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| psi | pound-force per square inch |
| psig | pound-force per square inch gauge |
| sec | second |
| t | ton |

# COMPENDIUM OF STRUCTURAL TESTING DATA FOR 20-psi COAL MINE SEALS 
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#### Abstract

This report presents nearly all structural data available from explosion tests of 20-psi mine ventilation seals and concrete-block ventilation stoppings that were conducted by the National Institute for Occupational Safety and Health during 1997-2008. Although the seals tested were designed to meet the former federal 20-psi pressure design standard, the structural information contained herein on these seal tests will facilitate the analysis and design of coal mine seals that meet the new explosion pressure design criteria of 50 and 120 psi as set forth in the Mine Safety and Health Administration (MSHA)'s final rule on "Sealing of Abandoned Areas."

The seal testing data are organized into six broad categories of seal structures based on the materials used and the construction method for those 20-psi seals: 1. Concretelike materials with steel reinforcement and reinforcement bar anchorage to rock 2. Pumpable cementitious materials of varying compressive strengths with no steel reinforcement and no hitching 3. Articulated structures such as solid-concrete-block seals and ventilation stoppings made of solid and hollow-core concrete blocks 4. Polymer and aggregate materials without hitching 5. Wood-crib-block seals with or without hitching 6. Articulated structures such as lightweight blocks with or without hitching


This summary contains data on 52 different structures in the above categories- 44 seals and 8 ventilation stoppings. The structural data sets include the applied loading on the tested seal represented by a pressure-time curve and, when available, the measured seal response represented by a displacement-time curve. The structural data sets enable the calibration and verification of numerical models of seal behavior at the 20-psi level, which may then facilitate future structural analyses of seal designs for the new 50- and 120-psi explosion pressure design criteria.

[^0]
## INTRODUCTION

Seals are barriers constructed in underground coal mines throughout the United States to isolate abandoned mining areas from the active workings. Prior to the Sago Mine disaster in 2006, federal regulations required seals to withstand a 20-psi explosion pressure. On April 18, 2008, the Mine Safety and Health Administration (MSHA) issued "Sealing of Abandoned Areas; Final Rule," which includes requirements for seal strength, design, and construction of seals [73 Fed. Reg. 21182 (2008)]. In the final rule [30 CFR ${ }^{6}$ 75.335(a)], seals must:
(1) Withstand 50 psi if the sealed area is monitored and maintained inert;
(2) Withstand 120 psi if the sealed area is not monitored; or
(3) Withstand greater than 120 psi if the area is not monitored and certain conditions exist that might lead to higher explosion pressure.

30 CFR 75.335(b)(1) specifies the content of an engineering design application for seals. The design application must address the pressure-time (P-t) curve, engineering design and analysis, material properties, and other pertinent factors. To facilitate the analysis and design of seal structures that meet the new explosion pressure criterion, this report presents all structural data available from explosion tests conducted by NIOSH during 1997-2008 on seals designed to meet the former 20-psi pressure design standard.

This report organizes and presents the applied loading or P-t curves and, when available, the measured displacement-time (D-t) curves for 44 different seal structures tested prior to 2006 when the former 20-psi explosion pressure design criterion applied to mine seals. Also included in this data set are the applied loading P-t curves and response D-t curves for eight different ventilation stoppings constructed with solid or hollow-core concrete blocks. These structural test results against the stoppings are included as supplemental information pertinent to the design of seals that incorporate concrete blocks in some capacity.

Table 1 summarizes the testing program for seals designed to meet the former 20-psi pressure design standard for seals as conducted by the National Institute for Occupational Safety and Health (NIOSH) during 1997-2008. The program included tests on six broad categories of seal structures organized by the main seal construction material used and the construction method.

Category 1 includes seals made of concrete or concretelike materials such as shotcrete or gunite with internal steel reinforcement and anchorage to surrounding rock via additional steel reinforcement bars. Seals in this category are the Insteel 3-D seal (Precision Mine Repair, Inc., Ridgway, IL) and the Meshblock seal (Tecrete Industries Pty. Ltd., New South Wales, Australia, and R. G. Johnson Co., Inc., Washington, PA).

Category 2 includes the so-called pumpable seals constructed with different thicknesses of pumpable cementitious material depending on its compressive strength. Category 2 seals do not contain internal steel reinforcement and are not hitched ${ }^{7}$ to the surrounding rock except through friction between the seal material and the rock. Manufacturers of seals in this category include Minova (Georgetown, KY), HeiTech Corp. (Cedar Bluff, VA, and Morgantown, WV), and R. G. Johnson Co., Inc. (Washington, PA).

[^1]Table 1.—Summary of seal types and structural testing data for 20-psi seal designs

| Structure type | Total No. of structures tested | No. of structures tested with multiple loads | No. of structures tested to failure | No. of tests with P-t data only | No. of tests with both P-t and D-t data |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CATEGORY 1: Concrete or concretelike materials with internal steel reinforcement and anchorage to rock |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1A. Insteel 3-D seal | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7 |
| 1B. Meshblock seal | 6 | 6 | 5 | 30 | 0 |
| CATEGORY 2: Pumpable cementitious materials with no steel reinforcement and no hitching |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2A. Compressive strength: 200 psi , $>48$ in thick |  | 2 | 3 | 0 | 7 |
| 2B. Compressive strength: 433 psi , $>36$ in thick | 1 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 0 |
| 2C. Compressive strength: 480-600 psi, 24-30 in thick | 4 | 4 | 0 | 6 | 4 |
| CATEGORY 3: Articulated structures: solid and hollow-core concrete blocks with or without hitching |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3A. Standard solid-concrete-block seal with hitching |  | 5 | 3 | 11 | 14 |
| 3B. Solid-concrete-block seal with Packsetter Bags and without hitching | 3 | 3 | 1 | 5 | 0 |
| 3C. Ventilation stoppings: solid and hollow-core concrete blocks | 8 | 8 | 7 | 10 | 40 |
| CATEGORY 4: Polymer and aggregate materials without hitching |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4. Polymer and aggregate materials | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | $\begin{gathered} 1 \\ (11 \text { LVDTs) } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
| CATEGORY 5: Wood-crib-block seals with or without hitching |  |  |  |  |  |
| 5A. Wood-crib-block seal with hitching | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 5B. Wood-crib-block seal with glue and Packsetter Bags | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 2 |
| CATEGORY 6: Articulated structures: lightweight blocks with or without hitching |  |  |  |  |  |
| 6A. Lightweight blocks: 24 in thick with hitching | 2 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 3 |
| 6B. Lightweight blocks: 40 in thick without hitching | 8 | 5 | 6 | 2 | 22 |
| TOTAL | 52 | 36 | 28 | 66 | 100 |

Category 3 seals are "articulated" structures made of discrete concrete blocks, either solid or hollow-core, which may or may not be hitched to the surrounding rock. Seals in this category are the standard solid-concrete-block seal, which required hitching, and the solid-concrete-block seal with Packsetter Bags supplied by Strata Mine Services (Strata Products Worldwide, LLC, Marietta, GA), which does not require hitching to withstand 20 psi . Also included in this category are ventilation stoppings designed to withstand 2-psi overpressure, which are made of solid or hollow-core concrete blocks and do not require hitching.

Category 4 seals are made from polymer mixed with dry, crushed limestone aggregate, ranging in size from 0.25 to 1 in , placed between two, dry-stacked, hollow-core or solid-concrete-block form walls. This seal does not require hitching. The only example is the MICON 550 seal (MICON, Glassport, PA).

Category 5 seals are made from stacked wood crib blocks nailed together with 4-in-long nails. These seals, used where high convergence is expected, require hitching into the surrounding rock. This category also includes wood-crib-block seals that were glued together. The use of Packsetter Bags supplied by Strata Mine Services eliminated the requirement for hitching.

Category 6 seals are made from lightweight Omega blocks (Burrell Mining Products International, Inc., New Kensington, PA), cemented together with an MSHA-approved bonding agent called BlocBond, product No. 1225-51, a fiber-reinforced surface bonding cement manufactured by Quikrete Co., Atlanta, GA. Lightweight block seals constructed 24 or 32 in thick required hitching, whereas lightweight block seals more than 40 in thick did not require hitching. Seals constructed from lightweight blocks are no longer permitted, but the data are included herein for completeness.

This summary of NIOSH seal tests contains data on a total of 52 different structures including 44 seals and 8 stoppings. As shown in Table 1, many of the structures were subject to multiple loadings, and many of the structures were tested to failure. In some cases, the applied explosion pressure severely damaged the structure or collapsed it completely in the first test. In most cases (36 of the 52), the structures were subjected to multiple explosion loads. Twenty-eight of the fifty-two structures tested were loaded to failure. Finally, as indicated in Table 1, most of the structures considered herein have measured response data in the form of a D-t curve from a linear variable displacement transducer (LVDT).

Of the 52 different structures tested ( 44 seals and 8 stoppings), 41 were tested via explosion tests conducted at NIOSH’s Lake Lynn Experimental Mine (LLEM). In addition to the explosion tests, 11 different structures were tested in one of the hydrostatic chambers located in the LLEM- 9 in the small hydrostatic test chamber and 2 in the large chamber. Of the 15 tests reported with the small hydrostatic chamber in the LLEM, only 5 used water pressure as the loading medium. The other 10 tests used a confined methane-air or similar gaseous mixture explosion within the hydrostatic chamber to develop the test pressure. Both of the tests in the large hydrostatic test chamber used a confined gas explosion to develop the test pressure. One test was conducted in an experimental chamber in the Safety Research Coal Mine (SRCM) at the NIOSH Pittsburgh Research Laboratory. This test used water pressure to develop the applied loading.

NIOSH researchers also obtained data compiled by MSHA on the approximate number and seal type of all of the 20-psi seals in existence as of November 2006. Table 2 presents those numbers and the percentage of seals in each category.

Table 2.-Total number and distribution of different seal categories as of November 2006

| Seal type/ <br> category | Description | Number | Percent of <br> total |
| :---: | :--- | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Concrete or concretelike materials with internal steel <br> reinforcement and anchorage to rock | 2,602 | 20 |
| 2 | Pumpable cementitious material with no steel reinforcement <br> and no hitching | 3,153 | 24 |
| 3 | Articulated structures: solid and hollow-core concrete blocks | 2,692 | 21 |
| 4 | with or without hitching |  |  |

## EXPERIMENTAL MINE AND TEST PROCEDURES

## Lake Lynn Experimental Mine (LLEM)

The structural tests on coal mine seals and stoppings were conducted at the NIOSH Lake Lynn Laboratory [Mattes et al. 1983; Triebsch and Sapko 1990]. Lake Lynn is one of the world's foremost mining laboratories for conducting large-scale surface and underground research in mining health and safety technology. It is located about 50 miles southeast of Pittsburgh, near Fairchance, Fayette County, PA, and occupies a former limestone mine.


Figure 1.—Plan view of the Lake Lynn Experimental Mine (LLEM).

The underground LLEM (Figure 1) is unique in that it can simulate current U.S. coal mine geometries for a variety of mining scenarios, including multiple-entry room-and-pillar mining and longwall mining. The old limestone mine workings are shown on the left in Figure 1. Five new drifts (horizontal passageways in a mine) were developed to simulate the geometries of typical U.S. coal mines. The LLEM has four parallel drifts: A, B, C, and D. Drifts C and D are connected by E-drift, a 500 -ft-long drift that simulates a longwall face. D-drift is a $1,640-\mathrm{ft}$-long single entry that can be separated from E-drift by an explosion-resistant bulkhead door. Drifts A, B, and C simulate longwall gate road entries or room-and-pillar workings. These three drifts are each approximately $1,600 \mathrm{ft}$ long,
with seven crosscuts at the inby end. A second explosion-resistant bulkhead door is used to separate the multiple entries from E-drift at the intersection with C-drift.

Explosion tests can be conducted in the single-entry D-drift; the multiple-entry area of A-, B-, and C-drifts; or various other configurations including the longwall E-drift. The entries are about 20 ft wide by about 6.5 ft high with cross-sectional areas of $130-140 \mathrm{ft}^{2}$. The crosscuts are $17-19 \mathrm{ft}$ wide and about 7.2 ft high with a cross-sectional area of about $130 \mathrm{ft}^{2}$.

From August 1983 (when the first explosion test was conducted) to July 2008, a total of 527 consecutively numbered explosion tests were conducted in the LLEM.

## Explosion Tests in the LLEM

Figure 2 shows an expanded view of the test area in the multiple-entry section of the LLEM. The faces, or inby (closed) ends, of A-, B-, and C-drifts are on the right in the figure. For most of the seal and stopping tests, the explosions were conducted in C-drift and the structures were built in crosscuts 1, 2, and 3 between B- and C-drifts, as shown in Figure 2. The evaluation of one type of seal was conducted in A-drift with the seals located in the crosscuts between A- and B-drifts. The evaluations of some of the ventilation stoppings were also conducted in A-drift as part of another explosion program with the stoppings located in X-6 ${ }^{8}$ and X-7 between A- and B-drifts and seals located in X-1 through X-5. For clarity, the A-drift testing scenarios are not shown in Figure 2.


Figure 2.-Plan view of the LLEM showing the multiple-entry area and the seal and stopping locations. The first crosscut, designated as "\#1", is nearest the dead end of drifts A, B, or C.

Before each explosion test, a 60-t pneumatically operated, track-mounted, concrete and steel bulkhead was positioned near the intersection of C- and E-drifts to contain the explosion pressures within the multiple-entry area. The LLEM bulkhead door and some of the other infrastructure were designed to withstand explosion overpressures of up to 100 psi. Higher pressures have been recorded at areas away from these structures.

[^2]For the LLEM explosion tests, natural gas was injected into the ignition zone. This natural gas is composed of $\sim 97 \%-98 \%$ methane, $\sim 1.5 \%$ ethane, and small percentages of other higher-order hydrocarbons. Sample lines within the ignition zone were used to draw gas samples to an infrared analyzer on the surface for measurement of the methane concentration. In addition, samples were collected in evacuated test tubes and sent to verify the analyses using gas chromatography. Most of the tests used a $\sim 9 \%-10 \%$ methane-air concentration within an ignition zone contained in the C-drift face area with a clear plastic diaphragm. A few of the tests used a larger gas ignition zone. A fan with an explosion-proof motor housing mixed the natural gas and air prior to ignition. Electrically activated matches located either at the face (closed end) or outby the face within the gas ignition zone, depending on the explosion overpressure desired, were used to ignite the methane-air mixtures. In some of the tests, shelves of pulverized bituminous coal dust were also suspended in the drifts as a means to increase the explosion overpressures. For each of these explosion tests, the gas was ignited and the explosion pressure traveled out C-drift. For the explosion tests conducted in A-drift, the length of the gas ignition zone was varied to obtain higher total explosion overpressures at the stopping locations, i.e., the methane-air concentration was contained within a 50 - or $85-\mathrm{ft}$-long gas ignition zone (as measured from the closed end of A-drift) for the different tests.

## Hydrostatic Chamber Tests in the LLEM

Two hydrostatic chambers located within the high-roof section of the LLEM beyond the mouth of D-drift (Figure 1) enable researchers to impart pneumatic, hydrostatic, or explosion pressure loadings on test seals. Figure 3 is a schematic of the chamber design showing the test seal in front of a dead-end section of tunnel excavation, the support steel surrounding the seal for simulating hitching, and the pressurization system using high-pressure water, compressed air, or some combination of the two. Sapko et al. [2005] describe the large and small hydrostatic test chambers in the LLEM in greater detail.


Figure 3.-Schematic of the hydrostatic chamber.

## INSTRUMENTATION AND DATA COLLECTION

## Pressure Waves From Test Explosions

Upon ignition with the electric matches, the methane-air mixture in the gas zone begins to burn and the flame front accelerates rapidly. In some tests, NIOSH researchers placed water-filled 55-gal barrels in the gas zone to create additional turbulence, which accelerates the flame front more rapidly. In all seal-related tests, the explosion is characterized as a deflagration as opposed to a detonation, since the maximum flame speed never exceeds about $1,100 \mathrm{ft} / \mathrm{s}$, which is the local sound speed for the unreacted methane-air mixture.

The accelerating flame front produces pressure waves that travel at the local sound speed ahead of the flame front and are characterized by a static pressure component and a dynamic or velocity component. Both of these pressure components are time-dependent. In the free flow field, the sum of these two pressure components is the total pressure, which is also time-dependent.

Initially, the pressure waves emanating from a methane-air explosion rise from initial static pressure to peak static pressure slowly over a period of many tens of milliseconds. The time to go from initial to peak static pressure is termed the "rise time." The leading and lower pressure part of the blast wave travels at the local sound speed. However, at higher pressure, the local sound speed increases slightly. As the pressure wave propagates, the lagging higher pressure part of the wave, which is traveling slightly faster than the leading lower pressure part of the wave, will gain on the leading lower pressure edge of the wave. Via this mechanism, the rise time of the pressure wave will decrease and the blast wave may develop into a shock wave with instantaneous rise time.

In practice, the blast waves created during some seal-related explosion tests in the LLEM had rise times on the order of 10 ms . Theoretical relationships for shock waves with instantaneous rise times apply satisfactorily to blast waves with finite rise times of this magnitude.

The dynamic pressure $p_{v}$ at the shock front is related to the static overpressure $p_{s}$ by [Glasstone and Dolan 1977; Kinney 1962; Landau and Lifshitz 1987; Zucrow and Hoffman 1985]:

$$
\begin{equation*}
p_{V}=\left(\frac{5}{2}\right)\left(\frac{p_{S}^{2}}{7 p_{o}+p_{S}}\right) \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $p_{o}=$ initial pressure .
For weak shock waves where $p_{s}$ goes to zero, $p_{V}$ also goes to zero; for strong shock waves where $p_{s}$ becomes large, $p_{V}$ also becomes large.

When a shock wave strikes a surface such as a seal head on, reflected overpressure $p_{R}$ on the seal is given by [Glasstone and Dolan 1977; Kinney 1962; Landau and Lifshitz 1987; Zucrow and Hoffman 1985]:

$$
\begin{equation*}
p_{R}=2 p_{S}\left(\frac{7 p_{o}+4 p_{S}}{7 p_{o}+p_{S}}\right) \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $p_{s}=$ static overpressure, and $\quad p_{o}=$ initial pressure.

Equation 2 applies to a nonreactive shock or blast wave in which no chemical reactions are occurring when the reflecting surface is struck. For weak shock waves where $p_{s}$ approaches zero, the reflected overpressure $p_{R}$ is two times the incoming static overpressure $p_{s}$. For strong shock waves where $p_{S}$ becomes large, the reflected overpressure $p_{R}$ can be up to eight times the incoming static overpressure. For example, if the static overpressure is 117 psig, then the reflected overpressure is about 595 psig, or about five times the incoming static overpressure.

## Pressure and Displacement Measurement Locations

NIOSH researchers recorded the P-t history applied to seals using different instrumentation arrangements that evolved during the course of the 20 -psi seal testing program. Figure 4 shows the different pressure measurement locations with respect to the tested seals. In all tests, pressure transducers in the data-gathering panels located on the rib of each drift in the LLEM recorded the static pressure of the blast waves. In some tests, supplemental instrument stations located in the middle of the test drift contained pressure transducers to record the total pressure of the blast waves in the free field.

Additional instrument stations located in front of test seals in the crosscuts recorded static pressure of the passing blast waves (Figure 4). Test seals constructed in the crosscuts were recessed from the main drift anywhere from 0 to 8 ft . These supplemental instrument stations were placed anywhere from 1 to 4 ft in front of these crosscut seals. The number of instrument stations in front of each seal also varied from one to three, with instruments located either at the seal centerline, along the sides, or in combination. Again, the instrument stations in front of these test seals located in crosscuts generally recorded the static pressure of the passing blast wave. However, the measurements could also contain some of the dynamic component of the pressure wave as well as reflected pressure from wave impact on crosscut ribs facing the direction of wave propagation.


Figure 4.-Schematic of pressure and displacement measurement points for typical explosion tests in the LLEM.

Also shown in Figure 4 are instrument stations located directly in front of test seals in the main test drift. These instruments, located about 1 ft in front of the test seal, recorded the reflected wave overpressure on the test seal. The number of instrument stations varied, and the stations were located either at the seal centerline, along the sides, or in combination.

Figure 4 also shows the location of the LVDT to measure the displacement response of the structure. When used during a test, the LVDTs were located at midheight along the seal centerline.

## Loading Conditions for Seal Tests

NIOSH researchers used four distinct test procedures for conducting tests on 20-psi seals. Each procedure subjected the test seal to different loading conditions as follows:

1. Explosion tests on seals in crosscuts loaded seals with the static blast wave overpressure that is nonuniform across the tested seal face.
2. Explosion tests on seals in C-drift loaded seals with the reflected blast wave overpressure that is assumed uniform across the seal.
3. Hydrostatic chamber tests using water pressure loaded seals with a static pressure that is nearly uniform across the seal except for the minor gravity effect.
4. Hydrostatic chamber tests using methane ignition pressure loaded seals with a static overpressure that is assumed uniform across the seal.

Most of the structural tests on seals described herein are of the first type-explosion tests on seals in crosscuts. The test explosion began at the closed end of C-drift, and the blast wave propagated down the drift and loaded seals located in crosscuts perpendicular to the direction of the main blast wave. That test procedure induced a nonuniform, static pressure that swept across the seal face as the pressure wave propagated down the entry. The rise times of the loading are longer than the natural period of the seals, so for structural purposes, the loading is considered static. The test seal could also experience some of the dynamic pressure component and the effects of turbulence depending on how far into the crosscut the test seal is recessed. At this time, these effects are unknown and are assumed negligible.

The blast wave propagates at the local sound speed of about $1,100 \mathrm{ft} / \mathrm{s}$, and since the seal has a width of about 20 ft , the blast wave traverses past the seal in about 18 ms . The nonuniform, sweeping static pressure across the seal at some point in time is represented approximately by an $18-\mathrm{ms}$ window from the P-t curve of the blast wave. The magnitude, duration, and shape of the blast wave varied considerably from test to test. Thus, the static pressure on the seal could vary significantly from the upstream to downstream edge of the seal. For structural analysis purposes, each test will require evaluation to determine the significance of this nonuniform pressure distribution on the seal face.

Several recent structural tests on seals were of the second type-explosion tests on seals in C-drift. The test explosion began at the closed end of C-drift, and the blast wave loaded seals located across C-drift. This test procedure induced a reflected blast wave overpressure on the seal face that is assumed uniform.

The hydrostatic chamber tests using either water pressure (type 3 test) or methane ignition pressure (type 4 test) applied a static pressure across the seal face. In both test procedures, the pressure on the seal face is assumed uniform. For the water pressure test (type 3), the gravity component of the water pressure behind the test seal is assumed negligible. In the case of the methane ignition tests, the elapsed time (rise time) to develop the pressure is very long with respect to the natural period of the tested seals. Therefore, the loading is considered static for structural analysis.

## Boundary Conditions for Seal Tests

The roof, rib, and floor rocks in the LLEM are limestone with a compressive strength of about $24,200 \mathrm{psi}$ and a modulus of elasticity of about $9,600,000 \mathrm{psi}$, based on laboratory tests conducted by Dolinar [2008]. The rock mass in the LLEM using the 1989 version of the Rock Mass Rating (RMR) system is a good-quality rock mass, with an RMR ranging from 77 to 79 according to Esterhuizen [2008]. Therefore, the foundation conditions for seal structures constructed and tested in the LLEM represent best-case circumstances and can be described as "rigid" or "unyielding." The foundation conditions for the seal tests in the LLEM as reported here do not represent typical conditions found in underground coal mines where the roof and floor rock and the coal ribs may have lower stiffness and strength.

## Response Times, Time Constants, and Frequency Responses for Sensors Used in the LLEM

The response of a sensor to a step input depends on the range of the sensor and the time constant or frequency response of the sensor as given by the following relations:

$$
\begin{gather*}
\frac{P}{P_{C}}=1-\exp \left(\frac{-t}{T}\right)  \tag{3}\\
\mathrm{T}=1 / F \tag{4}
\end{gather*}
$$

where $P=$ magnitude of a step input,
$P_{C}=$ full-scale range of sensor,
$t=$ response time of sensor,
$T=$ time constant of sensor,
and $\quad F=$ frequency response of sensor.
Figure 5 shows a plot of Equation 3 in dimensionless form. For an instantaneous unit step input ( $P / P_{C}=1$ ), an electronic sensor will require about three dimensionless time units to reach $95 \%$ of the step input.


Figure 5.-Dimensionless response function for instantaneous unit step input.

Given the measured response time of a sensor to some fraction of the full-scale range of the sensor, the time constant and frequency response of the instrument are derived from Equations 3 and 4 as follows:

$$
\begin{align*}
& T=t / \ln \left(1-\frac{P}{P_{C}}\right)  \tag{5}\\
& F=-\ln \left(1-\frac{P}{P_{C}}\right) / t \tag{6}
\end{align*}
$$

NIOSH researchers used numerous brands of pressure transducers during the 20-psi seal testing program, including Patriot (AMETEK APT, Clawson, MI), Viatran (Viatran Corp., Grand Island, NY), and Transmetrics (Trans Metrics, Division of United Electric Controls, Watertown, MA). All transducers used a strain-gauge array that is bonded to a flat diaphragm to measure pressure-induced deflections of the diaphragm. The capacity of the pressure transducers ranged from 50 to 300 psi depending on requirements of a particular explosion test. Table 3 presents the response time ( t ) provided by Transmetrics, the manufacturer for the pressure transducers used in the most recent explosion tests, and the calculated time constant ( T ) and frequency response ( F ). In the calculations, it is assumed that the response time ( t ) is measured at $90 \%$ of the full-scale range of the sensor, i.e., $P / P_{C}=0.9$.

Table 3.-Response time, time constant, and frequency response for various pressure transducers used to record P-t data during LLEM tests

| Full-scale range <br> of sensor (psi) | Measured response time t at <br> $90 \%$ of full-scale $(\mathrm{ms})$ | Calculated time <br> constant $\mathrm{T}(\mathrm{ms})$ | Calculated frequency <br> response $\mathrm{F}(\mathrm{Hz})$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 50 | $<1.6$ | 0.70 | 1,439 |
| 100 | $<1.0$ | 0.43 | 2,300 |
| 200 | $<0.7$ | 0.30 | 3,290 |
| 300 | $<0.37$ | 0.16 | 6,220 |

The data in Table 3 provide a means to judge whether pressure data measured with a particular instrument accurately record the actual phenomena. Figure 6 presents the calculated P-t response function for a $100-\mathrm{psi}$ step input using a $100-\mathrm{psi}$ transducer with a $1.0-\mathrm{ms}$ response time. As indicated by Figure 6, the 100-psi transducer subject to a $100-$ psi step input will develop $95 \%$ of its response in about 1.4 ms . When subject to a smaller step input, the transducer will respond according to the time function shown in Figure 6. Using a 100-psi pressure transducer, which is typical for most of the data presented herein, the transducer will record the actual phenomena to within $10 \%$ of the actual pressure as long as the rise time is more than about 1.4 ms . Therefore, when a data point defined by the rise time of the recorded response and the magnitude of the peak pressure lies below the response function shown in Figure 6, the transducer will record the phenomena reliably.


Figure 6.-Calculated pressure response for instantaneous 100-psi step input. Pressure transducer has $1.0-\mathrm{ms}$ response time to $90 \%$ of full-scale and $2,300-\mathrm{Hz}$ frequency response.


Figure 7.-Calculated pressure response for instantaneous 300-psi step input. Pressure transducer has $0.37-\mathrm{ms}$ response time to $90 \%$ of full-scale and $6,220-\mathrm{Hz}$ frequency response.

Figure 7 presents the calculated P-t response function for a $300-$ psi step input using a $300-\mathrm{psi}$ transducer with a $0.37-\mathrm{ms}$ response time. As indicated in Figure 7, a 300-psi transducer will develop $95 \%$ of its response in about 0.4 ms . With a 300 -psi pressure transducer, which was used in some of the more recent, higher-pressure explosion tests in the LLEM, the transducer will record the actual phenomena to within $10 \%$ of the actual pressure as long as the rise time is more than about 0.4 ms . The recorded P-t curve is acceptable if a data point defined by the rise time of the recorded response and the magnitude of the peak pressure lies below the response function shown in Figure 7.

NIOSH researchers closely examined all of the P-t curves presented in the appendix to this report to confirm that the data meet the response time criteria presented by the information in Table 3 and the response time functions shown in Figures 6 and 7. In general, the initial rise times are much greater than 10 ms , which is well within the response capabilities of the pressure transducers used in these experiments. A point defined by the measured rise time and the magnitude of the peak pressure always lies below the calculated response function, indicating that the data quality is acceptable and that the measured pressure data accurately reflect the actual pressure developed during the test.

NIOSH researchers used LVDTs manufactured by Honeywell Sensotec (Columbus, OH) to measure displacement response of a seal at its centerline. Measurement range for these instruments is up to 6 in. The frequency response for these instruments as stated by the manufacturer is 300 Hz , which applies when the measurement rod of the displacement transducer is coupled directly to the structure. As will be discussed later, this method of coupling was generally not done in the D-t test data
reported herein. Table 4 presents the frequency response provided by the manufacturer and the calculated time constant and response time assumed at $95 \%$ of the full-scale range of the sensor, i.e., $P / P_{C}=0.95$. Figure 8 presents the calculated D-t response to a 6 -in step input using a 6 -in transducer with a $10-\mathrm{ms}$ response time. The measured D-t data will reliably reflect the actual D-t phenomena when the rise time up to full-scale exceeds 10 ms . For the few tests presented here where the displacement transducer was coupled directly to the structure, the measured rise times exceed 10 ms and the recorded D-t curves are therefore considered reliable.

Table 4.-Response time, time constant, and frequency response for displacement transducers used to record D-t data during LLEM tests

| Full-scale range of <br> sensor (in) | Response time $t$ at $95 \%$ of <br> full-scale $(\mathrm{ms})$ | Calculated time <br> constant $T(\mathrm{~ms})$ | Frequency response F <br> $(\mathrm{Hz})$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 6 | $<10$ | 3.33 | 300 <br> (calculated) |
| 6 (from manufacturer) |  |  |  |
| direct coupling <br> with nylon filament <br> coupling | $<75$ <br> (measured in LLEM) | 25.0 | 40 <br> (calculated) |



Figure 8.-Calculated displacement response for instantaneous 6-in step input. Displacement transducer is coupled directly to the structure and has $10-\mathrm{ms}$ response time to $95 \%$ of full-scale and $300-\mathrm{Hz}$ frequency response.

NIOSH researchers also employed another method to couple the displacement transducer to the structure using a spring-loaded nylon filament to protect the LVDT from damage during an explosion test. NIOSH researchers measured the full-scale response time of the LVDT using this experimental arrangement as about 75 ms . Based on this measured response time, the calculated time constant and frequency response for the LVDT are shown in Table 4. Figure 9 presents the calculated D-t response to a 6 -in step input using a 6 -in transducer with a $75-\mathrm{ms}$ response time. The measured D-t data will reliably reflect the actual D-t phenomena when the rise time up to full-scale exceeds 75 ms . For all of the tests presented herein where the displacement transducer was coupled to the structure with a spring-loaded nylon filament, the measured rise times exceed 75 ms and the recorded D-t curves are therefore considered reliable.


Figure 9.-Calculated displacement response for instantaneous 6-in step input. Displacement transducer is coupled via nylon filament to the structure and has $75-\mathrm{ms}$ response time to $95 \%$ of full-scale and $40-\mathrm{Hz}$ frequency response.

## Data Acquisition System Characteristics

A PC-based data acquisition system by National Instruments Corp. (NI) (Austin, TX) recorded data from the various instruments at a sampling rate of 1,500 per second. In recent tests, a data acquisition system by Kinetic Systems (KS) (Boston, MA) also recorded data from the various instruments in parallel at a sampling rate of 5,000 per second. For consistency, all data reported here come from the NI system operating at 1,500 samples per second.

## Quality of Pressure-Time and Displacement-Time Measurements

Figure 10 presents the P-t and D-t data for the entire duration of an explosion test in the LLEM. Beyond the initial arrival and decay of the first pressure wave, the data exhibit noise spikes and other extraneous features caused by a variety of experimental realities. Two questions arise with any experimental data:

1. Are the data real and representative of the physical phenomena under study?
2. Are the transducers and data acquisition system adequate to record the phenomena with sufficient detail for analysis?

To answer these questions, Figures 11-12 present a close examination of P-t data from a recent LLEM test that generated a reflected (head-on) explosion pressure of about 200 psi; Figure 13 presents the D-t data.

Figure 11 presents P-t curves from two separate pressure transducers located close together using an expanded time scale centered near the arrival of the first pressure wave. These transducers were located on the upstream side of a seal installed across the explosion entry. The green curve is an expanded view of the same P-t curve shown in Figure 10. The NI data acquisition system recorded the data at 1,500 samples per second. By inspection, both pressure transducers record similar signals with similar peak pressures of about 200 psi and similar rise times to peak pressure of about 3-4 ms. The observed pressure rise of 200 psi over a rise time of about $3-4 \mathrm{~ms}$ is within the capability of the instrument, as shown in Figure 6. NIOSH researchers conclude that the observed peak pressures and rise times represent the pressure waves under study.


Figure 10.-Typical P-t and D-t data for the entire duration of an explosion test in the LLEM. Note noise spikes in data beyond 0.7 sec .


Figure 11.-Expanded time-scale view of P-t data from two separate pressure transducers. Data are recorded with the NI system at 1,500 samples per second. The green P-t curve is the same P-t curve shown in Figure 10.


Figure 12.-Expanded time-scale view of P-t data from the same pressure transducer recorded with separate data acquisition systems operating at 1,500 and 5,000 samples per second.


Figure 13.-Expanded time-scale view of D-t data from the same displacement transducer recorded with separate data acquisition systems operating at 1,500 and 5,000 samples per second.

Figure 12 presents an expanded view of the same P-t curve shown in Figure 10, but as recorded with two separate data acquisition systems operating at 1,500 samples per second (the NI system) and 5,000 samples per second (the KS system). By inspection, both recording systems captured similar signals, although the faster KS system provides more detail. NIOSH researchers conclude that the pressure transducers and data acquisition system are adequate to record the P-t curves from these explosion tests.

Figure 13 presents an expanded view of the D-t curve shown in Figure 10 as recorded with two separate data acquisition systems operating at 1,500 and 5,000 samples per second. For a displacement transducer coupled directly to the structure, the observed displacement of about 5.7 in over a time of 25 ms is within the capability of the instrument, as shown in Figure 8. NIOSH researchers conclude that the displacement transducer and data acquisition system are well matched to record the D-t curves from these explosion tests. However, NIOSH researchers note that while the system can measure the initial displacement response of the seal structures, it may not measure the later vibratory response of the structures because of the technique used to couple the LVDT to the structure. Thus, the displacement data are only reliable up to the initial peak displacement and not beyond that point in time.

## Adequacy of Pressure-Time and Displacement-Time Measurements for Structural Analysis

A final question about the P-t data concerns its adequacy for reliable structural analysis. As demonstrated in the previous sections, the pressure transducers and data acquisition system adequately capture pressure data with a rise time of at least $100 \mathrm{psi} / \mathrm{ms}$. Higher-frequency components may exist in the P-t data that may not be captured adequately. The question arises as to whether these higherfrequency components are important in structural analysis of seals. NIOSH researchers performed a simple structural analysis aimed at discerning the possible importance of these higher-frequency P-t components.

For this structural analysis, NIOSH researchers used the Wall Analysis Code (WAC) from the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers [Slawson 1995]. WAC is a single-degree-of-freedom structural dynamics model that solves the equation of motion to determine the D-t history at midheight of a wall given some P-t curve applied to the wall. The analysis considered a simply supported, 2-ft-thick concrete wall. Figure 12 shows the P-t curves considered in the analysis, which were measured with the NI and KS data acquisition systems at sampling rates of 1,500 and 5,000 samples per second, respectively. Presumably, the data set collected with the KS system contains more of the higher-frequency components of the P-t curve.

Figure 14 shows the computed D-t responses from these two different P-t curves. The different responses are purposely offset in time for clarity. As seen by inspection, no significant difference exists between the two computed D-t responses. NIOSH researchers conclude that the P-t data collected by the NI system at 1,500 samples per second are adequate for structural analysis of seals under the conditions of the explosion tests conducted in the LLEM for evaluating 20-psi seals.


Figure 14.-Computed D-t responses for hypothetical structure using P-t curves in Figure 12 as input for structural analysis. Calculated displacements are offset in time for clarity.

## Comments on Smoothing

The P-t data reported here are raw data. All data reported came from the NI system in the LLEM, which records data at 1,500 samples per second for 5 sec. In prior NIOSH publications, the P-t data reported were smoothed data derived from the raw data using a 15-point moving-average algorithm. In this simple algorithm, the midpoint (point 8) in a 15-point data set is replaced with the average value of those 15 data points. Each data point in the moving 15 -point set is given equal weight in the averaging. This smoothing algorithm tends to remove the highest peaks in the pressure data. It will also slow the rate of change in the pressure data, i.e., the rise time for fast pressure changes due to blast waves and other pressure transients will decrease. Although this smoothing algorithm can remove spurious data points due to noise or other transients in the data acquisition system, structural analysis requires the use of the raw data. The actual peak pressures and rise times measured are within the capabilities of the instruments and data acquisition system. True peak pressures and pressure-rise times are important when computing the response of a structure to some applied load. Because the data reported here are raw, there will be discrepancies with the smoothed ( 15 points, or over a 10 -ms-wide moving window) peak pressures reported in previous NIOSH reports. The pressure data herein are always higher than data reported previously.

# GENERAL CONSTRUCTION DETAILS FOR CATEGORY 1 THROUGH 6 SEALS 

## Category 1 Seals: Concrete or Concretelike Materials With Internal Steel Reinforcement and Anchorage to Rock

Category 1 seals are made of concrete or concretelike materials such as shotcrete or gunite. The seal structure contains internal steel reinforcement and is anchored to the surrounding rock with steel reinforcement bars. Two varieties of 20-psi seals are reported here-the Insteel 3-D seal from Precision Mine Repair, Inc., made with shotcrete and steel reinforcement bar, and the Meshblock seal from R. G. Johnson Co., Inc., made with pumped cement or shotcrete and steel reinforcement bar.

## Category 1A seal: Insteel 3-D seal - shotcrete with reinforcement

The Insteel 3-D seal made by Precision Mine Repair, Inc., is constructed with shotcrete, steel reinforcement bar, and reinforcement wire. Steel reinforcement bars also anchor the seal to the surrounding rock. NIOSH researchers tested seven different structures using these concepts and materials during development of a seal that met the previous 20-psi seal design standard (Table 1). Test results from one of these structures were reported by Sapko et al. [2005].

Figures 15 and 16 show front-, plan-, and side-view drawings of the Insteel 3-D seal for the former 20-psi seal design standard. The seal consists of a three-dimensional welded wire space frame called an Insteel 3-D panel that is encased in concrete. The structural components of the seal are the rear Insteel 3-D panel with Stayform backing, the front Insteel 3-D panel without Stayform backing, \#3 steel reinforcement bars laid horizontally from rib to rib within the Insteel 3-D panels, a plane of vertical \#8 reinforcement bars and \#8 reinforcement bar anchors into the roof and floor in front of each Insteel 3-D panel, and horizontal \#8 reinforcement bar anchors into each rib. The panels are filled completely with fast-setting concrete mix applied from the front side of the seal using a shotcrete machine at a pressure of 100 psi. This mix hardens within 15 min and is nearly fully cured to design strength
within 24 hr . The minimum design uniaxial compressive strength requirement of this shotcrete is 2,500 psi. The finished seal is at least 11.5 in thick.

To construct the seal, the rear Insteel 3-D panels are trimmed to fit opening dimensions at the installation site and laid horizontally across the opening. Each horizontal panel contains a \#3 steel reinforcement bar laid horizontally from rib to rib and spaced less than 16 in apart. The rear panel contains Stayform backing on the inby side, which serves as a backing for spraying the shotcrete from the outby side. Figure 17 shows a closeup view of a rear Insteel 3-D panel with the Stayform backing. Vertical holes are drilled into the roof and floor for two rows of 36-in-long, \#8 steel reinforcement bar anchors. The holes are at least 12 in deep and evenly spaced across the entry on less than 24 -in centers. The front and rear rows of holes are offset laterally from each other (Figure 15). The \#8 steel reinforcement bar anchors in the rear row are epoxy-grouted in place, and vertical \#8 reinforcement bars are tied in place using preformed clamps between corresponding roof and floor anchors. The rear Insteel 3-D panel with Stayform backing is then tied with wire to the rear row of vertical \#8 steel reinforcement bar. Three holes are also drilled on 24-in centers into each rib at least 12 in deep for additional anchorage, and \#8 steel reinforcement bar anchors are epoxy-grouted into place and tied to the \#3 steel reinforcement bars within the Insteel 3-D panels. Figure 18 shows the reinforcement construction at this stage.


Figure 15.-Front-, plan-, and side-view drawings of Category 1A structure: Insteel 3-D seal from Precision Mine Repair, Inc.


Figure 16.—Detailed plan-view drawing of Category 1A structure: Insteel 3-D seal from Precision Mine Repair, Inc.


Figure 17.-Closeup of a rear Insteel panel with Stayform backing and the horizontal \#3 steel reinforcement bars.


Figure 18.-Insteel 3-D seal under construction showing the rear Insteel panel with Stayform backing, one plane of vertical \#8 steel reinforcement bars and anchors, the horizontal \#8 steel reinforcement bar anchors, and the horizontal \#3 steel reinforcement bars.

The front Insteel 3-D panels without Stayform backing are then trimmed to fit the opening dimensions and laid horizontally in front of the rear plane of vertical \#8 steel reinforcement bar. The front row of \#8 steel reinforcement bar anchors is epoxy-grouted into place, and vertical \#8 steel reinforcement bars are tied in place using preformed clamps between corresponding roof and floor anchors. The front Insteel 3-D panel is tied with wire to the front row of vertical \#8 steel reinforcement bars. Figure 19 shows the reinforcement construction at this stage. The wire mesh and steel reinforcement bar network is now ready for spraying with shotcrete with a minimum design uniaxial compressive strength of 2,500 psi to a depth of at least 11.5 in .


Figure 19.- Insteel 3-D seal under construction with the addition of the front Insteel panel and the front plane of vertical \#8 steel reinforcement bars.

## Category 1B seal: Meshblock seal - shotcrete with reinforcement

The Meshblock seal made by R. G. Johnson Co., Inc., is constructed with shotcrete, steel reinforcement bar, and reinforcement wire. Steel reinforcement bars also anchor the seal to the surrounding rock. NIOSH researchers tested six different structures using these concepts and materials during development of a seal that met the previous 20-psi seal design standard (Table 1). Weiss et al. [1999] and Sapko et al. [2005] provide additional construction details and test results for seals in this category, along with some test results.

Figure 20 shows front-, plan-, and side-view drawings of the Meshblock for the previous 20-psi seal design standard. The seal consists of three-dimensional welded-wire Meshblocks that are pumped full with concrete. The major structural components of the seal are a plane of vertical \#8 steel reinforcement bars and \#8 steel reinforcement bar anchors that attach the seal to the roof, floor, and both ribs. Figure 21 shows part of a typical Meshblock, which is made from 6-in by 6-in welded-wire mesh overlain by metal hardware cloth. The diameter of the 6 -in by 6 -in welded wires is about 0.16 in ,
and the opening size in the hardware cloth is about 0.12 in. Overall dimensions for a Meshblock are 42 in wide by 18.25 in high by 12 in deep. The space between the Meshblocks is filled with shotcrete (Figure 22). The shotcrete brand used is Quikrete MB-500, which is a mixture of cement and minus 0.20 -in aggregate and has a minimum design uniaxial compressive strength of $5,800 \mathrm{psi}$.

To construct the seal, vertical holes are drilled 24 in deep into the roof and floor on 24-in centers. Additional horizontal holes are drilled 24 in deep into ribs on 40 -in centers. Steel reinforcement bars, 48 in long and 1 inch in diameter, are resin-anchored into each hole (Figure 20). Vertical \#8 steel reinforcement bars that overlap the anchor bars by 24 in are tied using preformed clamps to the corresponding roof and floor anchors. This reinforcement plane is centered in the Meshblock formwork.

The Meshblock formwork is then pumped full with shotcrete. The metal hardware cloth facing on the Meshblocks allows the nozzleman to examine the shotcrete flowing into the formwork (Figure 22). Normally, two layers of Meshblock units are filled at a time. Care is required to limit pouring delays to less than 30 min to prevent cold joints in the finished structure. The top 6-12 in of the seal requires cutting and fitting the Meshblock units to create a back wall, which is filled in with the shotcrete using the spray nozzle. Finally, the perimeter is sprayed to stabilize and seal the surrounding strata.


Figure 20.-Front-, plan-, and side-view drawings of Category 1B structure: Meshblock seal from R. G. Johnson Co., Inc.


Figure 21.-Closeup of Meshblock seal under construction showing the Meshblocks and the vertical \#8 steel reinforcement bars and anchors.


Figure 22.-Meshblock seal under construction showing the lower Meshblocks, the vertical \#8 steel reinforcement bars and anchors, and placement of shotcrete.

## Category 2 Seals: Pumpable Cementitious Materials With No Steel Reinforcement and No Hitching

Category 2 seals are also called pumpable seals and are made from cementitious materials of various compositions. Different formulations will produce different compressive strengths for the pumpable cementitious material. Lower-strength materials will require a thicker seal. These seals do not contain any internal steel reinforcement and are not hitched to the surrounding rock. Friction between the seal material and the surrounding rock holds the seal in place. Three varieties of 20-psi seals are reported here with different compressive strength materials and different seal thicknesses.

## Category 2A seal: Pumpable cementitious material with uniaxial compressive strength of 200 psi constructed 48 in thick

Category 2A seals include Tekseal manufactured by Minova, Inc., and Celuseal manufactured by R. G. Johnson Co., Inc. These seals are made from a pumpable cementitious material with a minimum design uniaxial compressive strength of at least 200 psi and a thickness of at least 48 in . NIOSH researchers tested four different structures in this category during development of a seal that met the previous 20-psi seal design standard (Table 1). Greninger et al. [1991], Weiss et al. [1993, 1996], Weiss and Harteis [2008], and Sapko et al. [2005] provide additional details on constructing the pumpable, cementitious material seals in this category along with some test results.

Figure 23 shows front-, plan-, and side-view drawings of the typical formwork for a pumpable cementitious material seal. The two form walls must have sufficient strength to resist the pressure from the uncured cementitious seal material. The formwork shown in Figures 23 and 24 consists of 4-in by 4 -in vertical wood posts installed on 3-ft centers across the entry, 1-in by 6 -in wood boards nailed horizontally across the timbers on less than 2 -ft centers, and MSHA-approved brattice material nailed to the inside of the frame. The brattice material must overlap approximately 4 in and is secured onto each rib, the roof, and the floor. The forms do not add any significant structural strength to the seal. Finally, the volume between the two forms is pumped full of cementitious material (Figure 25).


Figure 23.—Front-, plan-, and side-view drawings of Category 2 structure made from pumpable cementitious materials with no steel reinforcement and no hitching.


Figure 24.-Formwork for a typical pumpable seal showing the vertical posts, the horizontal boards, and the brattice liner (partially removed).


Figure 25.-Inside the formwork of a typical pumpable seal showing the brattice liner and the cementitious filling material.

Tekseal and Celuseal are both lightweight, noncombustible cement-based products. Cementitious powder, water, and air are metered into a continuous mixer and then pumped between the forms. The amount of cementitious material used per cubic yard of seal determines the density and strength of the seal material. These seals should normally be installed using a continuous pour during construction so that a solid plug with no cold joints is obtained.

## Category 2B seal: Pumpable cementitious material with uniaxial compressive strength of 433 psi constructed 36 in thick

Category 2B seals include the Ribfill seal made by HeiTech Corp. Again, these seals are made from a pumpable cementitious material with a minimum design uniaxial compressive strength of at least 433 psi and a thickness of at least 36 in. NIOSH researchers tested one structure in this category during development of a seal that met the previous 20-psi seal design standard (Table 1). Weiss et al. [2002] provide additional details on constructing the pumpable, cementitious material seals in this category along with test results.

Construction of the seal uses a formwork similar to that shown in Figures 23 and 24, except that the distance between form walls is at least 36 in and the minimum design uniaxial compressive strength of the cementitious material must exceed 433 psi.

## Category 2C seal: Pumpable cementitious material with uniaxial compressive strength of 480-600 psi constructed 24-30 in thick

Category 2C seals include the Rockfast seals also made by HeiTech Corp. NIOSH researchers tested four different structures in this category during development of a seal that met the previous 20-psi seal design standard (Table 1). Weiss et al. [2002] provide additional details on constructing the seals in this category along with test results.

The Rockfast M-FGL material used for these seals is a modified, portland cement-based, fiberreinforced, pumpable grout that exhibits rapid gelation and high early strength. The 24 -in-thick version of this seal requires material with a minimum design uniaxial compressive strength of 677 psi , while the 30 -in-thick version requires material with a minimum design uniaxial compressive strength of 480 psi. Construction of the seal uses a formwork similar to that shown in Figures 23 and 24, except that the distance between form walls is 24-30 in.

## Category 3 Seals: Articulated Structures - Solid and Hollow-Core Concrete Blocks With or Without Hitching

Category 3 seals are articulated structures made from discrete concrete blocks bonded together with a mortar. These seals may or may not be hitched into the surrounding rock, and they do not contain any internal steel reinforcement. Two varieties of seal structures are reported here: the standard solid-concrete-block seal, which is hitched into the surrounding rock, and a variant of the standard seal that uses pressurized grout bags in lieu of hitching to attach the seal structure to the surrounding rock through friction. Also included in this category are ventilation stoppings made from solid and hollowcore concrete blocks. These stoppings are constructed by dry stacking the blocks without mortar and without hitching and only wedging along the ribs and roof. Test results from these structures are included here since these structures can form an integral part of other seal structures in other seal categories.

## Category 3A seal: Standard solid-concrete-block seal with hitching

The seal design in Category 3A is the original 20-psi standard seal, also known as the MitchellBarrett mine seal. The seal is constructed with wet-laid, solid concrete blocks, a central pilaster for added strength, and hitching into the ribs and floor. NIOSH researchers tested seven different structures in this category (Table 1). Greninger et al. [1989, 1991], Weiss et al. [1993, 1996] and Sapko et al. [2005] provide additional details on constructing the standard solid-concrete-block seals in this category along with test results.

Figure 26 shows front-, plan- and side-view drawings of the typical standard solid-concreteblock seal as constructed in the LLEM. The seal is constructed with solid concrete blocks measuring either 8 -in by 8 -in by 16 -in or 6 -in by 8 -in by 16 -in (laid flat) and placed with ASTM Type S mortar. The mortar is applied on all vertical and horizontal block joints to a nominal thickness of $3 / 8$ in. The blocks in the first course are placed with their long axis parallel to the rib. Blocks in the second course are oriented perpendicular to the first course, and the front and back rows in this course may or may not be staggered.


Figure 26.-Front-, plan-, and side-view drawings of Category 3A structure: standard solid-concrete-block seal with simulated hitching.

The seal is constructed 16 in thick with a center pilaster measuring 16 in wide by 32 in thick for added strength (Figures 26-27). Blocks are cut and laid on the last course so that a gap approximately $1-2$ in high exists between the top course of block and the mine roof (Figure 28). This gap is filled completely with mortar across the width and depth of the seal. No wedges are used in the seal construction. Finally, the faces on both sides of the seal are coated with mortar or other surface sealant approved by MSHA.

In practice, the standard solid-concrete-block seal is hitched into the floor and rib by excavating at least 6 in into solid competent material. To simulate hitching during tests in the LLEM, NIOSH researchers use 6 -in by 6 -in by 0.5 -in-thick steel angle (Figure 29), anchored on 18 -in centers using 1 -in-diam by 9 -in-long anchor bolts. The nominal uniaxial compressive strength of the block material is $1,900 \mathrm{psi}$, although recent full-scale block testing by Barczak and Batchler [2008] indicates a solid-concrete-block uniaxial compressive strength of $1,330-1,780$ psi. Design uniaxial compressive strength of the mortar exceeds $1,900 \mathrm{psi}$.


Figure 27.-Solid-concrete-block seal under construction showing the center pilaster and the fully mortared joints on all sides.


Figure 28.—In background, top of a solid-concrete-block seal showing small cut blocks and mortar filling at the seal top.


Figure 29.-Completed solid-concrete-block seal without a center pilaster showing the angle iron hitch on the ribs and floor only.

## Category 3B seal: Solid-concrete-block seal with Packsetter Bags and without hitching

The 20-psi seal design in Category 3B is similar to the standard solid-concrete-block design described above, except that Packsetter Bags distributed by Strata Mine Services are used in place of conventional hitching. NIOSH researchers tested three different structures in this category (Table 1). Weiss et al. [2002] provide additional details on constructing the concrete block with grout bag seals in this category along with test results.

Figure 30 shows front-, plan-, and side-view drawings of the typical standard solid-concreteblock seal with Packsetter Bags as constructed in the LLEM. The seal is constructed with tongue-andgroove, solid concrete blocks measuring either 8 in by 8 in by 16 in or 6 in by 8 in by 16 in (laid flat) and placed with mortar on all surfaces to a nominal thickness of $3 / 8 \mathrm{in}$. The mortar used must meet ASTM C270-91a as Type N, S, or M mortar. BlocBond from Quikrete is also considered an acceptable mortar. The seal has a central pilaster measuring 16 in wide by 32 in deep.

Construction of this seal begins with placement of the first course of tongue-and-groove block laid parallel to the rib in wet mortar to within 2 in of each rib. A Packsetter Bag is laid flat and positioned at least 6 in under the outside corners of the first course of blocks. Placement of wet-laid concrete blocks continues until the blocks come within $2-5$ in of the roof and ribs. Packsetter Bags placed along the ribs and across the roof overlap adjacent bags by a minimum of 6 in and overlap the front and back face of the seal by at least 3 in. The top-right and top-left corner Packsetter Bags are placed with half the bag down the rib side and half the bag across the roof side of the seal. Because of possible rib and roof undulations, if the distance between seal and strata is up to 8 in due to a localized cavity, a spacer Packsetter Bag can be used.


Figure 30.-Front-, plan-, and side-view drawings of Category 3B structure: solid-concrete-block seal with Packsetter Bags.

After all seal material and Packsetter Bags are in position, grout is pumped into the bags in a sequence beginning with the top-right and top-left bags, followed by the bags along the roof line, followed by the bottom-right and bottom-left bags, and concluding with the bags along the rib lines. Each bag is pressurized with grout to $36-44$ psi using a compressor-driven or hand pump, and the bags will load the seal horizontally and vertically upon installation. The Packsetter Bag grout is a specially formulated portland cement-based mixture blended and packaged for Strata Mine Services by Quikrete. It develops a uniaxial compressive strength of 580 psi after 28 days.

After the grout bags are filled, polyurethane foam is used to fill any small gaps at the roof and ribs or between Packsetter Bags. Finally, the outby side of the seal is coated with an MSHA-approved general-purpose sealant to minimize leakage through the seal. Figure 31 shows a nearly complete tongue-and-groove, solid-concrete-block seal with Packsetter Bags.


Figure 31.-Tongue-and-groove, solid-concrete-block seal with center pilaster using pressurized Packsetter grout bags in lieu of hitching around the seal perimeter. The worker is coating the seal with an approved sealant.

Category 3C seal: Ventilation stoppings - solid and hollow-core concrete blocks
Ventilation stoppings are sometimes constructed from dry-stacked solid or hollow-core concrete blocks. Numerous such stoppings have been explosion tested in the LLEM. Although this kind of ventilation stopping is not a seal, it is a type of articulated structure. Solid or hollow-core concrete blocks are often part of seal construction, serving either as an integral part of the seal structure or as a form wall for some other seal construction material. The applied loads and measured responses from tests on these ventilation stoppings may provide useful structural information for analyzing and designing other mine seals, so the test results are included here. NIOSH researchers tested eight different structures in this category (Table 1)—four constructed with solid concrete blocks and four with hollow-core concrete blocks. Weiss et al. [2008] provide additional details on constructing the ventilation stoppings in this category along with test results.

Figure 32 shows front-, plan-, and side-view drawings of the typical ventilation stopping constructed with either solid or hollow-core concrete blocks. The hollow-core concrete blocks measure 6 in by 8 in by 16 in and have a nominal uniaxial compressive strength for the block material of 1,900 psi. Recent full-scale wall testing by Barczak and Batchler [2008] indicates a hollow-core concrete block uniaxial compressive strength of less than 1,000 psi. For three of the solid-concrete-block structures, the blocks also measure 6 in by 8 in by 16 in; for the other structure, the blocks measure 8 in by 8 in by 16 in. The nominal uniaxial compressive strength of the solid-concrete-block material was 1,900 psi. However, recent full-scale wall testing by Barczak and Batchler [2008] indicated a solid-concrete-block compressive strength of $1,330-1,780$ psi.

Wood wedges are used to tighten each course of blocks along the ribs and on the top course of blocks against the roof (Figures 32-33). Finally, a layer of Quikrete BlocBond sealant is applied to both faces of the stopping (Figure 34).


Figure 32.-Front-, plan-, and side-view drawings of Category 3C structure: solid or hollow-core concrete block ventilation stoppings.


Figure 33.-Dry-stacked concrete block stopping showing wedges used to fit the stopping to ribs and small cut blocks and a wood plank at the top.


Figure 34.-Dry-stacked concrete block stopping showing application of an approved sealant to the surface.

## Category 4 Seals: Polymer and Aggregate Materials Without Hitching

The MICON 550 permanent ventilation seal constructed by MICON is the sole representative of the Category 4 seals. This seal is constructed with two dry-stacked hollow-core concrete block stopping walls separated by about 18 in and filled with a mixture of two-component polyurethane foam and crushed limestone aggregate. No hitching of this structure is used except through friction with the surrounding rock. We present data from only one structure in this category (Table 1). Weiss et al. [1996] provide additional details on constructing polymer and aggregate seals in this category along with test results.

Figure 35 shows front-, plan-, and side-view drawings of the MICON 550 seal. The back drystacked, hollow-core concrete block wall is constructed first. Upon placement of the last block of each row, a wedge is driven between the block and rib to firmly tighten the blocks in that row. All notches and holes are filled with the largest block fragments possible and wedged in place. The back wall is coated on the outside surface with an MSHA-approved sealant for dry-stacked concrete block walls. Note that MSHA-approved sealants for dry-stacked walls are listed separately from general-purpose sealants.


Figure 35.-Front-, plan-, and side-view drawings of Category 4 structure: polymer and aggregate seal.

The front block wall is constructed to a height of $2-3 \mathrm{ft}$ depending on seal height, and the central portion of the front wall is constructed all the way to the roof in a pyramid shape (Figure 36). After one or two top blocks are in place, wedges are driven between the block and roof to hold the wall in place.


Figure 36.-Polymer and aggregate seal from MICON showing the rear dry-stacked, hollow-core concrete block wall, the partially completed front wall, a polymer coating on the inside surface of the form walls, and an approved sealant on the outside surface of the form wall.

With the back wall completed and part of the front wall in place, construction of the inner core can begin. Construction of the inner core continues simultaneously with additional construction of the front wall. The outside of the front wall is also coated with an MSHA-approved sealant for dry-stacked concrete block walls. Note that the concrete blocks must be dry for adequate bonding of the polyurethane foam in the inner core.

The initial step in constructing the inner core is to coat the floor, the inside of the block walls, the roof, and the ribs within the core area with a high-density, $70 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ft}^{3}$ polymer to prevent moisture from affecting the density of the polyurethane core. This coating on the inner wall is indicated in Figures 35-36. Installation then proceeds as follows:

1. A 4-in-thick layer of dry crushed limestone aggregate, ranging in size from 0.25 to 1 in , is placed as the initial lift.
2. The initial layer of limestone is completely coated with polyurethane foam (Figure 37) having a density of at least $10 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ft}^{3}$. As the polymer reacts, it expands and rises through with the crushed limestone, then hardens within 5 min.
3. Steps 1 and 2 are repeated to the roof to complete the seal.

The polyurethane foam and aggregate core must achieve a density of at least $35 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ft}^{3}$ after curing for 24 hr .

The dry-stacked and coated concrete block walls remain in place after seal construction since these walls are an integral part of the structure. If the wall deteriorates, it will require repair. Any exposed polyurethane is coated with an MSHA-approved fire-retardant sealant, both immediately after seal construction and at any later time in the life of the seal.


Figure 37.-Polymer and aggregate seal from MICON showing the rear and front form wall, the polymer coating on the inner surfaces of the form walls, and the polyurethane foam and aggregate mixture filling the inner core of the seal.

## Category 5 Seals: Wood-Crib-Block Seals With or Without Hitching

Category 5 seals made mainly from wood crib blocks are intended for use where high convergence is expected. These wood seals crush substantially, but may still retain their ability to resist explosion pressure and not leak air in or out of the sealed area. Two varieties of wood seals are described here: the wood-crib-block seal, which is nailed together and hitched into the surrounding rock; and a variant of this wood seal, which is glued together with construction adhesive and uses pressurized grout bags in lieu of hitching to attach the seal structure firmly to the surrounding rock.

## Category 5A seal: Wood-crib-block seal with hitching

Category 5A wood crib seals are used in mines with high convergence where the forces resulting from convergence might crack the standard solid-concrete-block seal, rendering it ineffective. We have no data to report on this structure, but describe it here for completeness. Weiss et al. [1993] provide details on constructing wood-crib-block seals in this category.

Figure 38 shows front-, plan-, and side-view drawings of the typical construction of a modified wood-crib-block seal. Crib blocks are at least 36 in long and measure either 5 in by 5 in or 6 in by 6 in. They are installed horizontally with their length parallel to the ribs. Each crib block is toenailed to the crib block in the lower course using three 4 -in-long common nails spaced on 9 -in centers, or a 0.5 -inthick layer of rock dust is placed between courses of crib blocks (Figure 39). Voids around the perimeter are wedged tight. In practice, the seal is hitched into the ribs and floor by excavating into solid material at least 6 in. For testing in the LLEM, NIOSH researchers simulate hitching with 6 -in by 6 -in by 0.5 -in-thick steel angle on the floor and ribs (Figure 40). The steel angle is anchored on 18-in centers using 1 -in-diam by 9 -in-long anchor bolts. Additionally, 5/8-in-thick exterior plywood sheeting is nailed on both sides of the seal using 4-in common nails on 6-in centers. An approved sealant is applied around the perimeter and at the joints in the plywood sheeting on both faces (Figure 40).


Figure 38.-Front-, plan-, and side-view drawings of Category 5A structure: wood-crib-block seal with plywood facing and simulated hitching.


Figure 39.-Closeup of a hitched wood-crib-block seal showing the layer of rock dust placed between each layer of wood crib blocks.


Figure 40.-Construction of a hitched wood-crib-block seal without plywood facing showing the wood crib blocks separated by a rock dust layer, the angle iron hitching around the ribs and floor only, and the final coating with an approved sealant.

## Category 5B seal: Wood-crib-block seal with glue and Packsetter Bags

Category 5B wood crib seals are also used in mines that have high convergence where other seals might fail because of cracking. The seals are constructed similar to the ordinary Category 5A wood-crib-block seals, except that Packsetter Bags are used in place of hitching. We present data from only one structure in this category (Table 1). Sapko et al. [2003] provide details on constructing the wood crib block with grout bag seals in this category.

Figure 41 shows front-, plan-, and side-view drawings of the typical construction of a wood-crib-block seal with Packsetter Bags. The seal consists of 30 -in-long wood crib blocks that measure from about 5 -in by 5 -in to 6 -in by 6 -in, appropriate glue and applicator, and Packsetter Bags with the necessary grout. Construction of the seal begins by applying a layer of adhesive to the mine floor. The first course of wood crib blocks is then laid across the floor or footer in the adhesive. The length of the crib block is oriented parallel with the ribs. No hitching to the roof, ribs, or floor is necessary.
A Packsetter Bag is positioned under the corners of the first course of crib blocks by at least 6 in. The crib blocks are glued together with FOMO Handi-Stick adhesive and a special applicator (Figure 42). Each crib block requires three rows of adhesive about 0.5 in wide applied to the top and each side of each crib block along its entire length.

In subsequent rows of crib blocks, the vertical joints are staggered (Figures 41 and 43). The wood crib blocks are placed to within $2-5$ in of the roof and ribs to allow space for the Packsetter Bags. The bags along the ribs and across the roof overlap adjacent bags by a minimum of 6 in and the front and back face of the seal by at least 3 in. The top-right and top-left corner Packsetter Bags are placed with half the bag down the rib side and half the bag across the roof side of the seal. A spacer Packsetter Bag is used to fill gaps up to 8 in caused by rib and roof undulations. The Packsetter Bag grout is a specially formulated portland cement-based mixture blended and packaged for Strata Products, Inc., by Quikrete and has a compressive strength of 580 psi after 28 days.

After wood crib blocks and Packsetter Bags are in position, grout is pumped into the bags to a pressure of 50 psi in a sequence beginning with the top-right and top-left bags, followed by the bags
along the roof line, then the bottom-right and bottom-left bags, and concluding with the bags along the rib lines. This pressurization provides artificial horizontal and vertical loading on the seal upon installation. Polyurethane foam is used to fill any small gaps at the roof, ribs, or between Packsetter Bags. The active mine side of the seal is covered with brattice secured with several pieces of wood nailed to the seal. The brattice overlaps the seal perimeter at the roof, rib, and floor by at least 1 ft . Finally, the active mine side of the seal is coated with an MSHA-approved general-purpose sealant.


Figure 41.-Front-, plan-, and side-view drawings of Category 5B structure: wood-crib-block seal with Packsetter Bags.


Figure 42.-Construction of a wood-crib-block seal with pressurized Packsetter grout bags in lieu of hitching showing the application of glue between all wood-crib-block surfaces.


Figure 43.-Construction of a wood-crib-block seal with pressurized Packsetter grout bags in lieu of hitching showing the glued wood crib blocks and the grout bags used along the roof and ribs. The active-mine-side surface is later covered with brattice and coated with an approved sealant.

## Category 6 Seals: Articulated Structures - Lightweight Blocks With or Without Hitching

Category 6 seals are articulated structures made from lightweight Omega blocks manufactured by Burrell Mining Products International, Inc. The Omega blocks are noncombustible, glass-fiber reinforced blocks measuring 8 in by 16 in by 24 in, weighing about 46 lb and having a compressive strength of 70-110 psi. The blocks can be cut with a handsaw to fit into spaces between seal and rib or roof where full blocks will not fit. Two varieties of seal structures composed of lightweight blocks are reported here: 24-in-thick seals that require hitching and 40-in-thick seals that do not require hitching.

In Omega block seal construction, all horizontal and vertical joints are coated with 0.25 -inthick BlocBond mortar manufactured by Quikrete Company. BlocBond, a mortar mix containing portland cement, fiberglass fiber, and additives, is the only mortar permitted in the construction of Omega Block seals. A layer of BlocBond is applied to the floor for setting the first course of blocks. BlocBond is also placed between the blocks and the coal ribs and between the top blocks and the mine roof. Loose material is brushed off of each block, then blocks are wetted before applying the BlocBond. Finally, all outside faces are coated completely with a 0.25 -in-thick layer of the BlocBond mortar.

## Category 6A seal: Lightweight blocks 24 in thick with hitching

The Category 6A 20-psi seal designs are made with Omega blocks constructed 24 in thick with a 48 -in by 48 -in center pilaster for added strength. NIOSH researchers tested two different structures in this category (Table 1). Sapko et al. [2005] and Cashdollar et al. [2007] provide additional details on constructing the lightweight block seals in this category along with test results.

Figure 44 shows front-, plan-, and side-view drawings, and Figure 45 shows the construction of this Omega block seal with hitching. All block surfaces are coated with a 0.25 -in-thick layer of BlocBond mortar. Two wood planks are placed across the top of the blocks and wedged against the roof (Figure 46). Wood planks are also placed on top of each pilaster and wedged against the roof. Spaces between the wood planks and wedges are filled with BlocBond mortar. To simulate hitching during the LLEM tests, NIOSH researchers use a 6 -in by 6 -in by 0.5 -in-thick steel angle on the floor and ribs that is anchored on 18-in centers using 1-in-diam by 9-in-long Hilti Kwik Bolts. Figure 47 shows a completed Omega block seal with the angle iron to simulate hitching.


Figure 44.-Front-, plan-, and side-view drawings of Category 6A structure: lightweight block seal, 24 in thick with hitching.


Figure 45.-Construction of a 24 -in-thick Omega block seal with hitching and a center pilaster. All surfaces are coated with BlocBond mortar.


Figure 46.-Construction of an Omega block seal showing the wood board and wedges used to secure the seal at the roof.


Figure 47.-Completed 24-in-thick Omega block seal showing the angle iron hitch along the ribs and floor and the outer surface coated with an approved sealant.

Category 6B seal: Lightweight blocks 40 in thick without hitching
The Category 6B 20-psi seal designs are made with Omega blocks constructed 40 in thick and without a center pilaster for added strength or simulated hitching with steel angle at the floor and ribs. NIOSH researchers tested eight different structures in this category (Table 1). Weiss et al. [1993, 1996], Weiss and Harteis [2008], Sapko et al. [2003], and Cashdollar et al. [2007] provide additional details on constructing the lightweight block seals in this category along with test results.

Figure 48 shows front-, plan-, and side-view drawings of the typical Omega block seal without hitching. Figure 49 shows the same seal design under construction. Joints in alternate courses of blocks are staggered. Three rows of 1 -in by 12 -in wood planks are placed from rib to rib across the top of the
seal. One row of planks is placed along the center of the seal; the other two rows are placed with their edges flush with the inby and outby faces of the seal, respectively. Joints in the planking are staggered, and the planking is set in a thin layer of BlocBond. Wedges are driven on 6- to 12-in centers between the planks and roof to compress the planks uniformly against the Omega blocks. BlocBond is used to fill all the gaps between the mine roof and the top block course, including the areas between the rows of wood planks and the gaps between the wooden wedges. Finally, all exposed wood and the outside faces are coated with BlocBond.


Figure 48.-Front-, plan-, and side-view drawings of Category 6B structure: lightweight block seal, 40 in thick without hitching.


Figure 49.-Construction of a 40-in-thick Omega block seal without hitching and no center pilaster. All surfaces are coated with BlocBond mortar.

## STRUCTURAL TESTING DATA FOR 20-psi COAL MINE SEALS

Table 1 provides an overview of the NIOSH testing program on 44 different seal structures and 8 stoppings. As the table indicates, the program subjected many structures to multiple or repeated pressure loads and tested many structures to failure. For some of the structures tested, the only data available are the applied P-t curve and an indicator of whether the structure survived or failed under that applied load. However, for most of the tests, the applied P-t curve and the measured D-t response are reported here.

Tables 5 through 10 provide expanded information for each of the six seal categories, including the structure number for each individual seal structure constructed and tested, the type and number of tests on that structure, the loading condition on that structure (static or reflected, nonuniform or uniform), a reference figure that most closely describes that structure, and a brief description of the structure. Again, the test program includes 52 separate structures ( 44 seals and 8 stoppings).

Table A-1 in the appendix provides detailed information for each seal structure and each test on that structure. Column 1 in the table gives the seal category and structure, a brief description, the name of the manufacturer or constructor, NIOSH publications related to that particular seal structure, and the name of the Excel file containing the raw data for the P-t and D-t curves associated with that seal. The Excel data files will be posted and available on the NIOSH Mining Web site (http://www.cdc.gov/niosh/mining).

Column 2 in Table A-1 gives the location where the structure was constructed and tested; the exact height, width, and thickness for that particular seal structure; the type of test from previous tables; and the loading condition (also from previous tables). For most of the LLEM explosion tests, the location is the crosscut number between either the A- and B-drifts or B- and C-drifts. Other structures were tested in the small or large hydrostatic test chambers in the LLEM.

For each unique structure tested, column 3 in Table A-1 gives a detailed description of how that seal was constructed. This information comes directly from the LLEM test descriptions. Although the construction details will generally follow the descriptions given in the previous section, each structure
tested is unique. For example, the shotcrete thickness in the Category 1A seals will vary from structure to structure, and the steel reinforcement may change somewhat from structure to structure. Those details, if available, are provided in the description in column 3.

Column 4 in Table A-1 gives the LLEM test numbers that subjected the particular structure to an explosion loading. Each explosion test in the LLEM since the inception of the facility in 1983 has been assigned a sequential number. As indicated in Table 1, most of the structures reported herein were subjected to multiple explosion loadings prior to either failure of that structure or cessation of further testing. Note that individual LLEM tests will generally load from three to five different structures during the same test.

Column 5 in Table A-1 indicates the test outcome. Either the structure withstood the explosion without significant damage, was damaged to some degree, or failed catastrophically, foregoing the possibility of any further test loadings.

Column 6 in Table A-1 gives the maximum pressure to which the structure was subjected during the explosion test. The pressure data reported are from the raw test data (nonsmoothed).

Column 7 in Table A-1 gives the maximum displacement recorded, if available. As indicated in Table 1, D-t data from an LVDT exist for most of the 52 structures tested and are reported here. In many of the earlier explosion tests of seal structures, NIOSH researchers did not measure the D-t response of the structure with an instrument. Seal testing and approval at the time were based on a pass or fail explosion test, and there was usually no immediate need for measuring the structural response.

Column 8 in Table A-1 refers to the figure in the appendix that graphically displays the applied P-t curve and the measured D-t response of the structure to each explosion or water pressure test. These plots and the data they contain are valuable for calibrating and verifying computer models for the structural response of seals. Most of these plots had not been previously published, as such structural information was not necessary in the "build and test" approach used for the previous 20-psi seal designs [Gadde et al. 2007].

Data available for certain seal subcategories should enable model calibration and extrapolation to new seal designs and possible development of structure failure criteria for various seal designs. Available data for other seal subcategories are less complete, since NIOSH researchers may have conducted studies in those subcategories prior to 1997 and that information is no longer available. Below are discussions on the structural data available for each seal subcategory.

Category 1A: Insteel 3-D seal - shotcrete with reinforcement.-This data set includes tests on seven different structures where all seven data sets have both the P-t and D-t curves. For structural analysis, the best data sets come from structures 1,4 , and 7 , which were constructed similar to the schematic shown in Figure 15. Structures 1 through 6 were subject to a static, nonuniform explosion pressure that swept across the seal face, whereas structure 7 was subject to a static, uniform, water pressure load from a hydrostatic chamber test (Table 5). Test data from structures 4 and 7, plus data from structure 1, which is a thinner version of the same, should provide useful information for developing structural failure criteria for these seal designs and similar structures. Structures 2, 3, 5, and 6 are variations of the seal geometry shown in Figure 15; these data sets may also contribute to model calibration and failure criteria development.

Category 1B: Meshblock seal - shotcrete with reinforcement.-This data set includes tests on six different structures. Unfortunately, good D-t data are not available from any of the tests. Structures 2 and 3 were constructed exactly as shown in Figure 20. Structures 4, 5, and 6 are thinner versions of the previous structures, but are constructed similar to the schematic shown in Figure 20. Structure 1 has some resemblance to the construction shown in Figure 20, but is much thicker and may be more akin to the Category 2 pumpable cementitious material seals. Each structure was loaded repeatedly by a static, nonuniform explosion pressure that swept across the seal face (Table 5). Three of those structures survived and three failed during the last test. This data set should provide a good basis for model calibration and possible development of a failure criterion.

Table 5.-Summary of Category 1 seal structures: concrete with steel reinforcement

| Structure No. | Type of test | No. of tests | Loading condition | Reference figure | Structure description |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Insteel 3-D seal: Concrete or concretelike materials with intern |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | Explosion | 1 | Static <br> Nonuniform | Similar to Figure 15 | 1 Insteel 3-D panel, 1 plane of steel reinforcement, 7-in-thick shotcrete |
| 2 | Explosion | 1 | Static <br> Nonuniform | Similar to Figure 15 | 1 Insteel 3-D panel, 1 plane of steel reinforcement, 3-in-thick foam core, 3-in-thick shotcrete on both sides |
| 3 | Explosion | 1 | Static <br> Nonuniform | Similar to Figure 15 | 1 Insteel 3-D panel, 1 plane of steel reinforcement, 3 -in-thick foam core, 1.5 -in-thick shotcrete on one side, 3-in-thick shotcrete on other side |
| 4 | Explosion | 1 | Static <br> Nonuniform | Identical to Figure 15 | 2 Insteel 3-D panels, 2 planes of steel reinforcement, 12-in-thick shotcrete |
| 5 | Explosion | 1 | Static <br> Nonuniform | Based on Figure 15 | 1 Insteel 3-D panel, 1 plane of steel reinforcement, 8 -in-thick shotcrete, 48 -in-wide pilaster in center |
| 6 | Explosion | 1 | Static <br> Nonuniform | Based on Figure 15 | 1 Insteel 3-D panel, 1 plane of steel reinforcement, 8 -in-thick shotcrete, 48 -in-wide pilaster in center |
| 7 | Hydrostatic | 1 | Static <br> Uniform | Identical to Figure 15 | 2 Insteel 3-D panels, 2 planes of steel reinforcement, 12-in-thick shotcrete |
| CATEGORY 1B |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | Explosion | 20 | Static <br> Nonuniform | Similar to Figure 20 | 1 plane of steel reinforcement, 4-ft-thick seal with 533-psi compressive strength material |
| 2 | Explosion | 5 | Static <br> Nonuniform | Identical to <br> Figure 20 | 13 in thick with 4,200-psi compressive strength material |
| 3 | Explosion | 3 | Static <br> Nonuniform | Identical to Figure 20 | 13 in thick with 4,200-psi compressive strength material |
| 4 | Explosion | 2 | Static <br> Nonuniform | Similar to Figure 20 | 7 in thick with 4,200-psi compressive strength material |
| 5 | Explosion | 2 | Static <br> Nonuniform | Similar to Figure 20 | 3 in thick with 4,200-psi compressive strength material |
| 6 | Explosion | 2 | Static <br> Nonuniform | Similar to Figure 20 | 1.75 in thick with 4,200-psi compressive strength material |

Category 2A: Pumpable cementitious material with compressive strength of 200 psi constructed 48 in thick.-This data set includes tests on four different structures, all of which have good P-t and D-t data. Two of the structures were loaded repeatedly, and three were eventually loaded to failure. Construction of these structures is identical to that shown in Figure 23. Structure 1 was subject to a reflected explosion pressure that was uniform across the seal face; structures 2 , 3 , and 4 were subject to static, uniform pressure developed by methane ignition tests and water pressure tests in a hydrostatic test chamber (Table 6). This data set should provide an excellent basis for model calibration and possible development of a failure criterion.

Category 2B: Pumpable cementitious material with compressive strength of 433 psi constructed 36 in thick.-This data set includes just one structure identical to that shown in Figure 23. The structure was loaded twice by a static, nonuniform explosion pressure that swept across the seal face, but it did not fail. While P-t data are available, D-t data are not. This data set provides a limited basis for model calibration and extrapolation; however, it provides some data on the structural behavior of stronger construction materials.

Category 2C: Pumpable cementitious material with compressive strength of 480-600 psi constructed 24-30 in thick.-This data set includes tests on four different structures, all of which were loaded repeatedly and all of which survived. D-t data are available for just one of these structures. Construction of these structures is identical to that shown in Figure 23. The structures were loaded by a static, nonuniform explosion pressure that swept across the seal face. This data set provides a limited basis for model calibration and extrapolation; however, it does provide additional data on the structural behavior of stronger construction materials.

Table 6.-Summary of Category 2 seal structures: pumpable cementitious materials

| Structure No. | Type of test | No. of tests | Loading condition | Reference figure | Structure description |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CATEGORY 2A |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | Explosion | 2 | Reflected Uniform | Similar to Figure 23 | 48 in thick with 143-psi compressive strength material with retrofit |
| 2 | Hydrostatic | 1 | Static Uniform | Identical to Figure 23 | 48 in thick with 350-psi compressive strength material |
| 3 | Hydrostatic | 3 | Static Uniform | Identical to Figure 23 | 48 in thick with 350 -psi compressive strength material |
| 4 | Hydrostatic | 1 | Static Uniform | Identical to Figure 23 | 48 in thick with 350 -psi compressive strength material |
| CATEGORY 2B |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | Explosion | 2 | Static <br> Nonuniform | Identical to Figure 23 | 36 in thick with 450- to 500-psi compressive strength material |
| Pumpable cementitious materials: $480-600$ psi and $24-30$ in thick, no steel reinforcement and no hitching |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | Explosion | 2 | Static Nonuniform | Similar to Figure 23 | 34 in thick with 600- to 840-psi compressive strength material, 1 plane of steel reinforcement |
| 2 | Explosion | 2 | Static <br> Nonuniform | Identical to Figure 23 | 30 in thick with 390- to 565-psi compressive strength material |
| 3 | Explosion | 2 | Static <br> Nonuniform | Identical to Figure 23 | 24 in thick with 600- to 750-psi compressive strength material |
| 4 | Explosion | 4 | Static <br> Nonuniform | Identical to Figure 23 | 30 in thick with 597-psi compressive strength material |

Category 3A: Standard solid-concrete-block seal with hitching.—This data set includes tests on seven distinct structures, five of which were subject to repeated pressure loads and three of which were loaded to failure. Complete P-t data are presented for each test on all seven structures, and D-t data are available for each test on six of the seven structures in this subcategory. Construction of these structures is identical to that shown in Figure 26, except for structure 1, which lacks the center pilaster. The structures were loaded by different methods (Table 7). Structures 1 through 3 were loaded by a static, nonuniform explosion pressure that swept across the seal face. Structures 4 through 7 were loaded by a static, uniform pressure developed by methane ignition tests and water pressure tests in a hydrostatic test chamber. This data set should provide an excellent basis for model calibration and possible development of a failure criterion.

Category 3B: Solid-concrete-block seal with Packsetter Bags and without hitching.—This data set includes tests on three structures where only one was loaded to failure. P-t data are available for all tests; however, no D-t data were recorded. Construction of structure 2 is identical to that shown in Figure 30, whereas structures 1 and 3 are similar. The structures were loaded by a static, nonuniform explosion pressure that swept across the seal face (Table 7). This data set may be combined with data from Subcategory 3A and 3C structures for model calibration and possible development of a failure criterion.

Category 3C: Ventilation stoppings - solid and hollow-core concrete blocks.-This data set contains tests on eight different structures where all were loaded repeatedly and all failed eventually. Complete P-t data are available for all tests on all structures, and complete D-t data are available for all but one of the structures tested. Construction of these structures is identical to that shown in Figure 32. All structures were loaded by a static, nonuniform explosion pressure that swept across the stopping face (Table 7). Although this data set is not for mine seals per se, it has significant value for model calibration and development of failure criteria since concrete blocks, both solid and hollow-core, can be a significant part of many seal designs. These tests provide information on the arching behavior of articulated structures on a rigid foundation [Weiss et al. 2008].

Category 4: Polymer and aggregate materials without hitching.-This data set includes just one test on one structure, which resulted in failure. Both P-t and D-t data are presented. Dolinar et al. [2008] provide additional, new structural data on polymer and aggregate seals that were not incorporated into this report. Construction of this structure is similar to that shown in Figure 35. The structure was loaded by a static, uniform pressure developed by a methane ignition test in a hydrostatic test chamber (Table 8). This single test provides useful information for model calibration and possible development of a failure criterion.

Table 7.-Summary of Category 3 seal structures: articulated structures

| Structure <br> No. | Type of <br> test | No. of <br> tests | Loading <br> condition | Reference figure | CATEGORY 3A |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Articulated structures: Standard solid-concrete-block seal with hitching |  |  |  |

Table 8.-Summary of Category 4 seal structures: polymer and aggregate structures

| Structure <br> No. | Type of <br> test | No. of <br> tests | Loading <br> condition | Reference <br> figure | Structure description |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | CATEGORY 4 |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Polymer and aggregate materials without hitching |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | Hydrostatic | 1 | Static <br> Uniform | Similar to <br> Figure 35 | 11-in-thick polyurethane foam and <br> aggregate core |  |

Category 5A: Wood-crib-block seal with hitching.-No structural data are available.
Category 5B: Wood-crib-block seal with glue and Packsetter Bags.-This data set contains test results from one structure that was loaded twice and survived both tests. P-t and D-t data are available for both tests. Construction of this structure is identical to that shown in Figure 41. The structure was loaded by a static, nonuniform explosion pressure that swept across the seal face (Table 9). This single test provides useful information for model calibration and possible development of a failure criterion.

Table 9.—Summary of Category 5 seal structures: wood-crib-block structures

| Structure <br> No. | Type of <br> test | No. of <br> tests | Loading <br> condition | Reference <br> figure | Structure description |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Wood-crib-block seal with hitching |  |  |  |  |  |
| None | - | 0 | - | Similar to <br> Figure 38 |  |  |  |
|  |  | Wood-crib-block seal with glue and Packsetter Bags |  |  |  |  |  |

Category 6A: Lightweight blocks, 24 in thick with hitching.-This data set contains results from tests on two different structures. All tests have both P-t and D-t data. Construction of these structures is identical to that shown in Figure 44. One structure was loaded by a static, nonuniform explosion pressure that swept across the seal face, while the other was loaded by a static, uniform pressure developed by a methane ignition test in a hydrostatic test chamber (Table 10). Both tests provide useful information for model calibration and possible development of a failure criterion. This data set is included for completeness, although lightweight blocks may or may not have use in future seal designs.

Category 6B: Lightweight blocks, 40 in thick without hitching.-This data set includes results from tests on eight different structures. Three of the structures failed on the first test, three of the structures failed after repeated tests, and two survived repeated tests. Nearly complete P-t and D-t data are available for all tests on all structures. Construction of these structures is identical or very similar to that shown in Figure 48. As indicated in Table 10, four structures were loaded by a static, nonuniform explosion pressure that swept across the seal face. Three were loaded by a reflected explosion pressure that was uniform across the seal face; and one was loaded by a static, uniform pressure developed by a methane ignition test in a hydrostatic test chamber. All tests provide useful information for model calibration and possible development of a failure criterion; however, lightweight blocks may or may not have utility in future seal designs.

Table 10.-Summary of Category 6 seal structures: lightweight block structures

| Structure No. | Type of test | No. of tests | Loading condition | Reference figure | Structure description |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | CATEGORY 6AArticulated structures: Lightweight blocks, 24 in thick with hitching |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | Explosion | 2 | Static Nonuniform | Identical to Figure 44 | Properly constructed, 24-in-thick Omega block seal with hitching |
| 2 | Hydrostatic | 1 | Static Uniform | Identical to Figure 44 | Properly constructed, 24-in-thick Omega block seal with hitching |
| CATEGORY 6B <br> Articulated structures: Lightweight blocks, 40 in thick without hitching |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | Explosion | 4 | Static Nonuniform | Identical to Figure 48 | Properly constructed, 40-in-thick Omega block seal without hitching |
| 2 | Explosion | 9 | Static <br> Nonuniform | Identical to Figure 48 | Properly constructed, 40-in-thick Omega block seal without hitching |
| 3 | Explosion | 2 | Static <br> Nonuniform | Similar to Figure 48 | 40-in-thick Omega block seal without hitching, mortar on horizontal joints but not on vertical joints |
| 4 | Explosion | 3 | Static <br> Nonuniform | Similar to Figure 48 | 40-in-thick Omega block seal without hitching, mortar on horizontal joints but not on vertical joints |
| 5 | Explosion | 1 | Static <br> Uniform | Identical to Figure 48 | Properly constructed, 40-in-thick Omega block seal without hitching |
| 6 | Explosion | 3 | Static Uniform | Similar to Figure 48 | 40-in-thick Omega block seal without hitching, mortar on horizontal joints but not on vertical joints |
| 7 | Explosion | 1 | Static Uniform | Similar to Figure 48 | 40-in-thick Omega block seal without hitching, mortar on horizontal joints but not on vertical joints |
| 8 | Hydrostatic | 1 | Static Uniform | Identical to Figure 48 | Properly constructed, 40-in-thick Omega block seal without hitching |

## SUMMARY AND CONCLUSIONS

The seal testing data presented in this report are organized into six broad categories of seal structures:

1. Concretelike materials with steel reinforcement and rebar anchorage to rock
2. Pumpable cementitious materials of varying compressive strengths with no steel reinforcement and no hitching
3. Articulated structures such as solid-concrete-block seals and ventilation stoppings made of solid and hollow-core concrete blocks with or without hitching
4. Polymer and aggregate materials without hitching
5. Wood-crib-block seals with or without hitching
6. Articulated structures such as lightweight blocks with or without hitching

This report provides a general description, including figures for each seal category and subcategory. The specific construction detail for individual structures in each seal category is included in the tables in the appendix. The report also describes the LLEM and the general procedures used to load the test seals. NIOSH researchers used four distinct test procedures that subjected the test seals to different loading conditions:

1. Explosion tests on seals in crosscuts that loaded seals with the static blast wave overpressure that is nonuniform across the face.
2. Explosion tests on seals in C-drift that loaded seals with the reflected blast wave overpressure that is assumed uniform across the face.
3. Hydrostatic chamber tests using water pressure that loaded seals with a static, nearly uniform pressure.
4. Hydrostatic chamber tests using methane ignition pressure that loaded seals with a static overpressure that is assumed uniform across the face.

The report discusses and analyzes the loading conditions and boundary conditions for the seal tests. The foundation conditions for the seal tests in the LLEM as reported here do not represent typical conditions found in underground coal mines. The rocks in the LLEM have much greater stiffness and strength than typical rocks found in underground coal mines, where the roof and floor rock and the coal ribs may have lower stiffness and strength.

We report and analyze the sensors and data acquisition systems used during the tests to collect the pressure loading data and the displacement response of the test seals. We report the response time, time constant, and frequency response for various pressure and displacement transducers used throughout the tests. With regard to the P-t data, we conclude that the recorded data accurately reflect the actual pressure developed during the tests. Similarly, the recorded displacement data accurately capture the initial displacement response of the test seals. However, the displacement data are only reliable up to the initial peak displacement and not beyond that point in time due to the experimental method used to link the structure to the displacement transducer.

The structural data reported herein were collected at a rate of 1,500 samples per second. The P-t data could contain higher-frequency components that are not recorded by the data acquisition system. We conducted structural analyses and demonstrated that the P-t data collected at 1,500 samples per second are adequate for structural analysis of seals under the conditions of the explosion tests conducted in the LLEM.

This report presents test data on a total of 52 different structures in the above 6 categories. The appendix presents the P-t curve and the D-t curve for 100 separate tests on these structures. In addition, the appendix provides the P-t curve but not the D-t curve for 66 other tests on the remaining structures. Table A-1 in the appendix indicates whether those structures survived or failed during the test.

The structural data assembled in the appendix will facilitate future structural analysis in each of the various categories of seal structures. Certain data sets may lead to development of structure failure criteria for certain seal designs. Calibrating and validating structural models of seal behavior from 20-psi explosion tests should enable reliable extrapolation of those structural models to the analysis of 50- and 120-psi seal designs intended to meet the MSHA final rule for sealing of abandoned areas.
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APPENDIX.—DETAILED SUMMARY OF SEAL STRUCTURE TESTS AND TEST DATA
Table A-1.-Detailed summary of seal structure tests

| Seal category - Structure No. Description Manufacturer NIOSH reference Excel data file name ${ }^{1}$ | Seal test location, dimensions, test type, and loading conditions | Seal description from LLEM test files | LLEM test No. | Test outcome | Maximum pressure data (psi) | Maximum displacement data (in) | Appendix figure No. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CATEGORY 1A SEALS <br> Concrete or concretelike materials with internal steel reinforcement and anchorage to rock Insteel 3-D seal: Shotcrete with reinforcement, 7 structures tested |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Category 1A: Structure 1 <br> Shotcrete with reinforcement <br> Precision Mine Repair, Inc. Insteel 3-D <br> Not previously reported by NIOSH <br> Cat1A_Struct\#1_1Test.xls | A-B drifts, X-1 <br> 19.75 ft wide by 6.67 ft high by 7 in thick <br> Explosion test <br> Quasi-static Nonuniform | 1 rear Insteel 3-D panel with Stayform backing and 1 plane of reinforcement bar anchorage similar to Figure 15. The framework, which extends across the entire width of the crosscut, is anchored in place by rebar partially imbedded into the roof, ribs, and floor with the exposed sections of the rebar tie wired to the rebar contained within the framework with 3 on each rib and about 10 each on the roof and floor. Shotcrete (203 bags of Pak Mix Pro Line concrete mix or $15,631 \mathrm{lb}$ dry mix) is then applied to the entire structure from the active (B-drift) side to a total thickness of about 7 in . Nearly fully cured in 24 hr . Minimum compressive strength of shotcrete is 2,500 psi. | 419 | No damage | 45.8 | 1.0 | A-1 |
| Category 1A: Structure 2 <br> Shotcrete with reinforcement <br> Precision Mine Repair, Inc. Insteel 3-D <br> Not previously reported by NIOSH <br> Cat1A_Struct\#2_1Test.xls | A-B drifts, X-2 <br> 21 ft wide by <br> 6.75 ft high by 9 in thick <br> Explosion test <br> Quasi-static Nonuniform | 1 rear Insteel 3-D panel without Stayform backing and 1 plane of reinforcement bar anchorage similar to Figure 15. The framework contains, between the wire mesh outside panels and rebar, a 3-in foam insert. A temporary opening (large enough to allow for the passage of a person) was installed through this seal to allow for the shotcrete application on the sealed (A-drift) side of the seal. 3 in of shotcrete was applied to both sides. A total of 166 bags of Pak Mix Pro Line concrete mix or $12,782 \mathrm{lb}$ of dry mix used for seal. A metal covering was installed within the opening, reinforced with rebar, and completely filled with shotcrete. Nearly fully cured in 24 hr . Minimum compressive strength of shotcrete is 2,500 psi. | 419 | No damage | 33.8 | 3.2 | A-2 |

${ }^{1}$ Excel data files will be posted and available on the NIOSH Mining Web site (http://www.cdc.gov/niosh/mining)
Table A-1.—Detailed summary of seal structure tests-Continued

| Seal category - Structure No. Description Manufacturer NIOSH reference Excel data file name | Seal test location, dimensions, test type, and loading conditions | Seal description from LLEM test files | LLEM <br> test <br> No. | Test outcome | Maximum pressure data (psi) | Maximum displacement data (in) | Appendix figure No. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Category 1A: Structure 3 <br> Shotcrete with reinforcement <br> Precision Mine Repair, Inc. Insteel 3-D <br> Not previously reported by NIOSH <br> Cat1A_Struct\#3_1Test.xls | A-B drifts, X-3 <br> About 19 ft wide by 7 ft high by 7.5 in thick <br> Explosion test <br> Quasi-static Nonuniform | 1 rear Insteel 3-D panel without Stayform backing and 1 plane of reinforcement bar anchorage similar to Figure 15. The framework contains, between the wire mesh outside panels and rebar, a 3-in foam insert. 1.5 in of shotcrete (Pak Mix Pro Line concrete mix) was applied to the A-drift side. 3 in of shotcrete was applied to the B-drift side. Nearly fully cured in 24 hr . Minimum compressive strength of shotcrete is $2,500 \mathrm{psi}$. | 419 | No damage | 44.5 | 3.2 | A-3 |
| Category 1A: Structure 4 <br> Shotcrete with reinforcement <br> Precision Mine Repair, Inc. Insteel 3-D <br> Not previously reported by NIOSH <br> Cat1A_Struct\#4_1Test.xls | A-B drifts, X-1 <br> 18.67 ft wide by 7.5 ft high by 11.5 in thick Explosion test <br> Quasi-static Nonuniform | 1 rear Insteel 3-D panel with Stayform backing, 1 plane of reinforcement bar anchorage, 1 front Insteel 3-D panel without Stayform backing, and another plane of reinforcement bar anchorage identical to Figure 15. The framework, which extends across the entire width of the crosscut, was anchored in place by \#8 rebar partially imbedded into the roof, ribs, and floor with the exposed sections of the rebar tie wired to the rebar contained within the framework. Shotcrete ( 362 bags of Pak Mix Pro Line concrete mix or $25,340 \mathrm{lb}$ of dry mix) was then applied to the entire structure from the active (B-drift) side to a total thickness of about 11.5 in . Nearly fully cured in 24 hr . Minimum compressive strength of shotcrete is 2,500 psi. | 420 | No damage | 57.5 | 0.08 | A-4 |

Table A-1.—Detailed summary of seal structure tests-Continued

| $\begin{gathered} \text { Seal category - Structure No. } \\ \text { Description } \\ \text { Manufacturer } \\ \text { NIOSH reference } \\ \text { Excel data file name } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | ```Seal test location, dimensions, test type, and loading conditions``` | Seal description from LLEM test files | LLEM test No. | Test outcome | Maximum pressure data (psi) | Maximum displacement data (in) | Appendix figure No. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Category 1A: Structure 5 <br> Shotcrete with reinforcement <br> Precision Mine Repair, Inc. Insteel 3-D <br> Not previously reported by NIOSH <br> Cat1A Struct\#5 1Test.xls | A-B drifts, X-2 <br> About 19 ft wide <br> by 7 ft high <br> by 8 in thick <br> Explosion test <br> Quasi-static <br> Nonuniform | 1 rear Insteel 3-D panel with Stayform backing and 1 plane of reinforcement bar anchorage similar to Figure 15. This framework contained a 48-in-wide center pilaster on both sides. A hinged door, the entire height of the seal and about 2 ft wide, was designed on the inby rib end of the seal. This door was then secured with rebar prior to the shotcrete operations. Shotcrete thickness is approximately 8 in . Minimum compressive strength of shotcrete is $2,500 \mathrm{psi}$. | 420 | No damage | 45.9 | 3.2 | A-5 |
| Category 1A: Structure 6 Shotcrete with reinforcement <br> Precision Mine Repair, Inc. Insteel 3-D <br> Not previously reported by NIOSH <br> Cat1A_Struct\#6_1Test.xls | A-B drifts, X-3 <br> 18.25 ft wide by 7.4 ft high by about 6 in thick <br> Explosion test <br> Quasi-static Nonuniform | 1 rear Insteel 3-D panel with Stayform backing and 1 plane of reinforcement bar anchorage similar to Figure 15. No door through the seal. Diagonal stiffener units on the A-drift side of the framework between the center pilaster and each rib. 255 bags of Pak Mix Pro cement mix or $20,400 \mathrm{lb}$ of dry mix was used. Minimum compressive strength of shotcrete is $2,500 \mathrm{psi} .11 .5$ in thick at diagonal pilasters and 17.5 in thick at the 48 -inwide center pilaster. | 420 | No damage | 45.1 | NA | A-6 |
| Category 1A: Structure 7 <br> Shotcrete with reinforcement <br> Precision Mine Repair, Inc. Insteel 3-D <br> Sapko et al. [2005] <br> Cat1A_Struct\#7_1Test.xls | Small hydrostatic test chamber <br> 21 ft wide by <br> 8.5 ft high <br> 11 in thick <br> Water test <br> Quasi-static Uniform | 1 rear Insteel 3-D panel with Stayform backing, 1 plane of reinforcement bar anchorage, 1 front Insteel 3-D panel without Stayform backing, and another plane of reinforcement bar anchorage identical to Figure 15. The framework, which extends across the entire width of the crosscut, was anchored in place by \#8 rebar partially imbedded into the roof, ribs, and floor with the exposed sections of the rebar tie wired to the rebar contained within the framework. Shotcrete was then applied to the entire structure from the active side to a total thickness of about 11 in. Nearly fully cured in 24 hr. Minimum compressive strength of shotcrete is $2,500 \mathrm{psi}$. | PR-1 | No damage | 27 | 0.22 | A-7 |

Table A-1.—Detailed summary of seal structure tests-Continued
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Table A-1.—Detailed summary of seal structure tests-Continued

| Seal category - Structure No. <br> Description <br> Manufacturer <br> NIOSH reference <br> Excel data file name | Seal test location <br> dimensions, <br> test type, <br> and loading <br> conditions | Seal description from LLEM test files | LLEM test No. | Test outcome | Maximum pressure data (psi) | Maximum displacement data (in) | Appendix figure No. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CATEGORY 2C SEALS <br> Pumpable cementitious materials with no steel reinforcement and no hitching Compressive strength of 480-600 psi and 24-30 in thick, 4 structures tested |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Category 2C: Structure 1 <br> Pumpable cementitious material 24-30 in <br> HeiTech Corp. <br> Hydrocrete seal <br> Weiss et al. [2002] <br> Cat2C_Struct\#1_2Test.xls | B-C drifts, X-2 <br> 19.4 ft wide by 6.9 ft high by 34 in thick <br> Explosion tests <br> Quasi-static Nonuniform | 34-in-thick Hydrocrete/aggregate seal similar to Figure 23 7/8-in-thick reinforcement rods were equally spaced along ribs (2 each), floor (3), and roof (3). These bolts were grouted about 3 ft into strata with 3 ft extending into crosscut. Wood posts, cross boards, brattice, and wire screen used as forms. The aggregate was dry, crushed limestone aggregate ranging in size from 0.25 to 1 in. Compressive strength test results ranged from 600 to 840 psi. | 354 | No damage | 36.0 | NA | A-26 |
| Category 2C: Structure 2 <br> Pumpable cementitious material 24-30 in <br> HeiTech Corp. Hydroseal <br> Weiss et al. [2002] <br> Cat2C_Struct\#2_2Test.xls | B-C drifts, X-4 <br> 19 ft wide by 7.55 ft high by 30 in thick <br> Explosion tests <br> Quasi-static <br> Nonuniform | 30-in-thick pumpable seal similar to Figure 23. Formwork consisted of wood posts, cross boards, brattice, and wire screen. Injected slurry (Hydroseal) between form walls. Compressive strength tests results ranged from 390 to 565 psi. | 354 | No damage | 30.1 | NA | A-27 |
| Category 2C: Structure 3 <br> Pumpable cementitious material 24-30 in <br> HeiTech Corp. Hydroseal <br> Weiss et al. [2002] <br> Cat2C_Struct\#3_2Test.xls | B-C drifts, X-5 <br> 19.7 ft wide by 7.22 ft high by 24 in thick <br> Explosion tests <br> Quasi-static <br> Nonuniform | 24-in-thick pumpable seal similar to Figure 23. Formwork consisted of wood posts, cross boards, brattice, and wire screen. Injected slurry (Hydroseal) between form walls. Compressive strength test results ranged from 600 to 750 psi. | 354 | No damage | 30.1 | NA | A-28 |

Table A-1.—Detailed summary of seal structure tests-Continued

| Seal category - Structure No. Description Manufacturer NIOSH reference Excel data file name | Seal test location, dimensions, test type, and loading conditions | Seal description from LLEM test files | LLEM test No. | Test outcome | Maximum pressure data (psi) | Maximum displacement data (in) | Appendix figure No. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Category 2C: Structure 4 <br> Pumpable cementitious material 24-30 in | B-C drifts, X-2 | 30-in-thick HeiTech grout column seal consisting of six 30 -in-diam reinforced brattice bag columns spaced across | 403 | No damage | 20.8 | 1.14 | A-29 |
|  | 19 ft wide by 6.75 ft high by 30 in thick |  | 404 | No damage | 28.7 | 2.14 | A-30 |
|  |  | the crosscut with 8 - to 18 -in gaps between bags and ribs. Bags were filled with 600- to 800-psi compressive | 405 | No damage | 26.7 | 0.11 | A-31 |
|  |  | Bags were filled with 600- to 800-psi compressive strength pumpable cementitious slurry, which hardens | 406 | Damaged | 35.4 | >3.2 | A-32 |
| HeiTech Corp. rapid-construction column bag pumpable seal <br> Weiss et al. [2002] <br> Cat2C_Struct\#4_4Test.xls | Explosion tests <br> Quasi-static Nonuniform | within 10 min and is nearly fully cured in 24 hr . Similar bags without the reinforcement were then installed between the columns and filled with the same grout. 597 -psi $\pm 63$-psi compressive strength after 13 days. Test 1 conducted 10 days after construction. No hitching. Polyurethane foam (Silent Seal) was sprayed around the seal perimeter and between the columns from the B-drift side. |  |  |  |  |  |
| CATEGORY 3A SEALS <br> Articulated structures: Solid and hollow-core concrete blocks with or without hitching Standard solid-concrete-block seal with hitching, 7 structures tested |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Category 3A: Structure 1 Standard seal with hitching | B-C drifts, X-1 | Standard solid-concrete-block seal without pilaster similar to Figure 26. Simulated rib and floor hitching with 6 -in by 6 -in by 0.5 -in-thick steel angle anchored on 18 -in centers using 1 -in-diam by 9 -in-long Hilti Kwik Bolt III. Fully mortared and staggered joints. | 403 | No damage | 18.1 | 0.00 | A-33 |
|  |  |  | 404 | No damage | 22.4 | 0.00 | A-34 |
|  | 18.3 ft wide by 6.7 ft high by 16 in thick |  | 405 | No damage | 28.3 | 0.00 | A-35 |
| NIOSH constructed |  |  | 406 | No damage | 30.8 | 0.10 | A-36 |
| Sapko et al. [2005] | Explosion tests |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cat3A_Struct\#1_4Test.xls | Quasi-static Nonuniform |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Table A-1.—Detailed summary of seal structure tests-Continued

| Seal category - Structure No. Description Manufacturer NIOSH reference Excel data file name | Seal test location, dimensions, test type, and loading conditions | Seal description from LLEM test files | LLEM <br> test <br> No. | Test outcome | Maximum pressure data (psi) | Maximum displacement data (in) | Appendix figure No. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Category 3A: Structure 2 | B-C drifts, X-1 | Standard solid-concrete-block seal with 16 -in-wide by 32-in-thick center pilaster identical to Figure 26. Simulated rib and floor hitching with 6 -in by 6 -in by 0.5 -in-thick steel angle anchored on 18 -in centers using 1 -in-diam by 9 -inlong Hilti Kwik Bolt III. Quikrete BlocBond (1225-51) was used as mortar. | 500 | No damage | 23 | NA | A-37 |
|  |  |  | 501 | No damage | 22 | NA |  |
| Standard seal with hitching | 18.3 ft wide by <br> 6.7 ft high by 16 in thick |  | 502 | No damage | 22 | NA |  |
| Not previously reported by NIOSH |  |  | 503 | No damage | 15 | NA |  |
|  |  |  | 504 | No damage | 16 | NA |  |
|  | Explosion tests |  | 505 | No damage | 24 | NA |  |
|  |  |  | 506 | No damage | 34 | NA | A-38 |
| Cat3A_Struct\#2_10Test.xls | Quasi-static Nonuniform |  | 507 | No damage | 24 | NA |  |
|  |  |  | 508 | No damage | 22 | NA |  |
|  |  |  | 509 | No damage | 46 | NA |  |
| Category 3A: Structure 3 | B-C drifts, X-3 | Standard solid-concrete-block seal with 16 -in-wide by 32-in-thick center pilaster identical to Figure 26. Used Type S mortar and B-bond face coatings. Simulated rib and floor hitching with 6 -in by 6 -in by 0.5 -in-thick steel angle anchored on 18 -in centers using 1 -in-diam by 9 -in-long Hilti Kwik Bolt III. | 506 | No damage | 63 | 0.11 | A-39 |
| Standard seal with hitching <br> NIOSH constructed | 18.6 ft wide by 6.8 ft high by 16 in thick |  | 507 | No damage | 30 | 0.07 | A-40 |
| Not previously reported by NIOSH | Explosion tests |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cat3A_Struct\#3_2Test.xls | Quasi-static Nonuniform |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Category 3A: Structure 4 | Small hydrostatic test chamber | Standard solid-concrete-block seal identical to Figure 26. $2,500 \mathrm{psi}( \pm 100-\mathrm{psi})$ concrete blocks. 16-in-wide by 32 -inthick pilaster. Simulated rib and floor hitching with 6-in by 6 -in by 0.5 -in-thick steel angle anchored on 18-in centers using 1-in-diam by 9-in-long Hilti Kwik Bolt III. Methane ignition tests. | C1-5E | No damage | 56.5 | 0.13 | A-41 |
| Standard seal with hitching |  |  | C1-8E | No damage | 90.1 | NA | A-42 |
|  | 16.8 ft wide by 8.6 ft high by |  | C1-9E | No damage | 94.3 | 0.22 | A-43 |
| NIOSH constructed |  |  | C1-10E | No damage | 79.5 | 0.21 | A-44 |
| NIOSH constructed | 8.6 ft high by 16 in thick |  | C1-11E | Destroyed | 99.7 | >2.8 | A-45 |
| Cat3A_Struct\#4_5Test.xls | Methane ignition tests |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Quasi-static Uniform |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Table A-1.—Detailed summary of seal structure tests-Continued

| Seal category - Structure No. Description Manufacturer NIOSH reference Excel data file name | Seal test location, dimensions, test type, and loading conditions | Seal description from LLEM test files | $\begin{gathered} \text { LLEM } \\ \text { test } \\ \text { No. } \end{gathered}$ | Test outcome | Maximum pressure data (psi) | Maximum displacement data (in) | Appendix figure No. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Category 3A: Structure 5 | Small hydrostatic test chamber | Standard solid-concrete-block seal identical to Figure 26. 2,500 -psi ( $\pm 100$-psi) concrete blocks. 16 -in-wide by 32 -in- | C6-60W | No damage | 32 | 0.024 | A-46 |
| Standard seal with hitching |  | thick pilaster. Simulated rib and floor hitching with 6 -in by | C6-62E | No damage | 88 | 0.25 | A-47 |
| NIOSH constructed | 16.8 ft wide by 8.6 ft high by 16 in thick | 6 -in by 0.5 -in-thick steel angle anchored on 18 -in centers using 1 -in-diam by 9 -in-long Hilti Kwik Bolt III. Methane ignition and hydrostatic tests. |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cat3A_Struct\#5_2Test.xls | Water and methane ignition tests |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Quasi-static Uniform |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Category 3A: Structure 6 | Large hydrostatic test chamber | Standard solid-concrete-block seal identical to Figure 26. | L1-37E | Destroyed | 32 | >3 | A-48 |
| Standard seal with hitching |  | thick pilaster. Simulated rib and floor hitching with 6 -in by 6 -in by 0.5 -in-thick steel angle anchored on 18 -in centers using 1 -in-diam by 9 -in-long Hilti Kwik Bolt III. Methane ignition test. |  |  |  |  |  |
| NIOSH constructed | 15.5 ft high by 16 in thick |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cat3A_Struct\#6_1Test.xls | Methane ignition test |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Quasi-static Uniform |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Category 3A: Structure 7 Standard seal with hitching | SRCM hydrostatic test chamber | Standard solid-concrete-block seal identical to Figure 26. $1,900-$ to 2,500 -psi ( $\pm 100-\mathrm{psi}$ ) concrete blocks. 16 -in-wide by 32 -in-thick pilaster. Simulated rib and floor hitching with 6 -in by 6 -in by 0.5 -in-thick steel angle anchored on 18 -in centers using 1 -in-diam by 9 -in-long Hilti Kwik Bolt III. Hydrostatic test. | SRCM-1 | Destroyed | 20 | 0.15 | A-49 |
|  | 18 ft wide by 6.2 ft high by 16 in thick |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| NIOSH constructed |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Sapko et al. [2005] <br> Cat3A_Struct\#7_1Test.xls | Water test |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Quasi-static Uniform |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Table A-1.—Detailed summary of seal structure tests-Continued
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Table A-1.—Detailed summary of seal structure tests-Continued

| Seal category - Structure No. Description Manufacturer NIOSH reference Excel data file name | Seal test location, dimensions, test type, and loading conditions | Seal description from LLEM test files | LLEM test No. | Test outcome | Maximum pressure data (psi) | Maximum displacement data (in) | Appendix figure No. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CATEGORY 4 SEAL <br> Polymer and aggregate materials without hitching, 1 structure tested |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Category 4: Structure 1 <br> Polyurethane foam and aggregate seal <br> NIOSH constructed <br> Not previously reported by NIOSH <br> Cat4_Struct\#1_1Test.xls | Small hydrostatic test chamber <br> 20.4 ft wide by 9 ft high by 11 in thick <br> Methane ignition test <br> Quasi-static Uniform | Polyurethane foam and aggregate seal similar to Figure 35. | C8 | Destroyed | 19 | 0.5 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { A-96, } \\ & \text { A-97, } \\ & \text { A-98, } \\ & \text { A-99 } \end{aligned}$ |
| CATEGORY 5A SEALS <br> Wood-crib-block seals with or without hitching Wood-crib-block seal with hitching, 0 structures tested |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Category 5A <br> Wood-crib-block seal with hitching | - | No tests reported here. See Figure 38 for typical plan. | - | - | - | - | - |

Table A-1.—Detailed summary of seal structure tests-Continued

| Seal category - Structure No. Description Manufacturer NIOSH reference Excel data file name | Seal test location, dimensions, test type, and loading conditions | Seal description from LLEM test files | LLEM test No. | Test outcome | Maximum pressure data (psi) | Maximum displacement data (in) | Appendix figure No. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CATEGORY 5B SEALS <br> Wood-crib-block seals with or without hitching Wood-crib-block seal with glue and Packsetter Bags, 1 structure tested |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | B-C drifts, $\mathrm{X}-1$ | 30 -in-thick seal identical to Figure 41 using 5 -in by 6 -in by 30-in-long Eastern Oak crib blocks. The wood blocks were installed on a level concrete pad lengthwise parallel with the crosscut ribs. Alternating courses with the 5- or 6 -in dimensions of the crib in the vertical dimension to achieve a staggered joint pattern. Approximately 36-40 blocks per course, 14 courses high and about 550 blocks total. 3 beads of adhesive applied between the blocks and block courses. 12 Packsetter Bags installed and injected with grout to about 60 psi along the mine roof and ribs. Compressive strength of grout in bags is 360 psi after 1 day, 435 psi after 7 days, and 580 psi after 28 days. Hitching along the floor and ribs was not used. Polyurethane foam was injected into the gaps between the Packsetter Bags and the mine strata/block interface. Each side was spray-coated with Eagle sealant; brattice curtain was installed across the B-drift face and sprayed with the sealant. Tested 14 days after construction. | 396 | No damage | 23.2 | 0.79 | A-100 |
| Wood-crib-block seal with glue and Packsetter Bags <br> Strata Mine Services wood block seal with Packsetter Bags <br> Sapko et al. [2003] <br> Cat5B_Struct\#1_2Test.xls | Quasi-static Nonuniform |  | 399 | No damage | 32.4 | 1.9 | A-101 |
| CATEGORY 6A SEALS <br> Articulated structures: Lightweight blocks with or without hitching Lightweight blocks: 24 in thick with hitching, 2 structures tested |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Category 6A: Structure 1 | B-C drifts, X-3 | 24-in-thick Omega block seal identical to Figure 44 with fully mortared joints (Quikrete BlocBond), a 48-in by 48 -in interlocked center pilaster, and simulated hitch into the ribs and floor with 6 -in by 6 -in by 0.5 -in-thick steel angle bolted to the ribs and floor. | 508 | No damage | 52.9 | 0.04 | A-102 |
| Omega blocks with hitching | 18.8 ft wide by 6.75 ft high by 24 in thick |  | 509 | Damaged | 70 | 2.2 | A-103 |
| Burrell Mining Products Omega block seal | Explosion tests <br> Quasi-static Nonuniform |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cashdollar et al. [2007] Cat6A_Struct\#1_2Test.xls |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Table A-1.—Detailed summary of seal structure tests-Continued

| Seal category - Structure No. Description Manufacturer NIOSH reference Excel data file name | Seal test location, dimensions, test type, and loading conditions | Seal description from LLEM test files | LLEM test No. | Test outcome | Maximum pressure data (psi) | Maximum displacement data (in) | Appendix figure No. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Category 6A: Structure 2 <br> Omega blocks with hitching <br> Burrell Mining Products Omega block seal <br> Sapko et al. [2005] <br> Cat6A_Struct\#2_1Test.xls | Small hydrostatic test chamber <br> 20 ft wide by <br> 8.2 ft high by 24 in thick <br> Methane ignition test <br> Quasi-static Uniform | Properly constructed, Omega block seal identical to Figure 44 with fully mortared joints (Quikrete BlocBond), a 48 -in by 48 -in interlocked center pilaster, and simulated hitch into the ribs and floor with 6 -in by 6 -in by 0.5 -in-thick steel angle bolted to the ribs and floor. <br> 100 -psi $\pm 20$-psi compressive strength. Methane ignition test. | C4-48E | Destroyed | 22 | >3 | A-104 |
| CATEGORY 6B SEALS <br> Articulated structures: Lightweight blocks with or without hitching Lightweight blocks: 40 in thick without hitching, 8 structures tested |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Category 6B: Structure 1 | B-C drifts, X-3 | Burrell's 40 -in-thick Omega 384 block seal identical to Figure 48 with fully mortared and staggered joints and full face coatings using Quikrete BlocBond. Rough-cut lumber was used across the top of the seal and then wedged tightly at the mine roof. No hitching. | 403 | No damage | 23.4 | 0.29 | A-105 |
|  |  |  | 404 | No damage | 29.7 | 0.49 | A-106 |
| Omega blocks, no hitching | 19 ft wide by 6.75 ft high by 40 in thick |  | 405 | No damage | 30.2 | 0.06 | A-107 |
| Burrell Mining Products rapid-design Omega block seal | Explosion tests |  | 406 | No damage | 31.2 | 0.78 | A-108 |
| Sapko et al. [2003] Cat6B_Struct\#1_4Test.xls | Quasi-static Nonuniform |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Category 6B: Structure 2 | B-C drifts, X-2 | Properly built, 40-in-thick Omega block seal identical to Figure 48 as built by Burrell with fully mortared and staggered joints and full face coatings using Quikrete BlocBond. Fully mortared at top of seal. No hitching. | 501 | No damage | 24.8 | 0.04 | A-109 |
|  |  |  | 502 | No damage | 23.4 | 0.03 | A-110 |
| Omega blocks, no hitching | 18.8 ft wide by 6.7 ft high by 40 in thick |  | 503 | No damage | 13.3 | 0.01 | A-111 |
|  |  |  | 504 | No damage | 15.1 | 0.01 | A-112 |
| Burrell Mining Products Omega block seal |  |  | 505 | No damage | 38.8 | 0.03 | A-113 |
|  | Explosion tests |  | 506 | No damage | 67.6 | 0.08 | A-114 |
|  |  |  | 507 | No damage | 29.7 | 0.055 | A-115 |
| Cashdollar et al. [2007] | Quasi-static Nonuniform |  | 508 | No damage | 29.1 | NA | A-116 |
|  |  |  | 509 | No damage | 118.4 | NA |  |

Table A-1.—Detailed summary of seal structure tests-Continued
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| Seal category - Structure No. Description Manufacturer NIOSH reference Excel data file name | Seal test location, dimensions, test type, and loading conditions | Seal description from LLEM test files | LLEM <br> test <br> No. | Test outcome | Maximum pressure data (psi) | Maximum displacement data (in) | Appendix figure No. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Category 6B: Structure 6 | C drift at 320 ft | Hybrid 40-in-thick Omega block seal similar to Figure 48. Dry layer of BlocBond applied to floor, then dampened | 503 | No damage | 17.2 | 0.045 | A-123 |
|  |  |  | 504 | No damage | 20.7 | 0.065 | A-124 |
| Omega blocks, no hitching | 18.7 ft wide by 7.3 ft high by 40 in thick | before installing first block course. BlocBond was applied to top of first course and forced into vertical joints by hand; other courses installed in similar manner. Wedged tight (skin to skin) across top rib-to-rib boards; attempts by hand to fill all gaps with BlocBond. | 505 | Destroyed | 63.2 | >6.0 | A-125 |
| Omega block seal as built at Sago Mine | Explosion tests |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cashdollar et al. [2007] <br> Cat6B_Struct\#6_3Test.xls | Reflected Uniform |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Category 6B: Structure 7 | C drift at 320 ft | Hybrid 40-in-thick Omega block seal similar to Figure 48. Dry layer of BlocBond applied to floor, then dampened before installing first block course. BlocBond was applied to top of first course and forced into vertical joints by hand; other courses installed in similar manner. Wedged tight (skin to skin) across top rib-to-rib boards; attempts by hand to fill all gaps with BlocBond. | 506 | Destroyed | 118.2 | >6.2 | A-126 |
| Omega blocks, no hitching | 18.7 ft wide by 7.3 ft high by 40 in thick |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Omega block seal as built at Sago Mine using blocks from Sago <br> Cashdollar et al. [2007] | Explosion test <br> Reflected Uniform |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cat6B_Struct\#7_1Test.xls |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Category 6B: Structure 8 | Small hydrostatic test chamber 20.6 ft wide by 8.8 ft high by 40 in thick | Omega block seal identical to Figure 48. 100 -psi $\pm 20$-psi compressive strength. | C5-53E | Destroyed | 22.5 | >3 | A-127 |
| Omega blocks, no hitching |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Burrell Mining Products |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| rapid-design Omega block Seal <br> Sapko et al. [2005] | Methane ignition test |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cat6B_Struct\#8_1Test.xls | Quasi-static Uniform |  |  |  |  |  |  |
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Figure A-1.-Category 1A - structure \#1 - test 1 - static, nonuniform loading. Insteel 3-D seal - shotcrete with reinforcement - LLEM test \#419.


Figure A-2.-Category 1A - structure \#2 - test 1 - static, nonuniform loading. Insteel 3-D seal - shotcrete with reinforcement - LLEM test \#419.


Figure A-3.-Category 1A - structure \#3 - test 1 - static, nonuniform loading. Insteel 3-D seal - shotcrete with reinforcement - LLEM test \#419.


Figure A-4.-Category 1A - structure \#4 - test 1 - static, nonuniform loading. Insteel 3-D seal - shotcrete with reinforcement - LLEM test \#420.


Figure A-5.-Category 1A - structure \#5 - test 1 - static, nonuniform loading. Insteel 3-D seal - shotcrete with reinforcement - LLEM test \#420.


Figure A-6- Category 1A - structure \#6 - test 1 - static, nonuniform loading. Insteel 3-D seal - shotcrete with reinforcement - LLEM test \#420.


Figure A-7.-Category 1A - structure \#7 - test 1 - static, uniform loading. Insteel 3-D seal - shotcrete with reinforcement - PR-1.


Figure A-8.-Category 1B - structure \#1-tests 1 to 4 - static, nonuniform loading. Meshblock seal - shotcrete with reinforcement - LLEM tests \#347-350.


Figure A-9.-Category 1B - structure \#1-tests 5 to 8 - static, nonuniform loading. Meshblock seal - shotcrete with reinforcement - LLEM tests \#351-358.


Figure A-10.-Category 1B - structure \#1 - tests 9 to 12 - static, nonuniform loading. Meshblock seal - shotcrete with reinforcement - LLEM tests \#359-362.


Figure A-11.-Category 1B - structure \#1 - tests 13 to 16 - static, nonuniform loading. Meshblock seal - shotcrete with reinforcement - LLEM tests \#363-366.


Figure A-12.-Category 1B - structure \#2 - tests 1 to 3 - static, nonuniform loading. Meshblock seal - shotcrete with reinforcement - LLEM tests \#347-349.


Figure A-13.-Category 1B - structure \#2 - tests 4 and 5 - static, nonuniform loading. Meshblock seal - shotcrete with reinforcement - LLEM tests \#350-351.


Figure A-14.-Category 1B - structure \#3 - tests 1 to 3 - static, nonuniform loading. Meshblock seal - shotcrete with reinforcement - LLEM tests \#347-349.


Figure A-15.-Category 1B - structure \#4 - tests 1 and 2 - static, nonuniform loading. Meshblock seal - shotcrete with reinforcement - LLEM tests \#347-348.


Figure A-16.-Category 1B - structure \#5 - tests 1 and 2. Meshblock seal - shotcrete with reinforcement - LLEM tests \#347-348.


Figure A-17.-Category 1B - structure \#6 - tests 1 and 2 - static, nonuniform loading. Meshblock seal - shotcrete with reinforcement - LLEM tests \#350-351.


Figure A-18.-Category 2A - structure \#1 - test 1 - reflected, uniform loading. Pumpable 48 in - LLEM test \#508.


Figure A-19.-Category 2A - structure \#1 - test 2 - reflected, uniform loading. Pumpable 48 in - LLEM test \#509.


Figure A-20.-Category 2A - structure \#2 - test 1 - static, uniform loading. Pumpable 48 in - test C3-44E.


Figure A-21.-Category 2A - structure \#3 - test 1 - static, uniform loading. Pumpable 48 in - test C7-64W.


Figure A-22.-Category 2A - structure \#3 - test 2-static, uniform loading. Pumpable 48 in - test C7-68W.


Figure A-23.-Category 2A - structure \#3 - test 3-static, uniform loading. Pumpable 48 in - test C7-70W.


Figure A-24.—Category 2A - structure \# 4- test 1 - static, uniform loading. Pumpable 48 in - test L2-51E.


Figure A-25.-Category 2B - structure \#1 - tests 1 and 2 - static, nonuniform loading. Pumpable 36 in - LLEM tests \#354-355.


Figure A-26.—Category 2C - structure \#1-tests 1 and 2 - static, nonuniform loading. Pumpable 24 in - LLEM tests \#354-355.


Figure A-27.—Category 2C - structure \#2-tests 1 and 2 - static, nonuniform loading. Pumpable 24 in - LLEM tests \#354-355.


Figure A-28.-Category 2C - structure \#3 - tests 1 and 2 - static, nonuniform loading. Pumpable 24 in - LLEM tests \#354-355.


Figure A-29.-Category 2C - structure \#4 - test 1 - static, nonuniform loading. Pumpable 24 in - LLEM test \#403.


Figure A-30.-Category 2C - structure \#4 - test 2 - static, nonuniform loading. Pumpable 24 in - LLEM test \#404.


Figure A-31.-Category 2C - structure \#4 - test 3 - static, nonuniform loading. Pumpable 24 in - LLEM test \#405.


Figure A-32.-Category 2C - structure \#4 - test 4-static, nonuniform loading. Pumpable 24 in - LLEM test \#406.


Figure A-33.-Category 3A - structure \#1-test 1 - static, nonuniform loading. Standard solid-concrete-block seal - LLEM test \#403.


Figure A-34.-Category 3A - structure \#1 - test 2 - static, nonuniform loading. Standard solid-concrete-block seal - LLEM test \#404.


Figure A-35.-Category 3A - structure \#1 - test 3 - static, nonuniform loading. Standard solid-concrete-block seal - LLEM test \#405.


Figure A-36.-Category 3A - structure \#1 - test 4-static, nonuniform loading. Standard solid-concrete-block seal - LLEM test \#406.


Figure A-37.-Category 3A - structure \#2 - tests 1 to 6 - static, nonuniform loading. Standard solid-concrete-block seal - LLEM tests \#500-505.


Figure A-38.-Category 3A - structure \#2 - tests 7 to 10 - static, nonuniform loading. Standard solid-concrete-block seal - LLEM tests \#506-509.


Figure A-39.-Category 3A - structure \#3 - test 1 - static, nonuniform loading. Standard solid-concrete-block seal - LLEM test \#506.


Figure A-40.-Category 3A - structure \#3 - test 2 - static, nonuniform loading. Standard solid-concrete-block seal - LLEM test \#507.


Figure A-41.-Category 3A - structure \#4 - test 1 - static, uniform loading. Standard solid-concrete-block seal - test C1-5E.


Figure A-42.-Category 3A - structure \#4 - test 2 - static, uniform loading. Standard solid-concrete-block seal - test C1-8E.


Figure A-43.-Category 3A - structure \#4 - test 3 - static, uniform loading. Standard solid-concrete-block seal - test C1-9E.


Figure A-44.-Category 3A - structure \#4 - test 4 - static, uniform loading. Standard solid-concrete-block seal - test C1-10E.


Figure A-45.-Category 3A - structure \#4 - test 5 - static, uniform loading. Standard solid-concrete-block seal - test C1-11E.


Figure A-46.-Category 3A - structure \#5 - test 1 - static, uniform loading. Standard solid-concrete-block seal - test C6-60W.


Figure A-47.-Category 3A - structure \#5 - test 2 - static, uniform loading. Standard solid-concrete-block seal - test C6-62E.


Figure A-48.-Category 3A - structure \#6 - test 1 - static, uniform loading. Standard solid-concrete-block seal - test L1-37E.


Figure A-49.-Category 3A - structure \#7 - test 1 - static, uniform loading. Standard solid-concrete-block seal - test SRCM 1.


Figure A-50.-Category 3B - structure \#1 - test 1 - static, nonuniform loading. Solid-concrete-block seal with Packsetter bags - LLEM test \#365.


Figure A-51.-Category 3B - structure \#2 - tests 1 and 2 - static, nonuniform loading. Solid-concrete-block seal with Packsetter bags - LLEM tests \#365-366.


Figure A-52.-Category 3B - structure \#3 - tests 1 and 2 - static, nonuniform loading. Solid-concrete-block seal with Packsetter bags - LLEM tests \#365-366.


Figure A-53.-Category 3C - structure \#1-test 1 - static, nonuniform loading. Hollow-core concrete-block ventilation stopping-LLEM test \#427.


Figure A-54.—Category 3C - structure \#1 - test 2 - static, nonuniform loading. Hollow-core concrete-block ventilation stopping - LLEM test \#428.


Figure A-55.—Category 3C - structure \#2 - test 1 - static, nonuniform loading. Hollow-core concrete-block ventilation stopping-LLEM test \#427.


Figure A-56.-Category 3C - structure \#2 - test 2 - static, nonuniform loading. Hollow-core concrete-block ventilation stopping - LLEM test \#428.


Figure A-57.-Category 3C - structure \#3 - test 1 - static, nonuniform loading. Hollow-core concrete-block ventilation stopping - LLEM test \#427.


Figure A-58.—Category 3C - structure \#3 - test 2 - static, nonuniform loading. Hollow-core concrete-block ventilation stopping - LLEM test \#428.


Figure A-59.-Category 3C - structure \#3-test 3 - static, nonuniform loading. Hollow-core concrete-block ventilation stopping-LLEM test \#429.


Figure A-60.-Category 3C - structure \#3 - test 4 - static, nonuniform loading. Hollow-core concrete-block ventilation stopping - LLEM test \#430.


Figure A-61.-Category 3C - structure \#3 - test 5 - static, nonuniform loading. Hollow-core concrete-block ventilation stopping - LLEM test \#432.


Figure A-62.-Category 3C - structure \#3 - test 6 - static, nonuniform loading. Hollow-core concrete-block ventilation stopping - LLEM test \#433.


Figure A-63.-Category 3C - structure \#4 - test 1 - static, nonuniform load. Hollow-core concrete-block ventilation stopping - LLEM test \#427.


Figure A-64.-Category 3C - structure \#4 - test 2 - static, nonuniform load. Hollow-core concrete-block ventilation stopping - LLEM test \#428.


Figure A-65.-Category 3C - structure \#4 - test 3 - static, nonuniform load. Hollow-core concrete-block ventilation stopping - LLEM test \#429.


Figure A-66.-Category 3C - structure \#4 - test 4 - static, nonuniform load. Hollow-core concrete-block ventilation stopping - LLEM test \#430.


Figure A-67.-Category 3C - structure \#4 - test 5 - static, nonuniform load. Hollow-core concrete-block ventilation stopping - LLEM test \#432.


Figure A-68.-Category 3C - structure \#4 - test 6 - static, nonuniform load. Hollow-core concrete-block ventilation stopping - LLEM test \#433.


Figure A-69.-Category 3C - structure \#4 - test 7 - static, nonuniform load. Hollow-core concrete-block ventilation stopping - LLEM test \#434.


Figure A-70.-Category 3C - structure \#5 - test 1 - static, nonuniform loading. Solid-concrete-block ventilation stopping - LLEM test \#457.


Figure A-71.-Category 3C - structure \#5 - test 2 - static, nonuniform loading. Solid-concrete-block ventilation stopping - LLEM test \#458.


Figure A-72.-Category 3C - structure \#5 - test 3 - static, nonuniform loading. Solid-concrete-block ventilation stopping - LLEM test \#459.


Figure A-73.-Category 3C - structure \#5 - test 4 - static, nonuniform loading. Solid-concrete-block ventilation stopping - LLEM test \#460.


Figure A-74.—Category 3C - structure \#5 - test 5 - static, nonuniform loading. Solid-concrete-block ventilation stopping - LLEM test \#461.


Figure A-75.-Category 3C - structure \#5 - test 6 - static, nonuniform loading. Solid-concrete-block ventilation stopping - LLEM test \#462.


Figure A-76.—Category 3C - structure \#6 - test 1 - static, nonuniform loading. Solid-concrete-block ventilation stopping - LLEM test \#457.


Figure A-77.-Category 3C - structure \#6 - test 2 - static, nonuniform loading. Solid-concrete-block ventilation stopping - LLEM test \#458.


Figure A-78.-Category 3C - structure \#6 - test 3 - static, nonuniform loading. Solid-concrete-block ventilation stopping - LLEM test \#459.


Figure A-79.-Category 3C - structure \#6 - test 4 - static, nonuniform loading. Solid-concrete-block ventilation stopping - LLEM test \#460.


Figure A-80.-Category 3C - structure \#6 - test 5 - static, nonuniform loading. Solid-concrete-block ventilation stopping - LLEM test \#461.


Figure A-81.-Category 3C - structure \#6 - test 6 - static, nonuniform loading. Solid-concrete-block ventilation stopping - LLEM test \#462.


Figure A-82.-Category 3C - structure \#6 - test 7 - static, nonuniform loading. Solid-concrete-block ventilation stopping - LLEM test \#463.


Figure A-83.-Category 3C - structure \#7 - tests 1 to 3 - static, nonuniform loading. Solid-concrete-block ventilation stopping - LLEM tests \#510-512.


Figure A-84.-Category 3C - structure \#7 - tests 4 to 6 - static, nonuniform loading. Solid-concrete-block ventilation stopping - LLEM tests \#513-515.


Figure A-85.-Category 3C - structure \#7-tests 7 to 10 - static, nonuniform loading. Solid-concrete-block ventilation stopping - LLEM tests \#516-519.


Figure A-86.-Category 3C - structure \#8 - test 1 - static, nonuniform loading. Solid-concrete-block ventilation stopping - LLEM test \#510.


Figure A-87.-Category 3C - structure \#8 - test 2 - static, nonuniform loading. Solid-concrete-block ventilation stopping - LLEM test \#511.


Figure A-88.-Category 3C - structure \#8 - test 3 - static, nonuniform loading. Solid-concrete-block ventilation stopping - LLEM test \#512.


Figure A-89.-Category 3C - structure \#8 - test 4 - static, nonuniform loading. Solid-concrete-block ventilation stopping - LLEM test \#513.


Figure A-90.-Category 3C - structure \#8- test 5 - static, nonuniform loading. Solid-concrete-block ventilation stopping - LLEM test \#514.


Figure A-91.-Category 3C - structure \#8 - test 6 - static, nonuniform loading. Solid-concrete-block ventilation stopping - LLEM test \#515.


Figure A-92.-Category 3C - structure \#8 - test 7 - static, nonuniform loading. Solid-concrete-block ventilation stopping - LLEM test \#516.


Figure A-93.-Category 3C - structure \#8 - test 8 - static, nonuniform loading. Solid-concrete-block ventilation stopping - LLEM test \#517.


Figure A-94.—Category 3C - structure \#8 - test 9 - static, nonuniform loading. Solid-concrete-block ventilation stopping - LLEM test \#518.


Figure A-95.-Category 3C - structure \#8 - test 10 - static, nonuniform loading. Solid-concrete-block ventilation stopping - LLEM test \#519.


Figure A-96.-Category 4 - structure \#1 - test 1 - static, uniform loading. Polymer and aggregate seal - test C8.


Figure A-97.-Category 4 - structure \#1 - test 1 - static, uniform loading. Polymer and aggregate seal - test C8.


Figure A-98.-Category 4 - structure \#1 - test 1 - static, uniform loading. Polymer and aggregate seal - test C8.


Figure A-99.-Category 4 - structure \#1 - test 1 - static, uniform loading. Polymer and aggregate seal - test C8.


Figure A-100.-Category 5B - structure \#1 - test 1 - static, nonuniform loading. Wood-crib-block seal with Packsetter bags - LLEM test \#396.


Figure A-101.-Category 5B - structure \#1 - test 2 - static, nonuniform loading. Wood-crib-block seal with Packsetter bags - LLEM test \#399.


Figure A-102.-Category 6A - structure \#3 - test 1 - static, nonuniform loading. Lightweight blocks - 24 in with hitching - LLEM test \#508.


Figure A-103.-Category 6A - structure \#3 - test 2 - static, nonuniform loading. Lightweight blocks - 24 in with hitching - LLEM test \#509.


Figure A-104.-Category 6A - structure \#2 - test 1 - static, uniform loading. Lightweight blocks - 24 in with hitching - test 4-48.


Figure A-105.-Category 6B - structure \#1 - test 1 - static, nonuniform loading. Lightweight blocks - 40 in, no hitching - LLEM test \#403.


Figure A-106.-Category 6B - structure \#1 - test 2 - static, nonuniform loading. Lightweight blocks - 40 in, no hitching - LLEM test \#404.


Figure A-107.-Category 6B - structure \#1 - test 3 - static, nonuniform loading. Lightweight blocks - 40 in, no hitching - LLEM test \#405.


Figure A-108.-Category 6B - structure \#1 - test 4 - static, nonuniform loading. Lightweight blocks - 40 in, no hitching - LLEM test \#406.


Figure A-109.-Category 6B - structure \#2 - test 1 - static, nonuniform loading. Lightweight blocks - 40 in, no hitching - LLEM test \#501.


Figure A-110.-Category 6B - structure \#2 - test 2 - static, nonuniform loading. Lightweight blocks - 40 in, no hitching - LLEM test \#502.


Figure A-111.—Category 6B - structure \#2 - test 3 - static, nonuniform loading. Lightweight blocks - 40 in, no hitching - LLEM test \#503.


Figure A-112.-Category 6B - structure \#2 - test 4 - static, nonuniform loading. Lightweight blocks - 40 in, no hitching - LLEM test \#504.


Figure A-113.-Category 6B - structure \#2 - test 5 - static, nonuniform loading. Lightweight blocks - 40 in, no hitching - LLEM test \#505.


Figure A-114.-Category 6B - structure \#2 - test 6 - static, nonuniform loading. Lightweight blocks - 40 in, no hitching - LLEM test \#506.


Figure A-115.-Category 6B - structure \#2 - test 7 - static, nonuniform loading. Lightweight blocks - 40 in, no hitching - LLEM test \#507.


Figure A-116.-Category 6B - structure \#2-tests 8 and 9 - static, nonuniform loading. Lightweight blocks - 40 in, no hitching - LLEM tests \#508-509.


Figure A-117.-Category 6B - structure \#3 - test 1 - static, nonuniform loading. Lightweight blocks - 40 in, no hitching - LLEM test \#501.


Figure A-118.-Category 6B - structure \#3 - test 2 - static, nonuniform loading. Lightweight blocks - 40 in, no hitching - LLEM test \#502.


Figure A-119.-Category 6B - structure \#4 - test 1 - static, nonuniform loading. Lightweight blocks - 40 in, no hitching - LLEM test \#503.


Figure A-120.-Category 6B - structure \#4 - test 2 - static, nonuniform loading. Lightweight blocks - 40 in, no hitching - LLEM test \#504.


Figure A-121.-Category 6B - structure \#4 - test 3 - static, nonuniform loading. Lightweight blocks - 40 in, no hitching - LLEM test \#505.


Figure A-122.-Category 6B - structure \#5 - test 1 - reflected, uniform loading. Lightweight blocks - 40 in, no hitching - LLEM test \#502.


Figure A-123.-Category 6B - structure \#6 - test 1 - reflected, uniform loading. Lightweight blocks - 40 in, no hitching - LLEM test \#503.


Figure A-124.-Category 6B - structure \#6 - test 2 - reflected, uniform loading.
Lightweight blocks - 40 in, no hitching - LLEM test \#504.


Figure A-125.-Category 6B - structure \#6 - test 3 - reflected, uniform loading. Lightweight blocks - 40 in, no hitching - LLEM test \#505.


Figure A-126.-Category 6B - structure \#7 - test 1 - reflected, uniform loading. Lightweight blocks - 40 in, no hitching - LLEM test \#506.


Figure A-127.-Category 6B - structure \#8 - test 1 - static, uniform loading. Lightweight blocks - 40 in, no hitching - test C5-53E.
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[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ Senior Research Mining Engineer, Pittsburgh Research Laboratory, National Institute for Occupational Safety and Health, Pittsburgh, PA.
    ${ }^{2}$ Team Leader (Senior Research Mining Engineer), Lake Lynn Laboratory Section, Pittsburgh Research Laboratory, National Institute for Occupational Safety and Health, Pittsburgh, PA.
    ${ }^{3}$ Research Mining Engineer, Pittsburgh Research Laboratory, National Institute for Occupational Safety and Health, Pittsburgh, PA.
    ${ }^{4}$ Principal Research Physical Scientist (retired), Pittsburgh Research Laboratory, National Institute for Occupational Safety and Health, Pittsburgh, PA.

[^1]:    ${ }^{5}$ Federal Register. See Fed. Reg. in references.
    ${ }^{6}$ Code of Federal Regulations. See CFR in references.
    7 "Hitching" a seal involves constructing a foundation for the seal, usually by excavation or trenching into competent floor rock and rib coal.

[^2]:    ${ }^{8}$ The abbreviation "X" stands for "crosscut" throughout this report, e.g., "X-1" stands for "crosscut 1."

[^3]:    NA Not available.

