	Yes	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	Yes
Single women?	Yes			*(See Appendix C for details.)*	

[a] Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2009 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.
[b] When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.
[c] A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.
[d] Clinic-specific outcome rates for women older than 44 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs are not included because of small numbers. Readers are urged to review national outcomes for these age groups (see pages 30, 48 & 57).
[e] All ages (including ages >44) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.

NEW YORK FERTILITY INSTITUTE
NEW YORK, NEW YORK

A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 81–90.

2009 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART [a]

IVF	100%	**Procedural Factors:**	
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	78%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	2%
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	2%
		Used PGD	49%
		With eSET	4%

Patient Diagnosis

Tubal factor	3%	Other factor	0%
Ovulatory dysfunction	14%	Unknown factor	2%
Diminished ovarian reserve	13%	*Multiple Factors:*	
Endometriosis	18%	Female factors only	9%
Uterine factor	6%	Female & male factors	8%
Male factor	27%		

2009 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by Majid Fateh, MD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman <35	35–37	38–40	41–42	43–44[d]
Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of cycles	8	28	39	8	13
Percentage of embryos transferred resulting in implantation[b]	3 / 15	17.9	20.5	2 / 11	1 / 15
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies[b]	3 / 8	39.3	41.0	3 / 8	2 / 13
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births[b,c]	3 / 8	39.3	30.8	1 / 8	1 / 13
(Confidence Interval)		(21.5–59.4)	(17.0–47.6)		
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births[b,c]	3 / 8	40.7	32.4	1 / 8	1 / 12
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births[b,c]	3 / 8	44.0	34.3	1 / 7	1 / 10
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births[b]	3 / 8	40.0	28.6	1 / 7	1 / 10
Percentage of cancellations[b]	0 / 8	3.6	5.1	0 / 8	1 / 13
Average number of embryos transferred	1.9	2.7	2.4	1.6	1.5
Percentage of pregnancies with twins[b]	0 / 3	1 / 11	2 / 16	0 / 3	0 / 2
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more[b]	0 / 3	0 / 11	0 / 16	0 / 3	0 / 2
Percentage of live births having multiple infants[b,c]	0 / 3	1 / 11	2 / 12	0 / 1	0 / 1
Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of transfers	2	4	1	3	2
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births[b,c]	0 / 2	1 / 4	1 / 1	1 / 3	0 / 2
Average number of embryos transferred	2.5	2.5	3.0	2.7	2.0

Donor Eggs	All Ages Combined[e] Fresh Embryos	Frozen Embryos
Number of transfers	11	17
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births[b,c]	7 / 11	11 / 17
Average number of embryos transferred	2.5	2.6

CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

Current Name: New York Fertility Institute

Donor egg?	Yes	Gestational carriers?	Yes	SART member?	Yes
Donor embryo?	No	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	Yes
Single women?	Yes			*(See Appendix C for details.)*	

[a] Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2009 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.
[b] When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.
[c] A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.
[d] Clinic-specific outcome rates for women older than 44 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs are not included because of small numbers. Readers are urged to review national outcomes for these age groups (see pages 30, 48 & 57).
[e] All ages (including ages >44) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.

NYU FERTILITY CENTER
NEW YORK UNIVERSITY SCHOOL OF MEDICINE
NEW YORK, NEW YORK

A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 81–90.

2009 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART [a]

IVF	100%	**Procedural Factors:**	
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	25%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	0%
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	0%
		Used PGD	9%
		With eSET	4%

Patient Diagnosis

Tubal factor	4%	Other factor	12%
Ovulatory dysfunction	4%	Unknown factor	19%
Diminished ovarian reserve	14%	*Multiple Factors:*	
Endometriosis	3%	Female factors only	17%
Uterine factor	5%	Female & male factors	10%
Male factor	11%		

2009 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by James A. Grifo, MD, PhD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman <35	35–37	38–40	41–42	43–44 [d]
Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of cycles	272	218	303	226	135
Percentage of embryos transferred resulting in implantation [b]	41.6	30.1	24.2	14.2	5.0
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies [b]	52.2	42.2	37.3	30.5	14.1
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births [b,c]	44.1	33.9	26.7	19.5	5.9
(Confidence Interval)	(38.1–50.2)	(27.7–40.6)	(21.8–32.1)	(14.5–25.2)	(2.6–11.3)
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births [b,c]	47.8	38.7	31.8	24.9	7.3
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	50.2	41.1	33.6	26.3	7.9
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births [b]	29.7	31.1	24.9	23.4	7.9
Percentage of cancellations [b]	7.7	12.4	15.8	21.7	19.3
Average number of embryos transferred	2.0	2.1	2.4	3.0	3.3
Percentage of pregnancies with twins [b]	39.4	27.2	28.3	11.6	2 / 19
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more [b]	2.1	1.1	3.5	2.9	0 / 19
Percentage of live births having multiple infants [b,c]	40.8	24.3	25.9	11.4	0 / 8
Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of transfers	82	55	56	7	5
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	32.9	27.3	26.8	1 / 7	1 / 5
Average number of embryos transferred	1.9	2.0	2.0	2.1	2.2

Donor Eggs	All Ages Combined [e] Fresh Embryos	Frozen Embryos
Number of transfers	113	31
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	63.7	51.6
Average number of embryos transferred	2.0	1.9

CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

Current Name: NYU Fertility Center, New York University School of Medicine

Donor egg?	Yes	Gestational carriers?	Yes	SART member?	Yes
Donor embryo?	Yes	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	Yes
Single women?	Yes			*(See Appendix C for details.)*	

[a] Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2009 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.
[b] When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.
[c] A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.
[d] Clinic-specific outcome rates for women older than 44 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs are not included because of small numbers. Readers are urged to review national outcomes for these age groups (see pages 30, 48 & 57).
[e] All ages (including ages >44) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.

OFFICES FOR FERTILITY AND REPRODUCTIVE MEDICINE
NEW YORK, NEW YORK

A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 81–90.

2009 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART [a]

IVF	100%	**Procedural Factors:**	
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	98%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	1%
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	0%
		Used PGD	19%
		With eSET	3%

Patient Diagnosis

Tubal factor	0%	Other factor	1%
Ovulatory dysfunction	1%	Unknown factor	0%
Diminished ovarian reserve	11%	*Multiple Factors:*	
Endometriosis	0%	Female factors only	13%
Uterine factor	<1%	Female & male factors	69%
Male factor	4%		

2009 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by Cecilia Schmidt-Sarosi, MD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman <35	35–37	38–40	41–42	43–44[d]
Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of cycles	20	13	24	17	8
Percentage of embryos transferred resulting in implantation[b]	32.6	12.5	10.4	5.8	0.0
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies[b]	50.0	3 / 13	16.7	3 / 17	0 / 8
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births[b,c]	50.0	3 / 13	16.7	2 / 17	0 / 8
(Confidence Interval)	(27.2–72.8)		(4.7–37.4)		
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births[b,c]	50.0	3 / 13	17.4	2 / 17	0 / 8
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births[b,c]	50.0	3 / 13	4 / 18	2 / 16	0 / 8
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births[b]	30.0	2 / 13	4 / 18	2 / 16	0 / 8
Percentage of cancellations[b]	0.0	0 / 13	4.2	0 / 17	0 / 8
Average number of embryos transferred	2.3	2.5	2.7	3.3	3.4
Percentage of pregnancies with twins[b]	5 / 10	1 / 3	1 / 4	0 / 3	
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more[b]	0 / 10	0 / 3	0 / 4	0 / 3	
Percentage of live births having multiple infants[b,c]	4 / 10	1 / 3	0 / 4	0 / 2	
Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of transfers	8	7	5	4	2
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births[b,c]	1 / 8	1 / 7	3 / 5	1 / 4	0 / 2
Average number of embryos transferred	2.6	3.1	2.2	3.8	2.5

Donor Eggs	All Ages Combined[e] Fresh Embryos	Frozen Embryos
Number of transfers	15	15
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births[b,c]	2 / 15	3 / 15
Average number of embryos transferred	2.5	2.5

CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

Current Name: Offices for Fertility and Reproductive Medicine

Donor egg?	Yes	Gestational carriers?	Yes	SART member?	Yes
Donor embryo?	Yes	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	Yes
Single women?	Yes			*(See Appendix C for details.)*	

[a] Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2009 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.
[b] When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.
[c] A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.
[d] Clinic-specific outcome rates for women older than 44 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs are not included because of small numbers. Readers are urged to review national outcomes for these age groups (see pages 30, 48 & 57).
[e] All ages (including ages >44) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.

REPRODUCTIVE ENDOCRINOLOGY ASSOCIATES OF ST. LUKE'S ROOSEVELT HOSPITAL CENTER NEW YORK, NEW YORK

A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 81–90.

2009 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART [a]

IVF	100%	**Procedural Factors:**	
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	94%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	0%
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	0%
		Used PGD	5%
		With eSET	<1%

Patient Diagnosis

Tubal factor	7%	Other factor	5%
Ovulatory dysfunction	5%	Unknown factor	14%
Diminished ovarian reserve	8%	*Multiple Factors:*	
Endometriosis	4%	Female factors only	12%
Uterine factor	1%	Female & male factors	27%
Male factor	18%		

2009 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by Martin Keltz, MD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman <35	35–37	38–40	41–42	43–44[d]
Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of cycles	139	100	87	54	15
Percentage of embryos transferred resulting in implantation[b]	26.2	15.2	13.1	4.7	5.1
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies[b]	56.8	43.0	33.3	40.7	5 / 15
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births[b,c]	35.3	29.0	18.4	9.3	1 / 15
(Confidence Interval)	(27.3–43.8)	(20.4–38.9)	(10.9–28.1)	(3.1–20.3)	
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births[b,c]	36.0	29.3	18.6	9.8	1 / 15
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births[b,c]	37.4	30.2	19.3	10.6	1 / 14
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births[b]	26.0	22.9	12.0	8.5	1 / 14
Percentage of cancellations[b]	2.2	1.0	1.1	5.6	0 / 15
Average number of embryos transferred	2.4	2.9	3.4	4.0	4.2
Percentage of pregnancies with twins[b]	22.8	16.3	34.5	4.5	0 / 5
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more[b]	3.8	4.7	6.9	0.0	0 / 5
Percentage of live births having multiple infants[b,c]	30.6	24.1	6 / 16	1 / 5	0 / 1
Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of transfers	33	16	5	4	2
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births[b,c]	39.4	6 / 16	0 / 5	0 / 4	1 / 2
Average number of embryos transferred	2.7	2.7	3.6	4.5	4.0

Donor Eggs	All Ages Combined[e] Fresh Embryos	Frozen Embryos
Number of transfers	16	9
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births[b,c]	10 / 16	3 / 9
Average number of embryos transferred	2.5	2.4

CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

Current Name: Reproductive Endocrinology Associates of St. Luke's Roosevelt Hospital Center

Donor egg?	Yes	Gestational carriers?	No	SART member?	Yes
Donor embryo?	No	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	Yes
Single women?	Yes			*(See Appendix C for details.)*	

[a] Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2009 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.
[b] When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.
[c] A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.
[d] Clinic-specific outcome rates for women older than 44 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs are not included because of small numbers. Readers are urged to review national outcomes for these age groups (see pages 30, 48 & 57).
[e] All ages (including ages >44) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.

REPRODUCTIVE MEDICINE ASSOCIATES OF NEW YORK, LLP
NEW YORK, NEW YORK

A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 81–90.

2009 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART [a]

IVF	100%	**Procedural Factors:**	
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	53%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	0%
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	0%
		Used PGD	4%
		With eSET	1%

Patient Diagnosis

Tubal factor	13%	Other factor	8%
Ovulatory dysfunction	10%	Unknown factor	14%
Diminished ovarian reserve	26%	*Multiple Factors:*	
Endometriosis	5%	Female factors only	0%
Uterine factor	3%	Female & male factors	<1%
Male factor	21%		

2009 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by Lawrence Grunfeld, MD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman <35	35–37	38–40	41–42	43–44[d]
Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of cycles	461	305	370	232	135
Percentage of embryos transferred resulting in implantation[b]	36.2	24.5	18.0	9.1	4.9
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies[b]	55.1	43.6	37.0	24.1	13.3
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births[b,c]	48.4	37.7	29.2	15.1	4.4
(Confidence Interval)	(43.7–53.0)	(32.2–43.4)	(24.6–34.1)	(10.7–20.4)	(1.6–9.4)
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births[b,c]	52.5	42.3	33.3	19.7	6.5
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births[b,c]	56.3	44.4	36.2	21.2	7.2
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births[b]	37.6	30.9	28.9	18.2	7.2
Percentage of cancellations[b]	7.8	10.8	12.4	23.3	31.1
Average number of embryos transferred	2.3	2.7	3.1	3.9	3.4
Percentage of pregnancies with twins[b]	29.5	26.3	19.0	17.9	0 / 18
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more[b]	2.8	3.0	5.1	1.8	1 / 18
Percentage of live births having multiple infants[b,c]	33.2	30.4	20.4	14.3	0 / 6
Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of transfers	85	51	29	8	4
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births[b,c]	37.6	27.5	20.7	2 / 8	0 / 4
Average number of embryos transferred	2.3	2.3	2.1	2.6	3.3

Donor Eggs	All Ages Combined[e] Fresh Embryos	Frozen Embryos
Number of transfers	173	54
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births[b,c]	50.9	20.4
Average number of embryos transferred	2.2	2.1

CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

Current Name: Reproductive Medicine Associates of New York, LLP

Donor egg?	Yes	Gestational carriers?	No	SART member?	Yes
Donor embryo?	No	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	Yes
Single women?	Yes			*(See Appendix C for details.)*	

[a] Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2009 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.
[b] When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.
[c] A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.
[d] Clinic-specific outcome rates for women older than 44 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs are not included because of small numbers. Readers are urged to review national outcomes for these age groups (see pages 30, 48 & 57).
[e] All ages (including ages >44) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.

GEOFFREY SHER, MD, PC
NEW YORK, NEW YORK

A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 81–90.

2009 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART [a]

IVF	100%	**Procedural Factors:**	
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	84%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	<1%
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	0%
		Used PGD	8%
		With eSET	2%

Patient Diagnosis

Tubal factor	4%	Other factor	7%
Ovulatory dysfunction	7%	Unknown factor	11%
Diminished ovarian reserve	52%	*Multiple Factors:*	
Endometriosis	2%	Female factors only	8%
Uterine factor	<1%	Female & male factors	3%
Male factor	6%		

2009 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by Drew V. Tortoriello, MD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman <35	35–37	38–40	41–42	43–44 [d]
Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of cycles	85	72	81	73	38
Percentage of embryos transferred resulting in implantation [b]	37.4	18.9	14.1	8.8	4.8
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies [b]	49.4	27.8	19.8	15.1	7.9
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births [b,c]	44.7	23.6	13.6	6.8	2.6
(Confidence Interval)	(33.9–55.9)	(14.4–35.1)	(7.0–23.0)	(2.3–15.3)	(0.1–13.8)
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births [b,c]	48.1	26.2	15.7	8.9	3.3
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	52.1	29.3	19.0	10.6	4.0
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births [b]	31.5	25.9	15.5	10.6	4.0
Percentage of cancellations [b]	7.1	9.7	13.6	23.3	21.1
Average number of embryos transferred	2.4	2.5	2.4	2.7	2.5
Percentage of pregnancies with twins [b]	50.0	25.0	3 / 16	1 / 11	0 / 3
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more [b]	2.4	5.0	1 / 16	0 / 11	0 / 3
Percentage of live births having multiple infants [b,c]	39.5	2 / 17	2 / 11	0 / 5	0 / 1
Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of transfers	28	31	20	11	3
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	50.0	41.9	35.0	1 / 11	1 / 3
Average number of embryos transferred	1.9	2.0	2.3	1.8	1.7

Donor Eggs	All Ages Combined [e] Fresh Embryos	Frozen Embryos
Number of transfers	23	15
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	60.9	7 / 15
Average number of embryos transferred	2.4	2.1

CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

Current Name: Geoffrey Sher, MD, PC

Donor egg?	Yes	Gestational carriers?	Yes	SART member?	Yes
Donor embryo?	No	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	Yes
Single women?	Yes			*(See Appendix C for details.)*	

[a] Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2009 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.
[b] When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.
[c] A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.
[d] Clinic-specific outcome rates for women older than 44 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs are not included because of small numbers. Readers are urged to review national outcomes for these age groups (see pages 30, 48 & 57).
[e] All ages (including ages >44) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.

WEILL MEDICAL COLLEGE OF CORNELL UNIVERSITY
THE CENTER FOR REPRODUCTIVE MEDICINE AND INFERTILITY
NEW YORK, NEW YORK

A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 81–90.

2009 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART [a]

IVF	100%	**Procedural Factors:**	
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	61%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	1%
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	<1%
		Used PGD	5%
		With eSET	1%

Patient Diagnosis

Tubal factor	6%	Other factor	3%
Ovulatory dysfunction	2%	Unknown factor	12%
Diminished ovarian reserve	27%	*Multiple Factors:*	
Endometriosis	5%	Female factors only	13%
Uterine factor	2%	Female & male factors	14%
Male factor	17%		

2009 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by Zev Rosenwaks, MD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman <35	35–37	38–40	41–42	43–44 [d]
Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of cycles	722	470	665	431	263
Percentage of embryos transferred resulting in implantation [b]	30.8	21.7	15.2	8.2	3.4
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies [b]	39.6	35.5	30.5	20.2	9.1
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births [b,c]	35.7	28.3	24.1	11.8	5.3
(Confidence Interval)	(32.2–39.4)	(24.3–32.6)	(20.9–27.5)	(8.9–15.3)	(2.9–8.8)
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births [b,c]	39.0	32.9	27.8	14.9	7.7
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	42.5	35.8	29.7	16.3	8.5
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births [b]	28.2	26.1	23.4	13.5	7.9
Percentage of cancellations [b]	8.3	14.0	13.5	20.6	30.4
Average number of embryos transferred	2.1	2.6	3.0	3.4	3.6
Percentage of pregnancies with twins [b]	36.7	24.0	20.7	14.9	8.3
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more [b]	1.4	4.8	4.4	3.4	0.0
Percentage of live births having multiple infants [b,c]	33.7	27.1	21.3	17.6	1 / 14
Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of transfers	128	78	53	21	14
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	32.8	25.6	26.4	33.3	0 / 14
Average number of embryos transferred	1.7	1.8	1.8	2.1	2.4

Donor Eggs	All Ages Combined [e] Fresh Embryos	Frozen Embryos
Number of transfers	202	56
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	58.4	23.2
Average number of embryos transferred	2.0	2.0

CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

Current Name: Weill Medical College of Cornell University, The Center for Reproductive Medicine and Infertility

Donor egg?	Yes	Gestational carriers?	Yes	SART member?	Yes
Donor embryo?	Yes	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	Yes
Single women?	Yes			*(See Appendix C for details.)*	

[a] Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2009 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.
[b] When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.
[c] A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.
[d] Clinic-specific outcome rates for women older than 44 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs are not included because of small numbers. Readers are urged to review national outcomes for these age groups (see pages 30, 48 & 57).
[e] All ages (including ages >44) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.

EAST COAST FERTILITY
PLAINVIEW, NEW YORK

A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 81–90.

2009 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART [a]

IVF	100%	**Procedural Factors:**	
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	54%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	<1%
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	0%
		Used PGD	2%
		With eSET	13%

Patient Diagnosis

Tubal factor	9%	Other factor	8%
Ovulatory dysfunction	6%	Unknown factor	11%
Diminished ovarian reserve	18%	*Multiple Factors:*	
Endometriosis	4%	Female factors only	14%
Uterine factor	1%	Female & male factors	14%
Male factor	16%		

2009 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by David Kreiner, MD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman <35	35–37	38–40	41–42	43–44[d]
Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of cycles	184	100	117	64	46
Percentage of embryos transferred resulting in implantation [b]	38.9	27.2	24.3	17.1	7.0
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies [b]	54.3	42.0	41.9	31.3	13.0
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births [b,c]	44.6	35.0	25.6	20.3	6.5
(Confidence Interval)	(37.3–52.1)	(25.7–45.2)	(18.0–34.5)	(11.3–32.2)	(1.4–17.9)
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births [b,c]	46.3	36.1	27.0	22.8	7.1
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	49.4	38.5	30.6	25.5	7.5
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births [b]	39.8	33.0	28.6	23.5	5.0
Percentage of cancellations [b]	3.8	3.0	5.1	10.9	8.7
Average number of embryos transferred	1.8	2.1	2.4	2.4	2.9
Percentage of pregnancies with twins [b]	17.0	14.3	8.2	5.0	2 / 6
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more [b]	1.0	4.8	4.1	0.0	0 / 6
Percentage of live births having multiple infants [b,c]	19.5	14.3	6.7	1 / 13	1 / 3
Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of transfers	53	27	22	8	4
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	37.7	25.9	13.6	0 / 8	1 / 4
Average number of embryos transferred	1.8	2.3	2.1	2.3	1.8

Donor Eggs	All Ages Combined [e] Fresh Embryos	Frozen Embryos
Number of transfers	33	27
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	39.4	22.2
Average number of embryos transferred	1.7	2.0

CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

Current Name: East Coast Fertility

Donor egg?	Yes	Gestational carriers?	Yes	SART member?	Yes
Donor embryo?	No	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	Yes
Single women?	Yes			*(See Appendix C for details.)*	

[a] Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2009 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.
[b] When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.
[c] A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.
[d] Clinic-specific outcome rates for women older than 44 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs are not included because of small numbers. Readers are urged to review national outcomes for these age groups (see pages 30, 48 & 57).
[e] All ages (including ages >44) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.

ROCHESTER FERTILITY CARE, PC
ROCHESTER, NEW YORK

A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 81–90.

2009 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART [a]

IVF	100%	**Procedural Factors:**	
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	85%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	0%
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	5%
		Used PGD	1%
		With eSET	2%

Patient Diagnosis

Tubal factor	13%	Other factor	4%
Ovulatory dysfunction	3%	Unknown factor	15%
Diminished ovarian reserve	8%	*Multiple Factors:*	
Endometriosis	7%	Female factors only	12%
Uterine factor	2%	Female & male factors	18%
Male factor	17%		

2009 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by Rosalind A. Hayes, MD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman <35	35–37	38–40	41–42	43–44[d]
Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of cycles	37	13	16	4	4
Percentage of embryos transferred resulting in implantation[b]	16.2	20.0	12.5	0 / 3	0 / 3
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies[b]	29.7	5 / 13	3 / 16	0 / 4	0 / 4
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births[b,c]	18.9	5 / 13	3 / 16	0 / 4	0 / 4
(Confidence Interval)	(8.0–35.2)				
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births[b,c]	21.2	5 / 12	3 / 13	0 / 2	0 / 4
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births[b,c]	26.9	5 / 12	3 / 12	0 / 1	0 / 3
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births[b]	19.2	4 / 12	2 / 12	0 / 1	0 / 3
Percentage of cancellations[b]	10.8	1 / 13	3 / 16	2 / 4	0 / 4
Average number of embryos transferred	2.8	2.5	2.7	3.0	1.0
Percentage of pregnancies with twins[b]	1 / 11	1 / 5	1 / 3		
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more[b]	1 / 11	0 / 5	0 / 3		
Percentage of live births having multiple infants[b,c]	2 / 7	1 / 5	1 / 3		
Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of transfers	19	6	2	0	0
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births[b,c]	8 / 19	3 / 6	0 / 2		
Average number of embryos transferred	2.0	2.3	2.5		

Donor Eggs	All Ages Combined[e] Fresh Embryos	Frozen Embryos
Number of transfers	16	9
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births[b,c]	9 / 16	3 / 9
Average number of embryos transferred	2.4	2.4

CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

Current Name: Rochester Fertility Care, PC

Donor egg?	Yes	Gestational carriers?	Yes	SART member?	Yes
Donor embryo?	Yes	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	Yes
Single women?	Yes			*(See Appendix C for details.)*	

[a] Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2009 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.
[b] When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.
[c] A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.
[d] Clinic-specific outcome rates for women older than 44 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs are not included because of small numbers. Readers are urged to review national outcomes for these age groups (see pages 30, 48 & 57).
[e] All ages (including ages >44) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.

STRONG FERTILITY CENTER
ROCHESTER, NEW YORK

A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 81–90.

2009 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART [a]

IVF	100%	**Procedural Factors:**	
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	75%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	0%
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	0%
		Used PGD	5%
		With eSET	1%

Patient Diagnosis

Tubal factor	8%	Other factor	6%
Ovulatory dysfunction	8%	Unknown factor	5%
Diminished ovarian reserve	6%	*Multiple Factors:*	
Endometriosis	4%	Female factors only	19%
Uterine factor	0%	Female & male factors	20%
Male factor	25%		

2009 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by John T. Queenan, MD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman <35	35–37	38–40	41–42	43–44 [d]
Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of cycles	91	36	25	12	2
Percentage of embryos transferred resulting in implantation [b]	34.6	16.1	16.1	5.7	0 / 3
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies [b]	49.5	30.6	36.0	2 / 12	0 / 2
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births [b,c]	47.3	27.8	32.0	1 / 12	0 / 2
(Confidence Interval)	(36.7–58.0)	(14.2–45.2)	(14.9–53.5)		
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births [b,c]	49.4	29.4	33.3	1 / 10	0 / 1
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	51.2	31.3	38.1	1 / 10	0 / 1
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births [b]	34.5	21.9	33.3	1 / 10	0 / 1
Percentage of cancellations [b]	4.4	5.6	4.0	2 / 12	1 / 2
Average number of embryos transferred	2.2	2.7	3.0	3.5	3.0
Percentage of pregnancies with twins [b]	33.3	3 / 11	1 / 9	0 / 2	
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more [b]	4.4	0 / 11	0 / 9	0 / 2	
Percentage of live births having multiple infants [b,c]	32.6	3 / 10	1 / 8	0 / 1	
Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of transfers	29	10	9	1	0
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	24.1	4 / 10	1 / 9	0 / 1	
Average number of embryos transferred	2.1	1.9	2.1	2.0	

Donor Eggs	All Ages Combined [e] Fresh Embryos	Frozen Embryos
Number of transfers	28	13
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	53.6	3 / 13
Average number of embryos transferred	2.1	1.8

CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

Current Name: Strong Fertility Center

Donor egg?	Yes	Gestational carriers?	No	SART member?	Yes
Donor embryo?	Yes	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	Yes
Single women?	Yes			*(See Appendix C for details.)*	

[a] Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2009 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.
[b] When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.
[c] A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.
[d] Clinic-specific outcome rates for women older than 44 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs are not included because of small numbers. Readers are urged to review national outcomes for these age groups (see pages 30, 48 & 57).
[e] All ages (including ages >44) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.

ISLAND REPRODUCTIVE SERVICES
STATEN ISLAND, NEW YORK

A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 81–90.

2009 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART [a]

IVF	100%	**Procedural Factors:**	
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	83%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	0%
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	0%
		Used PGD	<1%
		With eSET	3%

Patient Diagnosis

Tubal factor	2%	Other factor	3%
Ovulatory dysfunction	6%	Unknown factor	1%
Diminished ovarian reserve	9%	*Multiple Factors:*	
Endometriosis	0%	Female factors only	19%
Uterine factor	0%	Female & male factors	47%
Male factor	13%		

2009 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by Eric S. Knochenhauer, MD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman <35	35–37	38–40	41–42	43–44[d]
Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of cycles	37	30	37	17	12
Percentage of embryos transferred resulting in implantation[b]	26.3	21.4	18.9	18.6	0 / 19
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies[b]	43.2	40.0	35.1	5 / 17	0 / 12
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births[b,c]	37.8	26.7	24.3	3 / 17	0 / 12
(Confidence Interval)	(22.5–55.2)	(12.3–45.9)	(11.8–41.2)		
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births[b,c]	38.9	29.6	30.0	3 / 16	0 / 7
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births[b,c]	42.4	29.6	30.0	3 / 14	0 / 5
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births[b]	30.3	22.2	20.0	2 / 14	0 / 5
Percentage of cancellations[b]	2.7	10.0	18.9	1 / 17	5 / 12
Average number of embryos transferred	2.4	2.6	3.0	3.1	3.8
Percentage of pregnancies with twins[b]	5 / 16	3 / 12	4 / 13	1 / 5	
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more[b]	0 / 16	0 / 12	0 / 13	1 / 5	
Percentage of live births having multiple infants[b,c]	4 / 14	2 / 8	3 / 9	1 / 3	
Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of transfers	27	21	12	10	2
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births[b,c]	33.3	28.6	2 / 12	0 / 10	0 / 2
Average number of embryos transferred	2.7	2.8	3.0	3.3	3.5

Donor Eggs	All Ages Combined[e] Fresh Embryos	Frozen Embryos
Number of transfers	4	4
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births[b,c]	1 / 4	1 / 4
Average number of embryos transferred	2.0	2.0

CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

Current Name: Island Reproductive Services

Donor egg?	Yes	Gestational carriers?	Yes	SART member?	Yes
Donor embryo?	Yes	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	Yes
Single women?	Yes			*(See Appendix C for details.)*	

[a] Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2009 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.
[b] When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.
[c] A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.
[d] Clinic-specific outcome rates for women older than 44 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs are not included because of small numbers. Readers are urged to review national outcomes for these age groups (see pages 30, 48 & 57).
[e] All ages (including ages >44) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.

GOLD COAST IVF
REPRODUCTIVE MEDICINE AND SURGERY CENTER
SYOSSET, NEW YORK

A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 81–90.

2009 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART [a]

IVF	100%	**Procedural Factors:**	
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	94%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	0%
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	0%
		Used PGD	0%
		With eSET	0%

Patient Diagnosis

Tubal factor	9%	Other factor	1%
Ovulatory dysfunction	7%	Unknown factor	6%
Diminished ovarian reserve	27%	*Multiple Factors:*	
Endometriosis	1%	Female factors only	12%
Uterine factor	0%	Female & male factors	33%
Male factor	4%		

2009 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by Steven F. Palter, MD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman <35	35–37	38–40	41–42	43–44 [d]
Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of cycles	21	15	15	10	3
Percentage of embryos transferred resulting in implantation [b]	27.3	28.6	12.1	8.8	1 / 14
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies [b]	42.9	9 / 15	4 / 15	5 / 10	1 / 3
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births [b,c]	42.9	7 / 15	3 / 15	2 / 10	1 / 3
(Confidence Interval)	(21.8–66.0)				
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births [b,c]	42.9	7 / 15	3 / 14	2 / 8	1 / 3
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	9 / 16	7 / 15	3 / 12	2 / 8	1 / 3
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births [b]	6 / 16	4 / 15	2 / 12	2 / 8	1 / 3
Percentage of cancellations [b]	0.0	0 / 15	1 / 15	2 / 10	0 / 3
Average number of embryos transferred	2.8	2.8	2.8	4.3	4.7
Percentage of pregnancies with twins [b]	3 / 9	4 / 9	1 / 4	0 / 5	0 / 1
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more [b]	0 / 9	0 / 9	0 / 4	0 / 5	0 / 1
Percentage of live births having multiple infants [b,c]	3 / 9	3 / 7	1 / 3	0 / 2	0 / 1
Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of transfers	9	3	4	2	2
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	2 / 9	1 / 3	1 / 4	0 / 2	0 / 2
Average number of embryos transferred	2.1	2.3	2.3	2.0	3.0

Donor Eggs	All Ages Combined [e] Fresh Embryos	Frozen Embryos
Number of transfers	7	4
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	5 / 7	3 / 4
Average number of embryos transferred	2.3	1.8

CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

Current Name: Gold Coast IVF, Reproductive Medicine and Surgery Center

Donor egg?	Yes	Gestational carriers?	Yes	SART member?	Yes
Donor embryo?	Yes	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	Yes
Single women?	Yes			*(See Appendix C for details.)*	

[a] Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2009 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.
[b] When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.
[c] A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.
[d] Clinic-specific outcome rates for women older than 44 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs are not included because of small numbers. Readers are urged to review national outcomes for these age groups (see pages 30, 48 & 57).
[e] All ages (including ages >44) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.

CNY FERTILITY CENTER
SYRACUSE, NEW YORK

A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 81–90.

2009 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART [a]

IVF	>99%	**Procedural Factors:**	
GIFT	<1%	With ICSI	87%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	0%
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	<1%
		Used PGD	3%
		With eSET	2%

Patient Diagnosis

Tubal factor	9%	Other factor	6%
Ovulatory dysfunction	6%	Unknown factor	14%
Diminished ovarian reserve	12%	*Multiple Factors:*	
Endometriosis	6%	Female factors only	12%
Uterine factor	2%	Female & male factors	18%
Male factor	15%		

2009 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by Robert J. Kiltz, MD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman <35	35–37	38–40	41–42	43–44 [d]
Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of cycles	594	283	301	152	61
Percentage of embryos transferred resulting in implantation [b]	21.8	15.8	9.3	4.3	0.7
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies [b]	36.0	26.1	17.9	9.9	3.3
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births [b,c]	31.1	18.7	12.3	5.9	1.6
(Confidence Interval)	(27.4–35.0)	(14.4–23.8)	(8.8–16.5)	(2.7–10.9)	(0.0–8.8)
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births [b,c]	33.1	21.0	14.3	6.9	1.9
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	35.0	23.5	15.8	7.8	2.2
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births [b]	23.3	15.9	13.2	6.9	2.2
Percentage of cancellations [b]	5.9	11.0	14.3	14.5	14.8
Average number of embryos transferred	2.4	2.7	2.9	2.8	2.9
Percentage of pregnancies with twins [b]	26.6	24.3	11.1	1 / 15	0 / 2
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more [b]	5.6	8.1	7.4	0 / 15	0 / 2
Percentage of live births having multiple infants [b,c]	33.5	32.1	16.2	1 / 9	0 / 1
Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of transfers	146	54	37	8	6
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	22.6	9.3	5.4	2 / 8	0 / 6
Average number of embryos transferred	2.3	2.2	2.3	2.0	1.8

Donor Eggs	All Ages Combined [e] Fresh Embryos	Frozen Embryos
Number of transfers	137	68
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	48.2	14.7
Average number of embryos transferred	2.7	2.4

CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

Current Name: CNY Fertility Center

Donor egg?	Yes	Gestational carriers?	Yes	SART member?	Yes
Donor embryo?	Yes	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	Yes
Single women?	Yes			*(See Appendix C for details.)*	

[a] Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2009 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.
[b] When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.
[c] A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.
[d] Clinic-specific outcome rates for women older than 44 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs are not included because of small numbers. Readers are urged to review national outcomes for these age groups (see pages 30, 48 & 57).
[e] All ages (including ages >44) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.

UNIVERSITY IVF OB/GYN ASSOCIATES
SUNY UPSTATE MEDICAL UNIVERSITY
SYRACUSE, NEW YORK

A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 81–90.

2009 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART [a]

IVF	100%	**Procedural Factors:**	
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	75%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	0%
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	0%
		Used PGD	0%
		With eSET	0%

Patient Diagnosis

Tubal factor	0%	Other factor	13%
Ovulatory dysfunction	0%	Unknown factor	38%
Diminished ovarian reserve	0%	*Multiple Factors:*	
Endometriosis	0%	Female factors only	0%
Uterine factor	0%	Female & male factors	38%
Male factor	13%		

2009 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by Shawky Z. Badadwy, MD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman <35	35–37	38–40	41–42	43–44 [d]
Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of cycles	5	1	2	0	0
Percentage of embryos transferred resulting in implantation [b]	3 / 15	1 / 3	2 / 7		
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies [b]	2 / 5	1 / 1	2 / 2		
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births [b,c] (Confidence Interval)	1 / 5	1 / 1	1 / 2		
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births [b,c]	1 / 5	1 / 1	1 / 2		
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	1 / 5	1 / 1	1 / 2		
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births [b]	0 / 5	1 / 1	1 / 2		
Percentage of cancellations [b]	0 / 5	0 / 1	0 / 2		
Average number of embryos transferred	3.0	3.0	3.5		
Percentage of pregnancies with twins [b]	1 / 2	0 / 1	0 / 2		
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more [b]	0 / 2	0 / 1	0 / 2		
Percentage of live births having multiple infants [b,c]	1 / 1	0 / 1	0 / 1		
Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of transfers	0	0	0	0	0
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]					
Average number of embryos transferred					

Donor Eggs	All Ages Combined [e] Fresh Embryos	Frozen Embryos
Number of transfers	0	0
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]		
Average number of embryos transferred		

CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

Current Name: University IVF OB/GYN Associates, SUNY Upstate Medical University

Donor egg?	No	Gestational carriers?	Yes	SART member?	No
Donor embryo?	No	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	Yes
Single women?	Yes			*(See Appendix C for details.)*	

[a] Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2009 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.
[b] When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.
[c] A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.
[d] Clinic-specific outcome rates for women older than 44 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs are not included because of small numbers. Readers are urged to review national outcomes for these age groups (see pages 30, 48 & 57).
[e] All ages (including ages >44) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.

WESTCHESTER FERTILITY AND REPRODUCTIVE ENDOCRINOLOGY
WHITE PLAINS, NEW YORK

A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 81–90.

2009 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART [a]

IVF	100%	**Procedural Factors:**	
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	81%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	0%
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	0%
		Used PGD	2%
		With eSET	2%

Patient Diagnosis

Tubal factor	12%	Other factor	3%
Ovulatory dysfunction	4%	Unknown factor	2%
Diminished ovarian reserve	16%	*Multiple Factors:*	
Endometriosis	2%	Female factors only	30%
Uterine factor	0%	Female & male factors	26%
Male factor	6%		

2009 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by Michael B. Blotner, MD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman <35	35–37	38–40	41–42	43–44 [d]
Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of cycles	31	21	16	11	9
Percentage of embryos transferred resulting in implantation [b]	37.8	50.0	27.3	14.8	18.2
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies [b]	38.7	52.4	7 / 16	4 / 11	4 / 9
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births [b,c]	29.0	47.6	4 / 16	0 / 11	3 / 9
(Confidence Interval)	(14.2–48.0)	(25.7–70.2)			
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births [b,c]	33.3	50.0	4 / 15	0 / 10	3 / 7
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	40.9	10 / 18	4 / 15	0 / 10	3 / 7
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births [b]	22.7	6 / 18	2 / 15	0 / 10	3 / 7
Percentage of cancellations [b]	12.9	4.8	1 / 16	1 / 11	2 / 9
Average number of embryos transferred	2.0	2.3	2.2	2.7	3.1
Percentage of pregnancies with twins [b]	2 / 12	4 / 11	1 / 7	1 / 4	0 / 4
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more [b]	2 / 12	3 / 11	1 / 7	0 / 4	0 / 4
Percentage of live births having multiple infants [b,c]	4 / 9	4 / 10	2 / 4		0 / 3
Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of transfers	5	4	1	4	0
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	3 / 5	2 / 4	0 / 1	1 / 4	
Average number of embryos transferred	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	

Donor Eggs	All Ages Combined [e] Fresh Embryos	Frozen Embryos
Number of transfers	5	1
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	2 / 5	1 / 1
Average number of embryos transferred	2.0	2.0

CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

Current Name: Westchester Fertility and Reproductive Endocrinology

Donor egg?	Yes	Gestational carriers?	Yes	SART member?	Yes
Donor embryo?	Yes	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	Yes
Single women?	Yes			*(See Appendix C for details.)*	

[a] Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2009 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.
[b] When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.
[c] A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.
[d] Clinic-specific outcome rates for women older than 44 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs are not included because of small numbers. Readers are urged to review national outcomes for these age groups (see pages 30, 48 & 57).
[e] All ages (including ages >44) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.

WESTCHESTER REPRODUCTIVE MEDICINE
YORKTOWN HEIGHTS, NEW YORK

A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 81–90.

2009 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART [a]

IVF	100%	**Procedural Factors:**	
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	92%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	0%
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	0%
		Used PGD	4%
		With eSET	0%

Patient Diagnosis

Tubal factor	4%	Other factor	0%
Ovulatory dysfunction	0%	Unknown factor	8%
Diminished ovarian reserve	0%	*Multiple Factors:*	
Endometriosis	8%	Female factors only	15%
Uterine factor	0%	Female & male factors	35%
Male factor	31%		

2009 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by Rachel A. Bennett, MD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman <35	35–37	38–40	41–42	43–44 [d]
Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of cycles	10	6	3	1	5
Percentage of embryos transferred resulting in implantation [b]	9.1	3 / 14	2 / 10	0 / 4	1 / 18
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies [b]	2 / 10	2 / 6	2 / 3	0 / 1	1 / 5
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births [b,c] (Confidence Interval)	2 / 10	2 / 6	2 / 3	0 / 1	0 / 5
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births [b,c]	2 / 9	2 / 6	2 / 3	0 / 1	0 / 5
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	2 / 9	2 / 5	2 / 3	0 / 1	0 / 5
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births [b]	2 / 9	1 / 5	2 / 3	0 / 1	0 / 5
Percentage of cancellations [b]	1 / 10	0 / 6	0 / 3	0 / 1	0 / 5
Average number of embryos transferred	2.4	2.8	3.3	4.0	3.6
Percentage of pregnancies with twins [b]	0 / 2	1 / 2	0 / 2		0 / 1
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more [b]	0 / 2	0 / 2	0 / 2		0 / 1
Percentage of live births having multiple infants [b,c]	0 / 2	1 / 2	0 / 2		
Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of transfers	0	1	0	0	0
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]		0 / 1			
Average number of embryos transferred		2.0			

Donor Eggs	All Ages Combined [e] Fresh Embryos	Frozen Embryos
Number of transfers	0	0
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]		
Average number of embryos transferred		

CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

Current Name: Westchester Reproductive Medicine

Donor egg?	Yes	Gestational carriers?	No	SART member?	Yes
Donor embryo?	No	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	Yes
Single women?	Yes			*(See Appendix C for details.)*	

[a] Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2009 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.
[b] When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.
[c] A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.
[d] Clinic-specific outcome rates for women older than 44 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs are not included because of small numbers. Readers are urged to review national outcomes for these age groups (see pages 30, 48 & 57).
[e] All ages (including ages >44) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.

NORTH CAROLINA CENTER FOR REPRODUCTIVE MEDICINE
THE TALBERT FERTILITY INSTITUTE
CARY, NORTH CAROLINA

A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 81–90.

2009 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART [a]

IVF	100%	**Procedural Factors:**	
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	83%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	0%
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	3%
		Used PGD	4%
		With eSET	0%

Patient Diagnosis

Tubal factor	7%	Other factor	11%
Ovulatory dysfunction	6%	Unknown factor	7%
Diminished ovarian reserve	17%	*Multiple Factors:*	
Endometriosis	8%	Female factors only	8%
Uterine factor	4%	Female & male factors	13%
Male factor	18%		

2009 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by Sameh K. Toma, MD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman <35	35–37	38–40	41–42	43–44 [d]
Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of cycles	116	43	36	12	0
Percentage of embryos transferred resulting in implantation [b]	31.5	25.3	12.0	0.0	
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies [b]	48.3	34.9	22.2	0 / 12	
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births [b,c]	44.8	32.6	19.4	0 / 12	
(Confidence Interval)	(35.6–54.3)	(19.1–48.5)	(8.2–36.0)		
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births [b,c]	47.3	40.0	21.9	0 / 8	
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	48.6	42.4	22.6	0 / 8	
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births [b]	29.0	27.3	9.7	0 / 8	
Percentage of cancellations [b]	5.2	18.6	11.1	4 / 12	
Average number of embryos transferred	2.4	2.8	3.0	3.3	
Percentage of pregnancies with twins [b]	32.1	4 / 15	4 / 8		
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more [b]	7.1	2 / 15	0 / 8		
Percentage of live births having multiple infants [b,c]	40.4	5 / 14	4 / 7		
Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of transfers	15	10	9	6	3
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	4 / 15	1 / 10	1 / 9	1 / 6	0 / 3
Average number of embryos transferred	2.5	2.3	2.8	2.5	3.0

Donor Eggs	All Ages Combined [e] Fresh Embryos	Frozen Embryos
Number of transfers	58	27
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	41.4	29.6
Average number of embryos transferred	2.3	2.7

CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

Current Name: North Carolina Center for Reproductive Medicine, The Talbert Fertility Institute

Donor egg?	Yes	Gestational carriers?	Yes	SART member?	Yes
Donor embryo?	Yes	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	Yes
Single women?	Yes			*(See Appendix C for details.)*	

[a] Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2009 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.
[b] When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.
[c] A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.
[d] Clinic-specific outcome rates for women older than 44 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs are not included because of small numbers. Readers are urged to review national outcomes for these age groups (see pages 30, 48 & 57).
[e] All ages (including ages >44) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.

UNIVERSITY OF NORTH CAROLINA A.R.T. CLINIC
CHAPEL HILL, NORTH CAROLINA

A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 81–90.

2009 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART [a]

IVF	100%	**Procedural Factors:**	
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	56%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	0%
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	0%
		Used PGD	2%
		With eSET	0%

Patient Diagnosis

Tubal factor	19%	Other factor	2%
Ovulatory dysfunction	11%	Unknown factor	19%
Diminished ovarian reserve	11%	*Multiple Factors:*	
Endometriosis	2%	Female factors only	8%
Uterine factor	<1%	Female & male factors	5%
Male factor	23%		

2009 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by Marc A. Fritz, MD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman <35	35–37	38–40	41–42	43–44 [d]
Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of cycles	56	16	18	13	0
Percentage of embryos transferred resulting in implantation [b]	35.4	21.2	17.4	0.0	
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies [b]	48.2	6 / 16	8 / 18	4 / 13	
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births [b,c]	44.6	6 / 16	5 / 18	0 / 13	
(Confidence Interval)	(31.3–58.5)				
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births [b,c]	47.2	6 / 15	5 / 18	0 / 13	
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	50.0	6 / 14	5 / 16	0 / 13	
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births [b]	34.0	5 / 14	4 / 16	0 / 13	
Percentage of cancellations [b]	5.4	1 / 16	0 / 18	0 / 13	
Average number of embryos transferred	2.0	2.4	2.9	3.1	
Percentage of pregnancies with twins [b]	29.6	1 / 6	1 / 8	0 / 4	
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more [b]	0.0	0 / 6	0 / 8	0 / 4	
Percentage of live births having multiple infants [b,c]	32.0	1 / 6	1 / 5		
Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of transfers	30	14	7	2	1
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	26.7	2 / 14	1 / 7	1 / 2	0 / 1
Average number of embryos transferred	1.9	1.8	2.4	2.0	2.0

Donor Eggs	All Ages Combined [e] Fresh Embryos	Frozen Embryos
Number of transfers	17	3
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	8 / 17	1 / 3
Average number of embryos transferred	2.1	1.7

CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

Current Name: University of North Carolina A.R.T. Clinic

Donor egg?	Yes	Gestational carriers?	Yes	SART member?	Yes
Donor embryo?	No	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	Yes
Single women?	Yes			*(See Appendix C for details.)*	

[a] Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2009 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.
[b] When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.
[c] A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.
[d] Clinic-specific outcome rates for women older than 44 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs are not included because of small numbers. Readers are urged to review national outcomes for these age groups (see pages 30, 48 & 57).
[e] All ages (including ages >44) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.

INSTITUTE FOR ASSISTED REPRODUCTION
CHARLOTTE, NORTH CAROLINA

A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 81–90.

2009 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART [a]

IVF	100%	**Procedural Factors:**	
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	80%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	0%
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	1%
		Used PGD	2%
		With eSET	4%

Patient Diagnosis

Tubal factor	8%	Other factor	8%
Ovulatory dysfunction	13%	Unknown factor	14%
Diminished ovarian reserve	20%	*Multiple Factors:*	
Endometriosis	6%	Female factors only	10%
Uterine factor	2%	Female & male factors	8%
Male factor	12%		

2009 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by Jack L. Crain, MD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman <35	35–37	38–40	41–42	43–44[d]
Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of cycles	258	134	116	47	24
Percentage of embryos transferred resulting in implantation[b]	45.2	34.5	20.7	7.9	6.5
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies[b]	51.9	32.8	26.7	14.9	12.5
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births[b,c]	45.0	26.1	22.4	12.8	4.2
(Confidence Interval)	(38.8–51.3)	(18.9–34.4)	(15.2–31.1)	(4.8–25.7)	(0.1–21.1)
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births[b,c]	48.5	32.7	28.0	16.2	1 / 14
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births[b,c]	56.9	42.7	33.8	20.7	1 / 9
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births[b]	30.9	29.3	26.0	20.7	0 / 9
Percentage of cancellations[b]	7.4	20.1	19.8	21.3	41.7
Average number of embryos transferred	2.1	2.1	2.6	2.6	3.4
Percentage of pregnancies with twins[b]	41.0	31.8	25.8	0 / 7	1 / 3
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more[b]	4.5	2.3	6.5	0 / 7	0 / 3
Percentage of live births having multiple infants[b,c]	45.7	31.4	23.1	0 / 6	1 / 1
Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of transfers	84	55	29	7	2
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births[b,c]	41.7	25.5	20.7	2 / 7	1 / 2
Average number of embryos transferred	1.9	1.7	1.9	3.1	2.5

Donor Eggs	All Ages Combined[e] Fresh Embryos	Frozen Embryos
Number of transfers	47	14
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births[b,c]	68.1	5 / 14
Average number of embryos transferred	1.9	1.9

CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

Current Name: Institute for Assisted Reproduction

Donor egg?	Yes	Gestational carriers?	Yes	SART member?	Yes
Donor embryo?	No	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	Yes
Single women?	Yes			*(See Appendix C for details.)*	

[a] Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2009 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.
[b] When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.
[c] A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.
[d] Clinic-specific outcome rates for women older than 44 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs are not included because of small numbers. Readers are urged to review national outcomes for these age groups (see pages 30, 48 & 57).
[e] All ages (including ages >44) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.

PROGRAM FOR ASSISTED REPRODUCTION, CAROLINAS MEDICAL CENTER
CHARLOTTE, NORTH CAROLINA

A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 81–90.

2009 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART [a]

IVF	100%	**Procedural Factors:**	
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	68%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	0%
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	0%
		Used PGD	2%
		With eSET	<1%

Patient Diagnosis

Tubal factor	10%	Other factor	<1%
Ovulatory dysfunction	10%	Unknown factor	16%
Diminished ovarian reserve	12%	*Multiple Factors:*	
Endometriosis	5%	Female factors only	18%
Uterine factor	0%	Female & male factors	19%
Male factor	10%		

2009 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by Bradley S. Hurst, MD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman <35	35–37	38–40	41–42	43–44[d]
Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of cycles	80	39	40	7	1
Percentage of embryos transferred resulting in implantation[b]	31.8	25.0	28.2	0 / 17	0 / 6
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies[b]	51.3	46.2	62.5	0 / 7	0 / 1
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births[b,c]	42.5	38.5	42.5	0 / 7	0 / 1
(Confidence Interval)	(31.5–54.1)	(23.4–55.4)	(27.0–59.1)		
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births[b,c]	42.5	39.5	42.5	0 / 6	0 / 1
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births[b,c]	42.5	39.5	43.6	0 / 5	0 / 1
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births[b]	28.8	31.6	25.6	0 / 5	0 / 1
Percentage of cancellations[b]	0.0	2.6	0.0	1 / 7	0 / 1
Average number of embryos transferred	2.0	2.2	3.0	3.4	6.0
Percentage of pregnancies with twins[b]	26.8	4 / 18	24.0		
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more[b]	2.4	0 / 18	12.0		
Percentage of live births having multiple infants[b,c]	32.4	3 / 15	7 / 17		
Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of transfers	29	7	11	1	1
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births[b,c]	37.9	1 / 7	2 / 11	0 / 1	0 / 1
Average number of embryos transferred	2.1	2.6	2.5	2.0	3.0

Donor Eggs	All Ages Combined[e] Fresh Embryos	Frozen Embryos
Number of transfers	8	11
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births[b,c]	3 / 8	4 / 11
Average number of embryos transferred	2.1	2.0

CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

Current Name: Program for Assisted Reproduction, Carolinas Medical Center

Donor egg?	Yes	Gestational carriers?	Yes	SART member?	Yes
Donor embryo?	Yes	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	Yes
Single women?	Yes			*(See Appendix C for details.)*	

[a] Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2009 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.
[b] When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.
[c] A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.
[d] Clinic-specific outcome rates for women older than 44 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs are not included because of small numbers. Readers are urged to review national outcomes for these age groups (see pages 30, 48 & 57).
[e] All ages (including ages >44) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.

DUKE FERTILITY CENTER
DUKE UNIVERSITY MEDICAL CENTER
DURHAM, NORTH CAROLINA

A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 81–90.

2009 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART [a]

IVF	>99%	**Procedural Factors:**	
GIFT	<1%	With ICSI	67%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	0%
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	<1%
		Used PGD	2%
		With eSET	2%

Patient Diagnosis

Tubal factor	6%	Other factor	6%
Ovulatory dysfunction	12%	Unknown factor	18%
Diminished ovarian reserve	17%	*Multiple Factors:*	
Endometriosis	10%	Female factors only	6%
Uterine factor	2%	Female & male factors	9%
Male factor	15%		

2009 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by David K. Walmer, MD, PhD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman <35	35–37	38–40	41–42	43–44 [d]
Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of cycles	102	62	55	10	5
Percentage of embryos transferred resulting in implantation [b]	49.7	29.8	22.2	18.2	1 / 17
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies [b]	60.8	46.8	36.4	4 / 10	2 / 5
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births [b,c]	56.9	38.7	30.9	3 / 10	0 / 5
(Confidence Interval)	(46.7–66.6)	(26.6–51.9)	(19.1–44.8)		
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births [b,c]	60.4	45.3	41.5	3 / 10	0 / 5
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	68.2	47.1	42.5	3 / 9	0 / 5
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births [b]	45.9	31.4	37.5	3 / 9	0 / 5
Percentage of cancellations [b]	5.9	14.5	25.5	0 / 10	0 / 5
Average number of embryos transferred	2.0	2.2	2.7	2.4	3.4
Percentage of pregnancies with twins [b]	29.0	27.6	10.0	0 / 4	0 / 2
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more [b]	6.5	3.4	5.0	0 / 4	0 / 2
Percentage of live births having multiple infants [b,c]	32.8	33.3	2 / 17	0 / 3	
Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of transfers	27	22	15	0	0
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	37.0	45.5	5 / 15		
Average number of embryos transferred	2.0	2.1	2.3		

Donor Eggs	All Ages Combined [e] Fresh Embryos	Frozen Embryos
Number of transfers	18	24
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	10 / 18	20.8
Average number of embryos transferred	1.9	2.2

CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

Current Name: Duke Fertility Center, Duke University Medical Center

Donor egg?	Yes	Gestational carriers?	Yes	SART member?	Yes
Donor embryo?	No	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	Yes
Single women?	Yes			*(See Appendix C for details.)*	

[a] Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2009 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.
[b] When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.
[c] A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.
[d] Clinic-specific outcome rates for women older than 44 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs are not included because of small numbers. Readers are urged to review national outcomes for these age groups (see pages 30, 48 & 57).
[e] All ages (including ages >44) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.

EAST CAROLINA UNIVERSITY
GREENVILLE, NORTH CAROLINA

A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 81–90.

2009 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART [a]

IVF	100%	**Procedural Factors:**	
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	64%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	0%
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	0%
		Used PGD	2%
		With eSET	2%

Patient Diagnosis

Tubal factor	10%	Other factor	1%
Ovulatory dysfunction	15%	Unknown factor	8%
Diminished ovarian reserve	10%	*Multiple Factors:*	
Endometriosis	4%	Female factors only	10%
Uterine factor	3%	Female & male factors	15%
Male factor	25%		

2009 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by Clifford C. Hayslip, MD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman <35	35–37	38–40	41–42	43–44 [d]
Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of cycles	32	9	9	9	0
Percentage of embryos transferred resulting in implantation [b]	36.7	4 / 19	4 / 17	15.0	
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies [b]	50.0	3 / 9	4 / 9	2 / 9	
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births [b,c]	50.0	2 / 9	3 / 9	1 / 9	
(Confidence Interval)	(31.9–68.1)				
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births [b,c]	55.2	2 / 9	3 / 7	1 / 9	
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	55.2	2 / 8	3 / 7	1 / 8	
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births [b]	34.5	0 / 8	2 / 7	0 / 8	
Percentage of cancellations [b]	9.4	0 / 9	2 / 9	0 / 9	
Average number of embryos transferred	2.1	2.4	2.4	2.5	
Percentage of pregnancies with twins [b]	6 / 16	2 / 3	1 / 4	1 / 2	
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more [b]	0 / 16	0 / 3	0 / 4	0 / 2	
Percentage of live births having multiple infants [b,c]	6 / 16	2 / 2	1 / 3	1 / 1	
Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of transfers	5	2	0	0	1
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	0 / 5	0 / 2			0 / 1
Average number of embryos transferred	1.8	2.5			3.0

Donor Eggs	All Ages Combined [e] Fresh Embryos	Frozen Embryos
Number of transfers	4	2
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	2 / 4	0 / 2
Average number of embryos transferred	2.0	2.5

CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

Current Name: East Carolina University

Donor egg?	Yes	Gestational carriers?	No	SART member?	Yes
Donor embryo?	No	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	Yes
Single women?	Yes			*(See Appendix C for details.)*	

[a] Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2009 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.
[b] When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.
[c] A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.
[d] Clinic-specific outcome rates for women older than 44 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs are not included because of small numbers. Readers are urged to review national outcomes for these age groups (see pages 30, 48 & 57).
[e] All ages (including ages >44) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.

PREMIER FERTILITY CENTER
HIGH POINT REGIONAL HEALTH SYSTEM
HIGH POINT, NORTH CAROLINA

A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 81–90.

2009 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART [a]

IVF	100%	**Procedural Factors:**	
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	61%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	0%
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	0%
		Used PGD	0%
		With eSET	8%

Patient Diagnosis

Tubal factor	14%	Other factor	1%
Ovulatory dysfunction	11%	Unknown factor	12%
Diminished ovarian reserve	7%	*Multiple Factors:*	
Endometriosis	2%	Female factors only	11%
Uterine factor	1%	Female & male factors	16%
Male factor	24%		

2009 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by Jeffrey L. Deaton, MD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman <35	35–37	38–40	41–42	43–44[d]
Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of cycles	38	17	6	2	1
Percentage of embryos transferred resulting in implantation[b]	39.7	21.9	3 / 14	0 / 4	
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies[b]	47.4	8 / 17	2 / 6	1 / 2	0 / 1
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births[b,c]	39.5	5 / 17	1 / 6	0 / 2	0 / 1
(Confidence Interval)	(24.0–56.6)				
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births[b,c]	41.7	5 / 16	1 / 6	0 / 2	
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births[b,c]	50.0	5 / 14	1 / 6	0 / 2	
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births[b]	33.3	5 / 14	1 / 6	0 / 2	
Percentage of cancellations[b]	5.3	1 / 17	0 / 6	0 / 2	1 / 1
Average number of embryos transferred	1.9	2.3	2.3	2.0	
Percentage of pregnancies with twins[b]	6 / 18	0 / 8	1 / 2	0 / 1	
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more[b]	0 / 18	0 / 8	0 / 2	0 / 1	
Percentage of live births having multiple infants[b,c]	5 / 15	0 / 5	0 / 1		
Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of transfers	19	2	2	1	0
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births[b,c]	9 / 19	0 / 2	0 / 2	0 / 1	
Average number of embryos transferred	1.9	2.5	1.5	2.0	

Donor Eggs	All Ages Combined[e] Fresh Embryos	Frozen Embryos
Number of transfers	2	3
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births[b,c]	0 / 2	2 / 3
Average number of embryos transferred	2.0	2.0

CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

Current Name: Premier Fertility Center, High Point Regional Health System

Donor egg?	Yes	Gestational carriers?	Yes	SART member?	Yes
Donor embryo?	Yes	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	Yes
Single women?	Yes			*(See Appendix C for details.)*	

[a] Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2009 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.
[b] When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.
[c] A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.
[d] Clinic-specific outcome rates for women older than 44 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs are not included because of small numbers. Readers are urged to review national outcomes for these age groups (see pages 30, 48 & 57).
[e] All ages (including ages >44) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.

ADVANCED REPRODUCTIVE CONCEPTS
HUNTERSVILLE, NORTH CAROLINA

A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 81–90.

2009 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART [a]

IVF	100%	**Procedural Factors:**	
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	93%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	0%
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	0%
		Used PGD	0%
		With eSET	10%

Patient Diagnosis

Tubal factor	10%	Other factor	0%
Ovulatory dysfunction	3%	Unknown factor	11%
Diminished ovarian reserve	6%	*Multiple Factors:*	
Endometriosis	3%	Female factors only	9%
Uterine factor	0%	Female & male factors	31%
Male factor	26%		

2009 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by Mark L. Jutras, MD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman <35	35–37	38–40	41–42	43–44 [d]
Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of cycles	35	11	16	3	6
Percentage of embryos transferred resulting in implantation [b]	44.4	8 / 16	23.1	0 / 5	4 / 16
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies [b]	51.4	7 / 11	8 / 16	0 / 3	3 / 6
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births [b,c]	45.7	4 / 11	6 / 16	0 / 3	1 / 6
(Confidence Interval)	(28.8–63.4)				
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births [b,c]	47.1	4 / 11	6 / 13	0 / 3	1 / 6
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	50.0	4 / 9	6 / 13	0 / 2	1 / 5
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births [b]	31.3	2 / 9	5 / 13	0 / 2	0 / 5
Percentage of cancellations [b]	2.9	0 / 11	3 / 16	0 / 3	0 / 6
Average number of embryos transferred	1.7	1.8	3.0	2.5	3.2
Percentage of pregnancies with twins [b]	6 / 18	2 / 7	0 / 8		1 / 3
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more [b]	0 / 18	0 / 7	1 / 8		0 / 3
Percentage of live births having multiple infants [b,c]	6 / 16	2 / 4	1 / 6		1 / 1
Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of transfers	10	2	2	0	1
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	5 / 10	1 / 2	1 / 2		1 / 1
Average number of embryos transferred	1.6	2.0	1.5		3.0

Donor Eggs	All Ages Combined [e] Fresh Embryos	Frozen Embryos
Number of transfers	2	0
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	1 / 2	
Average number of embryos transferred	1.5	

CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

Current Name: Advanced Reproductive Concepts

Donor egg?	Yes	Gestational carriers?	Yes	SART member?	Yes
Donor embryo?	No	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	Yes
Single women?	No			*(See Appendix C for details.)*	

[a] Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2009 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.
[b] When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.
[c] A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.
[d] Clinic-specific outcome rates for women older than 44 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs are not included because of small numbers. Readers are urged to review national outcomes for these age groups (see pages 30, 48 & 57).
[e] All ages (including ages >44) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.

CAROLINA CONCEPTIONS
RALEIGH, NORTH CAROLINA

A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 81–90.

2009 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART [a]

IVF	100%	**Procedural Factors:**	
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	78%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	0%
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	<1%
		Used PGD	2%
		With eSET	3%

Patient Diagnosis

Tubal factor	3%	Other factor	2%
Ovulatory dysfunction	12%	Unknown factor	10%
Diminished ovarian reserve	15%	*Multiple Factors:*	
Endometriosis	2%	Female factors only	5%
Uterine factor	<1%	Female & male factors	29%
Male factor	22%		

2009 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by William R. Meyer, MD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman <35	35–37	38–40	41–42	43–44 [d]
Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of cycles	197	83	84	19	1
Percentage of embryos transferred resulting in implantation [b]	43.2	34.7	20.0	8.2	
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies [b]	54.3	50.6	33.3	4 / 19	0 / 1
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births [b,c]	51.3	47.0	29.8	4 / 19	0 / 1
(Confidence Interval)	(44.1–58.4)	(35.9–58.3)	(20.3–40.7)		
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births [b,c]	58.7	54.2	38.5	4 / 15	
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	60.1	55.7	39.7	4 / 15	
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births [b]	36.3	40.0	30.2	4 / 15	
Percentage of cancellations [b]	12.7	13.3	22.6	4 / 19	1 / 1
Average number of embryos transferred	2.1	2.5	3.0	4.1	
Percentage of pregnancies with twins [b]	38.3	28.6	35.7	1 / 4	
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more [b]	3.7	7.1	0.0	0 / 4	
Percentage of live births having multiple infants [b,c]	39.6	28.2	24.0	0 / 4	
Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of transfers	28	16	6	3	1
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	39.3	4 / 16	0 / 6	1 / 3	0 / 1
Average number of embryos transferred	2.5	2.5	2.7	1.7	4.0

	All Ages Combined [e]	
Donor Eggs	**Fresh Embryos**	**Frozen Embryos**
Number of transfers	44	12
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	61.4	5 / 12
Average number of embryos transferred	2.0	2.2

CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

Current Name: Carolina Conceptions

Donor egg?	Yes	Gestational carriers?	Yes	SART member?	Yes
Donor embryo?	Yes	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	Yes
Single women?	Yes			*(See Appendix C for details.)*	

[a] Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2009 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.
[b] When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.
[c] A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.
[d] Clinic-specific outcome rates for women older than 44 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs are not included because of small numbers. Readers are urged to review national outcomes for these age groups (see pages 30, 48 & 57).
[e] All ages (including ages >44) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.

WAKE FOREST UNIVERSITY CENTER FOR REPRODUCTIVE MEDICINE
WINSTON-SALEM, NORTH CAROLINA

A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 81–90.

2009 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART [a]

IVF	100%	**Procedural Factors:**	
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	72%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	0%
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	0%
		Used PGD	<1%
		With eSET	5%

Patient Diagnosis

Tubal factor	8%	Other factor	<1%
Ovulatory dysfunction	6%	Unknown factor	13%
Diminished ovarian reserve	7%	*Multiple Factors:*	
Endometriosis	6%	Female factors only	20%
Uterine factor	0%	Female & male factors	27%
Male factor	11%		

2009 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by Tamer M. Yalcinkaya, MD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman <35	35–37	38–40	41–42	43–44 [d]
Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of cycles	54	27	38	13	3
Percentage of embryos transferred resulting in implantation [b]	51.5	45.5	26.8	12.5	2 / 11
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies [b]	74.1	74.1	52.6	6 / 13	1 / 3
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births [b,c]	63.0	51.9	42.1	4 / 13	1 / 3
(Confidence Interval)	(48.7–75.7)	(31.9–71.3)	(26.3–59.2)		
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births [b,c]	64.2	56.0	45.7	4 / 12	1 / 3
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	65.4	56.0	50.0	4 / 11	1 / 3
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births [b]	42.3	36.0	40.6	4 / 11	1 / 3
Percentage of cancellations [b]	1.9	7.4	7.9	1 / 13	0 / 3
Average number of embryos transferred	1.9	2.2	2.6	3.6	3.7
Percentage of pregnancies with twins [b]	32.5	20.0	20.0	0 / 6	1 / 1
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more [b]	0.0	10.0	0.0	0 / 6	0 / 1
Percentage of live births having multiple infants [b,c]	35.3	5 / 14	3 / 16	0 / 4	0 / 1
Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of transfers	11	6	2	0	1
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	4 / 11	3 / 6	1 / 2		0 / 1
Average number of embryos transferred	2.2	1.8	2.0		4.0

Donor Eggs	All Ages Combined [e] Fresh Embryos	Frozen Embryos
Number of transfers	11	2
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	8 / 11	0 / 2
Average number of embryos transferred	1.9	2.0

CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

Current Name: Wake Forest University Center for Reproductive Medicine

Donor egg?	Yes	Gestational carriers?	No	SART member?	Yes
Donor embryo?	Yes	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	Yes
Single women?	Yes			*(See Appendix C for details.)*	

[a] Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2009 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.
[b] When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.
[c] A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.
[d] Clinic-specific outcome rates for women older than 44 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs are not included because of small numbers. Readers are urged to review national outcomes for these age groups (see pages 30, 48 & 57).
[e] All ages (including ages >44) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.

MERITCARE REPRODUCTIVE MEDICINE
FARGO, NORTH DAKOTA

A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 81–90.

2009 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART [a]

IVF	100%	**Procedural Factors:**	
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	79%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	0%
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	<1%
		Used PGD	2%
		With eSET	4%

Patient Diagnosis

Tubal factor	7%	Other factor	7%
Ovulatory dysfunction	12%	Unknown factor	14%
Diminished ovarian reserve	6%	*Multiple Factors:*	
Endometriosis	6%	Female factors only	4%
Uterine factor	0%	Female & male factors	15%
Male factor	30%		

2009 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by Steffen P. Christensen, MD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman <35	35–37	38–40	41–42	43–44 [d]
Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of cycles	53	21	20	7	1
Percentage of embryos transferred resulting in implantation [b]	25.0	15.2	14.8	0 / 9	0 / 2
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies [b]	32.1	19.0	15.0	0 / 7	0 / 1
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births [b,c]	28.3	19.0	10.0	0 / 7	0 / 1
(Confidence Interval)	(16.8–42.3)	(5.4–41.9)	(1.2–31.7)		
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births [b,c]	34.1	4 / 19	2 / 15	0 / 5	0 / 1
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	37.5	4 / 19	2 / 12	0 / 4	0 / 1
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births [b]	27.5	1 / 19	1 / 12	0 / 4	0 / 1
Percentage of cancellations [b]	17.0	9.5	25.0	2 / 7	0 / 1
Average number of embryos transferred	2.2	2.4	2.3	2.3	2.0
Percentage of pregnancies with twins [b]	4 / 17	3 / 4	1 / 3		
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more [b]	1 / 17	0 / 4	0 / 3		
Percentage of live births having multiple infants [b,c]	4 / 15	3 / 4	1 / 2		
Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of transfers	30	11	6	2	1
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	43.3	5 / 11	0 / 6	0 / 2	0 / 1
Average number of embryos transferred	2.6	2.3	1.8	2.0	4.0

Donor Eggs	All Ages Combined [e] Fresh Embryos	Frozen Embryos
Number of transfers	2	3
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	1 / 2	0 / 3
Average number of embryos transferred	2.0	1.3

CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

Current Name: This clinic has closed or reorganized since 2009. Information on current clinic services and profile therefore is not provided here. Contact the NASS Help Desk for current information about this clinic.

[a] Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2009 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.
[b] When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.
[c] A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.
[d] Clinic-specific outcome rates for women older than 44 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs are not included because of small numbers. Readers are urged to review national outcomes for these age groups (see pages 30, 48 & 57).
[e] All ages (including ages >44) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.

FERTILITY UNLIMITED, INC.
NORTHEASTERN OHIO FERTILITY CENTER
AKRON, OHIO

A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 81–90.

2009 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART [a]

IVF	100%	**Procedural Factors:**	
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	84%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	0%
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	3%
		Used PGD	7%
		With eSET	0%

Patient Diagnosis

Tubal factor	9%	Other factor	2%
Ovulatory dysfunction	2%	Unknown factor	2%
Diminished ovarian reserve	22%	*Multiple Factors:*	
Endometriosis	2%	Female factors only	20%
Uterine factor	2%	Female & male factors	35%
Male factor	4%		

2009 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by Nicholas J. Spirtos, DO

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman <35	35–37	38–40	41–42	43–44[d]
Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of cycles	15	7	8	1	0
Percentage of embryos transferred resulting in implantation[b]	14.7	2 / 16	1 / 18	0 / 1	
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies[b]	4 / 15	2 / 7	1 / 8	0 / 1	
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births[b,c] (Confidence Interval)	4 / 15	2 / 7	1 / 8	0 / 1	
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births[b,c]	4 / 15	2 / 7	1 / 8	0 / 1	
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births[b,c]	4 / 15	2 / 7	1 / 7	0 / 1	
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births[b]	3 / 15	2 / 7	1 / 7	0 / 1	
Percentage of cancellations[b]	0 / 15	0 / 7	0 / 8	0 / 1	
Average number of embryos transferred	2.3	2.3	2.6	1.0	
Percentage of pregnancies with twins[b]	1 / 4	0 / 2	0 / 1		
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more[b]	0 / 4	0 / 2	0 / 1		
Percentage of live births having multiple infants[b,c]	1 / 4	0 / 2	0 / 1		
Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of transfers	1	0	1	0	0
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births[b,c]	0 / 1		0 / 1		
Average number of embryos transferred	2.0		1.0		

Donor Eggs	All Ages Combined[e] Fresh Embryos	Frozen Embryos
Number of transfers	13	0
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births[b,c]	5 / 13	
Average number of embryos transferred	2.6	

CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

Current Name: Fertility Unlimited, Inc., Northeastern Ohio Fertility Center

Donor egg?	Yes	Gestational carriers?	Yes	SART member?	Yes
Donor embryo?	Yes	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	Yes
Single women?	Yes			*(See Appendix C for details.)*	

[a] Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2009 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.
[b] When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.
[c] A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.
[d] Clinic-specific outcome rates for women older than 44 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs are not included because of small numbers. Readers are urged to review national outcomes for these age groups (see pages 30, 48 & 57).
[e] All ages (including ages >44) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.

REPRODUCTIVE GYNECOLOGY
AKRON, OHIO

A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 81–90.

2009 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART [a]

IVF	100%	**Procedural Factors:**	
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	71%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	0%
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	<1%
		Used PGD	3%
		With eSET	<1%

Patient Diagnosis

Tubal factor	9%	Other factor	<1%
Ovulatory dysfunction	6%	Unknown factor	2%
Diminished ovarian reserve	2%	*Multiple Factors:*	
Endometriosis	6%	Female factors only	15%
Uterine factor	<1%	Female & male factors	43%
Male factor	17%		

2009 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by Richard W. Moretuzzo, MD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman <35	35–37	38–40	41–42	43–44 [d]
Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of cycles	132	44	41	12	0
Percentage of embryos transferred resulting in implantation [b]	22.7	14.4	9.0	12.5	
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies [b]	46.2	34.1	24.4	3 / 12	
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births [b,c]	41.7	25.0	9.8	1 / 12	
(Confidence Interval)	(33.2–50.6)	(13.2–40.3)	(2.7–23.1)		
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births [b,c]	42.3	26.2	10.3	1 / 9	
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	45.1	28.2	10.8	1 / 8	
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births [b]	33.6	25.6	10.8	1 / 8	
Percentage of cancellations [b]	1.5	4.5	4.9	3 / 12	
Average number of embryos transferred	2.7	2.7	3.0	3.0	
Percentage of pregnancies with twins [b]	18.0	1 / 15	2 / 10	0 / 3	
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more [b]	4.9	0 / 15	0 / 10	0 / 3	
Percentage of live births having multiple infants [b,c]	25.5	1 / 11	0 / 4	0 / 1	
Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of transfers	40	8	6	1	0
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	42.5	1 / 8	1 / 6	0 / 1	
Average number of embryos transferred	2.9	2.5	2.8	1.0	

Donor Eggs	All Ages Combined [e] Fresh Embryos	Frozen Embryos
Number of transfers	15	8
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	5 / 15	3 / 8
Average number of embryos transferred	2.7	2.4

CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

Current Name: Reproductive Gynecology

Donor egg?	Yes	Gestational carriers?	Yes	SART member?	Yes
Donor embryo?	Yes	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	Yes
Single women?	Yes			*(See Appendix C for details.)*	

[a] Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2009 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.
[b] When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.
[c] A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.
[d] Clinic-specific outcome rates for women older than 44 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs are not included because of small numbers. Readers are urged to review national outcomes for these age groups (see pages 30, 48 & 57).
[e] All ages (including ages >44) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.

BETHESDA CENTER FOR REPRODUCTIVE HEALTH & FERTILITY
CINCINNATI, OHIO

A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 81–90.

2009 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART [a]

IVF	100%	**Procedural Factors:**	
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	58%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	0%
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	<1%
		Used PGD	<1%
		With eSET	0%

Patient Diagnosis

Tubal factor	9%	Other factor	3%
Ovulatory dysfunction	11%	Unknown factor	9%
Diminished ovarian reserve	25%	*Multiple Factors:*	
Endometriosis	3%	Female factors only	11%
Uterine factor	<1%	Female & male factors	13%
Male factor	15%		

2009 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by Glen E. Hofmann, MD, PhD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman <35	35–37	38–40	41–42	43–44 [d]
Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of cycles	79	37	31	21	7
Percentage of embryos transferred resulting in implantation [b]	32.6	29.1	16.7	8.8	3 / 15
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies [b]	38.0	37.8	22.6	23.8	3 / 7
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births [b,c]	35.4	29.7	19.4	4.8	2 / 7
(Confidence Interval)	(25.0–47.0)	(15.9–47.0)	(7.5–37.5)	(0.1–23.8)	
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births [b,c]	40.0	39.3	27.3	1 / 12	2 / 5
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	42.4	40.7	27.3	1 / 12	2 / 5
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births [b]	24.2	33.3	22.7	1 / 12	2 / 5
Percentage of cancellations [b]	11.4	24.3	29.0	42.9	2 / 7
Average number of embryos transferred	2.0	2.0	2.5	2.8	3.0
Percentage of pregnancies with twins [b]	40.0	2 / 14	2 / 7	0 / 5	0 / 3
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more [b]	6.7	0 / 14	0 / 7	0 / 5	0 / 3
Percentage of live births having multiple infants [b,c]	42.9	2 / 11	1 / 6	0 / 1	0 / 2
Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of transfers	26	10	8	1	0
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	38.5	5 / 10	3 / 8	0 / 1	
Average number of embryos transferred	1.8	1.9	2.0	2.0	

Donor Eggs	All Ages Combined [e] Fresh Embryos	Frozen Embryos
Number of transfers	22	33
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	45.5	18.2
Average number of embryos transferred	2.0	1.8

CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

Current Name: Bethesda Center for Reproductive Health & Fertility

Donor egg?	Yes	Gestational carriers?	Yes	SART member?	Yes
Donor embryo?	Yes	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	Yes
Single women?	Yes			*(See Appendix C for details.)*	

[a] Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2009 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.
[b] When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.
[c] A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.
[d] Clinic-specific outcome rates for women older than 44 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs are not included because of small numbers. Readers are urged to review national outcomes for these age groups (see pages 30, 48 & 57).
[e] All ages (including ages >44) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.

CENTER FOR REPRODUCTIVE HEALTH
CINCINNATI, OHIO

A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 81–90.

2009 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART [a]

IVF	100%	**Procedural Factors:**	
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	44%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	0%
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	2%
		Used PGD	0%
		With eSET	0%

Patient Diagnosis

Tubal factor	9%	Other factor	2%
Ovulatory dysfunction	11%	Unknown factor	5%
Diminished ovarian reserve	10%	*Multiple Factors:*	
Endometriosis	6%	Female factors only	14%
Uterine factor	0%	Female & male factors	23%
Male factor	20%		

2009 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by Steven N. Lindheim, MD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman <35	35–37	38–40	41–42	43–44[d]
Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of cycles	101	27	18	6	3
Percentage of embryos transferred resulting in implantation[b]	31.5	19.3	10.0	1 / 13	0 / 9
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies[b]	39.6	37.0	5 / 18	1 / 6	1 / 3
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births[b,c]	35.6	33.3	5 / 18	1 / 6	0 / 3
(Confidence Interval)	(26.4–45.8)	(16.5–54.0)			
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births[b,c]	38.3	34.6	5 / 16	1 / 4	0 / 3
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births[b,c]	40.0	36.0	5 / 16	1 / 4	0 / 3
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births[b]	24.4	36.0	5 / 16	1 / 4	0 / 3
Percentage of cancellations[b]	6.9	3.7	2 / 18	2 / 6	0 / 3
Average number of embryos transferred	2.0	2.3	3.1	3.3	3.0
Percentage of pregnancies with twins[b]	37.5	1 / 10	0 / 5	0 / 1	0 / 1
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more[b]	2.5	0 / 10	0 / 5	0 / 1	0 / 1
Percentage of live births having multiple infants[b,c]	38.9	0 / 9	0 / 5	0 / 1	
Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of transfers	31	4	7	1	1
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births[b,c]	35.5	0 / 4	0 / 7	0 / 1	1 / 1
Average number of embryos transferred	2.1	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0

Donor Eggs	All Ages Combined[e] Fresh Embryos	Frozen Embryos
Number of transfers	14	5
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births[b,c]	6 / 14	2 / 5
Average number of embryos transferred	1.9	1.8

CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

Current Name: Center for Reproductive Health

Donor egg?	Yes	Gestational carriers?	Yes	SART member?	Yes
Donor embryo?	Yes	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	Yes
Single women?	Yes			*(See Appendix C for details.)*	

[a] Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2009 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.
[b] When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.
[c] A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.
[d] Clinic-specific outcome rates for women older than 44 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs are not included because of small numbers. Readers are urged to review national outcomes for these age groups (see pages 30, 48 & 57).
[e] All ages (including ages >44) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.

INSTITUTE FOR REPRODUCTIVE HEALTH
CINCINNATI, OHIO

A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 81–90.

2009 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART [a]

IVF	>99%	**Procedural Factors:**	
GIFT	<1%	With ICSI	55%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	0%
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	<1%
		Used PGD	2%
		With eSET	<1%

Patient Diagnosis

Tubal factor	8%	Other factor	3%
Ovulatory dysfunction	7%	Unknown factor	6%
Diminished ovarian reserve	7%	*Multiple Factors:*	
Endometriosis	8%	Female factors only	20%
Uterine factor	1%	Female & male factors	24%
Male factor	17%		

2009 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by Sherif G. Awadalla, MD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman <35	35–37	38–40	41–42	43–44 [d]
Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of cycles	391	142	113	18	7
Percentage of embryos transferred resulting in implantation [b]	30.3	20.9	16.9	2.4	0 / 18
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies [b]	44.8	39.4	29.2	1 / 18	1 / 7
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births [b,c]	39.4	31.7	24.8	1 / 18	0 / 7
(Confidence Interval)	(34.5–44.4)	(24.1–40.0)	(17.1–33.8)		
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births [b,c]	41.3	34.6	29.8	1 / 14	0 / 5
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	42.1	35.4	30.1	1 / 13	0 / 5
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births [b]	26.8	22.8	18.3	1 / 13	0 / 5
Percentage of cancellations [b]	4.6	8.5	16.8	4 / 18	2 / 7
Average number of embryos transferred	2.1	2.7	2.9	3.2	3.6
Percentage of pregnancies with twins [b]	36.6	25.0	27.3	0 / 1	0 / 1
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more [b]	1.7	7.1	6.1	0 / 1	0 / 1
Percentage of live births having multiple infants [b,c]	36.4	35.6	39.3	0 / 1	
Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of transfers	99	39	15	4	0
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	23.2	20.5	2 / 15	1 / 4	
Average number of embryos transferred	2.2	2.4	2.2	2.5	

Donor Eggs	All Ages Combined [e] Fresh Embryos	Frozen Embryos
Number of transfers	49	32
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	44.9	37.5
Average number of embryos transferred	2.2	2.5

CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

Current Name: Institute for Reproductive Health

Donor egg?	Yes	Gestational carriers?	Yes	SART member?	Yes
Donor embryo?	Yes	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	Yes
Single women?	Yes			*(See Appendix C for details.)*	

[a] Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2009 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.
[b] When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.
[c] A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.
[d] Clinic-specific outcome rates for women older than 44 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs are not included because of small numbers. Readers are urged to review national outcomes for these age groups (see pages 30, 48 & 57).
[e] All ages (including ages >44) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.

CLEVELAND CLINIC FERTILITY CENTER
CLEVELAND, OHIO

A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 81–90.

2009 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART [a]

IVF	100%	**Procedural Factors:**	
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	77%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	0%
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	<1%
		Used PGD	2%
		With eSET	2%

Patient Diagnosis

Tubal factor	11%	Other factor	5%
Ovulatory dysfunction	10%	Unknown factor	18%
Diminished ovarian reserve	8%	*Multiple Factors:*	
Endometriosis	8%	Female factors only	8%
Uterine factor	<1%	Female & male factors	10%
Male factor	22%		

2009 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by James Goldfarb, MD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman <35	35–37	38–40	41–42	43–44[d]
Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of cycles	266	147	158	58	7
Percentage of embryos transferred resulting in implantation [b]	40.4	30.0	21.2	15.0	17.4
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies [b]	48.9	37.4	26.6	24.1	3 / 7
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births [b,c]	44.7	33.3	23.4	20.7	2 / 7
(Confidence Interval)	(38.7–50.9)	(25.8–41.6)	(17.1–30.8)	(11.2–33.4)	
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births [b,c]	53.8	41.5	34.9	27.3	2 / 6
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	55.1	42.2	37.4	27.9	2 / 6
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births [b]	38.9	27.6	27.3	20.9	2 / 6
Percentage of cancellations [b]	16.9	19.7	32.9	24.1	1 / 7
Average number of embryos transferred	2.0	2.2	2.6	3.0	3.8
Percentage of pregnancies with twins [b]	30.0	34.5	21.4	2 / 14	1 / 3
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more [b]	2.3	1.8	4.8	1 / 14	0 / 3
Percentage of live births having multiple infants [b,c]	29.4	34.7	27.0	3 / 12	0 / 2
Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of transfers	122	75	50	11	7
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	36.9	24.0	24.0	2 / 11	0 / 7
Average number of embryos transferred	2.0	2.1	2.1	2.9	2.4

Donor Eggs	All Ages Combined [e] Fresh Embryos	Frozen Embryos
Number of transfers	35	32
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	57.1	34.4
Average number of embryos transferred	2.0	1.9

CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

Current Name: Cleveland Clinic Fertility Center

Donor egg?	Yes	Gestational carriers?	Yes	SART member?	Yes
Donor embryo?	Yes	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	Yes
Single women?	Yes			*(See Appendix C for details.)*	

[a] Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2009 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.
[b] When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.
[c] A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.
[d] Clinic-specific outcome rates for women older than 44 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs are not included because of small numbers. Readers are urged to review national outcomes for these age groups (see pages 30, 48 & 57).
[e] All ages (including ages >44) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.

MacDONALD FERTILITY AND IVF PROGRAM
CLEVELAND, OHIO

A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 81–90.

2009 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART [a]

IVF	100%	**Procedural Factors:**	
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	52%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	0%
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	0%
		Used PGD	0%
		With eSET	1%

Patient Diagnosis

Tubal factor	9%	Other factor	5%
Ovulatory dysfunction	7%	Unknown factor	3%
Diminished ovarian reserve	5%	*Multiple Factors:*	
Endometriosis	4%	Female factors only	9%
Uterine factor	2%	Female & male factors	30%
Male factor	26%		

2009 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by William W. Hurd, MD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman <35	35–37	38–40	41–42	43–44[d]
Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of cycles	63	37	21	10	1
Percentage of embryos transferred resulting in implantation[b]	20.9	19.6	15.8	20.0	
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies[b]	30.2	24.3	19.0	2 / 10	0 / 1
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births[b,c]	23.8	13.5	19.0	2 / 10	0 / 1
(Confidence Interval)	(14.0–36.2)	(4.5–28.8)	(5.4–41.9)		
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births[b,c]	28.8	25.0	4 / 13	2 / 6	
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births[b,c]	29.4	5 / 18	4 / 13	2 / 6	
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births[b]	19.6	4 / 18	2 / 13	1 / 6	
Percentage of cancellations[b]	17.5	45.9	38.1	4 / 10	1 / 1
Average number of embryos transferred	2.3	3.1	2.9	3.3	
Percentage of pregnancies with twins[b]	6 / 19	3 / 9	2 / 4	2 / 2	
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more[b]	0 / 19	0 / 9	0 / 4	0 / 2	
Percentage of live births having multiple infants[b,c]	5 / 15	1 / 5	2 / 4	1 / 2	
Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of transfers	22	8	9	1	0
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births[b,c]	27.3	3 / 8	2 / 9	0 / 1	
Average number of embryos transferred	2.2	2.1	2.9	2.0	

Donor Eggs	All Ages Combined[e] Fresh Embryos	Frozen Embryos
Number of transfers	7	3
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births[b,c]	4 / 7	0 / 3
Average number of embryos transferred	2.1	2.0

CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

Current Name: MacDonald Fertility and IVF Center, Case Medical Center/MacDonald Women's Hospital

Donor egg?	Yes	Gestational carriers?	Yes	SART member?	Yes
Donor embryo?	Yes	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	Yes
Single women?	Yes			*(See Appendix C for details.)*	

[a] Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2009 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.
[b] When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.
[c] A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.
[d] Clinic-specific outcome rates for women older than 44 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs are not included because of small numbers. Readers are urged to review national outcomes for these age groups (see pages 30, 48 & 57).
[e] All ages (including ages >44) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.

METROHEALTH MEDICAL CENTER
METROHEALTH FERTILITY CENTER
CLEVELAND, OHIO

A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 81–90.

2009 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART [a]

IVF	100%	**Procedural Factors:**	
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	100%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	0%
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	0%
		Used PGD	0%
		With eSET	0%

Patient Diagnosis

Tubal factor	33%	Other factor	0%
Ovulatory dysfunction	0%	Unknown factor	0%
Diminished ovarian reserve	0%	*Multiple Factors:*	
Endometriosis	50%	Female factors only	17%
Uterine factor	0%	Female & male factors	0%
Male factor	0%		

2009 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by Patrick M. Catalano, MD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman <35	35–37	38–40	41–42	43–44 [d]
Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of cycles	4	0	2	0	0
Percentage of embryos transferred resulting in implantation [b]	1 / 9		2 / 4		
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies [b]	1 / 4		1 / 2		
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births [b,c] (Confidence Interval)	1 / 4		1 / 2		
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births [b,c]	1 / 4		1 / 2		
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	1 / 4		1 / 2		
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births [b]	1 / 4		1 / 2		
Percentage of cancellations [b]	0 / 4		0 / 2		
Average number of embryos transferred	2.3		2.0		
Percentage of pregnancies with twins [b]	0 / 1		1 / 1		
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more [b]	0 / 1		0 / 1		
Percentage of live births having multiple infants [b,c]	0 / 1		0 / 1		
Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of transfers	0	0	0	0	0
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]					
Average number of embryos transferred					

Donor Eggs	All Ages Combined [e] Fresh Embryos	Frozen Embryos
Number of transfers	0	0
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]		
Average number of embryos transferred		

CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

Current Name: This clinic has closed or reorganized since 2009. Information on current clinic services and profile therefore is not provided here. Contact the NASS Help Desk for current information a bout this clinic.

[a] Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2009 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.
[b] When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.
[c] A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.
[d] Clinic-specific outcome rates for women older than 44 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs are not included because of small numbers. Readers are urged to review national outcomes for these age groups (see pages 30, 48 & 57).
[e] All ages (including ages >44) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.

OHIO REPRODUCTIVE MEDICINE
COLUMBUS, OHIO

A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 81–90.

2009 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART [a]

IVF	100%	**Procedural Factors:**	
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	40%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	0%
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	2%
		Used PGD	4%
		With eSET	3%

Patient Diagnosis

Tubal factor	18%	Other factor	4%
Ovulatory dysfunction	4%	Unknown factor	29%
Diminished ovarian reserve	8%	*Multiple Factors:*	
Endometriosis	5%	Female factors only	5%
Uterine factor	2%	Female & male factors	6%
Male factor	21%		

2009 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by Grant Schmidt, MD, PhD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman <35	35–37	38–40	41–42	43–44 [d]
Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of cycles	261	109	87	39	13
Percentage of embryos transferred resulting in implantation [b]	25.1	22.6	14.5	12.6	0.0
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies [b]	42.1	40.4	29.9	23.1	0 / 13
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births [b,c]	36.0	31.2	24.1	20.5	0 / 13
(Confidence Interval)	(30.2–42.2)	(22.7–40.8)	(15.6–34.5)	(9.3–36.5)	
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births [b,c]	38.7	36.2	27.3	26.7	0 / 9
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	40.2	37.8	29.6	28.6	0 / 7
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births [b]	27.8	32.2	25.4	17.9	0 / 7
Percentage of cancellations [b]	6.9	13.8	11.5	23.1	4 / 13
Average number of embryos transferred	2.3	2.3	2.8	3.4	3.4
Percentage of pregnancies with twins [b]	25.5	9.1	19.2	2 / 9	
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more [b]	2.7	2.3	0.0	1 / 9	
Percentage of live births having multiple infants [b,c]	30.9	14.7	14.3	3 / 8	
Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of transfers	53	24	16	4	2
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	18.9	20.8	3 / 16	1 / 4	1 / 2
Average number of embryos transferred	2.2	2.0	2.5	3.0	2.0

Donor Eggs	All Ages Combined [e] Fresh Embryos	Frozen Embryos
Number of transfers	26	18
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	65.4	5 / 18
Average number of embryos transferred	2.2	2.2

CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

Current Name: Ohio Reproductive Medicine

Donor egg?	Yes	Gestational carriers?	Yes	SART member?	Yes
Donor embryo?	Yes	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	Yes
Single women?	Yes			*(See Appendix C for details.)*	

[a] Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2009 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.
[b] When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.
[c] A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.
[d] Clinic-specific outcome rates for women older than 44 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs are not included because of small numbers. Readers are urged to review national outcomes for these age groups (see pages 30, 48 & 57).
[e] All ages (including ages >44) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.

WRIGHT STATE PHYSICIANS WOMEN'S HEALTH CARE
DAYTON, OHIO

A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 81–90.

2009 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART [a]

IVF	100%	**Procedural Factors:**	
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	57%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	0%
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	0%
		Used PGD	0%
		With eSET	0%

Patient Diagnosis

Tubal factor	6%	Other factor	0%
Ovulatory dysfunction	0%	Unknown factor	18%
Diminished ovarian reserve	6%	*Multiple Factors:*	
Endometriosis	0%	Female factors only	6%
Uterine factor	0%	Female & male factors	29%
Male factor	35%		

2009 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by Lawrence S. Amesse, MD, PhD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman <35	35–37	38–40	41–42	43–44 [d]
Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of cycles	7	4	1	1	1
Percentage of embryos transferred resulting in implantation [b]	3 / 8	2 / 7	1 / 3		1 / 5
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies [b]	2 / 7	1 / 4	1 / 1	0 / 1	1 / 1
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births [b,c] (Confidence Interval)	2 / 7	1 / 4	1 / 1	0 / 1	0 / 1
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births [b,c]	2 / 5	1 / 3	1 / 1		0 / 1
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	2 / 4	1 / 3	1 / 1		0 / 1
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births [b]	1 / 4	1 / 3	1 / 1		0 / 1
Percentage of cancellations [b]	2 / 7	1 / 4	0 / 1	1 / 1	0 / 1
Average number of embryos transferred	2.0	2.3	3.0		5.0
Percentage of pregnancies with twins [b]	1 / 2	1 / 1	0 / 1		0 / 1
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more [b]	0 / 2	0 / 1	0 / 1		0 / 1
Percentage of live births having multiple infants [b,c]	1 / 2	0 / 1	0 / 1		
Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of transfers	2	0	0	1	0
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	0 / 2			0 / 1	
Average number of embryos transferred	3.0			1.0	

Donor Eggs	All Ages Combined [e] Fresh Embryos	Frozen Embryos
Number of transfers	0	0
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]		
Average number of embryos transferred		

CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

Current Name: Wright State Physicians Women's Health Care

Donor egg?	No	Gestational carriers?	No	SART member?	No
Donor embryo?	No	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	Yes
Single women?	Yes			*(See Appendix C for details.)*	

[a] Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2009 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.
[b] When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.
[c] A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.
[d] Clinic-specific outcome rates for women older than 44 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs are not included because of small numbers. Readers are urged to review national outcomes for these age groups (see pages 30, 48 & 57).
[e] All ages (including ages >44) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.

KETTERING REPRODUCTIVE MEDICINE
KETTERING, OHIO

A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 81–90.

2009 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART [a]

IVF	100%	**Procedural Factors:**	
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	60%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	0%
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	0%
		Used PGD	0%
		With eSET	2%

Patient Diagnosis

Tubal factor	8%	Other factor	1%
Ovulatory dysfunction	14%	Unknown factor	<1%
Diminished ovarian reserve	18%	*Multiple Factors:*	
Endometriosis	8%	Female factors only	<1%
Uterine factor	<1%	Female & male factors	12%
Male factor	37%		

2009 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by Thomas H. Burwinkel, MD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman <35	35–37	38–40	41–42	43–44[d]
Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of cycles	101	45	25	9	2
Percentage of embryos transferred resulting in implantation[b]	44.0	32.9	20.9	3 / 17	0 / 5
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies[b]	49.5	46.7	36.0	2 / 9	0 / 2
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births[b,c]	45.5	31.1	24.0	1 / 9	0 / 2
(Confidence Interval)	(35.6–55.8)	(18.2–46.6)	(9.4–45.1)		
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births[b,c]	48.4	33.3	27.3	1 / 6	0 / 2
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births[b,c]	51.7	35.9	27.3	1 / 6	0 / 2
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births[b]	36.0	25.6	27.3	1 / 6	0 / 2
Percentage of cancellations[b]	5.9	6.7	12.0	3 / 9	0 / 2
Average number of embryos transferred	1.9	2.0	2.0	2.8	2.5
Percentage of pregnancies with twins[b]	34.0	23.8	0 / 9	1 / 2	
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more[b]	6.0	0.0	0 / 9	0 / 2	
Percentage of live births having multiple infants[b,c]	30.4	4 / 14	0 / 6	0 / 1	
Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of transfers	21	9	5	1	1
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births[b,c]	33.3	1 / 9	2 / 5	1 / 1	0 / 1
Average number of embryos transferred	1.8	1.6	2.0	2.0	2.0

Donor Eggs	All Ages Combined[e] Fresh Embryos	Frozen Embryos
Number of transfers	6	4
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births[b,c]	2 / 6	3 / 4
Average number of embryos transferred	2.0	2.0

CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

Current Name: Kettering Reproductive Medicine

Donor egg?	Yes	Gestational carriers?	No	SART member?	Yes
Donor embryo?	No	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	Yes
Single women?	No			*(See Appendix C for details.)*	

[a] Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2009 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.
[b] When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.
[c] A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.
[d] Clinic-specific outcome rates for women older than 44 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs are not included because of small numbers. Readers are urged to review national outcomes for these age groups (see pages 30, 48 & 57).
[e] All ages (including ages >44) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.

FERTILITY CENTER OF NORTHWESTERN OHIO
TOLEDO, OHIO

A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 81–90.

2009 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART [a]

IVF	100%	**Procedural Factors:**	
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	39%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	0%
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	2%
		Used PGD	0%
		With eSET	2%

Patient Diagnosis

Tubal factor	6%	Other factor	3%
Ovulatory dysfunction	8%	Unknown factor	6%
Diminished ovarian reserve	6%	*Multiple Factors:*	
Endometriosis	<1%	Female factors only	19%
Uterine factor	4%	Female & male factors	21%
Male factor	27%		

2009 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by Joseph V. Karnitis, MD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman <35	35–37	38–40	41–42	43–44 [d]
Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of cycles	67	16	12	0	2
Percentage of embryos transferred resulting in implantation [b]	16.0	3 / 18	7.4		0 / 8
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies [b]	28.4	2 / 16	1 / 12		0 / 2
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births [b,c]	23.9	2 / 16	1 / 12		0 / 2
(Confidence Interval)	(14.3–35.9)				
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births [b,c]	29.6	2 / 10	1 / 10		0 / 2
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	32.0	2 / 8	1 / 9		0 / 2
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births [b]	30.0	2 / 8	0 / 9		0 / 2
Percentage of cancellations [b]	19.4	6 / 16	2 / 12		0 / 2
Average number of embryos transferred	2.4	2.3	3.0		4.0
Percentage of pregnancies with twins [b]	2 / 19	1 / 2	1 / 1		
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more [b]	0 / 19	0 / 2	0 / 1		
Percentage of live births having multiple infants [b,c]	1 / 16	0 / 2	1 / 1		
Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of transfers	13	6	1	2	0
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	2 / 13	1 / 6	1 / 1	0 / 2	
Average number of embryos transferred	1.7	1.7	3.0	1.5	

Donor Eggs	All Ages Combined [e] Fresh Embryos	Frozen Embryos
Number of transfers	4	6
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	0 / 4	2 / 6
Average number of embryos transferred	2.0	1.8

CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

Current Name: Fertility Center of Northwestern Ohio

Donor egg?	Yes	Gestational carriers?	Yes	SART member?	Yes
Donor embryo?	Yes	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	Yes
Single women?	Yes			*(See Appendix C for details.)*	

[a] Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2009 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.
[b] When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.
[c] A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.
[d] Clinic-specific outcome rates for women older than 44 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs are not included because of small numbers. Readers are urged to review national outcomes for these age groups (see pages 30, 48 & 57).
[e] All ages (including ages >44) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.

HENRY G. BENNETT, JR., FERTILITY INSTITUTE
OKLAHOMA CITY, OKLAHOMA

A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 81–90.

2009 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART [a]

IVF	100%	**Procedural Factors:**	
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	53%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	0%
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	0%
		Used PGD	0%
		With eSET	0%

Patient Diagnosis

Tubal factor	12%	Other factor	1%
Ovulatory dysfunction	8%	Unknown factor	9%
Diminished ovarian reserve	7%	*Multiple Factors:*	
Endometriosis	5%	Female factors only	16%
Uterine factor	0%	Female & male factors	22%
Male factor	22%		

2009 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by Eli Reshef, MD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman <35	35–37	38–40	41–42	43–44 [d]
Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of cycles	136	44	26	5	5
Percentage of embryos transferred resulting in implantation [b]	38.7	28.4	20.0	3 / 11	2 / 13
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies [b]	55.9	40.9	46.2	2 / 5	2 / 5
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births [b,c]	48.5	36.4	26.9	2 / 5	0 / 5
(Confidence Interval)	(39.9–57.2)	(22.4–52.2)	(11.6–47.8)		
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births [b,c]	51.6	38.1	26.9	2 / 5	0 / 5
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	52.4	40.0	30.4	2 / 4	0 / 5
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births [b]	30.2	17.5	30.4	1 / 4	0 / 5
Percentage of cancellations [b]	5.9	4.5	0.0	0 / 5	0 / 5
Average number of embryos transferred	2.1	2.4	2.4	2.8	2.6
Percentage of pregnancies with twins [b]	42.1	9 / 18	0 / 12	1 / 2	0 / 2
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more [b]	0.0	0 / 18	0 / 12	0 / 2	0 / 2
Percentage of live births having multiple infants [b,c]	42.4	9 / 16	0 / 7	1 / 2	
Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of transfers	14	3	4	2	1
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	1 / 14	0 / 3	1 / 4	0 / 2	0 / 1
Average number of embryos transferred	2.1	2.3	2.3	2.0	4.0

Donor Eggs	All Ages Combined [e] Fresh Embryos	Frozen Embryos
Number of transfers	21	4
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	66.7	3 / 4
Average number of embryos transferred	2.2	2.5

CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

Current Name: Henry G. Bennett, Jr., Fertility Institute

Donor egg?	Yes	Gestational carriers?	No	SART member?	Yes
Donor embryo?	Yes	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	Yes
Single women?	No			*(See Appendix C for details.)*	

[a] Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2009 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.
[b] When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.
[c] A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.
[d] Clinic-specific outcome rates for women older than 44 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs are not included because of small numbers. Readers are urged to review national outcomes for these age groups (see pages 30, 48 & 57).
[e] All ages (including ages >44) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.

OU PHYSICIANS REPRODUCTIVE HEALTH
OKLAHOMA CITY, OKLAHOMA

A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 81–90.

2009 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART [a]

IVF	100%	**Procedural Factors:**	
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	82%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	0%
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	<1%
		Used PGD	3%
		With eSET	6%

Patient Diagnosis

Tubal factor	16%	Other factor	12%
Ovulatory dysfunction	6%	Unknown factor	6%
Diminished ovarian reserve	4%	*Multiple Factors:*	
Endometriosis	6%	Female factors only	8%
Uterine factor	0%	Female & male factors	18%
Male factor	26%		

2009 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by Karl R. Hansen, MD, PhD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman <35	35–37	38–40	41–42	43–44[d]
Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of cycles	70	19	10	4	0
Percentage of embryos transferred resulting in implantation[b]	44.7	31.3	3 / 19	0 / 11	
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies[b]	55.7	9 / 19	3 / 10	0 / 4	
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births[b,c]	54.3	7 / 19	3 / 10	0 / 4	
(Confidence Interval)	(41.9–66.3)				
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births[b,c]	57.6	7 / 17	3 / 8	0 / 3	
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births[b,c]	58.5	7 / 17	3 / 8	0 / 3	
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births[b]	35.4	5 / 17	3 / 8	0 / 3	
Percentage of cancellations[b]	5.7	2 / 19	2 / 10	1 / 4	
Average number of embryos transferred	2.0	1.9	2.4	3.7	
Percentage of pregnancies with twins[b]	46.2	2 / 9	0 / 3		
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more[b]	2.6	0 / 9	0 / 3		
Percentage of live births having multiple infants[b,c]	39.5	2 / 7	0 / 3		
Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of transfers	8	4	3	0	2
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births[b,c]	5 / 8	3 / 4	1 / 3		1 / 2
Average number of embryos transferred	2.1	2.5	2.0		2.0

Donor Eggs	All Ages Combined[e] Fresh Embryos	Frozen Embryos
Number of transfers	10	13
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births[b,c]	8 / 10	6 / 13
Average number of embryos transferred	2.1	2.0

CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

Current Name: OU Physicians Reproductive Medicine

Donor egg?	Yes	Gestational carriers?	Yes	SART member?	Yes
Donor embryo?	Yes	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	Yes
Single women?	Yes			*(See Appendix C for details.)*	

[a] Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2009 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.
[b] When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.
[c] A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.
[d] Clinic-specific outcome rates for women older than 44 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs are not included because of small numbers. Readers are urged to review national outcomes for these age groups (see pages 30, 48 & 57).
[e] All ages (including ages >44) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.

TULSA FERTILITY CENTER
TULSA, OKLAHOMA

A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 81–90.

2009 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART [a]

IVF	>99%	**Procedural Factors:**	
GIFT	<1%	With ICSI	71%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	0%
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	0%
		Used PGD	<1%
		With eSET	0%

Patient Diagnosis

Tubal factor	7%	Other factor	4%
Ovulatory dysfunction	5%	Unknown factor	16%
Diminished ovarian reserve	2%	*Multiple Factors:*	
Endometriosis	6%	Female factors only	7%
Uterine factor	0%	Female & male factors	20%
Male factor	33%		

2009 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by Stanley G. Prough, MD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman <35	35–37	38–40	41–42	43–44 [d]
Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of cycles	124	37	26	5	2
Percentage of embryos transferred resulting in implantation [b]	34.8	25.0	12.8	1 / 6	0 / 5
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies [b]	46.8	27.0	23.1	1 / 5	0 / 2
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births [b,c]	44.4	24.3	23.1	1 / 5	0 / 2
(Confidence Interval)	(35.4–53.5)	(11.8–41.2)	(9.0–43.6)		
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births [b,c]	47.8	28.1	26.1	1 / 4	0 / 2
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	53.4	34.6	27.3	1 / 4	0 / 2
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births [b]	37.9	30.8	27.3	1 / 4	0 / 2
Percentage of cancellations [b]	7.3	13.5	11.5	1 / 5	0 / 2
Average number of embryos transferred	2.0	1.8	2.1	1.5	2.5
Percentage of pregnancies with twins [b]	27.6	2 / 10	0 / 6	0 / 1	
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more [b]	1.7	0 / 10	0 / 6	0 / 1	
Percentage of live births having multiple infants [b,c]	29.1	1 / 9	0 / 6	0 / 1	
Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of transfers	22	10	8	3	0
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	59.1	1 / 10	3 / 8	1 / 3	
Average number of embryos transferred	2.1	2.0	2.0	2.7	

Donor Eggs	All Ages Combined [e] Fresh Embryos	Frozen Embryos
Number of transfers	6	5
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	6 / 6	2 / 5
Average number of embryos transferred	2.0	2.4

CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

Current Name: Tulsa Fertility Center

Donor egg?	Yes	Gestational carriers?	No	SART member?	Yes
Donor embryo?	Yes	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	Yes
Single women?	No			*(See Appendix C for details.)*	

[a] Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2009 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.
[b] When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.
[c] A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.
[d] Clinic-specific outcome rates for women older than 44 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs are not included because of small numbers. Readers are urged to review national outcomes for these age groups (see pages 30, 48 & 57).
[e] All ages (including ages >44) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.

THE FERTILITY CENTER OF OREGON
EUGENE, OREGON

A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 81–90.

2009 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART [a]

IVF	100%	**Procedural Factors:**	
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	91%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	0%
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	0%
		Used PGD	4%
		With eSET	2%

Patient Diagnosis

Tubal factor	8%	Other factor	6%
Ovulatory dysfunction	4%	Unknown factor	8%
Diminished ovarian reserve	3%	*Multiple Factors:*	
Endometriosis	2%	Female factors only	23%
Uterine factor	2%	Female & male factors	22%
Male factor	24%		

2009 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by Douglas J. Austin, MD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman <35	35–37	38–40	41–42	43–44[d]
Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of cycles	21	10	10	2	2
Percentage of embryos transferred resulting in implantation[b]	28.6	20.0	23.5	0 / 5	1 / 8
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies[b]	57.1	6 / 10	6 / 10	0 / 2	1 / 2
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births[b,c]	47.6	3 / 10	5 / 10	0 / 2	1 / 2
(Confidence Interval)	(25.7–70.2)				
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births[b,c]	47.6	3 / 10	5 / 9	0 / 2	1 / 2
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births[b,c]	47.6	3 / 10	5 / 9	0 / 2	1 / 2
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births[b]	28.6	3 / 10	4 / 9	0 / 2	1 / 2
Percentage of cancellations[b]	0.0	0 / 10	1 / 10	0 / 2	0 / 2
Average number of embryos transferred	2.7	3.0	3.8	2.5	4.0
Percentage of pregnancies with twins[b]	4 / 12	0 / 6	2 / 6		0 / 1
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more[b]	0 / 12	0 / 6	0 / 6		0 / 1
Percentage of live births having multiple infants[b,c]	4 / 10	0 / 3	1 / 5		0 / 1
Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of transfers	21	7	10	5	0
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births[b,c]	23.8	1 / 7	3 / 10	1 / 5	
Average number of embryos transferred	2.2	2.9	2.1	2.4	

Donor Eggs	All Ages Combined[e] Fresh Embryos	Frozen Embryos
Number of transfers	13	17
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births[b,c]	9 / 13	6 / 17
Average number of embryos transferred	2.1	2.6

CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

Current Name: The Fertility Center of Oregon

Donor egg?	Yes	Gestational carriers?	Yes	SART member?	No
Donor embryo?	Yes	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	No
Single women?	Yes			*(See Appendix C for details.)*	

[a] Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2009 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.
[b] When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.
[c] A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.
[d] Clinic-specific outcome rates for women older than 44 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs are not included because of small numbers. Readers are urged to review national outcomes for these age groups (see pages 30, 48 & 57).
[e] All ages (including ages >44) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.

NORTHWEST FERTILITY CENTER
EUGENE M. STOELK, MD
PORTLAND, OREGON

A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 81–90.

2009 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART [a]

IVF	100%	**Procedural Factors:**	
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	51%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	0%
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	0%
		Used PGD	0%
		With eSET	0%

Patient Diagnosis

Tubal factor	12%	Other factor	<1%
Ovulatory dysfunction	6%	Unknown factor	4%
Diminished ovarian reserve	10%	*Multiple Factors:*	
Endometriosis	13%	Female factors only	13%
Uterine factor	0%	Female & male factors	24%
Male factor	17%		

2009 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by Eugene M. Stoelk, MD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman <35	35–37	38–40	41–42	43–44 [d]
Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of cycles	34	6	14	7	2
Percentage of embryos transferred resulting in implantation [b]	35.9	4 / 12	20.7	23.8	0 / 4
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies [b]	61.8	3 / 6	5 / 14	3 / 7	0 / 2
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births [b,c]	61.8	3 / 6	5 / 14	2 / 7	0 / 2
(Confidence Interval)	(43.6–77.8)				
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births [b,c]	61.8	3 / 5	5 / 10	2 / 7	0 / 1
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	65.6	3 / 5	5 / 9	2 / 5	0 / 1
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births [b]	53.1	2 / 5	5 / 9	1 / 5	0 / 1
Percentage of cancellations [b]	0.0	1 / 6	4 / 14	0 / 7	1 / 2
Average number of embryos transferred	2.4	2.4	3.2	4.2	4.0
Percentage of pregnancies with twins [b]	14.3	1 / 3	1 / 5	2 / 3	
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more [b]	9.5	0 / 3	0 / 5	0 / 3	
Percentage of live births having multiple infants [b,c]	19.0	1 / 3	0 / 5	1 / 2	
Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of transfers	14	2	4	1	0
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	5 / 14	1 / 2	0 / 4	0 / 1	
Average number of embryos transferred	2.1	2.5	3.0	4.0	

Donor Eggs	All Ages Combined [e] Fresh Embryos	Frozen Embryos
Number of transfers	12	16
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	7 / 12	3 / 16
Average number of embryos transferred	2.3	2.4

CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

Current Name: Northwest Fertility Center, Eugene M. Stoelk, MD

Donor egg?	Yes	Gestational carriers?	Yes	SART member?	Yes
Donor embryo?	Yes	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	Yes
Single women?	Yes			*(See Appendix C for details.)*	

[a] Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2009 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.
[b] When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.
[c] A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.
[d] Clinic-specific outcome rates for women older than 44 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs are not included because of small numbers. Readers are urged to review national outcomes for these age groups (see pages 30, 48 & 57).
[e] All ages (including ages >44) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.

OREGON REPRODUCTIVE MEDICINE
PORTLAND, OREGON

A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 81–90.

2009 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART [a]

IVF	100%	**Procedural Factors:**	
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	64%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	1%
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	<1%
		Used PGD	4%
		With eSET	2%

Patient Diagnosis

Tubal factor	6%	Other factor	5%
Ovulatory dysfunction	5%	Unknown factor	11%
Diminished ovarian reserve	27%	*Multiple Factors:*	
Endometriosis	5%	Female factors only	8%
Uterine factor	1%	Female & male factors	10%
Male factor	22%		

2009 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by Robert K. Matteri, MD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman <35	35–37	38–40	41–42	43–44 [d]
Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of cycles	156	77	76	26	14
Percentage of embryos transferred resulting in implantation [b]	57.3	43.6	26.4	27.4	3.1
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies [b]	66.7	50.6	35.5	57.7	1 / 14
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births [b,c]	64.7	49.4	31.6	46.2	0 / 14
(Confidence Interval)	(56.7–72.2)	(37.8–61.0)	(21.4–43.3)	(26.6–66.6)	
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births [b,c]	66.9	55.1	35.8	48.0	0 / 13
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	72.1	56.7	39.3	48.0	0 / 11
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births [b]	35.0	32.8	29.5	40.0	0 / 11
Percentage of cancellations [b]	3.2	10.4	11.8	3.8	1 / 14
Average number of embryos transferred	2.0	2.1	2.3	2.9	2.9
Percentage of pregnancies with twins [b]	55.8	41.0	22.2	1 / 15	0 / 1
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more [b]	1.0	7.7	7.4	2 / 15	0 / 1
Percentage of live births having multiple infants [b,c]	51.5	42.1	25.0	2 / 12	
Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of transfers	39	23	11	5	1
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	53.8	52.2	5 / 11	1 / 5	0 / 1
Average number of embryos transferred	2.0	2.0	2.2	2.2	2.0

Donor Eggs	All Ages Combined [e] Fresh Embryos	Frozen Embryos
Number of transfers	90	39
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	82.2	51.3
Average number of embryos transferred	1.9	2.0

CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

Current Name: Oregon Reproductive Medicine

Donor egg?	Yes	Gestational carriers?	Yes	SART member?	Yes
Donor embryo?	Yes	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	Yes
Single women?	Yes			*(See Appendix C for details.)*	

[a] Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2009 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.
[b] When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.
[c] A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.
[d] Clinic-specific outcome rates for women older than 44 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs are not included because of small numbers. Readers are urged to review national outcomes for these age groups (see pages 30, 48 & 57).
[e] All ages (including ages >44) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.

UNIVERSITY FERTILITY CONSULTANTS
OREGON HEALTH & SCIENCE UNIVERSITY
PORTLAND, OREGON

A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 81–90.

2009 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART [a]

IVF	100%	**Procedural Factors:**	
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	83%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	<1%
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	<1%
		Used PGD	2%
		With eSET	1%

Patient Diagnosis

Tubal factor	10%	Other factor	11%
Ovulatory dysfunction	5%	Unknown factor	11%
Diminished ovarian reserve	8%	*Multiple Factors:*	
Endometriosis	2%	Female factors only	13%
Uterine factor	1%	Female & male factors	13%
Male factor	27%		

2009 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by Phillip E. Patton, MD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman <35	35–37	38–40	41–42	43–44 [d]
Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of cycles	93	68	32	18	6
Percentage of embryos transferred resulting in implantation [b]	35.4	28.5	14.7	4.5	4.5
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies [b]	50.5	44.1	34.4	2 / 18	1 / 6
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births [b,c]	46.2	35.3	28.1	2 / 18	1 / 6
(Confidence Interval)	(35.8–56.9)	(24.1–47.8)	(13.7–46.7)		
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births [b,c]	48.9	39.3	32.1	2 / 17	1 / 6
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	51.8	41.4	33.3	2 / 14	1 / 6
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births [b]	32.5	24.1	29.6	2 / 14	1 / 6
Percentage of cancellations [b]	5.4	10.3	12.5	1 / 18	0 / 6
Average number of embryos transferred	2.1	2.4	2.8	3.1	3.7
Percentage of pregnancies with twins [b]	38.3	33.3	1 / 11	0 / 2	0 / 1
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more [b]	0.0	0.0	0 / 11	0 / 2	0 / 1
Percentage of live births having multiple infants [b,c]	37.2	41.7	1 / 9	0 / 2	0 / 1
Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of transfers	48	36	20	10	2
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	35.4	27.8	20.0	1 / 10	1 / 2
Average number of embryos transferred	2.4	2.4	2.4	3.0	1.5

Donor Eggs	All Ages Combined [e] Fresh Embryos	Frozen Embryos
Number of transfers	22	31
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	40.9	29.0
Average number of embryos transferred	2.0	2.4

CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

Current Name: University Fertility Consultants, Oregon Health & Science University

Donor egg?	Yes	Gestational carriers?	Yes	SART member?	Yes
Donor embryo?	Yes	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	Yes
Single women?	Yes			*(See Appendix C for details.)*	

[a] Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2009 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.
[b] When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.
[c] A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.
[d] Clinic-specific outcome rates for women older than 44 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs are not included because of small numbers. Readers are urged to review national outcomes for these age groups (see pages 30, 48 & 57).
[e] All ages (including ages >44) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.

ABINGTON REPRODUCTIVE MEDICINE, ABINGTON IVF AND GENETICS TOLL CENTER FOR REPRODUCTIVE SCIENCES ABINGTON, PENNSYLVANIA

A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 81–90.

2009 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART [a]

IVF	>99%	**Procedural Factors:**	
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	72%
ZIFT	<1%	Unstimulated	0%
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	<1%
		Used PGD	2%
		With eSET	2%

Patient Diagnosis

Tubal factor	4%	Other factor	9%
Ovulatory dysfunction	4%	Unknown factor	4%
Diminished ovarian reserve	15%	*Multiple Factors:*	
Endometriosis	6%	Female factors only	19%
Uterine factor	<1%	Female & male factors	23%
Male factor	17%		

2009 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by Stephen G. Somkuti, MD, PhD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman <35	35–37	38–40	41–42	43–44 [d]
Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of cycles	162	86	63	35	12
Percentage of embryos transferred resulting in implantation [b]	31.5	20.9	13.5	5.8	0 / 13
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies [b]	45.1	38.4	27.0	20.0	0 / 12
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births [b,c]	37.0	32.6	20.6	8.6	0 / 12
(Confidence Interval)	(29.6–45.0)	(22.8–43.5)	(11.5–32.7)	(1.8–23.1)	
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births [b,c]	39.2	35.9	23.2	11.1	0 / 6
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	42.3	38.4	25.0	12.5	0 / 6
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births [b]	27.5	31.5	19.2	12.5	0 / 6
Percentage of cancellations [b]	5.6	9.3	11.1	22.9	6 / 12
Average number of embryos transferred	2.1	2.5	3.0	3.6	2.2
Percentage of pregnancies with twins [b]	32.9	15.2	4 / 17	0 / 7	
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more [b]	1.4	3.0	1 / 17	0 / 7	
Percentage of live births having multiple infants [b,c]	35.0	17.9	3 / 13	0 / 3	
Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of transfers	45	32	8	2	2
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	24.4	31.3	1 / 8	0 / 2	1 / 2
Average number of embryos transferred	2.2	2.2	2.6	4.5	2.5

Donor Eggs	All Ages Combined [e] Fresh Embryos	Frozen Embryos
Number of transfers	34	25
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	50.0	28.0
Average number of embryos transferred	2.1	2.4

CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

Current Name: Abington Reproductive Medicine, Abington IVF and Genetics, Toll Center for Reproductive Sciences

Donor egg?	Yes	Gestational carriers?	Yes	SART member?	Yes
Donor embryo?	Yes	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	Yes
Single women?	Yes			*(See Appendix C for details.)*	

[a] Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2009 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.
[b] When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.
[c] A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.
[d] Clinic-specific outcome rates for women older than 44 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs are not included because of small numbers. Readers are urged to review national outcomes for these age groups (see pages 30, 48 & 57).
[e] All ages (including ages >44) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.

INFERTILITY SOLUTIONS, PC
ALLENTOWN, PENNSYLVANIA

A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 81–90.

2009 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART [a]

IVF	100%	**Procedural Factors:**	
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	85%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	1%
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	0%
		Used PGD	2%
		With eSET	3%

Patient Diagnosis

Tubal factor	3%	Other factor	4%
Ovulatory dysfunction	15%	Unknown factor	10%
Diminished ovarian reserve	11%	*Multiple Factors:*	
Endometriosis	3%	Female factors only	30%
Uterine factor	0%	Female & male factors	15%
Male factor	10%		

2009 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by Bruce I. Rose, MD, PhD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman <35	35–37	38–40	41–42	43–44 [d]
Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of cycles	54	21	12	1	0
Percentage of embryos transferred resulting in implantation [b]	27.7	20.9	27.3	0 / 4	
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies [b]	50.0	23.8	4 / 12	0 / 1	
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births [b,c]	38.9	19.0	3 / 12	0 / 1	
(Confidence Interval)	(25.9–53.1)	(5.4–41.9)			
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births [b,c]	40.4	20.0	3 / 9	0 / 1	
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	42.9	4 / 19	3 / 9	0 / 1	
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births [b]	32.7	1 / 19	3 / 9	0 / 1	
Percentage of cancellations [b]	3.7	4.8	3 / 12	0 / 1	
Average number of embryos transferred	2.3	2.3	2.4	4.0	
Percentage of pregnancies with twins [b]	25.9	4 / 5	2 / 4		
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more [b]	3.7	0 / 5	0 / 4		
Percentage of live births having multiple infants [b,c]	23.8	3 / 4	0 / 3		
Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of transfers	8	6	2	0	0
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	1 / 8	0 / 6	0 / 2		
Average number of embryos transferred	3.0	2.2	2.0		

Donor Eggs	All Ages Combined [e] Fresh Embryos	Frozen Embryos
Number of transfers	4	2
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	0 / 4	1 / 2
Average number of embryos transferred	1.8	2.5

CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

Current Name: Infertility Solutions, PC

Donor egg?	Yes	Gestational carriers?	Yes	SART member?	Yes
Donor embryo?	Yes	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	Yes
Single women?	Yes			*(See Appendix C for details.)*	

[a] Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2009 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.
[b] When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.
[c] A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.
[d] Clinic-specific outcome rates for women older than 44 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs are not included because of small numbers. Readers are urged to review national outcomes for these age groups (see pages 30, 48 & 57).
[e] All ages (including ages >44) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.

REPRODUCTIVE MEDICINE ASSOCIATES OF PENNSYLVANIA
ALLENTOWN, PENNSYLVANIA

A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 81–90.

2009 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART [a]

IVF	100%	**Procedural Factors:**	
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	62%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	0%
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	0%
		Used PGD	0%
		With eSET	0%

Patient Diagnosis

Tubal factor	8%	Other factor	8%
Ovulatory dysfunction	4%	Unknown factor	0%
Diminished ovarian reserve	<1%	*Multiple Factors:*	
Endometriosis	3%	Female factors only	20%
Uterine factor	0%	Female & male factors	26%
Male factor	29%		

2009 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by Wendy J. Schillings, MD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman <35	35–37	38–40	41–42	43–44 [d]
Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of cycles	47	23	22	3	2
Percentage of embryos transferred resulting in implantation [b]	56.4	34.1	33.3	3 / 8	1 / 3
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies [b]	72.3	52.2	50.0	2 / 3	1 / 2
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births [b,c]	68.1	47.8	50.0	1 / 3	1 / 2
(Confidence Interval)	(52.9–80.9)	(26.8–69.4)	(28.2–71.8)		
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births [b,c]	71.1	11 / 18	11 / 17	1 / 2	1 / 1
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	71.1	11 / 17	11 / 17	1 / 2	1 / 1
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births [b]	24.4	8 / 17	8 / 17	0 / 2	1 / 1
Percentage of cancellations [b]	4.3	21.7	22.7	1 / 3	1 / 2
Average number of embryos transferred	2.1	2.6	2.6	4.0	3.0
Percentage of pregnancies with twins [b]	61.8	4 / 12	4 / 11	1 / 2	0 / 1
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more [b]	0.0	0 / 12	0 / 11	0 / 2	0 / 1
Percentage of live births having multiple infants [b,c]	65.6	3 / 11	3 / 11	1 / 1	0 / 1
Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of transfers	10	3	1	0	0
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	5 / 10	0 / 3	1 / 1		
Average number of embryos transferred	1.9	1.7	2.0		

Donor Eggs	All Ages Combined [e] Fresh Embryos	Frozen Embryos
Number of transfers	5	2
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	2 / 5	2 / 2
Average number of embryos transferred	2.0	3.0

CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

Current Name: Reproductive Medicine Associates of Pennsylvania

Donor egg?	Yes	Gestational carriers?	Yes	SART member?	Yes
Donor embryo?	Yes	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	Yes
Single women?	Yes			*(See Appendix C for details.)*	

[a] Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2009 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.
[b] When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.
[c] A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.
[d] Clinic-specific outcome rates for women older than 44 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs are not included because of small numbers. Readers are urged to review national outcomes for these age groups (see pages 30, 48 & 57).
[e] All ages (including ages >44) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.

FAMILY FERTILITY CENTER
BETHLEHEM, PENNSYLVANIA

A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 81–90.

2009 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART [a]

IVF	100%	**Procedural Factors:**	
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	68%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	0%
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	0%
		Used PGD	0%
		With eSET	5%

Patient Diagnosis

Tubal factor	6%	Other factor	8%
Ovulatory dysfunction	<1%	Unknown factor	3%
Diminished ovarian reserve	13%	*Multiple Factors:*	
Endometriosis	5%	Female factors only	21%
Uterine factor	0%	Female & male factors	29%
Male factor	15%		

2009 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by H. Christina Lee, MD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman <35	35–37	38–40	41–42	43–44 [d]
Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of cycles	57	11	12	8	3
Percentage of embryos transferred resulting in implantation [b]	32.1	16.7	24.1	8.0	3 / 7
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies [b]	43.9	4 / 11	6 / 12	3 / 8	2 / 3
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births [b,c]	36.8	4 / 11	4 / 12	2 / 8	0 / 3
(Confidence Interval)	(24.4–50.7)				
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births [b,c]	37.5	4 / 11	4 / 12	2 / 8	0 / 3
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	38.2	4 / 11	4 / 12	2 / 8	0 / 3
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births [b]	20.0	3 / 11	3 / 12	2 / 8	0 / 3
Percentage of cancellations [b]	1.8	0 / 11	0 / 12	0 / 8	0 / 3
Average number of embryos transferred	2.0	2.7	2.4	3.1	2.3
Percentage of pregnancies with twins [b]	40.0	1 / 4	1 / 6	0 / 3	1 / 2
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more [b]	4.0	0 / 4	0 / 6	0 / 3	0 / 2
Percentage of live births having multiple infants [b,c]	47.6	1 / 4	1 / 4	0 / 2	
Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of transfers	5	6	0	0	1
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	1 / 5	1 / 6			0 / 1
Average number of embryos transferred	2.4	1.8			3.0

Donor Eggs	All Ages Combined [e] Fresh Embryos	Frozen Embryos
Number of transfers	4	4
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	3 / 4	2 / 4
Average number of embryos transferred	1.8	2.3

CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

Current Name: Family Fertility Center

Donor egg?	Yes	Gestational carriers?	Yes	SART member?	Yes
Donor embryo?	No	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	Yes
Single women?	Yes			*(See Appendix C for details.)*	

[a] Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2009 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.
[b] When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.
[c] A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.
[d] Clinic-specific outcome rates for women older than 44 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs are not included because of small numbers. Readers are urged to review national outcomes for these age groups (see pages 30, 48 & 57).
[e] All ages (including ages >44) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.

MAIN LINE FERTILITY AND REPRODUCTIVE MEDICINE
BRYN MAWR, PENNSYLVANIA

A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 81–90.

2009 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART [a]

IVF	100%	**Procedural Factors:**	
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	23%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	<1%
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	<1%
		Used PGD	5%
		With eSET	4%

Patient Diagnosis

Tubal factor	5%	Other factor	4%
Ovulatory dysfunction	9%	Unknown factor	18%
Diminished ovarian reserve	21%	*Multiple Factors:*	
Endometriosis	2%	Female factors only	16%
Uterine factor	1%	Female & male factors	13%
Male factor	10%		

2009 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by Michael J. Glassner, MD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman <35	35–37	38–40	41–42	43–44 [d]
Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of cycles	218	135	157	59	16
Percentage of embryos transferred resulting in implantation [b]	27.6	22.0	11.9	4.1	2.9
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies [b]	39.0	37.8	24.2	8.5	1 / 16
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births [b,c]	28.4	30.4	14.6	8.5	1 / 16
(Confidence Interval)	(22.6–34.9)	(22.8–38.9)	(9.5–21.2)	(2.8–18.7)	
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births [b,c]	31.5	32.5	16.5	10.4	1 / 14
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	34.8	38.0	20.4	14.3	1 / 9
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births [b]	20.2	28.7	15.0	14.3	1 / 9
Percentage of cancellations [b]	9.6	6.7	11.5	18.6	2 / 16
Average number of embryos transferred	2.4	2.7	3.2	3.5	3.9
Percentage of pregnancies with twins [b]	31.8	17.6	21.1	0 / 5	0 / 1
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more [b]	5.9	5.9	2.6	0 / 5	0 / 1
Percentage of live births having multiple infants [b,c]	41.9	24.4	26.1	0 / 5	0 / 1
Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of transfers	80	49	34	11	2
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	35.0	16.3	29.4	1 / 11	0 / 2
Average number of embryos transferred	2.3	2.1	2.2	2.1	1.5

Donor Eggs	All Ages Combined [e] Fresh Embryos	Frozen Embryos
Number of transfers	34	29
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	47.1	31.0
Average number of embryos transferred	2.1	2.4

CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

Current Name: Main Line Fertility and Reproductive Medicine

Donor egg?	Yes	Gestational carriers?	Yes	SART member?	Yes
Donor embryo?	Yes	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	Yes
Single women?	Yes			*(See Appendix C for details.)*	

[a] Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2009 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.
[b] When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.
[c] A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.
[d] Clinic-specific outcome rates for women older than 44 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs are not included because of small numbers. Readers are urged to review national outcomes for these age groups (see pages 30, 48 & 57).
[e] All ages (including ages >44) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.

GEISINGER MEDICAL CENTER FERTILITY PROGRAM
DANVILLE, PENNSYLVANIA

A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 81–90.

2009 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART [a]

IVF	100%	**Procedural Factors:**	
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	57%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	3%
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	1%
		Used PGD	1%
		With eSET	0%

Patient Diagnosis

Tubal factor	11%	Other factor	24%
Ovulatory dysfunction	12%	Unknown factor	0%
Diminished ovarian reserve	26%	*Multiple Factors:*	
Endometriosis	5%	Female factors only	5%
Uterine factor	4%	Female & male factors	2%
Male factor	13%		

2009 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by Jennifer Gell, MD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman <35	35–37	38–40	41–42	43–44 [d]
Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of cycles	43	13	11	8	0
Percentage of embryos transferred resulting in implantation [b]	25.3	9 / 19	4 / 14	0 / 15	
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies [b]	34.9	6 / 13	2 / 11	0 / 8	
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births [b,c]	30.2	6 / 13	1 / 11	0 / 8	
(Confidence Interval)	(17.2–46.1)				
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births [b,c]	38.2	6 / 7	1 / 6	0 / 6	
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	39.4	6 / 7	1 / 5	0 / 6	
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births [b]	21.2	3 / 7	1 / 5	0 / 6	
Percentage of cancellations [b]	20.9	6 / 13	5 / 11	2 / 8	
Average number of embryos transferred	2.4	2.7	2.8	2.5	
Percentage of pregnancies with twins [b]	5 / 15	3 / 6	2 / 2		
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more [b]	1 / 15	0 / 6	0 / 2		
Percentage of live births having multiple infants [b,c]	6 / 13	3 / 6	0 / 1		
Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of transfers	10	1	1	1	0
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	2 / 10	0 / 1	0 / 1	1 / 1	
Average number of embryos transferred	2.4	2.0	3.0	1.0	

Donor Eggs	All Ages Combined [e] Fresh Embryos	Frozen Embryos
Number of transfers	5	9
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	2 / 5	3 / 9
Average number of embryos transferred	2.0	2.1

CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

Current Name: Geisinger Medical Center Fertility Program

Donor egg?	Yes	Gestational carriers?	Yes	SART member?	Yes
Donor embryo?	Yes	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	Yes
Single women?	Yes			*(See Appendix C for details.)*	

[a] Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2009 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.
[b] When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.
[c] A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.
[d] Clinic-specific outcome rates for women older than 44 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs are not included because of small numbers. Readers are urged to review national outcomes for these age groups (see pages 30, 48 & 57).
[e] All ages (including ages >44) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.

ADVANCED CENTER FOR INFERTILITY AND REPRODUCTIVE MEDICINE, RPC
HARRISBURG, PENNSYLVANIA

A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 81–90.

2009 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART [a]

IVF	100%	**Procedural Factors:**	
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	89%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	0%
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	0%
		Used PGD	6%
		With eSET	6%

Patient Diagnosis

Tubal factor	0%	Other factor	30%
Ovulatory dysfunction	0%	Unknown factor	0%
Diminished ovarian reserve	0%	*Multiple Factors:*	
Endometriosis	9%	Female factors only	42%
Uterine factor	0%	Female & male factors	12%
Male factor	6%		

2009 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by Eric P. Fiedler, MD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman <35	35–37	38–40	41–42	43–44 [d]
Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of cycles	18	13	5	0	0
Percentage of embryos transferred resulting in implantation [b]	66.7	30.4	2 / 10		
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies [b]	13 / 18	5 / 13	2 / 5		
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births [b,c] (Confidence Interval)	13 / 18	2 / 13	2 / 5		
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births [b,c]	13 / 18	2 / 13	2 / 5		
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	13 / 17	2 / 12	2 / 5		
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births [b]	4 / 17	0 / 12	2 / 5		
Percentage of cancellations [b]	0 / 18	0 / 13	0 / 5		
Average number of embryos transferred	1.9	1.9	2.0		
Percentage of pregnancies with twins [b]	9 / 13	2 / 5	0 / 2		
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more [b]	0 / 13	0 / 5	0 / 2		
Percentage of live births having multiple infants [b,c]	9 / 13	2 / 2	0 / 2		
Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of transfers	5	4	1	1	0
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	2 / 5	3 / 4	0 / 1	1 / 1	
Average number of embryos transferred	2.0	1.8	1.0	2.0	

Donor Eggs	All Ages Combined [e] Fresh Embryos	Frozen Embryos
Number of transfers	6	8
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	5 / 6	3 / 8
Average number of embryos transferred	2.0	2.0

CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

Current Name: Advanced Center for Infertility and Reproductive Medicine, RPC

Donor egg?	Yes	Gestational carriers?	Yes	SART member?	No
Donor embryo?	Yes	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	No
Single women?	Yes			*(See Appendix C for details.)*	

[a] Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2009 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.
[b] When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.
[c] A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.
[d] Clinic-specific outcome rates for women older than 44 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs are not included because of small numbers. Readers are urged to review national outcomes for these age groups (see pages 30, 48 & 57).
[e] All ages (including ages >44) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.

PENN STATE MILTON S. HERSHEY MEDICAL CENTER
HERSHEY, PENNSYLVANIA

A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 81–90.

2009 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART [a]

IVF	100%	**Procedural Factors:**	
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	76%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	0%
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	0%
		Used PGD	0%
		With eSET	0%

Patient Diagnosis

Tubal factor	12%	Other factor	<1%
Ovulatory dysfunction	11%	Unknown factor	20%
Diminished ovarian reserve	2%	*Multiple Factors:*	
Endometriosis	6%	Female factors only	8%
Uterine factor	0%	Female & male factors	4%
Male factor	37%		

2009 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by William C. Dodson, MD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman <35	35–37	38–40	41–42	43–44 [d]
Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of cycles	45	20	16	2	0
Percentage of embryos transferred resulting in implantation [b]	23.1	27.8	12.5		
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies [b]	33.3	35.0	5 / 16	0 / 2	
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births [b,c]	28.9	25.0	4 / 16	0 / 2	
(Confidence Interval)	(16.4–44.3)	(8.7–49.1)			
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births [b,c]	31.7	5 / 19	4 / 15		
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	34.2	5 / 17	4 / 15		
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births [b]	28.9	2 / 17	4 / 15		
Percentage of cancellations [b]	8.9	5.0	1 / 16	2 / 2	
Average number of embryos transferred	2.1	2.1	2.7		
Percentage of pregnancies with twins [b]	3 / 15	2 / 7	0 / 5		
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more [b]	0 / 15	1 / 7	0 / 5		
Percentage of live births having multiple infants [b,c]	2 / 13	3 / 5	0 / 4		
Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of transfers	14	2	2	0	0
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	0 / 14	1 / 2	0 / 2		
Average number of embryos transferred	1.8	2.0	2.5		

Donor Eggs	All Ages Combined [e] Fresh Embryos	Frozen Embryos
Number of transfers	3	2
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	1 / 3	1 / 2
Average number of embryos transferred	2.0	1.5

CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

Current Name: Penn State Milton S. Hershey Medical Center

Donor egg?	Yes	Gestational carriers?	No	SART member?	Yes
Donor embryo?	Yes	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	Yes
Single women?	Yes			*(See Appendix C for details.)*	

[a] Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2009 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.
[b] When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.
[c] A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.
[d] Clinic-specific outcome rates for women older than 44 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs are not included because of small numbers. Readers are urged to review national outcomes for these age groups (see pages 30, 48 & 57).
[e] All ages (including ages >44) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.

NORTHERN FERTILITY AND REPRODUCTIVE ASSOCIATES, PC
MEADOWBROOK, PENNSYLVANIA

A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 81–90.

2009 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART [a]

IVF	100%	**Procedural Factors:**	
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	69%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	0%
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	0%
		Used PGD	1%
		With eSET	0%

Patient Diagnosis

Tubal factor	10%	Other factor	3%
Ovulatory dysfunction	6%	Unknown factor	16%
Diminished ovarian reserve	15%	*Multiple Factors:*	
Endometriosis	5%	Female factors only	10%
Uterine factor	<1%	Female & male factors	17%
Male factor	17%		

2009 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by Martin F. Freedman, MD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman <35	35–37	38–40	41–42	43–44[d]
Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of cycles	98	59	50	32	4
Percentage of embryos transferred resulting in implantation[b]	41.7	33.0	17.9	6.3	1 / 10
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies[b]	59.2	42.4	26.0	15.6	1 / 4
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births[b,c]	53.1	35.6	22.0	9.4	0 / 4
(Confidence Interval)	(42.7–63.2)	(23.6–49.1)	(11.5–36.0)	(2.0–25.0)	
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births[b,c]	55.3	41.2	25.0	10.3	0 / 4
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births[b,c]	58.4	43.8	28.2	13.0	0 / 4
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births[b]	40.4	33.3	17.9	13.0	0 / 4
Percentage of cancellations[b]	4.1	13.6	12.0	9.4	0 / 4
Average number of embryos transferred	2.0	2.3	2.7	3.4	2.5
Percentage of pregnancies with twins[b]	29.3	48.0	4 / 13	2 / 5	0 / 1
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more[b]	1.7	4.0	1 / 13	0 / 5	0 / 1
Percentage of live births having multiple infants[b,c]	30.8	23.8	4 / 11	0 / 3	
Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of transfers	22	13	8	4	2
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births[b,c]	36.4	2 / 13	4 / 8	0 / 4	0 / 2
Average number of embryos transferred	2.0	2.2	1.9	2.0	2.0

Donor Eggs	All Ages Combined[e] Fresh Embryos	Frozen Embryos
Number of transfers	12	4
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births[b,c]	5 / 12	2 / 4
Average number of embryos transferred	1.9	2.3

CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

Current Name: Reproductive Medicine Associates of Philadelphia

Donor egg?	Yes	Gestational carriers?	Yes	SART member?	Yes
Donor embryo?	Yes	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	Yes
Single women?	Yes			*(See Appendix C for details.)*	

[a] Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2009 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.
[b] When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.
[c] A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.
[d] Clinic-specific outcome rates for women older than 44 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs are not included because of small numbers. Readers are urged to review national outcomes for these age groups (see pages 30, 48 & 57).
[e] All ages (including ages >44) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.

FERTILITY AND GYNECOLOGY ASSOCIATES
PHILADELPHIA, PENNSYLVANIA

A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 81–90.

2009 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART [a]

IVF	100%	**Procedural Factors:**	
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	32%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	0%
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	0%
		Used PGD	0%
		With eSET	0%

Patient Diagnosis

Tubal factor	11%	Other factor	3%
Ovulatory dysfunction	11%	Unknown factor	7%
Diminished ovarian reserve	29%	*Multiple Factors:*	
Endometriosis	3%	Female factors only	10%
Uterine factor	1%	Female & male factors	14%
Male factor	11%		

2009 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by Leonore C. Huppert, MD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman <35	35–37	38–40	41–42	43–44[d]
Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of cycles	17	13	8	5	1
Percentage of embryos transferred resulting in implantation[b]	26.5	19.4	15.0	2 / 19	
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies[b]	7 / 17	4 / 13	2 / 8	2 / 5	0 / 1
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births[b,c] (Confidence Interval)	4 / 17	3 / 13	2 / 8	1 / 5	0 / 1
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births[b,c]	4 / 16	3 / 11	2 / 6	1 / 4	0 / 1
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births[b,c]	4 / 14	3 / 10	2 / 5	1 / 4	
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births[b]	2 / 14	2 / 10	1 / 5	1 / 4	
Percentage of cancellations[b]	1 / 17	2 / 13	2 / 8	1 / 5	0 / 1
Average number of embryos transferred	2.4	3.1	4.0	4.8	
Percentage of pregnancies with twins[b]	3 / 7	2 / 4	1 / 2	0 / 2	
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more[b]	0 / 7	0 / 4	0 / 2	0 / 2	
Percentage of live births having multiple infants[b,c]	2 / 4	1 / 3	1 / 2	0 / 1	
Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of transfers	10	6	1	0	1
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births[b,c]	3 / 10	3 / 6	1 / 1		0 / 1
Average number of embryos transferred	3.0	3.5	2.0		5.0

Donor Eggs	All Ages Combined[e] Fresh Embryos	Frozen Embryos
Number of transfers	3	5
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births[b,c]	1 / 3	2 / 5
Average number of embryos transferred	2.0	2.2

CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

Current Name: Fertility and Gynecology Associates

Donor egg?	Yes	Gestational carriers?	Yes	SART member?	Yes
Donor embryo?	Yes	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	Yes
Single women?	Yes			*(See Appendix C for details.)*	

[a] Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2009 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.
[b] When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.
[c] A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.
[d] Clinic-specific outcome rates for women older than 44 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs are not included because of small numbers. Readers are urged to review national outcomes for these age groups (see pages 30, 48 & 57).
[e] All ages (including ages >44) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.

JEFFERSON IVF
PHILADELPHIA, PENNSYLVANIA

A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 81–90.

2009 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART [a]

IVF	100%	**Procedural Factors:**	
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	15%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	0%
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	0%
		Used PGD	5%
		With eSET	0%

Patient Diagnosis

Tubal factor	18%	Other factor	5%
Ovulatory dysfunction	23%	Unknown factor	0%
Diminished ovarian reserve	18%	*Multiple Factors:*	
Endometriosis	0%	Female factors only	23%
Uterine factor	0%	Female & male factors	9%
Male factor	5%		

2009 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by Gregory T. Fossum, MD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman <35	35–37	38–40	41–42	43–44 [d]
Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of cycles	12	0	2	4	2
Percentage of embryos transferred resulting in implantation [b]	35.0		0 / 2	1 / 3	0 / 4
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies [b]	3 / 12		0 / 2	1 / 4	0 / 2
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births [b,c] (Confidence Interval)	2 / 12		0 / 2	1 / 4	0 / 2
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births [b,c]	2 / 10		0 / 1	1 / 1	0 / 1
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	2 / 8		0 / 1	1 / 1	0 / 1
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births [b]	0 / 8		0 / 1	1 / 1	0 / 1
Percentage of cancellations [b]	2 / 12		1 / 2	3 / 4	1 / 2
Average number of embryos transferred	2.5		2.0	3.0	4.0
Percentage of pregnancies with twins [b]	2 / 3			0 / 1	
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more [b]	1 / 3			0 / 1	
Percentage of live births having multiple infants [b,c]	2 / 2			0 / 1	
Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of transfers	0	0	0	0	0
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]					
Average number of embryos transferred					

Donor Eggs	All Ages Combined [e] Fresh Embryos	Frozen Embryos
Number of transfers	2	0
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	0 / 2	
Average number of embryos transferred	2.5	

CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

Current Name: Jefferson IVF

Donor egg?	Yes	Gestational carriers?	No	SART member?	No
Donor embryo?	No	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	Yes
Single women?	Yes			*(See Appendix C for details.)*	

[a] Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2009 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.
[b] When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.
[c] A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.
[d] Clinic-specific outcome rates for women older than 44 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs are not included because of small numbers. Readers are urged to review national outcomes for these age groups (see pages 30, 48 & 57).
[e] All ages (including ages >44) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.

UNIVERSITY OF PENNSYLVANIA
PENN FERTILITY CARE
PHILADELPHIA, PENNSYLVANIA

A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 81–90.

2009 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART [a]

IVF	100%	**Procedural Factors:**	
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	40%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	<1%
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	1%
		Used PGD	<1%
		With eSET	3%

Patient Diagnosis

Tubal factor	8%	Other factor	11%
Ovulatory dysfunction	6%	Unknown factor	15%
Diminished ovarian reserve	7%	*Multiple Factors:*	
Endometriosis	3%	Female factors only	22%
Uterine factor	2%	Female & male factors	12%
Male factor	15%		

2009 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by Anuja Dokras, MD, PhD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman <35	35–37	38–40	41–42	43–44 [d]
Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of cycles	180	100	75	23	22
Percentage of embryos transferred resulting in implantation [b]	26.2	24.3	16.0	6.1	0.0
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies [b]	32.8	32.0	22.7	13.0	0.0
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births [b,c]	30.6	22.0	20.0	0.0	0.0
(Confidence Interval)	(23.9–37.8)	(14.3–31.4)	(11.6–30.8)	(0.0–14.8)	(0.0–15.4)
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births [b,c]	35.9	30.1	25.9	0 / 17	0 / 15
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	37.7	33.3	30.0	0 / 14	0 / 13
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births [b]	26.0	27.3	24.0	0 / 14	0 / 13
Percentage of cancellations [b]	15.0	27.0	22.7	26.1	31.8
Average number of embryos transferred	1.9	2.2	2.5	2.4	1.8
Percentage of pregnancies with twins [b]	28.8	15.6	4 / 17	0 / 3	
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more [b]	0.0	3.1	0 / 17	0 / 3	
Percentage of live births having multiple infants [b,c]	30.9	18.2	3 / 15		
Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of transfers	28	14	6	7	1
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	39.3	6 / 14	1 / 6	2 / 7	0 / 1
Average number of embryos transferred	2.2	2.0	2.3	2.4	5.0

Donor Eggs	All Ages Combined [e] Fresh Embryos	Frozen Embryos
Number of transfers	39	19
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	48.7	9 / 19
Average number of embryos transferred	2.1	2.3

CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

Current Name: University of Pennsylvania, Penn Fertility Care

Donor egg?	Yes	Gestational carriers?	Yes	SART member?	Yes
Donor embryo?	Yes	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	Yes
Single women?	Yes			*(See Appendix C for details.)*	

[a] Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2009 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.
[b] When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.
[c] A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.
[d] Clinic-specific outcome rates for women older than 44 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs are not included because of small numbers. Readers are urged to review national outcomes for these age groups (see pages 30, 48 & 57).
[e] All ages (including ages >44) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.

JONES INSTITUTE AT WEST PENN ALLEGHENY HEALTH SYSTEM
PITTSBURGH, PENNSYLVANIA

A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 81–90.

2009 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART [a]

IVF	100%	**Procedural Factors:**	
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	58%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	0%
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	2%
		Used PGD	0%
		With eSET	0%

Patient Diagnosis

Tubal factor	14%	Other factor	6%
Ovulatory dysfunction	6%	Unknown factor	6%
Diminished ovarian reserve	7%	*Multiple Factors:*	
Endometriosis	0%	Female factors only	8%
Uterine factor	1%	Female & male factors	32%
Male factor	19%		

2009 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by Scott W. Kauma, MD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman <35	35–37	38–40	41–42	43–44[d]
Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of cycles	34	19	4	2	0
Percentage of embryos transferred resulting in implantation[b]	36.4	26.5	1 / 13	1 / 4	
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies[b]	52.9	8 / 19	1 / 4	1 / 2	
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births[b,c]	41.2	6 / 19	1 / 4	1 / 2	
(Confidence Interval)	(24.6–59.3)				
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births[b,c]	45.2	6 / 14	1 / 4	1 / 1	
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births[b,c]	48.3	6 / 14	1 / 4	1 / 1	
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births[b]	34.5	5 / 14	1 / 4	1 / 1	
Percentage of cancellations[b]	8.8	5 / 19	0 / 4	1 / 2	
Average number of embryos transferred	2.3	2.4	3.3	4.0	
Percentage of pregnancies with twins[b]	7 / 18	2 / 8	0 / 1	0 / 1	
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more[b]	0 / 18	0 / 8	0 / 1	0 / 1	
Percentage of live births having multiple infants[b,c]	4 / 14	1 / 6	0 / 1	0 / 1	
Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of transfers	12	2	2	0	0
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births[b,c]	8 / 12	0 / 2	1 / 2		
Average number of embryos transferred	2.5	3.0	2.5		

Donor Eggs	All Ages Combined[e] Fresh Embryos	Frozen Embryos
Number of transfers	13	9
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births[b,c]	7 / 13	4 / 9
Average number of embryos transferred	2.2	2.2

CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

Current Name: Jones Institute at West Penn Allegheny Health System

Donor egg?	Yes	Gestational carriers?	Yes	SART member?	Yes
Donor embryo?	No	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	Yes
Single women?	Yes			*(See Appendix C for details.)*	

[a] Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2009 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.
[b] When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.
[c] A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.
[d] Clinic-specific outcome rates for women older than 44 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs are not included because of small numbers. Readers are urged to review national outcomes for these age groups (see pages 30, 48 & 57).
[e] All ages (including ages >44) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.

REPRODUCTIVE HEALTH SPECIALISTS, INC.
PITTSBURGH, PENNSYLVANIA

A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 81–90.

2009 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART [a]

IVF	100%	**Procedural Factors:**	
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	56%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	0%
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	2%
		Used PGD	0%
		With eSET	19%

Patient Diagnosis

Tubal factor	9%	Other factor	7%
Ovulatory dysfunction	9%	Unknown factor	25%
Diminished ovarian reserve	6%	*Multiple Factors:*	
Endometriosis	9%	Female factors only	6%
Uterine factor	0%	Female & male factors	6%
Male factor	23%		

2009 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by Judith L. Albert, MD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman <35	35–37	38–40	41–42	43–44 [d]
Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of cycles	116	49	49	13	3
Percentage of embryos transferred resulting in implantation [b]	41.8	31.1	12.1	0.0	0 / 9
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies [b]	54.3	40.8	22.4	1 / 13	0 / 3
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births [b,c]	46.6	32.7	12.2	0 / 13	0 / 3
(Confidence Interval)	(37.2–56.0)	(19.9–47.5)	(4.6–24.8)		
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births [b,c]	48.2	34.8	14.6	0 / 9	0 / 3
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	50.9	39.0	16.2	0 / 9	0 / 3
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births [b]	38.7	31.7	16.2	0 / 9	0 / 3
Percentage of cancellations [b]	3.4	6.1	16.3	4 / 13	0 / 3
Average number of embryos transferred	1.7	1.8	1.8	2.6	3.0
Percentage of pregnancies with twins [b]	19.0	15.0	1 / 11	0 / 1	
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more [b]	3.2	5.0	0 / 11	0 / 1	
Percentage of live births having multiple infants [b,c]	24.1	3 / 16	0 / 6		
Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of transfers	21	15	14	3	1
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	23.8	4 / 15	2 / 14	0 / 3	0 / 1
Average number of embryos transferred	1.3	1.7	1.7	1.0	1.0

Donor Eggs	All Ages Combined [e] Fresh Embryos	Frozen Embryos
Number of transfers	15	10
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	6 / 15	4 / 10
Average number of embryos transferred	1.5	1.4

CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

Current Name: Reproductive Health Specialists, Inc.

Donor egg?	Yes	Gestational carriers?	Yes	SART member?	Yes
Donor embryo?	No	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	Yes
Single women?	Yes			*(See Appendix C for details.)*	

[a] Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2009 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.
[b] When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.
[c] A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.
[d] Clinic-specific outcome rates for women older than 44 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs are not included because of small numbers. Readers are urged to review national outcomes for these age groups (see pages 30, 48 & 57).
[e] All ages (including ages >44) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.

UNIVERSITY OF PITTSBURGH PHYSICIANS
CENTER FOR FERTILITY AND REPRODUCTIVE ENDOCRINOLOGY
PITTSBURGH, PENNSYLVANIA

A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 81–90.

2009 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART [a]

IVF	100%	**Procedural Factors:**	
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	57%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	<1%
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	0%
		Used PGD	2%
		With eSET	<1%

Patient Diagnosis

Tubal factor	4%	Other factor	9%
Ovulatory dysfunction	5%	Unknown factor	7%
Diminished ovarian reserve	23%	*Multiple Factors:*	
Endometriosis	4%	Female factors only	13%
Uterine factor	0%	Female & male factors	23%
Male factor	14%		

2009 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by Anthony N. Wakim, MD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman <35	35–37	38–40	41–42	43–44[d]
Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of cycles	134	57	44	16	7
Percentage of embryos transferred resulting in implantation[b]	22.4	16.0	10.9	6.7	0 / 13
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies[b]	35.8	24.6	18.2	5 / 16	1 / 7
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births[b,c]	30.6	19.3	15.9	2 / 16	0 / 7
(Confidence Interval)	(22.9–39.1)	(10.0–31.9)	(6.6–30.1)		
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births[b,c]	31.5	20.8	21.2	2 / 13	0 / 6
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births[b,c]	34.5	23.9	21.2	2 / 11	0 / 4
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births[b]	27.7	19.6	18.2	2 / 11	0 / 4
Percentage of cancellations[b]	3.0	7.0	25.0	3 / 16	1 / 7
Average number of embryos transferred	2.1	2.6	2.8	2.7	3.3
Percentage of pregnancies with twins[b]	20.8	5 / 14	1 / 8	0 / 5	0 / 1
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more[b]	0.0	0 / 14	1 / 8	0 / 5	0 / 1
Percentage of live births having multiple infants[b,c]	19.5	2 / 11	1 / 7	0 / 2	
Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of transfers	80	35	13	6	6
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births[b,c]	26.3	17.1	2 / 13	1 / 6	1 / 6
Average number of embryos transferred	2.3	2.2	2.7	2.8	2.5

Donor Eggs	All Ages Combined[e] Fresh Embryos	Frozen Embryos
Number of transfers	30	26
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births[b,c]	43.3	30.8
Average number of embryos transferred	1.8	2.4

CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

Current Name: University of Pittsburgh Physicians, Center for Fertility and Reproductive Endocrinology

Donor egg?	Yes	Gestational carriers?	Yes	SART member?	Yes
Donor embryo?	Yes	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	Yes
Single women?	Yes			*(See Appendix C for details.)*	

[a] Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2009 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.
[b] When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.
[c] A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.
[d] Clinic-specific outcome rates for women older than 44 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs are not included because of small numbers. Readers are urged to review national outcomes for these age groups (see pages 30, 48 & 57).
[e] All ages (including ages >44) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.

REPRODUCTIVE ENDOCRINOLOGY AND FERTILITY CENTER
UPLAND, PENNSYLVANIA

A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 81–90.

2009 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART [a]

IVF	100%	**Procedural Factors:**	
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	59%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	0%
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	<1%
		Used PGD	1%
		With eSET	0%

Patient Diagnosis

Tubal factor	15%	Other factor	21%
Ovulatory dysfunction	<1%	Unknown factor	<1%
Diminished ovarian reserve	<1%	*Multiple Factors:*	
Endometriosis	7%	Female factors only	21%
Uterine factor	5%	Female & male factors	18%
Male factor	10%		

2009 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by Albert El-Roeiy, MD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman <35	35–37	38–40	41–42	43–44 [d]
Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of cycles	58	31	28	21	3
Percentage of embryos transferred resulting in implantation [b]	32.2	18.5	11.9	2.6	0 / 3
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies [b]	36.2	35.5	10.7	4.8	0 / 3
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births [b,c]	36.2	22.6	10.7	4.8	0 / 3
(Confidence Interval)	(24.0–49.9)	(9.6–41.1)	(2.3–28.2)	(0.1–23.8)	
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births [b,c]	42.0	24.1	12.5	5.0	0 / 3
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	51.2	26.9	3 / 16	1 / 14	0 / 1
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births [b]	41.5	23.1	2 / 16	1 / 14	0 / 1
Percentage of cancellations [b]	13.8	6.5	14.3	4.8	0 / 3
Average number of embryos transferred	2.1	2.5	2.6	2.7	3.0
Percentage of pregnancies with twins [b]	33.3	2 / 11	2 / 3	0 / 1	
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more [b]	0.0	0 / 11	0 / 3	0 / 1	
Percentage of live births having multiple infants [b,c]	19.0	1 / 7	1 / 3	0 / 1	
Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of transfers	33	4	10	1	1
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	33.3	0 / 4	4 / 10	0 / 1	0 / 1
Average number of embryos transferred	2.4	2.5	3.3	1.0	4.0

Donor Eggs	All Ages Combined [e] Fresh Embryos	Frozen Embryos
Number of transfers	14	3
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	6 / 14	1 / 3
Average number of embryos transferred	2.6	2.3

CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

Current Name: Reproductive Endocrinology and Fertility Center

Donor egg?	Yes	Gestational carriers?	No	SART member?	Yes
Donor embryo?	No	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	Yes
Single women?	Yes			*(See Appendix C for details.)*	

[a] Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2009 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.
[b] When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.
[c] A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.
[d] Clinic-specific outcome rates for women older than 44 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs are not included because of small numbers. Readers are urged to review national outcomes for these age groups (see pages 30, 48 & 57).
[e] All ages (including ages >44) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.

REPRODUCTIVE SCIENCE INSTITUTE OF SUBURBAN PHILADELPHIA
WAYNE, PENNSYLVANIA

A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 81–90.

2009 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART [a]

IVF	100%	**Procedural Factors:**	
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	54%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	0%
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	0%
		Used PGD	3%
		With eSET	2%

Patient Diagnosis

Tubal factor	4%	Other factor	4%
Ovulatory dysfunction	21%	Unknown factor	<1%
Diminished ovarian reserve	19%	*Multiple Factors:*	
Endometriosis	<1%	Female factors only	17%
Uterine factor	2%	Female & male factors	22%
Male factor	11%		

2009 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by Abraham K. Munabi, MD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman <35	35–37	38–40	41–42	43–44 [d]
Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of cycles	68	41	30	11	3
Percentage of embryos transferred resulting in implantation [b]	44.0	34.3	12.5	4.3	0 / 2
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies [b]	58.8	43.9	13.3	1 / 11	0 / 3
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births [b,c]	52.9	36.6	10.0	1 / 11	0 / 3
(Confidence Interval)	(40.4–65.2)	(22.1–53.1)	(2.1–26.5)		
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births [b,c]	55.4	42.9	3 / 18	1 / 6	0 / 2
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	60.0	48.4	3 / 13	1 / 6	0 / 1
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births [b]	40.0	35.5	3 / 13	1 / 6	0 / 1
Percentage of cancellations [b]	4.4	14.6	40.0	5 / 11	1 / 3
Average number of embryos transferred	2.2	2.2	2.5	3.8	2.0
Percentage of pregnancies with twins [b]	42.5	5 / 18	0 / 4	0 / 1	
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more [b]	2.5	0 / 18	0 / 4	0 / 1	
Percentage of live births having multiple infants [b,c]	33.3	4 / 15	0 / 3	0 / 1	
Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of transfers	16	5	5	2	1
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	4 / 16	2 / 5	1 / 5	0 / 2	0 / 1
Average number of embryos transferred	2.2	1.8	1.6	2.0	4.0

Donor Eggs	All Ages Combined [e] Fresh Embryos	Frozen Embryos
Number of transfers	17	15
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	12 / 17	4 / 15
Average number of embryos transferred	1.9	1.9

CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

Current Name: Reproductive Science Institute of Suburban Philadelphia

Donor egg?	Yes	Gestational carriers?	Yes	SART member?	Yes
Donor embryo?	Yes	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	Yes
Single women?	Yes			*(See Appendix C for details.)*	

[a] Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2009 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.
[b] When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.
[c] A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.
[d] Clinic-specific outcome rates for women older than 44 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs are not included because of small numbers. Readers are urged to review national outcomes for these age groups (see pages 30, 48 & 57).
[e] All ages (including ages >44) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.

WOMEN'S CLINIC, LTD.
WEST READING, PENNSYLVANIA

A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 81–90.

2009 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART [a]

IVF	100%	**Procedural Factors:**	
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	46%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	0%
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	0%
		Used PGD	0%
		With eSET	0%

Patient Diagnosis

Tubal factor	5%	Other factor	0%
Ovulatory dysfunction	5%	Unknown factor	0%
Diminished ovarian reserve	2%	*Multiple Factors:*	
Endometriosis	5%	Female factors only	30%
Uterine factor	0%	Female & male factors	40%
Male factor	14%		

2009 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by Vincent A. Pellegrini, MD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman <35	35–37	38–40	41–42	43–44 [d]
Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of cycles	24	4	6	4	3
Percentage of embryos transferred resulting in implantation [b]	36.8	1 / 4	0 / 4	0 / 8	0 / 1
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies [b]	41.7	1 / 4	0 / 6	1 / 4	0 / 3
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births [b,c]	29.2	1 / 4	0 / 6	0 / 4	0 / 3
(Confidence Interval)	(12.6–51.1)				
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births [b,c]	33.3	1 / 3	0 / 3	0 / 4	0 / 3
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	7 / 17	1 / 2	0 / 2	0 / 3	0 / 1
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births [b]	3 / 17	1 / 2	0 / 2	0 / 3	0 / 1
Percentage of cancellations [b]	12.5	1 / 4	3 / 6	0 / 4	0 / 3
Average number of embryos transferred	2.2	2.0	2.0	2.7	1.0
Percentage of pregnancies with twins [b]	5 / 10	0 / 1		0 / 1	
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more [b]	0 / 10	0 / 1		0 / 1	
Percentage of live births having multiple infants [b,c]	4 / 7	0 / 1			
Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of transfers	2	0	0	0	0
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	0 / 2				
Average number of embryos transferred	1.5				

Donor Eggs	All Ages Combined [e] Fresh Embryos	Frozen Embryos
Number of transfers	0	0
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]		
Average number of embryos transferred		

CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

Current Name: Women's Clinic, Ltd.

Donor egg?	No	Gestational carriers?	No	SART member?	Yes
Donor embryo?	No	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	Yes
Single women?	Yes			*(See Appendix C for details.)*	

[a] Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2009 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.
[b] When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.
[c] A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.
[d] Clinic-specific outcome rates for women older than 44 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs are not included because of small numbers. Readers are urged to review national outcomes for these age groups (see pages 30, 48 & 57).
[e] All ages (including ages >44) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.

THE FERTILITY CENTER, LLC
YORK, PENNSYLVANIA

A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 81–90.

2009 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART [a]

IVF	100%	**Procedural Factors:**	
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	91%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	0%
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	3%
		Used PGD	1%
		With eSET	0%

Patient Diagnosis

Tubal factor	7%	Other factor	1%
Ovulatory dysfunction	3%	Unknown factor	37%
Diminished ovarian reserve	8%	*Multiple Factors:*	
Endometriosis	0%	Female factors only	0%
Uterine factor	3%	Female & male factors	4%
Male factor	38%		

2009 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by Robert B. Filer, MD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman <35	35–37	38–40	41–42	43–44 [d]
Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of cycles	43	15	7	3	1
Percentage of embryos transferred resulting in implantation [b]	45.6	37.0	8 / 13	0 / 1	
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies [b]	48.8	8 / 15	5 / 7	0 / 3	0 / 1
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births [b,c]	44.2	8 / 15	5 / 7	0 / 3	0 / 1
(Confidence Interval)	(29.1–60.1)				
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births [b,c]	44.2	8 / 15	5 / 7	0 / 3	0 / 1
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	54.3	8 / 12	5 / 7	0 / 1	
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births [b]	28.6	8 / 12	2 / 7	0 / 1	
Percentage of cancellations [b]	0.0	0 / 15	0 / 7	0 / 3	0 / 1
Average number of embryos transferred	1.9	2.3	1.9	1.0	
Percentage of pregnancies with twins [b]	38.1	2 / 8	3 / 5		
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more [b]	4.8	0 / 8	0 / 5		
Percentage of live births having multiple infants [b,c]	9 / 19	0 / 8	3 / 5		
Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of transfers	8	1	1	0	0
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	6 / 8	0 / 1	0 / 1		
Average number of embryos transferred	1.9	3.0	1.0		

Donor Eggs	All Ages Combined [e] Fresh Embryos	Frozen Embryos
Number of transfers	13	1
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	8 / 13	1 / 1
Average number of embryos transferred	2.0	2.0

CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

Current Name: The Fertility Center, LLC

Donor egg?	Yes	Gestational carriers?	Yes	SART member?	No
Donor embryo?	Yes	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	No
Single women?	Yes			*(See Appendix C for details.)*	

[a] Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2009 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.
[b] When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.
[c] A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.
[d] Clinic-specific outcome rates for women older than 44 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs are not included because of small numbers. Readers are urged to review national outcomes for these age groups (see pages 30, 48 & 57).
[e] All ages (including ages >44) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.

PEDRO J. BEAUCHAMP, MD
BAYAMON, PUERTO RICO

A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 81–90.

2009 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART [a]

IVF	100%	**Procedural Factors:**	
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	82%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	0%
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	<1%
		Used PGD	<1%
		With eSET	<1%

Patient Diagnosis

Tubal factor	8%	Other factor	<1%
Ovulatory dysfunction	3%	Unknown factor	0%
Diminished ovarian reserve	0%	*Multiple Factors:*	
Endometriosis	3%	Female factors only	24%
Uterine factor	0%	Female & male factors	46%
Male factor	16%		

2009 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by Pedro J. Beauchamp, MD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman <35	35–37	38–40	41–42	43–44 [d]
Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of cycles	60	32	22	20	11
Percentage of embryos transferred resulting in implantation [b]	38.0	24.6	25.0	14.3	0.0
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies [b]	66.7	46.9	50.0	30.0	1 / 11
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births [b,c]	48.3	28.1	36.4	25.0	0 / 11
(Confidence Interval)	(35.2–61.6)	(13.7–46.7)	(17.2–59.3)	(8.7–49.1)	
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births [b,c]	50.0	30.0	8 / 19	5 / 15	0 / 10
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	50.0	31.0	8 / 19	5 / 15	0 / 10
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births [b]	36.2	24.1	6 / 19	5 / 15	0 / 10
Percentage of cancellations [b]	3.3	6.3	13.6	25.0	1 / 11
Average number of embryos transferred	2.2	2.1	2.3	2.3	2.2
Percentage of pregnancies with twins [b]	25.0	4 / 15	2 / 11	0 / 6	0 / 1
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more [b]	5.0	0 / 15	0 / 11	0 / 6	0 / 1
Percentage of live births having multiple infants [b,c]	27.6	2 / 9	2 / 8	0 / 5	
Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of transfers	2	3	2	0	0
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	0 / 2	1 / 3	0 / 2		
Average number of embryos transferred	2.0	2.3	1.5		

Donor Eggs	All Ages Combined [e] Fresh Embryos	Frozen Embryos
Number of transfers	6	0
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	2 / 6	
Average number of embryos transferred	2.0	

CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

Current Name: Pedro J. Beauchamp, MD

Donor egg?	Yes	Gestational carriers?	Yes	SART member?	Yes
Donor embryo?	No	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	Yes
Single women?	No			*(See Appendix C for details.)*	

[a] Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2009 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.
[b] When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.
[c] A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.
[d] Clinic-specific outcome rates for women older than 44 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs are not included because of small numbers. Readers are urged to review national outcomes for these age groups (see pages 30, 48 & 57).
[e] All ages (including ages >44) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.

CLINICA DE FERTILIDAD HIMA-SAN PABLO
CAGUAS, PUERTO RICO

A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 81–90.

2009 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART [a]

IVF	100%	**Procedural Factors:**	
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	79%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	0%
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	3%
		Used PGD	0%
		With eSET	0%

Patient Diagnosis

Tubal factor	24%	Other factor	0%
Ovulatory dysfunction	0%	Unknown factor	15%
Diminished ovarian reserve	12%	*Multiple Factors:*	
Endometriosis	6%	Female factors only	12%
Uterine factor	3%	Female & male factors	6%
Male factor	24%		

2009 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by Jose R. Cruz, MD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman <35	35–37	38–40	41–42	43–44 [d]
Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of cycles	17	6	5	1	0
Percentage of embryos transferred resulting in implantation [b]	15.6	2 / 18	1 / 11	2 / 3	
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies [b]	5 / 17	1 / 6	1 / 5	1 / 1	
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births [b,c] (Confidence Interval)	3 / 17	1 / 6	1 / 5	1 / 1	
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births [b,c]	3 / 17	1 / 6	1 / 5	1 / 1	
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	3 / 17	1 / 6	1 / 4	1 / 1	
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births [b]	1 / 17	0 / 6	1 / 4	0 / 1	
Percentage of cancellations [b]	0 / 17	0 / 6	0 / 5	0 / 1	
Average number of embryos transferred	2.6	3.0	2.8	3.0	
Percentage of pregnancies with twins [b]	1 / 5	1 / 1	0 / 1	1 / 1	
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more [b]	1 / 5	0 / 1	0 / 1	0 / 1	
Percentage of live births having multiple infants [b,c]	2 / 3	1 / 1	0 / 1	1 / 1	
Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of transfers	0	0	0	0	0
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]					
Average number of embryos transferred					

Donor Eggs	All Ages Combined [e] Fresh Embryos	Frozen Embryos
Number of transfers	5	0
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	3 / 5	
Average number of embryos transferred	3.2	

CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

Current Name: Clinica de Fertilidad HIMA-San Pablo

Donor egg?	Yes	Gestational carriers?	Yes	SART member?	No
Donor embryo?	No	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	No
Single women?	Yes			*(See Appendix C for details.)*	

[a] Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2009 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.
[b] When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.
[c] A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.
[d] Clinic-specific outcome rates for women older than 44 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs are not included because of small numbers. Readers are urged to review national outcomes for these age groups (see pages 30, 48 & 57).
[e] All ages (including ages >44) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.

GREFI
GYNECOLOGY, REPRODUCTIVE ENDOCRINOLOGY & FERTILITY INSTITUTE
SANTURCE, PUERTO RICO

A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 81–90.

2009 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART [a]

IVF	100%	**Procedural Factors:**	
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	79%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	0%
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	0%
		Used PGD	11%
		With eSET	0%

Patient Diagnosis

Tubal factor	30%	Other factor	23%
Ovulatory dysfunction	0%	Unknown factor	5%
Diminished ovarian reserve	0%	*Multiple Factors:*	
Endometriosis	5%	Female factors only	0%
Uterine factor	0%	Female & male factors	12%
Male factor	26%		

2009 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by Rosa Ileana Cruz, MD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman <35	35–37	38–40	41–42	43–44 [d]
Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of cycles	14	10	10	2	0
Percentage of embryos transferred resulting in implantation [b]	26.1	9.5	12.5	0 / 2	
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies [b]	5 / 14	2 / 10	2 / 10	0 / 2	
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births [b,c] (Confidence Interval)	4 / 14	1 / 10	1 / 10	0 / 2	
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births [b,c]	4 / 14	1 / 10	1 / 10	0 / 2	
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	4 / 12	1 / 9	1 / 9	0 / 1	
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births [b]	4 / 12	1 / 9	1 / 9	0 / 1	
Percentage of cancellations [b]	0 / 14	0 / 10	0 / 10	0 / 2	
Average number of embryos transferred	1.9	2.3	2.7	2.0	
Percentage of pregnancies with twins [b]	1 / 5	0 / 2	1 / 2		
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more [b]	0 / 5	0 / 2	0 / 2		
Percentage of live births having multiple infants [b,c]	0 / 4	0 / 1	0 / 1		
Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of transfers	1	0	2	1	0
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	0 / 1		1 / 2	0 / 1	
Average number of embryos transferred	1.0		2.0	1.0	

Donor Eggs	All Ages Combined [e] Fresh Embryos	Frozen Embryos
Number of transfers	1	0
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	0 / 1	
Average number of embryos transferred	3.0	

CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

Current Name: GREFI, Gynecology, Reproductive Endocrinology & Fertility Institute

Donor egg?	Yes	Gestational carriers?	No	SART member?	Yes
Donor embryo?	Yes	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	Yes
Single women?	Yes			*(See Appendix C for details.)*	

[a] Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2009 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.
[b] When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.
[c] A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.
[d] Clinic-specific outcome rates for women older than 44 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs are not included because of small numbers. Readers are urged to review national outcomes for these age groups (see pages 30, 48 & 57).
[e] All ages (including ages >44) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.

WOMEN AND INFANTS' DIVISION OF REPRODUCTIVE MEDICINE AND INFERTILITY PROVIDENCE, RHODE ISLAND

A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 81–90.

2009 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART [a]

IVF	100%	**Procedural Factors:**	
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	55%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	2%
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	<1%
		Used PGD	6%
		With eSET	1%

Patient Diagnosis

Tubal factor	9%	Other factor	6%
Ovulatory dysfunction	5%	Unknown factor	46%
Diminished ovarian reserve	4%	*Multiple Factors:*	
Endometriosis	3%	Female factors only	3%
Uterine factor	<1%	Female & male factors	8%
Male factor	16%		

2009 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by Sandra Carson, MD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman <35	35–37	38–40	41–42	43–44 [d]
Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of cycles	238	125	116	67	24
Percentage of embryos transferred resulting in implantation [b]	25.2	19.0	14.3	4.3	3.0
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies [b]	39.1	33.6	33.6	13.4	8.3
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births [b,c]	32.8	28.8	22.4	11.9	8.3
(Confidence Interval)	(26.8–39.1)	(21.1–37.6)	(15.2–31.1)	(5.3–22.2)	(1.0–27.0)
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births [b,c]	33.9	31.6	24.3	13.8	9.5
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	36.1	32.4	27.7	14.5	2 / 15
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births [b]	25.9	22.5	23.4	14.5	2 / 15
Percentage of cancellations [b]	3.4	8.8	7.8	13.4	12.5
Average number of embryos transferred	2.0	2.3	2.9	3.3	4.4
Percentage of pregnancies with twins [b]	25.8	31.0	12.8	0 / 9	0 / 2
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more [b]	1.1	0.0	0.0	0 / 9	0 / 2
Percentage of live births having multiple infants [b,c]	28.2	30.6	15.4	0 / 8	0 / 2
Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of transfers	43	28	20	3	2
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	25.6	10.7	20.0	0 / 3	0 / 2
Average number of embryos transferred	2.1	2.0	2.2	4.0	1.5

Donor Eggs	All Ages Combined [e] Fresh Embryos	Frozen Embryos
Number of transfers	21	21
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	42.9	4.8
Average number of embryos transferred	2.1	2.0

CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

Current Name: Women and Infants' Division of Reproductive Medicine and Infertility

Donor egg?	Yes	Gestational carriers?	Yes	SART member?	Yes
Donor embryo?	Yes	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	Yes
Single women?	Yes			*(See Appendix C for details.)*	

[a] Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2009 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.
[b] When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.
[c] A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.
[d] Clinic-specific outcome rates for women older than 44 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs are not included because of small numbers. Readers are urged to review national outcomes for these age groups (see pages 30, 48 & 57).
[e] All ages (including ages >44) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.

PIEDMONT REPRODUCTIVE ENDOCRINOLOGY GROUP, PA
GREENVILLE, SOUTH CAROLINA

A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 81–90.

2009 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART [a]

IVF	100%	**Procedural Factors:**	
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	89%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	0%
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	0%
		Used PGD	3%
		With eSET	5%

Patient Diagnosis

Tubal factor	3%	Other factor	1%
Ovulatory dysfunction	15%	Unknown factor	4%
Diminished ovarian reserve	17%	*Multiple Factors:*	
Endometriosis	3%	Female factors only	15%
Uterine factor	0%	Female & male factors	27%
Male factor	15%		

2009 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by John E. Nichols, MD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman <35	35–37	38–40	41–42	43–44 [d]
Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of cycles	54	14	21	4	0
Percentage of embryos transferred resulting in implantation [b]	35.6	48.3	9.8	1 / 8	
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies [b]	44.4	9 / 14	19.0	1 / 4	
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births [b,c]	40.7	6 / 14	9.5	1 / 4	
(Confidence Interval)	(27.6–55.0)		(1.2–30.4)		
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births [b,c]	42.3	6 / 14	2 / 19	1 / 3	
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	44.9	6 / 14	2 / 19	1 / 3	
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births [b]	26.5	3 / 14	1 / 19	1 / 3	
Percentage of cancellations [b]	3.7	0 / 14	9.5	1 / 4	
Average number of embryos transferred	2.1	2.1	2.7	2.7	
Percentage of pregnancies with twins [b]	45.8	5 / 9	0 / 4	0 / 1	
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more [b]	4.2	0 / 9	1 / 4	0 / 1	
Percentage of live births having multiple infants [b,c]	40.9	3 / 6	1 / 2	0 / 1	
Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of transfers	15	5	2	2	0
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	3 / 15	0 / 5	0 / 2	2 / 2	
Average number of embryos transferred	2.0	2.0	1.5	3.0	

Donor Eggs	All Ages Combined [e] Fresh Embryos	Frozen Embryos
Number of transfers	14	6
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	10 / 14	2 / 6
Average number of embryos transferred	1.9	1.8

CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

Current Name: Piedmont Reproductive Endocrinology Group, PA

Donor egg?	Yes	Gestational carriers?	Yes	SART member?	Yes
Donor embryo?	Yes	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	Yes
Single women?	Yes			*(See Appendix C for details.)*	

[a] Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2009 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.
[b] When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.
[c] A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.
[d] Clinic-specific outcome rates for women older than 44 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs are not included because of small numbers. Readers are urged to review national outcomes for these age groups (see pages 30, 48 & 57).
[e] All ages (including ages >44) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.

UNIVERSITY MEDICAL GROUP, DEPARTMENT OF OBSTETRICS AND GYNECOLOGY REPRODUCTIVE ENDOCRINOLOGY AND INFERTILITY GREENVILLE, SOUTH CAROLINA

A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 81–90.

2009 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART [a]

IVF	100%	**Procedural Factors:**	
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	67%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	0%
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	0%
		Used PGD	6%
		With eSET	2%

Patient Diagnosis

Tubal factor	11%	Other factor	4%
Ovulatory dysfunction	17%	Unknown factor	2%
Diminished ovarian reserve	8%	*Multiple Factors:*	
Endometriosis	16%	Female factors only	18%
Uterine factor	0%	Female & male factors	13%
Male factor	13%		

2009 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by Bruce A. Lessey, MD, PhD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman <35	35–37	38–40	41–42	43–44 [d]
Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of cycles	32	11	22	2	0
Percentage of embryos transferred resulting in implantation [b]	28.6	30.4	14.6	1 / 7	
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies [b]	34.4	4 / 11	36.4	1 / 2	
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births [b,c]	31.3	3 / 11	22.7	1 / 2	
(Confidence Interval)	(16.1–50.0)		(7.8–45.4)		
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births [b,c]	37.0	3 / 10	5 / 19	1 / 2	
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	41.7	3 / 10	5 / 18	1 / 2	
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births [b]	29.2	2 / 10	5 / 18	1 / 2	
Percentage of cancellations [b]	15.6	1 / 11	13.6	0 / 2	
Average number of embryos transferred	2.0	2.3	2.7	3.5	
Percentage of pregnancies with twins [b]	3 / 11	1 / 4	0 / 8	0 / 1	
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more [b]	0 / 11	1 / 4	0 / 8	0 / 1	
Percentage of live births having multiple infants [b,c]	3 / 10	1 / 3	0 / 5	0 / 1	
Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of transfers	12	3	3	0	0
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	5 / 12	1 / 3	1 / 3		
Average number of embryos transferred	1.8	2.7	3.3		

Donor Eggs	All Ages Combined [e] Fresh Embryos	Frozen Embryos
Number of transfers	6	4
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	3 / 6	0 / 4
Average number of embryos transferred	2.2	1.8

CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

Current Name: University Medical Group, Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology, Reproductive Endocrinology and Infertility

Donor egg?	Yes	Gestational carriers?	Yes	SART member?	Yes
Donor embryo?	No	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	Yes
Single women?	Yes			*(See Appendix C for details.)*	

[a] Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2009 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.
[b] When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.
[c] A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.
[d] Clinic-specific outcome rates for women older than 44 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs are not included because of small numbers. Readers are urged to review national outcomes for these age groups (see pages 30, 48 & 57).
[e] All ages (including ages >44) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.

SOUTHEASTERN FERTILITY CENTER, PA
MOUNT PLEASANT, SOUTH CAROLINA

A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 81–90.

2009 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART [a]

IVF	100%	**Procedural Factors:**	
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	69%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	0%
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	<1%
		Used PGD	0%
		With eSET	2%

Patient Diagnosis

Tubal factor	8%	Other factor	<1%
Ovulatory dysfunction	9%	Unknown factor	13%
Diminished ovarian reserve	23%	*Multiple Factors:*	
Endometriosis	5%	Female factors only	10%
Uterine factor	<1%	Female & male factors	14%
Male factor	16%		

2009 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by Grant W. Patton, MD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman <35	35–37	38–40	41–42	43–44 [d]
Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of cycles	142	45	47	10	3
Percentage of embryos transferred resulting in implantation [b]	40.0	32.9	17.6	12.5	2 / 8
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies [b]	48.6	42.2	29.8	4 / 10	2 / 3
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births [b,c]	40.8	37.8	25.5	3 / 10	2 / 3
(Confidence Interval)	(32.7–49.4)	(23.8–53.5)	(13.9–40.3)		
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births [b,c]	44.3	41.5	30.8	3 / 9	2 / 3
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	46.8	41.5	31.6	3 / 8	2 / 3
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births [b]	23.4	31.7	31.6	3 / 8	2 / 3
Percentage of cancellations [b]	7.7	8.9	17.0	1 / 10	0 / 3
Average number of embryos transferred	2.0	2.0	2.2	3.0	2.7
Percentage of pregnancies with twins [b]	47.8	9 / 19	1 / 14	0 / 4	0 / 2
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more [b]	1.4	0 / 19	0 / 14	0 / 4	0 / 2
Percentage of live births having multiple infants [b,c]	50.0	4 / 17	0 / 12	0 / 3	0 / 2
Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of transfers	54	21	15	4	0
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	44.4	28.6	5 / 15	1 / 4	
Average number of embryos transferred	1.8	1.9	1.9	1.3	

Donor Eggs	All Ages Combined [e] Fresh Embryos	Frozen Embryos
Number of transfers	54	28
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	68.5	46.4
Average number of embryos transferred	2.0	1.8

CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

Current Name: Southeastern Fertility Center, PA

Donor egg?	Yes	Gestational carriers?	Yes	SART member?	Yes
Donor embryo?	Yes	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	Yes
Single women?	Yes			*(See Appendix C for details.)*	

[a] Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2009 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.
[b] When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.
[c] A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.
[d] Clinic-specific outcome rates for women older than 44 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs are not included because of small numbers. Readers are urged to review national outcomes for these age groups (see pages 30, 48 & 57).
[e] All ages (including ages >44) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.

ADVANCED FERTILITY & REPRODUCTIVE ENDOCRINOLOGY
WEST COLUMBIA, SOUTH CAROLINA

A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 81–90.

2009 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART [a]

IVF	100%	**Procedural Factors:**	
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	93%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	<1%
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	2%
		Used PGD	1%
		With eSET	<1%

Patient Diagnosis

Tubal factor	9%	Other factor	2%
Ovulatory dysfunction	16%	Unknown factor	3%
Diminished ovarian reserve	21%	*Multiple Factors:*	
Endometriosis	3%	Female factors only	6%
Uterine factor	0%	Female & male factors	21%
Male factor	18%		

2009 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by Gail F. Whitman-Elia, MD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman <35	35–37	38–40	41–42	43–44 [d]
Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of cycles	84	50	42	12	3
Percentage of embryos transferred resulting in implantation [b]	34.7	33.7	21.7	0.0	0 / 1
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies [b]	50.0	44.0	26.2	0 / 12	0 / 3
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births [b,c]	44.0	42.0	23.8	0 / 12	0 / 3
(Confidence Interval)	(33.2–55.3)	(28.2–56.8)	(12.1–39.5)		
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births [b,c]	44.0	43.8	26.3	0 / 9	0 / 1
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	50.0	56.8	38.5	0 / 7	0 / 1
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births [b]	41.9	40.5	26.9	0 / 7	0 / 1
Percentage of cancellations [b]	0.0	4.0	9.5	3 / 12	2 / 3
Average number of embryos transferred	1.9	2.5	2.7	3.1	1.0
Percentage of pregnancies with twins [b]	23.8	22.7	4 / 11		
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more [b]	0.0	9.1	0 / 11		
Percentage of live births having multiple infants [b,c]	16.2	28.6	3 / 10		
Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of transfers	25	10	6	2	1
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	24.0	2 / 10	1 / 6	1 / 2	0 / 1
Average number of embryos transferred	2.3	1.8	1.8	3.5	2.0

	All Ages Combined [e]	
Donor Eggs	**Fresh Embryos**	**Frozen Embryos**
Number of transfers	14	12
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	6 / 14	3 / 12
Average number of embryos transferred	2.0	2.3

CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

Current Name: Advanced Fertility & Reproductive Endocrinology

Donor egg?	Yes	Gestational carriers?	Yes	SART member?	Yes
Donor embryo?	Yes	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	Yes
Single women?	Yes			*(See Appendix C for details.)*	

[a] Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2009 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.
[b] When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.
[c] A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.
[d] Clinic-specific outcome rates for women older than 44 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs are not included because of small numbers. Readers are urged to review national outcomes for these age groups (see pages 30, 48 & 57).
[e] All ages (including ages >44) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.

SANFORD WOMEN'S HEALTH
SIOUX FALLS, SOUTH DAKOTA

A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 81–90.

2009 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART [a]

IVF	100%	**Procedural Factors:**	
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	57%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	0%
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	2%
		Used PGD	0%
		With eSET	10%

Patient Diagnosis

Tubal factor	5%	Other factor	6%
Ovulatory dysfunction	4%	Unknown factor	6%
Diminished ovarian reserve	3%	*Multiple Factors:*	
Endometriosis	4%	Female factors only	23%
Uterine factor	0%	Female & male factors	31%
Male factor	18%		

2009 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by Keith A. Hansen, MD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman <35	35–37	38–40	41–42	43–44 [d]
Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of cycles	104	28	30	2	5
Percentage of embryos transferred resulting in implantation [b]	29.1	9.3	11.7	0 / 6	0 / 1
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies [b]	41.3	14.3	26.7	0 / 2	0 / 5
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births [b,c]	40.4	10.7	23.3	0 / 2	0 / 5
(Confidence Interval)	(30.9–50.5)	(2.3–28.2)	(9.9–42.3)		
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births [b,c]	42.0	12.5	23.3	0 / 2	0 / 1
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	42.4	12.5	23.3	0 / 2	0 / 1
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births [b]	29.3	12.5	20.0	0 / 2	0 / 1
Percentage of cancellations [b]	3.8	14.3	0.0	0 / 2	4 / 5
Average number of embryos transferred	2.0	2.3	2.6	3.0	1.0
Percentage of pregnancies with twins [b]	32.6	1 / 4	1 / 8		
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more [b]	0.0	0 / 4	0 / 8		
Percentage of live births having multiple infants [b,c]	31.0	0 / 3	1 / 7		
Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of transfers	37	6	8	2	0
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	18.9	2 / 6	2 / 8	0 / 2	
Average number of embryos transferred	2.1	2.5	2.4	1.5	

Donor Eggs	All Ages Combined [e] Fresh Embryos	Frozen Embryos
Number of transfers	2	0
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	1 / 2	
Average number of embryos transferred	2.0	

CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

Current Name: Sanford Women's Health

Donor egg?	Yes	Gestational carriers?	Yes	SART member?	Yes
Donor embryo?	Yes	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	Yes
Single women?	Yes			*(See Appendix C for details.)*	

[a] Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2009 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.
[b] When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.
[c] A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.
[d] Clinic-specific outcome rates for women older than 44 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs are not included because of small numbers. Readers are urged to review national outcomes for these age groups (see pages 30, 48 & 57).
[e] All ages (including ages >44) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.

FERTILITY CENTER, LLC
CHATTANOOGA, TENNESSEE

A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 81–90.

2009 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART [a]

IVF	100%	**Procedural Factors:**	
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	82%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	0%
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	3%
		Used PGD	2%
		With eSET	10%

Patient Diagnosis

Tubal factor	11%	Other factor	6%
Ovulatory dysfunction	4%	Unknown factor	5%
Diminished ovarian reserve	12%	*Multiple Factors:*	
Endometriosis	6%	Female factors only	11%
Uterine factor	0%	Female & male factors	10%
Male factor	34%		

2009 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by Barry W. Donesky, MD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman <35	35–37	38–40	41–42	43–44 [d]
Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of cycles	43	10	7	5	2
Percentage of embryos transferred resulting in implantation [b]	38.2	4 / 12	6 / 11	1 / 6	0 / 3
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies [b]	41.9	4 / 10	3 / 7	2 / 5	0 / 2
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births [b,c]	39.5	3 / 10	2 / 7	1 / 5	0 / 2
(Confidence Interval)	(25.0–55.6)				
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births [b,c]	43.6	3 / 7	2 / 6	1 / 3	0 / 2
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	48.6	3 / 7	2 / 6	1 / 3	0 / 1
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births [b]	28.6	3 / 7	0 / 6	1 / 3	0 / 1
Percentage of cancellations [b]	9.3	3 / 10	1 / 7	2 / 5	0 / 2
Average number of embryos transferred	1.9	1.7	1.8	2.0	3.0
Percentage of pregnancies with twins [b]	7 / 18	0 / 4	3 / 3	0 / 2	
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more [b]	1 / 18	0 / 4	0 / 3	0 / 2	
Percentage of live births having multiple infants [b,c]	7 / 17	0 / 3	2 / 2	0 / 1	
Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of transfers	26	4	8	1	0
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	34.6	1 / 4	3 / 8	0 / 1	
Average number of embryos transferred	2.0	2.3	1.9	3.0	

Donor Eggs	All Ages Combined [e] Fresh Embryos	Frozen Embryos
Number of transfers	12	18
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	8 / 12	9 / 18
Average number of embryos transferred	1.8	1.9

CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

Current Name: Fertility Center, LLC

Donor egg?	Yes	Gestational carriers?	Yes	SART member?	Yes
Donor embryo?	Yes	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	Yes
Single women?	Yes			*(See Appendix C for details.)*	

[a] Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2009 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.
[b] When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.
[c] A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.
[d] Clinic-specific outcome rates for women older than 44 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs are not included because of small numbers. Readers are urged to review national outcomes for these age groups (see pages 30, 48 & 57).
[e] All ages (including ages >44) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.

TENNESSEE REPRODUCTIVE MEDICINE
CHATTANOOGA, TENNESSEE

A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 81–90.

2009 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART [a]

IVF	100%	**Procedural Factors:**	
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	71%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	0%
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	0%
		Used PGD	0%
		With eSET	9%

Patient Diagnosis

Tubal factor	33%	Other factor	3%
Ovulatory dysfunction	9%	Unknown factor	3%
Diminished ovarian reserve	0%	*Multiple Factors:*	
Endometriosis	6%	Female factors only	18%
Uterine factor	0%	Female & male factors	21%
Male factor	6%		

2009 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by Ringland S. Murray, MD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman <35	35–37	38–40	41–42	43–44 [d]
Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of cycles	18	3	5	2	0
Percentage of embryos transferred resulting in implantation [b]	54.5	1 / 5	1 / 6	1 / 7	
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies [b]	15 / 18	1 / 3	1 / 5	1 / 2	
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births [b,c] (Confidence Interval)	13 / 18	1 / 3	1 / 5	0 / 2	
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births [b,c]	13 / 17	1 / 3	1 / 4	0 / 2	
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	13 / 17	1 / 2	1 / 3	0 / 2	
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births [b]	10 / 17	1 / 2	1 / 3	0 / 2	
Percentage of cancellations [b]	1 / 18	0 / 3	1 / 5	0 / 2	
Average number of embryos transferred	1.9	2.5	2.0	3.5	
Percentage of pregnancies with twins [b]	4 / 15	0 / 1	0 / 1	0 / 1	
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more [b]	0 / 15	0 / 1	0 / 1	0 / 1	
Percentage of live births having multiple infants [b,c]	3 / 13	0 / 1	0 / 1		
Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of transfers	0	3	0	1	0
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]		1 / 3		0 / 1	
Average number of embryos transferred		1.7		2.0	

Donor Eggs	All Ages Combined [e] Fresh Embryos	Frozen Embryos
Number of transfers	1	0
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	1 / 1	
Average number of embryos transferred	2.0	

CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

Current Name: Tennessee Reproductive Medicine

Donor egg?	Yes	Gestational carriers?	Yes	SART member?	No
Donor embryo?	Yes	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	Yes
Single women?	Yes			*(See Appendix C for details.)*	

[a] Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2009 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.
[b] When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.
[c] A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.
[d] Clinic-specific outcome rates for women older than 44 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs are not included because of small numbers. Readers are urged to review national outcomes for these age groups (see pages 30, 48 & 57).
[e] All ages (including ages >44) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.

CENTER FOR APPLIED REPRODUCTIVE SCIENCE
JOHNSON CITY, TENNESSEE

A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 81–90.

2009 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART [a]

IVF	100%	**Procedural Factors:**	
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	28%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	0%
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	0%
		Used PGD	0%
		With eSET	2%

Patient Diagnosis

Tubal factor	6%	Other factor	<1%
Ovulatory dysfunction	21%	Unknown factor	2%
Diminished ovarian reserve	9%	*Multiple Factors:*	
Endometriosis	3%	Female factors only	23%
Uterine factor	0%	Female & male factors	34%
Male factor	4%		

2009 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by Joseph L. Kennedy, III, MD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman <35	35–37	38–40	41–42	43–44 [d]
Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of cycles	66	29	16	2	2
Percentage of embryos transferred resulting in implantation [b]	40.8	39.4	1 / 6	0 / 3	0 / 2
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies [b]	39.4	31.0	1 / 16	0 / 2	0 / 2
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births [b,c]	36.4	27.6	1 / 16	0 / 2	0 / 2
(Confidence Interval)	(24.9–49.1)	(12.7–47.2)			
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births [b,c]	43.6	33.3	1 / 8	0 / 2	0 / 1
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	54.5	8 / 18	1 / 4	0 / 2	0 / 1
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births [b]	40.9	4 / 18	1 / 4	0 / 2	0 / 1
Percentage of cancellations [b]	16.7	17.2	8 / 16	0 / 2	1 / 2
Average number of embryos transferred	1.7	1.8	1.5	1.5	2.0
Percentage of pregnancies with twins [b]	23.1	5 / 9	0 / 1		
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more [b]	0.0	0 / 9	0 / 1		
Percentage of live births having multiple infants [b,c]	25.0	4 / 8	0 / 1		
Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of transfers	6	2	6	0	0
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	1 / 6	0 / 2	1 / 6		
Average number of embryos transferred	1.8	1.5	1.5		

Donor Eggs	All Ages Combined [e] Fresh Embryos	Frozen Embryos
Number of transfers	3	16
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	0 / 3	3 / 16
Average number of embryos transferred	1.7	1.8

CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

Current Name: Center for Applied Reproductive Science

Donor egg?	Yes	Gestational carriers?	No	SART member?	No
Donor embryo?	Yes	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	No
Single women?	Yes			*(See Appendix C for details.)*	

[a] Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2009 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.
[b] When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.
[c] A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.
[d] Clinic-specific outcome rates for women older than 44 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs are not included because of small numbers. Readers are urged to review national outcomes for these age groups (see pages 30, 48 & 57).
[e] All ages (including ages >44) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.

ETSU PHYSICIANS ASSOCIATES
JOHNSON CITY, TENNESSEE

A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 81–90.

2009 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART [a]

IVF	100%	**Procedural Factors:**	
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	77%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	0%
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	0%
		Used PGD	0%
		With eSET	0%

Patient Diagnosis

Tubal factor	13%	Other factor	3%
Ovulatory dysfunction	15%	Unknown factor	10%
Diminished ovarian reserve	3%	*Multiple Factors:*	
Endometriosis	8%	Female factors only	5%
Uterine factor	0%	Female & male factors	10%
Male factor	33%		

2009 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by Norman A. Assad, MD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman <35	35–37	38–40	41–42	43–44[d]
Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of cycles	23	4	7	0	0
Percentage of embryos transferred resulting in implantation[b]	25.7	2 / 9	2 / 13		
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies[b]	34.8	2 / 4	3 / 7		
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births[b,c]	21.7	0 / 4	2 / 7		
(Confidence Interval)	(7.5–43.7)				
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births[b,c]	5 / 18	0 / 4	2 / 6		
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births[b,c]	5 / 18	0 / 4	2 / 5		
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births[b]	5 / 18	0 / 4	2 / 5		
Percentage of cancellations[b]	21.7	0 / 4	1 / 7		
Average number of embryos transferred	1.9	2.3	2.6		
Percentage of pregnancies with twins[b]	2 / 8	1 / 2	0 / 3		
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more[b]	0 / 8	0 / 2	0 / 3		
Percentage of live births having multiple infants[b,c]	0 / 5		0 / 2		
Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of transfers	2	0	0	0	0
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births[b,c]	1 / 2				
Average number of embryos transferred	1.0				

Donor Eggs	All Ages Combined[e] Fresh Embryos	Frozen Embryos
Number of transfers	0	0
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births[b,c]		
Average number of embryos transferred		

CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

Current Name: Quillen Fertility and Women's Services

Donor egg?	Yes	Gestational carriers?	Yes	SART member?	Yes
Donor embryo?	No	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	Yes
Single women?	Yes			*(See Appendix C for details.)*	

[a] Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2009 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.
[b] When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.
[c] A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.
[d] Clinic-specific outcome rates for women older than 44 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs are not included because of small numbers. Readers are urged to review national outcomes for these age groups (see pages 30, 48 & 57).
[e] All ages (including ages >44) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.

EAST TENNESSEE IVF, FERTILITY, AND ANDROLOGY CENTER
KNOXVILLE, TENNESSEE

A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 81–90.

2009 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART [a]

IVF	100%	**Procedural Factors:**	
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	38%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	0%
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	0%
		Used PGD	0%
		With eSET	0%

Patient Diagnosis

Tubal factor	24%	Other factor	0%
Ovulatory dysfunction	10%	Unknown factor	0%
Diminished ovarian reserve	0%	*Multiple Factors:*	
Endometriosis	5%	Female factors only	29%
Uterine factor	0%	Female & male factors	19%
Male factor	14%		

2009 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by Gayla Harris, MD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman <35	35–37	38–40	41–42	43–44[d]
Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of cycles	16	4	0	1	0
Percentage of embryos transferred resulting in implantation [b]	28.1	2 / 10		0 / 3	
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies [b]	7 / 16	2 / 4		0 / 1	
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births [b,c] (Confidence Interval)	7 / 16	2 / 4		0 / 1	
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births [b,c]	7 / 16	2 / 4		0 / 1	
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	7 / 16	2 / 4		0 / 1	
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births [b]	5 / 16	2 / 4		0 / 1	
Percentage of cancellations [b]	0 / 16	0 / 4		0 / 1	
Average number of embryos transferred	2.0	2.5		3.0	
Percentage of pregnancies with twins [b]	2 / 7	0 / 2			
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more [b]	0 / 7	0 / 2			
Percentage of live births having multiple infants [b,c]	2 / 7	0 / 2			
Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of transfers	0	0	0	0	0
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]					
Average number of embryos transferred					

Donor Eggs	All Ages Combined [e] Fresh Embryos	Frozen Embryos
Number of transfers	0	0
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]		
Average number of embryos transferred		

CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

Current Name: East Tennessee IVF, Fertility, and Andrology Center

Donor egg?	Yes	Gestational carriers?	Yes	SART member?	Yes
Donor embryo?	Yes	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	No
Single women?	Yes			*(See Appendix C for details.)*	

[a] Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2009 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.
[b] When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.
[c] A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.
[d] Clinic-specific outcome rates for women older than 44 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs are not included because of small numbers. Readers are urged to review national outcomes for these age groups (see pages 30, 48 & 57).
[e] All ages (including ages >44) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.

SOUTHEASTERN FERTILITY CENTER
KNOXVILLE, TENNESSEE

A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 81–90.

2009 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART [a]

IVF	100%	**Procedural Factors:**	
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	75%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	0%
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	0%
		Used PGD	0%
		With eSET	0%

Patient Diagnosis

Tubal factor	1%	Other factor	9%
Ovulatory dysfunction	0%	Unknown factor	19%
Diminished ovarian reserve	7%	*Multiple Factors:*	
Endometriosis	11%	Female factors only	4%
Uterine factor	0%	Female & male factors	17%
Male factor	31%		

2009 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by Jeffrey A. Keenan, MD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman <35	35–37	38–40	41–42	43–44 [d]
Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of cycles	16	5	3	3	1
Percentage of embryos transferred resulting in implantation [b]	27.3	3 / 8	2 / 7	0 / 7	0 / 8
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies [b]	6 / 16	3 / 5	1 / 3	0 / 3	0 / 1
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births [b,c] (Confidence Interval)	6 / 16	1 / 5	1 / 3	0 / 3	0 / 1
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births [b,c]	6 / 16	1 / 4	1 / 3	0 / 2	0 / 1
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	6 / 15	1 / 4	1 / 3	0 / 2	0 / 1
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births [b]	4 / 15	1 / 4	0 / 3	0 / 2	0 / 1
Percentage of cancellations [b]	0 / 16	1 / 5	0 / 3	1 / 3	0 / 1
Average number of embryos transferred	2.2	2.0	2.3	3.5	8.0
Percentage of pregnancies with twins [b]	1 / 6	1 / 3	1 / 1		
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more [b]	1 / 6	0 / 3	0 / 1		
Percentage of live births having multiple infants [b,c]	2 / 6	0 / 1	1 / 1		
Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of transfers	8	5	1	1	2
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	1 / 8	0 / 5	0 / 1	0 / 1	0 / 2
Average number of embryos transferred	2.1	2.0	4.0	5.0	3.5

Donor Eggs	All Ages Combined [e] Fresh Embryos	Frozen Embryos
Number of transfers	1	95
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	0 / 1	47.4
Average number of embryos transferred	2.0	2.7

CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

Current Name: Southeastern Fertility Center

Donor egg?	Yes	Gestational carriers?	No	SART member?	No
Donor embryo?	Yes	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	No
Single women?	No			*(See Appendix C for details.)*	

[a] Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2009 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.
[b] When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.
[c] A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.
[d] Clinic-specific outcome rates for women older than 44 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs are not included because of small numbers. Readers are urged to review national outcomes for these age groups (see pages 30, 48 & 57).
[e] All ages (including ages >44) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.

KUTTEH KE FERTILITY ASSOCIATES OF MEMPHIS, PLLC
MEMPHIS, TENNESSEE

A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 81–90.

2009 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART [a]

IVF	100%	**Procedural Factors:**	
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	57%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	0%
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	0%
		Used PGD	1%
		With eSET	1%

Patient Diagnosis

Tubal factor	7%	Other factor	3%
Ovulatory dysfunction	9%	Unknown factor	4%
Diminished ovarian reserve	10%	*Multiple Factors:*	
Endometriosis	5%	Female factors only	23%
Uterine factor	0%	Female & male factors	28%
Male factor	10%		

2009 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by Raymond W. Ke, MD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman <35	35–37	38–40	41–42	43–44[d]
Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of cycles	109	42	18	4	2
Percentage of embryos transferred resulting in implantation[b]	36.1	29.3	23.1	0 / 5	0 / 3
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies[b]	44.0	45.2	6 / 18	0 / 4	0 / 2
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births[b,c]	39.4	40.5	4 / 18	0 / 4	0 / 2
(Confidence Interval)	(30.2–49.3)	(25.6–56.7)			
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births[b,c]	43.0	44.7	4 / 17	0 / 4	0 / 2
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births[b,c]	47.8	48.6	4 / 16	0 / 3	0 / 1
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births[b]	31.1	40.0	3 / 16	0 / 3	0 / 1
Percentage of cancellations[b]	8.3	9.5	1 / 18	0 / 4	0 / 2
Average number of embryos transferred	2.0	2.1	2.4	1.7	3.0
Percentage of pregnancies with twins[b]	37.5	3 / 19	3 / 6		
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more[b]	0.0	0 / 19	0 / 6		
Percentage of live births having multiple infants[b,c]	34.9	3 / 17	1 / 4		
Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of transfers	34	6	3	1	0
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births[b,c]	35.3	2 / 6	0 / 3	0 / 1	
Average number of embryos transferred	1.9	1.5	2.0	2.0	

Donor Eggs	All Ages Combined[e] Fresh Embryos	Frozen Embryos
Number of transfers	22	7
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births[b,c]	63.6	5 / 7
Average number of embryos transferred	1.8	2.1

CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

Current Name: Kutteh Ke Fertility Associates of Memphis, PLLC

Donor egg?	Yes	Gestational carriers?	Yes	SART member?	Yes
Donor embryo?	Yes	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	Yes
Single women?	No			*(See Appendix C for details.)*	

[a] Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2009 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.
[b] When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.
[c] A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.
[d] Clinic-specific outcome rates for women older than 44 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs are not included because of small numbers. Readers are urged to review national outcomes for these age groups (see pages 30, 48 & 57).
[e] All ages (including ages >44) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.

THE CENTER FOR REPRODUCTIVE HEALTH
NASHVILLE, TENNESSEE

A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 81–90.

2009 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART [a]

IVF	100%	**Procedural Factors:**	
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	82%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	0%
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	0%
		Used PGD	0%
		With eSET	2%

Patient Diagnosis

Tubal factor	<1%	Other factor	0%
Ovulatory dysfunction	6%	Unknown factor	5%
Diminished ovarian reserve	8%	*Multiple Factors:*	
Endometriosis	0%	Female factors only	11%
Uterine factor	0%	Female & male factors	62%
Male factor	8%		

2009 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by Jaime M. Vasquez, MD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman <35	35–37	38–40	41–42	43–44[d]
Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of cycles	46	14	8	2	1
Percentage of embryos transferred resulting in implantation[b]	14.9	0.0	1 / 12	0 / 4	0 / 4
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies[b]	26.1	0 / 14	1 / 8	0 / 2	0 / 1
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births[b,c]	19.6	0 / 14	1 / 8	0 / 2	0 / 1
(Confidence Interval)	(9.4–33.9)				
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births[b,c]	22.0	0 / 12	1 / 5	0 / 1	0 / 1
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births[b,c]	22.5	0 / 12	1 / 4	0 / 1	0 / 1
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births[b]	15.0	0 / 12	1 / 4	0 / 1	0 / 1
Percentage of cancellations[b]	10.9	2 / 14	3 / 8	1 / 2	0 / 1
Average number of embryos transferred	2.4	2.7	3.0	4.0	4.0
Percentage of pregnancies with twins[b]	4 / 12		0 / 1		
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more[b]	0 / 12		0 / 1		
Percentage of live births having multiple infants[b,c]	3 / 9		0 / 1		
Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of transfers	6	2	0	0	0
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births[b,c]	0 / 6	0 / 2			
Average number of embryos transferred	3.2	2.5			

Donor Eggs	All Ages Combined[e] Fresh Embryos	Frozen Embryos
Number of transfers	14	4
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births[b,c]	7 / 14	1 / 4
Average number of embryos transferred	2.6	3.0

CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

Current Name: The Center for Reproductive Health

Donor egg?	Yes	Gestational carriers?	Yes	SART member?	Yes
Donor embryo?	Yes	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	Yes
Single women?	Yes			*(See Appendix C for details.)*	

[a] Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2009 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.
[b] When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.
[c] A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.
[d] Clinic-specific outcome rates for women older than 44 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs are not included because of small numbers. Readers are urged to review national outcomes for these age groups (see pages 30, 48 & 57).
[e] All ages (including ages >44) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.

NASHVILLE FERTILITY CENTER
NASHVILLE, TENNESSEE

A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 81–90.

2009 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART [a]

IVF	100%	**Procedural Factors:**	
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	71%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	0%
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	1%
		Used PGD	16%
		With eSET	9%

Patient Diagnosis

Tubal factor	3%	Other factor	3%
Ovulatory dysfunction	5%	Unknown factor	3%
Diminished ovarian reserve	5%	*Multiple Factors:*	
Endometriosis	4%	Female factors only	25%
Uterine factor	1%	Female & male factors	36%
Male factor	15%		

2009 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by George A. Hill, MD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman <35	35–37	38–40	41–42	43–44 [d]
Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of cycles	145	63	44	23	0
Percentage of embryos transferred resulting in implantation [b]	46.8	29.5	18.6	9.3	
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies [b]	52.4	41.3	34.1	21.7	
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births [b,c]	45.5	36.5	27.3	13.0	
(Confidence Interval)	(37.2–54.0)	(24.7–49.6)	(15.0–42.8)	(2.8–33.6)	
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births [b,c]	52.8	44.2	31.6	3 / 15	
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	55.5	45.1	33.3	3 / 15	
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births [b]	36.1	29.4	25.0	3 / 15	
Percentage of cancellations [b]	13.8	17.5	13.6	34.8	
Average number of embryos transferred	1.9	2.4	2.8	2.9	
Percentage of pregnancies with twins [b]	31.6	30.8	6 / 15	0 / 5	
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more [b]	5.3	3.8	0 / 15	0 / 5	
Percentage of live births having multiple infants [b,c]	34.8	34.8	3 / 12	0 / 3	
Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of transfers	60	24	22	3	0
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	40.0	25.0	36.4	1 / 3	
Average number of embryos transferred	2.0	2.1	2.0	1.7	

Donor Eggs	All Ages Combined [e] Fresh Embryos	Frozen Embryos
Number of transfers	19	37
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	8 / 19	40.5
Average number of embryos transferred	1.9	2.2

CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

Current Name: Nashville Fertility Center

Donor egg?	Yes	Gestational carriers?	Yes	SART member?	Yes
Donor embryo?	Yes	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	Yes
Single women?	Yes			*(See Appendix C for details.)*	

[a] Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2009 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.
[b] When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.
[c] A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.
[d] Clinic-specific outcome rates for women older than 44 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs are not included because of small numbers. Readers are urged to review national outcomes for these age groups (see pages 30, 48 & 57).
[e] All ages (including ages >44) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.

TEXAS FERTILITY CENTER
DRS. VAUGHN, SILVERBERG, HANSARD AND BURGER
AUSTIN, TEXAS

A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 81–90.

2009 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART [a]

IVF	100%	**Procedural Factors:**	
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	55%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	<1%
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	<1%
		Used PGD	3%
		With eSET	2%

Patient Diagnosis

Tubal factor	5%	Other factor	11%
Ovulatory dysfunction	5%	Unknown factor	7%
Diminished ovarian reserve	5%	*Multiple Factors:*	
Endometriosis	13%	Female factors only	19%
Uterine factor	3%	Female & male factors	15%
Male factor	16%		

2009 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by Kaylen Silverberg, MD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman <35	35–37	38–40	41–42	43–44 [d]
Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of cycles	219	115	109	40	14
Percentage of embryos transferred resulting in implantation [b]	37.2	34.4	19.0	9.9	3.3
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies [b]	47.0	50.4	34.9	30.0	3 / 14
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births [b,c]	41.6	45.2	29.4	17.5	1 / 14
(Confidence Interval)	(35.0–48.4)	(35.9–54.8)	(21.0–38.8)	(7.3–32.8)	
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births [b,c]	45.5	50.5	33.3	20.6	1 / 13
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	46.9	50.5	34.8	21.2	1 / 13
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births [b]	27.8	30.1	26.1	15.2	1 / 13
Percentage of cancellations [b]	8.7	10.4	11.9	15.0	1 / 14
Average number of embryos transferred	2.0	2.5	2.8	3.7	4.6
Percentage of pregnancies with twins [b]	35.0	37.9	23.7	1 / 12	0 / 3
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more [b]	5.8	8.6	7.9	1 / 12	0 / 3
Percentage of live births having multiple infants [b,c]	40.7	40.4	25.0	2 / 7	0 / 1
Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of transfers	65	30	27	6	6
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	30.8	36.7	22.2	2 / 6	0 / 6
Average number of embryos transferred	1.6	1.7	1.7	1.3	2.0

Donor Eggs	All Ages Combined [e] Fresh Embryos	Frozen Embryos
Number of transfers	70	22
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	58.6	9.1
Average number of embryos transferred	2.1	1.6

CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

Current Name: Texas Fertility Center, Drs. Vaughn, Silverberg, Hansard and Burger

Donor egg?	Yes	Gestational carriers?	Yes	SART member?	Yes
Donor embryo?	No	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	Yes
Single women?	Yes			*(See Appendix C for details.)*	

[a] Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2009 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.
[b] When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.
[c] A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.
[d] Clinic-specific outcome rates for women older than 44 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs are not included because of small numbers. Readers are urged to review national outcomes for these age groups (see pages 30, 48 & 57).
[e] All ages (including ages >44) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.

JEFFREY YOUNGKIN, MD
AUSTIN FERTILITY CENTER
AUSTIN, TEXAS

A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 81–90.

2009 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART [a]

IVF	100%	**Procedural Factors:**	
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	39%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	0%
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	0%
		Used PGD	0%
		With eSET	0%

Patient Diagnosis

Tubal factor	33%	Other factor	0%
Ovulatory dysfunction	6%	Unknown factor	17%
Diminished ovarian reserve	0%	*Multiple Factors:*	
Endometriosis	11%	Female factors only	17%
Uterine factor	6%	Female & male factors	0%
Male factor	11%		

2009 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by Jeffrey T. Youngkin, MD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman <35	35–37	38–40	41–42	43–44 [d]
Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of cycles	5	5	3	0	0
Percentage of embryos transferred resulting in implantation [b]	4 / 9	2 / 13	2 / 8		
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies [b]	2 / 5	1 / 5	1 / 3		
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births [b,c] (Confidence Interval)	2 / 5	1 / 5	1 / 3		
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births [b,c]	2 / 4	1 / 5	1 / 3		
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	2 / 4	1 / 5	1 / 3		
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births [b]	1 / 4	0 / 5	0 / 3		
Percentage of cancellations [b]	1 / 5	0 / 5	0 / 3		
Average number of embryos transferred	2.3	2.6	2.7		
Percentage of pregnancies with twins [b]	2 / 2	1 / 1	1 / 1		
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more [b]	0 / 2	0 / 1	0 / 1		
Percentage of live births having multiple infants [b,c]	1 / 2	1 / 1	1 / 1		
Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of transfers	2	1	0	0	2
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	0 / 2	0 / 1			0 / 2
Average number of embryos transferred	2.0	2.0			2.0

Donor Eggs	All Ages Combined [e] Fresh Embryos	Frozen Embryos
Number of transfers	0	0
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]		
Average number of embryos transferred		

CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

Current Name: Jeffrey Youngkin, MD, Austin Fertility Center

Donor egg?	No	Gestational carriers?	No	SART member?	Yes
Donor embryo?	No	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	Yes
Single women?	No			*(See Appendix C for details.)*	

[a] Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2009 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.
[b] When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.
[c] A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.
[d] Clinic-specific outcome rates for women older than 44 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs are not included because of small numbers. Readers are urged to review national outcomes for these age groups (see pages 30, 48 & 57).
[e] All ages (including ages >44) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.

CENTER FOR ASSISTED REPRODUCTION
BEDFORD, TEXAS

A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 81–90.

2009 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART [a]

IVF	100%	**Procedural Factors:**	
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	62%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	<1%
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	<1%
		Used PGD	<1%
		With eSET	5%

Patient Diagnosis

Tubal factor	9%	Other factor	19%
Ovulatory dysfunction	11%	Unknown factor	8%
Diminished ovarian reserve	4%	*Multiple Factors:*	
Endometriosis	2%	Female factors only	6%
Uterine factor	<1%	Female & male factors	14%
Male factor	26%		

2009 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by Kevin J. Doody, MD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman <35	35–37	38–40	41–42	43–44 [d]
Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of cycles	159	66	60	28	9
Percentage of embryos transferred resulting in implantation [b]	45.4	32.7	24.5	10.3	2 / 10
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies [b]	54.7	42.4	31.7	17.9	1 / 9
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births [b,c]	49.7	36.4	25.0	10.7	1 / 9
(Confidence Interval)	(41.7–57.7)	(24.9–49.1)	(14.7–37.9)	(2.3–28.2)	
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births [b,c]	51.0	40.0	27.8	13.6	1 / 8
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	53.0	41.4	30.0	13.6	1 / 6
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births [b]	28.9	31.0	20.0	13.6	0 / 6
Percentage of cancellations [b]	2.5	9.1	10.0	21.4	1 / 9
Average number of embryos transferred	1.9	1.9	2.1	2.6	1.7
Percentage of pregnancies with twins [b]	44.8	32.1	7 / 19	1 / 5	1 / 1
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more [b]	2.3	0.0	0 / 19	0 / 5	0 / 1
Percentage of live births having multiple infants [b,c]	45.6	25.0	5 / 15	0 / 3	1 / 1
Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of transfers	57	36	25	9	1
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	54.4	41.7	40.0	5 / 9	1 / 1
Average number of embryos transferred	2.0	1.8	2.2	2.2	2.0

Donor Eggs	All Ages Combined [e] Fresh Embryos	Frozen Embryos
Number of transfers	30	55
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	70.0	49.1
Average number of embryos transferred	1.9	1.9

CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

Current Name: Center for Assisted Reproduction

Donor egg?	Yes	Gestational carriers?	Yes	SART member?	Yes
Donor embryo?	Yes	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	Yes
Single women?	Yes			*(See Appendix C for details.)*	

[a] Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2009 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.
[b] When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.
[c] A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.
[d] Clinic-specific outcome rates for women older than 44 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs are not included because of small numbers. Readers are urged to review national outcomes for these age groups (see pages 30, 48 & 57).
[e] All ages (including ages >44) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.

TRINITY INVITRO FERTILIZATION PROGRAM
CARROLLTON, TEXAS

A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 81–90.

2009 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART [a]

IVF	100%	**Procedural Factors:**	
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	17%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	0%
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	0%
		Used PGD	0%
		With eSET	

Patient Diagnosis

Tubal factor	0%	Other factor	7%
Ovulatory dysfunction	0%	Unknown factor	0%
Diminished ovarian reserve	0%	*Multiple Factors:*	
Endometriosis	7%	Female factors only	21%
Uterine factor	0%	Female & male factors	64%
Male factor	0%		

2009 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by W. F. Howard, MD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman <35	35–37	38–40	41–42	43–44[d]
Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of cycles	1	2	3	0	0
Percentage of embryos transferred resulting in implantation[b]					
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies[b]	0 / 1	0 / 2	0 / 3		
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births[b,c] (Confidence Interval)	0 / 1	0 / 2	0 / 3		
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births[b,c]		0 / 1			
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births[b,c]					
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births[b]					
Percentage of cancellations[b]	1 / 1	1 / 2	3 / 3		
Average number of embryos transferred					
Percentage of pregnancies with twins[b]					
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more[b]					
Percentage of live births having multiple infants[b,c]					
Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of transfers	0	1	1	0	0
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births[b,c]		0 / 1	0 / 1		
Average number of embryos transferred		1.0	1.0		

Donor Eggs	All Ages Combined[e] Fresh Embryos	Frozen Embryos
Number of transfers	0	1
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births[b,c]		0 / 1
Average number of embryos transferred		2.0

CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

Current Name: Trinity InVitro Fertilization Program

Donor egg?	No	Gestational carriers?	Yes	SART member?	Yes
Donor embryo?	No	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	Yes
Single women?	Yes			*(See Appendix C for details.)*	

[a] Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2009 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.
[b] When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.
[c] A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.
[d] Clinic-specific outcome rates for women older than 44 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs are not included because of small numbers. Readers are urged to review national outcomes for these age groups (see pages 30, 48 & 57).
[e] All ages (including ages >44) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.

DALLAS-FORT WORTH FERTILITY ASSOCIATES
DALLAS, TEXAS

A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 81–90.

2009 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART [a]

IVF	100%	**Procedural Factors:**	
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	33%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	0%
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	1%
		Used PGD	<1%
		With eSET	5%

Patient Diagnosis

Tubal factor	3%	Other factor	1%
Ovulatory dysfunction	9%	Unknown factor	3%
Diminished ovarian reserve	18%	*Multiple Factors:*	
Endometriosis	3%	Female factors only	21%
Uterine factor	1%	Female & male factors	31%
Male factor	10%		

2009 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by Samuel J. Chantilis, MD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman <35	35–37	38–40	41–42	43–44[d]
Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of cycles	196	120	75	41	10
Percentage of embryos transferred resulting in implantation[b]	42.9	26.5	22.6	11.7	0 / 13
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies[b]	50.5	36.7	32.0	22.0	0 / 10
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births[b,c]	45.9	30.8	25.3	14.6	0 / 10
(Confidence Interval)	(38.8–53.2)	(22.7–39.9)	(16.0–36.7)	(5.6–29.2)	
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births[b,c]	51.1	35.6	31.7	20.7	0 / 5
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births[b,c]	53.3	38.9	32.8	20.7	0 / 4
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births[b]	30.8	26.3	22.4	10.3	0 / 4
Percentage of cancellations[b]	10.2	13.3	20.0	29.3	5 / 10
Average number of embryos transferred	2.0	2.3	2.7	3.2	3.3
Percentage of pregnancies with twins[b]	42.4	29.5	16.7	4 / 9	
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more[b]	3.0	2.3	20.8	0 / 9	
Percentage of live births having multiple infants[b,c]	42.2	32.4	6 / 19	3 / 6	
Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of transfers	25	17	10	2	1
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births[b,c]	48.0	7 / 17	1 / 10	0 / 2	0 / 1
Average number of embryos transferred	1.6	1.2	1.5	1.5	1.0

Donor Eggs	All Ages Combined[e] Fresh Embryos	Frozen Embryos
Number of transfers	49	18
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births[b,c]	69.4	8 / 18
Average number of embryos transferred	1.8	1.4

CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

Current Name: Dallas-Fort Worth Fertility Associates

Donor egg?	Yes	Gestational carriers?	Yes	SART member?	Yes
Donor embryo?	Yes	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	Yes
Single women?	No			*(See Appendix C for details.)*	

[a] Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2009 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.
[b] When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.
[c] A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.
[d] Clinic-specific outcome rates for women older than 44 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs are not included because of small numbers. Readers are urged to review national outcomes for these age groups (see pages 30, 48 & 57).
[e] All ages (including ages >44) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.

FERTILITY AND ADVANCED REPRODUCTIVE MEDICINE
DALLAS, TEXAS

A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 81–90.

2009 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART [a]

IVF	100%	**Procedural Factors:**	
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	48%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	0%
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	0%
		Used PGD	0%
		With eSET	3%

Patient Diagnosis

Tubal factor	10%	Other factor	0%
Ovulatory dysfunction	16%	Unknown factor	13%
Diminished ovarian reserve	18%	*Multiple Factors:*	
Endometriosis	6%	Female factors only	2%
Uterine factor	2%	Female & male factors	15%
Male factor	18%		

2009 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by Karen Bradshaw, MD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman <35	35–37	38–40	41–42	43–44 [d]
Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of cycles	26	13	6	5	0
Percentage of embryos transferred resulting in implantation [b]	35.7	3 / 17	4 / 11	2 / 9	
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies [b]	42.3	2 / 13	2 / 6	1 / 5	
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births [b,c]	34.6	2 / 13	2 / 6	1 / 5	
(Confidence Interval)	(17.2–55.7)				
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births [b,c]	37.5	2 / 9	2 / 5	1 / 4	
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	40.9	2 / 8	2 / 5	1 / 3	
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births [b]	22.7	1 / 8	0 / 5	0 / 3	
Percentage of cancellations [b]	7.7	4 / 13	1 / 6	1 / 5	
Average number of embryos transferred	1.9	2.1	2.2	3.0	
Percentage of pregnancies with twins [b]	3 / 11	1 / 2	2 / 2	1 / 1	
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more [b]	1 / 11	0 / 2	0 / 2	0 / 1	
Percentage of live births having multiple infants [b,c]	4 / 9	1 / 2	2 / 2	1 / 1	
Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of transfers	13	1	0	1	0
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	5 / 13	0 / 1		0 / 1	
Average number of embryos transferred	2.1	2.0		3.0	

Donor Eggs	All Ages Combined [e] Fresh Embryos	Frozen Embryos
Number of transfers	0	2
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]		0 / 2
Average number of embryos transferred		2.0

CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

Current Name: Fertility and Advanced Reproductive Medicine

Donor egg?	No	Gestational carriers?	No	SART member?	No
Donor embryo?	No	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	No
Single women?	Yes			*(See Appendix C for details.)*	

[a] Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2009 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.
[b] When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.
[c] A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.
[d] Clinic-specific outcome rates for women older than 44 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs are not included because of small numbers. Readers are urged to review national outcomes for these age groups (see pages 30, 48 & 57).
[e] All ages (including ages >44) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.

FERTILITY SPECIALISTS OF DALLAS, PA
DALLAS, TEXAS

A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 81–90.

2009 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART [a]

IVF	100%	**Procedural Factors:**	
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	29%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	0%
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	<1%
		Used PGD	0%
		With eSET	3%

Patient Diagnosis

Tubal factor	15%	Other factor	<1%
Ovulatory dysfunction	10%	Unknown factor	9%
Diminished ovarian reserve	12%	*Multiple Factors:*	
Endometriosis	<1%	Female factors only	21%
Uterine factor	0%	Female & male factors	16%
Male factor	16%		

2009 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by Jerald S. Goldstein, MD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman <35	35–37	38–40	41–42	43–44[d]
Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of cycles	58	17	15	8	3
Percentage of embryos transferred resulting in implantation[b]	50.5	52.9	32.4	13.0	0 / 2
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies[b]	56.9	10 / 17	9 / 15	4 / 8	0 / 3
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births[b,c]	46.6	9 / 17	6 / 15	1 / 8	0 / 3
(Confidence Interval)	(33.3–60.1)				
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births[b,c]	57.4	9 / 17	6 / 13	1 / 6	0 / 2
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births[b,c]	60.0	9 / 15	6 / 12	1 / 6	0 / 1
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births[b]	31.1	3 / 15	5 / 12	1 / 6	0 / 1
Percentage of cancellations[b]	19.0	0 / 17	2 / 15	2 / 8	1 / 3
Average number of embryos transferred	2.0	2.3	2.8	3.8	2.0
Percentage of pregnancies with twins[b]	39.4	5 / 10	0 / 9	0 / 4	
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more[b]	0.0	2 / 10	1 / 9	0 / 4	
Percentage of live births having multiple infants[b,c]	48.1	6 / 9	1 / 6	0 / 1	
Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of transfers	2	0	0	0	0
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births[b,c]	0 / 2				
Average number of embryos transferred	1.5				

Donor Eggs	All Ages Combined[e] Fresh Embryos	Frozen Embryos
Number of transfers	9	2
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births[b,c]	4 / 9	0 / 2
Average number of embryos transferred	2.0	2.0

CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

Current Name: Fertility Specialists of Texas, PLLC

Donor egg?	Yes	Gestational carriers?	Yes	SART member?	Yes
Donor embryo?	Yes	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	Pending
Single women?	Yes			*(See Appendix C for details.)*	

[a] Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2009 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.
[b] When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.
[c] A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.
[d] Clinic-specific outcome rates for women older than 44 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs are not included because of small numbers. Readers are urged to review national outcomes for these age groups (see pages 30, 48 & 57).
[e] All ages (including ages >44) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.

IVF INSTITUTE
DALLAS, TEXAS

A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 81–90.

2009 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART [a]

IVF	100%	**Procedural Factors:**	
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	27%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	0%
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	0%
		Used PGD	0%
		With eSET	0%

Patient Diagnosis

Tubal factor	0%	Other factor	0%
Ovulatory dysfunction	3%	Unknown factor	3%
Diminished ovarian reserve	23%	*Multiple Factors:*	
Endometriosis	0%	Female factors only	15%
Uterine factor	0%	Female & male factors	56%
Male factor	0%		

2009 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by Noel Peng, MD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman <35	35–37	38–40	41–42	43–44[d]
Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of cycles	12	5	13	0	0
Percentage of embryos transferred resulting in implantation[b]	6 / 17	2 / 5	43.5		
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies[b]	6 / 12	2 / 5	7 / 13		
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births[b,c] (Confidence Interval)	3 / 12	2 / 5	4 / 13		
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births[b,c]	3 / 9	2 / 3	4 / 8		
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births[b,c]	3 / 9	2 / 2	4 / 8		
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births[b]	1 / 9	2 / 2	2 / 8		
Percentage of cancellations[b]	3 / 12	2 / 5	5 / 13		
Average number of embryos transferred	1.9	2.5	2.9		
Percentage of pregnancies with twins[b]	2 / 6	0 / 2	0 / 7		
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more[b]	0 / 6	0 / 2	2 / 7		
Percentage of live births having multiple infants[b,c]	2 / 3	0 / 2	2 / 4		
Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of transfers	0	1	1	0	0
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births[b,c]		0 / 1	0 / 1		
Average number of embryos transferred		1.0	3.0		

Donor Eggs	All Ages Combined[e] Fresh Embryos	Frozen Embryos
Number of transfers	3	0
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births[b,c]	2 / 3	
Average number of embryos transferred	2.3	

CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

Current Name: IVF Institute

Donor egg?	Yes	Gestational carriers?	Yes	SART member?	No
Donor embryo?	No	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	Yes
Single women?	Yes			*(See Appendix C for details.)*	

[a] Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2009 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.
[b] When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.
[c] A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.
[d] Clinic-specific outcome rates for women older than 44 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs are not included because of small numbers. Readers are urged to review national outcomes for these age groups (see pages 30, 48 & 57).
[e] All ages (including ages >44) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.

REPROMED FERTILITY CENTER
ANIL PINTO, MD, PA
DALLAS, TEXAS

A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 81–90.

2009 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART[a]

IVF	100%	**Procedural Factors:**	
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	56%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	0%
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	2%
		Used PGD	7%
		With eSET	3%

Patient Diagnosis

Tubal factor	4%	Other factor	6%
Ovulatory dysfunction	28%	Unknown factor	11%
Diminished ovarian reserve	24%	*Multiple Factors:*	
Endometriosis	6%	Female factors only	3%
Uterine factor	0%	Female & male factors	10%
Male factor	9%		

2009 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by Anil B. M. Pinto, MD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman <35	35–37	38–40	41–42	43–44[d]
Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of cycles	28	9	5	0	0
Percentage of embryos transferred resulting in implantation[b]	31.5	26.9	3 / 12		
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies[b]	60.7	5 / 9	2 / 5		
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births[b,c]	60.7	5 / 9	2 / 5		
(Confidence Interval)	(40.6–78.5)				
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births[b,c]	65.4	5 / 9	2 / 5		
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births[b,c]	65.4	5 / 9	2 / 4		
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births[b]	42.3	3 / 9	1 / 4		
Percentage of cancellations[b]	7.1	0 / 9	0 / 5		
Average number of embryos transferred	2.8	2.9	3.0		
Percentage of pregnancies with twins[b]	6 / 17	2 / 5	1 / 2		
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more[b]	0 / 17	0 / 5	0 / 2		
Percentage of live births having multiple infants[b,c]	6 / 17	2 / 5	1 / 2		
Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of transfers	9	4	1	0	1
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births[b,c]	1 / 9	2 / 4	1 / 1		0 / 1
Average number of embryos transferred	2.6	2.8	1.0		4.0

Donor Eggs	All Ages Combined[e] Fresh Embryos	Frozen Embryos
Number of transfers	8	4
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births[b,c]	5 / 8	3 / 4
Average number of embryos transferred	2.6	2.0

CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

Current Name: ReproMed Fertility Center, Anil Pinto, MD, PA

Donor egg?	Yes	Gestational carriers?	Yes	SART member?	No
Donor embryo?	No	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	Yes
Single women?	Yes			*(See Appendix C for details.)*	

[a] Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2009 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.
[b] When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.
[c] A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.
[d] Clinic-specific outcome rates for women older than 44 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs are not included because of small numbers. Readers are urged to review national outcomes for these age groups (see pages 30, 48 & 57).
[e] All ages (including ages >44) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.

SHER INSTITUTE FOR REPRODUCTIVE MEDICINE-DALLAS
DALLAS, TEXAS

A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 81–90.

2009 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART [a]

IVF	100%	**Procedural Factors:**	
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	88%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	0%
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	2%
		Used PGD	32%
		With eSET	1%

Patient Diagnosis

Tubal factor	7%	Other factor	17%
Ovulatory dysfunction	<1%	Unknown factor	21%
Diminished ovarian reserve	28%	*Multiple Factors:*	
Endometriosis	3%	Female factors only	3%
Uterine factor	2%	Female & male factors	9%
Male factor	9%		

2009 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by Walid Saleh, MD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman <35	35–37	38–40	41–42	43–44[d]
Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of cycles	70	41	21	15	3
Percentage of embryos transferred resulting in implantation[b]	39.4	25.5	16.7	3 / 17	1 / 1
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies[b]	42.9	22.0	23.8	2 / 15	1 / 3
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births[b,c]	38.6	17.1	19.0	2 / 15	1 / 3
(Confidence Interval)	(27.2–51.0)	(7.2–32.1)	(5.4–41.9)		
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births[b,c]	41.5	17.9	19.0	2 / 11	1 / 1
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births[b,c]	55.1	25.9	4 / 14	2 / 10	1 / 1
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births[b]	38.8	14.8	4 / 14	1 / 10	1 / 1
Percentage of cancellations[b]	7.1	4.9	0.0	4 / 15	2 / 3
Average number of embryos transferred	2.2	2.0	2.1	1.7	1.0
Percentage of pregnancies with twins[b]	26.7	5 / 9	0 / 5	1 / 2	0 / 1
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more[b]	6.7	0 / 9	0 / 5	0 / 2	0 / 1
Percentage of live births having multiple infants[b,c]	29.6	3 / 7	0 / 4	1 / 2	0 / 1
Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of transfers	14	6	2	2	0
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births[b,c]	7 / 14	2 / 6	1 / 2	0 / 2	
Average number of embryos transferred	2.1	1.8	1.5	2.0	

Donor Eggs	All Ages Combined[e] Fresh Embryos	Frozen Embryos
Number of transfers	19	9
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births[b,c]	8 / 19	3 / 9
Average number of embryos transferred	2.2	2.2

CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

Current Name: Sher Institute for Reproductive Medicine-Dallas

Donor egg?	Yes	Gestational carriers?	Yes	SART member?	Yes
Donor embryo?	No	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	Yes
Single women?	Yes			*(See Appendix C for details.)*	

[a] Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2009 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.
[b] When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.
[c] A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.
[d] Clinic-specific outcome rates for women older than 44 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs are not included because of small numbers. Readers are urged to review national outcomes for these age groups (see pages 30, 48 & 57).
[e] All ages (including ages >44) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.

TEXAS CENTER FOR REPRODUCTIVE HEALTH
DALLAS, TEXAS

A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 81–90.

2009 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART [a]

IVF	100%	**Procedural Factors:**	
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	86%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	0%
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	3%
		Used PGD	2%
		With eSET	2%

Patient Diagnosis

Tubal factor	11%	Other factor	2%
Ovulatory dysfunction	7%	Unknown factor	3%
Diminished ovarian reserve	8%	*Multiple Factors:*	
Endometriosis	4%	Female factors only	16%
Uterine factor	2%	Female & male factors	23%
Male factor	26%		

2009 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by Michael Putman, MD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman <35	35–37	38–40	41–42	43–44[d]
Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of cycles	36	12	10	6	0
Percentage of embryos transferred resulting in implantation[b]	37.7	13.9	6.7	3.8	
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies[b]	66.7	4 / 12	4 / 10	2 / 6	
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births[b,c]	58.3	3 / 12	2 / 10	0 / 6	
(Confidence Interval)	(40.8–74.5)				
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births[b,c]	58.3	3 / 12	2 / 10	0 / 6	
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births[b,c]	58.3	3 / 12	2 / 10	0 / 6	
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births[b]	41.7	1 / 12	2 / 10	0 / 6	
Percentage of cancellations[b]	0.0	0 / 12	0 / 10	0 / 6	
Average number of embryos transferred	2.1	3.0	3.0	4.3	
Percentage of pregnancies with twins[b]	25.0	2 / 4	0 / 4	0 / 2	
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more[b]	0.0	0 / 4	0 / 4	0 / 2	
Percentage of live births having multiple infants[b,c]	28.6	2 / 3	0 / 2		
Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of transfers	25	12	12	4	3
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births[b,c]	36.0	3 / 12	6 / 12	0 / 4	2 / 3
Average number of embryos transferred	2.2	2.8	3.1	4.5	7.7

Donor Eggs	All Ages Combined[e] Fresh Embryos	Frozen Embryos
Number of transfers	3	6
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births[b,c]	2 / 3	2 / 6
Average number of embryos transferred	2.0	1.8

CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

Current Name: Texas Center for Reproductive Health

Donor egg?	Yes	Gestational carriers?	Yes	SART member?	Yes
Donor embryo?	Yes	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	Yes
Single women?	Yes			*(See Appendix C for details.)*	

[a] Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2009 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.
[b] When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.
[c] A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.
[d] Clinic-specific outcome rates for women older than 44 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs are not included because of small numbers. Readers are urged to review national outcomes for these age groups (see pages 30, 48 & 57).
[e] All ages (including ages >44) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.

SOUTHWEST CENTER FOR REPRODUCTIVE HEALTH, PA
EL PASO, TEXAS

A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 81–90.

2009 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART [a]

IVF	100%	**Procedural Factors:**	
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	98%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	0%
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	0%
		Used PGD	0%
		With eSET	9%

Patient Diagnosis

Tubal factor	10%	Other factor	4%
Ovulatory dysfunction	9%	Unknown factor	5%
Diminished ovarian reserve	7%	*Multiple Factors:*	
Endometriosis	8%	Female factors only	30%
Uterine factor	0%	Female & male factors	20%
Male factor	8%		

2009 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by Luis S. Noble, MD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman <35	35–37	38–40	41–42	43–44[d]
Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of cycles	32	25	18	3	2
Percentage of embryos transferred resulting in implantation[b]	44.4	43.1	27.9	1 / 8	0 / 6
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies[b]	56.3	72.0	10 / 18	1 / 3	0 / 2
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births[b,c]	50.0	64.0	4 / 18	1 / 3	0 / 2
(Confidence Interval)	(31.9–68.1)	(42.5–82.0)			
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births[b,c]	51.6	64.0	4 / 18	1 / 3	0 / 2
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births[b,c]	57.1	66.7	4 / 18	1 / 3	0 / 2
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births[b]	39.3	54.2	2 / 18	1 / 3	0 / 2
Percentage of cancellations[b]	3.1	0.0	0 / 18	0 / 3	0 / 2
Average number of embryos transferred	1.9	2.1	2.4	2.7	3.0
Percentage of pregnancies with twins[b]	4 / 18	4 / 18	3 / 10	0 / 1	
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more[b]	1 / 18	0 / 18	0 / 10	0 / 1	
Percentage of live births having multiple infants[b,c]	5 / 16	3 / 16	2 / 4	0 / 1	
Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of transfers	17	11	7	2	1
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births[b,c]	9 / 17	3 / 11	3 / 7	0 / 2	0 / 1
Average number of embryos transferred	2.0	1.9	1.7	1.5	2.0

Donor Eggs	All Ages Combined[e] Fresh Embryos	Frozen Embryos
Number of transfers	10	11
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births[b,c]	6 / 10	5 / 11
Average number of embryos transferred	1.8	1.6

CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

Current Name: Southwest Center for Reproductive Health, PA

Donor egg?	Yes	Gestational carriers?	Yes	SART member?	Yes
Donor embryo?	Yes	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	No
Single women?	Yes			*(See Appendix C for details.)*	

[a] Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2009 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.
[b] When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.
[c] A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.
[d] Clinic-specific outcome rates for women older than 44 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs are not included because of small numbers. Readers are urged to review national outcomes for these age groups (see pages 30, 48 & 57).
[e] All ages (including ages >44) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.

FORT WORTH FERTILITY, PA
FORT WORTH, TEXAS

A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 81–90.

2009 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART [a]

IVF	100%	**Procedural Factors:**	
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	72%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	0%
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	2%
		Used PGD	3%
		With eSET	4%

Patient Diagnosis

Tubal factor	10%	Other factor	20%
Ovulatory dysfunction	8%	Unknown factor	16%
Diminished ovarian reserve	8%	*Multiple Factors:*	
Endometriosis	4%	Female factors only	4%
Uterine factor	<1%	Female & male factors	5%
Male factor	25%		

2009 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by Robert Kaufmann, MD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman <35	35–37	38–40	41–42	43–44 [d]
Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of cycles	127	45	36	13	5
Percentage of embryos transferred resulting in implantation [b]	43.3	31.7	15.6	17.6	0 / 9
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies [b]	57.5	48.9	22.2	4 / 13	0 / 5
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births [b,c]	53.5	37.8	19.4	2 / 13	0 / 5
(Confidence Interval)	(44.5–62.4)	(23.8–53.5)	(8.2–36.0)		
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births [b,c]	56.7	37.8	20.0	2 / 11	0 / 4
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	57.1	37.8	23.3	2 / 10	0 / 3
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births [b]	34.5	17.8	16.7	1 / 10	0 / 3
Percentage of cancellations [b]	5.5	0.0	2.8	2 / 13	1 / 5
Average number of embryos transferred	2.1	2.3	2.6	3.4	3.0
Percentage of pregnancies with twins [b]	46.6	54.5	2 / 8	0 / 4	
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more [b]	1.4	0.0	1 / 8	1 / 4	
Percentage of live births having multiple infants [b,c]	39.7	9 / 17	2 / 7	1 / 2	
Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of transfers	14	1	0	2	0
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	7 / 14	1 / 1		1 / 2	
Average number of embryos transferred	1.8	1.0		2.0	

Donor Eggs	All Ages Combined [e] Fresh Embryos	Frozen Embryos
Number of transfers	14	2
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	9 / 14	0 / 2
Average number of embryos transferred	1.9	2.0

CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

Current Name: Fort Worth Fertility, PA

Donor egg?	Yes	Gestational carriers?	Yes	SART member?	Yes
Donor embryo?	Yes	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	Yes
Single women?	Yes			*(See Appendix C for details.)*	

[a] Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2009 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.
[b] When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.
[c] A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.
[d] Clinic-specific outcome rates for women older than 44 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs are not included because of small numbers. Readers are urged to review national outcomes for these age groups (see pages 30, 48 & 57).
[e] All ages (including ages >44) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.

BAYLOR FAMILY FERTILITY PROGRAM
HOUSTON, TEXAS

A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 81–90.

2009 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART [a]

IVF	100%	**Procedural Factors:**	
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	82%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	0%
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	0%
		Used PGD	0%
		With eSET	0%

Patient Diagnosis

Tubal factor	24%	Other factor	10%
Ovulatory dysfunction	0%	Unknown factor	5%
Diminished ovarian reserve	2%	*Multiple Factors:*	
Endometriosis	2%	Female factors only	0%
Uterine factor	0%	Female & male factors	29%
Male factor	29%		

2009 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by William E. Gibbons, MD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman <35	35–37	38–40	41–42	43–44 [d]
Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of cycles	18	6	3	3	3
Percentage of embryos transferred resulting in implantation [b]	40.0	2 / 6	1 / 8	1 / 7	0 / 10
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies [b]	9 / 18	1 / 6	1 / 3	1 / 3	0 / 3
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births [b,c] (Confidence Interval)	8 / 18	1 / 6	1 / 3	0 / 3	0 / 3
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births [b,c]	8 / 16	1 / 3	1 / 3	0 / 3	0 / 3
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	8 / 14	1 / 2	1 / 3	0 / 3	0 / 3
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births [b]	5 / 14	0 / 2	1 / 3	0 / 3	0 / 3
Percentage of cancellations [b]	2 / 18	3 / 6	0 / 3	0 / 3	0 / 3
Average number of embryos transferred	2.5	3.0	2.7	2.3	3.3
Percentage of pregnancies with twins [b]	3 / 9	1 / 1	0 / 1	0 / 1	
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more [b]	1 / 9	0 / 1	0 / 1	0 / 1	
Percentage of live births having multiple infants [b,c]	3 / 8	1 / 1	0 / 1		
Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of transfers	2	2	1	0	0
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	1 / 2	0 / 2	0 / 1		
Average number of embryos transferred	3.0	2.0	1.0		

Donor Eggs	All Ages Combined [e] Fresh Embryos	Frozen Embryos
Number of transfers	3	0
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	1 / 3	
Average number of embryos transferred	2.0	

CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

Current Name: Baylor Family Fertility Program

Donor egg?	Yes	Gestational carriers?	Yes	SART member?	Yes
Donor embryo?	Yes	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	Yes
Single women?	Yes			*(See Appendix C for details.)*	

[a] Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2009 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.
[b] When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.
[c] A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.
[d] Clinic-specific outcome rates for women older than 44 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs are not included because of small numbers. Readers are urged to review national outcomes for these age groups (see pages 30, 48 & 57).
[e] All ages (including ages >44) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.

CENTER FOR WOMEN'S MEDICINE
HOUSTON, TEXAS

A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 81–90.

2009 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART [a]

IVF	100%	**Procedural Factors:**	
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	95%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	0%
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	0%
		Used PGD	4%
		With eSET	2%

Patient Diagnosis

Tubal factor	12%	Other factor	15%
Ovulatory dysfunction	8%	Unknown factor	4%
Diminished ovarian reserve	<1%	*Multiple Factors:*	
Endometriosis	6%	Female factors only	9%
Uterine factor	<1%	Female & male factors	34%
Male factor	11%		

2009 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by Michael A. Allon, MD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman <35	35–37	38–40	41–42	43–44 [d]
Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of cycles	50	26	21	8	2
Percentage of embryos transferred resulting in implantation [b]	24.5	34.4	12.5	3 / 19	0 / 7
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies [b]	46.0	46.2	33.3	3 / 8	0 / 2
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births [b,c]	36.0	42.3	23.8	1 / 8	0 / 2
(Confidence Interval)	(22.9–50.8)	(23.4–63.1)	(8.2–47.2)		
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births [b,c]	36.0	44.0	25.0	1 / 8	0 / 2
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	40.0	44.0	5 / 19	1 / 7	0 / 2
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births [b]	31.1	16.0	4 / 19	0 / 7	0 / 2
Percentage of cancellations [b]	0.0	3.8	4.8	0 / 8	0 / 2
Average number of embryos transferred	2.4	2.6	2.9	2.7	3.5
Percentage of pregnancies with twins [b]	26.1	6 / 12	1 / 7	1 / 3	
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more [b]	0.0	2 / 12	0 / 7	0 / 3	
Percentage of live births having multiple infants [b,c]	4 / 18	7 / 11	1 / 5	1 / 1	
Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of transfers	16	4	4	1	1
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	6 / 16	3 / 4	0 / 4	0 / 1	0 / 1
Average number of embryos transferred	2.3	2.5	2.3	3.0	2.0

Donor Eggs	All Ages Combined [e] Fresh Embryos	Frozen Embryos
Number of transfers	10	6
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	6 / 10	1 / 6
Average number of embryos transferred	2.4	2.3

CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

Current Name: Center for Women's Medicine

Donor egg?	Yes	Gestational carriers?	Yes	SART member?	Yes
Donor embryo?	No	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	Yes
Single women?	Yes			*(See Appendix C for details.)*	

[a] Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2009 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.
[b] When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.
[c] A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.
[d] Clinic-specific outcome rates for women older than 44 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs are not included because of small numbers. Readers are urged to review national outcomes for these age groups (see pages 30, 48 & 57).
[e] All ages (including ages >44) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.

COOPER INSTITUTE FOR ADVANCED REPRODUCTIVE MEDICINE
HOUSTON, TEXAS

A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 81–90.

2009 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART [a]

IVF	100%	**Procedural Factors:**	
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	84%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	0%
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	4%
		Used PGD	7%
		With eSET	0%

Patient Diagnosis

Tubal factor	1%	Other factor	4%
Ovulatory dysfunction	0%	Unknown factor	0%
Diminished ovarian reserve	4%	*Multiple Factors:*	
Endometriosis	3%	Female factors only	11%
Uterine factor	0%	Female & male factors	61%
Male factor	16%		

2009 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by C. James Chuong, MD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman <35	35–37	38–40	41–42	43–44 [d]
Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of cycles	16	10	19	8	3
Percentage of embryos transferred resulting in implantation [b]	36.6	25.0	10.6	3.2	0 / 8
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies [b]	11 / 16	5 / 10	6 / 19	1 / 8	1 / 3
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births [b,c] (Confidence Interval)	7 / 16	5 / 10	5 / 19	1 / 8	0 / 3
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births [b,c]	7 / 16	5 / 9	5 / 18	1 / 8	0 / 2
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	7 / 16	5 / 9	5 / 18	1 / 8	0 / 2
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births [b]	6 / 16	4 / 9	3 / 18	1 / 8	0 / 2
Percentage of cancellations [b]	0 / 16	1 / 10	1 / 19	0 / 8	1 / 3
Average number of embryos transferred	2.6	3.1	3.7	3.9	4.0
Percentage of pregnancies with twins [b]	2 / 11	0 / 5	2 / 6	0 / 1	0 / 1
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more [b]	1 / 11	1 / 5	0 / 6	0 / 1	0 / 1
Percentage of live births having multiple infants [b,c]	1 / 7	1 / 5	2 / 5	0 / 1	
Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of transfers	4	1	1	0	0
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	0 / 4	1 / 1	0 / 1		
Average number of embryos transferred	2.8	2.0	1.0		

Donor Eggs	All Ages Combined [e] Fresh Embryos	Frozen Embryos
Number of transfers	7	3
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	4 / 7	1 / 3
Average number of embryos transferred	2.3	2.3

CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

Current Name: Cooper Institute for Advanced Reproductive Medicine

Donor egg?	Yes	Gestational carriers?	Yes	SART member?	Yes
Donor embryo?	Yes	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	Yes
Single women?	Yes			*(See Appendix C for details.)*	

[a] Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2009 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.
[b] When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.
[c] A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.
[d] Clinic-specific outcome rates for women older than 44 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs are not included because of small numbers. Readers are urged to review national outcomes for these age groups (see pages 30, 48 & 57).
[e] All ages (including ages >44) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.

FERTILITY SPECIALISTS OF HOUSTON
HOUSTON, TEXAS

A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 81–90.

2009 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART [a]

IVF	100%	**Procedural Factors:**	
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	84%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	0%
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	<1%
		Used PGD	7%
		With eSET	1%

Patient Diagnosis

Tubal factor	8%	Other factor	6%
Ovulatory dysfunction	6%	Unknown factor	3%
Diminished ovarian reserve	12%	*Multiple Factors:*	
Endometriosis	5%	Female factors only	17%
Uterine factor	1%	Female & male factors	29%
Male factor	14%		

2009 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by George M. Grunert, MD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman <35	35–37	38–40	41–42	43–44[d]
Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of cycles	315	129	118	61	21
Percentage of embryos transferred resulting in implantation[b]	30.6	25.0	13.3	5.3	2.0
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies[b]	41.3	33.3	26.3	13.1	9.5
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births[b,c]	35.9	25.6	18.6	6.6	0.0
(Confidence Interval)	(30.6–41.4)	(18.3–34.0)	(12.1–26.9)	(1.8–15.9)	(0.0–16.1)
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births[b,c]	40.6	29.5	22.4	7.8	0 / 18
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births[b,c]	43.1	32.4	24.2	8.9	0 / 16
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births[b]	27.1	18.6	20.9	6.7	0 / 16
Percentage of cancellations[b]	11.7	13.2	16.9	16.4	14.3
Average number of embryos transferred	2.1	2.4	2.6	2.9	3.2
Percentage of pregnancies with twins[b]	33.1	48.8	12.9	0 / 8	0 / 2
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more[b]	2.3	0.0	0.0	1 / 8	0 / 2
Percentage of live births having multiple infants[b,c]	37.2	42.4	13.6	1 / 4	
Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of transfers	74	45	29	4	10
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births[b,c]	27.0	22.2	17.2	1 / 4	3 / 10
Average number of embryos transferred	1.9	2.2	2.3	2.8	2.3

Donor Eggs	All Ages Combined[e] Fresh Embryos	Frozen Embryos
Number of transfers	67	40
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births[b,c]	62.7	37.5
Average number of embryos transferred	2.0	2.2

CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

Current Name: Fertility Specialists of Houston

Donor egg?	Yes	Gestational carriers?	Yes	SART member?	Yes
Donor embryo?	Yes	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	Yes
Single women?	Yes			*(See Appendix C for details.)*	

[a] Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2009 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.
[b] When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.
[c] A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.
[d] Clinic-specific outcome rates for women older than 44 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs are not included because of small numbers. Readers are urged to review national outcomes for these age groups (see pages 30, 48 & 57).
[e] All ages (including ages >44) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.

HOUSTON FERTILITY INSTITUTE
HOUSTON, TEXAS

A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 81–90.

2009 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART [a]

IVF	100%	**Procedural Factors:**	
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	93%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	0%
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	1%
		Used PGD	5%
		With eSET	4%

Patient Diagnosis

Tubal factor	9%	Other factor	4%
Ovulatory dysfunction	5%	Unknown factor	23%
Diminished ovarian reserve	18%	*Multiple Factors:*	
Endometriosis	2%	Female factors only	11%
Uterine factor	2%	Female & male factors	9%
Male factor	17%		

2009 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by Inderbir S. Gill, MD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman <35	35–37	38–40	41–42	43–44[d]
Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of cycles	283	120	107	49	17
Percentage of embryos transferred resulting in implantation [b]	46.2	42.9	22.7	13.0	10.3
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies [b]	64.0	55.0	41.1	16.3	2 / 17
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births [b,c]	53.7	44.2	28.0	12.2	0 / 17
(Confidence Interval)	(47.7–59.6)	(35.1–53.5)	(19.8–37.5)	(4.6–24.8)	
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births [b,c]	54.9	48.6	29.4	13.3	0 / 14
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	59.6	50.5	33.7	16.7	0 / 11
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births [b]	35.3	29.5	27.0	11.1	0 / 11
Percentage of cancellations [b]	2.1	9.2	4.7	8.2	3 / 17
Average number of embryos transferred	2.1	2.2	2.3	2.6	2.6
Percentage of pregnancies with twins [b]	38.7	34.8	18.2	2 / 8	1 / 2
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more [b]	1.7	6.1	2.3	1 / 8	0 / 2
Percentage of live births having multiple infants [b,c]	40.8	41.5	20.0	2 / 6	
Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of transfers	95	36	31	7	2
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	57.9	30.6	32.3	2 / 7	0 / 2
Average number of embryos transferred	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0

Donor Eggs	All Ages Combined [e] Fresh Embryos	Frozen Embryos
Number of transfers	86	42
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	60.5	57.1
Average number of embryos transferred	2.0	2.1

CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

Current Name: Houston Fertility Institute

Donor egg?	Yes	Gestational carriers?	Yes	SART member?	Yes
Donor embryo?	Yes	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	Yes
Single women?	Yes			*(See Appendix C for details.)*	

[a] Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2009 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.
[b] When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.
[c] A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.
[d] Clinic-specific outcome rates for women older than 44 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs are not included because of small numbers. Readers are urged to review national outcomes for these age groups (see pages 30, 48 & 57).
[e] All ages (including ages >44) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.

HOUSTON INFERTILITY CLINIC
SONJA KRISTIANSEN, MD
HOUSTON, TEXAS

A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 81–90.

2009 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART [a]

IVF	100%	**Procedural Factors:**	
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	82%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	0%
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	0%
		Used PGD	7%
		With eSET	0%

Patient Diagnosis

Tubal factor	9%	Other factor	6%
Ovulatory dysfunction	3%	Unknown factor	9%
Diminished ovarian reserve	8%	*Multiple Factors:*	
Endometriosis	0%	Female factors only	0%
Uterine factor	0%	Female & male factors	14%
Male factor	51%		

2009 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by Sonja B. Kristiansen, MD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman <35	35–37	38–40	41–42	43–44 [d]
Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of cycles	42	19	11	5	4
Percentage of embryos transferred resulting in implantation [b]	31.4	34.1	22.2	1 / 9	1 / 12
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies [b]	59.5	12 / 19	4 / 11	1 / 5	1 / 4
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births [b,c]	59.5	11 / 19	4 / 11	1 / 5	0 / 4
(Confidence Interval)	(43.3–74.4)				
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births [b,c]	59.5	11 / 19	4 / 11	1 / 5	0 / 4
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	62.5	11 / 17	4 / 10	1 / 4	0 / 4
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births [b]	42.5	9 / 17	2 / 10	1 / 4	0 / 4
Percentage of cancellations [b]	0.0	0 / 19	0 / 11	0 / 5	0 / 4
Average number of embryos transferred	3.0	2.4	2.7	2.3	3.0
Percentage of pregnancies with twins [b]	48.0	2 / 12	2 / 4	0 / 1	0 / 1
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more [b]	0.0	0 / 12	0 / 4	0 / 1	0 / 1
Percentage of live births having multiple infants [b,c]	32.0	2 / 11	2 / 4	0 / 1	
Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of transfers	13	5	3	0	1
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	5 / 13	1 / 5	0 / 3		0 / 1
Average number of embryos transferred	2.5	2.4	1.3		2.0

Donor Eggs	All Ages Combined [e] Fresh Embryos	Frozen Embryos
Number of transfers	4	2
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	2 / 4	1 / 2
Average number of embryos transferred	3.0	3.0

CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

Current Name: Houston Infertility Clinic, Sonja Kristiansen, MD

Donor egg?	Yes	Gestational carriers?	Yes	SART member?	Yes
Donor embryo?	Yes	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	Yes
Single women?	Yes			*(See Appendix C for details.)*	

[a] Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2009 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.
[b] When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.
[c] A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.
[d] Clinic-specific outcome rates for women older than 44 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs are not included because of small numbers. Readers are urged to review national outcomes for these age groups (see pages 30, 48 & 57).
[e] All ages (including ages >44) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.

HOUSTON IVF
HOUSTON, TEXAS

A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 81–90.

2009 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART [a]

IVF	100%	**Procedural Factors:**	
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	97%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	0%
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	2%
		Used PGD	5%
		With eSET	1%

Patient Diagnosis

Tubal factor	7%	Other factor	4%
Ovulatory dysfunction	11%	Unknown factor	23%
Diminished ovarian reserve	19%	*Multiple Factors:*	
Endometriosis	4%	Female factors only	8%
Uterine factor	4%	Female & male factors	5%
Male factor	16%		

2009 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by Timothy N. Hickman, MD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman <35	35–37	38–40	41–42	43–44[d]
Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of cycles	150	83	77	18	6
Percentage of embryos transferred resulting in implantation[b]	51.0	39.2	24.0	23.4	3.7
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies[b]	68.7	57.8	42.9	7 / 18	1 / 6
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births[b,c]	64.7	50.6	37.7	5 / 18	1 / 6
(Confidence Interval)	(56.5–72.3)	(39.4–61.8)	(26.9–49.4)		
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births[b,c]	65.1	51.2	37.7	5 / 17	1 / 6
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births[b,c]	66.0	51.9	39.7	5 / 17	1 / 6
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births[b]	40.1	33.3	28.8	3 / 17	1 / 6
Percentage of cancellations[b]	0.7	1.2	0.0	1 / 18	0 / 6
Average number of embryos transferred	2.0	2.2	2.8	2.8	4.5
Percentage of pregnancies with twins[b]	38.8	37.5	33.3	1 / 7	0 / 1
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more[b]	3.9	4.2	9.1	2 / 7	0 / 1
Percentage of live births having multiple infants[b,c]	39.2	35.7	27.6	2 / 5	0 / 1
Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of transfers	25	12	11	2	1
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births[b,c]	56.0	6 / 12	5 / 11	1 / 2	0 / 1
Average number of embryos transferred	2.0	2.4	2.5	2.5	1.0

Donor Eggs	All Ages Combined[e] Fresh Embryos	Frozen Embryos
Number of transfers	41	12
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births[b,c]	80.5	5 / 12
Average number of embryos transferred	2.0	2.1

CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

Current Name: Houston IVF

Donor egg?	Yes	Gestational carriers?	Yes	SART member?	Yes
Donor embryo?	Yes	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	Yes
Single women?	Yes			*(See Appendix C for details.)*	

[a] Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2009 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.
[b] When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.
[c] A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.
[d] Clinic-specific outcome rates for women older than 44 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs are not included because of small numbers. Readers are urged to review national outcomes for these age groups (see pages 30, 48 & 57).
[e] All ages (including ages >44) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.

NORTH HOUSTON CENTER FOR REPRODUCTIVE MEDICINE, PA (NHCRM)
HOUSTON, TEXAS

A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 81–90.

2009 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART [a]

IVF	100%	**Procedural Factors:**	
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	78%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	0%
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	0%
		Used PGD	0%
		With eSET	2%

Patient Diagnosis

Tubal factor	1%	Other factor	0%
Ovulatory dysfunction	13%	Unknown factor	9%
Diminished ovarian reserve	1%	*Multiple Factors:*	
Endometriosis	6%	Female factors only	15%
Uterine factor	3%	Female & male factors	45%
Male factor	8%		

2009 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by Dorothy J. Roach, MD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman <35	35–37	38–40	41–42	43–44 [d]
Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of cycles	33	13	7	5	1
Percentage of embryos transferred resulting in implantation [b]	56.7	44.0	7 / 17	3 / 10	0 / 3
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies [b]	75.8	8 / 13	4 / 7	2 / 5	0 / 1
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births [b,c]	57.6	8 / 13	3 / 7	2 / 5	0 / 1
(Confidence Interval)	(39.2–74.5)				
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births [b,c]	59.4	8 / 13	3 / 6	2 / 4	0 / 1
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	61.3	8 / 13	3 / 6	2 / 4	0 / 1
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births [b]	35.5	5 / 13	1 / 6	2 / 4	0 / 1
Percentage of cancellations [b]	3.0	0 / 13	1 / 7	1 / 5	0 / 1
Average number of embryos transferred	1.9	1.9	2.8	2.5	3.0
Percentage of pregnancies with twins [b]	36.0	3 / 8	1 / 4	1 / 2	
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more [b]	0.0	0 / 8	1 / 4	0 / 2	
Percentage of live births having multiple infants [b,c]	8 / 19	3 / 8	2 / 3	0 / 2	
Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of transfers	8	3	1	4	0
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	6 / 8	0 / 3	0 / 1	0 / 4	
Average number of embryos transferred	2.0	1.3	1.0	2.3	

Donor Eggs	All Ages Combined [e] Fresh Embryos	Frozen Embryos
Number of transfers	4	0
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	2 / 4	
Average number of embryos transferred	1.5	

CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

Current Name: North Houston Center for Reproductive Medicine, PA, (NHCRM)

Donor egg?	Yes	Gestational carriers?	Yes	SART member?	Yes
Donor embryo?	No	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	Yes
Single women?	Yes			*(See Appendix C for details.)*	

[a] Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2009 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.
[b] When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.
[c] A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.
[d] Clinic-specific outcome rates for women older than 44 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs are not included because of small numbers. Readers are urged to review national outcomes for these age groups (see pages 30, 48 & 57).
[e] All ages (including ages >44) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.

ADVANCED REPRODUCTIVE CARE CENTER OF IRVING
IRVING, TEXAS

A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 81–90.

2009 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART [a]

IVF	100%	**Procedural Factors:**	
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	56%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	0%
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	1%
		Used PGD	<1%
		With eSET	7%

Patient Diagnosis

Tubal factor	9%	Other factor	3%
Ovulatory dysfunction	7%	Unknown factor	9%
Diminished ovarian reserve	16%	*Multiple Factors:*	
Endometriosis	6%	Female factors only	13%
Uterine factor	1%	Female & male factors	21%
Male factor	16%		

2009 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by Sy Q. Le, MD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman <35	35–37	38–40	41–42	43–44[d]
Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of cycles	137	42	39	8	2
Percentage of embryos transferred resulting in implantation[b]	51.1	38.1	27.1	0 / 11	0 / 6
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies[b]	59.1	57.1	53.8	0 / 8	0 / 2
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births[b,c]	51.1	47.6	35.9	0 / 8	0 / 2
(Confidence Interval)	(42.4–59.7)	(32.0–63.6)	(21.2–52.8)		
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births[b,c]	55.6	48.8	35.9	0 / 6	0 / 2
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births[b,c]	57.4	51.3	35.9	0 / 6	0 / 2
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births[b]	28.7	30.8	30.8	0 / 6	0 / 2
Percentage of cancellations[b]	8.0	2.4	0.0	2 / 8	0 / 2
Average number of embryos transferred	1.9	2.2	2.2	1.8	3.0
Percentage of pregnancies with twins[b]	51.9	45.8	19.0		
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more[b]	1.2	0.0	0.0		
Percentage of live births having multiple infants[b,c]	50.0	40.0	2 / 14		
Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of transfers	48	28	10	9	1
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births[b,c]	47.9	35.7	3 / 10	1 / 9	0 / 1
Average number of embryos transferred	1.8	1.9	1.7	1.7	1.0

Donor Eggs	All Ages Combined[e] Fresh Embryos	Frozen Embryos
Number of transfers	18	9
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births[b,c]	8 / 18	5 / 9
Average number of embryos transferred	2.0	1.7

CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

Current Name: Advanced Reproductive Care Center of Irving

Donor egg?	Yes	Gestational carriers?	Yes	SART member?	Yes
Donor embryo?	Yes	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	Yes
Single women?	Yes			*(See Appendix C for details.)*	

[a] Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2009 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.
[b] When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.
[c] A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.
[d] Clinic-specific outcome rates for women older than 44 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs are not included because of small numbers. Readers are urged to review national outcomes for these age groups (see pages 30, 48 & 57).
[e] All ages (including ages >44) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.

WILFORD HALL MEDICAL CENTER
LACKLAND AFB, TEXAS

A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 81–90.

2009 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART [a]

IVF	100%	**Procedural Factors:**	
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	50%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	0%
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	0%
		Used PGD	0%
		With eSET	2%

Patient Diagnosis

Tubal factor	22%	Other factor	<1%
Ovulatory dysfunction	5%	Unknown factor	5%
Diminished ovarian reserve	3%	*Multiple Factors:*	
Endometriosis	2%	Female factors only	12%
Uterine factor	3%	Female & male factors	26%
Male factor	22%		

2009 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by Matthew G. Retzloff, MD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman <35	35–37	38–40	41–42	43–44 [d]
Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of cycles	79	39	29	0	0
Percentage of embryos transferred resulting in implantation [b]	42.8	42.0	18.5		
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies [b]	63.3	61.5	37.9		
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births [b,c]	59.5	56.4	24.1		
(Confidence Interval)	(47.9–70.4)	(39.6–72.2)	(10.3–43.5)		
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births [b,c]	60.3	56.4	25.0		
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	60.3	56.4	25.9		
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births [b]	42.3	43.6	18.5		
Percentage of cancellations [b]	1.3	0.0	3.4		
Average number of embryos transferred	2.0	2.1	2.4		
Percentage of pregnancies with twins [b]	36.0	33.3	2 / 11		
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more [b]	0.0	4.2	1 / 11		
Percentage of live births having multiple infants [b,c]	29.8	22.7	2 / 7		
Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of transfers	0	0	0	0	0
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]					
Average number of embryos transferred					

Donor Eggs	All Ages Combined [e] Fresh Embryos	Frozen Embryos
Number of transfers	0	0
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]		
Average number of embryos transferred		

CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

Current Name: Wilford Hall Medical Center

Donor egg?	No	Gestational carriers?	No	SART member?	Yes
Donor embryo?	No	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	Yes
Single women?	No			*(See Appendix C for details.)*	

[a] Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2009 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.
[b] When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.
[c] A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.
[d] Clinic-specific outcome rates for women older than 44 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs are not included because of small numbers. Readers are urged to review national outcomes for these age groups (see pages 30, 48 & 57).
[e] All ages (including ages >44) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.

CENTER FOR FERTILITY AND REPRODUCTIVE SURGERY
TEXAS TECH UNIVERSITY HEALTH SCIENCES CENTER
LUBBOCK, TEXAS

A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 81–90.

2009 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART [a]

IVF	100%	**Procedural Factors:**	
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	21%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	0%
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	0%
		Used PGD	0%
		With eSET	0%

Patient Diagnosis

Tubal factor	18%	Other factor	11%
Ovulatory dysfunction	7%	Unknown factor	0%
Diminished ovarian reserve	9%	*Multiple Factors:*	
Endometriosis	14%	Female factors only	7%
Uterine factor	2%	Female & male factors	25%
Male factor	7%		

2009 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by Sami I. Jabara, MD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman <35	35–37	38–40	41–42	43–44 [d]
Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of cycles	19	8	2	3	1
Percentage of embryos transferred resulting in implantation [b]	20.5	0 / 18	0 / 5	0 / 9	0 / 2
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies [b]	5 / 19	0 / 8	0 / 2	0 / 3	0 / 1
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births [b,c] (Confidence Interval)	4 / 19	0 / 8	0 / 2	0 / 3	0 / 1
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births [b,c]	4 / 16	0 / 7	0 / 2	0 / 3	0 / 1
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	4 / 16	0 / 7	0 / 2	0 / 3	0 / 1
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births [b]	1 / 16	0 / 7	0 / 2	0 / 3	0 / 1
Percentage of cancellations [b]	3 / 19	1 / 8	0 / 2	0 / 3	0 / 1
Average number of embryos transferred	2.4	2.6	2.5	3.0	2.0
Percentage of pregnancies with twins [b]	3 / 5				
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more [b]	0 / 5				
Percentage of live births having multiple infants [b,c]	3 / 4				
Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of transfers	3	0	0	0	0
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	0 / 3				
Average number of embryos transferred	2.3				

Donor Eggs	All Ages Combined [e] Fresh Embryos	Frozen Embryos
Number of transfers	4	1
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	1 / 4	0 / 1
Average number of embryos transferred	2.5	3.0

CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

Current Name: Center for Fertility and Reproductive Surgery, Texas Tech University Health Sciences Center

Donor egg?	No	Gestational carriers?	No	SART member?	No
Donor embryo?	No	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	Yes
Single women?	Yes			*(See Appendix C for details.)*	

[a] Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2009 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.
[b] When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.
[c] A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.
[d] Clinic-specific outcome rates for women older than 44 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs are not included because of small numbers. Readers are urged to review national outcomes for these age groups (see pages 30, 48 & 57).
[e] All ages (including ages >44) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.

THE CENTRE FOR REPRODUCTIVE MEDICINE
LUBBOCK, TEXAS

A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 81–90.

2009 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART [a]

IVF	100%	**Procedural Factors:**	
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	21%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	0%
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	3%
		Used PGD	1%
		With eSET	13%

Patient Diagnosis

Tubal factor	5%	Other factor	2%
Ovulatory dysfunction	4%	Unknown factor	7%
Diminished ovarian reserve	3%	*Multiple Factors:*	
Endometriosis	2%	Female factors only	41%
Uterine factor	4%	Female & male factors	24%
Male factor	10%		

2009 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by Janelle O. Dorsett, MD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman <35	35–37	38–40	41–42	43–44 [d]
Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of cycles	56	11	6	5	2
Percentage of embryos transferred resulting in implantation [b]	39.0	4 / 14	5 / 7	3 / 11	0 / 2
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies [b]	41.1	4 / 11	3 / 6	1 / 5	0 / 2
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births [b,c]	39.3	4 / 11	3 / 6	1 / 5	0 / 2
(Confidence Interval)	(26.5–53.2)				
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births [b,c]	42.3	4 / 10	3 / 5	1 / 5	0 / 1
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	48.9	4 / 9	3 / 4	1 / 5	0 / 1
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births [b]	31.1	4 / 9	1 / 4	1 / 5	0 / 1
Percentage of cancellations [b]	7.1	1 / 11	1 / 6	0 / 5	1 / 2
Average number of embryos transferred	1.8	1.6	1.8	2.2	2.0
Percentage of pregnancies with twins [b]	39.1	0 / 4	2 / 3	0 / 1	
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more [b]	0.0	0 / 4	0 / 3	1 / 1	
Percentage of live births having multiple infants [b,c]	36.4	0 / 4	2 / 3	0 / 1	
Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of transfers	7	2	1	0	0
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	2 / 7	0 / 2	1 / 1		
Average number of embryos transferred	2.3	1.0	1.0		

Donor Eggs	All Ages Combined [e] Fresh Embryos	Frozen Embryos
Number of transfers	8	4
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	6 / 8	2 / 4
Average number of embryos transferred	1.9	2.3

CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

Current Name: The Centre for Reproductive Medicine

Donor egg?	Yes	Gestational carriers?	Yes	SART member?	Yes
Donor embryo?	Yes	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	Yes
Single women?	Yes			*(See Appendix C for details.)*	

[a] Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2009 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.
[b] When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.
[c] A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.
[d] Clinic-specific outcome rates for women older than 44 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs are not included because of small numbers. Readers are urged to review national outcomes for these age groups (see pages 30, 48 & 57).
[e] All ages (including ages >44) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.

REPRODUCTIVE INSTITUTE OF SOUTH TEXAS
McALLEN, TEXAS

A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 81–90.

2009 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART [a]

IVF	100%	**Procedural Factors:**	
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	49%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	0%
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	0%
		Used PGD	0%
		With eSET	0%

Patient Diagnosis

Tubal factor	24%	Other factor	<1%
Ovulatory dysfunction	8%	Unknown factor	2%
Diminished ovarian reserve	4%	*Multiple Factors:*	
Endometriosis	2%	Female factors only	24%
Uterine factor	<1%	Female & male factors	21%
Male factor	16%		

2009 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by Esteban O. Brown, MD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman <35	35–37	38–40	41–42	43–44 [d]
Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of cycles	54	21	18	11	3
Percentage of embryos transferred resulting in implantation [b]	30.2	14.6	17.6	0.0	1 / 9
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies [b]	44.4	38.1	4 / 18	1 / 11	1 / 3
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births [b,c]	42.6	33.3	4 / 18	0 / 11	0 / 3
(Confidence Interval)	(29.2–56.8)	(14.6–57.0)			
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births [b,c]	43.4	7 / 18	4 / 14	0 / 11	0 / 3
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	48.9	7 / 17	4 / 12	0 / 9	0 / 3
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births [b]	25.5	7 / 17	2 / 12	0 / 9	0 / 3
Percentage of cancellations [b]	1.9	14.3	4 / 18	0 / 11	0 / 3
Average number of embryos transferred	2.7	2.8	2.8	3.1	3.0
Percentage of pregnancies with twins [b]	37.5	0 / 8	2 / 4	0 / 1	0 / 1
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more [b]	12.5	0 / 8	0 / 4	0 / 1	0 / 1
Percentage of live births having multiple infants [b,c]	47.8	0 / 7	2 / 4		
Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of transfers	3	2	2	0	0
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	2 / 3	0 / 2	1 / 2		
Average number of embryos transferred	2.7	1.5	2.0		

Donor Eggs	All Ages Combined [e] Fresh Embryos	Frozen Embryos
Number of transfers	8	2
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	7 / 8	0 / 2
Average number of embryos transferred	2.8	4.0

CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

Current Name: Reproductive Institute of South Texas

Donor egg?	Yes	Gestational carriers?	Yes	SART member?	No
Donor embryo?	No	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	Yes
Single women?	Yes			*(See Appendix C for details.)*	

[a] Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2009 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.
[b] When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.
[c] A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.
[d] Clinic-specific outcome rates for women older than 44 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs are not included because of small numbers. Readers are urged to review national outcomes for these age groups (see pages 30, 48 & 57).
[e] All ages (including ages >44) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.

DALLAS IVF
PLANO, TEXAS

A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 81–90.

2009 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART [a]

IVF	100%	**Procedural Factors:**	
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	57%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	0%
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	0%
		Used PGD	2%
		With eSET	2%

Patient Diagnosis

Tubal factor	11%	Other factor	2%
Ovulatory dysfunction	9%	Unknown factor	12%
Diminished ovarian reserve	12%	*Multiple Factors:*	
Endometriosis	2%	Female factors only	2%
Uterine factor	0%	Female & male factors	14%
Male factor	37%		

2009 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by Brian D. Barnett, MD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman <35	35–37	38–40	41–42	43–44 [d]
Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of cycles	135	55	44	12	3
Percentage of embryos transferred resulting in implantation [b]	44.6	38.3	21.8	5.7	0 / 1
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies [b]	60.7	54.5	40.9	2 / 12	0 / 3
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births [b,c]	55.6	49.1	27.3	2 / 12	0 / 3
(Confidence Interval)	(46.8–64.1)	(35.4–62.9)	(15.0–42.8)		
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births [b,c]	56.4	50.9	30.8	2 / 10	0 / 1
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	57.7	50.9	31.6	2 / 10	0 / 1
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births [b]	35.4	28.3	18.4	2 / 10	0 / 1
Percentage of cancellations [b]	1.5	3.6	11.4	2 / 12	2 / 3
Average number of embryos transferred	2.1	2.3	2.7	3.5	1.0
Percentage of pregnancies with twins [b]	36.6	46.7	7 / 18	0 / 2	
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more [b]	4.9	6.7	0 / 18	0 / 2	
Percentage of live births having multiple infants [b,c]	38.7	44.4	5 / 12	0 / 2	
Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of transfers	31	12	10	1	0
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	45.2	4 / 12	4 / 10	0 / 1	
Average number of embryos transferred	1.6	1.4	1.7	1.0	

Donor Eggs	All Ages Combined [e] Fresh Embryos	Frozen Embryos
Number of transfers	7	0
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	4 / 7	
Average number of embryos transferred	2.1	

CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

Current Name: Dallas IVF

Donor egg?	Yes	Gestational carriers?	Yes	SART member?	Yes
Donor embryo?	Yes	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	Yes
Single women?	Yes			*(See Appendix C for details.)*	

[a] Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2009 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.
[b] When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.
[c] A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.
[d] Clinic-specific outcome rates for women older than 44 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs are not included because of small numbers. Readers are urged to review national outcomes for these age groups (see pages 30, 48 & 57).
[e] All ages (including ages >44) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.

PRESBYTERIAN HOSPITAL PLANO ARTS
PLANO, TEXAS

A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 81–90.

2009 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART [a]

IVF	100%	**Procedural Factors:**	
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	42%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	0%
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	<1%
		Used PGD	<1%
		With eSET	2%

Patient Diagnosis

Tubal factor	5%	Other factor	3%
Ovulatory dysfunction	22%	Unknown factor	3%
Diminished ovarian reserve	6%	*Multiple Factors:*	
Endometriosis	5%	Female factors only	17%
Uterine factor	2%	Female & male factors	24%
Male factor	12%		

2009 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by Alfred J. Rodriguez, MD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman <35	35–37	38–40	41–42	43–44 [d]
Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of cycles	157	67	61	26	10
Percentage of embryos transferred resulting in implantation [b]	39.5	32.9	21.2	13.8	0.0
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies [b]	50.3	50.7	39.3	30.8	0 / 10
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births [b,c]	45.9	47.8	32.8	23.1	0 / 10
(Confidence Interval)	(37.9–54.0)	(35.4–60.3)	(21.3–46.0)	(9.0–43.6)	
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births [b,c]	48.6	52.5	36.4	26.1	0 / 9
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	50.0	52.5	37.0	26.1	0 / 9
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births [b]	27.1	36.1	25.9	21.7	0 / 9
Percentage of cancellations [b]	5.7	9.0	9.8	11.5	1 / 10
Average number of embryos transferred	2.1	2.3	2.8	3.5	3.6
Percentage of pregnancies with twins [b]	49.4	29.4	33.3	1 / 8	
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more [b]	3.8	5.9	4.2	1 / 8	
Percentage of live births having multiple infants [b,c]	45.8	31.3	30.0	1 / 6	
Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of transfers	25	9	5	1	2
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	52.0	3 / 9	0 / 5	1 / 1	1 / 2
Average number of embryos transferred	1.7	2.0	1.4	1.0	2.0

	All Ages Combined [e]	
Donor Eggs	**Fresh Embryos**	**Frozen Embryos**
Number of transfers	21	13
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	57.1	2 / 13
Average number of embryos transferred	2.0	1.8

CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

Current Name: Presbyterian Hospital Plano ARTS

Donor egg?	Yes	Gestational carriers?	Yes	SART member?	Yes
Donor embryo?	Yes	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	Yes
Single women?	No			*(See Appendix C for details.)*	

[a] Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2009 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.
[b] When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.
[c] A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.
[d] Clinic-specific outcome rates for women older than 44 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs are not included because of small numbers. Readers are urged to review national outcomes for these age groups (see pages 30, 48 & 57).
[e] All ages (including ages >44) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.

FERTILITY CENTER OF SAN ANTONIO
SAN ANTONIO, TEXAS

A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 81–90.

2009 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART [a]

IVF	100%	**Procedural Factors:**	
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	63%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	0%
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	<1%
		Used PGD	4%
		With eSET	2%

Patient Diagnosis

Tubal factor	15%	Other factor	5%
Ovulatory dysfunction	8%	Unknown factor	8%
Diminished ovarian reserve	7%	*Multiple Factors:*	
Endometriosis	4%	Female factors only	13%
Uterine factor	<1%	Female & male factors	21%
Male factor	19%		

2009 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by Joseph E. Martin, MD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman <35	35–37	38–40	41–42	43–44 [d]
Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of cycles	148	58	68	19	8
Percentage of embryos transferred resulting in implantation [b]	42.7	32.6	14.4	11.4	2 / 9
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies [b]	59.5	43.1	30.9	4 / 19	2 / 8
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births [b,c]	51.4	34.5	16.2	3 / 19	1 / 8
(Confidence Interval)	(43.0–59.6)	(22.5–48.1)	(8.4–27.1)		
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births [b,c]	53.5	41.7	18.6	3 / 14	1 / 5
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	58.5	42.6	20.0	3 / 13	1 / 5
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births [b]	42.3	31.9	14.5	3 / 13	1 / 5
Percentage of cancellations [b]	4.1	17.2	13.2	5 / 19	3 / 8
Average number of embryos transferred	2.0	2.0	2.5	2.7	1.8
Percentage of pregnancies with twins [b]	30.7	28.0	19.0	0 / 4	0 / 2
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more [b]	0.0	0.0	0.0	0 / 4	0 / 2
Percentage of live births having multiple infants [b,c]	27.6	25.0	3 / 11	0 / 3	0 / 1
Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of transfers	56	29	29	5	3
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	51.8	37.9	27.6	2 / 5	0 / 3
Average number of embryos transferred	1.9	2.0	1.8	2.0	1.7

Donor Eggs	All Ages Combined [e] Fresh Embryos	Frozen Embryos
Number of transfers	35	10
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	57.1	3 / 10
Average number of embryos transferred	2.0	2.1

CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

Current Name: Fertility Center of San Antonio

Donor egg?	Yes	Gestational carriers?	Yes	SART member?	Yes
Donor embryo?	No	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	Yes
Single women?	Yes			*(See Appendix C for details.)*	

[a] Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2009 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.
[b] When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.
[c] A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.
[d] Clinic-specific outcome rates for women older than 44 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs are not included because of small numbers. Readers are urged to review national outcomes for these age groups (see pages 30, 48 & 57).
[e] All ages (including ages >44) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.

FERTILITY CONCEPTS
SAN ANTONIO, TEXAS

A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 81–90.

2009 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART [a]

IVF	100%	**Procedural Factors:**	
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	92%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	0%
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	0%
		Used PGD	0%
		With eSET	0%

Patient Diagnosis

Tubal factor	0%	Other factor	0%
Ovulatory dysfunction	0%	Unknown factor	0%
Diminished ovarian reserve	0%	*Multiple Factors:*	
Endometriosis	0%	Female factors only	25%
Uterine factor	0%	Female & male factors	58%
Male factor	17%		

2009 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by Linda R. Ellsworth, MD, PhD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman <35	35–37	38–40	41–42	43–44 [d]
Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of cycles	4	2	3	2	1
Percentage of embryos transferred resulting in implantation [b]	3 / 11	0 / 9	0 / 13	2 / 8	0 / 3
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies [b]	3 / 4	0 / 2	0 / 3	2 / 2	0 / 1
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births [b,c] (Confidence Interval)	3 / 4	0 / 2	0 / 3	1 / 2	0 / 1
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births [b,c]	3 / 3	0 / 2	0 / 3	1 / 2	0 / 1
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	3 / 3	0 / 2	0 / 3	1 / 2	0 / 1
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births [b]	3 / 3	0 / 2	0 / 3	1 / 2	0 / 1
Percentage of cancellations [b]	1 / 4	0 / 2	0 / 3	0 / 2	0 / 1
Average number of embryos transferred	3.7	4.5	4.3	4.0	3.0
Percentage of pregnancies with twins [b]	0 / 3			0 / 2	
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more [b]	0 / 3			0 / 2	
Percentage of live births having multiple infants [b,c]	0 / 3			0 / 1	
Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of transfers	0	0	0	0	0
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]					
Average number of embryos transferred					

Donor Eggs	All Ages Combined [e] Fresh Embryos	Frozen Embryos
Number of transfers	0	0
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]		
Average number of embryos transferred		

CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

Current Name: Fertility Concepts

Donor egg?	No	Gestational carriers?	No	SART member?	Yes
Donor embryo?	No	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	Yes
Single women?	Yes			*(See Appendix C for details.)*	

[a] Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2009 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.
[b] When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.
[c] A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.
[d] Clinic-specific outcome rates for women older than 44 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs are not included because of small numbers. Readers are urged to review national outcomes for these age groups (see pages 30, 48 & 57).
[e] All ages (including ages >44) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.

INSTITUTE FOR WOMEN'S HEALTH
ADVANCED FERTILITY CENTER
SAN ANTONIO, TEXAS

A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 81–90.

2009 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART [a]

IVF	100%	**Procedural Factors:**	
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	86%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	0%
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	0%
		Used PGD	0%
		With eSET	0%

Patient Diagnosis

Tubal factor	21%	Other factor	12%
Ovulatory dysfunction	7%	Unknown factor	0%
Diminished ovarian reserve	0%	*Multiple Factors:*	
Endometriosis	0%	Female factors only	19%
Uterine factor	0%	Female & male factors	33%
Male factor	9%		

2009 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by Joseph R. Garza, MD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman <35	35–37	38–40	41–42	43–44 [d]
Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of cycles	16	7	4	5	3
Percentage of embryos transferred resulting in implantation [b]	14.8	4.5	0 / 15	0 / 17	0 / 5
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies [b]	4 / 16	1 / 7	0 / 4	0 / 5	0 / 3
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births [b,c] (Confidence Interval)	4 / 16	1 / 7	0 / 4	0 / 5	0 / 3
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births [b,c]	4 / 14	1 / 6	0 / 4	0 / 5	0 / 2
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	4 / 9	1 / 6	0 / 4	0 / 5	0 / 2
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births [b]	4 / 9	1 / 6	0 / 4	0 / 5	0 / 2
Percentage of cancellations [b]	2 / 16	1 / 7	0 / 4	0 / 5	1 / 3
Average number of embryos transferred	3.0	3.7	3.8	3.4	2.5
Percentage of pregnancies with twins [b]	0 / 4	0 / 1			
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more [b]	0 / 4	0 / 1			
Percentage of live births having multiple infants [b,c]	0 / 4	0 / 1			
Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of transfers	3	1	0	1	0
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	0 / 3	0 / 1		0 / 1	
Average number of embryos transferred	3.0	3.0		3.0	

Donor Eggs	All Ages Combined [e] Fresh Embryos	Frozen Embryos
Number of transfers	2	1
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	0 / 2	0 / 1
Average number of embryos transferred	3.0	5.0

CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

Current Name: Institute for Women's Health, Advanced Fertility Center

Donor egg?	Yes	Gestational carriers?	Yes	SART member?	Yes
Donor embryo?	Yes	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	Yes
Single women?	Yes			*(See Appendix C for details.)*	

[a] Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2009 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.
[b] When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.
[c] A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.
[d] Clinic-specific outcome rates for women older than 44 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs are not included because of small numbers. Readers are urged to review national outcomes for these age groups (see pages 30, 48 & 57).
[e] All ages (including ages >44) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.

PERINATAL AND FERTILITY SPECIALISTS OF SAN ANTONIO, PA
SAN ANTONIO, TEXAS

A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 81–90.

2009 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART [a]

IVF	100%	**Procedural Factors:**	
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	91%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	0%
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	0%
		Used PGD	0%
		With eSET	0%

Patient Diagnosis

Tubal factor	4%	Other factor	0%
Ovulatory dysfunction	4%	Unknown factor	0%
Diminished ovarian reserve	15%	*Multiple Factors:*	
Endometriosis	19%	Female factors only	26%
Uterine factor	0%	Female & male factors	22%
Male factor	11%		

2009 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by Gerard M. Honore, MD, PhD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman <35	35–37	38–40	41–42	43–44 [d]
Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of cycles	10	6	5	0	0
Percentage of embryos transferred resulting in implantation [b]	12.9	4.3	1 / 10		
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies [b]	3 / 10	1 / 6	1 / 5		
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births [b,c] (Confidence Interval)	3 / 10	0 / 6	1 / 5		
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births [b,c]	3 / 9	0 / 6	1 / 4		
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	3 / 9	0 / 6	1 / 4		
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births [b]	3 / 9	0 / 6	1 / 4		
Percentage of cancellations [b]	1 / 10	0 / 6	1 / 5		
Average number of embryos transferred	3.4	3.8	2.5		
Percentage of pregnancies with twins [b]	1 / 3	0 / 1	0 / 1		
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more [b]	0 / 3	0 / 1	0 / 1		
Percentage of live births having multiple infants [b,c]	0 / 3		0 / 1		
Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of transfers	2	0	0	0	0
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	0 / 2				
Average number of embryos transferred	3.0				

Donor Eggs	All Ages Combined [e] Fresh Embryos	Frozen Embryos
Number of transfers	3	1
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	1 / 3	1 / 1
Average number of embryos transferred	2.3	5.0

CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

Current Name: Perinatal and Fertility Specialists of San Antonio, PA

Donor egg?	Yes	Gestational carriers?	Yes	SART member?	No
Donor embryo?	Yes	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	Yes
Single women?	Yes			*(See Appendix C for details.)*	

[a] Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2009 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.
[b] When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.
[c] A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.
[d] Clinic-specific outcome rates for women older than 44 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs are not included because of small numbers. Readers are urged to review national outcomes for these age groups (see pages 30, 48 & 57).
[e] All ages (including ages >44) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.

REPRODUCTIVE MEDICINE ASSOCIATES OF TEXAS, PA
SAN ANTONIO, TEXAS

A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 81–90.

2009 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART [a]

IVF	100%	**Procedural Factors:**	
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	82%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	0%
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	0%
		Used PGD	0%
		With eSET	6%

Patient Diagnosis

Tubal factor	10%	Other factor	<1%
Ovulatory dysfunction	14%	Unknown factor	11%
Diminished ovarian reserve	7%	*Multiple Factors:*	
Endometriosis	10%	Female factors only	6%
Uterine factor	2%	Female & male factors	14%
Male factor	26%		

2009 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by Francisco Arredondo, MD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman <35	35–37	38–40	41–42	43–44 [d]
Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of cycles	80	38	35	12	6
Percentage of embryos transferred resulting in implantation [b]	28.9	40.0	17.3	0 / 18	0 / 11
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies [b]	52.5	50.0	28.6	2 / 12	0 / 6
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births [b,c]	43.8	47.4	17.1	0 / 12	0 / 6
(Confidence Interval)	(32.7–55.3)	(31.0–64.2)	(6.6–33.6)		
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births [b,c]	45.5	56.3	20.0	0 / 8	0 / 6
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	46.7	56.3	20.7	0 / 8	0 / 6
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births [b]	37.3	37.5	13.8	0 / 8	0 / 6
Percentage of cancellations [b]	3.8	15.8	14.3	4 / 12	0 / 6
Average number of embryos transferred	2.0	2.2	2.6	2.3	1.8
Percentage of pregnancies with twins [b]	19.0	7 / 19	4 / 10	0 / 2	
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more [b]	0.0	1 / 19	0 / 10	0 / 2	
Percentage of live births having multiple infants [b,c]	20.0	6 / 18	2 / 6		
Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of transfers	12	1	7	0	0
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	8 / 12	1 / 1	3 / 7		
Average number of embryos transferred	2.2	2.0	2.4		

Donor Eggs	All Ages Combined [e] Fresh Embryos	Frozen Embryos
Number of transfers	12	2
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	8 / 12	1 / 2
Average number of embryos transferred	1.9	2.0

CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

Current Name: Reproductive Medicine Associates of Texas, PA

Donor egg?	Yes	Gestational carriers?	Yes	SART member?	Yes
Donor embryo?	Yes	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	Yes
Single women?	Yes			*(See Appendix C for details.)*	

[a] Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2009 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.
[b] When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.
[c] A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.
[d] Clinic-specific outcome rates for women older than 44 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs are not included because of small numbers. Readers are urged to review national outcomes for these age groups (see pages 30, 48 & 57).
[e] All ages (including ages >44) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.

UNIVERSITY OF TEXAS MEDICINE FERTILITY CENTER
SAN ANTONIO, TEXAS

A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 81–90.

2009 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART [a]

IVF	100%	**Procedural Factors:**	
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	14%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	0%
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	0%
		Used PGD	0%
		With eSET	0%

Patient Diagnosis

Tubal factor	18%	Other factor	4%
Ovulatory dysfunction	8%	Unknown factor	9%
Diminished ovarian reserve	13%	*Multiple Factors:*	
Endometriosis	9%	Female factors only	10%
Uterine factor	1%	Female & male factors	11%
Male factor	17%		

2009 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by Robert G. Brzyski, MD, PhD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman <35	35–37	38–40	41–42	43–44[d]
Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of cycles	20	10	12	7	1
Percentage of embryos transferred resulting in implantation[b]	42.5	9.5	20.0	0 / 7	0 / 1
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies[b]	65.0	2 / 10	4 / 12	1 / 7	0 / 1
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births[b,c]	60.0	2 / 10	2 / 12	0 / 7	0 / 1
(Confidence Interval)	(36.1–80.9)				
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births[b,c]	60.0	2 / 10	2 / 8	0 / 4	0 / 1
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births[b,c]	12 / 19	2 / 9	2 / 8	0 / 4	0 / 1
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births[b]	8 / 19	2 / 9	2 / 8	0 / 4	0 / 1
Percentage of cancellations[b]	0.0	0 / 10	4 / 12	3 / 7	0 / 1
Average number of embryos transferred	2.1	2.3	2.5	1.8	1.0
Percentage of pregnancies with twins[b]	4 / 13	0 / 2	0 / 4	0 / 1	
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more[b]	0 / 13	0 / 2	0 / 4	0 / 1	
Percentage of live births having multiple infants[b,c]	4 / 12	0 / 2	0 / 2		
Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of transfers	6	4	10	3	4
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births[b,c]	0 / 6	1 / 4	1 / 10	0 / 3	1 / 4
Average number of embryos transferred	2.0	2.0	2.1	2.3	2.3

Donor Eggs	All Ages Combined[e] Fresh Embryos	Frozen Embryos
Number of transfers	6	8
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births[b,c]	1 / 6	2 / 8
Average number of embryos transferred	2.2	1.9

CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

Current Name: University of Texas Medicine Fertility Center

Donor egg?	Yes	Gestational carriers?	Yes	SART member?	Yes
Donor embryo?	Yes	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	Yes
Single women?	Yes			*(See Appendix C for details.)*	

[a] Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2009 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.
[b] When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.
[c] A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.
[d] Clinic-specific outcome rates for women older than 44 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs are not included because of small numbers. Readers are urged to review national outcomes for these age groups (see pages 30, 48 & 57).
[e] All ages (including ages >44) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.

CENTER OF REPRODUCTIVE MEDICINE (CORM)
WEBSTER, TEXAS

A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 81–90.

2009 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART [a]

IVF	100%	**Procedural Factors:**	
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	57%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	0%
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	1%
		Used PGD	2%
		With eSET	6%

Patient Diagnosis

Tubal factor	17%	Other factor	2%
Ovulatory dysfunction	20%	Unknown factor	1%
Diminished ovarian reserve	23%	*Multiple Factors:*	
Endometriosis	13%	Female factors only	3%
Uterine factor	5%	Female & male factors	<1%
Male factor	15%		

2009 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by Vicki L. Schnell, MD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman <35	35–37	38–40	41–42	43–44 [d]
Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of cycles	72	36	33	8	3
Percentage of embryos transferred resulting in implantation [b]	56.3	36.9	30.4	2 / 12	0 / 5
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies [b]	63.9	50.0	36.4	1 / 8	0 / 3
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births [b,c]	55.6	50.0	33.3	1 / 8	0 / 3
(Confidence Interval)	(43.4–67.3)	(32.9–67.1)	(18.0–51.8)		
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births [b,c]	58.8	60.0	45.8	1 / 5	0 / 3
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	58.8	62.1	45.8	1 / 5	0 / 3
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births [b]	20.6	44.8	41.7	0 / 5	0 / 3
Percentage of cancellations [b]	5.6	16.7	27.3	3 / 8	0 / 3
Average number of embryos transferred	2.0	2.2	2.3	2.4	1.7
Percentage of pregnancies with twins [b]	58.7	4 / 18	2 / 12	1 / 1	
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more [b]	4.3	1 / 18	2 / 12	0 / 1	
Percentage of live births having multiple infants [b,c]	65.0	5 / 18	1 / 11	1 / 1	
Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of transfers	44	7	10	6	0
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	38.6	2 / 7	3 / 10	1 / 6	
Average number of embryos transferred	2.7	2.6	2.5	2.5	

Donor Eggs	All Ages Combined [e] Fresh Embryos	Frozen Embryos
Number of transfers	33	16
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	72.7	7 / 16
Average number of embryos transferred	2.1	2.4

CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

Current Name: Center of Reproductive Medicine (CORM)

Donor egg?	Yes	Gestational carriers?	Yes	SART member?	Yes
Donor embryo?	No	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	Yes
Single women?	Yes			*(See Appendix C for details.)*	

[a] Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2009 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.
[b] When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.
[c] A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.
[d] Clinic-specific outcome rates for women older than 44 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs are not included because of small numbers. Readers are urged to review national outcomes for these age groups (see pages 30, 48 & 57).
[e] All ages (including ages >44) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.

UTAH CENTER FOR REPRODUCTIVE MEDICINE
SALT LAKE CITY, UTAH

A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 81–90.

2009 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART [a]

IVF	100%	**Procedural Factors:**	
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	44%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	0%
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	<1%
		Used PGD	3%
		With eSET	8%

Patient Diagnosis

Tubal factor	5%	Other factor	4%
Ovulatory dysfunction	5%	Unknown factor	9%
Diminished ovarian reserve	9%	*Multiple Factors:*	
Endometriosis	5%	Female factors only	11%
Uterine factor	<1%	Female & male factors	26%
Male factor	26%		

2009 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by Ahmad O. Hammoud, MD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman <35	35–37	38–40	41–42	43–44[d]
Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of cycles	233	62	33	20	10
Percentage of embryos transferred resulting in implantation[b]	43.8	35.4	26.8	21.6	2 / 17
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies[b]	48.9	43.5	36.4	35.0	2 / 10
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births[b,c]	44.2	41.9	33.3	25.0	2 / 10
(Confidence Interval)	(37.7–50.8)	(29.5–55.2)	(18.0–51.8)	(8.7–49.1)	
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births[b,c]	51.0	52.0	42.3	5 / 14	2 / 6
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births[b,c]	55.1	53.1	44.0	5 / 14	2 / 6
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births[b]	32.1	30.6	28.0	4 / 14	2 / 6
Percentage of cancellations[b]	13.3	19.4	21.2	30.0	4 / 10
Average number of embryos transferred	2.0	2.3	2.2	2.6	2.8
Percentage of pregnancies with twins[b]	41.2	40.7	4 / 12	2 / 7	0 / 2
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more[b]	1.8	3.7	0 / 12	0 / 7	0 / 2
Percentage of live births having multiple infants[b,c]	41.7	42.3	4 / 11	1 / 5	0 / 2
Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of transfers	68	20	14	5	1
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births[b,c]	20.6	20.0	2 / 14	2 / 5	0 / 1
Average number of embryos transferred	2.2	2.1	2.2	3.0	1.0

Donor Eggs	All Ages Combined[e] Fresh Embryos	Frozen Embryos
Number of transfers	24	8
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births[b,c]	79.2	4 / 8
Average number of embryos transferred	1.8	2.3

CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

Current Name: Utah Center for Reproductive Medicine

Donor egg?	Yes	Gestational carriers?	Yes	SART member?	Yes
Donor embryo?	No	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	Yes
Single women?	Yes			*(See Appendix C for details.)*	

[a] Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2009 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.
[b] When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.
[c] A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.
[d] Clinic-specific outcome rates for women older than 44 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs are not included because of small numbers. Readers are urged to review national outcomes for these age groups (see pages 30, 48 & 57).
[e] All ages (including ages >44) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.

REPRODUCTIVE CARE CENTER
SANDY, UTAH

A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 81–90.

2009 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART [a]

IVF	100%	**Procedural Factors:**	
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	58%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	0%
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	2%
		Used PGD	4%
		With eSET	1%

Patient Diagnosis

Tubal factor	6%	Other factor	<1%
Ovulatory dysfunction	8%	Unknown factor	3%
Diminished ovarian reserve	7%	*Multiple Factors:*	
Endometriosis	9%	Female factors only	9%
Uterine factor	2%	Female & male factors	26%
Male factor	29%		

2009 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by Keith L. Blauer, MD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman <35	35–37	38–40	41–42	43–44 [d]
Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of cycles	195	41	33	1	2
Percentage of embryos transferred resulting in implantation [b]	41.2	30.8	12.7	0 / 2	1 / 8
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies [b]	53.3	39.0	24.2	0 / 1	1 / 2
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births [b,c]	51.8	29.3	15.2	0 / 1	1 / 2
(Confidence Interval)	(44.5–59.0)	(16.1–45.5)	(5.1–31.9)		
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births [b,c]	54.0	34.3	18.5	0 / 1	1 / 2
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	57.7	38.7	19.2	0 / 1	1 / 2
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births [b]	33.7	38.7	19.2	0 / 1	1 / 2
Percentage of cancellations [b]	4.1	14.6	18.2	0 / 1	0 / 2
Average number of embryos transferred	2.1	2.1	2.4	2.0	4.0
Percentage of pregnancies with twins [b]	44.2	4 / 16	0 / 8		0 / 1
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more [b]	1.9	0 / 16	0 / 8		0 / 1
Percentage of live births having multiple infants [b,c]	41.6	0 / 12	0 / 5		0 / 1
Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of transfers	75	16	8	4	6
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	37.3	4 / 16	3 / 8	0 / 4	1 / 6
Average number of embryos transferred	2.0	2.0	2.3	2.3	1.8

Donor Eggs	All Ages Combined [e] Fresh Embryos	Frozen Embryos
Number of transfers	10	6
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	6 / 10	1 / 6
Average number of embryos transferred	2.0	2.2

CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

Current Name: Reproductive Care Center

Donor egg?	Yes	Gestational carriers?	Yes	SART member?	Yes
Donor embryo?	Yes	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	Yes
Single women?	No			*(See Appendix C for details.)*	

[a] Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2009 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.
[b] When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.
[c] A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.
[d] Clinic-specific outcome rates for women older than 44 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs are not included because of small numbers. Readers are urged to review national outcomes for these age groups (see pages 30, 48 & 57).
[e] All ages (including ages >44) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.

VERMONT CENTER FOR REPRODUCTIVE MEDICINE
BURLINGTON, VERMONT

A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 81–90.

2009 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART [a]

IVF	100%	**Procedural Factors:**	
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	65%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	0%
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	3%
		Used PGD	0%
		With eSET	9%

Patient Diagnosis

Tubal factor	8%	Other factor	4%
Ovulatory dysfunction	7%	Unknown factor	21%
Diminished ovarian reserve	10%	*Multiple Factors:*	
Endometriosis	4%	Female factors only	8%
Uterine factor	0%	Female & male factors	18%
Male factor	22%		

2009 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by Peter R. Casson, MD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman <35	35–37	38–40	41–42	43–44 [d]
Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of cycles	52	20	32	11	0
Percentage of embryos transferred resulting in implantation [b]	37.1	33.3	17.0	3 / 12	
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies [b]	50.0	45.0	25.0	3 / 11	
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births [b,c]	44.2	30.0	18.8	3 / 11	
(Confidence Interval)	(30.5–58.7)	(11.9–54.3)	(7.2–36.4)		
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births [b,c]	48.9	6 / 17	21.4	3 / 8	
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	50.0	6 / 16	26.1	3 / 6	
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births [b]	34.8	4 / 16	17.4	3 / 6	
Percentage of cancellations [b]	9.6	15.0	12.5	3 / 11	
Average number of embryos transferred	1.9	2.1	2.3	2.0	
Percentage of pregnancies with twins [b]	34.6	3 / 9	3 / 8	0 / 3	
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more [b]	0.0	0 / 9	0 / 8	0 / 3	
Percentage of live births having multiple infants [b,c]	30.4	2 / 6	2 / 6	0 / 3	
Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of transfers	5	5	4	0	1
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	0 / 5	1 / 5	0 / 4		1 / 1
Average number of embryos transferred	2.0	1.2	2.3		1.0

Donor Eggs	All Ages Combined [e] Fresh Embryos	Frozen Embryos
Number of transfers	6	3
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	3 / 6	1 / 3
Average number of embryos transferred	1.5	1.7

CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

Current Name: Vermont Center for Reproductive Medicine

Donor egg?	Yes	Gestational carriers?	Yes	SART member?	Yes
Donor embryo?	No	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	Yes
Single women?	Yes			*(See Appendix C for details.)*	

[a] Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2009 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.
[b] When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.
[c] A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.
[d] Clinic-specific outcome rates for women older than 44 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs are not included because of small numbers. Readers are urged to review national outcomes for these age groups (see pages 30, 48 & 57).
[e] All ages (including ages >44) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.

WASHINGTON FERTILITY CENTER
ANNANDALE, VIRGINIA

A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 81–90.

2009 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART [a]

IVF	100%	**Procedural Factors:**	
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	52%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	0%
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	2%
		Used PGD	<1%
		With eSET	1%

Patient Diagnosis

Tubal factor	5%	Other factor	4%
Ovulatory dysfunction	2%	Unknown factor	15%
Diminished ovarian reserve	22%	*Multiple Factors:*	
Endometriosis	5%	Female factors only	4%
Uterine factor	<1%	Female & male factors	24%
Male factor	20%		

2009 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by Pierre Asmar, MD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman <35	35–37	38–40	41–42	43–44[d]
Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of cycles	47	17	29	12	8
Percentage of embryos transferred resulting in implantation[b]	13.1	10.6	7.7	4.5	0 / 4
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies[b]	23.4	4 / 17	13.8	1 / 12	0 / 8
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births[b,c]	17.0	4 / 17	10.3	1 / 12	0 / 8
(Confidence Interval)	(7.6–30.8)		(2.2–27.4)		
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births[b,c]	18.2	4 / 17	12.0	1 / 8	0 / 5
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births[b,c]	20.0	4 / 17	15.0	1 / 7	0 / 2
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births[b]	15.0	4 / 17	15.0	1 / 7	0 / 2
Percentage of cancellations[b]	6.4	0 / 17	13.8	4 / 12	3 / 8
Average number of embryos transferred	2.5	2.8	2.6	3.1	2.0
Percentage of pregnancies with twins[b]	3 / 11	1 / 4	0 / 4	0 / 1	
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more[b]	0 / 11	0 / 4	0 / 4	0 / 1	
Percentage of live births having multiple infants[b,c]	2 / 8	0 / 4	0 / 3	0 / 1	
Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of transfers	1	2	0	0	1
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births[b,c]	0 / 1	1 / 2			0 / 1
Average number of embryos transferred	2.0	3.5			1.0

Donor Eggs	All Ages Combined[e] Fresh Embryos	Frozen Embryos
Number of transfers	39	4
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births[b,c]	33.3	0 / 4
Average number of embryos transferred	2.6	2.0

CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

Current Name: Washington Fertility Center

Donor egg?	Yes	Gestational carriers?	Yes	SART member?	Yes
Donor embryo?	Yes	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	Yes
Single women?	Yes			*(See Appendix C for details.)*	

[a] Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2009 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.
[b] When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.
[c] A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.
[d] Clinic-specific outcome rates for women older than 44 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs are not included because of small numbers. Readers are urged to review national outcomes for these age groups (see pages 30, 48 & 57).
[e] All ages (including ages >44) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.

DOMINION FERTILITY AND ENDOCRINOLOGY
ARLINGTON, VIRGINIA

A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 81–90.

2009 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART [a]

IVF	100%	**Procedural Factors:**	
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	60%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	59%
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	<1%
		Used PGD	<1%
		With eSET	10%

Patient Diagnosis

Tubal factor	4%	Other factor	4%
Ovulatory dysfunction	5%	Unknown factor	10%
Diminished ovarian reserve	26%	*Multiple Factors:*	
Endometriosis	<1%	Female factors only	9%
Uterine factor	<1%	Female & male factors	26%
Male factor	15%		

2009 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by Michael DiMattina, MD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman				
	<35	35–37	38–40	41–42	43–44 [d]
Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of cycles	177	110	118	31	16
Percentage of embryos transferred resulting in implantation [b]	32.3	20.2	15.1	7.7	0 / 9
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies [b]	29.4	19.1	16.9	6.5	0 / 16
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births [b,c]	28.2	16.4	13.6	3.2	0 / 16
(Confidence Interval)	(21.7–35.5)	(10.0–24.6)	(8.0–21.1)	(0.1–16.7)	
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births [b,c]	30.9	18.4	15.5	4.5	0 / 13
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	40.7	25.7	21.3	1 / 16	0 / 8
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births [b]	35.8	24.3	20.0	1 / 16	0 / 8
Percentage of cancellations [b]	8.5	10.9	12.7	29.0	3 / 16
Average number of embryos transferred	1.5	1.6	1.9	1.6	1.1
Percentage of pregnancies with twins [b]	17.3	4.8	5.0	0 / 2	
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more [b]	0.0	0.0	0.0	0 / 2	
Percentage of live births having multiple infants [b,c]	12.0	1 / 18	1 / 16	0 / 1	
Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of transfers	29	28	17	1	2
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	37.9	42.9	5 / 17	0 / 1	0 / 2
Average number of embryos transferred	1.4	1.7	1.9	1.0	1.0

	All Ages Combined [e]	
Donor Eggs	**Fresh Embryos**	**Frozen Embryos**
Number of transfers	26	22
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	50.0	31.8
Average number of embryos transferred	1.7	1.5

CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

Current Name: Dominion Fertility and Endocrinology

Donor egg?	Yes	Gestational carriers?	Yes	SART member?	Yes
Donor embryo?	Yes	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	Yes
Single women?	Yes			*(See Appendix C for details.)*	

[a] Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2009 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.
[b] When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.
[c] A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.
[d] Clinic-specific outcome rates for women older than 44 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs are not included because of small numbers. Readers are urged to review national outcomes for these age groups (see pages 30, 48 & 57).
[e] All ages (including ages >44) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.

REPRODUCTIVE MEDICINE AND SURGERY CENTER OF VIRGINIA, PLC
CHARLOTTESVILLE, VIRGINIA

A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 81–90.

2009 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART [a]

IVF	100%	**Procedural Factors:**	
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	60%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	0%
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	<1%
		Used PGD	1%
		With eSET	<1%

Patient Diagnosis

Tubal factor	7%	Other factor	2%
Ovulatory dysfunction	8%	Unknown factor	7%
Diminished ovarian reserve	8%	*Multiple Factors:*	
Endometriosis	14%	Female factors only	12%
Uterine factor	<1%	Female & male factors	16%
Male factor	25%		

2009 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by Christopher D. Williams, MD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman <35	35–37	38–40	41–42	43–44[d]
Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of cycles	87	37	24	5	0
Percentage of embryos transferred resulting in implantation[b]	50.6	23.5	12.5	4 / 13	
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies[b]	58.6	29.7	25.0	2 / 5	
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births[b,c]	51.7	29.7	20.8	1 / 5	
(Confidence Interval)	(40.8–62.6)	(15.9–47.0)	(7.1–42.2)		
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births[b,c]	56.3	35.5	5 / 19	1 / 4	
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births[b,c]	59.2	37.9	5 / 19	1 / 4	
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births[b]	32.9	24.1	4 / 19	1 / 4	
Percentage of cancellations[b]	8.0	16.2	20.8	1 / 5	
Average number of embryos transferred	2.1	2.3	3.4	3.3	
Percentage of pregnancies with twins[b]	49.0	5 / 11	2 / 6	0 / 2	
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more[b]	3.9	0 / 11	0 / 6	1 / 2	
Percentage of live births having multiple infants[b,c]	44.4	4 / 11	1 / 5	0 / 1	
Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of transfers	17	10	4	1	0
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births[b,c]	3 / 17	2 / 10	1 / 4	1 / 1	
Average number of embryos transferred	1.7	1.7	2.0	2.0	

Donor Eggs	All Ages Combined[e] Fresh Embryos	Frozen Embryos
Number of transfers	22	11
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births[b,c]	50.0	3 / 11
Average number of embryos transferred	2.1	2.2

CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

Current Name: Reproductive Medicine and Surgery Center of Virginia, PLC

Donor egg?	Yes	Gestational carriers?	Yes	SART member?	Yes
Donor embryo?	Yes	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	Yes
Single women?	Yes			*(See Appendix C for details.)*	

[a] Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2009 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.
[b] When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.
[c] A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.
[d] Clinic-specific outcome rates for women older than 44 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs are not included because of small numbers. Readers are urged to review national outcomes for these age groups (see pages 30, 48 & 57).
[e] All ages (including ages >44) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.

GENETICS & IVF INSTITUTE
FAIRFAX, VIRGINIA

A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 81–90.

2009 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART [a]

IVF	100%	**Procedural Factors:**	
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	72%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	0%
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	<1%
		Used PGD	22%
		With eSET	4%

Patient Diagnosis

Tubal factor	5%	Other factor	17%
Ovulatory dysfunction	1%	Unknown factor	3%
Diminished ovarian reserve	19%	*Multiple Factors:*	
Endometriosis	<1%	Female factors only	10%
Uterine factor	<1%	Female & male factors	28%
Male factor	18%		

2009 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by Laurence C. Udoff, MD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman <35	35–37	38–40	41–42	43–44 [d]
Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of cycles	127	94	81	35	25
Percentage of embryos transferred resulting in implantation [b]	31.4	18.8	13.4	5.9	5.4
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies [b]	40.2	28.7	25.9	17.1	8.0
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births [b,c]	35.4	24.5	18.5	8.6	4.0
(Confidence Interval)	(27.2–44.4)	(16.2–34.4)	(10.8–28.7)	(1.8–23.1)	(0.1–20.4)
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births [b,c]	37.8	25.3	19.7	9.4	1 / 19
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	39.8	27.4	21.7	11.5	1 / 17
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births [b]	23.0	21.4	20.3	11.5	1 / 17
Percentage of cancellations [b]	6.3	3.2	6.2	8.6	24.0
Average number of embryos transferred	2.0	2.0	2.4	2.6	3.3
Percentage of pregnancies with twins [b]	31.4	18.5	19.0	0 / 6	1 / 2
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more [b]	7.8	3.7	0.0	0 / 6	0 / 2
Percentage of live births having multiple infants [b,c]	42.2	21.7	1 / 15	0 / 3	0 / 1
Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of transfers	24	39	16	2	2
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	41.7	25.6	6 / 16	0 / 2	0 / 2
Average number of embryos transferred	1.8	1.9	2.3	2.0	2.0

Donor Eggs	All Ages Combined [e] Fresh Embryos	Frozen Embryos
Number of transfers	137	62
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	54.7	46.8
Average number of embryos transferred	2.0	1.9

CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

Current Name: Genetics & IVF Institute

Donor egg?	Yes	Gestational carriers?	Yes	SART member?	Yes
Donor embryo?	Yes	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	Yes
Single women?	Yes			*(See Appendix C for details.)*	

[a] Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2009 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.
[b] When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.
[c] A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.
[d] Clinic-specific outcome rates for women older than 44 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs are not included because of small numbers. Readers are urged to review national outcomes for these age groups (see pages 30, 48 & 57).
[e] All ages (including ages >44) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.

THE MUASHER CENTER FOR FERTILITY AND IVF
FAIRFAX, VIRGINIA

A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 81–90.

2009 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART [a]

IVF	100%	**Procedural Factors:**	
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	53%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	0%
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	0%
		Used PGD	0%
		With eSET	1%

Patient Diagnosis

Tubal factor	16%	Other factor	<1%
Ovulatory dysfunction	5%	Unknown factor	26%
Diminished ovarian reserve	18%	*Multiple Factors:*	
Endometriosis	5%	Female factors only	3%
Uterine factor	4%	Female & male factors	7%
Male factor	15%		

2009 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by Suheil J. Muasher, MD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman <35	35–37	38–40	41–42	43–44 [d]
Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of cycles	36	19	30	8	8
Percentage of embryos transferred resulting in implantation [b]	23.3	25.8	13.1	4.2	0 / 17
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies [b]	41.7	7 / 19	30.0	1 / 8	1 / 8
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births [b,c]	33.3	4 / 19	16.7	0 / 8	0 / 8
(Confidence Interval)	(18.6–51.0)		(5.6–34.7)		
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births [b,c]	33.3	4 / 19	17.2	0 / 7	0 / 7
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	34.3	4 / 16	20.0	0 / 7	0 / 6
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births [b]	25.7	3 / 16	16.0	0 / 7	0 / 6
Percentage of cancellations [b]	0.0	0 / 19	3.3	1 / 8	1 / 8
Average number of embryos transferred	2.1	1.9	2.4	3.4	2.8
Percentage of pregnancies with twins [b]	3 / 15	2 / 7	1 / 9	0 / 1	0 / 1
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more [b]	0 / 15	0 / 7	0 / 9	0 / 1	0 / 1
Percentage of live births having multiple infants [b,c]	3 / 12	1 / 4	1 / 5		
Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of transfers	2	2	2	1	0
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	0 / 2	2 / 2	1 / 2	0 / 1	
Average number of embryos transferred	2.5	2.5	3.5	3.0	

Donor Eggs	All Ages Combined [e] Fresh Embryos	Frozen Embryos
Number of transfers	4	2
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	2 / 4	0 / 2
Average number of embryos transferred	2.3	2.0

CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

Current Name: The Muasher Center for Fertility and IVF

Donor egg?	Yes	Gestational carriers?	Yes	SART member?	Yes
Donor embryo?	No	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	Yes
Single women?	Yes			*(See Appendix C for details.)*	

[a] Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2009 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.
[b] When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.
[c] A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.
[d] Clinic-specific outcome rates for women older than 44 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs are not included because of small numbers. Readers are urged to review national outcomes for these age groups (see pages 30, 48 & 57).
[e] All ages (including ages >44) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.

JONES INSTITUTE FOR REPRODUCTIVE MEDICINE
NORFOLK, VIRGINIA

A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 81–90.

2009 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART [a]

IVF	100%	**Procedural Factors:**	
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	84%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	0%
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	0%
		Used PGD	1%
		With eSET	1%

Patient Diagnosis

Tubal factor	11%	Other factor	2%
Ovulatory dysfunction	8%	Unknown factor	6%
Diminished ovarian reserve	18%	*Multiple Factors:*	
Endometriosis	3%	Female factors only	20%
Uterine factor	1%	Female & male factors	16%
Male factor	15%		

2009 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by Laurel A. Stadtmauer, MD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman <35	35–37	38–40	41–42	43–44 [d]
Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of cycles	87	60	37	16	9
Percentage of embryos transferred resulting in implantation [b]	24.7	16.1	15.0	11.1	1 / 14
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies [b]	32.2	25.0	16.2	3 / 16	1 / 9
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births [b,c]	29.9	18.3	13.5	2 / 16	1 / 9
(Confidence Interval)	(20.5–40.6)	(9.5–30.4)	(4.5–28.8)		
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births [b,c]	31.3	20.0	17.9	2 / 12	1 / 6
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	32.5	20.8	18.5	2 / 11	1 / 6
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births [b]	21.3	17.0	14.8	2 / 11	1 / 6
Percentage of cancellations [b]	4.6	8.3	24.3	4 / 16	3 / 9
Average number of embryos transferred	2.0	2.1	2.2	2.5	2.3
Percentage of pregnancies with twins [b]	35.7	3 / 15	3 / 6	0 / 3	0 / 1
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more [b]	3.6	0 / 15	0 / 6	0 / 3	0 / 1
Percentage of live births having multiple infants [b,c]	34.6	2 / 11	1 / 5	0 / 2	0 / 1
Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of transfers	44	27	16	1	2
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	31.8	18.5	6 / 16	0 / 1	0 / 2
Average number of embryos transferred	2.4	2.5	2.5	3.0	2.0

Donor Eggs	All Ages Combined [e] Fresh Embryos	Frozen Embryos
Number of transfers	30	39
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	26.7	25.6
Average number of embryos transferred	2.0	2.3

CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

Current Name: Jones Institute for Reproductive Medicine

Donor egg?	Yes	Gestational carriers?	Yes	SART member?	Yes
Donor embryo?	Yes	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	Yes
Single women?	Yes			*(See Appendix C for details.)*	

[a] Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2009 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.
[b] When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.
[c] A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.
[d] Clinic-specific outcome rates for women older than 44 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs are not included because of small numbers. Readers are urged to review national outcomes for these age groups (see pages 30, 48 & 57).
[e] All ages (including ages >44) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.

VIRGINIA CENTER FOR REPRODUCTIVE MEDICINE
RESTON, VIRGINIA

A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 81–90.

2009 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART [a]

IVF	100%	**Procedural Factors:**	
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	97%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	0%
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	3%
		Used PGD	0%
		With eSET	<1%

Patient Diagnosis

Tubal factor	2%	Other factor	5%
Ovulatory dysfunction	<1%	Unknown factor	0%
Diminished ovarian reserve	7%	*Multiple Factors:*	
Endometriosis	<1%	Female factors only	15%
Uterine factor	1%	Female & male factors	60%
Male factor	8%		

2009 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by Fady I. Sharara, MD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman <35	35–37	38–40	41–42	43–44[d]
Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of cycles	52	22	24	15	2
Percentage of embryos transferred resulting in implantation[b]	37.7	42.2	23.6	20.7	0 / 4
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies[b]	61.5	68.2	45.8	5 / 15	0 / 2
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births[b,c]	53.8	45.5	29.2	3 / 15	0 / 2
(Confidence Interval)	(39.5–67.8)	(24.4–67.8)	(12.6–51.1)		
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births[b,c]	53.8	45.5	30.4	3 / 13	0 / 2
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births[b,c]	53.8	45.5	30.4	3 / 13	0 / 2
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births[b]	38.5	36.4	21.7	2 / 13	0 / 2
Percentage of cancellations[b]	0.0	0.0	4.2	2 / 15	0 / 2
Average number of embryos transferred	2.0	2.0	2.4	2.2	2.0
Percentage of pregnancies with twins[b]	25.0	5 / 15	2 / 11	1 / 5	
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more[b]	0.0	0 / 15	0 / 11	0 / 5	
Percentage of live births having multiple infants[b,c]	28.6	2 / 10	2 / 7	1 / 3	
Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of transfers	2	0	0	2	0
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births[b,c]	1 / 2			1 / 2	
Average number of embryos transferred	2.0			2.5	

Donor Eggs	All Ages Combined[e] Fresh Embryos	Frozen Embryos
Number of transfers	19	4
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births[b,c]	15 / 19	0 / 4
Average number of embryos transferred	1.9	2.0

CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

Current Name: Virginia Center for Reproductive Medicine

Donor egg?	Yes	Gestational carriers?	Yes	SART member?	Yes
Donor embryo?	Yes	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	Yes
Single women?	Yes			*(See Appendix C for details.)*	

[a] Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2009 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.
[b] When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.
[c] A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.
[d] Clinic-specific outcome rates for women older than 44 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs are not included because of small numbers. Readers are urged to review national outcomes for these age groups (see pages 30, 48 & 57).
[e] All ages (including ages >44) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.

FERTILITY INSTITUTE OF VIRGINIA
RICHMOND, VIRGINIA

A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 81–90.

2009 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART [a]

IVF	100%	**Procedural Factors:**	
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	84%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	0%
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	2%
		Used PGD	2%
		With eSET	5%

Patient Diagnosis

Tubal factor	15%	Other factor	2%
Ovulatory dysfunction	3%	Unknown factor	18%
Diminished ovarian reserve	9%	*Multiple Factors:*	
Endometriosis	12%	Female factors only	4%
Uterine factor	<1%	Female & male factors	7%
Male factor	31%		

2009 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by Kenneth A. Steingold, MD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman <35	35–37	38–40	41–42	43–44 [d]
Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of cycles	70	53	34	13	4
Percentage of embryos transferred resulting in implantation [b]	52.4	24.4	21.3	5.1	0 / 18
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies [b]	61.4	35.8	41.2	3 / 13	0 / 4
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births [b,c]	57.1	32.1	26.5	1 / 13	0 / 4
(Confidence Interval)	(44.7–68.9)	(19.9–46.3)	(12.9–44.4)		
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births [b,c]	58.0	32.7	31.0	1 / 12	0 / 4
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	62.5	34.7	33.3	1 / 11	0 / 4
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births [b]	31.3	12.2	18.5	1 / 11	0 / 4
Percentage of cancellations [b]	1.4	1.9	14.7	1 / 13	0 / 4
Average number of embryos transferred	1.9	2.5	2.8	3.5	4.5
Percentage of pregnancies with twins [b]	53.5	12 / 19	3 / 14	0 / 3	
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more [b]	0.0	0 / 19	1 / 14	0 / 3	
Percentage of live births having multiple infants [b,c]	50.0	11 / 17	4 / 9	0 / 1	
Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of transfers	30	20	19	4	0
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	46.7	35.0	7 / 19	1 / 4	
Average number of embryos transferred	2.6	2.7	2.4	3.0	

Donor Eggs	All Ages Combined [e] Fresh Embryos	Frozen Embryos
Number of transfers	9	13
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	5 / 9	7 / 13
Average number of embryos transferred	2.2	2.7

CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

Current Name: Fertility Institute of Virginia

Donor egg?	Yes	Gestational carriers?	Yes	SART member?	Yes
Donor embryo?	Yes	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	Yes
Single women?	Yes			*(See Appendix C for details.)*	

[a] Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2009 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.
[b] When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.
[c] A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.
[d] Clinic-specific outcome rates for women older than 44 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs are not included because of small numbers. Readers are urged to review national outcomes for these age groups (see pages 30, 48 & 57).
[e] All ages (including ages >44) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.

LIFESOURCE FERTILITY CENTER
RICHMOND, VIRGINIA

A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 81–90.

2009 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART[a]

IVF	100%	**Procedural Factors:**	
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	73%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	0%
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	0%
		Used PGD	8%
		With eSET	3%

Patient Diagnosis

Tubal factor	8%	Other factor	7%
Ovulatory dysfunction	3%	Unknown factor	3%
Diminished ovarian reserve	4%	*Multiple Factors:*	
Endometriosis	0%	Female factors only	9%
Uterine factor	0%	Female & male factors	34%
Male factor	32%		

2009 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by Joseph G. Gianfortoni, MD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman <35	35–37	38–40	41–42	43–44[d]
Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of cycles	28	14	6	2	1
Percentage of embryos transferred resulting in implantation[b]	43.2	42.9	2 / 13	0 / 2	0 / 4
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies[b]	53.6	6 / 14	2 / 6	1 / 2	1 / 1
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births[b,c]	50.0	6 / 14	1 / 6	0 / 2	0 / 1
(Confidence Interval)	(30.6–69.4)				
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births[b,c]	56.0	6 / 11	1 / 5	0 / 1	0 / 1
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births[b,c]	63.6	6 / 10	1 / 5	0 / 1	0 / 1
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births[b]	45.5	5 / 10	1 / 5	0 / 1	0 / 1
Percentage of cancellations[b]	10.7	3 / 14	1 / 6	1 / 2	0 / 1
Average number of embryos transferred	2.0	2.1	2.6	2.0	4.0
Percentage of pregnancies with twins[b]	4 / 15	3 / 6	0 / 2	0 / 1	0 / 1
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more[b]	0 / 15	0 / 6	0 / 2	0 / 1	0 / 1
Percentage of live births having multiple infants[b,c]	4 / 14	1 / 6	0 / 1		
Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of transfers	22	6	2	0	0
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births[b,c]	45.5	2 / 6	2 / 2		
Average number of embryos transferred	1.9	2.3	3.0		

Donor Eggs	All Ages Combined[e] Fresh Embryos	Frozen Embryos
Number of transfers	6	3
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births[b,c]	4 / 6	2 / 3
Average number of embryos transferred	1.5	1.7

CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

Current Name: LifeSource Fertility Center

Donor egg?	Yes	Gestational carriers?	Yes	SART member?	Yes
Donor embryo?	Yes	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	Yes
Single women?	Yes			*(See Appendix C for details.)*	

[a] Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2009 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.
[b] When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.
[c] A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.
[d] Clinic-specific outcome rates for women older than 44 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs are not included because of small numbers. Readers are urged to review national outcomes for these age groups (see pages 30, 48 & 57).
[e] All ages (including ages >44) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.

THE RICHMOND CENTER FOR FERTILITY AND ENDOCRINOLOGY
RICHMOND, VIRGINIA

A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 81–90.

2009 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART [a]

IVF	100%	**Procedural Factors:**	
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	75%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	0%
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	0%
		Used PGD	0%
		With eSET	1%

Patient Diagnosis

Tubal factor	9%	Other factor	<1%
Ovulatory dysfunction	2%	Unknown factor	2%
Diminished ovarian reserve	11%	*Multiple Factors:*	
Endometriosis	2%	Female factors only	4%
Uterine factor	<1%	Female & male factors	43%
Male factor	26%		

2009 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by Sanford M. Rosenberg, MD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman <35	35–37	38–40	41–42	43–44 [d]
Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of cycles	43	23	18	11	0
Percentage of embryos transferred resulting in implantation [b]	31.6	20.8	20.4	10.5	
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies [b]	44.2	30.4	8 / 18	4 / 11	
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births [b,c]	39.5	26.1	6 / 18	3 / 11	
(Confidence Interval)	(25.0–55.6)	(10.2–48.4)			
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births [b,c]	41.5	30.0	6 / 15	3 / 8	
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	47.2	6 / 19	6 / 15	3 / 8	
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births [b]	27.8	1 / 19	4 / 15	2 / 8	
Percentage of cancellations [b]	4.7	13.0	3 / 18	3 / 11	
Average number of embryos transferred	2.1	2.8	3.3	4.8	
Percentage of pregnancies with twins [b]	7 / 19	5 / 7	2 / 8	1 / 4	
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more [b]	0 / 19	0 / 7	0 / 8	0 / 4	
Percentage of live births having multiple infants [b,c]	7 / 17	5 / 6	2 / 6	1 / 3	
Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of transfers	34	9	6	6	1
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	35.3	5 / 9	0 / 6	1 / 6	0 / 1
Average number of embryos transferred	2.0	2.6	2.7	4.0	3.0

Donor Eggs	All Ages Combined [e] Fresh Embryos	Frozen Embryos
Number of transfers	9	4
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	7 / 9	4 / 4
Average number of embryos transferred	2.0	2.5

CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

Current Name: The Richmond Center for Fertility and Endocrinology

Donor egg?	Yes	Gestational carriers?	Yes	SART member?	Yes
Donor embryo?	Yes	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	Yes
Single women?	Yes			*(See Appendix C for details.)*	

[a] Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2009 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.
[b] When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.
[c] A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.
[d] Clinic-specific outcome rates for women older than 44 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs are not included because of small numbers. Readers are urged to review national outcomes for these age groups (see pages 30, 48 & 57).
[e] All ages (including ages >44) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.

UNIVERSITY CENTER FOR ADVANCED REPRODUCTIVE MEDICINE
RICHMOND, VIRGINIA

A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 81–90.

2009 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART [a]

IVF	100%	**Procedural Factors:**	
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	67%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	0%
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	0%
		Used PGD	33%
		With eSET	0%

Patient Diagnosis

Tubal factor	0%	Other factor	0%
Ovulatory dysfunction	0%	Unknown factor	33%
Diminished ovarian reserve	0%	*Multiple Factors:*	
Endometriosis	0%	Female factors only	0%
Uterine factor	0%	Female & male factors	33%
Male factor	33%		

2009 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by Richard S. Lucidi, MD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman <35	35–37	38–40	41–42	43–44[d]
Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of cycles	2	1	0	0	0
Percentage of embryos transferred resulting in implantation[b]	0 / 4				
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies[b]	0 / 2	0 / 1			
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births[b,c] (Confidence Interval)	0 / 2	0 / 1			
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births[b,c]	0 / 2				
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births[b,c]	0 / 2				
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births[b]	0 / 2				
Percentage of cancellations[b]	0 / 2	1 / 1			
Average number of embryos transferred	2.0				
Percentage of pregnancies with twins[b]					
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more[b]					
Percentage of live births having multiple infants[b,c]					
Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of transfers	0	0	0	0	0
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births[b,c]					
Average number of embryos transferred					

Donor Eggs	All Ages Combined[e] Fresh Embryos	Frozen Embryos
Number of transfers	0	0
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births[b,c]		
Average number of embryos transferred		

CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

Current Name: University Center for Advanced Reproductive Medicine

Donor egg?	Yes	Gestational carriers?	Yes	SART member?	Yes
Donor embryo?	Yes	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	Yes
Single women?	Yes			*(See Appendix C for details.)*	

[a] Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2009 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.
[b] When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.
[c] A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.
[d] Clinic-specific outcome rates for women older than 44 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs are not included because of small numbers. Readers are urged to review national outcomes for these age groups (see pages 30, 48 & 57).
[e] All ages (including ages >44) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.

THE NEW HOPE CENTER FOR REPRODUCTIVE MEDICINE
VIRGINIA BEACH, VIRGINIA

A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 81–90.

2009 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART [a]

IVF	100%	**Procedural Factors:**	
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	81%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	0%
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	<1%
		Used PGD	6%
		With eSET	2%

Patient Diagnosis

Tubal factor	7%	Other factor	8%
Ovulatory dysfunction	9%	Unknown factor	1%
Diminished ovarian reserve	20%	*Multiple Factors:*	
Endometriosis	4%	Female factors only	19%
Uterine factor	1%	Female & male factors	21%
Male factor	9%		

2009 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by Robin L. Poe-Zeigler, MD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman <35	35–37	38–40	41–42	43–44 [d]
Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of cycles	104	38	29	16	8
Percentage of embryos transferred resulting in implantation [b]	42.9	28.8	6.5	5.6	0 / 7
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies [b]	52.9	44.7	17.2	4 / 16	0 / 8
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births [b,c]	44.2	36.8	6.9	1 / 16	0 / 8
(Confidence Interval)	(34.5–54.3)	(21.8–54.0)	(0.8–22.8)		
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births [b,c]	46.9	41.2	6.9	1 / 16	0 / 8
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	51.1	48.3	8.0	1 / 16	0 / 6
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births [b]	35.6	44.8	8.0	1 / 16	0 / 6
Percentage of cancellations [b]	5.8	10.5	0.0	0 / 16	0 / 8
Average number of embryos transferred	2.0	2.3	2.5	2.3	1.2
Percentage of pregnancies with twins [b]	30.9	1 / 17	0 / 5	0 / 4	
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more [b]	5.5	1 / 17	0 / 5	0 / 4	
Percentage of live births having multiple infants [b,c]	30.4	1 / 14	0 / 2	0 / 1	
Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of transfers	31	7	8	7	5
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	41.9	4 / 7	4 / 8	1 / 7	1 / 5
Average number of embryos transferred	2.0	2.0	2.4	2.9	2.6

	All Ages Combined [e]	
Donor Eggs	**Fresh Embryos**	**Frozen Embryos**
Number of transfers	30	24
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	30.0	29.2
Average number of embryos transferred	2.3	2.3

CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

Current Name: The New Hope Center for Reproductive Medicine

Donor egg?	Yes	Gestational carriers?	Yes	SART member?	Yes
Donor embryo?	Yes	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	Yes
Single women?	Yes			*(See Appendix C for details.)*	

[a] Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2009 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.
[b] When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.
[c] A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.
[d] Clinic-specific outcome rates for women older than 44 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs are not included because of small numbers. Readers are urged to review national outcomes for these age groups (see pages 30, 48 & 57).
[e] All ages (including ages >44) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.

FRANCISCO M. IRIANNI, MD, INFERTILITY CLINIC
WINCHESTER, VIRGINIA

A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 81–90.

2009 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART [a]

IVF	100%	**Procedural Factors:**	
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	47%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	0%
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	0%
		Used PGD	0%
		With eSET	0%

Patient Diagnosis

Tubal factor	0%	Other factor	7%
Ovulatory dysfunction	0%	Unknown factor	14%
Diminished ovarian reserve	14%	*Multiple Factors:*	
Endometriosis	0%	Female factors only	18%
Uterine factor	0%	Female & male factors	32%
Male factor	14%		

2009 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by Francisco M. Irianni, MD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman <35	35–37	38–40	41–42	43–44[d]
Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of cycles	5	6	2	3	1
Percentage of embryos transferred resulting in implantation[b]	3 / 15	0 / 15	2 / 4	0 / 14	0 / 3
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies[b]	2 / 5	0 / 6	1 / 2	0 / 3	0 / 1
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births[b,c] (Confidence Interval)	1 / 5	0 / 6	1 / 2	0 / 3	0 / 1
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births[b,c]	1 / 5	0 / 5	1 / 1	0 / 3	0 / 1
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births[b,c]	1 / 5	0 / 5	1 / 1	0 / 3	0 / 1
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births[b]	1 / 5	0 / 5	0 / 1	0 / 3	0 / 1
Percentage of cancellations[b]	0 / 5	1 / 6	1 / 2	0 / 3	0 / 1
Average number of embryos transferred	3.0	3.0	4.0	4.7	3.0
Percentage of pregnancies with twins[b]	1 / 2		1 / 1		
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more[b]	0 / 2		0 / 1		
Percentage of live births having multiple infants[b,c]	0 / 1		1 / 1		
Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of transfers	3	1	1	0	0
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births[b,c]	0 / 3	0 / 1	0 / 1		
Average number of embryos transferred	3.3	4.0	2.0		

Donor Eggs	All Ages Combined[e] Fresh Embryos	Frozen Embryos
Number of transfers	2	3
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births[b,c]	0 / 2	1 / 3
Average number of embryos transferred	2.5	1.3

CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

Current Name: Francisco M. Irianni, MD, Infertility Clinic

Donor egg?	Yes	Gestational carriers?	Yes	SART member?	No
Donor embryo?	Yes	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	Yes
Single women?	Yes			*(See Appendix C for details.)*	

[a] Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2009 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.
[b] When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.
[c] A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.
[d] Clinic-specific outcome rates for women older than 44 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs are not included because of small numbers. Readers are urged to review national outcomes for these age groups (see pages 30, 48 & 57).
[e] All ages (including ages >44) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.

OVERLAKE REPRODUCTIVE HEALTH INC., PS
BELLEVUE, WASHINGTON

A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 81–90.

2009 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART [a]

IVF	100%	**Procedural Factors:**	
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	28%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	0%
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	1%
		Used PGD	8%
		With eSET	6%

Patient Diagnosis

Tubal factor	4%	Other factor	0%
Ovulatory dysfunction	3%	Unknown factor	2%
Diminished ovarian reserve	8%	*Multiple Factors:*	
Endometriosis	<1%	Female factors only	47%
Uterine factor	0%	Female & male factors	34%
Male factor	2%		

2009 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by Kevin M. Johnson, MD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman <35	35–37	38–40	41–42	43–44 [d]
Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of cycles	39	19	16	6	6
Percentage of embryos transferred resulting in implantation [b]	39.4	38.2	14.0	0 / 19	0 / 17
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies [b]	43.6	11 / 19	6 / 16	1 / 6	0 / 6
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births [b,c]	35.9	6 / 19	2 / 16	0 / 6	0 / 6
(Confidence Interval)	(21.2–52.8)				
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births [b,c]	35.9	6 / 18	2 / 13	0 / 6	0 / 6
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	40.0	6 / 17	2 / 13	0 / 6	0 / 5
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births [b]	17.1	4 / 17	2 / 13	0 / 6	0 / 5
Percentage of cancellations [b]	0.0	1 / 19	3 / 16	0 / 6	0 / 6
Average number of embryos transferred	1.9	2.0	3.3	3.2	3.4
Percentage of pregnancies with twins [b]	8 / 17	3 / 11	0 / 6	0 / 1	
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more [b]	1 / 17	0 / 11	0 / 6	0 / 1	
Percentage of live births having multiple infants [b,c]	8 / 14	2 / 6	0 / 2		
Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of transfers	12	4	3	1	0
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	6 / 12	4 / 4	1 / 3	0 / 1	
Average number of embryos transferred	2.4	1.8	3.0	4.0	

Donor Eggs	All Ages Combined [e] Fresh Embryos	Frozen Embryos
Number of transfers	4	4
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	3 / 4	1 / 4
Average number of embryos transferred	2.0	1.8

CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

Current Name: Overlake Reproductive Health Inc., PS

Donor egg?	Yes	Gestational carriers?	Yes	SART member?	Yes
Donor embryo?	Yes	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	Yes
Single women?	Yes			*(See Appendix C for details.)*	

[a] Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2009 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.
[b] When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.
[c] A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.
[d] Clinic-specific outcome rates for women older than 44 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs are not included because of small numbers. Readers are urged to review national outcomes for these age groups (see pages 30, 48 & 57).
[e] All ages (including ages >44) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.

WASHINGTON CENTER FOR REPRODUCTIVE MEDICINE
BELLEVUE, WASHINGTON

A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 81–90.

2009 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART [a]

IVF	100%	**Procedural Factors:**	
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	63%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	0%
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	1%
		Used PGD	20%
		With eSET	11%

Patient Diagnosis

Tubal factor	4%	Other factor	28%
Ovulatory dysfunction	1%	Unknown factor	14%
Diminished ovarian reserve	33%	*Multiple Factors:*	
Endometriosis	<1%	Female factors only	1%
Uterine factor	<1%	Female & male factors	6%
Male factor	10%		

2009 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by James I. Kustin, MD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman <35	35–37	38–40	41–42	43–44 [d]
Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of cycles	46	22	23	3	0
Percentage of embryos transferred resulting in implantation [b]	47.1	29.6	12.5	1 / 3	
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies [b]	54.3	27.3	26.1	1 / 3	
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births [b,c]	45.7	27.3	21.7	0 / 3	
(Confidence Interval)	(30.9–61.0)	(10.7–50.2)	(7.5–43.7)		
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births [b,c]	50.0	6 / 19	23.8	0 / 3	
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	58.3	6 / 13	5 / 18	0 / 1	
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births [b]	47.2	4 / 13	5 / 18	0 / 1	
Percentage of cancellations [b]	8.7	13.6	8.7	0 / 3	
Average number of embryos transferred	1.9	2.1	2.7	3.0	
Percentage of pregnancies with twins [b]	24.0	2 / 6	0 / 6	0 / 1	
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more [b]	4.0	0 / 6	0 / 6	0 / 1	
Percentage of live births having multiple infants [b,c]	19.0	2 / 6	0 / 5		
Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of transfers	10	8	5	1	2
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	3 / 10	3 / 8	1 / 5	0 / 1	0 / 2
Average number of embryos transferred	2.7	2.6	2.6	2.0	2.0

Donor Eggs	All Ages Combined [e] Fresh Embryos	Frozen Embryos
Number of transfers	8	11
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	5 / 8	4 / 11
Average number of embryos transferred	2.1	2.5

CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

Current Name: Washington Center for Reproductive Medicine

Donor egg?	Yes	Gestational carriers?	Yes	SART member?	Yes
Donor embryo?	Yes	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	Yes
Single women?	Yes			*(See Appendix C for details.)*	

[a] Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2009 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.
[b] When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.
[c] A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.
[d] Clinic-specific outcome rates for women older than 44 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs are not included because of small numbers. Readers are urged to review national outcomes for these age groups (see pages 30, 48 & 57).
[e] All ages (including ages >44) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.

BELLINGHAM IVF & FERTILITY CARE
BELLINGHAM, WASHINGTON

A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 81–90.

2009 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART [a]

IVF	100%	**Procedural Factors:**	
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	79%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	0%
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	0%
		Used PGD	0%
		With eSET	0%

Patient Diagnosis

Tubal factor	6%	Other factor	<1%
Ovulatory dysfunction	9%	Unknown factor	0%
Diminished ovarian reserve	19%	*Multiple Factors:*	
Endometriosis	<1%	Female factors only	9%
Uterine factor	<1%	Female & male factors	33%
Male factor	21%		

2009 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by Emmett Branigan, MD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman <35	35–37	38–40	41–42	43–44 [d]
Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of cycles	27	8	9	0	4
Percentage of embryos transferred resulting in implantation [b]	33.3	4 / 17	20.0		0 / 4
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies [b]	59.3	3 / 8	3 / 9		0 / 4
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births [b,c]	44.4	3 / 8	3 / 9		0 / 4
(Confidence Interval)	(25.5–64.7)				
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births [b,c]	46.2	3 / 8	3 / 9		0 / 4
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	50.0	3 / 8	3 / 8		0 / 2
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births [b]	41.7	2 / 8	2 / 8		0 / 2
Percentage of cancellations [b]	3.7	0 / 8	0 / 9		0 / 4
Average number of embryos transferred	2.0	2.1	2.5		2.0
Percentage of pregnancies with twins [b]	2 / 16	1 / 3	1 / 3		
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more [b]	0 / 16	0 / 3	0 / 3		
Percentage of live births having multiple infants [b,c]	2 / 12	1 / 3	1 / 3		
Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of transfers	20	4	5	0	1
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	20.0	0 / 4	0 / 5		0 / 1
Average number of embryos transferred	2.3	2.3	2.8		2.0

Donor Eggs	All Ages Combined [e] Fresh Embryos	Frozen Embryos
Number of transfers	27	12
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	66.7	1 / 12
Average number of embryos transferred	2.0	2.1

CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

Current Name: Bellingham IVF & Fertility Care

Donor egg?	Yes	Gestational carriers?	No	SART member?	No
Donor embryo?	No	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	No
Single women?	Yes			*(See Appendix C for details.)*	

[a] Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2009 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.
[b] When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.
[c] A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.
[d] Clinic-specific outcome rates for women older than 44 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs are not included because of small numbers. Readers are urged to review national outcomes for these age groups (see pages 30, 48 & 57).
[e] All ages (including ages >44) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.

NORTHWEST CENTER FOR REPRODUCTIVE SCIENCES
KIRKLAND, WASHINGTON

A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 81–90.

2009 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART [a]

IVF	100%	**Procedural Factors:**	
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	49%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	0%
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	<1%
		Used PGD	13%
		With eSET	9%

Patient Diagnosis

Tubal factor	8%	Other factor	7%
Ovulatory dysfunction	9%	Unknown factor	13%
Diminished ovarian reserve	17%	*Multiple Factors:*	
Endometriosis	5%	Female factors only	11%
Uterine factor	2%	Female & male factors	14%
Male factor	15%		

2009 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by Michael S. Opsahl, MD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman <35	35–37	38–40	41–42	43–44 [d]
Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of cycles	127	58	65	9	4
Percentage of embryos transferred resulting in implantation [b]	48.0	38.4	22.3	5 / 14	2 / 9
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies [b]	61.4	58.6	33.8	4 / 9	2 / 4
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births [b,c]	50.4	41.4	23.1	4 / 9	2 / 4
(Confidence Interval)	(41.4–59.4)	(28.6–55.1)	(13.5–35.2)		
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births [b,c]	55.2	46.2	28.8	4 / 7	2 / 4
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	56.6	49.0	30.0	4 / 6	2 / 4
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births [b]	38.9	34.7	22.0	3 / 6	2 / 4
Percentage of cancellations [b]	8.7	10.3	20.0	2 / 9	0 / 4
Average number of embryos transferred	2.0	2.6	3.0	2.3	2.3
Percentage of pregnancies with twins [b]	30.8	29.4	18.2	1 / 4	0 / 2
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more [b]	3.8	8.8	13.6	0 / 4	0 / 2
Percentage of live births having multiple infants [b,c]	31.3	29.2	4 / 15	1 / 4	0 / 2
Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of transfers	30	17	11	0	0
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	63.3	6 / 17	5 / 11		
Average number of embryos transferred	2.1	1.7	1.6		

Donor Eggs	All Ages Combined [e] Fresh Embryos	Frozen Embryos
Number of transfers	30	22
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	53.3	45.5
Average number of embryos transferred	2.1	2.0

CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

Current Name: Northwest Center for Reproductive Sciences

Donor egg?	Yes	Gestational carriers?	Yes	SART member?	Yes
Donor embryo?	Yes	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	Yes
Single women?	Yes			*(See Appendix C for details.)*	

[a] Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2009 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.
[b] When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.
[c] A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.
[d] Clinic-specific outcome rates for women older than 44 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs are not included because of small numbers. Readers are urged to review national outcomes for these age groups (see pages 30, 48 & 57).
[e] All ages (including ages >44) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.

OLYMPIA WOMEN'S HEALTH
OLYMPIA, WASHINGTON

A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 81–90.

2009 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART [a]

IVF	100%	**Procedural Factors:**	
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	0%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	0%
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	2%
		Used PGD	0%
		With eSET	3%

Patient Diagnosis

Tubal factor	9%	Other factor	15%
Ovulatory dysfunction	15%	Unknown factor	24%
Diminished ovarian reserve	7%	*Multiple Factors:*	
Endometriosis	19%	Female factors only	2%
Uterine factor	0%	Female & male factors	4%
Male factor	6%		

2009 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by James F. Moruzzi, MD, PhD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman <35	35–37	38–40	41–42	43–44 [d]
Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of cycles	27	7	10	3	1
Percentage of embryos transferred resulting in implantation [b]	39.0	3 / 12	2 / 15	1 / 5	0 / 2
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies [b]	40.7	3 / 7	2 / 10	1 / 3	0 / 1
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births [b,c]	37.0	3 / 7	2 / 10	1 / 3	0 / 1
(Confidence Interval)	(19.4–57.6)				
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births [b,c]	43.5	3 / 7	2 / 9	1 / 3	0 / 1
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	47.6	3 / 5	2 / 7	1 / 3	0 / 1
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births [b]	28.6	3 / 5	2 / 7	1 / 3	0 / 1
Percentage of cancellations [b]	14.8	0 / 7	1 / 10	0 / 3	0 / 1
Average number of embryos transferred	2.0	2.4	2.1	1.7	2.0
Percentage of pregnancies with twins [b]	3 / 11	0 / 3	0 / 2	0 / 1	
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more [b]	1 / 11	0 / 3	0 / 2	0 / 1	
Percentage of live births having multiple infants [b,c]	4 / 10	0 / 3	0 / 2	0 / 1	
Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of transfers	1	1	0	0	0
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	0 / 1	0 / 1			
Average number of embryos transferred	2.0	2.0			

Donor Eggs	All Ages Combined [e] Fresh Embryos	Frozen Embryos
Number of transfers	2	0
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	1 / 2	
Average number of embryos transferred	1.5	

CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

Current Name: Olympia Women's Health

Donor egg?	Yes	Gestational carriers?	Yes	SART member?	Yes
Donor embryo?	Yes	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	Yes
Single women?	Yes			*(See Appendix C for details.)*	

[a] Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2009 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.
[b] When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.
[c] A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.
[d] Clinic-specific outcome rates for women older than 44 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs are not included because of small numbers. Readers are urged to review national outcomes for these age groups (see pages 30, 48 & 57).
[e] All ages (including ages >44) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.

PACIFIC NORTHWEST FERTILITY AND IVF SPECIALISTS
SEATTLE, WASHINGTON

A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 81–90.

2009 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART [a]

IVF	100%	**Procedural Factors:**	
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	80%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	0%
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	<1%
		Used PGD	2%
		With eSET	9%

Patient Diagnosis

Tubal factor	2%	Other factor	22%
Ovulatory dysfunction	4%	Unknown factor	11%
Diminished ovarian reserve	19%	*Multiple Factors:*	
Endometriosis	2%	Female factors only	7%
Uterine factor	0%	Female & male factors	21%
Male factor	14%		

2009 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by Lorna A. Marshall, MD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman <35	35–37	38–40	41–42	43–44 [d]
Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of cycles	92	69	66	29	9
Percentage of embryos transferred resulting in implantation [b]	48.1	32.0	29.8	15.6	4.0
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies [b]	59.8	39.1	48.5	31.0	1 / 9
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births [b,c]	48.9	36.2	39.4	20.7	0 / 9
(Confidence Interval)	(38.3–59.6)	(25.0–48.7)	(27.6–52.2)	(8.0–39.7)	
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births [b,c]	52.3	43.9	49.1	27.3	0 / 7
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	52.9	43.9	49.1	27.3	0 / 7
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births [b]	25.9	28.1	37.7	22.7	0 / 7
Percentage of cancellations [b]	6.5	17.4	19.7	24.1	2 / 9
Average number of embryos transferred	1.9	2.2	2.5	3.5	3.6
Percentage of pregnancies with twins [b]	50.9	48.1	18.8	3 / 9	0 / 1
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more [b]	0.0	0.0	6.3	0 / 9	0 / 1
Percentage of live births having multiple infants [b,c]	51.1	36.0	23.1	1 / 6	
Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of transfers	46	28	19	8	4
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	47.8	28.6	7 / 19	3 / 8	1 / 4
Average number of embryos transferred	1.9	1.9	1.9	1.6	2.3

Donor Eggs	All Ages Combined [e] Fresh Embryos	Frozen Embryos
Number of transfers	93	96
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	73.1	40.6
Average number of embryos transferred	1.8	1.8

CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

Current Name: Pacific Northwest Fertility and IVF Specialists

Donor egg?	Yes	Gestational carriers?	Yes	SART member?	Yes
Donor embryo?	Yes	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	Yes
Single women?	Yes			*(See Appendix C for details.)*	

[a] Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2009 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.
[b] When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.
[c] A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.
[d] Clinic-specific outcome rates for women older than 44 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs are not included because of small numbers. Readers are urged to review national outcomes for these age groups (see pages 30, 48 & 57).
[e] All ages (including ages >44) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.

SEATTLE REPRODUCTIVE MEDICINE
INTEGRAMED AMERICA
SEATTLE, WASHINGTON

A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 81–90.

2009 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART [a]

IVF	100%	**Procedural Factors:**	
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	68%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	0%
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	<1%
		Used PGD	1%
		With eSET	10%

Patient Diagnosis

Tubal factor	9%	Other factor	8%
Ovulatory dysfunction	6%	Unknown factor	8%
Diminished ovarian reserve	20%	*Multiple Factors:*	
Endometriosis	5%	Female factors only	11%
Uterine factor	2%	Female & male factors	14%
Male factor	19%		

2009 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by Nancy A. Klein, MD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman <35	35–37	38–40	41–42	43–44 [d]
Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of cycles	263	158	167	64	22
Percentage of embryos transferred resulting in implantation [b]	48.8	41.7	24.8	12.9	3.4
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies [b]	61.2	51.9	43.1	32.8	22.7
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births [b,c]	57.0	43.7	32.9	17.2	4.5
(Confidence Interval)	(50.8–63.1)	(35.8–51.8)	(25.9–40.6)	(8.9–28.7)	(0.1–22.8)
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births [b,c]	59.8	48.6	35.0	18.3	1 / 19
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	62.2	51.1	38.5	19.3	1 / 18
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births [b]	40.7	34.8	31.5	14.0	1 / 18
Percentage of cancellations [b]	4.6	10.1	6.0	6.3	13.6
Average number of embryos transferred	1.8	1.9	2.3	2.7	3.3
Percentage of pregnancies with twins [b]	33.5	31.7	20.8	14.3	0 / 5
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more [b]	2.5	0.0	0.0	0.0	0 / 5
Percentage of live births having multiple infants [b,c]	34.7	31.9	18.2	3 / 11	0 / 1
Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of transfers	54	29	28	6	4
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	22.2	31.0	28.6	1 / 6	1 / 4
Average number of embryos transferred	1.8	1.8	1.8	1.7	2.5

Donor Eggs	All Ages Combined [e] Fresh Embryos	Frozen Embryos
Number of transfers	161	68
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	63.4	26.5
Average number of embryos transferred	1.7	1.9

CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

Current Name: Seattle Reproductive Medicine, Integramed America

Donor egg?	Yes	Gestational carriers?	Yes	SART member?	Yes
Donor embryo?	Yes	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	Yes
Single women?	Yes			*(See Appendix C for details.)*	

[a] Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2009 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.
[b] When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.
[c] A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.
[d] Clinic-specific outcome rates for women older than 44 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs are not included because of small numbers. Readers are urged to review national outcomes for these age groups (see pages 30, 48 & 57).
[e] All ages (including ages >44) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.

THE CENTER FOR REPRODUCTIVE HEALTH
SPOKANE, WASHINGTON

A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 81–90.

2009 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART [a]

IVF	100%	**Procedural Factors:**	
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	67%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	0%
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	<1%
		Used PGD	0%
		With eSET	0%

Patient Diagnosis

Tubal factor	6%	Other factor	<1%
Ovulatory dysfunction	5%	Unknown factor	6%
Diminished ovarian reserve	7%	*Multiple Factors:*	
Endometriosis	2%	Female factors only	4%
Uterine factor	<1%	Female & male factors	30%
Male factor	39%		

2009 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by Edwin Robins, MD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman <35	35–37	38–40	41–42	43–44 [d]
Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of cycles	102	39	19	1	2
Percentage of embryos transferred resulting in implantation [b]	42.1	28.0	13.1	0 / 2	
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies [b]	55.9	41.0	8 / 19	0 / 1	0 / 2
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births [b,c]	48.0	30.8	7 / 19	0 / 1	0 / 2
(Confidence Interval)	(38.0–58.2)	(17.0–47.6)			
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births [b,c]	50.5	35.3	7 / 17	0 / 1	
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	53.8	38.7	7 / 16	0 / 1	
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births [b]	30.8	32.3	7 / 16	0 / 1	
Percentage of cancellations [b]	4.9	12.8	2 / 19	0 / 1	2 / 2
Average number of embryos transferred	2.1	3.0	3.8	2.0	
Percentage of pregnancies with twins [b]	33.3	6 / 16	0 / 8		
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more [b]	8.8	2 / 16	0 / 8		
Percentage of live births having multiple infants [b,c]	42.9	2 / 12	0 / 7		
Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of transfers	25	8	8	1	0
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	48.0	2 / 8	1 / 8	0 / 1	
Average number of embryos transferred	2.4	2.0	1.6	3.0	

Donor Eggs	All Ages Combined [e] Fresh Embryos	Frozen Embryos
Number of transfers	19	8
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	13 / 19	1 / 8
Average number of embryos transferred	1.8	2.1

CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

Current Name: The Center for Reproductive Health

Donor egg?	Yes	Gestational carriers?	Yes	SART member?	Yes
Donor embryo?	No	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	Yes
Single women?	Yes			*(See Appendix C for details.)*	

[a] Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2009 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.
[b] When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.
[c] A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.
[d] Clinic-specific outcome rates for women older than 44 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs are not included because of small numbers. Readers are urged to review national outcomes for these age groups (see pages 30, 48 & 57).
[e] All ages (including ages >44) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.

GYFT CLINIC, PLLC
TACOMA, WASHINGTON

A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 81–90.

2009 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART [a]

IVF	100%	**Procedural Factors:**	
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	44%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	0%
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	0%
		Used PGD	8%
		With eSET	0%

Patient Diagnosis

Tubal factor	10%	Other factor	0%
Ovulatory dysfunction	6%	Unknown factor	8%
Diminished ovarian reserve	29%	*Multiple Factors:*	
Endometriosis	4%	Female factors only	12%
Uterine factor	2%	Female & male factors	18%
Male factor	10%		

2009 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by Joseph A. Robinette, MD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman <35	35–37	38–40	41–42	43–44 [d]
Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of cycles	19	8	4	5	0
Percentage of embryos transferred resulting in implantation [b]	32.1	1 / 18	0 / 13	0 / 15	
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies [b]	9 / 19	1 / 8	0 / 4	0 / 5	
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births [b,c] (Confidence Interval)	9 / 19	1 / 8	0 / 4	0 / 5	
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births [b,c]	9 / 17	1 / 7	0 / 4	0 / 5	
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	9 / 16	1 / 6	0 / 3	0 / 5	
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births [b]	4 / 16	1 / 6	0 / 3	0 / 5	
Percentage of cancellations [b]	2 / 19	1 / 8	0 / 4	0 / 5	
Average number of embryos transferred	3.3	3.0	4.3	3.0	
Percentage of pregnancies with twins [b]	2 / 9	0 / 1			
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more [b]	3 / 9	0 / 1			
Percentage of live births having multiple infants [b,c]	5 / 9	0 / 1			
Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of transfers	3	1	0	0	0
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	0 / 3	1 / 1			
Average number of embryos transferred	3.3	4.0			

Donor Eggs	All Ages Combined [e] Fresh Embryos	Frozen Embryos
Number of transfers	6	2
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	2 / 6	1 / 2
Average number of embryos transferred	3.0	3.5

CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

Current Name: GYFT Clinic, PLLC

Donor egg?	Yes	Gestational carriers?	Yes	SART member?	Yes
Donor embryo?	No	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	Yes
Single women?	Yes			*(See Appendix C for details.)*	

[a] Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2009 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.
[b] When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.
[c] A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.
[d] Clinic-specific outcome rates for women older than 44 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs are not included because of small numbers. Readers are urged to review national outcomes for these age groups (see pages 30, 48 & 57).
[e] All ages (including ages >44) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.

MADIGAN ARMY MEDICAL CENTER
TACOMA, WASHINGTON

A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 81–90.

2009 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART [a]

IVF	100%	**Procedural Factors:**	
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	61%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	0%
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	0%
		Used PGD	0%
		With eSET	14%

Patient Diagnosis

Tubal factor	16%	Other factor	6%
Ovulatory dysfunction	8%	Unknown factor	4%
Diminished ovarian reserve	8%	*Multiple Factors:*	
Endometriosis	8%	Female factors only	8%
Uterine factor	0%	Female & male factors	12%
Male factor	29%		

2009 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by Greg E. Chow, MD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman <35	35–37	38–40	41–42	43–44 [d]
Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of cycles	19	11	9	3	1
Percentage of embryos transferred resulting in implantation [b]	53.3	36.4	2 / 19	0 / 7	1 / 3
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies [b]	13 / 19	5 / 11	2 / 9	0 / 3	1 / 1
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births [b,c] (Confidence Interval)	13 / 19	5 / 11	1 / 9	0 / 3	1 / 1
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births [b,c]	13 / 18	5 / 9	1 / 7	0 / 3	1 / 1
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	13 / 17	5 / 9	1 / 7	0 / 2	1 / 1
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births [b]	11 / 17	4 / 9	1 / 7	0 / 2	1 / 1
Percentage of cancellations [b]	1 / 19	2 / 11	2 / 9	0 / 3	0 / 1
Average number of embryos transferred	1.8	2.4	2.7	3.5	3.0
Percentage of pregnancies with twins [b]	3 / 13	0 / 5	0 / 2		0 / 1
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more [b]	0 / 13	1 / 5	0 / 2		0 / 1
Percentage of live births having multiple infants [b,c]	2 / 13	1 / 5	0 / 1		0 / 1
Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of transfers	5	0	1	0	0
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	2 / 5		1 / 1		
Average number of embryos transferred	2.0		3.0		

Donor Eggs	All Ages Combined [e] Fresh Embryos	Frozen Embryos
Number of transfers	0	0
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]		
Average number of embryos transferred		

CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

Current Name: Madigan Army Medical Center

Donor egg?	Yes	Gestational carriers?	No	SART member?	Yes
Donor embryo?	Yes	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	Yes
Single women?	No			*(See Appendix C for details.)*	

[a] Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2009 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.
[b] When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.
[c] A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.
[d] Clinic-specific outcome rates for women older than 44 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs are not included because of small numbers. Readers are urged to review national outcomes for these age groups (see pages 30, 48 & 57).
[e] All ages (including ages >44) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.

WEST VIRGINIA UNIVERSITY FERTILITY CENTER
CHARLESTON, WEST VIRGINIA

A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 81–90.

2009 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART [a]

IVF	92%	**Procedural Factors:**	
GIFT	8%	With ICSI	0%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	0%
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	0%
		Used PGD	0%
		With eSET	0%

Patient Diagnosis

Tubal factor	0%	Other factor	0%
Ovulatory dysfunction	31%	Unknown factor	0%
Diminished ovarian reserve	23%	*Multiple Factors:*	
Endometriosis	0%	Female factors only	39%
Uterine factor	0%	Female & male factors	0%
Male factor	8%		

2009 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by Pickens A. Gantt, MD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman <35	35–37	38–40	41–42	43–44 [d]
Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of cycles	9	1	2	0	0
Percentage of embryos transferred resulting in implantation [b]	8 / 19	0 / 2	1 / 3		
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies [b]	6 / 9	0 / 1	1 / 2		
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births [b,c] (Confidence Interval)	6 / 9	0 / 1	1 / 2		
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births [b,c]	6 / 9	0 / 1	1 / 2		
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	6 / 9	0 / 1	1 / 2		
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births [b]	5 / 9	0 / 1	1 / 2		
Percentage of cancellations [b]	0 / 9	0 / 1	0 / 2		
Average number of embryos transferred	2.1	2.0	1.5		
Percentage of pregnancies with twins [b]	0 / 6		0 / 1		
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more [b]	1 / 6		0 / 1		
Percentage of live births having multiple infants [b,c]	1 / 6		0 / 1		
Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of transfers	0	1	0	0	0
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]		0 / 1			
Average number of embryos transferred		2.0			

Donor Eggs	All Ages Combined [e] Fresh Embryos	Frozen Embryos
Number of transfers	0	0
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]		
Average number of embryos transferred		

CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

Current Name: West Virginia University Fertility Center

Donor egg?	Yes	Gestational carriers?	Yes	SART member?	Yes
Donor embryo?	Yes	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	No
Single women?	Yes			*(See Appendix C for details.)*	

[a] Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2009 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.
[b] When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.
[c] A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.
[d] Clinic-specific outcome rates for women older than 44 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs are not included because of small numbers. Readers are urged to review national outcomes for these age groups (see pages 30, 48 & 57).
[e] All ages (including ages >44) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.

CABELL HUNTINGTON HOSPITAL
CENTER FOR ADVANCED REPRODUCTIVE MEDICINE
HUNTINGTON, WEST VIRGINIA

A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 81–90.

2009 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART [a]

IVF	100%	**Procedural Factors:**	
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	57%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	0%
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	0%
		Used PGD	0%
		With eSET	7%

Patient Diagnosis

Tubal factor	6%	Other factor	17%
Ovulatory dysfunction	9%	Unknown factor	0%
Diminished ovarian reserve	6%	*Multiple Factors:*	
Endometriosis	17%	Female factors only	17%
Uterine factor	0%	Female & male factors	6%
Male factor	23%		

2009 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by William Burns, MD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman <35	35–37	38–40	41–42	43–44 [d]
Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of cycles	18	3	8	1	0
Percentage of embryos transferred resulting in implantation [b]	38.5	0 / 7	18.2	0 / 1	
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies [b]	10 / 18	0 / 3	3 / 8	0 / 1	
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births [b,c] (Confidence Interval)	10 / 18	0 / 3	2 / 8	0 / 1	
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births [b,c]	10 / 18	0 / 3	2 / 8	0 / 1	
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	10 / 18	0 / 3	2 / 7	0 / 1	
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births [b]	5 / 18	0 / 3	1 / 7	0 / 1	
Percentage of cancellations [b]	0 / 18	0 / 3	0 / 8	0 / 1	
Average number of embryos transferred	2.2	2.3	3.1	1.0	
Percentage of pregnancies with twins [b]	5 / 10		1 / 3		
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more [b]	0 / 10		0 / 3		
Percentage of live births having multiple infants [b,c]	5 / 10		1 / 2		
Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of transfers	1	0	0	0	0
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	0 / 1				
Average number of embryos transferred	2.0				

Donor Eggs	All Ages Combined [e] Fresh Embryos	Frozen Embryos
Number of transfers	1	2
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	1 / 1	0 / 2
Average number of embryos transferred	2.0	1.0

CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

Current Name: Cabell Huntington Hospital, Center for Advanced Reproductive Medicine

Donor egg?	Yes	Gestational carriers?	No	SART member?	No
Donor embryo?	No	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	Yes
Single women?	Yes			*(See Appendix C for details.)*	

[a] Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2009 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.
[b] When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.
[c] A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.
[d] Clinic-specific outcome rates for women older than 44 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs are not included because of small numbers. Readers are urged to review national outcomes for these age groups (see pages 30, 48 & 57).
[e] All ages (including ages >44) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.

WEST VIRGINIA UNIVERSITY CENTER FOR REPRODUCTIVE MEDICINE
MORGANTOWN, WEST VIRGINIA

A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 81–90.

2009 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART [a]

IVF	100%	**Procedural Factors:**	
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	63%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	3%
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	0%
		Used PGD	0%
		With eSET	0%

Patient Diagnosis

Tubal factor	6%	Other factor	2%
Ovulatory dysfunction	3%	Unknown factor	2%
Diminished ovarian reserve	6%	*Multiple Factors:*	
Endometriosis	2%	Female factors only	8%
Uterine factor	0%	Female & male factors	58%
Male factor	15%		

2009 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by Roger C. Toffle, MD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman <35	35–37	38–40	41–42	43–44 [d]
Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of cycles	30	15	10	0	3
Percentage of embryos transferred resulting in implantation [b]	33.9	33.3	0 / 16		0 / 1
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies [b]	50.0	9 / 15	1 / 10		0 / 3
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births [b,c]	43.3	7 / 15	0 / 10		0 / 3
(Confidence Interval)	(25.5–62.6)				
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births [b,c]	54.2	7 / 13	0 / 7		0 / 1
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	56.5	7 / 12	0 / 6		0 / 1
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births [b]	39.1	5 / 12	0 / 6		0 / 1
Percentage of cancellations [b]	20.0	2 / 15	3 / 10		2 / 3
Average number of embryos transferred	2.4	2.5	2.7		1.0
Percentage of pregnancies with twins [b]	3 / 15	1 / 9	0 / 1		
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more [b]	1 / 15	1 / 9	0 / 1		
Percentage of live births having multiple infants [b,c]	4 / 13	2 / 7			
Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of transfers	3	1	2	0	0
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	1 / 3	0 / 1	0 / 2		
Average number of embryos transferred	2.0	3.0	1.0		

Donor Eggs	All Ages Combined [e] Fresh Embryos	Frozen Embryos
Number of transfers	0	0
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]		
Average number of embryos transferred		

CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

Current Name: West Virginia University Center for Reproductive Medicine

Donor egg?	No	Gestational carriers?	No	SART member?	Yes
Donor embryo?	No	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	Yes
Single women?	Yes			*(See Appendix C for details.)*	

[a] Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2009 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.
[b] When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.
[c] A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.
[d] Clinic-specific outcome rates for women older than 44 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs are not included because of small numbers. Readers are urged to review national outcomes for these age groups (see pages 30, 48 & 57).
[e] All ages (including ages >44) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.

AURORA HEALTH CARE-AURORA FERTILITY SERVICES
THE WOMEN'S CENTER AT AURORA BAYCARE MEDICAL CENTER
GREEN BAY, WISCONSIN

A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 81–90.

2009 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART [a]

IVF	100%	**Procedural Factors:**	
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	91%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	0%
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	0%
		Used PGD	4%
		With eSET	1%

Patient Diagnosis

Tubal factor	3%	Other factor	3%
Ovulatory dysfunction	4%	Unknown factor	1%
Diminished ovarian reserve	4%	*Multiple Factors:*	
Endometriosis	0%	Female factors only	3%
Uterine factor	<1%	Female & male factors	45%
Male factor	37%		

2009 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by Mark F. Severino, MD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman <35	35–37	38–40	41–42	43–44 [d]
Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of cycles	73	23	9	3	6
Percentage of embryos transferred resulting in implantation [b]	35.0	36.8	2 / 14	2 / 5	
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies [b]	46.6	43.5	1 / 9	1 / 3	0 / 6
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births [b,c]	41.1	34.8	1 / 9	1 / 3	0 / 6
(Confidence Interval)	(29.7–53.2)	(16.4–57.3)			
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births [b,c]	42.3	34.8	1 / 8	1 / 3	0 / 3
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	47.6	8 / 18	1 / 6	1 / 2	
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births [b]	25.4	7 / 18	0 / 6	1 / 2	
Percentage of cancellations [b]	2.7	0.0	1 / 9	0 / 3	3 / 6
Average number of embryos transferred	2.2	2.1	2.3	2.5	
Percentage of pregnancies with twins [b]	44.1	2 / 10	1 / 1	1 / 1	
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more [b]	2.9	1 / 10	0 / 1	0 / 1	
Percentage of live births having multiple infants [b,c]	46.7	1 / 8	1 / 1	0 / 1	
Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of transfers	23	6	3	0	1
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	30.4	2 / 6	0 / 3		0 / 1
Average number of embryos transferred	1.9	2.2	2.3		1.0

Donor Eggs	All Ages Combined [e] Fresh Embryos	Frozen Embryos
Number of transfers	4	3
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	3 / 4	0 / 3
Average number of embryos transferred	2.5	1.7

CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

Current Name: Aurora Health Care-Aurora Fertility Services, The Women's Center at Aurora BayCare Medical Center

Donor egg?	Yes	Gestational carriers?	Yes	SART member?	Yes
Donor embryo?	Yes	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	Yes
Single women?	Yes			*(See Appendix C for details.)*	

[a] Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2009 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.
[b] When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.
[c] A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.
[d] Clinic-specific outcome rates for women older than 44 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs are not included because of small numbers. Readers are urged to review national outcomes for these age groups (see pages 30, 48 & 57).
[e] All ages (including ages >44) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.

GUNDERSEN LUTHERAN FERTILITY CENTER
LA CROSSE, WISCONSIN

A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 81–90.

2009 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART [a]

IVF	100%	**Procedural Factors:**	
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	84%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	0%
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	4%
		Used PGD	4%
		With eSET	19%

Patient Diagnosis

Tubal factor	2%	Other factor	3%
Ovulatory dysfunction	0%	Unknown factor	2%
Diminished ovarian reserve	5%	*Multiple Factors:*	
Endometriosis	3%	Female factors only	34%
Uterine factor	2%	Female & male factors	37%
Male factor	13%		

2009 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by Kathy Trumbull, MD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman <35	35–37	38–40	41–42	43–44 [d]
Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of cycles	29	8	9	4	0
Percentage of embryos transferred resulting in implantation [b]	47.5	1 / 15	6 / 19	1 / 5	
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies [b]	62.1	1 / 8	4 / 9	1 / 4	
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births [b,c]	48.3	1 / 8	4 / 9	1 / 4	
(Confidence Interval)	(29.4–67.5)				
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births [b,c]	51.9	1 / 7	4 / 7	1 / 3	
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	58.3	1 / 7	4 / 7	1 / 3	
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births [b]	50.0	1 / 7	2 / 7	1 / 3	
Percentage of cancellations [b]	6.9	1 / 8	2 / 9	1 / 4	
Average number of embryos transferred	1.7	2.1	2.7	1.7	
Percentage of pregnancies with twins [b]	2 / 18	0 / 1	2 / 4	0 / 1	
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more [b]	0 / 18	0 / 1	0 / 4	0 / 1	
Percentage of live births having multiple infants [b,c]	2 / 14	0 / 1	2 / 4	0 / 1	
Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of transfers	8	1	0	0	0
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	2 / 8	0 / 1			
Average number of embryos transferred	2.0	2.0			

Donor Eggs	All Ages Combined [e] Fresh Embryos	Frozen Embryos
Number of transfers	1	0
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	1 / 1	
Average number of embryos transferred	2.0	

CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

Current Name: Gundersen Lutheran Fertility Center

Donor egg?	Yes	Gestational carriers?	Yes	SART member?	No
Donor embryo?	Yes	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	Yes
Single women?	Yes			*(See Appendix C for details.)*	

[a] Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2009 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.
[b] When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.
[c] A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.
[d] Clinic-specific outcome rates for women older than 44 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs are not included because of small numbers. Readers are urged to review national outcomes for these age groups (see pages 30, 48 & 57).
[e] All ages (including ages >44) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.

REPRODUCTIVE HEALTH AND FERTILITY CENTER
MADISON, WISCONSIN

A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 81–90.

2009 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART [a]

IVF	100%	**Procedural Factors:**	
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	90%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	0%
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	7%
		Used PGD	0%
		With eSET	0%

Patient Diagnosis

Tubal factor	6%	Other factor	4%
Ovulatory dysfunction	6%	Unknown factor	2%
Diminished ovarian reserve	2%	*Multiple Factors:*	
Endometriosis	4%	Female factors only	31%
Uterine factor	2%	Female & male factors	27%
Male factor	16%		

2009 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by Chiravudh Sawetawan, MD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman <35	35–37	38–40	41–42	43–44 [d]
Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of cycles	15	4	9	1	0
Percentage of embryos transferred resulting in implantation [b]	17.4	0 / 5	3 / 17	0 / 1	
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies [b]	3 / 15	0 / 4	2 / 9	0 / 1	
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births [b,c] (Confidence Interval)	3 / 15	0 / 4	1 / 9	0 / 1	
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births [b,c]	3 / 13	0 / 4	1 / 8	0 / 1	
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	3 / 9	0 / 2	1 / 7	0 / 1	
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births [b]	3 / 9	0 / 2	0 / 7	0 / 1	
Percentage of cancellations [b]	2 / 15	0 / 4	1 / 9	0 / 1	
Average number of embryos transferred	2.6	2.5	2.4	1.0	
Percentage of pregnancies with twins [b]	1 / 3		1 / 2		
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more [b]	0 / 3		0 / 2		
Percentage of live births having multiple infants [b,c]	0 / 3		1 / 1		
Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of transfers	8	1	0	0	0
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	5 / 8	0 / 1			
Average number of embryos transferred	2.8	2.0			

Donor Eggs	All Ages Combined [e] Fresh Embryos	Frozen Embryos
Number of transfers	3	7
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	2 / 3	1 / 7
Average number of embryos transferred	2.0	2.0

CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

Current Name: Reproductive Health and Fertility Center

Donor egg?	Yes	Gestational carriers?	Yes	SART member?	Yes
Donor embryo?	Yes	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	Yes
Single women?	Yes			*(See Appendix C for details.)*	

[a] Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2009 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.
[b] When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.
[c] A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.
[d] Clinic-specific outcome rates for women older than 44 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs are not included because of small numbers. Readers are urged to review national outcomes for these age groups (see pages 30, 48 & 57).
[e] All ages (including ages >44) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.

UNIVERSITY OF WISCONSIN-MADISON
REPRODUCTIVE ENDOCRINOLOGY AND INFERTILITY PROGRAM
MIDDLETON, WISCONSIN

A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 81–90.

2009 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART [a]

IVF	100%	**Procedural Factors:**	
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	59%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	0%
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	0%
		Used PGD	0%
		With eSET	14%

Patient Diagnosis

Tubal factor	7%	Other factor	0%
Ovulatory dysfunction	7%	Unknown factor	9%
Diminished ovarian reserve	9%	*Multiple Factors:*	
Endometriosis	0%	Female factors only	4%
Uterine factor	0%	Female & male factors	33%
Male factor	31%		

2009 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by Dan I. Lebovic, MD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman <35	35–37	38–40	41–42	43–44[d]
Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of cycles	28	0	6	0	0
Percentage of embryos transferred resulting in implantation [b]	23.7		2 / 4		
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies [b]	35.7		1 / 6		
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births [b,c]	28.6		1 / 6		
(Confidence Interval)	(13.2–48.7)				
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births [b,c]	36.4		1 / 2		
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	40.0		1 / 2		
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births [b]	40.0		0 / 2		
Percentage of cancellations [b]	21.4		4 / 6		
Average number of embryos transferred	1.9		2.0		
Percentage of pregnancies with twins [b]	0 / 10		1 / 1		
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more [b]	0 / 10		0 / 1		
Percentage of live births having multiple infants [b,c]	0 / 8		1 / 1		
Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of transfers	6	0	0	0	0
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	1 / 6				
Average number of embryos transferred	2.0				

Donor Eggs	All Ages Combined [e] Fresh Embryos	Frozen Embryos
Number of transfers	0	5
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]		2 / 5
Average number of embryos transferred		2.0

CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

Current Name: Generations Fertility Care, University of Wisconsin-Madison

Donor egg?	No	Gestational carriers?	Yes	SART member?	Yes
Donor embryo?	Yes	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	Yes
Single women?	Yes			*(See Appendix C for details.)*	

[a] Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2009 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.
[b] When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.
[c] A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.
[d] Clinic-specific outcome rates for women older than 44 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs are not included because of small numbers. Readers are urged to review national outcomes for these age groups (see pages 30, 48 & 57).
[e] All ages (including ages >44) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.

WISCONSIN FERTILITY INSTITUTE
MIDDLETON, WISCONSIN

A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 81–90.

2009 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART [a]

IVF	100%	**Procedural Factors:**	
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	95%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	0%
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	<1%
		Used PGD	<1%
		With eSET	0%

Patient Diagnosis

Tubal factor	6%	Other factor	4%
Ovulatory dysfunction	7%	Unknown factor	12%
Diminished ovarian reserve	17%	*Multiple Factors:*	
Endometriosis	4%	Female factors only	7%
Uterine factor	6%	Female & male factors	17%
Male factor	23%		

2009 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by David L. Olive, MD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman <35	35–37	38–40	41–42	43–44 [d]
Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of cycles	58	23	13	9	2
Percentage of embryos transferred resulting in implantation [b]	23.1	17.1	9.8	7.5	0 / 6
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies [b]	34.5	39.1	5 / 13	3 / 9	0 / 2
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births [b,c]	31.0	34.8	2 / 13	1 / 9	0 / 2
(Confidence Interval)	(19.5–44.5)	(16.4–57.3)			
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births [b,c]	31.6	34.8	2 / 13	1 / 9	0 / 2
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	33.3	38.1	2 / 13	1 / 9	0 / 2
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births [b]	16.7	38.1	1 / 13	1 / 9	0 / 2
Percentage of cancellations [b]	1.7	0.0	0 / 13	0 / 9	0 / 2
Average number of embryos transferred	2.5	3.3	3.2	4.4	3.0
Percentage of pregnancies with twins [b]	45.0	1 / 9	1 / 5	0 / 3	
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more [b]	5.0	1 / 9	0 / 5	0 / 3	
Percentage of live births having multiple infants [b,c]	9 / 18	0 / 8	1 / 2	0 / 1	
Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of transfers	30	11	9	0	2
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	6.7	1 / 11	1 / 9		0 / 2
Average number of embryos transferred	2.3	2.7	3.0		3.5

Donor Eggs	All Ages Combined [e] Fresh Embryos	Frozen Embryos
Number of transfers	18	15
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	7 / 18	3 / 15
Average number of embryos transferred	2.3	2.3

CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

Current Name: Wisconsin Fertility Institute

Donor egg?	Yes	Gestational carriers?	Yes	SART member?	Yes
Donor embryo?	Yes	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	Yes
Single women?	Yes			*(See Appendix C for details.)*	

[a] Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2009 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.
[b] When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.
[c] A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.
[d] Clinic-specific outcome rates for women older than 44 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs are not included because of small numbers. Readers are urged to review national outcomes for these age groups (see pages 30, 48 & 57).
[e] All ages (including ages >44) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.

FROEDTERT & MEDICAL COLLEGE OF WISCONSIN REPRODUCTIVE MEDICINE CENTER MILWAUKEE, WISCONSIN

A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 81–90.

2009 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART [a]

IVF	100%	**Procedural Factors:**	
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	87%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	2%
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	<1%
		Used PGD	2%
		With eSET	10%

Patient Diagnosis

Tubal factor	4%	Other factor	3%
Ovulatory dysfunction	15%	Unknown factor	17%
Diminished ovarian reserve	15%	*Multiple Factors:*	
Endometriosis	1%	Female factors only	9%
Uterine factor	<1%	Female & male factors	15%
Male factor	20%		

2009 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by Estil Strawn, Jr., MD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman <35	35–37	38–40	41–42	43–44 [d]
Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of cycles	63	30	30	8	3
Percentage of embryos transferred resulting in implantation [b]	27.5	23.4	7.9	0 / 8	2 / 6
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies [b]	33.3	30.0	16.7	0 / 8	1 / 3
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births [b,c]	33.3	30.0	6.7	0 / 8	1 / 3
(Confidence Interval)	(22.0–46.3)	(14.7–49.4)	(0.8–22.1)		
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births [b,c]	36.8	33.3	7.4	0 / 6	1 / 2
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	38.9	36.0	7.4	0 / 3	1 / 2
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births [b]	31.5	28.0	7.4	0 / 3	0 / 2
Percentage of cancellations [b]	9.5	10.0	10.0	2 / 8	1 / 3
Average number of embryos transferred	1.9	1.9	2.3	2.7	3.0
Percentage of pregnancies with twins [b]	23.8	2 / 9	0 / 5		1 / 1
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more [b]	4.8	0 / 9	0 / 5		0 / 1
Percentage of live births having multiple infants [b,c]	19.0	2 / 9	0 / 2		1 / 1
Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of transfers	33	10	12	4	1
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	15.2	2 / 10	2 / 12	0 / 4	0 / 1
Average number of embryos transferred	1.8	1.8	2.3	1.3	2.0

Donor Eggs	All Ages Combined [e] Fresh Embryos	Frozen Embryos
Number of transfers	15	9
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	7 / 15	2 / 9
Average number of embryos transferred	2.0	2.0

CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

Current Name: Froedtert & Medical College of Wisconsin, Reproductive Medicine Center

Donor egg?	Yes	Gestational carriers?	Yes	SART member?	Yes
Donor embryo?	Yes	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	Yes
Single women?	Yes			*(See Appendix C for details.)*	

[a] Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2009 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.
[b] When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.
[c] A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.
[d] Clinic-specific outcome rates for women older than 44 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs are not included because of small numbers. Readers are urged to review national outcomes for these age groups (see pages 30, 48 & 57).
[e] All ages (including ages >44) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.

REPRODUCTIVE SPECIALTY CENTER
IVF COLUMBIA
MILWAUKEE, WISCONSIN

A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 81–90.

2009 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART [a]

IVF	100%	**Procedural Factors:**	
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	47%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	2%
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	2%
		Used PGD	0%
		With eSET	0%

Patient Diagnosis

Tubal factor	11%	Other factor	0%
Ovulatory dysfunction	4%	Unknown factor	8%
Diminished ovarian reserve	7%	*Multiple Factors:*	
Endometriosis	11%	Female factors only	6%
Uterine factor	2%	Female & male factors	24%
Male factor	27%		

2009 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by Grace M. Janik, MD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman <35	35–37	38–40	41–42	43–44 [d]
Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of cycles	26	11	21	3	2
Percentage of embryos transferred resulting in implantation [b]	30.0	30.0	24.5	1 / 6	1 / 6
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies [b]	42.3	6 / 11	52.4	1 / 3	1 / 2
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births [b,c]	38.5	4 / 11	28.6	0 / 3	1 / 2
(Confidence Interval)	(20.2–59.4)		(11.3–52.2)		
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births [b,c]	38.5	4 / 11	6 / 19	0 / 3	1 / 2
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	43.5	4 / 10	6 / 19	0 / 3	1 / 2
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births [b]	30.4	3 / 10	5 / 19	0 / 3	1 / 2
Percentage of cancellations [b]	0.0	0 / 11	9.5	0 / 3	0 / 2
Average number of embryos transferred	2.2	2.0	2.8	2.0	3.0
Percentage of pregnancies with twins [b]	4 / 11	1 / 6	2 / 11	0 / 1	0 / 1
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more [b]	0 / 11	0 / 6	1 / 11	0 / 1	0 / 1
Percentage of live births having multiple infants [b,c]	3 / 10	1 / 4	1 / 6		0 / 1
Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of transfers	7	7	3	0	0
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	2 / 7	2 / 7	2 / 3		
Average number of embryos transferred	2.3	1.9	1.0		

Donor Eggs	All Ages Combined [e] Fresh Embryos	Frozen Embryos
Number of transfers	0	2
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]		2 / 2
Average number of embryos transferred		2.5

CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

Current Name: Reproductive Specialty Center, IVF Columbia

Donor egg?	Yes	Gestational carriers?	Yes	SART member?	Yes
Donor embryo?	No	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	Yes
Single women?	Yes			*(See Appendix C for details.)*	

[a] Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2009 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.
[b] When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.
[c] A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.
[d] Clinic-specific outcome rates for women older than 44 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs are not included because of small numbers. Readers are urged to review national outcomes for these age groups (see pages 30, 48 & 57).
[e] All ages (including ages >44) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.

WOMEN'S HEALTH CARE, SC
WAUKESHA, WISCONSIN

A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 81–90.

2009 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART [a]

IVF	100%	**Procedural Factors:**	
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	83%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	0%
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	0%
		Used PGD	8%
		With eSET	0%

Patient Diagnosis

Tubal factor	0%	Other factor	4%
Ovulatory dysfunction	4%	Unknown factor	22%
Diminished ovarian reserve	0%	*Multiple Factors:*	
Endometriosis	0%	Female factors only	30%
Uterine factor	0%	Female & male factors	17%
Male factor	22%		

2009 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by Matthew A. Meyer, MD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman <35	35–37	38–40	41–42	43–44 [d]
Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of cycles	7	1	4	0	0
Percentage of embryos transferred resulting in implantation [b]	0 / 14	0 / 2	0 / 8		
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies [b]	0 / 7	0 / 1	0 / 4		
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births [b,c] (Confidence Interval)	0 / 7	0 / 1	0 / 4		
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births [b,c]	0 / 7	0 / 1	0 / 4		
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	0 / 7	0 / 1	0 / 4		
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births [b]	0 / 7	0 / 1	0 / 4		
Percentage of cancellations [b]	0 / 7	0 / 1	0 / 4		
Average number of embryos transferred	2.0	2.0	2.0		
Percentage of pregnancies with twins [b]					
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more [b]					
Percentage of live births having multiple infants [b,c]					
Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of transfers	6	4	0	0	1
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	1 / 6	0 / 4			0 / 1
Average number of embryos transferred	2.2	1.8			3.0

Donor Eggs	All Ages Combined [e] Fresh Embryos	Frozen Embryos
Number of transfers	0	0
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]		
Average number of embryos transferred		

CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

Current Name: Women's Health Care, SC

Donor egg?	No	Gestational carriers?	No	SART member?	Yes
Donor embryo?	No	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	Yes
Single women?	Yes			*(See Appendix C for details.)*	

[a] Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2009 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.
[b] When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.
[c] A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.
[d] Clinic-specific outcome rates for women older than 44 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs are not included because of small numbers. Readers are urged to review national outcomes for these age groups (see pages 30, 48 & 57).
[e] All ages (including ages >44) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.

AURORA HEALTH CARE-AURORA FERTILITY SERVICES, WEST ALLIS
WEST ALLIS, WISCONSIN

A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 81–90.

2009 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART [a]

IVF	100%	**Procedural Factors:**	
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	88%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	0%
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	0%
		Used PGD	3%
		With eSET	1%

Patient Diagnosis

Tubal factor	4%	Other factor	3%
Ovulatory dysfunction	6%	Unknown factor	4%
Diminished ovarian reserve	3%	*Multiple Factors:*	
Endometriosis	<1%	Female factors only	6%
Uterine factor	0%	Female & male factors	54%
Male factor	19%		

2009 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by Mark F. Severino, MD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman <35	35–37	38–40	41–42	43–44 [d]
Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of cycles	62	19	16	4	1
Percentage of embryos transferred resulting in implantation [b]	42.9	26.3	35.0	0 / 5	0 / 2
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies [b]	56.5	8 / 19	6 / 16	0 / 4	0 / 1
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births [b,c]	48.4	8 / 19	2 / 16	0 / 4	0 / 1
(Confidence Interval)	(35.5–61.4)				
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births [b,c]	52.6	8 / 18	2 / 12	0 / 3	0 / 1
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	53.6	8 / 18	2 / 10	0 / 3	0 / 1
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births [b]	32.1	6 / 18	2 / 10	0 / 3	0 / 1
Percentage of cancellations [b]	8.1	1 / 19	4 / 16	1 / 4	0 / 1
Average number of embryos transferred	2.0	2.1	2.0	1.7	2.0
Percentage of pregnancies with twins [b]	37.1	2 / 8	1 / 6		
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more [b]	0.0	0 / 8	0 / 6		
Percentage of live births having multiple infants [b,c]	40.0	2 / 8	0 / 2		
Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of transfers	4	3	3	0	0
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	2 / 4	2 / 3	1 / 3		
Average number of embryos transferred	2.0	2.0	2.0		

Donor Eggs	All Ages Combined [e] Fresh Embryos	Frozen Embryos
Number of transfers	1	3
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births [b,c]	1 / 1	0 / 3
Average number of embryos transferred	2.0	2.0

CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

Current Name: Aurora Health Care-Aurora Fertility Services, West Allis

Donor egg?	Yes	Gestational carriers?	Yes	SART member?	Yes
Donor embryo?	Yes	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	Yes
Single women?	Yes			*(See Appendix C for details.)*	

[a] Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2009 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.
[b] When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.
[c] A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.
[d] Clinic-specific outcome rates for women older than 44 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs are not included because of small numbers. Readers are urged to review national outcomes for these age groups (see pages 30, 48 & 57).
[e] All ages (including ages >44) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.

2009

Appendix A

Technical Notes

APPENDIX A: TECHNICAL NOTES

How to Interpret a Confidence Interval

What is a confidence interval?

Simply speaking, confidence intervals are a useful way to consider margin of error, a statistic often used in voter polls to indicate the range within which a value is likely to be correct (e.g., 30% of the voters favor a particular candidate with a margin of error of plus or minus 3.5%). Similarly, in this report, confidence intervals are used to provide a range that we can be quite confident contains the success rate for a particular clinic during a particular time.

Why do we need to consider confidence intervals if we already know the exact success rates for each clinic in 2009?

No success rate or statistic is absolute. Suppose a clinic performed 100 cycles among women younger than 35 in 2009 and had a success rate of 20% with a confidence interval of 12%–28%. The 20% success rate tells us that the average chance of success for women younger than 35 treated at this clinic in 2009 was 20%. How likely is it that the clinic could repeat this performance? For example, if the same clinic performed another 100 cycles under similar clinical conditions on women with similar characteristics, would the success rate again be 20%? The confidence interval tells us that the success rate would likely fall between 12% and 28%.

Why does the size of the confidence interval vary for different clinics?

The size of the confidence interval gives us a realistic sense of how secure we feel about the success rate. If the clinic had performed only 20 cycles instead of 100 among women younger than age 35 and still had a 20% success rate (4 successes out of 20 cycles), the confidence interval would be much larger (between 3% and 37%) because the success or failure of each individual cycle would be more significant. For example, if just one more cycle had resulted in a live birth, the success rate would have been substantially higher—25%, or 5 successes out of 20 cycles. Likewise, if just one more cycle had not been successful, the success rate would have been substantially lower—15%, or 3 out of 20 cycles. Compare this scenario to the original example of the clinic that performed 100 cycles and had a 20% success rate. If just one more cycle had resulted in a live birth, the success rate would have changed only slightly, from 20% to 21%, and if one more cycle had not been successful, the success rate would have fallen to only 19%. Thus, our confidence in a 20% success rate depends on how many cycles were performed.

Why should confidence intervals be considered when success rates from different clinics are being compared?

Confidence intervals should be considered because success rates can be misleading. For example, if Clinic A performs 20 cycles in a year and 8 cycles result in a live birth, its live birth rate would be 40%. If Clinic B performs 600 cycles and 180 result in a live birth, the percentage of cycles that resulted in a live birth would be 30%. We might be tempted to say that Clinic A has a better success rate than Clinic B. However, because Clinic A performed few cycles, its success rate would have a wide 95% confidence interval of 18.5%–61.5%. On the other hand, because Clinic B performed a large number of cycles, its success rate would have a relatively narrow

confidence interval of 26.2%–33.8%. Thus, Clinic A could have a rate as low as 18.5% and Clinic B could have a rate as high as 33.8% if each clinic repeated its treatment with similar patients under similar clinical conditions. Moreover, Clinic B's rate is much more likely to be reliable because the size of its confidence interval is much smaller than Clinic A's.

Even though one clinic's success rate may appear higher than another's based on the confidence intervals, ***these confidence intervals are only one indication that the success rate may be better. Other factors also must be considered*** when comparing rates from two clinics. For example, some clinics see more than the average number of patients with difficult infertility problems, whereas others discourage patients with a low probability of success. For further information on important factors to consider when using the tables to assess a clinic, refer to pages 81–83.

Findings from Validation Visits for 2009 ART Data

Site visits to ART clinics for validation of 2009 ART data were conducted during April through June 2011. This year, 35 of the 441 reporting clinics were randomly selected after taking into consideration the number of ART procedures performed at each clinic and whether the clinic had been selected before. During each visit, ART data reported by the clinic to CDC were compared with information documented in medical records.

For each clinic, the validated sample included up to 50 ART cycles resulting in pregnancy and up to 75 additional cycles depending on the number and type of ART procedures performed at each clinic. In total, 2,573 ART cycles performed in 2009 across the 35 clinics were randomly selected for full validation, along with 268 embryo banking cycles. The full validation included review of 1,676 cycles for which a pregnancy was reported and that resulted in 1,396 live-birth deliveries. Of the 1,396 live-birth deliveries, 398 were multiple-infant births.

In addition, among patients whose cycles were validated, we verified the number of reported cycles. For each of these patients, we compared the total number of ART cycles reported with the total number of cycles included in the medical record. The discrepancy rate for the new data field "Additional cycles in same reporting year" was calculated.

Discrepancy rates are listed on the next page for validated items of interest. Overall, validation of 2009 ART cycle data indicated that discrepancy rates were low (<5.0%), except for "Diagnosis of infertility"—this field corresponds to "Patient Diagnosis" data in the 2009 individual clinic tables and national summary table in this report.

Discrepancy Rates by Data Fields Selected for Validation

Data Field Name	Discrepancy Rate* (Confidence Interval†)	Comments
Patient date of birth	1.5% (0.8–2.2)	In 75% of the discrepancies, the difference did not result in changing age category (Age of Woman).
Diagnosis of infertility	15.5% (10.1–20.9)	For approximately 40% of the discrepancies, a single wrong diagnosis was reported, mainly "Other" or "Unexplained," instead of a specific cause. For another 40% of the discrepancies, multiple causes of infertility were found in the medical record, but only a single cause was reported.
Number of embryos/ oocytes transferred	<1%	
Number of embryos cryopreserved	3.3% (1.7–5.0)	Approximately 20% of the discrepancies were the result of incorrectly reporting that zero (0) embryos were cryopreserved when one or more embryos were actually cryopreserved.
Outcome of ART treatment (i.e., pregnant vs. not pregnant)	2.4% (0.7–4.1)	No information on the outcome of ART treatment was found in the medical records for approximately 40% of the discrepancies.
Number of fetal hearts on ultrasound	2.9% (1.6–4.2)	Of the discrepancies, 20% were misreported as single-fetus pregnancies instead of multiple-fetus pregnancies, whereas 15% of the discrepancies were misreported as having one or more fetal hearts when the medical records actually showed zero (0) fetal hearts.
Pregnancy outcome (i.e., miscarriage, stillbirth, and live birth)	2.0% (1.0–3.0)	For about half of the discrepancies, there was no information on pregnancy outcome in the medical records.
Number of infants born	<1%	
Cycle cancellation	<1%	
Additional cycles in same reporting year	3.5% (1.5–5.4)	For approximately 80% of the discrepancies, fewer additional cycles were reported by clinics than were found in the medical records. The majority of the discrepancies were due to reporting one less cycle.

Note: ART = assisted reproductive technology.

*Discrepancy rates estimate the proportion of all treatment cycles with differences for a particular data item. The discrepancy-rate calculations weight the data from validated cycles to reflect the overall number of cycles performed at each clinic. Thus, findings from larger clinical practices were weighted more heavily than those from smaller practices.

†This table shows a range, called the 95% confidence interval, that conveys the reliability of the discrepancy rate. For a more general explanation of confidence intervals, see pages 537–538.

2009

Appendix B

Glossary of Terms

APPENDIX B: GLOSSARY OF TERMS

Adverse outcome. A pregnancy that does not result in a live birth. The adverse outcomes reported for ART procedures are miscarriages, induced abortions, and stillbirths.

American Society for Reproductive Medicine (ASRM). Professional society whose affiliate organization, the Society for Assisted Reproductive Technology (SART), is composed of clinics and programs that provide ART.

ART (assisted reproductive technology). All treatments or procedures that involve surgically removing eggs from a woman's ovaries and combining the eggs with sperm to help a woman become pregnant. The types of ART are in vitro fertilization (IVF), gamete intrafallopian transfer (GIFT), and zygote intrafallopian transfer (ZIFT).

ART cycle. A process in which (1) an ART procedure is performed, (2) a woman has undergone ovarian stimulation or monitoring with the intent of having an ART procedure, or (3) frozen embryos have been thawed with the intent of transferring them to a woman. A cycle begins when a woman begins taking fertility drugs or having her ovaries monitored for follicle production.

Canceled cycle. An ART cycle in which ovarian stimulation was performed but was stopped before eggs were retrieved or, in the case of frozen embryo cycles, before embryos were transferred. Cycles are canceled for many reasons: eggs may not develop, the patient may become ill, or the patient may choose to stop treatment.

Combination cycle. A cycle that uses more than one ART procedure. Combination cycles usually involve IVF plus either GIFT or ZIFT.

Cryopreservation. The practice of freezing extra embryos from a couple's ART cycle for potential future use.

Diminished ovarian reserve. This diagnosis means that the ability of the ovary to produce eggs is reduced. Reasons include congenital, medical, or surgical causes or advanced age.

Donor egg cycle. An embryo is formed from the egg of one woman (the donor) and then transferred to another woman who is unable to use her own eggs (the recipient). The donor relinquishes all parental rights to any resulting offspring.

Donor embryo. An embryo that is donated by a couple who previously underwent ART treatment and had extra embryos available.

Ectopic pregnancy. A pregnancy in which the fertilized egg implants in a location outside of the uterus—usually in the fallopian tube, the ovary, or the abdominal cavity. Ectopic pregnancy is a dangerous condition that must receive prompt medical treatment.

Egg. A female reproductive cell, also called an oocyte or ovum.

Egg retrieval (also called oocyte retrieval). A procedure to collect the eggs contained in the ovarian follicles.

Egg transfer (also called oocyte transfer). The transfer of retrieved eggs into a woman's fallopian tubes through laparoscopy. This procedure is used only in GIFT.

Embryo. An egg that has been fertilized by a sperm and has undergone one or more divisions.

Embryo transfer. Placement of embryos into a woman's uterus through the cervix after IVF: in ZIFT, the embryos are placed in a woman's fallopian tube.

Endometriosis. A medical condition that involves the presence of tissue similar to the uterine lining in abnormal locations. This condition can affect both fertilization of the egg and embryo implantation.

eSET (elective single-embryo transfer). Elective single-embryo transfer is a procedure in which one embryo, selected from a larger number of available embryos, is placed in the uterus or fallopian tube. The embryo selected for eSET might be from a previous IVF cycle (i.e., cryopreserved embryos [frozen]) or from the current fresh IVF cycle that yielded more than one embryo. The remaining embryos may be set aside for future use or cryopreservation.

Fertility Clinic Success Rate and Certification Act of 1992 (FCSRCA). Law passed by the United States Congress in 1992 requiring all clinics performing ART in the United States to annually report their success rate data to CDC.

Fertilization. The penetration of the egg by the sperm and the resulting combining of genetic material that develops into an embryo.

Fetus. The unborn offspring from the eighth week after conception to the moment of birth.

Follicle. A structure in the ovaries that contains a developing egg.

Fresh eggs, sperm, or embryos. Eggs, sperm, or embryos that have not been frozen. Fresh embryos, however, may have been conceived using either fresh or frozen sperm.

Frozen embryo cycle. An ART cycle in which frozen (cryopreserved) embryos are thawed and transferred to the woman.

Gamete. A reproductive cell, either a sperm or an egg.

GIFT (gamete intrafallopian transfer). An ART procedure that involves removing eggs from the woman's ovary, combining them with sperm, and using a laparoscope to place the unfertilized eggs and sperm into the woman's fallopian tube through small incisions in her abdomen.

Gestation. The period of time from conception to birth.

Gestational carrier (also called a gestational surrogate). A woman who gestates, or carries, an embryo that was formed from the egg of another woman. The gestational carrier usually has a contractual obligation to return the infant to its intended parents.

Gestational sac. A fluid-filled structure that develops within the uterus early in pregnancy. In a normal pregnancy, a gestational sac contains a developing fetus.

ICSI (intracytoplasmic sperm injection). A procedure in which a single sperm is injected directly into an egg; this procedure is most commonly used to overcome male infertility problems.

Implantation rate. A measurement of ART success when the ART cycle results in an intrauterine clinical pregnancy, defined as the larger of either the number of maximum fetal hearts by ultrasound or maximum infants born, including live births and stillbirths, out of the total number of embryos transferred.

Induced or therapeutic abortion. A surgical or other medical procedure used to end a pregnancy.

IUI (intrauterine insemination). A medical procedure that involves placing sperm into a woman's uterus to facilitate fertilization. IUI is not considered an ART procedure because it does not involve the manipulation of eggs.

IVF (in vitro fertilization). An ART procedure that involves removing eggs from a woman's ovaries and fertilizing them outside her body. The resulting embryos are then transferred into the woman's uterus through the cervix.

Laparoscopy. A surgical procedure in which a fiber-optic instrument (a laparoscope) is inserted through a small incision in the abdomen to view the inside of the pelvis.

Live birth. The delivery of one or more infants with any signs of life.

Male factor. Any cause of infertility due to low sperm count or problems with sperm function that makes it difficult for a sperm to fertilize an egg under normal conditions.

Miscarriage (also called spontaneous abortion). A pregnancy ending in the spontaneous loss of the embryo or fetus before 20 weeks of gestation, or before 18 weeks from the date of transfer if the pregnancy was achieved using ART.

Multifetal pregnancy reduction. A procedure used to decrease the number of fetuses a woman carries and improve the chances that the remaining fetuses will develop into healthy infants. Multifetal reductions that occur naturally are referred to as spontaneous reductions.

Multiple factors, female only. A diagnostic category used when more than one female cause of infertility is diagnosed.

Multiple factors, female and male. A diagnostic category used when one or more female causes and male factor infertility are diagnosed.

Multiple-fetus pregnancy. A pregnancy with two or more fetuses, determined by the number of fetal hearts observed on an ultrasound performed early in pregnancy (usually in the first trimester).

Multiple-infant birth. A pregnancy that results in the birth of more than one infant.

NASS (National ART Surveillance System). Web-based data collection system used by all ART clinics to report data for each ART procedure to CDC.

Oocyte. The female reproductive cell, also called an egg.

Other causes of infertility. These include immunological problems, chromosomal abnormalities, cancer chemotherapy, and serious illnesses.

Ovarian monitoring. The use of ultrasound and/or blood or urine tests to monitor follicle development and hormone production.

Ovarian stimulation. The use of drugs (oral or injected) to stimulate the ovaries to develop follicles and eggs.

Ovulatory dysfunction. A diagnostic category used when a woman's ovaries are not producing eggs normally. It includes polycystic ovary syndrome and multiple ovarian cysts.

PGD (preimplantation genetic diagnosis). A technique combining the recent significant advances in molecular genetics and ART. PGD allows physicians to identify various genetic diseases in the embryo (fertilized egg with several divisions) prior to implantation, that is, before the pregnancy is established. It is of special value for those who are at risk of having children with serious genetic problems.

Pregnancy (clinical). A pregnancy documented by ultrasound that shows a gestational sac in the uterus. For ART data collection purposes, pregnancy is defined as a clinical pregnancy rather than a chemical pregnancy (i.e., a positive pregnancy test).

Singleton. A single live-born infant.

Society for Assisted Reproductive Technology (SART). An affiliate of ASRM composed of clinics and programs that provide ART.

Sperm. The male reproductive cell.

Spontaneous abortion. See Miscarriage.

Stillbirth. The birth of an infant that shows no sign of life after 20 or more weeks of gestation, or 18 or more weeks from the date of transfer if the pregnancy was achieved using ART.

Stimulated cycle. An ART cycle in which a woman receives oral or injected fertility drugs to stimulate her ovaries to produce more follicles.

Thawed embryo cycle. Same as frozen embryo cycle.

Tubal factor. A diagnostic category used when the woman's fallopian tubes are blocked or damaged, making it difficult for the egg to be fertilized or for an embryo to travel to the uterus.

Ultrasound. A technique used in ART for visualizing the follicles in the ovaries, the gestational sac, or the fetus.

Unexplained cause of infertility. A diagnostic category used when no cause of infertility is found in either the woman or the man.

Unstimulated cycle. An ART cycle in which the woman does not receive drugs to stimulate her ovaries to produce more follicles. Instead, follicles develop naturally.

Uterine factor. A structural or functional disorder of the uterus that results in reduced fertility.

ZIFT (zygote intrafallopian transfer). An ART procedure in which eggs are collected from a woman's ovary and fertilized outside her body. A laparoscope is then used to place the resulting zygote (fertilized egg) into the woman's fallopian tube through a small incision in her abdomen.

2009

Appendix C

ART Clinics

APPENDIX C: ART CLINICS

Reporting ART Clinics for 2009, by State

If the clinic name has changed since 2009, the current name is listed in italics directly under the 2009 name. If the clinic location has changed since 2009, the clinic is listed alphabetically by the current city and state.

Clinic names preceded by the **§** symbol have reorganized or closed since 2009. Reorganization is defined as a change in ownership or affiliation or a change in at least two of the three key staff positions (practice director, medical director, or laboratory director). Contact the NASS Help Desk for current clinic information at 1-888-650-0822 or nass@westat.com.

Explanation of abbreviations for accrediting agencies used throughout this list:

CAP/ASRM	=	College of American Pathologists/American Society for Reproductive Medicine, Reproductive Laboratory Accreditation Program
The Joint Commission	=	Formerly the Joint Commission on Accreditation of Healthcare Organizations (JCAHO)
NYSTB	=	New York State Tissue Bank Program

PLEASE NOTE that CDC does not oversee any of these accreditation programs. For further information on how to contact accrediting organizations directly, see page 90.

ALABAMA

Alabama Fertility Specialists
2700 Hwy 280, Suite 370
Birmingham AL 35223
Telephone: (205) 874-0000; Fax: (205) 874-7021
Lab Name: Alabama Fertility Specialists Laboratory
Accreditation: CAP/ASRM

ART Fertility Program of Alabama
2006 Brookwood Medical Center Dr, Suite 508
Birmingham AL 35209
Telephone: (205) 870-9784; Fax: (205) 870-0698
Lab Name: ART Fertility Program of Alabama IVF/ Andrology Laboratory
Accreditation: CAP/ASRM

University of Alabama at Birmingham
Kirklin Clinic-OB/GYN, 2000 6th Ave South
Birmingham AL 35233
Telephone: (205) 801-8200; Fax: (205) 801-8209
Lab Name: University of Alabama at Birmingham Gamete Biology Laboratory
Accreditation: CAP/ASRM

Huntsville Reproductive Medicine, PC
185 Chateau Dr, Suite 301
Huntsville AL 35801
Telephone: (256) 213-2229; Fax: (256) 213-9978
Lab Name: Huntsville Reproductive Medicine, PC
Accreditation: None

Center for Reproductive Medicine
3 Mobile Infirmary Cir, Suite 213
Mobile AL 36607
Telephone: (251) 438-4200; Fax: (251) 438-4211
Lab Name: Center for Reproductive Medicine
Accreditation: CAP/ASRM

University of South Alabama IVF and ART Program
Reproductive Endocrinology and Infertility Division
251 Cox St, Suite 100
Mobile AL 36604
Telephone: (251) 415-1491; Fax: (251) 415-1552
Lab Name: University of South Alabama In Vitro Fertilization & Andrology Laboratory
Accreditation: CAP/ASRM

ALASKA

Peninsula Medical Center
John Nels Anderson, MD
265 N. Binkley St
Soldotna AK 99669
Telephone: (907) 262-4161; Fax: (907) 262-1545
Lab Name: Peninsula Medical Center, John Nels Anderson, MD
Accreditation: None

ARIZONA

West Valley Fertility Center
17612 N. 59th Ave, Suite 100
Glendale AZ 85308
Telephone: (602) 993-8636; Fax: (602) 993-2528
Lab Name: West Valley Fertility Center ART Laboratory
Accreditation: CAP/ASRM

Arizona Reproductive Medicine Specialists
1701 E. Thomas Rd, Bldg 1, Suite 101
Phoenix AZ 85016
Telephone: (602) 343-2767; Fax: (602) 343-2766
Lab Name: Arizona Reproductive Medicine Specialists Laboratory
Accreditation: CAP/ASRM

Southwest Fertility Center
3125 N. 32nd St, Suite 200
Phoenix AZ 85018
Telephone: (602) 956-7481; Fax: (602) 956-7591
Lab Name: Southwest Fertility Center Laboratory
Accreditation: CAP/ASRM

Advanced Fertility Care
9819 N. 95th St, Suite 105
Scottsdale AZ 85258
Telephone: (480) 874-2229; Fax: (480) 874-2231
Lab Name: Arizona Advanced Reproductive Laboratory
Accreditation: CAP/ASRM

Arizona Associates for Reproductive Health
8573 E. Princess Dr, Suite 101
Scottsdale AZ 85255
Telephone: (480) 946-9900; Fax: (480) 946-9914
Lab Name: Arizona Associates for Reproductive Health ART Laboratories
Accreditation: CAP/ASRM

Arizona Center for Fertility Studies
8997 E. Desert Cove Ave, 2nd Floor
Scottsdale AZ 85260
Telephone: (480) 860-4792; Fax: (480) 860-6819
Lab Name: Arizona Center for Fertility Studies Laboratory
Accreditation: CAP/ASRM

IVF Phoenix
9817 N. 95th St, Bldg I, Suite 105
Scottsdale AZ 85258
Telephone: (602) 765-2229; Fax: (602) 493-6641
Lab Name: IVF Phoenix Laboratory
Accreditation: CAP/ASRM

Fertility Treatment Center
2155 E. Conference Dr, Suite 115
Tempe AZ 85284
Telephone: (480) 831-2445; Fax: (480) 897-1283
Lab Name: Fertility Treatment Center ART Laboratory
Accreditation: CAP/ASRM

Arizona Center for Reproductive Endocrinology and Infertility
5190 E. Farness Dr, Suite 114
Tucson AZ 85712
Telephone: (520) 326-0001; Fax: (520) 326-7451
Lab Name: Arizona Center for Reproductive Endocrinology and Infertility Laboratory
Accreditation: CAP/ASRM

Reproductive Health Center
4518 E. Camp Lowell Dr
Tucson AZ 85712
Telephone: (520) 733-0083; Fax: (520) 733-0771
Lab Name: Reproductive Health Center
Accreditation: The Joint Commission

ARKANSAS

Arkansas Fertility Center
9101 Kanis Rd, Suite 300
Little Rock AR 72205
Telephone: (501) 801-1200; Fax: (501) 801-1207
Lab Name: Arkansas Fertility and Gynecology, Arkansas Fertility Center
Accreditation: CAP/ASRM

CALIFORNIA

LifeStart Fertility Center
29525 Canwood St, Suite 220
Agoura Hills CA 91301
Telephone: (818) 889-4532; Fax: (818) 889-4536
Lab Name: ART Reproductive Center
Accreditation: CAP/ASRM

For current information for Garfield Fertility Center, see South Pasadena, CA

Alta Bates In Vitro Fertilization Program
2999 Regent St, Suite 101A
Berkeley CA 94705
Telephone: (510) 649-0440; Fax: (510) 649-8700
Lab Name: Alta Bates Summit Medical Center, Alta Bates IVF Program Laboratory
Accreditation: CAP/ASRM

California Center for Reproductive Health
Beverly Hills Reproductive Fertility Center
9301 Wilshire Blvd, Suite 313
Beverly Hills CA 90210
Telephone: (310) 550-1951; Fax: (310) 550-1971
Lab Name: In Vitrotech Labs, Inc.
Accreditation: CAP/ASRM

Center for Reproductive Health & Gynecology (CRH&G)
99 N. La Cienega Blvd, Suite 109
Beverly Hills CA 90211
Telephone: (310) 360-7584; Fax: (310) 360-9827
Lab Name: Center for Reproductive Health & Gynecology
Accreditation: CAP/ASRM

Southern California Reproductive Center
450 N. Roxbury Dr, Suite 500
Beverly Hills CA 90210
Telephone: (310) 277-2393; Fax: (310) 274-5112
Lab Name: ART Reproductive Center
Accreditation: CAP/ASRM

West Coast IVF Clinic, Inc.
9730 Wilshire Blvd, Suite 211
Beverly Hills CA 90212
Telephone: (310) 285-2049; Fax: (310) 285-0334
Lab Name: LA IVF Lab, LLC
Accreditation: None

Fertility Care of Orange County
203 N. Brea Blvd, Suite 100
Brea CA 92821
Telephone: (714) 256-0777; Fax: (174) 256-0105
Lab Name: Southern California Institute for Reproductive Sciences Laboratory
Accreditation: CAP/ASRM
Lab Name: Huntington Reproductive Center Fertility Laboratory
Accreditation: CAP/ASRM

Central California IVF Program
Women's Specialty and Fertility Center
722 Medical Center Dr East, Suite 105
Clovis CA 93611
Telephone: (559) 299-7700; Fax: (559) 297-9679
Lab Name: Community Medical Center-Clovis
Accreditation: The Joint Commission

For current information for Zouves Fertility Center, see Foster City, CA

California IVF: Davis Fertility Center, Inc.
1550 Drew Ave, Suite 100
Davis CA 95618
Telephone: (530) 771-0177; Fax: (530) 771-0135
Lab Name: California IVF: Davis Fertility Center, Inc.
Accreditation: None

The Fertility Institutes-California, New York
16030 Ventura Blvd, Suite 404
Encino CA 91436
Telephone: (818) 728-4600; Fax: (818) 728-4616
Lab Name: The Fertility Institutes IVF Laboratory
Accreditation: CAP/ASRM

Zouves Fertility Center
1241 E. Hillsdale Blvd, Suite 100
Foster City CA 94404
Telephone: (650) 378-1000; Fax: (650) 577-1128
Lab Name: Zouves Fertility Center
Accreditation: CAP/ASRM

West Coast Fertility Centers
11160 Warner Ave, Suite 411
Fountain Valley CA 92708
Telephone: (714) 513-1399; Fax: (714) 513-1393
Lab Name: West Coast Fertility Centers Laboratory
Accreditation: CAP/ASRM, NYSTB

Xpert Fertility Care of California
Minh N. Ho, MD, FACOG
11180 Warner Ave, Suite 465
Fountain Valley CA 92708
Telephone: (714) 429-5848; Fax: (714) 429-5878
Lab Name: University Fertility Center Laboratory
Accreditation: CAP/ASRM

Kaiser Permanente Center for Reproductive Health
39141 Civic Center Dr, Suite 350
Fremont CA 94538
Telephone: (510) 248-6900; Fax: (510) 248-6981
Lab Name: Kaiser Permanente Center for Reproductive Health
Accreditation: CAP/ASRM, The Joint Commission

Kathleen Kornafel, MD, PhD
1560 E. Chevy Chase Dr, Suite 200
Glendale CA 91206
Telephone: (818) 242-9933; Fax: (818) 242-9937
Lab Name: ART Reproductive Center
Accreditation: CAP/ASRM

Coastal Fertility Medical Center, Inc.
4900 Barranca Pkwy, Suite 103
Irvine CA 92604
Telephone: (949) 726-0600; Fax: (949) 726-0601
Lab Name: Coastal Fertility Medical Center, Inc., Reproductive Specialty Laboratories, Inc.
Accreditation: CAP/ASRM

Fertility Center of Southern California
2192 Martin St, Suite 110
Irvine CA 92612
Telephone: (949) 955-0072; Fax: (949) 955-0077
Lab Name: Southern California Institute for Reproductive Sciences Laboratory
Accreditation: CAP/ASRM

Reproductive Fertility Center
Reproductive Fertility Center-OC
16300 Sand Canyon Ave, Suite 901
Irvine CA 92618
Telephone: (949) 453-8600; Fax: (949) 453-8601
Lab Name: Reproductive Fertility Center Embryology Laboratory
Accreditation: CAP/ASRM

Reproductive Partners-UCSD Regional Fertility Center
9850 Genesee Ave, Suite 800
La Jolla CA 92037
Telephone: (858) 552-9177; Fax: (858) 552-9188
Lab Name: Reproductive Partners Medical Group, Inc. Laboratory-La Jolla
Accreditation: CAP/ASRM

Reproductive Sciences Center
4150 Regents Park Row, Suite 280
La Jolla CA 92037
Telephone: (858) 625-0125; Fax: (858) 625-0131
Lab Name: Reproductive Science Center IVF Laboratory
Accreditation: CAP/ASRM

Acacio Fertility Center
27882 Forbes Rd, Suite 200
Laguna Niguel CA 92677
Telephone: (949) 249-9200; Fax: (949) 249-9203
Lab Name: Acacio Fertility Center IVF Laboratories
Accreditation: CAP/ASRM

Loma Linda University Center for Fertility and IVF
Department of Gynecology and Obstetrics
11370 Anderson St, Suite 3950
Loma Linda CA 92354
Telephone: (909) 558-2851; Fax: (909) 558-2450
Lab Name: Loma Linda University Health Care Fertility Science Laboratory
Accreditation: CAP/ASRM

California Fertility Partners
11818 Wilshire Blvd, Suite 300
Los Angeles CA 90025
Telephone: (310) 828-4008; Fax: (310) 828-3310
Lab Name: California Fertility Partners Reproductive Technology Laboratory
Accreditation: CAP/ASRM

Cedars Sinai Medical Center
Center for Fertility and Reproductive Medicine
444 S. San Vicente Blvd, Suite 1002
Los Angeles CA 90048
Telephone: (310) 423-9964; Fax: (310) 423-9777
Lab Name: ART Reproductive Center
Accreditation: CAP/ASRM

CHA Fertility Center
5455 Wilshire Blvd, Suite 1904
Los Angeles CA 90036
Telephone: (323) 525-3377; Fax: (323) 525-3376
Lab Name: CHA Fertility Center Laboratory
Accreditation: CAP/ASRM

Pacific Fertility Center-Los Angeles
10921 Wilshire Blvd, Suite 700
Los Angeles CA 90024
Telephone: (310) 209-7700; Fax: (310) 209-7799
Lab Name: Pacific Fertility Center-Los Angeles
Accreditation: CAP/ASRM

UCLA Fertility Center
Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology
200 Medical Plaza, Suite 430
Los Angeles CA 90095
Telephone: (310) 825-9500; Fax: (310) 206-9731
Lab Name: ART Reproductive Center
Accreditation: CAP/ASRM

USC Reproductive Endocrinology and Infertility
1127 Wilshire Blvd, Suite 1400
Los Angeles CA 90017
Telephone: (213) 975-9990; Fax: (213) 975-9997
Lab Name: USC Fertility ART Laboratory
Accreditation: CAP/ASRM

The Fertility and Gynecology Center
Monterey Bay IVF Program
9833 Blue Larkspur Ln
Monterey CA 93940
Telephone: (831) 649-4483; Fax: (831) 649-9010
Lab Name: Fertility and Gynecology Center
Accreditation: CAP/ASRM

Newport Fertility Center
20072 S.W. Birch St, Suite 230
Newport Beach CA 92660
Telephone: (949) 222-1290; Fax: (949) 222-1289
Lab Name: Southern California Institute for Reproductive Sciences Laboratory
Accreditation: CAP/ASRM

Reproductive Specialty Medical Center
1441 Avocado Ave, Suite 203
Newport Beach CA 92660
Telephone: (949) 640-7200; Fax: (949) 720-0203
Lab Name: Reproductive Specialty Medical Center
Accreditation: The Joint Commission

Southern California Center for Reproductive Medicine
361 Hospital Rd, Suite 333
Newport Beach CA 92663
Telephone: (949) 642-8727; Fax: (949) 642-5413
Lab Name: Southern California Institute for Reproductive Sciences Laboratory
Accreditation: CAP/ASRM

IVF-Orange Surgery Center
431 S. Batavia St, Suite 102
Orange CA 92868
Telephone: (714) 771-7800; Fax: (714) 289-9900
Lab Name: IVF-Orange Surgery Center
Accreditation: None

Nova In Vitro Fertilization
1681 El Camino Real
Palo Alto CA 94306
Telephone: (650) 322-0500; Fax: (650) 322-5404
Lab Name: Nova In Vitro Fertilization Main Laboratory
Accreditation: CAP/ASRM

Stanford Fertility and Reproductive Medicine Center
Stanford University Department of Gynecology and Obstetrics
900 Welch Rd, Suite 350
Palo Alto CA 94304
Telephone: (650) 736-4036; Fax: (650) 725-4463
Lab Name: Stanford University Hospitals and Clinics IVF Laboratory
Accreditation: CAP/ASRM, The Joint Commission

Huntington Reproductive Center
333 S. Arroyo Pkwy, 3rd Floor
Pasadena CA 91105
Telephone: (626) 440-9161; Fax: (626) 440-0138
Lab Name: Huntington Reproductive Center Gamete Laboratory
Accreditation: CAP/ASRM

Palo Alto Medical Foundation
Reproductive Endocrinology & Fertility
3220 Alpine Rd
Portola Valley CA 94028
Telephone: (650) 853-2200; Fax: (650) 853-2237
Lab Name: Fertility & Reproductive Health Institute IVF Laboratory
Accreditation: CAP/ASRM
Lab Name: Reproductive Science Center of the San Francisco Bay Area Laboratory
Accreditation: CAP/ASRM

Reproductive Partners-Redondo Beach
510 N. Prospect Ave, Suite 202
Redondo Beach CA 90277
Telephone: (310) 318-3010; Fax: (310) 798-7304
Lab Name: Reproductive Partners Medical Group, Inc.- Redondo Beach
Accreditation: CAP/ASRM

Northern California Fertility Medical Center
1130 Conroy Ln, Suite 100
Roseville CA 95661
Telephone: (916) 773-2229; Fax: (916) 773-8391
Lab Name: Northern California Fertility Medical Center Laboratory
Accreditation: CAP/ASRM

Kaiser Permanente Center for Reproductive Health-Sacramento
1650 Response Rd, Suite 1A
Sacramento CA 95815
Telephone: (916) 614-5145; Fax: (916) 614-5045
Lab Name: Kaiser Permanente Center for Reproductive Health-Sacramento
Accreditation: CAP/ASRM

The University of California-Davis
Assisted Reproductive Technology Program
2521 Stockton Blvd, Suite 4200
Sacramento CA 95817
Telephone: (916) 734-6106; Fax: (916) 734-6150
Lab Name: UC Davis Medical Center Assisted Reproductive Technology Program
Accreditation: CAP/ASRM

Fertility Specialists Medical Group
8010 Frost St, Plaza Level
San Diego CA 92123
Telephone: (858) 505-5500; Fax: (858) 505-5555
Lab Name: Fertility Specialists Medical Group Laboratory
Accreditation: CAP/ASRM

NTC Infertility Clinic
2051 Cushing Rd, Bldg 624
San Diego CA 92106
Telephone: (619) 524-6218; Fax: (619) 524-6241
Lab Name: Reproductive Partners Medical Group, Inc. Laboratory-La Jolla
Accreditation: CAP/ASRM
Lab Name: SDFC IVF & Andrology Laboratories
Accreditation: CAP/ASRM

San Diego Fertility Center
(SDFC)
11515 El Camino Real, Suite 100
San Diego CA 92130
Telephone: (858) 794-6363; Fax: (858) 794-6360
Lab Name: SDFC IVF & Andrology Laboratories
Accreditation: CAP/ASRM

Laurel Fertility Care
1700 California St, Suite 570
San Francisco CA 94109
Telephone: (415) 673-9199; Fax: (415) 673-8796
Lab Name: Laurel Fertility Care Laboratory
Accreditation: CAP/ASRM

Pacific Fertility Center
55 Francisco St, Suite 500
San Francisco CA 94133
Telephone: (415) 834-3000; Fax: (415) 834-3080
Lab Name: Pacific Fertility Center IVF Laboratory
Accreditation: CAP/ASRM

§UCSF Center for Reproductive Health
2356 Sutter St, 7th Floor
San Francisco CA 94115
Telephone: (415) 353-3040; Fax: (415) 353-7744
Contact the NASS Help Desk for current clinic information.

Fertility Physicians of Northern California
2581 Samaritan Dr, Suite 302
San Jose CA 95124
Telephone: (408) 356-5000; Fax: (408) 355-1665
Lab Name: Fertility & Reproductive Health Institute IVF Laboratory
Accreditation: CAP/ASRM

Alex Steinleitner, MD
35 Casa St, Suite 260
San Luis Obispo CA 93405
Telephone: (805) 543-2228; Fax: (805) 269-0226
Lab Name: Reproductive Partners Medical Group, Inc.-Redondo Beach
Accreditation: CAP/ASRM

Reproductive Science Center of the San Francisco Bay Area
3160 Crow Canyon Rd, Suite 150
San Ramon CA 94583
Telephone: (925) 867-1800; Fax: (925) 275-3862
Lab Name: Reproductive Science Center of the San Francisco Bay Area Laboratory
Accreditation: CAP/ASRM

Santa Barbara Fertility Center
Dr. René B. Allen
536 E. Arrellaga St, Suite 201
Santa Barbara CA 93103
Telephone: (805) 965-3400; Fax: (805) 965-1222
Lab Name: Fertility and Surgical Associates of California IVF Laboratory
Accreditation: CAP/ASRM
Lab Name: Huntington Reproductive Center IVF Laboratory
Accreditation: CAP/ASRM
Lab Name: Santa Monica Fertility Assisted Reproduction Laboratory
Accreditation: CAP/ASRM (Pend)

Parker-Rosenman-Rodi Gynecology and Infertility Medical Group
1450 Tenth St, Suite 404
Santa Monica CA 90401
Telephone: (310) 451-8144; Fax: (310) 451-3414
Lab Name: Pacific Fertility Center-Los Angeles
Accreditation: CAP/ASRM

Advanced Fertility Associates Medical Group, Inc.
1111 Sonoma Ave, Suite 214
Santa Rosa CA 95405
Telephone: (707) 575-5831; Fax: (707) 575-4379
Lab Name: Advanced Fertility Associates Medical Group, Inc., Laboratory
Accreditation: CAP/ASRM

Valley Center for Reproductive Health
Tina Koopersmith, MD
13320 Riverside Dr, Suite 220
Sherman Oaks CA 91423
Telephone: (818) 986-1648; Fax: (818) 986-1653
Lab Name: ART Reproductive Center
Accreditation: CAP/ASRM

Garfield Fertility Center
1151 El Centro St, Suite A
South Pasadena CA 91030
Telephone: (626) 403-1888; Fax: (626) 403-2188
Lab Name: ART Reproductive Center
Accreditation: CAP/ASRM
Lab Name: Huntington Reproductive Center Gamete Laboratory
Accreditation: CAP/ASRM

The Center for Fertility and Gynecology
Vermesh Center for Fertility
18370 Burbank Blvd, Suite 301
Tarzana CA 91356
Telephone: (818) 881-9800; Fax: (818) 881-1857
Lab Name: A.R.T. Medical Group, Inc. Laboratory
Accreditation: CAP/ASRM

Tree of Life Center for Fertility
Snunit Ben-Ozer, MD, FACOG
18370 Burbank Blvd, Suite 514
Tarzana CA 91356
Telephone: (818) 344-8522; Fax: (818) 344-8521
Lab Name: ART Reproductive Center
Accreditation: CAP/ASRM

Fertility and Surgical Associates of California
325 Rolling Oaks Dr, Suite 110
Thousand Oaks CA 91361
Telephone: (805) 778-1122; Fax: (805) 778-0855
Lab Name: Fertility and Surgical Associates of California IVF Laboratory
Accreditation: CAP/ASRM

Pacific Reproductive Center
3720 Lomita Blvd, Suite 200
Torrance CA 90505
Telephone: (310) 376-7000; Fax: (310) 373-0319
Lab Name: Pacific Reproductive Center-Torrance
Accreditation: CAP/ASRM

University Fertility Center
23550 Hawthorne Blvd, Suite 210
Torrance CA 90505
Telephone: (310) 378-7445; Fax: (310) 378-7427
Lab Name: University Fertility Center Laboratory
Accreditation: CAP/ASRM

Reproductive Partners-Westminster
13950 Milton Ave, Suite 100
Westminster CA 92683
Telephone: (714) 702-3000; Fax: (714) 702-3039
Lab Name: Reproductive Partners Medical Group Laboratory-Westminster
Accreditation: CAP/ASRM
Lab Name: Reproductive Partners Medical Group, Inc.-Redondo Beach
Accreditation: CAP/ASRM

COLORADO

Advanced Reproductive Medicine
University of Colorado
Anschutz Outpatient Pavilion
1635 Aurora Ct, Suite 3400
Aurora CO 80045
Telephone: (720) 848-1690; Fax: (720) 848-1678
Lab Name: University of Colorado Hospital IVF Clinical Laboratory
Accreditation: CAP/ASRM

Reproductive Medicine & Fertility Center
265 Parkside Dr, Suite 200
Colorado Springs CO 80910
Telephone: (719) 475-2229; Fax: (719) 475-2227
Lab Name: Reproductive Medicine and Fertility Center Laboratory
Accreditation: CAP/ASRM

Eric H. Silverstein, MD, Professional LLC dba
The Fertility Center of Colorado
6160 Tutt Blvd, Suite 210
Colorado Springs CO 80923
Telephone: (719) 636-0080; Fax: (719) 636-3030
Lab Name: The Fertility Center of Colorado Laboratory
Accreditation: CAP/ASRM

Colorado Reproductive Endocrinology
4600 E. Hale Pkwy, Suite 350
Denver CO 80220
Telephone: (303) 321-7115; Fax: (303) 321-9519
Lab Name: Colorado Reproductive Endocrinology Laboratory
Accreditation: CAP/ASRM

Rocky Mountain Center for Reproductive Medicine
1080 E. Elizabeth St
Fort Collins CO 80524
Telephone: (970) 493-6353; Fax: (970) 493-6366
Lab Name: Rocky Mountain Center for Reproductive Medicine IVF/Embryology Laboratory
Accreditation: CAP/ASRM

§Conceptions Reproductive Associates of Colorado
271 W. County Line Rd
Littleton CO 80129
Telephone: (303) 794-0045; Fax: (303) 794-2054
Contact the NASS Help Desk for current clinic information.

Colorado Center for Reproductive Medicine
10290 RidgeGate Cir
Lone Tree CO 80124
Telephone: (303) 788-8300; Fax: (303) 788-8310
Lab Name: Fertility Laboratories of Colorado
Accreditation: CAP/ASRM

Rocky Mountain Fertility Center, PC
9235 Crown Crest Blvd, Suite 250
Parker CO 80138
Telephone: (303) 999-3877; Fax: (303) 999-3878
Lab Name: Rocky Mountain Fertility Laboratory
Accreditation: CAP/ASRM

CONNECTICUT

Connecticut Fertility Associates
4920 Main St, Suite 301
Bridgeport CT 06606
Telephone: (203) 373-1200; Fax: (203) 365-6516
Lab Name: Connecticut Fertility Associates Laboratory
Accreditation: CAP/ASRM

The Center for Advanced Reproductive Services at the University of Connecticut Health Center
Dowling South Bldg, 263 Farmington Ave, Suite 330
Farmington CT 06030
Telephone: (860) 679-4580; Fax: (860) 679-1499
Lab Name: University of Connecticut Health Center, Laboratory at the Center for Advanced Reproductive Services
Accreditation: CAP/ASRM

Greenwich Fertility and IVF Center, PC
55 Holly Hill Ln, Suite 270
Greenwich CT 06830
Telephone: (203) 863-2990; Fax: (203) 863-2980
Lab Name: Greenwich Fertility Center, PC
Accreditation: None

Yale Fertility Center
150 Sargent Dr, 2nd Floor
New Haven CT 06511
Telephone: (203) 785-4708; Fax: (203) 764-5669
Lab Name: Yale University School of Medicine, Yale Fertility Center IVF Laboratory
Accreditation: CAP/ASRM

Reproductive Medicine Associates of Connecticut
10 Glover Ave
Norwalk CT 06850
Telephone: (203) 750-7400; Fax: (203) 846-9579
Lab Name: Reproductive Medicine Associates of Connecticut Embryology Laboratory
Accreditation: CAP/ASRM

New England Fertility Institute
1275 Summer St, Suite 201
Stamford CT 06905
Telephone: (203) 325-3200; Fax: (203) 323-3130
Lab Name: New England Fertility Institute, ART-IVF Laboratory
Accreditation: CAP/ASRM

The Stamford Hospital
30 Shelburne Rd
Stamford CT 06904
Telephone: (203) 276-7559; Fax: (203) 276-7259
Lab Name: New England Fertility Institute, ART-IVF Laboratory
Accreditation: CAP/ASRM

Women's Fertility Center
Nora R. Miller, MD
1290 Summer St, Suite 2500
Stamford CT 06905
Telephone: (203) 286-6810; Fax: (203) 286-6811
Lab Name: Westchester IVF
Accreditation: The Joint Commission, NYSTB

Park Avenue Fertility and Reproductive Medicine
5520 Park Ave
Trumbull CT 06611
Telephone: (203) 372-6700; Fax: (203) 372-6706
Lab Name: Park Avenue Fertility and Reproductive Medicine
Accreditation: None

DELAWARE

The Delaware Institute for Reproductive Medicine
Medical Arts Pavilion 1
4745 Ogletown-Stanton Rd, Suite 111
Newark DE 19713
Telephone: (302) 738-4600; Fax: (302) 738-3508
Lab Name: Delaware Institute for Reproductive Medicine
Accreditation: CAP/ASRM

Reproductive Associates of Delaware
Medical Arts Pavilion 2
4735 Ogletown-Stanton Rd, Suite 3217
Newark DE 19713
Telephone: (302) 623-4242; Fax: (302) 623-4241
Lab Name: Reproductive Associates of Delaware Laboratory
Accreditation: CAP/ASRM

DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA

The A.R.T. Institute of Washington, Inc.
Walter Reed Army Medical Center
6900 Georgia Ave N.W.
Ward 43, Bldg 2, Rm 4304
Washington DC 20307
Telephone: (202) 782-5429; Fax: (202) 782-4833
Lab Name: The A.R.T. Institute of Washington, Inc. Laboratory
Accreditation: CAP/ASRM

Columbia Fertility Associates
2440 M St N.W., Suite 401
Washington DC 20037
Telephone: (202) 293-6567; Fax: (202) 778-6190
Lab Name: Columbia Fertility Associates IVF Center Laboratory
Accreditation: The Joint Commission

The George Washington University Medical Faculty Associates
Fertility and IVF Center
2150 Pennsylvania Ave N.W., Suite 6-300
Washington DC 20037
Telephone: (202) 741-2520; Fax: (202) 741-2519
Lab Name: Medical Faculty Associates, Inc. Laboratory
Accreditation: CAP/ASRM

James A. Simon, MD, PC
1850 M St N.W., Suite 450
Washington DC 20036
Telephone: (202) 293-1000; Fax: (202) 463-6150
Lab Name: Columbia Fertility Associates IVF Center Laboratory
Accreditation: The Joint Commission

FLORIDA

BocaFertility
875 Meadows Rd, Suite 334
Boca Raton FL 33486
Telephone: (561) 368-5500; Fax: (561) 368-4793
Lab Name: BocaFertility IVF Laboratory
Accreditation: CAP/ASRM

Palm Beach Fertility Center
9291 Glades Rd, Suite 202
Boca Raton FL 33434
Telephone: (561) 477-7728; Fax: (561) 477-7035
Lab Name: Palm Beach Fertility Center Laboratory
Accreditation: The Joint Commission

Advanced Reproductive Care Center, PA
10301 Hagen Ranch Rd, Suite 6
Boynton Beach FL 33437
Telephone: (561) 736-6006; Fax: (561) 736-5788
Lab Name: Advanced Reproductive Care Center, PA
Accreditation: The Joint Commission

Florida Fertility Institute
2454 McMullen Booth Rd, Suite 601
Clearwater FL 33759
Telephone: (727) 796-7705; Fax: (727) 796-8764
Lab Name: Florida Fertility Institute
Accreditation: The Joint Commission

Infertility & Reproductive Medicine of South Broward
Kenneth M. Gelman, MD
9900 Stirling Rd, Suite 300
Cooper City FL 33024
Telephone: (954) 432-2228; Fax: (954) 432-7277
Lab Name: Infertility and Reproductive Medicine of South Broward
Accreditation: None

Specialists in Reproductive Medicine & Surgery, PA
Craig R. Sweet, MD
12611 World Plaza Ln, Bldg 53
Fort Myers FL 33907
Telephone: (239) 275-8118; Fax: (239) 275-5914
Lab Name: Specialists in Reproductive Medicine and Surgery, PA
Accreditation: The Joint Commission

University of Florida Women's Health at Magnolia Parke
Women's Health at Magnolia Parke
3951 N.W. 48th Terrace, Suite 101
Gainesville FL 32606
Telephone: (352) 265-6200; Fax: (352) 265-9103
Lab Name: Shands at the University of Florida IVF and Andrology Laboratory
Accreditation: CAP/ASRM

Assisted Fertility Program of North Florida
3627 University Blvd South, Suite 450
Jacksonville FL 32216
Telephone: (904) 398-1407; Fax: (904) 399-3436
Lab Name: Assisted Fertility Program Laboratory
Accreditation: CAP/ASRM

Florida Institute for Reproductive Medicine
Baptist Medical Center Pavilion
836 Prudential Dr, Suite 902
Jacksonville FL 32207
Telephone: (904) 399-5620; Fax: (904) 399-5645
Lab Name: Florida Institute for Reproductive Medicine IVF Laboratory
Accreditation: CAP/ASRM

Jacksonville Center for Reproductive Medicine
7051 Southpoint Pkwy, Suite 200
Jacksonville FL 32216
Telephone: (904) 493-2229; Fax: (904) 396-4546
Lab Name: North Florida Reproductive Biology Laboratory
Accreditation: CAP/ASRM (Pend)

§Gene F. Manko, MD, Inc.
600 Heritage Dr, Suite 200
Jupiter FL 33458
Telephone: (561) 354-1525; Fax: (561) 354-1526
Contact the NASS Help Desk for current clinic information.

Center for Reproductive Medicine
Stephen W. Welden, MD
18944 N. Dale Mabry Hwy
Lutz FL 33548
Telephone: (813) 386-0618; Fax: (813) 386-0622
Lab Name: Bill Clark
Accreditation: None

IVF Florida
2960 N. State Rd 7, Suite 300
Margate FL 33063
Telephone: (954) 247-6200; Fax: (954) 247-6296
Lab Name: IVF Florida Reproductive Associates Laboratory
Accreditation: CAP/ASRM

Fertility & Reproductive Medicine Center for Women
Viera Fertility Center
Fertility & Reproductive Medicine Center for Women
3160 Alzante Circle
Melbourne FL 32940
Telephone: (321) 751-4673; Fax: (321) 751-4567
Lab Name: Viera Fertility Center
Accreditation: The Joint Commission

Fertility & IVF Center of Miami, Inc.
8950 N. Kendall Dr, Suite 103
Miami FL 33176
Telephone: (305) 596-4013; Fax: (305) 596-4557
Lab Name: Fertility & IVF Center of Miami Assisted Reproduction Laboratory
Accreditation: CAP/ASRM

Palmetto Fertility Center of South Florida
7100 W. 20th Ave, Suite 205
Miami FL 33016
Telephone: (305) 558-0808; Fax: (305) 558-0806
Lab Name: Palmetto Fertility Laboratory, Inc.
Accreditation: CAP/ASRM

University of Miami Infertility Center
1400 N.W. 12th Ave, Suite 5
Miami FL 33136
Telephone: (305) 243-8642; Fax: (305) 324-0363
Lab Name: University of Miami Infertility Center
Accreditation: CAP/ASRM

Center for Reproductive Medicine, PA
3435 Pinehurst Ave
Orlando FL 32804
Telephone: (407) 740-0909; Fax: (407) 740-7262
Lab Name: Center for Reproductive Medicine IVF Laboratory
Accreditation: CAP/ASRM

§Frank C. Riggall, MD, PA
2501 N. Orange Ave, Suite 209S
Orlando FL 32804
Telephone: (407) 740-0909; Fax: (407) 740-7262
Contact the NASS Help Desk for current clinic information.

New Leaders in Infertility & Endocrinology, LLC
4400 Bayou Blvd, Suite 36
Pensacola FL 32503
Telephone: (850) 857-3733; Fax: (850) 254-9930
Lab Name: New LIFE Laboratory
Accreditation: CAP/ASRM

Fertility & Genetics
201 N. Pine Island Rd, 2nd Floor
Plantation FL 33324
Telephone: (954) 584-2273; Fax: (954) 587-9630
Lab Name: LIFE Laboratories
Accreditation: The Joint Commission

Fertility Center and Applied Genetics of Florida, Inc.
6050 Cattleridge Blvd, Suite 103
Sarasota FL 34232
Telephone: (941) 342-1568; Fax: (941) 342-8296
Lab Name: Fertility Center & Applied Genetics of Florida, Inc.
Accreditation: None

South Florida Institute for Reproductive Medicine
7300 S.W. 62nd Pl, 4th Floor
South Miami FL 33143
Telephone: (305) 662-7901; Fax: (305) 662-7910
Lab Name: South Florida Institute for Reproductive Medicine Laboratory
Accreditation: CAP/ASRM

Reproductive Health Associates, PA
2919 Swann Ave, Suite 307
Tampa FL 33609
Telephone: (813) 872-0018; Fax: (813) 876-1149
Lab Name: Bill Clark
Accreditation: None

The Reproductive Medicine Group
5245 E. Fletcher Ave
Tampa FL 33617
Telephone: (813) 676-8844; Fax: (813) 676-8815
Lab Name: Reproductive Medicine Group ART Laboratories, Inc.
Accreditation: CAP/ASRM

University of South Florida IVF
2 Tampa General Cir, 6th Floor
Tampa FL 33606
Telephone: (813) 259-0962; Fax: (813) 259-0882
Lab Name: University of South Florida IVF
Accreditation: None

F.I.R.S.T.
Florida Institute for Reproductive Sciences and Technologies
2300 N. Commerce Pkwy, Suite 313
Weston FL 33326
Telephone: (954) 217-3456; Fax: (954) 217-3462
Lab Name: Florida Institute for Reproductive Sciences & Technologies
Accreditation: The Joint Commission

Fertility Center of Assisted Reproduction & Endocrinology
5931 Brick Ct
Winter Park FL 32792
Telephone: (407) 672-1106; Fax: (407) 678-2790
Lab Name: Fertility Center of Assisted Reproduction & Endocrinology Laboratory
Accreditation: CAP/ASRM

GEORGIA

Atlanta Center for Reproductive Medicine
5909 Peachtree Dunwoody Rd, Suite 720
Atlanta GA 30328
Telephone: (770) 928-2276; Fax: (770) 592-2092
Lab Name: Atlanta Center for Reproductive Medicine Laboratory
Accreditation: CAP/ASRM

Emory Reproductive Center
550 Peachtree St, Suite 1800
Atlanta GA 30308
Telephone: (404) 686-3401; Fax: (404) 686-4956
Lab Name: Emory University, Emory Reproductive Center
Accreditation: CAP/ASRM

Georgia Reproductive Specialists, LLC
5445 Meridian Mark Rd, Suite 270
Atlanta GA 30342
Telephone: (404) 843-2229; Fax: (404) 843-0812
Lab Name: Georgia Reproductive Specialists
Accreditation: The Joint Commission

Reproductive Biology Associates
1150 Lake Hearn Dr, Suite 600
Atlanta GA 30342
Telephone: (404) 843-3064; Fax: (404) 256-1528
Lab Name: Reproductive Biology Associates IVF Laboratory
Accreditation: CAP/ASRM

Reproductive Medicine and Infertility Associates
810 Chafee Ave
Augusta GA 30904
Telephone: (706) 722-4434; Fax: (706) 722-9647
Lab Name: MCGH/PPG Reproductive Laboratories, LLC
Accreditation: CAP/ASRM

Servy Institute for Reproductive Endocrinology
812 Chafee Ave
Augusta GA 30904
Telephone: (706) 724-0228; Fax: (706) 722-2387
Lab Name: MCGH/PPG Reproductive Laboratories, LLC
Accreditation: CAP/ASRM

Columbus Center for Reproductive Endocrinology & Infertility, LLC
2323 Whittlesey Rd
Columbus GA 31909
Telephone: (706) 653-6344; Fax: (706) 653-8933
Lab Name: Columbus Center for Reproductive Endocrinology & Infertility Laboratory
Accreditation: CAP/ASRM

Central Georgia Fertility Institute
4075 Elnora Dr
Macon GA 31210
Telephone: (478) 757-7888; Fax: (478) 757-7887
Lab Name: Central Georgia Fertility Institute
Accreditation: The Joint Commission

Georgia Center for Reproductive Medicine
5354 Reynolds St, Suite 510
Savannah GA 31405
Telephone: (912) 352-8588; Fax: (912) 352-8893
Lab Name: The Georgia Center for Reproductive Medicine
Accreditation: CAP/ASRM

HAWAII

Advanced Reproductive Center of Hawaii
1319 Punahou St, Suite 520
Honolulu HI 96826
Telephone: (808) 949-6611; Fax: (808) 949-6610
Lab Name: Pacific IVF Institute Laboratory
Accreditation: CAP/ASRM, The Joint Commission

IVF Hawaii
1329 Lusitana St, Suite 607
Honolulu HI 96813
Telephone: (808) 538-6655; Fax: (808) 537-5500
Lab Name: IVF Hawaii Laboratory
Accreditation: CAP/ASRM

Pacific In Vitro Fertilization Institute
Kapi`olani Medical Center for Women and Children
1319 Punahou St, Suite 980
Honolulu HI 96826
Telephone: (808) 946-2226; Fax: (808) 943-1563
Lab Name: Pacific IVF Institute Laboratory
Accreditation: CAP/ASRM, The Joint Commission

§Tripler Army Medical Center IVF Institute
Department of OB/GYN
1 Jarrett White Rd
Tripler AMC HI 96859
Telephone: (808) 433-5946; Fax: (808) 433-1552
Contact the NASS Help Desk for current clinic information.

IDAHO

Idaho Center for Reproductive Medicine
111 Main St, Suite 100
Boise ID 83702
Telephone: (208) 342-5900; Fax: (208) 342-2088
Lab Name: Idaho Reproductive Labs, Inc.
Accreditation: The Joint Commission

ILLINOIS

Rush-Copley Center for Reproductive Health
Rush-Copley Medical Center
2020 Ogden Ave, Suite 250
Aurora IL 60504
Telephone: (630) 978-6254; Fax: (630) 499-2487
Lab Name: Rush-Copley Medical Center
Accreditation: The Joint Commission

Martin S. Balin, MD, PhD
2825 N. Halsted St
Chicago IL 60657
Telephone: (800) 241-7133; Fax: (708) 478-8059
Lab Name: Reproductive Genetics Institute IVF
Accreditation: CAP/ASRM

Center for Reproductive Medicine & Fertility
The University of Chicago
333 S. Desplaines St, Suite 201
Chicago IL 60661
Telephone: (773) 702-6642; Fax: (773) 702-5848
Lab Name: Center for Reproductive Medicine & Fertility Laboratory
Accreditation: CAP/ASRM

Institute for Human Reproduction (IHR)
2825 N. Halsted St
Chicago IL 60657
Telephone: (773) 472-4949; Fax: (773) 935-3691
Lab Name: Reproductive Genetics Institute IVF
Accreditation: CAP/ASRM

Northwestern University
675 N. St. Clair St, Suite 14-219
Chicago IL 60611
Telephone: (312) 695-1364; Fax: (312) 695-4924
Lab Name: Northwestern Medical Faculty Foundation, Inc., IVF & Andrology Laboratories
Accreditation: CAP/ASRM

River North IVF-Fertility Centers of Illinois
900 N. Kingsbury, Suite RW6
Chicago IL 60610
Telephone: (847) 729-2188; Fax: (847) 724-1649
Lab Name: Fertility Centers of Illinois, River North IVF Center
Accreditation: CAP/ASRM

University of Illinois at Chicago IVF Program
1801 W. Taylor St, Suite 4A
Chicago IL 60612
Telephone: (312) 996-9820; Fax: (312) 355-3161
Lab Name: University of Illinois at Chicago IVF Program
Accreditation: CAP/ASRM

Women's Health Consultants
1725 W. Harrison St, Suite 408E
Chicago IL 60612
Telephone: (312) 997-2229; Fax: (312) 997-2354
Lab Name: Rush Center for Advanced Reproductive Care Andrology Laboratory
Accreditation: The Joint Commission
Lab Name: Reproductive Genetics Institute IVF
Accreditation: CAP/ASRM

Center for Reproductive Health/Joliet IVF
2246 Weber Rd
Crest Hill IL 60403
Telephone: (815) 725-4161; Fax: (815) 725-4341
Lab Name: Center for Reproductive Health, SC, Joliet IVF, LLC
Accreditation: CAP/ASRM

Midwest Fertility Center
4333 Main St
Downers Grove IL 60515
Telephone: (630) 810-0212; Fax: (630) 810-1027
Lab Name: Midwest Fertility Center, ART Laboratory of Midwest Fertility Center
Accreditation: CAP/ASRM

The Rinehart Center for Reproductive Medicine
2500 Ridge Ave, Suite 200
Evanston IL 60201
Telephone: (847) 869-7777; Fax: (847) 869-7782
Lab Name: The Rinehart Center for Reproductive Medicine
Accreditation: CAP/ASRM

The Rinehart-Coulam Center
2500 Ridge Ave, Suite 200
Evanston IL 60611
Telephone: (847) 869-7777; Fax: (847) 869-7782
Lab Name: The Rinehart Center for Reproductive Medicine
Accreditation: CAP/ASRM

Advanced Fertility Center of Chicago
30 Tower Ct, Suite F
Gurnee IL 60031
Telephone: (847) 662-1818; Fax: (847) 662-3001
Lab Name: Advanced Fertility Center of Chicago Laboratory
Accreditation: CAP/ASRM

Chicago Infertility Associates
Alexian Brother's Hospital Pavilion
1515 W. Lake St, Suite 208
Hanover Park IL 60133
Telephone: (630) 540-9317; Fax: (630) 540-2262
Lab Name: Reproductive Genetics Institute IVF
Accreditation: CAP/ASRM

Highland Park IVF Center
767 Park Ave West, B400
Highland Park IL 60035
Telephone: (847) 266-3535; Fax: (847) 266-8838
Lab Name: Gamete Resources
Accreditation: None

Hinsdale Center for Reproduction
121 N. Elm St
Hinsdale IL 60521
Telephone: (630) 856-3535; Fax: (630) 856-3545
Lab Name: Hinsdale Center for Reproduction Laboratory
Accreditation: CAP/ASRM

Reena Jabamoni, MD, SC
1585 N. Barrington Rd, Bldg 2, Suite 401
Hoffman Estates IL 60169
Telephone: (847) 843-7090; Fax: (847) 843-0584
Lab Name: Fertility Centers of Illinois, River North IVF Center
Accreditation: CAP/ASRM

Karande and Associates, SC
Karande and Associates, SC
dba InVia Fertility
1585 N. Barrington Rd, Suite 406
Hoffman Estates IL 60194
Telephone: (847) 884-8884; Fax: (847) 884-8093
Lab Name: InVia Fertility Laboratory
Accreditation: CAP/ASRM

Reproductive Health Specialists, Ltd.
1515 Essington Rd
Joliet IL 60435
Telephone: (815) 730-1100; Fax: (815) 730-1066
Lab Name: Reproductive Health Specialists, Ltd., IVF/Andrology Laboratory
Accreditation: CAP/ASRM

The Advanced IVF Institute
Charles E. Miller, MD & Associates
120 Osler Dr, Suite 100
Naperville IL 60540
Telephone: (630) 428-2229; Fax: (630) 428-0336
Lab Name: Charles E. Miller, MD, SC, Laboratory
Accreditation: CAP/ASRM

IVF1
636 Raymond Dr, Suite 303
Naperville IL 60563
Telephone: (630) 357-6540; Fax: (630) 357-6435
Lab Name: Reproductive Genetics Institute IVF
Accreditation: CAP/ASRM

Oak Brook Fertility Center
2425 W. 22nd St, Suite 102
Oak Brook IL 60523
Telephone: (630) 954-0054; Fax: (630) 954-0064
Lab Name: Chicago Fertility Laboratories, Inc.
Accreditation: The Joint Commission

Reproductive Health and Fertility Center
973 Featherstone Rd, Suite 100
Rockford IL 61107
Telephone: (815) 986-3737; Fax: (815) 986-3734
Lab Name: Reproductive Health and Fertility Center Laboratory
Accreditation: CAP/ASRM

North Shore Fertility, SC
4250 Dempster St
Skokie IL 60076
Telephone: (847) 763-8850; Fax: (847) 763-8851
Lab Name: North Shore Fertility, SC, IVF Laboratory
Accreditation: CAP/ASRM

Reproductive Endocrinology Associates, SC
340 W. Miller St
Springfield IL 62702
Telephone: (217) 523-4700; Fax: (217) 523-9025
Lab Name: Reproductive Endocrinology Associates
Accreditation: CAP/ASRM

SIU Fertility and IVF Center
Southern Illinois University School of Medicine
751 N. Rutledge St, Suite 0100
Springfield IL 62702
Telephone: (217) 545-3127; Fax: (217) 545-3130
Lab Name: SIU Fertility and IVF Center Laboratory
Accreditation: None

Seth Levrant, MD, PC
Partners in Reproductive Health
16345 S. Harlem Ave, Suite 1W
Tinley Park IL 60477
Telephone: (708) 532-7017; Fax: (708) 845-5287
Lab Name: Seth Levrant, MD, PC, In-Vitro Lab
Accreditation: CAP/ASRM

INDIANA

Bonaventura Reproductive Medicine
11725 Illinois St, Suite 345
Carmel IN 46032
Telephone: (317) 814-4575; Fax: (317) 814-4571
Lab Name: Heartland Laboratories
Accreditation: CAP/ASRM

Jarrett Fertility Group
11725 Illinois St, Suite 515
Carmel IN 46032
Telephone: (317) 814-4110; Fax: (317) 814-4114
Lab Name: Heartland Laboratories
Accreditation: CAP/ASRM

Midwest Fertility Specialists
12188-A N. Meridian St, Suite 250
Carmel IN 46032
Telephone: (317) 571-1637; Fax: (317) 571-9483
Lab Name: Midwest Fertility Specialists
Accreditation: The Joint Commission

Advanced Reproduction Institute, LLC
Advanced Fertility Group
1222 Professional Blvd
Evansville IN 47714
Telephone: (812) 469-4920; Fax: (812) 469-4930
Lab Name: Advanced Reproduction Institute, LLC
Accreditation: The Joint Commission

Advanced Fertility Group
201 N. Pennsylvania Pkwy, Suite 205
Indianapolis IN 46280
Telephone: (317) 817-1300; Fax: (317) 817-1306
Lab Name: Center for Reproductive Biology of Indiana, LLC
Accreditation: The Joint Commission

Community Reproductive Endocrinology
7250 Clearvista Dr, Suite 365
Indianapolis IN 46256
Telephone: (317) 621-0600; Fax: (317) 621-0610
Lab Name: Assisted Fertility Services
Accreditation: The Joint Commission

Family Beginnings, PC
8435 Clearvista Pl, Suite 104
Indianapolis IN 46256
Telephone: (317) 595-3665; Fax: (317) 595-3666
Lab Name: Family Beginnings, PC
Accreditation: CAP/ASRM

Indiana University Hospital
550 N. University Blvd, Room 2440
Indianapolis IN 46202
Telephone: (317) 944-4057; Fax: (317) 948-3787
Lab Name: Center for Reproductive Biology of Indiana, LLC
Accreditation: The Joint Commission

Reproductive Care of Indiana
201 Pennsylvania Pkwy, Suite 205
Indianapolis IN 46280
Telephone: (317) 506-8095; Fax: (317) 817-1810
Lab Name: Center for Reproductive Biology of Indiana, LLC
Accreditation: The Joint Commission

Women's Specialty Health Centers, PC
9660 E. 146th St, Suite 300
Noblesville IN 46060
Telephone: (317) 774-1200; Fax: (317) 774-1222
Lab Name: Follas Center for Reproductive Medicine Laboratory
Accreditation: CAP/ASRM

IOWA

Mid-Iowa Fertility, PC
1371 N.W. 121st St
Clive IA 50325
Telephone: (515) 222-3060; Fax: (515) 222-9563
Lab Name: Mid-Iowa Fertility, PC, Main Laboratory
Accreditation: CAP/ASRM

University of Iowa Hospitals and Clinics
Center for Advanced Reproductive Care
Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology
Pomerantz Family Pavilion, 200 Hawkins Dr
Iowa City IA 52242
Telephone: (319) 356-8483; Fax: (319) 353-6659
Lab Name: University of Iowa Hospital and Clinics IVF & Reproductive Testing
Accreditation: CAP/ASRM

KANSAS

Midwest Reproductive Center, PA
20375 W. 151st St, Bldg 1, Suite 403
Olathe KS 66061
Telephone: (913) 780-4300; Fax: (913) 780-4250
Lab Name: Midwest Reproductive Center Laboratory
Accreditation: CAP/ASRM

Center for Advanced Reproductive Medicine
University of Kansas Medical Center
10777 Nall Ave, Suite 200
Overland Park KS 66211
Telephone: (913) 588-6272; Fax: (913) 588-6258
Lab Name: University of Kansas Medical Center Embryology Laboratory
Accreditation: CAP/ASRM

Reproductive Resource Center of Greater Kansas City
12200 W. 106th St, Suite 120
Overland Park KS 66215
Telephone: (913) 894-2323; Fax: (913) 894-0841
Lab Name: Reproductive Resource Center IVF Laboratory
Accreditation: CAP/ASRM

Reproductive Medicine & Infertility
Shawnee Mission Medical Center
8901 W. 74th Street, Suite 269
Shawnee Mission KS 66204
Telephone: (913) 432-7161; Fax: (913) 432-6158
Lab Name: Shawnee Mission Medical Center, Reproductive Medicine & Infertility
Accreditation: CAP/ASRM

The Center for Reproductive Medicine
9300 E. 29th St North, Suite 102
Wichita KS 67226
Telephone: (316) 687-2112; Fax: (316) 687-1260
Lab Name: The Center for Reproductive Medicine, CRM Laboratories
Accreditation: CAP/ASRM

KENTUCKY

Bluegrass Fertility Center
1760 Nicholasville Rd, Suite 501
Lexington KY 40503
Telephone: (859) 260-1515; Fax: (859) 260-1425
Lab Name: Bluegrass Fertility Center Laboratory
Accreditation: CAP/ASRM

University of Kentucky
125 E. Maxwell St, Suite 140
Lexington KY 40508
Telephone: (859) 323-0005; Fax: (859) 323-0790
Lab Name: Bluegrass Fertility Center Laboratory
Accreditation: CAP/ASRM

Fertility and Endocrine Associates
Louisville Reproductive Center
4121 Dutchman's Ln, Suites 414 and 416
Louisville KY 40207
Telephone: (502) 897-2144; Fax: (502) 897-1773
Lab Name: Louisville Reproductive Center Embryology Laboratory
Accreditation: CAP/ASRM

University OB/GYN Associates Fertility Center
401 East Chestnut St, Suite 410
Louisville KY 40202
Telephone: (502) 271-5999; Fax: (502) 271-5984
Lab Name: University Women's Healthcare, Fertility Center IVF Laboratory
Accreditation: CAP/ASRM

LOUISIANA

A Woman's Center for Reproductive Medicine
9000 Airline Hwy, Suite 670
Baton Rouge LA 70815
Telephone: (225) 926-6886; Fax: (225) 922-3730
Lab Name: A Woman's Center for Reproductive Medicine
Accreditation: CAP/ASRM

Fertility and Women's Health Center of Louisiana
206 East Farrel Rd
Lafayette LA 70508
Telephone: (337) 989-8795; Fax: (337) 989-9728
Lab Name: Fertility and Women's Health Center of Louisiana
Accreditation: The Joint Commission

The Fertility Institute of New Orleans
4770 S. I-10 Service Road West, Suite 201
Metairie LA 70001
Telephone: (985) 892-7621; Fax: (985) 892-9245
Lab Name: Fertility Institute of New Orleans Laboratory
Accreditation: CAP/ASRM

Center for Fertility and Reproductive Health
2401 Greenwood Rd
Shreveport LA 71103
Telephone: (318) 212-8270; Fax: (318) 212-8230
Lab Name: Willis-Knighton Health Center, Fertility & Reproductive Health Laboratory
Accreditation: CAP/ASRM

MARYLAND

Center for ART at Union Memorial Hospital
33rd St Professional Bldg
201 E. University Pkwy, Suite 464
Baltimore MD 21218
Telephone: (410) 554-2308; Fax: (410) 554-2091
Lab Name: Union Memorial Hospital, Center for ART at Union Memorial Hospital
Accreditation: CAP/ASRM

Shady Grove Fertility RSC at GBMC
6569 N. Charles St, Suite 406
Baltimore MD 21204
Telephone: (410) 510-8312; Fax: (410) 512-8390
Lab Name: Shady Grove Fertility Reproductive Science Center Andrology Center
Accreditation: CAP/ASRM

Endrika Hinton, MD
10751 Falls Rd, Suite 302
Lutherville MD 21093
Telephone: (410) 616-7777; Fax: (410) 616-7767
Lab Name: Johns Hopkins Health System Corporation, Johns Hopkins IVF ART Laboratories
Accreditation: CAP/ASRM

Johns Hopkins Fertility Center
10753 Falls Rd, Suite 335
Lutherville MD 21093
Telephone: (410) 847-3650; Fax: (410) 583-2792
Lab Name: Johns Hopkins Health System Corporation, Johns Hopkins IVF ART Laboratories
Accreditation: CAP/ASRM

Shady Grove Fertility Reproductive Science Center
15001 Shady Grove Rd, Suite 400
Rockville MD 20850
Telephone: (301) 340-1188; Fax: (301) 340-1612
Lab Name: Shady Grove Fertility Reproductive Science Center
Accreditation: The Joint Commission

Fertility Center of Maryland
110 West Rd, Suite 102
Towson MD 21204
Telephone: (410) 296-6400; Fax: (410) 296-6405
Lab Name: Fertility Center of Maryland, Inc.
Accreditation: The Joint Commission

MASSACHUSETTS

Brigham and Women's Hospital Center for Assisted Reproductive Technology
Brigham and Women's Hospital
75 Francis St
Boston MA 02115
Telephone: (617) 732-5570; Fax: (617) 975-0825
Lab Name: Brigham and Women's Hospital, Center for Assisted Reproduction
Accreditation: CAP/ASRM

Massachusetts General Hospital Fertility Center
55 Fruit St, Yawkey 10A
Boston MA 02114
Telephone: (617) 726-8868; Fax: (617) 724-8882
Lab Name: Massachusetts General Hospital, Vincent IVF Unit Laboratory
Accreditation: CAP/ASRM

REI Division at Tufts Medical Center
North Building, Mezzanine Level
800 Washington St
Boston MA 02111
Telephone: (617) 636-0053; Fax: (617) 636-5906
Lab Name: REI Division at Tufts Medical Center Laboratory
Accreditation: CAP/ASRM

Reproductive Science Center
1 Forbes Rd
Lexington MA 02421
Telephone: (781) 674-1200; Fax: (781) 674-2442
Lab Name: The Reproductive Science Center
Accreditation: CAP/ASRM

Fertility Centers of New England, Inc.
New England Clinics of Reproductive Medicine, Inc.
20 Pond Meadow Dr, Suite 207
Reading MA 01867
Telephone: (781) 942-7000; Fax: (781) 942-7200
Lab Name: New England Clinic of Reproductive Medicine, Inc. Laboratory
Accreditation: CAP/ASRM

Baystate Reproductive Medicine
Chestnut Surgical Center
759 Chestnut St
Springfield MA 01199
Telephone: (413) 794-1950; Fax: (413) 794-1857
Lab Name: Baystate Medical Center, Reproductive Biology Laboratory
Accreditation: CAP/ASRM

Cardone Reproductive Medicine and Infertility
2 Main St, Suite 150
Stoneham MA 02180
Telephone: (781) 438-9600; Fax: (781) 438-9601
Lab Name: Boston IVF, Inc.
Accreditation: CAP/ASRM

Boston IVF
130 Second Ave
Waltham MA 02451
Telephone: (781) 434-6400; Fax: (781) 434-6464
Lab Name: Boston IVF, Inc.
Accreditation: CAP/ASRM

MICHIGAN

Center for Reproductive Medicine
University of Michigan Reproductive Endocrinology and Infertility
475 Market Pl, Suite B
Ann Arbor MI 48108
Telephone: (734) 763-4323; Fax: (734) 763-7682
Lab Name: University of Michigan, Assisted Reproductive Technologies Laboratories
Accreditation: CAP/ASRM

Center for Reproductive Medicine and Surgery, PC
300 Park St, Suite 460
Birmingham MI 48009
Telephone: (248) 593-6990; Fax: (248) 593-5925
Lab Name: SMART Labs, LLC
Accreditation: CAP/ASRM

Advanced Reproductive Medicine and Surgery, PC
4190 Telegraph Rd, Suite 1500
Bloomfield Hills MI 48302
Telephone: (248) 203-0900; Fax: (248) 203-0902
Lab Name: Michigan Center for Fertility, Michigan Center IVF, PLLC, Laboratory
Accreditation: CAP/ASRM (Pend)
Lab Name: Reproductive Medicine Associates of Michigan Laboratory
Accreditation: CAP/ASRM

Michigan Comprehensive Fertility Center
18181 Oakwood Blvd, Suite 109
Dearborn MI 48124
Telephone: (313) 299-6650; Fax: (313) 299-6658
Lab Name: Michigan Comprehensive Fertility Center Laboratory
Accreditation: The Joint Commission

Grand Rapids Fertility & IVF, PC
555 Midtowne St N.E., Suite 300
Grand Rapids MI 49503
Telephone: (616) 774-2030; Fax: (616) 774-2053
Lab Name: Grand Rapids Fertility & IVF, PC, Laboratory
Accreditation: CAP/ASRM

Michigan Reproductive & IVF Center, PC
3230 Eagle Park Dr N.E., Suite 100
Grand Rapids MI 49525
Telephone: (616) 988-2229; Fax: (616) 988-2009
Lab Name: Michigan Reproductive & IVF Center, PC
Accreditation: The Joint Commission

IVF Michigan
3950 S. Rochester Rd, Suite 2300
Rochester Hills MI 48307
Telephone: (248) 844-8845; Fax: (248) 844-9039
Lab Name: IVF Michigan, IVF/Andrology Laboratory
Accreditation: CAP/ASRM

University Women's Care/Wayne State University
Wayne State University Physician Group
University Women's Care
26400 W. 12 Mile Rd, Suite 140
Southfield MI 48034
Telephone: (248) 352-8200; Fax: (248) 356-8255
Lab Name: University Physician Group, Reproductive Laboratory
Accreditation: CAP/ASRM

§Henry Ford Reproductive Medicine
1500 W. Big Beaver Rd, Suite 105
Troy MI 48084
Telephone: (248) 637-4050; Fax: (248) 637-0115
Contact the NASS Help Desk for current clinic information.

Reproductive Medicine Associates of Michigan
130 Town Center Dr, Suite 106
Troy MI 48084
Telephone: (248) 619-3100; Fax: (248) 619-9031
Lab Name: Reproductive Medicine Associates of Michigan Laboratory
Accreditation: CAP/ASRM

Michigan Center for Fertility and Women's Health, PLC
4700 Thirteen Mile Rd
Warren MI 48092
Telephone: (586) 576-0431; Fax: (586) 576-0924
Lab Name: Michigan Center for Fertility, Michigan Center IVF, PLLC, Laboratory
Accreditation: CAP/ASRM (Pend)

MINNESOTA

The Midwest Center for Reproductive Health, PA
Arbor Lakes Medical Bldg
12000 Elm Creek Blvd North, Suite 350
Maple Grove MN 55369
Telephone: (763) 494-7700; Fax: (763) 494-7706
Lab Name: Midwest Center for Reproductive Health, Assisted Reproductive Technology
Accreditation: CAP/ASRM

Center for Reproductive Medicine
Advanced Reproductive Technologies
2828 Chicago Ave South, Suite 400
Minneapolis MN 55407
Telephone: (612) 863-5390; Fax: (612) 863-2697
Lab Name: Center for Reproductive Medicine Embryology Laboratory
Accreditation: CAP/ASRM

Reproductive Medicine Center
606 24th Ave South, Suite 500
Minneapolis MN 55454
Telephone: (612) 372-7050; Fax: (612) 372-7040
Lab Name: University of Minnesota Physicians, Reproductive Medicine Center Laboratory
Accreditation: CAP/ASRM

Mayo Clinic Assisted Reproductive Technologies
Charlton Bldg, 200 First St S.W., 3rd Floor, Desk 3A
Rochester MN 55905
Telephone: (507) 266-3995; Fax: (507) 284-1774
Lab Name: Mayo Clinic Fertility Testing Laboratory
Accreditation: CAP/ASRM

Reproductive Medicine & Infertility Associates
Woodbury Medical Arts Bldg
2101 Woodwinds Dr, Suite 100
Woodbury MN 55125
Telephone: (651) 222-6050; Fax: (651) 222-5975
Lab Name: Reproductive Medicine & Infertility Associates, Reproductive Biology Laboratory-Woodbury
Accreditation: CAP/ASRM
Lab Name: Reproductive Medicine & Infertility Associates, Biology Laboratory-Edina
Accreditation: CAP/ASRM

MISSISSIPPI

Mississippi Fertility Institute
501 Marshall St, Suite 600
Jackson MS 39202
Telephone: (601) 948-3874; Fax: (601) 326-1501
Lab Name: Mississippi Fertility Institute
Accreditation: The Joint Commission

§University of Mississippi Medical Center
Department of Ob/Gyn, Division of Reproductive Endocrinology and Fertility
2500 N. State St
Jackson MS 39216
Telephone: (601) 984-6900; Fax: (601) 984-6759
Contact the NASS Help Desk for current clinic information.

MISSOURI

Mid-Missouri Reproductive Medicine and Surgery, Inc.
1502 E. Broadway, Suite 106
Columbia MO 65201
Telephone: (573) 443-4511; Fax: (573) 443-7860
Lab Name: Mid-Missouri Reproductive Medicine and Surgery, Inc., Laboratory
Accreditation: CAP/ASRM

Missouri Center for Reproductive Medicine and Fertility
University of Missouri
Department of Obstetrics, Gynecology and Women's Health
500 N. Keene St, Suite 203
Columbia MO 65201
Telephone: (573) 882-6403; Fax: (573) 499-6065
Lab Name: University of Missouri, Missouri Center for Reproductive Medicine and Fertility-ART Lab
Accreditation: CAP/ASRM

§Midwest Women's Healthcare
6400 Prospect, Suite 598
Kansas City MO 64132
Telephone: (816) 444-6888; Fax: (816) 444-8430
Contact the NASS Help Desk for current clinic information.

Fertility Partnership
5401 Veterans Memorial Pkwy, Suite 201
Saint Peters MO 63376
Telephone: (636) 441-7770; Fax: (636) 441-7775
Lab Name: Fertility Partnership Laboratory
Accreditation: None

The Fertility Center at Missouri Baptist Medical Center
3009 N. Ballas Rd, Suite 258C
St. Louis MO 63131
Telephone: (314) 996-7900; Fax: (314) 996-7910
Lab Name: The Fertility Center at Missouri Baptist Medical Center
Accreditation: CAP/ASRM

The Infertility and Reproductive Medicine Center at Washington University School of Medicine and Barnes-Jewish Hospital
Barnes-Jewish Hospital, North Campus
4444 Forest Park Ave, Suite 3100
St. Louis MO 63108
Telephone: (314) 286-2400; Fax: (314) 286-2455
Lab Name: Barnes-Jewish Hospital, Infertility & Reproductive Medicine
Accreditation: CAP/ASRM, The Joint Commission

Infertility Center of St. Louis
St. Luke's Hospital
224 S. Woods Mill Rd, Suite 730
St. Louis MO 63017
Telephone: (314) 576-1400; Fax: (314) 576-1442
Lab Name: St. Luke's Hospital Assisted Reproductive Technology Laboratory
Accreditation: CAP/ASRM

NEBRASKA

Heartland Center for Reproductive Medicine, PC
7308 S. 142nd St
Omaha NE 68138
Telephone: (402) 717-4200; Fax: (402) 717-4230
Lab Name: Heartland Center for Reproductive Medicine, PC, Laboratory
Accreditation: CAP/ASRM

Nebraska Methodist Hospital REI
717 N. 190th Plaza, Suite 2500
Omaha NE 68022
Telephone: (402) 815-1915; Fax: (402) 815-1065
Lab Name: Methodist Women's Hospital, Andrology/Embryology Laboratory
Accreditation: CAP/ASRM

NEVADA

Fertility Center of Las Vegas
8851 W. Sahara Ave, Suite 100
Las Vegas NV 89117
Telephone: (702) 254-1777; Fax: (702) 254-1213
Lab Name: Fertility Center of Las Vegas Laboratory
Accreditation: CAP/ASRM

Nevada Fertility C.A.R.E.S.
653 Town Center Dr, Suite 206
Las Vegas NV 89144
Telephone: (702) 341-6616; Fax: (702) 341-6617
Lab Name: Nevada Fertility C.A.R.E.S.
Accreditation: CAP/ASRM

Red Rock Fertility Center
6420 Medical Center St, Suite 100
Las Vegas NV 89148
Telephone: (702) 262-0079; Fax: (702) 685-6910
Lab Name: Red Rock Fertility Center Laboratory
Accreditation: CAP/ASRM

The Nevada Center for Reproductive Medicine
645 Sierra Rose Dr, Suite 205
Reno NV 89511
Telephone: (775) 828-1200; Fax: (775) 828-1785
Lab Name: The Nevada Center for Reproductive Medicine
Accreditation: The Joint Commission

NEW HAMPSHIRE

Dartmouth-Hitchcock Medical Center
1 Medical Center Dr
Lebanon NH 03756
Telephone: (603) 653-9240; Fax: (603) 650-0905
Lab Name: Dartmouth-Hitchcock Medical Center, Mary Hitchcock Reproductive Sciences Laboratory
Accreditation: CAP/ASRM

NEW JERSEY

Sher Institute for Reproductive Medicine-New Jersey
1 Robertson Dr, Suite 24
Bedminster NJ 07921
Telephone: (908) 781-0666; Fax: (908) 781-6377
Lab Name: Sher Institute for Reproductive Medicine-New Jersey
Accreditation: CAP/ASRM

Reproductive Science Center of New Jersey
234 Industrial Way West, Suite A104
Eatontown NJ 07724
Telephone: (732) 918-2500; Fax: (732) 918-2504
Lab Name: Reproductive Science Center of New Jersey Laboratory
Accreditation: CAP/ASRM

Center for Advanced Reproductive Medicine & Fertility
Four Ethel Rd, Suite 405A
Edison NJ 08817
Telephone: (732) 339-9300; Fax: (732) 339-9400
Lab Name: Center for Advanced Reproductive Medicine & Fertility
Accreditation: The Joint Commission

Women's Fertility Center
106 Grand Ave, Suite 400
Englewood NJ 07631
Telephone: (201) 569-6979; Fax: (201) 569-0269
Lab Name: Fertility Institute of New Jersey and New York IVF Laboratory
Accreditation: CAP/ASRM

North Hudson I.V.F.
Center for Fertility and Gynecology
385 Sylvan Ave
Englewood Cliffs NJ 07632
Telephone: (201) 871-1999; Fax: (201) 871-1031
Lab Name: North Hudson IVF Center Laboratory
Accreditation: CAP/ASRM

Douglas S. Rabin, MD
33-00 Broadway, Suite 303
Fair Lawn NJ 07410
Telephone: (201) 703-9555; Fax: (201) 475-5678
Lab Name: Gramercy Fertility Services
Accreditation: The Joint Commission, NYSTB

University Reproductive Associates, PC
214 Terrace Ave
Hasbrouck Heights NJ 07604
Telephone: (201) 288-6330; Fax: (201) 288-6331
Lab Name: University Reproductive Associates, PC, Laboratories
Accreditation: CAP/ASRM

Shore Institute for Reproductive Medicine
475 Route 70, Suite 201
Lakewood NJ 08701
Telephone: (732) 363-4777; Fax: (732) 363-2004
Lab Name: Shore Area IVF Laboratories, PC
Accreditation: CAP/ASRM

Delaware Valley OBGYN and Infertility Group
Princeton IVF
2 Princess Rd, Suite C
Lawrenceville NJ 08648
Telephone: (609) 896-0777; Fax: (609) 896-3266
Lab Name: Delaware Valley OBGYN and Infertility Group, Princeton IVF
Accreditation: CAP/ASRM

Princeton Center for Infertility & Reproductive Medicine
3131 Princeton Pike, Bldg 6, Suite 100
Lawrenceville NJ 08648
Telephone: (609) 895-1114; Fax: (609) 895-1196
Lab Name: Cooper Institute for Reproductive Hormonal Disorders
Accreditation: CAP/ASRM

East Coast Infertility and IVF
200 White Rd, Suite 214
Little Silver NJ 07739
Telephone: (732) 758-6511; Fax: (732) 758-0148
Lab Name: East Coast Infertility and IVF Laboratory
Accreditation: CAP/ASRM

Institute for Reproductive Medicine and Science
Saint Barnabas Medical Center
94 Old Short Hills Rd, East Wing, Suite 403
Livingston NJ 07039
Telephone: (973) 322-8286; Fax: (973) 322-8890
Lab Name: Institute for Reproductive Medicine and Science at Saint Barnabas Medical Center
Accreditation: CAP/ASRM

Cooper Institute for Reproductive Hormonal Disorders
8002E Greentree Commons
Marlton NJ 08053
Telephone: (856) 751-5575; Fax: (856) 751-7289
Lab Name: Cooper Institute for Reproductive Hormonal Disorders
Accreditation: CAP/ASRM

Delaware Valley Institute of Fertility and Genetics
6000 Sagemore Dr, Suite 6102
Marlton NJ 08053
Telephone: (856) 988-0072; Fax: (856) 988-0056
Lab Name: Delaware Valley Institute of Fertility and Genetics Reproductive Laboratories
Accreditation: CAP/ASRM

South Jersey Fertility Center
400 Lippincott Dr, Suite 130
Marlton NJ 08053
Telephone: (856) 596-2233; Fax: (856) 596-2411
Lab Name: South Jersey Fertility Center
Accreditation: The Joint Commission

Diamond Institute for Infertility
89 Millburn Ave
Millburn NJ 07041
Telephone: (973) 761-5600; Fax: (973) 761-5100
Lab Name: Diamond Institute for Infertility, IVF Laboratory
Accreditation: CAP/ASRM

Reproductive Medicine Associates of New Jersey
111 Madison Ave, Suite 100
Morristown NJ 07960
Telephone: (973) 971-4600; Fax: (973) 290-8370
Lab Name: Reproductive Medicine Associates of New Jersey Embryology Laboratory
Accreditation: CAP/ASRM

Valley Hospital Fertility Center
1 Valley Health Plaza
Paramus NJ 07652
Telephone: (201) 634-5400; Fax: (201) 634-5506
Lab Name: Valley Hospital Fertility Center Laboratory
Accreditation: CAP/ASRM

IVF New Jersey
81 Veronica Ave
Somerset NJ 08873
Telephone: (732) 220-9060; Fax: (732) 545-1164
Lab Name: IVF New Jersey Embryology Laboratory
Accreditation: CAP/ASRM

For current information for Reproductive Science Center of New Jersey, see Eatontown, NJ

Dr. Louis R. Manara
The Center for Reproductive Medicine and Fertility
Dr. Louis R. Manara
The Center for Reproductive Medicine & Fertility
200A Route 73
Voorhees NJ 08043
Telephone: (856) 767-0009; Fax: (856) 767-0990
Lab Name: Center for Reproductive Medicine and Fertility Embryology Laboratory
Accreditation: CAP/ASRM

North Jersey Fertility Associates, LLC
57 Willowbrooks Blvd, Suite 301
Wayne NJ 07470
Telephone: (973) 754-4055; Fax: (973) 754-4058
Lab Name: North Jersey Fertility Associates, LLC, Laboratory
Accreditation: CAP/ASRM

Fertility Institute of New Jersey and New York
400 Old Hook Rd, Suite 2-3
Westwood NJ 07675
Telephone: (201) 666-4200; Fax: (201) 666-2262
Lab Name: Fertility Institute of New Jersey and New York IVF Laboratory
Accreditation: CAP/ASRM

NEW MEXICO

Center for Reproductive Medicine of New Mexico
Presbyterian Professional Bldg
201 Cedar St S.E., Suite S1-20
Albuquerque NM 87106
Telephone: (505) 247-3333; Fax: (505) 224-7476
Lab Name: Center for Reproductive Medicine of New Mexico, In Vitro Fertilization and Andrology
Accreditation: CAP/ASRM

NEW YORK

For current information for Albany IVF Fertility, see Loudonville, NY

The Fertility Institute at New York Methodist Hospital
506 Sixth St, KP4
Brooklyn NY 11215
Telephone: (718) 780-5065; Fax: (718) 780-5085
Lab Name: The Fertility Institute at New York Methodist Hospital
Accreditation: NYSTB

Genesis Fertility & Reproductive Medicine
1355 84th St
Brooklyn NY 11228
Telephone: (718) 283-8600; Fax: (718) 283-6580
Lab Name: Genesis Fertility & Reproductive Medicine
Accreditation: NYSTB

Infertility & IVF Medical Associates of Western New York
4510 Main St
Buffalo NY 14226
Telephone: (716) 839-3057; Fax: (716) 839-1477
Lab Name: Infertility & IVF Medical Associates of Western New York
Accreditation: NYSTB

The New York Fertility Center
42-31 Colden St, Suite 202
Flushing NY 11355
Telephone: (718) 261-9068; Fax: (718) 261-9067
Lab Name: The New York Fertility Center
Accreditation: NYSTB

Montefiore's Institute for Reproductive Medicine and Health
141 S. Central Ave, Suite 201
Hartsdale NY 10530
Telephone: (914) 997-1060; Fax: (914) 997-1099
Lab Name: Institute for Reproductive Medicine and Health of Montefiore Medical Center
Accreditation: CAP/ASRM, NYSTB

Albany IVF Fertility
399 Albany Shaker Rd
Loudonville NY 12211
Telephone: (518) 434-9759; Fax: (518) 436-9822
Lab Name: Albany IVF
Accreditation: NYSTB

North Shore University Hospital
Center for Human Reproduction
300 Community Dr
Manhasset NY 11030
Telephone: (516) 562-2229; Fax: (516) 562-1710
Lab Name: North Shore University Hospital Center for Human Reproduction
Accreditation: CAP/ASRM, NYSTB

Long Island IVF
8 Corporate Center Dr, Suite 101
Melville NY 11747
Telephone: (631) 881-5337; Fax: (631) 752-0654
Lab Name: Long Island IVF Laboratory
Accreditation: CAP/ASRM, NYSTB

Reproductive Specialists of New York
200 Old Country Rd, Suite 330
Mineola NY 11501
Telephone: (516) 739-2100; Fax: (516) 739-2179
Lab Name: Reproductive Specialists of New York
Accreditation: NYSTB

Westchester Reproductive Medicine
344 E. Main St, Suite 403
Mt. Kisco NY 10549
Telephone: (914) 218-8955; Fax: (914) 218-8956
Lab Name: American Fertility Services, PC
Accreditation: NYSTB

Advanced Fertility Services
1625 Third Ave
New York NY 10128
Telephone: (212) 369-8700; Fax: (212) 722-5587
Lab Name: Advanced Fertility Services, PC
Accreditation: NYSTB

American Fertility Services, PC
115 E. 57th St, Suite 500
New York NY 10022
Telephone: (212) 750-3330; Fax: (646) 462-3353
Lab Name: American Fertility Services, PC
Accreditation: NYSTB

Batzofin Fertility Services
16 E. 40th St, 2nd Floor
New York NY 10016
Telephone: (212) 679-2289; Fax: (212) 679-2288
Lab Name: Batzofin Fertility Services, PC
Accreditation: The Joint Commission, NYSTB

Beth Israel Center for Infertility & Reproductive Health
10 Union Square East, Suite 2E
New York NY 10003
Telephone: (212) 844-8587; Fax: (212) 844-6184
Lab Name: Gramercy Fertility Services
Accreditation: The Joint Commission, NYSTB

Brooklyn/Westside Fertility Center
Brooklyn Fertility Center
55 Central Park West, Suite 1C
New York NY 10023
Telephone: (212) 721-4545; Fax: (212) 721-4598
Lab Name: Brooklyn Westside Fertility Center
Accreditation: NYSTB

Columbia University Center for Women's Reproductive Care
1790 Broadway, 2nd Floor
New York NY 10019
Telephone: (646) 756-3874; Fax: (646) 756-8283
Lab Name: Center for Women's Reproductive Care at Columbia University
Accreditation: NYSTB

§IVF New York
230 Central Park South, Suite 1F
New York NY 10019
Telephone: (212) 582-4094; Fax: (212) 246-3430
Contact the NASS Help Desk for current clinic information.

Manhattan Reproductive Medicine
159 E. 74th St, Suite 1C
New York NY 10021
Telephone: (212) 794-0080; Fax: (212) 794-0066
Lab Name: Manhattan Reproductive Medicine
Accreditation: NYSTB

Medical Offices for Human Reproduction
Center for Human Reproduction (CHR)
21 E. 69th St
New York NY 10021
Telephone: (212) 994-4400; Fax: (212) 994-4499
Lab Name: Medical Offices for Human Reproduction-New York
Accreditation: NYSTB

Metropolitan Reproductive Medicine, PC
422 W. End Ave
New York NY 10024
Telephone: (212) 580-2252; Fax: (212) 580-2258
Lab Name: American Fertility Services, PC
Accreditation: NYSTB

New Hope Fertility Center
784 Park Ave
New York NY 10021
Telephone: (212) 517-7676; Fax: (212) 396-0600
Lab Name: New Hope Fertility Center
Accreditation: NYSTB

New York Fertility Institute
1016 5th Ave
New York NY 10028
Telephone: (212) 734-5555; Fax: (212) 734-6059
Lab Name: New York Fertility Institute Reproductive Laboratory
Accreditation: CAP/ASRM, NYSTB

NYU Fertility Center
New York University School of Medicine
660 First Ave, 5th Floor
New York NY 10016
Telephone: (212) 263-8990; Fax: (212) 263-7853
Lab Name: NYU Fertility Center Andrology & Endocrinology Laboratory
Accreditation: NYSTB

Offices for Fertility and Reproductive Medicine
51 E. 67th St
New York NY 10065
Telephone: (212) 535-5350; Fax: (212) 535-5080
Lab Name: Offices for Fertility and Reproductive Medicine, PC
Accreditation: NYSTB

Reproductive Endocrinology Associates of St. Luke's Roosevelt Hospital Center
425 W. 59th St, Suite 5A
New York NY 10019
Telephone: (212) 523-7751; Fax: (212) 523-8348
Lab Name: Continuum Reproductive Center
Accreditation: NYSTB

Reproductive Medicine Associates of New York, LLP
635 Madison Ave, 10th Floor
New York NY 10022
Telephone: (212) 756-5777; Fax: (212) 756-5770
Lab Name: Reproductive Medicine Associates of New York, LLP
Accreditation: NYSTB

Geoffrey Sher, MD, PC
425 5th Ave, 3rd Floor
New York NY 10016
Telephone: (646) 792-7476; Fax: (646) 274-0600
Lab Name: Sher Institute for Reproductive Medicine-NYC
Accreditation: CAP/ASRM, The Joint Commission, NYSTB

Weill Medical College of Cornell University
The Center for Reproductive Medicine and Infertility
1305 York Ave, 6th Floor
New York NY 10021
Telephone: (646) 962-2764; Fax: (646) 962-0359
Lab Name: Weill Medical College of Cornell University Infertility Laboratory
Accreditation: NYSTB

East Coast Fertility
1074 Old Country Rd
Plainview NY 11803
Telephone: (516) 939-2229; Fax: (516) 939-2252
Lab Name: East Coast Fertility
Accreditation: NYSTB

Rochester Fertility Care, PC
1561 Long Pond Rd, Suite 410
Rochester NY 14626
Telephone: (585) 453-7760; Fax: (585) 453-7771
Lab Name: Strong Fertility Center
Accreditation: NYSTB

Strong Fertility Center
500 Red Creek Dr, Suite 220
Rochester NY 14623
Telephone: (585) 487-3378; Fax: (585) 334-8998
Lab Name: Strong Fertility Center
Accreditation: NYSTB

Island Reproductive Services
1110 South Ave, Suite 305
Staten Island NY 10314
Telephone: (718) 761-6000; Fax: (718) 761-6066
Lab Name: Reproductive Center of Central New Jersey
Accreditation: The Joint Commission
Lab Name: North Shore University Hospital Center for Human Reproduction
Accreditation: CAP/ASRM, NYSTB

Gold Coast IVF
Reproductive Medicine and Surgery Center
243 Jericho Turnpike
Syosset NY 11791
Telephone: (516) 682-8900; Fax: (516) 682-8901
Lab Name: North Shore University Hospital Center for Human Reproduction
Accreditation: CAP/ASRM, NYSTB
Lab Name: Connecticut Fertility Associates Laboratory
Accreditation: CAP/ASRM

CNY Fertility Center
195 Intrepid Ln
Syracuse NY 13205
Telephone: (315) 469-8700; Fax: (315) 469-6789
Lab Name: CNY Fertility Center-Syracuse
Accreditation: NYSTB
Lab Name: CNY Fertility Center-Latham
Accreditation: NYSTB

University IVF OB/GYN Associates
SUNY Upstate Medical University
725 Irving Ave, Suite 600
Syracuse NY 13210
Telephone: (315) 464-3928; Fax: (315) 464-4615
Lab Name: University IVF, SUNY Upstate Medical University
Accreditation: NYSTB

Westchester Fertility and Reproductive Endocrinology
136 S. Broadway, Suite 100
White Plains NY 10605
Telephone: (914) 949-6677; Fax: (914) 949-5758
Lab Name: Westchester IVF
Accreditation: The Joint Commission, NYSTB

For current information for Westchester Reproductive Medicine, see Mt. Kisco, NY

NORTH CAROLINA

North Carolina Center for Reproductive Medicine
The Talbert Fertility Institute
400 Ashville Ave, Suite 200
Cary NC 27511
Telephone: (919) 233-1680; Fax: (919) 233-1685
Lab Name: North Carolina Center for Reproductive Medicine, North Carolina Reproductive Laboratories
Accreditation: CAP/ASRM

University of North Carolina A.R.T. Clinic
UNC School of Medicine, Department of OB/GYN
CB#7570
Chapel Hill NC 27599
Telephone: (919) 966-1150; Fax: (919) 966-1259
Lab Name: UNC Hospitals, Reproductive Endocrinology & Fertility Laboratory
Accreditation: CAP/ASRM

Institute for Assisted Reproduction
1524 E. Morehead St
Charlotte NC 28207
Telephone: (704) 343-3400; Fax: (704) 343-3428
Lab Name: Reproductive Endocrine Associates of Charlotte, Institute for Assisted Reproduction
Accreditation: CAP/ASRM

Program for Assisted Reproduction, Carolinas Medical Center
Carolinas Medical Center Women's Institute
1025 Morehead Medical Dr, Suite 500
Charlotte NC 28204
Telephone: (704) 355-3153; Fax: (704) 355-1941
Lab Name: Carolinas Medical Center Andrology and ART Laboratories
Accreditation: CAP/ASRM

Duke Fertility Center
Duke University Medical Center
5704 Fayetteville Rd
Durham NC 27713
Telephone: (919) 572-4673; Fax: (919) 484-0461
Lab Name: Duke Fertility Center, Assisted Reproductive Technologies Laboratory
Accreditation: CAP/ASRM

East Carolina University
ECU Women's Physicians
2160 Herbert Ct
Greenville NC 27834
Telephone: (252) 744-3849; Fax: (252) 744-2016
Lab Name: ECU Women's Physicians ART/ Andrology Laboratory
Accreditation: CAP/ASRM

Premier Fertility Center
High Point Regional Health System
2783 NC Hwy 68, Suite 104
High Point NC 27265
Telephone: (336) 841-7070; Fax: (336) 841-7077
Lab Name: Premier Fertility Center Laboratory
Accreditation: CAP/ASRM

Advanced Reproductive Concepts
9800 W. Kincey Ave, Suite 160
Huntersville NC 28078
Telephone: (704) 947-9000; Fax: (704) 992-1900
Lab Name: Advanced Reproductive Concepts, PLLC Laboratory
Accreditation: CAP/ASRM

Carolina Conceptions
2601 Lake Dr, Suite 301
Raleigh NC 27607
Telephone: (919) 782-5911; Fax: (919) 861-6400
Lab Name: Carolina Conceptions Embryology/ Andrology Laboratory
Accreditation: CAP/ASRM

Wake Forest University Center for Reproductive Medicine
CompRehab Plaza, 131 Miller St, 2nd Floor
Winston-Salem NC 27103
Telephone: (336) 716-6476; Fax: (336) 716-0194
Lab Name: Wake Forest University School of Medicine, Center for Reproductive Medicine
Accreditation: CAP/ASRM

NORTH DAKOTA

§MeritCare Reproductive Medicine
1111 Harwood Dr South, Suite 743
Fargo ND 58122
Telephone: (701) 234-2700; Fax: (701) 234-2783
Contact the NASS Help Desk for current clinic information.

OHIO

Fertility Unlimited, Inc.
Northeastern Ohio Fertility Center
468 E. Market St
Akron OH 44304
Telephone: (330) 376-2300; Fax: (330) 376-4807
Lab Name: Fertility Unlimited, Inc., In Vitro Fertilization Laboratory
Accreditation: CAP/ASRM, The Joint Commission (Pend)

Reproductive Gynecology
95 Arch St, Suite 250
Akron OH 44304
Telephone: (330) 375-7722; Fax: (330) 375-3986
Lab Name: Reproductive Gynecology Laboratories, LLC
Accreditation: The Joint Commission

Cleveland Clinic Fertility Center
26900 Cedar Rd, Suite 220 South
Beachwood OH 44122
Telephone: (216) 839-3150; Fax: (216) 839-3195
Lab Name: Cleveland Clinic Foundation Fertility Center
Accreditation: CAP/ASRM

Bethesda Center for Reproductive Health & Fertility
Bethesda Hospital
10506 Montgomery Rd, Suite 303
Cincinnati OH 45242
Telephone: (513) 865-1675; Fax: (513) 865-1676
Lab Name: Reproductive Studies Laboratory
Accreditation: The Joint Commission

Center for Reproductive Health
2123 Auburn Ave, Suite A44
Cincinnati OH 45219
Telephone: (513) 585-2355; Fax: (513) 585-0808
Lab Name: The Christ Hospital, Center for Reproductive Studies
Accreditation: The Joint Commission

Institute for Reproductive Health
3805 Edwards Rd, Suite 450
Cincinnati OH 45209
Telephone: (513) 924-5550; Fax: (513) 924-5549
Lab Name: Institute for Reproductive Health ART Laboratory
Accreditation: CAP/ASRM
Lab Name: The Christ Hospital, Center for Reproductive Studies
Accreditation: The Joint Commission

For current information for Cleveland Clinic Fertility Center, see Beachwood, OH

MacDonald Fertility and IVF Program
MacDonald Fertility and IVF Center
Case Medical Center/MacDonald Women's Hospital
11100 Euclid Ave, Suite 1200
Cleveland OH 44106
Telephone: (216) 844-1514; Fax: (216) 844-7098
Lab Name: MacDonald Fertility and IVF Program Laboratory
Accreditation: CAP/ASRM

§MetroHealth Medical Center
MetroHealth Fertility Center
Department of Obstetrics & Gynecology
2500 MetroHealth Dr
Cleveland OH 44109
Telephone: (216) 778-5990; Fax: (216) 778-8642
Contact the NASS Help Desk for current clinic information.

Ohio Reproductive Medicine
4830 E. Knightsbridge Blvd
Columbus OH 43214
Telephone: (614) 451-2280; Fax: (614) 451-4352
Lab Name: Reproductive Diagnostics Inc.
Accreditation: CAP/ASRM

Wright State Physicians Women's Health Care
One Wyoming St, Suite 4130
Dayton OH 45409
Telephone: (937) 208-2087; Fax: (937) 208-4157
Lab Name: Kettering Medical Center Reproductive Medicine Laboratory
Accreditation: CAP/ASRM

Kettering Reproductive Medicine
3533 Southern Blvd, Suite 4100
Kettering OH 45429
Telephone: (937) 395-8444; Fax: (937) 395-8450
Lab Name: Kettering Medical Center Reproductive Medicine Laboratory
Accreditation: CAP/ASRM

Fertility Center of Northwestern Ohio
2142 N. Cove Blvd
Toledo OH 43606
Telephone: (419) 291-8835; Fax: (419) 479-6005
Lab Name: The Toledo Hospital, The Fertility Center of NW Ohio
Accreditation: CAP/ASRM

OKLAHOMA

Henry G. Bennett, Jr., Fertility Institute
3433 N.W. 56th St, Suite 200
Oklahoma City OK 73112
Telephone: (405) 949-6060; Fax: (405) 949-6872
Lab Name: Integris Baptist Medical Center, Bennett Fertility Institute
Accreditation: CAP/ASRM, The Joint Commission

OU Physicians Reproductive Health
OU Physicians Reproductive Medicine
1000 N. Lincoln Blvd, Suite 300
Oklahoma City OK 73104
Telephone: (405) 271-1616; Fax: (405) 271-9222
Lab Name: OU Physicians, Department of OB/GYN ART Laboratory
Accreditation: CAP/ASRM

Tulsa Fertility Center
115 E. 15th St
Tulsa OK 74119
Telephone: (918) 584-2870; Fax: (918) 587-3602
Lab Name: Tulsa Fertility Center Laboratory
Accreditation: CAP/ASRM

OREGON

The Fertility Center of Oregon
590 Country Club Pkwy, Suite A
Eugene OR 97401
Telephone: (541) 683-1559; Fax: (541) 683-1709
Lab Name: Fertility Center of Oregon Embryology Laboratory
Accreditation: None

Northwest Fertility Center
Eugene M. Stoelk, MD
1750 S.W. Harbor Way, Suite 200
Portland OR 97201
Telephone: (503) 227-7799; Fax: (503) 227-5452
Lab Name: Northwest Fertility Center, Northwest Reproductive Technologies
Accreditation: CAP/ASRM

Oregon Reproductive Medicine
2222 N.W. Lovejoy St, Suite 304
Portland OR 97210
Telephone: (503) 274-4994; Fax: (503) 274-4946
Lab Name: The Reproductive Medicine Laboratory
Accreditation: The Joint Commission

University Fertility Consultants
Oregon Health & Science University
OHSU Center for Health & Healing
3303 S.W. Bond Ave, 10th Floor
Portland OR 97239
Telephone: (503) 418-3700; Fax: (503) 418-3757
Lab Name: Oregon Health & Science University, Andrology/Embryology
Accreditation: CAP/ASRM

PENNSYLVANIA

Abington Reproductive Medicine, Abington IVF and Genetics
Toll Center for Reproductive Sciences
Arches Bldg, 1200 Old York Rd, 2nd Floor
Abington PA 19001
Telephone: (215) 887-2010; Fax: (215) 481-7550
Lab Name: Abington Reproductive Medicine, Abington IVF & Genetics
Accreditation: CAP/ASRM

Infertility Solutions, PC
1275 S. Cedar Crest Blvd, Suite 3
Allentown PA 18103
Telephone: (610) 776-1217; Fax: (610) 776-4149
Lab Name: Infertility Solutions, PC
Accreditation: The Joint Commission

Reproductive Medicine Associates of Pennsylvania
1401 N. Cedar Crest Blvd, Suite 200
Allentown PA 18104
Telephone: (610) 820-6888; Fax: (610) 820-6818
Lab Name: Reproductive Medicine Associates of New Jersey Embryology Laboratory
Accreditation: CAP/ASRM

Family Fertility Center
95 Highland Ave, Suite 100
Bethlehem PA 18017
Telephone: (610) 868-8600; Fax: (610) 868-8700
Lab Name: Family Fertility Center
Accreditation: CAP/ASRM

Main Line Fertility and Reproductive Medicine
130 S. Bryn Mawr Ave, Suite 1000, D Wing, Ground Floor
Bryn Mawr PA 19010
Telephone: (484) 337-8959; Fax: (484) 337-8979
Lab Name: Main Line Fertility Center Laboratory
Accreditation: CAP/ASRM

Geisinger Medical Center Fertility Program
100 N. Academy Ave
Danville PA 17822
Telephone: (570) 271-5620; Fax: (570) 271-5629
Lab Name: Geisinger Medical Center ART/Andrology Laboratory
Accreditation: CAP/ASRM

Advanced Center for Infertility and Reproductive Medicine, RPC
2708 Commerce Dr, Suite 100
Harrisburg PA 17110
Telephone: (717) 545-9300; Fax: (717) 540-3700
Lab Name: Central Penn Reproductive Laboratory, LLC
Accreditation: None

Penn State Milton S. Hershey Medical Center
500 University Dr, H103
Hershey PA 17033
Telephone: (717) 531-8478; Fax: (717) 531-6286
Lab Name: Penn State Milton S. Hershey Medical Center
Accreditation: The Joint Commission

For current information for Northern Fertility and Reproductive Associates, PC, see Willow Grove, PA

Fertility and Gynecology Associates
Pine Bldg East, 800 Spruce St
Philadelphia PA 19107
Telephone: (215) 706-4090; Fax: (215) 706-4072
Lab Name: Abington Reproductive Medicine, Abington IVF & Genetics
Accreditation: CAP/ASRM

Jefferson IVF
834 Chestnut St, Suite 300
Philadelphia PA 19107
Telephone: (215) 955-5000; Fax: (215) 955-7258
Lab Name: Main Line Fertility Center Laboratory
Accreditation: CAP/ASRM

University of Pennsylvania
Penn Fertility Care
3701 Market St, Suite 730
Philadelphia PA 19104
Telephone: (215) 662-6560; Fax: (215) 349-5512
Lab Name: University of Pennsylvania In Vitro Fertilization Program, Laboratory for Assisted Reproduction
Accreditation: CAP/ASRM

Jones Institute at West Penn Allegheny
Health System
4815 Liberty Ave, Suite 330
Pittsburgh PA 15224
Telephone: (412) 578-5588; Fax: (412) 605-6544
Lab Name: Jones Institute at West Penn Allegheny
Health System, AGH Outpatient Surgery Center
Accreditation: CAP/ASRM

Reproductive Health Specialists, Inc.
419 Rodi Rd
Pittsburgh PA 15235
Telephone: (412) 731-8000; Fax: (412) 731-8399
Lab Name: Reproductive Health Specialists, Inc.
Accreditation: CAP/ASRM

University of Pittsburgh Physicians
Center for Fertility and Reproductive Endocrinology
Magee Womens Hospital
300 Halket St, Suite 5150
Pittsburgh PA 15213
Telephone: (412) 641-1600; Fax: (412) 641-7453
Lab Name: Center for Fertility and Reproductive
Endocrinology IVF Laboratory
Accreditation: CAP/ASRM

Reproductive Endocrinology and Fertility Center
Crozer-Chester Medical Center
Ambulatory Care Pavilion
1 Medical Center Blvd, Suite 531
Upland PA 19013
Telephone: (610) 447-2727; Fax: (610) 447-6549
Lab Name: Health Access Network,
Andrology/IVF Laboratory
Accreditation: CAP/ASRM

Reproductive Science Institute of
Suburban Philadelphia
945 Chesterbrook Blvd
Wayne PA 19087
Telephone: (610) 964-9663; Fax: (610) 964-0536
Lab Name: Reproductive Science Institute of
Suburban Philadelphia Laboratory
Accreditation: CAP/ASRM, The Joint Commission

Women's Clinic, Ltd.
301 S. 7th Ave, Suite 245
West Reading PA 19611
Telephone: (610) 374-2214; Fax: (610) 685-5264
Lab Name: Fertility Medical Labs, Ltd.
Accreditation: CAP/ASRM

Northern Fertility and Reproductive Associates, PC
Reproductive Medicine Associates of Philadelphia
735 Fitzwatertown Rd, Suite 2
Willow Grove PA 19090
Telephone: (215) 938-1515; Fax: (215) 938-8756
Lab Name: Reproductive Science Institute of
Suburban Philadelphia Laboratory
Accreditation: CAP/ASRM, The Joint Commission

The Fertility Center, LLC
130 Leader Heights Rd
York PA 17403
Telephone: (717) 747-3099; Fax: (717) 747-3214
Lab Name: The Fertility Center, LLC, Laboratory
Accreditation: None

PUERTO RICO

Pedro J. Beauchamp, MD
Dr. Arturo Cadilla Bldg
100 Paseo San Pablo, Suite 503
Bayamon PR 00959
Telephone: (787) 798-0100; Fax: (787) 740-7250
Lab Name: Dr. Pedro J. Beauchamp Fertility
Center Laboratory
Accreditation: The Joint Commission

Clinica de Fertilidad HIMA-San Pablo
Luis Muñoz Rivera Ave, A-1, Suite 303
Caguas PR 00726
Telephone: (787) 704-3434; Fax: (787) 961-4546
Lab Name: Clinica de Fertilidad HIMA-San Pablo
Accreditation: None

GREFI
Gynecology, Reproductive Endocrinology &
Fertility Institute
First Bank Bldg
1519 Ponce de Leon Ave, Suite 705
Santurce PR 00910
Telephone: (787) 721-3544; Fax: (787) 848-0979
Lab Name: GREFI Laboratory-Ponce
Accreditation: CAP/ASRM

RHODE ISLAND

Women and Infants' Division of Reproductive
Medicine and Infertility
90 Plain St
Providence RI 02903
Telephone: (401) 453-7500; Fax: (401) 277-3638
Lab Name: Women and Infants Hospital,
IVF Laboratory
Accreditation: CAP/ASRM

SOUTH CAROLINA

Piedmont Reproductive Endocrinology Group, PA
17 Caledon Ct, Suite C
Greenville SC 29615
Telephone: (864) 232-7734; Fax: (864) 232-7099
Lab Name: Piedmont Reproductive Endocrinology Group, PA, Embryology Laboratory
Accreditation: CAP/ASRM

University Medical Group, Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology
Reproductive Endocrinology and Infertility
890 W. Faris Rd, Suite 470
Greenville SC 29605
Telephone: (864) 455-1600; Fax: (864) 455-3095
Lab Name: Greenville Hospital System, Reproductive Endocrinology & Infertility
Accreditation: CAP/ASRM, The Joint Commission

Southeastern Fertility Center, PA
1375 Hospital Dr
Mount Pleasant SC 29464
Telephone: (843) 881-3900; Fax: (843) 881-4729
Lab Name: Southeastern Fertility Center Embryology Laboratory
Accreditation: CAP/ASRM

Advanced Fertility & Reproductive Endocrinology
2728 Sunset Blvd, Suite 305
West Columbia SC 29169
Telephone: (803) 939-1515; Fax: (803) 939-0977
Lab Name: Advanced Fertility & Reproductive Endocrinology Laboratory
Accreditation: CAP/ASRM

SOUTH DAKOTA

Sanford Women's Health
1500 W. 22nd St, MB3, Suite 102B
Sioux Falls SD 57105
Telephone: (605) 328-8800; Fax: (605) 328-8831
Lab Name: Sanford Women's Health Advanced Reproductive Laboratory
Accreditation: CAP/ASRM

TENNESSEE

Fertility Center, LLC
7407 Ziegler Rd
Chattanooga TN 37421
Telephone: (423) 899-0500; Fax: (423) 899-2411
Lab Name: Fertility Center, LLC
Accreditation: The Joint Commission

Tennessee Reproductive Medicine
6031 Shallowford Rd, Suite 101
Chattanooga TN 37421
Telephone: (423) 876-2229; Fax: (423) 643-0699
Lab Name: Tennessee Reproductive Laboratory
Accreditation: CAP/ASRM

Center for Applied Reproductive Science
408 N. State of Franklin Rd, Suite 31
Johnson City TN 37604
Telephone: (423) 461-8880; Fax: (423) 461-8887
Lab Name: Center for Applied Reproductive Science
Accreditation: None

ETSU Physicians Associates
Quillen Fertility and Women's Services
110 Corporate Dr, Suite 140
Johnson City TN 37604
Telephone: (423) 439-7246; Fax: (423) 282-4698
Lab Name: ETSU Physicians and Associates, Quillen Fertility & Women's Services Laboratory
Accreditation: CAP/ASRM

East Tennessee IVF, Fertility, and Andrology Center
9301 Park West Blvd, Bldg A, Suite 301
Knoxville TN 37923
Telephone: (865) 249-7031; Fax: (865) 249-7021
Lab Name: East Tennessee IVF/Fertility and Andrology Center
Accreditation: None

Southeastern Fertility Center
11126 Kingston Pike
Knoxville TN 37934
Telephone: (865) 777-0088; Fax: (865) 777-2015
Lab Name: Southeastern Fertility Center
Accreditation: None

Kutteh Ke Fertility Associates of Memphis, PLLC
80 Humphreys Center, Suite 307
Memphis TN 38120
Telephone: (901) 747-2229; Fax: (901) 747-4446
Lab Name: Memphis Fertility Laboratory, PC
Accreditation: CAP/ASRM

The Center for Reproductive Health
2410 Patterson St, Suite 401
Nashville TN 37203
Telephone: (615) 321-8899; Fax: (615) 321-8877
Lab Name: Fertility Laboratories of Nashville, Inc.
Accreditation: CAP/ASRM

Nashville Fertility Center
345 23rd Ave North, Suite 401
Nashville TN 37203
Telephone: (615) 321-4740; Fax: (615) 320-0240
Lab Name: Reproductive Specialty Laboratory of Middle Tennessee, LLC
Accreditation: CAP/ASRM

TEXAS

Texas Fertility Center
Drs. Vaughn, Silverberg, Hansard and Burger
6500 N. Mopac Expressway, Bldg 1, Suite 1200
Austin TX 78731
Telephone: (512) 451-0149; Fax: (512) 451-0977
Lab Name: Texas Fertility Center, Austin IVF, LP
Accreditation: CAP/ASRM

Jeffrey Youngkin, MD
Austin Fertility Center
805 E. 32nd St, Suite 201
Austin TX 78705
Telephone: (512) 478-3188; Fax: (512) 478-5092
Lab Name: Texas Fertility Center, Austin IVF, LP
Accreditation: CAP/ASRM

Center for Assisted Reproduction
1701 Park Place Ave
Bedford TX 76022
Telephone: (817) 540-1157; Fax: (817) 267-0522
Lab Name: Center for Assisted Reproduction IVF Laboratory
Accreditation: CAP/ASRM

Trinity InVitro Fertilization Program
Trinity Medical Center Plaza III
4325 N. Josey Ln, Suite 111
Carrollton TX 75010
Telephone: (972) 394-3699; Fax: (972) 394-6517
Lab Name: Baylor Medical Center at Carrollton IVF Laboratory
Accreditation: CAP/ASRM

Dallas-Fort Worth Fertility Associates
5477 Glen Lakes Dr, Suite 200
Dallas TX 75231
Telephone: (214) 363-5965; Fax: (214) 363-0639
Lab Name: Texas Health Resources Presbyterian Hospital of Dallas, ARTS Program
Accreditation: CAP/ASRM
Lab Name: Dallas Fertility Center Laboratory
Accreditation: CAP/ASRM (Pend)

Fertility and Advanced Reproductive Medicine
1801 Inwood Rd, 6th Floor
Dallas TX 75390
Telephone: (214) 645-7932; Fax: (214) 645-7930
Lab Name: Fertility and Advanced Reproductive Medicine Laboratory
Accreditation: None

Fertility Specialists of Dallas, PA
Fertility Specialists of Texas, PLLC
8230 Walnut Hill Ln, Suite 300
Dallas TX 75231
Telephone: (214) 750-5500; Fax: (214) 750-5540
Lab Name: Fertility Specialists of Texas Laboratory
Accreditation: CAP/ASRM (Pend)

IVF Institute
7777 Forest Ln, Suite C-108
Dallas TX 75230
Telephone: (972) 566-6868; Fax: (972) 566-6860
Lab Name: Texas Health Resources Presbyterian Hospital of Dallas, ARTS Program
Accreditation: CAP/ASRM
Lab Name: Advanced Reproductive Care Center of Irving, Advanced Reproductive Laboratory, LP
Accreditation: CAP/ASRM

ReproMed Fertility Center
Anil Pinto, MD, PA
3800 San Jacinto Ave
Dallas TX 75204
Telephone: (214) 827-8777; Fax: (214) 827-8622
Lab Name: Baylor Medical Center at Carrollton IVF Laboratory
Accreditation: CAP/ASRM

Sher Institute for Reproductive Medicine-Dallas
7777 Forest Ln, Suite C638
Dallas TX 75230
Telephone: (972) 566-6686; Fax: (972) 566-6670
Lab Name: Sher Institute for Reproductive Medicine-Dallas
Accreditation: CAP/ASRM

Texas Center for Reproductive Health
Barnett Tower, 3600 Gaston Ave, Suite 504
Dallas TX 75246
Telephone: (214) 821-2274; Fax: (214) 821-2373
Lab Name: Texas Center for Reproductive Health
Accreditation: CAP/ASRM

Southwest Center for Reproductive Health, PA
700 S. Mesa Hills
El Paso TX 79912
Telephone: (915) 842-9998; Fax: (915) 842-9972
Lab Name: Southwest Center for Reproductive Health, PA
Accreditation: None

Fort Worth Fertility, PA
1800 Mistletoe Blvd
Fort Worth TX 76104
Telephone: (817) 348-8145; Fax: (817) 348-8264
Lab Name: Texas Reproductive Center Laboratory
Accreditation: CAP/ASRM

Dallas IVF
2840 Legacy Dr, Suite 100
Frisco TX 75034
Telephone: (214) 297-0027; Fax: (214) 297-0025
Lab Name: Dallas IVF Laboratory
Accreditation: CAP/ASRM

Baylor Family Fertility Program
6620 Main St, Suite 1450
Houston TX 77030
Telephone: (713) 798-8399; Fax: (713) 798-8431
Lab Name: Obstetrical and Gynecological Associates Reproductive Laboratories
Accreditation: CAP/ASRM

Center for Women's Medicine
10901 Katy Freeway
Houston TX 77079
Telephone: (713) 467-4488; Fax: (713) 467-9499
Lab Name: Center for Women's Medicine In Vitro Fertilization Laboratory
Accreditation: CAP/ASRM

Cooper Institute for Advanced Reproductive Medicine
7500 Beechnut St, Suite 308
Houston TX 77074
Telephone: (713) 771-9771; Fax: (713) 771-9773
Lab Name: Cooper Institute for Advanced Reproductive Medicine, Cooper Institute Reproductive Laboratory
Accreditation: CAP/ASRM

Fertility Specialists of Houston
7900 Fannin St, Suite 3100
Houston TX 77054
Telephone: (713) 512-7914; Fax: (713) 512-7853
Lab Name: Obstetrical and Gynecological Associates Reproductive Laboratories
Accreditation: CAP/ASRM

Houston Fertility Institute
2500 Fondren Rd, Suite 350
Houston TX 77063
Telephone: (832) 237-1434; Fax: (832) 237-1436
Lab Name: Houston Fertility Institute Laboratory
Accreditation: CAP/ASRM
Lab Name: Tomball Regional Hospital In Vitro Fertilization Laboratory
Accreditation: CAP/ASRM

Houston Infertility Clinic
Sonja Kristiansen, MD
9055 Katy Freeway, Suite 450
Houston TX 77024
Telephone: (713) 862-6181; Fax: (713) 464-2810
Lab Name: Houston Infertility Clinic
Accreditation: CAP/ASRM

Houston IVF
929 Gessner Rd, Suite 2300
Houston TX 77024
Telephone: (713) 465-1211; Fax: (713) 550-1475
Lab Name: Houston IVF Laboratory
Accreditation: CAP/ASRM

North Houston Center for Reproductive Medicine, PA (NHCRM)
530 Wells Fargo Dr, Suite 116
Houston TX 77090
Telephone: (281) 444-4784; Fax: (281) 444-0429
Lab Name: North Houston Fertility Laboratory, Inc.
Accreditation: CAP/ASRM

Advanced Reproductive Care Center of Irving
7501 Las Colinas Blvd, Suite 200A
Irving TX 75063
Telephone: (972) 506-9986; Fax: (972) 506-0044
Lab Name: Advanced Reproductive Care Center of Irving, Advanced Reproductive Laboratory, LP
Accreditation: CAP/ASRM

Wilford Hall Medical Center
Department of Obstetrics & Gynecology
2200 Bergquist Dr, Suite 1
Lackland AFB TX 78236
Telephone: (210) 292-4016; Fax: (210) 292-6084
Lab Name: Wilford Hall Medical Center IVF/ Embryology Infertility Clinic
Accreditation: CAP/ASRM

Center for Fertility and Reproductive Surgery
Texas Tech University Health Sciences Center
3502 9th St, Suite G10
Lubbock TX 79415
Telephone: (806) 743-4256; Fax: (806) 743-4462
Lab Name: Texas Tech University Health Sciences Center IVF Laboratory
Accreditation: CAP/ASRM

The Centre for Reproductive Medicine
3405 22nd St, Suite 300
Lubbock TX 79410
Telephone: (806) 788-1212; Fax: (806) 788-1253
Lab Name: The Centre for Reproductive Medicine Laboratory
Accreditation: CAP/ASRM

Reproductive Institute of South Texas
110 E. Savannah, Bldg B, Suite 103
McAllen TX 78503
Telephone: (956) 687-2693; Fax: (956) 687-2829
Lab Name: Reproductive Institute of South Texas Laboratory
Accreditation: CAP/ASRM

For current information for Dallas IVF, see Frisco, TX

Presbyterian Hospital Plano ARTS
6300 W. Parker Rd, MOB-2, Suite G26
Plano TX 75093
Telephone: (972) 981-3325; Fax: (972) 981-3336
Lab Name: Texas Health Presbyterian Hospital of Plano, ARTS Laboratory
Accreditation: CAP/ASRM

Fertility Center of San Antonio
4499 Medical Dr, Suite 200
San Antonio TX 78229
Telephone: (210) 692-0577; Fax: (210) 692-1210
Lab Name: Fertility Center of San Antonio, Inc.
Accreditation: CAP/ASRM

Fertility Concepts
4499 Medical Dr, Suite 380
San Antonio TX 78229
Telephone: (210) 614-3303; Fax: (210) 615-1052
Lab Name: Stone Oak Fertility, LLC, Laboratory
Accreditation: CAP/ASRM

Institute for Women's Health
Advanced Fertility Center
502 Madison Oak Dr, Suite 230
San Antonio TX 78258
Telephone: (210) 616-0680; Fax: (210) 616-0684
Lab Name: Stone Oak Fertility, LLC, Laboratory
Accreditation: CAP/ASRM

Perinatal and Fertility Specialists of San Antonio, PA
502 Madison Oak Dr, Suite 210
San Antonio TX 78258
Telephone: (210) 481-3000; Fax: (210) 481-3222
Lab Name: Stone Oak Fertility, LLC, Laboratory
Accreditation: CAP/ASRM

Reproductive Medicine Associates of Texas, PA
19296 Stone Oak Pkwy
San Antonio TX 78258
Telephone: (210) 337-8453; Fax: (210) 337-8452
Lab Name: Reproductive Medicine Associates of Texas, PA, Laboratory
Accreditation: CAP/ASRM

University of Texas Medicine Fertility Center
8300 Floyd Curl Dr, 5th Floor
San Antonio TX 78229
Telephone: (210) 450-9500; Fax: (210) 450-6028
Lab Name: University of Texas Medicine Women's Health Center, Reproductive Endocrinology Fertility Laboratory
Accreditation: CAP/ASRM

Center of Reproductive Medicine (CORM)
1015 Medical Center Blvd, Suite 2100
Webster TX 77598
Telephone: (281) 332-0073; Fax: (281) 332-1860
Lab Name: Center of Reproductive Medicine Laboratory
Accreditation: CAP/ASRM

UTAH

Utah Center for Reproductive Medicine
675 Arapeen Dr, Suite 205
Salt Lake City UT 84108
Telephone: (801) 581-4838; Fax: (801) 585-2231
Lab Name: University of Utah School of Medicine Andrology/Embryology Laboratory
Accreditation: CAP/ASRM

Reproductive Care Center
10150 Petunia Way
Sandy UT 84092
Telephone: (801) 878-8888; Fax: (801) 878-8890
Lab Name: Reproductive Care Center Andrology and Embryology Laboratory
Accreditation: CAP/ASRM

VERMONT

Vermont Center for Reproductive Medicine
FAHC-Reproductive Endocrinology & Infertility
111 Colchester Ave, ACC MP-4
Burlington VT 05401
Telephone: (802) 847-0986; Fax: (802) 847-0111
Lab Name: Fletcher Allen Health Care, Vermont Center for Reproductive Medicine
Accreditation: CAP/ASRM

VIRGINIA

Washington Fertility Center
4316 Evergreen Ln
Annandale VA 22003
Telephone: (703) 658-3100; Fax: (703) 658-3103
Lab Name: Washington Fertility Center Reproductive Laboratories
Accreditation: CAP/ASRM

Dominion Fertility and Endocrinology
46 S. Glebe Rd, Suite 301
Arlington VA 22204
Telephone: (703) 920-3890; Fax: (703) 892-6037
Lab Name: Dominion Fertility and Endocrinology Main Laboratory
Accreditation: CAP/ASRM

Reproductive Medicine and Surgery Center of Virginia, PLC
595 Peter Jefferson Pkwy, Suite 390
Charlottesville VA 22911
Telephone: (434) 654-8520; Fax: (434) 654-8521
Lab Name: Martha Jefferson Reproductive Technology Laboratory
Accreditation: The Joint Commission

Genetics & IVF Institute
3015 Williams Dr
Fairfax VA 22031
Telephone: (703) 698-7355; Fax: (703) 204-4617
Lab Name: Genetics & IVF Institute Embryology Laboratory
Accreditation: CAP/ASRM

The Muasher Center for Fertility and IVF
8501 Arlington Blvd, Suite 500
Fairfax VA 22031
Telephone: (703) 876-6311; Fax: (703) 876-6317
Lab Name: The Muasher Center for Fertility and IVF Laboratory
Accreditation: CAP/ASRM

Jones Institute for Reproductive Medicine
601 Colley Ave, Suite 251
Norfolk VA 23507
Telephone: (757) 446-7116; Fax: (757) 446-8998
Lab Name: Jones Institute for Reproductive Medicine Embryology Laboratory
Accreditation: CAP/ASRM

Virginia Center for Reproductive Medicine
11150 Sunset Hills Rd, Suite 100
Reston VA 20190
Telephone: (703) 437-7722; Fax: (703) 437-0066
Lab Name: Virginia Center for Reproductive Medicine
Accreditation: CAP/ASRM

Fertility Institute of Virginia
10710 Midlothian Turnpike, Suite 331
Richmond VA 23235
Telephone: (804) 379-9000; Fax: (804) 379-9031
Lab Name: Virginia IVF and Andrology Center
Accreditation: CAP/ASRM

LifeSource Fertility Center
7603 Forest Ave, Suite 204
Richmond VA 23229
Telephone: (804) 673-2273; Fax: (804) 285-3109
Lab Name: Virginia IVF and Andrology Center
Accreditation: CAP/ASRM

The Richmond Center for Fertility and Endocrinology
Courtyard Office Bldg, 7603 Forest Ave, Suite 301
Richmond VA 23229
Telephone: (804) 285-9700; Fax: (804) 285-9745
Lab Name: Virginia IVF and Andrology Center
Accreditation: CAP/ASRM

University Center for Advanced
Reproductive Medicine
Stony Point Women's Health
9000 Stony Point Pkwy
Richmond VA 23235
Telephone: (804) 560-8950; Fax: (804) 560-7343
Lab Name: Virginia IVF and Andrology Center
Accreditation: CAP/ASRM

The New Hope Center for Reproductive Medicine
1181 First Colonial Rd, Suite 100
Virginia Beach VA 23454
Telephone: (757) 496-5370; Fax: (757) 481-3354
Lab Name: The New Hope Center for Reproductive
Medicine Laboratory
Accreditation: CAP/ASRM

Francisco M. Irianni, MD, Infertility Clinic
1820 W. Plaza Dr
Winchester VA 22601
Telephone: (540) 662-6092; Fax: (540) 667-2476
Lab Name: Medical Faculty
Associates, Inc. Laboratory
Accreditation: CAP/ASRM

WASHINGTON

Overlake Reproductive Health Inc., PS
1135 116th Ave N.E., Suite 640
Bellevue WA 98004
Telephone: (425) 646-4700; Fax: (425) 646-1076
Lab Name: Overlake Reproductive Health
Laboratory, LLC
Accreditation: The Joint Commission

Washington Center for Reproductive Medicine
1370 116th Ave N.E., Suite 100
Bellevue WA 98004
Telephone: (425) 462-6100; Fax: (425) 635-0742
Lab Name: Eastside Fertility Laboratory
Accreditation: CAP/ASRM

Bellingham IVF & Fertility Care
2980 Squalicum Pkwy, Suite 103
Bellingham WA 98225
Telephone: (360) 715-8124; Fax: (360) 715-8126
Lab Name: Bellingham IVF
Accreditation: None

Northwest Center for Reproductive Sciences
12333 N.E. 130th Ln, Suite 220
Kirkland WA 98034
Telephone: (425) 284-4400; Fax: (425) 899-9803
Lab Name: Northwest Center for
Reproductive Sciences
Accreditation: The Joint Commission

Olympia Women's Health
403 E. Black Hills Ln N.W.
Olympia WA 98502
Telephone: (360) 786-1515; Fax: (360) 754-7476
Lab Name: Olympia Women's Health
Accreditation: CAP/ASRM

Pacific Northwest Fertility and IVF Specialists
1101 Madison Ave, Suite 1050
Seattle WA 98104
Telephone: (206) 515-0000; Fax: (206) 515-0001
Lab Name: Pacific Northwest Fertility and IVF
Specialists Laboratory
Accreditation: CAP/ASRM

Seattle Reproductive Medicine
Integramed America
1505 Westlake Ave North, Suite 400
Seattle WA 98109
Telephone: (206) 301-5000; Fax: (206) 285-1119
Lab Name: Seattle Reproductive Medicine,
SRM Laboratory
Accreditation: CAP/ASRM

The Center for Reproductive Health
508 W. 6th Ave, Suite 500
Spokane WA 99204
Telephone: (509) 462-7070; Fax: (509) 444-3894
Lab Name: Center for Reproductive Health
Accreditation: The Joint Commission

GYFT Clinic, PLLC
502 S. M St, Suite 200
Tacoma WA 98405
Telephone: (206) 475-5433; Fax: (206) 473-6715
Lab Name: GYFT Clinic Reproductive
Assays Laboratory
Accreditation: CAP/ASRM

Madigan Army Medical Center
9040A Fitzsimmons Ave
Tacoma WA 98431
Telephone: (253) 968-3783; Fax: (253) 968-5295
Lab Name: Seattle Reproductive Medicine, SRM Laboratory
Accreditation: CAP/ASRM

WEST VIRGINIA

West Virginia University Fertility Center
830 Pennsylvania Ave, Suite 205
Charleston WV 25302
Telephone: (304) 388-2863; Fax: (304) 388-2866
Lab Name: West Virginia University Fertility Center
Accreditation: None

Cabell Huntington Hospital
Center for Advanced Reproductive Medicine
1340 Hal Greer Blvd
Huntington WV 25701
Telephone: (304) 526-2652; Fax: (304) 526-2292
Lab Name: Cabell Huntington Hospital, Center for Advanced Reproductive Medicine
Accreditation: The Joint Commission

West Virginia University Center for Reproductive Medicine
1322 Pineview Dr, Suite 2
Morgantown WV 26505
Telephone: (304) 598-3100; Fax: (304) 598-8301
Lab Name: West Virginia University, Department of OB GYN, Center for Reproductive Medicine Laboratory
Accreditation: CAP/ASRM

WISCONSIN

Aurora Health Care-Aurora Fertility Services
The Women's Center at Aurora BayCare Medical Center
2845 Greenbrier Rd, Suite 350
Green Bay WI 54308
Telephone: (920) 288-8500; Fax: (920) 288-8570
Lab Name: Aurora Health Care-Aurora Fertility Services, Green Bay
Accreditation: CAP/ASRM

Gundersen Lutheran Fertility Center
1900 South Ave, 3rd Floor Clinic
La Crosse WI 54601
Telephone: (608) 775-2306; Fax: (608) 775-2993
Lab Name: Gundersen Lutheran Fertility Center
Accreditation: CAP/ASRM

For current information for Reproductive Health and Fertility Center, see Rockford, IL

University of Wisconsin-Madison
Reproductive Endocrinology and Infertility Program
Generations Fertility Care
University of Wisconsin-Madison
2365 Deming Way
Middleton WI 53562
Telephone: (608) 824-6160; Fax: (608) 827-3040
Lab Name: University of Wisconsin Medical Foundation, Generations Fertility Care
Accreditation: CAP/ASRM

Wisconsin Fertility Institute
3146 Deming Way
Middleton WI 53562
Telephone: (608) 824-0075; Fax: (608) 829-0748
Lab Name: Wisconsin Fertility Institute Laboratory
Accreditation: CAP/ASRM

Froedtert & Medical College of Wisconsin
Reproductive Medicine Center
9200 W. Wisconsin Ave, Floor 5P
Milwaukee WI 53226
Telephone: (414) 805-7370; Fax: (414) 805-7240
Lab Name: Froedtert Hospital Reproductive Medicine Center Laboratory
Accreditation: CAP/ASRM

Reproductive Specialty Center
IVF Columbia
2350 N. Lake Dr, Suite 504
Milwaukee WI 53211
Telephone: (414) 289-9668; Fax: (414) 289-0974
Lab Name: Reproductive Specialty Center, IVF Columbia
Accreditation: CAP/ASRM

Women's Health Care, SC
721 American Ave, Suite 304
Waukesha WI 53188
Telephone: (262) 549-2229; Fax: (262) 549-1657
Lab Name: Advanced Institute of Fertility
Accreditation: CAP/ASRM

Aurora Health Care-Aurora Fertility Services, West Allis
West Allis Memorial Hospital
8901 W. Lincoln Ave, 2nd Floor
West Allis WI 53227
Telephone: (414) 329-4300; Fax: (414) 329-4399
Lab Name: Aurora Fertility Services Laboratory
Accreditation: CAP/ASRM

Nonreporting ART Clinics for 2009, by State

The clinics listed below provided ART services throughout 2009 and accordingly were required to submit ART cycle data under the provisions of the Fertility Clinic Success Rate and Certification Act passed by the U.S. Congress. These clinics either failed to submit data or did not provide verification by the clinic medical director that the tabulated success rates were correct, as required for publication.

Consumers who are aware of a clinic that was in operation in 2009 but is not included in this report's lists of either reporting or nonreporting clinics are encouraged to contact us with the complete name, mailing address, and telephone number of the clinic, by e-mail at cdcinfo@cdc.gov (Subject: ART) or by regular mail at CDC, ATTN: ARTE team; 4770 Buford Highway, N.E.; Mail Stop K-34; Atlanta GA 30341-3717. Providing this information will help ensure that clinics that should be in the report will be included in upcoming years.

Clinic names preceded by the † symbol have closed since 2009.

East Bay Fertility Center
4000 Dublin Blvd, Suite 330
Dublin CA 94568
Telephone: (925) 828-9235; Fax: (925) 828-9240

†Sher Institute for Reproductive Medicine-Los Angeles
1520 E. Chevy Chase Dr, Suite 101
Glendale CA 91206
Telephone: (818) 291-1985; Fax: (818) 291-1986

Hope IVF and Fertility Center
2500 Alton Pkwy, Suite 201
Irvine CA 92606
Telephone: (949) 387-3888; Fax: (949) 387-3907

La Jolla IVF
9850 Genesee Ave, Suite 610
La Jolla CA 92037
Telephone: (858) 558-2221; Fax: (858) 558-2263

Northridge Center for Reproductive Medicine
18546 Roscoe Blvd, Suite 240
Northridge CA 91324
Telephone: (818) 886-0600; Fax: (818) 701-8100

Sher Institute for Reproductive Medicine-Sacramento
2288 Auburn Blvd, Suite 204
Sacramento CA 95821
Telephone: (916) 568-2125; Fax: (916) 567-1360

†Scripps Clinic Fertility Center
15004 Innovation Dr
San Diego CA 92128
Telephone: (858) 605-7930; Fax: (858) 605-7106

Williams OB/GYN Associates
1334 W. Covina Blvd, Suite 102
San Dimas CA 91773
Telephone: (909) 599-8677; Fax: (909) 592-0999

Santa Monica Fertility Specialists
2825 Santa Monica Blvd, Suite 100
Santa Monica CA 90404
Telephone: (310) 566-1470; Fax: (310) 566-1485

Southwest Florida Fertility Center, PA
15730 New Hampshire Court, Unit 101
Fort Myers FL 33908
Telephone: (239) 561-3430; Fax: (239) 561-6980

Hawaii Reproductive Center
1132 Bishop St, Suite 1110
Honolulu HI 96813
Telephone: (808) 537-1164; Fax: (808) 537-1174

†Life Women's Health Center
Daniel A. Rostein, MD
6425 W. Cermak Rd, Suite 202
Berwyn IL 60402
Telephone: (708) 484-0500; Fax: (708) 484-4259

Advanced Reproductive Health Centers, Ltd.
Chicago IVF
10811 W. 143rd St, Suite 120
Orland Park IL 60467
Telephone: (708) 403-4210; Fax: (708) 403-5272

Sher Institute for Reproductive
Medicine-Central Illinois
5401 N. Knoxville Ave, Suite 102
Peoria IL 61614
Telephone: (309) 689-0411; Fax: (309) 689-0784

†Associated Fertility & Gynecology, PC
7910 W. Jefferson Blvd, Suite 301
Fort Wayne IN 46804
Telephone: (260) 432-6250; Fax: (260) 436-7220

†Reproductive Endocrinology Associates
2020 W. 86th St, Suite 310
Indianapolis IN 46260
Telephone: (317) 872-1515; Fax: (317) 879-2784

†Kentucky Center for Reproductive Medicine
310 S. Limestone
Lexington KY 40508
Telephone: (859) 226-7254; Fax: (859) 226-0026

†Ochsner Foundation Fertility Clinic
1221 S. Clearview Pkwy, Bldg A, 1st Floor
Jefferson LA 70121
Telephone: (504) 842-5484; Fax: (504) 842-4156

†Maine Center for Reproductive Health
778 Main St, Suite 2
South Portland ME 04106
Telephone: (207) 775-1255; Fax: (207) 775-1299

†UMMS-Center for Advanced
Reproductive Technologies
11 S. Paca St, 3rd Floor
Baltimore MD 21201
Telephone: (410) 328-2304; Fax: (410) 328-8389

Siu Ng-Wagner, MD
9333 Sprinklewood Ln
Potomac MD 20854
Telephone: (301) 838-9711; Fax: (301) 838-9712

Center for Reproductive Medicine
9711 Medical Center Dr, Suite 214
Rockville MD 20850
Telephone: (301) 424-1904; Fax: (301) 424-1902

†Infertility and Gynecology Center of Lansing, PC
1200 E. Michigan Ave, Suite 305
Lansing MI 48912
Telephone: (517) 484-4900; Fax: (517) 484-4508

Luana J. Kyselka, MD, PC
2877 Crooks Rd, Suite D
Troy MI 48084
Telephone: (248) 643-6634; Fax: (248) 643-7165

Brenda L. Moskovitz, MD, PC
415 E. Maple Rd, Suite 101
Troy MI 48083
Telephone: (248) 524-1001; Fax: (248) 528-2533

Sher Institute for Reproductive Medicine-St. Louis
555 N. New Ballas Rd, Suite 150
Creve Coeur MO 63141
Telephone: (314) 983-9000; Fax: (314) 983-9023

†Infertility & IVF Center
3009 N. Ballas Rd, Suite 359C
St. Louis MO 63131
Telephone: (636) 225-5483; Fax: (314) 872-9040

Sher Institute for Reproductive Medicine-Las Vegas
5320 S. Rainbow Blvd, Suite 300
Las Vegas NV 89118
Telephone: (702) 892-9696; Fax: (702) 892-9666

†Tower Fertility Center
1035 Route 46 East
Clifton NJ 07013
Telephone: (973) 470-0303; Fax: (973) 916-0488

†The Brandeis Fertility Center
330 W. 58th St, Suite 401
New York NY 10019
Telephone: (212) 362-4848; Fax: (718) 963-6363

Womack Army Medical Center
Department of REI and ART
WAMC Mailstop A, 2817 Reilly Rd, MCXC-OB
Fort Bragg NC 28310
Telephone: (910) 907-0753; Fax: (910) 907-7825

†The Reproductive Center
900 Sahara Tr
Youngstown OH 44514
Telephone: (330) 965-8390; Fax: (330) 965-8391

Lehigh Valley Women's Medical Specialties, PC
440 S. 15th St
Allentown PA 18102
Telephone: (610) 437-7000; Fax: (610) 437-6381

†Centro de Fertilidad del Caribe
Torre San Francisco, Ave de Diego 369, Suite 606
San Juan PR 00923
Telephone: (787) 763-2773; Fax: (787) 763-2773

Texas Fertility, PA
4323 N. Josey Ln, Plaza I, Suite 201
Carrollton TX 75010
Telephone: (972) 394-9590; Fax: (972) 394-9579

The Women's Place
950 Scotland Dr
DeSoto TX 75115
Telephone: (972) 709-9777; Fax: (972) 709-8300

UTMB Women's Healthcare Group
1804 FM 646 West, Suite N
Dickinson TX 77539
Telephone: (800) 809-2229; Fax: (281) 534-2770

Office of Frank DeLeon, MD
1300 W. Terrell Ave, Suite 320
Fort Worth TX 76104
Telephone: (817) 735-2300; Fax: (817) 882-8653

The Women's Specialists of Houston
6624 Fannin St, Suite 1800
Houston TX 77030
Telephone: (713) 425-3783; Fax: (713) 425-3077

Scott & White
IVF Clinic
2401 S. 31st St
Temple TX 76508
Telephone: (254) 724-2111; Fax: (254) 724-1046

†Nancy Durso, MD, PC
Metro Fertility Care
6355 Walker Ln, Suite 500
Alexandria VA 22310
Telephone: (703) 313-6997; Fax: (703) 719-7632

The Beach Center for Infertility, Endocrinology and IVF
844 First Colonial Rd, Suite 202
Virginia Beach VA 23451
Telephone: (757) 428-0002; Fax: (757) 428-4555

†Advanced Institute of Fertility
St. Luke's Physician Office Bldg
2801 W. Kinnickinnic River Pkwy, Suite 535
Milwaukee WI 53215
Telephone: (414) 645-5437; Fax: (414) 645-5401

2009

Appendix D

National Consumer Organizations

APPENDIX D: NATIONAL CONSUMER ORGANIZATIONS

The following national consumer organizations offer support to people experiencing infertility:

The American Fertility Association
315 Madison Ave, Suite 901
New York NY 10017
Telephone: (888) 917-3777
info@theafa.org
www.theafa.org

RESOLVE: The National Infertility Association
1760 Old Meadow Rd, Suite 500
McLean VA 22102
Telephone: (703) 556-7172; Fax: (703) 506-3266
info@resolve.org
www.resolve.org

Womenshealth.gov
Office on Women's Health
U.S. Department of Health and Human Services
200 Independence Ave, S.W., Room 712E
Washington DC 20201
Telephone: (800) 994-9662; TDD: (888) 220-5446
4_woman@federal.dell.com
www.womenshealth.gov

U.S. Department of Health and Human Services
Centers for Disease Control and Prevention