

6  
0  
0  
2

# Assisted Reproductive Technology Success Rates

National Summary and Fertility Clinic Reports



U.S. Department of Health and Human Services  
Centers for Disease Control and Prevention




Updates to this report will be posted on the CDC Web site at the following address:

<http://www.cdc.gov/ART/ART2006>

For additional information, send an e-mail to [ccdinfo@cdc.gov](mailto:ccdinfo@cdc.gov) (Subject: ART)

Or write to CDC, ATTN: ARTE Unit; 4770 Buford Highway, N.E.;

Mail Stop K-34; Atlanta, GA 30341-3717.



# Assisted Reproductive Technology Success Rates

## National Summary and Fertility Clinic Reports

Centers for Disease Control and Prevention  
Coordinating Center for Health Promotion  
National Center for Chronic Disease Prevention and Health Promotion  
Division of Reproductive Health  
Atlanta, Georgia

American Society for Reproductive Medicine  
Society for Assisted Reproductive Technology  
Birmingham, Alabama

**November 2008**

**U.S. Department of Health and Human Services  
Centers for Disease Control and Prevention**

This publication was developed and produced by the National Center for Chronic Disease Prevention and Health Promotion of the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention in consultation with the American Society for Reproductive Medicine and the Society for Assisted Reproductive Technology.

**Centers for Disease Control and Prevention**

Coordinating Center for Health Promotion

Kathleen E. Toomey, MD, MPH, Director

National Center for Chronic Disease  
Prevention and Health Promotion

Janet Collins, PhD, Director

Division of Reproductive Health

John R. Lehnherr, Acting Director  
Kelly Brumbaugh, MPH, CHES

Women's Health and Fertility Branch

Maurizio Macaluso, MD, DrPH, Chief  
Jeani Chang, MPH  
Sherry L. Farr, PhD  
Gary Jeng, PhD  
Glenda Sentelle, MA, MSHS  
Mithi Sunderam, PhD, MA  
Daniel M. Wallace

**American Society for Reproductive Medicine**

Robert Rebar, MD, Executive Director

**Society for Assisted Reproductive Technology**

Mark Hornstein, MD, MPH, President  
Joyce G. Zeitz

The data included in this report and publication support were provided by Westat under Contract No. 200-2004-06702 for the National Center for Chronic Disease Prevention and Health Promotion, Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, U.S. Department of Health and Human Services.

**Suggested Citation:** Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, American Society for Reproductive Medicine, Society for Assisted Reproductive Technology. 2006 Assisted Reproductive Technology Success Rates: National Summary and Fertility Clinic Reports, Atlanta: U.S. Department of Health and Human Services, Centers for Disease Control and Prevention; 2008.

# Acknowledgments

The Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC), the Society for Assisted Reproductive Technology, and the American Society for Reproductive Medicine thank RESOLVE: The National Infertility Association and The American Fertility Association for their commitment to assisted reproductive technology (ART) surveillance. Their assistance in making this report informative and helpful to people considering an ART procedure is greatly appreciated. Appendix D has current contact information for these national consumer organizations.

The Division of Reproductive Health in CDC's National Center for Chronic Disease Prevention and Health Promotion also thanks the following individuals for their many contributions and support of this effort:

Connie Butler, Science Applications International Corporation  
Amanda Crowell, Writer-Editor Services Branch, CDC  
Valerie Jackson, Science Applications International Corporation



# Table of Contents

<b>Preface</b> . . . . .	1
<b>Commonly Asked Questions About the U.S. Clinic Reporting System</b> . . . . .	3
<b>2006 National Report</b> . . . . .	9
Introduction to the 2006 National Report . . . . .	11
Section 1: Overview . . . . .	13
Section 2: ART Cycles Using Fresh Nondonor Eggs or Embryos . . . . .	17
Section 3: ART Cycles Using Frozen Nondonor Embryos . . . . .	54
Section 4: ART Cycles Using Donor Eggs . . . . .	56
Section 5: ART Trends, 1996–2006 . . . . .	61
<b>2006 Fertility Clinic Tables</b> . . . . .	77
Introduction to Fertility Clinic Tables . . . . .	79
Important Factors to Consider When Using These Tables to Assess a Clinic. . . . .	79
How to Read a Fertility Clinic Table. . . . .	83
2006 National Summary . . . . .	89
Alabama . . . . .	91
Alaska . . . . .	97
Arizona . . . . .	98
Arkansas . . . . .	108
California . . . . .	109
Colorado . . . . .	172
Connecticut . . . . .	179
Delaware . . . . .	186
District of Columbia . . . . .	187
Florida . . . . .	191
Georgia . . . . .	219
Hawaii . . . . .	228
Idaho . . . . .	231
Illinois . . . . .	232
Indiana . . . . .	260
Iowa . . . . .	272
Kansas . . . . .	274
Kentucky . . . . .	279
Louisiana . . . . .	282
Maine . . . . .	287
Maryland . . . . .	288

Massachusetts . . . . .	295
Michigan . . . . .	301
Minnesota . . . . .	313
Mississippi . . . . .	318
Missouri . . . . .	320
Nebraska . . . . .	328
Nevada . . . . .	330
New Hampshire . . . . .	334
New Jersey . . . . .	335
New Mexico . . . . .	357
New York . . . . .	358
North Carolina . . . . .	392
North Dakota . . . . .	400
Ohio . . . . .	401
Oklahoma . . . . .	412
Oregon . . . . .	415
Pennsylvania . . . . .	419
Puerto Rico . . . . .	438
Rhode Island . . . . .	440
South Carolina . . . . .	441
South Dakota . . . . .	445
Tennessee . . . . .	446
Texas . . . . .	453
Utah . . . . .	483
Vermont . . . . .	485
Virginia . . . . .	486
Washington . . . . .	499
West Virginia . . . . .	508
Wisconsin . . . . .	510
<b>Appendix A: Technical Notes . . . . .</b>	<b>517</b>
How to Interpret a Confidence Interval . . . . .	519
Findings from Validation Visits for 2006 ART Data . . . . .	521
<b>Appendix B: Glossary of Terms Used in This Report . . . . .</b>	<b>523</b>
<b>Appendix C: ART Clinics, 2006. . . . .</b>	<b>529</b>
Reporting ART Clinics for 2006, by State . . . . .	531
Nonreporting ART Clinics for 2006, by State . . . . .	567
<b>Appendix D: National Consumer Organizations . . . . .</b>	<b>571</b>



# Preface

For many people who want to start a family, the dream of having a child is not easily realized; about 12% of women of childbearing age in the United States have used an infertility service. Assisted reproductive technology (ART) has been used in the United States since 1981 to help women become pregnant, most commonly through the transfer of fertilized human eggs into a woman's uterus. However, for many people, deciding whether to undergo this expensive and time-consuming treatment can be difficult.

The goal of this report is to help potential ART users make informed decisions about ART by providing some of the information needed to answer the following questions:

- What are my chances of having a child by using ART?
- Where can I go to get this treatment?

The Society for Assisted Reproductive Technology (SART), an organization of ART providers affiliated with the American Society for Reproductive Medicine (ASRM), has been collecting data and publishing annual reports of pregnancy success rates for fertility clinics in the United States and Canada since 1989. In 1992, the U.S. Congress passed the Fertility Clinic Success Rate and Certification Act. This law requires the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) to publish pregnancy success rates for ART in fertility clinics in the United States. Since 1995, CDC has worked in consultation with SART and ASRM to report ART success rates.

The 2006 report of pregnancy success rates is the twelfth to be issued under the law. This report is based on the latest available data on the type, number, and outcome of ART cycles performed in U.S. clinics.

The 2006 ART report has four major sections:

- **Commonly asked questions about the U.S. ART clinic reporting system.** This section provides background information on infertility and ART and an explanation of the data collection, analysis, and publication processes.
- **A national report.** The national report section presents overall success rates and shows how they are affected by certain patient and treatment characteristics. Because the national report summarizes findings from all 426 fertility clinics that reported data, it can give people considering ART a good idea of the average chance of having a child by using ART.
- **Fertility clinic tables.** Success also is related to the expertise of a particular clinic's staff, the quality of its laboratory, and the characteristics of the patient population. The fertility clinic table section displays ART results and success rates for individual U.S. fertility clinics in 2006.
- **Appendixes:**

**Appendix A** contains technical notes on the interpretation of 95% confidence intervals and findings from the data validation visits to selected fertility clinics.

**Appendix B** (Glossary) provides definitions for technical and medical terms used throughout the report.

**Appendix C** includes the current names and addresses of all reporting clinics along with a list of clinics known to be in operation in 2006 that did not report their success rate data to CDC as required by law.

**Appendix D** includes the names and addresses of national consumer organizations that offer support to people experiencing infertility.

Success rates can be reported in a variety of ways, and the statistical aspects of these rates can be difficult to interpret. As a result, presenting information about ART success rates is a complex task. This report is intended for the general public, and the emphasis is on presenting the information in an easily understandable form. CDC hopes that this report is informative and helpful to people considering an ART procedure. We welcome any suggestions for improving the report and making it easier to use. (See contact information, inside front cover.)

# Commonly Asked Questions About the U.S. ART Clinic Reporting System

## *Background Information, Data Collection Methods, Content and Design of the Report, and Additional Information About ART in the United States*

### 1. How many people in the United States have infertility problems?

The latest data on infertility available to the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) are from the 2002 National Survey of Family Growth.

- Of the approximately 62 million women of reproductive age in 2002, about 1.2 million, or 2%, had had an infertility-related medical appointment within the previous year and an additional 10% had received infertility services at some time in their lives. (Infertility services include medical tests to diagnose infertility, medical advice and treatments to help a woman become pregnant, and services other than routine prenatal care to prevent miscarriage.)
- Additionally, 7% of married couples in which the woman was of reproductive age (2.1 million couples) reported that they had not used contraception for 12 months and the woman had not become pregnant.

### 2. What is assisted reproductive technology (ART)?

Although various definitions have been used for ART, the definition used in this report is based on the 1992 law that requires CDC to publish this report. According to this definition, ART includes all fertility treatments in which both eggs and sperm are handled. In general, ART procedures involve surgically removing eggs from a woman's ovaries, combining them with sperm in the laboratory, and returning them to the woman's body or donating them to another woman. They do NOT include treatments in which only sperm are handled (i.e., intrauterine—or artificial—insemination) or procedures in which a woman takes drugs only to stimulate egg production without the intention of having eggs retrieved.

The types of ART include the following:

- **IVF (in vitro fertilization).** Involves extracting a woman's eggs, fertilizing the eggs in the laboratory, and then transferring the resulting embryos into the woman's uterus through the cervix. For some IVF procedures, fertilization involves a specialized technique known as intracytoplasmic sperm injection (ICSI). In ICSI, a single sperm is injected directly into the woman's egg.
- **GIFT (gamete intrafallopian transfer).** Involves using a fiber-optic instrument called a laparoscope to guide the transfer of unfertilized eggs and sperm (gametes) into the woman's fallopian tubes through small incisions in her abdomen.
- **ZIFT (zygote intrafallopian transfer).** Involves fertilizing a woman's eggs in the laboratory and then using a laparoscope to guide the transfer of the fertilized eggs (zygotes) into her fallopian tubes.

In addition, ART often is categorized according to whether the procedure used a woman's own eggs (nondonor) or eggs from another woman (donor) and according to whether the embryos used were newly fertilized (fresh) or previously fertilized, frozen, and then thawed (frozen). Because an ART procedure includes several steps, it is typically referred to as a cycle of treatment. (See **What is an ART cycle?** below.)

### 3. What is an ART cycle?

Because ART consists of several steps over an interval of approximately 2 weeks, an ART procedure is more appropriately considered a **cycle** of treatment rather than a procedure at a single point in time. The start of an ART cycle is considered to be when a woman begins taking drugs to stimulate egg production or starts ovarian monitoring with the intent of having embryos transferred. (See Figure 5, page 17, for a full description of the steps in an ART cycle.) For the purposes of this report, data on **all cycles that were started**, even those that were discontinued before all steps were undertaken, are submitted to CDC through a Web-based data collection system called the National ART Surveillance System (NASS) and are counted in the clinic's success rates.

### 4. How do U.S. ART clinics report data to CDC about their success rates?

CDC contracts with a statistical survey research organization, Westat, to obtain the data published in the ART success rates report. Westat maintains a list of all ART clinics known to be in operation and tracks clinic reorganizations and closings. This list includes clinics and individual providers that are members of the Society for Assisted Reproductive Technology (SART) as well as clinics and providers that are not SART members. Westat actively follows up reports of ART physicians or clinics not on its list to update the list as needed. Westat maintains NASS, the Web-based data collection system that all ART clinics use. Clinics either electronically enter or import data into NASS for each ART procedure they start in a given reporting year. The data collected include information on the client's medical history (such as infertility diagnoses), clinical information pertaining to the ART procedure, and information on resulting pregnancies and births.

See below (**Why is the report of 2006 success rates being published in 2008?**) for a complete description of the reporting process.

### 5. Why is the report of 2006 success rates being published in 2008?

Before success rates based on live births can be calculated, every ART pregnancy must be followed up to determine whether a birth occurred. Therefore, the earliest that clinics can report complete annual data is late in the year after ART treatment was initiated (about 9 months past year-end, when all the births have occurred). Accordingly, the results of all the cycles initiated in 2006 were not known until October 2007. After ART outcomes are known, the following occurs before the report is published:

- Clinics enter their data into NASS and verify the data's accuracy before sending the data to Westat.
- Westat compiles a national data set from the data submitted by individual clinics.
- CDC data analysts conduct comprehensive checks of the numbers reported for every clinic.
- Clinic tables, national figures, and accompanying text for both the printed and Internet versions of the report are compiled and laid out.

- CDC and Westat review the report.
- Necessary changes are incorporated and proofread.
- The report is submitted to the Government Printing Office to begin the printing and production process.

These steps are time-consuming but essential for ensuring that the report provides the public with correct information particularly regarding each clinic's success rates.

## **6. Which clinics are represented in this report?**

The data in both the national report and the individual fertility clinic tables come from 426 fertility clinics that provided and verified information about the outcomes of the ART cycles started in their clinics in 2006.

Although we believe that almost all clinics that provided ART services in the United States throughout 2006 are represented in this report, data for a few clinics or practitioners are not included because they either were not in operation throughout 2006 or did not report as required. Clinics and practitioners known to have been in operation throughout 2006 that did not report and verify their data are listed in this report as nonreporters, as required by law (see Appendix C, Nonreporting ART Clinics for 2006, by State, on pages 567–570). We will continue to make every effort to include in future reports all clinics and practitioners providing ART services.

## **7. Why doesn't CDC rank the clinics?**

Because the decision to undergo ART treatment is a very personal decision, this report may not contain all of the information that a woman or a couple needs to decide which ART clinic or procedure is best for their treatment. Many factors contribute to the success rate of an ART procedure in particular patients, and a difference in success rates between two ART programs may reflect differences in the groups of patients treated, the types of procedures used, or other factors. More explanations on how to use the success rates and other statistics published in this report are in the Introduction to Fertility Clinic Tables (pages 79–88). The report should be used to help people considering an ART procedure find clinics where they can meet personally with ART providers to discuss their specific medical situation and their likelihood of success using ART. Contacting a clinic also may provide additional information that could be helpful in deciding whether or not to use ART. Because ART offers several treatment options for infertility, there are many other factors that may affect the decision. Going through repeated ART cycles requires substantial commitments of time, effort, money, and emotional energy. Therefore, this report may be a helpful starting point for consumers to obtain information and consider their options.

## **8. Does this report include all ART cycles performed by the reporting clinics?**

This report includes data for the 138,198 cycles performed in 2006 by the 426 clinics that reported their data as required. A small number of ART cycles are not included in either the national data or the individual fertility clinic tables. These were cycles in which a new treatment procedure was being evaluated. Only 69 ART cycles fell into this category in 2006.

## 9. How are the success rates determined?

Three measures of success are presented in this report: **(1) pregnancy**, **(2) birth of one or more living infants** (the delivery of multiple infants is counted as one live birth), and **(3) birth of a singleton live-born infant**. The pregnancies reported here were diagnosed using an ultrasound procedure. All live-birth deliveries were reported to the ART physician by either the patient or her obstetric provider. Because this report is geared toward patients, the focus is on the percentage of cycles resulting in live births. Singleton live births are presented as a separate measure of success because they have a much lower risk than multiple-infant births for adverse infant health outcomes, including prematurity, low birth weight, disability, and death. Pregnancies, live births, and singleton live births were calculated based on all cycles started. As noted throughout the report, success rates were additionally calculated at various steps of the ART cycle to provide a complete picture of the chances for success as the cycle progresses.

## 10. What are my chances of getting pregnant using ART?

This report presents several measures of success for ART (see Figure 7, page 19), including the proportion of ART cycles that result in a pregnancy. Many women ask this question because they assume that the pregnancy will lead to a live birth. Unfortunately, not all ART procedures that result in a pregnancy lead to the delivery of a live infant. For example, in 2006, 99,199 fresh–nondonor ART cycles were started. Of those, 34,719 (35%) led to a pregnancy, but only 28,404 (29%) resulted in a live birth. In other words, 18% of ART pregnancies did not result in a live birth. The percentage of cycles resulting in live births will give a more accurate answer to the question, “If I have an ART procedure, what is my chance that I will have a baby?”

It is important to note that multiple-infant pregnancies and multiple-infant births are common with ART (see Figure 10, page 22). Multiple-infant births are associated with greater risk for adverse health outcomes for both the mother and the infants (see Figures 11 and 12 on preterm deliveries and low birth weight, pages 23 and 24). This report also includes singleton live births as a measure of success because they have a lower risk of adverse health outcomes.

## 11. If a woman has had more than one ART treatment cycle, how is the success rate calculated? Alternatively, how many cycles does a woman usually go through before getting pregnant?

As required by law, this report presents ART success rates in terms of how many cycles were started each year, rather than in terms of how many women were treated. (A cycle starts when a woman begins taking fertility drugs or having her ovaries monitored for follicle production.) Clinics do not report to CDC the number of women treated at each facility. Because clinics report information only on outcomes for each cycle started, it is not possible to compute the success rates on a “per woman” basis, or the number of cycles that an average woman may undergo before achieving success.



## **12. What factors that influence success rates are presented in this report?**

The national report presents a more in-depth picture of ART than can be shown for each individual clinic. Success rates are presented in the context of various patient and treatment characteristics that may influence success. These characteristics include age, infertility diagnosis, history of previous births, previous miscarriages, previous ART cycles, number of embryos transferred, type of ART procedure, use of techniques such as ICSI, and clinic size.

## **13. What quality control steps are used to ensure data accuracy?**

To have their success rates published in this annual report, clinics have to submit their data in time for analysis and the clinics' medical directors have to verify by signature that the tabulated success rates are accurate. Then, Westat conducts an in-house review and contacts the clinics if corrections are necessary. After the data have been verified, a quality control process called validation begins. This year, 35 of 426 reporting clinics were randomly selected for site visits. Two members of the Westat Validation Team visited these clinics and reviewed medical record data for a sample of the clinic's ART cycles. For each cycle, the validation team abstracted information from the patient's medical record. The abstracted information was then reviewed on site and compared with the data submitted for the report. CDC staff members participated as observers in some of the visits. For each clinic, the sample of cycles validated included all cycles that were reported to have ended in a live birth and a random sample of up to 50 additional cycles. In almost all cases, data on pregnancies and births in the medical records were consistent with reported data. Validation primarily helps ensure that clinics are being careful to submit accurate data. It also serves to identify any systematic problems that could cause data collection to be inconsistent or incomplete.

The data validation process does not include any assessment of clinical practice or overall record keeping. See Appendix A, Technical Notes (pages 519–522), for a more detailed presentation of findings from the validation visits.

## **14. Why doesn't the report contain specific medical information about ART?**

This report describes a woman's average chances of success using ART. Although the report provides some information about factors such as age and infertility diagnosis, individual couples face many unique medical situations. This population-based registry of ART procedures cannot capture detailed information about specific medical conditions associated with infertility. A physician in clinical practice should be consulted for the individual evaluation that will help a woman or couple understand their specific medical situation and their chances of success using ART.

## **15. Does CDC have any information on the age, race, income, and education levels of women who donate eggs?**

CDC does not collect information on egg donors beyond what is presented in this report. Success rates for cycles using donor eggs or using embryos derived from donor eggs are presented separately based on the ART patient's age.

## **16. Are there any medical guidelines for ART performed in the United States?**

The American Society for Reproductive Medicine (ASRM) and SART issue guidelines dealing with specific ART practice issues, such as the number of embryos to be transferred in an ART procedure. Further information can be obtained from ASRM or SART (both at telephone 205-978-5000 or Web sites [www.asrm.org](http://www.asrm.org) and [www.sart.org](http://www.sart.org)).

## **17. What is CDC doing to ensure that the report is helpful to the public?**

We continually review comments from patients and providers about things to consider including in future reports. In early 2007, we asked ART clinic staff about their experiences using the report. They suggested specific ways to improve the report and specific analyses that might be beneficial. We also conducted in-depth interviews with patients who have used the report in the past and with patients who were currently seeking ART services. If you have any suggestions for improving the report and making it easier to use, go to [www.cdc.gov/art](http://www.cdc.gov/art) and click on the Contact Us link or email your suggestions directly to [ccdinfo@cdc.gov](mailto:ccdinfo@cdc.gov). The information will be used to improve future ART Success Rates reports.

## **18. Where can I get additional information on U.S. fertility clinics?**

For further information on specific clinics, contact the clinic directly (see Appendix C for current contact information). In addition, SART can provide general information on its member clinics (telephone 205-978-5000, extension 109).

## **19. What's new in the 2006 report?**

Overall, the content and format of this report are similar to those used in previous years. New information includes the following:

National Report, Section 5, ART Trends, 1996–2006 (Figures 50–55):

- These figures present summary statistics separately for intracytoplasmic sperm injection (ICSI) and non-ICSI cycles.

National Summary Table:

- The ART cycle profile now includes summary statistics for the use of Preimplantation Genetic Diagnosis (PGD).


Individual Fertility Clinic Tables:

- These tables now identify clinics that performed more than 50 cycles with PGD in 2006 and among them more than 10 cycles specifically for the purpose of prevention of genetic disorders.



# 2006

## **National Report**





# INTRODUCTION TO THE 2006 NATIONAL REPORT

---

Data provided by U.S. clinics that use assisted reproductive technology (ART) to treat infertility are a rich source of information about the factors that contribute to a successful ART treatment—the delivery of a live-born infant. Pooling the data from all reporting clinics provides an overall national picture that could not be obtained by examining data from an individual clinic.

A woman's chances of having a pregnancy and a live birth by using ART are influenced by many factors, some of which are patient-related and outside a clinic's control (e.g., the woman's age, the cause of infertility). Because the national data set includes information on many of these factors, it can give potential ART users an idea of their average chances of success. Average chances, however, do not necessarily apply to a particular individual or couple. People considering ART should consult their physician to discuss all the factors that apply in their particular case.

The data for this national report come from the 426 fertility clinics in operation in 2006 that provided and verified data on the outcomes of all ART cycles started in their clinics. The 138,198 ART cycles performed at these reporting clinics in 2006 resulted in 41,343 live births (deliveries of one or more living infants) and 54,656 infants.

The national report consists of graphs and charts that use 2006 data to answer specific questions related to ART success rates. These figures are organized according to the type of ART procedure used. Some ART procedures use a woman's own eggs, and others use donated eggs or embryos. (Although sperm used to create an embryo also may be either from a woman's partner or from a sperm donor, information in this report is presented according to the source of the egg.) In some procedures, the embryos that develop are transferred back to the woman (fresh embryo transfer); in others, the embryos are frozen (cryopreserved) for transfer at a later date. This report includes data on frozen embryos that were thawed and transferred in 2006.

The national report has five sections:

- Section 1 (Figures 1 through 4) presents information from all ART procedures reported.
- Section 2 (Figures 5 through 41) presents information on the ART cycles that used only fresh embryos from nondonor eggs or, in a few cases, a mixture of fresh and frozen embryos from nondonor eggs (99,199 cycles resulting in 80,313 transfers).
- Section 3 (Figures 42 and 43) presents information on the ART cycles that used only frozen embryos from nondonor eggs (22,023 cycles resulting in 20,057 transfers).
- Section 4 (Figures 44 through 48) presents information on the ART cycles that used only donated eggs or embryos (16,976 cycles resulting in 15,505 transfers).
- Section 5 (Figures 49 through 64) presents trends in the number of ART procedures and success rates from 1996 through 2006.

The 2006 national summary table, which is based on data from all clinics included in this report, is on page 89, immediately preceding the individual clinic tables. An explanation of how to read these tables is on pages 83–88.




# SECTION I: OVERVIEW

## Where are U.S. ART clinics located, how many ART cycles did they perform in 2006, and how many infants were born?

Although ART clinics are located throughout the United States, generally in or near major cities, the greatest number of clinics is in the eastern United States. Figure 1 shows the locations of the 426 reporting clinics. The fertility clinic section of this report, arranged in alphabetical order by state, city, and clinic name, provides specific information on each of these clinics. The number of clinics, cycles performed, live-birth deliveries, and infants born as a result of ART all have increased steadily since CDC began collecting this information in 1995 (see Section 5, pages 61–76). Because in some cases more than one infant is born during a live-birth delivery (e.g., twins), the total number of infants born is greater than the number of live-birth deliveries. CDC estimates that ART accounts for slightly more than 1% of total U.S. births.

**Figure 1**

Location of ART Clinics in the United States and Puerto Rico, 2006




Number of ART clinics in the United States in 2006 .....	483
Number of ART clinics that submitted data in 2006 .....	426
Number of ART cycles reported in 2006 .....	138,198*
Number of live-birth deliveries resulting from ART cycles started in 2006 .....	41,343
Number of infants born as a result of ART cycles carried out in 2006 .....	54,656

\* Note: This number does not include 69 cycles in which a new treatment procedure was being evaluated (see Figure 2, page 14).

## What types of ART cycles were used in the United States in 2006?

For 72% of ART cycles carried out in 2006, fresh nondonor eggs or embryos were used. ART cycles that used frozen nondonor embryos were the next most common type, accounting for approximately 16% of the total. In about 12% of cycles, eggs or embryos were donated by another woman. A very small number of cycles (less than 0.1% of the ART cycles carried out in 2006) involved the evaluation of a new treatment procedure. Because of the small number, cycles in which a new treatment procedure was being evaluated are not included in the total number of cycles reported in the national report or in the individual fertility clinic tables. Thus, data presented in subsequent figures in this report and in the individual fertility clinic tables are based on 138,198 ART cycles.

**Figure 2**  
Types of ART Cycles—United States,\* 2006




\*Total does not equal 100% due to rounding.

## How old were the women who used ART in the United States in 2006?

The average age of women using ART services in 2006 was 36. The largest group of women using ART services were women younger than 35, representing 39% of all ART cycles carried out in 2006. Twenty-three percent of ART cycles were carried out among women aged 35–37, 19% among women aged 38–40, 10% among women aged 41–42, and 10% among women older than 42.

**Figure 3**  
ART Use by Age Group—United States,\* 2006




\*Total does not equal 100% due to rounding.

## How did the types of ART cycles used in the United States in 2006 differ among women of different ages?

Figure 4 shows that, in 2006, the type of ART cycles varied by the woman's age. The vast majority (96%) of women younger than 35 used their own eggs, whereas only 4% used donor eggs. In contrast, 21% of women aged 41 to 42 and more than half (55%) of women older than 42 used donor eggs. Across all age groups, more ART cycles using fresh eggs or embryos were performed than cycles using frozen embryos.

**Figure 4**

Types of ART Cycles by Age Group—United States, 2006





## SECTION 2: ART CYCLES USING FRESH NONDONOR EGGS OR EMBRYOS

### What are the steps for an ART cycle using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos?

Figure 5 presents the steps for an ART cycle using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos and shows how ART users in 2006 progressed through these stages toward pregnancy and live birth.

An ART **cycle is started** when a woman begins taking medication to stimulate the ovaries to develop eggs or, if no drugs are given, when the woman begins having her ovaries monitored (using ultrasound or blood tests) for natural egg production.

If eggs are produced, the cycle then progresses to **egg retrieval**, a surgical procedure in which eggs are collected from a woman's ovaries.


Once retrieved, eggs are combined with sperm in the laboratory. If fertilization is successful, one or more of the resulting embryos are selected for **transfer**, most often into a woman's uterus through the cervix (IVF), but sometimes into the fallopian tubes (e.g., GIFT, ZIFT; see pages 526 and 527 for definitions).

If one or more of the transferred embryos implant within the woman's uterus, the cycle then may progress to clinical **pregnancy**.

Finally, the pregnancy may progress to a **live birth**, the delivery of one or more live-born infants. (The birth of twins, triplets, or more is counted as one live birth.)

A cycle may be discontinued at any step for specific medical reasons (e.g., no eggs are produced, the embryo transfer was not successful) or by patient choice.

**Figure 5**  
Outcome of ART Cycles Using Fresh Nondonor Eggs or Embryos,  
by Stage, 2006




## Why are some ART cycles discontinued?

In 2006, 11,400 ART cycles (about 11%) were discontinued before the egg retrieval step (see Figure 5, page 17). Figure 6 shows reasons that the cycles were stopped. For approximately 84% of these cycles, there was no or inadequate egg production. Other reasons included too high a response to ovarian stimulation medications (i.e., potential for ovarian hyperstimulation syndrome), concurrent medical illness, or a patient's personal reasons.

**Figure 6**

Reasons ART Cycles Using Fresh Nondonor Eggs or Embryos Were Discontinued,\*† 2006



\*Based on 11,400 ART cycles.

†Total does not equal 100% due to rounding.


## How is the success of ART measured?

Figure 7 shows ART success rates using six different measures, each providing slightly different information about this complex process. The vast majority of success rates have increased slightly each year since CDC began monitoring them in 1995 (see Section 5, pages 61–76).

- **Percentage of ART cycles started that produced a pregnancy:** This is higher than the percentage of cycles that resulted in a live birth because some pregnancies end in miscarriage, induced abortion, or stillbirth (see Figure 9, page 21).
- **Percentage of ART cycles started that resulted in a live birth (a delivery of one or more live-born infants):** This is the one many people are most interested in because it represents the average chance of having a live-born infant by using ART. *This is referred to as the basic live birth rate in the Fertility Clinic Success Rate and Certification Act of 1992.*
- **Percentage of ART cycles in which eggs were retrieved that resulted in a live birth:** This is generally higher than the percentage of cycles that resulted in a live birth because it excludes cycles that were canceled before eggs were retrieved. In 2006, about 11% of all cycles using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos were canceled for a variety of reasons (see Figure 6, page 18). *This is referred to as the live birth rate per successful oocyte (egg) retrieval in the Fertility Clinic Success Rate and Certification Act of 1992.*
- **Percentage of ART cycles in which an embryo or egg and sperm transfer occurred that resulted in a live birth:** This is the highest of these six measures of ART success.
- **Percentage of ART cycles started that resulted in a singleton live birth:** Overall, singleton live births have a much lower risk than multiple-infant births for adverse infant health outcomes, including prematurity, low birth weight, disability, and death.
- **Percentage of ART cycles in which an embryo or egg and sperm transfer occurred that resulted in a singleton live birth:** This is higher than the percentage of ART cycles started that resulted in a singleton live birth because not all ART cycles proceed to embryo transfer.

**Figure 7**

Success Rates for ART Cycles Using Fresh Nondonor Eggs or Embryos, by Different Measures, 2006




## What percentage of ART cycles results in a pregnancy?

Figure 8 shows the results of ART cycles in 2006 that used fresh nondonor eggs or embryos. Most of these cycles (64%) did not produce a pregnancy; a very small proportion (0.7%) resulted in an ectopic pregnancy (the embryo implanted outside the uterus), and 35% resulted in clinical pregnancy. Clinical pregnancies can be further subdivided as follows:

- 21.5% resulted in a single-fetus pregnancy.
- 11.1% resulted in a multiple-fetus pregnancy.
- 2.3% ended in miscarriage before the number of fetuses could be accurately determined.

**Figure 8**

Results of ART Cycles Using Fresh Nondonor Eggs or Embryos,\* 2006



\*Total does not equal 100% due to rounding.

†Number of fetuses not known because the pregnancy ended in an early miscarriage.


## What percentage of pregnancies results in a live birth?

Figure 9 shows the outcomes of pregnancies resulting from ART cycles in 2006 (see Figure 8, page 20). Approximately 82% of the pregnancies resulted in a live birth (57% in a singleton birth and 25% in a multiple-infant birth). About 18% of pregnancies resulted in an adverse outcome (miscarriage, stillbirth, induced abortion, or maternal death). For 0.6% of pregnancies, the outcome was unknown.

Although the birth of more than one infant is counted as one live birth, multiple-infant births are presented here as a separate category because they often are associated with problems for both mothers and infants. Infant deaths and birth defects are not included as adverse outcomes because the available information for these outcomes is incomplete.

**Figure 9**

Outcomes of Pregnancies Resulting from ART Cycles Using Fresh Nondonor Eggs or Embryos,\* 2006



\*Total does not equal 100% due to rounding.

## Using ART, what is the risk of having a multiple-fetus pregnancy or multiple-infant live birth?

Multiple-infant births are associated with greater problems for both mothers and infants, including higher rates of caesarean section, prematurity, low birth weight, and infant disability or death.


Part A of Figure 10 shows that among the 34,719 pregnancies that resulted from ART cycles using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos, 62% were singleton pregnancies, 28% were twins, and about 4% were triplets or more. Seven percent of pregnancies ended in miscarriage in which the number of fetuses could not be accurately determined. Therefore, the percentage of pregnancies with more than one fetus might have been higher than what was reported (about 32%).

In 2006, 6,117 pregnancies resulting from ART cycles ended in either miscarriage, stillbirth, induced abortion, or maternal death, and 198 pregnancy outcomes were not reported. The remaining 28,404 pregnancies resulted in live births. Part B of Figure 10 shows that approximately 31% of these live births produced more than one infant (29% twins and approximately 2% triplets or more). This compares with a multiple-infant birth rate of slightly more than 3% in the general U.S. population.

Although the total rates for multiples were similar between pregnancies and live births, there were more triplet-or-more pregnancies than births. Triplet-or-more pregnancies may be reduced to twins or singletons by the time of birth. This can happen naturally (e.g., fetal death), or a woman and her doctor may decide to reduce the number of fetuses using a procedure called multifetal pregnancy reduction. CDC does not collect information on multifetal pregnancy reductions.

**Figure 10**

Risks of Having Multiple-Fetus Pregnancy and Multiple-Infant Live Birth from ART Cycles Using Fresh Nondonor Eggs or Embryos, 2006



## Using ART, what is the risk for preterm birth?

Preterm birth occurs when a woman gives birth before 37 full weeks of pregnancy. Infants born preterm are at greater risk for death in the first few days of life, as well as other adverse health outcomes including mental retardation, visual and hearing impairments, learning disabilities, and behavioral and emotional problems throughout life. Preterm births also cause substantial emotional and economic burdens for families.

Figure 11 shows percentages of preterm births resulting from ART cycles that used fresh nondonor eggs or embryos, by the number of infants born. For singletons, it shows separately the preterm percentage for pregnancies that started with one fetus (single-fetus pregnancies) or more than one (multiple-fetus pregnancies).


Among singletons, the percentage of preterm births was higher for those from multiple-fetus pregnancies (18%) than those from single-fetus pregnancies (12%). In the general U.S. population, where singletons are almost always the result of a single-fetus pregnancy, 13% were born preterm in 2005 (most recent available data).

Among ART births, 63% of twins and 95% of triplets or more were born preterm. A comparison of preterm births between ART twins and triplets or more and similar births in the general population is not meaningful because the vast majority of multiple-infant births in the United States are due to infertility treatments (both ART and non-ART).

These data indicate that the risk for preterm birth is higher among infants conceived through ART than for infants in the general population. This increase in risk is, in large part, due to the higher rate of multiple-infant pregnancies resulting from ART cycles.

**Figure 11**

Percentages of Preterm Births from ART Cycles Using Fresh Nondonor Eggs or Embryos, by Number of Infants Born, 2006



## Using ART, what is the risk of having low-birth–weight infants?

Low-birth–weight infants (less than 2,500 grams, or 5 pounds, 9 ounces) are at increased risk for death and short- and long-term disabilities such as cerebral palsy, mental retardation, and limitations in motor and cognitive skills.

Figure 12 presents percentages of low-birth–weight infants resulting from ART cycles that used fresh nondonor eggs or embryos, by number of infants born. For singletons, it shows separately the percentages of low birth weight among infants born from pregnancies that started with one fetus (single-fetus pregnancies) and with more than one fetus (multiple-fetus pregnancies).


Among singletons born through ART, the percentage of low-birth–weight infants was higher for those from multiple-fetus pregnancies (17%) than those from single-fetus pregnancies (8%). In the general U.S. population, where singletons are almost always the result of a single-fetus pregnancy, 8% of infants born in 2005 (most recent available data) had low birth weights.

Approximately 57% of twins and 90% of triplets or more had low birth weights. Comparing percentages of low birth weight between ART twins and triplets or more and the general population is not meaningful because the vast majority of multiple births in the United States are due to infertility treatments (both ART and non-ART).

These data indicate that the risk for low birth weight is higher for infants conceived through ART than for infants in the general population. The increase in risk is due, in large part, to the higher percentage of multiple-infant pregnancies resulting from ART cycles.

**Figure 12**

Percentages of Low-Birth–Weight Infants from ART Cycles Using Fresh Nondonor Eggs or Embryos, by Number of Infants Born, 2006






## What are the ages of women who use ART?

Figure 13 presents ART cycles using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos according to the age of the woman who had the procedure. About 12% of these cycles were among women younger than age 30, 67% were among women aged 30–39, and approximately 21% were among women aged 40 and older.

**Figure 13**

Age Distribution of Women Who Had ART Cycles Using Fresh Nondonor Eggs or Embryos, 2006




## Do ART success rates differ among women of different ages?

A woman's age is the most important factor affecting the chances of a live birth when her own eggs are used. Figure 14 shows the percentages of pregnancies, live births, and singleton live births for women of different ages who had ART procedures using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos in 2006. The percentages of ART cycles resulting in live births and singleton live births are different because of the high percentage of multiple-infant deliveries counted among the total live births. The percentage of multiple-infant births is particularly high among women younger than 35 (see Figure 34, page 46). Among women in their 20s, the percentages of ART cycles resulting in pregnancies, live births, and singleton live births were relatively stable; however, success rates declined steadily from the mid-30s onward. For additional detail on success rates among women aged 40 or older, see Figure 15 on page 27.

**Figure 14**

Percentages of ART Cycles Using Fresh Nondonor Eggs or Embryos That Resulted in Pregnancies, Live Births, and Singleton Live Births, by Age of Woman,\* 2006




\*For consistency, all percentages are based on cycles started.

## How do ART success rates differ for women who are 40 or older?

Success rates decline with each year of age and are particularly low for women 40 or older. Figure 15 shows the percentages of pregnancies, live births, and singleton live births in 2006 for women 40 or older who used fresh nondonor eggs or embryos. The average chance for pregnancy was 23% for women age 40; the percentage of ART cycles resulting in live births for this age was about 15%, and the percentage of ART cycles resulting in singleton live births was about 12%. All percentages dropped steadily with each 1-year increase in age. For women older than 44, the percentages of live births and singleton live births were both a little more than 1%. Women 40 or older generally have much higher success rates using donor eggs (see Figure 45, page 57).

**Figure 15**

Percentages of ART Cycles Using Fresh Nondonor Eggs or Embryos That Resulted in Pregnancies, Live Births, and Singleton Live Births Among Women Aged 40 or Older,\* 2006



\*For consistency, all percentages are based on cycles started.


## How does the risk for miscarriage differ among women of different ages?

A woman's age not only affects the chance for pregnancy when her own eggs are used, but also affects her risk for miscarriage. Figure 16 shows the percentages of ART cycles started in 2006 that resulted in miscarriage for women of different ages. The percentages of ART cycles that resulted in miscarriage were below 14% among women younger than 35. The percentages of ART cycles that resulted in miscarriages began to increase among women in their mid- to late 30s and continued to increase with age, reaching 28% at age 40 and 56% among women older than 43.

The risk for miscarriage observed among women undergoing ART procedures using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos appear to be similar to those reported in various studies of other pregnant women in the United States.

**Figure 16**

Percentages of ART Cycles Using Fresh Nondonor Eggs or Embryos That Resulted in Miscarriage, by Age of Woman, 2006




## What is the risk for pregnancy loss at different times during pregnancy among women of different ages?

A woman's risk for pregnancy loss (loss of an entire pregnancy, or all fetuses in a multiple-fetus pregnancy) is affected by the duration of her pregnancy and her age. Figure 17 shows that between 13% and 52% of clinically-detected pregnancies (clinical detection through ultrasound performed between 4 and 6 weeks after the day of embryo transfer) are lost at some later point during the pregnancy, depending on the woman's age. Among women younger than 35, 13% of pregnancies were lost and 87% continued through week 24. In contrast, among women older than 42, 52% of pregnancies were lost and only 48% continued through week 24. In all age groups, most pregnancy losses occurred before week 14 (i.e., during the first trimester). The risk of pregnancy loss after 24 weeks was less than 1% for all age groups because most pregnancies that progress beyond week 24 lead to live births.

**Figure 17**

Percentages of Pregnancies That Continued Past a Given Gestational Week Among Women Who Had ART Cycles Using Fresh Nondonor Eggs or Embryos, by Age of Woman, 2006



## How does a woman's age affect her chances of progressing through the various stages of ART?

In 2006, a total of 99,199 cycles using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos were started:

- 41,369 in women younger than 35
- 23,376 in women 35–37
- 19,775 in women 38–40
- 9,346 in women 41–42
- 5,333 in women older than 42


Figure 18 shows that a woman's chance of progressing from the beginning of ART to pregnancy and live birth (using her own eggs) decreases at every stage of ART as her age increases.

- As women get older, the likelihood of a successful response to ovarian stimulation and progression to **egg retrieval** decreases.
- As women get older, cycles that have progressed to egg retrieval are slightly less likely to reach **transfer**.
- The percentage of cycles that progress from transfer to **pregnancy** also decreases as women get older.
- As women get older, cycles that have progressed to pregnancy are less likely to result in a **live birth** because the risk for miscarriage is greater (see Figure 16, page 28).

Overall, 39% of cycles started in 2006 among women younger than 35 resulted in live births. This percentage decreased to 30% among women 35–37 years of age, 21% among women 38–40, 11% among women 41–42, and 4% among women older than 42. As noted in Figures 14 and 15 (see pages 26 and 27), the proportion of cycles that resulted in singleton live births is even lower for each age group.

**Figure 18**

Outcomes of ART Cycles Using Fresh Nondonor Eggs or Embryos, by Stage and Age Group, 2006




## What are the causes of infertility among couples who use ART?

Figure 19 shows the infertility diagnoses reported among couples who had an ART procedure using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos in 2006. Diagnoses range from one infertility factor in one partner to multiple factors in either one or both partners. However, diagnostic procedures may vary from one clinic to another, so the categorization may also vary.

- **Tubal factor** means that the woman's fallopian tubes are blocked or damaged, making it difficult for the egg to be fertilized or for an embryo to travel to the uterus.
- **Ovulatory dysfunction** means that the ovaries are not producing eggs normally. Such dysfunctions include polycystic ovary syndrome and multiple ovarian cysts.
- **Diminished ovarian reserve** means that the ability of the ovary to produce eggs is reduced. Reasons include congenital, medical, or surgical causes or advanced age.
- **Endometriosis** involves the presence of tissue similar to the uterine lining in abnormal locations. This condition can affect both fertilization of the egg and embryo implantation.
- **Uterine factor** means a structural or functional disorder of the uterus that results in reduced fertility.
- **Male factor** refers to a low sperm count or problems with sperm function that make it difficult for a sperm to fertilize an egg under normal conditions.
- **Other causes** of infertility include immunological problems, chromosomal abnormalities, cancer chemotherapy, and serious illnesses.
- **Unexplained cause** means that no cause of infertility was found in either the woman or the man.
- **Multiple factors, female only**, means that more than one female cause was diagnosed.
- **Multiple factors, female and male**, means that one or more female causes and male factor infertility were diagnosed.

**Figure 19**

Diagnoses Among Couples Who Had ART Cycles Using Fresh Nondonor Eggs or Embryos,\* 2006




\*Total does not equal 100% due to rounding.

## Does the cause of infertility affect the chances of success using ART?

Figure 20 shows the percentage of ART cycles that resulted in live births according to the causes of infertility. (See Figure 19, page 31, or the Glossary in Appendix B for an explanation of the diagnoses.) Although the national average success rate was about 29% (see Figure 7, page 19), success rates varied somewhat depending on the couple's diagnosis; however, the definitions of these diagnoses may vary from clinic to clinic. In general, couples diagnosed with tubal factor, ovulatory dysfunction, endometriosis, male factor, or unexplained infertility had success rates above the national average. The lowest success rate was observed for those with diminished ovarian reserve. Additionally, couples with uterine factor, "other" causes, or multiple infertility factors had below-average success rates. Please note, however, that a review of select clinical records revealed that reporting of infertility causes may be incomplete. Therefore, differences in success rates by causes of infertility should be interpreted with caution. (See Findings from Validation Visits for 2006 ART Data in Appendix A for additional information.)

**Figure 20**

Percentages of ART Cycles Using Fresh Nondonor Eggs or Embryos That Resulted in Live Births, by Diagnosis, 2006






## How many women who use ART have previously given birth?

Figure 21 shows the number of previous births among women who had an ART procedure using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos in 2006. Most of these women (71%) had no previous births, although they may have had a pregnancy that resulted in a miscarriage or an induced abortion. Twenty-one percent of women using ART in 2006 reported one previous birth, and about 8% reported two or more previous births. However, we do not have information about how many of these were ART births and how many were not. These data nonetheless point out that women who have previously had children can still face infertility problems.

**Figure 21**

Number of Previous Births Among Women Who Had ART Cycles Using Fresh Nondonor Eggs or Embryos, 2006




## Do women who have previously given birth have higher ART success rates?

Figure 22 shows the relationship between the success of an ART cycle and the woman's history of previous births. Previous live-born infants were conceived naturally in some cases and through ART in others. In all age groups, women who had a previous live birth were more likely to have a successful ART procedure.

**Figure 22**

Percentages of ART Cycles Using Fresh Nondonor Eggs or Embryos That Resulted in Live Births, by Woman's Age and Number of Previous Live Births, 2006




## Is there a difference in ART success rates between women with previous miscarriages and women who have never been pregnant?

In 2006, 70,428 ART cycles were performed among women who had not previously given birth. However, about 27% of those cycles were reported by women with one or more previous pregnancies that had ended in miscarriage—we do not have information on whether these pregnancies ending in miscarriage were the result of ART or were conceived naturally. Figure 23 shows the relationship between the success of an ART cycle and the history of previous miscarriage. In all age groups, women who had a previous miscarriage were as likely to have a live birth as women who had never been pregnant. Thus, a history of unsuccessful pregnancy does not appear to be associated with lower chances for success during ART.

**Figure 23**

Percentages of ART Cycles Using Fresh Nondonor Eggs or Embryos That Resulted in Live Births, by Woman's Age and History of Miscarriage, Among Women with No Previous Births,\* 2006




\*Women reporting only previous ectopic pregnancies or pregnancies that ended in induced abortion were not included in the above statistics.

## How many current ART users have undergone previous ART cycles?

Figure 24 presents ART cycles that used fresh nondonor eggs or embryos in 2006 according to whether previous ART cycles had been performed. For about 43%, one or more previous cycles were reported. (This percentage includes previous cycles using either fresh or frozen embryos.) This finding illustrates that it is not uncommon for a couple to undergo multiple ART cycles. We do not have information on when previous cycles were performed, nor do we have information on the outcomes of those previous cycles.

**Figure 24**


Number of Previous ART Cycles Among Women Undergoing ART with Fresh Nondonor Eggs or Embryos,\* 2006



\*Total does not equal 100% due to rounding.

# Are success rates different for women using ART for the first time and women who previously used ART but did not give birth?

Figure 25 shows the relationship between the success of ART cycles performed in 2006 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos and a history of previous ART cycles among women with no previous births. In all age groups up to age 42, success rates were lower for women who had previously undergone an unsuccessful ART cycle.




## What are the success rates for women who have had *both* previous ART and previous births?

Figure 26 shows the relationship between the success of ART cycles performed in 2006 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos and a history of both previous ART cycles and previous births. We do not have information on whether the previous births were the result of ART or were conceived naturally. However, among women with previous births, success rates among women who did not undergo a previous ART procedure were comparable to success rates among women who had undergone previous ART cycles.

Taken together, Figures 25 (see page 37) and 26 show that having undergone previous ART cycles may be related to the success of the current ART cycle. However, it is important to consider the outcomes of previous cycles and whether the woman has given birth in the past.

**Figure 26**

Percentages of ART Cycles Using Fresh Nondonor Eggs or Embryos That Resulted in Live Births, by Woman's Age and History of Previous ART Cycles, Among Women with One or More Previous Births, 2006



## What were the specific types of ART performed among women who used fresh nondonor eggs or embryos in 2006?


For about 38% of ART procedures that used fresh nondonor eggs or embryos in 2006, standard IVF (in vitro fertilization) techniques were used: eggs and sperm were combined in the laboratory, the resulting embryos were cultured for 2 or more days, and one or more embryos were then transferred into the woman's uterus through the cervix.

For most of the remaining ART procedures (62%), fertilization was accomplished using intracytoplasmic sperm injection (ICSI). This technique involves injecting a single sperm directly into an egg; the embryos are then cultured and transferred as in standard IVF.

For a small proportion of ART procedures, unfertilized eggs and sperm (gametes) or early embryos (zygotes) were transferred into the woman's fallopian tubes. These procedures are known as gamete and zygote intrafallopian transfer (GIFT and ZIFT). Some women with tubal infertility are not suitable candidates for GIFT and ZIFT. GIFT and ZIFT are more invasive procedures than IVF because they involve inserting a laparoscope into a woman's abdomen to transfer the embryos or gametes into the fallopian tubes. In contrast, IVF involves transferring embryos or gametes into a woman's uterus through the cervix without surgery.

**Figure 27**

Types of ART Procedures Using Fresh Nondonor Eggs or Embryos,<sup>\*,†</sup> 2006



\*Cycles that were canceled before egg retrieval were classified as IVF, GIFT, or ZIFT based on the intended ART method.

<sup>†</sup>Total does not equal 100% due to rounding.


<sup>‡</sup>Combination of IVF with or without ICSI and either GIFT or ZIFT.

## What are the success rates for different types of ART procedures?

Figure 28 shows the percentage of egg retrievals that resulted in a live birth for each type of ART procedure started in 2006. Success rates for the two predominant types of ART, IVF without ICSI and IVF with ICSI, were similar. The success rates for cycles that used GIFT, ZIFT, or a combination of IVF were much lower than for cycles that used other ART procedures. See Figures 29–31 (pages 41–43) and Figures 50–55 (pages 62–67) for further details on IVF procedures that used ICSI.

**Figure 28**

Percentages of Egg Retrievals That Resulted in Live Births, by Type of ART Procedure, 2006



\* Combination of IVF with or without ICSI and either GIFT or ZIFT.




## Is ICSI used only for couples diagnosed with male factor infertility?

ICSI was developed to overcome problems with fertilization that sometimes occur in couples diagnosed with male factor infertility. In 2006, 61,722 ICSI cycles were performed. Approximately half of the ICSI cycles were performed for couples with a diagnosis of male factor infertility. However, diagnostic procedures may vary from one clinic to another, so the categorization of causes of infertility may also vary.

**Figure 29**

Use of ICSI\* in Fresh–Nondonor Cycles Among Couples With and Without Diagnoses of Male Factor Infertility,<sup>†</sup> 2006



\* Intracytoplasmic sperm injection.

<sup>†</sup> Based on 61,722 cycles that used IVF with ICSI.


## What are the success rates for couples with male factor infertility when ICSI is used?

ICSI was developed to overcome problems with fertilization that sometimes occur among couples diagnosed with male factor infertility. In 2006, 82% of couples diagnosed with male factor infertility used IVF with ICSI. Figure 30 presents the success rates for these ICSI procedures among couples diagnosed with male factor infertility. For comparison, these rates are presented alongside the success rates for ART cycles that used standard IVF without ICSI. This standard IVF comparison group includes couples with all diagnoses except male factor. Because ICSI can be performed only when at least one egg has been retrieved, the percentage of egg retrievals that resulted in live births are presented.

In every age group, success rates for the IVF with ICSI group were similar to the success rates for the groups that used standard IVF without ICSI. These results show that when ICSI was used for couples diagnosed with male factor infertility, their success rates were close to those achieved by couples who were not diagnosed with male factor infertility. Please note, however, that review of select clinical records revealed that reporting of infertility causes may be incomplete. Therefore, differences in success rates by causes of infertility should be interpreted with caution. (See Findings from Validation Visits for 2006 ART Data in Appendix A for additional information.)

**Figure 30**

Percentages of Retrievals That Resulted in Live Births Among Couples Diagnosed with Male Factor Infertility Who Used IVF with ICSI,\* Compared with Couples Not Diagnosed with Male Factor Infertility Who Used IVF Without ICSI, by Woman's Age,† 2006



\* Intracytoplasmic sperm injection.


† Cycles using donor sperm and cycles using GIFT or ZIFT are excluded.

## What are the success rates for couples without a diagnosis of male factor infertility when ICSI is used?

As shown in Figure 29 (page 41), a large number of ICSI procedures are now performed even when couples are not diagnosed with male factor infertility. Figure 31 presents percentages of egg retrievals that resulted in live births for those cycles compared with ART cycles among couples who used IVF without ICSI. For every age group, the ICSI procedures were less successful. Please note, however, that review of select clinical records revealed that reporting of infertility causes may be incomplete. Therefore, differences in success rates by causes of infertility should be interpreted with caution. (See Findings from Validation Visits for 2006 ART Data in Appendix A for additional information.) Additionally, information was not available to completely determine whether this finding was directly related to the ICSI procedure or whether the patients who used ICSI were somehow different from those who use IVF alone. However, separate evaluation of various groups of patients with an indication of being difficult to treat revealed a pattern of results consistent with those presented below. These difficult-to-treat groups included couples with previous failed ART cycles, couples diagnosed with diminished ovarian reserve, and couples with a low number of eggs retrieved (fewer than five). Within each of these groups, ART cycles that used IVF with ICSI had lower success rates compared with cycles that used IVF without ICSI.

**Figure 31**

Percentages of Retrievals That Resulted in Live Births Among Couples Not Diagnosed with Male Factor Infertility, by Use of ICSI\* and Woman's Age,<sup>†</sup> 2006



\* Intracytoplasmic sperm injection.


<sup>†</sup> Cycles using donor sperm and cycles using GIFT or ZIFT are excluded.

## How many embryos are transferred in an ART procedure?

Figure 32 shows that approximately 43% of ART cycles that used fresh nondonor eggs or embryos and progressed to the embryo transfer stage in 2006 involved the transfer of three or more embryos, about 16% of cycles involved the transfer of four or more, and approximately 5% of cycles involved the transfer of five or more embryos.

**Figure 32**

Number of Embryos Transferred During ART Cycles Using Fresh Nondonor Eggs or Embryos,\* 2006



\* Total does not equal 100% due to rounding.


## In general, is an ART cycle more likely to be successful if more embryos are transferred?

Figure 33 shows the relationship between the number of embryos transferred during an ART procedure in 2006 and the number of infants born alive as a result of that procedure. The success rate increased when two or more embryos were transferred; however, transferring multiple embryos also poses a risk of having a multiple-infant birth. Multiple-infant births cause concern because of the additional health risks they create for both mothers and infants. Also, pregnancies with multiple fetuses are potentially subject to multifetal reduction. Multifetal reduction can happen naturally (e.g., fetal death), or a woman or couple may decide to reduce the number of fetuses using a procedure called multifetal pregnancy reduction. Information on multifetal pregnancy reductions is incomplete and therefore is not provided here.

The relationships between number of embryos transferred, success rates, and multiple-infant births are complicated by several factors, such as the woman's age and embryo quality. See Figure 34 (page 46) for more details on women most at risk for multiple births.

**Figure 33**

Percentages of Transfers That Resulted in Live Births and Percentages of Multiple-Infant Live Births for ART Cycles Using Fresh Nondonor Eggs or Embryos, by Number of Embryos Transferred, 2006



\* Percentages of live births that were singletons, twins, and triplets or more are in parentheses.

Note: In rare cases a single embryo may divide and thus produce twins. For this reason, a small percentage of twins resulted from a single embryo transfer, and a small percentage of triplets resulted when two embryos were transferred.

## Are success rates affected by the number of embryos transferred for women who have more embryos available than they choose to transfer?


Although, in general, transferring more than one embryo tends to improve the chance for a successful ART procedure (see Figure 33, page 45), other factors are also important. Previous research suggests that the number of embryos fertilized and thus available for ART is just as, if not more, important in predicting success as the number of embryos transferred. Additionally, younger women tend to have both higher success rates and higher likelihood of multiple-infant births. Figure 34 shows the relationship between the number of embryos transferred, success rates, and multiple-infant births for a subset of ART procedures in which the woman was younger than 35 and the couple chose to set aside some embryos for future cycles rather than transfer all available embryos at one time.

For this group, the chance for a live birth using ART was about 49% when only one embryo was transferred. If one measures success as the percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births, the highest likelihood of live birth was observed with only one embryo transferred.

The proportion of live births that were multiple-infant births was about 39% with two embryos and about 46% with three embryos. Transferring three or more embryos also created an additional risk for higher-order multiple births (i.e., triplets or more).

**Figure 34**

Percentages of Transfers That Resulted in Live Births and Percentages of Multiple-Infant Live Births for ART Cycles in Women Who Were Younger Than 35, Used Fresh Nondonor Eggs or Embryos, and Set Aside Extra Embryos for Future Use, by Number of Embryos Transferred, 2006



\* Percentages of live births that were singleton, twins, and triplets or more are in parentheses.

Note: In rare cases a single embryo may divide and thus produce twins. For this reason, a small percentage of twins resulted from a single embryo transfer, and a small percentage of triplets resulted when two embryos were transferred.


† Total does not equal 100% due to rounding.

## How long after egg retrieval does embryo transfer occur?

Once an ART cycle has progressed from egg retrieval to fertilization, the embryo(s) can be transferred into the woman's uterus in the subsequent 1 to 6 days. Figure 35 shows that in 2006 approximately 64% of embryo transfers occurred on day 3. Day 5 embryo transfers were the next most common, accounting for about 27% of ART procedures that progressed to the embryo transfer stage.

**Figure 35**

Day of Embryo Transfer\* Among ART Cycles Using Fresh Nondonor Eggs or Embryos,<sup>†</sup> 2006



\* Number of days following egg retrieval.


<sup>†</sup> Cycles using GIFT or ZIFT are excluded. Missing or implausible values for day of embryo transfer (i.e., 0 or >6) are not included.

## In general, is an ART cycle more likely to be successful if embryos are transferred on day 5?

As shown in Figure 35 (page 47), in the vast majority of ART procedures, embryos were transferred on day 3 (64%) or day 5 (27%). Figure 36 compares success rates for day 3 embryo transfers with those for day 5 embryo transfers. In all age groups, the success rates were higher for day 5 embryo transfers than for day 3 transfers. However, some cycles do not progress to the embryo transfer stage because of embryo arrest (interruption in embryo development) between day 3 and day 5. These cycles are not accounted for in the success rates for day 5 transfers. Therefore, differences in success rates for day 3 and day 5 transfers should be interpreted with caution.

**Figure 36**

Percentages of Day 3 and Day 5 Embryo Transfers (Using Fresh Nondonor Eggs or Embryos) That Resulted in Live Births, by Woman's Age,\* 2006



\* Cycles using GIFT or ZIFT are excluded. This comparison is limited to transfers on day 3 and day 5. Embryo transfers performed on days 1, 2, 4, and 6 are not included because each of these accounted for a small proportion of procedures.




## Does the number of embryos transferred differ for day 3 and day 5 embryo transfers?

Figure 37 shows the number of embryos transferred on day 3 and day 5. Overall, fewer embryos were transferred on day 5 than on day 3. Approximately 53% of day 3 embryo transfers and 21% of day 5 embryo transfers involved the transfer of three or more embryos. The decrease in the number of embryos transferred on day 5, however, did not translate into a lower risk for multiple-infant births. See Figure 38 (page 50) for more details on the relationship between multiple-infant birth risk and day of embryo transfer.

**Figure 37**

Number of Embryos Transferred During ART Cycles Using Fresh Nondonor Eggs or Embryos for Day 3 and Day 5 Embryo Transfers,\* 2006



\* Cycles using GIFT or ZIFT are excluded. This comparison is limited to transfers on day 3 and day 5. Embryo transfers performed on days 1, 2, 4, and 6 are not included because each of these accounted for a small proportion of procedures.

† Total does not equal 100% due to rounding.

## In general, how does the multiple-infant birth risk vary by the day of embryo transfer?

Multiple-infant births are associated with greater problems for both mothers and infants, including higher rates of caesarean section, prematurity, low birth weight, and infant disability or death.


Part A of Figure 38 shows that among the 16,519 live births that occurred following day 3 embryo transfer, 71% were singletons, 27% were twins, and about 2% were triplets or more. Thus, approximately 29% of these live births produced more than one infant.

In 2006, 9,567 live births occurred following day 5 embryo transfer. Part B of Figure 38 shows that 35% of these live births produced more than one infant (approximately 33% twins and 2% triplets or more).

As shown in Figure 37 (page 49), fewer embryos were transferred on day 5 than on day 3. While the reduction in the number of embryos transferred on day 5 was associated with a decrease in triplet-or-more births, it also was associated with an increase in twin births. Thus, the risk of having a multiple-infant birth was higher for day 5 embryo transfers. The likelihood of multiple-infant births for both day 3 and day 5 embryo transfers is much higher overall than for multiple-infant births in the general U.S. population (about 3%).

**Figure 38**

Risks of Having Multiple-Infant Live Birth for ART Cycles Using Fresh Nondonor Eggs or Embryos for Day 3 and Day 5 Embryo Transfers,\* 2006



\* Cycles using GIFT or ZIFT are excluded. This comparison is limited to transfers on day 3 and day 5. Embryo transfers performed on days 1, 2, 4, and 6 are not included because each of these accounted for a small proportion of procedures.

## For day 5 embryo transfers, are success rates affected by the number of embryos transferred for women who have more embryos available than they choose to transfer?


As shown in Figure 37 (page 49), embryos transferred on day 5 result in more multiple-infant births compared with embryos transferred on day 3, despite the smaller number of embryos transferred on day 5. Figure 39 shows the relationship between the number of embryos transferred, the percentage of transfers resulting in live births, and the percentage of multiple-infant births for day 5 embryo transfer procedures in which the woman was younger than 35 and the couple decided to set aside some embryos for future cycles rather than transfer all available embryos at one time.

The percentage of transfers resulting in live births was 53% when only one embryo was transferred on day 5. The percentage of transfers resulting in live births was higher (59%) when two embryos were transferred; however, the proportion of live births that were multiples (twins or more)—which presents a higher risk for poor health outcomes— was 44%. The chance for a live birth was lower (50%) when 3 or more embryos were transferred on day 5, and the percentage of live births that were higher-order multiples (triplets or more) was much higher for these transfers (10%) than for those involving the transfer of just two embryos on day 5 (1.4%).

If one measures success as the percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births, the highest rate (53%) was observed with the transfer of a single embryo on day 5.

**Figure 39**

Percentages of Transfers That Resulted in Live Births and Percentages of Multiple-Infant Live Births for Day 5 Embryo Transfers Among Women Who Were Younger Than 35, Used Fresh Nondonor Eggs or Embryos, and Set Aside Extra Embryos for Future Use, by Number of Embryos Transferred, 2006



\*Percentages of live births that were singletons, twins, and triplets or more are in parentheses.

Note: In rare cases a single embryo may divide and thus produce twins. For this reason a small percentage of twins resulted from a single embryo transfer and a small percentage of triplets resulted when two embryos were transferred.


†Totals do not equal 100% due to rounding.

## What are the success rates for women who use gestational carriers?

In some cases a woman has trouble carrying a pregnancy. In such cases the couple may use ART with a gestational carrier, sometimes called a surrogate. A gestational carrier is a woman who agrees to carry the developing embryo for a couple with infertility problems. Gestational carriers were used in 1% of ART cycles using fresh nondonor embryos in 2006 (1,042 cycles). Figure 40 compares success rates per transfer for ART cycles that used a gestational carrier in 2006 with cycles that did not. In all age groups, success rates for ART cycles that used gestational carriers were higher than success rates for those cycles that did not.

**Figure 40**

Comparison of Percentages of Transfers That Resulted in Live Births Between Cycles That Used Gestational Carriers and Those That Did Not (Both Using Fresh Nondonor Embryos), by ART Patient's Age,\* 2006




\* Age categories reflect the age of the ART patient, not the age of the gestational carrier.

† We were unable to further subdivide ages >40 because the number of such cycles is very small.

# How is clinic size related to success rates?

The number of ART procedures carried out every year varies among fertility clinics in the United States. In 2006, success rates were similar for all 426 clinics regardless of the number of cycles performed. For Figure 41, clinics were divided equally into four groups (called quartiles) based on the size of the clinic as determined by the number of cycles it carried out. The percentage for each quartile represents the average success rate for clinics in that quartile. For the exact number of cycles and success rates at an individual clinic, refer to the clinic table section of this report.




## SECTION 3: ART CYCLES USING FROZEN NONDONOR EMBRYOS

### What are the success rates for ART cycles using frozen nondonor embryos?

Frozen embryos were used in approximately 16% of all ART cycles performed in 2006 (22,023 cycles). Figure 42 compares the success rates for frozen embryos with the success rates for fresh embryos among women using their own eggs. Because some embryos do not survive the thawing process, the percentage of thawed embryos that result in live births is usually lower than the percentage of transfers resulting in live births. In 2006, the success rates for frozen embryos were lower than the success rates for fresh embryos. However, the average number of embryos transferred was similar for cycles using both frozen embryos and fresh embryos (see the national summary table on page 89 for information on the average number of embryos transferred for these cycles). It is important to note that cycles using frozen embryos are both less expensive and less invasive than those using fresh embryos because the woman does not have to go through the fertility drug stimulation and egg retrieval steps again.

**Figure 42**

Success Rates for ART Cycles Using Frozen Embryos and Fresh Embryos, 2006



## What is the risk of having a multiple-fetus pregnancy or multiple-infant live birth from an ART cycle using frozen nondonor embryos?

Multiple-infant births are associated with greater problems for both mothers and infants, including higher rates of caesarean section, prematurity, low birth weight, and infant disability or death.


Part A of Figure 43 shows that among the 7,401 pregnancies that resulted from ART cycles using frozen nondonor embryos, 66% were singleton pregnancies, 21% were twins, and 3% were triplets or more. Ten percent of pregnancies ended in miscarriage before the number of fetuses could be accurately determined. Therefore, the percentage of pregnancies with more than one fetus might have been higher than what was reported (24%).

In 2006, 5,797 pregnancies from ART cycles that used frozen nondonor embryos resulted in live births. Part B of Figure 43 shows that approximately 24% of these live births produced more than one infant. This compares with a multiple-infant birth rate of slightly more than 3% in the general U.S. population.

Although the total rates for multiples were similar for pregnancies and live births, there were more triplet-or-more pregnancies than births. Triplet-or-more pregnancies may be reduced to twins or singletons by the time of birth. This can happen naturally (e.g., fetal death), or a woman and her doctor may decide to reduce the number of fetuses using a procedure called multifetal pregnancy reduction. CDC does not collect information on multifetal pregnancy reductions.

**Figure 43**

Risks of Having Multiple-Fetus Pregnancy and Multiple-Infant Live Birth from ART Cycles Using Frozen Nondonor Embryos, 2006



\* Number of fetuses not known because the pregnancy ended in an early miscarriage.

<sup>†</sup> Total does not equal 100% due to rounding.


## SECTION 4: ART CYCLES USING DONOR EGGS

### Are older women undergoing ART more likely to use donor eggs or embryos?

As shown in Figures 14–16 (pages 26–28), eggs produced by women in older age groups form embryos that are less likely to implant and more likely to result in miscarriage if they do implant. As a result, ART using donor eggs is much more common among older women than among younger women. Donor eggs or embryos were used in approximately 12% of all ART cycles carried out in 2006 (16,976 cycles). Figure 44 shows the percentage of ART cycles using donor eggs in 2006 according to the woman's age. Few women younger than age 39 used donor eggs; however, the percentage of cycles carried out with donor eggs increased sharply starting at age 39. Among women older than age 47, for example, about 89% of all ART cycles used donor eggs.

**Figure 44**


Percentage of ART Cycles Using Donor Eggs, by ART Patient's Age, 2006





# Do success rates differ by age for women who used ART with donor eggs compared with women who used ART with their own eggs?


Figure 45 compares percentages of transfers resulting in live births for ART cycles using fresh embryos from donor eggs with those for ART cycles using a woman’s own eggs, among women of different ages. The likelihood of a fertilized egg implanting is related to the age of the woman who produced the egg. Thus, the percentage of transfers resulting in live births for cycles using embryos from women’s own eggs declines as women get older. In contrast, since egg donors are typically in their 20s or early 30s, the percentage of transfers resulting in live births for cycles using embryos from donor eggs remained consistently high at above 40%.



# How successful is ART when donor eggs are used?

Figure 46 shows percentages of transfers resulting in live births and singleton live births for ART cycles using fresh embryos from donor eggs among women of different ages. For all ages, the percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births (average 33%) was lower than the percentage of transfers resulting in live births (average 54%). Singleton live births are an important measure of success because they have a much lower risk than multiple-infant births for adverse infant health outcomes, including prematurity, low birth weight, disability, and death.

**Figure 46**  
Percentages of Transfers That Resulted in Live Births and Singleton Live Births for ART Cycles Using Fresh Embryos from Donor Eggs, by ART Patient’s Age, 2006




# What is the risk of having a multiple-fetus pregnancy or multiple-infant live birth from an ART cycle using fresh donor eggs?

Multiple-infant births are associated with greater problems for both mothers and infants, including higher rates of caesarean section, prematurity, low birth weight, and infant disability or death.

Part A of Figure 47 shows that among the 6,315 pregnancies that resulted from ART cycles using fresh embryos from donor eggs, about 54% were singleton pregnancies, about 37% were twins, and nearly 4% were triplets or more. About 5% of pregnancies ended in miscarriage before the number of fetuses could be accurately determined. Therefore, the percentage of pregnancies with more than one fetus might have been higher than what was reported (about 41%).

In 2006, 5,393 pregnancies from ART cycles that used fresh embryos from donor eggs resulted in live births. Part B of Figure 47 shows that 39% of these live births produced more than one infant. This compares with a multiple-infant birth rate of slightly more than 3% in the general population.

Although the total rates for multiples were similar for pregnancies and live births, there were more triplet-or-more pregnancies than births. Triplet-or-more pregnancies may be reduced to twins or singletons by the time of birth. This can happen naturally (e.g., fetal death), or a woman and her doctor may decide to reduce the number of fetuses using a procedure called multifetal pregnancy reduction. CDC does not collect information on multifetal pregnancy reductions.




## How do success rates differ between women who use frozen donor embryos and those who use fresh donor embryos?

Figure 48 shows that the success rates resulting from the transfer of frozen donor embryos were substantially lower than the success rates resulting from the transfer of fresh donor embryos. This is similar to the findings for frozen nondonor embryos (see Figure 42, page 54). The average number of embryos transferred was similar for cycles using frozen donor embryos and those using fresh donor embryos. (See the national summary table on page 89 for information on the average number of embryos transferred for these cycles.)

**Figure 48**

Success Rates for ART Cycles Using Frozen Donor and Fresh Donor Embryos, 2006



## SECTION 5: ART TRENDS, 1996–2006


This report marks the twelfth consecutive year that CDC has published an annual report detailing the success rates for ART clinics in the United States. Having several years of data provides us with the opportunity to examine trends in ART use and success rates over time. Because the first year of data collection, 1995, did not include non-SART member clinics, we limit our examination of trends to the years 1996–2006.

### Is the use of ART increasing?

Figure 49 shows the numbers of ART cycles performed, live-birth deliveries, and infants born using ART from 1996 through 2006. The number of ART cycles performed in the United States has more than doubled, from 64,681 cycles in 1996 to 138,198 in 2006. The number of live-birth deliveries in 2006 (41,343) was more than two and a half times higher than in 1996 (14,507). The number of infants born who were conceived using ART also increased steadily between 1996 and 2006. In 2006, 54,656 infants were born, which was more than two and a half times the 20,840 born in 1996. Because in some cases more than one infant is born during a live-birth delivery (e.g., twins), the total number of infants born is greater than the number of live-birth deliveries.

**Figure 49**

Numbers of ART Cycles Performed, Live-Birth Deliveries, and Infants Born Using ART, 1996–2006



## Have there been changes in the type of ART cycles performed among women who used fresh or frozen nondonor eggs or embryos?


Intracytoplasmic sperm injection (ICSI) was originally developed to use in ART cycles to improve fertilization rates when severe male factor infertility was the indication for using ART. Today, this procedure is widely used even among couples without a diagnosis of male factor infertility.

Figure 50 shows the numbers of ART cycles performed using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos with or without ICSI and the numbers of cycles using frozen nondonor eggs or embryos from 1996 through 2006. During the past 11 years, while the number of fresh–nondonor cycles performed without ICSI remained stable, the number of fresh–nondonor cycles performed with ICSI increased four times from 14,885 in 1996 to 61,835 in 2006. The number of frozen–nondonor cycles more than doubled, from 9,445 in 1996 to 22,023 in 2006.

Note that the information on use of ICSI was not collected for ART cycles using frozen embryos; therefore, cycles using frozen embryos are presented together as one group.

**Figure 50**

Numbers of ART Cycles Using Fresh or Frozen Nondonor Eggs or Embryos, by ICSI,\* 1996–2006



\* Intracytoplasmic sperm injection.


## Have there been changes in the types of ART cycles performed among women who used fresh or frozen donor eggs or embryos?

Figure 51 shows the numbers of ART cycles performed using fresh donor eggs or embryos with or without ICSI and cycles using frozen donor eggs or embryos. While the number of fresh-donor cycles performed without ICSI remained fairly stable during the past 11 years, the number of fresh-donor cycles performed with ICSI increased from 857 in 1996 to 7,039 in 2006. The number of frozen-donor cycles increased from 1,118 in 1996 to 5,992 in 2006. In particular, during reporting year 2006, fresh donor eggs with ICSI were used the most among all donor cycles.

Note that the information on use of ICSI was not collected for ART cycles using frozen embryos; therefore, cycles using frozen embryos are presented together as one group.

**Figure 51**

Numbers of ART Cycles Using Fresh or Frozen Donor Eggs or Embryos, by ICSI,\* 1996–2006



\* Intracytoplasmic sperm injection.

## Have there been improvements in the percentage of transfers that result in live births among women who used fresh or frozen nondonor eggs or embryos?


Figure 52 presents percentages of transfers that resulted in live births for ART cycles using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos with or without ICSI and for cycles using frozen nondonor eggs or embryos. Percentages of transfers that resulted in live births are presented rather than percentages of cycles that resulted in live births because this is the only way to directly compare cycles using fresh embryos with those using frozen embryos.

Overall, higher success rates were consistently observed among fresh–nondonor cycles than frozen–nondonor cycles. The percentage of transfers that resulted in live births for fresh–nondonor cycles performed without ICSI increased from 28% in 1996 to 37% in 2006. Over the same period, the percentage of transfers that resulted in live births for cycles using fresh nondonor embryos performed with ICSI remained slightly lower than without ICSI, but steadily increased. The percentage of transfers that resulted in live births for cycles using frozen nondonor embryos increased from 17% in 1996 to 29% in 2006, but was generally lower than the percentage of transfers that resulted in live births for cycles using fresh nondonor embryos.

Note that the information on use of ICSI was not collected for ART cycles using frozen embryos; therefore, such cycles are presented together as one group.

**Figure 52**

Percentages of Transfers That Resulted in Live Births Using Fresh or Frozen Nondonor Eggs or Embryos, by ICSI,\* 1996–2006



\* Intracytoplasmic sperm injection.



## Have there been improvements in the percentage of transfers that result in live births among women who used fresh or frozen donor eggs or embryos?


Figure 53 presents the percentages of transfers that resulted in live births for ART cycles using fresh donor eggs or embryos with or without ICSI and for cycles using frozen donor eggs or embryos. Percentages of transfers that resulted in live births are presented rather than percentages of cycles that resulted in live births because that is the only way to directly compare cycles using fresh embryos with those using frozen embryos.

Similar to the trends shown in Figure 52 (page 64) for nondonor cycles, the success rates for cycles using fresh donor eggs or embryos were generally higher than for cycles using frozen donor eggs or embryos during 1996–2006. The percentage of transfers that resulted in live births for cycles that used fresh donor eggs or embryos performed without ICSI increased from 39% in 1996 to 56% in 2006. Over the same period, the percentage of transfers resulting in live births increased from 39% to 53% for cycles that used fresh donor eggs or embryos and were performed with ICSI, and from 21% to 32% for cycles that used frozen donor eggs or embryos.

Note that the information on use of ICSI was not collected for ART cycles using frozen embryos; therefore, such cycles are presented together as one group.

**Figure 53**

Percentages of Transfers That Resulted in Live Births Using Fresh or Frozen Donor Eggs or Embryos, by ICSI,\* 1996–2006



\* Intracytoplasmic sperm injection.

## Have there been improvements in the percentage of transfers that result in singleton live births among women who used fresh or frozen nondonor eggs or embryos?

Singleton live births are an important measure of success because they entail a much lower risk than multiple-infant births for adverse infant health outcomes, including prematurity, low birth weight, disability, and death. Figure 54 presents percentages of transfers that resulted in singleton live births for ART cycles performed using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos with or without ICSI or for cycles using frozen nondonor eggs or embryos.


While the total numbers of nondonor cycles using ICSI greatly increased over the past 11 years (see Figure 50, page 62), the percentage of transfers that resulted in singleton live births from these cycles were not any higher than those without ICSI: 17% to 24% with ICSI versus 17% to 25% without ICSI.

Over the same period, the percentage of transfers that resulted in singleton live births among frozen–nondonor cycles increased from 12% to 22%.

Note that the information on use of ICSI was not collected for ART cycles using frozen embryos; therefore, such cycles are presented together as one group.

**Figure 54**

Percentages of Transfers That Resulted in Singleton Live Births Among Women Using Fresh or Frozen Nondonor Eggs or Embryos, by ICSI,\* 1996–2006



\* Intracytoplasmic sperm injection.

## Have there been improvements in the percentage of transfers that result in singleton live births among women who used fresh or frozen donor eggs or embryos?


Singleton live births are an important measure of success because they entail a much lower risk than multiple-infant births for adverse infant health outcomes, including prematurity, low birth weight, disability, and death. Figure 55 presents percentages of transfers that resulted in singleton live births for ART cycles performed using fresh donor eggs or embryos with or without ICSI or for cycles using frozen donor eggs or embryos.

The percentage of transfers that resulted in singleton live births were consistently higher for fresh-donor cycles than for frozen-donor cycles. Percentages increased for fresh-donor cycles without ICSI from 22% in 1996 to 33% in 2006; a similar increase from 24% to 33% was observed for cycles with ICSI. Over the same period, the percentage of transfers that resulted in singleton live births increased from 15% to 24% for frozen-donor cycles.

Note that the information on use of ICSI was not collected for ART cycles using frozen embryos; therefore, such cycles are presented together as one group.

**Figure 55**

Percentages of Transfers That Resulted in Singleton Live Births Among Women Using Fresh or Frozen Donor Eggs or Embryos, by ICSI,\* 1996–2006



\* Intracytoplasmic sperm injection.


## Have there been improvements in the percentage of transfers that result in live births for all ART patients or only for those in particular age groups?

Figure 56 presents percentages of transfers that resulted in live births, by woman's age, for ART cycles using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.

From 1996 through 2006, the percentage of transfers that resulted in live births for women younger than 35 increased 33%, from 34% in 1996 to 45% in 2006. Over the same period, the percentage of transfers that resulted in live births increased 28% for women 35–37, 24% for women 38–40, 31% for women 41–42, and 22% for women older than 42.

**Figure 56**

Percentages of Transfers That Resulted in Live Births for ART Cycles Using Fresh Nondonor Eggs or Embryos, by Woman's Age, 1996–2006




## Have there been improvements in the percentage of transfers that result in singleton live births for all ART patients or only for those in particular age groups?

Singleton live births are an important measure of success because they have a much lower risk than multiple-infant births for adverse infant health outcomes, including prematurity, low birth weight, disability, and death. Figure 57 presents percentages of transfers that resulted in singleton live births, by woman's age, for ART cycles using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.

From 1996 through 2006, the percentage of transfers that resulted in singleton live births for women younger than 35 increased about 52%, from 19% in 1996 to 29% in 2006. Over the same period, the percentage of transfers that resulted in singleton live births increased 42% for women 35–37, 34% for women 38–40, 34% for women 41–42, and 30% for women older than 42.

**Figure 57**

Percentages of Transfers (Using Fresh Nondonor Eggs or Embryos) That Resulted in Singleton Live Births, by Woman's Age, 1996–2006




## Has the number of embryos transferred in fresh–nondonor cycles changed?

Figure 58 presents the trends for number of embryos transferred in fresh–nondonor cycles that progressed to the embryo transfer stage. From 1996 through 2006, cycles that involved the transfer of one embryo increased slightly, from 6% to 11%; cycles that involved the transfer of two embryos increased dramatically, from 10% in 1996 to 46% in 2006. Cycles that involved the transfer of three embryos increased from 23% in 1996 to 28% in 2006, and cycles that involved the transfer of four or more embryos decreased from 62% in 1996 to 16% in 2006.

**Figure 58**

Percentages of Fresh–Nondonor Cycles That Involved the Transfer of One, Two, Three, or Four or More Embryos, 1996–2006



\*Totals do not equal 100% due to rounding.


## Has the number of embryos transferred in each ART cycle changed for women younger than 35 who have more embryos available than they choose to transfer?

As shown in Figure 58 (page 70), the number of embryos transferred in fresh–nondonor cycles has decreased during the past 11 years. Figure 59 shows the change over time in the number of embryos transferred for ART procedures in which the woman was younger than 35 and the couple chose to set aside some embryos for future cycles rather than transfer all available embryos at one time. Previous research suggests that the number of embryos available for an ART cycle is important in predicting success. Younger women also tend to have higher success rates (see Figure 14, page 26).

Overall, the number of embryos transferred decreased among couples who chose to transfer fewer embryos than were available. In 1996, almost two-thirds (64%) of ART cycles involved the transfer of four or more embryos; 33%, three embryos; 3%, two embryos; and less than 1%, one embryo. By 1998, the percentage of cycles in which four or more embryos were transferred had decreased to 33%; half of all ART cycles involved the transfer of three embryos; 16% of cycles, two embryos; and less than 1%, one embryo. By 2006, four or more embryos were transferred in only 3% of cycles, three in 16% of cycles, two in 75% of cycles, and one in 7% of cycles.

**Figure 59**

Percentages of Fresh–Nondonor Cycles That Involved the Transfer of One, Two, Three, or Four or More Embryos in Women Who Were Younger Than 35 and Set Aside Extra Embryos for Future Use, 1996–2006



\*Cycles involving the transfer of one embryo were not included because of the small number of cycles where one embryo was transferred and extra embryos were set aside for future use.

†Totals do not equal 100% due to rounding.


## Have there been improvements in ART success rates, by number of embryos transferred?

Figure 60 presents success rates by the number of embryos transferred for ART cycles using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos from 1996 through 2006. In general, success rates were higher when two or more embryos were transferred. From 1996 through 2006, the success rates tripled, from 14% to 42%, for ART cycles that involved the transfer of two embryos. The success rates also increased for ART cycles that involved the transfer of either one or three embryos; however, the success rates decreased 13%, from 32% to 28%, for ART cycles that involved the transfer of four or more embryos.

The relationship between number of embryos transferred and success rates is complicated by several factors, such as the woman's age and embryo quality. Trends over time may reflect changes in these factors.

**Figure 60**

Percentages of Transfers (Using Fresh Nondonor Eggs or Embryos) That Resulted in Live Births, by Number of Embryos Transferred, 1996–2006





## Have there been improvements in the percentage of transfers that resulted in live births for women younger than 35 who have more embryos available than they choose to transfer?


Figure 61 shows changes over time in the number of embryos transferred and the percentage of transfers that resulted in live births for ART cycles in which the woman was younger than 35 and chose to set aside some embryos for future cycles rather than transfer all available embryos at one time. Previous research suggests that the number of embryos available for an ART cycle is an important predictor of success. Younger women also tend to have higher success rates (see Figure 14, page 26).

For this group of women, the percentage of transfers that resulted in live births generally increased over time, regardless of the number of embryos transferred. The biggest increase was for cycles in which two embryos were transferred. In 1996, the chance for a live birth was highest (41%) when three embryos were transferred; however, in 2006, the chance for a live birth was highest (55%) when two embryos were transferred.

Success rates for cycles involving the transfer of one embryo were comparable to those that involved multiple embryos. Elective single-embryo transfer minimizes the risk for multiple-infant pregnancy and related adverse outcomes. Recently, the Society for Assisted Reproductive Technology (SART) revised its embryo transfer guidelines to encourage single-embryo transfer among patients with good prognoses.\*\*

**Figure 61**

Percentages of Transfers That Resulted in Live Births Among Women Who Were Younger Than 35 and Set Aside Extra Embryos for Future Use, by Number of Embryos Transferred, 1996–2006



\*Cycles involving the transfer of one embryo were not included because of the small number of cycles where one embryo was transferred and extra embryos were set aside for future use.

\*\*For more information, contact SART (by telephone at 205-978-5000 or online at [www.sart.org](http://www.sart.org)).

## Has the percentage of multiple-infant live births changed?


Multiple-infant births are associated with greater problems for both mothers and infants, including higher rates of caesarean section, prematurity, low birth weight, and infant disability or death.

Figure 62 shows the percentages of multiple-infant live births for the four primary types of ART procedures.

For fresh–nondonor cycles, the percentage of multiple-infant live births decreased 20% since 1996, from 38% of all live births in 1996 to 31% in 2006. Over the same period, the percentage of multiple-infant live births decreased 10% for frozen–nondonor cycles and 6% for fresh–donor cycles. In all years except 1997, the percentage of multiple-infant live births remained stable for frozen–donor cycles.

**Figure 62**

Percentages of Cycles That Resulted in Multiple-Infant Live Births, by Type of ART Cycle, 1996–2006




## Have multiple-infant live births for cycles using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos changed for all ART patients or only for those in particular age groups?

Figure 63 shows that the percentages of multiple-infant live births decreased between 1996 and 2006 for women in all age groups. In 1996, 43% of live-birth deliveries to women younger than 35 were multiple-infant births, compared with 34% in 2006. Among women older than 42, the percentages of multiple-infant live births decreased from 14% in 1996 to 9% in 2006.

**Figure 63**

Percentages of Multiple-Infant Live Births,  
for Fresh–Nondonor Cycles, by ART Patient's Age, 1996–2006




## Have the percentages of singletons, twins, and triplets or more changed for ART cycles using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos?

Figure 64 presents the trends in percentages of transfers that resulted in live births and percentages of multiple-infant live births for ART cycles using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos. Overall, the percentage of transfers that resulted in live births increased from 28% in 1996 to 35% in 2006. From 1996 through 2006, the percentage of singleton live births increased from 62% to 69%; the percentage of twin births remained stable, ranging from 29% to 32%; and the percentage of triplet-or-more births decreased from 7% in 1996 to 2% in 2006.

It is important to note that twins, albeit to a lesser extent than triplets or more, are still at substantially greater risk for illness and death than singletons. These risks include low birth weight, preterm birth, and neurological impairments such as cerebral palsy. Both the percentages of twin and triplet-or-more births remain significantly higher for ART births than for births resulting from natural conception.

**Figure 64**

Percentages of Transfers That Resulted in Live Births and Percentages of Multiple-Infant Live Births for ART Cycles Using Fresh Nondonor Eggs or Embryos, 1996–2006




\*Percentages of live births that were singletons, twins, and triplets or more are in parentheses.

†Total does not equal 100% due to rounding.

# 2006

## **Fertility Clinic Tables**





# INTRODUCTION TO FERTILITY CLINIC TABLES

---

The first table in this section is the national summary of combined data from all clinics. Individual clinic tables follow, with each clinic's data presented in a one-page table that includes the types of ART used, patient diagnoses, success rates that each clinic reported and verified for 2006, and individual program characteristics. Clinics are listed in alphabetical order by state, city, and clinic name.

Many people considering ART will want to use this report to find the “best” clinic. However, comparisons between clinics must be made with caution. Many factors contribute to the success of an ART procedure. Some factors are related to the training and experience of the ART clinic and laboratory professionals and the quality of services they provide. Other factors are related to the patients themselves, such as their age and the cause of their infertility. Some clinics may be more willing than others to accept patients with low chances of success or may specialize in various ART treatments that attract particular types of patients. These and other factors to consider when interpreting clinic data are discussed below.

## Important Factors to Consider When Using These Tables to Assess a Clinic

- **These statistics are for 2006.** Data for cycles started in 2006 could not be published until 2008 because the final outcomes of pregnancies conceived in December 2006 were not known until October 2007. Additional time was then required to collect and analyze the data and prepare the report. Many factors that contribute to a clinic's success rate may have changed in the 2 years since these procedures were performed. Personnel may be different. Equipment and training may or may not have been updated. As a result, success rates for 2006 may differ from current rates.
- **No reported success rate is absolute.** A clinic's success rates vary from year to year even if all determining factors remain the same. The more cycles that a clinic carries out, the less the rate is likely to vary. Conversely, clinics that carry out fewer cycles are likely to have more variability in success rates from year to year. As an extreme example, if a clinic reports only one ART cycle in a given category, as is sometimes the case in the data presented here, the clinic's success rate in that category would be either 0% or 100%. For further detail, see the explanation of confidence intervals on pages 519–520.
- **Some clinics see more than the average number of patients with difficult infertility problems.** Some clinics are willing to offer ART to most potential users, even those who have a low probability of success. Others discourage such patients or encourage them to use donor eggs, practices that result in higher success rates among older women. Clinics that accept a higher percentage of women who previously have had multiple unsuccessful ART cycles will generally have lower success rates. In contrast, clinics that offer ART procedures to patients who might have become pregnant with less technologically advanced treatment will have higher success rates.

A related issue is that success rates shown in this report are presented in terms of cycles, as required by law, rather than in terms of women. As a result, women who had more than one ART cycle in 2006 are represented in multiple cycles that cannot be linked. If a woman who underwent several ART cycles at a given clinic either never had a successful cycle or had a successful cycle only after numerous attempts, the clinic's success rates would be lowered.

- **Cancellation rates affect a clinic's success rate.** Cancellation rates for cycles using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos vary among clinics from less than 1% to, in a few cases, more than 30%. A high percentage of cancellations tends to lower the percentage of cycles resulting in live births but may increase the percentage of retrievals resulting in live births and the percentage of transfers resulting in live births.
- **Success rates for unstimulated (or "natural") cycles are included with those for stimulated cycles.** In an unstimulated cycle, the woman ovulates naturally rather than through the daily injections used in stimulated cycles. Unstimulated cycles are less expensive because they require no daily injections and fewer ultrasounds and blood tests. However, women who use natural or mild stimulation produce only one or two follicles, thus reducing the potential number of embryos for transfer. As a result, unstimulated cycles are less successful, and clinics that carry out a relatively high proportion of unstimulated cycles will have lower success rates. Nationally, fewer than 1% of ART cycles using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos in 2006 were unstimulated. In a very few clinics, more than 2% of cycles were unstimulated.
- **Success rates are calculated per cycle rather than per patient.** Therefore, for patients who undergo both fresh and frozen cycles, success rates are calculated separately for each cycle. Clinics that have a very high percentage of cycles resulting in live births with frozen embryos would have higher ART success rates if these births were included as successes from the original stimulated cycle. Consumers should look at both rates (for cycles using fresh embryos and for those using frozen embryos) when assessing a clinic's success rates.
- **The number of embryos transferred varies from clinic to clinic.** In 2006, the average number of embryos that a clinic transferred to women younger than age 35 ranged from two to six for fresh–nondonor cycles. The American Society for Reproductive Medicine and the Society for Assisted Reproductive Technology discourage the transfer of a large number of embryos because it increases the likelihood of multiple gestations. Multiple gestations, in turn, increase both the probability of premature birth and its related problems and the need for multifetal pregnancy reductions.

In addition, success rates can be affected by many other factors, including

- Quality of eggs.
- Quality of sperm (including motility and ability to penetrate the egg).
- Skill and competence of the treatment team.
- General health of the woman.
- Genetic factors.

We encourage consumers considering ART to contact clinics to discuss their specific medical situations and their potential for success using ART. Because clinics did not have the opportunity to provide narratives to explain their data, such conversations could provide additional information to help people decide whether to use ART.



Although ART offers important options for the treatment of infertility, the decision to use ART involves many factors in addition to success rates. Undergoing repeated ART cycles requires substantial commitments of time, effort, money, and emotional energy. Therefore, consumers should carefully examine all related financial, psychological, and medical issues before beginning treatment. They also will want to consider the location of the clinic, the counseling and support services available, and the rapport that staff members have with their patients.

An explanation of how to read a fertility clinic table begins on page 83.

## SAMPLE CLINIC TABLE

A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 79–88.

### 2006 ART CYCLE PROFILE

1 Type of ART <sup>a</sup>				2 Patient Diagnosis	
IVF	>99%	<b>Procedural Factors:</b>		Tubal factor	13%
GIFT	<1%	With ICSI	53%	Ovulatory dysfunction	6%
ZIFT	<1%	Unstimulated	<1%	Diminished ovarian reserve	9%
Combination	<1%	Used gestational carrier	<1%	Endometriosis	6%
				Uterine factor	1%
				Male factor	17%
				Other factor	7%
				Unknown factor	10%
				<b>Multiple Factors:</b>	
				Female factors only	13%
				Female & male factors	18%

### 4 2006 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

3 Data verified by X. Y. Zee, MD

Type of Cycle		5 Age of Woman			
		<35	35–37	38–40	41–42 <sup>d</sup>
<b>4A Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs</b>					
Number of cycles		115	106	68	19
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies <sup>b</sup>		45.2	37.7	23.5	5/19
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>		37.4	31.1	20.6	2/19
6 (Confidence Interval)		(28.5–46.2)	(22.3–39.9)	(11.0–30.2)	
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>		42.6	33.3	23.7	2/17
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>		52.4	34.7	24.1	2/15
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births <sup>b</sup>		29.3	29.5	19.0	2/15
Percentage of cancellations <sup>b</sup>		12.2	6.6	13.2	2/19
Average number of embryos transferred		2.0	2.5	3.8	2.9
Percentage of pregnancies with twins <sup>b</sup>		38.5	12.5	4/16	1/5
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more <sup>b</sup>		3.8	2.5	1/16	0/5
Percentage of live births having multiple infants <sup>b,c</sup>		44.2	15.2	3/14	0/2
<b>4B Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs</b>					
Number of transfers		62	25	20	14
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>		27.4	24.0	20.0	2/14
Average number of embryos transferred		2.1	2.0	2.7	3.1
<b>All Ages Combined<sup>e</sup></b>					
<b>4C Donor Eggs</b>		<b>Fresh Embryos</b>		<b>Frozen Embryos</b>	
Number of transfers		49		14	
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>		51.0		4/14	
Average number of embryos transferred		2.1		3.4	

### 7 CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

**Current Name:** ART Clinic of the United States

Donor egg?	Yes	Gestational carriers?	Yes	SART member?	Yes
Donor embryo?	Yes	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	Yes
Single women?	No			(See Appendix C for details.)	

<sup>a</sup> Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2006 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.

<sup>b</sup> When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.

<sup>c</sup> A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.

<sup>d</sup> Clinic-specific outcome rates are unreliable for women older than 42 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs. Readers are urged to review national outcomes for these age groups (see page 27).

<sup>e</sup> All ages (including ages >42) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.

## How to Read a Fertility Clinic Table

This section is provided to help consumers understand the information presented in the fertility clinic tables. The number before each heading refers to the number of the corresponding section in the sample clinic table on the opposite page. Technical terms are defined in the Glossary of Terms (Appendix B).

### 1. Type of ART used

This section gives the breakdown of ART cycle types that each clinic performed using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos (IVF, GIFT, ZIFT, or combinations thereof). It also lists the percentage of procedures that involved intracytoplasmic sperm injection (ICSI), which was not performed by all clinics in 2006; the percentage of cycles that were unstimulated; and the percentage of cycles that used a gestational carrier. (See Glossary of Terms in Appendix B for definitions of IVF, GIFT, ZIFT, ICSI, and gestational carrier.)

### 2. ART patient diagnosis

Consumers may want to know what percentage of a particular clinic's patients have the same diagnosis as they do. (See Glossary of Terms in Appendix B for definitions of diagnoses.) In addition, patients' diagnoses may affect a clinic's success rates. However, the use of these diagnostic categories may vary somewhat from clinic to clinic.

### 3. Verification

To have success rates published in the annual report, a clinic's medical director must verify the accuracy of the tabulated success rates. The name of the individual who verified the clinic's data is shown.

### 4. Success rates by type of cycle

Success rates are given for the three categories of cycles described in 4A–C below: cycles using fresh embryos from nondonor eggs, cycles using frozen embryos from nondonor eggs, and cycles using donor eggs. The ART success rates shown were calculated based on data from all ART cycle types (IVF, both with and without ICSI; GIFT; and ZIFT). Data from these procedures were combined because there was little difference in success rates when we examined each type of ART procedure separately.

The success rates indicate the average chance of success for the given procedure at the clinic in 2006 for each of four age groups. Success rates are calculated as the percentage of cycles started, egg retrievals, or embryo transfers that resulted in either pregnancies or live births at the ART clinic in 2006. For example, if a clinic started a total of 50 cycles in 2006 and these resulted in 15 live births, the average success rate for cycles started at that clinic would be

$$15 \text{ (births)} \div 50 \text{ (cycles)} = 0.3 \text{ or } 30\%.$$

Thus, the success rate at that clinic in 2006 was 30%, meaning that 30% of cycles started that year resulted in a live birth.

Success rate calculations are very unstable if they are based on a small number of cycles. Therefore, when fewer than 20 cycles are reported in a given category, the rates are shown as fractions rather than percentages. For example, the sample clinic carried out only 19 fresh embryo cycles using

nondonor eggs among women aged 41–42 years. Of these 19 cycles, 2—or 10%—were successful. However, because of the small number of cycles, 10% is not a statistically reliable success rate, so the success rate is presented as 2/19, meaning 2 out of 19.

#### **4A. Cycles using fresh embryos from nondonor eggs**

This section includes IVF, ICSI, GIFT, and ZIFT cycles that used a woman's own eggs. Cycles that used frozen embryos or donor eggs or embryos are not included here.

- **Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies**

(Number of pregnancies divided by number of cycles started, expressed as a percentage of cycles)

A stimulated cycle is started when a woman begins taking fertility drugs; an unstimulated cycle is started when egg production begins being monitored. The number of cycles that a clinic starts is not the same as the number of patients that it treats because some women start more than one cycle in a year. Because some pregnancies end in a miscarriage, induced abortion, or stillbirth, the percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies is usually higher than the percentage of cycles resulting in live births.

- **Percentage of cycles resulting in live births**

(Number of live births divided by number of cycles started, expressed as a percentage of cycles)

This number represents the cycles that resulted in a live birth out of all ART cycles started. One live birth may include one or more children born alive; that is, a multiple-infant birth (e.g., twins, triplets) is counted as one live birth.

- **Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births**

(Number of live births divided by number of egg retrieval procedures, expressed as a percentage of retrievals)

This number represents the cycles that resulted in a live birth out of all cycles in which an egg retrieval was performed. The number of egg retrievals a clinic performs often is smaller than the number of cycles started because some cycles are canceled before the woman has an egg retrieved. As a result, the percentage of retrievals resulting in live births is usually higher than the percentage of cycles resulting in live births. Cycles are canceled for many reasons: eggs may not develop, the patient may become ill, or the patient may choose to stop treatment (see Figure 6, page 18).

- **Percentage of transfers resulting in live births**

(Number of live births divided by number of embryo transfer procedures, expressed as a percentage of transfers)

This number represents the cycles that resulted in a live birth out of all cycles in which one or more embryos were transferred into the woman's uterus or, in the case of GIFT and ZIFT, egg and sperm or embryos were transferred into the woman's fallopian tubes. A clinic may carry out more egg retrievals than embryo transfers because not every retrieval results in egg fertilization and embryo transfer. For this reason, the percentage of transfers resulting in live births generally will be higher than those reported for egg retrievals and for cycles started.

- **Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births**

(Number of singleton live births divided by number of embryo transfer procedures, expressed as a percentage of transfers)

This number represents the cycles that resulted in the birth of a single infant out of all cycles in which one or more embryos were transferred into the woman's uterus or, in the case of GIFT and ZIFT, egg and sperm or embryos were transferred into the woman's fallopian tubes. Singleton births have a much lower risk than multiple-infant births for adverse infant health outcomes, including prematurity, low birth weight, disability, and death.

- **Percentage of cancellations**

(Number of cycles canceled divided by the total number of cycles, expressed as a percentage of cycles)

This number refers to the cycles that were stopped before an egg was retrieved. A cycle may be canceled if a woman's ovaries do not respond to fertility medications and thus do not produce a sufficient number of follicles. Cycles also may be canceled because of illness or other medical or personal reasons.

- **Average number of embryos transferred**

(Average number of embryos per embryo transfer procedure)

The average number of embryos transferred varies from clinic to clinic. The American Society for Reproductive Medicine (ASRM) and the Society for Assisted Reproductive Technology (SART) have practice guidelines that address this issue.

- **Percentage of pregnancies with twins**

(Number of pregnancies with two fetuses divided by the total number of pregnancies, expressed as a percentage of pregnancies)

A pregnancy with two fetuses is counted as one pregnancy.

- **Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more**

(Number of pregnancies with three or more fetuses divided by the total number of pregnancies, expressed as a percentage of pregnancies)

Pregnancies with multiple fetuses can be associated with increased risk for mothers and infants (e.g., higher rates of caesarean section, prematurity, low birth weight, infant death) and the possibility of multifetal pregnancy reduction.

A pregnancy with three or more fetuses is counted as one pregnancy.

- **Percentage of live births having multiple infants**

(Number of deliveries resulting in a birth of more than one infant divided by the number of live births, expressed as a percentage of live births)

A delivery of one or more live-born infants is counted as one live birth.

## 4B. Cycles using frozen embryos from nondonor eggs

Frozen (cryopreserved) embryo cycles are those in which previously frozen embryos are thawed and then transferred. Because frozen embryo cycles use embryos formed from a previous stimulated cycle, no stimulation or retrieval is involved. As a result, these cycles usually are less expensive and less invasive than cycles using fresh embryos. In addition, freezing some of the embryos from a retrieval procedure may increase a woman's overall chances of having a child from a single retrieval.

## 4C. Cycles using donor eggs

Success rates are presented separately for cycles using fresh donor eggs or embryos and those using frozen donor embryos. Older women, women with premature ovarian failure (early menopause), women whose ovaries have been removed, and women with a genetic concern about using their own eggs may consider using eggs that are donated by a young, healthy woman. Embryos donated by couples who previously had ART also may be available. Many clinics provide services for donor egg and embryo cycles. For these cycle types, results from women in all age groups (including older than 42) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not affect success rates with donor eggs (see Figures 45 and 46 on pages 57 and 58).

## 5. Age of woman

Because a woman's fertility declines with age, clinics report lower success rates for older women attempting to become pregnant with their own eggs. For this reason, rates for women using nondonor eggs or embryos are reported separately for women younger than age 35, for women 35–37, for women 38–40, and for women 41–42. Clinic-specific outcome rates are not shown for women older than 42 who undergo ART using their own eggs because the number of women in this age group at each clinic is small; therefore, a calculation of the percentage of cycles resulting in live births in older age groups may not be meaningful. Readers are encouraged to review national outcomes for these age groups shown on page 27. The sample clinic table illustrates the decline in ART success rates among older women. For example, for cycles that used fresh embryos from nondonor eggs, the percentage of cycles resulting in live births among women younger than 35 was 37.4%, whereas the percentage of cycles resulting in live births among women aged 38–40 was 20.6%.

## 6. Confidence interval

The tables show a range, called the **95% confidence interval**, that conveys the reliability of a clinic's demonstrated success rate. This range is calculated only if 20 or more cycles are reported in an age category. (When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in a given category, success rates are shown as fractions rather than percentages; see paragraph 4, Success rates by type of cycle, page 83.) In general, the more cycles that a clinic performs, the narrower the range. A narrow range means we are more confident that a clinic would have a similar success rate if it treated other similar groups of patients under similar clinical conditions. On the other hand, a wide range tells us that a clinic's success rate is more likely to vary under similar circumstances because we had less information (fewer cycles) on which to base our estimates. Even though one clinic's success rate may appear higher than another's based on the confidence intervals, **these confidence intervals are only one indication that the success rate may be better. Other factors also must be considered** when comparing rates from two clinics. For example, some clinics see more than the average number of patients with difficult

infertility problems, whereas others discourage patients with a low probability of success. For further information on important factors to consider when using the tables to assess a clinic, refer to pages 79–81.

For a more detailed explanation and examples of confidence intervals, see pages 519–520 in Appendix A.

## 7. Clinic services and profile

- **Current name.** This name reflects name changes that may have occurred since 2006, whereas the clinic name at the top of the table was the name of the ART clinic as it existed in 2006. Some clinics not only have changed their names but have reorganized as well. Reorganization is defined as a change in ownership or affiliation or a change in at least two of the three key staff positions (practice director, medical director, or laboratory director). In such cases, no current name will be listed, but a statement will be included that the clinic has undergone reorganization since 2006. Also, in such cases, no current clinic services or profile will be listed.
- **Donor egg program.** Some clinics have programs for ART using donor eggs. Donor eggs are eggs that have been retrieved from one woman (the donor) and then transferred to another woman who is unable to conceive with her own eggs (the recipient). Policies regarding sharing of donor eggs vary from clinic to clinic.
- **Donor embryo.** These are embryos that were donated by another couple who previously underwent ART treatment and had extra embryos available.
- **Single women.** Clinics have varying policies regarding ART services for single (unmarried) women.
- **Gestational carriers.** A gestational carrier is a woman who carries a child for another woman; sometimes such women are referred to as gestational surrogates. Policies regarding ART services using gestational carriers vary from clinic to clinic. Some states do not permit clinics to offer this service.
- **Cryopreservation.** This item refers to whether the clinic has a program for freezing extra embryos that may be available from a couple's ART cycle.
- **SART member.** In 2006, 378 of the 426 reporting clinics were Society for Assisted Reproductive Technology (SART) members.
- **Verified lab accreditation.** If “yes” appears next to this item, the ART clinic uses an embryo laboratory accredited by one of the following organizations:
  - College of American Pathologists (CAP)/American Society for Reproductive Medicine (ASRM), Reproductive Laboratory Accreditation Program.
  - Joint Commission on Accreditation of Healthcare Organizations (JCAHO).
  - New York State Tissue Bank Program (NYSTB).

If “pending” appears here, it means that the clinic has submitted an application for accreditation to one of the above organizations and has provided proof of such application to Westat. “No” indicates that the embryo laboratory has not been accredited by any of these three organizations.



CDC provides this information as a public service. ***Please note that CDC does not oversee any of these accreditation programs.*** They are all nonfederal programs. To become certified, laboratories must have in place systems and processes that comply with the accrediting organization's standards. Depending on the organization, standards may include those for personnel, quality control and quality assurance, specimen tracking, results reporting, and the performance of technical procedures. Compliance with these standards is confirmed by documentation provided by the laboratory and by on-site inspections. For further information, consumers may contact the following accrediting organizations directly:

- CAP/ASRM, Reproductive Laboratory Accreditation Program: For a list of accredited laboratories, call 800-323-4040 and ask for Laboratory Accreditation.
- JCAHO: Call 630-792-5000 to inquire about the status of individual laboratories.
- New York State: Call 518-485-5341 to find out which laboratories are certified under the tissue bank regulations.

Further information on laboratory accreditation is provided in Appendix C.



## 2006 NATIONAL SUMMARY

A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 79–88.

### 2006 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART <sup>a</sup>				Patient Diagnosis			
IVF	>99%	<b>Procedural Factors:</b>		Tubal factor	9%	Other factor	9%
GIFT	<1%	With ICSI	62%	Ovulatory dysfunction	6%	Unknown factor	11%
ZIFT	<1%	Unstimulated	<1%	Diminished ovarian reserve	12%	<i>Multiple Factors:</i>	
Combination	<1%	Used gestational carrier	1%	Endometriosis	5%	Female factors only	12%
		Used PGD	5%	Uterine factor	1%	Female & male factors	18%
				Male factor	17%		

### 2006 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman			
	<35	35–37	38–40	41–42 <sup>c</sup>
<b>Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs</b>				
Number of cycles	41,369	23,376	19,775	9,346
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies	44.6	37.1	27.7	17.7
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births <sup>b</sup>	38.7	30.4	20.6	10.8
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births <sup>b</sup>	41.8	34.4	24.1	13.0
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b</sup>	44.7	37.1	26.7	15.1
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births <sup>b</sup>	29.3	26.0	20.7	12.7
Percentage of cancellations	7.6	11.4	14.5	17.6
Average number of embryos transferred	2.3	2.5	2.9	3.2
Percentage of pregnancies with twins	32.4	27.0	20.9	13.3
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more	3.8	4.3	3.8	2.5
Percentage of live births having multiple infants <sup>b</sup>	34.4	29.8	22.6	15.4
<b>Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs</b>				
Number of transfers	10,154	5,299	3,006	963
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b</sup>	33.0	27.7	23.2	20.5
Average number of embryos transferred	2.3	2.4	2.4	2.6
<b>All Ages Combined<sup>d</sup></b>				
<b>Donor Eggs</b>	<b>Fresh Embryos</b>		<b>Frozen Embryos</b>	
	Number of transfers		5,456	
	10,049		32.1	
	53.7		2.5	
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b</sup>		2.3		
Average number of embryos transferred				

### CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

**Total number of reporting clinics:** 426

**Percentage of clinics that offer the following services:**

Donor egg	93	Gestational carriers	81	<b>Clinic profile:</b>	
Donor embryo	65	Cryopreservation	100	SART member	89
Single women	90			Verified lab accreditation	
				Yes	91
				No	5
				Pending	4

<sup>a</sup> Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2006 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.

<sup>b</sup> A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.

<sup>c</sup> See page 27 for national summary statistics for women older than 42.

<sup>d</sup> All ages (including ages >42) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.



## ALABAMA FERTILITY SPECIALISTS BIRMINGHAM, ALABAMA

A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 79–88.

### 2006 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART <sup>a</sup>				Patient Diagnosis			
IVF	100%	<b>Procedural Factors:</b>		Tubal factor	12%	Other factor	1%
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	46%	Ovulatory dysfunction	11%	Unknown factor	3%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	0%	Diminished ovarian reserve	4%	<b>Multiple Factors:</b>	
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	0%	Endometriosis	8%	Female factors only	19%
				Uterine factor	0%	Female & male factors	32%
				Male factor	10%		

### 2006 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by Michael P. Steinkampf, MD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman			
	<35	35–37	38–40	41–42 <sup>d</sup>
<b>Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs</b>				
Number of cycles	34	8	5	1
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies <sup>b</sup>	38.2	1 / 8	0 / 5	0 / 1
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	35.3	1 / 8	0 / 5	0 / 1
(Confidence Interval)	(19.7–53.5)			
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	38.7	1 / 7	0 / 4	
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	40.0	1 / 6	0 / 4	
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births <sup>b</sup>	26.7	0 / 6	0 / 4	
Percentage of cancellations <sup>b</sup>	8.8	1 / 8	1 / 5	1 / 1
Average number of embryos transferred	2.5	2.8	3.3	
Percentage of pregnancies with twins <sup>b</sup>	5 / 13	1 / 1		
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more <sup>b</sup>	0 / 13	0 / 1		
Percentage of live births having multiple infants <sup>b,c</sup>	4 / 12	1 / 1		
<b>Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs</b>				
Number of transfers	8	4	2	0
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	1 / 8	0 / 4	0 / 2	
Average number of embryos transferred	2.3	2.3	3.5	
<b>All Ages Combined<sup>e</sup></b>				
<b>Donor Eggs</b>	<b>Fresh Embryos</b>		<b>Frozen Embryos</b>	
	5		3	
	2 / 5		0 / 3	
	2.0		2.0	

### CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

**Current Name:** Alabama Fertility Specialists

Donor egg?	Yes	Gestational carriers?	No	SART member?	Yes
Donor embryo?	No	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	Yes
Single women?	Yes			(See Appendix C for details.)	

<sup>a</sup> Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2006 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.

<sup>b</sup> When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.

<sup>c</sup> A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.

<sup>d</sup> Clinic-specific outcome rates are unreliable for women older than 42 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs. Readers are urged to review national outcomes for these age groups (see page 27).

<sup>e</sup> All ages (including ages >42) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.

## ART FERTILITY PROGRAM OF ALABAMA BIRMINGHAM, ALABAMA

A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 79–88.

### 2006 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART <sup>a</sup>				Patient Diagnosis			
IVF	100%	<b>Procedural Factors:</b>		Tubal factor	2%	Other factor	2%
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	75%	Ovulatory dysfunction	2%	Unknown factor	1%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	0%	Diminished ovarian reserve	<1%	<b>Multiple Factors:</b>	
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	0%	Endometriosis	<1%	Female factors only	15%
				Uterine factor	0%	Female & male factors	66%
				Male factor	12%		

### 2006 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by Kathryn L. Honea, MD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman			
	<35	35–37	38–40	41–42 <sup>d</sup>
<b>Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs</b>				
Number of cycles	179	56	45	11
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies <sup>b</sup>	40.8	37.5	33.3	2 / 11
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	38.5	28.6	26.7	0 / 11
(Confidence Interval)	(31.4–46.1)	(17.3–42.2)	(14.6–41.9)	
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	44.2	30.8	33.3	0 / 10
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	46.3	34.0	35.3	0 / 10
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births <sup>b</sup>	30.9	27.7	32.4	0 / 10
Percentage of cancellations <sup>b</sup>	12.8	7.1	20.0	1 / 11
Average number of embryos transferred	2.0	2.1	2.8	2.9
Percentage of pregnancies with twins <sup>b</sup>	32.9	28.6	3 / 15	0 / 2
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more <sup>b</sup>	2.7	0.0	0 / 15	0 / 2
Percentage of live births having multiple infants <sup>b,c</sup>	33.3	3 / 16	1 / 12	
<b>Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs</b>				
Number of transfers	22	8	2	0
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	22.7	2 / 8	0 / 2	
Average number of embryos transferred	2.2	2.0	1.0	
<b>All Ages Combined<sup>e</sup></b>				
<b>Donor Eggs</b>	<b>Fresh Embryos</b>		<b>Frozen Embryos</b>	
	35		9	
	68.6		3 / 9	
	2.1		2.0	

### CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

**Current Name:** ART Fertility Program of Alabama

Donor egg?	Yes	Gestational carriers?	Yes	SART member?	Yes
Donor embryo?	Yes	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	Yes
Single women?	No			(See Appendix C for details.)	

<sup>a</sup> Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2006 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.

<sup>b</sup> When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.

<sup>c</sup> A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.

<sup>d</sup> Clinic-specific outcome rates are unreliable for women older than 42 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs. Readers are urged to review national outcomes for these age groups (see page 27).

<sup>e</sup> All ages (including ages >42) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.

# UNIVERSITY OF ALABAMA AT BIRMINGHAM

## BIRMINGHAM, ALABAMA

**A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 79–88.**

### 2006 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART <sup>a</sup>			Patient Diagnosis	
IVF	98%	<b>Procedural Factors:</b>	Tubal factor	15%
GIFT	2%	With ICSI	Ovulatory dysfunction	5%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	Diminished ovarian reserve	7%
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	Endometriosis	7%
			Uterine factor	0%
			Male factor	31%
			Other factor	9%
			Unknown factor	12%
			<b>Multiple Factors:</b>	
			Female factors only	3%
			Female & male factors	12%

### 2006 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by John A. Lucas, MD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman			
	<35	35–37	38–40	41–42 <sup>d</sup>
<b>Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs</b>				
Number of cycles	30	9	12	2
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies <sup>b</sup>	36.7	1 / 9	2 / 12	0 / 2
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	36.7	1 / 9	1 / 12	0 / 2
(Confidence Interval)	(19.9–56.1)			
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	42.3	1 / 7	1 / 10	
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	44.0	1 / 7	1 / 10	
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births <sup>b</sup>	28.0	0 / 7	1 / 10	
Percentage of cancellations <sup>b</sup>	13.3	2 / 9	2 / 12	2 / 2
Average number of embryos transferred	2.3	2.0	3.0	
Percentage of pregnancies with twins <sup>b</sup>	3 / 11	1 / 1	1 / 2	
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more <sup>b</sup>	1 / 11	0 / 1	0 / 2	
Percentage of live births having multiple infants <sup>b,c</sup>	4 / 11	1 / 1	0 / 1	
<b>Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs</b>				
Number of transfers	1	2	0	0
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	0 / 1	0 / 2		
Average number of embryos transferred	3.0	1.0		
<b>All Ages Combined<sup>e</sup></b>				
<b>Donor Eggs</b>	<b>Fresh Embryos</b>		<b>Frozen Embryos</b>	
	2		1	
	1 / 2		0 / 1	
Average number of embryos transferred	2.5		1.0	

### CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

**Current Name:** University of Alabama at Birmingham

Donor egg?	Yes	Gestational carriers?	No	SART member?	Yes
Donor embryo?	No	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	Yes
Single women?	Yes			(See Appendix C for details.)	

<sup>a</sup> Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2006 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.

<sup>b</sup> When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.

<sup>c</sup> A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.

<sup>d</sup> Clinic-specific outcome rates are unreliable for women older than 42 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs. Readers are urged to review national outcomes for these age groups (see page 27).

<sup>e</sup> All ages (including ages >42) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.

## HUNTSVILLE REPRODUCTIVE MEDICINE, PC HUNTSVILLE, ALABAMA

A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 79–88.

### 2006 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART <sup>a</sup>				Patient Diagnosis			
IVF	100%	<b>Procedural Factors:</b>		Tubal factor	18%	Other factor	3%
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	83%	Ovulatory dysfunction	3%	Unknown factor	4%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	0%	Diminished ovarian reserve	11%	<i>Multiple Factors:</i>	
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	3%	Endometriosis	2%	Female factors only	25%
				Uterine factor	<1%	Female & male factors	22%
				Male factor	10%		

### 2006 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by Andrew J. Harper, MD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman			
	<35	35–37	38–40	41–42 <sup>d</sup>
<b>Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs</b>				
Number of cycles	52	10	12	1
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies <sup>b</sup>	51.9	2 / 10	4 / 12	1 / 1
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	46.2	2 / 10	2 / 12	1 / 1
(Confidence Interval)	(32.2–60.5)			
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	49.0	2 / 9	2 / 11	1 / 1
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	50.0	2 / 9	2 / 11	1 / 1
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births <sup>b</sup>	43.8	0 / 9	1 / 11	1 / 1
Percentage of cancellations <sup>b</sup>	5.8	1 / 10	1 / 12	0 / 1
Average number of embryos transferred	2.1	2.6	3.2	3.0
Percentage of pregnancies with twins <sup>b</sup>	22.2	1 / 2	1 / 4	0 / 1
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more <sup>b</sup>	0.0	1 / 2	0 / 4	0 / 1
Percentage of live births having multiple infants <sup>b,c</sup>	12.5	2 / 2	1 / 2	0 / 1
<b>Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs</b>				
Number of transfers	12	7	2	0
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	8 / 12	1 / 7	1 / 2	
Average number of embryos transferred	1.9	2.6	2.5	
<b>All Ages Combined<sup>e</sup></b>				
<b>Donor Eggs</b>	<b>Fresh Embryos</b>		<b>Frozen Embryos</b>	
	20		5	
	55.0		1 / 5	
	2.0		2.0	

### CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

**Current Name:** Huntsville Reproductive Medicine, PC

Donor egg?	Yes	Gestational carriers?	Yes	SART member?	No
Donor embryo?	Yes	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	No
Single women?	Yes			(See Appendix C for details.)	

<sup>a</sup> Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2006 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.

<sup>b</sup> When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.

<sup>c</sup> A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.

<sup>d</sup> Clinic-specific outcome rates are unreliable for women older than 42 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs. Readers are urged to review national outcomes for these age groups (see page 27).

<sup>e</sup> All ages (including ages >42) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.

# CENTER FOR REPRODUCTIVE MEDICINE MOBILE, ALABAMA

**A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 79–88.**

## 2006 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART <sup>a</sup>				Patient Diagnosis			
IVF	100%	<b>Procedural Factors:</b>		Tubal factor	21%	Other factor	11%
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	67%	Ovulatory dysfunction	4%	Unknown factor	0%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	0%	Diminished ovarian reserve	3%	<i>Multiple Factors:</i>	
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	0%	Endometriosis	13%	Female factors only	9%
				Uterine factor	0%	Female & male factors	21%
				Male factor	16%		

## 2006 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by George T. Koulianos, MD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman			
	<35	35–37	38–40	41–42 <sup>d</sup>
<b>Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs</b>				
Number of cycles	108	39	20	5
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies <sup>b</sup>	49.1	38.5	35.0	1 / 5
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	44.4	30.8	30.0	0 / 5
(Confidence Interval)	(34.9–54.3)	(17.0–47.6)	(11.9–54.3)	
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	51.1	42.9	6 / 13	0 / 3
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	52.7	44.4	6 / 13	0 / 3
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births <sup>b</sup>	36.3	29.6	2 / 13	0 / 3
Percentage of cancellations <sup>b</sup>	13.0	28.2	35.0	2 / 5
Average number of embryos transferred	2.1	2.4	2.8	3.0
Percentage of pregnancies with twins <sup>b</sup>	32.1	5 / 15	4 / 7	0 / 1
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more <sup>b</sup>	1.9	0 / 15	1 / 7	0 / 1
Percentage of live births having multiple infants <sup>b,c</sup>	31.3	4 / 12	4 / 6	
<b>Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs</b>				
Number of transfers	6	2	1	0
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	2 / 6	0 / 2	0 / 1	
Average number of embryos transferred	2.2	3.0	2.0	
<b>All Ages Combined<sup>e</sup></b>				
<b>Donor Eggs</b>	<b>Fresh Embryos</b>		<b>Frozen Embryos</b>	
	25		6	
	40.0		2 / 6	
	2.2		2.5	

## CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

**Current Name:** Center for Reproductive Medicine

Donor egg?	Yes	Gestational carriers?	Yes	SART member?	Yes
Donor embryo?	Yes	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	Yes
Single women?	No			(See Appendix C for details.)	

<sup>a</sup> Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2006 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.

<sup>b</sup> When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.

<sup>c</sup> A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.

<sup>d</sup> Clinic-specific outcome rates are unreliable for women older than 42 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs. Readers are urged to review national outcomes for these age groups (see page 27).

<sup>e</sup> All ages (including ages >42) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.



# UNIVERSITY OF SOUTH ALABAMA IVF AND ART PROGRAM

## MOBILE, ALABAMA

A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 79–88.

### 2006 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART <sup>a</sup>				Patient Diagnosis			
IVF	100%	<b>Procedural Factors:</b>		Tubal factor	22%	Other factor	5%
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	32%	Ovulatory dysfunction	10%	Unknown factor	0%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	0%	Diminished ovarian reserve	7%	<i>Multiple Factors:</i>	
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	0%	Endometriosis	0%	Female factors only	24%
				Uterine factor	2%	Female & male factors	17%
				Male factor	12%		

### 2006 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by Botros M. Rizk, MD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman			
	<35	35–37	38–40	41–42 <sup>d</sup>
<b>Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs</b>				
Number of cycles	31	1	1	5
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies <sup>b</sup>	6.5	1 / 1	0 / 1	0 / 5
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	6.5	0 / 1	0 / 1	0 / 5
(Confidence Interval)	(0.8–21.4)			
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	7.1	0 / 1	0 / 1	0 / 4
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	7.7	0 / 1	0 / 1	0 / 4
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births <sup>b</sup>	7.7	0 / 1	0 / 1	0 / 4
Percentage of cancellations <sup>b</sup>	9.7	0 / 1	0 / 1	1 / 5
Average number of embryos transferred	2.2	3.0	1.0	2.0
Percentage of pregnancies with twins <sup>b</sup>	0 / 2	0 / 1		
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more <sup>b</sup>	0 / 2	0 / 1		
Percentage of live births having multiple infants <sup>b,c</sup>	0 / 2			
<b>Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs</b>				
Number of transfers	2	0	0	0
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	0 / 2			
Average number of embryos transferred	2.5			
<b>All Ages Combined<sup>e</sup></b>				
<b>Donor Eggs</b>	<b>Fresh Embryos</b>		<b>Frozen Embryos</b>	
	1		0	
	0 / 1			
Average number of embryos transferred	2.0			

### CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

**Current Name:** University of South Alabama IVF and ART Program

Donor egg?	Yes	Gestational carriers?	No	SART member?	Yes
Donor embryo?	No	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	Yes
Single women?	No			(See Appendix C for details.)	

<sup>a</sup> Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2006 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.

<sup>b</sup> When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.

<sup>c</sup> A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.

<sup>d</sup> Clinic-specific outcome rates are unreliable for women older than 42 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs. Readers are urged to review national outcomes for these age groups (see page 27).

<sup>e</sup> All ages (including ages >42) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.



**PENINSULA MEDICAL CENTER  
JOHN NELS ANDERSON, MD  
SOLDOTNA, ALASKA**

**A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 79–88.**

### 2006 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART <sup>a</sup>				Patient Diagnosis	
IVF	100%	<b>Procedural Factors:</b>		Tubal factor	19%
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	22%	Ovulatory dysfunction	5%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	0%	Diminished ovarian reserve	0%
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	0%	Endometriosis	14%
				Uterine factor	0%
				Male factor	11%
				Other factor	6%
				Unknown factor	18%
				<b>Multiple Factors:</b>	
				Female factors only	13%
				Female & male factors	14%

### 2006 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by John N. Anderson, MD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman			
	<35	35–37	38–40	41–42 <sup>d</sup>
<b>Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs</b>				
Number of cycles	28	7	15	5
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies <sup>b</sup>	42.9	2 / 7	6 / 15	1 / 5
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	32.1	1 / 7	4 / 15	1 / 5
(Confidence Interval)	(15.9–52.4)			
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	34.6	1 / 7	4 / 14	1 / 3
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	40.9	1 / 5	4 / 12	1 / 1
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births <sup>b</sup>	18.2	0 / 5	3 / 12	1 / 1
Percentage of cancellations <sup>b</sup>	7.1	0 / 7	1 / 15	2 / 5
Average number of embryos transferred	2.2	2.6	3.0	9.0
Percentage of pregnancies with twins <sup>b</sup>	5 / 12	1 / 2	2 / 6	0 / 1
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more <sup>b</sup>	1 / 12	0 / 2	0 / 6	0 / 1
Percentage of live births having multiple infants <sup>b,c</sup>	5 / 9	1 / 1	1 / 4	0 / 1
<b>Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs</b>				
Number of transfers	2	0	1	0
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	0 / 2		0 / 1	
Average number of embryos transferred	3.0		1.0	
<b>All Ages Combined<sup>e</sup></b>				
<b>Donor Eggs</b>	<b>Fresh Embryos</b>		<b>Frozen Embryos</b>	
	1		0	
	0 / 1			
Average number of embryos transferred	3.0			

### CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

**Current Name:** Peninsula Medical Center, John Nels Anderson, MD

Donor egg?	Yes	Gestational carriers?	Yes	SART member?	No
Donor embryo?	Yes	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	No
Single women?	Yes			(See Appendix C for details.)	

<sup>a</sup> Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2006 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.

<sup>b</sup> When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.

<sup>c</sup> A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.

<sup>d</sup> Clinic-specific outcome rates are unreliable for women older than 42 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs. Readers are urged to review national outcomes for these age groups (see page 27).

<sup>e</sup> All ages (including ages >42) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.

## WEST VALLEY FERTILITY CENTER GLENDALE, ARIZONA

A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 79–88.

### 2006 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART <sup>a</sup>				Patient Diagnosis			
IVF	100%	<b>Procedural Factors:</b>		Tubal factor	3%	Other factor	2%
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	88%	Ovulatory dysfunction	1%	Unknown factor	1%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	0%	Diminished ovarian reserve	2%	<i>Multiple Factors:</i>	
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	<1%	Endometriosis	<1%	Female factors only	4%
				Uterine factor	0%	Female & male factors	54%
				Male factor	31%		

### 2006 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by Vladimir Troche, MD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman			
	<35	35–37	38–40	41–42 <sup>d</sup>
<b>Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs</b>				
Number of cycles	94	48	23	10
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies <sup>b</sup>	42.6	39.6	34.8	2 / 10
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	36.2	31.3	30.4	2 / 10
(Confidence Interval)	(26.5–46.7)	(18.7–46.3)	(13.2–52.9)	
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	37.0	34.9	31.8	2 / 10
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	41.5	34.9	31.8	2 / 9
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births <sup>b</sup>	24.4	27.9	22.7	2 / 9
Percentage of cancellations <sup>b</sup>	2.1	10.4	4.3	0 / 10
Average number of embryos transferred	2.5	2.5	2.5	2.8
Percentage of pregnancies with twins <sup>b</sup>	40.0	6 / 19	2 / 8	0 / 2
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more <sup>b</sup>	5.0	0 / 19	0 / 8	0 / 2
Percentage of live births having multiple infants <sup>b,c</sup>	41.2	3 / 15	2 / 7	0 / 2
<b>Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs</b>				
Number of transfers	33	9	2	0
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	18.2	1 / 9	2 / 2	
Average number of embryos transferred	2.5	2.3	3.0	
<b>All Ages Combined<sup>e</sup></b>				
<b>Donor Eggs</b>	<b>Fresh Embryos</b>		<b>Frozen Embryos</b>	
	22		4	
	54.5		2 / 4	
	2.3		3.0	

### CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

**Current Name:** West Valley Fertility Center

Donor egg?	Yes	Gestational carriers?	Yes	SART member?	Yes
Donor embryo?	Yes	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	Yes
Single women?	Yes			(See Appendix C for details.)	

<sup>a</sup> Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2006 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.

<sup>b</sup> When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.

<sup>c</sup> A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.

<sup>d</sup> Clinic-specific outcome rates are unreliable for women older than 42 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs. Readers are urged to review national outcomes for these age groups (see page 27).

<sup>e</sup> All ages (including ages >42) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.

## ARIZONA REPRODUCTIVE MEDICINE SPECIALISTS PHOENIX, ARIZONA

A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 79–88.

### 2006 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART <sup>a</sup>				Patient Diagnosis			
IVF	100%	<b>Procedural Factors:</b>		Tubal factor	5%	Other factor	5%
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	49%	Ovulatory dysfunction	5%	Unknown factor	7%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	0%	Diminished ovarian reserve	6%	<b>Multiple Factors:</b>	
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	0%	Endometriosis	2%	Female factors only	26%
				Uterine factor	0%	Female & male factors	32%
				Male factor	12%		

### 2006 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by Drew V. Moffitt, MD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman			
	<35	35–37	38–40	41–42 <sup>d</sup>
<b>Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs</b>				
Number of cycles	115	63	38	8
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies <sup>b</sup>	40.9	34.9	23.7	2 / 8
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	33.9	28.6	15.8	2 / 8
(Confidence Interval)	(25.3–43.3)	(17.9–41.3)	(6.0–31.3)	
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	35.8	36.0	19.4	2 / 6
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	37.1	36.7	21.4	2 / 5
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births <sup>b</sup>	28.6	24.5	17.9	2 / 5
Percentage of cancellations <sup>b</sup>	5.2	20.6	18.4	2 / 8
Average number of embryos transferred	2.2	2.1	2.6	2.8
Percentage of pregnancies with twins <sup>b</sup>	31.9	27.3	1 / 9	0 / 2
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more <sup>b</sup>	4.3	0.0	1 / 9	0 / 2
Percentage of live births having multiple infants <sup>b,c</sup>	23.1	6 / 18	1 / 6	0 / 2
<b>Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs</b>				
Number of transfers	57	37	10	3
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	22.8	32.4	3 / 10	0 / 3
Average number of embryos transferred	2.1	2.1	2.0	1.3
<b>All Ages Combined<sup>e</sup></b>				
<b>Donor Eggs</b>	<b>Fresh Embryos</b>		<b>Frozen Embryos</b>	
	15		6	
	5 / 15		1 / 6	
Average number of embryos transferred	2.0		2.0	

### CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

**Current Name:** Arizona Reproductive Medicine Specialists

Donor egg?	Yes	Gestational carriers?	No	SART member?	Yes
Donor embryo?	Yes	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	Yes
Single women?	Yes			(See Appendix C for details.)	

<sup>a</sup> Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2006 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.

<sup>b</sup> When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.

<sup>c</sup> A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.

<sup>d</sup> Clinic-specific outcome rates are unreliable for women older than 42 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs. Readers are urged to review national outcomes for these age groups (see page 27).

<sup>e</sup> All ages (including ages >42) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.

## IVF PHOENIX PHOENIX, ARIZONA

A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 79–88.

### 2006 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART <sup>a</sup>			Patient Diagnosis	
IVF	100%	<b>Procedural Factors:</b>	Tubal factor	17%
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	Ovulatory dysfunction	4%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	Diminished ovarian reserve	8%
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	Endometriosis	6%
			Uterine factor	5%
			Male factor	28%
			Other factor	5%
			Unknown factor	17%
			<b>Multiple Factors:</b>	
			Female factors only	7%
			Female & male factors	5%

### 2006 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by John L. Couvaras, MD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman			
	<35	35–37	38–40	41–42 <sup>d</sup>
<b>Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs</b>				
Number of cycles	33	18	9	9
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies <sup>b</sup>	27.3	4 / 18	3 / 9	0 / 9
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	27.3	3 / 18	1 / 9	0 / 9
(Confidence Interval)	(13.3–45.5)			
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	27.3	3 / 18	1 / 9	0 / 9
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	28.1	3 / 13	1 / 9	0 / 4
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births <sup>b</sup>	18.8	3 / 13	0 / 9	0 / 4
Percentage of cancellations <sup>b</sup>	0.0	0 / 18	0 / 9	0 / 9
Average number of embryos transferred	2.6	3.5	4.1	3.3
Percentage of pregnancies with twins <sup>b</sup>	3 / 9	0 / 4	0 / 3	
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more <sup>b</sup>	0 / 9	0 / 4	1 / 3	
Percentage of live births having multiple infants <sup>b,c</sup>	3 / 9	0 / 3	1 / 1	
<b>Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs</b>				
Number of transfers	12	11	2	2
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	1 / 12	1 / 11	0 / 2	0 / 2
Average number of embryos transferred	3.2	3.5	5.5	4.0
<b>All Ages Combined<sup>e</sup></b>				
<b>Donor Eggs</b>	<b>Fresh Embryos</b>		<b>Frozen Embryos</b>	
	7		3	
	2 / 7		1 / 3	
Average number of embryos transferred	3.4		4.3	

### CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

**Current Name:** IVF Phoenix

Donor egg?	Yes	Gestational carriers?	Yes	SART member?	Yes
Donor embryo?	No	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	Yes
Single women?	Yes			(See Appendix C for details.)	

<sup>a</sup> Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2006 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.

<sup>b</sup> When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.

<sup>c</sup> A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.

<sup>d</sup> Clinic-specific outcome rates are unreliable for women older than 42 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs. Readers are urged to review national outcomes for these age groups (see page 27).

<sup>e</sup> All ages (including ages >42) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.

## SOUTHWEST FERTILITY CENTER PHOENIX, ARIZONA

**A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 79–88.**

### 2006 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART <sup>a</sup>				Patient Diagnosis			
IVF	100%	<b>Procedural Factors:</b>		Tubal factor	6%	Other factor	1%
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	63%	Ovulatory dysfunction	1%	Unknown factor	2%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	0%	Diminished ovarian reserve	11%	<i>Multiple Factors:</i>	
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	0%	Endometriosis	4%	Female factors only	38%
				Uterine factor	<1%	Female & male factors	28%
				Male factor	7%		

### 2006 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by Sujatha Gunnala, MD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman			
	<35	35–37	38–40	41–42 <sup>d</sup>
<b>Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs</b>				
Number of cycles	60	21	16	2
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies <sup>b</sup>	33.3	33.3	6 / 16	1 / 2
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	28.3	28.6	6 / 16	1 / 2
(Confidence Interval)	(17.5–41.4)	(11.3–52.2)		
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	28.3	28.6	6 / 16	1 / 2
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	28.3	6 / 18	6 / 16	1 / 2
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births <sup>b</sup>	21.7	4 / 18	5 / 16	1 / 2
Percentage of cancellations <sup>b</sup>	0.0	0.0	0 / 16	0 / 2
Average number of embryos transferred	2.3	2.1	2.1	2.5
Percentage of pregnancies with twins <sup>b</sup>	15.0	2 / 7	1 / 6	0 / 1
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more <sup>b</sup>	5.0	0 / 7	0 / 6	0 / 1
Percentage of live births having multiple infants <sup>b,c</sup>	4 / 17	2 / 6	1 / 6	0 / 1
<b>Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs</b>				
Number of transfers	16	4	2	2
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	6 / 16	2 / 4	2 / 2	1 / 2
Average number of embryos transferred	2.2	2.0	2.5	2.0
<b>All Ages Combined<sup>e</sup></b>				
<b>Donor Eggs</b>	<b>Fresh Embryos</b>		<b>Frozen Embryos</b>	
	9		5	
	6 / 9		3 / 5	
	2.2		2.0	

### CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

**Current Name:** Southwest Fertility Center

Donor egg?	Yes	Gestational carriers?	Yes	SART member?	Yes
Donor embryo?	Yes	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	Yes
Single women?	Yes			(See Appendix C for details.)	

<sup>a</sup> Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2006 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.

<sup>b</sup> When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.

<sup>c</sup> A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.

<sup>d</sup> Clinic-specific outcome rates are unreliable for women older than 42 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs. Readers are urged to review national outcomes for these age groups (see page 27).

<sup>e</sup> All ages (including ages >42) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.

## ADVANCED FERTILITY CARE SCOTTSDALE, ARIZONA

A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 79–88.

### 2006 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART <sup>a</sup>				Patient Diagnosis			
IVF	100%	<b>Procedural Factors:</b>		Tubal factor	14%	Other factor	0%
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	56%	Ovulatory dysfunction	10%	Unknown factor	0%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	0%	Diminished ovarian reserve	19%	<b>Multiple Factors:</b>	
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	0%	Endometriosis	0%	Female factors only	14%
				Uterine factor	0%	Female & male factors	24%
				Male factor	19%		

### 2006 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by Nathaniel Zoneraich, MD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman			
	<35	35–37	38–40	41–42 <sup>d</sup>
<b>Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs</b>				
Number of cycles	12	1	2	0
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies <sup>b</sup>	6 / 12	1 / 1	1 / 2	
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup> (Confidence Interval)	6 / 12	1 / 1	0 / 2	
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	6 / 12	1 / 1	0 / 2	
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	6 / 11	1 / 1	0 / 2	
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births <sup>b</sup>	1 / 11	1 / 1	0 / 2	
Percentage of cancellations <sup>b</sup>	0 / 12	0 / 1	0 / 2	
Average number of embryos transferred	2.4	2.0	2.5	
Percentage of pregnancies with twins <sup>b</sup>	5 / 6	0 / 1	0 / 1	
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more <sup>b</sup>	0 / 6	0 / 1	0 / 1	
Percentage of live births having multiple infants <sup>b,c</sup>	5 / 6	0 / 1		
<b>Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs</b>				
Number of transfers	2	0	1	0
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	2 / 2		0 / 1	
Average number of embryos transferred	2.0		2.0	
<b>All Ages Combined<sup>e</sup></b>				
<b>Donor Eggs</b>	<b>Fresh Embryos</b>		<b>Frozen Embryos</b>	
	2		0	
	0 / 2			
Average number of embryos transferred	2.0			

### CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

**Current Name:** Advanced Fertility Care

Donor egg?	Yes	Gestational carriers?	Yes	SART member?	No
Donor embryo?	No	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	Yes
Single women?	No			(See Appendix C for details.)	

<sup>a</sup> Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2006 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.

<sup>b</sup> When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.

<sup>c</sup> A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.

<sup>d</sup> Clinic-specific outcome rates are unreliable for women older than 42 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs. Readers are urged to review national outcomes for these age groups (see page 27).

<sup>e</sup> All ages (including ages >42) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.



## ARIZONA ASSOCIATES FOR REPRODUCTIVE HEALTH SCOTTSDALE, ARIZONA

**A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 79–88.**

### 2006 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART <sup>a</sup>				Patient Diagnosis			
IVF	100%	<b>Procedural Factors:</b>		Tubal factor	8%	Other factor	5%
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	67%	Ovulatory dysfunction	10%	Unknown factor	7%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	0%	Diminished ovarian reserve	18%	<i>Multiple Factors:</i>	
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	0%	Endometriosis	3%	Female factors only	3%
				Uterine factor	0%	Female & male factors	25%
				Male factor	21%		

### 2006 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by Ketan S. Patel, MD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman			
	<35	35–37	38–40	41–42 <sup>d</sup>
<b>Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs</b>				
Number of cycles	29	13	11	4
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies <sup>b</sup>	44.8	6 / 13	3 / 11	2 / 4
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	41.4	5 / 13	2 / 11	1 / 4
(Confidence Interval)	(23.5–61.1)			
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	50.0	5 / 12	2 / 11	1 / 3
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	52.2	5 / 11	2 / 11	1 / 3
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births <sup>b</sup>	34.8	3 / 11	1 / 11	0 / 3
Percentage of cancellations <sup>b</sup>	17.2	1 / 13	0 / 11	1 / 4
Average number of embryos transferred	2.3	2.5	2.5	4.0
Percentage of pregnancies with twins <sup>b</sup>	4 / 13	1 / 6	0 / 3	0 / 2
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more <sup>b</sup>	0 / 13	1 / 6	1 / 3	1 / 2
Percentage of live births having multiple infants <sup>b,c</sup>	4 / 12	2 / 5	1 / 2	1 / 1
<b>Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs</b>				
Number of transfers	8	4	0	3
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	4 / 8	3 / 4		0 / 3
Average number of embryos transferred	2.4	3.0		3.0
<b>All Ages Combined<sup>e</sup></b>				
<b>Donor Eggs</b>	<b>Fresh Embryos</b>		<b>Frozen Embryos</b>	
	10		5	
	3 / 10		2 / 5	
Average number of embryos transferred	2.1		2.8	

### CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

**Current Name:** Arizona Associates for Reproductive Health

Donor egg?	Yes	Gestational carriers?	Yes	SART member?	Yes
Donor embryo?	Yes	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	Yes
Single women?	Yes			(See Appendix C for details.)	

<sup>a</sup> Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2006 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.

<sup>b</sup> When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.

<sup>c</sup> A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.

<sup>d</sup> Clinic-specific outcome rates are unreliable for women older than 42 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs. Readers are urged to review national outcomes for these age groups (see page 27).

<sup>e</sup> All ages (including ages >42) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.

## ARIZONA CENTER FOR FERTILITY STUDIES SCOTTSDALE, ARIZONA

A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 79–88.

### 2006 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART <sup>a</sup>				Patient Diagnosis			
IVF	41%	<b>Procedural Factors:</b>		Tubal factor	5%	Other factor	35%
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	32%	Ovulatory dysfunction	0%	Unknown factor	14%
ZIFT	59%	Unstimulated	<1%	Diminished ovarian reserve	11%	<i>Multiple Factors:</i>	
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	1%	Endometriosis	5%	Female factors only	13%
				Uterine factor	0%	Female & male factors	7%
				Male factor	11%		

### 2006 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by Jay S. Nemiro, MD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman			
	<35	35–37	38–40	41–42 <sup>d</sup>
<b>Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs</b>				
Number of cycles	59	37	40	17
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies <sup>b</sup>	27.1	13.5	30.0	4 / 17
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	22.0	5.4	15.0	1 / 17
(Confidence Interval)	(12.3–34.7)	(0.7–18.2)	(5.7–29.8)	
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	24.1	6.1	16.2	1 / 17
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	28.9	8.3	25.0	1 / 12
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births <sup>b</sup>	20.0	8.3	16.7	1 / 12
Percentage of cancellations <sup>b</sup>	8.5	10.8	7.5	0 / 17
Average number of embryos transferred	2.4	2.5	2.9	2.6
Percentage of pregnancies with twins <sup>b</sup>	4 / 16	0 / 5	2 / 12	0 / 4
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more <sup>b</sup>	1 / 16	1 / 5	0 / 12	0 / 4
Percentage of live births having multiple infants <sup>b,c</sup>	4 / 13	0 / 2	2 / 6	0 / 1
<b>Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs</b>				
Number of transfers	17	9	5	3
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	5 / 17	4 / 9	2 / 5	0 / 3
Average number of embryos transferred	3.2	3.4	3.8	2.3
<b>All Ages Combined<sup>e</sup></b>				
<b>Donor Eggs</b>	<b>Fresh Embryos</b>		<b>Frozen Embryos</b>	
Number of transfers	26		10	
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	38.5		0 / 10	
Average number of embryos transferred	2.5		2.6	

### CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

**Current Name:** Arizona Center for Fertility Studies

Donor egg?	Yes	Gestational carriers?	Yes	SART member?	Yes
Donor embryo?	Yes	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	Yes
Single women?	Yes			(See Appendix C for details.)	

<sup>a</sup> Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2006 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.

<sup>b</sup> When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.

<sup>c</sup> A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.

<sup>d</sup> Clinic-specific outcome rates are unreliable for women older than 42 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs. Readers are urged to review national outcomes for these age groups (see page 27).

<sup>e</sup> All ages (including ages >42) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.



## FERTILITY TREATMENT CENTER TEMPE, ARIZONA

**A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 79–88.**

### 2006 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART <sup>a</sup>			Patient Diagnosis	
IVF	100%	<b>Procedural Factors:</b>	Tubal factor	8%
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	Ovulatory dysfunction	13%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	Diminished ovarian reserve	24%
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	Endometriosis	6%
			Uterine factor	<1%
			Male factor	13%
			Other factor	8%
			Unknown factor	4%
			<b>Multiple Factors:</b>	
			Female factors only	12%
			Female & male factors	12%

### 2006 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by H. Randall Craig, MD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman			
	<35	35–37	38–40	41–42 <sup>d</sup>
<b>Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs</b>				
Number of cycles	118	52	47	17
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies <sup>b</sup>	27.1	21.2	19.1	2 / 17
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	21.2	17.3	8.5	2 / 17
(Confidence Interval)	(14.2–29.7)	(8.2–30.3)	(2.4–20.4)	
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	22.9	19.6	11.4	2 / 13
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	36.2	23.1	15.4	2 / 9
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births <sup>b</sup>	23.2	17.9	11.5	2 / 9
Percentage of cancellations <sup>b</sup>	7.6	11.5	25.5	4 / 17
Average number of embryos transferred	2.4	2.2	2.5	2.6
Percentage of pregnancies with twins <sup>b</sup>	34.4	4 / 11	1 / 9	0 / 2
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more <sup>b</sup>	3.1	0 / 11	0 / 9	0 / 2
Percentage of live births having multiple infants <sup>b,c</sup>	36.0	2 / 9	1 / 4	0 / 2
<b>Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs</b>				
Number of transfers	81	40	17	5
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	54.3	37.5	6 / 17	3 / 5
Average number of embryos transferred	2.4	2.4	2.3	1.8
<b>All Ages Combined<sup>e</sup></b>				
<b>Donor Eggs</b>	<b>Fresh Embryos</b>		<b>Frozen Embryos</b>	
	35		54	
	40.0		48.1	
	2.1		2.4	

### CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

**Current Name:** Fertility Treatment Center

Donor egg?	Yes	Gestational carriers?	Yes	SART member?	Yes
Donor embryo?	Yes	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	Yes
Single women?	Yes			(See Appendix C for details.)	

<sup>a</sup> Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2006 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.

<sup>b</sup> When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.

<sup>c</sup> A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.

<sup>d</sup> Clinic-specific outcome rates are unreliable for women older than 42 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs. Readers are urged to review national outcomes for these age groups (see page 27).

<sup>e</sup> All ages (including ages >42) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.

# ARIZONA CENTER FOR REPRODUCTIVE ENDOCRINOLOGY AND INFERTILITY TUCSON, ARIZONA

A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 79–88.

## 2006 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART <sup>a</sup>			Patient Diagnosis	
IVF	100%	<b>Procedural Factors:</b>	Tubal factor	19%
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	Ovulatory dysfunction	2%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	Diminished ovarian reserve	14%
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	Endometriosis	25%
			Uterine factor	<1%
			Male factor	14%
			Other factor	18%
			Unknown factor	0%
			<b>Multiple Factors:</b>	
			Female factors only	5%
			Female & male factors	3%

## 2006 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by Timothy J. Gelety, MD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman			
	<35	35–37	38–40	41–42 <sup>d</sup>
<b>Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs</b>				
Number of cycles	124	64	32	14
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies <sup>b</sup>	53.2	53.1	46.9	6 / 14
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	46.0	42.2	34.4	3 / 14
(Confidence Interval)	(37.0–55.1)	(29.9–55.2)	(18.6–53.2)	
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	47.9	43.5	36.7	3 / 14
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	59.4	46.6	39.3	3 / 14
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births <sup>b</sup>	33.3	36.2	21.4	3 / 14
Percentage of cancellations <sup>b</sup>	4.0	3.1	6.3	0 / 14
Average number of embryos transferred	2.8	3.1	3.1	3.4
Percentage of pregnancies with twins <sup>b</sup>	31.8	14.7	5 / 15	0 / 6
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more <sup>b</sup>	6.1	2.9	0 / 15	0 / 6
Percentage of live births having multiple infants <sup>b,c</sup>	43.9	22.2	5 / 11	0 / 3
<b>Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs</b>				
Number of transfers	58	24	10	6
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	44.8	12.5	1 / 10	2 / 6
Average number of embryos transferred	3.9	3.7	3.5	3.7
<b>All Ages Combined<sup>e</sup></b>				
<b>Donor Eggs</b>	<b>Fresh Embryos</b>		<b>Frozen Embryos</b>	
	Number of transfers		20	
	Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>		30.0	
	Average number of embryos transferred		3.9	

## CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

**Current Name:** Arizona Center for Reproductive Endocrinology and Infertility

Donor egg?	Yes	Gestational carriers?	Yes	SART member?	Yes
Donor embryo?	Yes	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	Yes
Single women?	Yes			(See Appendix C for details.)	

<sup>a</sup> Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2006 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.

<sup>b</sup> When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.

<sup>c</sup> A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.

<sup>d</sup> Clinic-specific outcome rates are unreliable for women older than 42 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs. Readers are urged to review national outcomes for these age groups (see page 27).

<sup>e</sup> All ages (including ages >42) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.

## REPRODUCTIVE HEALTH CENTER TUCSON, ARIZONA

**A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 79–88.**

### 2006 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART <sup>a</sup>				Patient Diagnosis			
IVF	100%	<b>Procedural Factors:</b>		Tubal factor	19%	Other factor	15%
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	85%	Ovulatory dysfunction	1%	Unknown factor	18%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	0%	Diminished ovarian reserve	<1%	<b>Multiple Factors:</b>	
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	0%	Endometriosis	4%	Female factors only	18%
				Uterine factor	<1%	Female & male factors	6%
				Male factor	18%		

### 2006 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by Scot M. Hutchison, MD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman			
	<35	35–37	38–40	41–42 <sup>d</sup>
<b>Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs</b>				
Number of cycles	56	22	17	7
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies <sup>b</sup>	25.0	22.7	3 / 17	1 / 7
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	23.2	18.2	3 / 17	1 / 7
(Confidence Interval)	(13.0–36.4)	(5.2–40.3)		
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	24.1	4 / 19	3 / 15	1 / 7
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	26.0	4 / 18	3 / 14	1 / 5
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births <sup>b</sup>	24.0	2 / 18	3 / 14	1 / 5
Percentage of cancellations <sup>b</sup>	3.6	13.6	2 / 17	0 / 7
Average number of embryos transferred	2.4	2.9	2.9	3.8
Percentage of pregnancies with twins <sup>b</sup>	2 / 14	2 / 5	1 / 3	0 / 1
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more <sup>b</sup>	0 / 14	0 / 5	0 / 3	0 / 1
Percentage of live births having multiple infants <sup>b,c</sup>	1 / 13	2 / 4	0 / 3	0 / 1
<b>Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs</b>				
Number of transfers	7	3	6	1
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	2 / 7	1 / 3	1 / 6	0 / 1
Average number of embryos transferred	1.7	3.0	3.7	5.0
<b>All Ages Combined<sup>e</sup></b>				
<b>Donor Eggs</b>	<b>Fresh Embryos</b>		<b>Frozen Embryos</b>	
	9		13	
	1 / 9		4 / 13	
Average number of embryos transferred	2.2		1.8	

### CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

**Current Name:** Reproductive Health Center

Donor egg?	Yes	Gestational carriers?	Yes	SART member?	Yes
Donor embryo?	Yes	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	Yes
Single women?	Yes			(See Appendix C for details.)	

<sup>a</sup> Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2006 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.

<sup>b</sup> When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.

<sup>c</sup> A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.

<sup>d</sup> Clinic-specific outcome rates are unreliable for women older than 42 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs. Readers are urged to review national outcomes for these age groups (see page 27).

<sup>e</sup> All ages (including ages >42) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.

## ARKANSAS FERTILITY CENTER LITTLE ROCK, ARKANSAS

A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 79–88.

### 2006 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART <sup>a</sup>				Patient Diagnosis	
IVF	100%	<b>Procedural Factors:</b>		Tubal factor	20%
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	28%	Ovulatory dysfunction	2%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	0%	Diminished ovarian reserve	8%
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	1%	Endometriosis	5%
				Uterine factor	3%
				Male factor	22%
				Other factor	2%
				Unknown factor	31%
				<b>Multiple Factors:</b>	
				Female factors only	2%
				Female & male factors	5%

### 2006 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by Dean M. Moutos, MD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman			
	<35	35–37	38–40	41–42 <sup>d</sup>
<b>Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs</b>				
Number of cycles	111	34	21	4
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies <sup>b</sup>	44.1	41.2	14.3	1 / 4
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	42.3	41.2	9.5	1 / 4
(Confidence Interval)	(33.0–52.1)	(24.6–59.3)	(1.2–30.4)	
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	47.5	45.2	2 / 13	1 / 3
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	48.5	50.0	2 / 12	1 / 3
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births <sup>b</sup>	24.7	32.1	1 / 12	1 / 3
Percentage of cancellations <sup>b</sup>	10.8	8.8	38.1	1 / 4
Average number of embryos transferred	2.4	2.3	2.7	2.7
Percentage of pregnancies with twins <sup>b</sup>	49.0	5 / 14	1 / 3	0 / 1
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more <sup>b</sup>	6.1	0 / 14	0 / 3	0 / 1
Percentage of live births having multiple infants <sup>b,c</sup>	48.9	5 / 14	1 / 2	0 / 1
<b>Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs</b>				
Number of transfers	38	10	7	2
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	15.8	1 / 10	4 / 7	0 / 2
Average number of embryos transferred	2.3	2.1	2.7	3.0
<b>All Ages Combined<sup>e</sup></b>				
<b>Donor Eggs</b>	<b>Fresh Embryos</b>		<b>Frozen Embryos</b>	
	12		5	
	8 / 12		1 / 5	
	2.3		2.4	

### CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

**Current Name:** Arkansas Fertility Center

Donor egg?	Yes	Gestational carriers?	Yes	SART member?	Yes
Donor embryo?	Yes	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	Yes
Single women?	No			(See Appendix C for details.)	

<sup>a</sup> Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2006 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.

<sup>b</sup> When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.

<sup>c</sup> A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.

<sup>d</sup> Clinic-specific outcome rates are unreliable for women older than 42 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs. Readers are urged to review national outcomes for these age groups (see page 27).

<sup>e</sup> All ages (including ages >42) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.

**LIFESTART FERTILITY CENTER**  
**ANITA SINGH, MD**  
**AGOURA HILLS, CALIFORNIA**

**A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 79–88.**

### 2006 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART <sup>a</sup>				Patient Diagnosis			
IVF	100%	<b>Procedural Factors:</b>		Tubal factor	5%	Other factor	0%
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	77%	Ovulatory dysfunction	5%	Unknown factor	5%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	0%	Diminished ovarian reserve	10%	<b>Multiple Factors:</b>	
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	0%	Endometriosis	5%	Female factors only	14%
				Uterine factor	5%	Female & male factors	48%
				Male factor	5%		

### 2006 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by Anita P. Singh, MD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman			
	<35	35–37	38–40	41–42 <sup>d</sup>
<b>Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs</b>				
Number of cycles	7	3	5	1
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies <sup>b</sup>	5 / 7	3 / 3	2 / 5	0 / 1
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup> (Confidence Interval)	4 / 7	3 / 3	2 / 5	0 / 1
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	4 / 7	3 / 3	2 / 5	0 / 1
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	4 / 6	3 / 3	2 / 4	0 / 1
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births <sup>b</sup>	2 / 6	2 / 3	2 / 4	0 / 1
Percentage of cancellations <sup>b</sup>	0 / 7	0 / 3	0 / 5	0 / 1
Average number of embryos transferred	2.2	2.7	2.3	4.0
Percentage of pregnancies with twins <sup>b</sup>	0 / 5	1 / 3	0 / 2	
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more <sup>b</sup>	2 / 5	0 / 3	0 / 2	
Percentage of live births having multiple infants <sup>b,c</sup>	2 / 4	1 / 3	0 / 2	
<b>Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs</b>				
Number of transfers	3	0	1	0
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	3 / 3		1 / 1	
Average number of embryos transferred	2.0		1.0	
<b>All Ages Combined<sup>e</sup></b>				
<b>Donor Eggs</b>	<b>Fresh Embryos</b>		<b>Frozen Embryos</b>	
	0		0	
	Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>			
	Average number of embryos transferred			

### CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

**Current Name:** Lifestart Fertility Center, Anita Singh, MD

Donor egg?	Yes	Gestational carriers?	Yes	SART member?	No
Donor embryo?	Yes	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	Yes
Single women?	Yes			(See Appendix C for details.)	

<sup>a</sup> Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2006 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.

<sup>b</sup> When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.

<sup>c</sup> A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.

<sup>d</sup> Clinic-specific outcome rates are unreliable for women older than 42 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs. Readers are urged to review national outcomes for these age groups (see page 27).

<sup>e</sup> All ages (including ages >42) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.

## GARFIELD FERTILITY CENTER ALHAMBRA, CALIFORNIA

A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 79–88.

### 2006 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART <sup>a</sup>			Patient Diagnosis			
IVF	100%	<b>Procedural Factors:</b>	Tubal factor	4%	Other factor	4%
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	Ovulatory dysfunction	8%	Unknown factor	14%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	Diminished ovarian reserve	17%	<b>Multiple Factors:</b>	
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	Endometriosis	0%	Female factors only	25%
			Uterine factor	4%	Female & male factors	14%
			Male factor	12%		

### 2006 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by Brian C. Su, MD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman			
	<35	35–37	38–40	41–42 <sup>d</sup>
<b>Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs</b>				
Number of cycles	14	10	6	4
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies <sup>b</sup>	6 / 14	3 / 10	2 / 6	1 / 4
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup> (Confidence Interval)	4 / 14	3 / 10	2 / 6	1 / 4
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	4 / 13	3 / 9	2 / 6	1 / 4
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	4 / 10	3 / 8	2 / 5	1 / 4
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births <sup>b</sup>	2 / 10	3 / 8	1 / 5	0 / 4
Percentage of cancellations <sup>b</sup>	1 / 14	1 / 10	0 / 6	0 / 4
Average number of embryos transferred	2.8	2.9	2.8	3.0
Percentage of pregnancies with twins <sup>b</sup>	1 / 6	1 / 3	0 / 2	1 / 1
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more <sup>b</sup>	1 / 6	0 / 3	1 / 2	0 / 1
Percentage of live births having multiple infants <sup>b,c</sup>	2 / 4	0 / 3	1 / 2	1 / 1
<b>Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs</b>				
Number of transfers	5	0	1	0
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	2 / 5		0 / 1	
Average number of embryos transferred	2.2		3.0	
<b>All Ages Combined<sup>e</sup></b>				
<b>Donor Eggs</b>	<b>Fresh Embryos</b>		<b>Frozen Embryos</b>	
	4		1	
	4 / 4		1 / 1	
	2.0		1.0	

### CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

**Current Name:** Garfield Fertility Center

Donor egg?	Yes	Gestational carriers?	Yes	SART member?	Yes
Donor embryo?	Yes	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	Yes
Single women?	No			(See Appendix C for details.)	

<sup>a</sup> Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2006 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.

<sup>b</sup> When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.

<sup>c</sup> A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.

<sup>d</sup> Clinic-specific outcome rates are unreliable for women older than 42 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs. Readers are urged to review national outcomes for these age groups (see page 27).

<sup>e</sup> All ages (including ages >42) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.



## ALTA BATES IN VITRO FERTILIZATION PROGRAM BERKELEY, CALIFORNIA

A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 79–88.

### 2006 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART <sup>a</sup>				Patient Diagnosis	
IVF	100%	<b>Procedural Factors:</b>		Tubal factor	6%
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	84%	Ovulatory dysfunction	7%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	0%	Diminished ovarian reserve	26%
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	3%	Endometriosis	4%
				Uterine factor	2%
				Male factor	6%
				Other factor	9%
				Unknown factor	4%
				<b>Multiple Factors:</b>	
				Female factors only	21%
				Female & male factors	16%

### 2006 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by Ryszard J. Chetkowski, MD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman			
	<35	35–37	38–40	41–42 <sup>d</sup>
<b>Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs</b>				
Number of cycles	18	10	17	13
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies <sup>b</sup>	7 / 18	5 / 10	6 / 17	6 / 13
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup> (Confidence Interval)	6 / 18	5 / 10	3 / 17	3 / 13
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	6 / 14	5 / 9	3 / 17	3 / 13
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	6 / 12	5 / 8	3 / 16	3 / 12
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births <sup>b</sup>	5 / 12	4 / 8	3 / 16	3 / 12
Percentage of cancellations <sup>b</sup>	4 / 18	1 / 10	0 / 17	0 / 13
Average number of embryos transferred	2.7	2.5	3.1	4.1
Percentage of pregnancies with twins <sup>b</sup>	1 / 7	1 / 5	0 / 6	0 / 6
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more <sup>b</sup>	0 / 7	0 / 5	0 / 6	0 / 6
Percentage of live births having multiple infants <sup>b,c</sup>	1 / 6	1 / 5	0 / 3	0 / 3
<b>Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs</b>				
Number of transfers	5	9	4	8
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	0 / 5	3 / 9	2 / 4	2 / 8
Average number of embryos transferred	2.6	2.3	3.5	2.9
<b>All Ages Combined<sup>e</sup></b>				
<b>Donor Eggs</b>	<b>Fresh Embryos</b>		<b>Frozen Embryos</b>	
	Number of transfers		22	
	Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>		40.9	
	Average number of embryos transferred		2.4	

### CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

**Current Name:** Alta Bates In Vitro Fertilization Program

Donor egg?	Yes	Gestational carriers?	Yes	SART member?	Yes
Donor embryo?	Yes	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	Yes
Single women?	Yes			(See Appendix C for details.)	

<sup>a</sup> Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2006 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.

<sup>b</sup> When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.

<sup>c</sup> A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.

<sup>d</sup> Clinic-specific outcome rates are unreliable for women older than 42 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs. Readers are urged to review national outcomes for these age groups (see page 27).

<sup>e</sup> All ages (including ages >42) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.

# CENTER FOR REPRODUCTIVE HEALTH & GYNECOLOGY (CRH&G) BEVERLY HILLS, CALIFORNIA

A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 79–88.

## 2006 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART <sup>a</sup>			Patient Diagnosis			
IVF	100%	<b>Procedural Factors:</b>	Tubal factor	8%	Other factor	8%
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	Ovulatory dysfunction	7%	Unknown factor	11%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	Diminished ovarian reserve	25%	<b>Multiple Factors:</b>	
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	Endometriosis	9%	Female factors only	3%
			Uterine factor	3%	Female & male factors	9%
			Male factor	19%		

## 2006 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by Sam Najmabadi, MD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman			
	<35	35–37	38–40	41–42 <sup>d</sup>
<b>Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs</b>				
Number of cycles	39	32	27	20
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies <sup>b</sup>	69.2	59.4	37.0	40.0
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	66.7	46.9	29.6	25.0
(Confidence Interval)	(49.8–80.9)	(29.1–65.3)	(13.8–50.2)	(8.7–49.1)
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	66.7	46.9	29.6	25.0
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	68.4	50.0	29.6	25.0
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births <sup>b</sup>	52.6	43.3	22.2	20.0
Percentage of cancellations <sup>b</sup>	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Average number of embryos transferred	1.8	1.7	1.7	1.5
Percentage of pregnancies with twins <sup>b</sup>	33.3	3 / 19	2 / 10	1 / 8
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more <sup>b</sup>	0.0	0 / 19	0 / 10	0 / 8
Percentage of live births having multiple infants <sup>b,c</sup>	23.1	2 / 15	2 / 8	1 / 5
<b>Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs</b>				
Number of transfers	11	6	2	1
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	7 / 11	3 / 6	2 / 2	1 / 1
Average number of embryos transferred	2.2	2.2	1.5	3.0
<b>All Ages Combined<sup>e</sup></b>				
<b>Donor Eggs</b>	<b>Fresh Embryos</b>		<b>Frozen Embryos</b>	
	11		4	
	9 / 11		4 / 4	
	1.9		2.0	

## CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

**Current Name:** Center for Reproductive Health & Gynecology, (CRH&G)

Donor egg?	Yes	Gestational carriers?	Yes	SART member?	Yes
Donor embryo?	No	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	Yes
Single women?	Yes			(See Appendix C for details.)	

<sup>a</sup> Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2006 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.

<sup>b</sup> When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.

<sup>c</sup> A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.

<sup>d</sup> Clinic-specific outcome rates are unreliable for women older than 42 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs. Readers are urged to review national outcomes for these age groups (see page 27).

<sup>e</sup> All ages (including ages >42) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.



# SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA REPRODUCTIVE CENTER BEVERLY HILLS, CALIFORNIA

**A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 79–88.**

## 2006 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART <sup>a</sup>				Patient Diagnosis			
IVF	100%	<b>Procedural Factors:</b>		Tubal factor	8%	Other factor	10%
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	50%	Ovulatory dysfunction	5%	Unknown factor	12%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	0%	Diminished ovarian reserve	24%	<b>Multiple Factors:</b>	
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	0%	Endometriosis	8%	Female factors only	10%
				Uterine factor	1%	Female & male factors	6%
				Male factor	17%		

## 2006 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by Hal Danzer, MD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman			
	<35	35–37	38–40	41–42 <sup>d</sup>
<b>Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs</b>				
Number of cycles	31	29	42	17
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies <sup>b</sup>	67.7	31.0	31.0	5 / 17
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	67.7	31.0	23.8	4 / 17
(Confidence Interval)	(48.6–83.3)	(15.3–50.8)	(12.1–39.5)	
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	70.0	33.3	25.0	4 / 16
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	70.0	34.6	27.0	4 / 14
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births <sup>b</sup>	56.7	26.9	18.9	2 / 14
Percentage of cancellations <sup>b</sup>	3.2	6.9	4.8	1 / 17
Average number of embryos transferred	1.9	2.3	2.8	3.1
Percentage of pregnancies with twins <sup>b</sup>	23.8	2 / 9	2 / 13	2 / 5
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more <sup>b</sup>	4.8	0 / 9	1 / 13	0 / 5
Percentage of live births having multiple infants <sup>b,c</sup>	19.0	2 / 9	3 / 10	2 / 4
<b>Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs</b>				
Number of transfers	9	6	4	3
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	4 / 9	0 / 6	0 / 4	1 / 3
Average number of embryos transferred	2.3	2.2	2.8	2.7
<b>All Ages Combined<sup>e</sup></b>				
<b>Donor Eggs</b>	<b>Fresh Embryos</b>		<b>Frozen Embryos</b>	
	19		7	
	10 / 19		3 / 7	
	1.9		2.7	

## CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

**Current Name:** Southern California Reproductive Center

Donor egg?	Yes	Gestational carriers?	Yes	SART member?	Yes
Donor embryo?	Yes	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	Yes
Single women?	Yes			(See Appendix C for details.)	

<sup>a</sup> Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2006 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.

<sup>b</sup> When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.

<sup>c</sup> A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.

<sup>d</sup> Clinic-specific outcome rates are unreliable for women older than 42 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs. Readers are urged to review national outcomes for these age groups (see page 27).

<sup>e</sup> All ages (including ages >42) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.

# SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA REPRODUCTIVE CENTER BEVERLY HILLS, CALIFORNIA

A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 79–88.

## 2006 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART <sup>a</sup>				Patient Diagnosis			
IVF	100%	<b>Procedural Factors:</b>		Tubal factor	6%	Other factor	9%
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	55%	Ovulatory dysfunction	5%	Unknown factor	3%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	0%	Diminished ovarian reserve	4%	<b>Multiple Factors:</b>	
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	3%	Endometriosis	2%	Female factors only	36%
				Uterine factor	1%	Female & male factors	26%
				Male factor	6%		

## 2006 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by Mark W. Surrey, MD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman			
	<35	35–37	38–40	41–42 <sup>d</sup>
<b>Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs</b>				
Number of cycles	61	42	65	33
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies <sup>b</sup>	54.1	50.0	27.7	39.4
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	47.5	47.6	21.5	27.3
(Confidence Interval)	(34.6–60.7)	(32.0–63.6)	(12.3–33.5)	(13.3–45.5)
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	49.2	48.8	23.0	28.1
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	51.8	58.8	27.5	30.0
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births <sup>b</sup>	33.9	47.1	25.5	23.3
Percentage of cancellations <sup>b</sup>	3.3	2.4	6.2	3.0
Average number of embryos transferred	2.1	2.4	2.2	2.6
Percentage of pregnancies with twins <sup>b</sup>	24.2	14.3	2 / 18	3 / 13
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more <sup>b</sup>	6.1	9.5	1 / 18	0 / 13
Percentage of live births having multiple infants <sup>b,c</sup>	34.5	20.0	1 / 14	2 / 9
<b>Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs</b>				
Number of transfers	10	13	5	1
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	3 / 10	4 / 13	0 / 5	0 / 1
Average number of embryos transferred	2.5	2.1	2.2	3.0
<b>All Ages Combined<sup>e</sup></b>				
<b>Donor Eggs</b>	<b>Fresh Embryos</b>		<b>Frozen Embryos</b>	
	30		7	
	60.0		4 / 7	
	1.9		2.3	

## CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

**Current Name:** Southern California Reproductive Center

Donor egg?	Yes	Gestational carriers?	Yes	SART member?	Yes
Donor embryo?	Yes	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	Yes
Single women?	Yes			(See Appendix C for details.)	

<sup>a</sup> Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2006 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.

<sup>b</sup> When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.

<sup>c</sup> A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.

<sup>d</sup> Clinic-specific outcome rates are unreliable for women older than 42 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs. Readers are urged to review national outcomes for these age groups (see page 27).

<sup>e</sup> All ages (including ages >42) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.

# WEST COAST IVF CLINIC, INC. BEVERLY HILLS, CALIFORNIA

A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 79–88.

## 2006 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART <sup>a</sup>				Patient Diagnosis	
IVF	100%	<b>Procedural Factors:</b>		Tubal factor	15%
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	77%	Ovulatory dysfunction	0%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	0%	Diminished ovarian reserve	0%
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	0%	Endometriosis	10%
				Uterine factor	2%
				Male factor	15%
				Other factor	7%
				Unknown factor	18%
				<b>Multiple Factors:</b>	
				Female factors only	12%
				Female & male factors	23%

## 2006 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by Michael Kamrava, MD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman			
	<35	35–37	38–40	41–42 <sup>d</sup>
<b>Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs</b>				
Number of cycles	20	9	13	10
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies <sup>b</sup>	20.0	0 / 9	1 / 13	0 / 10
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	10.0	0 / 9	0 / 13	0 / 10
(Confidence Interval)	(1.2–31.7)			
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	2 / 18	0 / 7	0 / 12	0 / 8
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	2 / 15	0 / 6	0 / 8	0 / 8
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births <sup>b</sup>	1 / 15	0 / 6	0 / 8	0 / 8
Percentage of cancellations <sup>b</sup>	10.0	2 / 9	1 / 13	2 / 10
Average number of embryos transferred	3.5	2.3	2.3	2.5
Percentage of pregnancies with twins <sup>b</sup>	1 / 4		0 / 1	
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more <sup>b</sup>	0 / 4		0 / 1	
Percentage of live births having multiple infants <sup>b,c</sup>	1 / 2			
<b>Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs</b>				
Number of transfers	0	0	0	0
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>				
Average number of embryos transferred				
<b>All Ages Combined<sup>e</sup></b>				
<b>Donor Eggs</b>	<b>Fresh Embryos</b>		<b>Frozen Embryos</b>	
	3		1	
	0 / 3		0 / 1	
	3.0		2.0	

## CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

**Current Name:** West Coast IVF Clinic, Inc.

Donor egg?	Yes	Gestational carriers?	Yes	SART member?	Yes
Donor embryo?	No	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	Yes
Single women?	Yes			(See Appendix C for details.)	

<sup>a</sup> Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2006 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.

<sup>b</sup> When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.

<sup>c</sup> A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.

<sup>d</sup> Clinic-specific outcome rates are unreliable for women older than 42 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs. Readers are urged to review national outcomes for these age groups (see page 27).

<sup>e</sup> All ages (including ages >42) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.

## FERTILITY CARE OF ORANGE COUNTY BREA, CALIFORNIA

A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 79–88.

### 2006 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART <sup>a</sup>			Patient Diagnosis	
IVF	100%	<b>Procedural Factors:</b>	Tubal factor	7%
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	Ovulatory dysfunction	3%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	Diminished ovarian reserve	27%
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	Endometriosis	2%
			Uterine factor	0%
			Male factor	15%
			Other factor	4%
			Unknown factor	27%
			<b>Multiple Factors:</b>	
			Female factors only	2%
			Female & male factors	13%

### 2006 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by C. Terence Lee, MD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman			
	<35	35–37	38–40	41–42 <sup>d</sup>
<b>Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs</b>				
Number of cycles	37	21	19	10
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies <sup>b</sup>	35.1	28.6	6 / 19	2 / 10
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	35.1	19.0	4 / 19	1 / 10
(Confidence Interval)	(20.2–52.5)	(5.4–41.9)		
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	44.8	4 / 14	4 / 14	1 / 9
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	48.1	4 / 14	4 / 14	1 / 9
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births <sup>b</sup>	18.5	2 / 14	3 / 14	1 / 9
Percentage of cancellations <sup>b</sup>	21.6	33.3	5 / 19	1 / 10
Average number of embryos transferred	3.2	3.6	4.1	3.8
Percentage of pregnancies with twins <sup>b</sup>	7 / 13	1 / 6	1 / 6	0 / 2
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more <sup>b</sup>	1 / 13	1 / 6	1 / 6	0 / 2
Percentage of live births having multiple infants <sup>b,c</sup>	8 / 13	2 / 4	1 / 4	0 / 1
<b>Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs</b>				
Number of transfers	11	8	1	1
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	3 / 11	0 / 8	0 / 1	0 / 1
Average number of embryos transferred	2.5	2.3	2.0	4.0
<b>All Ages Combined<sup>e</sup></b>				
<b>Donor Eggs</b>	<b>Fresh Embryos</b>		<b>Frozen Embryos</b>	
	9		4	
	5 / 9		0 / 4	
Average number of embryos transferred	2.0		1.8	

### CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

**Current Name:** Fertility Care of Orange County

Donor egg?	Yes	Gestational carriers?	Yes	SART member?	No
Donor embryo?	No	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	Yes
Single women?	Yes			(See Appendix C for details.)	

<sup>a</sup> Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2006 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.

<sup>b</sup> When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.

<sup>c</sup> A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.

<sup>d</sup> Clinic-specific outcome rates are unreliable for women older than 42 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs. Readers are urged to review national outcomes for these age groups (see page 27).

<sup>e</sup> All ages (including ages >42) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.

# CENTRAL CALIFORNIA IVF PROGRAM WOMEN'S SPECIALTY AND FERTILITY CENTER CLOVIS, CALIFORNIA

**A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 79–88.**

## 2006 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART <sup>a</sup>				Patient Diagnosis			
IVF	96%	<b>Procedural Factors:</b>		Tubal factor	13%	Other factor	3%
GIFT	4%	With ICSI	45%	Ovulatory dysfunction	7%	Unknown factor	4%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	0%	Diminished ovarian reserve	16%	<i>Multiple Factors:</i>	
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	0%	Endometriosis	1%	Female factors only	15%
				Uterine factor	0%	Female & male factors	22%
				Male factor	18%		

## 2006 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by H. Michael Synn, MD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman			
	<35	35–37	38–40	41–42 <sup>d</sup>
<b>Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs</b>				
Number of cycles	63	31	28	17
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies <sup>b</sup>	33.3	32.3	10.7	0 / 17
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	28.6	22.6	7.1	0 / 17
(Confidence Interval)	(17.9–41.3)	(9.6–41.1)	(0.9–23.5)	
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	34.6	26.9	9.1	0 / 9
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	35.3	26.9	10.0	0 / 9
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births <sup>b</sup>	19.6	11.5	10.0	0 / 9
Percentage of cancellations <sup>b</sup>	17.5	16.1	21.4	8 / 17
Average number of embryos transferred	2.7	3.0	3.5	3.3
Percentage of pregnancies with twins <sup>b</sup>	38.1	5 / 10	1 / 3	
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more <sup>b</sup>	9.5	0 / 10	0 / 3	
Percentage of live births having multiple infants <sup>b,c</sup>	8 / 18	4 / 7	0 / 2	
<b>Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs</b>				
Number of transfers	4	2	2	0
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	0 / 4	0 / 2	0 / 2	
Average number of embryos transferred	2.0	3.0	2.5	
<b>All Ages Combined<sup>e</sup></b>				
<b>Donor Eggs</b>	<b>Fresh Embryos</b>		<b>Frozen Embryos</b>	
	12		0	
	2 / 12			
Average number of embryos transferred	3.2			

## CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

**Current Name:** Central California IVF Program, Women's Specialty and Fertility Center

Donor egg?	Yes	Gestational carriers?	Yes	SART member?	Yes
Donor embryo?	Yes	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	Yes
Single women?	Yes			(See Appendix C for details.)	

<sup>a</sup> Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2006 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.

<sup>b</sup> When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.

<sup>c</sup> A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.

<sup>d</sup> Clinic-specific outcome rates are unreliable for women older than 42 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs. Readers are urged to review national outcomes for these age groups (see page 27).

<sup>e</sup> All ages (including ages >42) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.

## ZOUVES FERTILITY CENTER DALY CITY, CALIFORNIA

A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 79–88.

### 2006 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART <sup>a</sup>			Patient Diagnosis	
IVF	100%	<b>Procedural Factors:</b>	Tubal factor	4%
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	Ovulatory dysfunction	11%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	Diminished ovarian reserve	21%
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	Endometriosis	5%
			Uterine factor	2%
			Male factor	9%
			Other factor	11%
			Unknown factor	5%
			<b>Multiple Factors:</b>	
			Female factors only	15%
			Female & male factors	19%

### 2006 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by Christo Zouves, MD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman			
	<35	35–37	38–40	41–42 <sup>d</sup>
<b>Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs</b>				
Number of cycles	68	78	92	52
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies <sup>b</sup>	47.1	43.6	37.0	17.3
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	45.6	38.5	30.4	11.5
(Confidence Interval)	(33.5–58.1)	(27.7–50.2)	(21.3–40.9)	(4.4–23.4)
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	46.3	39.0	31.8	11.8
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	47.0	41.1	36.8	14.0
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births <sup>b</sup>	27.3	26.0	21.1	14.0
Percentage of cancellations <sup>b</sup>	1.5	1.3	4.3	1.9
Average number of embryos transferred	2.9	3.2	3.1	2.3
Percentage of pregnancies with twins <sup>b</sup>	34.4	29.4	29.4	1 / 9
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more <sup>b</sup>	9.4	2.9	8.8	0 / 9
Percentage of live births having multiple infants <sup>b,c</sup>	41.9	36.7	42.9	0 / 6
<b>Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs</b>				
Number of transfers	39	19	7	1
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	35.9	4 / 19	1 / 7	0 / 1
Average number of embryos transferred	3.5	3.5	3.0	5.0
<b>All Ages Combined<sup>e</sup></b>				
<b>Donor Eggs</b>	<b>Fresh Embryos</b>		<b>Frozen Embryos</b>	
	Number of transfers		62	
	Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>		33.9	
	Average number of embryos transferred		3.5	

### CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

**Current Name:** Zouves Fertility Center

Donor egg?	Yes	Gestational carriers?	Yes	SART member?	Yes
Donor embryo?	Yes	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	Yes
Single women?	Yes			(See Appendix C for details.)	

<sup>a</sup> Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2006 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.

<sup>b</sup> When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.

<sup>c</sup> A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.

<sup>d</sup> Clinic-specific outcome rates are unreliable for women older than 42 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs. Readers are urged to review national outcomes for these age groups (see page 27).

<sup>e</sup> All ages (including ages >42) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.



# CALIFORNIA IVF: DAVIS FERTILITY CENTER, INC. DAVIS, CALIFORNIA

A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 79–88.

## 2006 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART <sup>a</sup>				Patient Diagnosis			
IVF	100%	<b>Procedural Factors:</b>		Tubal factor	9%	Other factor	0%
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	55%	Ovulatory dysfunction	6%	Unknown factor	7%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	0%	Diminished ovarian reserve	16%	<b>Multiple Factors:</b>	
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	0%	Endometriosis	6%	Female factors only	12%
				Uterine factor	<1%	Female & male factors	21%
				Male factor	23%		

## 2006 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by Ernest J. Zeringue, MD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman			
	<35	35–37	38–40	41–42 <sup>d</sup>
<b>Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs</b>				
Number of cycles	43	31	14	10
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies <sup>b</sup>	39.5	29.0	6 / 14	1 / 10
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	37.2	19.4	3 / 14	0 / 10
(Confidence Interval)	(23.0–53.3)	(7.5–37.5)		
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	39.0	23.1	3 / 14	0 / 7
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	43.2	24.0	3 / 13	0 / 6
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births <sup>b</sup>	29.7	24.0	2 / 13	0 / 6
Percentage of cancellations <sup>b</sup>	4.7	16.1	0 / 14	3 / 10
Average number of embryos transferred	2.1	2.4	2.6	2.2
Percentage of pregnancies with twins <sup>b</sup>	5 / 17	0 / 9	3 / 6	0 / 1
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more <sup>b</sup>	1 / 17	0 / 9	0 / 6	0 / 1
Percentage of live births having multiple infants <sup>b,c</sup>	5 / 16	0 / 6	1 / 3	
<b>Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs</b>				
Number of transfers	17	3	3	0
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	5 / 17	2 / 3	1 / 3	
Average number of embryos transferred	2.2	2.0	3.0	
<b>All Ages Combined<sup>e</sup></b>				
<b>Donor Eggs</b>	<b>Fresh Embryos</b>		<b>Frozen Embryos</b>	
	7		0	
	5 / 7			
	2.0			

## CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

**Current Name:** California IVF: Davis Fertility Center, Inc.

Donor egg?	Yes	Gestational carriers?	Yes	SART member?	No
Donor embryo?	Yes	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	No
Single women?	Yes			(See Appendix C for details.)	

<sup>a</sup> Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2006 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.

<sup>b</sup> When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.

<sup>c</sup> A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.

<sup>d</sup> Clinic-specific outcome rates are unreliable for women older than 42 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs. Readers are urged to review national outcomes for these age groups (see page 27).

<sup>e</sup> All ages (including ages >42) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.

## THE FERTILITY INSTITUTES—CALIFORNIA, NEVADA ENCINO, CALIFORNIA

A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 79–88.

### 2006 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART <sup>a</sup>				Patient Diagnosis			
IVF	100%	<b>Procedural Factors:</b>		Tubal factor	10%	Other factor	51%
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	76%	Ovulatory dysfunction	1%	Unknown factor	5%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	0%	Diminished ovarian reserve	7%	<b>Multiple Factors:</b>	
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	2%	Endometriosis	0%	Female factors only	13%
				Uterine factor	1%	Female & male factors	5%
				Male factor	8%		

### 2006 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by Jeffrey Steinberg, MD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman			
	<35	35–37	38–40	41–42 <sup>d</sup>
<b>Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs</b>				
Number of cycles	57	40	28	2
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies <sup>b</sup>	59.6	65.0	42.9	1 / 2
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	54.4	57.5	39.3	1 / 2
(Confidence Interval)	(40.7–67.6)	(40.9–73.0)	(21.5–59.4)	
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	57.4	65.7	52.4	1 / 2
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	57.4	65.7	52.4	1 / 2
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births <sup>b</sup>	33.3	48.6	42.9	1 / 2
Percentage of cancellations <sup>b</sup>	5.3	12.5	25.0	0 / 2
Average number of embryos transferred	2.6	2.8	2.5	2.0
Percentage of pregnancies with twins <sup>b</sup>	35.3	23.1	1 / 12	0 / 1
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more <sup>b</sup>	8.8	0.0	1 / 12	0 / 1
Percentage of live births having multiple infants <sup>b,c</sup>	41.9	26.1	2 / 11	0 / 1
<b>Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs</b>				
Number of transfers	6	7	2	3
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	0 / 6	2 / 7	1 / 2	0 / 3
Average number of embryos transferred	2.8	2.6	3.0	3.3
<b>All Ages Combined<sup>e</sup></b>				
<b>Donor Eggs</b>	<b>Fresh Embryos</b>		<b>Frozen Embryos</b>	
	Number of transfers		15	
	38		6 / 15	
	44.7		3.2	
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	3.0			
Average number of embryos transferred				

### CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

**Current Name:** The Fertility Institutes—California, Nevada

Donor egg?	Yes	Gestational carriers?	Yes	SART member?	Yes
Donor embryo?	Yes	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	Yes
Single women?	Yes			(See Appendix C for details.)	

<sup>a</sup> Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2006 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.

<sup>b</sup> When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.

<sup>c</sup> A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.

<sup>d</sup> Clinic-specific outcome rates are unreliable for women older than 42 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs. Readers are urged to review national outcomes for these age groups (see page 27).

<sup>e</sup> All ages (including ages >42) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.



## WEST COAST FERTILITY CENTERS FOUNTAIN VALLEY, CALIFORNIA

**A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 79–88.**

### 2006 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART <sup>a</sup>				Patient Diagnosis			
IVF	100%	<b>Procedural Factors:</b>		Tubal factor	11%	Other factor	<1%
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	91%	Ovulatory dysfunction	8%	Unknown factor	4%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	0%	Diminished ovarian reserve	5%	<i>Multiple Factors:</i>	
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	<1%	Endometriosis	2%	Female factors only	32%
				Uterine factor	<1%	Female & male factors	27%
				Male factor	10%		

### 2006 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by David G. Diaz, MD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman			
	<35	35–37	38–40	41–42 <sup>d</sup>
<b>Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs</b>				
Number of cycles	80	43	54	14
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies <sup>b</sup>	51.3	53.5	24.1	2 / 14
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	43.8	48.8	18.5	1 / 14
(Confidence Interval)	(32.7–55.3)	(33.3–64.5)	(9.3–31.4)	
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	43.8	52.5	19.6	1 / 14
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	45.5	53.8	21.3	1 / 9
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births <sup>b</sup>	27.3	35.9	19.1	1 / 9
Percentage of cancellations <sup>b</sup>	0.0	7.0	5.6	0 / 14
Average number of embryos transferred	3.1	3.5	3.6	4.3
Percentage of pregnancies with twins <sup>b</sup>	22.0	34.8	0 / 13	0 / 2
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more <sup>b</sup>	22.0	8.7	1 / 13	0 / 2
Percentage of live births having multiple infants <sup>b,c</sup>	40.0	33.3	1 / 10	0 / 1
<b>Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs</b>				
Number of transfers	29	15	7	5
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	34.5	2 / 15	3 / 7	1 / 5
Average number of embryos transferred	4.3	3.1	2.9	2.8
<b>All Ages Combined<sup>e</sup></b>				
<b>Donor Eggs</b>	<b>Fresh Embryos</b>		<b>Frozen Embryos</b>	
	15		20	
	10 / 15		45.0	
	3.7		4.1	

### CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

**Current Name:** West Coast Fertility Centers

Donor egg?	Yes	Gestational carriers?	Yes	SART member?	Yes
Donor embryo?	No	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	Yes
Single women?	Yes			(See Appendix C for details.)	

<sup>a</sup> Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2006 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.

<sup>b</sup> When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.

<sup>c</sup> A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.

<sup>d</sup> Clinic-specific outcome rates are unreliable for women older than 42 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs. Readers are urged to review national outcomes for these age groups (see page 27).

<sup>e</sup> All ages (including ages >42) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.

# KAISER PERMANENTE CENTER FOR REPRODUCTIVE HEALTH FREMONT, CALIFORNIA

A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 79–88.

## 2006 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART <sup>a</sup>			Patient Diagnosis	
IVF	100%	<b>Procedural Factors:</b>	Tubal factor	9%
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	Ovulatory dysfunction	4%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	Diminished ovarian reserve	27%
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	Endometriosis	2%
			Uterine factor	<1%
			Male factor	13%
			Other factor	4%
			Unknown factor	4%
			<b>Multiple Factors:</b>	
			Female factors only	14%
			Female & male factors	23%

## 2006 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by Jon A. Proctor, MD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman			
	<35	35–37	38–40	41–42 <sup>d</sup>
<b>Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs</b>				
Number of cycles	110	61	60	41
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies <sup>b</sup>	58.2	57.4	50.0	26.8
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	50.0	44.3	40.0	19.5
(Confidence Interval)	(40.3–59.7)	(31.5–57.6)	(27.6–53.5)	(8.8–34.9)
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	56.1	50.0	48.0	22.2
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	61.8	54.0	50.0	24.2
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births <sup>b</sup>	33.7	28.0	41.7	12.1
Percentage of cancellations <sup>b</sup>	10.9	11.5	16.7	12.2
Average number of embryos transferred	2.4	2.6	3.2	3.2
Percentage of pregnancies with twins <sup>b</sup>	43.8	42.9	20.0	3 / 11
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more <sup>b</sup>	7.8	11.4	6.7	1 / 11
Percentage of live births having multiple infants <sup>b,c</sup>	45.5	48.1	16.7	4 / 8
<b>Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs</b>				
Number of transfers	26	7	7	2
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	80.8	4 / 7	2 / 7	1 / 2
Average number of embryos transferred	2.1	2.9	2.9	3.5
<b>All Ages Combined<sup>e</sup></b>				
<b>Donor Eggs</b>	<b>Fresh Embryos</b>		<b>Frozen Embryos</b>	
Number of transfers	18		5	
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	13 / 18		3 / 5	
Average number of embryos transferred	2.0		2.0	

## CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

**Current Name:** Kaiser Permanente Center for Reproductive Health

Donor egg?	Yes	Gestational carriers?	No	SART member?	Yes
Donor embryo?	No	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	Yes
Single women?	Yes			(See Appendix C for details.)	

<sup>a</sup> Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2006 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.

<sup>b</sup> When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.

<sup>c</sup> A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.

<sup>d</sup> Clinic-specific outcome rates are unreliable for women older than 42 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs. Readers are urged to review national outcomes for these age groups (see page 27).

<sup>e</sup> All ages (including ages >42) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.

## KATHLEEN L. KORNAFEL, MD, PhD GLENDALE, CALIFORNIA

**A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 79–88.**

### 2006 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART <sup>a</sup>			Patient Diagnosis	
IVF	100%	<b>Procedural Factors:</b>	Tubal factor	1%
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	Ovulatory dysfunction	1%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	Diminished ovarian reserve	0%
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	Endometriosis	0%
			Uterine factor	0%
			Male factor	11%
			Other factor	47%
			Unknown factor	38%
			<b>Multiple Factors:</b>	
			Female factors only	1%
			Female & male factors	1%

### 2006 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by Kathleen L. Kornafel, MD, PhD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman			
	<35	35–37	38–40	41–42 <sup>d</sup>
<b>Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs</b>				
Number of cycles	16	19	15	9
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies <sup>b</sup>	5 / 16	5 / 19	2 / 15	4 / 9
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup> (Confidence Interval)	4 / 16	3 / 19	2 / 15	3 / 9
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	4 / 16	3 / 19	2 / 15	3 / 9
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	4 / 16	3 / 19	2 / 14	3 / 9
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births <sup>b</sup>	3 / 16	2 / 19	1 / 14	2 / 9
Percentage of cancellations <sup>b</sup>	0 / 16	0 / 19	0 / 15	0 / 9
Average number of embryos transferred	2.6	3.3	2.9	3.0
Percentage of pregnancies with twins <sup>b</sup>	2 / 5	2 / 5	0 / 2	1 / 4
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more <sup>b</sup>	0 / 5	0 / 5	1 / 2	0 / 4
Percentage of live births having multiple infants <sup>b,c</sup>	1 / 4	1 / 3	1 / 2	1 / 3
<b>Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs</b>				
Number of transfers	4	5	6	1
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	0 / 4	0 / 5	2 / 6	0 / 1
Average number of embryos transferred	2.0	2.4	2.2	2.0
<b>All Ages Combined<sup>e</sup></b>				
<b>Donor Eggs</b>	<b>Fresh Embryos</b>		<b>Frozen Embryos</b>	
	7		8	
	4 / 7		1 / 8	
Number of transfers	2.9		3.0	
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>				
Average number of embryos transferred				

### CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

**Current Name:** Kathleen L. Kornafel, MD, PhD

Donor egg?	Yes	Gestational carriers?	Yes	SART member?	No
Donor embryo?	Yes	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	Yes
Single women?	Yes			(See Appendix C for details.)	

<sup>a</sup> Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2006 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.

<sup>b</sup> When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.

<sup>c</sup> A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.

<sup>d</sup> Clinic-specific outcome rates are unreliable for women older than 42 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs. Readers are urged to review national outcomes for these age groups (see page 27).

<sup>e</sup> All ages (including ages >42) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.

# SHER INSTITUTE OF REPRODUCTIVE MEDICINE—LOS ANGELES GLENDALE, CALIFORNIA

A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 79–88.

## 2006 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART <sup>a</sup>				Patient Diagnosis			
IVF	100%	<b>Procedural Factors:</b>		Tubal factor	7%	Other factor	38%
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	83%	Ovulatory dysfunction	4%	Unknown factor	5%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	<1%	Diminished ovarian reserve	3%	<i>Multiple Factors:</i>	
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	2%	Endometriosis	6%	Female factors only	15%
				Uterine factor	<1%	Female & male factors	16%
				Male factor	7%		

## 2006 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by Brian Acacio, MD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman			
	<35	35–37	38–40	41–42 <sup>d</sup>
<b>Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs</b>				
Number of cycles	74	67	84	34
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies <sup>b</sup>	36.5	44.8	26.2	14.7
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	31.1	29.9	10.7	2.9
(Confidence Interval)	(20.8–42.9)	(19.3–42.3)	(5.0–19.4)	(0.1–15.3)
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	36.5	32.8	12.5	3.3
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	38.3	33.9	14.1	3.8
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births <sup>b</sup>	21.7	27.1	9.4	3.8
Percentage of cancellations <sup>b</sup>	14.9	9.0	14.3	11.8
Average number of embryos transferred	2.8	3.1	3.3	3.5
Percentage of pregnancies with twins <sup>b</sup>	22.2	16.7	22.7	0 / 5
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more <sup>b</sup>	14.8	10.0	0.0	0 / 5
Percentage of live births having multiple infants <sup>b,c</sup>	43.5	20.0	3 / 9	0 / 1
<b>Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs</b>				
Number of transfers	14	11	6	0
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	6 / 14	1 / 11	0 / 6	
Average number of embryos transferred	2.4	2.7	2.0	
<b>All Ages Combined<sup>e</sup></b>				
<b>Donor Eggs</b>	<b>Fresh Embryos</b>		<b>Frozen Embryos</b>	
	17		4	
	6 / 17		2 / 4	
	3.2		3.3	

## CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

**Current Name:** Sher Institute of Reproductive Medicine—Los Angeles

Donor egg?	Yes	Gestational carriers?	Yes	SART member?	No
Donor embryo?	Yes	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	Yes
Single women?	Yes			(See Appendix C for details.)	

<sup>a</sup> Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2006 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.

<sup>b</sup> When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.

<sup>c</sup> A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.

<sup>d</sup> Clinic-specific outcome rates are unreliable for women older than 42 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs. Readers are urged to review national outcomes for these age groups (see page 27).

<sup>e</sup> All ages (including ages >42) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.

# MARIN REPRODUCTIVE MEDICAL ASSOCIATES, INC. GREENBRAE, CALIFORNIA

A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 79–88.

## 2006 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART <sup>a</sup>				Patient Diagnosis	
IVF	100%	<b>Procedural Factors:</b>		Tubal factor	5%
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	38%	Ovulatory dysfunction	7%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	0%	Diminished ovarian reserve	22%
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	0%	Endometriosis	3%
				Uterine factor	2%
				Male factor	14%
				Other factor	3%
				Unknown factor	16%
				<b>Multiple Factors:</b>	
				Female factors only	13%
				Female & male factors	16%

## 2006 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by Sae H. Sohn, MD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman			
	<35	35–37	38–40	41–42 <sup>d</sup>
<b>Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs</b>				
Number of cycles	19	34	27	8
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies <sup>b</sup>	12 / 19	29.4	25.9	1 / 8
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	11 / 19	26.5	25.9	0 / 8
(Confidence Interval)		(12.9–44.4)	(11.1–46.3)	
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	11 / 19	30.0	26.9	0 / 7
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	11 / 19	32.1	31.8	0 / 7
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births <sup>b</sup>	7 / 19	17.9	9.1	0 / 7
Percentage of cancellations <sup>b</sup>	0 / 19	11.8	3.7	1 / 8
Average number of embryos transferred	2.3	2.8	2.7	3.7
Percentage of pregnancies with twins <sup>b</sup>	4 / 12	4 / 10	5 / 7	0 / 1
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more <sup>b</sup>	0 / 12	1 / 10	0 / 7	0 / 1
Percentage of live births having multiple infants <sup>b,c</sup>	4 / 11	4 / 9	5 / 7	
<b>Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs</b>				
Number of transfers	6	4	9	1
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	0 / 6	0 / 4	2 / 9	0 / 1
Average number of embryos transferred	3.3	4.0	4.1	6.0
<b>All Ages Combined<sup>e</sup></b>				
<b>Donor Eggs</b>	<b>Fresh Embryos</b>		<b>Frozen Embryos</b>	
	13		13	
	7 / 13		7 / 13	
	2.4		3.2	

## CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

**Current Name:** This clinic has closed or reorganized since 2006. Information on current clinic services and profile therefore is not provided here. Contact the NASS Help Desk for current information about this clinic.

<sup>a</sup> Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2006 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.

<sup>b</sup> When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.

<sup>c</sup> A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.

<sup>d</sup> Clinic-specific outcome rates are unreliable for women older than 42 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs. Readers are urged to review national outcomes for these age groups (see page 27).

<sup>e</sup> All ages (including ages >42) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.

# COASTAL FERTILITY MEDICAL CENTER, INC. IRVINE, CALIFORNIA

A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 79–88.

## 2006 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART <sup>a</sup>				Patient Diagnosis			
IVF	100%	<b>Procedural Factors:</b>		Tubal factor	3%	Other factor	8%
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	88%	Ovulatory dysfunction	2%	Unknown factor	23%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	0%	Diminished ovarian reserve	14%	<b>Multiple Factors:</b>	
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	1%	Endometriosis	4%	Female factors only	8%
				Uterine factor	<1%	Female & male factors	17%
				Male factor	22%		

## 2006 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by Lawrence B. Werlin, MD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman			
	<35	35–37	38–40	41–42 <sup>d</sup>
<b>Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs</b>				
Number of cycles	74	60	57	24
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies <sup>b</sup>	39.2	33.3	29.8	16.7
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	35.1	26.7	17.5	4.2
(Confidence Interval)	(24.4–47.1)	(16.1–39.7)	(8.7–29.9)	(0.1–21.1)
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	36.1	27.1	21.3	5.0
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	36.1	28.6	27.8	1 / 19
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births <sup>b</sup>	20.8	23.2	13.9	0 / 19
Percentage of cancellations <sup>b</sup>	2.7	1.7	17.5	16.7
Average number of embryos transferred	3.3	3.3	3.4	3.6
Percentage of pregnancies with twins <sup>b</sup>	37.9	25.0	7 / 17	0 / 4
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more <sup>b</sup>	6.9	0.0	0 / 17	1 / 4
Percentage of live births having multiple infants <sup>b,c</sup>	42.3	3 / 16	5 / 10	1 / 1
<b>Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs</b>				
Number of transfers	24	18	12	4
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	29.2	5 / 18	2 / 12	1 / 4
Average number of embryos transferred	3.1	3.3	3.7	2.3
<b>All Ages Combined<sup>e</sup></b>				
<b>Donor Eggs</b>	<b>Fresh Embryos</b>		<b>Frozen Embryos</b>	
	Number of transfers		35	
	Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>		38	
	57.1		18.4	
	Average number of embryos transferred		3.6	
	3.3			

## CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

**Current Name:** Coastal Fertility Medical Center, Inc.

Donor egg?	Yes	Gestational carriers?	Yes	SART member?	Yes
Donor embryo?	Yes	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	Yes
Single women?	Yes			(See Appendix C for details.)	

<sup>a</sup> Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2006 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.

<sup>b</sup> When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.

<sup>c</sup> A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.

<sup>d</sup> Clinic-specific outcome rates are unreliable for women older than 42 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs. Readers are urged to review national outcomes for these age groups (see page 27).

<sup>e</sup> All ages (including ages >42) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.



# FERTILITY CENTER OF SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA IRVINE, CALIFORNIA

**A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 79–88.**

## 2006 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART <sup>a</sup>				Patient Diagnosis	
IVF	100%	<b>Procedural Factors:</b>		Tubal factor	10%
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	88%	Ovulatory dysfunction	6%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	0%	Diminished ovarian reserve	24%
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	<1%	Endometriosis	4%
				Uterine factor	0%
				Male factor	13%
				Other factor	15%
				Unknown factor	21%
				<b>Multiple Factors:</b>	
				Female factors only	2%
				Female & male factors	5%

## 2006 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by Ilene E. Hatch, MD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman			
	<35	35–37	38–40	41–42 <sup>d</sup>
<b>Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs</b>				
Number of cycles	46	25	32	14
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies <sup>b</sup>	47.8	36.0	28.1	2 / 14
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	39.1	28.0	18.8	1 / 14
(Confidence Interval)	(25.1–54.6)	(12.1–49.4)	(7.2–36.4)	
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	42.9	29.2	23.1	1 / 12
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	43.9	30.4	24.0	1 / 12
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births <sup>b</sup>	31.7	21.7	16.0	1 / 12
Percentage of cancellations <sup>b</sup>	8.7	4.0	18.8	2 / 14
Average number of embryos transferred	3.7	4.1	4.2	4.8
Percentage of pregnancies with twins <sup>b</sup>	13.6	2 / 9	1 / 9	0 / 2
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more <sup>b</sup>	9.1	1 / 9	1 / 9	0 / 2
Percentage of live births having multiple infants <sup>b,c</sup>	5 / 18	2 / 7	2 / 6	0 / 1
<b>Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs</b>				
Number of transfers	10	11	9	3
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	4 / 10	5 / 11	1 / 9	2 / 3
Average number of embryos transferred	4.2	4.0	4.0	5.3
<b>All Ages Combined<sup>e</sup></b>				
<b>Donor Eggs</b>	<b>Fresh Embryos</b>		<b>Frozen Embryos</b>	
	Number of transfers		16	
	Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>		12 / 16	
	Average number of embryos transferred		2.9	
				13
				2 / 13
				4.8

## CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

**Current Name:** Fertility Center of Southern California

Donor egg?	Yes	Gestational carriers?	Yes	SART member?	Yes
Donor embryo?	Yes	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	Yes
Single women?	Yes			(See Appendix C for details.)	

<sup>a</sup> Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2006 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.

<sup>b</sup> When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.

<sup>c</sup> A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.

<sup>d</sup> Clinic-specific outcome rates are unreliable for women older than 42 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs. Readers are urged to review national outcomes for these age groups (see page 27).

<sup>e</sup> All ages (including ages >42) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.

# REPRODUCTIVE FERTILITY CENTER IRVINE, CALIFORNIA

A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 79–88.

## 2006 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART <sup>a</sup>				Patient Diagnosis			
IVF	100%	<b>Procedural Factors:</b>		Tubal factor	5%	Other factor	34%
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	94%	Ovulatory dysfunction	7%	Unknown factor	10%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	0%	Diminished ovarian reserve	7%	<b>Multiple Factors:</b>	
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	4%	Endometriosis	4%	Female factors only	6%
				Uterine factor	2%	Female & male factors	9%
				Male factor	16%		

## 2006 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by James P. Lin, MD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman			
	<35	35–37	38–40	41–42 <sup>d</sup>
<b>Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs</b>				
Number of cycles	41	28	27	9
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies <sup>b</sup>	65.9	50.0	48.1	1 / 9
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	51.2	42.9	40.7	1 / 9
(Confidence Interval)	(35.1–67.1)	(24.5–62.8)	(22.4–61.2)	
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	51.2	44.4	42.3	1 / 8
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	52.5	44.4	42.3	1 / 8
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births <sup>b</sup>	30.0	29.6	34.6	1 / 8
Percentage of cancellations <sup>b</sup>	0.0	3.6	3.7	1 / 9
Average number of embryos transferred	2.4	2.6	2.6	3.0
Percentage of pregnancies with twins <sup>b</sup>	29.6	4 / 14	4 / 13	0 / 1
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more <sup>b</sup>	7.4	0 / 14	0 / 13	0 / 1
Percentage of live births having multiple infants <sup>b,c</sup>	42.9	4 / 12	2 / 11	0 / 1
<b>Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs</b>				
Number of transfers	4	2	0	0
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	0 / 4	0 / 2		
Average number of embryos transferred	2.8	3.0		
<b>All Ages Combined<sup>e</sup></b>				
<b>Donor Eggs</b>	<b>Fresh Embryos</b>		<b>Frozen Embryos</b>	
	10		2	
	8 / 10		1 / 2	
	2.4		2.5	

## CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

**Current Name:** Reproductive Fertility Center

Donor egg?	Yes	Gestational carriers?	Yes	SART member?	No
Donor embryo?	No	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	Pending
Single women?	Yes			(See Appendix C for details.)	

<sup>a</sup> Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2006 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.

<sup>b</sup> When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.

<sup>c</sup> A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.

<sup>d</sup> Clinic-specific outcome rates are unreliable for women older than 42 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs. Readers are urged to review national outcomes for these age groups (see page 27).

<sup>e</sup> All ages (including ages >42) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.



# REPRODUCTIVE PARTNERS—UCSD REGIONAL FERTILITY CENTER LA JOLLA, CALIFORNIA

A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 79–88.

## 2006 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART <sup>a</sup>			Patient Diagnosis	
IVF	100%	<b>Procedural Factors:</b>	Tubal factor	4%
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	Ovulatory dysfunction	5%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	Diminished ovarian reserve	13%
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	Endometriosis	4%
			Uterine factor	5%
			Male factor	17%
			Other factor	11%
			Unknown factor	5%
			<b>Multiple Factors:</b>	
			Female factors only	11%
			Female & male factors	25%

## 2006 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by V. Gabriel Garzo, MD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman			
	<35	35–37	38–40	41–42 <sup>d</sup>
<b>Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs</b>				
Number of cycles	65	56	58	24
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies <sup>b</sup>	63.1	57.1	36.2	20.8
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	58.5	50.0	27.6	16.7
(Confidence Interval)	(45.6–70.6)	(36.3–63.7)	(16.7–40.9)	(4.7–37.4)
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	60.3	57.1	34.0	16.7
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	66.7	59.6	37.2	20.0
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births <sup>b</sup>	45.6	34.0	25.6	20.0
Percentage of cancellations <sup>b</sup>	3.1	12.5	19.0	0.0
Average number of embryos transferred	1.9	2.1	2.3	2.7
Percentage of pregnancies with twins <sup>b</sup>	34.1	43.8	23.8	0 / 5
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more <sup>b</sup>	7.3	6.3	4.8	1 / 5
Percentage of live births having multiple infants <sup>b,c</sup>	31.6	42.9	5 / 16	0 / 4
<b>Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs</b>				
Number of transfers	20	10	11	7
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	45.0	4 / 10	2 / 11	0 / 7
Average number of embryos transferred	2.2	1.9	2.4	3.0
<b>All Ages Combined<sup>e</sup></b>				
<b>Donor Eggs</b>	<b>Fresh Embryos</b>		<b>Frozen Embryos</b>	
	27		15	
	81.5		9 / 15	
	1.9		2.1	

## CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

**Current Name:** Reproductive Partners—UCSD Regional Fertility Center

Donor egg?	Yes	Gestational carriers?	Yes	SART member?	Yes
Donor embryo?	Yes	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	Yes
Single women?	Yes			(See Appendix C for details.)	

<sup>a</sup> Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2006 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.

<sup>b</sup> When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.

<sup>c</sup> A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.

<sup>d</sup> Clinic-specific outcome rates are unreliable for women older than 42 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs. Readers are urged to review national outcomes for these age groups (see page 27).

<sup>e</sup> All ages (including ages >42) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.

## REPRODUCTIVE SCIENCES CENTER LA JOLLA, CALIFORNIA

A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 79–88.

### 2006 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART <sup>a</sup>				Patient Diagnosis			
IVF	100%	<b>Procedural Factors:</b>		Tubal factor	4%	Other factor	2%
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	52%	Ovulatory dysfunction	0%	Unknown factor	0%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	0%	Diminished ovarian reserve	11%	<i>Multiple Factors:</i>	
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	13%	Endometriosis	2%	Female factors only	45%
				Uterine factor	2%	Female & male factors	30%
				Male factor	5%		

### 2006 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by Samuel H. Wood, MD, PhD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman			
	<35	35–37	38–40	41–42 <sup>d</sup>
<b>Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs</b>				
Number of cycles	2	5	9	4
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies <sup>b</sup>	1 / 2	3 / 5	2 / 9	0 / 4
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup> (Confidence Interval)	1 / 2	2 / 5	2 / 9	0 / 4
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	1 / 1	2 / 4	2 / 8	0 / 2
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	1 / 1	2 / 4	2 / 7	0 / 1
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births <sup>b</sup>	1 / 1	2 / 4	2 / 7	0 / 1
Percentage of cancellations <sup>b</sup>	1 / 2	1 / 5	1 / 9	2 / 4
Average number of embryos transferred	4.0	2.3	2.4	1.0
Percentage of pregnancies with twins <sup>b</sup>	0 / 1	0 / 3	0 / 2	
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more <sup>b</sup>	0 / 1	0 / 3	0 / 2	
Percentage of live births having multiple infants <sup>b,c</sup>	0 / 1	0 / 2	0 / 2	
<b>Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs</b>				
Number of transfers	2	1	4	0
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	2 / 2	0 / 1	2 / 4	
Average number of embryos transferred	3.5	2.0	3.3	
<b>All Ages Combined<sup>e</sup></b>				
<b>Donor Eggs</b>	<b>Fresh Embryos</b>		<b>Frozen Embryos</b>	
	32		41	
	56.3		51.2	
	2.3		3.0	

### CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

**Current Name:** Reproductive Sciences Center

Donor egg?	Yes	Gestational carriers?	Yes	SART member?	Yes
Donor embryo?	Yes	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	Yes
Single women?	Yes			(See Appendix C for details.)	

<sup>a</sup> Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2006 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.

<sup>b</sup> When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.

<sup>c</sup> A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.

<sup>d</sup> Clinic-specific outcome rates are unreliable for women older than 42 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs. Readers are urged to review national outcomes for these age groups (see page 27).

<sup>e</sup> All ages (including ages >42) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.

# SCRIPPS CLINIC FERTILITY CENTER LA JOLLA, CALIFORNIA

A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 79–88.

## 2006 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART <sup>a</sup>				Patient Diagnosis			
IVF	100%	<b>Procedural Factors:</b>		Tubal factor	7%	Other factor	0%
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	87%	Ovulatory dysfunction	3%	Unknown factor	3%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	0%	Diminished ovarian reserve	10%	<b>Multiple Factors:</b>	
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	3%	Endometriosis	3%	Female factors only	23%
				Uterine factor	<1%	Female & male factors	37%
				Male factor	13%		

## 2006 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by Jeffrey S. Rakoff, MD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman			
	<35	35–37	38–40	41–42 <sup>d</sup>
<b>Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs</b>				
Number of cycles	38	41	29	11
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies <sup>b</sup>	18.4	19.5	6.9	1 / 11
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	13.2	19.5	6.9	0 / 11
(Confidence Interval)	(4.4–28.1)	(8.8–34.9)	(0.8–22.8)	
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	13.9	21.1	8.0	0 / 10
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	15.2	21.6	8.3	0 / 9
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births <sup>b</sup>	6.1	13.5	8.3	0 / 9
Percentage of cancellations <sup>b</sup>	5.3	7.3	13.8	1 / 11
Average number of embryos transferred	2.6	2.6	2.6	2.3
Percentage of pregnancies with twins <sup>b</sup>	2 / 7	3 / 8	0 / 2	0 / 1
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more <sup>b</sup>	1 / 7	0 / 8	0 / 2	0 / 1
Percentage of live births having multiple infants <sup>b,c</sup>	3 / 5	3 / 8	0 / 2	
<b>Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs</b>				
Number of transfers	7	9	1	1
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	0 / 7	2 / 9	1 / 1	0 / 1
Average number of embryos transferred	2.4	2.7	2.0	1.0
<b>All Ages Combined<sup>e</sup></b>				
<b>Donor Eggs</b>	<b>Fresh Embryos</b>		<b>Frozen Embryos</b>	
	5		0	
	3 / 5			
Average number of embryos transferred	1.8			

## CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

**Current Name:** Scripps Clinic Fertility Center

Donor egg?	Yes	Gestational carriers?	Yes	SART member?	Yes
Donor embryo?	Yes	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	Yes
Single women?	Yes			(See Appendix C for details.)	

<sup>a</sup> Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2006 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.

<sup>b</sup> When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.

<sup>c</sup> A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.

<sup>d</sup> Clinic-specific outcome rates are unreliable for women older than 42 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs. Readers are urged to review national outcomes for these age groups (see page 27).

<sup>e</sup> All ages (including ages >42) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.

# MISSION REPRODUCTIVE CENTER LAGUNA NIGUEL, CALIFORNIA

A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 79–88.

## 2006 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART <sup>a</sup>				Patient Diagnosis			
IVF	100%	<b>Procedural Factors:</b>		Tubal factor	5%	Other factor	3%
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	88%	Ovulatory dysfunction	11%	Unknown factor	0%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	0%	Diminished ovarian reserve	14%	<b>Multiple Factors:</b>	
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	4%	Endometriosis	4%	Female factors only	19%
				Uterine factor	6%	Female & male factors	27%
				Male factor	13%		

## 2006 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by Paul W. Zarutskie, MD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman			
	<35	35–37	38–40	41–42 <sup>d</sup>
<b>Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs</b>				
Number of cycles	15	15	20	10
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies <sup>b</sup>	5 / 15	3 / 15	20.0	2 / 10
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	5 / 15	3 / 15	20.0	1 / 10
(Confidence Interval)			(5.7–43.7)	
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	5 / 15	3 / 14	4 / 17	1 / 9
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	5 / 12	3 / 12	4 / 15	1 / 4
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births <sup>b</sup>	3 / 12	2 / 12	4 / 15	1 / 4
Percentage of cancellations <sup>b</sup>	0 / 15	1 / 15	15.0	1 / 10
Average number of embryos transferred	2.3	1.9	1.9	2.5
Percentage of pregnancies with twins <sup>b</sup>	2 / 5	1 / 3	1 / 4	0 / 2
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more <sup>b</sup>	0 / 5	0 / 3	0 / 4	0 / 2
Percentage of live births having multiple infants <sup>b,c</sup>	2 / 5	1 / 3	0 / 4	0 / 1
<b>Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs</b>				
Number of transfers	5	3	2	3
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	0 / 5	0 / 3	1 / 2	0 / 3
Average number of embryos transferred	1.4	2.3	2.0	2.0
<b>All Ages Combined<sup>e</sup></b>				
<b>Donor Eggs</b>	<b>Fresh Embryos</b>		<b>Frozen Embryos</b>	
	7		7	
	1 / 7		0 / 7	
	1.9		2.3	

## CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

**Current Name:** Mission Reproductive Center

Donor egg?	Yes	Gestational carriers?	Yes	SART member?	Yes
Donor embryo?	Yes	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	Yes
Single women?	Yes			(See Appendix C for details.)	

<sup>a</sup> Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2006 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.

<sup>b</sup> When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.

<sup>c</sup> A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.

<sup>d</sup> Clinic-specific outcome rates are unreliable for women older than 42 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs. Readers are urged to review national outcomes for these age groups (see page 27).

<sup>e</sup> All ages (including ages >42) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.

# SHER INSTITUTE OF REPRODUCTIVE MEDICINE—ORANGE COUNTY LAGUNA NIGUEL, CALIFORNIA

A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 79–88.

## 2006 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART <sup>a</sup>			Patient Diagnosis	
IVF	100%	<b>Procedural Factors:</b>	Tubal factor	4%
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	Ovulatory dysfunction	2%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	Diminished ovarian reserve	20%
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	Endometriosis	11%
			Uterine factor	<1%
			Male factor	9%
			Other factor	12%
			Unknown factor	2%
			<b>Multiple Factors:</b>	
			Female factors only	21%
			Female & male factors	19%

## 2006 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by Brian Acacio, MD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman			
	<35	35–37	38–40	41–42 <sup>d</sup>
<b>Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs</b>				
Number of cycles	38	13	22	11
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies <sup>b</sup>	52.6	3 / 13	31.8	2 / 11
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	47.4	2 / 13	18.2	1 / 11
(Confidence Interval)	(31.0–64.2)		(5.2–40.3)	
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	50.0	2 / 12	19.0	1 / 11
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	51.4	2 / 11	4 / 15	1 / 11
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births <sup>b</sup>	20.0	1 / 11	4 / 15	1 / 11
Percentage of cancellations <sup>b</sup>	5.3	1 / 13	4.5	0 / 11
Average number of embryos transferred	3.0	3.8	2.5	3.7
Percentage of pregnancies with twins <sup>b</sup>	45.0	1 / 3	0 / 7	0 / 2
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more <sup>b</sup>	20.0	0 / 3	1 / 7	0 / 2
Percentage of live births having multiple infants <sup>b,c</sup>	11 / 18	1 / 2	0 / 4	0 / 1
<b>Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs</b>				
Number of transfers	5	1	2	0
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	0 / 5	0 / 1	1 / 2	
Average number of embryos transferred	2.4	2.0	3.0	
<b>All Ages Combined<sup>e</sup></b>				
<b>Donor Eggs</b>	<b>Fresh Embryos</b>		<b>Frozen Embryos</b>	
	10		1	
	5 / 10		0 / 1	
	2.6		2.0	

## CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

**Current Name:** Sher Institute of Reproductive Medicine—Orange County

Donor egg?	Yes	Gestational carriers?	Yes	SART member?	No
Donor embryo?	Yes	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	Yes
Single women?	Yes			(See Appendix C for details.)	

<sup>a</sup> Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2006 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.

<sup>b</sup> When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.

<sup>c</sup> A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.

<sup>d</sup> Clinic-specific outcome rates are unreliable for women older than 42 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs. Readers are urged to review national outcomes for these age groups (see page 27).

<sup>e</sup> All ages (including ages >42) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.

# LOMA LINDA UNIVERSITY CENTER FOR FERTILITY AND IVF

## LOMA LINDA, CALIFORNIA

A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 79–88.

### 2006 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART <sup>a</sup>				Patient Diagnosis			
IVF	100%	<b>Procedural Factors:</b>		Tubal factor	14%	Other factor	3%
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	83%	Ovulatory dysfunction	4%	Unknown factor	6%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	1%	Diminished ovarian reserve	14%	<i>Multiple Factors:</i>	
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	0%	Endometriosis	4%	Female factors only	13%
				Uterine factor	<1%	Female & male factors	24%
				Male factor	18%		

### 2006 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by John D. Jacobson, MD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman			
	<35	35–37	38–40	41–42 <sup>d</sup>
<b>Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs</b>				
Number of cycles	86	42	30	4
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies <sup>b</sup>	44.2	42.9	10.0	1 / 4
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	34.9	33.3	6.7	1 / 4
(Confidence Interval)	(24.9–45.9)	(19.6–49.5)	(0.8–22.1)	
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	40.0	37.8	9.5	1 / 2
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	41.7	38.9	2 / 19	1 / 2
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births <sup>b</sup>	19.4	30.6	2 / 19	0 / 2
Percentage of cancellations <sup>b</sup>	12.8	11.9	30.0	2 / 4
Average number of embryos transferred	2.2	2.8	3.0	3.5
Percentage of pregnancies with twins <sup>b</sup>	39.5	5 / 18	1 / 3	1 / 1
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more <sup>b</sup>	2.6	0 / 18	1 / 3	0 / 1
Percentage of live births having multiple infants <sup>b,c</sup>	53.3	3 / 14	0 / 2	1 / 1
<b>Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs</b>				
Number of transfers	19	10	3	2
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	7 / 19	4 / 10	2 / 3	1 / 2
Average number of embryos transferred	2.7	2.7	3.0	3.5
<b>All Ages Combined<sup>e</sup></b>				
<b>Donor Eggs</b>	<b>Fresh Embryos</b>		<b>Frozen Embryos</b>	
Number of transfers	14		12	
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	5 / 14		2 / 12	
Average number of embryos transferred	2.3		2.9	

### CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

**Current Name:** Loma Linda University Center for Fertility and IVF

Donor egg?	Yes	Gestational carriers?	Yes	SART member?	Yes
Donor embryo?	Yes	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	Yes
Single women?	Yes			(See Appendix C for details.)	

<sup>a</sup> Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2006 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.

<sup>b</sup> When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.

<sup>c</sup> A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.

<sup>d</sup> Clinic-specific outcome rates are unreliable for women older than 42 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs. Readers are urged to review national outcomes for these age groups (see page 27).

<sup>e</sup> All ages (including ages >42) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.



## REPRODUCTIVE PARTNERS—LONG BEACH LONG BEACH, CALIFORNIA

A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 79–88.

### 2006 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART <sup>a</sup>				Patient Diagnosis			
IVF	>99%	<b>Procedural Factors:</b>		Tubal factor	12%	Other factor	15%
GIFT	<1%	With ICSI	38%	Ovulatory dysfunction	6%	Unknown factor	9%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	0%	Diminished ovarian reserve	20%	<i>Multiple Factors:</i>	
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	<1%	Endometriosis	5%	Female factors only	5%
				Uterine factor	4%	Female & male factors	8%
				Male factor	16%		

### 2006 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by Bill Yee, MD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman			
	<35	35–37	38–40	41–42 <sup>d</sup>
<b>Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs</b>				
Number of cycles	58	39	33	25
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies <sup>b</sup>	50.0	35.9	39.4	8.0
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	41.4	33.3	27.3	0.0
(Confidence Interval)	(28.6–55.1)	(19.1–50.2)	(13.3–45.5)	(0.0–13.7)
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	44.4	40.6	36.0	0.0
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	45.3	41.9	37.5	0 / 19
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births <sup>b</sup>	32.1	25.8	33.3	0 / 19
Percentage of cancellations <sup>b</sup>	6.9	17.9	24.2	20.0
Average number of embryos transferred	2.1	2.4	2.8	2.6
Percentage of pregnancies with twins <sup>b</sup>	37.9	6 / 14	2 / 13	0 / 2
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more <sup>b</sup>	0.0	0 / 14	0 / 13	0 / 2
Percentage of live births having multiple infants <sup>b,c</sup>	29.2	5 / 13	1 / 9	
<b>Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs</b>				
Number of transfers	22	7	7	6
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	40.9	4 / 7	2 / 7	3 / 6
Average number of embryos transferred	2.0	2.4	2.7	2.5
<b>All Ages Combined<sup>e</sup></b>				
<b>Donor Eggs</b>	<b>Fresh Embryos</b>		<b>Frozen Embryos</b>	
	20		6	
	45.0		2 / 6	
	2.2		2.0	

### CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

**Current Name:** Reproductive Partners—Orange County

Donor egg?	Yes	Gestational carriers?	Yes	SART member?	Yes
Donor embryo?	Yes	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	Yes
Single women?	Yes			(See Appendix C for details.)	

<sup>a</sup> Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2006 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.

<sup>b</sup> When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.

<sup>c</sup> A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.

<sup>d</sup> Clinic-specific outcome rates are unreliable for women older than 42 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs. Readers are urged to review national outcomes for these age groups (see page 27).

<sup>e</sup> All ages (including ages >42) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.

# CALIFORNIA FERTILITY PARTNERS LOS ANGELES, CALIFORNIA

A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 79–88.

## 2006 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART <sup>a</sup>			Patient Diagnosis	
IVF	100%	<b>Procedural Factors:</b>	Tubal factor	3%
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	Ovulatory dysfunction	2%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	Diminished ovarian reserve	10%
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	Endometriosis	3%
			Uterine factor	4%
			Male factor	17%
			Other factor	13%
			Unknown factor	23%
			<b>Multiple Factors:</b>	
			Female factors only	14%
			Female & male factors	11%

## 2006 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by Richard P. Marrs, MD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman			
	<35	35–37	38–40	41–42 <sup>d</sup>
<b>Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs</b>				
Number of cycles	60	85	127	98
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies <sup>b</sup>	41.7	28.2	18.9	16.3
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	40.0	22.4	13.4	8.2
(Confidence Interval)	(27.6–53.5)	(14.0–32.7)	(8.0–20.6)	(3.6–15.5)
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	45.3	27.1	18.9	10.4
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	45.3	28.4	20.0	12.1
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births <sup>b</sup>	22.6	13.4	17.6	12.1
Percentage of cancellations <sup>b</sup>	11.7	17.6	29.1	21.4
Average number of embryos transferred	2.7	3.3	3.5	3.9
Percentage of pregnancies with twins <sup>b</sup>	36.0	29.2	12.5	1 / 16
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more <sup>b</sup>	12.0	16.7	0.0	0 / 16
Percentage of live births having multiple infants <sup>b,c</sup>	50.0	10 / 19	2 / 17	0 / 8
<b>Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs</b>				
Number of transfers	27	27	20	12
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	25.9	25.9	40.0	1 / 12
Average number of embryos transferred	2.6	2.9	3.2	3.1
<b>All Ages Combined<sup>e</sup></b>				
<b>Donor Eggs</b>	<b>Fresh Embryos</b>		<b>Frozen Embryos</b>	
	93		90	
	49.5		30.0	
	2.5		2.7	

## CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

**Current Name:** California Fertility Partners

Donor egg?	Yes	Gestational carriers?	Yes	SART member?	Yes
Donor embryo?	No	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	Yes
Single women?	Yes			(See Appendix C for details.)	

<sup>a</sup> Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2006 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.

<sup>b</sup> When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.

<sup>c</sup> A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.

<sup>d</sup> Clinic-specific outcome rates are unreliable for women older than 42 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs. Readers are urged to review national outcomes for these age groups (see page 27).

<sup>e</sup> All ages (including ages >42) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.



**CEDARS SINAI MEDICAL CENTER**  
**CENTER FOR FERTILITY AND REPRODUCTIVE MEDICINE**  
**LOS ANGELES, CALIFORNIA**

**A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 79–88.**

**2006 ART CYCLE PROFILE**

Type of ART <sup>a</sup>			Patient Diagnosis	
IVF	100%	<b>Procedural Factors:</b>	Tubal factor	2%
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	Ovulatory dysfunction	9%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	Diminished ovarian reserve	13%
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	Endometriosis	5%
			Uterine factor	0%
			Male factor	9%
			Other factor	9%
			Unknown factor	9%
			<b>Multiple Factors:</b>	
			Female factors only	25%
			Female & male factors	19%

**2006 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES**

Data verified by Margareta D. Pisarska, MD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman			
	<35	35–37	38–40	41–42 <sup>d</sup>
<b>Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs</b>				
Number of cycles	17	11	20	7
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies <sup>b</sup>	7 / 17	7 / 11	25.0	2 / 7
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	6 / 17	6 / 11	15.0	1 / 7
(Confidence Interval)			(3.2–37.9)	
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	6 / 12	6 / 9	3 / 16	1 / 6
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	6 / 11	6 / 8	3 / 14	1 / 5
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births <sup>b</sup>	3 / 11	5 / 8	1 / 14	1 / 5
Percentage of cancellations <sup>b</sup>	5 / 17	2 / 11	20.0	1 / 7
Average number of embryos transferred	2.7	2.5	3.4	2.8
Percentage of pregnancies with twins <sup>b</sup>	5 / 7	1 / 7	2 / 5	1 / 2
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more <sup>b</sup>	0 / 7	0 / 7	0 / 5	0 / 2
Percentage of live births having multiple infants <sup>b,c</sup>	3 / 6	1 / 6	2 / 3	0 / 1
<b>Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs</b>				
Number of transfers	2	0	2	0
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	1 / 2		0 / 2	
Average number of embryos transferred	4.5		2.5	
<b>All Ages Combined<sup>e</sup></b>				
<b>Donor Eggs</b>	<b>Fresh Embryos</b>		<b>Frozen Embryos</b>	
	2		2	
	0 / 2		0 / 2	
	2.0		3.0	

**CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE**

**Current Name:** Cedars Sinai Medical Center, Center for Fertility and Reproductive Medicine

Donor egg?	Yes	Gestational carriers?	Yes	SART member?	Yes
Donor embryo?	Yes	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	Yes
Single women?	Yes			(See Appendix C for details.)	

<sup>a</sup> Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2006 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.

<sup>b</sup> When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.

<sup>c</sup> A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.

<sup>d</sup> Clinic-specific outcome rates are unreliable for women older than 42 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs. Readers are urged to review national outcomes for these age groups (see page 27).

<sup>e</sup> All ages (including ages >42) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.

## CHA FERTILITY CENTER LOS ANGELES, CALIFORNIA

A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 79–88.

### 2006 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART <sup>a</sup>			Patient Diagnosis	
IVF	100%	<b>Procedural Factors:</b>	Tubal factor	4%
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	Ovulatory dysfunction	1%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	Diminished ovarian reserve	17%
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	Endometriosis	3%
			Uterine factor	4%
			Male factor	6%
			Other factor	54%
			Unknown factor	1%
			<b>Multiple Factors:</b>	
			Female factors only	7%
			Female & male factors	4%

### 2006 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by Thomas J. Kim, MD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman			
	<35	35–37	38–40	41–42 <sup>d</sup>
<b>Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs</b>				
Number of cycles	33	23	21	11
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies <sup>b</sup>	33.3	47.8	14.3	4 / 11
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	24.2	34.8	9.5	2 / 11
(Confidence Interval)	(11.1–42.3)	(16.4–57.3)	(1.2–30.4)	
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	24.2	34.8	9.5	2 / 11
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	24.2	34.8	9.5	2 / 11
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births <sup>b</sup>	24.2	30.4	4.8	2 / 11
Percentage of cancellations <sup>b</sup>	0.0	0.0	0.0	0 / 11
Average number of embryos transferred	2.5	2.8	2.7	2.8
Percentage of pregnancies with twins <sup>b</sup>	0 / 11	0 / 11	0 / 3	0 / 4
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more <sup>b</sup>	0 / 11	1 / 11	1 / 3	0 / 4
Percentage of live births having multiple infants <sup>b,c</sup>	0 / 8	1 / 8	1 / 2	0 / 2
<b>Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs</b>				
Number of transfers	11	4	2	0
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	5 / 11	2 / 4	2 / 2	
Average number of embryos transferred	2.4	2.8	1.5	
<b>All Ages Combined<sup>e</sup></b>				
<b>Donor Eggs</b>	<b>Fresh Embryos</b>		<b>Frozen Embryos</b>	
	73		12	
	64.4		6 / 12	
	2.0		2.6	

### CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

**Current Name:** This clinic has closed or reorganized since 2006. Information on current clinic services and profile therefore is not provided here. Contact the NASS Help Desk for current information about this clinic.

<sup>a</sup> Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2006 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.

<sup>b</sup> When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.

<sup>c</sup> A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.

<sup>d</sup> Clinic-specific outcome rates are unreliable for women older than 42 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs. Readers are urged to review national outcomes for these age groups (see page 27).

<sup>e</sup> All ages (including ages >42) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.

# PACIFIC FERTILITY CENTER—LOS ANGELES LOS ANGELES, CALIFORNIA

**A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 79–88.**

## 2006 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART <sup>a</sup>				Patient Diagnosis			
IVF	100%	<b>Procedural Factors:</b>		Tubal factor	6%	Other factor	61%
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	67%	Ovulatory dysfunction	<1%	Unknown factor	4%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	0%	Diminished ovarian reserve	0%	<b>Multiple Factors:</b>	
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	0%	Endometriosis	2%	Female factors only	4%
				Uterine factor	0%	Female & male factors	13%
				Male factor	9%		

## 2006 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by Vicken Sahakian, MD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman			
	<35	35–37	38–40	41–42 <sup>d</sup>
Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs				
Number of cycles	52	31	27	14
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies <sup>b</sup>	53.8	48.4	44.4	4 / 14
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	51.9	38.7	37.0	2 / 14
(Confidence Interval)	(37.6–66.0)	(21.8–57.8)	(19.4–57.6)	
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	51.9	38.7	37.0	2 / 14
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	55.1	40.0	40.0	2 / 11
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births <sup>b</sup>	30.6	26.7	28.0	2 / 11
Percentage of cancellations <sup>b</sup>	0.0	0.0	0.0	0 / 14
Average number of embryos transferred	3.2	3.6	3.4	3.0
Percentage of pregnancies with twins <sup>b</sup>	46.4	6 / 15	4 / 12	0 / 4
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more <sup>b</sup>	10.7	0 / 15	0 / 12	0 / 4
Percentage of live births having multiple infants <sup>b,c</sup>	44.4	4 / 12	3 / 10	0 / 2
Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs				
Number of transfers	12	10	5	1
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	4 / 12	2 / 10	1 / 5	0 / 1
Average number of embryos transferred	3.6	3.2	3.6	3.0
All Ages Combined <sup>e</sup>				
Donor Eggs	Fresh Embryos		Frozen Embryos	
Number of transfers	106		55	
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	50.9		41.8	
Average number of embryos transferred	3.1		3.1	

## CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

**Current Name:** Pacific Fertility Center—Los Angeles

Donor egg?	No	Gestational carriers?	Yes	SART member?	Yes
Donor embryo?	Yes	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	Yes
Single women?	Yes			(See Appendix C for details.)	

<sup>a</sup> Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2006 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.

<sup>b</sup> When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.

<sup>c</sup> A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.

<sup>d</sup> Clinic-specific outcome rates are unreliable for women older than 42 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs. Readers are urged to review national outcomes for these age groups (see page 27).

<sup>e</sup> All ages (including ages >42) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.

## UCLA FERTILITY CENTER LOS ANGELES, CALIFORNIA

A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 79–88.

### 2006 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART <sup>a</sup>			Patient Diagnosis	
IVF	100%	<b>Procedural Factors:</b>	Tubal factor	10%
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	Ovulatory dysfunction	4%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	Diminished ovarian reserve	22%
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	Endometriosis	3%
			Uterine factor	0%
			Male factor	27%
			Other factor	7%
			Unknown factor	7%
			<b>Multiple Factors:</b>	
			Female factors only	11%
			Female & male factors	11%

### 2006 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by T.C. Jackson Wu, MD, PhD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman			
	<35	35–37	38–40	41–42 <sup>d</sup>
<b>Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs</b>				
Number of cycles	26	16	22	11
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies <sup>b</sup>	46.2	6 / 16	18.2	2 / 11
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	34.6	6 / 16	13.6	2 / 11
(Confidence Interval)	(17.2–55.7)		(2.9–34.9)	
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	37.5	6 / 13	3 / 18	2 / 8
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	9 / 19	6 / 13	3 / 13	2 / 8
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births <sup>b</sup>	5 / 19	3 / 13	3 / 13	2 / 8
Percentage of cancellations <sup>b</sup>	7.7	3 / 16	18.2	3 / 11
Average number of embryos transferred	3.5	3.4	3.3	2.9
Percentage of pregnancies with twins <sup>b</sup>	2 / 12	2 / 6	0 / 4	0 / 2
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more <sup>b</sup>	2 / 12	1 / 6	0 / 4	0 / 2
Percentage of live births having multiple infants <sup>b,c</sup>	4 / 9	3 / 6	0 / 3	0 / 2
<b>Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs</b>				
Number of transfers	6	1	2	0
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	1 / 6	1 / 1	1 / 2	
Average number of embryos transferred	2.7	3.0	1.0	
<b>All Ages Combined<sup>e</sup></b>				
<b>Donor Eggs</b>	<b>Fresh Embryos</b>		<b>Frozen Embryos</b>	
	5		1	
	3 / 5		0 / 1	
Number of transfers	4.0		2.0	
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>				
Average number of embryos transferred				

### CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

**Current Name:** UCLA Fertility Center

Donor egg?	Yes	Gestational carriers?	Yes	SART member?	Yes
Donor embryo?	Yes	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	Yes
Single women?	Yes			(See Appendix C for details.)	

<sup>a</sup> Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2006 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.

<sup>b</sup> When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.

<sup>c</sup> A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.

<sup>d</sup> Clinic-specific outcome rates are unreliable for women older than 42 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs. Readers are urged to review national outcomes for these age groups (see page 27).

<sup>e</sup> All ages (including ages >42) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.

## USC REPRODUCTIVE ENDOCRINOLOGY AND INFERTILITY LOS ANGELES, CALIFORNIA

A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 79–88.

### 2006 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART <sup>a</sup>				Patient Diagnosis			
IVF	90%	<b>Procedural Factors:</b>		Tubal factor	4%	Other factor	12%
GIFT	1%	With ICSI	46%	Ovulatory dysfunction	4%	Unknown factor	7%
ZIFT	7%	Unstimulated	0%	Diminished ovarian reserve	7%	<i>Multiple Factors:</i>	
Combination	1%	Used gestational carrier	4%	Endometriosis	3%	Female factors only	32%
				Uterine factor	3%	Female & male factors	20%
				Male factor	9%		

### 2006 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by Richard J. Paulson, MD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman			
	<35	35–37	38–40	41–42 <sup>d</sup>
<b>Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs</b>				
Number of cycles	37	25	43	20
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies <sup>b</sup>	29.7	48.0	37.2	30.0
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	27.0	48.0	27.9	15.0
(Confidence Interval)	(13.8–44.1)	(27.8–68.7)	(15.3–43.7)	(3.2–37.9)
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	28.6	54.5	30.8	3 / 19
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	29.4	60.0	30.8	3 / 18
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births <sup>b</sup>	11.8	45.0	23.1	3 / 18
Percentage of cancellations <sup>b</sup>	5.4	12.0	9.3	5.0
Average number of embryos transferred	3.0	3.2	3.8	4.8
Percentage of pregnancies with twins <sup>b</sup>	3 / 11	2 / 12	4 / 16	0 / 6
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more <sup>b</sup>	3 / 11	3 / 12	1 / 16	0 / 6
Percentage of live births having multiple infants <sup>b,c</sup>	6 / 10	3 / 12	3 / 12	0 / 3
<b>Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs</b>				
Number of transfers	10	10	19	6
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	1 / 10	1 / 10	4 / 19	3 / 6
Average number of embryos transferred	3.2	3.3	3.4	4.5
<b>All Ages Combined<sup>e</sup></b>				
<b>Donor Eggs</b>	<b>Fresh Embryos</b>		<b>Frozen Embryos</b>	
	Number of transfers		43	
	Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>		30.2	
	Average number of embryos transferred		3.3	

### CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

**Current Name:** USC Reproductive Endocrinology and Infertility

Donor egg?	Yes	Gestational carriers?	Yes	SART member?	Yes
Donor embryo?	No	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	Yes
Single women?	Yes			(See Appendix C for details.)	

<sup>a</sup> Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2006 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.

<sup>b</sup> When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.

<sup>c</sup> A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.

<sup>d</sup> Clinic-specific outcome rates are unreliable for women older than 42 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs. Readers are urged to review national outcomes for these age groups (see page 27).

<sup>e</sup> All ages (including ages >42) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.

# REPRODUCTIVE SPECIALTY MEDICAL CENTER NEWPORT BEACH, CALIFORNIA

A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 79–88.

## 2006 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART <sup>a</sup>				Patient Diagnosis			
IVF	100%	<b>Procedural Factors:</b>		Tubal factor	9%	Other factor	17%
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	61%	Ovulatory dysfunction	0%	Unknown factor	2%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	0%	Diminished ovarian reserve	46%	<i>Multiple Factors:</i>	
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	2%	Endometriosis	<1%	Female factors only	3%
				Uterine factor	0%	Female & male factors	13%
				Male factor	11%		

## 2006 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by Beth A. Ary, MD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman			
	<35	35–37	38–40	41–42 <sup>d</sup>
<b>Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs</b>				
Number of cycles	20	8	18	3
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies <sup>b</sup>	45.0	2 / 8	5 / 18	0 / 3
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	45.0	1 / 8	3 / 18	0 / 3
(Confidence Interval)	(23.1–68.5)			
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	9 / 19	1 / 8	3 / 16	0 / 3
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	9 / 18	1 / 6	3 / 14	0 / 3
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births <sup>b</sup>	4 / 18	1 / 6	3 / 14	0 / 3
Percentage of cancellations <sup>b</sup>	5.0	0 / 8	2 / 18	0 / 3
Average number of embryos transferred	2.9	2.7	3.8	3.0
Percentage of pregnancies with twins <sup>b</sup>	5 / 9	0 / 2	0 / 5	
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more <sup>b</sup>	1 / 9	0 / 2	0 / 5	
Percentage of live births having multiple infants <sup>b,c</sup>	5 / 9	0 / 1	0 / 3	
<b>Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs</b>				
Number of transfers	2	2	1	1
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	1 / 2	0 / 2	0 / 1	1 / 1
Average number of embryos transferred	2.5	3.0	3.0	1.0
<b>All Ages Combined<sup>e</sup></b>				
<b>Donor Eggs</b>	<b>Fresh Embryos</b>		<b>Frozen Embryos</b>	
	Number of transfers		10	
	36		1 / 10	
	69.4		3.2	
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>		2.9		
Average number of embryos transferred				

## CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

**Current Name:** Reproductive Specialty Medical Center

Donor egg?	Yes	Gestational carriers?	Yes	SART member?	Yes
Donor embryo?	Yes	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	Yes
Single women?	Yes			(See Appendix C for details.)	

<sup>a</sup> Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2006 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.

<sup>b</sup> When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.

<sup>c</sup> A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.

<sup>d</sup> Clinic-specific outcome rates are unreliable for women older than 42 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs. Readers are urged to review national outcomes for these age groups (see page 27).

<sup>e</sup> All ages (including ages >42) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.



# SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA CENTER FOR REPRODUCTIVE MEDICINE NEWPORT BEACH, CALIFORNIA

**A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 79–88.**

## 2006 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART <sup>a</sup>				Patient Diagnosis	
IVF	100%	<b>Procedural Factors:</b>		Tubal factor	7%
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	88%	Ovulatory dysfunction	2%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	0%	Diminished ovarian reserve	26%
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	1%	Endometriosis	6%
				Uterine factor	<1%
				Male factor	17%
				Other factor	8%
				Unknown factor	14%
				<b>Multiple Factors:</b>	
				Female factors only	8%
				Female & male factors	12%

## 2006 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by Robert E. Anderson, MD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman			
	<35	35–37	38–40	41–42 <sup>d</sup>
<b>Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs</b>				
Number of cycles	91	69	68	43
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies <sup>b</sup>	42.9	30.4	25.0	25.6
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	36.3	26.1	19.1	9.3
(Confidence Interval)	(26.4–47.0)	(16.3–38.1)	(10.6–30.5)	(2.6–22.1)
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	37.9	28.1	22.4	10.8
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	39.8	30.5	23.2	11.8
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births <sup>b</sup>	26.5	27.1	14.3	8.8
Percentage of cancellations <sup>b</sup>	4.4	7.2	14.7	14.0
Average number of embryos transferred	3.3	3.5	3.5	3.4
Percentage of pregnancies with twins <sup>b</sup>	15.4	19.0	5 / 17	1 / 11
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more <sup>b</sup>	17.9	0.0	0 / 17	0 / 11
Percentage of live births having multiple infants <sup>b,c</sup>	33.3	2 / 18	5 / 13	1 / 4
<b>Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs</b>				
Number of transfers	40	14	7	1
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	35.0	4 / 14	4 / 7	0 / 1
Average number of embryos transferred	3.0	3.1	2.9	2.0
<b>All Ages Combined<sup>e</sup></b>				
<b>Donor Eggs</b>	<b>Fresh Embryos</b>		<b>Frozen Embryos</b>	
	Number of transfers		25	
	43		32.0	
	53.5		3.0	
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>		2.8		
Average number of embryos transferred				

## CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

**Current Name:** Southern California Center for Reproductive Medicine

Donor egg?	Yes	Gestational carriers?	Yes	SART member?	Yes
Donor embryo?	Yes	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	Yes
Single women?	Yes			(See Appendix C for details.)	

<sup>a</sup> Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2006 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.

<sup>b</sup> When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.

<sup>c</sup> A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.

<sup>d</sup> Clinic-specific outcome rates are unreliable for women older than 42 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs. Readers are urged to review national outcomes for these age groups (see page 27).

<sup>e</sup> All ages (including ages >42) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.

## IVF-ORANGE SURGERY CENTER ORANGE, CALIFORNIA

A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 79–88.

### 2006 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART <sup>a</sup>			Patient Diagnosis	
IVF	100%	<b>Procedural Factors:</b>	Tubal factor	8%
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	Ovulatory dysfunction	5%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	Diminished ovarian reserve	8%
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	Endometriosis	10%
			Uterine factor	5%
			Male factor	23%
			Other factor	5%
			Unknown factor	33%
			<b>Multiple Factors:</b>	
			Female factors only	0%
			Female & male factors	5%

### 2006 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by Darush L. Mohyi, MD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman			
	<35	35–37	38–40	41–42 <sup>d</sup>
<b>Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs</b>				
Number of cycles	13	9	5	0
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies <sup>b</sup>	3 / 13	2 / 9	1 / 5	
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	3 / 13	1 / 9	1 / 5	
(Confidence Interval)				
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	3 / 13	1 / 9	1 / 5	
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	3 / 13	1 / 9	1 / 4	
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births <sup>b</sup>	3 / 13	1 / 9	1 / 4	
Percentage of cancellations <sup>b</sup>	0 / 13	0 / 9	0 / 5	
Average number of embryos transferred	3.7	4.6	4.0	
Percentage of pregnancies with twins <sup>b</sup>	0 / 3	0 / 2	0 / 1	
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more <sup>b</sup>	0 / 3	0 / 2	0 / 1	
Percentage of live births having multiple infants <sup>b,c</sup>	0 / 3	0 / 1	0 / 1	
<b>Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs</b>				
Number of transfers	3	5	1	0
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	0 / 3	1 / 5	0 / 1	
Average number of embryos transferred	4.0	6.0	4.0	
<b>All Ages Combined<sup>e</sup></b>				
<b>Donor Eggs</b>	<b>Fresh Embryos</b>		<b>Frozen Embryos</b>	
	4		0	
	1 / 4			
	4.3			

### CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

**Current Name:** IVF-Orange Surgery Center

Donor egg?	Yes	Gestational carriers?	Yes	SART member?	No
Donor embryo?	No	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	No
Single women?	Yes			(See Appendix C for details.)	

<sup>a</sup> Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2006 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.

<sup>b</sup> When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.

<sup>c</sup> A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.

<sup>d</sup> Clinic-specific outcome rates are unreliable for women older than 42 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs. Readers are urged to review national outcomes for these age groups (see page 27).

<sup>e</sup> All ages (including ages >42) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.



## NOVA IN VITRO FERTILIZATION PALO ALTO, CALIFORNIA

**A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 79–88.**

### 2006 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART <sup>a</sup>				Patient Diagnosis	
IVF	100%	<b>Procedural Factors:</b>		Tubal factor	14%
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	49%	Ovulatory dysfunction	4%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	0%	Diminished ovarian reserve	26%
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	0%	Endometriosis	2%
				Uterine factor	2%
				Male factor	14%
				Other factor	7%
				Unknown factor	17%
				<b>Multiple Factors:</b>	
				Female factors only	6%
				Female & male factors	8%

### 2006 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by Richard J. Schmidt, MD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman			
	<35	35–37	38–40	41–42 <sup>d</sup>
<b>Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs</b>				
Number of cycles	36	27	35	20
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies <sup>b</sup>	41.7	40.7	28.6	25.0
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	38.9	25.9	22.9	15.0
(Confidence Interval)	(23.1–56.5)	(11.1–46.3)	(10.4–40.1)	(3.2–37.9)
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	40.0	28.0	22.9	3 / 18
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	42.4	28.0	22.9	3 / 17
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births <sup>b</sup>	18.2	20.0	14.3	3 / 17
Percentage of cancellations <sup>b</sup>	2.8	7.4	0.0	10.0
Average number of embryos transferred	2.5	2.8	3.0	4.2
Percentage of pregnancies with twins <sup>b</sup>	7 / 15	3 / 11	3 / 10	0 / 5
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more <sup>b</sup>	1 / 15	1 / 11	1 / 10	0 / 5
Percentage of live births having multiple infants <sup>b,c</sup>	8 / 14	2 / 7	3 / 8	0 / 3
<b>Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs</b>				
Number of transfers	13	13	11	4
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	5 / 13	3 / 13	3 / 11	0 / 4
Average number of embryos transferred	2.5	2.5	2.5	6.8
<b>All Ages Combined<sup>e</sup></b>				
<b>Donor Eggs</b>	<b>Fresh Embryos</b>		<b>Frozen Embryos</b>	
	19		18	
	11 / 19		3 / 18	
	2.9		1.7	

### CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

**Current Name:** NOVA In Vitro Fertilization

Donor egg?	Yes	Gestational carriers?	Yes	SART member?	Yes
Donor embryo?	No	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	Yes
Single women?	Yes			(See Appendix C for details.)	

<sup>a</sup> Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2006 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.

<sup>b</sup> When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.

<sup>c</sup> A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.

<sup>d</sup> Clinic-specific outcome rates are unreliable for women older than 42 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs. Readers are urged to review national outcomes for these age groups (see page 27).

<sup>e</sup> All ages (including ages >42) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.

**STANFORD UNIVERSITY IVF/ART PROGRAM**  
**DEPARTMENT OF GYNECOLOGY AND OBSTETRICS**  
**PALO ALTO, CALIFORNIA**

**A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 79–88.**

### 2006 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART <sup>a</sup>			Patient Diagnosis	
IVF	100%	<b>Procedural Factors:</b>	Tubal factor	3%
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	Ovulatory dysfunction	3%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	Diminished ovarian reserve	24%
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	Endometriosis	5%
			Uterine factor	<1%
			Male factor	9%
			Other factor	11%
			Unknown factor	6%
			<b>Multiple Factors:</b>	
			Female factors only	1%
			Female & male factors	38%

### 2006 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by Valerie Baker, MD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman			
	<35	35–37	38–40	41–42 <sup>d</sup>
<b>Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs</b>				
Number of cycles	205	172	186	138
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies <sup>b</sup>	32.7	30.8	22.0	20.3
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	26.8	21.5	15.1	10.9
(Confidence Interval)	(20.9–33.4)	(15.6–28.4)	(10.2–21.0)	(6.2–17.3)
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	28.4	22.8	16.3	12.9
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	29.6	24.0	17.0	14.6
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births <sup>b</sup>	19.4	17.5	13.9	10.7
Percentage of cancellations <sup>b</sup>	5.4	5.8	7.5	15.9
Average number of embryos transferred	2.1	2.4	3.0	3.3
Percentage of pregnancies with twins <sup>b</sup>	29.9	20.8	22.0	17.9
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more <sup>b</sup>	0.0	1.9	4.9	0.0
Percentage of live births having multiple infants <sup>b,c</sup>	34.5	27.0	17.9	4 / 15
<b>Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs</b>				
Number of transfers	83	57	40	16
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	28.9	15.8	30.0	3 / 16
Average number of embryos transferred	2.0	2.0	1.7	2.2
<b>All Ages Combined<sup>e</sup></b>				
<b>Donor Eggs</b>	<b>Fresh Embryos</b>		<b>Frozen Embryos</b>	
	Number of transfers		49	
	Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>		16.3	
	Average number of embryos transferred		2.0	

### CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

**Current Name:** Stanford Fertility and Reproductive Medicine Center, Stanford University Department of Gynecology and Obstetrics

Donor egg?	Yes	Gestational carriers?	Yes	SART member?	Yes
Donor embryo?	Yes	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	Yes
Single women?	Yes			(See Appendix C for details.)	

<sup>a</sup> Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2006 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.

<sup>b</sup> When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.

<sup>c</sup> A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.

<sup>d</sup> Clinic-specific outcome rates are unreliable for women older than 42 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs. Readers are urged to review national outcomes for these age groups (see page 27).

<sup>e</sup> All ages (including ages >42) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.

## HUNTINGTON REPRODUCTIVE CENTER PASADENA, CALIFORNIA

**A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 79–88.**

### 2006 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART <sup>a</sup>				Patient Diagnosis			
IVF	>99%	<b>Procedural Factors:</b>		Tubal factor	6%	Other factor	19%
GIFT	<1%	With ICSI	76%	Ovulatory dysfunction	3%	Unknown factor	12%
ZIFT	<1%	Unstimulated	<1%	Diminished ovarian reserve	16%	<i>Multiple Factors:</i>	
Combination	<1%	Used gestational carrier	3%	Endometriosis	2%	Female factors only	9%
				Uterine factor	2%	Female & male factors	13%
				Male factor	19%		

### 2006 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by Daniel A. Potter, MD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman			
	<35	35–37	38–40	41–42 <sup>d</sup>
<b>Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs</b>				
Number of cycles	532	379	402	153
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies <sup>b</sup>	35.5	31.1	25.6	17.6
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	30.3	25.1	19.2	11.1
(Confidence Interval)	(26.4–34.4)	(20.8–29.7)	(15.4–23.3)	(6.6–17.2)
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	31.5	27.5	20.2	12.6
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	32.9	29.4	21.4	13.6
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births <sup>b</sup>	22.7	19.8	17.8	12.8
Percentage of cancellations <sup>b</sup>	3.9	8.7	5.2	11.8
Average number of embryos transferred	2.7	3.1	3.1	3.4
Percentage of pregnancies with twins <sup>b</sup>	22.2	28.8	16.5	0.0
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more <sup>b</sup>	6.3	1.7	1.9	3.7
Percentage of live births having multiple infants <sup>b,c</sup>	31.1	32.6	16.9	1 / 17
<b>Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs</b>				
Number of transfers	132	69	41	14
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	34.8	24.6	17.1	2 / 14
Average number of embryos transferred	2.7	3.0	2.8	3.1
<b>All Ages Combined<sup>e</sup></b>				
<b>Donor Eggs</b>	<b>Fresh Embryos</b>		<b>Frozen Embryos</b>	
	218		116	
	46.3		37.1	
	2.6		3.0	

### CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

**Current Name:** Huntington Reproductive Center

Donor egg?	Yes	Gestational carriers?	Yes	SART member?	Yes
Donor embryo?	Yes	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	Yes
Single women?	Yes			(See Appendix C for details.)	

<sup>a</sup> Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2006 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.

<sup>b</sup> When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.

<sup>c</sup> A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.

<sup>d</sup> Clinic-specific outcome rates are unreliable for women older than 42 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs. Readers are urged to review national outcomes for these age groups (see page 27).

<sup>e</sup> All ages (including ages >42) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.

**PALO ALTO MEDICAL FOUNDATION  
REPRODUCTIVE ENDOCRINOLOGY & FERTILITY  
PORTOLA VALLEY, CALIFORNIA**

A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 79–88.

### 2006 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART <sup>a</sup>				Patient Diagnosis			
IVF	100%	<b>Procedural Factors:</b>		Tubal factor	11%	Other factor	5%
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	45%	Ovulatory dysfunction	11%	Unknown factor	47%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	0%	Diminished ovarian reserve	0%	<i>Multiple Factors:</i>	
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	0%	Endometriosis	0%	Female factors only	3%
				Uterine factor	5%	Female & male factors	5%
				Male factor	13%		

### 2006 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by Lillian M. Swiersz, MD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman			
	<35	35–37	38–40	41–42 <sup>d</sup>
<b>Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs</b>				
Number of cycles	7	12	8	4
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies <sup>b</sup>	3 / 7	2 / 12	0 / 8	0 / 4
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup> (Confidence Interval)	2 / 7	2 / 12	0 / 8	0 / 4
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	2 / 7	2 / 11	0 / 6	0 / 3
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	2 / 7	2 / 11	0 / 5	0 / 3
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births <sup>b</sup>	2 / 7	1 / 11	0 / 5	0 / 3
Percentage of cancellations <sup>b</sup>	0 / 7	1 / 12	2 / 8	1 / 4
Average number of embryos transferred	2.1	2.6	3.0	2.7
Percentage of pregnancies with twins <sup>b</sup>	0 / 3	1 / 2		
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more <sup>b</sup>	0 / 3	0 / 2		
Percentage of live births having multiple infants <sup>b,c</sup>	0 / 2	1 / 2		
<b>Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs</b>				
Number of transfers	4	1	1	1
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	2 / 4	0 / 1	0 / 1	0 / 1
Average number of embryos transferred	2.3	2.0	2.0	1.0
<b>All Ages Combined<sup>e</sup></b>				
<b>Donor Eggs</b>	<b>Fresh Embryos</b>		<b>Frozen Embryos</b>	
Number of transfers	0		0	
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>				
Average number of embryos transferred				

### CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

**Current Name:** Palo Alto Medical Foundation, Reproductive Endocrinology & Fertility

Donor egg?	No	Gestational carriers?	No	SART member?	Yes
Donor embryo?	No	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	Yes
Single women?	Yes			(See Appendix C for details.)	

<sup>a</sup> Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2006 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.

<sup>b</sup> When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.

<sup>c</sup> A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.

<sup>d</sup> Clinic-specific outcome rates are unreliable for women older than 42 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs. Readers are urged to review national outcomes for these age groups (see page 27).

<sup>e</sup> All ages (including ages >42) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.

## REPRODUCTIVE PARTNERS—REDONDO BEACH REDONDO BEACH, CALIFORNIA

A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 79–88.

### 2006 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART <sup>a</sup>				Patient Diagnosis	
IVF	100%	<b>Procedural Factors:</b>		Tubal factor	10%
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	54%	Ovulatory dysfunction	4%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	0%	Diminished ovarian reserve	11%
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	2%	Endometriosis	4%
				Uterine factor	2%
				Male factor	18%
				Other factor	8%
				Unknown factor	24%
				<b>Multiple Factors:</b>	
				Female factors only	7%
				Female & male factors	11%

### 2006 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by Bill Yee, MD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman			
	<35	35–37	38–40	41–42 <sup>d</sup>
<b>Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs</b>				
Number of cycles	62	65	78	40
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies <sup>b</sup>	62.9	50.8	32.1	17.5
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	59.7	50.8	25.6	5.0
(Confidence Interval)	(46.4–71.9)	(38.1–63.4)	(16.4–36.8)	(0.6–16.9)
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	62.7	55.0	29.0	6.7
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	62.7	56.9	29.9	7.1
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births <sup>b</sup>	39.0	41.4	25.4	7.1
Percentage of cancellations <sup>b</sup>	4.8	7.7	11.5	25.0
Average number of embryos transferred	2.0	2.3	2.5	3.0
Percentage of pregnancies with twins <sup>b</sup>	48.7	36.4	20.0	0 / 7
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more <sup>b</sup>	0.0	0.0	8.0	0 / 7
Percentage of live births having multiple infants <sup>b,c</sup>	37.8	27.3	15.0	0 / 2
<b>Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs</b>				
Number of transfers	12	21	13	5
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	4 / 12	52.4	3 / 13	1 / 5
Average number of embryos transferred	2.1	2.0	1.8	2.4
<b>All Ages Combined<sup>e</sup></b>				
<b>Donor Eggs</b>	<b>Fresh Embryos</b>		<b>Frozen Embryos</b>	
	Number of transfers		13	
	Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>		5 / 13	
	Average number of embryos transferred		2.0	

### CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

**Current Name:** Reproductive Partners—Redondo Beach

Donor egg?	Yes	Gestational carriers?	Yes	SART member?	Yes
Donor embryo?	Yes	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	Yes
Single women?	Yes			(See Appendix C for details.)	

<sup>a</sup> Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2006 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.

<sup>b</sup> When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.

<sup>c</sup> A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.

<sup>d</sup> Clinic-specific outcome rates are unreliable for women older than 42 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs. Readers are urged to review national outcomes for these age groups (see page 27).

<sup>e</sup> All ages (including ages >42) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.

## NORTHERN CALIFORNIA FERTILITY MEDICAL CENTER ROSEVILLE, CALIFORNIA

A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 79–88.

### 2006 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART <sup>a</sup>				Patient Diagnosis			
IVF	100%	<b>Procedural Factors:</b>		Tubal factor	11%	Other factor	8%
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	57%	Ovulatory dysfunction	7%	Unknown factor	3%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	0%	Diminished ovarian reserve	12%	<i>Multiple Factors:</i>	
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	2%	Endometriosis	5%	Female factors only	10%
				Uterine factor	3%	Female & male factors	14%
				Male factor	28%		

### 2006 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by John L. Gililand, MD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman			
	<35	35–37	38–40	41–42 <sup>d</sup>
<b>Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs</b>				
Number of cycles	191	97	98	37
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies <sup>b</sup>	42.4	42.3	41.8	18.9
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	38.2	36.1	30.6	10.8
(Confidence Interval)	(31.3–45.5)	(26.6–46.5)	(21.7–40.7)	(3.0–25.4)
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	40.6	38.0	31.9	11.4
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	41.2	39.3	32.3	12.1
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births <sup>b</sup>	24.3	25.8	24.7	9.1
Percentage of cancellations <sup>b</sup>	5.8	5.2	4.1	5.4
Average number of embryos transferred	2.6	2.8	3.0	3.4
Percentage of pregnancies with twins <sup>b</sup>	35.8	24.4	22.0	1 / 7
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more <sup>b</sup>	6.2	7.3	2.4	0 / 7
Percentage of live births having multiple infants <sup>b,c</sup>	41.1	34.3	23.3	1 / 4
<b>Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs</b>				
Number of transfers	69	45	26	10
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	27.5	17.8	15.4	1 / 10
Average number of embryos transferred	2.4	2.5	2.5	2.3
<b>All Ages Combined<sup>e</sup></b>				
<b>Donor Eggs</b>	<b>Fresh Embryos</b>		<b>Frozen Embryos</b>	
	80		47	
	56.3		31.9	
	2.3		2.1	

### CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

**Current Name:** Northern California Fertility Medical Center

Donor egg?	Yes	Gestational carriers?	Yes	SART member?	Yes
Donor embryo?	Yes	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	Yes
Single women?	Yes			(See Appendix C for details.)	

<sup>a</sup> Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2006 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.

<sup>b</sup> When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.

<sup>c</sup> A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.

<sup>d</sup> Clinic-specific outcome rates are unreliable for women older than 42 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs. Readers are urged to review national outcomes for these age groups (see page 27).

<sup>e</sup> All ages (including ages >42) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.



# KAISER PERMANENTE CENTER FOR REPRODUCTIVE HEALTH–SACRAMENTO SACRAMENTO, CALIFORNIA

A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 79–88.

## 2006 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART <sup>a</sup>				Patient Diagnosis			
IVF	100%	<b>Procedural Factors:</b>		Tubal factor	15%	Other factor	5%
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	57%	Ovulatory dysfunction	10%	Unknown factor	5%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	0%	Diminished ovarian reserve	1%	<i>Multiple Factors:</i>	
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	0%	Endometriosis	1%	Female factors only	12%
				Uterine factor	2%	Female & male factors	24%
				Male factor	26%		

## 2006 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by Kenneth Vu, MD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman			
	<35	35–37	38–40	41–42 <sup>d</sup>
<b>Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs</b>				
Number of cycles	36	32	11	3
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies <sup>b</sup>	41.7	43.8	4 / 11	1 / 3
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	36.1	34.4	3 / 11	1 / 3
(Confidence Interval)	(20.8–53.8)	(18.6–53.2)		
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	40.6	37.9	3 / 9	1 / 3
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	40.6	37.9	3 / 9	1 / 3
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births <sup>b</sup>	25.0	20.7	2 / 9	1 / 3
Percentage of cancellations <sup>b</sup>	11.1	9.4	2 / 11	0 / 3
Average number of embryos transferred	2.5	2.9	3.1	3.7
Percentage of pregnancies with twins <sup>b</sup>	6 / 15	5 / 14	1 / 4	0 / 1
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more <sup>b</sup>	1 / 15	1 / 14	0 / 4	0 / 1
Percentage of live births having multiple infants <sup>b,c</sup>	5 / 13	5 / 11	1 / 3	0 / 1
<b>Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs</b>				
Number of transfers	1	3	0	0
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	1 / 1	0 / 3		
Average number of embryos transferred	4.0	2.0		
<b>All Ages Combined<sup>e</sup></b>				
<b>Donor Eggs</b>	<b>Fresh Embryos</b>		<b>Frozen Embryos</b>	
	2		0	
	0 / 2			
Average number of embryos transferred	2.5			

## CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

**Current Name:** Kaiser Permanente Center for Reproductive Health–Sacramento

Donor egg?	Yes	Gestational carriers?	Yes	SART member?	Yes
Donor embryo?	Yes	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	Pending
Single women?	Yes			(See Appendix C for details.)	

<sup>a</sup> Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2006 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.

<sup>b</sup> When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.

<sup>c</sup> A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.

<sup>d</sup> Clinic-specific outcome rates are unreliable for women older than 42 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs. Readers are urged to review national outcomes for these age groups (see page 27).

<sup>e</sup> All ages (including ages >42) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.

**THE UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA–DAVIS**  
**ASSISTED REPRODUCTIVE TECHNOLOGY PROGRAM**  
**SACRAMENTO, CALIFORNIA**

A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 79–88.

### 2006 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART <sup>a</sup>				Patient Diagnosis			
IVF	100%	<b>Procedural Factors:</b>		Tubal factor	11%	Other factor	0%
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	54%	Ovulatory dysfunction	0%	Unknown factor	15%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	0%	Diminished ovarian reserve	14%	<b>Multiple Factors:</b>	
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	0%	Endometriosis	3%	Female factors only	8%
				Uterine factor	0%	Female & male factors	17%
				Male factor	33%		

### 2006 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by Albert K. Wei, MD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman			
	<35	35–37	38–40	41–42 <sup>d</sup>
<b>Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs</b>				
Number of cycles	19	13	7	4
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies <sup>b</sup>	6 / 19	6 / 13	2 / 7	1 / 4
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup> (Confidence Interval)	5 / 19	5 / 13	0 / 7	1 / 4
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	5 / 17	5 / 13	0 / 5	1 / 4
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	5 / 14	5 / 11	0 / 5	1 / 3
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births <sup>b</sup>	4 / 14	1 / 11	0 / 5	1 / 3
Percentage of cancellations <sup>b</sup>	2 / 19	0 / 13	2 / 7	0 / 4
Average number of embryos transferred	3.1	3.4	4.2	4.3
Percentage of pregnancies with twins <sup>b</sup>	1 / 6	3 / 6	1 / 2	0 / 1
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more <sup>b</sup>	1 / 6	1 / 6	0 / 2	0 / 1
Percentage of live births having multiple infants <sup>b,c</sup>	1 / 5	4 / 5		0 / 1
<b>Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs</b>				
Number of transfers	9	1	2	3
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	0 / 9	1 / 1	0 / 2	0 / 3
Average number of embryos transferred	3.1	2.0	6.5	4.3
<b>All Ages Combined<sup>e</sup></b>				
<b>Donor Eggs</b>	<b>Fresh Embryos</b>		<b>Frozen Embryos</b>	
	5		3	
	1 / 5		0 / 3	
	3.4		3.3	

### CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

**Current Name:** The University of California–Davis, Assisted Reproductive Technology Program

Donor egg?	Yes	Gestational carriers?	No	SART member?	Yes
Donor embryo?	No	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	Yes
Single women?	Yes			(See Appendix C for details.)	

<sup>a</sup> Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2006 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.

<sup>b</sup> When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.

<sup>c</sup> A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.

<sup>d</sup> Clinic-specific outcome rates are unreliable for women older than 42 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs. Readers are urged to review national outcomes for these age groups (see page 27).

<sup>e</sup> All ages (including ages >42) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.



# THE FERTILITY AND GYNECOLOGY CENTER

## MONTEREY BAY IVF PROGRAM

### SALINAS, CALIFORNIA

A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 79–88.

#### 2006 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART <sup>a</sup>			Patient Diagnosis	
IVF	100%	<b>Procedural Factors:</b>	Tubal factor	17%
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	Ovulatory dysfunction	4%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	Diminished ovarian reserve	4%
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	Endometriosis	1%
			Uterine factor	0%
			Male factor	14%
			Other factor	13%
			Unknown factor	1%
			<b>Multiple Factors:</b>	
			Female factors only	26%
			Female & male factors	19%

#### 2006 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by Edward J. Ramirez, MD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman			
	<35	35–37	38–40	41–42 <sup>d</sup>
<b>Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs</b>				
Number of cycles	27	9	8	5
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies <sup>b</sup>	48.1	3 / 9	4 / 8	0 / 5
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	44.4	3 / 9	4 / 8	0 / 5
(Confidence Interval)	(25.5–64.7)			
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	44.4	3 / 9	4 / 7	0 / 5
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	48.0	3 / 9	4 / 7	0 / 3
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births <sup>b</sup>	32.0	2 / 9	2 / 7	0 / 3
Percentage of cancellations <sup>b</sup>	0.0	0 / 9	1 / 8	0 / 5
Average number of embryos transferred	2.8	3.8	4.9	1.3
Percentage of pregnancies with twins <sup>b</sup>	4 / 13	1 / 3	3 / 4	
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more <sup>b</sup>	1 / 13	0 / 3	0 / 4	
Percentage of live births having multiple infants <sup>b,c</sup>	4 / 12	1 / 3	2 / 4	
<b>Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs</b>				
Number of transfers	5	1	0	1
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	1 / 5	0 / 1		0 / 1
Average number of embryos transferred	2.8	2.0		1.0
<b>All Ages Combined<sup>e</sup></b>				
<b>Donor Eggs</b>	<b>Fresh Embryos</b>		<b>Frozen Embryos</b>	
	5		4	
	2 / 5		0 / 4	
	2.4		2.5	

#### CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

**Current Name:** The Fertility and Gynecology Center, Monterey Bay IVF Program

Donor egg?	Yes	Gestational carriers?	Yes	SART member?	Yes
Donor embryo?	Yes	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	Yes
Single women?	Yes			(See Appendix C for details.)	

<sup>a</sup> Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2006 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.

<sup>b</sup> When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.

<sup>c</sup> A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.

<sup>d</sup> Clinic-specific outcome rates are unreliable for women older than 42 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs. Readers are urged to review national outcomes for these age groups (see page 27).

<sup>e</sup> All ages (including ages >42) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.

## FERTILITY SPECIALISTS MEDICAL GROUP SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 79–88.

### 2006 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART <sup>a</sup>				Patient Diagnosis			
IVF	100%	<b>Procedural Factors:</b>		Tubal factor	4%	Other factor	2%
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	83%	Ovulatory dysfunction	6%	Unknown factor	<1%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	0%	Diminished ovarian reserve	27%	<i>Multiple Factors:</i>	
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	<1%	Endometriosis	<1%	Female factors only	9%
				Uterine factor	<1%	Female & male factors	29%
				Male factor	22%		

### 2006 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by Arlene J. Morales, MD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman			
	<35	35–37	38–40	41–42 <sup>d</sup>
Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs				
Number of cycles	92	40	26	19
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies <sup>b</sup>	30.4	30.0	38.5	4 / 19
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	26.1	20.0	34.6	3 / 19
(Confidence Interval)	(17.5–36.3)	(9.1–35.6)	(17.2–55.7)	
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	30.0	25.0	40.9	3 / 14
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	31.2	25.8	42.9	3 / 13
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births <sup>b</sup>	18.2	12.9	42.9	3 / 13
Percentage of cancellations <sup>b</sup>	13.0	20.0	15.4	5 / 19
Average number of embryos transferred	2.6	2.4	3.0	2.3
Percentage of pregnancies with twins <sup>b</sup>	32.1	5 / 12	1 / 10	0 / 4
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more <sup>b</sup>	14.3	0 / 12	0 / 10	0 / 4
Percentage of live births having multiple infants <sup>b,c</sup>	41.7	4 / 8	0 / 9	0 / 3
Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs				
Number of transfers	7	0	3	1
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	0 / 7		1 / 3	0 / 1
Average number of embryos transferred	2.3		3.0	4.0
All Ages Combined <sup>e</sup>				
Donor Eggs	Fresh Embryos		Frozen Embryos	
Number of transfers	35		15	
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	62.9		2 / 15	
Average number of embryos transferred	2.5		2.3	

### CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

**Current Name:** Fertility Specialists Medical Group

Donor egg?	Yes	Gestational carriers?	Yes	SART member?	Yes
Donor embryo?	Yes	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	Pending
Single women?	Yes			(See Appendix C for details.)	

<sup>a</sup> Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2006 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.

<sup>b</sup> When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.

<sup>c</sup> A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.

<sup>d</sup> Clinic-specific outcome rates are unreliable for women older than 42 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs. Readers are urged to review national outcomes for these age groups (see page 27).

<sup>e</sup> All ages (including ages >42) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.

# IGO MEDICAL GROUP OF SAN DIEGO SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 79–88.

## 2006 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART <sup>a</sup>				Patient Diagnosis			
IVF	100%	<b>Procedural Factors:</b>		Tubal factor	8%	Other factor	0%
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	78%	Ovulatory dysfunction	0%	Unknown factor	0%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	0%	Diminished ovarian reserve	7%	<b>Multiple Factors:</b>	
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	0%	Endometriosis	4%	Female factors only	18%
				Uterine factor	1%	Female & male factors	26%
				Male factor	35%		

## 2006 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by Benito Villanueva, MD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman			
	<35	35–37	38–40	41–42 <sup>d</sup>
<b>Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs</b>				
Number of cycles	27	15	8	2
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies <sup>b</sup>	44.4	4 / 15	1 / 8	0 / 2
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	37.0	2 / 15	1 / 8	0 / 2
(Confidence Interval)	(19.4–57.6)			
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	40.0	2 / 13	1 / 6	0 / 2
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	40.0	2 / 12	1 / 3	0 / 2
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births <sup>b</sup>	20.0	2 / 12	1 / 3	0 / 2
Percentage of cancellations <sup>b</sup>	7.4	2 / 15	2 / 8	0 / 2
Average number of embryos transferred	2.2	2.5	3.3	1.5
Percentage of pregnancies with twins <sup>b</sup>	5 / 12	0 / 4	0 / 1	
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more <sup>b</sup>	0 / 12	0 / 4	0 / 1	
Percentage of live births having multiple infants <sup>b,c</sup>	5 / 10	0 / 2	0 / 1	
<b>Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs</b>				
Number of transfers	6	5	2	0
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	3 / 6	0 / 5	0 / 2	
Average number of embryos transferred	2.5	2.4	2.0	
<b>All Ages Combined<sup>e</sup></b>				
<b>Donor Eggs</b>	<b>Fresh Embryos</b>		<b>Frozen Embryos</b>	
	2		2	
	1 / 2		2 / 2	
	2.0		2.5	

## CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

**Current Name:** IGO Medical Group of San Diego

Donor egg?	Yes	Gestational carriers?	Yes	SART member?	Yes
Donor embryo?	No	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	Yes
Single women?	Yes			(See Appendix C for details.)	

<sup>a</sup> Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2006 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.

<sup>b</sup> When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.

<sup>c</sup> A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.

<sup>d</sup> Clinic-specific outcome rates are unreliable for women older than 42 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs. Readers are urged to review national outcomes for these age groups (see page 27).

<sup>e</sup> All ages (including ages >42) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.

# NTC INFERTILITY CLINIC SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 79–88.

## 2006 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART <sup>a</sup>				Patient Diagnosis			
IVF	100%	<b>Procedural Factors:</b>		Tubal factor	34%	Other factor	<1%
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	85%	Ovulatory dysfunction	3%	Unknown factor	19%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	0%	Diminished ovarian reserve	0%	<i>Multiple Factors:</i>	
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	1%	Endometriosis	4%	Female factors only	1%
				Uterine factor	0%	Female & male factors	9%
				Male factor	29%		

## 2006 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by Larry R. Laufer, MD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman			
	<35	35–37	38–40	41–42 <sup>d</sup>
<b>Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs</b>				
Number of cycles	41	26	20	3
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies <sup>b</sup>	39.0	42.3	50.0	0 / 3
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	24.4	42.3	30.0	0 / 3
(Confidence Interval)	(12.4–40.3)	(23.4–63.1)	(11.9–54.3)	
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	24.4	47.8	6 / 19	0 / 3
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	27.8	47.8	6 / 19	0 / 3
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births <sup>b</sup>	13.9	21.7	6 / 19	0 / 3
Percentage of cancellations <sup>b</sup>	0.0	11.5	5.0	0 / 3
Average number of embryos transferred	2.0	2.2	2.6	3.7
Percentage of pregnancies with twins <sup>b</sup>	6 / 16	4 / 11	0 / 10	
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more <sup>b</sup>	0 / 16	2 / 11	0 / 10	
Percentage of live births having multiple infants <sup>b,c</sup>	5 / 10	6 / 11	0 / 6	
<b>Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs</b>				
Number of transfers	30	11	6	1
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	33.3	4 / 11	2 / 6	0 / 1
Average number of embryos transferred	2.2	1.8	2.8	3.0
<b>All Ages Combined<sup>e</sup></b>				
<b>Donor Eggs</b>	<b>Fresh Embryos</b>		<b>Frozen Embryos</b>	
Number of transfers	0		0	
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>				
Average number of embryos transferred				

## CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

**Current Name:** NTC Infertility Clinic

Donor egg?	No	Gestational carriers?	Yes	SART member?	No
Donor embryo?	No	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	Yes
Single women?	Yes			(See Appendix C for details.)	

<sup>a</sup> Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2006 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.

<sup>b</sup> When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.

<sup>c</sup> A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.

<sup>d</sup> Clinic-specific outcome rates are unreliable for women older than 42 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs. Readers are urged to review national outcomes for these age groups (see page 27).

<sup>e</sup> All ages (including ages >42) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.

**SAN DIEGO FERTILITY CENTER  
(SDFC)  
SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA**

**A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 79–88.**

### 2006 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART <sup>a</sup>				Patient Diagnosis			
IVF	>99%	<b>Procedural Factors:</b>		Tubal factor	2%	Other factor	0%
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	90%	Ovulatory dysfunction	<1%	Unknown factor	2%
ZIFT	<1%	Unstimulated	0%	Diminished ovarian reserve	10%	<b>Multiple Factors:</b>	
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	<1%	Endometriosis	2%	Female factors only	5%
				Uterine factor	<1%	Female & male factors	52%
				Male factor	25%		

### 2006 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by William P. Hummel, MD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman			
	<35	35–37	38–40	41–42 <sup>d</sup>
<b>Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs</b>				
Number of cycles	70	56	74	27
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies <sup>b</sup>	54.3	41.1	33.8	11.1
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	48.6	35.7	28.4	11.1
(Confidence Interval)	(36.4–60.8)	(23.4–49.6)	(18.5–40.1)	(2.4–29.2)
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	51.5	40.8	33.3	12.0
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	51.5	40.8	34.4	12.5
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births <sup>b</sup>	39.4	30.6	29.5	12.5
Percentage of cancellations <sup>b</sup>	5.7	12.5	14.9	7.4
Average number of embryos transferred	2.5	3.1	3.1	3.8
Percentage of pregnancies with twins <sup>b</sup>	31.6	21.7	16.0	0 / 3
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more <sup>b</sup>	0.0	0.0	0.0	0 / 3
Percentage of live births having multiple infants <sup>b,c</sup>	23.5	25.0	14.3	0 / 3
<b>Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs</b>				
Number of transfers	41	24	22	4
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	56.1	54.2	31.8	2 / 4
Average number of embryos transferred	2.6	2.7	2.3	3.8
<b>All Ages Combined<sup>e</sup></b>				
<b>Donor Eggs</b>	<b>Fresh Embryos</b>		<b>Frozen Embryos</b>	
	Number of transfers		22	
	63		68.2	
	81.0		2.4	
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	2.4		2.4	
Average number of embryos transferred	2.4		2.4	

### CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

**Current Name:** San Diego Fertility Center, (SDFC)

Donor egg?	Yes	Gestational carriers?	Yes	SART member?	Yes
Donor embryo?	Yes	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	Yes
Single women?	Yes			(See Appendix C for details.)	

<sup>a</sup> Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2006 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.

<sup>b</sup> When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.

<sup>c</sup> A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.

<sup>d</sup> Clinic-specific outcome rates are unreliable for women older than 42 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs. Readers are urged to review national outcomes for these age groups (see page 27).

<sup>e</sup> All ages (including ages >42) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.

# XPert FERTILITY CARE OF CALIFORNIA

MINH N. HO, MD, FACOG

SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 79–88.

## 2006 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART <sup>a</sup>			Patient Diagnosis	
IVF	100%	<b>Procedural Factors:</b>	Tubal factor	6%
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	Ovulatory dysfunction	6%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	Diminished ovarian reserve	23%
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	Endometriosis	6%
			Uterine factor	2%
			Male factor	12%
			Other factor	10%
			Unknown factor	12%
			<b>Multiple Factors:</b>	
			Female factors only	10%
			Female & male factors	15%

## 2006 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by Minh N. Ho, MD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman			
	<35	35–37	38–40	41–42 <sup>d</sup>
<b>Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs</b>				
Number of cycles	15	10	6	2
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies <sup>b</sup>	12 / 15	4 / 10	3 / 6	0 / 2
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	12 / 15	4 / 10	3 / 6	0 / 2
(Confidence Interval)				
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	12 / 15	4 / 10	3 / 6	0 / 2
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	12 / 15	4 / 10	3 / 6	0 / 1
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births <sup>b</sup>	9 / 15	3 / 10	2 / 6	0 / 1
Percentage of cancellations <sup>b</sup>	0 / 15	0 / 10	0 / 6	0 / 2
Average number of embryos transferred	3.1	3.4	2.5	2.0
Percentage of pregnancies with twins <sup>b</sup>	3 / 12	0 / 4	1 / 3	
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more <sup>b</sup>	0 / 12	1 / 4	0 / 3	
Percentage of live births having multiple infants <sup>b,c</sup>	3 / 12	1 / 4	1 / 3	
<b>Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs</b>				
Number of transfers	0	5	2	1
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>		2 / 5	1 / 2	1 / 1
Average number of embryos transferred		3.2	3.0	3.0
<b>All Ages Combined<sup>e</sup></b>				
<b>Donor Eggs</b>	<b>Fresh Embryos</b>		<b>Frozen Embryos</b>	
	5		3	
	4 / 5		2 / 3	
	3.2		2.7	

## CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

**Current Name:** Xpert Fertility Care of California, Minh N. Ho, MD, FACOG

Donor egg?	Yes	Gestational carriers?	Yes	SART member?	No
Donor embryo?	No	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	No
Single women?	Yes			(See Appendix C for details.)	

<sup>a</sup> Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2006 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.

<sup>b</sup> When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.

<sup>c</sup> A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.

<sup>d</sup> Clinic-specific outcome rates are unreliable for women older than 42 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs. Readers are urged to review national outcomes for these age groups (see page 27).

<sup>e</sup> All ages (including ages >42) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.



# LAUREL FERTILITY CARE SAN FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA

A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 79–88.

## 2006 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART <sup>a</sup>				Patient Diagnosis	
IVF	100%	<b>Procedural Factors:</b>		Tubal factor	4%
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	88%	Ovulatory dysfunction	11%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	0%	Diminished ovarian reserve	27%
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	4%	Endometriosis	<1%
				Uterine factor	3%
				Male factor	3%
				Other factor	9%
				Unknown factor	21%
				<b>Multiple Factors:</b>	
				Female factors only	13%
				Female & male factors	9%

## 2006 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by Collin B. Smikle, MD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman			
	<35	35–37	38–40	41–42 <sup>d</sup>
<b>Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs</b>				
Number of cycles	22	17	33	16
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies <sup>b</sup>	45.5	4 / 17	27.3	3 / 16
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	45.5	3 / 17	24.2	2 / 16
(Confidence Interval)	(24.4–67.8)		(11.1–42.3)	
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	47.6	3 / 16	28.6	2 / 13
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	50.0	3 / 15	29.6	2 / 13
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births <sup>b</sup>	25.0	2 / 15	25.9	2 / 13
Percentage of cancellations <sup>b</sup>	4.5	1 / 17	15.2	3 / 16
Average number of embryos transferred	2.9	3.1	3.0	3.9
Percentage of pregnancies with twins <sup>b</sup>	4 / 10	1 / 4	1 / 9	0 / 3
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more <sup>b</sup>	2 / 10	0 / 4	0 / 9	0 / 3
Percentage of live births having multiple infants <sup>b,c</sup>	5 / 10	1 / 3	1 / 8	0 / 2
<b>Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs</b>				
Number of transfers	10	10	2	2
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	0 / 10	2 / 10	1 / 2	1 / 2
Average number of embryos transferred	2.3	2.7	2.5	4.5
<b>All Ages Combined<sup>e</sup></b>				
<b>Donor Eggs</b>	<b>Fresh Embryos</b>		<b>Frozen Embryos</b>	
	27		12	
	66.7		4 / 12	
	2.8		2.7	

## CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

**Current Name:** Laurel Fertility Care

Donor egg?	Yes	Gestational carriers?	Yes	SART member?	Yes
Donor embryo?	Yes	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	Yes
Single women?	Yes			(See Appendix C for details.)	

<sup>a</sup> Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2006 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.

<sup>b</sup> When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.

<sup>c</sup> A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.

<sup>d</sup> Clinic-specific outcome rates are unreliable for women older than 42 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs. Readers are urged to review national outcomes for these age groups (see page 27).

<sup>e</sup> All ages (including ages >42) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.

# PACIFIC FERTILITY CENTER SAN FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA

A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 79–88.

## 2006 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART <sup>a</sup>				Patient Diagnosis			
IVF	>99%	<b>Procedural Factors:</b>		Tubal factor	7%	Other factor	11%
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	44%	Ovulatory dysfunction	6%	Unknown factor	10%
ZIFT	<1%	Unstimulated	0%	Diminished ovarian reserve	29%	<b>Multiple Factors:</b>	
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	2%	Endometriosis	3%	Female factors only	10%
				Uterine factor	1%	Female & male factors	11%
				Male factor	13%		

## 2006 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by Philip E. Chenette, MD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman			
	<35	35–37	38–40	41–42 <sup>d</sup>
<b>Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs</b>				
Number of cycles	152	165	179	77
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies <sup>b</sup>	35.5	33.9	26.8	16.9
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	30.9	27.9	21.8	13.0
(Confidence Interval)	(23.7–38.9)	(21.2–35.4)	(16.0–28.6)	(6.4–22.6)
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	34.3	30.3	26.4	15.6
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	37.0	32.9	27.7	17.2
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births <sup>b</sup>	26.0	23.6	18.4	12.1
Percentage of cancellations <sup>b</sup>	9.9	7.9	17.3	16.9
Average number of embryos transferred	2.4	3.1	3.5	4.4
Percentage of pregnancies with twins <sup>b</sup>	27.8	25.0	31.3	5 / 13
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more <sup>b</sup>	0.0	7.1	2.1	0 / 13
Percentage of live births having multiple infants <sup>b,c</sup>	29.8	28.3	33.3	3 / 10
<b>Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs</b>				
Number of transfers	67	77	50	9
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	25.4	33.8	20.0	2 / 9
Average number of embryos transferred	2.4	2.8	2.9	2.2
<b>All Ages Combined<sup>e</sup></b>				
<b>Donor Eggs</b>	<b>Fresh Embryos</b>		<b>Frozen Embryos</b>	
	179		171	
	57.0		32.2	
	2.1		2.4	

## CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

**Current Name:** Pacific Fertility Center

Donor egg?	Yes	Gestational carriers?	Yes	SART member?	Yes
Donor embryo?	Yes	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	Yes
Single women?	Yes			(See Appendix C for details.)	

<sup>a</sup> Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2006 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.

<sup>b</sup> When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.

<sup>c</sup> A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.

<sup>d</sup> Clinic-specific outcome rates are unreliable for women older than 42 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs. Readers are urged to review national outcomes for these age groups (see page 27).

<sup>e</sup> All ages (including ages >42) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.



# UCSF CENTER FOR REPRODUCTIVE HEALTH SAN FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA

A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 79–88.

## 2006 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART <sup>a</sup>			Patient Diagnosis	
IVF	100%	<b>Procedural Factors:</b>	Tubal factor	6%
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	Ovulatory dysfunction	4%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	Diminished ovarian reserve	19%
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	Endometriosis	1%
			Uterine factor	2%
			Male factor	23%
			Other factor	6%
			Unknown factor	23%
			<b>Multiple Factors:</b>	
			Female factors only	7%
			Female & male factors	9%

## 2006 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by Victor Y. Fujimoto, MD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman			
	<35	35–37	38–40	41–42 <sup>d</sup>
<b>Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs</b>				
Number of cycles	151	134	163	82
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies <sup>b</sup>	43.0	38.1	32.5	23.2
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	37.1	29.9	23.9	13.4
(Confidence Interval)	(29.4–45.3)	(22.3–38.4)	(17.6–31.2)	(6.9–22.7)
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	40.0	36.0	28.1	15.3
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	41.2	37.0	29.5	16.2
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births <sup>b</sup>	30.9	28.7	22.0	11.8
Percentage of cancellations <sup>b</sup>	7.3	17.2	14.7	12.2
Average number of embryos transferred	2.2	2.4	3.2	4.1
Percentage of pregnancies with twins <sup>b</sup>	26.2	19.6	18.9	3 / 19
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more <sup>b</sup>	0.0	0.0	5.7	2 / 19
Percentage of live births having multiple infants <sup>b,c</sup>	25.0	22.5	25.6	3 / 11
<b>Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs</b>				
Number of transfers	48	43	42	16
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	25.0	20.9	9.5	4 / 16
Average number of embryos transferred	2.4	2.5	2.9	3.1
<b>All Ages Combined<sup>e</sup></b>				
<b>Donor Eggs</b>	<b>Fresh Embryos</b>		<b>Frozen Embryos</b>	
	81		38	
	58.0		50.0	
	2.0		2.3	

## CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

**Current Name:** UCSF Center for Reproductive Health

Donor egg?	Yes	Gestational carriers?	Yes	SART member?	Yes
Donor embryo?	Yes	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	Yes
Single women?	Yes			(See Appendix C for details.)	

<sup>a</sup> Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2006 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.

<sup>b</sup> When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.

<sup>c</sup> A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.

<sup>d</sup> Clinic-specific outcome rates are unreliable for women older than 42 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs. Readers are urged to review national outcomes for these age groups (see page 27).

<sup>e</sup> All ages (including ages >42) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.

## FERTILITY PHYSICIANS OF NORTHERN CALIFORNIA SAN JOSE, CALIFORNIA

A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 79–88.

### 2006 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART <sup>a</sup>				Patient Diagnosis			
IVF	100%	<b>Procedural Factors:</b>		Tubal factor	4%	Other factor	10%
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	60%	Ovulatory dysfunction	2%	Unknown factor	6%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	0%	Diminished ovarian reserve	12%	<i>Multiple Factors:</i>	
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	<1%	Endometriosis	3%	Female factors only	18%
				Uterine factor	<1%	Female & male factors	25%
				Male factor	19%		

### 2006 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by Karen Purcell, MD, PhD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman			
	<35	35–37	38–40	41–42 <sup>d</sup>
<b>Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs</b>				
Number of cycles	171	122	111	70
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies <sup>b</sup>	36.3	35.2	27.9	10.0
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	32.7	26.2	20.7	7.1
(Confidence Interval)	(25.8–40.3)	(18.7–35.0)	(13.6–29.5)	(2.4–15.9)
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	34.8	28.3	27.4	9.1
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	35.9	29.6	29.1	10.6
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births <sup>b</sup>	28.8	21.3	20.3	10.6
Percentage of cancellations <sup>b</sup>	5.8	7.4	24.3	21.4
Average number of embryos transferred	2.1	2.4	2.8	3.4
Percentage of pregnancies with twins <sup>b</sup>	17.7	23.3	22.6	0 / 7
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more <sup>b</sup>	1.6	0.0	0.0	0 / 7
Percentage of live births having multiple infants <sup>b,c</sup>	19.6	28.1	30.4	0 / 5
<b>Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs</b>				
Number of transfers	65	36	26	6
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	27.7	22.2	11.5	0 / 6
Average number of embryos transferred	2.0	2.1	2.1	3.0
<b>All Ages Combined<sup>e</sup></b>				
<b>Donor Eggs</b>	<b>Fresh Embryos</b>		<b>Frozen Embryos</b>	
	38		14	
	63.2		3 / 14	
	2.1		1.9	

### CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

**Current Name:** Fertility Physicians of Northern California

Donor egg?	Yes	Gestational carriers?	Yes	SART member?	Yes
Donor embryo?	Yes	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	Yes
Single women?	Yes			(See Appendix C for details.)	

<sup>a</sup> Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2006 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.

<sup>b</sup> When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.

<sup>c</sup> A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.

<sup>d</sup> Clinic-specific outcome rates are unreliable for women older than 42 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs. Readers are urged to review national outcomes for these age groups (see page 27).

<sup>e</sup> All ages (including ages >42) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.

# REPRODUCTIVE SCIENCE CENTER OF THE SAN FRANCISCO BAY AREA SAN RAMON, CALIFORNIA

A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 79–88.

## 2006 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART <sup>a</sup>			Patient Diagnosis	
IVF	100%	<b>Procedural Factors:</b>	Tubal factor	9%
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	Ovulatory dysfunction	7%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	Diminished ovarian reserve	11%
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	Endometriosis	4%
			Uterine factor	2%
			Male factor	19%
			Other factor	4%
			Unknown factor	18%
			<b>Multiple Factors:</b>	
			Female factors only	12%
			Female & male factors	15%

## 2006 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by Louis N. Weckstein, MD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman			
	<35	35–37	38–40	41–42 <sup>d</sup>
<b>Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs</b>				
Number of cycles	267	186	171	71
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies <sup>b</sup>	34.8	31.7	21.6	14.1
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	31.1	25.8	14.6	8.5
(Confidence Interval)	(25.6–37.0)	(19.7–32.7)	(9.7–20.8)	(3.2–17.5)
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	34.4	28.4	18.4	10.9
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	35.3	30.4	20.8	11.3
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births <sup>b</sup>	21.7	19.6	16.7	7.5
Percentage of cancellations <sup>b</sup>	9.7	9.1	20.5	22.5
Average number of embryos transferred	2.2	2.5	3.0	3.5
Percentage of pregnancies with twins <sup>b</sup>	38.7	30.5	18.9	3 / 10
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more <sup>b</sup>	2.2	1.7	0.0	0 / 10
Percentage of live births having multiple infants <sup>b,c</sup>	38.6	35.4	20.0	2 / 6
<b>Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs</b>				
Number of transfers	87	57	42	9
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	37.9	35.1	40.5	3 / 9
Average number of embryos transferred	2.2	2.1	2.3	2.6
<b>All Ages Combined<sup>e</sup></b>				
<b>Donor Eggs</b>	<b>Fresh Embryos</b>		<b>Frozen Embryos</b>	
	108		65	
	50.9		32.3	
	2.1		2.2	

## CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

**Current Name:** Reproductive Science Center of the San Francisco Bay Area

Donor egg?	Yes	Gestational carriers?	Yes	SART member?	Yes
Donor embryo?	Yes	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	Yes
Single women?	Yes			(See Appendix C for details.)	

<sup>a</sup> Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2006 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.

<sup>b</sup> When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.

<sup>c</sup> A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.

<sup>d</sup> Clinic-specific outcome rates are unreliable for women older than 42 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs. Readers are urged to review national outcomes for these age groups (see page 27).

<sup>e</sup> All ages (including ages >42) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.

# PARKER–ROSENMAN–RODI GYNECOLOGY AND INFERTILITY MEDICAL GROUP SANTA MONICA, CALIFORNIA

A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 79–88.

## 2006 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART <sup>a</sup>				Patient Diagnosis			
IVF	100%	<b>Procedural Factors:</b>		Tubal factor	6%	Other factor	8%
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	53%	Ovulatory dysfunction	1%	Unknown factor	1%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	0%	Diminished ovarian reserve	27%	<i>Multiple Factors:</i>	
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	1%	Endometriosis	0%	Female factors only	17%
				Uterine factor	0%	Female & male factors	33%
				Male factor	7%		

## 2006 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by Ingrid A. Rodi, MD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman			
	<35	35–37	38–40	41–42 <sup>d</sup>
<b>Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs</b>				
Number of cycles	14	7	28	16
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies <sup>b</sup>	6 / 14	2 / 7	39.3	3 / 16
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	5 / 14	2 / 7	28.6	2 / 16
(Confidence Interval)			(13.2–48.7)	
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	5 / 13	2 / 7	30.8	2 / 12
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	5 / 11	2 / 7	32.0	2 / 11
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births <sup>b</sup>	3 / 11	1 / 7	16.0	1 / 11
Percentage of cancellations <sup>b</sup>	1 / 14	0 / 7	7.1	4 / 16
Average number of embryos transferred	2.7	3.4	3.5	4.2
Percentage of pregnancies with twins <sup>b</sup>	3 / 6	0 / 2	4 / 11	1 / 3
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more <sup>b</sup>	0 / 6	1 / 2	1 / 11	0 / 3
Percentage of live births having multiple infants <sup>b,c</sup>	2 / 5	1 / 2	4 / 8	1 / 2
<b>Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs</b>				
Number of transfers	0	5	0	0
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>		1 / 5		
Average number of embryos transferred		2.6		
<b>All Ages Combined<sup>e</sup></b>				
<b>Donor Eggs</b>	<b>Fresh Embryos</b>		<b>Frozen Embryos</b>	
	5		5	
	3 / 5		3 / 5	
	2.2		2.8	

## CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

**Current Name:** Parker–Rosenman–Rodi Gynecology and Infertility Medical Group

Donor egg?	Yes	Gestational carriers?	Yes	SART member?	Yes
Donor embryo?	Yes	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	Yes
Single women?	Yes			(See Appendix C for details.)	

<sup>a</sup> Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2006 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.

<sup>b</sup> When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.

<sup>c</sup> A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.

<sup>d</sup> Clinic-specific outcome rates are unreliable for women older than 42 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs. Readers are urged to review national outcomes for these age groups (see page 27).

<sup>e</sup> All ages (including ages >42) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.

## ADVANCED FERTILITY ASSOCIATES MEDICAL GROUP, INC. SANTA ROSA, CALIFORNIA

A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 79–88.

### 2006 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART <sup>a</sup>			Patient Diagnosis	
IVF	100%	<b>Procedural Factors:</b>	Tubal factor	12%
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	Ovulatory dysfunction	2%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	Diminished ovarian reserve	13%
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	Endometriosis	2%
			Uterine factor	<1%
			Male factor	18%
			Other factor	6%
			Unknown factor	19%
			<b>Multiple Factors:</b>	
			Female factors only	7%
			Female & male factors	21%

### 2006 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by Jennifer V. Ratcliffe, MD, PhD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman			
	<35	35–37	38–40	41–42 <sup>d</sup>
<b>Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs</b>				
Number of cycles	41	18	24	15
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies <sup>b</sup>	53.7	6 / 18	41.7	4 / 15
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	43.9	5 / 18	33.3	3 / 15
(Confidence Interval)	(28.5–60.3)		(15.6–55.3)	
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	48.6	5 / 17	33.3	3 / 13
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	48.6	5 / 17	36.4	3 / 12
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births <sup>b</sup>	29.7	3 / 17	13.6	2 / 12
Percentage of cancellations <sup>b</sup>	9.8	1 / 18	0.0	2 / 15
Average number of embryos transferred	2.2	2.7	3.6	3.3
Percentage of pregnancies with twins <sup>b</sup>	27.3	2 / 6	4 / 10	0 / 4
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more <sup>b</sup>	4.5	0 / 6	1 / 10	1 / 4
Percentage of live births having multiple infants <sup>b,c</sup>	7 / 18	2 / 5	5 / 8	1 / 3
<b>Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs</b>				
Number of transfers	14	10	8	3
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	4 / 14	4 / 10	5 / 8	3 / 3
Average number of embryos transferred	2.4	2.7	2.8	2.3
<b>All Ages Combined<sup>e</sup></b>				
<b>Donor Eggs</b>	<b>Fresh Embryos</b>		<b>Frozen Embryos</b>	
	13		15	
	8 / 13		1 / 15	
	2.1		3.0	

### CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

**Current Name:** Advanced Fertility Associates Medical Group, Inc.

Donor egg?	Yes	Gestational carriers?	Yes	SART member?	Yes
Donor embryo?	Yes	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	Yes
Single women?	Yes			(See Appendix C for details.)	

<sup>a</sup> Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2006 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.

<sup>b</sup> When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.

<sup>c</sup> A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.

<sup>d</sup> Clinic-specific outcome rates are unreliable for women older than 42 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs. Readers are urged to review national outcomes for these age groups (see page 27).

<sup>e</sup> All ages (including ages >42) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.

**VALLEY CENTER FOR REPRODUCTIVE HEALTH**  
**TINA KOOPERSMITH, MD**  
**SHERMAN OAKS, CALIFORNIA**

A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 79–88.

### 2006 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART <sup>a</sup>				Patient Diagnosis			
IVF	100%	<b>Procedural Factors:</b>		Tubal factor	13%	Other factor	0%
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	49%	Ovulatory dysfunction	8%	Unknown factor	8%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	0%	Diminished ovarian reserve	7%	<i>Multiple Factors:</i>	
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	2%	Endometriosis	9%	Female factors only	29%
				Uterine factor	0%	Female & male factors	20%
				Male factor	8%		

### 2006 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by Tina B. Koopersmith, MD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman			
	<35	35–37	38–40	41–42 <sup>d</sup>
<b>Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs</b>				
Number of cycles	13	16	14	10
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies <sup>b</sup>	6 / 13	6 / 16	3 / 14	2 / 10
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup> (Confidence Interval)	5 / 13	6 / 16	3 / 14	2 / 10
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	5 / 12	6 / 15	3 / 13	2 / 10
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	5 / 11	6 / 13	3 / 11	2 / 9
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births <sup>b</sup>	4 / 11	3 / 13	1 / 11	1 / 9
Percentage of cancellations <sup>b</sup>	1 / 13	1 / 16	1 / 14	0 / 10
Average number of embryos transferred	2.3	3.3	3.0	3.1
Percentage of pregnancies with twins <sup>b</sup>	2 / 6	4 / 6	2 / 3	1 / 2
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more <sup>b</sup>	0 / 6	1 / 6	0 / 3	1 / 2
Percentage of live births having multiple infants <sup>b,c</sup>	1 / 5	3 / 6	2 / 3	1 / 2
<b>Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs</b>				
Number of transfers	6	3	1	0
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	2 / 6	2 / 3	0 / 1	
Average number of embryos transferred	2.2	3.3	1.0	
<b>All Ages Combined<sup>e</sup></b>				
<b>Donor Eggs</b>	<b>Fresh Embryos</b>		<b>Frozen Embryos</b>	
Number of transfers	8		4	
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	3 / 8		1 / 4	
Average number of embryos transferred	2.3		2.3	

### CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

**Current Name:** Valley Center for Reproductive Health, Tina Koopersmith, MD

Donor egg?	Yes	Gestational carriers?	Yes	SART member?	Yes
Donor embryo?	Yes	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	Yes
Single women?	Yes			(See Appendix C for details.)	

<sup>a</sup> Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2006 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.

<sup>b</sup> When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.

<sup>c</sup> A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.

<sup>d</sup> Clinic-specific outcome rates are unreliable for women older than 42 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs. Readers are urged to review national outcomes for these age groups (see page 27).

<sup>e</sup> All ages (including ages >42) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.



**THE CENTER FOR FERTILITY AND GYNECOLOGY  
VERMESH CENTER FOR FERTILITY  
TARZANA, CALIFORNIA**

**A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 79–88.**

### 2006 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART <sup>a</sup>			Patient Diagnosis	
IVF	91%	<b>Procedural Factors:</b>	Tubal factor	6%
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	Ovulatory dysfunction	1%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	Diminished ovarian reserve	31%
Combination	9%	Used gestational carrier	Endometriosis	<1%
			Uterine factor	1%
			Male factor	11%
			Other factor	14%
			Unknown factor	11%
			<b>Multiple Factors:</b>	
			Female factors only	10%
			Female & male factors	13%

### 2006 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by Eliran Mor, MD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman			
	<35	35–37	38–40	41–42 <sup>d</sup>
<b>Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs</b>				
Number of cycles	65	33	72	53
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies <sup>b</sup>	66.2	60.6	40.3	34.0
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	60.0	48.5	30.6	18.9
(Confidence Interval)	(47.1–72.0)	(30.8–66.5)	(20.2–42.5)	(9.4–32.0)
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	60.0	48.5	30.6	18.9
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	60.0	48.5	30.6	18.9
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births <sup>b</sup>	38.5	18.2	26.4	17.0
Percentage of cancellations <sup>b</sup>	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Average number of embryos transferred	2.9	3.7	3.8	4.1
Percentage of pregnancies with twins <sup>b</sup>	37.2	40.0	27.6	2 / 18
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more <sup>b</sup>	7.0	10.0	3.4	0 / 18
Percentage of live births having multiple infants <sup>b,c</sup>	35.9	10 / 16	13.6	1 / 10
<b>Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs</b>				
Number of transfers	22	5	22	7
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	27.3	2 / 5	22.7	1 / 7
Average number of embryos transferred	3.4	3.8	3.5	3.1
<b>All Ages Combined<sup>e</sup></b>				
<b>Donor Eggs</b>	<b>Fresh Embryos</b>		<b>Frozen Embryos</b>	
	48		30	
	62.5		33.3	
	3.1		3.9	

### CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

**Current Name:** The Center for Fertility and Gynecology, Vermesh Center for Fertility

Donor egg?	Yes	Gestational carriers?	Yes	SART member?	Yes
Donor embryo?	Yes	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	Yes
Single women?	Yes			(See Appendix C for details.)	

<sup>a</sup> Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2006 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.

<sup>b</sup> When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.

<sup>c</sup> A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.

<sup>d</sup> Clinic-specific outcome rates are unreliable for women older than 42 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs. Readers are urged to review national outcomes for these age groups (see page 27).

<sup>e</sup> All ages (including ages >42) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.

**TREE OF LIFE CENTER  
SNUNIT BEN-OZER, MD  
TARZANA, CALIFORNIA**

A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 79–88.

### 2006 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART <sup>a</sup>				Patient Diagnosis			
IVF	100%	<b>Procedural Factors:</b>		Tubal factor	0%	Other factor	0%
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	81%	Ovulatory dysfunction	0%	Unknown factor	8%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	0%	Diminished ovarian reserve	3%	<b>Multiple Factors:</b>	
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	3%	Endometriosis	0%	Female factors only	42%
				Uterine factor	2%	Female & male factors	42%
				Male factor	5%		

### 2006 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by Snunit Ben-Ozer, MD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman			
	<35	35–37	38–40	41–42 <sup>d</sup>
<b>Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs</b>				
Number of cycles	6	5	11	8
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies <sup>b</sup>	2 / 6	2 / 5	4 / 11	4 / 8
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup> (Confidence Interval)	1 / 6	2 / 5	2 / 11	2 / 8
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	1 / 6	2 / 5	2 / 10	2 / 8
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	1 / 5	2 / 5	2 / 9	2 / 8
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births <sup>b</sup>	0 / 5	1 / 5	1 / 9	2 / 8
Percentage of cancellations <sup>b</sup>	0 / 6	0 / 5	1 / 11	0 / 8
Average number of embryos transferred	2.6	3.6	4.3	4.0
Percentage of pregnancies with twins <sup>b</sup>	1 / 2	1 / 2	1 / 4	0 / 4
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more <sup>b</sup>	0 / 2	0 / 2	0 / 4	0 / 4
Percentage of live births having multiple infants <sup>b,c</sup>	1 / 1	1 / 2	1 / 2	0 / 2
<b>Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs</b>				
Number of transfers	3	4	0	0
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	2 / 3	2 / 4		
Average number of embryos transferred	2.7	3.3		
<b>All Ages Combined<sup>e</sup></b>				
<b>Donor Eggs</b>	<b>Fresh Embryos</b>		<b>Frozen Embryos</b>	
	12		8	
	6 / 12		3 / 8	
	3.1		2.8	

### CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

**Current Name:** Tree of Life Center, Snunit Ben-Ozer, MD

Donor egg?	Yes	Gestational carriers?	Yes	SART member?	Yes
Donor embryo?	Yes	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	Yes
Single women?	Yes			(See Appendix C for details.)	

<sup>a</sup> Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2006 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.

<sup>b</sup> When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.

<sup>c</sup> A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.

<sup>d</sup> Clinic-specific outcome rates are unreliable for women older than 42 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs. Readers are urged to review national outcomes for these age groups (see page 27).

<sup>e</sup> All ages (including ages >42) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.



# FERTILITY AND SURGICAL ASSOCIATES OF CALIFORNIA THOUSAND OAKS, CALIFORNIA

**A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 79–88.**

## 2006 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART <sup>a</sup>			Patient Diagnosis	
IVF	100%	<b>Procedural Factors:</b>	Tubal factor	5%
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	Ovulatory dysfunction	3%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	Diminished ovarian reserve	17%
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	Endometriosis	2%
			Uterine factor	<1%
			Male factor	13%
			Other factor	16%
			Unknown factor	3%
			<b>Multiple Factors:</b>	
			Female factors only	19%
			Female & male factors	22%

## 2006 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by Gary Hubert, MD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman			
	<35	35–37	38–40	41–42 <sup>d</sup>
<b>Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs</b>				
Number of cycles	132	77	106	80
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies <sup>b</sup>	43.9	40.3	21.7	18.8
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	39.4	37.7	17.0	15.0
(Confidence Interval)	(31.0–48.3)	(26.9–49.4)	(10.4–25.5)	(8.0–24.7)
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	41.6	41.4	20.2	17.1
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	43.3	43.3	22.2	18.2
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births <sup>b</sup>	32.5	28.4	14.8	16.7
Percentage of cancellations <sup>b</sup>	5.3	9.1	16.0	12.5
Average number of embryos transferred	2.6	3.0	3.9	4.5
Percentage of pregnancies with twins <sup>b</sup>	22.4	32.3	30.4	2 / 15
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more <sup>b</sup>	5.2	6.5	4.3	0 / 15
Percentage of live births having multiple infants <sup>b,c</sup>	25.0	34.5	6 / 18	1 / 12
<b>Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs</b>				
Number of transfers	36	21	12	6
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	47.2	28.6	4 / 12	2 / 6
Average number of embryos transferred	2.3	2.4	2.9	3.8
<b>All Ages Combined<sup>e</sup></b>				
<b>Donor Eggs</b>	<b>Fresh Embryos</b>		<b>Frozen Embryos</b>	
	75		49	
	54.7		32.7	
	2.5		2.7	

## CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

**Current Name:** Fertility and Surgical Associates of California

Donor egg?	Yes	Gestational carriers?	Yes	SART member?	Yes
Donor embryo?	Yes	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	Yes
Single women?	Yes			(See Appendix C for details.)	

<sup>a</sup> Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2006 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.

<sup>b</sup> When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.

<sup>c</sup> A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.

<sup>d</sup> Clinic-specific outcome rates are unreliable for women older than 42 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs. Readers are urged to review national outcomes for these age groups (see page 27).

<sup>e</sup> All ages (including ages >42) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.

## PACIFIC REPRODUCTIVE CENTER TORRANCE, CALIFORNIA

A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 79–88.

### 2006 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART <sup>a</sup>				Patient Diagnosis			
IVF	>99%	<b>Procedural Factors:</b>		Tubal factor	16%	Other factor	1%
GIFT	<1%	With ICSI	96%	Ovulatory dysfunction	6%	Unknown factor	5%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	<1%	Diminished ovarian reserve	27%	<i>Multiple Factors:</i>	
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	<1%	Endometriosis	5%	Female factors only	5%
				Uterine factor	5%	Female & male factors	6%
				Male factor	24%		

### 2006 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by Rifaat Salem, MD, PhD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman			
	<35	35–37	38–40	41–42 <sup>d</sup>
<b>Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs</b>				
Number of cycles	167	89	106	51
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies <sup>b</sup>	52.1	41.6	23.6	11.8
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	46.7	32.6	18.9	3.9
(Confidence Interval)	(39.0–54.6)	(23.0–43.3)	(11.9–27.6)	(0.5–13.5)
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	46.7	33.0	19.4	4.0
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	47.9	34.5	20.6	4.7
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births <sup>b</sup>	31.9	26.2	13.4	4.7
Percentage of cancellations <sup>b</sup>	0.0	1.1	2.8	2.0
Average number of embryos transferred	3.4	3.6	4.0	4.3
Percentage of pregnancies with twins <sup>b</sup>	31.0	21.6	28.0	0 / 6
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more <sup>b</sup>	4.6	2.7	12.0	0 / 6
Percentage of live births having multiple infants <sup>b,c</sup>	33.3	24.1	35.0	0 / 2
<b>Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs</b>				
Number of transfers	8	4	3	2
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	2 / 8	1 / 4	1 / 3	1 / 2
Average number of embryos transferred	3.6	3.3	4.0	3.0
<b>All Ages Combined<sup>e</sup></b>				
<b>Donor Eggs</b>	<b>Fresh Embryos</b>		<b>Frozen Embryos</b>	
	32		5	
	43.8		2 / 5	
	3.8		3.8	

### CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

**Current Name:** Pacific Reproductive Center

Donor egg?	Yes	Gestational carriers?	Yes	SART member?	Yes
Donor embryo?	No	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	Yes
Single women?	Yes			(See Appendix C for details.)	

<sup>a</sup> Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2006 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.

<sup>b</sup> When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.

<sup>c</sup> A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.

<sup>d</sup> Clinic-specific outcome rates are unreliable for women older than 42 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs. Readers are urged to review national outcomes for these age groups (see page 27).

<sup>e</sup> All ages (including ages >42) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.

## CONTRA COSTA OB/GYN & INFERTILITY WALNUT CREEK, CALIFORNIA

A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 79–88.

### 2006 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART <sup>a</sup>		Patient Diagnosis	
IVF	100% <b>Procedural Factors:</b>	Tubal factor	18%
GIFT	0% With ICSI	Ovulatory dysfunction	4%
ZIFT	0% Unstimulated	Diminished ovarian reserve	11%
Combination	0% Used gestational carrier	Endometriosis	7%
		Uterine factor	0%
		Male factor	25%
		Other factor	4%
		Unknown factor	14%
		<b>Multiple Factors:</b>	
		Female factors only	7%
		Female & male factors	11%

### 2006 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by Stephen G. Weinstein, MD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman			
	<35	35–37	38–40	41–42 <sup>d</sup>
<b>Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs</b>				
Number of cycles	14	6	2	3
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies <sup>b</sup>	1 / 14	2 / 6	0 / 2	0 / 3
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup> (Confidence Interval)	1 / 14	0 / 6	0 / 2	0 / 3
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	1 / 13	0 / 5	0 / 2	0 / 1
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	1 / 13	0 / 5	0 / 2	0 / 1
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births <sup>b</sup>	1 / 13	0 / 5	0 / 2	0 / 1
Percentage of cancellations <sup>b</sup>	1 / 14	1 / 6	0 / 2	2 / 3
Average number of embryos transferred	3.0	3.8	1.0	4.0
Percentage of pregnancies with twins <sup>b</sup>	0 / 1	0 / 2		
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more <sup>b</sup>	0 / 1	0 / 2		
Percentage of live births having multiple infants <sup>b,c</sup>	0 / 1			
<b>Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs</b>				
Number of transfers	0	1	0	0
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>		0 / 1		
Average number of embryos transferred		4.0		
<b>All Ages Combined<sup>e</sup></b>				
<b>Donor Eggs</b>	<b>Fresh Embryos</b>		<b>Frozen Embryos</b>	
	1		0	
	1 / 1			
Average number of embryos transferred	4.0			

### CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

**Current Name:** Contra Costa OB/GYN & Infertility

Donor egg?	Yes	Gestational carriers?	Yes	SART member?	No
Donor embryo?	No	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	No
Single women?	Yes			(See Appendix C for details.)	

<sup>a</sup> Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2006 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.

<sup>b</sup> When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.

<sup>c</sup> A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.

<sup>d</sup> Clinic-specific outcome rates are unreliable for women older than 42 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs. Readers are urged to review national outcomes for these age groups (see page 27).

<sup>e</sup> All ages (including ages >42) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.

**ADVANCED REPRODUCTIVE MEDICINE  
UNIVERSITY OF COLORADO HEALTH SCIENCES CENTER  
AURORA, COLORADO**

**A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 79–88.**

### 2006 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART <sup>a</sup>				Patient Diagnosis			
IVF	100%	<b>Procedural Factors:</b>		Tubal factor	10%	Other factor	3%
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	68%	Ovulatory dysfunction	5%	Unknown factor	7%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	0%	Diminished ovarian reserve	23%	<i>Multiple Factors:</i>	
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	0%	Endometriosis	2%	Female factors only	16%
				Uterine factor	0%	Female & male factors	27%
				Male factor	9%		

### 2006 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by Ruben J. Alvero, MD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman			
	<35	35–37	38–40	41–42 <sup>d</sup>
<b>Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs</b>				
Number of cycles	46	14	12	4
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies <sup>b</sup>	52.2	8 / 14	3 / 12	0 / 4
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	50.0	6 / 14	3 / 12	0 / 4
(Confidence Interval)	(34.9–65.1)			
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	60.5	6 / 12	3 / 10	0 / 2
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	65.7	6 / 11	3 / 9	0 / 2
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births <sup>b</sup>	45.7	5 / 11	2 / 9	0 / 2
Percentage of cancellations <sup>b</sup>	17.4	2 / 14	2 / 12	2 / 4
Average number of embryos transferred	2.5	3.0	4.1	3.5
Percentage of pregnancies with twins <sup>b</sup>	33.3	3 / 8	2 / 3	
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more <sup>b</sup>	4.2	1 / 8	0 / 3	
Percentage of live births having multiple infants <sup>b,c</sup>	30.4	1 / 6	1 / 3	
<b>Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs</b>				
Number of transfers	21	9	1	1
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	19.0	2 / 9	0 / 1	0 / 1
Average number of embryos transferred	2.6	2.4	3.0	4.0
<b>All Ages Combined<sup>e</sup></b>				
<b>Donor Eggs</b>	<b>Fresh Embryos</b>		<b>Frozen Embryos</b>	
	Number of transfers		27	
	18		29.6	
	13 / 18		2.6	
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>		2.1		
Average number of embryos transferred				

### CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

**Current Name:** Advanced Reproductive Medicine, University of Colorado Health Sciences Center

Donor egg?	Yes	Gestational carriers?	Yes	SART member?	Yes
Donor embryo?	Yes	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	Yes
Single women?	Yes			(See Appendix C for details.)	

<sup>a</sup> Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2006 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.

<sup>b</sup> When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.

<sup>c</sup> A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.

<sup>d</sup> Clinic-specific outcome rates are unreliable for women older than 42 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs. Readers are urged to review national outcomes for these age groups (see page 27).

<sup>e</sup> All ages (including ages >42) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.

## REPRODUCTIVE MEDICINE AND FERTILITY CENTER COLORADO SPRINGS, COLORADO

**A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 79–88.**

### 2006 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART <sup>a</sup>				Patient Diagnosis	
IVF	100%	<b>Procedural Factors:</b>		Tubal factor	3%
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	86%	Ovulatory dysfunction	5%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	0%	Diminished ovarian reserve	12%
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	0%	Endometriosis	2%
				Uterine factor	<1%
				Male factor	12%
				Other factor	6%
				Unknown factor	3%
				<b>Multiple Factors:</b>	
				Female factors only	8%
				Female & male factors	48%

### 2006 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by Paul C. Magarelli, MD, PhD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman			
	<35	35–37	38–40	41–42 <sup>d</sup>
<b>Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs</b>				
Number of cycles	71	40	30	21
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies <sup>b</sup>	31.0	27.5	23.3	4.8
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	26.8	22.5	20.0	4.8
(Confidence Interval)	(16.9–38.6)	(10.8–38.5)	(7.7–38.6)	(0.1–23.8)
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	28.8	28.1	21.4	1 / 16
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	38.8	45.0	30.0	1 / 12
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births <sup>b</sup>	30.6	35.0	20.0	1 / 12
Percentage of cancellations <sup>b</sup>	7.0	20.0	6.7	23.8
Average number of embryos transferred	3.0	3.3	2.8	3.2
Percentage of pregnancies with twins <sup>b</sup>	4.5	2 / 11	2 / 7	0 / 1
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more <sup>b</sup>	13.6	1 / 11	0 / 7	0 / 1
Percentage of live births having multiple infants <sup>b,c</sup>	4 / 19	2 / 9	2 / 6	0 / 1
<b>Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs</b>				
Number of transfers	17	4	2	2
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	8 / 17	2 / 4	0 / 2	0 / 2
Average number of embryos transferred	2.9	2.8	2.0	2.0
<b>All Ages Combined<sup>e</sup></b>				
<b>Donor Eggs</b>	<b>Fresh Embryos</b>		<b>Frozen Embryos</b>	
	12		8	
	6 / 12		1 / 8	
	2.8		2.8	

### CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

**Current Name:** Reproductive Medicine and Fertility Center

Donor egg?	Yes	Gestational carriers?	Yes	SART member?	Yes
Donor embryo?	Yes	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	Yes
Single women?	Yes			(See Appendix C for details.)	

<sup>a</sup> Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2006 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.

<sup>b</sup> When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.

<sup>c</sup> A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.

<sup>d</sup> Clinic-specific outcome rates are unreliable for women older than 42 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs. Readers are urged to review national outcomes for these age groups (see page 27).

<sup>e</sup> All ages (including ages >42) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.

**ERIC H. SILVERSTEIN, MD, PROFESSIONAL LLC, DBA  
THE FERTILITY CENTER OF COLORADO  
COLORADO SPRINGS, COLORADO**

**A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 79–88.**

### 2006 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART <sup>a</sup>				Patient Diagnosis			
IVF	100%	<b>Procedural Factors:</b>		Tubal factor	8%	Other factor	1%
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	76%	Ovulatory dysfunction	3%	Unknown factor	6%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	0%	Diminished ovarian reserve	16%	<i>Multiple Factors:</i>	
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	0%	Endometriosis	8%	Female factors only	16%
				Uterine factor	0%	Female & male factors	26%
				Male factor	15%		

### 2006 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by Eric H. Silverstein, MD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman			
	<35	35–37	38–40	41–42 <sup>d</sup>
<b>Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs</b>				
Number of cycles	26	9	9	2
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies <sup>b</sup>	69.2	4 / 9	4 / 9	0 / 2
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	61.5	4 / 9	3 / 9	0 / 2
(Confidence Interval)	(40.6–79.8)			
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	64.0	4 / 8	3 / 7	0 / 2
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	66.7	4 / 8	3 / 6	0 / 2
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births <sup>b</sup>	41.7	4 / 8	3 / 6	0 / 2
Percentage of cancellations <sup>b</sup>	3.8	1 / 9	2 / 9	0 / 2
Average number of embryos transferred	2.1	2.4	2.0	3.0
Percentage of pregnancies with twins <sup>b</sup>	6 / 18	0 / 4	0 / 4	
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more <sup>b</sup>	1 / 18	0 / 4	0 / 4	
Percentage of live births having multiple infants <sup>b,c</sup>	6 / 16	0 / 4	0 / 3	
<b>Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs</b>				
Number of transfers	5	1	4	1
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	1 / 5	0 / 1	1 / 4	0 / 1
Average number of embryos transferred	2.2	1.0	3.0	2.0
<b>All Ages Combined<sup>e</sup></b>				
<b>Donor Eggs</b>	<b>Fresh Embryos</b>		<b>Frozen Embryos</b>	
Number of transfers	11		1	
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	5 / 11		0 / 1	
Average number of embryos transferred	1.9		3.0	

### CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

**Current Name:** Eric H. Silverstein, MD, Professional LLC, dba The Fertility Center of Colorado

Donor egg?	Yes	Gestational carriers?	No	SART member?	Yes
Donor embryo?	No	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	Yes
Single women?	Yes			(See Appendix C for details.)	

<sup>a</sup> Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2006 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.

<sup>b</sup> When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.

<sup>c</sup> A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.

<sup>d</sup> Clinic-specific outcome rates are unreliable for women older than 42 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs. Readers are urged to review national outcomes for these age groups (see page 27).

<sup>e</sup> All ages (including ages >42) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.



## COLORADO REPRODUCTIVE ENDOCRINOLOGY DENVER, COLORADO

**A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 79–88.**

### 2006 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART <sup>a</sup>				Patient Diagnosis	
IVF	100%	<b>Procedural Factors:</b>		Tubal factor	14%
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	24%	Ovulatory dysfunction	12%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	0%	Diminished ovarian reserve	21%
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	0%	Endometriosis	4%
				Uterine factor	2%
				Male factor	8%
				Other factor	5%
				Unknown factor	16%
				<b>Multiple Factors:</b>	
				Female factors only	13%
				Female & male factors	6%

### 2006 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by Susan W. Trout, MD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman			
	<35	35–37	38–40	41–42 <sup>d</sup>
<b>Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs</b>				
Number of cycles	61	36	28	13
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies <sup>b</sup>	42.6	27.8	28.6	0 / 13
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	41.0	19.4	14.3	0 / 13
(Confidence Interval)	(28.6–54.3)	(8.2–36.0)	(4.0–32.7)	
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	52.1	25.9	19.0	0 / 7
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	54.3	28.0	20.0	0 / 6
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births <sup>b</sup>	28.3	20.0	10.0	0 / 6
Percentage of cancellations <sup>b</sup>	21.3	25.0	25.0	6 / 13
Average number of embryos transferred	2.0	2.8	3.0	2.8
Percentage of pregnancies with twins <sup>b</sup>	50.0	3 / 10	2 / 8	
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more <sup>b</sup>	3.8	0 / 10	0 / 8	
Percentage of live births having multiple infants <sup>b,c</sup>	48.0	2 / 7	2 / 4	
<b>Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs</b>				
Number of transfers	19	9	9	3
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	7 / 19	4 / 9	5 / 9	0 / 3
Average number of embryos transferred	1.9	1.9	2.8	2.0
<b>All Ages Combined<sup>e</sup></b>				
<b>Donor Eggs</b>	<b>Fresh Embryos</b>		<b>Frozen Embryos</b>	
	18		17	
	11 / 18		6 / 17	
	2.0		1.8	

### CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

**Current Name:** Colorado Reproductive Endocrinology

Donor egg?	Yes	Gestational carriers?	Yes	SART member?	Yes
Donor embryo?	Yes	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	Yes
Single women?	Yes			(See Appendix C for details.)	

<sup>a</sup> Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2006 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.

<sup>b</sup> When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.

<sup>c</sup> A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.

<sup>d</sup> Clinic-specific outcome rates are unreliable for women older than 42 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs. Readers are urged to review national outcomes for these age groups (see page 27).

<sup>e</sup> All ages (including ages >42) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.

# COLORADO CENTER FOR REPRODUCTIVE MEDICINE<sup>o</sup> ENGLEWOOD, COLORADO

A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 79–88.

## 2006 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART <sup>a</sup>			Patient Diagnosis	
IVF	100%	<b>Procedural Factors:</b>	Tubal factor	5%
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	Ovulatory dysfunction	5%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	Diminished ovarian reserve	30%
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	Endometriosis	5%
			Uterine factor	2%
			Male factor	13%
			Other factor	12%
			Unknown factor	13%
			<b>Multiple Factors:</b>	
			Female factors only	6%
			Female & male factors	8%

## 2006 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by William B. Schoolcraft, MD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman			
	<35	35–37	38–40	41–42 <sup>d</sup>
<b>Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs</b>				
Number of cycles	275	195	166	76
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies <sup>b</sup>	71.3	59.5	53.0	35.5
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	62.2	49.7	41.0	26.3
(Confidence Interval)	(56.2–67.9)	(42.5–57.0)	(33.4–48.9)	(16.9–37.7)
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	62.6	52.4	43.0	27.0
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	65.3	53.6	44.4	28.2
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births <sup>b</sup>	37.8	37.0	32.0	23.9
Percentage of cancellations <sup>b</sup>	0.7	5.1	4.8	2.6
Average number of embryos transferred	2.3	2.7	3.1	3.6
Percentage of pregnancies with twins <sup>b</sup>	40.3	25.9	27.3	18.5
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more <sup>b</sup>	5.6	7.8	8.0	7.4
Percentage of live births having multiple infants <sup>b,c</sup>	42.1	30.9	27.9	15.0
<b>Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs</b>				
Number of transfers	85	47	26	8
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	48.2	53.2	42.3	4 / 8
Average number of embryos transferred	2.4	2.4	2.8	2.6
<b>All Ages Combined<sup>e</sup></b>				
<b>Donor Eggs</b>	<b>Fresh Embryos</b>		<b>Frozen Embryos</b>	
Number of transfers	201		79	
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	79.6		45.6	
Average number of embryos transferred	2.1		2.3	

## CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

**Current Name:** Colorado Center for Reproductive Medicine

Donor egg?	Yes	Gestational carriers?	Yes	SART member?	Yes
Donor embryo?	Yes	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	Yes
Single women?	Yes			(See Appendix C for details.)	


<sup>a</sup> Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2006 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.

<sup>b</sup> When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.

<sup>c</sup> A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.

<sup>d</sup> Clinic-specific outcome rates are unreliable for women older than 42 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs. Readers are urged to review national outcomes for these age groups (see page 27).

<sup>e</sup> All ages (including ages >42) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.

<sup>f</sup> Reflects clinic performed more than 50 cycles with Preimplantation Genetic Diagnosis (PGD) in 2006 and among them more than 10 specifically for the purpose of prevention of genetic disorders. See Appendix C for a complete list of clinics with .



# ROCKY MOUNTAIN CENTER FOR REPRODUCTIVE MEDICINE FORT COLLINS, COLORADO

A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 79–88.

## 2006 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART <sup>a</sup>			Patient Diagnosis	
IVF	100%	<b>Procedural Factors:</b>	Tubal factor	14%
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	Ovulatory dysfunction	3%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	Diminished ovarian reserve	23%
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	Endometriosis	10%
			Uterine factor	0%
			Male factor	19%
			Other factor	0%
			Unknown factor	14%
			<b>Multiple Factors:</b>	
			Female factors only	5%
			Female & male factors	14%

## 2006 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by Kevin E. Bachus, MD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman			
	<35	35–37	38–40	41–42 <sup>d</sup>
<b>Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs</b>				
Number of cycles	29	12	5	1
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies <sup>b</sup>	51.7	6 / 12	3 / 5	1 / 1
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	44.8	6 / 12	2 / 5	1 / 1
(Confidence Interval)	(26.4–64.3)			
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	48.1	6 / 12	2 / 5	1 / 1
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	52.0	6 / 12	2 / 5	1 / 1
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births <sup>b</sup>	28.0	4 / 12	1 / 5	1 / 1
Percentage of cancellations <sup>b</sup>	6.9	0 / 12	0 / 5	0 / 1
Average number of embryos transferred	2.0	2.6	3.6	3.0
Percentage of pregnancies with twins <sup>b</sup>	9 / 15	2 / 6	1 / 3	0 / 1
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more <sup>b</sup>	0 / 15	0 / 6	0 / 3	0 / 1
Percentage of live births having multiple infants <sup>b,c</sup>	6 / 13	2 / 6	1 / 2	0 / 1
<b>Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs</b>				
Number of transfers	8	5	3	0
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	4 / 8	4 / 5	2 / 3	
Average number of embryos transferred	2.6	2.6	3.0	
<b>All Ages Combined<sup>e</sup></b>				
<b>Donor Eggs</b>		<b>Fresh Embryos</b>	<b>Frozen Embryos</b>	
Number of transfers		5	5	
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>		4 / 5	2 / 5	
Average number of embryos transferred		2.0	2.4	

## CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

**Current Name:** Rocky Mountain Center for Reproductive Medicine

Donor egg?	Yes	Gestational carriers?	No	SART member?	Yes
Donor embryo?	No	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	Yes
Single women?	Yes			(See Appendix C for details.)	

<sup>a</sup> Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2006 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.

<sup>b</sup> When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.

<sup>c</sup> A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.

<sup>d</sup> Clinic-specific outcome rates are unreliable for women older than 42 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs. Readers are urged to review national outcomes for these age groups (see page 27).

<sup>e</sup> All ages (including ages >42) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.

## CONCEPTIONS REPRODUCTIVE ASSOCIATES OF COLORADO LITTLETON, COLORADO

A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 79–88.

### 2006 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART <sup>a</sup>			Patient Diagnosis	
IVF	100%	<b>Procedural Factors:</b>	Tubal factor	8%
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	Ovulatory dysfunction	11%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	Diminished ovarian reserve	23%
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	Endometriosis	2%
			Uterine factor	<1%
			Male factor	9%
			Other factor	4%
			Unknown factor	15%
			<b>Multiple Factors:</b>	
			Female factors only	10%
			Female & male factors	18%

### 2006 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by Bruce H. Albrecht, MD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman			
	<35	35–37	38–40	41–42 <sup>d</sup>
<b>Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs</b>				
Number of cycles	91	64	42	16
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies <sup>b</sup>	54.9	51.6	47.6	5 / 16
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	46.2	43.8	38.1	3 / 16
(Confidence Interval)	(35.6–56.9)	(31.4–56.7)	(23.6–54.4)	
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	47.2	50.0	44.4	3 / 13
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	51.9	50.9	45.7	3 / 13
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births <sup>b</sup>	33.3	32.7	40.0	3 / 13
Percentage of cancellations <sup>b</sup>	2.2	12.5	14.3	3 / 16
Average number of embryos transferred	2.1	2.5	2.5	2.8
Percentage of pregnancies with twins <sup>b</sup>	38.0	27.3	15.0	0 / 5
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more <sup>b</sup>	0.0	6.1	0.0	0 / 5
Percentage of live births having multiple infants <sup>b,c</sup>	35.7	35.7	2 / 16	0 / 3
<b>Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs</b>				
Number of transfers	30	13	4	2
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	56.7	8 / 13	2 / 4	0 / 2
Average number of embryos transferred	2.0	1.8	2.0	2.0
<b>All Ages Combined<sup>e</sup></b>				
<b>Donor Eggs</b>	<b>Fresh Embryos</b>		<b>Frozen Embryos</b>	
Number of transfers	40		12	
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	70.0		6 / 12	
Average number of embryos transferred	2.0		1.7	

### CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

**Current Name:** Conceptions Reproductive Associates of Colorado

Donor egg?	Yes	Gestational carriers?	Yes	SART member?	Yes
Donor embryo?	No	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	Yes
Single women?	Yes			(See Appendix C for details.)	

<sup>a</sup> Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2006 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.

<sup>b</sup> When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.

<sup>c</sup> A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.

<sup>d</sup> Clinic-specific outcome rates are unreliable for women older than 42 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs. Readers are urged to review national outcomes for these age groups (see page 27).

<sup>e</sup> All ages (including ages >42) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.

## CONNECTICUT FERTILITY ASSOCIATES BRIDGEPORT, CONNECTICUT

A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 79–88.

### 2006 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART <sup>a</sup>			Patient Diagnosis	
IVF	100%	<b>Procedural Factors:</b>	Tubal factor	13%
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	Ovulatory dysfunction	7%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	Diminished ovarian reserve	17%
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	Endometriosis	8%
			Uterine factor	1%
			Male factor	14%
			Other factor	15%
			Unknown factor	16%
			<b>Multiple Factors:</b>	
			Female factors only	5%
			Female & male factors	5%

### 2006 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by Michael B. Doyle, MD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman			
	<35	35–37	38–40	41–42 <sup>d</sup>
<b>Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs</b>				
Number of cycles	89	72	74	24
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies <sup>b</sup>	29.2	25.0	16.2	25.0
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	24.7	19.4	14.9	20.8
(Confidence Interval)	(16.2–35.0)	(11.1–30.5)	(7.7–25.0)	(7.1–42.2)
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	25.3	20.6	15.5	20.8
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	26.2	21.5	17.7	5 / 19
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births <sup>b</sup>	20.2	20.0	14.5	4 / 19
Percentage of cancellations <sup>b</sup>	2.2	5.6	4.1	0.0
Average number of embryos transferred	2.4	2.5	2.7	3.0
Percentage of pregnancies with twins <sup>b</sup>	19.2	1 / 18	2 / 12	1 / 6
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more <sup>b</sup>	3.8	0 / 18	0 / 12	0 / 6
Percentage of live births having multiple infants <sup>b,c</sup>	22.7	1 / 14	2 / 11	1 / 5
<b>Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs</b>				
Number of transfers	26	6	3	1
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	42.3	2 / 6	0 / 3	0 / 1
Average number of embryos transferred	2.4	2.2	2.3	3.0
<b>All Ages Combined<sup>e</sup></b>				
<b>Donor Eggs</b>	<b>Fresh Embryos</b>		<b>Frozen Embryos</b>	
	48		12	
	60.4		4 / 12	
Number of transfers	48		12	
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	60.4		4 / 12	
Average number of embryos transferred	2.6		2.6	

### CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

**Current Name:** Connecticut Fertility Associates

Donor egg?	Yes	Gestational carriers?	Yes	SART member?	Yes
Donor embryo?	No	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	Yes
Single women?	Yes			(See Appendix C for details.)	

<sup>a</sup> Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2006 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.

<sup>b</sup> When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.

<sup>c</sup> A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.

<sup>d</sup> Clinic-specific outcome rates are unreliable for women older than 42 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs. Readers are urged to review national outcomes for these age groups (see page 27).

<sup>e</sup> All ages (including ages >42) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.

# THE CENTER FOR ADVANCED REPRODUCTIVE SERVICES AT THE UNIVERSITY OF CONNECTICUT HEALTH CENTER FARMINGTON, CONNECTICUT

A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 79–88.

## 2006 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART <sup>a</sup>			Patient Diagnosis	
IVF	100%	<b>Procedural Factors:</b>	Tubal factor	14%
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	Ovulatory dysfunction	8%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	Diminished ovarian reserve	2%
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	Endometriosis	14%
			Uterine factor	2%
			Male factor	13%
			Other factor	10%
			Unknown factor	21%
			<b>Multiple Factors:</b>	
			Female factors only	8%
			Female & male factors	9%

## 2006 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by John C. Nulsen, MD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman			
	<35	35–37	38–40	41–42 <sup>d</sup>
<b>Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs</b>				
Number of cycles	448	252	218	110
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies <sup>b</sup>	47.3	42.1	29.8	27.3
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	39.7	37.7	21.1	17.3
(Confidence Interval)	(35.2–44.4)	(31.7–44.0)	(15.9–27.1)	(10.7–25.7)
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	45.4	46.1	27.5	24.1
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	48.1	48.0	29.5	25.0
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births <sup>b</sup>	30.8	35.9	23.1	19.7
Percentage of cancellations <sup>b</sup>	12.5	18.3	23.4	28.2
Average number of embryos transferred	2.0	2.2	2.9	3.6
Percentage of pregnancies with twins <sup>b</sup>	34.4	27.4	23.1	20.0
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more <sup>b</sup>	0.9	4.7	4.6	0.0
Percentage of live births having multiple infants <sup>b,c</sup>	36.0	25.3	21.7	4 / 19
<b>Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs</b>				
Number of transfers	80	51	15	7
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	46.3	41.2	4 / 15	1 / 7
Average number of embryos transferred	2.0	2.1	2.4	3.7
<b>All Ages Combined<sup>e</sup></b>				
<b>Donor Eggs</b>	<b>Fresh Embryos</b>		<b>Frozen Embryos</b>	
	42		19	
	61.9		11 / 19	
Number of transfers	42		19	
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	61.9		11 / 19	
Average number of embryos transferred	2.1		1.9	

## CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

**Current Name:** The Center for Advanced Reproductive Services at the University of Connecticut Health Center

Donor egg?	Yes	Gestational carriers?	Yes	SART member?	Yes
Donor embryo?	No	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	Yes
Single women?	Yes			(See Appendix C for details.)	

<sup>a</sup> Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2006 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.

<sup>b</sup> When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.

<sup>c</sup> A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.

<sup>d</sup> Clinic-specific outcome rates are unreliable for women older than 42 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs. Readers are urged to review national outcomes for these age groups (see page 27).

<sup>e</sup> All ages (including ages >42) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.

## YALE FERTILITY CENTER NEW HAVEN, CONNECTICUT

**A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 79–88.**

### 2006 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART <sup>a</sup>				Patient Diagnosis	
IVF	>99%	<b>Procedural Factors:</b>		Tubal factor	14%
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	41%	Ovulatory dysfunction	3%
ZIFT	<1%	Unstimulated	0%	Diminished ovarian reserve	15%
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	1%	Endometriosis	5%
				Uterine factor	3%
				Male factor	11%
				Other factor	22%
				Unknown factor	8%
				<b>Multiple Factors:</b>	
				Female factors only	8%
				Female & male factors	10%

### 2006 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by Pasquale Patrizio, MD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman			
	<35	35–37	38–40	41–42 <sup>d</sup>
<b>Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs</b>				
Number of cycles	127	77	80	37
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies <sup>b</sup>	47.2	39.0	22.5	21.6
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	39.4	31.2	20.0	16.2
(Confidence Interval)	(30.8–48.4)	(21.1–42.7)	(11.9–30.4)	(6.2–32.0)
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	41.0	33.8	22.5	17.1
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	45.9	39.3	29.6	23.1
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births <sup>b</sup>	28.4	29.5	24.1	19.2
Percentage of cancellations <sup>b</sup>	3.9	7.8	11.3	5.4
Average number of embryos transferred	2.6	2.7	2.9	3.2
Percentage of pregnancies with twins <sup>b</sup>	30.0	23.3	2 / 18	1 / 8
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more <sup>b</sup>	3.3	3.3	1 / 18	0 / 8
Percentage of live births having multiple infants <sup>b,c</sup>	38.0	25.0	3 / 16	1 / 6
<b>Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs</b>				
Number of transfers	11	14	7	1
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	3 / 11	5 / 14	2 / 7	0 / 1
Average number of embryos transferred	2.5	2.9	2.4	4.0
<b>All Ages Combined<sup>e</sup></b>				
<b>Donor Eggs</b>	<b>Fresh Embryos</b>		<b>Frozen Embryos</b>	
	41		21	
	48.8		28.6	
	2.6		2.8	

### CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

**Current Name:** Yale Fertility Center

Donor egg?	Yes	Gestational carriers?	Yes	SART member?	Yes
Donor embryo?	Yes	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	Yes
Single women?	Yes			(See Appendix C for details.)	

<sup>a</sup> Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2006 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.

<sup>b</sup> When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.

<sup>c</sup> A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.

<sup>d</sup> Clinic-specific outcome rates are unreliable for women older than 42 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs. Readers are urged to review national outcomes for these age groups (see page 27).

<sup>e</sup> All ages (including ages >42) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.

## REPRODUCTIVE MEDICINE ASSOCIATES OF CONNECTICUT NORWALK, CONNECTICUT

A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 79–88.

### 2006 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART <sup>a</sup>			Patient Diagnosis	
IVF	100%	<b>Procedural Factors:</b>	Tubal factor	9%
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	Ovulatory dysfunction	16%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	Diminished ovarian reserve	9%
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	Endometriosis	2%
			Uterine factor	1%
			Male factor	13%
			Other factor	6%
			Unknown factor	16%
			<b>Multiple Factors:</b>	
			Female factors only	10%
			Female & male factors	18%

### 2006 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by Mark P. Leondires, MD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman			
	<35	35–37	38–40	41–42 <sup>d</sup>
<b>Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs</b>				
Number of cycles	215	162	130	25
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies <sup>b</sup>	45.6	33.3	29.2	8.0
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	42.3	30.2	24.6	8.0
(Confidence Interval)	(35.6–49.2)	(23.3–37.9)	(17.5–32.9)	(1.0–26.0)
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	47.6	38.6	30.8	2 / 18
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	48.7	38.9	33.0	2 / 14
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births <sup>b</sup>	38.5	31.0	20.6	1 / 14
Percentage of cancellations <sup>b</sup>	11.2	21.6	20.0	28.0
Average number of embryos transferred	2.4	2.6	3.1	3.9
Percentage of pregnancies with twins <sup>b</sup>	24.5	22.2	34.2	2 / 2
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more <sup>b</sup>	6.1	7.4	2.6	0 / 2
Percentage of live births having multiple infants <sup>b,c</sup>	20.9	20.4	37.5	1 / 2
<b>Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs</b>				
Number of transfers	20	5	6	1
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	30.0	1 / 5	0 / 6	0 / 1
Average number of embryos transferred	2.4	2.2	2.7	1.0
<b>All Ages Combined<sup>e</sup></b>				
<b>Donor Eggs</b>	<b>Fresh Embryos</b>		<b>Frozen Embryos</b>	
	20		15	
	50.0		4 / 15	
Average number of embryos transferred	2.4		2.5	

### CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

**Current Name:** Reproductive Medicine Associates of Connecticut

Donor egg?	Yes	Gestational carriers?	Yes	SART member?	Yes
Donor embryo?	Yes	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	Yes
Single women?	Yes			(See Appendix C for details.)	

<sup>a</sup> Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2006 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.

<sup>b</sup> When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.

<sup>c</sup> A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.

<sup>d</sup> Clinic-specific outcome rates are unreliable for women older than 42 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs. Readers are urged to review national outcomes for these age groups (see page 27).

<sup>e</sup> All ages (including ages >42) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.



## NEW ENGLAND FERTILITY INSTITUTE STAMFORD, CONNECTICUT

**A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 79–88.**

### 2006 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART <sup>a</sup>			Patient Diagnosis	
IVF	100%	<b>Procedural Factors:</b>	Tubal factor	10%
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	Ovulatory dysfunction	6%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	Diminished ovarian reserve	31%
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	Endometriosis	3%
			Uterine factor	1%
			Male factor	16%
			Other factor	5%
			Unknown factor	14%
			<b>Multiple Factors:</b>	
			Female factors only	6%
			Female & male factors	7%

### 2006 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by Gad Lavy, MD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman			
	<35	35–37	38–40	41–42 <sup>d</sup>
<b>Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs</b>				
Number of cycles	80	74	85	39
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies <sup>b</sup>	56.3	36.5	20.0	7.7
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	45.0	25.7	12.9	2.6
(Confidence Interval)	(33.8–56.5)	(16.2–37.2)	(6.6–22.0)	(0.1–13.5)
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	45.6	26.4	13.3	3.0
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	46.2	29.7	15.7	3.4
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births <sup>b</sup>	39.7	21.9	14.3	3.4
Percentage of cancellations <sup>b</sup>	1.3	2.7	2.4	15.4
Average number of embryos transferred	2.3	2.6	2.5	2.2
Percentage of pregnancies with twins <sup>b</sup>	17.8	22.2	3 / 17	1 / 3
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more <sup>b</sup>	8.9	3.7	0 / 17	0 / 3
Percentage of live births having multiple infants <sup>b,c</sup>	13.9	5 / 19	1 / 11	0 / 1
<b>Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs</b>				
Number of transfers	29	34	26	6
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	24.1	14.7	7.7	2 / 6
Average number of embryos transferred	2.4	2.6	2.6	2.3
<b>All Ages Combined<sup>e</sup></b>				
<b>Donor Eggs</b>	<b>Fresh Embryos</b>		<b>Frozen Embryos</b>	
	59		43	
	61.0		16.3	
	2.1		2.6	

### CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

**Current Name:** New England Fertility Institute

Donor egg?	Yes	Gestational carriers?	Yes	SART member?	Yes
Donor embryo?	Yes	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	Yes
Single women?	Yes			(See Appendix C for details.)	

<sup>a</sup> Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2006 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.

<sup>b</sup> When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.

<sup>c</sup> A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.

<sup>d</sup> Clinic-specific outcome rates are unreliable for women older than 42 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs. Readers are urged to review national outcomes for these age groups (see page 27).

<sup>e</sup> All ages (including ages >42) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.



## THE STAMFORD HOSPITAL STAMFORD, CONNECTICUT

A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 79–88.

### 2006 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART <sup>a</sup>			Patient Diagnosis	
IVF	100%	<b>Procedural Factors:</b>	Tubal factor	19%
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	Ovulatory dysfunction	16%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	Diminished ovarian reserve	7%
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	Endometriosis	7%
			Uterine factor	0%
			Male factor	7%
			Other factor	3%
			Unknown factor	19%
			<b>Multiple Factors:</b>	
			Female factors only	7%
			Female & male factors	16%

### 2006 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by Frances W. Ginsburg, MD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman			
	<35	35–37	38–40	41–42 <sup>d</sup>
<b>Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs</b>				
Number of cycles	8	10	4	1
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies <sup>b</sup>	2 / 8	2 / 10	1 / 4	0 / 1
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	1 / 8	2 / 10	1 / 4	0 / 1
(Confidence Interval)				
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	1 / 5	2 / 6	1 / 3	0 / 1
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	1 / 5	2 / 6	1 / 3	0 / 1
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births <sup>b</sup>	1 / 5	2 / 6	1 / 3	0 / 1
Percentage of cancellations <sup>b</sup>	3 / 8	4 / 10	1 / 4	0 / 1
Average number of embryos transferred	2.4	2.2	3.0	1.0
Percentage of pregnancies with twins <sup>b</sup>	0 / 2	0 / 2	0 / 1	
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more <sup>b</sup>	0 / 2	0 / 2	0 / 1	
Percentage of live births having multiple infants <sup>b,c</sup>	0 / 1	0 / 2	0 / 1	
<b>Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs</b>				
Number of transfers	4	4	0	0
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	0 / 4	1 / 4		
Average number of embryos transferred	1.8	2.8		
<b>All Ages Combined<sup>e</sup></b>				
<b>Donor Eggs</b>		<b>Fresh Embryos</b>	<b>Frozen Embryos</b>	
Number of transfers		0	0	
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>				
Average number of embryos transferred				

### CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

**Current Name:** The Stamford Hospital

Donor egg?	No	Gestational carriers?	No	SART member?	Yes
Donor embryo?	No	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	Yes
Single women?	Yes			(See Appendix C for details.)	

<sup>a</sup> Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2006 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.

<sup>b</sup> When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.

<sup>c</sup> A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.

<sup>d</sup> Clinic-specific outcome rates are unreliable for women older than 42 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs. Readers are urged to review national outcomes for these age groups (see page 27).

<sup>e</sup> All ages (including ages >42) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.

## PARK AVENUE FERTILITY AND REPRODUCTIVE MEDICINE TRUMBULL, CONNECTICUT

A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 79–88.

### 2006 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART <sup>a</sup>			Patient Diagnosis	
IVF	100%	<b>Procedural Factors:</b>	Tubal factor	7%
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	Ovulatory dysfunction	7%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	Diminished ovarian reserve	35%
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	Endometriosis	3%
			Uterine factor	2%
			Male factor	17%
			Other factor	4%
			Unknown factor	23%
			<b>Multiple Factors:</b>	
			Female factors only	<1%
			Female & male factors	2%

### 2006 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by Andrew Levi, MD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman			
	<35	35–37	38–40	41–42 <sup>d</sup>
<b>Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs</b>				
Number of cycles	42	43	23	10
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies <sup>b</sup>	50.0	27.9	26.1	0 / 10
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	42.9	16.3	17.4	0 / 10
(Confidence Interval)	(27.7–59.0)	(6.8–30.7)	(5.0–38.8)	
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	45.0	16.7	18.2	0 / 9
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	48.6	17.5	20.0	0 / 4
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births <sup>b</sup>	35.1	12.5	15.0	0 / 4
Percentage of cancellations <sup>b</sup>	4.8	2.3	4.3	1 / 10
Average number of embryos transferred	2.0	2.2	2.7	3.5
Percentage of pregnancies with twins <sup>b</sup>	38.1	3 / 12	1 / 6	
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more <sup>b</sup>	0.0	0 / 12	0 / 6	
Percentage of live births having multiple infants <sup>b,c</sup>	5 / 18	2 / 7	1 / 4	
<b>Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs</b>				
Number of transfers	4	1	0	0
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	3 / 4	1 / 1		
Average number of embryos transferred	2.8	3.0		
<b>All Ages Combined<sup>e</sup></b>				
<b>Donor Eggs</b>	<b>Fresh Embryos</b>		<b>Frozen Embryos</b>	
	5		0	
	5 / 5			
Average number of embryos transferred	2.2			

### CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

**Current Name:** Park Avenue Fertility and Reproductive Medicine

Donor egg?	Yes	Gestational carriers?	Yes	SART member?	No
Donor embryo?	No	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	No
Single women?	Yes			(See Appendix C for details.)	

<sup>a</sup> Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2006 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.

<sup>b</sup> When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.

<sup>c</sup> A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.

<sup>d</sup> Clinic-specific outcome rates are unreliable for women older than 42 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs. Readers are urged to review national outcomes for these age groups (see page 27).

<sup>e</sup> All ages (including ages >42) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.

## REPRODUCTIVE ASSOCIATES OF DELAWARE NEWARK, DELAWARE

A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 79–88.

### 2006 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART <sup>a</sup>				Patient Diagnosis			
IVF	100%	<b>Procedural Factors:</b>		Tubal factor	9%	Other factor	5%
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	81%	Ovulatory dysfunction	3%	Unknown factor	3%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	0%	Diminished ovarian reserve	5%	<b>Multiple Factors:</b>	
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	0%	Endometriosis	19%	Female factors only	22%
				Uterine factor	1%	Female & male factors	21%
				Male factor	12%		

### 2006 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by Ronald F. Feinberg, MD, PhD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman			
	<35	35–37	38–40	41–42 <sup>d</sup>
<b>Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs</b>				
Number of cycles	94	63	37	9
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies <sup>b</sup>	45.7	38.1	35.1	0 / 9
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	39.4	31.7	21.6	0 / 9
(Confidence Interval)	(29.4–50.0)	(20.6–44.7)	(9.8–38.2)	
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	44.6	40.0	30.8	0 / 6
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	51.4	42.6	30.8	0 / 6
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births <sup>b</sup>	40.3	34.0	26.9	0 / 6
Percentage of cancellations <sup>b</sup>	11.7	20.6	29.7	3 / 9
Average number of embryos transferred	1.8	2.0	2.3	2.3
Percentage of pregnancies with twins <sup>b</sup>	16.3	16.7	1 / 13	
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more <sup>b</sup>	7.0	0.0	1 / 13	
Percentage of live births having multiple infants <sup>b,c</sup>	21.6	20.0	1 / 8	
<b>Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs</b>				
Number of transfers	30	20	15	1
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	53.3	30.0	6 / 15	1 / 1
Average number of embryos transferred	1.8	1.9	1.8	2.0
<b>All Ages Combined<sup>e</sup></b>				
<b>Donor Eggs</b>	<b>Fresh Embryos</b>		<b>Frozen Embryos</b>	
	8		7	
	7 / 8		4 / 7	
	1.8		1.4	

### CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

**Current Name:** Reproductive Associates of Delaware

Donor egg?	Yes	Gestational carriers?	Yes	SART member?	Yes
Donor embryo?	Yes	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	Yes
Single women?	Yes			(See Appendix C for details.)	

<sup>a</sup> Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2006 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.

<sup>b</sup> When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.

<sup>c</sup> A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.

<sup>d</sup> Clinic-specific outcome rates are unreliable for women older than 42 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs. Readers are urged to review national outcomes for these age groups (see page 27).

<sup>e</sup> All ages (including ages >42) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.

**THE A.R.T. INSTITUTE OF WASHINGTON, INC.  
WALTER REED ARMY MEDICAL CENTER  
WASHINGTON, DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA**

**A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 79–88.**

**2006 ART CYCLE PROFILE**

Type of ART <sup>a</sup>				Patient Diagnosis			
IVF	100%	<b>Procedural Factors:</b>		Tubal factor	22%	Other factor	1%
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	51%	Ovulatory dysfunction	6%	Unknown factor	8%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	<1%	Diminished ovarian reserve	6%	<i>Multiple Factors:</i>	
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	0%	Endometriosis	5%	Female factors only	13%
				Uterine factor	<1%	Female & male factors	13%
				Male factor	25%		

**2006 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES**

Data verified by James Segars, MD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman			
	<35	35–37	38–40	41–42 <sup>d</sup>
Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs				
Number of cycles	204	115	89	34
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies <sup>b</sup>	55.9	44.3	33.7	29.4
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	47.1	36.5	18.0	17.6
(Confidence Interval)	(40.1–54.2)	(27.7–46.0)	(10.6–27.5)	(6.8–34.5)
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	49.7	40.4	21.9	18.8
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	51.9	42.0	22.2	18.8
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births <sup>b</sup>	30.8	32.0	19.4	15.6
Percentage of cancellations <sup>b</sup>	5.4	9.6	18.0	5.9
Average number of embryos transferred	2.0	2.2	2.8	3.3
Percentage of pregnancies with twins <sup>b</sup>	39.5	27.5	10.0	2 / 10
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more <sup>b</sup>	2.6	3.9	3.3	0 / 10
Percentage of live births having multiple infants <sup>b,c</sup>	40.6	23.8	2 / 16	1 / 6
Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs				
Number of transfers	14	9	7	1
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	5 / 14	3 / 9	3 / 7	0 / 1
Average number of embryos transferred	1.9	2.1	2.3	2.0
All Ages Combined <sup>e</sup>				
Donor Eggs	Fresh Embryos		Frozen Embryos	
Number of transfers	0		0	
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>				
Average number of embryos transferred				

**CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE**

**Current Name:** The A.R.T. Institute of Washington, Inc., Walter Reed Army Medical Center

Donor egg?	No	Gestational carriers?	No	SART member?	Yes
Donor embryo?	No	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	Yes
Single women?	Yes			(See Appendix C for details.)	

<sup>a</sup> Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2006 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.

<sup>b</sup> When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.

<sup>c</sup> A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.

<sup>d</sup> Clinic-specific outcome rates are unreliable for women older than 42 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs. Readers are urged to review national outcomes for these age groups (see page 27).

<sup>e</sup> All ages (including ages >42) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.

# COLUMBIA FERTILITY ASSOCIATES WASHINGTON, DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA

A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 79–88.

## 2006 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART <sup>a</sup>			Patient Diagnosis	
IVF	100%	<b>Procedural Factors:</b>	Tubal factor	4%
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	Ovulatory dysfunction	4%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	Diminished ovarian reserve	20%
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	Endometriosis	3%
			Uterine factor	5%
			Male factor	13%
			Other factor	10%
			Unknown factor	15%
			<b>Multiple Factors:</b>	
			Female factors only	9%
			Female & male factors	18%

## 2006 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by Safa Rifka, MD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman			
	<35	35–37	38–40	41–42 <sup>d</sup>
<b>Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs</b>				
Number of cycles	87	78	77	59
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies <sup>b</sup>	40.2	34.6	36.4	18.6
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	36.8	33.3	29.9	15.3
(Confidence Interval)	(26.7–47.8)	(23.1–44.9)	(20.0–41.4)	(7.2–27.0)
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	38.6	36.6	33.3	18.0
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	39.5	38.2	35.4	20.5
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births <sup>b</sup>	27.2	16.2	30.8	18.2
Percentage of cancellations <sup>b</sup>	4.6	9.0	10.4	15.3
Average number of embryos transferred	2.6	2.9	2.8	3.5
Percentage of pregnancies with twins <sup>b</sup>	28.6	40.7	10.7	1 / 11
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more <sup>b</sup>	5.7	14.8	7.1	0 / 11
Percentage of live births having multiple infants <sup>b,c</sup>	31.3	57.7	13.0	1 / 9
<b>Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs</b>				
Number of transfers	20	15	15	5
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	30.0	3 / 15	9 / 15	0 / 5
Average number of embryos transferred	2.5	2.2	2.5	2.4
<b>All Ages Combined<sup>e</sup></b>				
<b>Donor Eggs</b>	<b>Fresh Embryos</b>		<b>Frozen Embryos</b>	
	36		9	
	63.9		0 / 9	
	2.5		2.3	

## CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

**Current Name:** Columbia Fertility Associates

Donor egg?	Yes	Gestational carriers?	Yes	SART member?	Yes
Donor embryo?	Yes	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	Yes
Single women?	Yes			(See Appendix C for details.)	

<sup>a</sup> Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2006 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.

<sup>b</sup> When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.

<sup>c</sup> A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.

<sup>d</sup> Clinic-specific outcome rates are unreliable for women older than 42 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs. Readers are urged to review national outcomes for these age groups (see page 27).

<sup>e</sup> All ages (including ages >42) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.

## THE GEORGE WASHINGTON UNIVERSITY MEDICAL FACULTY ASSOCIATES WASHINGTON, DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA

A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 79–88.

### 2006 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART <sup>a</sup>			Patient Diagnosis	
IVF	100%	<b>Procedural Factors:</b>	Tubal factor	10%
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	Ovulatory dysfunction	2%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	Diminished ovarian reserve	12%
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	Endometriosis	1%
			Uterine factor	1%
			Male factor	13%
			Other factor	1%
			Unknown factor	54%
			<b>Multiple Factors:</b>	
			Female factors only	1%
			Female & male factors	5%

### 2006 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by Paul R. Gindoff, MD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman			
	<35	35–37	38–40	41–42 <sup>d</sup>
<b>Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs</b>				
Number of cycles	55	64	65	41
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies <sup>b</sup>	34.5	18.8	26.2	9.8
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	29.1	15.6	24.6	7.3
(Confidence Interval)	(17.6–42.9)	(7.8–26.9)	(14.8–36.9)	(1.5–19.9)
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	30.2	17.2	26.2	7.9
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	32.0	18.2	30.8	9.1
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births <sup>b</sup>	28.0	14.5	28.8	6.1
Percentage of cancellations <sup>b</sup>	3.6	9.4	6.2	7.3
Average number of embryos transferred	2.2	2.7	2.7	3.2
Percentage of pregnancies with twins <sup>b</sup>	3 / 19	4 / 12	3 / 17	1 / 4
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more <sup>b</sup>	0 / 19	0 / 12	0 / 17	0 / 4
Percentage of live births having multiple infants <sup>b,c</sup>	2 / 16	2 / 10	1 / 16	1 / 3
<b>Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs</b>				
Number of transfers	17	15	9	4
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	4 / 17	4 / 15	0 / 9	1 / 4
Average number of embryos transferred	2.5	3.0	2.9	3.0
<b>All Ages Combined<sup>e</sup></b>				
<b>Donor Eggs</b>	<b>Fresh Embryos</b>		<b>Frozen Embryos</b>	
Number of transfers	15		11	
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	4 / 15		2 / 11	
Average number of embryos transferred	2.4		2.9	

### CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

**Current Name:** The George Washington University Medical Faculty Associates

Donor egg?	Yes	Gestational carriers?	Yes	SART member?	Yes
Donor embryo?	No	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	Yes
Single women?	Yes			(See Appendix C for details.)	

<sup>a</sup> Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2006 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.

<sup>b</sup> When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.

<sup>c</sup> A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.

<sup>d</sup> Clinic-specific outcome rates are unreliable for women older than 42 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs. Readers are urged to review national outcomes for these age groups (see page 27).

<sup>e</sup> All ages (including ages >42) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.



# JAMES A. SIMON, MD, PC WASHINGTON, DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA

A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 79–88.

## 2006 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART <sup>a</sup>				Patient Diagnosis			
IVF	100%	<b>Procedural Factors:</b>		Tubal factor	8%	Other factor	15%
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	0%	Ovulatory dysfunction	8%	Unknown factor	0%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	0%	Diminished ovarian reserve	0%	<i>Multiple Factors:</i>	
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	0%	Endometriosis	23%	Female factors only	15%
				Uterine factor	8%	Female & male factors	23%
				Male factor	0%		

## 2006 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by James A. Simon, MD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman			
	<35	35–37	38–40	41–42 <sup>d</sup>
<b>Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs</b>				
Number of cycles	3	5	1	0
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies <sup>b</sup>	0 / 3	1 / 5	0 / 1	
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	0 / 3	1 / 5	0 / 1	
(Confidence Interval)				
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	0 / 3	1 / 3	0 / 1	
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	0 / 2	1 / 2		
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births <sup>b</sup>	0 / 2	0 / 2		
Percentage of cancellations <sup>b</sup>	0 / 3	2 / 5	0 / 1	
Average number of embryos transferred	2.5	2.0		
Percentage of pregnancies with twins <sup>b</sup>		1 / 1		
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more <sup>b</sup>		0 / 1		
Percentage of live births having multiple infants <sup>b,c</sup>		1 / 1		
<b>Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs</b>				
Number of transfers	2	0	2	0
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	0 / 2		1 / 2	
Average number of embryos transferred	4.0		3.5	
<b>All Ages Combined<sup>e</sup></b>				
<b>Donor Eggs</b>	<b>Fresh Embryos</b>		<b>Frozen Embryos</b>	
Number of transfers	0		0	
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>				
Average number of embryos transferred				

## CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

**Current Name:** James A. Simon, MD, PC

Donor egg?	Yes	Gestational carriers?	No	SART member?	Yes
Donor embryo?	No	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	Yes
Single women?	Yes			(See Appendix C for details.)	

<sup>a</sup> Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2006 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.

<sup>b</sup> When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.

<sup>c</sup> A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.

<sup>d</sup> Clinic-specific outcome rates are unreliable for women older than 42 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs. Readers are urged to review national outcomes for these age groups (see page 27).

<sup>e</sup> All ages (including ages >42) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.



## BOCAFERTILITY BOCA RATON, FLORIDA

A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 79–88.

### 2006 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART <sup>a</sup>				Patient Diagnosis	
IVF	100%	<b>Procedural Factors:</b>		Tubal factor	17%
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	31%	Ovulatory dysfunction	5%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	0%	Diminished ovarian reserve	26%
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	2%	Endometriosis	3%
				Uterine factor	<1%
				Male factor	17%
				Other factor	3%
				Unknown factor	13%
				<b>Multiple Factors:</b>	
				Female factors only	9%
				Female & male factors	8%

### 2006 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by Maurice (Moshe) R. Peress, MD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman			
	<35	35–37	38–40	41–42 <sup>d</sup>
<b>Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs</b>				
Number of cycles	38	23	7	16
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies <sup>b</sup>	44.7	34.8	4 / 7	4 / 16
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	36.8	26.1	4 / 7	2 / 16
(Confidence Interval)	(21.8–54.0)	(10.2–48.4)		
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	38.9	28.6	4 / 6	2 / 14
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	40.0	28.6	4 / 6	2 / 13
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births <sup>b</sup>	17.1	14.3	4 / 6	2 / 13
Percentage of cancellations <sup>b</sup>	5.3	8.7	1 / 7	2 / 16
Average number of embryos transferred	2.3	2.4	2.7	2.6
Percentage of pregnancies with twins <sup>b</sup>	9 / 17	3 / 8	1 / 4	0 / 4
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more <sup>b</sup>	0 / 17	0 / 8	0 / 4	0 / 4
Percentage of live births having multiple infants <sup>b,c</sup>	8 / 14	3 / 6	0 / 4	0 / 2
<b>Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs</b>				
Number of transfers	6	1	1	2
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	1 / 6	0 / 1	0 / 1	0 / 2
Average number of embryos transferred	2.2	3.0	2.0	1.0
<b>All Ages Combined<sup>e</sup></b>				
<b>Donor Eggs</b>	<b>Fresh Embryos</b>		<b>Frozen Embryos</b>	
	14		4	
	8 / 14		0 / 4	
	2.3		2.5	

### CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

**Current Name:** BocaFertility

Donor egg?	Yes	Gestational carriers?	Yes	SART member?	Yes
Donor embryo?	Yes	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	Yes
Single women?	Yes			(See Appendix C for details.)	

<sup>a</sup> Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2006 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.

<sup>b</sup> When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.

<sup>c</sup> A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.

<sup>d</sup> Clinic-specific outcome rates are unreliable for women older than 42 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs. Readers are urged to review national outcomes for these age groups (see page 27).

<sup>e</sup> All ages (including ages >42) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.

## ADVANCED REPRODUCTIVE CARE CENTER, PA BOYNTON BEACH, FLORIDA

A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 79–88.

### 2006 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART <sup>a</sup>				Patient Diagnosis			
IVF	100%	<b>Procedural Factors:</b>		Tubal factor	9%	Other factor	2%
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	72%	Ovulatory dysfunction	4%	Unknown factor	4%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	0%	Diminished ovarian reserve	11%	<i>Multiple Factors:</i>	
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	2%	Endometriosis	2%	Female factors only	7%
				Uterine factor	2%	Female & male factors	28%
				Male factor	33%		

### 2006 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by Tibor Polcz, MD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman			
	<35	35–37	38–40	41–42 <sup>d</sup>
<b>Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs</b>				
Number of cycles	15	14	13	5
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies <sup>b</sup>	10 / 15	10 / 14	7 / 13	1 / 5
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup> (Confidence Interval)	10 / 15	7 / 14	7 / 13	1 / 5
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	10 / 14	7 / 13	7 / 13	1 / 4
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	10 / 14	7 / 13	7 / 13	1 / 4
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births <sup>b</sup>	2 / 14	5 / 13	6 / 13	1 / 4
Percentage of cancellations <sup>b</sup>	1 / 15	1 / 14	0 / 13	1 / 5
Average number of embryos transferred	2.7	3.0	3.2	4.0
Percentage of pregnancies with twins <sup>b</sup>	8 / 10	4 / 10	2 / 7	0 / 1
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more <sup>b</sup>	1 / 10	0 / 10	0 / 7	0 / 1
Percentage of live births having multiple infants <sup>b,c</sup>	8 / 10	2 / 7	1 / 7	0 / 1
<b>Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs</b>				
Number of transfers	4	1	1	0
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	0 / 4	0 / 1	0 / 1	
Average number of embryos transferred	2.5	4.0	3.0	
<b>All Ages Combined<sup>e</sup></b>				
<b>Donor Eggs</b>	<b>Fresh Embryos</b>		<b>Frozen Embryos</b>	
Number of transfers	1		0	
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	0 / 1			
Average number of embryos transferred	3.0			

### CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

**Current Name:** Advanced Reproductive Care Center, PA

Donor egg?	Yes	Gestational carriers?	Yes	SART member?	Yes
Donor embryo?	Yes	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	Yes
Single women?	Yes			(See Appendix C for details.)	

<sup>a</sup> Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2006 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.

<sup>b</sup> When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.

<sup>c</sup> A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.

<sup>d</sup> Clinic-specific outcome rates are unreliable for women older than 42 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs. Readers are urged to review national outcomes for these age groups (see page 27).

<sup>e</sup> All ages (including ages >42) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.

## FLORIDA

2006 ART Cycle Profile							
Type of ART <sup>a</sup>				Patient Diagnosis			
IVF	100%	Procedural Factors:		Tubal factor	4%	Other factor	1%
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	84%	Ovulatory dysfunction	3%	Unknown factor	2%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	0%	Diminished ovarian reserve	7%	Multiple Factors:	
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	<1%	Endometriosis	2%	Female factors only	18%
				Uterine factor	0%	Female & male factors	49%
				Male factor	13%		
2006 Pregnancy Success Rates				Data verified by Mark Sanchez, MD			
Type of Cycle		Age of Woman					
		<35	35–37	38–40	41–42 <sup>d</sup>		
Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs							
Number of cycles		52	48	25	9		
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies <sup>b</sup>		42.3	29.2	48.0	2 / 9		
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>		36.5	20.8	36.0	1 / 9		
(Confidence Interval)		(23.6–51.0)	(10.5–35.0)	(18.0–57.5)			
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>		37.3	23.3	37.5	1 / 9		
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>		38.8	25.6	45.0	1 / 7		
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births <sup>b</sup>		28.6	12.8	40.0	1 / 7		
Percentage of cancellations <sup>b</sup>		1.9	10.4	4.0	0 / 9		
Average number of embryos transferred		2.5	2.6	2.6	2.4		
Percentage of pregnancies with twins <sup>b</sup>		18.2	6 / 14	2 / 12	0 / 2		
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more <sup>b</sup>		13.6	1 / 14	0 / 12	0 / 2		
Percentage of live births having multiple infants <sup>b,c</sup>		5 / 19	5 / 10	1 / 9	0 / 1		
Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs							
Number of transfers		1	2	2	0		
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>		0 / 1	0 / 2	0 / 2			
Average number of embryos transferred		4.0	3.5	2.0			
		All Ages Combined <sup>e</sup>					
Donor Eggs		Fresh Embryos		Frozen Embryos			
Number of transfers		37		3			
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>		48.6		1 / 3			
Average number of embryos transferred		2.8		2.0			
Current Clinic Services and Profile							
Current Name: Florida Fertility Institute							
Donor egg?	Yes	Gestational carriers?	Yes	SART member?	No		
Donor embryo?	No	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	Yes		
Single women?	Yes			(See Appendix C for details.)			

<sup>e</sup> All ages (including ages >42) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.

# INFERTILITY AND REPRODUCTIVE MEDICINE OF SOUTH BROWARD

KENNETH M. GELMAN, MD

COOPER CITY, FLORIDA

A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 79–88.

## 2006 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART <sup>a</sup>				Patient Diagnosis	
IVF	100%	<b>Procedural Factors:</b>		Tubal factor	14%
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	90%	Ovulatory dysfunction	19%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	0%	Diminished ovarian reserve	5%
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	0%	Endometriosis	1%
				Uterine factor	0%
				Male factor	11%
				Other factor	6%
				Unknown factor	4%
				<b>Multiple Factors:</b>	
				Female factors only	14%
				Female & male factors	26%

## 2006 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by Kenneth M. Gelman, MD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman			
	<35	35–37	38–40	41–42 <sup>d</sup>
<b>Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs</b>				
Number of cycles	33	22	15	5
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies <sup>b</sup>	51.5	22.7	6 / 15	0 / 5
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	45.5	18.2	3 / 15	0 / 5
(Confidence Interval)	(28.1–63.6)	(5.2–40.3)		
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	46.9	4 / 19	3 / 14	0 / 2
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	46.9	4 / 19	3 / 12	0 / 2
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births <sup>b</sup>	28.1	2 / 19	2 / 12	0 / 2
Percentage of cancellations <sup>b</sup>	3.0	13.6	1 / 15	3 / 5
Average number of embryos transferred	3.0	2.9	3.6	2.5
Percentage of pregnancies with twins <sup>b</sup>	7 / 17	1 / 5	1 / 6	
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more <sup>b</sup>	0 / 17	2 / 5	0 / 6	
Percentage of live births having multiple infants <sup>b,c</sup>	6 / 15	2 / 4	1 / 3	
<b>Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs</b>				
Number of transfers	3	0	0	0
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	2 / 3			
Average number of embryos transferred	1.7			
<b>All Ages Combined<sup>e</sup></b>				
<b>Donor Eggs</b>	<b>Fresh Embryos</b>		<b>Frozen Embryos</b>	
	0		0	
Number of transfers				
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>				
Average number of embryos transferred				

## CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

**Current Name:** Infertility and Reproductive Medicine of South Broward, Kenneth M. Gelman, MD

Donor egg?	No	Gestational carriers?	No	SART member?	No
Donor embryo?	No	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	Pending
Single women?	Yes			(See Appendix C for details.)	

<sup>a</sup> Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2006 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.

<sup>b</sup> When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.

<sup>c</sup> A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.

<sup>d</sup> Clinic-specific outcome rates are unreliable for women older than 42 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs. Readers are urged to review national outcomes for these age groups (see page 27).

<sup>e</sup> All ages (including ages >42) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.

## SOUTHWEST FLORIDA FERTILITY CENTER, PA FORT MYERS, FLORIDA

**A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 79–88.**

### 2006 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART <sup>a</sup>				Patient Diagnosis			
IVF	100%	<b>Procedural Factors:</b>		Tubal factor	3%	Other factor	16%
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	25%	Ovulatory dysfunction	0%	Unknown factor	8%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	0%	Diminished ovarian reserve	3%	<b>Multiple Factors:</b>	
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	0%	Endometriosis	0%	Female factors only	14%
				Uterine factor	0%	Female & male factors	27%
				Male factor	30%		

### 2006 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by Jacob L. Glock, MD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman			
	<35	35–37	38–40	41–42 <sup>d</sup>
<b>Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs</b>				
Number of cycles	14	6	4	5
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies <sup>b</sup>	6 / 14	0 / 6	0 / 4	0 / 5
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup> (Confidence Interval)	5 / 14	0 / 6	0 / 4	0 / 5
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	5 / 13	0 / 6	0 / 4	0 / 5
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	5 / 12	0 / 5	0 / 3	0 / 3
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births <sup>b</sup>	2 / 12	0 / 5	0 / 3	0 / 3
Percentage of cancellations <sup>b</sup>	1 / 14	0 / 6	0 / 4	0 / 5
Average number of embryos transferred	2.8	2.0	2.7	2.7
Percentage of pregnancies with twins <sup>b</sup>	2 / 6			
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more <sup>b</sup>	1 / 6			
Percentage of live births having multiple infants <sup>b,c</sup>	3 / 5			
<b>Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs</b>				
Number of transfers	1	0	0	0
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	0 / 1			
Average number of embryos transferred	1.0			
<b>All Ages Combined<sup>e</sup></b>				
<b>Donor Eggs</b>	<b>Fresh Embryos</b>		<b>Frozen Embryos</b>	
	4		0	
	1 / 4			
	2.8			

### CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

**Current Name:** Southwest Florida Fertility Center, PA

Donor egg?	Yes	Gestational carriers?	Yes	SART member?	Yes
Donor embryo?	No	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	Yes
Single women?	Yes			(See Appendix C for details.)	

<sup>a</sup> Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2006 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.

<sup>b</sup> When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.

<sup>c</sup> A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.

<sup>d</sup> Clinic-specific outcome rates are unreliable for women older than 42 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs. Readers are urged to review national outcomes for these age groups (see page 27).

<sup>e</sup> All ages (including ages >42) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.

# SPECIALISTS IN REPRODUCTIVE MEDICINE & SURGERY, PA

**CRAIG R. SWEET, MD**  
**FORT MYERS, FLORIDA**

A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 79–88.

## 2006 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART <sup>a</sup>			Patient Diagnosis	
IVF	100%	<b>Procedural Factors:</b>	Tubal factor	9%
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	Ovulatory dysfunction	6%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	Diminished ovarian reserve	14%
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	Endometriosis	8%
			Uterine factor	3%
			Male factor	20%
			Other factor	9%
			Unknown factor	2%
			<b>Multiple Factors:</b>	
			Female factors only	5%
			Female & male factors	25%

## 2006 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by Craig R. Sweet, MD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman			
	<35	35–37	38–40	41–42 <sup>d</sup>
<b>Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs</b>				
Number of cycles	41	20	21	3
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies <sup>b</sup>	43.9	50.0	23.8	0 / 3
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	41.5	45.0	14.3	0 / 3
(Confidence Interval)	(26.3–57.9)	(23.1–68.5)	(3.0–36.3)	
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	47.2	9 / 18	3 / 16	0 / 2
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	53.1	9 / 13	3 / 12	
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births <sup>b</sup>	28.1	3 / 13	3 / 12	
Percentage of cancellations <sup>b</sup>	12.2	10.0	23.8	1 / 3
Average number of embryos transferred	2.0	2.7	2.7	
Percentage of pregnancies with twins <sup>b</sup>	9 / 18	5 / 10	2 / 5	
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more <sup>b</sup>	4 / 18	1 / 10	0 / 5	
Percentage of live births having multiple infants <sup>b,c</sup>	8 / 17	6 / 9	0 / 3	
<b>Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs</b>				
Number of transfers	3	2	1	0
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	3 / 3	1 / 2	0 / 1	
Average number of embryos transferred	2.3	2.5	2.0	
<b>All Ages Combined<sup>e</sup></b>				
<b>Donor Eggs</b>	<b>Fresh Embryos</b>		<b>Frozen Embryos</b>	
	16		5	
	10 / 16		2 / 5	
	1.9		2.6	

## CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

**Current Name:** Specialists in Reproductive Medicine & Surgery, PA, Craig R. Sweet, MD

Donor egg?	Yes	Gestational carriers?	Yes	SART member?	Yes
Donor embryo?	Yes	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	Yes
Single women?	Yes			(See Appendix C for details.)	

<sup>a</sup> Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2006 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.

<sup>b</sup> When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.

<sup>c</sup> A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.

<sup>d</sup> Clinic-specific outcome rates are unreliable for women older than 42 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs. Readers are urged to review national outcomes for these age groups (see page 27).

<sup>e</sup> All ages (including ages >42) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.



# UNIVERSITY OF FLORIDA WOMEN'S HEALTH AT MAGNOLIA PARKE GAINESVILLE, FLORIDA

**A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 79–88.**

## 2006 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART <sup>a</sup>				Patient Diagnosis			
IVF	100%	<b>Procedural Factors:</b>		Tubal factor	9%	Other factor	13%
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	40%	Ovulatory dysfunction	<1%	Unknown factor	2%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	0%	Diminished ovarian reserve	4%	<b>Multiple Factors:</b>	
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	2%	Endometriosis	11%	Female factors only	28%
				Uterine factor	<1%	Female & male factors	15%
				Male factor	17%		

## 2006 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by R. Stan Williams, MD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman			
	<35	35–37	38–40	41–42 <sup>d</sup>
<b>Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs</b>				
Number of cycles	46	19	11	8
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies <sup>b</sup>	37.0	4 / 19	4 / 11	1 / 8
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	26.1	3 / 19	2 / 11	0 / 8
(Confidence Interval)	(14.3–41.1)			
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	30.0	3 / 18	2 / 11	0 / 8
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	32.4	3 / 15	2 / 11	0 / 7
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births <sup>b</sup>	21.6	2 / 15	2 / 11	0 / 7
Percentage of cancellations <sup>b</sup>	13.0	1 / 19	0 / 11	0 / 8
Average number of embryos transferred	1.9	2.5	2.2	2.4
Percentage of pregnancies with twins <sup>b</sup>	5 / 17	2 / 4	0 / 4	0 / 1
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more <sup>b</sup>	0 / 17	0 / 4	0 / 4	0 / 1
Percentage of live births having multiple infants <sup>b,c</sup>	4 / 12	1 / 3	0 / 2	
<b>Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs</b>				
Number of transfers	2	0	2	0
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	0 / 2		0 / 2	
Average number of embryos transferred	1.5		1.5	
<b>All Ages Combined<sup>e</sup></b>				
<b>Donor Eggs</b>	<b>Fresh Embryos</b>		<b>Frozen Embryos</b>	
	10		0	
	2 / 10			
	2.0			

## CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

**Current Name:** University of Florida Women's Health at Magnolia Parke

Donor egg?	Yes	Gestational carriers?	Yes	SART member?	Yes
Donor embryo?	Yes	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	Yes
Single women?	No			(See Appendix C for details.)	

<sup>a</sup> Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2006 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.

<sup>b</sup> When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.

<sup>c</sup> A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.

<sup>d</sup> Clinic-specific outcome rates are unreliable for women older than 42 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs. Readers are urged to review national outcomes for these age groups (see page 27).

<sup>e</sup> All ages (including ages >42) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.



## ASSISTED FERTILITY PROGRAM OF NORTH FLORIDA JACKSONVILLE, FLORIDA

A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 79–88.

### 2006 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART <sup>a</sup>				Patient Diagnosis			
IVF	96%	<b>Procedural Factors:</b>		Tubal factor	20%	Other factor	0%
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	12%	Ovulatory dysfunction	0%	Unknown factor	0%
ZIFT	4%	Unstimulated	0%	Diminished ovarian reserve	35%	<b>Multiple Factors:</b>	
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	4%	Endometriosis	11%	Female factors only	11%
				Uterine factor	2%	Female & male factors	13%
				Male factor	9%		

### 2006 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by Marwan M. Shaykh, MD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman			
	<35	35–37	38–40	41–42 <sup>d</sup>
<b>Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs</b>				
Number of cycles	17	4	4	1
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies <sup>b</sup>	3 / 17	0 / 4	1 / 4	0 / 1
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup> (Confidence Interval)	3 / 17	0 / 4	1 / 4	0 / 1
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	3 / 15	0 / 4	1 / 3	0 / 1
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	3 / 15	0 / 4	1 / 3	0 / 1
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births <sup>b</sup>	2 / 15	0 / 4	0 / 3	0 / 1
Percentage of cancellations <sup>b</sup>	2 / 17	0 / 4	1 / 4	0 / 1
Average number of embryos transferred	3.1	3.0	3.3	2.0
Percentage of pregnancies with twins <sup>b</sup>	1 / 3		0 / 1	
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more <sup>b</sup>	0 / 3		1 / 1	
Percentage of live births having multiple infants <sup>b,c</sup>	1 / 3		1 / 1	
<b>Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs</b>				
Number of transfers	1	1	0	0
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	0 / 1	0 / 1		
Average number of embryos transferred	3.0	2.0		
<b>All Ages Combined<sup>e</sup></b>				
<b>Donor Eggs</b>	<b>Fresh Embryos</b>		<b>Frozen Embryos</b>	
	10		4	
	3 / 10		0 / 4	
	3.4		2.0	

### CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

**Current Name:** Assisted Fertility Program of North Florida

Donor egg?	Yes	Gestational carriers?	Yes	SART member?	Yes
Donor embryo?	Yes	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	Pending
Single women?	Yes			(See Appendix C for details.)	

<sup>a</sup> Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2006 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.

<sup>b</sup> When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.

<sup>c</sup> A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.

<sup>d</sup> Clinic-specific outcome rates are unreliable for women older than 42 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs. Readers are urged to review national outcomes for these age groups (see page 27).

<sup>e</sup> All ages (including ages >42) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.

# FLORIDA INSTITUTE FOR REPRODUCTIVE MEDICINE JACKSONVILLE, FLORIDA

A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 79–88.

## 2006 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART <sup>a</sup>				Patient Diagnosis			
IVF	100%	<b>Procedural Factors:</b>		Tubal factor	6%	Other factor	3%
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	74%	Ovulatory dysfunction	3%	Unknown factor	5%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	0%	Diminished ovarian reserve	7%	<b>Multiple Factors:</b>	
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	<1%	Endometriosis	5%	Female factors only	8%
				Uterine factor	<1%	Female & male factors	36%
				Male factor	26%		

## 2006 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by Kevin L. Winslow, MD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman			
	<35	35–37	38–40	41–42 <sup>d</sup>
Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs				
Number of cycles	286	149	101	33
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies <sup>b</sup>	44.8	33.6	23.8	12.1
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	41.6	28.2	18.8	12.1
(Confidence Interval)	(35.8–47.6)	(21.1–36.1)	(11.7–27.8)	(3.4–28.2)
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	48.4	34.4	21.1	14.3
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	50.9	35.3	22.4	14.8
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births <sup>b</sup>	30.8	23.5	20.0	11.1
Percentage of cancellations <sup>b</sup>	14.0	18.1	10.9	15.2
Average number of embryos transferred	2.6	2.9	3.2	3.5
Percentage of pregnancies with twins <sup>b</sup>	35.9	24.0	16.7	0 / 4
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more <sup>b</sup>	2.3	8.0	0.0	1 / 4
Percentage of live births having multiple infants <sup>b,c</sup>	39.5	33.3	2 / 19	1 / 4
Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs				
Number of transfers	106	72	32	7
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	30.2	25.0	18.8	3 / 7
Average number of embryos transferred	2.5	2.7	2.7	3.1
All Ages Combined <sup>e</sup>				
Donor Eggs	Fresh Embryos		Frozen Embryos	
Number of transfers	64		39	
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	34.4		28.2	
Average number of embryos transferred	2.5		2.5	

## CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

**Current Name:** Florida Institute for Reproductive Medicine

Donor egg?	Yes	Gestational carriers?	Yes	SART member?	Yes
Donor embryo?	Yes	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	Yes
Single women?	Yes			(See Appendix C for details.)	

<sup>a</sup> Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2006 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.

<sup>b</sup> When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.

<sup>c</sup> A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.

<sup>d</sup> Clinic-specific outcome rates are unreliable for women older than 42 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs. Readers are urged to review national outcomes for these age groups (see page 27).

<sup>e</sup> All ages (including ages >42) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.

# JACKSONVILLE CENTER FOR REPRODUCTIVE MEDICINE

## JACKSONVILLE, FLORIDA

A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 79–88.

### 2006 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART <sup>a</sup>				Patient Diagnosis			
IVF	100%	<b>Procedural Factors:</b>		Tubal factor	11%	Other factor	2%
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	18%	Ovulatory dysfunction	20%	Unknown factor	1%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	0%	Diminished ovarian reserve	39%	<i>Multiple Factors:</i>	
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	0%	Endometriosis	8%	Female factors only	8%
				Uterine factor	0%	Female & male factors	3%
				Male factor	8%		

### 2006 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by Michael D. Fox, MD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman			
	<35	35–37	38–40	41–42 <sup>d</sup>
<b>Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs</b>				
Number of cycles	46	21	8	1
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies <sup>b</sup>	41.3	47.6	2 / 8	0 / 1
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	34.8	47.6	2 / 8	0 / 1
(Confidence Interval)	(21.4–50.2)	(25.7–70.2)		
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	37.2	47.6	2 / 6	0 / 1
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	39.0	10 / 19	2 / 6	
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births <sup>b</sup>	24.4	5 / 19	2 / 6	
Percentage of cancellations <sup>b</sup>	6.5	0.0	2 / 8	0 / 1
Average number of embryos transferred	2.3	2.5	2.3	
Percentage of pregnancies with twins <sup>b</sup>	6 / 19	5 / 10	0 / 2	
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more <sup>b</sup>	0 / 19	0 / 10	0 / 2	
Percentage of live births having multiple infants <sup>b,c</sup>	6 / 16	5 / 10	0 / 2	
<b>Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs</b>				
Number of transfers	3	1	1	0
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	1 / 3	0 / 1	0 / 1	
Average number of embryos transferred	2.7	3.0	2.0	
<b>All Ages Combined<sup>e</sup></b>				
<b>Donor Eggs</b>	<b>Fresh Embryos</b>		<b>Frozen Embryos</b>	
	5		2	
	3 / 5		1 / 2	
	2.0		2.5	

### CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

**Current Name:** Jacksonville Center for Reproductive Medicine

Donor egg?	Yes	Gestational carriers?	Yes	SART member?	Yes
Donor embryo?	Yes	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	Pending
Single women?	Yes			(See Appendix C for details.)	

<sup>a</sup> Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2006 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.

<sup>b</sup> When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.

<sup>c</sup> A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.

<sup>d</sup> Clinic-specific outcome rates are unreliable for women older than 42 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs. Readers are urged to review national outcomes for these age groups (see page 27).

<sup>e</sup> All ages (including ages >42) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.

**GENE F. MANKO, MD, INC.**  
**JUPITER, FLORIDA**

**A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 79–88.**

### 2006 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART <sup>a</sup>			Patient Diagnosis	
IVF	100%	<b>Procedural Factors:</b>	Tubal factor	21%
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	Ovulatory dysfunction	4%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	Diminished ovarian reserve	4%
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	Endometriosis	9%
			Uterine factor	2%
			Male factor	31%
			Other factor	1%
			Unknown factor	15%
			<b>Multiple Factors:</b>	
			Female factors only	1%
			Female & male factors	13%

### 2006 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by Gene F. Manko, MD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman			
	<35	35–37	38–40	41–42 <sup>d</sup>
<b>Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs</b>				
Number of cycles	30	21	18	3
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies <sup>b</sup>	56.7	42.9	5 / 18	0 / 3
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	53.3	38.1	3 / 18	0 / 3
(Confidence Interval)	(34.3–71.7)	(18.1–61.6)		
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	61.5	8 / 19	3 / 13	0 / 1
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	69.6	8 / 14	3 / 9	0 / 1
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births <sup>b</sup>	52.2	7 / 14	2 / 9	0 / 1
Percentage of cancellations <sup>b</sup>	13.3	9.5	5 / 18	2 / 3
Average number of embryos transferred	1.7	1.9	1.7	2.0
Percentage of pregnancies with twins <sup>b</sup>	5 / 17	3 / 9	2 / 5	
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more <sup>b</sup>	0 / 17	0 / 9	0 / 5	
Percentage of live births having multiple infants <sup>b,c</sup>	4 / 16	1 / 8	1 / 3	
<b>Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs</b>				
Number of transfers	1	2	1	0
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	1 / 1	0 / 2	0 / 1	
Average number of embryos transferred	2.0	2.0	2.0	
<b>All Ages Combined<sup>e</sup></b>				
<b>Donor Eggs</b>		<b>Fresh Embryos</b>	<b>Frozen Embryos</b>	
Number of transfers		1	3	
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>		1 / 1	1 / 3	
Average number of embryos transferred		2.0	1.3	

### CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

**Current Name:** Gene F. Manko, MD, Inc.

Donor egg?	Yes	Gestational carriers?	Yes	SART member?	Yes
Donor embryo?	No	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	Yes
Single women?	Yes			(See Appendix C for details.)	

<sup>a</sup> Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2006 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.

<sup>b</sup> When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.

<sup>c</sup> A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.

<sup>d</sup> Clinic-specific outcome rates are unreliable for women older than 42 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs. Readers are urged to review national outcomes for these age groups (see page 27).

<sup>e</sup> All ages (including ages >42) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.

## IVF FLORIDA MARGATE, FLORIDA

A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 79–88.

### 2006 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART <sup>a</sup>			Patient Diagnosis	
IVF	100%	<b>Procedural Factors:</b>	Tubal factor	12%
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	Ovulatory dysfunction	5%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	Diminished ovarian reserve	20%
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	Endometriosis	10%
			Uterine factor	3%
			Male factor	15%
			Other factor	6%
			Unknown factor	15%
			<b>Multiple Factors:</b>	
			Female factors only	7%
			Female & male factors	8%

### 2006 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by David I. Hoffman, MD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman			
	<35	35–37	38–40	41–42 <sup>d</sup>
<b>Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs</b>				
Number of cycles	293	174	148	71
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies <sup>b</sup>	43.0	35.6	31.1	14.1
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	36.5	26.4	20.9	5.6
(Confidence Interval)	(31.0–42.3)	(20.1–33.6)	(14.7–28.4)	(1.6–13.8)
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	40.4	31.7	25.8	8.7
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	42.6	32.9	26.7	8.7
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births <sup>b</sup>	27.5	20.7	24.1	8.7
Percentage of cancellations <sup>b</sup>	9.6	16.7	18.9	35.2
Average number of embryos transferred	2.1	2.4	3.0	3.4
Percentage of pregnancies with twins <sup>b</sup>	34.9	29.0	19.6	0 / 10
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more <sup>b</sup>	3.2	3.2	0.0	0 / 10
Percentage of live births having multiple infants <sup>b,c</sup>	35.5	37.0	9.7	0 / 4
<b>Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs</b>				
Number of transfers	83	26	27	3
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	28.9	26.9	37.0	1 / 3
Average number of embryos transferred	2.3	2.5	2.5	2.3
<b>All Ages Combined<sup>e</sup></b>				
<b>Donor Eggs</b>	<b>Fresh Embryos</b>		<b>Frozen Embryos</b>	
	Number of transfers		9	
	Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>		5 / 9	
	Average number of embryos transferred		2.0	

### CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

**Current Name:** IVF Florida

Donor egg?	Yes	Gestational carriers?	Yes	SART member?	Yes
Donor embryo?	No	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	Yes
Single women?	Yes			(See Appendix C for details.)	

<sup>a</sup> Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2006 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.

<sup>b</sup> When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.

<sup>c</sup> A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.

<sup>d</sup> Clinic-specific outcome rates are unreliable for women older than 42 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs. Readers are urged to review national outcomes for these age groups (see page 27).

<sup>e</sup> All ages (including ages >42) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.

## FERTILITY & REPRODUCTIVE MEDICINE CENTER FOR WOMEN MELBOURNE, FLORIDA

A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 79–88.

### 2006 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART <sup>a</sup>			Patient Diagnosis	
IVF	100%	<b>Procedural Factors:</b>	Tubal factor	11%
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	Ovulatory dysfunction	5%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	Diminished ovarian reserve	13%
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	Endometriosis	5%
			Uterine factor	0%
			Male factor	13%
			Other factor	2%
			Unknown factor	5%
			<b>Multiple Factors:</b>	
			Female factors only	31%
			Female & male factors	17%

### 2006 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by Diran Chamoun, MD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman			
	<35	35–37	38–40	41–42 <sup>d</sup>
<b>Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs</b>				
Number of cycles	26	18	18	2
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies <sup>b</sup>	42.3	10 / 18	4 / 18	0 / 2
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	26.9	8 / 18	4 / 18	0 / 2
(Confidence Interval)	(11.6–47.8)			
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	30.4	8 / 18	4 / 12	0 / 2
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	7 / 19	8 / 17	4 / 11	0 / 1
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births <sup>b</sup>	3 / 19	5 / 17	2 / 11	0 / 1
Percentage of cancellations <sup>b</sup>	11.5	0 / 18	6 / 18	0 / 2
Average number of embryos transferred	2.3	2.3	2.0	1.0
Percentage of pregnancies with twins <sup>b</sup>	4 / 11	4 / 10	1 / 4	
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more <sup>b</sup>	1 / 11	1 / 10	1 / 4	
Percentage of live births having multiple infants <sup>b,c</sup>	4 / 7	3 / 8	2 / 4	
<b>Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs</b>				
Number of transfers	1	1	1	0
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	0 / 1	0 / 1	1 / 1	
Average number of embryos transferred	2.0	2.0	3.0	
<b>All Ages Combined<sup>e</sup></b>				
<b>Donor Eggs</b>	<b>Fresh Embryos</b>		<b>Frozen Embryos</b>	
	14		1	
	7 / 14		0 / 1	
	2.4		2.0	

### CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

**Current Name:** Fertility & Reproductive Medicine Center for Women

Donor egg?	Yes	Gestational carriers?	Yes	SART member?	Yes
Donor embryo?	No	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	Yes
Single women?	Yes			(See Appendix C for details.)	

<sup>a</sup> Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2006 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.

<sup>b</sup> When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.

<sup>c</sup> A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.

<sup>d</sup> Clinic-specific outcome rates are unreliable for women older than 42 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs. Readers are urged to review national outcomes for these age groups (see page 27).

<sup>e</sup> All ages (including ages >42) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.



## FERTILITY & IVF CENTER OF MIAMI, INC. MIAMI, FLORIDA

A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 79–88.

### 2006 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART <sup>a</sup>				Patient Diagnosis			
IVF	100%	<b>Procedural Factors:</b>		Tubal factor	8%	Other factor	4%
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	83%	Ovulatory dysfunction	10%	Unknown factor	3%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	0%	Diminished ovarian reserve	7%	<i>Multiple Factors:</i>	
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	1%	Endometriosis	3%	Female factors only	9%
				Uterine factor	<1%	Female & male factors	35%
				Male factor	21%		

### 2006 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by Michael H. Jacobs, MD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman			
	<35	35–37	38–40	41–42 <sup>d</sup>
<b>Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs</b>				
Number of cycles	197	74	79	26
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies <sup>b</sup>	44.2	44.6	27.8	11.5
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	41.6	35.1	21.5	11.5
(Confidence Interval)	(34.7–48.8)	(24.4–47.1)	(13.1–32.2)	(2.4–30.2)
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	45.8	38.8	27.0	14.3
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	48.0	40.0	28.3	14.3
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births <sup>b</sup>	29.8	27.7	23.3	9.5
Percentage of cancellations <sup>b</sup>	9.1	9.5	20.3	19.2
Average number of embryos transferred	2.3	2.6	2.7	2.8
Percentage of pregnancies with twins <sup>b</sup>	39.1	24.2	18.2	1 / 3
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more <sup>b</sup>	4.6	6.1	4.5	0 / 3
Percentage of live births having multiple infants <sup>b,c</sup>	37.8	30.8	3 / 17	1 / 3
<b>Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs</b>				
Number of transfers	40	23	12	2
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	35.0	30.4	3 / 12	0 / 2
Average number of embryos transferred	2.3	2.0	2.5	3.5
<b>All Ages Combined<sup>e</sup></b>				
<b>Donor Eggs</b>	<b>Fresh Embryos</b>		<b>Frozen Embryos</b>	
	31		5	
	54.8		4 / 5	
	2.3		2.6	

### CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

**Current Name:** Fertility & IVF Center of Miami, Inc.

Donor egg?	Yes	Gestational carriers?	Yes	SART member?	Yes
Donor embryo?	Yes	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	Yes
Single women?	Yes			(See Appendix C for details.)	

<sup>a</sup> Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2006 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.

<sup>b</sup> When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.

<sup>c</sup> A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.

<sup>d</sup> Clinic-specific outcome rates are unreliable for women older than 42 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs. Readers are urged to review national outcomes for these age groups (see page 27).

<sup>e</sup> All ages (including ages >42) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.



# PALMETTO FERTILITY CENTER OF SOUTH FLORIDA MIAMI, FLORIDA

**A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 79–88.**

## 2006 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART <sup>a</sup>				Patient Diagnosis	
IVF	100%	<b>Procedural Factors:</b>		Tubal factor	19%
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	67%	Ovulatory dysfunction	7%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	0%	Diminished ovarian reserve	13%
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	0%	Endometriosis	<1%
				Uterine factor	2%
				Male factor	20%
				Other factor	0%
				Unknown factor	11%
				<b>Multiple Factors:</b>	
				Female factors only	4%
				Female & male factors	24%

## 2006 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by Michael D. Graubert, MD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman			
	<35	35–37	38–40	41–42 <sup>d</sup>
<b>Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs</b>				
Number of cycles	46	37	13	3
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies <sup>b</sup>	37.0	40.5	4 / 13	1 / 3
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	32.6	32.4	3 / 13	1 / 3
(Confidence Interval)	(19.5–48.0)	(18.0–49.8)		
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	36.6	35.3	3 / 12	1 / 3
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	38.5	38.7	3 / 12	1 / 3
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births <sup>b</sup>	30.8	32.3	3 / 12	1 / 3
Percentage of cancellations <sup>b</sup>	10.9	8.1	1 / 13	0 / 3
Average number of embryos transferred	3.0	3.4	2.8	4.3
Percentage of pregnancies with twins <sup>b</sup>	4 / 17	2 / 15	0 / 4	0 / 1
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more <sup>b</sup>	2 / 17	3 / 15	1 / 4	0 / 1
Percentage of live births having multiple infants <sup>b,c</sup>	3 / 15	2 / 12	0 / 3	0 / 1
<b>Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs</b>				
Number of transfers	7	5	1	1
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	4 / 7	2 / 5	0 / 1	1 / 1
Average number of embryos transferred	2.3	2.2	2.0	2.0
<b>All Ages Combined<sup>e</sup></b>				
<b>Donor Eggs</b>	<b>Fresh Embryos</b>		<b>Frozen Embryos</b>	
	5		5	
	3 / 5		1 / 5	
Number of transfers	5		5	
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	3 / 5		1 / 5	
Average number of embryos transferred	2.2		2.2	

## CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

**Current Name:** Palmetto Fertility Center of South Florida

Donor egg?	Yes	Gestational carriers?	Yes	SART member?	Yes
Donor embryo?	Yes	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	Yes
Single women?	Yes			(See Appendix C for details.)	

<sup>a</sup> Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2006 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.

<sup>b</sup> When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.

<sup>c</sup> A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.

<sup>d</sup> Clinic-specific outcome rates are unreliable for women older than 42 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs. Readers are urged to review national outcomes for these age groups (see page 27).

<sup>e</sup> All ages (including ages >42) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.

# UNIVERSITY OF MIAMI INFERTILITY CENTER MIAMI, FLORIDA

A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 79–88.

## 2006 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART <sup>a</sup>				Patient Diagnosis			
IVF	100%	<b>Procedural Factors:</b>		Tubal factor	25%	Other factor	2%
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	65%	Ovulatory dysfunction	9%	Unknown factor	13%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	0%	Diminished ovarian reserve	9%	<i>Multiple Factors:</i>	
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	0%	Endometriosis	5%	Female factors only	5%
				Uterine factor	2%	Female & male factors	13%
				Male factor	18%		

## 2006 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by George R. Attia, MD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman			
	<35	35–37	38–40	41–42 <sup>d</sup>
<b>Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs</b>				
Number of cycles	27	9	5	3
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies <sup>b</sup>	63.0	6 / 9	2 / 5	1 / 3
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	55.6	6 / 9	2 / 5	1 / 3
(Confidence Interval)	(35.3–74.5)			
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	57.7	6 / 9	2 / 3	1 / 2
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	62.5	6 / 9	2 / 3	1 / 2
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births <sup>b</sup>	33.3	4 / 9	2 / 3	1 / 2
Percentage of cancellations <sup>b</sup>	3.7	0 / 9	2 / 5	1 / 3
Average number of embryos transferred	2.0	1.9	2.7	2.5
Percentage of pregnancies with twins <sup>b</sup>	9 / 17	4 / 6	0 / 2	0 / 1
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more <sup>b</sup>	1 / 17	0 / 6	0 / 2	0 / 1
Percentage of live births having multiple infants <sup>b,c</sup>	7 / 15	2 / 6	0 / 2	0 / 1
<b>Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs</b>				
Number of transfers	2	1	3	0
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	1 / 2	0 / 1	0 / 3	
Average number of embryos transferred	2.0	2.0	2.0	
<b>All Ages Combined<sup>e</sup></b>				
<b>Donor Eggs</b>	<b>Fresh Embryos</b>		<b>Frozen Embryos</b>	
	1		0	
	1 / 1			
Average number of embryos transferred	2.0			

## CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

**Current Name:** University of Miami Infertility Center

Donor egg?	Yes	Gestational carriers?	Yes	SART member?	Yes
Donor embryo?	Yes	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	Yes
Single women?	No			(See Appendix C for details.)	

<sup>a</sup> Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2006 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.

<sup>b</sup> When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.

<sup>c</sup> A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.

<sup>d</sup> Clinic-specific outcome rates are unreliable for women older than 42 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs. Readers are urged to review national outcomes for these age groups (see page 27).

<sup>e</sup> All ages (including ages >42) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.

## AFFORDABLE IVF ORLANDO, FLORIDA

A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 79–88.

### 2006 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART <sup>a</sup>				Patient Diagnosis			
IVF	100%	<b>Procedural Factors:</b>		Tubal factor	23%	Other factor	0%
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	94%	Ovulatory dysfunction	19%	Unknown factor	9%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	0%	Diminished ovarian reserve	6%	<b>Multiple Factors:</b>	
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	0%	Endometriosis	6%	Female factors only	10%
				Uterine factor	<1%	Female & male factors	11%
				Male factor	16%		

### 2006 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by Mark L. Jutras, MD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman			
	<35	35–37	38–40	41–42 <sup>d</sup>
<b>Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs</b>				
Number of cycles	51	20	12	7
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies <sup>b</sup>	70.6	60.0	5 / 12	4 / 7
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	62.7	45.0	3 / 12	3 / 7
(Confidence Interval)	(48.1–75.9)	(23.1–68.5)		
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	65.3	9 / 19	3 / 11	3 / 7
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	65.3	9 / 17	3 / 10	3 / 6
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births <sup>b</sup>	36.7	6 / 17	3 / 10	3 / 6
Percentage of cancellations <sup>b</sup>	3.9	5.0	1 / 12	0 / 7
Average number of embryos transferred	2.0	2.0	2.4	3.0
Percentage of pregnancies with twins <sup>b</sup>	41.7	3 / 12	1 / 5	0 / 4
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more <sup>b</sup>	0.0	0 / 12	0 / 5	1 / 4
Percentage of live births having multiple infants <sup>b,c</sup>	43.8	3 / 9	0 / 3	0 / 3
<b>Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs</b>				
Number of transfers	5	4	2	1
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	0 / 5	0 / 4	0 / 2	0 / 1
Average number of embryos transferred	2.0	1.5	2.5	2.0
<b>All Ages Combined<sup>e</sup></b>				
<b>Donor Eggs</b>	<b>Fresh Embryos</b>		<b>Frozen Embryos</b>	
	0		0	
	Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>			
	Average number of embryos transferred			

### CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

<b>Current Name:</b> Affordable IVF					
Donor egg?	Yes	Gestational carriers?	Yes	SART member?	Yes
Donor embryo?	No	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	Yes
Single women?	No			(See Appendix C for details.)	

<sup>a</sup> Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2006 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.

<sup>b</sup> When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.

<sup>c</sup> A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.

<sup>d</sup> Clinic-specific outcome rates are unreliable for women older than 42 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs. Readers are urged to review national outcomes for these age groups (see page 27).

<sup>e</sup> All ages (including ages >42) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.

# CENTER FOR REPRODUCTIVE MEDICINE, PA ORLANDO, FLORIDA

**A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 79–88.**

## 2006 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART <sup>a</sup>			Patient Diagnosis	
IVF	100%	<b>Procedural Factors:</b>	Tubal factor	12%
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	Ovulatory dysfunction	14%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	Diminished ovarian reserve	1%
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	Endometriosis	4%
			Uterine factor	<1%
			Male factor	8%
			Other factor	2%
			Unknown factor	2%
			<b>Multiple Factors:</b>	
			Female factors only	28%
			Female & male factors	28%

## 2006 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by Randall A. Loy, MD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman			
	<35	35–37	38–40	41–42 <sup>d</sup>
<b>Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs</b>				
Number of cycles	167	105	77	21
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies <sup>b</sup>	33.5	31.4	13.0	14.3
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	31.1	26.7	9.1	4.8
(Confidence Interval)	(24.2–38.8)	(18.5–36.2)	(3.7–17.8)	(0.1–23.8)
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	38.0	34.6	13.0	1 / 17
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	44.4	35.4	14.0	1 / 17
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births <sup>b</sup>	29.9	24.1	12.0	1 / 17
Percentage of cancellations <sup>b</sup>	18.0	22.9	29.9	19.0
Average number of embryos transferred	2.0	2.0	2.4	2.5
Percentage of pregnancies with twins <sup>b</sup>	35.7	30.3	4 / 10	0 / 3
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more <sup>b</sup>	0.0	0.0	0 / 10	0 / 3
Percentage of live births having multiple infants <sup>b,c</sup>	32.7	32.1	1 / 7	0 / 1
<b>Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs</b>				
Number of transfers	26	13	5	3
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	15.4	4 / 13	0 / 5	1 / 3
Average number of embryos transferred	2.0	2.2	2.6	2.0
<b>All Ages Combined<sup>e</sup></b>				
<b>Donor Eggs</b>	<b>Fresh Embryos</b>		<b>Frozen Embryos</b>	
	11		10	
	7 / 11		2 / 10	
	2.1		2.3	

## CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

**Current Name:** Center for Reproductive Medicine, PA

Donor egg?	Yes	Gestational carriers?	Yes	SART member?	Yes
Donor embryo?	Yes	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	Yes
Single women?	No			(See Appendix C for details.)	

<sup>a</sup> Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2006 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.

<sup>b</sup> When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.

<sup>c</sup> A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.

<sup>d</sup> Clinic-specific outcome rates are unreliable for women older than 42 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs. Readers are urged to review national outcomes for these age groups (see page 27).

<sup>e</sup> All ages (including ages >42) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.

# FRANK C. RIGGALL, MD, PA ORLANDO, FLORIDA

A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 79–88.

## 2006 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART <sup>a</sup>			Patient Diagnosis	
IVF	100%	<b>Procedural Factors:</b>	Tubal factor	16%
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	Ovulatory dysfunction	8%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	Diminished ovarian reserve	17%
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	Endometriosis	4%
			Uterine factor	0%
			Male factor	20%
			Other factor	17%
			Unknown factor	11%
			<b>Multiple Factors:</b>	
			Female factors only	5%
			Female & male factors	1%

## 2006 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by Frank C. Riggall, MD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman			
	<35	35–37	38–40	41–42 <sup>d</sup>
<b>Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs</b>				
Number of cycles	19	6	8	6
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies <sup>b</sup>	5 / 19	5 / 6	4 / 8	0 / 6
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup> (Confidence Interval)	2 / 19	4 / 6	3 / 8	0 / 6
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	2 / 13	4 / 5	3 / 5	0 / 5
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	2 / 12	4 / 5	3 / 5	0 / 3
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births <sup>b</sup>	0 / 12	3 / 5	2 / 5	0 / 3
Percentage of cancellations <sup>b</sup>	6 / 19	1 / 6	3 / 8	1 / 6
Average number of embryos transferred	2.4	2.4	2.6	3.0
Percentage of pregnancies with twins <sup>b</sup>	2 / 5	1 / 5	1 / 4	
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more <sup>b</sup>	0 / 5	0 / 5	0 / 4	
Percentage of live births having multiple infants <sup>b,c</sup>	2 / 2	1 / 4	1 / 3	
<b>Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs</b>				
Number of transfers	6	0	0	3
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	0 / 6			0 / 3
Average number of embryos transferred	2.7			3.3
<b>All Ages Combined<sup>e</sup></b>				
<b>Donor Eggs</b>		<b>Fresh Embryos</b>	<b>Frozen Embryos</b>	
Number of transfers		8	8	
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>		0 / 8	2 / 8	
Average number of embryos transferred		2.1	1.9	

## CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

**Current Name:** Frank C. Riggall, MD, PA

Donor egg?	Yes	Gestational carriers?	Yes	SART member?	Yes
Donor embryo?	Yes	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	Yes
Single women?	Yes			(See Appendix C for details.)	

<sup>a</sup> Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2006 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.

<sup>b</sup> When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.

<sup>c</sup> A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.

<sup>d</sup> Clinic-specific outcome rates are unreliable for women older than 42 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs. Readers are urged to review national outcomes for these age groups (see page 27).

<sup>e</sup> All ages (including ages >42) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.

## NEW LEADERS IN INFERTILITY & ENDOCRINOLOGY, LLC PENSACOLA, FLORIDA

A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 79–88.

### 2006 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART <sup>a</sup>				Patient Diagnosis			
IVF	100%	<b>Procedural Factors:</b>		Tubal factor	10%	Other factor	5%
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	81%	Ovulatory dysfunction	1%	Unknown factor	8%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	0%	Diminished ovarian reserve	1%	<i>Multiple Factors:</i>	
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	0%	Endometriosis	13%	Female factors only	25%
				Uterine factor	0%	Female & male factors	27%
				Male factor	11%		

### 2006 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by Barry A. Ripps, MD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman			
	<35	35–37	38–40	41–42 <sup>d</sup>
<b>Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs</b>				
Number of cycles	38	11	14	13
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies <sup>b</sup>	31.6	1 / 11	3 / 14	3 / 13
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	26.3	1 / 11	2 / 14	1 / 13
(Confidence Interval)	(13.4–43.1)			
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	31.3	1 / 8	2 / 13	1 / 9
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	32.3	1 / 7	2 / 12	1 / 9
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births <sup>b</sup>	25.8	1 / 7	2 / 12	1 / 9
Percentage of cancellations <sup>b</sup>	15.8	3 / 11	1 / 14	4 / 13
Average number of embryos transferred	2.9	2.3	3.4	3.1
Percentage of pregnancies with twins <sup>b</sup>	2 / 12	0 / 1	1 / 3	0 / 3
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more <sup>b</sup>	0 / 12	0 / 1	0 / 3	0 / 3
Percentage of live births having multiple infants <sup>b,c</sup>	2 / 10	0 / 1	0 / 2	0 / 1
<b>Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs</b>				
Number of transfers	3	5	4	0
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	0 / 3	2 / 5	0 / 4	
Average number of embryos transferred	2.3	2.8	1.5	
<b>All Ages Combined<sup>e</sup></b>				
<b>Donor Eggs</b>	<b>Fresh Embryos</b>		<b>Frozen Embryos</b>	
Number of transfers	0		0	
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>				
Average number of embryos transferred				

### CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

**Current Name:** New Leaders in Infertility & Endocrinology, LLC

Donor egg?	No	Gestational carriers?	No	SART member?	Yes
Donor embryo?	Yes	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	Pending
Single women?	Yes			(See Appendix C for details.)	

<sup>a</sup> Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2006 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.

<sup>b</sup> When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.

<sup>c</sup> A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.

<sup>d</sup> Clinic-specific outcome rates are unreliable for women older than 42 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs. Readers are urged to review national outcomes for these age groups (see page 27).

<sup>e</sup> All ages (including ages >42) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.



# CENTER FOR ADVANCED REPRODUCTIVE ENDOCRINOLOGY, PA PLANTATION, FLORIDA

**A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 79–88.**

## 2006 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART <sup>a</sup>			Patient Diagnosis		
IVF	100%	<b>Procedural Factors:</b>	Tubal factor	<1%	Other factor 5%
GIFT	0%	With ICSI 83%	Ovulatory dysfunction	0%	Unknown factor 0%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated 0%	Diminished ovarian reserve	8%	<i>Multiple Factors:</i>
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier 0%	Endometriosis	<1%	Female factors only 21%
			Uterine factor	5%	Female & male factors 53%
			Male factor	6%	

## 2006 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by Mick Abaé, MD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman			
	<35	35–37	38–40	41–42 <sup>d</sup>
<b>Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs</b>				
Number of cycles	33	24	22	5
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies <sup>b</sup>	30.3	33.3	18.2	1 / 5
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	27.3	16.7	13.6	1 / 5
(Confidence Interval)	(13.3–45.5)	(4.7–37.4)	(2.9–34.9)	
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	29.0	18.2	14.3	1 / 5
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	32.1	4 / 16	3 / 16	1 / 3
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births <sup>b</sup>	32.1	3 / 16	1 / 16	1 / 3
Percentage of cancellations <sup>b</sup>	6.1	8.3	4.5	0 / 5
Average number of embryos transferred	2.1	2.6	3.1	3.0
Percentage of pregnancies with twins <sup>b</sup>	1 / 10	2 / 8	2 / 4	0 / 1
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more <sup>b</sup>	0 / 10	0 / 8	0 / 4	0 / 1
Percentage of live births having multiple infants <sup>b,c</sup>	0 / 9	1 / 4	2 / 3	0 / 1
<b>Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs</b>				
Number of transfers	4	1	0	0
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	1 / 4	0 / 1		
Average number of embryos transferred	2.5	3.0		
<b>All Ages Combined<sup>e</sup></b>				
<b>Donor Eggs</b>	<b>Fresh Embryos</b>		<b>Frozen Embryos</b>	
	20		6	
	55.0		1 / 6	
	2.1		3.0	

## CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

**Current Name:** Center for Advanced Reproductive Endocrinology, PA

Donor egg?	Yes	Gestational carriers?	Yes	SART member?	Yes
Donor embryo?	No	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	Yes
Single women?	Yes			(See Appendix C for details.)	

<sup>a</sup> Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2006 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.

<sup>b</sup> When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.

<sup>c</sup> A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.

<sup>d</sup> Clinic-specific outcome rates are unreliable for women older than 42 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs. Readers are urged to review national outcomes for these age groups (see page 27).

<sup>e</sup> All ages (including ages >42) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.



## FERTILITY CENTER AND APPLIED GENETICS OF FLORIDA, INC. SARASOTA, FLORIDA

A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 79–88.

### 2006 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART <sup>a</sup>			Patient Diagnosis	
IVF	100%	<b>Procedural Factors:</b>	Tubal factor	22%
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	Ovulatory dysfunction	5%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	Diminished ovarian reserve	25%
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	Endometriosis	5%
			Uterine factor	0%
			Male factor	14%
			Other factor	12%
			Unknown factor	4%
			<b>Multiple Factors:</b>	
			Female factors only	<1%
			Female & male factors	14%

### 2006 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by Julio E. Pabon, MD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman			
	<35	35–37	38–40	41–42 <sup>d</sup>
<b>Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs</b>				
Number of cycles	62	34	18	12
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies <sup>b</sup>	45.2	23.5	7 / 18	5 / 12
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	33.9	17.6	6 / 18	1 / 12
(Confidence Interval)	(22.3–47.0)	(6.8–34.5)		
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	42.0	25.0	6 / 14	1 / 10
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	45.7	27.3	6 / 14	1 / 8
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births <sup>b</sup>	32.6	27.3	4 / 14	1 / 8
Percentage of cancellations <sup>b</sup>	19.4	29.4	4 / 18	2 / 12
Average number of embryos transferred	2.2	2.4	2.6	3.0
Percentage of pregnancies with twins <sup>b</sup>	25.0	2 / 8	2 / 7	0 / 5
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more <sup>b</sup>	7.1	0 / 8	1 / 7	0 / 5
Percentage of live births having multiple infants <sup>b,c</sup>	28.6	0 / 6	2 / 6	0 / 1
<b>Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs</b>				
Number of transfers	3	1	0	0
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	1 / 3	0 / 1		
Average number of embryos transferred	1.7	2.0		
<b>All Ages Combined<sup>e</sup></b>				
<b>Donor Eggs</b>	<b>Fresh Embryos</b>		<b>Frozen Embryos</b>	
	12		8	
	10 / 12		1 / 8	
	2.3		2.0	

### CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

**Current Name:** Fertility Center and Applied Genetics of Florida, Inc.

Donor egg?	Yes	Gestational carriers?	Yes	SART member?	Yes
Donor embryo?	Yes	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	Yes
Single women?	Yes			(See Appendix C for details.)	

<sup>a</sup> Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2006 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.

<sup>b</sup> When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.

<sup>c</sup> A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.

<sup>d</sup> Clinic-specific outcome rates are unreliable for women older than 42 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs. Readers are urged to review national outcomes for these age groups (see page 27).

<sup>e</sup> All ages (including ages >42) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.

## SOUTH FLORIDA INSTITUTE FOR REPRODUCTIVE MEDICINE SOUTH MIAMI, FLORIDA

**A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 79–88.**

### 2006 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART <sup>a</sup>				Patient Diagnosis			
IVF	100%	<b>Procedural Factors:</b>		Tubal factor	7%	Other factor	10%
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	66%	Ovulatory dysfunction	<1%	Unknown factor	<1%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	0%	Diminished ovarian reserve	4%	<i>Multiple Factors:</i>	
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	<1%	Endometriosis	3%	Female factors only	11%
				Uterine factor	<1%	Female & male factors	42%
				Male factor	22%		

### 2006 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by Maria Bustillo, MD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman			
	<35	35–37	38–40	41–42 <sup>d</sup>
<b>Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs</b>				
Number of cycles	176	154	120	48
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies <sup>b</sup>	52.3	29.9	23.3	18.8
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	46.0	23.4	20.8	10.4
(Confidence Interval)	(38.5–53.7)	(16.9–30.9)	(14.0–29.2)	(3.5–22.7)
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	51.3	27.9	29.4	14.3
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	57.0	34.6	40.3	22.7
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births <sup>b</sup>	32.4	26.9	24.2	18.2
Percentage of cancellations <sup>b</sup>	10.2	16.2	29.2	27.1
Average number of embryos transferred	1.9	1.8	1.8	2.5
Percentage of pregnancies with twins <sup>b</sup>	50.0	30.4	50.0	1 / 9
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more <sup>b</sup>	1.1	4.3	0.0	0 / 9
Percentage of live births having multiple infants <sup>b,c</sup>	43.2	22.2	40.0	1 / 5
<b>Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs</b>				
Number of transfers	25	18	7	3
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	40.0	6 / 18	2 / 7	0 / 3
Average number of embryos transferred	2.1	1.9	1.9	2.7
<b>All Ages Combined<sup>e</sup></b>				
<b>Donor Eggs</b>		<b>Fresh Embryos</b>	<b>Frozen Embryos</b>	
Number of transfers		69	16	
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>		53.6	4 / 16	
Average number of embryos transferred		1.9	2.3	

### CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

**Current Name:** South Florida Institute for Reproductive Medicine

Donor egg?	Yes	Gestational carriers?	Yes	SART member?	Yes
Donor embryo?	Yes	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	Yes
Single women?	Yes			(See Appendix C for details.)	

<sup>a</sup> Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2006 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.

<sup>b</sup> When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.

<sup>c</sup> A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.

<sup>d</sup> Clinic-specific outcome rates are unreliable for women older than 42 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs. Readers are urged to review national outcomes for these age groups (see page 27).

<sup>e</sup> All ages (including ages >42) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.

# CENTER FOR REPRODUCTIVE MEDICINE TAMPA, FLORIDA

**A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 79–88.**

## 2006 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART <sup>a</sup>				Patient Diagnosis			
IVF	100%	<b>Procedural Factors:</b>		Tubal factor	8%	Other factor	12%
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	2%	Ovulatory dysfunction	8%	Unknown factor	0%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	0%	Diminished ovarian reserve	11%	<i>Multiple Factors:</i>	
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	14%	Endometriosis	8%	Female factors only	31%
				Uterine factor	1%	Female & male factors	13%
				Male factor	8%		

## 2006 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by Stephen W. Welden, MD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman			
	<35	35–37	38–40	41–42 <sup>d</sup>
<b>Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs</b>				
Number of cycles	25	8	12	12
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies <sup>b</sup>	40.0	6 / 8	3 / 12	2 / 12
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	40.0	6 / 8	3 / 12	1 / 12
(Confidence Interval)	(21.1–61.3)			
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	41.7	6 / 8	3 / 12	1 / 12
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	41.7	6 / 8	3 / 12	1 / 12
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births <sup>b</sup>	25.0	4 / 8	3 / 12	1 / 12
Percentage of cancellations <sup>b</sup>	4.0	0 / 8	0 / 12	0 / 12
Average number of embryos transferred	2.3	3.0	2.7	3.7
Percentage of pregnancies with twins <sup>b</sup>	3 / 10	2 / 6	0 / 3	0 / 2
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more <sup>b</sup>	2 / 10	0 / 6	0 / 3	0 / 2
Percentage of live births having multiple infants <sup>b,c</sup>	4 / 10	2 / 6	0 / 3	0 / 1
<b>Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs</b>				
Number of transfers	0	0	0	0
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>				
Average number of embryos transferred				
<b>All Ages Combined<sup>e</sup></b>				
<b>Donor Eggs</b>	<b>Fresh Embryos</b>	<b>Frozen Embryos</b>		
Number of transfers	17	0		
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	8 / 17			
Average number of embryos transferred	2.3			

## CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

**Current Name:** Center for Reproductive Medicine

Donor egg?	Yes	Gestational carriers?	Yes	SART member?	No
Donor embryo?	Yes	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	No
Single women?	Yes			(See Appendix C for details.)	

<sup>a</sup> Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2006 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.

<sup>b</sup> When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.

<sup>c</sup> A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.

<sup>d</sup> Clinic-specific outcome rates are unreliable for women older than 42 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs. Readers are urged to review national outcomes for these age groups (see page 27).

<sup>e</sup> All ages (including ages >42) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.

**CATHERINE COWART, MD**  
**REPRODUCTIVE HEALTH ASSOCIATES, PA**  
**TAMPA, FLORIDA**

**A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 79–88.**

**2006 ART CYCLE PROFILE**

Type of ART <sup>a</sup>				Patient Diagnosis			
IVF	100%	<b>Procedural Factors:</b>		Tubal factor	5%	Other factor	0%
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	59%	Ovulatory dysfunction	<1%	Unknown factor	7%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	0%	Diminished ovarian reserve	14%	<i>Multiple Factors:</i>	
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	0%	Endometriosis	3%	Female factors only	6%
				Uterine factor	3%	Female & male factors	37%
				Male factor	26%		

**2006 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES**

Data verified by Catherine Cowart, MD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman			
	<35	35–37	38–40	41–42 <sup>d</sup>
<b>Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs</b>				
Number of cycles	37	23	19	12
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies <sup>b</sup>	16.2	21.7	1 / 19	2 / 12
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	10.8	8.7	0 / 19	2 / 12
(Confidence Interval)	(3.0–25.4)	(1.1–28.0)		
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	12.5	10.0	0 / 13	2 / 10
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	12.9	10.0	0 / 13	2 / 10
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births <sup>b</sup>	9.7	0.0	0 / 13	2 / 10
Percentage of cancellations <sup>b</sup>	13.5	13.0	6 / 19	2 / 12
Average number of embryos transferred	2.1	2.5	2.8	4.0
Percentage of pregnancies with twins <sup>b</sup>	3 / 6	2 / 5	0 / 1	0 / 2
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more <sup>b</sup>	0 / 6	1 / 5	0 / 1	0 / 2
Percentage of live births having multiple infants <sup>b,c</sup>	1 / 4	2 / 2		0 / 2
<b>Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs</b>				
Number of transfers	0	2	1	0
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>		0 / 2	0 / 1	
Average number of embryos transferred		2.0	2.0	
<b>All Ages Combined<sup>e</sup></b>				
<b>Donor Eggs</b>		<b>Fresh Embryos</b>	<b>Frozen Embryos</b>	
Number of transfers		7		1
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>		2 / 7		0 / 1
Average number of embryos transferred		2.3		2.0

**CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE**

**Current Name:** Catherine Cowart, MD, Reproductive Health Associates, PA

Donor egg?	Yes	Gestational carriers?	Yes	SART member?	Yes
Donor embryo?	No	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	
Single women?	Yes			(See Appendix C for details.)	

<sup>a</sup> Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2006 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.

<sup>b</sup> When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.

<sup>c</sup> A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.

<sup>d</sup> Clinic-specific outcome rates are unreliable for women older than 42 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs. Readers are urged to review national outcomes for these age groups (see page 27).

<sup>e</sup> All ages (including ages >42) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.

## THE REPRODUCTIVE MEDICINE GROUP TAMPA, FLORIDA

A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 79–88.

### 2006 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART <sup>a</sup>				Patient Diagnosis	
IVF	>99%	<b>Procedural Factors:</b>		Tubal factor	13%
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	47%	Ovulatory dysfunction	4%
ZIFT	<1%	Unstimulated	<1%	Diminished ovarian reserve	8%
Combination	<1%	Used gestational carrier	1%	Endometriosis	9%
				Uterine factor	1%
				Male factor	20%
				Other factor	4%
				Unknown factor	14%
				<b>Multiple Factors:</b>	
				Female factors only	10%
				Female & male factors	16%

### 2006 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by Marc Bernhisel, MD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman			
	<35	35–37	38–40	41–42 <sup>d</sup>
<b>Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs</b>				
Number of cycles	209	129	119	35
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies <sup>b</sup>	48.8	37.2	24.4	28.6
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	44.0	29.5	21.8	17.1
(Confidence Interval)	(37.2–51.0)	(21.8–38.1)	(14.8–30.4)	(6.6–33.6)
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	48.2	31.1	27.4	20.0
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	51.4	32.5	30.6	20.7
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births <sup>b</sup>	34.6	18.8	25.9	13.8
Percentage of cancellations <sup>b</sup>	8.6	5.4	20.2	14.3
Average number of embryos transferred	1.9	2.1	2.7	2.8
Percentage of pregnancies with twins <sup>b</sup>	36.3	33.3	27.6	1 / 10
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more <sup>b</sup>	2.0	2.1	0.0	2 / 10
Percentage of live births having multiple infants <sup>b,c</sup>	32.6	42.1	15.4	2 / 6
<b>Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs</b>				
Number of transfers	11	14	7	2
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	3 / 11	2 / 14	1 / 7	0 / 2
Average number of embryos transferred	2.1	2.1	2.7	2.5
<b>All Ages Combined<sup>e</sup></b>				
<b>Donor Eggs</b>	<b>Fresh Embryos</b>		<b>Frozen Embryos</b>	
	Number of transfers		6	
	Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>		1 / 6	
	Average number of embryos transferred		1.5	

### CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

**Current Name:** The Reproductive Medicine Group

Donor egg?	Yes	Gestational carriers?	Yes	SART member?	Yes
Donor embryo?	Yes	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	Yes
Single women?	Yes			(See Appendix C for details.)	

<sup>a</sup> Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2006 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.

<sup>b</sup> When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.

<sup>c</sup> A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.

<sup>d</sup> Clinic-specific outcome rates are unreliable for women older than 42 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs. Readers are urged to review national outcomes for these age groups (see page 27).

<sup>e</sup> All ages (including ages >42) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.

**F.I.R.S.T.**  
**FLORIDA INSTITUTE FOR REPRODUCTIVE SCIENCES AND TECHNOLOGIES**  
**WESTON, FLORIDA**

**A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 79–88.**

### 2006 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART <sup>a</sup>				Patient Diagnosis			
IVF	91%	<b>Procedural Factors:</b>		Tubal factor	4%	Other factor	<1%
GIFT	7%	With ICSI	67%	Ovulatory dysfunction	4%	Unknown factor	<1%
ZIFT	2%	Unstimulated	0%	Diminished ovarian reserve	29%	<i>Multiple Factors:</i>	
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	5%	Endometriosis	3%	Female factors only	18%
				Uterine factor	0%	Female & male factors	26%
				Male factor	14%		

### 2006 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by Minna R. Selub, MD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman			
	<35	35–37	38–40	41–42 <sup>d</sup>
<b>Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs</b>				
Number of cycles	33	26	22	4
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies <sup>b</sup>	12.1	23.1	13.6	0 / 4
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	12.1	15.4	13.6	0 / 4
(Confidence Interval)	(3.4–28.2)	(4.4–34.9)	(2.9–34.9)	
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	12.5	16.7	13.6	0 / 4
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	12.9	19.0	3 / 16	0 / 4
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births <sup>b</sup>	9.7	9.5	0 / 16	0 / 4
Percentage of cancellations <sup>b</sup>	3.0	7.7	0.0	0 / 4
Average number of embryos transferred	3.0	3.2	2.9	3.0
Percentage of pregnancies with twins <sup>b</sup>	1 / 4	1 / 6	3 / 3	
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more <sup>b</sup>	1 / 4	1 / 6	0 / 3	
Percentage of live births having multiple infants <sup>b,c</sup>	1 / 4	2 / 4	3 / 3	
<b>Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs</b>				
Number of transfers	4	2	0	0
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	0 / 4	0 / 2		
Average number of embryos transferred	3.5	2.0		
<b>All Ages Combined<sup>e</sup></b>				
<b>Donor Eggs</b>	<b>Fresh Embryos</b>		<b>Frozen Embryos</b>	
	Number of transfers		38	
	Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>		3	
	Average number of embryos transferred		0 / 3	
			3.0	

### CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

**Current Name:** F.I.R.S.T., Florida Institute for Reproductive Sciences and Technologies

Donor egg?	Yes	Gestational carriers?	Yes	SART member?	Yes
Donor embryo?	No	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	Yes
Single women?	Yes			(See Appendix C for details.)	

<sup>a</sup> Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2006 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.

<sup>b</sup> When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.

<sup>c</sup> A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.

<sup>d</sup> Clinic-specific outcome rates are unreliable for women older than 42 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs. Readers are urged to review national outcomes for these age groups (see page 27).

<sup>e</sup> All ages (including ages >42) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.



## FERTILITY CENTER OF ASSISTED REPRODUCTION & ENDOCRINOLOGY WINTER PARK, FLORIDA

A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 79–88.

### 2006 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART <sup>a</sup>				Patient Diagnosis			
IVF	100%	<b>Procedural Factors:</b>		Tubal factor	7%	Other factor	3%
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	45%	Ovulatory dysfunction	11%	Unknown factor	12%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	0%	Diminished ovarian reserve	25%	<i>Multiple Factors:</i>	
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	0%	Endometriosis	2%	Female factors only	19%
				Uterine factor	<1%	Female & male factors	14%
				Male factor	7%		

### 2006 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by Mark P. Trollice, MD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman			
	<35	35–37	38–40	41–42 <sup>d</sup>
<b>Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs</b>				
Number of cycles	84	42	25	15
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies <sup>b</sup>	48.8	35.7	36.0	3 / 15
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	40.5	26.2	24.0	2 / 15
(Confidence Interval)	(29.9–51.7)	(13.9–42.0)	(9.4–45.1)	
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	41.5	27.5	28.6	2 / 13
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	47.9	30.6	6 / 17	2 / 11
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births <sup>b</sup>	38.0	19.4	6 / 17	1 / 11
Percentage of cancellations <sup>b</sup>	2.4	4.8	16.0	2 / 15
Average number of embryos transferred	2.0	2.4	2.2	2.4
Percentage of pregnancies with twins <sup>b</sup>	22.0	5 / 15	0 / 9	1 / 3
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more <sup>b</sup>	0.0	1 / 15	0 / 9	0 / 3
Percentage of live births having multiple infants <sup>b,c</sup>	20.6	4 / 11	0 / 6	1 / 2
<b>Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs</b>				
Number of transfers	13	8	1	1
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	2 / 13	2 / 8	0 / 1	0 / 1
Average number of embryos transferred	1.8	2.0	3.0	2.0
<b>All Ages Combined<sup>e</sup></b>				
<b>Donor Eggs</b>	<b>Fresh Embryos</b>		<b>Frozen Embryos</b>	
	22		5	
	40.9		0 / 5	
	1.9		2.0	

### CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

**Current Name:** Fertility Center of Assisted Reproduction & Endocrinology

Donor egg?	Yes	Gestational carriers?	Yes	SART member?	Yes
Donor embryo?	Yes	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	Yes
Single women?	Yes			(See Appendix C for details.)	

<sup>a</sup> Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2006 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.

<sup>b</sup> When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.

<sup>c</sup> A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.

<sup>d</sup> Clinic-specific outcome rates are unreliable for women older than 42 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs. Readers are urged to review national outcomes for these age groups (see page 27).

<sup>e</sup> All ages (including ages >42) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.



# ATLANTA CENTER FOR REPRODUCTIVE MEDICINE ATLANTA, GEORGIA

A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 79–88.

## 2006 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART <sup>a</sup>				Patient Diagnosis			
IVF	100%	<b>Procedural Factors:</b>		Tubal factor	9%	Other factor	10%
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	73%	Ovulatory dysfunction	5%	Unknown factor	5%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	0%	Diminished ovarian reserve	12%	<b>Multiple Factors:</b>	
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	0%	Endometriosis	5%	Female factors only	29%
				Uterine factor	<1%	Female & male factors	13%
				Male factor	12%		

## 2006 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by James P. Toner, MD, PhD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman			
	<35	35–37	38–40	41–42 <sup>d</sup>
<b>Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs</b>				
Number of cycles	207	104	63	21
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies <sup>b</sup>	46.9	39.4	38.1	9.5
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	38.6	34.6	30.2	0.0
(Confidence Interval)	(32.0–45.6)	(25.6–44.6)	(19.2–43.0)	(0.0–16.1)
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	42.6	37.5	32.2	0 / 17
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	45.2	39.1	36.5	0 / 13
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births <sup>b</sup>	30.5	30.4	30.8	0 / 13
Percentage of cancellations <sup>b</sup>	9.2	7.7	6.3	19.0
Average number of embryos transferred	2.3	2.6	3.2	3.1
Percentage of pregnancies with twins <sup>b</sup>	29.9	26.8	25.0	0 / 2
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more <sup>b</sup>	5.2	4.9	4.2	0 / 2
Percentage of live births having multiple infants <sup>b,c</sup>	32.5	22.2	3 / 19	
<b>Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs</b>				
Number of transfers	34	18	3	3
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	52.9	6 / 18	2 / 3	0 / 3
Average number of embryos transferred	2.2	2.2	2.3	2.0
<b>All Ages Combined<sup>e</sup></b>				
<b>Donor Eggs</b>	<b>Fresh Embryos</b>		<b>Frozen Embryos</b>	
	82		25	
	50.0		36.0	
Number of transfers	2.1		1.9	
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>				
Average number of embryos transferred				

## CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

**Current Name:** Atlanta Center for Reproductive Medicine

Donor egg?	Yes	Gestational carriers?	Yes	SART member?	Yes
Donor embryo?	Yes	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	Yes
Single women?	Yes			(See Appendix C for details.)	

<sup>a</sup> Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2006 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.

<sup>b</sup> When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.

<sup>c</sup> A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.

<sup>d</sup> Clinic-specific outcome rates are unreliable for women older than 42 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs. Readers are urged to review national outcomes for these age groups (see page 27).

<sup>e</sup> All ages (including ages >42) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.

## EMORY REPRODUCTIVE CENTER ATLANTA, GEORGIA

A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 79–88.

### 2006 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART <sup>a</sup>				Patient Diagnosis			
IVF	100%	<b>Procedural Factors:</b>		Tubal factor	9%	Other factor	1%
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	57%	Ovulatory dysfunction	5%	Unknown factor	4%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	0%	Diminished ovarian reserve	15%	<i>Multiple Factors:</i>	
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	0%	Endometriosis	2%	Female factors only	29%
				Uterine factor	<1%	Female & male factors	24%
				Male factor	12%		

### 2006 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by Donna R. Session, MD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman			
	<35	35–37	38–40	41–42 <sup>d</sup>
<b>Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs</b>				
Number of cycles	44	28	24	8
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies <sup>b</sup>	56.8	25.0	33.3	3 / 8
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	45.5	17.9	16.7	3 / 8
(Confidence Interval)	(30.4–61.2)	(6.1–36.9)	(4.7–37.4)	
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	51.3	25.0	4 / 19	3 / 7
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	54.1	25.0	4 / 18	3 / 6
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births <sup>b</sup>	43.2	20.0	4 / 18	3 / 6
Percentage of cancellations <sup>b</sup>	11.4	28.6	20.8	1 / 8
Average number of embryos transferred	2.2	2.4	2.7	4.2
Percentage of pregnancies with twins <sup>b</sup>	24.0	2 / 7	0 / 8	2 / 3
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more <sup>b</sup>	0.0	0 / 7	0 / 8	0 / 3
Percentage of live births having multiple infants <sup>b,c</sup>	20.0	1 / 5	0 / 4	0 / 3
<b>Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs</b>				
Number of transfers	15	9	2	4
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	3 / 15	4 / 9	0 / 2	0 / 4
Average number of embryos transferred	2.0	2.2	1.5	2.5
<b>All Ages Combined<sup>e</sup></b>				
<b>Donor Eggs</b>	<b>Fresh Embryos</b>		<b>Frozen Embryos</b>	
	6		6	
	3 / 6		1 / 6	
Average number of embryos transferred	2.2		2.2	

### CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

**Current Name:** Emory Reproductive Center

Donor egg?	Yes	Gestational carriers?	Yes	SART member?	No
Donor embryo?	Yes	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	Yes
Single women?	Yes			(See Appendix C for details.)	

<sup>a</sup> Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2006 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.

<sup>b</sup> When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.

<sup>c</sup> A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.

<sup>d</sup> Clinic-specific outcome rates are unreliable for women older than 42 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs. Readers are urged to review national outcomes for these age groups (see page 27).

<sup>e</sup> All ages (including ages >42) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.

## GEORGIA REPRODUCTIVE SPECIALISTS ATLANTA, GEORGIA

A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 79–88.

### 2006 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART <sup>a</sup>				Patient Diagnosis			
IVF	100%	<b>Procedural Factors:</b>		Tubal factor	11%	Other factor	8%
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	39%	Ovulatory dysfunction	7%	Unknown factor	13%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	0%	Diminished ovarian reserve	9%	<b>Multiple Factors:</b>	
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	2%	Endometriosis	6%	Female factors only	17%
				Uterine factor	<1%	Female & male factors	13%
				Male factor	15%		

### 2006 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by Mark Perloe, MD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman			
	<35	35–37	38–40	41–42 <sup>d</sup>
<b>Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs</b>				
Number of cycles	129	74	73	10
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies <sup>b</sup>	42.6	40.5	13.7	1 / 10
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	39.5	28.4	5.5	1 / 10
(Confidence Interval)	(31.0–48.5)	(18.5–40.1)	(1.5–13.4)	
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	42.9	31.3	8.2	1 / 7
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	47.7	32.8	8.7	1 / 6
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births <sup>b</sup>	31.8	23.4	8.7	0 / 6
Percentage of cancellations <sup>b</sup>	7.8	9.5	32.9	3 / 10
Average number of embryos transferred	2.2	2.5	2.8	3.5
Percentage of pregnancies with twins <sup>b</sup>	34.5	23.3	1 / 10	1 / 1
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more <sup>b</sup>	9.1	3.3	0 / 10	0 / 1
Percentage of live births having multiple infants <sup>b,c</sup>	33.3	28.6	0 / 4	1 / 1
<b>Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs</b>				
Number of transfers	66	32	19	8
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	31.8	21.9	4 / 19	1 / 8
Average number of embryos transferred	2.0	2.1	1.9	2.1
<b>All Ages Combined<sup>e</sup></b>				
<b>Donor Eggs</b>	<b>Fresh Embryos</b>		<b>Frozen Embryos</b>	
	29		12	
	65.5		8 / 12	
	2.1		1.9	

### CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

**Current Name:** Georgia Reproductive Specialists

Donor egg?	Yes	Gestational carriers?	Yes	SART member?	Yes
Donor embryo?	Yes	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	Yes
Single women?	Yes			(See Appendix C for details.)	

<sup>a</sup> Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2006 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.

<sup>b</sup> When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.

<sup>c</sup> A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.

<sup>d</sup> Clinic-specific outcome rates are unreliable for women older than 42 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs. Readers are urged to review national outcomes for these age groups (see page 27).

<sup>e</sup> All ages (including ages >42) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.

## REPRODUCTIVE BIOLOGY ASSOCIATES ATLANTA, GEORGIA

A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 79–88.

### 2006 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART <sup>a</sup>			Patient Diagnosis	
IVF	100%	<b>Procedural Factors:</b>	Tubal factor	4%
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	Ovulatory dysfunction	8%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	Diminished ovarian reserve	16%
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	Endometriosis	6%
			Uterine factor	2%
			Male factor	12%
			Other factor	4%
			Unknown factor	4%
			<b>Multiple Factors:</b>	
			Female factors only	22%
			Female & male factors	21%

### 2006 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by Daniel B. Shapiro, MD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman			
	<35	35–37	38–40	41–42 <sup>d</sup>
<b>Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs</b>				
Number of cycles	295	234	168	89
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies <sup>b</sup>	39.3	39.7	26.2	5.6
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	35.3	34.2	20.2	3.4
(Confidence Interval)	(29.8–41.0)	(28.1–40.7)	(14.4–27.1)	(0.7–9.5)
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	39.1	39.4	23.0	4.6
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	42.3	42.8	25.4	5.7
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births <sup>b</sup>	28.9	29.4	20.9	3.8
Percentage of cancellations <sup>b</sup>	9.8	13.2	11.9	27.0
Average number of embryos transferred	2.3	2.7	3.1	2.9
Percentage of pregnancies with twins <sup>b</sup>	28.4	22.6	18.2	1 / 5
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more <sup>b</sup>	3.4	8.6	4.5	0 / 5
Percentage of live births having multiple infants <sup>b,c</sup>	31.7	31.3	17.6	1 / 3
<b>Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs</b>				
Number of transfers	118	66	31	12
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	38.1	37.9	29.0	6 / 12
Average number of embryos transferred	3.0	3.1	3.2	3.3
<b>All Ages Combined<sup>e</sup></b>				
<b>Donor Eggs</b>	<b>Fresh Embryos</b>		<b>Frozen Embryos</b>	
	Number of transfers		64	
	Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>		40.6	
	Average number of embryos transferred		2.6	

### CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

**Current Name:** Reproductive Biology Associates

Donor egg?	Yes	Gestational carriers?	Yes	SART member?	Yes
Donor embryo?	Yes	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	Yes
Single women?	Yes			(See Appendix C for details.)	

<sup>a</sup> Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2006 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.

<sup>b</sup> When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.

<sup>c</sup> A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.

<sup>d</sup> Clinic-specific outcome rates are unreliable for women older than 42 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs. Readers are urged to review national outcomes for these age groups (see page 27).

<sup>e</sup> All ages (including ages >42) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.

# REPRODUCTIVE MEDICINE AND INFERTILITY ASSOCIATES

## AUGUSTA, GEORGIA

A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 79–88.

### 2006 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART <sup>a</sup>				Patient Diagnosis			
IVF	100%	<b>Procedural Factors:</b>		Tubal factor	13%	Other factor	9%
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	59%	Ovulatory dysfunction	16%	Unknown factor	16%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	0%	Diminished ovarian reserve	19%	<b>Multiple Factors:</b>	
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	0%	Endometriosis	16%	Female factors only	0%
				Uterine factor	3%	Female & male factors	6%
				Male factor	3%		

### 2006 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by Adelina M. Emmi, MD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman			
	<35	35–37	38–40	41–42 <sup>d</sup>
<b>Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs</b>				
Number of cycles	15	2	7	5
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies <sup>b</sup>	10 / 15	1 / 2	3 / 7	2 / 5
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup> (Confidence Interval)	9 / 15	1 / 2	3 / 7	2 / 5
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	9 / 15	1 / 2	3 / 7	2 / 4
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	9 / 15	1 / 2	3 / 7	2 / 4
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births <sup>b</sup>	5 / 15	1 / 2	2 / 7	1 / 4
Percentage of cancellations <sup>b</sup>	0 / 15	0 / 2	0 / 7	1 / 5
Average number of embryos transferred	2.5	2.5	2.9	2.3
Percentage of pregnancies with twins <sup>b</sup>	3 / 10	0 / 1	2 / 3	0 / 2
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more <sup>b</sup>	1 / 10	0 / 1	0 / 3	1 / 2
Percentage of live births having multiple infants <sup>b,c</sup>	4 / 9	0 / 1	1 / 3	1 / 2
<b>Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs</b>				
Number of transfers	0	1	0	0
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>		0 / 1		
Average number of embryos transferred		2.0		
<b>All Ages Combined<sup>e</sup></b>				
<b>Donor Eggs</b>	<b>Fresh Embryos</b>		<b>Frozen Embryos</b>	
	1		0	
	0 / 1			
Average number of embryos transferred	2.0			

### CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

**Current Name:** Reproductive Medicine and Infertility Associates

Donor egg?	Yes	Gestational carriers?	No	SART member?	Yes
Donor embryo?	No	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	Yes
Single women?	Yes			(See Appendix C for details.)	

<sup>a</sup> Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2006 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.

<sup>b</sup> When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.

<sup>c</sup> A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.

<sup>d</sup> Clinic-specific outcome rates are unreliable for women older than 42 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs. Readers are urged to review national outcomes for these age groups (see page 27).

<sup>e</sup> All ages (including ages >42) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.

# SERVY INSTITUTE FOR REPRODUCTIVE ENDOCRINOLOGY

## AUGUSTA, GEORGIA

A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 79–88.

### 2006 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART <sup>a</sup>				Patient Diagnosis			
IVF	100%	<b>Procedural Factors:</b>		Tubal factor	14%	Other factor	0%
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	31%	Ovulatory dysfunction	5%	Unknown factor	0%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	0%	Diminished ovarian reserve	5%	<i>Multiple Factors:</i>	
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	0%	Endometriosis	0%	Female factors only	19%
				Uterine factor	10%	Female & male factors	24%
				Male factor	24%		

### 2006 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by Edouard Servy, MD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman			
	<35	35–37	38–40	41–42 <sup>d</sup>
<b>Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs</b>				
Number of cycles	10	4	0	1
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies <sup>b</sup>	4 / 10	0 / 4		1 / 1
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	4 / 10	0 / 4		1 / 1
(Confidence Interval)				
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	4 / 8	0 / 2		1 / 1
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	4 / 8	0 / 2		1 / 1
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births <sup>b</sup>	4 / 8	0 / 2		1 / 1
Percentage of cancellations <sup>b</sup>	2 / 10	2 / 4		0 / 1
Average number of embryos transferred	2.4	2.0		4.0
Percentage of pregnancies with twins <sup>b</sup>	0 / 4			0 / 1
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more <sup>b</sup>	0 / 4			0 / 1
Percentage of live births having multiple infants <sup>b,c</sup>	0 / 4			0 / 1
<b>Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs</b>				
Number of transfers	2	1	2	0
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	2 / 2	0 / 1	0 / 2	
Average number of embryos transferred	2.5	1.0	2.0	
<b>All Ages Combined<sup>e</sup></b>				
<b>Donor Eggs</b>	<b>Fresh Embryos</b>		<b>Frozen Embryos</b>	
Number of transfers	0		0	
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>				
Average number of embryos transferred				

### CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

**Current Name:** Servy Institute for Reproductive Endocrinology

Donor egg?	Yes	Gestational carriers?	No	SART member?	Yes
Donor embryo?	No	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	Yes
Single women?	No			(See Appendix C for details.)	

<sup>a</sup> Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2006 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.

<sup>b</sup> When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.

<sup>c</sup> A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.

<sup>d</sup> Clinic-specific outcome rates are unreliable for women older than 42 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs. Readers are urged to review national outcomes for these age groups (see page 27).

<sup>e</sup> All ages (including ages >42) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.



# COLUMBUS CENTER FOR REPRODUCTIVE ENDOCRINOLOGY & INFERTILITY, LLC COLUMBUS, GEORGIA

A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 79–88.

## 2006 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART <sup>a</sup>				Patient Diagnosis	
IVF	100%	<b>Procedural Factors:</b>		Tubal factor	17%
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	34%	Ovulatory dysfunction	28%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	0%	Diminished ovarian reserve	3%
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	6%	Endometriosis	3%
				Uterine factor	4%
				Male factor	3%
				Other factor	0%
				Unknown factor	17%
				<b>Multiple Factors:</b>	
				Female factors only	14%
				Female & male factors	13%

## 2006 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by Prakash J. Thiruppathi, MD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman			
	<35	35–37	38–40	41–42 <sup>d</sup>
<b>Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs</b>				
Number of cycles	30	12	6	1
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies <sup>b</sup>	43.3	7 / 12	0 / 6	1 / 1
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	36.7	4 / 12	0 / 6	0 / 1
(Confidence Interval)	(19.9–56.1)			
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	36.7	4 / 10	0 / 5	0 / 1
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	40.7	4 / 9	0 / 5	0 / 1
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births <sup>b</sup>	14.8	4 / 9	0 / 5	0 / 1
Percentage of cancellations <sup>b</sup>	0.0	2 / 12	1 / 6	0 / 1
Average number of embryos transferred	2.7	2.9	3.2	3.0
Percentage of pregnancies with twins <sup>b</sup>	7 / 13	0 / 7		0 / 1
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more <sup>b</sup>	0 / 13	1 / 7		0 / 1
Percentage of live births having multiple infants <sup>b,c</sup>	7 / 11	0 / 4		
<b>Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs</b>				
Number of transfers	10	2	1	1
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	2 / 10	0 / 2	0 / 1	0 / 1
Average number of embryos transferred	3.4	4.0	3.0	3.0
<b>All Ages Combined<sup>e</sup></b>				
<b>Donor Eggs</b>	<b>Fresh Embryos</b>		<b>Frozen Embryos</b>	
	5		1	
	3 / 5		0 / 1	
Number of transfers	5		1	
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	3 / 5		0 / 1	
Average number of embryos transferred	2.2		3.0	

## CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

**Current Name:** Columbus Center for Reproductive Endocrinology & Infertility, LLC

Donor egg?	Yes	Gestational carriers?	Yes	SART member?	No
Donor embryo?	Yes	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	Yes
Single women?	Yes			(See Appendix C for details.)	

<sup>a</sup> Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2006 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.

<sup>b</sup> When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.

<sup>c</sup> A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.

<sup>d</sup> Clinic-specific outcome rates are unreliable for women older than 42 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs. Readers are urged to review national outcomes for these age groups (see page 27).

<sup>e</sup> All ages (including ages >42) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.



# CENTRAL GEORGIA FERTILITY INSTITUTE MACON, GEORGIA

**A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 79–88.**

## 2006 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART <sup>a</sup>				Patient Diagnosis			
IVF	100%	<b>Procedural Factors:</b>		Tubal factor	20%	Other factor	2%
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	47%	Ovulatory dysfunction	0%	Unknown factor	7%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	0%	Diminished ovarian reserve	2%	<i>Multiple Factors:</i>	
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	0%	Endometriosis	8%	Female factors only	20%
				Uterine factor	3%	Female & male factors	21%
				Male factor	18%		

## 2006 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by William J. Butler, MD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman			
	<35	35–37	38–40	41–42 <sup>d</sup>
<b>Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs</b>				
Number of cycles	30	7	11	1
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies <sup>b</sup>	43.3	3 / 7	3 / 11	0 / 1
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	33.3	3 / 7	3 / 11	0 / 1
(Confidence Interval)	(17.3–52.8)			
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	35.7	3 / 5	3 / 8	
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	38.5	3 / 5	3 / 8	
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births <sup>b</sup>	19.2	1 / 5	3 / 8	
Percentage of cancellations <sup>b</sup>	6.7	2 / 7	3 / 11	1 / 1
Average number of embryos transferred	2.0	2.0	2.6	
Percentage of pregnancies with twins <sup>b</sup>	5 / 13	2 / 3	0 / 3	
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more <sup>b</sup>	0 / 13	0 / 3	0 / 3	
Percentage of live births having multiple infants <sup>b,c</sup>	5 / 10	2 / 3	0 / 3	
<b>Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs</b>				
Number of transfers	5	4	1	0
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	3 / 5	1 / 4	0 / 1	
Average number of embryos transferred	2.0	2.0	2.0	
<b>All Ages Combined<sup>e</sup></b>				
<b>Donor Eggs</b>				
	<b>Fresh Embryos</b>		<b>Frozen Embryos</b>	
Number of transfers	0		0	
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>				
Average number of embryos transferred				

## CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

**Current Name:** Central Georgia Fertility Institute

Donor egg?	No	Gestational carriers?	No	SART member?	Yes
Donor embryo?	No	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	Yes
Single women?	Yes			(See Appendix C for details.)	

<sup>a</sup> Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2006 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.

<sup>b</sup> When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.

<sup>c</sup> A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.

<sup>d</sup> Clinic-specific outcome rates are unreliable for women older than 42 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs. Readers are urged to review national outcomes for these age groups (see page 27).

<sup>e</sup> All ages (including ages >42) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.

# GEORGIA CENTER FOR REPRODUCTIVE MEDICINE SAVANNAH, GEORGIA

A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 79–88.

## 2006 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART <sup>a</sup>				Patient Diagnosis			
IVF	100%	<b>Procedural Factors:</b>		Tubal factor	20%	Other factor	2%
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	37%	Ovulatory dysfunction	12%	Unknown factor	4%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	0%	Diminished ovarian reserve	13%	<b>Multiple Factors:</b>	
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	1%	Endometriosis	4%	Female factors only	15%
				Uterine factor	0%	Female & male factors	18%
				Male factor	13%		

## 2006 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by Patrick L. Blohm, MD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman			
	<35	35–37	38–40	41–42 <sup>d</sup>
<b>Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs</b>				
Number of cycles	50	22	11	6
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies <sup>b</sup>	58.0	68.2	7 / 11	2 / 6
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	54.0	59.1	7 / 11	1 / 6
(Confidence Interval)	(39.3–68.2)	(36.4–79.3)		
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	61.4	59.1	7 / 11	1 / 5
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	65.9	59.1	7 / 10	1 / 5
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births <sup>b</sup>	39.0	31.8	6 / 10	1 / 5
Percentage of cancellations <sup>b</sup>	12.0	0.0	0 / 11	1 / 6
Average number of embryos transferred	2.5	2.8	2.9	2.6
Percentage of pregnancies with twins <sup>b</sup>	31.0	4 / 15	1 / 7	0 / 2
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more <sup>b</sup>	10.3	3 / 15	1 / 7	0 / 2
Percentage of live births having multiple infants <sup>b,c</sup>	40.7	6 / 13	1 / 7	0 / 1
<b>Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs</b>				
Number of transfers	14	8	3	0
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	6 / 14	3 / 8	1 / 3	
Average number of embryos transferred	2.1	2.0	1.7	
<b>All Ages Combined<sup>e</sup></b>				
<b>Donor Eggs</b>	<b>Fresh Embryos</b>		<b>Frozen Embryos</b>	
	12		5	
	8 / 12		2 / 5	
Average number of embryos transferred	2.7		2.4	

## CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

**Current Name:** Georgia Center for Reproductive Medicine

Donor egg?	Yes	Gestational carriers?	Yes	SART member?	No
Donor embryo?	Yes	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	No
Single women?	Yes			(See Appendix C for details.)	

<sup>a</sup> Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2006 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.

<sup>b</sup> When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.

<sup>c</sup> A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.

<sup>d</sup> Clinic-specific outcome rates are unreliable for women older than 42 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs. Readers are urged to review national outcomes for these age groups (see page 27).

<sup>e</sup> All ages (including ages >42) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.

## ADVANCED REPRODUCTIVE CENTER OF HAWAII HONOLULU, HAWAII

A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 79–88.

### 2006 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART <sup>a</sup>				Patient Diagnosis			
IVF	100%	<b>Procedural Factors:</b>		Tubal factor	<1%	Other factor	3%
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	56%	Ovulatory dysfunction	2%	Unknown factor	0%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	0%	Diminished ovarian reserve	7%	<i>Multiple Factors:</i>	
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	0%	Endometriosis	8%	Female factors only	8%
				Uterine factor	<1%	Female & male factors	64%
				Male factor	8%		

### 2006 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by Christopher T. Huang, MD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman			
	<35	35–37	38–40	41–42 <sup>d</sup>
<b>Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs</b>				
Number of cycles	14	19	31	19
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies <sup>b</sup>	4 / 14	4 / 19	32.3	7 / 19
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup> (Confidence Interval)	2 / 14	3 / 19	19.4 (7.5–37.5)	5 / 19
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	2 / 12	3 / 16	28.6	5 / 16
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	2 / 11	3 / 15	28.6	5 / 14
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births <sup>b</sup>	0 / 11	2 / 15	19.0	5 / 14
Percentage of cancellations <sup>b</sup>	2 / 14	3 / 19	32.3	3 / 19
Average number of embryos transferred	3.0	3.3	3.2	2.9
Percentage of pregnancies with twins <sup>b</sup>	1 / 4	2 / 4	2 / 10	0 / 7
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more <sup>b</sup>	2 / 4	0 / 4	0 / 10	0 / 7
Percentage of live births having multiple infants <sup>b,c</sup>	2 / 2	1 / 3	2 / 6	0 / 5
<b>Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs</b>				
Number of transfers	4	0	0	0
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	2 / 4			
Average number of embryos transferred	2.0			
<b>All Ages Combined<sup>e</sup></b>				
<b>Donor Eggs</b>	<b>Fresh Embryos</b>		<b>Frozen Embryos</b>	
	9		2	
	7 / 9		1 / 2	
	2.1		1.5	

### CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

**Current Name:** Advanced Reproductive Center of Hawaii

Donor egg?	Yes	Gestational carriers?	Yes	SART member?	Yes
Donor embryo?	Yes	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	Yes
Single women?	Yes			(See Appendix C for details.)	

<sup>a</sup> Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2006 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.

<sup>b</sup> When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.

<sup>c</sup> A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.

<sup>d</sup> Clinic-specific outcome rates are unreliable for women older than 42 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs. Readers are urged to review national outcomes for these age groups (see page 27).

<sup>e</sup> All ages (including ages >42) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.

# PACIFIC IN VITRO FERTILIZATION INSTITUTE HONOLULU, HAWAII

**A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 79–88.**

## 2006 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART <sup>a</sup>				Patient Diagnosis			
IVF	100%	<b>Procedural Factors:</b>		Tubal factor	9%	Other factor	1%
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	44%	Ovulatory dysfunction	3%	Unknown factor	2%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	0%	Diminished ovarian reserve	7%	<b>Multiple Factors:</b>	
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	<1%	Endometriosis	6%	Female factors only	19%
				Uterine factor	0%	Female & male factors	40%
				Male factor	12%		

## 2006 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by Thomas S. Kosasa, MD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman			
	<35	35–37	38–40	41–42 <sup>d</sup>
<b>Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs</b>				
Number of cycles	80	59	46	29
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies <sup>b</sup>	32.5	32.2	17.4	3.4
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	25.0	25.4	13.0	3.4
(Confidence Interval)	(16.0–35.9)	(15.0–38.4)	(4.9–26.3)	(0.1–17.8)
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	27.8	26.8	15.4	4.8
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	32.8	30.6	17.6	5.0
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births <sup>b</sup>	18.0	16.3	11.8	5.0
Percentage of cancellations <sup>b</sup>	10.0	5.1	15.2	27.6
Average number of embryos transferred	2.8	3.4	3.5	4.2
Percentage of pregnancies with twins <sup>b</sup>	42.3	9 / 19	1 / 8	0 / 1
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more <sup>b</sup>	15.4	0 / 19	1 / 8	0 / 1
Percentage of live births having multiple infants <sup>b,c</sup>	45.0	7 / 15	2 / 6	0 / 1
<b>Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs</b>				
Number of transfers	18	22	10	3
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	8 / 18	45.5	5 / 10	1 / 3
Average number of embryos transferred	2.1	2.2	2.4	2.3
<b>All Ages Combined<sup>e</sup></b>				
<b>Donor Eggs</b>	<b>Fresh Embryos</b>		<b>Frozen Embryos</b>	
	30		9	
	50.0		7 / 9	
	2.2		2.0	

## CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

**Current Name:** Pacific In Vitro Fertilization Institute

Donor egg?	Yes	Gestational carriers?	Yes	SART member?	Yes
Donor embryo?	Yes	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	Yes
Single women?	Yes			(See Appendix C for details.)	

<sup>a</sup> Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2006 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.

<sup>b</sup> When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.

<sup>c</sup> A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.

<sup>d</sup> Clinic-specific outcome rates are unreliable for women older than 42 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs. Readers are urged to review national outcomes for these age groups (see page 27).

<sup>e</sup> All ages (including ages >42) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.

# TRIPLER ARMY MEDICAL CENTER IVF INSTITUTE TRIPLER AMC, HAWAII

A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 79–88.

## 2006 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART <sup>a</sup>				Patient Diagnosis			
IVF	100%	<b>Procedural Factors:</b>		Tubal factor	31%	Other factor	3%
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	68%	Ovulatory dysfunction	5%	Unknown factor	6%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	0%	Diminished ovarian reserve	0%	<b>Multiple Factors:</b>	
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	0%	Endometriosis	3%	Female factors only	8%
				Uterine factor	0%	Female & male factors	13%
				Male factor	33%		

## 2006 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by Richard S. Lucidi, MD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman			
	<35	35–37	38–40	41–42 <sup>d</sup>
<b>Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs</b>				
Number of cycles	27	16	11	5
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies <sup>b</sup>	33.3	5 / 16	4 / 11	0 / 5
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	29.6	4 / 16	4 / 11	0 / 5
(Confidence Interval)	(13.8–50.2)			
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	32.0	4 / 16	4 / 11	0 / 3
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	32.0	4 / 16	4 / 11	0 / 3
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births <sup>b</sup>	8.0	3 / 16	4 / 11	0 / 3
Percentage of cancellations <sup>b</sup>	7.4	0 / 16	0 / 11	2 / 5
Average number of embryos transferred	2.8	2.8	3.3	2.3
Percentage of pregnancies with twins <sup>b</sup>	6 / 9	2 / 5	0 / 4	
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more <sup>b</sup>	0 / 9	0 / 5	0 / 4	
Percentage of live births having multiple infants <sup>b,c</sup>	6 / 8	1 / 4	0 / 4	
<b>Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs</b>				
Number of transfers	8	11	2	0
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	4 / 8	3 / 11	0 / 2	
Average number of embryos transferred	2.0	2.3	2.5	
<b>All Ages Combined<sup>e</sup></b>				
<b>Donor Eggs</b>		<b>Fresh Embryos</b>	<b>Frozen Embryos</b>	
Number of transfers		0	0	
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>				
Average number of embryos transferred				

## CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

**Current Name:** Tripler Army Medical Center IVF Institute

Donor egg?	No	Gestational carriers?	No	SART member?	Yes
Donor embryo?	No	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	Yes
Single women?	Yes			(See Appendix C for details.)	

<sup>a</sup> Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2006 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.

<sup>b</sup> When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.

<sup>c</sup> A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.

<sup>d</sup> Clinic-specific outcome rates are unreliable for women older than 42 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs. Readers are urged to review national outcomes for these age groups (see page 27).

<sup>e</sup> All ages (including ages >42) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.

# IDAHO CENTER FOR REPRODUCTIVE MEDICINE BOISE, IDAHO

A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 79–88.

## 2006 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART <sup>a</sup>				Patient Diagnosis			
IVF	100%	<b>Procedural Factors:</b>		Tubal factor	7%	Other factor	5%
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	51%	Ovulatory dysfunction	4%	Unknown factor	3%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	0%	Diminished ovarian reserve	16%	<b>Multiple Factors:</b>	
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	5%	Endometriosis	3%	Female factors only	23%
				Uterine factor	<1%	Female & male factors	27%
				Male factor	13%		

## 2006 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by Cristin C. Slater, MD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman			
	<35	35–37	38–40	41–42 <sup>d</sup>
<b>Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs</b>				
Number of cycles	138	54	42	14
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies <sup>b</sup>	49.3	40.7	26.2	4 / 14
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	47.8	33.3	21.4	3 / 14
(Confidence Interval)	(39.3–56.5)	(21.1–47.5)	(10.3–36.8)	
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	49.3	35.3	23.7	3 / 11
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	50.0	37.5	24.3	3 / 11
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births <sup>b</sup>	39.4	25.0	16.2	3 / 11
Percentage of cancellations <sup>b</sup>	2.9	5.6	9.5	3 / 14
Average number of embryos transferred	2.4	2.9	3.2	3.3
Percentage of pregnancies with twins <sup>b</sup>	29.4	31.8	3 / 11	0 / 4
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more <sup>b</sup>	7.4	0.0	0 / 11	0 / 4
Percentage of live births having multiple infants <sup>b,c</sup>	21.2	6 / 18	3 / 9	0 / 3
<b>Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs</b>				
Number of transfers	65	31	10	3
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	38.5	12.9	2 / 10	0 / 3
Average number of embryos transferred	2.6	2.4	2.7	2.7
<b>All Ages Combined<sup>e</sup></b>				
<b>Donor Eggs</b>	<b>Fresh Embryos</b>		<b>Frozen Embryos</b>	
	45		46	
	60.0		28.3	
	2.3		2.6	

## CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

**Current Name:** Idaho Center for Reproductive Medicine

Donor egg?	Yes	Gestational carriers?	Yes	SART member?	Yes
Donor embryo?	Yes	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	Yes
Single women?	Yes			(See Appendix C for details.)	

<sup>a</sup> Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2006 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.

<sup>b</sup> When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.

<sup>c</sup> A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.

<sup>d</sup> Clinic-specific outcome rates are unreliable for women older than 42 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs. Readers are urged to review national outcomes for these age groups (see page 27).

<sup>e</sup> All ages (including ages >42) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.



## RUSH–COPLEY CENTER FOR REPRODUCTIVE HEALTH AURORA, ILLINOIS

A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 79–88.

### 2006 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART <sup>a</sup>				Patient Diagnosis			
IVF	98%	<b>Procedural Factors:</b>		Tubal factor	9%	Other factor	39%
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	62%	Ovulatory dysfunction	4%	Unknown factor	9%
ZIFT	1%	Unstimulated	0%	Diminished ovarian reserve	4%	<i>Multiple Factors:</i>	
Combination	1%	Used gestational carrier	0%	Endometriosis	6%	Female factors only	8%
				Uterine factor	2%	Female & male factors	13%
				Male factor	8%		

### 2006 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by Zvi Binor, MD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman			
	<35	35–37	38–40	41–42 <sup>d</sup>
<b>Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs</b>				
Number of cycles	46	11	19	7
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies <sup>b</sup>	23.9	4 / 11	5 / 19	0 / 7
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	21.7	4 / 11	4 / 19	0 / 7
(Confidence Interval)	(10.9–36.4)			
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	23.8	4 / 8	4 / 15	0 / 6
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	23.8	4 / 7	4 / 12	0 / 5
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births <sup>b</sup>	11.9	2 / 7	4 / 12	0 / 5
Percentage of cancellations <sup>b</sup>	8.7	3 / 11	4 / 19	1 / 7
Average number of embryos transferred	2.9	2.4	3.0	2.8
Percentage of pregnancies with twins <sup>b</sup>	4 / 11	1 / 4	0 / 5	
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more <sup>b</sup>	1 / 11	1 / 4	0 / 5	
Percentage of live births having multiple infants <sup>b,c</sup>	5 / 10	2 / 4	0 / 4	
<b>Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs</b>				
Number of transfers	7	1	2	0
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	1 / 7	1 / 1	0 / 2	
Average number of embryos transferred	2.1	1.0	2.5	
<b>All Ages Combined<sup>e</sup></b>				
<b>Donor Eggs</b>	<b>Fresh Embryos</b>		<b>Frozen Embryos</b>	
	1		1	
	0 / 1		0 / 1	
Average number of embryos transferred	3.0		2.0	

### CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

**Current Name:** Rush–Copley Center for Reproductive Health

Donor egg?	Yes	Gestational carriers?	No	SART member?	Yes
Donor embryo?	No	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	Yes
Single women?	Yes			(See Appendix C for details.)	

<sup>a</sup> Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2006 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.

<sup>b</sup> When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.

<sup>c</sup> A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.

<sup>d</sup> Clinic-specific outcome rates are unreliable for women older than 42 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs. Readers are urged to review national outcomes for these age groups (see page 27).

<sup>e</sup> All ages (including ages >42) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.



# LIFE–WOMEN’S HEALTH CENTER

## DANIEL A. ROSTEIN, MD

### BERWYN, ILLINOIS

**A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 79–88.**

#### 2006 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART <sup>a</sup>				Patient Diagnosis			
IVF	100%	<b>Procedural Factors:</b>		Tubal factor	39%	Other factor	8%
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	56%	Ovulatory dysfunction	15%	Unknown factor	0%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	0%	Diminished ovarian reserve	0%	<i>Multiple Factors:</i>	
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	0%	Endometriosis	15%	Female factors only	0%
				Uterine factor	0%	Female & male factors	15%
				Male factor	8%		

#### 2006 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by Daniel A. Rostein, MD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman			
	<35	35–37	38–40	41–42 <sup>d</sup>
<b>Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs</b>				
Number of cycles	5	2	2	0
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies <sup>b</sup>	3 / 5	0 / 2	1 / 2	
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	2 / 5	0 / 2	1 / 2	
(Confidence Interval)				
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	2 / 5	0 / 2	1 / 2	
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	2 / 5	0 / 2	1 / 2	
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births <sup>b</sup>	1 / 5	0 / 2	0 / 2	
Percentage of cancellations <sup>b</sup>	0 / 5	0 / 2	0 / 2	
Average number of embryos transferred	2.0	2.5	3.5	
Percentage of pregnancies with twins <sup>b</sup>	1 / 3		1 / 1	
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more <sup>b</sup>	0 / 3		0 / 1	
Percentage of live births having multiple infants <sup>b,c</sup>	1 / 2		1 / 1	
<b>Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs</b>				
Number of transfers	0	3	0	1
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>		2 / 3		0 / 1
Average number of embryos transferred		2.3		3.0
<b>All Ages Combined<sup>e</sup></b>				
<b>Donor Eggs</b>	<b>Fresh Embryos</b>		<b>Frozen Embryos</b>	
	0		0	
	Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>			
	Average number of embryos transferred			

#### CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

**Current Name:** Life–Women’s Health Center, Daniel A. Rostein, MD

Donor egg?	Yes	Gestational carriers?	No	SART member?	No
Donor embryo?	No	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	Yes
Single women?	Yes			(See Appendix C for details.)	

<sup>a</sup> Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2006 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.

<sup>b</sup> When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.

<sup>c</sup> A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.

<sup>d</sup> Clinic-specific outcome rates are unreliable for women older than 42 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs. Readers are urged to review national outcomes for these age groups (see page 27).

<sup>e</sup> All ages (including ages >42) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.

**MARTIN S. BALIN, MD, PhD**  
**CHICAGO, ILLINOIS**

**A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 79–88.**

### 2006 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART <sup>a</sup>				Patient Diagnosis			
IVF	100%	<b>Procedural Factors:</b>		Tubal factor	3%	Other factor	14%
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	100%	Ovulatory dysfunction	3%	Unknown factor	36%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	0%	Diminished ovarian reserve	0%	<b>Multiple Factors:</b>	
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	0%	Endometriosis	0%	Female factors only	22%
				Uterine factor	0%	Female & male factors	19%
				Male factor	3%		

### 2006 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by Martin S. Balin, MD, PhD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman			
	<35	35–37	38–40	41–42 <sup>d</sup>
<b>Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs</b>				
Number of cycles	9	7	12	2
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies <sup>b</sup>	3 / 9	0 / 7	2 / 12	0 / 2
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	3 / 9	0 / 7	1 / 12	0 / 2
(Confidence Interval)				
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	3 / 9	0 / 7	1 / 12	0 / 2
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	3 / 9	0 / 6	1 / 11	0 / 2
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births <sup>b</sup>	3 / 9	0 / 6	1 / 11	0 / 2
Percentage of cancellations <sup>b</sup>	0 / 9	0 / 7	0 / 12	0 / 2
Average number of embryos transferred	2.4	2.7	2.9	2.5
Percentage of pregnancies with twins <sup>b</sup>	0 / 3		0 / 2	
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more <sup>b</sup>	0 / 3		0 / 2	
Percentage of live births having multiple infants <sup>b,c</sup>	0 / 3		0 / 1	
<b>Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs</b>				
Number of transfers	0	0	0	0
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>				
Average number of embryos transferred				
<b>All Ages Combined<sup>e</sup></b>				
<b>Donor Eggs</b>	<b>Fresh Embryos</b>		<b>Frozen Embryos</b>	
	Number of transfers		1	
	Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>		1 / 1	
	Average number of embryos transferred		1.0	

### CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

**Current Name:** Martin S. Balin, MD, PhD

Donor egg?	Yes	Gestational carriers?	Yes	SART member?	No
Donor embryo?	No	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	Yes
Single women?	Yes			(See Appendix C for details.)	

<sup>a</sup> Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2006 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.

<sup>b</sup> When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.

<sup>c</sup> A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.

<sup>d</sup> Clinic-specific outcome rates are unreliable for women older than 42 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs. Readers are urged to review national outcomes for these age groups (see page 27).

<sup>e</sup> All ages (including ages >42) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.

**CENTER FOR REPRODUCTIVE MEDICINE & FERTILITY**  
**THE UNIVERSITY OF CHICAGO**  
**CHICAGO, ILLINOIS**

**A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 79–88.**

### 2006 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART <sup>a</sup>				Patient Diagnosis	
IVF	>99%	<b>Procedural Factors:</b>		Tubal factor	10%
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	46%	Ovulatory dysfunction	2%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	0%	Diminished ovarian reserve	<1%
Combination	<1%	Used gestational carrier	<1%	Endometriosis	4%
				Uterine factor	<1%
				Male factor	13%
				Other factor	22%
				Unknown factor	22%
				<b>Multiple Factors:</b>	
				Female factors only	11%
				Female & male factors	16%

### 2006 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by Helen Kim, MD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman			
	<35	35–37	38–40	41–42 <sup>d</sup>
<b>Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs</b>				
Number of cycles	44	33	25	24
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies <sup>b</sup>	36.4	27.3	16.0	8.3
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	31.8	21.2	12.0	4.2
(Confidence Interval)	(18.6–47.6)	(9.0–38.9)	(2.5–31.2)	(0.1–21.1)
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	36.8	25.0	3 / 17	1 / 16
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	41.2	28.0	3 / 16	1 / 14
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births <sup>b</sup>	26.5	28.0	3 / 16	1 / 14
Percentage of cancellations <sup>b</sup>	13.6	15.2	32.0	33.3
Average number of embryos transferred	2.3	2.4	2.6	4.0
Percentage of pregnancies with twins <sup>b</sup>	4 / 16	0 / 9	0 / 4	0 / 2
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more <sup>b</sup>	1 / 16	0 / 9	0 / 4	0 / 2
Percentage of live births having multiple infants <sup>b,c</sup>	5 / 14	0 / 7	0 / 3	0 / 1
<b>Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs</b>				
Number of transfers	17	12	5	2
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	1 / 17	2 / 12	0 / 5	0 / 2
Average number of embryos transferred	2.6	3.5	3.6	4.0
<b>All Ages Combined<sup>e</sup></b>				
<b>Donor Eggs</b>	<b>Fresh Embryos</b>		<b>Frozen Embryos</b>	
	9		2	
	4 / 9		0 / 2	
	2.3		4.0	

### CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

**Current Name:** Center for Reproductive Medicine & Fertility, The University of Chicago

Donor egg?	Yes	Gestational carriers?	Yes	SART member?	Yes
Donor embryo?	No	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	Yes
Single women?	Yes			(See Appendix C for details.)	

<sup>a</sup> Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2006 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.

<sup>b</sup> When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.

<sup>c</sup> A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.

<sup>d</sup> Clinic-specific outcome rates are unreliable for women older than 42 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs. Readers are urged to review national outcomes for these age groups (see page 27).

<sup>e</sup> All ages (including ages >42) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.

# INSTITUTE FOR HUMAN REPRODUCTION (IHR)<sup>®</sup> CHICAGO, ILLINOIS

A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 79–88.

## 2006 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART <sup>a</sup>				Patient Diagnosis			
IVF	100%	<b>Procedural Factors:</b>		Tubal factor	2%	Other factor	27%
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	89%	Ovulatory dysfunction	8%	Unknown factor	3%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	0%	Diminished ovarian reserve	10%	<b>Multiple Factors:</b>	
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	<1%	Endometriosis	2%	Female factors only	20%
				Uterine factor	0%	Female & male factors	24%
				Male factor	5%		

## 2006 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by Ilan Tur-Kaspa, MD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman			
	<35	35–37	38–40	41–42 <sup>d</sup>
<b>Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs</b>				
Number of cycles	87	34	28	14
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies <sup>b</sup>	34.5	35.3	21.4	2 / 14
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	31.0	23.5	21.4	1 / 14
(Confidence Interval)	(21.5–41.9)	(10.7–41.2)	(8.3–41.0)	
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	31.8	24.2	24.0	1 / 13
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	36.5	27.6	6 / 15	1 / 7
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births <sup>b</sup>	21.6	20.7	4 / 15	1 / 7
Percentage of cancellations <sup>b</sup>	2.3	2.9	10.7	1 / 14
Average number of embryos transferred	1.8	1.9	2.1	2.0
Percentage of pregnancies with twins <sup>b</sup>	36.7	2 / 12	0 / 6	0 / 2
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more <sup>b</sup>	3.3	0 / 12	2 / 6	0 / 2
Percentage of live births having multiple infants <sup>b,c</sup>	40.7	2 / 8	2 / 6	0 / 1
<b>Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs</b>				
Number of transfers	28	11	11	2
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	21.4	4 / 11	3 / 11	0 / 2
Average number of embryos transferred	1.7	1.9	1.8	1.5
<b>All Ages Combined<sup>e</sup></b>				
<b>Donor Eggs</b>	<b>Fresh Embryos</b>		<b>Frozen Embryos</b>	
	19		4	
	11 / 19		3 / 4	
	2.0		2.3	

## CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

**Current Name:** Institute for Human Reproduction (IHR)

Donor egg?	Yes	Gestational carriers?	Yes	SART member?	No
Donor embryo?	Yes	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	Yes
Single women?	Yes			(See Appendix C for details.)	


<sup>a</sup> Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2006 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.

<sup>b</sup> When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.

<sup>c</sup> A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.

<sup>d</sup> Clinic-specific outcome rates are unreliable for women older than 42 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs. Readers are urged to review national outcomes for these age groups (see page 27).

<sup>e</sup> All ages (including ages >42) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.

<sup>f</sup> Reflects clinic performed more than 50 cycles with Preimplantation Genetic Diagnosis (PGD) in 2006 and among them more than 10 specifically for the purpose of prevention of genetic disorders. See Appendix C for a complete list of clinics with  symbol.

# NORTHWESTERN UNIVERSITY CHICAGO, ILLINOIS

**A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 79–88.**

## 2006 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART <sup>a</sup>			Patient Diagnosis	
IVF	100%	<b>Procedural Factors:</b>	Tubal factor	6%
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	Ovulatory dysfunction	5%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	Diminished ovarian reserve	23%
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	Endometriosis	3%
			Uterine factor	<1%
			Male factor	20%
			Other factor	2%
			Unknown factor	27%
			<b>Multiple Factors:</b>	
			Female factors only	4%
			Female & male factors	8%

## 2006 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by Edmond Confino, MD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman			
	<35	35–37	38–40	41–42 <sup>d</sup>
<b>Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs</b>				
Number of cycles	194	147	136	72
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies <sup>b</sup>	47.9	35.4	22.1	16.7
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	44.3	25.9	16.2	9.7
(Confidence Interval)	(37.2–51.6)	(19.0–33.7)	(10.4–23.5)	(4.0–19.0)
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	47.8	29.2	17.9	12.3
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	49.1	29.9	19.0	12.7
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births <sup>b</sup>	36.0	25.2	17.2	10.9
Percentage of cancellations <sup>b</sup>	7.2	11.6	9.6	20.8
Average number of embryos transferred	2.2	2.2	2.7	3.1
Percentage of pregnancies with twins <sup>b</sup>	26.9	11.5	13.3	3 / 12
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more <sup>b</sup>	1.1	1.9	0.0	0 / 12
Percentage of live births having multiple infants <sup>b,c</sup>	26.7	15.8	9.1	1 / 7
<b>Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs</b>				
Number of transfers	64	44	27	13
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	31.3	27.3	25.9	1 / 13
Average number of embryos transferred	2.8	2.5	2.7	3.1
<b>All Ages Combined<sup>e</sup></b>				
<b>Donor Eggs</b>	<b>Fresh Embryos</b>		<b>Frozen Embryos</b>	
	47		31	
	48.9		32.3	
	2.1		2.8	

## CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

**Current Name:** Northwestern University

Donor egg?	Yes	Gestational carriers?	Yes	SART member?	Yes
Donor embryo?	Yes	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	Yes
Single women?	Yes			(See Appendix C for details.)	

<sup>a</sup> Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2006 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.

<sup>b</sup> When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.

<sup>c</sup> A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.

<sup>d</sup> Clinic-specific outcome rates are unreliable for women older than 42 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs. Readers are urged to review national outcomes for these age groups (see page 27).

<sup>e</sup> All ages (including ages >42) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.

## THE RINEHART–COULAM CENTER CHICAGO, ILLINOIS

A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 79–88.

### 2006 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART <sup>a</sup>				Patient Diagnosis			
IVF	100%	<b>Procedural Factors:</b>		Tubal factor	0%	Other factor	45%
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	96%	Ovulatory dysfunction	8%	Unknown factor	12%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	0%	Diminished ovarian reserve	2%	<b>Multiple Factors:</b>	
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	4%	Endometriosis	0%	Female factors only	15%
				Uterine factor	10%	Female & male factors	5%
				Male factor	3%		

### 2006 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by Carolyn B. Coulam, MD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman			
	<35	35–37	38–40	41–42 <sup>d</sup>
<b>Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs</b>				
Number of cycles	21	11	11	5
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies <sup>b</sup>	38.1	3 / 11	2 / 11	0 / 5
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	38.1	3 / 11	1 / 11	0 / 5
(Confidence Interval)	(18.1–61.6)			
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	38.1	3 / 11	1 / 11	0 / 4
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	40.0	3 / 8	1 / 10	0 / 4
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births <sup>b</sup>	20.0	3 / 8	1 / 10	0 / 4
Percentage of cancellations <sup>b</sup>	0.0	0 / 11	0 / 11	1 / 5
Average number of embryos transferred	3.5	3.0	2.9	3.0
Percentage of pregnancies with twins <sup>b</sup>	3 / 8	0 / 3	1 / 2	
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more <sup>b</sup>	1 / 8	0 / 3	0 / 2	
Percentage of live births having multiple infants <sup>b,c</sup>	4 / 8	0 / 3	0 / 1	
<b>Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs</b>				
Number of transfers	2	0	0	0
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	0 / 2			
Average number of embryos transferred	3.0			
<b>All Ages Combined<sup>e</sup></b>				
<b>Donor Eggs</b>	<b>Fresh Embryos</b>		<b>Frozen Embryos</b>	
	5		2	
	3 / 5		0 / 2	
	2.8		2.5	

### CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

**Current Name:** The Rinehart–Coulam Center

Donor egg?	Yes	Gestational carriers?	Yes	SART member?	Yes
Donor embryo?	Yes	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	Yes
Single women?	Yes			(See Appendix C for details.)	

<sup>a</sup> Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2006 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.

<sup>b</sup> When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.

<sup>c</sup> A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.

<sup>d</sup> Clinic-specific outcome rates are unreliable for women older than 42 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs. Readers are urged to review national outcomes for these age groups (see page 27).

<sup>e</sup> All ages (including ages >42) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.



## RIVER NORTH IVF–FERTILITY CENTERS OF ILLINOIS CHICAGO, ILLINOIS

**A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 79–88.**

### 2006 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART <sup>a</sup>				Patient Diagnosis	
IVF	100%	<b>Procedural Factors:</b>		Tubal factor	8%
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	82%	Ovulatory dysfunction	15%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	0%	Diminished ovarian reserve	12%
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	<1%	Endometriosis	4%
				Uterine factor	1%
				Male factor	17%
				Other factor	7%
				Unknown factor	18%
				<b>Multiple Factors:</b>	
				Female factors only	8%
				Female & male factors	10%

### 2006 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by Angelina Beltsos, MD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman			
	<35	35–37	38–40	41–42 <sup>d</sup>
<b>Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs</b>				
Number of cycles	970	533	358	179
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies <sup>b</sup>	36.3	26.3	17.9	8.4
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	31.1	22.3	12.6	6.1
(Confidence Interval)	(28.2–34.2)	(18.9–26.1)	(9.3–16.5)	(3.1–10.7)
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	35.1	26.0	16.0	8.5
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	38.6	29.4	18.8	12.0
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births <sup>b</sup>	24.9	21.2	17.1	10.9
Percentage of cancellations <sup>b</sup>	11.2	14.3	21.5	27.4
Average number of embryos transferred	2.1	2.2	2.2	2.2
Percentage of pregnancies with twins <sup>b</sup>	32.7	26.4	10.9	1 / 15
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more <sup>b</sup>	4.8	0.0	0.0	0 / 15
Percentage of live births having multiple infants <sup>b,c</sup>	35.4	27.7	8.9	1 / 11
<b>Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs</b>				
Number of transfers	181	88	46	13
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	37.0	34.1	19.6	2 / 13
Average number of embryos transferred	2.0	1.9	1.9	1.6
<b>All Ages Combined<sup>e</sup></b>				
<b>Donor Eggs</b>	<b>Fresh Embryos</b>		<b>Frozen Embryos</b>	
	Number of transfers		20	
	106		30.0	
	Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>		2.1	
Average number of embryos transferred		2.2		

### CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

**Current Name:** River North IVF–Fertility Centers of Illinois

Donor egg?	Yes	Gestational carriers?	Yes	SART member?	Yes
Donor embryo?	No	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	Yes
Single women?	Yes			(See Appendix C for details.)	

<sup>a</sup> Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2006 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.

<sup>b</sup> When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.

<sup>c</sup> A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.

<sup>d</sup> Clinic-specific outcome rates are unreliable for women older than 42 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs. Readers are urged to review national outcomes for these age groups (see page 27).

<sup>e</sup> All ages (including ages >42) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.



# UNIVERSITY OF ILLINOIS AT CHICAGO IVF PROGRAM CHICAGO, ILLINOIS

A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 79–88.

## 2006 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART <sup>a</sup>			Patient Diagnosis	
IVF	100%	<b>Procedural Factors:</b>	Tubal factor	14%
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	Ovulatory dysfunction	3%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	Diminished ovarian reserve	5%
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	Endometriosis	11%
			Uterine factor	0%
			Male factor	21%
			Other factor	10%
			Unknown factor	3%
			<b>Multiple Factors:</b>	
			Female factors only	17%
			Female & male factors	15%

## 2006 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by Humberto Scoccia, MD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman			
	<35	35–37	38–40	41–42 <sup>d</sup>
<b>Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs</b>				
Number of cycles	54	22	22	9
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies <sup>b</sup>	37.0	50.0	40.9	0 / 9
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	29.6	45.5	27.3	0 / 9
(Confidence Interval)	(18.0–43.6)	(24.4–67.8)	(10.7–50.2)	
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	36.4	10 / 19	6 / 17	0 / 5
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	43.2	10 / 18	6 / 16	0 / 4
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births <sup>b</sup>	27.0	9 / 18	4 / 16	0 / 4
Percentage of cancellations <sup>b</sup>	18.5	13.6	22.7	4 / 9
Average number of embryos transferred	1.9	2.5	2.9	3.3
Percentage of pregnancies with twins <sup>b</sup>	35.0	1 / 11	3 / 9	
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more <sup>b</sup>	5.0	0 / 11	1 / 9	
Percentage of live births having multiple infants <sup>b,c</sup>	6 / 16	1 / 10	2 / 6	
<b>Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs</b>				
Number of transfers	17	2	3	0
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	5 / 17	0 / 2	0 / 3	
Average number of embryos transferred	1.9	2.0	1.7	
<b>All Ages Combined<sup>e</sup></b>				
<b>Donor Eggs</b>	<b>Fresh Embryos</b>		<b>Frozen Embryos</b>	
	7		6	
	3 / 7		1 / 6	
	1.4		3.2	

## CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

**Current Name:** University of Illinois at Chicago IVF Program

Donor egg?	Yes	Gestational carriers?	No	SART member?	Yes
Donor embryo?	No	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	Yes
Single women?	Yes			(See Appendix C for details.)	

<sup>a</sup> Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2006 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.

<sup>b</sup> When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.

<sup>c</sup> A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.

<sup>d</sup> Clinic-specific outcome rates are unreliable for women older than 42 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs. Readers are urged to review national outcomes for these age groups (see page 27).

<sup>e</sup> All ages (including ages >42) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.

## WOMEN'S HEALTH CONSULTANTS CHICAGO, ILLINOIS

**A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 79–88.**

### 2006 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART <sup>a</sup>				Patient Diagnosis			
IVF	96%	<b>Procedural Factors:</b>		Tubal factor	2%	Other factor	0%
GIFT	<1%	With ICSI	75%	Ovulatory dysfunction	0%	Unknown factor	1%
ZIFT	4%	Unstimulated	3%	Diminished ovarian reserve	2%	<i>Multiple Factors:</i>	
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	<1%	Endometriosis	<1%	Female factors only	49%
				Uterine factor	<1%	Female & male factors	42%
				Male factor	4%		

### 2006 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by Mary W. Molo, MD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman			
	<35	35–37	38–40	41–42 <sup>d</sup>
<b>Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs</b>				
Number of cycles	49	37	31	21
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies <sup>b</sup>	28.6	18.9	22.6	4.8
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	24.5	16.2	12.9	0.0
(Confidence Interval)	(13.3–38.9)	(6.2–32.0)	(3.6–29.8)	(0.0–16.1)
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	27.3	18.8	16.0	0 / 18
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	29.3	21.4	18.2	0 / 11
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births <sup>b</sup>	17.1	17.9	18.2	0 / 11
Percentage of cancellations <sup>b</sup>	10.2	13.5	19.4	14.3
Average number of embryos transferred	2.5	2.7	2.9	3.0
Percentage of pregnancies with twins <sup>b</sup>	4 / 14	1 / 7	1 / 7	0 / 1
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more <sup>b</sup>	1 / 14	0 / 7	0 / 7	0 / 1
Percentage of live births having multiple infants <sup>b,c</sup>	5 / 12	1 / 6	0 / 4	
<b>Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs</b>				
Number of transfers	3	16	4	2
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	0 / 3	1 / 16	0 / 4	0 / 2
Average number of embryos transferred	3.3	2.6	2.8	2.5
<b>All Ages Combined<sup>e</sup></b>				
<b>Donor Eggs</b>	<b>Fresh Embryos</b>		<b>Frozen Embryos</b>	
	3		0	
	0 / 3			
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	2.7			

### CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

**Current Name:** Women's Health Consultants

Donor egg?	Yes	Gestational carriers?	Yes	SART member?	No
Donor embryo?	Yes	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	Yes
Single women?	Yes			(See Appendix C for details.)	

<sup>a</sup> Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2006 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.

<sup>b</sup> When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.

<sup>c</sup> A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.

<sup>d</sup> Clinic-specific outcome rates are unreliable for women older than 42 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs. Readers are urged to review national outcomes for these age groups (see page 27).

<sup>e</sup> All ages (including ages >42) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.

# CENTER FOR REPRODUCTIVE HEALTH/JOLIET IVF CREST HILL, ILLINOIS

**A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 79–88.**

## 2006 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART <sup>a</sup>				Patient Diagnosis			
IVF	100%	<b>Procedural Factors:</b>		Tubal factor	12%	Other factor	0%
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	67%	Ovulatory dysfunction	10%	Unknown factor	18%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	0%	Diminished ovarian reserve	26%	<i>Multiple Factors:</i>	
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	1%	Endometriosis	2%	Female factors only	6%
				Uterine factor	0%	Female & male factors	10%
				Male factor	15%		

## 2006 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by R. Scott Springer, DO

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman			
	<35	35–37	38–40	41–42 <sup>d</sup>
<b>Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs</b>				
Number of cycles	57	22	12	3
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies <sup>b</sup>	33.3	22.7	2 / 12	1 / 3
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	29.8	18.2	1 / 12	0 / 3
(Confidence Interval)	(18.4–43.4)	(5.2–40.3)		
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	32.1	20.0	1 / 10	0 / 3
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	33.3	4 / 18	1 / 10	0 / 3
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births <sup>b</sup>	17.6	3 / 18	1 / 10	0 / 3
Percentage of cancellations <sup>b</sup>	7.0	9.1	2 / 12	0 / 3
Average number of embryos transferred	2.1	2.6	3.0	2.7
Percentage of pregnancies with twins <sup>b</sup>	8 / 19	1 / 5	1 / 2	0 / 1
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more <sup>b</sup>	0 / 19	0 / 5	0 / 2	0 / 1
Percentage of live births having multiple infants <sup>b,c</sup>	8 / 17	1 / 4	0 / 1	
<b>Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs</b>				
Number of transfers	14	1	5	1
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	3 / 14	0 / 1	0 / 5	0 / 1
Average number of embryos transferred	2.1	3.0	2.8	2.0
<b>All Ages Combined<sup>e</sup></b>				
<b>Donor Eggs</b>	<b>Fresh Embryos</b>		<b>Frozen Embryos</b>	
	1		8	
	0 / 1		1 / 8	
	2.0		2.5	

## CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

**Current Name:** Center for Reproductive Health/Joliet IVF

Donor egg?	Yes	Gestational carriers?	Yes	SART member?	No
Donor embryo?	No	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	Yes
Single women?	Yes			(See Appendix C for details.)	

<sup>a</sup> Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2006 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.

<sup>b</sup> When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.

<sup>c</sup> A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.

<sup>d</sup> Clinic-specific outcome rates are unreliable for women older than 42 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs. Readers are urged to review national outcomes for these age groups (see page 27).

<sup>e</sup> All ages (including ages >42) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.

## MIDWEST FERTILITY CENTER DOWNERS GROVE, ILLINOIS

**A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 79–88.**

### 2006 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART <sup>a</sup>				Patient Diagnosis			
IVF	>99%	<b>Procedural Factors:</b>		Tubal factor	14%	Other factor	3%
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	29%	Ovulatory dysfunction	10%	Unknown factor	3%
ZIFT	<1%	Unstimulated	0%	Diminished ovarian reserve	7%	<i>Multiple Factors:</i>	
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	<1%	Endometriosis	10%	Female factors only	26%
				Uterine factor	2%	Female & male factors	17%
				Male factor	8%		

### 2006 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by Amos E. Madanes, MD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman			
	<35	35–37	38–40	41–42 <sup>d</sup>
<b>Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs</b>				
Number of cycles	110	50	34	16
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies <sup>b</sup>	35.5	26.0	17.6	2 / 16
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	26.4	14.0	11.8	1 / 16
(Confidence Interval)	(18.4–35.6)	(5.8–26.7)	(3.3–27.5)	
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	31.2	17.9	15.4	1 / 14
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	31.5	17.9	18.2	1 / 13
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births <sup>b</sup>	19.6	17.9	18.2	0 / 13
Percentage of cancellations <sup>b</sup>	15.5	22.0	23.5	2 / 16
Average number of embryos transferred	3.3	3.2	3.4	4.5
Percentage of pregnancies with twins <sup>b</sup>	25.6	1 / 13	2 / 6	0 / 2
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more <sup>b</sup>	15.4	0 / 13	0 / 6	1 / 2
Percentage of live births having multiple infants <sup>b,c</sup>	37.9	0 / 7	0 / 4	1 / 1
<b>Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs</b>				
Number of transfers	7	2	2	0
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	1 / 7	0 / 2	1 / 2	
Average number of embryos transferred	2.3	2.0	3.0	
<b>All Ages Combined<sup>e</sup></b>				
<b>Donor Eggs</b>	<b>Fresh Embryos</b>		<b>Frozen Embryos</b>	
	1		2	
	0 / 1		0 / 2	
	4.0		3.0	

### CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

**Current Name:** Midwest Fertility Center

Donor egg?	Yes	Gestational carriers?	Yes	SART member?	Yes
Donor embryo?	No	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	Yes
Single women?	Yes			(See Appendix C for details.)	

<sup>a</sup> Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2006 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.

<sup>b</sup> When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.

<sup>c</sup> A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.

<sup>d</sup> Clinic-specific outcome rates are unreliable for women older than 42 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs. Readers are urged to review national outcomes for these age groups (see page 27).

<sup>e</sup> All ages (including ages >42) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.

## THE RINEHART CENTER FOR REPRODUCTIVE MEDICINE EVANSTON, ILLINOIS

A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 79–88.

### 2006 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART <sup>a</sup>			Patient Diagnosis	
IVF	100%	<b>Procedural Factors:</b>	Tubal factor	7%
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	Ovulatory dysfunction	14%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	Diminished ovarian reserve	14%
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	Endometriosis	<1%
			Uterine factor	<1%
			Male factor	15%
			Other factor	7%
			Unknown factor	26%
			<b>Multiple Factors:</b>	
			Female factors only	5%
			Female & male factors	11%

### 2006 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by John S. Rinehart, MD, PhD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman			
	<35	35–37	38–40	41–42 <sup>d</sup>
<b>Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs</b>				
Number of cycles	57	46	29	9
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies <sup>b</sup>	26.3	21.7	24.1	1 / 9
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	22.8	21.7	17.2	0 / 9
(Confidence Interval)	(12.7–35.8)	(10.9–36.4)	(5.8–35.8)	
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	24.1	23.8	19.2	0 / 8
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	31.7	29.4	5 / 16	0 / 5
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births <sup>b</sup>	24.4	20.6	4 / 16	0 / 5
Percentage of cancellations <sup>b</sup>	5.3	8.7	10.3	1 / 9
Average number of embryos transferred	2.8	2.5	2.8	2.8
Percentage of pregnancies with twins <sup>b</sup>	4 / 15	3 / 10	0 / 7	0 / 1
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more <sup>b</sup>	1 / 15	0 / 10	1 / 7	0 / 1
Percentage of live births having multiple infants <sup>b,c</sup>	3 / 13	3 / 10	1 / 5	
<b>Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs</b>				
Number of transfers	14	3	2	2
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	6 / 14	1 / 3	1 / 2	0 / 2
Average number of embryos transferred	2.9	2.3	3.0	2.0
<b>All Ages Combined<sup>e</sup></b>				
<b>Donor Eggs</b>	<b>Fresh Embryos</b>		<b>Frozen Embryos</b>	
	24		10	
	37.5		1 / 10	
	2.8		2.1	

### CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

**Current Name:** The Rinehart Center for Reproductive Medicine

Donor egg?	Yes	Gestational carriers?	Yes	SART member?	Yes
Donor embryo?	Yes	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	Yes
Single women?	Yes			(See Appendix C for details.)	

<sup>a</sup> Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2006 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.

<sup>b</sup> When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.

<sup>c</sup> A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.

<sup>d</sup> Clinic-specific outcome rates are unreliable for women older than 42 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs. Readers are urged to review national outcomes for these age groups (see page 27).

<sup>e</sup> All ages (including ages >42) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.

## ADVANCED FERTILITY CENTER OF CHICAGO GURNEE, ILLINOIS

**A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 79–88.**

### 2006 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART <sup>a</sup>				Patient Diagnosis			
IVF	100%	<b>Procedural Factors:</b>		Tubal factor	8%	Other factor	0%
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	92%	Ovulatory dysfunction	7%	Unknown factor	7%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	0%	Diminished ovarian reserve	22%	<b>Multiple Factors:</b>	
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	0%	Endometriosis	3%	Female factors only	21%
				Uterine factor	<1%	Female & male factors	17%
				Male factor	15%		

### 2006 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by Richard Sherbahn, MD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman			
	<35	35–37	38–40	41–42 <sup>d</sup>
<b>Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs</b>				
Number of cycles	145	66	26	20
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies <sup>b</sup>	51.0	39.4	38.5	15.0
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	42.1	27.3	30.8	10.0
(Confidence Interval)	(33.9–50.5)	(17.0–39.6)	(14.3–51.8)	(1.2–31.7)
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	42.4	28.6	36.4	2 / 19
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	44.5	30.5	36.4	2 / 18
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births <sup>b</sup>	27.0	18.6	36.4	1 / 18
Percentage of cancellations <sup>b</sup>	0.7	4.5	15.4	5.0
Average number of embryos transferred	2.1	2.2	2.5	2.7
Percentage of pregnancies with twins <sup>b</sup>	39.2	26.9	2 / 10	2 / 3
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more <sup>b</sup>	4.1	3.8	0 / 10	0 / 3
Percentage of live births having multiple infants <sup>b,c</sup>	39.3	7 / 18	0 / 8	1 / 2
<b>Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs</b>				
Number of transfers	15	13	4	1
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	7 / 15	2 / 13	1 / 4	0 / 1
Average number of embryos transferred	2.1	1.9	2.3	3.0
<b>All Ages Combined<sup>e</sup></b>				
<b>Donor Eggs</b>	<b>Fresh Embryos</b>		<b>Frozen Embryos</b>	
	Number of transfers		16	
	61		5 / 16	
	45.9		2.4	
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	2.0			
Average number of embryos transferred				

### CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

**Current Name:** Advanced Fertility Center of Chicago

Donor egg?	Yes	Gestational carriers?	Yes	SART member?	Yes
Donor embryo?	Yes	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	Yes
Single women?	Yes			(See Appendix C for details.)	

<sup>a</sup> Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2006 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.

<sup>b</sup> When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.

<sup>c</sup> A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.

<sup>d</sup> Clinic-specific outcome rates are unreliable for women older than 42 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs. Readers are urged to review national outcomes for these age groups (see page 27).

<sup>e</sup> All ages (including ages >42) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.



# CHICAGO INFERTILITY ASSOCIATES HANOVER PARK, ILLINOIS

A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 79–88.

## 2006 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART <sup>a</sup>				Patient Diagnosis			
IVF	100%	<b>Procedural Factors:</b>		Tubal factor	0%	Other factor	0%
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	100%	Ovulatory dysfunction	30%	Unknown factor	0%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	0%	Diminished ovarian reserve	0%	<b>Multiple Factors:</b>	
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	0%	Endometriosis	0%	Female factors only	30%
				Uterine factor	30%	Female & male factors	0%
				Male factor	10%		

## 2006 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by Ketan N. Jobanputra, MD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman			
	<35	35–37	38–40	41–42 <sup>d</sup>
<b>Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs</b>				
Number of cycles	2	0	2	3
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies <sup>b</sup>	1 / 2		0 / 2	0 / 3
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	1 / 2		0 / 2	0 / 3
(Confidence Interval)				
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	1 / 2		0 / 2	0 / 3
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	1 / 1		0 / 2	0 / 3
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births <sup>b</sup>	1 / 1		0 / 2	0 / 3
Percentage of cancellations <sup>b</sup>	0 / 2		0 / 2	0 / 3
Average number of embryos transferred	2.0		0.5	2.3
Percentage of pregnancies with twins <sup>b</sup>	0 / 1			
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more <sup>b</sup>	0 / 1			
Percentage of live births having multiple infants <sup>b,c</sup>	0 / 1			
<b>Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs</b>				
Number of transfers	1	2	0	0
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	0 / 1	0 / 2		
Average number of embryos transferred	1.0	1.5		
<b>All Ages Combined<sup>e</sup></b>				
<b>Donor Eggs</b>	<b>Fresh Embryos</b>		<b>Frozen Embryos</b>	
	0		0	
Number of transfers				
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>				
Average number of embryos transferred				

## CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

**Current Name:** Chicago Infertility Associates

Donor egg?	Yes	Gestational carriers?	No	SART member?	No
Donor embryo?	Yes	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	Yes
Single women?	Yes			(See Appendix C for details.)	

<sup>a</sup> Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2006 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.

<sup>b</sup> When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.

<sup>c</sup> A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.

<sup>d</sup> Clinic-specific outcome rates are unreliable for women older than 42 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs. Readers are urged to review national outcomes for these age groups (see page 27).

<sup>e</sup> All ages (including ages >42) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.



## HIGHLAND PARK IVF CENTER HIGHLAND PARK, ILLINOIS

**A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 79–88.**

### 2006 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART <sup>a</sup>				Patient Diagnosis			
IVF	100%	<b>Procedural Factors:</b>		Tubal factor	4%	Other factor	15%
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	85%	Ovulatory dysfunction	7%	Unknown factor	19%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	0%	Diminished ovarian reserve	4%	<b>Multiple Factors:</b>	
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	2%	Endometriosis	2%	Female factors only	30%
				Uterine factor	<1%	Female & male factors	10%
				Male factor	9%		

### 2006 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by Edward L. Marut, MD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman			
	<35	35–37	38–40	41–42 <sup>d</sup>
<b>Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs</b>				
Number of cycles	382	346	335	157
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies <sup>b</sup>	39.8	32.4	23.9	14.0
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	34.0	26.0	17.6	10.2
(Confidence Interval)	(29.3–39.0)	(21.5–31.0)	(13.7–22.1)	(5.9–16.0)
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	36.2	30.2	20.9	12.5
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	38.7	32.6	23.9	15.8
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births <sup>b</sup>	25.6	25.4	18.6	12.9
Percentage of cancellations <sup>b</sup>	6.0	13.9	15.8	18.5
Average number of embryos transferred	2.6	2.7	3.3	3.5
Percentage of pregnancies with twins <sup>b</sup>	32.9	20.5	20.0	13.6
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more <sup>b</sup>	2.0	2.7	6.3	13.6
Percentage of live births having multiple infants <sup>b,c</sup>	33.8	22.2	22.0	3 / 16
<b>Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs</b>				
Number of transfers	58	52	29	9
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	32.8	30.8	24.1	3 / 9
Average number of embryos transferred	2.7	2.6	2.8	3.3
<b>All Ages Combined<sup>e</sup></b>				
<b>Donor Eggs</b>	<b>Fresh Embryos</b>		<b>Frozen Embryos</b>	
	121		58	
	52.9		37.9	
	2.4		2.8	

### CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

**Current Name:** Highland Park IVF Center

Donor egg?	Yes	Gestational carriers?	Yes	SART member?	Yes
Donor embryo?	Yes	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	Pending
Single women?	Yes			(See Appendix C for details.)	

<sup>a</sup> Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2006 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.

<sup>b</sup> When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.

<sup>c</sup> A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.

<sup>d</sup> Clinic-specific outcome rates are unreliable for women older than 42 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs. Readers are urged to review national outcomes for these age groups (see page 27).

<sup>e</sup> All ages (including ages >42) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.

## HINSDALE CENTER FOR REPRODUCTION HINSDALE, ILLINOIS

A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 79–88.

### 2006 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART <sup>a</sup>				Patient Diagnosis			
IVF	100%	<b>Procedural Factors:</b>		Tubal factor	9%	Other factor	21%
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	74%	Ovulatory dysfunction	4%	Unknown factor	4%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	0%	Diminished ovarian reserve	11%	<b>Multiple Factors:</b>	
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	1%	Endometriosis	3%	Female factors only	11%
				Uterine factor	<1%	Female & male factors	13%
				Male factor	23%		

### 2006 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by Michael J. Hickey, MD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman			
	<35	35–37	38–40	41–42 <sup>d</sup>
<b>Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs</b>				
Number of cycles	25	20	15	4
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies <sup>b</sup>	36.0	55.0	6 / 15	1 / 4
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	36.0	40.0	3 / 15	1 / 4
(Confidence Interval)	(18.0–57.5)	(19.1–63.9)		
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	37.5	8 / 19	3 / 13	1 / 4
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	40.9	8 / 19	3 / 12	1 / 4
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births <sup>b</sup>	18.2	3 / 19	2 / 12	1 / 4
Percentage of cancellations <sup>b</sup>	4.0	5.0	2 / 15	0 / 4
Average number of embryos transferred	2.4	2.7	2.4	2.0
Percentage of pregnancies with twins <sup>b</sup>	5 / 9	6 / 11	2 / 6	0 / 1
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more <sup>b</sup>	0 / 9	1 / 11	0 / 6	0 / 1
Percentage of live births having multiple infants <sup>b,c</sup>	5 / 9	5 / 8	1 / 3	0 / 1
<b>Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs</b>				
Number of transfers	20	4	4	2
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	35.0	0 / 4	1 / 4	1 / 2
Average number of embryos transferred	2.3	1.8	2.8	1.5
<b>All Ages Combined<sup>e</sup></b>				
<b>Donor Eggs</b>	<b>Fresh Embryos</b>		<b>Frozen Embryos</b>	
	5		9	
	2 / 5		3 / 9	
	2.6		2.7	

### CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

**Current Name:** Hinsdale Center for Reproduction

Donor egg?	Yes	Gestational carriers?	Yes	SART member?	Yes
Donor embryo?	Yes	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	Yes
Single women?	No			(See Appendix C for details.)	

<sup>a</sup> Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2006 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.

<sup>b</sup> When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.

<sup>c</sup> A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.

<sup>d</sup> Clinic-specific outcome rates are unreliable for women older than 42 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs. Readers are urged to review national outcomes for these age groups (see page 27).

<sup>e</sup> All ages (including ages >42) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.

# REENA JABAMONI, MD, SC HOFFMAN ESTATES, ILLINOIS

A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 79–88.

## 2006 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART <sup>a</sup>				Patient Diagnosis			
IVF	100%	<b>Procedural Factors:</b>		Tubal factor	11%	Other factor	0%
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	80%	Ovulatory dysfunction	52%	Unknown factor	3%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	0%	Diminished ovarian reserve	14%	<b>Multiple Factors:</b>	
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	0%	Endometriosis	0%	Female factors only	1%
				Uterine factor	0%	Female & male factors	10%
				Male factor	9%		

## 2006 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by Reena Jabamoni, MD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman			
	<35	35–37	38–40	41–42 <sup>d</sup>
<b>Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs</b>				
Number of cycles	21	11	9	10
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies <sup>b</sup>	57.1	6 / 11	1 / 9	2 / 10
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	42.9	4 / 11	1 / 9	2 / 10
(Confidence Interval)	(21.8–66.0)			
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	45.0	4 / 10	1 / 7	2 / 7
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	9 / 19	4 / 8	1 / 5	2 / 5
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births <sup>b</sup>	9 / 19	3 / 8	1 / 5	2 / 5
Percentage of cancellations <sup>b</sup>	4.8	1 / 11	2 / 9	3 / 10
Average number of embryos transferred	1.9	2.0	2.0	1.8
Percentage of pregnancies with twins <sup>b</sup>	1 / 12	2 / 6	0 / 1	0 / 2
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more <sup>b</sup>	1 / 12	0 / 6	0 / 1	0 / 2
Percentage of live births having multiple infants <sup>b,c</sup>	0 / 9	1 / 4	0 / 1	0 / 2
<b>Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs</b>				
Number of transfers	7	2	2	2
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	3 / 7	1 / 2	1 / 2	0 / 2
Average number of embryos transferred	2.0	1.5	2.0	1.5
<b>All Ages Combined<sup>e</sup></b>				
<b>Donor Eggs</b>	<b>Fresh Embryos</b>		<b>Frozen Embryos</b>	
	6		1	
	2 / 6		0 / 1	
Average number of embryos transferred	2.0		2.0	

## CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

**Current Name:** Reena Jabamoni, MD, SC

Donor egg?	Yes	Gestational carriers?	Yes	SART member?	Yes
Donor embryo?	Yes	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	Yes
Single women?	Yes			(See Appendix C for details.)	

<sup>a</sup> Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2006 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.

<sup>b</sup> When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.

<sup>c</sup> A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.

<sup>d</sup> Clinic-specific outcome rates are unreliable for women older than 42 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs. Readers are urged to review national outcomes for these age groups (see page 27).

<sup>e</sup> All ages (including ages >42) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.

# KARANDE AND ASSOCIATES, SC HOFFMAN ESTATES, ILLINOIS

A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 79–88.

## 2006 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART <sup>a</sup>				Patient Diagnosis			
IVF	>99%	<b>Procedural Factors:</b>		Tubal factor	8%	Other factor	8%
GIFT	<1%	With ICSI	82%	Ovulatory dysfunction	18%	Unknown factor	20%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	0%	Diminished ovarian reserve	18%	<b>Multiple Factors:</b>	
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	1%	Endometriosis	4%	Female factors only	4%
				Uterine factor	<1%	Female & male factors	5%
				Male factor	16%		

## 2006 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by Vishvanath C. Karande, MD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman			
	<35	35–37	38–40	41–42 <sup>d</sup>
<b>Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs</b>				
Number of cycles	171	60	38	17
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies <sup>b</sup>	41.5	28.3	23.7	2 / 17
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	36.3	20.0	10.5	1 / 17
(Confidence Interval)	(29.1–43.9)	(10.8–32.3)	(2.9–24.8)	
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	38.3	23.1	12.5	1 / 13
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	40.8	24.5	13.8	1 / 10
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births <sup>b</sup>	28.9	20.4	10.3	1 / 10
Percentage of cancellations <sup>b</sup>	5.3	13.3	15.8	4 / 17
Average number of embryos transferred	2.0	2.3	2.6	3.5
Percentage of pregnancies with twins <sup>b</sup>	26.8	3 / 17	1 / 9	0 / 2
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more <sup>b</sup>	2.8	1 / 17	1 / 9	0 / 2
Percentage of live births having multiple infants <sup>b,c</sup>	29.0	2 / 12	1 / 4	0 / 1
<b>Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs</b>				
Number of transfers	27	1	6	0
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	37.0	1 / 1	3 / 6	
Average number of embryos transferred	1.8	2.0	2.5	
<b>All Ages Combined<sup>e</sup></b>				
<b>Donor Eggs</b>	<b>Fresh Embryos</b>		<b>Frozen Embryos</b>	
	23		4	
	69.6		2 / 4	
	2.0		2.3	

## CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

**Current Name:** Karande and Associates, SC

Donor egg?	Yes	Gestational carriers?	Yes	SART member?	Yes
Donor embryo?	Yes	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	Yes
Single women?	Yes			(See Appendix C for details.)	

<sup>a</sup> Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2006 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.

<sup>b</sup> When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.

<sup>c</sup> A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.

<sup>d</sup> Clinic-specific outcome rates are unreliable for women older than 42 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs. Readers are urged to review national outcomes for these age groups (see page 27).

<sup>e</sup> All ages (including ages >42) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.

# REPRODUCTIVE HEALTH SPECIALISTS, LTD. JOLIET, ILLINOIS

A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 79–88.

## 2006 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART <sup>a</sup>				Patient Diagnosis			
IVF	100%	<b>Procedural Factors:</b>		Tubal factor	2%	Other factor	0%
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	98%	Ovulatory dysfunction	4%	Unknown factor	22%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	0%	Diminished ovarian reserve	14%	<b>Multiple Factors:</b>	
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	0%	Endometriosis	2%	Female factors only	6%
				Uterine factor	22%	Female & male factors	18%
				Male factor	12%		

## 2006 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by Marek W. Piekos, MD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman			
	<35	35–37	38–40	41–42 <sup>d</sup>
<b>Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs</b>				
Number of cycles	21	13	7	4
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies <sup>b</sup>	57.1	8 / 13	2 / 7	0 / 4
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	47.6	5 / 13	2 / 7	0 / 4
(Confidence Interval)	(25.7–70.2)			
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	47.6	5 / 13	2 / 7	0 / 4
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	47.6	5 / 13	2 / 6	0 / 4
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births <sup>b</sup>	38.1	4 / 13	1 / 6	0 / 4
Percentage of cancellations <sup>b</sup>	0.0	0 / 13	0 / 7	0 / 4
Average number of embryos transferred	3.4	3.2	5.7	3.3
Percentage of pregnancies with twins <sup>b</sup>	2 / 12	2 / 8	1 / 2	
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more <sup>b</sup>	1 / 12	0 / 8	0 / 2	
Percentage of live births having multiple infants <sup>b,c</sup>	2 / 10	1 / 5	1 / 2	
<b>Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs</b>				
Number of transfers	1	1	1	0
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	1 / 1	0 / 1	1 / 1	
Average number of embryos transferred	3.0	3.0	4.0	
<b>All Ages Combined<sup>e</sup></b>				
<b>Donor Eggs</b>	<b>Fresh Embryos</b>		<b>Frozen Embryos</b>	
	2		0	
	1 / 2			
	3.5			

## CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

**Current Name:** Reproductive Health Specialists, Ltd.

Donor egg?	Yes	Gestational carriers?	Yes	SART member?	Yes
Donor embryo?	Yes	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	Yes
Single women?	Yes			(See Appendix C for details.)	

<sup>a</sup> Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2006 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.

<sup>b</sup> When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.

<sup>c</sup> A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.

<sup>d</sup> Clinic-specific outcome rates are unreliable for women older than 42 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs. Readers are urged to review national outcomes for these age groups (see page 27).

<sup>e</sup> All ages (including ages >42) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.

## IVF1 NAPERVILLE, ILLINOIS

A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 79–88.

### 2006 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART <sup>a</sup>			Patient Diagnosis	
IVF	100%	<b>Procedural Factors:</b>	Tubal factor	5%
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	Ovulatory dysfunction	4%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	Diminished ovarian reserve	16%
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	Endometriosis	1%
			Uterine factor	1%
			Male factor	15%
			Other factor	7%
			Unknown factor	14%
			<b>Multiple Factors:</b>	
			Female factors only	21%
			Female & male factors	16%

### 2006 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by Randy S. Morris, MD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman			
	<35	35–37	38–40	41–42 <sup>d</sup>
<b>Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs</b>				
Number of cycles	132	60	28	14
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies <sup>b</sup>	52.3	31.7	32.1	1 / 14
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	45.5	25.0	28.6	1 / 14
(Confidence Interval)	(36.8–54.3)	(14.7–37.9)	(13.2–48.7)	
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	48.8	28.8	34.8	1 / 12
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	51.3	30.6	36.4	1 / 5
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births <sup>b</sup>	37.6	18.4	27.3	1 / 5
Percentage of cancellations <sup>b</sup>	6.8	13.3	17.9	2 / 14
Average number of embryos transferred	2.0	2.0	2.4	1.4
Percentage of pregnancies with twins <sup>b</sup>	26.1	6 / 19	2 / 9	0 / 1
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more <sup>b</sup>	2.9	1 / 19	0 / 9	0 / 1
Percentage of live births having multiple infants <sup>b,c</sup>	26.7	6 / 15	2 / 8	0 / 1
<b>Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs</b>				
Number of transfers	46	24	11	5
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	47.8	45.8	5 / 11	2 / 5
Average number of embryos transferred	1.8	1.8	1.6	2.2
<b>All Ages Combined<sup>e</sup></b>				
<b>Donor Eggs</b>	<b>Fresh Embryos</b>		<b>Frozen Embryos</b>	
	Number of transfers		17	
	Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>		11 / 17	
	Average number of embryos transferred		2.1	

### CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

**Current Name:** IVF1

Donor egg?	Yes	Gestational carriers?	Yes	SART member?	Yes
Donor embryo?	Yes	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	Yes
Single women?	Yes			(See Appendix C for details.)	

<sup>a</sup> Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2006 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.

<sup>b</sup> When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.

<sup>c</sup> A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.

<sup>d</sup> Clinic-specific outcome rates are unreliable for women older than 42 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs. Readers are urged to review national outcomes for these age groups (see page 27).

<sup>e</sup> All ages (including ages >42) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.



# CHARLES E. MILLER, MD & ASSOCIATES

## NAPERVILLE, ILLINOIS

A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 79–88.

### 2006 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART <sup>a</sup>				Patient Diagnosis			
IVF	100%	<b>Procedural Factors:</b>		Tubal factor	5%	Other factor	34%
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	83%	Ovulatory dysfunction	2%	Unknown factor	0%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	<1%	Diminished ovarian reserve	8%	<b>Multiple Factors:</b>	
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	2%	Endometriosis	5%	Female factors only	12%
				Uterine factor	4%	Female & male factors	15%
				Male factor	16%		

### 2006 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by Charles E. Miller, MD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman			
	<35	35–37	38–40	41–42 <sup>d</sup>
<b>Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs</b>				
Number of cycles	123	98	46	29
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies <sup>b</sup>	46.3	42.9	34.8	17.2
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	37.4	31.6	26.1	6.9
(Confidence Interval)	(28.8–46.6)	(22.6–41.8)	(14.3–41.1)	(0.8–22.8)
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	41.1	37.3	35.3	9.1
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	47.4	44.3	41.4	2 / 18
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births <sup>b</sup>	33.0	28.6	27.6	1 / 18
Percentage of cancellations <sup>b</sup>	8.9	15.3	26.1	24.1
Average number of embryos transferred	2.4	3.0	3.2	3.3
Percentage of pregnancies with twins <sup>b</sup>	29.8	21.4	2 / 16	1 / 5
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more <sup>b</sup>	5.3	9.5	2 / 16	0 / 5
Percentage of live births having multiple infants <sup>b,c</sup>	30.4	35.5	4 / 12	1 / 2
<b>Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs</b>				
Number of transfers	39	26	14	3
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	33.3	42.3	4 / 14	0 / 3
Average number of embryos transferred	2.4	2.3	3.0	2.7
<b>All Ages Combined<sup>e</sup></b>				
<b>Donor Eggs</b>	<b>Fresh Embryos</b>		<b>Frozen Embryos</b>	
	44		25	
	52.3		24.0	
	2.4		2.7	

### CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

**Current Name:** The Fertility Institute at Edward, Charles E. Miller, MD & Associates

Donor egg?	Yes	Gestational carriers?	Yes	SART member?	Yes
Donor embryo?	Yes	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	Yes
Single women?	Yes			(See Appendix C for details.)	

<sup>a</sup> Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2006 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.

<sup>b</sup> When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.

<sup>c</sup> A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.

<sup>d</sup> Clinic-specific outcome rates are unreliable for women older than 42 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs. Readers are urged to review national outcomes for these age groups (see page 27).

<sup>e</sup> All ages (including ages >42) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.



## OAK BROOK FERTILITY CENTER OAK BROOK, ILLINOIS

A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 79–88.

### 2006 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART <sup>a</sup>				Patient Diagnosis			
IVF	100%	<b>Procedural Factors:</b>		Tubal factor	10%	Other factor	8%
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	88%	Ovulatory dysfunction	14%	Unknown factor	6%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	0%	Diminished ovarian reserve	10%	<i>Multiple Factors:</i>	
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	<1%	Endometriosis	12%	Female factors only	12%
				Uterine factor	0%	Female & male factors	19%
				Male factor	10%		

### 2006 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by W. Paul Dmowski, MD, PhD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman			
	<35	35–37	38–40	41–42 <sup>d</sup>
<b>Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs</b>				
Number of cycles	62	21	14	3
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies <sup>b</sup>	45.2	42.9	1 / 14	1 / 3
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	40.3	42.9	0 / 14	1 / 3
(Confidence Interval)	(28.1–53.6)	(21.8–66.0)		
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	40.3	45.0	0 / 13	1 / 3
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	53.2	9 / 17	0 / 7	1 / 3
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births <sup>b</sup>	31.9	6 / 17	0 / 7	1 / 3
Percentage of cancellations <sup>b</sup>	0.0	4.8	1 / 14	0 / 3
Average number of embryos transferred	2.0	2.1	2.4	2.3
Percentage of pregnancies with twins <sup>b</sup>	39.3	4 / 9	0 / 1	0 / 1
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more <sup>b</sup>	3.6	0 / 9	0 / 1	0 / 1
Percentage of live births having multiple infants <sup>b,c</sup>	40.0	3 / 9		0 / 1
<b>Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs</b>				
Number of transfers	19	8	2	2
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	12 / 19	4 / 8	1 / 2	1 / 2
Average number of embryos transferred	2.2	2.4	2.0	3.0
<b>All Ages Combined<sup>e</sup></b>				
<b>Donor Eggs</b>	<b>Fresh Embryos</b>		<b>Frozen Embryos</b>	
Number of transfers	3		9	
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	1 / 3		5 / 9	
Average number of embryos transferred	2.0		2.3	

### CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

**Current Name:** Oak Brook Fertility Center

Donor egg?	Yes	Gestational carriers?	Yes	SART member?	Yes
Donor embryo?	Yes	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	Yes
Single women?	Yes			(See Appendix C for details.)	

<sup>a</sup> Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2006 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.

<sup>b</sup> When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.

<sup>c</sup> A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.

<sup>d</sup> Clinic-specific outcome rates are unreliable for women older than 42 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs. Readers are urged to review national outcomes for these age groups (see page 27).

<sup>e</sup> All ages (including ages >42) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.

# SHER INSTITUTE FOR REPRODUCTIVE MEDICINE—CENTRAL ILLINOIS PEORIA, ILLINOIS

A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 79–88.

## 2006 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART <sup>a</sup>				Patient Diagnosis			
IVF	100%	<b>Procedural Factors:</b>		Tubal factor	8%	Other factor	4%
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	93%	Ovulatory dysfunction	14%	Unknown factor	6%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	0%	Diminished ovarian reserve	<1%	<i>Multiple Factors:</i>	
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	1%	Endometriosis	11%	Female factors only	25%
				Uterine factor	<1%	Female & male factors	13%
				Male factor	18%		

## 2006 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by Peter Ahlering, MD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman			
	<35	35–37	38–40	41–42 <sup>d</sup>
<b>Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs</b>				
Number of cycles	57	15	16	3
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies <sup>b</sup>	38.6	3 / 15	3 / 16	1 / 3
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	29.8	2 / 15	2 / 16	0 / 3
(Confidence Interval)	(18.4–43.4)			
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	29.8	2 / 15	2 / 15	0 / 2
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	33.3	2 / 12	2 / 15	0 / 2
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births <sup>b</sup>	11.8	1 / 12	2 / 15	0 / 2
Percentage of cancellations <sup>b</sup>	0.0	0 / 15	1 / 16	1 / 3
Average number of embryos transferred	2.4	2.8	2.5	4.0
Percentage of pregnancies with twins <sup>b</sup>	36.4	1 / 3	0 / 3	0 / 1
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more <sup>b</sup>	18.2	0 / 3	0 / 3	0 / 1
Percentage of live births having multiple infants <sup>b,c</sup>	11 / 17	1 / 2	0 / 2	
<b>Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs</b>				
Number of transfers	12	6	1	0
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	2 / 12	0 / 6	0 / 1	
Average number of embryos transferred	2.1	2.0	3.0	
<b>All Ages Combined<sup>e</sup></b>				
<b>Donor Eggs</b>		<b>Fresh Embryos</b>	<b>Frozen Embryos</b>	
Number of transfers		3	1	
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>		2 / 3	1 / 1	
Average number of embryos transferred		2.7	3.0	

## CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

**Current Name:** Sher Institute for Reproductive Medicine—Central Illinois

Donor egg?	Yes	Gestational carriers?	Yes	SART member?	No
Donor embryo?	No	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	Pending
Single women?	Yes			(See Appendix C for details.)	

<sup>a</sup> Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2006 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.

<sup>b</sup> When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.

<sup>c</sup> A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.

<sup>d</sup> Clinic-specific outcome rates are unreliable for women older than 42 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs. Readers are urged to review national outcomes for these age groups (see page 27).

<sup>e</sup> All ages (including ages >42) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.

## REPRODUCTIVE HEALTH AND FERTILITY CENTER ROCKFORD, ILLINOIS

A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 79–88.

### 2006 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART <sup>a</sup>				Patient Diagnosis			
IVF	>99%	<b>Procedural Factors:</b>		Tubal factor	3%	Other factor	4%
GIFT	<1%	With ICSI	90%	Ovulatory dysfunction	4%	Unknown factor	5%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	0%	Diminished ovarian reserve	4%	<b>Multiple Factors:</b>	
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	0%	Endometriosis	6%	Female factors only	23%
				Uterine factor	3%	Female & male factors	32%
				Male factor	15%		

### 2006 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by Chiravudh Sawetawan, MD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman			
	<35	35–37	38–40	41–42 <sup>d</sup>
<b>Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs</b>				
Number of cycles	80	19	19	9
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies <sup>b</sup>	33.8	7 / 19	4 / 19	2 / 9
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	30.0	5 / 19	3 / 19	2 / 9
(Confidence Interval)	(20.3–41.3)			
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	32.0	5 / 19	3 / 16	2 / 9
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	40.7	5 / 16	3 / 13	2 / 8
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births <sup>b</sup>	20.3	5 / 16	3 / 13	1 / 8
Percentage of cancellations <sup>b</sup>	6.3	0 / 19	3 / 19	0 / 9
Average number of embryos transferred	2.3	2.4	3.1	2.9
Percentage of pregnancies with twins <sup>b</sup>	48.1	0 / 7	1 / 4	0 / 2
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more <sup>b</sup>	0.0	0 / 7	0 / 4	1 / 2
Percentage of live births having multiple infants <sup>b,c</sup>	50.0	0 / 5	0 / 3	1 / 2
<b>Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs</b>				
Number of transfers	51	14	4	4
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	23.5	1 / 14	1 / 4	0 / 4
Average number of embryos transferred	2.5	3.0	3.0	2.8
<b>All Ages Combined<sup>e</sup></b>				
<b>Donor Eggs</b>	<b>Fresh Embryos</b>		<b>Frozen Embryos</b>	
	6		9	
	2 / 6		3 / 9	
	2.0		2.6	

### CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

**Current Name:** Reproductive Health and Fertility Center

Donor egg?	Yes	Gestational carriers?	Yes	SART member?	Yes
Donor embryo?	Yes	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	Yes
Single women?	Yes			(See Appendix C for details.)	

<sup>a</sup> Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2006 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.

<sup>b</sup> When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.

<sup>c</sup> A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.

<sup>d</sup> Clinic-specific outcome rates are unreliable for women older than 42 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs. Readers are urged to review national outcomes for these age groups (see page 27).

<sup>e</sup> All ages (including ages >42) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.

## NORTH SHORE FERTILITY, SC SKOKIE, ILLINOIS

**A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 79–88.**

### 2006 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART <sup>a</sup>				Patient Diagnosis			
IVF	>99%	<b>Procedural Factors:</b>		Tubal factor	6%	Other factor	2%
GIFT	<1%	With ICSI	86%	Ovulatory dysfunction	21%	Unknown factor	<1%
ZIFT	<1%	Unstimulated	0%	Diminished ovarian reserve	29%	<i>Multiple Factors:</i>	
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	<1%	Endometriosis	2%	Female factors only	5%
				Uterine factor	6%	Female & male factors	15%
				Male factor	14%		

### 2006 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by Susan Davies, MD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman			
	<35	35–37	38–40	41–42 <sup>d</sup>
<b>Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs</b>				
Number of cycles	109	65	39	23
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies <sup>b</sup>	33.0	27.7	23.1	0.0
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	25.7	23.1	12.8	0.0
(Confidence Interval)	(17.8–34.9)	(13.5–35.2)	(4.3–27.4)	(0.0–14.8)
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	26.9	24.6	13.5	0.0
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	29.8	26.3	15.6	0 / 12
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births <sup>b</sup>	13.8	21.1	9.4	0 / 12
Percentage of cancellations <sup>b</sup>	4.6	6.2	5.1	13.0
Average number of embryos transferred	2.0	2.0	2.1	2.1
Percentage of pregnancies with twins <sup>b</sup>	47.2	5 / 18	2 / 9	
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more <sup>b</sup>	2.8	1 / 18	1 / 9	
Percentage of live births having multiple infants <sup>b,c</sup>	53.6	3 / 15	2 / 5	
<b>Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs</b>				
Number of transfers	12	4	3	0
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	5 / 12	2 / 4	0 / 3	
Average number of embryos transferred	2.5	2.8	2.3	
<b>All Ages Combined<sup>e</sup></b>				
<b>Donor Eggs</b>	<b>Fresh Embryos</b>		<b>Frozen Embryos</b>	
	11		6	
	4 / 11		1 / 6	
	1.9		2.5	

### CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

**Current Name:** North Shore Fertility, SC

Donor egg?	Yes	Gestational carriers?	Yes	SART member?	Yes
Donor embryo?	Yes	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	Yes
Single women?	Yes			(See Appendix C for details.)	

<sup>a</sup> Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2006 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.

<sup>b</sup> When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.

<sup>c</sup> A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.

<sup>d</sup> Clinic-specific outcome rates are unreliable for women older than 42 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs. Readers are urged to review national outcomes for these age groups (see page 27).

<sup>e</sup> All ages (including ages >42) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.

# REPRODUCTIVE ENDOCRINOLOGY ASSOCIATES, SC SPRINGFIELD, ILLINOIS

A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 79–88.

## 2006 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART <sup>a</sup>				Patient Diagnosis			
IVF	100%	<b>Procedural Factors:</b>		Tubal factor	5%	Other factor	3%
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	73%	Ovulatory dysfunction	8%	Unknown factor	14%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	0%	Diminished ovarian reserve	3%	<b>Multiple Factors:</b>	
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	0%	Endometriosis	13%	Female factors only	18%
				Uterine factor	<1%	Female & male factors	18%
				Male factor	19%		

## 2006 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by Mary Ann McRae, MD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman			
	<35	35–37	38–40	41–42 <sup>d</sup>
<b>Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs</b>				
Number of cycles	53	34	12	0
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies <sup>b</sup>	18.9	20.6	3 / 12	
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	13.2	17.6	2 / 12	
(Confidence Interval)	(5.5–25.3)	(6.8–34.5)		
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	17.1	30.0	2 / 11	
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	17.5	30.0	2 / 11	
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births <sup>b</sup>	12.5	25.0	0 / 11	
Percentage of cancellations <sup>b</sup>	22.6	41.2	1 / 12	
Average number of embryos transferred	3.5	3.3	3.9	
Percentage of pregnancies with twins <sup>b</sup>	1 / 10	1 / 7	2 / 3	
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more <sup>b</sup>	1 / 10	0 / 7	0 / 3	
Percentage of live births having multiple infants <sup>b,c</sup>	2 / 7	1 / 6	2 / 2	
<b>Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs</b>				
Number of transfers	9	5	0	0
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	2 / 9	0 / 5		
Average number of embryos transferred	3.6	3.0		
<b>All Ages Combined<sup>e</sup></b>				
<b>Donor Eggs</b>	<b>Fresh Embryos</b>		<b>Frozen Embryos</b>	
Number of transfers	0		0	
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>				
Average number of embryos transferred				

## CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

**Current Name:** Reproductive Endocrinology Associates, SC

Donor egg?	No	Gestational carriers?	No	SART member?	Yes
Donor embryo?	No	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	Yes
Single women?	No			(See Appendix C for details.)	

<sup>a</sup> Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2006 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.

<sup>b</sup> When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.

<sup>c</sup> A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.

<sup>d</sup> Clinic-specific outcome rates are unreliable for women older than 42 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs. Readers are urged to review national outcomes for these age groups (see page 27).

<sup>e</sup> All ages (including ages >42) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.

**SETH LEVRANT, MD, PC**  
**PARTNERS IN REPRODUCTIVE HEALTH**  
**TINLEY PARK, ILLINOIS**

**A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 79–88.**

**2006 ART CYCLE PROFILE**

Type of ART <sup>a</sup>			Patient Diagnosis	
IVF	100%	<b>Procedural Factors:</b>	Tubal factor	12%
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	Ovulatory dysfunction	4%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	Diminished ovarian reserve	1%
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	Endometriosis	5%
			Uterine factor	1%
			Male factor	12%
			Other factor	15%
			Unknown factor	4%
			<b>Multiple Factors:</b>	
			Female factors only	20%
			Female & male factors	28%

**2006 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES**

Data verified by Seth G. Levrant, MD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman			
	<35	35–37	38–40	41–42 <sup>d</sup>
<b>Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs</b>				
Number of cycles	33	15	18	2
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies <sup>b</sup>	33.3	8 / 15	3 / 18	0 / 2
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	33.3	5 / 15	3 / 18	0 / 2
(Confidence Interval)	(18.0–51.8)			
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	34.4	5 / 14	3 / 15	0 / 2
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	34.4	5 / 14	3 / 15	0 / 2
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births <sup>b</sup>	34.4	3 / 14	3 / 15	0 / 2
Percentage of cancellations <sup>b</sup>	3.0	1 / 15	3 / 18	0 / 2
Average number of embryos transferred	2.3	2.7	2.5	2.5
Percentage of pregnancies with twins <sup>b</sup>	1 / 11	2 / 8	0 / 3	
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more <sup>b</sup>	0 / 11	0 / 8	0 / 3	
Percentage of live births having multiple infants <sup>b,c</sup>	0 / 11	2 / 5	0 / 3	
<b>Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs</b>				
Number of transfers	6	2	1	0
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	1 / 6	0 / 2	0 / 1	
Average number of embryos transferred	2.3	2.5	1.0	
<b>All Ages Combined<sup>e</sup></b>				
<b>Donor Eggs</b>	<b>Fresh Embryos</b>		<b>Frozen Embryos</b>	
	5		0	
	3 / 5			
Number of transfers	5		0	
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	3 / 5			
Average number of embryos transferred	2.0			

**CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE**

**Current Name:** Seth Levrant, MD, PC, Partners in Reproductive Health

Donor egg?	Yes	Gestational carriers?	Yes	SART member?	Yes
Donor embryo?	Yes	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	Yes
Single women?	Yes			(See Appendix C for details.)	

<sup>a</sup> Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2006 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.

<sup>b</sup> When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.

<sup>c</sup> A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.

<sup>d</sup> Clinic-specific outcome rates are unreliable for women older than 42 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs. Readers are urged to review national outcomes for these age groups (see page 27).

<sup>e</sup> All ages (including ages >42) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.



## BONAVENTURA REPRODUCTIVE MEDICINE CARMEL, INDIANA

A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 79–88.

### 2006 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART <sup>a</sup>			Patient Diagnosis	
IVF	100%	<b>Procedural Factors:</b>	Tubal factor	15%
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	Ovulatory dysfunction	9%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	Diminished ovarian reserve	22%
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	Endometriosis	8%
			Uterine factor	1%
			Male factor	15%
			Other factor	4%
			Unknown factor	5%
			<b>Multiple Factors:</b>	
			Female factors only	8%
			Female & male factors	13%

### 2006 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by Leo M. Bonaventura, MD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman			
	<35	35–37	38–40	41–42 <sup>d</sup>
<b>Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs</b>				
Number of cycles	68	13	18	6
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies <sup>b</sup>	17.6	1 / 13	0 / 18	0 / 6
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	16.2	1 / 13	0 / 18	0 / 6
(Confidence Interval)	(8.4–27.1)			
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	19.0	1 / 13	0 / 17	0 / 5
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	19.6	1 / 11	0 / 14	0 / 4
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births <sup>b</sup>	14.3	1 / 11	0 / 14	0 / 4
Percentage of cancellations <sup>b</sup>	14.7	0 / 13	1 / 18	1 / 6
Average number of embryos transferred	2.1	2.5	2.4	2.5
Percentage of pregnancies with twins <sup>b</sup>	3 / 12	0 / 1		
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more <sup>b</sup>	0 / 12	0 / 1		
Percentage of live births having multiple infants <sup>b,c</sup>	3 / 11	0 / 1		
<b>Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs</b>				
Number of transfers	28	8	4	1
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	21.4	0 / 8	0 / 4	0 / 1
Average number of embryos transferred	2.6	2.9	2.3	4.0
<b>All Ages Combined<sup>e</sup></b>				
<b>Donor Eggs</b>	<b>Fresh Embryos</b>		<b>Frozen Embryos</b>	
	11		2	
	3 / 11		1 / 2	
Number of transfers	2.5		4.0	
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>				
Average number of embryos transferred				

### CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

**Current Name:** Bonaventura Reproductive Medicine

Donor egg?	Yes	Gestational carriers?	Yes	SART member?	Yes
Donor embryo?	Yes	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	Yes
Single women?	Yes			(See Appendix C for details.)	

<sup>a</sup> Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2006 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.

<sup>b</sup> When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.

<sup>c</sup> A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.

<sup>d</sup> Clinic-specific outcome rates are unreliable for women older than 42 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs. Readers are urged to review national outcomes for these age groups (see page 27).

<sup>e</sup> All ages (including ages >42) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.



## JARRETT FERTILITY GROUP CARMEL, INDIANA

A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 79–88.

### 2006 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART <sup>a</sup>				Patient Diagnosis			
IVF	98%	<b>Procedural Factors:</b>		Tubal factor	6%	Other factor	13%
GIFT	<1%	With ICSI	66%	Ovulatory dysfunction	9%	Unknown factor	15%
ZIFT	2%	Unstimulated	0%	Diminished ovarian reserve	12%	<i>Multiple Factors:</i>	
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	<1%	Endometriosis	8%	Female factors only	10%
				Uterine factor	<1%	Female & male factors	13%
				Male factor	13%		

### 2006 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by John C. Jarrett II, MD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman			
	<35	35–37	38–40	41–42 <sup>d</sup>
<b>Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs</b>				
Number of cycles	187	80	47	18
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies <sup>b</sup>	39.6	32.5	31.9	2 / 18
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	32.6	25.0	27.7	0 / 18
(Confidence Interval)	(26.0–39.8)	(16.0–35.9)	(15.6–42.6)	
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	38.9	33.3	36.1	0 / 13
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	40.7	35.1	37.1	0 / 11
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births <sup>b</sup>	24.0	22.8	25.7	0 / 11
Percentage of cancellations <sup>b</sup>	16.0	25.0	23.4	5 / 18
Average number of embryos transferred	2.2	2.5	2.6	3.1
Percentage of pregnancies with twins <sup>b</sup>	33.8	26.9	2 / 15	0 / 2
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more <sup>b</sup>	1.4	0.0	4 / 15	0 / 2
Percentage of live births having multiple infants <sup>b,c</sup>	41.0	35.0	4 / 13	
<b>Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs</b>				
Number of transfers	35	19	13	4
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	20.0	2 / 19	1 / 13	0 / 4
Average number of embryos transferred	2.3	2.3	2.5	2.0
<b>All Ages Combined<sup>e</sup></b>				
<b>Donor Eggs</b>	<b>Fresh Embryos</b>		<b>Frozen Embryos</b>	
	39		25	
	25.6		24.0	
Number of transfers	39		25	
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	25.6		24.0	
Average number of embryos transferred	2.3		2.5	

### CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

**Current Name:** Jarrett Fertility Group

Donor egg?	Yes	Gestational carriers?	Yes	SART member?	Yes
Donor embryo?	Yes	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	Yes
Single women?	Yes			(See Appendix C for details.)	

<sup>a</sup> Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2006 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.

<sup>b</sup> When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.

<sup>c</sup> A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.

<sup>d</sup> Clinic-specific outcome rates are unreliable for women older than 42 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs. Readers are urged to review national outcomes for these age groups (see page 27).

<sup>e</sup> All ages (including ages >42) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.

## MIDWEST FERTILITY SPECIALISTS CARMEL, INDIANA

A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 79–88.

### 2006 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART <sup>a</sup>				Patient Diagnosis	
IVF	>99%	<b>Procedural Factors:</b>		Tubal factor	11%
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	70%	Ovulatory dysfunction	7%
ZIFT	<1%	Unstimulated	<1%	Diminished ovarian reserve	10%
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	<1%	Endometriosis	7%
				Uterine factor	1%
				Male factor	21%
				Other factor	13%
				Unknown factor	17%
				<b>Multiple Factors:</b>	
				Female factors only	5%
				Female & male factors	8%

### 2006 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by Laura M. Reuter, MD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman			
	<35	35–37	38–40	41–42 <sup>d</sup>
<b>Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs</b>				
Number of cycles	195	68	50	19
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies <sup>b</sup>	39.0	29.4	12.0	3 / 19
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	36.9	26.5	8.0	2 / 19
(Confidence Interval)	(30.1–44.1)	(16.5–38.6)	(2.2–19.2)	
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	39.6	30.5	10.3	2 / 15
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	43.1	30.5	11.1	2 / 14
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births <sup>b</sup>	26.3	18.6	11.1	2 / 14
Percentage of cancellations <sup>b</sup>	6.7	13.2	22.0	4 / 19
Average number of embryos transferred	2.2	2.5	2.8	2.5
Percentage of pregnancies with twins <sup>b</sup>	36.8	40.0	0 / 6	0 / 3
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more <sup>b</sup>	3.9	5.0	0 / 6	0 / 3
Percentage of live births having multiple infants <sup>b,c</sup>	38.9	7 / 18	0 / 4	0 / 2
<b>Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs</b>				
Number of transfers	82	25	11	3
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	19.5	20.0	2 / 11	0 / 3
Average number of embryos transferred	2.8	2.6	2.4	3.7
<b>All Ages Combined<sup>e</sup></b>				
<b>Donor Eggs</b>	<b>Fresh Embryos</b>		<b>Frozen Embryos</b>	
	39		25	
	35.9		24.0	
Number of transfers	39		25	
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	35.9		24.0	
Average number of embryos transferred	2.1		2.8	

### CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

**Current Name:** Midwest Fertility Specialists

Donor egg?	Yes	Gestational carriers?	Yes	SART member?	Yes
Donor embryo?	Yes	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	Yes
Single women?	Yes			(See Appendix C for details.)	

<sup>a</sup> Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2006 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.

<sup>b</sup> When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.

<sup>c</sup> A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.

<sup>d</sup> Clinic-specific outcome rates are unreliable for women older than 42 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs. Readers are urged to review national outcomes for these age groups (see page 27).

<sup>e</sup> All ages (including ages >42) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.

**ADVANCED REPRODUCTION INSTITUTE, LLC**  
**ADVANCED FERTILITY GROUP**  
**EVANSVILLE, INDIANA**

**A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 79–88.**

**2006 ART CYCLE PROFILE**

Type of ART <sup>a</sup>				Patient Diagnosis			
IVF	100%	<b>Procedural Factors:</b>		Tubal factor	11%	Other factor	0%
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	49%	Ovulatory dysfunction	36%	Unknown factor	<1%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	0%	Diminished ovarian reserve	0%	<b>Multiple Factors:</b>	
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	3%	Endometriosis	14%	Female factors only	14%
				Uterine factor	0%	Female & male factors	25%
				Male factor	0%		

**2006 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES**

Data verified by William L. Gentry, MD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman			
	<35	35–37	38–40	41–42 <sup>d</sup>
<b>Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs</b>				
Number of cycles	64	17	9	11
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies <sup>b</sup>	42.2	6 / 17	3 / 9	1 / 11
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	35.9	4 / 17	2 / 9	0 / 11
(Confidence Interval)	(24.3–48.9)			
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	46.0	4 / 13	2 / 7	0 / 7
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	46.0	4 / 12	2 / 6	0 / 7
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births <sup>b</sup>	26.0	3 / 12	2 / 6	0 / 7
Percentage of cancellations <sup>b</sup>	21.9	4 / 17	2 / 9	4 / 11
Average number of embryos transferred	2.8	2.8	2.8	3.3
Percentage of pregnancies with twins <sup>b</sup>	40.7	1 / 6	0 / 3	0 / 1
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more <sup>b</sup>	11.1	1 / 6	0 / 3	0 / 1
Percentage of live births having multiple infants <sup>b,c</sup>	43.5	1 / 4	0 / 2	
<b>Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs</b>				
Number of transfers	14	4	3	1
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	3 / 14	1 / 4	0 / 3	0 / 1
Average number of embryos transferred	2.6	3.0	2.0	2.0
<b>All Ages Combined<sup>e</sup></b>				
<b>Donor Eggs</b>	<b>Fresh Embryos</b>		<b>Frozen Embryos</b>	
	10		5	
	4 / 10		0 / 5	
	2.3		2.2	

**CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE**

**Current Name:** Advanced Reproduction Institute, LLC, Advanced Fertility Group

Donor egg?	Yes	Gestational carriers?	Yes	SART member?	Yes
Donor embryo?	Yes	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	Yes
Single women?	Yes			(See Appendix C for details.)	

<sup>a</sup> Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2006 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.

<sup>b</sup> When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.

<sup>c</sup> A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.

<sup>d</sup> Clinic-specific outcome rates are unreliable for women older than 42 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs. Readers are urged to review national outcomes for these age groups (see page 27).

<sup>e</sup> All ages (including ages >42) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.

# ASSOCIATED FERTILITY & GYNECOLOGY, PC

## FORT WAYNE, INDIANA

A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 79–88.

### 2006 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART <sup>a</sup>				Patient Diagnosis			
IVF	100%	<b>Procedural Factors:</b>		Tubal factor	14%	Other factor	8%
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	64%	Ovulatory dysfunction	3%	Unknown factor	0%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	0%	Diminished ovarian reserve	0%	<i>Multiple Factors:</i>	
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	2%	Endometriosis	3%	Female factors only	21%
				Uterine factor	0%	Female & male factors	41%
				Male factor	10%		

### 2006 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by Shelby O. Cooper, MD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman			
	<35	35–37	38–40	41–42 <sup>d</sup>
<b>Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs</b>				
Number of cycles	58	26	8	4
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies <sup>b</sup>	31.0	23.1	1 / 8	0 / 4
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	27.6	11.5	1 / 8	0 / 4
(Confidence Interval)	(16.7–40.9)	(2.4–30.2)		
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	35.6	3 / 18	1 / 7	0 / 2
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	39.0	3 / 16	1 / 6	0 / 2
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births <sup>b</sup>	34.1	3 / 16	1 / 6	0 / 2
Percentage of cancellations <sup>b</sup>	22.4	30.8	1 / 8	2 / 4
Average number of embryos transferred	2.4	2.6	2.7	3.0
Percentage of pregnancies with twins <sup>b</sup>	3 / 18	0 / 6	0 / 1	
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more <sup>b</sup>	0 / 18	0 / 6	0 / 1	
Percentage of live births having multiple infants <sup>b,c</sup>	2 / 16	0 / 3	0 / 1	
<b>Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs</b>				
Number of transfers	13	10	3	0
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	2 / 13	1 / 10	1 / 3	
Average number of embryos transferred	2.5	2.5	2.0	
<b>All Ages Combined<sup>e</sup></b>				
<b>Donor Eggs</b>	<b>Fresh Embryos</b>		<b>Frozen Embryos</b>	
	1		2	
	0 / 1		0 / 2	
Average number of embryos transferred	3.0		1.5	

### CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

**Current Name:** Associated Fertility & Gynecology, PC

Donor egg?	Yes	Gestational carriers?	Yes	SART member?	Yes
Donor embryo?	Yes	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	Yes
Single women?	No			(See Appendix C for details.)	

<sup>a</sup> Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2006 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.

<sup>b</sup> When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.

<sup>c</sup> A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.

<sup>d</sup> Clinic-specific outcome rates are unreliable for women older than 42 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs. Readers are urged to review national outcomes for these age groups (see page 27).

<sup>e</sup> All ages (including ages >42) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.

## ADVANCED FERTILITY GROUP INDIANAPOLIS, INDIANA

**A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 79–88.**

### 2006 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART <sup>a</sup>				Patient Diagnosis			
IVF	100%	<b>Procedural Factors:</b>		Tubal factor	1%	Other factor	1%
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	50%	Ovulatory dysfunction	21%	Unknown factor	0%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	0%	Diminished ovarian reserve	6%	<b>Multiple Factors:</b>	
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	0%	Endometriosis	2%	Female factors only	2%
				Uterine factor	0%	Female & male factors	37%
				Male factor	30%		

### 2006 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by William L. Gentry, MD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman			
	<35	35–37	38–40	41–42 <sup>d</sup>
<b>Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs</b>				
Number of cycles	35	9	8	7
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies <sup>b</sup>	60.0	4 / 9	4 / 8	4 / 7
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	51.4	3 / 9	3 / 8	1 / 7
(Confidence Interval)	(34.0–68.6)			
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	52.9	3 / 7	3 / 7	1 / 7
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	58.1	3 / 7	3 / 7	1 / 7
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births <sup>b</sup>	25.8	0 / 7	2 / 7	1 / 7
Percentage of cancellations <sup>b</sup>	2.9	2 / 9	1 / 8	0 / 7
Average number of embryos transferred	2.1	2.6	3.0	3.0
Percentage of pregnancies with twins <sup>b</sup>	57.1	2 / 4	1 / 4	0 / 4
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more <sup>b</sup>	0.0	1 / 4	0 / 4	0 / 4
Percentage of live births having multiple infants <sup>b,c</sup>	10 / 18	3 / 3	1 / 3	0 / 1
<b>Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs</b>				
Number of transfers	11	9	2	1
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	1 / 11	1 / 9	1 / 2	0 / 1
Average number of embryos transferred	2.1	1.9	2.0	2.0
<b>All Ages Combined<sup>e</sup></b>				
<b>Donor Eggs</b>	<b>Fresh Embryos</b>		<b>Frozen Embryos</b>	
	3		1	
	1 / 3		0 / 1	
Average number of embryos transferred	2.7		1.0	

### CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

**Current Name:** Advanced Fertility Group

Donor egg?	Yes	Gestational carriers?	Yes	SART member?	Yes
Donor embryo?	Yes	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	Yes
Single women?	Yes			(See Appendix C for details.)	

<sup>a</sup> Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2006 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.

<sup>b</sup> When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.

<sup>c</sup> A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.

<sup>d</sup> Clinic-specific outcome rates are unreliable for women older than 42 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs. Readers are urged to review national outcomes for these age groups (see page 27).

<sup>e</sup> All ages (including ages >42) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.

## ADVANCED FERTILITY GROUP, ASSISTED FERTILITY SERVICES INDIANAPOLIS, INDIANA

A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 79–88.

### 2006 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART <sup>a</sup>				Patient Diagnosis			
IVF	100%	<b>Procedural Factors:</b>		Tubal factor	23%	Other factor	0%
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	24%	Ovulatory dysfunction	23%	Unknown factor	0%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	0%	Diminished ovarian reserve	0%	<b>Multiple Factors:</b>	
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	0%	Endometriosis	9%	Female factors only	9%
				Uterine factor	0%	Female & male factors	27%
				Male factor	9%		

### 2006 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by William L. Gentry, MD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman			
	<35	35–37	38–40	41–42 <sup>d</sup>
<b>Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs</b>				
Number of cycles	12	3	2	0
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies <sup>b</sup>	4 / 12	1 / 3	1 / 2	
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	3 / 12	1 / 3	0 / 2	
(Confidence Interval)				
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	3 / 10	1 / 2	0 / 2	
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	3 / 9	1 / 2	0 / 2	
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births <sup>b</sup>	1 / 9	1 / 2	0 / 2	
Percentage of cancellations <sup>b</sup>	2 / 12	1 / 3	0 / 2	
Average number of embryos transferred	2.1	1.5	2.5	
Percentage of pregnancies with twins <sup>b</sup>	3 / 4	0 / 1	0 / 1	
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more <sup>b</sup>	0 / 4	0 / 1	0 / 1	
Percentage of live births having multiple infants <sup>b,c</sup>	2 / 3	0 / 1		
<b>Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs</b>				
Number of transfers	3	0	1	0
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	1 / 3		1 / 1	
Average number of embryos transferred	2.0		2.0	
<b>All Ages Combined<sup>e</sup></b>				
<b>Donor Eggs</b>	<b>Fresh Embryos</b>		<b>Frozen Embryos</b>	
	1		0	
	0 / 1			
Average number of embryos transferred	2.0			

### CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

**Current Name:** Advanced Fertility Group, Assisted Fertility Services

Donor egg?	Yes	Gestational carriers?	Yes	SART member?	Yes
Donor embryo?	Yes	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	Yes
Single women?	Yes			(See Appendix C for details.)	

<sup>a</sup> Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2006 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.

<sup>b</sup> When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.

<sup>c</sup> A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.

<sup>d</sup> Clinic-specific outcome rates are unreliable for women older than 42 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs. Readers are urged to review national outcomes for these age groups (see page 27).

<sup>e</sup> All ages (including ages >42) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.



## FAMILY BEGINNINGS, PC INDIANAPOLIS, INDIANA

**A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 79–88.**

### 2006 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART <sup>a</sup>				Patient Diagnosis			
IVF	100%	<b>Procedural Factors:</b>		Tubal factor	21%	Other factor	2%
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	43%	Ovulatory dysfunction	15%	Unknown factor	20%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	0%	Diminished ovarian reserve	<1%	<b>Multiple Factors:</b>	
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	1%	Endometriosis	11%	Female factors only	2%
				Uterine factor	0%	Female & male factors	9%
				Male factor	21%		

### 2006 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by James G. Donahue, MD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman			
	<35	35–37	38–40	41–42 <sup>d</sup>
<b>Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs</b>				
Number of cycles	76	41	33	7
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies <sup>b</sup>	48.7	34.1	21.2	1 / 7
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	43.4	31.7	9.1	0 / 7
(Confidence Interval)	(32.1–55.3)	(18.1–48.1)	(1.9–24.3)	
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	47.1	34.2	10.3	0 / 6
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	51.6	39.4	13.0	0 / 4
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births <sup>b</sup>	35.9	27.3	13.0	0 / 4
Percentage of cancellations <sup>b</sup>	7.9	7.3	12.1	1 / 7
Average number of embryos transferred	2.7	2.8	3.1	2.5
Percentage of pregnancies with twins <sup>b</sup>	32.4	2 / 14	1 / 7	0 / 1
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more <sup>b</sup>	8.1	3 / 14	0 / 7	0 / 1
Percentage of live births having multiple infants <sup>b,c</sup>	30.3	4 / 13	0 / 3	
<b>Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs</b>				
Number of transfers	10	6	3	0
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	5 / 10	3 / 6	1 / 3	
Average number of embryos transferred	2.7	3.3	3.3	
<b>All Ages Combined<sup>e</sup></b>				
<b>Donor Eggs</b>	<b>Fresh Embryos</b>		<b>Frozen Embryos</b>	
	1		1	
	0 / 1		0 / 1	
	3.0		4.0	

### CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

**Current Name:** Family Beginnings, PC

Donor egg?	Yes	Gestational carriers?	Yes	SART member?	Yes
Donor embryo?	Yes	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	Yes
Single women?	Yes			(See Appendix C for details.)	

<sup>a</sup> Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2006 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.

<sup>b</sup> When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.

<sup>c</sup> A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.

<sup>d</sup> Clinic-specific outcome rates are unreliable for women older than 42 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs. Readers are urged to review national outcomes for these age groups (see page 27).

<sup>e</sup> All ages (including ages >42) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.



# INDIANA UNIVERSITY HOSPITAL INDIANAPOLIS, INDIANA

A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 79–88.

## 2006 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART <sup>a</sup>				Patient Diagnosis			
IVF	100%	<b>Procedural Factors:</b>		Tubal factor	8%	Other factor	0%
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	67%	Ovulatory dysfunction	39%	Unknown factor	0%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	0%	Diminished ovarian reserve	0%	<i>Multiple Factors:</i>	
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	0%	Endometriosis	0%	Female factors only	0%
				Uterine factor	0%	Female & male factors	54%
				Male factor	0%		

## 2006 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by Marguerite K. Shepard, MD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman			
	<35	35–37	38–40	41–42 <sup>d</sup>
<b>Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs</b>				
Number of cycles	6	4	1	1
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies <sup>b</sup>	3 / 6	1 / 4	0 / 1	1 / 1
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup> (Confidence Interval)	2 / 6	1 / 4	0 / 1	1 / 1
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	2 / 4	1 / 4		1 / 1
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	2 / 4	1 / 4		1 / 1
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births <sup>b</sup>	0 / 4	0 / 4		1 / 1
Percentage of cancellations <sup>b</sup>	2 / 6	0 / 4	1 / 1	0 / 1
Average number of embryos transferred	2.3	2.3		2.0
Percentage of pregnancies with twins <sup>b</sup>	2 / 3	1 / 1		0 / 1
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more <sup>b</sup>	0 / 3	0 / 1		0 / 1
Percentage of live births having multiple infants <sup>b,c</sup>	2 / 2	1 / 1		0 / 1
<b>Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs</b>				
Number of transfers	1	0	0	0
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	0 / 1			
Average number of embryos transferred	3.0			
<b>All Ages Combined<sup>e</sup></b>				
<b>Donor Eggs</b>		<b>Fresh Embryos</b>	<b>Frozen Embryos</b>	
Number of transfers		0	0	
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>				
Average number of embryos transferred				

## CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

**Current Name:** Indiana University Hospital

Donor egg?	No	Gestational carriers?	No	SART member?	Yes
Donor embryo?	No	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	Yes
Single women?	No			(See Appendix C for details.)	

<sup>a</sup> Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2006 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.

<sup>b</sup> When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.

<sup>c</sup> A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.

<sup>d</sup> Clinic-specific outcome rates are unreliable for women older than 42 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs. Readers are urged to review national outcomes for these age groups (see page 27).

<sup>e</sup> All ages (including ages >42) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.

## REPRODUCTIVE CARE OF INDIANA INDIANAPOLIS, INDIANA

**A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 79–88.**

### 2006 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART <sup>a</sup>				Patient Diagnosis			
IVF	100%	<b>Procedural Factors:</b>		Tubal factor	11%	Other factor	3%
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	71%	Ovulatory dysfunction	46%	Unknown factor	2%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	0%	Diminished ovarian reserve	2%	<b>Multiple Factors:</b>	
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	5%	Endometriosis	9%	Female factors only	14%
				Uterine factor	11%	Female & male factors	2%
				Male factor	0%		

### 2006 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by Michael A. Henry, MD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman			
	<35	35–37	38–40	41–42 <sup>d</sup>
<b>Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs</b>				
Number of cycles	41	10	12	2
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies <sup>b</sup>	43.9	7 / 10	3 / 12	0 / 2
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	39.0	4 / 10	2 / 12	0 / 2
(Confidence Interval)	(24.2–55.5)			
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	48.5	4 / 10	2 / 8	0 / 2
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	53.3	4 / 10	2 / 6	0 / 1
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births <sup>b</sup>	33.3	3 / 10	1 / 6	0 / 1
Percentage of cancellations <sup>b</sup>	19.5	0 / 10	4 / 12	0 / 2
Average number of embryos transferred	2.3	2.5	3.0	3.0
Percentage of pregnancies with twins <sup>b</sup>	6 / 18	2 / 7	1 / 3	
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more <sup>b</sup>	1 / 18	1 / 7	0 / 3	
Percentage of live births having multiple infants <sup>b,c</sup>	6 / 16	1 / 4	1 / 2	
<b>Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs</b>				
Number of transfers	5	5	1	0
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	2 / 5	1 / 5	0 / 1	
Average number of embryos transferred	3.6	3.6	2.0	
<b>All Ages Combined<sup>e</sup></b>				
<b>Donor Eggs</b>	<b>Fresh Embryos</b>		<b>Frozen Embryos</b>	
	10		6	
	3 / 10		1 / 6	
	2.4		3.3	

### CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

**Current Name:** Reproductive Care of Indiana

Donor egg?	Yes	Gestational carriers?	Yes	SART member?	Yes
Donor embryo?	Yes	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	Yes
Single women?	Yes			(See Appendix C for details.)	

<sup>a</sup> Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2006 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.

<sup>b</sup> When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.

<sup>c</sup> A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.

<sup>d</sup> Clinic-specific outcome rates are unreliable for women older than 42 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs. Readers are urged to review national outcomes for these age groups (see page 27).

<sup>e</sup> All ages (including ages >42) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.

## REPRODUCTIVE ENDOCRINOLOGY ASSOCIATES INDIANAPOLIS, INDIANA

A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 79–88.

### 2006 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART <sup>a</sup>				Patient Diagnosis			
IVF	100%	<b>Procedural Factors:</b>		Tubal factor	11%	Other factor	2%
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	36%	Ovulatory dysfunction	26%	Unknown factor	2%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	0%	Diminished ovarian reserve	0%	<b>Multiple Factors:</b>	
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	0%	Endometriosis	37%	Female factors only	6%
				Uterine factor	0%	Female & male factors	4%
				Male factor	13%		

### 2006 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by Donald L. Cline, MD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman			
	<35	35–37	38–40	41–42 <sup>d</sup>
<b>Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs</b>				
Number of cycles	33	10	6	1
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies <sup>b</sup>	27.3	1 / 10	0 / 6	0 / 1
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	21.2	1 / 10	0 / 6	0 / 1
(Confidence Interval)	(9.0–38.9)			
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	21.9	1 / 9	0 / 4	0 / 1
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	21.9	1 / 8	0 / 3	0 / 1
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births <sup>b</sup>	6.3	1 / 8	0 / 3	0 / 1
Percentage of cancellations <sup>b</sup>	3.0	1 / 10	2 / 6	0 / 1
Average number of embryos transferred	2.6	2.6	2.7	2.0
Percentage of pregnancies with twins <sup>b</sup>	4 / 9	0 / 1		
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more <sup>b</sup>	1 / 9	0 / 1		
Percentage of live births having multiple infants <sup>b,c</sup>	5 / 7	0 / 1		
<b>Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs</b>				
Number of transfers	0	0	2	0
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>			1 / 2	
Average number of embryos transferred			2.0	
<b>All Ages Combined<sup>e</sup></b>				
<b>Donor Eggs</b>	<b>Fresh Embryos</b>		<b>Frozen Embryos</b>	
	1		1	
	0 / 1		0 / 1	
	3.0		3.0	

### CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

**Current Name:** Reproductive Endocrinology Associates

Donor egg?	Yes	Gestational carriers?	No	SART member?	Yes
Donor embryo?	Yes	Cryopreservation?	No	Verified lab accreditation?	Yes
Single women?	No			(See Appendix C for details.)	

<sup>a</sup> Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2006 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.

<sup>b</sup> When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.

<sup>c</sup> A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.

<sup>d</sup> Clinic-specific outcome rates are unreliable for women older than 42 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs. Readers are urged to review national outcomes for these age groups (see page 27).

<sup>e</sup> All ages (including ages >42) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.

# WOMEN'S SPECIALTY HEALTH CENTERS, PC NOBLESVILLE, INDIANA

**A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 79–88.**

## 2006 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART <sup>a</sup>				Patient Diagnosis			
IVF	100%	<b>Procedural Factors:</b>		Tubal factor	2%	Other factor	2%
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	45%	Ovulatory dysfunction	4%	Unknown factor	0%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	0%	Diminished ovarian reserve	0%	<b>Multiple Factors:</b>	
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	0%	Endometriosis	4%	Female factors only	49%
				Uterine factor	0%	Female & male factors	36%
				Male factor	2%		

## 2006 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by David S. McLaughlin, MD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman			
	<35	35–37	38–40	41–42 <sup>d</sup>
<b>Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs</b>				
Number of cycles	26	3	5	1
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies <sup>b</sup>	42.3	0 / 3	0 / 5	0 / 1
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	38.5	0 / 3	0 / 5	0 / 1
(Confidence Interval)	(20.2–59.4)			
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	45.5	0 / 3	0 / 3	0 / 1
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	45.5	0 / 2	0 / 3	0 / 1
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births <sup>b</sup>	13.6	0 / 2	0 / 3	0 / 1
Percentage of cancellations <sup>b</sup>	15.4	0 / 3	2 / 5	0 / 1
Average number of embryos transferred	2.3	2.5	2.7	2.0
Percentage of pregnancies with twins <sup>b</sup>	7 / 11			
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more <sup>b</sup>	0 / 11			
Percentage of live births having multiple infants <sup>b,c</sup>	7 / 10			
<b>Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs</b>				
Number of transfers	1	3	0	0
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	0 / 1	1 / 3		
Average number of embryos transferred	2.0	1.7		
<b>All Ages Combined<sup>e</sup></b>				
<b>Donor Eggs</b>	<b>Fresh Embryos</b>		<b>Frozen Embryos</b>	
	1		0	
	0 / 1			
Average number of embryos transferred	2.0			

## CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

**Current Name:** Women's Specialty Health Centers, PC

Donor egg?	Yes	Gestational carriers?	No	SART member?	Yes
Donor embryo?	No	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	Yes
Single women?	Yes			(See Appendix C for details.)	

<sup>a</sup> Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2006 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.

<sup>b</sup> When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.

<sup>c</sup> A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.

<sup>d</sup> Clinic-specific outcome rates are unreliable for women older than 42 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs. Readers are urged to review national outcomes for these age groups (see page 27).

<sup>e</sup> All ages (including ages >42) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.

## MID-IOWA FERTILITY, PC CLIVE, IOWA

**A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 79–88.**

### 2006 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART <sup>a</sup>				Patient Diagnosis			
IVF	100%	<b>Procedural Factors:</b>		Tubal factor	12%	Other factor	6%
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	76%	Ovulatory dysfunction	14%	Unknown factor	9%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	0%	Diminished ovarian reserve	8%	<b>Multiple Factors:</b>	
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	2%	Endometriosis	7%	Female factors only	12%
				Uterine factor	3%	Female & male factors	10%
				Male factor	19%		

### 2006 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by Donald C. Young, DO

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman			
	<35	35–37	38–40	41–42 <sup>d</sup>
<b>Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs</b>				
Number of cycles	142	43	18	5
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies <sup>b</sup>	50.7	39.5	5 / 18	1 / 5
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	46.5	32.6	4 / 18	1 / 5
(Confidence Interval)	(38.1–55.0)	(19.1–48.5)		
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	50.8	38.9	4 / 15	1 / 3
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	56.9	43.8	4 / 11	1 / 2
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births <sup>b</sup>	36.2	31.3	2 / 11	1 / 2
Percentage of cancellations <sup>b</sup>	8.5	16.3	3 / 18	2 / 5
Average number of embryos transferred	2.1	2.1	2.4	3.5
Percentage of pregnancies with twins <sup>b</sup>	45.8	6 / 17	2 / 5	1 / 1
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more <sup>b</sup>	0.0	0 / 17	0 / 5	0 / 1
Percentage of live births having multiple infants <sup>b,c</sup>	36.4	4 / 14	2 / 4	0 / 1
<b>Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs</b>				
Number of transfers	23	6	4	1
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	30.4	2 / 6	0 / 4	0 / 1
Average number of embryos transferred	2.2	2.3	2.5	3.0
<b>All Ages Combined<sup>e</sup></b>				
<b>Donor Eggs</b>	<b>Fresh Embryos</b>		<b>Frozen Embryos</b>	
	Number of transfers		4	
	Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>		0 / 4	
	Average number of embryos transferred		2.5	

### CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

**Current Name:** Mid-Iowa Fertility, PC

Donor egg?	Yes	Gestational carriers?	Yes	SART member?	Yes
Donor embryo?	Yes	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	Yes
Single women?	Yes			(See Appendix C for details.)	

<sup>a</sup> Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2006 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.

<sup>b</sup> When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.

<sup>c</sup> A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.

<sup>d</sup> Clinic-specific outcome rates are unreliable for women older than 42 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs. Readers are urged to review national outcomes for these age groups (see page 27).

<sup>e</sup> All ages (including ages >42) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.

# UNIVERSITY OF IOWA HOSPITALS AND CLINICS

## CENTER FOR ADVANCED REPRODUCTIVE CARE

### IOWA CITY, IOWA

**A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 79–88.**

#### 2006 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART <sup>a</sup>				Patient Diagnosis			
IVF	100%	<b>Procedural Factors:</b>		Tubal factor	8%	Other factor	10%
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	64%	Ovulatory dysfunction	7%	Unknown factor	13%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	0%	Diminished ovarian reserve	1%	<b>Multiple Factors:</b>	
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	0%	Endometriosis	3%	Female factors only	15%
				Uterine factor	<1%	Female & male factors	22%
				Male factor	21%		

#### 2006 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by Bradley J. Van Voorhis, MD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman			
	<35	35–37	38–40	41–42 <sup>d</sup>
<b>Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs</b>				
Number of cycles	168	87	41	22
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies <sup>b</sup>	58.3	46.0	43.9	13.6
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	51.2	37.9	29.3	9.1
(Confidence Interval)	(43.4–59.0)	(27.7–49.0)	(16.1–45.5)	(1.1–29.2)
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	55.8	42.9	31.6	2 / 12
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	61.4	45.8	33.3	2 / 11
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births <sup>b</sup>	50.0	31.9	25.0	2 / 11
Percentage of cancellations <sup>b</sup>	8.3	11.5	7.3	45.5
Average number of embryos transferred	1.6	1.8	2.4	2.3
Percentage of pregnancies with twins <sup>b</sup>	21.4	20.0	3 / 18	0 / 3
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more <sup>b</sup>	1.0	7.5	0 / 18	0 / 3
Percentage of live births having multiple infants <sup>b,c</sup>	18.6	30.3	3 / 12	0 / 2
<b>Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs</b>				
Number of transfers	68	19	18	2
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	52.9	8 / 19	4 / 18	0 / 2
Average number of embryos transferred	1.6	1.7	1.8	1.0
<b>All Ages Combined<sup>e</sup></b>				
<b>Donor Eggs</b>	<b>Fresh Embryos</b>		<b>Frozen Embryos</b>	
	24		14	
	58.3		5 / 14	
	1.5		1.7	

#### CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

**Current Name:** University of Iowa Hospitals and Clinics, Center for Advanced Reproductive Care

Donor egg?	Yes	Gestational carriers?	No	SART member?	Yes
Donor embryo?	Yes	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	Yes
Single women?	Yes			(See Appendix C for details.)	

<sup>a</sup> Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2006 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.

<sup>b</sup> When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.

<sup>c</sup> A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.

<sup>d</sup> Clinic-specific outcome rates are unreliable for women older than 42 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs. Readers are urged to review national outcomes for these age groups (see page 27).

<sup>e</sup> All ages (including ages >42) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.



**UNIVERSITY OF KANSAS MEDICAL CENTER**  
**WOMEN'S REPRODUCTIVE CENTER**  
**KANSAS CITY, KANSAS**

**A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 79–88.**

**2006 ART CYCLE PROFILE**

Type of ART <sup>a</sup>				Patient Diagnosis			
IVF	100%	<b>Procedural Factors:</b>		Tubal factor	15%	Other factor	0%
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	44%	Ovulatory dysfunction	6%	Unknown factor	21%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	0%	Diminished ovarian reserve	3%	<b>Multiple Factors:</b>	
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	0%	Endometriosis	12%	Female factors only	3%
				Uterine factor	0%	Female & male factors	21%
				Male factor	21%		

**2006 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES**

Data verified by Linda R. Nelson, MD, PhD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman			
	<35	35–37	38–40	41–42 <sup>d</sup>
<b>Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs</b>				
Number of cycles	13	5	2	2
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies <sup>b</sup>	2 / 13	0 / 5	0 / 2	0 / 2
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	1 / 13	0 / 5	0 / 2	0 / 2
(Confidence Interval)				
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	1 / 8	0 / 3	0 / 2	0 / 1
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	1 / 7	0 / 2	0 / 2	0 / 1
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births <sup>b</sup>	1 / 7	0 / 2	0 / 2	0 / 1
Percentage of cancellations <sup>b</sup>	5 / 13	2 / 5	0 / 2	1 / 2
Average number of embryos transferred	2.0	2.5	3.0	2.0
Percentage of pregnancies with twins <sup>b</sup>	0 / 2			
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more <sup>b</sup>	0 / 2			
Percentage of live births having multiple infants <sup>b,c</sup>	0 / 1			
<b>Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs</b>				
Number of transfers	3	1	1	0
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	1 / 3	0 / 1	0 / 1	
Average number of embryos transferred	2.7	4.0	2.0	
<b>All Ages Combined<sup>e</sup></b>				
<b>Donor Eggs</b>	<b>Fresh Embryos</b>		<b>Frozen Embryos</b>	
	2		0	
	1 / 2			
	3.0			

**CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE**

**Current Name:** University of Kansas Medical Center, Women's Reproductive Center

Donor egg?	Yes	Gestational carriers?	Yes	SART member?	Yes
Donor embryo?	Yes	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	Yes
Single women?	Yes			(See Appendix C for details.)	

<sup>a</sup> Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2006 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.

<sup>b</sup> When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.

<sup>c</sup> A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.

<sup>d</sup> Clinic-specific outcome rates are unreliable for women older than 42 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs. Readers are urged to review national outcomes for these age groups (see page 27).

<sup>e</sup> All ages (including ages >42) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.



# MIDWEST REPRODUCTIVE CENTER, PA OLATHE, KANSAS

A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 79–88.

## 2006 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART <sup>a</sup>				Patient Diagnosis			
IVF	100%	<b>Procedural Factors:</b>		Tubal factor	10%	Other factor	2%
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	78%	Ovulatory dysfunction	7%	Unknown factor	5%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	0%	Diminished ovarian reserve	5%	<b>Multiple Factors:</b>	
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	3%	Endometriosis	6%	Female factors only	19%
				Uterine factor	1%	Female & male factors	23%
				Male factor	21%		

## 2006 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by Dan L. Gehlbach, MD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman			
	<35	35–37	38–40	41–42 <sup>d</sup>
<b>Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs</b>				
Number of cycles	71	17	21	2
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies <sup>b</sup>	42.3	4 / 17	23.8	0 / 2
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	38.0	3 / 17	19.0	0 / 2
(Confidence Interval)	(26.8–50.3)		(5.4–41.9)	
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	40.3	3 / 14	4 / 17	0 / 1
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	41.5	3 / 13	4 / 17	0 / 1
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births <sup>b</sup>	32.3	0 / 13	4 / 17	0 / 1
Percentage of cancellations <sup>b</sup>	5.6	3 / 17	19.0	1 / 2
Average number of embryos transferred	2.5	3.0	3.2	2.0
Percentage of pregnancies with twins <sup>b</sup>	23.3	2 / 4	0 / 5	
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more <sup>b</sup>	0.0	1 / 4	0 / 5	
Percentage of live births having multiple infants <sup>b,c</sup>	22.2	3 / 3	0 / 4	
<b>Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs</b>				
Number of transfers	7	1	3	1
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	4 / 7	1 / 1	1 / 3	0 / 1
Average number of embryos transferred	2.9	3.0	2.7	2.0
<b>All Ages Combined<sup>e</sup></b>				
<b>Donor Eggs</b>	<b>Fresh Embryos</b>		<b>Frozen Embryos</b>	
	6		3	
	2 / 6		1 / 3	
	2.8		2.3	

## CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

**Current Name:** Midwest Reproductive Center, PA

Donor egg?	Yes	Gestational carriers?	Yes	SART member?	Yes
Donor embryo?	Yes	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	No
Single women?	Yes			(See Appendix C for details.)	

<sup>a</sup> Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2006 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.

<sup>b</sup> When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.

<sup>c</sup> A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.

<sup>d</sup> Clinic-specific outcome rates are unreliable for women older than 42 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs. Readers are urged to review national outcomes for these age groups (see page 27).

<sup>e</sup> All ages (including ages >42) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.

# REPRODUCTIVE RESOURCE CENTER OF GREATER KANSAS CITY OVERLAND PARK, KANSAS

A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 79–88.

## 2006 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART <sup>a</sup>		Patient Diagnosis	
IVF	100% <b>Procedural Factors:</b>	Tubal factor	6%
GIFT	0% With ICSI	Ovulatory dysfunction	12%
ZIFT	0% Unstimulated	Diminished ovarian reserve	16%
Combination	0% Used gestational carrier	Endometriosis	3%
		Uterine factor	<1%
		Male factor	15%
		Other factor	4%
		Unknown factor	11%
		<b>Multiple Factors:</b>	
		Female factors only	7%
		Female & male factors	27%

## 2006 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by Rodney Lyles, MD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman			
	<35	35–37	38–40	41–42 <sup>d</sup>
<b>Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs</b>				
Number of cycles	196	64	44	1
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies <sup>b</sup>	43.9	29.7	29.5	1 / 1
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	38.3	21.9	20.5	1 / 1
(Confidence Interval)	(31.4–45.5)	(12.5–34.0)	(9.8–35.3)	
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	49.7	36.8	26.5	1 / 1
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	53.6	40.0	30.0	1 / 1
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births <sup>b</sup>	35.7	28.6	26.7	1 / 1
Percentage of cancellations <sup>b</sup>	23.0	40.6	22.7	0 / 1
Average number of embryos transferred	1.9	1.9	1.8	2.0
Percentage of pregnancies with twins <sup>b</sup>	30.2	4 / 19	1 / 13	0 / 1
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more <sup>b</sup>	2.3	0 / 19	0 / 13	0 / 1
Percentage of live births having multiple infants <sup>b,c</sup>	33.3	4 / 14	1 / 9	0 / 1
<b>Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs</b>				
Number of transfers	29	15	2	0
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	51.7	4 / 15	2 / 2	
Average number of embryos transferred	2.2	2.0	2.5	
<b>All Ages Combined<sup>e</sup></b>				
<b>Donor Eggs</b>		<b>Fresh Embryos</b>	<b>Frozen Embryos</b>	
Number of transfers		72	18	
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>		62.5	11 / 18	
Average number of embryos transferred		1.9	2.3	

## CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

**Current Name:** Reproductive Resource Center of Greater Kansas City

Donor egg?	Yes	Gestational carriers?	Yes	SART member?	Yes
Donor embryo?	No	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	Yes
Single women?	No			(See Appendix C for details.)	

<sup>a</sup> Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2006 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.

<sup>b</sup> When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.

<sup>c</sup> A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.

<sup>d</sup> Clinic-specific outcome rates are unreliable for women older than 42 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs. Readers are urged to review national outcomes for these age groups (see page 27).

<sup>e</sup> All ages (including ages >42) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.

**REPRODUCTIVE MEDICINE & INFERTILITY  
SHAWNEE MISSION MEDICAL CENTER  
SHAWNEE MISSION, KANSAS**

**A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 79–88.**

**2006 ART CYCLE PROFILE**

Type of ART <sup>a</sup>				Patient Diagnosis			
IVF	100%	<b>Procedural Factors:</b>		Tubal factor	13%	Other factor	1%
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	39%	Ovulatory dysfunction	4%	Unknown factor	18%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	0%	Diminished ovarian reserve	8%	<b>Multiple Factors:</b>	
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	4%	Endometriosis	6%	Female factors only	7%
				Uterine factor	3%	Female & male factors	7%
				Male factor	33%		

**2006 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES**

Data verified by Dan L. Stewart, MD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman			
	<35	35–37	38–40	41–42 <sup>d</sup>
<b>Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs</b>				
Number of cycles	86	19	13	4
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies <sup>b</sup>	41.9	7 / 19	1 / 13	0 / 4
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	36.0	5 / 19	0 / 13	0 / 4
(Confidence Interval)	(26.0–47.1)			
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	42.5	5 / 12	0 / 6	0 / 2
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	45.6	5 / 12	0 / 6	0 / 2
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births <sup>b</sup>	30.9	4 / 12	0 / 6	0 / 2
Percentage of cancellations <sup>b</sup>	15.1	7 / 19	7 / 13	2 / 4
Average number of embryos transferred	2.3	2.3	3.0	2.0
Percentage of pregnancies with twins <sup>b</sup>	30.6	1 / 7	0 / 1	
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more <sup>b</sup>	5.6	0 / 7	0 / 1	
Percentage of live births having multiple infants <sup>b,c</sup>	32.3	1 / 5		
<b>Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs</b>				
Number of transfers	16	1	0	1
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	8 / 16	1 / 1		1 / 1
Average number of embryos transferred	2.1	2.0		2.0
<b>All Ages Combined<sup>e</sup></b>				
<b>Donor Eggs</b>	<b>Fresh Embryos</b>		<b>Frozen Embryos</b>	
	2		12	
	1 / 2		5 / 12	
	2.5		2.4	

**CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE**

**Current Name:** Reproductive Medicine & Infertility, Shawnee Mission Medical Center

Donor egg?	Yes	Gestational carriers?	Yes	SART member?	Yes
Donor embryo?	Yes	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	Yes
Single women?	No			(See Appendix C for details.)	

<sup>a</sup> Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2006 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.

<sup>b</sup> When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.

<sup>c</sup> A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.

<sup>d</sup> Clinic-specific outcome rates are unreliable for women older than 42 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs. Readers are urged to review national outcomes for these age groups (see page 27).

<sup>e</sup> All ages (including ages >42) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.

# THE CENTER FOR REPRODUCTIVE MEDICINE WICHITA, KANSAS

A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 79–88.

## 2006 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART <sup>a</sup>				Patient Diagnosis			
IVF	100%	<b>Procedural Factors:</b>		Tubal factor	14%	Other factor	1%
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	65%	Ovulatory dysfunction	7%	Unknown factor	4%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	0%	Diminished ovarian reserve	4%	<i>Multiple Factors:</i>	
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	1%	Endometriosis	13%	Female factors only	12%
				Uterine factor	0%	Female & male factors	32%
				Male factor	14%		

## 2006 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by David A. Grainger, MD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman			
	<35	35–37	38–40	41–42 <sup>d</sup>
<b>Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs</b>				
Number of cycles	90	20	24	10
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies <sup>b</sup>	45.6	25.0	25.0	1 / 10
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	36.7	25.0	16.7	1 / 10
(Confidence Interval)	(26.8–47.5)	(8.7–49.1)	(4.7–37.4)	
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	39.3	5 / 18	19.0	1 / 8
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	39.3	5 / 18	20.0	1 / 7
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births <sup>b</sup>	26.2	5 / 18	20.0	1 / 7
Percentage of cancellations <sup>b</sup>	6.7	10.0	12.5	2 / 10
Average number of embryos transferred	2.0	2.2	2.9	2.4
Percentage of pregnancies with twins <sup>b</sup>	31.7	0 / 5	1 / 6	0 / 1
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more <sup>b</sup>	2.4	0 / 5	0 / 6	0 / 1
Percentage of live births having multiple infants <sup>b,c</sup>	33.3	0 / 5	0 / 4	0 / 1
<b>Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs</b>				
Number of transfers	17	1	1	2
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	8 / 17	0 / 1	1 / 1	0 / 2
Average number of embryos transferred	2.0	1.0	3.0	1.0
<b>All Ages Combined<sup>e</sup></b>				
<b>Donor Eggs</b>	<b>Fresh Embryos</b>		<b>Frozen Embryos</b>	
Number of transfers	9		3	
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	5 / 9		0 / 3	
Average number of embryos transferred	2.0		2.0	

## CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

**Current Name:** The Center for Reproductive Medicine

Donor egg?	Yes	Gestational carriers?	Yes	SART member?	Yes
Donor embryo?	Yes	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	Yes
Single women?	Yes			(See Appendix C for details.)	

<sup>a</sup> Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2006 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.

<sup>b</sup> When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.

<sup>c</sup> A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.

<sup>d</sup> Clinic-specific outcome rates are unreliable for women older than 42 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs. Readers are urged to review national outcomes for these age groups (see page 27).

<sup>e</sup> All ages (including ages >42) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.

## BLUEGRASS FERTILITY CENTER LEXINGTON, KENTUCKY

A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 79–88.

### 2006 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART <sup>a</sup>				Patient Diagnosis	
IVF	100%	<b>Procedural Factors:</b>		Tubal factor	14%
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	48%	Ovulatory dysfunction	4%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	0%	Diminished ovarian reserve	14%
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	1%	Endometriosis	12%
				Uterine factor	0%
				Male factor	20%
				Other factor	8%
				Unknown factor	7%
				<b>Multiple Factors:</b>	
				Female factors only	9%
				Female & male factors	13%

### 2006 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by James W. Akin, MD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman			
	<35	35–37	38–40	41–42 <sup>d</sup>
<b>Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs</b>				
Number of cycles	89	34	18	6
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies <sup>b</sup>	43.8	32.4	5 / 18	1 / 6
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	34.8	17.6	1 / 18	1 / 6
(Confidence Interval)	(25.0–45.7)	(6.8–34.5)		
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	37.8	20.0	1 / 15	1 / 6
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	39.2	21.4	1 / 15	1 / 6
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births <sup>b</sup>	29.1	17.9	1 / 15	1 / 6
Percentage of cancellations <sup>b</sup>	7.9	11.8	3 / 18	0 / 6
Average number of embryos transferred	2.7	2.5	2.7	3.3
Percentage of pregnancies with twins <sup>b</sup>	23.1	1 / 11	1 / 5	0 / 1
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more <sup>b</sup>	2.6	1 / 11	1 / 5	0 / 1
Percentage of live births having multiple infants <sup>b,c</sup>	25.8	1 / 6	0 / 1	0 / 1
<b>Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs</b>				
Number of transfers	20	2	2	1
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	15.0	0 / 2	0 / 2	0 / 1
Average number of embryos transferred	2.4	2.0	3.5	1.0
<b>All Ages Combined<sup>e</sup></b>				
<b>Donor Eggs</b>	<b>Fresh Embryos</b>		<b>Frozen Embryos</b>	
	5		7	
	1 / 5		1 / 7	
	3.2		3.1	

### CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

**Current Name:** Bluegrass Fertility Center

Donor egg?	Yes	Gestational carriers?	No	SART member?	No
Donor embryo?	No	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	Yes
Single women?	Yes			(See Appendix C for details.)	

<sup>a</sup> Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2006 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.

<sup>b</sup> When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.

<sup>c</sup> A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.

<sup>d</sup> Clinic-specific outcome rates are unreliable for women older than 42 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs. Readers are urged to review national outcomes for these age groups (see page 27).

<sup>e</sup> All ages (including ages >42) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.

## FERTILITY AND ENDOCRINE ASSOCIATES LOUISVILLE, KENTUCKY

A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 79–88.

### 2006 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART <sup>a</sup>				Patient Diagnosis			
IVF	100%	<b>Procedural Factors:</b>		Tubal factor	2%	Other factor	<1%
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	76%	Ovulatory dysfunction	2%	Unknown factor	0%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	0%	Diminished ovarian reserve	8%	<i>Multiple Factors:</i>	
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	0%	Endometriosis	0%	Female factors only	23%
				Uterine factor	0%	Female & male factors	62%
				Male factor	3%		

### 2006 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by Robert J. Homm, MD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman			
	<35	35–37	38–40	41–42 <sup>d</sup>
<b>Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs</b>				
Number of cycles	40	17	12	7
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies <sup>b</sup>	52.5	6 / 17	6 / 12	2 / 7
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	45.0	4 / 17	4 / 12	0 / 7
(Confidence Interval)	(29.3–61.5)			
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	47.4	4 / 14	4 / 11	0 / 5
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	50.0	4 / 13	4 / 11	0 / 4
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births <sup>b</sup>	33.3	4 / 13	3 / 11	0 / 4
Percentage of cancellations <sup>b</sup>	5.0	3 / 17	1 / 12	2 / 7
Average number of embryos transferred	2.4	2.7	2.7	3.3
Percentage of pregnancies with twins <sup>b</sup>	28.6	0 / 6	1 / 6	0 / 2
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more <sup>b</sup>	0.0	0 / 6	0 / 6	0 / 2
Percentage of live births having multiple infants <sup>b,c</sup>	6 / 18	0 / 4	1 / 4	
<b>Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs</b>				
Number of transfers	21	8	7	2
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	61.9	1 / 8	2 / 7	1 / 2
Average number of embryos transferred	2.5	1.9	2.6	2.0
<b>All Ages Combined<sup>e</sup></b>				
<b>Donor Eggs</b>	<b>Fresh Embryos</b>		<b>Frozen Embryos</b>	
Number of transfers	3		0	
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	2 / 3			
Average number of embryos transferred	2.7			

### CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

**Current Name:** Fertility and Endocrine Associates, Louisville Reproductive Center

Donor egg?	Yes	Gestational carriers?	Yes	SART member?	No
Donor embryo?	Yes	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	No
Single women?	Yes			(See Appendix C for details.)	

<sup>a</sup> Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2006 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.

<sup>b</sup> When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.

<sup>c</sup> A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.

<sup>d</sup> Clinic-specific outcome rates are unreliable for women older than 42 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs. Readers are urged to review national outcomes for these age groups (see page 27).

<sup>e</sup> All ages (including ages >42) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.



# UNIVERSITY OB/GYN ASSOCIATES FERTILITY CENTER LOUISVILLE, KENTUCKY

A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 79–88.

## 2006 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART <sup>a</sup>				Patient Diagnosis			
IVF	100%	<b>Procedural Factors:</b>		Tubal factor	15%	Other factor	3%
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	51%	Ovulatory dysfunction	5%	Unknown factor	9%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	0%	Diminished ovarian reserve	5%	<b>Multiple Factors:</b>	
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	2%	Endometriosis	3%	Female factors only	23%
				Uterine factor	1%	Female & male factors	18%
				Male factor	18%		

## 2006 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by Steven T. Nakajima, MD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman			
	<35	35–37	38–40	41–42 <sup>d</sup>
<b>Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs</b>				
Number of cycles	71	32	19	8
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies <sup>b</sup>	64.8	31.3	5 / 19	0 / 8
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	60.6	31.3	3 / 19	0 / 8
(Confidence Interval)	(48.3–72.0)	(16.1–50.0)		
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	63.2	37.0	3 / 13	0 / 4
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	65.2	41.7	3 / 11	0 / 3
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births <sup>b</sup>	47.0	20.8	3 / 11	0 / 3
Percentage of cancellations <sup>b</sup>	4.2	15.6	6 / 19	4 / 8
Average number of embryos transferred	2.2	2.5	2.8	3.0
Percentage of pregnancies with twins <sup>b</sup>	30.4	4 / 10	1 / 5	
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more <sup>b</sup>	0.0	1 / 10	0 / 5	
Percentage of live births having multiple infants <sup>b,c</sup>	27.9	5 / 10	0 / 3	
<b>Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs</b>				
Number of transfers	12	3	3	2
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	7 / 12	3 / 3	2 / 3	1 / 2
Average number of embryos transferred	2.1	2.3	2.7	2.0
<b>All Ages Combined<sup>e</sup></b>				
<b>Donor Eggs</b>	<b>Fresh Embryos</b>		<b>Frozen Embryos</b>	
	8		0	
	5 / 8			
	2.4			

## CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

**Current Name:** University OB/GYN Associates Fertility Center

Donor egg?	Yes	Gestational carriers?	Yes	SART member?	Yes
Donor embryo?	No	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	Yes
Single women?	Yes			(See Appendix C for details.)	

<sup>a</sup> Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2006 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.

<sup>b</sup> When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.

<sup>c</sup> A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.

<sup>d</sup> Clinic-specific outcome rates are unreliable for women older than 42 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs. Readers are urged to review national outcomes for these age groups (see page 27).

<sup>e</sup> All ages (including ages >42) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.



## A WOMAN'S CENTER FOR REPRODUCTIVE MEDICINE BATON ROUGE, LOUISIANA

A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 79–88.

### 2006 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART <sup>a</sup>			Patient Diagnosis	
IVF	100%	<b>Procedural Factors:</b>	Tubal factor	14%
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	Ovulatory dysfunction	7%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	Diminished ovarian reserve	2%
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	Endometriosis	10%
			Uterine factor	2%
			Male factor	14%
			Other factor	11%
			Unknown factor	4%
			<b>Multiple Factors:</b>	
			Female factors only	23%
			Female & male factors	12%

### 2006 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by Bobby W. Webster, MD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman			
	<35	35–37	38–40	41–42 <sup>d</sup>
<b>Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs</b>				
Number of cycles	64	23	14	5
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies <sup>b</sup>	35.9	47.8	7 / 14	1 / 5
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	31.3	30.4	6 / 14	1 / 5
(Confidence Interval)	(20.2–44.1)	(13.2–52.9)		
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	37.0	33.3	6 / 12	1 / 5
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	39.2	35.0	6 / 11	1 / 5
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births <sup>b</sup>	21.6	20.0	5 / 11	1 / 5
Percentage of cancellations <sup>b</sup>	15.6	8.7	2 / 14	0 / 5
Average number of embryos transferred	2.3	2.7	2.4	4.0
Percentage of pregnancies with twins <sup>b</sup>	39.1	3 / 11	1 / 7	0 / 1
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more <sup>b</sup>	4.3	0 / 11	0 / 7	0 / 1
Percentage of live births having multiple infants <sup>b,c</sup>	45.0	3 / 7	1 / 6	0 / 1
<b>Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs</b>				
Number of transfers	15	5	0	0
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	1 / 15	3 / 5		
Average number of embryos transferred	1.9	1.8		
<b>All Ages Combined<sup>e</sup></b>				
<b>Donor Eggs</b>	<b>Fresh Embryos</b>		<b>Frozen Embryos</b>	
	1		8	
	0 / 1		2 / 8	
	2.0		2.8	

### CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

**Current Name:** A Woman's Center for Reproductive Medicine

Donor egg?	Yes	Gestational carriers?	Yes	SART member?	Yes
Donor embryo?	Yes	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	Yes
Single women?	Yes			(See Appendix C for details.)	

<sup>a</sup> Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2006 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.

<sup>b</sup> When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.

<sup>c</sup> A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.

<sup>d</sup> Clinic-specific outcome rates are unreliable for women older than 42 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs. Readers are urged to review national outcomes for these age groups (see page 27).

<sup>e</sup> All ages (including ages >42) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.

## OCHSNER FOUNDATION FERTILITY CLINIC JEFFERSON, LOUISIANA

**A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 79–88.**

### 2006 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART <sup>a</sup>				Patient Diagnosis			
IVF	100%	<b>Procedural Factors:</b>		Tubal factor	11%	Other factor	4%
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	99%	Ovulatory dysfunction	2%	Unknown factor	1%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	0%	Diminished ovarian reserve	10%	<b>Multiple Factors:</b>	
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	4%	Endometriosis	7%	Female factors only	13%
				Uterine factor	2%	Female & male factors	37%
				Male factor	13%		

### 2006 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by Gloria Richard-Davis, MD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman			
	<35	35–37	38–40	41–42 <sup>d</sup>
<b>Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs</b>				
Number of cycles	38	21	5	9
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies <sup>b</sup>	44.7	23.8	1 / 5	0 / 9
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	34.2	23.8	1 / 5	0 / 9
(Confidence Interval)	(19.6–51.4)	(8.2–47.2)		
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	35.1	23.8	1 / 5	0 / 9
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	36.1	23.8	1 / 4	0 / 8
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births <sup>b</sup>	19.4	19.0	1 / 4	0 / 8
Percentage of cancellations <sup>b</sup>	2.6	0.0	0 / 5	0 / 9
Average number of embryos transferred	2.5	2.7	3.3	2.6
Percentage of pregnancies with twins <sup>b</sup>	3 / 17	1 / 5	0 / 1	
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more <sup>b</sup>	3 / 17	0 / 5	0 / 1	
Percentage of live births having multiple infants <sup>b,c</sup>	6 / 13	1 / 5	0 / 1	
<b>Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs</b>				
Number of transfers	2	1	1	0
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	0 / 2	0 / 1	0 / 1	
Average number of embryos transferred	2.5	2.0	4.0	
<b>All Ages Combined<sup>e</sup></b>				
<b>Donor Eggs</b>		<b>Fresh Embryos</b>	<b>Frozen Embryos</b>	
Number of transfers		5	0	
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>		4 / 5		
Average number of embryos transferred		2.4		

### CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

**Current Name:** This clinic has closed or reorganized since 2006. Information on current clinic services and profile therefore is not provided here. Contact the NASS Help Desk for current information about this clinic.

<sup>a</sup> Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2006 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.

<sup>b</sup> When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.

<sup>c</sup> A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.

<sup>d</sup> Clinic-specific outcome rates are unreliable for women older than 42 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs. Readers are urged to review national outcomes for these age groups (see page 27).

<sup>e</sup> All ages (including ages >42) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.

## FERTILITY AND WOMEN'S HEALTH CENTER OF LOUISIANA LAFAYETTE, LOUISIANA

A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 79–88.

### 2006 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART <sup>a</sup>				Patient Diagnosis			
IVF	100%	<b>Procedural Factors:</b>		Tubal factor	34%	Other factor	<1%
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	82%	Ovulatory dysfunction	12%	Unknown factor	3%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	0%	Diminished ovarian reserve	10%	<i>Multiple Factors:</i>	
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	4%	Endometriosis	7%	Female factors only	4%
				Uterine factor	2%	Female & male factors	5%
				Male factor	23%		

### 2006 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by John Storment, MD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman			
	<35	35–37	38–40	41–42 <sup>d</sup>
<b>Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs</b>				
Number of cycles	50	17	8	2
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies <sup>b</sup>	42.0	7 / 17	2 / 8	1 / 2
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	34.0	5 / 17	2 / 8	1 / 2
(Confidence Interval)	(21.2–48.8)			
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	34.7	5 / 17	2 / 7	1 / 2
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	34.7	5 / 16	2 / 7	1 / 2
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births <sup>b</sup>	22.4	3 / 16	1 / 7	1 / 2
Percentage of cancellations <sup>b</sup>	2.0	0 / 17	1 / 8	0 / 2
Average number of embryos transferred	2.4	2.4	2.9	4.0
Percentage of pregnancies with twins <sup>b</sup>	33.3	2 / 7	1 / 2	0 / 1
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more <sup>b</sup>	0.0	0 / 7	0 / 2	0 / 1
Percentage of live births having multiple infants <sup>b,c</sup>	6 / 17	2 / 5	1 / 2	0 / 1
<b>Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs</b>				
Number of transfers	12	7	3	0
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	2 / 12	1 / 7	0 / 3	
Average number of embryos transferred	2.8	1.9	2.0	
<b>All Ages Combined<sup>e</sup></b>				
<b>Donor Eggs</b>	<b>Fresh Embryos</b>		<b>Frozen Embryos</b>	
	1		1	
	1 / 1		0 / 1	
Average number of embryos transferred	2.0		3.0	

### CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

**Current Name:** Fertility and Women's Health Center of Louisiana

Donor egg?	Yes	Gestational carriers?	Yes	SART member?	Yes
Donor embryo?	Yes	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	Yes
Single women?	Yes			(See Appendix C for details.)	

<sup>a</sup> Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2006 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.

<sup>b</sup> When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.

<sup>c</sup> A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.

<sup>d</sup> Clinic-specific outcome rates are unreliable for women older than 42 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs. Readers are urged to review national outcomes for these age groups (see page 27).

<sup>e</sup> All ages (including ages >42) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.

## THE FERTILITY INSTITUTE OF NEW ORLEANS MANDEVILLE, LOUISIANA

**A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 79–88.**

### 2006 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART <sup>a</sup>				Patient Diagnosis			
IVF	100%	<b>Procedural Factors:</b>		Tubal factor	22%	Other factor	24%
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	47%	Ovulatory dysfunction	11%	Unknown factor	5%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	0%	Diminished ovarian reserve	<1%	<b>Multiple Factors:</b>	
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	<1%	Endometriosis	11%	Female factors only	1%
				Uterine factor	<1%	Female & male factors	5%
				Male factor	20%		

### 2006 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by Richard P. Dickey, MD, PhD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman			
	<35	35–37	38–40	41–42 <sup>d</sup>
<b>Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs</b>				
Number of cycles	133	71	46	31
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies <sup>b</sup>	37.6	25.4	17.4	6.5
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	31.6	19.7	10.9	3.2
(Confidence Interval)	(23.8–40.2)	(11.2–30.9)	(3.6–23.6)	(0.1–16.7)
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	34.7	24.1	12.5	3.8
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	37.8	27.5	14.7	4.8
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births <sup>b</sup>	20.7	21.6	5.9	4.8
Percentage of cancellations <sup>b</sup>	9.0	18.3	13.0	16.1
Average number of embryos transferred	2.2	2.4	2.4	2.5
Percentage of pregnancies with twins <sup>b</sup>	42.0	4 / 18	1 / 8	0 / 2
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more <sup>b</sup>	4.0	1 / 18	2 / 8	0 / 2
Percentage of live births having multiple infants <sup>b,c</sup>	45.2	3 / 14	3 / 5	0 / 1
<b>Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs</b>				
Number of transfers	28	11	5	2
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	35.7	4 / 11	0 / 5	0 / 2
Average number of embryos transferred	1.9	1.8	1.8	1.0
<b>All Ages Combined<sup>e</sup></b>				
<b>Donor Eggs</b>	<b>Fresh Embryos</b>		<b>Frozen Embryos</b>	
	7		2	
	5 / 7		0 / 2	
	2.3		2.0	

### CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

**Current Name:** The Fertility Institute of New Orleans

Donor egg?	Yes	Gestational carriers?	Yes	SART member?	Yes
Donor embryo?	Yes	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	Yes
Single women?	Yes			(See Appendix C for details.)	

<sup>a</sup> Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2006 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.

<sup>b</sup> When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.

<sup>c</sup> A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.

<sup>d</sup> Clinic-specific outcome rates are unreliable for women older than 42 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs. Readers are urged to review national outcomes for these age groups (see page 27).

<sup>e</sup> All ages (including ages >42) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.

# CENTER FOR FERTILITY AND REPRODUCTIVE HEALTH SHREVEPORT, LOUISIANA

A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 79–88.

## 2006 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART <sup>a</sup>				Patient Diagnosis			
IVF	100%	<b>Procedural Factors:</b>		Tubal factor	23%	Other factor	<1%
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	56%	Ovulatory dysfunction	10%	Unknown factor	12%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	0%	Diminished ovarian reserve	10%	<i>Multiple Factors:</i>	
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	0%	Endometriosis	14%	Female factors only	8%
				Uterine factor	0%	Female & male factors	7%
				Male factor	15%		

## 2006 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by David T. Vandermolen, MD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman			
	<35	35–37	38–40	41–42 <sup>d</sup>
<b>Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs</b>				
Number of cycles	68	27	12	8
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies <sup>b</sup>	42.6	59.3	4 / 12	4 / 8
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	35.3	48.1	2 / 12	2 / 8
(Confidence Interval)	(24.1–47.8)	(28.7–68.1)		
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	42.1	59.1	2 / 9	2 / 5
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	42.9	59.1	2 / 9	2 / 5
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births <sup>b</sup>	28.6	40.9	2 / 9	1 / 5
Percentage of cancellations <sup>b</sup>	16.2	18.5	3 / 12	3 / 8
Average number of embryos transferred	2.6	3.3	4.2	4.6
Percentage of pregnancies with twins <sup>b</sup>	34.5	2 / 16	0 / 4	1 / 4
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more <sup>b</sup>	0.0	2 / 16	0 / 4	0 / 4
Percentage of live births having multiple infants <sup>b,c</sup>	33.3	4 / 13	0 / 2	1 / 2
<b>Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs</b>				
Number of transfers	9	5	2	2
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	2 / 9	0 / 5	0 / 2	0 / 2
Average number of embryos transferred	2.6	2.0	4.5	2.0
<b>All Ages Combined<sup>e</sup></b>				
<b>Donor Eggs</b>	<b>Fresh Embryos</b>		<b>Frozen Embryos</b>	
	2		1	
	2 / 2		0 / 1	
Average number of embryos transferred	3.0		3.0	

## CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

**Current Name:** Center for Fertility and Reproductive Health

Donor egg?	Yes	Gestational carriers?	Yes	SART member?	Yes
Donor embryo?	Yes	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	Yes
Single women?	Yes			(See Appendix C for details.)	

<sup>a</sup> Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2006 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.

<sup>b</sup> When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.

<sup>c</sup> A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.

<sup>d</sup> Clinic-specific outcome rates are unreliable for women older than 42 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs. Readers are urged to review national outcomes for these age groups (see page 27).

<sup>e</sup> All ages (including ages >42) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.

## MAINE CENTER FOR REPRODUCTIVE HEALTH SOUTH PORTLAND, MAINE

**A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 79–88.**

### 2006 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART <sup>a</sup>				Patient Diagnosis			
IVF	100%	<b>Procedural Factors:</b>		Tubal factor	23%	Other factor	2%
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	46%	Ovulatory dysfunction	4%	Unknown factor	24%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	0%	Diminished ovarian reserve	1%	<b>Multiple Factors:</b>	
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	0%	Endometriosis	1%	Female factors only	12%
				Uterine factor	0%	Female & male factors	19%
				Male factor	13%		

### 2006 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by Beth Hartog, MD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman			
	<35	35–37	38–40	41–42 <sup>d</sup>
<b>Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs</b>				
Number of cycles	38	18	17	7
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies <sup>b</sup>	50.0	8 / 18	2 / 17	1 / 7
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	47.4	6 / 18	1 / 17	1 / 7
(Confidence Interval)	(31.0–64.2)			
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	48.6	6 / 17	1 / 16	1 / 6
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	51.4	6 / 17	1 / 15	1 / 6
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births <sup>b</sup>	37.1	4 / 17	0 / 15	1 / 6
Percentage of cancellations <sup>b</sup>	2.6	1 / 18	1 / 17	1 / 7
Average number of embryos transferred	2.0	2.3	2.5	2.3
Percentage of pregnancies with twins <sup>b</sup>	5 / 19	1 / 8	1 / 2	0 / 1
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more <sup>b</sup>	0 / 19	1 / 8	0 / 2	0 / 1
Percentage of live births having multiple infants <sup>b,c</sup>	5 / 18	2 / 6	1 / 1	0 / 1
<b>Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs</b>				
Number of transfers	5	0	1	1
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	3 / 5		0 / 1	0 / 1
Average number of embryos transferred	2.2		3.0	4.0
<b>All Ages Combined<sup>e</sup></b>				
<b>Donor Eggs</b>	<b>Fresh Embryos</b>		<b>Frozen Embryos</b>	
	0		0	
	Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>			
	Average number of embryos transferred			

### CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

**Current Name:** Maine Center for Reproductive Health

Donor egg?	No	Gestational carriers?	No	SART member?	Yes
Donor embryo?	No	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	Pending
Single women?	Yes			(See Appendix C for details.)	

<sup>a</sup> Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2006 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.

<sup>b</sup> When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.

<sup>c</sup> A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.

<sup>d</sup> Clinic-specific outcome rates are unreliable for women older than 42 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs. Readers are urged to review national outcomes for these age groups (see page 27).

<sup>e</sup> All ages (including ages >42) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.



## THE CENTER FOR ASSISTED REPRODUCTIVE TECHNOLOGY AT UNION MEMORIAL BALTIMORE, MARYLAND

A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 79–88.

### 2006 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART <sup>a</sup>				Patient Diagnosis			
IVF	100%	<b>Procedural Factors:</b>		Tubal factor	13%	Other factor	1%
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	69%	Ovulatory dysfunction	12%	Unknown factor	2%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	0%	Diminished ovarian reserve	11%	<i>Multiple Factors:</i>	
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	1%	Endometriosis	5%	Female factors only	19%
				Uterine factor	0%	Female & male factors	23%
				Male factor	14%		

### 2006 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by Nathan G. Berger, MD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman			
	<35	35–37	38–40	41–42 <sup>d</sup>
<b>Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs</b>				
Number of cycles	62	21	24	23
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies <sup>b</sup>	37.1	23.8	16.7	4.3
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	22.6	19.0	12.5	4.3
(Confidence Interval)	(12.9–35.0)	(5.4–41.9)	(2.7–32.4)	(0.1–21.9)
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	24.1	4 / 15	3 / 19	5.0
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	25.5	4 / 14	3 / 15	1 / 17
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births <sup>b</sup>	21.8	0 / 14	2 / 15	1 / 17
Percentage of cancellations <sup>b</sup>	6.5	28.6	20.8	13.0
Average number of embryos transferred	2.3	2.6	2.8	2.9
Percentage of pregnancies with twins <sup>b</sup>	17.4	4 / 5	1 / 4	0 / 1
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more <sup>b</sup>	4.3	0 / 5	0 / 4	0 / 1
Percentage of live births having multiple infants <sup>b,c</sup>	2 / 14	4 / 4	1 / 3	0 / 1
<b>Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs</b>				
Number of transfers	6	3	6	1
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	0 / 6	0 / 3	2 / 6	0 / 1
Average number of embryos transferred	1.8	2.0	2.2	2.0
<b>All Ages Combined<sup>e</sup></b>				
<b>Donor Eggs</b>	<b>Fresh Embryos</b>		<b>Frozen Embryos</b>	
	6		9	
	2 / 6		0 / 9	
Average number of embryos transferred	2.3		2.2	

### CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

**Current Name:** The Center for Assisted Reproductive Technology at Union Memorial

Donor egg?	Yes	Gestational carriers?	Yes	SART member?	Yes
Donor embryo?	No	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	Yes
Single women?	Yes			(See Appendix C for details.)	

<sup>a</sup> Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2006 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.

<sup>b</sup> When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.

<sup>c</sup> A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.

<sup>d</sup> Clinic-specific outcome rates are unreliable for women older than 42 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs. Readers are urged to review national outcomes for these age groups (see page 27).

<sup>e</sup> All ages (including ages >42) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.



## GBMC FERTILITY CENTER BALTIMORE, MARYLAND

**A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 79–88.**

### 2006 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART <sup>a</sup>				Patient Diagnosis	
IVF	100%	<b>Procedural Factors:</b>		Tubal factor	11%
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	44%	Ovulatory dysfunction	3%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	0%	Diminished ovarian reserve	8%
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	<1%	Endometriosis	14%
				Uterine factor	<1%
				Male factor	28%
				Other factor	8%
				Unknown factor	13%
				<b>Multiple Factors:</b>	
				Female factors only	8%
				Female & male factors	6%

### 2006 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by Eugene Katz, MD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman			
	<35	35–37	38–40	41–42 <sup>d</sup>
<b>Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs</b>				
Number of cycles	202	81	76	28
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies <sup>b</sup>	44.6	43.2	30.3	10.7
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	38.1	33.3	27.6	3.6
(Confidence Interval)	(31.4–45.2)	(23.2–44.7)	(18.0–39.1)	(0.1–18.3)
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	39.5	37.0	31.8	4.2
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	40.7	39.7	33.9	4.8
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births <sup>b</sup>	26.5	27.9	24.2	4.8
Percentage of cancellations <sup>b</sup>	3.5	9.9	13.2	14.3
Average number of embryos transferred	2.3	2.9	3.5	3.7
Percentage of pregnancies with twins <sup>b</sup>	32.2	25.7	30.4	0 / 3
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more <sup>b</sup>	3.3	5.7	4.3	0 / 3
Percentage of live births having multiple infants <sup>b,c</sup>	35.1	29.6	28.6	0 / 1
<b>Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs</b>				
Number of transfers	41	16	12	3
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	41.5	6 / 16	4 / 12	0 / 3
Average number of embryos transferred	3.4	3.0	3.3	2.7
<b>All Ages Combined<sup>e</sup></b>				
<b>Donor Eggs</b>	<b>Fresh Embryos</b>		<b>Frozen Embryos</b>	
	17		18	
	9 / 17		8 / 18	
	2.1		3.1	

### CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

**Current Name:** This clinic has closed or reorganized since 2006. Information on current clinic services and profile therefore is not provided here. Contact the NASS Help Desk for current information about this clinic.

<sup>a</sup> Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2006 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.

<sup>b</sup> When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.

<sup>c</sup> A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.

<sup>d</sup> Clinic-specific outcome rates are unreliable for women older than 42 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs. Readers are urged to review national outcomes for these age groups (see page 27).

<sup>e</sup> All ages (including ages >42) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.

# UMMS—CENTER FOR ADVANCED REPRODUCTIVE TECHNOLOGIES BALTIMORE, MARYLAND

A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 79–88.

## 2006 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART <sup>a</sup>			Patient Diagnosis	
IVF	100%	<b>Procedural Factors:</b>	Tubal factor	11%
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	Ovulatory dysfunction	4%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	Diminished ovarian reserve	13%
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	Endometriosis	0%
			Uterine factor	1%
			Male factor	20%
			Other factor	4%
			Unknown factor	12%
			<b>Multiple Factors:</b>	
			Female factors only	6%
			Female & male factors	28%

## 2006 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by Howard D. McClamrock, MD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman			
	<35	35–37	38–40	41–42 <sup>d</sup>
<b>Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs</b>				
Number of cycles	36	19	24	10
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies <sup>b</sup>	44.4	7 / 19	8.3	2 / 10
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	44.4	6 / 19	4.2	1 / 10
(Confidence Interval)	(27.9–61.9)		(0.1–21.1)	
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	57.1	6 / 14	1 / 13	1 / 9
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	69.6	6 / 13	1 / 10	1 / 6
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births <sup>b</sup>	69.6	6 / 13	1 / 10	1 / 6
Percentage of cancellations <sup>b</sup>	22.2	5 / 19	45.8	1 / 10
Average number of embryos transferred	2.6	2.7	2.0	3.5
Percentage of pregnancies with twins <sup>b</sup>	1 / 16	0 / 7	0 / 2	0 / 2
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more <sup>b</sup>	0 / 16	0 / 7	0 / 2	0 / 2
Percentage of live births having multiple infants <sup>b,c</sup>	0 / 16	0 / 6	0 / 1	0 / 1
<b>Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs</b>				
Number of transfers	1	1	1	1
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	1 / 1	0 / 1	0 / 1	0 / 1
Average number of embryos transferred	2.0	1.0	2.0	4.0
<b>All Ages Combined<sup>e</sup></b>				
<b>Donor Eggs</b>	<b>Fresh Embryos</b>		<b>Frozen Embryos</b>	
	0		2	
			1 / 2	
Average number of embryos transferred			2.5	

## CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

**Current Name:** UMMS—Center for Advanced Reproductive Technologies

Donor egg?	Yes	Gestational carriers?	Yes	SART member?	Yes
Donor embryo?	No	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	Yes
Single women?	Yes			(See Appendix C for details.)	

<sup>a</sup> Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2006 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.

<sup>b</sup> When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.

<sup>c</sup> A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.

<sup>d</sup> Clinic-specific outcome rates are unreliable for women older than 42 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs. Readers are urged to review national outcomes for these age groups (see page 27).

<sup>e</sup> All ages (including ages >42) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.

# JOHNS HOPKINS FERTILITY CENTER LUTHERVILLE, MARYLAND

A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 79–88.

## 2006 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART <sup>a</sup>				Patient Diagnosis			
IVF	100%	<b>Procedural Factors:</b>		Tubal factor	19%	Other factor	9%
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	30%	Ovulatory dysfunction	7%	Unknown factor	5%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	0%	Diminished ovarian reserve	28%	<i>Multiple Factors:</i>	
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	<1%	Endometriosis	12%	Female factors only	4%
				Uterine factor	0%	Female & male factors	2%
				Male factor	15%		

## 2006 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by Jairo E. Garcia, MD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman			
	<35	35–37	38–40	41–42 <sup>d</sup>
<b>Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs</b>				
Number of cycles	60	47	29	33
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies <sup>b</sup>	25.0	23.4	27.6	6.1
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	18.3	19.1	24.1	0.0
(Confidence Interval)	(9.5–30.4)	(9.1–33.3)	(10.3–43.5)	(0.0–10.6)
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	19.0	22.0	28.0	0.0
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	21.2	25.0	7 / 18	0 / 19
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births <sup>b</sup>	11.5	16.7	6 / 18	0 / 19
Percentage of cancellations <sup>b</sup>	3.3	12.8	13.8	30.3
Average number of embryos transferred	2.3	2.3	2.7	2.1
Percentage of pregnancies with twins <sup>b</sup>	7 / 15	2 / 11	1 / 8	0 / 2
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more <sup>b</sup>	0 / 15	1 / 11	1 / 8	0 / 2
Percentage of live births having multiple infants <sup>b,c</sup>	5 / 11	3 / 9	1 / 7	
<b>Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs</b>				
Number of transfers	18	12	10	3
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	2 / 18	1 / 12	0 / 10	0 / 3
Average number of embryos transferred	2.4	2.3	2.5	2.3
<b>All Ages Combined<sup>e</sup></b>				
<b>Donor Eggs</b>	<b>Fresh Embryos</b>		<b>Frozen Embryos</b>	
	11		5	
	6 / 11		0 / 5	
	1.9		2.0	

## CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

**Current Name:** Johns Hopkins Fertility Center

Donor egg?	Yes	Gestational carriers?	Yes	SART member?	Yes
Donor embryo?	No	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	Yes
Single women?	Yes			(See Appendix C for details.)	

<sup>a</sup> Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2006 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.

<sup>b</sup> When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.

<sup>c</sup> A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.

<sup>d</sup> Clinic-specific outcome rates are unreliable for women older than 42 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs. Readers are urged to review national outcomes for these age groups (see page 27).

<sup>e</sup> All ages (including ages >42) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.

# CENTER FOR REPRODUCTIVE MEDICINE ROCKVILLE, MARYLAND

A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 79–88.

## 2006 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART <sup>a</sup>				Patient Diagnosis			
IVF	100%	<b>Procedural Factors:</b>		Tubal factor	8%	Other factor	0%
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	55%	Ovulatory dysfunction	0%	Unknown factor	21%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	0%	Diminished ovarian reserve	8%	<i>Multiple Factors:</i>	
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	0%	Endometriosis	13%	Female factors only	8%
				Uterine factor	0%	Female & male factors	29%
				Male factor	13%		

## 2006 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by Burt Littman, MD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman			
	<35	35–37	38–40	41–42 <sup>d</sup>
<b>Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs</b>				
Number of cycles	3	6	7	0
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies <sup>b</sup>	1 / 3	1 / 6	1 / 7	
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	1 / 3	0 / 6	1 / 7	
(Confidence Interval)				
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	1 / 2	0 / 6	1 / 7	
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	1 / 2	0 / 5	1 / 7	
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births <sup>b</sup>	1 / 2	0 / 5	0 / 7	
Percentage of cancellations <sup>b</sup>	1 / 3	0 / 6	0 / 7	
Average number of embryos transferred	2.0	1.6	2.3	
Percentage of pregnancies with twins <sup>b</sup>	0 / 1	0 / 1	1 / 1	
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more <sup>b</sup>	0 / 1	0 / 1	0 / 1	
Percentage of live births having multiple infants <sup>b,c</sup>	0 / 1		1 / 1	
<b>Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs</b>				
Number of transfers	0	1	2	0
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>		1 / 1	0 / 2	
Average number of embryos transferred		1.0	1.5	
<b>All Ages Combined<sup>e</sup></b>				
<b>Donor Eggs</b>				
	<b>Fresh Embryos</b>		<b>Frozen Embryos</b>	
Number of transfers	0		0	
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>				
Average number of embryos transferred				

## CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

**Current Name:** Center for Reproductive Medicine

Donor egg?	Yes	Gestational carriers?	Yes	SART member?	Yes
Donor embryo?	No	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	Yes
Single women?	Yes			(See Appendix C for details.)	

<sup>a</sup> Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2006 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.

<sup>b</sup> When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.

<sup>c</sup> A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.

<sup>d</sup> Clinic-specific outcome rates are unreliable for women older than 42 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs. Readers are urged to review national outcomes for these age groups (see page 27).

<sup>e</sup> All ages (including ages >42) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.

## SHADY GROVE FERTILITY REPRODUCTIVE SCIENCE CENTER ROCKVILLE, MARYLAND

A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 79–88.

### 2006 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART <sup>a</sup>			Patient Diagnosis	
IVF	100%	<b>Procedural Factors:</b>	Tubal factor	11%
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	Ovulatory dysfunction	7%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	Diminished ovarian reserve	13%
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	Endometriosis	6%
			Uterine factor	3%
			Male factor	19%
			Other factor	8%
			Unknown factor	25%
			<b>Multiple Factors:</b>	
			Female factors only	4%
			Female & male factors	5%

### 2006 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by Michael J. Levy, MD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman			
	<35	35–37	38–40	41–42 <sup>d</sup>
<b>Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs</b>				
Number of cycles	1191	866	711	305
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies <sup>b</sup>	51.9	43.0	31.6	25.9
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	45.7	35.0	24.5	14.8
(Confidence Interval)	(42.8–48.6)	(31.8–38.3)	(21.4–27.8)	(11.0–19.2)
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	50.0	41.0	29.3	18.4
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	52.2	42.4	30.7	19.4
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births <sup>b</sup>	35.8	30.8	24.6	15.9
Percentage of cancellations <sup>b</sup>	8.6	14.7	16.5	19.7
Average number of embryos transferred	1.9	2.1	2.4	3.0
Percentage of pregnancies with twins <sup>b</sup>	31.2	25.3	19.6	17.7
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more <sup>b</sup>	1.0	1.6	1.8	0.0
Percentage of live births having multiple infants <sup>b,c</sup>	31.4	27.4	20.1	17.8
<b>Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs</b>				
Number of transfers	208	173	98	19
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	29.3	23.1	19.4	6 / 19
Average number of embryos transferred	1.9	2.0	1.9	2.2
<b>All Ages Combined<sup>e</sup></b>				
<b>Donor Eggs</b>	<b>Fresh Embryos</b>		<b>Frozen Embryos</b>	
	395		147	
	54.2		28.6	
	1.8		1.8	

### CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

**Current Name:** Shady Grove Fertility Reproductive Science Center

Donor egg?	Yes	Gestational carriers?	Yes	SART member?	Yes
Donor embryo?	No	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	Yes
Single women?	Yes			(See Appendix C for details.)	

<sup>a</sup> Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2006 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.

<sup>b</sup> When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.

<sup>c</sup> A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.

<sup>d</sup> Clinic-specific outcome rates are unreliable for women older than 42 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs. Readers are urged to review national outcomes for these age groups (see page 27).

<sup>e</sup> All ages (including ages >42) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.

## FERTILITY CENTER OF MARYLAND TOWSON, MARYLAND

A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 79–88.

### 2006 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART <sup>a</sup>			Patient Diagnosis	
IVF	100%	<b>Procedural Factors:</b>	Tubal factor	10%
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	Ovulatory dysfunction	8%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	Diminished ovarian reserve	6%
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	Endometriosis	7%
			Uterine factor	1%
			Male factor	10%
			Other factor	6%
			Unknown factor	2%
			<b>Multiple Factors:</b>	
			Female factors only	23%
			Female & male factors	26%

### 2006 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by Santiago L. Padilla, MD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman			
	<35	35–37	38–40	41–42 <sup>d</sup>
<b>Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs</b>				
Number of cycles	100	56	20	22
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies <sup>b</sup>	46.0	33.9	30.0	13.6
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	41.0	28.6	25.0	9.1
(Confidence Interval)	(31.3–51.3)	(17.3–42.2)	(8.7–49.1)	(1.1–29.2)
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	43.6	37.2	5 / 16	2 / 19
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	44.1	37.2	5 / 16	2 / 19
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births <sup>b</sup>	28.0	23.3	5 / 16	2 / 19
Percentage of cancellations <sup>b</sup>	6.0	23.2	20.0	13.6
Average number of embryos transferred	2.2	2.3	3.0	4.3
Percentage of pregnancies with twins <sup>b</sup>	41.3	6 / 19	1 / 6	0 / 3
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more <sup>b</sup>	2.2	1 / 19	0 / 6	0 / 3
Percentage of live births having multiple infants <sup>b,c</sup>	36.6	6 / 16	0 / 5	0 / 2
<b>Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs</b>				
Number of transfers	23	28	13	8
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	30.4	25.0	5 / 13	3 / 8
Average number of embryos transferred	2.1	1.9	2.0	2.0
<b>All Ages Combined<sup>e</sup></b>				
<b>Donor Eggs</b>	<b>Fresh Embryos</b>		<b>Frozen Embryos</b>	
	10		4	
	5 / 10		3 / 4	
	2.1		1.8	

### CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

**Current Name:** Fertility Center of Maryland

Donor egg?	Yes	Gestational carriers?	Yes	SART member?	Yes
Donor embryo?	No	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	Yes
Single women?	Yes			(See Appendix C for details.)	

<sup>a</sup> Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2006 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.

<sup>b</sup> When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.

<sup>c</sup> A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.

<sup>d</sup> Clinic-specific outcome rates are unreliable for women older than 42 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs. Readers are urged to review national outcomes for these age groups (see page 27).

<sup>e</sup> All ages (including ages >42) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.



# BRIGHAM AND WOMEN'S HOSPITAL ART CENTER BOSTON, MASSACHUSETTS

A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 79–88.

## 2006 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART <sup>a</sup>			Patient Diagnosis	
IVF	>99%	<b>Procedural Factors:</b>	Tubal factor	10%
GIFT	<1%	With ICSI	Ovulatory dysfunction	5%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	Diminished ovarian reserve	4%
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	Endometriosis	5%
			Uterine factor	2%
			Male factor	19%
			Other factor	21%
			Unknown factor	20%
			<b>Multiple Factors:</b>	
			Female factors only	6%
			Female & male factors	10%

## 2006 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by Elizabeth S. Ginsburg, MD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman			
	<35	35–37	38–40	41–42 <sup>d</sup>
<b>Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs</b>				
Number of cycles	496	408	381	177
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies <sup>b</sup>	45.8	37.0	27.0	24.9
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	38.5	30.9	19.2	16.4
(Confidence Interval)	(34.2–42.9)	(26.4–35.6)	(15.3–23.5)	(11.3–22.7)
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	39.8	32.6	20.5	17.3
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	43.1	35.3	22.1	19.2
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births <sup>b</sup>	33.0	27.5	16.1	17.9
Percentage of cancellations <sup>b</sup>	3.2	5.4	6.6	5.1
Average number of embryos transferred	1.8	2.2	2.8	3.8
Percentage of pregnancies with twins <sup>b</sup>	26.0	21.2	27.2	20.5
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more <sup>b</sup>	0.4	2.0	4.9	0.0
Percentage of live births having multiple infants <sup>b,c</sup>	23.6	22.2	27.4	6.9
<b>Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs</b>				
Number of transfers	95	59	28	8
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	36.8	27.1	17.9	2 / 8
Average number of embryos transferred	2.1	2.4	2.6	3.3
<b>All Ages Combined<sup>e</sup></b>				
<b>Donor Eggs</b>	<b>Fresh Embryos</b>		<b>Frozen Embryos</b>	
	73		46	
	50.7		45.7	
	2.0		2.2	

## CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

**Current Name:** Brigham and Women's Hospital ART Center

Donor egg?	Yes	Gestational carriers?	Yes	SART member?	Yes
Donor embryo?	Yes	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	Yes
Single women?	Yes			(See Appendix C for details.)	

<sup>a</sup> Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2006 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.

<sup>b</sup> When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.

<sup>c</sup> A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.

<sup>d</sup> Clinic-specific outcome rates are unreliable for women older than 42 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs. Readers are urged to review national outcomes for these age groups (see page 27).

<sup>e</sup> All ages (including ages >42) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.



**VINCENT IVF UNIT  
MASSACHUSETTS GENERAL HOSPITAL  
BOSTON, MASSACHUSETTS**

**A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 79–88.**

**2006 ART CYCLE PROFILE**

Type of ART <sup>a</sup>				Patient Diagnosis			
IVF	100%	<b>Procedural Factors:</b>		Tubal factor	6%	Other factor	5%
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	45%	Ovulatory dysfunction	6%	Unknown factor	21%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	0%	Diminished ovarian reserve	12%	<i>Multiple Factors:</i>	
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	<1%	Endometriosis	3%	Female factors only	7%
				Uterine factor	<1%	Female & male factors	17%
				Male factor	24%		

**2006 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES**

Data verified by Thomas L. Toth, MD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman			
	<35	35–37	38–40	41–42 <sup>d</sup>
<b>Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs</b>				
Number of cycles	162	121	103	32
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies <sup>b</sup>	37.7	41.3	31.1	21.9
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	35.2	33.9	25.2	18.8
(Confidence Interval)	(27.9–43.1)	(25.5–43.0)	(17.2–34.8)	(7.2–36.4)
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	38.0	36.9	26.8	20.7
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	41.9	38.3	28.3	21.4
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births <sup>b</sup>	35.3	28.0	20.7	14.3
Percentage of cancellations <sup>b</sup>	7.4	8.3	5.8	9.4
Average number of embryos transferred	1.7	2.0	2.4	3.4
Percentage of pregnancies with twins <sup>b</sup>	18.0	28.0	28.1	3 / 7
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more <sup>b</sup>	1.6	0.0	0.0	0 / 7
Percentage of live births having multiple infants <sup>b,c</sup>	15.8	26.8	26.9	2 / 6
<b>Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs</b>				
Number of transfers	32	26	14	7
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	50.0	38.5	5 / 14	0 / 7
Average number of embryos transferred	1.7	1.7	1.9	2.4
<b>All Ages Combined<sup>e</sup></b>				
<b>Donor Eggs</b>	<b>Fresh Embryos</b>		<b>Frozen Embryos</b>	
	29		6	
	55.2		1 / 6	
	1.8		2.0	

**CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE**

**Current Name:** Vincent IVF Unit, Massachusetts General Hospital

Donor egg?	Yes	Gestational carriers?	Yes	SART member?	Yes
Donor embryo?	No	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	Yes
Single women?	Yes			(See Appendix C for details.)	

<sup>a</sup> Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2006 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.

<sup>b</sup> When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.

<sup>c</sup> A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.

<sup>d</sup> Clinic-specific outcome rates are unreliable for women older than 42 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs. Readers are urged to review national outcomes for these age groups (see page 27).

<sup>e</sup> All ages (including ages >42) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.

## REPRODUCTIVE SCIENCE CENTER LEXINGTON, MASSACHUSETTS

**A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 79–88.**

### 2006 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART <sup>a</sup>				Patient Diagnosis			
IVF	100%	<b>Procedural Factors:</b>		Tubal factor	8%	Other factor	4%
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	44%	Ovulatory dysfunction	8%	Unknown factor	16%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	0%	Diminished ovarian reserve	8%	<b>Multiple Factors:</b>	
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	<1%	Endometriosis	5%	Female factors only	11%
				Uterine factor	<1%	Female & male factors	20%
				Male factor	20%		

### 2006 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by Samuel C. Pang, MD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman			
	<35	35–37	38–40	41–42 <sup>d</sup>
<b>Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs</b>				
Number of cycles	789	494	378	189
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies <sup>b</sup>	46.6	36.8	26.5	15.3
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	39.5	29.1	21.7	9.5
(Confidence Interval)	(36.1–43.1)	(25.2–33.4)	(17.6–26.2)	(5.7–14.6)
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	41.7	31.8	25.1	11.0
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	46.1	35.8	28.5	12.9
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births <sup>b</sup>	32.3	27.1	21.5	11.4
Percentage of cancellations <sup>b</sup>	5.1	8.3	13.5	13.2
Average number of embryos transferred	1.8	1.9	2.4	2.6
Percentage of pregnancies with twins <sup>b</sup>	29.6	19.2	20.0	6.9
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more <sup>b</sup>	1.1	3.3	7.0	6.9
Percentage of live births having multiple infants <sup>b,c</sup>	29.8	24.3	24.4	2 / 18
<b>Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs</b>				
Number of transfers	124	75	40	13
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	39.5	41.3	35.0	2 / 13
Average number of embryos transferred	1.7	1.8	2.0	2.0
<b>All Ages Combined<sup>e</sup></b>				
<b>Donor Eggs</b>	<b>Fresh Embryos</b>		<b>Frozen Embryos</b>	
	86		54	
	54.7		31.5	
	2.0		1.7	

### CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

**Current Name:** This clinic has closed or reorganized since 2006. Information on current clinic services and profile therefore is not provided here. Contact the NASS Help Desk for current information about this clinic.

<sup>a</sup> Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2006 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.

<sup>b</sup> When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.

<sup>c</sup> A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.

<sup>d</sup> Clinic-specific outcome rates are unreliable for women older than 42 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs. Readers are urged to review national outcomes for these age groups (see page 27).

<sup>e</sup> All ages (including ages >42) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.

**FERTILITY CENTERS OF NEW ENGLAND, INC.**  
**NEW ENGLAND CLINICS OF REPRODUCTIVE MEDICINE, INC.**  
**READING, MASSACHUSETTS**

**A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 79–88.**

**2006 ART CYCLE PROFILE**

Type of ART <sup>a</sup>			Patient Diagnosis	
IVF	100%	<b>Procedural Factors:</b>	Tubal factor	9%
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	Ovulatory dysfunction	7%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	Diminished ovarian reserve	7%
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	Endometriosis	5%
			Uterine factor	4%
			Male factor	21%
			Other factor	8%
			Unknown factor	12%
			<b>Multiple Factors:</b>	
			Female factors only	11%
			Female & male factors	18%

**2006 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES**

Data verified by R. Ian Hardy, MD, PhD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman			
	<35	35–37	38–40	41–42 <sup>d</sup>
<b>Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs</b>				
Number of cycles	355	173	172	81
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies <sup>b</sup>	42.0	32.4	23.8	9.9
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	35.8	27.7	16.9	4.9
(Confidence Interval)	(30.8–41.0)	(21.2–35.1)	(11.6–23.3)	(1.4–12.2)
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	36.4	30.0	17.9	5.2
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	39.6	31.8	23.6	7.0
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births <sup>b</sup>	28.7	21.2	21.1	7.0
Percentage of cancellations <sup>b</sup>	1.7	7.5	5.8	4.9
Average number of embryos transferred	2.1	2.1	2.3	2.6
Percentage of pregnancies with twins <sup>b</sup>	26.8	35.7	14.6	0 / 8
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more <sup>b</sup>	1.3	0.0	0.0	0 / 8
Percentage of live births having multiple infants <sup>b,c</sup>	27.6	33.3	10.3	0 / 4
<b>Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs</b>				
Number of transfers	58	33	16	8
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	22.4	15.2	3 / 16	2 / 8
Average number of embryos transferred	1.9	2.1	1.9	1.8
<b>All Ages Combined<sup>e</sup></b>				
<b>Donor Eggs</b>	<b>Fresh Embryos</b>		<b>Frozen Embryos</b>	
	Number of transfers		29	
	Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>		37.9	
	Average number of embryos transferred		1.9	

**CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE**

**Current Name:** Fertility Centers of New England, Inc., New England Clinics of Reproductive Medicine, Inc.

Donor egg?	Yes	Gestational carriers?	Yes	SART member?	Yes
Donor embryo?	Yes	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	Yes
Single women?	Yes			(See Appendix C for details.)	

<sup>a</sup> Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2006 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.

<sup>b</sup> When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.

<sup>c</sup> A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.

<sup>d</sup> Clinic-specific outcome rates are unreliable for women older than 42 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs. Readers are urged to review national outcomes for these age groups (see page 27).

<sup>e</sup> All ages (including ages >42) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.

## BAYSTATE REPRODUCTIVE MEDICINE SPRINGFIELD, MASSACHUSETTS

**A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 79–88.**

### 2006 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART <sup>a</sup>				Patient Diagnosis	
IVF	100%	<b>Procedural Factors:</b>		Tubal factor	10%
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	54%	Ovulatory dysfunction	11%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	0%	Diminished ovarian reserve	2%
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	<1%	Endometriosis	6%
				Uterine factor	2%
				Male factor	24%
				Other factor	4%
				Unknown factor	23%
				<b>Multiple Factors:</b>	
				Female factors only	7%
				Female & male factors	12%

### 2006 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by Daniel Grow, MD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman			
	<35	35–37	38–40	41–42 <sup>d</sup>
<b>Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs</b>				
Number of cycles	131	77	68	47
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies <sup>b</sup>	51.1	42.9	36.8	23.4
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	47.3	31.2	25.0	12.8
(Confidence Interval)	(38.5–56.2)	(21.1–42.7)	(15.3–37.0)	(4.8–25.7)
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	50.0	33.8	27.4	18.2
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	52.1	37.5	28.3	20.0
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births <sup>b</sup>	32.8	31.3	21.7	16.7
Percentage of cancellations <sup>b</sup>	5.3	7.8	8.8	29.8
Average number of embryos transferred	1.8	2.3	3.0	3.8
Percentage of pregnancies with twins <sup>b</sup>	37.3	18.2	36.0	2 / 11
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more <sup>b</sup>	1.5	0.0	8.0	0 / 11
Percentage of live births having multiple infants <sup>b,c</sup>	37.1	16.7	4 / 17	1 / 6
<b>Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs</b>				
Number of transfers	52	33	25	5
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	19.2	15.2	16.0	0 / 5
Average number of embryos transferred	2.0	2.2	2.9	3.2
<b>All Ages Combined<sup>e</sup></b>				
<b>Donor Eggs</b>	<b>Fresh Embryos</b>		<b>Frozen Embryos</b>	
	29		23	
	58.6		26.1	
	1.9		2.0	

### CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

**Current Name:** Baystate Reproductive Medicine

Donor egg?	Yes	Gestational carriers?	Yes	SART member?	Yes
Donor embryo?	No	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	Yes
Single women?	Yes			(See Appendix C for details.)	

<sup>a</sup> Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2006 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.

<sup>b</sup> When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.

<sup>c</sup> A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.

<sup>d</sup> Clinic-specific outcome rates are unreliable for women older than 42 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs. Readers are urged to review national outcomes for these age groups (see page 27).

<sup>e</sup> All ages (including ages >42) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.

## BOSTON IVF WALTHAM, MASSACHUSETTS

A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 79–88.

### 2006 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART <sup>a</sup>				Patient Diagnosis			
IVF	>99%	<b>Procedural Factors:</b>		Tubal factor	9%	Other factor	26%
GIFT	<1%	With ICSI	39%	Ovulatory dysfunction	8%	Unknown factor	28%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	0%	Diminished ovarian reserve	0%	<i>Multiple Factors:</i>	
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	<1%	Endometriosis	3%	Female factors only	2%
				Uterine factor	1%	Female & male factors	4%
				Male factor	19%		

### 2006 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by Michael M. Alper, MD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman			
	<35	35–37	38–40	41–42 <sup>d</sup>
<b>Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs</b>				
Number of cycles	704	506	498	229
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies <sup>b</sup>	43.5	34.6	25.3	17.0
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	37.1	29.2	20.3	10.9
(Confidence Interval)	(33.5–40.8)	(25.3–33.4)	(16.8–24.1)	(7.2–15.7)
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	38.9	32.0	23.1	12.6
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	41.8	35.2	26.6	15.1
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births <sup>b</sup>	28.2	24.8	21.6	12.7
Percentage of cancellations <sup>b</sup>	4.7	8.7	12.2	13.5
Average number of embryos transferred	2.0	2.1	2.6	2.9
Percentage of pregnancies with twins <sup>b</sup>	30.1	32.6	18.3	17.9
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more <sup>b</sup>	2.9	2.9	4.0	2.6
Percentage of live births having multiple infants <sup>b,c</sup>	32.6	29.7	18.8	16.0
<b>Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs</b>				
Number of transfers	137	77	56	11
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	25.5	28.6	19.6	3 / 11
Average number of embryos transferred	2.0	2.2	2.1	2.5
<b>All Ages Combined<sup>e</sup></b>				
<b>Donor Eggs</b>	<b>Fresh Embryos</b>		<b>Frozen Embryos</b>	
	Number of transfers		84	
	Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>		27.4	
	Average number of embryos transferred		1.9	

### CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

**Current Name:** Boston IVF

Donor egg?	Yes	Gestational carriers?	Yes	SART member?	Yes
Donor embryo?	No	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	Yes
Single women?	Yes			(See Appendix C for details.)	

<sup>a</sup> Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2006 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.

<sup>b</sup> When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.

<sup>c</sup> A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.

<sup>d</sup> Clinic-specific outcome rates are unreliable for women older than 42 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs. Readers are urged to review national outcomes for these age groups (see page 27).

<sup>e</sup> All ages (including ages >42) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.

**CENTER FOR REPRODUCTIVE MEDICINE**  
**UNIVERSITY OF MICHIGAN REPRODUCTIVE ENDOCRINOLOGY AND INFERTILITY**  
**ANN ARBOR, MICHIGAN**

**A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 79–88.**

### 2006 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART <sup>a</sup>				Patient Diagnosis			
IVF	100%	<b>Procedural Factors:</b>		Tubal factor	6%	Other factor	1%
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	49%	Ovulatory dysfunction	<1%	Unknown factor	3%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	0%	Diminished ovarian reserve	7%	<i>Multiple Factors:</i>	
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	0%	Endometriosis	1%	Female factors only	6%
				Uterine factor	0%	Female & male factors	47%
				Male factor	29%		

### 2006 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by Senait Fisseha, MD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman			
	<35	35–37	38–40	41–42 <sup>d</sup>
<b>Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs</b>				
Number of cycles	58	28	13	6
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies <sup>b</sup>	37.9	17.9	3 / 13	0 / 6
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	36.2	10.7	2 / 13	0 / 6
(Confidence Interval)	(24.0–49.9)	(2.3–28.2)		
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	44.7	14.3	2 / 8	0 / 3
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	45.7	15.0	2 / 7	0 / 3
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births <sup>b</sup>	30.4	15.0	1 / 7	0 / 3
Percentage of cancellations <sup>b</sup>	19.0	25.0	5 / 13	3 / 6
Average number of embryos transferred	2.2	2.4	2.6	3.3
Percentage of pregnancies with twins <sup>b</sup>	27.3	0 / 5	1 / 3	
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more <sup>b</sup>	4.5	0 / 5	0 / 3	
Percentage of live births having multiple infants <sup>b,c</sup>	33.3	0 / 3	1 / 2	
<b>Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs</b>				
Number of transfers	7	7	5	1
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	1 / 7	1 / 7	1 / 5	0 / 1
Average number of embryos transferred	2.3	2.0	2.8	2.0
<b>All Ages Combined<sup>e</sup></b>				
<b>Donor Eggs</b>	<b>Fresh Embryos</b>		<b>Frozen Embryos</b>	
	11		5	
	4 / 11		1 / 5	
Number of transfers	1.9		3.0	
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>				
Average number of embryos transferred				

### CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

**Current Name:** Center for Reproductive Medicine, University of Michigan Reproductive Endocrinology and Infertility

Donor egg?	Yes	Gestational carriers?	Yes	SART member?	Yes
Donor embryo?	No	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	Yes
Single women?	Yes			(See Appendix C for details.)	

<sup>a</sup> Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2006 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.

<sup>b</sup> When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.

<sup>c</sup> A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.

<sup>d</sup> Clinic-specific outcome rates are unreliable for women older than 42 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs. Readers are urged to review national outcomes for these age groups (see page 27).

<sup>e</sup> All ages (including ages >42) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.



# CENTER FOR REPRODUCTIVE MEDICINE AND SURGERY, PC BIRMINGHAM, MICHIGAN

**A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 79–88.**

## 2006 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART <sup>a</sup>				Patient Diagnosis			
IVF	100%	<b>Procedural Factors:</b>		Tubal factor	0%	Other factor	0%
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	79%	Ovulatory dysfunction	24%	Unknown factor	0%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	0%	Diminished ovarian reserve	31%	<i>Multiple Factors:</i>	
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	0%	Endometriosis	1%	Female factors only	10%
				Uterine factor	0%	Female & male factors	29%
				Male factor	6%		

## 2006 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by Michael S. Mersol-Barg, MD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman			
	<35	35–37	38–40	41–42 <sup>d</sup>
<b>Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs</b>				
Number of cycles	28	17	12	3
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies <sup>b</sup>	57.1	6 / 17	5 / 12	0 / 3
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	57.1	6 / 17	3 / 12	0 / 3
(Confidence Interval)	(37.2–75.5)			
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	57.1	6 / 16	3 / 12	0 / 3
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	59.3	6 / 15	3 / 11	0 / 2
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births <sup>b</sup>	40.7	5 / 15	2 / 11	0 / 2
Percentage of cancellations <sup>b</sup>	0.0	1 / 17	0 / 12	0 / 3
Average number of embryos transferred	1.9	2.1	2.1	1.5
Percentage of pregnancies with twins <sup>b</sup>	9 / 16	2 / 6	1 / 5	
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more <sup>b</sup>	0 / 16	0 / 6	0 / 5	
Percentage of live births having multiple infants <sup>b,c</sup>	5 / 16	1 / 6	1 / 3	
<b>Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs</b>				
Number of transfers	2	0	0	0
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	0 / 2			
Average number of embryos transferred	2.0			
<b>All Ages Combined<sup>e</sup></b>				
<b>Donor Eggs</b>	<b>Fresh Embryos</b>		<b>Frozen Embryos</b>	
	6		1	
	3 / 6		0 / 1	
Average number of embryos transferred	2.0		1.0	

## CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

**Current Name:** Center for Reproductive Medicine and Surgery, PC

Donor egg?	Yes	Gestational carriers?	Yes	SART member?	Yes
Donor embryo?	Yes	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	Yes
Single women?	Yes			(See Appendix C for details.)	

<sup>a</sup> Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2006 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.

<sup>b</sup> When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.

<sup>c</sup> A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.

<sup>d</sup> Clinic-specific outcome rates are unreliable for women older than 42 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs. Readers are urged to review national outcomes for these age groups (see page 27).

<sup>e</sup> All ages (including ages >42) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.



**CENTER FOR REPRODUCTIVE MEDICINE  
OAKWOOD HOSPITAL AND MEDICAL CENTER  
DEARBORN, MICHIGAN**

**A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 79–88.**

**2006 ART CYCLE PROFILE**

Type of ART <sup>a</sup>				Patient Diagnosis	
IVF	100%	<b>Procedural Factors:</b>		Tubal factor	13%
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	66%	Ovulatory dysfunction	6%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	0%	Diminished ovarian reserve	4%
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	2%	Endometriosis	4%
				Uterine factor	<1%
				Male factor	19%
				Other factor	<1%
				Unknown factor	8%
				<b>Multiple Factors:</b>	
				Female factors only	16%
				Female & male factors	29%

**2006 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES**

Data verified by David M. Magyar, DO

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman			
	<35	35–37	38–40	41–42 <sup>d</sup>
<b>Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs</b>				
Number of cycles	75	49	34	10
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies <sup>b</sup>	30.7	24.5	8.8	1 / 10
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	26.7	16.3	8.8	0 / 10
(Confidence Interval)	(17.1–38.1)	(7.3–29.7)	(1.9–23.7)	
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	32.8	22.2	12.5	0 / 4
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	34.5	22.9	13.0	0 / 4
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births <sup>b</sup>	19.0	22.9	4.3	0 / 4
Percentage of cancellations <sup>b</sup>	18.7	26.5	29.4	6 / 10
Average number of embryos transferred	3.3	3.7	3.8	3.8
Percentage of pregnancies with twins <sup>b</sup>	34.8	1 / 12	1 / 3	0 / 1
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more <sup>b</sup>	13.0	0 / 12	1 / 3	0 / 1
Percentage of live births having multiple infants <sup>b,c</sup>	45.0	0 / 8	2 / 3	
<b>Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs</b>				
Number of transfers	23	7	1	2
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	21.7	1 / 7	1 / 1	0 / 2
Average number of embryos transferred	2.7	2.7	2.0	5.0
<b>All Ages Combined<sup>e</sup></b>				
<b>Donor Eggs</b>	<b>Fresh Embryos</b>		<b>Frozen Embryos</b>	
	20		9	
	55.0		2 / 9	
Average number of embryos transferred	2.9		2.6	

**CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE**

**Current Name:** This clinic has closed or reorganized since 2006. Information on current clinic services and profile therefore is not provided here. Contact the NASS Help Desk for current information about this clinic.

<sup>a</sup> Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2006 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.

<sup>b</sup> When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.

<sup>c</sup> A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.

<sup>d</sup> Clinic-specific outcome rates are unreliable for women older than 42 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs. Readers are urged to review national outcomes for these age groups (see page 27).

<sup>e</sup> All ages (including ages >42) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.

## GRAND RAPIDS FERTILITY & IVF, PC GRAND RAPIDS, MICHIGAN

A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 79–88.

### 2006 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART <sup>a</sup>			Patient Diagnosis	
IVF	100%	<b>Procedural Factors:</b>	Tubal factor	11%
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	Ovulatory dysfunction	2%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	Diminished ovarian reserve	6%
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	Endometriosis	12%
			Uterine factor	0%
			Male factor	23%
			Other factor	7%
			Unknown factor	8%
			<b>Multiple Factors:</b>	
			Female factors only	9%
			Female & male factors	23%

### 2006 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by Douglas C. Daly, MD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman			
	<35	35–37	38–40	41–42 <sup>d</sup>
<b>Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs</b>				
Number of cycles	53	19	10	2
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies <sup>b</sup>	37.7	3 / 19	0 / 10	0 / 2
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	30.2	3 / 19	0 / 10	0 / 2
(Confidence Interval)	(18.3–44.3)			
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	34.0	3 / 11	0 / 10	0 / 2
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	39.0	3 / 9	0 / 8	0 / 2
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births <sup>b</sup>	31.7	2 / 9	0 / 8	0 / 2
Percentage of cancellations <sup>b</sup>	11.3	8 / 19	0 / 10	0 / 2
Average number of embryos transferred	2.6	3.2	3.0	5.5
Percentage of pregnancies with twins <sup>b</sup>	25.0	1 / 3		
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more <sup>b</sup>	0.0	0 / 3		
Percentage of live births having multiple infants <sup>b,c</sup>	3 / 16	1 / 3		
<b>Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs</b>				
Number of transfers	41	14	5	1
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	22.0	5 / 14	1 / 5	0 / 1
Average number of embryos transferred	2.8	2.4	2.6	1.0
<b>All Ages Combined<sup>e</sup></b>				
<b>Donor Eggs</b>	<b>Fresh Embryos</b>		<b>Frozen Embryos</b>	
	8		26	
	3 / 8		23.1	
Number of transfers	2.1		2.2	
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>				
Average number of embryos transferred				

### CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

**Current Name:** Grand Rapids Fertility & IVF, PC

Donor egg?	Yes	Gestational carriers?	Yes	SART member?	Yes
Donor embryo?	Yes	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	Yes
Single women?	No			(See Appendix C for details.)	

<sup>a</sup> Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2006 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.

<sup>b</sup> When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.

<sup>c</sup> A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.

<sup>d</sup> Clinic-specific outcome rates are unreliable for women older than 42 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs. Readers are urged to review national outcomes for these age groups (see page 27).

<sup>e</sup> All ages (including ages >42) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.

## MICHIGAN REPRODUCTIVE & IVF CENTER, PC GRAND RAPIDS, MICHIGAN

A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 79–88.

### 2006 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART <sup>a</sup>				Patient Diagnosis			
IVF	98%	<b>Procedural Factors:</b>		Tubal factor	9%	Other factor	3%
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	87%	Ovulatory dysfunction	3%	Unknown factor	3%
ZIFT	2%	Unstimulated	0%	Diminished ovarian reserve	10%	<b>Multiple Factors:</b>	
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	<1%	Endometriosis	10%	Female factors only	8%
				Uterine factor	<1%	Female & male factors	22%
				Male factor	33%		

### 2006 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by William G. Dodds, MD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman			
	<35	35–37	38–40	41–42 <sup>d</sup>
<b>Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs</b>				
Number of cycles	270	97	56	15
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies <sup>b</sup>	55.6	43.3	33.9	5 / 15
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	47.4	36.1	25.0	4 / 15
(Confidence Interval)	(41.3–53.5)	(26.6–46.5)	(14.4–38.4)	
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	50.8	41.2	28.6	4 / 14
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	52.0	42.2	29.2	4 / 14
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births <sup>b</sup>	35.4	27.7	22.9	2 / 14
Percentage of cancellations <sup>b</sup>	6.7	12.4	12.5	1 / 15
Average number of embryos transferred	2.4	2.9	3.3	4.4
Percentage of pregnancies with twins <sup>b</sup>	28.0	26.2	5 / 19	1 / 5
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more <sup>b</sup>	5.3	14.3	0 / 19	1 / 5
Percentage of live births having multiple infants <sup>b,c</sup>	32.0	34.3	3 / 14	2 / 4
<b>Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs</b>				
Number of transfers	121	48	19	2
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	39.7	25.0	4 / 19	0 / 2
Average number of embryos transferred	3.0	3.2	3.1	2.0
<b>All Ages Combined<sup>e</sup></b>				
<b>Donor Eggs</b>	<b>Fresh Embryos</b>		<b>Frozen Embryos</b>	
	34		65	
	41.2		41.5	
Number of transfers	34		65	
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	41.2		41.5	
Average number of embryos transferred	2.1		2.8	

### CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

**Current Name:** Michigan Reproductive & IVF Center, PC

Donor egg?	Yes	Gestational carriers?	Yes	SART member?	Yes
Donor embryo?	Yes	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	Yes
Single women?	No			(See Appendix C for details.)	

<sup>a</sup> Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2006 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.

<sup>b</sup> When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.

<sup>c</sup> A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.

<sup>d</sup> Clinic-specific outcome rates are unreliable for women older than 42 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs. Readers are urged to review national outcomes for these age groups (see page 27).

<sup>e</sup> All ages (including ages >42) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.

## INFERTILITY AND GYNECOLOGY CENTER OF LANSING, PC LANSING, MICHIGAN

A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 79–88.

### 2006 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART <sup>a</sup>				Patient Diagnosis			
IVF	100%	<b>Procedural Factors:</b>		Tubal factor	3%	Other factor	0%
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	92%	Ovulatory dysfunction	9%	Unknown factor	1%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	0%	Diminished ovarian reserve	6%	<i>Multiple Factors:</i>	
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	0%	Endometriosis	6%	Female factors only	16%
				Uterine factor	0%	Female & male factors	49%
				Male factor	10%		

### 2006 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by Mohammad Mohsenian, MD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman			
	<35	35–37	38–40	41–42 <sup>d</sup>
<b>Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs</b>				
Number of cycles	36	14	5	4
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies <sup>b</sup>	47.2	6 / 14	2 / 5	0 / 4
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	44.4	4 / 14	1 / 5	0 / 4
(Confidence Interval)	(27.9–61.9)			
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	50.0	4 / 14	1 / 5	0 / 3
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	50.0	4 / 14	1 / 5	0 / 3
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births <sup>b</sup>	31.3	3 / 14	1 / 5	0 / 3
Percentage of cancellations <sup>b</sup>	11.1	0 / 14	0 / 5	1 / 4
Average number of embryos transferred	2.4	2.2	2.4	1.7
Percentage of pregnancies with twins <sup>b</sup>	6 / 17	1 / 6	0 / 2	
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more <sup>b</sup>	0 / 17	0 / 6	0 / 2	
Percentage of live births having multiple infants <sup>b,c</sup>	6 / 16	1 / 4	0 / 1	
<b>Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs</b>				
Number of transfers	11	5	1	0
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	5 / 11	0 / 5	0 / 1	
Average number of embryos transferred	2.3	2.4	3.0	
<b>All Ages Combined<sup>e</sup></b>				
<b>Donor Eggs</b>	<b>Fresh Embryos</b>		<b>Frozen Embryos</b>	
	Number of transfers		2	
	Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>		0 / 2	
	Average number of embryos transferred		1.5	

### CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

**Current Name:** Infertility and Gynecology Center of Lansing, PC

Donor egg?	No	Gestational carriers?	Yes	SART member?	Yes
Donor embryo?	Yes	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	Yes
Single women?	Yes			(See Appendix C for details.)	

<sup>a</sup> Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2006 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.

<sup>b</sup> When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.

<sup>c</sup> A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.

<sup>d</sup> Clinic-specific outcome rates are unreliable for women older than 42 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs. Readers are urged to review national outcomes for these age groups (see page 27).

<sup>e</sup> All ages (including ages >42) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.

**MICHIGAN STATE UNIVERSITY**  
**CENTER FOR ASSISTED REPRODUCTIVE TECHNOLOGY**  
**LANSING, MICHIGAN**

**A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 79–88.**

### 2006 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART <sup>a</sup>				Patient Diagnosis			
IVF	100%	<b>Procedural Factors:</b>		Tubal factor	3%	Other factor	0%
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	64%	Ovulatory dysfunction	0%	Unknown factor	5%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	0%	Diminished ovarian reserve	3%	<b>Multiple Factors:</b>	
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	0%	Endometriosis	5%	Female factors only	5%
				Uterine factor	0%	Female & male factors	54%
				Male factor	26%		

### 2006 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by Harold Sauer, MD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman			
	<35	35–37	38–40	41–42 <sup>d</sup>
<b>Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs</b>				
Number of cycles	11	15	5	5
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies <sup>b</sup>	3 / 11	5 / 15	3 / 5	0 / 5
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	3 / 11	5 / 15	2 / 5	0 / 5
(Confidence Interval)				
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	3 / 9	5 / 10	2 / 3	0 / 2
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	3 / 9	5 / 10	2 / 3	0 / 2
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births <sup>b</sup>	2 / 9	3 / 10	2 / 3	0 / 2
Percentage of cancellations <sup>b</sup>	2 / 11	5 / 15	2 / 5	3 / 5
Average number of embryos transferred	2.0	2.2	2.3	1.5
Percentage of pregnancies with twins <sup>b</sup>	1 / 3	2 / 5	1 / 3	
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more <sup>b</sup>	0 / 3	0 / 5	1 / 3	
Percentage of live births having multiple infants <sup>b,c</sup>	1 / 3	2 / 5	0 / 2	
<b>Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs</b>				
Number of transfers	0	0	0	0
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>				
Average number of embryos transferred				
<b>All Ages Combined<sup>e</sup></b>				
<b>Donor Eggs</b>	<b>Fresh Embryos</b>		<b>Frozen Embryos</b>	
Number of transfers	0		0	
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>				
Average number of embryos transferred				

### CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

**Current Name:** Michigan State University, Center for Assisted Reproductive Technology

Donor egg?	Yes	Gestational carriers?	Yes	SART member?	Yes
Donor embryo?	Yes	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	Yes
Single women?	Yes			(See Appendix C for details.)	

<sup>a</sup> Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2006 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.

<sup>b</sup> When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.

<sup>c</sup> A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.

<sup>d</sup> Clinic-specific outcome rates are unreliable for women older than 42 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs. Readers are urged to review national outcomes for these age groups (see page 27).

<sup>e</sup> All ages (including ages >42) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.

## IVF MICHIGAN ROCHESTER HILLS, MICHIGAN

A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 79–88.

### 2006 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART <sup>a</sup>				Patient Diagnosis			
IVF	99%	<b>Procedural Factors:</b>		Tubal factor	7%	Other factor	4%
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	87%	Ovulatory dysfunction	11%	Unknown factor	3%
ZIFT	1%	Unstimulated	<1%	Diminished ovarian reserve	11%	<b>Multiple Factors:</b>	
Combination	<1%	Used gestational carrier	2%	Endometriosis	4%	Female factors only	20%
				Uterine factor	2%	Female & male factors	25%
				Male factor	15%		

### 2006 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by Michael H. Fakih, MD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman			
	<35	35–37	38–40	41–42 <sup>d</sup>
<b>Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs</b>				
Number of cycles	481	199	138	70
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies <sup>b</sup>	45.7	45.7	28.3	21.4
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	38.5	38.2	19.6	15.7
(Confidence Interval)	(34.1–43.0)	(31.4–45.3)	(13.3–27.2)	(8.1–26.4)
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	41.2	40.6	24.8	21.2
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	42.1	42.7	26.0	24.4
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births <sup>b</sup>	26.0	27.0	20.2	17.8
Percentage of cancellations <sup>b</sup>	6.7	6.0	21.0	25.7
Average number of embryos transferred	2.4	2.4	2.8	3.0
Percentage of pregnancies with twins <sup>b</sup>	35.0	34.1	17.9	2 / 15
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more <sup>b</sup>	4.1	4.4	2.6	1 / 15
Percentage of live births having multiple infants <sup>b,c</sup>	38.4	36.8	22.2	3 / 11
<b>Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs</b>				
Number of transfers	87	40	19	5
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	36.8	32.5	6 / 19	2 / 5
Average number of embryos transferred	2.2	2.1	2.2	1.6
<b>All Ages Combined<sup>e</sup></b>				
<b>Donor Eggs</b>	<b>Fresh Embryos</b>		<b>Frozen Embryos</b>	
	101		34	
	57.4		23.5	
	2.3		2.4	

### CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

**Current Name:** IVF Michigan

Donor egg?	Yes	Gestational carriers?	Yes	SART member?	Yes
Donor embryo?	No	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	Yes
Single women?	Yes			(See Appendix C for details.)	

<sup>a</sup> Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2006 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.

<sup>b</sup> When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.

<sup>c</sup> A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.

<sup>d</sup> Clinic-specific outcome rates are unreliable for women older than 42 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs. Readers are urged to review national outcomes for these age groups (see page 27).

<sup>e</sup> All ages (including ages >42) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.



# UNIVERSITY WOMEN'S CARE/WAYNE STATE UNIVERSITY SOUTHFIELD, MICHIGAN

A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 79–88.

## 2006 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART <sup>a</sup>				Patient Diagnosis			
IVF	100%	<b>Procedural Factors:</b>		Tubal factor	6%	Other factor	6%
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	98%	Ovulatory dysfunction	7%	Unknown factor	8%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	0%	Diminished ovarian reserve	16%	<b>Multiple Factors:</b>	
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	0%	Endometriosis	9%	Female factors only	9%
				Uterine factor	0%	Female & male factors	21%
				Male factor	19%		

## 2006 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by Elizabeth E. Puscheck, MD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman			
	<35	35–37	38–40	41–42 <sup>d</sup>
<b>Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs</b>				
Number of cycles	24	16	5	2
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies <sup>b</sup>	54.2	8 / 16	0 / 5	0 / 2
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	50.0	7 / 16	0 / 5	0 / 2
(Confidence Interval)	(29.1–70.9)			
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	50.0	7 / 16	0 / 5	0 / 2
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	50.0	7 / 16	0 / 4	0 / 1
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births <sup>b</sup>	33.3	4 / 16	0 / 4	0 / 1
Percentage of cancellations <sup>b</sup>	0.0	0 / 16	0 / 5	0 / 2
Average number of embryos transferred	2.7	3.3	3.0	2.0
Percentage of pregnancies with twins <sup>b</sup>	1 / 13	4 / 8		
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more <sup>b</sup>	3 / 13	0 / 8		
Percentage of live births having multiple infants <sup>b,c</sup>	4 / 12	3 / 7		
<b>Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs</b>				
Number of transfers	15	7	0	0
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	3 / 15	3 / 7		
Average number of embryos transferred	2.9	3.4		
<b>All Ages Combined<sup>e</sup></b>				
<b>Donor Eggs</b>	<b>Fresh Embryos</b>		<b>Frozen Embryos</b>	
	5		9	
	2 / 5		2 / 9	
Average number of embryos transferred	2.0		2.8	

## CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

**Current Name:** University Women's Care/Wayne State University

Donor egg?	Yes	Gestational carriers?	Yes	SART member?	Yes
Donor embryo?	Yes	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	Yes
Single women?	Yes			(See Appendix C for details.)	

<sup>a</sup> Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2006 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.

<sup>b</sup> When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.

<sup>c</sup> A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.

<sup>d</sup> Clinic-specific outcome rates are unreliable for women older than 42 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs. Readers are urged to review national outcomes for these age groups (see page 27).

<sup>e</sup> All ages (including ages >42) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.



## HENRY FORD REPRODUCTIVE MEDICINE TROY, MICHIGAN

A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 79–88.

### 2006 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART <sup>a</sup>			Patient Diagnosis	
IVF	100%	<b>Procedural Factors:</b>	Tubal factor	14%
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	Ovulatory dysfunction	4%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	Diminished ovarian reserve	0%
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	Endometriosis	9%
			Uterine factor	0%
			Male factor	29%
			Other factor	5%
			Unknown factor	13%
			<b>Multiple Factors:</b>	
			Female factors only	8%
			Female & male factors	18%

### 2006 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by Ronald C. Strickler, MD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman			
	<35	35–37	38–40	41–42 <sup>d</sup>
<b>Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs</b>				
Number of cycles	35	23	12	2
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies <sup>b</sup>	40.0	26.1	2 / 12	1 / 2
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	40.0	26.1	2 / 12	1 / 2
(Confidence Interval)	(23.9–57.9)	(10.2–48.4)		
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	46.7	6 / 18	2 / 8	1 / 2
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	51.9	6 / 16	2 / 7	1 / 2
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births <sup>b</sup>	37.0	5 / 16	2 / 7	0 / 2
Percentage of cancellations <sup>b</sup>	14.3	21.7	4 / 12	0 / 2
Average number of embryos transferred	2.2	2.0	2.6	3.5
Percentage of pregnancies with twins <sup>b</sup>	4 / 14	2 / 6	0 / 2	1 / 1
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more <sup>b</sup>	0 / 14	0 / 6	0 / 2	0 / 1
Percentage of live births having multiple infants <sup>b,c</sup>	4 / 14	1 / 6	0 / 2	1 / 1
<b>Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs</b>				
Number of transfers	10	6	3	0
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	4 / 10	3 / 6	0 / 3	
Average number of embryos transferred	2.1	2.0	2.7	
<b>All Ages Combined<sup>e</sup></b>				
<b>Donor Eggs</b>		<b>Fresh Embryos</b>	<b>Frozen Embryos</b>	
Number of transfers		0	0	
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>				
Average number of embryos transferred				

### CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

**Current Name:** Henry Ford Reproductive Medicine

Donor egg?	Yes	Gestational carriers?	No	SART member?	Yes
Donor embryo?	No	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	Yes
Single women?	Yes			(See Appendix C for details.)	

<sup>a</sup> Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2006 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.

<sup>b</sup> When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.

<sup>c</sup> A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.

<sup>d</sup> Clinic-specific outcome rates are unreliable for women older than 42 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs. Readers are urged to review national outcomes for these age groups (see page 27).

<sup>e</sup> All ages (including ages >42) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.

# BRENDA L. MOSKOVITZ, MD, PC TROY, MICHIGAN

**A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 79–88.**

## 2006 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART <sup>a</sup>				Patient Diagnosis			
IVF	100%	<b>Procedural Factors:</b>		Tubal factor	5%	Other factor	5%
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	89%	Ovulatory dysfunction	5%	Unknown factor	25%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	0%	Diminished ovarian reserve	0%	<b>Multiple Factors:</b>	
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	0%	Endometriosis	0%	Female factors only	0%
				Uterine factor	0%	Female & male factors	10%
				Male factor	50%		

## 2006 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by William R. Keyte, MD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman			
	<35	35–37	38–40	41–42 <sup>d</sup>
<b>Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs</b>				
Number of cycles	13	2	3	0
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies <sup>b</sup>	8 / 13	2 / 2	0 / 3	
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	8 / 13	2 / 2	0 / 3	
(Confidence Interval)				
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	8 / 12	2 / 2	0 / 3	
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	8 / 12	2 / 2	0 / 3	
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births <sup>b</sup>	3 / 12	2 / 2	0 / 3	
Percentage of cancellations <sup>b</sup>	1 / 13	0 / 2	0 / 3	
Average number of embryos transferred	2.3	2.5	2.0	
Percentage of pregnancies with twins <sup>b</sup>	5 / 8	1 / 2		
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more <sup>b</sup>	1 / 8	0 / 2		
Percentage of live births having multiple infants <sup>b,c</sup>	5 / 8	0 / 2		
<b>Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs</b>				
Number of transfers	0	0	1	0
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>			1 / 1	
Average number of embryos transferred			1.0	
<b>All Ages Combined<sup>e</sup></b>				
<b>Donor Eggs</b>	<b>Fresh Embryos</b>		<b>Frozen Embryos</b>	
	1		0	
	0 / 1			
Average number of embryos transferred	2.0			

## CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

**Current Name:** Brenda L. Moskovitz, MD, PC

Donor egg?	Yes	Gestational carriers?	No	SART member?	Yes
Donor embryo?	No	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	Yes
Single women?	Yes			(See Appendix C for details.)	

<sup>a</sup> Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2006 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.

<sup>b</sup> When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.

<sup>c</sup> A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.

<sup>d</sup> Clinic-specific outcome rates are unreliable for women older than 42 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs. Readers are urged to review national outcomes for these age groups (see page 27).

<sup>e</sup> All ages (including ages >42) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.

# MICHIGAN CENTER FOR FERTILITY AND WOMEN'S HEALTH, PLC

## WARREN, MICHIGAN

A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 79–88.

### 2006 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART <sup>a</sup>			Patient Diagnosis	
IVF	100%	<b>Procedural Factors:</b>	Tubal factor	7%
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	Ovulatory dysfunction	10%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	Diminished ovarian reserve	17%
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	Endometriosis	5%
			Uterine factor	<1%
			Male factor	15%
			Other factor	9%
			Unknown factor	16%
			<b>Multiple Factors:</b>	
			Female factors only	12%
			Female & male factors	10%

### 2006 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by Carole L. Kowalczyk, MD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman			
	<35	35–37	38–40	41–42 <sup>d</sup>
<b>Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs</b>				
Number of cycles	24	25	11	8
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies <sup>b</sup>	33.3	24.0	2 / 11	0 / 8
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	29.2	16.0	1 / 11	0 / 8
(Confidence Interval)	(12.6–51.1)	(4.5–36.1)		
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	35.0	4 / 19	1 / 7	0 / 7
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	35.0	4 / 19	1 / 6	0 / 7
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births <sup>b</sup>	30.0	4 / 19	0 / 6	0 / 7
Percentage of cancellations <sup>b</sup>	16.7	24.0	4 / 11	1 / 8
Average number of embryos transferred	2.5	3.1	3.0	3.3
Percentage of pregnancies with twins <sup>b</sup>	0 / 8	0 / 6	1 / 2	
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more <sup>b</sup>	1 / 8	0 / 6	0 / 2	
Percentage of live births having multiple infants <sup>b,c</sup>	1 / 7	0 / 4	1 / 1	
<b>Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs</b>				
Number of transfers	7	3	2	0
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	3 / 7	1 / 3	0 / 2	
Average number of embryos transferred	2.4	2.3	3.0	
<b>All Ages Combined<sup>e</sup></b>				
<b>Donor Eggs</b>	<b>Fresh Embryos</b>		<b>Frozen Embryos</b>	
	Number of transfers		2	
	Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>		2 / 2	
	Average number of embryos transferred		3.0	

### CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

**Current Name:** Michigan Center for Fertility and Women's Health, PLC

Donor egg?	Yes	Gestational carriers?	Yes	SART member?	Yes
Donor embryo?	Yes	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	No
Single women?	Yes			(See Appendix C for details.)	

<sup>a</sup> Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2006 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.

<sup>b</sup> When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.

<sup>c</sup> A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.

<sup>d</sup> Clinic-specific outcome rates are unreliable for women older than 42 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs. Readers are urged to review national outcomes for these age groups (see page 27).

<sup>e</sup> All ages (including ages >42) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.

## THE MIDWEST CENTER FOR REPRODUCTIVE HEALTH, PA MAPLE GROVE, MINNESOTA

**A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 79–88.**

### 2006 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART <sup>a</sup>			Patient Diagnosis	
IVF	100%	<b>Procedural Factors:</b>	Tubal factor	8%
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	Ovulatory dysfunction	11%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	Diminished ovarian reserve	<1%
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	Endometriosis	5%
			Uterine factor	<1%
			Male factor	23%
			Other factor	5%
			Unknown factor	9%
			<b>Multiple Factors:</b>	
			Female factors only	7%
			Female & male factors	32%

### 2006 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by Randle S. Corfman, MD, PhD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman			
	<35	35–37	38–40	41–42 <sup>d</sup>
<b>Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs</b>				
Number of cycles	112	30	24	4
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies <sup>b</sup>	58.9	46.7	29.2	0 / 4
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	54.5	43.3	20.8	0 / 4
(Confidence Interval)	(44.8–63.9)	(25.5–62.6)	(7.1–42.2)	
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	56.0	48.1	21.7	0 / 3
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	57.0	48.1	25.0	0 / 2
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births <sup>b</sup>	35.5	33.3	15.0	0 / 2
Percentage of cancellations <sup>b</sup>	2.7	10.0	4.2	1 / 4
Average number of embryos transferred	2.0	2.0	2.1	2.5
Percentage of pregnancies with twins <sup>b</sup>	42.4	3 / 14	2 / 7	
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more <sup>b</sup>	1.5	1 / 14	0 / 7	
Percentage of live births having multiple infants <sup>b,c</sup>	37.7	4 / 13	2 / 5	
<b>Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs</b>				
Number of transfers	42	16	10	1
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	31.0	5 / 16	1 / 10	0 / 1
Average number of embryos transferred	2.0	2.1	1.9	3.0
<b>All Ages Combined<sup>e</sup></b>				
<b>Donor Eggs</b>	<b>Fresh Embryos</b>		<b>Frozen Embryos</b>	
	20		15	
	40.0		4 / 15	
	2.0		2.1	

### CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

**Current Name:** The Midwest Center for Reproductive Health, PA

Donor egg?	Yes	Gestational carriers?	Yes	SART member?	Yes
Donor embryo?	Yes	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	Yes
Single women?	Yes			(See Appendix C for details.)	

<sup>a</sup> Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2006 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.

<sup>b</sup> When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.

<sup>c</sup> A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.

<sup>d</sup> Clinic-specific outcome rates are unreliable for women older than 42 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs. Readers are urged to review national outcomes for these age groups (see page 27).

<sup>e</sup> All ages (including ages >42) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.

# CENTER FOR REPRODUCTIVE MEDICINE ADVANCED REPRODUCTIVE TECHNOLOGIES MINNEAPOLIS, MINNESOTA

**A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 79–88.**

## 2006 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART <sup>a</sup>			Patient Diagnosis	
IVF	100%	<b>Procedural Factors:</b>	Tubal factor	9%
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	Ovulatory dysfunction	8%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	Diminished ovarian reserve	22%
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	Endometriosis	4%
			Uterine factor	1%
			Male factor	24%
			Other factor	3%
			Unknown factor	12%
			<b>Multiple Factors:</b>	
			Female factors only	6%
			Female & male factors	11%

## 2006 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by Bruce F. Campbell, MD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman			
	<35	35–37	38–40	41–42 <sup>d</sup>
<b>Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs</b>				
Number of cycles	300	142	113	40
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies <sup>b</sup>	51.3	53.5	40.7	32.5
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	44.7	45.1	28.3	22.5
(Confidence Interval)	(39.0–50.5)	(36.7–53.6)	(20.2–37.6)	(10.8–38.5)
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	48.6	49.6	33.0	27.3
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	49.8	51.6	35.2	29.0
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births <sup>b</sup>	30.5	35.5	28.6	25.8
Percentage of cancellations <sup>b</sup>	8.0	9.2	14.2	17.5
Average number of embryos transferred	1.9	1.9	2.0	2.8
Percentage of pregnancies with twins <sup>b</sup>	34.4	40.8	21.7	3 / 13
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more <sup>b</sup>	1.3	0.0	0.0	2 / 13
Percentage of live births having multiple infants <sup>b,c</sup>	38.8	31.3	18.8	1 / 9
<b>Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs</b>				
Number of transfers	40	25	8	3
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	32.5	36.0	3 / 8	1 / 3
Average number of embryos transferred	2.0	2.1	2.1	2.0
<b>All Ages Combined<sup>e</sup></b>				
<b>Donor Eggs</b>	<b>Fresh Embryos</b>		<b>Frozen Embryos</b>	
	Number of transfers		30	
	Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>		33.3	
	Average number of embryos transferred		2.0	

## CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

**Current Name:** Center for Reproductive Medicine, Advanced Reproductive Technologies

Donor egg?	Yes	Gestational carriers?	Yes	SART member?	Yes
Donor embryo?	Yes	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	Yes
Single women?	Yes			(See Appendix C for details.)	

<sup>a</sup> Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2006 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.

<sup>b</sup> When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.

<sup>c</sup> A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.

<sup>d</sup> Clinic-specific outcome rates are unreliable for women older than 42 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs. Readers are urged to review national outcomes for these age groups (see page 27).

<sup>e</sup> All ages (including ages >42) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.

## REPRODUCTIVE MEDICINE CENTER MINNEAPOLIS, MINNESOTA

**A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 79–88.**

### 2006 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART <sup>a</sup>				Patient Diagnosis	
IVF	100%	<b>Procedural Factors:</b>		Tubal factor	7%
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	75%	Ovulatory dysfunction	6%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	0%	Diminished ovarian reserve	3%
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	0%	Endometriosis	5%
				Uterine factor	3%
				Male factor	29%
				Other factor	2%
				Unknown factor	11%
				<b>Multiple Factors:</b>	
				Female factors only	12%
				Female & male factors	23%

### 2006 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by Mark A. Damario, MD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman			
	<35	35–37	38–40	41–42 <sup>d</sup>
<b>Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs</b>				
Number of cycles	146	79	51	21
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies <sup>b</sup>	51.4	43.0	33.3	23.8
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	43.8	32.9	27.5	14.3
(Confidence Interval)	(35.6–52.3)	(22.7–44.4)	(15.9–41.7)	(3.0–36.3)
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	49.2	40.6	32.6	3 / 18
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	52.5	44.1	34.1	3 / 17
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births <sup>b</sup>	37.7	33.9	24.4	2 / 17
Percentage of cancellations <sup>b</sup>	11.0	19.0	15.7	14.3
Average number of embryos transferred	2.1	2.3	2.5	2.9
Percentage of pregnancies with twins <sup>b</sup>	29.3	17.6	4 / 17	2 / 5
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more <sup>b</sup>	0.0	0.0	1 / 17	0 / 5
Percentage of live births having multiple infants <sup>b,c</sup>	28.1	23.1	4 / 14	1 / 3
<b>Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs</b>				
Number of transfers	19	15	7	1
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	6 / 19	5 / 15	1 / 7	0 / 1
Average number of embryos transferred	2.0	2.0	2.1	3.0
<b>All Ages Combined<sup>e</sup></b>				
<b>Donor Eggs</b>	<b>Fresh Embryos</b>		<b>Frozen Embryos</b>	
	6		1	
	2 / 6		0 / 1	
	2.2		2.0	

### CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

**Current Name:** Reproductive Medicine Center

Donor egg?	Yes	Gestational carriers?	Yes	SART member?	Yes
Donor embryo?	No	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	Yes
Single women?	Yes			(See Appendix C for details.)	

<sup>a</sup> Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2006 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.

<sup>b</sup> When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.

<sup>c</sup> A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.

<sup>d</sup> Clinic-specific outcome rates are unreliable for women older than 42 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs. Readers are urged to review national outcomes for these age groups (see page 27).

<sup>e</sup> All ages (including ages >42) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.



## MAYO CLINIC ASSISTED REPRODUCTIVE TECHNOLOGIES ROCHESTER, MINNESOTA

A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 79–88.

### 2006 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART <sup>a</sup>				Patient Diagnosis			
IVF	100%	<b>Procedural Factors:</b>		Tubal factor	8%	Other factor	0%
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	73%	Ovulatory dysfunction	0%	Unknown factor	11%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	0%	Diminished ovarian reserve	7%	<i>Multiple Factors:</i>	
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	0%	Endometriosis	3%	Female factors only	2%
				Uterine factor	0%	Female & male factors	21%
				Male factor	48%		

### 2006 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by Charles C. Coddington, MD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman			
	<35	35–37	38–40	41–42 <sup>d</sup>
<b>Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs</b>				
Number of cycles	61	21	13	9
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies <sup>b</sup>	44.3	38.1	3 / 13	2 / 9
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	32.8	38.1	3 / 13	1 / 9
(Confidence Interval)	(21.3–46.0)	(18.1–61.6)		
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	36.4	8 / 15	3 / 10	1 / 7
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	39.2	8 / 15	3 / 9	1 / 7
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births <sup>b</sup>	29.4	7 / 15	3 / 9	1 / 7
Percentage of cancellations <sup>b</sup>	9.8	28.6	3 / 13	2 / 9
Average number of embryos transferred	2.1	2.1	1.9	2.6
Percentage of pregnancies with twins <sup>b</sup>	25.9	2 / 8	0 / 3	0 / 2
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more <sup>b</sup>	0.0	0 / 8	0 / 3	0 / 2
Percentage of live births having multiple infants <sup>b,c</sup>	25.0	1 / 8	0 / 3	0 / 1
<b>Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs</b>				
Number of transfers	34	18	12	2
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	47.1	3 / 18	4 / 12	1 / 2
Average number of embryos transferred	1.9	1.7	1.7	2.0
<b>All Ages Combined<sup>e</sup></b>				
<b>Donor Eggs</b>	<b>Fresh Embryos</b>		<b>Frozen Embryos</b>	
Number of transfers	0		8	
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>			2 / 8	
Average number of embryos transferred			1.9	

### CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

**Current Name:** Mayo Clinic Assisted Reproductive Technologies

Donor egg?	Yes	Gestational carriers?	Yes	SART member?	Yes
Donor embryo?	No	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	Yes
Single women?	Yes			(See Appendix C for details.)	

<sup>a</sup> Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2006 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.

<sup>b</sup> When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.

<sup>c</sup> A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.

<sup>d</sup> Clinic-specific outcome rates are unreliable for women older than 42 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs. Readers are urged to review national outcomes for these age groups (see page 27).

<sup>e</sup> All ages (including ages >42) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.



## REPRODUCTIVE MEDICINE & INFERTILITY ASSOCIATES WOODBURY, MINNESOTA

A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 79–88.

### 2006 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART <sup>a</sup>				Patient Diagnosis			
IVF	100%	<b>Procedural Factors:</b>		Tubal factor	4%	Other factor	5%
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	89%	Ovulatory dysfunction	3%	Unknown factor	8%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	0%	Diminished ovarian reserve	1%	<i>Multiple Factors:</i>	
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	<1%	Endometriosis	3%	Female factors only	4%
				Uterine factor	<1%	Female & male factors	42%
				Male factor	30%		

### 2006 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by Jacques P. Stassart, MD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman			
	<35	35–37	38–40	41–42 <sup>d</sup>
<b>Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs</b>				
Number of cycles	208	104	63	26
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies <sup>b</sup>	57.7	46.2	34.9	15.4
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	49.0	34.6	25.4	15.4
(Confidence Interval)	(42.1–56.0)	(25.6–44.6)	(15.3–37.9)	(4.4–34.9)
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	51.0	36.4	26.2	16.0
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	53.1	38.3	27.6	18.2
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births <sup>b</sup>	35.9	30.9	22.4	4.5
Percentage of cancellations <sup>b</sup>	3.8	4.8	3.2	3.8
Average number of embryos transferred	2.0	2.2	2.7	3.0
Percentage of pregnancies with twins <sup>b</sup>	31.7	25.0	18.2	4 / 4
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more <sup>b</sup>	2.5	2.1	0.0	0 / 4
Percentage of live births having multiple infants <sup>b,c</sup>	32.4	19.4	3 / 16	3 / 4
<b>Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs</b>				
Number of transfers	55	29	13	3
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	34.5	17.2	3 / 13	0 / 3
Average number of embryos transferred	1.9	2.0	2.2	1.3
<b>All Ages Combined<sup>e</sup></b>				
<b>Donor Eggs</b>	<b>Fresh Embryos</b>		<b>Frozen Embryos</b>	
	Number of transfers		26	
	Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>		19.2	
	Average number of embryos transferred		2.2	

### CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

**Current Name:** Reproductive Medicine & Infertility Associates

Donor egg?	Yes	Gestational carriers?	Yes	SART member?	Yes
Donor embryo?	Yes	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	Yes
Single women?	Yes			(See Appendix C for details.)	

<sup>a</sup> Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2006 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.

<sup>b</sup> When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.

<sup>c</sup> A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.

<sup>d</sup> Clinic-specific outcome rates are unreliable for women older than 42 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs. Readers are urged to review national outcomes for these age groups (see page 27).

<sup>e</sup> All ages (including ages >42) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.

# MISSISSIPPI FERTILITY INSTITUTE JACKSON, MISSISSIPPI

A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 79–88.

## 2006 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART <sup>a</sup>				Patient Diagnosis			
IVF	100%	<b>Procedural Factors:</b>		Tubal factor	25%	Other factor	0%
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	70%	Ovulatory dysfunction	1%	Unknown factor	19%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	0%	Diminished ovarian reserve	6%	<b>Multiple Factors:</b>	
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	<1%	Endometriosis	16%	Female factors only	6%
				Uterine factor	2%	Female & male factors	11%
				Male factor	14%		

## 2006 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by John Isaacs, Jr., MD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman			
	<35	35–37	38–40	41–42 <sup>d</sup>
<b>Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs</b>				
Number of cycles	74	23	10	6
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies <sup>b</sup>	45.9	34.8	5 / 10	1 / 6
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	44.6	34.8	5 / 10	1 / 6
(Confidence Interval)	(33.0–56.6)	(16.4–57.3)		
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	47.8	38.1	5 / 9	1 / 6
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	50.0	40.0	5 / 8	1 / 6
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births <sup>b</sup>	37.9	35.0	4 / 8	1 / 6
Percentage of cancellations <sup>b</sup>	6.8	8.7	1 / 10	0 / 6
Average number of embryos transferred	2.4	2.5	2.6	2.5
Percentage of pregnancies with twins <sup>b</sup>	32.4	2 / 8	2 / 5	0 / 1
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more <sup>b</sup>	0.0	0 / 8	0 / 5	0 / 1
Percentage of live births having multiple infants <sup>b,c</sup>	24.2	1 / 8	1 / 5	0 / 1
<b>Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs</b>				
Number of transfers	8	4	1	0
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	4 / 8	0 / 4	0 / 1	
Average number of embryos transferred	2.0	1.8	4.0	
<b>All Ages Combined<sup>e</sup></b>				
<b>Donor Eggs</b>	<b>Fresh Embryos</b>		<b>Frozen Embryos</b>	
	9		1	
	6 / 9		0 / 1	
	2.3		3.0	

## CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

**Current Name:** Mississippi Fertility Institute

Donor egg?	Yes	Gestational carriers?	Yes	SART member?	No
Donor embryo?	Yes	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	Yes
Single women?	No			(See Appendix C for details.)	

<sup>a</sup> Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2006 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.

<sup>b</sup> When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.

<sup>c</sup> A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.

<sup>d</sup> Clinic-specific outcome rates are unreliable for women older than 42 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs. Readers are urged to review national outcomes for these age groups (see page 27).

<sup>e</sup> All ages (including ages >42) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.

# UNIVERSITY OF MISSISSIPPI MEDICAL CENTER JACKSON, MISSISSIPPI

A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 79–88.

## 2006 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART <sup>a</sup>				Patient Diagnosis			
IVF	100%	<b>Procedural Factors:</b>		Tubal factor	14%	Other factor	2%
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	91%	Ovulatory dysfunction	10%	Unknown factor	6%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	0%	Diminished ovarian reserve	8%	<i>Multiple Factors:</i>	
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	1%	Endometriosis	17%	Female factors only	15%
				Uterine factor	2%	Female & male factors	15%
				Male factor	12%		

## 2006 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by Randall S. Hines, MD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman			
	<35	35–37	38–40	41–42 <sup>d</sup>
<b>Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs</b>				
Number of cycles	54	22	9	2
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies <sup>b</sup>	24.1	40.9	1 / 9	0 / 2
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	20.4	36.4	1 / 9	0 / 2
(Confidence Interval)	(10.6–33.5)	(17.2–59.3)		
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	22.4	36.4	1 / 8	0 / 2
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	22.9	36.4	1 / 8	0 / 1
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births <sup>b</sup>	16.7	27.3	1 / 8	0 / 1
Percentage of cancellations <sup>b</sup>	9.3	0.0	1 / 9	0 / 2
Average number of embryos transferred	2.9	2.8	2.3	3.0
Percentage of pregnancies with twins <sup>b</sup>	3 / 13	2 / 9	0 / 1	
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more <sup>b</sup>	0 / 13	0 / 9	0 / 1	
Percentage of live births having multiple infants <sup>b,c</sup>	3 / 11	2 / 8	0 / 1	
<b>Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs</b>				
Number of transfers	18	3	2	0
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	4 / 18	0 / 3	1 / 2	
Average number of embryos transferred	2.3	3.0	2.5	
<b>All Ages Combined<sup>e</sup></b>				
<b>Donor Eggs</b>	<b>Fresh Embryos</b>		<b>Frozen Embryos</b>	
	10		5	
	5 / 10		1 / 5	
	2.5		2.0	

## CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

**Current Name:** University of Mississippi Medical Center

Donor egg?	Yes	Gestational carriers?	Yes	SART member?	Yes
Donor embryo?	Yes	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	Yes
Single women?	No			(See Appendix C for details.)	

<sup>a</sup> Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2006 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.

<sup>b</sup> When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.

<sup>c</sup> A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.

<sup>d</sup> Clinic-specific outcome rates are unreliable for women older than 42 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs. Readers are urged to review national outcomes for these age groups (see page 27).

<sup>e</sup> All ages (including ages >42) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.

# INFERTILITY INSTITUTE CHESTERFIELD, MISSOURI

A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 79–88.

## 2006 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART <sup>a</sup>				Patient Diagnosis			
IVF	100%	<b>Procedural Factors:</b>		Tubal factor	4%	Other factor	0%
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	80%	Ovulatory dysfunction	17%	Unknown factor	0%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	0%	Diminished ovarian reserve	5%	<b>Multiple Factors:</b>	
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	0%	Endometriosis	1%	Female factors only	22%
				Uterine factor	0%	Female & male factors	47%
				Male factor	5%		

## 2006 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by Anthony C. Pearlstone, MD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman			
	<35	35–37	38–40	41–42 <sup>d</sup>
<b>Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs</b>				
Number of cycles	69	30	16	7
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies <sup>b</sup>	50.7	53.3	4 / 16	2 / 7
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	40.6	43.3	4 / 16	0 / 7
(Confidence Interval)	(28.9–53.1)	(25.5–62.6)		
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	41.8	44.8	4 / 13	0 / 7
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	41.8	48.1	4 / 12	0 / 7
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births <sup>b</sup>	31.3	37.0	2 / 12	0 / 7
Percentage of cancellations <sup>b</sup>	2.9	3.3	3 / 16	0 / 7
Average number of embryos transferred	2.4	3.1	3.7	3.6
Percentage of pregnancies with twins <sup>b</sup>	17.1	3 / 16	2 / 4	0 / 2
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more <sup>b</sup>	2.9	0 / 16	0 / 4	0 / 2
Percentage of live births having multiple infants <sup>b,c</sup>	25.0	3 / 13	2 / 4	
<b>Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs</b>				
Number of transfers	5	1	3	0
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	1 / 5	0 / 1	0 / 3	
Average number of embryos transferred	2.2	2.0	3.3	
<b>All Ages Combined<sup>e</sup></b>				
<b>Donor Eggs</b>	<b>Fresh Embryos</b>		<b>Frozen Embryos</b>	
	13		7	
	7 / 13		1 / 7	
	2.0		2.7	

## CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

**Current Name:** The Fertility Center at Missouri Baptist Medical Center

Donor egg?	Yes	Gestational carriers?	No	SART member?	Yes
Donor embryo?	No	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	Yes
Single women?	Yes			(See Appendix C for details.)	

<sup>a</sup> Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2006 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.

<sup>b</sup> When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.

<sup>c</sup> A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.

<sup>d</sup> Clinic-specific outcome rates are unreliable for women older than 42 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs. Readers are urged to review national outcomes for these age groups (see page 27).

<sup>e</sup> All ages (including ages >42) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.

# MID-MISSOURI REPRODUCTIVE MEDICINE AND SURGERY, INC. COLUMBIA, MISSOURI

A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 79–88.

## 2006 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART <sup>a</sup>				Patient Diagnosis			
IVF	100%	<b>Procedural Factors:</b>		Tubal factor	13%	Other factor	1%
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	57%	Ovulatory dysfunction	7%	Unknown factor	1%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	0%	Diminished ovarian reserve	0%	<i>Multiple Factors:</i>	
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	0%	Endometriosis	9%	Female factors only	13%
				Uterine factor	0%	Female & male factors	23%
				Male factor	33%		

## 2006 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by L. L. Penney, MD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman			
	<35	35–37	38–40	41–42 <sup>d</sup>
<b>Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs</b>				
Number of cycles	43	23	9	0
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies <sup>b</sup>	32.6	17.4	4 / 9	
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	30.2	13.0	3 / 9	
(Confidence Interval)	(17.2–46.1)	(2.8–33.6)		
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	35.1	3 / 12	3 / 8	
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	36.1	3 / 11	3 / 8	
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births <sup>b</sup>	25.0	2 / 11	2 / 8	
Percentage of cancellations <sup>b</sup>	14.0	47.8	1 / 9	
Average number of embryos transferred	2.4	2.9	3.1	
Percentage of pregnancies with twins <sup>b</sup>	4 / 14	1 / 4	0 / 4	
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more <sup>b</sup>	1 / 14	0 / 4	2 / 4	
Percentage of live births having multiple infants <sup>b,c</sup>	4 / 13	1 / 3	1 / 3	
<b>Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs</b>				
Number of transfers	4	2	2	0
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	1 / 4	0 / 2	0 / 2	
Average number of embryos transferred	3.5	2.5	3.0	
<b>All Ages Combined<sup>e</sup></b>				
<b>Donor Eggs</b>	<b>Fresh Embryos</b>		<b>Frozen Embryos</b>	
	0		2	
			1 / 2	
			2.5	

## CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

**Current Name:** Mid-Missouri Reproductive Medicine and Surgery, Inc.

Donor egg?	No	Gestational carriers?	Yes	SART member?	Yes
Donor embryo?	Yes	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	Yes
Single women?	Yes			(See Appendix C for details.)	

<sup>a</sup> Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2006 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.

<sup>b</sup> When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.

<sup>c</sup> A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.

<sup>d</sup> Clinic-specific outcome rates are unreliable for women older than 42 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs. Readers are urged to review national outcomes for these age groups (see page 27).

<sup>e</sup> All ages (including ages >42) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.

**UNIVERSITY OF MISSOURI HOSPITAL AND CLINIC**  
**IVF EMBRYOLOGY LABORATORY**  
**COLUMBIA, MISSOURI**

**A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 79–88.**

### 2006 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART <sup>a</sup>			Patient Diagnosis			
IVF	100%	<b>Procedural Factors:</b>	Tubal factor	10%	Other factor	20%
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	Ovulatory dysfunction	30%	Unknown factor	10%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	Diminished ovarian reserve	0%	<b>Multiple Factors:</b>	
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	Endometriosis	10%	Female factors only	10%
			Uterine factor	0%	Female & male factors	0%
			Male factor	10%		

### 2006 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by Danny J. Schust, MD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman			
	<35	35–37	38–40	41–42 <sup>d</sup>
<b>Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs</b>				
Number of cycles	6	4	0	0
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies <sup>b</sup>	1 / 6	0 / 4		
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	1 / 6	0 / 4		
(Confidence Interval)				
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	1 / 6	0 / 4		
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	1 / 5	0 / 3		
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births <sup>b</sup>	1 / 5	0 / 3		
Percentage of cancellations <sup>b</sup>	0 / 6	0 / 4		
Average number of embryos transferred	2.0	2.7		
Percentage of pregnancies with twins <sup>b</sup>	0 / 1			
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more <sup>b</sup>	0 / 1			
Percentage of live births having multiple infants <sup>b,c</sup>	0 / 1			
<b>Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs</b>				
Number of transfers	0	0	0	0
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>				
Average number of embryos transferred				
<b>All Ages Combined<sup>e</sup></b>				
<b>Donor Eggs</b>	<b>Fresh Embryos</b>	<b>Frozen Embryos</b>		
Number of transfers	0	0		
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>				
Average number of embryos transferred				

### CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

**Current Name:** This clinic has closed or reorganized since 2006. Information on current clinic services and profile therefore is not provided here. Contact the NASS Help Desk for current information about this clinic.

<sup>a</sup> Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2006 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.

<sup>b</sup> When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.

<sup>c</sup> A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.

<sup>d</sup> Clinic-specific outcome rates are unreliable for women older than 42 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs. Readers are urged to review national outcomes for these age groups (see page 27).

<sup>e</sup> All ages (including ages >42) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.



## MIDWEST WOMEN'S HEALTHCARE KANSAS CITY, MISSOURI

**A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 79–88.**

### 2006 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART <sup>a</sup>				Patient Diagnosis			
IVF	100%	<b>Procedural Factors:</b>		Tubal factor	5%	Other factor	0%
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	82%	Ovulatory dysfunction	1%	Unknown factor	1%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	1%	Diminished ovarian reserve	4%	<b>Multiple Factors:</b>	
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	0%	Endometriosis	19%	Female factors only	29%
				Uterine factor	0%	Female & male factors	31%
				Male factor	11%		

### 2006 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by Gregory C. Starks, MD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman			
	<35	35–37	38–40	41–42 <sup>d</sup>
<b>Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs</b>				
Number of cycles	38	11	16	4
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies <sup>b</sup>	68.4	5 / 11	5 / 16	1 / 4
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	63.2	5 / 11	5 / 16	1 / 4
(Confidence Interval)	(46.0–78.2)			
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	68.6	5 / 10	5 / 11	1 / 1
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	70.6	5 / 10	5 / 11	1 / 1
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births <sup>b</sup>	44.1	4 / 10	4 / 11	1 / 1
Percentage of cancellations <sup>b</sup>	7.9	1 / 11	5 / 16	3 / 4
Average number of embryos transferred	2.0	1.9	2.2	3.0
Percentage of pregnancies with twins <sup>b</sup>	42.3	2 / 5	1 / 5	0 / 1
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more <sup>b</sup>	0.0	0 / 5	0 / 5	0 / 1
Percentage of live births having multiple infants <sup>b,c</sup>	37.5	1 / 5	1 / 5	0 / 1
<b>Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs</b>				
Number of transfers	3	1	2	0
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	0 / 3	0 / 1	1 / 2	
Average number of embryos transferred	1.3	1.0	1.5	
<b>All Ages Combined<sup>e</sup></b>				
<b>Donor Eggs</b>	<b>Fresh Embryos</b>		<b>Frozen Embryos</b>	
	4		0	
	3 / 4			
	2.0			

### CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

**Current Name:** Midwest Women's Healthcare

Donor egg?	Yes	Gestational carriers?	No	SART member?	Yes
Donor embryo?	No	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	Yes
Single women?	Yes			(See Appendix C for details.)	

<sup>a</sup> Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2006 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.

<sup>b</sup> When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.

<sup>c</sup> A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.

<sup>d</sup> Clinic-specific outcome rates are unreliable for women older than 42 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs. Readers are urged to review national outcomes for these age groups (see page 27).

<sup>e</sup> All ages (including ages >42) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.



## MISSOURI

## 2006 ART CYCLE PROFILE

## 2006 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman			
	<35	35–37	38–40	41–42 <sup>d</sup>
<b>Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs</b>				
Number of cycles	60	11	17	7
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies <sup>b</sup>	40.0	4 / 11	2 / 17	0 / 7
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	33.3	4 / 11	0 / 17	0 / 7
(Confidence Interval)	(21.7–46.7)			
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	37.0	4 / 9	0 / 11	0 / 5
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	41.7	4 / 8	0 / 8	0 / 3
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births <sup>b</sup>	33.3	3 / 8	0 / 8	0 / 3
Percentage of cancellations <sup>b</sup>	10.0	2 / 11	6 / 17	2 / 7
Average number of embryos transferred	2.0	1.8	2.1	2.3
Percentage of pregnancies with twins <sup>b</sup>	12.5	1 / 4	0 / 2	
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more <sup>b</sup>	4.2	0 / 4	0 / 2	
Percentage of live births having multiple infants <sup>b,c</sup>	20.0	1 / 4		
<b>Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs</b>				
Number of transfers	18	6	5	1
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	4 / 18	2 / 6	1 / 5	0 / 1
Average number of embryos transferred	1.7	2.0	2.0	1.0
<b>All Ages Combined<sup>e</sup></b>				
<b>Donor Eggs</b>	<b>Fresh Embryos</b>		<b>Frozen Embryos</b>	
Number of transfers	19		15	
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	8 / 19		5 / 15	
Average number of embryos transferred	1.9		2.1	

**Current Name:** Infertility & IVF Center

a Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2006 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.  
b When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.  
c A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.  
d Clinic-specific outcome rates are unreliable for women older than 42 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs. Readers are urged to review national outcomes for these age groups (see page 27).  
e All ages (including ages >42) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.

# THE INFERTILITY AND REPRODUCTIVE MEDICINE CENTER AT WASHINGTON UNIVERSITY SCHOOL OF MEDICINE AND BARNES-JEWISH HOSPITAL ST. LOUIS, MISSOURI

A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 79–88.

## 2006 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART <sup>a</sup>			Patient Diagnosis	
IVF	100%	<b>Procedural Factors:</b>	Tubal factor	17%
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	Ovulatory dysfunction	8%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	Diminished ovarian reserve	5%
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	Endometriosis	6%
			Uterine factor	<1%
			Male factor	17%
			Other factor	5%
			Unknown factor	13%
			<b>Multiple Factors:</b>	
			Female factors only	14%
			Female & male factors	16%

## 2006 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by Randall R. Odem, MD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman			
	<35	35–37	38–40	41–42 <sup>d</sup>
<b>Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs</b>				
Number of cycles	181	67	51	31
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies <sup>b</sup>	43.1	35.8	15.7	6.5
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	37.0	26.9	15.7	3.2
(Confidence Interval)	(30.0–44.5)	(16.8–39.1)	(7.0–28.6)	(0.1–16.7)
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	41.9	34.0	18.2	3.8
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	43.8	34.6	20.0	4.2
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births <sup>b</sup>	28.8	19.2	20.0	4.2
Percentage of cancellations <sup>b</sup>	11.6	20.9	13.7	16.1
Average number of embryos transferred	2.1	2.6	2.6	3.3
Percentage of pregnancies with twins <sup>b</sup>	35.9	29.2	0 / 8	0 / 2
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more <sup>b</sup>	2.6	8.3	0 / 8	0 / 2
Percentage of live births having multiple infants <sup>b,c</sup>	34.3	8 / 18	0 / 8	0 / 1
<b>Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs</b>				
Number of transfers	25	16	12	3
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	20.0	4 / 16	3 / 12	0 / 3
Average number of embryos transferred	2.1	1.9	2.3	2.0
<b>All Ages Combined<sup>e</sup></b>				
<b>Donor Eggs</b>	<b>Fresh Embryos</b>		<b>Frozen Embryos</b>	
	21		7	
	47.6		2 / 7	
	2.0		2.6	

## CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

**Current Name:** The Infertility and Reproductive Medicine Center at Washington University School of Medicine and Barnes-Jewish Hospital

Donor egg?	Yes	Gestational carriers?	Yes	SART member?	Yes
Donor embryo?	No	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	Yes
Single women?	Yes	(See Appendix C for details.)			

<sup>a</sup> Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2006 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.

<sup>b</sup> When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.

<sup>c</sup> A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.

<sup>d</sup> Clinic-specific outcome rates are unreliable for women older than 42 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs. Readers are urged to review national outcomes for these age groups (see page 27).

<sup>e</sup> All ages (including ages >42) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.

**INFERTILITY CENTER OF ST. LOUIS  
ST. LUKE'S HOSPITAL  
ST. LOUIS, MISSOURI**

**A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 79–88.**

### 2006 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART <sup>a</sup>				Patient Diagnosis			
IVF	97%	<b>Procedural Factors:</b>		Tubal factor	4%	Other factor	14%
GIFT	<1%	With ICSI	91%	Ovulatory dysfunction	7%	Unknown factor	12%
ZIFT	3%	Unstimulated	0%	Diminished ovarian reserve	15%	<i>Multiple Factors:</i>	
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	1%	Endometriosis	2%	Female factors only	2%
				Uterine factor	3%	Female & male factors	9%
				Male factor	34%		

### 2006 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by Sherman J. Silber, MD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman			
	<35	35–37	38–40	41–42 <sup>d</sup>
<b>Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs</b>				
Number of cycles	158	51	34	8
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies <sup>b</sup>	44.9	31.4	14.7	1 / 8
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	39.2	19.6	5.9	0 / 8
(Confidence Interval)	(31.6–47.3)	(9.8–33.1)	(0.7–19.7)	
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	40.0	20.8	6.7	0 / 7
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	45.9	27.8	9.1	0 / 4
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births <sup>b</sup>	30.4	19.4	9.1	0 / 4
Percentage of cancellations <sup>b</sup>	1.9	5.9	11.8	1 / 8
Average number of embryos transferred	2.7	2.7	3.1	3.5
Percentage of pregnancies with twins <sup>b</sup>	32.4	3 / 16	0 / 5	0 / 1
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more <sup>b</sup>	7.0	2 / 16	0 / 5	0 / 1
Percentage of live births having multiple infants <sup>b,c</sup>	33.9	3 / 10	0 / 2	
<b>Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs</b>				
Number of transfers	25	8	5	3
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	32.0	4 / 8	2 / 5	2 / 3
Average number of embryos transferred	2.3	2.0	2.8	2.3
<b>All Ages Combined<sup>e</sup></b>				
<b>Donor Eggs</b>	<b>Fresh Embryos</b>		<b>Frozen Embryos</b>	
Number of transfers	20		4	
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	40.0		0 / 4	
Average number of embryos transferred	2.4		2.3	

### CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

**Current Name:** Infertility Center of St. Louis, St. Luke's Hospital

Donor egg?	Yes	Gestational carriers?	Yes	SART member?	Yes
Donor embryo?	Yes	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	Yes
Single women?	Yes			(See Appendix C for details.)	

<sup>a</sup> Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2006 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.

<sup>b</sup> When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.

<sup>c</sup> A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.

<sup>d</sup> Clinic-specific outcome rates are unreliable for women older than 42 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs. Readers are urged to review national outcomes for these age groups (see page 27).

<sup>e</sup> All ages (including ages >42) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.

## SHER INSTITUTE FOR REPRODUCTIVE MEDICINE–ST. LOUIS ST. LOUIS, MISSOURI

**A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 79–88.**

### 2006 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART <sup>a</sup>				Patient Diagnosis			
IVF	100%	<b>Procedural Factors:</b>		Tubal factor	5%	Other factor	27%
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	96%	Ovulatory dysfunction	7%	Unknown factor	4%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	<1%	Diminished ovarian reserve	20%	<i>Multiple Factors:</i>	
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	2%	Endometriosis	6%	Female factors only	8%
				Uterine factor	<1%	Female & male factors	7%
				Male factor	15%		

### 2006 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by Peter M. Ahlering, MD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman			
	<35	35–37	38–40	41–42 <sup>d</sup>
<b>Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs</b>				
Number of cycles	216	59	33	22
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies <sup>b</sup>	48.1	64.4	57.6	18.2
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	40.7	49.2	42.4	13.6
(Confidence Interval)	(34.1–47.6)	(35.9–62.5)	(25.5–60.8)	(2.9–34.9)
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	40.7	50.0	42.4	13.6
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	44.4	52.7	46.7	14.3
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births <sup>b</sup>	29.8	38.2	40.0	14.3
Percentage of cancellations <sup>b</sup>	0.0	1.7	0.0	0.0
Average number of embryos transferred	2.5	2.6	2.9	2.7
Percentage of pregnancies with twins <sup>b</sup>	24.0	23.7	3 / 19	1 / 4
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more <sup>b</sup>	9.6	5.3	0 / 19	0 / 4
Percentage of live births having multiple infants <sup>b,c</sup>	33.0	27.6	2 / 14	0 / 3
<b>Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs</b>				
Number of transfers	35	6	6	5
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	37.1	2 / 6	3 / 6	1 / 5
Average number of embryos transferred	2.5	2.7	2.7	2.0
<b>All Ages Combined<sup>e</sup></b>				
<b>Donor Eggs</b>	<b>Fresh Embryos</b>		<b>Frozen Embryos</b>	
	23		14	
	69.6		3 / 14	
	2.7		2.1	

### CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

**Current Name:** Sher Institute for Reproductive Medicine–St. Louis

Donor egg?	Yes	Gestational carriers?	Yes	SART member?	No
Donor embryo?	Yes	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	Yes
Single women?	Yes			(See Appendix C for details.)	

<sup>a</sup> Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2006 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.

<sup>b</sup> When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.

<sup>c</sup> A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.

<sup>d</sup> Clinic-specific outcome rates are unreliable for women older than 42 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs. Readers are urged to review national outcomes for these age groups (see page 27).

<sup>e</sup> All ages (including ages >42) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.

# HEARTLAND CENTER FOR REPRODUCTIVE MEDICINE, PC OMAHA, NEBRASKA

A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 79–88.

## 2006 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART <sup>a</sup>				Patient Diagnosis			
IVF	100%	<b>Procedural Factors:</b>		Tubal factor	5%	Other factor	<1%
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	69%	Ovulatory dysfunction	3%	Unknown factor	4%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	0%	Diminished ovarian reserve	7%	<i>Multiple Factors:</i>	
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	1%	Endometriosis	3%	Female factors only	10%
				Uterine factor	0%	Female & male factors	41%
				Male factor	27%		

## 2006 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by Victoria M. Maclin, MD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman			
	<35	35–37	38–40	41–42 <sup>d</sup>
<b>Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs</b>				
Number of cycles	113	37	17	8
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies <sup>b</sup>	31.9	10.8	4 / 17	0 / 8
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	28.3	8.1	4 / 17	0 / 8
(Confidence Interval)	(20.2–37.6)	(1.7–21.9)		
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	31.4	10.3	4 / 16	0 / 6
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	37.2	13.6	4 / 13	0 / 6
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births <sup>b</sup>	20.9	9.1	3 / 13	0 / 6
Percentage of cancellations <sup>b</sup>	9.7	21.6	1 / 17	2 / 8
Average number of embryos transferred	2.1	1.9	3.0	2.3
Percentage of pregnancies with twins <sup>b</sup>	41.7	0 / 4	1 / 4	
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more <sup>b</sup>	0.0	1 / 4	0 / 4	
Percentage of live births having multiple infants <sup>b,c</sup>	43.8	1 / 3	1 / 4	
<b>Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs</b>				
Number of transfers	27	4	1	0
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	18.5	0 / 4	0 / 1	
Average number of embryos transferred	2.3	2.8	1.0	
<b>All Ages Combined<sup>e</sup></b>				
<b>Donor Eggs</b>	<b>Fresh Embryos</b>		<b>Frozen Embryos</b>	
	Number of transfers		16	
	Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>		5 / 16	
Average number of embryos transferred		2.3	1.8	

## CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

**Current Name:** Heartland Center for Reproductive Medicine, PC

Donor egg?	Yes	Gestational carriers?	Yes	SART member?	Yes
Donor embryo?	No	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	Yes
Single women?	Yes			(See Appendix C for details.)	

<sup>a</sup> Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2006 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.

<sup>b</sup> When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.

<sup>c</sup> A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.

<sup>d</sup> Clinic-specific outcome rates are unreliable for women older than 42 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs. Readers are urged to review national outcomes for these age groups (see page 27).

<sup>e</sup> All ages (including ages >42) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.

# NEBRASKA METHODIST HOSPITAL REI OMAHA, NEBRASKA

A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 79–88.

## 2006 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART <sup>a</sup>				Patient Diagnosis			
IVF	>99%	<b>Procedural Factors:</b>		Tubal factor	10%	Other factor	8%
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	81%	Ovulatory dysfunction	7%	Unknown factor	9%
ZIFT	<1%	Unstimulated	0%	Diminished ovarian reserve	7%	<i>Multiple Factors:</i>	
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	<1%	Endometriosis	11%	Female factors only	12%
				Uterine factor	<1%	Female & male factors	16%
				Male factor	20%		

## 2006 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by Carolyn M. Doherty, MD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman			
	<35	35–37	38–40	41–42 <sup>d</sup>
<b>Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs</b>				
Number of cycles	163	44	27	11
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies <sup>b</sup>	49.1	50.0	18.5	3 / 11
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	42.9	36.4	11.1	2 / 11
(Confidence Interval)	(35.2–50.9)	(22.4–52.2)	(2.4–29.2)	
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	46.1	40.0	14.3	2 / 8
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	47.3	42.1	15.0	2 / 8
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births <sup>b</sup>	30.4	21.1	5.0	2 / 8
Percentage of cancellations <sup>b</sup>	6.7	9.1	22.2	3 / 11
Average number of embryos transferred	2.4	3.2	3.4	3.9
Percentage of pregnancies with twins <sup>b</sup>	36.3	31.8	0 / 5	0 / 3
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more <sup>b</sup>	2.5	13.6	2 / 5	0 / 3
Percentage of live births having multiple infants <sup>b,c</sup>	35.7	8 / 16	2 / 3	0 / 2
<b>Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs</b>				
Number of transfers	41	7	4	0
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	48.8	2 / 7	3 / 4	
Average number of embryos transferred	2.2	1.7	2.5	
<b>All Ages Combined<sup>e</sup></b>				
<b>Donor Eggs</b>	<b>Fresh Embryos</b>		<b>Frozen Embryos</b>	
Number of transfers	31		8	
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	54.8		4 / 8	
Average number of embryos transferred	2.2		1.9	

## CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

**Current Name:** Nebraska Methodist Hospital REI

Donor egg?	Yes	Gestational carriers?	Yes	SART member?	Yes
Donor embryo?	No	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	Yes
Single women?	Yes			(See Appendix C for details.)	

<sup>a</sup> Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2006 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.

<sup>b</sup> When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.

<sup>c</sup> A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.

<sup>d</sup> Clinic-specific outcome rates are unreliable for women older than 42 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs. Readers are urged to review national outcomes for these age groups (see page 27).

<sup>e</sup> All ages (including ages >42) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.



## FERTILITY CENTER OF LAS VEGAS LAS VEGAS, NEVADA

A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 79–88.

### 2006 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART <sup>a</sup>			Patient Diagnosis	
IVF	100%	<b>Procedural Factors:</b>	Tubal factor	11%
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	Ovulatory dysfunction	1%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	Diminished ovarian reserve	16%
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	Endometriosis	1%
			Uterine factor	0%
			Male factor	18%
			Other factor	8%
			Unknown factor	9%
			<b>Multiple Factors:</b>	
			Female factors only	12%
			Female & male factors	25%

### 2006 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by Bruce S. Shapiro, MD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman			
	<35	35–37	38–40	41–42 <sup>d</sup>
<b>Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs</b>				
Number of cycles	157	84	57	15
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies <sup>b</sup>	31.8	32.1	26.3	2 / 15
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	25.5	28.6	14.0	2 / 15
(Confidence Interval)	(18.9–33.0)	(19.2–39.5)	(6.3–25.8)	
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	30.8	33.8	17.8	2 / 15
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	35.7	40.0	23.5	2 / 12
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births <sup>b</sup>	25.9	26.7	20.6	2 / 12
Percentage of cancellations <sup>b</sup>	17.2	15.5	21.1	0 / 15
Average number of embryos transferred	1.8	1.8	1.9	1.8
Percentage of pregnancies with twins <sup>b</sup>	32.0	33.3	1 / 15	0 / 2
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more <sup>b</sup>	2.0	0.0	0 / 15	0 / 2
Percentage of live births having multiple infants <sup>b,c</sup>	27.5	33.3	1 / 8	0 / 2
<b>Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs</b>				
Number of transfers	110	62	32	7
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	52.7	37.1	21.9	0 / 7
Average number of embryos transferred	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.1
<b>All Ages Combined<sup>e</sup></b>				
<b>Donor Eggs</b>	<b>Fresh Embryos</b>		<b>Frozen Embryos</b>	
	24		15	
	62.5		9 / 15	
	1.9		2.1	

### CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

**Current Name:** Fertility Center of Las Vegas

Donor egg?	Yes	Gestational carriers?	Yes	SART member?	Yes
Donor embryo?	No	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	Yes
Single women?	Yes			(See Appendix C for details.)	

<sup>a</sup> Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2006 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.

<sup>b</sup> When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.

<sup>c</sup> A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.

<sup>d</sup> Clinic-specific outcome rates are unreliable for women older than 42 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs. Readers are urged to review national outcomes for these age groups (see page 27).

<sup>e</sup> All ages (including ages >42) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.



## NEVADA FERTILITY C.A.R.E.S. LAS VEGAS, NEVADA

**A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 79–88.**

### 2006 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART <sup>a</sup>			Patient Diagnosis	
IVF	100%	<b>Procedural Factors:</b>	Tubal factor	11%
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	Ovulatory dysfunction	4%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	Diminished ovarian reserve	25%
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	Endometriosis	4%
			Uterine factor	<1%
			Male factor	6%
			Other factor	17%
			Unknown factor	20%
			<b>Multiple Factors:</b>	
			Female factors only	7%
			Female & male factors	7%

### 2006 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by Rachel A. McConnell, MD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman			
	<35	35–37	38–40	41–42 <sup>d</sup>
<b>Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs</b>				
Number of cycles	99	46	30	15
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies <sup>b</sup>	42.4	58.7	26.7	2 / 15
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	39.4	41.3	20.0	1 / 15
(Confidence Interval)	(29.7–49.7)	(27.0–56.8)	(7.7–38.6)	
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	41.1	41.3	21.4	1 / 14
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	41.9	41.3	23.1	1 / 9
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births <sup>b</sup>	22.6	28.3	15.4	1 / 9
Percentage of cancellations <sup>b</sup>	4.0	0.0	6.7	1 / 15
Average number of embryos transferred	3.1	2.9	2.7	2.8
Percentage of pregnancies with twins <sup>b</sup>	33.3	14.8	2 / 8	0 / 2
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more <sup>b</sup>	14.3	18.5	1 / 8	0 / 2
Percentage of live births having multiple infants <sup>b,c</sup>	46.2	6 / 19	2 / 6	0 / 1
<b>Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs</b>				
Number of transfers	4	2	0	2
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	0 / 4	0 / 2		1 / 2
Average number of embryos transferred	2.3	1.5		2.5
<b>All Ages Combined<sup>e</sup></b>				
<b>Donor Eggs</b>	<b>Fresh Embryos</b>		<b>Frozen Embryos</b>	
	11		5	
	3 / 11		1 / 5	
	3.2		3.6	

### CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

**Current Name:** Nevada Fertility C.A.R.E.S.

Donor egg?	Yes	Gestational carriers?	Yes	SART member?	Yes
Donor embryo?	Yes	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	Yes
Single women?	Yes			(See Appendix C for details.)	

<sup>a</sup> Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2006 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.

<sup>b</sup> When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.

<sup>c</sup> A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.

<sup>d</sup> Clinic-specific outcome rates are unreliable for women older than 42 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs. Readers are urged to review national outcomes for these age groups (see page 27).

<sup>e</sup> All ages (including ages >42) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.

## SHER INSTITUTE FOR REPRODUCTIVE MEDICINE—LAS VEGAS LAS VEGAS, NEVADA

A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 79–88.

### 2006 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART <sup>a</sup>				Patient Diagnosis			
IVF	100%	<b>Procedural Factors:</b>		Tubal factor	8%	Other factor	11%
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	95%	Ovulatory dysfunction	7%	Unknown factor	11%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	0%	Diminished ovarian reserve	30%	<i>Multiple Factors:</i>	
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	4%	Endometriosis	7%	Female factors only	6%
				Uterine factor	1%	Female & male factors	7%
				Male factor	12%		

### 2006 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by Jeffrey D. Fisch, MD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman			
	<35	35–37	38–40	41–42 <sup>d</sup>
<b>Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs</b>				
Number of cycles	123	67	72	31
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies <sup>b</sup>	45.5	35.8	25.0	32.3
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	35.8	31.3	13.9	22.6
(Confidence Interval)	(27.3–44.9)	(20.6–43.8)	(6.9–24.1)	(9.6–41.1)
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	36.7	32.3	14.3	22.6
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	39.6	38.9	16.9	24.1
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births <sup>b</sup>	26.1	29.6	11.9	20.7
Percentage of cancellations <sup>b</sup>	2.4	3.0	2.8	0.0
Average number of embryos transferred	2.1	2.1	2.6	2.6
Percentage of pregnancies with twins <sup>b</sup>	25.0	20.8	1 / 18	1 / 10
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more <sup>b</sup>	3.6	0.0	2 / 18	0 / 10
Percentage of live births having multiple infants <sup>b,c</sup>	34.1	23.8	3 / 10	1 / 7
<b>Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs</b>				
Number of transfers	34	16	18	10
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	20.6	4 / 16	3 / 18	2 / 10
Average number of embryos transferred	2.6	3.1	2.6	2.5
<b>All Ages Combined<sup>e</sup></b>				
<b>Donor Eggs</b>	<b>Fresh Embryos</b>		<b>Frozen Embryos</b>	
	38		16	
	42.1		3 / 16	
	2.2		2.9	

### CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

**Current Name:** Sher Institute for Reproductive Medicine—Las Vegas

Donor egg?	Yes	Gestational carriers?	Yes	SART member?	Yes
Donor embryo?	No	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	Yes
Single women?	Yes			(See Appendix C for details.)	

<sup>a</sup> Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2006 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.

<sup>b</sup> When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.

<sup>c</sup> A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.

<sup>d</sup> Clinic-specific outcome rates are unreliable for women older than 42 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs. Readers are urged to review national outcomes for these age groups (see page 27).

<sup>e</sup> All ages (including ages >42) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.

# THE NEVADA CENTER FOR REPRODUCTIVE MEDICINE RENO, NEVADA

A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 79–88.

## 2006 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART <sup>a</sup>				Patient Diagnosis			
IVF	100%	<b>Procedural Factors:</b>		Tubal factor	7%	Other factor	3%
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	50%	Ovulatory dysfunction	<1%	Unknown factor	1%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	0%	Diminished ovarian reserve	9%	<b>Multiple Factors:</b>	
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	6%	Endometriosis	<1%	Female factors only	46%
				Uterine factor	2%	Female & male factors	23%
				Male factor	7%		

## 2006 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by Russell A. Foulk, MD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman			
	<35	35–37	38–40	41–42 <sup>d</sup>
<b>Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs</b>				
Number of cycles	95	32	28	13
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies <sup>b</sup>	60.0	28.1	28.6	3 / 13
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	53.7	28.1	25.0	1 / 13
(Confidence Interval)	(43.2–64.0)	(13.7–46.7)	(10.7–44.9)	
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	54.3	28.1	26.9	1 / 13
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	55.4	31.0	26.9	1 / 13
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births <sup>b</sup>	34.8	27.6	26.9	1 / 13
Percentage of cancellations <sup>b</sup>	1.1	0.0	7.1	0 / 13
Average number of embryos transferred	2.6	2.7	2.7	2.6
Percentage of pregnancies with twins <sup>b</sup>	31.6	2 / 9	0 / 8	1 / 3
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more <sup>b</sup>	8.8	0 / 9	0 / 8	0 / 3
Percentage of live births having multiple infants <sup>b,c</sup>	37.3	1 / 9	0 / 7	0 / 1
<b>Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs</b>				
Number of transfers	38	21	15	8
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	23.7	23.8	4 / 15	1 / 8
Average number of embryos transferred	2.7	2.6	3.1	3.0
<b>All Ages Combined<sup>e</sup></b>				
<b>Donor Eggs</b>	<b>Fresh Embryos</b>		<b>Frozen Embryos</b>	
	Number of transfers		66	
	Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>		50.0	
	Average number of embryos transferred		2.9	

## CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

**Current Name:** The Nevada Center for Reproductive Medicine

Donor egg?	Yes	Gestational carriers?	Yes	SART member?	Yes
Donor embryo?	Yes	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	Yes
Single women?	Yes			(See Appendix C for details.)	

<sup>a</sup> Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2006 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.

<sup>b</sup> When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.

<sup>c</sup> A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.

<sup>d</sup> Clinic-specific outcome rates are unreliable for women older than 42 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs. Readers are urged to review national outcomes for these age groups (see page 27).

<sup>e</sup> All ages (including ages >42) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.

## DARTMOUTH–HITCHCOCK MEDICAL CENTER LEBANON, NEW HAMPSHIRE

A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 79–88.

### 2006 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART <sup>a</sup>			Patient Diagnosis	
IVF	100%	<b>Procedural Factors:</b>	Tubal factor	13%
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	Ovulatory dysfunction	5%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	Diminished ovarian reserve	9%
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	Endometriosis	1%
			Uterine factor	2%
			Male factor	30%
			Other factor	5%
			Unknown factor	16%
			<b>Multiple Factors:</b>	
			Female factors only	11%
			Female & male factors	8%

### 2006 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by Misty B. Porter, MD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman			
	<35	35–37	38–40	41–42 <sup>d</sup>
<b>Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs</b>				
Number of cycles	56	28	25	20
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies <sup>b</sup>	48.2	42.9	40.0	35.0
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	42.9	35.7	40.0	15.0
(Confidence Interval)	(29.7–56.8)	(18.6–55.9)	(21.1–61.3)	(3.2–37.9)
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	46.2	45.5	47.6	3 / 17
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	48.0	47.6	47.6	3 / 17
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births <sup>b</sup>	38.0	47.6	33.3	2 / 17
Percentage of cancellations <sup>b</sup>	7.1	21.4	16.0	15.0
Average number of embryos transferred	2.0	2.5	3.1	3.9
Percentage of pregnancies with twins <sup>b</sup>	18.5	1 / 12	3 / 10	0 / 7
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more <sup>b</sup>	0.0	0 / 12	1 / 10	1 / 7
Percentage of live births having multiple infants <sup>b,c</sup>	20.8	0 / 10	3 / 10	1 / 3
<b>Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs</b>				
Number of transfers	18	8	3	3
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	9 / 18	1 / 8	1 / 3	0 / 3
Average number of embryos transferred	2.0	2.9	2.3	3.0
<b>All Ages Combined<sup>e</sup></b>				
<b>Donor Eggs</b>	<b>Fresh Embryos</b>		<b>Frozen Embryos</b>	
	7		13	
	3 / 7		4 / 13	
	2.0		1.9	

### CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

**Current Name:** Dartmouth–Hitchcock Medical Center

Donor egg?	Yes	Gestational carriers?	Yes	SART member?	Yes
Donor embryo?	Yes	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	Yes
Single women?	Yes			(See Appendix C for details.)	

<sup>a</sup> Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2006 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.

<sup>b</sup> When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.

<sup>c</sup> A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.

<sup>d</sup> Clinic-specific outcome rates are unreliable for women older than 42 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs. Readers are urged to review national outcomes for these age groups (see page 27).

<sup>e</sup> All ages (including ages >42) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.

# SHER INSTITUTE FOR REPRODUCTIVE MEDICINE—NEW JERSEY BEDMINSTER, NEW JERSEY

A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 79–88.

## 2006 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART <sup>a</sup>			Patient Diagnosis	
IVF	100%	<b>Procedural Factors:</b>	Tubal factor	13%
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	Ovulatory dysfunction	5%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	Diminished ovarian reserve	15%
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	Endometriosis	3%
			Uterine factor	<1%
			Male factor	15%
			Other factor	4%
			Unknown factor	9%
			<b>Multiple Factors:</b>	
			Female factors only	17%
			Female & male factors	20%

## 2006 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by Alexander M. Dlugi, MD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman			
	<35	35–37	38–40	41–42 <sup>d</sup>
<b>Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs</b>				
Number of cycles	103	59	69	35
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies <sup>b</sup>	35.0	35.6	11.6	17.1
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	29.1	25.4	7.2	11.4
(Confidence Interval)	(20.6–38.9)	(15.0–38.4)	(2.4–16.1)	(3.2–26.7)
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	31.6	27.8	7.9	11.8
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	37.5	36.6	11.9	18.2
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births <sup>b</sup>	21.3	19.5	7.1	18.2
Percentage of cancellations <sup>b</sup>	7.8	8.5	8.7	2.9
Average number of embryos transferred	2.2	2.4	2.3	2.1
Percentage of pregnancies with twins <sup>b</sup>	38.9	23.8	3 / 8	0 / 6
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more <sup>b</sup>	5.6	14.3	0 / 8	0 / 6
Percentage of live births having multiple infants <sup>b,c</sup>	43.3	7 / 15	2 / 5	0 / 4
<b>Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs</b>				
Number of transfers	11	5	5	1
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	0 / 11	1 / 5	0 / 5	0 / 1
Average number of embryos transferred	2.2	2.4	2.4	1.0
<b>All Ages Combined<sup>e</sup></b>				
<b>Donor Eggs</b>	<b>Fresh Embryos</b>		<b>Frozen Embryos</b>	
	13		5	
	6 / 13		2 / 5	
	2.6		3.2	

## CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

**Current Name:** Sher Institute for Reproductive Medicine—New Jersey

Donor egg?	Yes	Gestational carriers?	Yes	SART member?	Yes
Donor embryo?	No	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	Yes
Single women?	Yes			(See Appendix C for details.)	

<sup>a</sup> Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2006 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.

<sup>b</sup> When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.

<sup>c</sup> A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.

<sup>d</sup> Clinic-specific outcome rates are unreliable for women older than 42 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs. Readers are urged to review national outcomes for these age groups (see page 27).

<sup>e</sup> All ages (including ages >42) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.

# IVF OF NORTH JERSEY

## NORTH JERSEY CENTER FOR REPRODUCTIVE ENDOCRINOLOGY AND INFERTILITY

### CLIFTON, NEW JERSEY

A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 79–88.

#### 2006 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART <sup>a</sup>			Patient Diagnosis	
IVF	100%	<b>Procedural Factors:</b>	Tubal factor	8%
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	Ovulatory dysfunction	3%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	Diminished ovarian reserve	0%
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	Endometriosis	0%
			Uterine factor	2%
			Male factor	6%
			Other factor	52%
			Unknown factor	28%
			<b>Multiple Factors:</b>	
			Female factors only	2%
			Female & male factors	0%

#### 2006 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by Alfredo J. Garcia, MD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman			
	<35	35–37	38–40	41–42 <sup>d</sup>
<b>Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs</b>				
Number of cycles	19	12	10	4
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies <sup>b</sup>	7 / 19	2 / 12	3 / 10	2 / 4
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup> (Confidence Interval)	5 / 19	2 / 12	1 / 10	0 / 4
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	5 / 18	2 / 10	1 / 9	0 / 4
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	5 / 18	2 / 10	1 / 5	0 / 4
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births <sup>b</sup>	2 / 18	1 / 10	1 / 5	0 / 4
Percentage of cancellations <sup>b</sup>	1 / 19	2 / 12	1 / 10	0 / 4
Average number of embryos transferred	2.1	2.3	3.4	2.5
Percentage of pregnancies with twins <sup>b</sup>	2 / 7	1 / 2	0 / 3	0 / 2
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more <sup>b</sup>	1 / 7	0 / 2	0 / 3	0 / 2
Percentage of live births having multiple infants <sup>b,c</sup>	3 / 5	1 / 2	0 / 1	
<b>Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs</b>				
Number of transfers	0	1	0	0
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>		0 / 1		
Average number of embryos transferred		1.0		
<b>All Ages Combined<sup>e</sup></b>				
<b>Donor Eggs</b>	<b>Fresh Embryos</b>		<b>Frozen Embryos</b>	
	12		3	
	3 / 12		1 / 3	
	2.0		2.7	

#### CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

**Current Name:** Tower Fertility Center

Donor egg?	Yes	Gestational carriers?	No	SART member?	No
Donor embryo?	No	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	Yes
Single women?	Yes			(See Appendix C for details.)	

<sup>a</sup> Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2006 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.

<sup>b</sup> When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.

<sup>c</sup> A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.

<sup>d</sup> Clinic-specific outcome rates are unreliable for women older than 42 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs. Readers are urged to review national outcomes for these age groups (see page 27).

<sup>e</sup> All ages (including ages >42) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.



# CENTER FOR ADVANCED REPRODUCTIVE MEDICINE & FERTILITY EDISON, NEW JERSEY

**A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 79–88.**

## 2006 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART <sup>a</sup>				Patient Diagnosis			
IVF	100%	<b>Procedural Factors:</b>		Tubal factor	6%	Other factor	1%
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	64%	Ovulatory dysfunction	11%	Unknown factor	6%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	0%	Diminished ovarian reserve	18%	<i>Multiple Factors:</i>	
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	0%	Endometriosis	2%	Female factors only	7%
				Uterine factor	0%	Female & male factors	19%
				Male factor	31%		

## 2006 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by Gregory H. Corsan, MD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman			
	<35	35–37	38–40	41–42 <sup>d</sup>
<b>Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs</b>				
Number of cycles	128	45	26	8
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies <sup>b</sup>	33.6	35.6	11.5	3 / 8
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	27.3	33.3	7.7	3 / 8
(Confidence Interval)	(19.8–35.9)	(20.0–49.0)	(0.9–25.1)	
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	30.4	34.9	2 / 18	3 / 8
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	38.5	57.7	2 / 14	3 / 6
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births <sup>b</sup>	23.1	46.2	2 / 14	2 / 6
Percentage of cancellations <sup>b</sup>	10.2	4.4	30.8	0 / 8
Average number of embryos transferred	2.0	2.4	3.3	2.7
Percentage of pregnancies with twins <sup>b</sup>	30.2	3 / 16	1 / 3	1 / 3
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more <sup>b</sup>	4.7	1 / 16	0 / 3	0 / 3
Percentage of live births having multiple infants <sup>b,c</sup>	40.0	3 / 15	0 / 2	1 / 3
<b>Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs</b>				
Number of transfers	36	9	1	1
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	30.6	3 / 9	1 / 1	1 / 1
Average number of embryos transferred	2.2	2.6	2.0	2.0
<b>All Ages Combined<sup>e</sup></b>				
<b>Donor Eggs</b>	<b>Fresh Embryos</b>		<b>Frozen Embryos</b>	
Number of transfers	13		7	
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	4 / 13		1 / 7	
Average number of embryos transferred	1.8		2.1	

## CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

**Current Name:** Center for Advanced Reproductive Medicine & Fertility

Donor egg?	Yes	Gestational carriers?	No	SART member?	Yes
Donor embryo?	Yes	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	Yes
Single women?	Yes			(See Appendix C for details.)	

<sup>a</sup> Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2006 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.

<sup>b</sup> When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.

<sup>c</sup> A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.

<sup>d</sup> Clinic-specific outcome rates are unreliable for women older than 42 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs. Readers are urged to review national outcomes for these age groups (see page 27).

<sup>e</sup> All ages (including ages >42) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.



## WOMEN'S FERTILITY CENTER ENGLEWOOD, NEW JERSEY

A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 79–88.

### 2006 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART <sup>a</sup>				Patient Diagnosis			
IVF	100%	<b>Procedural Factors:</b>		Tubal factor	5%	Other factor	0%
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	83%	Ovulatory dysfunction	5%	Unknown factor	14%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	0%	Diminished ovarian reserve	19%	<b>Multiple Factors:</b>	
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	0%	Endometriosis	0%	Female factors only	7%
				Uterine factor	2%	Female & male factors	14%
				Male factor	33%		

### 2006 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by Philip R. Lesorgen, MD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman			
	<35	35–37	38–40	41–42 <sup>d</sup>
<b>Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs</b>				
Number of cycles	17	13	5	7
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies <sup>b</sup>	4 / 17	2 / 13	1 / 5	1 / 7
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup> (Confidence Interval)	4 / 17	2 / 13	1 / 5	0 / 7
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	4 / 17	2 / 13	1 / 5	0 / 7
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	4 / 17	2 / 13	1 / 4	0 / 6
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births <sup>b</sup>	3 / 17	0 / 13	1 / 4	0 / 6
Percentage of cancellations <sup>b</sup>	0 / 17	0 / 13	0 / 5	0 / 7
Average number of embryos transferred	2.6	2.9	3.3	3.3
Percentage of pregnancies with twins <sup>b</sup>	1 / 4	2 / 2	0 / 1	0 / 1
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more <sup>b</sup>	0 / 4	0 / 2	0 / 1	0 / 1
Percentage of live births having multiple infants <sup>b,c</sup>	1 / 4	2 / 2	0 / 1	
<b>Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs</b>				
Number of transfers	0	0	0	0
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>				
Average number of embryos transferred				
<b>All Ages Combined<sup>e</sup></b>				
<b>Donor Eggs</b>	<b>Fresh Embryos</b>		<b>Frozen Embryos</b>	
Number of transfers	0		0	
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>				
Average number of embryos transferred				

### CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

**Current Name:** Women's Fertility Center

Donor egg?	No	Gestational carriers?	No	SART member?	No
Donor embryo?	No	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	Yes
Single women?	Yes			(See Appendix C for details.)	

<sup>a</sup> Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2006 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.

<sup>b</sup> When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.

<sup>c</sup> A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.

<sup>d</sup> Clinic-specific outcome rates are unreliable for women older than 42 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs. Readers are urged to review national outcomes for these age groups (see page 27).

<sup>e</sup> All ages (including ages >42) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.

**NORTH HUDSON I.V.F.  
CENTER FOR FERTILITY AND GYNECOLOGY  
ENGLEWOOD CLIFFS, NEW JERSEY**

**A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 79–88.**

**2006 ART CYCLE PROFILE**

Type of ART <sup>a</sup>				Patient Diagnosis			
IVF	100%	<b>Procedural Factors:</b>		Tubal factor	2%	Other factor	3%
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	71%	Ovulatory dysfunction	7%	Unknown factor	17%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	0%	Diminished ovarian reserve	32%	<b>Multiple Factors:</b>	
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	0%	Endometriosis	3%	Female factors only	12%
				Uterine factor	0%	Female & male factors	17%
				Male factor	8%		

**2006 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES**

Data verified by Jane E. Miller, MD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman			
	<35	35–37	38–40	41–42 <sup>d</sup>
<b>Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs</b>				
Number of cycles	12	6	6	2
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies <sup>b</sup>	9 / 12	4 / 6	2 / 6	0 / 2
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup> (Confidence Interval)	9 / 12	3 / 6	2 / 6	0 / 2
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	9 / 12	3 / 5	2 / 5	0 / 2
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	9 / 12	3 / 5	2 / 4	0 / 2
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births <sup>b</sup>	6 / 12	2 / 5	1 / 4	0 / 2
Percentage of cancellations <sup>b</sup>	0 / 12	1 / 6	1 / 6	0 / 2
Average number of embryos transferred	2.2	2.6	2.3	3.5
Percentage of pregnancies with twins <sup>b</sup>	4 / 9	1 / 4	2 / 2	
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more <sup>b</sup>	0 / 9	1 / 4	0 / 2	
Percentage of live births having multiple infants <sup>b,c</sup>	3 / 9	1 / 3	1 / 2	
<b>Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs</b>				
Number of transfers	4	1	0	1
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	2 / 4	0 / 1		1 / 1
Average number of embryos transferred	2.5	2.0		2.0
<b>All Ages Combined<sup>e</sup></b>				
<b>Donor Eggs</b>	<b>Fresh Embryos</b>		<b>Frozen Embryos</b>	
	18		6	
	13 / 18		4 / 6	
	2.2		2.3	

**CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE**

**Current Name:** North Hudson I.V.F., Center for Fertility and Gynecology

Donor egg?	Yes	Gestational carriers?	Yes	SART member?	Yes
Donor embryo?	Yes	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	Yes
Single women?	Yes			(See Appendix C for details.)	

<sup>a</sup> Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2006 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.

<sup>b</sup> When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.

<sup>c</sup> A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.

<sup>d</sup> Clinic-specific outcome rates are unreliable for women older than 42 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs. Readers are urged to review national outcomes for these age groups (see page 27).

<sup>e</sup> All ages (including ages >42) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.

# UNIVERSITY REPRODUCTIVE ASSOCIATES, PC HASBROUCK HEIGHTS, NEW JERSEY

A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 79–88.

## 2006 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART <sup>a</sup>				Patient Diagnosis			
IVF	100%	<b>Procedural Factors:</b>		Tubal factor	3%	Other factor	2%
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	88%	Ovulatory dysfunction	<1%	Unknown factor	3%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	0%	Diminished ovarian reserve	6%	<i>Multiple Factors:</i>	
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	<1%	Endometriosis	2%	Female factors only	2%
				Uterine factor	1%	Female & male factors	56%
				Male factor	25%		

## 2006 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by Peter G. McGovern, MD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman			
	<35	35–37	38–40	41–42 <sup>d</sup>
<b>Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs</b>				
Number of cycles	96	47	42	15
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies <sup>b</sup>	63.5	48.9	33.3	3 / 15
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	51.0	42.6	26.2	3 / 15
(Confidence Interval)	(40.6–61.4)	(28.3–57.8)	(13.9–42.0)	
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	53.3	46.5	26.8	3 / 12
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	53.3	47.6	27.5	3 / 12
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births <sup>b</sup>	37.0	28.6	25.0	3 / 12
Percentage of cancellations <sup>b</sup>	4.2	8.5	2.4	3 / 15
Average number of embryos transferred	2.2	2.5	2.7	2.7
Percentage of pregnancies with twins <sup>b</sup>	29.5	39.1	3 / 14	1 / 3
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more <sup>b</sup>	4.9	4.3	0 / 14	0 / 3
Percentage of live births having multiple infants <sup>b,c</sup>	30.6	40.0	1 / 11	0 / 3
<b>Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs</b>				
Number of transfers	8	8	1	2
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	4 / 8	2 / 8	0 / 1	1 / 2
Average number of embryos transferred	3.3	3.1	4.0	4.0
<b>All Ages Combined<sup>e</sup></b>				
<b>Donor Eggs</b>	<b>Fresh Embryos</b>		<b>Frozen Embryos</b>	
	12		1	
Number of transfers	4 / 12		0 / 1	
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	3.3		2.0	
Average number of embryos transferred				

## CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

**Current Name:** University Reproductive Associates, PC

Donor egg?	Yes	Gestational carriers?	Yes	SART member?	Yes
Donor embryo?	No	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	Yes
Single women?	Yes			(See Appendix C for details.)	

<sup>a</sup> Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2006 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.

<sup>b</sup> When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.

<sup>c</sup> A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.

<sup>d</sup> Clinic-specific outcome rates are unreliable for women older than 42 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs. Readers are urged to review national outcomes for these age groups (see page 27).

<sup>e</sup> All ages (including ages >42) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.

## SHORE INSTITUTE FOR REPRODUCTIVE MEDICINE LAKEWOOD, NEW JERSEY

**A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 79–88.**

### 2006 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART <sup>a</sup>				Patient Diagnosis	
IVF	100%	<b>Procedural Factors:</b>		Tubal factor	12%
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	23%	Ovulatory dysfunction	6%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	0%	Diminished ovarian reserve	<1%
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	0%	Endometriosis	9%
				Uterine factor	4%
				Male factor	31%
				Other factor	11%
				Unknown factor	8%
				<b>Multiple Factors:</b>	
				Female factors only	15%
				Female & male factors	4%

### 2006 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by Allen Morgan, MD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman			
	<35	35–37	38–40	41–42 <sup>d</sup>
<b>Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs</b>				
Number of cycles	37	16	21	5
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies <sup>b</sup>	51.4	5 / 16	33.3	1 / 5
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	40.5	5 / 16	23.8	0 / 5
(Confidence Interval)	(24.8–57.9)		(8.2–47.2)	
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	42.9	5 / 13	5 / 16	0 / 4
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	45.5	5 / 13	5 / 14	0 / 4
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births <sup>b</sup>	30.3	3 / 13	3 / 14	0 / 4
Percentage of cancellations <sup>b</sup>	5.4	3 / 16	23.8	1 / 5
Average number of embryos transferred	2.5	2.8	3.1	2.8
Percentage of pregnancies with twins <sup>b</sup>	3 / 19	1 / 5	2 / 7	0 / 1
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more <sup>b</sup>	3 / 19	1 / 5	1 / 7	0 / 1
Percentage of live births having multiple infants <sup>b,c</sup>	5 / 15	2 / 5	2 / 5	
<b>Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs</b>				
Number of transfers	7	4	3	0
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	2 / 7	1 / 4	0 / 3	
Average number of embryos transferred	2.3	3.3	2.0	
<b>All Ages Combined<sup>e</sup></b>				
<b>Donor Eggs</b>	<b>Fresh Embryos</b>		<b>Frozen Embryos</b>	
	2		3	
	1 / 2		1 / 3	
	3.0		2.3	

### CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

**Current Name:** Shore Institute for Reproductive Medicine

Donor egg?	Yes	Gestational carriers?	Yes	SART member?	Yes
Donor embryo?	Yes	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	Yes
Single women?	Yes			(See Appendix C for details.)	

<sup>a</sup> Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2006 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.

<sup>b</sup> When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.

<sup>c</sup> A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.

<sup>d</sup> Clinic-specific outcome rates are unreliable for women older than 42 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs. Readers are urged to review national outcomes for these age groups (see page 27).

<sup>e</sup> All ages (including ages >42) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.

# DELAWARE VALLEY OBGYN AND INFERTILITY GROUP

## PRINCETON IVF

### LAWRENCEVILLE, NEW JERSEY

A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 79–88.

#### 2006 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART <sup>a</sup>			Patient Diagnosis	
IVF	100%	<b>Procedural Factors:</b>	Tubal factor	12%
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	Ovulatory dysfunction	7%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	Diminished ovarian reserve	7%
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	Endometriosis	<1%
			Uterine factor	0%
			Male factor	6%
			Other factor	2%
			Unknown factor	10%
			<b>Multiple Factors:</b>	
			Female factors only	24%
			Female & male factors	31%

#### 2006 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by Seth G. Derman, MD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman			
	<35	35–37	38–40	41–42 <sup>d</sup>
<b>Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs</b>				
Number of cycles	44	22	21	19
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies <sup>b</sup>	31.8	31.8	38.1	3 / 19
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	25.0	27.3	28.6	1 / 19
(Confidence Interval)	(13.2–40.3)	(10.7–50.2)	(11.3–52.2)	
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	26.8	28.6	6 / 19	1 / 17
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	29.7	28.6	6 / 19	1 / 16
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births <sup>b</sup>	24.3	23.8	5 / 19	1 / 16
Percentage of cancellations <sup>b</sup>	6.8	4.5	9.5	2 / 19
Average number of embryos transferred	2.4	3.1	3.2	3.1
Percentage of pregnancies with twins <sup>b</sup>	4 / 14	3 / 7	1 / 8	1 / 3
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more <sup>b</sup>	0 / 14	1 / 7	1 / 8	0 / 3
Percentage of live births having multiple infants <sup>b,c</sup>	2 / 11	1 / 6	1 / 6	0 / 1
<b>Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs</b>				
Number of transfers	15	4	4	1
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	4 / 15	1 / 4	0 / 4	0 / 1
Average number of embryos transferred	2.7	2.3	2.0	5.0
<b>All Ages Combined<sup>e</sup></b>				
<b>Donor Eggs</b>	<b>Fresh Embryos</b>		<b>Frozen Embryos</b>	
	5		2	
Number of transfers	2 / 5		1 / 2	
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	2.0		2.5	
Average number of embryos transferred				

#### CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

**Current Name:** Delaware Valley OBGYN and Infertility Group, Princeton IVF

Donor egg?	Yes	Gestational carriers?	Yes	SART member?	Yes
Donor embryo?	Yes	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	Yes
Single women?	Yes			(See Appendix C for details.)	

<sup>a</sup> Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2006 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.

<sup>b</sup> When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.

<sup>c</sup> A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.

<sup>d</sup> Clinic-specific outcome rates are unreliable for women older than 42 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs. Readers are urged to review national outcomes for these age groups (see page 27).

<sup>e</sup> All ages (including ages >42) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.

# PRINCETON CENTER FOR INFERTILITY & REPRODUCTIVE MEDICINE

## LAWRENCEVILLE, NEW JERSEY

A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 79–88.

### 2006 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART <sup>a</sup>				Patient Diagnosis			
IVF	100%	<b>Procedural Factors:</b>		Tubal factor	25%	Other factor	0%
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	47%	Ovulatory dysfunction	8%	Unknown factor	18%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	0%	Diminished ovarian reserve	13%	<b>Multiple Factors:</b>	
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	0%	Endometriosis	0%	Female factors only	1%
				Uterine factor	0%	Female & male factors	12%
				Male factor	23%		

### 2006 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by Althea M. O'Shaughnessy, MD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman			
	<35	35–37	38–40	41–42 <sup>d</sup>
<b>Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs</b>				
Number of cycles	16	9	11	5
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies <sup>b</sup>	9 / 16	5 / 9	3 / 11	0 / 5
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup> (Confidence Interval)	9 / 16	4 / 9	3 / 11	0 / 5
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	9 / 16	4 / 8	3 / 9	0 / 5
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	9 / 16	4 / 5	3 / 9	0 / 5
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births <sup>b</sup>	7 / 16	3 / 5	2 / 9	0 / 5
Percentage of cancellations <sup>b</sup>	0 / 16	1 / 9	2 / 11	0 / 5
Average number of embryos transferred	2.1	2.6	2.8	2.8
Percentage of pregnancies with twins <sup>b</sup>	2 / 9	2 / 5	1 / 3	
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more <sup>b</sup>	1 / 9	0 / 5	0 / 3	
Percentage of live births having multiple infants <sup>b,c</sup>	2 / 9	1 / 4	1 / 3	
<b>Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs</b>				
Number of transfers	7	6	2	3
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	2 / 7	1 / 6	1 / 2	1 / 3
Average number of embryos transferred	2.3	2.8	2.5	3.0
<b>All Ages Combined<sup>e</sup></b>				
<b>Donor Eggs</b>	<b>Fresh Embryos</b>		<b>Frozen Embryos</b>	
	4		4	
	2 / 4		2 / 4	
	2.0		1.8	

### CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

**Current Name:** Princeton Center for Infertility & Reproductive Medicine

Donor egg?	Yes	Gestational carriers?	Yes	SART member?	Yes
Donor embryo?	No	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	Yes
Single women?	Yes			(See Appendix C for details.)	

<sup>a</sup> Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2006 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.

<sup>b</sup> When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.

<sup>c</sup> A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.

<sup>d</sup> Clinic-specific outcome rates are unreliable for women older than 42 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs. Readers are urged to review national outcomes for these age groups (see page 27).

<sup>e</sup> All ages (including ages >42) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.



## EAST COAST INFERTILITY AND IVF LITTLE SILVER, NEW JERSEY

A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 79–88.

### 2006 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART <sup>a</sup>			Patient Diagnosis	
IVF	100%	<b>Procedural Factors:</b>	Tubal factor	4%
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	Ovulatory dysfunction	4%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	Diminished ovarian reserve	9%
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	Endometriosis	16%
			Uterine factor	<1%
			Male factor	15%
			Other factor	4%
			Unknown factor	<1%
			<b>Multiple Factors:</b>	
			Female factors only	13%
			Female & male factors	34%

### 2006 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by Miguel Damien, MD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman			
	<35	35–37	38–40	41–42 <sup>d</sup>
<b>Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs</b>				
Number of cycles	56	46	43	20
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies <sup>b</sup>	41.1	45.7	30.2	20.0
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	32.1	39.1	25.6	15.0
(Confidence Interval)	(20.3–46.0)	(25.1–54.6)	(13.5–41.2)	(3.2–37.9)
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	36.0	42.9	28.2	3 / 19
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	42.9	48.6	36.7	3 / 14
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births <sup>b</sup>	21.4	40.5	23.3	2 / 14
Percentage of cancellations <sup>b</sup>	10.7	8.7	9.3	5.0
Average number of embryos transferred	2.3	2.8	3.0	3.2
Percentage of pregnancies with twins <sup>b</sup>	39.1	19.0	4 / 13	1 / 4
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more <sup>b</sup>	4.3	0.0	0 / 13	0 / 4
Percentage of live births having multiple infants <sup>b,c</sup>	9 / 18	3 / 18	4 / 11	1 / 3
<b>Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs</b>				
Number of transfers	5	6	4	0
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	1 / 5	2 / 6	0 / 4	
Average number of embryos transferred	2.8	3.0	2.3	
<b>All Ages Combined<sup>e</sup></b>				
<b>Donor Eggs</b>	<b>Fresh Embryos</b>		<b>Frozen Embryos</b>	
	15		8	
	7 / 15		5 / 8	
	2.2		2.8	

### CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

**Current Name:** East Coast Infertility and IVF

Donor egg?	Yes	Gestational carriers?	Yes	SART member?	Yes
Donor embryo?	No	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	Yes
Single women?	Yes			(See Appendix C for details.)	

<sup>a</sup> Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2006 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.

<sup>b</sup> When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.

<sup>c</sup> A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.

<sup>d</sup> Clinic-specific outcome rates are unreliable for women older than 42 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs. Readers are urged to review national outcomes for these age groups (see page 27).

<sup>e</sup> All ages (including ages >42) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.



**INSTITUTE FOR REPRODUCTIVE MEDICINE AND SCIENCE  
SAINT BARNABAS MEDICAL CENTER  
LIVINGSTON, NEW JERSEY**

**A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 79–88.**

### 2006 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART <sup>a</sup>				Patient Diagnosis	
IVF	100%	<b>Procedural Factors:</b>		Tubal factor	4%
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	50%	Ovulatory dysfunction	2%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	0%	Diminished ovarian reserve	4%
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	<1%	Endometriosis	<1%
				Uterine factor	<1%
				Male factor	6%
				Other factor	21%
				Unknown factor	3%
				<b>Multiple Factors:</b>	
				Female factors only	28%
				Female & male factors	30%

### 2006 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by Margaret G. Garrisi, MD

Type of Cycle		Age of Woman			
		<35	35–37	38–40	41–42 <sup>d</sup>
Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of cycles		226	176	143	67
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies <sup>b</sup>		42.0	39.2	23.1	11.9
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>		31.9	36.9	16.8	6.0
(Confidence Interval)		(25.8–38.4)	(29.8–44.5)	(11.1–23.9)	(1.7–14.6)
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>		34.1	41.9	20.0	7.7
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>		38.5	47.8	24.2	12.9
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births <sup>b</sup>		28.3	38.2	17.2	12.9
Percentage of cancellations <sup>b</sup>		6.6	11.9	16.1	22.4
Average number of embryos transferred		2.1	2.3	2.6	2.5
Percentage of pregnancies with twins <sup>b</sup>		25.3	24.6	18.2	0 / 8
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more <sup>b</sup>		3.2	2.9	6.1	0 / 8
Percentage of live births having multiple infants <sup>b,c</sup>		26.4	20.0	29.2	0 / 4
Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs					
Number of transfers		56	29	15	1
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>		35.7	31.0	6 / 15	1 / 1
Average number of embryos transferred		2.1	2.0	1.9	1.0
All Ages Combined <sup>e</sup>					
Donor Eggs		Fresh Embryos		Frozen Embryos	
Number of transfers		56		21	
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>		51.8		28.6	
Average number of embryos transferred		2.1		1.9	

### CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

**Current Name:** Institute for Reproductive Medicine and Science, Saint Barnabas Medical Center

Donor egg?	Yes	Gestational carriers?	Yes	SART member?	Yes
Donor embryo?	Yes	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	Yes
Single women?	Yes			(See Appendix C for details.)	

<sup>a</sup> Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2006 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.

<sup>b</sup> When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.

<sup>c</sup> A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.

<sup>d</sup> Clinic-specific outcome rates are unreliable for women older than 42 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs. Readers are urged to review national outcomes for these age groups (see page 27).

<sup>e</sup> All ages (including ages >42) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.

## COOPER INSTITUTE FOR REPRODUCTIVE HORMONAL DISORDERS MARLTON, NEW JERSEY

A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 79–88.

### 2006 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART <sup>a</sup>				Patient Diagnosis			
IVF	100%	<b>Procedural Factors:</b>		Tubal factor	9%	Other factor	3%
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	43%	Ovulatory dysfunction	4%	Unknown factor	8%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	5%	Diminished ovarian reserve	28%	<i>Multiple Factors:</i>	
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	<1%	Endometriosis	2%	Female factors only	13%
				Uterine factor	<1%	Female & male factors	15%
				Male factor	18%		

### 2006 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by Jerome H. Check, MD, PhD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman			
	<35	35–37	38–40	41–42 <sup>d</sup>
<b>Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs</b>				
Number of cycles	255	185	244	174
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies <sup>b</sup>	28.2	23.2	15.6	9.2
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	23.5	19.5	11.9	5.7
(Confidence Interval)	(18.5–29.2)	(14.0–25.9)	(8.1–16.6)	(2.8–10.3)
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	27.5	24.8	17.3	8.4
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	35.7	30.5	22.3	12.3
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births <sup>b</sup>	23.2	20.3	18.5	11.1
Percentage of cancellations <sup>b</sup>	14.5	21.6	31.1	31.6
Average number of embryos transferred	2.4	2.5	2.4	2.3
Percentage of pregnancies with twins <sup>b</sup>	30.6	25.6	15.8	1 / 16
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more <sup>b</sup>	8.3	9.3	5.3	0 / 16
Percentage of live births having multiple infants <sup>b,c</sup>	35.0	33.3	17.2	1 / 10
<b>Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs</b>				
Number of transfers	120	59	23	14
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	30.0	25.4	26.1	6 / 14
Average number of embryos transferred	2.5	2.5	3.0	2.9
<b>All Ages Combined<sup>e</sup></b>				
<b>Donor Eggs</b>	<b>Fresh Embryos</b>		<b>Frozen Embryos</b>	
	98		117	
	46.9		33.3	
	2.5		2.7	

### CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

**Current Name:** Cooper Institute for Reproductive Hormonal Disorders

Donor egg?	Yes	Gestational carriers?	Yes	SART member?	Yes
Donor embryo?	Yes	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	Yes
Single women?	Yes			(See Appendix C for details.)	

<sup>a</sup> Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2006 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.

<sup>b</sup> When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.

<sup>c</sup> A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.

<sup>d</sup> Clinic-specific outcome rates are unreliable for women older than 42 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs. Readers are urged to review national outcomes for these age groups (see page 27).

<sup>e</sup> All ages (including ages >42) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.

# DELAWARE VALLEY INSTITUTE OF FERTILITY AND GENETICS MARLTON, NEW JERSEY

A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 79–88.

## 2006 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART <sup>a</sup>				Patient Diagnosis			
IVF	100%	<b>Procedural Factors:</b>		Tubal factor	0%	Other factor	0%
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	61%	Ovulatory dysfunction	<1%	Unknown factor	0%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	0%	Diminished ovarian reserve	0%	<b>Multiple Factors:</b>	
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	0%	Endometriosis	0%	Female factors only	16%
				Uterine factor	0%	Female & male factors	84%
				Male factor	0%		

## 2006 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by George S. Taliadouros, MD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman			
	<35	35–37	38–40	41–42 <sup>d</sup>
<b>Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs</b>				
Number of cycles	77	18	21	7
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies <sup>b</sup>	49.4	12 / 18	52.4	0 / 7
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	40.3	11 / 18	33.3	0 / 7
(Confidence Interval)	(29.2–52.1)		(14.6–57.0)	
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	45.6	11 / 18	7 / 17	0 / 5
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	50.0	11 / 18	7 / 15	0 / 5
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births <sup>b</sup>	33.9	6 / 18	5 / 15	0 / 5
Percentage of cancellations <sup>b</sup>	11.7	0 / 18	19.0	2 / 7
Average number of embryos transferred	2.5	3.2	3.8	3.4
Percentage of pregnancies with twins <sup>b</sup>	26.3	5 / 12	3 / 11	
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more <sup>b</sup>	7.9	1 / 12	1 / 11	
Percentage of live births having multiple infants <sup>b,c</sup>	32.3	5 / 11	2 / 7	
<b>Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs</b>				
Number of transfers	9	2	2	0
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	1 / 9	0 / 2	0 / 2	
Average number of embryos transferred	1.9	2.5	2.0	
<b>All Ages Combined<sup>e</sup></b>				
<b>Donor Eggs</b>	<b>Fresh Embryos</b>		<b>Frozen Embryos</b>	
	2		1	
	1 / 2		0 / 1	
	2.5		1.0	

## CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

**Current Name:** Delaware Valley Institute of Fertility and Genetics

Donor egg?	Yes	Gestational carriers?	Yes	SART member?	Yes
Donor embryo?	No	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	Yes
Single women?	Yes			(See Appendix C for details.)	

<sup>a</sup> Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2006 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.

<sup>b</sup> When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.

<sup>c</sup> A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.

<sup>d</sup> Clinic-specific outcome rates are unreliable for women older than 42 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs. Readers are urged to review national outcomes for these age groups (see page 27).

<sup>e</sup> All ages (including ages >42) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.

## SOUTH JERSEY FERTILITY CENTER MARLTON, NEW JERSEY

A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 79–88.

### 2006 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART <sup>a</sup>				Patient Diagnosis			
IVF	100%	<b>Procedural Factors:</b>		Tubal factor	16%	Other factor	2%
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	58%	Ovulatory dysfunction	7%	Unknown factor	5%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	0%	Diminished ovarian reserve	8%	<i>Multiple Factors:</i>	
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	0%	Endometriosis	2%	Female factors only	25%
				Uterine factor	<1%	Female & male factors	17%
				Male factor	18%		

### 2006 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by Robert A. Skaf, MD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman			
	<35	35–37	38–40	41–42 <sup>d</sup>
<b>Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs</b>				
Number of cycles	189	82	74	15
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies <sup>b</sup>	45.5	28.0	28.4	4 / 15
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	37.6	22.0	18.9	4 / 15
(Confidence Interval)	(30.6–44.9)	(13.6–32.5)	(10.7–29.7)	
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	39.7	24.0	21.2	4 / 13
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	40.8	24.3	21.9	4 / 11
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births <sup>b</sup>	27.6	18.9	14.1	4 / 11
Percentage of cancellations <sup>b</sup>	5.3	8.5	10.8	2 / 15
Average number of embryos transferred	2.2	2.4	2.9	4.3
Percentage of pregnancies with twins <sup>b</sup>	33.7	26.1	19.0	0 / 4
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more <sup>b</sup>	3.5	4.3	9.5	0 / 4
Percentage of live births having multiple infants <sup>b,c</sup>	32.4	4 / 18	5 / 14	0 / 4
<b>Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs</b>				
Number of transfers	38	19	15	5
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	23.7	4 / 19	3 / 15	2 / 5
Average number of embryos transferred	2.4	2.3	3.3	3.2
<b>All Ages Combined<sup>e</sup></b>				
<b>Donor Eggs</b>	<b>Fresh Embryos</b>		<b>Frozen Embryos</b>	
	Number of transfers		17	
	Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>		12	
	Average number of embryos transferred		0 / 12	
	11 / 17		2.3	

### CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

**Current Name:** South Jersey Fertility Center

Donor egg?	Yes	Gestational carriers?	Yes	SART member?	Yes
Donor embryo?	Yes	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	Yes
Single women?	Yes			(See Appendix C for details.)	

<sup>a</sup> Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2006 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.

<sup>b</sup> When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.

<sup>c</sup> A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.

<sup>d</sup> Clinic-specific outcome rates are unreliable for women older than 42 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs. Readers are urged to review national outcomes for these age groups (see page 27).

<sup>e</sup> All ages (including ages >42) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.

# DIAMOND INSTITUTE FOR INFERTILITY MILLBURN, NEW JERSEY

A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 79–88.

## 2006 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART <sup>a</sup>				Patient Diagnosis			
IVF	100%	<b>Procedural Factors:</b>		Tubal factor	16%	Other factor	<1%
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	65%	Ovulatory dysfunction	8%	Unknown factor	9%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	0%	Diminished ovarian reserve	23%	<i>Multiple Factors:</i>	
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	0%	Endometriosis	3%	Female factors only	20%
				Uterine factor	<1%	Female & male factors	14%
				Male factor	5%		

## 2006 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by Arie Birkenfeld, MD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman			
	<35	35–37	38–40	41–42 <sup>d</sup>
<b>Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs</b>				
Number of cycles	121	89	69	23
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies <sup>b</sup>	43.8	37.1	26.1	21.7
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	40.5	29.2	10.1	17.4
(Confidence Interval)	(31.7–49.8)	(20.1–39.8)	(4.2–19.8)	(5.0–38.8)
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	45.0	31.7	11.7	4 / 18
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	45.4	32.9	13.2	4 / 18
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births <sup>b</sup>	25.0	22.8	9.4	4 / 18
Percentage of cancellations <sup>b</sup>	9.9	7.9	13.0	21.7
Average number of embryos transferred	2.1	2.3	2.8	3.3
Percentage of pregnancies with twins <sup>b</sup>	47.2	36.4	3 / 18	0 / 5
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more <sup>b</sup>	1.9	0.0	0 / 18	0 / 5
Percentage of live births having multiple infants <sup>b,c</sup>	44.9	30.8	2 / 7	0 / 4
<b>Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs</b>				
Number of transfers	27	10	15	4
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	40.7	2 / 10	4 / 15	1 / 4
Average number of embryos transferred	2.0	2.0	2.3	1.3
<b>All Ages Combined<sup>e</sup></b>				
<b>Donor Eggs</b>	<b>Fresh Embryos</b>		<b>Frozen Embryos</b>	
	27		18	
	44.4		7 / 18	
	2.0		2.1	

## CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

**Current Name:** Diamond Institute for Infertility

Donor egg?	Yes	Gestational carriers?	Yes	SART member?	Yes
Donor embryo?	Yes	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	Yes
Single women?	Yes			(See Appendix C for details.)	

<sup>a</sup> Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2006 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.

<sup>b</sup> When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.

<sup>c</sup> A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.

<sup>d</sup> Clinic-specific outcome rates are unreliable for women older than 42 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs. Readers are urged to review national outcomes for these age groups (see page 27).

<sup>e</sup> All ages (including ages >42) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.

## REPRODUCTIVE MEDICINE ASSOCIATES OF NEW JERSEY MORRISTOWN, NEW JERSEY

A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 79–88.

### 2006 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART <sup>a</sup>				Patient Diagnosis			
IVF	100%	<b>Procedural Factors:</b>		Tubal factor	7%	Other factor	20%
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	57%	Ovulatory dysfunction	10%	Unknown factor	0%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	0%	Diminished ovarian reserve	6%	<i>Multiple Factors:</i>	
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	2%	Endometriosis	3%	Female factors only	18%
				Uterine factor	2%	Female & male factors	21%
				Male factor	15%		

### 2006 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by Michael R. Drews, MD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman			
	<35	35–37	38–40	41–42 <sup>d</sup>
<b>Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs</b>				
Number of cycles	790	484	398	204
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies <sup>b</sup>	54.2	44.4	31.9	23.5
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	47.0	37.0	24.9	11.3
(Confidence Interval)	(43.4–50.5)	(32.7–41.5)	(20.7–29.4)	(7.3–16.4)
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	49.9	41.7	29.7	14.5
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	53.8	46.0	33.1	16.3
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births <sup>b</sup>	32.5	31.9	26.8	14.9
Percentage of cancellations <sup>b</sup>	5.9	11.4	16.3	22.1
Average number of embryos transferred	2.3	2.6	3.0	3.2
Percentage of pregnancies with twins <sup>b</sup>	36.2	27.9	15.7	16.7
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more <sup>b</sup>	5.1	6.5	4.7	0.0
Percentage of live births having multiple infants <sup>b,c</sup>	39.6	30.7	19.2	8.7
<b>Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs</b>				
Number of transfers	179	96	42	23
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	38.5	32.3	28.6	21.7
Average number of embryos transferred	2.0	2.2	2.1	2.2
<b>All Ages Combined<sup>e</sup></b>				
<b>Donor Eggs</b>	<b>Fresh Embryos</b>		<b>Frozen Embryos</b>	
	190		115	
	55.3		30.4	
	2.3		2.3	

### CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

**Current Name:** Reproductive Medicine Associates of New Jersey

Donor egg?	Yes	Gestational carriers?	Yes	SART member?	Yes
Donor embryo?	Yes	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	Yes
Single women?	Yes			(See Appendix C for details.)	

<sup>a</sup> Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2006 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.

<sup>b</sup> When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.

<sup>c</sup> A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.

<sup>d</sup> Clinic-specific outcome rates are unreliable for women older than 42 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs. Readers are urged to review national outcomes for these age groups (see page 27).

<sup>e</sup> All ages (including ages >42) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.



## VALLEY HOSPITAL FERTILITY CENTER PARAMUS, NEW JERSEY

**A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 79–88.**

### 2006 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART <sup>a</sup>				Patient Diagnosis			
IVF	100%	<b>Procedural Factors:</b>		Tubal factor	7%	Other factor	5%
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	35%	Ovulatory dysfunction	6%	Unknown factor	21%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	0%	Diminished ovarian reserve	8%	<b>Multiple Factors:</b>	
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	0%	Endometriosis	1%	Female factors only	7%
				Uterine factor	2%	Female & male factors	25%
				Male factor	18%		

### 2006 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by Ali Nasser, MD, PhD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman			
	<35	35–37	38–40	41–42 <sup>d</sup>
<b>Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs</b>				
Number of cycles	63	45	32	18
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies <sup>b</sup>	60.3	44.4	28.1	7 / 18
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	54.0	35.6	28.1	5 / 18
(Confidence Interval)	(40.9–66.6)	(21.9–51.2)	(13.7–46.7)	
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	56.7	41.0	45.0	5 / 14
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	56.7	42.1	45.0	5 / 14
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births <sup>b</sup>	30.0	26.3	40.0	3 / 14
Percentage of cancellations <sup>b</sup>	4.8	13.3	37.5	4 / 18
Average number of embryos transferred	2.3	2.5	2.8	3.1
Percentage of pregnancies with twins <sup>b</sup>	57.9	45.0	1 / 9	2 / 7
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more <sup>b</sup>	5.3	0.0	0 / 9	0 / 7
Percentage of live births having multiple infants <sup>b,c</sup>	47.1	6 / 16	1 / 9	2 / 5
<b>Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs</b>				
Number of transfers	4	2	0	0
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	1 / 4	1 / 2		
Average number of embryos transferred	2.8	2.0		
<b>All Ages Combined<sup>e</sup></b>				
<b>Donor Eggs</b>	<b>Fresh Embryos</b>		<b>Frozen Embryos</b>	
	0		0	
	Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>			
	Average number of embryos transferred			

### CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

**Current Name:** Valley Hospital Fertility Center

Donor egg?	No	Gestational carriers?	No	SART member?	Yes
Donor embryo?	No	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	Yes
Single women?	Yes			(See Appendix C for details.)	

<sup>a</sup> Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2006 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.

<sup>b</sup> When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.

<sup>c</sup> A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.

<sup>d</sup> Clinic-specific outcome rates are unreliable for women older than 42 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs. Readers are urged to review national outcomes for these age groups (see page 27).

<sup>e</sup> All ages (including ages >42) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.



## IVF NEW JERSEY SOMERSET, NEW JERSEY

A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 79–88.

### 2006 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART <sup>a</sup>				Patient Diagnosis			
IVF	100%	<b>Procedural Factors:</b>		Tubal factor	6%	Other factor	7%
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	26%	Ovulatory dysfunction	6%	Unknown factor	9%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	0%	Diminished ovarian reserve	19%	<i>Multiple Factors:</i>	
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	2%	Endometriosis	2%	Female factors only	16%
				Uterine factor	<1%	Female & male factors	22%
				Male factor	14%		

### 2006 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by Michael C. Darder, MD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman			
	<35	35–37	38–40	41–42 <sup>d</sup>
<b>Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs</b>				
Number of cycles	293	146	89	41
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies <sup>b</sup>	48.1	42.5	28.1	19.5
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	40.6	34.9	22.5	19.5
(Confidence Interval)	(34.9–46.5)	(27.2–43.3)	(14.3–32.6)	(8.8–34.9)
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	43.0	37.8	26.7	23.5
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	47.2	43.6	27.8	27.6
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births <sup>b</sup>	23.8	22.2	20.8	20.7
Percentage of cancellations <sup>b</sup>	5.5	7.5	15.7	17.1
Average number of embryos transferred	2.5	2.8	3.3	3.7
Percentage of pregnancies with twins <sup>b</sup>	46.1	41.9	28.0	2 / 8
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more <sup>b</sup>	7.1	12.9	8.0	1 / 8
Percentage of live births having multiple infants <sup>b,c</sup>	49.6	49.0	25.0	2 / 8
<b>Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs</b>				
Number of transfers	27	0	3	1
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	37.0		1 / 3	0 / 1
Average number of embryos transferred	2.1		2.0	1.0
<b>All Ages Combined<sup>e</sup></b>				
<b>Donor Eggs</b>	<b>Fresh Embryos</b>		<b>Frozen Embryos</b>	
	102		25	
	68.6		48.0	
	2.1		2.2	

### CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

**Current Name:** IVF New Jersey

Donor egg?	Yes	Gestational carriers?	Yes	SART member?	Yes
Donor embryo?	Yes	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	Yes
Single women?	Yes			(See Appendix C for details.)	

<sup>a</sup> Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2006 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.

<sup>b</sup> When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.

<sup>c</sup> A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.

<sup>d</sup> Clinic-specific outcome rates are unreliable for women older than 42 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs. Readers are urged to review national outcomes for these age groups (see page 27).

<sup>e</sup> All ages (including ages >42) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.

## REPRODUCTIVE SCIENCE CENTER OF NEW JERSEY TINTON FALLS, NEW JERSEY

**A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 79–88.**

### 2006 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART <sup>a</sup>				Patient Diagnosis	
IVF	100%	<b>Procedural Factors:</b>		Tubal factor	9%
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	53%	Ovulatory dysfunction	3%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	0%	Diminished ovarian reserve	11%
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	<1%	Endometriosis	2%
				Uterine factor	0%
				Male factor	17%
				Other factor	2%
				Unknown factor	<1%
				<b>Multiple Factors:</b>	
				Female factors only	25%
				Female & male factors	31%

### 2006 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by William Ziegler, DO

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman			
	<35	35–37	38–40	41–42 <sup>d</sup>
<b>Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs</b>				
Number of cycles	66	35	37	15
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies <sup>b</sup>	40.9	34.3	18.9	3 / 15
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	36.4	28.6	13.5	0 / 15
(Confidence Interval)	(24.9–49.1)	(14.6–46.3)	(4.5–28.8)	
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	42.1	37.0	17.9	0 / 11
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	47.1	38.5	20.0	0 / 10
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births <sup>b</sup>	27.5	38.5	16.0	0 / 10
Percentage of cancellations <sup>b</sup>	13.6	22.9	24.3	4 / 15
Average number of embryos transferred	2.1	2.4	2.7	2.6
Percentage of pregnancies with twins <sup>b</sup>	29.6	3 / 12	1 / 7	1 / 3
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more <sup>b</sup>	7.4	0 / 12	0 / 7	0 / 3
Percentage of live births having multiple infants <sup>b,c</sup>	41.7	0 / 10	1 / 5	
<b>Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs</b>				
Number of transfers	11	3	1	0
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	6 / 11	0 / 3	0 / 1	
Average number of embryos transferred	2.8	2.7	3.0	
<b>All Ages Combined<sup>e</sup></b>				
<b>Donor Eggs</b>	<b>Fresh Embryos</b>		<b>Frozen Embryos</b>	
	0		0	
	Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>			
	Average number of embryos transferred			

### CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

**Current Name:** Reproductive Science Center of New Jersey

Donor egg?	Yes	Gestational carriers?	Yes	SART member?	Yes
Donor embryo?	Yes	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	Pending
Single women?	Yes			(See Appendix C for details.)	

<sup>a</sup> Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2006 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.

<sup>b</sup> When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.

<sup>c</sup> A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.

<sup>d</sup> Clinic-specific outcome rates are unreliable for women older than 42 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs. Readers are urged to review national outcomes for these age groups (see page 27).

<sup>e</sup> All ages (including ages >42) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.

## DR. LOUIS R. MANARA VOORHEES, NEW JERSEY

**A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 79–88.**

### 2006 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART <sup>a</sup>			Patient Diagnosis	
IVF	100%	<b>Procedural Factors:</b>	Tubal factor	10%
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	Ovulatory dysfunction	4%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	Diminished ovarian reserve	9%
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	Endometriosis	5%
			Uterine factor	<1%
			Male factor	13%
			Other factor	2%
			Unknown factor	14%
			<b>Multiple Factors:</b>	
			Female factors only	10%
			Female & male factors	33%

### 2006 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by Louis R. Manara, DO

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman			
	<35	35–37	38–40	41–42 <sup>d</sup>
Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs				
Number of cycles	48	26	22	5
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies <sup>b</sup>	18.8	23.1	18.2	0 / 5
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	18.8	19.2	13.6	0 / 5
(Confidence Interval)	(8.9–32.6)	(6.6–39.4)	(2.9–34.9)	
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	20.9	5 / 16	3 / 14	0 / 3
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	21.4	5 / 16	3 / 12	0 / 2
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births <sup>b</sup>	14.3	5 / 16	3 / 12	0 / 2
Percentage of cancellations <sup>b</sup>	10.4	38.5	36.4	2 / 5
Average number of embryos transferred	2.6	3.1	3.4	2.5
Percentage of pregnancies with twins <sup>b</sup>	3 / 9	0 / 6	1 / 4	
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more <sup>b</sup>	0 / 9	0 / 6	0 / 4	
Percentage of live births having multiple infants <sup>b,c</sup>	3 / 9	0 / 5	0 / 3	
Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs				
Number of transfers	1	0	0	0
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	0 / 1			
Average number of embryos transferred	3.0			
All Ages Combined <sup>e</sup>				
Donor Eggs	Fresh Embryos		Frozen Embryos	
Number of transfers	0		0	
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>				
Average number of embryos transferred				

### CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

**Current Name:** Dr. Louis R. Manara, The Center for Reproductive Medicine & Fertility

Donor egg?	No	Gestational carriers?	No	SART member?	Yes
Donor embryo?	No	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	Pending
Single women?	Yes			(See Appendix C for details.)	

<sup>a</sup> Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2006 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.

<sup>b</sup> When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.

<sup>c</sup> A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.

<sup>d</sup> Clinic-specific outcome rates are unreliable for women older than 42 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs. Readers are urged to review national outcomes for these age groups (see page 27).

<sup>e</sup> All ages (including ages >42) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.

# NORTH JERSEY FERTILITY ASSOCIATES, LLC

## WAYNE, NEW JERSEY

**A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 79–88.**

### 2006 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART <sup>a</sup>			Patient Diagnosis	
IVF	100%	<b>Procedural Factors:</b>	Tubal factor	18%
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	Ovulatory dysfunction	0%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	Diminished ovarian reserve	8%
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	Endometriosis	6%
			Uterine factor	3%
			Male factor	18%
			Other factor	9%
			Unknown factor	9%
			<b>Multiple Factors:</b>	
			Female factors only	18%
			Female & male factors	10%

### 2006 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by Mark X. Ransom, MD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman			
	<35	35–37	38–40	41–42 <sup>d</sup>
<b>Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs</b>				
Number of cycles	43	27	32	6
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies <sup>b</sup>	51.2	55.6	25.0	3 / 6
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	48.8	40.7	18.8	2 / 6
(Confidence Interval)	(33.3–64.5)	(22.4–61.2)	(7.2–36.4)	
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	55.3	52.4	24.0	2 / 5
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	56.8	52.4	26.1	2 / 4
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births <sup>b</sup>	27.0	33.3	17.4	2 / 4
Percentage of cancellations <sup>b</sup>	11.6	22.2	21.9	1 / 6
Average number of embryos transferred	2.5	2.6	2.7	3.3
Percentage of pregnancies with twins <sup>b</sup>	50.0	5 / 15	1 / 8	0 / 3
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more <sup>b</sup>	4.5	0 / 15	1 / 8	0 / 3
Percentage of live births having multiple infants <sup>b,c</sup>	52.4	4 / 11	2 / 6	0 / 2
<b>Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs</b>				
Number of transfers	0	1	0	0
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>		0 / 1		
Average number of embryos transferred		2.0		
<b>All Ages Combined<sup>e</sup></b>				
<b>Donor Eggs</b>	<b>Fresh Embryos</b>		<b>Frozen Embryos</b>	
	7		1	
	3 / 7		0 / 1	
Number of transfers	2.3		2.0	
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>				
Average number of embryos transferred				

### CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

**Current Name:** North Jersey Fertility Associates, LLC

Donor egg?	Yes	Gestational carriers?	No	SART member?	Yes
Donor embryo?	Yes	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	Yes
Single women?	Yes			(See Appendix C for details.)	

<sup>a</sup> Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2006 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.

<sup>b</sup> When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.

<sup>c</sup> A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.

<sup>d</sup> Clinic-specific outcome rates are unreliable for women older than 42 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs. Readers are urged to review national outcomes for these age groups (see page 27).

<sup>e</sup> All ages (including ages >42) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.

# FERTILITY INSTITUTE OF NEW JERSEY AND NEW YORK WESTWOOD, NEW JERSEY

A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 79–88.

## 2006 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART <sup>a</sup>				Patient Diagnosis			
IVF	100%	<b>Procedural Factors:</b>		Tubal factor	3%	Other factor	6%
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	93%	Ovulatory dysfunction	6%	Unknown factor	<1%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	0%	Diminished ovarian reserve	18%	<i>Multiple Factors:</i>	
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	<1%	Endometriosis	<1%	Female factors only	21%
				Uterine factor	0%	Female & male factors	43%
				Male factor	2%		

## 2006 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by Daniel Navot, MD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman			
	<35	35–37	38–40	41–42 <sup>d</sup>
<b>Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs</b>				
Number of cycles	72	50	46	14
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies <sup>b</sup>	52.8	34.0	41.3	1 / 14
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	45.8	28.0	32.6	1 / 14
(Confidence Interval)	(34.0–58.0)	(16.2–42.5)	(19.5–48.0)	
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	46.5	29.8	34.9	1 / 12
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	48.5	36.8	38.5	1 / 10
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births <sup>b</sup>	38.2	28.9	30.8	1 / 10
Percentage of cancellations <sup>b</sup>	1.4	6.0	6.5	2 / 14
Average number of embryos transferred	2.3	2.9	3.1	2.7
Percentage of pregnancies with twins <sup>b</sup>	18.4	2 / 17	4 / 19	0 / 1
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more <sup>b</sup>	2.6	1 / 17	1 / 19	0 / 1
Percentage of live births having multiple infants <sup>b,c</sup>	21.2	3 / 14	3 / 15	0 / 1
<b>Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs</b>				
Number of transfers	24	4	6	3
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	33.3	1 / 4	2 / 6	0 / 3
Average number of embryos transferred	2.4	3.0	2.7	3.0
<b>All Ages Combined<sup>e</sup></b>				
<b>Donor Eggs</b>	<b>Fresh Embryos</b>		<b>Frozen Embryos</b>	
	13		6	
	7 / 13		1 / 6	
	2.7		2.2	

## CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

**Current Name:** Fertility Institute of New Jersey and New York

Donor egg?	Yes	Gestational carriers?	Yes	SART member?	Yes
Donor embryo?	Yes	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	Yes
Single women?	Yes			(See Appendix C for details.)	

<sup>a</sup> Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2006 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.

<sup>b</sup> When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.

<sup>c</sup> A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.

<sup>d</sup> Clinic-specific outcome rates are unreliable for women older than 42 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs. Readers are urged to review national outcomes for these age groups (see page 27).

<sup>e</sup> All ages (including ages >42) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.

# CENTER FOR REPRODUCTIVE MEDICINE OF NEW MEXICO

## ALBUQUERQUE, NEW MEXICO

**A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 79–88.**

### 2006 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART <sup>a</sup>				Patient Diagnosis			
IVF	100%	<b>Procedural Factors:</b>		Tubal factor	2%	Other factor	0%
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	71%	Ovulatory dysfunction	1%	Unknown factor	8%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	0%	Diminished ovarian reserve	4%	<i>Multiple Factors:</i>	
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	1%	Endometriosis	3%	Female factors only	15%
				Uterine factor	<1%	Female & male factors	49%
				Male factor	17%		

### 2006 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by Douglas J. Thompson, MD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman			
	<35	35–37	38–40	41–42 <sup>d</sup>
<b>Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs</b>				
Number of cycles	51	23	11	11
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies <sup>b</sup>	66.7	56.5	5 / 11	3 / 11
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	62.7	47.8	3 / 11	2 / 11
(Confidence Interval)	(48.1–75.9)	(26.8–69.4)		
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	66.7	11 / 19	3 / 10	2 / 10
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	66.7	11 / 18	3 / 10	2 / 10
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births <sup>b</sup>	37.5	7 / 18	2 / 10	2 / 10
Percentage of cancellations <sup>b</sup>	5.9	17.4	1 / 11	1 / 11
Average number of embryos transferred	2.3	2.4	2.9	3.5
Percentage of pregnancies with twins <sup>b</sup>	38.2	4 / 13	0 / 5	0 / 3
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more <sup>b</sup>	8.8	1 / 13	1 / 5	0 / 3
Percentage of live births having multiple infants <sup>b,c</sup>	43.8	4 / 11	1 / 3	0 / 2
<b>Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs</b>				
Number of transfers	11	2	4	2
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	6 / 11	1 / 2	1 / 4	0 / 2
Average number of embryos transferred	2.6	2.0	2.0	1.5
<b>All Ages Combined<sup>e</sup></b>				
<b>Donor Eggs</b>	<b>Fresh Embryos</b>		<b>Frozen Embryos</b>	
	29		20	
	72.4		35.0	
	2.1		2.8	

### CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

**Current Name:** Center for Reproductive Medicine of New Mexico

Donor egg?	Yes	Gestational carriers?	Yes	SART member?	Yes
Donor embryo?	Yes	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	Yes
Single women?	Yes			(See Appendix C for details.)	

<sup>a</sup> Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2006 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.

<sup>b</sup> When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.

<sup>c</sup> A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.

<sup>d</sup> Clinic-specific outcome rates are unreliable for women older than 42 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs. Readers are urged to review national outcomes for these age groups (see page 27).

<sup>e</sup> All ages (including ages >42) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.



## ALBANY IVF, FERTILITY AND GYNECOLOGY ALBANY, NEW YORK

A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 79–88.

### 2006 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART <sup>a</sup>				Patient Diagnosis			
IVF	100%	<b>Procedural Factors:</b>		Tubal factor	9%	Other factor	1%
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	82%	Ovulatory dysfunction	7%	Unknown factor	8%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	<1%	Diminished ovarian reserve	25%	<i>Multiple Factors:</i>	
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	0%	Endometriosis	2%	Female factors only	14%
				Uterine factor	0%	Female & male factors	12%
				Male factor	23%		

### 2006 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by Peter M. Horvath, MD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman			
	<35	35–37	38–40	41–42 <sup>d</sup>
<b>Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs</b>				
Number of cycles	109	55	48	5
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies <sup>b</sup>	40.4	36.4	29.2	2 / 5
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	33.0	34.5	20.8	2 / 5
(Confidence Interval)	(24.3–42.7)	(22.2–48.6)	(10.5–35.0)	
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	38.7	42.2	27.8	2 / 5
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	46.8	47.5	38.5	2 / 4
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births <sup>b</sup>	32.5	40.0	34.6	2 / 4
Percentage of cancellations <sup>b</sup>	14.7	18.2	25.0	0 / 5
Average number of embryos transferred	2.4	2.5	2.7	3.3
Percentage of pregnancies with twins <sup>b</sup>	25.0	15.0	2 / 14	0 / 2
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more <sup>b</sup>	4.5	5.0	0 / 14	0 / 2
Percentage of live births having multiple infants <sup>b,c</sup>	30.6	3 / 19	1 / 10	0 / 2
<b>Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs</b>				
Number of transfers	8	8	5	1
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	2 / 8	0 / 8	0 / 5	0 / 1
Average number of embryos transferred	2.6	3.3	2.0	3.0
<b>All Ages Combined<sup>e</sup></b>				
<b>Donor Eggs</b>	<b>Fresh Embryos</b>		<b>Frozen Embryos</b>	
Number of transfers	15		4	
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	6 / 15		3 / 4	
Average number of embryos transferred	2.5		4.0	

### CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

**Current Name:** Albany IVF, Fertility and Gynecology

Donor egg?	Yes	Gestational carriers?	No	SART member?	Yes
Donor embryo?	No	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	Yes
Single women?	Yes			(See Appendix C for details.)	

<sup>a</sup> Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2006 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.

<sup>b</sup> When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.

<sup>c</sup> A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.

<sup>d</sup> Clinic-specific outcome rates are unreliable for women older than 42 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs. Readers are urged to review national outcomes for these age groups (see page 27).

<sup>e</sup> All ages (including ages >42) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.



# THE FERTILITY INSTITUTE AT NEW YORK METHODIST HOSPITAL BROOKLYN, NEW YORK

A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 79–88.

## 2006 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART <sup>a</sup>				Patient Diagnosis			
IVF	100%	<b>Procedural Factors:</b>		Tubal factor	23%	Other factor	4%
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	83%	Ovulatory dysfunction	<1%	Unknown factor	2%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	<1%	Diminished ovarian reserve	9%	<i>Multiple Factors:</i>	
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	16%	Endometriosis	10%	Female factors only	37%
				Uterine factor	<1%	Female & male factors	12%
				Male factor	3%		

## 2006 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by George D. Kofinas, MD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman			
	<35	35–37	38–40	41–42 <sup>d</sup>
<b>Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs</b>				
Number of cycles	91	68	63	49
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies <sup>b</sup>	31.9	32.4	20.6	12.2
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	25.3	23.5	9.5	4.1
(Confidence Interval)	(16.7–35.5)	(14.1–35.4)	(3.6–19.6)	(0.5–14.0)
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	28.0	26.2	12.0	4.8
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	29.9	26.7	12.2	4.9
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births <sup>b</sup>	19.5	16.7	8.2	4.9
Percentage of cancellations <sup>b</sup>	9.9	10.3	20.6	14.3
Average number of embryos transferred	3.6	3.8	4.1	4.1
Percentage of pregnancies with twins <sup>b</sup>	34.5	22.7	1 / 13	0 / 6
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more <sup>b</sup>	3.4	4.5	1 / 13	0 / 6
Percentage of live births having multiple infants <sup>b,c</sup>	34.8	6 / 16	2 / 6	0 / 2
<b>Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs</b>				
Number of transfers	24	17	11	5
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	41.7	7 / 17	1 / 11	1 / 5
Average number of embryos transferred	3.3	3.4	3.2	4.0
<b>All Ages Combined<sup>e</sup></b>				
<b>Donor Eggs</b>	<b>Fresh Embryos</b>		<b>Frozen Embryos</b>	
	Number of transfers		43	
	Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>		37.2	
	Average number of embryos transferred		3.5	

## CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

**Current Name:** The Fertility Institute at New York Methodist Hospital

Donor egg?	Yes	Gestational carriers?	No	SART member?	Yes
Donor embryo?	Yes	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	Yes
Single women?	Yes			(See Appendix C for details.)	

<sup>a</sup> Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2006 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.

<sup>b</sup> When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.

<sup>c</sup> A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.

<sup>d</sup> Clinic-specific outcome rates are unreliable for women older than 42 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs. Readers are urged to review national outcomes for these age groups (see page 27).

<sup>e</sup> All ages (including ages >42) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.

## GENESIS FERTILITY & REPRODUCTIVE MEDICINE BROOKLYN, NEW YORK

A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 79–88.

### 2006 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART <sup>a</sup>				Patient Diagnosis			
IVF	100%	<b>Procedural Factors:</b>		Tubal factor	12%	Other factor	8%
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	49%	Ovulatory dysfunction	3%	Unknown factor	10%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	0%	Diminished ovarian reserve	13%	<b>Multiple Factors:</b>	
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	0%	Endometriosis	2%	Female factors only	5%
				Uterine factor	<1%	Female & male factors	18%
				Male factor	29%		

### 2006 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by Richard V. Grazi, MD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman			
	<35	35–37	38–40	41–42 <sup>d</sup>
<b>Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs</b>				
Number of cycles	201	91	76	22
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies <sup>b</sup>	38.8	26.4	21.1	4.5
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	34.3	23.1	17.1	4.5
(Confidence Interval)	(27.8–41.3)	(14.9–33.1)	(9.4–27.5)	(0.1–22.8)
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	39.4	28.4	22.0	1 / 17
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	41.8	30.0	24.1	1 / 17
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births <sup>b</sup>	31.5	20.0	20.4	1 / 17
Percentage of cancellations <sup>b</sup>	12.9	18.7	22.4	22.7
Average number of embryos transferred	2.4	2.7	3.3	3.6
Percentage of pregnancies with twins <sup>b</sup>	24.4	33.3	5 / 16	0 / 1
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more <sup>b</sup>	1.3	4.2	2 / 16	0 / 1
Percentage of live births having multiple infants <sup>b,c</sup>	24.6	33.3	2 / 13	0 / 1
<b>Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs</b>				
Number of transfers	37	12	8	1
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	29.7	1 / 12	2 / 8	0 / 1
Average number of embryos transferred	2.3	2.7	2.3	2.0
<b>All Ages Combined<sup>e</sup></b>				
<b>Donor Eggs</b>	<b>Fresh Embryos</b>		<b>Frozen Embryos</b>	
	27		10	
	48.1		4 / 10	
	2.2		2.4	

### CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

**Current Name:** Genesis Fertility & Reproductive Medicine

Donor egg?	Yes	Gestational carriers?	No	SART member?	Yes
Donor embryo?	No	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	Yes
Single women?	Yes			(See Appendix C for details.)	

<sup>a</sup> Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2006 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.

<sup>b</sup> When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.

<sup>c</sup> A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.

<sup>d</sup> Clinic-specific outcome rates are unreliable for women older than 42 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs. Readers are urged to review national outcomes for these age groups (see page 27).

<sup>e</sup> All ages (including ages >42) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.

## INFERTILITY & IVF MEDICAL ASSOCIATES OF WESTERN NEW YORK BUFFALO, NEW YORK

**A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 79–88.**

### 2006 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART <sup>a</sup>				Patient Diagnosis			
IVF	100%	<b>Procedural Factors:</b>		Tubal factor	20%	Other factor	<1%
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	54%	Ovulatory dysfunction	4%	Unknown factor	19%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	0%	Diminished ovarian reserve	7%	<i>Multiple Factors:</i>	
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	0%	Endometriosis	9%	Female factors only	9%
				Uterine factor	0%	Female & male factors	11%
				Male factor	21%		

### 2006 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by Michael W. Sullivan, MD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman			
	<35	35–37	38–40	41–42 <sup>d</sup>
<b>Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs</b>				
Number of cycles	157	93	40	20
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies <sup>b</sup>	31.8	22.6	15.0	25.0
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	28.7	18.3	12.5	10.0
(Confidence Interval)	(21.7–36.4)	(11.0–27.6)	(4.2–26.8)	(1.2–31.7)
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	38.5	26.2	16.7	2 / 12
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	39.5	28.3	17.2	2 / 12
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births <sup>b</sup>	28.1	20.0	17.2	2 / 12
Percentage of cancellations <sup>b</sup>	25.5	30.1	25.0	40.0
Average number of embryos transferred	2.3	2.5	2.9	3.2
Percentage of pregnancies with twins <sup>b</sup>	26.0	19.0	1 / 6	0 / 5
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more <sup>b</sup>	0.0	4.8	0 / 6	0 / 5
Percentage of live births having multiple infants <sup>b,c</sup>	28.9	5 / 17	0 / 5	0 / 2
<b>Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs</b>				
Number of transfers	22	15	5	0
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	31.8	9 / 15	1 / 5	
Average number of embryos transferred	1.9	2.2	1.8	
<b>All Ages Combined<sup>e</sup></b>				
<b>Donor Eggs</b>	<b>Fresh Embryos</b>		<b>Frozen Embryos</b>	
	8		4	
	4 / 8		1 / 4	
	2.3		2.0	

### CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

**Current Name:** Infertility & IVF Medical Associates of Western New York

Donor egg?	Yes	Gestational carriers?	No	SART member?	Yes
Donor embryo?	No	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	Yes
Single women?	Yes			(See Appendix C for details.)	

<sup>a</sup> Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2006 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.

<sup>b</sup> When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.

<sup>c</sup> A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.

<sup>d</sup> Clinic-specific outcome rates are unreliable for women older than 42 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs. Readers are urged to review national outcomes for these age groups (see page 27).

<sup>e</sup> All ages (including ages >42) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.

# DIVISION OF REPRODUCTIVE ENDOCRINOLOGY SUNY STONY BROOK EAST SETAUKET, NEW YORK

**A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 79–88.**

## 2006 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART <sup>a</sup>				Patient Diagnosis			
IVF	100%	<b>Procedural Factors:</b>		Tubal factor	5%	Other factor	0%
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	46%	Ovulatory dysfunction	15%	Unknown factor	3%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	0%	Diminished ovarian reserve	0%	<b>Multiple Factors:</b>	
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	0%	Endometriosis	2%	Female factors only	23%
				Uterine factor	2%	Female & male factors	33%
				Male factor	17%		

## 2006 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by Richard A. Bronson, MD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman			
	<35	35–37	38–40	41–42 <sup>d</sup>
<b>Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs</b>				
Number of cycles	10	13	15	0
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies <sup>b</sup>	2 / 10	5 / 13	3 / 15	
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	2 / 10	5 / 13	2 / 15	
(Confidence Interval)				
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	2 / 9	5 / 10	2 / 12	
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	2 / 7	5 / 10	2 / 11	
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births <sup>b</sup>	1 / 7	4 / 10	1 / 11	
Percentage of cancellations <sup>b</sup>	1 / 10	3 / 13	3 / 15	
Average number of embryos transferred	2.1	2.4	2.9	
Percentage of pregnancies with twins <sup>b</sup>	1 / 2	2 / 5	0 / 3	
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more <sup>b</sup>	0 / 2	0 / 5	1 / 3	
Percentage of live births having multiple infants <sup>b,c</sup>	1 / 2	1 / 5	1 / 2	
<b>Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs</b>				
Number of transfers	10	4	4	1
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	2 / 10	1 / 4	1 / 4	0 / 1
Average number of embryos transferred	2.1	2.0	3.0	3.0
<b>All Ages Combined<sup>e</sup></b>				
<b>Donor Eggs</b>	<b>Fresh Embryos</b>		<b>Frozen Embryos</b>	
Number of transfers	0		0	
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>				
Average number of embryos transferred				

## CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

**Current Name:** Division of Reproductive Endocrinology, SUNY Stony Brook

Donor egg?	No	Gestational carriers?	No	SART member?	Yes
Donor embryo?	No	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	Yes
Single women?	No			(See Appendix C for details.)	

<sup>a</sup> Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2006 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.

<sup>b</sup> When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.

<sup>c</sup> A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.

<sup>d</sup> Clinic-specific outcome rates are unreliable for women older than 42 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs. Readers are urged to review national outcomes for these age groups (see page 27).

<sup>e</sup> All ages (including ages >42) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.

## THE NEW YORK FERTILITY CENTER FLUSHING, NEW YORK

A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 79–88.

### 2006 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART <sup>a</sup>				Patient Diagnosis			
IVF	100%	<b>Procedural Factors:</b>		Tubal factor	16%	Other factor	2%
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	94%	Ovulatory dysfunction	32%	Unknown factor	14%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	0%	Diminished ovarian reserve	0%	<b>Multiple Factors:</b>	
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	0%	Endometriosis	2%	Female factors only	14%
				Uterine factor	5%	Female & male factors	9%
				Male factor	7%		

### 2006 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by Tony Tsai, MD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman			
	<35	35–37	38–40	41–42 <sup>d</sup>
<b>Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs</b>				
Number of cycles	14	14	1	2
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies <sup>b</sup>	3 / 14	3 / 14	0 / 1	1 / 2
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup> (Confidence Interval)	2 / 14	3 / 14	0 / 1	1 / 2
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	2 / 14	3 / 14	0 / 1	1 / 2
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	2 / 11	3 / 12	0 / 1	1 / 1
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births <sup>b</sup>	1 / 11	2 / 12	0 / 1	1 / 1
Percentage of cancellations <sup>b</sup>	0 / 14	0 / 14	0 / 1	0 / 2
Average number of embryos transferred	2.2	2.3	3.0	5.0
Percentage of pregnancies with twins <sup>b</sup>	1 / 3	0 / 3		0 / 1
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more <sup>b</sup>	0 / 3	1 / 3		0 / 1
Percentage of live births having multiple infants <sup>b,c</sup>	1 / 2	1 / 3		0 / 1
<b>Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs</b>				
Number of transfers	3	5	0	0
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	0 / 3	2 / 5		
Average number of embryos transferred	2.3	3.0		
<b>All Ages Combined<sup>e</sup></b>				
<b>Donor Eggs</b>		<b>Fresh Embryos</b>	<b>Frozen Embryos</b>	
Number of transfers		0	0	
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>				
Average number of embryos transferred				

### CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

**Current Name:** The New York Fertility Center

Donor egg?	No	Gestational carriers?	No	SART member?	No
Donor embryo?	No	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	Yes
Single women?	Yes			(See Appendix C for details.)	

<sup>a</sup> Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2006 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.

<sup>b</sup> When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.

<sup>c</sup> A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.

<sup>d</sup> Clinic-specific outcome rates are unreliable for women older than 42 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs. Readers are urged to review national outcomes for these age groups (see page 27).

<sup>e</sup> All ages (including ages >42) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.

# MONTEFIORE'S INSTITUTE FOR REPRODUCTIVE MEDICINE AND HEALTH HARTSDALE, NEW YORK

A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 79–88.

## 2006 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART <sup>a</sup>			Patient Diagnosis	
IVF	100%	<b>Procedural Factors:</b>	Tubal factor	19%
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	Ovulatory dysfunction	2%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	Diminished ovarian reserve	6%
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	Endometriosis	<1%
			Uterine factor	2%
			Male factor	28%
			Other factor	1%
			Unknown factor	15%
			<b>Multiple Factors:</b>	
			Female factors only	7%
			Female & male factors	19%

## 2006 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by Harry J. Lieman, MD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman			
	<35	35–37	38–40	41–42 <sup>d</sup>
<b>Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs</b>				
Number of cycles	70	47	36	11
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies <sup>b</sup>	42.9	36.2	19.4	3 / 11
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	31.4	31.9	13.9	1 / 11
(Confidence Interval)	(20.9–43.6)	(19.1–47.1)	(4.7–29.5)	
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	33.8	34.1	17.9	1 / 9
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	37.3	37.5	20.0	1 / 8
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births <sup>b</sup>	27.1	25.0	20.0	1 / 8
Percentage of cancellations <sup>b</sup>	7.1	6.4	22.2	2 / 11
Average number of embryos transferred	2.4	2.5	3.2	3.4
Percentage of pregnancies with twins <sup>b</sup>	23.3	5 / 17	1 / 7	0 / 3
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more <sup>b</sup>	3.3	2 / 17	0 / 7	0 / 3
Percentage of live births having multiple infants <sup>b,c</sup>	27.3	5 / 15	0 / 5	0 / 1
<b>Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs</b>				
Number of transfers	5	8	3	0
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	1 / 5	0 / 8	0 / 3	
Average number of embryos transferred	1.6	1.6	2.0	
<b>All Ages Combined<sup>e</sup></b>				
<b>Donor Eggs</b>	<b>Fresh Embryos</b>		<b>Frozen Embryos</b>	
Number of transfers	0		0	
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>				
Average number of embryos transferred				

## CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

**Current Name:** Montefiore's Institute for Reproductive Medicine and Health

Donor egg?	Yes	Gestational carriers?	Yes	SART member?	Yes
Donor embryo?	Yes	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	Yes
Single women?	Yes			(See Appendix C for details.)	

<sup>a</sup> Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2006 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.

<sup>b</sup> When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.

<sup>c</sup> A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.

<sup>d</sup> Clinic-specific outcome rates are unreliable for women older than 42 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs. Readers are urged to review national outcomes for these age groups (see page 27).

<sup>e</sup> All ages (including ages >42) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.



# NORTH SHORE UNIVERSITY HOSPITAL CENTER FOR HUMAN REPRODUCTION MANHASSET, NEW YORK

**A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 79–88.**

## 2006 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART <sup>a</sup>			Patient Diagnosis	
IVF	100%	<b>Procedural Factors:</b>	Tubal factor	11%
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	Ovulatory dysfunction	7%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	Diminished ovarian reserve	7%
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	Endometriosis	5%
			Uterine factor	3%
			Male factor	30%
			Other factor	7%
			Unknown factor	19%
			<b>Multiple Factors:</b>	
			Female factors only	4%
			Female & male factors	7%

## 2006 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by Avner Hershlag, MD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman			
	<35	35–37	38–40	41–42 <sup>d</sup>
<b>Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs</b>				
Number of cycles	193	142	83	47
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies <sup>b</sup>	47.2	35.9	44.6	25.5
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	39.9	29.6	37.3	23.4
(Confidence Interval)	(32.9–47.2)	(22.2–37.8)	(27.0–48.7)	(12.3–38.0)
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	42.5	32.8	43.1	25.6
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	44.3	35.6	43.7	28.2
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births <sup>b</sup>	31.6	24.6	29.6	25.6
Percentage of cancellations <sup>b</sup>	6.2	9.9	13.3	8.5
Average number of embryos transferred	2.3	2.8	3.5	3.2
Percentage of pregnancies with twins <sup>b</sup>	26.4	33.3	35.1	1 / 12
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more <sup>b</sup>	4.4	3.9	2.7	1 / 12
Percentage of live births having multiple infants <sup>b,c</sup>	28.6	31.0	32.3	1 / 11
<b>Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs</b>				
Number of transfers	82	51	23	18
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	29.3	13.7	4.3	4 / 18
Average number of embryos transferred	2.7	2.7	3.2	3.7
<b>All Ages Combined<sup>e</sup></b>				
<b>Donor Eggs</b>	<b>Fresh Embryos</b>		<b>Frozen Embryos</b>	
	24		17	
	54.2		6 / 17	
	2.2		2.4	

## CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

**Current Name:** North Shore University Hospital, Center for Human Reproduction

Donor egg?	Yes	Gestational carriers?	Yes	SART member?	Yes
Donor embryo?	No	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	Yes
Single women?	Yes			(See Appendix C for details.)	

<sup>a</sup> Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2006 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.

<sup>b</sup> When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.

<sup>c</sup> A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.

<sup>d</sup> Clinic-specific outcome rates are unreliable for women older than 42 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs. Readers are urged to review national outcomes for these age groups (see page 27).

<sup>e</sup> All ages (including ages >42) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.



# REPRODUCTIVE SPECIALISTS OF NEW YORK<sup>®</sup> MINEOLA, NEW YORK

A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 79–88.

## 2006 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART <sup>a</sup>				Patient Diagnosis	
IVF	>99%	<b>Procedural Factors:</b>		Tubal factor	12%
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	65%	Ovulatory dysfunction	10%
ZIFT	<1%	Unstimulated	<1%	Diminished ovarian reserve	10%
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	<1%	Endometriosis	3%
				Uterine factor	2%
				Male factor	19%
				Other factor	12%
				Unknown factor	10%
				<b>Multiple Factors:</b>	
				Female factors only	7%
				Female & male factors	15%

## 2006 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by Gabriel A. San Roman, MD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman			
	<35	35–37	38–40	41–42 <sup>d</sup>
<b>Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs</b>				
Number of cycles	258	163	201	153
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies <sup>b</sup>	51.6	33.1	26.4	11.8
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	43.8	28.8	20.4	5.2
(Confidence Interval)	(37.7–50.1)	(22.0–36.4)	(15.1–26.6)	(2.3–10.0)
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	45.7	32.4	23.8	7.3
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	47.9	33.8	26.3	7.9
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births <sup>b</sup>	39.0	23.0	18.6	7.9
Percentage of cancellations <sup>b</sup>	4.3	11.0	14.4	28.1
Average number of embryos transferred	2.0	2.2	2.7	3.1
Percentage of pregnancies with twins <sup>b</sup>	19.5	31.5	22.6	0 / 18
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more <sup>b</sup>	3.0	1.9	7.5	0 / 18
Percentage of live births having multiple infants <sup>b,c</sup>	18.6	31.9	29.3	0 / 8
<b>Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs</b>				
Number of transfers	99	59	27	6
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	21.2	20.3	25.9	0 / 6
Average number of embryos transferred	1.9	1.9	2.2	2.3
<b>All Ages Combined<sup>e</sup></b>				
<b>Donor Eggs</b>	<b>Fresh Embryos</b>		<b>Frozen Embryos</b>	
	26		15	
	46.2		2 / 15	
	1.8		1.5	

## CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

**Current Name:** Reproductive Specialists of New York

Donor egg?	Yes	Gestational carriers?	Yes	SART member?	Yes
Donor embryo?	Yes	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	Yes
Single women?	Yes			(See Appendix C for details.)	

<sup>a</sup> Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2006 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.

<sup>b</sup> When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.

<sup>c</sup> A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.

<sup>d</sup> Clinic-specific outcome rates are unreliable for women older than 42 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs. Readers are urged to review national outcomes for these age groups (see page 27).

<sup>e</sup> All ages (including ages >42) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.

<sup>f</sup> Reflects clinic performed more than 50 cycles with Preimplantation Genetic Diagnosis (PGD) in 2006 and among them more than 10 specifically for the purpose of prevention of genetic disorders. See Appendix C for a complete list of clinics with <sup>®</sup> symbol.

## ADVANCED FERTILITY SERVICES NEW YORK, NEW YORK

**A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 79–88.**

### 2006 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART <sup>a</sup>				Patient Diagnosis	
IVF	100%	<b>Procedural Factors:</b>		Tubal factor	16%
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	90%	Ovulatory dysfunction	13%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	0%	Diminished ovarian reserve	12%
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	0%	Endometriosis	<1%
				Uterine factor	0%
				Male factor	22%
				Other factor	12%
				Unknown factor	12%
				<b>Multiple Factors:</b>	
				Female factors only	2%
				Female & male factors	11%

### 2006 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by Hugh D. Melnick, MD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman			
	<35	35–37	38–40	41–42 <sup>d</sup>
<b>Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs</b>				
Number of cycles	139	72	76	28
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies <sup>b</sup>	30.2	13.9	13.2	7.1
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	24.5	12.5	10.5	7.1
(Confidence Interval)	(17.6–32.5)	(5.9–22.4)	(4.7–19.7)	(0.9–23.5)
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	26.4	13.2	11.4	8.7
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	26.8	14.1	13.8	9.5
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births <sup>b</sup>	17.3	10.9	13.8	9.5
Percentage of cancellations <sup>b</sup>	7.2	5.6	7.9	17.9
Average number of embryos transferred	3.3	3.5	2.8	2.8
Percentage of pregnancies with twins <sup>b</sup>	23.8	1 / 10	1 / 10	0 / 2
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more <sup>b</sup>	11.9	1 / 10	0 / 10	0 / 2
Percentage of live births having multiple infants <sup>b,c</sup>	35.3	2 / 9	0 / 8	0 / 2
<b>Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs</b>				
Number of transfers	21	10	1	0
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	4.8	1 / 10	0 / 1	
Average number of embryos transferred	2.6	2.7	3.0	
<b>All Ages Combined<sup>e</sup></b>				
<b>Donor Eggs</b>	<b>Fresh Embryos</b>		<b>Frozen Embryos</b>	
	Number of transfers		25	
	Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>		8.0	
	Average number of embryos transferred		2.6	

### CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

**Current Name:** Advanced Fertility Services

Donor egg?	Yes	Gestational carriers?	Yes	SART member?	Yes
Donor embryo?	No	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	Yes
Single women?	Yes			(See Appendix C for details.)	

<sup>a</sup> Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2006 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.

<sup>b</sup> When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.

<sup>c</sup> A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.

<sup>d</sup> Clinic-specific outcome rates are unreliable for women older than 42 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs. Readers are urged to review national outcomes for these age groups (see page 27).

<sup>e</sup> All ages (including ages >42) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.

## AMERICAN FERTILITY SERVICES, PC NEW YORK, NEW YORK

A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 79–88.

### 2006 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART <sup>a</sup>				Patient Diagnosis			
IVF	100%	<b>Procedural Factors:</b>		Tubal factor	8%	Other factor	12%
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	85%	Ovulatory dysfunction	2%	Unknown factor	13%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	0%	Diminished ovarian reserve	42%	<i>Multiple Factors:</i>	
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	0%	Endometriosis	1%	Female factors only	8%
				Uterine factor	1%	Female & male factors	7%
				Male factor	5%		

### 2006 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by Andrew Loucopoulos, MD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman			
	<35	35–37	38–40	41–42 <sup>d</sup>
<b>Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs</b>				
Number of cycles	126	80	106	62
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies <sup>b</sup>	14.3	22.5	11.3	8.1
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	12.7	15.0	7.5	4.8
(Confidence Interval)	(7.4–19.8)	(8.0–24.7)	(3.3–14.3)	(1.0–13.5)
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	13.7	15.6	8.7	5.5
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	15.2	18.2	10.5	7.1
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births <sup>b</sup>	14.3	15.2	10.5	4.8
Percentage of cancellations <sup>b</sup>	7.1	3.8	13.2	11.3
Average number of embryos transferred	2.7	2.4	2.5	2.5
Percentage of pregnancies with twins <sup>b</sup>	2 / 18	2 / 18	0 / 12	1 / 5
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more <sup>b</sup>	0 / 18	0 / 18	0 / 12	0 / 5
Percentage of live births having multiple infants <sup>b,c</sup>	1 / 16	2 / 12	0 / 8	1 / 3
<b>Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs</b>				
Number of transfers	20	10	7	2
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	10.0	1 / 10	0 / 7	0 / 2
Average number of embryos transferred	2.4	2.2	2.6	2.5
<b>All Ages Combined<sup>e</sup></b>				
<b>Donor Eggs</b>	<b>Fresh Embryos</b>		<b>Frozen Embryos</b>	
	45		25	
	31.1		4.0	
	2.7		2.8	

### CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

**Current Name:** American Fertility Services, PC

Donor egg?	Yes	Gestational carriers?	No	SART member?	Yes
Donor embryo?	Yes	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	Yes
Single women?	Yes			(See Appendix C for details.)	

<sup>a</sup> Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2006 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.

<sup>b</sup> When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.

<sup>c</sup> A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.

<sup>d</sup> Clinic-specific outcome rates are unreliable for women older than 42 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs. Readers are urged to review national outcomes for these age groups (see page 27).

<sup>e</sup> All ages (including ages >42) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.

# BETH ISRAEL CENTER FOR INFERTILITY & REPRODUCTIVE HEALTH NEW YORK, NEW YORK

A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 79–88.

## 2006 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART <sup>a</sup>				Patient Diagnosis	
IVF	100%	<b>Procedural Factors:</b>		Tubal factor	10%
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	79%	Ovulatory dysfunction	2%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	0%	Diminished ovarian reserve	9%
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	0%	Endometriosis	3%
				Uterine factor	1%
				Male factor	28%
				Other factor	0%
				Unknown factor	12%
				<b>Multiple Factors:</b>	
				Female factors only	6%
				Female & male factors	30%

## 2006 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by Peter Chang, MD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman			
	<35	35–37	38–40	41–42 <sup>d</sup>
<b>Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs</b>				
Number of cycles	43	27	47	22
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies <sup>b</sup>	41.9	29.6	23.4	9.1
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	27.9	18.5	12.8	0.0
(Confidence Interval)	(15.3–43.7)	(6.3–38.1)	(4.8–25.7)	(0.0–15.4)
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	28.6	23.8	15.4	0 / 17
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	30.8	23.8	15.8	0 / 17
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births <sup>b</sup>	15.4	19.0	10.5	0 / 17
Percentage of cancellations <sup>b</sup>	2.3	22.2	17.0	22.7
Average number of embryos transferred	3.4	3.2	4.4	3.6
Percentage of pregnancies with twins <sup>b</sup>	5 / 18	1 / 8	2 / 11	0 / 2
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more <sup>b</sup>	2 / 18	0 / 8	0 / 11	0 / 2
Percentage of live births having multiple infants <sup>b,c</sup>	6 / 12	1 / 5	2 / 6	
<b>Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs</b>				
Number of transfers	4	3	0	0
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	1 / 4	1 / 3		
Average number of embryos transferred	4.8	4.7		
<b>All Ages Combined<sup>e</sup></b>				
<b>Donor Eggs</b>	<b>Fresh Embryos</b>		<b>Frozen Embryos</b>	
	7		2	
	6 / 7		1 / 2	
	3.1		3.5	

## CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

**Current Name:** Beth Israel Center for Infertility & Reproductive Health

Donor egg?	Yes	Gestational carriers?	No	SART member?	Yes
Donor embryo?	No	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	Yes
Single women?	Yes			(See Appendix C for details.)	

<sup>a</sup> Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2006 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.

<sup>b</sup> When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.

<sup>c</sup> A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.

<sup>d</sup> Clinic-specific outcome rates are unreliable for women older than 42 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs. Readers are urged to review national outcomes for these age groups (see page 27).

<sup>e</sup> All ages (including ages >42) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.

**BROOKLYN/WESTSIDE FERTILITY CENTER**  
**BROOKLYN FERTILITY CENTER**  
**NEW YORK, NEW YORK**

A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 79–88.

### 2006 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART <sup>a</sup>				Patient Diagnosis			
IVF	100%	<b>Procedural Factors:</b>		Tubal factor	0%	Other factor	0%
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	100%	Ovulatory dysfunction	0%	Unknown factor	0%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	0%	Diminished ovarian reserve	0%	<b>Multiple Factors:</b>	
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	0%	Endometriosis	0%	Female factors only	9%
				Uterine factor	0%	Female & male factors	91%
				Male factor	0%		

### 2006 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by Dov B. Goldstein, MD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman			
	<35	35–37	38–40	41–42 <sup>d</sup>
<b>Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs</b>				
Number of cycles	13	8	10	5
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies <sup>b</sup>	7 / 13	2 / 8	0 / 10	0 / 5
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup> (Confidence Interval)	6 / 13	1 / 8	0 / 10	0 / 5
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	6 / 13	1 / 8	0 / 10	0 / 5
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	6 / 11	1 / 8	0 / 8	0 / 5
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births <sup>b</sup>	4 / 11	1 / 8	0 / 8	0 / 5
Percentage of cancellations <sup>b</sup>	0 / 13	0 / 8	0 / 10	0 / 5
Average number of embryos transferred	2.2	2.5	3.0	1.8
Percentage of pregnancies with twins <sup>b</sup>	2 / 7	1 / 2		
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more <sup>b</sup>	0 / 7	0 / 2		
Percentage of live births having multiple infants <sup>b,c</sup>	2 / 6	0 / 1		
<b>Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs</b>				
Number of transfers	6	3	1	0
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	0 / 6	0 / 3	0 / 1	
Average number of embryos transferred	2.7	2.3	3.0	
<b>All Ages Combined<sup>e</sup></b>				
<b>Donor Eggs</b>	<b>Fresh Embryos</b>		<b>Frozen Embryos</b>	
	5		1	
	0 / 5		0 / 1	
	2.4		3.0	

### CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

**Current Name:** Brooklyn/Westside Fertility Center, Brooklyn Fertility Center

Donor egg?	Yes	Gestational carriers?	Yes	SART member?	Yes
Donor embryo?	No	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	Yes
Single women?	Yes			(See Appendix C for details.)	

<sup>a</sup> Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2006 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.

<sup>b</sup> When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.

<sup>c</sup> A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.

<sup>d</sup> Clinic-specific outcome rates are unreliable for women older than 42 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs. Readers are urged to review national outcomes for these age groups (see page 27).

<sup>e</sup> All ages (including ages >42) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.

# COLUMBIA UNIVERSITY CENTER FOR WOMEN'S REPRODUCTIVE CARE NEW YORK, NEW YORK

A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 79–88.

## 2006 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART <sup>a</sup>				Patient Diagnosis			
IVF	>99%	<b>Procedural Factors:</b>		Tubal factor	4%	Other factor	2%
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	54%	Ovulatory dysfunction	2%	Unknown factor	5%
ZIFT	<1%	Unstimulated	0%	Diminished ovarian reserve	47%	<b>Multiple Factors:</b>	
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	0%	Endometriosis	<1%	Female factors only	3%
				Uterine factor	2%	Female & male factors	15%
				Male factor	19%		

## 2006 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by Michael M. Guarnaccia, MD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman			
	<35	35–37	38–40	41–42 <sup>d</sup>
<b>Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs</b>				
Number of cycles	331	259	284	195
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies <sup>b</sup>	43.5	36.7	23.6	13.8
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	37.8	32.4	16.5	7.7
(Confidence Interval)	(32.5–43.2)	(26.8–38.5)	(12.4–21.4)	(4.4–12.4)
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	41.8	38.2	21.2	11.4
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	49.6	43.3	23.9	14.0
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births <sup>b</sup>	32.9	27.8	17.8	12.1
Percentage of cancellations <sup>b</sup>	9.7	15.1	21.8	32.3
Average number of embryos transferred	2.4	2.8	3.2	3.8
Percentage of pregnancies with twins <sup>b</sup>	31.3	31.6	26.9	3.7
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more <sup>b</sup>	4.9	8.4	3.0	3.7
Percentage of live births having multiple infants <sup>b,c</sup>	33.6	35.7	25.5	2 / 15
<b>Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs</b>				
Number of transfers	79	47	33	6
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	39.2	36.2	27.3	1 / 6
Average number of embryos transferred	2.6	2.7	2.6	2.8
<b>All Ages Combined<sup>e</sup></b>				
<b>Donor Eggs</b>	<b>Fresh Embryos</b>		<b>Frozen Embryos</b>	
	89		54	
	62.9		37.0	
	2.3		2.8	

## CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

**Current Name:** Columbia University Center for Women's Reproductive Care

Donor egg?	Yes	Gestational carriers?	Yes	SART member?	Yes
Donor embryo?	Yes	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	Yes
Single women?	Yes			(See Appendix C for details.)	

<sup>a</sup> Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2006 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.

<sup>b</sup> When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.

<sup>c</sup> A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.

<sup>d</sup> Clinic-specific outcome rates are unreliable for women older than 42 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs. Readers are urged to review national outcomes for these age groups (see page 27).

<sup>e</sup> All ages (including ages >42) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.



## IVF NEW YORK NEW YORK, NEW YORK

A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 79–88.

### 2006 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART <sup>a</sup>				Patient Diagnosis			
IVF	100%	<b>Procedural Factors:</b>		Tubal factor	44%	Other factor	0%
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	73%	Ovulatory dysfunction	17%	Unknown factor	11%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	0%	Diminished ovarian reserve	6%	<b>Multiple Factors:</b>	
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	0%	Endometriosis	17%	Female factors only	6%
				Uterine factor	0%	Female & male factors	0%
				Male factor	0%		

### 2006 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by Trishit K. Mukherjee, MD, PhD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman			
	<35	35–37	38–40	41–42 <sup>d</sup>
<b>Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs</b>				
Number of cycles	4	1	6	2
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies <sup>b</sup>	1 / 4	1 / 1	0 / 6	0 / 2
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	1 / 4	1 / 1	0 / 6	0 / 2
(Confidence Interval)				
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	1 / 4	1 / 1	0 / 5	0 / 2
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	1 / 3	1 / 1	0 / 3	0 / 2
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births <sup>b</sup>	1 / 3	1 / 1	0 / 3	0 / 2
Percentage of cancellations <sup>b</sup>	0 / 4	0 / 1	1 / 6	0 / 2
Average number of embryos transferred	2.7	3.0	3.0	3.0
Percentage of pregnancies with twins <sup>b</sup>	0 / 1	0 / 1		
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more <sup>b</sup>	0 / 1	0 / 1		
Percentage of live births having multiple infants <sup>b,c</sup>	0 / 1	0 / 1		
<b>Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs</b>				
Number of transfers	1	1	0	0
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	0 / 1	0 / 1		
Average number of embryos transferred	3.0	3.0		
<b>All Ages Combined<sup>e</sup></b>				
<b>Donor Eggs</b>	<b>Fresh Embryos</b>		<b>Frozen Embryos</b>	
	1		0	
	0 / 1			
Average number of embryos transferred	2.0			

### CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

**Current Name:** IVF New York

Donor egg?	Yes	Gestational carriers?	No	SART member?	Yes
Donor embryo?	No	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	Yes
Single women?	Yes			(See Appendix C for details.)	

<sup>a</sup> Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2006 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.

<sup>b</sup> When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.

<sup>c</sup> A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.

<sup>d</sup> Clinic-specific outcome rates are unreliable for women older than 42 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs. Readers are urged to review national outcomes for these age groups (see page 27).

<sup>e</sup> All ages (including ages >42) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.



# MANHATTAN REPRODUCTIVE MEDICINE NEW YORK, NEW YORK

A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 79–88.

## 2006 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART <sup>a</sup>				Patient Diagnosis			
IVF	100%	<b>Procedural Factors:</b>		Tubal factor	4%	Other factor	0%
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	100%	Ovulatory dysfunction	7%	Unknown factor	0%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	2%	Diminished ovarian reserve	5%	<b>Multiple Factors:</b>	
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	0%	Endometriosis	0%	Female factors only	20%
				Uterine factor	0%	Female & male factors	61%
				Male factor	4%		

## 2006 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by Hanna Jesionowska, MD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman			
	<35	35–37	38–40	41–42 <sup>d</sup>
<b>Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs</b>				
Number of cycles	32	13	10	6
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies <sup>b</sup>	40.6	5 / 13	1 / 10	0 / 6
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	31.3	5 / 13	1 / 10	0 / 6
(Confidence Interval)	(16.1–50.0)			
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	31.3	5 / 13	1 / 10	0 / 6
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	32.3	5 / 13	1 / 9	0 / 6
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births <sup>b</sup>	22.6	4 / 13	1 / 9	0 / 6
Percentage of cancellations <sup>b</sup>	0.0	0 / 13	0 / 10	0 / 6
Average number of embryos transferred	3.7	3.3	2.4	2.8
Percentage of pregnancies with twins <sup>b</sup>	5 / 13	1 / 5	0 / 1	
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more <sup>b</sup>	0 / 13	0 / 5	0 / 1	
Percentage of live births having multiple infants <sup>b,c</sup>	3 / 10	1 / 5	0 / 1	
<b>Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs</b>				
Number of transfers	1	0	0	0
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	0 / 1			
Average number of embryos transferred	5.0			
<b>All Ages Combined<sup>e</sup></b>				
<b>Donor Eggs</b>	<b>Fresh Embryos</b>		<b>Frozen Embryos</b>	
	11		0	
	7 / 11			
	4.5			

## CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

**Current Name:** Manhattan Reproductive Medicine

Donor egg?	Yes	Gestational carriers?	No	SART member?	Yes
Donor embryo?	Yes	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	Yes
Single women?	Yes			(See Appendix C for details.)	

<sup>a</sup> Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2006 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.

<sup>b</sup> When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.

<sup>c</sup> A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.

<sup>d</sup> Clinic-specific outcome rates are unreliable for women older than 42 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs. Readers are urged to review national outcomes for these age groups (see page 27).

<sup>e</sup> All ages (including ages >42) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.

**MEDICAL OFFICES FOR HUMAN REPRODUCTION  
CENTER FOR HUMAN REPRODUCTION (CHR)  
NEW YORK, NEW YORK**

**A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 79–88.**

**2006 ART CYCLE PROFILE**

Type of ART <sup>a</sup>				Patient Diagnosis			
IVF	100%	<b>Procedural Factors:</b>		Tubal factor	8%	Other factor	23%
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	74%	Ovulatory dysfunction	6%	Unknown factor	1%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	2%	Diminished ovarian reserve	18%	<b>Multiple Factors:</b>	
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	0%	Endometriosis	2%	Female factors only	20%
				Uterine factor	1%	Female & male factors	13%
				Male factor	8%		

**2006 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES**

Data verified by Norbert Gleicher, MD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman			
	<35	35–37	38–40	41–42 <sup>d</sup>
<b>Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs</b>				
Number of cycles	83	47	41	34
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies <sup>b</sup>	32.5	21.3	26.8	14.7
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	24.1	19.1	22.0	14.7
(Confidence Interval)	(15.4–34.7)	(9.1–33.3)	(10.6–37.6)	(5.0–31.1)
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	26.0	22.0	25.0	17.2
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	29.9	23.7	30.0	20.0
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births <sup>b</sup>	25.4	15.8	20.0	20.0
Percentage of cancellations <sup>b</sup>	7.2	12.8	12.2	14.7
Average number of embryos transferred	2.1	2.3	2.2	2.2
Percentage of pregnancies with twins <sup>b</sup>	14.8	3 / 10	4 / 11	0 / 5
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more <sup>b</sup>	3.7	0 / 10	0 / 11	0 / 5
Percentage of live births having multiple infants <sup>b,c</sup>	15.0	3 / 9	3 / 9	0 / 5
<b>Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs</b>				
Number of transfers	20	17	7	1
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	25.0	2 / 17	0 / 7	0 / 1
Average number of embryos transferred	2.4	2.6	3.0	3.0
<b>All Ages Combined<sup>e</sup></b>				
<b>Donor Eggs</b>	<b>Fresh Embryos</b>		<b>Frozen Embryos</b>	
	19		15	
	11 / 19		8 / 15	
	2.1		2.7	

**CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE**

**Current Name:** Medical Offices for Human Reproduction, Center for Human Reproduction (CHR)

Donor egg?	Yes	Gestational carriers?	No	SART member?	Yes
Donor embryo?	Yes	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	Yes
Single women?	Yes			(See Appendix C for details.)	

<sup>a</sup> Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2006 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.

<sup>b</sup> When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.

<sup>c</sup> A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.

<sup>d</sup> Clinic-specific outcome rates are unreliable for women older than 42 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs. Readers are urged to review national outcomes for these age groups (see page 27).

<sup>e</sup> All ages (including ages >42) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.

## NEW HOPE FERTILITY CENTER NEW YORK, NEW YORK

**A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 79–88.**

### 2006 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART <sup>a</sup>			Patient Diagnosis	
IVF	100%	<b>Procedural Factors:</b>	Tubal factor	14%
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	Ovulatory dysfunction	3%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	Diminished ovarian reserve	<1%
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	Endometriosis	4%
			Uterine factor	4%
			Male factor	14%
			Other factor	34%
			Unknown factor	15%
			<b>Multiple Factors:</b>	
			Female factors only	8%
			Female & male factors	4%

### 2006 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by John J. Zhang, MD, PhD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman			
	<35	35–37	38–40	41–42 <sup>d</sup>
<b>Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs</b>				
Number of cycles	241	194	260	236
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies <sup>b</sup>	12.9	17.0	7.7	3.4
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	9.1	12.9	5.4	1.3
(Confidence Interval)	(5.8–13.5)	(8.5–18.4)	(3.0–8.9)	(0.3–3.7)
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	9.3	13.2	5.8	1.4
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	15.6	24.5	14.7	3.8
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births <sup>b</sup>	13.5	23.5	12.6	3.8
Percentage of cancellations <sup>b</sup>	2.1	2.1	6.9	11.4
Average number of embryos transferred	1.4	1.3	1.8	1.6
Percentage of pregnancies with twins <sup>b</sup>	9.7	3.0	5.0	0 / 8
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more <sup>b</sup>	0.0	0.0	5.0	0 / 8
Percentage of live births having multiple infants <sup>b,c</sup>	13.6	4.0	2 / 14	0 / 3
<b>Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs</b>				
Number of transfers	63	40	20	10
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	34.9	27.5	15.0	1 / 10
Average number of embryos transferred	1.3	1.2	1.9	1.8
<b>All Ages Combined<sup>e</sup></b>				
<b>Donor Eggs</b>	<b>Fresh Embryos</b>		<b>Frozen Embryos</b>	
	12		20	
	3 / 12		35.0	
	1.4		1.4	

### CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

**Current Name:** New Hope Fertility Center

Donor egg?	Yes	Gestational carriers?	Yes	SART member?	Yes
Donor embryo?	Yes	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	Yes
Single women?	Yes			(See Appendix C for details.)	

<sup>a</sup> Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2006 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.

<sup>b</sup> When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.

<sup>c</sup> A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.

<sup>d</sup> Clinic-specific outcome rates are unreliable for women older than 42 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs. Readers are urged to review national outcomes for these age groups (see page 27).

<sup>e</sup> All ages (including ages >42) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.

## NEW YORK FERTILITY INSTITUTE NEW YORK, NEW YORK

**A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 79–88.**

### 2006 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART <sup>a</sup>				Patient Diagnosis			
IVF	100%	<b>Procedural Factors:</b>		Tubal factor	4%	Other factor	0%
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	84%	Ovulatory dysfunction	6%	Unknown factor	0%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	3%	Diminished ovarian reserve	22%	<b>Multiple Factors:</b>	
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	2%	Endometriosis	26%	Female factors only	0%
				Uterine factor	2%	Female & male factors	9%
				Male factor	32%		

### 2006 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by Majid Fateh, MD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman			
	<35	35–37	38–40	41–42 <sup>d</sup>
<b>Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs</b>				
Number of cycles	59	43	48	31
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies <sup>b</sup>	45.8	41.9	37.5	25.8
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	40.7	39.5	31.3	12.9
(Confidence Interval)	(28.1–54.3)	(25.0–55.6)	(18.7–46.3)	(3.6–29.8)
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	42.1	41.5	33.3	14.3
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	44.4	43.6	34.9	15.4
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births <sup>b</sup>	38.9	33.3	30.2	15.4
Percentage of cancellations <sup>b</sup>	3.4	4.7	6.3	9.7
Average number of embryos transferred	3.0	3.0	3.6	3.3
Percentage of pregnancies with twins <sup>b</sup>	14.8	2 / 18	2 / 18	0 / 8
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more <sup>b</sup>	0.0	2 / 18	0 / 18	0 / 8
Percentage of live births having multiple infants <sup>b,c</sup>	12.5	4 / 17	2 / 15	0 / 4
<b>Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs</b>				
Number of transfers	2	1	2	1
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	1 / 2	0 / 1	1 / 2	0 / 1
Average number of embryos transferred	3.0	4.0	2.0	4.0
<b>All Ages Combined<sup>e</sup></b>				
<b>Donor Eggs</b>	<b>Fresh Embryos</b>		<b>Frozen Embryos</b>	
	Number of transfers		13	
	Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>		8 / 13	
	Average number of embryos transferred		2.7	
				16
				11 / 16
				2.8

### CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

**Current Name:** New York Fertility Institute

Donor egg?	Yes	Gestational carriers?	Yes	SART member?	Yes
Donor embryo?	Yes	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	Yes
Single women?	Yes			(See Appendix C for details.)	

<sup>a</sup> Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2006 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.

<sup>b</sup> When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.

<sup>c</sup> A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.

<sup>d</sup> Clinic-specific outcome rates are unreliable for women older than 42 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs. Readers are urged to review national outcomes for these age groups (see page 27).

<sup>e</sup> All ages (including ages >42) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.

**NYU FERTILITY CENTER<sup>®</sup>**  
**NEW YORK UNIVERSITY SCHOOL OF MEDICINE**  
**NEW YORK, NEW YORK**

**A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 79–88.**

### 2006 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART <sup>a</sup>				Patient Diagnosis			
IVF	100%	<b>Procedural Factors:</b>		Tubal factor	4%	Other factor	13%
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	27%	Ovulatory dysfunction	3%	Unknown factor	12%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	0%	Diminished ovarian reserve	15%	<b>Multiple Factors:</b>	
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	0%	Endometriosis	3%	Female factors only	20%
				Uterine factor	3%	Female & male factors	17%
				Male factor	10%		

### 2006 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by James A. Grifo, MD, PhD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman			
	<35	35–37	38–40	41–42 <sup>d</sup>
<b>Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs</b>				
Number of cycles	306	260	298	196
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies <sup>b</sup>	53.6	46.5	34.9	23.5
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	46.7	33.1	24.5	14.3
(Confidence Interval)	(41.0–52.5)	(27.4–39.2)	(19.7–29.8)	(9.7–20.0)
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	51.3	36.8	27.2	17.3
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	54.2	39.3	29.1	19.2
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births <sup>b</sup>	35.6	29.2	23.5	15.1
Percentage of cancellations <sup>b</sup>	8.8	10.0	10.1	17.3
Average number of embryos transferred	2.0	2.1	2.5	3.0
Percentage of pregnancies with twins <sup>b</sup>	31.7	25.6	21.2	21.7
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more <sup>b</sup>	4.9	0.8	1.9	0.0
Percentage of live births having multiple infants <sup>b,c</sup>	34.3	25.6	19.2	21.4
<b>Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs</b>				
Number of transfers	74	39	46	8
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	27.0	33.3	26.1	1 / 8
Average number of embryos transferred	2.2	2.3	2.2	2.8
<b>All Ages Combined<sup>e</sup></b>				
<b>Donor Eggs</b>	<b>Fresh Embryos</b>		<b>Frozen Embryos</b>	
	Number of transfers		36	
	Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>		25.0	
	Average number of embryos transferred		2.3	

### CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

**Current Name:** NYU Fertility Center, New York University School of Medicine

Donor egg?	Yes	Gestational carriers?	Yes	SART member?	Yes
Donor embryo?	Yes	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	Yes
Single women?	Yes			(See Appendix C for details.)	

<sup>a</sup> Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2006 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.

<sup>b</sup> When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.

<sup>c</sup> A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.

<sup>d</sup> Clinic-specific outcome rates are unreliable for women older than 42 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs. Readers are urged to review national outcomes for these age groups (see page 27).

<sup>e</sup> All ages (including ages >42) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.

<sup>f</sup> Reflects clinic performed more than 50 cycles with Preimplantation Genetic Diagnosis (PGD) in 2006 and among them more than 10 specifically for the purpose of prevention of genetic disorders. See Appendix C for a complete list of clinics with  symbol.

## OFFICES FOR FERTILITY AND REPRODUCTIVE MEDICINE NEW YORK, NEW YORK

A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 79–88.

### 2006 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART <sup>a</sup>				Patient Diagnosis			
IVF	100%	<b>Procedural Factors:</b>		Tubal factor	1%	Other factor	3%
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	41%	Ovulatory dysfunction	8%	Unknown factor	0%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	0%	Diminished ovarian reserve	17%	<i>Multiple Factors:</i>	
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	3%	Endometriosis	1%	Female factors only	21%
				Uterine factor	0%	Female & male factors	41%
				Male factor	9%		

### 2006 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by Cecilia Schmidt-Sarosi, MD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman			
	<35	35–37	38–40	41–42 <sup>d</sup>
<b>Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs</b>				
Number of cycles	20	22	30	25
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies <sup>b</sup>	35.0	50.0	23.3	32.0
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	25.0	45.5	13.3	16.0
(Confidence Interval)	(8.7–49.1)	(24.4–67.8)	(3.8–30.7)	(4.5–36.1)
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	25.0	45.5	14.3	17.4
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	5 / 19	10 / 19	15.4	20.0
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births <sup>b</sup>	3 / 19	6 / 19	11.5	15.0
Percentage of cancellations <sup>b</sup>	0.0	0.0	6.7	8.0
Average number of embryos transferred	3.0	3.8	4.4	4.3
Percentage of pregnancies with twins <sup>b</sup>	3 / 7	4 / 11	0 / 7	0 / 8
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more <sup>b</sup>	0 / 7	0 / 11	1 / 7	1 / 8
Percentage of live births having multiple infants <sup>b,c</sup>	2 / 5	4 / 10	1 / 4	1 / 4
<b>Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs</b>				
Number of transfers	18	9	6	4
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	3 / 18	1 / 9	0 / 6	1 / 4
Average number of embryos transferred	3.9	3.2	3.3	2.5
<b>All Ages Combined<sup>e</sup></b>				
<b>Donor Eggs</b>	<b>Fresh Embryos</b>		<b>Frozen Embryos</b>	
	20		22	
	35.0		22.7	
	2.6		3.0	

### CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

**Current Name:** Offices for Fertility and Reproductive Medicine

Donor egg?	Yes	Gestational carriers?	Yes	SART member?	Yes
Donor embryo?	Yes	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	Yes
Single women?	Yes			(See Appendix C for details.)	

<sup>a</sup> Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2006 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.

<sup>b</sup> When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.

<sup>c</sup> A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.

<sup>d</sup> Clinic-specific outcome rates are unreliable for women older than 42 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs. Readers are urged to review national outcomes for these age groups (see page 27).

<sup>e</sup> All ages (including ages >42) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.



## REPRODUCTIVE CARE OF NY NEW YORK, NEW YORK

A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 79–88.

### 2006 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART <sup>a</sup>				Patient Diagnosis			
IVF	100%	<b>Procedural Factors:</b>		Tubal factor	0%	Other factor	0%
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	43%	Ovulatory dysfunction	0%	Unknown factor	0%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	0%	Diminished ovarian reserve	44%	<b>Multiple Factors:</b>	
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	0%	Endometriosis	0%	Female factors only	11%
				Uterine factor	0%	Female & male factors	22%
				Male factor	22%		

### 2006 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by Lillian D. Nash, MD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman			
	<35	35–37	38–40	41–42 <sup>d</sup>
<b>Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs</b>				
Number of cycles	0	0	2	1
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies <sup>b</sup>			0 / 2	0 / 1
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>			0 / 2	0 / 1
(Confidence Interval)				
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>			0 / 2	0 / 1
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>			0 / 2	0 / 1
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births <sup>b</sup>			0 / 2	0 / 1
Percentage of cancellations <sup>b</sup>			0 / 2	0 / 1
Average number of embryos transferred			2.0	1.0
Percentage of pregnancies with twins <sup>b</sup>				
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more <sup>b</sup>				
Percentage of live births having multiple infants <sup>b,c</sup>				
<b>Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs</b>				
Number of transfers	1	0	1	0
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	0 / 1		0 / 1	
Average number of embryos transferred	3.0		3.0	
<b>All Ages Combined<sup>e</sup></b>				
<b>Donor Eggs</b>	<b>Fresh Embryos</b>		<b>Frozen Embryos</b>	
	0		0	
Number of transfers				
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>				
Average number of embryos transferred				

### CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

**Current Name:** Reproductive Care of NY

Donor egg?	No	Gestational carriers?	No	SART member?	Yes
Donor embryo?	No	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	Yes
Single women?	Yes			(See Appendix C for details.)	

<sup>a</sup> Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2006 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.

<sup>b</sup> When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.

<sup>c</sup> A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.

<sup>d</sup> Clinic-specific outcome rates are unreliable for women older than 42 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs. Readers are urged to review national outcomes for these age groups (see page 27).

<sup>e</sup> All ages (including ages >42) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.



# REPRODUCTIVE ENDOCRINOLOGY ASSOCIATES OF ST. LUKE'S ROOSEVELT HOSPITAL CENTER NEW YORK, NEW YORK

A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 79–88.

## 2006 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART <sup>a</sup>				Patient Diagnosis			
IVF	100%	<b>Procedural Factors:</b>		Tubal factor	16%	Other factor	7%
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	87%	Ovulatory dysfunction	15%	Unknown factor	9%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	0%	Diminished ovarian reserve	13%	<i>Multiple Factors:</i>	
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	0%	Endometriosis	4%	Female factors only	7%
				Uterine factor	<1%	Female & male factors	8%
				Male factor	22%		

## 2006 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by Martin Keltz, MD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman			
	<35	35–37	38–40	41–42 <sup>d</sup>
<b>Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs</b>				
Number of cycles	133	71	66	45
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies <sup>b</sup>	46.6	39.4	28.8	24.4
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	32.3	31.0	18.2	15.6
(Confidence Interval)	(24.5–41.0)	(20.5–43.1)	(9.8–29.6)	(6.5–29.5)
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	33.3	32.4	19.0	16.7
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	35.2	34.4	20.3	17.9
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births <sup>b</sup>	22.1	23.4	15.3	17.9
Percentage of cancellations <sup>b</sup>	3.0	4.2	4.5	6.7
Average number of embryos transferred	2.3	2.8	3.1	3.6
Percentage of pregnancies with twins <sup>b</sup>	37.1	25.0	6 / 19	3 / 11
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more <sup>b</sup>	4.8	10.7	0 / 19	0 / 11
Percentage of live births having multiple infants <sup>b,c</sup>	37.2	31.8	3 / 12	0 / 7
<b>Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs</b>				
Number of transfers	26	16	5	1
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	30.8	7 / 16	1 / 5	0 / 1
Average number of embryos transferred	2.4	2.6	3.4	2.0
<b>All Ages Combined<sup>e</sup></b>				
<b>Donor Eggs</b>	<b>Fresh Embryos</b>		<b>Frozen Embryos</b>	
	7		5	
	3 / 7		1 / 5	
	2.6		2.6	

## CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

**Current Name:** Reproductive Endocrinology Associates of St. Luke's Roosevelt Hospital Center

Donor egg?	Yes	Gestational carriers?	No	SART member?	Yes
Donor embryo?	No	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	Yes
Single women?	Yes			(See Appendix C for details.)	

<sup>a</sup> Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2006 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.

<sup>b</sup> When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.

<sup>c</sup> A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.

<sup>d</sup> Clinic-specific outcome rates are unreliable for women older than 42 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs. Readers are urged to review national outcomes for these age groups (see page 27).

<sup>e</sup> All ages (including ages >42) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.

# REPRODUCTIVE MEDICINE ASSOCIATES OF NEW YORK, LLP

## NEW YORK, NEW YORK

A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 79–88.

### 2006 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART <sup>a</sup>				Patient Diagnosis	
IVF	100%	<b>Procedural Factors:</b>		Tubal factor	11%
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	51%	Ovulatory dysfunction	13%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	0%	Diminished ovarian reserve	18%
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	0%	Endometriosis	8%
				Uterine factor	2%
				Male factor	17%
				Other factor	5%
				Unknown factor	20%
				<b>Multiple Factors:</b>	
				Female factors only	2%
				Female & male factors	4%

### 2006 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by Lawrence Grunfeld, MD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman			
	<35	35–37	38–40	41–42 <sup>d</sup>
<b>Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs</b>				
Number of cycles	392	283	290	175
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies <sup>b</sup>	55.1	44.9	33.4	20.0
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	48.2	36.4	24.8	13.1
(Confidence Interval)	(43.2–53.3)	(30.8–42.3)	(20.0–30.2)	(8.5–19.1)
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	50.9	41.4	28.1	17.2
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	53.1	43.1	29.6	18.1
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births <sup>b</sup>	32.6	28.0	21.0	15.7
Percentage of cancellations <sup>b</sup>	5.4	12.0	11.7	23.4
Average number of embryos transferred	2.5	2.7	3.2	3.3
Percentage of pregnancies with twins <sup>b</sup>	32.4	28.3	25.8	11.4
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more <sup>b</sup>	4.2	3.9	3.1	0.0
Percentage of live births having multiple infants <sup>b,c</sup>	38.6	35.0	29.2	13.0
<b>Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs</b>				
Number of transfers	59	30	12	5
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	37.3	26.7	3 / 12	1 / 5
Average number of embryos transferred	2.3	2.1	2.0	2.6
<b>All Ages Combined<sup>e</sup></b>				
<b>Donor Eggs</b>	<b>Fresh Embryos</b>		<b>Frozen Embryos</b>	
	148		41	
	54.1		29.3	
	2.3		2.2	

### CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

**Current Name:** Reproductive Medicine Associates of New York, LLP

Donor egg?	Yes	Gestational carriers?	No	SART member?	Yes
Donor embryo?	No	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	Yes
Single women?	Yes			(See Appendix C for details.)	

<sup>a</sup> Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2006 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.

<sup>b</sup> When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.

<sup>c</sup> A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.

<sup>d</sup> Clinic-specific outcome rates are unreliable for women older than 42 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs. Readers are urged to review national outcomes for these age groups (see page 27).

<sup>e</sup> All ages (including ages >42) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.

## SHER INSTITUTES FOR REPRODUCTIVE MEDICINE–NYC NEW YORK, NEW YORK

A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 79–88.

### 2006 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART <sup>a</sup>			Patient Diagnosis	
IVF	100%	<b>Procedural Factors:</b>	Tubal factor	10%
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	Ovulatory dysfunction	6%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	Diminished ovarian reserve	30%
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	Endometriosis	6%
			Uterine factor	<1%
			Male factor	9%
			Other factor	13%
			Unknown factor	15%
			<b>Multiple Factors:</b>	
			Female factors only	5%
			Female & male factors	6%

### 2006 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by Geoffrey Sher, MD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman			
	<35	35–37	38–40	41–42 <sup>d</sup>
<b>Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs</b>				
Number of cycles	69	40	37	23
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies <sup>b</sup>	37.7	32.5	16.2	17.4
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	24.6	17.5	10.8	8.7
(Confidence Interval)	(15.1–36.5)	(7.3–32.8)	(3.0–25.4)	(1.1–28.0)
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	26.2	17.5	12.5	2 / 19
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	28.8	20.6	14.3	2 / 16
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births <sup>b</sup>	20.3	8.8	7.1	2 / 16
Percentage of cancellations <sup>b</sup>	5.8	0.0	13.5	17.4
Average number of embryos transferred	2.8	2.9	2.8	2.6
Percentage of pregnancies with twins <sup>b</sup>	26.9	4 / 13	3 / 6	0 / 4
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more <sup>b</sup>	0.0	1 / 13	0 / 6	0 / 4
Percentage of live births having multiple infants <sup>b,c</sup>	5 / 17	4 / 7	2 / 4	0 / 2
<b>Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs</b>				
Number of transfers	10	1	1	0
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	3 / 10	0 / 1	0 / 1	
Average number of embryos transferred	2.7	0.0	2.0	
<b>All Ages Combined<sup>e</sup></b>				
<b>Donor Eggs</b>	<b>Fresh Embryos</b>		<b>Frozen Embryos</b>	
	32		11	
	34.4		2 / 11	
	2.5		3.4	

### CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

**Current Name:** Sher Institutes for Reproductive Medicine–NYC

Donor egg?	Yes	Gestational carriers?	Yes	SART member?	No
Donor embryo?	No	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	Yes
Single women?	Yes			(See Appendix C for details.)	

<sup>a</sup> Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2006 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.

<sup>b</sup> When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.

<sup>c</sup> A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.

<sup>d</sup> Clinic-specific outcome rates are unreliable for women older than 42 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs. Readers are urged to review national outcomes for these age groups (see page 27).

<sup>e</sup> All ages (including ages >42) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.

**WEILL MEDICAL COLLEGE OF CORNELL UNIVERSITY<sup>o</sup>**  
**THE CENTER FOR REPRODUCTIVE MEDICINE AND INFERTILITY**  
**NEW YORK, NEW YORK**

**A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 79–88.**

### 2006 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART <sup>a</sup>				Patient Diagnosis			
IVF	100%	<b>Procedural Factors:</b>		Tubal factor	7%	Other factor	3%
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	59%	Ovulatory dysfunction	4%	Unknown factor	6%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	<1%	Diminished ovarian reserve	20%	<b>Multiple Factors:</b>	
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	0%	Endometriosis	4%	Female factors only	21%
				Uterine factor	1%	Female & male factors	18%
				Male factor	16%		

### 2006 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by Zev Rosenwaks, MD

2000-2001 Pregnancy Success Rates				
Type of Cycle	Age of Woman			
	<35	35-37	38-40	41-42 <sup>d</sup>
Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs				
Number of cycles	638	473	553	377
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies <sup>b</sup>	47.8	35.7	32.7	20.4
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	41.4	31.3	24.6	11.1
(Confidence Interval)	(37.5-45.3)	(27.1-35.7)	(21.1-28.4)	(8.1-14.8)
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	45.1	35.7	29.2	14.3
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	47.7	39.1	31.0	15.4
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births <sup>b</sup>	30.0	24.3	24.8	12.8
Percentage of cancellations <sup>b</sup>	8.2	12.5	15.7	22.3
Average number of embryos transferred	2.2	2.7	3.2	3.4
Percentage of pregnancies with twins <sup>b</sup>	35.4	32.5	17.7	14.3
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more <sup>b</sup>	2.0	5.9	4.4	2.6
Percentage of live births having multiple infants <sup>b,c</sup>	37.1	37.8	19.9	16.7
Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs				
Number of transfers	105	70	35	15
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	32.4	32.9	20.0	5 / 15
Average number of embryos transferred	1.9	2.2	2.0	2.5
All Ages Combined <sup>e</sup>				
Donor Eggs	Fresh Embryos		Frozen Embryos	
Number of transfers	125		40	
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	48.8		37.5	
Average number of embryos transferred	2.0		2.0	

### CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

**Current Name:** Weill Medical College of Cornell University, The Center for Reproductive Medicine and Infertility

Donor egg?	Yes	Gestational carriers?	Yes	SART member?	Yes
Donor embryo?	Yes	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	Yes
Single women?	Yes			(See Appendix C for details.)	

<sup>a</sup> Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2006 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.

<sup>b</sup> When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.

<sup>c</sup> A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.

<sup>d</sup> Clinic-specific outcome rates are unreliable for women older than 42 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs. Readers are urged to review national outcomes for these age groups (see page 27).

<sup>e</sup> All ages (including ages >42) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.

<sup>o</sup> Reflects clinic performed more than 50 cycles with Preimplantation Genetic Diagnosis (PGD) in 2006 and among them more than 10 specifically for the purpose of prevention of genetic disorders. See Appendix C for a complete list of clinics with  symbol.

## EAST COAST FERTILITY PLAINVIEW, NEW YORK

A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 79–88.

### 2006 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART <sup>a</sup>			Patient Diagnosis	
IVF	100%	<b>Procedural Factors:</b>	Tubal factor	9%
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	Ovulatory dysfunction	7%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	Diminished ovarian reserve	7%
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	Endometriosis	4%
			Uterine factor	<1%
			Male factor	16%
			Other factor	4%
			Unknown factor	24%
			<b>Multiple Factors:</b>	
			Female factors only	12%
			Female & male factors	17%

### 2006 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by David Kreiner, MD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman			
	<35	35–37	38–40	41–42 <sup>d</sup>
<b>Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs</b>				
Number of cycles	136	89	77	47
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies <sup>b</sup>	54.4	38.2	31.2	21.3
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	44.1	29.2	23.4	14.9
(Confidence Interval)	(35.6–52.9)	(20.1–39.8)	(14.5–34.4)	(6.2–28.3)
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	45.1	30.2	24.3	17.1
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	45.8	30.6	25.7	18.4
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births <sup>b</sup>	36.6	22.4	20.0	18.4
Percentage of cancellations <sup>b</sup>	2.2	3.4	3.9	12.8
Average number of embryos transferred	2.0	2.2	2.5	2.8
Percentage of pregnancies with twins <sup>b</sup>	17.6	23.5	20.8	1 / 10
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more <sup>b</sup>	0.0	0.0	0.0	0 / 10
Percentage of live births having multiple infants <sup>b,c</sup>	20.0	26.9	4 / 18	0 / 7
<b>Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs</b>				
Number of transfers	77	40	18	11
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	39.0	22.5	5 / 18	3 / 11
Average number of embryos transferred	2.0	2.1	2.1	2.7
<b>All Ages Combined<sup>e</sup></b>				
<b>Donor Eggs</b>	<b>Fresh Embryos</b>		<b>Frozen Embryos</b>	
	Number of transfers		6	
	Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>		2 / 6	
	Average number of embryos transferred		2.2	

### CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

**Current Name:** East Coast Fertility

Donor egg?	Yes	Gestational carriers?	Yes	SART member?	Yes
Donor embryo?	Yes	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	Yes
Single women?	Yes			(See Appendix C for details.)	

<sup>a</sup> Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2006 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.

<sup>b</sup> When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.

<sup>c</sup> A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.

<sup>d</sup> Clinic-specific outcome rates are unreliable for women older than 42 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs. Readers are urged to review national outcomes for these age groups (see page 27).

<sup>e</sup> All ages (including ages >42) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.

## LONG ISLAND IVF PORT JEFFERSON, NEW YORK

**A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 79–88.**

### 2006 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART <sup>a</sup>				Patient Diagnosis	
IVF	100%	<b>Procedural Factors:</b>		Tubal factor	11%
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	77%	Ovulatory dysfunction	7%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	0%	Diminished ovarian reserve	10%
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	<1%	Endometriosis	7%
				Uterine factor	<1%
				Male factor	18%
				Other factor	10%
				Unknown factor	8%
				<b>Multiple Factors:</b>	
				Female factors only	15%
				Female & male factors	15%

### 2006 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by Daniel Kenigsberg, MD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman			
	<35	35–37	38–40	41–42 <sup>d</sup>
<b>Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs</b>				
Number of cycles	161	125	112	63
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies <sup>b</sup>	44.1	40.8	33.9	11.1
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	41.0	36.0	25.0	4.8
(Confidence Interval)	(33.3–49.0)	(27.6–45.1)	(17.3–34.1)	(1.0–13.3)
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	45.8	39.8	29.5	6.3
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	47.8	42.5	32.2	6.4
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births <sup>b</sup>	35.5	31.1	24.1	6.4
Percentage of cancellations <sup>b</sup>	10.6	9.6	15.2	23.8
Average number of embryos transferred	2.1	2.3	2.8	3.1
Percentage of pregnancies with twins <sup>b</sup>	31.0	27.5	21.1	0 / 7
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more <sup>b</sup>	1.4	2.0	5.3	0 / 7
Percentage of live births having multiple infants <sup>b,c</sup>	25.8	26.7	25.0	0 / 3
<b>Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs</b>				
Number of transfers	81	43	27	11
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	38.3	44.2	29.6	1 / 11
Average number of embryos transferred	2.5	2.3	2.7	2.5
<b>All Ages Combined<sup>e</sup></b>				
<b>Donor Eggs</b>	<b>Fresh Embryos</b>		<b>Frozen Embryos</b>	
	Number of transfers		60	
	Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>		63	
	Average number of embryos transferred		28.6	
			2.5	

### CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

**Current Name:** Long Island IVF

Donor egg?	Yes	Gestational carriers?	Yes	SART member?	Yes
Donor embryo?	Yes	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	Yes
Single women?	Yes			(See Appendix C for details.)	

<sup>a</sup> Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2006 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.

<sup>b</sup> When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.

<sup>c</sup> A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.

<sup>d</sup> Clinic-specific outcome rates are unreliable for women older than 42 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs. Readers are urged to review national outcomes for these age groups (see page 27).

<sup>e</sup> All ages (including ages >42) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.



## ROCHESTER FERTILITY CARE, PC ROCHESTER, NEW YORK

A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 79–88.

### 2006 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART <sup>a</sup>			Patient Diagnosis	
IVF	100%	<b>Procedural Factors:</b>	Tubal factor	11%
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	Ovulatory dysfunction	2%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	Diminished ovarian reserve	14%
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	Endometriosis	4%
			Uterine factor	0%
			Male factor	22%
			Other factor	5%
			Unknown factor	1%
			<b>Multiple Factors:</b>	
			Female factors only	16%
			Female & male factors	25%

### 2006 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by Rosalind A. Hayes, MD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman			
	<35	35–37	38–40	41–42 <sup>d</sup>
<b>Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs</b>				
Number of cycles	24	21	10	0
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies <sup>b</sup>	25.0	33.3	2 / 10	
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	20.8	28.6	2 / 10	
(Confidence Interval)	(7.1–42.2)	(11.3–52.2)		
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	21.7	6 / 17	2 / 9	
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	22.7	6 / 16	2 / 9	
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births <sup>b</sup>	18.2	5 / 16	0 / 9	
Percentage of cancellations <sup>b</sup>	4.2	19.0	1 / 10	
Average number of embryos transferred	3.3	2.6	2.1	
Percentage of pregnancies with twins <sup>b</sup>	1 / 6	1 / 7	1 / 2	
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more <sup>b</sup>	0 / 6	0 / 7	1 / 2	
Percentage of live births having multiple infants <sup>b,c</sup>	1 / 5	1 / 6	2 / 2	
<b>Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs</b>				
Number of transfers	8	3	4	0
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	2 / 8	2 / 3	0 / 4	
Average number of embryos transferred	2.5	1.7	2.0	
<b>All Ages Combined<sup>e</sup></b>				
<b>Donor Eggs</b>	<b>Fresh Embryos</b>		<b>Frozen Embryos</b>	
	11		9	
	5 / 11		2 / 9	
	3.0		2.7	

### CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

**Current Name:** Rochester Fertility Care, PC

Donor egg?	Yes	Gestational carriers?	Yes	SART member?	Yes
Donor embryo?	Yes	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	Yes
Single women?	Yes			(See Appendix C for details.)	

<sup>a</sup> Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2006 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.

<sup>b</sup> When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.

<sup>c</sup> A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.

<sup>d</sup> Clinic-specific outcome rates are unreliable for women older than 42 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs. Readers are urged to review national outcomes for these age groups (see page 27).

<sup>e</sup> All ages (including ages >42) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.



## STRONG FERTILITY AND REPRODUCTIVE SCIENCE CENTER ROCHESTER, NEW YORK

**A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 79–88.**

### 2006 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART <sup>a</sup>			Patient Diagnosis	
IVF	100%	<b>Procedural Factors:</b>	Tubal factor	12%
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	Ovulatory dysfunction	6%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	Diminished ovarian reserve	5%
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	Endometriosis	3%
			Uterine factor	<1%
			Male factor	23%
			Other factor	3%
			Unknown factor	6%
			<b>Multiple Factors:</b>	
			Female factors only	18%
			Female & male factors	24%

### 2006 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by Vivian Lewis, MD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman			
	<35	35–37	38–40	41–42 <sup>d</sup>
<b>Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs</b>				
Number of cycles	104	50	37	4
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies <sup>b</sup>	35.6	26.0	21.6	0 / 4
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	32.7	24.0	16.2	0 / 4
(Confidence Interval)	(23.8–42.6)	(13.1–38.2)	(6.2–32.0)	
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	33.7	26.7	20.7	0 / 2
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	34.7	27.9	21.4	0 / 2
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births <sup>b</sup>	25.5	18.6	7.1	0 / 2
Percentage of cancellations <sup>b</sup>	2.9	10.0	21.6	2 / 4
Average number of embryos transferred	2.6	2.6	2.5	4.5
Percentage of pregnancies with twins <sup>b</sup>	21.6	2 / 13	4 / 8	
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more <sup>b</sup>	5.4	2 / 13	1 / 8	
Percentage of live births having multiple infants <sup>b,c</sup>	26.5	4 / 12	4 / 6	
<b>Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs</b>				
Number of transfers	35	19	10	1
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	31.4	7 / 19	1 / 10	0 / 1
Average number of embryos transferred	2.5	2.4	2.0	3.0
<b>All Ages Combined<sup>e</sup></b>				
<b>Donor Eggs</b>	<b>Fresh Embryos</b>		<b>Frozen Embryos</b>	
	26		14	
	53.8		3 / 14	
	2.2		2.1	

### CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

**Current Name:** This clinic has closed or reorganized since 2006. Information on current clinic services and profile therefore is not provided here. Contact the NASS Help Desk for current information about this clinic.

<sup>a</sup> Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2006 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.

<sup>b</sup> When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.

<sup>c</sup> A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.

<sup>d</sup> Clinic-specific outcome rates are unreliable for women older than 42 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs. Readers are urged to review national outcomes for these age groups (see page 27).

<sup>e</sup> All ages (including ages >42) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.

## ISLAND REPRODUCTIVE SERVICES STATEN ISLAND, NEW YORK

A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 79–88.

### 2006 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART <sup>a</sup>				Patient Diagnosis			
IVF	100%	<b>Procedural Factors:</b>		Tubal factor	<1%	Other factor	2%
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	54%	Ovulatory dysfunction	2%	Unknown factor	0%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	0%	Diminished ovarian reserve	0%	<b>Multiple Factors:</b>	
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	0%	Endometriosis	2%	Female factors only	28%
				Uterine factor	0%	Female & male factors	57%
				Male factor	9%		

### 2006 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by Eric S. Knochenhauer, MD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman			
	<35	35–37	38–40	41–42 <sup>d</sup>
<b>Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs</b>				
Number of cycles	44	20	23	8
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies <sup>b</sup>	54.5	70.0	26.1	1 / 8
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	47.7	60.0	21.7	0 / 8
(Confidence Interval)	(32.5–63.3)	(36.1–80.9)	(7.5–43.7)	
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	50.0	12 / 18	5 / 17	0 / 5
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	50.0	12 / 18	5 / 17	0 / 5
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births <sup>b</sup>	42.9	8 / 18	4 / 17	0 / 5
Percentage of cancellations <sup>b</sup>	4.5	10.0	26.1	3 / 8
Average number of embryos transferred	2.9	3.4	3.2	4.2
Percentage of pregnancies with twins <sup>b</sup>	12.5	3 / 14	3 / 6	0 / 1
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more <sup>b</sup>	0.0	2 / 14	0 / 6	0 / 1
Percentage of live births having multiple infants <sup>b,c</sup>	14.3	4 / 12	1 / 5	
<b>Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs</b>				
Number of transfers	13	1	2	1
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	8 / 13	1 / 1	0 / 2	1 / 1
Average number of embryos transferred	3.8	3.0	3.5	4.0
<b>All Ages Combined<sup>e</sup></b>				
<b>Donor Eggs</b>	<b>Fresh Embryos</b>		<b>Frozen Embryos</b>	
Number of transfers	0		0	
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>				
Average number of embryos transferred				

### CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

**Current Name:** Island Reproductive Services

Donor egg?	Yes	Gestational carriers?	No	SART member?	Yes
Donor embryo?	No	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	Yes
Single women?	Yes			(See Appendix C for details.)	

<sup>a</sup> Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2006 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.

<sup>b</sup> When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.

<sup>c</sup> A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.

<sup>d</sup> Clinic-specific outcome rates are unreliable for women older than 42 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs. Readers are urged to review national outcomes for these age groups (see page 27).

<sup>e</sup> All ages (including ages >42) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.

# GOLD COAST IVF REPRODUCTIVE MEDICINE AND SURGERY CENTER SYOSSET, NEW YORK

**A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 79–88.**

## 2006 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART <sup>a</sup>				Patient Diagnosis			
IVF	100%	<b>Procedural Factors:</b>		Tubal factor	5%	Other factor	0%
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	71%	Ovulatory dysfunction	5%	Unknown factor	3%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	0%	Diminished ovarian reserve	3%	<b>Multiple Factors:</b>	
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	0%	Endometriosis	4%	Female factors only	20%
				Uterine factor	0%	Female & male factors	50%
				Male factor	11%		

## 2006 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by Steven F. Palter, MD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman			
	<35	35–37	38–40	41–42 <sup>d</sup>
<b>Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs</b>				
Number of cycles	28	18	14	4
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies <sup>b</sup>	57.1	10 / 18	7 / 14	0 / 4
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	57.1	7 / 18	6 / 14	0 / 4
(Confidence Interval)	(37.2–75.5)			
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	59.3	7 / 16	6 / 13	0 / 3
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	64.0	7 / 16	6 / 13	0 / 3
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births <sup>b</sup>	36.0	6 / 16	4 / 13	0 / 3
Percentage of cancellations <sup>b</sup>	3.6	2 / 18	1 / 14	1 / 4
Average number of embryos transferred	2.8	2.7	3.8	3.0
Percentage of pregnancies with twins <sup>b</sup>	8 / 16	1 / 10	3 / 7	
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more <sup>b</sup>	0 / 16	0 / 10	1 / 7	
Percentage of live births having multiple infants <sup>b,c</sup>	7 / 16	1 / 7	2 / 6	
<b>Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs</b>				
Number of transfers	1	1	1	0
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	1 / 1	1 / 1	0 / 1	
Average number of embryos transferred	4.0	4.0	5.0	
<b>All Ages Combined<sup>e</sup></b>				
<b>Donor Eggs</b>	<b>Fresh Embryos</b>		<b>Frozen Embryos</b>	
	0		1	
			1 / 1	
			3.0	

## CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

**Current Name:** Gold Coast IVF, Reproductive Medicine and Surgery Center

Donor egg?	Yes	Gestational carriers?	Yes	SART member?	Yes
Donor embryo?	Yes	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	Yes
Single women?	Yes			(See Appendix C for details.)	

<sup>a</sup> Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2006 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.

<sup>b</sup> When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.

<sup>c</sup> A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.

<sup>d</sup> Clinic-specific outcome rates are unreliable for women older than 42 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs. Readers are urged to review national outcomes for these age groups (see page 27).

<sup>e</sup> All ages (including ages >42) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.

## CNY FERTILITY CENTER SYRACUSE, NEW YORK

A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 79–88.

### 2006 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART <sup>a</sup>			Patient Diagnosis	
IVF	100%	<b>Procedural Factors:</b>	Tubal factor	13%
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	Ovulatory dysfunction	8%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	Diminished ovarian reserve	16%
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	Endometriosis	5%
			Uterine factor	<1%
			Male factor	12%
			Other factor	5%
			Unknown factor	12%
			<b>Multiple Factors:</b>	
			Female factors only	16%
			Female & male factors	13%

### 2006 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by Robert J. Kiltz, MD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman			
	<35	35–37	38–40	41–42 <sup>d</sup>
<b>Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs</b>				
Number of cycles	429	234	184	89
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies <sup>b</sup>	41.0	35.9	26.1	12.4
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	35.2	26.9	19.6	5.6
(Confidence Interval)	(30.7–39.9)	(21.4–33.1)	(14.1–26.0)	(1.8–12.6)
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	37.7	28.9	22.8	6.3
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	39.1	30.4	25.5	7.5
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births <sup>b</sup>	25.4	20.8	21.3	4.5
Percentage of cancellations <sup>b</sup>	6.5	6.8	14.1	11.2
Average number of embryos transferred	2.6	2.7	2.9	3.6
Percentage of pregnancies with twins <sup>b</sup>	31.3	31.0	16.7	3 / 11
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more <sup>b</sup>	5.7	7.1	0.0	0 / 11
Percentage of live births having multiple infants <sup>b,c</sup>	35.1	31.7	16.7	2 / 5
<b>Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs</b>				
Number of transfers	141	58	37	11
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	7.8	12.1	10.8	1 / 11
Average number of embryos transferred	2.4	2.3	2.4	2.5
<b>All Ages Combined<sup>e</sup></b>				
<b>Donor Eggs</b>	<b>Fresh Embryos</b>		<b>Frozen Embryos</b>	
	125		33	
	45.6		9.1	
	2.7		2.5	

### CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

**Current Name:** CNY Fertility Center

Donor egg?	Yes	Gestational carriers?	Yes	SART member?	Yes
Donor embryo?	No	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	Yes
Single women?	Yes			(See Appendix C for details.)	

<sup>a</sup> Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2006 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.

<sup>b</sup> When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.

<sup>c</sup> A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.

<sup>d</sup> Clinic-specific outcome rates are unreliable for women older than 42 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs. Readers are urged to review national outcomes for these age groups (see page 27).

<sup>e</sup> All ages (including ages >42) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.

## WESTCHESTER FERTILITY AND REPRODUCTIVE ENDOCRINOLOGY WHITE PLAINS, NEW YORK

A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 79–88.

### 2006 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART <sup>a</sup>				Patient Diagnosis	
IVF	100%	<b>Procedural Factors:</b>		Tubal factor	14%
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	44%	Ovulatory dysfunction	5%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	0%	Diminished ovarian reserve	7%
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	0%	Endometriosis	5%
				Uterine factor	3%
				Male factor	8%
				Other factor	0%
				Unknown factor	6%
				<b>Multiple Factors:</b>	
				Female factors only	32%
				Female & male factors	21%

### 2006 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by Michael B. Blotner, MD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman			
	<35	35–37	38–40	41–42 <sup>d</sup>
<b>Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs</b>				
Number of cycles	30	25	15	8
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies <sup>b</sup>	36.7	40.0	3 / 15	0 / 8
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	26.7	32.0	2 / 15	0 / 8
(Confidence Interval)	(12.3–45.9)	(14.9–53.5)		
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	29.6	33.3	2 / 12	0 / 2
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	34.8	34.8	2 / 12	0 / 2
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births <sup>b</sup>	26.1	34.8	2 / 12	0 / 2
Percentage of cancellations <sup>b</sup>	10.0	4.0	3 / 15	6 / 8
Average number of embryos transferred	2.5	2.6	2.8	2.0
Percentage of pregnancies with twins <sup>b</sup>	2 / 11	2 / 10	0 / 3	
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more <sup>b</sup>	0 / 11	1 / 10	0 / 3	
Percentage of live births having multiple infants <sup>b,c</sup>	2 / 8	0 / 8	0 / 2	
<b>Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs</b>				
Number of transfers	9	8	1	2
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	2 / 9	1 / 8	0 / 1	0 / 2
Average number of embryos transferred	2.1	2.5	2.0	2.0
<b>All Ages Combined<sup>e</sup></b>				
<b>Donor Eggs</b>	<b>Fresh Embryos</b>		<b>Frozen Embryos</b>	
	0		2	
			0 / 2	
			1.5	

### CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

**Current Name:** Westchester Fertility and Reproductive Endocrinology

Donor egg?	Yes	Gestational carriers?	No	SART member?	Yes
Donor embryo?	No	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	Yes
Single women?	Yes			(See Appendix C for details.)	

<sup>a</sup> Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2006 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.

<sup>b</sup> When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.

<sup>c</sup> A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.

<sup>d</sup> Clinic-specific outcome rates are unreliable for women older than 42 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs. Readers are urged to review national outcomes for these age groups (see page 27).

<sup>e</sup> All ages (including ages >42) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.

# NORTH CAROLINA CENTER FOR REPRODUCTIVE MEDICINE THE TALBERT FERTILITY INSTITUTE CARY, NORTH CAROLINA

A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 79–88.

## 2006 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART <sup>a</sup>				Patient Diagnosis			
IVF	100%	<b>Procedural Factors:</b>		Tubal factor	8%	Other factor	12%
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	55%	Ovulatory dysfunction	8%	Unknown factor	10%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	0%	Diminished ovarian reserve	9%	<i>Multiple Factors:</i>	
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	0%	Endometriosis	9%	Female factors only	5%
				Uterine factor	4%	Female & male factors	10%
				Male factor	24%		

## 2006 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by Sameh K. Toma, MD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman			
	<35	35–37	38–40	41–42 <sup>d</sup>
<b>Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs</b>				
Number of cycles	185	85	77	21
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies <sup>b</sup>	38.4	34.1	10.4	19.0
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	36.8	32.9	10.4	9.5
(Confidence Interval)	(29.8–44.1)	(23.1–44.0)	(4.6–19.4)	(1.2–30.4)
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	39.3	36.4	13.1	2 / 16
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	40.0	37.3	13.1	2 / 14
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births <sup>b</sup>	30.0	26.7	9.8	1 / 14
Percentage of cancellations <sup>b</sup>	6.5	9.4	20.8	23.8
Average number of embryos transferred	2.8	3.1	3.3	3.4
Percentage of pregnancies with twins <sup>b</sup>	21.1	27.6	3 / 8	1 / 4
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more <sup>b</sup>	2.8	0.0	0 / 8	0 / 4
Percentage of live births having multiple infants <sup>b,c</sup>	25.0	28.6	2 / 8	1 / 2
<b>Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs</b>				
Number of transfers	41	13	6	2
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	26.8	4 / 13	1 / 6	1 / 2
Average number of embryos transferred	3.0	3.1	2.8	2.5
<b>All Ages Combined<sup>e</sup></b>				
<b>Donor Eggs</b>	<b>Fresh Embryos</b>		<b>Frozen Embryos</b>	
Number of transfers	58		17	
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	51.7		4 / 17	
Average number of embryos transferred	2.7		2.7	

## CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

**Current Name:** North Carolina Center for Reproductive Medicine, The Talbert Fertility Institute

Donor egg?	Yes	Gestational carriers?	Yes	SART member?	Yes
Donor embryo?	Yes	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	Yes
Single women?	Yes			(See Appendix C for details.)	

<sup>a</sup> Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2006 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.

<sup>b</sup> When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.

<sup>c</sup> A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.

<sup>d</sup> Clinic-specific outcome rates are unreliable for women older than 42 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs. Readers are urged to review national outcomes for these age groups (see page 27).

<sup>e</sup> All ages (including ages >42) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.



# UNIVERSITY OF NORTH CAROLINA A.R.T. CLINIC CHAPEL HILL, NORTH CAROLINA

A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 79–88.

## 2006 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART <sup>a</sup>				Patient Diagnosis			
IVF	100%	<b>Procedural Factors:</b>		Tubal factor	10%	Other factor	3%
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	50%	Ovulatory dysfunction	14%	Unknown factor	9%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	0%	Diminished ovarian reserve	4%	<b>Multiple Factors:</b>	
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	0%	Endometriosis	6%	Female factors only	5%
				Uterine factor	2%	Female & male factors	22%
				Male factor	24%		

## 2006 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by Marc A. Fritz, MD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman			
	<35	35–37	38–40	41–42 <sup>d</sup>
<b>Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs</b>				
Number of cycles	94	49	28	12
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies <sup>b</sup>	47.9	24.5	17.9	2 / 12
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	45.7	22.4	7.1	1 / 12
(Confidence Interval)	(35.4–56.3)	(11.8–36.6)	(0.9–23.5)	
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	59.7	34.4	2 / 16	1 / 9
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	61.4	35.5	2 / 16	1 / 9
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births <sup>b</sup>	42.9	22.6	1 / 16	1 / 9
Percentage of cancellations <sup>b</sup>	23.4	34.7	42.9	3 / 12
Average number of embryos transferred	2.1	2.9	3.4	3.6
Percentage of pregnancies with twins <sup>b</sup>	37.8	2 / 12	1 / 5	0 / 2
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more <sup>b</sup>	0.0	2 / 12	0 / 5	0 / 2
Percentage of live births having multiple infants <sup>b,c</sup>	30.2	4 / 11	1 / 2	0 / 1
<b>Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs</b>				
Number of transfers	32	5	5	1
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	28.1	1 / 5	2 / 5	0 / 1
Average number of embryos transferred	2.2	3.0	2.0	3.0
<b>All Ages Combined<sup>e</sup></b>				
<b>Donor Eggs</b>	<b>Fresh Embryos</b>		<b>Frozen Embryos</b>	
	14		9	
	8 / 14		3 / 9	
	2.0		2.4	

## CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

**Current Name:** University of North Carolina A.R.T. Clinic

Donor egg?	Yes	Gestational carriers?	Yes	SART member?	Yes
Donor embryo?	No	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	Yes
Single women?	Yes			(See Appendix C for details.)	

<sup>a</sup> Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2006 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.

<sup>b</sup> When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.

<sup>c</sup> A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.

<sup>d</sup> Clinic-specific outcome rates are unreliable for women older than 42 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs. Readers are urged to review national outcomes for these age groups (see page 27).

<sup>e</sup> All ages (including ages >42) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.



# INSTITUTE FOR ASSISTED REPRODUCTION CHARLOTTE, NORTH CAROLINA

A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 79–88.

## 2006 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART <sup>a</sup>			Patient Diagnosis			
IVF	100%	<b>Procedural Factors:</b>	Tubal factor	18%	Other factor	15%
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	Ovulatory dysfunction	9%	Unknown factor	15%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	Diminished ovarian reserve	10%	<b>Multiple Factors:</b>	
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	Endometriosis	12%	Female factors only	<1%
			Uterine factor	1%	Female & male factors	<1%
			Male factor	20%		

## 2006 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by Jack L. Crain, MD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman			
	<35	35–37	38–40	41–42 <sup>d</sup>
<b>Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs</b>				
Number of cycles	285	154	87	26
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies <sup>b</sup>	54.4	45.5	37.9	26.9
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	48.1	37.7	31.0	11.5
(Confidence Interval)	(42.1–54.0)	(30.0–45.8)	(21.5–41.9)	(2.4–30.2)
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	51.3	43.0	37.0	13.6
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	56.6	47.2	39.1	3 / 18
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births <sup>b</sup>	35.1	32.5	30.4	3 / 18
Percentage of cancellations <sup>b</sup>	6.3	12.3	16.1	15.4
Average number of embryos transferred	2.1	2.3	2.7	2.4
Percentage of pregnancies with twins <sup>b</sup>	36.8	32.9	21.2	0 / 7
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more <sup>b</sup>	3.2	0.0	3.0	0 / 7
Percentage of live births having multiple infants <sup>b,c</sup>	38.0	31.0	22.2	0 / 3
<b>Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs</b>				
Number of transfers	69	29	13	2
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	43.5	37.9	1 / 13	0 / 2
Average number of embryos transferred	2.0	1.8	1.8	2.5
<b>All Ages Combined<sup>e</sup></b>				
<b>Donor Eggs</b>	<b>Fresh Embryos</b>		<b>Frozen Embryos</b>	
	38		15	
	55.3		6 / 15	
	2.1		1.7	

## CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

**Current Name:** Institute for Assisted Reproduction

Donor egg?	Yes	Gestational carriers?	Yes	SART member?	Yes
Donor embryo?	No	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	Yes
Single women?	Yes			(See Appendix C for details.)	

<sup>a</sup> Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2006 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.

<sup>b</sup> When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.

<sup>c</sup> A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.

<sup>d</sup> Clinic-specific outcome rates are unreliable for women older than 42 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs. Readers are urged to review national outcomes for these age groups (see page 27).

<sup>e</sup> All ages (including ages >42) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.

## PROGRAM FOR ASSISTED REPRODUCTION, CAROLINAS MEDICAL CENTER CHARLOTTE, NORTH CAROLINA

A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 79–88.

### 2006 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART <sup>a</sup>				Patient Diagnosis			
IVF	>99%	<b>Procedural Factors:</b>		Tubal factor	5%	Other factor	6%
GIFT	<1%	With ICSI	67%	Ovulatory dysfunction	6%	Unknown factor	11%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	0%	Diminished ovarian reserve	2%	<i>Multiple Factors:</i>	
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	0%	Endometriosis	4%	Female factors only	26%
				Uterine factor	0%	Female & male factors	26%
				Male factor	15%		

### 2006 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by Bradley S. Hurst, MD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman			
	<35	35–37	38–40	41–42 <sup>d</sup>
<b>Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs</b>				
Number of cycles	68	34	23	8
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies <sup>b</sup>	44.1	35.3	21.7	1 / 8
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	39.7	23.5	17.4	0 / 8
(Confidence Interval)	(28.0–52.3)	(10.7–41.2)	(5.0–38.8)	
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	41.5	26.7	4 / 19	0 / 7
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	41.5	26.7	4 / 19	0 / 7
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births <sup>b</sup>	27.7	26.7	4 / 19	0 / 7
Percentage of cancellations <sup>b</sup>	4.4	11.8	17.4	1 / 8
Average number of embryos transferred	2.1	2.3	3.0	3.6
Percentage of pregnancies with twins <sup>b</sup>	33.3	4 / 12	0 / 5	0 / 1
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more <sup>b</sup>	3.3	0 / 12	0 / 5	0 / 1
Percentage of live births having multiple infants <sup>b,c</sup>	33.3	0 / 8	0 / 4	
<b>Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs</b>				
Number of transfers	20	14	10	2
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	45.0	3 / 14	1 / 10	0 / 2
Average number of embryos transferred	2.1	2.4	2.7	3.0
<b>All Ages Combined<sup>e</sup></b>				
<b>Donor Eggs</b>	<b>Fresh Embryos</b>		<b>Frozen Embryos</b>	
	5		8	
	3 / 5		2 / 8	
Average number of embryos transferred	2.0		2.5	

### CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

**Current Name:** Program for Assisted Reproduction, Carolinas Medical Center

Donor egg?	Yes	Gestational carriers?	Yes	SART member?	Yes
Donor embryo?	Yes	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	Yes
Single women?	Yes			(See Appendix C for details.)	

<sup>a</sup> Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2006 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.

<sup>b</sup> When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.

<sup>c</sup> A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.

<sup>d</sup> Clinic-specific outcome rates are unreliable for women older than 42 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs. Readers are urged to review national outcomes for these age groups (see page 27).

<sup>e</sup> All ages (including ages >42) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.

**DUKE FERTILITY CENTER  
DUKE UNIVERSITY MEDICAL CENTER  
DURHAM, NORTH CAROLINA**

**A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 79–88.**

### 2006 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART <sup>a</sup>			Patient Diagnosis	
IVF	100%	<b>Procedural Factors:</b>	Tubal factor	13%
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	Ovulatory dysfunction	17%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	Diminished ovarian reserve	14%
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	Endometriosis	12%
			Uterine factor	2%
			Male factor	1%
			Other factor	6%
			Unknown factor	36%
			<b>Multiple Factors:</b>	
			Female factors only	0%
			Female & male factors	<1%

### 2006 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by David K. Walmer, MD, PhD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman			
	<35	35–37	38–40	41–42 <sup>d</sup>
<b>Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs</b>				
Number of cycles	117	77	42	5
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies <sup>b</sup>	46.2	32.5	31.0	2 / 5
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	38.5	26.0	28.6	1 / 5
(Confidence Interval)	(29.6–47.9)	(16.6–37.2)	(15.7–44.6)	
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	41.3	30.8	40.0	1 / 3
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	45.0	31.3	40.0	1 / 3
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births <sup>b</sup>	26.0	25.0	33.3	1 / 3
Percentage of cancellations <sup>b</sup>	6.8	15.6	28.6	2 / 5
Average number of embryos transferred	2.4	2.7	2.7	2.3
Percentage of pregnancies with twins <sup>b</sup>	37.0	24.0	1 / 13	0 / 2
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more <sup>b</sup>	9.3	0.0	2 / 13	0 / 2
Percentage of live births having multiple infants <sup>b,c</sup>	42.2	20.0	2 / 12	0 / 1
<b>Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs</b>				
Number of transfers	41	16	10	3
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	36.6	7 / 16	1 / 10	0 / 3
Average number of embryos transferred	2.9	2.6	2.5	2.7
<b>All Ages Combined<sup>e</sup></b>				
<b>Donor Eggs</b>	<b>Fresh Embryos</b>		<b>Frozen Embryos</b>	
	29		29	
	62.1		48.3	
	2.6		2.9	

### CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

**Current Name:** This clinic has closed or reorganized since 2006. Information on current clinic services and profile therefore is not provided here. Contact the NASS Help Desk for current information about this clinic.

<sup>a</sup> Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2006 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.

<sup>b</sup> When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.

<sup>c</sup> A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.

<sup>d</sup> Clinic-specific outcome rates are unreliable for women older than 42 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs. Readers are urged to review national outcomes for these age groups (see page 27).

<sup>e</sup> All ages (including ages >42) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.

# EAST CAROLINA UNIVERSITY GREENVILLE, NORTH CAROLINA

**A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 79–88.**

## 2006 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART <sup>a</sup>				Patient Diagnosis			
IVF	100%	<b>Procedural Factors:</b>		Tubal factor	24%	Other factor	0%
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	46%	Ovulatory dysfunction	7%	Unknown factor	13%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	0%	Diminished ovarian reserve	6%	<b>Multiple Factors:</b>	
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	0%	Endometriosis	5%	Female factors only	22%
				Uterine factor	0%	Female & male factors	8%
				Male factor	16%		

## 2006 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by Clifford C. Hayslip, MD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman			
	<35	35–37	38–40	41–42 <sup>d</sup>
<b>Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs</b>				
Number of cycles	40	18	6	4
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies <sup>b</sup>	37.5	6 / 18	3 / 6	1 / 4
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	32.5	5 / 18	2 / 6	0 / 4
(Confidence Interval)	(18.6–49.1)			
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	33.3	5 / 17	2 / 6	0 / 2
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	34.2	5 / 14	2 / 6	0 / 2
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births <sup>b</sup>	21.1	4 / 14	1 / 6	0 / 2
Percentage of cancellations <sup>b</sup>	2.5	1 / 18	0 / 6	2 / 4
Average number of embryos transferred	2.3	2.3	2.8	2.0
Percentage of pregnancies with twins <sup>b</sup>	4 / 15	2 / 6	1 / 3	0 / 1
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more <sup>b</sup>	1 / 15	0 / 6	0 / 3	0 / 1
Percentage of live births having multiple infants <sup>b,c</sup>	5 / 13	1 / 5	1 / 2	
<b>Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs</b>				
Number of transfers	17	6	1	0
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	2 / 17	0 / 6	0 / 1	
Average number of embryos transferred	2.6	2.0	4.0	
<b>All Ages Combined<sup>e</sup></b>				
<b>Donor Eggs</b>	<b>Fresh Embryos</b>		<b>Frozen Embryos</b>	
	4		2	
	2 / 4		0 / 2	
	2.5		1.5	

## CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

**Current Name:** East Carolina University

Donor egg?	Yes	Gestational carriers?	No	SART member?	Yes
Donor embryo?	No	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	Yes
Single women?	Yes			(See Appendix C for details.)	

<sup>a</sup> Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2006 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.

<sup>b</sup> When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.

<sup>c</sup> A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.

<sup>d</sup> Clinic-specific outcome rates are unreliable for women older than 42 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs. Readers are urged to review national outcomes for these age groups (see page 27).

<sup>e</sup> All ages (including ages >42) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.

## CAROLINA CONCEPTIONS RALEIGH, NORTH CAROLINA

A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 79–88.

### 2006 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART <sup>a</sup>				Patient Diagnosis			
IVF	100%	<b>Procedural Factors:</b>		Tubal factor	2%	Other factor	0%
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	71%	Ovulatory dysfunction	5%	Unknown factor	73%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	37%	Diminished ovarian reserve	17%	<b>Multiple Factors:</b>	
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	0%	Endometriosis	2%	Female factors only	0%
				Uterine factor	0%	Female & male factors	0%
				Male factor	0%		

### 2006 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by Bill Meyer, MD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman			
	<35	35–37	38–40	41–42 <sup>d</sup>
<b>Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs</b>				
Number of cycles	16	8	9	2
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies <sup>b</sup>	6 / 16	2 / 8	1 / 9	0 / 2
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup> (Confidence Interval)	6 / 16	1 / 8	1 / 9	0 / 2
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	6 / 16	1 / 8	1 / 9	0 / 2
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	6 / 16	1 / 8	1 / 7	0 / 2
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births <sup>b</sup>	6 / 16	0 / 8	1 / 7	0 / 2
Percentage of cancellations <sup>b</sup>	0 / 16	0 / 8	0 / 9	0 / 2
Average number of embryos transferred	2.2	2.3	3.3	4.0
Percentage of pregnancies with twins <sup>b</sup>	1 / 6	1 / 2	0 / 1	
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more <sup>b</sup>	1 / 6	0 / 2	0 / 1	
Percentage of live births having multiple infants <sup>b,c</sup>	0 / 6	1 / 1	0 / 1	
<b>Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs</b>				
Number of transfers	1	0	1	0
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	0 / 1		0 / 1	
Average number of embryos transferred	3.0		3.0	
<b>All Ages Combined<sup>e</sup></b>				
<b>Donor Eggs</b>	<b>Fresh Embryos</b>		<b>Frozen Embryos</b>	
Number of transfers	0		0	
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>				
Average number of embryos transferred				

### CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

**Current Name:** Carolina Conceptions

Donor egg?	Yes	Gestational carriers?	No	SART member?	Yes
Donor embryo?	No	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	Yes
Single women?	Yes			(See Appendix C for details.)	

<sup>a</sup> Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2006 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.

<sup>b</sup> When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.

<sup>c</sup> A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.

<sup>d</sup> Clinic-specific outcome rates are unreliable for women older than 42 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs. Readers are urged to review national outcomes for these age groups (see page 27).

<sup>e</sup> All ages (including ages >42) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.

# WAKE FOREST UNIVERSITY CENTER FOR REPRODUCTIVE MEDICINE WINSTON–SALEM, NORTH CAROLINA

**A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 79–88.**

## 2006 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART <sup>a</sup>				Patient Diagnosis	
IVF	100%	<b>Procedural Factors:</b>		Tubal factor	17%
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	74%	Ovulatory dysfunction	4%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	0%	Diminished ovarian reserve	4%
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	0%	Endometriosis	9%
				Uterine factor	0%
				Male factor	19%
				Other factor	4%
				Unknown factor	4%
				<b>Multiple Factors:</b>	
				Female factors only	17%
				Female & male factors	22%

## 2006 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by Tamer M. Yalcinkaya, MD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman			
	<35	35–37	38–40	41–42 <sup>d</sup>
<b>Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs</b>				
Number of cycles	62	33	22	8
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies <sup>b</sup>	43.5	42.4	40.9	0 / 8
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	37.1	39.4	31.8	0 / 8
(Confidence Interval)	(25.2–50.3)	(22.9–57.9)	(13.9–54.9)	
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	41.1	41.9	7 / 19	0 / 8
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	42.6	48.1	7 / 17	0 / 7
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births <sup>b</sup>	24.1	29.6	3 / 17	0 / 7
Percentage of cancellations <sup>b</sup>	9.7	6.1	13.6	0 / 8
Average number of embryos transferred	2.7	2.4	2.8	2.4
Percentage of pregnancies with twins <sup>b</sup>	33.3	5 / 14	4 / 9	
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more <sup>b</sup>	3.7	1 / 14	0 / 9	
Percentage of live births having multiple infants <sup>b,c</sup>	43.5	5 / 13	4 / 7	
<b>Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs</b>				
Number of transfers	16	5	2	1
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	7 / 16	2 / 5	1 / 2	1 / 1
Average number of embryos transferred	2.1	1.8	3.0	2.0
<b>All Ages Combined<sup>e</sup></b>				
<b>Donor Eggs</b>	<b>Fresh Embryos</b>		<b>Frozen Embryos</b>	
	4		2	
	2 / 4		1 / 2	
	2.3		3.0	

## CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

**Current Name:** Wake Forest University Center for Reproductive Medicine

Donor egg?	Yes	Gestational carriers?	No	SART member?	Yes
Donor embryo?	Yes	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	Pending
Single women?	Yes			(See Appendix C for details.)	

<sup>a</sup> Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2006 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.

<sup>b</sup> When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.

<sup>c</sup> A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.

<sup>d</sup> Clinic-specific outcome rates are unreliable for women older than 42 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs. Readers are urged to review national outcomes for these age groups (see page 27).

<sup>e</sup> All ages (including ages >42) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.



## MERITCARE REPRODUCTIVE MEDICINE FARGO, NORTH DAKOTA

A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 79–88.

### 2006 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART <sup>a</sup>				Patient Diagnosis			
IVF	100%	<b>Procedural Factors:</b>		Tubal factor	14%	Other factor	4%
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	84%	Ovulatory dysfunction	17%	Unknown factor	8%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	0%	Diminished ovarian reserve	2%	<i>Multiple Factors:</i>	
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	0%	Endometriosis	12%	Female factors only	4%
				Uterine factor	3%	Female & male factors	15%
				Male factor	22%		

### 2006 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by Steffen P. Christensen, MD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman			
	<35	35–37	38–40	41–42 <sup>d</sup>
<b>Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs</b>				
Number of cycles	69	17	6	5
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies <sup>b</sup>	23.2	4 / 17	1 / 6	0 / 5
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	21.7	4 / 17	1 / 6	0 / 5
(Confidence Interval)	(12.7–33.3)			
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	22.4	4 / 12	1 / 6	0 / 1
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	23.8	4 / 12	1 / 4	
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births <sup>b</sup>	11.1	4 / 12	1 / 4	
Percentage of cancellations <sup>b</sup>	2.9	5 / 17	0 / 6	4 / 5
Average number of embryos transferred	2.4	2.8	3.3	
Percentage of pregnancies with twins <sup>b</sup>	7 / 16	0 / 4	0 / 1	
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more <sup>b</sup>	2 / 16	0 / 4	0 / 1	
Percentage of live births having multiple infants <sup>b,c</sup>	8 / 15	0 / 4	0 / 1	
<b>Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs</b>				
Number of transfers	26	4	2	0
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	38.5	1 / 4	2 / 2	
Average number of embryos transferred	2.8	3.5	3.5	
<b>All Ages Combined<sup>e</sup></b>				
<b>Donor Eggs</b>	<b>Fresh Embryos</b>		<b>Frozen Embryos</b>	
Number of transfers	0		2	
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>			0 / 2	
Average number of embryos transferred			2.0	

### CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

**Current Name:** MeritCare Reproductive Medicine

Donor egg?	Yes	Gestational carriers?	Yes	SART member?	Yes
Donor embryo?	Yes	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	Yes
Single women?	Yes			(See Appendix C for details.)	

<sup>a</sup> Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2006 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.

<sup>b</sup> When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.

<sup>c</sup> A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.

<sup>d</sup> Clinic-specific outcome rates are unreliable for women older than 42 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs. Readers are urged to review national outcomes for these age groups (see page 27).

<sup>e</sup> All ages (including ages >42) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.

**FERTILITY UNLIMITED, INC.**  
**NORTHEASTERN OHIO FERTILITY CENTER**  
**AKRON, OHIO**

**A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 79–88.**

**2006 ART CYCLE PROFILE**

Type of ART <sup>a</sup>				Patient Diagnosis			
IVF	100%	<b>Procedural Factors:</b>		Tubal factor	24%	Other factor	4%
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	50%	Ovulatory dysfunction	2%	Unknown factor	2%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	3%	Diminished ovarian reserve	6%	<i>Multiple Factors:</i>	
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	3%	Endometriosis	11%	Female factors only	26%
				Uterine factor	0%	Female & male factors	18%
				Male factor	9%		

**2006 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES**

Data verified by Nicholas J. Spirtos, DO

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman			
	<35	35–37	38–40	41–42 <sup>d</sup>
<b>Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs</b>				
Number of cycles	22	5	6	5
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies <sup>b</sup>	45.5	0 / 5	2 / 6	0 / 5
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	45.5	0 / 5	2 / 6	0 / 5
(Confidence Interval)	(24.4–67.8)			
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	47.6	0 / 5	2 / 6	0 / 4
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	47.6	0 / 5	2 / 6	0 / 4
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births <sup>b</sup>	33.3	0 / 5	0 / 6	0 / 4
Percentage of cancellations <sup>b</sup>	4.5	0 / 5	0 / 6	1 / 5
Average number of embryos transferred	2.8	2.6	3.7	2.5
Percentage of pregnancies with twins <sup>b</sup>	2 / 10		2 / 2	
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more <sup>b</sup>	1 / 10		0 / 2	
Percentage of live births having multiple infants <sup>b,c</sup>	3 / 10		2 / 2	
<b>Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs</b>				
Number of transfers	5	0	0	0
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	0 / 5			
Average number of embryos transferred	2.0			
<b>All Ages Combined<sup>e</sup></b>				
<b>Donor Eggs</b>	<b>Fresh Embryos</b>		<b>Frozen Embryos</b>	
	6		6	
	2 / 6		1 / 6	
	2.8		2.3	

**CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE**

**Current Name:** Fertility Unlimited, Inc., Northeastern Ohio Fertility Center

Donor egg?	Yes	Gestational carriers?	Yes	SART member?	Yes
Donor embryo?	Yes	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	Pending
Single women?	Yes			(See Appendix C for details.)	

<sup>a</sup> Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2006 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.

<sup>b</sup> When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.

<sup>c</sup> A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.

<sup>d</sup> Clinic-specific outcome rates are unreliable for women older than 42 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs. Readers are urged to review national outcomes for these age groups (see page 27).

<sup>e</sup> All ages (including ages >42) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.

## REPRODUCTIVE GYNECOLOGY AKRON, OHIO

**A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 79–88.**

### 2006 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART <sup>a</sup>				Patient Diagnosis			
IVF	100%	<b>Procedural Factors:</b>		Tubal factor	12%	Other factor	<1%
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	75%	Ovulatory dysfunction	1%	Unknown factor	2%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	0%	Diminished ovarian reserve	3%	<i>Multiple Factors:</i>	
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	2%	Endometriosis	7%	Female factors only	14%
				Uterine factor	1%	Female & male factors	44%
				Male factor	15%		

### 2006 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by Richard W. Moretuzzo, MD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman			
	<35	35–37	38–40	41–42 <sup>d</sup>
<b>Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs</b>				
Number of cycles	115	39	30	7
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies <sup>b</sup>	52.2	33.3	33.3	3 / 7
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	42.6	28.2	23.3	3 / 7
(Confidence Interval)	(33.4–52.2)	(15.0–44.9)	(9.9–42.3)	
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	43.8	31.4	26.9	3 / 6
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	45.0	31.4	28.0	3 / 6
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births <sup>b</sup>	25.7	25.7	16.0	2 / 6
Percentage of cancellations <sup>b</sup>	2.6	10.3	13.3	1 / 7
Average number of embryos transferred	3.0	2.9	3.1	3.0
Percentage of pregnancies with twins <sup>b</sup>	38.3	1 / 13	3 / 10	1 / 3
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more <sup>b</sup>	10.0	2 / 13	0 / 10	0 / 3
Percentage of live births having multiple infants <sup>b,c</sup>	42.9	2 / 11	3 / 7	1 / 3
<b>Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs</b>				
Number of transfers	29	12	8	1
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	48.3	7 / 12	3 / 8	0 / 1
Average number of embryos transferred	2.7	2.7	2.9	3.0
<b>All Ages Combined<sup>e</sup></b>				
<b>Donor Eggs</b>	<b>Fresh Embryos</b>		<b>Frozen Embryos</b>	
	14		8	
	8 / 14		3 / 8	
Average number of embryos transferred	2.9		3.0	

### CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

**Current Name:** Reproductive Gynecology

Donor egg?	Yes	Gestational carriers?	Yes	SART member?	Yes
Donor embryo?	Yes	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	Yes
Single women?	Yes			(See Appendix C for details.)	

<sup>a</sup> Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2006 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.

<sup>b</sup> When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.

<sup>c</sup> A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.

<sup>d</sup> Clinic-specific outcome rates are unreliable for women older than 42 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs. Readers are urged to review national outcomes for these age groups (see page 27).

<sup>e</sup> All ages (including ages >42) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.

# BETHESDA CENTER FOR REPRODUCTIVE HEALTH & FERTILITY CINCINNATI, OHIO

A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 79–88.

## 2006 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART <sup>a</sup>			Patient Diagnosis	
IVF	100%	<b>Procedural Factors:</b>	Tubal factor	13%
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	Ovulatory dysfunction	6%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	Diminished ovarian reserve	27%
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	Endometriosis	4%
			Uterine factor	<1%
			Male factor	12%
			Other factor	5%
			Unknown factor	13%
			<b>Multiple Factors:</b>	
			Female factors only	4%
			Female & male factors	15%

## 2006 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by Glen E. Hofmann, MD, PhD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman			
	<35	35–37	38–40	41–42 <sup>d</sup>
<b>Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs</b>				
Number of cycles	86	30	32	13
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies <sup>b</sup>	45.3	33.3	21.9	0 / 13
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	41.9	20.0	6.3	0 / 13
(Confidence Interval)	(31.3–53.0)	(7.7–38.6)	(0.8–20.8)	
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	50.0	28.6	8.7	0 / 8
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	50.7	6 / 19	8.7	0 / 7
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births <sup>b</sup>	22.5	4 / 19	4.3	0 / 7
Percentage of cancellations <sup>b</sup>	16.3	30.0	28.1	5 / 13
Average number of embryos transferred	2.1	2.1	2.4	2.9
Percentage of pregnancies with twins <sup>b</sup>	53.8	2 / 10	2 / 7	
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more <sup>b</sup>	2.6	0 / 10	0 / 7	
Percentage of live births having multiple infants <sup>b,c</sup>	55.6	2 / 6	1 / 2	
<b>Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs</b>				
Number of transfers	29	7	7	0
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	17.2	2 / 7	0 / 7	
Average number of embryos transferred	1.8	1.6	1.6	
<b>All Ages Combined<sup>e</sup></b>				
<b>Donor Eggs</b>	<b>Fresh Embryos</b>		<b>Frozen Embryos</b>	
	21		21	
	71.4		57.1	
	2.0		1.9	

## CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

**Current Name:** Bethesda Center for Reproductive Health & Fertility

Donor egg?	Yes	Gestational carriers?	Yes	SART member?	Yes
Donor embryo?	Yes	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	Yes
Single women?	Yes			(See Appendix C for details.)	

<sup>a</sup> Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2006 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.

<sup>b</sup> When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.

<sup>c</sup> A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.

<sup>d</sup> Clinic-specific outcome rates are unreliable for women older than 42 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs. Readers are urged to review national outcomes for these age groups (see page 27).

<sup>e</sup> All ages (including ages >42) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.

# CENTER FOR REPRODUCTIVE HEALTH CINCINNATI, OHIO

**A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 79–88.**

## 2006 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART <sup>a</sup>				Patient Diagnosis			
IVF	100%	<b>Procedural Factors:</b>		Tubal factor	11%	Other factor	3%
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	48%	Ovulatory dysfunction	7%	Unknown factor	8%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	0%	Diminished ovarian reserve	10%	<i>Multiple Factors:</i>	
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	<1%	Endometriosis	5%	Female factors only	13%
				Uterine factor	0%	Female & male factors	22%
				Male factor	21%		

## 2006 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by Daniel B. Williams, MD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman			
	<35	35–37	38–40	41–42 <sup>d</sup>
<b>Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs</b>				
Number of cycles	70	23	20	4
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies <sup>b</sup>	45.7	30.4	25.0	2 / 4
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	42.9	26.1	15.0	1 / 4
(Confidence Interval)	(31.1–55.3)	(10.2–48.4)	(3.2–37.9)	
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	47.6	6 / 19	3 / 17	1 / 4
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	49.2	6 / 18	3 / 15	1 / 4
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births <sup>b</sup>	39.3	3 / 18	2 / 15	1 / 4
Percentage of cancellations <sup>b</sup>	10.0	17.4	15.0	0 / 4
Average number of embryos transferred	2.1	2.7	2.6	3.8
Percentage of pregnancies with twins <sup>b</sup>	18.8	3 / 7	0 / 5	0 / 2
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more <sup>b</sup>	0.0	0 / 7	1 / 5	0 / 2
Percentage of live births having multiple infants <sup>b,c</sup>	20.0	3 / 6	1 / 3	0 / 1
<b>Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs</b>				
Number of transfers	18	6	2	0
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	10 / 18	2 / 6	1 / 2	
Average number of embryos transferred	2.3	2.5	3.5	
<b>All Ages Combined<sup>e</sup></b>				
<b>Donor Eggs</b>	<b>Fresh Embryos</b>		<b>Frozen Embryos</b>	
	19		8	
	12 / 19		1 / 8	
	2.2		2.1	

## CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

**Current Name:** Center for Reproductive Health

Donor egg?	Yes	Gestational carriers?	Yes	SART member?	Yes
Donor embryo?	Yes	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	Yes
Single women?	Yes			(See Appendix C for details.)	

<sup>a</sup> Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2006 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.

<sup>b</sup> When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.

<sup>c</sup> A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.

<sup>d</sup> Clinic-specific outcome rates are unreliable for women older than 42 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs. Readers are urged to review national outcomes for these age groups (see page 27).

<sup>e</sup> All ages (including ages >42) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.

# INSTITUTE FOR REPRODUCTIVE HEALTH CINCINNATI, OHIO

A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 79–88.

## 2006 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART <sup>a</sup>				Patient Diagnosis			
IVF	>99%	<b>Procedural Factors:</b>		Tubal factor	8%	Other factor	4%
GIFT	<1%	With ICSI	54%	Ovulatory dysfunction	8%	Unknown factor	6%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	0%	Diminished ovarian reserve	8%	<b>Multiple Factors:</b>	
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	1%	Endometriosis	12%	Female factors only	16%
				Uterine factor	1%	Female & male factors	21%
				Male factor	18%		

## 2006 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by Sherif G. Awadalla, MD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman			
	<35	35–37	38–40	41–42 <sup>d</sup>
<b>Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs</b>				
Number of cycles	328	115	77	14
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies <sup>b</sup>	46.3	40.9	35.1	4 / 14
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	39.0	31.3	23.4	2 / 14
(Confidence Interval)	(33.7–44.5)	(23.0–40.6)	(14.5–34.4)	
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	42.2	34.3	27.3	2 / 12
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	43.5	36.4	28.6	2 / 11
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births <sup>b</sup>	29.9	25.3	20.6	2 / 11
Percentage of cancellations <sup>b</sup>	7.6	8.7	14.3	2 / 14
Average number of embryos transferred	2.1	2.8	3.2	3.9
Percentage of pregnancies with twins <sup>b</sup>	27.6	23.4	18.5	0 / 4
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more <sup>b</sup>	2.0	0.0	0.0	1 / 4
Percentage of live births having multiple infants <sup>b,c</sup>	31.3	30.6	5 / 18	0 / 2
<b>Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs</b>				
Number of transfers	114	43	21	4
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	33.3	30.2	38.1	0 / 4
Average number of embryos transferred	2.4	2.6	2.8	3.5
<b>All Ages Combined<sup>e</sup></b>				
<b>Donor Eggs</b>	<b>Fresh Embryos</b>		<b>Frozen Embryos</b>	
	58		35	
	44.8		37.1	
Number of transfers	58		35	
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	44.8		37.1	
Average number of embryos transferred	2.3		2.9	

## CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

**Current Name:** Institute for Reproductive Health

Donor egg?	Yes	Gestational carriers?	Yes	SART member?	Yes
Donor embryo?	Yes	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	Yes
Single women?	Yes			(See Appendix C for details.)	

<sup>a</sup> Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2006 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.

<sup>b</sup> When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.

<sup>c</sup> A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.

<sup>d</sup> Clinic-specific outcome rates are unreliable for women older than 42 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs. Readers are urged to review national outcomes for these age groups (see page 27).

<sup>e</sup> All ages (including ages >42) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.



# CLEVELAND CLINIC FERTILITY CENTER CLEVELAND, OHIO

A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 79–88.

## 2006 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART <sup>a</sup>				Patient Diagnosis	
IVF	100%	<b>Procedural Factors:</b>		Tubal factor	14%
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	77%	Ovulatory dysfunction	10%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	0%	Diminished ovarian reserve	8%
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	1%	Endometriosis	6%
				Uterine factor	1%
				Male factor	18%
				Other factor	5%
				Unknown factor	25%
				<b>Multiple Factors:</b>	
				Female factors only	4%
				Female & male factors	9%

## 2006 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by James Goldfarb, MD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman			
	<35	35–37	38–40	41–42 <sup>d</sup>
<b>Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs</b>				
Number of cycles	261	164	101	44
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies <sup>b</sup>	49.8	37.8	26.7	13.6
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	46.4	32.9	16.8	9.1
(Confidence Interval)	(40.2–52.6)	(25.8–40.7)	(10.1–25.6)	(2.5–21.7)
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	56.3	42.2	23.9	14.3
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	56.8	42.9	23.9	16.7
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births <sup>b</sup>	38.5	28.6	23.9	12.5
Percentage of cancellations <sup>b</sup>	17.6	22.0	29.7	36.4
Average number of embryos transferred	2.2	2.5	3.1	3.3
Percentage of pregnancies with twins <sup>b</sup>	33.1	37.1	7.4	2 / 6
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more <sup>b</sup>	3.1	3.2	0.0	1 / 6
Percentage of live births having multiple infants <sup>b,c</sup>	32.2	33.3	0 / 17	1 / 4
<b>Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs</b>				
Number of transfers	91	76	41	13
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	28.6	19.7	9.8	2 / 13
Average number of embryos transferred	2.1	2.1	2.3	2.3
<b>All Ages Combined<sup>e</sup></b>				
<b>Donor Eggs</b>	<b>Fresh Embryos</b>		<b>Frozen Embryos</b>	
	40		22	
	57.5		22.7	
	2.1		2.1	

## CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

**Current Name:** Cleveland Clinic Fertility Center

Donor egg?	Yes	Gestational carriers?	Yes	SART member?	Yes
Donor embryo?	Yes	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	Yes
Single women?	Yes			(See Appendix C for details.)	

<sup>a</sup> Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2006 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.

<sup>b</sup> When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.

<sup>c</sup> A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.

<sup>d</sup> Clinic-specific outcome rates are unreliable for women older than 42 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs. Readers are urged to review national outcomes for these age groups (see page 27).

<sup>e</sup> All ages (including ages >42) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.

**MACDONALD FERTILITY AND IVF PROGRAM**  
**UNIVERSITY HOSPITALS, MACDONALD WOMEN'S HOSPITAL**  
**CLEVELAND, OHIO**

**A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 79–88.**

**2006 ART CYCLE PROFILE**

Type of ART <sup>a</sup>			Patient Diagnosis	
IVF	100%	<b>Procedural Factors:</b>	Tubal factor	9%
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	Ovulatory dysfunction	2%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	Diminished ovarian reserve	6%
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	Endometriosis	9%
			Uterine factor	4%
			Male factor	22%
			Other factor	4%
			Unknown factor	2%
			<b>Multiple Factors:</b>	
			Female factors only	13%
			Female & male factors	30%

**2006 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES**

Data verified by William W. Hurd, MD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman			
	<35	35–37	38–40	41–42 <sup>d</sup>
<b>Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs</b>				
Number of cycles	101	29	31	20
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies <sup>b</sup>	44.6	58.6	38.7	30.0
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	35.6	55.2	25.8	15.0
(Confidence Interval)	(26.4–45.8)	(35.7–73.6)	(11.9–44.6)	(3.2–37.9)
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	39.6	61.5	38.1	3 / 17
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	40.0	64.0	40.0	3 / 16
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births <sup>b</sup>	23.3	40.0	35.0	3 / 16
Percentage of cancellations <sup>b</sup>	9.9	10.3	32.3	15.0
Average number of embryos transferred	2.5	2.6	3.2	2.9
Percentage of pregnancies with twins <sup>b</sup>	35.6	7 / 17	0 / 12	0 / 6
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more <sup>b</sup>	0.0	1 / 17	1 / 12	0 / 6
Percentage of live births having multiple infants <sup>b,c</sup>	41.7	6 / 16	1 / 8	0 / 3
<b>Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs</b>				
Number of transfers	14	8	10	7
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	5 / 14	1 / 8	1 / 10	2 / 7
Average number of embryos transferred	2.2	2.3	2.6	3.6
<b>All Ages Combined<sup>e</sup></b>				
<b>Donor Eggs</b>	<b>Fresh Embryos</b>		<b>Frozen Embryos</b>	
	20		1	
	55.0		1 / 1	
	2.5		3.0	

**CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE**

**Current Name:** MacDonald Fertility and IVF

Donor egg?	Yes	Gestational carriers?	Yes	SART member?	Yes
Donor embryo?	Yes	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	Yes
Single women?	Yes			(See Appendix C for details.)	

<sup>a</sup> Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2006 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.

<sup>b</sup> When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.

<sup>c</sup> A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.

<sup>d</sup> Clinic-specific outcome rates are unreliable for women older than 42 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs. Readers are urged to review national outcomes for these age groups (see page 27).

<sup>e</sup> All ages (including ages >42) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.

**METROHEALTH MEDICAL CENTER  
METROHEALTH FERTILITY CENTER  
CLEVELAND, OHIO**

**A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 79–88.**

### 2006 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART <sup>a</sup>				Patient Diagnosis			
IVF	100%	<b>Procedural Factors:</b>		Tubal factor	0%	Other factor	38%
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	80%	Ovulatory dysfunction	0%	Unknown factor	0%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	0%	Diminished ovarian reserve	0%	<b>Multiple Factors:</b>	
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	0%	Endometriosis	0%	Female factors only	0%
				Uterine factor	0%	Female & male factors	50%
				Male factor	13%		

### 2006 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by Khalid M. Ataya, MD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman			
	<35	35–37	38–40	41–42 <sup>d</sup>
<b>Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs</b>				
Number of cycles	2	3	0	0
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies <sup>b</sup>	0 / 2	1 / 3		
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	0 / 2	0 / 3		
(Confidence Interval)				
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	0 / 1	0 / 3		
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	0 / 1	0 / 3		
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births <sup>b</sup>	0 / 1	0 / 3		
Percentage of cancellations <sup>b</sup>	1 / 2	0 / 3		
Average number of embryos transferred	3.0	3.0		
Percentage of pregnancies with twins <sup>b</sup>		1 / 1		
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more <sup>b</sup>		0 / 1		
Percentage of live births having multiple infants <sup>b,c</sup>				
<b>Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs</b>				
Number of transfers	1	2	0	0
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	0 / 1	0 / 2		
Average number of embryos transferred	3.0	2.5		
<b>All Ages Combined<sup>e</sup></b>				
<b>Donor Eggs</b>				
	<b>Fresh Embryos</b>		<b>Frozen Embryos</b>	
Number of transfers	0		0	
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>				
Average number of embryos transferred				

### CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

**Current Name:** MetroHealth Medical Center, MetroHealth Fertility Center

Donor egg?	No	Gestational carriers?	No	SART member?	Yes
Donor embryo?	Yes	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	Yes
Single women?	Yes			(See Appendix C for details.)	

<sup>a</sup> Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2006 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.

<sup>b</sup> When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.

<sup>c</sup> A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.

<sup>d</sup> Clinic-specific outcome rates are unreliable for women older than 42 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs. Readers are urged to review national outcomes for these age groups (see page 27).

<sup>e</sup> All ages (including ages >42) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.

# OHIO REPRODUCTIVE MEDICINE COLUMBUS, OHIO

A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 79–88.

## 2006 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART <sup>a</sup>				Patient Diagnosis	
IVF	>99%	<b>Procedural Factors:</b>		Tubal factor	20%
GIFT	<1%	With ICSI	40%	Ovulatory dysfunction	3%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	0%	Diminished ovarian reserve	9%
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	<1%	Endometriosis	8%
				Uterine factor	<1%
				Male factor	23%
				Other factor	5%
				Unknown factor	25%
				<b>Multiple Factors:</b>	
				Female factors only	3%
				Female & male factors	5%

## 2006 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by Grant Schmidt, MD, PhD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman			
	<35	35–37	38–40	41–42 <sup>d</sup>
<b>Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs</b>				
Number of cycles	235	98	67	31
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies <sup>b</sup>	45.1	36.7	32.8	25.8
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	38.7	29.6	25.4	19.4
(Confidence Interval)	(32.5–45.3)	(20.8–39.7)	(15.5–37.5)	(7.5–37.5)
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	41.2	34.5	27.9	25.0
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	42.9	37.7	30.4	27.3
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births <sup>b</sup>	33.5	26.0	17.9	22.7
Percentage of cancellations <sup>b</sup>	6.0	14.3	9.0	22.6
Average number of embryos transferred	2.3	2.6	3.2	4.2
Percentage of pregnancies with twins <sup>b</sup>	25.5	27.8	36.4	1 / 8
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more <sup>b</sup>	1.9	0.0	4.5	0 / 8
Percentage of live births having multiple infants <sup>b,c</sup>	22.0	31.0	7 / 17	1 / 6
<b>Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs</b>				
Number of transfers	54	25	13	6
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	25.9	8.0	1 / 13	1 / 6
Average number of embryos transferred	2.3	2.1	2.2	2.7
<b>All Ages Combined<sup>e</sup></b>				
<b>Donor Eggs</b>	<b>Fresh Embryos</b>		<b>Frozen Embryos</b>	
	31		12	
	41.9		4 / 12	
	2.2		2.6	

## CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

**Current Name:** Ohio Reproductive Medicine

Donor egg?	Yes	Gestational carriers?	Yes	SART member?	Yes
Donor embryo?	Yes	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	Yes
Single women?	Yes			(See Appendix C for details.)	

<sup>a</sup> Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2006 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.

<sup>b</sup> When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.

<sup>c</sup> A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.

<sup>d</sup> Clinic-specific outcome rates are unreliable for women older than 42 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs. Readers are urged to review national outcomes for these age groups (see page 27).

<sup>e</sup> All ages (including ages >42) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.

## KETTERING REPRODUCTIVE MEDICINE KETTERING, OHIO

A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 79–88.

### 2006 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART <sup>a</sup>			Patient Diagnosis	
IVF	100%	<b>Procedural Factors:</b>	Tubal factor	19%
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	Ovulatory dysfunction	7%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	Diminished ovarian reserve	13%
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	Endometriosis	13%
			Uterine factor	0%
			Male factor	25%
			Other factor	2%
			Unknown factor	2%
			<b>Multiple Factors:</b>	
			Female factors only	0%
			Female & male factors	18%

### 2006 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by Thomas H. Burwinkel, MD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman			
	<35	35–37	38–40	41–42 <sup>d</sup>
<b>Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs</b>				
Number of cycles	49	20	9	5
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies <sup>b</sup>	32.7	15.0	2 / 9	0 / 5
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	30.6	10.0	2 / 9	0 / 5
(Confidence Interval)	(18.3–45.4)	(1.2–31.7)		
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	32.6	2 / 19	2 / 8	0 / 4
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	35.7	2 / 18	2 / 8	0 / 3
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births <sup>b</sup>	23.8	1 / 18	1 / 8	0 / 3
Percentage of cancellations <sup>b</sup>	6.1	5.0	1 / 9	1 / 5
Average number of embryos transferred	2.1	3.0	3.1	3.3
Percentage of pregnancies with twins <sup>b</sup>	6 / 16	1 / 3	1 / 2	
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more <sup>b</sup>	1 / 16	0 / 3	0 / 2	
Percentage of live births having multiple infants <sup>b,c</sup>	5 / 15	1 / 2	1 / 2	
<b>Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs</b>				
Number of transfers	43	18	3	3
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	37.2	2 / 18	1 / 3	0 / 3
Average number of embryos transferred	2.1	2.9	2.3	2.7
<b>All Ages Combined<sup>e</sup></b>				
<b>Donor Eggs</b>	<b>Fresh Embryos</b>		<b>Frozen Embryos</b>	
	15		2	
	5 / 15		0 / 2	
Number of transfers	2.1		2.5	
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>				
Average number of embryos transferred				

### CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

**Current Name:** Kettering Reproductive Medicine

Donor egg?	Yes	Gestational carriers?	Yes	SART member?	Yes
Donor embryo?	Yes	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	Yes
Single women?	No			(See Appendix C for details.)	

<sup>a</sup> Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2006 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.

<sup>b</sup> When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.

<sup>c</sup> A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.

<sup>d</sup> Clinic-specific outcome rates are unreliable for women older than 42 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs. Readers are urged to review national outcomes for these age groups (see page 27).

<sup>e</sup> All ages (including ages >42) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.

## FERTILITY CENTER OF NORTHWESTERN OHIO TOLEDO, OHIO

**A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 79–88.**

### 2006 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART <sup>a</sup>				Patient Diagnosis			
IVF	100%	<b>Procedural Factors:</b>		Tubal factor	19%	Other factor	0%
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	30%	Ovulatory dysfunction	9%	Unknown factor	4%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	<1%	Diminished ovarian reserve	5%	<b>Multiple Factors:</b>	
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	0%	Endometriosis	0%	Female factors only	18%
				Uterine factor	<1%	Female & male factors	25%
				Male factor	20%		

### 2006 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by Joseph V. Karnitis, MD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman			
	<35	35–37	38–40	41–42 <sup>d</sup>
<b>Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs</b>				
Number of cycles	45	29	12	4
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies <sup>b</sup>	26.7	24.1	4 / 12	2 / 4
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	20.0	17.2	2 / 12	0 / 4
(Confidence Interval)	(9.6–34.6)	(5.8–35.8)		
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	28.1	5 / 15	2 / 10	0 / 3
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	29.0	5 / 13	2 / 8	0 / 3
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births <sup>b</sup>	19.4	4 / 13	1 / 8	0 / 3
Percentage of cancellations <sup>b</sup>	28.9	48.3	2 / 12	1 / 4
Average number of embryos transferred	3.0	2.5	3.3	3.3
Percentage of pregnancies with twins <sup>b</sup>	2 / 12	1 / 7	1 / 4	2 / 2
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more <sup>b</sup>	2 / 12	0 / 7	0 / 4	0 / 2
Percentage of live births having multiple infants <sup>b,c</sup>	3 / 9	1 / 5	1 / 2	
<b>Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs</b>				
Number of transfers	7	0	1	1
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	1 / 7		1 / 1	0 / 1
Average number of embryos transferred	2.6		2.0	2.0
<b>All Ages Combined<sup>e</sup></b>				
<b>Donor Eggs</b>	<b>Fresh Embryos</b>		<b>Frozen Embryos</b>	
	3		0	
	1 / 3			
Average number of embryos transferred	2.7			

### CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

**Current Name:** Fertility Center of Northwestern Ohio

Donor egg?	Yes	Gestational carriers?	Yes	SART member?	Yes
Donor embryo?	Yes	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	Yes
Single women?	Yes			(See Appendix C for details.)	

<sup>a</sup> Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2006 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.

<sup>b</sup> When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.

<sup>c</sup> A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.

<sup>d</sup> Clinic-specific outcome rates are unreliable for women older than 42 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs. Readers are urged to review national outcomes for these age groups (see page 27).

<sup>e</sup> All ages (including ages >42) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.



# HENRY G. BENNETT, JR., FERTILITY INSTITUTE OKLAHOMA CITY, OKLAHOMA

**A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 79–88.**

## 2006 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART <sup>a</sup>				Patient Diagnosis			
IVF	100%	<b>Procedural Factors:</b>		Tubal factor	17%	Other factor	<1%
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	39%	Ovulatory dysfunction	17%	Unknown factor	7%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	0%	Diminished ovarian reserve	5%	<i>Multiple Factors:</i>	
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	0%	Endometriosis	6%	Female factors only	12%
				Uterine factor	<1%	Female & male factors	16%
				Male factor	19%		

## 2006 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by Eli Reshef, MD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman			
	<35	35–37	38–40	41–42 <sup>d</sup>
<b>Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs</b>				
Number of cycles	125	43	34	6
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies <sup>b</sup>	62.4	41.9	29.4	1 / 6
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	59.2	34.9	26.5	1 / 6
(Confidence Interval)	(50.1–67.9)	(21.0–50.9)	(12.9–44.4)	
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	61.7	38.5	32.1	1 / 5
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	62.7	40.5	36.0	1 / 4
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births <sup>b</sup>	34.7	32.4	32.0	1 / 4
Percentage of cancellations <sup>b</sup>	4.0	9.3	17.6	1 / 6
Average number of embryos transferred	2.1	2.6	2.4	2.3
Percentage of pregnancies with twins <sup>b</sup>	42.3	4 / 18	2 / 10	0 / 1
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more <sup>b</sup>	3.8	0 / 18	0 / 10	0 / 1
Percentage of live births having multiple infants <sup>b,c</sup>	44.6	3 / 15	1 / 9	0 / 1
<b>Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs</b>				
Number of transfers	11	6	1	0
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	0 / 11	1 / 6	0 / 1	
Average number of embryos transferred	2.4	2.8	2.0	
<b>All Ages Combined<sup>e</sup></b>				
<b>Donor Eggs</b>	<b>Fresh Embryos</b>		<b>Frozen Embryos</b>	
	Number of transfers		11	
	Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>		3 / 11	
	Average number of embryos transferred		3.0	

## CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

**Current Name:** Henry G. Bennett, Jr., Fertility Institute

Donor egg?	Yes	Gestational carriers?	No	SART member?	Yes
Donor embryo?	Yes	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	Yes
Single women?	No			(See Appendix C for details.)	

<sup>a</sup> Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2006 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.

<sup>b</sup> When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.

<sup>c</sup> A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.

<sup>d</sup> Clinic-specific outcome rates are unreliable for women older than 42 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs. Readers are urged to review national outcomes for these age groups (see page 27).

<sup>e</sup> All ages (including ages >42) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.

# CENTER FOR REPRODUCTIVE HEALTH, PC OKLAHOMA CITY, OKLAHOMA

**A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 79–88.**

## 2006 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART <sup>a</sup>				Patient Diagnosis			
IVF	100%	<b>Procedural Factors:</b>		Tubal factor	24%	Other factor	7%
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	78%	Ovulatory dysfunction	2%	Unknown factor	5%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	0%	Diminished ovarian reserve	4%	<b>Multiple Factors:</b>	
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	0%	Endometriosis	1%	Female factors only	13%
				Uterine factor	0%	Female & male factors	24%
				Male factor	18%		

## 2006 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by Karl R. Hansen, MD, PhD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman			
	<35	35–37	38–40	41–42 <sup>d</sup>
<b>Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs</b>				
Number of cycles	43	6	9	0
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies <sup>b</sup>	51.2	4 / 6	5 / 9	
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	51.2	4 / 6	3 / 9	
(Confidence Interval)	(35.5–66.7)			
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	64.7	4 / 5	3 / 9	
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	64.7	4 / 5	3 / 9	
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births <sup>b</sup>	41.2	4 / 5	3 / 9	
Percentage of cancellations <sup>b</sup>	20.9	1 / 6	0 / 9	
Average number of embryos transferred	2.1	2.4	2.7	
Percentage of pregnancies with twins <sup>b</sup>	50.0	0 / 4	1 / 5	
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more <sup>b</sup>	0.0	0 / 4	0 / 5	
Percentage of live births having multiple infants <sup>b,c</sup>	36.4	0 / 4	0 / 3	
<b>Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs</b>				
Number of transfers	8	1	0	1
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	4 / 8	0 / 1		0 / 1
Average number of embryos transferred	1.8	2.0		2.0
<b>All Ages Combined<sup>e</sup></b>				
<b>Donor Eggs</b>	<b>Fresh Embryos</b>		<b>Frozen Embryos</b>	
	10		2	
	5 / 10		1 / 2	
	2.0		2.0	

## CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

**Current Name:** Center for Reproductive Health, PC

Donor egg?	Yes	Gestational carriers?	Yes	SART member?	Yes
Donor embryo?	Yes	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	Yes
Single women?	Yes			(See Appendix C for details.)	

<sup>a</sup> Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2006 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.

<sup>b</sup> When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.

<sup>c</sup> A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.

<sup>d</sup> Clinic-specific outcome rates are unreliable for women older than 42 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs. Readers are urged to review national outcomes for these age groups (see page 27).

<sup>e</sup> All ages (including ages >42) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.

## TULSA CENTER FOR FERTILITY & WOMEN'S HEALTH TULSA, OKLAHOMA

A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 79–88.

### 2006 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART <sup>a</sup>			Patient Diagnosis	
IVF	100%	<b>Procedural Factors:</b>	Tubal factor	12%
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	Ovulatory dysfunction	6%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	Diminished ovarian reserve	<1%
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	Endometriosis	4%
			Uterine factor	0%
			Male factor	23%
			Other factor	20%
			Unknown factor	7%
			<b>Multiple Factors:</b>	
			Female factors only	7%
			Female & male factors	20%

### 2006 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by Stanley G. Prough, MD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman			
	<35	35–37	38–40	41–42 <sup>d</sup>
<b>Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs</b>				
Number of cycles	143	42	28	7
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies <sup>b</sup>	32.2	14.3	28.6	1 / 7
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	28.7	14.3	21.4	1 / 7
(Confidence Interval)	(21.4–36.8)	(5.4–28.5)	(8.3–41.0)	
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	32.0	19.4	23.1	1 / 6
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	34.7	20.0	25.0	1 / 6
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births <sup>b</sup>	22.0	13.3	25.0	0 / 6
Percentage of cancellations <sup>b</sup>	10.5	26.2	7.1	1 / 7
Average number of embryos transferred	2.1	2.3	2.3	2.3
Percentage of pregnancies with twins <sup>b</sup>	41.3	1 / 6	2 / 8	1 / 1
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more <sup>b</sup>	0.0	1 / 6	0 / 8	0 / 1
Percentage of live births having multiple infants <sup>b,c</sup>	36.6	2 / 6	0 / 6	1 / 1
<b>Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs</b>				
Number of transfers	32	10	6	1
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	34.4	6 / 10	2 / 6	1 / 1
Average number of embryos transferred	2.4	2.5	1.8	2.0
<b>All Ages Combined<sup>e</sup></b>				
<b>Donor Eggs</b>	<b>Fresh Embryos</b>		<b>Frozen Embryos</b>	
	21		22	
	71.4		31.8	
	2.1		2.4	

### CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

**Current Name:** Tulsa Fertility Center

Donor egg?	Yes	Gestational carriers?	No	SART member?	Yes
Donor embryo?	Yes	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	Yes
Single women?	No			(See Appendix C for details.)	

<sup>a</sup> Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2006 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.

<sup>b</sup> When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.

<sup>c</sup> A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.

<sup>d</sup> Clinic-specific outcome rates are unreliable for women older than 42 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs. Readers are urged to review national outcomes for these age groups (see page 27).

<sup>e</sup> All ages (including ages >42) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.

## THE FERTILITY CENTER OF OREGON EUGENE, OREGON

**A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 79–88.**

### 2006 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART <sup>a</sup>				Patient Diagnosis	
IVF	100%	<b>Procedural Factors:</b>		Tubal factor	18%
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	80%	Ovulatory dysfunction	3%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	0%	Diminished ovarian reserve	8%
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	0%	Endometriosis	4%
				Uterine factor	0%
				Male factor	19%
				Other factor	2%
				Unknown factor	9%
				<b>Multiple Factors:</b>	
				Female factors only	22%
				Female & male factors	15%

### 2006 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by Douglas J. Austin, MD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman			
	<35	35–37	38–40	41–42 <sup>d</sup>
<b>Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs</b>				
Number of cycles	32	22	10	6
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies <sup>b</sup>	46.9	50.0	0 / 10	3 / 6
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	40.6	45.5	0 / 10	2 / 6
(Confidence Interval)	(23.7–59.4)	(24.4–67.8)		
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	41.9	50.0	0 / 10	2 / 6
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	41.9	50.0	0 / 10	2 / 6
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births <sup>b</sup>	25.8	30.0	0 / 10	2 / 6
Percentage of cancellations <sup>b</sup>	3.1	9.1	0 / 10	0 / 6
Average number of embryos transferred	2.8	3.0	3.8	4.7
Percentage of pregnancies with twins <sup>b</sup>	6 / 15	4 / 11		1 / 3
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more <sup>b</sup>	0 / 15	1 / 11		0 / 3
Percentage of live births having multiple infants <sup>b,c</sup>	5 / 13	4 / 10		0 / 2
<b>Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs</b>				
Number of transfers	26	11	8	0
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	23.1	1 / 11	2 / 8	
Average number of embryos transferred	2.7	2.6	3.0	
<b>All Ages Combined<sup>e</sup></b>				
<b>Donor Eggs</b>	<b>Fresh Embryos</b>		<b>Frozen Embryos</b>	
	Number of transfers		9	
	Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>		5 / 9	
	Average number of embryos transferred		2.7	

### CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

**Current Name:** The Fertility Center of Oregon

Donor egg?	Yes	Gestational carriers?	Yes	SART member?	No
Donor embryo?	No	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	No
Single women?	Yes			(See Appendix C for details.)	

<sup>a</sup> Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2006 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.

<sup>b</sup> When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.

<sup>c</sup> A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.

<sup>d</sup> Clinic-specific outcome rates are unreliable for women older than 42 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs. Readers are urged to review national outcomes for these age groups (see page 27).

<sup>e</sup> All ages (including ages >42) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.

**NORTHWEST FERTILITY CENTER  
EUGENE M. STOELK, MD  
PORTLAND, OREGON**

A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 79–88.

### 2006 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART <sup>a</sup>				Patient Diagnosis			
IVF	100%	<b>Procedural Factors:</b>		Tubal factor	15%	Other factor	2%
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	40%	Ovulatory dysfunction	3%	Unknown factor	2%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	0%	Diminished ovarian reserve	4%	<b>Multiple Factors:</b>	
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	3%	Endometriosis	4%	Female factors only	25%
				Uterine factor	2%	Female & male factors	34%
				Male factor	10%		

### 2006 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by Eugene M. Stoelk, MD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman			
	<35	35–37	38–40	41–42 <sup>d</sup>
<b>Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs</b>				
Number of cycles	45	16	13	2
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies <sup>b</sup>	37.8	7 / 16	6 / 13	1 / 2
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	37.8	7 / 16	4 / 13	1 / 2
(Confidence Interval)	(23.8–53.5)			
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	39.5	7 / 16	4 / 13	1 / 2
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	43.6	7 / 16	4 / 13	1 / 2
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births <sup>b</sup>	23.1	4 / 16	4 / 13	0 / 2
Percentage of cancellations <sup>b</sup>	4.4	0 / 16	0 / 13	0 / 2
Average number of embryos transferred	2.2	2.9	3.8	2.0
Percentage of pregnancies with twins <sup>b</sup>	8 / 17	4 / 7	0 / 6	1 / 1
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more <sup>b</sup>	0 / 17	0 / 7	0 / 6	0 / 1
Percentage of live births having multiple infants <sup>b,c</sup>	8 / 17	3 / 7	0 / 4	1 / 1
<b>Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs</b>				
Number of transfers	32	12	3	0
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	31.3	2 / 12	1 / 3	
Average number of embryos transferred	2.7	3.1	3.7	
<b>All Ages Combined<sup>e</sup></b>				
<b>Donor Eggs</b>	<b>Fresh Embryos</b>		<b>Frozen Embryos</b>	
	Number of transfers		38	
	Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>		13.2	
	Average number of embryos transferred		2.8	

### CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

**Current Name:** Northwest Fertility Center, Eugene M. Stoelk, MD

Donor egg?	Yes	Gestational carriers?	Yes	SART member?	Yes
Donor embryo?	No	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	Yes
Single women?	Yes			(See Appendix C for details.)	

<sup>a</sup> Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2006 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.

<sup>b</sup> When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.

<sup>c</sup> A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.

<sup>d</sup> Clinic-specific outcome rates are unreliable for women older than 42 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs. Readers are urged to review national outcomes for these age groups (see page 27).

<sup>e</sup> All ages (including ages >42) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.

# PORTLAND CENTER FOR REPRODUCTIVE MEDICINE PORTLAND, OREGON

A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 79–88.

## 2006 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART <sup>a</sup>				Patient Diagnosis	
IVF	100%	<b>Procedural Factors:</b>		Tubal factor	8%
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	61%	Ovulatory dysfunction	4%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	<1%	Diminished ovarian reserve	29%
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	1%	Endometriosis	5%
				Uterine factor	1%
				Male factor	14%
				Other factor	7%
				Unknown factor	9%
				<b>Multiple Factors:</b>	
				Female factors only	9%
				Female & male factors	15%

## 2006 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by Robert K. Matteri, MD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman			
	<35	35–37	38–40	41–42 <sup>d</sup>
<b>Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs</b>				
Number of cycles	131	56	40	24
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies <sup>b</sup>	58.0	44.6	47.5	16.7
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	52.7	41.1	45.0	12.5
(Confidence Interval)	(43.8–61.5)	(28.1–55.0)	(29.3–61.5)	(2.7–32.4)
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	55.2	41.1	47.4	13.0
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	58.5	42.6	52.9	15.0
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births <sup>b</sup>	31.4	29.6	41.2	10.0
Percentage of cancellations <sup>b</sup>	4.6	0.0	5.0	4.2
Average number of embryos transferred	2.2	2.5	3.4	3.1
Percentage of pregnancies with twins <sup>b</sup>	51.3	32.0	4 / 19	1 / 4
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more <sup>b</sup>	3.9	4.0	3 / 19	0 / 4
Percentage of live births having multiple infants <sup>b,c</sup>	46.4	30.4	4 / 18	1 / 3
<b>Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs</b>				
Number of transfers	17	19	4	1
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	4 / 17	4 / 19	2 / 4	1 / 1
Average number of embryos transferred	2.6	2.6	3.0	2.0
<b>All Ages Combined<sup>e</sup></b>				
<b>Donor Eggs</b>	<b>Fresh Embryos</b>		<b>Frozen Embryos</b>	
	95		27	
	74.7		44.4	
	2.0		2.5	

## CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

**Current Name:** Oregon Reproductive Medicine

Donor egg?	Yes	Gestational carriers?	Yes	SART member?	Yes
Donor embryo?	Yes	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	Yes
Single women?	Yes			(See Appendix C for details.)	

<sup>a</sup> Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2006 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.

<sup>b</sup> When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.

<sup>c</sup> A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.

<sup>d</sup> Clinic-specific outcome rates are unreliable for women older than 42 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs. Readers are urged to review national outcomes for these age groups (see page 27).

<sup>e</sup> All ages (including ages >42) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.



**UNIVERSITY FERTILITY CONSULTANTS  
OREGON HEALTH & SCIENCE UNIVERSITY  
PORTLAND, OREGON**

**A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 79–88.**

### 2006 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART <sup>a</sup>			Patient Diagnosis	
IVF	100%	<b>Procedural Factors:</b>	Tubal factor	12%
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	Ovulatory dysfunction	4%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	Diminished ovarian reserve	3%
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	Endometriosis	5%
			Uterine factor	<1%
			Male factor	22%
			Other factor	26%
			Unknown factor	8%
			<b>Multiple Factors:</b>	
			Female factors only	5%
			Female & male factors	14%

### 2006 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by Marsha J. Gorrill, MD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman			
	<35	35–37	38–40	41–42 <sup>d</sup>
<b>Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs</b>				
Number of cycles	144	86	71	23
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies <sup>b</sup>	48.6	30.2	16.9	17.4
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	43.8	29.1	11.3	13.0
(Confidence Interval)	(35.5–52.3)	(19.8–39.9)	(5.0–21.0)	(2.8–33.6)
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	52.5	36.2	14.8	3 / 16
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	56.3	41.7	16.0	3 / 15
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births <sup>b</sup>	31.3	23.3	12.0	3 / 15
Percentage of cancellations <sup>b</sup>	16.7	19.8	23.9	30.4
Average number of embryos transferred	2.0	2.3	2.5	3.1
Percentage of pregnancies with twins <sup>b</sup>	41.4	42.3	2 / 12	0 / 4
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more <sup>b</sup>	1.4	7.7	0 / 12	0 / 4
Percentage of live births having multiple infants <sup>b,c</sup>	44.4	44.0	2 / 8	0 / 3
<b>Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs</b>				
Number of transfers	82	50	31	10
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	30.5	36.0	6.5	1 / 10
Average number of embryos transferred	2.3	2.6	2.6	2.2
<b>All Ages Combined<sup>e</sup></b>				
<b>Donor Eggs</b>	<b>Fresh Embryos</b>		<b>Frozen Embryos</b>	
	32		32	
	78.1		31.3	
	2.0		2.2	

### CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

**Current Name:** University Fertility Consultants, Oregon Health & Science University

Donor egg?	Yes	Gestational carriers?	Yes	SART member?	Yes
Donor embryo?	Yes	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	Yes
Single women?	Yes			(See Appendix C for details.)	

<sup>a</sup> Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2006 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.

<sup>b</sup> When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.

<sup>c</sup> A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.

<sup>d</sup> Clinic-specific outcome rates are unreliable for women older than 42 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs. Readers are urged to review national outcomes for these age groups (see page 27).

<sup>e</sup> All ages (including ages >42) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.

## TOLL CENTER FOR REPRODUCTIVE SCIENCES ABINGTON, PENNSYLVANIA

**A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 79–88.**

### 2006 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART <sup>a</sup>				Patient Diagnosis	
IVF	>99%	<b>Procedural Factors:</b>		Tubal factor	9%
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	77%	Ovulatory dysfunction	6%
ZIFT	<1%	Unstimulated	0%	Diminished ovarian reserve	12%
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	1%	Endometriosis	7%
				Uterine factor	<1%
				Male factor	21%
				Other factor	10%
				Unknown factor	2%
				<b>Multiple Factors:</b>	
				Female factors only	14%
				Female & male factors	18%

### 2006 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by Stephen G. Somkuti, MD, PhD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman			
	<35	35–37	38–40	41–42 <sup>d</sup>
<b>Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs</b>				
Number of cycles	184	86	58	22
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies <sup>b</sup>	48.4	25.6	29.3	13.6
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	45.7	23.3	22.4	13.6
(Confidence Interval)	(38.3–53.1)	(14.8–33.6)	(12.5–35.3)	(2.9–34.9)
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	47.7	25.3	27.1	3 / 19
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	51.5	28.6	31.0	3 / 16
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births <sup>b</sup>	39.3	20.0	21.4	2 / 16
Percentage of cancellations <sup>b</sup>	4.3	8.1	17.2	13.6
Average number of embryos transferred	2.3	2.5	2.9	2.7
Percentage of pregnancies with twins <sup>b</sup>	22.5	27.3	5 / 17	1 / 3
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more <sup>b</sup>	3.4	13.6	1 / 17	0 / 3
Percentage of live births having multiple infants <sup>b,c</sup>	23.8	30.0	4 / 13	1 / 3
<b>Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs</b>				
Number of transfers	48	16	7	1
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	33.3	1 / 16	2 / 7	0 / 1
Average number of embryos transferred	2.0	2.3	2.0	2.0
<b>All Ages Combined<sup>e</sup></b>				
<b>Donor Eggs</b>	<b>Fresh Embryos</b>		<b>Frozen Embryos</b>	
	10		15	
	6 / 10		4 / 15	
	2.0		2.4	

### CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

**Current Name:** Toll Center for Reproductive Sciences

Donor egg?	Yes	Gestational carriers?	Yes	SART member?	Yes
Donor embryo?	Yes	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	Yes
Single women?	Yes			(See Appendix C for details.)	

<sup>a</sup> Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2006 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.

<sup>b</sup> When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.

<sup>c</sup> A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.

<sup>d</sup> Clinic-specific outcome rates are unreliable for women older than 42 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs. Readers are urged to review national outcomes for these age groups (see page 27).

<sup>e</sup> All ages (including ages >42) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.

## INFERTILITY SOLUTIONS, PC ALLENTOWN, PENNSYLVANIA

A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 79–88.

### 2006 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART <sup>a</sup>				Patient Diagnosis			
IVF	100%	<b>Procedural Factors:</b>		Tubal factor	9%	Other factor	2%
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	90%	Ovulatory dysfunction	12%	Unknown factor	8%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	0%	Diminished ovarian reserve	13%	<b>Multiple Factors:</b>	
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	0%	Endometriosis	0%	Female factors only	24%
				Uterine factor	0%	Female & male factors	19%
				Male factor	14%		

### 2006 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by Bruce I. Rose, MD, PhD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman			
	<35	35–37	38–40	41–42 <sup>d</sup>
<b>Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs</b>				
Number of cycles	32	22	21	3
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies <sup>b</sup>	31.3	36.4	28.6	1 / 3
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	31.3	22.7	23.8	0 / 3
(Confidence Interval)	(16.1–50.0)	(7.8–45.4)	(8.2–47.2)	
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	32.3	23.8	25.0	0 / 3
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	34.5	25.0	25.0	0 / 3
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births <sup>b</sup>	24.1	25.0	10.0	0 / 3
Percentage of cancellations <sup>b</sup>	3.1	4.5	4.8	0 / 3
Average number of embryos transferred	2.8	3.0	2.9	3.0
Percentage of pregnancies with twins <sup>b</sup>	1 / 10	0 / 8	3 / 6	0 / 1
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more <sup>b</sup>	2 / 10	0 / 8	0 / 6	0 / 1
Percentage of live births having multiple infants <sup>b,c</sup>	3 / 10	0 / 5	3 / 5	
<b>Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs</b>				
Number of transfers	4	2	0	0
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	0 / 4	1 / 2		
Average number of embryos transferred	2.8	3.5		
<b>All Ages Combined<sup>e</sup></b>				
<b>Donor Eggs</b>	<b>Fresh Embryos</b>		<b>Frozen Embryos</b>	
	2		2	
	1 / 2		0 / 2	
	4.0		3.5	

### CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

**Current Name:** Infertility Solutions, PC

Donor egg?	Yes	Gestational carriers?	Yes	SART member?	Yes
Donor embryo?	Yes	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	Yes
Single women?	Yes			(See Appendix C for details.)	

<sup>a</sup> Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2006 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.

<sup>b</sup> When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.

<sup>c</sup> A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.

<sup>d</sup> Clinic-specific outcome rates are unreliable for women older than 42 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs. Readers are urged to review national outcomes for these age groups (see page 27).

<sup>e</sup> All ages (including ages >42) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.

## REPROTECH IVF PROGRAM ALLENTOWN, PENNSYLVANIA

A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 79–88.

### 2006 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART <sup>a</sup>				Patient Diagnosis			
IVF	100%	<b>Procedural Factors:</b>		Tubal factor	38%	Other factor	0%
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	0%	Ovulatory dysfunction	19%	Unknown factor	13%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	0%	Diminished ovarian reserve	19%	<b>Multiple Factors:</b>	
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	7%	Endometriosis	6%	Female factors only	6%
				Uterine factor	0%	Female & male factors	0%
				Male factor	0%		

### 2006 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by Eric R. Rittenhouse, MD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman			
	<35	35–37	38–40	41–42 <sup>d</sup>
<b>Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs</b>				
Number of cycles	5	3	3	3
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies <sup>b</sup>	0 / 5	0 / 3	0 / 3	0 / 3
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup> (Confidence Interval)	0 / 5	0 / 3	0 / 3	0 / 3
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	0 / 4	0 / 2	0 / 2	0 / 2
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	0 / 2			0 / 1
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births <sup>b</sup>	0 / 2			0 / 1
Percentage of cancellations <sup>b</sup>	1 / 5	1 / 3	1 / 3	1 / 3
Average number of embryos transferred	3.0			1.0
Percentage of pregnancies with twins <sup>b</sup>				
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more <sup>b</sup>				
Percentage of live births having multiple infants <sup>b,c</sup>				
<b>Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs</b>				
Number of transfers	0	0	0	0
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>				
Average number of embryos transferred				
<b>All Ages Combined<sup>e</sup></b>				
<b>Donor Eggs</b>	<b>Fresh Embryos</b>		<b>Frozen Embryos</b>	
	0		1	
			0 / 1	
			2.0	

### CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

**Current Name:** Reprotech IVF Program

Donor egg?	No	Gestational carriers?	Yes	SART member?	No
Donor embryo?	No	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	No
Single women?	Yes			(See Appendix C for details.)	

<sup>a</sup> Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2006 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.

<sup>b</sup> When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.

<sup>c</sup> A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.

<sup>d</sup> Clinic-specific outcome rates are unreliable for women older than 42 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs. Readers are urged to review national outcomes for these age groups (see page 27).

<sup>e</sup> All ages (including ages >42) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.

## FAMILY FERTILITY CENTER BETHLEHEM, PENNSYLVANIA

A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 79–88.

### 2006 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART <sup>a</sup>				Patient Diagnosis			
IVF	100%	<b>Procedural Factors:</b>		Tubal factor	7%	Other factor	3%
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	67%	Ovulatory dysfunction	3%	Unknown factor	1%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	0%	Diminished ovarian reserve	6%	<i>Multiple Factors:</i>	
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	1%	Endometriosis	0%	Female factors only	19%
				Uterine factor	0%	Female & male factors	44%
				Male factor	17%		

### 2006 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by H. Christina Lee, MD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman			
	<35	35–37	38–40	41–42 <sup>d</sup>
<b>Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs</b>				
Number of cycles	46	18	11	1
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies <sup>b</sup>	39.1	5 / 18	5 / 11	0 / 1
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	34.8	4 / 18	5 / 11	0 / 1
(Confidence Interval)	(21.4–50.2)			
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	35.6	4 / 18	5 / 10	0 / 1
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	40.0	4 / 17	5 / 9	0 / 1
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births <sup>b</sup>	27.5	3 / 17	4 / 9	0 / 1
Percentage of cancellations <sup>b</sup>	2.2	0 / 18	1 / 11	0 / 1
Average number of embryos transferred	2.3	2.5	3.1	2.0
Percentage of pregnancies with twins <sup>b</sup>	5 / 18	1 / 5	2 / 5	
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more <sup>b</sup>	0 / 18	0 / 5	0 / 5	
Percentage of live births having multiple infants <sup>b,c</sup>	5 / 16	1 / 4	1 / 5	
<b>Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs</b>				
Number of transfers	3	1	0	0
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	1 / 3	0 / 1		
Average number of embryos transferred	2.0	1.0		
<b>All Ages Combined<sup>e</sup></b>				
<b>Donor Eggs</b>	<b>Fresh Embryos</b>		<b>Frozen Embryos</b>	
	4		4	
	1 / 4		1 / 4	
Average number of embryos transferred	1.8		2.0	

### CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

**Current Name:** Family Fertility Center

Donor egg?	Yes	Gestational carriers?	Yes	SART member?	Yes
Donor embryo?	No	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	Yes
Single women?	Yes			(See Appendix C for details.)	

<sup>a</sup> Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2006 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.

<sup>b</sup> When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.

<sup>c</sup> A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.

<sup>d</sup> Clinic-specific outcome rates are unreliable for women older than 42 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs. Readers are urged to review national outcomes for these age groups (see page 27).

<sup>e</sup> All ages (including ages >42) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.

## MAIN LINE FERTILITY AND REPRODUCTIVE MEDICINE BRYN MAWR, PENNSYLVANIA

A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 79–88.

### 2006 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART <sup>a</sup>			Patient Diagnosis	
IVF	100%	<b>Procedural Factors:</b>	Tubal factor	6%
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	Ovulatory dysfunction	12%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	Diminished ovarian reserve	27%
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	Endometriosis	1%
			Uterine factor	4%
			Male factor	12%
			Other factor	5%
			Unknown factor	6%
			<b>Multiple Factors:</b>	
			Female factors only	10%
			Female & male factors	17%

### 2006 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by Michael J. Glassner, MD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman			
	<35	35–37	38–40	41–42 <sup>d</sup>
<b>Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs</b>				
Number of cycles	178	117	82	47
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies <sup>b</sup>	37.1	35.0	32.9	8.5
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	36.0	30.8	28.0	6.4
(Confidence Interval)	(28.9–43.5)	(22.6–40.0)	(18.7–39.1)	(1.3–17.5)
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	38.1	34.0	34.8	7.3
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	42.1	38.3	40.4	9.1
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births <sup>b</sup>	25.7	26.6	29.8	9.1
Percentage of cancellations <sup>b</sup>	5.6	9.4	19.5	12.8
Average number of embryos transferred	2.5	2.8	3.2	2.8
Percentage of pregnancies with twins <sup>b</sup>	36.4	22.0	29.6	0 / 4
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more <sup>b</sup>	4.5	7.3	0.0	0 / 4
Percentage of live births having multiple infants <sup>b,c</sup>	39.1	30.6	26.1	0 / 3
<b>Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs</b>				
Number of transfers	73	40	21	14
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	34.2	17.5	14.3	2 / 14
Average number of embryos transferred	2.3	2.7	2.2	2.6
<b>All Ages Combined<sup>e</sup></b>				
<b>Donor Eggs</b>	<b>Fresh Embryos</b>		<b>Frozen Embryos</b>	
	24		13	
	41.7		6 / 13	
	2.2		2.5	

### CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

**Current Name:** Main Line Fertility and Reproductive Medicine

Donor egg?	Yes	Gestational carriers?	Yes	SART member?	Yes
Donor embryo?	Yes	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	Yes
Single women?	Yes			(See Appendix C for details.)	

<sup>a</sup> Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2006 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.

<sup>b</sup> When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.

<sup>c</sup> A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.

<sup>d</sup> Clinic-specific outcome rates are unreliable for women older than 42 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs. Readers are urged to review national outcomes for these age groups (see page 27).

<sup>e</sup> All ages (including ages >42) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.



## GEISINGER MEDICAL CENTER FERTILITY PROGRAM DANVILLE, PENNSYLVANIA

A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 79–88.

### 2006 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART <sup>a</sup>			Patient Diagnosis	
IVF	100%	<b>Procedural Factors:</b>	Tubal factor	8%
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	Ovulatory dysfunction	11%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	Diminished ovarian reserve	34%
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	Endometriosis	1%
			Uterine factor	4%
			Male factor	7%
			Other factor	36%
			Unknown factor	0%
			<b>Multiple Factors:</b>	
			Female factors only	0%
			Female & male factors	0%

### 2006 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by Jennifer Gell, MD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman			
	<35	35–37	38–40	41–42 <sup>d</sup>
<b>Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs</b>				
Number of cycles	28	9	10	2
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies <sup>b</sup>	46.4	2 / 9	1 / 10	0 / 2
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	39.3	1 / 9	1 / 10	0 / 2
(Confidence Interval)	(21.5–59.4)			
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	47.8	1 / 6	1 / 9	
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	47.8	1 / 6	1 / 9	
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births <sup>b</sup>	34.8	1 / 6	1 / 9	
Percentage of cancellations <sup>b</sup>	17.9	3 / 9	1 / 10	2 / 2
Average number of embryos transferred	2.9	3.0	2.9	
Percentage of pregnancies with twins <sup>b</sup>	3 / 13	1 / 2	0 / 1	
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more <sup>b</sup>	1 / 13	0 / 2	0 / 1	
Percentage of live births having multiple infants <sup>b,c</sup>	3 / 11	0 / 1	0 / 1	
<b>Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs</b>				
Number of transfers	5	1	1	0
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	1 / 5	0 / 1	0 / 1	
Average number of embryos transferred	1.8	2.0	1.0	
<b>All Ages Combined<sup>e</sup></b>				
<b>Donor Eggs</b>	<b>Fresh Embryos</b>		<b>Frozen Embryos</b>	
	9		9	
	2 / 9		2 / 9	
	2.0		1.7	

### CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

**Current Name:** This clinic has closed or reorganized since 2006. Information on current clinic services and profile therefore is not provided here. Contact the NASS Help Desk for current information about this clinic.

<sup>a</sup> Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2006 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.

<sup>b</sup> When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.

<sup>c</sup> A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.

<sup>d</sup> Clinic-specific outcome rates are unreliable for women older than 42 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs. Readers are urged to review national outcomes for these age groups (see page 27).

<sup>e</sup> All ages (including ages >42) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.

# ADVANCED CENTER FOR INFERTILITY AND REPRODUCTIVE MEDICINE, RPC HARRISBURG, PENNSYLVANIA

A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 79–88.

## 2006 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART <sup>a</sup>				Patient Diagnosis			
IVF	100%	<b>Procedural Factors:</b>		Tubal factor	4%	Other factor	6%
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	88%	Ovulatory dysfunction	1%	Unknown factor	0%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	0%	Diminished ovarian reserve	6%	<i>Multiple Factors:</i>	
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	0%	Endometriosis	7%	Female factors only	30%
				Uterine factor	8%	Female & male factors	36%
				Male factor	3%		

## 2006 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by Eric P. Fiedler, MD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman			
	<35	35–37	38–40	41–42 <sup>d</sup>
<b>Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs</b>				
Number of cycles	11	9	4	0
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies <sup>b</sup>	4 / 11	4 / 9	2 / 4	
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	3 / 11	3 / 9	1 / 4	
(Confidence Interval)				
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	3 / 11	3 / 9	1 / 4	
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	3 / 7	3 / 6	1 / 4	
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births <sup>b</sup>	2 / 7	3 / 6	1 / 4	
Percentage of cancellations <sup>b</sup>	0 / 11	0 / 9	0 / 4	
Average number of embryos transferred	1.9	1.7	1.8	
Percentage of pregnancies with twins <sup>b</sup>	2 / 4	0 / 4	0 / 2	
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more <sup>b</sup>	0 / 4	1 / 4	0 / 2	
Percentage of live births having multiple infants <sup>b,c</sup>	1 / 3	0 / 3	0 / 1	
<b>Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs</b>				
Number of transfers	14	9	2	0
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	5 / 14	0 / 9	1 / 2	
Average number of embryos transferred	1.9	1.9	1.5	
<b>All Ages Combined<sup>e</sup></b>				
<b>Donor Eggs</b>		<b>Fresh Embryos</b>	<b>Frozen Embryos</b>	
Number of transfers		10	10	
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>		6 / 10	2 / 10	
Average number of embryos transferred		2.0	1.9	

## CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

**Current Name:** Advanced Center for Infertility and Reproductive Medicine, RPC

Donor egg?	Yes	Gestational carriers?	Yes	SART member?	No
Donor embryo?	Yes	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	No
Single women?	Yes			(See Appendix C for details.)	

<sup>a</sup> Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2006 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.

<sup>b</sup> When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.

<sup>c</sup> A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.

<sup>d</sup> Clinic-specific outcome rates are unreliable for women older than 42 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs. Readers are urged to review national outcomes for these age groups (see page 27).

<sup>e</sup> All ages (including ages >42) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.

# PENN STATE MILTON S. HERSHEY MEDICAL CENTER HERSHEY, PENNSYLVANIA

**A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 79–88.**

## 2006 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART <sup>a</sup>				Patient Diagnosis	
IVF	100%	<b>Procedural Factors:</b>		Tubal factor	17%
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	86%	Ovulatory dysfunction	11%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	0%	Diminished ovarian reserve	2%
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	0%	Endometriosis	4%
				Uterine factor	0%
				Male factor	30%
				Other factor	1%
				Unknown factor	23%
				<b>Multiple Factors:</b>	
				Female factors only	3%
				Female & male factors	9%

## 2006 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by William C. Dodson, MD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman			
	<35	35–37	38–40	41–42 <sup>d</sup>
<b>Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs</b>				
Number of cycles	41	10	7	0
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies <sup>b</sup>	29.3	2 / 10	4 / 7	
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	22.0	2 / 10	0 / 7	
(Confidence Interval)	(10.6–37.6)			
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	23.1	2 / 8	0 / 7	
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	27.3	2 / 5	0 / 6	
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births <sup>b</sup>	21.2	2 / 5	0 / 6	
Percentage of cancellations <sup>b</sup>	4.9	2 / 10	0 / 7	
Average number of embryos transferred	2.2	2.8	2.8	
Percentage of pregnancies with twins <sup>b</sup>	1 / 12	0 / 2	0 / 4	
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more <sup>b</sup>	1 / 12	0 / 2	0 / 4	
Percentage of live births having multiple infants <sup>b,c</sup>	2 / 9	0 / 2		
<b>Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs</b>				
Number of transfers	12	5	4	1
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	3 / 12	1 / 5	0 / 4	0 / 1
Average number of embryos transferred	1.9	2.2	2.3	2.0
<b>All Ages Combined<sup>e</sup></b>				
<b>Donor Eggs</b>	<b>Fresh Embryos</b>		<b>Frozen Embryos</b>	
	3		2	
	0 / 3		1 / 2	
Number of transfers	2.0		2.0	
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>				
Average number of embryos transferred				

## CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

**Current Name:** Penn State Milton S. Hershey Medical Center

Donor egg?	Yes	Gestational carriers?	No	SART member?	Yes
Donor embryo?	No	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	Yes
Single women?	No			(See Appendix C for details.)	

<sup>a</sup> Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2006 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.

<sup>b</sup> When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.

<sup>c</sup> A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.

<sup>d</sup> Clinic-specific outcome rates are unreliable for women older than 42 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs. Readers are urged to review national outcomes for these age groups (see page 27).

<sup>e</sup> All ages (including ages >42) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.

# NORTHERN FERTILITY AND REPRODUCTIVE ASSOCIATES, PC

## MEADOWBROOK, PENNSYLVANIA

**A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 79–88.**

### 2006 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART <sup>a</sup>			Patient Diagnosis	
IVF	100%	<b>Procedural Factors:</b>	Tubal factor	5%
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	Ovulatory dysfunction	8%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	Diminished ovarian reserve	13%
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	Endometriosis	4%
			Uterine factor	0%
			Male factor	16%
			Other factor	2%
			Unknown factor	7%
			<b>Multiple Factors:</b>	
			Female factors only	23%
			Female & male factors	22%

### 2006 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by Martin F. Freedman, MD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman			
	<35	35–37	38–40	41–42 <sup>d</sup>
<b>Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs</b>				
Number of cycles	63	34	37	8
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies <sup>b</sup>	33.3	50.0	16.2	3 / 8
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	28.6	47.1	10.8	3 / 8
(Confidence Interval)	(17.9–41.3)	(29.8–64.9)	(3.0–25.4)	
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	32.1	48.5	11.8	3 / 6
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	32.1	53.3	12.5	3 / 6
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births <sup>b</sup>	26.8	26.7	9.4	3 / 6
Percentage of cancellations <sup>b</sup>	11.1	2.9	8.1	2 / 8
Average number of embryos transferred	2.3	2.8	3.6	4.3
Percentage of pregnancies with twins <sup>b</sup>	19.0	6 / 17	2 / 6	0 / 3
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more <sup>b</sup>	0.0	3 / 17	0 / 6	0 / 3
Percentage of live births having multiple infants <sup>b,c</sup>	3 / 18	8 / 16	1 / 4	0 / 3
<b>Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs</b>				
Number of transfers	14	10	3	0
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	5 / 14	3 / 10	1 / 3	
Average number of embryos transferred	2.6	2.7	3.3	
<b>All Ages Combined<sup>e</sup></b>				
<b>Donor Eggs</b>	<b>Fresh Embryos</b>		<b>Frozen Embryos</b>	
	12		6	
	4 / 12		1 / 6	
	2.4		2.0	

### CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

**Current Name:** Northern Fertility and Reproductive Associates, PC

Donor egg?	Yes	Gestational carriers?	Yes	SART member?	Yes
Donor embryo?	Yes	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	Yes
Single women?	Yes			(See Appendix C for details.)	

<sup>a</sup> Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2006 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.

<sup>b</sup> When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.

<sup>c</sup> A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.

<sup>d</sup> Clinic-specific outcome rates are unreliable for women older than 42 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs. Readers are urged to review national outcomes for these age groups (see page 27).

<sup>e</sup> All ages (including ages >42) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.

# JEFFERSON IVF PHILADELPHIA, PENNSYLVANIA

A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 79–88.

## 2006 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART <sup>a</sup>				Patient Diagnosis			
IVF	100%	<b>Procedural Factors:</b>		Tubal factor	33%	Other factor	0%
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	0%	Ovulatory dysfunction	40%	Unknown factor	0%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	0%	Diminished ovarian reserve	0%	<b>Multiple Factors:</b>	
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	0%	Endometriosis	7%	Female factors only	0%
				Uterine factor	0%	Female & male factors	7%
				Male factor	13%		

## 2006 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by Gregory T. Fossum, MD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman			
	<35	35–37	38–40	41–42 <sup>d</sup>
<b>Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs</b>				
Number of cycles	6	2	2	1
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies <sup>b</sup>	1 / 6	1 / 2	0 / 2	1 / 1
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	1 / 6	0 / 2	0 / 2	0 / 1
(Confidence Interval)				
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	1 / 4	0 / 1	0 / 1	0 / 1
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	1 / 4	0 / 1	0 / 1	0 / 1
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births <sup>b</sup>	1 / 4	0 / 1	0 / 1	0 / 1
Percentage of cancellations <sup>b</sup>	2 / 6	1 / 2	1 / 2	0 / 1
Average number of embryos transferred	2.8	3.0	1.0	3.0
Percentage of pregnancies with twins <sup>b</sup>	0 / 1	1 / 1		0 / 1
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more <sup>b</sup>	0 / 1	0 / 1		0 / 1
Percentage of live births having multiple infants <sup>b,c</sup>	0 / 1			
<b>Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs</b>				
Number of transfers	2	1	0	1
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	1 / 2	0 / 1		0 / 1
Average number of embryos transferred	2.5	2.0		6.0
<b>All Ages Combined<sup>e</sup></b>				
<b>Donor Eggs</b>		<b>Fresh Embryos</b>	<b>Frozen Embryos</b>	
Number of transfers		0	0	
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>				
Average number of embryos transferred				

## CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

**Current Name:** Jefferson IVF

Donor egg?	Yes	Gestational carriers?	No	SART member?	No
Donor embryo?	No	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	Yes
Single women?	Yes			(See Appendix C for details.)	

<sup>a</sup> Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2006 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.

<sup>b</sup> When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.

<sup>c</sup> A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.

<sup>d</sup> Clinic-specific outcome rates are unreliable for women older than 42 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs. Readers are urged to review national outcomes for these age groups (see page 27).

<sup>e</sup> All ages (including ages >42) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.

**UNIVERSITY OF PENNSYLVANIA  
PENN FERTILITY CARE  
PHILADELPHIA, PENNSYLVANIA**

**A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 79–88.**

**2006 ART CYCLE PROFILE**

Type of ART <sup>a</sup>				Patient Diagnosis	
IVF	100%	<b>Procedural Factors:</b>		Tubal factor	7%
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	20%	Ovulatory dysfunction	5%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	0%	Diminished ovarian reserve	9%
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	2%	Endometriosis	6%
				Uterine factor	2%
				Male factor	14%
				Other factor	6%
				Unknown factor	12%
				<b>Multiple Factors:</b>	
				Female factors only	27%
				Female & male factors	13%

**2006 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES**

Data verified by Christos B. Coutifaris, MD, PhD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman			
	<35	35–37	38–40	41–42 <sup>d</sup>
<b>Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs</b>				
Number of cycles	151	96	63	26
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies <sup>b</sup>	36.4	36.5	33.3	15.4
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	27.2	31.3	28.6	11.5
(Confidence Interval)	(20.2–35.0)	(22.2–41.5)	(17.9–41.3)	(2.4–30.2)
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	29.1	36.6	31.6	15.0
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	32.8	42.9	34.0	15.0
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births <sup>b</sup>	20.0	27.1	26.4	15.0
Percentage of cancellations <sup>b</sup>	6.6	14.6	9.5	23.1
Average number of embryos transferred	2.2	2.7	3.0	3.7
Percentage of pregnancies with twins <sup>b</sup>	30.9	37.1	23.8	0 / 4
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more <sup>b</sup>	1.8	5.7	0.0	0 / 4
Percentage of live births having multiple infants <sup>b,c</sup>	39.0	36.7	4 / 18	0 / 3
<b>Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs</b>				
Number of transfers	37	8	7	3
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	43.2	4 / 8	3 / 7	1 / 3
Average number of embryos transferred	2.8	2.9	2.4	2.0
<b>All Ages Combined<sup>e</sup></b>				
<b>Donor Eggs</b>	<b>Fresh Embryos</b>		<b>Frozen Embryos</b>	
	27		19	
	44.4		5 / 19	
	2.3		2.4	

**CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE**

**Current Name:** University of Pennsylvania, Penn Fertility Care

Donor egg?	Yes	Gestational carriers?	Yes	SART member?	Yes
Donor embryo?	Yes	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	Yes
Single women?	Yes			(See Appendix C for details.)	

<sup>a</sup> Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2006 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.

<sup>b</sup> When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.

<sup>c</sup> A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.

<sup>d</sup> Clinic-specific outcome rates are unreliable for women older than 42 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs. Readers are urged to review national outcomes for these age groups (see page 27).

<sup>e</sup> All ages (including ages >42) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.



# JONES INSTITUTE AT WEST PENN ALLEGHENY HEALTH SYSTEM PITTSBURGH, PENNSYLVANIA

A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 79–88.

## 2006 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART <sup>a</sup>			Patient Diagnosis	
IVF	100%	<b>Procedural Factors:</b>	Tubal factor	16%
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	Ovulatory dysfunction	2%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	Diminished ovarian reserve	2%
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	Endometriosis	6%
			Uterine factor	0%
			Male factor	22%
			Other factor	6%
			Unknown factor	20%
			<b>Multiple Factors:</b>	
			Female factors only	8%
			Female & male factors	17%

## 2006 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by Scott W. Kauma, MD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman			
	<35	35–37	38–40	41–42 <sup>d</sup>
<b>Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs</b>				
Number of cycles	43	28	19	4
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies <sup>b</sup>	44.2	39.3	6 / 19	0 / 4
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	41.9	32.1	4 / 19	0 / 4
(Confidence Interval)	(27.0–57.9)	(15.9–52.4)		
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	47.4	45.0	4 / 13	0 / 3
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	50.0	45.0	4 / 13	0 / 3
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births <sup>b</sup>	33.3	25.0	3 / 13	0 / 3
Percentage of cancellations <sup>b</sup>	11.6	28.6	6 / 19	1 / 4
Average number of embryos transferred	2.5	3.0	3.2	4.3
Percentage of pregnancies with twins <sup>b</sup>	9 / 19	2 / 11	2 / 6	
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more <sup>b</sup>	0 / 19	2 / 11	0 / 6	
Percentage of live births having multiple infants <sup>b,c</sup>	6 / 18	4 / 9	1 / 4	
<b>Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs</b>				
Number of transfers	6	4	4	0
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	2 / 6	2 / 4	2 / 4	
Average number of embryos transferred	2.3	3.3	3.5	
<b>All Ages Combined<sup>e</sup></b>				
<b>Donor Eggs</b>	<b>Fresh Embryos</b>		<b>Frozen Embryos</b>	
	1		0	
	1 / 1			
Number of transfers	2.0			
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>				
Average number of embryos transferred				

## CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

**Current Name:** Jones Institute at West Penn Allegheny Health System

Donor egg?	Yes	Gestational carriers?	Yes	SART member?	Yes
Donor embryo?	No	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	Yes
Single women?	Yes			(See Appendix C for details.)	

<sup>a</sup> Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2006 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.

<sup>b</sup> When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.

<sup>c</sup> A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.

<sup>d</sup> Clinic-specific outcome rates are unreliable for women older than 42 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs. Readers are urged to review national outcomes for these age groups (see page 27).

<sup>e</sup> All ages (including ages >42) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.

# REPRODUCTIVE HEALTH SPECIALISTS, INC. PITTSBURGH, PENNSYLVANIA

A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 79–88.

## 2006 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART <sup>a</sup>			Patient Diagnosis	
IVF	100%	<b>Procedural Factors:</b>	Tubal factor	6%
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	Ovulatory dysfunction	3%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	Diminished ovarian reserve	7%
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	Endometriosis	7%
			Uterine factor	<1%
			Male factor	25%
			Other factor	8%
			Unknown factor	26%
			<b>Multiple Factors:</b>	
			Female factors only	7%
			Female & male factors	11%

## 2006 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by Judith L. Albert, MD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman			
	<35	35–37	38–40	41–42 <sup>d</sup>
<b>Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs</b>				
Number of cycles	76	51	40	12
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies <sup>b</sup>	59.2	47.1	37.5	0 / 12
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	51.3	33.3	25.0	0 / 12
(Confidence Interval)	(39.6–63.0)	(20.8–47.9)	(12.7–41.2)	
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	52.7	35.4	27.0	0 / 11
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	54.9	37.8	31.3	0 / 8
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births <sup>b</sup>	39.4	22.2	15.6	0 / 8
Percentage of cancellations <sup>b</sup>	2.6	5.9	7.5	1 / 12
Average number of embryos transferred	1.8	2.0	2.3	2.4
Percentage of pregnancies with twins <sup>b</sup>	24.4	29.2	5 / 15	
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more <sup>b</sup>	0.0	4.2	0 / 15	
Percentage of live births having multiple infants <sup>b,c</sup>	28.2	7 / 17	5 / 10	
<b>Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs</b>				
Number of transfers	21	9	12	1
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	14.3	3 / 9	1 / 12	0 / 1
Average number of embryos transferred	1.7	1.8	2.0	1.0
<b>All Ages Combined<sup>e</sup></b>				
<b>Donor Eggs</b>		<b>Fresh Embryos</b>	<b>Frozen Embryos</b>	
Number of transfers		11	4	
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>		7 / 11	0 / 4	
Average number of embryos transferred		1.7	1.5	

## CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

**Current Name:** Reproductive Health Specialists, Inc.

Donor egg?	Yes	Gestational carriers?	Yes	SART member?	Yes
Donor embryo?	No	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	Yes
Single women?	Yes			(See Appendix C for details.)	

<sup>a</sup> Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2006 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.

<sup>b</sup> When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.

<sup>c</sup> A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.

<sup>d</sup> Clinic-specific outcome rates are unreliable for women older than 42 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs. Readers are urged to review national outcomes for these age groups (see page 27).

<sup>e</sup> All ages (including ages >42) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.

**UNIVERSITY OF PITTSBURGH PHYSICIANS  
CENTER FOR FERTILITY AND REPRODUCTIVE ENDOCRINOLOGY  
PITTSBURGH, PENNSYLVANIA**

**A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 79–88.**

### 2006 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART <sup>a</sup>			Patient Diagnosis	
IVF	100%	<b>Procedural Factors:</b>	Tubal factor	10%
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	Ovulatory dysfunction	2%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	Diminished ovarian reserve	26%
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	Endometriosis	6%
			Uterine factor	<1%
			Male factor	18%
			Other factor	17%
			Unknown factor	6%
			<b>Multiple Factors:</b>	
			Female factors only	5%
			Female & male factors	9%

### 2006 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by Anthony N. Wakim, MD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman			
	<35	35–37	38–40	41–42 <sup>d</sup>
<b>Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs</b>				
Number of cycles	83	49	37	11
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies <sup>b</sup>	31.3	22.4	18.9	1 / 11
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	28.9	22.4	10.8	1 / 11
(Confidence Interval)	(19.5–39.9)	(11.8–36.6)	(3.0–25.4)	
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	31.6	23.9	13.3	1 / 6
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	35.3	27.5	15.4	1 / 6
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births <sup>b</sup>	22.1	22.5	11.5	1 / 6
Percentage of cancellations <sup>b</sup>	8.4	6.1	18.9	5 / 11
Average number of embryos transferred	2.2	2.7	2.7	2.7
Percentage of pregnancies with twins <sup>b</sup>	42.3	0 / 11	1 / 7	0 / 1
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more <sup>b</sup>	0.0	2 / 11	0 / 7	0 / 1
Percentage of live births having multiple infants <sup>b,c</sup>	37.5	2 / 11	1 / 4	0 / 1
<b>Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs</b>				
Number of transfers	52	26	20	6
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	30.8	19.2	30.0	1 / 6
Average number of embryos transferred	2.7	2.5	2.9	2.8
<b>All Ages Combined<sup>e</sup></b>				
<b>Donor Eggs</b>	<b>Fresh Embryos</b>		<b>Frozen Embryos</b>	
	31		31	
	48.4		32.3	
	2.0		2.7	

### CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

**Current Name:** University of Pittsburgh Physicians, Center for Fertility and Reproductive Endocrinology

Donor egg?	Yes	Gestational carriers?	Yes	SART member?	Yes
Donor embryo?	Yes	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	Yes
Single women?	Yes			(See Appendix C for details.)	

<sup>a</sup> Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2006 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.

<sup>b</sup> When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.

<sup>c</sup> A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.

<sup>d</sup> Clinic-specific outcome rates are unreliable for women older than 42 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs. Readers are urged to review national outcomes for these age groups (see page 27).

<sup>e</sup> All ages (including ages >42) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.

## REPRODUCTIVE ENDOCRINOLOGY AND FERTILITY CENTER UPLAND, PENNSYLVANIA

A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 79–88.

### 2006 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART <sup>a</sup>				Patient Diagnosis			
IVF	100%	<b>Procedural Factors:</b>		Tubal factor	8%	Other factor	13%
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	64%	Ovulatory dysfunction	2%	Unknown factor	0%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	0%	Diminished ovarian reserve	1%	<i>Multiple Factors:</i>	
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	0%	Endometriosis	6%	Female factors only	24%
				Uterine factor	<1%	Female & male factors	37%
				Male factor	9%		

### 2006 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by Albert El-Roeiy, MD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman			
	<35	35–37	38–40	41–42 <sup>d</sup>
<b>Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs</b>				
Number of cycles	72	32	23	9
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies <sup>b</sup>	30.6	37.5	26.1	0 / 9
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	29.2	31.3	21.7	0 / 9
(Confidence Interval)	(19.0–41.1)	(16.1–50.0)	(7.5–43.7)	
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	33.3	37.0	23.8	0 / 7
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	44.7	10 / 19	5 / 18	0 / 6
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births <sup>b</sup>	31.9	7 / 19	3 / 18	0 / 6
Percentage of cancellations <sup>b</sup>	12.5	15.6	8.7	2 / 9
Average number of embryos transferred	3.0	3.1	3.4	2.3
Percentage of pregnancies with twins <sup>b</sup>	31.8	3 / 12	0 / 6	
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more <sup>b</sup>	9.1	1 / 12	2 / 6	
Percentage of live births having multiple infants <sup>b,c</sup>	28.6	3 / 10	2 / 5	
<b>Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs</b>				
Number of transfers	13	2	4	0
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	5 / 13	1 / 2	1 / 4	
Average number of embryos transferred	3.1	3.5	3.0	
<b>All Ages Combined<sup>e</sup></b>				
<b>Donor Eggs</b>		<b>Fresh Embryos</b>	<b>Frozen Embryos</b>	
Number of transfers		8	4	
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>		2 / 8	1 / 4	
Average number of embryos transferred		3.0	3.0	

### CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

**Current Name:** Reproductive Endocrinology and Fertility Center

Donor egg?	Yes	Gestational carriers?	No	SART member?	Yes
Donor embryo?	No	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	Yes
Single women?	Yes			(See Appendix C for details.)	

<sup>a</sup> Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2006 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.

<sup>b</sup> When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.

<sup>c</sup> A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.

<sup>d</sup> Clinic-specific outcome rates are unreliable for women older than 42 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs. Readers are urged to review national outcomes for these age groups (see page 27).

<sup>e</sup> All ages (including ages >42) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.

# REPRODUCTIVE SCIENCE INSTITUTE OF SUBURBAN PHILADELPHIA WAYNE, PENNSYLVANIA

A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 79–88.

## 2006 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART <sup>a</sup>				Patient Diagnosis			
IVF	100%	<b>Procedural Factors:</b>		Tubal factor	4%	Other factor	<1%
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	53%	Ovulatory dysfunction	12%	Unknown factor	1%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	0%	Diminished ovarian reserve	36%	<i>Multiple Factors:</i>	
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	<1%	Endometriosis	<1%	Female factors only	17%
				Uterine factor	<1%	Female & male factors	20%
				Male factor	9%		

## 2006 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by Abraham K. Munabi, MD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman			
	<35	35–37	38–40	41–42 <sup>d</sup>
<b>Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs</b>				
Number of cycles	59	47	39	12
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies <sup>b</sup>	39.0	27.7	23.1	0 / 12
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	30.5	17.0	15.4	0 / 12
(Confidence Interval)	(19.2–43.9)	(7.6–30.8)	(5.9–30.5)	
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	34.6	21.6	17.1	0 / 8
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	36.0	24.2	18.2	0 / 8
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births <sup>b</sup>	26.0	18.2	15.2	0 / 8
Percentage of cancellations <sup>b</sup>	11.9	21.3	10.3	4 / 12
Average number of embryos transferred	2.8	3.0	3.7	3.8
Percentage of pregnancies with twins <sup>b</sup>	17.4	2 / 13	1 / 9	
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more <sup>b</sup>	4.3	0 / 13	0 / 9	
Percentage of live births having multiple infants <sup>b,c</sup>	5 / 18	2 / 8	1 / 6	
<b>Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs</b>				
Number of transfers	13	11	3	2
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	3 / 13	5 / 11	1 / 3	0 / 2
Average number of embryos transferred	2.8	2.8	4.0	3.5
<b>All Ages Combined<sup>e</sup></b>				
<b>Donor Eggs</b>	<b>Fresh Embryos</b>		<b>Frozen Embryos</b>	
	Number of transfers		20	
	Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>		30.0	
	Average number of embryos transferred		3.0	

## CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

**Current Name:** Reproductive Science Institute of Suburban Philadelphia

Donor egg?	Yes	Gestational carriers?	Yes	SART member?	Yes
Donor embryo?	Yes	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	Yes
Single women?	Yes			(See Appendix C for details.)	

<sup>a</sup> Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2006 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.

<sup>b</sup> When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.

<sup>c</sup> A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.

<sup>d</sup> Clinic-specific outcome rates are unreliable for women older than 42 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs. Readers are urged to review national outcomes for these age groups (see page 27).

<sup>e</sup> All ages (including ages >42) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.

# WOMEN'S CLINIC, LTD. WEST READING, PENNSYLVANIA

**A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 79–88.**

## 2006 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART <sup>a</sup>				Patient Diagnosis			
IVF	100%	<b>Procedural Factors:</b>		Tubal factor	9%	Other factor	0%
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	48%	Ovulatory dysfunction	2%	Unknown factor	2%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	0%	Diminished ovarian reserve	2%	<b>Multiple Factors:</b>	
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	0%	Endometriosis	7%	Female factors only	25%
				Uterine factor	0%	Female & male factors	34%
				Male factor	18%		

## 2006 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by Vincent A. Pellegrini, MD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman			
	<35	35–37	38–40	41–42 <sup>d</sup>
<b>Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs</b>				
Number of cycles	23	10	8	3
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies <sup>b</sup>	47.8	4 / 10	6 / 8	0 / 3
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	39.1	3 / 10	4 / 8	0 / 3
(Confidence Interval)	(19.7–61.5)			
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	9 / 18	3 / 9	4 / 8	0 / 3
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	9 / 16	3 / 9	4 / 8	0 / 3
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births <sup>b</sup>	4 / 16	2 / 9	3 / 8	0 / 3
Percentage of cancellations <sup>b</sup>	21.7	1 / 10	0 / 8	0 / 3
Average number of embryos transferred	2.9	2.9	3.4	3.3
Percentage of pregnancies with twins <sup>b</sup>	6 / 11	1 / 4	2 / 6	
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more <sup>b</sup>	0 / 11	0 / 4	0 / 6	
Percentage of live births having multiple infants <sup>b,c</sup>	5 / 9	1 / 3	1 / 4	
<b>Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs</b>				
Number of transfers	0	0	0	0
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>				
Average number of embryos transferred				
<b>All Ages Combined<sup>e</sup></b>				
<b>Donor Eggs</b>	<b>Fresh Embryos</b>	<b>Frozen Embryos</b>		
Number of transfers	0	0		
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>				
Average number of embryos transferred				

## CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

**Current Name:** Women's Clinic, Ltd.

Donor egg?	No	Gestational carriers?	No	SART member?	Yes
Donor embryo?	No	Cryopreservation?	No	Verified lab accreditation?	Yes
Single women?	No			(See Appendix C for details.)	

<sup>a</sup> Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2006 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.

<sup>b</sup> When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.

<sup>c</sup> A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.

<sup>d</sup> Clinic-specific outcome rates are unreliable for women older than 42 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs. Readers are urged to review national outcomes for these age groups (see page 27).

<sup>e</sup> All ages (including ages >42) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.



## FERTILITY AND GYNECOLOGY ASSOCIATES WILLOW GROVE, PENNSYLVANIA

A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 79–88.

### 2006 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART <sup>a</sup>			Patient Diagnosis	
IVF	100%	<b>Procedural Factors:</b>	Tubal factor	12%
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	Ovulatory dysfunction	0%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	Diminished ovarian reserve	29%
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	Endometriosis	10%
			Uterine factor	0%
			Male factor	19%
			Other factor	7%
			Unknown factor	17%
			<b>Multiple Factors:</b>	
			Female factors only	0%
			Female & male factors	7%

### 2006 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by Leonore C. Huppert, MD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman			
	<35	35–37	38–40	41–42 <sup>d</sup>
<b>Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs</b>				
Number of cycles	11	3	4	2
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies <sup>b</sup>	6 / 11	2 / 3	2 / 4	1 / 2
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	5 / 11	2 / 3	2 / 4	0 / 2
(Confidence Interval)				
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	5 / 11	2 / 3	2 / 4	0 / 1
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	5 / 10	2 / 3	2 / 4	0 / 1
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births <sup>b</sup>	3 / 10	2 / 3	2 / 4	0 / 1
Percentage of cancellations <sup>b</sup>	0 / 11	0 / 3	0 / 4	1 / 2
Average number of embryos transferred	2.3	3.0	3.8	4.0
Percentage of pregnancies with twins <sup>b</sup>	2 / 6	1 / 2	2 / 2	0 / 1
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more <sup>b</sup>	0 / 6	0 / 2	0 / 2	0 / 1
Percentage of live births having multiple infants <sup>b,c</sup>	2 / 5	0 / 2	0 / 2	
<b>Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs</b>				
Number of transfers	7	2	3	0
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	5 / 7	1 / 2	2 / 3	
Average number of embryos transferred	2.4	3.0	4.0	
<b>All Ages Combined<sup>e</sup></b>				
<b>Donor Eggs</b>	<b>Fresh Embryos</b>		<b>Frozen Embryos</b>	
	4		2	
	3 / 4		0 / 2	
	2.3		2.0	

### CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

**Current Name:** Fertility and Gynecology Associates

Donor egg?	Yes	Gestational carriers?	Yes	SART member?	Yes
Donor embryo?	Yes	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	Yes
Single women?	Yes			(See Appendix C for details.)	

<sup>a</sup> Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2006 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.

<sup>b</sup> When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.

<sup>c</sup> A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.

<sup>d</sup> Clinic-specific outcome rates are unreliable for women older than 42 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs. Readers are urged to review national outcomes for these age groups (see page 27).

<sup>e</sup> All ages (including ages >42) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.

## THE FERTILITY CENTER, LLC YORK, PENNSYLVANIA

**A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 79–88.**

### 2006 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART <sup>a</sup>				Patient Diagnosis	
IVF	100%	<b>Procedural Factors:</b>		Tubal factor	7%
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	100%	Ovulatory dysfunction	16%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	0%	Diminished ovarian reserve	14%
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	7%	Endometriosis	0%
				Uterine factor	0%
				Male factor	29%
				Other factor	10%
				Unknown factor	7%
				<b>Multiple Factors:</b>	
				Female factors only	3%
				Female & male factors	14%

### 2006 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by Robert B. Filer, MD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman			
	<35	35–37	38–40	41–42 <sup>d</sup>
<b>Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs</b>				
Number of cycles	19	7	3	1
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies <sup>b</sup>	9 / 19	2 / 7	1 / 3	0 / 1
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup> (Confidence Interval)	9 / 19	2 / 7	0 / 3	0 / 1
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	9 / 19	2 / 7	0 / 3	0 / 1
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	9 / 19	2 / 6	0 / 2	0 / 1
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births <sup>b</sup>	8 / 19	1 / 6	0 / 2	0 / 1
Percentage of cancellations <sup>b</sup>	0 / 19	0 / 7	0 / 3	0 / 1
Average number of embryos transferred	2.9	3.0	3.0	1.0
Percentage of pregnancies with twins <sup>b</sup>	1 / 9	1 / 2	0 / 1	
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more <sup>b</sup>	0 / 9	0 / 2	0 / 1	
Percentage of live births having multiple infants <sup>b,c</sup>	1 / 9	1 / 2		
<b>Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs</b>				
Number of transfers	10	3	3	0
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	2 / 10	2 / 3	0 / 3	
Average number of embryos transferred	3.2	3.0	2.3	
<b>All Ages Combined<sup>e</sup></b>				
<b>Donor Eggs</b>	<b>Fresh Embryos</b>		<b>Frozen Embryos</b>	
	4		8	
	1 / 4		0 / 8	
	3.0		3.4	

### CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

**Current Name:** The Fertility Center, LLC

Donor egg?	Yes	Gestational carriers?	Yes	SART member?	No
Donor embryo?	Yes	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	No
Single women?	Yes			(See Appendix C for details.)	

<sup>a</sup> Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2006 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.

<sup>b</sup> When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.

<sup>c</sup> A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.

<sup>d</sup> Clinic-specific outcome rates are unreliable for women older than 42 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs. Readers are urged to review national outcomes for these age groups (see page 27).

<sup>e</sup> All ages (including ages >42) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.

# PEDRO J. BEAUCHAMP, MD BAYAMON, PUERTO RICO

A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 79–88.

## 2006 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART <sup>a</sup>				Patient Diagnosis			
IVF	100%	<b>Procedural Factors:</b>		Tubal factor	13%	Other factor	<1%
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	87%	Ovulatory dysfunction	3%	Unknown factor	0%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	0%	Diminished ovarian reserve	<1%	<i>Multiple Factors:</i>	
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	1%	Endometriosis	3%	Female factors only	21%
				Uterine factor	0%	Female & male factors	49%
				Male factor	12%		

## 2006 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by Pedro J. Beauchamp, MD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman			
	<35	35–37	38–40	41–42 <sup>d</sup>
<b>Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs</b>				
Number of cycles	63	48	47	13
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies <sup>b</sup>	54.0	41.7	34.0	4 / 13
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	41.3	39.6	19.1	1 / 13
(Confidence Interval)	(29.0–54.4)	(25.8–54.7)	(9.1–33.3)	
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	43.3	41.3	23.1	1 / 13
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	44.1	42.2	25.0	1 / 12
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births <sup>b</sup>	28.8	33.3	11.1	1 / 12
Percentage of cancellations <sup>b</sup>	4.8	4.2	17.0	0 / 13
Average number of embryos transferred	2.8	2.7	3.2	3.3
Percentage of pregnancies with twins <sup>b</sup>	32.4	20.0	5 / 16	0 / 4
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more <sup>b</sup>	5.9	10.0	2 / 16	0 / 4
Percentage of live births having multiple infants <sup>b,c</sup>	34.6	4 / 19	5 / 9	0 / 1
<b>Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs</b>				
Number of transfers	1	1	1	0
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	0 / 1	0 / 1	0 / 1	
Average number of embryos transferred	6.0	4.0	4.0	
<b>All Ages Combined<sup>e</sup></b>				
<b>Donor Eggs</b>	<b>Fresh Embryos</b>		<b>Frozen Embryos</b>	
	3		0	
	1 / 3			
	3.0			

## CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

**Current Name:** Pedro J. Beauchamp, MD

Donor egg?	Yes	Gestational carriers?	Yes	SART member?	Yes
Donor embryo?	No	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	Yes
Single women?	No			(See Appendix C for details.)	

<sup>a</sup> Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2006 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.

<sup>b</sup> When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.

<sup>c</sup> A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.

<sup>d</sup> Clinic-specific outcome rates are unreliable for women older than 42 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs. Readers are urged to review national outcomes for these age groups (see page 27).

<sup>e</sup> All ages (including ages >42) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.

**GREFI**  
**GYNECOLOGY, REPRODUCTIVE ENDOCRINOLOGY & FERTILITY INSTITUTE**  
**SANTURCE, PUERTO RICO**

**A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 79–88.**

**2006 ART CYCLE PROFILE**

Type of ART <sup>a</sup>				Patient Diagnosis			
IVF	100%	<b>Procedural Factors:</b>		Tubal factor	28%	Other factor	4%
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	32%	Ovulatory dysfunction	1%	Unknown factor	3%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	0%	Diminished ovarian reserve	16%	<i>Multiple Factors:</i>	
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	0%	Endometriosis	12%	Female factors only	0%
				Uterine factor	3%	Female & male factors	16%
				Male factor	17%		

**2006 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES**

Data verified by Rosa Ileana Cruz, MD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman			
	<35	35–37	38–40	41–42 <sup>d</sup>
<b>Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs</b>				
Number of cycles	36	7	8	6
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies <sup>b</sup>	16.7	2 / 7	3 / 8	1 / 6
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	13.9	2 / 7	2 / 8	0 / 6
(Confidence Interval)	(4.7–29.5)			
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	15.2	2 / 7	2 / 8	0 / 6
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	17.2	2 / 6	2 / 8	0 / 6
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births <sup>b</sup>	6.9	1 / 6	1 / 8	0 / 6
Percentage of cancellations <sup>b</sup>	8.3	0 / 7	0 / 8	0 / 6
Average number of embryos transferred	2.8	3.2	2.9	3.5
Percentage of pregnancies with twins <sup>b</sup>	4 / 6	1 / 2	2 / 3	0 / 1
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more <sup>b</sup>	0 / 6	0 / 2	0 / 3	0 / 1
Percentage of live births having multiple infants <sup>b,c</sup>	3 / 5	1 / 2	1 / 2	
<b>Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs</b>				
Number of transfers	2	0	0	0
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	0 / 2			
Average number of embryos transferred	3.0			
<b>All Ages Combined<sup>e</sup></b>				
<b>Donor Eggs</b>	<b>Fresh Embryos</b>		<b>Frozen Embryos</b>	
	13		0	
	1 / 13			
Average number of embryos transferred	3.0			

**CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE**

**Current Name:** GREFI, Gynecology, Reproductive Endocrinology & Fertility Institute

Donor egg?	Yes	Gestational carriers?	No	SART member?	Yes
Donor embryo?	Yes	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	Yes
Single women?	Yes			(See Appendix C for details.)	

<sup>a</sup> Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2006 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.

<sup>b</sup> When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.

<sup>c</sup> A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.

<sup>d</sup> Clinic-specific outcome rates are unreliable for women older than 42 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs. Readers are urged to review national outcomes for these age groups (see page 27).

<sup>e</sup> All ages (including ages >42) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.

# WOMEN AND INFANTS' DIVISION OF REPRODUCTIVE MEDICINE AND INFERTILITY PROVIDENCE, RHODE ISLAND

A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 79–88.

## 2006 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART <sup>a</sup>			Patient Diagnosis	
IVF	100%	<b>Procedural Factors:</b>	Tubal factor	13%
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	Ovulatory dysfunction	9%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	Diminished ovarian reserve	2%
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	Endometriosis	4%
			Uterine factor	3%
			Male factor	20%
			Other factor	9%
			Unknown factor	28%
			<b>Multiple Factors:</b>	
			Female factors only	4%
			Female & male factors	8%

## 2006 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by Gary Frishman, MD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman			
	<35	35–37	38–40	41–42 <sup>d</sup>
<b>Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs</b>				
Number of cycles	278	148	130	71
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies <sup>b</sup>	44.6	37.2	23.8	9.9
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	38.1	33.8	15.4	5.6
(Confidence Interval)	(32.4–44.1)	(26.2–42.0)	(9.7–22.8)	(1.6–13.8)
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	39.4	36.0	17.5	6.2
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	41.4	38.5	20.2	7.8
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births <sup>b</sup>	30.1	21.5	13.1	7.8
Percentage of cancellations <sup>b</sup>	3.2	6.1	12.3	8.5
Average number of embryos transferred	2.0	2.3	2.6	2.8
Percentage of pregnancies with twins <sup>b</sup>	29.0	38.2	22.6	1 / 7
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more <sup>b</sup>	2.4	7.3	3.2	0 / 7
Percentage of live births having multiple infants <sup>b,c</sup>	27.4	44.0	35.0	0 / 4
<b>Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs</b>				
Number of transfers	47	16	20	11
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	25.5	1 / 16	35.0	0 / 11
Average number of embryos transferred	2.4	2.3	2.2	2.6
<b>All Ages Combined<sup>e</sup></b>				
<b>Donor Eggs</b>	<b>Fresh Embryos</b>		<b>Frozen Embryos</b>	
	52		18	
	36.5		4 / 18	
Number of transfers	52		18	
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	36.5		4 / 18	
Average number of embryos transferred	2.0		2.5	

## CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

**Current Name:** Women and Infants' Division of Reproductive Medicine and Infertility

Donor egg?	Yes	Gestational carriers?	Yes	SART member?	Yes
Donor embryo?	Yes	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	Yes
Single women?	Yes			(See Appendix C for details.)	

<sup>a</sup> Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2006 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.

<sup>b</sup> When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.

<sup>c</sup> A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.

<sup>d</sup> Clinic-specific outcome rates are unreliable for women older than 42 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs. Readers are urged to review national outcomes for these age groups (see page 27).

<sup>e</sup> All ages (including ages >42) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.

## PIEDMONT REPRODUCTIVE ENDOCRINOLOGY GROUP, PA GREENVILLE, SOUTH CAROLINA

A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 79–88.

### 2006 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART <sup>a</sup>				Patient Diagnosis			
IVF	100%	<b>Procedural Factors:</b>		Tubal factor	6%	Other factor	2%
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	85%	Ovulatory dysfunction	33%	Unknown factor	2%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	0%	Diminished ovarian reserve	4%	<i>Multiple Factors:</i>	
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	0%	Endometriosis	4%	Female factors only	28%
				Uterine factor	0%	Female & male factors	18%
				Male factor	3%		

### 2006 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by John E. Nichols, MD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman			
	<35	35–37	38–40	41–42 <sup>d</sup>
<b>Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs</b>				
Number of cycles	52	12	11	2
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies <sup>b</sup>	46.2	7 / 12	4 / 11	2 / 2
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	42.3	6 / 12	2 / 11	1 / 2
(Confidence Interval)	(28.7–56.8)			
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	44.9	6 / 11	2 / 9	1 / 2
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	44.9	6 / 11	2 / 9	1 / 2
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births <sup>b</sup>	28.6	5 / 11	2 / 9	1 / 2
Percentage of cancellations <sup>b</sup>	5.8	1 / 12	2 / 11	0 / 2
Average number of embryos transferred	2.2	2.5	2.4	4.0
Percentage of pregnancies with twins <sup>b</sup>	33.3	1 / 7	1 / 4	0 / 2
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more <sup>b</sup>	4.2	0 / 7	0 / 4	0 / 2
Percentage of live births having multiple infants <sup>b,c</sup>	36.4	1 / 6	0 / 2	0 / 1
<b>Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs</b>				
Number of transfers	16	4	2	1
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	3 / 16	1 / 4	2 / 2	0 / 1
Average number of embryos transferred	2.6	2.3	2.5	3.0
<b>All Ages Combined<sup>e</sup></b>				
<b>Donor Eggs</b>	<b>Fresh Embryos</b>		<b>Frozen Embryos</b>	
	12		10	
	4 / 12		4 / 10	
	2.1		2.7	

### CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

**Current Name:** Piedmont Reproductive Endocrinology Group, PA

Donor egg?	Yes	Gestational carriers?	Yes	SART member?	Yes
Donor embryo?	Yes	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	Yes
Single women?	Yes			(See Appendix C for details.)	

<sup>a</sup> Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2006 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.

<sup>b</sup> When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.

<sup>c</sup> A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.

<sup>d</sup> Clinic-specific outcome rates are unreliable for women older than 42 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs. Readers are urged to review national outcomes for these age groups (see page 27).

<sup>e</sup> All ages (including ages >42) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.



# UNIVERSITY MEDICAL GROUP, DEPARTMENT OF OBSTETRICS AND GYNECOLOGY REPRODUCTIVE ENDOCRINOLOGY AND INFERTILITY GREENVILLE, SOUTH CAROLINA

A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 79–88.

## 2006 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART <sup>a</sup>			Patient Diagnosis	
IVF	100%	<b>Procedural Factors:</b>	Tubal factor	7%
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	Ovulatory dysfunction	21%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	Diminished ovarian reserve	<1%
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	Endometriosis	17%
			Uterine factor	0%
			Male factor	17%
			Other factor	3%
			Unknown factor	2%
			<b>Multiple Factors:</b>	
			Female factors only	21%
			Female & male factors	12%

## 2006 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by Bruce A. Lessey, MD, PhD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman			
	<35	35–37	38–40	41–42 <sup>d</sup>
<b>Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs</b>				
Number of cycles	65	23	14	2
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies <sup>b</sup>	53.8	43.5	5 / 14	1 / 2
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	40.0	30.4	5 / 14	1 / 2
(Confidence Interval)	(28.0–52.9)	(13.2–52.9)		
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	43.3	7 / 19	5 / 13	1 / 2
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	48.1	7 / 18	5 / 11	1 / 2
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births <sup>b</sup>	29.6	5 / 18	4 / 11	1 / 2
Percentage of cancellations <sup>b</sup>	7.7	17.4	1 / 14	0 / 2
Average number of embryos transferred	2.0	2.3	2.5	3.0
Percentage of pregnancies with twins <sup>b</sup>	28.6	2 / 10	0 / 5	0 / 1
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more <sup>b</sup>	2.9	1 / 10	1 / 5	0 / 1
Percentage of live births having multiple infants <sup>b,c</sup>	38.5	2 / 7	1 / 5	0 / 1
<b>Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs</b>				
Number of transfers	22	10	3	0
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	36.4	3 / 10	1 / 3	
Average number of embryos transferred	2.2	2.2	2.0	
<b>All Ages Combined<sup>e</sup></b>				
<b>Donor Eggs</b>	<b>Fresh Embryos</b>		<b>Frozen Embryos</b>	
	9		4	
	6 / 9		1 / 4	
	2.1		2.8	

## CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

**Current Name:** University Medical Group, Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology,  
Reproductive Endocrinology and Infertility

Donor egg?	Yes	Gestational carriers?	Yes	SART member?	Yes
Donor embryo?	No	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	Yes
Single women?	Yes			(See Appendix C for details.)	

<sup>a</sup> Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2006 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.

<sup>b</sup> When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.

<sup>c</sup> A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.

<sup>d</sup> Clinic-specific outcome rates are unreliable for women older than 42 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs. Readers are urged to review national outcomes for these age groups (see page 27).

<sup>e</sup> All ages (including ages >42) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.

## SOUTHEASTERN FERTILITY CENTER, PA MOUNT PLEASANT, SOUTH CAROLINA

A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 79–88.

### 2006 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART <sup>a</sup>				Patient Diagnosis			
IVF	100%	<b>Procedural Factors:</b>		Tubal factor	14%	Other factor	3%
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	53%	Ovulatory dysfunction	4%	Unknown factor	8%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	0%	Diminished ovarian reserve	19%	<i>Multiple Factors:</i>	
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	<1%	Endometriosis	5%	Female factors only	16%
				Uterine factor	<1%	Female & male factors	15%
				Male factor	16%		

### 2006 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by Grant W. Patton, MD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman			
	<35	35–37	38–40	41–42 <sup>d</sup>
<b>Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs</b>				
Number of cycles	159	70	31	12
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies <sup>b</sup>	45.3	42.9	29.0	2 / 12
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	38.4	37.1	19.4	2 / 12
(Confidence Interval)	(30.8–46.4)	(25.9–49.5)	(7.5–37.5)	
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	41.2	38.2	22.2	2 / 7
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	42.7	39.4	25.0	2 / 6
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births <sup>b</sup>	26.6	25.8	16.7	2 / 6
Percentage of cancellations <sup>b</sup>	6.9	2.9	12.9	5 / 12
Average number of embryos transferred	2.1	2.2	2.5	3.2
Percentage of pregnancies with twins <sup>b</sup>	38.9	36.7	2 / 9	0 / 2
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more <sup>b</sup>	2.8	3.3	0 / 9	0 / 2
Percentage of live births having multiple infants <sup>b,c</sup>	37.7	34.6	2 / 6	0 / 2
<b>Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs</b>				
Number of transfers	41	15	6	1
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	46.3	8 / 15	2 / 6	0 / 1
Average number of embryos transferred	2.0	2.0	2.0	3.0
<b>All Ages Combined<sup>e</sup></b>				
<b>Donor Eggs</b>	<b>Fresh Embryos</b>		<b>Frozen Embryos</b>	
	Number of transfers		21	
	62		42.9	
	64.5		2.0	
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>		2.0		
Average number of embryos transferred		2.0		

### CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

**Current Name:** Southeastern Fertility Center, PA

Donor egg?	Yes	Gestational carriers?	Yes	SART member?	Yes
Donor embryo?	Yes	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	Yes
Single women?	Yes			(See Appendix C for details.)	

<sup>a</sup> Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2006 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.

<sup>b</sup> When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.

<sup>c</sup> A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.

<sup>d</sup> Clinic-specific outcome rates are unreliable for women older than 42 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs. Readers are urged to review national outcomes for these age groups (see page 27).

<sup>e</sup> All ages (including ages >42) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.

## ADVANCED FERTILITY & REPRODUCTIVE ENDOCRINOLOGY WEST COLUMBIA, SOUTH CAROLINA

A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 79–88.

### 2006 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART <sup>a</sup>				Patient Diagnosis			
IVF	100%	<b>Procedural Factors:</b>		Tubal factor	4%	Other factor	2%
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	98%	Ovulatory dysfunction	4%	Unknown factor	2%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	0%	Diminished ovarian reserve	7%	<i>Multiple Factors:</i>	
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	0%	Endometriosis	2%	Female factors only	3%
				Uterine factor	0%	Female & male factors	55%
				Male factor	21%		

### 2006 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by Gail F. Whitman-Elia, MD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman			
	<35	35–37	38–40	41–42 <sup>d</sup>
<b>Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs</b>				
Number of cycles	96	34	28	13
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies <sup>b</sup>	60.4	47.1	35.7	4 / 13
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	52.1	29.4	28.6	3 / 13
(Confidence Interval)	(41.6–62.4)	(15.1–47.5)	(13.2–48.7)	
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	52.1	29.4	29.6	3 / 12
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	54.3	29.4	30.8	3 / 11
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births <sup>b</sup>	35.9	20.6	26.9	2 / 11
Percentage of cancellations <sup>b</sup>	0.0	0.0	3.6	1 / 13
Average number of embryos transferred	2.4	2.7	3.3	3.7
Percentage of pregnancies with twins <sup>b</sup>	32.8	3 / 16	1 / 10	1 / 4
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more <sup>b</sup>	6.9	1 / 16	0 / 10	0 / 4
Percentage of live births having multiple infants <sup>b,c</sup>	34.0	3 / 10	1 / 8	1 / 3
<b>Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs</b>				
Number of transfers	18	0	6	0
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	4 / 18		1 / 6	
Average number of embryos transferred	2.2		2.3	
<b>All Ages Combined<sup>e</sup></b>				
<b>Donor Eggs</b>	<b>Fresh Embryos</b>		<b>Frozen Embryos</b>	
	9		3	
	2 / 9		0 / 3	
	2.0		2.7	

### CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

**Current Name:** Advanced Fertility & Reproductive Endocrinology

Donor egg?	Yes	Gestational carriers?	Yes	SART member?	Yes
Donor embryo?	Yes	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	Yes
Single women?	Yes			(See Appendix C for details.)	

<sup>a</sup> Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2006 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.

<sup>b</sup> When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.

<sup>c</sup> A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.

<sup>d</sup> Clinic-specific outcome rates are unreliable for women older than 42 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs. Readers are urged to review national outcomes for these age groups (see page 27).

<sup>e</sup> All ages (including ages >42) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.

# **SIOUX VALLEY CLINIC OB-GYN, LTD.** **SIOUX FALLS, SOUTH DAKOTA**

**A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 79–88.**

## **2006 ART CYCLE PROFILE**

Type of ART <sup>a</sup>			Patient Diagnosis	
IVF	100%	<b>Procedural Factors:</b>	Tubal factor	12%
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	Ovulatory dysfunction	8%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	Diminished ovarian reserve	9%
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	Endometriosis	7%
			Uterine factor	0%
			Male factor	22%
			Other factor	4%
			Unknown factor	3%
			<b>Multiple Factors:</b>	
			Female factors only	6%
			Female & male factors	30%

## **2006 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES**

Data verified by Keith A. Hansen, MD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman			
	<35	35–37	38–40	41–42 <sup>d</sup>
<b>Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs</b>				
Number of cycles	99	21	15	5
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies <sup>b</sup>	44.4	38.1	5 / 15	0 / 5
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	39.4	33.3	3 / 15	0 / 5
(Confidence Interval)	(29.7–49.7)	(14.6–57.0)		
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	41.1	35.0	3 / 13	0 / 4
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	42.4	35.0	3 / 13	0 / 4
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births <sup>b</sup>	26.1	25.0	3 / 13	0 / 4
Percentage of cancellations <sup>b</sup>	4.0	4.8	2 / 15	1 / 5
Average number of embryos transferred	2.2	2.8	2.4	1.5
Percentage of pregnancies with twins <sup>b</sup>	31.8	4 / 8	0 / 5	
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more <sup>b</sup>	4.5	0 / 8	0 / 5	
Percentage of live births having multiple infants <sup>b,c</sup>	38.5	2 / 7	0 / 3	
<b>Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs</b>				
Number of transfers	35	12	3	0
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	8.6	3 / 12	0 / 3	
Average number of embryos transferred	3.0	2.9	2.0	
<b>All Ages Combined<sup>e</sup></b>				
<b>Donor Eggs</b>		<b>Fresh Embryos</b>	<b>Frozen Embryos</b>	
Number of transfers		5	5	
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>		1 / 5	0 / 5	
Average number of embryos transferred		1.8	2.6	

## **CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE**

**Current Name:** Sioux Valley Clinic OB-GYN, Ltd.

Donor egg?	Yes	Gestational carriers?	Yes	SART member?	Yes
Donor embryo?	Yes	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	Yes
Single women?	Yes			(See Appendix C for details.)	

<sup>a</sup> Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2006 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.

<sup>b</sup> When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.

<sup>c</sup> A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.

<sup>d</sup> Clinic-specific outcome rates are unreliable for women older than 42 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs. Readers are urged to review national outcomes for these age groups (see page 27).

<sup>e</sup> All ages (including ages >42) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.

## FERTILITY CENTER, LLC CHATTANOOGA, TENNESSEE

A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 79–88.

### 2006 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART <sup>a</sup>			Patient Diagnosis	
IVF	100%	<b>Procedural Factors:</b>	Tubal factor	15%
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	Ovulatory dysfunction	4%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	Diminished ovarian reserve	8%
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	Endometriosis	7%
			Uterine factor	1%
			Male factor	19%
			Other factor	7%
			Unknown factor	11%
			<b>Multiple Factors:</b>	
			Female factors only	12%
			Female & male factors	16%

### 2006 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by Barry W. Donesky, MD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman			
	<35	35–37	38–40	41–42 <sup>d</sup>
<b>Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs</b>				
Number of cycles	61	33	14	7
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies <sup>b</sup>	26.2	21.2	2 / 14	0 / 7
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	24.6	15.2	1 / 14	0 / 7
(Confidence Interval)	(14.5–37.3)	(5.1–31.9)		
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	26.8	20.8	1 / 12	0 / 5
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	33.3	23.8	1 / 7	0 / 4
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births <sup>b</sup>	15.6	19.0	1 / 7	0 / 4
Percentage of cancellations <sup>b</sup>	8.2	27.3	2 / 14	2 / 7
Average number of embryos transferred	2.0	2.3	2.1	2.5
Percentage of pregnancies with twins <sup>b</sup>	7 / 16	2 / 7	0 / 2	
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more <sup>b</sup>	2 / 16	0 / 7	0 / 2	
Percentage of live births having multiple infants <sup>b,c</sup>	8 / 15	1 / 5	0 / 1	
<b>Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs</b>				
Number of transfers	20	3	3	0
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	45.0	1 / 3	1 / 3	
Average number of embryos transferred	2.0	1.7	2.3	
<b>All Ages Combined<sup>e</sup></b>				
<b>Donor Eggs</b>	<b>Fresh Embryos</b>		<b>Frozen Embryos</b>	
	18		2	
	13 / 18		1 / 2	
	2.0		2.5	

### CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

**Current Name:** Fertility Center, LLC

Donor egg?	Yes	Gestational carriers?	Yes	SART member?	Yes
Donor embryo?	Yes	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	Yes
Single women?	No			(See Appendix C for details.)	

<sup>a</sup> Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2006 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.

<sup>b</sup> When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.

<sup>c</sup> A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.

<sup>d</sup> Clinic-specific outcome rates are unreliable for women older than 42 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs. Readers are urged to review national outcomes for these age groups (see page 27).

<sup>e</sup> All ages (including ages >42) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.

# CENTER FOR APPLIED REPRODUCTIVE SCIENCE JOHNSON CITY, TENNESSEE

**A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 79–88.**

## 2006 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART <sup>a</sup>				Patient Diagnosis			
IVF	100%	<b>Procedural Factors:</b>		Tubal factor	12%	Other factor	<1%
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	28%	Ovulatory dysfunction	17%	Unknown factor	<1%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	0%	Diminished ovarian reserve	4%	<i>Multiple Factors:</i>	
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	0%	Endometriosis	5%	Female factors only	28%
				Uterine factor	0%	Female & male factors	29%
				Male factor	5%		

## 2006 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by Samuel S. Thatcher, MD, PhD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman			
	<35	35–37	38–40	41–42 <sup>d</sup>
<b>Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs</b>				
Number of cycles	95	45	31	9
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies <sup>b</sup>	43.2	28.9	25.8	1 / 9
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	38.9	24.4	22.6	1 / 9
(Confidence Interval)	(29.1–49.5)	(12.9–39.5)	(9.6–41.1)	
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	43.5	29.7	29.2	1 / 8
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	48.1	32.4	7 / 18	1 / 7
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births <sup>b</sup>	36.4	23.5	6 / 18	1 / 7
Percentage of cancellations <sup>b</sup>	10.5	17.8	22.6	1 / 9
Average number of embryos transferred	1.9	1.9	1.8	1.9
Percentage of pregnancies with twins <sup>b</sup>	22.0	3 / 13	0 / 8	0 / 1
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more <sup>b</sup>	2.4	0 / 13	1 / 8	0 / 1
Percentage of live births having multiple infants <sup>b,c</sup>	24.3	3 / 11	1 / 7	0 / 1
<b>Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs</b>				
Number of transfers	12	5	3	1
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	8 / 12	1 / 5	1 / 3	0 / 1
Average number of embryos transferred	2.0	1.8	2.0	2.0
<b>All Ages Combined<sup>e</sup></b>				
<b>Donor Eggs</b>	<b>Fresh Embryos</b>		<b>Frozen Embryos</b>	
	2		9	
	2 / 2		2 / 9	
Average number of embryos transferred	2.0		1.7	

## CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

**Current Name:** Center for Applied Reproductive Science

Donor egg?	Yes	Gestational carriers?	No	SART member?	No
Donor embryo?	Yes	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	No
Single women?	Yes			(See Appendix C for details.)	

<sup>a</sup> Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2006 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.

<sup>b</sup> When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.

<sup>c</sup> A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.

<sup>d</sup> Clinic-specific outcome rates are unreliable for women older than 42 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs. Readers are urged to review national outcomes for these age groups (see page 27).

<sup>e</sup> All ages (including ages >42) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.



## EAST TENNESSEE IVF, FERTILITY, AND ANDROLOGY CENTER KNOXVILLE, TENNESSEE

A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 79–88.

### 2006 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART <sup>a</sup>				Patient Diagnosis			
IVF	100%	<b>Procedural Factors:</b>		Tubal factor	12%	Other factor	0%
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	30%	Ovulatory dysfunction	8%	Unknown factor	0%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	0%	Diminished ovarian reserve	4%	<i>Multiple Factors:</i>	
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	0%	Endometriosis	19%	Female factors only	0%
				Uterine factor	8%	Female & male factors	35%
				Male factor	15%		

### 2006 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by Gayla S. Harris, MD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman			
	<35	35–37	38–40	41–42 <sup>d</sup>
<b>Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs</b>				
Number of cycles	15	1	5	2
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies <sup>b</sup>	7 / 15	0 / 1	2 / 5	0 / 2
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup> (Confidence Interval)	7 / 15	0 / 1	2 / 5	0 / 2
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	7 / 15	0 / 1	2 / 5	0 / 2
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	7 / 15	0 / 1	2 / 5	0 / 2
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births <sup>b</sup>	5 / 15	0 / 1	2 / 5	0 / 2
Percentage of cancellations <sup>b</sup>	0 / 15	0 / 1	0 / 5	0 / 2
Average number of embryos transferred	2.2	3.0	2.4	3.0
Percentage of pregnancies with twins <sup>b</sup>	2 / 7		0 / 2	
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more <sup>b</sup>	0 / 7		0 / 2	
Percentage of live births having multiple infants <sup>b,c</sup>	2 / 7		0 / 2	
<b>Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs</b>				
Number of transfers	0	0	0	0
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>				
Average number of embryos transferred				
<b>All Ages Combined<sup>e</sup></b>				
<b>Donor Eggs</b>		<b>Fresh Embryos</b>	<b>Frozen Embryos</b>	
Number of transfers		3	0	
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>		2 / 3		
Average number of embryos transferred		2.0		

### CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

**Current Name:** East Tennessee IVF, Fertility, and Andrology Center

Donor egg?	Yes	Gestational carriers?	Yes	SART member?	Yes
Donor embryo?	Yes	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	Pending
Single women?	No			(See Appendix C for details.)	

<sup>a</sup> Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2006 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.

<sup>b</sup> When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.

<sup>c</sup> A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.

<sup>d</sup> Clinic-specific outcome rates are unreliable for women older than 42 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs. Readers are urged to review national outcomes for these age groups (see page 27).

<sup>e</sup> All ages (including ages >42) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.

## SOUTHEASTERN FERTILITY CENTER KNOXVILLE, TENNESSEE

**A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 79–88.**

### 2006 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART <sup>a</sup>				Patient Diagnosis			
IVF	100%	<b>Procedural Factors:</b>		Tubal factor	4%	Other factor	3%
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	50%	Ovulatory dysfunction	0%	Unknown factor	5%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	0%	Diminished ovarian reserve	4%	<b>Multiple Factors:</b>	
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	0%	Endometriosis	4%	Female factors only	12%
				Uterine factor	0%	Female & male factors	24%
				Male factor	44%		

### 2006 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by Jeffrey A. Keenan, MD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman			
	<35	35–37	38–40	41–42 <sup>d</sup>
<b>Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs</b>				
Number of cycles	14	3	5	0
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies <sup>b</sup>	8 / 14	2 / 3	1 / 5	
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	8 / 14	2 / 3	1 / 5	
(Confidence Interval)				
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	8 / 14	2 / 3	1 / 5	
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	8 / 13	2 / 3	1 / 4	
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births <sup>b</sup>	6 / 13	1 / 3	1 / 4	
Percentage of cancellations <sup>b</sup>	0 / 14	0 / 3	0 / 5	
Average number of embryos transferred	2.5	2.0	3.8	
Percentage of pregnancies with twins <sup>b</sup>	3 / 8	1 / 2	0 / 1	
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more <sup>b</sup>	0 / 8	0 / 2	0 / 1	
Percentage of live births having multiple infants <sup>b,c</sup>	2 / 8	1 / 2	0 / 1	
<b>Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs</b>				
Number of transfers	5	1	2	0
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	1 / 5	0 / 1	1 / 2	
Average number of embryos transferred	2.6	3.0	3.5	
<b>All Ages Combined<sup>e</sup></b>				
<b>Donor Eggs</b>	<b>Fresh Embryos</b>		<b>Frozen Embryos</b>	
	1		39	
	1 / 1		48.7	
	2.0		2.8	

### CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

**Current Name:** Southeastern Fertility Center

Donor egg?	Yes	Gestational carriers?	No	SART member?	Yes
Donor embryo?	Yes	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	No
Single women?	No			(See Appendix C for details.)	

<sup>a</sup> Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2006 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.

<sup>b</sup> When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.

<sup>c</sup> A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.

<sup>d</sup> Clinic-specific outcome rates are unreliable for women older than 42 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs. Readers are urged to review national outcomes for these age groups (see page 27).

<sup>e</sup> All ages (including ages >42) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.

## KUTTEH KE FERTILITY ASSOCIATES OF MEMPHIS, PLLC MEMPHIS, TENNESSEE

A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 79–88.

### 2006 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART <sup>a</sup>				Patient Diagnosis			
IVF	100%	<b>Procedural Factors:</b>		Tubal factor	7%	Other factor	1%
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	48%	Ovulatory dysfunction	8%	Unknown factor	4%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	0%	Diminished ovarian reserve	8%	<i>Multiple Factors:</i>	
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	0%	Endometriosis	8%	Female factors only	24%
				Uterine factor	<1%	Female & male factors	30%
				Male factor	10%		

### 2006 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by Raymond W. Ke, MD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman			
	<35	35–37	38–40	41–42 <sup>d</sup>
<b>Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs</b>				
Number of cycles	133	42	28	14
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies <sup>b</sup>	50.4	33.3	25.0	1 / 14
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	45.1	31.0	25.0	1 / 14
(Confidence Interval)	(36.5–54.0)	(17.6–47.1)	(10.7–44.9)	
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	48.0	35.1	26.9	1 / 10
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	50.0	36.1	30.4	1 / 9
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births <sup>b</sup>	30.8	27.8	26.1	1 / 9
Percentage of cancellations <sup>b</sup>	6.0	11.9	7.1	4 / 14
Average number of embryos transferred	2.2	2.5	2.6	2.3
Percentage of pregnancies with twins <sup>b</sup>	37.3	4 / 14	1 / 7	0 / 1
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more <sup>b</sup>	4.5	1 / 14	0 / 7	0 / 1
Percentage of live births having multiple infants <sup>b,c</sup>	38.3	3 / 13	1 / 7	0 / 1
<b>Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs</b>				
Number of transfers	12	6	5	1
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	10 / 12	3 / 6	2 / 5	1 / 1
Average number of embryos transferred	2.0	1.3	2.4	2.0
<b>All Ages Combined<sup>e</sup></b>				
<b>Donor Eggs</b>	<b>Fresh Embryos</b>		<b>Frozen Embryos</b>	
	10		2	
	5 / 10		0 / 2	
	2.1		2.5	

### CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

**Current Name:** Kutteh Ke Fertility Associates of Memphis, PLLC

Donor egg?	Yes	Gestational carriers?	No	SART member?	Yes
Donor embryo?	Yes	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	Yes
Single women?	No			(See Appendix C for details.)	

<sup>a</sup> Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2006 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.

<sup>b</sup> When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.

<sup>c</sup> A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.

<sup>d</sup> Clinic-specific outcome rates are unreliable for women older than 42 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs. Readers are urged to review national outcomes for these age groups (see page 27).

<sup>e</sup> All ages (including ages >42) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.

## THE CENTER FOR REPRODUCTIVE HEALTH NASHVILLE, TENNESSEE

**A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 79–88.**

### 2006 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART <sup>a</sup>				Patient Diagnosis			
IVF	100%	<b>Procedural Factors:</b>		Tubal factor	2%	Other factor	3%
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	74%	Ovulatory dysfunction	12%	Unknown factor	0%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	0%	Diminished ovarian reserve	4%	<b>Multiple Factors:</b>	
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	0%	Endometriosis	3%	Female factors only	14%
				Uterine factor	0%	Female & male factors	53%
				Male factor	11%		

### 2006 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by Jaime M. Vasquez, MD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman			
	<35	35–37	38–40	41–42 <sup>d</sup>
<b>Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs</b>				
Number of cycles	42	17	6	0
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies <sup>b</sup>	50.0	9 / 17	2 / 6	
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	40.5	8 / 17	2 / 6	
(Confidence Interval)	(25.6–56.7)			
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	42.5	8 / 14	2 / 6	
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	43.6	8 / 14	2 / 5	
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births <sup>b</sup>	25.6	5 / 14	2 / 5	
Percentage of cancellations <sup>b</sup>	4.8	3 / 17	0 / 6	
Average number of embryos transferred	3.2	3.5	3.4	
Percentage of pregnancies with twins <sup>b</sup>	19.0	1 / 9	0 / 2	
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more <sup>b</sup>	28.6	2 / 9	0 / 2	
Percentage of live births having multiple infants <sup>b,c</sup>	7 / 17	3 / 8	0 / 2	
<b>Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs</b>				
Number of transfers	9	0	1	0
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	1 / 9		1 / 1	
Average number of embryos transferred	3.2		4.0	
<b>All Ages Combined<sup>e</sup></b>				
<b>Donor Eggs</b>	<b>Fresh Embryos</b>		<b>Frozen Embryos</b>	
	16		6	
	10 / 16		1 / 6	
	3.5		3.5	

### CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

**Current Name:** The Center for Reproductive Health

Donor egg?	Yes	Gestational carriers?	Yes	SART member?	Yes
Donor embryo?	Yes	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	Yes
Single women?	Yes			(See Appendix C for details.)	

<sup>a</sup> Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2006 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.

<sup>b</sup> When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.

<sup>c</sup> A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.

<sup>d</sup> Clinic-specific outcome rates are unreliable for women older than 42 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs. Readers are urged to review national outcomes for these age groups (see page 27).

<sup>e</sup> All ages (including ages >42) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.

## NASHVILLE FERTILITY CENTER NASHVILLE, TENNESSEE

A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 79–88.

### 2006 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART <sup>a</sup>				Patient Diagnosis			
IVF	100%	<b>Procedural Factors:</b>		Tubal factor	7%	Other factor	2%
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	66%	Ovulatory dysfunction	4%	Unknown factor	4%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	0%	Diminished ovarian reserve	8%	<i>Multiple Factors:</i>	
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	0%	Endometriosis	6%	Female factors only	31%
				Uterine factor	<1%	Female & male factors	25%
				Male factor	14%		

### 2006 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by George A. Hill, MD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman			
	<35	35–37	38–40	41–42 <sup>d</sup>
<b>Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs</b>				
Number of cycles	198	72	58	17
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies <sup>b</sup>	46.0	34.7	24.1	0 / 17
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	38.9	31.9	17.2	0 / 17
(Confidence Interval)	(32.1–46.1)	(21.4–44.0)	(8.6–29.4)	
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	43.5	41.8	24.4	0 / 12
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	46.1	45.1	25.6	0 / 10
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births <sup>b</sup>	26.3	29.4	20.5	0 / 10
Percentage of cancellations <sup>b</sup>	10.6	23.6	29.3	5 / 17
Average number of embryos transferred	2.2	2.4	3.0	3.5
Percentage of pregnancies with twins <sup>b</sup>	36.3	32.0	5 / 14	
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more <sup>b</sup>	5.5	4.0	1 / 14	
Percentage of live births having multiple infants <sup>b,c</sup>	42.9	34.8	2 / 10	
<b>Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs</b>				
Number of transfers	71	33	9	2
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	32.4	39.4	1 / 9	0 / 2
Average number of embryos transferred	2.6	2.3	2.7	2.0
<b>All Ages Combined<sup>e</sup></b>				
<b>Donor Eggs</b>	<b>Fresh Embryos</b>		<b>Frozen Embryos</b>	
	28		41	
	67.9		41.5	
	2.3		2.6	

### CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

**Current Name:** Nashville Fertility Center

Donor egg?	Yes	Gestational carriers?	Yes	SART member?	Yes
Donor embryo?	Yes	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	Yes
Single women?	Yes			(See Appendix C for details.)	

<sup>a</sup> Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2006 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.

<sup>b</sup> When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.

<sup>c</sup> A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.

<sup>d</sup> Clinic-specific outcome rates are unreliable for women older than 42 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs. Readers are urged to review national outcomes for these age groups (see page 27).

<sup>e</sup> All ages (including ages >42) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.

**TEXAS FERTILITY CENTER**  
**DRS. VAUGHN, SILVERBERG AND HANSARD**  
**AUSTIN, TEXAS**

**A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 79–88.**

**2006 ART CYCLE PROFILE**

Type of ART <sup>a</sup>			Patient Diagnosis	
IVF	100%	<b>Procedural Factors:</b>	Tubal factor	11%
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	Ovulatory dysfunction	5%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	Diminished ovarian reserve	12%
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	Endometriosis	15%
			Uterine factor	<1%
			Male factor	12%
			Other factor	10%
			Unknown factor	6%
			<b>Multiple Factors:</b>	
			Female factors only	17%
			Female & male factors	12%

**2006 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES**

Data verified by Kaylen Silverberg, MD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman			
	<35	35–37	38–40	41–42 <sup>d</sup>
<b>Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs</b>				
Number of cycles	177	126	87	34
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies <sup>b</sup>	56.5	38.1	29.9	29.4
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	52.5	31.7	19.5	8.8
(Confidence Interval)	(44.9–60.1)	(23.7–40.6)	(11.8–29.4)	(1.9–23.7)
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	58.5	38.8	25.0	10.7
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	59.6	40.8	26.2	11.5
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births <sup>b</sup>	34.0	24.5	20.0	11.5
Percentage of cancellations <sup>b</sup>	10.2	18.3	21.8	17.6
Average number of embryos transferred	2.2	2.5	2.9	3.1
Percentage of pregnancies with twins <sup>b</sup>	42.0	41.7	19.2	1 / 10
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more <sup>b</sup>	4.0	6.3	0.0	0 / 10
Percentage of live births having multiple infants <sup>b,c</sup>	43.0	40.0	4 / 17	0 / 3
<b>Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs</b>				
Number of transfers	55	43	16	5
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	36.4	16.3	7 / 16	2 / 5
Average number of embryos transferred	1.8	1.8	2.0	1.8
<b>All Ages Combined<sup>e</sup></b>				
<b>Donor Eggs</b>	<b>Fresh Embryos</b>		<b>Frozen Embryos</b>	
	49		15	
	67.3		2 / 15	
	2.1		1.9	

**CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE**

**Current Name:** Texas Fertility Center, Drs. Vaughn, Silverberg and Hansard

Donor egg?	Yes	Gestational carriers?	Yes	SART member?	Yes
Donor embryo?	No	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	Yes
Single women?	Yes			(See Appendix C for details.)	

- <sup>a</sup> Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2006 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.  
<sup>b</sup> When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.  
<sup>c</sup> A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.  
<sup>d</sup> Clinic-specific outcome rates are unreliable for women older than 42 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs. Readers are urged to review national outcomes for these age groups (see page 27).  
<sup>e</sup> All ages (including ages >42) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.



**JEFFREY T. YOUNGKIN, MD**  
**AUSTIN FERTILITY CENTER**  
**AUSTIN, TEXAS**

**A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 79–88.**

### 2006 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART <sup>a</sup>			Patient Diagnosis	
IVF	100%	<b>Procedural Factors:</b>	Tubal factor	18%
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	Ovulatory dysfunction	18%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	Diminished ovarian reserve	0%
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	Endometriosis	6%
			Uterine factor	0%
			Male factor	6%
			Other factor	18%
			Unknown factor	6%
			<b>Multiple Factors:</b>	
			Female factors only	24%
			Female & male factors	6%

### 2006 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by Jeffrey T. Youngkin, MD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman			
	<35	35–37	38–40	41–42 <sup>d</sup>
Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs				
Number of cycles	7	2	4	1
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies <sup>b</sup>	5 / 7	1 / 2	2 / 4	0 / 1
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	3 / 7	1 / 2	2 / 4	0 / 1
(Confidence Interval)				
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	3 / 7	1 / 2	2 / 4	0 / 1
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	3 / 7	1 / 2	2 / 4	0 / 1
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births <sup>b</sup>	3 / 7	1 / 2	2 / 4	0 / 1
Percentage of cancellations <sup>b</sup>	0 / 7	0 / 2	0 / 4	0 / 1
Average number of embryos transferred	2.1	1.5	3.8	4.0
Percentage of pregnancies with twins <sup>b</sup>	1 / 5	0 / 1	1 / 2	
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more <sup>b</sup>	0 / 5	0 / 1	0 / 2	
Percentage of live births having multiple infants <sup>b,c</sup>	0 / 3	0 / 1	0 / 2	
Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs				
Number of transfers	1	2	0	0
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	0 / 1	1 / 2		
Average number of embryos transferred	2.0	2.0		
All Ages Combined <sup>e</sup>				
Donor Eggs	Fresh Embryos		Frozen Embryos	
Number of transfers	0		0	
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>				
Average number of embryos transferred				

### CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

**Current Name:** Jeffrey T. Youngkin, MD, Austin Fertility Center

Donor egg?	No	Gestational carriers?	No	SART member?	Yes
Donor embryo?	No	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	Yes
Single women?	No			(See Appendix C for details.)	

<sup>a</sup> Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2006 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.

<sup>b</sup> When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.

<sup>c</sup> A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.

<sup>d</sup> Clinic-specific outcome rates are unreliable for women older than 42 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs. Readers are urged to review national outcomes for these age groups (see page 27).

<sup>e</sup> All ages (including ages >42) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.

# CENTER FOR ASSISTED REPRODUCTION BEDFORD, TEXAS

**A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 79–88.**

## 2006 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART <sup>a</sup>			Patient Diagnosis	
IVF	100%	<b>Procedural Factors:</b>	Tubal factor	10%
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	Ovulatory dysfunction	7%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	Diminished ovarian reserve	3%
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	Endometriosis	3%
			Uterine factor	3%
			Male factor	20%
			Other factor	14%
			Unknown factor	10%
			<b>Multiple Factors:</b>	
			Female factors only	8%
			Female & male factors	22%

## 2006 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by Kevin J. Doody, MD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman			
	<35	35–37	38–40	41–42 <sup>d</sup>
<b>Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs</b>				
Number of cycles	202	81	44	23
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies <sup>b</sup>	41.1	37.0	36.4	13.0
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	33.7	29.6	31.8	8.7
(Confidence Interval)	(27.2–40.6)	(20.0–40.8)	(18.6–47.6)	(1.1–28.0)
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	34.7	30.8	34.1	8.7
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	36.2	33.8	40.0	2 / 14
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births <sup>b</sup>	22.3	29.6	37.1	2 / 14
Percentage of cancellations <sup>b</sup>	3.0	3.7	6.8	0.0
Average number of embryos transferred	1.9	1.9	2.0	2.1
Percentage of pregnancies with twins <sup>b</sup>	32.5	10.0	2 / 16	0 / 3
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more <sup>b</sup>	2.4	0.0	0 / 16	0 / 3
Percentage of live births having multiple infants <sup>b,c</sup>	38.2	12.5	1 / 14	0 / 2
<b>Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs</b>				
Number of transfers	102	38	20	9
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	40.2	36.8	20.0	3 / 9
Average number of embryos transferred	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.2
<b>All Ages Combined<sup>e</sup></b>				
<b>Donor Eggs</b>	<b>Fresh Embryos</b>		<b>Frozen Embryos</b>	
	38		49	
	52.6		30.6	
	1.9		1.9	

## CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

**Current Name:** Center for Assisted Reproduction

Donor egg?	Yes	Gestational carriers?	Yes	SART member?	Yes
Donor embryo?	Yes	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	Yes
Single women?	Yes			(See Appendix C for details.)	

<sup>a</sup> Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2006 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.

<sup>b</sup> When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.

<sup>c</sup> A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.

<sup>d</sup> Clinic-specific outcome rates are unreliable for women older than 42 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs. Readers are urged to review national outcomes for these age groups (see page 27).

<sup>e</sup> All ages (including ages >42) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.

## TRINITY INVITRO FERTILIZATION PROGRAM CARROLLTON, TEXAS

A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 79–88.

### 2006 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART <sup>a</sup>				Patient Diagnosis			
IVF	100%	<b>Procedural Factors:</b>		Tubal factor	0%	Other factor	19%
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	33%	Ovulatory dysfunction	0%	Unknown factor	0%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	0%	Diminished ovarian reserve	6%	<b>Multiple Factors:</b>	
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	11%	Endometriosis	0%	Female factors only	13%
				Uterine factor	0%	Female & male factors	63%
				Male factor	0%		

### 2006 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by W. F. Howard, MD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman			
	<35	35–37	38–40	41–42 <sup>d</sup>
<b>Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs</b>				
Number of cycles	6	3	0	0
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies <sup>b</sup>	2 / 6	1 / 3		
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup> (Confidence Interval)	2 / 6	0 / 3		
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	2 / 6	0 / 1		
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	2 / 6	0 / 1		
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births <sup>b</sup>	1 / 6	0 / 1		
Percentage of cancellations <sup>b</sup>	0 / 6	2 / 3		
Average number of embryos transferred	1.8	2.0		
Percentage of pregnancies with twins <sup>b</sup>	1 / 2	1 / 1		
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more <sup>b</sup>	1 / 2	0 / 1		
Percentage of live births having multiple infants <sup>b,c</sup>	1 / 2			
<b>Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs</b>				
Number of transfers	2	1	1	0
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	1 / 2	0 / 1	0 / 1	
Average number of embryos transferred	1.5	2.0	2.0	
<b>All Ages Combined<sup>e</sup></b>				
<b>Donor Eggs</b>	<b>Fresh Embryos</b>		<b>Frozen Embryos</b>	
	2		0	
	2 / 2			
Average number of embryos transferred	2.0			

### CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

**Current Name:** Trinity InVitro Fertilization Program

Donor egg?	Yes	Gestational carriers?	Yes	SART member?	Yes
Donor embryo?	No	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	Yes
Single women?	Yes			(See Appendix C for details.)	

<sup>a</sup> Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2006 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.

<sup>b</sup> When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.

<sup>c</sup> A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.

<sup>d</sup> Clinic-specific outcome rates are unreliable for women older than 42 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs. Readers are urged to review national outcomes for these age groups (see page 27).

<sup>e</sup> All ages (including ages >42) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.

## DALLAS-FORT WORTH FERTILITY ASSOCIATES DALLAS, TEXAS

**A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 79–88.**

### 2006 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART <sup>a</sup>				Patient Diagnosis			
IVF	100%	<b>Procedural Factors:</b>		Tubal factor	3%	Other factor	4%
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	40%	Ovulatory dysfunction	7%	Unknown factor	2%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	0%	Diminished ovarian reserve	21%	<b>Multiple Factors:</b>	
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	<1%	Endometriosis	1%	Female factors only	18%
				Uterine factor	<1%	Female & male factors	35%
				Male factor	10%		

### 2006 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by Samuel J. Chantilis, MD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman			
	<35	35–37	38–40	41–42 <sup>d</sup>
<b>Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs</b>				
Number of cycles	140	92	69	35
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies <sup>b</sup>	60.0	51.1	44.9	37.1
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	52.1	47.8	33.3	22.9
(Confidence Interval)	(43.5–60.7)	(37.3–58.5)	(22.4–45.7)	(10.4–40.1)
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	58.4	52.4	41.1	28.6
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	59.3	55.0	41.8	33.3
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births <sup>b</sup>	40.7	41.3	34.5	33.3
Percentage of cancellations <sup>b</sup>	10.7	8.7	18.8	20.0
Average number of embryos transferred	2.0	2.4	2.5	3.0
Percentage of pregnancies with twins <sup>b</sup>	36.9	23.4	12.9	3 / 13
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more <sup>b</sup>	1.2	4.3	6.5	0 / 13
Percentage of live births having multiple infants <sup>b,c</sup>	31.5	25.0	17.4	0 / 8
<b>Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs</b>				
Number of transfers	17	12	5	0
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	11 / 17	5 / 12	1 / 5	
Average number of embryos transferred	1.7	1.8	2.0	
<b>All Ages Combined<sup>e</sup></b>				
<b>Donor Eggs</b>	<b>Fresh Embryos</b>		<b>Frozen Embryos</b>	
	Number of transfers		13	
	66		7 / 13	
	68.2		1.7	
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>		1.9		
Average number of embryos transferred				

### CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

**Current Name:** Dallas-Fort Worth Fertility Associates

Donor egg?	Yes	Gestational carriers?	Yes	SART member?	Yes
Donor embryo?	Yes	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	Yes
Single women?	No			(See Appendix C for details.)	

<sup>a</sup> Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2006 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.

<sup>b</sup> When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.

<sup>c</sup> A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.

<sup>d</sup> Clinic-specific outcome rates are unreliable for women older than 42 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs. Readers are urged to review national outcomes for these age groups (see page 27).

<sup>e</sup> All ages (including ages >42) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.

## FERTILITY SPECIALISTS OF DALLAS, PA DALLAS, TEXAS

A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 79–88.

### 2006 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART <sup>a</sup>				Patient Diagnosis			
IVF	100%	<b>Procedural Factors:</b>		Tubal factor	14%	Other factor	0%
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	32%	Ovulatory dysfunction	12%	Unknown factor	4%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	0%	Diminished ovarian reserve	16%	<b>Multiple Factors:</b>	
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	0%	Endometriosis	4%	Female factors only	12%
				Uterine factor	0%	Female & male factors	25%
				Male factor	14%		

### 2006 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by Jerald S. Goldstein, MD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman			
	<35	35–37	38–40	41–42 <sup>d</sup>
<b>Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs</b>				
Number of cycles	37	5	5	3
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies <sup>b</sup>	45.9	3 / 5	0 / 5	0 / 3
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	45.9	2 / 5	0 / 5	0 / 3
(Confidence Interval)	(29.5–63.1)			
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	50.0	2 / 5	0 / 3	0 / 1
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	51.5	2 / 5	0 / 3	0 / 1
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births <sup>b</sup>	27.3	1 / 5	0 / 3	0 / 1
Percentage of cancellations <sup>b</sup>	8.1	0 / 5	2 / 5	2 / 3
Average number of embryos transferred	2.1	2.6	3.7	2.0
Percentage of pregnancies with twins <sup>b</sup>	8 / 17	0 / 3		
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more <sup>b</sup>	1 / 17	1 / 3		
Percentage of live births having multiple infants <sup>b,c</sup>	8 / 17	1 / 2		
<b>Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs</b>				
Number of transfers	2	2	0	0
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	1 / 2	1 / 2		
Average number of embryos transferred	2.0	2.0		
<b>All Ages Combined<sup>e</sup></b>				
<b>Donor Eggs</b>	<b>Fresh Embryos</b>		<b>Frozen Embryos</b>	
	3		0	
	2 / 3			
Average number of embryos transferred	2.0			

### CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

**Current Name:** Fertility Specialists of Dallas, PA

Donor egg?	Yes	Gestational carriers?	Yes	SART member?	Yes
Donor embryo?	Yes	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	Yes
Single women?	No			(See Appendix C for details.)	

<sup>a</sup> Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2006 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.

<sup>b</sup> When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.

<sup>c</sup> A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.

<sup>d</sup> Clinic-specific outcome rates are unreliable for women older than 42 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs. Readers are urged to review national outcomes for these age groups (see page 27).

<sup>e</sup> All ages (including ages >42) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.

## SHER INSTITUTE FOR REPRODUCTIVE MEDICINE–DALLAS DALLAS, TEXAS

**A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 79–88.**

### 2006 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART <sup>a</sup>				Patient Diagnosis			
IVF	100%	<b>Procedural Factors:</b>		Tubal factor	9%	Other factor	26%
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	86%	Ovulatory dysfunction	8%	Unknown factor	6%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	0%	Diminished ovarian reserve	14%	<b>Multiple Factors:</b>	
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	4%	Endometriosis	4%	Female factors only	8%
				Uterine factor	3%	Female & male factors	18%
				Male factor	3%		

### 2006 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by Walid Saleh, MD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman			
	<35	35–37	38–40	41–42 <sup>d</sup>
<b>Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs</b>				
Number of cycles	46	28	22	4
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies <sup>b</sup>	41.3	42.9	22.7	0 / 4
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	37.0	35.7	13.6	0 / 4
(Confidence Interval)	(23.2–52.5)	(18.6–55.9)	(2.9–34.9)	
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	40.5	37.0	3 / 17	0 / 3
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	53.1	10 / 19	3 / 15	0 / 3
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births <sup>b</sup>	25.0	6 / 19	1 / 15	0 / 3
Percentage of cancellations <sup>b</sup>	8.7	3.6	22.7	1 / 4
Average number of embryos transferred	2.3	2.1	2.5	2.3
Percentage of pregnancies with twins <sup>b</sup>	10 / 19	4 / 12	3 / 5	
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more <sup>b</sup>	1 / 19	0 / 12	0 / 5	
Percentage of live births having multiple infants <sup>b,c</sup>	9 / 17	4 / 10	2 / 3	
<b>Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs</b>				
Number of transfers	6	0	2	1
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	4 / 6		0 / 2	0 / 1
Average number of embryos transferred	2.3		2.5	3.0
<b>All Ages Combined<sup>e</sup></b>				
<b>Donor Eggs</b>	<b>Fresh Embryos</b>		<b>Frozen Embryos</b>	
	8		8	
	3 / 8		1 / 8	
Average number of embryos transferred	2.4		2.8	

### CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

**Current Name:** Sher Institute for Reproductive Medicine–Dallas

Donor egg?	Yes	Gestational carriers?	Yes	SART member?	Yes
Donor embryo?	No	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	Yes
Single women?	Yes			(See Appendix C for details.)	

<sup>a</sup> Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2006 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.

<sup>b</sup> When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.

<sup>c</sup> A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.

<sup>d</sup> Clinic-specific outcome rates are unreliable for women older than 42 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs. Readers are urged to review national outcomes for these age groups (see page 27).

<sup>e</sup> All ages (including ages >42) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.



# TEXAS CENTER FOR REPRODUCTIVE HEALTH DALLAS, TEXAS

A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 79–88.

## 2006 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART <sup>a</sup>				Patient Diagnosis			
IVF	100%	<b>Procedural Factors:</b>		Tubal factor	9%	Other factor	3%
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	83%	Ovulatory dysfunction	4%	Unknown factor	2%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	0%	Diminished ovarian reserve	8%	<i>Multiple Factors:</i>	
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	<1%	Endometriosis	10%	Female factors only	18%
				Uterine factor	<1%	Female & male factors	23%
				Male factor	23%		

## 2006 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by Michael Putman, MD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman			
	<35	35–37	38–40	41–42 <sup>d</sup>
<b>Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs</b>				
Number of cycles	64	27	14	7
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies <sup>b</sup>	56.3	48.1	1 / 14	0 / 7
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	48.4	37.0	1 / 14	0 / 7
(Confidence Interval)	(35.8–61.3)	(19.4–57.6)		
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	50.0	37.0	1 / 13	0 / 6
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	52.5	40.0	1 / 10	0 / 5
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births <sup>b</sup>	33.9	20.0	1 / 10	0 / 5
Percentage of cancellations <sup>b</sup>	3.1	0.0	1 / 14	1 / 7
Average number of embryos transferred	2.2	2.8	3.2	2.2
Percentage of pregnancies with twins <sup>b</sup>	36.1	3 / 13	0 / 1	
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more <sup>b</sup>	2.8	2 / 13	0 / 1	
Percentage of live births having multiple infants <sup>b,c</sup>	35.5	5 / 10	0 / 1	
<b>Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs</b>				
Number of transfers	29	11	2	2
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	51.7	6 / 11	0 / 2	1 / 2
Average number of embryos transferred	2.2	2.1	3.0	4.5
<b>All Ages Combined<sup>e</sup></b>				
<b>Donor Eggs</b>	<b>Fresh Embryos</b>		<b>Frozen Embryos</b>	
	10		4	
	6 / 10		2 / 4	
Average number of embryos transferred	2.0		2.5	

## CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

**Current Name:** Texas Center for Reproductive Health

Donor egg?	Yes	Gestational carriers?	Yes	SART member?	Yes
Donor embryo?	Yes	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	Yes
Single women?	Yes			(See Appendix C for details.)	

<sup>a</sup> Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2006 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.

<sup>b</sup> When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.

<sup>c</sup> A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.

<sup>d</sup> Clinic-specific outcome rates are unreliable for women older than 42 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs. Readers are urged to review national outcomes for these age groups (see page 27).

<sup>e</sup> All ages (including ages >42) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.

## THE WOMEN'S PLACE DALLAS, TEXAS

**A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 79–88.**

### 2006 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART <sup>a</sup>				Patient Diagnosis			
IVF	100%	<b>Procedural Factors:</b>		Tubal factor	20%	Other factor	0%
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	46%	Ovulatory dysfunction	16%	Unknown factor	12%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	0%	Diminished ovarian reserve	0%	<b>Multiple Factors:</b>	
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	0%	Endometriosis	0%	Female factors only	12%
				Uterine factor	0%	Female & male factors	36%
				Male factor	4%		

### 2006 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by Lisa A. King-Hatley, MD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman			
	<35	35–37	38–40	41–42 <sup>d</sup>
<b>Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs</b>				
Number of cycles	11	5	5	1
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies <sup>b</sup>	1 / 11	1 / 5	1 / 5	0 / 1
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup> (Confidence Interval)	1 / 11	1 / 5	1 / 5	0 / 1
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	1 / 11	1 / 5	1 / 3	
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	1 / 11	1 / 5	1 / 3	
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births <sup>b</sup>	1 / 11	1 / 5	1 / 3	
Percentage of cancellations <sup>b</sup>	0 / 11	0 / 5	2 / 5	1 / 1
Average number of embryos transferred	2.5	2.4	1.3	
Percentage of pregnancies with twins <sup>b</sup>	0 / 1	0 / 1	0 / 1	
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more <sup>b</sup>	0 / 1	0 / 1	0 / 1	
Percentage of live births having multiple infants <sup>b,c</sup>	0 / 1	0 / 1	0 / 1	
<b>Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs</b>				
Number of transfers	3	0	0	0
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	1 / 3			
Average number of embryos transferred	2.3			
<b>All Ages Combined<sup>e</sup></b>				
<b>Donor Eggs</b>	<b>Fresh Embryos</b>		<b>Frozen Embryos</b>	
	0		0	
	Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>			
	Average number of embryos transferred			

### CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

**Current Name:** The Women's Place

Donor egg?	No	Gestational carriers?	Yes	SART member?	Yes
Donor embryo?	No	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	Yes
Single women?	No			(See Appendix C for details.)	

<sup>a</sup> Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2006 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.

<sup>b</sup> When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.

<sup>c</sup> A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.

<sup>d</sup> Clinic-specific outcome rates are unreliable for women older than 42 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs. Readers are urged to review national outcomes for these age groups (see page 27).

<sup>e</sup> All ages (including ages >42) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.

## SOUTHWEST CENTER FOR REPRODUCTIVE HEALTH, PA EL PASO, TEXAS

**A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 79–88.**

### 2006 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART <sup>a</sup>				Patient Diagnosis			
IVF	99%	<b>Procedural Factors:</b>		Tubal factor	18%	Other factor	7%
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	75%	Ovulatory dysfunction	4%	Unknown factor	8%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	0%	Diminished ovarian reserve	4%	<i>Multiple Factors:</i>	
Combination	1%	Used gestational carrier	33%	Endometriosis	8%	Female factors only	16%
				Uterine factor	3%	Female & male factors	23%
				Male factor	8%		

### 2006 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by Luis S. Noble, MD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman			
	<35	35–37	38–40	41–42 <sup>d</sup>
<b>Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs</b>				
Number of cycles	35	26	16	3
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies <sup>b</sup>	54.3	42.3	7 / 16	1 / 3
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	45.7	26.9	4 / 16	1 / 3
(Confidence Interval)	(28.8–63.4)	(11.6–47.8)		
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	45.7	33.3	4 / 15	1 / 3
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	48.5	7 / 19	4 / 14	1 / 3
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births <sup>b</sup>	39.4	4 / 19	2 / 14	1 / 3
Percentage of cancellations <sup>b</sup>	0.0	19.2	1 / 16	0 / 3
Average number of embryos transferred	1.9	2.3	2.6	3.0
Percentage of pregnancies with twins <sup>b</sup>	4 / 19	4 / 11	2 / 7	0 / 1
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more <sup>b</sup>	0 / 19	0 / 11	1 / 7	0 / 1
Percentage of live births having multiple infants <sup>b,c</sup>	3 / 16	3 / 7	2 / 4	0 / 1
<b>Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs</b>				
Number of transfers	9	8	4	0
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	5 / 9	2 / 8	2 / 4	
Average number of embryos transferred	1.9	2.0	2.0	
<b>All Ages Combined<sup>e</sup></b>				
<b>Donor Eggs</b>	<b>Fresh Embryos</b>		<b>Frozen Embryos</b>	
	14		2	
	4 / 14		0 / 2	
	2.0		2.0	

### CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

**Current Name:** Southwest Center for Reproductive Health, PA

Donor egg?	Yes	Gestational carriers?	Yes	SART member?	Yes
Donor embryo?	Yes	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	No
Single women?	Yes			(See Appendix C for details.)	

<sup>a</sup> Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2006 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.

<sup>b</sup> When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.

<sup>c</sup> A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.

<sup>d</sup> Clinic-specific outcome rates are unreliable for women older than 42 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs. Readers are urged to review national outcomes for these age groups (see page 27).

<sup>e</sup> All ages (including ages >42) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.

# CENTER FOR WOMEN'S MEDICINE HOUSTON, TEXAS

**A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 79–88.**

## 2006 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART <sup>a</sup>				Patient Diagnosis	
IVF	100%	<b>Procedural Factors:</b>		Tubal factor	14%
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	92%	Ovulatory dysfunction	11%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	0%	Diminished ovarian reserve	3%
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	2%	Endometriosis	7%
				Uterine factor	1%
				Male factor	9%
				Other factor	3%
				Unknown factor	<1%
				<b>Multiple Factors:</b>	
				Female factors only	25%
				Female & male factors	26%

## 2006 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by Michael A. Allon, MD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman			
	<35	35–37	38–40	41–42 <sup>d</sup>
<b>Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs</b>				
Number of cycles	58	37	17	4
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies <sup>b</sup>	44.8	43.2	5 / 17	0 / 4
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	39.7	32.4	5 / 17	0 / 4
(Confidence Interval)	(27.0–53.4)	(18.0–49.8)		
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	41.1	34.3	5 / 16	0 / 3
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	45.1	36.4	5 / 14	0 / 3
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births <sup>b</sup>	25.5	27.3	4 / 14	0 / 3
Percentage of cancellations <sup>b</sup>	3.4	5.4	1 / 17	1 / 4
Average number of embryos transferred	2.9	2.8	3.1	2.7
Percentage of pregnancies with twins <sup>b</sup>	42.3	3 / 16	1 / 5	
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more <sup>b</sup>	3.8	0 / 16	0 / 5	
Percentage of live births having multiple infants <sup>b,c</sup>	43.5	3 / 12	1 / 5	
<b>Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs</b>				
Number of transfers	15	3	2	0
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	5 / 15	0 / 3	0 / 2	
Average number of embryos transferred	2.9	3.0	3.0	
<b>All Ages Combined<sup>e</sup></b>				
<b>Donor Eggs</b>	<b>Fresh Embryos</b>		<b>Frozen Embryos</b>	
	Number of transfers		5	
	Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>		3 / 5	
	Average number of embryos transferred		3.0	

## CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

**Current Name:** Center for Women's Medicine

Donor egg?	Yes	Gestational carriers?	Yes	SART member?	Yes
Donor embryo?	Yes	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	Yes
Single women?	Yes			(See Appendix C for details.)	

<sup>a</sup> Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2006 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.

<sup>b</sup> When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.

<sup>c</sup> A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.

<sup>d</sup> Clinic-specific outcome rates are unreliable for women older than 42 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs. Readers are urged to review national outcomes for these age groups (see page 27).

<sup>e</sup> All ages (including ages >42) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.

## COOPER INSTITUTE FOR ADVANCED REPRODUCTIVE MEDICINE HOUSTON, TEXAS

A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 79–88.

### 2006 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART <sup>a</sup>				Patient Diagnosis			
IVF	100%	<b>Procedural Factors:</b>		Tubal factor	22%	Other factor	4%
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	85%	Ovulatory dysfunction	1%	Unknown factor	0%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	0%	Diminished ovarian reserve	8%	<i>Multiple Factors:</i>	
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	4%	Endometriosis	5%	Female factors only	7%
				Uterine factor	0%	Female & male factors	37%
				Male factor	16%		

### 2006 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by C. James Chuong, MD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman			
	<35	35–37	38–40	41–42 <sup>d</sup>
<b>Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs</b>				
Number of cycles	21	6	7	4
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies <sup>b</sup>	28.6	1 / 6	2 / 7	0 / 4
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	19.0	1 / 6	2 / 7	0 / 4
(Confidence Interval)	(5.4–41.9)			
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	20.0	1 / 6	2 / 7	0 / 3
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	4 / 18	1 / 6	2 / 6	0 / 3
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births <sup>b</sup>	3 / 18	1 / 6	2 / 6	0 / 3
Percentage of cancellations <sup>b</sup>	4.8	0 / 6	0 / 7	1 / 4
Average number of embryos transferred	3.7	4.2	3.3	3.3
Percentage of pregnancies with twins <sup>b</sup>	2 / 6	0 / 1	0 / 2	
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more <sup>b</sup>	0 / 6	0 / 1	0 / 2	
Percentage of live births having multiple infants <sup>b,c</sup>	1 / 4	0 / 1	0 / 2	
<b>Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs</b>				
Number of transfers	5	2	2	0
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	1 / 5	1 / 2	0 / 2	
Average number of embryos transferred	3.0	3.5	4.5	
<b>All Ages Combined<sup>e</sup></b>				
<b>Donor Eggs</b>	<b>Fresh Embryos</b>		<b>Frozen Embryos</b>	
	15		2	
	6 / 15		1 / 2	
	4.3		5.0	

### CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

**Current Name:** Cooper Institute for Advanced Reproductive Medicine

Donor egg?	Yes	Gestational carriers?	Yes	SART member?	Yes
Donor embryo?	Yes	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	No
Single women?	Yes			(See Appendix C for details.)	

<sup>a</sup> Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2006 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.

<sup>b</sup> When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.

<sup>c</sup> A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.

<sup>d</sup> Clinic-specific outcome rates are unreliable for women older than 42 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs. Readers are urged to review national outcomes for these age groups (see page 27).

<sup>e</sup> All ages (including ages >42) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.

## FERTILITY SPECIALISTS OF HOUSTON HOUSTON, TEXAS

**A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 79–88.**

### 2006 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART <sup>a</sup>				Patient Diagnosis			
IVF	100%	<b>Procedural Factors:</b>		Tubal factor	4%	Other factor	14%
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	76%	Ovulatory dysfunction	3%	Unknown factor	<1%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	0%	Diminished ovarian reserve	1%	<b>Multiple Factors:</b>	
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	1%	Endometriosis	7%	Female factors only	13%
				Uterine factor	1%	Female & male factors	43%
				Male factor	13%		

### 2006 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by George M. Grunert, MD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman			
	<35	35–37	38–40	41–42 <sup>d</sup>
<b>Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs</b>				
Number of cycles	246	153	119	43
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies <sup>b</sup>	41.9	34.6	17.6	11.6
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	32.9	23.5	12.6	7.0
(Confidence Interval)	(27.1–39.2)	(17.1–31.1)	(7.2–19.9)	(1.5–19.1)
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	37.9	29.3	16.9	9.7
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	40.9	32.7	19.2	12.5
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births <sup>b</sup>	24.7	20.9	12.8	8.3
Percentage of cancellations <sup>b</sup>	13.0	19.6	25.2	27.9
Average number of embryos transferred	2.1	2.3	2.3	2.0
Percentage of pregnancies with twins <sup>b</sup>	35.9	28.3	33.3	1 / 5
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more <sup>b</sup>	2.9	1.9	4.8	0 / 5
Percentage of live births having multiple infants <sup>b,c</sup>	39.5	36.1	5 / 15	1 / 3
<b>Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs</b>				
Number of transfers	46	28	13	7
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	19.6	10.7	2 / 13	2 / 7
Average number of embryos transferred	2.0	2.0	1.8	1.7
<b>All Ages Combined<sup>e</sup></b>				
<b>Donor Eggs</b>	<b>Fresh Embryos</b>		<b>Frozen Embryos</b>	
	Number of transfers		26	
	61		38.5	
	49.2		2.5	
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>		2.0		
Average number of embryos transferred				

### CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

**Current Name:** Fertility Specialists of Houston

Donor egg?	Yes	Gestational carriers?	Yes	SART member?	Yes
Donor embryo?	Yes	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	Yes
Single women?	Yes			(See Appendix C for details.)	

<sup>a</sup> Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2006 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.

<sup>b</sup> When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.

<sup>c</sup> A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.

<sup>d</sup> Clinic-specific outcome rates are unreliable for women older than 42 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs. Readers are urged to review national outcomes for these age groups (see page 27).

<sup>e</sup> All ages (including ages >42) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.



# HOUSTON INFERTILITY CLINIC

## SONJA KRISTIANSEN, MD

### HOUSTON, TEXAS

A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 79–88.

#### 2006 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART <sup>a</sup>			Patient Diagnosis	
IVF	100%	<b>Procedural Factors:</b>	Tubal factor	9%
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	Ovulatory dysfunction	3%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	Diminished ovarian reserve	8%
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	Endometriosis	1%
			Uterine factor	2%
			Male factor	39%
			Other factor	14%
			Unknown factor	12%
			<b>Multiple Factors:</b>	
			Female factors only	2%
			Female & male factors	8%

#### 2006 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by Sonja B. Kristiansen, MD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman			
	<35	35–37	38–40	41–42 <sup>d</sup>
<b>Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs</b>				
Number of cycles	31	13	18	4
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies <sup>b</sup>	58.1	5 / 13	6 / 18	1 / 4
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	51.6	3 / 13	5 / 18	1 / 4
(Confidence Interval)	(33.1–69.8)			
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	53.3	3 / 13	5 / 17	1 / 4
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	53.3	3 / 10	5 / 17	1 / 2
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births <sup>b</sup>	43.3	2 / 10	4 / 17	1 / 2
Percentage of cancellations <sup>b</sup>	3.2	0 / 13	1 / 18	0 / 4
Average number of embryos transferred	2.2	2.1	2.2	3.0
Percentage of pregnancies with twins <sup>b</sup>	1 / 18	1 / 5	1 / 6	0 / 1
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more <sup>b</sup>	2 / 18	0 / 5	0 / 6	0 / 1
Percentage of live births having multiple infants <sup>b,c</sup>	3 / 16	1 / 3	1 / 5	0 / 1
<b>Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs</b>				
Number of transfers	7	8	2	1
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	3 / 7	3 / 8	0 / 2	0 / 1
Average number of embryos transferred	1.9	1.9	1.0	1.0
<b>All Ages Combined<sup>e</sup></b>				
<b>Donor Eggs</b>	<b>Fresh Embryos</b>		<b>Frozen Embryos</b>	
	3		6	
	2 / 3		1 / 6	
Average number of embryos transferred	2.3		3.8	

#### CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

**Current Name:** Houston Infertility Clinic, Sonja Kristiansen, MD

Donor egg?	Yes	Gestational carriers?	Yes	SART member?	Yes
Donor embryo?	Yes	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	Yes
Single women?	Yes			(See Appendix C for details.)	

<sup>a</sup> Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2006 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.

<sup>b</sup> When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.

<sup>c</sup> A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.

<sup>d</sup> Clinic-specific outcome rates are unreliable for women older than 42 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs. Readers are urged to review national outcomes for these age groups (see page 27).

<sup>e</sup> All ages (including ages >42) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.

## HOUSTON IVF HOUSTON, TEXAS

A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 79–88.

### 2006 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART <sup>a</sup>				Patient Diagnosis			
IVF	100%	<b>Procedural Factors:</b>		Tubal factor	6%	Other factor	8%
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	97%	Ovulatory dysfunction	9%	Unknown factor	25%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	0%	Diminished ovarian reserve	16%	<b>Multiple Factors:</b>	
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	2%	Endometriosis	9%	Female factors only	12%
				Uterine factor	2%	Female & male factors	6%
				Male factor	8%		

### 2006 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by Timothy N. Hickman, MD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman			
	<35	35–37	38–40	41–42 <sup>d</sup>
<b>Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs</b>				
Number of cycles	132	76	42	27
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies <sup>b</sup>	54.5	52.6	33.3	25.9
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	50.8	47.4	28.6	14.8
(Confidence Interval)	(41.9–59.6)	(35.8–59.2)	(15.7–44.6)	(4.2–33.7)
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	51.5	48.0	29.3	14.8
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	51.5	48.6	29.3	14.8
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births <sup>b</sup>	26.9	35.1	19.5	7.4
Percentage of cancellations <sup>b</sup>	1.5	1.3	2.4	0.0
Average number of embryos transferred	2.2	2.5	3.4	3.9
Percentage of pregnancies with twins <sup>b</sup>	44.4	22.5	2 / 14	3 / 7
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more <sup>b</sup>	1.4	5.0	2 / 14	0 / 7
Percentage of live births having multiple infants <sup>b,c</sup>	47.8	27.8	4 / 12	2 / 4
<b>Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs</b>				
Number of transfers	14	4	1	2
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	6 / 14	2 / 4	0 / 1	0 / 2
Average number of embryos transferred	2.6	2.5	3.0	2.5
<b>All Ages Combined<sup>e</sup></b>				
<b>Donor Eggs</b>	<b>Fresh Embryos</b>		<b>Frozen Embryos</b>	
	50		5	
	64.0		4 / 5	
	2.0		2.6	

### CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

**Current Name:** Houston IVF

Donor egg?	Yes	Gestational carriers?	Yes	SART member?	Yes
Donor embryo?	Yes	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	Yes
Single women?	Yes			(See Appendix C for details.)	

<sup>a</sup> Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2006 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.

<sup>b</sup> When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.

<sup>c</sup> A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.

<sup>d</sup> Clinic-specific outcome rates are unreliable for women older than 42 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs. Readers are urged to review national outcomes for these age groups (see page 27).

<sup>e</sup> All ages (including ages >42) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.

# NORTH HOUSTON CENTER FOR REPRODUCTIVE MEDICINE, PA (NHCRM) HOUSTON, TEXAS

**A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 79–88.**

## 2006 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART <sup>a</sup>			Patient Diagnosis	
IVF	100%	<b>Procedural Factors:</b>	Tubal factor	1%
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	Ovulatory dysfunction	3%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	Diminished ovarian reserve	0%
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	Endometriosis	1%
			Uterine factor	0%
			Male factor	10%
			Other factor	4%
			Unknown factor	9%
			<b>Multiple Factors:</b>	
			Female factors only	12%
			Female & male factors	60%

## 2006 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by Dorothy J. Roach, MD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman			
	<35	35–37	38–40	41–42 <sup>d</sup>
<b>Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs</b>				
Number of cycles	32	13	14	4
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies <sup>b</sup>	78.1	8 / 13	5 / 14	2 / 4
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	65.6	7 / 13	4 / 14	1 / 4
(Confidence Interval)	(46.8–81.4)			
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	65.6	7 / 13	4 / 13	1 / 4
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	67.7	7 / 13	4 / 12	1 / 4
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births <sup>b</sup>	25.8	4 / 13	2 / 12	1 / 4
Percentage of cancellations <sup>b</sup>	0.0	0 / 13	1 / 14	0 / 4
Average number of embryos transferred	2.5	2.7	2.3	2.8
Percentage of pregnancies with twins <sup>b</sup>	44.0	3 / 8	2 / 5	0 / 2
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more <sup>b</sup>	12.0	0 / 8	0 / 5	0 / 2
Percentage of live births having multiple infants <sup>b,c</sup>	61.9	3 / 7	2 / 4	0 / 1
<b>Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs</b>				
Number of transfers	4	1	2	0
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	1 / 4	0 / 1	2 / 2	
Average number of embryos transferred	3.5	2.0	3.5	
<b>All Ages Combined<sup>e</sup></b>				
<b>Donor Eggs</b>	<b>Fresh Embryos</b>		<b>Frozen Embryos</b>	
	4		1	
	2 / 4		0 / 1	
Average number of embryos transferred	2.0		1.0	

## CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

**Current Name:** North Houston Center for Reproductive Medicine, PA, (NHCRM)

Donor egg?	Yes	Gestational carriers?	No	SART member?	Yes
Donor embryo?	No	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	Yes
Single women?	Yes			(See Appendix C for details.)	

<sup>a</sup> Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2006 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.

<sup>b</sup> When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.

<sup>c</sup> A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.

<sup>d</sup> Clinic-specific outcome rates are unreliable for women older than 42 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs. Readers are urged to review national outcomes for these age groups (see page 27).

<sup>e</sup> All ages (including ages >42) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.

## THE WOMEN'S SPECIALISTS OF HOUSTON HOUSTON, TEXAS

**A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 79–88.**

### 2006 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART <sup>a</sup>				Patient Diagnosis			
IVF	100%	<b>Procedural Factors:</b>		Tubal factor	4%	Other factor	0%
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	82%	Ovulatory dysfunction	0%	Unknown factor	0%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	0%	Diminished ovarian reserve	0%	<b>Multiple Factors:</b>	
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	12%	Endometriosis	0%	Female factors only	9%
				Uterine factor	13%	Female & male factors	74%
				Male factor	0%		

### 2006 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by Michael J. Heard, MD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman			
	<35	35–37	38–40	41–42 <sup>d</sup>
<b>Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs</b>				
Number of cycles	6	1	5	4
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies <sup>b</sup>	6 / 6	1 / 1	1 / 5	1 / 4
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	5 / 6	1 / 1	1 / 5	1 / 4
(Confidence Interval)				
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	5 / 6	1 / 1	1 / 5	1 / 4
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	5 / 6	1 / 1	1 / 5	1 / 3
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births <sup>b</sup>	3 / 6	1 / 1	0 / 5	0 / 3
Percentage of cancellations <sup>b</sup>	0 / 6	0 / 1	0 / 5	0 / 4
Average number of embryos transferred	2.3	3.0	2.6	3.7
Percentage of pregnancies with twins <sup>b</sup>	2 / 6	0 / 1	1 / 1	1 / 1
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more <sup>b</sup>	0 / 6	0 / 1	0 / 1	0 / 1
Percentage of live births having multiple infants <sup>b,c</sup>	2 / 5	0 / 1	1 / 1	1 / 1
<b>Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs</b>				
Number of transfers	0	0	0	0
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>				
Average number of embryos transferred				
<b>All Ages Combined<sup>e</sup></b>				
<b>Donor Eggs</b>	<b>Fresh Embryos</b>		<b>Frozen Embryos</b>	
	6		0	
	3 / 6			
Average number of embryos transferred	2.5			

### CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

**Current Name:** The Women's Specialists of Houston

Donor egg?	Yes	Gestational carriers?	Yes	SART member?	Yes
Donor embryo?	Yes	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	Yes
Single women?	Yes			(See Appendix C for details.)	

<sup>a</sup> Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2006 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.

<sup>b</sup> When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.

<sup>c</sup> A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.

<sup>d</sup> Clinic-specific outcome rates are unreliable for women older than 42 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs. Readers are urged to review national outcomes for these age groups (see page 27).

<sup>e</sup> All ages (including ages >42) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.

## ADVANCED REPRODUCTIVE CARE CENTER OF IRVING IRVING, TEXAS

A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 79–88.

### 2006 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART <sup>a</sup>				Patient Diagnosis	
IVF	100%	<b>Procedural Factors:</b>		Tubal factor	15%
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	55%	Ovulatory dysfunction	3%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	0%	Diminished ovarian reserve	10%
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	1%	Endometriosis	3%
				Uterine factor	2%
				Male factor	12%
				Other factor	9%
				Unknown factor	11%
				<b>Multiple Factors:</b>	
				Female factors only	14%
				Female & male factors	21%

### 2006 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by Sy Q. Le, MD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman			
	<35	35–37	38–40	41–42 <sup>d</sup>
<b>Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs</b>				
Number of cycles	140	55	34	12
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies <sup>b</sup>	49.3	40.0	41.2	3 / 12
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	43.6	36.4	32.4	3 / 12
(Confidence Interval)	(35.2–52.2)	(23.8–50.4)	(17.4–50.5)	
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	46.9	41.7	33.3	3 / 10
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	48.8	43.5	35.5	3 / 10
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births <sup>b</sup>	33.6	19.6	35.5	3 / 10
Percentage of cancellations <sup>b</sup>	7.1	12.7	2.9	2 / 12
Average number of embryos transferred	2.0	2.2	2.3	2.1
Percentage of pregnancies with twins <sup>b</sup>	27.5	50.0	0 / 14	1 / 3
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more <sup>b</sup>	1.4	4.5	0 / 14	0 / 3
Percentage of live births having multiple infants <sup>b,c</sup>	31.1	55.0	0 / 11	0 / 3
<b>Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs</b>				
Number of transfers	39	17	9	2
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	28.2	2 / 17	1 / 9	2 / 2
Average number of embryos transferred	2.0	2.1	2.0	2.5
<b>All Ages Combined<sup>e</sup></b>				
<b>Donor Eggs</b>	<b>Fresh Embryos</b>		<b>Frozen Embryos</b>	
	23		11	
	73.9		3 / 11	
Number of transfers	23		11	
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	73.9		3 / 11	
Average number of embryos transferred	2.0		1.9	

### CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

**Current Name:** Advanced Reproductive Care Center of Irving

Donor egg?	Yes	Gestational carriers?	Yes	SART member?	Yes
Donor embryo?	Yes	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	Yes
Single women?	Yes			(See Appendix C for details.)	

<sup>a</sup> Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2006 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.

<sup>b</sup> When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.

<sup>c</sup> A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.

<sup>d</sup> Clinic-specific outcome rates are unreliable for women older than 42 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs. Readers are urged to review national outcomes for these age groups (see page 27).

<sup>e</sup> All ages (including ages >42) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.

# WILFORD HALL MEDICAL CENTER LACKLAND AFB, TEXAS

A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 79–88.

## 2006 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART <sup>a</sup>				Patient Diagnosis			
IVF	100%	<b>Procedural Factors:</b>		Tubal factor	25%	Other factor	2%
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	42%	Ovulatory dysfunction	3%	Unknown factor	0%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	0%	Diminished ovarian reserve	3%	<i>Multiple Factors:</i>	
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	0%	Endometriosis	4%	Female factors only	20%
				Uterine factor	<1%	Female & male factors	23%
				Male factor	20%		

## 2006 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by Anthony M. Propst, MD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman			
	<35	35–37	38–40	41–42 <sup>d</sup>
<b>Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs</b>				
Number of cycles	93	42	15	0
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies <sup>b</sup>	54.8	47.6	8 / 15	
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	46.2	45.2	5 / 15	
(Confidence Interval)	(35.8–56.9)	(29.8–61.3)		
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	49.4	45.2	5 / 13	
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	50.0	45.2	5 / 13	
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births <sup>b</sup>	34.9	33.3	5 / 13	
Percentage of cancellations <sup>b</sup>	6.5	0.0	2 / 15	
Average number of embryos transferred	2.2	2.4	2.7	
Percentage of pregnancies with twins <sup>b</sup>	33.3	50.0	1 / 8	
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more <sup>b</sup>	0.0	0.0	0 / 8	
Percentage of live births having multiple infants <sup>b,c</sup>	30.2	5 / 19	0 / 5	
<b>Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs</b>				
Number of transfers	0	0	0	0
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>				
Average number of embryos transferred				
<b>All Ages Combined<sup>e</sup></b>				
<b>Donor Eggs</b>		<b>Fresh Embryos</b>	<b>Frozen Embryos</b>	
Number of transfers		0	0	
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>				
Average number of embryos transferred				

## CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

**Current Name:** Wilford Hall Medical Center

Donor egg?	No	Gestational carriers?	No	SART member?	Yes
Donor embryo?	No	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	Yes
Single women?	No			(See Appendix C for details.)	

<sup>a</sup> Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2006 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.

<sup>b</sup> When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.

<sup>c</sup> A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.

<sup>d</sup> Clinic-specific outcome rates are unreliable for women older than 42 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs. Readers are urged to review national outcomes for these age groups (see page 27).

<sup>e</sup> All ages (including ages >42) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.



## TEXAS FERTILITY LEWISVILLE, TEXAS

**A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 79–88.**

### 2006 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART <sup>a</sup>				Patient Diagnosis			
IVF	100%	<b>Procedural Factors:</b>		Tubal factor	12%	Other factor	4%
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	65%	Ovulatory dysfunction	0%	Unknown factor	0%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	0%	Diminished ovarian reserve	0%	<i>Multiple Factors:</i>	
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	0%	Endometriosis	0%	Female factors only	12%
				Uterine factor	0%	Female & male factors	72%
				Male factor	0%		

### 2006 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by Barry R. Jacobs, MD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman			
	<35	35–37	38–40	41–42 <sup>d</sup>
<b>Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs</b>				
Number of cycles	17	2	1	0
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies <sup>b</sup>	5 / 17	1 / 2	0 / 1	
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	5 / 17	1 / 2	0 / 1	
(Confidence Interval)				
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	5 / 13	1 / 2	0 / 1	
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	5 / 13	1 / 2	0 / 1	
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births <sup>b</sup>	3 / 13	1 / 2	0 / 1	
Percentage of cancellations <sup>b</sup>	4 / 17	0 / 2	0 / 1	
Average number of embryos transferred	2.1	2.0	2.0	
Percentage of pregnancies with twins <sup>b</sup>	2 / 5	0 / 1		
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more <sup>b</sup>	0 / 5	0 / 1		
Percentage of live births having multiple infants <sup>b,c</sup>	2 / 5	0 / 1		
<b>Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs</b>				
Number of transfers	2	0	0	0
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	1 / 2			
Average number of embryos transferred	2.0			
<b>All Ages Combined<sup>e</sup></b>				
<b>Donor Eggs</b>	<b>Fresh Embryos</b>		<b>Frozen Embryos</b>	
	2		1	
	0 / 2		1 / 1	
	2.0		2.0	

### CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

**Current Name:** Texas Fertility

Donor egg?	Yes	Gestational carriers?	Yes	SART member?	Yes
Donor embryo?	Yes	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	Yes
Single women?	Yes			(See Appendix C for details.)	

<sup>a</sup> Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2006 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.

<sup>b</sup> When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.

<sup>c</sup> A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.

<sup>d</sup> Clinic-specific outcome rates are unreliable for women older than 42 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs. Readers are urged to review national outcomes for these age groups (see page 27).

<sup>e</sup> All ages (including ages >42) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.

# CENTER FOR FERTILITY & REPRODUCTIVE SURGERY TEXAS TECH UNIVERSITY HEALTH SCIENCES CENTER LUBBOCK, TEXAS

**A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 79–88.**

## 2006 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART <sup>a</sup>				Patient Diagnosis			
IVF	100%	<b>Procedural Factors:</b>		Tubal factor	28%	Other factor	0%
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	47%	Ovulatory dysfunction	0%	Unknown factor	6%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	0%	Diminished ovarian reserve	0%	<b>Multiple Factors:</b>	
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	0%	Endometriosis	22%	Female factors only	0%
				Uterine factor	0%	Female & male factors	6%
				Male factor	39%		

## 2006 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by Sami I. Jabara, MD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman			
	<35	35–37	38–40	41–42 <sup>d</sup>
<b>Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs</b>				
Number of cycles	10	2	2	1
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies <sup>b</sup>	6 / 10	0 / 2	1 / 2	0 / 1
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup> (Confidence Interval)	6 / 10	0 / 2	0 / 2	0 / 1
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	6 / 10	0 / 2	0 / 2	0 / 1
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	6 / 10	0 / 2	0 / 2	0 / 1
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births <sup>b</sup>	3 / 10	0 / 2	0 / 2	0 / 1
Percentage of cancellations <sup>b</sup>	0 / 10	0 / 2	0 / 2	0 / 1
Average number of embryos transferred	2.2	3.0	3.0	4.0
Percentage of pregnancies with twins <sup>b</sup>	3 / 6		0 / 1	
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more <sup>b</sup>	0 / 6		0 / 1	
Percentage of live births having multiple infants <sup>b,c</sup>	3 / 6			
<b>Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs</b>				
Number of transfers	2	0	1	0
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	0 / 2		0 / 1	
Average number of embryos transferred	1.0		1.0	
<b>All Ages Combined<sup>e</sup></b>				
<b>Donor Eggs</b>	<b>Fresh Embryos</b>		<b>Frozen Embryos</b>	
	0		0	
	Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>			
	Average number of embryos transferred			

## CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

**Current Name:** Center for Fertility & Reproductive Surgery, Texas Tech University Health Sciences Center

Donor egg?	No	Gestational carriers?	No	SART member?	No
Donor embryo?	No	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	Yes
Single women?	Yes			(See Appendix C for details.)	

<sup>a</sup> Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2006 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.

<sup>b</sup> When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.

<sup>c</sup> A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.

<sup>d</sup> Clinic-specific outcome rates are unreliable for women older than 42 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs. Readers are urged to review national outcomes for these age groups (see page 27).

<sup>e</sup> All ages (including ages >42) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.

## THE CENTRE FOR REPRODUCTIVE MEDICINE LUBBOCK, TEXAS

A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 79–88.

### 2006 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART <sup>a</sup>				Patient Diagnosis			
IVF	100%	<b>Procedural Factors:</b>		Tubal factor	10%	Other factor	4%
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	14%	Ovulatory dysfunction	4%	Unknown factor	2%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	0%	Diminished ovarian reserve	4%	<b>Multiple Factors:</b>	
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	0%	Endometriosis	9%	Female factors only	28%
				Uterine factor	0%	Female & male factors	27%
				Male factor	12%		

### 2006 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by Janelle O. Dorsett, MD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman			
	<35	35–37	38–40	41–42 <sup>d</sup>
<b>Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs</b>				
Number of cycles	56	19	10	4
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies <sup>b</sup>	58.9	8 / 19	7 / 10	1 / 4
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	58.9	7 / 19	7 / 10	0 / 4
(Confidence Interval)	(45.0–71.9)			
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	63.5	7 / 17	7 / 10	0 / 4
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	70.2	7 / 15	7 / 8	0 / 3
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births <sup>b</sup>	51.1	6 / 15	7 / 8	0 / 3
Percentage of cancellations <sup>b</sup>	7.1	2 / 19	0 / 10	0 / 4
Average number of embryos transferred	1.7	1.9	1.9	2.0
Percentage of pregnancies with twins <sup>b</sup>	30.3	1 / 8	0 / 7	0 / 1
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more <sup>b</sup>	0.0	0 / 8	0 / 7	1 / 1
Percentage of live births having multiple infants <sup>b,c</sup>	27.3	1 / 7	0 / 7	
<b>Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs</b>				
Number of transfers	7	4	3	0
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	1 / 7	1 / 4	0 / 3	
Average number of embryos transferred	1.7	1.3	2.0	
<b>All Ages Combined<sup>e</sup></b>				
<b>Donor Eggs</b>	<b>Fresh Embryos</b>		<b>Frozen Embryos</b>	
	6		4	
	3 / 6		3 / 4	
Average number of embryos transferred	1.7		3.0	

### CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

**Current Name:** The Centre for Reproductive Medicine

Donor egg?	Yes	Gestational carriers?	Yes	SART member?	Yes
Donor embryo?	Yes	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	Yes
Single women?	Yes			(See Appendix C for details.)	

<sup>a</sup> Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2006 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.

<sup>b</sup> When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.

<sup>c</sup> A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.

<sup>d</sup> Clinic-specific outcome rates are unreliable for women older than 42 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs. Readers are urged to review national outcomes for these age groups (see page 27).

<sup>e</sup> All ages (including ages >42) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.

# REPRODUCTIVE INSTITUTE OF SOUTH TEXAS McALLEN, TEXAS

A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 79–88.

## 2006 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART <sup>a</sup>				Patient Diagnosis			
IVF	100%	<b>Procedural Factors:</b>		Tubal factor	7%	Other factor	1%
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	51%	Ovulatory dysfunction	3%	Unknown factor	1%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	0%	Diminished ovarian reserve	4%	<b>Multiple Factors:</b>	
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	0%	Endometriosis	0%	Female factors only	30%
				Uterine factor	0%	Female & male factors	41%
				Male factor	11%		

## 2006 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by Esteban O. Brown, MD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman			
	<35	35–37	38–40	41–42 <sup>d</sup>
<b>Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs</b>				
Number of cycles	30	10	11	1
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies <sup>b</sup>	50.0	4 / 10	5 / 11	0 / 1
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	36.7	3 / 10	1 / 11	0 / 1
(Confidence Interval)	(19.9–56.1)			
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	36.7	3 / 10	1 / 11	0 / 1
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	42.3	3 / 9	1 / 10	0 / 1
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births <sup>b</sup>	7.7	1 / 9	1 / 10	0 / 1
Percentage of cancellations <sup>b</sup>	0.0	0 / 10	0 / 11	0 / 1
Average number of embryos transferred	3.3	3.4	3.4	1.0
Percentage of pregnancies with twins <sup>b</sup>	7 / 15	1 / 4	0 / 5	
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more <sup>b</sup>	3 / 15	1 / 4	0 / 5	
Percentage of live births having multiple infants <sup>b,c</sup>	9 / 11	2 / 3	0 / 1	
<b>Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs</b>				
Number of transfers	8	3	1	0
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	3 / 8	1 / 3	0 / 1	
Average number of embryos transferred	3.3	3.3	4.0	
<b>All Ages Combined<sup>e</sup></b>				
<b>Donor Eggs</b>	<b>Fresh Embryos</b>		<b>Frozen Embryos</b>	
	3		2	
	1 / 3		0 / 2	
	2.7		2.0	

## CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

**Current Name:** Reproductive Institute of South Texas

Donor egg?	Yes	Gestational carriers?	Yes	SART member?	No
Donor embryo?	No	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	Yes
Single women?	Yes			(See Appendix C for details.)	

<sup>a</sup> Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2006 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.

<sup>b</sup> When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.

<sup>c</sup> A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.

<sup>d</sup> Clinic-specific outcome rates are unreliable for women older than 42 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs. Readers are urged to review national outcomes for these age groups (see page 27).

<sup>e</sup> All ages (including ages >42) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.

## DALLAS IVF PLANO, TEXAS

**A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 79–88.**

### 2006 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART <sup>a</sup>			Patient Diagnosis	
IVF	100%	<b>Procedural Factors:</b>	Tubal factor	4%
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	Ovulatory dysfunction	7%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	Diminished ovarian reserve	17%
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	Endometriosis	2%
			Uterine factor	0%
			Male factor	22%
			Other factor	6%
			Unknown factor	10%
			<b>Multiple Factors:</b>	
			Female factors only	4%
			Female & male factors	30%

### 2006 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by Brian D. Barnett, MD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman			
	<35	35–37	38–40	41–42 <sup>d</sup>
<b>Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs</b>				
Number of cycles	115	51	41	20
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies <sup>b</sup>	67.8	52.9	41.5	25.0
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	63.5	49.0	29.3	20.0
(Confidence Interval)	(54.0–72.3)	(34.8–63.4)	(16.1–45.5)	(5.7–43.7)
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	66.4	51.0	33.3	4 / 19
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	67.6	51.0	36.4	4 / 19
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births <sup>b</sup>	47.2	36.7	24.2	2 / 19
Percentage of cancellations <sup>b</sup>	4.3	3.9	12.2	5.0
Average number of embryos transferred	2.0	2.3	2.7	3.0
Percentage of pregnancies with twins <sup>b</sup>	33.3	40.7	6 / 17	2 / 5
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more <sup>b</sup>	0.0	0.0	0 / 17	1 / 5
Percentage of live births having multiple infants <sup>b,c</sup>	30.1	28.0	4 / 12	2 / 4
<b>Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs</b>				
Number of transfers	17	5	8	1
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	10 / 17	5 / 5	3 / 8	1 / 1
Average number of embryos transferred	1.6	1.6	1.4	1.0
<b>All Ages Combined<sup>e</sup></b>				
<b>Donor Eggs</b>	<b>Fresh Embryos</b>		<b>Frozen Embryos</b>	
Number of transfers	13		3	
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	10 / 13		3 / 3	
Average number of embryos transferred	2.0		2.0	

### CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

**Current Name:** Dallas IVF

Donor egg?	Yes	Gestational carriers?	Yes	SART member?	Yes
Donor embryo?	Yes	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	Yes
Single women?	No			(See Appendix C for details.)	

<sup>a</sup> Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2006 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.

<sup>b</sup> When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.

<sup>c</sup> A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.

<sup>d</sup> Clinic-specific outcome rates are unreliable for women older than 42 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs. Readers are urged to review national outcomes for these age groups (see page 27).

<sup>e</sup> All ages (including ages >42) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.

## FERTILITY CENTER OF SAN ANTONIO SAN ANTONIO, TEXAS

**A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 79–88.**

### 2006 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART <sup>a</sup>				Patient Diagnosis			
IVF	100%	<b>Procedural Factors:</b>		Tubal factor	12%	Other factor	5%
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	64%	Ovulatory dysfunction	7%	Unknown factor	7%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	0%	Diminished ovarian reserve	7%	<b>Multiple Factors:</b>	
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	4%	Endometriosis	5%	Female factors only	13%
				Uterine factor	3%	Female & male factors	17%
				Male factor	24%		

### 2006 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by Joseph E. Martin, MD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman			
	<35	35–37	38–40	41–42 <sup>d</sup>
<b>Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs</b>				
Number of cycles	163	80	60	31
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies <sup>b</sup>	52.1	36.3	33.3	29.0
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	44.2	23.8	21.7	12.9
(Confidence Interval)	(36.4–52.1)	(14.9–34.6)	(12.1–34.2)	(3.6–29.8)
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	47.4	28.4	25.0	16.0
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	50.7	30.6	28.9	18.2
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births <sup>b</sup>	30.3	17.7	24.4	18.2
Percentage of cancellations <sup>b</sup>	6.7	16.3	13.3	19.4
Average number of embryos transferred	2.1	2.3	2.6	2.8
Percentage of pregnancies with twins <sup>b</sup>	38.8	41.4	10.0	0 / 9
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more <sup>b</sup>	3.5	0.0	0.0	0 / 9
Percentage of live births having multiple infants <sup>b,c</sup>	40.3	8 / 19	2 / 13	0 / 4
<b>Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs</b>				
Number of transfers	86	63	24	7
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	36.0	27.0	25.0	3 / 7
Average number of embryos transferred	1.8	1.8	1.8	1.4
<b>All Ages Combined<sup>e</sup></b>				
<b>Donor Eggs</b>	<b>Fresh Embryos</b>		<b>Frozen Embryos</b>	
	Number of transfers		Number of transfers	
	16		16	
	Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>		Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	
	10 / 16		7 / 16	
	Average number of embryos transferred		Average number of embryos transferred	
	2.1		1.8	

### CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

**Current Name:** Fertility Center of San Antonio

Donor egg?	Yes	Gestational carriers?	Yes	SART member?	Yes
Donor embryo?	No	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	Yes
Single women?	Yes			(See Appendix C for details.)	

<sup>a</sup> Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2006 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.

<sup>b</sup> When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.

<sup>c</sup> A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.

<sup>d</sup> Clinic-specific outcome rates are unreliable for women older than 42 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs. Readers are urged to review national outcomes for these age groups (see page 27).

<sup>e</sup> All ages (including ages >42) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.



**INSTITUTE FOR WOMEN'S HEALTH  
ADVANCED FERTILITY LABORATORY  
SAN ANTONIO, TEXAS**

**A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 79–88.**

### 2006 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART <sup>a</sup>			Patient Diagnosis	
IVF	100%	<b>Procedural Factors:</b>	Tubal factor	23%
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	Ovulatory dysfunction	15%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	Diminished ovarian reserve	2%
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	Endometriosis	5%
			Uterine factor	3%
			Male factor	15%
			Other factor	8%
			Unknown factor	2%
			<b>Multiple Factors:</b>	
			Female factors only	13%
			Female & male factors	13%

### 2006 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by Joseph R. Garza, MD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman			
	<35	35–37	38–40	41–42 <sup>d</sup>
<b>Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs</b>				
Number of cycles	18	9	13	4
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies <sup>b</sup>	6 / 18	4 / 9	6 / 13	0 / 4
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup> (Confidence Interval)	5 / 18	4 / 9	5 / 13	0 / 4
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	5 / 14	4 / 8	5 / 9	0 / 1
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	5 / 14	4 / 8	5 / 9	0 / 1
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births <sup>b</sup>	3 / 14	3 / 8	5 / 9	0 / 1
Percentage of cancellations <sup>b</sup>	4 / 18	1 / 9	4 / 13	3 / 4
Average number of embryos transferred	3.1	3.3	3.4	5.0
Percentage of pregnancies with twins <sup>b</sup>	2 / 6	1 / 4	0 / 6	
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more <sup>b</sup>	0 / 6	0 / 4	0 / 6	
Percentage of live births having multiple infants <sup>b,c</sup>	2 / 5	1 / 4	0 / 5	
<b>Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs</b>				
Number of transfers	3	5	1	1
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	0 / 3	1 / 5	0 / 1	0 / 1
Average number of embryos transferred	1.7	2.0	4.0	2.0
<b>All Ages Combined<sup>e</sup></b>				
<b>Donor Eggs</b>	<b>Fresh Embryos</b>		<b>Frozen Embryos</b>	
	1		0	
	0 / 1			
Average number of embryos transferred	3.0			

### CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

**Current Name:** Institute for Women's Health, Advanced Fertility Laboratory

Donor egg?	Yes	Gestational carriers?	Yes	SART member?	Yes
Donor embryo?	Yes	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	Yes
Single women?	Yes			(See Appendix C for details.)	

<sup>a</sup> Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2006 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.

<sup>b</sup> When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.

<sup>c</sup> A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.

<sup>d</sup> Clinic-specific outcome rates are unreliable for women older than 42 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs. Readers are urged to review national outcomes for these age groups (see page 27).

<sup>e</sup> All ages (including ages >42) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.

## PERINATAL AND FERTILITY SPECIALISTS, PA SAN ANTONIO, TEXAS

**A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 79–88.**

### 2006 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART <sup>a</sup>				Patient Diagnosis			
IVF	100%	<b>Procedural Factors:</b>		Tubal factor	13%	Other factor	0%
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	80%	Ovulatory dysfunction	0%	Unknown factor	0%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	0%	Diminished ovarian reserve	13%	<b>Multiple Factors:</b>	
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	0%	Endometriosis	25%	Female factors only	38%
				Uterine factor	0%	Female & male factors	13%
				Male factor	0%		

### 2006 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by Gerard M. Honore, MD, PhD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman			
	<35	35–37	38–40	41–42 <sup>d</sup>
<b>Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs</b>				
Number of cycles	4	1	0	0
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies <sup>b</sup>	3 / 4	0 / 1		
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	3 / 4	0 / 1		
(Confidence Interval)				
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	3 / 3	0 / 1		
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	3 / 3	0 / 1		
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births <sup>b</sup>	3 / 3	0 / 1		
Percentage of cancellations <sup>b</sup>	1 / 4	0 / 1		
Average number of embryos transferred	3.3	4.0		
Percentage of pregnancies with twins <sup>b</sup>	0 / 3			
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more <sup>b</sup>	0 / 3			
Percentage of live births having multiple infants <sup>b,c</sup>	0 / 3			
<b>Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs</b>				
Number of transfers	1	1	0	0
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	0 / 1	0 / 1		
Average number of embryos transferred	3.0	3.0		
<b>All Ages Combined<sup>e</sup></b>				
<b>Donor Eggs</b>	<b>Fresh Embryos</b>		<b>Frozen Embryos</b>	
	1		0	
	1 / 1			
	3.0			

### CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

**Current Name:** Perinatal and Fertility Specialists, PA

Donor egg?	Yes	Gestational carriers?	Yes	SART member?	No
Donor embryo?	Yes	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	Yes
Single women?	Yes			(See Appendix C for details.)	

<sup>a</sup> Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2006 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.

<sup>b</sup> When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.

<sup>c</sup> A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.

<sup>d</sup> Clinic-specific outcome rates are unreliable for women older than 42 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs. Readers are urged to review national outcomes for these age groups (see page 27).

<sup>e</sup> All ages (including ages >42) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.

## SOUTH TEXAS FERTILITY CENTER SAN ANTONIO, TEXAS

**A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 79–88.**

### 2006 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART <sup>a</sup>				Patient Diagnosis	
IVF	100%	<b>Procedural Factors:</b>		Tubal factor	20%
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	20%	Ovulatory dysfunction	6%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	0%	Diminished ovarian reserve	8%
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	0%	Endometriosis	4%
				Uterine factor	<1%
				Male factor	15%
				Other factor	2%
				Unknown factor	21%
				<b>Multiple Factors:</b>	
				Female factors only	8%
				Female & male factors	16%

### 2006 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by Robert G. Brzyski, MD, PhD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman			
	<35	35–37	38–40	41–42 <sup>d</sup>
<b>Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs</b>				
Number of cycles	35	16	8	6
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies <sup>b</sup>	25.7	4 / 16	2 / 8	0 / 6
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	22.9	3 / 16	2 / 8	0 / 6
(Confidence Interval)	(10.4–40.1)			
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	26.7	3 / 15	2 / 6	0 / 3
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	28.6	3 / 13	2 / 6	0 / 3
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births <sup>b</sup>	14.3	3 / 13	2 / 6	0 / 3
Percentage of cancellations <sup>b</sup>	14.3	1 / 16	2 / 8	3 / 6
Average number of embryos transferred	2.2	2.2	2.8	2.0
Percentage of pregnancies with twins <sup>b</sup>	4 / 9	0 / 4	1 / 2	
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more <sup>b</sup>	0 / 9	0 / 4	0 / 2	
Percentage of live births having multiple infants <sup>b,c</sup>	4 / 8	0 / 3	0 / 2	
<b>Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs</b>				
Number of transfers	12	5	1	4
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	4 / 12	1 / 5	0 / 1	0 / 4
Average number of embryos transferred	2.3	2.6	2.0	2.5
<b>All Ages Combined<sup>e</sup></b>				
<b>Donor Eggs</b>	<b>Fresh Embryos</b>		<b>Frozen Embryos</b>	
	5		8	
	1 / 5		1 / 8	
	2.2		2.0	

### CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

**Current Name:** South Texas Fertility Center, University of Texas Health Science Center–San Antonio

Donor egg?	Yes	Gestational carriers?	Yes	SART member?	Yes
Donor embryo?	Yes	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	Yes
Single women?	Yes			(See Appendix C for details.)	

<sup>a</sup> Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2006 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.

<sup>b</sup> When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.

<sup>c</sup> A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.

<sup>d</sup> Clinic-specific outcome rates are unreliable for women older than 42 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs. Readers are urged to review national outcomes for these age groups (see page 27).

<sup>e</sup> All ages (including ages >42) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.

# HOUSTON FERTILITY INSTITUTE TOMBALL, TEXAS

A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 79–88.

## 2006 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART <sup>a</sup>				Patient Diagnosis			
IVF	100%	<b>Procedural Factors:</b>		Tubal factor	11%	Other factor	3%
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	95%	Ovulatory dysfunction	7%	Unknown factor	15%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	0%	Diminished ovarian reserve	17%	<b>Multiple Factors:</b>	
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	1%	Endometriosis	5%	Female factors only	14%
				Uterine factor	2%	Female & male factors	12%
				Male factor	15%		

## 2006 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by Inderbir S. Gill, MD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman			
	<35	35–37	38–40	41–42 <sup>d</sup>
<b>Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs</b>				
Number of cycles	142	53	34	11
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies <sup>b</sup>	52.1	60.4	23.5	2 / 11
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	45.8	54.7	14.7	0 / 11
(Confidence Interval)	(37.4–54.3)	(40.4–68.4)	(5.0–31.1)	
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	47.1	55.8	16.1	0 / 10
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	48.9	58.0	16.1	0 / 7
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births <sup>b</sup>	29.3	42.0	9.7	0 / 7
Percentage of cancellations <sup>b</sup>	2.8	1.9	8.8	1 / 11
Average number of embryos transferred	2.8	3.0	3.1	3.3
Percentage of pregnancies with twins <sup>b</sup>	23.0	25.0	2 / 8	0 / 2
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more <sup>b</sup>	14.9	9.4	1 / 8	0 / 2
Percentage of live births having multiple infants <sup>b,c</sup>	40.0	27.6	2 / 5	
<b>Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs</b>				
Number of transfers	34	12	8	1
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	35.3	4 / 12	2 / 8	0 / 1
Average number of embryos transferred	2.8	3.1	3.0	1.0
<b>All Ages Combined<sup>e</sup></b>				
<b>Donor Eggs</b>	<b>Fresh Embryos</b>		<b>Frozen Embryos</b>	
	47		8	
	51.1		4 / 8	
	2.9		3.1	

## CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

**Current Name:** Houston Fertility Institute

Donor egg?	Yes	Gestational carriers?	Yes	SART member?	Yes
Donor embryo?	Yes	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	Yes
Single women?	Yes			(See Appendix C for details.)	

<sup>a</sup> Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2006 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.

<sup>b</sup> When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.

<sup>c</sup> A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.

<sup>d</sup> Clinic-specific outcome rates are unreliable for women older than 42 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs. Readers are urged to review national outcomes for these age groups (see page 27).

<sup>e</sup> All ages (including ages >42) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.

# CENTER OF REPRODUCTIVE MEDICINE (CORM) WEBSTER, TEXAS

**A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 79–88.**

## 2006 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART <sup>a</sup>				Patient Diagnosis			
IVF	100%	<b>Procedural Factors:</b>		Tubal factor	20%	Other factor	7%
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	62%	Ovulatory dysfunction	16%	Unknown factor	1%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	0%	Diminished ovarian reserve	24%	<i>Multiple Factors:</i>	
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	1%	Endometriosis	11%	Female factors only	0%
				Uterine factor	5%	Female & male factors	0%
				Male factor	18%		

## 2006 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by Vicki L. Schnell, MD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman			
	<35	35–37	38–40	41–42 <sup>d</sup>
<b>Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs</b>				
Number of cycles	82	28	20	10
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies <sup>b</sup>	30.5	50.0	20.0	2 / 10
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	29.3	42.9	15.0	2 / 10
(Confidence Interval)	(19.7–40.4)	(24.5–62.8)	(3.2–37.9)	
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	32.4	44.4	3 / 17	2 / 7
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	32.9	44.4	3 / 16	2 / 7
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births <sup>b</sup>	20.5	29.6	3 / 16	2 / 7
Percentage of cancellations <sup>b</sup>	9.8	3.6	15.0	3 / 10
Average number of embryos transferred	2.2	2.2	3.0	4.1
Percentage of pregnancies with twins <sup>b</sup>	36.0	5 / 14	1 / 4	0 / 2
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more <sup>b</sup>	8.0	1 / 14	0 / 4	0 / 2
Percentage of live births having multiple infants <sup>b,c</sup>	37.5	4 / 12	0 / 3	0 / 2
<b>Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs</b>				
Number of transfers	31	14	2	0
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	25.8	4 / 14	1 / 2	
Average number of embryos transferred	2.6	2.7	3.0	
<b>All Ages Combined<sup>e</sup></b>				
<b>Donor Eggs</b>	<b>Fresh Embryos</b>		<b>Frozen Embryos</b>	
	32		23	
	65.6		13.0	
	2.1		2.2	

## CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

**Current Name:** Center of Reproductive Medicine (CORM)

Donor egg?	Yes	Gestational carriers?	Yes	SART member?	Yes
Donor embryo?	No	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	Yes
Single women?	Yes			(See Appendix C for details.)	

<sup>a</sup> Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2006 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.

<sup>b</sup> When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.

<sup>c</sup> A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.

<sup>d</sup> Clinic-specific outcome rates are unreliable for women older than 42 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs. Readers are urged to review national outcomes for these age groups (see page 27).

<sup>e</sup> All ages (including ages >42) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.

# REPRODUCTIVE CARE CENTER SALT LAKE CITY, UTAH

A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 79–88.

## 2006 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART <sup>a</sup>				Patient Diagnosis			
IVF	100%	<b>Procedural Factors:</b>		Tubal factor	10%	Other factor	<1%
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	47%	Ovulatory dysfunction	9%	Unknown factor	6%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	0%	Diminished ovarian reserve	4%	<b>Multiple Factors:</b>	
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	0%	Endometriosis	8%	Female factors only	9%
				Uterine factor	0%	Female & male factors	25%
				Male factor	29%		

## 2006 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by Keith L. Blauer, MD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman			
	<35	35–37	38–40	41–42 <sup>d</sup>
<b>Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs</b>				
Number of cycles	193	31	28	4
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies <sup>b</sup>	48.7	48.4	32.1	2 / 4
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	39.9	41.9	17.9	2 / 4
(Confidence Interval)	(32.9–47.2)	(24.5–60.9)	(6.1–36.9)	
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	42.3	48.1	19.2	2 / 3
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	43.8	48.1	20.8	2 / 3
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births <sup>b</sup>	30.7	29.6	8.3	0 / 3
Percentage of cancellations <sup>b</sup>	5.7	12.9	7.1	1 / 4
Average number of embryos transferred	2.3	2.6	3.0	3.3
Percentage of pregnancies with twins <sup>b</sup>	35.1	6 / 15	1 / 9	1 / 2
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more <sup>b</sup>	2.1	0 / 15	2 / 9	1 / 2
Percentage of live births having multiple infants <sup>b,c</sup>	29.9	5 / 13	3 / 5	2 / 2
<b>Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs</b>				
Number of transfers	33	7	9	0
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	36.4	2 / 7	1 / 9	
Average number of embryos transferred	2.3	2.7	3.2	
<b>All Ages Combined<sup>e</sup></b>				
<b>Donor Eggs</b>	<b>Fresh Embryos</b>		<b>Frozen Embryos</b>	
	10		4	
	5 / 10		3 / 4	
	2.1		3.3	

## CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

**Current Name:** Reproductive Care Center

Donor egg?	Yes	Gestational carriers?	Yes	SART member?	Yes
Donor embryo?	Yes	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	Yes
Single women?	No			(See Appendix C for details.)	

<sup>a</sup> Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2006 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.

<sup>b</sup> When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.

<sup>c</sup> A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.

<sup>d</sup> Clinic-specific outcome rates are unreliable for women older than 42 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs. Readers are urged to review national outcomes for these age groups (see page 27).

<sup>e</sup> All ages (including ages >42) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.



# UTAH CENTER FOR REPRODUCTIVE MEDICINE SALT LAKE CITY, UTAH

A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 79–88.

## 2006 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART <sup>a</sup>				Patient Diagnosis			
IVF	100%	<b>Procedural Factors:</b>		Tubal factor	8%	Other factor	4%
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	54%	Ovulatory dysfunction	3%	Unknown factor	8%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	0%	Diminished ovarian reserve	8%	<i>Multiple Factors:</i>	
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	<1%	Endometriosis	7%	Female factors only	9%
				Uterine factor	<1%	Female & male factors	28%
				Male factor	26%		

## 2006 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by Harry H. Hatasaka, MD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman			
	<35	35–37	38–40	41–42 <sup>d</sup>
<b>Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs</b>				
Number of cycles	222	60	49	19
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies <sup>b</sup>	53.6	40.0	32.7	1 / 19
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	48.6	36.7	26.5	0 / 19
(Confidence Interval)	(41.9–55.4)	(24.6–50.1)	(14.9–41.1)	
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	57.4	45.8	33.3	0 / 12
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	60.3	48.9	34.2	0 / 11
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births <sup>b</sup>	34.6	31.1	28.9	0 / 11
Percentage of cancellations <sup>b</sup>	15.3	20.0	20.4	7 / 19
Average number of embryos transferred	2.1	2.1	2.2	2.6
Percentage of pregnancies with twins <sup>b</sup>	47.1	33.3	4 / 16	0 / 1
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more <sup>b</sup>	0.8	0.0	0 / 16	0 / 1
Percentage of live births having multiple infants <sup>b,c</sup>	42.6	36.4	2 / 13	
<b>Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs</b>				
Number of transfers	40	16	6	3
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	30.0	6 / 16	0 / 6	0 / 3
Average number of embryos transferred	2.2	2.3	2.0	3.0
<b>All Ages Combined<sup>e</sup></b>				
<b>Donor Eggs</b>	<b>Fresh Embryos</b>		<b>Frozen Embryos</b>	
	32		9	
	53.1		1 / 9	
	2.1		2.6	

## CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

**Current Name:** Utah Center for Reproductive Medicine

Donor egg?	Yes	Gestational carriers?	Yes	SART member?	Yes
Donor embryo?	No	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	Yes
Single women?	Yes			(See Appendix C for details.)	

<sup>a</sup> Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2006 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.

<sup>b</sup> When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.

<sup>c</sup> A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.

<sup>d</sup> Clinic-specific outcome rates are unreliable for women older than 42 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs. Readers are urged to review national outcomes for these age groups (see page 27).

<sup>e</sup> All ages (including ages >42) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.

# VERMONT CENTER FOR REPRODUCTIVE MEDICINE BURLINGTON, VERMONT

A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 79–88.

## 2006 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART <sup>a</sup>				Patient Diagnosis	
IVF	100%	<b>Procedural Factors:</b>		Tubal factor	18%
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	39%	Ovulatory dysfunction	4%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	0%	Diminished ovarian reserve	7%
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	<1%	Endometriosis	6%
				Uterine factor	3%
				Male factor	32%
				Other factor	<1%
				Unknown factor	16%
				<b>Multiple Factors:</b>	
				Female factors only	4%
				Female & male factors	8%

## 2006 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by Peter R. Casson, MD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman			
	<35	35–37	38–40	41–42 <sup>d</sup>
<b>Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs</b>				
Number of cycles	50	38	21	6
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies <sup>b</sup>	46.0	31.6	28.6	0 / 6
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	42.0	28.9	23.8	0 / 6
(Confidence Interval)	(28.2–56.8)	(15.4–45.9)	(8.2–47.2)	
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	47.7	40.7	5 / 19	0 / 3
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	50.0	42.3	5 / 16	0 / 3
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births <sup>b</sup>	35.7	30.8	4 / 16	0 / 3
Percentage of cancellations <sup>b</sup>	12.0	28.9	9.5	3 / 6
Average number of embryos transferred	2.0	2.2	2.6	1.3
Percentage of pregnancies with twins <sup>b</sup>	26.1	3 / 12	0 / 6	
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more <sup>b</sup>	4.3	0 / 12	1 / 6	
Percentage of live births having multiple infants <sup>b,c</sup>	28.6	3 / 11	1 / 5	
<b>Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs</b>				
Number of transfers	7	7	2	0
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	2 / 7	1 / 7	0 / 2	
Average number of embryos transferred	2.1	2.4	2.0	
<b>All Ages Combined<sup>e</sup></b>				
<b>Donor Eggs</b>	<b>Fresh Embryos</b>		<b>Frozen Embryos</b>	
	6		3	
	3 / 6		2 / 3	
	2.0		2.3	

## CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

**Current Name:** Vermont Center for Reproductive Medicine

Donor egg?	Yes	Gestational carriers?	Yes	SART member?	Yes
Donor embryo?	No	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	Yes
Single women?	Yes			(See Appendix C for details.)	

<sup>a</sup> Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2006 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.

<sup>b</sup> When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.

<sup>c</sup> A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.

<sup>d</sup> Clinic-specific outcome rates are unreliable for women older than 42 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs. Readers are urged to review national outcomes for these age groups (see page 27).

<sup>e</sup> All ages (including ages >42) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.

**NANCY DURSO, MD, PC  
METRO FERTILITY CARE  
ALEXANDRIA, VIRGINIA**

**A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 79–88.**

### 2006 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART <sup>a</sup>			Patient Diagnosis	
IVF	100%	<b>Procedural Factors:</b>	Tubal factor	6%
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	Ovulatory dysfunction	5%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	Diminished ovarian reserve	8%
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	Endometriosis	2%
			Uterine factor	2%
			Male factor	32%
			Other factor	10%
			Unknown factor	16%
			<b>Multiple Factors:</b>	
			Female factors only	11%
			Female & male factors	8%

### 2006 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by Nancy M. Durso, MD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman			
	<35	35–37	38–40	41–42 <sup>d</sup>
<b>Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs</b>				
Number of cycles	15	25	11	11
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies <sup>b</sup>	7 / 15	24.0	3 / 11	3 / 11
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	4 / 15	20.0	2 / 11	2 / 11
(Confidence Interval)		(6.8–40.7)		
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	4 / 15	22.7	2 / 8	2 / 8
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	4 / 15	23.8	2 / 8	2 / 7
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births <sup>b</sup>	3 / 15	14.3	1 / 8	1 / 7
Percentage of cancellations <sup>b</sup>	0 / 15	12.0	3 / 11	3 / 11
Average number of embryos transferred	2.3	2.5	2.5	3.9
Percentage of pregnancies with twins <sup>b</sup>	1 / 7	2 / 6	1 / 3	1 / 3
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more <sup>b</sup>	0 / 7	0 / 6	0 / 3	0 / 3
Percentage of live births having multiple infants <sup>b,c</sup>	1 / 4	2 / 5	1 / 2	1 / 2
<b>Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs</b>				
Number of transfers	7	4	3	2
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	1 / 7	1 / 4	0 / 3	0 / 2
Average number of embryos transferred	2.6	3.0	2.0	3.5
<b>All Ages Combined<sup>e</sup></b>				
<b>Donor Eggs</b>	<b>Fresh Embryos</b>		<b>Frozen Embryos</b>	
	1		0	
	0 / 1			
Average number of embryos transferred	2.0			

### CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

**Current Name:** Nancy Durso, MD, PC, Metro Fertility Care

Donor egg?	Yes	Gestational carriers?	Yes	SART member?	Yes
Donor embryo?	Yes	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	Yes
Single women?	Yes			(See Appendix C for details.)	

<sup>a</sup> Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2006 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.

<sup>b</sup> When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.

<sup>c</sup> A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.

<sup>d</sup> Clinic-specific outcome rates are unreliable for women older than 42 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs. Readers are urged to review national outcomes for these age groups (see page 27).

<sup>e</sup> All ages (including ages >42) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.

# WASHINGTON FERTILITY CENTER ANNANDALE, VIRGINIA

A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 79–88.

## 2006 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART <sup>a</sup>			Patient Diagnosis	
IVF	100%	<b>Procedural Factors:</b>	Tubal factor	10%
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	Ovulatory dysfunction	1%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	Diminished ovarian reserve	13%
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	Endometriosis	2%
			Uterine factor	3%
			Male factor	32%
			Other factor	17%
			Unknown factor	8%
			<b>Multiple Factors:</b>	
			Female factors only	4%
			Female & male factors	10%

## 2006 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by Pierre Asmar, MD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman			
	<35	35–37	38–40	41–42 <sup>d</sup>
<b>Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs</b>				
Number of cycles	78	52	24	9
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies <sup>b</sup>	28.2	28.8	29.2	1 / 9
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	17.9	21.2	25.0	1 / 9
(Confidence Interval)	(10.2–28.3)	(11.1–34.7)	(9.8–46.7)	
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	18.7	22.0	28.6	1 / 9
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	22.2	25.0	6 / 17	1 / 7
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births <sup>b</sup>	19.0	22.7	5 / 17	1 / 7
Percentage of cancellations <sup>b</sup>	3.8	3.8	12.5	0 / 9
Average number of embryos transferred	2.0	2.0	1.9	2.3
Percentage of pregnancies with twins <sup>b</sup>	9.1	5 / 15	1 / 7	0 / 1
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more <sup>b</sup>	0.0	0 / 15	0 / 7	0 / 1
Percentage of live births having multiple infants <sup>b,c</sup>	2 / 14	1 / 11	1 / 6	0 / 1
<b>Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs</b>				
Number of transfers	2	0	1	1
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	0 / 2		0 / 1	0 / 1
Average number of embryos transferred	2.0		2.0	1.0
<b>All Ages Combined<sup>e</sup></b>				
<b>Donor Eggs</b>	<b>Fresh Embryos</b>		<b>Frozen Embryos</b>	
	74		12	
	43.2		3 / 12	
Average number of embryos transferred	2.0		1.8	

## CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

**Current Name:** Washington Fertility Center

Donor egg?	Yes	Gestational carriers?	Yes	SART member?	Yes
Donor embryo?	Yes	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	Yes
Single women?	Yes			(See Appendix C for details.)	

<sup>a</sup> Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2006 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.

<sup>b</sup> When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.

<sup>c</sup> A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.

<sup>d</sup> Clinic-specific outcome rates are unreliable for women older than 42 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs. Readers are urged to review national outcomes for these age groups (see page 27).

<sup>e</sup> All ages (including ages >42) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.

## DOMINION FERTILITY AND ENDOCRINOLOGY ARLINGTON, VIRGINIA

A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 79–88.

### 2006 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART <sup>a</sup>				Patient Diagnosis			
IVF	100%	<b>Procedural Factors:</b>		Tubal factor	5%	Other factor	13%
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	54%	Ovulatory dysfunction	5%	Unknown factor	4%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	0%	Diminished ovarian reserve	18%	<b>Multiple Factors:</b>	
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	<1%	Endometriosis	<1%	Female factors only	22%
				Uterine factor	0%	Female & male factors	22%
				Male factor	11%		

### 2006 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by Michael DiMattina, MD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman			
	<35	35–37	38–40	41–42 <sup>d</sup>
<b>Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs</b>				
Number of cycles	86	43	34	15
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies <sup>b</sup>	47.7	37.2	32.4	2 / 15
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	41.9	32.6	23.5	1 / 15
(Confidence Interval)	(31.3–53.0)	(19.1–48.5)	(10.7–41.2)	
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	46.2	33.3	24.2	1 / 12
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	49.3	35.9	27.6	1 / 12
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births <sup>b</sup>	35.6	28.2	13.8	1 / 12
Percentage of cancellations <sup>b</sup>	9.3	2.3	2.9	3 / 15
Average number of embryos transferred	2.1	2.8	3.9	4.3
Percentage of pregnancies with twins <sup>b</sup>	34.1	9 / 16	6 / 11	0 / 2
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more <sup>b</sup>	0.0	0 / 16	1 / 11	0 / 2
Percentage of live births having multiple infants <sup>b,c</sup>	27.8	3 / 14	4 / 8	0 / 1
<b>Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs</b>				
Number of transfers	45	24	8	2
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	35.6	50.0	2 / 8	2 / 2
Average number of embryos transferred	2.1	2.5	2.3	2.0
<b>All Ages Combined<sup>e</sup></b>				
<b>Donor Eggs</b>		<b>Fresh Embryos</b>	<b>Frozen Embryos</b>	
Number of transfers		35	25	
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>		62.9	24.0	
Average number of embryos transferred		2.1	1.8	

### CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

**Current Name:** Dominion Fertility and Endocrinology

Donor egg?	Yes	Gestational carriers?	Yes	SART member?	Yes
Donor embryo?	Yes	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	Yes
Single women?	Yes			(See Appendix C for details.)	

<sup>a</sup> Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2006 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.

<sup>b</sup> When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.

<sup>c</sup> A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.

<sup>d</sup> Clinic-specific outcome rates are unreliable for women older than 42 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs. Readers are urged to review national outcomes for these age groups (see page 27).

<sup>e</sup> All ages (including ages >42) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.

# REPRODUCTIVE MEDICINE AND SURGERY CENTER OF VIRGINIA, PLC CHARLOTTESVILLE, VIRGINIA

A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 79–88.

## 2006 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART <sup>a</sup>			Patient Diagnosis	
IVF	100%	<b>Procedural Factors:</b>	Tubal factor	14%
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	Ovulatory dysfunction	5%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	Diminished ovarian reserve	4%
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	Endometriosis	8%
			Uterine factor	0%
			Male factor	28%
			Other factor	2%
			Unknown factor	5%
			<b>Multiple Factors:</b>	
			Female factors only	9%
			Female & male factors	25%

## 2006 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by Christopher D. Williams, MD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman			
	<35	35–37	38–40	41–42 <sup>d</sup>
<b>Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs</b>				
Number of cycles	52	30	10	2
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies <sup>b</sup>	44.2	43.3	4 / 10	0 / 2
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	34.6	33.3	4 / 10	0 / 2
(Confidence Interval)	(22.0–49.1)	(17.3–52.8)		
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	36.7	41.7	4 / 8	0 / 2
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	37.5	41.7	4 / 7	0 / 1
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births <sup>b</sup>	22.9	33.3	2 / 7	0 / 1
Percentage of cancellations <sup>b</sup>	5.8	20.0	2 / 10	0 / 2
Average number of embryos transferred	2.0	2.3	3.3	4.0
Percentage of pregnancies with twins <sup>b</sup>	30.4	5 / 13	3 / 4	
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more <sup>b</sup>	4.3	1 / 13	0 / 4	
Percentage of live births having multiple infants <sup>b,c</sup>	7 / 18	2 / 10	2 / 4	
<b>Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs</b>				
Number of transfers	5	3	0	2
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	4 / 5	0 / 3		0 / 2
Average number of embryos transferred	2.2	1.7		2.0
<b>All Ages Combined<sup>e</sup></b>				
<b>Donor Eggs</b>	<b>Fresh Embryos</b>		<b>Frozen Embryos</b>	
	5		1	
	4 / 5		1 / 1	
Average number of embryos transferred	2.0		3.0	

## CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

**Current Name:** Reproductive Medicine and Surgery Center of Virginia, PLC

Donor egg?	Yes	Gestational carriers?	Yes	SART member?	Yes
Donor embryo?	Yes	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	Yes
Single women?	Yes			(See Appendix C for details.)	

<sup>a</sup> Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2006 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.

<sup>b</sup> When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.

<sup>c</sup> A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.

<sup>d</sup> Clinic-specific outcome rates are unreliable for women older than 42 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs. Readers are urged to review national outcomes for these age groups (see page 27).

<sup>e</sup> All ages (including ages >42) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.



## GENETICS & IVF INSTITUTE FAIRFAX, VIRGINIA

**A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 79–88.**

### 2006 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART <sup>a</sup>			Patient Diagnosis	
IVF	100%	<b>Procedural Factors:</b>	Tubal factor	3%
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	Ovulatory dysfunction	3%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	Diminished ovarian reserve	15%
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	Endometriosis	<1%
			Uterine factor	<1%
			Male factor	18%
			Other factor	19%
			Unknown factor	2%
			<b>Multiple Factors:</b>	
			Female factors only	7%
			Female & male factors	32%

### 2006 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by Stephen R. Lincoln, MD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman			
	<35	35–37	38–40	41–42 <sup>d</sup>
<b>Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs</b>				
Number of cycles	155	97	89	41
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies <sup>b</sup>	29.0	21.6	11.2	22.0
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	24.5	18.6	9.0	14.6
(Confidence Interval)	(18.0–32.1)	(11.4–27.7)	(4.0–16.9)	(5.6–29.2)
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	25.7	20.0	9.6	17.1
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	27.0	22.2	11.0	20.7
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births <sup>b</sup>	17.0	18.5	11.0	17.2
Percentage of cancellations <sup>b</sup>	4.5	7.2	6.7	14.6
Average number of embryos transferred	2.4	2.5	2.5	2.8
Percentage of pregnancies with twins <sup>b</sup>	33.3	14.3	0 / 10	1 / 9
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more <sup>b</sup>	0.0	14.3	0 / 10	0 / 9
Percentage of live births having multiple infants <sup>b,c</sup>	36.8	3 / 18	0 / 8	1 / 6
<b>Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs</b>				
Number of transfers	40	20	20	5
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	12.5	15.0	5.0	1 / 5
Average number of embryos transferred	2.0	1.9	2.1	3.6
<b>All Ages Combined<sup>e</sup></b>				
<b>Donor Eggs</b>	<b>Fresh Embryos</b>		<b>Frozen Embryos</b>	
	Number of transfers		106	
	Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>		15.1	
	Average number of embryos transferred		2.3	

### CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

**Current Name:** Genetics & IVF Institute

Donor egg?	Yes	Gestational carriers?	Yes	SART member?	Yes
Donor embryo?	Yes	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	Yes
Single women?	Yes			(See Appendix C for details.)	

<sup>a</sup> Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2006 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.

<sup>b</sup> When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.

<sup>c</sup> A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.

<sup>d</sup> Clinic-specific outcome rates are unreliable for women older than 42 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs. Readers are urged to review national outcomes for these age groups (see page 27).

<sup>e</sup> All ages (including ages >42) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.

## THE MUASHER CENTER FOR FERTILITY AND IVF FAIRFAX, VIRGINIA

**A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 79–88.**

### 2006 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART <sup>a</sup>				Patient Diagnosis			
IVF	100%	<b>Procedural Factors:</b>		Tubal factor	8%	Other factor	3%
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	41%	Ovulatory dysfunction	10%	Unknown factor	12%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	0%	Diminished ovarian reserve	8%	<b>Multiple Factors:</b>	
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	2%	Endometriosis	5%	Female factors only	10%
				Uterine factor	1%	Female & male factors	31%
				Male factor	12%		

### 2006 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by Suheil J. Muasher, MD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman			
	<35	35–37	38–40	41–42 <sup>d</sup>
<b>Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs</b>				
Number of cycles	55	33	25	9
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies <sup>b</sup>	25.5	21.2	28.0	0 / 9
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	25.5	15.2	12.0	0 / 9
(Confidence Interval)	(14.7–39.0)	(5.1–31.9)	(2.5–31.2)	
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	25.9	16.1	13.6	0 / 8
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	25.9	16.7	15.0	0 / 7
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births <sup>b</sup>	14.8	16.7	15.0	0 / 7
Percentage of cancellations <sup>b</sup>	1.8	6.1	12.0	1 / 9
Average number of embryos transferred	2.6	3.0	3.0	3.0
Percentage of pregnancies with twins <sup>b</sup>	4 / 14	0 / 7	0 / 7	
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more <sup>b</sup>	2 / 14	1 / 7	0 / 7	
Percentage of live births having multiple infants <sup>b,c</sup>	6 / 14	0 / 5	0 / 3	
<b>Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs</b>				
Number of transfers	6	0	0	0
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	1 / 6			
Average number of embryos transferred	2.2			
<b>All Ages Combined<sup>e</sup></b>				
<b>Donor Eggs</b>	<b>Fresh Embryos</b>		<b>Frozen Embryos</b>	
	Number of transfers		2	
	Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>		1 / 2	
	Average number of embryos transferred		2.5	

### CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

**Current Name:** The Muasher Center for Fertility and IVF

Donor egg?	Yes	Gestational carriers?	Yes	SART member?	Yes
Donor embryo?	No	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	Yes
Single women?	Yes			(See Appendix C for details.)	

<sup>a</sup> Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2006 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.

<sup>b</sup> When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.

<sup>c</sup> A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.

<sup>d</sup> Clinic-specific outcome rates are unreliable for women older than 42 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs. Readers are urged to review national outcomes for these age groups (see page 27).

<sup>e</sup> All ages (including ages >42) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.

# JONES INSTITUTE FOR REPRODUCTIVE MEDICINE NORFOLK, VIRGINIA

A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 79–88.

## 2006 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART <sup>a</sup>			Patient Diagnosis	
IVF	100%	<b>Procedural Factors:</b>	Tubal factor	15%
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	Ovulatory dysfunction	6%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	Diminished ovarian reserve	11%
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	Endometriosis	5%
			Uterine factor	1%
			Male factor	15%
			Other factor	7%
			Unknown factor	5%
			<b>Multiple Factors:</b>	
			Female factors only	12%
			Female & male factors	23%

## 2006 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by Laurel A. Stadtmauer, MD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman			
	<35	35–37	38–40	41–42 <sup>d</sup>
<b>Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs</b>				
Number of cycles	88	40	56	14
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies <sup>b</sup>	33.0	32.5	21.4	2 / 14
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	26.1	27.5	17.9	2 / 14
(Confidence Interval)	(17.3–36.6)	(14.6–43.9)	(8.9–30.4)	
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	26.4	30.6	20.8	2 / 10
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	28.4	31.4	23.3	2 / 10
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births <sup>b</sup>	18.5	28.6	23.3	2 / 10
Percentage of cancellations <sup>b</sup>	1.1	10.0	14.3	4 / 14
Average number of embryos transferred	2.1	2.4	2.5	2.3
Percentage of pregnancies with twins <sup>b</sup>	55.2	1 / 13	0 / 12	0 / 2
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more <sup>b</sup>	0.0	0 / 13	0 / 12	0 / 2
Percentage of live births having multiple infants <sup>b,c</sup>	34.8	1 / 11	0 / 10	0 / 2
<b>Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs</b>				
Number of transfers	45	14	15	4
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	22.2	5 / 14	2 / 15	0 / 4
Average number of embryos transferred	2.2	2.5	2.2	2.8
<b>All Ages Combined<sup>e</sup></b>				
<b>Donor Eggs</b>	<b>Fresh Embryos</b>		<b>Frozen Embryos</b>	
	Number of transfers		24	
	Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>		20.8	
	Average number of embryos transferred		2.3	

## CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

**Current Name:** Jones Institute for Reproductive Medicine

Donor egg?	Yes	Gestational carriers?	Yes	SART member?	Yes
Donor embryo?	Yes	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	Yes
Single women?	Yes			(See Appendix C for details.)	

<sup>a</sup> Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2006 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.

<sup>b</sup> When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.

<sup>c</sup> A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.

<sup>d</sup> Clinic-specific outcome rates are unreliable for women older than 42 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs. Readers are urged to review national outcomes for these age groups (see page 27).

<sup>e</sup> All ages (including ages >42) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.

# VIRGINIA CENTER FOR REPRODUCTIVE MEDICINE RESTON, VIRGINIA

**A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 79–88.**

## 2006 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART <sup>a</sup>				Patient Diagnosis			
IVF	100%	<b>Procedural Factors:</b>		Tubal factor	6%	Other factor	7%
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	92%	Ovulatory dysfunction	2%	Unknown factor	2%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	0%	Diminished ovarian reserve	16%	<b>Multiple Factors:</b>	
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	3%	Endometriosis	2%	Female factors only	11%
				Uterine factor	0%	Female & male factors	41%
				Male factor	14%		

## 2006 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by Fady I. Sharara, MD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman			
	<35	35–37	38–40	41–42 <sup>d</sup>
<b>Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs</b>				
Number of cycles	43	30	23	13
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies <sup>b</sup>	69.8	46.7	39.1	4 / 13
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	58.1	33.3	30.4	2 / 13
(Confidence Interval)	(42.1–73.0)	(17.3–52.8)	(13.2–52.9)	
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	58.1	35.7	31.8	2 / 12
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	59.5	35.7	31.8	2 / 12
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births <sup>b</sup>	31.0	21.4	22.7	1 / 12
Percentage of cancellations <sup>b</sup>	0.0	6.7	4.3	1 / 13
Average number of embryos transferred	2.0	2.0	2.5	2.8
Percentage of pregnancies with twins <sup>b</sup>	50.0	4 / 14	2 / 9	0 / 4
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more <sup>b</sup>	0.0	1 / 14	0 / 9	1 / 4
Percentage of live births having multiple infants <sup>b,c</sup>	48.0	4 / 10	2 / 7	1 / 2
<b>Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs</b>				
Number of transfers	1	2	0	0
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	1 / 1	1 / 2		
Average number of embryos transferred	2.0	2.5		
<b>All Ages Combined<sup>e</sup></b>				
<b>Donor Eggs</b>	<b>Fresh Embryos</b>		<b>Frozen Embryos</b>	
	7		3	
	3 / 7		1 / 3	
	2.0		2.3	

## CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

**Current Name:** Virginia Center for Reproductive Medicine

Donor egg?	Yes	Gestational carriers?	Yes	SART member?	Yes
Donor embryo?	Yes	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	Yes
Single women?	Yes			(See Appendix C for details.)	

<sup>a</sup> Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2006 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.

<sup>b</sup> When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.

<sup>c</sup> A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.

<sup>d</sup> Clinic-specific outcome rates are unreliable for women older than 42 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs. Readers are urged to review national outcomes for these age groups (see page 27).

<sup>e</sup> All ages (including ages >42) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.

## FERTILITY INSTITUTE OF VIRGINIA RICHMOND, VIRGINIA

A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 79–88.

### 2006 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART <sup>a</sup>			Patient Diagnosis	
IVF	100%	<b>Procedural Factors:</b>	Tubal factor	22%
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	Ovulatory dysfunction	7%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	Diminished ovarian reserve	9%
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	Endometriosis	14%
			Uterine factor	<1%
			Male factor	23%
			Other factor	1%
			Unknown factor	14%
			<b>Multiple Factors:</b>	
			Female factors only	3%
			Female & male factors	8%

### 2006 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by Michael C. Edelstein, MD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman			
	<35	35–37	38–40	41–42 <sup>d</sup>
<b>Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs</b>				
Number of cycles	71	55	38	12
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies <sup>b</sup>	46.5	50.9	39.5	3 / 12
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	39.4	36.4	31.6	2 / 12
(Confidence Interval)	(28.0–51.7)	(23.8–50.4)	(17.5–48.7)	
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	40.6	37.7	31.6	2 / 12
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	43.8	38.5	31.6	2 / 11
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births <sup>b</sup>	26.6	28.8	21.1	2 / 11
Percentage of cancellations <sup>b</sup>	2.8	3.6	0.0	0 / 12
Average number of embryos transferred	2.4	2.8	3.0	3.9
Percentage of pregnancies with twins <sup>b</sup>	30.3	28.6	5 / 15	0 / 3
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more <sup>b</sup>	3.0	0.0	1 / 15	0 / 3
Percentage of live births having multiple infants <sup>b,c</sup>	39.3	25.0	4 / 12	0 / 2
<b>Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs</b>				
Number of transfers	34	28	9	3
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	41.2	35.7	2 / 9	0 / 3
Average number of embryos transferred	2.8	3.1	2.8	3.0
<b>All Ages Combined<sup>e</sup></b>				
<b>Donor Eggs</b>	<b>Fresh Embryos</b>		<b>Frozen Embryos</b>	
	8		6	
	4 / 8		4 / 6	
Average number of embryos transferred	2.3		2.8	

### CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

**Current Name:** Fertility Institute of Virginia

Donor egg?	Yes	Gestational carriers?	Yes	SART member?	Yes
Donor embryo?	Yes	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	Yes
Single women?	Yes			(See Appendix C for details.)	

<sup>a</sup> Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2006 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.

<sup>b</sup> When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.

<sup>c</sup> A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.

<sup>d</sup> Clinic-specific outcome rates are unreliable for women older than 42 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs. Readers are urged to review national outcomes for these age groups (see page 27).

<sup>e</sup> All ages (including ages >42) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.

## LIFESOURCE FERTILITY CENTER RICHMOND, VIRGINIA

**A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 79–88.**

### 2006 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART <sup>a</sup>				Patient Diagnosis			
IVF	100%	<b>Procedural Factors:</b>		Tubal factor	6%	Other factor	1%
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	76%	Ovulatory dysfunction	2%	Unknown factor	4%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	1%	Diminished ovarian reserve	7%	<b>Multiple Factors:</b>	
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	0%	Endometriosis	1%	Female factors only	5%
				Uterine factor	1%	Female & male factors	49%
				Male factor	23%		

### 2006 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by Joseph G. Gianfortoni, MD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman			
	<35	35–37	38–40	41–42 <sup>d</sup>
<b>Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs</b>				
Number of cycles	34	23	18	6
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies <sup>b</sup>	44.1	43.5	4 / 18	4 / 6
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	38.2	34.8	2 / 18	3 / 6
(Confidence Interval)	(22.2–56.4)	(16.4–57.3)		
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	38.2	8 / 18	2 / 15	3 / 5
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	40.6	8 / 16	2 / 15	3 / 5
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births <sup>b</sup>	21.9	8 / 16	2 / 15	2 / 5
Percentage of cancellations <sup>b</sup>	0.0	21.7	3 / 18	1 / 6
Average number of embryos transferred	2.0	2.4	2.6	3.8
Percentage of pregnancies with twins <sup>b</sup>	6 / 15	0 / 10	0 / 4	1 / 4
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more <sup>b</sup>	0 / 15	0 / 10	0 / 4	0 / 4
Percentage of live births having multiple infants <sup>b,c</sup>	6 / 13	0 / 8	0 / 2	1 / 3
<b>Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs</b>				
Number of transfers	16	17	6	2
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	3 / 16	7 / 17	1 / 6	0 / 2
Average number of embryos transferred	2.3	2.7	2.3	3.0
<b>All Ages Combined<sup>e</sup></b>				
<b>Donor Eggs</b>	<b>Fresh Embryos</b>		<b>Frozen Embryos</b>	
	2		11	
	1 / 2		2 / 11	
Average number of embryos transferred	2.5		2.3	

### CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

**Current Name:** LifeSource Fertility Center

Donor egg?	Yes	Gestational carriers?	Yes	SART member?	Yes
Donor embryo?	Yes	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	Yes
Single women?	Yes			(See Appendix C for details.)	

<sup>a</sup> Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2006 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.

<sup>b</sup> When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.

<sup>c</sup> A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.

<sup>d</sup> Clinic-specific outcome rates are unreliable for women older than 42 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs. Readers are urged to review national outcomes for these age groups (see page 27).

<sup>e</sup> All ages (including ages >42) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.



# THE RICHMOND CENTER FOR FERTILITY AND ENDOCRINOLOGY

## RICHMOND, VIRGINIA

A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 79–88.

### 2006 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART <sup>a</sup>				Patient Diagnosis			
IVF	100%	<b>Procedural Factors:</b>		Tubal factor	12%	Other factor	0%
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	72%	Ovulatory dysfunction	3%	Unknown factor	8%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	<1%	Diminished ovarian reserve	11%	<i>Multiple Factors:</i>	
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	4%	Endometriosis	11%	Female factors only	6%
				Uterine factor	5%	Female & male factors	19%
				Male factor	26%		

### 2006 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by Sanford M. Rosenberg, MD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman			
	<35	35–37	38–40	41–42 <sup>d</sup>
<b>Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs</b>				
Number of cycles	68	40	24	4
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies <sup>b</sup>	44.1	40.0	33.3	3 / 4
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	42.6	35.0	20.8	2 / 4
(Confidence Interval)	(30.7–55.2)	(20.6–51.7)	(7.1–42.2)	
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	43.9	43.8	22.7	2 / 4
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	47.5	45.2	22.7	2 / 4
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births <sup>b</sup>	31.1	25.8	9.1	2 / 4
Percentage of cancellations <sup>b</sup>	2.9	20.0	8.3	0 / 4
Average number of embryos transferred	2.3	3.0	3.0	3.8
Percentage of pregnancies with twins <sup>b</sup>	36.7	5 / 16	3 / 8	0 / 3
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more <sup>b</sup>	3.3	1 / 16	0 / 8	0 / 3
Percentage of live births having multiple infants <sup>b,c</sup>	34.5	6 / 14	3 / 5	0 / 2
<b>Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs</b>				
Number of transfers	25	8	10	0
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	40.0	2 / 8	1 / 10	
Average number of embryos transferred	2.7	3.5	2.6	
<b>All Ages Combined<sup>e</sup></b>				
<b>Donor Eggs</b>	<b>Fresh Embryos</b>		<b>Frozen Embryos</b>	
	3		18	
	1 / 3		4 / 18	
	2.0		2.6	

### CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

**Current Name:** The Richmond Center for Fertility and Endocrinology

Donor egg?	Yes	Gestational carriers?	Yes	SART member?	Yes
Donor embryo?	Yes	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	Yes
Single women?	Yes			(See Appendix C for details.)	

<sup>a</sup> Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2006 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.

<sup>b</sup> When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.

<sup>c</sup> A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.

<sup>d</sup> Clinic-specific outcome rates are unreliable for women older than 42 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs. Readers are urged to review national outcomes for these age groups (see page 27).

<sup>e</sup> All ages (including ages >42) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.

## THE NEW HOPE CENTER FOR REPRODUCTIVE MEDICINE VIRGINIA BEACH, VIRGINIA

**A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 79–88.**

### 2006 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART <sup>a</sup>				Patient Diagnosis			
IVF	100%	<b>Procedural Factors:</b>		Tubal factor	9%	Other factor	2%
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	54%	Ovulatory dysfunction	10%	Unknown factor	<1%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	0%	Diminished ovarian reserve	7%	<i>Multiple Factors:</i>	
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	<1%	Endometriosis	5%	Female factors only	41%
				Uterine factor	2%	Female & male factors	20%
				Male factor	4%		

### 2006 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by Robin L. Poe-Zeigler, MD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman			
	<35	35–37	38–40	41–42 <sup>d</sup>
<b>Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs</b>				
Number of cycles	109	53	38	18
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies <sup>b</sup>	51.4	43.4	31.6	4 / 18
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	44.0	30.2	15.8	2 / 18
(Confidence Interval)	(34.5–53.9)	(18.3–44.3)	(6.0–31.3)	
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	49.5	35.6	17.1	2 / 15
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	52.7	39.0	18.8	2 / 13
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births <sup>b</sup>	31.9	19.5	18.8	2 / 13
Percentage of cancellations <sup>b</sup>	11.0	15.1	7.9	3 / 18
Average number of embryos transferred	2.2	2.8	2.8	2.8
Percentage of pregnancies with twins <sup>b</sup>	42.9	21.7	1 / 12	0 / 4
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more <sup>b</sup>	1.8	13.0	1 / 12	0 / 4
Percentage of live births having multiple infants <sup>b,c</sup>	39.6	8 / 16	0 / 6	0 / 2
<b>Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs</b>				
Number of transfers	38	9	7	1
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	50.0	3 / 9	2 / 7	0 / 1
Average number of embryos transferred	2.4	2.0	3.3	3.0
<b>All Ages Combined<sup>e</sup></b>				
<b>Donor Eggs</b>	<b>Fresh Embryos</b>		<b>Frozen Embryos</b>	
	22		27	
	31.8		25.9	
Number of transfers	2.0		2.9	
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>				
Average number of embryos transferred				

### CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

**Current Name:** The New Hope Center for Reproductive Medicine

Donor egg?	Yes	Gestational carriers?	Yes	SART member?	Yes
Donor embryo?	Yes	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	Yes
Single women?	Yes			(See Appendix C for details.)	

<sup>a</sup> Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2006 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.

<sup>b</sup> When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.

<sup>c</sup> A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.

<sup>d</sup> Clinic-specific outcome rates are unreliable for women older than 42 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs. Readers are urged to review national outcomes for these age groups (see page 27).

<sup>e</sup> All ages (including ages >42) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.

# FRANCISCO M. IRIANNI INFERTILITY CLINIC WINCHESTER, VIRGINIA

A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 79–88.

## 2006 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART <sup>a</sup>				Patient Diagnosis			
IVF	100%	<b>Procedural Factors:</b>		Tubal factor	8%	Other factor	0%
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	54%	Ovulatory dysfunction	0%	Unknown factor	0%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	0%	Diminished ovarian reserve	5%	<b>Multiple Factors:</b>	
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	0%	Endometriosis	0%	Female factors only	38%
				Uterine factor	0%	Female & male factors	50%
				Male factor	0%		

## 2006 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by Francisco M. Irianni, MD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman			
	<35	35–37	38–40	41–42 <sup>d</sup>
<b>Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs</b>				
Number of cycles	12	3	7	0
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies <sup>b</sup>	0 / 12	2 / 3	1 / 7	
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	0 / 12	2 / 3	1 / 7	
(Confidence Interval)				
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	0 / 8	2 / 3	1 / 6	
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	0 / 8	2 / 3	1 / 6	
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births <sup>b</sup>	0 / 8	0 / 3	1 / 6	
Percentage of cancellations <sup>b</sup>	4 / 12	0 / 3	1 / 7	
Average number of embryos transferred	2.3	3.0	2.3	
Percentage of pregnancies with twins <sup>b</sup>		1 / 2	0 / 1	
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more <sup>b</sup>		1 / 2	0 / 1	
Percentage of live births having multiple infants <sup>b,c</sup>		2 / 2	0 / 1	
<b>Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs</b>				
Number of transfers	5	0	3	0
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	1 / 5		0 / 3	
Average number of embryos transferred	2.8		1.7	
<b>All Ages Combined<sup>e</sup></b>				
<b>Donor Eggs</b>	<b>Fresh Embryos</b>		<b>Frozen Embryos</b>	
	4		4	
	0 / 4		1 / 4	
Average number of embryos transferred	2.8		2.5	

## CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

**Current Name:** Francisco M. Irianni Infertility Clinic

Donor egg?	Yes	Gestational carriers?	Yes	SART member?	No
Donor embryo?	No	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	Yes
Single women?	No			(See Appendix C for details.)	

<sup>a</sup> Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2006 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.

<sup>b</sup> When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.

<sup>c</sup> A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.

<sup>d</sup> Clinic-specific outcome rates are unreliable for women older than 42 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs. Readers are urged to review national outcomes for these age groups (see page 27).

<sup>e</sup> All ages (including ages >42) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.

## OVERLAKE REPRODUCTIVE HEALTH INC., PS BELLEVUE, WASHINGTON

**A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 79–88.**

### 2006 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART <sup>a</sup>				Patient Diagnosis			
IVF	100%	<b>Procedural Factors:</b>		Tubal factor	4%	Other factor	1%
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	47%	Ovulatory dysfunction	5%	Unknown factor	<1%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	0%	Diminished ovarian reserve	16%	<i>Multiple Factors:</i>	
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	<1%	Endometriosis	<1%	Female factors only	31%
				Uterine factor	<1%	Female & male factors	39%
				Male factor	1%		

### 2006 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by Kevin M. Johnson, MD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman			
	<35	35–37	38–40	41–42 <sup>d</sup>
<b>Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs</b>				
Number of cycles	54	21	19	11
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies <sup>b</sup>	46.3	47.6	3 / 19	1 / 11
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	40.7	38.1	2 / 19	1 / 11
(Confidence Interval)	(27.6–55.0)	(18.1–61.6)		
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	43.1	8 / 18	2 / 17	1 / 11
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	52.4	8 / 17	2 / 14	1 / 10
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births <sup>b</sup>	31.0	4 / 17	1 / 14	1 / 10
Percentage of cancellations <sup>b</sup>	5.6	14.3	2 / 19	0 / 11
Average number of embryos transferred	2.3	2.5	2.1	3.1
Percentage of pregnancies with twins <sup>b</sup>	36.0	4 / 10	1 / 3	0 / 1
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more <sup>b</sup>	0.0	0 / 10	0 / 3	0 / 1
Percentage of live births having multiple infants <sup>b,c</sup>	40.9	4 / 8	1 / 2	0 / 1
<b>Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs</b>				
Number of transfers	6	3	1	1
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	1 / 6	2 / 3	0 / 1	0 / 1
Average number of embryos transferred	1.5	2.0	1.0	1.0
<b>All Ages Combined<sup>e</sup></b>				
<b>Donor Eggs</b>	<b>Fresh Embryos</b>		<b>Frozen Embryos</b>	
	13		9	
	8 / 13		3 / 9	
	2.1		2.3	

### CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

**Current Name:** Overlake Reproductive Health Inc., PS

Donor egg?	Yes	Gestational carriers?	Yes	SART member?	Yes
Donor embryo?	Yes	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	Yes
Single women?	Yes			(See Appendix C for details.)	

<sup>a</sup> Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2006 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.

<sup>b</sup> When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.

<sup>c</sup> A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.

<sup>d</sup> Clinic-specific outcome rates are unreliable for women older than 42 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs. Readers are urged to review national outcomes for these age groups (see page 27).

<sup>e</sup> All ages (including ages >42) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.

# WASHINGTON CENTER FOR REPRODUCTIVE MEDICINE BELLEVUE, WASHINGTON

A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 79–88.

## 2006 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART <sup>a</sup>			Patient Diagnosis	
IVF	100%	<b>Procedural Factors:</b>	Tubal factor	9%
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	Ovulatory dysfunction	2%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	Diminished ovarian reserve	24%
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	Endometriosis	1%
			Uterine factor	<1%
			Male factor	26%
			Other factor	10%
			Unknown factor	11%
			<b>Multiple Factors:</b>	
			Female factors only	1%
			Female & male factors	15%

## 2006 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by James I. Kustin, MD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman			
	<35	35–37	38–40	41–42 <sup>d</sup>
<b>Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs</b>				
Number of cycles	43	24	12	2
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies <sup>b</sup>	27.9	29.2	5 / 12	0 / 2
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	27.9	20.8	4 / 12	0 / 2
(Confidence Interval)	(15.3–43.7)	(7.1–42.2)		
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	29.3	23.8	4 / 12	0 / 1
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	32.4	5 / 17	4 / 9	0 / 1
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births <sup>b</sup>	16.2	5 / 17	4 / 9	0 / 1
Percentage of cancellations <sup>b</sup>	4.7	12.5	0 / 12	1 / 2
Average number of embryos transferred	2.6	2.4	3.0	3.0
Percentage of pregnancies with twins <sup>b</sup>	5 / 12	1 / 7	1 / 5	
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more <sup>b</sup>	1 / 12	0 / 7	0 / 5	
Percentage of live births having multiple infants <sup>b,c</sup>	6 / 12	0 / 5	0 / 4	
<b>Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs</b>				
Number of transfers	11	13	3	1
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	5 / 11	3 / 13	0 / 3	0 / 1
Average number of embryos transferred	2.3	2.7	3.0	2.0
<b>All Ages Combined<sup>e</sup></b>				
<b>Donor Eggs</b>	<b>Fresh Embryos</b>		<b>Frozen Embryos</b>	
	15		16	
	5 / 15		4 / 16	
Average number of embryos transferred	2.4		3.1	

## CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

**Current Name:** Washington Center for Reproductive Medicine

Donor egg?	Yes	Gestational carriers?	Yes	SART member?	Yes
Donor embryo?	Yes	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	Yes
Single women?	Yes			(See Appendix C for details.)	

<sup>a</sup> Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2006 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.

<sup>b</sup> When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.

<sup>c</sup> A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.

<sup>d</sup> Clinic-specific outcome rates are unreliable for women older than 42 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs. Readers are urged to review national outcomes for these age groups (see page 27).

<sup>e</sup> All ages (including ages >42) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.

## BELLINGHAM IVF & FERTILITY CARE BELLINGHAM, WASHINGTON

**A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 79–88.**

### 2006 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART <sup>a</sup>				Patient Diagnosis			
IVF	100%	<b>Procedural Factors:</b>		Tubal factor	2%	Other factor	0%
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	71%	Ovulatory dysfunction	2%	Unknown factor	0%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	0%	Diminished ovarian reserve	9%	<b>Multiple Factors:</b>	
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	0%	Endometriosis	0%	Female factors only	4%
				Uterine factor	0%	Female & male factors	69%
				Male factor	15%		

### 2006 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by Emmett F. Branigan, MD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman			
	<35	35–37	38–40	41–42 <sup>d</sup>
<b>Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs</b>				
Number of cycles	29	13	8	8
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies <sup>b</sup>	69.0	8 / 13	1 / 8	1 / 8
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	55.2	7 / 13	0 / 8	0 / 8
(Confidence Interval)	(35.7–73.6)			
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	57.1	7 / 13	0 / 7	0 / 8
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	59.3	7 / 13	0 / 7	0 / 8
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births <sup>b</sup>	55.6	7 / 13	0 / 7	0 / 8
Percentage of cancellations <sup>b</sup>	3.4	0 / 13	1 / 8	0 / 8
Average number of embryos transferred	2.2	2.5	2.6	2.5
Percentage of pregnancies with twins <sup>b</sup>	5.0	0 / 8	0 / 1	0 / 1
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more <sup>b</sup>	0.0	0 / 8	0 / 1	0 / 1
Percentage of live births having multiple infants <sup>b,c</sup>	1 / 16	0 / 7		
<b>Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs</b>				
Number of transfers	8	4	4	0
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	3 / 8	2 / 4	0 / 4	
Average number of embryos transferred	2.3	2.0	2.5	
<b>All Ages Combined<sup>e</sup></b>				
<b>Donor Eggs</b>	<b>Fresh Embryos</b>		<b>Frozen Embryos</b>	
	21		15	
	66.7		4 / 15	
	2.0		2.0	

### CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

**Current Name:** Bellingham IVF & Fertility Care

Donor egg?	Yes	Gestational carriers?	No	SART member?	No
Donor embryo?	No	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	No
Single women?	Yes			(See Appendix C for details.)	

<sup>a</sup> Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2006 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.

<sup>b</sup> When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.

<sup>c</sup> A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.

<sup>d</sup> Clinic-specific outcome rates are unreliable for women older than 42 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs. Readers are urged to review national outcomes for these age groups (see page 27).

<sup>e</sup> All ages (including ages >42) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.



# NORTHWEST CENTER FOR REPRODUCTIVE SCIENCES KIRKLAND, WASHINGTON

**A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 79–88.**

## 2006 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART <sup>a</sup>				Patient Diagnosis			
IVF	100%	<b>Procedural Factors:</b>		Tubal factor	2%	Other factor	6%
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	32%	Ovulatory dysfunction	9%	Unknown factor	9%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	<1%	Diminished ovarian reserve	20%	<b>Multiple Factors:</b>	
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	0%	Endometriosis	3%	Female factors only	18%
				Uterine factor	2%	Female & male factors	18%
				Male factor	13%		

## 2006 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by Michael S. Opsahl, MD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman			
	<35	35–37	38–40	41–42 <sup>d</sup>
<b>Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs</b>				
Number of cycles	83	49	51	13
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies <sup>b</sup>	65.1	49.0	49.0	1 / 13
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	61.4	42.9	35.3	0 / 13
(Confidence Interval)	(50.1–71.9)	(28.8–57.8)	(22.4–49.9)	
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	67.1	47.7	43.9	0 / 8
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	72.9	48.8	46.2	0 / 8
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births <sup>b</sup>	28.6	34.9	30.8	0 / 8
Percentage of cancellations <sup>b</sup>	8.4	10.2	19.6	5 / 13
Average number of embryos transferred	2.7	3.1	3.8	3.0
Percentage of pregnancies with twins <sup>b</sup>	55.6	25.0	36.0	0 / 1
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more <sup>b</sup>	9.3	8.3	8.0	0 / 1
Percentage of live births having multiple infants <sup>b,c</sup>	60.8	28.6	6 / 18	
<b>Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs</b>				
Number of transfers	8	2	5	1
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	4 / 8	1 / 2	1 / 5	0 / 1
Average number of embryos transferred	2.4	1.5	1.6	3.0
<b>All Ages Combined<sup>e</sup></b>				
<b>Donor Eggs</b>	<b>Fresh Embryos</b>		<b>Frozen Embryos</b>	
	26		7	
	76.9		3 / 7	
	2.1		1.7	

## CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

**Current Name:** Northwest Center for Reproductive Sciences

Donor egg?	Yes	Gestational carriers?	Yes	SART member?	Yes
Donor embryo?	Yes	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	No
Single women?	Yes			(See Appendix C for details.)	

<sup>a</sup> Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2006 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.

<sup>b</sup> When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.

<sup>c</sup> A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.

<sup>d</sup> Clinic-specific outcome rates are unreliable for women older than 42 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs. Readers are urged to review national outcomes for these age groups (see page 27).

<sup>e</sup> All ages (including ages >42) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.

## OLYMPIA WOMEN'S HEALTH OLYMPIA, WASHINGTON

**A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 79–88.**

### 2006 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART <sup>a</sup>				Patient Diagnosis			
IVF	100%	<b>Procedural Factors:</b>		Tubal factor	21%	Other factor	14%
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	0%	Ovulatory dysfunction	7%	Unknown factor	24%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	0%	Diminished ovarian reserve	0%	<b>Multiple Factors:</b>	
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	0%	Endometriosis	10%	Female factors only	0%
				Uterine factor	0%	Female & male factors	14%
				Male factor	10%		

### 2006 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by James F. Moruzzi, MD, PhD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman			
	<35	35–37	38–40	41–42 <sup>d</sup>
<b>Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs</b>				
Number of cycles	13	2	3	2
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies <sup>b</sup>	5 / 13	1 / 2	1 / 3	2 / 2
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup> (Confidence Interval)	4 / 13	1 / 2	1 / 3	2 / 2
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	4 / 11	1 / 2	1 / 3	2 / 2
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	4 / 9	1 / 2	1 / 3	2 / 2
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births <sup>b</sup>	2 / 9	1 / 2	1 / 3	2 / 2
Percentage of cancellations <sup>b</sup>	2 / 13	0 / 2	0 / 3	0 / 2
Average number of embryos transferred	1.9	1.5	2.7	2.5
Percentage of pregnancies with twins <sup>b</sup>	3 / 5	0 / 1	0 / 1	0 / 2
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more <sup>b</sup>	1 / 5	0 / 1	0 / 1	0 / 2
Percentage of live births having multiple infants <sup>b,c</sup>	2 / 4	0 / 1	0 / 1	0 / 2
<b>Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs</b>				
Number of transfers	3	0	2	0
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	2 / 3		0 / 2	
Average number of embryos transferred	2.0		1.5	
<b>All Ages Combined<sup>e</sup></b>				
<b>Donor Eggs</b>	<b>Fresh Embryos</b>		<b>Frozen Embryos</b>	
	3		0	
	3 / 3			
	2.0			

### CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

**Current Name:** Olympia Women's Health

Donor egg?	Yes	Gestational carriers?	Yes	SART member?	Yes
Donor embryo?	Yes	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	Yes
Single women?	Yes			(See Appendix C for details.)	

<sup>a</sup> Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2006 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.

<sup>b</sup> When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.

<sup>c</sup> A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.

<sup>d</sup> Clinic-specific outcome rates are unreliable for women older than 42 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs. Readers are urged to review national outcomes for these age groups (see page 27).

<sup>e</sup> All ages (including ages >42) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.

# PACIFIC NORTHWEST FERTILITY AND IVF SPECIALISTS SEATTLE, WASHINGTON

A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 79–88.

## 2006 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART <sup>a</sup>				Patient Diagnosis			
IVF	100%	<b>Procedural Factors:</b>		Tubal factor	1%	Other factor	39%
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	85%	Ovulatory dysfunction	5%	Unknown factor	12%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	0%	Diminished ovarian reserve	17%	<b>Multiple Factors:</b>	
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	2%	Endometriosis	2%	Female factors only	5%
				Uterine factor	<1%	Female & male factors	8%
				Male factor	13%		

## 2006 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by Lorna A. Marshall, MD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman			
	<35	35–37	38–40	41–42 <sup>d</sup>
<b>Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs</b>				
Number of cycles	122	93	73	33
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies <sup>b</sup>	41.8	31.2	28.8	27.3
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	32.0	24.7	21.9	15.2
(Confidence Interval)	(23.8–41.0)	(16.4–34.8)	(13.1–33.1)	(5.1–31.9)
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	33.9	27.7	25.8	16.7
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	35.1	28.8	26.7	17.9
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births <sup>b</sup>	22.5	21.3	18.3	14.3
Percentage of cancellations <sup>b</sup>	5.7	10.8	15.1	9.1
Average number of embryos transferred	2.2	2.4	2.8	4.0
Percentage of pregnancies with twins <sup>b</sup>	31.4	20.7	33.3	1 / 9
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more <sup>b</sup>	3.9	3.4	0.0	0 / 9
Percentage of live births having multiple infants <sup>b,c</sup>	35.9	26.1	5 / 16	1 / 5
<b>Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs</b>				
Number of transfers	61	34	23	12
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	29.5	20.6	26.1	3 / 12
Average number of embryos transferred	2.0	2.1	2.5	2.3
<b>All Ages Combined<sup>e</sup></b>				
<b>Donor Eggs</b>	<b>Fresh Embryos</b>		<b>Frozen Embryos</b>	
	Number of transfers		55	
	Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>		47.3	
	Average number of embryos transferred		2.3	

## CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

**Current Name:** Pacific Northwest Fertility and IVF Specialists

Donor egg?	Yes	Gestational carriers?	Yes	SART member?	Yes
Donor embryo?	Yes	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	Yes
Single women?	Yes			(See Appendix C for details.)	

<sup>a</sup> Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2006 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.

<sup>b</sup> When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.

<sup>c</sup> A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.

<sup>d</sup> Clinic-specific outcome rates are unreliable for women older than 42 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs. Readers are urged to review national outcomes for these age groups (see page 27).

<sup>e</sup> All ages (including ages >42) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.

# SEATTLE REPRODUCTIVE MEDICINE INTEGRATED AMERICA SEATTLE, WASHINGTON

A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 79–88.

## 2006 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART <sup>a</sup>				Patient Diagnosis	
IVF	100%	<b>Procedural Factors:</b>		Tubal factor	12%
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	56%	Ovulatory dysfunction	4%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	0%	Diminished ovarian reserve	16%
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	0%	Endometriosis	5%
				Uterine factor	1%
				Male factor	22%
				Other factor	5%
				Unknown factor	12%
				<b>Multiple Factors:</b>	
				Female factors only	10%
				Female & male factors	13%

## 2006 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by Nancy A. Klein, MD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman			
	<35	35–37	38–40	41–42 <sup>d</sup>
<b>Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs</b>				
Number of cycles	198	152	138	47
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies <sup>b</sup>	44.9	46.7	36.2	23.4
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	39.9	35.5	26.1	17.0
(Confidence Interval)	(33.0–47.1)	(27.9–43.7)	(19.0–34.2)	(7.6–30.8)
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	43.4	39.1	29.5	20.0
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	45.4	40.9	32.1	21.6
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births <sup>b</sup>	31.0	31.1	25.0	16.2
Percentage of cancellations <sup>b</sup>	8.1	9.2	11.6	14.9
Average number of embryos transferred	1.9	2.1	2.5	2.7
Percentage of pregnancies with twins <sup>b</sup>	30.3	22.5	24.0	2 / 11
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more <sup>b</sup>	0.0	1.4	4.0	0 / 11
Percentage of live births having multiple infants <sup>b,c</sup>	31.6	24.1	22.2	2 / 8
<b>Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs</b>				
Number of transfers	67	43	25	15
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	35.8	20.9	24.0	3 / 15
Average number of embryos transferred	2.1	2.3	1.8	1.9
<b>All Ages Combined<sup>e</sup></b>				
<b>Donor Eggs</b>	<b>Fresh Embryos</b>		<b>Frozen Embryos</b>	
	105		19	
	61.9		5 / 19	
	1.9		2.5	

## CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

**Current Name:** Seattle Reproductive Medicine, Integrated America

Donor egg?	Yes	Gestational carriers?	Yes	SART member?	Yes
Donor embryo?	Yes	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	Yes
Single women?	Yes			(See Appendix C for details.)	

<sup>a</sup> Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2006 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.

<sup>b</sup> When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.

<sup>c</sup> A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.

<sup>d</sup> Clinic-specific outcome rates are unreliable for women older than 42 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs. Readers are urged to review national outcomes for these age groups (see page 27).

<sup>e</sup> All ages (including ages >42) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.

# THE CENTER FOR REPRODUCTIVE ENDOCRINOLOGY AND FERTILITY SPOKANE, WASHINGTON

A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 79–88.

## 2006 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART <sup>a</sup>				Patient Diagnosis			
IVF	100%	<b>Procedural Factors:</b>		Tubal factor	8%	Other factor	2%
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	70%	Ovulatory dysfunction	6%	Unknown factor	8%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	0%	Diminished ovarian reserve	14%	<i>Multiple Factors:</i>	
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	0%	Endometriosis	5%	Female factors only	5%
				Uterine factor	0%	Female & male factors	20%
				Male factor	32%		

## 2006 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by Edwin Robins, MD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman			
	<35	35–37	38–40	41–42 <sup>d</sup>
<b>Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs</b>				
Number of cycles	108	51	26	2
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies <sup>b</sup>	54.6	43.1	38.5	0 / 2
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	48.1	39.2	26.9	0 / 2
(Confidence Interval)	(38.4–58.0)	(25.8–53.9)	(11.6–47.8)	
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	50.0	45.5	31.8	0 / 1
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	54.7	51.3	7 / 19	0 / 1
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births <sup>b</sup>	36.8	35.9	6 / 19	0 / 1
Percentage of cancellations <sup>b</sup>	3.7	13.7	15.4	1 / 2
Average number of embryos transferred	2.1	2.2	2.5	2.0
Percentage of pregnancies with twins <sup>b</sup>	32.2	36.4	1 / 10	
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more <sup>b</sup>	1.7	0.0	0 / 10	
Percentage of live births having multiple infants <sup>b,c</sup>	32.7	30.0	1 / 7	
<b>Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs</b>				
Number of transfers	32	10	6	1
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	37.5	2 / 10	0 / 6	0 / 1
Average number of embryos transferred	2.3	2.5	2.3	2.0
<b>All Ages Combined<sup>e</sup></b>				
<b>Donor Eggs</b>	<b>Fresh Embryos</b>		<b>Frozen Embryos</b>	
	28		19	
	78.6		5 / 19	
Number of transfers	28		19	
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	78.6		5 / 19	
Average number of embryos transferred	2.0		2.4	

## CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

**Current Name:** The Center for Reproductive Endocrinology and Fertility

Donor egg?	Yes	Gestational carriers?	Yes	SART member?	Yes
Donor embryo?	No	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	Yes
Single women?	Yes			(See Appendix C for details.)	

<sup>a</sup> Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2006 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.

<sup>b</sup> When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.

<sup>c</sup> A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.

<sup>d</sup> Clinic-specific outcome rates are unreliable for women older than 42 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs. Readers are urged to review national outcomes for these age groups (see page 27).

<sup>e</sup> All ages (including ages >42) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.

## GYFT CLINIC, PLLC TACOMA, WASHINGTON

**A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 79–88.**

### 2006 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART <sup>a</sup>				Patient Diagnosis			
IVF	100%	<b>Procedural Factors:</b>		Tubal factor	22%	Other factor	2%
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	42%	Ovulatory dysfunction	6%	Unknown factor	11%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	0%	Diminished ovarian reserve	20%	<b>Multiple Factors:</b>	
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	0%	Endometriosis	6%	Female factors only	11%
				Uterine factor	0%	Female & male factors	13%
				Male factor	9%		

### 2006 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by Joseph A. Robinette, MD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman			
	<35	35–37	38–40	41–42 <sup>d</sup>
<b>Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs</b>				
Number of cycles	26	14	8	2
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies <sup>b</sup>	53.8	6 / 14	2 / 8	0 / 2
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	42.3	6 / 14	2 / 8	0 / 2
(Confidence Interval)	(23.4–63.1)			
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	42.3	6 / 13	2 / 8	0 / 2
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	42.3	6 / 13	2 / 8	0 / 1
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births <sup>b</sup>	23.1	3 / 13	1 / 8	0 / 1
Percentage of cancellations <sup>b</sup>	0.0	1 / 14	0 / 8	0 / 2
Average number of embryos transferred	4.8	4.0	3.9	3.0
Percentage of pregnancies with twins <sup>b</sup>	4 / 14	2 / 6	1 / 2	
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more <sup>b</sup>	3 / 14	2 / 6	0 / 2	
Percentage of live births having multiple infants <sup>b,c</sup>	5 / 11	3 / 6	1 / 2	
<b>Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs</b>				
Number of transfers	2	1	0	0
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	0 / 2	0 / 1		
Average number of embryos transferred	3.0	4.0		
<b>All Ages Combined<sup>e</sup></b>				
<b>Donor Eggs</b>	<b>Fresh Embryos</b>		<b>Frozen Embryos</b>	
	7		1	
	3 / 7		0 / 1	
	4.4		3.0	

### CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

**Current Name:** GYFT Clinic, PLLC

Donor egg?	Yes	Gestational carriers?	Yes	SART member?	Yes
Donor embryo?	No	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	Yes
Single women?	Yes			(See Appendix C for details.)	

<sup>a</sup> Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2006 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.

<sup>b</sup> When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.

<sup>c</sup> A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.

<sup>d</sup> Clinic-specific outcome rates are unreliable for women older than 42 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs. Readers are urged to review national outcomes for these age groups (see page 27).

<sup>e</sup> All ages (including ages >42) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.



# CABELL HUNTINGTON HOSPITAL CENTER FOR ADVANCED REPRODUCTIVE MEDICINE HUNTINGTON, WEST VIRGINIA

A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 79–88.

## 2006 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART <sup>a</sup>				Patient Diagnosis			
IVF	100%	<b>Procedural Factors:</b>		Tubal factor	24%	Other factor	6%
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	25%	Ovulatory dysfunction	6%	Unknown factor	3%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	0%	Diminished ovarian reserve	3%	<b>Multiple Factors:</b>	
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	0%	Endometriosis	21%	Female factors only	21%
				Uterine factor	0%	Female & male factors	12%
				Male factor	3%		

## 2006 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by William N. Burns, MD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman			
	<35	35–37	38–40	41–42 <sup>d</sup>
<b>Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs</b>				
Number of cycles	14	7	5	2
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies <sup>b</sup>	7 / 14	5 / 7	0 / 5	0 / 2
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	7 / 14	5 / 7	0 / 5	0 / 2
(Confidence Interval)				
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	7 / 12	5 / 6	0 / 5	0 / 1
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	7 / 11	5 / 5	0 / 5	0 / 1
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births <sup>b</sup>	6 / 11	5 / 5	0 / 5	0 / 1
Percentage of cancellations <sup>b</sup>	2 / 14	1 / 7	0 / 5	1 / 2
Average number of embryos transferred	1.7	2.6	1.8	2.0
Percentage of pregnancies with twins <sup>b</sup>	2 / 7	0 / 5		
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more <sup>b</sup>	0 / 7	0 / 5		
Percentage of live births having multiple infants <sup>b,c</sup>	1 / 7	0 / 5		
<b>Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs</b>				
Number of transfers	1	0	0	1
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	0 / 1			1 / 1
Average number of embryos transferred	2.0			2.0
<b>All Ages Combined<sup>e</sup></b>				
<b>Donor Eggs</b>		<b>Fresh Embryos</b>	<b>Frozen Embryos</b>	
Number of transfers		3	0	
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>		3 / 3		
Average number of embryos transferred		2.0		

## CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

**Current Name:** Cabell Huntington Hospital, Center for Advanced Reproductive Medicine

Donor egg?	Yes	Gestational carriers?	No	SART member?	No
Donor embryo?	No	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	Yes
Single women?	Yes			(See Appendix C for details.)	

<sup>a</sup> Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2006 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.

<sup>b</sup> When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.

<sup>c</sup> A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.

<sup>d</sup> Clinic-specific outcome rates are unreliable for women older than 42 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs. Readers are urged to review national outcomes for these age groups (see page 27).

<sup>e</sup> All ages (including ages >42) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.

# WEST VIRGINIA UNIVERSITY CENTER FOR REPRODUCTIVE MEDICINE MORGANTOWN, WEST VIRGINIA

A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 79–88.

## 2006 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART <sup>a</sup>				Patient Diagnosis			
IVF	100%	<b>Procedural Factors:</b>		Tubal factor	5%	Other factor	<1%
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	71%	Ovulatory dysfunction	<1%	Unknown factor	3%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	0%	Diminished ovarian reserve	4%	<i>Multiple Factors:</i>	
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	0%	Endometriosis	2%	Female factors only	17%
				Uterine factor	0%	Female & male factors	56%
				Male factor	12%		

## 2006 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by Roger C. Toffle, MD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman			
	<35	35–37	38–40	41–42 <sup>d</sup>
<b>Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs</b>				
Number of cycles	49	19	19	2
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies <sup>b</sup>	46.9	2 / 19	1 / 19	0 / 2
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	42.9	1 / 19	1 / 19	0 / 2
(Confidence Interval)	(28.8–57.8)			
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	45.7	1 / 18	1 / 15	0 / 1
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	47.7	1 / 15	1 / 14	0 / 1
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births <sup>b</sup>	34.1	1 / 15	1 / 14	0 / 1
Percentage of cancellations <sup>b</sup>	6.1	1 / 19	4 / 19	1 / 2
Average number of embryos transferred	2.7	2.4	2.1	4.0
Percentage of pregnancies with twins <sup>b</sup>	26.1	0 / 2	1 / 1	
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more <sup>b</sup>	0.0	0 / 2	0 / 1	
Percentage of live births having multiple infants <sup>b,c</sup>	28.6	0 / 1	0 / 1	
<b>Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs</b>				
Number of transfers	12	2	1	0
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	4 / 12	1 / 2	0 / 1	
Average number of embryos transferred	2.5	1.0	9.0	
<b>All Ages Combined<sup>e</sup></b>				
<b>Donor Eggs</b>	<b>Fresh Embryos</b>		<b>Frozen Embryos</b>	
	0		1	
	Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>		0 / 1	
	Average number of embryos transferred		3.0	

## CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

**Current Name:** West Virginia University Center for Reproductive Medicine

Donor egg?	No	Gestational carriers?	No	SART member?	Yes
Donor embryo?	Yes	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	Yes
Single women?	Yes			(See Appendix C for details.)	

<sup>a</sup> Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2006 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.

<sup>b</sup> When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.

<sup>c</sup> A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.

<sup>d</sup> Clinic-specific outcome rates are unreliable for women older than 42 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs. Readers are urged to review national outcomes for these age groups (see page 27).

<sup>e</sup> All ages (including ages >42) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.

# THE WOMEN'S CENTER AT AURORA BAYCARE MEDICAL CENTER

## REPRODUCTIVE ENDOCRINOLOGY AND FERTILITY

### GREEN BAY, WISCONSIN

A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 79–88.

#### 2006 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART <sup>a</sup>				Patient Diagnosis			
IVF	100%	<b>Procedural Factors:</b>		Tubal factor	2%	Other factor	<1%
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	91%	Ovulatory dysfunction	1%	Unknown factor	0%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	0%	Diminished ovarian reserve	<1%	<i>Multiple Factors:</i>	
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	0%	Endometriosis	<1%	Female factors only	5%
				Uterine factor	1%	Female & male factors	55%
				Male factor	35%		

#### 2006 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by Mark F. Severino, MD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman			
	<35	35–37	38–40	41–42 <sup>d</sup>
<b>Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs</b>				
Number of cycles	80	24	18	6
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies <sup>b</sup>	38.8	29.2	5 / 18	1 / 6
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	35.0	12.5	3 / 18	1 / 6
(Confidence Interval)	(24.7–46.5)	(2.7–32.4)		
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	35.0	13.0	3 / 17	1 / 6
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	36.8	14.3	3 / 16	1 / 5
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births <sup>b</sup>	26.3	9.5	3 / 16	1 / 5
Percentage of cancellations <sup>b</sup>	0.0	4.2	1 / 18	0 / 6
Average number of embryos transferred	2.2	2.1	2.1	1.8
Percentage of pregnancies with twins <sup>b</sup>	22.6	2 / 7	0 / 5	0 / 1
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more <sup>b</sup>	3.2	0 / 7	0 / 5	0 / 1
Percentage of live births having multiple infants <sup>b,c</sup>	28.6	1 / 3	0 / 3	0 / 1
<b>Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs</b>				
Number of transfers	30	10	9	0
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	26.7	1 / 10	1 / 9	
Average number of embryos transferred	2.1	2.2	1.9	
<b>All Ages Combined<sup>e</sup></b>				
<b>Donor Eggs</b>	<b>Fresh Embryos</b>		<b>Frozen Embryos</b>	
	8		5	
	2 / 8		1 / 5	
	2.8		2.4	

#### CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

**Current Name:** Aurora Health Care–Aurora Fertility Services, Green Bay, The Women's Center  
at Aurora BayCare Medical Center

Donor egg?	Yes	Gestational carriers?	Yes	SART member?	Yes
Donor embryo?	Yes	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	Yes
Single women?	Yes			(See Appendix C for details.)	

<sup>a</sup> Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2006 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.

<sup>b</sup> When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.

<sup>c</sup> A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.

<sup>d</sup> Clinic-specific outcome rates are unreliable for women older than 42 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs. Readers are urged to review national outcomes for these age groups (see page 27).

<sup>e</sup> All ages (including ages >42) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.

# UNIVERSITY OF WISCONSIN–MADISON

## REPRODUCTIVE ENDOCRINOLOGY AND INFERTILITY

### MADISON, WISCONSIN

**A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 79–88.**

#### 2006 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART <sup>a</sup>				Patient Diagnosis			
IVF	100%	<b>Procedural Factors:</b>		Tubal factor	3%	Other factor	4%
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	75%	Ovulatory dysfunction	2%	Unknown factor	5%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	0%	Diminished ovarian reserve	19%	<b>Multiple Factors:</b>	
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	7%	Endometriosis	0%	Female factors only	6%
				Uterine factor	3%	Female & male factors	34%
				Male factor	25%		

#### 2006 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by Steven Lindheim, MD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman			
	<35	35–37	38–40	41–42 <sup>d</sup>
<b>Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs</b>				
Number of cycles	45	22	15	6
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies <sup>b</sup>	42.2	40.9	7 / 15	0 / 6
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	37.8	40.9	3 / 15	0 / 6
(Confidence Interval)	(23.8–53.5)	(20.7–63.6)		
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	41.5	9 / 19	3 / 14	0 / 5
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	42.5	9 / 17	3 / 14	0 / 5
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births <sup>b</sup>	30.0	7 / 17	2 / 14	0 / 5
Percentage of cancellations <sup>b</sup>	8.9	13.6	1 / 15	1 / 6
Average number of embryos transferred	2.2	2.2	3.3	3.8
Percentage of pregnancies with twins <sup>b</sup>	6 / 19	3 / 9	1 / 7	
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more <sup>b</sup>	0 / 19	0 / 9	0 / 7	
Percentage of live births having multiple infants <sup>b,c</sup>	5 / 17	2 / 9	1 / 3	
<b>Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs</b>				
Number of transfers	20	8	3	1
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	30.0	2 / 8	0 / 3	0 / 1
Average number of embryos transferred	2.6	2.4	1.3	5.0
<b>All Ages Combined<sup>e</sup></b>				
<b>Donor Eggs</b>	<b>Fresh Embryos</b>		<b>Frozen Embryos</b>	
	Number of transfers		21	
	33		33.3	
	Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>		3.1	
Average number of embryos transferred		2.2		

#### CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

**Current Name:** University of Wisconsin–Madison, Reproductive Endocrinology and Infertility

Donor egg?	Yes	Gestational carriers?	Yes	SART member?	Yes
Donor embryo?	Yes	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	Yes
Single women?	Yes			(See Appendix C for details.)	

<sup>a</sup> Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2006 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.

<sup>b</sup> When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.

<sup>c</sup> A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.

<sup>d</sup> Clinic-specific outcome rates are unreliable for women older than 42 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs. Readers are urged to review national outcomes for these age groups (see page 27).

<sup>e</sup> All ages (including ages >42) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.

## ADVANCED INSTITUTE OF FERTILITY MILWAUKEE, WISCONSIN

A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 79–88.

### 2006 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART <sup>a</sup>			Patient Diagnosis	
IVF	100%	<b>Procedural Factors:</b>	Tubal factor	4%
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	Ovulatory dysfunction	2%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	Diminished ovarian reserve	9%
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	Endometriosis	4%
			Uterine factor	4%
			Male factor	21%
			Other factor	14%
			Unknown factor	2%
			<b>Multiple Factors:</b>	
			Female factors only	6%
			Female & male factors	35%

### 2006 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by K. Paul Katayama, MD, PhD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman			
	<35	35–37	38–40	41–42 <sup>d</sup>
<b>Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs</b>				
Number of cycles	35	19	14	2
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies <sup>b</sup>	48.6	6 / 19	3 / 14	1 / 2
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	42.9	6 / 19	0 / 14	1 / 2
(Confidence Interval)	(26.3–60.6)			
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	44.1	6 / 19	0 / 14	1 / 2
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	44.1	6 / 19	0 / 14	1 / 2
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births <sup>b</sup>	26.5	3 / 19	0 / 14	1 / 2
Percentage of cancellations <sup>b</sup>	2.9	0 / 19	0 / 14	0 / 2
Average number of embryos transferred	3.1	3.3	4.0	2.5
Percentage of pregnancies with twins <sup>b</sup>	3 / 17	2 / 6	0 / 3	0 / 1
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more <sup>b</sup>	3 / 17	1 / 6	0 / 3	0 / 1
Percentage of live births having multiple infants <sup>b,c</sup>	6 / 15	3 / 6		0 / 1
<b>Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs</b>				
Number of transfers	12	15	5	2
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	4 / 12	2 / 15	0 / 5	1 / 2
Average number of embryos transferred	2.4	1.8	1.8	2.5
<b>All Ages Combined<sup>e</sup></b>				
<b>Donor Eggs</b>	<b>Fresh Embryos</b>		<b>Frozen Embryos</b>	
	9		15	
	5 / 9		5 / 15	
Average number of embryos transferred	2.0		2.6	

### CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

**Current Name:** Advanced Institute of Fertility

Donor egg?	Yes	Gestational carriers?	Yes	SART member?	Yes
Donor embryo?	Yes	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	Yes
Single women?	Yes			(See Appendix C for details.)	

<sup>a</sup> Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2006 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.

<sup>b</sup> When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.

<sup>c</sup> A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.

<sup>d</sup> Clinic-specific outcome rates are unreliable for women older than 42 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs. Readers are urged to review national outcomes for these age groups (see page 27).

<sup>e</sup> All ages (including ages >42) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.

# FROEDTERT & MEDICAL COLLEGE OF WISCONSIN

## REPRODUCTIVE MEDICINE CLINIC

### MILWAUKEE, WISCONSIN

**A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 79–88.**

#### 2006 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART <sup>a</sup>			Patient Diagnosis	
IVF	100%	<b>Procedural Factors:</b>	Tubal factor	9%
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	Ovulatory dysfunction	13%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	Diminished ovarian reserve	13%
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	Endometriosis	5%
			Uterine factor	<1%
			Male factor	25%
			Other factor	3%
			Unknown factor	13%
			<b>Multiple Factors:</b>	
			Female factors only	5%
			Female & male factors	15%

#### 2006 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by Estil Strawn, Jr., MD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman			
	<35	35–37	38–40	41–42 <sup>d</sup>
<b>Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs</b>				
Number of cycles	99	46	39	7
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies <sup>b</sup>	45.5	37.0	15.4	1 / 7
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	42.4	26.1	15.4	1 / 7
(Confidence Interval)	(32.5–52.8)	(14.3–41.1)	(5.9–30.5)	
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	45.7	30.0	18.2	1 / 6
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	46.7	30.8	19.4	1 / 5
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births <sup>b</sup>	32.2	25.6	19.4	0 / 5
Percentage of cancellations <sup>b</sup>	7.1	13.0	15.4	1 / 7
Average number of embryos transferred	2.0	2.1	2.4	2.8
Percentage of pregnancies with twins <sup>b</sup>	33.3	3 / 17	0 / 6	1 / 1
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more <sup>b</sup>	0.0	0 / 17	0 / 6	0 / 1
Percentage of live births having multiple infants <sup>b,c</sup>	31.0	2 / 12	0 / 6	1 / 1
<b>Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs</b>				
Number of transfers	30	14	11	1
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	16.7	3 / 14	4 / 11	1 / 1
Average number of embryos transferred	1.8	2.1	2.0	2.0
<b>All Ages Combined<sup>e</sup></b>				
<b>Donor Eggs</b>	<b>Fresh Embryos</b>		<b>Frozen Embryos</b>	
	Number of transfers		20	
	Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>		25.0	
	Average number of embryos transferred		2.0	

#### CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

**Current Name:** Froedtert & Medical College of Wisconsin, Reproductive Medicine Clinic

Donor egg?	Yes	Gestational carriers?	Yes	SART member?	Yes
Donor embryo?	Yes	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	Yes
Single women?	Yes			(See Appendix C for details.)	

<sup>a</sup> Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2006 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.

<sup>b</sup> When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.

<sup>c</sup> A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.

<sup>d</sup> Clinic-specific outcome rates are unreliable for women older than 42 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs. Readers are urged to review national outcomes for these age groups (see page 27).

<sup>e</sup> All ages (including ages >42) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.



# REPRODUCTIVE SPECIALTY CENTER

## IVF COLUMBIA

### MILWAUKEE, WISCONSIN

A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 79–88.

#### 2006 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART <sup>a</sup>				Patient Diagnosis			
IVF	100%	<b>Procedural Factors:</b>		Tubal factor	15%	Other factor	5%
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	33%	Ovulatory dysfunction	3%	Unknown factor	3%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	0%	Diminished ovarian reserve	7%	<i>Multiple Factors:</i>	
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	0%	Endometriosis	20%	Female factors only	9%
				Uterine factor	1%	Female & male factors	9%
				Male factor	28%		

#### 2006 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by Grace M. Janik, MD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman			
	<35	35–37	38–40	41–42 <sup>d</sup>
<b>Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs</b>				
Number of cycles	21	22	19	4
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies <sup>b</sup>	42.9	45.5	4 / 19	2 / 4
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	38.1	40.9	4 / 19	1 / 4
(Confidence Interval)	(18.1–61.6)	(20.7–63.6)		
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	38.1	9 / 19	4 / 18	1 / 4
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	38.1	9 / 18	4 / 18	1 / 4
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births <sup>b</sup>	28.6	6 / 18	4 / 18	1 / 4
Percentage of cancellations <sup>b</sup>	0.0	13.6	1 / 19	0 / 4
Average number of embryos transferred	2.4	2.6	2.6	3.0
Percentage of pregnancies with twins <sup>b</sup>	3 / 9	3 / 10	0 / 4	1 / 2
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more <sup>b</sup>	0 / 9	0 / 10	0 / 4	0 / 2
Percentage of live births having multiple infants <sup>b,c</sup>	2 / 8	3 / 9	0 / 4	0 / 1
<b>Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs</b>				
Number of transfers	4	5	3	0
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	0 / 4	0 / 5	1 / 3	
Average number of embryos transferred	2.3	2.6	2.0	
<b>All Ages Combined<sup>e</sup></b>				
<b>Donor Eggs</b>	<b>Fresh Embryos</b>		<b>Frozen Embryos</b>	
Number of transfers	0		0	
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>				
Average number of embryos transferred				

#### CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

**Current Name:** Reproductive Specialty Center, IVF Columbia

Donor egg?	No	Gestational carriers?	No	SART member?	Yes
Donor embryo?	No	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	Yes
Single women?	Yes			(See Appendix C for details.)	

<sup>a</sup> Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2006 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.

<sup>b</sup> When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.

<sup>c</sup> A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.

<sup>d</sup> Clinic-specific outcome rates are unreliable for women older than 42 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs. Readers are urged to review national outcomes for these age groups (see page 27).

<sup>e</sup> All ages (including ages >42) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.

## WOMEN'S HEALTH CARE, SC WAUKESHA, WISCONSIN

**A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 79–88.**

### 2006 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART <sup>a</sup>				Patient Diagnosis			
IVF	100%	<b>Procedural Factors:</b>		Tubal factor	2%	Other factor	0%
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	54%	Ovulatory dysfunction	16%	Unknown factor	21%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	0%	Diminished ovarian reserve	0%	<b>Multiple Factors:</b>	
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	0%	Endometriosis	5%	Female factors only	14%
				Uterine factor	0%	Female & male factors	19%
				Male factor	23%		

### 2006 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by Matthew A. Meyer, MD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman			
	<35	35–37	38–40	41–42 <sup>d</sup>
<b>Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs</b>				
Number of cycles	16	7	3	0
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies <sup>b</sup>	4 / 16	3 / 7	1 / 3	
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	4 / 16	2 / 7	1 / 3	
(Confidence Interval)				
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	4 / 15	2 / 7	1 / 2	
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	4 / 14	2 / 5	1 / 2	
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births <sup>b</sup>	4 / 14	1 / 5	0 / 2	
Percentage of cancellations <sup>b</sup>	1 / 16	0 / 7	1 / 3	
Average number of embryos transferred	1.9	1.8	2.0	
Percentage of pregnancies with twins <sup>b</sup>	0 / 4	1 / 3	1 / 1	
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more <sup>b</sup>	0 / 4	0 / 3	0 / 1	
Percentage of live births having multiple infants <sup>b,c</sup>	0 / 4	1 / 2	1 / 1	
<b>Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs</b>				
Number of transfers	8	3	3	1
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	1 / 8	0 / 3	0 / 3	0 / 1
Average number of embryos transferred	1.6	1.7	2.0	1.0
<b>All Ages Combined<sup>e</sup></b>				
<b>Donor Eggs</b>	<b>Fresh Embryos</b>		<b>Frozen Embryos</b>	
	0		0	
	Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>			
	Average number of embryos transferred			

### CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

**Current Name:** Women's Health Care, SC

Donor egg?	Yes	Gestational carriers?	No	SART member?	Yes
Donor embryo?	Yes	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	Yes
Single women?	Yes			(See Appendix C for details.)	

<sup>a</sup> Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2006 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.

<sup>b</sup> When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.

<sup>c</sup> A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.

<sup>d</sup> Clinic-specific outcome rates are unreliable for women older than 42 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs. Readers are urged to review national outcomes for these age groups (see page 27).

<sup>e</sup> All ages (including ages >42) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.

# AURORA HEALTH CARE–AURORA FERTILITY SERVICES, WEST ALLIS WEST ALLIS, WISCONSIN

A comparison of clinic success rates may not be meaningful because patient medical characteristics and treatment approaches vary from clinic to clinic. For more details about this, along with information on how to interpret the statistics in this table, see pages 79–88.

## 2006 ART CYCLE PROFILE

Type of ART <sup>a</sup>				Patient Diagnosis			
IVF	100%	<b>Procedural Factors:</b>		Tubal factor	0%	Other factor	20%
GIFT	0%	With ICSI	50%	Ovulatory dysfunction	0%	Unknown factor	0%
ZIFT	0%	Unstimulated	0%	Diminished ovarian reserve	0%	<b>Multiple Factors:</b>	
Combination	0%	Used gestational carrier	0%	Endometriosis	0%	Female factors only	0%
				Uterine factor	0%	Female & male factors	60%
				Male factor	20%		

## 2006 PREGNANCY SUCCESS RATES

Data verified by Mark F. Severino, MD

Type of Cycle	Age of Woman			
	<35	35–37	38–40	41–42 <sup>d</sup>
<b>Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs</b>				
Number of cycles	1	0	1	0
Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies <sup>b</sup>	1 / 1		0 / 1	
Percentage of cycles resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	1 / 1		0 / 1	
(Confidence Interval)				
Percentage of retrievals resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	1 / 1			
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	1 / 1			
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births <sup>b</sup>	0 / 1			
Percentage of cancellations <sup>b</sup>	0 / 1		1 / 1	
Average number of embryos transferred	2.0			
Percentage of pregnancies with twins <sup>b</sup>	1 / 1			
Percentage of pregnancies with triplets or more <sup>b</sup>	0 / 1			
Percentage of live births having multiple infants <sup>b,c</sup>	1 / 1			
<b>Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs</b>				
Number of transfers	1	2	0	0
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>	0 / 1	1 / 2		
Average number of embryos transferred	2.0	2.0		
<b>All Ages Combined<sup>e</sup></b>				
<b>Donor Eggs</b>				
	<b>Fresh Embryos</b>		<b>Frozen Embryos</b>	
Number of transfers	0		0	
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births <sup>b,c</sup>				
Average number of embryos transferred				

## CURRENT CLINIC SERVICES AND PROFILE

**Current Name:** Aurora Health Care–Aurora Fertility Services, West Allis

Donor egg?	Yes	Gestational carriers?	Yes	SART member?	Yes
Donor embryo?	Yes	Cryopreservation?	Yes	Verified lab accreditation?	Yes
Single women?	Yes			(See Appendix C for details.)	

<sup>a</sup> Reflects patient and treatment characteristics of ART cycles performed in 2006 using fresh nondonor eggs or embryos.

<sup>b</sup> When fewer than 20 cycles are reported in an age category, rates are shown as a fraction and confidence intervals are not given. Calculating percentages from fractions may be misleading and is not encouraged.

<sup>c</sup> A multiple-infant birth is counted as one live birth.

<sup>d</sup> Clinic-specific outcome rates are unreliable for women older than 42 undergoing ART cycles using fresh or frozen embryos with nondonor eggs. Readers are urged to review national outcomes for these age groups (see page 27).

<sup>e</sup> All ages (including ages >42) are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.

2006

# **Appendix A**

## **Technical Notes**



# APPENDIX A: TECHNICAL NOTES

## How to Interpret a Confidence Interval

---

### What is a confidence interval?

Simply speaking, confidence intervals are a useful way to consider margin of error, a statistic often used in voter polls to indicate the range within which a value is likely to be correct (e.g., 30% of the voters favor a particular candidate with a margin of error of plus or minus 3.5%). Similarly, in this report, confidence intervals are used to provide a range that we can be quite confident contains the success rate for a particular clinic during a particular time.

### Why do we need to consider confidence intervals if we already know the exact success rates for each clinic in 2006?

No success rate or statistic is absolute. Suppose a clinic performed 100 cycles among women younger than 35 in 2006 and had a success rate of 20% with a confidence interval of 12%–28%. The 20% success rate tells us that the average chance of success for women younger than 35 treated at this clinic in 2006 was 20%. How likely is it that the clinic could repeat this performance? For example, if the same clinic performed another 100 cycles under similar clinical conditions on women with similar characteristics, would the success rate again be 20%? The confidence interval tells us that the success rate would likely fall between 12% and 28%.

### Why does the size of the confidence interval vary for different clinics?

The size of the confidence interval gives us a realistic sense of how secure we feel about the success rate. If the clinic had performed only 20 cycles instead of 100 among women younger than 35 and still had a 20% success rate (4 successes out of 20 cycles), the confidence interval would be much larger (between 3% and 37%) because the success or failure of each individual cycle would be more significant. For example, if just one more cycle had resulted in a live birth, the success rate would have been substantially higher—25%, or 5 successes out of 20 cycles. Likewise, if just one more cycle had not been successful, the success rate would have been substantially lower—15%, or 3 out of 20 cycles. Compare this scenario to the original example of the clinic that performed 100 cycles and had a 20% success rate. If just one more cycle had resulted in a live birth, the success rate would have changed only slightly, from 20% to 21%, and if one more cycle had not been successful, the success rate would have fallen to only 19%. Thus, our confidence in a 20% success rate depends on how many cycles were performed.

### Why should confidence intervals be considered when success rates from different clinics are being compared?

Confidence intervals should be considered because success rates can be misleading. For example, if Clinic A performs 20 cycles in a year and 8 cycles result in a live birth, its live birth rate would be 40%. If Clinic B performs 600 cycles and 180 result in a live birth, the percentage of cycles that resulted in a live birth would be 30%. We might be tempted to say that Clinic A has a better success rate than Clinic B. However, because Clinic A performed few cycles, its success rate would have a wide 95% confidence interval of 18.5%–61.5%. On the other hand, because Clinic B performed a large number of cycles, its success rate would have a relatively narrow



confidence interval of 26.2%–33.8%. Thus, Clinic A could have a rate as low as 18.5% and Clinic B could have a rate as high as 33.8% if each clinic repeated its treatment with similar patients under similar clinical conditions. Moreover, Clinic B's rate is much more likely to be reliable because the size of its confidence interval is much smaller than Clinic A's.

Even though one clinic's success rate may appear higher than another's based on the confidence intervals, **these confidence intervals are only one indication that the success rate may be better. Other factors also must be considered** when comparing rates from two clinics. For example, some clinics see more than the average number of patients with difficult infertility problems, whereas others discourage patients with a low probability of success. For further information on important factors to consider when using the tables to assess a clinic, refer to pages 79–81.

## Findings from Validation Visits for 2006 ART Data

---

Clinic site visits for validation of 2006 ART data were conducted April through June 2008. During each visit, data reported by the clinic were compared with information recorded in patients' charts. Records for 1,644 cycles at 35 clinics were randomly selected for validation. These selected cycles included 533 cycles that resulted in a pregnancy and 434 cycles that resulted in a live-birth delivery.

Discrepancy rates are listed on the next page for key data items that were validated for each of the selected cycles. Review of the discrepancies indicated that in the majority of cases, the error did not affect the success rates (included in the national summary table and in the individual clinic tables). In addition to fully validating data for the randomly selected 1,644 cycles, during each visit the validation team also reviewed the documentation for every live birth that had been reported to CDC. There were no cases found in which a live birth had been reported erroneously. In all, validation indicated that the clinic success rates presented in this report are valid.

## Discrepancy Rates by Data Fields Selected for Validation

Data Field Name	Discrepancy Rate* (Confidence Interval†)	Comments
Patient date of birth	2.0% (1.4–2.6)	Nearly one-third of the discrepancies resulted in a change of Age Group Category (see Clinic Summary Table classification) and differed only by one age category.
Diagnosis of infertility	16.7% (13.2–20.2)	For approximately one-third of the total 283 discrepancies, multiple causes of infertility were found in the patient's chart, but only a single cause was reported. For 44 discrepancies, multiple causes were reported but only a single cause was found in the patient's chart.
Type of ART (i.e., fresh vs. frozen; donor vs. nondonor)	<1%	
Use of ICSI	1.6% (0.8–2.3)	For about three-fourths of these discrepancies, use of ICSI was indicated in the patient's chart but was not reported.
Number of embryos transferred	1.6% (0.6–2.7)	Nearly all discrepancies differed by one or two embryos.
Outcome of ART treatment (i.e., pregnant vs. not pregnant)	<1%	
Number of fetal hearts on ultrasound	1.3% (0.5–2.1)	Of the discrepancies, six cases resulted in a change in categorization of single- versus multiple-fetus pregnancy.
Pregnancy outcome (i.e., miscarriage, stillbirth, and live birth)	1.1% (0.5–1.8)	In most of these discrepancies, there was no information on pregnancy outcome in the patient's chart, or spontaneous abortion was reported as induced abortion, or vice versa.
Number of infants born	1.1% (0.7–1.4)	In more than half of the discrepancies, there was no information on the number of infants born in the patient's chart. In one case, a twin delivery was recorded in the patient's chart when a singleton delivery was reported.
Cycle cancellation	<1%	

Notes: ART = assisted reproductive technology; ICSI = intracytoplasmic sperm injection.

\*Discrepancy rates estimate the proportion of all treatment cycles with differences for a particular data item. The discrepancy-rate calculations weight the data from validated cycles to reflect the overall number of cycles performed at each clinic. Thus, findings from larger clinical practices were weighted more heavily than findings from smaller practices.

†This table shows a range, called the 95% confidence interval, that conveys the reliability of the discrepancy rate. For a more general explanation of confidence intervals, see pages 519–520.

2006

# **Appendix B**

## **Glossary of Terms**



## APPENDIX B: GLOSSARY OF TERMS USED IN THIS REPORT

---

**Adverse outcome.** A pregnancy that does not result in a live birth. The adverse outcomes reported for ART procedures are miscarriages, induced abortions, and stillbirths.

**American Society for Reproductive Medicine (ASRM).** Professional society whose affiliate organization, the Society for Assisted Reproductive Technology (SART), is composed of clinics and programs that provide ART.

**ART (assisted reproductive technology).** All treatments or procedures that involve surgically removing eggs from a woman's ovaries and combining the eggs with sperm to help a woman become pregnant. The types of ART are in vitro fertilization (IVF), gamete intrafallopian transfer (GIFT), and zygote intrafallopian transfer (ZIFT).

**ART cycle.** A process in which (1) an ART procedure is carried out, (2) a woman has undergone ovarian stimulation or monitoring with the intent of having an ART procedure, or (3) frozen embryos have been thawed with the intent of transferring them to a woman. A cycle begins when a woman begins taking fertility drugs or having her ovaries monitored for follicle production.

**Canceled cycle.** An ART cycle in which ovarian stimulation was carried out but was stopped before eggs were retrieved or, in the case of frozen embryo cycles, before embryos were transferred. Cycles are canceled for many reasons: eggs may not develop, the patient may become ill, or the patient may choose to stop treatment.

**Combination cycle.** A cycle that uses more than one ART procedure. Combination cycles usually involve IVF plus either GIFT or ZIFT.

**Cryopreservation.** The practice of freezing extra embryos from a couple's ART cycle for potential future use.

**Diminished ovarian reserve.** This diagnosis means that the ability of the ovary to produce eggs is reduced. Reasons include congenital, medical, or surgical causes or advanced age.

**Donor egg cycle.** An embryo is formed from the egg of one woman (the donor) and then transferred to another woman who is unable to use her own eggs (the recipient). The donor relinquishes all parental rights to any resulting offspring.

**Donor embryo.** An embryo that is donated by a couple who previously underwent ART treatment and had extra embryos available.

**Ectopic pregnancy.** A pregnancy in which the fertilized egg implants in a location outside of the uterus—usually in the fallopian tube, the ovary, or the abdominal cavity. Ectopic pregnancy is a dangerous condition that must receive prompt medical treatment.

**Egg.** A female reproductive cell, also called an oocyte or ovum.

**Egg retrieval (also called oocyte retrieval).** A procedure to collect the eggs contained in the ovarian follicles.

**Egg transfer (also called oocyte transfer).** The transfer of retrieved eggs into a woman's fallopian tubes through laparoscopy. This procedure is used only in GIFT.

**Embryo.** An egg that has been fertilized by a sperm and has undergone one or more divisions.

**Embryo transfer.** Placement of embryos into a woman's uterus through the cervix after IVF: in ZIFT, the embryos are placed in a woman's fallopian tube.

**Endometriosis.** A medical condition that involves the presence of tissue similar to the uterine lining in abnormal locations. This condition can affect both fertilization of the egg and embryo implantation.

**Fertility Clinic Success Rate and Certification Act of 1992 (FCSRCA).** Law passed by the United States Congress in 1992 requiring all clinics performing ART in the United States to annually report their success rate data to CDC.

**Fertilization.** The penetration of the egg by the sperm and the resulting combining of genetic material that develops into an embryo.

**Fetus.** The unborn offspring from the eighth week after conception to the moment of birth.

**Follicle.** A structure in the ovaries that contains a developing egg.

**Fresh eggs, sperm, or embryos.** Eggs, sperm, or embryos that have not been frozen. Fresh embryos, however, may have been conceived using either fresh or frozen sperm.



---

**Frozen embryo cycle.** An ART cycle in which frozen (cryopreserved) embryos are thawed and transferred to the woman.

**Gamete.** A reproductive cell, either a sperm or an egg.

**GIFT (gamete intrafallopian transfer).** An ART procedure that involves removing eggs from the woman's ovary, combining them with sperm, and using a laparoscope to place the unfertilized eggs and sperm into the woman's fallopian tube through small incisions in her abdomen.

**Gestation.** The period of time from conception to birth.

**Gestational carrier (also called a gestational surrogate).** A woman who gestates, or carries, an embryo that was formed from the egg of another woman. The gestational carrier usually has a contractual obligation to return the infant to its intended parents.

**Gestational sac.** A fluid-filled structure that develops within the uterus early in pregnancy. In a normal pregnancy, a gestational sac contains a developing fetus.

**ICSI (intracytoplasmic sperm injection).** A procedure in which a single sperm is injected directly into an egg; this procedure is most commonly used to overcome male infertility problems.

**Induced or therapeutic abortion.** A surgical or other medical procedure used to end a pregnancy.

**IUI (intrauterine insemination).** A medical procedure that involves placing sperm into a woman's uterus to facilitate fertilization. IUI is not considered an ART procedure because it does not involve the manipulation of eggs.

**IVF (in vitro fertilization).** An ART procedure that involves removing eggs from a woman's ovaries and fertilizing them outside her body. The resulting embryos are then transferred into the woman's uterus through the cervix.

**Laparoscopy.** A surgical procedure in which a fiber-optic instrument (a laparoscope) is inserted through a small incision in the abdomen to view the inside of the pelvis.

**Live birth.** The delivery of one or more infants with any signs of life.

**Male factor.** Any cause of infertility due to low sperm count or problems with sperm function that makes it difficult for a sperm to fertilize an egg under normal conditions.

**Miscarriage (also called spontaneous abortion).** A pregnancy ending in the spontaneous loss of the embryo or fetus before 20 weeks of gestation.

**Multifetal pregnancy reduction.** A procedure used to decrease the number of fetuses a woman carries and improve the chances that the remaining fetuses will develop into healthy infants. Multifetal reductions that occur naturally are referred to as spontaneous reductions.

**Multiple factors, female only.** A diagnostic category used when more than one female cause of infertility is diagnosed.

**Multiple factors, female and male.** A diagnostic category used when one or more female causes and male factor infertility are diagnosed.

**Multiple-fetus pregnancy.** A pregnancy with two or more fetuses, determined by the number of fetal hearts observed on an ultrasound performed early in pregnancy (usually in the first trimester).

**Multiple-infant birth.** A pregnancy that results in the birth of more than one infant.

**NASS (National ART Surveillance System).** Web-based data collection system used by all ART clinics to report data for each ART procedure to CDC.

**Oocyte.** The female reproductive cell, also called an egg.

**Other causes of infertility.** These include immunological problems, chromosomal abnormalities, cancer chemotherapy, and serious illnesses.

**Ovarian monitoring.** The use of ultrasound and/or blood or urine tests to monitor follicle development and hormone production.

**Ovarian stimulation.** The use of drugs (oral or injected) to stimulate the ovaries to develop follicles and eggs.

**Ovulatory dysfunction.** A diagnostic category used when a woman's ovaries are not producing eggs normally. It includes polycystic ovary syndrome and multiple ovarian cysts.

---

**PGD (Preimplantation Genetic Diagnosis).**

A technique combining the recent significant advances in molecular genetics and assisted reproductive technology. PGD allows physicians to identify various genetic diseases in the embryo (fertilized egg with several divisions) prior to implantation, that is, before the pregnancy is established. It is of special value for those who are at risk of having children with serious genetic problems.

**Pregnancy (clinical).** A pregnancy documented by ultrasound that shows a gestational sac in the uterus. For ART data collection purposes, pregnancy is defined as a clinical pregnancy rather than a chemical pregnancy (i.e., a positive pregnancy test).

**Singleton.** A single live-born infant.

**Society for Assisted Reproductive Technology (SART).** An affiliate of the American Society for Reproductive Medicine composed of clinics and programs that provide ART.

**Sperm.** The male reproductive cell.

**Spontaneous abortion.** See Miscarriage.

**Stillbirth.** The birth of an infant after 20 or more weeks of gestation that shows no signs of life.

**Stimulated cycle.** An ART cycle in which a woman receives oral or injected fertility drugs to stimulate her ovaries to produce more follicles.

**Thawed embryo cycle.** Same as frozen embryo cycle.

**Tubal factor.** A diagnostic category used when the woman's fallopian tubes are blocked or damaged, making it difficult for the egg to be fertilized or for an embryo to travel to the uterus.

**Ultrasound.** A technique used in ART for visualizing the follicles in the ovaries, the gestational sac, or the fetus.

**Unexplained cause of infertility.** A diagnostic category used when no cause of infertility is found in either the woman or the man.

**Unstimulated cycle.** An ART cycle in which the woman does not receive drugs to stimulate her ovaries to produce more follicles. Instead, follicles develop naturally.

**Uterine factor.** A structural or functional disorder of the uterus that results in reduced fertility.

**ZIFT (zygote intrafallopian transfer).** An ART procedure in which eggs are collected from a woman's ovary and fertilized outside her body. A laparoscope is then used to place the resulting zygote (fertilized egg) into the woman's fallopian tube through a small incision in her abdomen.



2006

# Appendix C

**ART Clinics**



## APPENDIX C: ART CLINICS, 2006

### Reporting ART Clinics for 2006, by State

---

If the clinic name has changed since 2006, the current name is listed in italics directly under the 2006 name. If the clinic location has changed since 2006, the clinic is listed alphabetically by the current city and state.

Clinic names preceded by the § symbol have reorganized or closed since 2006. Reorganization is defined as a change in ownership or affiliation or a change in at least two of the three key staff positions (practice director, medical director, or laboratory director). Contact the NASS Help Desk for current clinic information at 1-888-650-0822 or NASS@Westat.com.

Clinic names preceded by the ● symbol performed more than 50 cycles with Preimplantation Genetic Diagnosis (PGD) in 2006 and among them more than 10 cycles specifically for the purpose of prevention of genetic disorders.

Explanation of abbreviations for accrediting agencies used throughout this list:

CAP/ASRM = College of American Pathologists/American Society for Reproductive Medicine, Reproductive Laboratory Accreditation Program

JCAHO = Joint Commission on Accreditation of Healthcare Organizations

NYSTB = New York State Tissue Bank Program

**PLEASE NOTE** that CDC does not oversee any of these accreditation programs. For further information on how to contact accrediting organizations directly, see page 88.

#### ALABAMA

Alabama Fertility Specialists  
2700 Hwy 280, Suite 370  
Birmingham AL 35223  
Telephone: (205) 874-0000; Fax: (205) 874-7021  
Lab Name: Alabama Fertility Specialists Laboratory  
Accreditation: CAP/ASRM

ART Fertility Program of Alabama  
2006 Brookwood Medical Center Dr, Suite 508  
Birmingham AL 35209  
Telephone: (205) 870-9784; Fax: (205) 870-0698  
Lab Name: ART Program of Alabama IVF/  
Andrology Laboratory  
Accreditation: CAP/ASRM

University of Alabama at Birmingham  
2000 6th Ave South, Kirklin Clinic—OB/GYN  
Birmingham AL 35233  
Telephone: (205) 801-8212; Fax: (205) 326-9440  
Lab Name: University of Alabama at Birmingham  
Gamete Biology Laboratory  
Accreditation: CAP/ASRM

Huntsville Reproductive Medicine, PC  
185 Chateau Dr, Suite 301  
Huntsville AL 35801  
Telephone: (256) 213-2229; Fax: (256) 213-9978  
Lab Name: Huntsville Reproductive Medicine, PC  
Accreditation: None

Center for Reproductive Medicine  
3 Mobile Infirmary Cir, Suite 213  
Mobile AL 36607  
Telephone: (251) 438-4200; Fax: (251) 438-4211  
Lab Name: Center for Reproductive Medicine  
Accreditation: CAP/ASRM

University of South Alabama IVF and ART Program  
Reproductive Endocrinology and Infertility Division  
251 Cox St, Suite 100  
Mobile AL 36604  
Telephone: (251) 415-1491; Fax: (251) 415-1552  
Lab Name: University of South Alabama In Vitro  
Fertilization & Andrology Laboratory  
Accreditation: CAP/ASRM



---

## ALASKA

Peninsula Medical Center  
John Nels Anderson, MD  
265 N. Binkley St  
Soldotna AK 99669  
Telephone: (907) 262-4161; Fax: (907) 262-1545  
Lab Name: Peninsula Medical Center,  
John Nels Anderson, MD  
Accreditation: None

## ARIZONA

West Valley Fertility Center  
17612 N. 59th Ave, Suite 100  
Glendale AZ 85308  
Telephone: (602) 993-8636; Fax: (602) 993-2528  
Lab Name: West Valley Fertility Center  
ART Laboratory  
Accreditation: CAP/ASRM

Arizona Reproductive Medicine Specialists  
1701 E. Thomas Rd  
Bldg 1, Suite 101  
Phoenix AZ 85016  
Telephone: (602) 343-2767; Fax: (602) 343-2766  
Lab Name: Arizona Reproductive  
Medicine Specialists  
Accreditation: CAP/ASRM

Southwest Fertility Center  
3125 N. 32nd St, Suite 200  
Phoenix AZ 85018  
Telephone: (602) 956-7481; Fax: (602) 956-7591  
Lab Name: Southwest Fertility Center Laboratory  
Accreditation: CAP/ASRM

Advanced Fertility Care  
9819 N. 95th St, Suite 105  
Scottsdale AZ 85258  
Telephone: (480) 874-2229; Fax: (480) 874-2231  
Lab Name: Arizona Advanced  
Reproductive Laboratory  
Accreditation: CAP/ASRM

Arizona Associates for Reproductive Health  
8573 E. Princess Dr, Suite 101  
Scottsdale AZ 85255  
Telephone: (480) 946-9900; Fax: (480) 946-9914  
Lab Name: Arizona Associates for Reproductive  
Health ART Laboratories  
Accreditation: CAP/ASRM

Arizona Center for Fertility Studies  
8997 E. Desert Cove Ave, 2nd Floor  
Scottsdale AZ 85260  
Telephone: (480) 860-4792; Fax: (480) 860-6819  
Lab Name: Scottsdale Healthcare Institute for  
Reproductive Studies Fertility Laboratory  
Accreditation: CAP/ASRM, JCAHO

IVF Phoenix  
9817 N. 95th St, Suite 105  
Scottsdale AZ 85258  
Telephone: (602) 765-2229; Fax: (602) 493-6641  
Lab Name: IVF Phoenix Laboratory  
Accreditation: CAP/ASRM

Fertility Treatment Center  
2155 E. Conference Dr, Suite 115  
Tempe AZ 85284  
Telephone: (480) 831-2445; Fax: (480) 897-1283  
Lab Name: Fertility Treatment Center  
ART Laboratory  
Accreditation: CAP/ASRM

Arizona Center for Reproductive Endocrinology  
and Infertility  
5190 E. Farness Dr, Suite 114  
Tucson AZ 85712  
Telephone: (520) 326-0001; Fax: (520) 326-7451  
Lab Name: Arizona Center for Reproductive  
Endocrinology & Infertility  
Accreditation: CAP/ASRM

Reproductive Health Center  
4518 E. Camp Lowell Dr  
Tucson AZ 85712  
Telephone: (520) 733-0083; Fax: (520) 733-0771  
Lab Name: Reproductive Health Center  
Accreditation: JCAHO

## ARKANSAS

Arkansas Fertility Center  
9101 Kanis Rd, Suite 300  
Little Rock AR 72205  
Telephone: (501) 801-1200; Fax: (501) 801-1207  
Lab Name: Arkansas Fertility Center  
Accreditation: CAP/ASRM

---

## CALIFORNIA

Lifestart Fertility Center  
Anita Singh, MD  
29525 Canwood St, Suite 220  
Agoura Hills CA 91301  
Telephone: (818) 889-4532; Fax: (818) 889-4536  
Lab Name: ART Reproductive Center  
Accreditation: CAP/ASRM

Garfield Fertility Center  
320 S. Garfield Ave, Suite 226  
Alhambra CA 91801  
Telephone: (626) 943-9536; Fax: (626) 943-9529  
Lab Name: ART Reproductive Center  
Accreditation: CAP/ASRM

Alta Bates In Vitro Fertilization Program  
2999 Regent St, Suite 101A  
Berkeley CA 94705  
Telephone: (510) 649-0440; Fax: (510) 649-8700  
Lab Name: Alta Bates Summit Medical Center,  
Alta Bates IVF Program  
Accreditation: CAP/ASRM

Center for Reproductive Health & Gynecology  
(CRH&G)  
99 N. La Cienega Blvd, Suite 109  
Beverly Hills CA 90211  
Telephone: (310) 360-7584; Fax: (310) 360-9827  
Lab Name: Center for Reproductive Health  
and Gynecology  
Accreditation: CAP/ASRM

Southern California Reproductive Center  
Hal Danzer, MD  
450 N. Roxbury Dr, Suite 500  
Beverly Hills CA 90210  
Telephone: (310) 277-2393; Fax: (310) 274-5112  
Lab Name: ART Reproductive Center  
Accreditation: CAP/ASRM

Southern California Reproductive Center  
Mark W. Surrey, MD  
450 N. Roxbury Dr, Suite 500  
Beverly Hills CA 90210  
Telephone: (310) 277-2393; Fax: (310) 274-5112  
Lab Name: ART Reproductive Center  
Accreditation: CAP/ASRM

West Coast IVF Clinic, Inc.  
250 N. Robertson Blvd, Suite 403  
Beverly Hills CA 90211  
Telephone: (310) 285-0333; Fax: (310) 285-0334  
Lab Name: LA IVF Lab, LLC  
Accreditation: JCAHO

Fertility Care of Orange County  
203 N. Brea Blvd, Suite 100  
Brea CA 92821  
Telephone: (714) 256-0777; Fax: (714) 245-0105  
Lab Name: Southern California Institute for  
Reproductive Sciences  
Accreditation: CAP/ASRM  
Lab Name: Huntington Reproductive Center  
Gamete Laboratory  
Accreditation: CAP/ASRM

Central California IVF Program  
Women's Specialty and Fertility Center  
722 Medical Center Dr East, Suite 105  
Clovis CA 93611  
Telephone: (559) 299-7700; Fax: (559) 297-9679  
Lab Name: Community Medical Center–Fresno  
Accreditation: JCAHO

Zouves Fertility Center  
901 Campus Dr, Suite 214  
Daly City CA 94015  
Telephone: (650) 301-4933; Fax: (650) 301-4939  
Lab Name: Zouves Fertility Center  
Accreditation: CAP/ASRM

California IVF: Davis Fertility Center, Inc.  
1550 Drew Ave, Suite 100  
Davis CA 95616  
Telephone: (530) 771-0177; Fax: (530) 771-0135  
Lab Name: California IVF: Davis Fertility Center, Inc.  
Accreditation: None

The Fertility Institutes–California, Nevada  
16030 Ventura Blvd, Suite 404  
Encino CA 91436  
Telephone: (818) 728-4600; Fax: (818) 728-4616  
Lab Name: The Fertility Institutes–  
California, Nevada ART Reproductive Center  
Accreditation: CAP/ASRM (Pend)  
Lab Name: ART Reproductive Center  
Accreditation: CAP/ASRM

---

West Coast Fertility Centers  
11160 Warner Ave, Suite 411  
Fountain Valley CA 92708  
Telephone: (714) 513-1399; Fax: (714) 513-1393  
Lab Name: West Coast Fertility Center  
Accreditation: CAP/ASRM

Xpert Fertility Care of California  
Minh N. Ho, MD, FACOG  
11180 Warner Ave, Suite 461  
Fountain Valley CA 92708  
Telephone: (714) 429-5848; Fax: (714) 545-5675  
Lab Name: Pacific Reproductive Center–Irvine  
Accreditation: None  
Lab Name: Zarutskie Fertility & Endocrine Institute  
Accreditation: None

Kaiser Permanente Center for Reproductive Health  
39141 Civic Center Dr, Suite 350  
Fremont CA 94538  
Telephone: (510) 248-6900; Fax: (510) 248-6981  
Lab Name: Kaiser Permanente Center for  
Reproductive Health  
Accreditation: CAP/ASRM, JCAHO

Kathleen L. Kornafel, MD, PhD  
1560 E. Chevy Chase Dr, Suite 200  
Glendale CA 91206  
Telephone: (818) 242-9933; Fax: (818) 242-9937  
Lab Name: ART Reproductive Center  
Accreditation: CAP/ASRM

Sher Institute of Reproductive Medicine–  
Los Angeles  
1520 E. Chevy Chase Dr, Suite 101  
Glendale CA 91206  
Telephone: (818) 291-1985; Fax: (818) 291-1986  
Lab Name: Sher Institute for Reproductive  
Medicine–Los Angeles Laboratory  
Accreditation: CAP/ASRM, JCAHO

§Marin Reproductive Medical Associates, Inc.  
1100 S. Eliseo Dr, Suite 107  
Greenbrae CA 94904  
Telephone: (415) 464-8688; Fax: (415) 464-8042  
Contact the NASS Help Desk for current  
clinic information.

Coastal Fertility Medical Center, Inc.  
4900 Barranca Pkwy, Suite 103  
Irvine CA 92604  
Telephone: (949) 726-0600; Fax: (949) 726-0601  
Lab Name: Coastal Fertility Medical Center, Inc.,  
Reproductive Specialty Laboratories, Inc.  
Accreditation: CAP/ASRM

Fertility Center of Southern California  
2192 Martin St, Suite 110  
Irvine CA 92612  
Telephone: (949) 955-0072; Fax: (949) 955-0077  
Lab Name: Southern California Institute for  
Reproductive Sciences  
Accreditation: CAP/ASRM

Reproductive Fertility Center  
16300 Sand Canyon Ave, Suite 901  
Irvine CA 92618  
Telephone: (949) 453-8600; Fax: (949) 453-8601  
Lab Name: Reproductive Fertility Center  
Accreditation: CAP/ASRM (Pend)

Reproductive Partners–UCSD Regional  
Fertility Center  
9850 Genesee Ave, Suite 800  
La Jolla CA 92037  
Telephone: (858) 552-9177; Fax: (858) 552-9188  
Lab Name: Reproductive Partners Medical Group,  
Inc.–La Jolla Laboratory  
Accreditation: CAP/ASRM

Reproductive Sciences Center  
4150 Regents Park Row, Suite 280  
La Jolla CA 92037  
Telephone: (858) 625-0125; Fax: (858) 625-0131  
Lab Name: Reproductive Science Center  
IVF Laboratory  
Accreditation: CAP/ASRM

Scripps Clinic Fertility Center  
10666 N. Torrey Pines Rd  
La Jolla CA 92037  
Telephone: (858) 554-8630; Fax: (858) 554-9092  
Lab Name: Scripps Clinic Torrey Pines Fertility  
Center Laboratory  
Accreditation: CAP/ASRM, JCAHO

---

Mission Reproductive Center  
25500 Rancho Niguel Rd, Suite 280  
Laguna Niguel CA 92677  
Telephone: (949) 448-7818; Fax: (949) 448-7819  
Lab Name: Mission Reproductive Center Laboratory  
Accreditation: CAP/ASRM

Sher Institute of Reproductive Medicine—  
Orange County  
27882 Forbes Rd, Suite 200  
Laguna Niguel CA 92677  
Telephone: (949) 249-9200; Fax: (949) 249-9203  
Lab Name: Sher Institute for Reproductive  
Medicine—Orange County  
Accreditation: CAP/ASRM (Pend), JCAHO

Loma Linda University Center for Fertility and IVF  
Department of Gynecology and Obstetrics  
11370 Anderson St, Suite 3950  
Loma Linda CA 92354  
Telephone: (909) 558-2851; Fax: (909) 558-2450  
Lab Name: Loma Linda University Health Care  
Fertility Science Laboratory  
Accreditation: CAP/ASRM

California Fertility Partners  
11818 Wilshire Blvd, Suite 300  
Los Angeles CA 90025  
Telephone: (310) 828-4008; Fax: (310) 828-3310  
Lab Name: California Fertility Partners Reproductive  
Technology Laboratories  
Accreditation: CAP/ASRM

Cedars Sinai Medical Center  
Center for Fertility and Reproductive Medicine  
8700 Beverly Blvd, Suite 3611  
Los Angeles CA 90048  
Telephone: (310) 423-9964; Fax: (310) 423-9704  
Lab Name: ART Reproductive Center  
Accreditation: CAP/ASRM

§CHA Fertility Center  
5455 Wilshire Blvd, Suite 1904  
Los Angeles CA 90036  
Telephone: (323) 525-3377; Fax: (323) 525-3376  
Contact the NASS Help Desk for current  
clinic information.

Pacific Fertility Center—Los Angeles  
10921 Wilshire Blvd, Suite 700  
Los Angeles CA 90024  
Telephone: (310) 209-7700; Fax: (310) 209-7799  
Lab Name: Pacific Fertility Medical Center—  
Los Angeles  
Accreditation: CAP/ASRM

UCLA Fertility Center  
Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology  
200 Medical Plaza, Suite 430  
Los Angeles CA 90095  
Telephone: (310) 825-9500; Fax: (310) 206-9731  
Lab Name: ART Reproductive Center  
Accreditation: CAP/ASRM

USC Reproductive Endocrinology and Infertility  
1127 Wilshire Blvd, Suite 1400  
Los Angeles CA 90017  
Telephone: (213) 975-9990; Fax: (213) 975-9997  
Lab Name: USC Reproductive Endocrinology and  
Infertility Laboratory  
Accreditation: CAP/ASRM

Reproductive Specialty Medical Center  
1441 Avocado Ave, Suite 203  
Newport Beach CA 92660  
Telephone: (949) 640-7200; Fax: (949) 720-0203  
Lab Name: Reproductive Specialty Medical Center  
Accreditation: JCAHO

Southern California Center for  
Reproductive Medicine  
361 Hospital Rd, Suite 333  
Newport Beach CA 92663  
Telephone: (949) 642-8727; Fax: (949) 642-5413  
Lab Name: Southern California Institute for  
Reproductive Sciences  
Accreditation: CAP/ASRM

IVF—Orange Surgery Center  
431 S. Batavia St, Suite 102  
Orange CA 92868  
Telephone: (714) 771-7800; Fax: (714) 289-9900  
Lab Name: IVF—Orange Surgery Center  
Accreditation: None

---

NOVA In Vitro Fertilization  
1681 El Camino Real  
Palo Alto CA 94306  
Telephone: (650) 322-0500; Fax: (650) 322-5404  
Lab Name: NOVA In Vitro Fertilization  
Main Laboratory  
Accreditation: CAP/ASRM

Stanford University IVF/ART Program  
Department of Gynecology and Obstetrics  
*Stanford Fertility and Reproductive Medicine Center*  
*Stanford University Department of Gynecology  
and Obstetrics*  
900 Welch Rd, Suite 350  
Palo Alto CA 94304  
Telephone: (650) 736-4036; Fax: (650) 498-7294  
Lab Name: Stanford University Hospitals and Clinics  
IVF/REI Laboratory  
Accreditation: CAP/ASRM, JCAHO

Huntington Reproductive Center  
333 S. Arroyo Pkwy, 3rd Floor  
Pasadena CA 91105  
Telephone: (626) 440-9161; Fax: (626) 440-0138  
Lab Name: Huntington Reproductive Center  
Gamete Laboratory  
Accreditation: CAP/ASRM

Palo Alto Medical Foundation  
Reproductive Endocrinology & Fertility  
3220 Alpine Rd  
Portola Valley CA 94028  
Telephone: (650) 853-2200; Fax: (650) 853-2237  
Lab Name: Fertility & Reproductive Health Institute  
IVF Laboratory  
Accreditation: CAP/ASRM

Reproductive Partners–Redondo Beach  
510 N. Prospect Ave, Suite 202  
Redondo Beach CA 90277  
Telephone: (310) 318-3010; Fax: (310) 798-7304  
Lab Name: Reproductive Partners Medical  
Group, Inc.–Redondo Beach  
Accreditation: CAP/ASRM

Northern California Fertility Medical Center  
1130 Conroy Ln, Suite 100  
Roseville CA 95661  
Telephone: (916) 773-2229; Fax: (916) 773-8391  
Lab Name: Northern California Fertility  
Medical Center  
Accreditation: CAP/ASRM

Kaiser Permanente Center for Reproductive  
Health–Sacramento  
1650 Response Rd, Suite 1A  
Sacramento CA 95815  
Telephone: (916) 614-5200; Fax: (916) 614-5045  
Lab Name: Kaiser Permanente Center for  
Reproductive Health–Sacramento  
Accreditation: CAP/ASRM (Pend)

The University of California–Davis  
Assisted Reproductive Technology Program  
2521 Stockton Blvd, Suite 4200  
Sacramento CA 95817  
Telephone: (916) 734-6106; Fax: (916) 734-6150  
Lab Name: UC Davis Medical Center Assisted  
Reproductive Technology Program  
Accreditation: CAP/ASRM

The Fertility and Gynecology Center  
Monterey Bay IVF Program  
212 San Jose St, Suite 201  
Salinas CA 93901  
Telephone: (831) 769-0161; Fax: (831) 759-0939  
Lab Name: Fertility and Gynecology Center  
Accreditation: CAP/ASRM

Fertility Specialists Medical Group  
8010 Frost St, Plaza Level  
San Diego CA 92123  
Telephone: (858) 505-5500; Fax: (858) 505-5555  
Lab Name: San Diego Center for  
Reproductive Surgery  
Accreditation: CAP/ASRM (Pend)

IGO Medical Group of San Diego  
9339 Genesee Ave, Suite 220  
San Diego CA 92121  
Telephone: (858) 455-7520; Fax: (858) 455-5461  
Lab Name: Reproductive Science Center  
IVF Laboratory  
Accreditation: CAP/ASRM

NTC Infertility Clinic  
2650 Stockton Rd, Bldg 624  
San Diego CA 92106  
Telephone: (619) 524-6218; Fax: (619) 524-6241  
Lab Name: Reproductive Partners Medical  
Group, Inc.–La Jolla Laboratory  
Accreditation: CAP/ASRM



---

San Diego Fertility Center  
(SDFC)  
11515 El Camino Real, Suite 100  
San Diego CA 92130  
Telephone: (858) 794-6363; Fax: (858) 794-6360  
Lab Name: SDFC IVF & Andrology Laboratories  
Accreditation: CAP/ASRM

Laurel Fertility Care  
1700 California St, Suite 570  
San Francisco CA 94109  
Telephone: (415) 673-9199; Fax: (415) 673-8796  
Lab Name: Laurel Fertility Care  
Accreditation: CAP/ASRM

Pacific Fertility Center  
55 Francisco St, Suite 500  
San Francisco CA 94133  
Telephone: (415) 834-3000; Fax: (415) 834-3080  
Lab Name: Pacific Fertility Center IVF Laboratory  
Accreditation: CAP/ASRM

UCSF Center for Reproductive Health  
2356 Sutter St, 7th Floor  
San Francisco CA 94115  
Telephone: (415) 353-3040; Fax: (415) 353-7744  
Lab Name: UCSF Center for Reproductive Health  
Accreditation: CAP/ASRM, JCAHO

Fertility Physicians of Northern California  
2581 Samaritan Dr, Suite 302  
San Jose CA 95124  
Telephone: (408) 358-2500; Fax: (408) 876-4735  
Lab Name: Fertility & Reproductive Health Institute  
IVF Laboratory  
Accreditation: CAP/ASRM

Reproductive Science Center of the San Francisco  
Bay Area  
3160 Crow Canyon Rd, Suite 150  
San Ramon CA 94583  
Telephone: (925) 867-1800; Fax: (925) 275-3862  
Lab Name: Reproductive Science Center of the  
San Francisco Bay Area  
Accreditation: CAP/ASRM

Parker–Rosenman–Rodi Gynecology and Infertility  
Medical Group  
1450 Tenth St, Suite 404  
Santa Monica CA 90401  
Telephone: (310) 451-8144; Fax: (310) 451-3414  
Lab Name: Pacific Fertility Medical Center–  
Los Angeles  
Accreditation: CAP/ASRM

Advanced Fertility Associates Medical Group, Inc.  
1111 Sonoma Ave, Suite 214  
Santa Rosa CA 95405  
Telephone: (707) 575-5831; Fax: (707) 575-4379  
Lab Name: Advanced Fertility Associates Medical  
Group, Inc.  
Accreditation: CAP/ASRM

Valley Center for Reproductive Health  
Tina Koopersmith, MD  
13320 Riverside Dr, Suite 220  
Sherman Oaks CA 91423  
Telephone: (818) 986-1648; Fax: (818) 986-1653  
Lab Name: ART Reproductive Center  
Accreditation: CAP/ASRM

The Center for Fertility and Gynecology  
Vermesh Center for Fertility  
18370 Burbank Blvd, Suite 301  
Tarzana CA 91356  
Telephone: (818) 881-9800; Fax: (818) 881-1857  
Lab Name: A.R.T Medical Group, Inc., Laboratory  
Accreditation: CAP/ASRM

Tree of Life Center  
Snunit Ben-Ozer, MD  
18370 Burbank Blvd, Suite 514  
Tarzana CA 91356  
Telephone: (818) 344-8522; Fax: (818) 344-3992  
Lab Name: ART Reproductive Center  
Accreditation: CAP/ASRM

Fertility and Surgical Associates of California  
325 Rolling Oaks Dr, Suite 110  
Thousand Oaks CA 91361  
Telephone: (805) 778-1122; Fax: (805) 778-0855  
Lab Name: Fertility and Surgical Associates  
of California  
Accreditation: CAP/ASRM



---

Pacific Reproductive Center  
3720 Lomita Blvd, Suite 200  
Torrance CA 90505  
Telephone: (310) 376-7000; Fax: (310) 373-0319  
Lab Name: Pacific Reproductive Center–Torrance  
Accreditation: CAP/ASRM

Contra Costa OB/GYN & Infertility  
240 La Casa Via, Suite 100  
Walnut Creek CA 94598  
Telephone: (925) 932-2565; Fax: (925) 930-8568  
Lab Name: Ygnacio Andrology  
Accreditation: None

Reproductive Partners–Long Beach  
*Reproductive Partners–Orange County*  
13950 Milton Ave, Suite 100  
Westminster CA 92683  
Telephone: (714) 702-3000; Fax: (714) 702-3039  
Lab Name: Reproductive Partners Medical Group–  
Long Beach IVF & Andrology Laboratory  
Accreditation: CAP/ASRM  
Lab Name: Reproductive Partners Medical  
Group, Inc.–Redondo Beach  
Accreditation: CAP/ASRM

## COLORADO

Advanced Reproductive Medicine  
University of Colorado Health Sciences Center  
Anschutz Outpatient Pavilion  
1635 N. Ursula St  
Aurora CO 80010  
Telephone: (720) 848-1690; Fax: (720) 848-1678  
Lab Name: University of Colorado Hospital  
IVF Clinical Laboratory  
Accreditation: CAP/ASRM, JCAHO

Reproductive Medicine and Fertility Center  
3225 International Cir, Suite 100  
Colorado Springs CO 80910  
Telephone: (719) 475-2229; Fax: (719) 475-2227  
Lab Name: Reproductive Medicine and Fertility  
Center of Southern Colorado,  
Accreditation: CAP/ASRM

Eric H. Silverstein, MD, Professional LLC, dba  
The Fertility Center of Colorado  
6160 Tutt Blvd, Suite 210  
Colorado Springs CO 80923  
Telephone: (719) 636-0080; Fax: (719) 636-3030  
Lab Name: The Fertility Center of Colorado  
Accreditation: CAP/ASRM

Colorado Reproductive Endocrinology  
4600 E. Hale Pkwy, Suite 350  
Denver CO 80220  
Telephone: (303) 321-7115; Fax: (303) 321-9519  
Lab Name: Colorado Reproductive Endocrinology  
Accreditation: CAP/ASRM

Rocky Mountain Center for Reproductive Medicine  
1080 E. Elizabeth St  
Fort Collins CO 80524  
Telephone: (970) 493-6353; Fax: (970) 493-6366  
Lab Name: Rocky Mountain Center for  
Reproductive Medicine IVF/Embryology  
Laboratory  
Accreditation: CAP/ASRM

Conceptions Reproductive Associates of Colorado  
271 W. County Line Rd  
Littleton CO 80129  
Telephone: (303) 794-0045; Fax: (303) 794-2054  
Lab Name: Conceptions Embryology Laboratory  
Accreditation: CAP/ASRM

● Colorado Center for Reproductive Medicine  
10290 RidgeGate Cir  
Lone Tree CO 80124  
Telephone: (303) 788-8300; Fax: (303) 788-8310  
Lab Name: Fertility Laboratories of Colorado  
Accreditation: CAP/ASRM

## CONNECTICUT

Connecticut Fertility Associates  
4920 Main St, Suite 301  
Bridgeport CT 06606  
Telephone: (203) 373-1200; Fax: (203) 365-6516  
Lab Name: Connecticut Fertility  
Associates Laboratory  
Accreditation: CAP/ASRM

The Center for Advanced Reproductive Services at  
the University of Connecticut Health Center  
Dowling South Bldg, 263 Farmington Ave  
Farmington CT 06030  
Telephone: (860) 679-4580; Fax: (860) 679-3639  
Lab Name: University of Connecticut Health  
Center Laboratory  
Accreditation: CAP/ASRM

---

Yale Fertility Center  
150 Sargent Dr, 2nd Floor  
New Haven CT 06511  
Telephone: (203) 785-4708; Fax: (203) 764-5669  
Lab Name: Yale New Haven Hospital  
Accreditation: CAP/ASRM, JCAHO

Reproductive Medicine Associates of Connecticut  
10 Glover Ave  
Norwalk CT 06850  
Telephone: (203) 750-7400; Fax: (203) 846-9579  
Lab Name: Reproductive Medicine Associates of  
Connecticut Embryology Laboratory  
Accreditation: CAP/ASRM

New England Fertility Institute  
1275 Summer St, Suite 201  
Stamford CT 06905  
Telephone: (203) 325-3200; Fax: (203) 323-3130  
Lab Name: New England Fertility Institute  
ART-IVF Laboratory  
Accreditation: CAP/ASRM

The Stamford Hospital  
30 Shelburne Rd  
Stamford CT 06904  
Telephone: (203) 276-7559; Fax: (203) 276-7259  
Lab Name: New England Fertility Institute  
ART-IVF Laboratory  
Accreditation: CAP/ASRM

Park Avenue Fertility and Reproductive Medicine  
5520 Park Ave  
Trumbull CT 06611  
Telephone: (203) 372-6700; Fax: (203) 372-6706  
Lab Name: Park Avenue Fertility and  
Reproductive Medicine  
Accreditation: None

## **DELAWARE**

Reproductive Associates of Delaware  
4735 Ogletown-Stanton Rd  
Medical Arts Pavilion 2, Suite 3217  
Newark DE 19713  
Telephone: (302) 623-4242; Fax: (302) 623-4241  
Lab Name: Reproductive Associates of  
Delaware Laboratory  
Accreditation: CAP/ASRM

## **DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA**

The A.R.T. Institute of Washington, Inc.  
Walter Reed Army Medical Center  
6900 Georgia Ave N.W., Ward 43, Bldg 2, Rm 4304  
Washington DC 20307  
Telephone: (202) 782-6198; Fax: (202) 782-4833  
Lab Name: The A.R.T. Institute of Washington, Inc.  
Accreditation: CAP/ASRM, JCAHO

Columbia Fertility Associates  
2440 M St N.W., Suite 401  
Washington DC 20037  
Telephone: (202) 293-6567; Fax: (202) 778-6190  
Lab Name: Columbia Fertility Associates  
IVF Center Laboratory  
Accreditation: JCAHO

The George Washington University Medical  
Faculty Associates  
2150 Pennsylvania Ave N.W., Suite 6-300  
Washington DC 20037  
Telephone: (202) 741-2520; Fax: (202) 741-2518  
Lab Name: Medical Faculty Associates, Inc.  
Accreditation: CAP/ASRM

James A. Simon, MD, PC  
1850 M St N.W., Suite 450  
Washington DC 20036  
Telephone: (202) 293-1000; Fax: (202) 463-6150  
Lab Name: Columbia Fertility Associates  
IVF Center Laboratory  
Accreditation: JCAHO

## **FLORIDA**

BocaFertility  
875 Meadows Rd, Suite 334  
Boca Raton FL 33486  
Telephone: (561) 368-5500; Fax: (561) 368-4793  
Lab Name: BocaFertility IVF Laboratory  
Accreditation: CAP/ASRM

Advanced Reproductive Care Center, PA  
10301 Hagen Ranch Rd, Suite 6  
Boynton Beach FL 33437  
Telephone: (561) 736-6006; Fax: (561) 736-5788  
Lab Name: Advanced Reproductive Care Center, PA  
Accreditation: JCAHO

---

Florida Fertility Institute  
2454 McMullen Booth Rd, Suite 601  
Clearwater FL 33759  
Telephone: (727) 796-7705; Fax: (727) 796-8764  
Lab Name: Florida Fertility Institute  
Accreditation: JCAHO

Infertility and Reproductive Medicine of  
South Broward  
Kenneth M. Gelman, MD  
9900 Stirling Rd, Suite 300  
Cooper City FL 33024  
Telephone: (954) 432-2228; Fax: (954) 432-7277  
Lab Name: Infertility and Reproductive Medicine  
of South Broward  
Accreditation: CAP/ASRM (Pend)

Southwest Florida Fertility Center, PA  
13685 Doctor's Way, Suite 330  
Fort Myers FL 33912  
Telephone: (239) 561-3430; Fax: (239) 561-6980  
Lab Name: Southwest Florida Fertility Center, PA  
Accreditation: CAP/ASRM

Specialists in Reproductive Medicine & Surgery, PA  
Craig R. Sweet, MD  
12611 World Plaza Ln, Bldg 53  
Fort Myers FL 33907  
Telephone: (239) 275-8118; Fax: (239) 275-5914  
Lab Name: Specialists in Reproductive Medicine  
and Surgery, PA  
Accreditation: JCAHO

University of Florida Women's Health at  
Magnolia Parke  
3951 N.W. 48th Terrace, Suite 101  
Gainesville FL 32606  
Telephone: (352) 265-6200; Fax: (352) 265-9103  
Lab Name: Shands at the University of Florida IVF  
and Andrology Laboratory  
Accreditation: CAP/ASRM

Assisted Fertility Program of North Florida  
3627 University Blvd South, Suite 450  
Jacksonville FL 32216  
Telephone: (904) 398-1473; Fax: (904) 399-3436  
Lab Name: North Florida Reproductive Laboratory  
Accreditation: CAP/ASRM (Pend)

Florida Institute for Reproductive Medicine  
Baptist Medical Center Pavilion  
836 Prudential Dr, Suite 902  
Jacksonville FL 32207  
Telephone: (904) 399-5620; Fax: (904) 399-5645  
Lab Name: Florida Institute for Reproductive  
Medicine IVF Laboratory  
Accreditation: CAP/ASRM

Jacksonville Center for Reproductive Medicine  
3627 University Blvd South, Suite 200  
Jacksonville FL 32216  
Telephone: (904) 493-2229; Fax: (904) 396-4546  
Lab Name: North Florida Reproductive Laboratory  
Accreditation: CAP/ASRM (Pend)

Gene F. Manko, MD, Inc.  
600 Heritage Dr, Suite 200  
Jupiter FL 33458  
Telephone: (561) 354-1525; Fax: (561) 354-1526  
Lab Name: South Florida Institute for Reproductive  
Medicine—Jupiter Palm Beach Affiliate  
Accreditation: CAP/ASRM

Center for Reproductive Medicine  
18944 N. Dale Mabry Hwy  
Lutz FL 33548  
Telephone: (813) 386-0618; Fax: (813) 386-0622  
Lab Name: Bill Clark  
Accreditation: None

IVF Florida  
2960 N. State Rd 7, Suite 300  
Margate FL 33063  
Telephone: (954) 247-6200; Fax: (954) 247-6296  
Lab Name: IVF Florida Reproductive Associates  
Accreditation: CAP/ASRM

Fertility & Reproductive Medicine Center  
for Women  
95 Bulldog Blvd, Suite 204  
Melbourne FL 32901  
Telephone: (321) 724-4410; Fax: (321) 956-9957  
Lab Name: Fertility and Reproductive Medicine  
Center for Women  
Accreditation: JCAHO

---

Fertility & IVF Center of Miami, Inc.  
8950 N. Kendall Dr, Suite 103  
Miami FL 33176  
Telephone: (305) 596-4013; Fax: (305) 596-4557  
Lab Name: Fertility & IVF Center of Miami Assisted  
Reproduction Laboratory  
Accreditation: CAP/ASRM

Palmetto Fertility Center of South Florida  
7100 W. 20th Ave, Suite 205  
Miami FL 33016  
Telephone: (305) 558-0808; Fax: (305) 558-0806  
Lab Name: Palmetto Fertility Lab, Inc.  
Accreditation: CAP/ASRM

University of Miami Infertility Center  
1400 N.W. 12th Ave, Suite 5  
Miami FL 33136  
Telephone: (305) 243-8642; Fax: (305) 324-0363  
Lab Name: University of Miami Infertility Center  
Accreditation: CAP/ASRM

Center for Reproductive Medicine, PA  
3435 Pinehurst Ave  
Orlando FL 32804  
Telephone: (407) 740-0909; Fax: (407) 740-7262  
Lab Name: Center for Reproductive Medicine  
IVF Laboratory  
Accreditation: CAP/ASRM

Frank C. Riggall, MD, PA  
2501 N. Orange Ave, Suite 209S  
Orlando FL 32804  
Telephone: (407) 898-0254; Fax: (407) 898-6224  
Lab Name: Center for Reproductive Medicine  
IVF Laboratory  
Accreditation: CAP/ASRM  
Lab Name: Fertility C.A.R.E. Laboratory  
Accreditation: CAP/ASRM

New Leaders in Infertility & Endocrinology, LLC  
4400 Bayou Blvd, Suite 36  
Pensacola FL 32503  
Telephone: (850) 857-3733; Fax: (850) 857-0670  
Lab Name: ART Lab at New LIFE  
Accreditation: CAP/ASRM (Pend)

Center for Advanced Reproductive  
Endocrinology, PA  
201 N. Pine Island Rd  
Plantation FL 33324  
Telephone: (954) 584-2273; Fax: (954) 587-9630  
Lab Name: Lab for Implantation, Fertilization &  
Embryology (LIFE), LC  
Accreditation: CAP/ASRM

Fertility Center and Applied Genetics of Florida, Inc.  
5664 Bee Ridge Rd, Suites 103 & 202  
Sarasota FL 34233  
Telephone: (941) 342-1568; Fax: (941) 342-8296  
Lab Name: Fertility Center & Applied Genetics of  
Florida, Inc.  
Accreditation: JCAHO

South Florida Institute for Reproductive Medicine  
7300 S.W. 62nd Pl, 4th Floor  
South Miami FL 33143  
Telephone: (305) 662-7901; Fax: (305) 662-7910  
Lab Name: South Florida Institute for  
Reproductive Medicine—Miami  
Accreditation: CAP/ASRM

Catherine Cowart, MD  
Reproductive Health Associates, PA  
2919 Swann Ave, Suite 307  
Tampa FL 33609  
Telephone: (813) 872-0018; Fax: (813) 876-1149

The Reproductive Medicine Group  
5245 E. Fletcher Ave  
Tampa FL 33617  
Telephone: (813) 676-8844; Fax: (813) 676-8815  
Lab Name: Reproductive Medicine Group  
ART Laboratories, Inc.  
Accreditation: CAP/ASRM

F.I.R.S.T.  
Florida Institute for Reproductive Sciences  
and Technologies  
2300 N. Commerce Pkwy, Suite 313  
Weston FL 33326  
Telephone: (954) 217-3456; Fax: (954) 217-3462  
Lab Name: Florida Institute for  
Reproductive Sciences & Technologies  
Accreditation: JCAHO

---

Fertility Center of Assisted Reproduction  
& Endocrinology  
5931 Brick Ct  
Winter Park FL 32792  
Telephone: (407) 672-1106; Fax: (407) 678-2790  
Lab Name: Fertility C.A.R.E. Laboratory  
Accreditation: CAP/ASRM

## GEORGIA

Atlanta Center for Reproductive Medicine  
5909 Peachtree Dunwoody Rd, Suite 720  
Atlanta GA 30328  
Telephone: (770) 928-2276; Fax: (770) 592-2092  
Lab Name: Atlanta Center for  
Reproductive Medicine  
Accreditation: CAP/ASRM

Emory Reproductive Center  
550 Peachtree St, Suite 1800  
Atlanta GA 30308  
Telephone: (404) 686-1593; Fax: (404) 686-4956  
Lab Name: Emory Center for  
Reproductive Medicine  
Accreditation: JCAHO

Georgia Reproductive Specialists  
5445 Meridian Mark Rd, Suite 270  
Atlanta GA 30342  
Telephone: (404) 843-2229; Fax: (404) 843-0812  
Lab Name: Georgia Reproductive Specialists  
Accreditation: JCAHO

Reproductive Biology Associates  
1150 Lake Hearn Dr, Suite 600  
Atlanta GA 30342  
Telephone: (404) 843-3064; Fax: (404) 256-1528  
Lab Name: Reproductive Biology Associates  
IVF Laboratory  
Accreditation: CAP/ASRM

Reproductive Medicine and Infertility Associates  
810 Chafee St  
Augusta GA 30904  
Telephone: (706) 722-4434; Fax: (706) 722-9647  
Lab Name: MCGH/PPG Reproductive  
Laboratories, LLC  
Accreditation: CAP/ASRM

Servy Institute for Reproductive Endocrinology  
812 Chafee Ave  
Augusta GA 30904  
Telephone: (706) 724-0228; Fax: (706) 722-2387  
Lab Name: MCGH/PPG Reproductive  
Laboratories, LLC  
Accreditation: CAP/ASRM

Columbus Center for Reproductive Endocrinology  
& Infertility, LLC  
2323 Whittlesey Rd  
Columbus GA 31909  
Telephone: (706) 653-6344; Fax: (706) 653-8933  
Lab Name: Columbus Center for Reproductive  
Endocrinology & Infertility Laboratory  
Accreditation: CAP/ASRM

Central Georgia Fertility Institute  
4075 Elnora Dr  
Macon GA 31210  
Telephone: (478) 757-7888; Fax: (478) 757-7887  
Lab Name: Central Georgia Fertility Institute  
Accreditation: JCAHO

Georgia Center for Reproductive Medicine  
5354 Reynolds St, Suite 510  
Savannah GA 31405  
Telephone: (912) 352-8588; Fax: (912) 352-8893  
Lab Name: Georgia Center for  
Reproductive Medicine  
Accreditation: None

## HAWAII

Advanced Reproductive Center of Hawaii  
1319 Punahou St, Suite 520  
Honolulu HI 96826  
Telephone: (808) 949-6611; Fax: (808) 949-6610  
Lab Name: Pacific IVF Institute  
Accreditation: CAP/ASRM

Pacific In Vitro Fertilization Institute  
Kapi`olani Medical Center for Women and Children  
1319 Punahou St, Suite 980  
Honolulu HI 96826  
Telephone: (808) 946-2226; Fax: (808) 943-1563  
Lab Name: Pacific IVF Institute  
Accreditation: CAP/ASRM



---

Tripler Army Medical Center IVF Institute  
Department of OB/GYN  
1 Jarrett White Rd  
Tripler AMC HI 96859  
Telephone: (808) 433-4558; Fax: (808) 433-1552  
Lab Name: Pacific IVF Institute  
Accreditation: CAP/ASRM

## IDAHO

Idaho Center for Reproductive Medicine  
111 Main St, Suite 100  
Boise ID 83702  
Telephone: (208) 342-5900; Fax: (208) 342-2088  
Lab Name: Idaho Reproductive Labs, Inc.  
Accreditation: JCAHO

## ILLINOIS

Rush–Copley Center for Reproductive Health  
Rush–Copley Medical Center  
2020 Ogden Ave, Suite 250  
Aurora IL 60504  
Telephone: (630) 978-6254; Fax: (630) 499-2487  
Lab Name: Rush–Copley Medical Center  
Accreditation: JCAHO

Life–Women’s Health Center  
Daniel A. Rostein, MD  
6425 W. Cermak Rd, Suite 202  
Berwyn IL 60402  
Telephone: (708) 484-0500; Fax: (708) 484-4259  
Lab Name: Chicago Fertility Laboratories, Inc.  
Accreditation: JCAHO

Martin S. Balin, MD, PhD  
2825 N. Halsted St  
Chicago IL 60657  
Telephone: (800) 241-7133; Fax: (773) 871-5221  
Lab Name: Reproductive Genetics Institute IVF  
Accreditation: CAP/ASRM, NYSTB (Pend)

Center for Reproductive Medicine & Fertility  
The University of Chicago  
333 S. Desplaines St, Suite 201  
Chicago IL 60661  
Telephone: (773) 702-6642; Fax: (773) 702-5848  
Lab Name: Center for Reproductive Medicine  
& Fertility  
Accreditation: CAP/ASRM

● Institute for Human Reproduction (IHR)  
2825 N. Halsted St  
Chicago IL 60657  
Telephone: (773) 472-4949; Fax: (773) 935-3691  
Lab Name: Reproductive Genetics Institute IVF  
Accreditation: CAP/ASRM, NYSTB (Pend)

Northwestern University  
675 N. St. Clair St, Suite 14-219  
Chicago IL 60611  
Telephone: (312) 695-1364; Fax: (312) 695-4924  
Lab Name: Northwestern Medical Faculty  
Foundation, Inc. IVF & Andrology Laboratories  
Accreditation: CAP/ASRM

River North IVF–Fertility Centers of Illinois  
900 N. Kingsbury, Suite RW6  
Chicago IL 60610  
Telephone: (312) 222-8200; Fax: (773) 385-8740  
Lab Name: Fertility Centers of Illinois, River North  
IVF Center  
Accreditation: CAP/ASRM

University of Illinois at Chicago IVF Program  
1801 W. Taylor St, Suite 4A  
Chicago IL 60612  
Telephone: (312) 996-9820; Fax: (312) 355-3161  
Lab Name: University of Illinois at Chicago  
IVF Program  
Accreditation: CAP/ASRM

Women’s Health Consultants  
1725 W. Harrison St, Suite 408E  
Chicago IL 60612  
Telephone: (312) 997-2229; Fax: (312) 997-2354  
Lab Name: Rush Center for Advanced Reproductive  
Care Andrology Lab  
Accreditation: JCAHO

Center for Reproductive Health/Joliet IVF  
2246 Weber Rd  
Crest Hill IL 60403  
Telephone: (815) 725-4161; Fax: (815) 725-4341  
Lab Name: Center for Reproductive Health/  
Joliet IVF, LLC  
Accreditation: CAP/ASRM

Midwest Fertility Center  
4333 Main St  
Downers Grove IL 60515  
Telephone: (630) 810-0212; Fax: (630) 810-1027  
Lab Name: Midwest Fertility Center ART Laboratory  
Accreditation: CAP/ASRM



---

The Rinehart Center for Reproductive Medicine  
2500 Ridge Ave, Suite 200  
Evanston IL 60201  
Telephone: (847) 869-7777; Fax: (847) 869-7782  
Lab Name: The Rinehart Center for  
Reproductive Medicine  
Accreditation: CAP/ASRM

The Rinehart–Coulam Center  
2500 Ridge Ave, Suite 200  
Evanston IL 60201  
Telephone: (847) 869-7777; Fax: (847) 869-7782  
Lab Name: The Rinehart Center for  
Reproductive Medicine  
Accreditation: CAP/ASRM

Advanced Fertility Center of Chicago  
30 Tower Ct, Suite F  
Gurnee IL 60031  
Telephone: (847) 662-1818; Fax: (847) 662-3001  
Lab Name: Advanced Fertility Center of Chicago  
Accreditation: CAP/ASRM

Chicago Infertility Associates  
Alexian Brother's Hospital Pavilion  
1515 W. Lake St, Suite 208  
Hanover Park IL 60133  
Telephone: (630) 540-9317; Fax: (630) 540-2262  
Lab Name: Reproductive Genetics Institute IVF  
Accreditation: CAP/ASRM, NYSTB (Pend)

Highland Park IVF Center  
767 Park Ave West, B400  
Highland Park IL 60035  
Telephone: (847) 266-3535; Fax: (847) 266-8838  
Lab Name: Gamete Resources  
Accreditation: JCAHO (Pend)

Hinsdale Center for Reproduction  
121 N. Elm St  
Hinsdale IL 60521  
Telephone: (630) 856-3535; Fax: (630) 856-3545  
Lab Name: Hinsdale Center for Reproduction  
Accreditation: CAP/ASRM

Reena Jabamoni, MD, SC  
1585 Barrington Rd, Suite 401  
Hoffman Estates IL 60194  
Telephone: (847) 843-7090; Fax: (847) 843-0584  
Lab Name: Reproductive Genetics Institute  
Accreditation: CAP/ASRM, NYSTB (Pend)

Karande and Associates, SC  
1585 N. Barrington Rd, Suite 406  
Hoffman Estates IL 60194  
Telephone: (847) 884-8884; Fax: (847) 884-8093  
Lab Name: Karande and Associates, SC  
Accreditation: CAP/ASRM

Reproductive Health Specialists, Ltd.  
744 Essington Rd  
Joliet IL 60435  
Telephone: (815) 730-1100; Fax: (815) 730-1066  
Lab Name: Reproductive Health Specialists, Ltd.  
IVF/Andrology Laboratory  
Accreditation: CAP/ASRM

IVF1  
636 Raymond Dr, Suite 303  
Naperville IL 60563  
Telephone: (630) 357-6540; Fax: (630) 357-6435  
Lab Name: Reproductive Genetics Institute  
Accreditation: CAP/ASRM, NYSTB (Pend)

Charles E. Miller, MD & Associates  
*The Fertility Institute at Edward*  
*Charles E. Miller, MD & Associates*  
120 Osler Dr  
Naperville IL 60540  
Telephone: (630) 428-2229; Fax: (630) 428-0336  
Lab Name: Charles E. Miller, MD &  
Associates Laboratory  
Accreditation: CAP/ASRM

Oak Brook Fertility Center  
2425 W. 22nd St, Suite 102  
Oak Brook IL 60523  
Telephone: (630) 954-0054; Fax: (630) 954-0064  
Lab Name: Chicago Fertility Laboratories, Inc.  
Accreditation: JCAHO

Sher Institute for Reproductive Medicine—  
Central Illinois  
5401 N. Knoxville Ave, Suite 110  
Peoria IL 61614  
Telephone: (309) 689-0411; Fax: (309) 689-0784  
Lab Name: Sher Institute for Reproductive  
Medicine—Central Illinois  
Accreditation: CAP/ASRM (Pend)

---

Reproductive Health and Fertility Center  
973 Featherstone Rd, Suite 100  
Rockford IL 61107  
Telephone: (815) 986-3737; Fax: (815) 986-3748  
Lab Name: Fertility and Reproductive  
Endocrinology Specialists  
Accreditation: CAP/ASRM

North Shore Fertility, SC  
4250 Dempster St  
Skokie IL 60076  
Telephone: (847) 763-8850; Fax: (847) 763-8851  
Lab Name: North Shore Fertility, SC, IVF Laboratory  
Accreditation: CAP/ASRM, JCAHO, NYSTB

Reproductive Endocrinology Associates, SC  
340 W. Miller St  
Springfield IL 62702  
Telephone: (217) 523-4700; Fax: (217) 523-9025  
Lab Name: Reproductive Endocrinology Associates  
Accreditation: CAP/ASRM

Seth Levrant, MD, PC  
Partners in Reproductive Health  
16345 S. Harlem Ave, Suite 1W  
Tinley Park IL 60477  
Telephone: (708) 532-7017; Fax: (708) 845-5287  
Lab Name: In Vitro Lab, Seth Levrant, MD, PC  
Accreditation: CAP/ASRM

## INDIANA

Bonaventura Reproductive Medicine  
11725 Illinois St, Suite 345  
Carmel IN 46032  
Telephone: (317) 814-4570; Fax: (317) 814-4571  
Lab Name: Heartland Laboratories  
Accreditation: CAP/ASRM

Jarrett Fertility Group  
11725 Illinois St, Suite 515  
Carmel IN 46032  
Telephone: (317) 814-4110; Fax: (317) 814-4114  
Lab Name: Heartland Laboratories  
Accreditation: CAP/ASRM

Midwest Fertility Specialists  
12188-A N. Meridian St, Suite 250  
Carmel IN 46032  
Telephone: (317) 571-1637; Fax: (317) 571-9483  
Lab Name: Midwest Fertility Specialists  
Accreditation: JCAHO

Advanced Reproduction Institute, LLC  
Advanced Fertility Group  
1222 Professional Blvd  
Evansville IN 47714  
Telephone: (812) 469-4920; Fax: (812) 469-4930  
Lab Name: Advanced Reproduction Institute, LLC  
Accreditation: JCAHO

Associated Fertility & Gynecology, PC  
7910 W. Jefferson Blvd, Suite 301  
Fort Wayne IN 46804  
Telephone: (260) 432-6250; Fax: (260) 436-7220  
Lab Name: Associated Fertility & Gynecology  
Laboratory, PC  
Accreditation: CAP/ASRM

Advanced Fertility Group  
201 N. Pennsylvania Pkwy, Suite 205  
Indianapolis IN 46280  
Telephone: (317) 817-1300; Fax: (317) 817-1306  
Lab Name: Center for Reproductive Biology of  
Indiana, LLC  
Accreditation: JCAHO

Advanced Fertility Group, Assisted Fertility Services  
8040 Clearvista Pkwy, Suite 510  
Indianapolis IN 46256  
Telephone: (317) 621-2497; Fax: (317) 621-7285  
Lab Name: Community Hospital East  
Accreditation: JCAHO

Family Beginnings, PC  
7440 N. Shadeland Ave, Suite 212  
Indianapolis IN 46250  
Telephone: (317) 595-3665; Fax: (317) 595-3666  
Lab Name: Family Beginnings, PC  
Accreditation: CAP/ASRM

Indiana University Hospital  
550 N. University Blvd, Room 2440  
Indianapolis IN 46202  
Telephone: (317) 274-4875; Fax: (317) 278-3787  
Lab Name: Center for Reproductive Biology of  
Indiana, LLC  
Accreditation: JCAHO

Reproductive Care of Indiana  
201 Pennsylvania Pkwy, Suite 310  
Indianapolis IN 46280  
Telephone: (317) 817-1800; Fax: (317) 817-1810  
Lab Name: Center for Reproductive Biology of  
Indiana, LLC  
Accreditation: JCAHO

---

Reproductive Endocrinology Associates  
2020 W. 86th St, Suite 310  
Indianapolis IN 46260  
Telephone: (317) 872-1515; Fax: (317) 879-2784  
Lab Name: Community Hospital East  
Accreditation: JCAHO

Women's Specialty Health Centers, PC  
9660 E. 146th St, Suite 300  
Noblesville IN 46060  
Telephone: (317) 774-1200; Fax: (317) 774-1222  
Lab Name: Follas Center for Reproductive  
Medicine Laboratory  
Accreditation: CAP/ASRM

## **IOWA**

Mid-Iowa Fertility, PC  
1371 N.W. 121st St  
Clive IA 50325  
Telephone: (515) 222-3060; Fax: (515) 222-9563  
Lab Name: Mid-Iowa Fertility, PC, Main Laboratory  
Accreditation: CAP/ASRM

University of Iowa Hospitals and Clinics  
Center for Advanced Reproductive Care  
Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology  
200 Hawkins Dr  
Iowa City IA 52242  
Telephone: (319) 356-8483; Fax: (319) 353-6659  
Lab Name: University of Iowa Hospital & Clinics IVF  
& Reproductive Testing  
Accreditation: CAP/ASRM

## **KANSAS**

University of Kansas Medical Center  
Women's Reproductive Center  
KU Main Hospital  
3901 Rainbow Blvd, 5th Floor  
Kansas City KS 66160  
Telephone: (913) 588-6272; Fax: (913) 588-6258  
Lab Name: University of Kansas Medical Center  
Embryology Laboratory  
Accreditation: CAP/ASRM

Midwest Reproductive Center, PA  
20375 W. 151st St, Bldg 1, Suite 403  
Olathe KS 66061  
Telephone: (913) 780-4300; Fax: (913) 780-4250  
Lab Name: Midwest Reproductive Center  
Accreditation: None

Reproductive Resource Center of Greater  
Kansas City  
12200 W. 106th St, Suite 120  
Overland Park KS 66215  
Telephone: (913) 894-2323; Fax: (913) 894-0841  
Lab Name: Reproductive Resource Center  
IVF Laboratory  
Accreditation: CAP/ASRM

Reproductive Medicine & Infertility  
Shawnee Mission Medical Center  
8800 W. 75th St, Suite 101  
Shawnee Mission KS 66204  
Telephone: (913) 432-7161; Fax: (913) 432-6158  
Lab Name: Shawnee Mission Medical Center,  
Reproductive Medicine & Infertility  
Accreditation: CAP/ASRM

The Center for Reproductive Medicine  
9300 E. 29th St North, Suite 102  
Wichita KS 67226  
Telephone: (316) 687-2112; Fax: (316) 687-1260  
Lab Name: The Center for Reproductive Medicine  
CRM Laboratories  
Accreditation: CAP/ASRM

## **KENTUCKY**

Bluegrass Fertility Center  
1760 Nicholasville Rd, Suite 501  
Lexington KY 40503  
Telephone: (859) 260-1515; Fax: (859) 260-1425  
Lab Name: Bluegrass Fertility Center Laboratory  
Accreditation: CAP/ASRM

Fertility and Endocrine Associates  
*Fertility and Endocrine Associates*  
*Louisville Reproductive Center*  
4121 Dutchman's Ln, Suites 414 and 416  
Louisville KY 40207  
Telephone: (502) 897-2144; Fax: (502) 897-1773  
Lab Name: Louisville Reproductive Center  
Accreditation: None

University OB/GYN Associates Fertility Center  
315 E. Broadway, Suite 1105  
Louisville KY 40202  
Telephone: (502) 271-5999; Fax: (502) 271-5984  
Lab Name: University OB/GYN Associates, PSC  
Accreditation: CAP/ASRM (Pend), JCAHO

---

## LOUISIANA

A Woman's Center for Reproductive Medicine  
9000 Airline Hwy, Suite 670  
Baton Rouge LA 70815  
Telephone: (225) 926-6886; Fax: (225) 922-3730  
Lab Name: A Woman's Center for  
Reproductive Medicine  
Accreditation: CAP/ASRM

§Ochsner Foundation Fertility Clinic  
1221 S. Clearview Pkwy, Bldg A, 1st Floor  
Jefferson LA 70121  
Telephone: (504) 842-4584; Fax: (504) 842-4156  
Contact the NASS Help Desk for current  
clinic information.

Fertility and Women's Health Center of Louisiana  
4630 Ambassador Caffery Pkwy, Suite 206  
Lafayette LA 70508  
Telephone: (337) 989-8795; Fax: (337) 989-9728  
Lab Name: Fertility and Women's Health Center  
of Louisiana  
Accreditation: CAP/ASRM (Pend), JCAHO

The Fertility Institute of New Orleans  
800 N. Causeway Blvd, Suite 2C  
Mandeville LA 70448  
Telephone: (985) 892-7621; Fax: (985) 892-9245  
Lab Name: Lakeside Hospital Fertility Institute of  
New Orleans  
Accreditation: CAP/ASRM

Center for Fertility and Reproductive Health  
2401 Greenwood Rd  
Shreveport LA 71103  
Telephone: (318) 212-8270; Fax: (318) 212-8230  
Lab Name: Willis-Knighton Health Center Fertility &  
Reproductive Health Laboratory  
Accreditation: CAP/ASRM

## MAINE

Maine Center for Reproductive Health  
778 Main St, Suite 2  
South Portland ME 04106  
Telephone: (207) 775-1255; Fax: (207) 775-1299  
Lab Name: Maine Center for Reproductive Health  
Accreditation: CAP/ASRM (Pend)

## MARYLAND

The Center for Assisted Reproductive Technology at  
Union Memorial  
201 E. University Pkwy, 33rd St Bldg, Suite 474  
Baltimore MD 21218  
Telephone: (410) 554-2271; Fax: (410) 554-2091  
Lab Name: Union Memorial Hospital Center for ART  
Accreditation: CAP/ASRM

§GBMC Fertility Center  
6569 N. Charles St, Suite 406  
Baltimore MD 21204  
Telephone: (443) 849-2484; Fax: (443) 849-3067  
Contact the NASS Help Desk for current  
clinic information.

UMMS—Center for Advanced Reproductive  
Technologies  
405 W. Redwood St, 3rd Floor  
Baltimore MD 21201  
Telephone: (410) 328-2304; Fax: (410) 328-8389  
Lab Name: UMMS—Center for Advanced  
Reproductive Technologies  
Accreditation: CAP/ASRM

Johns Hopkins Fertility Center  
10753 Falls Rd, Suite 335  
Lutherville MD 21093  
Telephone: (410) 847-3650; Fax: (410) 583-2792  
Lab Name: The Johns Hopkins at  
Greenspring Station  
Accreditation: JCAHO

Center for Reproductive Medicine  
9711 Medical Center Dr, Suite 214  
Rockville MD 20850  
Telephone: (301) 424-1904; Fax: (301) 424-1902  
Lab Name: Medical Faculty Associates, Inc.  
Accreditation: CAP/ASRM

Shady Grove Fertility Reproductive Science Center  
15001 Shady Grove Rd, Suite 400  
Rockville MD 20850  
Telephone: (301) 340-1188; Fax: (301) 340-1612  
Lab Name: Shady Grove Fertility Reproductive  
Science Center  
Accreditation: JCAHO

---

Fertility Center of Maryland  
110 West Rd, Suite 102  
Towson MD 21204  
Telephone: (410) 296-6400; Fax: (410) 296-6405  
Lab Name: Fertility Center of Maryland, Inc.  
Accreditation: JCAHO

## MASSACHUSETTS

Brigham and Women's Hospital ART Center  
Brigham and Women's Hospital  
75 Francis St  
Boston MA 02115  
Telephone: (617) 732-5570; Fax: (617) 975-0825  
Lab Name: Brigham and Women's Hospital Center  
for Assisted Reproduction  
Accreditation: CAP/ASRM, JCAHO

Vincent IVF Unit  
Massachusetts General Hospital  
55 Fruit St, Yawkey 10A  
Boston MA 02114  
Telephone: (617) 726-6942; Fax: (617) 724-8882  
Lab Name: Massachusetts General Hospital,  
Vincent IVF Unit  
Accreditation: CAP/ASRM, JCAHO

§Reproductive Science Center  
1 Forbes Rd  
Lexington MA 02421  
Telephone: (781) 674-1200; Fax: (781) 674-2442  
Contact the NASS Help Desk for current  
clinic information.

Fertility Centers of New England, Inc.  
New England Clinics of Reproductive Medicine, Inc.  
20 Pond Meadow Dr, Suite 207  
Reading MA 01867  
Telephone: (781) 942-7000; Fax: (781) 942-7200  
Lab Name: New England Clinic of Reproductive  
Medicine, Inc.  
Accreditation: CAP/ASRM  
Lab Name: Portsmouth Regional Hospital  
Pathology Laboratory  
Accreditation: CAP/ASRM

Baystate Reproductive Medicine  
Chestnut Surgical Center  
759 Chestnut St  
Springfield MA 01199  
Telephone: (413) 794-1950; Fax: (413) 794-1857  
Lab Name: Baystate Medical Center  
Reproductive Biology Lab  
Accreditation: CAP/ASRM

Boston IVF  
130 Second Ave  
Waltham MA 02451  
Telephone: (781) 434-6400; Fax: (781) 434-6464  
Lab Name: Boston IVF, Inc.  
Accreditation: CAP/ASRM

## MICHIGAN

Center for Reproductive Medicine  
University of Michigan Reproductive Endocrinology  
and Infertility  
475 Market Pl, Suite B  
Ann Arbor MI 48108  
Telephone: (734) 763-4323; Fax: (734) 763-7682  
Lab Name: University of Michigan ART Laboratories  
Accreditation: CAP/ASRM

Center for Reproductive Medicine and Surgery, PC  
300 Park St, Suite 460  
Birmingham MI 48009  
Telephone: (248) 593-6990; Fax: (248) 593-5925  
Lab Name: SMART Labs  
Accreditation: CAP/ASRM

§Center for Reproductive Medicine  
Oakwood Hospital and Medical Center  
*Michigan Comprehensive Fertility Center*  
18181 Oakwood Blvd, Suite 109  
Dearborn MI 48124  
Telephone: (313) 299-6635; Fax: (313) 299-6658  
Contact the NASS Help Desk for current  
clinic information.

Grand Rapids Fertility & IVF, PC  
1900 Wealthy St S.E., Suite 315  
Grand Rapids MI 49506  
Telephone: (616) 774-2030; Fax: (616) 774-2053  
Lab Name: Grand Rapids Fertility & IVF, PC  
Accreditation: CAP/ASRM



---

Michigan Reproductive & IVF Center, PC  
630 Kenmoor Ave, Suite 100  
Grand Rapids MI 49546  
Telephone: (616) 988-2229; Fax: (616) 988-2009  
Lab Name: Michigan Reproductive & IVF Center, PC  
Accreditation: JCAHO

Infertility and Gynecology Center of Lansing, PC  
1200 E. Michigan Ave, Suite 305  
Lansing MI 48912  
Telephone: (517) 484-4900; Fax: (517) 339-7553  
Lab Name: Sparrow Hospital, Sparrow  
Fertility Services  
Accreditation: CAP/ASRM

Michigan State University  
Center for Assisted Reproductive Technology  
1200 E. Michigan Ave, Suite 700  
Lansing MI 48912  
Telephone: (517) 364-5888; Fax: (517) 364-5889  
Lab Name: Sparrow Hospital, Sparrow  
Fertility Services  
Accreditation: CAP/ASRM

IVF Michigan  
3950 S. Rochester Rd, Suite 2300  
Rochester Hills MI 48307  
Telephone: (248) 844-8845; Fax: (248) 844-9039  
Lab Name: IVF Michigan IVF/Andrology Laboratory  
Accreditation: CAP/ASRM

University Women's Care/Wayne State University  
26400 W. 12 Mile Rd, Suite 140  
Southfield MI 48034  
Telephone: (248) 352-8200; Fax: (248) 356-8255  
Lab Name: University OBGYN, Inc. Assisted  
Reproductive Technology Laboratories  
Accreditation: CAP/ASRM

Henry Ford Reproductive Medicine  
1500 W. Big Beaver, Suite 105  
Troy MI 48064  
Telephone: (248) 637-4050; Fax: (248) 637-0115  
Lab Name: Henry Ford Health System, Henry Ford  
Reproductive Medicine  
Accreditation: CAP/ASRM

Brenda L. Moskovitz, MD, PC  
415 E. Maple Rd, Suite 101  
Troy MI 48063  
Telephone: (248) 524-1001; Fax: (248) 851-6522  
Lab Name: SMART Labs  
Accreditation: CAP/ASRM

Michigan Center for Fertility and  
Women's Health, PLC  
4700 Thirteen Mile Rd  
Warren MI 48092  
Telephone: (586) 576-0431; Fax: (586) 576-0924  
Lab Name: Michigan Center for Fertility and  
Women's Health  
Accreditation: None

## **MINNESOTA**

The Midwest Center for Reproductive Health, PA  
Arbor Lakes Medical Bldg  
12000 Elm Creek Blvd North, Suite 350  
Maple Grove MN 55369  
Telephone: (763) 494-7700; Fax: (763) 494-7706  
Lab Name: Midwest Center for Reproductive Health  
Assisted Reproductive Technology  
Accreditation: CAP/ASRM

Center for Reproductive Medicine  
Advanced Reproductive Technologies  
2800 Chicago Ave South, Suite 300  
Minneapolis MN 55407  
Telephone: (612) 863-5390; Fax: (612) 863-2697  
Lab Name: Center for Reproductive Medicine  
Embryology Laboratory  
Accreditation: CAP/ASRM

Reproductive Medicine Center  
606 24th Ave South, Suite 500  
Minneapolis MN 55454  
Telephone: (612) 372-7037; Fax: (612) 372-7022  
Lab Name: University of Minnesota Physicians  
Reproductive Medicine Center  
Accreditation: CAP/ASRM

Mayo Clinic Assisted Reproductive Technologies  
200 First St S.W., Charlton Bldg, Desk 3A  
Rochester MN 55905  
Telephone: (507) 284-4520; Fax: (507) 284-1774  
Lab Name: Mayo Clinic Fertility Testing  
and IVF Laboratories  
Accreditation: CAP/ASRM

Reproductive Medicine & Infertility Associates  
Woodbury Medical ARTS Bldg  
2101 Woodwinds Dr, Suite 100  
Woodbury MN 55125  
Telephone: (651) 222-6050; Fax: (651) 222-5975  
Lab Name: Reproductive Medicine & Infertility  
Associates Reproductive Biology Laboratory  
Accreditation: CAP/ASRM



---

## MISSISSIPPI

Mississippi Fertility Institute  
501 Marshall St, Suite 600  
Jackson MS 39202  
Telephone: (601) 948-3874; Fax: (601) 948-6544  
Lab Name: Women's Specialty Center, Mississippi  
Fertility Institute  
Accreditation: JCAHO

University of Mississippi Medical Center  
Department of Ob/Gyn, Division of Reproductive  
Endocrinology and Fertility  
2500 N. State St  
Jackson MS 39216  
Telephone: (601) 984-5330; Fax: (601) 984-5965  
Lab Name: University of Mississippi  
Medical Center, OB-GYN Department,  
IVF & Andrology Laboratory  
Accreditation: CAP/ASRM

## MISSOURI

Infertility Institute  
*The Fertility Center at Missouri Baptist  
Medical Center*  
226 S. Woods Mill Rd, Suite 39 West  
Chesterfield MO 63017  
Telephone: (314) 205-8809; Fax: (314) 205-8776  
Lab Name: The Fertility Center at Missouri Baptist  
Medical Center  
Accreditation: CAP/ASRM

Mid-Missouri Reproductive Medicine and  
Surgery, Inc.  
1502 E. Broadway, Suite 106  
Columbia MO 65201  
Telephone: (573) 443-4511; Fax: (573) 443-7860  
Lab Name: Mid-Missouri Reproductive Medicine  
and Surgery, Inc., Laboratory  
Accreditation: CAP/ASRM

§University of Missouri Hospital and Clinic  
IVF Embryology Laboratory  
Department of Obstetrics, Gynecology and  
Women's Health  
1 Hospital Dr  
Columbia MO 65212  
Telephone: (573) 882-1725; Fax: (573) 882-9010  
Contact the NASS Help Desk for current  
clinic information.

Midwest Women's Healthcare  
6400 Prospect, Suite 598  
Kansas City MO 64132  
Telephone: (816) 444-6888; Fax: (816) 444-8430  
Lab Name: Research Medical Center IVF Laboratory  
Accreditation: CAP/ASRM

Infertility & IVF Center  
3009 N. Ballas Rd, Suite 359C  
St. Louis MO 63131  
Telephone: (314) 872-9200; Fax: (314) 872-9040  
Lab Name: Infertility & Gynecologic Medicine, Inc.,  
Infertility & IVF Center  
Accreditation: CAP/ASRM

The Infertility and Reproductive Medicine Center  
at Washington University School of Medicine  
and Barnes-Jewish Hospital  
Barnes-Jewish Hospital, North Campus  
4444 Forest Park Ave, Suite 3100  
St. Louis MO 63108  
Telephone: (314) 286-2400; Fax: (314) 286-2455  
Lab Name: Barnes-Jewish Hospital Infertility &  
Reproductive Medicine  
Accreditation: CAP/ASRM, JCAHO

Infertility Center of St. Louis  
St. Luke's Hospital  
224 S. Woods Mill Rd, Suite 730  
St. Louis MO 63017  
Telephone: (314) 576-1400; Fax: (314) 576-1442  
Lab Name: St. Luke's Hospital Assisted  
Reproductive Technology Laboratory  
Accreditation: CAP/ASRM

Sher Institute for Reproductive Medicine-St. Louis  
456 N. New Ballas Rd, Suite 101  
St. Louis MO 63141  
Telephone: (314) 983-9000; Fax: (314) 983-9023  
Lab Name: Sher Institute for  
Reproductive Medicine-St. Louis  
Accreditation: CAP/ASRM

## NEBRASKA

Heartland Center for Reproductive Medicine, PC  
7308 S. 142nd St  
Omaha NE 68138  
Telephone: (402) 717-4200; Fax: (402) 717-4230  
Lab Name: Heartland Center for Reproductive  
Medicine, PC  
Accreditation: CAP/ASRM

---

Nebraska Methodist Hospital REI  
8111 Dodge St, Suite 237  
Omaha NE 68114  
Telephone: (402) 354-5210; Fax: (402) 354-5221  
Lab Name: Nebraska Methodist Hospital  
Andrology/Embryology Laboratory  
Accreditation: CAP/ASRM, JCAHO

## NEVADA

Fertility Center of Las Vegas  
8851 W. Sahara Ave, Suite 100  
Las Vegas NV 89117  
Telephone: (702) 254-1777; Fax: (702) 254-1213  
Lab Name: Fertility Center of Las Vegas Laboratory  
Accreditation: CAP/ASRM

Nevada Fertility C.A.R.E.S.  
653 Town Center Dr, Suite 206  
Las Vegas NV 89144  
Telephone: (702) 341-6616; Fax: (702) 341-6617  
Lab Name: Nevada Fertility C.A.R.E.S.  
Accreditation: CAP/ASRM

Sher Institute for Reproductive Medicine–Las Vegas  
3121 S. Maryland Pkwy, Suite 300  
Las Vegas NV 89109  
Telephone: (702) 892-9696; Fax: (702) 892-9666  
Lab Name: Sher Institute for  
Reproductive Medicine–Las Vegas  
Accreditation: CAP/ASRM

The Nevada Center for Reproductive Medicine  
645 Sierra Rose Dr, Suite 205  
Reno NV 89511  
Telephone: (775) 828-1200; Fax: (775) 828-1785  
Lab Name: Nevada Center for  
Reproductive Medicine  
Accreditation: JCAHO

## NEW HAMPSHIRE

Dartmouth–Hitchcock Medical Center  
1 Medical Center Dr  
Lebanon NH 03756  
Telephone: (603) 653-9240; Fax: (603) 650-0905  
Lab Name: Dartmouth–Hitchcock Medical Center  
Mary Hitchcock Reproductive  
Sciences Laboratory  
Accreditation: CAP/ASRM

## NEW JERSEY

Sher Institute for Reproductive Medicine–  
New Jersey  
One Robertson Dr  
Bedminster NJ 07921  
Telephone: (908) 781-0666; Fax: (908) 781-6377  
Lab Name: Sher Institute for Reproductive  
Medicine–New Jersey  
Accreditation: CAP/ASRM

IVF of North Jersey  
North Jersey Center for Reproductive Endocrinology  
and Infertility  
*Tower Fertility Center*  
1035 Route 46 East  
Clifton NJ 07013  
Telephone: (973) 470-0303; Fax: (973) 916-0488  
Lab Name: IVF of North Jersey, PA Laboratory  
Accreditation: CAP/ASRM

Center for Advanced Reproductive Medicine  
& Fertility  
Four Ethel Rd, Suite 405A  
Edison NJ 08817  
Telephone: (732) 339-9300; Fax: (732) 339-9400  
Lab Name: Center for Advanced Reproductive  
Medicine & Fertility  
Accreditation: JCAHO

Women's Fertility Center  
106 Grand Ave  
Englewood NJ 07631  
Telephone: (201) 569-6979; Fax: (201) 569-0269  
Lab Name: Fertility Institute of Northern New Jersey  
IVF Laboratory  
Accreditation: CAP/ASRM

North Hudson I.V.F.  
Center for Fertility and Gynecology  
385 Sylvan Ave  
Englewood Cliffs NJ 07632  
Telephone: (201) 871-1999; Fax: (201) 871-1031  
Lab Name: North Hudson IVF Center  
Accreditation: CAP/ASRM

University Reproductive Associates, PC  
214 Terrace Ave  
Hasbrouck Heights NJ 07604  
Telephone: (201) 288-6330; Fax: (201) 288-6331  
Lab Name: University Reproductive Associates, PC,  
Center for Reproductive Medicine  
Accreditation: CAP/ASRM

---

Shore Institute for Reproductive Medicine  
475 Route 70, Suite 201  
Lakewood NJ 08701  
Telephone: (732) 363-4777; Fax: (732) 363-2004  
Lab Name: Shore Area IVF Laboratories, PC  
Accreditation: CAP/ASRM

Delaware Valley OBGYN and Infertility Group  
Princeton IVF  
2 Princess Rd, Suite C  
Lawrenceville NJ 08648  
Telephone: (609) 896-0777; Fax: (609) 896-3266  
Lab Name: Delaware Valley OBGYN and Infertility  
Group, Princeton IVF  
Accreditation: CAP/ASRM

Princeton Center for Infertility &  
Reproductive Medicine  
3131 Princeton Pike, Bldg 4, Suite 204  
Lawrenceville NJ 08648  
Telephone: (609) 895-1114; Fax: (609) 895-1196  
Lab Name: Cooper Institute for Reproductive  
Hormonal Disorders  
Accreditation: CAP/ASRM

East Coast Infertility and IVF  
200 White Rd, Suite 214  
Little Silver NJ 07739  
Telephone: (732) 758-6511; Fax: (732) 758-1048  
Lab Name: East Coast Infertility and IVF  
Accreditation: CAP/ASRM

Institute for Reproductive Medicine and Science  
Saint Barnabas Medical Center, East Wing  
94 Old Short Hills Rd, Suite 403  
Livingston NJ 07039  
Telephone: (973) 322-8286; Fax: (973) 322-8890  
Lab Name: Institute for Reproductive Medicine and  
Science at Saint Barnabas Medical Center  
Accreditation: CAP/ASRM

Cooper Institute for Reproductive  
Hormonal Disorders  
8002E Greentree Commons  
Marlton NJ 08053  
Telephone: (856) 751-5575; Fax: (856) 751-7289  
Lab Name: Cooper Institute for Reproductive  
Hormonal Disorders  
Accreditation: CAP/ASRM

Delaware Valley Institute of Fertility and Genetics  
6000 Sagemore Dr, Suite 6102  
Marlton NJ 08053  
Telephone: (856) 988-0072; Fax: (856) 988-0056  
Lab Name: Delaware Valley Institute of Fertility &  
Genetics Reproductive Laboratories  
Accreditation: CAP/ASRM

South Jersey Fertility Center  
400 Lippincott Dr, Suite 130  
Marlton NJ 08053  
Telephone: (856) 596-2233; Fax: (856) 596-2411  
Lab Name: South Jersey Fertility Center  
Accreditation: JCAHO

Diamond Institute for Infertility  
89 Millburn Ave  
Millburn NJ 07041  
Telephone: (973) 761-5600; Fax: (973) 761-5100  
Lab Name: Diamond Institute for Infertility IVF  
Laboratory  
Accreditation: CAP/ASRM

Reproductive Medicine Associates of New Jersey  
111 Madison Ave, Suite 100  
Morristown NJ 07962  
Telephone: (973) 971-4600; Fax: (973) 290-8370  
Lab Name: Reproductive Medicine Associates of  
New Jersey Embryology Laboratory  
Accreditation: CAP/ASRM

Valley Hospital Fertility Center  
1 Valley Health Plaza  
Paramus NJ 07652  
Telephone: (201) 634-5400; Fax: (201) 634-5506  
Lab Name: Valley Hospital Fertility Center  
Accreditation: CAP/ASRM

IVF New Jersey  
81 Veronica Ave  
Somerset NJ 08873  
Telephone: (732) 220-9060; Fax: (732) 545-1164  
Lab Name: IVF New Jersey Embryology Laboratory  
Accreditation: CAP/ASRM

Reproductive Science Center of New Jersey  
4000 Route 66, Suite 125  
Tinton Falls NJ 07753  
Telephone: (732) 918-2500; Fax: (732) 918-2504  
Lab Name: Reproductive Science Center of  
New Jersey  
Accreditation: CAP/ASRM (Pend)

---

Dr. Louis R. Manara  
*Dr. Louis R. Manara*  
*The Center for Reproductive Medicine & Fertility*  
200A Route 73  
Voorhees NJ 08043  
Telephone: (856) 767-0009; Fax: (856) 767-0990  
Lab Name: Center for Reproductive Medicine  
and Fertility  
Accreditation: CAP/ASRM (Pend)

North Jersey Fertility Associates, LLC  
57 Willowbrooks Blvd, Suite 301  
Wayne NJ 07470  
Telephone: (973) 754-4055; Fax: (973) 754-4058  
Lab Name: North Jersey Fertility Associates,  
LLC, Laboratory  
Accreditation: CAP/ASRM

Fertility Institute of New Jersey and New York  
400 Old Hook Rd, Suite 2-3  
Westwood NJ 07675  
Telephone: (201) 666-4200; Fax: (201) 666-2262  
Lab Name: Fertility Institute of Northern New Jersey  
IVF Laboratory  
Accreditation: CAP/ASRM

## **NEW MEXICO**

Center for Reproductive Medicine of New Mexico  
Presbyterian Professional Bldg  
201 Cedar St S.E., Suite S1-20  
Albuquerque NM 87106  
Telephone: (505) 247-3333; Fax: (505) 224-7476  
Lab Name: Center for Reproductive Medicine of  
New Mexico In Vitro Fertilization and Andrology  
Accreditation: CAP/ASRM

## **NEW YORK**

Albany IVF, Fertility and Gynecology  
349 Northern Blvd  
Albany NY 12204  
Telephone: (518) 434-9759; Fax: (518) 436-9822  
Lab Name: Albany IVF Fertility & Gynecology  
Accreditation: NYSTB

The Fertility Institute at New York  
Methodist Hospital  
506 Sixth St  
Brooklyn NY 11215  
Telephone: (718) 780-5065; Fax: (718) 780-5085  
Lab Name: The Fertility Institute at New York  
Methodist Hospital  
Accreditation: NYSTB

Genesis Fertility & Reproductive Medicine  
1355 84th St  
Brooklyn NY 11228  
Telephone: (718) 283-8600; Fax: (718) 283-6580  
Lab Name: Brooklyn IVF Maimonides  
Medical Center  
Accreditation: NYSTB

Infertility & IVF Medical Associates of Western  
New York  
4510 Main St  
Buffalo NY 14226  
Telephone: (716) 839-3057; Fax: (716) 839-1477  
Lab Name: Infertility and IVF Medical Associates  
of Western New York  
Accreditation: NYSTB

Division of Reproductive Endocrinology  
SUNY Stony Brook  
University Physicians at Stony Brook  
6 Technology Dr  
East Setauket NY 11733  
Telephone: (631) 444-5174; Fax: (631) 444-5175  
Lab Name: John T. Mather Memorial Hospital  
IVF Laboratory  
Accreditation: CAP/ASRM, NYSTB

The New York Fertility Center  
42-31 Colden St, Suite 202/208  
Flushing NY 11355  
Telephone: (718) 261-9068; Fax: (718) 261-9067  
Lab Name: New Hope Fertility Center  
Accreditation: NYSTB

Montefiore's Institute for Reproductive Medicine  
and Health  
141 S. Central Ave, Suite 201  
Hartsdale NY 10530  
Telephone: (914) 997-1060; Fax: (914) 997-1099  
Lab Name: Institute for Reproductive Medicine and  
Health of Montefiore Medical Center  
Accreditation: CAP/ASRM, JCAHO, NYSTB

North Shore University Hospital  
Center for Human Reproduction  
300 Community Dr  
Manhasset NY 11030  
Telephone: (516) 562-2229; Fax: (516) 562-1710  
Lab Name: North Shore University Hospital Center  
for Human Reproduction  
Accreditation: CAP/ASRM, JCAHO, NYSTB

---

● Reproductive Specialists of New York  
200 Old Country Rd, Suite 330  
Mineola NY 11501  
Telephone: (516) 739-2100; Fax: (516) 739-2179  
Lab Name: Reproductive Specialists of New York  
Accreditation: NYSTB

Advanced Fertility Services  
1625 Third Ave  
New York NY 10128  
Telephone: (212) 369-8700; Fax: (212) 722-5587  
Lab Name: Advanced Fertility Services, PC  
Accreditation: NYSTB

American Fertility Services, PC  
115 E. 57th St, Suite 500  
New York NY 10022  
Telephone: (212) 750-3330; Fax: (212) 750-3334  
Lab Name: American Fertility Services, PC  
Accreditation: CAP/ASRM (Pend), NYSTB

Beth Israel Center for Infertility &  
Reproductive Health  
10 Union Square East, Suite 2E  
New York NY 10003  
Telephone: (212) 844-8587; Fax: (212) 844-6184  
Lab Name: New York Medical Services for  
Reproductive Medicine  
Accreditation: NYSTB

Brooklyn/Westside Fertility Center  
Brooklyn Fertility Center  
55 Central Park West, Suite 1C  
New York NY 10023  
Telephone: (212) 721-4545; Fax: (212) 721-4598  
Lab Name: Brooklyn Fertility Center  
Accreditation: NYSTB

Columbia University Center for Women's  
Reproductive Care  
1790 Broadway, 2nd Floor  
New York NY 10019  
Telephone: (646) 756-3874; Fax: (646) 756-8283  
Lab Name: Center for Women's Reproductive Care  
Accreditation: NYSTB

IVF New York  
230 Central Park South  
New York NY 10019  
Telephone: (212) 582-4094; Fax: (212) 246-3430  
Lab Name: IVF New York  
Accreditation: NYSTB

Manhattan Reproductive Medicine  
159 E. 74th St, Suite 1C  
New York NY 10021  
Telephone: (212) 794-0080; Fax: (212) 794-0066  
Lab Name: Manhattan Reproductive Medicine, PC  
Accreditation: NYSTB

Medical Offices for Human Reproduction  
Center for Human Reproduction (CHR)  
21 E. 69th St  
New York NY 10021  
Telephone: (212) 994-4400; Fax: (212) 994-4499  
Lab Name: Medical Offices for  
Human Reproduction–New York  
Accreditation: NYSTB

New Hope Fertility Center  
784 Park Ave  
New York NY 10021  
Telephone: (212) 517-7676; Fax: (212) 396-0600  
Lab Name: New Hope Fertility Center  
Accreditation: NYSTB

New York Fertility Institute  
1016 5th Ave  
New York NY 10028  
Telephone: (212) 734-5555; Fax: (212) 734-6059  
Lab Name: New York Fertility Institute  
Reproductive Laboratory  
Accreditation: CAP/ASRM, NYSTB

● NYU Fertility Center  
New York University School of Medicine  
660 First Ave, 5th Floor  
New York NY 10016  
Telephone: (212) 263-8990; Fax: (212) 263-7853  
Lab Name: NYU Fertility Center  
Accreditation: NYSTB

Offices for Fertility and Reproductive Medicine  
51 E. 67th St  
New York NY 10021  
Telephone: (212) 535-5350; Fax: (212) 535-5080  
Lab Name: Offices for Fertility and  
Reproductive Medicine, PC  
Accreditation: NYSTB

Reproductive Care of NY  
330 W. 58th St, Suite 613  
New York NY 10019  
Telephone: (212) 247-3111; Fax: (212) 247-3255  
Lab Name: IVF New York  
Accreditation: NYSTB



---

Reproductive Endocrinology Associates of  
St. Luke's Roosevelt Hospital Center  
425 W. 59th St, Suite 5A  
New York NY 10019  
Telephone: (212) 523-7751; Fax: (212) 523-8348  
Lab Name: Continuum Reproductive Center  
Accreditation: NYSTB

Reproductive Medicine Associates of  
New York, LLP  
635 Madison Ave, 10th Floor  
New York NY 10022  
Telephone: (212) 756-5777; Fax: (212) 756-5770  
Lab Name: Reproductive Medicine Associates  
of New York, LLP  
Accreditation: NYSTB

Sher Institutes for Reproductive Medicine—NYC  
425 5th Ave  
New York NY 10016  
Telephone: (646) 792-7476; Fax: (646) 274-0600  
Lab Name: Sher Institute for  
Reproductive Medicine—NYC  
Accreditation: NYSTB

● Weill Medical College of Cornell University  
The Center for Reproductive Medicine and Infertility  
1305 York Ave, 6th Floor  
New York NY 10021  
Telephone: (646) 962-2764; Fax: (646) 962-0359  
Lab Name: Weill Medical College of  
Cornell University Infertility Laboratory  
Accreditation: NYSTB

East Coast Fertility  
1074 Old Country Rd  
Plainview NY 11803  
Telephone: (516) 939-2229; Fax: (516) 939-2252  
Lab Name: East Coast Fertility  
Accreditation: CAP/ASRM (Pend), NYSTB

Long Island IVF  
625 Belle Terre Rd, Suite 200  
Port Jefferson NY 11777  
Telephone: (631) 331-7575; Fax: (631) 331-1332  
Lab Name: John T. Mather Memorial Hospital  
IVF Laboratory  
Accreditation: CAP/ASRM, NYSTB

Rochester Fertility Care, PC  
1561 Long Pond Rd, Suite 410  
Rochester NY 14626  
Telephone: (585) 453-7760; Fax: (585) 453-7771  
Lab Name: Strong Fertility and  
Reproductive Science Center  
Accreditation: NYSTB

§ Strong Fertility and Reproductive Science Center  
500 Red Creek Dr, Suite 220  
Rochester NY 14623  
Telephone: (585) 487-3378; Fax: (585) 334-8998  
Contact the NASS Help Desk for current  
clinic information.

Island Reproductive Services  
1110 South Ave, Suite 305  
Staten Island NY 10314  
Telephone: (718) 761-6000; Fax: (718) 761-6066  
Lab Name: North Shore University Hospital Center  
for Human Reproduction  
Accreditation: CAP/ASRM, JCAHO, NYSTB  
Lab Name: Brooklyn IVF Maimonides  
Medical Center  
Accreditation: NYSTB

Gold Coast IVF  
Reproductive Medicine and Surgery Center  
243 Jericho Turnpike  
Syosset NY 11791  
Telephone: (516) 682-8900; Fax: (516) 682-8901  
Lab Name: North Shore University Hospital Center  
for Human Reproduction  
Accreditation: CAP/ASRM, JCAHO, NYSTB

CNY Fertility Center  
195 Intrepid Ln  
Syracuse NY 13205  
Telephone: (315) 469-8700; Fax: (315) 469-6789  
Lab Name: CNY Fertility Center—Latham  
Accreditation: NYSTB  
Lab Name: CNY Fertility Center—Syracuse  
Accreditation: NYSTB

Westchester Fertility and  
Reproductive Endocrinology  
136 S. Broadway, Suite 100  
White Plains NY 10605  
Telephone: (914) 949-6677; Fax: (914) 949-5758  
Lab Name: Westchester IVF  
Accreditation: NYSTB



---

## **NORTH CAROLINA**

North Carolina Center for Reproductive Medicine  
The Talbert Fertility Institute  
400 Ashville Ave, Suite 200  
Cary NC 27511  
Telephone: (919) 233-1680; Fax: (919) 233-1685  
Lab Name: North Carolina Center for Reproductive  
Medicine, North Carolina Reproductive  
Laboratories  
Accreditation: CAP/ASRM

University of North Carolina A.R.T. Clinic  
UNC School of Medicine/ CB#7570  
Department of OB/GYN  
Chapel Hill NC 27599  
Telephone: (919) 966-1150; Fax: (919) 966-1259  
Lab Name: UNC Hospitals Reproductive  
Endocrinology & Fertility  
Accreditation: CAP/ASRM, JCAHO

Institute for Assisted Reproduction  
1524 E. Morehead St  
Charlotte NC 28207  
Telephone: (704) 343-3400; Fax: (704) 343-3428  
Lab Name: Reproductive Endocrinology Associates  
of Charlotte, Institute for Assisted Reproduction  
Accreditation: CAP/ASRM

Program for Assisted Reproduction, Carolinas  
Medical Center  
Carolinas Medical Center Women's Institute  
1025 Morehead Medical Dr, Suite 500  
Charlotte NC 28204  
Telephone: (704) 355-3153; Fax: (704) 355-1941  
Lab Name: Carolinas Medical Center Andrology  
and ART Laboratories  
Accreditation: CAP/ASRM

**S**Duke Fertility Center  
Duke University Medical Center  
5704 Fayetteville Rd  
Durham NC 27713  
Telephone: (919) 572-4673; Fax: (919) 484-0682  
Contact the NASS Help Desk for current  
clinic information.

East Carolina University  
ECU Women's Physicians, 2160 Herbert Ct  
Greenville NC 27834  
Telephone: (252) 744-3849; Fax: (252) 744-2016  
Lab Name: ECU Women's Physicians Assisted  
Reproductive Technology Laboratory  
Accreditation: CAP/ASRM

Affordable IVF  
9800 W. Kinsey Ave, Suite 160  
Huntersville NC 28078  
Telephone: (704) 947-9000; Fax: (704) 992-1900  
Lab Name: Affordable IVF  
Accreditation: CAP/ASRM

Carolina Conceptions  
2601 Lake Dr, Suite 301  
Raleigh NC 27607  
Telephone: (919) 782-5911; Fax: (919) 861-6439  
Lab Name: Carolina Conceptions Laboratory  
Accreditation: CAP/ASRM

Wake Forest University Center for Reproductive  
Medicine  
CompRehab Plaza, 131 Miller St, 2nd Floor  
Winston-Salem NC 27103  
Telephone: (336) 716-6476; Fax: (336) 716-0194  
Lab Name: Wake Forest University Reproduction  
Medicine Laboratory  
Accreditation: CAP/ASRM (Pend)

## **NORTH DAKOTA**

MeritCare Reproductive Medicine  
1111 Harwood Dr South, Suite 743  
Fargo ND 58122  
Telephone: (701) 234-2700; Fax: (701) 234-2783  
Lab Name: MeritCare Medical Group  
Reproductive Medicine Laboratory  
Accreditation: CAP/ASRM

## **OHIO**

Fertility Unlimited, Inc.  
Northeastern Ohio Fertility Center  
468 E. Market St  
Akron OH 44304  
Telephone: (330) 376-8353; Fax: (330) 376-4807  
Lab Name: Fertility Unlimited, Inc.  
Accreditation: JCAHO (Pend)

---

Reproductive Gynecology  
95 Arch St, Suite 250  
Akron OH 44304  
Telephone: (330) 375-7722; Fax: (330) 375-3986  
Lab Name: Reproductive Gynecology  
Laboratories, LLC  
Accreditation: JCAHO

Cleveland Clinic Fertility Center  
26900 Cedar Rd, Suite 220 South  
Beachwood OH 44122  
Telephone: (216) 839-3150; Fax: (216) 839-3195  
Lab Name: Cleveland Clinic Foundation, Cleveland  
Clinic Fertility Center  
Accreditation: CAP/ASRM, JCAHO

Bethesda Center for Reproductive Health & Fertility  
Bethesda Hospital  
10506 Montgomery Rd, Suite 303  
Cincinnati OH 45242  
Telephone: (513) 745-1675; Fax: (513) 745-1676  
Lab Name: Reproductive Studies Laboratory  
Accreditation: JCAHO

Center for Reproductive Health  
2123 Auburn Ave, Suite A44  
Cincinnati OH 45219  
Telephone: (513) 585-0752; Fax: (513) 585-0808  
Lab Name: The Christ Hospital  
Accreditation: JCAHO

Institute for Reproductive Health  
3805 Edwards Rd, Suite 450  
Cincinnati OH 45209  
Telephone: (513) 924-5550; Fax: (513) 924-5549  
Lab Name: Institute for Reproductive Health  
ART Laboratory  
Accreditation: CAP/ASRM  
Lab Name: The Christ Hospital  
Accreditation: JCAHO

MacDonald Fertility and IVF Program  
University Hospitals, MacDonald Women's Hospital  
*MacDonald Fertility and IVF*  
11100 Euclid Ave, Suite 1200  
Cleveland OH 44106  
Telephone: (216) 844-1514; Fax: (216) 844-7098  
Lab Name: MacDonald Fertility and IVF Program  
Accreditation: CAP/ASRM

MetroHealth Medical Center  
MetroHealth Fertility Center  
Department of Obstetrics & Gynecology  
2500 MetroHealth Dr  
Cleveland OH 44109  
Telephone: (216) 778-5990; Fax: (216) 778-8642  
Lab Name: Cleveland Clinic Foundation, Cleveland  
Clinic Fertility Center  
Accreditation: CAP/ASRM, JCAHO

Ohio Reproductive Medicine  
4830 E. Knightsbridge Blvd  
Columbus OH 43214  
Telephone: (614) 451-2280; Fax: (614) 451-4352  
Lab Name: Reproductive Diagnostics, Inc.  
Accreditation: CAP/ASRM

Kettering Reproductive Medicine  
3533 Southern Blvd, Suite 4100  
Kettering OH 45429  
Telephone: (937) 395-8444; Fax: (937) 395-8450  
Lab Name: Kettering Medical Center Reproductive  
Medicine Laboratory  
Accreditation: CAP/ASRM

Fertility Center of Northwestern Ohio  
2142 N. Cove Blvd  
Toledo OH 43606  
Telephone: (419) 291-8835; Fax: (419) 479-6005  
Lab Name: The Toledo Hospital, The Fertility Center  
of NW Ohio  
Accreditation: CAP/ASRM

## **OKLAHOMA**

Henry G. Bennett, Jr., Fertility Institute  
3433 N.W. 56th St, Suite 200  
Oklahoma City OK 73112  
Telephone: (405) 949-6060; Fax: (405) 949-6872  
Lab Name: Integris Baptist Medical Center, Bennett  
Fertility Institute Reproductive Services  
Accreditation: CAP/ASRM, JCAHO

Center for Reproductive Health, PC  
1000 N. Lincoln Blvd, Suite 300  
Oklahoma City OK 73104  
Telephone: (405) 271-1616; Fax: (405) 271-9222  
Lab Name: OU Physicians, Department of  
OB/GYN ART Laboratory  
Accreditation: CAP/ASRM

---

Tulsa Center for Fertility & Women's Health  
*Tulsa Fertility Center*  
115 E. 15th St  
Tulsa OK 74119  
Telephone: (918) 584-2870; Fax: (918) 587-3602  
Lab Name: Tulsa Fertility Center Laboratory  
Accreditation: CAP/ASRM

## OREGON

The Fertility Center of Oregon  
590 Country Club Pkwy, Suite A  
Eugene OR 97401  
Telephone: (541) 683-1559; Fax: (541) 683-1709  
Lab Name: Fertility Center of Oregon  
Embryology Laboratory  
Accreditation: None

Northwest Fertility Center  
Eugene M. Stoelk, MD  
1750 S.W. Harbor Way, Suite 200  
Portland OR 97201  
Telephone: (503) 227-7799; Fax: (503) 227-5452  
Lab Name: Oregon Health Sciences University  
Andrology/Embryology  
Accreditation: CAP/ASRM

Portland Center for Reproductive Medicine  
*Oregon Reproductive Medicine*  
2222 N.W. Lovejoy, Suite 304  
Portland OR 97210  
Telephone: (503) 274-4994; Fax: (503) 274-4946  
Lab Name: The Reproductive Medicine Laboratory  
Accreditation: JCAHO

University Fertility Consultants  
Oregon Health & Science University  
OHSU Center for Health & Healing  
3303 S.W. Bond Ave, 10th Floor  
Portland OR 97239  
Telephone: (503) 418-3700; Fax: (503) 418-3708  
Lab Name: Oregon Health Sciences University  
Andrology/Embryology  
Accreditation: CAP/ASRM

## PENNSYLVANIA

Toll Center for Reproductive Sciences  
1200 Old York Rd  
Abington PA 19001  
Telephone: (215) 481-2349; Fax: (215) 481-7550  
Lab Name: Abington Memorial Hospital, Toll Center  
for Reproductive Sciences  
Accreditation: CAP/ASRM, JCAHO

Infertility Solutions, PC  
1275 S. Cedar Crest Blvd, Suite 3  
Allentown PA 18103  
Telephone: (610) 776-1217; Fax: (610) 776-4149  
Lab Name: Infertility Solutions, PC  
Accreditation: JCAHO

Reprotech IVF Program  
440 S. 15th St  
Allentown PA 18102  
Telephone: (610) 437-7000; Fax: (610) 437-6381  
Lab Name: Reprotech, Inc.  
Accreditation: None

Family Fertility Center  
95 Highland Ave, Suite 100  
Bethlehem PA 18017  
Telephone: (610) 868-8600; Fax: (610) 868-8700  
Lab Name: Family Fertility Center  
Accreditation: CAP/ASRM

Main Line Fertility and Reproductive Medicine  
130 S. Bryn Mawr Ave, Suite 1000  
D Wing, Ground Floor  
Bryn Mawr PA 19010  
Telephone: (610) 527-0800; Fax: (610) 527-9868  
Lab Name: Main Line Fertility Center Laboratory  
Accreditation: CAP/ASRM, JCAHO

**S**Geisinger Medical Center Fertility Program  
100 N. Academy Ave  
Danville PA 17822  
Telephone: (570) 271-5620; Fax: (570) 271-5629  
Contact the NASS Help Desk for current  
clinic information.

Advanced Center for Infertility and Reproductive  
Medicine, RPC  
2708 Commerce Dr, Suite 100  
Harrisburg PA 17110  
Telephone: (717) 545-9300; Fax: (717) 540-3700  
Lab Name: Central Penn Reproductive  
Laboratory, LLC  
Accreditation: None

Penn State Milton S. Hershey Medical Center  
500 University Dr  
Hershey PA 17033  
Telephone: (717) 531-8478; Fax: (717) 531-6286  
Lab Name: Penn State Milton S. Hershey  
Medical Center  
Accreditation: JCAHO

---

Northern Fertility and Reproductive Associates, PC  
1650 Huntingdon Pike, Suite 154  
Meadowbrook PA 19046  
Telephone: (215) 938-1515; Fax: (215) 938-8756  
Lab Name: Reproductive Science Institute of  
Suburban Philadelphia  
Accreditation: CAP/ASRM, JCAHO

Jefferson IVF  
834 Chestnut St, Suite 300  
Philadelphia PA 19107  
Telephone: (215) 955-4018; Fax: (215) 955-7258  
Lab Name: Main Line Fertility Center Laboratory  
Accreditation: CAP/ASRM

University of Pennsylvania  
Penn Fertility Care  
3701 Market St, Suite 730  
Philadelphia PA 19104  
Telephone: (215) 662-6560; Fax: (215) 349-5512  
Lab Name: Penn Fertility Care at Limerick  
Accreditation: CAP/ASRM, JCAHO

Jones Institute at West Penn Allegheny  
Health System  
4815 Liberty Ave, Suite 330  
Pittsburgh PA 15224  
Telephone: (412) 578-5588; Fax: (412) 605-6544  
Lab Name: Jones Institute at West Penn Allegheny  
Health System AGH Outpatient Surgery Center  
Accreditation: CAP/ASRM

Reproductive Health Specialists, Inc.  
665 Rodi Rd  
Rodi Plaza, Bldg 2, 2nd Floor  
Pittsburgh PA 15235  
Telephone: (412) 731-8000; Fax: (412) 731-8399  
Lab Name: Reproductive Health Specialists, Inc.  
Accreditation: CAP/ASRM

University of Pittsburgh Physicians  
Center for Fertility and Reproductive Endocrinology  
Magee Womens Hospital  
300 Halket St, Suite 5150  
Pittsburgh PA 15213  
Telephone: (412) 641-1600; Fax: (412) 641-1133  
Lab Name: Center for Fertility and Reproductive  
Endocrinology IVF Laboratory  
Accreditation: CAP/ASRM

Reproductive Endocrinology and Fertility Center  
Crozer–Chester Medical Center  
Ambulatory Care Pavilion  
1 Medical Center Blvd, Suite 531  
Upland PA 19013  
Telephone: (610) 447-2727; Fax: (610) 447-6549  
Lab Name: Crozer–Chester Medical Center  
Andrology/IVF Laboratory  
Accreditation: CAP/ASRM

Reproductive Science Institute of  
Suburban Philadelphia  
945 Chesterbrook Blvd  
Wayne PA 19087  
Telephone: (610) 964-9663; Fax: (610) 964-0536  
Lab Name: Reproductive Science Institute of  
Suburban Philadelphia  
Accreditation: CAP/ASRM, JCAHO

Women's Clinic, Ltd.  
301 S. 7th Ave, Suite 245  
West Reading PA 19611  
Telephone: (610) 374-2214; Fax: (610) 374-8852  
Lab Name: Fertility Medical Labs, Ltd.  
Accreditation: CAP/ASRM

Fertility and Gynecology Associates  
Executive Mews, 2300 Computer Rd  
Willow Grove PA 19090  
Telephone: (215) 706-4090; Fax: (215) 706-4072  
Lab Name: Abington Memorial Hospital, Toll Center  
for Reproductive Sciences  
Accreditation: CAP/ASRM, JCAHO

The Fertility Center, LLC  
130 Leader Heights Rd  
York PA 17403  
Telephone: (717) 747-3099; Fax: (717) 747-3214  
Lab Name: The Fertility Center, LLC  
Accreditation: None

## **PUERTO RICO**

Pedro J. Beauchamp, MD  
Dr. Arturo Cadilla Bldg  
100 Paseo San Pablo, Suite 503  
Bayamon PR 00959  
Telephone: (787) 798-0100; Fax: (787) 740-7250  
Lab Name: Dr Pedro J. Beauchamp Fertility  
Center Laboratory  
Accreditation: JCAHO

---

GREFI  
Gynecology, Reproductive Endocrinology &  
Fertility Institute  
1519 Ponce de Leon Ave, First Bank Bldg,  
Suite 705  
Santurce PR 00910  
Telephone: (787) 721-3544; Fax: (787) 848-0979  
Lab Name: GREFI Laboratory–San Juan  
Accreditation: CAP/ASRM  
Lab Name: GREFI Laboratory–Ponce  
Accreditation: CAP/ASRM

## **RHODE ISLAND**

Women and Infants' Division of Reproductive  
Medicine and Infertility  
One Blackstone Place  
Providence RI 02905  
Telephone: (401) 453-7500; Fax: (401) 453-7598  
Lab Name: Women and Infants Hospital  
IVF Laboratory  
Accreditation: CAP/ASRM

## **SOUTH CAROLINA**

Piedmont Reproductive Endocrinology Group, PA  
17 Caledon Ct, Suite C  
Greenville SC 29615  
Telephone: (864) 232-7734; Fax: (864) 232-7099  
Lab Name: Piedmont Reproductive Endocrinology  
Group, PA, Embryology Laboratory  
Accreditation: CAP/ASRM

University Medical Group, Department of  
Obstetrics and Gynecology  
Reproductive Endocrinology and Infertility  
890 W. Faris Rd, Suite 470  
Greenville SC 29605  
Telephone: (864) 455-1675; Fax: (864) 455-3095  
Lab Name: Greenville Hospital System  
Reproductive Endocrinology and Infertility  
Accreditation: CAP/ASRM, JCAHO

Southeastern Fertility Center, PA  
1375 Hospital Dr  
Mount Pleasant SC 29464  
Telephone: (843) 881-3900; Fax: (843) 881-4729  
Lab Name: Southeastern Fertility Center, PA  
Embryology Laboratory  
Accreditation: CAP/ASRM

Advanced Fertility & Reproductive Endocrinology  
2728 Sunset Blvd, Suite 305  
West Columbia SC 29169  
Telephone: (803) 939-1515; Fax: (803) 939-0977  
Lab Name: Advanced Fertility & Reproductive  
Endocrinology Institute Laboratory  
Accreditation: CAP/ASRM

## **SOUTH DAKOTA**

Sioux Valley Clinic OB-GYN, Ltd.  
1500 W. 22nd St, MB3, Suite 102B  
Sioux Falls SD 57105  
Telephone: (605) 328-7700; Fax: (605) 328-8831  
Lab Name: Sanford Women's Health, Advanced  
Reproductive Laboratory  
Accreditation: CAP/ASRM

## **TENNESSEE**

Fertility Center, LLC  
1624 Gunbarrel Rd  
Chattanooga TN 37421  
Telephone: (423) 899-0500; Fax: (423) 899-2411  
Lab Name: Fertility Center of Chattanooga  
Accreditation: JCAHO

Center for Applied Reproductive Science  
408 N. State of Franklin Rd, Suite 31  
Johnson City TN 37604  
Telephone: (423) 461-8880; Fax: (423) 461-8887  
Lab Name: Center for Applied  
Reproductive Science  
Accreditation: None

East Tennessee IVF, Fertility, and Andrology Center  
1924 Alcoa Hwy, Bldg B, Suite 304  
Knoxville TN 37920  
Telephone: (865) 549-4575; Fax: (865) 549-4577  
Lab Name: East Tennessee IVF, Fertility and  
Andrology Center  
Accreditation: JCAHO (Pend)

Southeastern Fertility Center  
10810 Parkside Dr, Suite 304  
Knoxville TN 37934  
Telephone: (865) 218-6600; Fax: (865) 218-6666  
Lab Name: Southeastern Fertility Center  
Accreditation: None



---

Kutteh Ke Fertility Associates of Memphis, PLLC  
80 Humphreys Center, Suite 307  
Memphis TN 38120  
Telephone: (901) 747-2229; Fax: (901) 747-4446  
Lab Name: Memphis Fertility Laboratory, Inc.  
Accreditation: CAP/ASRM

The Center for Reproductive Health  
2011 Murphy Ave, Suite 605  
Nashville TN 37203  
Telephone: (615) 321-8899; Fax: (615) 321-8877  
Lab Name: Fertility Laboratories of Nashville, Inc.  
Accreditation: CAP/ASRM

Nashville Fertility Center  
345 23rd Ave North, Suite 401  
Nashville TN 37203  
Telephone: (615) 321-4740; Fax: (615) 320-0240  
Lab Name: Reproductive Specialty Laboratory of  
Middle Tennessee, LLC  
Accreditation: CAP/ASRM

## TEXAS

Texas Fertility Center  
Drs. Vaughn, Silverberg and Hansard  
6500 N. Mopac Expressway, Bldg 1, Suite 1200  
Austin TX 78731  
Telephone: (512) 451-0149; Fax: (512) 451-0977  
Lab Name: St. David's Hospital ART Laboratory  
Accreditation: JCAHO

Jeffrey T. Youngkin, MD  
Austin Fertility Center  
805 E. 32nd St, Suite 201  
Austin TX 78705  
Telephone: (512) 478-3188; Fax: (512) 478-5092  
Lab Name: Austin IVF  
Accreditation: CAP/ASRM (Pend), JCAHO

Center for Assisted Reproduction  
1701 Park Place Ave  
Bedford TX 76022  
Telephone: (817) 540-1157; Fax: (817) 267-0522  
Lab Name: Center for Assisted Reproduction  
IVF Laboratory  
Accreditation: CAP/ASRM

Texas Fertility  
4323 N. Josey Ln, Plaza I, Suite 201  
Carrollton TX 75010  
Telephone: (972) 394-9590; Fax: (972) 394-9579  
Lab Name: Trinity Medical Center IVF Laboratory  
Accreditation: CAP/ASRM

Trinity InVitro Fertilization Program  
Trinity Medical Center Plaza III  
4325 N. Josey Ln, Suite 111  
Carrollton TX 75010  
Telephone: (972) 394-3699; Fax: (972) 394-6517  
Lab Name: Trinity Medical Center IVF Laboratory  
Accreditation: CAP/ASRM

Dallas-Fort Worth Fertility Associates  
8160 Walnut Hill Ln, Suite 328  
Dallas TX 75231  
Telephone: (214) 363-5965; Fax: (214) 363-0639  
Lab Name: Presbyterian Hospital of Dallas  
ARTS Program  
Accreditation: CAP/ASRM, JCAHO

Fertility Specialists of Dallas, PA  
8230 Walnut Hill Ln, Suite 300  
Dallas TX 75231  
Telephone: (214) 750-5500; Fax: (214) 750-5540  
Lab Name: Presbyterian Hospital of Dallas  
ARTS Program  
Accreditation: CAP/ASRM, JCAHO

Sher Institute for Reproductive Medicine–Dallas  
7777 Forest Ln, Suite C638  
Dallas TX 75230  
Telephone: (972) 566-6686; Fax: (972) 566-6670  
Lab Name: Sher Institute for Reproductive  
Medicine–Dallas ART Laboratory  
Accreditation: CAP/ASRM

Texas Center for Reproductive Health  
3600 Gaston Ave, Barnett Tower 504  
Dallas TX 75246  
Telephone: (214) 821-2274; Fax: (214) 821-2373  
Lab Name: Texas Center for Reproductive Health  
Accreditation: CAP/ASRM

The Women's Place  
950 Scotland Dr  
DeSoto TX 75115  
Telephone: (972) 709-9777; Fax: (972) 709-8300  
Lab Name: The Fertility Center at  
Methodist Charlton  
Accreditation: CAP/ASRM



---

Southwest Center for Reproductive Health, PA  
700 S. Mesa Hills  
El Paso TX 79912  
Telephone: (915) 842-9998; Fax: (915) 842-9972  
Lab Name: Southwest Center for  
Reproductive Health, PA  
Accreditation: None

Center for Women's Medicine  
10901 Katy Freeway  
Houston TX 77079  
Telephone: (713) 467-4488; Fax: (713) 467-9499  
Lab Name: Center for Women's  
Medicine Laboratory  
Accreditation: CAP/ASRM

Cooper Institute for Advanced  
Reproductive Medicine  
7500 Beechnut St, Suite 308  
Houston TX 77074  
Telephone: (713) 771-9771; Fax: (713) 771-9773  
Lab Name: Cooper Reproductive Laboratory  
Accreditation: None

Fertility Specialists of Houston  
7900 Fannin St, Suite 3100  
Houston TX 77054  
Telephone: (713) 512-7914; Fax: (713) 512-7853  
Lab Name: Obstetrical and Gynecological  
Associates Laboratories  
Accreditation: CAP/ASRM

Houston Infertility Clinic  
Sonja Kristiansen, MD  
9055 Katy Freeway, Suite 450  
Houston TX 77024  
Telephone: (713) 862-6181; Fax: (713) 464-2810  
Lab Name: Center for Women's  
Medicine Laboratory  
Accreditation: CAP/ASRM

Houston IVF  
920 Frostwood, Suite 720  
Houston TX 77024  
Telephone: (713) 465-1211; Fax: (713) 550-1475  
Lab Name: Houston IVF Laboratory  
Accreditation: CAP/ASRM

North Houston Center for Reproductive  
Medicine, PA  
(NHCRM)  
530 Wells Fargo Dr, Suite 116  
Houston TX 77090  
Telephone: (281) 444-4784; Fax: (281) 444-0429  
Lab Name: North Houston Fertility Laboratory, Inc.  
Accreditation: CAP/ASRM

The Women's Specialists of Houston  
6624 Fannin St, Suite 1800  
Houston TX 77030  
Telephone: (713) 425-3783; Fax: (713) 425-3077  
Lab Name: Center for Women's  
Medicine Laboratory  
Accreditation: CAP/ASRM  
Lab Name: Obstetrical and Gynecological  
Associates Laboratories  
Accreditation: CAP/ASRM

Advanced Reproductive Care Center of Irving  
7501 Las Colinas Blvd, Suite 200A  
Irving TX 75063  
Telephone: (972) 506-9986; Fax: (972) 506-0044  
Lab Name: Advanced Reproductive Care Center of  
Irving, Advanced Reproductive Laboratory, LP  
Accreditation: CAP/ASRM

Wilford Hall Medical Center  
Department of Obstetrics & Gynecology  
2200 Bergquist Dr, Suite 1  
Lackland AFB TX 78236  
Telephone: (210) 292-4016; Fax: (210) 292-6084  
Lab Name: Wilford Hall Medical Center IVF/  
Embryology Infertility Clinic  
Accreditation: CAP/ASRM

Center for Fertility & Reproductive Surgery  
Texas Tech University Health Sciences Center  
3502 9th St, Suite 150  
Lubbock TX 79415  
Telephone: (806) 743-4256; Fax: (806) 743-4462  
Lab Name: Texas Tech University Health Sciences  
Center IVF Laboratory  
Accreditation: CAP/ASRM

The Centre for Reproductive Medicine  
3405 22nd St, Suite 300  
Lubbock TX 79410  
Telephone: (806) 788-1212; Fax: (806) 788-1253  
Lab Name: The Centre for Reproductive Medicine  
Accreditation: CAP/ASRM

---

Reproductive Institute of South Texas  
110 E. Savannah, Bldg B, Suite 103  
McAllen TX 78503  
Telephone: (956) 687-2693; Fax: (956) 687-2829  
Lab Name: Reproductive Institute of  
South Texas Laboratory  
Accreditation: CAP/ASRM

Dallas IVF  
6124 W. Parker Rd, Suite 334  
Plano TX 75093  
Telephone: (972) 981-8700; Fax: (972) 981-8708  
Lab Name: Presbyterian Hospital of Plano Assisted  
Reproduction Technologies  
Accreditation: CAP/ASRM, JCAHO

Fertility Center of San Antonio  
4499 Medical Dr, Suite 200  
San Antonio TX 78229  
Telephone: (210) 692-0577; Fax: (210) 692-1210  
Lab Name: Fertility Center of San Antonio, Inc.  
Accreditation: CAP/ASRM

Institute for Women's Health  
Advanced Fertility Laboratory  
502 Madison Oak Dr, Suite 230  
San Antonio TX 78258  
Telephone: (210) 616-0680; Fax: (210) 616-0684  
Lab Name: Institute for Womens Health Advanced  
Fertility Laboratory  
Accreditation: JCAHO

Perinatal and Fertility Specialists, PA  
502 Madison Oak, Suite 210  
San Antonio TX 78258  
Telephone: (210) 481-3000; Fax: (210) 481-3222  
Lab Name: Institute for Womens Health Advanced  
Fertility Laboratory  
Accreditation: JCAHO

South Texas Fertility Center  
*South Texas Fertility Center*  
*University of Texas Health Science Center–*  
*San Antonio*  
8122 Datapoint, Suite 1300  
San Antonio TX 78229  
Telephone: (210) 567-7575; Fax: (210) 567-7538  
Lab Name: University of Texas Health Science  
Center, San Antonio South Texas Women's  
Health Center  
Accreditation: CAP/ASRM

Houston Fertility Institute  
13414 Medical Complex Dr, Suite 7  
Tomball TX 77375  
Telephone: (281) 357-1881; Fax: (281) 357-1865  
Lab Name: Tomball Regional Hospital In Vitro  
Fertilization Laboratory  
Accreditation: CAP/ASRM  
Lab Name: Houston Fertility Institute, Houston  
Fertility Laboratory  
Accreditation: CAP/ASRM

Center of Reproductive Medicine (CORM)  
1015 Medical Center Blvd, Suite 2100  
Webster TX 77598  
Telephone: (281) 332-0073; Fax: (281) 332-1860  
Lab Name: Center of Reproductive Medicine  
Accreditation: CAP/ASRM

## UTAH

Utah Center for Reproductive Medicine  
675 Arapen Way, Suite 205  
Salt Lake City UT 84108  
Telephone: (801) 581-4838; Fax: (801) 585-2231  
Lab Name: University of Utah School of Medicine  
Andrology/Embryology Laboratory  
Accreditation: CAP/ASRM

Reproductive Care Center  
10150 Petunia Way  
Sandy UT 84092  
Telephone: (801) 878-8888; Fax: (801) 878-8890  
Lab Name: Reproductive Care Center Andrology  
and Embryology Laboratory  
Accreditation: CAP/ASRM

## VERMONT

Vermont Center for Reproductive Medicine  
FAHC–Reproductive Endocrinology & Infertility  
111 Colchester Ave, ACC MP-4  
Burlington VT 05401  
Telephone: (802) 847-0986; Fax: (802) 847-0111  
Lab Name: Fletcher Allen Health Care Vermont  
Center for Reproductive Medicine  
Accreditation: CAP/ASRM, JCAHO

---

## VIRGINIA

Nancy Durso, MD, PC  
Metro Fertility Care  
6355 Walker Ln, Suite 500  
Alexandria VA 22310  
Telephone: (703) 313-6997; Fax: (703) 719-7632  
Lab Name: Medical Faculty Associates, Inc.  
Accreditation: CAP/ASRM  
Lab Name: The Muasher Center for Fertility and IVF  
Accreditation: CAP/ASRM

Washington Fertility Center  
4316 Evergreen Ln  
Annandale VA 22003  
Telephone: (703) 658-3100; Fax: (703) 658-3103  
Lab Name: Washington Fertility Center, Washington  
Reproductive Laboratories  
Accreditation: CAP/ASRM

Dominion Fertility and Endocrinology  
46 S. Glebe Rd, Suite 301  
Arlington VA 22204  
Telephone: (703) 920-3890; Fax: (703) 892-6037  
Lab Name: Dominion Fertility and Endocrinology  
Main Laboratory  
Accreditation: CAP/ASRM

Reproductive Medicine and Surgery Center of  
Virginia, PLC  
595 Peter Jefferson Pkwy, Suite 390  
Charlottesville VA 22911  
Telephone: (434) 982-8520; Fax: (434) 982-8521  
Lab Name: Martha Jefferson Reproductive  
Technology Laboratory  
Accreditation: JCAHO

Genetics & IVF Institute  
3015 Williams Dr  
Fairfax VA 22031  
Telephone: (703) 698-7355; Fax: (703) 204-4617  
Lab Name: Genetics & IVF Institute  
Embryology Laboratory  
Accreditation: CAP/ASRM

The Muasher Center for Fertility and IVF  
8501 Arlington Blvd, Suite 500  
Fairfax VA 22031  
Telephone: (703) 876-6311; Fax: (703) 876-6317  
Lab Name: The Muasher Center for Fertility and IVF  
Accreditation: CAP/ASRM

Jones Institute for Reproductive Medicine  
601 Colley Ave, Suite 251  
Norfolk VA 23507  
Telephone: (757) 446-7116; Fax: (757) 446-8998  
Lab Name: Jones Institute for Reproductive  
Medicine Embryology Laboratory  
Accreditation: CAP/ASRM

Virginia Center for Reproductive Medicine  
11150 Sunset Hills Rd, Suite 100  
Reston VA 20190  
Telephone: (703) 437-7722; Fax: (703) 437-0066  
Lab Name: Virginia Center for  
Reproductive Medicine  
Accreditation: CAP/ASRM

Fertility Institute of Virginia  
10710 Midlothian Turnpike, Suite 331  
Richmond VA 23235  
Telephone: (804) 379-9000; Fax: (804) 379-9031  
Lab Name: Virginia IVF and Andrology Center  
Accreditation: CAP/ASRM

LifeSource Fertility Center  
7603 Forest Ave, Suite 204  
Richmond VA 23229  
Telephone: (804) 673-2273; Fax: (804) 285-3109  
Lab Name: Virginia IVF and Andrology Center  
Accreditation: CAP/ASRM

The Richmond Center for Fertility and Endocrinology  
Courtyard Office Bldg  
7603 Forest Ave, Suite 301  
Richmond VA 23229  
Telephone: (804) 285-9700; Fax: (804) 285-9745  
Lab Name: Virginia IVF and Andrology Center  
Accreditation: CAP/ASRM

The New Hope Center for Reproductive Medicine  
1181 First Colonial Rd, Suite 100  
Virginia Beach VA 23454  
Telephone: (757) 496-5370; Fax: (757) 481-3354  
Lab Name: The New Hope Center for Reproductive  
Medicine Laboratory  
Accreditation: CAP/ASRM

Francisco M. Irianni Infertility Clinic  
1820 W. Plaza Dr  
Winchester VA 22601  
Telephone: (540) 662-6092; Fax: (540) 667-2476  
Lab Name: Medical Faculty Associates, Inc.  
Accreditation: CAP/ASRM

---

## WASHINGTON

Overlake Reproductive Health Inc., PS  
1135 116th Ave N.E., Suite 640  
Bellevue WA 98004  
Telephone: (425) 646-4700; Fax: (425) 646-1076  
Lab Name: Overlake Health Care Association  
Accreditation: JCAHO

Washington Center for Reproductive Medicine  
1370 116th Ave N.E., Suite 100  
Bellevue WA 98004  
Telephone: (425) 462-6100; Fax: (425) 635-0742  
Lab Name: Eastside Fertility Laboratory  
Accreditation: CAP/ASRM

Bellingham IVF & Fertility Care  
2980 Squalicum Pkwy, Suite 103  
Bellingham WA 98225  
Telephone: (360) 715-8124; Fax: (360) 715-8126  
Lab Name: Bellingham IVF & Infertility Care  
Accreditation: None

Northwest Center for Reproductive Sciences  
12333 N.E. 130th Ln, Suite 220  
Kirkland WA 98034  
Telephone: (425) 284-4400; Fax: (425) 899-9803  
Lab Name: Northwest Center for  
Reproductive Sciences  
Accreditation: None

Olympia Women's Health  
403 E. Black Hills Ln N.W.  
Olympia WA 98502  
Telephone: (360) 786-1515; Fax: (360) 754-7476  
Lab Name: Olympia Women's Health  
Accreditation: CAP/ASRM

Pacific Northwest Fertility and IVF Specialists  
1101 Madison Ave, Suite 1050  
Seattle WA 98104  
Telephone: (206) 515-0000; Fax: (205) 150-001  
Lab Name: Pacific Northwest Fertility Laboratory  
Accreditation: CAP/ASRM

Seattle Reproductive Medicine  
Integramed America  
1505 Westlake Ave North, Suite 400  
Seattle WA 98109  
Telephone: (206) 301-5000; Fax: (206) 285-1119  
Lab Name: Seattle Reproductive Medicine,  
SRM Laboratory  
Accreditation: CAP/ASRM

The Center for Reproductive Endocrinology  
and Fertility  
508 W. 6th Ave, Suite 500  
Spokane WA 99204  
Telephone: (509) 462-7070; Fax: (509) 444-3894  
Lab Name: Center for Reproductive Endocrinology  
and Fertility  
Accreditation: JCAHO

GYFT Clinic, PLLC  
502 S. M St, Suite 200  
Tacoma WA 98405  
Telephone: (206) 475-5433; Fax: (206) 473-6715  
Lab Name: GYFT Clinic Reproductive  
Assays Laboratory  
Accreditation: CAP/ASRM

## WEST VIRGINIA

Cabell Huntington Hospital  
Center for Advanced Reproductive Medicine  
1340 Hal Greer Blvd  
Huntington WV 25701  
Telephone: (304) 691-1484; Fax: (304) 691-1410  
Lab Name: Cabell Huntington Hospital  
Accreditation: JCAHO

West Virginia University Center for  
Reproductive Medicine  
1322 Pineview Dr, Suite 2  
Morgantown WV 26505  
Telephone: (304) 598-3100; Fax: (304) 598-8301  
Lab Name: West Virginia University, Department of  
OB GYN, Center for Reproductive Medicine  
Accreditation: CAP/ASRM

## WISCONSIN

The Women's Center at Aurora BayCare  
Medical Center  
Reproductive Endocrinology and Fertility  
*Aurora Health Care–Aurora Fertility Services,  
Green Bay*  
*The Women's Center at Aurora BayCare  
Medical Center*  
2845 Greenbrier Rd, Suite 350  
Green Bay WI 54308  
Telephone: (920) 288-8500; Fax: (920) 288-8570  
Lab Name: Aurora Health Care–Aurora  
Fertility Services  
Accreditation: CAP/ASRM

---

University of Wisconsin–Madison  
Reproductive Endocrinology and Infertility  
600 Highland Ave  
Madison WI 53792  
Telephone: (608) 265-0237; Fax: (608) 262-9862  
Lab Name: University of Wisconsin Hospitals Clinics  
ART and Andrology Laboratory  
Accreditation: CAP/ASRM, JCAHO

Advanced Institute of Fertility  
2801 W. Kinnickinnic River Pkwy, Suite 535  
Milwaukee WI 53215  
Telephone: (414) 645-5437; Fax: (414) 645-5401  
Lab Name: Advanced Institute of Fertility  
Accreditation: CAP/ASRM

Froedtert & Medical College of Wisconsin  
Reproductive Medicine Clinic  
9200 W. Wisconsin Ave, Floor 5P  
Milwaukee WI 53226  
Telephone: (414) 805-7370; Fax: (414) 805-7240  
Lab Name: Froedtert Hospital Reproductive  
Medicine Clinic  
Accreditation: CAP/ASRM

Reproductive Specialty Center  
IVF Columbia  
2015 E. Newport Ave, Suite 707  
Milwaukee WI 53211  
Telephone: (414) 289-9668; Fax: (414) 289-0974  
Lab Name: Reproductive Specialty Center,  
IVF Columbia  
Accreditation: CAP/ASRM

Women's Health Care, SC  
721 American Ave, Suite 304  
Waukesha WI 53188  
Telephone: (262) 549-2229; Fax: (262) 549-1657  
Lab Name: Advanced Institute of Fertility  
Accreditation: CAP/ASRM

Aurora Health Care–Aurora Fertility Services,  
West Allis  
West Allis Memorial Hospital  
8901 West Lincoln Ave, 2nd Floor  
West Allis WI 53227  
Telephone: (414) 329-4300; Fax: (414) 329-4399  
Lab Name: Aurora Health Care–Aurora Fertility  
Services, West Allis Memorial Hospital  
Accreditation: CAP/ASRM



## Nonreporting ART Clinics for 2006, by State

---

The clinics listed below provided ART services throughout 2006 and accordingly were required to submit ART cycle data under the provisions of the Fertility Clinic Success Rate and Certification Act passed by the U.S. Congress. These clinics either failed to submit data or did not provide verification by the clinic medical director that the tabulated success rates were correct, as required for publication.

Consumers who are aware of a clinic that was in operation in 2006 but is not included in this report's lists of either reporting or nonreporting clinics are encouraged to contact us with the complete name, mailing address, and telephone number of the clinic, by e-mail at [ccdinfo@cdc.gov](mailto:ccdinfo@cdc.gov) (Subject: ART) or by regular mail at CDC, ATTN: ARTE team; 4770 Buford Highway, N.E.; Mail Stop K-34; Atlanta GA 30341-3717. Providing this information will help ensure that clinics that should be in the report will be included in upcoming years.

Clinic names preceded by the † symbol have closed since 2006.

†UAMS Women's Health Center  
Division of Reproductive Endocrinology  
5800 W. 10th St, Suite 705  
Little Rock AR 72205  
Telephone: (501) 296-1800; Fax: (501) 296-1710

Sher Institute for Reproductive  
Medicine–Sacramento  
2288 Auburn Blvd, Suite 204  
Sacramento CA 95821  
Telephone: (916) 568-2125; Fax: (916) 567-1360

Tyler Medical Clinic  
9301 Wilshire Blvd, Suite 208  
Beverly Hills CA 90210  
Telephone: (310) 278-7590; Fax: (310) 278-7599

†Issa Shamonki, MD  
2001 Santa Monica Blvd, Suite 770W  
Santa Monica CA 90404  
Telephone: (310) 829-4781; Fax: (310) 828-3874

Gil N. Mileikowsky, MD  
5363 Balboa Blvd, Suite 245  
Encino CA 91316  
Telephone: (818) 981-1888; Fax: (818) 981-1994

†San Antonio Fertility Center  
510 N. 13th Ave, Suite 201  
Upland CA 91786  
Telephone: (909) 949-4858; Fax: (909) 985-7137

Hope IVF and Fertility Center  
2500 Alton Pkwy, Suite 201  
Irvine CA 92606  
Telephone: (949) 387-3888; Fax: (949) 387-3907

Reproductive Genetics In Vitro  
455 S. Hudson St, Level 3  
Denver CO 80246  
Telephone: (303) 399-5393; Fax: (303) 399-9160

La Jolla IVF  
9850 Genesee Ave, Suite 610  
La Jolla CA 92037  
Telephone: (858) 558-2221; Fax: (858) 558-2263

Delaware Institute for Reproductive Medicine, PA  
4745 Ogletown–Stanton Rd, Suite 111  
Newark DE 19713  
Telephone: (302) 738-4600; Fax: (302) 738-3508

Northridge Center for Reproductive Medicine  
18546 Roscoe Blvd, Suite 240  
Northridge CA 91324  
Telephone: (818) 886-0600; Fax: (818) 701-8100

Palm Beach Fertility Center  
9970 Central Park Blvd, Suite 300  
Boca Raton FL 33428  
Telephone: (561) 477-7728; Fax: (561) 477-7035



---

† Fertility Institute of Northwest Florida  
1110 Gulf Breeze Pkwy, Suite 202  
Gulf Breeze FL 32561  
Telephone: (850) 934-3900; Fax: (850) 932-3753

USF, Department of OB/GYN  
Division of REI  
4 Columbia Dr, Suite 500  
Tampa FL 33606  
Telephone: (813) 259-8500; Fax: (813) 259-8593

IVF Hawaii  
Queen's Physicians Office Bldg II  
1329 Lusitana St, Suite 607  
Honolulu HI 96813  
Telephone: (808) 538-6655; Fax: (808) 537-5500

Hawaii Center for Reproductive Medicine  
and Surgery  
642 Ulukahiki St, Suite 300  
Kailua HI 96734  
Telephone: (808) 261-4166; Fax: (808) 261-4086

Center for Women's Care  
1725 W. Harrison St, Suite 739  
Chicago IL 60612  
Telephone: (312) 563-9389; Fax: (312) 563-9549

Chicago Women's Wellness Center, LLC  
845 N. Michigan Ave, Suite 935E  
Chicago IL 60611  
Telephone: (312) 642-6777; Fax: (312) 642-8383

Advanced Reproductive Health Centers, Ltd.  
Chicago IVF  
10811 W. 143rd St, Suite 120  
Orland Park IL 60467  
Telephone: (708) 403-4210; Fax: (708) 403-5272

† McFarland Clinic, PC  
Assisted Reproduction Program  
1215 Duff Ave  
Ames IA 50010  
Telephone: (515) 239-4414; Fax: (515) 239-4786

Kentucky Center for Reproductive Medicine  
310 S. Limestone St  
Lexington KY 40508  
Telephone: (859) 226-7254; Fax: (859) 226-0026

Kentucky Fertility, Gynecology & Obstetrics  
141 N. Eagle Creek Dr, Suite 203  
Lexington KY 40509  
Telephone: (859) 263-9600; Fax: (859) 276-2236

Fertility Clinic, Tulane University Hospital and Clinic  
1415 Tulane Ave, Suite HC-15  
New Orleans LA 70112  
Telephone: (504) 988-2342; Fax: (504) 988-2316

Siu Ng-Wagner, MD  
9333 Sprinklewood Ln  
Potomac MD 20854  
Telephone: (301) 838-9711; Fax: (301) 838-9712

† William Beaumont Fertility Center  
Center for Conception and Reproductive Medicine  
3535 W. Thirteen Mile Rd, Suite 344  
Royal Oak MI 48073  
Telephone: (248) 551-0515; Fax: (248) 551-3616

Luana J. Kyselka, MD, PC  
2877 Crooks Rd, Suite D  
Troy MI 48064  
Telephone: (248) 643-6634; Fax: (248) 643-7165

Douglas S. Rabin, MD  
33-00 Broadway, Suite 303  
Fair Lawn NJ 07410  
Telephone: (201) 703-9555; Fax: (201) 475-5678

† RWJMS In Vitro Fertilization Program  
University Center for Reproductive Endocrinology  
and Fertility  
303 George St, Suite 250  
New Brunswick NJ 08901  
Telephone: (732) 235-7300; Fax: (732) 235-7318

Thomas Annos, MD  
40 Farley Pl  
Short Hills NJ 07078  
Telephone: (973) 467-0099; Fax: (973) 467-3631

† Leading Institute for Fertility Enhancement (LIFE)  
130 Everett Rd  
Albany NY 12205  
Telephone: (518) 482-1008; Fax: (518) 489-6210

† Queens Fertility & Gynecological, PC  
10848 70th Rd, Suite 2F  
Forest Hills NY 11375  
Telephone: (718) 793-7752; Fax: (718) 520-5056

---

Brandeis Center for Reproductive Health  
137 W. 96th St  
New York NY 10025  
Telephone: (646) 245-5358; Fax: (718) 963-6363

†The Center for Fertility & Advanced Reproductive  
Medicine at Bellevue Woman's Hospital  
2210 Troy Rd  
Niskayuna NY 12309  
Telephone: (518) 346-9544; Fax: (518) 347-3392

University OB/GYN Associates  
725 Irving Ave, Suite 600  
Syracuse NY 13212  
Telephone: (315) 464-7249; Fax: (315) 464-4615

†Reproductive Medicine and IVF  
1321 Millersport Hwy, Suite 102  
Williamsville NY 14221  
Telephone: (716) 634-4351; Fax: (716) 773-7927

†Reproductive Consultants  
2500 Blue Ridge Rd, Suite 300  
Raleigh NC 27607  
Telephone: (919) 881-7795; Fax: (919) 881-7796

Junaelo Institute of Reproductive Medicine  
4256 Fulton Dr N.W., Suite B  
Canton OH 44718  
Telephone: (330) 497-9400; Fax: (330) 497-9406

†Fertility Center at the Medical University of Ohio  
3120 Glendale Ave  
Toledo OH 43614  
Telephone: (419) 383-3030; Fax: (419) 383-6530

The Reproductive Center  
900 Sahara Tr  
Youngstown OH 44514  
Telephone: (330) 965-8390; Fax: (330) 965-8391

Reproductive Endocrinology and  
Infertility Specialists  
401 N. 17th St, Suite 303  
Allentown PA 18104  
Telephone: (610) 969-4522; Fax: (610) 969-3049

†Pennsylvania Reproductive Associates  
Women's Institute for Fertility, Endocrinology,  
and Menopause  
819 Locust St  
Philadelphia PA 19462  
Telephone: (215) 922-3173; Fax: (215) 627-7554

Centro de Fertilidad del Caribe  
Torre San Francisco, Suite 606  
Ave de Diego 369  
San Juan PR 00923  
Telephone: (787) 763-2773; Fax: (787) 763-2773

Appalachian Fertility & Endocrinology Center  
2204 Pavilion Dr, Suite 307  
Kingsport TN 37660  
Telephone: (423) 392-6330; Fax: (423) 392-6053

†Harold Brumley, MD  
1301 W. 38th St, Suite 109  
Austin TX 78705  
Telephone: (512) 451-8211; Fax: (512) 450-1146

Steven Farmer, MD  
3001 Airport Freeway  
Bedford TX 76021  
Telephone: (817) 571-6863; Fax: (817) 540-5775

UTMB Women's Healthcare Group  
1804 FM 646 West, Suite N  
Dickinson TX 77539  
Telephone: (800) 509-2229; Fax: (281) 534-2770

Office of Frank Deleon, MD  
1325 Pennsylvania Ave, Suite 690  
Fort Worth TX 76104  
Telephone: (817) 878-5270; Fax: (817) 878-5294

†Baylor Assisted Reproductive Technology Center  
6550 Fannin St, Suite 821  
Houston TX 77030  
Telephone: (713) 798-8230; Fax: (713) 798-8231

†Center for Women's Health  
7400 Fannin St, Suite 1130  
Houston TX 77054  
Telephone: (713) 797-9200; Fax: (713) 797-9276

---

Alfred J. Rodriguez, MD  
Texas IVF  
6200 W. Parker Rd, Suite 215  
Plano TX 75093  
Telephone: (972) 981-7800; Fax: (972) 981-7814

Fertility Concepts  
4499 Medical Dr, Suite 380  
Methodist Plaza  
San Antonio TX 78229  
Telephone: (210) 614-3303; Fax: (210) 615-1052

Scott & White  
IVF Clinic  
2401 S. 31st St  
Temple TX 76508  
Telephone: (254) 724-2111; Fax: (254) 724-1046

Center for Advanced Reproductive Medicine  
376 E. 400 South  
Springville UT 84663  
Telephone: (801) 489-9670; Fax: (801) 491-8659

†Southwest Virginia Fertility Center  
2850 Keagy Rd, Suite 200  
Salem VA 24153  
Telephone: (540) 776-4989; Fax: (540) 776-4957

Beach Center for Fertility, Endocrinology and IVF  
844 First Colonial Rd, Suite 202  
Virginia Beach VA 23451  
Telephone: (757) 428-0002; Fax: (757) 428-4555

Pacific Gynecology Specialists  
1101 Madison St, Suite 1500  
Seattle WA 98104  
Telephone: (206) 215-3200; Fax: (206) 965-1737

†Gundersen/Lutheran Medical Center  
1900 South Ave  
La Crosse WI 54601  
Telephone: (608) 775-2306; Fax: (608) 775-2993

2006

## **Appendix D**

### **National Consumer Organizations**



## APPENDIX D: NATIONAL CONSUMER ORGANIZATIONS

---

The following national consumer organizations offer support to people experiencing infertility:

The American Fertility Association

305 Madison Ave, Suite 449

New York NY 10165

Telephone: (888) 917-3777; Fax: (718) 621-2444

[www.theafa.org](http://www.theafa.org)

RESOLVE: The National Infertility Association

1760 Old Meadow Rd, Suite 500

McLean VA 22102

Telephone: (703) 556-7172; Fax: (703) 506-3266

[www.resolve.org](http://www.resolve.org)

National Women's Health Information Center

(NWHIC)

U.S. Department of Health and Human Services

Office of Women's Health

Telephone: (800) 994-9662; TDD: (888) 220-5446

[www.womenshealth.gov](http://www.womenshealth.gov)







