

Conflict of Interest Disclosure Statement
for
Members of the Advisory Board on Radiation and Worker Health

The Advisory Board on Radiation and Worker Health (Board) is very concerned with maintaining the transparency of the compensation program created by the Energy Employees Occupational Illness Compensation Program Act of 2000 (EEOICPA). In an effort to foster a fully open and honest environment for claimants and in order to allay any concerns within the stakeholder community regarding perceived or actual conflicts of interest for Board members, the Board releases the following information for its Members:

Board Member's Name: Wanda I. Munn

Former Job Title and Employer: Senior Nuclear Engineer (Retired)
Westinghouse Hanford Company
Richland, Washington

Biographical Information: Ms. Munn is retired from the Advanced Reactor Development Division of Westinghouse Hanford Company in Richland, Washington. She has 20 years of experience in nuclear engineering disciplines, design, construction, acceptance testing, startup, nuclear safety, and operation of the Fast Flux Test Facility. Ms. Munn has served on the Richland City Council, is a member of her local Health Physics chapter, a life member of the American Nuclear Society, and a Fellow of the Society of Women Engineers.

Expert Witness Experience: N/A

DOE/AWE Sites Where a Conflict of Interest Exists: Hanford

Subject Matters Where a Conflict of Interest Exists: Specific party matters involving one of Ms. Munn's close family member (including Special Exposure Cohort petitions and dose reconstruction claims represented or submitted by the family member).

Conflicted Sites/Subject Matters Regarding Which the Board Member Has Received Permission to Participate: Ms. Munn has not received permission to participate in specific party matters involving the abovementioned conflict of interest concerns. However, Ms. Munn has been provided a remedial document permitting her to participate in the deliberations and recommendations of the Board, including particular matters of general applicability involving the abovementioned conflict of interest concerns, because the need for her services outweighs the potential for conflict of interest.