

**NORTH CAROLINA CENTER FOR REPRODUCTIVE MEDICINE
THE TALBERT FERTILITY INSTITUTE
CARY, NORTH CAROLINA**

A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 11–20.

2010 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART ^a		Patient Diagnosis					
IVF	100%	Procedural Factors:	Tubal factor	<1%	Other factor	2%	
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	85%	Ovulatory dysfunction	5%	Unknown factor	<1%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	0%	Diminished ovarian reserve	18%	<i>Multiple Factors:</i>	
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	1%	Endometriosis	2%	Female factors only	9%
		Used PGD	2%	Uterine factor	0%	Female & male factors	48%
		With eSET	0%	Male factor	14%		

2010 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by Sameh K. Toma, MD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman				
	<35	35–37	38–40	41–42	43–44 ^d
Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of cycles	86	48	32	7	3
Percentage of embryos transferred resulting in implantation ^b	19.5	17.1	9.0	4.5	1 / 7
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies ^b	31.4	29.2	18.8	1 / 7	1 / 3
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births ^{b,c}	26.7	29.2	18.8	1 / 7	1 / 3
(Confidence Interval)	(17.8–37.4)	(17.0–44.1)	(7.2–36.4)		
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births ^{b,c}	30.3	32.6	22.2	1 / 7	1 / 3
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births ^{b,c}	30.3	33.3	23.1	1 / 6	1 / 3
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births ^b	18.4	21.4	19.2	1 / 6	1 / 3
Percentage of cancellations ^b	11.6	10.4	15.6	0 / 7	0 / 3
Average number of embryos transferred	2.7	2.8	3.4	3.7	2.3
Percentage of pregnancies with twins ^b	25.9	4 / 14	0 / 6	0 / 1	0 / 1
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more ^b	11.1	1 / 14	1 / 6	0 / 1	0 / 1
Percentage of live births having multiple infants ^{b,c}	39.1	5 / 14	1 / 6	0 / 1	0 / 1
Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of transfers	21	16	4	2	1
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births ^{b,c}	33.3	4 / 16	0 / 4	1 / 2	1 / 1
Average number of embryos transferred	2.9	2.7	2.8	3.0	3.0
All Ages Combined^e					
Donor Eggs	Fresh Embryos		Frozen Embryos		
Number of transfers	71		31		
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births ^{b,c}	63.4		25.8		
Average number of embryos transferred	2.5		2.8		

CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

Current Name: North Carolina Center for Reproductive Medicine, The Talbert Fertility Institute

Donor egg?	Yes	Gestational carriers?	Yes	SART member?	Yes
Donor embryo?	Yes	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	Yes
Single women?	Yes	(See Appendix C for details.)			

^a Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2010 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.

^b When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.

^c A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.

^d Clinic-specific outcome rates for women older than 44 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs are not included because of small numbers.

^e All ages (including ages >44) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.

UNIVERSITY OF NORTH CAROLINA A.R.T. CLINIC CHAPEL HILL, NORTH CAROLINA

A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 11–20.

2010 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART ^a				Patient Diagnosis			
IVF	100%	Procedural Factors:		Tubal factor	7%	Other factor	2%
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	60%	Ovulatory dysfunction	12%	Unknown factor	26%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	0%	Diminished ovarian reserve	12%	<i>Multiple Factors:</i>	
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	0%	Endometriosis	6%	Female factors only	7%
		Used PGD	3%	Uterine factor	0%	Female & male factors	10%
		With eSET	5%	Male factor	19%		

2010 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by Jennifer E. Mersereau, MD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman				
	<35	35–37	38–40	41–42	43–44 ^d
Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of cycles	54	23	26	5	0
Percentage of embryos transferred resulting in implantation ^b	36.0	27.5	14.1	0 / 16	
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies ^b	38.9	52.2	34.6	0 / 5	
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births ^{b,c}	35.2	43.5	19.2	0 / 5	
(Confidence Interval)	(22.7–49.4)	(23.2–65.5)	(6.6–39.4)		
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births ^{b,c}	37.3	43.5	20.0	0 / 4	
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births ^{b,c}	38.8	43.5	20.0	0 / 4	
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births ^b	14.3	30.4	16.0	0 / 4	
Percentage of cancellations ^b	5.6	0.0	3.8	1 / 5	
Average number of embryos transferred	2.0	2.2	2.8	4.0	
Percentage of pregnancies with twins ^b	42.9	3 / 12	2 / 9		
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more ^b	14.3	0 / 12	0 / 9		
Percentage of live births having multiple infants ^{b,c}	12 / 19	3 / 10	1 / 5		
Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of transfers	18	16	2	1	0
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births ^{b,c}	3 / 18	4 / 16	1 / 2	0 / 1	
Average number of embryos transferred	1.7	1.6	2.0	1.0	
All Ages Combined^e					
	Fresh Embryos		Frozen Embryos		
Number of transfers	11		8		
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births ^{b,c}	4 / 11		0 / 8		
Average number of embryos transferred	2.2		1.4		

CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

Current Name: University of North Carolina A.R.T. Clinic

Donor egg?	Yes	Gestational carriers?	Yes	SART member?	Yes
Donor embryo?	No	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	Yes
Single women?	Yes			<i>(See Appendix C for details.)</i>	

^a Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2010 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.

^b When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.

^c A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.

^d Clinic-specific outcome rates for women older than 44 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs are not included because of small numbers.

^e All ages (including ages >44) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.

INSTITUTE FOR ASSISTED REPRODUCTION CHARLOTTE, NORTH CAROLINA

A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 11–20.

2010 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART ^a				Patient Diagnosis			
IVF	100%	Procedural Factors:		Tubal factor	7%	Other factor	8%
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	85%	Ovulatory dysfunction	13%	Unknown factor	11%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	0%	Diminished ovarian reserve	18%	<i>Multiple Factors:</i>	
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	3%	Endometriosis	8%	Female factors only	10%
		Used PGD	2%	Uterine factor	3%	Female & male factors	9%
		With eSET	4%	Male factor	14%		

2010 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by Jack L. Crain, MD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman				
	<35	35–37	38–40	41–42	43–44 ^d
Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of cycles	245	123	93	64	22
Percentage of embryos transferred resulting in implantation ^b	41.4	36.3	24.4	8.7	8.1
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies ^b	42.0	39.8	31.2	15.6	13.6
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births ^{b,c}	38.4	31.7	28.0	9.4	4.5
(Confidence Interval)	(32.2–44.8)	(23.6–40.7)	(19.1–38.2)	(3.5–19.3)	(0.1–22.8)
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births ^{b,c}	40.9	35.8	32.1	13.6	1 / 18
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births ^{b,c}	52.2	45.3	38.2	15.8	1 / 13
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births ^b	28.3	36.0	19.1	15.8	1 / 13
Percentage of cancellations ^b	6.1	11.4	12.9	31.3	18.2
Average number of embryos transferred	2.0	2.0	2.6	2.7	2.8
Percentage of pregnancies with twins ^b	46.6	28.6	51.7	0 / 10	1 / 3
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more ^b	2.9	0.0	0.0	0 / 10	0 / 3
Percentage of live births having multiple infants ^{b,c}	45.7	20.5	50.0	0 / 6	0 / 1
Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of transfers	103	53	35	12	4
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births ^{b,c}	54.4	41.5	45.7	3 / 12	3 / 4
Average number of embryos transferred	1.8	1.7	1.8	2.2	3.5
All Ages Combined^e					
Donor Eggs	Fresh Embryos		Frozen Embryos		
Number of transfers	40		21		
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births ^{b,c}	65.0		47.6		
Average number of embryos transferred	2.0		2.0		

CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

Current Name: Institute for Assisted Reproduction

Donor egg?	Yes	Gestational carriers?	Yes	SART member?	Yes
Donor embryo?	No	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	Yes
Single women?	Yes	(See Appendix C for details.)			

^a Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2010 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.

^b When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.

^c A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.

^d Clinic-specific outcome rates for women older than 44 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs are not included because of small numbers.

^e All ages (including ages >44) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.

PROGRAM FOR ASSISTED REPRODUCTION, CAROLINAS MEDICAL CENTER CMC WOMEN'S INSTITUTE CHARLOTTE, NORTH CAROLINA

A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 11–20.

2010 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART ^a				Patient Diagnosis			
IVF	>99%	Procedural Factors:		Tubal factor	6%	Other factor	<1%
GIFT	<1%	With ICSI	72%	Ovulatory dysfunction	14%	Unknown factor	11%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	0%	Diminished ovarian reserve	15%	<i>Multiple Factors:</i>	
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	0%	Endometriosis	9%	Female factors only	18%
		Used PGD	<1%	Uterine factor	2%	Female & male factors	15%
		With eSET	<1%	Male factor	11%		

2010 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by Bradley S. Hurst, MD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman				
	<35	35–37	38–40	41–42	43–44 ^d
Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of cycles	82	36	23	10	0
Percentage of embryos transferred resulting in implantation ^b	41.9	27.1	15.9	11.5	
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies ^b	56.1	38.9	34.8	3 / 10	
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births ^{b,c}	47.6	30.6	17.4	3 / 10	
(Confidence Interval)	(36.4–58.9)	(16.3–48.1)	(5.0–38.8)		
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births ^{b,c}	48.8	33.3	18.2	3 / 9	
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births ^{b,c}	48.8	35.5	18.2	3 / 9	
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births ^b	25.0	29.0	13.6	3 / 9	
Percentage of cancellations ^b	2.4	8.3	4.3	1 / 10	
Average number of embryos transferred	2.0	1.9	2.9	2.9	
Percentage of pregnancies with twins ^b	45.7	2 / 14	2 / 8	0 / 3	
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more ^b	2.2	0 / 14	0 / 8	0 / 3	
Percentage of live births having multiple infants ^{b,c}	48.7	2 / 11	1 / 4	0 / 3	
Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of transfers	23	16	7	0	0
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births ^{b,c}	43.5	8 / 16	2 / 7		
Average number of embryos transferred	2.2	1.9	2.3		
All Ages Combined^e					
Donor Eggs	Fresh Embryos		Frozen Embryos		
Number of transfers	11		11		
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births ^{b,c}	7 / 11		3 / 11		
Average number of embryos transferred	2.1		2.3		

CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

Current Name: Program for Assisted Reproduction, Carolinas Medical Center, CMC Women's Institute

Donor egg?	Yes	Gestational carriers?	No	SART member?	Yes
Donor embryo?	Yes	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	Yes
Single women?	Yes			<i>(See Appendix C for details.)</i>	

^a Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2010 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.

^b When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.

^c A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.

^d Clinic-specific outcome rates for women older than 44 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs are not included because of small numbers.

^e All ages (including ages >44) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.

**DUKE FERTILITY CENTER
DUKE UNIVERSITY MEDICAL CENTER
DURHAM, NORTH CAROLINA**

A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 11–20.

2010 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART ^a				Patient Diagnosis			
IVF	100%	Procedural Factors:		Tubal factor	6%	Other factor	7%
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	62%	Ovulatory dysfunction	13%	Unknown factor	18%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	0%	Diminished ovarian reserve	14%	<i>Multiple Factors:</i>	
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	<1%	Endometriosis	4%	Female factors only	6%
		Used PGD	2%	Uterine factor	2%	Female & male factors	12%
		With eSET	3%	Male factor	18%		

2010 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by David K. Walmer, MD, PhD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman				
	<35	35–37	38–40	41–42	43–44 ^d
Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of cycles	94	49	48	9	5
Percentage of embryos transferred resulting in implantation ^b	41.9	28.6	21.4	4 / 15	0 / 13
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies ^b	57.4	40.8	37.5	4 / 9	2 / 5
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births ^{b,c}	46.8	34.7	25.0	3 / 9	0 / 5
(Confidence Interval)	(36.4–57.4)	(21.7–49.6)	(13.6–39.6)		
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births ^{b,c}	50.0	39.5	29.3	3 / 6	0 / 4
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births ^{b,c}	53.7	39.5	31.6	3 / 6	0 / 4
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births ^b	32.9	25.6	26.3	2 / 6	0 / 4
Percentage of cancellations ^b	6.4	12.2	14.6	3 / 9	1 / 5
Average number of embryos transferred	1.9	2.0	2.6	2.5	3.3
Percentage of pregnancies with twins ^b	29.6	30.0	4 / 18	1 / 4	0 / 2
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more ^b	1.9	0.0	1 / 18	0 / 4	0 / 2
Percentage of live births having multiple infants ^{b,c}	38.6	6 / 17	2 / 12	1 / 3	
Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of transfers	36	32	13	3	1
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births ^{b,c}	30.6	25.0	4 / 13	2 / 3	1 / 1
Average number of embryos transferred	1.9	1.9	2.1	1.3	4.0
All Ages Combined^e					
Donor Eggs	Fresh Embryos		Frozen Embryos		
Number of transfers	19		9		
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births ^{b,c}	11 / 19		4 / 9		
Average number of embryos transferred	1.9		1.9		

CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

Current Name: Duke Fertility Center, Duke University Medical Center

Donor egg?	Yes	Gestational carriers?	Yes	SART member?	Yes
Donor embryo?	No	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	Yes
Single women?	Yes	(See Appendix C for details.)			

^a Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2010 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.

^b When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.

^c A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.

^d Clinic-specific outcome rates for women older than 44 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs are not included because of small numbers.

^e All ages (including ages >44) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.

EAST CAROLINA UNIVERSITY GREENVILLE, NORTH CAROLINA

A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 11–20.

2010 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART ^a				Patient Diagnosis			
IVF	100%	Procedural Factors:		Tubal factor	3%	Other factor	0%
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	81%	Ovulatory dysfunction	3%	Unknown factor	7%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	0%	Diminished ovarian reserve	7%	<i>Multiple Factors:</i>	
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	0%	Endometriosis	8%	Female factors only	22%
		Used PGD	1%	Uterine factor	1%	Female & male factors	28%
		With eSET	0%	Male factor	20%		

2010 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by Larisa Gavrilova-Jordan, MD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman				
	<35	35–37	38–40	41–42	43–44 ^d
Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of cycles	57	7	13	3	0
Percentage of embryos transferred resulting in implantation ^b	40.4	3 / 18	13.0	4 / 9	
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies ^b	54.4	3 / 7	3 / 13	2 / 3	
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births ^{b,c}	47.4	2 / 7	3 / 13	2 / 3	
(Confidence Interval)	(34.0–61.0)				
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births ^{b,c}	49.1	2 / 7	3 / 10	2 / 3	
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births ^{b,c}	50.0	2 / 7	3 / 9	2 / 3	
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births ^b	33.3	1 / 7	3 / 9	1 / 3	
Percentage of cancellations ^b	3.5	0 / 7	3 / 13	0 / 3	
Average number of embryos transferred	1.9	2.6	2.6	3.0	
Percentage of pregnancies with twins ^b	41.9	1 / 3	0 / 3	2 / 2	
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more ^b	0.0	0 / 3	0 / 3	0 / 2	
Percentage of live births having multiple infants ^{b,c}	33.3	1 / 2	0 / 3	1 / 2	
Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of transfers	3	3	0	0	0
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births ^{b,c}	2 / 3	3 / 3			
Average number of embryos transferred	1.7	2.3			
All Ages Combined^e					
Donor Eggs	Fresh Embryos		Frozen Embryos		
Number of transfers	9		4		
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births ^{b,c}	4 / 9		2 / 4		
Average number of embryos transferred	2.0		1.5		

CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

Current Name: East Carolina University

Donor egg?	Yes	Gestational carriers?	No	SART member?	Yes
Donor embryo?	No	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	Yes
Single women?	Yes	(See Appendix C for details.)			

^a Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2010 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.

^b When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.

^c A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.

^d Clinic-specific outcome rates for women older than 44 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs are not included because of small numbers.

^e All ages (including ages >44) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.

**PREMIER FERTILITY CENTER
HIGH POINT REGIONAL HEALTH SYSTEM
HIGH POINT, NORTH CAROLINA**

A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 11–20.

2010 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART ^a				Patient Diagnosis			
IVF	100%	Procedural Factors:		Tubal factor	7%	Other factor	4%
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	70%	Ovulatory dysfunction	6%	Unknown factor	4%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	0%	Diminished ovarian reserve	6%	<i>Multiple Factors:</i>	
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	0%	Endometriosis	4%	Female factors only	13%
		Used PGD	1%	Uterine factor	<1%	Female & male factors	37%
		With eSET	11%	Male factor	19%		

2010 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by Jeffrey L. Deaton, MD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman				
	<35	35–37	38–40	41–42	43–44 ^d
Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of cycles	37	15	14	8	0
Percentage of embryos transferred resulting in implantation ^b	50.0	31.8	25.9	0 / 19	
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies ^b	45.9	5 / 15	8 / 14	0 / 8	
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births ^{b,c}	45.9	5 / 15	4 / 14	0 / 8	
(Confidence Interval)	(29.5–63.1)				
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births ^{b,c}	48.6	5 / 12	4 / 13	0 / 7	
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births ^{b,c}	56.7	5 / 12	4 / 12	0 / 7	
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births ^b	20.0	3 / 12	3 / 12	0 / 7	
Percentage of cancellations ^b	5.4	3 / 15	1 / 14	1 / 8	
Average number of embryos transferred	1.9	1.8	2.3	2.7	
Percentage of pregnancies with twins ^b	10 / 17	2 / 5	1 / 8		
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more ^b	1 / 17	0 / 5	0 / 8		
Percentage of live births having multiple infants ^{b,c}	11 / 17	2 / 5	1 / 4		
Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of transfers	7	5	1	3	0
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births ^{b,c}	4 / 7	4 / 5	0 / 1	0 / 3	
Average number of embryos transferred	1.9	1.6	2.0	2.0	
All Ages Combined^e					
Donor Eggs	Fresh Embryos		Frozen Embryos		
Number of transfers	2		6		
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births ^{b,c}	1 / 2		3 / 6		
Average number of embryos transferred	1.5		2.0		

CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

Current Name: Premier Fertility Center, High Point Regional Health System

Donor egg?	Yes	Gestational carriers?	Yes	SART member?	Yes
Donor embryo?	Yes	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	Yes
Single women?	Yes	(See Appendix C for details.)			

^a Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2010 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.

^b When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.

^c A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.

^d Clinic-specific outcome rates for women older than 44 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs are not included because of small numbers.

^e All ages (including ages >44) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.

ADVANCED REPRODUCTIVE CONCEPTS HUNTERSVILLE, NORTH CAROLINA

A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 11–20.

2010 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART ^a				Patient Diagnosis			
IVF	100%	Procedural Factors:		Tubal factor	9%	Other factor	0%
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	90%	Ovulatory dysfunction	8%	Unknown factor	5%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	0%	Diminished ovarian reserve	8%	<i>Multiple Factors:</i>	
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	0%	Endometriosis	3%	Female factors only	16%
		Used PGD	0%	Uterine factor	0%	Female & male factors	27%
		With eSET	11%	Male factor	24%		

2010 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by Mark L. Jutras, MD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman				
	<35	35–37	38–40	41–42	43–44 ^d
Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of cycles	24	15	13	5	1
Percentage of embryos transferred resulting in implantation ^b	39.5	10 / 19	5 / 18	0 / 13	
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies ^b	50.0	9 / 15	4 / 13	1 / 5	0 / 1
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births ^{b,c}	45.8	8 / 15	3 / 13	0 / 5	0 / 1
(Confidence Interval)	(25.6–67.2)				
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births ^{b,c}	45.8	8 / 13	3 / 11	0 / 5	0 / 1
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births ^{b,c}	52.4	8 / 12	3 / 9	0 / 5	
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births ^b	42.9	7 / 12	3 / 9	0 / 5	
Percentage of cancellations ^b	0.0	2 / 15	2 / 13	0 / 5	0 / 1
Average number of embryos transferred	1.8	1.6	2.0	2.6	
Percentage of pregnancies with twins ^b	1 / 12	1 / 9	1 / 4	0 / 1	
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more ^b	1 / 12	0 / 9	0 / 4	0 / 1	
Percentage of live births having multiple infants ^{b,c}	2 / 11	1 / 8	0 / 3		
Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of transfers	6	3	2	0	0
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births ^{b,c}	4 / 6	2 / 3	0 / 2		
Average number of embryos transferred	1.5	1.7	2.0		
All Ages Combined^e					
Donor Eggs	Fresh Embryos		Frozen Embryos		
Number of transfers	3		1		
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births ^{b,c}	3 / 3		0 / 1		
Average number of embryos transferred	1.3		1.0		

CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

Current Name: Advanced Reproductive Concepts

Donor egg?	Yes	Gestational carriers?	Yes	SART member?	Yes
Donor embryo?	Yes	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	Yes
Single women?	Yes			(See Appendix C for details.)	

^a Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2010 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.

^b When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.

^c A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.

^d Clinic-specific outcome rates for women older than 44 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs are not included because of small numbers.

^e All ages (including ages >44) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.

CAROLINA CONCEPTIONS, PA RALEIGH, NORTH CAROLINA

A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 11–20.

2010 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART ^a				Patient Diagnosis			
IVF	100%	Procedural Factors:		Tubal factor	2%	Other factor	4%
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	88%	Ovulatory dysfunction	9%	Unknown factor	10%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	0%	Diminished ovarian reserve	15%	<i>Multiple Factors:</i>	
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	1%	Endometriosis	3%	Female factors only	9%
		Used PGD	5%	Uterine factor	<1%	Female & male factors	27%
		With eSET	2%	Male factor	20%		

2010 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by William R. Meyer, MD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman				
	<35	35–37	38–40	41–42	43–44 ^d
Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of cycles	203	91	70	18	4
Percentage of embryos transferred resulting in implantation ^b	38.4	27.7	21.6	12.1	0 / 12
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies ^b	51.7	39.6	35.7	6 / 18	0 / 4
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births ^{b,c}	47.3	36.3	27.1	5 / 18	0 / 4
(Confidence Interval)	(40.3–54.4)	(26.4–47.0)	(17.2–39.1)		
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births ^{b,c}	50.3	42.3	33.9	5 / 16	0 / 4
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births ^{b,c}	51.6	43.4	35.2	5 / 15	0 / 4
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births ^b	35.5	32.9	27.8	4 / 15	0 / 4
Percentage of cancellations ^b	5.9	14.3	20.0	2 / 18	0 / 4
Average number of embryos transferred	2.0	2.3	2.8	3.9	3.0
Percentage of pregnancies with twins ^b	33.3	13.9	24.0	1 / 6	
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more ^b	1.9	11.1	4.0	0 / 6	
Percentage of live births having multiple infants ^{b,c}	31.3	24.2	4 / 19	1 / 5	
Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of transfers	51	14	11	3	0
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births ^{b,c}	37.3	6 / 14	5 / 11	2 / 3	
Average number of embryos transferred	2.1	2.3	3.0	2.0	
All Ages Combined^e					
Donor Eggs	Fresh Embryos		Frozen Embryos		
Number of transfers	30		11		
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births ^{b,c}	53.3		4 / 11		
Average number of embryos transferred	1.9		2.3		

CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

Current Name: Carolina Conceptions, PA

Donor egg?	Yes	Gestational carriers?	Yes	SART member?	Yes
Donor embryo?	Yes	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	Yes
Single women?	Yes	(See Appendix C for details.)			

^a Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2010 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.

^b When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.

^c A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.

^d Clinic-specific outcome rates for women older than 44 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs are not included because of small numbers.

^e All ages (including ages >44) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.

WAKE FOREST UNIVERSITY CENTER FOR REPRODUCTIVE MEDICINE WINSTON-SALEM, NORTH CAROLINA

A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 11–20.

2010 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART ^a				Patient Diagnosis			
IVF	100%	Procedural Factors:		Tubal factor	8%	Other factor	4%
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	80%	Ovulatory dysfunction	8%	Unknown factor	12%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	0%	Diminished ovarian reserve	13%	<i>Multiple Factors:</i>	
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	0%	Endometriosis	5%	Female factors only	12%
		Used PGD	2%	Uterine factor	0%	Female & male factors	19%
		With eSET	7%	Male factor	20%		

2010 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by Tamer M. Yalcinkaya, MD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman				
	<35	35–37	38–40	41–42	43–44 ^d
Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of cycles	73	36	32	10	4
Percentage of embryos transferred resulting in implantation ^b	38.1	35.0	25.9	9.8	2 / 11
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies ^b	56.2	50.0	59.4	4 / 10	2 / 4
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births ^{b,c}	50.7	38.9	40.6	3 / 10	1 / 4
(Confidence Interval)	(38.7–62.6)	(23.1–56.5)	(23.7–59.4)		
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births ^{b,c}	52.1	46.7	41.9	3 / 9	1 / 3
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births ^{b,c}	52.1	50.0	41.9	3 / 9	1 / 3
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births ^b	35.2	39.3	29.0	3 / 9	1 / 3
Percentage of cancellations ^b	2.7	16.7	3.1	1 / 10	1 / 4
Average number of embryos transferred	2.0	2.1	2.7	4.6	3.7
Percentage of pregnancies with twins ^b	31.7	6 / 18	5 / 19	0 / 4	0 / 2
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more ^b	2.4	0 / 18	0 / 19	0 / 4	0 / 2
Percentage of live births having multiple infants ^{b,c}	32.4	3 / 14	4 / 13	0 / 3	0 / 1
Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of transfers	30	13	3	3	0
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births ^{b,c}	50.0	6 / 13	2 / 3	1 / 3	
Average number of embryos transferred	1.9	1.9	2.3	2.0	
All Ages Combined^e					
Donor Eggs	Fresh Embryos		Frozen Embryos		
Number of transfers	9		3		
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births ^{b,c}	4 / 9		2 / 3		
Average number of embryos transferred	1.7		2.3		

CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

Current Name: Wake Forest University Center for Reproductive Medicine

Donor egg?	Yes	Gestational carriers?	Yes	SART member?	Yes
Donor embryo?	Yes	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	Yes
Single women?	Yes			(See Appendix C for details.)	

^a Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2010 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.

^b When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.

^c A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.

^d Clinic-specific outcome rates for women older than 44 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs are not included because of small numbers.

^e All ages (including ages >44) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.

MERCARE REPRODUCTIVE MEDICINE FARGO, NORTH DAKOTA

A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 11–20.

2010 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART ^a				Patient Diagnosis			
IVF	100%	Procedural Factors:		Tubal factor	8%	Other factor	2%
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	78%	Ovulatory dysfunction	11%	Unknown factor	9%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	0%	Diminished ovarian reserve	7%	<i>Multiple Factors:</i>	
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	5%	Endometriosis	4%	Female factors only	13%
		Used PGD	0%	Uterine factor	0%	Female & male factors	32%
		With eSET	2%	Male factor	12%		

2010 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by Steffen P. Christensen, MD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman				
	<35	35–37	38–40	41–42	43–44 ^d
Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of cycles	58	19	11	8	2
Percentage of embryos transferred resulting in implantation ^b	23.2	15.4	4 / 8	0 / 11	
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies ^b	27.6	6 / 19	1 / 11	0 / 8	0 / 2
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births ^{b,c}	27.6	5 / 19	1 / 11	0 / 8	0 / 2
(Confidence Interval)	(16.7–40.9)				
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births ^{b,c}	30.8	5 / 16	1 / 6	0 / 4	
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births ^{b,c}	34.8	5 / 15	1 / 2	0 / 3	
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births ^b	21.7	5 / 15	0 / 2	0 / 3	
Percentage of cancellations ^b	10.3	3 / 19	5 / 11	4 / 8	2 / 2
Average number of embryos transferred	2.1	2.6	4.0	3.7	
Percentage of pregnancies with twins ^b	6 / 16	0 / 6	0 / 1		
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more ^b	0 / 16	0 / 6	1 / 1		
Percentage of live births having multiple infants ^{b,c}	6 / 16	0 / 5	1 / 1		
Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of transfers	29	11	8	2	0
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births ^{b,c}	31.0	2 / 11	5 / 8	0 / 2	
Average number of embryos transferred	2.5	2.3	2.8	1.5	
All Ages Combined^e					
Donor Eggs	Fresh Embryos		Frozen Embryos		
Number of transfers	8		1		
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births ^{b,c}	3 / 8		0 / 1		
Average number of embryos transferred	2.1		1.0		

CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

Current Name: This clinic has closed or reorganized since 2010. Information on current clinic services and profile therefore is not provided here. Contact the NASS Help Desk for current information about this clinic.

^a Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2010 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.

^b When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.

^c A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.

^d Clinic-specific outcome rates for women older than 44 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs are not included because of small numbers.

^e All ages (including ages >44) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.

FERTILITY UNLIMITED, INC.
NORTHEASTERN OHIO FERTILITY CENTER
AKRON, OHIO

A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 11–20.

2010 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART ^a				Patient Diagnosis			
IVF	100%	Procedural Factors:		Tubal factor	2%	Other factor	12%
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	57%	Ovulatory dysfunction	0%	Unknown factor	0%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	0%	Diminished ovarian reserve	15%	<i>Multiple Factors:</i>	
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	0%	Endometriosis	2%	Female factors only	27%
		Used PGD	17%	Uterine factor	0%	Female & male factors	34%
		With eSET	0%	Male factor	7%		

2010 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by Nicholas J. Spirtos, DO

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman				
	<35	35–37	38–40	41–42	43–44 ^d
Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of cycles	10	3	7	3	0
Percentage of embryos transferred resulting in implantation ^b	29.6	0 / 7	15.0	1 / 6	
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies ^b	8 / 10	0 / 3	3 / 7	1 / 3	
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births ^{b,c}	6 / 10	0 / 3	1 / 7	1 / 3	
(Confidence Interval)					
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births ^{b,c}	6 / 10	0 / 3	1 / 7	1 / 3	
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births ^{b,c}	6 / 10	0 / 3	1 / 7	1 / 2	
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births ^b	6 / 10	0 / 3	1 / 7	1 / 2	
Percentage of cancellations ^b	0 / 10	0 / 3	0 / 7	0 / 3	
Average number of embryos transferred	2.7	2.3	2.9	3.0	
Percentage of pregnancies with twins ^b	0 / 8		0 / 3	0 / 1	
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more ^b	0 / 8		0 / 3	0 / 1	
Percentage of live births having multiple infants ^{b,c}	0 / 6		0 / 1	0 / 1	
Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of transfers	0	0	0	0	0
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births ^{b,c}					
Average number of embryos transferred					
All Ages Combined^e					
Donor Eggs	Fresh Embryos		Frozen Embryos		
Number of transfers	13		2		
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births ^{b,c}	3 / 13		2 / 2		
Average number of embryos transferred	2.9		3.0		

CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

Current Name: Fertility Unlimited, Inc., Northeastern Ohio Fertility Center

Donor egg?	Yes	Gestational carriers?	Yes	SART member?	Yes
Donor embryo?	Yes	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	Yes
Single women?	Yes			<i>(See Appendix C for details.)</i>	

^a Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2010 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.

^b When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.

^c A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.

^d Clinic-specific outcome rates for women older than 44 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs are not included because of small numbers.

^e All ages (including ages >44) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.

REPRODUCTIVE GYNECOLOGY AKRON, OHIO

A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 11–20.

2010 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART ^a				Patient Diagnosis			
IVF	100%	Procedural Factors:		Tubal factor	7%	Other factor	1%
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	71%	Ovulatory dysfunction	8%	Unknown factor	2%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	0%	Diminished ovarian reserve	5%	<i>Multiple Factors:</i>	
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	2%	Endometriosis	8%	Female factors only	11%
		Used PGD	1%	Uterine factor	2%	Female & male factors	37%
		With eSET	1%	Male factor	21%		

2010 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by Richard W. Moretuzzo, MD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman				
	<35	35–37	38–40	41–42	43–44 ^d
Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of cycles	159	58	41	18	2
Percentage of embryos transferred resulting in implantation ^b	25.4	26.7	12.7	2.7	0 / 6
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies ^b	39.0	43.1	26.8	1 / 18	0 / 2
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births ^{b,c}	34.0	29.3	19.5	0 / 18	0 / 2
(Confidence Interval)	(26.6–41.9)	(18.1–42.7)	(8.8–34.9)		
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births ^{b,c}	37.5	31.5	20.5	0 / 15	0 / 2
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births ^{b,c}	38.3	34.0	20.5	0 / 13	0 / 2
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births ^b	26.2	22.0	15.4	0 / 13	0 / 2
Percentage of cancellations ^b	9.4	6.9	4.9	3 / 18	0 / 2
Average number of embryos transferred	2.3	2.4	2.6	2.8	3.0
Percentage of pregnancies with twins ^b	29.0	24.0	3 / 11	0 / 1	
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more ^b	4.8	8.0	0 / 11	0 / 1	
Percentage of live births having multiple infants ^{b,c}	31.5	6 / 17	2 / 8		
Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of transfers	41	13	5	2	0
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births ^{b,c}	48.8	7 / 13	2 / 5	0 / 2	
Average number of embryos transferred	2.5	2.2	2.4	2.0	
All Ages Combined^e					
Donor Eggs	Fresh Embryos		Frozen Embryos		
Number of transfers	20		15		
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births ^{b,c}	65.0		6 / 15		
Average number of embryos transferred	2.2		2.7		

CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

Current Name: Reproductive Gynecology

Donor egg?	Yes	Gestational carriers?	Yes	SART member?	Yes
Donor embryo?	Yes	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	Yes
Single women?	Yes	(See Appendix C for details.)			

^a Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2010 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.

^b When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.

^c A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.

^d Clinic-specific outcome rates for women older than 44 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs are not included because of small numbers.

^e All ages (including ages >44) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.

BETHESDA CENTER FOR REPRODUCTIVE HEALTH & FERTILITY CINCINNATI, OHIO

A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 11–20.

2010 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART ^a				Patient Diagnosis			
IVF	100%	Procedural Factors:		Tubal factor	12%	Other factor	0%
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	57%	Ovulatory dysfunction	6%	Unknown factor	9%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	0%	Diminished ovarian reserve	27%	<i>Multiple Factors:</i>	
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	<1%	Endometriosis	2%	Female factors only	10%
		Used PGD	0%	Uterine factor	0%	Female & male factors	11%
		With eSET	2%	Male factor	24%		

2010 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by Glen E. Hofmann, MD, PhD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman				
	<35	35–37	38–40	41–42	43–44 ^d
Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of cycles	82	34	32	9	5
Percentage of embryos transferred resulting in implantation ^b	36.5	11.8	24.6	9.5	0 / 16
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies ^b	42.7	17.6	31.3	2 / 9	1 / 5
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births ^{b,c}	37.8	14.7	21.9	1 / 9	0 / 5
(Confidence Interval)	(27.3–49.2)	(5.0–31.1)	(9.3–40.0)		
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births ^{b,c}	43.1	17.9	29.2	1 / 8	0 / 5
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births ^{b,c}	45.6	17.9	29.2	1 / 7	0 / 5
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births ^b	25.0	10.7	8.3	1 / 7	0 / 5
Percentage of cancellations ^b	12.2	17.6	25.0	1 / 9	0 / 5
Average number of embryos transferred	2.0	2.4	2.4	3.0	3.2
Percentage of pregnancies with twins ^b	45.7	2 / 6	5 / 10	0 / 2	0 / 1
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more ^b	0.0	0 / 6	0 / 10	0 / 2	0 / 1
Percentage of live births having multiple infants ^{b,c}	45.2	2 / 5	5 / 7	0 / 1	
Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of transfers	35	16	7	1	0
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births ^{b,c}	45.7	6 / 16	2 / 7	0 / 1	
Average number of embryos transferred	2.0	1.9	1.7	2.0	
All Ages Combined^e					
Donor Eggs	Fresh Embryos		Frozen Embryos		
Number of transfers	31		25		
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births ^{b,c}	77.4		40.0		
Average number of embryos transferred	2.0		1.7		

CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

Current Name: Bethesda Center for Reproductive Health & Fertility

Donor egg?	Yes	Gestational carriers?	Yes	SART member?	Yes
Donor embryo?	Yes	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	Yes
Single women?	Yes			(See Appendix C for details.)	

^a Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2010 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.

^b When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.

^c A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.

^d Clinic-specific outcome rates for women older than 44 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs are not included because of small numbers.

^e All ages (including ages >44) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.

CENTER FOR REPRODUCTIVE HEALTH CINCINNATI, OHIO

A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 11–20.

2010 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART ^a				Patient Diagnosis			
IVF	>99%	Procedural Factors:		Tubal factor	9%	Other factor	2%
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	51%	Ovulatory dysfunction	6%	Unknown factor	5%
ZIFT	<1%	Unstimulated	0%	Diminished ovarian reserve	14%	<i>Multiple Factors:</i>	
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	4%	Endometriosis	5%	Female factors only	10%
		Used PGD	<1%	Uterine factor	<1%	Female & male factors	32%
		With eSET	0%	Male factor	16%		

2010 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by Steven R. Lindheim, MD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman				
	<35	35–37	38–40	41–42	43–44 ^d
Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of cycles	47	30	22	6	1
Percentage of embryos transferred resulting in implantation ^b	22.3	7.4	11.4	0 / 7	
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies ^b	36.2	13.3	13.6	0 / 6	0 / 1
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births ^{b,c}	34.0	13.3	9.1	0 / 6	0 / 1
(Confidence Interval)	(20.9–49.3)	(3.8–30.7)	(1.1–29.2)		
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births ^{b,c}	34.0	15.4	2 / 18	0 / 4	
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births ^{b,c}	35.6	16.7	2 / 14	0 / 2	
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births ^b	24.4	16.7	1 / 14	0 / 2	
Percentage of cancellations ^b	0.0	13.3	18.2	2 / 6	1 / 1
Average number of embryos transferred	2.1	2.3	2.5	3.5	
Percentage of pregnancies with twins ^b	5 / 17	0 / 4	1 / 3		
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more ^b	0 / 17	0 / 4	0 / 3		
Percentage of live births having multiple infants ^{b,c}	5 / 16	0 / 4	1 / 2		
Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of transfers	31	9	7	2	0
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births ^{b,c}	19.4	2 / 9	2 / 7	0 / 2	
Average number of embryos transferred	2.0	1.7	2.0	2.5	
All Ages Combined^e					
Donor Eggs	Fresh Embryos		Frozen Embryos		
Number of transfers	25		13		
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births ^{b,c}	48.0		6 / 13		
Average number of embryos transferred	2.1		1.8		

CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

Current Name: Center for Reproductive Health

Donor egg?	Yes	Gestational carriers?	Yes	SART member?	Yes
Donor embryo?	Yes	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	Yes
Single women?	Yes			(See Appendix C for details.)	

^a Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2010 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.

^b When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.

^c A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.

^d Clinic-specific outcome rates for women older than 44 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs are not included because of small numbers.

^e All ages (including ages >44) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.

INSTITUTE FOR REPRODUCTIVE HEALTH CINCINNATI, OHIO

A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 11–20.

2010 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART ^a				Patient Diagnosis			
IVF	>99%	Procedural Factors:		Tubal factor	7%	Other factor	3%
GIFT	<1%	With ICSI	53%	Ovulatory dysfunction	10%	Unknown factor	8%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	0%	Diminished ovarian reserve	9%	<i>Multiple Factors:</i>	
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	<1%	Endometriosis	6%	Female factors only	18%
		Used PGD	<1%	Uterine factor	<1%	Female & male factors	22%
		With eSET	1%	Male factor	17%		

2010 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by Sherif G. Awadalla, MD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman				
	<35	35–37	38–40	41–42	43–44 ^d
Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of cycles	421	135	84	20	5
Percentage of embryos transferred resulting in implantation ^b	29.8	20.6	17.5	10.4	2 / 11
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies ^b	40.9	39.3	34.5	20.0	2 / 5
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births ^{b,c}	38.5	31.9	26.2	10.0	1 / 5
(Confidence Interval)	(33.8–43.3)	(24.1–40.4)	(17.2–36.9)	(1.2–31.7)	
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births ^{b,c}	41.6	34.1	30.1	2 / 17	1 / 3
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births ^{b,c}	43.0	35.2	30.6	2 / 14	1 / 3
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births ^b	26.5	23.0	20.8	1 / 14	0 / 3
Percentage of cancellations ^b	7.6	6.7	13.1	15.0	2 / 5
Average number of embryos transferred	2.2	2.6	2.9	3.4	3.7
Percentage of pregnancies with twins ^b	34.9	24.5	27.6	1 / 4	1 / 2
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more ^b	3.5	3.8	3.4	0 / 4	0 / 2
Percentage of live births having multiple infants ^{b,c}	38.3	34.9	31.8	1 / 2	1 / 1
Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of transfers	109	26	13	6	1
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births ^{b,c}	30.3	26.9	4 / 13	1 / 6	0 / 1
Average number of embryos transferred	2.2	2.3	2.1	2.7	1.0
All Ages Combined^e					
Donor Eggs	Fresh Embryos		Frozen Embryos		
Number of transfers	42		39		
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births ^{b,c}	59.5		33.3		
Average number of embryos transferred	2.1		2.3		

CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

Current Name: Institute for Reproductive Health

Donor egg?	Yes	Gestational carriers?	Yes	SART member?	Yes
Donor embryo?	Yes	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	Yes
Single women?	Yes	(See Appendix C for details.)			

^a Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2010 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.

^b When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.

^c A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.

^d Clinic-specific outcome rates for women older than 44 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs are not included because of small numbers.

^e All ages (including ages >44) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.

CLEVELAND CLINIC FERTILITY CENTER CLEVELAND, OHIO

A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 11–20.

2010 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART ^a				Patient Diagnosis			
IVF	100%	Procedural Factors:		Tubal factor	8%	Other factor	3%
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	75%	Ovulatory dysfunction	7%	Unknown factor	15%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	<1%	Diminished ovarian reserve	10%	<i>Multiple Factors:</i>	
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	<1%	Endometriosis	4%	Female factors only	8%
		Used PGD	1%	Uterine factor	<1%	Female & male factors	18%
		With eSET	11%	Male factor	26%		

2010 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by Cynthia Austin, MD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman				
	<35	35–37	38–40	41–42	43–44 ^d
Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of cycles	311	167	144	69	18
Percentage of embryos transferred resulting in implantation ^b	42.0	32.5	20.7	6.6	2.6
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies ^b	47.6	34.7	26.4	10.1	1 / 18
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births ^{b,c}	43.4	31.7	21.5	7.2	1 / 18
(Confidence Interval)	(37.8–49.1)	(24.8–39.4)	(15.1–29.1)	(2.4–16.1)	
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births ^{b,c}	52.3	44.9	30.7	10.2	1 / 11
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births ^{b,c}	52.3	46.1	32.6	10.6	1 / 11
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births ^b	37.6	34.8	25.3	8.5	1 / 11
Percentage of cancellations ^b	17.0	29.3	29.9	29.0	7 / 18
Average number of embryos transferred	1.8	2.1	2.4	2.9	3.5
Percentage of pregnancies with twins ^b	29.7	17.2	26.3	2 / 7	0 / 1
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more ^b	0.7	8.6	0.0	0 / 7	0 / 1
Percentage of live births having multiple infants ^{b,c}	28.1	24.5	22.6	1 / 5	0 / 1
Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of transfers	107	73	54	22	5
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births ^{b,c}	40.2	31.5	27.8	31.8	0 / 5
Average number of embryos transferred	1.8	1.9	1.9	1.7	1.2
All Ages Combined^e					
Donor Eggs	Fresh Embryos		Frozen Embryos		
Number of transfers	25		31		
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births ^{b,c}	60.0		38.7		
Average number of embryos transferred	1.7		1.8		

CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

Current Name: This clinic has closed or reorganized since 2010. Information on current clinic services and profile therefore is not provided here. Contact the NASS Help Desk for current information about this clinic.

^a Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2010 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.

^b When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.

^c A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.

^d Clinic-specific outcome rates for women older than 44 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs are not included because of small numbers.

^e All ages (including ages >44) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.

OHIO REPRODUCTIVE MEDICINE COLUMBUS, OHIO

A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 11–20.

2010 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART ^a			Patient Diagnosis			
IVF	100%	Procedural Factors:	Tubal factor	14%	Other factor	3%
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	Ovulatory dysfunction	4%	Unknown factor	20%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	Diminished ovarian reserve	14%	<i>Multiple Factors:</i>	
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	Endometriosis	8%	Female factors only	8%
		Used PGD	Uterine factor	3%	Female & male factors	5%
		With eSET	Male factor	22%		

2010 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by Grant Schmidt, MD, PhD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman				
	<35	35–37	38–40	41–42	43–44 ^d
Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of cycles	222	100	74	18	9
Percentage of embryos transferred resulting in implantation ^b	36.7	26.7	15.9	9.3	0.0
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies ^b	50.9	42.0	31.1	4 / 18	0 / 9
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births ^{b,c}	42.8	32.0	25.7	3 / 18	0 / 9
(Confidence Interval)	(36.2–49.6)	(23.0–42.1)	(16.2–37.2)		
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births ^{b,c}	45.7	35.2	27.5	3 / 16	0 / 7
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births ^{b,c}	48.5	35.6	29.2	3 / 13	0 / 7
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births ^b	29.1	22.2	24.6	2 / 13	0 / 7
Percentage of cancellations ^b	6.3	9.0	6.8	2 / 18	2 / 9
Average number of embryos transferred	2.1	2.2	2.8	3.3	4.0
Percentage of pregnancies with twins ^b	34.5	31.0	21.7	1 / 4	
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more ^b	4.4	2.4	4.3	0 / 4	
Percentage of live births having multiple infants ^{b,c}	40.0	37.5	3 / 19	1 / 3	
Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of transfers	33	8	7	2	1
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births ^{b,c}	36.4	5 / 8	1 / 7	0 / 2	0 / 1
Average number of embryos transferred	2.0	1.6	1.7	2.5	1.0
All Ages Combined^e					
Donor Eggs	Fresh Embryos		Frozen Embryos		
Number of transfers	33		19		
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births ^{b,c}	54.5		2 / 19		
Average number of embryos transferred	1.8		1.8		

CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

Current Name: Ohio Reproductive Medicine

Donor egg?	Yes	Gestational carriers?	Yes	SART member?	Yes
Donor embryo?	Yes	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	Yes
Single women?	Yes	(See Appendix C for details.)			

^a Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2010 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.

^b When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.

^c A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.

^d Clinic-specific outcome rates for women older than 44 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs are not included because of small numbers.

^e All ages (including ages >44) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.

WRIGHT STATE PHYSICIANS WOMEN'S HEALTH CARE DAYTON, OHIO

A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 11–20.

2010 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART ^a				Patient Diagnosis			
IVF	100%	Procedural Factors:		Tubal factor	12%	Other factor	4%
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	43%	Ovulatory dysfunction	0%	Unknown factor	12%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	0%	Diminished ovarian reserve	0%	<i>Multiple Factors:</i>	
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	0%	Endometriosis	15%	Female factors only	0%
		Used PGD	0%	Uterine factor	0%	Female & male factors	0%
		With eSET	0%	Male factor	58%		

2010 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by Lawrence S. Amesse, MD, PhD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman				
	<35	35–37	38–40	41–42	43–44 ^d
Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of cycles	15	3	2	1	0
Percentage of embryos transferred resulting in implantation ^b	42.9	3 / 5	0 / 1	1 / 5	
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies ^b	5 / 15	2 / 3	0 / 2	1 / 1	
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births ^{b,c}	4 / 15	1 / 3	0 / 2	0 / 1	
(Confidence Interval)					
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births ^{b,c}	4 / 13	1 / 3	0 / 1	0 / 1	
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births ^{b,c}	4 / 11	1 / 3	0 / 1	0 / 1	
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births ^b	2 / 11	0 / 3	0 / 1	0 / 1	
Percentage of cancellations ^b	2 / 15	0 / 3	1 / 2	0 / 1	
Average number of embryos transferred	1.9	1.7	1.0	5.0	
Percentage of pregnancies with twins ^b	4 / 5	1 / 2		0 / 1	
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more ^b	0 / 5	0 / 2		0 / 1	
Percentage of live births having multiple infants ^{b,c}	2 / 4	1 / 1			
Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of transfers	3	2	0	0	0
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births ^{b,c}	0 / 3	0 / 2			
Average number of embryos transferred	2.7	2.0			
All Ages Combined^e					
Donor Eggs	Fresh Embryos		Frozen Embryos		
Number of transfers	0		0		
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births ^{b,c}					
Average number of embryos transferred					

CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

Current Name: Wright State Physicians Women's Health Care

Donor egg?	No	Gestational carriers?	No	SART member?	No
Donor embryo?	No	Cryopreservation?	No	Verified lab accreditation?	Yes
Single women?	Yes			<i>(See Appendix C for details.)</i>	

^a Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2010 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.

^b When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.

^c A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.

^d Clinic-specific outcome rates for women older than 44 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs are not included because of small numbers.

^e All ages (including ages >44) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.

KETTERING REPRODUCTIVE MEDICINE KETTERING, OHIO

A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 11–20.

2010 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART ^a				Patient Diagnosis			
IVF	100%	Procedural Factors:		Tubal factor	10%	Other factor	6%
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	57%	Ovulatory dysfunction	9%	Unknown factor	2%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	0%	Diminished ovarian reserve	17%	<i>Multiple Factors:</i>	
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	0%	Endometriosis	7%	Female factors only	2%
		Used PGD	0%	Uterine factor	0%	Female & male factors	22%
		With eSET	11%	Male factor	27%		

2010 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by Thomas H. Burwinkel, MD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman				
	<35	35–37	38–40	41–42	43–44 ^d
Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of cycles	87	27	15	10	5
Percentage of embryos transferred resulting in implantation ^b	37.8	38.5	23.1	5.0	0 / 11
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies ^b	37.9	40.7	5 / 15	1 / 10	0 / 5
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births ^{b,c}	32.2	33.3	4 / 15	1 / 10	0 / 5
(Confidence Interval)	(22.6–43.1)	(16.5–54.0)			
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births ^{b,c}	35.0	36.0	4 / 15	1 / 10	0 / 4
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births ^{b,c}	40.6	39.1	4 / 14	1 / 8	0 / 4
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births ^b	31.9	34.8	3 / 14	1 / 8	0 / 4
Percentage of cancellations ^b	8.0	7.4	0 / 15	0 / 10	1 / 5
Average number of embryos transferred	1.8	1.7	1.9	2.5	2.8
Percentage of pregnancies with twins ^b	39.4	2 / 11	1 / 5	0 / 1	
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more ^b	3.0	1 / 11	0 / 5	0 / 1	
Percentage of live births having multiple infants ^{b,c}	21.4	1 / 9	1 / 4	0 / 1	
Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of transfers	25	11	4	4	1
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births ^{b,c}	32.0	6 / 11	2 / 4	1 / 4	0 / 1
Average number of embryos transferred	1.6	1.8	2.0	2.3	2.0
All Ages Combined^e					
	Fresh Embryos		Frozen Embryos		
Number of transfers	10		9		
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births ^{b,c}	4 / 10		0 / 9		
Average number of embryos transferred	1.9		1.7		

CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

Current Name: Kettering Reproductive Medicine

Donor egg?	Yes	Gestational carriers?	No	SART member?	Yes
Donor embryo?	No	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	Yes
Single women?	No	(See Appendix C for details.)			

^a Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2010 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.

^b When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.

^c A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.

^d Clinic-specific outcome rates for women older than 44 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs are not included because of small numbers.

^e All ages (including ages >44) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.

FERTILITY CENTER OF NORTHWESTERN OHIO TOLEDO, OHIO

A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 11–20.

2010 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART ^a		Patient Diagnosis				
IVF	100%	Procedural Factors:	Tubal factor	13%	Other factor	4%
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	Ovulatory dysfunction	11%	Unknown factor	8%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	Diminished ovarian reserve	11%	<i>Multiple Factors:</i>	
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	Endometriosis	2%	Female factors only	11%
		Used PGD	Uterine factor	0%	Female & male factors	15%
		With eSET	Male factor	24%		

2010 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by Joseph V. Karnitis, MD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman				
	<35	35–37	38–40	41–42	43–44 ^d
Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of cycles	55	18	11	5	3
Percentage of embryos transferred resulting in implantation ^b	20.4	11.5	2 / 19	0 / 16	1 / 7
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies ^b	32.7	3 / 18	2 / 11	0 / 5	1 / 3
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births ^{b,c}	30.9	2 / 18	1 / 11	0 / 5	0 / 3
(Confidence Interval)	(19.1–44.8)				
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births ^{b,c}	34.7	2 / 16	1 / 8	0 / 5	0 / 3
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births ^{b,c}	36.2	2 / 13	1 / 6	0 / 5	0 / 3
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births ^b	25.5	2 / 13	1 / 6	0 / 5	0 / 3
Percentage of cancellations ^b	10.9	2 / 18	3 / 11	0 / 5	0 / 3
Average number of embryos transferred	2.4	2.0	3.2	3.2	2.3
Percentage of pregnancies with twins ^b	6 / 18	0 / 3	0 / 2		0 / 1
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more ^b	0 / 18	0 / 3	0 / 2		0 / 1
Percentage of live births having multiple infants ^{b,c}	5 / 17	0 / 2	0 / 1		
Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of transfers	19	4	3	2	0
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births ^{b,c}	2 / 19	0 / 4	0 / 3	1 / 2	
Average number of embryos transferred	2.1	2.0	1.3	2.0	
All Ages Combined^e					
Donor Eggs	Fresh Embryos		Frozen Embryos		
Number of transfers	3		3		
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births ^{b,c}	0 / 3		0 / 3		
Average number of embryos transferred	2.0		1.3		

CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

Current Name: Fertility Center of Northwestern Ohio

Donor egg?	Yes	Gestational carriers?	Yes	SART member?	Yes
Donor embryo?	Yes	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	Yes
Single women?	Yes	(See Appendix C for details.)			

^a Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2010 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.

^b When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.

^c A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.

^d Clinic-specific outcome rates for women older than 44 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs are not included because of small numbers.

^e All ages (including ages >44) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.

HENRY G. BENNETT, JR., FERTILITY INSTITUTE OKLAHOMA CITY, OKLAHOMA

A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 11–20.

2010 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART ^a				Patient Diagnosis			
IVF	100%	Procedural Factors:		Tubal factor	11%	Other factor	3%
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	51%	Ovulatory dysfunction	3%	Unknown factor	8%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	0%	Diminished ovarian reserve	5%	<i>Multiple Factors:</i>	
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	0%	Endometriosis	5%	Female factors only	15%
		Used PGD	0%	Uterine factor	0%	Female & male factors	23%
		With eSET	<1%	Male factor	28%		

2010 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by Eli Reshef, MD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman				
	<35	35–37	38–40	41–42	43–44 ^d
Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of cycles	133	37	29	5	1
Percentage of embryos transferred resulting in implantation ^b	42.7	20.5	20.4	1 / 13	0 / 3
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies ^b	57.9	35.1	34.5	1 / 5	0 / 1
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births ^{b,c}	51.9	32.4	24.1	1 / 5	0 / 1
(Confidence Interval)	(43.1–60.6)	(18.0–49.8)	(10.3–43.5)		
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births ^{b,c}	54.8	35.3	28.0	1 / 5	0 / 1
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births ^{b,c}	58.0	36.4	31.8	1 / 5	0 / 1
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births ^b	32.8	30.3	27.3	1 / 5	0 / 1
Percentage of cancellations ^b	5.3	8.1	13.8	0 / 5	0 / 1
Average number of embryos transferred	2.1	2.2	2.5	2.6	3.0
Percentage of pregnancies with twins ^b	39.0	3 / 13	1 / 10	0 / 1	
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more ^b	2.6	0 / 13	0 / 10	0 / 1	
Percentage of live births having multiple infants ^{b,c}	43.5	2 / 12	1 / 7	0 / 1	
Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of transfers	16	7	4	2	0
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births ^{b,c}	1 / 16	1 / 7	1 / 4	0 / 2	
Average number of embryos transferred	2.0	1.9	1.5	2.0	
All Ages Combined^e					
	Fresh Embryos		Frozen Embryos		
Number of transfers	17		10		
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births ^{b,c}	8 / 17		0 / 10		
Average number of embryos transferred	2.2		2.2		

CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

Current Name: Henry G. Bennett, Jr., Fertility Institute

Donor egg?	Yes	Gestational carriers?	No	SART member?	Yes
Donor embryo?	Yes	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	Yes
Single women?	No	(See Appendix C for details.)			

^a Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2010 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.

^b When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.

^c A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.

^d Clinic-specific outcome rates for women older than 44 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs are not included because of small numbers.

^e All ages (including ages >44) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.

OU PHYSICIANS REPRODUCTIVE HEALTH OKLAHOMA CITY, OKLAHOMA

A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 11–20.

2010 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART ^a				Patient Diagnosis			
IVF	100%	Procedural Factors:		Tubal factor	12%	Other factor	8%
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	95%	Ovulatory dysfunction	6%	Unknown factor	14%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	0%	Diminished ovarian reserve	6%	<i>Multiple Factors:</i>	
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	2%	Endometriosis	7%	Female factors only	8%
		Used PGD	0%	Uterine factor	0%	Female & male factors	15%
		With eSET	10%	Male factor	24%		

2010 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by Karl R. Hansen, MD, PhD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman				
	<35	35–37	38–40	41–42	43–44 ^d
Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of cycles	70	15	17	2	2
Percentage of embryos transferred resulting in implantation ^b	49.6	35.7	19.0	0 / 7	0 / 8
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies ^b	60.0	8 / 15	8 / 17	0 / 2	0 / 2
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births ^{b,c}	52.9	8 / 15	6 / 17	0 / 2	0 / 2
(Confidence Interval)	(40.6–64.9)				
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births ^{b,c}	52.9	8 / 15	6 / 16	0 / 2	0 / 2
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births ^{b,c}	55.2	8 / 14	6 / 15	0 / 2	0 / 2
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births ^b	28.4	6 / 14	6 / 15	0 / 2	0 / 2
Percentage of cancellations ^b	0.0	0 / 15	1 / 17	0 / 2	0 / 2
Average number of embryos transferred	1.9	2.0	2.8	3.5	4.0
Percentage of pregnancies with twins ^b	50.0	2 / 8	0 / 8		
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more ^b	0.0	0 / 8	0 / 8		
Percentage of live births having multiple infants ^{b,c}	48.6	2 / 8	0 / 6		
Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of transfers	24	11	5	0	0
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births ^{b,c}	41.7	6 / 11	2 / 5		
Average number of embryos transferred	1.8	2.0	2.0		
All Ages Combined^e					
Donor Eggs	Fresh Embryos		Frozen Embryos		
Number of transfers	5		5		
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births ^{b,c}	4 / 5		4 / 5		
Average number of embryos transferred	2.0		2.0		

CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

Current Name: OU Physicians Reproductive Medicine

Donor egg?	Yes	Gestational carriers?	Yes	SART member?	Yes
Donor embryo?	Yes	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	Yes
Single women?	Yes	(See Appendix C for details.)			

^a Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2010 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.

^b When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.

^c A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.

^d Clinic-specific outcome rates for women older than 44 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs are not included because of small numbers.

^e All ages (including ages >44) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.

TULSA FERTILITY CENTER TULSA, OKLAHOMA

A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 11–20.

2010 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART ^a				Patient Diagnosis			
IVF	100%	Procedural Factors:		Tubal factor	9%	Other factor	6%
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	68%	Ovulatory dysfunction	7%	Unknown factor	12%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	0%	Diminished ovarian reserve	5%	<i>Multiple Factors:</i>	
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	0%	Endometriosis	5%	Female factors only	7%
		Used PGD	0%	Uterine factor	0%	Female & male factors	23%
		With eSET	3%	Male factor	28%		

2010 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by Stanley G. Prough, MD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman				
	<35	35–37	38–40	41–42	43–44 ^d
Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of cycles	127	53	30	5	8
Percentage of embryos transferred resulting in implantation ^b	37.6	24.7	12.2	1 / 9	0 / 16
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies ^b	41.7	32.1	23.3	1 / 5	0 / 8
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births ^{b,c}	40.2	26.4	13.3	1 / 5	0 / 8
(Confidence Interval)	(31.6–49.2)	(15.3–40.3)	(3.8–30.7)		
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births ^{b,c}	42.5	28.6	14.3	1 / 5	0 / 7
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births ^{b,c}	52.0	32.6	16.7	1 / 4	0 / 7
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births ^b	35.7	18.6	8.3	1 / 4	0 / 7
Percentage of cancellations ^b	5.5	7.5	6.7	0 / 5	1 / 8
Average number of embryos transferred	1.8	1.9	2.0	2.3	2.3
Percentage of pregnancies with twins ^b	28.3	6 / 17	2 / 7	0 / 1	
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more ^b	1.9	0 / 17	0 / 7	0 / 1	
Percentage of live births having multiple infants ^{b,c}	31.4	6 / 14	2 / 4	0 / 1	
Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of transfers	35	14	11	3	2
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births ^{b,c}	42.9	5 / 14	3 / 11	0 / 3	0 / 2
Average number of embryos transferred	2.0	1.8	1.7	1.3	2.0
All Ages Combined^e					
	Fresh Embryos		Frozen Embryos		
Number of transfers	12		14		
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births ^{b,c}	7 / 12		4 / 14		
Average number of embryos transferred	1.9		2.1		

CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

Current Name: Tulsa Fertility Center

Donor egg?	Yes	Gestational carriers?	No	SART member?	Yes
Donor embryo?	Yes	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	Yes
Single women?	No	(See Appendix C for details.)			

^a Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2010 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.

^b When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.

^c A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.

^d Clinic-specific outcome rates for women older than 44 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs are not included because of small numbers.

^e All ages (including ages >44) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.

FERTILITY CENTER OF OREGON EUGENE, OREGON

A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 11–20.

2010 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART ^a				Patient Diagnosis			
IVF	100%	Procedural Factors:		Tubal factor	5%	Other factor	0%
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	93%	Ovulatory dysfunction	5%	Unknown factor	7%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	0%	Diminished ovarian reserve	6%	<i>Multiple Factors:</i>	
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	2%	Endometriosis	0%	Female factors only	30%
		Used PGD	0%	Uterine factor	<1%	Female & male factors	40%
		With eSET	0%	Male factor	7%		

2010 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by Douglas J. Austin, MD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman				
	<35	35–37	38–40	41–42	43–44 ^d
Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of cycles	20	5	10	3	2
Percentage of embryos transferred resulting in implantation ^b	37.2	1 / 10	11.1	1 / 8	0 / 7
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies ^b	50.0	1 / 5	2 / 10	1 / 3	0 / 2
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births ^{b,c}	45.0	1 / 5	2 / 10	0 / 3	0 / 2
(Confidence Interval)	(23.1–68.5)				
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births ^{b,c}	45.0	1 / 4	2 / 10	0 / 2	0 / 2
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births ^{b,c}	9 / 19	1 / 4	2 / 10	0 / 2	0 / 2
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births ^b	3 / 19	1 / 4	2 / 10	0 / 2	0 / 2
Percentage of cancellations ^b	0.0	1 / 5	0 / 10	1 / 3	0 / 2
Average number of embryos transferred	2.3	2.5	3.6	4.0	3.5
Percentage of pregnancies with twins ^b	6 / 10	0 / 1	2 / 2	0 / 1	
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more ^b	0 / 10	0 / 1	0 / 2	0 / 1	
Percentage of live births having multiple infants ^{b,c}	6 / 9	0 / 1	0 / 2		
Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of transfers	21	9	6	3	3
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births ^{b,c}	42.9	3 / 9	4 / 6	0 / 3	0 / 3
Average number of embryos transferred	2.4	2.3	3.3	2.0	2.0
All Ages Combined^e					
Donor Eggs	Fresh Embryos		Frozen Embryos		
Number of transfers	7		13		
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births ^{b,c}	3 / 7		4 / 13		
Average number of embryos transferred	2.0		2.7		

CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

Current Name: Fertility Center of Oregon

Donor egg?	Yes	Gestational carriers?	Yes	SART member?	No
Donor embryo?	Yes	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	No
Single women?	Yes	(See Appendix C for details.)			

^a Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2010 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.

^b When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.

^c A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.

^d Clinic-specific outcome rates for women older than 44 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs are not included because of small numbers.

^e All ages (including ages >44) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.

NORTHWEST FERTILITY CENTER PORTLAND, OREGON

A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 11–20.

2010 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART ^a			Patient Diagnosis			
IVF	100%	Procedural Factors:	Tubal factor	24%	Other factor	5%
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	Ovulatory dysfunction	8%	Unknown factor	0%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	Diminished ovarian reserve	9%	<i>Multiple Factors:</i>	
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	Endometriosis	1%	Female factors only	19%
		Used PGD	Uterine factor	0%	Female & male factors	19%
		With eSET	Male factor	14%		

2010 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by Eugene M. Stoelk, MD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman				
	<35	35–37	38–40	41–42	43–44 ^d
Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of cycles	28	14	11	3	2
Percentage of embryos transferred resulting in implantation ^b	40.7	30.3	4 / 17	1 / 7	0 / 2
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies ^b	57.1	7 / 14	2 / 11	1 / 3	0 / 2
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births ^{b,c}	46.4	6 / 14	2 / 11	1 / 3	0 / 2
(Confidence Interval)	(27.5–66.1)				
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births ^{b,c}	48.1	6 / 12	2 / 7	1 / 2	0 / 1
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births ^{b,c}	48.1	6 / 12	2 / 5	1 / 2	0 / 1
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births ^b	29.6	3 / 12	0 / 5	1 / 2	0 / 1
Percentage of cancellations ^b	3.6	2 / 14	4 / 11	1 / 3	1 / 2
Average number of embryos transferred	2.0	2.8	3.4	3.5	2.0
Percentage of pregnancies with twins ^b	6 / 16	3 / 7	2 / 2	0 / 1	
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more ^b	0 / 16	0 / 7	0 / 2	0 / 1	
Percentage of live births having multiple infants ^{b,c}	5 / 13	3 / 6	2 / 2	0 / 1	
Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of transfers	13	3	1	0	0
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births ^{b,c}	3 / 13	0 / 3	0 / 1		
Average number of embryos transferred	2.3	2.0	3.0		
All Ages Combined^e					
Donor Eggs	Fresh Embryos		Frozen Embryos		
Number of transfers	11		11		
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births ^{b,c}	5 / 11		3 / 11		
Average number of embryos transferred	2.2		2.2		

CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

Current Name: Northwest Fertility Center

Donor egg?	Yes	Gestational carriers?	Yes	SART member?	Yes
Donor embryo?	Yes	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	Yes
Single women?	Yes	(See Appendix C for details.)			

^a Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2010 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.

^b When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.

^c A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.

^d Clinic-specific outcome rates for women older than 44 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs are not included because of small numbers.

^e All ages (including ages >44) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.

OREGON REPRODUCTIVE MEDICINE PORTLAND, OREGON

A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 11–20.

2010 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART ^a				Patient Diagnosis			
IVF	100%	Procedural Factors:		Tubal factor	5%	Other factor	7%
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	67%	Ovulatory dysfunction	4%	Unknown factor	17%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	1%	Diminished ovarian reserve	28%	<i>Multiple Factors:</i>	
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	2%	Endometriosis	3%	Female factors only	6%
		Used PGD	3%	Uterine factor	<1%	Female & male factors	12%
		With eSET	5%	Male factor	19%		

2010 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by Robert K. Matteri, MD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman				
	<35	35–37	38–40	41–42	43–44 ^d
Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of cycles	160	84	104	26	11
Percentage of embryos transferred resulting in implantation ^b	53.4	45.5	35.6	10.2	10.0
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies ^b	65.0	53.6	51.0	19.2	3 / 11
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births ^{b,c}	56.9	45.2	38.5	15.4	1 / 11
(Confidence Interval)	(48.8–64.7)	(34.3–56.5)	(29.1–48.5)	(4.4–34.9)	
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births ^{b,c}	60.3	52.1	43.0	20.0	1 / 10
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births ^{b,c}	64.1	56.7	46.0	4 / 19	1 / 9
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births ^b	38.0	38.8	33.3	4 / 19	1 / 9
Percentage of cancellations ^b	5.6	13.1	10.6	23.1	1 / 11
Average number of embryos transferred	2.0	2.0	2.2	2.6	3.3
Percentage of pregnancies with twins ^b	44.2	31.1	24.5	0 / 5	0 / 3
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more ^b	1.0	2.2	5.7	0 / 5	0 / 3
Percentage of live births having multiple infants ^{b,c}	40.7	31.6	27.5	0 / 4	0 / 1
Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of transfers	43	22	27	6	0
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births ^{b,c}	62.8	50.0	40.7	1 / 6	
Average number of embryos transferred	1.8	2.0	1.9	2.3	
All Ages Combined^e					
Donor Eggs	Fresh Embryos		Frozen Embryos		
Number of transfers	111		52		
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births ^{b,c}	73.0		44.2		
Average number of embryos transferred	1.8		1.9		

CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

Current Name: Oregon Reproductive Medicine

Donor egg?	Yes	Gestational carriers?	Yes	SART member?	Yes
Donor embryo?	Yes	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	Yes
Single women?	Yes	(See Appendix C for details.)			

^a Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2010 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.

^b When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.

^c A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.

^d Clinic-specific outcome rates for women older than 44 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs are not included because of small numbers.

^e All ages (including ages >44) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.

**UNIVERSITY FERTILITY CONSULTANTS
OREGON HEALTH & SCIENCE UNIVERSITY
PORTLAND, OREGON**

A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 11–20.

2010 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART ^a				Patient Diagnosis			
IVF	100%	Procedural Factors:		Tubal factor	7%	Other factor	8%
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	84%	Ovulatory dysfunction	4%	Unknown factor	7%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	0%	Diminished ovarian reserve	9%	<i>Multiple Factors:</i>	
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	<1%	Endometriosis	3%	Female factors only	9%
		Used PGD	5%	Uterine factor	0%	Female & male factors	20%
		With eSET	4%	Male factor	34%		

2010 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by Phillip E. Patton, MD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman				
	<35	35–37	38–40	41–42	43–44 ^d
Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of cycles	101	58	46	20	5
Percentage of embryos transferred resulting in implantation ^b	44.3	31.8	21.5	6.1	0 / 16
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies ^b	49.5	46.6	45.7	20.0	0 / 5
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births ^{b,c}	43.6	34.5	28.3	15.0	0 / 5
(Confidence Interval)	(33.7–53.8)	(22.5–48.1)	(16.0–43.5)	(3.2–37.9)	
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births ^{b,c}	47.8	40.8	31.7	3 / 17	0 / 4
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births ^{b,c}	52.4	40.8	32.5	3 / 17	0 / 4
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births ^b	28.6	30.6	22.5	2 / 17	0 / 4
Percentage of cancellations ^b	8.9	15.5	10.9	15.0	1 / 5
Average number of embryos transferred	2.1	2.2	2.7	3.9	4.0
Percentage of pregnancies with twins ^b	48.0	29.6	19.0	1 / 4	
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more ^b	4.0	3.7	4.8	0 / 4	
Percentage of live births having multiple infants ^{b,c}	45.5	25.0	4 / 13	1 / 3	
Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of transfers	55	26	21	11	4
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births ^{b,c}	34.5	26.9	14.3	4 / 11	0 / 4
Average number of embryos transferred	2.4	2.1	2.0	3.0	2.5
All Ages Combined^e					
Donor Eggs	Fresh Embryos		Frozen Embryos		
Number of transfers	23		32		
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births ^{b,c}	69.6		31.3		
Average number of embryos transferred	1.9		2.2		

CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

Current Name: University Fertility Consultants, Oregon Health & Science University

Donor egg?	Yes	Gestational carriers?	Yes	SART member?	Yes
Donor embryo?	Yes	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	Yes
Single women?	Yes	(See Appendix C for details.)			

^a Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2010 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.

^b When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.

^c A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.

^d Clinic-specific outcome rates for women older than 44 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs are not included because of small numbers.

^e All ages (including ages >44) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.

**ABINGTON REPRODUCTIVE MEDICINE, ABINGTON IVF AND GENETICS
TOLL CENTER FOR REPRODUCTIVE SCIENCES
ABINGTON, PENNSYLVANIA**

A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 11–20.

2010 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART ^a				Patient Diagnosis			
IVF	100%	Procedural Factors:		Tubal factor	4%	Other factor	13%
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	75%	Ovulatory dysfunction	7%	Unknown factor	3%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	<1%	Diminished ovarian reserve	9%	<i>Multiple Factors:</i>	
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	2%	Endometriosis	3%	Female factors only	24%
		Used PGD	4%	Uterine factor	1%	Female & male factors	21%
		With eSET	<1%	Male factor	15%		

2010 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by Stephen G. Somkuti, MD, PhD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman				
	<35	35–37	38–40	41–42	43–44 ^d
Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of cycles	139	89	70	23	9
Percentage of embryos transferred resulting in implantation ^b	31.8	24.7	17.6	4.0	0 / 18
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies ^b	44.6	40.4	30.0	17.4	0 / 9
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births ^{b,c}	36.7	33.7	18.6	13.0	0 / 9
(Confidence Interval)	(28.7–45.3)	(24.0–44.5)	(10.3–29.7)	(2.8–33.6)	
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births ^{b,c}	38.3	36.6	20.0	13.6	0 / 9
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births ^{b,c}	43.2	39.0	21.7	13.6	0 / 6
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births ^b	25.4	24.7	11.7	13.6	0 / 6
Percentage of cancellations ^b	4.3	7.9	7.1	4.3	0 / 9
Average number of embryos transferred	2.2	2.4	2.7	3.4	3.0
Percentage of pregnancies with twins ^b	37.1	30.6	23.8	0 / 4	
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more ^b	1.6	2.8	9.5	0 / 4	
Percentage of live births having multiple infants ^{b,c}	41.2	36.7	6 / 13	0 / 3	
Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of transfers	54	13	9	8	0
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births ^{b,c}	24.1	4 / 13	1 / 9	2 / 8	
Average number of embryos transferred	2.1	2.5	2.4	2.9	
All Ages Combined^e					
Donor Eggs	Fresh Embryos		Frozen Embryos		
Number of transfers	27		40		
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births ^{b,c}	25.9		30.0		
Average number of embryos transferred	1.9		2.6		

CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

Current Name: Abington Reproductive Medicine, Abington IVF and Genetics, Toll Center for Reproductive Sciences

Donor egg?	Yes	Gestational carriers?	Yes	SART member?	Yes
Donor embryo?	Yes	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	Yes
Single women?	Yes			(See Appendix C for details.)	

^a Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2010 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.

^b When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.

^c A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.

^d Clinic-specific outcome rates for women older than 44 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs are not included because of small numbers.

^e All ages (including ages >44) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.

INFERTILITY SOLUTIONS, PC ALLENTOWN, PENNSYLVANIA

A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 11–20.

2010 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART ^a			Patient Diagnosis			
IVF	100%	Procedural Factors:	Tubal factor	<1%	Other factor	2%
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	Ovulatory dysfunction	11%	Unknown factor	10%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	Diminished ovarian reserve	7%	<i>Multiple Factors:</i>	
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	Endometriosis	3%	Female factors only	15%
		Used PGD	Uterine factor	0%	Female & male factors	30%
		With eSET	Male factor	22%		

2010 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by Bruce I. Rose, MD, PhD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman				
	<35	35–37	38–40	41–42	43–44 ^d
Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of cycles	60	25	18	3	1
Percentage of embryos transferred resulting in implantation ^b	15.4	6.5	15.2	1 / 6	0 / 3
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies ^b	31.7	24.0	6 / 18	1 / 3	0 / 1
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births ^{b,c}	18.3	16.0	5 / 18	1 / 3	0 / 1
(Confidence Interval)	(9.5–30.4)	(4.5–36.1)			
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births ^{b,c}	19.0	16.0	5 / 18	1 / 3	0 / 1
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births ^{b,c}	20.8	16.7	5 / 18	1 / 3	0 / 1
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births ^b	18.9	16.7	5 / 18	1 / 3	0 / 1
Percentage of cancellations ^b	3.3	0.0	0 / 18	0 / 3	0 / 1
Average number of embryos transferred	2.3	2.6	2.6	2.0	3.0
Percentage of pregnancies with twins ^b	3 / 19	0 / 6	1 / 6	0 / 1	
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more ^b	0 / 19	0 / 6	0 / 6	0 / 1	
Percentage of live births having multiple infants ^{b,c}	1 / 11	0 / 4	0 / 5	0 / 1	
Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of transfers	2	2	0	0	1
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births ^{b,c}	0 / 2	0 / 2			0 / 1
Average number of embryos transferred	3.0	2.0			5.0
All Ages Combined^e					
	Fresh Embryos		Frozen Embryos		
Donor Eggs					
Number of transfers	1		3		
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births ^{b,c}	1 / 1		1 / 3		
Average number of embryos transferred	3.0		3.0		

CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

Current Name: Infertility Solutions, PC

Donor egg?	Yes	Gestational carriers?	Yes	SART member?	Yes
Donor embryo?	Yes	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	Yes
Single women?	Yes			(See Appendix C for details.)	

^a Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2010 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.

^b When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.

^c A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.

^d Clinic-specific outcome rates for women older than 44 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs are not included because of small numbers.

^e All ages (including ages >44) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.

REPRODUCTIVE MEDICINE ASSOCIATES OF PENNSYLVANIA ALLENTOWN, PENNSYLVANIA

A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 11–20.

2010 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART ^a				Patient Diagnosis			
IVF	100%	Procedural Factors:		Tubal factor	4%	Other factor	7%
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	83%	Ovulatory dysfunction	6%	Unknown factor	0%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	0%	Diminished ovarian reserve	3%	<i>Multiple Factors:</i>	
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	0%	Endometriosis	0%	Female factors only	11%
		Used PGD	1%	Uterine factor	0%	Female & male factors	49%
		With eSET	5%	Male factor	21%		

2010 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by Wendy J. Schillings, MD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman				
	<35	35–37	38–40	41–42	43–44 ^d
Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of cycles	44	21	16	4	1
Percentage of embryos transferred resulting in implantation ^b	48.1	52.6	27.6	0 / 1	
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies ^b	59.1	66.7	5 / 16	0 / 4	0 / 1
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births ^{b,c}	52.3	57.1	5 / 16	0 / 4	0 / 1
(Confidence Interval)	(36.7–67.5)	(34.0–78.2)			
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births ^{b,c}	52.3	12 / 19	5 / 14	0 / 2	
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births ^{b,c}	54.8	12 / 19	5 / 13	0 / 1	
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births ^b	21.4	6 / 19	2 / 13	0 / 1	
Percentage of cancellations ^b	0.0	9.5	2 / 16	2 / 4	1 / 1
Average number of embryos transferred	1.9	2.0	2.2	1.0	
Percentage of pregnancies with twins ^b	61.5	6 / 14	3 / 5		
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more ^b	0.0	0 / 14	0 / 5		
Percentage of live births having multiple infants ^{b,c}	60.9	6 / 12	3 / 5		
Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of transfers	6	2	3	0	0
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births ^{b,c}	3 / 6	1 / 2	2 / 3		
Average number of embryos transferred	1.8	2.5	1.3		
All Ages Combined^e					
Donor Eggs	Fresh Embryos		Frozen Embryos		
Number of transfers	3		4		
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births ^{b,c}	2 / 3		2 / 4		
Average number of embryos transferred	2.0		2.0		

CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

Current Name: Reproductive Medicine Associates of Pennsylvania

Donor egg?	Yes	Gestational carriers?	Yes	SART member?	Yes
Donor embryo?	Yes	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	Yes
Single women?	Yes	(See Appendix C for details.)			

^a Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2010 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.

^b When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.

^c A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.

^d Clinic-specific outcome rates for women older than 44 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs are not included because of small numbers.

^e All ages (including ages >44) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.

FAMILY FERTILITY CENTER BETHLEHEM, PENNSYLVANIA

A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 11–20.

2010 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART ^a				Patient Diagnosis			
IVF	100%	Procedural Factors:		Tubal factor	4%	Other factor	3%
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	83%	Ovulatory dysfunction	5%	Unknown factor	2%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	0%	Diminished ovarian reserve	19%	<i>Multiple Factors:</i>	
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	0%	Endometriosis	2%	Female factors only	7%
		Used PGD	0%	Uterine factor	0%	Female & male factors	35%
		With eSET	5%	Male factor	25%		

2010 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by H. Christina Lee, MD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman				
	<35	35–37	38–40	41–42	43–44 ^d
Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of cycles	56	18	15	4	0
Percentage of embryos transferred resulting in implantation ^b	28.3	35.5	14.3	0 / 12	
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies ^b	41.1	8 / 18	3 / 15	0 / 4	
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births ^{b,c}	41.1	7 / 18	3 / 15	0 / 4	
(Confidence Interval)	(28.1–55.0)				
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births ^{b,c}	41.8	7 / 18	3 / 14	0 / 4	
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births ^{b,c}	43.4	7 / 16	3 / 14	0 / 4	
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births ^b	30.2	4 / 16	2 / 14	0 / 4	
Percentage of cancellations ^b	1.8	0 / 18	1 / 15	0 / 4	
Average number of embryos transferred	2.0	1.9	2.0	3.0	
Percentage of pregnancies with twins ^b	30.4	3 / 8	1 / 3		
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more ^b	0.0	0 / 8	0 / 3		
Percentage of live births having multiple infants ^{b,c}	30.4	3 / 7	1 / 3		
Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of transfers	6	0	0	0	0
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births ^{b,c}	5 / 6				
Average number of embryos transferred	2.0				
All Ages Combined^e					
Donor Eggs	Fresh Embryos		Frozen Embryos		
Number of transfers	5		1		
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births ^{b,c}	5 / 5		1 / 1		
Average number of embryos transferred	1.4		2.0		

CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

Current Name: Family Fertility Center

Donor egg?	Yes	Gestational carriers?	Yes	SART member?	Yes
Donor embryo?	No	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	Yes
Single women?	Yes	(See Appendix C for details.)			

^a Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2010 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.

^b When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.

^c A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.

^d Clinic-specific outcome rates for women older than 44 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs are not included because of small numbers.

^e All ages (including ages >44) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.

MAIN LINE FERTILITY AND REPRODUCTIVE MEDICINE BRYN MAWR, PENNSYLVANIA

A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 11–20.

2010 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART ^a				Patient Diagnosis			
IVF	>99%	Procedural Factors:		Tubal factor	9%	Other factor	3%
GIFT	<1%	With ICSI	39%	Ovulatory dysfunction	11%	Unknown factor	17%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	1%	Diminished ovarian reserve	15%	<i>Multiple Factors:</i>	
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	<1%	Endometriosis	2%	Female factors only	15%
		Used PGD	7%	Uterine factor	<1%	Female & male factors	13%
		With eSET	5%	Male factor	14%		

2010 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by Michael J. Glassner, MD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman				
	<35	35–37	38–40	41–42	43–44 ^d
Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of cycles	230	109	119	31	18
Percentage of embryos transferred resulting in implantation ^b	39.6	22.9	11.0	17.9	0.0
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies ^b	54.8	42.2	23.5	16.1	0 / 18
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births ^{b,c}	46.5	29.4	16.8	12.9	0 / 18
(Confidence Interval)	(39.9–53.2)	(21.0–38.8)	(10.6–24.8)	(3.6–29.8)	
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births ^{b,c}	47.8	30.5	17.1	13.8	0 / 17
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births ^{b,c}	52.5	33.3	19.8	4 / 19	0 / 11
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births ^b	30.9	20.8	14.9	3 / 19	0 / 11
Percentage of cancellations ^b	2.6	3.7	1.7	6.5	1 / 18
Average number of embryos transferred	2.2	2.6	3.0	2.9	2.6
Percentage of pregnancies with twins ^b	36.5	28.3	10.7	0 / 5	
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more ^b	4.0	4.3	7.1	2 / 5	
Percentage of live births having multiple infants ^{b,c}	41.1	37.5	25.0	1 / 4	
Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of transfers	69	34	24	8	5
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births ^{b,c}	42.0	44.1	20.8	4 / 8	0 / 5
Average number of embryos transferred	2.2	2.1	2.4	3.0	1.8
All Ages Combined^e					
Donor Eggs	Fresh Embryos		Frozen Embryos		
Number of transfers	35		50		
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births ^{b,c}	40.0		32.0		
Average number of embryos transferred	2.1		2.3		

CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

Current Name: Main Line Fertility and Reproductive Medicine

Donor egg?	Yes	Gestational carriers?	Yes	SART member?	Yes
Donor embryo?	Yes	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	Yes
Single women?	Yes	(See Appendix C for details.)			

^a Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2010 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.

^b When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.

^c A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.

^d Clinic-specific outcome rates for women older than 44 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs are not included because of small numbers.

^e All ages (including ages >44) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.

GEISINGER MEDICAL CENTER FERTILITY PROGRAM DANVILLE, PENNSYLVANIA

A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 11–20.

2010 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART ^a				Patient Diagnosis			
IVF	100%	Procedural Factors:		Tubal factor	9%	Other factor	11%
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	79%	Ovulatory dysfunction	6%	Unknown factor	11%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	0%	Diminished ovarian reserve	20%	<i>Multiple Factors:</i>	
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	0%	Endometriosis	3%	Female factors only	7%
		Used PGD	1%	Uterine factor	4%	Female & male factors	12%
		With eSET	2%	Male factor	16%		

2010 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by Jennifer Gell, MD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman				
	<35	35–37	38–40	41–42	43–44 ^d
Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of cycles	44	15	9	6	2
Percentage of embryos transferred resulting in implantation ^b	28.9	37.0	7 / 15	1 / 15	0 / 4
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies ^b	38.6	6 / 15	4 / 9	1 / 6	0 / 2
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births ^{b,c}	34.1	6 / 15	4 / 9	1 / 6	0 / 2
(Confidence Interval)	(20.5–49.9)				
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births ^{b,c}	39.5	6 / 12	4 / 7	1 / 5	0 / 2
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births ^{b,c}	42.9	6 / 12	4 / 6	1 / 5	0 / 2
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births ^b	31.4	3 / 12	2 / 6	1 / 5	0 / 2
Percentage of cancellations ^b	13.6	3 / 15	2 / 9	1 / 6	0 / 2
Average number of embryos transferred	2.2	2.3	2.5	3.0	2.0
Percentage of pregnancies with twins ^b	5 / 17	4 / 6	3 / 4	0 / 1	
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more ^b	0 / 17	0 / 6	0 / 4	0 / 1	
Percentage of live births having multiple infants ^{b,c}	4 / 15	3 / 6	2 / 4	0 / 1	
Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of transfers	18	3	2	0	2
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births ^{b,c}	7 / 18	1 / 3	0 / 2		0 / 2
Average number of embryos transferred	2.2	2.0	1.0		2.0
All Ages Combined^e					
Donor Eggs	Fresh Embryos		Frozen Embryos		
Number of transfers	14		5		
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births ^{b,c}	9 / 14		1 / 5		
Average number of embryos transferred	2.1		3.0		

CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

Current Name: Geisinger Medical Center Fertility Program

Donor egg?	Yes	Gestational carriers?	Yes	SART member?	Yes
Donor embryo?	Yes	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	Yes
Single women?	Yes	(See Appendix C for details.)			

^a Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2010 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.

^b When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.

^c A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.

^d Clinic-specific outcome rates for women older than 44 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs are not included because of small numbers.

^e All ages (including ages >44) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.

ADVANCED CENTER FOR INFERTILITY AND REPRODUCTIVE MEDICINE, RPC HARRISBURG, PENNSYLVANIA

A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 11–20.

2010 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART ^a				Patient Diagnosis			
IVF	100%	Procedural Factors:		Tubal factor	0%	Other factor	39%
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	100%	Ovulatory dysfunction	0%	Unknown factor	0%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	0%	Diminished ovarian reserve	0%	<i>Multiple Factors:</i>	
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	0%	Endometriosis	32%	Female factors only	29%
		Used PGD	10%	Uterine factor	0%	Female & male factors	0%
		With eSET	6%	Male factor	0%		

2010 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by Eric P. Fiedler, MD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman				
	<35	35–37	38–40	41–42	43–44 ^d
Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of cycles	10	3	7	0	0
Percentage of embryos transferred resulting in implantation ^b	35.0	2 / 4	4 / 13		
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies ^b	6 / 10	2 / 3	4 / 7		
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births ^{b,c}	4 / 10	1 / 3	1 / 7		
(Confidence Interval)					
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births ^{b,c}	4 / 10	1 / 3	1 / 7		
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births ^{b,c}	4 / 10	1 / 2	1 / 6		
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births ^b	3 / 10	1 / 2	1 / 6		
Percentage of cancellations ^b	0 / 10	0 / 3	0 / 7		
Average number of embryos transferred	2.0	2.0	2.2		
Percentage of pregnancies with twins ^b	1 / 6	0 / 2	0 / 4		
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more ^b	0 / 6	0 / 2	0 / 4		
Percentage of live births having multiple infants ^{b,c}	1 / 4	0 / 1	0 / 1		
Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of transfers	6	5	0	0	0
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births ^{b,c}	3 / 6	2 / 5			
Average number of embryos transferred	2.0	2.2			
All Ages Combined^e					
Donor Eggs	Fresh Embryos		Frozen Embryos		
Number of transfers	5		5		
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births ^{b,c}	3 / 5		1 / 5		
Average number of embryos transferred	2.0		1.8		

CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

Current Name: Advanced Center for Infertility and Reproductive Medicine, RPC

Donor egg?	Yes	Gestational carriers?	Yes	SART member?	No
Donor embryo?	Yes	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	No
Single women?	Yes			(See Appendix C for details.)	

^a Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2010 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.

^b When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.

^c A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.

^d Clinic-specific outcome rates for women older than 44 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs are not included because of small numbers.

^e All ages (including ages >44) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.

PENN STATE MILTON S. HERSHEY MEDICAL CENTER HERSHEY, PENNSYLVANIA

A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 11–20.

2010 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART ^a				Patient Diagnosis			
IVF	100%	Procedural Factors:		Tubal factor	<1%	Other factor	<1%
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	68%	Ovulatory dysfunction	16%	Unknown factor	26%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	0%	Diminished ovarian reserve	<1%	<i>Multiple Factors:</i>	
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	0%	Endometriosis	8%	Female factors only	6%
		Used PGD	0%	Uterine factor	4%	Female & male factors	8%
		With eSET	0%	Male factor	30%		

2010 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by William C. Dodson, MD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman				
	<35	35–37	38–40	41–42	43–44 ^d
Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of cycles	36	16	20	0	0
Percentage of embryos transferred resulting in implantation ^b	30.8	42.4	13.0		
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies ^b	33.3	10 / 16	30.0		
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births ^{b,c}	30.6	9 / 16	25.0		
(Confidence Interval)	(16.3–48.1)		(8.7–49.1)		
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births ^{b,c}	35.5	9 / 15	5 / 19		
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births ^{b,c}	45.8	9 / 15	5 / 18		
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births ^b	29.2	5 / 15	4 / 18		
Percentage of cancellations ^b	13.9	1 / 16	5.0		
Average number of embryos transferred	2.2	2.2	2.6		
Percentage of pregnancies with twins ^b	4 / 12	4 / 10	1 / 6		
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more ^b	0 / 12	0 / 10	0 / 6		
Percentage of live births having multiple infants ^{b,c}	4 / 11	4 / 9	1 / 5		
Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of transfers	10	3	4	0	0
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births ^{b,c}	4 / 10	2 / 3	1 / 4		
Average number of embryos transferred	2.1	2.7	2.8		
All Ages Combined^e					
Donor Eggs	Fresh Embryos		Frozen Embryos		
Number of transfers	1		6		
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births ^{b,c}	1 / 1		0 / 6		
Average number of embryos transferred	2.0		2.2		

CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

Current Name: Penn State Milton S. Hershey Medical Center

Donor egg?	Yes	Gestational carriers?	No	SART member?	Yes
Donor embryo?	Yes	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	Yes
Single women?	Yes	(See Appendix C for details.)			

^a Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2010 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.

^b When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.

^c A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.

^d Clinic-specific outcome rates for women older than 44 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs are not included because of small numbers.

^e All ages (including ages >44) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.

REPRODUCTIVE MEDICINE ASSOCIATES OF PHILADELPHIA KING OF PRUSSIA, PENNSYLVANIA

A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 11–20.

2010 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART ^a				Patient Diagnosis			
IVF	100%	Procedural Factors:		Tubal factor	9%	Other factor	2%
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	63%	Ovulatory dysfunction	10%	Unknown factor	8%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	0%	Diminished ovarian reserve	24%	<i>Multiple Factors:</i>	
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	<1%	Endometriosis	3%	Female factors only	17%
		Used PGD	2%	Uterine factor	0%	Female & male factors	16%
		With eSET	2%	Male factor	13%		

2010 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by Martin F. Freedman, MD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman				
	<35	35–37	38–40	41–42	43–44 ^d
Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of cycles	119	64	70	36	11
Percentage of embryos transferred resulting in implantation ^b	45.6	33.9	15.4	4.8	0 / 19
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies ^b	63.0	46.9	30.0	19.4	0 / 11
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births ^{b,c}	52.9	35.9	24.3	5.6	0 / 11
(Confidence Interval)	(43.6–62.2)	(24.3–48.9)	(14.8–36.0)	(0.7–18.7)	
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births ^{b,c}	57.3	39.7	27.0	6.9	0 / 7
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births ^{b,c}	59.4	41.1	30.9	7.4	0 / 7
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births ^b	39.6	30.4	23.6	7.4	0 / 7
Percentage of cancellations ^b	7.6	9.4	10.0	19.4	4 / 11
Average number of embryos transferred	1.9	2.1	2.7	3.1	2.7
Percentage of pregnancies with twins ^b	29.3	33.3	19.0	0 / 7	
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more ^b	1.3	3.3	0.0	0 / 7	
Percentage of live births having multiple infants ^{b,c}	33.3	26.1	4 / 17	0 / 2	
Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of transfers	25	13	15	5	3
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births ^{b,c}	44.0	3 / 13	5 / 15	3 / 5	0 / 3
Average number of embryos transferred	2.0	1.7	2.0	2.6	1.7
All Ages Combined^e					
	Fresh Embryos		Frozen Embryos		
Donor Eggs					
Number of transfers	17		8		
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births ^{b,c}	6 / 17		5 / 8		
Average number of embryos transferred	1.9		1.9		

CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

Current Name: This clinic has closed or reorganized since 2010. Information on current clinic services and profile therefore is not provided here. Contact the NASS Help Desk for current information about this clinic.

^a Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2010 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.

^b When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.

^c A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.

^d Clinic-specific outcome rates for women older than 44 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs are not included because of small numbers.

^e All ages (including ages >44) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.

FERTILITY AND GYNECOLOGY ASSOCIATES PHILADELPHIA, PENNSYLVANIA

A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 11–20.

2010 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART ^a				Patient Diagnosis			
IVF	100%	Procedural Factors:		Tubal factor	9%	Other factor	7%
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	41%	Ovulatory dysfunction	4%	Unknown factor	13%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	0%	Diminished ovarian reserve	11%	<i>Multiple Factors:</i>	
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	0%	Endometriosis	0%	Female factors only	18%
		Used PGD	3%	Uterine factor	2%	Female & male factors	16%
		With eSET	4%	Male factor	21%		

2010 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by Leonore C. Huppert, MD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman				
	<35	35–37	38–40	41–42	43–44 ^d
Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of cycles	12	5	8	3	1
Percentage of embryos transferred resulting in implantation ^b	42.3	3 / 14	36.4	1 / 6	0 / 3
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies ^b	9 / 12	2 / 5	5 / 8	2 / 3	0 / 1
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births ^{b,c}	8 / 12	2 / 5	5 / 8	1 / 3	0 / 1
(Confidence Interval)					
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births ^{b,c}	8 / 12	2 / 5	5 / 7	1 / 2	0 / 1
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births ^{b,c}	8 / 12	2 / 5	5 / 7	1 / 2	0 / 1
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births ^b	7 / 12	1 / 5	4 / 7	1 / 2	0 / 1
Percentage of cancellations ^b	0 / 12	0 / 5	1 / 8	1 / 3	0 / 1
Average number of embryos transferred	2.2	2.8	3.1	3.0	3.0
Percentage of pregnancies with twins ^b	2 / 9	1 / 2	3 / 5	0 / 2	
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more ^b	0 / 9	0 / 2	0 / 5	0 / 2	
Percentage of live births having multiple infants ^{b,c}	1 / 8	1 / 2	1 / 5	0 / 1	
Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of transfers	6	8	4	2	0
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births ^{b,c}	3 / 6	1 / 8	0 / 4	0 / 2	
Average number of embryos transferred	1.8	2.6	2.0	1.5	
All Ages Combined^e					
Donor Eggs	Fresh Embryos		Frozen Embryos		
Number of transfers	1		3		
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births ^{b,c}	0 / 1		0 / 3		
Average number of embryos transferred	2.0		2.0		

CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

Current Name: Fertility and Gynecology Associates

Donor egg?	Yes	Gestational carriers?	Yes	SART member?	Yes
Donor embryo?	Yes	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	Yes
Single women?	Yes			(See Appendix C for details.)	

^a Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2010 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.

^b When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.

^c A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.

^d Clinic-specific outcome rates for women older than 44 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs are not included because of small numbers.

^e All ages (including ages >44) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.

JEFFERSON IVF PHILADELPHIA, PENNSYLVANIA

A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 11–20.

2010 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART ^a				Patient Diagnosis			
IVF	100%	Procedural Factors:		Tubal factor	19%	Other factor	4%
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	23%	Ovulatory dysfunction	22%	Unknown factor	0%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	0%	Diminished ovarian reserve	4%	<i>Multiple Factors:</i>	
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	0%	Endometriosis	0%	Female factors only	0%
		Used PGD	0%	Uterine factor	0%	Female & male factors	30%
		With eSET	0%	Male factor	22%		

2010 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by Gregory T. Fossum, MD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman				
	<35	35–37	38–40	41–42	43–44 ^d
Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of cycles	10	4	4	3	1
Percentage of embryos transferred resulting in implantation ^b	2 / 17	0 / 5	0 / 2	0 / 3	
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies ^b	1 / 10	0 / 4	0 / 4	0 / 3	0 / 1
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births ^{b,c}	1 / 10	0 / 4	0 / 4	0 / 3	0 / 1
(Confidence Interval)					
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births ^{b,c}	1 / 9	0 / 2	0 / 1	0 / 2	0 / 1
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births ^{b,c}	1 / 8	0 / 2	0 / 1	0 / 1	
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births ^b	1 / 8	0 / 2	0 / 1	0 / 1	
Percentage of cancellations ^b	1 / 10	2 / 4	3 / 4	1 / 3	0 / 1
Average number of embryos transferred	2.1	2.5	2.0	3.0	
Percentage of pregnancies with twins ^b	1 / 1				
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more ^b	0 / 1				
Percentage of live births having multiple infants ^{b,c}	0 / 1				
Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of transfers	1	1	2	0	0
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births ^{b,c}	0 / 1	0 / 1	0 / 2		
Average number of embryos transferred	2.0	2.0	2.0		
All Ages Combined^e					
Donor Eggs	Fresh Embryos		Frozen Embryos		
Number of transfers	1		0		
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births ^{b,c}	0 / 1				
Average number of embryos transferred	2.0				

CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

Current Name: Jefferson IVF

Donor egg?	Yes	Gestational carriers?	No	SART member?	No
Donor embryo?	Yes	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	Yes
Single women?	Yes	(See Appendix C for details.)			

^a Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2010 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.

^b When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.

^c A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.

^d Clinic-specific outcome rates for women older than 44 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs are not included because of small numbers.

^e All ages (including ages >44) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.

**UNIVERSITY OF PENNSYLVANIA
PENN FERTILITY CARE
PHILADELPHIA, PENNSYLVANIA**

A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 11–20.

2010 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART ^a				Patient Diagnosis			
IVF	100%	Procedural Factors:		Tubal factor	7%	Other factor	10%
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	42%	Ovulatory dysfunction	5%	Unknown factor	15%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	0%	Diminished ovarian reserve	8%	<i>Multiple Factors:</i>	
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	1%	Endometriosis	5%	Female factors only	23%
		Used PGD	<1%	Uterine factor	<1%	Female & male factors	14%
		With eSET	3%	Male factor	13%		

2010 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by Anuja Dokras, MD, PhD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman				
	<35	35–37	38–40	41–42	43–44 ^d
Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of cycles	136	105	82	42	12
Percentage of embryos transferred resulting in implantation ^b	32.0	22.7	13.4	7.1	0 / 12
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies ^b	39.7	28.6	23.2	16.7	0 / 12
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births ^{b,c}	33.1	25.7	15.9	11.9	0 / 12
(Confidence Interval)	(25.3–41.7)	(17.7–35.2)	(8.7–25.6)	(4.0–25.6)	
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births ^{b,c}	37.8	32.9	18.3	17.2	0 / 8
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births ^{b,c}	41.7	38.0	24.1	20.0	0 / 6
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births ^b	27.8	31.0	22.2	20.0	0 / 6
Percentage of cancellations ^b	12.5	21.9	13.4	31.0	4 / 12
Average number of embryos transferred	1.9	2.1	2.4	2.8	2.0
Percentage of pregnancies with twins ^b	27.8	16.7	1 / 19	0 / 7	
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more ^b	0.0	0.0	0 / 19	0 / 7	
Percentage of live births having multiple infants ^{b,c}	33.3	18.5	1 / 13	0 / 5	
Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of transfers	19	28	7	8	0
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births ^{b,c}	8 / 19	14.3	1 / 7	1 / 8	
Average number of embryos transferred	1.8	2.0	2.3	2.4	
All Ages Combined^e					
Donor Eggs	Fresh Embryos		Frozen Embryos		
Number of transfers	28		30		
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births ^{b,c}	46.4		30.0		
Average number of embryos transferred	1.9		1.9		

CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

Current Name: University of Pennsylvania, Penn Fertility Care

Donor egg?	Yes	Gestational carriers?	Yes	SART member?	Yes
Donor embryo?	Yes	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	Yes
Single women?	Yes	(See Appendix C for details.)			

^a Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2010 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.

^b When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.

^c A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.

^d Clinic-specific outcome rates for women older than 44 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs are not included because of small numbers.

^e All ages (including ages >44) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.

JONES INSTITUTE AT WEST PENN ALLEGHENY HEALTH SYSTEM PITTSBURGH, PENNSYLVANIA

A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 11–20.

2010 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART ^a			Patient Diagnosis			
IVF	100%	Procedural Factors:	Tubal factor	10%	Other factor	6%
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	Ovulatory dysfunction	4%	Unknown factor	8%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	Diminished ovarian reserve	6%	<i>Multiple Factors:</i>	
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	Endometriosis	5%	Female factors only	13%
		Used PGD	Uterine factor	0%	Female & male factors	17%
		With eSET	Male factor	31%		

2010 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by Scott W. Kauma, MD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman				
	<35	35–37	38–40	41–42	43–44 ^d
Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of cycles	55	18	8	5	0
Percentage of embryos transferred resulting in implantation ^b	36.1	20.6	2 / 15	0 / 10	
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies ^b	47.3	6 / 18	2 / 8	0 / 5	
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births ^{b,c}	36.4	6 / 18	2 / 8	0 / 5	
(Confidence Interval)	(23.8–50.4)				
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births ^{b,c}	41.7	6 / 15	2 / 6	0 / 3	
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births ^{b,c}	44.4	6 / 14	2 / 5	0 / 3	
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births ^b	28.9	5 / 14	2 / 5	0 / 3	
Percentage of cancellations ^b	12.7	3 / 18	2 / 8	2 / 5	
Average number of embryos transferred	2.2	2.4	3.0	3.3	
Percentage of pregnancies with twins ^b	30.8	1 / 6	0 / 2		
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more ^b	3.8	0 / 6	0 / 2		
Percentage of live births having multiple infants ^{b,c}	35.0	1 / 6	0 / 2		
Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of transfers	16	6	5	1	0
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births ^{b,c}	8 / 16	2 / 6	1 / 5	0 / 1	
Average number of embryos transferred	2.3	2.3	2.0	2.0	
All Ages Combined^e					
Donor Eggs	Fresh Embryos		Frozen Embryos		
Number of transfers	11		3		
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births ^{b,c}	6 / 11		0 / 3		
Average number of embryos transferred	2.1		2.3		

CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

Current Name: Jones Institute at West Penn Allegheny Health System

Donor egg?	Yes	Gestational carriers?	Yes	SART member?	Yes
Donor embryo?	No	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	Yes
Single women?	Yes	(See Appendix C for details.)			

^a Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2010 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.

^b When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.

^c A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.

^d Clinic-specific outcome rates for women older than 44 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs are not included because of small numbers.

^e All ages (including ages >44) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.

REPRODUCTIVE HEALTH SPECIALISTS, INC. PITTSBURGH, PENNSYLVANIA

A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 11–20.

2010 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART ^a				Patient Diagnosis			
IVF	100%	Procedural Factors:		Tubal factor	5%	Other factor	6%
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	65%	Ovulatory dysfunction	8%	Unknown factor	28%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	<1%	Diminished ovarian reserve	6%	<i>Multiple Factors:</i>	
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	<1%	Endometriosis	7%	Female factors only	6%
		Used PGD	2%	Uterine factor	0%	Female & male factors	13%
		With eSET	11%	Male factor	23%		

2010 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by Judith L. Albert, MD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman				
	<35	35–37	38–40	41–42	43–44 ^d
Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of cycles	102	58	64	8	4
Percentage of embryos transferred resulting in implantation ^b	40.4	30.6	13.9	0 / 9	
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies ^b	53.9	43.1	25.0	1 / 8	0 / 4
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births ^{b,c}	47.1	39.7	20.3	0 / 8	0 / 4
(Confidence Interval)	(37.1–57.2)	(27.0–53.4)	(11.3–32.2)		
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births ^{b,c}	48.0	43.4	23.2	0 / 4	0 / 2
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births ^{b,c}	51.1	46.9	25.0	0 / 3	
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births ^b	38.3	34.7	25.0	0 / 3	
Percentage of cancellations ^b	2.0	8.6	12.5	4 / 8	2 / 4
Average number of embryos transferred	1.7	2.0	1.9	3.0	
Percentage of pregnancies with twins ^b	21.8	24.0	0 / 16	0 / 1	
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more ^b	1.8	0.0	0 / 16	0 / 1	
Percentage of live births having multiple infants ^{b,c}	25.0	26.1	0 / 13		
Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of transfers	30	18	10	1	0
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births ^{b,c}	23.3	5 / 18	0 / 10	0 / 1	
Average number of embryos transferred	1.7	1.7	1.7	1.0	
All Ages Combined^e					
Donor Eggs	Fresh Embryos		Frozen Embryos		
Number of transfers	13		7		
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births ^{b,c}	4 / 13		2 / 7		
Average number of embryos transferred	1.7		1.0		

CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

Current Name: Reproductive Health Specialists, Inc.

Donor egg?	Yes	Gestational carriers?	Yes	SART member?	Yes
Donor embryo?	No	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	Yes
Single women?	Yes			(See Appendix C for details.)	

^a Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2010 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.

^b When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.

^c A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.

^d Clinic-specific outcome rates for women older than 44 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs are not included because of small numbers.

^e All ages (including ages >44) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.

**UNIVERSITY OF PITTSBURGH PHYSICIANS
CENTER FOR FERTILITY AND REPRODUCTIVE ENDOCRINOLOGY
PITTSBURGH, PENNSYLVANIA**

A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 11–20.

2010 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART ^a				Patient Diagnosis			
IVF	100%	Procedural Factors:		Tubal factor	4%	Other factor	11%
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	58%	Ovulatory dysfunction	3%	Unknown factor	5%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	0%	Diminished ovarian reserve	24%	<i>Multiple Factors:</i>	
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	<1%	Endometriosis	2%	Female factors only	13%
		Used PGD	3%	Uterine factor	<1%	Female & male factors	21%
		With eSET	2%	Male factor	18%		

2010 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by Anthony N. Wakim, MD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman				
	<35	35–37	38–40	41–42	43–44 ^d
Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of cycles	158	55	51	29	8
Percentage of embryos transferred resulting in implantation ^b	23.9	15.4	16.3	7.4	0 / 17
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies ^b	33.5	30.9	21.6	20.7	0 / 8
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births ^{b,c}	26.6	23.6	13.7	6.9	0 / 8
(Confidence Interval)	(19.9–34.2)	(13.2–37.0)	(5.7–26.3)	(0.8–22.8)	
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births ^{b,c}	28.0	26.5	15.2	9.1	0 / 7
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births ^{b,c}	32.6	29.5	21.9	10.0	0 / 7
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births ^b	23.3	25.0	21.9	10.0	0 / 7
Percentage of cancellations ^b	5.1	10.9	9.8	24.1	1 / 8
Average number of embryos transferred	2.1	2.8	2.5	2.7	2.4
Percentage of pregnancies with twins ^b	24.5	4 / 17	2 / 11	0 / 6	
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more ^b	1.9	0 / 17	1 / 11	0 / 6	
Percentage of live births having multiple infants ^{b,c}	28.6	2 / 13	0 / 7	0 / 2	
Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of transfers	113	40	39	9	4
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births ^{b,c}	30.1	22.5	28.2	0 / 9	0 / 4
Average number of embryos transferred	2.3	2.2	2.4	2.4	1.8
All Ages Combined^e					
Donor Eggs	Fresh Embryos		Frozen Embryos		
Number of transfers	36		41		
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births ^{b,c}	52.8		43.9		
Average number of embryos transferred	1.8		2.0		

CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

Current Name: University of Pittsburgh Physicians, Center for Fertility and Reproductive Endocrinology

Donor egg?	Yes	Gestational carriers?	Yes	SART member?	Yes
Donor embryo?	Yes	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	Yes
Single women?	Yes	(See Appendix C for details.)			

^a Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2010 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.

^b When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.

^c A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.

^d Clinic-specific outcome rates for women older than 44 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs are not included because of small numbers.

^e All ages (including ages >44) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.

REPRODUCTIVE ENDOCRINOLOGY AND FERTILITY CENTER UPLAND, PENNSYLVANIA

A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 11–20.

2010 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART ^a				Patient Diagnosis			
IVF	100%	Procedural Factors:		Tubal factor	10%	Other factor	17%
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	64%	Ovulatory dysfunction	12%	Unknown factor	<1%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	0%	Diminished ovarian reserve	<1%	<i>Multiple Factors:</i>	
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	0%	Endometriosis	3%	Female factors only	24%
		Used PGD	1%	Uterine factor	4%	Female & male factors	15%
		With eSET	0%	Male factor	14%		

2010 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by Albert El-Roeiy, MD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman				
	<35	35–37	38–40	41–42	43–44 ^d
Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of cycles	97	33	31	12	4
Percentage of embryos transferred resulting in implantation ^b	34.7	23.7	17.4	0 / 13	0 / 6
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies ^b	29.9	21.2	9.7	0 / 12	0 / 4
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births ^{b,c}	26.8	18.2	3.2	0 / 12	0 / 4
(Confidence Interval)	(18.3–36.8)	(7.0–35.5)	(0.1–16.7)		
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births ^{b,c}	31.3	21.4	4.5	0 / 9	0 / 3
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births ^{b,c}	47.3	6 / 14	1 / 10	0 / 4	0 / 2
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births ^b	25.5	4 / 14	1 / 10	0 / 4	0 / 2
Percentage of cancellations ^b	14.4	15.2	29.0	3 / 12	1 / 4
Average number of embryos transferred	2.3	2.7	2.3	3.3	3.0
Percentage of pregnancies with twins ^b	44.8	2 / 7	1 / 3		
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more ^b	3.4	0 / 7	0 / 3		
Percentage of live births having multiple infants ^{b,c}	46.2	2 / 6	0 / 1		
Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of transfers	46	15	12	2	0
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births ^{b,c}	47.8	2 / 15	3 / 12	1 / 2	
Average number of embryos transferred	2.3	2.9	3.0	3.0	
All Ages Combined^e					
	Fresh Embryos		Frozen Embryos		
Number of transfers	11		17		
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births ^{b,c}	2 / 11		5 / 17		
Average number of embryos transferred	2.4		2.3		

CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

Current Name: Reproductive Endocrinology and Fertility Center

Donor egg?	Yes	Gestational carriers?	No	SART member?	Yes
Donor embryo?	No	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	Yes
Single women?	Yes	(See Appendix C for details.)			

^a Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2010 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.

^b When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.

^c A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.

^d Clinic-specific outcome rates for women older than 44 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs are not included because of small numbers.

^e All ages (including ages >44) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.

REPRODUCTIVE SCIENCE INSTITUTE OF SUBURBAN PHILADELPHIA WAYNE, PENNSYLVANIA

A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 11–20.

2010 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART ^a				Patient Diagnosis			
IVF	100%	Procedural Factors:		Tubal factor	4%	Other factor	4%
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	68%	Ovulatory dysfunction	26%	Unknown factor	2%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	0%	Diminished ovarian reserve	23%	<i>Multiple Factors:</i>	
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	1%	Endometriosis	1%	Female factors only	14%
		Used PGD	12%	Uterine factor	<1%	Female & male factors	23%
		With eSET	5%	Male factor	4%		

2010 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by Abraham K. Munabi, MD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman				
	<35	35–37	38–40	41–42	43–44 ^d
Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of cycles	76	32	17	9	4
Percentage of embryos transferred resulting in implantation ^b	52.7	36.4	18.9	4.5	0 / 4
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies ^b	59.2	53.1	6 / 17	1 / 9	0 / 4
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births ^{b,c}	51.3	40.6	2 / 17	1 / 9	0 / 4
(Confidence Interval)	(39.6–63.0)	(23.7–59.4)			
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births ^{b,c}	53.4	43.3	2 / 16	1 / 8	0 / 2
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births ^{b,c}	58.2	43.3	2 / 13	1 / 7	0 / 2
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births ^b	35.8	26.7	1 / 13	1 / 7	0 / 2
Percentage of cancellations ^b	3.9	6.3	1 / 17	1 / 9	2 / 4
Average number of embryos transferred	1.9	2.2	2.8	3.1	2.0
Percentage of pregnancies with twins ^b	42.2	7 / 17	2 / 6	0 / 1	
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more ^b	4.4	0 / 17	0 / 6	0 / 1	
Percentage of live births having multiple infants ^{b,c}	38.5	5 / 13	1 / 2	0 / 1	
Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of transfers	10	10	5	3	1
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births ^{b,c}	3 / 10	3 / 10	1 / 5	1 / 3	0 / 1
Average number of embryos transferred	1.8	2.2	1.6	1.7	2.0
All Ages Combined^e					
Donor Eggs	Fresh Embryos		Frozen Embryos		
Number of transfers	18		8		
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births ^{b,c}	7 / 18		3 / 8		
Average number of embryos transferred	1.8		2.0		

CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

Current Name: Reproductive Science Institute of Suburban Philadelphia

Donor egg?	Yes	Gestational carriers?	Yes	SART member?	Yes
Donor embryo?	Yes	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	Yes
Single women?	Yes	(See Appendix C for details.)			

^a Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2010 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.

^b When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.

^c A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.

^d Clinic-specific outcome rates for women older than 44 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs are not included because of small numbers.

^e All ages (including ages >44) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.

WOMEN'S CLINIC, LTD. WEST READING, PENNSYLVANIA

A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 11–20.

2010 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART ^a				Patient Diagnosis			
IVF	100%	Procedural Factors:		Tubal factor	3%	Other factor	0%
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	67%	Ovulatory dysfunction	1%	Unknown factor	1%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	0%	Diminished ovarian reserve	0%	<i>Multiple Factors:</i>	
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	0%	Endometriosis	1%	Female factors only	22%
		Used PGD	2%	Uterine factor	0%	Female & male factors	54%
		With eSET	0%	Male factor	17%		

2010 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by Vincent A. Pellegrini, MD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman				
	<35	35–37	38–40	41–42	43–44 ^d
Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of cycles	49	9	5	0	3
Percentage of embryos transferred resulting in implantation ^b	40.0	20.0	3 / 13		1 / 2
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies ^b	44.9	3 / 9	3 / 5		1 / 3
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births ^{b,c}	42.9	3 / 9	3 / 5		1 / 3
(Confidence Interval)	(28.8–57.8)				
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births ^{b,c}	56.8	3 / 7	3 / 4		1 / 1
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births ^{b,c}	58.3	3 / 7	3 / 4		1 / 1
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births ^b	33.3	3 / 7	3 / 4		1 / 1
Percentage of cancellations ^b	24.5	2 / 9	1 / 5		2 / 3
Average number of embryos transferred	2.2	2.9	3.3		2.0
Percentage of pregnancies with twins ^b	40.9	1 / 3	0 / 3		0 / 1
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more ^b	4.5	0 / 3	0 / 3		0 / 1
Percentage of live births having multiple infants ^{b,c}	42.9	0 / 3	0 / 3		0 / 1
Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of transfers	2	0	0	0	0
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births ^{b,c}	2 / 2				
Average number of embryos transferred	1.5				
All Ages Combined^e					
Donor Eggs	Fresh Embryos		Frozen Embryos		
Number of transfers	0		0		
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births ^{b,c}					
Average number of embryos transferred					

CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

Current Name: Women's Clinic, Ltd.

Donor egg?	No	Gestational carriers?	No	SART member?	Yes
Donor embryo?	No	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	Yes
Single women?	Yes	(See Appendix C for details.)			

^a Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2010 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.

^b When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.

^c A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.

^d Clinic-specific outcome rates for women older than 44 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs are not included because of small numbers.

^e All ages (including ages >44) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.

THE FERTILITY CENTER, LLC YORK, PENNSYLVANIA

A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 11–20.

2010 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART ^a				Patient Diagnosis			
IVF	100%	Procedural Factors:		Tubal factor	3%	Other factor	0%
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	90%	Ovulatory dysfunction	2%	Unknown factor	39%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	0%	Diminished ovarian reserve	17%	<i>Multiple Factors:</i>	
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	0%	Endometriosis	0%	Female factors only	0%
		Used PGD	3%	Uterine factor	0%	Female & male factors	<1%
		With eSET	4%	Male factor	38%		

2010 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by Dr. Robert B. Filer, MD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman				
	<35	35–37	38–40	41–42	43–44 ^d
Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of cycles	45	18	8	1	1
Percentage of embryos transferred resulting in implantation ^b	32.0	12.9	0 / 12	0 / 1	
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies ^b	48.9	4 / 18	0 / 8	0 / 1	0 / 1
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births ^{b,c}	48.9	2 / 18	0 / 8	0 / 1	0 / 1
(Confidence Interval)	(33.7–64.2)				
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births ^{b,c}	48.9	2 / 18	0 / 8	0 / 1	0 / 1
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births ^{b,c}	52.4	2 / 14	0 / 6	0 / 1	
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births ^b	35.7	2 / 14	0 / 6	0 / 1	
Percentage of cancellations ^b	0.0	0 / 18	0 / 8	0 / 1	0 / 1
Average number of embryos transferred	2.3	2.2	2.0	1.0	
Percentage of pregnancies with twins ^b	40.9	0 / 4			
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more ^b	0.0	0 / 4			
Percentage of live births having multiple infants ^{b,c}	31.8	0 / 2			
Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of transfers	7	1	2	0	0
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births ^{b,c}	2 / 7	0 / 1	1 / 2		
Average number of embryos transferred	2.0	2.0	1.5		
All Ages Combined^e					
Donor Eggs	Fresh Embryos		Frozen Embryos		
Number of transfers	11		5		
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births ^{b,c}	7 / 11		1 / 5		
Average number of embryos transferred	2.2		2.2		

CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

Current Name: The Fertility Center, LLC

Donor egg?	Yes	Gestational carriers?	Yes	SART member?	No
Donor embryo?	Yes	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	No
Single women?	Yes	(See Appendix C for details.)			

^a Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2010 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.

^b When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.

^c A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.

^d Clinic-specific outcome rates for women older than 44 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs are not included because of small numbers.

^e All ages (including ages >44) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.

PEDRO J. BEAUCHAMP, MD BAYAMON, PUERTO RICO

A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 11–20.

2010 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART ^a				Patient Diagnosis			
IVF	100%	Procedural Factors:		Tubal factor	10%	Other factor	<1%
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	70%	Ovulatory dysfunction	<1%	Unknown factor	4%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	0%	Diminished ovarian reserve	0%	<i>Multiple Factors:</i>	
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	0%	Endometriosis	4%	Female factors only	19%
		Used PGD	2%	Uterine factor	0%	Female & male factors	46%
		With eSET	2%	Male factor	16%		

2010 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by Pedro J. Beauchamp, MD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman				
	<35	35–37	38–40	41–42	43–44 ^d
Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of cycles	50	35	26	15	5
Percentage of embryos transferred resulting in implantation ^b	33.0	28.2	17.2	11.4	0 / 16
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies ^b	62.0	40.0	34.6	4 / 15	2 / 5
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births ^{b,c}	40.0	25.7	7.7	1 / 15	0 / 5
(Confidence Interval)	(26.4–54.8)	(12.5–43.3)	(0.9–25.1)		
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births ^{b,c}	41.7	29.0	8.3	1 / 12	0 / 5
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births ^{b,c}	42.6	30.0	8.3	1 / 12	0 / 5
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births ^b	29.8	13.3	4.2	1 / 12	0 / 5
Percentage of cancellations ^b	4.0	11.4	7.7	3 / 15	0 / 5
Average number of embryos transferred	2.2	2.4	2.4	2.9	3.2
Percentage of pregnancies with twins ^b	25.8	4 / 14	1 / 9	1 / 4	0 / 2
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more ^b	3.2	2 / 14	1 / 9	0 / 4	0 / 2
Percentage of live births having multiple infants ^{b,c}	30.0	5 / 9	1 / 2	0 / 1	
Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of transfers	3	1	0	1	0
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births ^{b,c}	0 / 3	1 / 1		0 / 1	
Average number of embryos transferred	2.3	3.0		2.0	
All Ages Combined^e					
	Fresh Embryos		Frozen Embryos		
Number of transfers	6		0		
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births ^{b,c}	1 / 6				
Average number of embryos transferred	2.7				

CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

Current Name: Pedro J. Beauchamp, MD

Donor egg?	Yes	Gestational carriers?	Yes	SART member?	Yes
Donor embryo?	No	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	Yes
Single women?	No			(See Appendix C for details.)	

^a Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2010 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.

^b When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.

^c A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.

^d Clinic-specific outcome rates for women older than 44 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs are not included because of small numbers.

^e All ages (including ages >44) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.

CLINICA DE FERTILIDAD HIMA-SAN PABLO CAGUAS, PUERTO RICO

A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 11–20.

2010 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART ^a				Patient Diagnosis			
IVF	100%	Procedural Factors:		Tubal factor	32%	Other factor	0%
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	59%	Ovulatory dysfunction	0%	Unknown factor	36%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	0%	Diminished ovarian reserve	0%	<i>Multiple Factors:</i>	
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	0%	Endometriosis	0%	Female factors only	0%
		Used PGD	0%	Uterine factor	0%	Female & male factors	16%
		With eSET	0%	Male factor	16%		

2010 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by Jose R. Cruz, MD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman				
	<35	35–37	38–40	41–42	43–44 ^d
Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of cycles	10	8	4	0	0
Percentage of embryos transferred resulting in implantation ^b	22.7	4 / 19	1 / 11		
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies ^b	3 / 10	4 / 8	1 / 4		
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births ^{b,c}	2 / 10	2 / 8	1 / 4		
(Confidence Interval)					
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births ^{b,c}	2 / 8	2 / 8	1 / 4		
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births ^{b,c}	2 / 8	2 / 7	1 / 4		
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births ^b	0 / 8	2 / 7	1 / 4		
Percentage of cancellations ^b	2 / 10	0 / 8	0 / 4		
Average number of embryos transferred	2.8	2.7	2.8		
Percentage of pregnancies with twins ^b	2 / 3	1 / 4	0 / 1		
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more ^b	0 / 3	0 / 4	0 / 1		
Percentage of live births having multiple infants ^{b,c}	2 / 2	0 / 2	0 / 1		
Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of transfers	0	2	0	0	0
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births ^{b,c}		0 / 2			
Average number of embryos transferred		2.0			
All Ages Combined^e					
Donor Eggs	Fresh Embryos		Frozen Embryos		
Number of transfers	1		0		
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births ^{b,c}	0 / 1				
Average number of embryos transferred	1.0				

CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

Current Name: Clinica de Fertilidad HIMA-San Pablo

Donor egg?	Yes	Gestational carriers?	Yes	SART member?	No
Donor embryo?	Yes	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	No
Single women?	Yes			(See Appendix C for details.)	

^a Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2010 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.

^b When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.

^c A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.

^d Clinic-specific outcome rates for women older than 44 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs are not included because of small numbers.

^e All ages (including ages >44) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.

GREFI
GYNECOLOGY, REPRODUCTIVE ENDOCRINOLOGY & FERTILITY INSTITUTE
SANTURCE, PUERTO RICO

A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 11–20.

2010 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART ^a				Patient Diagnosis			
IVF	100%	Procedural Factors:		Tubal factor	27%	Other factor	23%
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	64%	Ovulatory dysfunction	0%	Unknown factor	0%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	0%	Diminished ovarian reserve	3%	<i>Multiple Factors:</i>	
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	0%	Endometriosis	7%	Female factors only	3%
		Used PGD	4%	Uterine factor	0%	Female & male factors	10%
		With eSET	0%	Male factor	27%		

2010 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by Rosa Ileana Cruz, MD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman				
	<35	35–37	38–40	41–42	43–44 ^d
Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of cycles	9	6	8	1	1
Percentage of embryos transferred resulting in implantation ^b	0.0	0 / 15	1 / 18	0 / 4	0 / 1
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies ^b	0 / 9	0 / 6	1 / 8	0 / 1	0 / 1
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births ^{b,c}	0 / 9	0 / 6	1 / 8	0 / 1	0 / 1
(Confidence Interval)					
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births ^{b,c}	0 / 9	0 / 6	1 / 8	0 / 1	0 / 1
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births ^{b,c}	0 / 9	0 / 6	1 / 8	0 / 1	0 / 1
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births ^b	0 / 9	0 / 6	1 / 8	0 / 1	0 / 1
Percentage of cancellations ^b	0 / 9	0 / 6	0 / 8	0 / 1	0 / 1
Average number of embryos transferred	2.6	2.5	2.3	4.0	1.0
Percentage of pregnancies with twins ^b			0 / 1		
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more ^b			0 / 1		
Percentage of live births having multiple infants ^{b,c}			0 / 1		
Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of transfers	1	1	0	0	0
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births ^{b,c}	0 / 1	0 / 1			
Average number of embryos transferred	1.0	1.0			
All Ages Combined^e					
Donor Eggs	Fresh Embryos		Frozen Embryos		
Number of transfers	3		0		
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births ^{b,c}	1 / 3				
Average number of embryos transferred	2.3				

CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

Current Name: GREFI, Gynecology, Reproductive Endocrinology & Fertility Institute

Donor egg?	Yes	Gestational carriers?	Yes	SART member?	Yes
Donor embryo?	Yes	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	Yes
Single women?	Yes			<i>(See Appendix C for details.)</i>	

^a Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2010 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.

^b When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.

^c A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.

^d Clinic-specific outcome rates for women older than 44 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs are not included because of small numbers.

^e All ages (including ages >44) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.

WOMEN AND INFANTS' DIVISION OF REPRODUCTIVE MEDICINE AND INFERTILITY PROVIDENCE, RHODE ISLAND

A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 11–20.

2010 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART ^a				Patient Diagnosis			
IVF	100%	Procedural Factors:		Tubal factor	8%	Other factor	9%
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	59%	Ovulatory dysfunction	8%	Unknown factor	42%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	2%	Diminished ovarian reserve	4%	<i>Multiple Factors:</i>	
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	<1%	Endometriosis	2%	Female factors only	2%
		Used PGD	6%	Uterine factor	<1%	Female & male factors	8%
		With eSET	2%	Male factor	18%		

2010 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by Bhagavath Balasubramanian, MD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman				
	<35	35–37	38–40	41–42	43–44 ^d
Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of cycles	216	119	115	78	18
Percentage of embryos transferred resulting in implantation ^b	29.9	17.4	10.3	10.1	0.0
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies ^b	46.8	30.3	25.2	21.8	2 / 18
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births ^{b,c}	41.2	26.1	17.4	12.8	0 / 18
(Confidence Interval)	(34.6–48.1)	(18.4–34.9)	(11.0–25.6)	(6.3–22.3)	
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births ^{b,c}	42.4	26.5	19.8	14.5	0 / 17
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births ^{b,c}	44.3	29.5	20.8	16.4	0 / 16
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births ^b	32.8	23.8	15.6	11.5	0 / 16
Percentage of cancellations ^b	2.8	1.7	12.2	11.5	1 / 18
Average number of embryos transferred	2.1	2.4	2.8	3.2	5.3
Percentage of pregnancies with twins ^b	27.7	25.0	17.2	2 / 17	0 / 2
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more ^b	0.0	0.0	0.0	2 / 17	0 / 2
Percentage of live births having multiple infants ^{b,c}	25.8	19.4	25.0	3 / 10	
Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of transfers	48	31	13	3	3
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births ^{b,c}	22.9	22.6	3 / 13	0 / 3	0 / 3
Average number of embryos transferred	1.9	1.9	2.3	2.3	1.3
All Ages Combined^e					
Donor Eggs	Fresh Embryos		Frozen Embryos		
Number of transfers	20		7		
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births ^{b,c}	40.0		1 / 7		
Average number of embryos transferred	1.8		2.3		

CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

Current Name: Women and Infants' Division of Reproductive Medicine and Infertility

Donor egg?	Yes	Gestational carriers?	Yes	SART member?	Yes
Donor embryo?	Yes	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	Yes
Single women?	Yes	(See Appendix C for details.)			

^a Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2010 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.

^b When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.

^c A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.

^d Clinic-specific outcome rates for women older than 44 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs are not included because of small numbers.

^e All ages (including ages >44) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.

PIEDMONT REPRODUCTIVE ENDOCRINOLOGY GROUP, PA GREENVILLE, SOUTH CAROLINA

A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 11–20.

2010 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART ^a				Patient Diagnosis			
IVF	100%	Procedural Factors:		Tubal factor	4%	Other factor	2%
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	89%	Ovulatory dysfunction	18%	Unknown factor	2%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	0%	Diminished ovarian reserve	10%	<i>Multiple Factors:</i>	
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	0%	Endometriosis	4%	Female factors only	22%
		Used PGD	3%	Uterine factor	0%	Female & male factors	37%
		With eSET	2%	Male factor	3%		

2010 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by John E. Nichols, MD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman				
	<35	35–37	38–40	41–42	43–44 ^d
Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of cycles	73	27	14	3	3
Percentage of embryos transferred resulting in implantation ^b	47.4	25.5	13.0	0 / 2	2 / 16
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies ^b	61.6	37.0	3 / 14	0 / 3	1 / 3
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births ^{b,c}	57.5	29.6	3 / 14	0 / 3	1 / 3
(Confidence Interval)	(45.4–69.0)	(13.8–50.2)			
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births ^{b,c}	60.9	36.4	3 / 12	0 / 3	1 / 3
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births ^{b,c}	62.7	36.4	3 / 11	0 / 2	1 / 3
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births ^b	41.8	27.3	3 / 11	0 / 2	0 / 3
Percentage of cancellations ^b	5.5	18.5	2 / 14	0 / 3	0 / 3
Average number of embryos transferred	2.0	2.1	2.1	1.0	5.3
Percentage of pregnancies with twins ^b	42.2	3 / 10	0 / 3		1 / 1
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more ^b	0.0	0 / 10	0 / 3		0 / 1
Percentage of live births having multiple infants ^{b,c}	33.3	2 / 8	0 / 3		1 / 1
Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of transfers	19	5	3	0	0
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births ^{b,c}	8 / 19	1 / 5	2 / 3		
Average number of embryos transferred	1.9	2.4	2.3		
All Ages Combined^e					
Donor Eggs	Fresh Embryos		Frozen Embryos		
Number of transfers	13		24		
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births ^{b,c}	9 / 13		37.5		
Average number of embryos transferred	2.2		2.0		

CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

Current Name: Piedmont Reproductive Endocrinology Group, PA

Donor egg?	Yes	Gestational carriers?	Yes	SART member?	Yes
Donor embryo?	Yes	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	Yes
Single women?	Yes			(See Appendix C for details.)	

^a Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2010 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.

^b When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.

^c A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.

^d Clinic-specific outcome rates for women older than 44 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs are not included because of small numbers.

^e All ages (including ages >44) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.

**UNIVERSITY MEDICAL GROUP, DEPARTMENT OF OBSTETRICS AND GYNECOLOGY
REPRODUCTIVE ENDOCRINOLOGY AND INFERTILITY
GREENVILLE, SOUTH CAROLINA**

A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 11–20.

2010 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART ^a				Patient Diagnosis			
IVF	100%	Procedural Factors:		Tubal factor	5%	Other factor	2%
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	73%	Ovulatory dysfunction	11%	Unknown factor	2%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	0%	Diminished ovarian reserve	3%	<i>Multiple Factors:</i>	
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	2%	Endometriosis	19%	Female factors only	21%
		Used PGD	1%	Uterine factor	0%	Female & male factors	21%
		With eSET	2%	Male factor	17%		

2010 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by Bruce A. Lessey, MD, PhD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman				
	<35	35–37	38–40	41–42	43–44 ^d
Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of cycles	43	20	14	4	1
Percentage of embryos transferred resulting in implantation ^b	28.0	12.8	9.1	0 / 11	1 / 3
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies ^b	37.2	25.0	3 / 14	0 / 4	1 / 1
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births ^{b,c}	37.2	20.0	2 / 14	0 / 4	1 / 1
(Confidence Interval)	(23.0–53.3)	(5.7–43.7)			
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births ^{b,c}	43.2	4 / 19	2 / 12	0 / 3	1 / 1
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births ^{b,c}	43.2	4 / 18	2 / 12	0 / 3	1 / 1
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births ^b	35.1	4 / 18	2 / 12	0 / 3	1 / 1
Percentage of cancellations ^b	14.0	5.0	2 / 14	1 / 4	0 / 1
Average number of embryos transferred	2.0	2.2	2.8	3.7	3.0
Percentage of pregnancies with twins ^b	5 / 16	0 / 5	0 / 3		0 / 1
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more ^b	0 / 16	0 / 5	0 / 3		0 / 1
Percentage of live births having multiple infants ^{b,c}	3 / 16	0 / 4	0 / 2		0 / 1
Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of transfers	5	8	3	1	0
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births ^{b,c}	2 / 5	4 / 8	0 / 3	1 / 1	
Average number of embryos transferred	2.0	1.8	3.0	2.0	
All Ages Combined^e					
Donor Eggs	Fresh Embryos		Frozen Embryos		
Number of transfers	6		5		
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births ^{b,c}	4 / 6		0 / 5		
Average number of embryos transferred	2.0		1.6		

CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

Current Name: This clinic has closed or reorganized since 2010. Information on current clinic services and profile therefore is not provided here. Contact the NASS Help Desk for current information about this clinic.

^a Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2010 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.
^b When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.
^c A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.
^d Clinic-specific outcome rates for women older than 44 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs are not included because of small numbers.
^e All ages (including ages >44) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.

SOUTHEASTERN FERTILITY CENTER, PA MOUNT PLEASANT, SOUTH CAROLINA

A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 11–20.

2010 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART ^a			Patient Diagnosis			
IVF	100%	Procedural Factors:	Tubal factor	11%	Other factor	3%
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	Ovulatory dysfunction	6%	Unknown factor	15%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	Diminished ovarian reserve	28%	<i>Multiple Factors:</i>	
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	Endometriosis	4%	Female factors only	6%
		Used PGD	Uterine factor	<1%	Female & male factors	15%
		With eSET	Male factor	12%		

2010 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by Grant W. Patton, MD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman				
	<35	35–37	38–40	41–42	43–44 ^d
Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of cycles	141	62	29	11	0
Percentage of embryos transferred resulting in implantation ^b	43.7	28.4	13.6	17.9	
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies ^b	51.1	35.5	27.6	4 / 11	
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births ^{b,c}	46.8	32.3	24.1	3 / 11	
(Confidence Interval)	(38.4–55.4)	(20.9–45.3)	(10.3–43.5)		
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births ^{b,c}	50.0	35.1	25.0	3 / 10	
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births ^{b,c}	53.2	36.4	28.0	3 / 9	
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births ^b	29.0	18.2	24.0	2 / 9	
Percentage of cancellations ^b	6.4	8.1	3.4	1 / 11	
Average number of embryos transferred	2.0	2.1	2.6	3.1	
Percentage of pregnancies with twins ^b	51.4	40.9	1 / 8	1 / 4	
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more ^b	1.4	4.5	0 / 8	0 / 4	
Percentage of live births having multiple infants ^{b,c}	45.5	50.0	1 / 7	1 / 3	
Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of transfers	50	24	14	3	3
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births ^{b,c}	58.0	41.7	5 / 14	1 / 3	2 / 3
Average number of embryos transferred	1.9	1.8	1.8	2.0	2.0
All Ages Combined^e					
Donor Eggs	Fresh Embryos		Frozen Embryos		
Number of transfers	59		19		
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births ^{b,c}	66.1		11 / 19		
Average number of embryos transferred	2.0		1.8		

CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

Current Name: Southeastern Fertility Center, PA

Donor egg?	Yes	Gestational carriers?	Yes	SART member?	Yes
Donor embryo?	Yes	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	Yes
Single women?	Yes	(See Appendix C for details.)			

^a Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2010 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.

^b When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.

^c A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.

^d Clinic-specific outcome rates for women older than 44 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs are not included because of small numbers.

^e All ages (including ages >44) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.

ADVANCED FERTILITY & REPRODUCTIVE ENDOCRINOLOGY WEST COLUMBIA, SOUTH CAROLINA

A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 11–20.

2010 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART ^a				Patient Diagnosis			
IVF	100%	Procedural Factors:		Tubal factor	9%	Other factor	4%
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	93%	Ovulatory dysfunction	15%	Unknown factor	3%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	0%	Diminished ovarian reserve	21%	<i>Multiple Factors:</i>	
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	0%	Endometriosis	3%	Female factors only	8%
		Used PGD	2%	Uterine factor	<1%	Female & male factors	13%
		With eSET	0%	Male factor	26%		

2010 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by Gail F. Whitman-Elia, MD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman				
	<35	35–37	38–40	41–42	43–44 ^d
Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of cycles	92	40	36	12	5
Percentage of embryos transferred resulting in implantation ^b	34.6	30.1	26.2	23.8	1 / 18
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies ^b	53.3	52.5	30.6	4 / 12	1 / 5
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births ^{b,c}	46.7	45.0	25.0	3 / 12	0 / 5
(Confidence Interval)	(36.3–57.4)	(29.3–61.5)	(12.1–42.2)		
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births ^{b,c}	46.7	46.2	28.1	3 / 10	0 / 5
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births ^{b,c}	50.6	50.0	37.5	3 / 7	0 / 5
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births ^b	34.1	36.1	16.7	3 / 7	0 / 5
Percentage of cancellations ^b	0.0	2.5	11.1	2 / 12	0 / 5
Average number of embryos transferred	2.1	2.3	2.7	3.0	3.6
Percentage of pregnancies with twins ^b	28.6	28.6	4 / 11	1 / 4	0 / 1
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more ^b	0.0	0.0	1 / 11	0 / 4	0 / 1
Percentage of live births having multiple infants ^{b,c}	32.6	5 / 18	5 / 9	0 / 3	
Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of transfers	40	12	6	2	2
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births ^{b,c}	32.5	2 / 12	0 / 6	0 / 2	0 / 2
Average number of embryos transferred	2.4	2.6	2.2	1.0	3.0
All Ages Combined^e					
	Fresh Embryos		Frozen Embryos		
Number of transfers	17		8		
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births ^{b,c}	9 / 17		1 / 8		
Average number of embryos transferred	2.1		2.1		

CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

Current Name: Advanced Fertility & Reproductive Endocrinology

Donor egg?	Yes	Gestational carriers?	Yes	SART member?	Yes
Donor embryo?	No	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	Yes
Single women?	Yes			(See Appendix C for details.)	

^a Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2010 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.

^b When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.

^c A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.

^d Clinic-specific outcome rates for women older than 44 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs are not included because of small numbers.

^e All ages (including ages >44) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.

SANFORD WOMEN'S HEALTH SIOUX FALLS, SOUTH DAKOTA

A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 11–20.

2010 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART ^a				Patient Diagnosis			
IVF	100%	Procedural Factors:		Tubal factor	6%	Other factor	11%
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	57%	Ovulatory dysfunction	7%	Unknown factor	2%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	0%	Diminished ovarian reserve	4%	<i>Multiple Factors:</i>	
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	<1%	Endometriosis	4%	Female factors only	20%
		Used PGD	0%	Uterine factor	0%	Female & male factors	31%
		With eSET	22%	Male factor	15%		

2010 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by Keith A. Hansen, MD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman				
	<35	35–37	38–40	41–42	43–44 ^d
Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of cycles	112	26	19	7	1
Percentage of embryos transferred resulting in implantation ^b	34.9	48.8	24.4	0 / 18	0 / 2
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies ^b	49.1	50.0	8 / 19	0 / 7	1 / 1
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births ^{b,c}	46.4	46.2	7 / 19	0 / 7	0 / 1
(Confidence Interval)	(37.0–56.1)	(26.6–66.6)			
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births ^{b,c}	47.3	54.5	7 / 17	0 / 7	0 / 1
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births ^{b,c}	48.6	60.0	7 / 17	0 / 6	0 / 1
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births ^b	38.3	40.0	5 / 17	0 / 6	0 / 1
Percentage of cancellations ^b	1.8	15.4	2 / 19	0 / 7	0 / 1
Average number of embryos transferred	1.8	2.2	2.4	3.0	2.0
Percentage of pregnancies with twins ^b	21.8	8 / 13	2 / 8		0 / 1
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more ^b	1.8	0 / 13	0 / 8		0 / 1
Percentage of live births having multiple infants ^{b,c}	21.2	4 / 12	2 / 7		
Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of transfers	26	9	9	1	0
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births ^{b,c}	42.3	3 / 9	1 / 9	0 / 1	
Average number of embryos transferred	2.3	2.2	2.0	2.0	
All Ages Combined^e					
Donor Eggs		Fresh Embryos		Frozen Embryos	
Number of transfers		6		1	
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births ^{b,c}		4 / 6		1 / 1	
Average number of embryos transferred		2.0		1.0	

CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

Current Name: Sanford Women's Health

Donor egg?	Yes	Gestational carriers?	Yes	SART member?	Yes
Donor embryo?	Yes	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	Yes
Single women?	Yes			(See Appendix C for details.)	

^a Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2010 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.

^b When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.

^c A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.

^d Clinic-specific outcome rates for women older than 44 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs are not included because of small numbers.

^e All ages (including ages >44) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.

FERTILITY CENTER, LLC CHATTANOOGA, TENNESSEE

A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 11–20.

2010 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART ^a				Patient Diagnosis			
IVF	100%	Procedural Factors:		Tubal factor	5%	Other factor	4%
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	82%	Ovulatory dysfunction	11%	Unknown factor	14%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	0%	Diminished ovarian reserve	15%	<i>Multiple Factors:</i>	
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	3%	Endometriosis	5%	Female factors only	11%
		Used PGD	7%	Uterine factor	<1%	Female & male factors	18%
		With eSET	0%	Male factor	17%		

2010 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by Barry W. Donesky, MD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman				
	<35	35–37	38–40	41–42	43–44 ^d
Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of cycles	29	17	13	7	2
Percentage of embryos transferred resulting in implantation ^b	52.1	20.7	0.0	0 / 15	0 / 2
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies ^b	55.2	5 / 17	2 / 13	0 / 7	0 / 2
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births ^{b,c}	51.7	4 / 17	0 / 13	0 / 7	0 / 2
(Confidence Interval)	(32.5–70.6)				
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births ^{b,c}	55.6	4 / 15	0 / 13	0 / 7	0 / 1
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births ^{b,c}	62.5	4 / 15	0 / 12	0 / 6	0 / 1
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births ^b	25.0	2 / 15	0 / 12	0 / 6	0 / 1
Percentage of cancellations ^b	6.9	2 / 17	0 / 13	0 / 7	1 / 2
Average number of embryos transferred	2.0	1.9	2.2	2.5	2.0
Percentage of pregnancies with twins ^b	9 / 16	2 / 5	0 / 2		
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more ^b	0 / 16	0 / 5	0 / 2		
Percentage of live births having multiple infants ^{b,c}	9 / 15	2 / 4			
Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of transfers	21	11	12	3	0
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births ^{b,c}	47.6	3 / 11	2 / 12	1 / 3	
Average number of embryos transferred	1.8	1.8	1.8	1.7	
All Ages Combined^e					
Donor Eggs	Fresh Embryos		Frozen Embryos		
Number of transfers	10		18		
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births ^{b,c}	8 / 10		10 / 18		
Average number of embryos transferred	1.8		2.0		

CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

Current Name: Fertility Center, LLC

Donor egg?	Yes	Gestational carriers?	Yes	SART member?	Yes
Donor embryo?	Yes	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	Yes
Single women?	Yes	(See Appendix C for details.)			

^a Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2010 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.

^b When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.

^c A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.

^d Clinic-specific outcome rates for women older than 44 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs are not included because of small numbers.

^e All ages (including ages >44) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.

TENNESSEE REPRODUCTIVE MEDICINE CHATTANOOGA, TENNESSEE

A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 11–20.

2010 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART ^a				Patient Diagnosis			
IVF	100%	Procedural Factors:		Tubal factor	4%	Other factor	6%
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	80%	Ovulatory dysfunction	4%	Unknown factor	4%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	0%	Diminished ovarian reserve	4%	<i>Multiple Factors:</i>	
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	5%	Endometriosis	10%	Female factors only	31%
		Used PGD	3%	Uterine factor	2%	Female & male factors	21%
		With eSET	6%	Male factor	15%		

2010 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by Ringland S. Murray, MD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman				
	<35	35–37	38–40	41–42	43–44 ^d
Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of cycles	31	5	2	0	2
Percentage of embryos transferred resulting in implantation ^b	41.8	2 / 13	1 / 6		0 / 2
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies ^b	51.6	1 / 5	1 / 2		0 / 2
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births ^{b,c}	48.4	1 / 5	1 / 2		0 / 2
(Confidence Interval)	(30.2–66.9)				
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births ^{b,c}	51.7	1 / 5	1 / 2		0 / 1
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births ^{b,c}	55.6	1 / 5	1 / 2		0 / 1
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births ^b	37.0	1 / 5	1 / 2		0 / 1
Percentage of cancellations ^b	6.5	0 / 5	0 / 2		1 / 2
Average number of embryos transferred	2.0	2.6	3.0		2.0
Percentage of pregnancies with twins ^b	5 / 16	1 / 1	0 / 1		
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more ^b	1 / 16	0 / 1	0 / 1		
Percentage of live births having multiple infants ^{b,c}	5 / 15	0 / 1	0 / 1		
Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of transfers	5	2	0	0	0
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births ^{b,c}	2 / 5	1 / 2			
Average number of embryos transferred	2.4	2.5			
All Ages Combined^e					
Donor Eggs	Fresh Embryos		Frozen Embryos		
Number of transfers	3		2		
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births ^{b,c}	2 / 3		2 / 2		
Average number of embryos transferred	2.0		2.0		

CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

Current Name: Tennessee Reproductive Medicine

Donor egg?	Yes	Gestational carriers?	Yes	SART member?	No
Donor embryo?	Yes	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	Yes
Single women?	Yes	(See Appendix C for details.)			

^a Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2010 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.

^b When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.

^c A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.

^d Clinic-specific outcome rates for women older than 44 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs are not included because of small numbers.

^e All ages (including ages >44) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.

ETSU PHYSICIANS ASSOCIATES JOHNSON CITY, TENNESSEE

A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 11–20.

2010 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART ^a				Patient Diagnosis			
IVF	100%	Procedural Factors:		Tubal factor	8%	Other factor	0%
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	53%	Ovulatory dysfunction	18%	Unknown factor	8%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	0%	Diminished ovarian reserve	18%	<i>Multiple Factors:</i>	
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	0%	Endometriosis	13%	Female factors only	3%
		Used PGD	0%	Uterine factor	0%	Female & male factors	5%
		With eSET	0%	Male factor	28%		

2010 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by Norman A. Assad, MD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman				
	<35	35–37	38–40	41–42	43–44 ^d
Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of cycles	20	3	6	1	0
Percentage of embryos transferred resulting in implantation ^b	37.1	3 / 5	3 / 11	0 / 1	
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies ^b	55.0	2 / 3	3 / 6	0 / 1	
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births ^{b,c}	50.0	2 / 3	1 / 6	0 / 1	
(Confidence Interval)	(27.2–72.8)				
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births ^{b,c}	10 / 18	2 / 2	1 / 5	0 / 1	
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births ^{b,c}	10 / 17	2 / 2	1 / 5	0 / 1	
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births ^b	8 / 17	1 / 2	1 / 5	0 / 1	
Percentage of cancellations ^b	10.0	1 / 3	1 / 6	0 / 1	
Average number of embryos transferred	2.1	2.5	2.2	1.0	
Percentage of pregnancies with twins ^b	2 / 11	1 / 2	0 / 3		
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more ^b	0 / 11	0 / 2	0 / 3		
Percentage of live births having multiple infants ^{b,c}	2 / 10	1 / 2	0 / 1		
Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of transfers	2	1	0	1	0
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births ^{b,c}	1 / 2	0 / 1		0 / 1	
Average number of embryos transferred	1.0	1.0		3.0	
All Ages Combined^e					
Donor Eggs	Fresh Embryos		Frozen Embryos		
Number of transfers	3		0		
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births ^{b,c}	2 / 3				
Average number of embryos transferred	2.0				

CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

Current Name: Quillen Fertility and Women's Services

Donor egg?	Yes	Gestational carriers?	Yes	SART member?	Yes
Donor embryo?	No	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	Yes
Single women?	Yes	(See Appendix C for details.)			

^a Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2010 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.

^b When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.

^c A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.

^d Clinic-specific outcome rates for women older than 44 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs are not included because of small numbers.

^e All ages (including ages >44) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.

EAST TENNESSEE IVF AND ANDROLOGY CENTER KNOXVILLE, TENNESSEE

A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 11–20.

2010 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART ^a			Patient Diagnosis			
IVF	100%	Procedural Factors:	Tubal factor	5%	Other factor	0%
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	Ovulatory dysfunction	0%	Unknown factor	0%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	Diminished ovarian reserve	5%	<i>Multiple Factors:</i>	
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	Endometriosis	15%	Female factors only	20%
		Used PGD	Uterine factor	0%	Female & male factors	30%
		With eSET	Male factor	25%		

2010 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by Gayla Harris, MD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman				
	<35	35–37	38–40	41–42	43–44 ^d
Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of cycles	12	3	4	0	0
Percentage of embryos transferred resulting in implantation ^b	20.8	1 / 8	1 / 6		
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies ^b	4 / 12	1 / 3	1 / 4		
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births ^{b,c}	4 / 12	1 / 3	1 / 4		
(Confidence Interval)					
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births ^{b,c}	4 / 12	1 / 3	1 / 4		
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births ^{b,c}	4 / 12	1 / 3	1 / 4		
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births ^b	3 / 12	1 / 3	1 / 4		
Percentage of cancellations ^b	0 / 12	0 / 3	0 / 4		
Average number of embryos transferred	2.0	2.7	1.5		
Percentage of pregnancies with twins ^b	1 / 4	0 / 1	0 / 1		
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more ^b	0 / 4	0 / 1	0 / 1		
Percentage of live births having multiple infants ^{b,c}	1 / 4	0 / 1	0 / 1		
Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of transfers	1	0	0	0	0
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births ^{b,c}	0 / 1				
Average number of embryos transferred	3.0				
All Ages Combined^e					
Donor Eggs	Fresh Embryos		Frozen Embryos		
Number of transfers	0		0		
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births ^{b,c}					
Average number of embryos transferred					

CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

Current Name: East Tennessee IVF and Andrology Center

Donor egg?	Yes	Gestational carriers?	No	SART member?	No
Donor embryo?	Yes	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	No
Single women?	Yes			<i>(See Appendix C for details.)</i>	

^a Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2010 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.

^b When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.

^c A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.

^d Clinic-specific outcome rates for women older than 44 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs are not included because of small numbers.

^e All ages (including ages >44) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.

SOUTHEASTERN FERTILITY CENTER KNOXVILLE, TENNESSEE

A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 11–20.

2010 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART ^a				Patient Diagnosis			
IVF	100%	Procedural Factors:		Tubal factor	1%	Other factor	2%
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	62%	Ovulatory dysfunction	7%	Unknown factor	22%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	5%	Diminished ovarian reserve	11%	<i>Multiple Factors:</i>	
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	0%	Endometriosis	4%	Female factors only	<1%
		Used PGD	0%	Uterine factor	0%	Female & male factors	11%
		With eSET	0%	Male factor	41%		

2010 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by Jeffrey A. Keenan, MD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman				
	<35	35–37	38–40	41–42	43–44 ^d
Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of cycles	7	8	6	0	0
Percentage of embryos transferred resulting in implantation ^b	2 / 10	1 / 14	1 / 14		
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies ^b	2 / 7	1 / 8	2 / 6		
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births ^{b,c}	1 / 7	1 / 8	1 / 6		
(Confidence Interval)					
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births ^{b,c}	1 / 6	1 / 6	1 / 5		
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births ^{b,c}	1 / 5	1 / 6	1 / 5		
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births ^b	1 / 5	1 / 6	1 / 5		
Percentage of cancellations ^b	1 / 7	2 / 8	1 / 6		
Average number of embryos transferred	2.0	2.3	2.8		
Percentage of pregnancies with twins ^b	0 / 2	0 / 1	0 / 2		
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more ^b	0 / 2	0 / 1	0 / 2		
Percentage of live births having multiple infants ^{b,c}	0 / 1	0 / 1	0 / 1		
Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of transfers	6	4	0	0	0
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births ^{b,c}	1 / 6	0 / 4			
Average number of embryos transferred	2.2	2.0			
All Ages Combined^e					
Donor Eggs	Fresh Embryos		Frozen Embryos		
Number of transfers	0		106		
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births ^{b,c}			47.2		
Average number of embryos transferred			2.5		

CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

Current Name: Southeastern Fertility Center

Donor egg?	No	Gestational carriers?	No	SART member?	No
Donor embryo?	Yes	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	No
Single women?	No	(See Appendix C for details.)			

^a Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2010 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.

^b When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.

^c A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.

^d Clinic-specific outcome rates for women older than 44 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs are not included because of small numbers.

^e All ages (including ages >44) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.

KUTTEH KE FERTILITY ASSOCIATES OF MEMPHIS, PLLC MEMPHIS, TENNESSEE

A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 11–20.

2010 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART ^a				Patient Diagnosis			
IVF	100%	Procedural Factors:		Tubal factor	7%	Other factor	4%
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	60%	Ovulatory dysfunction	7%	Unknown factor	6%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	0%	Diminished ovarian reserve	7%	<i>Multiple Factors:</i>	
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	1%	Endometriosis	4%	Female factors only	26%
		Used PGD	<1%	Uterine factor	1%	Female & male factors	26%
		With eSET	7%	Male factor	12%		

2010 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by Raymond W. Ke, MD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman				
	<35	35–37	38–40	41–42	43–44 ^d
Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of cycles	126	45	29	13	0
Percentage of embryos transferred resulting in implantation ^b	32.5	30.0	18.6	10.7	
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies ^b	39.7	42.2	34.5	2 / 13	
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births ^{b,c}	37.3	40.0	24.1	2 / 13	
(Confidence Interval)	(28.9–46.4)	(25.7–55.7)	(10.3–43.5)		
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births ^{b,c}	41.2	40.0	25.0	2 / 12	
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births ^{b,c}	43.5	40.9	25.9	2 / 11	
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births ^b	31.5	25.0	22.2	2 / 11	
Percentage of cancellations ^b	9.5	0.0	3.4	1 / 13	
Average number of embryos transferred	2.0	2.0	2.2	2.5	
Percentage of pregnancies with twins ^b	26.0	8 / 19	1 / 10	1 / 2	
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more ^b	6.0	0 / 19	0 / 10	0 / 2	
Percentage of live births having multiple infants ^{b,c}	27.7	7 / 18	1 / 7	0 / 2	
Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of transfers	38	4	6	2	0
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births ^{b,c}	52.6	1 / 4	0 / 6	0 / 2	
Average number of embryos transferred	1.6	1.5	1.5	1.5	
All Ages Combined^e					
Donor Eggs	Fresh Embryos		Frozen Embryos		
Number of transfers	13		7		
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births ^{b,c}	6 / 13		5 / 7		
Average number of embryos transferred	2.0		2.0		

CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

Current Name: Kutteh Ke Fertility Associates of Memphis, PLLC

Donor egg?	Yes	Gestational carriers?	Yes	SART member?	Yes
Donor embryo?	Yes	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	Yes
Single women?	No			<i>(See Appendix C for details.)</i>	

^a Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2010 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.

^b When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.

^c A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.

^d Clinic-specific outcome rates for women older than 44 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs are not included because of small numbers.

^e All ages (including ages >44) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.

THE CENTER FOR REPRODUCTIVE HEALTH NASHVILLE, TENNESSEE

A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 11–20.

2010 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART ^a				Patient Diagnosis			
IVF	100%	Procedural Factors:		Tubal factor	<1%	Other factor	0%
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	90%	Ovulatory dysfunction	6%	Unknown factor	4%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	0%	Diminished ovarian reserve	9%	<i>Multiple Factors:</i>	
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	0%	Endometriosis	0%	Female factors only	9%
		Used PGD	0%	Uterine factor	0%	Female & male factors	62%
		With eSET	0%	Male factor	10%		

2010 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by Jaime M. Vasquez, MD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman				
	<35	35–37	38–40	41–42	43–44 ^d
Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of cycles	42	8	12	3	3
Percentage of embryos transferred resulting in implantation ^b	14.4	2 / 19	7.4	0 / 10	1 / 5
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies ^b	33.3	3 / 8	2 / 12	0 / 3	1 / 3
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births ^{b,c}	26.2	1 / 8	2 / 12	0 / 3	0 / 3
(Confidence Interval)	(13.9–42.0)				
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births ^{b,c}	27.5	1 / 8	2 / 10	0 / 3	0 / 2
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births ^{b,c}	27.5	1 / 7	2 / 9	0 / 3	0 / 2
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births ^b	20.0	1 / 7	2 / 9	0 / 3	0 / 2
Percentage of cancellations ^b	4.8	0 / 8	2 / 12	0 / 3	1 / 3
Average number of embryos transferred	2.8	2.7	3.0	3.3	2.5
Percentage of pregnancies with twins ^b	4 / 14	0 / 3	0 / 2		0 / 1
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more ^b	0 / 14	0 / 3	0 / 2		0 / 1
Percentage of live births having multiple infants ^{b,c}	3 / 11	0 / 1	0 / 2		
Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of transfers	10	5	3	1	0
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births ^{b,c}	3 / 10	3 / 5	0 / 3	0 / 1	
Average number of embryos transferred	3.0	3.2	3.3	3.0	
All Ages Combined^e					
Donor Eggs	Fresh Embryos		Frozen Embryos		
Number of transfers	12		1		
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births ^{b,c}	2 / 12		0 / 1		
Average number of embryos transferred	2.7		3.0		

CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

Current Name: The Center for Reproductive Health

Donor egg?	Yes	Gestational carriers?	Yes	SART member?	Yes
Donor embryo?	Yes	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	Yes
Single women?	Yes	(See Appendix C for details.)			

^a Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2010 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.

^b When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.

^c A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.

^d Clinic-specific outcome rates for women older than 44 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs are not included because of small numbers.

^e All ages (including ages >44) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.

NASHVILLE FERTILITY CENTER NASHVILLE, TENNESSEE

A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 11–20.

2010 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART ^a				Patient Diagnosis			
IVF	100%	Procedural Factors:		Tubal factor	2%	Other factor	3%
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	68%	Ovulatory dysfunction	3%	Unknown factor	5%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	0%	Diminished ovarian reserve	6%	<i>Multiple Factors:</i>	
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	2%	Endometriosis	3%	Female factors only	26%
		Used PGD	13%	Uterine factor	<1%	Female & male factors	38%
		With eSET	12%	Male factor	12%		

2010 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by George A. Hill, MD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman				
	<35	35–37	38–40	41–42	43–44 ^d
Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of cycles	171	69	62	10	5
Percentage of embryos transferred resulting in implantation ^b	34.7	25.0	16.4	1 / 10	0 / 6
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies ^b	45.0	31.9	29.0	1 / 10	0 / 5
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births ^{b,c}	41.5	27.5	21.0	0 / 10	0 / 5
(Confidence Interval)	(34.0–49.3)	(17.5–39.6)	(11.7–33.2)		
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births ^{b,c}	49.0	36.5	29.5	0 / 6	0 / 2
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births ^{b,c}	52.2	38.0	30.2	0 / 4	0 / 2
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births ^b	41.9	26.0	20.9	0 / 4	0 / 2
Percentage of cancellations ^b	15.2	24.6	29.0	4 / 10	3 / 5
Average number of embryos transferred	2.0	2.4	2.8	2.5	3.0
Percentage of pregnancies with twins ^b	20.8	31.8	2 / 18	0 / 1	
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more ^b	1.3	4.5	2 / 18	0 / 1	
Percentage of live births having multiple infants ^{b,c}	19.7	6 / 19	4 / 13		
Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of transfers	62	27	16	2	1
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births ^{b,c}	45.2	33.3	5 / 16	0 / 2	1 / 1
Average number of embryos transferred	1.9	1.7	1.9	2.0	3.0
All Ages Combined^e					
Donor Eggs	Fresh Embryos		Frozen Embryos		
Number of transfers	18		41		
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births ^{b,c}	10 / 18		48.8		
Average number of embryos transferred	1.9		2.2		

CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

Current Name: Nashville Fertility Center

Donor egg?	Yes	Gestational carriers?	Yes	SART member?	Yes
Donor embryo?	Yes	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	Yes
Single women?	Yes	(See Appendix C for details.)			

^a Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2010 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.

^b When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.

^c A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.

^d Clinic-specific outcome rates for women older than 44 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs are not included because of small numbers.

^e All ages (including ages >44) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.

TEXAS FERTILITY CENTER
DRS. VAUGHN, SILVERBERG AND HANSARD
AUSTIN, TEXAS

A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 11–20.

2010 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART ^a				Patient Diagnosis			
IVF	100%	Procedural Factors:		Tubal factor	4%	Other factor	8%
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	60%	Ovulatory dysfunction	5%	Unknown factor	5%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	0%	Diminished ovarian reserve	6%	<i>Multiple Factors:</i>	
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	1%	Endometriosis	9%	Female factors only	28%
		Used PGD	7%	Uterine factor	3%	Female & male factors	20%
		With eSET	8%	Male factor	14%		

2010 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by Kaylen Silverberg, MD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman				
	<35	35–37	38–40	41–42	43–44 ^d
Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of cycles	222	117	119	70	14
Percentage of embryos transferred resulting in implantation ^b	35.7	26.6	13.7	6.5	0.0
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies ^b	49.1	40.2	29.4	15.7	1 / 14
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births ^{b,c}	42.3	33.3	23.5	8.6	0 / 14
(Confidence Interval)	(35.8–49.1)	(24.9–42.6)	(16.2–32.2)	(3.2–17.7)	
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births ^{b,c}	45.9	36.8	26.9	11.5	0 / 12
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births ^{b,c}	47.5	39.8	29.5	12.8	0 / 12
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births ^b	32.8	25.5	25.3	10.6	0 / 12
Percentage of cancellations ^b	7.7	9.4	12.6	25.7	2 / 14
Average number of embryos transferred	1.9	2.4	2.9	3.6	3.3
Percentage of pregnancies with twins ^b	27.5	34.0	17.1	1 / 11	0 / 1
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more ^b	2.8	2.1	0.0	0 / 11	0 / 1
Percentage of live births having multiple infants ^{b,c}	30.9	35.9	14.3	1 / 6	
Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of transfers	73	25	23	11	2
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births ^{b,c}	35.6	24.0	21.7	3 / 11	0 / 2
Average number of embryos transferred	1.4	1.7	1.7	1.8	1.0
All Ages Combined^e					
	Fresh Embryos		Frozen Embryos		
Number of transfers	77		37		
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births ^{b,c}	59.7		18.9		
Average number of embryos transferred	1.8		1.5		

CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

Current Name: Texas Fertility Center, Drs. Vaughn, Silverberg and Hansard

Donor egg?	Yes	Gestational carriers?	Yes	SART member?	Yes
Donor embryo?	No	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	Yes
Single women?	Yes	(See Appendix C for details.)			

^a Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2010 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.

^b When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.

^c A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.

^d Clinic-specific outcome rates for women older than 44 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs are not included because of small numbers.

^e All ages (including ages >44) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.

**DR. JEFFREY YOUNGKIN
AUSTIN FERTILITY CENTER
AUSTIN, TEXAS**

A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 11–20.

2010 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART ^a				Patient Diagnosis			
IVF	100%	Procedural Factors:		Tubal factor	0%	Other factor	16%
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	71%	Ovulatory dysfunction	5%	Unknown factor	16%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	0%	Diminished ovarian reserve	0%	<i>Multiple Factors:</i>	
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	0%	Endometriosis	16%	Female factors only	16%
		Used PGD	0%	Uterine factor	0%	Female & male factors	0%
		With eSET	0%	Male factor	32%		

2010 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by Jeffrey T. Youngkin, MD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman				
	<35	35–37	38–40	41–42	43–44 ^d
Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of cycles	4	6	3	1	0
Percentage of embryos transferred resulting in implantation ^b	2 / 9	1 / 13	0 / 7	0 / 1	
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies ^b	1 / 4	1 / 6	0 / 3	0 / 1	
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births ^{b,c}	1 / 4	1 / 6	0 / 3	0 / 1	
(Confidence Interval)					
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births ^{b,c}	1 / 4	1 / 4	0 / 3	0 / 1	
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births ^{b,c}	1 / 4	1 / 4	0 / 3	0 / 1	
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births ^b	0 / 4	1 / 4	0 / 3	0 / 1	
Percentage of cancellations ^b	0 / 4	2 / 6	0 / 3	0 / 1	
Average number of embryos transferred	2.3	3.3	2.3	1.0	
Percentage of pregnancies with twins ^b	1 / 1	0 / 1			
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more ^b	0 / 1	0 / 1			
Percentage of live births having multiple infants ^{b,c}	1 / 1	0 / 1			
Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of transfers	3	0	1	1	0
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births ^{b,c}	0 / 3		1 / 1	0 / 1	
Average number of embryos transferred	1.7		1.0	1.0	
All Ages Combined^e					
Donor Eggs	Fresh Embryos		Frozen Embryos		
Number of transfers	0		0		
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births ^{b,c}					
Average number of embryos transferred					

CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

Current Name: Dr. Jeffrey Youngkin, Austin Fertility Center

Donor egg?	No	Gestational carriers?	No	SART member?	Yes
Donor embryo?	No	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	Yes
Single women?	No			<i>(See Appendix C for details.)</i>	

^a Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2010 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.

^b When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.

^c A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.

^d Clinic-specific outcome rates for women older than 44 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs are not included because of small numbers.

^e All ages (including ages >44) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.

CENTER FOR ASSISTED REPRODUCTION BEDFORD, TEXAS

A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 11–20.

2010 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART ^a				Patient Diagnosis			
IVF	100%	Procedural Factors:		Tubal factor	7%	Other factor	11%
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	64%	Ovulatory dysfunction	8%	Unknown factor	5%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	0%	Diminished ovarian reserve	12%	<i>Multiple Factors:</i>	
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	2%	Endometriosis	4%	Female factors only	10%
		Used PGD	<1%	Uterine factor	<1%	Female & male factors	28%
		With eSET	8%	Male factor	15%		

2010 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by Kevin J. Doody, MD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman				
	<35	35–37	38–40	41–42	43–44 ^d
Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of cycles	122	69	81	33	17
Percentage of embryos transferred resulting in implantation ^b	43.1	27.6	27.1	10.6	9.5
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies ^b	48.4	34.8	29.6	24.2	5 / 17
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births ^{b,c}	46.7	27.5	24.7	12.1	2 / 17
(Confidence Interval)	(37.6–56.0)	(17.5–39.6)	(15.8–35.5)	(3.4–28.2)	
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births ^{b,c}	46.7	28.4	27.4	12.9	2 / 12
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births ^{b,c}	50.4	30.6	31.7	16.0	2 / 9
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births ^b	28.3	19.4	20.6	16.0	2 / 9
Percentage of cancellations ^b	0.0	2.9	9.9	6.1	5 / 17
Average number of embryos transferred	1.8	1.9	2.0	2.6	2.3
Percentage of pregnancies with twins ^b	47.5	20.8	33.3	1 / 8	0 / 5
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more ^b	3.4	8.3	8.3	0 / 8	0 / 5
Percentage of live births having multiple infants ^{b,c}	43.9	7 / 19	35.0	0 / 4	0 / 2
Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of transfers	66	34	20	7	2
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births ^{b,c}	51.5	38.2	50.0	2 / 7	1 / 2
Average number of embryos transferred	1.8	1.9	1.9	2.6	2.5
All Ages Combined^e					
	Fresh Embryos		Frozen Embryos		
Number of transfers	18		34		
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births ^{b,c}	15 / 18		52.9		
Average number of embryos transferred	1.9		2.0		

CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

Current Name: Center for Assisted Reproduction

Donor egg?	Yes	Gestational carriers?	Yes	SART member?	Yes
Donor embryo?	Yes	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	Yes
Single women?	Yes	(See Appendix C for details.)			

^a Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2010 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.

^b When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.

^c A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.

^d Clinic-specific outcome rates for women older than 44 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs are not included because of small numbers.

^e All ages (including ages >44) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.

DALLAS-FORT WORTH FERTILITY ASSOCIATES DALLAS, TEXAS

A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 11–20.

2010 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART ^a				Patient Diagnosis			
IVF	100%	Procedural Factors:		Tubal factor	5%	Other factor	3%
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	46%	Ovulatory dysfunction	7%	Unknown factor	2%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	0%	Diminished ovarian reserve	15%	<i>Multiple Factors:</i>	
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	2%	Endometriosis	2%	Female factors only	23%
		Used PGD	<1%	Uterine factor	<1%	Female & male factors	31%
		With eSET	3%	Male factor	11%		

2010 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by Samuel J. Chantilis, MD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman				
	<35	35–37	38–40	41–42	43–44 ^d
Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of cycles	168	95	82	28	4
Percentage of embryos transferred resulting in implantation ^b	35.8	29.5	18.7	12.7	0 / 7
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies ^b	47.6	38.9	28.0	28.6	0 / 4
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births ^{b,c}	41.1	33.7	23.2	14.3	0 / 4
(Confidence Interval)	(33.6–48.9)	(24.3–44.1)	(14.6–33.8)	(4.0–32.7)	
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births ^{b,c}	43.4	37.6	29.2	16.7	0 / 3
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births ^{b,c}	44.8	40.5	32.2	4 / 19	0 / 2
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births ^b	31.2	29.1	23.7	3 / 19	0 / 2
Percentage of cancellations ^b	5.4	10.5	20.7	14.3	1 / 4
Average number of embryos transferred	1.9	2.2	2.5	3.3	3.5
Percentage of pregnancies with twins ^b	28.8	32.4	26.1	1 / 8	
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more ^b	5.0	5.4	0.0	0 / 8	
Percentage of live births having multiple infants ^{b,c}	30.4	28.1	5 / 19	1 / 4	
Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of transfers	22	12	19	1	1
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births ^{b,c}	68.2	4 / 12	8 / 19	0 / 1	1 / 1
Average number of embryos transferred	1.5	1.8	1.8	2.0	3.0
All Ages Combined^e					
	Fresh Embryos		Frozen Embryos		
Number of transfers	56		30		
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births ^{b,c}	69.6		60.0		
Average number of embryos transferred	1.9		1.7		

CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

Current Name: Dallas-Fort Worth Fertility Associates

Donor egg?	Yes	Gestational carriers?	Yes	SART member?	Yes
Donor embryo?	Yes	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	Yes
Single women?	No			<i>(See Appendix C for details.)</i>	

^a Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2010 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.

^b When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.

^c A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.

^d Clinic-specific outcome rates for women older than 44 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs are not included because of small numbers.

^e All ages (including ages >44) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.

FERTILITY AND ADVANCED REPRODUCTIVE MEDICINE DALLAS, TEXAS

A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 11–20.

2010 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART ^a			Patient Diagnosis			
IVF	100%	Procedural Factors:	Tubal factor	25%	Other factor	0%
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	Ovulatory dysfunction	14%	Unknown factor	10%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	Diminished ovarian reserve	22%	<i>Multiple Factors:</i>	
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	Endometriosis	9%	Female factors only	0%
		Used PGD	Uterine factor	3%	Female & male factors	7%
		With eSET	Male factor	10%		

2010 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by Karen Bradshaw, MD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman				
	<35	35–37	38–40	41–42	43–44 ^d
Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of cycles	24	6	12	3	1
Percentage of embryos transferred resulting in implantation ^b	27.3	5 / 9	1 / 17	0 / 3	
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies ^b	25.0	2 / 6	1 / 12	0 / 3	0 / 1
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births ^{b,c}	20.8	2 / 6	1 / 12	0 / 3	0 / 1
(Confidence Interval)	(7.1–42.2)				
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births ^{b,c}	5 / 19	2 / 5	1 / 9	0 / 1	
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births ^{b,c}	5 / 18	2 / 5	1 / 8	0 / 1	
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births ^b	3 / 18	1 / 5	1 / 8	0 / 1	
Percentage of cancellations ^b	20.8	1 / 6	3 / 12	2 / 3	1 / 1
Average number of embryos transferred	1.8	1.8	2.1	3.0	
Percentage of pregnancies with twins ^b	3 / 6	1 / 2	0 / 1		
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more ^b	0 / 6	1 / 2	0 / 1		
Percentage of live births having multiple infants ^{b,c}	2 / 5	1 / 2	0 / 1		
Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of transfers	4	4	0	1	0
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births ^{b,c}	1 / 4	2 / 4		1 / 1	
Average number of embryos transferred	2.0	1.8		2.0	
All Ages Combined^e					
Donor Eggs		Fresh Embryos		Frozen Embryos	
Number of transfers		0		2	
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births ^{b,c}				2 / 2	
Average number of embryos transferred				1.5	

CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

Current Name: Fertility and Advanced Reproductive Medicine

Donor egg?	No	Gestational carriers?	No	SART member?	No
Donor embryo?	No	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	Yes
Single women?	Yes	(See Appendix C for details.)			

^a Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2010 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.

^b When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.

^c A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.

^d Clinic-specific outcome rates for women older than 44 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs are not included because of small numbers.

^e All ages (including ages >44) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.

FERTILITY SPECIALISTS OF DALLAS, PA DALLAS, TEXAS

A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 11–20.

2010 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART ^a				Patient Diagnosis			
IVF	100%	Procedural Factors:		Tubal factor	21%	Other factor	5%
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	36%	Ovulatory dysfunction	12%	Unknown factor	18%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	0%	Diminished ovarian reserve	7%	<i>Multiple Factors:</i>	
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	<1%	Endometriosis	2%	Female factors only	5%
		Used PGD	0%	Uterine factor	0%	Female & male factors	10%
		With eSET	3%	Male factor	22%		

2010 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by Jerald S. Goldstein, MD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman				
	<35	35–37	38–40	41–42	43–44 ^d
Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of cycles	61	19	19	10	0
Percentage of embryos transferred resulting in implantation ^b	44.3	33.3	19.4	26.9	
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies ^b	65.6	8 / 19	7 / 19	5 / 10	
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births ^{b,c}	57.4	8 / 19	4 / 19	4 / 10	
(Confidence Interval)	(44.1–70.0)				
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births ^{b,c}	62.5	8 / 14	4 / 15	4 / 8	
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births ^{b,c}	64.8	8 / 14	4 / 15	4 / 8	
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births ^b	46.3	7 / 14	3 / 15	2 / 8	
Percentage of cancellations ^b	8.2	5 / 19	4 / 19	2 / 10	
Average number of embryos transferred	2.0	2.1	2.4	3.3	
Percentage of pregnancies with twins ^b	27.5	2 / 8	2 / 7	3 / 5	
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more ^b	0.0	0 / 8	0 / 7	0 / 5	
Percentage of live births having multiple infants ^{b,c}	28.6	1 / 8	1 / 4	2 / 4	
Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of transfers	0	1	0	0	0
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births ^{b,c}		1 / 1			
Average number of embryos transferred		2.0			
All Ages Combined^e					
	Fresh Embryos		Frozen Embryos		
Number of transfers	7		2		
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births ^{b,c}	5 / 7		2 / 2		
Average number of embryos transferred	2.1		1.5		

CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

Current Name: Fertility Specialists of Texas, PLLC

Donor egg?	Yes	Gestational carriers?	Yes	SART member?	Yes
Donor embryo?	Yes	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	Yes
Single women?	Yes	(See Appendix C for details.)			

^a Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2010 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.

^b When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.

^c A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.

^d Clinic-specific outcome rates for women older than 44 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs are not included because of small numbers.

^e All ages (including ages >44) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.

IVF INSTITUTE DALLAS, TEXAS

A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 11–20.

2010 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART ^a				Patient Diagnosis			
IVF	100%	Procedural Factors:		Tubal factor	0%	Other factor	3%
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	46%	Ovulatory dysfunction	0%	Unknown factor	0%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	0%	Diminished ovarian reserve	9%	<i>Multiple Factors:</i>	
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	0%	Endometriosis	0%	Female factors only	33%
		Used PGD	4%	Uterine factor	0%	Female & male factors	55%
		With eSET	0%	Male factor	0%		

2010 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by Noel Peng, MD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman				
	<35	35–37	38–40	41–42	43–44 ^d
Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of cycles	13	2	6	6	1
Percentage of embryos transferred resulting in implantation ^b	46.2	1 / 3	2 / 8	0 / 10	0 / 3
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies ^b	10 / 13	2 / 2	3 / 6	0 / 6	0 / 1
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births ^{b,c}	7 / 13	1 / 2	2 / 6	0 / 6	0 / 1
(Confidence Interval)					
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births ^{b,c}	7 / 13	1 / 2	2 / 3	0 / 5	0 / 1
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births ^{b,c}	7 / 13	1 / 2	2 / 3	0 / 2	0 / 1
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births ^b	3 / 13	1 / 2	2 / 3	0 / 2	0 / 1
Percentage of cancellations ^b	0 / 13	0 / 2	3 / 6	1 / 6	0 / 1
Average number of embryos transferred	2.0	1.5	2.7	5.0	3.0
Percentage of pregnancies with twins ^b	4 / 10	0 / 2	0 / 3		
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more ^b	0 / 10	0 / 2	0 / 3		
Percentage of live births having multiple infants ^{b,c}	4 / 7	0 / 1	0 / 2		
Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of transfers	1	1	1	0	1
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births ^{b,c}	0 / 1	0 / 1	1 / 1		0 / 1
Average number of embryos transferred	2.0	3.0	3.0		4.0
All Ages Combined^e					
Donor Eggs	Fresh Embryos		Frozen Embryos		
Number of transfers	1		0		
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births ^{b,c}	1 / 1				
Average number of embryos transferred	2.0				

CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

Current Name: IVF Institute

Donor egg?	Yes	Gestational carriers?	Yes	SART member?	No
Donor embryo?	No	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	Yes
Single women?	Yes	(See Appendix C for details.)			

^a Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2010 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.

^b When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.

^c A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.

^d Clinic-specific outcome rates for women older than 44 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs are not included because of small numbers.

^e All ages (including ages >44) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.

REPRODUCED FERTILITY CENTER DALLAS, TEXAS

A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 11–20.

2010 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART ^a				Patient Diagnosis			
IVF	100%	Procedural Factors:		Tubal factor	11%	Other factor	0%
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	38%	Ovulatory dysfunction	19%	Unknown factor	11%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	0%	Diminished ovarian reserve	19%	<i>Multiple Factors:</i>	
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	0%	Endometriosis	4%	Female factors only	22%
		Used PGD	0%	Uterine factor	0%	Female & male factors	9%
		With eSET	0%	Male factor	6%		

2010 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by Anil B. Pinto, MD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman				
	<35	35–37	38–40	41–42	43–44 ^d
Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of cycles	20	4	10	0	0
Percentage of embryos transferred resulting in implantation ^b	34.1	3 / 8	21.7		
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies ^b	55.0	3 / 4	5 / 10		
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births ^{b,c}	55.0	3 / 4	5 / 10		
(Confidence Interval)	(31.5–76.9)				
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births ^{b,c}	11 / 17	3 / 3	5 / 10		
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births ^{b,c}	11 / 17	3 / 3	5 / 10		
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births ^b	8 / 17	3 / 3	5 / 10		
Percentage of cancellations ^b	15.0	1 / 4	0 / 10		
Average number of embryos transferred	2.4	2.7	2.3		
Percentage of pregnancies with twins ^b	3 / 11	0 / 3	0 / 5		
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more ^b	0 / 11	0 / 3	0 / 5		
Percentage of live births having multiple infants ^{b,c}	3 / 11	0 / 3	0 / 5		
Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of transfers	8	3	3	0	0
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births ^{b,c}	5 / 8	1 / 3	0 / 3		
Average number of embryos transferred	2.0	2.3	3.3		
All Ages Combined^e					
Donor Eggs		Fresh Embryos		Frozen Embryos	
Number of transfers		3		3	
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births ^{b,c}		2 / 3		3 / 3	
Average number of embryos transferred		2.3		2.3	

CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

Current Name: ReproMed Fertility Center

Donor egg?	Yes	Gestational carriers?	Yes	SART member?	No
Donor embryo?	No	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	Yes
Single women?	Yes	(See Appendix C for details.)			

^a Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2010 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.

^b When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.

^c A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.

^d Clinic-specific outcome rates for women older than 44 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs are not included because of small numbers.

^e All ages (including ages >44) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.

SHER INSTITUTE FOR REPRODUCTIVE MEDICINE-DALLAS DALLAS, TEXAS

A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 11–20.

2010 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART ^a				Patient Diagnosis			
IVF	100%	Procedural Factors:		Tubal factor	6%	Other factor	15%
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	88%	Ovulatory dysfunction	2%	Unknown factor	11%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	0%	Diminished ovarian reserve	41%	<i>Multiple Factors:</i>	
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	2%	Endometriosis	<1%	Female factors only	7%
		Used PGD	41%	Uterine factor	<1%	Female & male factors	13%
		With eSET	5%	Male factor	4%		

2010 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by Walid Saleh, MD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman				
	<35	35–37	38–40	41–42	43–44 ^d
Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of cycles	89	27	35	13	5
Percentage of embryos transferred resulting in implantation ^b	41.6	47.5	27.3	2 / 7	0 / 4
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies ^b	53.9	40.7	20.0	3 / 13	0 / 5
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births ^{b,c}	43.8	33.3	17.1	2 / 13	0 / 5
(Confidence Interval)	(33.3–54.7)	(16.5–54.0)	(6.6–33.6)		
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births ^{b,c}	45.9	36.0	18.8	2 / 12	0 / 4
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births ^{b,c}	50.0	42.9	6 / 19	2 / 7	0 / 3
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births ^b	29.5	19.0	4 / 19	2 / 7	0 / 3
Percentage of cancellations ^b	4.5	7.4	8.6	1 / 13	1 / 5
Average number of embryos transferred	2.1	1.9	1.7	1.0	1.3
Percentage of pregnancies with twins ^b	35.4	6 / 11	2 / 7	0 / 3	
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more ^b	6.3	1 / 11	0 / 7	0 / 3	
Percentage of live births having multiple infants ^{b,c}	41.0	5 / 9	2 / 6	0 / 2	
Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of transfers	28	6	4	1	0
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births ^{b,c}	50.0	1 / 6	3 / 4	1 / 1	
Average number of embryos transferred	2.5	2.0	3.0	2.0	
All Ages Combined^e					
Donor Eggs		Fresh Embryos		Frozen Embryos	
Number of transfers		26		20	
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births ^{b,c}		53.8		35.0	
Average number of embryos transferred		2.2		2.2	

CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

Current Name: Sher Institute for Reproductive Medicine-Dallas

Donor egg?	Yes	Gestational carriers?	Yes	SART member?	Yes
Donor embryo?	No	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	Yes
Single women?	Yes	(See Appendix C for details.)			

^a Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2010 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.

^b When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.

^c A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.

^d Clinic-specific outcome rates for women older than 44 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs are not included because of small numbers.

^e All ages (including ages >44) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.

TEXAS CENTER FOR REPRODUCTIVE HEALTH DALLAS, TEXAS

A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 11–20.

2010 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART ^a			Patient Diagnosis				
IVF	100%	Procedural Factors:	Tubal factor	4%	Other factor	7%	
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	84%	Ovulatory dysfunction	6%	Unknown factor	3%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	2%	Diminished ovarian reserve	9%	<i>Multiple Factors:</i>	
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	3%	Endometriosis	6%	Female factors only	23%
		Used PGD	7%	Uterine factor	2%	Female & male factors	25%
		With eSET	6%	Male factor	16%		

2010 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by Samuel P. Marynick, MD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman				
	<35	35–37	38–40	41–42	43–44 ^d
Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of cycles	31	16	15	5	0
Percentage of embryos transferred resulting in implantation ^b	49.1	44.4	12.8	4 / 17	
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies ^b	67.7	10 / 16	4 / 15	3 / 5	
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births ^{b,c}	54.8	9 / 16	4 / 15	2 / 5	
(Confidence Interval)	(36.0–72.7)				
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births ^{b,c}	54.8	9 / 16	4 / 14	2 / 4	
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births ^{b,c}	60.7	9 / 15	4 / 12	2 / 4	
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births ^b	50.0	6 / 15	3 / 12	1 / 4	
Percentage of cancellations ^b	0.0	0 / 16	1 / 15	1 / 5	
Average number of embryos transferred	1.9	2.4	3.3	4.3	
Percentage of pregnancies with twins ^b	28.6	3 / 10	1 / 4	0 / 3	
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more ^b	0.0	2 / 10	0 / 4	1 / 3	
Percentage of live births having multiple infants ^{b,c}	3 / 17	3 / 9	1 / 4	1 / 2	
Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of transfers	23	14	16	6	3
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births ^{b,c}	34.8	8 / 14	6 / 16	2 / 6	2 / 3
Average number of embryos transferred	2.1	2.4	3.4	4.0	4.3
All Ages Combined^e					
	Fresh Embryos		Frozen Embryos		
Number of transfers	16		16		
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births ^{b,c}	8 / 16		7 / 16		
Average number of embryos transferred	1.9		2.2		

CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

Current Name: Texas Center for Reproductive Health

Donor egg?	Yes	Gestational carriers?	Yes	SART member?	Yes
Donor embryo?	Yes	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	Yes
Single women?	Yes	(See Appendix C for details.)			

^a Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2010 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.

^b When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.

^c A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.

^d Clinic-specific outcome rates for women older than 44 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs are not included because of small numbers.

^e All ages (including ages >44) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.

THE WOMEN'S PLACE DESOTO, TEXAS

A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 11–20.

2010 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART ^a				Patient Diagnosis			
IVF	100%	Procedural Factors:		Tubal factor	26%	Other factor	0%
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	27%	Ovulatory dysfunction	5%	Unknown factor	26%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	0%	Diminished ovarian reserve	5%	<i>Multiple Factors:</i>	
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	0%	Endometriosis	0%	Female factors only	16%
		Used PGD	0%	Uterine factor	0%	Female & male factors	0%
		With eSET	0%	Male factor	21%		

2010 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by Lisa A. King, MD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman				
	<35	35–37	38–40	41–42	43–44 ^d
Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of cycles	7	6	2	0	0
Percentage of embryos transferred resulting in implantation ^b	0 / 9	1 / 7	0 / 3		
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies ^b	0 / 7	1 / 6	0 / 2		
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births ^{b,c}	0 / 7	1 / 6	0 / 2		
(Confidence Interval)					
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births ^{b,c}	0 / 5	1 / 4	0 / 1		
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births ^{b,c}	0 / 4	1 / 3	0 / 1		
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births ^b	0 / 4	1 / 3	0 / 1		
Percentage of cancellations ^b	2 / 7	2 / 6	1 / 2		
Average number of embryos transferred	2.3	2.3	3.0		
Percentage of pregnancies with twins ^b		0 / 1			
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more ^b		0 / 1			
Percentage of live births having multiple infants ^{b,c}		0 / 1			
Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of transfers	2	0	0	0	0
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births ^{b,c}	0 / 2				
Average number of embryos transferred	1.5				
All Ages Combined^e					
Donor Eggs	Fresh Embryos		Frozen Embryos		
Number of transfers	1		0		
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births ^{b,c}	0 / 1				
Average number of embryos transferred	2.0				

CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

Current Name: The Women's Place

Donor egg?	Yes	Gestational carriers?	Yes	SART member?	Yes
Donor embryo?	Yes	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	Yes
Single women?	No			(See Appendix C for details.)	

^a Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2010 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.

^b When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.

^c A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.

^d Clinic-specific outcome rates for women older than 44 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs are not included because of small numbers.

^e All ages (including ages >44) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.

UNIVERSITY FERTILITY CENTER DICKINSON, TEXAS

A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 11–20.

2010 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART ^a				Patient Diagnosis			
IVF	100%	Procedural Factors:		Tubal factor	15%	Other factor	4%
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	38%	Ovulatory dysfunction	6%	Unknown factor	9%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	0%	Diminished ovarian reserve	2%	<i>Multiple Factors:</i>	
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	0%	Endometriosis	4%	Female factors only	23%
		Used PGD	0%	Uterine factor	0%	Female & male factors	26%
		With eSET	0%	Male factor	11%		

2010 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by John Y. Phelps, MD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman				
	<35	35–37	38–40	41–42	43–44 ^d
Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of cycles	26	5	2	1	0
Percentage of embryos transferred resulting in implantation ^b	52.7	4 / 15	0 / 2		
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies ^b	61.5	3 / 5	0 / 2	0 / 1	
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births ^{b,c}	46.2	2 / 5	0 / 2	0 / 1	
(Confidence Interval)	(26.6–66.6)				
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births ^{b,c}	52.2	2 / 5	0 / 1		
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births ^{b,c}	52.2	2 / 5	0 / 1		
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births ^b	26.1	1 / 5	0 / 1		
Percentage of cancellations ^b	11.5	0 / 5	1 / 2	1 / 1	
Average number of embryos transferred	2.4	3.0	2.0		
Percentage of pregnancies with twins ^b	8 / 16	1 / 3			
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more ^b	2 / 16	0 / 3			
Percentage of live births having multiple infants ^{b,c}	6 / 12	1 / 2			
Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of transfers	3	2	2	0	0
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births ^{b,c}	1 / 3	1 / 2	0 / 2		
Average number of embryos transferred	2.3	3.0	3.0		
All Ages Combined^e					
Donor Eggs		Fresh Embryos		Frozen Embryos	
Number of transfers		3		2	
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births ^{b,c}		3 / 3		0 / 2	
Average number of embryos transferred		2.3		2.5	

CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

Current Name: University Fertility Center

Donor egg?	Yes	Gestational carriers?	Yes	SART member?	Yes
Donor embryo?	Yes	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	Yes
Single women?	Yes	(See Appendix C for details.)			

^a Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2010 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.

^b When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.

^c A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.

^d Clinic-specific outcome rates for women older than 44 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs are not included because of small numbers.

^e All ages (including ages >44) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.

SOUTHWEST CENTER FOR REPRODUCTIVE HEALTH, PA EL PASO, TEXAS

A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 11–20.

2010 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART ^a				Patient Diagnosis			
IVF	100%	Procedural Factors:		Tubal factor	12%	Other factor	<1%
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	93%	Ovulatory dysfunction	3%	Unknown factor	2%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	0%	Diminished ovarian reserve	7%	<i>Multiple Factors:</i>	
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	1%	Endometriosis	4%	Female factors only	29%
		Used PGD	0%	Uterine factor	<1%	Female & male factors	33%
		With eSET	0%	Male factor	10%		

2010 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by Luis S. Noble, MD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman				
	<35	35–37	38–40	41–42	43–44 ^d
Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of cycles	38	30	14	7	0
Percentage of embryos transferred resulting in implantation ^b	38.6	30.9	13.9	1 / 13	
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies ^b	36.8	46.7	5 / 14	1 / 7	
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births ^{b,c}	34.2	36.7	3 / 14	1 / 7	
(Confidence Interval)	(19.6–51.4)	(19.9–56.1)			
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births ^{b,c}	36.1	37.9	3 / 13	1 / 5	
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births ^{b,c}	46.4	45.8	3 / 13	1 / 5	
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births ^b	21.4	33.3	1 / 13	1 / 5	
Percentage of cancellations ^b	5.3	3.3	1 / 14	2 / 7	
Average number of embryos transferred	2.0	2.3	2.8	2.6	
Percentage of pregnancies with twins ^b	7 / 14	3 / 14	2 / 5	0 / 1	
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more ^b	1 / 14	0 / 14	0 / 5	0 / 1	
Percentage of live births having multiple infants ^{b,c}	7 / 13	3 / 11	2 / 3	0 / 1	
Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of transfers	15	14	10	3	0
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births ^{b,c}	8 / 15	7 / 14	6 / 10	1 / 3	
Average number of embryos transferred	2.1	2.1	1.8	1.7	
All Ages Combined^e					
Donor Eggs	Fresh Embryos		Frozen Embryos		
Number of transfers	14		4		
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births ^{b,c}	11 / 14		0 / 4		
Average number of embryos transferred	1.9		2.0		

CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

Current Name: Southwest Center for Reproductive Health, PA

Donor egg?	Yes	Gestational carriers?	Yes	SART member?	Yes
Donor embryo?	Yes	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	No
Single women?	Yes	(See Appendix C for details.)			

^a Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2010 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.

^b When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.

^c A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.

^d Clinic-specific outcome rates for women older than 44 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs are not included because of small numbers.

^e All ages (including ages >44) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.

FORT WORTH FERTILITY, PA FORT WORTH, TEXAS

A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 11–20.

2010 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART ^a				Patient Diagnosis			
IVF	100%	Procedural Factors:		Tubal factor	14%	Other factor	9%
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	81%	Ovulatory dysfunction	5%	Unknown factor	21%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	<1%	Diminished ovarian reserve	14%	<i>Multiple Factors:</i>	
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	<1%	Endometriosis	<1%	Female factors only	7%
		Used PGD	5%	Uterine factor	<1%	Female & male factors	6%
		With eSET	8%	Male factor	24%		

2010 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by Robert Kaufmann, MD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman				
	<35	35–37	38–40	41–42	43–44 ^d
Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of cycles	151	59	46	20	7
Percentage of embryos transferred resulting in implantation ^b	37.8	19.8	18.8	7.7	1 / 6
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies ^b	51.0	27.1	26.1	20.0	1 / 7
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births ^{b,c}	47.7	23.7	21.7	0.0	0 / 7
(Confidence Interval)	(39.5–56.0)	(13.6–36.6)	(10.9–36.4)	(0.0–16.8)	
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births ^{b,c}	48.6	23.7	22.2	0 / 18	0 / 6
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births ^{b,c}	50.3	27.5	27.8	0 / 16	0 / 2
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births ^b	35.0	21.6	25.0	0 / 16	0 / 2
Percentage of cancellations ^b	2.0	0.0	2.2	10.0	1 / 7
Average number of embryos transferred	2.0	2.0	2.2	2.4	3.0
Percentage of pregnancies with twins ^b	35.1	4 / 16	3 / 12	0 / 4	0 / 1
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more ^b	2.6	0 / 16	0 / 12	0 / 4	0 / 1
Percentage of live births having multiple infants ^{b,c}	30.6	3 / 14	1 / 10		
Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of transfers	31	10	6	0	1
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births ^{b,c}	35.5	4 / 10	1 / 6		0 / 1
Average number of embryos transferred	1.7	1.5	1.7		2.0
All Ages Combined^e					
	Fresh Embryos		Frozen Embryos		
Number of transfers	15		2		
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births ^{b,c}	9 / 15		1 / 2		
Average number of embryos transferred	1.9		1.5		

CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

Current Name: Fort Worth Fertility, PA

Donor egg?	Yes	Gestational carriers?	Yes	SART member?	Yes
Donor embryo?	Yes	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	Yes
Single women?	Yes			(See Appendix C for details.)	

^a Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2010 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.

^b When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.

^c A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.

^d Clinic-specific outcome rates for women older than 44 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs are not included because of small numbers.

^e All ages (including ages >44) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.

DALLAS IVF FRISCO, TEXAS

A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 11–20.

2010 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART ^a				Patient Diagnosis			
IVF	100%	Procedural Factors:		Tubal factor	9%	Other factor	4%
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	49%	Ovulatory dysfunction	6%	Unknown factor	10%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	0%	Diminished ovarian reserve	16%	<i>Multiple Factors:</i>	
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	<1%	Endometriosis	3%	Female factors only	4%
		Used PGD	4%	Uterine factor	<1%	Female & male factors	9%
		With eSET	2%	Male factor	39%		

2010 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by Brian D. Barnett, MD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman				
	<35	35–37	38–40	41–42	43–44 ^d
Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of cycles	138	67	53	11	6
Percentage of embryos transferred resulting in implantation ^b	50.6	29.7	11.0	13.3	2 / 13
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies ^b	60.9	44.8	20.8	2 / 11	2 / 6
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births ^{b,c}	55.1	38.8	13.2	2 / 11	2 / 6
(Confidence Interval)	(46.4–63.5)	(27.1–51.5)	(5.5–25.3)		
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births ^{b,c}	59.4	42.6	15.9	2 / 10	2 / 5
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births ^{b,c}	60.3	44.1	17.1	2 / 9	2 / 4
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births ^b	25.4	35.6	12.2	1 / 9	2 / 4
Percentage of cancellations ^b	7.2	9.0	17.0	1 / 11	1 / 6
Average number of embryos transferred	2.2	2.2	2.7	3.3	3.3
Percentage of pregnancies with twins ^b	53.6	30.0	3 / 11	0 / 2	0 / 2
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more ^b	6.0	0.0	0 / 11	1 / 2	0 / 2
Percentage of live births having multiple infants ^{b,c}	57.9	19.2	2 / 7	1 / 2	0 / 2
Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of transfers	27	8	7	2	0
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births ^{b,c}	48.1	5 / 8	3 / 7	1 / 2	
Average number of embryos transferred	1.7	1.8	1.4	2.5	
All Ages Combined^e					
Donor Eggs		Fresh Embryos		Frozen Embryos	
Number of transfers		19		9	
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births ^{b,c}		11 / 19		2 / 9	
Average number of embryos transferred		1.9		2.1	

CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

Current Name: Dallas IVF

Donor egg?	Yes	Gestational carriers?	Yes	SART member?	Yes
Donor embryo?	Yes	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	Yes
Single women?	Yes			(See Appendix C for details.)	

^a Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2010 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.

^b When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.

^c A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.

^d Clinic-specific outcome rates for women older than 44 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs are not included because of small numbers.

^e All ages (including ages >44) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.

ADVANCED FERTILITY CENTER OF TEXAS HOUSTON, TEXAS

A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 11–20.

2010 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART ^a				Patient Diagnosis			
IVF	100%	Procedural Factors:		Tubal factor	9%	Other factor	11%
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	94%	Ovulatory dysfunction	13%	Unknown factor	2%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	0%	Diminished ovarian reserve	3%	<i>Multiple Factors:</i>	
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	0%	Endometriosis	7%	Female factors only	23%
		Used PGD	5%	Uterine factor	<1%	Female & male factors	24%
		With eSET	<1%	Male factor	7%		

2010 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by Michael A. Allon, MD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman				
	<35	35–37	38–40	41–42	43–44 ^d
Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of cycles	70	15	33	8	4
Percentage of embryos transferred resulting in implantation ^b	30.2	37.9	13.4	9.1	0 / 6
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies ^b	50.0	10 / 15	33.3	2 / 8	0 / 4
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births ^{b,c}	37.1	9 / 15	18.2	1 / 8	0 / 4
(Confidence Interval)	(25.9–49.5)		(7.0–35.5)		
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births ^{b,c}	38.2	9 / 15	18.8	1 / 7	0 / 2
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births ^{b,c}	43.3	9 / 13	20.7	1 / 7	0 / 2
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births ^b	26.7	8 / 13	17.2	0 / 7	0 / 2
Percentage of cancellations ^b	2.9	0 / 15	3.0	1 / 8	2 / 4
Average number of embryos transferred	2.3	2.2	2.8	3.1	3.0
Percentage of pregnancies with twins ^b	31.4	2 / 10	2 / 11	1 / 2	
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more ^b	2.9	0 / 10	0 / 11	0 / 2	
Percentage of live births having multiple infants ^{b,c}	38.5	1 / 9	1 / 6	1 / 1	
Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of transfers	28	7	9	3	0
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births ^{b,c}	50.0	2 / 7	2 / 9	2 / 3	
Average number of embryos transferred	2.1	2.0	2.3	2.3	
All Ages Combined^e					
	Fresh Embryos		Frozen Embryos		
Number of transfers	14		7		
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births ^{b,c}	5 / 14		4 / 7		
Average number of embryos transferred	2.1		2.3		

CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

Current Name: Advanced Fertility Center of Texas

Donor egg?	Yes	Gestational carriers?	Yes	SART member?	Yes
Donor embryo?	No	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	Yes
Single women?	Yes	(See Appendix C for details.)			

^a Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2010 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.

^b When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.

^c A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.

^d Clinic-specific outcome rates for women older than 44 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs are not included because of small numbers.

^e All ages (including ages >44) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.

BAYLOR FAMILY FERTILITY PROGRAM HOUSTON, TEXAS

A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 11–20.

2010 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART ^a		Patient Diagnosis				
IVF	100%	Procedural Factors:	Tubal factor	15%	Other factor	1%
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	Ovulatory dysfunction	1%	Unknown factor	25%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	Diminished ovarian reserve	5%	<i>Multiple Factors:</i>	
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	Endometriosis	5%	Female factors only	7%
		Used PGD	Uterine factor	0%	Female & male factors	13%
		With eSET	Male factor	27%		

2010 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by William E. Gibbons, MD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman				
	<35	35–37	38–40	41–42	43–44 ^d
Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of cycles	32	14	8	13	4
Percentage of embryos transferred resulting in implantation ^b	26.4	9.4	1 / 14	8.7	0 / 5
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies ^b	28.1	3 / 14	1 / 8	2 / 13	0 / 4
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births ^{b,c}	28.1	3 / 14	0 / 8	2 / 13	0 / 4
(Confidence Interval)	(13.7–46.7)				
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births ^{b,c}	34.6	3 / 12	0 / 7	2 / 10	0 / 2
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births ^{b,c}	36.0	3 / 12	0 / 6	2 / 8	0 / 2
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births ^b	20.0	3 / 12	0 / 6	2 / 8	0 / 2
Percentage of cancellations ^b	18.8	2 / 14	1 / 8	3 / 13	2 / 4
Average number of embryos transferred	2.1	2.7	2.3	2.9	2.5
Percentage of pregnancies with twins ^b	5 / 9	0 / 3	0 / 1	0 / 2	
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more ^b	0 / 9	0 / 3	0 / 1	0 / 2	
Percentage of live births having multiple infants ^{b,c}	4 / 9	0 / 3		0 / 2	
Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of transfers	7	3	2	3	1
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births ^{b,c}	2 / 7	0 / 3	0 / 2	0 / 3	0 / 1
Average number of embryos transferred	1.9	2.0	3.0	3.0	3.0
All Ages Combined^e					
Donor Eggs	Fresh Embryos		Frozen Embryos		
Number of transfers	2		0		
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births ^{b,c}	0 / 2				
Average number of embryos transferred	2.0				

CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

Current Name: Baylor Family Fertility Program

Donor egg?	Yes	Gestational carriers?	Yes	SART member?	Yes
Donor embryo?	Yes	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	Yes
Single women?	Yes	(See Appendix C for details.)			

^a Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2010 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.

^b When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.

^c A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.

^d Clinic-specific outcome rates for women older than 44 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs are not included because of small numbers.

^e All ages (including ages >44) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.

FERTILITY SPECIALISTS OF HOUSTON HOUSTON, TEXAS

A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 11–20.

2010 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART ^a				Patient Diagnosis			
IVF	100%	Procedural Factors:		Tubal factor	4%	Other factor	6%
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	85%	Ovulatory dysfunction	8%	Unknown factor	4%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	0%	Diminished ovarian reserve	17%	<i>Multiple Factors:</i>	
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	1%	Endometriosis	5%	Female factors only	17%
		Used PGD	11%	Uterine factor	<1%	Female & male factors	24%
		With eSET	3%	Male factor	15%		

2010 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by George M. Grunert, MD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman				
	<35	35–37	38–40	41–42	43–44 ^d
Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of cycles	273	123	120	36	19
Percentage of embryos transferred resulting in implantation ^b	32.2	31.2	24.3	7.0	0.0
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies ^b	44.7	43.9	35.0	11.1	0 / 19
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births ^{b,c}	37.0	34.1	25.0	5.6	0 / 19
(Confidence Interval)	(31.3–43.0)	(25.8–43.2)	(17.5–33.7)	(0.7–18.7)	
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births ^{b,c}	40.4	40.4	31.6	6.5	0 / 12
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births ^{b,c}	44.1	43.3	36.1	7.4	0 / 8
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births ^b	29.3	26.8	27.7	7.4	0 / 8
Percentage of cancellations ^b	8.4	15.4	20.8	13.9	7 / 19
Average number of embryos transferred	2.2	2.2	2.6	2.6	2.5
Percentage of pregnancies with twins ^b	32.0	33.3	21.4	1 / 4	
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more ^b	2.5	3.7	4.8	0 / 4	
Percentage of live births having multiple infants ^{b,c}	33.7	38.1	23.3	0 / 2	
Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of transfers	85	35	24	8	4
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births ^{b,c}	41.2	37.1	33.3	3 / 8	1 / 4
Average number of embryos transferred	2.1	1.8	2.3	1.8	1.8
All Ages Combined^e					
	Fresh Embryos		Frozen Embryos		
Number of transfers	59		29		
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births ^{b,c}	64.4		41.4		
Average number of embryos transferred	2.0		2.2		

CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

Current Name: Fertility Specialists of Houston

Donor egg?	Yes	Gestational carriers?	Yes	SART member?	Yes
Donor embryo?	Yes	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	Yes
Single women?	Yes	(See Appendix C for details.)			

^a Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2010 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.

^b When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.

^c A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.

^d Clinic-specific outcome rates for women older than 44 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs are not included because of small numbers.

^e All ages (including ages >44) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.

HOUSTON FERTILITY INSTITUTE HOUSTON, TEXAS

A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 11–20.

2010 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART ^a				Patient Diagnosis			
IVF	100%	Procedural Factors:		Tubal factor	5%	Other factor	3%
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	95%	Ovulatory dysfunction	6%	Unknown factor	24%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	<1%	Diminished ovarian reserve	20%	<i>Multiple Factors:</i>	
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	<1%	Endometriosis	2%	Female factors only	14%
		Used PGD	6%	Uterine factor	2%	Female & male factors	12%
		With eSET	7%	Male factor	13%		

2010 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by Inderbir S. Gill, MD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman				
	<35	35–37	38–40	41–42	43–44 ^d
Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of cycles	338	159	129	50	17
Percentage of embryos transferred resulting in implantation ^b	45.6	32.0	27.4	13.9	0.0
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies ^b	61.5	45.9	44.2	30.0	1 / 17
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births ^{b,c}	51.5	38.4	34.1	18.0	0 / 17
(Confidence Interval)	(46.0–56.9)	(30.8–46.4)	(26.0–43.0)	(8.6–31.4)	
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births ^{b,c}	52.6	40.1	35.5	19.1	0 / 14
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births ^{b,c}	54.4	42.1	38.3	20.9	0 / 13
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births ^b	32.2	33.1	32.2	20.9	0 / 13
Percentage of cancellations ^b	2.1	4.4	3.9	6.0	3 / 17
Average number of embryos transferred	2.0	1.9	2.1	2.5	2.3
Percentage of pregnancies with twins ^b	40.4	23.3	21.1	1 / 15	0 / 1
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more ^b	1.9	1.4	1.8	0 / 15	0 / 1
Percentage of live births having multiple infants ^{b,c}	40.8	21.3	15.9	0 / 9	
Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of transfers	137	55	50	11	2
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births ^{b,c}	48.2	47.3	40.0	2 / 11	1 / 2
Average number of embryos transferred	1.9	2.0	1.8	1.9	2.0
All Ages Combined^e					
Donor Eggs		Fresh Embryos		Frozen Embryos	
Number of transfers		113		62	
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births ^{b,c}		61.1		43.5	
Average number of embryos transferred		2.0		2.0	

CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

Current Name: Houston Fertility Institute

Donor egg?	Yes	Gestational carriers?	Yes	SART member?	Yes
Donor embryo?	Yes	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	Yes
Single women?	Yes	(See Appendix C for details.)			

^a Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2010 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.

^b When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.

^c A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.

^d Clinic-specific outcome rates for women older than 44 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs are not included because of small numbers.

^e All ages (including ages >44) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.

**HOUSTON INFERTILITY CLINIC
SONJA KRISTIENSEN, MD
HOUSTON, TEXAS**

A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 11–20.

2010 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART ^a				Patient Diagnosis			
IVF	100%	Procedural Factors:		Tubal factor	8%	Other factor	13%
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	71%	Ovulatory dysfunction	0%	Unknown factor	13%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	0%	Diminished ovarian reserve	10%	<i>Multiple Factors:</i>	
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	2%	Endometriosis	0%	Female factors only	<1%
		Used PGD	10%	Uterine factor	2%	Female & male factors	18%
		With eSET	<1%	Male factor	36%		

2010 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by Sonja B. Kristiansen, MD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman				
	<35	35–37	38–40	41–42	43–44 ^d
Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of cycles	73	37	41	19	8
Percentage of embryos transferred resulting in implantation ^b	31.5	31.4	29.4	13.6	1 / 15
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies ^b	45.2	37.8	22.0	3 / 19	3 / 8
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births ^{b,c}	39.7	32.4	22.0	2 / 19	1 / 8
(Confidence Interval)	(28.5–51.9)	(18.0–49.8)	(10.6–37.6)		
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births ^{b,c}	43.3	37.5	30.0	2 / 15	1 / 6
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births ^{b,c}	46.8	44.4	36.0	2 / 10	1 / 6
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births ^b	35.5	29.6	24.0	2 / 10	1 / 6
Percentage of cancellations ^b	8.2	13.5	26.8	4 / 19	2 / 8
Average number of embryos transferred	2.0	1.9	2.0	2.2	2.5
Percentage of pregnancies with twins ^b	24.2	4 / 14	2 / 9	0 / 3	0 / 3
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more ^b	0.0	0 / 14	2 / 9	0 / 3	0 / 3
Percentage of live births having multiple infants ^{b,c}	24.1	4 / 12	3 / 9	0 / 2	0 / 1
Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of transfers	9	4	2	0	0
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births ^{b,c}	5 / 9	1 / 4	1 / 2		
Average number of embryos transferred	2.3	2.0	2.0		
All Ages Combined^e					
Donor Eggs	Fresh Embryos		Frozen Embryos		
Number of transfers	4		0		
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births ^{b,c}	3 / 4				
Average number of embryos transferred	2.5				

CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

Current Name: Houston Infertility Clinic, Sonja Kristiansen, MD

Donor egg?	Yes	Gestational carriers?	Yes	SART member?	Yes
Donor embryo?	Yes	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	Yes
Single women?	Yes			<i>(See Appendix C for details.)</i>	

^a Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2010 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.

^b When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.

^c A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.

^d Clinic-specific outcome rates for women older than 44 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs are not included because of small numbers.

^e All ages (including ages >44) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.

HOUSTON IVF HOUSTON, TEXAS

A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 11–20.

2010 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART ^a				Patient Diagnosis			
IVF	100%	Procedural Factors:		Tubal factor	5%	Other factor	1%
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	96%	Ovulatory dysfunction	5%	Unknown factor	19%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	0%	Diminished ovarian reserve	35%	<i>Multiple Factors:</i>	
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	2%	Endometriosis	5%	Female factors only	12%
		Used PGD	3%	Uterine factor	2%	Female & male factors	7%
		With eSET	3%	Male factor	8%		

2010 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by Timothy N. Hickman, MD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman				
	<35	35–37	38–40	41–42	43–44 ^d
Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of cycles	150	70	67	29	7
Percentage of embryos transferred resulting in implantation ^b	36.9	42.0	17.7	9.3	1 / 15
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies ^b	54.7	67.1	34.3	27.6	1 / 7
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births ^{b,c}	50.7	54.3	28.4	20.7	1 / 7
(Confidence Interval)	(42.4–58.9)	(41.9–66.3)	(18.0–40.7)	(8.0–39.7)	
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births ^{b,c}	51.0	55.9	29.2	20.7	1 / 5
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births ^{b,c}	51.7	56.7	30.2	20.7	1 / 5
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births ^b	32.0	32.8	22.2	20.7	1 / 5
Percentage of cancellations ^b	0.7	2.9	3.0	0.0	2 / 7
Average number of embryos transferred	2.0	2.2	2.6	3.7	3.0
Percentage of pregnancies with twins ^b	35.4	36.2	13.0	3 / 8	0 / 1
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more ^b	1.2	2.1	8.7	0 / 8	0 / 1
Percentage of live births having multiple infants ^{b,c}	38.2	42.1	5 / 19	0 / 6	0 / 1
Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of transfers	31	18	7	3	0
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births ^{b,c}	38.7	4 / 18	3 / 7	2 / 3	
Average number of embryos transferred	1.9	1.9	2.0	2.0	
All Ages Combined^e					
Donor Eggs		Fresh Embryos		Frozen Embryos	
Number of transfers		36		18	
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births ^{b,c}		77.8		3 / 18	
Average number of embryos transferred		1.9		1.6	

CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

Current Name: Houston IVF

Donor egg?	Yes	Gestational carriers?	Yes	SART member?	Yes
Donor embryo?	Yes	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	Yes
Single women?	Yes			(See Appendix C for details.)	

^a Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2010 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.

^b When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.

^c A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.

^d Clinic-specific outcome rates for women older than 44 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs are not included because of small numbers.

^e All ages (including ages >44) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.

**NORTH HOUSTON CENTER FOR REPRODUCTIVE MEDICINE, PA
(NHCRM)
HOUSTON, TEXAS**

A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 11–20.

2010 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART ^a				Patient Diagnosis			
IVF	100%	Procedural Factors:		Tubal factor	3%	Other factor	0%
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	78%	Ovulatory dysfunction	13%	Unknown factor	1%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	0%	Diminished ovarian reserve	4%	<i>Multiple Factors:</i>	
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	0%	Endometriosis	4%	Female factors only	31%
		Used PGD	0%	Uterine factor	0%	Female & male factors	37%
		With eSET	5%	Male factor	7%		

2010 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by Dorothy J. Roach, MD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman				
	<35	35–37	38–40	41–42	43–44 ^d
Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of cycles	31	12	4	3	0
Percentage of embryos transferred resulting in implantation ^b	54.1	30.0	2 / 5	1 / 9	
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies ^b	71.0	6 / 12	2 / 4	1 / 3	
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births ^{b,c}	61.3	6 / 12	1 / 4	0 / 3	
(Confidence Interval)	(42.2–78.2)				
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births ^{b,c}	61.3	6 / 10	1 / 4	0 / 3	
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births ^{b,c}	61.3	6 / 9	1 / 3	0 / 3	
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births ^b	32.3	6 / 9	0 / 3	0 / 3	
Percentage of cancellations ^b	0.0	2 / 12	0 / 4	0 / 3	
Average number of embryos transferred	2.0	2.2	1.7	3.0	
Percentage of pregnancies with twins ^b	50.0	0 / 6	1 / 2	0 / 1	
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more ^b	0.0	0 / 6	0 / 2	0 / 1	
Percentage of live births having multiple infants ^{b,c}	9 / 19	0 / 6	1 / 1		
Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of transfers	10	9	0	1	0
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births ^{b,c}	3 / 10	2 / 9		0 / 1	
Average number of embryos transferred	1.8	1.9		2.0	
All Ages Combined^e					
Donor Eggs		Fresh Embryos		Frozen Embryos	
Number of transfers		5		0	
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births ^{b,c}		4 / 5			
Average number of embryos transferred		1.8			

CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

Current Name: North Houston Center for Reproductive Medicine, PA, (NHCRM)

Donor egg?	Yes	Gestational carriers?	Yes	SART member?	Yes
Donor embryo?	No	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	Yes
Single women?	Yes	(See Appendix C for details.)			

^a Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2010 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.

^b When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.

^c A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.

^d Clinic-specific outcome rates for women older than 44 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs are not included because of small numbers.

^e All ages (including ages >44) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.

ADVANCED REPRODUCTIVE CARE CENTER OF IRVING IRVING, TEXAS

A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 11–20.

2010 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART ^a				Patient Diagnosis			
IVF	100%	Procedural Factors:		Tubal factor	10%	Other factor	2%
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	66%	Ovulatory dysfunction	8%	Unknown factor	14%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	0%	Diminished ovarian reserve	15%	<i>Multiple Factors:</i>	
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	3%	Endometriosis	4%	Female factors only	14%
		Used PGD	0%	Uterine factor	<1%	Female & male factors	18%
		With eSET	12%	Male factor	17%		

2010 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by Sy Q. Le, MD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman				
	<35	35–37	38–40	41–42	43–44 ^d
Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of cycles	112	47	39	8	2
Percentage of embryos transferred resulting in implantation ^b	41.7	41.3	24.7	1 / 17	0 / 4
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies ^b	58.9	59.6	43.6	1 / 8	1 / 2
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births ^{b,c}	49.1	55.3	30.8	0 / 8	0 / 2
(Confidence Interval)	(39.5–58.7)	(40.1–69.8)	(17.0–47.6)		
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births ^{b,c}	50.9	55.3	31.6	0 / 8	0 / 2
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births ^{b,c}	51.4	57.8	31.6	0 / 8	0 / 2
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births ^b	29.9	46.7	21.1	0 / 8	0 / 2
Percentage of cancellations ^b	3.6	0.0	2.6	0 / 8	0 / 2
Average number of embryos transferred	1.9	1.8	2.0	2.1	2.0
Percentage of pregnancies with twins ^b	39.4	21.4	3 / 17	0 / 1	0 / 1
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more ^b	0.0	0.0	1 / 17	0 / 1	0 / 1
Percentage of live births having multiple infants ^{b,c}	41.8	19.2	4 / 12		
Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of transfers	48	22	24	13	4
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births ^{b,c}	31.3	27.3	20.8	2 / 13	1 / 4
Average number of embryos transferred	1.7	1.8	1.7	2.1	2.0
All Ages Combined^e					
	Fresh Embryos		Frozen Embryos		
Number of transfers	21		10		
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births ^{b,c}	61.9		7 / 10		
Average number of embryos transferred	1.9		1.8		

CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

Current Name: Advanced Reproductive Care Center of Irving

Donor egg?	Yes	Gestational carriers?	Yes	SART member?	Yes
Donor embryo?	Yes	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	Yes
Single women?	Yes	(See Appendix C for details.)			

^a Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2010 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.

^b When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.

^c A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.

^d Clinic-specific outcome rates for women older than 44 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs are not included because of small numbers.

^e All ages (including ages >44) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.

WILFORD HALL MEDICAL CENTER LACKLAND AFB, TEXAS

A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 11–20.

2010 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART ^a				Patient Diagnosis			
IVF	100%	Procedural Factors:		Tubal factor	20%	Other factor	<1%
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	48%	Ovulatory dysfunction	6%	Unknown factor	1%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	0%	Diminished ovarian reserve	2%	<i>Multiple Factors:</i>	
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	0%	Endometriosis	4%	Female factors only	9%
		Used PGD	0%	Uterine factor	3%	Female & male factors	33%
		With eSET	2%	Male factor	22%		

2010 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by Matthew G. Retzlaff, MD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman				
	<35	35–37	38–40	41–42	43–44 ^d
Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of cycles	81	31	37	0	0
Percentage of embryos transferred resulting in implantation ^b	42.9	35.6	23.6		
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies ^b	61.7	54.8	45.9		
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births ^{b,c}	54.3	45.2	29.7		
(Confidence Interval)	(42.9–65.4)	(27.3–64.0)	(15.9–47.0)		
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births ^{b,c}	54.3	46.7	30.6		
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births ^{b,c}	55.7	48.3	30.6		
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births ^b	39.2	34.5	22.2		
Percentage of cancellations ^b	0.0	3.2	2.7		
Average number of embryos transferred	1.9	2.0	2.5		
Percentage of pregnancies with twins ^b	38.0	5 / 17	4 / 17		
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more ^b	0.0	0 / 17	1 / 17		
Percentage of live births having multiple infants ^{b,c}	29.5	4 / 14	3 / 11		
Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of transfers	0	0	0	0	0
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births ^{b,c}					
Average number of embryos transferred					
All Ages Combined^e					
Donor Eggs	Fresh Embryos		Frozen Embryos		
Number of transfers	0		0		
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births ^{b,c}					
Average number of embryos transferred					

CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

Current Name: Brooke Army Medical Center

Donor egg?	No	Gestational carriers?	No	SART member?	Yes
Donor embryo?	No	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	Yes
Single women?	No			<i>(See Appendix C for details.)</i>	

^a Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2010 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.

^b When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.

^c A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.

^d Clinic-specific outcome rates for women older than 44 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs are not included because of small numbers.

^e All ages (including ages >44) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.

**CENTER FOR FERTILITY AND REPRODUCTIVE SURGERY
TEXAS TECH UNIVERSITY HEALTH SCIENCES CENTER
LUBBOCK, TEXAS**

A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 11–20.

2010 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART ^a				Patient Diagnosis			
IVF	100%	Procedural Factors:		Tubal factor	18%	Other factor	5%
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	71%	Ovulatory dysfunction	9%	Unknown factor	2%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	0%	Diminished ovarian reserve	0%	<i>Multiple Factors:</i>	
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	0%	Endometriosis	16%	Female factors only	18%
		Used PGD	0%	Uterine factor	0%	Female & male factors	23%
		With eSET	3%	Male factor	9%		

2010 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by Sami I. Jabara, MD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman				
	<35	35–37	38–40	41–42	43–44 ^d
Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of cycles	28	8	5	0	0
Percentage of embryos transferred resulting in implantation ^b	39.7	4 / 17	1 / 12		
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies ^b	60.7	4 / 8	1 / 5		
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births ^{b,c}	42.9	4 / 8	1 / 5		
(Confidence Interval)	(24.5–62.8)				
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births ^{b,c}	48.0	4 / 7	1 / 5		
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births ^{b,c}	48.0	4 / 7	1 / 5		
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births ^b	36.0	4 / 7	1 / 5		
Percentage of cancellations ^b	10.7	1 / 8	0 / 5		
Average number of embryos transferred	2.3	2.4	2.4		
Percentage of pregnancies with twins ^b	5 / 17	0 / 4	0 / 1		
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more ^b	1 / 17	0 / 4	0 / 1		
Percentage of live births having multiple infants ^{b,c}	3 / 12	0 / 4	0 / 1		
Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of transfers	5	2	1	1	0
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births ^{b,c}	1 / 5	0 / 2	0 / 1	0 / 1	
Average number of embryos transferred	2.2	2.0	1.0	3.0	
All Ages Combined^e					
Donor Eggs	Fresh Embryos		Frozen Embryos		
Number of transfers	0		2		
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births ^{b,c}			0 / 2		
Average number of embryos transferred			1.5		

CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

Current Name: This clinic has closed or reorganized since 2010. Information on current clinic services and profile therefore is not provided here. Contact the NASS Help Desk for current information about this clinic.

^a Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2010 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.
^b When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.
^c A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.
^d Clinic-specific outcome rates for women older than 44 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs are not included because of small numbers.
^e All ages (including ages >44) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.

THE CENTRE FOR REPRODUCTIVE MEDICINE LUBBOCK, TEXAS

A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 11–20.

2010 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART ^a			Patient Diagnosis			
IVF	100%	Procedural Factors:	Tubal factor	10%	Other factor	2%
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	Ovulatory dysfunction	3%	Unknown factor	3%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	Diminished ovarian reserve	4%	<i>Multiple Factors:</i>	
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	Endometriosis	2%	Female factors only	30%
		Used PGD	Uterine factor	1%	Female & male factors	37%
		With eSET	Male factor	7%		

2010 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by Janelle O. Dorsett, MD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman				
	<35	35–37	38–40	41–42	43–44 ^d
Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of cycles	43	15	9	6	0
Percentage of embryos transferred resulting in implantation ^b	41.1	54.2	2 / 12	2 / 11	
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies ^b	48.8	10 / 15	2 / 9	2 / 6	
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births ^{b,c}	46.5	9 / 15	2 / 9	2 / 6	
(Confidence Interval)	(31.2–62.3)				
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births ^{b,c}	46.5	9 / 13	2 / 9	2 / 6	
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births ^{b,c}	47.6	9 / 13	2 / 6	2 / 5	
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births ^b	28.6	7 / 13	2 / 6	2 / 5	
Percentage of cancellations ^b	0.0	2 / 15	0 / 9	0 / 6	
Average number of embryos transferred	1.7	1.8	2.0	2.2	
Percentage of pregnancies with twins ^b	42.9	3 / 10	0 / 2	0 / 2	
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more ^b	0.0	0 / 10	0 / 2	0 / 2	
Percentage of live births having multiple infants ^{b,c}	40.0	2 / 9	0 / 2	0 / 2	
Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of transfers	7	1	1	0	0
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births ^{b,c}	3 / 7	0 / 1	0 / 1		
Average number of embryos transferred	1.7	1.0	3.0		
All Ages Combined^e					
	Fresh Embryos		Frozen Embryos		
Number of transfers	9		2		
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births ^{b,c}	3 / 9		1 / 2		
Average number of embryos transferred	1.9		2.5		

CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

Current Name: The Centre for Reproductive Medicine

Donor egg?	Yes	Gestational carriers?	Yes	SART member?	Yes
Donor embryo?	Yes	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	Yes
Single women?	Yes	(See Appendix C for details.)			

^a Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2010 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.

^b When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.

^c A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.

^d Clinic-specific outcome rates for women older than 44 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs are not included because of small numbers.

^e All ages (including ages >44) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.

REPRODUCTIVE INSTITUTE OF SOUTH TEXAS McALLEN, TEXAS

A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 11–20.

2010 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART ^a				Patient Diagnosis			
IVF	100%	Procedural Factors:		Tubal factor	18%	Other factor	4%
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	76%	Ovulatory dysfunction	6%	Unknown factor	3%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	0%	Diminished ovarian reserve	4%	<i>Multiple Factors:</i>	
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	0%	Endometriosis	1%	Female factors only	30%
		Used PGD	0%	Uterine factor	4%	Female & male factors	21%
		With eSET	0%	Male factor	11%		

2010 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by Esteban Brown, MD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman				
	<35	35–37	38–40	41–42	43–44 ^d
Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of cycles	57	29	23	6	1
Percentage of embryos transferred resulting in implantation ^b	33.6	22.5	7.0	4.5	0 / 1
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies ^b	50.9	48.3	21.7	2 / 6	0 / 1
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births ^{b,c}	47.4	37.9	13.0	1 / 6	0 / 1
(Confidence Interval)	(34.0–61.0)	(20.7–57.7)	(2.8–33.6)		
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births ^{b,c}	50.0	40.7	14.3	1 / 6	0 / 1
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births ^{b,c}	51.9	42.3	15.0	1 / 6	0 / 1
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births ^b	28.8	26.9	15.0	1 / 6	0 / 1
Percentage of cancellations ^b	5.3	6.9	8.7	0 / 6	0 / 1
Average number of embryos transferred	2.6	2.7	2.9	3.7	1.0
Percentage of pregnancies with twins ^b	27.6	4 / 14	0 / 5	0 / 2	
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more ^b	13.8	0 / 14	0 / 5	0 / 2	
Percentage of live births having multiple infants ^{b,c}	44.4	4 / 11	0 / 3	0 / 1	
Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of transfers	6	3	1	0	0
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births ^{b,c}	3 / 6	1 / 3	0 / 1		
Average number of embryos transferred	2.5	2.7	3.0		
All Ages Combined^e					
Donor Eggs		Fresh Embryos		Frozen Embryos	
Number of transfers		10		0	
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births ^{b,c}		8 / 10			
Average number of embryos transferred		2.6			

CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

Current Name: Reproductive Institute of South Texas

Donor egg?	Yes	Gestational carriers?	Yes	SART member?	No
Donor embryo?	No	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	Yes
Single women?	Yes	(See Appendix C for details.)			

^a Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2010 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.

^b When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.

^c A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.

^d Clinic-specific outcome rates for women older than 44 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs are not included because of small numbers.

^e All ages (including ages >44) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.

PRESBYTERIAN HOSPITAL PLANO ARTS PLANO, TEXAS

A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 11–20.

2010 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART ^a				Patient Diagnosis			
IVF	100%	Procedural Factors:		Tubal factor	4%	Other factor	4%
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	40%	Ovulatory dysfunction	23%	Unknown factor	<1%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	0%	Diminished ovarian reserve	6%	<i>Multiple Factors:</i>	
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	<1%	Endometriosis	4%	Female factors only	20%
		Used PGD	3%	Uterine factor	<1%	Female & male factors	22%
		With eSET	4%	Male factor	16%		

2010 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by Alfred J. Rodriguez, MD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman				
	<35	35–37	38–40	41–42	43–44 ^d
Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of cycles	157	70	46	21	13
Percentage of embryos transferred resulting in implantation ^b	35.5	23.7	10.5	4.1	0.0
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies ^b	47.8	37.1	21.7	14.3	1 / 13
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births ^{b,c}	40.8	34.3	15.2	9.5	0 / 13
(Confidence Interval)	(33.0–48.9)	(23.3–46.6)	(6.3–28.9)	(1.2–30.4)	
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births ^{b,c}	42.7	38.1	17.5	2 / 19	0 / 13
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births ^{b,c}	43.5	39.3	18.4	2 / 19	0 / 13
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births ^b	25.9	21.3	13.2	2 / 19	0 / 13
Percentage of cancellations ^b	4.5	10.0	13.0	9.5	0 / 13
Average number of embryos transferred	2.1	2.6	3.3	2.6	3.9
Percentage of pregnancies with twins ^b	41.3	42.3	4 / 10	0 / 3	0 / 1
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more ^b	5.3	3.8	0 / 10	0 / 3	0 / 1
Percentage of live births having multiple infants ^{b,c}	40.6	45.8	2 / 7	0 / 2	
Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of transfers	40	10	15	1	0
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births ^{b,c}	50.0	5 / 10	2 / 15	0 / 1	
Average number of embryos transferred	1.7	1.3	1.4	2.0	
All Ages Combined^e					
	Fresh Embryos		Frozen Embryos		
Number of transfers	14		15		
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births ^{b,c}	9 / 14		0 / 15		
Average number of embryos transferred	2.1		1.6		

CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

Current Name: Presbyterian Hospital Plano ARTS

Donor egg?	Yes	Gestational carriers?	Yes	SART member?	Yes
Donor embryo?	Yes	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	Yes
Single women?	Yes	(See Appendix C for details.)			

^a Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2010 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.

^b When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.

^c A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.

^d Clinic-specific outcome rates for women older than 44 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs are not included because of small numbers.

^e All ages (including ages >44) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.

FERTILITY CENTER OF SAN ANTONIO SAN ANTONIO, TEXAS

A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 11–20.

2010 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART ^a				Patient Diagnosis			
IVF	100%	Procedural Factors:		Tubal factor	14%	Other factor	4%
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	62%	Ovulatory dysfunction	6%	Unknown factor	12%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	0%	Diminished ovarian reserve	6%	<i>Multiple Factors:</i>	
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	1%	Endometriosis	5%	Female factors only	13%
		Used PGD	2%	Uterine factor	<1%	Female & male factors	19%
		With eSET	2%	Male factor	20%		

2010 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by Joseph E. Martin, MD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman				
	<35	35–37	38–40	41–42	43–44 ^d
Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of cycles	134	66	64	24	4
Percentage of embryos transferred resulting in implantation ^b	47.6	36.1	19.2	23.8	1 / 7
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies ^b	61.9	54.5	29.7	29.2	1 / 4
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births ^{b,c}	54.5	39.4	21.9	25.0	0 / 4
(Confidence Interval)	(45.7–63.1)	(27.6–52.2)	(12.5–34.0)	(9.8–46.7)	
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births ^{b,c}	57.5	44.8	25.5	6 / 18	0 / 3
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births ^{b,c}	58.9	44.8	25.9	6 / 16	0 / 3
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births ^b	33.9	39.7	20.4	5 / 16	0 / 3
Percentage of cancellations ^b	5.2	12.1	14.1	25.0	1 / 4
Average number of embryos transferred	2.0	2.1	2.4	2.6	2.3
Percentage of pregnancies with twins ^b	45.8	13.9	5 / 19	1 / 7	0 / 1
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more ^b	0.0	5.6	1 / 19	1 / 7	0 / 1
Percentage of live births having multiple infants ^{b,c}	42.5	11.5	3 / 14	1 / 6	
Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of transfers	37	26	36	3	3
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births ^{b,c}	48.6	53.8	38.9	2 / 3	1 / 3
Average number of embryos transferred	1.7	1.8	1.8	2.3	2.3
All Ages Combined^e					
	Fresh Embryos		Frozen Embryos		
Number of transfers	28		13		
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births ^{b,c}	46.4		5 / 13		
Average number of embryos transferred	2.0		1.5		

CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

Current Name: Fertility Center of San Antonio

Donor egg?	Yes	Gestational carriers?	Yes	SART member?	Yes
Donor embryo?	No	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	Yes
Single women?	Yes	(See Appendix C for details.)			

^a Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2010 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.

^b When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.

^c A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.

^d Clinic-specific outcome rates for women older than 44 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs are not included because of small numbers.

^e All ages (including ages >44) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.

**INSTITUTE FOR WOMEN'S HEALTH
ADVANCED FERTILITY LABORATORY
SAN ANTONIO, TEXAS**

A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 11–20.

2010 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART ^a				Patient Diagnosis			
IVF	100%	Procedural Factors:		Tubal factor	5%	Other factor	7%
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	74%	Ovulatory dysfunction	27%	Unknown factor	2%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	0%	Diminished ovarian reserve	7%	<i>Multiple Factors:</i>	
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	0%	Endometriosis	5%	Female factors only	15%
		Used PGD	0%	Uterine factor	0%	Female & male factors	15%
		With eSET	0%	Male factor	17%		

2010 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by Joseph R. Garza, MD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman				
	<35	35–37	38–40	41–42	43–44 ^d
Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of cycles	9	7	10	2	2
Percentage of embryos transferred resulting in implantation ^b	4 / 18	2 / 18	4.0	0 / 8	0 / 3
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies ^b	2 / 9	1 / 7	1 / 10	0 / 2	0 / 2
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births ^{b,c} (Confidence Interval)	2 / 9	1 / 7	0 / 10	0 / 2	0 / 2
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births ^{b,c}	2 / 7	1 / 6	0 / 7	0 / 2	0 / 1
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births ^{b,c}	2 / 6	1 / 6	0 / 7	0 / 2	0 / 1
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births ^b	1 / 6	1 / 6	0 / 7	0 / 2	0 / 1
Percentage of cancellations ^b	2 / 9	1 / 7	3 / 10	0 / 2	1 / 2
Average number of embryos transferred	3.0	3.0	3.6	4.0	3.0
Percentage of pregnancies with twins ^b	2 / 2	1 / 1	0 / 1		
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more ^b	0 / 2	0 / 1	0 / 1		
Percentage of live births having multiple infants ^{b,c}	1 / 2	0 / 1			
Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of transfers	4	2	2	1	0
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births ^{b,c}	0 / 4	0 / 2	0 / 2	0 / 1	
Average number of embryos transferred	3.5	3.5	2.5	3.0	
All Ages Combined^e					
Donor Eggs	Fresh Embryos		Frozen Embryos		
Number of transfers	0		0		
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births ^{b,c}					
Average number of embryos transferred					

CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

Current Name: Institute for Women's Health, Advanced Fertility Laboratory

Donor egg?	Yes	Gestational carriers?	Yes	SART member?	Yes
Donor embryo?	Yes	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	No
Single women?	Yes			(See Appendix C for details.)	

^a Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2010 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.

^b When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.

^c A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.

^d Clinic-specific outcome rates for women older than 44 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs are not included because of small numbers.

^e All ages (including ages >44) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.

PERINATAL AND FERTILITY SPECIALISTS SAN ANTONIO, TEXAS

A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 11–20.

2010 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART ^a				Patient Diagnosis			
IVF	100%	Procedural Factors:		Tubal factor	0%	Other factor	0%
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	95%	Ovulatory dysfunction	7%	Unknown factor	0%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	0%	Diminished ovarian reserve	10%	<i>Multiple Factors:</i>	
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	5%	Endometriosis	17%	Female factors only	24%
		Used PGD	0%	Uterine factor	3%	Female & male factors	10%
		With eSET	0%	Male factor	28%		

2010 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by Gerard M. Honoré, MD, PhD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman				
	<35	35–37	38–40	41–42	43–44 ^d
Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of cycles	6	6	6	2	0
Percentage of embryos transferred resulting in implantation ^b	33.3	5 / 17	4 / 11	0 / 8	
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies ^b	4 / 6	3 / 6	3 / 6	0 / 2	
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births ^{b,c}	3 / 6	3 / 6	3 / 6	0 / 2	
(Confidence Interval)					
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births ^{b,c}	3 / 6	3 / 6	3 / 5	0 / 2	
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births ^{b,c}	3 / 6	3 / 6	3 / 4	0 / 2	
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births ^b	1 / 6	1 / 6	2 / 4	0 / 2	
Percentage of cancellations ^b	0 / 6	0 / 6	1 / 6	0 / 2	
Average number of embryos transferred	3.5	2.8	2.8	4.0	
Percentage of pregnancies with twins ^b	0 / 4	2 / 3	1 / 3		
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more ^b	2 / 4	0 / 3	0 / 3		
Percentage of live births having multiple infants ^{b,c}	2 / 3	2 / 3	1 / 3		
Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of transfers	5	1	0	0	0
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births ^{b,c}	1 / 5	0 / 1			
Average number of embryos transferred	2.6	2.0			
All Ages Combined^e					
Donor Eggs	Fresh Embryos		Frozen Embryos		
Number of transfers	0		2		
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births ^{b,c}			0 / 2		
Average number of embryos transferred			4.0		

CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

Current Name: Perinatal and Fertility Specialists

Donor egg?	Yes	Gestational carriers?	Yes	SART member?	No
Donor embryo?	Yes	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	No
Single women?	Yes	(See Appendix C for details.)			

^a Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2010 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.

^b When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.

^c A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.

^d Clinic-specific outcome rates for women older than 44 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs are not included because of small numbers.

^e All ages (including ages >44) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.

REPRODUCTIVE MEDICINE ASSOCIATES OF TEXAS, PA SAN ANTONIO, TEXAS

A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 11–20.

2010 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART ^a				Patient Diagnosis			
IVF	100%	Procedural Factors:		Tubal factor	9%	Other factor	5%
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	83%	Ovulatory dysfunction	13%	Unknown factor	6%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	0%	Diminished ovarian reserve	5%	<i>Multiple Factors:</i>	
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	<1%	Endometriosis	5%	Female factors only	3%
		Used PGD	4%	Uterine factor	2%	Female & male factors	23%
		With eSET	3%	Male factor	30%		

2010 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by Francisco Arredondo, MD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman				
	<35	35–37	38–40	41–42	43–44 ^d
Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of cycles	100	44	29	4	2
Percentage of embryos transferred resulting in implantation ^b	48.1	24.4	18.9	0 / 6	0 / 2
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies ^b	51.0	38.6	20.7	0 / 4	0 / 2
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births ^{b,c}	43.0	34.1	13.8	0 / 4	0 / 2
(Confidence Interval)	(33.1–53.3)	(20.5–49.9)	(3.9–31.7)		
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births ^{b,c}	48.9	36.6	17.4	0 / 2	0 / 2
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births ^{b,c}	55.1	40.5	18.2	0 / 2	0 / 1
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births ^b	34.6	32.4	4.5	0 / 2	0 / 1
Percentage of cancellations ^b	12.0	6.8	20.7	2 / 4	0 / 2
Average number of embryos transferred	2.0	2.2	2.4	3.0	2.0
Percentage of pregnancies with twins ^b	41.2	3 / 17	2 / 6		
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more ^b	2.0	0 / 17	1 / 6		
Percentage of live births having multiple infants ^{b,c}	37.2	3 / 15	3 / 4		
Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of transfers	22	8	7	3	0
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births ^{b,c}	50.0	3 / 8	1 / 7	0 / 3	
Average number of embryos transferred	1.9	1.8	2.0	2.0	
All Ages Combined^e					
	Fresh Embryos		Frozen Embryos		
Number of transfers	21		2		
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births ^{b,c}	57.1		2 / 2		
Average number of embryos transferred	1.9		2.0		

CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

Current Name: Reproductive Medicine Associates of Texas, PA

Donor egg?	Yes	Gestational carriers?	Yes	SART member?	Yes
Donor embryo?	Yes	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	Yes
Single women?	Yes			(See Appendix C for details.)	

^a Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2010 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.

^b When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.

^c A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.

^d Clinic-specific outcome rates for women older than 44 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs are not included because of small numbers.

^e All ages (including ages >44) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.

UT MEDICINE WOMEN'S HEALTH CENTER SAN ANTONIO, TEXAS

A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 11–20.

2010 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART ^a				Patient Diagnosis			
IVF	100%	Procedural Factors:		Tubal factor	20%	Other factor	7%
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	11%	Ovulatory dysfunction	15%	Unknown factor	7%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	0%	Diminished ovarian reserve	10%	<i>Multiple Factors:</i>	
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	0%	Endometriosis	4%	Female factors only	8%
		Used PGD	0%	Uterine factor	<1%	Female & male factors	10%
		With eSET	0%	Male factor	20%		

2010 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by Robert G. Brzyski, MD, PhD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman				
	<35	35–37	38–40	41–42	43–44 ^d
Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of cycles	17	26	11	6	5
Percentage of embryos transferred resulting in implantation ^b	10.0	3.7	23.8	0 / 6	0 / 5
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies ^b	2 / 17	7.7	4 / 11	0 / 6	0 / 5
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births ^{b,c}	2 / 17	3.8	4 / 11	0 / 6	0 / 5
(Confidence Interval)		(0.1–19.6)			
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births ^{b,c}	2 / 12	1 / 16	4 / 8	0 / 5	0 / 3
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births ^{b,c}	2 / 10	1 / 11	4 / 8	0 / 3	0 / 2
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births ^b	2 / 10	1 / 11	4 / 8	0 / 3	0 / 2
Percentage of cancellations ^b	5 / 17	38.5	3 / 11	1 / 6	2 / 5
Average number of embryos transferred	2.0	2.5	2.6	2.0	2.5
Percentage of pregnancies with twins ^b	0 / 2	0 / 2	1 / 4		
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more ^b	0 / 2	0 / 2	0 / 4		
Percentage of live births having multiple infants ^{b,c}	0 / 2	0 / 1	0 / 4		
Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of transfers	5	5	6	4	1
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births ^{b,c}	1 / 5	0 / 5	0 / 6	0 / 4	0 / 1
Average number of embryos transferred	1.8	2.2	1.5	2.0	1.0
All Ages Combined^e					
	Fresh Embryos		Frozen Embryos		
Number of transfers	4		11		
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births ^{b,c}	2 / 4		2 / 11		
Average number of embryos transferred	2.3		1.5		

CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

Current Name: UT Medicine Women's Health Center

Donor egg?	Yes	Gestational carriers?	Yes	SART member?	Yes
Donor embryo?	Yes	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	Yes
Single women?	Yes			(See Appendix C for details.)	

^a Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2010 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.

^b When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.

^c A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.

^d Clinic-specific outcome rates for women older than 44 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs are not included because of small numbers.

^e All ages (including ages >44) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.

CENTER OF REPRODUCTIVE MEDICINE (CORM) WEBSTER, TEXAS

A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 11–20.

2010 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART ^a			Patient Diagnosis			
IVF	100%	Procedural Factors:	Tubal factor	16%	Other factor	6%
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	Ovulatory dysfunction	17%	Unknown factor	4%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	Diminished ovarian reserve	23%	<i>Multiple Factors:</i>	
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	Endometriosis	12%	Female factors only	<1%
		Used PGD	Uterine factor	4%	Female & male factors	<1%
		With eSET	Male factor	17%		

2010 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by Vicki L. Schnell, MD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman				
	<35	35–37	38–40	41–42	43–44 ^d
Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of cycles	95	49	41	11	11
Percentage of embryos transferred resulting in implantation ^b	49.1	25.0	12.5	23.8	8.0
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies ^b	55.8	28.6	22.0	3 / 11	2 / 11
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births ^{b,c}	49.5	22.4	12.2	3 / 11	2 / 11
(Confidence Interval)	(39.1–59.9)	(11.8–36.6)	(4.1–26.2)		
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births ^{b,c}	55.3	24.4	16.1	3 / 9	2 / 9
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births ^{b,c}	57.3	25.6	18.5	3 / 8	2 / 9
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births ^b	34.1	16.3	18.5	1 / 8	2 / 9
Percentage of cancellations ^b	10.5	8.2	24.4	2 / 11	2 / 11
Average number of embryos transferred	1.9	1.9	2.1	2.6	2.8
Percentage of pregnancies with twins ^b	43.4	6 / 14	0 / 9	2 / 3	0 / 2
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more ^b	1.9	0 / 14	0 / 9	0 / 3	0 / 2
Percentage of live births having multiple infants ^{b,c}	40.4	4 / 11	0 / 5	2 / 3	0 / 2
Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of transfers	42	19	19	2	5
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births ^{b,c}	31.0	6 / 19	2 / 19	0 / 2	0 / 5
Average number of embryos transferred	2.2	2.1	2.5	1.5	2.2
All Ages Combined^e					
	Fresh Embryos		Frozen Embryos		
Number of transfers	33		18		
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births ^{b,c}	78.8		4 / 18		
Average number of embryos transferred	1.8		2.6		

CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

Current Name: Center of Reproductive Medicine (CORM)

Donor egg?	Yes	Gestational carriers?	Yes	SART member?	Yes
Donor embryo?	No	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	Yes
Single women?	Yes	(See Appendix C for details.)			

^a Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2010 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.

^b When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.

^c A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.

^d Clinic-specific outcome rates for women older than 44 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs are not included because of small numbers.

^e All ages (including ages >44) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.

UTAH FERTILITY CENTER PLEASANT GROVE, UTAH

A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 11–20.

2010 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART ^a				Patient Diagnosis			
IVF	100%	Procedural Factors:		Tubal factor	5%	Other factor	4%
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	66%	Ovulatory dysfunction	13%	Unknown factor	14%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	0%	Diminished ovarian reserve	11%	<i>Multiple Factors:</i>	
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	2%	Endometriosis	17%	Female factors only	8%
		Used PGD	3%	Uterine factor	0%	Female & male factors	6%
		With eSET	4%	Male factor	23%		

2010 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by Russell A. Foulk, MD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman				
	<35	35–37	38–40	41–42	43–44 ^d
Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of cycles	48	7	6	0	0
Percentage of embryos transferred resulting in implantation ^b	38.0	1 / 11	2 / 8		
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies ^b	50.0	1 / 7	1 / 6		
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births ^{b,c}	50.0	1 / 7	1 / 6		
(Confidence Interval)	(35.2–64.8)				
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births ^{b,c}	50.0	1 / 7	1 / 5		
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births ^{b,c}	52.2	1 / 6	1 / 3		
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births ^b	28.3	1 / 6	0 / 3		
Percentage of cancellations ^b	0.0	0 / 7	1 / 6		
Average number of embryos transferred	2.2	1.8	2.7		
Percentage of pregnancies with twins ^b	50.0	0 / 1	1 / 1		
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more ^b	4.2	0 / 1	0 / 1		
Percentage of live births having multiple infants ^{b,c}	45.8	0 / 1	1 / 1		
Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of transfers	12	4	2	2	0
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births ^{b,c}	2 / 12	0 / 4	0 / 2	0 / 2	
Average number of embryos transferred	1.9	2.3	3.0	2.5	
All Ages Combined^e					
Donor Eggs	Fresh Embryos		Frozen Embryos		
Number of transfers	5		0		
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births ^{b,c}	2 / 5				
Average number of embryos transferred	2.2				

CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

Current Name: Utah Fertility Center

Donor egg?	Yes	Gestational carriers?	Yes	SART member?	Yes
Donor embryo?	Yes	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	Yes
Single women?	Yes	(See Appendix C for details.)			

^a Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2010 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.

^b When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.

^c A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.

^d Clinic-specific outcome rates for women older than 44 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs are not included because of small numbers.

^e All ages (including ages >44) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.

UTAH CENTER FOR REPRODUCTIVE MEDICINE SALT LAKE CITY, UTAH

A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 11–20.

2010 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART ^a				Patient Diagnosis			
IVF	100%	Procedural Factors:		Tubal factor	3%	Other factor	3%
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	48%	Ovulatory dysfunction	7%	Unknown factor	11%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	0%	Diminished ovarian reserve	11%	<i>Multiple Factors:</i>	
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	1%	Endometriosis	9%	Female factors only	8%
		Used PGD	<1%	Uterine factor	<1%	Female & male factors	21%
		With eSET	9%	Male factor	27%		

2010 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by Ahmad O. Hammoud, MD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman				
	<35	35–37	38–40	41–42	43–44 ^d
Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of cycles	185	47	45	18	7
Percentage of embryos transferred resulting in implantation ^b	52.9	39.2	25.3	13.2	0 / 5
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies ^b	55.7	57.4	35.6	5 / 18	0 / 7
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births ^{b,c}	51.4	42.6	24.4	4 / 18	0 / 7
(Confidence Interval)	(43.9–58.8)	(28.3–57.8)	(12.9–39.5)		
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births ^{b,c}	60.5	52.6	30.6	4 / 15	0 / 3
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births ^{b,c}	64.6	54.1	34.4	4 / 15	0 / 2
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births ^b	37.4	35.1	25.0	4 / 15	0 / 2
Percentage of cancellations ^b	15.1	19.1	20.0	3 / 18	4 / 7
Average number of embryos transferred	1.9	2.1	2.3	2.5	2.5
Percentage of pregnancies with twins ^b	41.7	29.6	3 / 16	1 / 5	
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more ^b	1.9	0.0	1 / 16	0 / 5	
Percentage of live births having multiple infants ^{b,c}	42.1	35.0	3 / 11	0 / 4	
Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of transfers	52	15	7	3	3
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births ^{b,c}	32.7	5 / 15	1 / 7	1 / 3	0 / 3
Average number of embryos transferred	2.2	2.2	2.4	2.7	2.0
All Ages Combined^e					
Donor Eggs	Fresh Embryos		Frozen Embryos		
Number of transfers	29		5		
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births ^{b,c}	62.1		3 / 5		
Average number of embryos transferred	1.9		2.2		

CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

Current Name: Utah Center for Reproductive Medicine

Donor egg?	Yes	Gestational carriers?	Yes	SART member?	Yes
Donor embryo?	No	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	Yes
Single women?	Yes			(See Appendix C for details.)	

^a Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2010 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.

^b When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.

^c A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.

^d Clinic-specific outcome rates for women older than 44 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs are not included because of small numbers.

^e All ages (including ages >44) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.

REPRODUCTIVE CARE CENTER SANDY, UTAH

A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 11–20.

2010 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART ^a				Patient Diagnosis			
IVF	100%	Procedural Factors:		Tubal factor	4%	Other factor	2%
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	63%	Ovulatory dysfunction	9%	Unknown factor	2%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	0%	Diminished ovarian reserve	7%	<i>Multiple Factors:</i>	
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	2%	Endometriosis	5%	Female factors only	10%
		Used PGD	4%	Uterine factor	<1%	Female & male factors	34%
		With eSET	3%	Male factor	27%		

2010 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by Keith L. Blauer, MD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman				
	<35	35–37	38–40	41–42	43–44 ^d
Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of cycles	233	47	36	13	0
Percentage of embryos transferred resulting in implantation ^b	37.1	28.0	29.3	4 / 15	
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies ^b	42.9	36.2	41.7	3 / 13	
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births ^{b,c}	38.2	36.2	36.1	2 / 13	
(Confidence Interval)	(31.9–44.8)	(22.7–51.5)	(20.8–53.8)		
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births ^{b,c}	40.3	40.5	43.3	2 / 10	
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births ^{b,c}	43.8	43.6	44.8	2 / 8	
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births ^b	26.1	33.3	41.4	1 / 8	
Percentage of cancellations ^b	5.2	10.6	16.7	3 / 13	
Average number of embryos transferred	2.0	2.1	2.0	1.9	
Percentage of pregnancies with twins ^b	43.0	6 / 17	2 / 15	1 / 3	
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more ^b	2.0	0 / 17	0 / 15	0 / 3	
Percentage of live births having multiple infants ^{b,c}	40.4	4 / 17	1 / 13	1 / 2	
Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of transfers	62	11	8	1	3
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births ^{b,c}	32.3	0 / 11	1 / 8	0 / 1	0 / 3
Average number of embryos transferred	1.7	1.9	1.9	2.0	2.3
All Ages Combined^e					
Donor Eggs	Fresh Embryos		Frozen Embryos		
Number of transfers	22		3		
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births ^{b,c}	40.9		1 / 3		
Average number of embryos transferred	2.0		2.0		

CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

Current Name: Reproductive Care Center

Donor egg?	Yes	Gestational carriers?	Yes	SART member?	Yes
Donor embryo?	Yes	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	Yes
Single women?	No	(See Appendix C for details.)			

^a Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2010 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.

^b When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.

^c A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.

^d Clinic-specific outcome rates for women older than 44 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs are not included because of small numbers.

^e All ages (including ages >44) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.

VERMONT CENTER FOR REPRODUCTIVE MEDICINE BURLINGTON, VERMONT

A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 11–20.

2010 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART ^a				Patient Diagnosis			
IVF	100%	Procedural Factors:		Tubal factor	10%	Other factor	7%
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	59%	Ovulatory dysfunction	<1%	Unknown factor	30%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	0%	Diminished ovarian reserve	9%	<i>Multiple Factors:</i>	
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	<1%	Endometriosis	1%	Female factors only	4%
		Used PGD	0%	Uterine factor	3%	Female & male factors	13%
		With eSET	11%	Male factor	23%		

2010 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by Peter R. Casson, MD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman				
	<35	35–37	38–40	41–42	43–44 ^d
Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of cycles	41	34	28	12	0
Percentage of embryos transferred resulting in implantation ^b	46.8	31.0	14.3	8.7	
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies ^b	39.0	29.4	21.4	2 / 12	
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births ^{b,c}	24.4	20.6	14.3	0 / 12	
(Confidence Interval)	(12.4–40.3)	(8.7–37.9)	(4.0–32.7)		
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births ^{b,c}	34.5	26.9	18.2	0 / 10	
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births ^{b,c}	40.0	31.8	4 / 19	0 / 9	
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births ^b	24.0	18.2	4 / 19	0 / 9	
Percentage of cancellations ^b	29.3	23.5	21.4	2 / 12	
Average number of embryos transferred	1.9	1.9	2.2	2.6	
Percentage of pregnancies with twins ^b	5 / 16	3 / 10	0 / 6	0 / 2	
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more ^b	1 / 16	1 / 10	0 / 6	0 / 2	
Percentage of live births having multiple infants ^{b,c}	4 / 10	3 / 7	0 / 4		
Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of transfers	5	2	2	1	1
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births ^{b,c}	1 / 5	0 / 2	0 / 2	0 / 1	0 / 1
Average number of embryos transferred	1.8	2.0	2.0	3.0	2.0
All Ages Combined^e					
Donor Eggs	Fresh Embryos		Frozen Embryos		
Number of transfers	10		3		
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births ^{b,c}	3 / 10		0 / 3		
Average number of embryos transferred	2.0		1.0		

CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

Current Name: Vermont Center for Reproductive Medicine

Donor egg?	Yes	Gestational carriers?	Yes	SART member?	Yes
Donor embryo?	No	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	Yes
Single women?	Yes			(See Appendix C for details.)	

^a Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2010 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.

^b When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.

^c A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.

^d Clinic-specific outcome rates for women older than 44 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs are not included because of small numbers.

^e All ages (including ages >44) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.

WASHINGTON FERTILITY CENTER ANNANDALE, VIRGINIA

A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 11–20.

2010 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART ^a				Patient Diagnosis			
IVF	100%	Procedural Factors:		Tubal factor	9%	Other factor	5%
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	56%	Ovulatory dysfunction	5%	Unknown factor	16%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	0%	Diminished ovarian reserve	16%	<i>Multiple Factors:</i>	
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	0%	Endometriosis	5%	Female factors only	2%
		Used PGD	0%	Uterine factor	0%	Female & male factors	11%
		With eSET	0%	Male factor	32%		

2010 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by Pierre Asmar, MD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman				
	<35	35–37	38–40	41–42	43–44 ^d
Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of cycles	45	24	32	17	2
Percentage of embryos transferred resulting in implantation ^b	29.0	22.0	13.2	2.0	0 / 1
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies ^b	48.9	33.3	28.1	2 / 17	0 / 2
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births ^{b,c}	40.0	33.3	21.9	1 / 17	0 / 2
(Confidence Interval)	(25.7–55.7)	(15.6–55.3)	(9.3–40.0)		
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births ^{b,c}	41.9	34.8	24.1	1 / 16	0 / 1
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births ^{b,c}	42.9	38.1	28.0	1 / 14	0 / 1
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births ^b	28.6	28.6	24.0	1 / 14	0 / 1
Percentage of cancellations ^b	4.4	4.2	9.4	1 / 17	1 / 2
Average number of embryos transferred	2.4	2.4	2.7	3.5	1.0
Percentage of pregnancies with twins ^b	27.3	3 / 8	2 / 9	0 / 2	
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more ^b	4.5	0 / 8	0 / 9	0 / 2	
Percentage of live births having multiple infants ^{b,c}	6 / 18	2 / 8	1 / 7	0 / 1	
Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of transfers	1	0	0	0	0
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births ^{b,c}	0 / 1				
Average number of embryos transferred	2.0				
All Ages Combined^e					
Donor Eggs	Fresh Embryos		Frozen Embryos		
Number of transfers	23		4		
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births ^{b,c}	52.2		1 / 4		
Average number of embryos transferred	2.7		3.0		

CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

Current Name: Washington Fertility Center

Donor egg?	Yes	Gestational carriers?	Yes	SART member?	Yes
Donor embryo?	Yes	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	Yes
Single women?	Yes			(See Appendix C for details.)	

^a Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2010 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.

^b When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.

^c A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.

^d Clinic-specific outcome rates for women older than 44 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs are not included because of small numbers.

^e All ages (including ages >44) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.

DOMINION FERTILITY AND ENDOCRINOLOGY ARLINGTON, VIRGINIA

A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 11–20.

2010 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART ^a				Patient Diagnosis			
IVF	100%	Procedural Factors:		Tubal factor	3%	Other factor	10%
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	63%	Ovulatory dysfunction	2%	Unknown factor	12%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	69%	Diminished ovarian reserve	17%	Multiple Factors:	
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	<1%	Endometriosis	<1%	Female factors only	15%
		Used PGD	<1%	Uterine factor	<1%	Female & male factors	28%
		With eSET	17%	Male factor	11%		

2010 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by Michael DiMattina, MD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman				
	<35	35–37	38–40	41–42	43–44 ^d
Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of cycles	213	130	162	70	36
Percentage of embryos transferred resulting in implantation ^b	31.0	26.4	12.8	11.1	1 / 15
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies ^b	21.1	17.7	11.1	5.7	2.8
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births ^{b,c}	18.8	15.4	9.9	2.9	2.8
(Confidence Interval)	(13.8–24.7)	(9.7–22.8)	(5.8–15.5)	(0.3–9.9)	(0.1–14.5)
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births ^{b,c}	22.0	18.7	12.0	3.5	3.4
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births ^{b,c}	33.9	29.4	19.3	7.7	1 / 12
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births ^b	31.4	22.1	19.3	7.7	1 / 12
Percentage of cancellations ^b	14.6	17.7	17.9	18.6	19.4
Average number of embryos transferred	1.3	1.6	1.8	1.4	1.3
Percentage of pregnancies with twins ^b	11.1	21.7	1 / 18	0 / 4	0 / 1
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more ^b	0.0	0.0	0 / 18	0 / 4	0 / 1
Percentage of live births having multiple infants ^{b,c}	7.5	25.0	0 / 16	0 / 2	0 / 1
Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of transfers	42	28	26	11	1
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births ^{b,c}	35.7	21.4	11.5	1 / 11	0 / 1
Average number of embryos transferred	1.5	1.5	2.0	2.1	1.0
All Ages Combined^e					
	Fresh Embryos		Frozen Embryos		
Number of transfers	31		40		
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births ^{b,c}	32.3		25.0		
Average number of embryos transferred	1.6		1.4		

CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

Current Name: Dominion Fertility and Endocrinology

Donor egg?	Yes	Gestational carriers?	Yes	SART member?	Yes
Donor embryo?	Yes	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	Yes
Single women?	Yes			(See Appendix C for details.)	

^a Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2010 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.

^b When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.

^c A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.

^d Clinic-specific outcome rates for women older than 44 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs are not included because of small numbers.

^e All ages (including ages >44) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.

REPRODUCTIVE MEDICINE AND SURGERY CENTER OF VIRGINIA, PLC CHARLOTTESVILLE, VIRGINIA

A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 11–20.

2010 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART ^a				Patient Diagnosis			
IVF	100%	Procedural Factors:		Tubal factor	9%	Other factor	2%
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	59%	Ovulatory dysfunction	8%	Unknown factor	6%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	0%	Diminished ovarian reserve	6%	<i>Multiple Factors:</i>	
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	2%	Endometriosis	11%	Female factors only	19%
		Used PGD	2%	Uterine factor	1%	Female & male factors	14%
		With eSET	0%	Male factor	23%		

2010 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by Christopher D. Williams, MD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman				
	<35	35–37	38–40	41–42	43–44 ^d
Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of cycles	65	50	30	6	1
Percentage of embryos transferred resulting in implantation ^b	28.9	19.6	12.5	2 / 15	0 / 4
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies ^b	43.1	40.0	23.3	2 / 6	0 / 1
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births ^{b,c}	35.4	26.0	20.0	2 / 6	0 / 1
(Confidence Interval)	(23.9–48.2)	(14.6–40.3)	(7.7–38.6)		
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births ^{b,c}	37.7	29.5	25.0	2 / 4	0 / 1
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births ^{b,c}	39.7	31.0	25.0	2 / 4	0 / 1
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births ^b	25.9	21.4	16.7	2 / 4	0 / 1
Percentage of cancellations ^b	6.2	12.0	20.0	2 / 6	0 / 1
Average number of embryos transferred	2.1	2.4	3.3	3.8	4.0
Percentage of pregnancies with twins ^b	32.1	20.0	3 / 7	0 / 2	
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more ^b	0.0	0.0	0 / 7	0 / 2	
Percentage of live births having multiple infants ^{b,c}	34.8	4 / 13	2 / 6	0 / 2	
Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of transfers	28	12	3	3	0
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births ^{b,c}	28.6	1 / 12	2 / 3	0 / 3	
Average number of embryos transferred	2.0	2.0	1.3	2.7	
All Ages Combined^e					
Donor Eggs	Fresh Embryos		Frozen Embryos		
Number of transfers	16		18		
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births ^{b,c}	12 / 16		5 / 18		
Average number of embryos transferred	2.0		1.8		

CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

Current Name: Reproductive Medicine and Surgery Center of Virginia, PLC

Donor egg?	Yes	Gestational carriers?	Yes	SART member?	Yes
Donor embryo?	Yes	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	Yes
Single women?	Yes			(See Appendix C for details.)	

^a Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2010 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.

^b When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.

^c A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.

^d Clinic-specific outcome rates for women older than 44 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs are not included because of small numbers.

^e All ages (including ages >44) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.

GENETICS & IVF INSTITUTE FAIRFAX, VIRGINIA

A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 11–20.

2010 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART ^a				Patient Diagnosis			
IVF	100%	Procedural Factors:		Tubal factor	4%	Other factor	12%
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	76%	Ovulatory dysfunction	3%	Unknown factor	5%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	<1%	Diminished ovarian reserve	30%	<i>Multiple Factors:</i>	
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	<1%	Endometriosis	<1%	Female factors only	10%
		Used PGD	26%	Uterine factor	<1%	Female & male factors	21%
		With eSET	9%	Male factor	14%		

2010 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by Laurence C. Udoff, MD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman				
	<35	35–37	38–40	41–42	43–44 ^d
Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of cycles	101	64	66	29	16
Percentage of embryos transferred resulting in implantation ^b	27.7	21.4	13.7	9.0	0.0
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies ^b	36.6	29.7	24.2	24.1	0 / 16
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births ^{b,c}	29.7	25.0	13.6	13.8	0 / 16
(Confidence Interval)	(21.0–39.6)	(15.0–37.4)	(6.4–24.3)	(3.9–31.7)	
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births ^{b,c}	30.0	27.1	14.8	14.8	0 / 14
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births ^{b,c}	33.0	30.2	16.1	15.4	0 / 10
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births ^b	23.1	18.9	12.5	15.4	0 / 10
Percentage of cancellations ^b	1.0	7.8	7.6	6.9	2 / 16
Average number of embryos transferred	1.9	2.1	2.1	2.6	2.7
Percentage of pregnancies with twins ^b	32.4	6 / 19	2 / 16	1 / 7	
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more ^b	2.7	0 / 19	1 / 16	0 / 7	
Percentage of live births having multiple infants ^{b,c}	30.0	6 / 16	2 / 9	0 / 4	
Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of transfers	33	21	16	4	0
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births ^{b,c}	30.3	38.1	6 / 16	0 / 4	
Average number of embryos transferred	1.6	2.0	1.9	2.5	
All Ages Combined^e					
	Fresh Embryos		Frozen Embryos		
Number of transfers	158		81		
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births ^{b,c}	50.6		42.0		
Average number of embryos transferred	1.9		1.8		

CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

Current Name: Genetics & IVF Institute

Donor egg?	Yes	Gestational carriers?	Yes	SART member?	Yes
Donor embryo?	Yes	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	Yes
Single women?	Yes	(See Appendix C for details.)			

^a Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2010 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.

^b When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.

^c A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.

^d Clinic-specific outcome rates for women older than 44 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs are not included because of small numbers.

^e All ages (including ages >44) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.

THE MUASHER CENTER FOR FERTILITY AND IVF FAIRFAX, VIRGINIA

A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 11–20.

2010 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART ^a				Patient Diagnosis			
IVF	100%	Procedural Factors:		Tubal factor	10%	Other factor	4%
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	69%	Ovulatory dysfunction	4%	Unknown factor	24%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	0%	Diminished ovarian reserve	11%	<i>Multiple Factors:</i>	
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	0%	Endometriosis	4%	Female factors only	6%
		Used PGD	0%	Uterine factor	4%	Female & male factors	16%
		With eSET	1%	Male factor	17%		

2010 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by Suheil J. Muasher, MD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman				
	<35	35–37	38–40	41–42	43–44 ^d
Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of cycles	38	26	30	15	5
Percentage of embryos transferred resulting in implantation ^b	20.6	23.5	5.7	0.0	0 / 10
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies ^b	28.9	30.8	20.0	1 / 15	2 / 5
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births ^{b,c}	23.7	26.9	10.0	0 / 15	0 / 5
(Confidence Interval)	(11.4–40.2)	(11.6–47.8)	(2.1–26.5)		
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births ^{b,c}	25.0	26.9	11.1	0 / 15	0 / 5
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births ^{b,c}	27.3	26.9	11.1	0 / 12	0 / 3
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births ^b	21.2	11.5	7.4	0 / 12	0 / 3
Percentage of cancellations ^b	5.3	0.0	10.0	0 / 15	0 / 5
Average number of embryos transferred	2.1	2.0	2.6	2.8	3.3
Percentage of pregnancies with twins ^b	1 / 11	4 / 8	1 / 6	0 / 1	0 / 2
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more ^b	1 / 11	0 / 8	0 / 6	0 / 1	0 / 2
Percentage of live births having multiple infants ^{b,c}	2 / 9	4 / 7	1 / 3		
Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of transfers	1	4	4	1	0
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births ^{b,c}	0 / 1	0 / 4	0 / 4	0 / 1	
Average number of embryos transferred	2.0	2.0	1.0	2.0	
All Ages Combined^e					
Donor Eggs	Fresh Embryos		Frozen Embryos		
Number of transfers	1		1		
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births ^{b,c}	0 / 1		0 / 1		
Average number of embryos transferred	2.0		2.0		

CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

Current Name: The Muasher Center for Fertility and IVF

Donor egg?	Yes	Gestational carriers?	Yes	SART member?	Yes
Donor embryo?	No	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	Yes
Single women?	Yes	(See Appendix C for details.)			

^a Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2010 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.

^b When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.

^c A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.

^d Clinic-specific outcome rates for women older than 44 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs are not included because of small numbers.

^e All ages (including ages >44) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.

JONES INSTITUTE FOR REPRODUCTIVE MEDICINE NORFOLK, VIRGINIA

A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 11–20.

2010 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART ^a				Patient Diagnosis			
IVF	100%	Procedural Factors:		Tubal factor	11%	Other factor	3%
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	89%	Ovulatory dysfunction	8%	Unknown factor	6%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	0%	Diminished ovarian reserve	16%	<i>Multiple Factors:</i>	
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	<1%	Endometriosis	2%	Female factors only	24%
		Used PGD	1%	Uterine factor	<1%	Female & male factors	16%
		With eSET	1%	Male factor	14%		

2010 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by Laurel A. Stadtmauer, MD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman				
	<35	35–37	38–40	41–42	43–44 ^d
Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of cycles	98	54	61	18	12
Percentage of embryos transferred resulting in implantation ^b	22.0	23.5	11.7	11.1	0 / 14
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies ^b	29.6	33.3	16.4	4 / 18	0 / 12
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births ^{b,c}	27.6	27.8	14.8	2 / 18	0 / 12
(Confidence Interval)	(19.0–37.5)	(16.5–41.6)	(7.0–26.2)		
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births ^{b,c}	28.4	30.0	16.4	2 / 18	0 / 7
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births ^{b,c}	29.3	31.9	18.0	2 / 15	0 / 6
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births ^b	18.5	27.7	14.0	2 / 15	0 / 6
Percentage of cancellations ^b	3.1	7.4	9.8	0 / 18	5 / 12
Average number of embryos transferred	2.1	2.1	2.2	2.4	2.3
Percentage of pregnancies with twins ^b	31.0	3 / 18	3 / 10	0 / 4	
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more ^b	6.9	1 / 18	0 / 10	0 / 4	
Percentage of live births having multiple infants ^{b,c}	37.0	2 / 15	2 / 9	0 / 2	
Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of transfers	35	17	15	10	4
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births ^{b,c}	45.7	3 / 17	6 / 15	2 / 10	0 / 4
Average number of embryos transferred	2.3	2.1	2.7	2.8	1.8
All Ages Combined^e					
	Fresh Embryos		Frozen Embryos		
Number of transfers	41		25		
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births ^{b,c}	46.3		16.0		
Average number of embryos transferred	2.1		2.4		

CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

Current Name: Jones Institute for Reproductive Medicine

Donor egg?	Yes	Gestational carriers?	Yes	SART member?	Yes
Donor embryo?	Yes	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	Yes
Single women?	Yes			<i>(See Appendix C for details.)</i>	

^a Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2010 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.

^b When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.

^c A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.

^d Clinic-specific outcome rates for women older than 44 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs are not included because of small numbers.

^e All ages (including ages >44) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.

VIRGINIA CENTER FOR REPRODUCTIVE MEDICINE RESTON, VIRGINIA

A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 11–20.

2010 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART ^a				Patient Diagnosis			
IVF	100%	Procedural Factors:		Tubal factor	6%	Other factor	2%
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	93%	Ovulatory dysfunction	0%	Unknown factor	0%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	0%	Diminished ovarian reserve	10%	<i>Multiple Factors:</i>	
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	2%	Endometriosis	0%	Female factors only	18%
		Used PGD	0%	Uterine factor	0%	Female & male factors	55%
		With eSET	5%	Male factor	10%		

2010 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by Fady I. Sharara, MD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman				
	<35	35–37	38–40	41–42	43–44 ^d
Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of cycles	48	37	24	17	4
Percentage of embryos transferred resulting in implantation ^b	54.7	42.2	19.1	10.3	3 / 11
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies ^b	64.6	54.1	41.7	5 / 17	2 / 4
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births ^{b,c}	58.3	48.6	25.0	3 / 17	2 / 4
(Confidence Interval)	(43.2–72.4)	(31.9–65.6)	(9.8–46.7)		
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births ^{b,c}	59.6	56.3	27.3	3 / 17	2 / 4
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births ^{b,c}	59.6	56.3	27.3	3 / 16	2 / 4
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births ^b	36.2	40.6	22.7	3 / 16	2 / 4
Percentage of cancellations ^b	2.1	13.5	8.3	0 / 17	0 / 4
Average number of embryos transferred	1.8	2.0	2.1	2.4	2.8
Percentage of pregnancies with twins ^b	48.4	35.0	1 / 10	0 / 5	1 / 2
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more ^b	3.2	0.0	0 / 10	0 / 5	0 / 2
Percentage of live births having multiple infants ^{b,c}	39.3	5 / 18	1 / 6	0 / 3	0 / 2
Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of transfers	1	3	3	0	0
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births ^{b,c}	1 / 1	0 / 3	0 / 3		
Average number of embryos transferred	2.0	2.0	1.7		
All Ages Combined^e					
Donor Eggs	Fresh Embryos		Frozen Embryos		
Number of transfers	19		6		
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births ^{b,c}	9 / 19		4 / 6		
Average number of embryos transferred	1.7		1.8		

CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

Current Name: Virginia Center for Reproductive Medicine

Donor egg?	Yes	Gestational carriers?	Yes	SART member?	Yes
Donor embryo?	Yes	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	Yes
Single women?	Yes	(See Appendix C for details.)			

^a Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2010 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.

^b When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.

^c A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.

^d Clinic-specific outcome rates for women older than 44 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs are not included because of small numbers.

^e All ages (including ages >44) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.

FERTILITY INSTITUTE OF VIRGINIA RICHMOND, VIRGINIA

A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 11–20.

2010 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART ^a				Patient Diagnosis			
IVF	100%	Procedural Factors:		Tubal factor	12%	Other factor	2%
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	79%	Ovulatory dysfunction	7%	Unknown factor	16%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	0%	Diminished ovarian reserve	13%	<i>Multiple Factors:</i>	
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	1%	Endometriosis	11%	Female factors only	4%
		Used PGD	2%	Uterine factor	<1%	Female & male factors	5%
		With eSET	9%	Male factor	29%		

2010 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by Michael C. Edelstein, MD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman				
	<35	35–37	38–40	41–42	43–44 ^d
Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of cycles	75	30	30	12	9
Percentage of embryos transferred resulting in implantation ^b	37.6	36.5	23.2	3.4	7.7
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies ^b	50.7	43.3	33.3	2 / 12	2 / 9
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births ^{b,c}	45.3	36.7	26.7	1 / 12	2 / 9
(Confidence Interval)	(33.8–57.3)	(19.9–56.1)	(12.3–45.9)		
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births ^{b,c}	46.6	42.3	30.8	1 / 8	2 / 8
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births ^{b,c}	50.0	44.0	33.3	1 / 7	2 / 8
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births ^b	32.4	40.0	25.0	1 / 7	2 / 8
Percentage of cancellations ^b	2.7	13.3	13.3	4 / 12	1 / 9
Average number of embryos transferred	2.0	2.1	2.9	4.1	3.3
Percentage of pregnancies with twins ^b	36.8	6 / 13	4 / 10	0 / 2	0 / 2
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more ^b	0.0	0 / 13	1 / 10	0 / 2	0 / 2
Percentage of live births having multiple infants ^{b,c}	35.3	1 / 11	2 / 8	0 / 1	0 / 2
Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of transfers	46	31	12	5	1
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births ^{b,c}	50.0	29.0	3 / 12	2 / 5	0 / 1
Average number of embryos transferred	2.2	2.4	2.5	3.4	4.0
All Ages Combined^e					
	Fresh Embryos		Frozen Embryos		
Donor Eggs					
Number of transfers	11		9		
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births ^{b,c}	7 / 11		2 / 9		
Average number of embryos transferred	1.9		2.3		

CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

Current Name: Fertility Institute of Virginia

Donor egg?	Yes	Gestational carriers?	Yes	SART member?	Yes
Donor embryo?	Yes	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	Yes
Single women?	Yes			<i>(See Appendix C for details.)</i>	

^a Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2010 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.

^b When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.

^c A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.

^d Clinic-specific outcome rates for women older than 44 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs are not included because of small numbers.

^e All ages (including ages >44) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.

LIFESOURCE FERTILITY CENTER RICHMOND, VIRGINIA

A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 11–20.

2010 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART ^a				Patient Diagnosis			
IVF	100%	Procedural Factors:		Tubal factor	<1%	Other factor	<1%
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	87%	Ovulatory dysfunction	3%	Unknown factor	3%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	2%	Diminished ovarian reserve	4%	<i>Multiple Factors:</i>	
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	4%	Endometriosis	0%	Female factors only	9%
		Used PGD	2%	Uterine factor	0%	Female & male factors	46%
		With eSET	10%	Male factor	33%		

2010 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by Joseph G. Gianfortoni, MD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman				
	<35	35–37	38–40	41–42	43–44 ^d
Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of cycles	37	11	4	3	0
Percentage of embryos transferred resulting in implantation ^b	41.5	5 / 16	1 / 11	1 / 5	
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies ^b	45.9	3 / 11	1 / 4	1 / 3	
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births ^{b,c}	35.1	3 / 11	1 / 4	1 / 3	
(Confidence Interval)	(20.2–52.5)				
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births ^{b,c}	37.1	3 / 11	1 / 4	1 / 2	
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births ^{b,c}	43.3	3 / 8	1 / 4	1 / 2	
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births ^b	33.3	1 / 8	1 / 4	1 / 2	
Percentage of cancellations ^b	5.4	0 / 11	0 / 4	1 / 3	
Average number of embryos transferred	1.8	2.0	2.8	2.5	
Percentage of pregnancies with twins ^b	5 / 17	2 / 3	0 / 1	0 / 1	
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more ^b	0 / 17	0 / 3	0 / 1	0 / 1	
Percentage of live births having multiple infants ^{b,c}	3 / 13	2 / 3	0 / 1	0 / 1	
Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of transfers	25	10	6	3	0
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births ^{b,c}	52.0	4 / 10	3 / 6	2 / 3	
Average number of embryos transferred	1.6	1.9	2.0	2.3	
All Ages Combined^e					
Donor Eggs	Fresh Embryos		Frozen Embryos		
Number of transfers	2		3		
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births ^{b,c}	0 / 2		1 / 3		
Average number of embryos transferred	2.0		1.7		

CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

Current Name: LifeSource Fertility Center

Donor egg?	Yes	Gestational carriers?	Yes	SART member?	Yes
Donor embryo?	Yes	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	Yes
Single women?	Yes	(See Appendix C for details.)			

^a Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2010 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.

^b When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.

^c A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.

^d Clinic-specific outcome rates for women older than 44 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs are not included because of small numbers.

^e All ages (including ages >44) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.

THE RICHMOND CENTER FOR FERTILITY AND ENDOCRINOLOGY RICHMOND, VIRGINIA

A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 11–20.

2010 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART ^a				Patient Diagnosis			
IVF	100%	Procedural Factors:		Tubal factor	5%	Other factor	3%
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	76%	Ovulatory dysfunction	10%	Unknown factor	6%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	0%	Diminished ovarian reserve	5%	<i>Multiple Factors:</i>	
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	0%	Endometriosis	5%	Female factors only	3%
		Used PGD	2%	Uterine factor	<1%	Female & male factors	45%
		With eSET	1%	Male factor	17%		

2010 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by Sanford M. Rosenberg, MD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman				
	<35	35–37	38–40	41–42	43–44 ^d
Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of cycles	47	27	22	4	1
Percentage of embryos transferred resulting in implantation ^b	39.3	33.3	17.4	3 / 11	0 / 1
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies ^b	53.2	44.4	36.4	2 / 4	0 / 1
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births ^{b,c}	48.9	40.7	27.3	2 / 4	0 / 1
(Confidence Interval)	(34.1–63.9)	(22.4–61.2)	(10.7–50.2)		
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births ^{b,c}	52.3	45.8	6 / 18	2 / 3	0 / 1
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births ^{b,c}	56.1	52.4	6 / 17	2 / 3	0 / 1
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births ^b	34.1	28.6	5 / 17	1 / 3	0 / 1
Percentage of cancellations ^b	6.4	11.1	18.2	1 / 4	0 / 1
Average number of embryos transferred	2.0	2.3	2.7	3.7	1.0
Percentage of pregnancies with twins ^b	36.0	5 / 12	1 / 8	1 / 2	
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more ^b	0.0	0 / 12	0 / 8	0 / 2	
Percentage of live births having multiple infants ^{b,c}	39.1	5 / 11	1 / 6	1 / 2	
Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of transfers	16	10	3	3	0
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births ^{b,c}	10 / 16	3 / 10	0 / 3	1 / 3	
Average number of embryos transferred	2.0	1.9	2.7	2.3	
All Ages Combined^e					
Donor Eggs	Fresh Embryos		Frozen Embryos		
Number of transfers	4		7		
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births ^{b,c}	1 / 4		2 / 7		
Average number of embryos transferred	2.0		2.0		

CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

Current Name: The Richmond Center for Fertility and Endocrinology

Donor egg?	Yes	Gestational carriers?	Yes	SART member?	Yes
Donor embryo?	Yes	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	Yes
Single women?	Yes	(See Appendix C for details.)			

^a Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2010 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.

^b When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.

^c A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.

^d Clinic-specific outcome rates for women older than 44 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs are not included because of small numbers.

^e All ages (including ages >44) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.

UNIVERSITY CENTER FOR ADVANCED REPRODUCTIVE MEDICINE RICHMOND, VIRGINIA

A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 11–20.

2010 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART ^a				Patient Diagnosis			
IVF	100%	Procedural Factors:		Tubal factor	29%	Other factor	0%
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	60%	Ovulatory dysfunction	10%	Unknown factor	5%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	0%	Diminished ovarian reserve	10%	<i>Multiple Factors:</i>	
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	0%	Endometriosis	0%	Female factors only	10%
		Used PGD	13%	Uterine factor	0%	Female & male factors	33%
		With eSET	0%	Male factor	5%		

2010 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by Richard S. Lucidi, MD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman				
	<35	35–37	38–40	41–42	43–44 ^d
Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of cycles	10	3	2	0	0
Percentage of embryos transferred resulting in implantation ^b	3 / 9	1 / 3	0 / 4		
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies ^b	2 / 10	1 / 3	0 / 2		
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births ^{b,c}	2 / 10	0 / 3	0 / 2		
(Confidence Interval)					
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births ^{b,c}	2 / 8	0 / 2	0 / 2		
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births ^{b,c}	2 / 5	0 / 2	0 / 1		
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births ^b	1 / 5	0 / 2	0 / 1		
Percentage of cancellations ^b	2 / 10	1 / 3	0 / 2		
Average number of embryos transferred	1.8	1.5	4.0		
Percentage of pregnancies with twins ^b	1 / 2	0 / 1			
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more ^b	0 / 2	0 / 1			
Percentage of live births having multiple infants ^{b,c}	1 / 2				
Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of transfers	5	0	1	0	0
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births ^{b,c}	3 / 5		0 / 1		
Average number of embryos transferred	2.2		2.0		
All Ages Combined^e					
Donor Eggs	Fresh Embryos		Frozen Embryos		
Number of transfers	0		0		
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births ^{b,c}					
Average number of embryos transferred					

CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

Current Name: University Center for Advanced Reproductive Medicine

Donor egg?	Yes	Gestational carriers?	Yes	SART member?	Yes
Donor embryo?	Yes	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	Yes
Single women?	Yes			(See Appendix C for details.)	

^a Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2010 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.

^b When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.

^c A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.

^d Clinic-specific outcome rates for women older than 44 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs are not included because of small numbers.

^e All ages (including ages >44) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.

THE NEW HOPE CENTER FOR REPRODUCTIVE MEDICINE VIRGINIA BEACH, VIRGINIA

A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 11–20.

2010 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART ^a				Patient Diagnosis			
IVF	100%	Procedural Factors:		Tubal factor	5%	Other factor	4%
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	79%	Ovulatory dysfunction	8%	Unknown factor	3%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	0%	Diminished ovarian reserve	17%	Multiple Factors:	
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	2%	Endometriosis	5%	Female factors only	27%
		Used PGD	4%	Uterine factor	3%	Female & male factors	24%
		With eSET	2%	Male factor	5%		

2010 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by Robin L. Poe-Zeigler, MD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman				
	<35	35–37	38–40	41–42	43–44 ^d
Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of cycles	85	30	33	8	7
Percentage of embryos transferred resulting in implantation ^b	41.3	33.3	20.0	5.0	0 / 7
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies ^b	58.8	50.0	24.2	1 / 8	0 / 7
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births ^{b,c}	50.6	46.7	21.2	1 / 8	0 / 7
(Confidence Interval)	(39.5–61.6)	(28.3–65.7)	(9.0–38.9)		
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births ^{b,c}	51.8	51.9	25.9	1 / 8	0 / 7
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births ^{b,c}	55.1	53.8	29.2	1 / 7	0 / 3
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births ^b	34.6	34.6	25.0	1 / 7	0 / 3
Percentage of cancellations ^b	2.4	10.0	18.2	0 / 8	0 / 7
Average number of embryos transferred	2.1	2.3	2.3	2.9	2.3
Percentage of pregnancies with twins ^b	32.0	5 / 15	1 / 8	0 / 1	
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more ^b	2.0	0 / 15	1 / 8	0 / 1	
Percentage of live births having multiple infants ^{b,c}	37.2	5 / 14	1 / 7	0 / 1	
Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of transfers	25	9	8	6	0
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births ^{b,c}	48.0	4 / 9	3 / 8	1 / 6	
Average number of embryos transferred	2.0	2.0	2.1	3.0	
All Ages Combined^e					
	Fresh Embryos		Frozen Embryos		
Number of transfers	17		35		
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births ^{b,c}	7 / 17		54.3		
Average number of embryos transferred	2.1		2.2		

CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

Current Name: The New Hope Center for Reproductive Medicine

Donor egg?	Yes	Gestational carriers?	Yes	SART member?	Yes
Donor embryo?	Yes	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	Yes
Single women?	Yes			(See Appendix C for details.)	

^a Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2010 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.

^b When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.

^c A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.

^d Clinic-specific outcome rates for women older than 44 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs are not included because of small numbers.

^e All ages (including ages >44) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.

FRANCISCO M. IRIANNI, MD WINCHESTER, VIRGINIA

A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 11–20.

2010 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART ^a				Patient Diagnosis			
IVF	100%	Procedural Factors:		Tubal factor	6%	Other factor	0%
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	27%	Ovulatory dysfunction	6%	Unknown factor	25%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	0%	Diminished ovarian reserve	13%	<i>Multiple Factors:</i>	
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	0%	Endometriosis	3%	Female factors only	9%
		Used PGD	0%	Uterine factor	0%	Female & male factors	31%
		With eSET	6%	Male factor	6%		

2010 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by Francisco M. Irianni, MD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman				
	<35	35–37	38–40	41–42	43–44 ^d
Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of cycles	10	6	3	2	1
Percentage of embryos transferred resulting in implantation ^b	6 / 13	3 / 15	0 / 8	0 / 9	0 / 5
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies ^b	4 / 10	2 / 6	0 / 3	0 / 2	0 / 1
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births ^{b,c} (Confidence Interval)	4 / 10	2 / 6	0 / 3	0 / 2	0 / 1
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births ^{b,c}	4 / 7	2 / 6	0 / 3	0 / 2	0 / 1
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births ^{b,c}	4 / 7	2 / 6	0 / 2	0 / 2	0 / 1
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births ^b	2 / 7	1 / 6	0 / 2	0 / 2	0 / 1
Percentage of cancellations ^b	3 / 10	0 / 6	0 / 3	0 / 2	0 / 1
Average number of embryos transferred	1.9	2.5	4.0	4.5	5.0
Percentage of pregnancies with twins ^b	2 / 4	1 / 2			
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more ^b	0 / 4	0 / 2			
Percentage of live births having multiple infants ^{b,c}	2 / 4	1 / 2			
Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of transfers	1	3	0	0	1
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births ^{b,c}	0 / 1	1 / 3			0 / 1
Average number of embryos transferred	3.0	3.3			2.0
All Ages Combined^e					
	Fresh Embryos		Frozen Embryos		
Donor Eggs					
Number of transfers	3		1		
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births ^{b,c}	2 / 3		0 / 1		
Average number of embryos transferred	2.7		3.0		

CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

Current Name: Francisco M. Irianni, MD

Donor egg?	Yes	Gestational carriers?	Yes	SART member?	No
Donor embryo?	Yes	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	Yes
Single women?	No	(See Appendix C for details.)			

^a Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2010 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.

^b When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.

^c A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.

^d Clinic-specific outcome rates for women older than 44 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs are not included because of small numbers.

^e All ages (including ages >44) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.

OVERLAKE REPRODUCTIVE HEALTH, INC., PS BELLEVUE, WASHINGTON

A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 11–20.

2010 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART ^a				Patient Diagnosis			
IVF	100%	Procedural Factors:		Tubal factor	<1%	Other factor	0%
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	54%	Ovulatory dysfunction	<1%	Unknown factor	<1%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	0%	Diminished ovarian reserve	6%	<i>Multiple Factors:</i>	
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	0%	Endometriosis	<1%	Female factors only	41%
		Used PGD	10%	Uterine factor	0%	Female & male factors	49%
		With eSET	5%	Male factor	0%		

2010 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by Kevin M. Johnson, MD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman				
	<35	35–37	38–40	41–42	43–44 ^d
Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of cycles	34	22	12	4	3
Percentage of embryos transferred resulting in implantation ^b	50.9	38.7	20.0	0 / 5	0 / 6
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies ^b	55.9	45.5	4 / 12	0 / 4	1 / 3
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births ^{b,c}	55.9	36.4	2 / 12	0 / 4	0 / 3
(Confidence Interval)	(37.9–72.8)	(17.2–59.3)			
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births ^{b,c}	55.9	8 / 19	2 / 11	0 / 2	0 / 3
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births ^{b,c}	67.9	8 / 16	2 / 10	0 / 2	0 / 2
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births ^b	42.9	6 / 16	2 / 10	0 / 2	0 / 2
Percentage of cancellations ^b	0.0	13.6	1 / 12	2 / 4	0 / 3
Average number of embryos transferred	2.0	1.9	2.5	2.5	3.0
Percentage of pregnancies with twins ^b	9 / 19	2 / 10	1 / 4		0 / 1
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more ^b	0 / 19	0 / 10	0 / 4		0 / 1
Percentage of live births having multiple infants ^{b,c}	7 / 19	2 / 8	0 / 2		
Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of transfers	9	3	2	1	0
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births ^{b,c}	4 / 9	0 / 3	0 / 2	1 / 1	
Average number of embryos transferred	1.8	1.3	2.5	4.0	
All Ages Combined^e					
	Fresh Embryos		Frozen Embryos		
Number of transfers	11		8		
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births ^{b,c}	9 / 11		2 / 8		
Average number of embryos transferred	1.9		2.0		

CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

Current Name: Overlake Reproductive Health, Inc., PS

Donor egg?	Yes	Gestational carriers?	Yes	SART member?	Yes
Donor embryo?	Yes	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	Yes
Single women?	Yes			(See Appendix C for details.)	

^a Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2010 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.

^b When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.

^c A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.

^d Clinic-specific outcome rates for women older than 44 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs are not included because of small numbers.

^e All ages (including ages >44) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.

WASHINGTON CENTER FOR REPRODUCTIVE MEDICINE BELLEVUE, WASHINGTON

A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 11–20.

2010 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART ^a				Patient Diagnosis			
IVF	100%	Procedural Factors:		Tubal factor	2%	Other factor	36%
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	79%	Ovulatory dysfunction	<1%	Unknown factor	10%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	0%	Diminished ovarian reserve	23%	<i>Multiple Factors:</i>	
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	0%	Endometriosis	1%	Female factors only	11%
		Used PGD	48%	Uterine factor	0%	Female & male factors	2%
		With eSET	10%	Male factor	15%		

2010 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by James I. Kustin, MD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman				
	<35	35–37	38–40	41–42	43–44 ^d
Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of cycles	40	29	18	3	4
Percentage of embryos transferred resulting in implantation ^b	43.1	26.2	24.0	1 / 5	0 / 10
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies ^b	55.0	20.7	4 / 18	1 / 3	0 / 4
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births ^{b,c}	47.5	17.2	3 / 18	1 / 3	0 / 4
(Confidence Interval)	(31.5–63.9)	(5.8–35.8)			
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births ^{b,c}	47.5	19.2	3 / 16	1 / 3	0 / 4
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births ^{b,c}	51.4	5 / 19	3 / 11	1 / 3	0 / 3
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births ^b	37.8	0 / 19	2 / 11	1 / 3	0 / 3
Percentage of cancellations ^b	0.0	10.3	2 / 18	0 / 3	0 / 4
Average number of embryos transferred	1.9	2.2	2.3	1.7	3.3
Percentage of pregnancies with twins ^b	40.9	5 / 6	2 / 4	0 / 1	
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more ^b	0.0	0 / 6	0 / 4	0 / 1	
Percentage of live births having multiple infants ^{b,c}	5 / 19	5 / 5	1 / 3	0 / 1	
Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of transfers	14	5	3	0	2
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births ^{b,c}	8 / 14	0 / 5	2 / 3		0 / 2
Average number of embryos transferred	2.4	2.6	2.0		2.0
All Ages Combined^e					
	Fresh Embryos		Frozen Embryos		
Number of transfers	17		8		
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births ^{b,c}	7 / 17		2 / 8		
Average number of embryos transferred	2.2		2.9		

CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

Current Name: Washington Center for Reproductive Medicine

Donor egg?	Yes	Gestational carriers?	Yes	SART member?	Yes
Donor embryo?	Yes	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	Yes
Single women?	Yes			(See Appendix C for details.)	

^a Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2010 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.

^b When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.

^c A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.

^d Clinic-specific outcome rates for women older than 44 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs are not included because of small numbers.

^e All ages (including ages >44) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.

BELLINGHAM IVF
EMMETT BRANIGAN, MD
BELLINGHAM, WASHINGTON

A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 11–20.

2010 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART ^a				Patient Diagnosis			
IVF	100%	Procedural Factors:		Tubal factor	8%	Other factor	0%
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	84%	Ovulatory dysfunction	18%	Unknown factor	1%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	0%	Diminished ovarian reserve	8%	<i>Multiple Factors:</i>	
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	0%	Endometriosis	1%	Female factors only	12%
		Used PGD	0%	Uterine factor	0%	Female & male factors	31%
		With eSET	0%	Male factor	21%		

2010 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by Emmett Branigan, MD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman				
	<35	35–37	38–40	41–42	43–44 ^d
Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of cycles	27	12	8	3	0
Percentage of embryos transferred resulting in implantation ^b	38.0	8.0	0 / 16	0 / 7	
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies ^b	74.1	2 / 12	0 / 8	0 / 3	
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births ^{b,c}	59.3	2 / 12	0 / 8	0 / 3	
(Confidence Interval)	(38.8–77.6)				
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births ^{b,c}	61.5	2 / 12	0 / 8	0 / 2	
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births ^{b,c}	64.0	2 / 12	0 / 6	0 / 2	
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births ^b	56.0	2 / 12	0 / 6	0 / 2	
Percentage of cancellations ^b	3.7	0 / 12	0 / 8	1 / 3	
Average number of embryos transferred	2.0	2.1	2.7	3.5	
Percentage of pregnancies with twins ^b	10.0	0 / 2			
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more ^b	0.0	0 / 2			
Percentage of live births having multiple infants ^{b,c}	2 / 16	0 / 2			
Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of transfers	13	6	9	0	0
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births ^{b,c}	2 / 13	2 / 6	1 / 9		
Average number of embryos transferred	1.9	2.3	2.4		
All Ages Combined^e					
	Fresh Embryos		Frozen Embryos		
Donor Eggs					
Number of transfers	17		4		
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births ^{b,c}	12 / 17		0 / 4		
Average number of embryos transferred	2.1		2.3		

CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

Current Name: Bellingham IVF, Emmett Branigan, MD

Donor egg?	Yes	Gestational carriers?	No	SART member?	No
Donor embryo?	No	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	No
Single women?	Yes	(See Appendix C for details.)			

^a Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2010 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.

^b When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.

^c A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.

^d Clinic-specific outcome rates for women older than 44 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs are not included because of small numbers.

^e All ages (including ages >44) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.

NORTHWEST CENTER FOR REPRODUCTIVE SCIENCES KIRKLAND, WASHINGTON

A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 11–20.

2010 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART ^a				Patient Diagnosis			
IVF	100%	Procedural Factors:		Tubal factor	5%	Other factor	6%
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	48%	Ovulatory dysfunction	9%	Unknown factor	13%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	0%	Diminished ovarian reserve	18%	<i>Multiple Factors:</i>	
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	<1%	Endometriosis	4%	Female factors only	10%
		Used PGD	6%	Uterine factor	1%	Female & male factors	16%
		With eSET	10%	Male factor	17%		

2010 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by Michael S. Opsahl, MD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman				
	<35	35–37	38–40	41–42	43–44 ^d
Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of cycles	99	54	62	16	6
Percentage of embryos transferred resulting in implantation ^b	46.5	37.8	14.8	15.8	0 / 14
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies ^b	54.5	53.7	40.3	4 / 16	0 / 6
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births ^{b,c}	47.5	42.6	29.0	3 / 16	0 / 6
(Confidence Interval)	(37.3–57.8)	(29.2–56.8)	(18.2–41.9)		
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births ^{b,c}	52.8	47.9	32.7	3 / 13	0 / 5
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births ^{b,c}	55.3	51.1	34.0	3 / 11	0 / 4
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births ^b	29.4	35.6	26.4	1 / 11	0 / 4
Percentage of cancellations ^b	10.1	11.1	11.3	3 / 16	1 / 6
Average number of embryos transferred	2.0	2.5	2.8	3.5	3.5
Percentage of pregnancies with twins ^b	44.4	24.1	16.0	2 / 4	
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more ^b	1.9	10.3	0.0	0 / 4	
Percentage of live births having multiple infants ^{b,c}	46.8	30.4	4 / 18	2 / 3	
Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of transfers	33	19	8	5	1
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births ^{b,c}	42.4	6 / 19	3 / 8	1 / 5	0 / 1
Average number of embryos transferred	1.8	1.7	1.6	1.8	1.0
All Ages Combined^e					
Donor Eggs	Fresh Embryos		Frozen Embryos		
Number of transfers	27		26		
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births ^{b,c}	55.6		30.8		
Average number of embryos transferred	2.0		1.5		

CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

Current Name: Northwest Center for Reproductive Sciences

Donor egg?	Yes	Gestational carriers?	Yes	SART member?	Yes
Donor embryo?	Yes	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	Yes
Single women?	Yes	(See Appendix C for details.)			

^a Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2010 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.

^b When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.

^c A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.

^d Clinic-specific outcome rates for women older than 44 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs are not included because of small numbers.

^e All ages (including ages >44) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.

OLYMPIA WOMEN'S HEALTH OLYMPIA, WASHINGTON

A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 11–20.

2010 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART ^a				Patient Diagnosis			
IVF	100%	Procedural Factors:		Tubal factor	39%	Other factor	2%
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	0%	Ovulatory dysfunction	2%	Unknown factor	16%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	0%	Diminished ovarian reserve	10%	<i>Multiple Factors:</i>	
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	0%	Endometriosis	28%	Female factors only	0%
		Used PGD	0%	Uterine factor	2%	Female & male factors	0%
		With eSET	7%	Male factor	2%		

2010 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by James F. Moruzzi, MD, PhD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman				
	<35	35–37	38–40	41–42	43–44 ^d
Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of cycles	22	6	12	1	0
Percentage of embryos transferred resulting in implantation ^b	38.7	5 / 11	21.4	0 / 1	
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies ^b	50.0	5 / 6	5 / 12	0 / 1	
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births ^{b,c}	31.8	2 / 6	2 / 12	0 / 1	
(Confidence Interval)	(13.9–54.9)				
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births ^{b,c}	31.8	2 / 6	2 / 12	0 / 1	
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births ^{b,c}	7 / 19	2 / 6	2 / 12	0 / 1	
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births ^b	6 / 19	2 / 6	1 / 12	0 / 1	
Percentage of cancellations ^b	0.0	0 / 6	0 / 12	0 / 1	
Average number of embryos transferred	1.6	1.8	2.3	1.0	
Percentage of pregnancies with twins ^b	1 / 11	0 / 5	1 / 5		
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more ^b	0 / 11	0 / 5	0 / 5		
Percentage of live births having multiple infants ^{b,c}	1 / 7	0 / 2	1 / 2		
Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of transfers	5	0	2	0	0
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births ^{b,c}	1 / 5		1 / 2		
Average number of embryos transferred	1.8		1.5		
All Ages Combined^e					
Donor Eggs		Fresh Embryos		Frozen Embryos	
Number of transfers		3		0	
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births ^{b,c}		2 / 3			
Average number of embryos transferred		1.7			

CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

Current Name: Olympia Women's Health

Donor egg?	Yes	Gestational carriers?	No	SART member?	Yes
Donor embryo?	Yes	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	Pending
Single women?	Yes	(See Appendix C for details.)			

^a Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2010 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.

^b When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.

^c A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.

^d Clinic-specific outcome rates for women older than 44 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs are not included because of small numbers.

^e All ages (including ages >44) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.

PACIFIC NORTHWEST FERTILITY AND IVF SPECIALISTS SEATTLE, WASHINGTON

A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 11–20.

2010 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART ^a				Patient Diagnosis			
IVF	100%	Procedural Factors:		Tubal factor	3%	Other factor	30%
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	84%	Ovulatory dysfunction	2%	Unknown factor	13%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	<1%	Diminished ovarian reserve	18%	<i>Multiple Factors:</i>	
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	1%	Endometriosis	3%	Female factors only	5%
		Used PGD	2%	Uterine factor	<1%	Female & male factors	8%
		With eSET	5%	Male factor	16%		

2010 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by Lorna A. Marshall, MD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman				
	<35	35–37	38–40	41–42	43–44 ^d
Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of cycles	82	67	78	35	19
Percentage of embryos transferred resulting in implantation ^b	36.5	27.1	21.8	7.4	2.8
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies ^b	50.0	41.8	38.5	22.9	2 / 19
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births ^{b,c}	42.7	38.8	21.8	11.4	2 / 19
(Confidence Interval)	(31.8–54.1)	(27.1–51.5)	(13.2–32.6)	(3.2–26.7)	
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births ^{b,c}	45.5	41.3	28.8	14.3	2 / 19
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births ^{b,c}	45.5	41.9	29.8	14.8	2 / 17
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births ^b	29.9	33.9	29.8	14.8	2 / 17
Percentage of cancellations ^b	6.1	6.0	24.4	20.0	0 / 19
Average number of embryos transferred	1.9	2.1	2.5	3.5	4.2
Percentage of pregnancies with twins ^b	41.5	21.4	10.0	1 / 8	0 / 2
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more ^b	0.0	3.6	0.0	0 / 8	0 / 2
Percentage of live births having multiple infants ^{b,c}	34.3	19.2	0 / 17	0 / 4	0 / 2
Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of transfers	61	46	31	11	4
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births ^{b,c}	55.7	50.0	41.9	3 / 11	2 / 4
Average number of embryos transferred	1.9	1.7	1.9	2.6	1.5
All Ages Combined^e					
Donor Eggs	Fresh Embryos		Frozen Embryos		
Number of transfers	53		101		
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births ^{b,c}	75.5		57.4		
Average number of embryos transferred	1.6		1.6		

CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

Current Name: Pacific Northwest Fertility and IVF Specialists

Donor egg?	Yes	Gestational carriers?	Yes	SART member?	Yes
Donor embryo?	Yes	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	Yes
Single women?	Yes	(See Appendix C for details.)			

^a Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2010 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.

^b When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.

^c A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.

^d Clinic-specific outcome rates for women older than 44 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs are not included because of small numbers.

^e All ages (including ages >44) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.

**SEATTLE REPRODUCTIVE MEDICINE
INTEGRATED AMERICA
SEATTLE, WASHINGTON**

A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 11–20.

2010 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART ^a				Patient Diagnosis			
IVF	100%	Procedural Factors:		Tubal factor	8%	Other factor	6%
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	67%	Ovulatory dysfunction	6%	Unknown factor	7%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	0%	Diminished ovarian reserve	23%	<i>Multiple Factors:</i>	
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	<1%	Endometriosis	4%	Female factors only	11%
		Used PGD	<1%	Uterine factor	1%	Female & male factors	14%
		With eSET	25%	Male factor	21%		

2010 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by Michael R. Soules, MD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman				
	<35	35–37	38–40	41–42	43–44 ^d
Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of cycles	269	145	146	77	35
Percentage of embryos transferred resulting in implantation ^b	51.3	35.7	25.7	12.0	4.9
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies ^b	57.6	46.2	41.1	27.3	11.4
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births ^{b,c}	53.5	40.0	28.1	14.3	11.4
(Confidence Interval)	(47.4–59.6)	(32.0–48.5)	(21.0–36.1)	(7.4–24.1)	(3.2–26.7)
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births ^{b,c}	56.3	43.0	31.8	15.9	14.3
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births ^{b,c}	59.3	46.8	34.7	16.2	15.4
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births ^b	44.4	37.1	23.7	14.7	15.4
Percentage of cancellations ^b	4.8	6.9	11.6	10.4	20.0
Average number of embryos transferred	1.5	1.8	2.1	2.4	3.2
Percentage of pregnancies with twins ^b	24.5	19.4	25.0	4.8	0 / 4
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more ^b	0.0	1.5	0.0	0.0	0 / 4
Percentage of live births having multiple infants ^{b,c}	25.0	20.7	31.7	1 / 11	0 / 4
Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of transfers	75	35	36	12	6
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births ^{b,c}	30.7	40.0	27.8	2 / 12	1 / 6
Average number of embryos transferred	1.6	1.7	1.6	2.0	1.8
All Ages Combined^e					
	Fresh Embryos		Frozen Embryos		
Donor Eggs					
Number of transfers	184		113		
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births ^{b,c}	65.8		30.1		
Average number of embryos transferred	1.5		1.6		

CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

Current Name: Seattle Reproductive Medicine, Integrated America

Donor egg?	Yes	Gestational carriers?	Yes	SART member?	Yes
Donor embryo?	Yes	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	Yes
Single women?	Yes	(See Appendix C for details.)			

^a Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2010 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.

^b When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.

^c A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.

^d Clinic-specific outcome rates for women older than 44 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs are not included because of small numbers.

^e All ages (including ages >44) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.

UNIVERSITY REPRODUCTIVE CARE SEATTLE, WASHINGTON

A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 11–20.

2010 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART ^a				Patient Diagnosis			
IVF	100%	Procedural Factors:		Tubal factor	0%	Other factor	17%
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	100%	Ovulatory dysfunction	0%	Unknown factor	33%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	0%	Diminished ovarian reserve	0%	<i>Multiple Factors:</i>	
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	0%	Endometriosis	0%	Female factors only	0%
		Used PGD	17%	Uterine factor	0%	Female & male factors	17%
		With eSET	17%	Male factor	33%		

2010 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by Kat Lin, MD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman				
	<35	35–37	38–40	41–42	43–44 ^d
Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of cycles	3	2	0	1	0
Percentage of embryos transferred resulting in implantation ^b	2 / 6	1 / 4		0 / 3	
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies ^b	2 / 3	1 / 2		0 / 1	
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births ^{b,c} (Confidence Interval)	2 / 3	1 / 2		0 / 1	
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births ^{b,c}	2 / 3	1 / 2		0 / 1	
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births ^{b,c}	2 / 3	1 / 2		0 / 1	
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births ^b	2 / 3	1 / 2		0 / 1	
Percentage of cancellations ^b	0 / 3	0 / 2		0 / 1	
Average number of embryos transferred	2.0	2.0		3.0	
Percentage of pregnancies with twins ^b	0 / 2	0 / 1			
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more ^b	0 / 2	0 / 1			
Percentage of live births having multiple infants ^{b,c}	0 / 2	0 / 1			
Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of transfers	0	0	0	0	0
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births ^{b,c}					
Average number of embryos transferred					
All Ages Combined^e					
Donor Eggs	Fresh Embryos		Frozen Embryos		
Number of transfers	0		0		
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births ^{b,c}					
Average number of embryos transferred					

CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

Current Name: University Reproductive Care

Donor egg?	No	Gestational carriers?	No	SART member?	Yes
Donor embryo?	No	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	Pending
Single women?	Yes	(See Appendix C for details.)			

^a Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2010 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.

^b When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.

^c A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.

^d Clinic-specific outcome rates for women older than 44 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs are not included because of small numbers.

^e All ages (including ages >44) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.

THE CENTER FOR REPRODUCTIVE HEALTH SPOKANE, WASHINGTON

A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 11–20.

2010 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART ^a				Patient Diagnosis			
IVF	100%	Procedural Factors:		Tubal factor	7%	Other factor	3%
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	72%	Ovulatory dysfunction	4%	Unknown factor	10%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	0%	Diminished ovarian reserve	8%	<i>Multiple Factors:</i>	
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	0%	Endometriosis	2%	Female factors only	9%
		Used PGD	0%	Uterine factor	0%	Female & male factors	27%
		With eSET	2%	Male factor	32%		

2010 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by Edwin Robins, MD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman				
	<35	35–37	38–40	41–42	43–44 ^d
Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of cycles	76	22	19	2	0
Percentage of embryos transferred resulting in implantation ^b	63.1	31.3	25.6	0 / 3	
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies ^b	81.6	45.5	9 / 19	0 / 2	
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births ^{b,c}	75.0	36.4	7 / 19	0 / 2	
(Confidence Interval)	(63.7–84.2)	(17.2–59.3)			
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births ^{b,c}	77.0	38.1	7 / 18	0 / 1	
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births ^{b,c}	79.2	40.0	7 / 14	0 / 1	
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births ^b	40.3	20.0	5 / 14	0 / 1	
Percentage of cancellations ^b	2.6	4.5	1 / 19	1 / 2	
Average number of embryos transferred	2.1	2.4	3.1	3.0	
Percentage of pregnancies with twins ^b	50.0	3 / 10	2 / 9		
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more ^b	1.6	1 / 10	0 / 9		
Percentage of live births having multiple infants ^{b,c}	49.1	4 / 8	2 / 7		
Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of transfers	22	19	7	6	0
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births ^{b,c}	36.4	5 / 19	4 / 7	1 / 6	
Average number of embryos transferred	2.1	2.2	2.6	2.8	
All Ages Combined^e					
	Fresh Embryos		Frozen Embryos		
Number of transfers	20		5		
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births ^{b,c}	85.0		2 / 5		
Average number of embryos transferred	2.0		1.8		

CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

Current Name: The Center for Reproductive Health

Donor egg?	Yes	Gestational carriers?	Yes	SART member?	Yes
Donor embryo?	No	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	Yes
Single women?	Yes	(See Appendix C for details.)			

^a Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2010 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.

^b When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.

^c A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.

^d Clinic-specific outcome rates for women older than 44 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs are not included because of small numbers.

^e All ages (including ages >44) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.

GYFT CLINIC, PLLC TACOMA, WASHINGTON

A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 11–20.

2010 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART ^a				Patient Diagnosis			
IVF	100%	Procedural Factors:		Tubal factor	8%	Other factor	1%
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	56%	Ovulatory dysfunction	14%	Unknown factor	13%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	0%	Diminished ovarian reserve	8%	<i>Multiple Factors:</i>	
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	0%	Endometriosis	6%	Female factors only	11%
		Used PGD	3%	Uterine factor	0%	Female & male factors	13%
		With eSET	0%	Male factor	27%		

2010 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by Robert Z. McLees, MD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman				
	<35	35–37	38–40	41–42	43–44 ^d
Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of cycles	35	12	18	3	2
Percentage of embryos transferred resulting in implantation ^b	38.6	6.4	12.1	3 / 11	0 / 9
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies ^b	45.7	3 / 12	6 / 18	2 / 3	0 / 2
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births ^{b,c}	42.9	3 / 12	3 / 18	2 / 3	0 / 2
(Confidence Interval)	(26.3–60.6)				
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births ^{b,c}	46.9	3 / 12	3 / 17	2 / 3	0 / 2
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births ^{b,c}	50.0	3 / 12	3 / 16	2 / 3	0 / 2
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births ^b	26.7	3 / 12	2 / 16	2 / 3	0 / 2
Percentage of cancellations ^b	8.6	0 / 12	1 / 18	0 / 3	0 / 2
Average number of embryos transferred	2.3	3.9	4.1	3.7	4.5
Percentage of pregnancies with twins ^b	5 / 16	0 / 3	2 / 6	1 / 2	
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more ^b	3 / 16	0 / 3	0 / 6	0 / 2	
Percentage of live births having multiple infants ^{b,c}	7 / 15	0 / 3	1 / 3	0 / 2	
Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of transfers	9	4	0	0	0
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births ^{b,c}	5 / 9	1 / 4			
Average number of embryos transferred	2.3	2.0			
All Ages Combined^e					
Donor Eggs	Fresh Embryos		Frozen Embryos		
Number of transfers	2		1		
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births ^{b,c}	2 / 2		1 / 1		
Average number of embryos transferred	2.5		2.0		

CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

Current Name: This clinic has closed or reorganized since 2010. Information on current clinic services and profile therefore is not provided here. Contact the NASS Help Desk for current information about this clinic.

^a Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2010 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.

^b When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.

^c A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.

^d Clinic-specific outcome rates for women older than 44 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs are not included because of small numbers.

^e All ages (including ages >44) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.

MADIGAN ARMY MEDICAL CENTER TACOMA, WASHINGTON

A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 11–20.

2010 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART ^a				Patient Diagnosis			
IVF	100%	Procedural Factors:		Tubal factor	13%	Other factor	5%
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	60%	Ovulatory dysfunction	6%	Unknown factor	10%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	0%	Diminished ovarian reserve	13%	<i>Multiple Factors:</i>	
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	0%	Endometriosis	8%	Female factors only	7%
		Used PGD	0%	Uterine factor	<1%	Female & male factors	19%
		With eSET	7%	Male factor	17%		

2010 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by Greg E. Chow, MD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman				
	<35	35–37	38–40	41–42	43–44 ^d
Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of cycles	34	25	23	11	4
Percentage of embryos transferred resulting in implantation ^b	38.2	42.5	28.3	9.5	1 / 12
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies ^b	52.9	52.0	39.1	1 / 11	1 / 4
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births ^{b,c}	47.1	36.0	26.1	1 / 11	1 / 4
(Confidence Interval)	(29.8–64.9)	(18.0–57.5)	(10.2–48.4)		
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births ^{b,c}	50.0	39.1	30.0	1 / 8	1 / 4
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births ^{b,c}	53.3	9 / 19	6 / 19	1 / 8	1 / 4
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births ^b	43.3	6 / 19	4 / 19	0 / 8	1 / 4
Percentage of cancellations ^b	5.9	8.0	13.0	3 / 11	0 / 4
Average number of embryos transferred	1.8	2.1	2.4	2.6	3.0
Percentage of pregnancies with twins ^b	4 / 18	6 / 13	4 / 9	1 / 1	0 / 1
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more ^b	0 / 18	0 / 13	0 / 9	0 / 1	0 / 1
Percentage of live births having multiple infants ^{b,c}	3 / 16	3 / 9	2 / 6	1 / 1	0 / 1
Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of transfers	10	4	0	0	0
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births ^{b,c}	3 / 10	2 / 4			
Average number of embryos transferred	2.0	2.3			
All Ages Combined^e					
	Fresh Embryos		Frozen Embryos		
Donor Eggs					
Number of transfers	0		1		
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births ^{b,c}			1 / 1		
Average number of embryos transferred			2.0		

CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

Current Name: Madigan Army Medical Center

Donor egg?	Yes	Gestational carriers?	No	SART member?	Yes
Donor embryo?	Yes	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	Yes
Single women?	No	<i>(See Appendix C for details.)</i>			

^a Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2010 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.

^b When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.

^c A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.

^d Clinic-specific outcome rates for women older than 44 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs are not included because of small numbers.

^e All ages (including ages >44) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.

WEST VIRGINIA UNIVERSITY FERTILITY CENTER CHARLESTON, WEST VIRGINIA

A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 11–20.

2010 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART ^a				Patient Diagnosis			
IVF	100%	Procedural Factors:		Tubal factor	8%	Other factor	4%
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	37%	Ovulatory dysfunction	6%	Unknown factor	8%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	0%	Diminished ovarian reserve	29%	<i>Multiple Factors:</i>	
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	0%	Endometriosis	10%	Female factors only	10%
		Used PGD	0%	Uterine factor	2%	Female & male factors	12%
		With eSET	0%	Male factor	12%		

2010 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by Pickens A. Gantt, MD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman				
	<35	35–37	38–40	41–42	43–44 ^d
Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of cycles	24	5	8	1	0
Percentage of embryos transferred resulting in implantation ^b	25.0	4 / 12	4 / 14		
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies ^b	37.5	3 / 5	3 / 8	0 / 1	
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births ^{b,c}	33.3	3 / 5	3 / 8	0 / 1	
(Confidence Interval)	(15.6–55.3)				
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births ^{b,c}	36.4	3 / 5	3 / 6		
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births ^{b,c}	40.0	3 / 5	3 / 6		
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births ^b	30.0	2 / 5	2 / 6		
Percentage of cancellations ^b	8.3	0 / 5	2 / 8	1 / 1	
Average number of embryos transferred	2.2	2.4	2.3		
Percentage of pregnancies with twins ^b	2 / 9	1 / 3	1 / 3		
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more ^b	0 / 9	0 / 3	0 / 3		
Percentage of live births having multiple infants ^{b,c}	2 / 8	1 / 3	1 / 3		
Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of transfers	5	0	2	0	0
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births ^{b,c}	1 / 5		0 / 2		
Average number of embryos transferred	1.8		2.5		
All Ages Combined^e					
Donor Eggs	Fresh Embryos		Frozen Embryos		
	Number of transfers	5		1	
	Percentage of transfers resulting in live births ^{b,c}	3 / 5		0 / 1	
Average number of embryos transferred	2.0		1.0		

CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

Current Name: West Virginia University Fertility Center

Donor egg?	Yes	Gestational carriers?	Yes	SART member?	Yes
Donor embryo?	Yes	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	No
Single women?	Yes			(See Appendix C for details.)	

^a Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2010 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.

^b When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.

^c A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.

^d Clinic-specific outcome rates for women older than 44 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs are not included because of small numbers.

^e All ages (including ages >44) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.

CENTER FOR ADVANCED REPRODUCTIVE MEDICINE HUNTINGTON, WEST VIRGINIA

A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 11–20.

2010 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART ^a				Patient Diagnosis			
IVF	100%	Procedural Factors:		Tubal factor	22%	Other factor	22%
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	37%	Ovulatory dysfunction	16%	Unknown factor	3%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	0%	Diminished ovarian reserve	0%	<i>Multiple Factors:</i>	
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	0%	Endometriosis	14%	Female factors only	0%
		Used PGD	0%	Uterine factor	0%	Female & male factors	8%
		With eSET	4%	Male factor	16%		

2010 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by William N. Burns, MD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman				
	<35	35–37	38–40	41–42	43–44 ^d
Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of cycles	15	5	10	0	0
Percentage of embryos transferred resulting in implantation ^b	23.5	0 / 15	11.8		
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies ^b	6 / 15	0 / 5	4 / 10		
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births ^{b,c}	5 / 15	0 / 5	2 / 10		
(Confidence Interval)					
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births ^{b,c}	5 / 15	0 / 4	2 / 10		
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births ^{b,c}	5 / 15	0 / 4	2 / 10		
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births ^b	3 / 15	0 / 4	2 / 10		
Percentage of cancellations ^b	0 / 15	1 / 5	0 / 10		
Average number of embryos transferred	2.3	3.8	3.4		
Percentage of pregnancies with twins ^b	2 / 6		0 / 4		
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more ^b	0 / 6		0 / 4		
Percentage of live births having multiple infants ^{b,c}	2 / 5		0 / 2		
Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of transfers	5	1	1	0	0
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births ^{b,c}	1 / 5	0 / 1	1 / 1		
Average number of embryos transferred	1.4	1.0	1.0		
All Ages Combined^e					
Donor Eggs	Fresh Embryos		Frozen Embryos		
Number of transfers	0		0		
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births ^{b,c}					
Average number of embryos transferred					

CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

Current Name: Center for Advanced Reproductive Medicine

Donor egg?	Yes	Gestational carriers?	No	SART member?	No
Donor embryo?	No	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	Yes
Single women?	Yes	(See Appendix C for details.)			

^a Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2010 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.

^b When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.

^c A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.

^d Clinic-specific outcome rates for women older than 44 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs are not included because of small numbers.

^e All ages (including ages >44) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.

WEST VIRGINIA UNIVERSITY CENTER FOR REPRODUCTIVE MEDICINE MORGANTOWN, WEST VIRGINIA

A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 11–20.

2010 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART ^a				Patient Diagnosis			
IVF	100%	Procedural Factors:		Tubal factor	11%	Other factor	0%
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	73%	Ovulatory dysfunction	0%	Unknown factor	2%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	0%	Diminished ovarian reserve	2%	<i>Multiple Factors:</i>	
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	0%	Endometriosis	4%	Female factors only	21%
		Used PGD	0%	Uterine factor	0%	Female & male factors	58%
		With eSET	0%	Male factor	4%		

2010 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by Roger C. Toffle, MD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman				
	<35	35–37	38–40	41–42	43–44 ^d
Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of cycles	25	11	13	1	1
Percentage of embryos transferred resulting in implantation ^b	35.8	15.0	2 / 18	0 / 2	
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies ^b	56.0	3 / 11	2 / 13	0 / 1	0 / 1
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births ^{b,c}	48.0	1 / 11	2 / 13	0 / 1	0 / 1
(Confidence Interval)	(27.8–68.7)				
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births ^{b,c}	52.2	1 / 10	2 / 9	0 / 1	
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births ^{b,c}	54.5	1 / 9	2 / 9	0 / 1	
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births ^b	31.8	1 / 9	2 / 9	0 / 1	
Percentage of cancellations ^b	8.0	1 / 11	4 / 13	0 / 1	1 / 1
Average number of embryos transferred	2.4	2.2	2.0	2.0	
Percentage of pregnancies with twins ^b	5 / 14	1 / 3	0 / 2		
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more ^b	0 / 14	0 / 3	0 / 2		
Percentage of live births having multiple infants ^{b,c}	5 / 12	0 / 1	0 / 2		
Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of transfers	2	3	0	0	0
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births ^{b,c}	0 / 2	0 / 3			
Average number of embryos transferred	2.5	1.7			
All Ages Combined^e					
Donor Eggs	Fresh Embryos		Frozen Embryos		
Number of transfers	0		0		
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births ^{b,c}					
Average number of embryos transferred					

CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

Current Name: West Virginia University Center for Reproductive Medicine

Donor egg?	No	Gestational carriers?	No	SART member?	Yes
Donor embryo?	No	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	Yes
Single women?	Yes	(See Appendix C for details.)			

^a Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2010 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.

^b When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.

^c A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.

^d Clinic-specific outcome rates for women older than 44 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs are not included because of small numbers.

^e All ages (including ages >44) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.

AURORA FERTILITY SERVICES-GREEN BAY
THE WOMEN'S CENTER AT AURORA BAYCARE MEDICAL CENTER
GREEN BAY, WISCONSIN

A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 11–20.

2010 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART ^a				Patient Diagnosis			
IVF	100%	Procedural Factors:		Tubal factor	4%	Other factor	2%
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	97%	Ovulatory dysfunction	2%	Unknown factor	0%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	0%	Diminished ovarian reserve	<1%	<i>Multiple Factors:</i>	
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	0%	Endometriosis	0%	Female factors only	1%
		Used PGD	6%	Uterine factor	<1%	Female & male factors	47%
		With eSET	2%	Male factor	43%		

2010 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by Mark F. Severino, MD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman				
	<35	35–37	38–40	41–42	43–44 ^d
Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of cycles	63	17	15	12	0
Percentage of embryos transferred resulting in implantation ^b	32.0	32.1	16.7	13.6	
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies ^b	46.0	7 / 17	3 / 15	2 / 12	
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births ^{b,c}	42.9	5 / 17	2 / 15	1 / 12	
(Confidence Interval)	(30.5–56.0)				
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births ^{b,c}	43.5	5 / 17	2 / 15	1 / 12	
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births ^{b,c}	45.0	5 / 16	2 / 11	1 / 11	
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births ^b	30.0	2 / 16	2 / 11	1 / 11	
Percentage of cancellations ^b	1.6	0 / 17	0 / 15	0 / 12	
Average number of embryos transferred	2.0	1.8	2.2	2.0	
Percentage of pregnancies with twins ^b	34.5	3 / 7	1 / 3	1 / 2	
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more ^b	0.0	0 / 7	0 / 3	0 / 2	
Percentage of live births having multiple infants ^{b,c}	33.3	3 / 5	0 / 2	0 / 1	
Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of transfers	31	13	3	2	1
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births ^{b,c}	29.0	1 / 13	2 / 3	1 / 2	0 / 1
Average number of embryos transferred	2.1	1.4	2.0	2.0	2.0
All Ages Combined^e					
Donor Eggs	Fresh Embryos		Frozen Embryos		
Number of transfers	5		0		
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births ^{b,c}	3 / 5				
Average number of embryos transferred	2.2				

CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

Current Name: Aurora Fertility Services-Green Bay, The Women's Center at Aurora BayCare Medical Center

Donor egg?	Yes	Gestational carriers?	Yes	SART member?	Yes
Donor embryo?	Yes	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	Yes
Single women?	Yes			(See Appendix C for details.)	

^a Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2010 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.

^b When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.

^c A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.

^d Clinic-specific outcome rates for women older than 44 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs are not included because of small numbers.

^e All ages (including ages >44) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.

GUNDERSEN LUTHERAN FERTILITY CENTER LA CROSSE, WISCONSIN

A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 11–20.

2010 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART ^a				Patient Diagnosis			
IVF	100%	Procedural Factors:		Tubal factor	5%	Other factor	5%
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	82%	Ovulatory dysfunction	3%	Unknown factor	0%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	0%	Diminished ovarian reserve	4%	<i>Multiple Factors:</i>	
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	2%	Endometriosis	3%	Female factors only	27%
		Used PGD	6%	Uterine factor	0%	Female & male factors	35%
		With eSET	32%	Male factor	19%		

2010 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by Kathy Trumbull, MD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman				
	<35	35–37	38–40	41–42	43–44 ^d
Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of cycles	34	10	9	2	0
Percentage of embryos transferred resulting in implantation ^b	24.4	9 / 15	6 / 19	1 / 5	
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies ^b	32.4	7 / 10	5 / 9	1 / 2	
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births ^{b,c}	23.5	6 / 10	4 / 9	1 / 2	
(Confidence Interval)	(10.7–41.2)				
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births ^{b,c}	27.6	6 / 8	4 / 8	1 / 2	
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births ^{b,c}	29.6	6 / 8	4 / 7	1 / 2	
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births ^b	29.6	5 / 8	3 / 7	1 / 2	
Percentage of cancellations ^b	14.7	2 / 10	1 / 9	0 / 2	
Average number of embryos transferred	1.5	1.9	2.7	2.5	
Percentage of pregnancies with twins ^b	1 / 11	2 / 7	1 / 5	0 / 1	
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more ^b	0 / 11	0 / 7	0 / 5	0 / 1	
Percentage of live births having multiple infants ^{b,c}	0 / 8	1 / 6	1 / 4	0 / 1	
Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of transfers	12	1	2	0	0
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births ^{b,c}	2 / 12	0 / 1	1 / 2		
Average number of embryos transferred	1.8	3.0	2.0		
All Ages Combined^e					
Donor Eggs	Fresh Embryos		Frozen Embryos		
Number of transfers	3		2		
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births ^{b,c}	3 / 3		0 / 2		
Average number of embryos transferred	2.0		2.5		

CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

Current Name: Gundersen Lutheran Fertility Center

Donor egg?	Yes	Gestational carriers?	Yes	SART member?	No
Donor embryo?	Yes	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	Yes
Single women?	Yes	(See Appendix C for details.)			

^a Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2010 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.

^b When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.

^c A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.

^d Clinic-specific outcome rates for women older than 44 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs are not included because of small numbers.

^e All ages (including ages >44) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.

UNIVERSITY OF WISCONSIN-GENERATIONS FERTILITY CARE MIDDLETON, WISCONSIN

A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 11–20.

2010 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART ^a			Patient Diagnosis			
IVF	100%	Procedural Factors:	Tubal factor	12%	Other factor	4%
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	Ovulatory dysfunction	7%	Unknown factor	8%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	Diminished ovarian reserve	5%	<i>Multiple Factors:</i>	
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	Endometriosis	1%	Female factors only	15%
		Used PGD	Uterine factor	1%	Female & male factors	24%
		With eSET	Male factor	23%		

2010 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by Dan I. Lebovic, MD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman				
	<35	35–37	38–40	41–42	43–44 ^d
Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of cycles	42	16	5	2	0
Percentage of embryos transferred resulting in implantation ^b	40.3	23.3	2 / 12	0 / 2	
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies ^b	47.6	5 / 16	2 / 5	0 / 2	
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births ^{b,c}	42.9	3 / 16	1 / 5	0 / 2	
(Confidence Interval)	(27.7–59.0)				
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births ^{b,c}	51.4	3 / 13	1 / 4	0 / 1	
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births ^{b,c}	54.5	3 / 13	1 / 4	0 / 1	
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births ^b	33.3	3 / 13	1 / 4	0 / 1	
Percentage of cancellations ^b	16.7	3 / 16	1 / 5	1 / 2	
Average number of embryos transferred	2.0	2.3	3.0	2.0	
Percentage of pregnancies with twins ^b	35.0	2 / 5	1 / 2		
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more ^b	0.0	0 / 5	0 / 2		
Percentage of live births having multiple infants ^{b,c}	7 / 18	0 / 3	0 / 1		
Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of transfers	6	4	0	1	0
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births ^{b,c}	0 / 6	1 / 4		0 / 1	
Average number of embryos transferred	2.3	1.3		2.0	
All Ages Combined^e					
	Fresh Embryos		Frozen Embryos		
Number of transfers	0		4		
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births ^{b,c}			2 / 4		
Average number of embryos transferred			2.0		

CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

Current Name: University of Wisconsin-Generations Fertility Care

Donor egg?	Yes	Gestational carriers?	Yes	SART member?	Yes
Donor embryo?	Yes	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	Yes
Single women?	Yes			(See Appendix C for details.)	

^a Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2010 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.

^b When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.

^c A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.

^d Clinic-specific outcome rates for women older than 44 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs are not included because of small numbers.

^e All ages (including ages >44) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.

WISCONSIN FERTILITY INSTITUTE MIDDLETON, WISCONSIN

A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 11–20.

2010 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART ^a				Patient Diagnosis			
IVF	100%	Procedural Factors:		Tubal factor	4%	Other factor	6%
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	94%	Ovulatory dysfunction	5%	Unknown factor	13%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	0%	Diminished ovarian reserve	17%	<i>Multiple Factors:</i>	
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	3%	Endometriosis	5%	Female factors only	8%
		Used PGD	3%	Uterine factor	2%	Female & male factors	23%
		With eSET	<1%	Male factor	16%		

2010 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by David L. Olive, MD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman				
	<35	35–37	38–40	41–42	43–44 ^d
Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of cycles	60	35	19	4	0
Percentage of embryos transferred resulting in implantation ^b	14.9	8.5	12.7	1 / 11	
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies ^b	33.3	20.0	5 / 19	1 / 4	
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births ^{b,c}	28.3	11.4	4 / 19	1 / 4	
(Confidence Interval)	(17.5–41.4)	(3.2–26.7)			
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births ^{b,c}	28.3	12.5	4 / 19	1 / 3	
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births ^{b,c}	29.3	13.3	4 / 17	1 / 3	
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births ^b	22.4	10.0	3 / 17	1 / 3	
Percentage of cancellations ^b	0.0	8.6	0 / 19	1 / 4	
Average number of embryos transferred	2.8	2.7	3.2	3.7	
Percentage of pregnancies with twins ^b	20.0	1 / 7	2 / 5	0 / 1	
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more ^b	0.0	0 / 7	0 / 5	0 / 1	
Percentage of live births having multiple infants ^{b,c}	4 / 17	1 / 4	1 / 4	0 / 1	
Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of transfers	36	16	11	2	1
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births ^{b,c}	22.2	5 / 16	0 / 11	0 / 2	0 / 1
Average number of embryos transferred	2.3	2.2	2.3	2.0	4.0
All Ages Combined^e					
Donor Eggs	Fresh Embryos		Frozen Embryos		
	Number of transfers	21	25		
	Percentage of transfers resulting in live births ^{b,c}	42.9	20.0		
Average number of embryos transferred	2.3	2.2			

CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

Current Name: Wisconsin Fertility Institute

Donor egg?	Yes	Gestational carriers?	Yes	SART member?	Yes
Donor embryo?	Yes	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	Yes
Single women?	Yes	(See Appendix C for details.)			

^a Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2010 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.

^b When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.

^c A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.

^d Clinic-specific outcome rates for women older than 44 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs are not included because of small numbers.

^e All ages (including ages >44) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.

**FROEDTERT & MEDICAL COLLEGE OF WISCONSIN
REPRODUCTIVE MEDICINE CENTER
MILWAUKEE, WISCONSIN**

A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 11–20.

2010 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART ^a				Patient Diagnosis			
IVF	100%	Procedural Factors:		Tubal factor	6%	Other factor	4%
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	92%	Ovulatory dysfunction	9%	Unknown factor	10%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	0%	Diminished ovarian reserve	15%	<i>Multiple Factors:</i>	
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	0%	Endometriosis	2%	Female factors only	9%
		Used PGD	3%	Uterine factor	<1%	Female & male factors	14%
		With eSET	7%	Male factor	32%		

2010 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by Estil Strawn, Jr., MD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman				
	<35	35–37	38–40	41–42	43–44 ^d
Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of cycles	109	46	33	2	1
Percentage of embryos transferred resulting in implantation ^b	40.6	28.6	16.9	0 / 6	0 / 3
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies ^b	47.7	39.1	21.2	0 / 2	0 / 1
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births ^{b,c}	44.0	39.1	18.2	0 / 2	0 / 1
(Confidence Interval)	(34.5–53.9)	(25.1–54.6)	(7.0–35.5)		
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births ^{b,c}	44.9	43.9	20.7	0 / 2	0 / 1
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births ^{b,c}	49.0	43.9	25.0	0 / 2	0 / 1
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births ^b	29.6	26.8	16.7	0 / 2	0 / 1
Percentage of cancellations ^b	1.8	10.9	12.1	0 / 2	0 / 1
Average number of embryos transferred	1.9	2.2	2.5	3.0	3.0
Percentage of pregnancies with twins ^b	38.5	8 / 18	1 / 7		
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more ^b	3.8	0 / 18	1 / 7		
Percentage of live births having multiple infants ^{b,c}	39.6	7 / 18	2 / 6		
Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of transfers	29	16	12	0	1
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births ^{b,c}	51.7	2 / 16	3 / 12		0 / 1
Average number of embryos transferred	1.6	1.8	1.9		2.0
All Ages Combined^e					
	Fresh Embryos		Frozen Embryos		
Number of transfers	21		11		
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births ^{b,c}	52.4		3 / 11		
Average number of embryos transferred	1.7		1.8		

CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

Current Name: Froedtert & Medical College of Wisconsin, Reproductive Medicine Center

Donor egg?	Yes	Gestational carriers?	Yes	SART member?	Yes
Donor embryo?	Yes	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	Yes
Single women?	Yes			<i>(See Appendix C for details.)</i>	

^a Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2010 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.

^b When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.

^c A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.

^d Clinic-specific outcome rates for women older than 44 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs are not included because of small numbers.

^e All ages (including ages >44) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.

REPRODUCTIVE SPECIALTY CENTER MILWAUKEE, WISCONSIN

A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 11–20.

2010 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART ^a				Patient Diagnosis			
IVF	100%	Procedural Factors:		Tubal factor	10%	Other factor	0%
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	46%	Ovulatory dysfunction	0%	Unknown factor	6%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	0%	Diminished ovarian reserve	12%	<i>Multiple Factors:</i>	
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	1%	Endometriosis	7%	Female factors only	9%
		Used PGD	0%	Uterine factor	0%	Female & male factors	31%
		With eSET	7%	Male factor	26%		

2010 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by Grace M. Janik, MD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman				
	<35	35–37	38–40	41–42	43–44 ^d
Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of cycles	21	12	20	15	0
Percentage of embryos transferred resulting in implantation ^b	54.3	26.1	20.0	11.4	
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies ^b	61.9	5 / 12	45.0	3 / 15	
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births ^{b,c}	42.9	5 / 12	30.0	3 / 15	
(Confidence Interval)	(21.8–66.0)		(11.9–54.3)		
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births ^{b,c}	45.0	5 / 11	6 / 19	3 / 13	
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births ^{b,c}	9 / 18	5 / 11	6 / 17	3 / 12	
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births ^b	5 / 18	4 / 11	6 / 17	3 / 12	
Percentage of cancellations ^b	4.8	1 / 12	5.0	2 / 15	
Average number of embryos transferred	1.9	2.1	2.6	2.9	
Percentage of pregnancies with twins ^b	5 / 13	1 / 5	1 / 9	1 / 3	
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more ^b	1 / 13	0 / 5	0 / 9	0 / 3	
Percentage of live births having multiple infants ^{b,c}	4 / 9	1 / 5	0 / 6	0 / 3	
Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of transfers	8	5	3	0	0
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births ^{b,c}	3 / 8	2 / 5	1 / 3		
Average number of embryos transferred	1.6	2.6	2.0		
All Ages Combined^e					
Donor Eggs	Fresh Embryos		Frozen Embryos		
Number of transfers	2		0		
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births ^{b,c}	1 / 2				
Average number of embryos transferred	2.0				

CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

Current Name: Reproductive Specialty Center

Donor egg?	Yes	Gestational carriers?	Yes	SART member?	Yes
Donor embryo?	No	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	Yes
Single women?	Yes	(See Appendix C for details.)			

^a Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2010 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.

^b When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.

^c A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.

^d Clinic-specific outcome rates for women older than 44 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs are not included because of small numbers.

^e All ages (including ages >44) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.

WOMEN'S HEALTH CARE, SC WAUKESHA, WISCONSIN

A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 11–20.

2010 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART ^a				Patient Diagnosis			
IVF	100%	Procedural Factors:		Tubal factor	0%	Other factor	0%
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	56%	Ovulatory dysfunction	18%	Unknown factor	27%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	0%	Diminished ovarian reserve	9%	<i>Multiple Factors:</i>	
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	0%	Endometriosis	9%	Female factors only	9%
		Used PGD	0%	Uterine factor	0%	Female & male factors	9%
		With eSET	0%	Male factor	18%		

2010 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by Matthew A. Meyer, MD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman				
	<35	35–37	38–40	41–42	43–44 ^d
Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of cycles	3	3	2	1	0
Percentage of embryos transferred resulting in implantation ^b	0 / 7	1 / 3	0 / 3	0 / 3	
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies ^b	0 / 3	1 / 3	0 / 2	0 / 1	
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births ^{b,c} (Confidence Interval)	0 / 3	1 / 3	0 / 2	0 / 1	
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births ^{b,c}	0 / 3	1 / 3	0 / 2	0 / 1	
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births ^{b,c}	0 / 3	1 / 2	0 / 2	0 / 1	
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births ^b	0 / 3	1 / 2	0 / 2	0 / 1	
Percentage of cancellations ^b	0 / 3	0 / 3	0 / 2	0 / 1	
Average number of embryos transferred	2.3	1.5	1.5	3.0	
Percentage of pregnancies with twins ^b		0 / 1			
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more ^b		0 / 1			
Percentage of live births having multiple infants ^{b,c}		0 / 1			
Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of transfers	2	0	0	0	0
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births ^{b,c}	0 / 2				
Average number of embryos transferred	2.5				
All Ages Combined^e					
Donor Eggs	Fresh Embryos		Frozen Embryos		
Number of transfers	0		0		
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births ^{b,c}					
Average number of embryos transferred					

CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

Current Name: This clinic has closed or reorganized since 2010. Information on current clinic services and profile therefore is not provided here. Contact the NASS Help Desk for current information about this clinic.

^a Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2010 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.

^b When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.

^c A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.

^d Clinic-specific outcome rates for women older than 44 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs are not included because of small numbers.

^e All ages (including ages >44) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.

AURORA HEALTH CARE-AURORA FERTILITY SERVICES, WEST ALLIS WEST ALLIS, WISCONSIN

A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 11–20.

2010 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART ^a			Patient Diagnosis			
IVF	100%	Procedural Factors:	Tubal factor	4%	Other factor	<1%
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	Ovulatory dysfunction	4%	Unknown factor	2%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	Diminished ovarian reserve	3%	<i>Multiple Factors:</i>	
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	Endometriosis	<1%	Female factors only	9%
		Used PGD	Uterine factor	<1%	Female & male factors	47%
		With eSET	Male factor	29%		

2010 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by Mark F. Severino, MD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman				
	<35	35–37	38–40	41–42	43–44 ^d
Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of cycles	52	23	16	4	0
Percentage of embryos transferred resulting in implantation ^b	39.0	42.9	32.3	2 / 6	
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies ^b	51.9	56.5	9 / 16	2 / 4	
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births ^{b,c}	48.1	43.5	8 / 16	0 / 4	
(Confidence Interval)	(34.0–62.4)	(23.2–65.5)			
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births ^{b,c}	50.0	50.0	8 / 13	0 / 4	
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births ^{b,c}	51.0	50.0	8 / 13	0 / 4	
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births ^b	28.6	30.0	7 / 13	0 / 4	
Percentage of cancellations ^b	3.8	13.0	3 / 16	0 / 4	
Average number of embryos transferred	2.0	2.1	2.4	1.5	
Percentage of pregnancies with twins ^b	37.0	4 / 13	2 / 9	0 / 2	
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more ^b	3.7	1 / 13	0 / 9	0 / 2	
Percentage of live births having multiple infants ^{b,c}	44.0	4 / 10	1 / 8		
Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of transfers	9	1	1	0	0
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births ^{b,c}	3 / 9	0 / 1	1 / 1		
Average number of embryos transferred	2.1	2.0	3.0		
All Ages Combined^e					
Donor Eggs	Fresh Embryos		Frozen Embryos		
Number of transfers	5		1		
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births ^{b,c}	4 / 5		0 / 1		
Average number of embryos transferred	2.0		2.0		

CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

Current Name: Aurora Health Care-Aurora Fertility Services, West Allis

Donor egg?	Yes	Gestational carriers?	Yes	SART member?	Yes
Donor embryo?	Yes	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	Yes
Single women?	Yes	(See Appendix C for details.)			

^a Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2010 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.

^b When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.

^c A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.

^d Clinic-specific outcome rates for women older than 44 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs are not included because of small numbers.

^e All ages (including ages >44) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.

