

CHAIRMAN

James M. Melius, M.D., Dr. PH. Albany, New York

MEMBERS

Henry Anderson, M.D. Madison, Wisconsin

Josie Beach Kennewick, Washington

Bradley P. Clawson Rexburg, Idaho

R. William Field, Ph.D. Iowa City, Iowa

Mark A. Griffon Salem, New Hampshire

David Kotelchuck, Ph.D. New York, New York

Richard Lemen, Ph.D. Canton, Georgia

James E. Lockey, M.D. Cincinnati, Ohio

Wanda I. Munn Richland, Washington

John W. Poston, Sr., Ph.D. College Station, Texas

David B. Richardson, Ph.D. Chapel Hill, North Carolina

Genevieve S. Roessler, Ph.D. Elysian, Minnesota

Phillip Schofield Bosque Farm, New Mexico

Loretta R. Valerio Santa Fe, New Mexico

Paul L. Ziemer, Ph.D. Lafayette, Indiana

STAFF

EXECUTIVE SECRETARY

Theodore M. Katz, MPA Atlanta, Georgia

COMMITTEE MANAGEMENT

Zaida Burgos Atlanta, Georgia ADVISORY BOARD ON RADIATION AND WORKER HEALTH

4676 Columbia Parkway, MS: C-46 Cincinnati, Ohio 45226 (513) 533-6825

August 28, 2013

The Honorable Kathleen Sebelius Secretary Department of Health and Human Services 200 Independence Avenue, S.W. Washington, D.C. 20201

Dear Madam Secretary:

The Advisory Board on Radiation and Worker Health (The Board) has completed its evaluation of Special Exposure Cohort (SEC) Petition 00204 concerning workers at the Baker Brothers site in Toledo, Ohio, under the statutory requirements established by the Energy Employees Occupational Illness Compensation Program Act of 2000 and incorporated into 42 C.F.R. § 83.13.

The National Institute for Occupational Safety and Health (NIOSH) has recommended that individual dose reconstructions are feasible for:

"All Atomic Weapons Employees and Department of Energy employees, contractors and subcontractors who worked at the Baker Brothers site in Toledo, Ohio, during the applicable covered residual radiation and remediation period from January 1, 1945, through December 31, 1996."

NIOSH found that it has access to adequate exposure monitoring and other information necessary to do individual dose reconstructions with sufficient accuracy for members of this group, and therefore a class covering this group should not be added to the SEC. The Board concurs with this determination.

Based on these considerations and the discussion at the July 16 and 17, 2013, Board meeting held in Idaho Falls, Idaho, the Board recommends that this class not be added to the SEC.

Enclosed is the documentation from the Board meeting(s) where this SEC class was discussed. The documentation includes copies of the petition, the NIOSH review thereof, and related materials. If any of these items are unavailable at this time, they will follow shortly.

Sincerely,

[Signature on File]

James M. Melius, M.D., Dr. PH. Chairman, ABRWH

Enclosure